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THE HEARING RESUMED, AS FOLLOWS, ON THURSDAY, 27TH JULY 2017:

CHAIRMAN: Sorry I'm late and I'm equally sorry I'm wet, but there you go.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Sir, the first witness this afternoon is Ms. Kay McLoughlin, who has already given evidence, and she is being recalled in relation to certain issues. She has produced a new statement for the Tribunal, which is to be found at volume 11, page 3373. 14:12

## MS. KAY MCLOUGHLIN, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, WAS

 DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:1 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: Ms. McLough1in, you are already sworn 14:12 in the proceedings before the Tribunal.
A. Yes.

2 Q. I think you volunteered a statement to the Tribunal dated 23 rd July of 2017 to address some issues relating to evidence given by Ms. Clair Tobin on the days when she gave evidence before the Tribunal.
A. That's correct.

3 Q. And I take it you have read the evidence of Ms. Tobin?
A. I have.

4 Q. And you may recal1 that I had asked Ms. Tobin whether a 14:12 number of documents were on the file when she received it?
A. Yes, I recall that.

5 Q. And I think in fairness to Ms. Tobin, she hadn't, I
think, when she received the file, itemised or made a list of what was on the file that she received, so I asked her a number of questions relating to a number of specific documents, which she said she did not see on the file and she said they weren't on the file. And I 14:13 think you have some further evidence to give in relation to what was on the file when it was in your possession.
A. Yes.

6 Q. Could I ask you to look at document 1101. This is, I 14:13 think, your, as it were, email report, your email to Mr. Lowry --
A. Yes.

7 Q. -- regarding matters. If we just scroll down the page a little bit. Just to put this in context, this was in 14:13 2016, after you had sent the Barr letter to Sergeant McCabe?
A. That's correct.

8 Q. And this, I think, represented your review of events at that time?
A. That's correct.

9 Q. And I think this is one of the documents that Ms. Tobin said she hadn't seen on the file?
A. That's correct.

10 Q. But I just want to get your evidence on this, at this point. You, as I understand it, had the file in your possession from this period in February 2016 onwards?
A. That's correct.

11 Q. And I think you believe you had it until the letter was
ultimately sent in reply to Mr. McCabe's solicitors, that is Mr. Séan Costel1o, on the 20th June 2016?
A. Yes, that is my recollection, yes.

12 Q. And what's your evidence in relation to this document? Was this on the file at that point in time?
A. I believe that this document was on the file. I cannot say that $I$ remember putting it on the file, but $I$ base my belief that it was on the file because I think when Lisa O'Loghlen said that she understood the information in the letter was based on the 2006 statement that Ms. D had made to the Gardaí, I think, I think she interpreted what $I$ had said in this letter, in this email, or at least one of these copies, this is the 28th, I think, of February and there was also possibly the 9th February version of this.

13 Q. Yes.
A. So one or other of these, $I$ believe, or both, were on the file.

14 Q. Yes.
A. That is my recollection.

15 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

16 Q. And just to clarify, you had never said in any email or other document that you had based the letter or the allegation in the letter on her statement of 2006 ?
A. What I said was that my reasoning for sending the letter was based on the fact that she had made a statement, I think.
17 Q. Okay. And we know obviously from your previous
evidence, you hadn't seen that statement at the time --
A. No.

18 Q. -- the letter was sent?
A. No, I hadn't seen it.

19 Q. All right. But just in terms of this getting onto the 14:16 file, what was your practice at the time?
A. Yes. I wanted to add to that.

20 Q. Yes.
A. When a file was in my possession, documents created by me would be put into the file, possibly at the front and possibly not in their correct order in terms of the file sequencing, and I think Ms. Tobin talks about filing not being filed properly on the file, but $I$ believe that when the file is in my possession, any documents I create, I would have left them in the front 14:16 of the file for being filed off properly at a later date.
21 Q. Well, can I just ask you about that then? You if had these -- you'd obviously sent these emails from early February and they were repeated to Mr. Lowry, obviously, as we have heard, but was it your practice to print them out and put them in the file?
A. Yes.

22 Q. Or would they be on your email account and possibly for printing later and for inclusion in the file?
A. Well, I would say that there was some difficulties with my emails, and, for that reason, at times my sent wouldn't save, so I would send them back to myself. But I think they were working at this stage, and I
would have a copy and I would have printed, printed it for file.

23 Q. Yes.
A. Because I was -- you know, when it wasn't working properly, I ensured that I sent documents back to myself.

Yes.
A. So as I could print them at a later date. I don't think that was an issue here, but my practice was to make sure that I had copies for printing for files.
25 Q. Yes. Now, obviously in this context and looking at this email, you had reviewed the file, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

26 Q. And that's included in the first sentence. You say: 14:18
"I have reviewed the statements made to the Gardai by Ms. D and the file."
A. Yes.

27 Q. And you refer in the bullet-points, obviously, to the three different versions --
A. Yes.

28 Q. -- of the Garda notifications, and were they on the file when you did this review?
A. Yes.

29 Q. And did they remain on the file while it was in your possession?
A. I believe they did. I did not remove them.

30 Q. okay.
A. I believe they were on the file. At no time did I remove them and I don't believe that anyone else would have removed them --

31 Q. Yes.
A. -- and possibly could have.

32 Q. Yes. Now, also in the bullet-points there's reference made to obviously Ms. Brophy's letter --
A. Yes.

33 Q. -- trying to correct the matter?
A. Yes.

34 Q. And the letter from the director of Rian. And was there an email thread from Ms. Armitage to --
A. Yes.

35 Q. -- Ms. Argue?
A. Argue.

36 Q. And from Ms. Argue to Mr. Lowry?
A. That's correct.

37 Q. Were they on the file, to your knowledge?
A. Yes, I believe they were at that time.

38 Q. And certain7y -- are you certain that all of these
documents now that we've referred to in these questions were all on the file?
A. Yes, all the documents $I$ relayed [sic] to there were on the file.

39 Q. Now, there has been some minor, one might say major 14:19 issue as to whether this file was allocated and whether it was, as it were, self-allocated to you from 2015 on, but there's no doubt it was in your possession --
A. That's correct.

40 Q. -- in this period?
A. Yes.

41 Q. And what is your recollection as to when it came into your possession first from 2015 onwards?
A. Well, it came into my possession from when we reviewed the file in 2015, in May, it came into my possession at that time. It may have gone back on file at some point between June or possibly July 2015 until possibly October/November 2015, but I can't -- I can't be certain --

42 Q. All right.
A. -- at that time.

43 Q. But certainly --
A. And I think that I would certainly have had the file then in my possession from when I wrote to Mr. McCabe. 14:20

44 Q. Okay. So from some time in December onwards, then?
A. Yes.

45 Q. And is it your evidence then that from that time you did not put the file back --
A. Yes.

46 Q. -- in the unallocated section or anywhere else and that it was in your custody and locked in a cabinet in your office?
A. Yes, I believe that.

47 Q. Okay. And would that be normal practice, to lock a 14:20 file such as this in a cabinet in your office?
A. We11, yes. I mean, any -- you know, when I'm not there, it would be locked away. If I was working on it, it would be taken out, or when I'm not there it
would be locked away.
48 Q. You've stated in your further statement that:
"At no time did I remove any documentation from the Maurice McCabe file."

You did not ask anyone to remove documentation on your behalf, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

49 Q. At any stage, for any purpose?
A. No, no, it wouldn't be correct to either remove it or ask anyone else to do it.

50 Q. Okay. Now, you've also stated that it is your "belief that administrators, Pamela Armitage and Denise Duignan, would know that the Maurice McCabe file was in 14:21 my office and would know how to access it."
A. That's correct.

51 Q. Well, why do you make that statement of belief?
A. Well, it is part of tracking out where files are, so it would be recorded if $I$ took the file that -- on the file index which we mentioned earlier, that a file would be traced out to whoever currently had it.

52 Q. We11, are you suggesting that any of the file indexes, in fact, show that it was in your possession or allocated in whatever way that might be described?
A. You see, the file index shows where it currently is, so if that changes and it goes back on file, then it will be changed to reflect that.

53 Q. But have you seen a file index which shows it being in
your possession or with you?
A. No, I haven't.

54 Q. okay.
A. But it's the practice of the administrators to record who has the file.
55 Q. Yes. Now, you've mentioned Ms. Armitage and Ms. Duignan there. Have you any reason to believe that either of those removed anything or may have removed anything from the file?
A. No. I have no reason to believe. I don't believe that 14:22 they would have. Their role is purely administration. You know, they carry out their work on the instructions of social workers and social work managers. They wouldn't -- mostly, they put documents into files. They never take them out.
56 Q. I think you've said in your statement that you went on leave on the 24th June --
A. That's correct.

57 Q. -- 2016 for two weeks?
A. Yes.

58 Q. And that you weren't, therefore, present when Ms. Tobin met Mr. Cunningham --
A. That's correct.

59 Q. -- when the file was actually handed over, is that correct?
A. Yes.

60 Q. You believe that occurred on the 28th June?
A. Well, I'm led to believe that it did from both clair Tobin's evidence and from Michael Cunningham, I think,
yes.
61 Q. And have you seen that in Mr. Cunningham's statement?
A. Yes.

62 Q. He also states that he was directed by you to take the Maurice McCabe file to the meeting and give it to SART for review. Is that correct?
A. I don't recal1, but I'm not -- I don't -- I'm not denying that that occurred. I don't recall. It's quite possible. Yes. I don't have any reason to doubt that.
63 Q. However, he says that this meeting -- and I should perhaps specify that he liaised with Lisa O'Loghlen. He says:
"A meeting was arranged from Cavan social work offices for the purpose of reviewing files that may meet the criteria for transfer to SART. He said that Lisa advised that Clair Tobin would also be present. This meeting took place on the 28th June 2016. I brought proximately 15 cases to this meeting which I had reviewed myself and fe7t were appropriate for transfer to SART. On the day prior to this meeting, I was directed by Kay MCLough7in, PSW, to take the Maurice McCabe file to the meeting and give it to SART for review."

Now, first of all, he places it a day prior to the meeting, which would be the 27th June.
A. Yes.

64 Q. Now, are you satisfied as to whether you were or were not --
A. I'm satisfied I wasn't there on that day, and I wouldn't have given that instruction on that day, but it is quite possible I gave it the previous week.
65 Q. Okay. Was that as a result of any discussion with Mr. Lowry as to whether the file should go to SART?
A. Mr. Lowry was on leave for much of June in 2016, so, at that point, I don't think that conversation could have taken place. My memory is that Mr. Lowry wanted that case included with the cases that should go to SART, but I can't remember at what time or when he said that to me. A11 I know is that he contacted me, I think, on the 20th July asking had it gone and I had to check at that point to make sure it had gone.
66 Q. Well, can I ask you this: Mr. Cunningham doesn't recall being given the Ms. D file by you. Now, he doesn't make a reference to the Maurice McCabe file, but let's assume he's talking about the Maurice McCabe file?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

67 Q. Did you give any file directly to Mr. Cunningham?
A. I can't recall. It's likely I gave him the Maurice McCabe file. I can't say that the Ms. D file was with the file at that stage. It's quite possible that it wasn't, but $I$ can't recall. It's quite possible that administrators would have sought that file for review by the SART team.
68 Q. We11, I think you have probably seen Ms. Tobin's
evidence. She certainly said that the Ms. D file was there and was reviewed by her and she made notes from it on that occasion --
A. Yes.

69 Q. -- as I understand it?
A. Yes.

70 Q. So it seems to have been with it or --
A. Yes, it certainly was supplied on that date.

71 Q. okay. But you've mentioned in your statement, or you've said in your statement that your recollection is 14:27 that the Maurice McCabe file was in your custody?
A. Yes.

72 Q. Until the letter to Mr. McCabe's solicitor was sent 20/6/'16?
A. Yes.

73 Q. So are you implying or saying directly that the file was somewhere else as and from the 20/6?
A. We11, I can say, you know, that I am confident that it was with me up until the 20th, but at what point it was given over to an administrator or to Michael, I can't recal1, but certainly it came into their possession by the 28th, but I can't recall.
74 Q. Okay. But did you -- did you know that this meeting was to take place and is that the reason why you gave a direction or may have given a direction to Mr. Cunningham?
A. My memory is not that good in terms of knowing about that meeting or when it was to take place, but I do know that there was a plan to transfer the files to the

SART team. It's quite likely I didn't know the date of the meeting or when that was to occur, but I did know that the plan was to refer the SART -- the files, the retrospective files to the SART team.
75 Q. Okay. We11, presumably the leave you went on for a fortnight, was that your annual leave, or part of it?
A. Yes.

76 Q. On the 24th June?
A. Yes.

77 Q. You must have known you were going on leave, obviously?
A. Yes.

78 Q. And if there was a discussion or a direction emanating from Mr. Lowry or any discussion from you with Mr. Lowry, you, presumably, must have been anxious to ensure that the file was transferred?
A. It's possible. I just don't have a good memory. But I accept that I instructed michael at some point to include that file. I know it wasn't on the 27th June, because I wasn't there, but at some point.
79 Q. Yes. Well, could I ask you to look at page 1111. This 14:28 is the email exchange with Mr. Lowry of the 20th July.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

80 Q. 2016. If we go to the bottom of the page there. He sends you this email --
A. Yeah.

81 Q. -- that day, asking has the case been passed to the retrospective team, and then your reply is up at the top. If we just look at the top of that page.
A. Yeah.

82 Q. You reply to him after 3:30 in the afternoon, saying: "Yes, Gerry. I'm advised by Michae7 that it has."
A. Yes. So I believe I -- my recollection is that I checked with Michael that day before I responded to the 14:29 email.

83 Q. A11 right. In any event, is it your evidence that you removed nothing from the file or didn't --
A. Yes.

84 Q. -- try to sanitise the file in any respect?
A. Yes, yes.

85 Q. And have you any knowledge of anyone else removing documents from the file or that it happened at all?
A. No, I have no knowledge of that happening. It would be very unusual for such a thing to have happened and it would go against -- it would go against our practice to remove anything from a file.
86 Q. Yes. But obviously there could be instances where, not that something has been removed but the file is incomplete because documents haven't been placed on it? 14:30
A. Yes, yes, I accept that, yes.

87 Q. And, for example, we know that the original amended Garda notification issued by the Monaghan office --
A. Yes, on the 20th June wasn't on the file.

88 Q. -- wasn't on the file?
A. Yes.

89 Q. So that is one example of how a document --
A. That's correct, and I accept that.

90 Q. -- doesn't get on the file?
A. That's correct.

91 Q. You have no knowledge of that, presumably?
A. Yeah, that wasn't on the file and I accept that.

92 Q. Was there any procedure or practice about checking at all, or ever, whether a file had everything on it that 14:31 should be on it?
A. Em...

93 Q. I mean, you never picked up the file to determine specifically is everything on the file that should be on the file, if you understand the question?
A. I suppose I do, but I -- no, I didn't check the file before it went to SART --

94 Q. Yes.
A. -- if that's what you are asking me.

95 Q. Yes. And we've seen obviously the inside of the file cover. There is no index to show what has been put on the file, when or by whom or in what pocket of the file it is, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

96 Q. Okay. I think you've seen the evidence that the file was apparently returned to Cavan for archiving in or around September?
A. Yes, I understand that.

97 Q. Have you any knowledge of that?
A. No.

98 Q. Okay. If a file is so returned, it having been closed, perhaps at that stage or later --
A. Yes.

99 Q. -- where would it go or what would you expect to happen
with it?
A. The administrators would record on the system that the case is closed and they would file it off in the closed filing section in the office.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Okay. Thank you, Ms. McLoughlin. Perhaps you would answer any questions anyone else may have.
A. okay.

MS. MCLOUGHLIN WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCGARRY:

MR. MCGARRY: Ms. McLoughlin, I just have one question for you. Can you explain how it is that the evidence given by the people who came here from SART was to the effect that there was documentation which didn't appear 14:32 to be on the file?
A. I can't.

MR. MCGARRY: Thanks.
MR. DONAL MCGUINNESS: Chairman, just one question.

MS. MCLOUGHLIN WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DONAL
MCGUINNESS:

101 Q. MR. DONAL McGUINNESS: Ms. McLough1in, am I correct that your evidence is that for the entire time that you 14:33 had the McCabe file, you weren't actually in the possession of the Ms. D file?
A. Yes.

MR. DONAL MCGUINNESS: Thank you.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Thank you, Ms. McLough1in. Nothing further.
A. Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW.

MR. MCGUINNESS: The next witness, sir, is Ms. Linda Dewhirst. Volume 5, page 1389.

MS. LINDA DEWHIRST, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY 14:33 EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:

102 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: Ms. Dewhirst, thank you for coming today. I think you commenced working in the Health Service in April 2000, and, from your recollection, you 14:34 started working in the Social work Department in 2001?
A. That's correct.

103 Q. And was that in Monaghan?
A. It was, yes.

104 Q. And I think you've worked in Monaghan, is that right, 14:34 ever since?
A. Yes.

105 Q. And I think you've always been based in the office in Rooskey, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

106 Q. And I think you've always worked in an administration role?
A. I have, yes.

107 Q. And I think you are familiar with the intake procedure in relation to files, is that correct?
A. Yes, that would be completed by the social workers, yes.
108 Q. And you know that intake forms are correct based upon referrals, they're entered in a book, a file is opened? 14:35
A. Yes.

109 Q. And can be then allocated, depending on whether immediate action is required, or it can remain unallocated for a period, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

110 Q. Now, does part of your duty include dealing with Garda notifications?
A. It does, yes.

111 Q. And does that cover both the Cavan and Monaghan --
A. Yes, Cavan and Monaghan.

112 Q. -- districts? Could you just explain how that works in a very practical way, step-by-step?
A. We11, all Garda notifications are processed through the Monaghan office.
113 Q. Perhaps you would lean in towards the microphone a little bit. Thank you, Ms. Dewhirst.
A. A11 notifications are processed through the Monaghan office for both counties. All notifications are received in to me and I would forward them on to the relevant duty social worker either in the Monaghan office or in the Cavan office. I would keep an Excel database of all notifications received and notified by Tus7a.

114 Q. Okay. Can I just ask you to just distinguish, first of
a11, are you talking about Garda notification to Tusla or Tusla notifications to Garda, or both?
A. Both.

115 Q. And are they managed and recorded separately?
A. They are, yes.

116 Q. By you?
A. Yes, they are.

117 Q. And nobody else does that?
A. No.

118 Q. Okay. So if a notification -- do you receive draft notifications from Tusla?
A. I receive a completed Garda notification to be notified.

119 Q. To the Gardaí?
A. Yes.

120 Q. Okay. And presumably you can receive that from a number of sources in the Cavan-Monaghan area, is that right?
A. Usually the social worker, yes.

121 Q. Pardon?
A. Usually the social worker.

122 Q. Usually the social worker. okay. When you get that draft, what do you do?
A. I save the attachment to my computer.

123 Q. Yes.
A. I would update it with the correct data processing and I would insert the correct district for the notification to be notified to, and I would check it for presentation and print it off.

124 Q. okay.
A. For the principal social worker to sign off.

125 Q. For the principal social worker?
A. Yes.

126 Q. And at the time we're talking about in 2013 and 2014, 14:37 who would that have been?
A. Mr. Seamus Deeney.

127 Q. And is he based in the Monaghan office?
A. Yes.

128 Q. okay. But just going back a step there. I think you 14:37 produced for the Tribunal, subsequent to the making of your statement, an instruction -- an instruction sheet relating to Garda notifications, is that correct?
A. The process?

129 Q. The process.
A. Yes.

130 Q. Perhaps we will just look at that first. It's in Volume 9 at page 2472. And this is something that you referred to in the course of your statement to the investigators which was subsequently produced --
A. okay.

131 Q. -- isn't that correct? Now, first of all, can I ask you, do you know when this was created?
A. I don't know.

132 Q. Do you know who created this?
A. I don't.

133 Q. okay. Is this the procedure which you, in fact, abided by or followed?
A. It is. It was given to me in 2011, approximately.

1134
Q. 2011?
A. Yes.

138 Q. Yes.

139 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
A. Yes.

144 Q. okay.
A. When I took over the role of Garda notifications.

135 Q. So could you just talk us through then. The first heading is "Sending out notifications" and that's:
"Fil7 up Garda notification form."
A. Yes, that is usually completed by the social worker in Cavan or Monaghan.
136 Q. Okay. So that would come to you completed?

137 Q. And in a state ready to be issued?
A. It may not have the date on it.
A. And it may not have the district.
A. And it won't --

140 Q. Are they both matters that you would normally put in?

141 Q. Then it says: "Copies to superintendent in charge, check station."
A. That is the district.

142 Q. That is the district, is it?

143 Q. And how many districts -- are there different districts for each of the counties?
A. There is three for Monaghan -- or two for Monaghan, sorry, and there was three for Cavan, there's now two.
A. Bailieboro and Cavan town.

145 Q. Bailieboro and Cavan town. And who decides what copy notification should be sent to which Garda district?
A. It depends on where the incident, the alleged incident occurred.

146 Q. Okay. But whose responsibility is it then to put in the address?
A. I would put in the address of the district to be notified to.

147 Q. okay. And would you do that based upon the information in the draft notification?
A. Yes.

148 Q. Okay. And do you consult anyone before you do that?
A. No. I have a list of areas that come under each district.

149 Q. Pardon?
A. I have a list of areas that come under each district.

150 Q. Okay. We'11 come to it in due course, but in relation to the notification in question, without mentioning the address, did you put in Bailieboro Garda Station based upon where you thought it ought to go to?
A. Yes.

151 Q. okay. In any event, you're meant to send a copy to the superintendent in charge, and in that regard, is it your responsibility to send it out?
A. It is, yes, once it's signed off.

152 Q. okay. And then do you send out -- do you deal with it in the other number of ways there; one to the social worker, one to the notification file, sent Cavan and Monaghan, one to a liaison file?

153 Q. And then you added to the list -- is that the list maintained by you on the Garda notifications' drive?
A. Yes, on my PC, yes.

154 Q. On your PC. And then "Add to list of notification by district". Is that a subdivision of where it goes?
A. Yes.

155 Q. That you save on the shared drive?
A. Yes, I also save that information, yes.

156 Q. okay. Then if we go down the page, this is how you are 14:41 meant to deal with where you receive a notification from the Gardaí. Just in the middle of the page, if we go up a little bit. If we go back towards the top. That's the next alternative, if you receive a notification from the Gardaí, is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.

157 Q. And the next one down, it's headed: "Acknow7edgments from Gardaí'?
A. Yes.

158 Q. Is that if you receive an acknowledgment back --
A. Yes.

159 Q. -- from a notification you have sent out?
A. That Tusla have notified, yes.

160 Q. That Tusla have notified, okay. And then the next category deals with a Garda notification but cases from 14:41 another area. Is that outside the Cavan-Monaghan area?
A. It is, yes. If it's been sent perhaps in error to our area.

161 Q. Okay. In terms of the records, they're electronically
saved by you, is that correct?
A. Yes, the attachment is saved to my PC. I receive them by email.
162 Q. Yes. So, for Cavan and Monaghan, let's assume you get a11 appropriate notifications sent to you, what do you with it then on that day that you receive it?
A. Okay. Firstly, I save it to the PC.

163 Q. You save it to the PC?
A. Yes.

164 Q. To which file?
A. Sorry?

165 Q. To which file initially would you save it?
A. To the Garda notifications folder.

166 Q. Yes.
A. And within that folder it'11 be notifications notified to An Garda Síochána for a particular year.

167 Q. Okay. And then what do you do? Do you print that out?
A. Yes, I update it with the correct date of processing. I insert the district. I check it for presentation. I print it off, and I will leave it with the principal social worker to sign off and approve.

168 Q. Okay. Do you leave it in an in-tray for him or on his desk?
A. Usually I will hand it to him. If he's not there I will leave it in his pigeonhole.

169 Q. Okay. Mr. Deeney, did he work in the same building?
A. He did, yes.

170 Q. And just in terms of dealing with the paper copies of it then.
A. Once Seamus has signed off the notification, there and then I will make, depending if it's Cavan or Monaghan, if it is Cavan I will make three other copies. The original signed copy goes to the Garda station in Bailieboro.
Q. Yes.
A. One copy goes to the Cavan notifications folder held in the Monaghan office.
172 Q. Yes.
A. The other copy will be stamped "file copy" and the other third copy will be written on it "Garda liaison folder". The first copy, which is in the Cavan Garda notifications folder, I will also put a stamp at the bottom "copied to file", and that let's me and anyone else reviewing that folder, that another copy has went to the file.

173 Q. Okay.
A. The copy for the file in Cavan will be posted to the Cavan office.
174 Q. Yes. And just in terms of the posting, is it sent by ordinary post or registered post?
A. Ordinary post.

175 Q. And is it marked for the attention of anyone in particular?
A. Sometimes, it could go back, if it's allocated to that $14: 44$ social worker, possibly if it was unallocated. In this case, I may have sent it to -- for the attention of the team leader or the administration team.
176 Q. Yes. Now, I think you have seen the notifications that
were sent originally to you?
A. Yes.

177 Q. And one of them was directed or had nominated
Ms. McAulay and then another one nominated Ms. Argue as
the team leader. Have you a recollection of sending the copies and each of them back to those named individuals in Cavan?
A. I don't recall, I'm afraid.

178 Q. Okay. You print off the copies, one is marked "file copy", another is copied to file, one goes to the Garda --
A. Liaison --

179 Q. Sent by you?
A. -- folder.

180 Q. And one goes to Garda liaison notifications?
A. Yes.

181 Q. Is it any part of your function to review the information in it or to verify what the social worker has put in the draft notification?
A. Do you mean the content of the notification?

182 Q. Yes.
A. No, no.

183 Q. Do you, in fact, review it for sense or typos?
A. For grammar and presentation.

184 Q. Do you? All right. I don't know whether you recall 14:46 getting an email from Laura Connolly on the 30th April enclosing a notification, but I think you were shown that by the investigators, and perhaps we will look at that at page 1406. That's your email address, and it
seems to have been sent on the 30/4?
A. That's correct, yes.

185 Q. After 12:30. On the next page then is the actual draft. Obviously, that's blank in terms of where it's to go. There's no date on it. And it's your duty to 14:47 fill in those two matters, is it?
A. Yes.

186 Q. Okay. And then the content is there. And then on the next page again we see that the designated social worker is Ms. Argue, and it's blank for the designated 14:47 officer?
A. Yes. That's where Seamus Deeney would sign off.

187 Q. Now, there is a version of that signed by Mr. Deeney at page 1409, and perhaps we will just go on to that, it's the next page. Just at the top, just stopping at the 14:47 top there, that's filled in "Superintendent in charge, Bailieboro Garda Station, Bailieboro", and there's a date of the 2 nd may. So would that indicate that you processed it on that date and put in those matters?
A. That's correct, yes.

188 Q. And it's then stamped at the top there, on the left-hand side of the oval stamp is "file copy". Can you say was that put on it by you?
A. Yes, that would have been, yes.

189 Q. And then it's stamped "Child and Family Agency". Is
A. Yes.

190 Q. Okay. And could we turn to the second page of that, 1410. That's signed by Mr. Deeney then on the same
date?
A. Yes, that's correct.

191 Q. And it's "PSW Seamus Deeney". PSW, is that principal social worker?
A. Correct, yes.

192 Q. And he didn't raise any queries with you in relation to it or did you --
A. Not to my recollection.

193 Q. Not to your recollection, all right. Could we look at page 1413. That's an email enclosing an amended Garda 14:49 notification. Do you recall receiving that?
A. I don't, no.

194 Q. Okay. And that included, I think, some handwriting at the bottom there, is that correct? Perhaps it's on the next page. If we just go to the top of the page there. 14:49 That's again dated 2nd May, and if we look at the second page. Do you recollect seeing that at all?
A. Only for the purpose of this Tribunal.

195 Q. All right.
CHAIRMAN: And the difference between them, Mr. McGuinness, is? I appreciate I am looking at those pages, 1409 - just for the purpose of the transcript 1414. The difference? They are both dated 2nd May 2014, isn't that right?
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes. One is just the unsigned version.
A. If --

196 Q. And was that the file copy that was printed out, or not?

197 Q. Page 1.
A. Okay. So this is the amended Garda notification. However, I received it on the 7th May, so I corrected it to that date of processing, which was the 7th May, not the 2 nd May. I also did another amendment to this. This is -- what you are saying is what was forwarded to me by email --
Q. Yes.
A. -- on the 7th June. However, when I opened up this attachment, the $2 n d$ May was the incorrect date.

199 Q. Yes.
A. So I changed that date to the 7th June. I also added one additional line at the top of that notification, in bold and in red, stating this is an amended notification to the previous notification on the $2 n d$ May.
200 Q. Yes. We11, perhaps could I ask you to look at page 1416. I am wondering is your recollection of the date right, because this is dated 10th June?
A. Yes. I received -- apologies, I received the email on the 7th June.
201 Q. You received this on the 7th?
A. Yes, and I processed it on the 10th June.

202 Q. Yes.
A. And I changed it to the correct date.

203 Q. Yes.
A. Which was that date.

204 Q. Yes. And this heading in bold, if we just go down to
the middle of the page:
"Amended notification previous7y notified on the 2nd May" --
A. Yes.

205 Q. -- "2014". That was included by you?
A. Yes, while the email itself, the content of the email stated this is an amended notification, the attachment, which is this, did not reflect that it was an amended notification.

206 Q. Yes.
A. So I just added in that additional line.

CHAIRMAN: where is that? I beg your pardon.
MR. MCGUINNESS: It's in the middle of the page, Judge.
ChAIRMAN: Yes, it says that. I see that.
MR. McGuINNESS: "Amended".
CHAIRMAN: Mr. MCGuinness, I suppose it's somewhat puzzling unless you have, I suppose, perused the file. It seems that 1409 is the 2nd May, which is the original one, and it says "This abuse involved digital penetration both vaginal and anal", and it also includes a threat. Then the one that is dated 2nd May, 1414, which I think this witness, Ms. Dewhirst, received by email on the 7th June, which is somewhat later, it just says "sexual abuse in childhood" and then it gives a description of "fully clothed an incident involved inappropriate conduct", and then she changed it, am I correct in thinking, that exact one, by putting in the words "amended notification
previous7y notified on the 2nd May 2014", just so as no one could make a mistake?

MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: And the actual description is the same, it is the incident on the couch, visiting the home, hide and seek, and that is what is alleged in that.

MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
207 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: And you also changed the date?
A. The date, yes.

208 Q. To reflect the fact that it wasn't properly dated the 2nd of May?
A. It wasn't being sent out on the 2 nd May, no. It was being sent out on the --
CHAIRMAN: Sorry, the 2nd May then was, of course, the 14:54 date of the original notification, which is the incorrect --

MR. McGUINNESS: We11, there's a number of different ones, Chairman.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, I appreciate that.
MR. MCGUINNESS: The draft that was sent to Ms. Dewhirst on the 2nd May.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCGUINNESS: There is the signed version that was signed off by Mr. Deeney on the 2nd May.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCGUINNESS: There is the redraft that was sent back dated $2 n d$ May but sent to her on the 7 th June. CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. MCGUINNESS: She amended that. And then the signed version of that is the ultimate final one. I think, is that correct? Later signed by Mr. Deeney? Perhaps we will look at the second page of this.
CHAIRMAN: I am sorry, what I am not following is, and I beg your pardon for my slowness in this: who sent the notification of the 7th June 2014 with the amended, but it doesn't say amended and it has the wrong date on it?
209 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: I think that is Ms. Argue sent that to 14:55 you?
A. Eileen sent that on the 7th of --

210 Q. June?
A. -- June, yes.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
211 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: And just while we are looking at this page, the version you amended dated 10th June with the new heading put in in the middle, that is marked "copied to file" at the bottom there?
A. okay.

212 Q. Does that represent the fact that you printed it out for that purpose and put it in the appropriate folder?
A. That would have been in the Cavan notifications folder and that stamp at the bottom would indicate that another copy was made and forwarded to the Cavan

213 Q. Yes.
A. -- for the file.

214 Q. Just to look at the second page of that.

CHAIRMAN: what page are we on now?
MR. MCGUINNESS: The next page.
CHAIRMAN: Well --
MR. MCGUINNESS: 1417.
CHAIRMAN: 1417, yes.
215 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: This is the version then that was ultimately signed off by Mr. Deeney on the 20th June, is that correct?
A. Yes.

216 Q. And it's got a different social worker team leader, and 14:56 the instructions that you normally follow are that it's posted back to Cavan?
A. Yes.

217 Q. And do you believe you did that?
A. I believe I did, yes.

218 Q. Did you have any discussion with any Gardaí at any stage of the process from when you received the first draft notification from Ms. Argue or from Laura Connolly?
A. No, I didn't.

219 Q. You didn't consult with any Gardaí or feel any need to do so as to where the notification should be sent to?
A. No.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Thank you, Ms. Dewhirst. Would you answer any questions anyone else may have.
A. Yes.
$\qquad$

220 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Good afternoon, Ms. Dewhirst. Michae1 McDowell is my name.
A. Hello.

221 Q. I am one of the barristers representing Sergeant McCabe. Could I bring you to page 437. Have you got that there?

CHAIRMAN: If you want a paper copy, if you take out Volume 2, 437.
222 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Maybe for clarity's sake, I will stick 14:57 to 1407. It's the same document.

CHAIRMAN: Is this yet another version of the same thing, it is?
MR. McDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: It is. Which one is this one? This is the 14:57 draft, is it?

MR. MCDOWELL: This is the draft.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
223 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Have you got that there, Ms. Dewhirst?
A. Yes, I have, yes.

224 Q. And is this the form in which Laura Connolly sent it to you for further processing?
A. This is the first Garda notification received.

225 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

226 Q. And that's the form in which you received it?
A. Yes.

227 Q. And what did you receive with it?
A. The email was sent to me.

228 Q. Yes. And this was attached?
A. And this was attached to the email.

229 Q. I see. Can you see any reference on that to Bailieboro?
CHAIRMAN: I take it your point is, there isn't any, is 14:58 it?
MR. MCDOWELL: No.
MR. MCGUINNESS: There is address which is --
A. The address --

MR. MCDOWELL: Yes, the address -- I don't think the 14:58 address is Bailieboro either?
A. There is part of the address that comes under the district of Bailieboro, I believe.
230 Q. Sorry, what address?
A. It's pencilled out.

231 Q. I don't want to breach the privacy.
CHAIRMAN: No, no, no, no. And if you don't mind, just mention a general, and the press won't report it, just mention a general address.
A. [Redacted].

CHAIRMAN: [Redacted], County Cavan?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Is that there?
A. It was the address.

CHAIRMAN: I'm not challenging that.
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: I'm just looking for information. where is [redacted], Mr. McDowe11, on it? Well, in the district of [redacted], yes, which presumably incorporates a big
area.

232 Q.
MR. MCDOWELL: So it was on the basis that the child's home address was in the Bailieboro district, is that right --
A. That's correct, yes.

233 Q. -- that you decided you would send it to the superintendent?
A. Yes.

234 Q. And did you consult anybody about that step?
A. No, I didn't, no.

235 Q. And was that normal procedure, to go to the child's home address when notifying the Gardaí?
A. I think I believe that is where the incident had occurred in that area.
236 Q. We11, you see, if you had read it, there was no -there was no location given?

CHAIRMAN: It just says the county.
237 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: I am just wondering why you chose Bailieboro?
A. I am unsure. Usually, it would be notified to the area, where the incident has occurred.

238 Q. Yes. Exactly. And there's no indication here as to where the incident had occurred?
A. Perhaps I went on the address of [redacted], which would come under the district of Bailieboro. I'm

239 Q. We11, the incident is supposed to have occurred while she and her parents were visiting the home of the alleged perpetrator. And the narrative goes on:
"Ms. D identified the alleged perpetrator as Mr. Maurice McCabe. Specific address for Mr. McCabe not provided. Ms. Brophy reports that Mr. McCabe resides in County Cavan."
A. I don't recall if I sought his address. I don't recall.

240 Q. But in any event, for one reason or another, you put down "Superintendent in charge, Bailieboro Garda Station", is that right?
A. Yes. It would have went to one of two districts, either Cavan or Bailieboro.
241 Q. In relation to these notifications was it standard procedure that when they were received in a Garda station, they were acknowledged as having been received 15:02 and the return-slip which is printed on them was sent back to you?
A. No.

242 Q. No?
A. No.

243 Q. Well, just let's look at this for a second. On page 1410, there's, immediately under Mr. Deeney's signature, there's a statement "Return-slip to be returned to relevant Child and Family Agency local office", and it's supposed to have a garda's name and address, "I acknow7edge receipt of your notification. The designated garda assigned to this case is" blank, and a telephone number is given. Are you saying that that never happened?
A. In this case, no, it didn't. There was no --

244 Q. I'm talking about, generally speaking, was it standard? Were they supposed to acknowledge receipt?
A. They were, yes, supposed to, but it never -- it didn't always happen.
A. It wasn't unusual not to receive it.

246 Q. So nobody would know at your end whether the letter had got lost, and likewise, there'd be no record, if somebody was going to follow it up, as to what guard was involved, isn't that right?
A. I'm sorry, what is the question?

247 Q. The whole idea of this slip was to say, you know, the designated garda assigned to this case is a particular person, and you're saying that that was rarely done, is 15:04 that right?
A. It was -- sometimes it was acknowledged, but not always.
248 Q. Because the system that Mr. McGuinness read out to you had a specific instruction as to what to do with this acknowledgment when it came in?
A. Yeah, if it was received. If an acknowledgment was received to the office, I would follow a number of steps, but there was no procedure to follow up with the Gardaí if they didn't send in a receipt.
249 Q. We11, we do know, for instance, that, in Bailieboro, Sergeant Tony Byrne was the man to whom these slips would -- these notifications would normally go, and he would be the liaison man with the HSE after that. Were
you aware of that?
A. No, I wasn't, no.

250 Q. And on this occasion it didn't go to him and no acknowledgment was made of receipt of this document from Bailieboro?
A. I didn't receive any acknow7edgment.

251 Q. It was sent on to Chief Superintendent sheridan on the day on -- or the day it was received?
A. Yes, I'm unsure of what their procedure is once they receive it.

252 Q. But there was no attempt to establish a link with your office by Bailieboro?
A. Not by myself, no.

CHAIRMAN: I think what you are missing, Mr. MCDowel1, is, looking at it from the other way round, what did the Garda do or not do. So you are saying, well, they never got back, they never sent the acknowledgment slip?
A. No, they never sent it in, and it was just taken that they had received it, from my point of view.
253 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: It was assumed that they had received it, and if there was further contact --
A. There would be further follow-up by --

254 Q. Nobody at your end would know which garda was taking responsibility for it?
A. Unless the social worker followed that up that was working on the case.
255 Q. No, but, I mean, if the return-slip was completed, a particular garda would say, $I$ am the man in future that
you're to contact in relation to this?
A. And if I received that, I would forward it on for the file in the Cavan office, if $I$ did receive --
CHAIRMAN: And would the garda's name and number go into the Excel spreadsheet, would it?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: I see. So that is one of the steps you would take if you had got back this, cut off this part of the page and send it back to us then, yes?
A. Yes.

256 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: And you're saying there's nothing unusual about that, people ignored this acknowledgment form, usually?
A. It wasn't unusual not to receive an acknowledgment letter from the Gardaí.

257 Q. Because as I understand what should have happened, is that it should have been Sergeant Byrne was the designated garda who would have been notified to you as the person to whom -- in respect of whom there should have been further follow-up. And we know, don't we, that somebody tried to mention it to Sergeant Byrne at a later stage and he had no idea about it. would that be because there was no return-slip? would Kay McLough1in assume that the man in Bailieboro knew about it and was the designated person to deal with it?
A. I'm afraid, I don't know.

CHAIRMAN: In other words, if there's no return-slip coming back but it's kind of common knowledge the garda who deals with stuff in Bailieboro is Garda Mary
o'neill, or whatever, would people assume in the office, yes, we have to go to Garda Mary o'Neill?
A. I'm not sure what they would assume.

CHAIRMAN: You're not sure.
MR. MCDOWELL: I will leave it at that then. Thank you 15:08 very much.
CHAIRMAN: Did you have any further questions? Was there anything else?

MS. DEWHIRST WAS RE-EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:

258 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes, Ms. Dewhirst. Just to be clear, lest I am misunderstanding one of Mr. McDowell's questions, the instructions that we looked at, that you followed, your instructions are to send it to the superintendent of the district?
A. Yes.

259 Q. Not to any designated liaison officer?
A. No, no. To address it to the superintendent.

260 Q. And you sent it to the superintendent of the district in relation to an area which is part of the Bailieboro district --
A. Yes, that's correct.

261 Q. -- as set out in your instructions and within your knowledge, as one of the two districts in Cavan at the 15:08 time?
A. Yes.

262 Q. okay. And the form obviously envisages the return-slip and it says: "To be returned to the relevant child and
family local office", and would that indicate that it's meant to be returned to you or to the team leader identified?
A. It would. It should be returned to the office in Monaghan.

263 Q. In Monaghan?
A. Yes.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Okay. Thank you.
264 Q. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Dewhirst, no one is trying to accuse you of anything. I want, first of all, to assure you of that. But there's no question of you making a decision, we'll send it to Bailieboro because you knew Sergeant McCabe and it was going to cause trouble or anything like that?
A. No, absolutely not.

265 Q. CHAIRMAN: Did you actually know of Sergeant McCabe at that stage?
A. I didn't, no.

266 Q. CHAIRMAN: so it meant nothing -- and you had never met him, I suppose?
A. I had never, no, absolutely.

267 Q. CHAIRMAN: And reading of the newspapers --
A. No.

268 Q. CHAIRMAN: -- is sparse in County Cavan, it seems?
A. Sorry?

269 Q. CHAIRMAN: It seems that reading newspapers is sparse in County Cavan?
A. I believe so.

270 Q. CHAIRMAN: Were you following this particular story at a11?
A. I wasn't, no. CHAIRMAN: Penalty points and all that?
A. No, I wasn't.

## THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

MS. LEADER: The next witness, sir, is Mary Tiernan, and her statement is in volume 10, at page 2598 of the materials.

MS. MARY TIERNAN, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY

EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER:

271 Q. MS. LEADER: Ms. Tiernan, I understand you are a
qualified social worker and you were employed by the HSE in Cavan-Monaghan between 1997 and 2010, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

272 Q. Could you explain to the Tribunal what you did with the 15:11 HSE in that time, please?
A. I suppose, as set out in my statement, I first started working as a social worker, and $I$ worked in both counties, Cavan and Monaghan, and then I took up the position of team leader in Cavan from approximately December 2004 until $I$ resigned from the HSE in July 2010.

273 Q. Specifically in the years 2006/2007, where did you work at that time?
A. My base was Cavan but because of the range of duties I covered, I would have also supervised a couple of social workers in Monaghan and I would have attended meetings in both offices.
274 Q. And your specific role in 2006 and 2007, if you could explain that, please?
A. I was the team leader for what was commonly referred to as the Child Protection and Intake Team, and I also within -- because my base was Cavan, I was responsible for managing new referrals, which we refer to as duty intake, in County Cavan on a day-to-day basis.
275 Q. Now, I understand from your statement, Ms. Tiernan, that you, in December 2006, became aware that Ms. D made an allegation against Sergeant McCabe, is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And how did you become aware of that?
A. Because a social worker, Ms. Rhona Murphy, in the office, who I supervised, would have already been involved with Ms. D at that time.
277 Q. And she told you, is that correct?
A. Yes. I can't recall the exact way I found out, but that would be normal for me to become aware of a new report of information coming in on a case I was already supervising the social worker.
278 Q. okay. Just if you could explain to the Tribunal, did you know Sergeant McCabe prior to that or at that time?
A. Yes, I did. I knew him as a sergeant in Bailieboro. I understood he had the role of child protection liaison
sergeant. I know now that's not correct. It may be that that position was vacant at the time I understand he was sergeant in charge. But I would certainly recall having meetings or discussions with him from time to time in the natural course of my work.
279 Q. And your understanding was that he was a liaison guard between the HSE and the guards at that time in relation to child protection issues, was that your understanding?
A. Yes, that was my understanding. As I said, when it was 15:14 clarified, and I reflect back, actually I don't remember specifically attending the liaison meetings we used to have with a number of sergeants around the district but that was my belief, yes.
280 Q. Okay. Ms. D's father, did you know she [sic] was a guard, at that time?
A. Yes.

281 Q. He was a guard?
A. Yes, I did. And as I have said in my statement, I don't actually ever remember speaking with or meeting with him, but yes, I was aware.
Q. okay. And were you aware of the nature of the allegation that Ms. D made against Sergeant McCabe?
A. In December 2006?

283 Q. Yes.
A. The nature, as in it came under the broad sexual abuse allegation, but not the specific details.

284 Q. Okay. So you knew it was sexual abuse but nothing further?
A. Yes, exactly.

285 Q. Okay. Now, I think in December 2006 you attended a meeting in relation to the Ms. D matter, is that correct?
A. Yes.

286 Q. The minute of that meeting, if I can call it that, is at page 181 of the materials. It should come up on the screen in front of you there.
A. Yes.

287 Q. And that was a meeting which took place on the 12th December 2006 in the conference room at Monaghan HSE, is that correct?
A. Yes.

288 Q. And there were a number of people there, including yourself, there was Ms. Mary O'Reilly, Orla Curran, Clíona Deeney, Rhona Murphy, Eileen Argue, Celine Sherry and Emer O'Neill?
A. Yes.

289 Q. And Ms. McDonnell sent her apologies. And I think the -- was it one issue arising at that meeting or the 15:16 only issue arising, the allegation that Ms. D made, can you remember?
A. That is a record which applied solely to Ms. D. That meeting was a meeting which took place every Tuesday to -- it was a working meeting to consider new reports 15:16 or referrals that came to the child protection team. A number of cases would have been discussed.

290 Q. And she was one of the cases?
A. She was one, and each would have their own separate
record.
291 Q. I think it's recorded as:
"Issues arising: Ms. D alleged that she had been sexually abused and made a statement in relation to 15:16 same on the 5th December 2006."
A. Yes.

292 Q. And did you at that stage understand that she had alleged she had been sexually abused by Sergeant McCabe?
A. Yes, I did understand that at the time.

293 Q. And had you discussed the matter with Ms. Murphy, do you think?
A. I can't recall discussing it directly with Ms. Murphy. I believe, I have said in my statement, I do recall discussing it with the principal social worker around that time. whether it was before or after that meeting, I can't recall.
294 Q. And Ms. O'Reilly was the principal social worker anyway?
A. Yes, that's right.

295 Q. I think there were a number of actions agreed at that meeting.
"That Rhona Murphy refer Ms. D to the CSA team. A copy 15:17 of the minutes were to be sent to Ms. Murphy and the matter was to be reviewed at the next meeting."

Is that correct?
A. Yes.

296 Q. And do you remember when the next meeting took place or was it reviewed?
A. I'm not sure why it's stated in that review at next meeting. As I said, the meeting took place on a weekly 15:17 basis. It's not clear to me why it would have been reviewed the very next week in the absence of any other record to refresh my memory.
297 Q. Okay. So the next document the Tribunal has which records you having an involvement in the matter, is a minute of a meeting which took place on the 24th April 2007, and that appears at page 257 of the materials. Do you recall at this remove having any involvement in the matter between December and Apri1 2007?
A. No direct involvement with Ms. D or with her family. As I have said in my statement, I did hold the view at the time that the report had come in, that it would be more appropriately dealt with by a neighbouring social work department who had no personal knowledge through their professional work of any of the parties involved. That was my view. And this later record in April 2007, I did recall the discussion at that meeting that an approach was to be made, I understood, to the principal social worker in County Meath.
298 Q. Okay. And the minute of that meeting, it should be in 15:19 front of you, it at page 257 of the materials. You will see that meeting took place on the 24th April 2007. Was that another referrals meeting, that a number of matters were discussed?
A. Yes. That was that standard Tuesday afternoon meeting, where either new reports or existing cases were discussed and reviewed.
299 Q. Okay. And that again took place in Monaghan, and it appears that the chair of that meeting was
Ms. O'Reilly, that Tracy McDonald, Celine Sherry, Emer o'Neill, orla Curran, you, Eileen Argue and Rhona Murphy attended at the meeting, and Ms. Deeney sent apologies. And it would appear that the topic discussed at that meeting was Maurice McCabe, is that correct?
A. Yes, that's here.

300 Q. Now, would that have been a separate matter to Ms. D? If you could maybe assist the Tribunal in relation to that.
A. It is in the sense that it's arising out of the involvement with Ms. D and it clearly has Mr. McCabe's name on that particular record, even though a file hadn't been opened in relation to Mr. McCabe or his family at that time.
301 Q. And you're happy and satisfied that there was no file opened in relation to Mr. McCabe at that time?
A. Yes, I am. That would have been done in the Cavan office and I would have had to know about that.
302 Q. Okay. And then under the section "Reasons for Referra7" it says:
"Ms. D informed CSA team during recent appointment that Mr. McCabe inappropriately touched her. File returned
from the DPP, no prosecution."

And then it refers to a number of actions that were agreed:
"Mary O'Reilly was to contact Catherine Sweeney, a principal social worker in Meath, to ask her to nominate a member of her team to deal with Mr. McCabe. Social worker to offer mr. McCabe a risk assessment and to inform that the HSE are aware of the allegations against him. Then contact the Gardaí re current address of Mr. McCabe."

Do you have a memory of those matters being discussed at the meeting?
A. Certainly the first one.

303 Q. Okay. Did the impetus for that come from you, do you think, or was it Ms. O'Reilly?
A. I know I felt strongly about it, but my memory is that Ms. O'Reilly agreed that that would be an appropriate way to respond to a report of this nature, where there was likely to be ongoing contact between several social workers on the team and the particular member in An Garda Síochána, in the interests of I suppose fairness and --
304 Q. Okay. In relation to the first action that was agreed, 15:21 that the person in Meath was to deal with Mr. McCabe, what did you understand was to be done in relation to Mr. McCabe arising out of that action?
A. I understood that Ms. O'Reilly was going to ask

Ms. Sweeney to nominate a member of her department to inform Mr. McCabe of the information that had come in, to request to meet with him and arising from that to follow-through on whatever actions were deemed appropriate after that response had been obtained.
305 Q. Okay. Do you have any memory of the second action; that a social worker to offer Mr. McCabe a risk assessment to inform -- sorry, I'm having difficulty reading that -- to inform that the HSE are aware of the allegations against him?
A. Well, if we take the second part first, inform that the HSE are aware of the -- that would have been dealt with in the first recommendation there, "Mary o'reilly to contact Catherine Sweeney, social worker, to offer Mr. McCabe a risk assessment", I have said in my statement, and I don't recall that being discussed at the meet. I did recall the first part, without having access to any of these records, it's seven years since I have left the HSE as it was then, but I don't recall any discussion about Mr. McCabe being offered a risk assessment.

306 Q. Okay. Now you say at paragraph 28 of your statement that --
A. Sorry, just to clarify, I accept that that was -- I just personally don't recall that discussion, but that 15:23 must have been mentioned if it's recorded there in that way.
307 Q. Okay. You say in paragraph 2.8 of your statement that:
"Given the specific content of the allegation made by Ms. D to her parents and the Garda investigators initially, and repeated to Ms. O'reilly and Ms. Curran, I believe we both considered --"

And I understand "both" there is referring to you and Ms. O'Reilly.
"-- it was un7ike7y that considered analysis of the case would be likely to conclude that a threshold had been reached to support substantial further involvement after informing Mr. McCabe of the report."

That's your understanding of where things were?
A. That was my understanding, but that wasn't an analysis $\quad 15: 24$ or a conclusion I reached. I believed the case did require to be followed up and that the best way to do that would have been for, as I said, a team who had no prior knowledge of the parties involved to do that. So it wasn't a formal analysis of it. I suppose, it was my individual view based on what I knew, that on the balance of probabilities it may not proceed to any substantial degree.
308 Q. okay. Now, you also refer in your statement to discussing the matter with Ms. O'Reilly on a number of occasions after, in 2007. Could you tel1 the Tribunal about your recollection of those conversations, please?
A. I can only recall that I believe I would have mentioned it, or I would have discussed it on at least one, if
not two, subsequent occasions. I can't remember where or when. In the normal course of our work I would have had formal supervision, approximately every six weeks. But naturally we would have discussed cases and general management issues within the office every time we met. It was a time when the department was under enormous pressure. There were a number of priority issues going on. Certainly in my mind, unallocated cases, unfilled posts were first and foremost on my mind. So it's not -- it's certainly not the case that I had a formal sit down meeting to discuss that, but I do believe it would have been raised on one or two occasions perhaps. 309 Q. I think you also explained in your statement that it was generally considered good practice to inform any individual if a file was opened in respect of them or in respect of their children in relation to any matter, even in circumstances where no further action was contemplated. Is that correct?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: But I am sorry, Ms. Leader, it's kind of
left hanging. Was there anything as to what the meeting or the talk or the chat might have been about? I am just not sure about that particular aspect. Was there anything significant in it, in other words?
MS. LEADER: Well, maybe Ms. Tiernan --
A. I believe I would have asked 'How did you get on?', you know, 'what response is there?' It is my recollection that it hadn't been agreed to be followed up, that Ms. O'Reilly had made efforts to do that. That's the
nature of what I would have asked about. But I don't remember if I raised it again on a further occasion after that.
310 Q. So it wasn't brought to a conclusion, am I correct in understanding that?
A. Yes, that's correct. It wasn't brought to a conclusion.

CHAIRMAN: It was one of the things drifting by, in other words.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
311 Q. MS. LEADER: Now, I think the final document which you're recorded as having an involvement in is a case review form, and that's at page 259 of the materials. That case review form relates to Ms. D's case. You
will see the reason for referral is recorded as "allegation of inappropriate touching", and since referral it says: "Liaised with family. Referred family to family therapy department. Liaised with child adolescent psychiatry. Referred Ms. D for support and guidance and refer Ms. D to the child sexual abuse assessment team." And then: "Has the alleged abuse/neg7ect been confirmed, unconfirmed or inconclusive?" And "inconclusive" is filled out there. And then "the social worker recommendation", which was Ms. Murphy's recommendation, is "case closure" and she signs off on it on the 10th October 2007 and it would appear that your signature appears under "team leader as agreeing that the case should be closed on the 27 th

November", is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

312 Q. And you were happy that the case be closed even though one of the actions which had been agreed on the previous April hadn't been actually brought to a natural conclusion, is that correct?
A. Are you referring to the meeting record with names Maurice McCabe?

313 Q. Yes. At page 257, it's the previous page.
A. I would have considered that separate to Ms. D's file. The decision to close Ms. D's file in my mind would have been solely related to how she was doing at that time and was it appropriate for the department to close the case in respect of her.

314 Q. Okay. But you were aware at that stage that there had been no file opened in respect of Mr. McCabe and you're satisfied that is the case, isn't that correct?
A. I am satisfied that is the case. And yes, I would have been aware of that at the time.

315 Q. So I suppose maybe a natural consequence of closing the 15:30 Ms. D file was all files would have been closed, because there was no file opened in respect of Mr. McCabe?
A. Yes. There was only one -- certainly within the Social Work Department there was only the file that this document was on, which was Ms. D's file.

316 Q. Okay. And it would appear that Ms. Murphy wrote to Ms. O'Reilly on the 10th October 2007, that's the page 258 of the materials, informing her that she "recently
closed the case regarding Ms. D who made an allegation of inappropriate touching against Mr. McCabe, that she would like to draw her attention to the fact that Mr. McCabe has not yet been met by the Social Work Department as per our procedures in cases of alleged child sexual abuse and I would be grateful if this matter could be dealt with as soon as possible." And that letter was cc'd to you, so you must have been aware that that piece of work still hadn't been actioned, is that correct?
A. Oh no, I was aware. And, as I said in my statement, I do remember that part of it. This letter wouldn't have necessarily jogged my memory because I would have already been aware, it is something that had been discussed on at least a couple of occasions with the principal social worker.

317 Q. I think you have no specific memory of that letter, am I correct in saying that?
A. I don't. No. For that reason --

318 Q. Yes.
A. -- I don't.

319 Q. But it didn't cause you in any way to follow up the matter any further with Meath or Ms. O'Reilly?
A. Well, I wouldn't have been making an approach to Meath.

320 Q. okay.
A. Whether or not that was one of the occasions when I discussed it with the principal social worker $I$ honestly can't remember.
321 Q. But you were happy to leave the matter at that, is that
correct?
A. The Ms. D file.

322 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

323 Q. Yes. And you were happy maybe not to pursue the matter 15:32 any further in relation to the Mr. McCabe end of things?
A. I would have considered that it would have been appropriate to have been followed up. So I don't know that I would characterise it as saying I was happy to leave it. In the context of the priority pressures that were dealt with, within the department, at that time, I believe I didn't continue to raise it. But, as I have said, I did believe, certainly at the outset, that it would have been appropriate to follow-through. 15:32

324 Q. Okay. Now, did you at any time discuss any of the Ms. D matters or the Sergeant McCabe matters with anybody but the people mentioned in the meetings that we have already referred to?
A. No. I didn't.

325 Q. Okay. Did you at any stage discuss it with any members of An Garda Síochána?
A. No, I didn't.

326 Q. And did you become aware at any time of the subsequent 2013 matters?
A. Not other than in the media. As I said, I left HSE/Tusla in July 2010, I only became aware in -whenever it was, January or February of this year, of these particular issues that are being addressed at the

Tribuna7.
MS. LEADER: Okay. Thank you very much. If you would answer any questions anybody else might have.

MS. TIERNAN WAS THEN CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDOWELL:
327 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Good afternoon. Very briefly, I just have a few questions for you. At paragraph 2.8 of your statement you say:
"Given the specific content of the allegation made by Ms. D to her parents and Garda investigators initially, and repeated to Ms. Emer O'Neill and Ms. Or7a Curran, I believe we both -_"

And you're referring there to yourself and Ms. O'Reilly, is that right?
A. Yes.

328 Q. "-- considered that it was un7ike7y that considered analysis of the case would be 7ike7y to conclude that a threshold had been reached to support further substantial involvement after informing Mr. McCabe of the report."

I suppose sometimes when we write we're a bit convoluted, but does that mean -- it seems to me to mean, and I just want to get your confirmation, that you believed that in all of the circumstances and the fact that when a Garda investigation -- that you and Ms. O'Reilly were of the view that apart from informing

Maurice McCabe that this allegation had been made, that it was unlikely that anything further would happen?
A. Yes. That it was unlikely to lead to substantial further involvement. By that I mean significant child protection procedures --

329 Q. Yes.
A. -- ongoing case conferences. However, I would have to say I also did believe that it should have been followed up.
330 Q. Yes. But followed up to, in the form of speaking to him, isn't that right?
A. And following through on any actions that were deemed appropriate after that.
331 Q. We11, is that the case? Because, I wonder could that have been your view? You never expressed that in writing at the time. You never said that to Ms. O'Reilly at the time. Are you now just saying that in retrospect, because there's no evidence that you were of that view?
A. It's my recollection and $I$ believe $I$ have said that I believe it should have been followed up, and would have been had the particular circumstances not existed where there was personal knowledge and -- as in personal professional knowledge and dealings with the person involved, with Mr. McCabe.

332 Q. You see, I'm suggesting to you that that is not the case and I am suggesting to you that you signed off on this knowing that it was coming to an end and the file was closing.
A. Signed off on the Ms. D file --

333 Q. Yes.
A. -- because the involvement with Ms. D had come to an end.

334 Q. And you knew that there was no file in existence on Maurice McCabe?
A. Yes, that's correct.

And I have got to suggest to you that this is now not merely hindsight, but imagined hindsight, that you are now saying that you thought that the whole thing should 15:36 have been followed up. Because you never expressed that view to anybody at the time, to any of the other witnesses who are here.
A. I believe I've said I did discuss that with the principal social worker.

336 Q. Sorry, let's be clear about this. We have had a succession of witnesses, people who were at that meeting and other people, and none of them have eve suggested that you were of that opinion?
A. I can't speak for what other witnesses may have said or 15:36 not said about my opinion.

337 Q. And when you came to make your statement, where do you say in that statement that you believed at the time that it should have been followed up?
A. I've set out the reasons why it wasn't my view that it 15:37 should be dealt with within the Cavan department.

338 Q. Sorry, with respect to you, you gave no indication when you made your statement that that was your view. None whatever.
A. I have set out that it would have been our normal practice to inform any person --
339 Q. Yes.
A. -- and listen to their response and follow -- that is set out.
340 Q. You see, I am suggesting to you -- let's read the sentence again, or perhaps you would read it out.
"Given the specific content of the allegation made by Ms. D to her parents and Garda investigators initially. 15:37 And repeated to Ms. Emer O'Neill and Ms. Orla Curran, I believed we both considered it was unlikely that considered analysis of the case would be likely to conclude that a threshold had been reached to support substantial further involvement after informing Mr. McCabe of the report."

Could anything be clearer --
A. No.

341 Q. -- but that you thought at the time it would go no further?
A. I have expressed that was my view. But that's a different matter to the department, as was then the HSE, concluding on the matter.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. No, but, Mr. McDowe11, it does say 15:38 after Mr. McCabe has been spoken to.
MR. MCDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: And we know that didn't happen, so -MR. MCDOWELL: Sorry?

CHAIRMAN: We know it didn't happen.
MR. MCDOWELL: I know. But the point I'm making is this: That the witness is now saying that she believed that the whole matter should have been opened up and proceeded further with by --

CHAIRMAN: That could be the case and, forgive me, I am just a little confused. Because there are steps after speaking to Mr. McCabe, but if I am recollecting correctly there's a number of purposes to this. The first apparently is to offer people the chance to say what they want to say --

MR. McDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: -- and secondly, to offer them some kind of counselling or an assessment of their own personality. MR. MCDOWELL: Chairman, I understand that. Could I ask the question?

CHAIRMAN: No, please do, it may be that $I$ am at cross purposes.
342 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Would you explain that sentence again to me in simple language, as to what you meant by it when you wrote it on the 30th June of this year?
A. That was an individual view of mine. That is separate to the responsibilities that HSE might have considered they had to follow up had the matter been --
343 Q. Hold on a second.
A. -- actually put to Mr. McCabe.

344 Q. "I be7ieve we both considered it was un7ikely that considered analysis of the case would be likely to conclude that a threshold had been reached to support
substantial further involvement after informing Mr. McCabe of the report."

What do you mean by that?
A. As I've said earlier, I did hold the view that it would 15:40 be unlikely to lead to substantial child protection involvement, for example, case conference. That was my view, but that's not -- that's not a conclusion that I felt I could have reached not having --
345 Q. Sorry, you are recording the fact that you and Ms. O'Reilly had a conversation and that you were both of this view, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

346 Q. And I am asking you what the view was?
A. And just to clarify again, that's my recollection of a 15:40 conversation, I don't recall specifically where or when that took place. I am trying to highlight my thinking at the time. That's my memory.
347 Q. Yes. That it was unlikely that any substantial further involvement by the HSE would take place after Sergeant McCabe was notified. That was your view at the time?
A. Yes. That was my view at the time.

348 Q. So, why have you come here today and changed your position?
A. Chairman, I don't believe I have changed my position. 15:41 I'm simply saying the matter should have been put to him and then a formal decision could have been reached on that. I may have held my view, another practitioner may have held a different view. The proper way to deal
with that would have been for the matter to be dealt with and then considered in the appropriate forum and a conclusion drawn. The fact that I have expressed my view I don't believe negates that that could and should have taken place.
349 Q. You think somebody else might have taken a different view, is that right?
A. Well, I think that's clearly the case. That, had it been followed through different practitioners may have taken a different view.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. MCDowe11, may I ask you, is there a difference between yourself and Ms. Tiernan in relation to the understanding of whether or not she felt - which I'm taking those words to mean - that Sergeant McCabe should have been spoken to in 2007, but it would seem perhaps by Meath, in other words the chat, now -MR. MCDOWELL: He would be informed that the allegation had been made against him.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. That there would be a chat with him. MR. MCDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: I'm using the word "chat" to be, I suppose, as neutral as possible. You could say informed certainly, but if you are going to have a talk about it, you need to talk about it. Certainly it would have headed off a lot of trouble. That would have been Meath, as I understand it, to do that. But then let's suppose we're in a situation where it is the child being locked in the cage or the revelation 'That's what my Daddy does to me three times a week', there would be
substantially more follow up than a mere chat. Am I misunderstanding things?
MR. MCDOWELL: Maybe I'm misunderstanding the witness.
It seems to me that her attitude at the time was: This
is going to go nowhere after he's informed about it.
CHAIRMAN: That is what I am taking as well.
MR. McDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: would that be wrong?
A. That's not -- that's not wrong, no.

350 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: We11, then perhaps -- I mean, you know 15:43 that eventually, and Ms. Creamer told this Tribunal that she closed the file at the SART level on the basis that there was no credible allegation and you had no child protection concerns about Mr. McCabe or some of the other files, or their names shouldn't be on the register or any list. Is that the same position that you hold?
A. I wouldn't like to compare or contrast my position to another witness who has been involved after my time. I am simply setting out my memory of my view of it at the 15:43 time.

351 Q. We11, 1isten, obviously there's no point in cross-examining you to make points against my client. But I just gathered from the tone of your evidence that you were suggesting that because he was personally known to some of the people involved that the matter wasn't taken on sufficiently by Tusla. That it wasn't processed by the Health Service Executive adequately.
A. That is the reason $I$ believe it wasn't dealt with in

Cavan.
352 Q. In Cavan?
A. Yes. And clearly it wasn't dealt with then at that time in 2006/2007.

353 Q. But you are aware that an attempt was made to get somebody else to go and tell him, to talk to him about the fact that this allegation was made?
A. I am absolutely aware of what transpired subsequently.

354 Q. And do you criticise that?
A. I don't feel it is my place to comment on that.

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr. McDowell, it is my
misunderstanding and I beg your pardon. We have had so many files, so many documents, I may be getting things wrong. Are we talking about if Meath had followed it up let's say in 2007 and rung Sergeant McCabe and said 'Look, can we meet and have a talk?' or are we talking about the ghastly letter of the 29th December 2015, which is the Barr letter?

MR. MCDOWELL: We11, it all seems to have been part of the same mindset.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCDOWELL: That this should be brought to his attention.

CHAIRMAN: There is a nine year difference of course. MR. MCDOWELL: I won't put it any further, Judge.

CHAIRMAN: I am not sure what the question is, Mr. McDowell. I'm sorry, I am just not following the point, and it may be an important point, I want to follow it.

MR. MCDOWELL: I am suggesting to the witness - and I think she has agreed with me - that her view was that the matter was likely to go no further once Sergeant McCabe was informed that an allegation had been made. CHAIRMAN: which would mean that there were no child protection issues.
MR. MCDOWELL: Yes, exactly.
CHAIRMAN: They weren't sticking out -- is that right?
A. That's a fair enough summary. But I'm also saying I couldn't draw that conclusion. It wouldn't have been appropriate for me to conclusively --

CHAIRMAN: At that point.
A. -- make that as a professional assessment.

CHAIRMAN: But it would be easier to make if it somebody had spoken to Sergeant McCabe, is that the point?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: We11, am I getting that wrong?
MR. MCDOWELL: Then there's no substantial difference between me and the witness.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. And then, are you asking about the Barr letter then or am $I$ wrong in thinking that?
MR. MCDOWELL: No, no. You raised that, Judge.
CHAIRMAN: No, I did, but I was wondering, there was follow up --
MR. MCDOWELL: No, no.
CHAIRMAN: -- but I mean, we know what the follow up was.

MR. MCDOWELL: No, no.

CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. I thought you were asking the witness --

MR. MCDOWELL: No, I wasn't asking about that at a11, Judge.

CHAIRMAN: I see.
MR. DONAL MCGUINNESS: No questions, Chairman.
MS. LEADER: Nothing arising out.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

MS. LEADER: The next witness, sir, is Louise Carolan, who has made two statements, both contained in volume 10, page 3008 and 3112.

MS. LOUISE CAROLAN, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER:

355 Q. MS. LEADER: Now, Ms. Carolan, I understand you were a principal social worker employed by the HSE between Apri1 2012 and May 2015?
A. That's correct.

356 Q. Is that correct? Now you understand you're here as a result of Mr. Lowry's evidence to the Tribunal which he gave the week before last I think?
A. That's correct.

357 Q. Now Mr. Lowry suggests that you discussed the referral received by the HSE in August 2013 by Ms. Brophy in relation to Mr. McCabe with him. Have you anything to say in relation to that?
A. I had no idea that I was -- until I was asked to attend the Tribuna1, I had no idea that I had had any involvement in the case in relation to Mr. McCabe.
358 Q. Were you aware that a referral had been received by the HSE in August 2013 and an intake record had been created by Ms. Briege Tinnelly in relation to Ms. D's allegation?
A. No, I can't say that I was.
okay. And were you aware or had you ever discussed the matter with Ms. Keara McGlone who dealt with the matter once an intake record had been created by Ms. Tinnelly?
A. No, I have no recollection of the matter being brought to my attention.
360 Q. Okay. And would it be routine or normal for you to discuss cases with Ms. McGlone or Ms. Tinnelly?
A. Well, normally the social worker would discuss the case with the social work team leader and then, if necessary, the team leader would bring it to my attention. But I have no record of this or recollection of being brought to my attention.

361 Q. Okay. And while Mr. Lowry doesn't refer to any specific conversations, can $I$ take it that from your point of view you're confident that you didn't discuss the matter with him between August 2013 and August 2014?
A. Absolutely.

362 Q. Now your name appears in an email which Ms. Argue sent to Mr. Lowry on the 14th May 2014 and that appears at page 439 of the materials. It should come up on the
screen in front of you.
A. Okay. Yes, I have seen the email for the purpose of the Tribunal.

363 Q. Okay. And you will see that Ms. Armitage forwarded an email to Ms. Argue which informed the HSE that Laura Brophy had made a mistake in a referral which she sent to your service.
A. Yes.

364 Q. Ms. Argue forwarded that email to Mr. Lowry and he cc'd -- she cc'd it to you and Mr. Deeney, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

365 Q. Now, do you remember getting that email?
A. I don't. I was checking my diary for the purposes of the Tribunal and we were on a team development day actually on the 14 th and the 15 th, and I went out on sick leave on the 16th May.
366 Q. Okay. And I think you were absent from the office for a considerable period of time after the 16th May, is that correct?
A. Yes. I got a cancer diagnosis on the 16th May and I was out for a year on sick leave following that and I actually got a new post and I never returned to Tusla following that.
367 Q. Okay. Now, did you at any time discuss the matter of Ms. D's referral with anybody else?
A. No, never.

368 Q. And you have no memory of discussing it with anybody in the HSE?
A. No. Not until I was contacted by the Tribunal. MS. LEADER: If you would answer any questions anybody else might have for you.

MR. MCDOWELL: I have no questions.
MR. DIGNAM: I have no questions.
MS. LEADER: Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

MS. LEADER: The next witness, sir, is Mr. Michael Cunningham and his statement is in volume 11 of the materials at page 3244 .

MR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY 15:51 EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER:
369 Q. MS. LEADER: Mr. Cunningham, I understand you are a professionally qualified social worker and you are employed with Tusla, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

370 Q. okay. And in 2016 you were also employed with Tus1a and if you could tell the Tribunal what your particular post was at that time with Tusla?
A. Yes. In April 2016 I took the role of social work team leader within the Cavan-Monaghan area and I would have 15:52 had responsibility for the duty and intake teams across both counties. So there would have been one team in Cavan and one in Monaghan.
371 Q. Were you based in the Cavan office or in the Monaghan
office?
A. I was between both. So, for each week I could be two days in one office, three the other, or wherever there was a particular need with, given emergencies or different situations arising, it would depend on where 15:53 I would be located.

372 Q. Now, I think you became aware in the course of your work of the formation of the SART team, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

373 Q. And could you explain how that came about, please, Mr. Cunningham?
A. Yes. I commenced my post in Cavan-Monaghan in April and from what I recall in May 2017 I would have been contacted by Lisa o'Loghlen who was the team leader of the newly formed SART team. So Lisa would have advised me of the purpose of the formation of SART and their role in dealing with retrospective allegations against adults. So obviously that would have related to some of the cases that we had in Cavan-Monaghan.
374 Q. Okay. And I think you by yourself undertook a review of certain files on the system with a view to assigning them to the SART team, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

375 Q. Did you review files independently of anybody else in 15:54 the office?
A. I did.

376 Q. okay. And that exercise generated a list of files which you were to assign or suggest that the SART team
would take control over, is that correct?
A. That's correct. From my review I would have identified cases that I felt were appropriate. So there would have been no formal transfer at that point. They were due to meet with SART, or we were due to meet with SART 15:54 and they would make the final decision as to whether they were appropriate and transfer at that point.
377 Q. The files that you reviewed, did they include the Maurice McCabe file?
A. No.

378 Q. And prior to any discussions you might have had with Kay McLough1in what was your knowledge of the Maurice McCabe file, if any, or the Ms. D file?
A. I was unaware that there was an actual file, I suppose, at that point on Maurice McCabe. I was aware of Maurice McCabe from the media, but not in relation to having an open file in the office on him.
379 Q. okay. Were you aware that there had been a referral made to the HSE in 2013 in relation to the allegations made by Ms. D against Mr. McCabe?
A. No.

380 Q. Okay. Now Ms. McLoughlin -- you had some dealings with the Maurice McCabe file and could you tell the Tribunal how that came about please?
A. Yes. So, I would have -- following my review of the files, I would have, I suppose, got together approximately 15 that $I$ felt were suitable for review by SART and possible transfer. So during one of my meetings with Kay McLoughlin, who was my line manager,
the principal social worker, we would have discussed the formation of SART and I would have advised her that I had cases that I identified that would be suitable for review by SART and at that point Kay McLoughlin would have suggested to me that I also give the Maurice 15:56 McCabe file to SART for review as well.
381 Q. Okay. Now, Ms. McLoughlin, as I understand it, thinks that took place some time before the 28th June, do you know or do you have any particular memory of when that exchange with Ms. McLoughlin took place?
A. Yeah, from listening to Ms. McLoughlin's evidence, I stand corrected; she was obviously on annual leave on the date that $I$ thought that we had that conversation. I know Lisa o'Loghlen and Claire Tobin came down to our office, I think it's on the 27th, it's in my statement -- on the 28th, sorry, if that's correct. It was my assumption it was the day before that meeting that I had the conversation with Kay McLoughlin, but obviously that is not the case as kay was on leave. But it was quite close. I think you're talking possibly two to three days just beforehand.

382 Q. Okay. From your memory, did she give you one file to give to the SART team or were there a number of files?
A. No. One file. I was unaware of a file in relation to Ms. D. It was just one particular file.
383 Q. Okay. And did she explain any background to you in relation to that file?
A. When I was reviewing the files I was aware that there was a Maurice McCabe file and that was with Kay. So I
would have understood that that was being worked by Kay McLough1in at that point. And she did reference that it was -- Mr. McCabe was a garda whistleblower.
384 Q. okay. And you think that conversation took place a few days before the meeting with SART, am I correct?
A. Yes. It obviously wasn't the day before, but it was very close, two to three days beforehand.
385 Q. Okay. Did you know that there was a problem with the file at that stage, that errors had been made in relation to the matter?
A. I did, yes.
A. My understanding was that there was a letter sent in error.
387 Q. okay. So what did you do with the file once Ms. McLough1in had given it to you?
A. I took it down to my office, I put it with the other 15 files that $I$ had and it was stored in my office in the locked filing cabinet until $I$ met with Lisa O'Loghlen and Claire Tobin.

388 Q. And that meeting was --
CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, Ms. Leader, the letter that was sent in error, is that the Barr letter --

MS. LEADER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: -- or is that the Garda notification?
MS. LEADER: The Barr letter.
CHAIRMAN: It's the Barr letter you're talking about, yes.
389 Q. MS. LEADER: Was that your knowledge of the mistake on
the file?
A. Yes.

390 Q. Yes. Okay. So you brought the file with your other files to the meeting with Lisa O'Loghlen and Claire Tobin, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

391 Q. And what conversation did you have with them about the Sergeant McCabe file?
A. I would have discussed obviously the cases that I was very familiar with from reviewing the 15 and I also advised them that $I$ had been given this file by Kay McLoughlin, the Maurice McCabe file, to give to them for review.

392 Q. okay. Did you have any other conversation with them in relation to the Sergeant McCabe file?
A. I don't recall having one, no.

393 Q. okay. And are you certain that you didn't have the Ms. D file with you?
A. One hundred percent certain, yeah.

394 Q. okay. Now if page 500 of the materials could be brought up in front of you, please. You will see that that's an email which passes between Kay McLough1in and Gerry Lowry and Mr. Lowry is enquiring as to whether the Sergeant Maurice McCabe case was passed to the retrospective team, and she then confirms with Mr. Lowry on the 20th July 2016 that she "was advised by Michae7 that it has". "He has also advised that the retrospective team refer all solicitor letters to legal representatives to respond." Now, do you remember
having any conversations with Ms. McLoughlin after passing the file to SART?
A. I do. But just to say that I had passed the files to SART. I don't recall having -- the line in relation to referring all solicitors letters to legal representatives, I don't recall having that.
395 Q. Do you think you were aware at that time that there had been letters sent by Sergeant McCabe's solicitors, Mr. Costello's office, to the HSE in relation to the matter, or Tusla?
A. I can recall a letter being sent in error by Tusla to Mr. McCabe. And yeah, there would have been -- yeah, I was aware that there was correspondence back, yeah.
396 Q. Yes. And were you aware that that was correspondence from solicitors?
A. I would have assumed it would have been solicitors' correspondence, yes.
397 Q. Okay. Just, Mr. Cunningham, was this a topic of conversation in the office generally around this time?
A. Not -- I suppose, I commenced my post in April 2016, so I wouldn't have been overly familiar with most of the staff that were there initially at that point, and I don't think I would have been comfortable discussing it with other members of staff as a new team leader in. And it wouldn't have been -- to my knowledge, no, I wouldn't have been aware of any conversations. I was aware of Mr. McCabe myself obviously through media, but in relation to the office, it's not something that I would have been aware of.

398 Q. Okay. I suppose if you were aware of Sergeant McCabe through the media, and Ms. McLoughlin gave you a file relating to Sergeant McCabe, did you regard it as something that should be dealt with sensitively and urgently or was it the same as any other file in the office on the measuring the pressure system?
A. No, I would have assumed that if a file was with the principal social worker who was actively working that case that it was a complex case and there had been issues and it would be a file that would be required to 16:02 be worked by somebody that senior within the office.

399 Q. Okay. Did you tell Ms. O'Loghlen and Ms. Tobin this? Did you explain this to them?
A. I would have advised them that this was a file that Kay was working and I was unaware, I suppose, in relation to the details of it.

400 Q. okay. As far as you were concerned was it a file that had been assigned to Ms. McLough1in?
A. Yes, correct.

401 Q. Okay. Did you discuss the matter with anybody outside 16:03 of Tusla?
A. Nobody, no.

402 Q. Okay. Did you discuss it with any members of An Garda Síochána?
A. Nobody no.

MS. LEADER: If you would answer any questions anybody else might have for you, Mr. Cunningham.

MR. MCDOWELL: No questions, Chairman.

MR. DONAL MCGUINNESS: No questions, Chairman.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW
CHAIRMAN: Shall we take a break or not? Is there much 16:03 to go?

MR. MARRINAN: The next witness, sir, is Seamus Deeney. CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MARRINAN: He is not a short witness.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well, we are probably going to stretch 16:03 into tomorrow then. We will take a break for about 20 minutes now, thanks.

THE HEARING ADJOURNED BRIEFLY AND THEN RESUMED

AS FOLLOWS:

MR. MARRINAN: Mr. Séamus Deeney, please. This is in volume 4, sir, at page 1142.

MR. SEAMUS DEENEY, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS EXAMINED BY
MR. MARRINAN:
403 Q. MR. MARRINAN: Now, I think, Mr. Deeney, from 2003 to 2010 you had the role of principal social worker with the Regional Behavioural Support Service Team, which dealt with adult disability services, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

404 Q. I think it was a separate service to that provided by the Child Protection Service, is that right?
A. Yeah. It was a recently established service. It was
for adults with intellectual disabilities. It was a challenging-behaviour service for adults with severe and intellectual -- with severe and durable challenging behaviour.

405 Q. Even though this service was provided in the North Eastern Health Board, you had no contact during those years with the Child Protection Service, is that right?
A. No, no.

406 Q. So you were not familiar at that time with the Ms. D file or indeed with Sergeant Maurice McCabe's, isn't that right?
A. No, that's correct.

407 Q. And you didn't attend any child protection conferences during that period of time?
A. No, I wouldn't have.

408 Q. And you weren't familiar with Sergeant McCabe, is that right?
A. No, I never met Sergeant McCabe.

409 Q. Now, I think that from 2010 you took up the role as principal social worker for Cavan and Monaghan with the 16:38 Child Protection Service, is that right?
A. Yeah. The Regional Behaviour Support Service Team folded and I was reassigned to the child and Family Agency in the Cavan-Monaghan area in 2010. From 2010 to 2012 I was the only principal social worker in Cavan-Monaghan in that service.

410 Q. I think from January of 2012 to April 2014, you were chairperson for the child protection conferencing in Cavan and Monaghan area, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. I was assigned as an independent chair, so $I$ had no clinical or supervisory responsibility for any staff.
411 Q. I think that during that period of time Sergeant McCabe wasn't involved in any way with acting as liaison officer with you, is that right?
A. No. I never met Sergeant McCabe before.

412 Q. And I think that from April of 2014 until February of 2016 you were the principal social worker for the point of referral, which is the duty intake team, is that right?
A. That's correct.

413 Q. And I think you were, again, chairperson for child protection conferencing during that period?
A. I was still carrying -- it was a dual responsibility, but somebody else had also been appointed to that role as well.

414 Q. I think from February of 2016 until the present time, you were principal social worker for Children in Care and Fostering Teams in Cavan and Monaghan, is that so?
A. Yes, and I remain in that position.

415 Q. I think that you were at all times during the relevant period of times reporting to the area manager, who was Mr. Lowry, is that right?
A. That's correct.

416 Q. Now, you have provided the Tribunal with a great deal of information in relation to the intake procedures and the duty intake initial assessment service in the Cavan-Monaghan area. We have already heard evidence
from other witnesses in relation to that.
A. Yeah.

417 Q. And we are obliged to you for providing that information to our investigators. But I think if we could go forward to May of 2014, when you had your first involvement with the Ms. D file and with the file of Sergeant McCabe.
A. Yes.

418 Q. Al1 right. At that time, just before we move on to that, I think that you had become the designated officer for the purposes of notification to the Gardaí, is that right?
A. Yeah. It was a role that probably I always had from -I would have started in 2010 and in which I still hold. It's a named person within Tusla, there is a designated 16:41 person to notify guards and, in theory, they are supposed to notify us but essentially it goes to the duty intake team.
419 Q. I think that there was a standard form that was used for that purpose, and that form had been in place and was in use from 1995, is that right?
A. Yes, there was -- well, there was original, I suppose, guidelines in 1987, and the 1987 guidelines would have said that if the health board suspected that a crime had been committed that they would inform the Gardaí.
In April 1995 there was new guidance was brought in and that was changed slightly, and the wording was that if the health board suspected that a child had been physically abused, sexually abused or willfully
neglected that we would inform the Gardaí and there was a particular form at the back of that. So, when the Children First Guidelines came in, in 1999 that same form was brought into the Children First one, and it's still the same form that is used today.
420 Q. We have the guidelines that were introduced in September of 2014.
A. 2014.

421 Q. But you have helpfully provided us with the earlier guidelines.
A. Well, the earlier guidelines are just notification. I suppose, it was liaison between the health board and the Gardaí, known as section 3 guidelines. Guidelines in relation to the protection -- in relation to dealing with the child abuse cases.
422 Q. If we just have page 1188 up on the screen, please. These are the cases to be notified by health boards to the Gardaí, and there is a section 3.1 that you have referred to, is that right?
A. Section -- yeah, yeah. It's also, I suppose, a more recent one would be children First. I don't know what section it is in Children First, but it's the same thing, yeah.
423 Q. It is the same thing, but these are the earlier guidelines that you were operating -- that you provided 16:43 to us our investigators --
A. That's it, yeah.

424 Q. -- is that right? And section 3.1 reads:
"Where a health board suspects that a child has been physically or sexually abused or willfully neglected, the Gardai must be formally notified immediately in accordance with the procedure set out in paragraph 3.4."
A. Yes.

425 Q. And then paragraph 3.4 refers to the designated officer, being an officer delegated by the -- or an officer delegated by the designated officer, sends the notification form to the local Garda superintendent, is 16:44 that right?
A. Yeah.

426 Q. Just, could I just draw your attention, because it appears to be relevant, under section 3.5, "Informal Consultations", do you see there:
"The above notification procedure should not prec7ude health board personnel from consulting the Gardai on an informal basis where there is concern about a particular child but the available information does not 16:45 appear to warrant the formal notification of the case."
A. Yes.

427 Q. "On the contrary, such contact is to be actively encouraged in order to protect the welfare of the child concerned."
A. Yes.

428 Q. It would appear that the earlier rules are mirrored in 2014 rules, and encourage informal consultation with the Gardaí.
A. Yeah, and they are also mirrored in the Children First Guidelines, in the original one that came out in 1999 and then the second version that came out in 2011.
429 Q. Now, I think that if we could then have page 1181 on the screen, please. This was a Notification of Suspected Child Abuse form that you received from Eileen Argue, is that right?
A. Yeah, it's just going upside down.

430 Q.
Have you got it? It's upside down. It's coming around now.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Deeney, you can take out volume 4, which I think actually should be beside you.
MR. MARRINAN: It might help you. If you have volume 4, all the documents are there and it's probably easier to follow.
CHAIRMAN: We will still get the documents on the screen.
A. Volume 4. I see it here, yeah. I see it on the screen.
CHAIRMAN: Volume 4, it will help you because you are going to be flicking back and forwards between those. Mr. Kavanagh, would you help? volume 4.
A. No, I have it.

431 Q. MR. MARRINAN: You have it?
A. And it's 1181? Yes, 1181.

432 Q. Yes, 1181. Now, if I could just deal with your state of knowledge at this time in relation to Sergeant McCabe. Were you aware of Sergeant McCabe as somebody who was prominent in the media at the time?
A. I certainly was aware of the name and I was aware of the whistle-blowing saga that was going on, yeah.
433 Q. Yes. You are somebody who --
A. Reads the paper.

434 Q. -- who reads the papers. And so, that would have been 16:47 something that you would have followed. Did you make a connection when you read this notification that had --
A. I did, yeah.

435
Q. You did. So you knew that this related to Sergeant Maurice McCabe --
A. Yeah.

436 Q. -- who was then known in the media. In terms of your function in relation to this notification, when you receive it, it has already been filled out by the duty social worker, is that right?
A. Yeah. I mean, the criteria, you can argue - or the criterion - isn't that high of a level, is that: we suspect that, you know, a child has been physically abused, sexually abused or willfully neglected. My role is to, $I$ suppose, check the information, sign the form. Now, sometimes people may send on notifications to me and it may be, could be ticked "emotional abuse". Now, we don't notify the Guards of emotional abuse but sometimes some social workers who may not know that may send it on to me. So, mostly my job is to read it, you know, and sign it and then give it back to Linda and it is sent on to the relevant superintendent.
437 Q. So, you deal with it on the basis of face value of what appears on the document itself, is that right?
A. I deal with it on the basis that a professionally qualified social worker and a social team leader have had oversight of it already and know what a suspicion of abuse is.

438 Q. So you don't access the file?
A. No, no, I wouldn't -- I wouldn't go back and check, I have too much -- I am not involved in a case management as such, so I don't go back and check every single notification. No, I wouldn't.
439 Q. So, you are not actually performing a supervisory role?
A. No, it is more of a tick box exercise and I suppose a point of contact, and an initiation of contact with the Gardaí.

440 Q. Yes. You spent sometime on this in your statement that you made. Would it be fair to summarise it on the basis that you receive the notification, you check the description of abuse aspect of it to ensure that it's a matter that is properly notified --
A. Yes.

441 Q. -- to the Gardaí, is that right?
A. Yes.

442 Q. So, in any event, we know now that this contains the incorrect notification. It's dated 2nd May of 2014. And I think that you checked the information there. On the second page, 1182, the designated social worker is Eileen Argue, is that right?
A. Yeah, Ar-gue or Ar-gee. Yeah, we11, what happens is that if it is unallocated and there is no actual social worker allocated, it's the name of the social work team
leader that goes in there. We need some name as a point of contact for the Guards.
443 Q. And the point of contact, the telephone number is hers that is there?
A. Yes.

444 Q. And then you have signed that as the designated officer, is that right?
A. Yeah.

445 Q. Then having filled out or signed that, is it given then to Linda Dewhirst?
A. It's given to Linda Dewhirst and she would send it to the relevant, I suppose, superintendent.
446 Q. And I think that a folder is kept in your office which holds these notifications?
A. It would be kept in Linda's office, yeah, which is not 16:51 far from my office.

447 Q. Now, the next -- you heard no more until you received or were copied an email --
A. Yeah.

448 Q. -- which is at page 1195.
A. Mm-hmm.

449 Q. This is an email that was sent on the 14th May at 11:13, by Eileen Argue to Gerry Lowry.
A. Yeah.

450 Q. Do you recall having had sight of that email, because it's been copied to you?
A. Yeah, I do. I recall having sight of it.

451 Q. All right. We will just go through it briefly.
"Dear Gerry
I hope this finds you well.
Please see information below. This information is in relation to MMCC, who allegations were made against by an adult."

Did you know that this related to Maurice McCabe?
A. I did, because I'd done the notification, yeah.

452 Q. Yes. "-- who alleged that she was sexually abused as a child by him. A Garda notification was forwarded by our department based on the information received from Laura Brophy, Rian services. As stated below, Laura Brophy contacted our department today in relation to her referral and the content of same. She advised that there was information provided which did not relate to Ms. D and was in relation to another person, against another man and not the man, MMCC. This notification needs to be amended as soon as possible and the relevant superintendent needs to be updated with regard to same."

Having had sight of that, did you discuss that at al1 with Mr. Lowry?
A. I can't recall discussing it with him, I knew -- I was very surprised when I would have received it. I can't 16:53 recal1 discussing it.

453 Q. Did you discuss it with Ms. Argue?
A. I can't recall discussing it with her, but I would have known that she would have, you know, carried out the

454 Q. Sorry?
A. I can't recall discussing it with her, but I would have been assured in my mind that she would have followed up on it, that she would have carried out that, you know --

455 Q. We11, you see, if you just go over to page 1196, you will see this is a letter from Fiona Ward to Ms. Argue.
A. Yeah.

456 Q. And you see at the top there it says: "Séamus, p7ease 16:54 see attached."
A. Yeah.

457 Q. Is that a reference to you?
A. I think it is, but I didn't see it.

458 Q. You didn't see it?
A. I don't recall ever seeing it, until later, until some later time. I don't recall seeing it at that time.
459 Q. And, in any event, this was quite clear to you at the time, that this related to Sergeant Maurice McCabe, is that right?
A. Yeah.

460 Q. That it was an error that had been made by Laura Brophy in Rian and that a description of abuse had been sent in error to the Gardaí, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

461 Q. And is that something that happens frequently in your service?
A. No. No, it isn't.

462 Q. How rare was this?
A. It's very rare.

463 Q. Was this something that had ever happened to you before?
A. Not to my recollection, no. It hadn't.

464 Q. And it not having happened before, was it something that sent alarm bells ringing as to how this could possibly happen?
A. Oh, yes. Yes.
Q.

Were there discussions had between yourself and Mr. Lowry, who is the area manager, and Ms. Argue, who was dealing with the matter?
A. I don't recall any specific meeting of the three people, of the three of us, but I am quite sure that there probably was discussion at the time.

466 Q. And, in any event, an amended Notification of Suspected 16:55 Child Abuse form is then presented to you, is that right?
A. That's correct, yeah.

467 Q. And if you just go to page 1198 , please.
A. Yes.

468 Q. This has a date of the 10th June of 2014, do you see that?
A. That's correct, yeah.

469 Q. Do you know when it was presented to you, because if you turn over the page at 1199, it's signed by you -- 16:56
A. Yeah, on the 20th.

470 Q. -- and dated the 20th of June of 2014.
A. Yeah. It wouldn't be unusual. Usually, because Linda is in the same building as me, when she gets Garda
notifications she will bring them to me, bring them to my desk and I will usually sign them there and then. But on occasion, if I am out at training or somewhere else, or in Cavan or whatever, it may sit for a while, if I am on leave, or whatever. She'd put it into my pigeon-hole for when I come back. But there was -yeah, it was dated the 10th and I signed it on the 20th.
471 Q. And we have heard from Ms. Linda Dewhirst that she had put in there, you will see halfway down on page 1198, that this was an amended notification --
A. Yes.

472 Q. -- and that it had previously been notified on the 2nd of May of 2014.
A. That's correct.

473 Q. And from perusing that amended notification, were you happy that the details that were then contained in the document were correct?
A. Yeah. Well, I was happy that the first information was taken out, yes, and I was happy.
474 Q. Well, how did you satisfy yourself of that?
A. By reading it and by being assured that that information, that the incorrect information wasn't there.
475 Q. You see, there is a portion of it here under the
paragraph headed: "Amended notification, previous7y notified on 2nd May 2014." You will see there at the end there of that paragraph: "Ms. D alleges that the alleged perpetrator of this abuse threatened her father
if she said anything."
A. Yeah.

476
Q. which is, in fact, incorrect as well.
A. Yeah.

477 Q. So this amended notification has gone to the Gardaí again with incorrect information, suggesting that the alleged perpetrator has threatened the father of the complainant.
A. Yeah.

478 Q. Do you see that?
A. I never picked up on that at the time.

479 Q. We11, I am just wondering how it is that it wouldn't have been picked up on in the circumstances where something like this had never happened before and obviously would have caused some concern that perhaps more attention wouldn't have been devoted to the amended document to make sure that everything was correct.
A. I don't know. I really can't answer that.

480 Q. And, in any event, you signed that. That was your last 16:58 dealings with the file --

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Marrinan, I wonder could I stop you, because there is one detail that I am uncertain of; as to how the date of the 2nd of May became the 10th of June and the input into that seems to have come specifically on an administrative basis, and it seems to be the right thing to do, from Linda Dewhirst, but did anybody else have an input into that? And also, she seems to have written "Amended notification,
previously notified" on 2nd of May 2014, that seems to have been her initiative, correct initiative.
MR. MARRINAN: Yes, that was her initiative. But this document, as I understand it, perhaps the witness could confirm this, this document had been prepared by Ms. Argue, isn't that right?
A. I think it was prepared by Ms. Argue on -- probably on the previous template of the 2nd of May, and was sent. So when it arrived at Linda, Linda would have amended it and put in the correct date of the 10th June.

481 Q. And it was presented to you on 20th June, is that right?
A. No, it was presented to me on 10th of June.

482 Q. 10th of June?
A. Well sorry, it was left in my pigeon-hole whenever she typed it. I signed it 20th of June.

483 Q. How was it that ten days elapsed then between the time?
A. No, it wouldn't be that unusual, that I don't get to sign them on the day. Usually, because I happened to be in the same office, if I am out or -- I cover two counties, I cover Cavan and Monaghan, so very often I could be two or three days in Cavan or Monaghan, I could be doing training, I could be somewhere else, I may be on leave, I could be in Dublin, whatever. But it wouldn't be that unusual that I don't get it actually signed on the same date.
484 Q. Okay. And I think that the designated social worker then is put down as Carmel McAulay, is that right?
A. Yes.


485 Q. I think that she had taken up --
A. At the end of -- I think at the end of April.
Q. So you had no further dealings with the matter, is that so, until 2015?
A. That's correct.

487 Q. Would you have had any responsibility at that time, being alerted to the fact that there had been an error on the document that had been sent in to the Gardaí, of ensuring that the file was checked to make sure that the error was corrected throughout the file?
A. Well, not at that particular time. Maybe in hindsight now looking back it's probably something that probably should have happened. But at that particular time, especially around this time we were going through quite a crisis in 2014 within our service. We had a lot of 17:02 people left, we had -- I was -- I became the only social worker, the only principal at that stage over that period of time, actually. We had a lot of referrals, we had -- Eileen Argue had left us as social work team leader, Keara McGlone had left early on as social work team leader as well, Louise had gone off sick as wel1, Gerry had been off on extended leave and another social work team leader went off on sick leave. So over the summer of 2014 it was particularly busy, I can remember that.
well, I suppose Rian had dealt with this issue immediately it arose and Laura Brophy put up her hand and said, look, I have made an error, Fiona Ward, who was supervising her, was in contact with your service
to alert you to the error that had occurred and to explain how it had occurred, isn't that right?
A. Yeah.

489 Q. And there was a file in relation to Maurice McCabe at that time, and in that file there were a number of documents, including intake records in relation to his children, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And this had all stemmed from a problem in relation to an intake record that had been sent by or referral that 17:03 was sent by Laura Brophy. Did anybody check the file to see whether or not that incorrect information might have filtered down into the intake records on his children?
A. I can't answer for that. I know I didn't check it. 17:03 And, I suppose, it's the responsibility of the social work team leader at the time and that's where the responsibility lay, you know, when there was no allocated social worker.

491 Q. Al1 right. So, in any event, in 2015, in May, are you 17:04 engaged then in reviewing the waiting list?
A. Yeah. That's correct.

492 Q. And I just want to draw your attention to a document at page 1200.
A. Yeah, I have it here.

493 Q. What task were you performing in early May of 2015, precisely?
A. Well, around that time, in May 2015, actually earlier on in that year there had been a national review of
unallocated cases, and on a nationwide basis there had been a lot of unallocated cases over the country. We actually had 40 unallocated retrospective cases. I suppose when I took over as principal, we had also a large volume of referrals coming in to us, which in turn then led to a lot of other unallocated cases. I tried to, you know, set up a system -- set up a system, or liaise with other people to review files as often as possible. And we did call them blitz days where we would, you know, look on the database, we would take out priority cases and then we would review them. It was basically bringing them into a room and sitting down, you know, reviewing, going through them, looking at the actions and looking what had to be followed up on them. So, that was one day. And that happened on that day, on the $7 / 5$.
494 Q. Who were you conducting that blitz with?
A. I know that Gail Penders was there and I know that Kay McLoughlin was there as well, myself -- well, what we tried to do was, I suppose, get as many middle management or senior management as possible to have a good oversight over it. There may have been other people, Josephine McGuinness might have been there and, I can't remember, Karl Grant. And what we would do is, you know, look at the file and somebody would summarise 17:06 it and then we would try and -- I would give direction or give actions, you know, in response to that.
495 Q. So, if you just look at that document at page 1200. This is the waiting list review form. This was a form
that you used while you were undertaking that process, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah.

496 Q. There are some matters on that that are placed on there after you have filled in this form, but you filled in 17:06 the form, is that right?
A. Yeah, that is my writing, yeah, on $7 / 5$.

497 Q. So it has the name "Ms. D"?
A. Yeah.

498 Q. And what is that to the right of it? "Alleged", is it? 17:06
A. I can't make it out properly.

499 Q. Well, if you can't read your own handwriting --
A. "Alleged victim," sorry.

500 Q. -- I have no chance.
A. "Alleged victim."

501 Q. "Alleged victim." That is what I thought. And then underneath that is "Maurice McCabe, address unknown". So when you came across the file and you were filling -- did you immediately remember first of all, that this was sergeant Maurice McCabe?
A. Yeah, I remembered, because I had done the -- I remembered from the notification.

502 Q. Yes. So you knew that this was the case that you had dealt with --
A. Yeah.

503 Q. -- the previous May and June --
A. Yeah.

504 Q. -- where this error had occurred --
A. That's correct.

05 Q. -- in notifying the Gardaí?
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Marrinan, I wonder just from the documentation, or anything else, do we have any idea what the date is? It seems to say 7 th of May ' 15 at the bottom, is that the right date?

MR. MARRINAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Is it the right date? It is.
A. The $7 / 5$ would be the correct date, yeah. That is my writing.

506 Q. MR. MARRINAN: Then you have "Outstanding concerns/outcome of initial assessment", and then you have "Allegations of child sexual abuse against Maurice McCabe", and then you have "Management Decision". Could you just read that for me?
A. Sorry, at the bottom there: "Contact Ms. D re checking 17:08 the reliability and accuracy of the allegations made. Review information." And then: "Letter prepared for Maurice McCabe to contact. Estab7ish current address."

507 Q. And at that time in terms of a reference to checking the reliability and accuracy of the allegations made, what was that a reference to
A. Well, my memory of that day was that Kay would have summarised that case to us or to me and she probably would have alerted us to the fact that there was -that there were discrepancies still within the file. So my advice was to go back to the alleged victim and check the reliability and accuracy of the allegations to be sure of the allegations.
508 Q. If you discussed it with Kay McLough1in at that time,
what were the discrepancies that were noted?
A. It was the Garda notifications, that there was two Garda notifications still on the file.
509 Q. There was two Garda notifications still on the file?
A. I think so.

510 Q. One was an amended Garda notification?
A. Yeah.

511 Q. So there was no issue in relation to that. You knew that this had been an error that had been made by Rian?
A. Yeah. But there were still two Garda notifications on 17:09 the file, and I was a bit unclear exactly as to -- even though it was amended, I wanted her to go back and check. I suppose, from -- at that stage, the section 3 policy had come into effect in September 2014, and I was cognisant of that, I was aware of that, and I was aware that we would -- you know, that the first phase of that is to go back to the alleged victim and check with them.
512 Q. No, but there is a reference here to checking the reliability and the accuracy of the records -- of the allegations made. You say that you were alert at the time and Kay McLoughlin had alerted you to the fact that there was a discrepancy on the file.
A. Yeah.

513 Q. And there was no discrepancy that needed to be checked in relation to the allegations and the false notification to the Gardaí because you knew at that time that that was an error that had occurred within the HSE, isn't that right?
A. I would have -- yeah, I would have remembered signing the amended notification, but the fact that it was still on file, you know, in my mind meant that there may be some unclarity and I wanted, you know, not to have any unclarity, just to clear it up.

514 Q. Did you discuss the matter at all with Mr. Lowry?
A. At that stage, no, I think -- maybe on the previous -on the following day.
515 Q. But in any event --
A. Mr. Lowry wouldn't have been at that meeting.

516 Q. -- the management decision, you have noted and amongst the matters you have "Letter prepared for Maurice McCabe", is that right?
A. Yeah.

517 Q. Would you just read the rest of that?
A. It says: "Letter prepared for Maurice McCabe to contact. Estab7ish current address." Now, I don't know whether I meant to prepare or maybe -- or one had been -- I doubt if one had been prepared, but...
518 Q. And on the same day, if you just turn over to page 1201, this is an email from Kay McLough1in. Do you see that?
A. Yes.

519 Q. "Dear Gerry and Séamus
I, along with Gail, have been reviewing files on the
MTP today. One relates to Maurice McCabe and I would like to discuss this case with you both before taking any action as it appears that this concern was referred to us in 2007 and Mr. McCabe was never met. It has
come back in due to media coverage of Mr. McCabe. The outstanding actions are that Mr. McCabe be written to outlining the allegations and then be met and afforded an opportunity to respond. We would have to advise him that we would need to tell his wife about this information so as she can be protective. It is likely she is aware of the allegations as a file was sent to the DPP. However, no prosecution was directed. Mr. McCabe has female children and the victim has a seven-year-old child when the alleged incident."
"Was" a seven-year-old child.
"My issues are that we are proposing to tell this woman that we have concerns after not doing it for possibly up to eight years and also I am not confident about sending the Barr letter to an address that may be out of date. I attach my draft Barr letter."

All right?
A. Mm-hmm.

520 Q. And if you just turn over to page 1202, that is the draft Barr letter?
A. Yeah.

521 Q. So it would appear that you had seen the draft Barr letter prior to discussing the matter with Kay McLoughlin?
A. That is not my recollection of it. I know that we talked about draft Barr -- or about the Barr letters
because it was a template format that was used at the back of the section 3 policy.
522 Q. Well, if you just go back to page 1200, you will see that "7etter prepared" is in the past tense --
A. Yeah.

523 Q. -- which would indicate that the letter had already been prepared by Kay McLoughlin?
A. I don't know. I can't -- maybe -- unless -- the only reason why I would have wrote that might have been, unless it was a mistake or else Kay had mentioned that 17:15 she was preparing a letter. I can't remember.
524 Q. But in any event at page 1202 --
A. Yeah.

525 Q. -- you will see the draft Barr letter there:
"The allegations made are as follows: That on one occasion between 1998 and 1999 at the home of Maurice McCabe, Ms. D alleged that Maurice McCabe sexually abused her. The abuse allegedly involved digital penetration and the victim was aged six to seven years 17:15 old. It is reported that this allegation was investigated by An Garda síochána some years later. A file was sent to the DPP, who directed that no prosecution take place."
A. Yes.

526 Q. Do you see that?
A. I see that, yes.

527 Q. I mean, if you read the letter surely this would leap out at you as being a wrong allegation?
A. Sure, but I think -- if you want to move on, you know, into my response to that, the email that would have come, that was sent to Gerry and myself, I outlined steps that I felt should happen before --
528 Q. Are you saying you didn't read this letter?
A. I open -- it was an attachment and I remember opening the attachment, I remember scanning down through it. Now, I don't remember going into the -- because it was a draft letter, it's not that $I$ wasn't concerned about it, I was concerned, but I was -- I wanted the five steps or those steps to be outlined. The first one was to contact the alleged victim, first of all, and determine whether we need to interview anybody else to review that information, and on the basis of that, therefore, the content of the letter could change, SO --

529 Q. We11, you see, you were sort of in a unique position here in relation to this because, in 2014, in May, you were aware that an error had been made and you were aware that there had been an allegation sent in the notification of a rape offence when it wasn't that, and you had signed off on the correction, isn't that right?
A. That's right.

530 Q. And you knew that this was Sergeant Maurice McCabe, a person in the media spotlight, and here it is leaping out that here is an allegation of a rape offence. This is the incorrect information. Did you just not notice it?
A. I suppose, I mean, when I did open the attachment, I
was cognisant of the section 3 policy, and I was cognisant of the template letter that was at the back of it, that would be sent to the -- to the alleged abuser, and I suppose I was checking to see whether Kay had followed that particular formula. Now, it's not that I am saying that I wasn't that concerned about the content. I wanted her to, first of all, check with the -- with the alleged victim and I suppose, raise any discrepancies so that in the future when the steps were taken sequentially, that the letter could be different, 17:18 actually, that the information might even be different.
531 Q. If you just go to page 1204, then, please.
A. Yes.

532 Q. This is your reply to Ms. McLough1in's email. We see, this is the 8th May 2015 at quarter to ten in the morning.
"Dear Kay
we discussed this case yesterday."

Was this a discussion -- are you referring to a discussion you had with Kay McLough1in or is it a discussion you had with Mr. Lowry?
A. No, it was at the blitz day, which was the previous day, that we had discussed the case.
533 Q. Had you discussed the matter with Mr. Lowry?
A. I think I had, yeah. Now, I have no record of it written down, but I think I was in contact with him. I did the response, even though it was sent to both of
us.
534 Q. So what is then in place at that time in 2015, are the guidelines that were published in September --
A. 2014 .

535 Q. -- of 2014?
A. Yeah, that's correct.

536 Q. And you set out there, number one:
"We will contact the alleged victim as there is some discrepancy in the allegations forwarded to us."

What is that a reference to?
A. To both of the Garda notifications that would have been sent.
537 Q. "This will allow us to check the reliability and accuracy of the allegations and determine whether there is a foundation to the allegations." So that is the first step that has to be undertaken?
A. Yeah.

538 Q. "2. Determine whether we need to interview anyone else 17:20 who may be of relevance, e.g. the counsellor."

You were aware of the fact and you had recalled that there was a counsellor --
A. Yes.

539 Q. -- and that she may be spoken to. And then: "3. on review of the above, inform the alleged abuser of the allegations."

So that was conditional on the first two steps being undertaken.
A. And that's where the draft letter may come in, at that point, depending on the information we would have got.
540 Q. "4. Plan the action to be taken to inform third parties in relation to the allegation, e.g. his wife.
5. Determine protective action and plan for the case."

Again, all those conditional on the first two steps being undertaken by Kay McLough1in?
A. That's correct.

541 Q. Was there any follow-up with Kay McLoughlin?
A. I know Kay did send me an email sometime in May or June after that, just saying that she had been -- had tried 17:21 to contact or had made contact with Ms. D. And I know from, I suppose, and it was sort of informal contact, that she had told me that she was going to wait until after she had done exams, she was doing exams over that summer so she was going to wait and give her some time 17:21 to get the exams out of the way and contact her again. And then that moved into, you know, I suppose, August/September time. I don't -- I really had no more contact with Kay, I suppose, in relation after that. Kay took over the case when she became principal then in January 2016.
542 Q. You know that the letter contained incorrect information --
A. Yeah.

543 Q. -- and it was sent to Sergeant McCabe on 29th of December of 2015?
A. Yes.

544 Q. Can you offer us any excuse for the failure to spot that there was incorrect information in the letter?
A. No. On7y the busyness of the office and the pressure to get work done and maybe pressure to get work finished off. And see, the date on the 29th, I don't know why -- maybe to get it done within that particular year, and the fact that there was probably two -- o the 17:22 amended notification and the sort of spurious one on the file, you know, may have led her to pick up the wrong one at that particular time. I can't offer an explanation.
During 2014 and 2015, indeed, you were still involved in chairing Garda liaison on meetings, is that right?
A. No. 2014 and 2015 -- well, 2014/15, I was involved in -- yeah, $I$ was involved in some Garda liaison meetings. Not that many. It was more sort of strategy meetings.
546 Q. Why wasn't -- the Gardaí having been notified in May of 2014, why was it that this wasn't placed on the agenda, the Sergeant McCabe file placed on the agenda for any meetings?
A. I don't know. I do remember having one Garda liaison meeting and it was in June 2015, but it was with a different district. Very often it would be the social work team leader, it wouldn't be the principal that would be involved in those Garda liaison meetings.

547 Q. This was in fact immediately after the error had occurred. Would you not have thought of bringing it up at the meeting?
A. It was a different district, so it wasn't --

548 Q. It was a different district. And you had no further 17:24 dealings then in relation to the file, is that right?
A. No, not after --

549 Q. And what supervisory role did you have over Kay McLough1in at that time?
A. Kay at that stage, up until, you know, the end of December 2015, was a social work team leader. I was principal. We would have met for supervision; we would have some formal supervision, we would have informal supervision as well.
550 Q. Had you any contacts with members of An Garda Síochána 17:24 who might have influenced you in any way in your dealings with the file?
A. No, not --

MR. MARRINAN: Thank you very much.
A. Thank you.

## MR. DEENEY WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCGARRY:

551 Q. MR. MCGARRY: Mr. Deeney, Paul McGarry is my name and I am one of the barristers representing Sergeant McCabe. Can you look at page 2933, please?
A. 2933. Do you know what volume that is in?

552 Q. It's going to come up on the screen there.
CHAIRMAN: 293 is in volume 1, Mr. Deeney.
MR. MCGARRY: I think it's probably in volume 11.

Sorry, 10, volume 10. 2933.
CHAIRMAN: Not 293, 2933?
MR. MCGARRY: Yes, Judge.
553 Q. Do you see that? Do you see that, 2933?
A. It's actually missing in this one but $I$ can see it here. Seamus sent it to me, yeah.
554 Q. Yeah. At the bottom of the page is the email that Mr. Marrinan asked you a few moments ago from Eileen Argue.
CHAIRMAN: Is it? Sorry, am I on the wrong page? 17:26
A. Sorry --

MR. MCGARRY: It's also on -- it's 2933 in mine.
CHAIRMAN: Would you just mind reading it out?
555 Q. MR. MCGARRY: Yes. At the bottom of the page you will find, you will find the email of 14th of May, 2014 from 17:27 Eileen Argue to Gerry Lowry, and then that is copied to you and Louise Carolan. And Mr. Marrinan read that out earlier on. And then at the top of the page, there is a response from Mr. Lowry to you, do you see that?
A. Yeah.

556 Q. The response that says, even though the email from Eileen Argue to Mr. Lowry and copied to you is dated 14th of May, nothing else seems to happen until the 19th August of 2014 when Mr. Lowry sends you an email effectively in response to this - saying 'Dear Séamus, 17:27 this should not have been sent to me.' Do you see that?
A. Yes.

557 Q. Did you know what Mr. Lowry was on about when he said
'Don't be sending this to me'?
A. No, not really.

558 Q. Okay. His evidence was that, really -- really this was a matter for you and not a matter for him. And that, in terms of the hierarchical structure, it really should be sent to you and you should be dealing with it and it shouldn't be going to him. Would that be a normal reaction when something gets sent to him by mistake?
A. Sometimes there is -- there is, I suppose, overlap in roles and there is overlapping in cases and the management of cases, and when you are covering two counties and there is a busy, I suppose a busy office, very often people sometimes go above whatever chain of command, whether it be the social work team leader or the principal, and go straight to the area manager. On occasions, you know, we do try to make sure that everything is done properly, that you go through the proper chain of command and every now and then we will be reminded that it shouldn't have gone to him, it 17:29 should have come to me first. So I think that is what that is really about.

559 Q. Did you discuss that with him at any stage, having seen that?
A. I can't -- I can't remember -- we would -- I mean, obviously in a supervision or at management team meetings we would discuss management structures.

560 Q. You didn't apologise to him --
A. I don't particularly recall discussing that, no.

561 Q. Can you then go forward to 1198 --
CHAIRMAN: Are you going backwards now?
MR. MCGARRY: This is the amended notification.
CHAIRMAN: Could we not use the numbers that we have been using up to the present time, no?

MR. MCGARRY: I am sorry, chairman.
CHAIRMAN: We are flying around volumes. I shouldn't -- I am not criticising you, Mr. McGarry, we have organised --
A. I can see it on the screen here.

17:30
562 Q. MR. MCGARRY: I will stay within volume 4 for the rest of my questions.
A. This screen isn't that clear, it's quite blurry.

CHAIRMAN: Everything we have done so far is volume 4. MR. MCGARRY: Yeah, and we will stick with volume 4 if that is okay.

CHAIRMAN: Yes, maybe it is better to stick with volume 4.

MR. MCGARRY: Sorry, it's late in the day.
CHAIRMAN: Don't worry about it.
563 Q. MR. MCGARRY: Do you see that, 1198?
A. Yes, amended notification.

564 Q. This is the -- I think you said in your evidence that your function in signing off on that was simply to check whether it was in fact -- or the details gave rise to abuse of some kind, whether sexual or physical, would that be a fair summary of what your evidence was?
A. Well, the criterion is that if we suspect that a child is being physically or sexually abused or willfully
Q. are signing it at all?
A. I think the real -- one of the primary reasons is because we had sent wrong information and I wanted to 17:31 amend that and send it back to them to let them know that it was different information.

566 Q. No, but you have signed the previous notification as well, the wrong notification.
A. With information that led me to believe that a child may have been sexually abused.

567 Q. Yes. You are signing as the designated officer --
A. Yeah.

568 Q. -- the notification to the Gardaí. We will come back to the issue about the fact that this is an amended version later. But it's your function, I think, to sign the notification to the Gardaí, in all circumstances, isn't that right?
A. Yeah.

569 Q. Yes. And I think you said that -- but your function in 17:32 doing that was not to concern yourself with the merits or otherwise of it, but just to check as a matter of fact whether it related to abuse, whether physical or sexual, as opposed to something which might not warrant a notification?
A. I would have felt that the information I received on the first, even though it was the wrong information, warranted a Garda notification.

570 Q. okay.

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I really don't -- how do you mean? How can wrong information warrant a Garda notification? If someone notifies you incorrectly that somebody is keeping a child in a cage as opposed to having a terrible temper and flying into a rage and throwing, 17:32 let's say, crockery around the room, how can the fact that they tell you in the wrong in relation to somebody else that they are keeping a child in a cage, warrant a Garda notification? I just don't understand.
A. Sorry, the information that I had at the time, I didn't 17:33 know it was wrong information when the first notification came to me.
CHAIRMAN: But, sorry, how could you not know that?
A. Because the amended one hadn't come in at that stage. CHAIRMAN: No --
A. The information hadn't come in at that stage.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Deeney, you keep coming back to the notion -- I am sorry, I don't mean to brow beat you or anything like that, but you keep coming back to the notion that, look, there were two Garda notifications.
There weren't. There was one Garda notification. There was one withdrawn and then the correct one went. It wasn't all that correct now, mind you, because it's serious enough to say about Sergeant McCabe, which was being said to the Gardaí, that he threatened somebody with unspecified violence if the alleged victim told, but I can't understand why you are saying there's two notifications. There aren't. There's one.
A. There was one with wrong information sent first of a11,
and then the amended one was sent.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. But the first one is wrong, isn't it? I mean, if the first one says that someone was keeping a child in the cage and the second one says no, this person has a really bad temper and the children are terrified, there is a big difference.
A. Yeah, and what is the question, sorry?

CHAIRMAN: The question is: why do you keep referring to two Garda notifications? There aren't two Garda notifications.
A. There were physically two Garda notifications sent. CHAIRMAN: Well, yes, but one withdraws the first. so if you put a card down on the table, let's say it's an ace and you say 'oh sorry, that is the wrong card I am taking it up' and you put down the queen of diamonds, or whatever, there aren't two cards on the table.
A. But if the person picks up the card already and then plays a different card it's different.
CHAIRMAN: I think maybe the card playing analogy isn't working very well. I am sorry, Mr. McGarry, please carry on.

MR. MCGARRY: Sorry, sir.
571 Q. I am just trying to be clear, Mr. Deeney, the notification is signed by you, the first notification, the one that was withdrawn, or supposed to be withdrawn, is at is 1181, you signed that too. This is the one that Eileen Argue's name is on. So I am just trying to be clear as to what is the purpose of you signing that as the designated officer?
A. To notify the Guards.

572 Q. No. But why are you signing it as opposed to just letting the principal social worker sign it?
A. I am the principal social worker.

573 Q. Or the designated social worker, I should say. The designated social worker is Eileen Argue --
A. No, sorry, it is a designated officer that signs it and the name -- Eileen Argue is the designated social worker and the designated officer.

574 Q. But, so you are signing it in a supervisory capacity, 17:36 is that correct?
A. Yeah.

575 Q. What do you do before you sign it? Do you read through it carefully?
A. I read -- yeah, I read it and I check, you know, for -- 17:36 I don't go into every single case. I check that it fulfils the criteria, that the person suspects that a child is being, you know, physically abused, sexually abused or willfully neglected.
576 Q. When you say you don't look at every single case, would 17:36 you sign every single document even though you might not look at each of the contents?
A. I sign as the designated officer, yes.

577 Q. So you sign all of these forms when they are presented to you --
A. I sign the Garda notification forms, yes.

578 Q. -- without necessarily checking or reading through them?
A. We11, I suppose, I -- no more than supervising cases, I
expect that the professionally qualified social worker and the social work team leader --
579 Q. Sure.
A. -- has fulfilled the criteria and know what the criteria are prior to coming to me.
CHAIRMAN: But why are you signing it at all?
A. Because that's -- that's the standard and that is the protocol within children First and within the Garda notification protocols.
CHAIRMAN: But somebody has to have thought that that 17:37 was a good idea for a particular reason; what do you think it was?
A. I think it's because it initiates that point of contact with the Guards. Even though there may be informal contact, but as a formal point of contact.

580 Q. MR. MCGARRY: But if you are in fact performing no real function is it simply a box-ticking exercise that the person who is at the head of the --
A. Sometimes it can be, yeah.

581 Q. -- of the organisation is seen to have his signature on 17:37 the document?
A. Sometimes it can be.

582 Q. But it does it mean then that you are taking responsibility for the content of the document?
A. No, it doesn't -- well, I mean -- as I said, the criterion is if you suspect -- expecting the social workers to know what suspicion is, and the social work team leader.

583 Q. You have told us that, Mr. Deeney, but you have also, I
think, admitted that there are circumstances in which you don't actually read the contents before you sign them?
A. Sometimes -- sometimes social workers that may be recently qualified may refer on emotional abuse and they might tick "emotional abuse" here, but we don't notify the Guards of emotional abuse.
584 Q. Sure. So the decision by you as to whether you would look in detail at the content is dependent upon whether it's qualified or very qualified or somebody you can 17:38 trust?
A. Or sometimes it might be the wrong -- I mean, it could have been cut and pasted from something else or they might have name -- they say, a child told me that something happened, and I might send it back saying, 17:38 you need to have the name of somebody there, it needs to be -- there needs to be a name there.
585 Q. Surely if the distinction is only between emotional abuse and sexual or physical abuse anyone can do that.
A. Sorry?

586 Q. Surely if the only thing that had to be decided was, is this the distinction between emotional abuse on the one hand or physical/sexual abuse on the other hand, that is something that anybody could do?
A. We11, I am not sure about that.

587 Q. Okay. Can I ask you then to go forward to, in the same book, to 1200. These are your notes, I think, of the -- you described it as the blitz meeting?
A. Yeah, that's correct.

588 Q. With Ms. McLough1in. And just, I am just looking at the document and I will just -- if you look at the very top firstly, you see "Case Prioritisation Rating", that is left blank.
CHAIRMAN: What is left blank, Mr. McGarry?
MR. MCGARRY: Do you see just up at the top in the box, if you go down "Name, address, children, ages, date of 7ast referra7."
A. Date of referral.

589 Q. And then you see just on the right of "Date of 7ast referral", "case prioritisation" --
A. Yeah, sure.

590 Q. -- so that is left blank. And if you go down to the bottom of the document, just above your signature, your signature -- the same page, thank you, do you see there, even though it's "Kay McLough7in" but it's your signature above Kay McLoughlin's name, again you will see "MTP priority", again blank, does that suggest that this wasn't something that was receiving any priority?
A. No, it doesn't. It suggests that those boxes were usually not filled in at all. In fact, all are retrospective at that stage, $I$ know that September -sorry, in February 2015, that all -- because there was a review of unallocated cases done, now, and they left out all the retrospective cases, but they asked us to count them and I know that they were all mediums at that stage.

591 Q. You knew, though, that there had been an incorrect notification originally sent, isn't that right?
A. Yeah.

592 Q. So you had the file with you presumably?
A. No, I didn't have the file with me.

593 Q. We11, the blitz meeting you weren't looking through the file, is that right?
A. The files were brought into the room and somebody would have summarised them. As I say, my memory of that was that Kay would have summarised what was going on in the file and I would have given direction. Now Kay probably had these forms pointed out and that is why her name is on the bottom. I would have maybe -- I would have given direction, so $I$ would have signed that even though that is probably a form that Kay would have had printed out herself.

594 Q. Yeah, but obviously there is a concern there that, I suggest, that you and Ms. McLough1in had about the reliability of the accuracy of the allegations, because you have actually gone to the trouble of writing that down.
A. Yeah.

595 Q. So there was a concern, so why was there a concern about the reliability and accuracy of the allegations?
A. As I say, because it was still on the file because there was the information came from Laura Brophy and the amended one, there was still two amended notifications on the file -- there was still two, sorry, Garda notifications on the file.

596 Q. But again, I am confused about how there could be two Garda notifications on the file in circumstances where
the second one was effectively supposed to replace the first one, and you knew that the first one had contained false information?
A. I knew that it was -- it wasn't the right information, but I just wanted to be clear and correct and I suppose, I was cognisant, as I say, of the Section 3 policy which had come in.
597 Q. But is the reference to reliability and accuracy there, a reference to your confusion between which of the two notifications contained the correct information?
A. I think it's a reflection of just getting the correct information.
598 Q. And then it says, I think: "Renew information. Letter prepared for Maurice McCabe". Isn't that right?
A. Yes, I see it says "prepared".

599 Q. The letter was already prepared, isn't that right?
A. I don't remember any letter being prepared or being talked about, actually. I don't know why I put it in the past tense.
600 Q. The date of that --
A. Or, sorry, the present tense.

601 Q. You see the date of that signature and the date of that meeting seems to be 7th of May 2015, is that right?
A. Yes.

602 Q. If you just go over the page to 1201, this is an email that Kay McLoughlin sends on the same day, 7th may 2015?
A. Yes. My recollection was that, I certainly remember that that blitz meeting happened in the morning time
and that this email came after that.
603 Q. If you look at the content of that email, it's an email from Kay McLoughlin to you and to Gerry Lowry, isn't that right?
A. Yeah.
Q. And it's telling you something. It says:
"I, along with Gail, have been reviewing files of the MTP today. One relates to Maurice MCCabe, and I would like to discuss this case with you both before taking any further action." But what I am struggling to understand is, if you had been having a discussion that morning with Ms. McLoughlin about the Maurice McCabe case and you have taken down a detailed note of the management decision in relation to it, why is Ms. McLoughlin asking you, the same day -- telling you that she has been doing this with Gail and asking you the same day to discuss it with her?
A. I think she has just left out me as well, you know. I was there.
605 Q. We11, she seems pretty clear, I am suggesting to you?
A. I don't think she just meant herself and Gail.

606 Q. I am suggesting to you that it reads very much as though she is telling you about something that you didn't really know about at that stage?
A. No, I was at the meeting, I was there. That is why I gave the direction.
607 Q. Yeah, I mean, there is information contained in that email which bears no relationship to what has been
discussed and noted on your record of the meeting, which is the previous page. The reason for the meeting, for example, she says: "This has come back in again due to media coverage of Mr. McCabe." But your evidence is that this was something that was part of managing the backlog?
A. It is, and it was.

608
Q.

Well, why is Ms. McLoughlin telling you the same day that this file is in again because of media coverage?
A. That is not my understanding, that this -- that this came in because of media coverage.
609 Q. Is it possible that Ms. McLoughlin discussed all of this during the course of the meeting that morning and you didn't write it down?
A. It's possible that there was a lot of discussion and not every single thing is written down.
610 Q. Again, the next -- after that line where she talks about -- she says:
"The outstanding actions are that Mr. McCabe be written 17:46 to outlining the allegations and he be met and afforded an opportunity to respond."

Again, that is not consistent with your record of the management decision, isn't that right?
A. Well, it is, if you go back -- if you look at the points that I outlined to her.
611 Q. We11, the first thing that is in the management decision is "Contact Ms. D." The second thing is:
"Renew information." The third thing is: "Letter prepared for Maurice McCabe."
She is suggesting on the same day that the only outstanding action, or the outstanding actions in the first instance, are that Mr. McCabe be written to. There is no reference about getting back to Ms. D or finding out whether the information is accurate.
A. I think she is looking for advice in relation to the case, but certainly, I was there in the morning time, I would have put that on the waiting list review form on 17:47 the front of Ms. D's file.
612 Q. And then she has attached the draft Barr letter. This is the same day now as you have had the blitz meeting in the morning. Did you read the draft Barr letter?
A. I remember opening it. I don't know whether I went 17:47 into all the specifics of it. As I said, I wanted those steps to be carried out.
613 Q. But if you opened it, I suggest to you, you couldn't but have noticed that it contained the rape allegation?
A. I disagree.

614 Q. We11, it is --
A. Because I suppose I was cognisant of following the protocol that was in -- as I say, in the appendices at the back of the Section 3 policy.
CHAIRMAN: If we just open 1202, if you wouldn't mind 17:48 just turning to that.
MR. MCGARRY: 1202. It's the draft Barr letter attached to Ms. McLoughlin's --
A. I have it here.

CHAIRMAN: But, I mean, if you look at the first paragraph, "from a child protection social worker," and that has to be standard. "The CFA has responsibility," and that is section 3. And then "The allegations made by Ms. D are as follows." And there you have it. And 17:48 then the next paragraph is standard as well, "to discuss the allegations". And then the next is standard: "Supporting person, it may be necessary to carry out" -- that has to be standard -- "will provide you with an outcome of Social work Department," et cetera. The only thing of difference between that and the letter actually sent to arrive early 2016 is that there is an appointment on the one actually sent, appointment suggested date.
A. Sure.

615 Q. MR. MCGARRY: The only thing you really need to look at, even if you are doing what you did with regard to the notifications, and looking at some of them and not looking at others because you trust the drafter has done the job properly, even if you only look at one thing, there is only one thing that you really need to look at and that is the description of the abuse.
A. No, I disagree. There was lots of things to look at. One was the template and the formation and how that is going to be -- and the other fact is, you know, when those steps were carried out, the content could have changed.

616 Q. But these letters are more or less of a type. They contain certain minimum information, they contain
certain minimum statements?
A. These letters would have been very new to us at this time because the Section 3 policy was only after coming out.

617 Q. I am suggesting to you that even if they were new and even if they were different in some respects, the one crucial piece of information that is contained in them is the description of the abuse?
A. I didn't -- I think I scanned it and I missed out that part of -- involving digital penetration.

CHAIRMAN: I have got the point, Mr. McGarry, at this point, I hope.

MR. MCGARRY: Certainly.
618 Q. The 1204 contains your email of the following day to Ms. McLough1in?
A. Yes.

619 Q. And again, it looks as though, I suggest to you, you are, in essence, contradicting what Ms. McLoughlin has said to in her email to you and Gerry Lowry the previous day because this is a response to her email, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

620 Q. And you saying: "Dear Kay, we discussed this case yesterday." So again, confirmation that you had had the meeting with her before she sent you the email.
A. At the blitz day, yes.

621 Q. And rather than agreeing with what she suggests in her email as the outstanding actions are Mr. McCabe be written to, you actually say -- you go back to, at
least in part what is recorded in the management decision: "First, contact the alleged victim. Second, determine whether there is any need to interview anyone else. Then, on review, inform the alleged abuser."
A. Yes, I am going back to the protocol in the Section 3 protocol.
622 Q. So is that you saying to Kay McLough7in, look, you are wrong about all of that, we discussed this --
A. That is me giving her supervision -- giving her supervision on how to proceed in the case. And Kay is 17:51 writing to Gerry and myself looking for supervision in it.
623 Q. And again, there is a reference, a very specific reference from you to "discrepancy in the allegations". what is that a reference to?
A. That was the fact that there was two different Garda notifications, two different bits of information.
624 Q. So, in your mind at this stage, even though you have already been told the previous year and signed off on the amended notification, so in your mind there is still two different allegations, is that right?
A. It is sometime later from when I signed them off. There are still two Garda notifications in the file, and I wanted to be clear to get back to the victim, that is -- that is the protocol, is that whenever we receive a report, the most important thing is to validate that report, is to give back to the alleged victim and check the reliability and accuracy of the allegations.

625 Q. So when I asked you that question a few moments ago about the previous checking the reliability and accuracy, that's -- that is the same as checking the -sorry, that is done because of a perceived discrepancy on your part, and the discrepancy on your mind is as 17:52 between the two different allegations?
A. There is confusion around exactly what is the allegation.
626 Q. But I have to suggest to you that if you look at the file and you look at the emails that have come in from 17:52 Rian, it's obvious that there is no discrepancy. The first notification contained false information, and that was provided by your service?
CHAIRMAN: I actually do think I have got that point, Mr. McGarry. Will we pull up stumps now and go into 17:53 tomorrow?
MR. MCGARRY: I am finished.
CHAIRMAN: I don't mean to be looking at my watch to check if it's still working. I believe it does work all the time. But is there any other point you want to 17:53 make?

MR. MCGARRY: I am done.
CHAIRMAN: I am happy, if it takes another ten or fifteen minutes, to stay. Is there another point?
MR. MCGARRY: Are you proposing to sit at 12:00 or 12:30 tomorrow?
CHAIRMAN: I don't know what I am proposing to do. I am going to be told by those in charge of me what to do. I am sorry, Mr. McGarry, you had another question?

MR. MCGARRY: I am conscious of the fact that
Mr. Dignam and Mr. O'Higgins might have some questions.
MR. DIGNAM: At the moment, we have no questions, Judge, subject to whatever Mr. McGarry might ask in the next bundle of questions that will be asked, but at the 17:54 moment we have no questions.

CHAIRMAN: That's not a statement made in terrorem.
MR. MCGARRY: We11, I am reluctant to ask everybody to come back for --

CHAIRMAN: No, no, please do. If there is another 17:54 point you have to make, please make it.

627 Q. MR. MCGARRY: I just want to ask you, Mr. Deeney, about -- you said that you were aware of the prominence, certainly in 2014, of Sergeant McCabe?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

628 Q. I am suggesting to you you can't but have been aware of the significance of all of this, both in 2014 when you are supervising, your words, the submission of the two notifications, and again in 2015 when it comes back for discussion?
A. Yes.

629 Q. In fact, Ms. McLough1in tells you about the media coverage in 2015 when it comes back for discussion?
A. Mm-hmm.

630 Q. So I am suggesting to you that it's extraordinary that 17:54 you are not aware and you are not -- and you are not scanning the information in order to be clear as to what the correct allegation is?
A. I think in my mind that is exactly the reason why $I$ say
it, to be very clear about what the reported allegation is, to get back to the alleged victim and check the reliability and accuracy of it.
MR. MCGARRY: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN: Okay. There is no questions?
Mr. McDermott?
MR. MCDERMOTT: No questions.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Marrinan, do you have anything else?
MR. MARRINAN: No, there is nothing else, sir. And slightly ahead of schedule, that is the last witness that we have in relation to this term of reference. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Deeney, you might like to sit down. Thank you.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

CHAIRMAN: Can we give any indication, and I understand, just before we break up on Day 19, I understand George's Hall is required and also we have other things to prepare and our investigators are meeting with people, certainly they were yesterday, in relation to what is coming up, but is there any indicative as to when we will take up the next section of our work and whether there is any gaps between now and Christmas?
MR. MARRINAN: The intention is to proceed with the next term of reference in relation to Keith Harrison on 18th of September, which is the Monday. I understand there may be a short break because we don't have this
hall available to us for some four days on the 16th of October to the 20th of October. Otherwise -CHAIRMAN: Well, then, that week is down. There is no point in sitting for one day in that week. So that means we are not sitting Saturday 14th to -- my maths 17:56 isn't great, add on six days -- the 20th October. MR. MARRINAN: Monday 23rd. We11, I am told by Mr. McGuinness. Blame him.
CHAIRMAN: So would you give me the dates again, please? If Mr. McGuinness wants to tell me, if he has 17:57 a better -- it's my problem, Mr. Marrinan. If you just say, I will listen.
MR. MARRINAN: 18th of September.
CHAIRMAN: And then the break is from when to when, when the hall is being used for the other conferences? 17:57 MR. MARRINAN: Monday to Friday of that week, 16th to 20th. So we will resume on the 23rd of October.
CHAIRMAN: Okay. And we will not be sitting, therefore, that entire week?
MR. MARRINAN: That entire week.
CHAIRMAN: I think everybody understands that. And as much information as is possible will be put on the website as soon as possible, and I thank everybody for their help.

THE HEARING WAS THEN ADJOURNED TO MONDAY, 18TH SEPTEMBER 2017.
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