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MR. MCGUINNESS: Sir, as you will know, Inspector Sheridan is in the witness-box and is now available for 10:03 cross-examination.

INSPECTOR GORETTI SHERIDAN, PREVIOUSLY SWORN, WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. HARTNETT:
MR. HARTNETT: Inspector Goretti, I have some questions for you and just a preliminary matter. When you were tasked with investigating this matter, did you have any particular attitude towards Garda Harrison or did any of your colleagues, would you say?
A. From what perspective?

2 Q. Well, from the perspective of approving or disapproving.
A. Sorry, approving or disapproving of what?

3 Q. Were you approving or disapproving of Garda Harrison?
A. Oh, I didn't know Garda Harrison.

4 Q. You had heard nothing about him?
A. Pardon?

5 Q. You've heard nothing about him?
A. The only dealings $I$ had with Garda Harrison, as I outlined in my direct evidence, was in May 2013 when he 10:04 was involved in a road traffic collision and on that occasion I didn't even speak with him. I just arranged for, as I said, for a few inquiries for GSOC.
6 Q. Were you aware as to whether any of your colleagues,
superior colleagues or colleagues of your own rank, had an attitude towards him?
A. Are you talking -- is this before my initial dealings with him?
7 Q. In or about the time you were tasked to become involved in this.
A. Well, what $I$ heard, my initial -- my initial information in relation to Keith Harrison was in relation to the emails from -- the report from Garda Mahon and the report from Sergeant Collins. And subsequent to that then I spoke to Chief Superintendent McGinn in Letterkenny Garda Station on the 2nd of October and as a result of that I went out to speak to Marisa's mother, Rita, and at that point it was raised -- or high1ighted to me by -- I had seen the report from Garda Mahon, I had seen the report from Sergeant Collins, and it was raised -- or Chief Superintendent McGinn had informed me then in relation to previous complaints made by Rita McDermott to Sergeant David Durkin in Donegal Town Garda Station. well now, $I$ am going to come back to my question, which was: Were you aware as to any of your superiors or any of your colleagues had a particular attitude to Garda Harrison, maybe an attitude of disapproval?
A. No, I don't believe -- as I say, the information that was contained in the reports and the information come from Chief Superintendent McGinn, that was as much information as I had in relation to Garda Harrison.

9 Q. The reason I ask you that is, that you sent a text on
the 7th of October 2013 at 12:18am.
A. Yeah, that's correct.

10 Q. And that was immediately after taking the statement?
A. That's correct, yes.

11 Q. And that was forwarded to inspector, now superintendent, David Kelly?
A. That was forwarded to -- that is an informal email, and obviously sitting in the cold light of day it doesn't read -- you know, it looks very informa1. And I worked at that stage, I was working with Michael Harrison and David Kelly, they are the same rank as me, and Michae1 had been working that day and I sent that email and obviously sitting here it doesn't -- it is as it is, it's very informal.
12 Q. I haven't asked you about that.
A. Pardon?

13 Q. You are somewhat jumping the gun.
A. Well, I wrote the email, it was quarter past 12 in the morning and I was after been talking to Marisa for over eight hours that day and I suppose it's a figure of speech and obviously --

14 Q. What is a figure of speech?
A. That he is a mental case.

15 Q. That is not what I am asking you about.
A. Oh --

16 Q. So I am going to go back to my question and if you'd listen to my questions and just answer the specific question that I ask. Did you forward that email to Inspector David Kelly?
A. Yes, I did.

17 Q. What was his ranking at the time, was he effective --
A. He was Inspector David Kelly.
A. He was Inspector David Kelly.

19 Q. Was he holding a particular role at the time because there was no superintendent?
A. He could have been acting district officer in Letterkenny, either himself or -- I think inspector -either himself or Inspector Harrison would have been 10:08 acting district officer in Letterkenny. But I sent that email as they are two colleagues, they are two -they are two friends, for want of a better word, in the organisation.
We11, you also sent it to Inspector Michael Harrison and Sergeant Peter Duffy?
A. That's correct, yes.

21 Q. I see. And that, you saw fit to send at quarter past 12 at night?
A. That's correct, yes.

22 Q. And the first thing, you say that you had taken the statement from Marisa Simms after over eight hours and then you have four or five exclamation marks?
A. That's correct, yes.

23 Q. why?
A. That Marisa Simms was in the station for eight hours and 35 minutes making a statement.

24 Q. No. An exclamation mark has a particular function and we all know what it is. why did you put -- if you
listen to my question -- why did you put five exclamation marks after the reference to eight hours?
A. Well, when Marisa simms was coming in to the Garda station, I wasn't expecting to hear as much information as I did hear from Marisa Simms and I suppose that is just, it's -- I suppose, it is what it is, it's an exclamation mark. You know, that, she was here that long.
Q. We know it is what it is.
A. Yes.

26 Q. We know it is what it is. That means nothing. why did you see fit to make exclamation marks after the expression "eight hours"?
A. Well, it was, I suppose, the fact that she came in and I initially thought she was coming in to report this incident about the threats on the 28th September and all of a sudden we have a whole -- like, I suppose, I have gone through the statement, 31 events, some of which are criminal, detailed in that statement, and that is why I have exclamation marks.
27 Q. Well, isn't it the fact that you put in these marks because eight hours is a totally exceptional and extraordinary length of time for the taking of a statement in what I submit to you is a simple matter?
A. As I said, when we commenced the statement or commenced talking to Marisa, it was my belief certainly that we were meeting to talk about the threats made to Marisa in front of her children on 28th September 2013. As we talked, and as is often the case with victims of crime
or domestic violence, the floodgate opened and Marisa started talking about other things. And it did take eight hours and 35 minutes and I would say that is not an excessive length of time.
So, would you have regularly taken statements that lasted eight hours, interviews and statements?
A. Judge, if you are taking statements from, let's say, a victim of a rape and it's one incident that they are detailing, I am aware of an incident that I was overseeing in Letterkenny and it take eleven hours to take that one statement in relation to one incident. This is 31 events that are outlined in the statement and it did take eight hours and 35 minutes.
29 Q. I asked you, would you regularly have taken statements --
A. Yes.
Q. -- where the interview lasted for eight hours?
A. Yes. Well, with regard to victims, mostly victims of sexual assaults, rapes and domestic violence, yes.
Q. So in fact, there is no need for an exclamation mark because this is quite a common thing, is that right?
A. No, it's because I hadn't expected to spend that long with Marisa Simms.
32 Q. I see. And then we will go on to the next expression. You referred to him as "your man", your man. Now, is it your habit to refer to suspected persons or a person the subject of a police inquiry as "your man"?
A. This was not -- this was not a formal report or email. It was an informal email sent from me to two of my
colleagues that work with me, that share an office with me in Letterkenny Garda Station and I did refer to him as "your man" and again it's colloquial. It's not what I asked you. Is it your habit to refer to suspected persons or persons the subject of a police 10:12 interview as "your man"?
A. If I was writing a formal report or compiling a statement of evidence $I$ wouldn't refer to a suspect offender as "your man", but as a figure of speech,
again that I did use.
A. I did refer to Garda Harrison as "your man", yes.
Q. Yes. Is it respectful?
A. Well, it's an informal email. It's not a formal email.
Q. No, no, that is not what I asked you.
A. I certainly wouldn't quote "your man" in an email if it was a formal email being forwarded up the line.
Q. Because it's disrespectful?
A. Well, I wouldn't say it's disrespectful, it's a figure of speech and I would say "your man" or "your woman". Why wouldn't you use it in a formal communication?
A. Well, it's colloquial language, it's slang, it's just a figure of speech that I would use.
39 Q. I see. But anyway, even though this wasn't a formal communication you saw fit to communicate informally 12:15am, why?
A. Because that was Sunday night/Monday morning, the 7th of October 2013, and I was leaving the statement of
evidence out for Sergeant Peter Duffy, I was not working on Monday 8th of -- Monday, 7th of October, so I was leaving the statement of evidence for him because I was off.

40 Q.
Why didn't you make a formal communication rather than an informal "your man"-style communication?
A. Well, it is what it is. That is the email that I sent.

41 Q. It is what it is?
A. Well, I can't change it, unfortunately. And it is an informal email that $I$ forwarded to two colleagues.

42 Q. Would you think that those colleagues might have been surprised at you referring to somebody the subject of what was now a police investigation as "your man"?
A. As I say, it's just a slang or colloquial language, it's a figure of speech that $I$ would use.
43 Q. Does it suggest that you may have had previous conversations with these gardaí or with their colleagues?
A. No.

44 Q. No? And then you are giving what you say is an
A. Well, again, it's there in black and white, that was -45 Q. It is.
A. And again, it's colloquial language or it's a figure of speech that I used on the night. And, I suppose, that is just how I felt after spending the eight hours 35 minutes with Marisa and I suppose, like -- I suppose feeling for her, and my heart went out to her, that, all this information that she was after giving us, and
to me he did seem to be -- there was issues there and he alluded to him having issues his mental health within her statement.

We11, can I suggest to you it may suggest that there had been previous conversations of some type with these 10:15 people and you felt it appropriate to engage in these informalities which you have described?
A. Well, Garda Harrison was working that day on the Sunday, so he was aware that I was taking that statement. He was preparing for court, he was doing court on the Monday.

47 Q. What has that got to do with anything?
A. Well, he as aware --

48 Q. I am asking you questions about your informal attitude, as you have described it, your use of the words "mental 10:16 case," the use of the informal "your man", and as to why you would see fit to communicate to other members of An Garda Síochána in this informal and, can I say, pejorative way? Well, we will move on. You had been aware of the note from Sergeant Collins?
A. I am aware of the what?

49 Q. The note from Sergeant Collins?
A. The note?

50 Q. The report from Sergeant Collins?
A. Oh, the report, yes.

51 Q. And that said that he didn't believe that Marisa Simms wanted to make a statement?
A. No, he himself, he believed that the threats had happened but he didn't -- he wasn't convinced from
talking to Paula that Marisa would make a statement. 52 Q. Yes, that is what I am putting to you. He didn't believe that she was going to make a statement?
A. Yeah, after having the conversation with Paula, that was his perception.
53 Q. Yes. So that was a significant part of the information communicated to you; that the person you were tasked with interviewing did not intend, in the words of a very experienced sergeant, to make a statement?
A. My initial task was to speak to Rita McDermott in relation to the concerns she had raised with Sergeant David Durkin in relation to the matter.
54 Q. Would you please answer my questions?
A. I am trying to answer your question. My initial task was to speak to Rita McDermott in relation to the concerns she had raised with Sergeant David Durkin.

55 Q. I see. I am going to be very specific and I would ask you to be as specific as you can in your replies. You were aware of the note, the report, made by Sergeant collins?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. That he did not think that Marisa Simms wanted to make a statement?
A. He --

57 Q. Sorry, were you aware of that?
A. I read his report and --

58 Q. Were you aware of that in the report?
A. Yes, I read the report and that was contained in the report.

59 Q. I see. Al1 right. Just pausing there. Is that something that you took on board?
A. That Marisa wasn't going to make a statement?

60 Q. That in the view of an experienced sergeant, she didn't wish to make a statement, is that something you took on 10:18 board?
A. I think regardless, and I think I said this the last day, that regardless of what Sergeant Collins perceived to be the case, if there were concerns raised in relation to the welfare of those two children, we had a 10:18 duty of care to follow up on that.

61 Q. Is that something you took on board, the opinion of Sergeant Collins, yes or no?
A. Like, I certainly would have considered it but at the end of the day it doesn't matter what --
62 Q. I am sorry, you are speaking very quickly now and I am finding it hard to follow you. Yes or no?
A. If I can just explain, Sergeant Collins, his perception of whether Marisa was going to make a statement or not, based on what Paula McDermott told him, was irrelevant.
There was concerns raised in relation to the welfare of two children and it was our duty to explore that and see did it happen or did it not.
63 Q. Yes, of course. Did you take on board the fact that she might not wish to make a statement?
A. Of course she might not make a statement.

64 Q. A11 right. You took that on board?
A. Like, there is no guarantee anybody will make a statement and we can't make anybody make a statement.
when you approached her first, had you that in mind, that she may not wish to make a statement?
A. When I approached Marisa, my first contact with her on the phone?
68 Q. When you approached the task of addressing or interviewing or speaking with Marisa Simms.
A. Well, having spoken to Rita and furnishing her with my phone number on 2 nd of October and on 3rd of October when Rita confirmed to me that she had provided Marisa with my phone number and indicated that she was willing to make a statement, that is when I contacted Marisa.
69 Q. You see, I am going to suggest to you that it wasn't indicated that she wished to make a statement?
A. Well, I was informed by Rita McDermott that she was willing to make a statement.
Q. I see. So you didn't perceive any difficulty in your difficulty whatsoever in obtaining a statement?
A. Sorry, I didn't catch the start of --

71 Q. As far as you were concerned there would be no
difficulty in obtaining a statement?
A. As I said, like, when you go out to speak to a victim, any victim, there is no guarantee they are going to make a statement.

72 Q. Mm-hmm.

75 Q. It is what it is.
A. No, it's not. I would use the word "chat", I would use the "word" chat quite often.
76 Q. Well --
A. Yeah.
A. I think if you are going to arrest somebody that is different, you are formally interviewing somebody.

78 Q. I see.
A. This was not a formal interview. And a "chat", I do
use the word "chat" on a, probably, daily basis.
79 Q. If somebody had been the victim of, say, a shooting incident or a shooting threat would you report back that you intended to go out and take a statement or that -- and/or that you intended to go out and have a chat?
A. I could, I use the word "chat" and "chatting", that is -- -- they are words that I used.
80 Q. what do you think the word "chat" means to somebody who is unfamiliar with your systems?
A. I believe people from Donegal will understand the word "chat" because that is a word we use in Donegal. And chat, talk, to me they are one of a kind.
81 Q. So Marisa Simms, when you used the word "chat", was meant to know that this meant the taking of a formal
statement for the purposes of a prosecution? Come along now, inspector.
A. Sorry, what are you asking me?

82 Q. Are you saying that because of the Donegal argot that Marisa Simms was meant to know that when you used the word "chat" it meant formal statement?
A. As I said, Marisa came in for a chat and there was no -- it wasn't planned that we were going to get a formal statement from her. We spoke to Marisa and the key concern was to ascertain were there threats made in 10:23 front of those two children.
83 Q. So are saying she only came in for a chat?
A. A chat or a talk, a discussion. I would say they are three words of a kind, that is the way I would use the
word chat in that context.
84 Q. I am just trying to work out the dynamics of this. You said to her, do come in for a chat, and that is all you intended to do, have a chat and then see what happened?
A. Well, have a chat, talk to the girl and find out -- as I said, the key concerns were threats on the children and find out if she wants to make a statement, she can make a statement. And you have to give her that option. But it wasn't that she was coming into the station to make a formal statement.

You see, you have given the impression, might I suggest to you, that she was aware that she was coming in to make some form of statement, was willing to do so and I suggest to you that she was coming in thinking that she was going to have a chat.
A. Well, I would say we did have a chat. We chatted, I would say we chatted for eight hours 35 minutes.
86 Q. What do you think she was thinking about, that she was coming from for?
A. Well, Marisa was participating in the discussion --

87 Q. She was anticipating a chat?
A. -- and she contributed to that talk, the chat, the discussion.

88 Q. We will come to what she contributed in a minute. She was anticipating a chat, is that correct?
A. Well, the chat, the talk, the discussion.

89 Q. All right. So, this eight-and-a-half hour chat in the Garda station, it's a long old chat, isn't it?
A. Not necessarily, depending on what you are talking
about. Not necessarily, no, not from my experience. Not necessarily, no.
will you now bite the bullet and admit that eight-and-a-half hours, in an interview, without a meal break, continuous, is a very long and exceptional interview?
A. We were in the -- Marisa made her statement over eight hours 35 minutes, she was afforded tea breaks. We had a cup of tea, at least one, maybe two. She was asked if she wanted to go home. She could leave the station at any point she wanted. If she wanted something to eat she could have got something to eat. Marisa agreed to stay in the station. It was her prerogative.
91 Q. I wonder -- I wonder --
A. If I can just finish. while she was there for eight hours 35 minutes, she was in contact with her sister paula and her ex-husband Andrew Simms, and she was in phone contact with them. And she actually texted both of them. And I have -- if I can refer to the phone records just to confirm that.
92 Q. We11, you would be better off, can I suggest to you, answering my question.
A. Well, I am trying to answer your question and explain that eight hours and 35 minutes --
93 Q. Do you remember what my question was?
A. Yes, I do.

94 Q. What was it?
A. You asked was eight hours 35 minutes an excessive time and I am trying to --
A. And I might clarify that: It's not that there was no meal break, she had tea and she had biscuits and also she was afforded the opportunity, if she wanted to get something more substantial, that was offered to her. And in addition, if she wanted to go home at any stage while she was in the station she could have easily left the station at any point in time.
98 Q. I see. Te11 me, if a suspected person was interviewed, an arrested person was interviewed in the one room continuously for eight hours, eight-and-a-half hours, would that raise question-marks in relation to --
A. Well --

99 Q. If you listen to my question, please. would that raise issues in relation to the admissibility of the
A. Obviously, yes, there's your Notice of Rights and all that when you are detained and you speak to your solicitor, etcetera, when you are detained. And in the
main, most interviews probably don't go on for much longer than four hours. Now, let's just tap this down step by step. If you have -- you have agreed that if a suspected, an arrested person was interviewed without a break for eight-and-a-half hours, without a meal break but being given tea and bickies, that that would raise questions about the admissibility of the statement?
A. Not necessarily about the admissibility of the statement, but it would be practice that you would give 10:27 them a break and they would --

101 Q. Yes. Why is that? why is that practice there?
A. Well, that's just their rights when they are arrested and they are brought to the Garda station, yes.
102 Q. And why are those rights there?
A. They are there to protect the rights of the prisoner in consultation with their solicitor.
Q. Because people can become confused, isn't that right, and people can become exhausted?
A. I am sure they can, yes.

104 Q. And that is undesirable for a suspected person being interviewed, that they should be exhausted when answering questions, you'd agree with that?
A. I don't know, are you saying -- it's undesirable for a prisoner to be tired?

105 Q. No, no. To be exhausted.
A. Well, at times, yeah.

106
Q. It's undesirable?
A. Well, sometimes it's necessary to interview prisoners
when they are tired, depending on the circumstances.
107 Q. I am not using the word tired, I am using the word exhausted.
A. Exhausted, yes. Sometimes --

108 Q. Are you saying it is open to the Gardaí to continually interview somebody, a suspect, until they are exhausted?
A. Well, depending on the circumstances on what they are arrested for, sometimes there will be a need to interview a prisoner when they are exhausted.

109 Q. I see.
A. Depending, if there is somebody else's life at risk or a danger to evidence.
110 Q. And yes, in exceptional circumstances. Now, would you be prepared then to take -- to interview somebody, a suspect, who had no meal break, continually for eight-and-a-half hours, when it was clear that they were exhausted?
A. In relation to this matter --

111 Q. No, no, I am talking in general please. Address the 10:29 question.
A. In general you would not be interviewing a prisoner for eight hours 35 minutes.

112 Q. No.
A. In any --

113 Q. And the reason is the law disapproves of that and practice disapproves of it, because of the dangers that it brings about, isn't that right? Isn't that right?
A. Yes.

114 Q. Thank you. It's undesirable. Now, Marisa Simms was exhausted.
A. I don't -- that was not obvious to me. And I am sure, she was definitely tired --
115 Q. Well, you have said --
A. -- but exhaustion is on another scale.

116 Q. You have told us in your evidence, if you remember it, before the weekend, that she was exhausted?
A. She was tired, yes. She was tired.

117 Q. No, no, you used the word "exhausted". Now, do you
A. No, she was definitely tired, she was tired and we were all tired come in at 12:00 at night.
118 Q. You used the word "exhausted". Do you wish to resile from that, to change your evidence in relation to it?
A. No, I do not wish to resile from that, no.

119 Q. You don't. So, she was exhausted?
A. Yes, she was very tired, yes.

120 Q. No, I am going to use the word exhausted?
A. Well, I would say very tired, exhausted, yeah.

121 Q. All right. So that is not desirable, is it?
A. Well, when we were taking the statement, as I said already, it is Marisa's -- it's her desire to stay on and get the statement taken. It's no skin off my teeth whether she finishes at 8:00 that day or 10:00 or 12:00 10:31 and she can come back in the next day, and we have said that to her. As soon as she arrives into the station we're telling her 'You can go home'. And she is tired and I am conscious that her children are at home, she
talked to them on the phone, or maybe not to them directly but certainly about them to Andrew and Paula. so, like, at any point, and because it's a concern for any mother, if they want to go home to their kids, 100 percent she can go home to her children.
Can I suggest to you that it should not be her choice and that you should not take statements --
A. Well, as I said --

123 Q. Listen to me please -- or interview people while they are exhausted?
A. Well, in this case, and in many other cases, the statements do go on for a long time. And, as I said on Friday, it's like Marisa reaching a stage where everything just starts flowing out. And she starts -as I said, there's 31 incidents that $I$ have defined or identified in that statement, some of which are criminal and some of which are not, and I certainly wasn't expecting to hear about 31 incidents when I spoke to Marisa.
124 Q. Now you used the expression on Friday "uninhibited stream" in relation to the contents of this statement.
A. I used the word "uninhibited stream"?

125 Q. Yes.
A. I don't remember using that.

126 Q. Well, sorry --
A. I don't think that is a word that I --

127 Q. Well, the Chairman, the Chairman asked you -- I think the expression was "uninhibited stream" --
A. I know that's something that I mightn't say.

128 Q. -- and you said yes, it was. I am just going to check on those words now - have you got that there?
A. In relation to what, sorry?

129 Q. Just pause one second. That the statement was an uninhibited stream, it's at page 21 of the transcript and we might go there now. Sorry, it is not at page 21, that is the point $I$ had written down. It's at page 93.
A. I don't have it here. do you remember the Chairman asking you was the statement an uninhibited stream?
A. Yes, sorry, I thought you were implying that I used those words, because --

131 Q. I see.
A. -- that's not words that I would use.
Q. You accepted those words?
A. Yes, it was, like, as I said to you, it reached that cathartic stage where everything just started flowing out and --

133 Q. A11 right. And just, let's take it steady, step by step is the best way of approaching these things. You agreed with the Chairman that this statement, which took eight-and-a-half hours, was an uninhibited stream, did you?
A. That's correct, yes.

134 Q. I see. Can I suggest to you even the best of Donegal seanchaí would find it difficult to speak in a stream for eight hours and more?
A. We11, what I meant or what I mean by that is that, when Marisa started talking she started off -- like, as she was talking about specific incidents, then she was remembering other things that happened, and that's -it wasn't that she went from $A$ to $Z$ and defined every incident in chronological order. She was -- as she was talking she was remembering. Like, she was talking about going to Athlone in relation to the exam papers and then in the middle of that part of the statement actually then she came in with her, about being down at 10:34 her Garda Harrison's brother's 21st, and that is -- and that would be normal -- or not normal, but certainly, if somebody has a lot of stuff to tell you that is sometimes how it happens. When they start jogging their memory things come out.
So, she spoke nearly uninterruptedly for eight, eight and a half hours?
A. Well, like, at the start there was obviously this discussion or chat, as I would say, and she did a lot of talking at that point, between herself and Sergeant McGowan and myself.

136 Q. All right. How long did that initial chat take, the initial conversation you had with her --
A. Yes.

137 Q. -- how long did that take before you started writing down?
A. I don't have the time down unfortunately, but that could have taken two or three hours. Realistically, because there was --

138 Q. Ah, well now, Inspector Sheridan --
A. Pardon?

139 Q. -- are you now suggesting that there was a chat for three hours before you started writing?
A. Well --

140 Q. Are you suggesting that?
A. I would say -- safely say it was two to three hours talking.
Q. Are there any times? Did you take --
A. No, I don't have the times. Unfortunately I don't have 10:36 the times.

142 Q. You took no notes of that?
A. Pardon?

143 Q. You took no notes of that?
A. We have notes recorded, yeah.

144 Q. You took no notes of the times?
A. No, I didn't take notes of the times, no.

145 Q. So you think you were chatting then without writing something down for how long?
A. We did a lot of talking, and then Marisa was
considering was she going to make a statement or was she not. And certainly we weren't going in there at 3:00 and 3:05 take out the pen and paper saying right, start from the beginning and start writing. You would never do that with a victim of crime.
146 Q. You say Marisa was considering whether she was going to make a statement or not, are you saying as part of this initial conversation she was saying 'Maybe I will, maybe I won't'?
A. She indicated to me on the Saturday, when I spoke to her on the Saturday morning, that she would make a statement. when she came in, as I have explained, she was apprehensive like any victim.

147 Q. No, well --
10:36
A. And she was betwixt and between, and she was in two minds about what she was doing. She was conscious that she was complaining about a member of An Garda Síochána, she was conscious of who she was. And like, I said, I don't know Rita McDermott, I don't know Martin McDermott, I know nothing about it and you will be treated the same as everyone else.
148 Q. We will try and keep it as simple as possible in relation to facts. Now, you have told us now that the initial conversation, unrecorded, took two hours, is that correct, in or about two hours?
A. Judge, I am estimating. Look, I don't have times down so I can't say definitively, two hours, three hours, I don't have a time recorded.
149 Q. Doing your best, inspector, doing your best.
A. Well, that is what I have said to you; I am estimating.

150 Q. Okay. Two hours, on your estimation?
A. Yes, and I am estimating, yeah.

151 Q. And that was a chat?
A. It was a discussion, a chat, a talk.

152 Q. A moment ago you said that during that chat she was trying to make up her mind whether she would or would not make a statement?
A. During that time --

153 Q. Well now, you indicated that. Are you saying that she was debating, if you like, whether she would or would not make a formal statement?
A. When Marisa was talking at the start, she spoke about some things that were, I suppose standalone weren't obviously criminal, but combined with other incidents they would have been criminal. And that's in relation to the harassment. She spoke about the exam papers. You know, like, we were saying that is a criminal offence, you can't threaten to burn or cause criminal damage to something, that is an offence. And we were identifying the offences. And it was as simple as that.
154 Q. You see, it's not the question I asked you.
A. Can you repeat your question then, please? Thank you. 10:38

155 Q. I asked you during this two hours, you had indicated to us a moment ago that during this two hours she was trying to make up her mind whether to make a formal statement or not. Now --
A. Yes.
Q. Yes.
A. And what is the question?

157 Q. What did she say in relation to that? what form did that --
A. As I said, she was -- she didn't know for sure that she 10:39 was going to make a statement and she outlined to us what was going on in the relationship.

158 Q. If you speak slowly, please, I am finding it difficult.
A. And she outlined -- as she was talking to us, she
outlined what was going on within the relationship. Myself and Sergeant McGowan were highlighting that is a criminal offence, threatened to kill you, that is harassment, that is criminal damage, that is a section 2 assault, some of which were, you know, the more minor 10:39 assaults, but that was -- like, if she wanted to make a statement in relation to a criminal investigation then there were criminal offences identified. If Marisa simms came in and spoke to me solely about, as somebody mentioned, infidelities within the relationship, that wouldn't necessarily be a criminal -- there is no criminal offence disclosed there, so I wouldn't be taking a statement of evidence if that was --
159 Q. So, are you saying the uninhibited stream had started and from time to time yourself or sergeant McGowan would say 'oh, that is a criminal offence' and a minute later you would say 'that is also a criminal offence'? Is that what you are saying?
A. Well, I would say, as things progressed, yeah, and as we recorded -- as we were recording the statement actually Marisa then was remembering other things, like, as I say, one minute she is talking about the exam papers and the next minute she is talking about Keith Harrison's brother's 21st birthday party.
160 Q. How many questions were asked of her?
A. I don't know. I don't know. I can't quantify them, I don't know.

161 Q. I am just trying to work out what format this interview took, both in its initial and later stages. You seem
to be suggesting that there was, she was talking continuously for eight hours --
A. I am not --

162 Q. -- but at one stage you decided or it was decided that it would be taken down formally, is that correct?
A. At one stage?

163 Q. It was decided that her stream of consciousness would be taken down forma11y?
A. When Marisa was in the Garda station she was fully aware that she was in and she was considering her options. She chose to outline the events to us. And she -- as she was talking, and she told us, I suppose, whatever amount of things at the start that had happened, and as we were recording a statement of evidence other things came to mind and they were documented as we went along. And we asked her at the time, that we will try and put them in chronological order and that is why we would have the discussion beforehand, or the talk, about what was going on and then try and put it in some kind of an order. And that's with any witness statement I would take, that you try and put things in chronological order, whether it's on a daily or a yearly or an hourly basis, that it's something that happens --
164 Q. How would you put it in chronological order as this stream of memory was produced by her? How were you going to arrange that chronology?
A. We11, we went back to December 2010 when she was first in contact with Garda Harrison.
Q. You went back to 2010?
A. I didn't know, it was Marisa Simms outlined when she first -- she went back to '98, if I recall correctly, when she actually met him first, and then there was no contact for however many years, and then December 2010 I think.
Q. And you had nothing to do with initiating these references to matters in 1998, matters in $2010 ?$
A. We11, I didn't know anything about 1998 or 2010.
Q. And you knew nothing to do with asking questions about them?
A. Well, like, with any victim, whether it be burglary, assault or robbery, whatever, it's 'Tell us from the beginning', 'How did you know this person?'. If it's somebody they know. And it's natural that you are going to set the context.

168 Q. I see. You see, you had referred to a litany that was unanticipated.
A. That's correct.

169 Q. A litany of events. But you are now telling us that you in fact started back at 1998, in your questioning, is that correct?
A. No, that is not what I am saying. When we -- she spoke about a number of items, or a number of events, and then we asked her can we go to the start and we will have to put this in chronological order. And I would say that is good, good practice in taking any statement.

170 Q. So, let's take this in chronological order?
A. Yeah. Because Marisa, she wasn't talking in chronological order; she was talking about different incidents at different times throughout the guts of three years of her relationship with Keith. I see. And the expression "uninhibited stream" may not 10:43 be entirely true, because you were arranging the chronology of this report or statement?
A. We11, I would say an uninhibited stream probably is correct, because there was a flow of incidents and it was just then to get them in -- like, as I said, 31 events, it was to get them in some kind of an order. You already had background about her and her relationship from her mother, didn't you?
A. Yeah, basic -- she had told me that she had met Garda Harrison when she was at college in Galway and that they went out, they got engaged and she thought it was, whatever, young love or something to that effect.
Q. Can I just ask you, your brief or your task was to inquire in relation to a specific event, isn't that right?
A. We11, my concerns were, key concerns were, the children.

174 Q. Yes.
A. And this event that happened on 28th of September and the threats to kill.

175 Q. Wasn't your task to inquire in relation to a specific events?
A. When I went out to speak to -- obviously there were other events highlighted to Sergeant Durkin in relation
to Marisa being thrown out of the house. Rita McDermott had also highlighted that to us and was able to give us some evidence in relation to her having to call out to Churchill to collect Marisa. So, like, there probably were other things but if Marisa chose not to disclose that to us --
176 Q. Was your task to inquire into the matters that you had seen in the reports about Rita McDermott and Paula McDermott's statements?
A. The key --

177 Q. Was it?
A. The key --
Q. Was it?
A. The key priority and my task in my meeting with Marisa was these children, and to find out was there a threat to those children, and the allegation outlined by Rita McDermott that he and Paula McDermott, that she threatened to burn and bury Marisa in front of her children.

179 Q. Exactly.
A. And that was a key concern. And there were other, obviously other issues raised in relation to throwing her out of the house. And I thought this is a member of An Garda Síochána, why -- like, is he treating this woman like that or is he not?
180 Q. Your task in the main was to inquire in relation to the events of 28th of September, isn't that correct?
A. That was a key concern and then obviously in relation to the throwing out of the house.
Q. That was a key concern?
A. And also when I spoke to Marisa on the 3rd, she outlined to me on that occasion too in relation to, she had touched on it, the threats and she touched on being thrown out of the house, but she didn't go into the specifics.
182 Q. It was made very plain to you that there had been no behaviour against the children or in front of the children until the 28th, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that is --

183 Q. Now, your main concern was for the children, isn't that right?
A. Whose main concern? Rita and Paula?

184 Q. You have told us that your main concern was for the children?
A. Yeah, my main --

185 Q. And it was established at an early stage that there was never any question of violence or threats or bad behaviour in front of the children, and that the only issue was whether it occurred on $28 t h$, isn't that right?
A. That was alluded to by Paula McDermott and by Rita McDermott to me and by Marisa Simms, and that the tip of the iceberg was this event on 28 th of September when he threatened to burn and bury her in front of the children.
Q. There was no suggestion of anything else involving the children, isn't that right?
A. No, that was the one event that all three
parties highlighted. Was it really any of your business to inquire in
A. To be honest, Marisa Simms outlined from the outset, from December 2010, that there was this ongoing non-stop phoning, texting, controlling behaviour, from

Garda Harrison.
Q. Was it any of your business?
A. Marisa Simms volunteered that information to me.

194 Q. Was it any of your business?
A. If it amounts to a criminal offence, yes, it was my business.

195 Q. Are you suggesting that that phoning by the partner was a criminal offence?
A. Not --

196 Q. Are you suggesting that?
A. Not one standalone event, but over a -- Marisa outlined it was going on for -- it appeared to be going on for years --

197 Q. Are you suggesting --
A. -- and I would suggest that all that phoning and
texting would amount to a criminal offence in the form of harassment. She felt that that she was being controlled and that is what she informed me, so that is a form of harassment in my view.
198 Q. She felt she was being controlled?
A. Yes. That she couldn't breathe, that it was like she couldn't go anywhere, he wanted to know where she was, what she was doing, who she was with, and it was steady and it's evident in the phone records.

199 Q. Are you seriously treating that as a criminal offence? Really, inspector, are you?
A. If a member of the public, whether it be Marisa or anybody else, comes in to me and makes a complaint in relation to this constant ongoing harassment over a
period, from December 2010 to October 2013, and it's evident she is in the station and the phone is hopping when she is in the station, non-stop phone calls and text messages, yes, I would believe that is a form of harassment.

200 Q. So, the fact that he was phoning her constantly and texting her phone, you were of the view that you were entitled to inquire in relation to that as a potential criminal offence?
A. Sorry, I missed the start of your question, sorry.
A. I don't believe so. And I --
Q. Hold on now. It's not a question of belief.
A. Pardon?
Q. It's not a question of belief. Did you or did you not relationship with Keith Harrison?
A. No, I don't believe so. And any information that is furnished in the statement are the words of Marisa

Simms.
205 Q. You said "I don't believe so" --
A. Pardon?

206 Q. -- can you say whether you did or you didn't?
A. Did or didn't what, sorry?

207 Q. Ask questions involving her intimate relationship with Keith Harrison, yes or no?
A. No. Not -- whatever information we have --

208 Q. Soy, what are you saying? Yes or no?
A. No.

209 Q. You didn't?
A. Not intimate, as in, $I$ don't know what you mean -- what part of the relationship --
210 Q. About her early relationship with him.
A. Pardon?

211 Q. About her early relationship with him.
A. Her early relationship?

212 Q. Going back to college days and subsequently?
A. That was just setting the context on how she knew him. That takes up about two or three lines. whatever -- I 10:51 don't know maybe --

213 Q. Did you ask her about that?
A. I asked her --

214 Q. Did you?
A. -- 'when did you first meet Keith Harrison?', and that 10:51 is the context that set. It's set in context, like, how she knows Keith Harrison.

215 Q. Did you stop asking questions at that stage or did you ask further questions?
A. Well, we asked -- like, it was an interview, like, or it was a discussion, of course we asked questions.
Q. Of course you asked questions.
A. We asked lots of questions.

217 Q. I am concerned about this concept which you agreed to of the uninhibited stream. Now, you asked questions and I want you to outline for us as best you can the nature of the questioning that went on.
A. Well, in relation to -- when Marisa would explain, let's say, for instance, the exam papers, like, it's normally you are going to ask probing questions: Can you elaborate on that? When did that happen? where were you? who was with you? Did anybody witness it? Like, just normal probing questions.
218 Q. That is five or six questions you have given us there in relation to one small aspect.
A. We11, I am just guessing that that is the type of question we would have asked in relation to that incident --

219 Q. Instead of getting --
A. -- she didn't furnish all that information in one go herself.

220 Q. Instead of guessing, if you would just try and give us facts.
A. I am not going to guess. I am not going to guess. whatever is going into the statement is going to be factual.

221 Q. You just said you were guessing. Very good. Give us some more examples of questions that you asked.
A. Well, in relation to -- let me see, if I can just reference her statement. Like, for instance, I am just looking at page 2329, is the typed copy I have -You will have to speak a little slower, again I am --
A. I am referencing page 2329, and it's page 2 of Marisa's statement. And in that she is detailing the incident at the house where there is a domestic with her and -or there is an alleged domestic. Andrew calls out to her house. And she said: "It was totally blown out of proportion, the Gardaí from Milford go out." And for something like that, yes, $I$ knew nothing about it, so it's just a matter of teasing it out so we got enough information to put it in there.
223 Q. I will have to come to that, because you said page 2329?
A. That is just -- I have a version printed off from 2329.
Q. Page 2. Very good. And give us an example.
A. Yeah, as I said, just towards the bottom half of that page, we detail the incident of Andrew, her ex-husband, 10:54 calling out to the house in Churchill and "Garda Harrison leaving his work in Buncrana to travel to have Churchil1 --"
Q. Slow up, I haven't found this. What part of page 2?
A. Just down towards the bottom of the page there, halfway up. "Andrew wasn't happy with me moving out. during the week that I stayed in Churchil1 I had spoken to Andrew --"
227 Q. If would go slowly, please.
A. Half way down the page, you will see the word "Andrew", below Apri1 2012 -- or 2011.
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Q. But you see you didn't know anything about most things, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
A. Well, that is my job as an investigator, as a guard. Like, if you are going to take statements you ask probing questions.
232 Q. Of course. But you see I am just trying to put this in 10:55 the context of the uninhibited stream of consciousness?
A. Yes. So, she mentions this incident that happened out in Churchill and then we just, we tease it out.
233 the course of this chat?
A. Probably most things.
Q. Most things?
A. Yeah.
Q. So you were teasing out most things?
A. Yeah, and that would be like any interviewer, that is -- unless Marisa had a full story with specific details where we didn't need to probe, then that was it.

236
Q. I am not picking up what you are saying. If you would speak somewhat slower.
A. I am just saying that I feel that myself and Sergeant an environment for her that she was comfortable and she felt comfortable to speak to us.
241 Q. I am going to move away from what you felt about
rapport?
A. Well, that is exactly what $I$ felt, and that she was comfortable there.
Q.

I am going to try and move away from what you felt about rapport and just try and investigate the actual facts of what occurred over those eight-and-a-half hours, do you follow me? Do you see what I am at? Do you see what I am asking you? I want to find out exactly what happened. Now, we have established now that you were asking questions continually throughout the interviews?
A. Again, I would say not continually. There's a lot of listening done there as well, listening to Marisa.
243 Q. of course there had to be listening.
A. Yes.

244 Q. Because if you ask questions and if you get replies, then you would have to listen.
A. There's a lot of listening in taking a statement.
Q. A lot of listening and a lot of questioning.
A. Well, it's like any interview or any statement recording, yes.
Q. It's just that I was somewhat surprised to hear you suggest that there was an uninterrupted litany put forward, and can I suggest to you that we now have established that it was a little different, or somewhat ${ }^{0}: 58$ different than that?
A. I suppose what I mean by that is that throughout the duration, that it was like one thing after another.
247 Q. Yes. For instance, if you look at the top of page 4 of
the statement, there, she is talking about phone calls and about the fact that keith kept on ringing her and there is no doubt about it, he kept on ringing her?
A. Is that page 4 of?

248 Q. Of the statement. Page I think 73 in the book. When I 10:58 say page 4 , it's the fourth page. And I might he1p you, at the very top of the page.
A. Sorry, I don't have the page. What page are you, sorry?
249 Q. It's the top of the fourth page, page 73.
A. What page of her statement, of her typed version of her statement?

250 Q. It's page 4 of the statement, but page 73 in the general pagination. Do you see that?
A. I can just see it on the screen here.

251 Q. Just to take an example, she says:
"If I didn't answer right away he would keep ringing me and then sending text messages - why are you not answering? I had children and I couldn't answer all 11:00 the time."

You see that, where she said that?
A. Yes.

252 Q. Well, she did say that, but then the line is: "I'd say 11:00 it was obsessive, looking back on it." Wasn't there a question there 'would you say it was obsessive, looking back on it?', wasn't there?
A. No.

## .
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Q. We11, are you sure about that, that there wasn't a question asked, are you sure -- or sorry, would you say it was obsessive?
A. No.
Q. Definitely not?
A. No.
Q. And you have a specific memory of that?
A. No, anything that is in that statement, they are words that Marisa furnished to us.
Q. No, no, no. Are you saying Marisa came up with the statement, came up with the sentence "I'd say it was obsessive looking back on it"?
A. Yes.
Q. You see, because I am going to suggest to you it was just one of many -- the result of one of many questions 11:01 in which answers were suggested.
A. Absolutely no way.
Q. Would you say it was obsessive?
A. Looking at it there, yes, but certainly the statement is written in Marisa's words and it was read over to her and she initialled the amendments in it, that is her statement.
Q. Go to the previous page, and one-third of the way down, the reference to "Martin Egan".
A. Yeah, I can see that.
Q. "Martin Egan and a few others apparently said they were refusing to work with him. That is what Keith told me."
A. Yeah.

Q. Now, how did that come about?
A. That came from Marisa. I don't know did I even know Martin Egan at that stage. I was new to the division since April and I can't say I actually knew Martin Egan at that point.
Q. Yeah, well, she did say "Martin Egan and a few others said they were refusing to work with him". And your colleague, can I suggest to you, then said 'Well, that's what Keith told you' or 'That's Keith's version of events'?
A. No, that is Marisa's version of events. This is when she was outlining what had happened over with Andrew --
Q. Andrew?
A. -- that Keith had left his work in Buncrana and came to Churchill in the patrol car, and it was in a context that she --
Q. Anyway, I am investigating how that pair of sentences came into existence. So we have the fact that she said "Martin Egan and a few others apparently said they were refusing to work with him", right?
A. That is what she said to me.
Q. All right, just pause a second. You know that you were asking questions, can $I$ suggest to you, and we think it was your colleague who said 'Well, isn't that Keith's version of events?', is that possible?
A. No. What is written in that statement is Marisa's version of what -- I knew nothing about, anything to do with Keith being transferred out of Buncrana or anything to do with that. I wasn't in the division and
Q. She volunteered the expression, that is what Keith --
A. Absolutely she did, yes.

267 Q. Now, just going back to the very bottom of page 2:
"During the week that I stayed in Churchill I had spoken to Andrew and he had phoned to call out to the house. I can't remember what for exactly." wel1, was there a question asked there: what did he call out to the house for?
A. No, that was just another example of him overreacting to something. And it was in the context that she was at home, Andrew was calling out and the next thing -to me it was Keith coming from work, and, as she said in her own words, it was totally blown out of proportion. Because I don't think Marisa felt any threat to Andrew Simms and I think subsequent to that she was asked to make a statement and she didn't make a statement.
Q. Look at page 4 of the statement again, the expression "He was controlling"?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, did you ask, as she described the difficulties, and she did describe difficulties she was having in her relationship, she was having a chat about her relationship, did one of you, that is either yourself or Sergeant McGowan, ask 'Was he controlling?'?
A. Well, it was obvious, like, with incidents like that.
Q. Did you ask the question?
A. Stand alone one of these incidents you mightn't look at
it too much as controlling, but the overarching view of the statement was he was controlling.

A11 right. Just pausing there. when you say you may have, do you remember doing that or do you think you just might have?
A. I can't say definitively today that I did say it or I may have, but it certain7y would have been on the radar 11:05 with all those incidents going on, there was a common theme that he was controlling. Certainly on the basis of what Marisa was telling me that he --

273 Q. The word controlling was brought up?
A. -- he appeared to be controlling, yes.
A. In the context that the overarching view was that he was controlling.
Q. Tell me just at this stage, you referred to the fact that she was exhausted and indeed there is no doubt but 11:05 that she was, during the chat, what she thought was a chat, and what she thought, can I suggest to you, was for the eyes of the chief superintendent only?
A. That is incorrect. .
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A. I rang her on three occasions, at least, in October 2015 -- or 2013, and I didn't get a reply. But I don't know whether it was her mother told me, but I have some 11:07 memory of her being hospitalised and I just can't say for what or where, to be honest.

281 Q. Okay. You have some memory of her having to go to hospital?
A. That is my recollection going back, but I don't have any note of it and I don't know -- I don't know if I heard it from her afterwards or whether I heard it from her mother, $I$ just don't know.
282 Q. I will just try and take it step by step. You have
some idea that she was required to go to hospital?
A. No. There was no indication, when she was in with me on the sunday and the Thursday I don't believe she mentioned anything about hospital.
Q. I am trying to make the questions as simple as possible 1:07 so that we can have simple answers.
A. I am trying to answer you as best I can.
Q. So we can have simple answers. It's a fact that she had to go to hospital and was there certainly when phoned by Gsoc on the 9th?
A. That is evident from -- wel1, I am learning that now since the Tribunal started.
Q. I see.
A. From the documentation or from Mr. O'Doherty's evidence. I know she had an appointment the previous 11:08 week -- or not that I know, but there's some mention of her having an appointment the previous week, maybe on the $2 n d$ or something, that she had a hospital appointment, and maybe then at that stage she could come in and make her statement. I don't know, that is my recollection of it.

286 Q. She had a doctor's appointment for the following day or the day after that.
A. No, the week before.

287 Q. She was suffering, she had a very unfortunate lost pregnancy?
A. Yes.

288 Q. I don't want to go into details and I would ask that people respect their privacy.
A. Yes. She did mention that to us, yeah.

289 Q. A very disturbing matter for a woman and indeed for her partner.
A. I can appreciate where she is coming from, yeah.
Q. It required significant surgery, that is as far as I will put it.
A. We11, yeah, I don't know the ins and outs of it. Certainly she did mention that when she was in the station with us. And that she was admitted to hospital, in her statement 11:08 she said a few days afterwards, we have been trying to clarify that, but she was certainly in hospital on the 9th and possibly on the 8th, that would have been --
A. Well, on the 8 th she was in with me at $2: 00$, on the Tuesday the 8th.
Q. Yeah.
A. And at that point there was no -- I don't have any recollection of her mentioning anything about a hospital appointment or having been in hospital. I don't know.

293 Q. She had a doctor's appointment and she was referred to hospital?
A. On the 8th?
Q. Not quite certain of those, but she was certainly in hospital by the 9th.
A. Yeah, well, she spoke to me on the 8 th at 2:00 and at that point there was no -- it didn't appear to me --

295 Q. She didn't complain to you.
A. I certainly have no recollection of her mentioning going to the hospital.

296 Q. She didn't complain to you.
A. No, I don't recall -- I don't believe so.

297 Q. I am sorry?
A. I don't believe she complained to me that day.
Q. I see. But anyway, the doctor referred her to hospital and she was admitted because of a significant infection that had to be addressed, and that was an infection relating to the previous surgery. Did you not find out any of that?
A. No, I didn't, no.
A. As I said, I tried contacting Marisa on at least three occasions, that I know of.
Q. Did you phone her mother or her sister to find --
A. That's what I am saying -- no, I never had any contact with her sister. That's what I am saying, my recollection is that she was hospitalised, but I don't know that it was October or November, and I can't remember from whom. So Rita may have told me, I don't know.
Q. Sorry. Somebody may have told you that she was hospitalised?
A. That is what I said, Rita may have told me or Marisa may have told me herself.

302 Q. At the time?
A. No, I don't believe I knew at the time.
Q. You don't believe you knew at the time?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Yes, we know that, we know that.
A. Yes. There was no indication that there was anything wrong.
311 Q. We know that, but we are talking --
A. And when she was in the station with myself and Sergeant McGowan she didn't at any point indicate that she was sick or unwe11 and that she wanted to go home.

312 Q. Yes.
A. Or anything like that.

313 Q. Let's get back to biting the bullet and answering the questions.
A. I am trying to answer the questions.

314 Q. She was admitted to hospital with an infection and infections have a debilitating effect, there is no doubt about that.
A. In general I am sure they do, yes.
Q. Particularly ones that require admission to hospital?
A. Pardon?

316 Q. Particularly ones that require admission to hospital?
A. Yeah, I would imagine so, yes.
Q. And it's quite likely that leaving aside the eight-and-a-half hours of the interview, that she was suffering on the day of the interview?
A. It wasn't apparent to me that she was suffering in any way. No, definitely not. If it was apparent to me that Marisa was unwell, I would have sent her home. We gave her the option to make the decision herself to go home if she wanted and she undertook to stay in the Garda station and to conclude her statement.
318 Q. A11 you noted was her exhaustion.
A. Pardon?

319 Q. A11 you observed was her exhaustion.
A. I think we were all exhausted come 12:00 at night or 11:12 half eleven.

320 Q. Did you ever write down for the attention of your colleagues the fact that you considered her to have been exhausted?
A. The fact that what?

321 Q. You considered her to have been exhausted.
A. No.

322 Q. You didn't? Should you have, do you think?
A. Should I have wrote down that Marisa was exhausted? 11:13

323 Q. Yes.
A. No.

324 Q. No?
A. No.

325 Q. Do you not think that is something that might become of 11:13 relevance in the future?
A. Well, I think with any victims making statement it can be exhausting. It's probably mentally draining more than anything else. But it can be exhausting, you know, jogging your memory, remembering. Yeah, it can 11:13 be mentally exhausting, yeah.
Q. Yes, of course. You didn't write it down, anyway?
A. No, I didn't write it down, no.

327 Q. Also, one of the reasons for taking notes as a member of An Garda Síochána is to aid your own memory, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is there another reason for taking notes?
A. It's normally like an aide-memoire.

329 Q. And is there another reason for taking notes?
A. We11, you make notes when you are recording a statement, is that what you are relating to?

330 Q. Say, somebody says something of interest, you'd take a note not only to remind yourself but because it was
something of interest?
A. Yeah, well, that was the -- when we were in talking initially, Sergeant McGowan and myself were both jotting down a couple of notes, yeah. I am going to go back for a moment to the phone calls when you were inviting Marisa in for a chat, and you say that she had agreed to come in and that you had discussed with her whether there would be a formal statement in relation to a prosecution. Do you remember telling us that?
A. Yeah, that you want to go back to that point?
A. I just didn't. I don't know -- we spoke on the mobile whether I was in the office or out of the office. I don't have a note of it.
Q. We accept that you don't have a note of it. I am inquiring as to why you don't have a note of it?
A. I just, I can't explain, I just don't have a note of it.
Q. Should you have a note of it?
A. Well, maybe sitting here today, yes, but like, just, I don't have a note of it.

336 Q. Leave aside sitting here today. Should you have a note 11:15 of it?
A. I don't have a note of it.
Q. Should you have -- listen, to my question please. Should you have a note of it?
A. Not necessarily. But no, I don't have a note of it.
Q. We11, previously your information had been that she was unlikely to make a statement, you were tasked with getting a statement and this was the breakthrough?
A. I wouldn't say I was tasked with getting a statement. I was tasked with making contact with Marisa Simms and her mother. We11, first of all, her mother and then her mother indicated she was willing to make a statement. When Marisa Simms came in to me and we spoke, there was no guarantee she was going to make a

344 Q. You did refer and you used the words "the fact that I wanted or needed a statement" wouldn't mean that I would pressure rise her, so you used those words?
A. Exactly.

345 Q. So you did want a statement?
A. No, listen, if Marisa Simms wanted to make a statement she could make a statement. I can't -- I won't force, wouldn't force Marisa Simms or anybody else to make a statement.

346 Q. You used the expression "the fact that I wanted or needed a statement wouldn't influence me". Pause a second please, you used that expression. Did you want a statement?
A. If Marisa wanted to make a statement she could make a statement.
Q. Did you want a statement?
A. I had no control over whether Marisa was going to make a statement or not, so $I$ can't say I wanted a statement.
Q. Did you need a statement?
A. If a criminal prosecution was to proceed, and this was explained to Marisa, if we were to proceed with a criminal prosecution, yes, we needed a statement.
349 Q. You see, I am going to suggest to you that you did need a statement, you knew from Sergeant Collins it was unlikely she would make a statement, and you set out as best you could to get a statement using your wile and your wile as an investigating officer?
A. As I said, when I met with Marisa, that is not my key goal to get a statement out of Marisa. My goal is to talk to her and explain to her, if you want to make a statement --

350 Q. I am not actually hearing that --
A. When I met with Marisa, my, I suppose, job on the day was to talk to her and ask her if she wants to make a statement. I am not going to force her to sit down and wait until we write down 38 pages of a statement. That 11:18 is not going to happen.

351 Q. I see. And I am suggesting to you that the reason you asked her in for a chat was, because you felt that she might cavil or reject the concept of a formal statement and that's why the word chat was used, to lure her into 11:18 the police station for a chat?
A. I disagree with that. There were very, very serious allegations made by third parties in relation to domestic violence issues and, like, even if you compare it to what would you do, this new advertising campaign, 11:19 we are trying to encourage third parties to come forward and report domestic violence, and that is what happened in this case. We had a mother and a daughter -- mother and sister, an uncle and a cousin coming forward and that is -- we have a duty of care and that is what we did.
352 Q. I want to go to your notes that you say you took at the beginning and I have to say, I have, even until now, had difficulty in reading the copies that were served on us. That is nobody's fault, these things become obscure as they are translated onwards. So your notes I think, if we could have up the original of the notes. My apologies, sir -- 2425. Now, these are the notes of what, can you tell us? If you would just -- I didn't
fully pick up on that when you are giving your evidence.
A. They're notes that were recorded by myself and Sergeant McGowan, preliminary notes as Marisa was talking and just dotted down a few --
Q. What were they recorded in?
A. Pardon?

354 Q. What were they recorded in?
A. A piece of paper.
Q. On a piece of paper?
A. Yes.

356 Q. And was that the same type of paper that the statement was taken on?
A. No, it wasn't no.

357 Q. What type of paper was it?
A. I actually have the original, if I can retrieve it from my case.

358 Q. Yes.
A. If I can retrieve it from my case. Actually, written on the back of a Pulse incident.

359 Q. Can I just ask you, is that the folder you had in front of you in the police station?
A. Pardon?

360 Q. Is that folder --
A. No, this is the folder of original documents.

361 Q. That you have made up yourself?
A. That I had brought here, the statements -- the original statements in relation to --
362 Q. I see. So where did this piece of paper come from?
A. Well, as you can see, on the back, it's the Pulse printout. I don't know whether I should be claiming privilege on that, Judge, because there's obviously details in relation to other people.

We won't mention the details. Is it a Pulse to do with somebody entirely different? Sorry, I have put that very badly. Is the Pulse reference on the back relating in any way to --
A. I would have to have a look at it again, I can't even remember what is on the back of it.
Q. Oh sorry, I have it.
A. You have it. I don't believe, I think Sergeant McGowan, that she had that with her but I don't know what is on it [SAME HANDED]. No, Judge, it was printed off that day but it was nothing -- I don't even know who printed it. But anyway, it's nothing to do with this investigation.
Q. I am sorry?
A. It's nothing to do with this investigation.

367 Q. But what was it doing in the room?
A. I'd say it was just lying on the table, I don't know, I have no idea. Sergeant McGowan might be in a position to say.
368 Q. We11, it's superintendent's office. it was the superintendent's office.
A. I have no idea, I can't -- I believe Sergeant McGowan had that piece of paper and I have no idea, it's just,
you know, you just write on pieces of paper.
369 Q. You see, you were asked what pieces of paper did you have going in to the room.
A. Yes. I had.

370 Q. Yes.
A. The paper I had --

371 Q. Yes.
A. -- was probably witness paper.

372 Q. So you deny all knowledge of this piece of paper?
A. When I looked at the original notes, I was surprised to ${ }_{11: 23}$ see the pulse incident on the back, that was my first knowledge of it. Not today, but when I saw them, when it was maybe later that day or whatever.
373 Q. I am sorry, you were?
A. well, I don't know where the piece of paper came out of 11:23 but I know guards --
Q. I am finding it difficult to follow you.
A. I can't say where that Pulse printout came out of.
Q. But you think it must have been sergeant McGowan?
A. We11, it was printed that day, so I don't know who 11:24 printed it. But Sergeant McGowan commences writing on it, so maybe she is better placed to explain where it came out of. I can't say for sure where it came out of. But obviously it's dated and it's timed.
376 Q. All right. very good. So, then when we look over at 11:24 the page, is this your writing?
A. Some of it and some of it isn't.

377 Q. Will you tell us what is your writing?
A. So, the writing on the left-hand side is all Sergeant

McGowan's. On the right-hand side, my writing is, there is a name blanked out, $I$ think it's a name or number maybe, "26/7/13", "threat", I have arrow over to word "threat" and "real" in red pen.
Q. Yeah?
A. And if you go down then, "bury, burn family" I think -If I had the original --
Q. Before we get to that, I am just trying to work out how this document came into existence. The writing on the 1eft-hand side is that of Sergeant McGowan?
A. That is Sergeant McGowan's writing, yes.

380 Q. And you see there is a line at the very bottom of the page, not at the very bottom, approaching the bottom of the page, a cut-off line and then there are other things about permission for access to mobile phones. whose writing is that?
A. Sorry, if you go down again, sorry. Sorry?
A. I am just waiting for the screen to go back down again. Right. "Permission for access to mobile account. Both phones. Voicemail." That is my writing and that is when she was detailing, I suppose, the ongoing text messages and phone calls, $I$ thought it pertinent as it was a note to myself to remind me to include that.
382 Q. It's Sergeant McGowan's writing on the left-hand side?
A. Up above that, that is my writing at the bottom, "permission for access --"

383 Q. That is your writing at the bottom, but taking the left-hand side writing, whose writing is that?
A. which part?
Q. Above the line?
A. Above the line, some of it is mine and some of it is Sergeant McGowan's.
Q. It all looks like -- I just make this as a personal comment. It looks like the same. Can you tell us which is yours and which is Sergeant McGowan's?
A. Well, I have down: "Include dates and times of missed calls on phone."
Q. Just slowly please. slowly, slowly.
A. I have down --

387 Q. Starting at the top, we have the dates of going to college, the dates of an engagement --
A. Okay.

388 Q. -- dates of breaking up.
A. Yeah.

389 Q. Whose writing is that?
A. Sergeant McGowan's.
Q. I see. And does it continue in Sergeant McGowan's writing as we go down the page?
A. If you just carry on down, yes, down March 2011, "that it was Keith, Buncrana, Mountain Top", yeah, that is all Sergeant McGowan's. April 2011, yes. "Gartan, Andrew called," yes, "arrived at the house, Milford, Pulse --" Yeah, that is all Sergeant McGowan's writing, 11:26 "Ballymaleel exam papers, hairdresser." And then my writing --

391 Q. Now, where is your writing?
A. That is my writing there, as I said: "Include dates
and times of missed --"
392 Q. Your writing where, please, if would you just point it out to me?
A. It's just there after "hairdresser" in November '11, just below that, there is writing there "Include dates and times of missed calls on phone".

393 Q. That is your writing?
A. That is my writing.
Q. Okay.
A. And below it, and above it: "who was in a room? Me, 11:27 Paula." And there is a named blanked out there.

395 Q. Now, I want to go back to the top of the page and the right-hand side.
A. Right, okay. Okay. So, coming down on the right-hand side "26/7/13" and "087" and there is something above it, and then in red pen there is an arrow pointing over to "threat real, bury, burn".
Q. Can I ask you, were you changing pens?
A. Well, I think I probably had a red pen. I have a habit -- I always have a red pen and that was
probably --
397 Q. Sorry, I am just not quite catching this. what is your habit?
A. If there is something important I might put it in red pen.

398 Q. I see.
A. That is just a habit I have, yeah. So that is: "Threat real. Bury burn." And if the registrar wouldn't mind moving down please, thank you.
Q. That is "real", yes?
A. I just need the registrar to move down, please.
Q. What I am trying to work out is: Were yourself and Sergeant McGowan sharing this one piece of paper?
A. It looks like it, yeah, yeah.
Q. How did that come about? How were you seated?
A. We were sitting at a round table and myself and Brigid and Marisa, it was -- it's a standard round table.
Q. Are you telling me that two guards, a sergeant and an inspector, while carrying out an important chat or interview, the preliminaries, that you only had one piece of paper between you?
A. Well, that is what we were writing the notes on.
Q. Well, I just ask you to think back now, I know it's in the depths of time, but to think back as to how this unusual situation came about that you only had one piece of paper between you?
A. Well, yeah, there is one piece of paper.

It is what it is, as you have said already. I am asking you --
A. I didn't say that in this context. You know, I didn't say that, so --
Q. But earlier. I am not criticising you for the use of that expression, but it doesn't mean a lot. I am asking you, how did it come about that an inspector and 11:29 a sergeant were sharing the one piece of paper?
A. I can't explain. That's just it. One piece of paper. That was it. I can't turn back the clock.
Q. Would you have to ask Sergeant McGowan for it if you
wanted to write?
A. We were beside each other, it's a round table.

407 Q. You reach out and take it?
A. Well, you could if you wanted to, you could, yeah.

408 Q. What is that Xed out on the right-hand side in red? "Me"?
A. "He must --" Can I just look at the original and I will be able to decipher it better, hopefully. Can I see the original because I can't --
Sorry, I was talking to my colleagues there, and I have 11:30 forgotten what question $I$ asked you.
A. You asked me what was written under the $X$ on the right-hand side.
Q. Yes, exactly, if you would have a look at that. [SAME HANDED]?
A. "He wasn't in control of himself."

411 Q. And you have an X through that?
A. Yes, I would imagine that's when we had it included in our statement then, I just marked it off. I don't know. But anyway, that is what it says: "He wasn't in 11:31 control of himself."

412 Q. And that was especially important --
A. That was important.

413 Q. -- to take out the red pen?
A. Well, it's marked out for whatever reason, but yeah, 11:31 that's what it says.

414 Q. Are you saying that these notes were taken contemporaneously --
A. Yes.
Q. -- with your chat?
A. With our discussion, our chat, or talk, yes.

416 Q. And the pair of you were sharing the one piece of paper?
A. Yes, it appears so, yes.

417 Q. It appears so?
A. Well, we were, like, I can't -- it is, as I say --
Q. It is unusual, can I suggest to you?
A. Well, anyway, I can't turn back the clock. We shared the one piece of paper.
419 Q. I know that, there is no turning back the clock. Could I have that piece of paper again, please. [SAME HANDED] Now, are you saying that this is the record of -- that this is the record of the preliminary chat, are you?
A. I can't say that all the notes were taken at the very beginning or whether they were taken throughout the taking of the statement, but that is a reflection of the general discussion, yeah.
420 Q. No, no, no, we must try and be more accurate. As
things now stand, you had a two-hour preliminary and then moved into a six-hour formal taking of a statement, is that right?
A. And I did explain that that is an estimate, you know --

421 Q. Well, do you want to resile from it?
A. I can't definitively say, I have no times recorded in the recording of this statement so I don't know exactly when we commenced, and the two hours is an estimate.

422 Q. A11 right. And when you are saying that now, are you saying that that could be inaccurate?
A. Inaccurate? But approximately, are you saying approximately two hours?
A. I am estimating about two hours because if we wrote 38 pages I would imagine -- if we wrote 38 pages it probably realistically would have taken us, five or six 11:33 hours.
Q. So about two hours on preliminaries before you got into the --
A. Pardon?

426 Q. Two hours on preliminaries before you --
A. Yeah. An estimate, yes.

427 Q. Was it necessary to spend that two hours on preliminaries?
A. Well, it depends what we were talking about. But obviously Marisa had a lot to say and she was outlining 11:33 a lot of things that were going on.
Q. And you would have been taking notes of those?
A. Well, it's just jotting down obviously just the context.

429 Q. You are dropping your voice. Would you have been noting down what she was saying?
A. Not -- not fully, just notes and aide-memoirs, as we would say.

430 Q. An aide-memoire of what she was saying?
A. Yeah, like, I mean obviously --

431 Q. An aide-memoire of what she was saying over two hours?
A. Yeah, like --

432 Q. A11 contained from both of you on this one piece of paper?
A. Yeah, that's --

433 Q. Two hours? Now, Inspector Sheridan, are you correct in that?
A. Am I correct in that?

434 Q. Just looking at it --
A. Yes.

435 Q. -- are you correct in what you are saying?
A. Yes, yes, I am correct.

436 Q. So that is two hours worth of noting?
A. Yes. As I said, when you are taking statements from 11:34 victims, you don't go in pen and paper out, right we need to get this down in writing. It is a case of asking the victim to talk and then you might jot down a few notes, as it's done in that. And if there are allegations there you may explore them, if necessary, and commit it then to writing.

437 Q. So it was Sergeant McGowan who did most -- all of the recording then really?
A. We11, not all of it, no. The majority of it, but a lot of it is my writing.
438 Q. You have written down "threat real?" I want you to look very closely at that. You have written down in red ink --
A. Sorry --

```
439 Q. -- "threat rea1?".
439 Q. -- "threat real?".
```

A. Where is that on the page, sorry?

440 Q. It is the top of your red writing.
A. Sorry, the top?

441 Q. So, if you look at the right-hand side.
A. Yeah, exactly. "Threat real, bury burn --"

442 Q. No, no, let's go at it slowly.
A. Yes. "Threat real."

443 Q. Yes. Question-mark.
A. There is no question-mark there.

444 Q. Sorry, that may be my question-mark. It is.
A. Yeah. I hope you are not writing on an original document.
445 Q. "Threat real", and the next is?
A. "Bury burn."

446 Q. Yes?
A. And, I probably need to have a look at the original again. Sorry, I didn't -- to see what it says. I can't say -- I can't read it from that. If it's family or feasible.
447 Q. Sorry, excuse me, now, just I am reading from the original.
A. Yes, so that is why, if I can look at the original.

448 Q. Would you? Because there is a question-mark on the original and I certainly haven't put it in just now. But I had put it in, I think, on my own copy so I am going to ask you to look at that again, I may be doing myself down. [SAME HANDED]?
A. Thank you.

449 Q. Is there a question-mark there?
A. There is a question-mark, and I don't know if it's mine or yours. But anyway, there is a question-mark there.
450 Q. Hold on one second now. I asked for the original, is that the original?
A. That is the original, but it's just, you said you may have marked it.

451 Q. No, no, no, I certainly marked the copy.
A. Okay.

452 Q. I didn't mark that.
A. That is okay.

453 Q. That is your question-mark?
A. That is fine, that is okay, yeah.

454 Q. A moment ago I thought you were saying there was no question-mark?
A. But I can't -- on this screen there is no question-mark.
455 Q. I see.
A. Sorry, I can't say. Obviously this is, the original is the best.
456 Q. What is that all about, that question-mark?
A. We11, it's "threat real?" I don't know what it is.

457 Q. So did Marisa say "Threat real?" or did she say "Was the threat rea1?" I mean what is that about? MR. MCGUINNESS: May I just intervene, Chairman? Because we got Inspector Sheridan's notes originally and then we were provided with a coloured copy last week, which is much better quality, and that is at page 2425, and I am not sure that it's evident at all from
that that there is a question-mark on that.
MR. HARTNETT: Well, we are looking at the original which has just been produced from the guard's file. MR. MCGUINNESS: It's been handed up and down several times now.

MR. HARTNETT: I hope friend isn't suggesting that I have put that on the original. I might need a moment now to rise and examine this document. As I say, we were relying on the original copies that were provided to us and they were far from clear and we may not have seen the significance at the time. I hope you don't mind that, Chairman.
CHAIRMAN: There is no significance in it as far as I am concerned, Mr. Hartnett. Just carry on.
MR. HARTNETT: We11, I had hoped to cross-examine in relation --

CHAIRMAN: Of course. I would love you to continue your cross-examination. Let's suppose there is a question-mark and then the question is: why is there a question-mark. Could I please see the document? [SAME HANDED] Yes, there is a question-mark.
A. Yeah, but there's no question-mark on the photocopy version.
CHAIRMAN: No, and I think it is simply because it's at the very edge of the page. And if you'd look at the word "Bula" what looks to be the word "Bula", it's probably "Paula", the end of the question is cut off. So the question-mark is cut off. That is all that has happened.

MR. HARTNETT: So there does appear to be a question-mark.
CHAIRMAN: There is a question-mark, $I$ have just seen a question-mark. So let's continue on the basis that there is a question mark.
Q. MR. HARTNETT: what does it mean?
A. Like, I did furnish copies of these earlier last week and copies were made of it, so there may not have been a question-mark.
459 Q.
All right. You are seeing this for the first time, you 11:39 have paid attention to it. It is the original and it does have a question-mark.
A. On this copy now that I am after getting back there is a question-mark on it.
Q. It's what you produced from your file?
A. We11, I don't know. Mr. Hartnett, you said you may have put a question-mark on it, so I don't know.
461 Q. I am sure I put a question-mark on my brief.
A. Sorry about that then, okay.

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can I intervene please? we have had 11:40 enough talk about the question-mark. If there is a question about the question-mark, which unquestionably is there, let's hear a question.
462 Q. MR. HARTNETT: Yes. The question-mark, it has been ruled that it is there. So you have to abide by that $11: 40$ now.
A. That is fine.

463 Q. why is it there?
A. I don't know.
Q. Is it a report of something said by Marisa Simms?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. So she said threat -- she said something question-mark?
A. No, she didn't -- if that is my question-mark it would be "threat real, bury, burn" and I can't even -"family" I think it is.
Q. Burn family?
A. Pardon?
Q. Burn family?
A. No, I am not saying that. I have words down the side 11:41 of that page, the first word is "threat - real". I am guessing it was, did she feel the threat was real? It would have been a question that $I$ probably was going to ask her. "Bury, burn" and I am not a 100 percent sure but I think "family". Family, I think so.
Q. But there was never any question of the family being burned, was there?
A. No, I don't know -- I am trying to decipher my own writing.
Q. That is why I am wondering what it's doing there if it's reporting what was meant to have been said by Marisa Simms?
A. Well, if it is "family" it probably relates to her and her children, but I can't say for a 100 percent that is family and there is no point me saying that it is. I can't say definitively.

470 Q. But it is your writing?
A. It is my writing, yes. I am trying to decipher it.

471 Q. It looks like family?
A. It looks like "FAM".

472 Q. And then the next three?
A. "BLE" but that doesn't -- ramble? I don't know. Actually I don't know.
Q. Isn't it most likely to be "family"?
A. Honestly, I don't know, because -- actually I think it's ramble. It's "ramble".
Q. So with "ramble" in it what does it read?
A. I think when she was detailing the incident she was saying that he was rambling. She does say in her statement that he kept repeating it, he was, like, on a rant. And I have down the word -- I am getting that is "ramble" and that is what it looks like to me.

475 Q. "Ramble"?
A. Ramble.
Q. $R-A-M$ ?
A. $-B-L-E$.

477 Q. As opposed to F-A-M, which you said --
A. No, I am trying my best to interpret what it is. But I think it says ramble.

478 Q. So you were taking down the fact that she said "ramble"?
A. I don't know, I have written down the word "ramble".
Q. You see, it seems to suggest, can I -- it seems to be "burn family", but there was never any question of, when the ultimate statement was taken about a threat to burn the family, isn't that right?
A. No. The threat was to burn -- the threat was made in front of -- I am not saying that word is family but if
there was a mention of family it's to do with Marisa and her kids.
480 Q. We can move on. Now, you have said that she was given options while present in the Garda station. What did you mean by that?
A. Number one, she could make a statement, and number two, she couldn't, she didn't have to make a statement.
Q. I see. Did you write that down?
A. No.

482 Q. What you said to her?
A. No.

483 Q. Why not?
A. Well, like, it's not -- like, if she made a statement it was evident then that she has made a statement and she has signed every page of it. So that is evidence that she made a statement as opposed to not making a statement.
484 Q. Did you tell us that you read out the top of the statement to her?
A. Yes, I did read that statement out to her.

485 Q. Te11 us exactly what you say you read out.
A. Pardon?

486 Q. Tel1 us exactly what you say you read out.
A. "I hereby declare that the statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that I make it knowing that if it is tendered in evidence $I$ will be liable to prosecution if I state in it anything which I know to false or do not believe to be true."

That is, if it is tendered in evidence.
487 Q. Right. So what did you say to her?
A. I read that -- when we were recording the statement?

488 Q. No, what did you say to her?
A. When? when?

489 Q. Did you say to her, "I hereby declare"?
A. Yes, I read the top of the statement, on the original statement, and then "I hereby declare".

490 Q. You said "I hereby declare"?
A. I read it out. That is the declaration.

491 Q. You see, I have suggest to you that you never did that and that what you said is, it's about time we got some stuff down in writing for the chief?
A. Stuff down in writing for the chief? That is ridiculous. That is totally untrue. Why would I get 11:45 something down in writing for the chief. It had nothing to do with the chief.
492 Q. Well, had the chief tasked you with anything?
A. Yes, she tasked me with talking to Rita McDermott, and subsequently I spoke to Marisa.

493 Q. I see.
A. But it wasn't -- what benefit would it be for me to take a statement from Marisa for the chief?

494 Q. Because I am suggesting to you that the impression you were giving to Marisa was that this was a chat, that you were taking details and they were for the chief's eyes?
A. For the -- the chief certainly would have a look at the statement because she obviously is in charge -- she is

Garda Harrison's employer and responsible for deploying him throughout the division, so she would have an interest in that statement. But as was fully explained to Marisa when she was in the station, that if she made a statement, it was for a criminal investigation. We discussed the assaults, the harassment, the threats to kill.
Q. Yes.
A. That was all discussed.

496 Q. I am going to suggest to you that there was never any reference to possible referrals to the HSE?
A. That is 100 percent incorrect.

497 Q. And --
A. Marisa, we explained to her that we were duty-bound, under the Children's First Guidelines, to make referrals to the HSE regardless of whether Marisa made a statement or not, based on what she had told us. And Marisa is a teacher and she is fully aware of the Children's First Guidelines and would have understood the necessity to do so.
498 Q. I am going to suggest to you as we11, or put to you, that you never read this statement over to her at 11:00 or 11:30 at night, whatever time it was?
A. That statement was read from beginning to end.

499 Q. And tell me how that occurred?
A. I think I read the first bit in my writing and then Brigid read the remainder of it in her handwriting.

500 Q. I suggest to you that that --
A. And the amendments that were made throughout the
statement were made by -- at that stage, by Marisa.
501 Q. How long did that take?
A. Reading out the statement, 38 pages, it could have taken an hour.

502 Q. I see.
A. Yeah.

503 Q. Now, also, you have continually said that she was told she was free to go?
A. Yes, she was.

504 Q. And she was told she was free to go?
A. Yes, she was free to go.

505 Q. Yes. But she was told, in that case she'd have to come back the following day?
A. No, that she could come at a time that suited her, not necessarily the following day, whatever day suited her. 11:47
506 Q. We11, I suggest to you that she was told she'd have to come back and she'd have to come back the following day?
A. I wasn't working the next day so I certainly wasn't making arrangements with Marisa to come in on a day that I was off.

507 Q. Are you sure you weren't working the following day?
A. I was at home and I had made a couple of phone calls that -- as evidenced in my diary, but I wasn't working.
508 Q. You just say, you said to your colleagues in the email, 11:48 "I will chat to you tomorrow".
A. Yes, but in my diary $I$ have written down that $I$ made $a$ couple of phone calls, but I was not working the next day.

509 Q. So how would you have chatted to them?
A. On my mobile, I would have rang them.

510 Q. I see. Just looking at the top, for instance, at page 77, "There was a large" -- and halfway down the page, where you say:
"There was a large volume of texts, very persistent and again bordering on obsessive, in my view."
A. What page is that on her statement, please?

511 Q. Page 8 of her statement.
A. And what part of that page are you on, please?

512 Q. I am nearly halfway down. "There was a large volume of texts, very persistent and again bordering on obsessive, in my view."
A. Yes, I can see it, yes.

Now, were there any questions asked in relation to
A. "There was a large volume of texts, very persistent and again bordering on obsessive, in my view, but they were so regular that I didn't even have time to reply to one before the next would arrive."
514 Q. Well, just -- you can stop there. Were there questions asked in relation to the volume of texts?
A. Well, it was evident from Marisa, from talking to us, that this was an issue, it was an ongoing issue, and at this point I asked her -- I am sure I have asked her what her phone number is to clarify --
515 Q. No, no, no, in relation to obsessive, when she referred to a large number of texts, you asked her were there texts, and I suggest to you she said there was?
A. Yes, but that was in relation to an incident that she had met up with a girl --
Q. And that you suggested, or one of you suggested, would you think they were obsessive?
A. No, that is in relation to, she was after detailing an incident where there was a third party, let's say, involved, that they went back to the house, she told Keith to get out, and there was a large volume of texts, very persistent and bordering on obsessive.

517 Q. I am asking you to look at that sentence: "There was a 11:50 large volume of texts, very persistent and again bordering on obsessive, in my view.' Did she, off the top of her head, say "There was a large volume of texts, very persistent and again bordering on obsessive, in my view"?
A. Yes.
A. No, that was -- she was after detailing this incident with the third party.
519 Q. Was there questions?
A. And as a result of that incident, she outlined that she had these large volume of text messages from Keith and she said it was very persistent and bordering on obsessive.
520 Q. And can I suggest to you that that is again indicative and that you were asking questions to say, was that bordering on the obsessive?
A. No, that was her explaining that this -- the contact the day after the incident with the third party.

521
Q You see, you have told us that you were probing and asking questions all the while?
A. Yes. Well, in relation to that incident, we got her mobile number from -- because at that time she had a different mobile number, so we got clarification on that.

So let's go on to the next page, and these are just examples I am picking. Page 9:
"I did at one point challenge Keith over it and we got together but he denied it."

Now, that expression --
A. where exactly?
Q. That is the top of page 9, her page 9. "I did at one point challenge Keith."
A. Yes.

524 Q. Now, were there questions asked there?
A. I just need to look at what --

525 Q. Did you ever -- did you say, did you ever challenge Keith?
A. No. Can I just see in what context that was --
Q. Yes, absolutely.
A. Just the previous couple of lines. Because I just have a different copy, I have a different typed version, or different version, I suppose. Sorry, registrar, if you just move up to the bottom of the page before, move up 11:52 the way, please.

527 Q. Do you know what I am asking you?
A. But I want to see in what context.

528 Q. Very good.
A. Yes, because the context is important.
Q. Yes.

MR. DOCKERY: If it helps the witness, this is about a report which Marisa made, apparently, that Keith was checking her 02 telephone account.
A. Yes, okay. I think that was just another element of him, you know, I suppose accessing her personal information and being, I suppose, a bit obsessive, as she says, yeah.

MR. HARTNETT: Now, what I was asking you about was, were particular questions asked: would you consider it to have been obsessive?
A. Well, we may have asked her, how did that make you fee1? what were you thinking?
Q. Well, did you say, did you think it was obsessive?
A. Anybody would think that was obsessive.
Q. Did you ask the question of her, did you think it was obsessive?
A. I don't know if we used the word 'obsessive', but certainly she -- what is in that statement was what she 11:54 said.
Q. You don't think you used the word 'obsessive'. what word might you have used when asking the question?
A. Well, you could say, refer to harassment, controlling, constant and obsessive.
Q. You would have used those words?
A. Perhaps, perhaps.
Q. Controlling --
A. I think anybody would use that word 'obsessive'.

536
Q. Obsessive?
A. Yeah, anybody would use those words.

537 Q. But you used them?
A. I can't say definitively that I did use them. But what is in that statement is --

538 Q. We11, you can't say you did and you can't say you didn't?
A. I can't say definitively that I did or I didn't.

539 Q. I see. But you might have?
A. I may have.

540 Q. You may have. Very good.
A. Like, looking at that behaviour, I probably have thought it --
541 Q. Very good. Because I put it to you that you did use those expressions in your probing and your questioning? 11:55
A. Well, in the context, you would ask Marisa, how did this make you feel?

542 Q. You see --
A. What were you thinking at that stage?

543 Q. You see, I am suggesting to you rather than this being an uninhibited stream, that you were leading this interview and you were being suggestive, and much of what is in the statement is true, but that you were 1eading?
A. I don't believe so, Judge. That statement is a true Sergeant McGowan and the statement which we read over to her at the conclusion and the statement throughout which she initialled changes, the statement in which
she read on the 11th of January and at that point didn't indicate anything about anything in that statement being untrue.
544 Q. Do you remember having -- her having her head in her hands?
A. I don't recal1, but $I$ know certainly in some of the incidents she was upset, yes, she was -- she may have had her head in her hands, I don't know, but she certainly was upset. Well, aren't there a number of different answers to that question there?
A. She may have -- she may have been, but I know she was upset at different points, but $I$ can't say for sure she had her hand on her head.

546 Q. We11, now, didn't she put her head down and put her head in her hands at one stage?
A. I don't remember, I honestly don't remember.

547 Q. Could it have happened?
A. 100 percent it could have happened. I don't know.

548 Q. I see. And didn't she ask you, during one of those times, is it necessary to go into all this intimate detail and say to you that this was intrusive?
A. I don't believe it was in any way intrusive.
Q. No, did she say to you?
A. No, she did not. No, she did not, no.

550 Q. So you don't think it was intrusive to ask for details about alleged infidelities on the part of Keith, alleged, on the part of Keith Harrison?
A. That was information -- that was information that

Marisa volunteered to us and was taken in the context that, in relation to the infidelities, that it was always -- he would always throw it back at her and it was her fault, that she drove him to other women.

551 Q. And what has this got to do with threats to children?
A. It displays the character of Garda Harrison, or the alleged character of Garda Harrison and this controlling behaviour, and, as I said last week, it was like Marisa was a broken woman.
what has this got to do with threats to children, his alleged infidelities?
A. This has got to do -- now we have the threats, but there is other events identified here, namely harassment.
Alleged infidelities?
A. Alleged offences, yes.
Q. I am talking about the reference to alleged infidelities and personal details in relation to their emotional life?
A. That was information that Marisa volunteered to us in the context that Keith was so controlling that even when he was engaging -- allegedly engaging in these infidelities, that he would still manage to control her and he would say that it was her fault that he was driven to these other women and she drove him to it.
556 Q. So you felt entitled to inquire into all of these
personal matters?
A. I knew nothing about any of these until Marisa volunteered them.
Q. And you asked questions in relation to them?
A. Well, she -- I don't know any of these girls and I know 11:58 nothing about them.

Surely you always -- or you are used to get people in police stations who want to talk about a huge amount of things that the gardaí aren't or shouldn't be interested in - people complaining about their partners, possibly, and their private lives, intimate details, and you have to say, 'actually, this isn't relevant'?
A. Well, it was Marisa's information that she had furnished and it was relevant in the context of this ongoing harassing, manipulating, controlling behaviour that was being exhibited by Garda Harrison, and that is what -- that is in her statement and that is -- I can't comment whether that --
559 Q. Was it, after you took the statement, your intention to 11:59 bring a prosecution for harassment?
A. That was certainly an offence identified, yes.

560 Q. Was it your intention to bring a prosecution for that?
A. Yes. And actually, the harassment under the Non-Fatal offences Act:
"Any person who, without lawful authority or reasonable excuse, by any means including by use of the telephone, harasses another by persistently following, watching,
pestering, besetting or communicating with him or her, shall be guilty of an offence."
561 Q. You brought the Act with you?
A. I did, because that is my firm belief, that there was evidence of harassment.

562 Q. You brought it because you knew you would be asked questions about the relevance of some of your inquiries?
A. Yeah, well, I didn't want to be misquoting it. Isn't that right?
A. Well, there is definitely evidence of harassment in that statement, and that was my view.
Q. Now, being put out of the house, my client has no memory of saying that. She described to you, can I put it to you, incidents in which she left the house having had rows, and significant rows, with her partner, and she left, and that the word "put out" was not used by her?
A. The words in that statement are the words furnished to us by Marisa simms.
565 Q. And that she was very surprised to see it when she did eventually read the statement on the 14th of January.
A. The statement, we went over and back that statement, and as -- when I take statements, I read a -- I write a bit, read it over, 'is that okay?' and move on to the
next episode. And the full statement was read over to her at the end of the night and she knew full well what was in the statement.
566 Q. And she will say that she was so exhausted that she
hardly knew at the end of it what was going on, that she was dead tired --
A. It wasn't obvious to me that -- like, it was compos mentis; she was talking, she was going home, I offered to organise for a lift to bring her to Annagry to her sister, and she was able to converse and she says, 'no, I am fine to drive', and she was in a fit state to drive.
567 Q. She was fit to drive?
A. Well, she herself believed she was fit to drive.

568 Q. And did you ask her was she fit to drive?
A. I offered to either give her a lift down or for somebody to go down the road with her, because we were concerned about her safety. So she said -- Marisa said, 'no, I will drive myself'. And I asked Marisa, 12:01 'when you get home, will you text me that you got home okay'.
569 Q. I see. We11, were you concerned that she was so tired that maybe she shouldn't be driving?
A. No, no, that didn't cross my mind, that she wouldn't be 12:01 fit for driving.

570 Q. Now, in relation to the incident with the dashboard, do you remember her mentioning keys?
A. There was no mention of keys.

571 Q. That is her memory of it.
A. Well, there was no mention of keys.

572 Q. It mightn't be the most significant matter, but that is her memory. Now, there was a portion -- by the way, can I just ask you this much: It must have been
approximately six hours before you came to that portion of the statement dealing with the matter you had been sent to investigate, maybe seven hours?
A. I don't know. As I said, I have no times recorded.

573 Q. Let's work it out. The matter you were sent to
12:02 investigate was the matter raised by her mother and her sister?
A. That, and also, as I already said, there was mention of these incidents of her being thrown out of the house.
574 Q. But the reason, the main reason you were there was to deal with the children?
A. Yeah, that was the key concern, yes.
Q. Yes. So, what is it, six-and-a-half hours have passed now and you still haven't touched upon the main issue?
A. No, I would say we have touched on it, but we are getting -- putting the statement in chronological
order, and that is the last -- that is the last incident that has occurred.
577 Q. All right. Where is the note of where it was touched upon?
A. In my notes, if you can see, in the original notes, I can't it definitively, but that is what I think it is, "ramble". So it is referenced there.
578 Q. But, in questioning, it took -- it was six-and-a-half
hours, at least, before you got there?
A. Possibly before we got -- well, possibly. I can't say six-and-a-half hours, but possibly, before we got to record in writing the details of that incident on the 28th September.

579 Q. Yes. And at that stage, already at that stage, you'd seen fit to suggest to her that she might, if she wished, go home?
A. Yes, absolutely, yes.

580 Q. Because a long time had elapsed?
A. Yes.

581 Q. And she would be tired?
A. If I can reference the phone records, Judge, for the 6th.
582 Q. Now, in relation to being put out, we have a note, and it's at page 896, and it says: "Three times picked up from front of house at Churchi11". Do you see that?
A. Oh, yes, I have that.

583 Q. Now, whose writing is that?
A. That is my writing.

584 Q. I see.
A. Now, I referenced that the last day, and I believe that they are notes that I took from when I was out with Rita McDermott, and the only reason I think that is because "James v. Collins" is on it.

585 Q. So you --
A. I think, but anyway, I believe that's notes from --

586 Q. But you don't know?
A. Pardon?

587
Q. You don't know?
A. I believe they are notes from when I was out with Rita McDermott.

588 Q. And the suggestion was that she'd been picked up by her mother from outside the house in Churchill?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.

589 Q. Now, there is another incident referenced in the statement about him pulling the quilt off her, that is off Marisa Simms, and pulled her out of the bed.
A. What page is that on, please?
Q. That is on page 81 of the statement, page $12--81$ of the papers, page 12 of the statement.
A. Where exactly on the page, please?

591 Q. It's two-thirds of the way down.
A. Yes.

592 Q. Do you see that?
A. Yes.

593 Q. You see, my client will say that she was never pulled out of the bed but that the duvet was pulled from her, or the quilt was pulled from her.
A. If that was the case, that is what would be recorded on her statement. She told us that she was -- "He pulled the quilt off me and grabbed my arm and pulled me out of the bed and told me to get out of the house." That is Marisa Simms' words.

594 Q. Can I also suggest to you that you were saying things to her, asking her questions, and she was so exhausted at this stage that she would say yes, and she cannot remember much of this?
A. If that is the case, that was not apparent to me.
Q. It wasn't apparent to you. Is it a possibility?
A. No. Marisa was fully compos mentis there, she was alert and she knew exactly what she was doing.
596 Q. Had you told us in your evidence that -- about the object of the exercise, it was to assess the risk of children, and I think you also said but also to find out what was going on with Garda -- or, sorry, but to find out what was going on with Garda Harrison also?
A. Yeah, that would be -- yes, yes.

597 Q. okay. So there were two things: one, to assess whether there was a risk to children?
A. Yeah, and his behaviour in relation to -- Garda Harrison's behaviour in relation to the welfare of Marisa Simms' two children.

598 Q. But to find out what was going on with Garda Harrison also?
A. Yeah, in the context of the children, obviously, and then these alleged incidents where he was after throwing a lady out of her home, and, as a member of An 12:09 Garda Síochána, I would deem that totally inappropriate behaviour for any man, never mind a member of An Garda Síochána.

599 Q. The risk to the children would be from Garda Harrison, that was part of the investigation?
A. Yeah, well, the allegation was that he had threatened Marisa in front of her children.

600 Q. But you said it was also to find out what was going on with Garda Harrison?
A. Yes, in relation to his behaviour towards Marisa Simms.

Can I suggest to you that if you were concerned about the risk to children, that you would have come to it earlier than six-and-a-half hours after she entered the 12:10 Garda station?
A. No, I believe we would have discussed it at that stage, and my notes reflect making a note of that, and that probably is one of the first things we discussed, but,
as I said, we got the letter -- or the statement done out in chronological order.
Q. When you heard that she wished to withdraw this statement, what was your view? Were you displeased?
A. I can't say displeased. I suppose I was thinking, for her, that these allegations were very serious, but if she wants to withdraw it, that is her decision.
Q. When was the first indication made to you?
A. I have sent an email to Garda Karl Campbell on 7 th of November -- yes, 7th of November, and in that email I say, I spoke to Marisa last week. Now, I don't have a note of when I spoke to her, but I know I rang her on the 31st and there was no answer, so whether it was the 31st or thereafter, and I said in the email that she had indicated that she may withdraw her statement, but I don't have a note made of that.

608 Q. Had you become aware of the fact that GSOC had phoned her in hospital?
A. No. I only learned that from Mr. O'Doherty here last week.
Q. Nobody ever told you about that?
A. That they had phoned her in hospital? No, I didn't know that GSOC had rang her in hospital.
610 Q. Well, did you become aware at an early stage that she was not making any complaint to GSOC?
A. I wasn't made aware of that -- I got an email on the 6th of November from the divisional office in relation to the matter and I think a subsequent one on the 7 th, and on those days $I$ was in Templemore on a training

Slow down a bit. It's sometimes hard to follow what you are saying. Yes, continue.
A. The first I knew about Marisa indicating her wish to withdraw her complaint from GSOC -Sorry, I didn't catch that?
A. The first time that $I$ had an inclination that Marisa was withdrawing her complaint to GSOC was on 6th of November.

613 Q.
I see. Was there no talk in the Garda station about it?
A. No - we11, that particular week I was in Templemore for four days. I was there from the 4 th to the 7 th on $a$ promotion course.
614 Q. Yes.
A. On the 7th then I reply back to Garda Kar1 Campbe11's email and I say that I spoke to her last week and she said she may withdraw her statement.
Q. I am just asking you a very simple question: was there a talk in the police station amongst senior gardaí such as yourself about the fact that GSOC were not proceeding with an investigation?
A. Well, as I say, the first that I knew was on the 6th of November when I got an email from the divisional office, when I was in Templemore, and I got the email and that was -- I didn't discuss it with anybody.

616 Q. So there was no talk?
A. I was in Templemore. I didn't discuss it with anybody.

617 Q. You were in Templemore for four days?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.

618 Q. Yes, but when you weren't in Templemore, was there any talk?
A. We11, I came back from Templemore on the 7th -- or I finished the course on the 7th so I probably travelled back on the 8 th or maybe the night of the 7th. I think that -- we11, Garda Campbe11 had indicated that they were forwarding the file then to the assistant commissioner in the northern region to appoint an external superintendent to investigate. to withdraw or address this statement in early November?
A. That she wasn't -- that she wasn't cooperating with GSOC, yes, on the 6 th of November.
Q. No, the statement she had made to you, when did you become aware that she wished to withdraw that?
A. In my email, and again, as I say, I don't have an exact day or date that I spoke to Marisa, but on an email that I sent to Garda Kar1 Campbell on the 7 th of November I wrote --
621 Q. When did you become aware of it?
A. A week -- in the email --

622 Q. If you just give me the date, please?
A. I am just trying to be as accurate as I can. But on the 7th of November 2013 I emailed Garda Campbe11 and said I spoke to Marisa last week, so that was in the previous week, and she indicated that she may withdraw her statement.

623 Q. I see.
A. But I know I rang her on the 31st of October and there was no answer, because I have a note of that, so it was between the 31st and, I suppose, probably the 4th of November when I spoke to her, because at that point I was going to Templemore.

624 Q. What attempts did you make to contact her?
A. I rang Marisa three times.

625 Q. Did she phone the police station?
A. No, I rang her three times. I spoke to her three times 12:14 -- sorry, I didn't speak to her; I rang her three times in October and there was no answer from her.
626 Q. Yes. Did you decide to call on her, for instance, in relation to --
A. Pardon?

627 Q. Did you decide to call on her?
A. Call on her?

628 Q. Call on her, call on her, meet her?
A. No.

629 Q. I see. So, as far as you were concerned, then, this 12:15 investigation would continue?
A. But I was made aware that the investigation, that GSOC had concluded their investigation, or I don't think they ever commenced one, and that the matter was now being referred to the assistant commissioner in the northern region to appoint a superintendent from outside the division to investigate the matter.
630 Q. As far as you were concerned, had you done everything that was required of you?
A. Well, once it went -- like, my understanding was, on the 8th of October that it went to GSOC for their investigation, so then, that $I$ didn't do anything after that.

I see. And she didn't manage to speak to you until January 14th?
A. I know Marisa said that she was trying to contact me. Marisa had my mobile number, so my first contact with Marisa was on the 10th January, and she text me at 9:05 in the morning and I rang her back at 10:00. And if she text me in October, November, December, I would have rang her or text her back likewise. And as soon as she -- when she did -- when I rang her back on the 10th, she wanted to make a statement of withdrawal, so she came in the following day, which was the 11th of January, and she made that statement of withdrawal.

We11, you had spent eight hours of a Sunday, eight-and-a-half hours.
A. That happens all the time. Like, in Garda investigations, if you were to get upset every time somebody withdraws their statements.
office and she was sitting beside me and I gave her the original statement to read. I was -- rather than make her feel uncomfortable, I just continued on doing something on my computer. So, like, there was no way I was cold to her, and I am not that type of person anyway.
635 Q. I am just trying to keep -- going to try and keep my questions simple and you might keep your answers simple, if at all possible, we will both do that, just to try and get facts.
A. That is what happened.

636 Q. I am just going to ask a question now.
A. Another question, yes.

637 Q. She came into the police station, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

638 Q. Is that correct?
A. She did come into the Garda station, yes.

639 Q. You knew she was coming into the police station?
A. Yes, because I spoke to her the day before and we made arrangements for her to come in.
640 Q. You knew she was coming in to withdraw her statement?
A. That's correct, yes.

641 Q. She was brought into what office?
A. My office.

642 Q. She sat down in front of you?
A. That's correct, yes.

643 Q. what did you say to her, in brief?
A. She just said she was in to make her statement -- or that she wanted to withdraw her statement, and I said,
are you sure about that? Yes. And that they were back together since Christmas and that they were making a go of things. So I asked her, I said, would you do me a favour, will you read the statement, you know, and then let me know that you are happy to withdraw it. And that is what I did.
644 Q. And she read it. How long did that take?
A. It took her -- I don't know, how long does it take to read 38 pages? I am sure it took her 20 minutes, anyway.
645 Q. Did she express surprise at some of the things --
A. Some of it she had forgotten what she had told me.

646 Q. Just pausing. Did she express surprise at the contents?
A. Yeah, I'd say she was, because she had forgotten some of it.

647 Q. Yes, yes. She expressed surprise. Now, you then took a statement of retraction, isn't that right?
A. That's right, yes.

648 Q. Would you just tell me now, as concisely as possible, how exactly you organised that? I think it's at page --
A. Do you want me to read out her statement?

649 Q. We11, I am just trying to locate it myself here.
A. It's page 940, or the original one is 940.

650 Q. Yes, 940. I just want to discuss with you how you organised this statement. She came in, you asked her to read the statement, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And she did. And during that, what were you doing?
A. As I said, I was working on a computer at my desk.
Q. I see. Now, was this a free-flowing statement of a withdrawal, uninhibited stream, from her?
A. She had indicated to me she wanted to withdraw her statement.
Q. No, no, I am talking about the contents of the statement and how they came into existence. Was it an uninhibited stream?
A. It was her saying that she wanted to withdraw her statement.
Q. We know that. I am talking about the statement of withdrawal.
A. Yeah, she wished to withdraw her statement that she'd made to me on 6th of October 2013.

656 Q. Do you understand what I mean by the contents of the statement?
A. Of course I understand --

657 Q. Right.
A. -- the contents of the statement.

658 Q. Now, there is a statement there?
A. Pardon?

659 Q. There is a statement there?
A. There is a statement of evidence, yes.

660 Q. Are they all her own words emanating voluntarily from her?
A. The statement is: "My name is Marisa Simms and I live at" --

661 Q. No, no, I am asking you a question. Are they all her own words?
A. Can I explain the statement then?

662 Q. No, if you will answer that question first. Are they a11 her own words?
A. They are her words, and that I had explained to her that we have to put this in context, because if she is withdrawing her statement, she has to indicate what she 12:21 is withdrawing and include in the statement what statement she is referring to.
Q. Can I ask you to answer my question.
A. I have answered your question.

664 Q. And you have counse1 here to --
A. Pardon?

665 Q. You have counse1 here to protect your interests in re-examination. I am cross-examining you.
A. Yes, I understand that.

666 Q. I see. Now, I am going to ask you some very simple questions again and I will try -- I am going to ask you to direct yourself to just simple answers.
A. Okay.

667 Q. There are words written down there by you, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

668 Q. The statement of withdrawal, yes.
A. That's correct, yes.

669 Q. Are they the words of Marisa Simms?
A. They are words --
Q. Yes or no?
A. They are words recorded by me in the presence of Marisa and agreed by Marisa in her statement.
671 Q. Yes. Are they Marisa Simms' words?
A. Yes, they are Marisa Simms' words.

672 Q. I see. Pause there. Did she dictate that to you and did you write it down?
A. We discussed it and I said to her that in a statement -- that in a statement of withdrawal, you put 12:22 a statement -- you have to put the withdrawal in the context and that that obviously that -- it's just not one line, $I$ am withdrawing my statement of evidence; that she has to put it in the context, and I included that she had read the statement and she was happy for me to put that into her statement. And she did read the statement and she did sign that statement.
673 Q. She read the statement?
A. Yes.

674 Q. That you had written out?
A. She read the statement -- no, she read the statement that she had made in October, and when I read this statement over to her, she signed it. And again, I read the declaration at the top: "I hereby declare that the statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief," et cetera.
675 Q. I am afraid I am going to have to go back to the simple questions. This is a statement signed by Marisa Simms?
A. Correct.

676
Q. There is a body of material in it in which she refers to withdrawing her statement, and various other things?
A. I don't think --

677 Q. The words of the statement, are they Marisa Simms' words?
A. Yes.

678 Q. Right. Did you say to her then, please dictate to me your statement of withdrawal?
A. As I said --
Q. Did you?
A. As I said, I discussed the making of the statement with Marisa and informed her that we have to put this into context, that we are talking about the statement that was made on the 6 th of October. She was after sitting in front of me reading the full statement and, from that regard, we incorporated that into her statement, and, as with every other statement, every line or two lines of that statement would have been reviewed with her. That wasn't just me writing from beginning to end, 'sign that'. That was every couple of lines, 'is that okay, is that okay?' And that is the way I take statements.
Q. She read it over?
A. Pardon?

681 Q. She read it over?
A. I read the statement over to her at the end and she signed it on both places.

682 Q. I may be slow, but I am finding it difficult to follow exactly what happened. You are saying these are her
words?
A. They are her words. She came into the station on 11th January to withdraw her statement and that is the statement she made.
683 Q. Okay. So did she say to you, to your face: "My name 12:24 is Marisa Simms and I live at the above address"? Did she say that to you?
A. As I explained, I explained to Marisa that we have to put this in context. And it's like most witness statements, you will put their name at the start of the 12:24 statement. In relation to "On 6th of October I attended Letterkenny Garda Station and I spoke to Inspector Goretti Sheridan and Sergeant Brigid McGowan," I advised her that that is -- to put it in context, so that would be included in her statement of withdrawal and that would reflect then that is the statement that she is referring to. And again, statements of withdrawals, that is --
684 Q. I know that Donegal is a lyrical and voluble county, but the words 'yes' and 'no', I know the word 'yes' doesn't exist in Irish technically, but the words 'yes' and 'no' must be known to members of An Garda Síochána, and I am going to try and ask you questions which are capable of being answered by 'yes' or 'no' rather than by an explanatory speech. Did you follow me on that, Inspector?
A. Yes.

685 Q. A11 right. We11, then, we will start.
"My name is Marisa Simms and I live at the above
address."
Did she say that to you?
A. As I said, we --

686
Q. Did she say it to you?
A. As I said, we discussed the contents of that statement, 12:26 and what Marisa -- when she came in, she wanted to withdraw her statement, and she -- I said that we would always put in the name and that is in every statement of evidence - my name is, whatever, and I am from - but we don't put in the address because we don't want to disclose the address.

687 Q. And you explained all of that to her?
A. Yes.

688 Q. You say in a statement of withdrawal --
A. Yes. And I said then that -- to confirm that she attended at the Garda station with myself and Sergeant McGowan on 6th of October.

689 Q. You explained to her in detail about the first sentence that would have to go in, is that what you are saying?
A. Well, I didn't explain it in detail. It's: "My name 12:26 is Marisa Simms and I live at the above address".

690 Q. Are they your words?
A. They are Marisa's words.
Q. So she said those words to you: "My name is Marisa Simms and I lived at the above address"?
A. Yes, that was -- as I said, we discussed recording the statement and the context in which it was being recorded and the context in which she was withdrawing her statement.
Q. I see. So she said to you: "My name is Marisa Simms and I live at the above address." And those were her actual words?
A. I discussed that with Marisa simms and I said that we
need to include this in your statement, that "My name is Marisa Simms and I live at the above address," and she said that is fine.
Q. And the next thing she said was: "On 6th of October 2013 I attended at Letterkenny Garda Station and I spoke to Inspector Goretti Sheridan and Sergeant Brigid 12:27 McGowan." So those are words emanating from her mouth?
A. Again, that was discussing the context in which a statement was being recorded and that she was referring to the statement that she made on the 6 th of October 12:27 2013 to myself and Sergeant McGowan.
Q. Didn't you write down those words?
A. In the context of discussing it with Marisa, and she, in turn, agreed that that was okay, to put that in her statement.
Q. Can I suggest to you there was no discussion and these are your words?
A. No, that is incorrect, that is totally incorrect.
Q. If we go on to the next sentence.
A. There was a discussion held between myself and Marisa 12:28 in relation to this statement.
Q. "I outlined to them details of incidents that had occurred between myself and Keith Harrison." Those are her words to you?
A. Again, in the context of discussing the statement and preparing this statement, we both agreed that there was a number of incidents reported going back to October 2013, and that was incorporated into her statement, yes.
Q. You wrote down those words and they are your words?
A. I did write them words down, but they are not my words.

699 Q. I see. So she seems to have developed ability to speak Garda speak in that case --
A. That is your opinion.
Q. -- can I suggest to you.
"They recorded a statement of complaint from me on that day."
Those are her words?
A. As I explained, we had a discussion around what would be -- what would be required in order to withdraw a statement, and that was setting the context, that we were referring to the statement that she made to myself and Sergeant McGowan on the 6th of October in relation to Garda Harrison and to make it clear within her statement that that is the one that she was referring to.

701 Q. We11, can I suggest to you they are your words recorded by you and not spoken by her.
"I have read the statement over today, 11th January 12:29 2014."
A. And she did read the statement over --

702 Q. Yes.
A. -- from beginning to end.

703 Q. And those are your words where you say: "I have read the statement over today, 11th January 2014"?
A. Again, that was in the context of her being happy that she is withdrawing the statement, she has read it, and we are incorporating that in her statement of withdrawal.

704 Q. Can I suggest to you those are your words and not hers. CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Harnett, half past one.

THE HEARING ADJOURNED FOR LUNCH
Q. MR. HARTNETT: Inspector Goretti, I had suggested to you just before lunch, and I don't think you had time to answer the question, the suggestion that the words "I have read the statement over today, 11th January 2014", that they were words formulated by you?
A. Pardon? I missed the start of that question.
Q. All right. Just before lunch I was asking you about the words "I have read that statement over today, 11th January 2014"?
A. That's correct, yes.

707 Q. And I had suggested to you that those were words formulated by you and not by Marisa Simms?
A. That's not true. This statement was recorded, as I 13:32 say, it was myself and Marisa discussing what was required in the statement and that is --
708 Q. So are those her actual words as spoken to you, as spoken to you?
A. As I have previously explained, this was a discussion around her withdrawing her statement, and, in order to withdraw her statement, we had a discussion around what would need to be included in the statement in order to withdraw that. And it was important to put the statement in context in relation to what statement she was referring to and who she made the statement to, and that's in that regard, yes.

709 Q. I'm asking you a very simple question: Are they her words as spoken to you?
A. They are her words as agreed in relation to what was being put into her statement of withdrawal.

710 Q. okay. So they are not her words as spoken to you?
A. They are her words.

711 Q. We will go on: "I want to say that everything I told them on the 6th October 2013 and that recorded in the statement is true." Yes?
A. That's correct, yes.

712 Q. Are they the words she spoke to you?
A. Absolutely, absolutely.

713 Q. Volunteered to you?
A. Yes.

714 Q. The actual words viva voce?
A. Yes.

715 Q. I see. Without prompting?
A. That was myself and Marisa, as I already outlined, had a discussion in relation to the withdrawal of the statement and we put it in context, and what is in that statement is as agreed with Marisa and which she actually signed on two places on its conclusion.

716 Q. We11, I am suggesting to you that they are your words and never hers?
A. They are not my words.

717 Q. "These things did happen and I was present in what" --
A. I was honest.

718 Q. " -- and I was honest in what I told" -- told what?
A. "I was honest what $I$ told them at the time."

719 Q. "What I told them at the time"?
A. Yes.

720 Q. Are you saying that those words actually emanated from her mouth?
A. Absolutely. I did ask Marisa did these events happen and she said they did happen.

721 Q. I am going to suggest to you that that is not the case.
A. That is correct.

722 Q. "Today, 11th January 2014, I wish to inform you that I no longer wish to pursue" --
A. "To withdraw".

723 Q. "To withdraw" --
A. Sorry, I beg your pardon.

724 Q. It's "pursue" as I see it?
A. Or it's "pursue", sorry, I beg your pardon.

725 Q. Yes, yes. And I will go back over it:
"Today, 11th January 2014, I wish to inform you that I no longer wish to pursue a complaint against Keith Harrison."

Were those words formulated by her and spoken by her?
A. As already, I have already said, this was a discussion around what was required in a statement of withdrawal, it was discussed in the context, and the context of that statement was agreed with Marisa, and everything that is in that statement is as agreed with Marisa, and she was present and she could have -- if she could read upside down, she was right beside me when I was writing it, and everything in that statement is correct.



A. No, I am not.
Q. "I wish to withdraw the statement I made on the 6 th october 2013."

That was the next line she spoke, was it?
A. That's correct.
Q. And those were her actual words?
A. As I previously stated, this was a discussion around the -- compiling a statement of withdrawal and the necessary, I suppose, ingredients that would go into a statement of withdrawal so that you can be clear in what instance she is referring to, what statement she is referring to, who she made the statement to. And anything in that statement is as agreed by Marisa.
729 Q. We11, you see, I am going to suggest to you that this is entirely untrue?
A. Well, I disagree with you.

730 Q. And that you presented her with the statement which I submit --
A. I presented her with a statement?

731 Q. Presented her.
A. Is that what Marisa is saying?

732 Q. I am putting it to you --
A. No, but is this what Marisa is saying?

733 Q. No, no, I ask the questions here.
A. Well, I am just curious --

734 Q. I am putting it to you that you prepared this statement
and presented it to her.
A. That is totally incorrect.
Q. And that it obviously contains what I can only refer to as Garda speak.
A. That is incorrect.

CHAIRMAN: In other words, do you understand the allegation?
A. oh, no, yes, I do.

CHAIRMAN: she came into the room and you had the statement there ready and you gave it to her --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- and she signed it, confused.
A. Yes, that's what I am curious, is that actually what Marisa is saying? Is that her instruction to you, that she is saying that I prepared the statement?

736 Q. MR. HARTNETT: Do you hear what I am putting to you?
A. Yes, I do.

737 Q. Yes.
A. And I'm shocked by it. No, that statement was not pre-prepared by me.

738 Q. "I did not make up this statement."
A. "I am making".
Q. Sorry, "I am making this statement of my own accord". were they her words?
A. Yes.

740 Q. And the words came from her mouth?
A. I would have clarified with her that she was in, that she -- as I say, with all statements of withdrawal, and in particular with victims of sexual assaults or
domestic violence, that you will clarify with them that they are satisfied that they're not under pressure, that there's nobody telling them to come into a Garda station to withdraw their statement.
741 Q. I'm going to go back over it.
A. They're not under duress to do so.

742 Q. "I am making the statement of my own accord." She volunteered that, you say. "No one is pressurising me to do so and I did not --"
A. "Am not".

743 Q. "And I am not under duress." Were they also her own words?
A. That's correct yes.

744 Q. The words "duress", and so that was again formulated by her?
A. I asked her was she under duress, was anybody pressurising her into withdrawing the statement, was she under any duress, and, as with any victim, that you would ask any victim that.
745 Q. You see, I am going to suggest to you that you were somewhat frigid in your attitude towards her when she came in, that you showed displeasure at the fact that she wished to withdraw this matter, and that you included in that statement a suggestion that what she had said originally was true, and that while discussing this with her, talking to her, you said to her that you had known of an occasion in the past when -- well, you haven't heard my question, before you throw your eyes to heaven.
A. I am not going to say --

CHAIRMAN: Oh, come on, seriously, it's not necessary. Let's just ask the question. MR. HARTNETT: Yes.
Q. That it was said to you that you had known of a case in the past where there was a row by parents in front of children, that the HSE became involved, or the health authorities became involved, and that you said it in a context where my client felt that there was a suggestion that this might be done.
A. That is totally incorrect, and I explained this last Friday. That when she was in with us on the 6th October, certain1y the HSE was discussed and the need to send in referrals.
Q. And that --
A. When Marisa was in with me on the 11th January 2014, there was no discussion about the HSE, because at that point, if she was withdrawing her statement, any work that the HSE was involved in was either ongoing or finished. I didn't know. I had no involvement with the HSE.

750 Q. And that you said to her, I can't guarantee that this won't happen again?
A. I said, I can't guarantee that this won't happen again?

751 Q. You heard me.
A. Can't guarantee that what won't happen again?
Q. We11, what did you talk about?
A. We talked about, I remember she had on a pair of Rubi shoes, I think they are, and most women will know what they are, she had on a pair of those shoes at the time. Like, Marisa, to me, is fairly stylish, and we just talked about --

758 Q. How long did that take?
A. I don't know.

759 Q. Well, did it take 40 minutes?
A. To discuss a pair of shoes?

760 Q. Yeah?
A. I don't think so.

761 Q. No. It would take about 20 seconds, wouldn't it?
A. I don't know.

762 Q. Why are you saying this as some form of explanation?
A. I'm just saying that it was relaxed atmosphere. It wasn't that she was in the door, we were like, okay, let's get down to business, we're going to start writing here, because that isn't what happened.
So you think there were two tea breaks, and are you suggesting that, during the tea breaks, the conversation moved on to shoes and ladies' clothing?
A. I'm just saying that when we were -- during the interview, I remember that one thing because she had a nice pair of shoes on.

764 Q. Was that during a tea break?
A. Pardon?

765 Q. Was that during a tea break?
A. I don't remember --

766 Q. No, no --
A. -- but I don't think --

767 Q. I'm talking about the tea break?
A. Oh, the tea break.

768 Q. So if you confine yourself to that, please?
A. Pardon?

769 Q. If you would confine yourself to the question I'm asking you, which is about the tea breaks and what went on during them. was there not continual questioning during the tea breaks?
Q. You don't remember a hundred percent. What about the toilet breaks, how many were there?
A. Oh, I have no idea. I don't know how many times -- I
know one time I brought her down to show her where the toilet was, and I know the allegations that she has made in relation to that visit.
Q. Well, did you stand outside the cubicle?
A. There were three doors between myself and Marisa when she was in the toilet, so it was away from the superintendent's office, down another corridor, showed her where the toilet was, I waited on her to come out and brought her back up again.
A. I don't believe so.
Q. You don't believe so. Was there or wasn't there?
A. I don't believe so. And I don't remember one hundred percent, but I don't believe so. Well, let's just get this clear. she needed to go to the bathroom?
A. Probably, yes.
A. I presume she asked to use the bathroom, yes.
Q. Well, it would be quite natural, wouldn't it?
A. Absolutely, for any of us.
Q. Yes. You may have even had to go to the bathroom yourself during the --
A. I can't remember, but there's a good chance.
Q. And you brought her down to the bathroom?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And you stood there, isn't that right?
A. I stood there? I stood where?
Q. You stood there in the bathroom?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. In the toilet?
A. I didn't go into the bathroom. The ladies' toilet that 13:44 Marisa availed of, there are two cubicles, two doors going into each toilet, and there's two doors between that door and the corridor outside, and I was outside.
Q. Well, there are three cubicles, according to her?
A. No, there's two toilets.
A. Yes.
Q. And can I suggest to you --
A. And the other door, maybe Marisa may be mistaken, but there is another door going into the showers.
Q. I'm not hearing you.
A. There is a third door going into the shower facilities, but there are only two toilets, so maybe Marisa believed there are three toilets there.
Q. I see. And did you go back to the room when you brought her there, or did you stay there?
A. Well, I waited outside to bring her back up to the -not in the toilet, in the hallway.
Q. And can I suggest to you you waited outside the cubicle?
A. I did not wait outside the -- I didn't wait outside the cubicle. I was outside in the corridor.
Q. I see. We11, how many times did she have to visit the bathroom?
A. I've no idea. I don't remember.
Q. Well, if it was more than one, did you go with her every time?
A. I don't remember.

789 Q. Well, I am just asking you to try and remember?
A. I remember one particular time bringing her to the toilet, but $I$ don't remember whether she went again herself afterwards or not.
Q. Well, is it possible that you wished to accompany her and be close to her in case she might have contact with Mr. Harrison?
A. While Marisa was in the Garda station she was in full control of her phone, and whether she brought it to the toilet with her or not, I don't know. There is phone evidence there showing that she was in constant
contact with her -- or not constant contact, but she was in contact with her ex-husband, Andrew Simms, and with her sister, Paula McDermott, and she actually text them and said that she's okay when she's in the station. And, in addition, there is phone evidence
there of Keith Harrison ringing and texting persistently while she's in the Garda station, and she goes so far as to show us her phone to say, there it is, that is him ringing me now from the landline.
791 Q. Yes.
A. So she was in full control of her phone.

792 Q. Well, were you worried that maybe she would talk to him when she was in the bathroom?
A. If she wanted to talk to Keith Harrison, she could.

From the phone evidence again you'll see she was talking to him about five times before she came into the Garda station, or certainly was talking to somebody on the landline about five times before she came into the Garda station, so if she wanted to talk to him, she 13:46 could.

793 Q. I am going to move on in the statement and I am going to suggest to you that by the time it was coming to an end, she was very tired, exhausted, as you've described it; she had, from time to time, her head in her hands and that she was answering questions and -- answering questions and agreeing possibly to things said to her?
A. That is not the case, and that was certainly not evident to me, that she was in any way --
794 Q. You see, I'm going to suggest to you that what she said ${ }_{13: 47}$ to you in relation to the burn matter is that she reported that he said "You're going to get burnt"?
A. Absolutely --

795 Q. In the context of not making her mind up who she owed loyalty, her family or herself -- or, sorry, her family 13:47 or Mr. Harrison.
A. Marisa, in her statement, gave full and frank details of what happened on the 28th September and she outlined in her statement what happened that night, and within that statement she also -- she initials any amendments 13:48 that she made, I think in three or four places. So even if she thinks she didn't say it, she did say it, and when it was being read over to her she actually initialed those amendments. So she had to be listening
when we were reading back that statement to her. And, in addition, when she came into the Garda station on the 8th October, at that stage she didn't say anything about, do you know what, you got the wrong version down. She made no complaint at that stage about the manner in which that statement was taken or about the contents of that statement.
796 Q. You've already told us that she appeared surprised by it when she saw it on the 14th January?
A. Yeah, I think --

797 Q. But I will move on. There's an expression here -CHAIRMAN: No, no, no, Mr. Hartnett, she didn't say that, and I think we're all aware of that. She said that there are some things here I didn't remember. I mean, it wasn't specifically a reference to the burning.
MR. HARTNETT: well, I think the witness did say, in reply to me, she appeared surprised. I may be wrong. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, you used the word, and she agreed with it, and she added a number of different adjectives.
MR. HARTNETT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hartnett, it's fine, I am following the evidence and thank you very much.
MR. HARTNETT: very good. I suppose it is an example of how people can agree to things sometimes when they are being put to them.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, in this very pressurised atmosphere. MR. HARTNETT: Yes.
798 Q. You have been in the witness-box for two-and-a-half
hours. Hopefully it won't be eight, because I hope to be able to finish up quite soon. Now, she did refer to one of the children crying, isn't that right?
A. During the --

799 Q. Or there being tears in the child's eye, or something like that?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
Q. And one of you, and possibly Sergeant McGowan, she thinks, said, "Is this after him threatening you?" And that translated into the words, five lines from the bottom, "After having threatening to burn me". Now, that is what was written down by you or Sergeant McGowan.
A. Yeah, what's -- how Marisa detailed that incident is as written in that statement. They are her words. And they're actually similar to words that I think, I suppose that she had told her mother and told her sister before that.
801 Q. Well, were they words you were looking for, having seen them in somebody else's statement?
A. No.

802 Q. Are you sure? Are you sure?
A. I am sure. They are -- that -- you couldn't make it up. They are the words of Marisa Simms.
803 Q. Just to go back again, you had referred to a telephone call, this is before she had come to the police station, in or about the 3rd, and that there was a two-minute phone call which was about clarifications?
A. Sorry?

804 Q. Sorry, if you remember when you were discussing the telephone traffic you had with her in or about 3rd October?
A. Yeah, I have it here.

805 Q. You said there was a two-minute phone call and that must have been a phone call in relation to clarification?
A. Yeah, that's -- it must have been --
Q. Clarification of what?
A. Pardon?

807 Q. Clarification of what?
A. I am only guessing that it must have been clarification of something. We were after being talking earlier that day.
808 Q. So was that a guess on your part when you said it
A. Pardon?

809 Q. Was that a guess on your part?
A. If I was ringing her back, I must have been seeking clarification on something. I had spoken to her for seven minutes earlier.

810 Q. If you were clarifying something, you would write it down, wouldn't you?
A. Not necessarily.

811 Q. Well, if there's an issue and you wish it clarified and 13:52 it is clarified, wouldn't you expect that you would note it?
A. Not necessarily.

812 Q. You see, I suggest to you that that phone call was, in
fact, an indication to Marisa Simms that the superintendent wanted a specific time and date for her to come to the police station?
A. That is incorrect, and we only agreed to meet on Sunday, on Saturday 5th. That is when Marisa agreed to ${ }_{13: 53}$ meet me on the Sunday, and not prior to it. That is her memory of it, and, as you say, you have no memory of what that telephone call was about, but you guess it was a matter of clarification?
A. What I remember is that we made arrangements to meet on ${ }_{13: 53}$ the Sunday, on the Saturday, and not before that.
814 Q. I see. We11, would you take a guess at what was being clarified?
A. No, I can't, I'm not in a position to say what was being clarified.
815 Q. No. So you're just looking at it there and saying, well, it must have been something legitimate, so to speak, it must have been --
A. of course it was legitimate. MR. HARTNETT: If you allow me one minute, sir. Thank ${ }_{\text {13:53 }}$ you.

CHAIRMAN: The meeting where the reference was made to GSOC, what was the date of that? Would you mind just recalling that for me, please?
A. The 8th of October. Tuesday, 8th October, at 10:00am.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. And you weren't in on the Monday?
A. I wasn't in on the Monday, no. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Mr. Harty, do you want to ask about the meeting or anything else?

MR. HARTY: I have got a number of meetings -- or questions to ask her.

INSPECTOR SHERIDAN WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. HARTY:

816 Q. MR. HARTY: Good afternoon, Inspector Sheridan. Your only dealings with Garda Harrison before this was in relation to the motor accident in May of that year, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

817 Q. And how much dealings did you have with that issue?
A. Initially, as outlined in my direct evidence, that Sergeant Aidan Doherty forwarded a report up to the district office, just highlighting the fact that Garda Harrison had been involved in an accident, it was recorded as a personal injury road traffic collision. At the start, the injuries weren't perceived to be that serious, there was a gentleman removed to hospital, and Garda Hynes was investigating it, and I think it was Garda Hynes that brought the matter to Sergeant Doherty's attention, that the injuries were probably life-threatening. So, in that capacity, $I$ forwarded it over to the divisional office. And then subsequent to that, I was aware that it was referred to GSOC, and then I had a gentleman from GSOC contacting me in relation to getting whatever statements that were recorded.

818 Q. You're giving me more information than I require.
A. Right, okay. Sorry about that.

819 Q. Sorry. My question is, were you the person who formed the correct conclusion, and there's no issue with this, that the matter had to be referred to GSOC?
A. No, I just forwarded the report over.

820 Q. The report?
A. Yeah.

821 Q. The next dealings then you say you had in relation to Garda Harrison was when?
A. Like, I have never actually --

822 Q. No, I appreciate you never --
A. I don't know Garda Harrison. I spoke to him once in Milford Garda Station since he has came back to work. So I don't know him in a personal or a work capacity.
Q. Yes.
A. But the next was when the reports came in from Garda McMahon and Sergeant Collins.

824 Q. When did you see those?
A. The email, I think Dave Kelly sent me Brendan McMahon's email on the 1st -- or his report on the 1st October, and, that night, then, James Collins sent a report, which I probably got the following morning, the $2 n d$. 825 Q. And when did you first have a discussion with anyone about those reports?
A. That was when -- on the 2 nd -- on the 1 st, $I$ was prosecuting in the District Court in Letterkenny, so I wasn't around. And on the 2nd October, I don't recall what time, but Chief Superintendent McGinn and James Collins and myself talked in the corridor of the public office just in relation to what was in the reports.
Q. Would it surprise you to know that on the 27th September she had decided to appoint you to investigate Garda Harrison?
A. I saw that in, I think, Superintendent McGovern's notes. I wasn't working on the 27 th.

831 Q. I appreciate you weren't working.
A. Yeah, so I don't --

832 Q. And to a certain extent, I'm just asking you, were you
A. No, I wasn't aware, no.

833 Q. But, in fact, and what is curious about this, is that on the 27th September there was no question of any
threat to Marisa Simms' children from anybody.
A. I'm not aware now so --

So when Chief Superintendent McGinn formed the decision to appoint you to investigate, there was no question of any threat against the children, because the alleged threat took place the following day, isn't that correct?
A. Well, all I know is what I learned about the information, and I have no knowledge of any appointment or anything else. McGovern, and I think I will read the entire passage out so that it is formally there:
"On the 24th September 2013 I received a cal1 from Sergeant McGowan who made me aware that she had been contacted by Sergeant David Durkin then in Donegal and now Detective Sergeant Durkin in Ballyshannon Garda Station. She indicated she had been made aware that there were domestic issues between Marisa Simms and Keith Harrison and that Marisa proposed to put him out of the house. Sergeant McGowan indicated that it was believed they were residing at an address in Churchill, County Donegal, which is part of the Milford Garda district. Sergeant McGowan undertook to try and
discover where the address was. On 27th September 2013 I spoke with Chief Superintendent McGinn relevant to the domestic situation of Marisa Simms and Garda Keith Harrison. She undertook to supply me with a copy of the existing report surrounding the events of the 1st April 2013, 21st August 2013 and a follow-up call was received on 24th September 2013. These reports were supplied by email to me and included reports from Sergeant Aidan Doherty, Letterkenny Garda Station, dated $2 / 4 / 2013$ and 14/9/2013, reports from Superintendent Finan, Letterkenny Garda Station, dated 29/8/2013 and 24/9/2013, two reports from Sergeant Durkin then at Donegal Town Garda Station, now D/Sergeant Durkin, Ballyshannon, dated 28/8/2013, though one relates to events in September 2013, and reports from Inspector Kelly then of Letterkenny, now Superintendent Ke11y of Milford Garda Station, dated 11/9/2013 and 23/9/2013. A report dated 5th September from chief superintendent Letterkenny was also included. I further discussed the matter with chief superintendent Letterkenny at 4:40pm on this date and she indicated that she proposed to appoint Inspector Goretti Sheridan of Letterkenny Garda Station to review the entire matter."

So that is clearly dated 27th September, that that conversation took place, and the decision was made to appoint you to review the entire matter at that stage. You were, I understand, away.
A. I was off, yeah.

836 Q. But you appreciate that if the principal concern was the children, this is one day before the row which took place on the 28th September 2013.
A. All I can comment on is in relation to my actions, and my actions on the $2 n d$ October were in relation to the threats that were made on the 28th September.

837 Q. Can you please tell us in detail the conversation that you had with Chief Superintendent McGinn on the 2nd October?
A. I don't know if I will remember the exact detail, but certainly we spoke about the contents of what was in Garda McMahon's report, Sergeant Collins's report, and then Chief Superintendent McGinn informed me that Rita McDermott had been in contact with Sergeant David Durkin on a number of occasions before that and had highlighted concerns that she had in relation to the behaviour of Garda Harrison.

838 Q. And did she provide you with those reports?
A. No, I didn't -- I didn't have -- all I had was the report from Garda McMahon and Sergeant Collins.

839 Q. And that took place around what time?
A. That is what I said, I can't remember exactly, but I know we were out with Rita around six o'clock, so it must have been early afternoon, or something. I can't say, $I$ can't put a time on it.

840 Q. I take it you then went and got the other reports?
A. No, I didn't. I had never seen them until the disclosure. I hadn't seen those reports on that

841 Q. Wouldn't it have been sensible to get those reports together?
A. We11, I didn't have them. The only reports I had were the two reports that I mentioned.

842 Q. Chief Superintendent McGinn appeared to have all of them?
A. I can't speak for her, unfortunately. I don't know what she had, to be honest.

We11, certain7y Superintendent McGovern says she had al1 of them.
A. I don't know.

844 Q. But they weren't presented to you?
A. No.

845 Q. You drove out with Sergeant Collins to Raphoe, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

846 Q. Just help me and jog my memory, what is the distance from Letterkenny to Raphoe?
A. We11, cross-country, probably about, it'd take you probably 20 to 25 minutes.

847 Q. Did you discuss with Sergeant Collins his impression of what was going on?
A. I don't remember, and I know it's been alluded to here what his impression was, but I don't remember specifically what was discussed.

848 Q. What did you think you were doing?
A. I was --

849 Q. What was the purpose of going to Raphoe?
A. Well, there was serious concerns raised in relation to Garda Harrison's behaviour in relation to, I suppose, Marisa herself, and then, more recently, in relation to the threats that were made in front of Marisa and her children.

850 Q. We11, can we just break that down? There was a series of concerns which no one thought was sufficient to ground any Garda complaint, isn't that correct?
A. Well, to be fair, Rita had raised it with Sergeant Durkin. Paula came in in an upset state; it's the week 14:05 of her wedding and she's in the Garda station complaining to Garda McMahon about the behaviour of her sister's boyfriend, and she didn't want to make a statement at that point, which is probably understandable. I am sure she had enough going on at that point. But I don't know, obviously, what she was thinking, but, no, there was no statement of complaint at that stage.
851 Q. Sergeant Collins had a very clear view as to what Paula McDermott was up to, didn't he?
A. I know that is Sergeant collins --
Q. And he didn't resile from that when he gave his evidence. He had a very clear view as to what Paula McDermott was doing?
CHAIRMAN: Well, he didn't actually make amy comment, Mr. Harty, one way or the other. He didn't resile from the fact that he had made that comment at the time, but he didn't offer me an opinion as to what actually was going on. MR. HARTY: Sorry, the situation is, is that no one had, in fact, made a formal complaint at all about this, so what were you doing going to Raphoe?
A. Well, I suppose, I mentioned it earlier and I'm sure it wasn't up and running at that stage, but you know this campaign "what would you do?" in relation to domestic violence and third parties being in possession of information, we're encouraging people in the public to come forward and make reports in relation to domestic violence that they are aware of. But in these circumstances, like, an uncle, a cousin, a mother, a sister had aired concerns to An Garda Síochána, and I think the threats to burn or bury was probably the tip of the iceberg.
855 Q. Can we deal with those one by one then. The uncle and the cousin, insofar as they had any concerns, they were entirely as represented to them in the small hours of the morning by the mother, and you knew that?
A. I didn't know anything about that when $I$ went out to Rita.

856 Q. Okay.
A. But that's --

857 Q. You didn't have a look at the Pulse entry before you
A. I don't -- possibly. I can't say I did or I didn't. I don't know.

858 Q. I mean, it was nothing. The Gardaí accepted there was
nothing to it; that the two people who came into the station were concerned, but there was no basis for the concern, isn't that correct?
A. Well, I wasn't there, and unless you were there to witness it, I don't know.

859 Q. Right. And it's accepted that the uncle, certain7y, had had a significant amount of drink on him?
A. Well, I think it was -- I think Garda Fowley referred to him as being, if he was stopped at checkpoint, you would think he was possibly over the limit and would process him, but that he wasn't staggering.

860 Q. We11, she said you would definitely process him.
A. Well, you know, that's the --

861 Q. And in any event, their source of the information was Rita McDermott, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

862 Q. So there are effectively two people making complaints: Paula McDermott and Rita McDermott?
A. Well, in fairness -- well, obviously based on Rita, but you still have an uncle and a cousin ringing the Garda station and coming into the Garda station to air their concerns. And to be fair, they're in

Letterkenny and --
CHAIRMAN: Could I intervene, Inspector Sheridan, please. A lot of what you are telling me I actually
know. It's not really hopeful or helpful to debate, you know, issues. It's just facts that are within your knowledge would help me. If you wouldn't mind please confining your answers to that. It's not a rebuke; it

just doesn't help.
MR. HARTY: The situation is, and you accept, that nobody had actually witnessed anything which gave rise to a Garda investigation, isn't that correct?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. You then went, and what was going to happen when you were in Raphoe? To take a statement, was that the plan?
A. It wasn't the plan to go out and take a statement. It was the plan to go out and talk to Rita McDermott and ask her about what was happening, what had gone on and if there was anything evidence-based then to support these alleged threats.
Q. But she had no evidence.
A. Well, she still -- she made a complaint in relation to how Garda Harrison was dealing with her daughter.
Q. She had no evidence.
A. Not -- she had evidence in relation to -- she had evidence in relation to the assault or the incident where he was throwing -- one of the incidents where she 14:09 was thrown out of the house. She did.
Q. What was that, her daughter was waiting outside the house?
A. We11, it corroborated part of what we were subsequently told, yes.
Q. No, but at the time that you went to Rita McDermott, Rita McDermott had no actual evidence to offer?
A. Well, we didn't know that at the time.
Q. You did, because the only thing she was reporting was
hearsay from Marisa.
A. Well, we went out to Raphoe to speak to Rita McDermott, to ascertain if she had anything else to offer, did she have any information to offer the guards, did she want to speak to the guards.

870 Q. Anyway, you took a statement from her, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

871 Q. I take it that, having taken that statement from her, you then certainly reviewed all of the other reports, Pulse entries, etcetera?
A. I most likely did. I can't say -- I have no note of it, so I can't say definitively that I did.
872 Q. So when did you go and take a statement from Jim Quinn?
A. I never took a statement from Jim Quinn.

873 Q. But he was the only witness to any alleged behaviour. So when --
A. I didn't.

874 Q. Maybe if we go back one step. When the Gardaí hear of an offence, I take it the next stage is investigation?
A. Well, the first stage is to talk to the injured party.
Q. Is it?
A. Yes. well, not the first -- but in that regard, having spoken to Rita McDermott, the next step would be to speak to Marisa. She was willing to engage with An

876 Q. You didn't just speak to Rita McDermott; you took a statement of evidence from her.
A. When she was -- when she was willing to make a
statement of evidence, yes, we recorded a statement of evidence.
877 Q. So if the first stage is to speak to the injured party, what was the statement from Rita McDermott?
A. In this situation, and I don't think I said that, it's not always the first case that you take a statement from an injured party. You had a third party airing concerns in relation to the welfare of her daughter. So we were aware, Paula had made a complaint but wasn't willing to put it to writing, Marisa was angry with her 14:11 sister, and we went out to Rita then to see then had she anything to offer the investigation, and, if she had, for her to make contact with Marisa to see did she want to make a statement or did she want to meet with the guards.
Q. But you didn't leave it there; you then went on and took a statement from Rita McDermott.
A. Yeah, well, she volunteered to make a statement.
Q. Did she say that?
A. Well, it's --

880 Q. You went out to get her to get Marisa to contact you, you conveyed that message. So what happened then?
A. We recorded a statement. She aired concerns she had in relation to the behaviour of Garda Harrison.
881 Q. How did it come about that you had started recording a statement?
A. Well, we asked her did she want to make a statement. we invited her to make --

882 Q. So you requested --
Q. You requested a statement. And that statement contains no actual evidence of anything?
A. Well, there are elements of evidence in that that corroborate part of what -- in relation to being thrown 14:12 out of the house, and she intimated in her statement that she went over there three times.

884 Q. She collected her outside the house, isn't that right?
A. Pardon?

885 Q. She collected her from the house, outside the --
A. She wasn't just going to collect; it was going to collect an upset and distressed daughter.
886 Q. No, I think the statement says that she left the house on three occasions. Sorry, perhaps if we just come back, before we go to that. If we look at your own statement at page 1046. Sorry, excuse me, that is Sergeant Collins' statement, and it was his evidence. 1046, page 3 of Sergeant Collins' statement.
A. 1046?

887 Q. Yes. Sergeant Collins statement.
A. It's a report, is it?

888 Q. No, I think it is his statement for the Tribunal.
A. I am just looking, 1046 on my screen, it looks like a report.
889 Q. Well, his statement starts at 1044. There we are.
A. Right, sorry.

890 Q. And then if we go to page 1046.
A. Yeah, sorry, it is the statement.

891 Q. His evidence was that, if we go to the paragraph
commencing "Interview with Rita Bogle". So:
"On the 2nd October 2013 I was in the public office area of Letterkenny Garda Station. Chief Superintendent McGinn and Inspector Sheridan were present. Chief Superintendent McGinn directed Inspector Sheridan to make contact with Rita Bogle McDermott with a view to taking a statement from her in relation to any information she might have in relation to the matter."

So his recollection was that you were sent to get a statement.
A. You just can't get a statement from somebody. You can invite a member of the public, whether it be Rita or anybody else, to make a statement, but you can't force anybody to make a statement.
892 Q. The purpose of the journey to Raphoe was to get the statement, that's Sergeant Collins' evidence?
A. I went out to Raphoe with Sergeant Collins on the instruction of Chief Superintendent McGinn to talk to Rita McDermott and ascertain what was going on; there was very serious allegations made in relation to, what I said already, Garda Harrison's behaviour, and to see if she's going to make a statement. But we weren't going out there to make her make a statement.

893 Q. Because you told me a while ago that your purpose was to see if you could get Marisa to make a statement, a complaint?
A. There was serious allegations being made and Marisa was obviously in the background at this stage. Mm-hmm. But you told me, when you were answering my questions a moment ago, that the purpose was to talk to Rita and see if she could get Marisa to make a statement.
A. Yeah, well, in addition, like I spoke to Rita and asked her -- she raised the concerns about her daughter and I said, well, will your daughter make a statement? And she took my mobile number and she said that she would contact Marisa and she believed that she would make a statement, and it was on7y the next day then that she confirmed that she was going to take a statement.
Q. The point is that Sergeant collins is of the view that your reason for going out there was to get a statement from Rita McDermott?
A. Yeah, I could say I was going out to get a statement, but it's not guaranteed you are going to get a statement. It's obviously up to the person you are going to visit if they want to make a statement or not.
896 Q. Right. So your next involvement then was when? what did you do next?
A. With?

897 Q. After you took the statement from Rita McDermott, you spoke to Marisa the following day?
A. No. Oh, the following -- on the phone, yes, yes.
Q. At this stage, you had given the impression that there was perhaps a serious threat to the children?
A. I don't think there's any shadow of a doubt that there had been serious threats made.

899 Q. No. Sorry, there had been serious threats reported?
A. Yes.

900
Q. And sorry --
A. Alleged, alleged, yes.

901 Q. -- perhaps we could be clear on this. Because somebody says somebody did something, it doesn't mean it happened, does it?
A. Exactly, yes.

902 Q. And when somebody goes into a Garda station to relate what has happened, they're not the victim at that stage; they're the complainant, isn't that right?
A. Are you talking like a third party?

903 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah, it's a concerned person.

904 Q. Yes.
A. Whoever, yes.

905 Q. But even Marisa Simms, you refer to people who come to make statements in relation to domestic violence as the victims?
A. Well, they are victims.

906 Q. Are they? Always?
A. Pardon?

907 Q. Always?
A. Well, we treat them as victims when they come into the station.

908 Q. Are they always victims?
A. I can't say, but, like, a lot of statements that we take from victims are from -- victims of domestic
violence, are victims, but obviously there's two sides to every story.
909 Q. Yes, there are two sides to every story.
A. But we always treat them as victims when they come in.

910 Q. But they're not necessarily always victims, are they?
A. Well, to be honest, probably my experience, the majority of them are victims.
911 Q. when we deal with -- when you dealt with it, you had the name of an independent witness who had been present at one of the alleged incidents, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

912 Q. When did you go and speak to that independent witness?
A. I never spoke to the independent witness. I never spoke to Jim Quinn.
913 Q. Why not?
A. I just never spoke to Jim Quinn.

914 Q. Why not?
A. I didn't carry out the full investigation into these matters.
Q. Sorry, you are a very accomplished Inspector, with a significant array of experience; why did you not go and speak to the witness, to one of the three occasions of actual named incidents of domestic violence?
A. I just, I didn't take a statement from Jim Quinn. I didn't make any inquiries with him.
916 Q. And I would like to know why?
A. I think -- I believe my priority at that stage was to talk to Marisa Simms, and, when I did talk to Marisa, the statement was then referred to GSOC.

917 Q. We will come to that. But even after you spoke to Marisa Simms, you still didn't speak to Jim Quinn?
A. I didn't talk to anybody, because, that night, that was the night of the 8 th -- or the night of the 6 th, $I$ was off the 7 th, in on the 8 th, and it was referred to GSOC so I didn't carry out any inquiries after that. When you were printing off acts the other day to bring in the Non-Fatal Offences Against the Person Act, did you print off the Garda Act?
A. I printed off one section, the definition of harassment, Judge. That is it. I wasn't printing off full acts.
Q. Section 105 is noted in your notes of the 8 th, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

920 Q. Of the Garda Act?
A. Yes, I believe so, yes.

921 Q. And perhaps, because I omitted to print it off myself, but can you tell me what section 105 of the Garda Act says?
A. I can't remember the full wording of it, but it's to do with, there's nothing to stop -- I believe, and I could be wrong, that Gardaí can continue their investigation even if the referral is made to GSOC. And I think, and correct me if I am wrong because --
922 Q. That is precisely what it says.
A. Yeah.

923 Q. Nothing in this Act shall preclude a member of An Garda Síochána being charged with a criminal offence or
investigated in respect of a criminal offence just because it has been referred to GSOC. And you have noted that in your notes of the 8th October?
A. I have noted the section, yes.

924 Q. No, and it actually says, if you go to your notes of
A. Yes.
Q. You actually go further, you actually say: "Section 105, Gardaí continue and GSoc"?
A. That's correct, yes.

926 Q. So at the end of the meeting on the 8th October, it was clear from your own notes that the Gardaí were to continue?
A. No, that is incorrect.

927 Q. That is incorrect?
A. That is incorrect.
Q. Could you please point out to me a single piece of document which says that the Garda investigation here has been stopped?
A. When I attended at that meeting --

929 Q. Mm-hmm.
A. -- GSOC was discussed and the matter was referred to GSOC, and it was discussed there that the best option was to send it to GSOC and they would provide an open and transparent investigation because there's a Garda member involved, and that was it. I had no other -- I had no further involvement in that investigation.

930 Q. And why was any reference made to Section 105?
A. I presume it was discussed, that we could possibly proceed with our investigation.

931 Q. You had no further involvement?
A. I had no other involvement in that investigation.

932 Q. Really?
A. In taking statements or anything.

933 Q. Absolutely certain about that? And I will give you one last occasion just to confirm whether that is true or false.
A. I took the statement of withdrawal from Marisa.

934 Q. Mm-hmm.
A. And I had, on the 8th, applied for a Pulse activity report.
Q. Yeah.
A. You'11 have to remind me, because I don't --

936 Q. You're absolutely certain of that?
A. No, I'm not absolutely certain.

937 Q. That referral was made on the 8th October, isn't that right?
A. I believe so. That's when we had the meeting, on the 8th October, so presumably it was forwarded that day.
938 Q. Yeah. On the 9th October you're sent a statement from Sergeant Durkin?
A. That's correct, yes.

939 Q. Yeah. Containing the statement of Joanne Moran, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

940 Q. On the 10th October you're sent another statement, isn't that correct, or the 11th October? It's at page 919.
A. Yeah, from -- what statement is that you're referring to?
Q. I'm referring to, the first statement is the 9th October, a statement of Joanne Moran, which was sent to you by Sergeant Durkin?
A. Yeah, and that was just forwarded to the divisional office, yeah.
Q. And then at page 919, on the 11th October, you were forwarded Sergeant Durkin's own statement, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah, he forwarded them by post, a hard copy.

943 Q. And then you are corresponding in relation to the -you were being sent Superintendent McGovern's correspondence in relation to the section 102 referral on the 6th November, isn't that correct? That's at page 927.
A. That's correct, yeah.

944 Q. On the 7th November, Kar1 Campbe11, Garda Kar1 Campbel1, is writing to you asking you if there is any more progress on the westport thing. That's at page 929.
A. That's correct.

945 Q. Isn't that correct?
A. Yeah.

946 Q. On the 7th November you are confirming, and this is at page 931, you are confirming that you have the statements from Westport confirming you contacted the hote1, etcetera, "Not posing as guard but as a groom. In Templemore. I spoke with her last week. She
indicated she might withdraw statement but I advised her to take time to think about it. In class. will ring in a wee while."

Isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

947 Q. So why is Karl Campbell emailing you a month later if you had done nothing else?
A. I would imagine anything that was done after that was for GSOC, and that was my understanding.
948 Q. If we go to page 929, Karl Campbell -- I think that must be the email which gave rise to this one. Yes, it is.
"Goretti, has there been anything further in the
westport thing? GSOC have reverted and say Marisa Simms' has... contacted them in reply stating that she would not be cooperating with their inquiry so therefore they are closing their file on the matter. A request will be forwarded from this office to the assistant commissioner to appoint a superintendent from outside the division to investigate all aspects of this matter so we need to gather up as much as we can in anticipation of such an appointment."

So you were still being directed from divisional headquarters to carry out investigative steps in relation to this, isn't that correct?
A. I didn't carry out any investigation in relation to
this.
949 Q. Who is Karl Campbell?
A. Karl Campbell works in the divisional office.

950 Q. Runs --
A. And the statement that you referred to, statement from Sergeant Durkin, that came out of -- as a result of the meeting of the 8th October; Superintendent Finan tasked him to follow up on that inquiry. In relation to the statements on the 9th and 11th, Garda Gerry Cornyn and Padraig Conroy, that was in relation to the threats on Garda Harrison's life.
A. But you mentioned them. That is what those two statements relate to, that was to do with the threats on Garda Harrison's life. And then it wasn't until 6th November that I actually became aware that GSOC weren't -- that the complaint, they weren't pursuing it.
952 Q. Karl Campbell is following things up with you?
A. Yeah.

953 Q. "we need to gather up as much as we can."
A. Yeah, well, I presume he's -- well, I don't know what he's presuming, but what we have --
954 Q. No. "Anything further in the westport thing?" who is Karl Campbell? He didn't give a statement to the Tribunal. He's not named as a person who could give evidence by Chief Superintendent McGinn. who is he?
A. Karl Campbell works in the divisional office. He works -- he looks after discipline and internal affairs
and I suppose GSOC inquiries, that's his job within the office.
955 Q. And he was in attendance at the meeting on the 8th October wasn't he?
A. I don't believe so, no.

956 Q. Superintendent McGovern says he was.
A. I don't know. I don't --

957 Q. No, no --
A. He may have been there.

958 Q. Yes.
A. I don't know.

959 Q. We11, I have to assume that Superintendent McGovern, who appears to be a remarkably good notetaker --
A. He is, yeah.

960 Q. -- he's clear that Karl Campbell was at this meeting? 14:27
A. He may have been, $I$ just don't remember.

961 Q. Who said what at the meeting of the 8th October?
A. Well, the discussion, I suppose, was mainly around the statement.
962 Q. Yeah.
A. And the contents of the statement.

963 Q. Mm-hmm.
A. The criminal offences identified --

964 Q. Yeah.
A. -- throughout it. And then obviously in relation to the threats that were made against Garda Harrison on the 4th and 5th October, that was discussed. And obviously what was -- you know, measures were to be put in place in relation to Garda Harrison.
Q. Who said there was to be no criminal investigation in Donegal in relation to this?
A. It wasn't my decision. The chief superintendent was there, she chaired the meeting, and the decision was made to refer the matter to GSOC --
Q. I am asking you --
A. -- for their --
Q. -- who said and directed you to cease your criminal investigation?
A. The decision at that meeting was made by Chief Superintendent MCGinn to refer the matter to GSOC.
Q. And she directed you -- and we need to be very clear about this because it is quite important, did she direct you not to carry out any further criminal investigation?
A. I can't say she specifically directed me not to carry out any further criminal investigation, but my understanding was, as soon as it went to GSOC it was -that was it, I'd have no further dealings with it, unless they came back and required help, which they often do. They may ask for assistance from the guards. 969 Q. But your notes don't say that. Your notes say both go on at the same time, don't they?
A. That's --
Q. "Section 105, Garda continue and GSOC."
A. And GSOC. That, I think I said in my direct evidence, the GSOC side of things was relatively new to me at that stage, and I would imagine, I don't know, but I wrote down "section 102 , section 85 ," and I have down
the word "may GSOC". That's me, like, writing out the meaning within, within each section. So it's not that -- section 105 must have been discussed, "Garda continue," even though -- the guards can continue even the file goes to GSOC. But it wasn't my decision.
A. No.

972 Q. Can you tell me who expressly made that decision?
A. I think it was a discussion between chief Superintendent McGinn and Superintendent McGovern in relation to the matter and obviously going through the statement and looking at the offences that were highlighted, and I think they looked at the incident on the 28th September and we all agreed that there appeared to be a threat, a serious threat to kill there, or to burn, cause serious harm, and in relation to, that she said that she was afraid and her mother also said that she was scared for her daughter's life.
973 Q. okay. So GSoc, can you tell the Tribunal what your understanding is in relation to GSOC's crime prevention powers?
A. I'm not aware.

974 Q. Sorry?
A. I'm not aware of what their crime prevention powers are.

975 Q. But can you tell me what you think they might be?
A. No, I don't know what they are.
Q. We11, would you agree with me, they have none?
A. Possibly.

977

What would GSOC do to protect the life of Marisa Simms and her children, what could GSOC do?
A. I don't know, like, where you're coming from. what could they do?
983 Q. What could they do?
A. Well --

984 Q. There was a serious threat, which was the one overriding thing going through your mind at all times, it's the only thing you're really thinking about, it's why Marisa Simms sat exhausted in a room for eight-and-a-half hours, because you had one overriding thing going through your mind: the serious threat to the weans.
A. what?

985 Q. The children. Okay. Now, please te11 me what powers

GSOC had to protect the children?
A. GSOC don't have any powers. Nobody -- as I said, nobody, nobody has powers to provide crime prevention advice. It's knowledge, it's possession of knowledge that you would have, and, as a member of An Garda Síochána, you probably would be better placed to offer security advice.
Q. Yes.
A. The crime prevention officer or a member of An Garda Síochána, depending on what the risk is.
987 Q. What would you do in the ordinary course if you hear that one person has threatened another person's life? Leave this case aside. What do you do? Let's assume it's not a garda.
A. Well, the member attending the scene gathers the information and it's assessed.

988 Q. And then what happens?
A. The risk is assessed.

989 Q. And then what happens?
A. And depending on the risk, then they may be served with 14:32 crime prevention, Garda information management forms and provided with information in relation to that.

990 Q. You would take a statement of complaint, wouldn't you?
A. You would take a statement of complaint.

991 Q. A statement of complaint from the person?
A. Oh, yeah. Oh, sorry, I thought you -- at this stage where the statement is taken, yeah.

992 Q. And the next thing you would do, wouldn't it be, would be to take in the person who had allegedly made the
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threat, isn't that correct?
A. Ordinarily, yes. Well, when you would gather your evidence, yes.
Q. Yes.
A. Yes. Ordinarily, you would, yeah.

994 Q. Who brought in Garda Keith Harrison to investigate this?
A. Nobody brought him in.
Q. Nobody brought him in. You had all of your evidence, didn't you?
A. No, we didn't.
Q. Oh, you didn't?
A. No. The matter was referred to GSOC.

997 Q. If we go through your notes for that meeting, the 8th October. You see "Activity report on Pulse 10:00am".
I am not sure what page these are on. 906, please. "Activity report on Pulse. Marisa, Paula, Rita Boyle." what is the next?
A. "Cars".
Q. "Cars. Next thing to be done: Interview."
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. There are certain people named there. And, in fact, each person is given somebody to carry the job of interviewing these people, isn't that right? Brigid McGowan is to interview Ms. Roulston, Paula is interviewed by, is it Garda Hennessy?
A. No, she's on her honeymoon. It says "honeymoon". Sorry, I beg your pardon.
Q. Andrew is to be interviewed by Brigid McGowan, isn't
that correct?
A. It's a line over to B McG for both Emma Roulston and Andrew.
Q. "Phone dumped", isn't that right?
A. That was -- I was to get Eoin Waters to dump the phone, 14:34 yeah.
Q. Then GSOC, HSE referral, then we deal with the threats. Then if we turn over the page, we deal with the section 85 GSOC. Can you remember why the section 85 GSOC wasn't relied on?
A. It was because obviously the threats, the serious threats that were made on the 28th September, that it was deemed that that was a threat to kill or cause serious harm.
Q. No, there is nothing in section 102 -- who opened up -- 14:35 I take it Garda Campbell was there and he deals with this during --
A. I don't remember Garda Campbe11 being there, to be honest.
Q. I take it Chief Superintendent McGinn is vaguely aware of the past tense used in section 102 of the Act where it says "has caused death or serious harm"?
MR. DOCKERY: That is a question for Chief Superintendent McGinn, with respect, sir. MR. HARTY: Well, I'm asking whether or not Chief Superintendent McGinn, during the course of that meeting, alerted herself to the fact that section 102 says whether the Act had "caused", past tense.
A. Well, I think I mentioned this on Friday also, that we
had a girl in and she outlined from December 2010 until october 2013 that she was exposed to consistent texting, phoning, harassment, and like, I mean, you could look at that and say that's conducive with mental torture.
Q. Were you present when I read the Act the other day?
A. I was down the back and I can't say --
Q. It's section 83 .
A. I know it says -- it's may.
Q. It's very clear on this.
A. Yeah.
Q. There is no reading of the Act which could have included what is relayed in that statement as coming within section 102 ?
A. There was a genuine fear both from Marisa herself and from her mother regarding her welfare, and her mother actually alluded to the fact that she was concerned for her life, or scared for her life, should I say.

## Q. That is not what section 102 is for, is it?

A. I didn't make the referral under 102.

1010 Q. No. What do you have written under section 85 GSOC?
A. "Complaint of serious harm".
Q. "Complaint of serious harm". Yeah. That's in relation to section 102, isn't that correct?
A. I don't know. They're my notes, "complaint of serious harm", I would say I am writing all those things down because it's probably news to me.
Q. Right. We11, "statements of evidence" is written at the bottom there, "from Gerry"?
A. "Gerry and Padraig Conroy," they are the two guards that work in the communications centre in Letterkenny and who took the calls in relation to Garda Harrison's alleged threats.
what is written in the corner box there? "Meeting in morning"?
A. "Meeting in morning, no Pulse number".
Q. So what happened at the meeting in the morning?
A. Meeting in morning, that's just --
Q. No, no, I take it that is suggesting a meeting the
A. There was no meeting the following morning.
Q. There's no Pulse number. You're the only person who took note of this meeting. There were six people there.
A. I can't comment. All $I$ know is, these are my notes. I don't know if anybody else was writing or not.
Q. I'm curious to know what happened at the meeting the following morning?
A. There was no meeting the following morning.
Q. But then why have you written down that there was a meeting in the morning?
A. There was no meeting the following morning.
Q. So I presume Brigid McGowan went and interviewed the various people?
A. Pardon?
Q. I presume Brigid McGowan interviewed Emma Roulston, Andrew -- no?
A. There was no investigation -- at the conclusion of the
Q. Why were you trying to contact Marisa?
A. No, I was -- in October?
Q. But you did contact her in October?
A. Yeah, to see how she was.
Q. And why did you email Karl Campbell with multiple exclamation marks afterwards, saying, "Can't get through to Marisa on the phone"?
A. Where is the email?
Q. "No reply from Marisa today."

That's at page 935.
"Kar1, no reply from Marisa today 15th October."
meeting, it was decided that the matter would be referred fully to GSOC to do their own inquiry in relation to the matter.

And the children and Marisa were supposed to just continue on in the vague hope that GSOC somehow was going to magically find the powers to help them if there was a further threat?
A. GSOC, in their investigations, in the main, will look for Garda assistance in relation to them, but this matter was referred to GSOC because it was a serious matter. And Marisa had my phone number if she wanted to contact me, if she had any concerns. So I don't know, like, if GSOC contacted her -- I don't probably understand your question.
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That's at page 935. Two exclamation marks.
A. Two.
Q. Yes.
A. Yeah, but that's -- I tried to contact her.

11027 Q. why?
2 A. To see how she was.
1028 Q. Why are you asking -- why are you telling Kar1

4
5
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A. He must have obviously rang me to see had I been talking her.
Q. Why was he calling you?
A. I can't speak for Karl Campbe11, I can't. I don't know.
Q. Well, you did speak quite a lot to Karl Campbell in relation to this, didn't you?
A. I can't say I spoke to him. Anything that I got, I forwarded over to him in the divisional office in his capacity as a guard dealing with all matters to do with internal affairs and GSOC, etcetera.
Q. You did speak to him about this matter, didn't you?
A. I'm sure I did speak to him on occasion, like. I can't say specifically that I did or I didn't.
Q. And he was ringing you on the 15th October, at which stage the Gardaí had every reason to believe that the GSOC investigation was ongoing, at which stage you say you had finished the investigation a week earlier?
A. 15th October?
Q. 15th October. The meeting on the 8 th October you say was the last time you were involved with this investigation, and she's investigating -- you're emailing Karl Campbell on the 15th October?
A. Sorry, what page number is that on, please?
Q. 935. It's email itemised as 33 of 37 . I think we have three of them?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you know what the other 34 emails were about between yourself and Karl Campbell?
A. What page is that on?
Q. That's at page 935.
A. That's where the email is?
Q. Ah-ha.
A. And the other document you're referencing?
Q. Just the email there, it says -- it's the email.
A. Yes.
Q. "Kar1, no reply from Marisa today, Goretti."
A. Yes.
Q. Sent on the 15th October 2013, and it's itemised as being number 33 of 37 items in the search and retrieval 14:42 system.
A. Oh, you are talking at the top right-hand corner. That could be any correspondence between myself and Karl

Campbell in relation to GSOC or disciplinary inquiries.
Q. No, it's, in fact, every correspondence from Kar1

Campbe11.
A. Yes.
Q. It's written at the bottom. To and from Karl Campbe11.
A. Right, okay.
Q. So it wasn't a fair question to you, I accept that.
A. Sorry --
Q. Now, what I am asking you --
A. -- I was getting confused.
Q. -- is that, one week after, you apparently have no
further role in this, you were contacting Karl Campbell to tell him that you can't get through to Marisa?
A. Well, there was no -- there was no contact from Marisa from when -- from after the 8th when she came in to make her -- or to hand over her phone for download.
Q. So can you tell me why you were trying to contact her and why it was relevant that you told Karl Campbell?
A. I imagine I was contacting her to see how she was and if she had been talking to Gsoc.
Q. And why is that relevant to Karl Campbell?
A. Pardon?
Q. Why is that relevant to Karl Campbell?
A. Because he is in the divisional office and he would be linking in with Gsoc in his capacity as, as I already explained, in his role.
Q. Can you show me the correspondence from Karl Campbel1 detailing difficulties that GSOC had in contacting -so Karl Campbell clearly must have gotten on to you to ask you why Marisa was unavailable to GSOC, is that what you are saying?
A. No, no, no, no, no. I don't know, I don't know why Karl Campbe11 -- why I wrote that email to Karl Campbell.
Q. You don't know why?
A. No, I don't know.

11052
Q. So when Kar1 Campbell is contacting you again in November, and I will get that email again, 7th November, that email:
"I've statements from westport confirming that he contacted you first asking you for any update on the westport thing." And that's at page 929.
A. That's correct.
Q. He certainly seems to be of the view that you are investigating something, isn't he?
A. I can't say what Karl Campbe11 is thinking at that stage.
Q. And then you reply:
"I have statements in the Westport thing confirming that he contacted the hotel etcetera but not posing as guard but as a groom. In Templemore. I spoke to her last week. She indicated might withdraw the statement but I advised her to take her time to think about it. In class. will ring in a wee while."

Now, before we move on to why you are doing this, that statement, $I$ take it you are referring to, is the statement of Joanne Moran, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, because I had emailed over a hard copy and I think 14:45 I had the original.
Q. And that is at page 680. That's a statement that was taken, in fact, on the 9th October, is that right?
A. That's correct, yeah.

11056 2
Q. If I go to the fifth or sixth line:
"Keith's query was relating to photos from our nightclub in the Castlecourt called the C2. Keith indicated he was either a groomsman or best man for the 14:45 wedding and the hens for this weekend was in the nightclub the previous weekend? Keith indicated -- or he didn't specify the dates but the weekend just prior to 3rd December 2003. Keith indicated he was looking for photographs of the hen party in the nightclub."

Now, can you tell me why anybody acting honestly could have said that that statement said that he was posing as the groom?
A. I believe, and I am not a hundred percent sure, but it was -- it was, I can't remember who. Somebody had said it to Sergeant David Durkin, that he posed as a guard.
Q. No, I am not talking about the posing as a guard. That was all cleared up by 9th October, albeit that it features in a report the following day from chief Superintendent McGinn. But it's not that; you say he posed as the groom?
A. I didn't say he posed as a groom. I said -- oh, I did, yeah, not posing as a guard but as a groom, yeah.
Q. "I have statements from westport" --
A. Yeah.
Q. 931.
A. Yeah.
Q. "I have statements from Westport confirming he
contacted the hotel, etcetera, not posing as a guard but as a groom."
A. Yeah. In fairness, and it's groomsman or best man for a wedding. And I was in Templemore so I wouldn't have had anything with me. I answered that from my mobile phone. So --
Q. You accept that it is unfair on any reading of Garda Harrison to say that he rang up pretending he was the groom?
A. Oh, well, obviously I can see now that's inaccurate. 14:47 It's not a groom, it's groomsman. But I suppose what is most concerning was that I suppose he wasn't invited to the wedding and he wasn't part of the party.
Q. Well, you don't know precisely what happened in relation to that, do you?
A. In relatio to?
Q. The to-ing and fro-ing as to whether somebody was invited to that wedding or not?
A. Well, I can only go by what Marisa told me and said in her statement and what Rita said, but aside from that I 19:47 don't know.
Q. If it's of any assistance, Garda Harrison will say that in the end of July/start of August, the matter was entirely up in the air as to whether or not he was going to be invited to the wedding and it was only in 14:48 September that it was confirmed that he wasn't invited to the wedding?
A. I don't know, I don't know.
Q. Yes, you don't know that.
"In class. will ring in a wee while." I take it you rang?
A. I presume so.
Q. What did you discuss?
A. I have -- I've no recollection, I don't know what I discussed, but presumably I discussed that I had the statement, or whatever, $I$ don't know. I can't say what I discussed.
Q. What more was there to discuss?
A. I don't know.
Q. You had done nothing for two months, or for a month. You were not supposed to have done anything for a month, according to your version of events. The divisional office is ringing, emailing you about something and you were compelled to ring them back. what were you discussing?
A. I don't think I was compelled to ring them back, I don't think there's anything there saying that you are compelled to ring me back. I said I will ring you -"In class. Will ring in a wee while." And I can't sit 14:48 here today and say definitively that $I$ did ring him. I don't know if I did or not.
Q. Why would you have had to ring him?
A. I don't know. Maybe I felt that maybe I should, I don't know.
Q. And at this stage who in the guards was concerned for the wellbeing of Marisa Simms' children?
A. At that stage?
Q. Yeah?
A. I don't know.
Q. Who in the guards? Because this all started, you must remember this statement was taken because of the absolute concern for the safety and wellbeing of Marisa Simms' children. So who in the guards, on the 7th November, is checking up on the wellbeing of Marisa Simms' children?
A. I can only talk for myself, and I had rang Marisa at least three times in October.
Q. Did you ring Rita?
A. I believe I was talking to Rita, but --
Q. Right. Because her evidence was that you never spoke to her again?
A. To Rita?
Q. Yes, after that.
A. No, I definitely spoke to Rita. I rang Rita on the 23rd October and I rang twice and there was no reply, and there's a message-minder, I didn't leave a message.
Q. Yes. So you didn't actually speak to her?
A. And prior to that, I had rang Marisa.
Q. And you didn't speak to her?
A. I didn't speak to her, no. I had left a message. I didn't leave a message, sorry.
Q. Did you ring Paula McDermott after she came back from honeymoon?
A. I never met Paula McDermott so I never spoke to her.
Q. Did you ring Andrew Simms?
A. No, I never -- I didn't do -- I didn't contact any of those people.

| 11080 | Q. | Emma Roulston? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. | No. |  |
| 31081 | Q. | Jim Quinn? |  |
| 4 | A. | No. |  |
| 51082 | Q. | Keith Harrison? | 14:50 |
| 6 | A. | No. |  |
| 71083 | Q. | The teachers in the simms children's school? |  |
| 8 | A. | why would I speak to them? |  |
| 91084 | Q. | Because you were so concerned for their wellbeing that |  |
| 10 |  | Marisa Simms had to sit in Letterkenny Garda Station | 14:50 |
| 11 |  | for eight-and-a-half hours the day after her sister's |  |
| 12 |  | wedding? |  |
| 13 | A. | The -- |  |
| 141085 | Q. | You were that concerned, and I take it you're not |  |
| 15 |  | suggesting that your concerns were allayed after you | 14:51 |
| 16 |  | got the statement from Marisa Simms? |  |
| 17 | A. | Marisa made her statement two days after the wedding. |  |
| 181086 | Q. | Two days? |  |
| 19 | A. | Because I know a number of people have alluded to the |  |
| 20 |  | fact that it was taken the day after. It was two days | 14 |
| 21 |  | after the wedding. And now I forget what the question |  |
| 22 |  | was, sorry. |  |
| 231087 | Q. | The question was: I take it you're not suggesting that |  |
| 24 |  | Marisa Simms satisfied you that there is no risk to the |  |
| 25 |  | children? | 14: |
| 26 | A. | At that point she had -- she was down in Paula's house, |  |
| 27 |  | or she was in Paula's house in Annagry and I think she |  |
| 28 |  | was in contact with Andrew Simms, so aside from that I |  |
| 29 |  | don't know. |  |
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Q. So were you satisfied after she came in to make the statement that there was no risk to her children?
A. From talking to her, that all her family members were aware, and I can't say that there was definitively no risk for her children, no.
Q. You can't say that there was no risk?
A. I can't say that.
Q. So why did you not follow up to deal with the risk to her children?
A. I didn't follow up. I didn't go through the -- I didn't follow up.
Q. Did Chief Superintendent McGinn come to you in relation to it?
A. I don't know, I don't know.
Q. Did Superintendent McGovern do anything in relation to
A. I don't know.
Q. Did you at least confirm with Brigid McGowan that the HSE had, in fact, carried out an assessment?
A. I didn't speak to Brigid McGowan about the HSE matter after that, no.
Q. So I would have to put it to you -- I take it you're -I mean, you don't present as somebody who is utterly callous or unconcerned in the wellbeing of children. So I am just trying to work out what you did about it?
A. I -- as I said, I took the statement of evidence, it was handed over to the chief superintendent at that meeting and it was referred to GSOC and it went out of my hands at that stage. And I was, on four occasions
between then and the end of November, in Templemore, so --
Q. Did you anticipate that somebody else in An Garda Síochána was going to do something about it?
A. Well, both Marisa and -- Marisa and her children were residing in the milford district, so I don't know, I don't know whether I thought somebody there would look after it. I didn't do anything anyway, and I can only take responsibility for what I did.
But you were still being contacted by the divisional office in relation to it a month later?
A. Just to inform me that GSOC had reverted to them and said that Marisa Simms was contacted by them and replied stating that she would not be cooperating with their inquiry.
Q. And also asking for statements in relation to the westport thing?
A. Yeah, I think it was just maybe the hard copy, I don't know. I thought I emailed that statement on to him from David Durkin.
Q. Who in An Garda Síochána went to ask Keith Harrison his version of events?
A. Nobody, because the matter was referred to GSOC. Ordinarily, that type of report came in, if that was reported to me and I took a statement from, you know, from another person, and related to somebody not a member of An Garda Síochána, where GSoC are not relevant, evidence would have been gathered, there would have been -- the phone would have been dumped and
possibly the phone records sought, they would have went back in time, and there probably -- most likely would have been -- Keith Harrison or the equivalent would have been arrested.
That's right, he would be brought in for detailed questioning, isn't that right?
A. He would have been interviewed, yes.
Q. And the various statements would have been put to him?
A. Yes, that's correct, ordinarily, if it wasn't referred to GSOC.
Q. A file would be completed, the matter sent to the DPP?
A. Absolutely, yeah.
Q. Isn't that what ordinarily happens? But it didn't happen at all.
A. No, it didn't happen in this case, no.

1103 Q. And even when it was clear it wasn't going to go to GSOC, that was abundantly clear by the 7th November, it still didn't happen, did it?
A. Well, the matter then was being referred to, from my understanding from that email, that the matter has been 14:55 referred to the assistant commissioner northern region for the appointment of an external superintendent to come in and commence an investigation into it.
Q. This is on the disciplinary --
A. No, disciplinary and criminal.
Q. It was an appointment under the Disciplinary Code?
A. Well, my understanding, and I can't say because I wasn't involved in anything to do with that, but my understanding was it was to do with the criminal and
the disciplinary side of things.
Q. And yet again it is perhaps more properly a matter for Chief Superintendent McGinn, but there is no code for the criminal investigation of guards?
A. There's no?
Q. There's no element in the Garda Discipline Code in relation to that guard must investigate criminal matters, is there? Guards can investigate guards, can't they?
A. Absolutely. Sure that's their job.
Q. Yeah. And while nobody is investigating this, this statement is out there, isn't that correct, against Keith Harrison?
A. Yes.
Q. And he isn't given an opportunity, is he, to correct his good name in relation to it?
A. We11, the reason -- that statement was referred to GSOC, and on the 9th October Marisa made that statement, like it's evident now from the emails, she informed that she didn't want the matter pursued, so he would have had an opportunity even to speak to GSOC if she didn't withdraw that statement, but she withdrew her statement to them. So that was one opportunity that was lost by GSOC. An Garda Síochána, I don't know what happened after the matter was referred to assistant commissioner in the northern region. The only reason I know that happened is because I got the email to that effect. And I had no other involvement prior to taking the withdrawal statement from Marisa in
Q. And you're very satisfied that Marisa Simms never sought or discussed the question of making a complaint to GSOC, isn't that right? Marisa Simms never discussed making a complaint to GSOC, isn't that right? It wasn't discussed between you?
A. On the day that she made her statement?
Q. Yes.
A. No, no.
Q. So why would you think that she would want the matter to be investigated by GSOC?
A. Well, I think it's -- it was clear, and again it's going back to George O'Doherty's evidence, that she understood -- she implied to him that she understood GSOC and what their role was.
Q. So you say that she -- when she was giving the statement to you, that she understood it would be for GSOC?
A. No, I already said this. I never discussed GSOC with Marisa.
Q. No, but you say that she understood the function of GSOC and therefore --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- she would have expected her statement to go to GSOC?
A. No, that is what Mr. O'Doherty said from GSOC last week, that when he spoke to her, that she implied that she understood GSOC and their role. That's from my recollection of what he said here in evidence.
Q. Yes. That doesn't mean that she thought when she was
coming in for a wee chat into Letterkenny Garda Station that it was going to end up before GSOC?
A. As I said, I said in my direct evidence, I didn't mention -- I didn't mention GSOC to her and I don't believe Sergeant MCGowan mentioned GSOC to her, either. 14:58
Q. And let's say Marisa Simms did want you to investigate this, wouldn't she have been entitled to assume that An Garda Síochána would have investigated it?
A. She did want it investigated.
Q. Well, then --
A. Because she was in no doubt from the beginning that this was a criminal investigation.
Q. And yet there was no criminal investigation?
A. As it transpires, no.
Q. No. Not a single step, even the witnesses identified in your notes?
A. As I said, I can't comment on what happened. Once the file went to GSOC, it was out of my hands and I had no control over what happened after that.
A11 right. We11, you made Pulse entry, didn't you, at a later stage?
A. Oh, I did, I created a Pulse incident in July 2014.

1122 Q. Saying that there was no criminal -- that the criminal investigation was closed, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah, in my view it was, that's what I thought.
Q. And yet, at the same time, Superintendent Mooney is sitting on a -- Superintendent Mary Mooney?
A. Mary Murray.
Q. Excuse me, Murray, was sitting on an appointment to
carry out a criminal investigation?
A. I wasn't aware of that.
Q. Did you speak with Chief Superintendent McGinn when he did the Pulse entry?
A. It was in her direction that $I$ created the Pulse entry.
Q. And why didn't you put the Pulse entry in at the time of taking the statement?
A. Because, it was -- there was concerns raised in relation to Garda Harrison accessing Pulse inappropriately in relation to accessing Marisa and Marisa's car on Pulse and it was deemed inappropriate to put it on Pulse.
Q. And yet that decision was made when?
A. Not to put it on Pulse?
Q. Yeah.
A. On the 8th October.
Q. And on the 8th October you knew that Garda Harrison knew that Marisa Simms had made a statement to you?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. You didn't?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. You were involved in the investigation, peripherally involved in the investigation of the death threats, isn't that right?
A. Not -- I wasn't directly involved in that. I was aware 15:00 of what was going on and I did text Marisa, did you te11 Keith that you made a statement? Because I believed he was trying to suss it out with Sergeant Paul wallace when he was out giving crime prevention
advice.
Q. He goes into some detail with Paul wallace about it, doesn't he?
A. I wasn't there. I can't comment on what he said to Paul Wallace.
Q. Well, that was surely dealt with in the meeting on 8 th October?
A. The threats were certain7y dealt with, yeah.
Q. And the fact that sergeant walsh had been out the day before?
A. That he had got crime prevention advice, yes.
Q. And that Sergeant wallace, during the course of that, recorded the fact that Garda Harrison knew that Marisa simms had made a complaint to the Gardaí?
A. No, I don't know --
Q. Made a statement to the Gardaí?
A. No, I believe so.
Q. Give me one second, just to be fair to you, to locate that. We will come back to that. But you say you did that because you didn't want Garda Harrison to know?
A. That I didn't create the Pulse incident?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. But you had certainly had some information to say that Garda Harrison at least -- and I will open Sergeant wallace's statement to you when I locate it -- he did know that Marisa Simms had spoken to the guards?
A. No -- well, I don't know if he did or not. But I know I texted Marisa on the 7 th and said, did you tell Keith
you made a statement? Because, to me, he seemed to sussing out with Paul wallace if the statement was made or not. And she said, and if $I$ can refer to the text message, I'11 te11 you what -- I texted her at 20:44 on the 7th:
"Hi Marisa, just wondering did you te11 Keith you made a complaint? He mention to a guard about you looking for safety order, etcetera. Just wondering. Thanks."

And that was in relation to Sergeant Paul wallace had been out there. And she wrote back:
"Hi. He told me he was talking to Dave Kelly this morning and that he thought he was off with him on the phone and asked if I had been talking to anyone. I asked him to stop calling or $I$ would get a safety order. He called me crying all day, seems in a bad way."

I wrote back:
"A11 right, that's okay. I thought he might just be sussing things out trying to get info. One of the lads out there with him for over two hours. As you said, he 15:03 probably needs help."

And she replied:
"Yes, I really think he does. I called him earlier because I am seriously worried he might do something stupid. He was promising everything if I came home. Told me he would move out. Believe it when it happens."

So that was as much information as I had in relation to whether -- I believed that he was trying to suss things out. Yeah. Did you speak to Sergeant wallace in relation to 15:03 it?
A. No. I believe it was probably Sergeant McGrory, I am not a hundred percent sure.
Q. Okay. And is it normal or acceptable practice for Gardaí not to record things on Pulse?
A. Well, in these circumstances it's not -- this is not normal, it's not your normal circumstance. You've a member of An Garda Síochána who would have access to the Pulse incident and would know, obviously it would heighten the risk against Marisa Simms. So that's --
it wasn't created because he been accessing Pulse inappropriately, and plus Marisa had referred to the fact that he had saw the incident that was created on Pulse in relation to the event where Jim Quinn called out to the house and that he had gone mad about that, and that there was an upcoming -- that he had an upcoming case in court and that this was going to reflect badly on him. So that was one occasion that she high1ighted to me that he had been accessing Pulse
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inappropriately.
Q. And therefore, it just wasn't put in?
A. It wasn't created, no.
Q. And it was put in later, was it?
A. It was put in in July 2014, yes.
Q. And your basic evidence is that you took no positive steps in relation to this between the 8th October and the 9th July, 8th october 2013 and 9th July 2014?
A. I carried out no criminal investigation, no.
Q. And you successfully carried out no inquiries as to the 15:05 wellbeing of the Simms children?
A. I had been in contact or I tried -- as I already said, I tried to contact Marisa, and I believe I did speak to her mother but I can't say definitively because I don't have --
Q. Your records appear to say that you didn't speak with her, that you tried but didn't speak to her?
A. Yeah, and that's what I say, I can't --
Q. So if we come back then to what you did and why you did it on the night of the 6th October. It had to be done, you were anxious to get a statement in, it was vitally important that it be gotten in because of the safety and wellbeing of marisa Simms' children, isn't that correct?
A. As I already said, it wasn't that we had to get a statement; it was speak to Marisa and ask -- invite her to make a statement. And in relation to the welfare, whether she made a statement or not, if she told us that there were risks to the children, we would have
followed through with HSE referrals. It wasn't that we went out hells blazing we have to get a statement.
Q. Really? Because there's correspondence there, isn't there, saying we've no statement from Marisa, we can't do anything?
A. I don't think there's a statement that says that.
Q. I will take you through that in a little while.
A. I honestly don't think there is a statement that says -- there's no statement from Marisa, we can't do anything about it.
Q. In the absence of a statement, both Paula McDermott and Rita McDermott were advised that, without a statement from Marisa, there's nothing could be done, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah, but I don't think there's an email. Like, I mean, obviously there's --
Q. Reports?
A. Reports, like. And that's what we advised them, that we need a statement from Marisa.
Q. And you took the statement from Rita McDermott yourself, didn't you?
A. Yeah, that would she talk to Marisa, and that's why I gave her my mobile number.
Q. What discussion was had between the 2nd and the 6th between you and any other member of An Garda Síochána in relation to the necessity of getting a statement from Marisa Simms?
A. I contacted Marisa -- on the $2 n d$ I got the statement from Rita and on the 3 rd I contacted Marisa by text and
then by a phone call in relation to making a statement. Did you report back to Chief Superintendent McGinn after you took the statement from Rita?
A. I believe so, yeah. I think I did tell her that she had made a statement, yes.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, I wonder could I just intervene for a minute. Inspector, you may not be understanding this, $I$ don't know, but the allegation essentially that's being put to you is this: that you were not motivated by any desire to protect life or property, and neither were your colleagues, in relation to anything to do with your interaction with Marisa Simms or this investigation, but that, instead, you were out to get Keith Harrison for motives of your own, presumably, because he was unpopular, or some other reason of that kind. And, of course, we're having a long discussion about who sent this email and who didn't send that emai1, but you must understand that it is directed to that.
A. Oh, I understand that.

CHAIRMAN: Do you?
A. And that is not the case. I didn't know Keith Harrison.
Q. MR. HARTY: Inspector Sheridan, so we are clear on this. I don't have any instructions from Garda Harrison to suggest that you had any personal enmity at all in relation to him?
A. Yeah. But I do believe that any actions that I carried out were in good faith and in relation to the welfare
of those children, and whether it is Keith Harrison -CHAIRMAN: Well, I am just wondering then, I thought the case was that a head of malice had built up. MR. HARTY: Yes. I am not suggesting that Inspector Sheridan personally helped.
CHAIRMAN: Just hold on, Mr. Harty, please. And that I use this colourful phrase, the head of malice, just, I don't know, some other thing, some psychological tumbling-out has been certainly traversed this morning with some skill, but that's as I understand the case that's being made, that this was not a genuine investigation, this was not to do with protecting Marisa Simms from threats, it was not to do with responding to the maybe four contacts by relations with the Gardaí in order to ensure that there's nothing unfortunate happened in the house beyond what was already allegedly reported, but that this was used as an excuse in order to get Keith Harrison for entirely improper motives. By 'improper', of course, I mean motives that were not related to the genuine duty of the Gardaí to prevent crime and to investigate offences. That is what I understand the case to be. MR. HARTY: That is correct.
CHAIRMAN: And if that is the case, well, then, that is what matters are directed to, whether you have instructions to that effect or not.
MR. HARTY: I am asking you -- and I suppose the question I am asking you is: After you received the statement from Rita McDermott, what discussions did you
have with Chief Superintendent McGinn?
CHAIRMAN: Well, I wonder, Mr. Harty, I'm sorry, am I right in thinking that?
MR. HARTY: That is precisely, yes, what my line of questioning is.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. HARTY: Was attempting to get towards.
CHAIRMAN: No, no, no, it's fine, it's just once we all understand that. And that proof, perhaps, of the malice of it all is that nothing happened in relation to the children.
A. We11, I think you could look at it -CHAIRMAN: You don't need to answer me.
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: But just so as you understand that.
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: And I know you're nine hours now in the witness-box and it's not easy. But perhaps if we can continue.

1157 Q. MR. HARTY: The situation, I am just asking you what conversations did you have with Chief Superintendent McGinn?
A. In relation to?
Q. In relation to, once you have taken the statement from Rita McDermott?
A. Just to that effect that I had taken a statement from Rita McDermott and that she had indicated that she would speak with Marisa Simms and that she had my mobile number and that she would let me know.
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Q. Did you tell Chief Superintendent McGinn what Rita McDermott had recounted to you?
A. I would imagine that I did, yeah, that she confirmed that there had been threats and that he had thrown her out of the house, yeah.
Q. What was Chief Superintendent McGinn's response to that?
A. I don't remember. It was -- I don't remember. It would have been nothing out of the ordinary. If it was out of the ordinary, I would remember.
Q. Well, I mean, did she say, well, some couples are like that?
A. Some couples are like that?
Q. Just in terms of when you relayed what had happened, did she seem surprised, aghast, appalled, horrified?
A. She was deeply concerned that a member of An Garda Síochána, whether it be Keith or anybody else, in this occasion it was Keith, that a member of An Garda Síochána would act in that way.
Q. When it was reported back to Keith Harrison that it had been said -- that you had said the chief had said that no guard would treat a woman like that in her division?
A. I read that in, I think, Sergeant wallace's statement, that Garda Harrison applied to Sergeant wallace, that the chief came into our meeting when we were recording our statement on the 6th October and said that no guard would treat a woman like that. And that is totally incorrect. Chief superintendent wasn't --
Q. I'm not asking you whether she came into the room. I'm
asking you, when you discussed the matter with her and she was aghast that a member of An Garda Síochána would have acted in this way, did she say at that stage, no guard in my division would treat a woman like that?
A. No, no.
Q. Did she say anything even resembling that?
A. Like, this was a member of An Garda Síochána, there was serious allegations being made, but I think that statement was alluded to by Garda Harrison, he said that Marisa had said it to him --
Q. Mm-hmm.
A. -- from my memory from reading the documentation.
Q. Yes, that's correct.
A. But I didn't hear Marisa, Gardaí or anybody make reference to that comment.
CHAIRMAN: But, sorry, if it had been said, I'm not sure there was anything wrong with it, was there?
MR. HARTY: No. Well, that is the point.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. I mean, if a garda is threatening to burn, kill and burn a woman, and the person who is the
ultimate superior is saying, well, no guard is going to do that in my division, there's hardly anything wrong with that, is there?
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: If someone is telling me that, I just would be very surprised to hear it.
A. okay.
Q. MR. HARTY: No, I am not making that case, but I am simply confirming that this detail, whether or not you
have any --
A. I can't say. She was deeply concerned about the behaviour outlined.
Q. And nothing happened, there was attempts to make contact with Marisa the day before the wedding, isn't that correct?
A. No -- I was talking to her the day before the wedding, yeah.
Q. And then you didn't speak to her again until?
A. The day after the wedding.
Q. The day after the wedding. And then she agreed to come in the following day?
A. That's correct.
Q. She drove in to Letterkenny Station, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. She parked her car at the front?
A. I believe so. I didn't see her parking, but I believe so, but she was on her own.
Q. And if Keith Harrison happened to be in Letterkenny Garda Station, he would have seen the car parked at the 15:15 front, wouldn't he?
A. He probably would have. CHAIRMAN: Was he not stationed in Donegal? MR. HARTY: He was stationed in Donegal, but there was some suggestion that things had to be kept off Pulse in 15:15 case Keith Harrison knew, and various other things, so I am just concerned in relation to that, that, in fact, there was no question of anybody advising Marisa Simms that she should park her car discreetly coming into the
station.
A. I have some recollection that we did advise -- did recommend maybe moving it, but she was happy enough where it was. But I can't say whether -- I don't even know if she was parked right in front of the station or 15:15 over -- there's a wee alcove over to the left-hand side. I can't remember. So anyway, it wasn't -- the car was where it was and it wasn't moved.
Q. MR. HARTY: Yeah. The car was parked directly outside the station?
A. I actually can't remember where it was parked.
Q. It was -- a guard in and about the station would have seen the car?
A. Depending on what entry they would use, yeah. CHAIRMAN: Well, certainly if he knew the car and knew 15:16 the registration.

MR. HARTY: Exactly.
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: That's taking the epithet of being a trained observer perhaps to a new level.

MR. HARTY: Perhaps.
Q. So -- or any other guards who might be friendly with Keith Harrison would have known Marisa Simms was in the station?
A. Perhaps. But in the station itself, sure we were up in 15:16 the superintendent's office, we were away from everybody, but, yeah, possibly if they saw her car they might know that that was her car.
Q. And then you commence taking this -- having this chat,
A. Chat, talk, discussion.
Q. Mm-hmm. Now, can you just tell the Tribunal just what would the proper protocols be for taking a witness statement in a Garda station?
A. Like what do you mean?
Q. What are the proper protocols, what is best practice for taking a witness statement in a Garda station?
A. Logistically or --
Q. Logistically. I'm saying what room should it be done in?
A. Ordinarily, you would probably -- there's a victim room downstairs, which is -- like, it's just a small room down in the main thoroughfare in the station, and other than that you could use one of the rooms that's used for interviewing suspects, but that's not conducive to dealing with any victim. But sometimes I suppose if you're under pressure, you might have to use that.
Q. Can you tell me about the victim room?
A. Well, it's tiny, for starters, and it's just a table and two chairs, that's as much room. I think there's a big safe in it, so there's not much room for anything else in it.
Q. And does it have recording equipment?
A. No, the only recording equipment is for recording, I suppose -- it's down in the custody suite, so there's two rooms down there that have recording facilities in them.
Q. So it's much more comfortable and better appointed to
go to the superintendent's office?
A. Well, it was to pick a room that was quiet and off the beaten track, and it was an office that wasn't being used at that time because we had no superintendent.
Q. And what did you bring into the office?
A. I think I -- already it was said, I think I had probably statement paper with me and possibly a folder, I don't know. I certain7y had statement paper but I can't say what else I had.
Q. I presume you had the various statements that had already been gathered up, the reports?
A. Well, the only statement is -- yeah, most likely, I would have had Rita's statement.

1187 Q. Yes.
A. And possibly the report. Possibly, yeah, yeah.

1188 Q. Pulse entry in respect of the incident in April?
A. No, no.
Q. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, if you want to pursue that you are more than welcome, but we have had a very long cross-examination on the matter as to what happened in relation to the statement, and again, I take it the case that is being made, and I need to clarify for my own mind, is that this statement was taken partly by trick, partly through tiredness, partly it doesn't represent the words actually used by Marisa Simms and partly there are distortions, partly she didn't exactly know what she was saying, partly she was ill, or beginning to get ill, and partly she was, towards the end certainly, completely tired out. So I do
understand that.
MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: If you want to go into all of that again. MR. HARTY: No, I appreciate that. Sir, I don't propose to go into much detail in relation to this. I have really only one question in relation to it. CHAIRMAN: Sure. Effectively, the case that is being made is that Inspector Sheridan and Garda McGowan made most of it up for their own ends, whatever they are. MR. HARTY: Yes.
Q. Very simply, Inspector Sheridan, I have heard the evidence in relation to it. what $I$ find surprising, and perhaps you can explain to me, your written notes that you have taken refer, up to including I think page 5 of the statement of evidence taken by Marisa Simms, there isn't a single detail in those written notes that isn't dealt with by page 5 of the statement. So where are the notes for the other, is it 20 -something pages?
A. We11, I haven't cross-referenced them, but if that is the case --

CHAIRMAN: We11, the reference to burning wasn't there. MR. HARTY: It's written in on the side.

CHAIRMAN: Yeah, but the reference to burning wasn't there apparently until about the third- or fourth-1ast page of the statement, so, in fact, that seems to be
the case. The burning thing comes in at page 20 -MR. HARTY: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: -- out of 23.
MR. HARTY: But in respect of details, people are
identified, meetings in the Radisson Hotel, various things that you would expect to have to have a note of if you were preparing a statement, there are no notes of it.
A. Well, Marisa, to me, didn't have any -- she had no notes prepared before coming in, but she had a clear knowledge of these events herself. And that was -whether she had in her head before she came in, I don't know, but certainly when we commenced recording the statement, we recorded what she told us. And as I alluded to earlier, in one case she's talking about the exam papers, the next thing she diverts to talking about keith's brother's 21st and then back to the exam papers, so --
1191 Q. You see what I am saying is that you gave evidence, under cross-examination, I accept, that you reorganised -- things came out and things were reorganised in terms of the statement?
A. I didn't, I didn't use --

1192 Q. You put chronological order on it?
A. Yeah.

1193 Q. You gave that evidence?
A. Yeah, but I didn't say reorganised.
Q. You put a chronological order on it?
A. Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRMAN: As I understood the evidence, and I may be wrong, but as I understood it, was, it was explained to Marisa Simms, now whether I accept this or not I don't know, that in order to make a statement you have to
have a narrative and it should be in chronological order and that was perhaps an hour-and-a-half to two hours of chat in relation to events and then matters did proceed on the basis of, we start, but that Inspector Sheridan wasn't expecting, even though she started with, "when did you meet," a narrative which incorporated so much details of the early days and various other events of which we have heard. But that came from the mind of Marisa simms, that is the case that is being made by the Inspector. That is what I understand. If I am not right about that, please correct me.
A. That's correct, Judge, yes.
Q. MR. HARTY: But there's an awful lot of detail in the statement which isn't represented anywhere in the notes. Page 8, referring to page 77 on the screen, referring to one of the illicit -- alleged illicit affairs, there are names blacked out, there are reference to the Radisson Hotel?
A. Yeah, but that's information that came from Marisa. That's --
Q. I know, but I am asking you where the notes are?
A. They are the notes.
Q. But this doesn't feature in those notes?
A. Yeah, but not everything has to feature in the notes. Paula Hewitt, her name doesn't feature in the notes? CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry? MR. HARTY: It's one of the friends who is referred to. Her name doesn't feature in the notes. You would
expect this detail to be in the notes from your chat. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just from the point of view, is this an alleged girlfriend or something?
MR. HARTY: No, it was friend of --
Chairman: It's just I don't want her dragged into the --

MR. HARTY: Sorry, I perhaps shouldn't have said -CHAIRMAN: No, it is fine, Mr. Harty, but -MR. HARTY: Nobody has any issue with Ms. Hewitt.
CHAIRMAN: It is a girl friend of Marisa Simms?
A. Marisa's. That's correct, yes.

CHAIRMAN: It is her confidante, yes.
Q. MR. HARTY: But there's addresses that are missing, there are -- they are things that you would ordinarily expect that have to be noted down in notes before you could put together a statement?
A. They are the notes that we recorded and that is the statement. I can't put it any further than that. And the information as furnished, like in relation to that incident that you are talking about, it's 17th November 15:25 2012, like, sure we couldn't possibly know that information.
Q. And, in fact, for most of that conversation you were noting nothing, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. Because these notes were taken by Brigid McGowan?
A. Both of them are recorded by Sergeant McGowan, yeah. CHAIRMAN: well, the point you're making then, Mr. Harty, is to say that in consequence of this
absence of notes but a big long statement, your point is?
MR. HARTY: My point is that it would have to put some question on the credibility in relation to the taking of the statement --

CHAIRMAN: Well, then --
MR. HARTY: -- in circumstances whereby an
eight-and-a-half-hour process has, in terms of drafting notes, one page, mostly containing entries from
Sergeant McGowan.
CHAIRMAN: I understand, Mr. Harty, the point you are making, and you could indeed put that point to the Inspector if you wish.

MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: But as I understood it, these notes were from the early stages of the conversation when they were talking about this, that and the other, with the view to seeing where things would go, and then it was an alleged determination to make a statement and the statement began and a11 the detail then came out from

Marisa Simms. That is what I understood. I may be wrong. It wasn't a case of noting down a few things and then writing up the statement afterwards.
MR. HARTY: No, no. But there was a general chat, where the thing was discussed.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. No, no, no --
MR. HARTY: And then the process arrived and the statement began.
CHAIRMAN: No, I understand that.

MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: But if there is a point you want to put to the Inspector.
MR. HARTY: Okay. Well, I will put it very simply.
Q. I put it to you that there should be an awful lot more notes than there are, in fact, taken?
A. Not necessarily. If you're writing the statement and these things are coming up as you are writing the statement, she might explain them there and then and then you commit it to writing. You mightn't necessarily have notes.
Q. Can you explain to me what is your experience in respect of introducing irrelevant matters into criminal cases?
A. I don't believe there's any irrelevant matters in that statement.
Q. Can I ask you first what is your experience in relation to adding irrelevant matters into criminal cases?
A. I don't believe there's any evidence in anything recorded in that statement.
Q. I'm not asking you in relation to this.
A. I have no experience in recording irrelevant -CHAIRMAN: No, no, Mr. Harty, there is a point you are making, and I think the point you are making is possibly this: that let us say it is a murder investigation, somebody says on the day in question we were making silage or the sheep were being sheared, or something like that, is that what you mean by
irrelevant material?
MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Do you understand the point, Inspector?
A. No, I understand, but I don't believe there's anything in there like, I mean, that's irrelevant. And that is Marisa's statement, that is information she gave us and that is how we compiled that statement, is from the information that she provided to us.
Q. MR. HARTY: And the question of infidelity, why is that relevant to --
A. That, as I think I explained it earlier to Mr. Hartnett, that's in relation to this -- she outlined three incidents of infidelities, and every time she's saying that he comes back and he throws the blame on her, she's driving him to it, she's the problem, and she's feeling he's undermining her and making her feel low about herself, and it's just -- I suppose it demonstrates his character and his controlling behaviour and I would say it is lending towards the harassment of Marisa Simms.
Q. It's now harassment, is it, to have --
A. No.
Q. -- to have an affair or to be offended the party having an affair --
A. A standalone one incident --

Chairman: well, mr. Harty, the one thing that wasn't put in the cross-examination was that anything to do with these alleged infidelities never occurred but it was made up by Gardaí. So I don't know what the
situation is in relation to that. I'm not sure I am all that terribly concerned, to tell you the truth. MR. HARTY: No.
Q. It's good colour for a disciplinary investigation, isn't it?

CHAIRMAN: Well, i am not sure it's a disciplinary offence for a garda to have an affair, is it? It could be.
A. To have an affair, I don't think so. I don't know. MR. HARTY: There is one particular rather famous case which deals with that sort of situation.

CHAIRMAN: If I am thinking of the same case as you, I think that was reported in The Irish Times about 30 years ago.
MR. HARTY: That is precisely it, yes. But it's still live and relied upon.
CHAIRMAN: Is it? Anyway, sorry, I perhaps introduced a frivolous note, Mr. Harty. I apologise. So maybe we can get back to whatever the point is.
Q. MR. HARTY: The situation is that much of what is in the statement has nothing to do with criminal charges?
A. I wouldn't say that. I think it all paints a full picture of Marisa's relationship with Keith Harrison and the ongoing, I suppose -- from beginning to end, it just seems to be this controlling behaviour.
Q. Would you at least accept that it only paints half the picture of keith and Marisa's relationship?
A. Oh, it's the picture that Marisa's painted for us. As I say, I don't know Keith, and I don't know anything
about him and I can't -- bar what I am reading there, I don't know anything about him.
Q. And your response at the end of all of this was to email three people who had no relationship -- well, I think Garda Harrison might have had a tenuous relationship and -- Inspector Harrison might have had a tenuous relationship -- no, sorry, Inspector Harrison had no relationship at all with this matter, is that correct?
A. He was working that day, so he was aware that I was in with Marisa Simms.

CHAIRMAN: Just remind me of the three people she emailed.

MR. HARTY: Sorry. It was --
A. Inspector Harrison, Inspector Kelly and Sergeant Duffy. 15:30 MR. HARTY: Inspector Harrison, Inspector Kelly and Sergeant Duffy. And I understand that Sergeant Duffy was also given a hard copy of the statement?
A. Yes, I left a hard copy for him, yeah.

1213 Q. Yes. Was there a covering note with that?
A. No.
Q. No. What was the word again - he's a mental case, isn't that right?
A. Yes, I know. And it's a figure of speech, but I suppose that's what $I$ was thinking on the night and obviously I can't take that back.
CHAIRMAN: If the statement was correct, it is of course a figure of speech, but is it so outlandish a figure of speech, if the statement is correct, that you
have a complaint about it? The third person, by the way, that was emailed, I'm sorry, Sergeant Duffy, Inspector Kelly.
A. Inspector Harrison and Inspector Kelly.

CHAIRMAN: Do you want to ask about the mental case comment?

MR. HARTY: Yes.
Q. I thought this was all very serious. I thought this was all absolutely very serious.
CHAIRMAN: Appreciating that, Mr. Harty, but a mad person can cut off your arm, you know.
MR. HARTY: I appreciate that.
CHAIRMAN: And may have a complete defence of insanity, but there it is.
MR. HARTY: I appreciate that. But when I am being
serious about somebody suffering from psychiatric injuries, I wouldn't use the word "mental case". CHAIRMAN: We11, that is the point I think he is saying, that you weren't taking this seriously and this email is evidence of you being out to get him, so to speak, not treating him with the respect necessarily due. I don't exactly know, but that is the general area.
A. No, that was of a -- like, that was me, I was treating it as being very serious, like he is a mental case,
like it was extreme what was after -- experienced for that period of time for Marisa and the events that she outlined.
Q. MR. HARTY: Your man, he's a mental case, the long
sleeping snooze at the end of the email?
A. I know, that was -- going home at that stage, and obviously it's an informal email between myself and two colleagues, or three colleagues.

CHAIRMAN: Should we get up the page?
MR. HARTY: It's page 904.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. HARTY: And they knew full well who you were taking a statement from, didn't they?
A. Inspector Harrison definitely knew because he was
working that day, and possibly -- I would imagine Sergeant Duffy knew also because he works in the divisional office.
Q. And Inspector Kelly?
A. Inspector Kelly, I don't know for sure if he knew, but he is just my other -- the three of us shared an office at the time, or we worked together in Letterkenny, two offices.
Q. So what does he know about the relationship between Keith Harrison and Marisa Simms?
A. I have absolutely no idea. I don't know.
Q. We11, at quarter past midnight --

CHAIRMAN: It's not attached, is it, by any scanning method or anything like that? No, it's not, I don't think.

MR. HARTY: The statement?
CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MR. HARTY: No, no, this is just an email sent.
CHAIRMAN: It would then be in handwritten forms, but
you could scan it in and send it on but it's not done. MR. HARTY: You could.

CHAIRMAN: And then the " $z$ " presumably is a reference to sleep, if I am -- if cartoons haven't changed since I was a child.
Q. MR. HARTY: The situation is that Inspector Kelly must have known about the relationship between Keith Harrison and Marisa Simms if your email is to make any sense to him?
A. Possibly. But they are the two men that I worked with in my rank, so it's possible he knew, I can't say. I know Michae1 Harrison was working that day, so he knew. David Kelly, I'm not a hundred percent sure.
Q. What did Michael Harrison know?
A. He knew that I was taking a statement from Marisa. He was in the station that day.
Q. And who did he think Marisa Simms was?
A. Oh, I probably told him that she was the partner of Garda Keith Harrison.
Q. What did he say about Keith Harrison?
A. I don't think he said anything.
Q. Right. What about Inspector Kelly?
A. Inspector Kelly, that's who I'm talking about there.
Q. Oh, sorry, Inspector Kelly who was in the office?
A. No, Inspector Harrison was in the office, but Inspector 15:34 Kelly I don't know.
Q. I understand that Inspector Kelly, in fact, was stationed in Buncrana at the time?
A. No, he wasn't, no.

11227 Q. At the time Keith Harrison was originally based in Buncrana?
A. I actually don't know. Maybe he was, I don't know.
Q. Well, the copy of statement left for Peter Duffy "For the attention of the chief", why is it going to the chief?
A. Because I'm off the next day and this is an investigation that she has tasked me to go and take a statement and I have taken the statement and I'm leaving it for her attention. This is a member of An Garda Síochána that she is responsible for and I just leave it for her.
Q. I didn't understand the chief had told you to get a statement from Marisa Simms?
A. She directed me out to talk to Rita McDermott.
Q. Yes.
A. And to carry out inquiries. I advised her that Marisa was going to make a statement.
Q. Ah-ha.
A. Or I'd indicated certainly that she was going to make a statement.
Q. I didn't appreciate, though, that inspectors require directions from chief superintendents to carry out investigations?
A. Well, on that occasion we had no superintendent. So, often, and is now the case because our superintendent is off sick, so, often, the chief will liaise directly
with inspectors. On that occasion, we had no super because superintendent --

1234 Q. Chief superintendents don't direct or even superintendents don't direct all investigations, do they? I mean, guards investigate things on the basis of -- that come across --
A. They do on occasion, yeah.
Q. On occasion. I'm not saying that. I am just saying it wouldn't be normal practice for the chief superintendent in Letterkenny to be sent statements in 15:36 respect of domestic disputes, would it?

CHAIRMAN: If it's true, it's a lot more than a domestic dispute. It's not people having a row, as maybe somebody said earlier on, about who is going to do the washing-up or make the dinner and, you know, slammed bathroom doors and that kind of lark.

MR. HARTY: Perhaps if we deal with whether it is true or not.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, I didn't mean to interrupt unnecessarily, but I am just --

MR. HARTY: No.
CHAIRMAN: -- wondering where we are going because we're now coming towards the ten-hour mark that the witness is in the witness-box.

MR. HARTY: I appreciate that, and it might be a good

CHAIRMAN: No, no, I would prefer to try and move on if we can and if there is a point to be made. MR. HARTY: There is a point to be made.
Q. MR. HARTY: In relation to the statement of Marisa Simms, she made allegations of three separate things being said to her, isn't that correct, on the 28th September?
A. I will just check the statement. Are you referring to the comments that are in inverted commas?
Q. Yes. "I will burn you and bury you."
A. "Who does she think she is? I will take her down a peg or two."
Q. Yes.
A. "I'm going to bury her and you."
Q. Mm-hmm.
A. "I'm going to burn you."
Q. Yeah. And then what about, "Take a look at those
A. Sorry, that's not in inverted commas.
Q. But it's the other thing that was said?
A. Yeah, yeah, yeah.
Q. Just in terms of your own intellectual ability, a
A. Well --
Q. Would they? Inspector Sheridan, if somebody -CHAIRMAN: No, she got your question. Maybe you will just let her answer, but I'm not sure the answer is going to suit.
A. Reading that, "I'm going to bury her and" --
Q. MR. HARTY: Yes.
A. "I'm going to bury her and you, I'm going to burn you," I would take that as being --
Q. And the next thing that was said?
A. In the context of what is said, you can interpret it a number of ways. But that is said, "At that point she could see [child's] eyes filling up, she was getting upset, she is -- after him threatening to burn me." That would be to cause harm. My reading of that would be to cause harm.
Q. And, Inspector Sheridan, use your head, the person who said those words, assuming those were the precise words that were said?
A. They are the precise words that were said.
Q. No, they are the precise words that were reported to you; they are not the precise words that were said, according to Garda Harrison?
A. They are the precise words of Marisa Simms.
Q. They are the precise words that were reported to you, you say. I am saying to you even assuming those words were correct, the next comment is about the fact that Marisa Simms is going to lose custody of her children?
A. Well, the next -- if I read on from that part of the statement:
"He then said, I am going to burn you, and at that point I could see [child's] eyes filling up and she was getting upset".
Q. I am asking about the quotes.
A. Yes. In the context --

CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, it's not just helping me, I don't know, there's interruptions, I'm not sure what is being said. I think the point that is being made to you is this: that if somebody is dead, they're not going to be seeing anyone at the weekend.
A. Yes, absolutely.

CHAIRMAN: It's just a bit of an inconsistency.
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: You look at those children, you're only going to see them at the weekend. Now, whether people speak completely rationally if they are in a rage, or if there was a rage I've no idea, but that is the point that counsel is making, that these two things are very inconsistent with one another.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: So if you're dead, you're not seeing your children.
A. Yeah.
Q. MR. HARTY: The threat wasn't a real threat because it was immediately followed with the -- if it was -- and let's assume that that is what Marisa Simms heard in relation to the threat to burn, the next thing she heard, and a woman sitting across from her, or two women, or two Gardaí, sitting across from her, should you not have asked, why is he threatening you with losing custody of your kids if his intention is to kill you?
A. He doesn't say that for a couple of lines. In between that: "He prevented me from going back in by
physically grabbing my wrist. I was really frightened of him at this stage as he was in such a rage it was as if he was not in control of himself and he was crazy." So that, to me, illustrates that those threats are live, those threats are real for her, and this is just --
Q. And then the next thing he said was about seeing custody of her children?
A. Yes, this is another threat. I believe it's another threat.
Q. No, at the time that it was being reported to you, Inspector Sheridan, he is saying, you will only see those kids at weekends?
A. He said:
"He told me to take a good look at the girls because he said I would only see them at weekend visits by the time he would be finished with me".
Q. Yeah.
A. And I would see that as another threat. And I know what you mean.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, I think your case is that your client never said any of this?
MR. HARTY: My client said -- very simply, he said, you're going to get yourself burnt by being pulled this way and that by his family, and that is what was reported. And do you accept that that is what was reported by Sergeant wallace on the 7th october to you? Perhaps I can open that now.
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A. I didn't speak to Sergeant Wallace.
Q. You didn't speak to Sergeant Wallace?
A. No, I didn't.

CHAIRMAN: In other words, the question is this: That you took all this down wrong. Let's just deal with wrong first and then let's deal with malice second. But you took it down wrong because what was being reported to you was that Keith Harrison had said, perhaps not in the best of temper, that, you know, by allowing yourself to be influenced by your family and being pulled one way and the other by them, I suppose including in relation to the forthcoming wedding he wasn't invited to, you're going to find yourself burnt by that, not meaning arson but maybe kind of the whole friction of the thing is going to be unpleasant for you, type thing.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Now, you can possibly -- probably understand that as a concept.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: First of all, is that what was said?
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: And then obviously you're only able to say what Marisa Simms said to you.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: And then the second thing is: well, I suppose if it wasn't said and you put it there, clearly it's malicious, but you are saying, no, you weren't acting maliciously at all, you were just doing your
job?
A. That's correct. Can I just reference a text message that Marisa sent to Keith --

MR. HARTY: No, thank you, Superintendent. I know the text messages and they will be dealt with with those witnesses.
A. Yeah, but just in this context --
Q. I am not asking you any question in relation to them.
A. I just wanted to in the context of this narrative.

CHAIRMAN: No, I appreciate there are things you would 15:42 like to say. Don't worry, we will get through everything. We're not going to ignore anything and --
Q. MR. HARTY: Could you look at page 1382, because, you see, there was a crime prevention officer involved in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. Just wasn't imagined that there was any crime against the Simms children?
A. Yeah.
Q. That was Sergeant Paul wallace?

CHAIRMAN: Is it possible to make this 150 , is it, just to see can we fit it all on the screen? It's hard to see. Thanks.
A. What date was that report compiled?
Q. MR. HARTY: That is dated 8th October. And he was met 15:43 by appointment -- at 6pm on Monday 7th October he met with Garda Harrison?
A. It's addressed to superintendent milford.
Q. Yes, I appreciate that. And it's probably a matter
better put, for the most part, to Chief Superintendent McGinn or Superintendent McGovern.
CHAIRMAN: So somebody did actually go out to keith Harrison and get his version of events?
MR. HARTY: No. This was in relation to the threats. ${ }_{\text {15:44 }}$ This was a visit pursuant to the death threats.
CHAIRMAN: oh, right. This was the thing about being shot? And the name --
MR. HARTY: Yes. And his version of events was recounted in this. And what I simply wanted to
confirm --
CHAIRMAN: Okay. That is why there is a reference to the house and any security features there might be. MR. HARTY: Exactly.
1261 Q.
If we go to the paragraph starting with meeting, just to be fair to you.
"Garda Harrison was alone at the house at the time and appeared quite agitated and nervous. He informed me that he had been in telephone contact with his partner, 15:44 Marisa Simms --"

It's Melissa there, but I --
"-- and she had just informed him that she had been in 15:44 court Letterkenny earlier seeking a safety order against him. She wanted him out of the house. She made a statement to the Guards two days ago about his treatment of her. He did not disclose any specific

291262 Q. MR. HARTY: Keith Harrison, one day after the statement disagreement where he stated "you will get burnt there", using a figure of speech, which Ms. Simms alleges he took up the wrong way. She asked him when he was working next and said Friday, 11th October. He then alleged that Ms. Simms said "I don't think he will be working"." [As read]

Now that is a report which was furnished to milford on the 8th October, was it ever forwarded on to you? MR. DOCKERY: Worry, what page of the materials is that?

MR. HARTY: 1382 and 1383.
MR. DOCKERY: Thank you.
is taken, is making it clear that the statement is materially inaccurate according to his version of events and that is his side of the picture and nobody has gone to ask him for more detail. Nobody.
CHAIRMAN: Okay. We11, that is said to be evidence again of your malicious targeting of him. And by malice I simply mean that again you weren't out in relation to investigating crime or preventing crime, which is the two things Gardaí do, preventing accidents or anything like that, that you were again involved in a multi-party vendetta against Garda Harrison.
A. That's not correct.

Chairman: I am not saying that to you, do you understand?
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: I am just explaining it.
A. I know, thank you.
Q. MR. HARTY: Was that passed on to GSoC?
A. I don't know. That is addressed to superintendent milford and I would have no dealings with that, and I don't know what was furnished to GSOC, I have no idea.
Q. It's massively relevant to the investigation and anybody who might be investigating, isn't it?
A. I don't know. I haven't -- it appears to be. CHAIRMAN: But if she never saw it then she can't be 15:47 malicious in relation to not having it.
MR. HARTY: I appreciate that -- as I say, I'm not saying that one particular person was malicious in relation to it.

CHAIRMAN: Un1ess she said 'I don't want to know anything good about Keith Harrison' or something like that.
Q. MR. HARTY: Did you follow up with the welfare officers who had gone out to Garda Harrison?
A. No, because that was totally separate. The investigation into the threats was nearly running separate to me. I was aware it was going on, but I wasn't party to --
Q. You all discussed, you all dealt with them in the case conference?
A. Yeah, in that capacity but I wasn't involved in -- I wasn't in Milford, I wasn't involved in speaking to Garda Harrison in relation to the matter. So that report, I have never seen that prior to what's been disclosed in the disclosure.
Q. But don't you think it is odd that you never saw it? That is what I am asking you.
A. That is why I asked what date is on it again.
Q. It's dated 8th October.
A. Yeah. So, like, on the 8th the matter was referred to GSOC and perhaps that's why I didn't get a copy. I don't know.
Q. But you were being sent reports by other people a month 1ater?
A. Not reports, no.

CHAIRMAN: I know, but I mean I will draw, Mr. Harty, whatever inference is appropriate in the context of the entirety of the evidence, or perhaps I won't draw any
evidence at all -- inference at all, or perhaps I don't regard it as important, $I$ don't know, but you certainly made the point.
MR. HARTY: Right.
CHAIRMAN: I don't think the inspector knows anything more about it. Some things she was in on, some things she wasn't in on. I don't mean in any pejorative sense, but $I$ mean $i n$ on an email group or whatever. MR. HARTY: Can we go -- and I am mindful that it is four o'clock, sir, or coming to four o'clock, and I'm anxious not to keep Inspector Sheridan under cross-examination --

CHAIRMAN: That is fair enough.
MR. HARTY: -- by me.
CHAIRMAN: I am not sure anyone else is going to be asking any questions at this stage.

MR. HARTY: If we just go to page --
CHAIRMAN: Let's see, I mean, I am busy somewhere else, but --
MR. HARTY: I am satisfied I will be finished in the next five minutes.
CHAIRMAN: No, no, that is fine, if you have a question to ask I am very happy to listen. So that is 1382. complaint was withdrawn.
A. That's correct.
Q. Can you read that out?
A. "Chief superintendent Donegal re complaint made against Garda Keith Harrison on the 6th October 2013.

With regard to the involved please note Ms. Marisa Simms attended Letterkenny Garda Station on today's date. She read her initial statement of complaint regarding Garda Keith Harrison. She subsequently made 15:50 a statement withdrawing this complaint. She has indicated that the contents of the statement are true however she does not wish to proceed with the complaint. A copy of her statement is attached. She informed me that her and Keith are back together since before Christmas. They are giving it another go. Happy times ahead. They are still living in Churchill.

Forwarded for your information please."

Signed.
Q. In relation to giving it another go, that is three or four exclamations after that, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. "Happy times ahead"?
A. We11, hopefully, I was hoping for her sake that there would be.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, honestly -MR. HARTY: My point --
CHAIRMAN: No, no, your point in relation to it, but I mean, $I$ know how people speak to one another. People email one another privately and surely they're entitled to. I mean, what evidence is it?
MR. HARTY: Sir, my point in fact is in relation to --

CHAIRMAN: The kind of things that are said in the barristers' coffee room, I suppose, would certainly not be properly posted up on a social media site.
MR. HARTY: My point in relation to this email isn't so much that it was private, it's that it was sent to nine 15:51 different individuals.

CHAIRMAN: okay.
MR. HARTY: Donegal division, Terry McGinn, Eugene McGovern, Kar1 Campbe11, Bridget McGowan, Michae1 Harrison, Andrew Archibald. Who is Donegal division?
A. That is divisional office, so that would be the staff that work in the divisional office.
Q. So how many people would that be receiving that?
A. Just, there would be one person there dealing with the post. Most likely Sergeant Duffy.
Q. But in fact anybody, how many people work in the divisional office?
A. Two -- three guards and a sergeant.
Q. And to Chief Superintendent McGinn?
A. Yeah, Chief Superintendent McGinn, Eugene McGovern because he's a superintendent where Garda Harrison and Marisa live, Kar1 Campbe11 is the guard we spoke about already.
Q. Why is he on that email?
A. Pardon?
Q. Why is he on that email?
A. Because he's involved with referring the matter up to -- or he would have been involved in sending the correspondence up on behalf of the chief to the

Assistant Commissioner in the Northern Region.
Sergeant McGowan then, obviously was with me taking the statement. Michael Harrison is the other inspector working with me in Letterkenny. And perhaps, I don't know whether he -- I don't know, I can't say what he was doing on the 11th January. And Andrew Archibald, who is now deceased, was the superintendent in Ballyshannon, which would be the area covering where Garda Harrison works.
Q. Michael Harrison played no role in this case, isn't that right?
A. No, I don't believe so. I don't believe so, no.
Q. And in relation to Andrew Archibald, he is deceased, isn't that right?
A. That's right.

1282 Q. He was the superintendent in --
A. Yes.
Q. What division was he?
A. Ballyshannon.
Q. Ballyshannon?
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: So he would be over Donegal town?
A. He would have covered Donegal Town, yes, Judge.
Q. MR. HARTY: Now one or two other brief matters. In relation to people, threats to their life, there's a form that people receive?
A. Pardon?
Q. There's a form that people are supposed to receive?
A. Yeah, and I referred to that earlier, the Garda

Information Management forms, yeah.
Q. And they never were presented to Marisa Simms?
A. No, they weren't, no.

CHAIRMAN: What's that form, Mr. Harty? I wasn't aware of it.
MR. HARTY: Yeah, it's a form that Garda Harrison received in relation to his threats. It's to advise people as to updates and everything else. It's procedure to deal with a threat.
A. It's, Judge, I suppose information, you inform them of the threat and then if they're not aware of it already and then you just give them crime prevention advice. CHAIRMAN: You give them numbers, etcetera?
A. Yes. Exactly. what precaution they take in order to protect themselves or their homes.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
Q. MR. HARTY: why was that never given to Marisa Simms? CHAIRMAN: Well, she already had the inspector's number, I suppose is one answer.
A. Yeah, that's what I said, she had my number.
Q. MR. HARTY: But there's more than just a phone number?
A. Yeah, but I can't -- the GIM forms were never served on Marisa.
Q. There's more than just a phone number, there's various steps you take to keep safe?
A. Yeah, but there was no GIM papers served on Marisa. Chairman: well, i'm not sure you could say that that is appropriate, if the form was taped up in the kitchen and you are living with the person who allegedly made
the threats to you.
MR. HARTY: well, that is part of what these form will tell you to do.
CHAIRMAN: what? Tape it up in the kitchen if you are living with the person who is threatening you?

MR. HARTY: No, it will tell you how to deal with the situation. The whole purpose of it is advice on how to deal with these situations.
A. well, on the night that we recorded the statement we did furnish advice to Marisa Simms in relation to matters and to ring 999, etcetera, if there was anything untoward.
Q. And in fact at the time you were of the view that she was living with Paula McDermott, isn't that correct.
A. Yes, she had gone down to Paula McDermott, yeah.
Q. And you were of the view that in fact she was no longer living with Keith Harrison?
A. That was my understanding. That she wasn't going back to Keith Harrison. That she had gone down to her sister's house. Her sister was gone on honeymoon.
Q. So, in fact, there was no reason why she couldn't have had the GIM form pinned up in her kitchen?
A. Well, perhaps she -- and again, it might have been an oversight on my part, or maybe I believed that the guards in milford would look after it, because she was GIM papers served on Marisa.
Q. And isn't it safe to say that if she wasn't living with Keith Harrison that there was no threat to the Simms
children, even on the worst version of events? she had moved out.
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: We11, I think the inspector has said that to me. Look, as far as I was concerned she was over in 15:55 her sister's house. I thought her sister was in Mexico at this stage?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: She may well have just taken over the house while the sister was in Mexico on the honeymoon. And that she was also in contact with her husband who was the father of the children.

MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: That is what I heard.
MR. HARTY: It's not about -- I should perhaps be entirely fair.

CHAIRMAN: In other words, that she was in a safe place. That is what I took out of it.
Q. MR. HARTY: Yes. But the point was: Were you involved in the decision to make the reference to Tusla?
A. Myself and Sergeant McGowan on the completion of recording that statement, and throughout, from talking to Marisa during the statement, there wasn't a shadow of a doubt that there was going to be a referral sent to Tusla in relation to the children. And whether, as I said earlier whether Marisa made a statement or not there's an obligation on us to make those referrals to Tus7a.

CHAIRMAN: Yes, and while there has been
cross-examination in relation to whether there was mention of GSOC, and in fact it is correct to say that there was no mention of GSOC, there was no cross-examination in relation to whether the question of referral to social services ever came up in a chat. That is not challenged. Well, I mean, you can challenge it in one sentence if you wish. MR. HARTY: No, I will just challenge it now.
Q. Isn't it fair to say -- when did you tell her that there would be a referral to Tusla?

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just to be clear -MR. HARTY: At what stage?
CHAIRMAN: -- there was a general allegation put, that what this was about was getting it to the chief superintendent or the superintendent who would get Keith counselling or something like that. But there wasn't any specific challenge to whether or not the social services or Tusla or HSE was mentioned at all. But if people want to do that now it can be done in a sentence.
A. Yes.
Q. And that's your evidence in relation to it. At what stage in your discussion with Marisa Simms do you say you say that?
A. I can't recall what time during the day, but certainly throughout the taking of that statement and once there was any mention of threats to the children we
highlighted that back to her.
CHAIRMAN: As I remember the evidence, it was said at the start and it was also said we're dealing here with a schoolteacher.

MR. HARTY: Well, the schoolteacher was at the withdrawal of the statement. I'm talking about the making of the statement.
CHAIRMAN: No, I know, that was said in relation to, she would be aware herself as to obligations where someone says the children are at risk, because she is a $15: 58$ schoolteacher.
A. That's correct.

Chairman: That's what I remember, and I took down.
Q. MR. HARTY: Is it not incredible then that Marisa simms knowing that went on to make a statement? Because Marisa Simms is not a threat to her children, is she?
A. No, Marisa Simms --

CHAIRMAN: Well, I think the point is that she actually didn't make this statement. I mean, that is what is crucial to all of this; that the statement is taken
down by the Gardaí as a complete distortion of anything she wanted to say. And if the allegation is - and it is the allegation now - look, it's probably better to put it, isn't it the case that you never mentioned the HSE or social services or Tusla. I'm taking those as an umbrella for the whole shebang. In other words, if children are at risk social workers need to investigate. The allegation is you never mentioned that at any stage, at the start, during the taking of
statement at all at all. I'm talking about 6th October now.
A. Oh no, we most definitely discussed that. Like, that is a matter of course. And that was my belief, that Marisa, as a teacher would know, she knows her obligations under the Children First Guidelines. And like, once she mentioned the threat to the kids that was discussed with her.
Q. MR. HARTY: But the Gardaí never investigated those threats to the kids?
A. Well --

CHAIRMAN: But they were investigated by Tusla, weren't they? To some smal1 extent anyway. MR. HARTY: Okay. Thank you, inspector.
A. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Was the reference to Tusla made, was that done maliciously in order to discommode Garda Harrison and Marisa Simms?
A. Absolutely not, Judge. They are recorded as a matter of course. That is what I said, myself and
Sergeant McGowan, whether it was us taking that statement or somebody else, like, you have a duty under Children First Guidelines to refer such cases to Tusla. Like, you don't have a choice in the matter. CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
A. You know, if there is any risk to children, and it could be just, you witness a row and it could be about the washing or the ironing or something, but if it's anything that will upset a child or cause emotional
distress, that you would refer, you have a duty to do that.

CHAIRMAN: Okay. Is there any other questions? Sorry, Mr. Hartnett, you wanted to come back.
MR. HARTNETT: There was indication, sir, that it was not put to this witness that there was no reference to Tusla. Now, it was certainly my intention to do so and I think I did so.

CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MR. HARTNETT: And indeed my team here, my junior and my solicitor, are of the view that I did put that. CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MR. HARTNETT: I don't know if you were referring to both counsel for Garda Harrison and --

CHAIRMAN: No, Mr. Hartnett, it's not a criticism of anybody. I mean, the crucial thing is: was this statement made in this form or not? And then another matter that has come up is: was there any reference to -- and there are so many names, Tusla is Child and Family Agency and it used to come under the remit of HSE, so any of the social services.

MR. HARTNETT: I think I used the expression social services and I think I did do that.

CHAIRMAN: I think you did mention social services at some stage, yeah.

MR. HARTNETT: Yes, very good.
CHAIRMAN: But I am not sure you specifically put 'There was no mention of social services at all and she did not understand it was going to social services'.

MR. HARTNETT: I think I did.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hartnett, you could well have done. It's been a long day. MR. HARTNETT: We will check it.

CHAIRMAN: No, don't worry about it, you can put it now 16:01 if you want to put it.

MR. HARTNETT: No, well, if I put it already I will stick with that. I will check the transcript. CHAIRMAN: Fine. Is there any other questions? MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: Very briefly, sir.

INSPECTOR SHERIDAN WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. Ó BRAONÁIN AS FOLLOWS:

1301 Q. MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: Inspector Sheridan, whilst Chief Superintendent McGinn might direct you to undertake tasks, would she ever direct you as to the manner in which you undertake tasks --
A. No.
Q. -- or would she leave that for you?
A. No, she would leave that for me.
Q. It has been suggested that whilst you were interviewing Ms. Simms on the --
CHAIRMAN: Well, I'm sorry, Mr. Hartnett, I have to correct myself because in fact $I$ took it down. At the very end you put "no reference to HSE", and that's the way you put it, and she said "there certainly was and furthermore she is a teacher and we explained". So you have done it, sorry. MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: Thank you sir.
Q. It's been suggested that on the 6th october, whilst you were interviewing Ms. Simms, that Chief Superintendent McGinn was physically present at that interview for some period of time?
A. That's incorrect. She wasn't anywhere near the station.

CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, who said that?
A. It's alluded to --

MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: It's something that Garda Harrison said to Sergeant Paul wallace or at least according to Sergeant Paul wallace in his statement.
CHAIRMAN: That Chief Superintendent McGinn wandered into the room at midnight or something?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: where is that?
MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: At page 1065 of the papers, that is the statement of Sergeant Paul Wallace.
CHAIRMAN: At what stage is she supposed to have wandered in?
MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: It's not defined by Garda Harrison.
It's suggested in the statement. Sir, that is the beginning of the statement but $I$ think it's at page perhaps 1066 or 7 further down. There.
CHAIRMAN: Hang on. If you wouldn't mind going back. No, not that far, please. I will pick it out myself.
So "I arranged to meet Garda Harrison at Churchil1." Is he the crime prevention officer?
A. That's correct, sir. mR. Ó bRAONÁIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN: "...advised Garda Harrison of routine --" Can we go on? "There was a walk through of the house, noting any deficiency... number of cars..." MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: Page 1067 of the statement, the first paragraph of 1067 is the relevant part.
CHAIRMAN: "He told me she had been in Letterkenny Garda Station making a statement, while there she had rung him about 21 times." Did she ring him at all?
A. I don't know, but I don't believe she did.

CHAIRMAN: In your presence?
A. The calls were incoming. She certainly didn't speak to me in my company.
CHAIRMAN: "During the course of one of the telephone conservations she told him that the chief had come into the room while the statement was being taken and said "no garda treats a woman like that, i'11 see to that". This is recorded in my notes."
A. Chief Superintendent McGinn was not in the station. CHAIRMAN: So he was saying that she had rung him 21 times during the eight and a half hours and that the chief superintendent was in the room and she'd said on the phone the chief superintendent is in the room and had said to her "no garda is going to treat a woman like that and I'm going to see it".
A. Yeah. I saw that, Judge, but Chief Superintendent

McGinn was not -- she wasn't even in the station, never mind in the room.

CHAIRMAN: Do the phone records disclose there was any phone conversations between --
A. Judge, the phone records show, like, a lot of phone traffic coming from Keith to Marisa, both from the landline and from --

CHAIRMAN: You mentioned there was something like 20 calls and eight texts, $I$ took it down, $I$ can't remember. But was there any phone calling the other way round?
A. No. The only people that Marisa spoke to were Andrew, her husband, and Paula, her sister.

CHAIRMAN: Could it have perhaps happened in the toilet?
A. Perhaps, perhaps yeah.

CHAIRMAN: okay.
MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: That may come up when the phone records are being examined.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, but, Mr. Ó Braonáin, do the phone records support any telephone traffic from Marisa Simms to Keith Harrison during these eight and a half hours?
A. No.

MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: No calls of any duration during that
period of time.
CHAIRMAN: I don't understand what you mean.
MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: There are missed calls.
CHAIRMAN: That is not a call, so -MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN: Is there any contact?
MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: Not that I can see in the records that I have seen.

CHAIRMAN: And is there any calls Marisa simms to Keith

Harrison?
A. No.

MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: From 3:00pm, when I understand the interviews started to that entire evening, not on my reading of the records.

CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right. okay, thank you. MR. HARTY: Sir, this is the evidence of Sergeant wallace, which is the report I already opened, and that report makes no reference of any suggestion that Garda Harrison referred to 21 calls. This appears to be a statement prepared by Garda Wallace for the Tribunal as opposed to his report, contemporaneous report which makes no reference to any suggestion of 21 calls.

CHAIRMAN: That is a fair point. But I don't know, 1et's see what tumbles out in the evidence.

MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: The only reason I am asking this witness about it is just to confirm that Chief Superintendent McGinn wasn't physically present at any stage during the course.
A. No, she wasn't, no.
Q. During the course of the conference on the 8th October, we've seen your notes already being taken, did anybody at the conference express any concern or difficulty with there being somebody there taking a written record of what was being said at that conference?
A. No.
Q. If I could ask you just to look at page 333 very briefly. Just further down. This is, I think, a letter to Mr. Darren wight of GSOC indicating -- from,

I think, Superintendent McGovern, describing you as a point of contact and giving her mobile number, following on from the referral to GSOC of this matter and suggesting you as a point of contact for GSOC if they have any queries. Did you receive any calls from GSOC?
A. No.
Q. From Mr. Wright or anybody else in GSOC?
A. No, no calls at all. No contact at all.
Q. Thanks, Inspector Sheridan.

MR. DOCKERY: Chairman, as you know, Inspector Sheridan is my client and ordinarily one might expect that I would have some questions for her.

CHAIRMAN: Sure, no, I understand that, Mr. Dockery, but it's only if things haven't been covered or if there is a point you think $I$ have missed.

MR. DOCKERY: First of all, Chairman, I don't want to embark on any further questions, any questions at this stage of the day, after a very long day and two full days in the witness box for Inspector sheridan. What I 16:08 was going to suggest to you is that I would confer with Mr. McGuinness to see whether the Tribunal team, Mr. McGuinness intends to conduct any sort of re-examination and as to whether in that event it's necessary for me to embark on any questions.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. McGuinness, do you want to do your re-examination? I would like to try and finish today. As I say, $I$ have other things to do but it can wait. So, do you want to go now?

MR. MCGUINNESS: We11 --
CHAIRMAN: Is there something else? I mean, is there anything that hasn't been covered in enormous depth? MR. DOCKERY: No, nothing. I don't think there is anything that hasn't been covered but perhaps if I were 16:09 to ask some questions I might place a slightly different emphasis on one or two points, but it may be that Mr. McGuinness will cover that ground anyway. CHAIRMAN: Why don't you go ahead, Mr. Dockery, and let's see how we get on and I'm sure your questions are 16:09 going to be quick.

INSPECTOR SHERIDAN WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DOCKERY AS FOLLOWS:
Q. MR. DOCKERY: I will truncate this to the greatest extent possible, Chairman. Inspector Sheridan, I think your evidence has been that on the 3rd October 2013 that you received a telephone call from Marisa Simms after you had texted her, isn't that so?
A. That's correct, yes.

Did she give you any indication at that stage of what her intentions were vis-à-vis speaking with you?
A. She indicated at that stage after her conversation with her that she was willing to make a statement and that she would come in to me, but it would be after her sister Paula's wedding, that was the next day, on Friday, 4th October.
Q. You've specifically used the phrase there, that she indicated to you that she would come in to make a
statement. Did she indicate that or did she say she would come in to have a chat?
A. It was my understanding from that discussion that she would make a statement but when she came in to discuss the matter on the 6th we had a discussion around it and 16:10 she was -- you know, as I said, she was apprehensive but then she proceeded to make a statement.
Q. In your statement to the Tribunal you've pointed out that that conversation was one that took place at length, is it your recollection that you discussed with her, therefore, the question of whether she might make a formal statement?
A. On the --
Q. 3rd October?
A. -- 3rd October, yeah. I did talk to her about, we
spoke briefly about the threats and about her having been thrown out of the house three times and that, you know, that I was speaking to her and if we wanted to proceed with a criminal investigation obviously we would need a statement of complaint.
Q. You had a further conversation by telephone on the 5th October, the day after the wedding, and your statement to the Tribunal also indicates that that was at length, is that correct?
A. On the 5th?

1315 Q. The 5th October.
A. Yeah, that was the morning after the threat had been made on Garda Harrison. And we spoke in relation to the threat and then we spoke in relation to making the
statement. She was more, I suppose more relaxed on the phone at that stage and it appeared to me she was satisfied at that point she was going to make a statement and she arranged to come into the station then at three o'clock on the Sunday the 6th October.
Q. And by that stage in your conversation on the telephone on Sunday, 5th October, do I understand you had quite a lot of chat and discussion with her on the phone about some of the background to this matter which subsequently emerged from the statement of the 6th?
A. That's correct. She discussed, as I say, the incidents in relation to being thrown out of the house and obviously the threats. She didn't mention anything about infidelities or anything to do with that. She mentioned this constant phoning and texts.
Q. Yes.
A. And then obviously --
Q. And you had occasion to call her back later on, on the 5th October with a text message, you contacted her with a text message, isn't that right, in relation to details about the incident at the westport during the hen party?
A. Yes, that was on the 3rd October, that's correct, yes.
Q. The 3 rd or the 5 th, but in any event it indicates that you clearly had a discussion about those matters with her?
A. Absolutely. It was a two-way discussion, yes.
Q. In your mind when she came by herself to the garda station on that Sunday morning the 6th October, in your
mind what did you understand she was coming to?
A. She was coming in willing to the station to make a complaint in relation to her partner, Keith Harrison, and his actions and the threats.
Q. Was she coming to make a statement of --
A. That was my understanding from talking to her, that she was coming in to make a statement. When she came in we explained the options to her and then she proceeded to make a statement.

But it was possible of course that she might decide during the course of the discussion with you that she wasn't going to proceed to make a statement?
A. Yes, that's correct. Yes.
Q. Now, when you -- I just want to ask you this question, I don't want to labour the point but I think we'11 all agree in this room that a discussion with a potential witness which may or may not lead to the taking of a statement is in entirely different to the interrogation of a suspect under the Criminal Justice Act 1984 and the treatment of persons in custody regulations, is that so?
A. That's correct. They're polar opposites. It's totally different.
Q. None of the formalities which attach to the interrogation of a suspect apply to interviewing a witness, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, that's correct, yes.
Q. And I won't list them here, but for example the maintenance of a custody record, the formal recording
of the interview, the involvement of the member in Charge and so on and so forth, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And the absence of any necessity in the situation in which you found yourself to administer a caution prior to commencing the interview, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Al1 right. Now, the atmosphere in the station, my impression from your evidence is that you were sympathetic to Marisa Simms's predicament?
A. Yes, that's correct. My heart went out to her because I felt how would anybody tolerate this type of behaviour. Even just the phone calls and text messages, never mind anything else going on in the background.
Q. when you are taking a witness statement from a potential witness or somebody who claims to be a witness, or in this case a complaint, we all know that there's a conversation, there's an over and back, isn't that right, before things are noted down?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Any questions you would ask of such a person, what is the purpose of those questions?
A. Well --
Q. Bearing in mind now that you want to get the interviewee's own evidence down on paper.
A. I suppose with any interview you're going to ask probing questions in relation to events that they are reporting and it's to gather evidence, if there is
evidence, to support her allegations and get specifics in relation to dates, times, places, etcetera.
Q. If somebody is telling you something, how would it arise that you would have to ask any questions?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. No, but in what circumstances would you ever have to -CHAIRMAN: Yes, well, what you are saying, Mr. Dockery, it's not razzle-dazzle, to use the words from the musical, but it is in fact to try and get a coherent account.
MR. DOCKERY: And perhaps -- yes, yes.
CHAIRMAN: Is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes, Judge.

CHAIRMAN: Razzle-dazzle is what trial lawyers do.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: So the musical says.
Q. MR. DOCKERY: And did you find it necessary during the course of this period of time with Marisa Simms to clarify anything that she was saying to you?
A. Yes, on occasion there was so much, there was so much information there and it was just snippets of information in relation to certain events and they had to be explored.
Q. Did you put words into her mouth such as "obsessiveness" or "obsession" or "controlling"?
A. No, absolutely not.
Q. Did you lead her through her interview or suggest matters to her that that should go into the statement?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. It has been specifically put to you today, inspector, that Ms. Simms never said at any stage that she had been put out of the house, all right?
A. That's totally incorrect. She told me that she was put out of the house three times.
Q. At page 81 of the materials, during the course of the statement there is a description of an incident on the 31st March 2013 or the early morning of the 1st April, where during the course of a row Mr. Harrison allegedly struck the dashboard with his fist.
A. That's correct.
Q. It has been suggested to you that that was never said.
A. It was a hundred percent said. I know there's mention here of keys, there was no mention of keys. That is detailed in her statement as informed by her to us.
Q. You understand that what in effect was being put to you is that that reference to his fist smashing the dashboard was invented by you as interviewer or your colleague?
A. Nothing in that statement was invented by myself or Sergeant McGowan. Everything in that statement is a true reflection of what Marisa Simms told us.
Q. And in effect what was being suggested to you was that you invented the notion that she had been put out of the house once or more times?
A. Absolutely not. It's all Marisa Simms's words.
Q. Now it has been -- I just want to show you page 584 of the materials very briefly, inspector. And that is the statement that was taken from Superintendent Sheridan
by the Tribunal's investigators for the purpose of this Tribunal. Page 584. which is the first page.
CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kelly, are you okay? Are you sure?
Yes. And the point you want to make, Mr. Dockery, is?
MR. DOCKERY: The point I want to make, very briefly, I 16:19 just want to make sure that page 584 is the first page of Superintendent Sheridan's statement, if we scroll down a little bit there, or his interview with Tribunal investigators.
CHAIRMAN: If you could just read it out, we will all find it.

MR. DOCKERY: I will find the page, sir.
CHAIRMAN: What is the point?
MR. DOCKERY: Well, I think we have to see it and then the point will be clear, it will become apparent on the 16:20 page.
CHAIRMAN: It's clear to you now, Mr. Dockery. MR. DOCKERY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: It could become clear to me if you said it. MR. DOCKERY: 548, my mistake.
CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
Q. MR. DOCKERY: 548. You see there, inspector, the date the statement was taken is recorded as 13th July 2017, do you see that?
A. That's correct, yes.
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Q. That says 17:24 hours?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Would you agree with me that subject to anything counsel for the Tribunal says, that would appear to suggest that the investigators took six hours 40 minutes to take down Superintendent Sheridan's interview?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Without, without perhaps any necessity for discussion before the formal recording of his answers to questions?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. And they're experienced investigators, aren't they?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. So it was being put to you this morning that to take eight and a half hours to conduct the interview or to take the statement from Ms. Simms was an exceptional length of time in the circumstances, do you accept that?
A. I don't -- I know that was aired, but I don't believe that it was, in the circumstances.
Q. Al1 right. Now just turning to the circumstances in which the statement was retracted on the 11th January 2014, it was put to you that you were frigid and expressed displeasure to Ms. Simms on that occasion, have you ever done that to a witness or a complainant?
A. No, I have never, and I didn't do it on that occasion either.
Q. It was put to you that you wrote out that statement of
retraction and presented it to her, have you ever done that before to a witness?
A. No, absolutely not, that's totally incorrect.
Q. You did have some expectation that she was going to withdraw her statement, isn't that right?
A. Yeah, from when I spoke to her, I suppose, late October, early November.
Q. And of course you knew that GSOC had closed their file since --
A. Yes.
Q. -- since 6th November when you were informed, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, Judge, yes.
Q. So it was hardly a huge surprise to you when she phoned you the previous day, on the 10th January, to tell you what she intended to do, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. I should also just deal with one question, in the interview, or in the statement of the 6th October, there is the record, we've all seen, of the threat allegedly made by Mr. Harrison to burn Ms. Simms, isn't that so?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And it's recorded in quotation marks?
A. That's correct.
Q. It's been suggested to you that she never said -- that she never received a threat that she would be burned by Keith Harrison and that you either took that down wrongly deliberately or accidentally?
A. No, that is a true reflection of what she said. And she did say those words and she felt threatened by what he had said to her.
Q. And did she tell you that he had threatened to bury her and her sister?
A. Yes, she did, yes.
Q. Yeah. Now just to bring this towards a conclusion, inspector, I wanted to ask you about an email from Karl Campbe11 which appears at page 929 of the papers. Did you ever have any input into whether the chief superintendent forwarded a request to the assistant commissioner sligo to appoint a superintendent from outside the division to investigate this?
A. No, I was totally unaware of -- I wasn't familiar with any of that process or $I$ wasn't involved in any of it.
Q. So you had no input into that decision?
A. No, absolutely not, no.
Q. Did GSOC ever contact you about the statement of complaint of the 6th October 2013?
A. No. No.
Q. Finally then, just a matter that appears at page 710 of the materials that Mr. Harty raised with you. I think Sergeant McGowan received -- Mr. Harty was asking you about what steps you had taken to contact Marisa Simms or members of her family to inquire about the welfare
of her children in the period after you took the statement, isn't that right?
CHAIRMAN: 710.
MR. DOCKERY: Yes. 710.
A. Sorry?

16:24
CHAIRMAN: This is what steps were taken vis-à-vis ensuring that she was okay and the children were all right?
MR. DOCKERY: Yes. This, sir, is the statement submitted to the Tribunal by another of my clients, Sergeant Bridget McGowan.

CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MR. DOCKERY: At the final paragraph there "I subsequently received --" of page 710.
CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
Q. MR. DOCKERY: She said that she received, and Sergeant McGowan will give evidence that she received correspondence from Tus7a dated 27th February 2014 in relation to the referrals made by Gardaí in respect of the children. She had notified Superintendent McGovern 16:25 and attached a letter to a file. But what she is saying there is that she received correspondence from Tusla and in that correspondence it was stated that an initial social work assessment had been completed in relation to the referral information and there were no ongoing identifiable child welfare or child protection concerns at that time. Now that is February 2014. Were you aware of that?
A. No. I had absolutely no dealings with the HSE or Tusla
or correspondence between the guards and Tusla at al1 in relation to Marisa or Keith Harrison.
Q. And you weren't in contact with Sergeant McGowan about that aspect?
A. No, I wasn't, no.

16:26
Q. But you did know obviously that she had made referrals to HSE?
A. Yes, absolutely.
Q. As was her obligation, isn't that so?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And are you familiar then, just to conclude, with the Gardaí's obligations under -- the Gardaí's policy, I should say, on domestic violence intervention?
A. I am. Yes, I am, yeah.
Q. And under the Children First Guidelines, isn't that so?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And I think that the Gardaí's policy, which was in effect at the time, on domestic violence, still is, that it is the duty of the Gardaí to investigate fully al1 incidents of domestic violence, including reports of domestic violence received by a third party, and it goes on to emphasise the care that must be taken over these sort of cases, isn't that so?
A. That's correct. It does make reference then in particular to -- in that document in relation to dealing with -- where there is: "An Garda síochána will not tolerate domestic violence committed by its members. An perpetrator who is a member of An Garda Síochána will be dealt with in accordance with the
law." And that is part of our domestic violence policy also.
Q. I think that is paragraph 9.1: "An Garda Síochána will not tolerate domestic violence committed by its members. Any perpetrator who is a member of An Garda Síochána will be dealt with in accordance with law." Isn't that so?
A. That's correct.
Q. I think paragraph 7.3 stipulates that: "In regard to the welfare of children, the Children First National Guidelines 1999 require that An Garda Síochána formally notifies the appropriate HSE office when a member suspects that a child has been the victim of emotional, physical or sexual abuse or neglected (willful or unintentional). Sufficient evidence to support a criminal prosecution is not required before notifying the HSE." Isn't that so?
A. That's correct, yes.

CHAIRMAN: Is that it, Mr. Dockery?
Q. MR. DOCKERY: Yes. And the last question is, sir, that, inspector, you're also familiar, I take it, with the provisions of -- which were in force at the time, of the Gardaí's policy on sexual crimes against children and child welfare, isn't that so?
A. That's correct, yes.

2013 edition. And I think paragraph 38.7 .3 stipulates that: "Members encountering domestic violence incidents between persons who have children will refer all such cases to the HSE's children and family

> services."
Isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Are you satisfied that you acted appropriately in respect of all of these matters?
A. Absolutely. And I think we were duty bound to do that.
Q. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. MCGuinness, is there anything crucial to finally end?
MR. McGUINNESS: Yes, just a couple of matters
Chairman.
MR. HARTNETT: We11, before that happens, I should clarify a matter which my friend has reminded me of. It is one point $I$ wish to make on7y; clarification of something I suspect I failed to put to this witness.
In the statement you had written down in inverted commas, in quotes, "I am going to bury her and you". CHAIRMAN: Yeah. MR. HARTNETT: It's in your statement.
CHAIRMAN: And what do you want to put, Mr. Hartnett, about that?
MR. HARTNETT: And my client accepts that she said of Mr. Harrison "I am going to bury her", but that he did not say "and you".
CHAIRMAN: who was he going to bury?
A. Bury her.

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, who was going to be -MR. HARTNETT: The sister.
CHAIRMAN: He was going to kill the sister, was it?

MR. HARTNETT: No, I understand it wasn't meant in that way.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. HARTNETT: But my client accepts that that was said in relation to the sister.

CHAIRMAN: which sister now was it?
MR. HARTNETT: Paula.
CHAIRMAN: Paula.
MR. HARTNETT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: The one who hadn't invited him to the
wedding.
MR. HARTNETT: which is referenced earlier. "who does she think she is?"

CHAIRMAN: A11 right. So the difference is she said I'm going to bury Paula, but you wrote down I'm going to bury Paula and her.
A. Her and you.

CHAIRMAN: Namely Marisa, two burials.
A. Yeah. Well, that is what he said; I am going to bury her and you.
MR. HARTNETT: I'm suggesting to you, you are mistaken in that.
A. No, well, that is a true reflection of what was said. CHAIRMAN: Mr. McGuinness, was there one thing? MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes, a couple of things.
Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: The mic is not going on. Thank you.

Inspector, just a couple of matters. In the original handwritten statement there appear to be the initials "MS" on a number of occasions on different pages, and could you just help me identify a couple of them?
CHAIRMAN: what page are you on there?
MR. McGUINNESS: Page 858, it's the second page of the statement. Do you have the original there? CHAIRMAN: 858.
A. I have a copy of the original here. It starts at page 857.
Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: On page 2, on the fourth last nine, there are initials MS?
A. In the original?
Q. Do you see those?
A. Four lines from the bottom, yes, MS, yeah.
Q. Do you see them?
A. Yes.
Q. Who made those initials?
A. Marisa Simms.
Q. Now in your evidence, I think in one of your answers you I think told Mr. Hartnett that they appear on three or four occasions, I count perhaps up to 28 between page 2 and page 36 .
A. Yeah, $I$ think it's in relation to the row in front of the children.
Q. Pardon?
A. In relation to the row or the argument in front of the children or the threats in front of the children. In that synopsis, she has two, three --

```
    1385 Q. Three?
    2 A. She's initialed three --
    1386 Q. Three on that page?
    4 A. -- on that story, yeah.
    51387 Q. We can go through al1 of them perhaps but --
        CHAIRMAN: It's sufficient to make the point. Was
        there some crucial thing about burning --
        MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
        CHAIRMAN: -- or infidelity?
        MR. MCGUINNESS: Could I ask you to look at page 13 of
        16:32
        the handwritten statement? Do you have that?
    A. Yes, I have it here, yeah.
    Q. Is this the point where the handwriting changes from
        your handwriting to Sergeant McGowan's?
    A. Yes, that's where it changes, yeah.
    Q. On the fifth line?
    A. On the fifth line, yeah, that's correct.
1391 Q. I think there's an MS there, is that correct?
    A. Yeah.
20 1392 Q. Initialed?

21
221393
```

A. She's initialed three --
Q. Three on that page?
A. -- on that story, yeah.
Q. We can go through all of them perhaps but --
A. Yeah.
Q. Initialed?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. okay. Just going on to, for example -CHAIRMAN: Can you say the page number again, Mr. McGuinness.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Pardon?
CHAIRMAN: Page number again.
MR. MCGUINNESS: It's 869 of the written version. CHAIRMAN: And in the middle the handwriting changes? MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.

``` CHAIRMAN: Okay.
Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: It's your handwriting originally, is it?
A. Yes that's correct.
Q. And it changes to Sergeant McGowan's?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. But the initialing, for example, MS, that continues throughout on many occasions and all of the subsequent pages is that Marisa Simms's initials?
A. Yes.
Q. Written by her?
A. Yes.
Q. So, for example, on page 34 of the written statement of page 890 , in the bottom third of that page there appears to be initials there, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes, after "No, he wouldn't stop".
Q. okay. And that's between the two blacked out bits, the line between the two blacked out names?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Then the next page, 891, approximately halfway down on the left-hand edge, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Before the word?
A. "Back in to get".

251402 Q. Back?
A. Yeah, "back in to get school uniform".
Q. At the next page, page 92 , on the sixth line down?
A. That's correct, there's another MS there.
Q. There's more initials there, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And then on the final page there appear to be two more initialed portions approximately eight lines up from the bottom of final page, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes, Judge.
Q. That's at page 894?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. I will just get that on screen. A couple of other issues just. Mr. Hartnett seemed to accept when he was questioning you that there had been an offer made by either you or Sergeant McGowan for her to come back another day and finish it, is that correct?
A. Yeah, that was open to her at any point to come back at a later stage.
Q. Yes. But my question is this: Did you nominate -- was 16:34 there any nomination of another day by you that didn't suit her or vice versa?
A. No. It didn't come up for -- we didn't need to arrange another day because she was happy to stay, continuing on making her statement.
Q. Okay. So, you didn't suggest a day that she might come back that didn't suit her or she didn't nominate a day that didn't suit you?
A. No, no.
Q. Now at any stage did she appear to be i11 or distressed 16:35 in a way that --
A. No definitely not.
Q. -- made it impossible to continue or unwise to continue --
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A. No, definitely not.

1412 Q. -- the interview?
A. And if that arose we would have concluded, definitely.
Q. Now Mr. Harty has asked you about Superintendent

McGovern's statement, whereby he refers to Chief Superintendent McGinn intending to appoint you on the 27th September to review all matters.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. Did you receive any formal appointment?
A. No, I didn't, no.
Q. Were you informed by Chief Superintendent McGinn that you had been appointed, as it were, to review all matters?
A. No. Just, my first conversation was with the chief superintendent on the 2 nd October in relation to the matters, yeah.
Q. And was that when she asked to you follow up with Rita McDermott about whether she would make a statement?
A. Yeah, in relation to that and obviously the concerns that had been raised, yes.

1417 Q. Thank you very much, Inspector Sheridan.
A. Thank you, Mr. McGuinness. CHAIRMAN: I have no questions, inspector.
A. Thank you.

THE WITNESS WITHDREW CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kelly, you don't need to take this down. THE HEARING THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TUESDAY, 26TH SEPTEMBER 2017 AT 10:00AM
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