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THE HEARING RESUMED, AS FOLLOWS, ON FRIDAY, 29TH SEPTEMBER 2017:

CHAIRMAN: Ladies and gentlemen, I apologise for being 1ate. There is a car parked illegally in Castle Street 10:11 which is blocking a coach, and then a huge line of traffic has built up. I don't know if there's any Gardaí present. I notice Mr. Dwyer is stuck in the same traffic jam as I walked out. There we go.

GARDA HARRISON CONTINUED TO BE EXAMINED BY MR. MARRINAN AS FOLLOWS:

1 Q. MR. MARRINAN: Garda Harrison, we just got to the point where yourself and Marisa were visited by Donna McTeague from Tus7a on 7th of February and also subsequently her meeting on 19th February, right?
A. Yes.

2 Q. And you have seen the statement from Donna McTeague in relation to this matter?
A. Yes, I have?

3 Q. And I am just going to go through it with you now because she is disputing some aspects of what you say or have said in your statement to the Tribunal and also in an affidavit that you swore for the High court and she is disputing some of the contents of that?
A. That is okay.

4 Q. And you understand, I have to put this to you because I have to put all parties' cases before the Chairman. If we could just have page 2433 on the screen, please. I
think probably the easiest way is if I just go through this with you and ask you for your comment in relation to some of this. At the end of yesterday, we had touched on one aspect which seemed to be quite a significant part of the narrative, and we were discussing that Marisa Simms was not pursuing an allegation that Ms. McTeague had said that she was brought -- she was under pressure from her superior, who was under pressure from the Gardaí, to do a return visit, do you remember that?
A. Yes.

5 Q. And I understood you yesterday to say that in insofar as you were suggesting that in the statement that you made and perhaps your affidavit, that you might be mistaken yourself in that regard, is that right?
A. Chairman, the conversations between Donna McTeague and Marisa did not happen in my presence. The information that came from that came from Marisa, and that is what I have relied on. That is the information that I have had and that is the information that I have put truthfully there.
6 Q. Yes. Well, insofar as you have asserted that --
A. Those are my beliefs, yes.

7 Q. Insofar as you have asserted that in your statement and also in your affidavit, you are now saying that you asserted that on the basis that you had been given that information from your partner?
A. The only time I spoke with Donna McTeague was in relation to the initial meeting in St. Conal's in

Letterkenny, and when she came to our home in Woodbury, I don't know that I had that much conversation because she was more engaged with the children than myself and Marisa.
Well, just come back to what I was asking you there. Insofar as you have asserted this, and you have, in your statement, and also in your affidavit --
A. Mm-hmm.

9 Q. -- can we now take it that the reason that you asserted
it was because you were told this by your partner?
A. This was communicated to me at the time by Marisa. In fairness, Marisa had all of the contact with Ms. McTeague.
10 Q. And so, therefore, if Marisa Simms isn't now contending that this is so, is that something that you are also abandoning?
A. No, I am not. Because, Chairman, I will explain to you that -- and I don't know you can't say because of the lack of documentation means that there was anything wrong, but we know from the evidence given by Sergeant McGowan that there was a phone call directing Tusla not to get involved on the basis if we take that the statement of Marisa was true, that she directed Tusla not to get involved in her mind knowing that there was potentially very serious risks. And at a very early stage, I would say five days, maybe six days after obtaining a statement from Marisa she had the knowledge that we were back together and still took the attitude that there was no need for Tusla to get involved.

11 Q. Yes. And you know, Garda Harrison, you are making a case that there was inappropriate -- this was an inappropriate referral to Tusla in the first instance?
A. Absolutely.

12 Q. And I suppose in that regard you are pointing to the fact that on the 8th October there was what appears to have been a very major conference involving senior officers?
A. Yes.

13 Q. And you, no doubt, make the point that this seemed inappropriate for what was, at the end of the day, a domestic dispute, as you would see it, is that right?
A. A domestic dispute, yes.

14 Q. And therefore, you would regard that, if I can put it this way, overkill by the Gardaí as evidence of some maliciousness --
A. Yes.

15 Q. -- towards you?
A. Yes.

16 Q. Is that right?
A. That's correct.

17 Q. And you would also rely on the fact that there was what was deemed to be, you would say, an inappropriate referral to GSOC as being further evidence of an attitude of senior management in Donegal to do you down 10:17 at that time?
A. Chairman, in relation to the referral to GSOC, we are not dealing with an inexperienced member who thinks they have done right by it. We are dealing with people
who's bread and butter -- every day a chief's duty is to deal with matters like this to GSOC. Within I think, was it, 18 or 20 minutes of sending the recommendations of a 102 referral to internal affairs, to Chief Superintendent Anthony McLoughlin - who I would say is an expert in this area being in that field - he quickly came back and said that it was completely inappropriate and the wrong avenue to go down. A short time after GSOC themselves confirmed in early November that this was the wrong thing to do, and they would have all the time known that under section 105 of the Act they were quite entitled to pursue me, and it was irregardless of whether GSOC took action or not, and they didn't. The only action that was ever taken was when I received a letter on 1st of December 2014 stating that there was now commencing a criminal and disciplinary investigation despite the fact that this had previously been closed down I think in January of that year.
18 Q. Indeed. And the Tribunal is not blind to all the points that you make in that regard, do you stand?
A. I appreciate that.

19 Q. Do you appreciate that?
A. Yeah.

20 Q. And the Tribunal is very alert to the fact that subsequent to these meetings that you had with Tusla in May of 2014, you made a Protected Disclosure?
A. That's correct, yes.

21 Q. But you will realise that matters that fall within that
and that happened to you subsequently, aren't a matter for the term of reference that we are now dealing with, which is term of reference $N$, do you understand that?
A. I accept that.

22 Q. Just that we are not blind to those matters or to the obligation to put every aspect of the evidence to you and to test it, this is a meeting that you had had with Tusla, al1 right?
A. Mm-hmm.

23 Q. Isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

24 Q. And in the statement that you made to the Tribunal, and also in the affidavit, would it be fair to characterise what you were saying in the following way: You were saying, look, I had this meeting and the social worker that I was dealing with, Donna McTeague, seemed bemused as to why she was visiting us at all?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. A11 right. would that be fair to say, that is what you were saying?
A. She was -- we met --
Q. No, I will come to the specifics, I am just trying to --
A. Yes, that's --

27 Q. -- trying to give the general impression that you were attempting to convey --
A. Yeah.

28 Q. -- and indeed Marisa Simms was attempting to convey in the statement.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. That she had no idea why she was there at a11, and really, the impression that you are trying to portray is that perhaps she was just going through the formalities?
A. No. What I would say is I have no doubt Donna McTeague knows her job. I have no issue with how she conducted herself. The issue - and it was spoken about - was that this alleged incident had happened four-and-a-half months previous and it was unusual that an incident with that time gap would be dealt with four-and-a-half months later.
30 Q. We11, is that what you are saying or is it what you say she said?
A. That was part of the conversation at the meeting.
A. I am telling you that was part of the conversation at the meeting.

32 Q. Okay. And I will come to deal with her version of events there. But that is something that you were still maintaining?
A. Yes.

33 Q. That she said to that you this was something that was unusual --
A. Between the alleged incident and the meeting that it wouldn't be normal for that. 7th of February, are you maintaining that she indicated to you that that was the end of the matter?
A. After the meeting, there was a general, I won't say chitchat but it was more relaxed. She made a comment, and I am paraphrasing on the comment, is that you know lads, in future, if you're having a row make sure it's not in front of the kids. And to be fair we never row in fronts of the kids, we are always careful about that, so we do. But we asked her about -- she had mentioned about the possibility of having to do a home visit, and we asked her before we left if she was and could we have it done sooner rather than later. She did say she had to talk to her team leader, she didn't mention the team leader's name, and she said she didn't feel that it was -- she felt that it was unlikely it would go to that, but if it did she would come back to us.
Q.

Well, you are moving your evidence --
A. I am not moving it. I am certain that happened.

36 Q. All right. Okay. But, and I will come to precisely --
A. And we left the meeting relieved that that was it done and we felt that that was the end of the matter.
37 Q. So in terms of her coming back then --
A. Yes.

38 Q. -- and her return visit --
A. Yes.

39 Q. -- are you maintaining that it was said by her that she had to return because she'd been directed by her superior, who had been in contact with the Gardaí?
A. Marisa had taken a phone call with Ms. McTeague. After the phone call Marisa came in and told us that she had to do a home visit. Marisa was upset, that she had to do a home visit, that she had consulted with her team leader, again didn't mention a name or at least no name was mentioned to me at that time, so there wasn't, and that it was a case that her team leader had been in contact with a -- with the Gardaí. Now, that in itself, it was never said at what time, when, it was said that had she had been in contact with the Gardaí, which we now know to be true from brigid McGowan because Brigid McGowan had contacted the -CHAIRMAN: Mr. Marrinan, I am sorry for interrupting you, but again I have to be able to write down something coherent, something that actually makes sense, something that is, if you like, what you are accusing somebody of. Now, can I write down --
A. I am not accusing --

CHAIRMAN: No, just hang on a minute. No, no, please. Can I write down that your case is that Sergeant McGowan lent on - and you understand what I mean by 'lent on' - Donna McTeague when Donna McTeague was content after the meeting of the 7th of February 2014 not to have a home visit, that she lent on her to ensure there was a home visit; are you saying that or are you not saying that?
A. No, what I am saying is the team leader had a conversation with Sergeant McGowan that was relayed back to Donna McTeague, that was the result of the follow-up call. That is what I am saying. I am not saying that Donna McTeague had any contact with Sergeant McGowan.
CHAIRMAN: A11 right. We11, can 1 put it more simply: Are you saying that at the end of the meeting in the office -- you know what I am talking about there?
A. Yes, yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- on the 7th of February, that as far as everybody was concerned, that was the end of the matter and a home visit was unlikely?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: So that is a yes?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: And are you saying that somehow the Gardaí manipulated a change of plan to a home visit.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: You are?
A. Previous conversations, yes.

CHAIRMAN: You are saying that?
A. Yes, I am.

CHAIRMAN: And are you saying that that change in the mind of Tusla was effected by the Gardaí for malicious reasons against you?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Right. We11, then I know.
40 Q. MR. MARRINAN: So if we could just have page 2433 up on
the screen. This is the statement of Donna McTeague.
"I wish to respond to the following points contained in the above statement as made available to me in the Tribunal documentation."

And then she refers to page 28 of your statement. And she quotes you where you say:
"With a social worker, Donna McTeague. She went on to explain she had been asked to meet us over a row in September 2013 but was confused as to what she was to do as she explained she deals with children in immediate danger and this meeting was taking place over four months later."

That is in fact what you are saying, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

41 Q. And she says this, and I have to put her case to you:
"This is not an accurate representation of the discussion held with Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms. As stated above, at the outset of my meeting with them, I outlined the role of duty social worker and differentiated between a child protection referral and a child welfare referral."

## Did she do that?

A. She may have done, yes.

42 Q. "This is documented in summary form in the notes taken by Naoimi wallace, social care leader, at this meeting, where it states: "Donna explained her role to the couple and explained how she received the referra1." I was not confused nor did I express any confusion during 10:27 the meeting."

What do you say about that?
A. I am not saying she was confused. I am saying that she said that it was an unusual case for the time -- for the distance of time between and the alleged incident and the meeting.
43 Q. We11, you did say, I mean, if you go back to the previous page, 2433, the quote that she gives in relation to this, where it says that: "She had been asked to meet us over a row in September 2013 but was confused as to what she was to do." I mean, you did say that she was confused in your statement
A. Confused, found it unusual, Chairman, that's what was done.

44 Q. We11, in any event, she said there was no confusion in her mind in relation to it. At page 28 , she quotes you as saying:
"And told us she wouldn't be taking things further and she would report back to her team leader but at that point was happy that we wouldn't have any more communications or meetings with her."

Again, in answer to the Chairman, you have confirmed that that is your position?
A. That is my position.

And your stance. She says, just in the second paragraph really deals with it there:
"while this was my assessment of the information at the time, I also clearly stated to them during the meeting that I may need to meet with the children to which both agreed."

Did she say that to you?
A. She did.
Q. "It is not correct to state that I said that they would not be having any more communication or meetings with me."
A. What was said, she did say that she may have to meet with us and the children but based on the meeting and what she had heard that it was unlikely and she would be bringing and having a meeting with her team leader. Myself and Marisa left the meeting that day understanding that that was it because we had told them what had happened.
47 Q. We11, what you have said in your statement, and I understand to be your evidence, is: "-- but at that
point was happy that we wouldn't have any more communications." Communications --
A. We were satisfied leaving that that was the end of it. 48 Q. -- or meetings with her?
A. Or meetings.
Q. Then she quotes you on page 28:
"We constantly worry that we are being watched by my colleagues, who at any stage could again make scurrilous accusations that could put us and our children at risk."

I think we had that yesterday and you --
A. Yes, our family life has been destroyed by what has gone on over the last number of years.
52 Q. Now, her comment in relation to this is:
"The referral to the Social Work Department pertained to an incident that both Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison confirmed had in fact happened and for which Garda Harrison accepted all responsibility. The referral in my view is not a scurrilous accusation but one that was appropriately made and appropriately assessed based on what information was known to me at the time."
A. The scurrilous accusation isn't anything arising from the meeting with Ms. McTeague. As far as I am aware

Ms. McTeague's knowledge of it was a verbal row that happened in the presence of the children. That is my understanding of what Ms. McTeague knew at that time. In relation to the statement -- or in relation to confirming what had happened, that's what I confirmed had happened. No more.

53 Q. We11, you will appreciate that Ms. McTeague is saying that on the basis of the information that she had available to her at that time, that she was happy that it was an appropriate referral. And when she confronted you with the information that she had, that, as she puts it, "Garda Harrison accepted al1 responsibility for it".
A. Yes.

54 Q. Again, in reference to page 38, she says:
"Later that day --"

This is a quote from your statement.
"Later that day Donna McTeague rang Marisa and apologised and said she had to do a home visit. Marisa asked her to come that day as she couldn't bear with it hanging over us. Ms. McTeague couldn't do it that day but visited the following day."

The response is:
"This is inaccurate. As per notes above, I phoned

Marisa on 14th February 2014 and confirmed a home visit for the 19th February of 2014, which took place at the date agreed."

She then goes on to quote from you:
"Ms. McTeague the next day came to our home, apologising, stating that she didn't have any choice in the matter, that her team leader had been in contact with the guards and, as a result, she had to do the visit."
A. Mm-hmm.

55 Q. Now, I understand that that in fact is something that you had no knowledge of and you are now saying she didn't actually say?
A. She didn't actually say?

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, and I beg your pardon for interrupting, Mr. Marrinan and Garda Harrison, but we have to get this clear. You make a statement in which you ascribe to a woman, who speaks in your presence, words to the effect that I did not want to be here, but I have to be here because the Gardaí required me to be here.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

CHAIRMAN: Now, you have to concentrate on that.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Don't tell me about, you know, other stuff that may be going on here, there and everywhere or what the papers may say or whatever. That is a direct
allegation you are making, and Mr. Marrinan is putting it to you, and you really just have to answer that --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- as opposed to talking in a general
fashion about hurt you are, all right?
A. Okay.

CHAIRMAN: So please do that.
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. MR. 2MARRINAN: This is the assertion that you made in your statement at page 28 which she is quoting, and she 10:34 is concerned about:
"Ms. McTeague the next day came to our home, apologising, stating she didn't have any choice in the matter."

A11 right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

57 Q. Now, I understood from your evidence yesterday and your evidence earlier on today that in actual fact this isn't something that occurred --
A. Ms. McTeague --

58 Q. -- that this is something that was recounted to you by Marisa Simms?
A. Ms. McTeague came to our home, Marisa went out to meet her, I was inside in the sitting room with the two children. We had told them that a friend of mammy's was coming to visit. She came into the sitting and she spent I would definitely say no more than ten, at the
very most, fifteen minutes talking to the two children. Herself and Marisa left the room then and I think they went into the kitchen. They had their conversation and she left. Marisa came in to me and told me that she was apologising and that she had no choice in the matter, that her team leader had told her she had to do the house visit.
59 Q. Well, that is not a version of events that is stood over by Marisa now at this stage, you understand that?
A. Well, that is my recollection of it and I am clear on it.
60 Q. That may be what she told you at the time and you are saying she told you at the time --
A. Yes.

61 Q. -- but she is not now standing over that.
A. Well, I was told that.

CHAIRMAN: Again I am sorry, Mr. Marrinan, I have to interrupt, I do apologise to you both. But, you know, the first thing you learn probably in relation to criminal law is that when somebody says something to you, you know, that is a statement from that person, you are entitled to rely on it. If the thing is as bad as 'I murdered so-and-so', that actually is a confession.
A. Mm-hmm.

CHAIRMAN: So when you ascribe to people words that you hear, it actually has an effect in law.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: AS I read that statement, and I may be
wrong, but as I said that statement, you are actually saying you heard this social worker saying those things. Now, that is what $I$ am interested in.
A. Perhaps I should have clearer in explaining -CHAIRMAN: No, no, it is clear, it's perfectly clear that you are saying she said that. So, I mean, the two things are: Number one --
A. No, I --

CHAIRMAN: No, just hang on, please listen. Number one, did she say it? And number two, if she didn't say 10:37 it, why are you ascribing it to her? So those are the two issues that are on my mind.
A. Chairman, this was relayed to me after the meeting. As I explained, and Ms. McTeague will confirm, I did not speak to her directly after the kids -- after the meeting with the kids. This is what Marisa told me afterwards when she came back in.

62 Q. MR. MARRINAN: We11, you will appreciate that on any reading of your statement to the Tribunal, it indicates that this is something that you had in fact heard yourself during your discussions with Ms. McTeague?
A. No, this was told to me by Marisa and --

63 Q. But nowhere is there any indication of that in your statement, that this was information gleaned as a result of a conversation with Marisa Simms?
A. Perhaps I should have made that clear, but I am making it clear, that is what $I$ was told.

64 Q. No, but this arises in circumstances where you have dealt with this by way of affidavit --
A. Yes.

65 Q. -- and you have also dealt with this in your statement to the Tribunal?
A. Yes.

66 Q. And nowhere does it appear that this particular
information was imparted to you by Marisa and that you had no direct knowledge of it yourself?
A. Well, I am telling you that's what happened.

67 Q. And we hear this for the first time when you come to give evidence at the Tribunal, in circumstances where Marisa has abandoned this particular allegation, do you understand?
A. I don't remember Marisa abandoning it but I do recall this being said to me. I am in no doubt that was said to me.

68 Q. We11, you see, there might be a suggestion made that you are just simply tailoring your evidence now --
A. No, I am not.

69 Q. -- to fit in?
A. No. I am not tailoring anything.

70 Q. Right. But in any event, in response to that allegation, she said:
"This is not correct. I never said that my team leader had been in contact with the guards, and as a result I had to complete a home visit. My team leader, Ms. Bridgeen Smith, never had such a conversation with me, and to my knowledge, no such conversation ever took place between Ms. Smith and any member of An Garda

Síochána. As stated previously, I had said to them during our meeting on February 7th, 2014 that I may need to meet the children and this was confirmed in my ca11 to them on February 14th 2014."

Then again in relation to -- and she quotes from your statement:
"She spent around ten or 15 minutes in our home and spoke with the children before leaving again, apologising but guaranteeing this was the end of it."

Her response to that is:
"I did not apologise for my work, apologise for
visiting or apologies when $I$ was leaving. I was clear at all times of the purpose of my role in this case, the reason for the referral and the reason for the visit to meet the children. When leaving, I explained that now I had met the children, coupled with their explanation, it was my assessed view that there were no ongoing child welfare or child protection concerns and that my initial assessment would indicate this with closure to the Social Work Department when this was completed."

So, she is saying that she dealt with this professionally.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

71 Q. You are saying that she arrived apologising. You are maintaining, and still seem to be maintaining, that she said that the only reason that she was there was because of some interference by the Gardaí --
A. Mm-hmm.

72 Q. -- and that she apologised when she was leaving?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

73 Q. Do you still maintain that to be the case?
A. In the meeting in St. Conal's in Letterkenny, she made a reference to her team leader being in contact with Gardaí in relation to why we were being there and the nature of the referral. After the meeting, when she spoke with Marisa, she said she had spoken to her team leader and arising out of that, because of the conversations with Gardaí - now, I am not saying it happened after the meeting in St. Conal's, I am saying there was a conversation - that her team leader wished her to come out to the house, and that arose from a conversation with Gardaí at some stage.
74 Q. She then goes on to deal with the affidavit, your affidavit of the 15th February of 2015, where she refers to paragraph 16, which, this is again at page 2435 of the materials, and this is a quote from your affidavit:
"I say that the social worker that we met, Ms. Donna McTeague, was bemused but she was ob1iged to carry out the said investigation. I say and believe that a superior of Ms. McTeague's is friendly with Sergeant

McGowan who requested to meet with us. I say and believe that Ms. McTeague was called upon with the children who were allegedly in immediate danger but that the period of time which had elapsed from the date of the said alleged incident was some four-and-a-half months and she was on7y requested to speak with your deponent and Ms. Simms at that stage, suggesting both to her and ourselves that there was no bona fide belief that the children were in danger. I say that Ms. McTeague again mentioned the relationship between Sergeant McGowan and her superior, Bridgeen Smith."

Her response is:
"At no point in time, from receipt of the referral
pertaining to the children, the time-lapse between receiving this referral and my meeting with Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison, and my home visit to meet the children, was I anything other than completely clear about my role, purpose of my work and the importance of my assessment. The time-1apse between the time of receipt of referral and my contact with the family was based on information I had received that Ms. Simms was in hospital. The referral was of a child welfare nature and not a child protection nature, and thus, not 10:43 an emergency or an indication that any child or children was in immediate danger. At no point in time was the referral classified as a child protection referral, a point which I indicated to both Ms. Simms
and Garda Harrison when I met them on February 7th, 2014."

Did she do that?
A. She outlined her purpose of being there, yes, or us being there, sorry.

75 Q. "However, this was a child welfare referral and dealt with by me in a sensitive manner at the time and in a timely manner, as per demands on our service, as I was the only duty social worker on our team. I did not at any point in time believe the children were in danger. Had I assessed the referral as a child protection referral, I would have had contact with the family immediately on receipt of the Garda notification. Notwithstanding the referral was an appropriate referral to make to the Social Work Department and was categorised as a child welfare referral, accordingly, based on the information known at the time."

I suppose that's a paragraph, that I have read to you, is a matter that you actually would dispute that this was an appropriate referral, isn't that right?
A. Strongly dispute.

76 Q. She then quoting your affidavit:
"I say that Ms. McTeague again mentioned the relationship between Sergeant McGowan and her superior, Bridgeen Smith."

Her answer to that is:
"This is not correct. At no point in time did I ever make reference to any relationship or otherwise between Sergeant McGowan and Bridgeen Smith in my discussions with Ms. Simms or Garda Harrison. It is my understanding and belief that their relationship is purely professional and they had no other contact outside of work-related matters. I would have had much more communication and contact with Sergeant McGowan in 10:45 my role as duty social worker in terms of liaising with the local Children First liaison officer for the Milford district than Ms. Bridgeen Smith, would have had as team leader."

So if we can come back to what you had said in your affidavit - "I say that Ms. McTeague again mentioned the relationship between Sergeant McGowan and her superior, Bridgeen Smith." - that in fact is incorrect, that you had no direct knowledge yourself of that other than what you say Marisa Simms said to you, is that right?
A. No, no, at that time when we met with Donna McTeague in St. Conal's, we were told that her team leader had regular contacts with the HSE liaison garda and that this was the case, so it was. If we look at it now, there had been contacts that weren't and haven't been properly documented.

CHAIRMAN: I am going to stop, I am sory,

Mr. Marrinan, and I apologise for interrupting your answer. It may be there is a lack of clarity in relation to something. As I read that allegation, what you are saying is, and it would indeed be so, it is a disgrace that Sergeant McGowan lent on Bridgeen Smith in order to get her to manipulate the social workers to come out to your house.
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: No, if that happened that would be disgraceful --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

CHAIRMAN: -- do you agree with that?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: And indeed, that would be what this Tribunal would be all about.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Now, if you ascribe a disgraceful action to somebody by saying that they said to me that they did the disgraceful action, that also would be disgraceful, wouldn't it?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: So what Mr. Marrinan is trying to clear up is: Precisely what do you say was said, as opposed to telling us about a letter, a meeting, a background, what do you say was said? why was it put in the particular form in your affidavit or statement which clearly indicates that you were told by a social worker that a member of the Gardaí had leaned on her superior in order to get her to come out to your house when it
wasn't necessary? Now, those actually are the two questions.
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: And I appreciate that these are long passages, but can I just leave it now, having explained that, to Mr. Marrinan to pursue those two issues, because I think perhaps it wasn't clear to you. So can I just leave it like that? Thanks.
A. Chairman - sorry, Mr. Marrinan - in the meeting in St. Conal's, we were told there were regular contacts between her team leader and the HSE liaison garda, and that information was being passed there. It was my understanding from that there was a close relationship between the two, and coming from that relationship there was communications as regards to what was actually going on with myself and Marisa. what happened is, is Gerry Hone on 16th October said there were no child welfare issues and unless new information or further referral was made that no action would be taken. Superintendent McGovern then directed Sergeant McGowan to go back to the HSE and give full disclosure and come back to him and confirm there were still not to be any interactions with the HSE. Sergeant McGowan told the HSE not to get involved, and when the statement was retracted after Inspector Sheridan had told Marisa of the story of a couple who in similar circumstances, where a statement was withdrawn, that there might be intervention by the HSE, and I believe and I am strong in the view - that it is no coincidence
that after Marisa retracted her statement that there was contact between Sergeant McGowan and the HSE in relation to that fact, and $I$ am in no doubt that it was that that triggered the meeting.
77 Q. MR. MARRINAN: Do you think that that deals with the question that arises? The question that arises, and has been identified by the Chairman --
A. Do I think --

78 Q.
-- in the clearest --
A. Do I think the team leader was influenced by the garda? No, no, the question that arises, in the clearest possible say, is a statement by you "I say that Ms. McTeague again --", and this is in the meeting on 19th of February in your home, "-- again mentioned the relationship between Sergeant McGowan and her superior, Bridgeen Smith".
A. Yes.

80 Q. Now, it's as simple as that.
A. Yes.

81 Q. She didn't mention, on the 19th February, her relationship or any relationship between her superior --
A. She didn't --

82 Q. -- and Sergeant McGowan, isn't that right?
A. She didn't mention her relationship but she did mention 10:51 that her team leader had been in conversation.

83 Q. This is on the 19th February?
A. This is on the -- the meeting on the 7th February.

84 Q. No, we are talking about the 19th of February. We are
talking about what you have sworn in your affidavit.
A. Mm-hmm.

85 Q. What you have put in your statement to the Tribunal.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

86 Q. The matter, the very matter that Donna McTeague is dealing with.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

87 Q. All right? Are we clear about this now?
A. Yes.
we are not dealing with any earlier discussions that you may have had, we are not dealing with any theories that you may have had, we are not dealing with any matter that may have been conveyed to you by Marisa simms in terms of any telephone conversations that she had, all right. We are dealing with what you saw and heard on the 19th February with Donna McTeague.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

89 Q. All right?
A. Yes.

Did Donna McTeague, on the 19th of February mention the relationship between Sergeant McGowan and her superior, Bridgeen Smith?
A. There certainly was that mentioned, so there was, to Marisa, I am in no doubt of that.
91 Q. I'm talking about in your presence.
A. Not to me, no.

92 Q. In your presence?
A. I remained with the children.

93 Q. So how does it get into your affidavit and into your
statement?
A. Because it was as a direct result of the conversation Marisa had with me afterwards of what was said.

94 Q. And why isn't that mentioned in your statement or in your affidavit?
A. I'm satisfied I was told the truth. And that is my belief and has been my belief all along.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Marrinan, can I ask you a question please because I am confused, and that is this: what is the current position vis-á-vis what Marisa Simms says about that? Is she actually saying that that conversation took place or not?
MR. MARRINAN: No, she is not saying that that conversation took place.
CHAIRMAN: She is saying that conversation did not take 10:53 place?

MR. MARRINAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Is that the case, Mr. Hartnett?
MR. HARTNETT: I will just confirm it.
MR. MCDERMOTT: Page 117 of the transcript of the 27 th September, question 534:
"Question: You see there is a suggestion there was a personal connection between HSE personnel and Sergeant McGovern, you don't know anything about that?
Answer: I don't know anything about that."

The Chairman intervened and indicated you were puzzled because you had seen a reference to that, then question
$535:$
"Do you think Garda Harrison ever said that to you? Answer: I don't recall ever talking about any connection."
MR. HARTNETT: Can I just say, you addressed the question to me, Chairman, and it has been answered by friend, Mr. McDermott. My client's evidence is as it was given.

MR. MARRINAN: And hence I am suggesting to Garda Harrison that his partner has abandoned that particular allegation and it appears that despite that, Garda Harrison isn't inclined to do so, is that right?
A. Marisa may not recall it but I recall the conversations after and it was my belief at the time and it's my belief now.

CHAIRMAN: How do you mean by saying "Marisa may not recall it"?
A. It's what was read out in the transcript, that she said --

CHAIRMAN: why the qualification? why "may"?
A. It's just a turn of phrase, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN: Do you appreciate that if you said that someone had admitted to you doing something very wrong, like torturing a dog, that you would think less of that $10: 55$ person? I think it would be the case, wouldn't it?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: That someone says, I get my kicks out of torturing a dog. Now, if you actually ascribe to
somebody saying something like 'I get my kicks out of torturing a dog' and they never said it at a11, do you appreciate that is actually a wrong thing to do?
A. Judge or Chairman --

CHAIRMAN: Just answer that, please.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right.
MR. MARRINAN: Thank you very much, Garda Harrison. would you answer any questions?
A. Thank you, Mr. Marrinan.

## GARDA HARRISON WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDERMOTT:

Q. MR. MCDERMOTT: On behalf of Tusla I have a couple of questions. And the first one I would like to ask Garda Harrison may seem a little strange, but it's this: what is the price of a stamp? what is the price of a stamp that you put on a letter?
A. A euro, isn't it?

97 Q. Yes. And I think back in 2017 it was 72 cent. The reason $I$ ask that is: Do you remember getting a letter after the visit by Tus7a and after they had decided everything was well with the family?
A. I do remember getting -- we11, I do remember a letter coming and it stating that that was the end of the matter and there was relief after that, yes.

98 Q. And did the letter say anything else after saying that is the end of the matter?
A. I can't remember. We would have got -- or honed in on the part that that was finally the end of it.

99
Q. I think a copy of the letter is, I think it's page 1155, there is also a 147 on it, $I$ am not sure which is the Tribunal's, it's a letter written by Tusla on 27th of February 2014. If you could try 147 first, I just have two numbers on mine, Chairman. So if we try 147. Yes, that appears to be it. And I think it's addressed to Marisa but as I understand it, it's the letter written to your family after the visit?
A. Yeah.

100 Q. And can you just read, and we see the first paragraph notes the visit?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. It says: "As discussed, there are no ongoing issues."
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

102 Q. That is the bit you were relieved about, presumably?
A. Yes.

103 Q. It notes that you have the right to access any information?
A. Yes.

104 Q. So if you have any queries, you can actually access the $10: 58$ information they have?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

105 Q. And read the last paragraph of that letter.
A. "I trust the above is satisfactory and would like to wish you all well for the future. Should you have any further questions or concerns please do not hesitate to contact Donna McTeague, duty social worker on the above number."
Q. And did you have any questions or concerns about the
fact Tusla had visited you in the manner in which they had visited you?
A. Yes, we had. But can I make it clear, Mr. McDermott: We had no issue with Ms. McTeague herself, we had issue with how about she came to be involved in our lives.
107 Q. Yes. You had a concern and you had a question?
A. Yes, I had concern, yes.

108 Q. And you received a letter on 22nd -- 27th of February, at the time, saying if you have a question or if you have a concern, do not hesitate to contact Donna McTeague?
A. Mm-hmm. That's correct, yes.

109 Q. And if you had raised any question or concern by means of a letter, that would have cost you a euro or in fact probably 72 cent back at that time?
A. And it would possibly have brought Tusla back into our lives again, which we didn't want.
110 Q. So you are saying the reason you didn't raise any question or concern with Tusla is because it would have brought them back into your life?
A. I had concern over the contacts between members of an Gardaí and Tusla at that time. We were relieved to have finally put the matter behind us, at that stage, that there was going to be no more interference. And in fairness to Ms. McTeague, in her final report she says, and I am going on recollection, that any further intervention by Tusla on the matter would be more detrimental than the alleged incident itself, which in itself would indicate that there had been or could have
been another home visit or more intervention had she not been so strong on her last and final report. Garda Harrison, my understanding is your concern about Tusla came about because you now say of a conversation you had with Marisa where she told you that she'd had a 11:01 phone call and in that phone call there had been mention of the Gardaí being the ones who had caused the second visit, that was something you weren't aware of but Marisa had told you about?
A. Yes, and we are now aware that there had been contact by the Gardaí.
And so, insofar as that gave rise to a question or a concern in your mind, it was open to you to immediately contact Tusla and say, look, would you mind just explaining how the second visit came around, I have a 11:01 query or concern that it may have been something other than a bona fide visit?
A. We did do that later, yes. We made a -- we made an application under Freedom of Information to get documents, and we got those documents. And on receipt 11:02 of those documents, there was a clear absence of any correspondence, any communications of any formal nature or proper nature between the Gardaí and Tusla.

113 Q. And since you received that letter on the 27th February 2014, have you ever contacted Donna McTeague, as she invited you to, to clarify any query you had about anything she said to you or to Marisa?
A. No.

114 Q. No. And I think you'11 agree that, if you put on an
economist's hat for the moment and consider the cost of a tribunal of inquiry, which can run to millions, as opposed to the cost of a postage stamp, which would be the preferable means of resolving any query or concern you still had about the purpose of Donna McTeague's visit?
A. With respect, in February 2014 I could never have known I was going to be sitting here talking about very intimate details of my family life.
But isn't the reason you are sitting here because you made a series of incorrect allegations about Tusla that clearly haven't stood up to a moment's scrutiny?
A. I haven't made any allegation about Donna McTeague or Tusla. What $I$ have made an allegation of is improper, incorrect contacts between the two organisations, which 11:03 we have seen here has been confirmed by the evidence given by Sergeant Brigid McGowan. There is clearly, as part of the child first protocol policy, there are documents that are required not only to be filled up -if we go to page 1253, please.
Just be aware, $I$ am not a witness, $I$ don't think $I$ can actually --
A. No, but if I --

117 Q. -- if you are questioning me.
A. I want to explain my point. This is the form that is 11:04 required, a HSE liaison. Now, while Sergeant McGowan is required to fill this form up, and there has been much criticism over the lack of paper, it is also required by the designated social worker or team leader
to complete it, and that wasn't done. Also --
118 Q. Garda Harrison if I could just stop you there.
A. In relation --

119 Q. If you have a query about a form filled in by Tusla, why didn't you, as invited to, write or pick up the phone to Donna McTeague and say, look, I do have a query, $I$ do have a question, $I$ have got the documentation under Freedom of Information and I am concerned about the way in which a form has been filled in? Did you do that?
A. Are you suggesting I am not entitled to query what went on?

120 Q. Quite the opposite; I am asking you why you didn't query it at the time, having been positively invited by Tusla to contact them if you had any query or question about the visit. And what I'm asking you is: Did you at any stage take up that invitation?
A. No, I didn't, because the documents we received clearly spoke for themselves.
121 Q. I see. So you don't need to raise a query or a question because you've decided the matter speaks for itself?
A. The matter spoke for itself so it did, and in the greater context of other stuff that was going on outside between me and senior members of An Garda picture frame of what has been going on.

122 Q. Now, can I bring you back to the evidence you gave a moment ago, that you haven't made any complaint about

Tusla or Donna McTeague, and can I ask the Tribunal if I may, Chairman, to bring up page 1578? And this is a letter written on behalf of you and Marisa by your solicitors, and I think they are still your current solicitors?
A. Yes.

123 Q. And this was written on 10th February 2017?
A. Yes.

124 Q. To Dr. Katherine Zappone, Minister for Children and Youth Affairs?
A. Yes.

125 Q. And my understanding, from the face of the letter, is, you are agitating for a public inquiry, and the background seems to be, you have been trying to get action from the Minister for Justice?
A. Correct.

126 Q. But haven't got anywhere and so you are now writing to my client's minister, the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs --
A. That's correct.

127 Q. -- in order to get the inquiry?
A. That's correct.

128 Q. And you will see it begins:
"Dear Minister
I act on behalf of our afore named clients who write to you to express their upset and distress at their treatment by State agencies, including An Garda Síochána and Tusla."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.

129 Q. And then the letter refers to you being a whistleblower, and in paragraph 2 it says:
"In light of the revelations regarding the treatment of Sergeant Maurice McCabe and his family, it is clear what our clients suffered was not an isolated incident but a systemic approach by State agencies to attack and 11:07 undermine the credibility, good standing and reputation of our clients."

So do you understand the allegation that your solicitors are making on your behalf?
A. Yes.

130 Q. That not merely have the Gardaí behaved appa11ingly to destroy you, but in fact, a number of State agencies have acted in that way, and in particular Tusla, you understand that allegation being made?
A. Mr. McDermott, at all times our stance has been that there have been improper contacts from An Garda Síochána to Tusla where Tusla were -- I am in no doubt, were manipulated.
131 Q. I see. But that isn't actually what the letter is
saying. The letter on its face is complaining to Tusla's minister about Tusla. Nowhere do you say in that letter, $I$ have no complaint to make about Tusla, other than they are the victims of this sinister
manipulation by the Gardaí.
A. I should point out that I actually met with Minister Katherine Zappone and we went through the documentation that I have spoken about, and she had, I don't know if it's an advisor or who with her, but they were concerned over the lack or the missing documentation. CHAIRMAN: Okay, I am now going to stop, because you are being asked a specific question, which is: what is the meaning of this letter?
A. Chairman --

CHAIRMAN: No, just hang on a minute. This isn't a warning, by the way, this is nothing to do with a warning. This is simply to do with the fact that in the Four Courts I would actually have the harp over my head, it's not here now, but there is the flag. The Oireachtas makes the laws and I implement the laws. That is my job.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

CHAIRMAN: Now, you can't say things about Katherine zappone or her advisors and perhaps ascribe something wrong to them, because that simply isn't fair. Now, that isn't a warning; it is simply the way the law works. That if do you that, we have to ask them to come in and we have to see their side of the story. Because the first thing a lawyer learns is that very experience because you believe everything they say and then suddenly discover, oh, there is another side to the story. Now, you are perfectly entitled to talk
about Katherine Zappone or anything that happened outside the context of what we are dealing with, but you are being asked a specific question - which is: What did you mean in the letter? - that is the question - What did you mean in the letter? - that is what Mr. McDermott is asking you, and that is what you have to address, not bring in irrelevant stuff. Do you understand? It's a question of do you understand?
A. I understand and I will clarify that.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. And that is not a warning, by the way. 11:10
A. I appreciate that.

CHAIRMAN: It's not a warning at a11, I don't know why anybody ever used the word warning. I am simply trying to clarify what the law is that I am bound by and you are bound by it, we are both bound by its. So it's possibly best if you listen to Mr. McDermott putting the question again and then actually answer that question as opposed to telling me about your visit or whether you brought your child to the visit or anything else like that.

MR. HARTY: In fairness to my client, if he is being asked about the meaning of a letter then the entire 1etter should be put to him.

MR. MCDERMOTT: I am going to do that now.
132 Q. So Garda Harrison, I am happy to take on Mr. Harty's 11:11 suggestion, paragraph 1, by way of background, it says:
"On 5th of 2013 Marisa Simms was invited to attend

Letterkenny Garda Station after much pressure was put on her. During an eight-hour interview she was coerced into making a statement with a threat that if she didn't there may be repercussions for her and
children."

Now, what you appear to have omitted in that letter is the fact that Marisa Simms' position, as properly outlined by her counse1, Mr. Hartnett, is that in fact the gist of the statement is true. There is a dispute about certain words, there is a dispute about the precise order of events as to precisely when the children were brought out of the house, but the gist of the letter -- sorry, the statement of the complaint to the Gardaí is true, you understand that, that is the position of Marisa Simms to this Tribunal? Now, my question for you is: Did you not think it might be relevant when writing this letter to Dr. Zappone to mention that fact
A. Mr. McDermott, that statement, we have heard from 1998, where there was no children involved. We have heard of a dispute on the 1st April 2013 where there was no children. We have heard evidence of a dispute in August 2014 where there was no children. And we have heard evidence from both me and Marisa confirming the dispute that was the reason for the referral on 28th of September, where the children witnessed the start of it, were taken to the car and saw nothing further. And that was our -- and that has been our case.

133 Q. Thank you, Garda Harrison. Now, the question I asked was: When this letter was written on your behalf and on your instructions and on Marisa Simms' behalf and her instructions, do you think it might have been relevant, when telling the Minister that she was coerced into making a statement with a threat that if she didn't there may be repercussions, did it occur to you it might be relevant to say but in fact, the substance of the statement she made is true, according to her?
A. No, because the only relevant matter in that statement was the part of it that referred to the referral, which is on the 28th September.
134 Q. Now, in paragraph 2 --
MR. HARTY: Sorry, is Mr. McDermott putting to my
client that there is a meaning in that sentence which says that the statement was false? Because that is not what the sentence reads to me and if Mr. McDermott is making that case he should make that case. MR. MCDERMOTT: Very good.
135 Q. So the question Mr. Harty would like me to put, Garda Harrison, is, if you see the sentence there:
"During an eight hour interview she was coerced into making a statement with a threat that if she didn't there may be repercussions for her and her children."

Do you think anyone reading that sentence would understand that a complaint is being made that an
untrue statement has been taken by the Gardaí or would be concerned that an untrue statement has been taken by the Gardaí?
A. They should be, yes.

Yes. Thank you. So then moving on in paragraph 2, you 11:15 refer to the notification that Superintendent Eugene McGovern completed, and there doesn't seem to be any controversy about that. Paragraph 3 --
A. Just on that note, on the referral, based on the statement that you have just referred to,

Superintendent McGovern referred to an argument between a partner and the mother, and that in itself -- I strongly dispute, that that in itself was enough for a referral in the first place.
137 Q. And in fact, Tusla agreed, because as you will see in 11:15 paragraph 3 you note, that "Mr. Hone indicated that they would need more information", so there is no dispute over that?
A. I agree with you, yes.

138 Q. And then you'11 see, you go on to say in paragraph 4: 11:15
"Nevertheless, on 3rd of February a letter was received
from Donna McTeague, a duty social worker, inviting our
clients to a meeting to discuss referral information
allegedly received."
A. Mm-hmm.

139 Q. And you give the date of the meeting?
A. Yes.

140 Q. And you say: "The invitation was received following

Marisa's retraction of her statement made under duress."
A. Yes.

141 Q. Do you think it might have been relevant to point out to the Minister at that stage that in retracting the statement, she had confirmed that it was accurate?
A. We now know Marisa felt under pressure because of that threat to sign that statement and she just wanted to get out of there.
142 Q.
So I am unclear as to the answer to the question. My question is: when this letter was written on behalf of apparently you and Marisa, do you think it might have been relevant when making the point that the invitation was received following Marisa's retraction of her statement under duress, to either include a copy of her 11:17 statement or to say, by the way, when she retracted her statement she confirmed that it was correct? Do you think that would be a relevant matter, as a member of the Gardaí, an educated person, to bring to the attention of the Minister regardless of what you then want to say its significance is?
A. No.

143 Q. I see. And then paragraph 5, it says:
"Our clients attended the meeting with Tusla, who were represented by Donna McTeague and Naoimi wallace. It was accepted this was a type of row which most couples have from time to time."
A. Yes.

144 Q. And that remains your position as regards that interaction you had with Ms. Simms, that it was a row which most couples have from time to time?
A. I explained my part in it and Marisa explained hers, and it was not in the normal run of our family home. It was not in the run of your normal family home? well, in any event, what is said in the letter is, it was accepted it's the type of row which most couples have from time to time.
"Ms. McTeague was satisfied to leave the matter as it was, advising no further intervention was required and that was the end of it."
A. We left that meeting, Mr. McDermott, satisfied that that was the end of the matter. It had been intimated, said by Ms. McTeague that there may be a home visit but it was unlikely.
Q. Do you think it might have been relevant when making your complaint to the Minister, trying to get a public inquiry, to have indicated there that what Ms. McTeague 11:18 said was she may have to visit and speak to the children, that you and Marisa had agreed with her and had positively said you are more than welcome to come?
A. We did say that, and no one disputes that.

147 Q. You don't say it in the letter you are writing to the 11:19 Minister?
A. No.

148 Q. What you say in that letter is: "Ms. McTeague was satisfied to leave the matter as it was, advising no
further intervention was required and that was the end of it." And you are saying you didn't think it was relevant to tell the Minister in fact what she had said was, I may have to come back and speak to the children and that you had responded to that by saying, you are more than welcome?
A. It's not in the letter, and I appreciate what you have said --
149 Q. In your statement to the Tribunal you have described the visit by my client to your house as an invasion of your house, do you remember using that word?
A. If you can hold on a second, and I know you are -Chairman, you don't want me to speak about people who are not here, but I need to put context on this. It's not going to put anyone in any difficulty. CHAIRMAN: No, no, no, I am not trying to shut anything out or shut anything down. If you need to speak about another matter in order to fairly answer the question, well, then of course you are welcome to do that. But you should -- it has to address the question.
A. I want to point out clearly that to Minister Zappone we outlined the visit by Ms. McTeague and that we had no issue with Ms. McTeague and the visit. We never, ever raised issue with Ms. McTeague with Catherine zappone. In fact, we spoke very highly of Donna McTeague to Minister Zappone.

150 Q. MR. MCDERMOTT: And in terms of your statement to the Tribunal where you have described this visit by Tusla as an invasion, you will see that the invasion was in
circumstances where you said you are more than welcome to come. There is a funny kind of Donegal invasion. How is that an invasion if you positively said you are more than welcome to come if you want to speak to the children?
A. We had said you are more than welcome to come but we left that meeting understanding that they wouldn't be coming, and they did.
151
Q.

In paragraph 6 of the letter you say:
"However, later that evening Marisa received a phone call from Donna McTeague explaining she had spoken to her supervisor, Bridgeen Smith, who stated as a result of a phone call from Gardaí she was now asking Ms. McTeague to do a home visit despite Ms. McTeague earlier having confirmed that now no further action was needed."
A. I stand by that. That was the information and my belief at the time of the writing of that letter.
152 Q. You see, isn't the problem you're standing behind something that the person who is meant to have had the phone call isn't standing behind?
A. You are asking me about a letter that was wrote then, I am telling you then that was my belief, my strong belief.

153 Q. Has that belief changed?
A. I know what I was told.

154 Q. Okay. And is it possible that the reason why Marisa isn't standing over that is because there was no phone
call and the reason you are still standing over it is because you have invented it and feel you have to stick with it as an allegation?
A. I haven't invented anything.

155
Q. I see. And you'11 see paragraph 7:
"The following day Ms. Donna McTeague visited their home and interviewed the children."

That's correct?
A. She visited us, yes.

156 Q. And you then note the conclusion that was reached. And I am not proposing to read it. If there is any comment you want to make?
A. I think we should read it.

157 Q. Yes. "It is important to note, given the nature of the referral, discussion with Sergeant McGowan and meeting with Keith and Marisa in SWD earlier this month, DSW made a decision it was not in keeping with the children's best interests to bring up the issue in the family home which led to the referral. This is based on the fact the incident occurred last September and on Keith and Marisa's explanation of what happened in the context of the incident. It is likely child may not remember the incident and even if child did revisiting 11:23 a one-off incident would not be beneficial to the child and places unnecessary emphasis on what occurred."

Do you understand what the social workers are saying
there is, they met the children, they talked to the children --
A. $M m-h m m$.

158 Q. -- they were satisfied the children were being very well cared for by you and Ms. Simms --
A. Mm-hmm.

159 Q. -- and in those circumstances, they decided there is no point reminding the children of a particular row because if the children have forgotten it, it might upset them, and in circumstances where they are now happy, the children are happy, there would be no point in distressing the children for no reason. Do you have any dispute with that particular --
A. Mr. McDermott, I think if we read deeper into that paragraph --
160 Q. Just one moment. I am asking the questions.
A. Yes.

161 Q. And we will come to the rest of it in a moment, but what I am asking you is: Do you understand that philosophy by the social workers and do you have any dispute with it?
A. That is absolutely correct. And if we look at the letter, there is a reference to the passage of time, which I say was said to me at the meeting. It is correct. That passage of time was outlined and it's
clearly outlined there if in that. If we go down further in the letter where it's suggested the revisiting of a one-off incident suggests that there may have been or could have been plans to come to us
again, but were it not for Donna McTeague and the strong finish to the letter or her report we may have had further interaction.
162 Q. I understand if you look at the context in which the last sentence appears, when they are talking about revisiting a one-off incident they are talking about revisiting it with the children and they are explaining that they are not going to bring up the row with the children because by revisiting that with the children it may not be in the children's best interests, you understand that?
A. Yeah.

163 Q. They don't want to distress the children by saying 'Do you remember this terrible row?' because the children may have forgotten it, and by reminding them that they were in the car crying and in their pyjamas in the night-time, that might upset the children who seemed to be happy, do you understand that?
A. They were in the car, they were not crying.

164 Q. I see. Now, I am going to read on in the letter. It says:
"The manner of the intervention of Tusla in our clients' family life is a cause of concern and is by any measure an inexcusable abuse of their position."

Now, you told the Tribunal a few moments ago you weren't making any allegation against Tusla, you were happy in the way they had dealt with your family. Can
you explain that sentence, please?
A. I am happy with how Donna McTeague conducted herself. I am not happy with, where there was an onus on both parties, both on Garda Síochána, both on Tusla to complete, ensure each agency had their forms completed, 11:26 and didn't. you are aware what you would have been told if you had spent 72 cent on a stamp when you were invited to raise any queries or questions? Mr. Hone saw the referral from the Gardaí and it was very brief, it talked about a row in front of the children. He wrote a standard letter he was writing at that time to the Gardaí to make it clear to them that more information needed to be provided because at that time Tusla weren't happy they were being given enough information to make a
call. But what he didn't know was that the social worker in fact had spoken to the Gardaí and had got the extra information, that the Gardaí had said there was a row, there was drink taken, there was a physical contact between you and Marisa in front of the children. So there was never a stopping of this inquiry by Tusla, they at all times were happy to take it on and investigate it. Now, that is what you would have been told if you had spent the 72 cent on a stamp and raised the query with them. Okay?
A. To be fair, that letter has been sent since February of this year and we have nothing from Tusla to justify their position or any of the allegations or even indeed refute any part of that letter, which they could have spent a euro or 72 cent on.
167 Q. So it's really Tusla's fault that they didn't write a letter explaining it to you in circumstances where they wrote a letter to you saying if you have any queries or concerns, please raise them with us and where you have agreed with me at no stage did you ever pick up the phone to Donna McTeague, write a letter to her and say, look, I am a bit confused, your boss seems to have written a letter which looks like it was closing the case, and yet you came around to our house, what is the story, you never did that?
A. I didn't, no.

168 Q. And the next sentence says:

[^0]stress and anguish."
A. That's correct.

169 Q. "It is inexplicable that over months after An Garda Síochána referred our client, his partner and her children to Tusla --" and you then quote Mr. Gerry Hone's letter again "-- Tusla without just cause or explanation would contact our clients inviting them to a meeting regarding our children."
A. That's correct.
A. Yes, it is.
Q. That Tusla had no just cause or explanation to look at the children to make sure they are fine and happy and well looked after?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And you are a member of the Gardaí, are you? Are you on general duties?
A. Yes, I am.

And as part of general duties would you occasionally have to deal with incidents of domestic violence?
A. Yes, I do.

175 Q. So if, for example, a woman complains about domestic violence, you could be the person who turns up at the door?
A. Yes, I can.

Now, can I bring you then to page 137 , which is the note of the first meeting? Page 137. This is the Tusla note. If we just go down to paragraph 10, which is the meeting, the first meeting with the parents. It says:
"Donna explained her role to the couple and explained how she received the referra1."
that's correct, isn't it?
A. Yes.

179 Q. "Donna clarified with the couple the basis of the referral and asked if what mum had reported to the Gardaí was the truth."
A. Yes.

180 Q. "Mum verified that the incident did happen and only one of the children witnessed it."
A. Yes.

181 Q. So where is the mystery as to why Tusla have attended your house? On your own account, leaving aside the debate about the 32-page statement, there was an incident, it was an incident such that Marisa made a report to the Gardaí about it, and the children were present for it. where is the mystery as to why Tusla are having a chat with you?
A. Okay, let's be clear: At this time, Tusla themselves have indicated they did not know what the content of the statement was. They were simply aware there was a statement. Secondly, I did not know what the content of that statement was. And third7y, Marisa could not recall the content of that statement. So we were going off the basis that yes, there was a statement, but we were all there in the same room agreeable that this meeting was because of a verbal disagreement between me and Marisa and no more, and that was accepted.
CHAIRMAN: I am sorry to interrupt, Mr. McDermott and Garda Harrison. The position that $I$ think $I$ am in, in consequence of Marisa Simms's evidence and your own evidence, is that once you started on about Paula McDermott, she moved the children out to the car.
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: So they didn't see anything --
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: -- of a heated variety?
A. No. When Marisa was going out the door, [child] came to the door and met Marisa at the door and the two of them left. That was the end of it. There was nothing -- she was present in the fact that she came to the door but it was finished at that stage.

CHAIRMAN: So, there was no heated argument in front of the children because they'd been moved to the car?
A. No, they were already moved in the car.

CHAIRMAN: Right. Well then why are the social workers taking you down as admitting that the children were present? That is Mr. McDermott's question.
A. They were present in the manner I say, not for the flash point, if you want, of the argument, but [child] had come out of the car to the door and Marisa ushered her back to the car.
CHAIRMAN: Again, we won't report any names of any children.
A. Sorry.
Q. MR. MCDERMOTT: Garda Harrison, in your statement to the Tribunal you have sought to convey an impression of 11:34 confusion that Ms. McTeague doesn't know why she is there. Now, firstly, do you understand that that is a serious allegation to make against a professional person; that they are performing a duty even though they don't appear to understand why they are there or why they are doing it?
A. Ms. McTeague, I have no doubt, knows her job. It was through the passage of time that, from the alleged incident to the meeting, there was not confusion, it
was -- it was said it was unusual that we'd be there.
183 Q. Now, this question of people being confused has arisen earlier in the Tribunal, hasn't it?
A. In what respect?

184 Q. Do you remember the evidence given by George o'Doherty, the gentleman from GSOC?
A. Yes.
Q. And this related to the call they had made to Marisa at a time when they had received information from the Gardaí?
A. Yes.
Q. And when Mr. O'Doherty was giving his evidence, an allegation you had made against him was put to him?
A. An allegation I made against George o'Doherty?

187 Q. Yes.
A. Okay.
Q. And the allegation was that you were there when he called Marisa, it's not clear how you were listening to the call but in your statement you said you were there when Marisa was called by GSOC and you said they were confused, they didn't understand what they were doing?
A. No, no, let me clarify that because clearly you may not understand.

189 Q. No, I am just reading what was put to the witness as read from your statement to the Tribunal.
A. I will answer that for you.

190 Q. I haven't ask asked the question yet, my question is this, and I am setting the background first: when that allegation was put to Mr. O'Doherty, he disputed it,
and he said I wasn't confused, I was simply making a call to find out the position. Mr. O'Doherty was not further cross-examined by either Ms. Simms' counsel or by your counsel to put that allegation of confusion to him. That is where the evidence was left. Now, the question I have for you is: is it not unfortunate, to say the least, that when it comes to GSOC you make an allegation they are confused, that allegation seems to disappear, and now when it comes to Tusla, the same allegation is made, they are confused, they don't know why they are here? And I am just wondering what is the current status of that allegation, for allegation it was?
A. I think Mr. O'Doherty was quite clear that the documents they received and the referral they received was inappropriate and did not fit into the guidelines that they were being asked to investigate it, and for that point, he couldn't understand. And the word confused, there are many different variations of it but there was a lack of understanding of how the information had come to them under section 102 and he rang Marisa to verify whether she wanted to proceed with it under another section but she clearly stated she didn't.
191 Q. We11, very wel1. Then, so the position is, you have said GSOC were confused, and we know they have said they weren't; you have said Ms. McTeague was confused and her instructions are she wasn't?
A. Well, if you'd like to use a different variation of the
word confused, I am okay with that.
192 Q. Now, going through the memo, it says:
"Keith began to explain --"

And you then refer to a family medical issue
A. Yes.

193 Q. And you say you admit that you didn't deal with that we11 and began to drink.
A. That's correct.

194 Q. And then Marisa also explains that it was a difficult time, and I am not going to reopen that. It then says:
"Keith explained that it was his fault. He explained --"

And again you refer to the medical issue and you explain how you leaned on drink.
A. Yes, that's correct.

195 Q. You then -- it says: "Keith admitted to the incident being his fault and not Marisa's."
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. Then you say you had attended counselling in a named location for addiction services, five or six sessions and you were discharged?
A. That's correct.

197 Q. Now, insofar as you have described this visit as one you don't understand and can't understand and you have referred to it as an invasion of your house, is it not
evident from that note that you fully understood the concern and not only did you understand it, but in fact it appears you had dealt with it and were able to explain to Tusla, look, I have dealt with the problem and the proof I have dealt with it is, I have not only attended addiction services, but I have been discharged and that would obviously convey that they have assisted you and the addiction has been cured. So, you know exactly what the problem is that Tusla are coming to talk to the family about, and instead of saying to Tus7a, I don't know why you are here, what on earth are you doing here, quite the opposite, you are engaging with them and you are positively able to allay their concerns in an appropriate manner, is that not what happened?
A. No. Ms. McTeague appeared to have little background into what had actually happened. I put it into context, I explained what had happened, I did go to St. Eunan's [sic], into the addiction -- the counselling services. They quickly identified that it wasn't an addiction problem, there was a deeper core problem in relation to a work-related stress matter, that was that and the loss of a baby and other stuff that was going on with work, that --
198 Q. I am not asking you to reveal --
A. That's what it was, yeah.

199 Q. -- this is in aid of you, I am not necessarily prying into that.
A. Well, I am happy to tell you.

200 Q. For my purposes, you identified an addiction problem, you attended five or six sessions and you were discharged, I don't require you --
A. No, I'11 quantify it, if you are --
-- it's up to you, I am not seeking to prior into that counselling, you understand that?
A. No, but that is the information I gave her. relation to not prying, I don't want it on the transcript, Garda Keith Harrison gave evidence that he went to addiction counselling services and they identified that it was not an addiction problem, and it is not fair for Mr. McDermott to leave the question standing there suggesting that Garda Harrison was suffering from an addiction problem. And it is not correct when he says you identified an addiction problem, and that --
MR. MCDERMOTT: I am very happy to clarify, Garda Harrison. Garda Harrison --
CHAIRMAN: Mr. McDermott, sorry. There has been much talk about your drinking, including a colourful phrase that while you blink you'd down a bottle of brandy.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Clearly nobody can do that, I suppose. That 11:41 would be in the Guinness world Book of Records or whatever. But, did you have a drinking problem in the summer/autumn of 2013?
A. During that time, Chairman, I would have drank more
than I would normally. So it was --
CHAIRMAN: Well, I mean, you know, I kind of know something about Alcoholics Anonymous --
A. I'11 explain it --

CHAIRMAN: -- just bear with me for a minute -- and what they will say is if you have a problem with drink you are an alcoholic. And did you have a problem with drink at that time?
A. It was interfering with my personal life, yes.

CHAIRMAN: So you did have a problem?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right. That is fine. And was it specifically for that or anger management that you went to these very good people in Letterkenny?
A. Judge, I myself thought at that time I was going to the 11:41 right service, it was quickly identified that the -there was a core issue relating to a lot of work-related issues that needed to be addressed and I did that then.
203 Q. Right.
A. In a different service.

CHAIRMAN: Was it anger or drink or both?
A. It was stress and depression, Judge.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right. And that is fine. I can understand that. And did you bring your drinking then 11:42 back under control?
A. Judge, once $I$ engaged with the services in St. Conal's in relation to the depression and stress, it alleviated. And may I point out --

CHAIRMAN: No, that is fine. Again, I don't want to inquire into this, but there has been mention of it and, look, the only thing that is important is, you did have a problem, it may not have been a vast problem but you did have a problem and you did deal with it, so that is what Mr. McDermott is asking about. I think we should leave it at that.
A. Yes, I knew myself there was something not right and it needed to be addressed.

MR. MCDERMOTT: You see, Garda Harrison, I will give you one more opportunity to deal with it. whilst you have sought to portray this meeting as a mystery, as unjustified, as an abuse of the power of Tusla, the record shows that in fact you understood perfectly well what the concerns were and not only did you understand them but you successfully engaged with them and satisfied Tusla that you had dealt with the problem?
A. I understood we were there because of a row in our home, nothing more, nothing less, and I quantified it and I took responsibility for it.
205 Q. Yes. Now, did you know at that time whether or not Tus7a had a copy of the 32 -page Garda statement?
A. I didn't, no.
Q. So for all you knew, you were dealing with the entire history of your interactions with Ms. Simms?
A. I had no idea what was in that statement until December 2014.

207 Q. I see. So at the time of this meeting you are sitting on the sofa next to Ms. Simms --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- and your evidence to the Tribunal is you are sitting there waiting for Tusla to visit?
A. That's correct. okay. I am not sure Inspector Sheridan can confirm what was said on the sofa between you and Marisa --
A. No, but she can confirm --

213 Q. -- unless she was hiding behind it.
A. No, no.

214 Q. And whilst you have made allegation against the Gardaí I don't think you are suggesting they were hiding behind the sofa listening to the conversations?
A. I think you are being a small bit out of order there
now.
215 Q. Now, Donna McTeague will give the following evidence, that in terms of the allegation she put to you -- and it's only an allegation received by Tusla, she will say she put it to you that there had been a row, that drink 11:45 had been taken, that you were abusive, had made threatening comments, that you had grabbed Ms. Simms' wrist and the children were present, one of the children was present. Now, leaving aside whether you think that was true or not as regards what happened on the night, listen to the question, she will say those are the points she put to you which led to you giving the explanation, I accept it, it's my responsibility, and I have now been to the addiction services; do you understand that?
A. Yes.

216 Q. That is her evidence. Now, I am giving you an opportunity to comment on that because the Chairman has indicated witnesses should be given an opportunity to respond.
A. Paragraph 10 clearly outlines the conversations I had, the issues that were raised and how they were addressed. There was never a question put in regards to any matter of physical violence. I did admit to saying some nasty comments and I still admit that. I $\quad$ 11:46 did admit to having drink taken and I still admit that. And I did admit that had been my fault and I still admit that. It's clearly there, you show me anything different.

217
Q. So the --

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr. McDermott, I am sorry for intervening, but this is important, and it's important that you actually answer this question, if you don't mind --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- please, Garda Harrison. The social worker is saying you accepted grabbing Marisa Simms by the wrist in the course of the row. Clearly not --
A. Not --

CHAIRMAN: -- in a loving manner, in a vile and a hostile manner. Okay, she is saying you said that at this meeting in early February. She is saying that you accepted that you had made comments in a threatening manner.
A. Yes, I did.

CHAIRMAN: She is saying that you accept that you were abusive. Now, it's not as to whether that happened or not, it's whether did you say to Donna McTeague at this meeting that you did those things or did you not? That 11:47 actually is the question. Let's not go back to the night. Did you actually say that or not?
A. All right. In a word for all of that, no.

CHAIRMAN: So you didn't say that?
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right, Mr. McDermott, that is the answer.

MR. MCDERMOTT: We will move on. Thank you.
218 Q. Now, in Ms. Simms' evidence, and for this purpose I am
simply going to rely on the first day of her evidence, you understand?
A. Yes.

You will recall she came back the following morning. Mr. Harty put a series of lengthy propositions to her --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

220 Q. And she said yes, yes, yes. But just for my purposes, I am going to disregard that morning's evidence and I am just going to focus on what she said on day one. And when she was asked about this idea of a personal connection between Sergeant McGovern and the HSE, her evidence at page 117 was:
"I don't know anything about a personal connection between Sergeant McGovern and the HSE."

Now, that is her evidence. That will be the evidence of my client. I just want to make sure you have a final opportunity to withdraw the suggestion that you
heard someone say that there was this personal connection and that was the reason for the second visit.
A. We were told in the meeting in St. Conal's that there was a close working relationship between the Garda who was tasked with liaising with the HSE and the team leader. That was told to us, and I am clear on that.
221 Q. And do you see a difference between the phrase 'close working relationship', which is not only a legitimate
but a necessary thing to have when it comes to child welfare, and your use of the word 'relationship'? Do you see any difference between what you are now saying and the terms in which you made that allegation to the Tribunal?
A. I don't see any difference between 'close relationship' or 'relationship'.
Q. No --
A. In fact, I would suggest a close relationship would be closer than a relationship.

Garda Harrison, I suspect you understand the question, but in case you don't I'11 give you another opportunity. I am drawing a distinction between the phrase 'close working relationship' and the bald phrase 'there was a relationship between them', which is the manner in which the allegation was put to the Tribunal.
A. Whichever one you want to choose, we are in no doubt that there was a close relationship between the two of them.
224 Q. I see. And I am just going to put the question asked to Ms. Simms.

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I am sorry, this is serious. The person in question is actually sitting down the back of the Tribunal.
A. I understand.

CHAIRMAN: I mean, they have feelings the same way as everybody else. And going back to the example of, you know, the man who admits to torturing a dog or you saying about somebody, 'I have no doubt whatsoever that
he goes into his back garden and tortures his dog', it's a hurtful thing to say. You have to be very careful about that. Are you actually saying now, am I to take it as you saying that Sergeant McGowan has some kind of a relationship with somebody in Tusla whereby she can get things organised which shouldn't be organised, or are you not saying that?
A. I am, Chairman. It's clearly the case in Sergeant McGowan's evidence that she actually told Tusla when they could or couldn't come and see us.
225 Q. MR. MCDERMOTT: And is that your characterisation of the Gardaí saying to Tusla, 'Ms. Simms is in hospital so don't see her at the moment'?
A. I can't think of any circumstance where anyone would be anywhere where there may be a genuine concern for
children, that a guard would tell Tusla, 'don't do your job'.
Q. 'Don't do your job'?
A. 'Hold on and I will tell you when you can do your job'.

227 Q. And you understand, because you have accepted, it was explained to you, that this is not a child protection inquiry, it's a child welfare inquiry. Do you understand, as a guard, the difference between the two things?
A. I wouldn't know the in-depth detail, but a11 I can tel1 11:51 you is this happened to our children.

228 Q. Yes. Now, can I tell you what you said in an affidavit you swore on 15th May 2015.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

229 Q. You said, under oath, because an affidavit is sworn, as I am sure you know:
"I say that the social worker that we met, Ms. Donna McTeague, was bemused, but she was obliged to carry out 11:52 the said investigation. I say and believe that a superior of Ms. McTeague's is friendly with Sergeant McGowan, who requested to meet us."

You then, a few lines down, say:
"I say that Ms. McTeague again mentioned the relationship between Sergeant McGowan and her superior, Bridgeen Smith."

Now, what impression do you think a reader of that paragraph in your affidavit would draw?
A. That affidavit was my belief then and it is my belief now, and if someone wants to refute it I will accept that.
230 Q. So you accept that what you were suggesting in that paragraph, which is that the superior and Sergeant McGowan are friendly and because they are friendly that is why these things have happened, you are not standing over that suggestion?
A. I am standing over that. There was a clear reference made to a close working relationship, which I took as that.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. And again, I am just intervening. You
will appreciate you have just said that if someone refutes that allegation that Sergeant McGowan is able to get things done in Tusla which perhaps ought not to be done because of a close working relationship or other kind of relationship with Bridgeen Smith, you do know that Sergeant McGowan denied that emphatically and said she was very hurt by that allegation?
A. Clearly, Judge, with the evidence given, Sergeant McGowan had influence over what happened.
CHAIRMAN: All right, you are standing by that?
A. I am standing by that. And that in itself, Chairman, is extremely unusual.
Q.

MR. MCDERMOTT: I just want to conclude, Garda Harrison, by putting to you something Ms. Simms said, and it's -- if anybody wishes to check, it's the transcript of Wednesday the 27th of September and it's page 113. And at question 520, Ms. Simms was asked by Ms. Leader:
"So are you now making any connection with the guards and the house visit?"

And the answer is: "No. I suppose that was just my assumption, that they had been in contact."

And then at line -- the Chairman intervened and said the impression -- line 16:

[^1]getting from your statement and that of Garda Harrison was that the Gardaí were manipulating Tus7a into pursuing you. That is the very clear impression I got on that particular statement that Ms. Leader has just put to you.

Answer: Yes.
Chairman: That a social worker said to you on the phone, look, we have to come because the Gardaí have more or less told us or directed us.

Answer: No."

Now, I just want to give you a final opportunity, bearing in mind that $I$ began this cross-examination by pointing out you could have got an answer to your paperwork queries with a 72-cent stamp, do you adopt the position Ms. Simms clearly outlined on day one of her evidence, which is she is now not making any case that the Gardaí manipulated, pressurised, influenced improperly Tus7a to have an interaction with your family? That was her position on day one. Is that your position, or are you maintaining the allegations you made in the letter to Minister Zappone?
A. Mr. McDermott, I don't think that is her position, and I think if we go through all the evidence from the minute she sat here to the minute she finished, it's stand strong on where I stand on this. MR. MCDERMOTT: Thank you.
A. You are welcome.

## THE WITNESS WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY DR. DWYER:

232 Q. MR. DWYER: Garda Harrison, Padraig Dwyer is my name, instructed by Carthage Conlon, representing two
officers here in the Tribunal, number one being Superintendent Kevin English and the second officer being Superintendent James Coen. So I will be very brief with you, obviously, just in terms of ascertaining exactly what the issues are for the
Tribuna1. I appreciate the Tribunal is cognisant of that. But from our point of view, with respect to Superintendent Kevin English --
A. Yes.

233 Q. -- to summarise the evidence which you gave yesterday 11:56 where you were being questioned particularly by Mr. Marrinan for the Tribunal, it's fair to say that whatever your complaints are about superior officers in Donegal and elsewhere, you have absolutely no complaint whatsoever in relation to the manner in which you interacted with Superintendent Kevin English, isn't that so?
A. That's correct.

234 Q. Okay. And in relation to Superintendent James Coen, again $I$ think that by and large you are quite happy with the way that you interacted with Superintendent Coen?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. But you do have, $I$ think, one issue in relation to

Superintendent Coen, and that is his involvement in ascertaining what your address was at a particular time, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

But you'd accept, would you, Garda Harrison, that the request to find out your address came from the district office in Milford, it came actually from Superintendent Eugene McGovern, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

And the correspondence has already been opened up to the Tribunal so I won't labour everyone here with the time involved again. But, in essence, you'd agree, would you, that Superintendent Coen was simply acting bona fide on behalf of a request from the district unit in Milford, isn't that so?
A. He was acting at the request of Superintendent McGovern. I just cannot understand -- we did have a good working relationship where he could have asked me. I mean, I was always open with Superintendent Coen, I would never have hidden anything from him, and I wouldn't have any issue with divulging the information, so the manner in which it went about I do have issue with, but apart from that.
238 Q. Right. We11, in terms of his bona fides, you didn't in any way seek to criticise that or challenge his bona fides yesterday in your evidence?
A. I accept he was acting on the instructions or at a request of Superintendent McGovern, yes.
CHAIRMAN: Is there any need to call Superintendent

Coen or Superintendent English in the light of that? we can discuss it later, but --

MR. DWYER: I don't think so.
CHAIRMAN: Well, let's just see where we stand because we are not at the end yet.

MR. DWYER: No further questions.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Dwyer. Is it not Mr. Dockery, no?
MR. O'HIGGINS: I have no difficulty, Chairman, just I had indicated to Mr. Dockery I was happy to proceed first.

MR. DOCKERY: I have no difficulty with that.
CHAIRMAN: No, if that is the arrangement, I am not going to interfere with it. Thank you.

THE WITNESS WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. O'HIGGINS:

239 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: Now, Garda Harrison, Mícheál O'Higgins for An Garda Síochána. Can I ask you to just clarify one matter $I$ am a little bit unclear on, and I don't think it's a matter of controversy.
A. Yes.

240 Q. It's something dealt with by your partner, Marisa Simms, in her statement. You met initially when you were both in college in UCG, isn't that so?
A. That's correct, yes.

241 Q. Right. And looking at Marisa's statement there on page 70, it indicates you met in October 1998, you went out for a time, you stayed together unti1 August 1999, you
then broke up. You subsequently joined An Garda Síochána?
A. That's correct.

242 Q. Isn't that right? And she indicates then: "I had no further contact with keith until 2010."
A. That's correct.
Q. And when was that?
A. I can't -- I can't recall.

246 Q. But you are able to recall some recollection of a contact you had in --
A. I can't give you the exact year. I know there definitely was, it was a case of -- I know there was messages in relation to her being married and what she was doing, and that was it, but there was nothing -that was it. There was nothing -- you are talking about something that is ten years ago, maybe more, I don't know.
247 Q. In any event, you weren't going out?
A. No.

248 Q. You weren't seeing each other?
A. No.

249 Q. You weren't meeting during that decade that I have
described, 2000 to 2010, is that so?
A. That's correct.
Q. You were not in a relationship, we are agreed about that?
A. That's correct.

I might just come back to that then. Can I deal with the question of your transfer, which is really the start of the period that this Tribunal is concerned with. You transferred, am I right in thinking, to Buncrana in March of 2011, isn't that so?
A. Yes.

252 Q. And you have told the Tribuna1, and you might just confirm this to be the case just once more so we have it clear, you were welcomed, you were made to feel at home, you had contacts with colleagues there, Superintendent English, Inspector Kelly, all of which made you feel at home and welcome, isn't that so?
A. Yes.

253 Q. And things were going fine?
A. Brilliantly, yes.

But there was a difficulty, wasn't there? You had -you had elected not to reveal, by choice, your choice, the fact of the connection that you had, that your partner had, with the killer of Garda McLoughlin, isn't that so?
A. There was no Code regulations requiring me to do so, but I have accepted that, in hindsight, it might have been the correct thing to do.
Q. Did you at the time consider perhaps the feelings of

Garda McLoughlin's family when you elected to proceed with the transfer -- sorry, when you first of all decided to switch your request and seek a transfer yourself to Buncrana?
A. I didn't seek a transfer to Buncrana. I sought a transfer to the division of Donegal.
Q. I thought Mr. Marrinan yesterday brought you to the document where it indicated you were seeking a change, to put in for a transfer to Buncrana, do you recall that?
A. No, no, no, you can only pick a division, you can't pick a station or district.
257 Q. To Donega1. Excuse me.
A. To Donegal, yes.

258 Q. You sought that?
A. Yes.

259 Q. And then you were -- it was indicated to you Buncrana was available?
A. It wasn't indicated. It was on a bulletin. You don't get -- it's not an indication that, we have this station, can you go? The bulletin comes out and tells you you are going.
260 Q. You were told Buncrana?
A. Yes.

261 Q. Yes. Now, you pressed ahead with that in the knowledge 12:03 of your connection, obviously, with the killer of Garda McLoughlin?
A. Yes, that's correct.

262 Q. Is that so? Right. My question is, at that time did
you consider, when you pressed ahead with that, the feelings of Garda McLoughlin's family and indeed of colleagues that you were going to now have on Unit C?
A. Firstly, when I did realise I was going to Buncrana and what had happened, I have already said I didn't understand the upset and the rawness that was in the station.
Q. Yes --
A. And I had no knowledge -- as I said, I applied for a transfer to the division. I didn't seek Buncrana. And 12:04 when I got to Buncrana, I wasn't aware that the -until I was on the unit, that that was the unit that Garda McLough1in had served on.
264 Q. You weren't aware of that?
A. Until I got to the station.
Q. But you quickly realised you were on the very unit on which the deceased garda had been working, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

266 Q. And you had discussed the position with Marisa, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

267 Q. And she had cautioned against it?
A. Yes, yes.

268 Q. And you discussed the position with your father, and I 1:04 think had he also cautioned against it?
A. He had told me to think about it, yes.

269 Q. And were they advising you to, well, come clean about it and mention it to at least get it out there?
A. No, they questioned the move to that station, was it the right thing.
Q. Yes.
A. Can I just finish? I had previously sought moves to other stations, and doors were being shut in my face left, right and centre. If I didn't take this, I was never getting out of Athlone.

271 Q. So you pressed on?
A. Yes.

272 Q. And you weighed up matters?
A. Yes.

273 Q. Including how this would impact other persons who were entirely innocent?
A. No, my intention at the time, and I am clear on this, is that my intention was to get into Buncrana, spend as 12:05 small amount of time as $I$ could and get out of Buncrana.

CHAIRMAN: I am sorry, Mr. O'Higgins, there's just one detail of that that is in my mind. You remember the superintendent seemed to be very happy to have you in 12:05 sligo doing the duties which involved moving armed cash -- sorry, I beg your pardon, moving cash and protecting cash. Did anybody ever -- did you know that he was happy to have you in sligo?
A. Superintendent Glacken rang me prior to the bulletin 12:05 coming out moving me to Buncrana, telling me that a move to Donegal wasn't -- wasn't going to be able to happen and that I should -- that he had spoken to a personal friend of his, who was Chief Superintendent

Jim Sheridan, who was going to accommodate me putting me into the cash escorts unit and that I should see it as a period of cleansing, that $I$ should think about taking a step backwards before taking a step --
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well, that door was open to go to sligo then?
A. That door was open. And my conversation to him was that --

CHAIRMAN: No, that is fine. It's just important that I know that. Thank you.

274 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: The cash escorts opportunity in Sligo, from a financial point of view, had financial incentives, isn't that right?
A. I understand you are on subsistence allowances on your day's work, but --
Q. A subsistence allowance?
A. You get subsistence, I think it's 12 -something a day, 12-euro-something.
Q. That other guards wouldn't get, is that the point?
A. Well, you get it in Traffic Corps, you get it on specialised units, but on the regular unit it would be rare that you'd get -- it's 12 euro 70 -odd cent a day.
277 Q. But in any event, when you came up --
A. You can't -- sorry, for me, I am only interested in active, proactive policing, whether it be in the interest in sitting in a car all day following around a money van.

278 Q. So you turned that down?
A. I didn't turn it down. I told him that -- I told Superintendent Glacken, and he would have been aware that I was seeing a gir1 from Donegal, S1igo was halfway and I may as well sit where I was as to go to sligo because it wasn't any benefit.

I am not asking for your reasons. Just you turned it down?
A. I turned it down.
Q. Right. You arrived at Buncrana?
A. Yes.
Q. You made the decision to suppress - my language - to keep quiet about your connection with Garda McLoughlin's killer, all right? Is that correct?
A. Yes.

282 Q. Right. You were there for a while, and as the weeks 12:08 went by you continued in that position, you decided not to disclose your connection, is that right?
A. To be fair, Mr. O'Neill [sic], myself and Marisa were in the very early stages of a relationship. I couldn't have known that this was going to last, I couldn't have 12:08 known that it was going to work out, so I didn't want to, I suppose, cut my nose off to spite my face. But the longer, Chairman, I stayed there, I got incredibly close with the unit, incredibly friendly -- I would have at the time classified them as my friends, I would 12:08 have socialised with them.
283 Q. You accept, I take it, that it was completely inappropriate for you to make that decision and suppress that information, wasn't it, it was completely
inappropriate?
A. It wasn't ideal.

284 Q. Pardon me?
A. It wasn't ideal, no.
Q. well, why --
A. Mr. o'Neill, I had no other choice but to take this. I didn't -- as I said, I didn't know at the time that me and Marisa would go the distance and I didn't know at the time how close I was going to get to those people. when you say it's not ideal, and you are differing with 12:09 my suggestion that in fact it was really completely inappropriate, you don't agree with that, is that right?
A. No, what I am saying is this: is that what happened to Garda McLoughlin can't be put at my door.
Q. Yes.
A. But I accept that it caused hurt and I accept that there was upset over it, and I am sorry for that.
Q. I just want to read to you, Sergeant McLoughlin [sic], and I wonder will this get -- Garda Harrison, excuse me -- will this get to the -- your true position on the matter. I want to read back to you what you said yesterday in evidence, and it's evidence during the cross-examination by Mr. Marrinan on page 76:
"Question: But leaving aside the fact that you felt under pressure for a whole variety of reasons that there is no reason to go into, do you accept now that it wasn't appropriate that you would serve in Buncrana
in the circumstances in which you found yourself there?"

And interestingly, you offered the same answer as you did a moment ago to me:
"It wasn't ideal."

And then Mr. Marrinan came back:
"It wasn't appropriate, not ideal, wasn't appropriate."

And then you said:
"Chairman, I can only say that, for my part, I
shouldn't have been precluded or inhibited from working anywhere whereby the actions of someone else is not my responsibility."
A. Yes.
Q. And that represents your position on the matter, doesn't it?
A. Yes.

290 Q. You should not be precluded from working where you wanted because of the actions of somebody for whom you were not responsible?
A. I am not responsible for the actions of that person. And can I just, on that note, I want to strongly put it on record that I am absolutely -- it's an absolutely disgusting thing that happened that day -- or that
night --
291 Q. Yes.
A. -- so it is. And I, in the strongest way, condemn it. And I send sympathies to his family and the colleagues I work with, I apologise for the upset I caused them. I didn't -- that was never my intention. But in the strongest fashion, I put that distance between me and Martin McDermott.
Q. Yes. But now to the question as to whether it was appropriate for you to be transferred there or, once there, whether or not it was necessary that you leave, as to that issue, your position is, quite clearly, you shouldn't have been precluded or inhibited from working anywhere whereby the actions of somebody else was not your responsibility, isn't that your true position?
A. My position is that I shouldn't have been. The Code strictly says I wasn't doing -- or hadn't breached the code in any way, so it did.
293 Q. Did you appeal the decision to transfer you from Buncrana?
A. No.
Q. And as I understand the position, Chief Superintendent Sheridan has made it clear, and has not been contradicted on this, that in fact you agreed you could not stay there?
A. I was told I wasn't staying there. I actually -- I begged to stay there because of the relationships I have built up, but $I$ was told it wasn't going to happen. I didn't have a choice in the matter. I left
the station that evening not knowing where I was going. I didn't know that Chief Superintendent Sheridan couldn't transfer me out of the division. I didn't know that.

You see, Garda Harrison, I want to ask you, I understood it to be now common case, having regard to the cross-examination of Chief Superintendent Jim sheridan, I had understood it to be common case that it was accepted on your part that you had to go but the question was to where you might be transferred, not if, 12:13 but to where, all right?
A. When I left that meeting, that was the position, yes.
Q. And that you accepted that and that you understood that and that you had no difficulty with that?
A. I had no choice.

297 Q. So, in fact, can we take it from your evidence then that you fee 1 it was unfair that you were moved from Buncrana, that is a grievance you have?
A. I feel it was unfair that $I$ was in some way held to task for somebody's actions that I had never -- I appreciate the upset it caused to my colleagues and I am sorry for that.
298 Q. And this, to use -- borrow your own phrase, this continued to percolate, this disgruntlement you had or this dissatisfaction you had about the fact you were transferred out of Buncrana, this continued to percolate, isn't that right?
A. After I left Buncrana, the whole environment, the atmosphere towards me changed.
Q. And the way you put it immediately before lunch yesterday on page 94 , was:
"Chairman, and I wil1, I won't pull back from this, I was deeply unhappy having been moved from Buncrana and that continued and it festered for quite a while."

Do you recal1 saying that yesterday?
A. Yes.
Q. So the answer to my question is yes or no? Was it an instance of management oppression upon you?
A. What I am saying is I had no choice, and after I left Buncrana, the good working atmosphere, there was a different environment surrounding me and that is what created the unhappiness.
302 Q. So it was an act of Garda oppression, was it?
A. I accept that I -- that I had no choice in the matter, but I had to take the move. unreasonable on your part to have any difficulty with the necessity to move you from Buncrana, all right? You disagree with that?
A. Do I disagree with that?
Q. Do you --
A. I accept that I had to move. I didn't want to, but I accept I had to.
Q. All right. Can I ask you, please, to bring you forward then to the afternoon of the 24th February 2012. You were present for the evidence of Sergeant David Durkin, isn't that so?
A. Yes.

And you will recall that he gave evidence concerning, and indeed he deals with it in his materials that were provided to the Tribunal, he gave evidence concerning the fact that he spotted on a vehicle that you were -your car, spotted an insurance disc relating to another vehicle.
A. That's correct.

307 Q. And that he raised this issue with you on the 24th of February 2012. Do you recall that?
A. Yes, I do.

308 Q. Right. And he deals with it in some detail in the materials, and indeed his statement on the matter is to be found at page 645. But I want to ask you, first of a11, and the Tribunal now won't want to go into the ins and outs of whether you were guilty of road traffic infractions save by way of context, and nor do I. But 12:16 I want to ask you this: It is correct, isn't it, that there was on your windscreen of your car an insurance disc belonging to another car? That's correct, isn't it, as a matter?
A. Yes, that is fact.

309 Q. Yes. So an insurance disc that had no business being on your windscreen, was on your windscreen, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. You put it there?
A. I didn't put it there, so I didn't. I had changed the car and I was waiting on -- actually, sorry, I probably did put it in there, yeah.
We11, sorry, you were going to embark upon what sounded 12:17 like was going to be a few minutes of 'I changed the car' --
A. No, no, sorry.

312 Q. Was that a gateway into a cock-and-bul1 story you were about to tell there?
A. No, it wasn't.

313 Q. So you put it there, did you?
A. Yes.

314 Q. What was your purpose in putting on the windscreen of your car an insurance disc that had no business being on the windscreen of your car?
A. Judge, or -- the insurance disc was there but also the previous cert. I had obtained insurance at that time, that I was waiting for a disc to come.
315 Q. No, no, I am asking you now about the insurance disc 12:17 that you now accept you put on your car that related to another vehicle, all right? Just, please, just as best as you can, deal with the question.
A. For no purpose. I had obtained insurance.

317
Q. You put it there. What was your thinking in putting it there where it didn't belong?
A. There was no thinking behind it. I had insurance with a different company. Was it not to cause somebody looking at your windscreen to believe, in fact, that you were insured?
A. No, because I had insurance with another company.

You don't recall? But you have just told us a few moments ago you did put it there?
A. I am telling you the disc was there. I accept if it was there, I put it there. I don't remember putting it there. But I definitely didn't put it there for any other reason, to induce anyone to think anything. If that was the case, why bother go and get insurance with a different company?
320 Q. You see, what Sergeant Durkin says of the matter is the following. He says that:
"I noted that there was an insurance disc displayed on the front left-hand side of the screen marked 6/12" -that is the 6th of December -- "This indicated that the vehicle was insured until" --
MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, this evidence was not given by Sergeant Durkin. The Tribunal correctly accepted that this evidence bore no relation on the facts before the thing, and insofar as it wasn't led by Mr. O'Higgins,
by his client, when it wasn't led by the Tribunal, it should not be put on the record now in circumstances where I didn't have an opportunity to cross-examine Sergeant Durkin in relation to any of it.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. O'Higgins, I just might indicate a view that I have, and that is this: Look, this happened, and it was said in the context that there was bullying going on. I asked whether the issues in relation to bullying alleged against Sergeant Durkin were going to be put. They weren't put. I said, in relation to this, the two issues that came up, first of all driving the patrol car out of the district, I wasn't interested in it, and secondly, in relation to this, I said, look, what is relevant to me is the fact that the Garda acted fairly by prosecuting a fellow garda. Now, I have haven't got a difficulty with, if you wish to go in this direction, with you pursuing it at reasonable length, but that is the -- that is the view that I took at that time. I could, of course, take a different view, and if you feel I ought, well of course I am here 12:20 to listen.

MR. O'HIGGINS: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, it's my submission that -- and it's not my intention to dwell unduly on a matter which ultimately resulted in a prosecution that Garda Harrison appears to accept was warranted, but I do say it's relevant because it goes to the question of --
CHAIRMAN: Well, that is fine. Please continue. MR. HARTY: Sorry, if the Tribunal could then note that
in circumstances where any issue is put to my client which was not given in evidence by Sergeant Durkin, I am going to require that Sergeant Durkin is called back.

ChAIRMAN: well, I don't take that as a threat. I am sure Sergeant Durkin would be delighted - well, I don't know what he would be, but to tell you the truth, look, if we have to do it, we have to do it. So let's just carry on for the moment.

MR. O'HIGGINS: Now, I want to ask you then what you
say -- as to why you make that matter relevant. I wonder if we could have page 12 up on the screen, please. So the start of this, just so you have the context of it, this is your statement of evidence to the Tribuna1, which commences at page 12 , and I wonder if Mr. Kavanagh might move down to page 23 of the statement, we have the relevant portion.

CHAIRMAN: So it's 23 you want, not 12?
MR. O'HIGGINS: Sorry, page 23. 12 is the start of it.
322 Q. Now, about a third of the way down, Garda Harrison, do

12:20 you see where it says, towards the end of the line: "On 2nd of June 2011 I commenced duty in Donegal Town", Do you see that?
A. Yes.

323 Q. And it says: "I first met with Sergeant David Durkin.
The welcome here wasn't as warm as the one previously in Buncrana. It is clear he held a certain view of me due to who my partner's brother was. The first conversation we had was him relaying a story about
extraditing Marisa's brother from Northern Ireland.'"
A. Yes.

Pause there. You are aware, aren't you, that Sergeant Durkin has put in materials to the Tribunal in which he made it perfectly clear he had no part in the extradition of Martin McDermott, you know about that, don't you?
A. He spoke to me about Martin being extradited and he had charges in relation to him, I think theft and fraud or something, I can't remember exactly, but there was a conversation about Martin McDermott being extradited from Northern Ireland.

You see, Sergeant Durkin, who wasn't tackled on these matters in one way or the other, makes it clear that he had absolutely no role.
MR. HARTY: Sorry, yet again I am going to repeat that if Sergeant Durkin -- if the evidence of Sergeant Durkin is going to be used to cross-examine my witness, without Sergeant Durkin having given that evidence, then he will have to be recalled.

CHAIRMAN: Yes, well 1et's see where we are at, and you may well have a reasonable point there, Mr. Harty. Let's just see where we are at.

326 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: You continue:
"As the months went on, there was always a hostile reception with Sergeant Durkin. He would act and treat other members differently than he would me. I would very rarely get offered overtime and the two other
members on the unit were always given first options ahead of me, despite me being the senior garda on the unit. At times there were difficulties in getting annual leave. As the months rolled on, this continued and the atmosphere at work gradually got worse and worse where junior members on the unit took the lead from Sergeant Durkin and began to take issue with me taking time off and were verbally abusive to me on occasions."

So you'd agree with me, that is you making allegations against Sergeant Durkin, that he was somehow involved in the loop of Garda management being against you, isn't that right?
A. Chairman, to be fair, I didn't, and instructed not to raise these issues at the time, that these are for a different area, and I don't think it's fair if I comment on that at the moment, to Sergeant Durkin. Chairman: well, it's just, you know, I think the situation that we are in is, and I think I possibly explained it at the time, these volumes that we have, they have been widely distributed. Sergeant Durkin welcomed the opportunity to say, look, I never engaged in any kind of nastiness or exclusion. That is what he said. And I think it's fair that counsel would put that to you. It's not going to happen at length. So why don't we just row with it and let's see what your answer is to whatever questions are put.
327 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: And you continue, Garda Harrison, with
your allegations against Sergeant Durkin, because later on on the same page you then bring up the matter of the insurance prosecution and the matter of the insurance disc from February 2012.
A. Mm-hmm.

Do you see at the bottom there:
"On 23rd of February 2012 I was called to an office to speak with Sergeant Durkin and Sergeant Cornyn. They asked me why my car parked outside hadn't a valid insurance disc displayed and why the tax was out a month. I explained that I had recently purchased the car, which they would have been aware of that, and that I had changed insurance companies."

And it goes on then to deal with the insurance matter that we have dealt with.
A. Right.
Q. But what $I$ am coming to is that, further down page 24 , about ten lines down -- sorry, halfway down page 24 , you bring up what you say is a conversation between you, Sergeant Cornyn and Sergeant Durkin. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you say the following:
"Sergeant Cornyn said, you were lucky you didn't have a crash on the way to work or get stopped at a checkpoint, which I acknowledged. He told me to get
the car on cover before I drove it and sort the tax when the logbook was returned, which I agreed to do as he accepted this was a genuine error where I was not aware my cover had been cancelled."
A. Yes. against Sergeant Durkin, and the Tribunal could take that as being common case, I want to know how you are attributing this allegation of malice and bullying against Sergeant Durkin?
A. I have never said that Sergeant Durkin personally took it upon himself to bully me. What I am relaying there is incidents and events during my time in Donegal Town. 334 Q. "'No way, Tony, we were told that everything to do with him had to be brought back to the attention of the
chief and I am not leaving it at this'." Did you make that quote up?
A. No.
Q.

Doesn't that quotation that you have attributed to Sergeant Durkin, which has not been pursued against him, doesn't that quotation indicate that you are alleging there that he is involved in an act of bullying as part of a wider bullying by Garda management, isn't that what it says?
A. No, no, what that statement says is that there was a direction there that anything to do with me was to be relayed back. I am not saying that Sergeant Durkin was doing it for malice; I am saying that Sergeant Durkin was doing it at the direction of someone higher.
"...'I am not leaving it at this'," you attribute him to saying that, you attribute those words to him, "'I am not leaving it at this'." You were directly
alleging against him he was involved in this bullying campaign?
A. I will say it again, and I don't know if you understand 12:29 me, I have never alleged that Sergeant Durkin himself took it upon himself to personally bully me or harass me. That statement clearly states that he was asked or directed by somebody else to bring whatever the matter be back to them.

Did you just say that you have never alleged that Sergeant Durkin took it upon himself to bully you?
A. What $I$ have done at all times is outline events as they happened in Donegal Town. I believe he was acting on the direction of senior officers within the division. CHAIRMAN: Very good. I think we are just going to leave it there, if you don't mind. So half past.

338 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: Now, Garda Harrison, we have seen in your statement that you made an allegation specific to Sergeant Durkin concerning your suggestion that he treated you differently to other Garda members, you were rarely offered overtime, two other members on the unit got options ahead of you, you had difficulties around getting annual leave, and junior members took leave from Sergeant Durkin and gave you a hard time, all right? So I am suggesting to you those are allegations of a type of bullying or of unfair conduct that you're specifically making against Sergeant Durkin, would you agree with that?
A. I have outlined issues, Chairman, in my time in Donegal Town.
339 Q. Is that a yes? They are specific allegations referable to the named guard I'm talking about, Sergeant Durkin?
A. As I said, the statement outlined issues that I encountered while in Donegal Town.
340 Q. But the person you identify -- you see, in your statement, Garda Harrison, at times you make generalised remarks about unnamed people, but here, I'm asking you to agree with me, here you are actually naming somebody and you are naming Sergeant Durkin and you're making specific allegations against him, isn't that so?
A. He's not the only person I made allegations against.

341 Q. We will come back to that, but you did make specific
allegations against Sergeant Durkin?
A. I outlined incidents in my statement.
Q. I don't really want to continue into tomorrow so it might be just more beneficial for everybody if you just focus on my question. Did you make specific allegations of bullying against Sergeant Durkin?
A. As I said --

343 Q. It's a yes or a no.
A. As I said, I outlined incidents in my statement.

344 Q. Against Sergeant Durkin?
A. Against a number of people. Forget about any suggestion that there were others involved, forget about any suggestion that you made against a named garda that they were doing something with others. Would you agree with me you are no longer maintaining an allegation of bullying against Sergeant Durkin, I think it is capable of a yes or no?
A. I have outlined incidents in Donegal Town that caused me concern and upset.
349 Q. Are you no longer pursuing an allegation against Sergeant Durkin?
A. The statement stands as it stands.

350 Q. Does that mean you're pursuing an allegation?
A. What I am saying is, the statement outlines incidents in Donegal Town that caused me upset and grief. You see, your counsel, when Sergeant Durkin was giving his evidence, confirmed it was common case and that the 13:35 Tribunal could take it, it was not being suggested Sergeant Durkin participated in bullying against you -MR. HARTY: Sorry, if that exact quote could be opened from the transcript, it might be of assistance to me in relation to -- my understanding was that $I$ said the issues weren't relevant and that is -- when pressed on it by the Tribunal, I said the issues were not relevant.

MR. O'HIGGINS: Well, in fairness to Mr. Harty, I don't want to misparaphrase what he said, so I will quote exactly what he said.
CHAIRMAN: Well, Mr. O'Higgins, my understanding at the time was, and we did have the discussion on the rule in Browne $V$. Dunn, and all the rest of it, and, God knows, I have been hammering on about that for quite a while, but I understood it was simply being left aside. MR. O'HIGGINS: Yes -CHAIRMAN: That is what I thought. MR. O'HIGGINS: Chairman, in fairness I will just read
out precisely what was said, and it's on page -- the bottom of page 93 of the relevant day's transcript. You, Chairman, had intervened and asked:
"And it directly contradicted --"
CHAIRMAN: Not interceded anyway, certainly, Mr. O'Higgins.
MR. O'HIGGINS: Excuse me?
CHAIRMAN: Not interceded anyway, Mr. O'higgins. MR. O'HIGGINS: You had intervened and said the following:
"Chairman: And it directly contradicts what your client said in his statement. Are you happy that I accept that on the basis that the matter has certainly been touched on by Ms. Leader, perfectly responsibly, if I come to the conclusion, which is the only conclusion available at the moment on the evidence, that Sergeant Durkin is not, was not a bully, are you content that that --"

And then Mr. Harty responds:
"I have no difficulty with this Tribunal in relation to Module ( $n$ ) not requiring to find any - make any determination as to Sergeant Durkin's motivation in relation to his treatment with Garda Harrison, and to accept as common case for the purpose of Module ( $n$ ) that there was no such bullying and I don't think there was any requirement in terms of module (n) to go into
that."

And that is how it was put.
352 Q. So, arising from that, Garda Harrison, in circumstances where your counsel is accepting as common case that there was no such bullying by Sergeant Durkin, am I correct that you are abandoning an allegation against him?
A. I think what my counsel instructed was, this had no relevance to the Module ( $n$ ) as it stands in relation to 13:37 the inappropriate contacts between An Garda Síochána. CHAIRMAN: I'm going to intervene at this point, because I think it's a bit pointless to have a discussion about, you know, what has happened here, because I'm sitting here and listening to everything, 13:37 and, unlike anybody else, I'm not able to go out. So the situation is this: There is an allegation of bullying there, very definitely. Having read the papers, or a very large portion of the papers, before actually sitting, I have been wondering, but it has been confirmed, as to the case being made. And the case being made seems to be this: that a head of steam, I called it a head of malice, built up, and that this resulted in the Marisa Simms statement in whatever way it was taken, directions or otherwise to Tusla, the 13:38 visit and the upset. Now, it is part of the head of malice building up, the alleged mistreatment of Garda Harrison in Donegal Town, and if it's not there, it may be relevant to what happens later. If it is there, it
may be relevant to what happens later. So you're very welcome to cross-examine on it, Mr. O'Higgins, and I think you should simply take it as being there and as being part of the necessary background of ingredients from which emerged the allegations of marisa Simms in relation to the statement and the alleged interference by the Gardaí with Tusla. So that's as I see it. It may not be -- you know, it may not be the most important thing in the world, but it is there. MR. O'HIGGINS: May it please you, Chairman.
You see, what Sergeant Durkin said in his evidence, and here I am quoting from his evidence, this was canvassed with him by the Tribunal, and the question was put to Sergeant Durkin:
"And insofar as that Garda Harrison says in his statement that as the months went on in Donegal that he was under the impression that he got a hostile reception from you, and that he was treated differently from others, do you have anything to say in relation to 13:40 that?"

And Sergeant Durkin said the following:
"We11, I reject that. I believe Garda Harrison was treated very fairly when he came there. I believe he settled in well and he made nothing known to me about any problems he was having. He was given the same opportunities as everyone else there and treated the
same way and socially within the station having breakfast, lunches, and everything, he was included in everything. I mean, I did see a reference to overtime and that in his statement. Overtime wasn't a huge issue those times because there wasn't very much of it, and as I said in my statement to the Tribunal, when it was available it was offered out even7y. Generally, the rest of the unit would be offered first, first crack at any overtime that was available, and after that then whoever was able to do it was given it."

And that was his position in relation to the allegation of bullying and unfair and discriminatory treatment. Did you hear him give that evidence?
A. Yes.

354 Q. He wasn't cross-examined on that aspect, you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was indicated it was common case that it could be taken an allegation of bullying was not being made against him. My question is: If that is so, if you appear to be abandoning it, doesn't that entitle the Tribunal to believe you made it up?
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. O'Higgins, I think it is clear he's not abandoning it. I know there are civil proceedings out there. I actually haven't read any statement of claim, or anything like that. But I do understand that even though the law has become considerably more difficult
to prove in consequence of the Ruffley case in the supreme Court earlier this year, that there is a case of bullying being pursued against An Garda Síochána and I presume including Sergeant Durkin. Now, I think rather than concentrate on what was said between the Tribunal and counsel and the rule in Browne $v$. Dunn and all the rest of it, if you have, you know, questions to put on that specific aspect, probably we will save time by just putting them.
MR. o'hIGGINS: May it please you, Chairman. I will try and move along.
356 Q. Just before we finally leave that issue then, Garda Harrison, would it be a fair summary that whilst that appeared in your papers before the Tribunal, is it possible that you've somehow, you've softened in relation to Sergeant Durkin in later times?
A. I've said it and I've said it and I'll say it again: my statement is my statement.
357 Q. Right. And indeed, you repeated an allegation against Sergeant Durkin, didn't you, when it came to an interview with Stephen [sic] o'brien, investigator from GSOC, in July 2015, isn't that so?
A. I gave a full and frank account of everything to Simon o'brien, not just -- it wasn't just about an individual; it was about an overall category of stuff. And in that interview, some -- notes of which can be found at page 2371, you stated to investigator o'brien from GSOC that two sergeants became aware of this and questioned you and one sergeant was described as being
relatively understanding, the other was apparently not disposed to being lenient.
"The second sergeant was apparently quoting instruction from the chief superintendent that anything negative about Garda Harrison must be dealt with harshly." Do you see that at the bottom of page 2371? Is that a reference to Sergeant David Durkin by you?
A. I never referenced anything as harshly. But I did reference two sergeants, yes, and I did -- same as is laid out in my statement.
359 Q. So what issue are you taking with investigator Stephen o'brien's --
A. None. I don't see any difference between what I would have said to Simon o'brien and the way he would have wrote it there. I am just saying that's --
360 Q. No, question was, because it's not named, is that a reference to Sergeant Durkin?
A. Yes.

361 Q. So you're repeating that allegation there as of July 2015?
A. Yes.

362 Q. Right. Thank you. Now, I asked you to deal with a matter -- I was going to ask you to deal with a matter relating to Pulse, but before we do that, can I ask you to turn now to the statement of Marisa Simms for a moment, and I'm not going to bring you through the entire statement because that is not a relevant issue at this point. It has been gone over in considerable detail. But I wonder if Mr. Kavanagh might bring up
page 83 , which is page 14 of Marisa Simms' statement, taken on the 6th October 2013 at Letterkenny Garda Station. Perhaps actually just to give it context -the bottom of page 82 , please, Mr. Kavanagh. The bottom of page 82. And this now is Marisa speaking, al1 right? I just want to ask you to deal with this. Toward the bottom of page 82 it says -- it's relating to an incident that she has described occurring and necessitating her spending the night at her mother's in Raphoe, and it says, four lines from the bottom:
"After this incident and when Keith returned to work after his rest days, he checked the Garda Pulse system. I think Jim Quinn had told him that the guards had
rang."

So just to locate that in the context for you. This now is what might be termed the Jim Quinn incident, do you know what I mean?
A. Yes.

363 Q. And her statement continues:
"I think Jim Quinn had told him that the guards had rang and when he saw an incident recorded on Pulse he became extremely annoyed. A case in court involving him for road traffic offences was due up in May and he told me that he felt that this would impact negatively on it. He was extremely annoyed with me and asked me why I had contacted my mother. On more than one
occasion he spoke to me, asking me to go to the guards and tell them it never happened so that it could be removed from the pulse system. I asked him what exactly he wanted me to do, and he told me to go to the guards and say it didn't happen, but I kept saying to him that it did happen."

Do you see that there?
A. Yes.

364 Q. And my understanding of matters is that this is not one ${ }_{13: 47}$ of the issues in respect of which Marisa simms is taking issue as somehow being wiggled out of her involuntarily or being misquoted on or misattributed to her; in other words, she's standing over this, I understand. Do you understand?
A. I understand what you are saying.

365 Q. And I suggest to you this is a suggestion from her that on more than one occasion you spoke to her, asking her to go to the guards to tell them something that was false, that a particular incident never happened, so
that it could be removed from the Pulse system. In circumstances where Marisa says this happened, I take it you don't disagree?
A. Firstly, I would never have said to go to the guards to tell them anything to get anything removed from Pulse, because you cannot remove anything from Pulse. The subject of my annoyance was that there was a Pulse incident outlining -- inaccurately outlining an incident where nobody sought to clarify with Marisa,
nobody sought clarification with me, but they went and put a Pulse incident on it. And we've learned since that, in fact, the people that rang the guards were clearly unsure of what was going on, and that was the subject of a Pulse incident. So I was annoyed that there was a Pulse incident up there that had very little accuracy that referred to me and Marisa. You see, you appear to be missing -MR. HARTY: Sorry, if Mr. O'Higgins is going to put to the witness that he is incorrect, that something can be removed from Pulse, I would be fascinated to hear that evidence.

```
MR. O'HIGGINS: You appear to be --
```

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just hang on a minute. We're all talking about the incident, it's been referred to as 30th March/1st Apri1, but it was 1st April because it's about 2:00 in the morning, 2013, isn't that right? So, now, so far, I'm not sure there's been any allegation that the Gardaí misused Pulse in order to do down Garda Harrison or Marisa Simms, and if there is such an
allegation it's the first time it's coming out now. But I think your question is perhaps directed to something slightly different to that, and it may be that perhaps Garda Harrison didn't quite understand it. MR. O'HIGGINS: Forgive me then, I have not been clear 13:49 in my question.

367 Q. My question, Garda Harrison, is to welcome your response to the allegations made by Marisa, which I understand her to be maintaining, standing over as
correct, that you said to her -- you asked her to go to the guards to tell them, falsely, that a particular incident never happened so that it could be removed from Pulse. That's what Marisa says you did. Do you accept that Marisa said -- do you accept what Marisa says there?
A. Did you ask Marisa that? works.
A. I will answer it.

369 Q. Don't dodge it.
A. I'm not dodging anything.
Q. Do you accept what Marisa says there, which she is standing over, is correct?
A. This may be an example of something that is completely incorrect in the statement because it is impossible, to my knowledge, unless -- but you cannot remove anything from the Pulse system, so I simply wouldn't have asked her to go to the station to get it removed, because you can't.

371 Q. Did you ask her to go to the guards to tell them something that happened didn't happen?
A. Absolutely not. I told her my annoyance of it there, I told her of my annoyance of the inaccuracies reported, and that was it.

372 Q. I wonder if we could have --
MR. HARTY: Yet again, sir, if Mr. O'Higgins is saying that something can be removed from Pulse, I think that question should be put directly to the witness.

CHAIRMAN: Look, that actually could be relevant, I don't know. But as I understand Pulse, you can put in an additional thing in relation to a Pulse entry, saying -- clarifying this is the following, or whatever.
A. Chairman --

CHAIRMAN: You can do that, I think, can you?
A. You cannot delete anything on Pulse, as I say. CHAIRMAN: I know that.
A. But you can what is called invalidate it, whereby the incident itself remains on Pulse, but there is a red tab, or a red flag that shows it's invalidated for some reason.

CHAIRMAN: Well, that has to be the case, doesn't it?
A. That has to be --

CHAIRMAN: Like, if somebody reports that somebody else murdered somebody and people go out and they look and in fact there's no body, there may be a Pulse entry in relation to a murder.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: But then you invalidate it or you put in another Pulse entry saying, look, this didn't happen, it was an unfortunate person who has issues of some kind, talking wild7y.
A. Chairman, I simply didn't say it because it can't be done.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right. We11, that's it. We11, look, whether it can be actually done in the sense of erased, covered with Xs on the Garda computers, that is neither
here nor there. I think the substance of the question from Mr. O'Higgins is: Did you ask Marisa Simms, after the incident on the 1st April 2013, to issue a clarification to the Gardaí or to require her relatives to issue a clarification to the Gardaí so that the incident which is portrayed pretty badly on the Pulse entry would not be as bad as it was? That is really the substance of the question.
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right.
MR. O'HIGGINS: I wonder if page 291 could be put up on the screen, please. To assist you, Garda Harrison, this is the printout from Pulse showing, according to An Garda Síochána, accessing by you over a certain period of Pulse, which it was felt was inappropriate and in respect of which you were tackled by Chief Superintendent Sheridan in a conversation you appear to have forgotten, all right? That is just to set the context for you. Can you assist the Tribunal and remind me, you have an answer for this, don't you? You 13:53 say you have an explanation as to why you were accessing Pulse in relation to Marisa Simms. What is that explanation?
A. That I believed we were being watched or under surveillance of some sort.

CHAIRMAN: No, just to put a context on it.
A. Sorry, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN: This conversation with the chief superintendent was 24th April 2012. You have a
different recollection of it, each of you --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- but that's when we are talking about, back in April 2012.
MR. O'HIGGINS: Yes. Thank you, Chairman.
374 Q. You have that?
A. Yes.
Q. You recal1 the conversation, presumably?
A. There was never a mention of Pulse to me.

376 Q. Pardon?
A. There was no mention of Pulse to me. We talked about my car.
377 Q. Well, let's -- you're aware that the chief superintendent gave evidence about that, that he received a report from Superintendent Eugene McGovern and the context of that was that Sergeant McGowan, it had emerged that you had been accessing Pulse and she provided that information to her superiors, all right?
A. That's correct.

378 Q. You're aware of the context. And you say, by way of explanation, this was because you wanted to check if other guards were checking on you and Marisa?
A. That's correct.

379 Q. Do you see the bottom entry there on page 291?
A. Yes.

380 Q. 13th May 2008.
A. Yes.

381 Q. "Station: Donegal Town. Inquiry by Garda R. Harrison, Garda." That's you, isn't it?
A. That's me, yes.

382 Q. You weren't going out or seeing Marisa in 2008, were you?
A. No, I wasn't.

383 Q. So your explanation can't possibly make any sense, can it?
A. How do you mean?

384 Q. Your explanation that the reason you were accessing this was to check whether other guards were checking up on you and Marisa, cannot make sense if you weren't even with her at that point.
A. I don't understand what are you saying. Sorry, can you go again?
Q. Do you not understand what I am asking?
A. Just say it again.

386 Q. Do you not understand what I am asking?
A. Put it to me, I'11 just be clear on it.

CHAIRMAN: We11, if you don't understand, I will ask the question. It's this: Mr. O'Higgins started off at about 12 o'clock today by asking you, look, what was the nature of your relationship with Marisa Simms between 2000 and 2010, did you see her at a11, was there any contact. Your answer was, well, there was no contact but it may be that on Facebook we had each sent each other kind of innocuous messages to the effect that, hope you're all right --
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: -- or thinking about you, or, you know, nice polite things like that. And then we then come to the
meeting with Chief Superintendent Sheridan on the 24th April 2012. Now, there's a dispute between you and Chief Superintendent Sheridan as to what that meeting was about. I think you say it's about the car insurance issue. He says it was about Pulse or about both. And he asked you why you were checking up on Pulse. And you accepted, he said, that you had been accessing Pulse incorrectly and you undertook to stop. Now, leave that aside. The point Mr. O'Higgins has put to you is this: If there was no contact between yourself and Marisa Simms for a period of ten years, 2000 to 2010, and if your motivation, as you say, for checking up on Marisa Simms was to see who was checking up on you and Marisa Simms or on Marisa Simms in relation to you, then there can be no possible valid application of that theory to a Pulse check by you in relation to Marisa Simms which took place in 2008 when there was no contact between you.
A. I understand. Chairman, in relation to that, as you can see, if we go above that, it's from when I'm in a relationship with Marisa Simms, on that, on that occasion, Judge, I would have been in contact with Marisa, Judge. There was no clear directions or directives in relation to the use of the Pulse system, Judge. It was widely -- it is a practice that has stopped, but as has been commented by I think the former minister Alan Shatter, the Pulse database was used similar to a social media site. It may be out of a contact where I may have looked or checked Pulse in
relation to that, out of curiosity, but for no other reason. But can I point out that I have been off work for 35 months, and since I have returned I have noticed there's a change in the pulse database. Now, where you log on, there's clear guidelines and directions on how you're supposed to operate it.
387 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: why were you checking up on Marisa Simms on the 13th may 2008?
A. I've just answered that.

388 Q. Pardon me?
A. I've just answered that.

389 Q. You answered why, say it again?
A. I answered it.

390 Q. You did check up on Marisa simms on the 13th may 2008?
A. Yes, obviously, yes, I did.

391 Q. Why?
A. Because it's possible at that time we had some contact, and, as I said, out of a sense of curiosity, which wouldn't have been uncommon, not just by me, if you look at the directive that chief superintendent sheridan, I think, authored, it was never a particular issue with me, the directive was sent to everybody in the division, so obviously, from that, there was a problem in the division and wider than me.
392 Q. Sorry, you would have been in -- we will just take it sequentially. You are saying you were now, in fact, contrary to your --
A. No, no, what I said earlier, I couldn't remember when exactly we had exchanged messages of a normal platonic
nature, Chairman, so it is, and arising out of that it is possible -- it's not possible, it's there, so I must have.
393 Q. So were you going out with Marisa during this period, were you?
A. No.

394 Q. No. But you were in contact, apparently, is that right?
A. Not contact, as in the contact was going to lead or go anywhere. It was a platonic messaging, 'how are you?' That was it.

395 Q. A platonic messaging that necessitated you going on Pulse to check on her, was it?
A. Yeah, I probably did.

396 Q. Pardon?
A. I probably did, yes -- or not probably. It's there, so I must have.
Q. So we can read from this entry that you did check up on Marisa on this date in 2008?
A. Yes.

398 Q. why?
A. For the third time, it was probably borne out of a sense of curiosity having had contact with her. For no other reason. A practice --
399 Q. For no other reason than?
A. A practice which would have been, I would say, widespread, where other members would be checking various things that probably weren't or shouldn't be checking, but it was a practice that has and is
currently being driven out.
400 Q. Just taking things slowly there for a second, Garda Harrison. You were checking up on her, is it because other members do the same, is that what you are claiming?
A. No, no, no, no. I'm giving my account. I'm telling you it wasn't an uncommon practice. Clearly, by the directive issued by Chief Superintendent Sheridan, I wasn't the only one that had issue with the Pulse database.
MR. HARTY: Sir, Mr. O'Higgins is in a unique position of knowing what difficulties there were with Gardaí casually accessing Pulse in its early stages, and he's, I'm quite certain, well aware. If he is going to put to the witness that this didn't happen commonly during that period, he should do so. And if he has an alternative case, he has asked the question in cross-examination, he has gotten an answer, I would say that once he has tested the answer, he is stuck with the answer unless he has an alternative that he wishes to put to the witness.
CHAIRMAN: Well, this is the situation: There's how many checks on Pulse in relation to Marisa Simms by 24th Apri1 2012?
A. There's 23.

CHAIRMAN: There's 23. All right. And the case being made by Garda Harrison is that, again, the Gardaí were against him, but specifically in relation to Chief Superintendent Sheridan, that this was never mentioned
in relation to that meeting. But the explanation given in relation to why these checks were being done on Pulse was because Garda Harrison thought that he was under surveillance and that Marisa simms was under surveillance. But here is a check on the Garda Pulse system at a time when there was no such suspicion because they weren't in any way romantically entangled and they were doing no more than exchanging a very occasional message on Facebook about each other's wellbeing or health, of a very platonic and anodyne variety.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: So essentially if I could address this with you, Garda Harrison. what Mr. о'Higgins is asking you is, does that not completely undermine your explanation that you thought that you and Marisa Simms were under surveillance, since you couldn't possibly have any reason to think that in 2008?
A. Judge, there's no question in 2008 that that would ever have been my thought. After moving up to Donegal, that 14:03 was certainly my thought, and there is no doubt in that. I have told the Tribunal that out of -- probably borne out of a sense of curiosity, having had contact, that was it. At the time it wasn't an unusual practice or wasn't a practice that I can be solely accused of engaging on my own as a single member of An Garda síochána.

CHAIRMAN: Just in terms of a chronology, you weren't actually in Donegal and in Buncrana until 25th March

2011?
A. 10th March.

CHAIRMAN: I took it as your first day of duty was the 15th, but I may be wrong about that, don't worry about it. And then the time when the connection with Martin McDermott comes to light is 23rd May 2011.
A. Correct.

CHAIRMAN: So those are the relevant dates. Mr. O'Higgins, would you like to continue.

MR. O'HIGGINS: Thank you.
401 Q. So if we look at the entries above 13th May 2008, Garda Harrison.
A. Yes.

402 Q. The first one in time is the 29th January 2011?
A. Yes.

403 Q. The next accessing of Pulse by you is the 9th February 2011, 23rd February and 8th March 2011?
A. Yes.

404 Q. A11 of those accessing of Pulse incidents predate your going to Buncrana.
A. Yes.

405 Q. And you're checking up on Marisa Simms?
A. Yes.

406 Q. Then if we see the later ones, 10th September -- sorry, 10th March, 26th March into 4th Apri1, 30th Apri1, 26th 14:04 May, 30th May, 5th June, 15th June and on upwards, al1 in 2011. Am I right in thinking that, according to Marisa's version of events, certainly in her statement, you and she moved in together around April 2011, isn't
that right?
A. We were engaged in a relationship from January 2011.

407 Q. We11, you see, on page 71 of the materials in Marisa's statement she says:
"I moved in with him --" that being yourself " -- around Apri1 2011. I stayed for a week and then thought, oh, my God, I have made a huge mistake. I moved back to my house in milford to my husband and the children. Andrew wasn't happy with me moving out. During the week that I had stayed in Churchill, I had spoken to Andrew and he had phoned to call out to the house."

And it goes on. So at that point in time she is saying that as of that week in April you weren't happy because you moved in with her and then you [sic] moved out again, back to her husband, all right?
A. Yes.

408 Q. And that appeared to coincide, broadly speaking, with the intensification of you accessing Pulse, checking up on her, do you understand what I am suggesting?
A. Yes.

409 Q. Wouldn't a reasonable construction, by anybody just looking at this cold, be that these entries here on this document show you are checking on Marisa, you're checking her, you are being somewhat obsessive, do you understand?
A. I understand what you are saying. My answer to that
is, is that during that time myself and Marisa were in a relationship, be it living together or not living together, and there was always a fear of someone finding out the connection, someone looking at us, so it was for whatever reason, because we did socialise in 14:06 the Buncrana area at that time.

410 Q. So your explanation now is that it was --
A. I'm not changing my explanation.

411 Q. That will be for the Chairman. Your explanation is, that you've just offered, is that it was to check if Garda management had tumbled your connection with Marisa and her brother, is that your explanation?
A. That's not my explanation. My explanation for that part of it is, that there was a certain fear that, yes, that people might have found out about our relationship. I would have checked myself around the same time as well.

CHAIRMAN: But, Garda Harrison, if you did have a fear that people would find out about your relationship with Marisa simms, that would seem to indicate that you realised it was a wrong thing to go to Buncrana, having a relationship with Marisa Simms.
A. I haven't said that it wasn't. What I said was that I had concerns about the start that I had in Buncrana, the really good one being taken away, and ultimately it 14:07 was.

412 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: A printout indicates that later on into 2011 the accessing by you continued and in fact continued into 2012, do you see that there?
A. Yes, I do.

413 Q. At a point in time after your relationship with Marisa and her brother has emerged into the daylight, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

414 Q. So any explanation that you were doing that to check if Gardaí had realised about the relationship, that explanation would not stack up, would it, from the entries?
A. I was always concerned of who or why people were
looking or -- looking at us. As I said clearly, and maybe you can help me, why were people so interested in our status of our relationship and where I was living, and couldn't ask me directly but had to do it under discreet cover? So my suspicions that we were being watched are true.

415 Q. You see, Marisa paints a picture in her statement and instances a number of reasonably clear and graphic pictures, including a suggestion that you accessed her phone account against her wishes. Do you recall that evidence being given?
A. Yes.

416 Q. And do you recall in Mr. Dockery's cross-examination she confirmed the correctness of that allegation; in other words, that she was standing over that?
A. I don't recall that.

417 Q. Do you not recall that?
A. No, I don't. But I never accessed my phone accounts.

418 Q. So insofar as Marisa indicated that you did, she's just
wrong about that, is she?
A. I refute that, yes.

419 Q. You refute that?
A. Yes.

420 Q. I see. I don't recall her being cross-examined on it on your behalf.
A. A11 I can say is, I didn't do that.

421 Q. I wonder might we just move then to broader matters relating to her statement, Garda Harrison. In the build-up to September --
MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, there is one thing I think should be corrected at this stage. It was put to the witness, and I think somewhat unfairly, that there was an intensification in April in those Pulse checks, and in fact that is simply not present at all. There's approximately the same number of checks each month. It's put to the witness, it's suggested to the witness that this is the cause for it. It's also, I would point out, a situation where Garda Harrison has given this explanation before, has indicated that he checked himself at the same time, and yet again Mr. O'Higgins and An Garda Síochána are in a unique position to be able to say whether or not that is true or false, and they haven't adduced evidence to that effect.
CHAIRMAN: Sorry, where is the fau7t by the Gardaí on this occasion, Mr. Harty? what do you say their fault is on this occasion?
MR. HARTY: My fault is that it's been put to him that his version of events is incorrect and I'm simply
pointing out that the one team here who is in a unique position to check whether or not Keith Harrison did in fact check himself at the same time, is An Garda Síochána, and it hasn't been put to him that that is not true.

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, you're saying Mr. O'Higgins is doing something wrong. what is it that you're saying Mr. O'Higgins is doing wrong?
MR. HARTY: what I'm saying he did particularly wrong in this instance is that he said there was an present on the printout there.
CHAIRMAN: I suppose I'm looking at it and I see, yes, there are a couple in April, there's a couple in March. MR. HARTY: A couple in February, a couple in June -there's three in June, I think.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. HARTY: So it is simply not accurate.
CHAIRMAN: Let's try and get something that's actually related to the evidence.

MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Are you saying that all those checks prior to your relationship between yourself and Marisa Simms being discovered, which $I$ date to Mr . Simms coming to the house to give clothes to the children, to the 23rd May 2011, are you saying that all of those checks prior to that time are out of curiosity?
A. No, I'm saying no.

CHAIRMAN: Are you saying that they are in consequence
of a belief that someone was spying on you and Marisa Simms? Are you saying that these accesses of pulse records in relation to Marisa Simms were for a different reason? What is the reason, if you don't mind me asking?
A. Chairman, I had raised, and without going into detail, serious issues in the westmeath division where, after that, I believed I was being monitored and I was being watched. These here, Judge, were -- obviously, I had a fear that someone was going to find out and my start in 14:12 Buncrana was going to be taken from me. For that reason but prior to people finding out about me and Marisa, I was concerned that people were finding out or people may have been checking her on Pulse.
CHAIRMAN: okay. Is that the same as saying that you thought that you were under surveillance?
A. Chairman, from the time I raised issues in westmeath, I have always felt like that.
Chairman: Do you feel like that now?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Who do you think is checking up on you?
A. Chairman, I believe that I am being closely monitored. CHAIRMAN: By who?
A. By senior colleagues.

CHAIRMAN: Like who?
A. Well, to give you an example is, we have written to Garda Headquarters seeking clarification in relation to specific surveillance, very specific, and to date they have failed to provide us with any answer that this
isn't the case.
CHAIRMAN: why do you think anyone is interested in having you under surveillance?
A. Because I and another garda in Athlone have raised serious issues in relation to what was going on in Westmeath.

CHAIRMAN: And if that be indeed what your thinking was, what's the reasoning then for checking up on Marisa Simms half a dozen or more times prior to anything to do with your relationship with Marisa Simms 14:13 coming to Garda attention in Buncrana in or around the 23rd May 2011?
A. I simply wanted to see who was looking at us, that was it.

CHAIRMAN: why did you think anyone would be bothered looking at Marisa Simms?
A. Because she was my partner.

CHAIRMAN: What indication of any kind did you have that anybody in Buncrana or the Garda or anywhere else knew that she was your partner?
A. We socialised in Buncrana.

CHAIRMAN: And you think that while you were socialising in Buncrana, that those who were interested in you both saw Marisa Simms and you and knew who Marisa Simms was simply by looking at her and then you 14:14 wanted to see were they then checking up on Marisa Simms, is that what your belief was?
A. I felt it might have been and what I have learned since is that --

CHAIRMAN: Not might have been. Anything might happen. Is that what you believed?
A. Yes, I believed at that time.

CHAIRMAN: So somebody walked into a, let's say a public house or a coffee shop who was a garda, saw Marisa Simms and actually knew it was Marisa Simms and you felt as and from that time that Marisa Simms was under surveillance so you needed to check Pulse to see was there that surveillance going through the Pulse system?
A. Because of what happened previous, before I came to -I was very guarded of my own self personally.

CHAIRMAN: Okay. No, I understand that. But you do appreciate that this Pulse printout is correct and my understanding at the moment is you can't alter Pulse, I 14:15 mean that's what you have said to me.
A. Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRMAN: The checking up is your checking up.
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: Nobody else.
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: We11, do you have anything to say about that?
A. Sorry, it is possible to check on Pulse under what is called confidential cover. That has been referred to in the documents to the Tribunal. It was done, so it was, when Sergeant McGowan brought the issue to Superintendent McGovern. My understanding is that confidential cover means that the database can be
accessed to checks without leaving an electronic fingerprint or an electronic trace. CHAIRMAN: You're bringing this up now but --
A. No, I mentioned it --

CHAIRMAN: No, just hang on a minute. I'm not saying that in any negative way, but you're bringing this up now in a context where you say, look, they could have been checking up in such a way as not to leave an electronic fingerprint. But you are checking up the system which does leave an electronic fingerprint, so I 14:16 actually don't understand what you are trying to say.
A. I, at the time, wouldn't have known that it was possible to access it under confidential cover. This is only something I have learned.
CHAIRMAN: Since?
A. Since the Tribunal documents were given to us. CHAIRMAN: Right. okay. That's grand. Thank you.
A. Thank you.

422 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: Garda Harrison, then moving to the wider import of the statement from Marisa, taken in
Letterkenny Garda Station, she narrates three separate incidents on page 80 of the materials. This is within her first statement. She refers to the fact, according to her, that -- this is halfway down page 18:
"Since we moved into Woodbury House there are at least three different occasions when Keith has put me out of the house."

I will just stop there for a moment. Parking the difference that Marisa Simms had with the word "put out" of the house, all right, and acknowledging that she had -- her position on that is somewhat different to what is in her statement, she maintains she was not put out of the house, I understand, but that she left, all right? Just parking that. She indicates that there were three separate incidents in 2013 when, following a row between you and her, she left the house, if I can put it neutrally like that, all right? Do you understand?
A. Yes.

423 Q. And from her statement, just tracking the chronology of it, from that reference on page 80 there's one incident of the 31st March 2013, I think that's the Jim Quinn incident. There's another one, looking at page 83, she comes back to it halfway down page 83:
"Keith also put me out of the house on two other occasions."

Do you have that there?
A. Yes.

424 Q. On the 6th Ju7y 2013:
"My mother appeared on Winning Streak."

A11 right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see that? Does that help to locate it for you? We needn't go into the detail of that, save that that is a situation in which she left the house, it seems, following a disagreement between you and her, isn't that right?
A. Where's that?
Q. Do you see that? It says:
"On the 6th July 2013 my mother appeared on Winning Streak and we all went to Dublin for it. I had gone to 14:19 Evolve --"

And there's a reference to her buying you a new shirt and trousers.
A. Yes.

427 Q. And I'm not going to bring you through the detail that follows that because it is not necessary for the Tribunal's purposes, but it helps to locate, in time, another issue or row that was between you and her, all right?
A. Can we move the page down.
Q. Because on that particular day, if we turn over to page 84 , she recounts that she discovered something about you having contact with another woman, and I'm not particularly concerned to get into private details, but 14:19 there was a row about that, you recall that?
A. Yes.

429 Q. And there was a row in that context, and then she says, I think on page 85 of her statement, she refers to a
further incident in August, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And she says about ten lines down on page 85 , halfway along:
"Things weren't good between us and sometime during August 2013, I don't recall the date, we had another row. Keith had been drinking and the children were in milford. He became extremely abusive and aggressive towards me and I had had a couple of drinks also. Keith became so aggressive towards me that night that I was so frightened of him I actually locked myself in my car outside the house. I left that night because I was so scared of him."

And then she says:
"While in the car I rang my mother."

So this is another instance of where she rang her
A. No, that didn't happen like that. There was a row and she left, rang her mother and left. There was no --
the rest of it. I don't know, I can't remember the date of it because it wasn't anything major. But there 14:20 it is.
431 Q. Right. Well, am I correct in my understanding that there was a row in August, towards the end of August, where, as I understand it, you effectively woke her up
shouting about Paula and threatening that you were going to destroy her wedding?
A. No. I did go upstairs, I did pull back the duvet. I attempted to speak to her first, and I did raise my voice afterwards.
Q. Did you threaten to destroy Paula's wedding?
A. No, I never mentioned Paula -- the only time I would have mentioned Paula's wedding was how it was coming between us.
Do you mind going to page 1823 on the screen, please. We can do this quickly because Mr. Marrinan has gone through the texts in exhaustive detail. Just when it comes up, this is a text of phone traffic between yourself and Marisa from the 21st August 2013. Do you see that there?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is a text from Marisa to you timed at 8:54:36 on the 21st August 2013?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Marrinan had asked you about the detai 1
concerning the westport hotel and you making contact and all that, do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. And this text appears to record -- says the following, from Marisa to you:
"Let's see, I woke up to you roaring and shouting about Paula, how you're going to destroy her wedding, destroy me, destroy everything or anyone who has annoyed you,
that I should go and kill someone like my brother."

And then it goes on and has further unpleasant words. All right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

437 Q. I'm suggesting to you this appears to record, pretty well contemporaneously with it occurring, you having threatened her that you were going to destroy Paula's wedding?
A. I have never threatened anybody.
Q. I beg your pardon?
A. I said I have never threatened anybody.

439 Q. I asked you a specific -- specific allegation that you had threatened to destroy Paula's wedding?
A. No, I didn't. Any of the --

440 Q. We11, you understand that wasn't an allegation that you threatened -- you threatened somebody, but you threatened to destroy a wedding?
A. No, I didn't.

441 Q. why would she record it here but that it happened?
A. I didn't write that text, so I can't say.
Q. You chose not to -- for a man who is well capable of fighting his corner, you chose not to deny it, did you?
A. There was no point -- there's no benefit to engage in text messages like that. Things like that are sorted in person.

443 Q. Yes. So that was something she -- that was a figment of her imagination, was it?
A. What I'm telling you is, I never threatened to destroy
anybody's wedding and I never threatened to hurt anybody.
444 Q. You see, I thought it to be part of your case, your evolving case, that, in fact --
A. Sorry --

445 Q. -- you were unhappy about the wedding?
A. My what?

446 Q. You were unhappy about the wedding?
A. No, before that.

447 Q. Evolving case.
A. Evolving case.

448 Q. Were you unhappy about the wedding?
A. Of course I was.

449 Q. That you weren't invited?
A. Unhappy with the trouble it was bringing in to us, unhappy with the treatment of Paula of Marisa, unhappy with how I had been treated. That's it.

450 Q. You were jealous you weren't being invited and you were making trouble, isn't that right?
A. I wasn't jealous. I was hurt that I was being excluded.
451 Q. Yes. So your reaction was to make threats about it?
A. No.

452 Q. If we move up then into the build-up into September '13. I was listening carefully to your evidence and I understood you, and you might confirm this for me or clarify it for the Tribuna1, I'd understood you to confirm to the Chairman that on the day of Paula's wedding, which was 4th October, there was something of a reconciliation between you and Marisa?
A. On the day of the wedding?
Q. Yes.
A. We would have spoken on the phone. I think Marisa rang me, yes, and I rang Marisa.
Q. Yes.
A. I wouldn't say --

CHAIRMAN: We11, there was a pleasant message, as I remember the evidence, exchanged, which was Marisa said to you over the phone: I'm lonely here without my partner. Words to that effect.
A. Yeah.

455 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: Right. Indeed, just looking at the transcript, the Chairman asked you:
"So you seem to be telling me by the time of the wedding there was a reconciliation and before she actually went into the Garda station."

That is page 128 of the transcript.
A. No, I think my reply to that was that she rang me --

456 Q. I will te11 you what your reply was. Will I read it out for you?
A. Please.

457 Q. "We spoke about the death threats, Judge."
A. Yes.

458 Q. "And she said that she was lonely and she was there without her partner, and she put it to me as to, you know, why.

Chairman: A11 right. That is fine."

And then Mr. Marrinan moved to another area. So you've confirmed there was something of a reconciliation?
A. No, there was, given the situation as best as it could be, a civil chat. There was concern over the death threats, Chairman. I'm not suggesting by any means that there was a defrosting of any way, but there was conversation between us.

CHAIRMAN: Sorry. Garda Harrison, I mean, I actually know a lot more about Donegal than you may actually realise and I know people in Donegal aren't actually any different to any of the rest of us.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Maybe they have got a better sense of humour. But if A, a gir1, rings B, a boy, and says 'I'm at this wedding and I'm lonely and I'm missing you', that is a warm communication, no matter how you look at it.
A. It was nice to hear, yeah.

459 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: You see, what I'm wondering then, if the disposition of Marisa on 4th October was warm towards you, rang you to convey feelings of warmth --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

460 Q. -- and you were pleased to hear this --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

461 Q. -- it's difficult to explain how, two days later, she's unburdening herself in a Garda station making very serious allegations to the guards about you, do you
understand?
A. I think Marisa has covered it, in that there were numerous contacts, unsolicited by her, unwanted by her, where she didn't seek any Garda intervention, that this was brought to her by her sister and by her mother. And after that it was followed up by Sergeant Jim Collins, who text her -- or, no, Sergeant Collins, who rang her and left a voicemail, a text message from Inspector Sheridan and three -- I think three to four phone calls after that, as well as the offer or threat, or whatever way you want to categorise it, of sending two lads from Gweedore out to the house the night before the wedding. She agreed to come in to have a chat, as was offered by Inspector Sheridan. She went in because as she said in her evidence, I think, is that she was afraid of where they might turn up after that, given the fact that it had been relayed to her that the chief wanted an exact date and time.

462 Q. So what you are saying is that although there was an almighty row on the 28th September, and it appears to have thawed to a situation of warmth between you and her on 4th October, two days later she's unburdening herself of serious allegations about you to complete strangers, and you're saying that is somehow consistent with that being extracted out of her involuntarily?
A. Yes.

463 Q. When did you learn from her that she had gone -- when did you learn at all that she had gone to the Garda station? From whom and when?
A. The 7th of -- the next day. The next day, yeah, we had a conversation on the phone, she rang me.
Q. To do what?
A. She rang me, there was a general conversation. She said that -- she said -- she told me she had been in the Garda station, asked me why they would be taking notes down and asked me what the -- what a safety order meant, that she had been advised to look into it.
Q. She asked you what a safety order meant?
A. Yes.
Q. That she had been advised to look into it?
A. Yes.
Q. And she relayed in the clearest of terms and you understood that she had made a statement to the guards about you?
A. No. I pointed out to her that, at that stage, that there was no way they took notes, that it was a statement.
Q. Yes. So you explained to her that, hold on a moment, you're after going to the guards and making a statement 14:30 against me?
A. Correct.
Q. Yes. And you knew, didn't you, that of course it included, amongst the allegations, the allegation of a threat to burn, you knew that, didn't you?
A. What $I$ knew is that we had had a row. what I knew from the text messages sent from Marisa to me is that she claimed that I threatened to burn her.
Q. Did you check your phone for those records, did you?
A. How do you mean?

471 Q. You've referred to the fact you knowing it from the texts. Did you access those texts on your phone to check what was said?
A. When?

472 Q. On or prior to your conversation with Marisa on the 7th?
A. Those messages came to my phone before she made a statement.

473 Q. I am aware of that. But did you check them again to see precisely what allegation is recorded on them?
A. No, I didn't address the issue at all.

474 Q. So is it your sworn evidence to the Tribunal you didn't actually look up the texts of -- the traffic of texts between you and Marisa?
A. The first $I$ saw the traffic of texts was when it was supplied to us by the Tribunal on the papers.
475 Q. No, no, they're on your phone, they're on your phone. There's no printout needed. They're on your phone.
A. I would have read the message when they came in.

476 Q. So you're aware what they're saying?
A. I can read.

477 Q. Yes. And did you read them again more than once after they came in? They came on the 29th, isn't that right?
A. I don't know the exact dates, but yeah, if you say so.

478 Q. And the 30th?
A. Yes.

479 Q. Do we need to look at them?
A. No.

480 Q. You'd a good look at them, didn't you? Did you go back to them?
A. How do you mean?

481 Q. Did you go back to the texts?
A. I can't -- I don't -- I can't say whether I did or didn't.

482 Q. Of course you can, you can tell the Judge. Did you go back to the texts?
A. A11 I can say is I read a text message. I can't -- I don't know -- I can't say how many times, I can't say --

483 Q. I want to suggest to you, Garda, that when you have your conversation with Sergeant wallace, armed with the information you're armed with, you are now panicking. You know there's been an allegation made to the guards, you know precisely what threats you made because they're recorded on your phone, and you're now going about organising the counterattack?
A. Absolutely not.

484 Q. And you bring up with Sergeant wallace your -- you bring up with Sergeant Wallace the impression that you want to weave now as to what, in fact, was said by way of burn or burnt, isn't that right?
A. No.

485 Q. I wonder could we have page 1067, and this is a statement of Sergeant Wallace dated 4th July 2017 for the Tribunal, but it concerns his contact with you, I think you'd agree with me, Garda Harrison, on the 7th October 2013, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

486 Q. Do you see halfway down page 1067:
"Garda Harrison expressed the view that the difficulties in their relationship stemmed from a misunderstanding of his use of the words 'badly burnt' during a verbal disagreement with his partner."
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

487 Q. So you brought that up?
A. Yes.

488 Q. So you were aware, certain7y at least by this date, that what was contained, amongst other things, in Marisa's statement to the guards, was an allegation that you had issued a threat about burning, all right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. No, no. I'm aware that she went in. I'm not aware at that stage what was said or what was contained in any statement. But, as I said, I would have been more than happy, if the opportunity had been given to me, to address any of the allegations within the statement.

490 Q. But I invite you to answer the question.
A. And I wasn't --

491 Q. You were fully alive, were you not, to the realisation that Marisa had reported the threat to burn and related -- and that that report that Marisa had made to the guards related to the use of the words "burn or "burnt", that is something you were aware of, because you told that to Sergeant wallace, isn't that clear?
A. I spoke to Sergeant Wallace, it's clear there, so it is, and I am not taking from that.
Q. I beg your pardon?
A. I have no problem with saying I spoke to Sergeant wallace about it.
Q. Yes. And you were putting forward your own, I won't cal1 it a spin because that's pejorative, but your own version as to, in fact, what you had said and as to how she apparently had misunderstood what you said. You were putting forward your counter-position, would that be fair?
A. No, no, this came as a very casual chat over a space of an hour-and-a-half, perhaps two hours, and, you know, if you want to call it spin or version or whatever label you want to put on it, I never got an opportunity 14:35 to do that.
Q. No, but you are putting forward here a position, are you not, that there is a misunderstanding here over the use of the words "badly burnt" during a verbal disagreement. I am reading precisely from what the man 14:36 said.
A. I never expected Sergeant wallace to do anything for me. I had a chat with him. He came out to look at the house after the death threat and we had a chat. I think I showed him several messages that were sent to my phone, he will be able to confirm that. And we did speak about what you are asking, yes.

495 Q. Oh, sorry, so you Sergeant wallace --
A. I showed him my phone in relation -- as I said
yesterday, I think a couple of the quotes there that I have of what Marisa said, I think they may have actually been text messages, Chairman. again after the date of their receipt?
A. No, no, no. I never showed him -- or looked at the text in relation to any threats or allegations of threats. If we want to go back up the page --
497 Q. So did you or did you not show to Sergeant wallace the texts you had received from Marisa on your phone?
A. I showed him texts I had received that day.

498 Q. Did you show him texts relating to -- that recorded the burn allegation?
A. No.

499 Q. That related to it?
A. No.
Q. Had you read them?
A. I said I accept I read them.

501 Q. On a date subsequent to you getting them, had you read them?
A. I would have read them when they came in. I didn't address them. I've said why.
502 Q. Did you read them on a date subsequent to them coming in?
A. I read them when they came in. If you are asking me 14:37 how many times did I read a text message, I can't remember that. I can't honestly say how many times, if it was once, if it was twice, if it was three times.
503 Q. You see, if you look down the document further,

Sergeant Wallace records his recollection that you were very agitated and kept walking around the kitchen. Do you see that?
A. Chairman --

504 Q. Do you see that? Do you see that?
A. Yes.

505 Q. I am suggesting to you that is consistent with you being in something of a panic at this point, things are closing in on top of you?
A. Chairman --

506 Q. Isn't that right?
MR. HARTY: I think he should be allowed to answer the question.
A. Can I answer?

507 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: were you in a panic?
A. Can I answer?

508 Q. Were you in a panic?
A. Can I answer?

509 Q. Garda Harrison, were you in a panic over what was now happening to you?
A. I had received two death threats in the space of 48 hours, that a bullet was going to be put in my head. of course I was anxious and upset.
510 Q. Right. We can come back to them. But I'm suggesting to you that this notation here of the conversation you had with the sergeant, where you brought up your version of what the texts mean?
A. If that's your interpretation, well and good, but that's not what happened and that's not what it is.
Q.

I'm suggesting to you that you are now armed with important pieces of information which is causing you distress, separate and apart from any distress caused by the threats, which we can come back to and which are separate, and I'm going to outline them to you and you might assist the Tribunal with a response.
A. As I had -- sorry --

513 Q. I will just outline them for you first. You are now equipped with the information from Marisa herself, on your case, that she's gone to the guards and made a report against you, isn't that right? You know that?
A. I know she had been to the Garda station, yeah.

514 Q. Right. You're also aware, are you not, that included threatened to burn?
A. I don't know what was in the -- hold on.
Q. Yes or no will suffice?
A. No, I don't, I don't know what is in that statement.
Q. I beg your pardon?
A. I did not see the contents of that statement until December 2014.

517 Q. Not my question. My question is: Were you aware on the 7th October, at this point of your conversation, after speaking with Marisa and at the time of speaking with Sergeant Wallace, were you aware that the allegation included the allegation that you had uttered a threat to burn? Were you aware of that, yes or no?
A. No.

518 Q. You weren't?
A. I did not know what was in that statement until December 2014.

519 Q. But, you see, isn't that the relevance of this document, Garda Harrison, which you appear not being willing to adopt?
A. That document outlines a conversation had between me and Sergeant wallace in a general context, where he was going through security and other things. We sat at the 14:40 table in the kitchen, we spoke at length about a range of different matters, something -- some that were just casual chat.

CHAIRMAN: If I could just intervene, Mr. O'Higgins, please excuse me. It's just to try and clarify matters, and it's this: what Mr. O'Higgins is effectively putting to you is this: If you go through the chronology of things, there's the row on the 28 th.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Let's not go into the details of it. There are the text messages which follow --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- on the 29th, which say, you know, you threatened to burn and bury me, you know, what the heck 14:41 are you at.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Etcetera, etcetera. I'm paraphrasing here. And then there is the statement in the Garda station on the 4th October. So what Mr. O'Higgins is saying, as an intelligent person you would naturally have come to the conclusion, we11, what's she's complaining about in the texts she must also have told the Gardaí. Just hang on a minute.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: And that, therefore, he's saying to you you were in a panic over that and you were anxious to put your side of the story, which may not be a true side of the story, that the use of a euphemism, you're going to get burnt in the sense of hurt because of your conflict between our putative family, this relationship and your family, has been turned into something else and you want to put aside -- put across your side of the story. That's what he is asking you. That's it in a nutshell. Now, do you understand that?
A. Yes Chairman.

CHAIRMAN: That is fine. We11, then you might answer his question.
A. Chairman, given the information as it was, and the text
 Marisa Simms for a moment, Garda Harrison, we have the following, and I will endeavour to deal with this neutrally: There's a row between ye on the 28th September. That row, and its aftermath, is captured in the texts, all right, and they speak for themselves. She then goes to stay with her sister, is that right?
A. Yes, she went to stay in her sister's on the 28th.

521 Q. Yes. Right. The wedding happens on the 4th September, is that right?
A. Yes.

522 Q. 4th October, excuse me.
A. October, sorry, yeah.

523 Q. You say it's also agreed and common case, as I understand it, that on the 6th October she goes in and gives her statement to the guards, all right?
A. Yes.

524 Q. Agreed about the dating?
A. Yes.

525 Q. The chronology. On the 7th you have your conversation
with Marisa, you say she telephoned you, is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. On the 9th she's in hospital, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

527 Q. And you've now gone to her. You're in contact with her, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And 10 and behold, on the 9 th there's a conversation when George o'Doherty of GSOC makes contact with her. she's now indicating, after a point in time when you've 14:44 had contact with her, that she does not wish to pursue a GSOC complaint, all right? Isn't that right?
A. She didn't want to pursue a GSoC complaint in the first place because GSOC were never mentioned to her, nor were the HSE, nor was it ever mentioned to her to make a statement until after a three-hour conversation.
529 Q. Subsequently, just again viewing it from Marisa's shoes, dealing with the essential headlines, and taking it from her perspective now, in January she goes in to the Garda station and indicates she does not wish the statement she had given on the 6th october to be acted upon, and, if you like, withdraws that statement, if I can just use that word, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

530 Q. But she's given evidence in relation to that visit, some of which is disputed, but she gives evidence in which she confirms that certainly as of that date, 11th January, she has read fully her statement, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

531 Q. And obviously it's disputed that she wasn't aware of it perhaps, the full extent of it, but she certain7y confirms on the 11th January she has read her full statement, isn't that right?

MR. HARTY: Sorry, her evidence was not to that effect, sir, in relation to it.
A. No.

MR. HARTY: Her evidence was that she only read a portion of the statement. She said she read it for ten 14:46 minutes.

CHAIRMAN: Again, you know, there's an awful lot of dispute about very, very tiny details, and of course they do matter, but her evidence was that she had started to, I suppose, skim through it and read a bit of it and that Inspector Goretti Sheridan said, look, you've got to read the whole thing. Now, nobody then said, we11, I absolutely refuse to read the whole thing, so I assumed she had read the whole thing, and I don't think that is an unreasonable conclusion from that piece of evidence.

532 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: So, flowing from that, Garda Harrison, if we move forward then to February 2014 when Donna McTeague is interviewing and Naoimi wallace, social care leader, is taking notes. Where is the notation on 14:46 page 137 -- I will put it to you so you have an opportunity to deal with it, and it has been canvassed already but $I$ think it is important. It's at page 137, please. Do you see that there? And this is Donna

McTeague's interview of you and Marisa. The second box down, headed:
"Parent view: The following notes were recorded by Naoimi Wallace, social care leader, at the time of interview with Marisa Simms and Keith Harrison. Donna explained her role to the couple and explained how she received the referral."

And it says:
"Donna clarified with the couple the basis of the referral and asked if what mum had reported to the Gardaí was the truth. Mum verified that the incident did happen and that only one of the children had witnessed it, as she had put them in the car. However, [blank] had got back out and had gone into the house."

Now, just pausing there. In circumstances where it appears to be common case that Marisa had read her statement at least in January, if not before, prior to this interview, and in circumstances where somebody who has no axe to grind, the social worker, is saying that mum reported -- confirmed to the guards that what mum had reported to the Gardaí was the truth, isn't it she had read in the statement in January was indeed the truth? Isn't that plain as a pikestaff?
A. No.
Q. It's not?
A. No. The staff at Tusla, we've clearly seen from their statements there's a dispute between Sergeant Brigid McGowan and staff at Tusla exactly what they were told, and that's there, so it is. When we arrived there, it was the referral that the children were present during an argument.
534 Q. Are you seeking to escape a gap on that, is that your point?
A. No, I'm not. When we went to that referral, as far as Tusla were concerned it was a row and verbal argument where a child was present. We were the same. I didn't know the content of the statement, so I didn't. I didn't know the allegations put into it. And I do accept that we accepted that it was as a result of a verbal argument that the eldest child --

CHAIRMAN: I think it is better, rather than going down into a series of what might be called submissions, if the question is simply answered. Did you, in fact, te11 Tusla that -- what is actually recorded here or did you not?
A. In the statement, no.

CHAIRMAN: No, no, do you see what is in front of you there?
A. Mm-hmm.

CHAIRMAN: It's at page 137 and Mr. O'Higgins just read it out. Mr. O'Higgins, if you wouldn't mind just reading out the passage again, and the only question is, did you say that, Garda Harrison.
Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: Or were you present for it being said, because it's attributed to the mother.

CHAIRMAN: Well, we will apply R v. Christie 1916 Appeal Cases, and let's just get on with it, yes, thanks.
"Donna clarified with the couple the basis of the referra1."
A. Yes.

537 Q. "And asked if what mum had reported to the Gardaí was the truth. Mum verified that the incident did happen."

And then it goes on. So that's how the question was put: "...if what mum had reported to the Gardaí was the truth." And mum confirmed, yes.
MR. HARTY: No, sorry, mum did not confirm yes. "Mum verified that the incident did happen."
A. Yes.

MR. HARTY: And words should not be put into
A. Ms. McTeague couldn't have possibly known what happened because she hadn't access to the statement.
CHAIRMAN: No, but, I mean, it's a kind of a build-up, and I suppose I'm listening to what has gone before and 14:50 what the context is, and the context is the following: We know the 28th September, whatever happened --
A. It was acknowledged there was an argument in the house. CHAIRMAN: Just hang on a minute. Yes, and everybody
has arguments. We know the 28th September, whatever happened, we know the texts that come in on the 29th and the 30th.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: We know the wedding on the 4th, we know the Garda statement on the 6th.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: And now this is moving on a bit later.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: And what Mr. O'Higgins has been building up to is, you knowing that, it is highly likely that what is in the texts from Marisa Simms about you burying and burning her was repeated to the Gardaí on the 6th, and that, in that context, when the social workers ask you about that at this meeting which you're having with them on the 7th February 2014, that it is highly likely that you are taking things, if you like, at their worst and confirming that. So did you confirm something and, if so, what did you think you were confirming?
A. No, I didn't confirm that. what I was confirming is that we had a row, that I had alcohol taken, that I said some nasty stuff, that Marisa left. And we confirmed that the child was not present but walked in, as I said, as Marisa was leaving.
538 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: was this the same occasion on which Donna McTeague, as summarised by Mr. McDermott, will say that she relayed there had been a row, that drink had been taken, that you were abusive, that you made threatening comments, that you had grabbed Ms. Simms'
wrist and that one of the children was present for this, is this the same occasion?
A. That's the occasion of the 28th September, I acknowledged I had drink taken, I acknowledged I was abusive and I acknowledged that I was out of order.
539 Q. My question to you is: Is the occasion that's captured in the note that I've read out to you, is that the same occasion as the occasion where Donna McTeague says she outlined there had been a row, drink had been taken, you were abusive, you had threatened, you made threatening comments and you grabbed Marisa's wrist, is that the same occasion?
A. I cannot account for the notes taken. I can only account for what I said and what I acknowledged, and that's what I acknowledged.
MR. HARTY: That account also isn't in Ms. McTeague's statement where all these things were 1aid out in sequence.
MR. O'HIGGINS: We will deal with that when
Ms. McTeague is giving evidence.
CHAIRMAN: We11, did you acknowledge to the social workers on that occasion, or on any occasion, that you had been physically violent?
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: And that you'd grabbed Marisa Simms by the wrist?
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: She says in her statement that, driving home, her wrist was sore.
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: You didn't. So if they took you down as saying that you accepted that you grabbed her by the wrist, they are actually just taking down something incorrectly?
A. Judge, there is confusion over what Tusla knew. CHAIRMAN: No, no, I appreciate that.
A. And --

CHAIRMAN: But, you see, look, I'm sitting here and I'm listening to what you are saying and I'm taking it down.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: So in the same way they may be doing it better, they may be doing it worse, but they are claiming that you said -- that you acknowledged that you'd grabbed, in the course of the row, Marisa Simms by the wrist. Are you saying you didn't say that?
A. I didn't because --

CHAIRMAN: It's probably better to keep it simple and say no you didn't say that.
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: That is fair enough.
540 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: Moving matters on, Garda Harrison. You were present, were you not, for the evidence of Chief Superintendent Sheridan where he expressed surprise and, I will use a neutral word, disappointment, at reading the allegations against him that were contained in the materials, that you had made, do you understand?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Am I correct in my understanding that the first time you record your complaint about any degree of bullying in Donegal is in your written complaint that I've referred to in May 2014 ?
A. I think I may have sent a report previous to that, to the chief, in relation to being kept in the office and seeking to get out. But I think you're right, that the first, where $I$ put it down, was in around that date, yeah.

545 Q. And at this point in time, in May 2014 -CHAIRMAN: 9th May 2014.
A. Yeah, I'd accept that.

546 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: And just prior to that, am I right in thinking that Minister Alan Shatter had resigned on the 7th, is that right? Is that something you're aware of?
A. I have a vague recollection.

547 Q. And that was in relation to the provision to An Taoiseach, the then-Taoiseach, of the Guerin Report from Séan Guerin, Senior Counsel, in the wake of which Minister Shatter resigned. You're aware of that, broadly speaking, are you?
A. Yeah, yeah, I am.

548 Q. And it's at this point in time that you move into confidential-recipient mode, isn't that right, and you decide in May, as well, of 2014, that you now have a story to tell the confidential recipient, is that right?
A. No. I had been contacted towards the end of August 2013 by Garda Nicky Keogh in Athlone in relation to allegations I had made in November 2008, where he contacted me, seeking to speak with me, telling me that the allegations $I$ had made, that he could prove that there was substance to it, so it is --
549 Q. Excuse me, I didn't mean to cut you off. Was Sergeant Maurice McCabe all over the news in May 2014 ?
A. Probably was, yeah.

550 Q. And you were keen, as it were, to hitch your wagon to
A. No.

551 Q. But wasn't that the purpose of the lobbying that you carried out and that you arranged for your solicitor to
carry out, by going on the television and the radio and giving articles seeking to be included in a commission and in a tribunal, isn't that right?
A. Are you suggesting I did this just to get on the television?
Q. Did you not seek -- have we not already seen the letter to Minister Zappone?
A. Yes.

553 Q. You have been brought through it in quite a bit of detail, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

554 Q. And I had understood you to agree that the general background to that was your desire to have your complaints dealt with in some sort of commission or tribunal and you were aggrieved that the minister wasn't acting on your request, isn't that right?
A. Obviously you don't have an idea of exactly what was going on from May 2014 to the point where I wrote to -or sought a letter wrote to Minister zappone. There is a lot of correspondence sent both to the previous commissioner and the minister for justice outlining the poor treatment I had to endure, and that and my family, whereby, for a period of 35 months, there was one income coming into our house, and we were left, despite attempts made to reconcile it, we were completely ignored by the then-minister and the then-commissioner.
555 Q. When did the light bulb go off in your mind that you were, if you like, a Maurice McCabe who was somewhat confused that Tusla had arrived at your door? when did
that go off in your mind?
A. First of all, I don't see myself as a Maurice McCabe. I am Keith Harrison, and I am my own person and I will make up my mind and I will do things the way I see is best.

556 Q. Marisa Simms has changed her position --
A. I don't think she has.

557 Q. -- concerning what she told the guards in October 2014, isn't that right?
A. She has issues with her statement, yes.

558 Q. '13. Did you apply pressure on her?
A. Absolutely not.

559 Q. Did you coordinate your story with her?
A. Absolutely not. I couldn't have. I didn't know what was in the statement until December '14, so how could I 15:00 coordinate anything? As far as I was concerned, it related to an argument on the 28th September that I was satisfied that, legally, lawfully, $I$ had not done anything wrong.
560 Q. I suggest to you you put her in an impossible situation 15:00 and have organised it that she now is bound into this ridiculous story concerning her misunderstanding of the threat to burn?
A. Absolutely not.

MR. HARTY: Sorry, that should have been put to
Ms. Simms, if that was the case the Gardaí intended to make, but I notice that Mr. O'Higgins was very careful not to put that version of events to the person perceived as the victim of the night of the 28 th, but
very merrily puts it to Mr. Harrison, a man who is just characterised, in fact, caricatured as being somebody who saw something on television and decided he wanted a bit of that too. And he's now moved on to making scurrilous allegations in relation to an actual conspiracy. Where he had the opportunity to ask the previous conspirator in cross-examination, he didn't put it to them.
CHAIRMAN: Well, my view is this: The rule in Browne v. Dunn I think is very clear. Maybe it's worth studying. However, the substance of the thing is that of fairness. I think it would have been better if that particular point had been put to Marisa Simms. But it is definitely being put now to Keith Harrison and he has an opportunity to answer it. If necessary, we can ask Marisa Simms to come back, just in relation to that point, but I don't actually see that a huge amount of harm has been done because the plain reality of all of the examination on behalf of the Garda parties has been to that effect, and that is what I have been inferring the entire way through.
561 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: You organised to put Marisa under pressure?
A. No.

562 Q. You have chosen to interpret the reasonable application 15:02 of discipline in An Garda Síochána with an invented allegation of bullying, and I suggest to you it is completely without foundation?
A. No.

MR. O'HIGGINS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN: Maybe I could just make inquiries in relation to time now as we have just an hour left. I'm not trying to keep anyone to anything and I would just like an indication, if you wouldn't mind, as to who is going to take what length of time, just so as we can organise the rest of the day and Monday.
MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: Sir, on behalf of Chief Superintendent McGinn, my plan is to canvass with this witness matters that he specifically alleges against her.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: Now, there are significant matters that he alleges against her, so $I$ can see that taking between half an hour and an hour, but I would certainly endeavour to keep it as short as possible.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Ó Braonáin.
MR. DOCKERY: On my part, sir, I just have some questions to put on behalf of Sergeant McGowan, which are predictable, and one or two questions beyond that, but I don't expect to be any more than twenty minutes to half an hour.

CHAIRMAN: That is fine. And, Mr. Hartnett, do you have any questions?
MR. HARTNETT: If anything, it will be very brief. CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. HARTNETT: A couple of minutes at most, if anything.

CHAIRMAN: And then Mr. Harty?
MR. HARTY: As matters stand, sir, I don't see myself
feeling a need to sweep up, but obviously that depends on --

CHAIRMAN: Well, it doesn't sound like it is very long. And then, Mr. Marrinan, you don't have a great deal in re-examination?

MR. MARRINAN: I don't anticipate very much, if anything.
CHAIRMAN: We ought to finish. Well, if we sit at, say, 1:30 on Monday and try and finish by 5:00, if we can organise the stenography in that respect. I am sure you will be well finished by that stage. MS. LEADER: Sir, in relation to this afternoon, there is a witness, Mary O'Donnell, who has travelled from Donega1. She is a very short witness.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well, can we interpose her now? Does anybody mind if we interpose Mary O'Donnell?

MR. HARTY: Not at all.
CHAIRMAN: You might take a break. So we'11 do that. It's a very common thing to do, anyway, in the High Court, so let's just do that.

GARDA HARRISON THEN STOOD DOWN

MR. HARTY: Sir, just one thing. Garda Harrison is out of the witness box, there might be matters which might arise and I might need to discuss them, I don't propose and I will not propose to discuss any of his evidence before the thing but in relation to other witnesses that would be called.

CHAIRMAN: I don't see there's a problem with you doing that, Mr. Harty. So, I mean, if you want to go out of the room and get a glass of water or whatever refreshment, yes, please do that.

MARY O'DONNELL, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER:

MS. LEADER: Ms. O'Donnell's interview with the Tribunal investigators is in volume 6, page 1989 of the 15:05 materials.

563 Q. Now, Ms. O'Donne11, I understand you're a receptionist at An Chúirt Hotel in Gweedore, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

564 Q. And you were working there on the 4th, 5th and 6th October 2013?
A. I was.

565 Q. And I think during the course of October 2013 you received a telephone call from Keith Harrison, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

566 Q. And if you would tell the Tribunal when you think you got that telephone call and what Mr. Harrison said to you?
A. It was on the night of Ms. Simms' sister's wedding.

567 Q. Okay.
A. And Mr. Harrison phoned saying that he was concerned for his girlfriend and he wanted me to confirm if she was in the hote1. And I said to him that I couldn't
because it was confidential and, to be honest, I thought that if he was her boyfriend he would know whether she was or she wasn't there. He said that he was very concerned for her and the children, and we had a fairly lengthy discussion about it, and he eventually 15:06 said to me that he was concerned for her welfare and that of the children and that he was a member of An Garda Síochána and he appreciated the fact of confidentiality but it was purely out of concern for her safety that he was phoning and that he had been threatened and she had been threatened as well.

568 Q. Okay. And do you remember anything else about the telephone call at this stage? It's been a while.
A. It's been a while, yeah.

569 Q. Can you remember what time you got that telephone call?
A. As far as I remember, it was late on in the evening. I think the dancing was either in progress or it was about to progress.
Q. Okay. And I think after getting that telephone call, you spoke to Rita McDermott, is that right, the mother? 15:07
A. The mother, yes, yes.

571 Q. Okay. What was the outcome of speaking to Rita McDermott?
A. She said to me that she felt that her daughter was under threat from Garda Harrison and that -- I had said 15:07 to her that I -- I didn't feel the conversation warranted phoning the Garda Síochána, but she insisted that I would because I wasn't aware of the facts of what had preceded, but she advised me that for the
safety of Ms. Simms that I would need to phone the guards.
572 Q. Okay. So did you initiate the contact with Rita McDermott?
A. Yes. Well, I actually went out to see if I could find a member of the family, and I met with Rita and her daughter.
573 Q. Okay. And her daughter being Paula, is that right?
A. I think that's who it was, yeah.

574 Q. Was it the bride, do you think?
A. Being honest with you, I can't remember.

575 Q. No, that is okay. And did you call the guards?
A. Yes.

576 Q. Okay. And when did you call the guards?
A. That evening.

577 Q. That evening?
A. Just not -- about -- we spoke for about maybe 20 minutes in the back office and then afterwards I called the guards.
578 Q. So you spoke for 20 minutes in the back office with the 15:08 McDermotts?
A. Yes.

579 Q. Okay. Who did you speak to when you phoned the guards?
A. Well, I have a recollection of speaking to a female guard, but, being honest with you, honestly I'm not sure.
580 Q. Okay. Did you get the contact details of whoever you spoke to from the McDermotts or was it a 999 call or a random call?
A. Being honest, I'm not a hundred percent sure.

581 Q. You don't know. And what did you tell the guards?
A. Just that I had received the telephone call and that I had been requested by the family to contact them because they were concerned for Ms. Simms' safety.
A. Yes. Detective Moore phoned me the following morning while I was at work and he informed me that he was coming to the hotel to speak to me, that he had been instructed by one of his superiors to come and take evidence from me. And he arrived I think it was roughly around one o'clock that day and he said to me that he wanted to take a statement from me. I said to him that $I$ didn't want to give a statement, because at that stage I just didn't feel right about the whole situation. He asked me to outline to him what had happened and he took notes, I would say he took a fair amount of notes and he asked me then to sign it, and I said to him that I've already explained to you that I don't want to sign this. He said to me 'this is your interpretation of what happened', and I said to him, 'no, this is your interpretation of what I am telling you what happened and I don't want to sign it'.

583 Q. So did you have any difficulty with Garda Moore?
A. No, he was very nice, he was very polite. But having subsequently seen his statement, he did say that Garda Harrison indicated at the beginning of the conversation who he was. He didn't. It was -- as I say, it was when we were talking about the issue of
confidentiality, it was then that he informed me he was a guard.
584 Q. Okay. Now, I think our investigators showed you an email where Garda Moore set down details of his conversation with you?
A. Yes.

585 Q. And that will come up on the screen in front of you now at page 2000 of the materials. So it would appear that he met with you at about 1:30 and spoke with you, does that sound about right --
A. That would be -- yeah.

586 Q. -- to you?
A. Yes.

587 Q. And what you said to him is in bold print just halfway down that page. You said:
"There was a call to Aidan Raftery at 3:24am at reception. A male caller who said he had been talking to her on mobile asked for Marisa Simms' room. Told he couldn't be given any information."

Now, Mr. Raftery worked with you, is that correct?
A. He did, he was the night porter.

588 Q. Okay. And you told Garda Moore that there had been a phone called which Mr. Raftery had received, is that correct?
A. Yeah, Aidan had told me the following morning that he had also received a phone call.

589 Q. Okay. And he had told you that he had been talking to
her on the mobile and asked for Marisa Simms' room. was that relayed and said, the caller had asked about that, is that right? You see "Mary O'Donne11, c/o An Chúirt, 5/10/2013"?
A. I don't have any recollection about him talking to her on the mobile phone.

590 Q. Okay. "Told he couldn't be given any information", do you think that sounds right?
A. Oh, that's right, yeah.

591 Q. Okay. And then no further details on that call. And then in relation to the call you took it says "after 10:00am", you have corrected that and you think it was after 10:00pm?
A. Yes.

592 Q. So it is "Call to reception answered by Mary O'Donnell. 15:12 Caller identified himself as Keith Harrison, as a member of An Garda Síochána."

You think that part is incorrect, is that right?
A. Yes, yeah.

593 Q. It was simply "Keith Harrison"?
A. Yeah -- no, he didn't tell me at the beginning.

594 Q. Yes.
A. He told me his name first when he started off the conversation, but once we got into the issue of confidentiality, he then told me he was a member of the Garda Síochána.

595 Q. Okay. So then it continues:
"Said he was concerned for the safety of Marisa Simms, told checked out, wanted to know what time she checked out and how she was when she was checked out. He also asked were the children okay. He said his life had been threatened by a member of the family involved in the wedding here. He told me a member of that family were involved in a subversive organisation and $I$ then told him I thought he was telling me too much. He said he was concerned for her and the safety of her children and again said he was a member of An Garda Síochána. He was not pushy or threatening in the way he spoke but very matter of fact. I told him I couldn't give out any details about the guests. I told him I didn't think he was following the right channels and the fact that she was gone, I was no help to him. He seemed fine with that and just hung up. He was never aggressive or pushy or said anything out of the way. I do not wish to make any statement or get involved in any investigation. I have no complaint to make in relation to this call."

So I don't know if you want to correct that or clarify it in any way?
A. Just if you bring it back down slightly.

596 Q. Yes.
A. Wait 'til we see now. There, "I told him I didn't think he was following the right channels," there should be a comma in there, and that --

597 Q. okay.
A. -- because the fact that she was gone was actually what I said to Detective Moore. I couldn't tell Mr. Harrison that she was gone, because at that stage I didn't know she was gone, I didn't find that out until afterwards. So that was part of the conversation I had 15:14 with Detective Moore.
A. No.

MS. LEADER: If you'd answer any questions anybody else might have for you.
CHAIRMAN: Is there any questions?

MS. O'DONNELL WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. HARTY:
Q. MR. HARTY: Just really one question that $I$ have, Ms. O'Donnell. Thank you very much for coming down to give your evidence. How did you feel -- why is it that you felt uncomfortable about giving the statement?
A. Well, $I$ hate admitting it, but $I$ felt like $I$ was being played.
Q. And can you just explain that?
A. I felt like there was a different agenda, not by Garda Harrison, but I felt I was being used, and I couldn't quite put my finger on it, and I did say it to Detective Moore, that I was uncomfortable with the whole situation. Something just didn't sit right with me about it. And the fact that a phone conversation which I thought wasn't that serious resulted in something so extreme, to have a guard come to my workplace the following morning and tell me that he was sent by one of his superiors, $I$ just thought the whole thing just didn't -- it didn't sit right with me.
604 Q. I just wanted to confirm with you that you have no personal, apart from your meetings with Ms. McDermott's 15:16 family over the course of the wedding --
A. Never met them before in my life.

605 Q. And you have no dealings at all with Garda Harrison, Keith Harrison?
A. No, no.

MR. HARTY: Thank you very much.
A. Thank you.

MR. DIGNAM: Chairman, I just have a very brief few
questions.

MS. O'DONNELL WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM:

606 Q. MR. DIGNAM: Ms. O'Donne11, my name is Conor Dignam. I 15:16 appear on behalf of An Garda Síochána. You referred there to your reluctance to sign the statement because you felt you were being played. Did you feel you were being played by the McDermott family in the way that you were addressed the previous evening?
A. Well, the telephone conversation from me to the Garda Síochána would never have occurred if it hadn't been for the McDermott family encouraging me to do it. And the following morning, the fact that matters seemed to be so extreme to be receiving a visit the following day 15:17 from Detective Moore, made me feel like I was being played.
607 Q. Yes. But do you understand how An Garda Síochána receive a call from --
A. Oh, I understand.

608 Q. It could be an independent person reporting this?
A. I do, indeed.

609 Q. And they have to investigate that?
A. Of course, yeah.

610 Q. So when you refer to being played, are you suggesting that you were being played by any members of An Garda Síochána?
A. I'11 be quite honest with you, I just felt like $I$ was a pawn. I have no idea on whose behalf I was the pawn,
but I felt like I was a pawn.
MR. DIGNAM: Thank you, Ms. O'Donnell.
MS. LEADER: Nothing arises.
CHAIRMAN: Thanks very much for coming down.
MR. HARTNETT: I have to say, I have may have
questions. We were not aware that this witness would actually give evidence today. We were asked did we require her, we said no. We may have assumed.

CHAIRMAN: She is on the website.
MR. HARTNETT: She's on the list.
CHAIRMAN: Today. Yesterday indeed.
MR. HARTNETT: Yeah, we said we didn't require her. CHAIRMAN: No, no, Mr. Hartnett, there is no quarrel. CHAIRMAN: Ms. O'Donne11, would you mind waiting for ten minutes?

MR. HARTNETT: Ten minutes.
CHAIRMAN: What do you want me to do in the meantime? MR. HARTNETT: I would ask you to rise so I can take instructions. My solicitor is taking certain instructions.

CHAIRMAN: Okay. We11, would you mind hanging around for ten minutes and we will come back in ten minutes and finish you off today so you can get home. Thank you.

AFTER A SHORT ADJOURNMENT THE TRIBUNAL RESUMED AS FOLLOWS

MR. HARTNETT: I have no questions.

CHAIRMAN: There you go.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

CHAIRMAN: So, Garda Harrison is coming back up. You're coming back, Garda Harrison. So, Mr. Dockery, did you want to ask some questions question? MR. DOCKERY: I beg your pardon, Chairman, yes.

GARDA HARRISON WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DOCKERY AS 15:28 FOLLOWS:

611 Q. MR. DOCKERY: Garda Harrison, as the person who represents Sergeant Durkin, am I to take it that why you're not pursuing those suggestions that he gave you special attention in Donegal Town when you were stationed there, that you're parking those suggestions for another forum and you won't withdraw them, is that the position?
A. I think that point has been made clear twice before.

612 Q. Yeah. Even though he has given sworn evidence to the Tribunal that in May 2014 around the time you made a protected disclosure he asked you and you told him in the presence of Sergeant Cornyn that you had no issue whatsoever with your treatment in Donegal Town and he noted that, signed it and his signature was witnessed by Sergeant Cornyn in your presence?
A. Sorry, say that again.

613 Q. You are not withdrawing those allegations against Sergeant Durkin despite the fact that in May 2014,
around the time you made a protected disclosure, he asked you in the presence of Sergeant Cornyn and you confirmed that you had no issue whatsoever about your treatment in Donegal Town?
A. And I signed it?

614 Q. You told Sergeant Durkin when he asked you the specific question in May 2014, in or around the time you made a protected disclosure, you told Sergeant Durkin that you had no issues whatsoever with regard to your treatment in Donegal Town?
A. But you said I signed something.

615 Q. He signed, he wrote that in his notebook and initialed it and that was witnessed by Sergeant Cornyn in your presence.
A. I recall having a conversation in relation to a whole range of matters, so I do. Mostly what was documented in the letter. We also spoke about issues that in relation to westmeath, so we did. And there was a whole range of issues.
616 Q. Do you remember telling Sergeant Durkin in response to his question ever --
A. I don't remember that part of it.

617 Q. -- that you had no issues at all with regard to your treatment in Donegal Town?
A. I don't specifically remember that conversation. I remember a conversation.
618 Q. All right. well, he has given the most specific sort of evidence under oath to this Tribunal of that discussion with you. Because you went to him in 2014
to tell him that you were making a formal complaint about bullying, isn't that right?
A. I remember a conversation and I remember giving him the paper and he said he would forward it on.
619 Q. All right. Now just, I also represent Sergeant Brigid McGowan and I just want to draw your attention to page 145 of the materials very briefly, Garda Harrison.
A. Yes.
Q. That appears to be a typed up contemporaneous memorandum of Donna McTeague's discussion in the Tusla 15:31 offices with you and Marisa on the 7th February 2014, all right? Do you see it there?
A. Yes.

621 Q. Now I want to draw your attention to halfway down the page, "Donna again clarified with Marisa --" you see it 15:31 there now?
A. Yes.
"-- that she had made the statement to the Gardaí."
A. Yes.

623 Q. And this is on the 7th February 2014, just a couple of weeks earlier Marisa has retracted the statement, do you follow?
A. Yes.

And the evidence is that the statement was, that she read the entire statement back at that stage, she's told the Tribunal that she was surprised at some of the things she saw in it, all right?
A. Yes.
Q. But she read it through, just a couple of weeks
earlier, all right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now Marisa explained that she didn't initially go to the Gardaí, that they had phoned her to make the statement. She further explained that it was her sister that reported it to the Gardaí. All right. There's no record there of her making any remark to Donna McTeague to the effect that there was no threat to burn made to her, no threat to bury her and her sister, or that the statement was cajoled out of her, tricked out of her or pressurised out of her?
A. Yes.

627 Q. If those things had happened, I suggest to you, you'd have expected Marisa and you'd have expected that you would have been telling Donna McTeague about this?
A. Our main concern on that date was dealing with the issue at hand, being in the Tusla offices and being interviewed in relation to the welfare of the two children.
628 Q. And, therefore, wouldn't your main concern have been to 15:33 say to Donna McTeague, I don't understand why you're here at a11, because you see Donna [sic] never made a statement to the guards that she received a threat from me, or that there were children present when $I$ threatened to bury her or her sister, that's all -that was never said, so why are you here?
A. Excuse me?

629 Q. Your main concern, you told me, was for your children and the fact that Tusla were talking to you about an
incident on the 28th September, is that right?
A. Yes, that's right.

If the incident had not involved a threat in front of the children, if that had been cajoled out of Donna [sic] by the Gardaí, you would have had to say that to Donna McTeague?
MR. HARTY: I think the difficulty is that Mr. Dockery is unfortunately mixing up Donna and Marisa in the question he's putting. which is why the last answer was excuse me and I'm afraid unfortunately it happened again in that question.

MR. DOCKERY: I'm not.
CHAIRMAN: I think the substance of what Mr. Dockery is putting is perfectly clear, it is this: Look, you will be aware of sexual violence cases where someone who says, for instance, they were raped or sexually assaulted, in exception to the rule against hearsay they're allowed evidence to be called that for instance they went to their mother and said, you know, so and so raped me last night or sexually assaulted, and he's saying this is more or less the same thing. Here you have an opportunity to say, Marisa Simms has an opportunity to say, the statement was tricked out of me I abdominal experience in the Garda station and it's not said, that is what he is asking you about. That is $15: 35$ the substance of it. Don't worry about the names, it doesn't matter.
A. Chairman -- or sorry, Marisa never raised any issue with Donna McTeague in relation to the taking of the
statement, because simply that wasn't the person to deal with it. We were there to deal solely with being called in over the referral in relation to an argument. MR. DOCKERY: But the statement was discussed?
A. No, no, the statement, the content or detail of the statement wasn't discussed. It was mentioned that they were aware a statement had been made. I didn't know the content of that statement. earlier and she told Donna McTeague, she told Donna McTeague that she hadn't -- it had arisen when she hadn't initially gone to the Gardaí but they phoned her and she explained it was her sister that reported it to the Gardaí, she said nothing further about the statement or about the contents of the statement or about threats.
A. For my part, I didn't know the content of the statement and I was operating or working off or engaged with Ms. McTeague on the basis that we were there because of a verbal disagreement that occurred in our home.
Q. You suggested today and yesterday that in some form or fashion my client, Sergeant McGowan, manipulated Tusla into pursuing this referral, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

634 Q. And as I understand it, correct me if I am wrong, you've instanced as an example of that, the fact that after the 7th February, meeting in the Tusla offices, you thought the matter was over?
A. Yes.
Q. And the fact that they came back to visit your house again on the 19th February is evidence that
Sergeant McGowan was agitating in the background, isn't that what you are said?
A. I am in no doubt that Sergeant McGowan was in phone contact with Ms. McTeague's leader, I can't say what date, I can't say when, but there was phone contact and that is acceded to by Sergeant McGowan.
Q. Yes. And as a result of that phone contact then is it your evidence that the visit to your home took place on 15:37 the 19th February?
A. Ms. McTeague stated that she went to her team leader and after that meeting it was decided to visit our home. That same team leader is the person that was in contact Sergeant McGowan.
Q. Yes. So as a result of contact from Sergeant McGowan with the team leader, Tusla arranged a visit to your home on the 19th February, that's what you are saying, isn't it?
A. What I am saying is: There was inappropriate contacts, 15:37 undocumented contacts, between Sergeant McGowan and members of the HSE that resulted in people coming to our home.
638 Q. Yes. We11, can I just show you another part of the memorandum there of the 7th February 2014 prepared by Donna McTeague? If the page is spooled down a little bit. Do you see:

[^2]speak to the children. Both agreed to this, and Marisa said you're more than welcome to come."

So didn't you know on the 7th February that there was every likelihood that a further visit, that a visit to your family would be required to enable Tusla to speak to the children?
A. Ms. McTeague told us that there may be an instance where she may have to come and we said that was fine. She says having met us and heard of us that was unlikely and we left that meeting on the full understanding that that was the end of the matter. She hasn't specifically noted that there. And you told her that you're more than welcome to come, but now it's a11 a conspiracy?
A. It's clear there was contact between Brigid McGowan at some stage with Bridgeen Smith, whereby Bridgeen Smith, after consulting with Donna McTeague, after meeting us, instructed her to come to our home.
640 Q. That is really just a hunch on your part, isn't it?
A. It's not.

641 Q. You see, when I put it specifically to Marisa on Wednesday that there was no evidence at all -- you know the significance of the word evidence, that there was no evidence of any manipulation of Tusla by the Gardaí, she agreed to that, and said that she had just thought that it was a coincidence that she had been contacted by Tusla a few weeks after she retracted her statement. It was no more than that, a coincidence?
A. The fact is, is that Sergeant McGowan at a strategy meeting whereby she knew Marisa and I were back together, whereby she knew the content of the statement, did not forward the information on to Tusla. Instead she contacted Tusla and told them not to contact us. Subsequent to the withdrawal of the statement where Marisa was told by Inspector Sheridan of the possibility in relation to another like couple that were in a similar situation, that the HSE may get involved. And it was after the retraction of the statement that there was with a contact between Sergeant McGowan and the HSE whereby we would then receive a letter to come in and speak with them.
642 Q. I just draw your attention to the statement prepared for the Tribunal by Bridgeen Smith. That is at page 1152 but I want to go to 1154. And if we go down a little bit, you will see just there where the cursor is, throw your eye four lines above that, this is what Ms. Smith says:
"I recall Ms. McGowan informing us that Ms. Simms was in hospital and asked that we delay our intervention to allow Ms. Simms to recover."

She said it as a kindness to your partner, didn't she?
A. She also said that she believed the statement was true and that there were serious allegations in it.

643 Q. That's correct.
A. Excuse me. She also knew that Marisa and I were back
together in the days after and chose that as the best course of action where she believed I was a threat to the children. It doesn't add up.
Ms. Smith's understanding was that Sergeant McGowan was telling her that as a kindness to Ms. Simms they should 15:41 delay their intervention because she was in hospital?
A. And isn't it there that we have Sergeant McGowan telling the HSE when they can do their job or when they can't?
645 Q.
Listen, don't you know very well that once -- you're a serving member of the guards for a considerable number of years, don't you know very well that there is an obligation on the Gardaí to make a referral to Tusla where there's a suspicion of emotional or any other form of abuse against a child?
A. Yes.
A. You get called to them, yes.
Q. Yeah. And aren't you familiar with your obligations as regards domestic violence and as regards the protection of children in your role as a guard?
A. Yes.

649 Q. Yes. And don't you know that if there is a suspicion of danger to a child or a suspicion that the welfare of a child is at risk, reported to the Gardaí that they are obliged to refer that to Tusla?
A. Yes.

650 Q. You're familiar with the Gardaí's policies on this and of your obligations, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

651 Q. You've heard of the Domestic Violence Intervention Policy?
A. I've heard of it, yes.

652 Q. Yeah. which provides that, and paragraph 7.3, that:
"Where a member of the Gardaí suspects that a child has 15:43 been the victim of emotional, physical or sexual abuse, or neglect, whether willful or unintentional, they must report it to Tusla."
A. Yes.

653 Q. Isn't that right?
A. That's right.

654 Q. "Sufficient evidence to support a criminal prosecution is not required before notifying the HSE."

Isn't that so?
A. That's correct.

655 Q. Yeah. You're familiar with the Sexual Crimes Against Children policy?
A. Vaguely.

Vaguely. Well, can $I$ just quote one paragraph of that to you? "Members --" this is of the Gardaí "-- encountering domestic violence, incidents between persons who have children, will refer all such cases to
the HSE's children and family services. Bearing in mind the repeated and systematic nature of domestic violence, notifications should be made to the HSE whether or not the children were present at the scene of the incident at the time that it occurred."

Al1 right?
"It must never be assumed that a domestic violence incident is a one-off isolated event."

So what possible complaints do you have about the fact that the Gardaí referred the complaint that your partner made to Tusla?
A. There was never any violence between myself and Marisa, 15:44 so there wasn't. The children were never present, so they weren't, for any time where they would have been emotionally abused or otherwise, so they weren't. And it's the referral, we look at the referral and Sergeant McGowan's belief of how serious it was. If she was genuinely believing that that was the case she has grossly understated it in her referral.
657 Q. Well, she explained that --
A. I don't think that's good enough.

658 Q. We11, first of all, of course --
A. The HSE depend on the information they are given.

659 Q. Excuse me, Garda Harrison. First of a11, she had every right to believe that that is what happened because that is what she was told by your partner, all right?

660 Q. And believing it, as she did, she was obliged to make a referral to Tusla?
A. Yes.

661 Q. A11 right. Now, you will surely agree with me, that if your partner did tell the Gardaí that you were shouting, roaring drunk, you threatened to bury her and her sister and that you threatened to burn her, if that happened you wi11 agree with me, presumably, that the Gardaí had to refer that to Tusla?
A. But they never --

662 Q. No, no, I am asking you a question. If that happened, you'11 agree with me that the Gardaí had to make a referral to Tusla?
A. I have, I have issues, my own issues around that statement --

663 Q. I know you do.
A. -- and the referral.

664 Q. I know you did.
A. And that's as far as --

665 Q. I know do, Garda Harrison. I am asking you if that happened, hypothetically, you will agree with me that the Gardaí had to refer that to Tusla?
A. If that statement was true --

666 Q. Yes?
A. -- and accurate --

667 Q. Yes?
A. -- which we know it isn't --

668 Q. That's your view. Yes?
A. No, I think it's been established --

669 Q. Sorry, you weren't there when it was taken.
A. Exactly, I wasn't.

670 Q. If that happened you will agree with me that the Gardaí had to refer that to Tusla?
A. But I'm here --
Q. I'm not asking you that.
A. And the referral was on the basis of that.

673 Q. If that happened there had to be a referral to Tusla, isn't that so?
A. If that statement was accurate, which it is not, but if it was there should be a referral.
Q. Yes. Thank you. And even if you hadn't intended to say that you would kill anyone, even if the word burn was a euphemism, right, how are the children supposed to know that, or the child?
A. I never threatened to kill anyone.
Q. Right. Now I want to say to you that, or put to you that you must in the ordinary course of your duties have investigated incidents where a referral was made to Tusla in connection with a suspicion that a child's welfare may be threatened, have you ever been involved in such an investigation?
A. Yes.

676 Q. Can I suggest you have been involved in many such investigations?
A. On occasion, yes.

677 Q. Yeah. And you know very well, you know very well that even if all you had said was, shouting at Marisa, you'11 be burnt if your family don't back off, you know very well that that, if a complaint is made to that effect to the Gardaí that that happened in front of a child that would have to be referred to Tusla as well?
A. It didn't happen in front of a child.

We11, as I understood it, it always happened in front of a child or two children until Marisa gave evidence here two days ago that in fact she had taken them and put them in the car at a very important point of the unfolding drama, just before you could have said anything about burning or about Marisa being burnt if her family backed off?
A. The children weren't present.

679 Q. We11, why did you and Marisa not tell Donna McTeague that on the 7th February? Instead you were telling her that it was witnessed by one of the children.
A. We said that one of them had come out of the car and Marisa left.

680 Q. Yeah. That's right. So one of them was present, isn't that so?
A. There was nothing said in front of the child.

681 Q. You didn't make that clear to Donna McTeague. "Donna 15:49 clarified with the couple the basis of the referral and asked if what mum had reported to the Gardaí was the truth. Mum verified that the incident did happen and that only one of the children had witnessed it, as she
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686 Q. A drunken rage.
A. Absolutely not.

687 Q. At least certainly you were intoxicated and that's why you lost your temper.
A. No. I did have drink taken. I said stuff, that I should have held my tongue, so I did, and Marisa left.
688 Q. How can we be expected to take without question your recollection of what was said or not said?
A. Because I remember.

689 Q. Can I just turn to this: You see I have to put it to you, Garda Harrison, that Marisa was completely and go into September 2013?
A. No.

690 Q. She was in fear of you?
A. No.

691 Q. And that she had every right to be; you were out of control?
A. No.

692 Q. And proof of that, I suggest to you, is that she went so far in the course of her discussion with the Gardaí or making her statement to the Gardaí on the 6th October as to play them a video on her mobile phone of an incident at 9:54am on the 23rd August, all right. Do you recall that incident?
A. No.

693 Q. Do you recal1 blocking her from leaving your home in Churchill when she was in her mother's car having come to collect a few things?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall that she at that time clearly wasn't living with you, she obviously left the home and she had returned on the 23 rd August that morning to collect a few things?
A. Marisa never moved out of the home up until the period of the wedding.

695 Q. She had come from her mother's house, in her mother's car, to your home on the 23rd August and at 9:54 she was leaving in her mother's car and you were standing on the driveway or entrance blocking her exit with your 15:52 arms folded and she video recorded you on her phone? CHAIRMAN: Yes, I'm sorry for interrupting, Mr . Dockery, there's been a few references to that and I haven't seen it beforehand. I don't know, are we
going to play it?
MR. DOCKERY: No, I haven't seen it.
CHAIRMAN: Can we play it?
MR. DOCKERY: I understand it --
CHAIRMAN: Do we have it? I'm sorry, I'm just unclear. 15:52 I don't think it's on the system, is it, Mr. Marrinan? MR. MCGUINNESS: It's not on the system of documents, but I think the position is as Ms. Leader explained the other day, it was included in the download from

Ms. Simms' phone --
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCGUINNESS: -- and is available to watch. I obviously brought that to the attention of Mr. Harty the other day. I think the Gardaí are aware that it is there. We have viewed it and nobody has asked a witness yet to view it.

CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MR. McGUINNESS: It's available.
CHAIRMAN: I know. I haven't viewed it beforehand. I suppose it's been referred to so much, I suppose by
this stage I suppose I would like to view it and maybe we could do it on Monday just to see. Look, it's important that I'm not suggesting that anyone -- the Tribunal has been completely open in relation to the existence of this and for a11 I know it will show nothing or it may be totally blurry, but maybe we should have a look at it.

MR. HARTY: Sir, there's only one thing, sir, which is that the existence of the video and everything else $I$
was fully aware of, what I am slightly perplexed about is the precise specificity that Mr. Dockery has in relation to the time in which it was shot, and the date, which was not given in evidence by anybody. MR. DOCKERY: Page 91 of the materials, sir. It's in 15:54 Marisa's statement to the Gardaí.

MR. HARTY: Sorry, that may be my mistake.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, I think what happened was, it was played during the course of the interview on 6th October and the Gardaí read out the metadata that was shown on the screen and put it in the statement. So I mean we think we should perhaps have a look at it. We can do it Monday. Anyway you're maintaining the point that according to the description of that, that that shows an aggressive demeanour and that's the point you're making, Mr. Dockery.

696 Q. MR. DOCKERY: Yes. I am suggesting to you Garda Harrison that what Marisa told the Gardaí that day about that incident was that you were blocking her exit and that she had to lock herself into the car, and she took out her phone and recorded it, and what I am suggesting to you is that that displays the behaviour of a woman who is in fear of you.
A. I simply don't recall that incident, Judge.

697 Q. A11 right.
CHAIRMAN: You would prefer to see the video?
A. I would like to see it. CHAIRMAN: You would like to see the video?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: It's fair you have a look at the video, yes. We will see it on Monday.

698 Q. MR. DOCKERY: Now I want to turn to one other matter and that is your statement to the Tribunal at page 27 of the materials. Yes. If Mr. Kavanagh just goes down 15:55 the page a little bit about eight or nine lines. You'11 see there, Mr. Harrison, do you see a line, four lines down from the top:
"Marisa returned home after the wedding --"

Do you see that?
A. Sorry?

699 Q. "-- and some days after she was admitted to hospital with complications due to the loss of our baby and it was while in hospital that a GSOC investigator contacted her while I was present. George O'Doherty spoke with her on the phone and stated that he had received a referral from Chief Superintendent McGinn but he was confused as to what to do with it as it did not fit into the criteria required for them to conduct an investigation and was it Marisa's wish for them to get involved."

And Marisa told him certain things. Mr. O'Doherty statement:
"Marisa explained what had happened to me and asked
what it meant."

Were you intending to convey in that statement to the statement that this was the first time you learned that she had made a statement of complaint?
A. No. It was, it was that -- I was there when the phone cal1 came in, and she asked me what his contact meant or what he was seeking from her.
Q. What did you mean when you said in your statement "Marisa explained what had happened to me"?
A. Sorry, where's that?

701 Q. Do you see that, "Marisa explained what had happened" to you?
A. Can we scroll down a little bit to the next line?

702 Q. Just where the cursor is there. I take it that means you're telling the Tribunal in this statement that on that occasion at the hospital bed once the telephone conversation from Mr. O'Doherty had concluded that Marisa explained what had happened to you?
A. No. She explained what had been the subject of the phone call with her and Mr. O'Doherty.
Q. You weren't trying to lead the Tribunal to believe perhaps that Marisa was explaining to you how it came to be that Mr. O'Doherty was ringing her at all?
A. No, no, no. No. Marisa explained, Marisa came off the 15:58 phone and she explained the conversation she had and what was it about, what it meant.

704 Q. And you say "I explained"?
A. Yes.

705 Q. Al1 right. Now this is the 9th October 2013, isn't it?
A. That's correct.

706 Q. Right. She's made this statement just three days earlier --
A. That's correct.

707 Q. -- which portrays you in a very, very damaging light and instigates as far as she's concerned a possible criminal investigation. And this occasion on the 9th October is two days after she is texting Inspector Goretti sheridan that she has threatened you on the phone with a safety order. All right?
A. Right.

708 Q. Yeah. And it's two days after she's texting Inspector Sheridan that you're very upset, in a very bad way, appear to be -- she's concerned about you, you're crying an awful lot, presumably this is on the phone to her, and you're promising her everything, including that you'11 move out, but that she will believe it when she says it, all right?
A. I remember that, yes.

709 Q. okay. And it's one day after she has gone back into Letterkenny Garda Station of her own volition to give them her mobile phone to download all the damaging text messages --
A. Yes.

710 Q. -- that she had exchanged with you. All right. But now on the 9th you're able to explain things to her?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

711 Q. All right. And two days later she's telling

Mr. O'Doherty that she doesn't want the GSOC investigation to proceed and she is telling Mr. O'Doherty that in fact you've never abused her or threatened her at all?
A. What Marisa said was that she never intended it, or intended for GSOC to get involved, nor did she want it to go there.

712 Q. Well, you see Mr. O'Doherty prepared a note of his conversation with Marisa two days later on the 11th October:
"She told me she does not want GSOC to investigate her complaint. She told me that her mother is not entirely happy with her decision but has agreed to go along with it."

This is on page 2346 of the materials.
"And Ms. Simms told me that she has told the Gardaí in Letterkenny."

We11, that is in dispute, but she's telling this to Mr. O'Doherty.
"That she "doesn't feel under threat from Garda Keith Harrison and that they are trying to work on their relationship"."

Now, that is what anybody would call a volte-face from
the highest degree from her position on the 8th October, on the 7th october and on the 6th october. The only thing that is of interest is that in the meantime you've explained the position to her.
A. I explained to her in regard to GSOC, yes.

713 Q. Would it be a reasonable inference, one reasonable and logical inference for the Tribunal to draw, that you had pressurised her between the 9th and 11th October to change her position?
A. Absolutely not. At that time Marisa was extremely $i 11$
A. She was in hospital. Extremely ill.

716 Q. Did she invite you in to see her in hospital?
A. Yes.

717 Q. What hospital was she in?
A. Letterkenny.

718 Q. We have a situation here where she's going in one direction and after you explain things to her she's going into the opposite direction.
A. I never put pressure on Marisa to do anything.
well, that would mean by october your behaviour and Because she's given a description of nothing but pressure from you on lots of fronts prior to that. Now the last thing I want to raise with you is something
that came up -- one second. Sorry, sergeant, while I think of it, did you ever advise Marisa to make a complaint to GSOC about the Gardaí's behaviour in 2013 ?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Marisa would do that herself if she wanted.

721 Q. Sorry, this was something that concerned you very directly.
A. Are you asking me would I tell Marisa to go and make a complaint? No.
722 Q. We11, if she told you that the statement was a concoction or that it was partly tricked out of her or words were put into her mouth, you're a guard, surely you'd say to her you know you can make a complaint to GSOC about that?
A. Marisa could have done that on her own, she didn't need me to tell her.

723 Q. But is it not something that you would have said to her?
A. No.

724 Q. Whether she needed you to or not?
A. No.

725 Q. That sounds very odd, doesn't it?
A. I don't think so.

726 Q. Yesterday or rather on Wednesday Marisa told us, to my 16:03 mind, for the first time ever, because it certainly didn't appear in her statement to the Tribunal and it didn't appear in a sworn affidavit she made in 2015, but she told the Tribunal for the first time that the
text messages to you that she sent between the 28 th and 30th September admonishing you for your threats to burn her and bury her and her sister, were lies; do you understand?
A. Yes.

727 Q. Among those exchange of threats -- among those exchange of texts she had said to you "you have threatened me for the last time", she's now telling the Tribunal that she was making all of this it up to hurt you. Right. Now, you were asked yesterday whether you believe that is the case and you said you do --
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. -- isn't that right?
A. Yes.

729 Q. And again I've suggest to you that you have never said that in any materials before the Tribunal before; you never said it in your statement of the 1st March last, you've never said that anywhere before.
A. I simply did not know the existence of any text message until -- in fact, I learned that there might be text messages from a third party outside of the Tribunal before we even got the text messages -CHAIRMAN: I know, but I mean, Garda Harrison, you know, with respect, this may be silly, I get text messages, I gets WhatsApp messages, I get email flawless, but the whole point of them is you open them and you read them.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: So it's not exactly a big shock.
A. Judge, the first time $I$ saw them again was, $I$ don't remember seeing or reading them, I obviously did, if I got them in September/October 2013 but the next time I saw them laid out was in the Tribunal booklet I got. CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Well, I suppose, you know, it's perhaps a kind of device that lawyers use to say well, this should have been burned into your consciousness, but let's just say that reading those and thinking these are untrue and twisted, which is the position you're adopting and Marisa has adopted, well, it would have been a fairly strong dart into your flesh, wouldn't it? So I'm just puzzled as to why you feel that suddenly they were revealed to you when the Tribunal documents were circulated.
A. I knew that they weren't true. I knew I never did any of that. I knew I hadn't threatened her. So I didn't dwell on it.
CHAIRMAN: okay. No, that is --
730 Q. MR. DOCKERY: You were asked about it yesterday during a passage of cross-examination by the Tribunal and by the Chairman, and I think you certainly told the Chairman that you believed that they were false, the texts were false, that they were lies and that they were sent to hurt you, isn't that what you told the Chairman?
A. Yes.

731 Q. Yeah. And I think you told Mr. Marrinan when he pressed you on it, that wel1, you know, at some stage


you've got some vague recollection that you might have had a conversation to that effect with Marisa, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

732 Q. But you couldn't, you said you couldn't remember it. But you think you must have had a -- you probably had a conversation with her at some stage?
A. Yes. the Tribunal at the end of this sequence of questions and answers that in fact the first time you remember seeing these text messages in recent years was when you got the Tribunal materials and when you asked Marisa about them she said she couldn't remember sending them and you couldn't remember receiving them?
A. That's correct. The first time.












Q. You never had any such conversation, I am putting it to you, to say to her are they lies and where she said she are lies, I admit that I was trying to hurt you?
A. I would have had conversation with Marisa in relation to what the text messages, why she was sending those text messages and I did do that.
736 Q. I'm suggesting to you that instead the position is that you heard her saying this on Wednesday and you've
A. That's not correct.

737 Q. And that it's designed to insulate you against any consequences?
A. That's not correct.

738 Q. And that it's an invention, that it's new, and it's made up?
A. That's not correct.

739 Q. Thanks very much.
A. Thank you.

740 Q. Sorry, one last question, Chairman, I'm sorry. It's been suggested to me that there is one thing I should ask you. You haven't kept anything in the way of contemporaneous notes of your dealings with anyone in this, in regard to these issues?
A. In relation to the issue of the 28th September?

741 Q. In relation to that issue, in relation to your discussions with Sergeant wallace, in relation to your contacts with Tusla or what occurred there, you've no notes of any of that, do you?
A. No.

742 Q. Even though you would be used to taking notes and you would be familiar with the importance of noting down matters of moment in your life because you're a guard
and you carry a notebook, isn't that right?
A. Not at home.

743 Q. And your counsel has criticised and you have criticised what you call the absence of notes in the dealings between Gardaí and Tusla, isn't that so?
A. Your client was working, it was her duty, I was at home.

744 Q. I think we have already agreed that once a referral is made to Tusla it's handed over to Tusla, isn't that so?
A. Yes.

745 Q. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN: It's possibly best, and thank you Ms. Kelly, to leave it there. And even though the website -sorry, Mr. McGuinness, do you want to say something? MR. MCGUINNESS: Just a couple of things, Chairman, sorry. We have that video from the mobile phone which is referred to in Ms. Simms's statement ready to be shown on the system, should there be a request to see it now.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right. Let's see it now.
MR. MCGUINNESS: It's very short. It's taken from Ms. Simms's mobile phone which is on its side, so people will see --

CHAIRMAN: So, do we have to lean over?
MR. MCGUINNESS: We have to lean over one way.
CHAIRMAN: Well, we could always turn the screen. MR. MCGUINNESS: It probably needs to be seen a few times in order to appreciate what is being shown. CHAIRMAN: How many times are you proposing to play it
then, Mr. McGuinness?
MR. MCGUINNESS: Well, it's only 7 or 8 seconds.
CHAIRMAN: I'm going to turn this thing around.
MR. MCGUINNESS: You're very clever, Chairman.
CHAIRMAN: Well, I think a monkey could think of that 16:12 Mr. McGuinness. All right.
[VIDEO PLAYED]

Will we see that bit again? Maybe let's stop it when
it goes blank. Do you want to play it a couple of times?
mR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: It's very hard to make out the first time. [VIDEO PLAYED]
So the two bits in the middle between the armrests seems to be you, the car seems to be reversing towards you, you're not moving, is that right, and then you're wiggling your fingers?
A. I don't recall that, Judge.

CHAIRMAN: Sorry?
A. I don't remember this.

CHAIRMAN: Do you think that is you, Garda Harrison?
A. Yes, yes. That's me, yeah.

CHAIRMAN: Let's stop. There we go. was a sound in relation to it, and if there was -- it's just -- no.
MR. MCGUINNESS: I don't believe so.

CHAIRMAN: There was no sound, was there?
MR. McGUINNESS: No.
CHAIRMAN: It was a soundless video. Sometimes they do have a soundless. Is there anything coming through on that?

MR. MCGUINNESS: There's nothing coming through. CHAIRMAN: All right. We have seen it now. will we adjourn then? You want to say something else? MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes, Chairman. There were of course other witnesses scheduled for today and obvious7y the

Tribunal is grateful for them having attended on the required day.
CHAIRMAN: Very much. Thank you very much. MR. MCGUINNESS: They may be disappointed that they haven't been able to give their testimony today having available and are going to be rescheduled then to be here on Monday afternoon after Garda Harrison's evidence concludes and we anticipate that that will happen.
CHAIRMAN: A11 right. We11, if necessary we will try and sit late, but we will have to change stenographers in the middle in the event that it is more than three hours.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Obviously it is the intention to resume at 1:30 on Monday.

CHAIRMAN: It is. It is 1:30 and people should ignore the website where it says two o'clock.
MR. MCGUINNESS: We can change that immediately today.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right.
MR. MCGUINNESS: And it follows from that, Chairman, that the two witnesses who are scheduled for Monday afternoon at present, that is Chief Superintendent McGinn and Sergeant McGowan, I think will have to be shifted into the Tuesday morning, just assuming that that is suitable. We will update the website as best we can then with any remaining witnesses.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCGUINNESS: I should say that on the Tuesday we had scheduled five Garda witnesses to be heard and everyone appears agreeable that they can be dispensed with, they relate to matters which don't appear to be either at issue or relevant for present purposes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And it isn't even, say, necessary that we just read their statements and take their statements as is?

MR. MCGUINNESS: There has been an inquiry as to whether that could be done and if parties agreed for you to take their statements as read we can proceed on that basis, if people are happy to do that. The on7y remaining matter then is, it does seem that we don't require Superintendent English or Superintendent Coen I think.
MR. HARTY: Sorry, in relation to those witnesses, we were approached and certainly three of the five witnesses which were listed we don't believe would be required, but I think there were two that we thought might be required. That was my understanding in
relation to it. And in relation to Superintendent English, assuming Superintendent English is happy with the evidence that has been given in relation to him, I don't believe there is any issue I need to go into with him. I haven't, and I must apologise I haven't had an opportunity to get clear instructions in respect of the Superintendent Coen. I will do that Monday morning. MR. MCGUINNESS: I do want to apologise to Mr. Harty, he did indicate that he wanted Sergeant McGroary and Garda Moore, we should have them.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well, then we should have them. MR. MCGUINNESS: That is my error I apologise. CHAIRMAN: Don't worry about that. That is fine. So, we will try and update as much as possible and get through as much as possible. Again I'm not trying to rush anybody, but it looks as between Mr. Harty and Mr. Hartnett that we have, what, an hour of Garda Harrison at most left, would that be right? And again it's only to try and -- logistics.
MR. HARTNETT: Certainly I will be, if at all engaged I 18:16 will be very brief. Maybe my friend --

CHAIRMAN: And you will be an hour or more, Mr. Harty. MR. HARTY: I anticipate being much shorter than that and I feel I will be leading rather than cross-examining, but there is Mr. Ó Braonáin still to cross-examine.

CHAIRMAN: Yes, you have a few things and there's about ten, 15, 20 minutes in that, so we might finish in an hour.

MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: I had said between half an hour and an hour.

CHAIRMAN: That is fine. Well then when we finish Garda Harrison what we will do is we will take a brief break and then we will go on to the other witnesses, but we will start at 1:30 and hope to continue until 5:00 or 5:30 if that is okay with Ms. Kelly and Ms. Downes. So that is it, thank you very much.
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[^0]:    "Their intervention caused our client untold distress,

[^1]:    "Forgive me for intervening. The impression I was

[^2]:    "Donna also explained that she may have to visit and

