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MR. MARRINAN: If Garda Harrison wouldn't mind coming back, please. Thank you.

## GARDA KEITH HARRISON WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY

MR. Ó BRAONÁIN:

1 Q. MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: Thank you, sir. I appear, Garda Harrison, for Chief Superintendent McGinn. I just have a couple of matters to canvass with you in relation to the complaints that you have made in relation to this matter. Firstly, can $I$ just touch on a matter that was raised on Friday last in relation to your transfer request to Chief Superintendent McGinn. I think you said that that was made in September 2011. I don't think much turns on it, but Chief Superintendent McGinn's understanding is that, in fact, it was in November 2011, which I think fits perhaps with the statement we've gotten from Superintendent Coen in relation to steps being taken in October 2011 towards that transfer application.
A. My recollection is the end, the mid to end of September, but I may be corrected on that.
2 Q. As I say, I don't think anything really turns on it. Could I ask you just to look at page 1321 of the documents, and if we just scroll down there a little bit, $I$ think that is a letter from you dated 14th

February 2011 to the sergeant in charge of Moate Traffic Corps. And then just the last line of it before forwarded for your consideration. It indicates that you were at that time aware of code 8.3(1) as amended by HQ Directive 138/10?
A. Yes.

3 Q. And I think that that Code indicates that you can't serve at a station within 50 kilometres where you or your partner has relatives permanently residing?
A. Yes.

4 Q. And I think you agree that's the basis on you were told your application for a transfer was refused, because of relatives of Ms. Simms residing in Letterkenny?
A. Yes, that's right.

5 Q. I mean, do you have actually have any real complaint in 13:32 relation to that refusal in those circumstances?
A. We11, I'm aware of other members in the division who would be serving in an area where relatives would be residing.

6 Q. I see.
A. So I made an application in relation to looking to move into the Letterkenny district, and I was denied --

7 Q. Yes.
A. -- because of --

8 Q. -- your connection with --
A. Martin McDermott, yes.

9 Q. I think that one option that was suggested to you, and you referred to it I think on Friday, was that you could transfer to Bunbeg Garda Station?
A. I vaguely remember that being mentioned, yes.
okay. I think one of the advantage of Bunbeg Garda station is that you would be able to avail of a Gaeltacht allowance and therefore, 1 think, $7.5 \%$ of an increase in your salary on that basis?
A. I don't think I would have qualified for it because my Irish wasn't strong enough.

13 Q. And I think that was canvassed and it was suggested that you would be in a position to do a course in order to be able to avail of that allowance?
A. At the time it wouldn't have been open to me because I simply didn't have the grade of Irish required to qualify for that allowance.

14 Q. Moving on then, I think one of the matters outlined in your statement that Ms. Simms had been told that the statement she was making was to be for the chief's eyes on7y?
A. That's correct.

15 Q. Now, I think you'11 understand that there appears to be
a contest in relation to that between Ms. Simms and other witnesses who have given evidence?
A. I wasn't present and --

Yes. No, as I say, I'm not asking you to comment on
that. But just from your own experience, if the chief
$13: 34$ superintendent receives a statement outlining the matters that are outlined in the statement of Ms. Simms, would you not expect that she would be duty-bound to act in relation to it?
A. But she didn't. No one ever spoke to me. And I think from the papers I've seen, the action the chief saw as best, either have me suspended, and, on foot of that, if I wasn't to be suspended, to have me removed from the division.

17 Q. What's the context in which you would speak to an accused person in relation to allegations?
A. Sorry, say that again?

18 Q. The context in which one would speak to an accused person is in the context of a criminal investigation, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. I think we've heard some evidence of the complaint of Ms. Simms being referred, rightly or wrongly, and that'11 be a matter for the Tribunal, to GSOC for investigation.
A. I think it's clear that it was referred wrongly --

20 Q. Sorry, is that or is that not correct, Garda Harrison?
A. Can you repeat that, please?

21 Q. That the complaint, the statement of Ms. Simms was
forwarded on to GSOC for a criminal investigation.
A. That's correct.

So the attempt at that early stage by Chief
Superintendent McGinn was to have the matter referred to GSOC for a criminal investigation. One would expect ${ }_{\text {13:35 }}$ that, in the course of that criminal investigation, if it had gone on, that you would be interviewed in respect of it?
A. In fairness, I think Chief superintendent McGinn would have been well affair whether that would have fitted the criteria for a section 102 referral.
Q. I'm sure that Chief Superintendent McGinn can give her own evidence in relation to all of those matters. Would you not agree, however, that it's in that context that one would be interviewed, in the context of a criminal investigation?
A. Well, Inspector Sheridan had the statement, was appointed as the investigating officer, and having a statement of any nature as an investigating guard --
24 Q. I'm sorry, Garda Harrison, I've been trying to keep this as short as possible, so if you could just answer the questions that I'm asking, I would be very grateful. I'm not planning on being very long with you, just a couple of matters that I wish to put to you. I will move on from that. As I say, I think I
understand your case to be against Chief Superintendent McGinn that, rather than acting in accordance with her duties, she was acting out of some form of malice towards you?
A. Yes.

I mean, do you not think that if she was acting out of some form of malice towards you, that, having received this statement from Ms. Simms, that she would have an investigation conducted internally within her division where she would have more control over it, rather than farming it out to GSOC for them to independently investigate it, or anybody to do with her division?
A. We11, what actually happened, there were several attempts to have the matter dealt with and different -- 13:37 Garda Harrison, I'm going to touch on those as we go along. But your view in relation to that proposition, that if she was motivated by malice, why would she throw the investigation out of her control to GSOC?
A. Well, in fairness, by giving it to GSOC was trying to put a square peg into a round hole. I mean, it didn't fit.

27 Q. Yes, and the significance of that in terms of her motivation?
A. Was to have GSOC do the investigation, so it was.

Yes. So, nobody to do with her division, no members of An Garda Síochána conducting the investigation, it's completely out of her control. If she is malicious7y inclined towards you, why on earth would she do that?
A. By the very nature of the referral. It's a section 102 13:38 referral. You know, that is a very serious referral. Additionally, $I$ think if we just turn to page 458 of the materials, we can see the request. This is a report from Chief Superintendent McGinn to the
assistant commissioner northern region, seeking the appointment and recommending an appointment of a superintendent from outside of her division to conduct the investigation in relation to these matters. Again, here's another example of Chief Superintendent McGinn seeking to have the matter as independently investigated as possible on the basis of the contents of Ms. Simms' statement. Again, is that not -- do you not agree, Garda Harrison, that that is inconsistent with your assertion --
A. No, it's not.
Q. -- that she is acting with malice towards you?
A. No, it's not. The initial attempt was to have a superintendent within the division to do it, but he couldn't because he had -- formerly had interacted in some way and he was precluded from it.

31 Q. I think you will see, Garda Harrison, from the papers, and I suppose it's a matter for other people's evidence, but you will see from the papers that his appointment occurred after this report?
A. No, he was asked first, I think.
Q. I think as part of your statement, Garda Harrison, you made a complaint in relation to Chief Superintendent MCGinn, that you wrote to her or made a complaint to her in relation to being office-bound, and other matters?
A. That's correct.

33 Q. I think, it's on page --
A. I think 9th May.

34 Q. -- 102 of the papers is your letter to her on the 9th May.
A. Yes.
Q. If we can just turn then to -- that's what you're referring to in your statement, is that report dated 9th May 2014?
A. One of the matters, yes.
Q. I mean, I don't think you made any other communication in relation to these matters prior to that, to Chief Superintendent McGinn?
A. No.
Q. At page 105 then, if we can just go down a little bit, we will see that Sergeant David Durkin forwards that report to the superintendent in Ballyshannon by letter dated 10th May 2014.
A. Yes.
Q. And I think that then we'11 see that, at page 107 , by letter dated 13th May, it indicates that your complaint was received on the 12th May 2014 and then the very next day, on the 13th May 2014, that's Chief Superintendent McGinn directing that inquiries be made that you be contacted in respect of your complaint and inquiries be made to clarify, is this a complaint under the bullying and harassment policy, to get certain details from you, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

39 Q. And I think you refer yourself in your statement to being contacted repeatedly by Superintendent Archbold on the 19th May following on from this?
A. Yes, Superintendent Archbold contacted me four times after $I$ met with the official recipient, Judge Pat McMahon, and he was quite eager to obtain a statement in relation to aspects of the affidavit sworn and handed to Judge Pat McMahon. On the first phone call I 13:41 explained to him that Judge McMahon informed me not to speak to anybody in relation to any aspect of my affidavit until such time that the official investigation team had been appointed by the then-acting commissioner Nóirín O'Sullivan, and I
informed Superintendent Archbold of the fact, and he accepted it and he said he'd probably call me back. About 10 or 15 minutes later, he called me back and said he spoken with Chief Superintendent McGinn and that it was perfectly okay for him to speak with me in relation to the matters, and I again expressed my reservations about it, that $I$ had been told by Judge McMahon not to discuss any part of it, which would have included the bullying and harassment. And he said that he would go away. And he came back a third and maybe a 13:42 fourth phone call, and it was on the third phone call he informed me that Chief Superintendent McGinn told him that it was okay for him to obtain a statement of me, and they had two investigations, the one that was going to the official recipient --
A. -- and the one that they wanted to do within the division, it was quite appropriate for it to run alongside each other. And the fourth phone call was to
arrange a date and time the following day to meet me to take that statement. I was under extreme pressure at that stage and I went sick that night. I spoke with Judge McMahon about it the following day and he said it was completely inappropriate for anyone to speak to me in relation to --

41 Q. Again, I think you have been told before not to insert the opinions of outside people.
A. This was actually relayed to the acting commissioner and I understand that communication was made back to the Donegal division to cease.

42 Q. I think there are matters outlined in your letter of the 9th May that aren't incorporated into your complaint under the -- to the Confidential Recipient?
A. There are, there are.

43 Q. They are of the nature of bullying and harassment?
A. Yes.

44 Q. Now, if I can just turn to page 29 of your statement -or, sorry, page 29 of the papers, which is a part of your statement, and just six lines down from the top:
"I high1ighted concerns about my treatment to Chief Superintendent McGinn and the fact that $I$ was still office-bound for no good reason, and got no meaningful rep7y."

Now, perhaps there are matters you weren't aware of, perhaps there were, I don't know, but having seen the papers, the correspondence, the fact that Chief

Superintendent McGinn, the day after she receives your report, asks for superintendent to make inquiries in respect of your complaint, do you think it's fair to categorise that as "no meaningful reply" in those circumstances?
A. Can I just clarify one detail there, sorry?
A. Is that at all times, and I think Sergeant Durkin has corroborated as we11, I and those in Donegal Town were of the mind that I was office-bound because of death threats. We now know that is not the case; that through papers seen from Chief Superintendent Anthony McLoughlin at the Tribunal that I was actually office-bound for a completely --
46 Q. I understand Mr. Harty is making that argument on your behalf, but if you just answer my question in relation to what you said in your statement to the Tribuna1. Do you think it is fair to categorise the response of Chief Superintendent MCGinn to your complaints as "no meaningful reply"? I think she appointed a superintendent to make inquiries of you in respect of your complaint.
A. She already knew that wasn't the reason why I was in the office.
47 Q. Is it a reply?
A. That's my reply. She already knew the reason why I was inquiring why $I$ was still in the office, wasn't the reason why I was in the office. Just in brief, Garda Harrison, I will put to you that

Chief Superintendent McGinn quite clearly acted in this case out of her duty and in no way out of malice towards you.
A. I wouldn't agree.

49 Q. Thanks. Sorry, just, I don't think you were suggesting that Chief Superintendent McGinn herself was talking to Judge Mcmahon?
A. No, no.
Q.

Yes. That's fine.
MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: Thank you, sir.
MR. DWYER: No questions, Chairman.
MR. HARTY: I don't think I have anything arising.

GARDA HARRISON WAS RE-EXAMINED BY MR. MARRINAN:

51 Q. MR. MARRINAN: Just one matter, Garda Harrison. You recall on Friday you were being asked by Mr. Dockery in relation to the obligation on a member of An Garda Síochána under arrangements between the Gardaí and the HSE and Tusla, and in particular Children's First, and you were being asked, and a proposition was put to you, this is at page 195 of the transcript, question 673:
"If that statement --" that's the statement of Marisa simms "-- was accurate, which it is not --" this is
your answer to the question "-- but if it was, there should be a referral."

Al1 right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

52 Q. So you were accepting there as a proposition that was being put to you that, making the assumption that Marisa Simms' statement was accurate, that there was an obligation on the Gardaí to refer that to Tusla, all right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. My assertion is, is that the basis for making the referral, based on the incident on the 28th September, that part is not accurate, and I still strongly say that, that incident --
54 Q. And that's a situation that you have maintained and we're not going to revisit that --
A. I understand.

55 Q. -- at this juncture. But insofar as a member of An Garda Síochána taking a statement, the obligation to refer it to Tusla arises where the accusation is actually made, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. So there isn't, as such, an inquiry at that juncture, and I will just give you an opportunity to make a point which I think you would wish to make, in a moment, but just dealing with this aspect of it, the obligation on a member of An Garda Síochána arises where the allegation is made in the first instance, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

57 Q. So Sergeant McGowan, in the circumstances where this is contained and the allegations are contained in the statement of your partner, was actually under an obligation to refer it to Tusla?
A. okay.
A. What $I$ am saying is, in relation to the referral, is if Sergeant McGowan, being in possession of all the information she had, and believed that information, then it cast a serious question over why that wasn't relayed on to the HSE.
No, I know you have complaints in relation to what was subsequently done with the referral and what wasn't done, and one of the complaints that you have in that regard is that your side of the story, as it were, there was never any attempt to obtain that from you?
A. No.

61 Q. All right. But what I am talking about is the obligation that arises once the information is imparted to a member of An Garda Síochána, and it seems to me that you were agreeing that there was an obligation --
A. There is an obligation.
of this case to refer the matter to Tusla, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

63 Q
Q. So it would appear that the referral is based on what's contained in the statement. It doesn't appear that there is any outside influence other than what's operating on Sergeant McGowan's mind in referring this matter to Tusla in the first instance?
A. Well, to be honest, we're not exactly sure in that, because there was a meeting on the 8th October in Letterkenny which comprised of chief superintendent, at least two superintendents, a couple of inspectors and a sergeant. So we don't know what was discussed and we don't know what was said at that meeting.
64 Q. But we know that Sergeant McGowan had already decided prior to that meeting that it was a matter that ought to be referred to Tusla, isn't that right, you heard that evidence?
A. I think it was Superintendent McGovern that directed her to send the referral.
65 Q. But that was an obligation that existed. Regardless of any meeting on the 8th October, that was an obligation that existed?
A. Well, I just think it is strange that, having the statement that she had, she stil1 needed to be directed by superintendent to make a referral, and up to the point of the 8 th October it doesn't appear anywhere that she had made up her mind to create any referral superintendent.
66 Q. Then if I could just come to the issue in terms of Garda Headquarters and senior management. There
doesn't appear to be any suggestion being made by you that there is any evidence that senior management was involved in what went on in Letterkenny Garda Station on the 8th October, in terms of referring the matter to Tusla, isn't that right?
A. well, there appears -- from what we've seen in the Tribunal papers, there appears to have been communications to the now-retired Assistant Commissioner Kieran Kenny, and again, we don't know what information was sent to him and we don't know what ${ }_{13: 52}$ was done with it.
67 Q. But there was information sent to him, but I'm talking about an input into the meeting on 8th October. There doesn't appear to be a scintilla of evidence to suggest that either Assistant Commissioner kenny or the Commissioner of An Garda Síochána or anybody in Garda Headquarters had any input in relation to the meeting that took place on the 8th October and the referral of the matter to Tusla?
A. Well, I'd disagree there, because we have seen and we know that Garda Karl Campbell had sent information to Internal Affairs, which is based in Phoenix headquarters, so there was information leaving the division and going to other areas in Garda management, and that's there to be seen.
68 Q. Is that the highest that you can put the basis for your suspicion in this regard?
A. well, communications to at least an assistant commissioner, which is two below the Commissioner, that
A. We have had sent, I'm counting off hand, but at least

23 letters to Commissioner or retired Commissioner Nóirín O'Sullivan, highlighting this particular issue, but other issues of bullying and harassment, and none of them -- to date, none of them have been dealt with or addressed in any way, shape or form.
Right. Well, you appreciate that we are dealing with this particular referral to Tusla.
A. I understand. And we have high1ighted this to the previous commissioner.
71 Q. And could you just tell me this so that we are clear in relation to what we are actually dealing with here.
The Tribunal is aware of the fact that you had difficulties in Ath1one.
A. Yes.
Q. But that is the highest that you can put your suspicion?

And I dealt with that with you in the examination of you last Thursday. But the transfer to Donegal was at your request, and when you were in Buncrana you were received very well, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

And it would appear from what you have to say in relation to your colleagues in Buncrana and also and perhaps in particular in regard to Superintendent Eng1ish, that you were treated very well?
A. Yes.
Q. And also even on your transfer then subsequently to
is fairly high.

Donegal and your meeting with Superintendent Coen, it would appear on the face of it that what had transpired in Athlone was left behind and you were turning over a new leaf, isn't that right?
A. No, there was -- Athlone never went away. Athlone was --

75 Q. That is what I am just wondering. I mean, is it your case that the meeting on the 8th October involving Chief Superintendent McGinn and the other senior officers, that there was a decision to refer the matter 13:55 to Tusla and also then to GSOC; was this based on a bias against you, if $I$ can put it that way, arising out of your history in Donegal and failing to tell your superiors about your relationship with Marisa Simms and the embarrassment that that had caused in Buncrana, or do you believe that in some way it was a throwback to Ath1one?
A. I believe it was all matters combined.

76 Q. It was al1 matters combined?
A. Yes.

77 Q. And have you been able to or can you see any link between Athlone and what transpired on the 8th October in Letterkenny?
A. Sorry?

Can you point to any link?
A. Again, I don't know because I wasn't in that meeting and we don't know what was discussed in the meeting.

79 Q. No, but you know, you had the papers, you had the statements. I mean, there isn't any suggestion or
there doesn't appear to be any suggestion that any of the matters that transpired in Athlone -- arose at the meeting on the 8th October, were referred to or there was any input in relation to it?
A. Mr. Marrinan, we haven't seen any notes from that meeting on the 8th October so we just don't know.
Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
A. Thank you.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

MR. MCGUINNESS: Chairman, the issue does arise at this stage, we think, having regard to some of the cross-examination conducted by and on behalf of the Commissioner and by Mr. Dockery on behalf of the members whom he represents, of matters put to Garda Harrison that, on one view, should properly in fact have been put to Ms. Simms. So I'm going to recall Ms. Simms and ask those representatives if they wish to put any further matters to Ms. Simms at this stage.

MS. MARISA SIMMS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN, WAS RECALLED AND FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. O'HIGGINS:

81 Q. MR. O'HIGGINS: Ms. Simms, can I put matters to you, but before I put the question, can I just outline a few issues of chronology --
A. Yeah.

82 Q. -- which form the context of the question I'm going to
be putting to you.
A. Yeah.

83 Q. You're aware, aren't you, broadly speaking, of this chronology: that there was the row, I'm going to try to use neutral language, the row between yourself and Keith Harrison on 28th September?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. And there was the contacts with Keith Harrison on that occasion, and then the obvious fact that, two days on, on 6th October, you were providing a statement, or providing a -- you're making a number of allegations against Keith Harrison to Inspector Goretti Sheridan and Sergeant McGowan.
A. Yes.

88 Q. Isn't that right? And then two days later, on the 8th October, you appear to be proceeding with your allegations insofar as you're providing to An Garda Síochána your mobile phone on which is recorded the various text messages?
A. Yes.

```
        Isn't that right?
```

A. That's correct, yeah.
Q. And then lastly in the chronology we know that on the 9th October, the very next day --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

91 Q. -- in circumstances where Keith Harrison, it seems, has now made contact with you or is with you on the 9th October when George O'Doherty telephones you --
A. Yes.
-- we know that at that point in time there appears to be what $I$ am suggesting to you is a sea change, a major change in your position, in that you're now indicating to George O'Doherty you're not desirous of GSOC carrying out any investigation into these allegations, al1 right?
A. Yeah, that's the first time I had heard GSOC. GSOC were never discussed on the meeting on the 6th October.
93 Q. Al1 right. Well, really, my question is this: First of a11, I'm suggesting to you that there was a major change in your position vis-à-vis you making allegations against Keith Harrison and conveying those to An Garda Síochána and then deciding against that course, a dramatic change of mind by you?
A. No, absolutely not, and I totally refute that suggestion. There was never any serious threat to begin with. There was never any sea change. And I totally refute that.
94 Q. Yes. And I'm suggesting to you that it is a reasonable inference for the Chairman to draw from the chronology, from matters, all points on the compass pointing in the direction of you making -- relaying allegations to An Garda Síochána against your partner and then sudden7y indicating a desire that that not proceed further, I say it is -- I'm suggesting to you that it is a reasonable inference for the Chairman to draw, that something caused you to change your mind vis-à-vis proceeding with the allegations, all right?
A. No.

95 Q. And I'm suggesting to you that that something is really someone, in that you had contact with Keith Harrison, the result of which was a change in your mind?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. You did make contact with him, didn't you?
A. Yeah.
A. Yes.

98 Q. In that period, I am speaking between 4th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th October --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

99 Q. -- were you speaking to him on the telephone?
A. Yes.

100 Q. When was that?
A. The 4th, the day of the wedding, when he called me to relay the threat that was made against his life. Probably, every day I had been speaking to him.
101 Q. Over the phone?
A. Yes.

102 Q. And during your sister Paula's for a period and subsequent to handing in the phone to the guards, you also went to the hospital?
A. Yes.

103 Q. Did you meet up with Keith during this period?
A. He visited me while I was in hospital, yes.

104 Q. And did ye discuss -- we11, first of all, over the telephone contacts, did you discuss, did you discuss with Keith Harrison what you had said to the guards?
A. Can I just say, I was in hospital with an infection. Do you really think I was sitting talking about GSOC? No, it wasn't uppermost in my mind at that time, so absolutely not.
Q.

I'm sorry, I don't mean in any sense to pry into medical matters, private matters at all, but in your phone contacts with Keith Harrison, with Garda Harrison, did you discuss with him in any shape or form what you had said to the guards?
A. No. And I couldn't remember what I had said. No.

106 Q. It didn't come up at all?
A. No.

107 Q. In any telephone conversation?
A. Not in any telephone conversation. Yes, we had talked about it, but you're suggesting that there was some
type of collusion, or I don't know what are you suggesting, but I'm totally disgusted, the fact that I'm even sitting here and this is being suggested to me. Have I not been through enough, this public humiliation for two full weeks now?

108 Q. Again, dealing with matters as neutrally as I can, is it not -- is it not likely that Keith -- isn't it most unlikely that Keith would not express dissatisfaction that you had gone to the guards?
A. Yes, I have no doubt that he wasn't happy that I went to the guards.
109 Q. Right. How did he show his unhappiness about you going to the guards? what did he say?
A. I don't recall what he said.

110 Q. But how did it show itself?
A. He would have maybe asked what I went for. I don't recall the conversation.
111 Q. What was the flavour of his dissatisfaction? How was he annoyed? was he annoyed?
A. I don't remember.

112 Q. we11, what caused you to get the impression he was dissatisfied?
A. He was there when George o'Doherty telephoned when I was in hospital.
113 Q. But earlier in the phone contacts was he not dissatisfied, annoyed?
A. Can you repeat that?

114 Q. In the phone contacts you had with him, was he not annoyed about you going to the guards?
A. I couldn't remember what I had said, so he didn't know what he was to be annoyed about, other than that GSOC had contacted and he knew that this -- that obviously a statement of complaint had been forwarded. But, sorry, you had reported allegations against him to 14:05 the guards. Was he not --
A. That was for the chief's eyes only.
Q. Would that not -- well, even -- obviously that is a point of issue between us.
A. Yes, it is.

117 Q. But even if that was so, that was purely for the chief's eyes, would that not be something he would be annoyed about?
A. I'd imagine so, yes.

118 Q. Right. How did he communicate his annoyance?
A. I don't remember. I was in hospital. I genuinely don't remember what he said. You're asking me for a conversation four years ago. I don't remember.
119 Q. When he came in to see you in hospital, did you discuss what was said to the guards?
A. No. As I said, I couldn't remember what was in the statement until I saw it in January 2014. I couldn't remember what was in the statement.

120 Q. But presumably you were able to remember you had relayed allegations about him?
A. Yes, I knew there was allegations about him, yes.

121 Q. I don't think anybody would expect you to remember verbatim word for word what you had said, but you would remember the thrust of it, wouldn't you?
A. As I said, it wasn't utmost in my mind at that time. I don't remember.
Q. Yes. And, of course, your partner had his mobile telephone, which would have on it the texts, isn't that right?
A. I'd imagine so, yes.
Q. And we've heard evidence that he was able to have a conversation with Sergeant wallace, 1 think on the 7th October, making reference to his position as to a threat and a misunderstanding, as he was putting it, as 14:07 to what he had said?
A. I don't know what was discussed with Sergeant wallace. I wasn't there, I don't know.
124 Q. But did you discuss that with your partner?
A. No.

125 Q. The threat?
A. Yes, he relayed the threat on the night of the wedding, yes.
Q. Pardon me?
A. He had rang me after he received the threat on the night of the wedding.

127 Q. We11, I'm speaking about the threat that -- the text appeared to record you giving out about to him for having made against you?
A. Yes.

128 Q. Those threats. I'm not talking about the threats against his life now.
A. okay.

129 Q. So did you and Keith Harrison discuss in any way those
threats?
A. No, I don't believe we did, no.

130 Q. But he was clearly aware of them?
A. I'd imagine he was, yeah.

131 Q. Finally, I wish to suggest to you that there's a very straightforward explanation for the change in your position, namely that Keith Harrison pressurised you?
A. No, he didn't.

MR. O'HIGGINS: Thank you.
MR. HARTY: Mr. Dockery isn't present. There is one question which does arise from the cross-examination on precisely this point of my client by counsel for the Garda Commissioner, I wonder if I could deal with those matters.

MS. SIMMS WAS FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. HARTY:

132 Q. MR. HARTY: Ms. Simms, last Friday --
A. Yes.

133 Q. -- in a new variant on the lines run by the Garda
Commissioner in respect of commissions of inquiry into whistleblowers, you'11 recal1 that there's an issue before this Tribunal in relation to Maurice McCabe in the sense of him being malicious in whistleblowing in a matter before the last Tribunal of Inquiry. And in
this one, it is suggested somehow that Garda Harrison was, in fact, attention-seeking when he made -- and jumping on the bandwagon and looking for fame --
A. Yes.
Q. -- when he made his protected disclosure. You were in discussion with him around the time that he had made the protected disclosure in May 2014, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, yeah.
Q. And I think it is only fair to you, because you were both being thrust into the limelight --
A. Yes.
Q. -- what do you say to the proposition that yourself and Garda Harrison wanted the fame of being whistleblowers and wanted your private lives to be centre stage?
A. I think anyone who would even suggest or think that, number one, you're not in possession of the full facts. This Tusla issue isn't even a snippet of what we have had to endure. Like, I have been followed into Dunnes Stores with a blue light on, driving Garda Harrison's car. I have been in the garden playing with my children and patrols drive past slowly, waving, just to let me know they're there. We've had death threats. We've had people call to the door tell Garda Harrison he's going to be shot, with children inside the house, but don't worry we're investigating. we couldn't take any more. And absolutely no way was this for fame, no way.
137 Q. And in relation to this, you knew what was in that
this matter to be investigated by this Tribunal, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

138 Q. And you knew that your private life would become media fodder?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Well, Mr. Harty, with respect, seriously, nothing in relation to private life has become media fodder at this Tribuna1. The Tribunal has made it perfectly clear what the Tribunal is investigating is the circumstances under which a statement was made, whether it was made honestly, in circumstances where it might fairly be relied on, and whether the referral in consequence to Tusla was correct and whether Tusla acted correctly in consequence of the referral.
Nothing else. Nobody has brought up anything else in relation to private life. And nor is this Tribunal about the business of creating any kind of making of hay on behalf of the media or anybody else. I mean, it may be that the use of the expression "bandwagon" could have been improved, but I'm not sure you can make this much out of it.
MR. HARTY: Well, the expression was made, the
allegation was made. It was cast wildly, rather like the impression "malicious" was cast wildly about Sergeant McCabe in the last Commission of Investigation.
CHAIRMAN: No, Mr. Harty, we're not having a general discussion as to who is right and who is wrong in relation to those matters. I have made it perfectly clear right from the start what the terms of reference mean. I mean, we went so far as to actually put an
explanation of this term of reference onto the website. It doesn't take a genius to work it out. Nor does it take huge intelligence to say that I am investigating contacts between the Gardaí and Tusla in relation to

Garda Keith Harrison. I'm not investigating anything else. And there has been no, as far as I am concerned, there has been no prurient exploration of anybody's private life at all. It is simply in relation to the issue as to whether that statement could be regarded as valid. No one has been making fodder on behalf of the media, least of all I, nor would I have any such interest. I doubt anybody else in the room has. And I don't believe either that anybody who has given evidence here has been in any way mistreated. So, I mean, you have a question.

MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: And I would be obliged if you would ask the question.
139 Q. MR. HARTY: My question is very simple: You knew all of this was going to happen?
A. Yes.

140 Q. That your details, contained in that statement, would, rightly and properly, be put before this Tribunal, and you knew that, therefore, rightly and properly, they would end up in the public domain?
A. Yes. we had no choice. we had to do something. MR. HARTY: Okay. Thanks, Ms. Simms.

MS. SIMMS WAS RE-EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:

141 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: Could I just clarify a couple of matters, Ms. Simms.
A. Yes.

142 Q. Obviously, the texts that you sent in the aftermath of the 28th were sent by you?
A. Yes.

143 Q. And the statements contained in your statement made to the Gardaí --
A. Yes.

144 Q. -- are similar, if not identical, in terms, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

145 Q. And you've told the Tribunal that in the aftermath of the 6th, when you went to the hospital, you didn't really have any discussion with Mr. Harrison about your statement and you couldn't really remember what was in it, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

146 Q. Would you agree that it's quite possible, if not probable, that what you had said in your texts, you also repeated in your statement to the guards?
A. No.

147 Q. Okay. So when did you have a clear appreciation and when did that clear appreciation first come to your mind, that there was a difference between your texts and what you had told the Gardaí?
A. Sorry, could you repeat that?

148 Q. When did you appreciate there was a difference between
what you had texted Garda Harrison and your statement?
A. I didn't -- to be honest, I couldn't even remember sending the text until 1 saw the stuff for the Tribunal, I didn't even realise that $I$ had sent them, and that's being honest.

149 Q. Okay. So you weren't in a position to tell Minister zappone about the texts when you met her?
A. I didn't -- as I said, until I saw the evidence for the Tribunal, that was the first time I remember sending it.
Q. And when you met Minister Zappone with Garda Harrison, had you given her a copy of the statement that you had made to the Gardaí, that you had got back from the Gardaí in December 2014?
A. No, I don't think we did, no.

151 Q. Okay. And you obvious7y realised, did you, when you saw the texts in the Tribunal papers, that you had sent those texts?
A. Yes.

152 Q. Okay. Is there any reason why you didn't furnish perhaps a supplemental statement of explanation, explaining why you had sent those texts?
A. I suppose, in hindsight, I probably should have. I just -- I didn't.
MR. McGUINNESS: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN: That's it.
A. Thank you.

## THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

MR. MCGUINNESS: Chairman, the next set of witnesses are those from Tusla who dealt with the matter. And I am going to ask you to hear the evidence of Ms. Úna coll first.

MS. ÚNA COLL, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:

MR. MCGUINNESS: Ms. Coll's statement is to be found at 14:17 page 1792 of our papers.

153 Q. Ms. Co11, I think you have a BSS (Hons) in social work from Trinity College Dublin?
A. That's correct, yes.

154 Q. And I think you were employed as a child protection social worker within HSE/Tusla from September 2008 until Ju7y 2017?
A. That's correct, yes.

155 Q. And I think in particular in 2012 you were a duty social worker on the West Central Social Work Team and would deal with any referrals to the team?
A. That's correct, yes.

156 Q. And I think you were also at different times acting team leader --
A. I would have at various times.

157 Q. -- when necessary? And you're currently employed by Tusla in fostering support link social -- as a social worker?
A. That's right, yes.

158 Q. Now, I think the Tribunal drew your attention to a statement made by Sergeant Brigid McGowan and diary extracts that she had from October 2013?
A. That's correct, yes.

159 Q. And I think you had met Sergeant McGowan on that day in 14:18 October as part of a general regular HSE liaison meeting?
A. In October 2013?
Q. In October 2013.
A. In October 2013, I was covering -- I was acting team leader for Ms. Smith. Donna McTeague had arranged a number of strategy meetings with Sergeant McGowan and I was covering in my capacity as acting team leader on that date.
161 Q. Now, I think you've no recollection of discussing the matter with Sergeant McGowan at that point in time, in October 2013?
A. I recall that, following strategy meetings, that Sergeant McGowan advised that a referral had been sent to the department in relation to the simms children, and that has stuck in my mind because $I$ had dealt with a previous referral. I don't have any other recollection of any other conversations that took place in relation to the --
162 Q. okay. So you certainly don't recollect any mention of Garda Harrison on that date?
A. No.

163 Q. Okay. And I think she referred to a previous case in her notes in which she said there had been a mention of

Garda Harrison, and I think you have checked your notes in relation to that case, and Garda Harrison was mentioned in connection with that case, but you've no recollection of her account of what was said?
A. I don't have any recollection of that.
Q. In relation to that meeting in October 2013, was there any mention of Garda Harrison being involved in the Simms reference that was being made?
A. My only recollection from that date is that a referral was being sent to the Social Work Department in relation to the simms children. I have no other recollection in relation to the conversation that was had.
Q. Okay. And you presumably hadn't received any actual referral at that point in time?
A. No. I wasn't the duty social worker at that time. Donna McTeague was the duty social worker. I was covering that day for Ms. Smith, who was the team leader.

166 Q. okay. I think in 2012 you had come into possession of an anonymous letter that had been sent to the social work department relating to the Simmses, isn't that correct --
A. That's correct, yes.

167 Q. -- and their children? And I think you met with correct?
A. I did, yes.

168 Q. And what was the purpose of meeting Sergeant McGowan at
that point in time?
A. I suppose, maybe to put it into context, I had contacted Sergeant McGowan by telephone to ascertain the exact postal address for the family. The address that was cited in the anonymous letter was not an address I was familiar with, and I had at that point in time been covering the milford district for approximately two years in my role as duty social worker and I hadn't heard of that address previously, so I made contact with Sergeant McGowan via telephone. My recollection is that there was a meeting between myself and Sergeant McGowan that had already been set up. We would have met regularly in terms of referrals that Gardaí would have sent in to the Social Work Department and, likewise, referrals that the Social

Work Department may have sent to the Gardaí. So my recollection is that that meeting was not a specific meeting in relation to the anonymous letter; it was a general meeting, a liaison meeting, that $I$ had already scheduled with Sergeant McGowan.
169 Q. It would appear, though, that you'd brought the anonymous letter with you?
A. The meeting took place in my office, so $I$ would have had possession --
170 Q. You had it there?
A. Yes.

171 Q. And you showed it to Sergeant McGowan?
A. I did, yes.

172 Q. And can you recollect how she got a copy of it? Did
you volunteer it or did she request it?
A. My recollection is that she requested a copy of the letter and a copy of the letter was provided.
Q. And would that be unusual in any circumstances?
A. No.

174 Q. And is the sharing of information in relation to cases on children with the Gardaí, is that part of your normal collaborative work?
A. Absolutely. And I suppose it is also cited in Children First and it's encouraged that informal and formal communication between the Social Work Department and the Gardaí in relation to child welfare and child protection matters would take place and it would be deemed best practice.
175 Q. Now, Garda Harrison is mentioned, obvious7y, in the anonymous letter, but your investigations led you to meet and speak to the Simmses and you conducted an inquiry which didn't involve Garda Harrison in any way?
A. That's correct, yes.

176 Q. I think were you satisfied that there were no child protection concerns at that time in relation to the Simms children and the case was closed?
A. Yes, that was the outcome of my assessment. MR. MCGUINNESS: Would you answer any questions anyone may have. Thank you.

MS. COLL WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DALY:

177 Q. MR. DALY: Morning, Ms. Col1. I am Peter Daly,
appearing for Garda Harrison in relation to this matter. I have a number of questions for you. Just firstly, I think -- at present I think you are still currently working with Tusla, is that right, not just in the Donegal division?
A. I am Donegal. I am not in the child protection service. I am in the fostering service.
Q. I think for the purpose of this Tribunal I think you gave a statement to the Tribunal on the 24th August of this year, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

179 Q. And I think, as part of that, I think you were provided with a number of documents and extracts from diaries to assist you in compiling your statement, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

180 Q. And were you here for Sergeant McGowan's evidence?
A. No.

181 Q. You weren't?
A. I wasn't.

182 Q. Firstly, I think in your direct evidence you refer to the Children's First Guidelines in relation to the sharing of information and that it was normal practice. I think you are familiar with the guidelines, it's something that you rely on, on a daily basis, is that right?
A. I would have in my career in child protection, yes.

183 Q. And I think amongst other things contained in the Children First Guidelines, it deals with certain matters but in particular it deals with an issue of
record-keeping. Are you familiar with best practices and guidelines within that?
A. I am, yes.

184 Q. Would you agree with me that record-keeping is important, particularly in documenting exchanges between social workers, An Garda Síochána and/or any other agencies?
A. Absolutely, yes.

Right. And in particular perhaps if we could just have page 1219 up on the screen, in the Tribunal's materials. In particular, 7.11 .2 , it notes:
"Record-keeping: HSE and An Garda Síochána should keep a written record of decisions taken in relation to the case."

That is the position. Is that something that you would adopt yourself and expect all others to adopt?
A. Yes. And we always strive, I suppose, to maintain records. It's not always possible to record absolutely 14:25 everything, but yes, we do strive to maintain written records.

186 Q. It goes on to note:
"A11 contacts between the HSE and An Garda Síochána should be recorded."
A. Yes.

187 Q. Is there any particular reason as to why that is specified?
A. I'm not aware of any particular reason that that is specified.
Q. In addition, I think record-keeping is also dealt with furthermore within the guidelines at page 1211. It's noted as being of critical importance in the area of work. would you agree with that?
A. I would, yes.
Q. And that also it is essential for professionals to keep contemporaneous records of all reported concerns in a safe place, obviously for reference in future, and ensuring that perhaps if an alternative social worker, or otherwise, was dealing with the case, they're in a position to bring themselves up to speed, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

190 Q. In addition, I think the guidelines also deal with issues of confidentiality. Obviously you're dealing with very sensitive pieces of information, and confidentiality is of the utmost importance as well, would you agree with that?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. It was touched on briefly in your direct evidence in relation to this incident in January 2012, which related to an unrelated case but was in the Churchill area, are you familiar with that?
A. I am, yes.

192 Q. And I think there's a suggestion that you've had an opportunity to view the extracts from Sergeant McGowan's in the correspondence that was forwarded to
you, is that right?
A. I have, yes.

193 Q. And she referred to a meeting in January 2012 between you and her?
A. Yes. I have no recollection of that meeting.
Q. You have no recollection of that. You've no notes of that?
A. No.
Q. And I think, in fairness, having perused your diary entries, $I$ think you keep a diary in relation to noting as to who you are dealing with and what the purpose of the meeting was?
A. I would have a diary, yes.
Q. And I think you viewed those, and there's nothing in your diary to suggest that you ever had a meeting with Sergeant McGowan in January 2012, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes. Would you agree that if such a meeting had taken place, that you would have noted it in your diary, and perhaps, even further, you might have written records or notes of what had happened at that meeting?
A. If it was a formal meeting I would agree that there would have been a note in either a diary or my notebook or indeed on RAISE, which is the recording system that we would use in the Social Work Department, which is an 14:27 electronic recording system, but $I$ reviewed all of my documentation and I don't have any notes.

198 Q. And in dealing with that issue insofar as it related to Garda Harrison in that unrelated case, you've no
recollection of speaking with your team leader in relation to the incident insofar as it related to Garda Harrison, is that correct?
A. No, I've no recollection.

199 Q. And also in relation to Garda Harrison, other than the fact of there was a diary entry, you've no record or otherwise?
A. I have no record. I have record in my notes in relation to that case, that separate matter, that Garda Harrison was mentioned once --

200 Q. Right.
A. -- by --
Q. But in relation to your exchanges with -- your alleged exchanges with Sergeant McGowan, you've nothing in that respect, is that right?
A. I've nothing, no.

202 Q. Right. Would you be surprised to note that Sergeant McGowan has no contemporaneous note of this alleged meeting, or this discussion of the incident in February 2012?
A. Again, as I stated, we do -- I am particular and I know my colleagues, we strive to keep notes. I don't have a note of every conversation that I ever had with members of An Garda Síochána or with other professionals, or indeed with colleagues in relation to cases. I don't
have any notes in relation to that, to that meeting. I'm not saying that that meeting didn't take place or a discussion didn't take. I don't have any notes or any recollection, and it was five-and-a-half years ago.

CHAIRMAN: Can you help me, Mr. Daly, please, because I am not sure -- there was a meeting certainly in relation to the anonymous letter, which seems to have been received in January, people have dated it in February, and there was a chat between Ms. Coll and Sergeant McGowan over that, a copy certainly was taken, but you're referring to something else and I'm not quite sure what it is. It's another unrelated matter? MR. DALY: It's in relation to the incident that was referred to of an unrelated case in the Churchill area in January 2012. Sergeant McGowan made a reference to that it was discussed with Ms. Col1. I am exploring that neither Ms. Coll nor Sergeant McGowan have any notes of it, and Ms. Coll has no recollection, notes or records of this meeting either.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. It's about another child, another family?

MR. DALY: It's an unrelated case. It was addressed by Sergeant McGowan in her statement and also addressed by Ms. Coll in her statement to the Tribunal.
205 Q. In dealing with the anonymous letter, Ms. Coll, I think
you had a discussion with Sergeant McGowan on the 9th February in the morning, is that right, in relation to this?
A. That's correct, yes.

206 Q. And then there was a subsequent meeting that afternoon in your office on unrelated matters or specifically in relation to this anonymous letter?
A. My recollection is that it was in relation to unrelated matters, that it was a liaison meeting. That I would have had many liaison meetings with Sergeant McGowan in relation to referrals received from Gardaí and also referrals sent from the HSE or Tusla to the Gardaí.
207 Q. I think you that afternoon, I think, had a meeting with Sergeant McGowan. I think there was one issue which you wanted to canvass or discuss with Sergeant McGowan, 14:31 and that was to establish the exact -- or a correct address that was originally in the letter, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And I think that was the only purpose of you raising it 14:31 with Sergeant McGowan, would you agree with me in that?
A. My -- yes, I was inquiring in relation to the exact address for the family. However, there were concerns expressed in relation to children in the milford area, and Sergeant McGowan was the Children First liaison sergeant in that area, so it would not have been unusual for me to have a discussion with Sergeant McGowan in relation to children in the area that she was the Garda liaison sergeant in, where concerns were
raised in relation to their welfare. But my primary concern at that point in time was to establish a correct postal address for the family.
Right. And in doing that, I think you asked Sergeant McGowan to make inquiries, establish the address, and I 14:32 suppose isn't it fair to say that there was no criminal issues arising from the anonymous letter and there was no issues involving Garda Harrison and Tusla arising from the letter also?
A. There were no issues arising.

210 Q. And I think isn't it right that Sergeant McGowan specifically requested a copy of this letter, I think did you copy it there and then for her and give her a copy of the letter?
A. I would have made a copy there and then, yes.
would you agree with me that, I have to suggest that there was absolutely no necessity or reason that you would need to provide the letter to Sergeant McGowan if she was simply to corroborate or establish an address? There was nothing in the letter that could advance or assist her in establishing the address, would you agree with me on that?
A. I agree that there was nothing in the letter that would assist Sergeant McGowan in establishing an address. However, I had requested that she make inquiries to ascertain the correct address, and Sergeant McGowan did request a copy of the letter. I saw no reason not to give her a copy of the letter because I was asking her to make inquiries on my behalf to ascertain the
address.
212 Q. But during your discussions that morning or during the course of the meeting, you didn't offer the letter to her; it was specifically she requested it?
A. That's my recollection, yes.

Would you agree with me that perhaps issues in relation to confidentiality and their importance are -- of handing out an anonymous letter containing certain allegations, perhaps, in hindsight, it would have been preferable not to provide a copy to Sergeant McGowan?
A. I don't agree. I'm bound by confidentiality within my role as a social worker and then a child protection social worker. Similarly, Sergeant McGowan is bound by confidentiality in her role as a Garda liaison sergeant. So it wasn't that I handed this anonymous letter out to just some random person. Sergeant McGowan was our Children First liaison sergeant.

214 Q. Right.
A. And I didn't see any issue in providing her with a copy of it.

215 Q. And would you have expected Sergeant McGowan to pass that letter on to anybody else or would you expect her to keep it confidential to her exchanges between you and involving the children the subject of the letter?
A. I wouldn't have expected her to pass it out, as you say. However, I suppose in terms of my own dealing of the matter, I would have discussed the case with my team leader, and likewise, at times I'm sure Sergeant McGowan would have had to discuss the case or cases,
not particularly this case, but a number of cases with her line management.

Right. And I think immediately, or on the same date, I 14:35 think, having discussed the matter with Mr. and Mrs. Simms, I think you came to the conclusion that the matter should be closed and in fact it was deemed that it was an inappropriate referral, would you agree with me?
A. Following discussion with Mr. and Mrs. Simms, they provided consent for me to contact relevant professionals involved with the children. After I had spoken to those professionals and had feedback and there was no concerns, yes, it was deemed at that point 14:35 in time that there was no evidence to substantiate the referral information.

220 Q. I think at page 1813, I think it was noted as an inappropriate referral, on the 7th March. If we could
scroll down a bit further. Just there at the bottom of that.
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. It's deemed an inappropriate referral?
A. Yes. anything arising in relation to this issue?
A. I don't recal1.
Q. Right. Sergeant McGowan didn't come back to you with the address, did she?
A. In terms of the family?

225 Q. Yes, well, I suppose the sole purpose of you contacting Sergeant McGowan was to establish and corroborate an address?
A. Yes, I do believe that she had come back to me and advised me that Court, Milford was an accurate address and I'd sent out the letter thereafter.
Q. Do you have a record or note of any of this return conversation or exchange with Sergeant McGowan?
A. I don't. I don't, no.

227 Q. Dealing with the next issue, there was reference to a meeting on the 14th March 2012 by Sergeant McGowan.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall this meeting?
A. No, I don't.

229 Q. Do you say that there was no meeting or that you don't recall the meeting?
A. I don't recall the meeting.
Q. Right.
A. And I checked my diary for the 14th March and I don't have --

231 Q. There's nothing in your diary to suggest there was a meeting on the 14th March?
A. No.

232

Right. I think if it was a case, that be it through your diary or notes, if there was a meeting, that you would have some record of it, would you agree with me?
A. If it was a formal meeting that I was invited to, yes, I would expect to have had a note in my diary in relation to it.

236 Q. And then just to bring you somewhat forward in relation to October 2013 --
A. Yes.

237 Q. -- was, I think, your next involvement. I think that you were still dealing with Sergeant McGowan in relation to other matters, and I think there was a
suggestion that at a meeting on the 9th October with Sergeant McGowan, that there was reference of some referral in transit, so to speak, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

238 Q.
After the 9th October -- or at the 9th October, did you have any further involvement? Did you arrange any further meetings or strategy meetings post 9th October?
A. No. And I think at the time, I think there was a system in operation within Tusla whereby if referrals were received, that they were somewhat screened, is that right, by the principal social worker?
A. Yes.

241 Q. And that was a Mr. Hone, is that right?
A. That's correct.

242 Q. Was he the most senior person working in the Donegal region, an experienced social worker, as the principal social worker, would you agree with me on that?
A. He was a principal social worker. He reported to the area manager. So --

243 Q. Right. And was he experienced?
A. Yes, absolutely.

244 Q. And I think a referral was received on the 10th October, were you aware of that?
A. I had no dealings with the case following my meeting on the 9th October. And I wasn't the duty social worker, so I wouldn't have been aware of when the referral
would have been received.
MR. DALY: Thank you, Ms Coll.
MR. HARTNETT: No questions.

MS. COLL WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM:

245 Q. MR. DIGNAM: Ms. Coll, my name is Conor Dignam and I appear on behalf of An Garda Síochána. I just want to clarify three things with you, and I don't think there is any controversy in relation to them. The first is, the anonymous letter of 2012 which you describe on the assessment form as an inappropriate referral, could you confirm that that's not a referral that was made by An Garda Síochána?
A. No, that was an anonymous referral.

CHAIRMAN: Well, I would hope not.
MR. DIGNAM: No, I just wanted to clarify that, Judge.
CHAIRMAN: I am sure you have other avenues for doing things apart from writing out articulate and grammatically-correct letters of an anonymous kind. MR. DIGNAM: I just wanted to clarify that, Judge, in case a point was being made in drawing the witness's

CHAIRMAN: Well, lots of point are being made, but that is the highest jump I think we have had so far.
246 Q. MR. DIGNAM: In relation then, Ms. Coll, in relation to
the confidential information that you exchanged with Sergeant McGowan, I don't think there's any controversy in relation to this, but you in your work as a Tusla social worker and Sergeant McGowan's work as the liaison officer with Tusla, presumably you exchanged confidential information on a regular basis?
A. Absolutely, yes.

247 Q. And do I take it that your expectation would be in passing something to Sergeant McGowan, as you say you were duty-bound to maintain confidentiality, and your expectation, I believe, is that Sergeant McGowan was also duty-bound to maintain confidentiality, is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.

248 Q. And in relation to the suggestion that Sergeant McGowan 14:42 passed out the anonymous letter, the copy of the anonymous letter, to the best of your knowledge, Ms. Coll, that went to Sergeant McGowan's line manager?
A. Yes.

249 Q. Isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. You're not aware of it having been passed out beyond the line management within An Garda Síochána?
A. No, I'm not. I was made aware of that from the Tribunal documentation.

251 Q. And then finally just in relation to your discussions and conversations, I think you describe it in your evidence that you and your colleagues strive to keep notes of all discussions and conversations that you
have, but that there are occasions when you don't manage to keep a note of conversations and discussions, is that a fair way of summarising your evidence?
A. Yes, that is fair, yes.

MR. DIGNAM: Thank you, Ms. Col1.
CHAIRMAN: Is there any other questions?
MR. MCDERMOTT: Chairman, just one matter.

MS. COLL WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDERMOTT:

252 Q. MR. MCDERMOTT: I wonder could page 1217 be brought up, please. Ms. Coll, I'm just going to bring your attention to paragraph 7.5 of the document we were looking at earlier, and you will see it expressly provides for occasions when there can be informal consultation between HSE and Tusla and the Gardaí.
A. Yes, that's correct.

253 Q. So not everything has to necessarily be a formal minuted meeting. Occasionally you're just chatting with Gardaí, passing information back and forth, such as an address?
A. Absolutely, yes.

254 Q. And the mere fact there might not be a note of such a conversation, doesn't mean that anybody in this room has to worry you must be in a conspiracy with somebody or must be hiding something from somebody just because you haven't written down a note like that?
A. No.

MR. MCDERMOTT: Thank you.

MS. COLL WAS QUESTIONED BY THE CHAIRMAN:

255 Q. CHAIRMAN: I just have a couple of questions.
Ms. Coll, I'm not sure where you graduated from or when?
A. Trinity College in 2008.
Q. CHAIRMAN: There seems to be a lot of people from Trinity working in Donegal?
A. There is a few of us all right.

257 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yes, there seems to be. Now, that is not in any way a loaded statement, by the way; it is just an observation. And I take it you take pride in your work?
A. I do, yes.
Q. CHAIRMAN: You make your own decisions?
A. I do, in consultation with my team leader. But I do make my own decisions, yes.
Q. CHAIRMAN: Well, this may seem like one of these questions that is answered yes, yes, yes, yes, as in yes, yes, yes with the EBS. But I'm just wondering how would you feel about the Gardaí directing you as to how to do your work or as who you were to meet or as to how you were to follow up on your professional duties?
A. That has never been something that I have come across in my working with the Gardaí, nor would I accept direction from the Gardaí. I accept direction from my line management. Yes, we consult with Gardaí in relation to various matters. However, the Gardaí have
most certain7y never directed me in terms of how I should carry out my work, nor would I accept that from the Gardaí.

260 Q. CHAIRMAN: And have you ever heard of it in relation to this case or any other case?
A. In terms of Gardaí directing social workers?

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
A. No, I have not.

262 Q. CHAIRMAN: And if you take it one step further, where the Gardaí are not only directing social workers but they are directing them to do their work in such a way as to cause, let us say, anxiety or even mild anxiety to people for purposes of the Gardaí as opposed to social work being carried out for its own purposes such as child protection or family support, how would you feel about that?
A. I wouldn't accept that. I wouldn't accept that any member of An Garda Síochána would see it fit to direct, not only how we carry out our job or when we carry out our job, or in which manner we carry out our job.
Absolutely they may have information that might be pertinent to when it might be inappropriate or when there might be certain situations going on for people that -- I suppose, social work involvement in any family can be intrusive, and we endeavour to be as sensitive as possible, and if any professional, including the Gardaí, were to provide us with information to suggest that perhaps there was a certain situation for a family, that they were not in a good
place and the concern wasn't that of an immediate risk or immediate danger, we would certainly take that on board, but Tusla and I suppose myself, in conjunction with my team leader, we would decide what course of action to take and when to take it. We would take on board advice and consultation from Gardaí, but we wouldn't take direction from Gardaí in terms of when to visit or how to carry out our job. Absolutely not. ChAIRMAN: There was another matter, this is the last matter, it's something that has been floating around; that's the whole notion of personal relationships. Obviously I'm not talking about romance, let's just rule that out entirely. But usually it's the case that birds of a feather flock together, is there much socialisation, for instance, between social workers and 14:47 members of the Gardaí that you're aware of in Donegal?
A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
Q. CHAIRMAN: I mean, do you hear of it at all? I mean, is there even an annual event to which both sets of people would turn up?
A. No. Not that I'm aware of. There's no annual event, no.
CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you.
A. Thank you.

THE WITNESS WITHDREW

MR. MCGUINNESS: The next witness is Mr. Gerry Hone. EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS AS FOLLOWS:
Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: Mr. Hone, thank you for coming today.
A. Okay.

266 Q. You're a professionally qualified social worker. And I 14:48 think you obtained your qualification in 1993 from York University in the United Kingdom?
A. That's correct.

267 Q. And I think you practiced as such for over a decade in a number of different councils in England?
A. That's correct, yes.

268 Q. I think you returned to Donegal in December 2003 to take up a position as social work team leader for the North Western Health Board, as it was then, in Donegal?
A. That's correct.

269 Q. And I think you held that post until February 2005?
A. That's correct.

270 Q. Then you took up the position as principal social worker for alternative care?
A. That's correct, yes.

271 Q. And then you remained in that position until August 2010 when you assumed the role of principal social worker for children and families within the HSE, covering County Donegal?
A. That's correct, yes.

272 Q. And you remained in that position until August 2014 when you became area manager for Tusla covering counties sligo, Leitrim and West Cavan?
A. That's correct.

And were you the appropriate person to whom child referrals were made at that point in time?
A. That's correct. My particular office was the one point of contact designated for officers from the Gardaí to actually forward their notifications, and then I would screen those notifications and pass them on to the team 14:50 that was responsible for the particular area, yes. Gardaí?
A. I would expect to get notifications from the Children First liaison officers or from -- I used to get a lot from Eugene McGovern at the time. But I would generally know the individuals that $I$ would be getting notifications from because they would be people we work with quite closely. notification from Superintendent McGovern?
A. Yes.

277 Q. And from your point of view, was he an appropriate and designated officer from whom to receive a notification of referral?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Perhaps we would look at the letter in that regard, it's at page 110 of our documents. CHAIRMAN: And is this the 9th October 2013,

Mr. McGuinness?
MR. MCGUINNESS: I think it's 10th October.
CHAIRMAN: Is it?
MR. McGUINNESS: If one looks at the top of the letter,
I think it says 10th there.
CHAIRMAN: It does seem to, yes.
279 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: That's addressed to you then in your capacity as principal social worker?
A. That's correct.

280 Q. And it says: "Notification of suspected child abuse. 14:51 Emotiona1." It gives the names, date of birth and address and it simply says: "The attached notifications are forwarded for your information
please. Eugene McGovern, Superintendant." And it's
cc'd to Sergeant Brigid McGowan. Would that be fairly 14:51 standard form of letter enclosing a referral?
A. Yes, that's completely standard, yes.

281 Q. Nothing unusual about it?
A. No

282 Q. Not requiring any special attention?
A. No.

283 Q. It doesn't mention Garda Harrison?
A. No. It mentions the children --

284 Q. Yes?
A. -- which would have been standard, yes.

285 Q. Perhaps we will look at page 111 then. I'm not sure how wel1 you can read that, but you received the original obviously?
A. Yes.
Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: At his meeting with Minister Zappone, Garda Harrison is recorded on page 1558 of our documents as saying:
"The referral was not on the standard notification form."
would you like to comment on that?
A. That is a standard notification form to the HSE, yes.
Q. And you have access to the original?
A. Yes.
Q. It says on the top it's "Standard notification form for use by An Garda Síochána in notifying cases to the HSE", is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I wonder is there any way we can make it bigger?
Q. Okay. The details on it, there's a box ticked obviously "emotional abuse" there?
A. Yes.
Q. Their address is given and names given there, and then there is a short piece of additional information there and perhaps you would just read that out for the record.
A. I am finding it hard to read that.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: No, to your physical left in the box there is a physical file, and page 110, 111 may help you.
A. Thank you.

292 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: I think it reads "Child present --"?
A. "During argument".

293 Q. "-- argument with mother and partner."
A. Yes.

294 Q. "HSE to contact Gardaí on receipt of notifications to confirm contact details." Is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

295 Q. Again that doesn't identify Garda Keith Harrison by name?
A. No.

296 Q. And that is signed then by, identifying the designated Garda as Sergeant McGowan and signed by Superintendent McGovern?
A. Yes.

297 Q. Again there's no mention of Garda Harrison, but there's no sort of special or urgent attention --
A. No.
Q. -- sought on that?
A. No.

299 Q. Or no action specifically required?
A. No.

300 Q. Is that a matter that could be included on the form?
A. It is. And I think what stood out for me on this form was the "HSE to contact the Gardaí", it was the bit in brackets. So on receipt of this form I would have
known by that comment that the Gardaí would have held further information about this particular matter. But that wasn't on this particular form.
Yes. We know of course there was a statement of complaint made in circumstances that are in dispute somewhat obviously, but would it be common or not to refer to a statement of complaint or have you any comment on the absence of reference to it here?
A. Not in this particular form, you wouldn't normally get reference to a statement. You might do in subsequent communications, but not on this particular form.
302 Q. Yes.
A. This form is quite limited in what you can include on it. It is purely a form that is designed for notification and then for matters to be followed up after that.
303 Q. So, it's the starting block, it as were?
A. It's the starting block, yes.

304 Q. okay. But in terms of it allowing you to make any sort of judgment as to what is to occur, is it of great use in that regard?
A. It's not really. I think the importance of it is that it provides a mechanism to ensure that there's a way to inform the HSE about any concern that emerges about a child's welfare. And likewise, for us, we have a and it's that formal notification that allows activities then to begin to examine the welfare issues or the child protection issues in respect of the
children.
305 Q. And in notifications either way, that is from the Gardaí to the HSE or vice versa, you wouldn't expect documents to come with the notifications?
A. Not particularly, no.
Q. However, you considered this and you wrote back to the superintendent?
A. Yes.
Q. And could we look at the letter, page 115 of the documents? It should be on screen there. That's a letter dated 16th October which was sent out at your behest, is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

308
Q. And it says:
"Re: Your notification regarding the two children and address.

I acknowledge receipt of the above notification copy attached. However, as there is no evidence of abuse detailed no further action will be taken from this service until we receive more information.

The notification has been forwarded to Bridgeen Smith, Assistant Team Leader, West Central Team, Child and Family Services, Saint Conal's Hospital, Letterkenny, for information purposes only."

And Then there is a cc list --
A. Yes.
Q. -- of Sergeant McGowan, Bridgeen Smith and Sergeant walsh. Can I ask you, is that letter in form; is it in usual or unusual form?
A. That's in usual form. I think one of the issues that $I$ 14:58 wanted to be clear about in this particular letter is that the notification, although it was ticking a box of emotional abuse, that there wasn't evidence at that particular point on that particular form to suggest emotional abuse. And what I was trying to make clear by this particular letter is that further information needed to be shared between the agencies if any action was to be taken by social work in this particular case.
Q. Okay. This letter has been characterised in different documents as representing a decision by you that sufficient threshold hadn't been met for intervention, is it any such thing?
A. No. It's no such thing. It's really to say that based on the information contained in the notification it was insufficient to suggest emotional abuse at that stage.
Q. At that stage?
A. And further information would need to be given or we would need to find out more information about the situation before we could reach any such determination.
312 Q. okay. It's been suggested in some way that it represents a decision to close the case and that the case then gets reopened later?
A. No, absolutely not.

A11 right. So is it meant to be effectively a trigger
to, as it were, prompt both the Gardaí and HSE to in fact liaise about the matter?
A. Absolutely. And hence, copying it into Brigid McGowan and the team leader, Bridgeen Smith, because that also makes it clear to the service that we have received the 14:59 notification, the status of the notification at that particular stage and the need for more information to be shared.
okay. We have also been provided by Tusla, very properly in discovery obviously, with other forms which 14:59 seem to have been generated as about 16th october.
A. Yes.
Q. Could I ask you to look at page 113 in the book? Have you got the hard copy there, as it were?
A. I have, yes.
Q. It has name of social worker, Donna McTeague. And there's some details put in there, would that have been created by her or by you?
A. No, that would have been created at the social work office either by Donna herself or perhaps by the administration in the office, but Donna would need to confirm that one way or the other.
318 Q. All right. And there's a second page and it says
"Allocated to the social worker --"and it's Donna McTeague there on the side --
A. Yes.

319 Q. -- and it's dated 16th, which is the same time as your
letter. Would you have assigned her to this --
A. No.
Q. -- or did you assign her?
A. No, I didn't assign her to that. That would have been between herself and her team leader at the social work office.

321 Q. Okay. And can you recollect who her team leader was at that point in time?
A. Think I that would have been Bridgeen Smith at the time.
Q. Yes. There's a number of boxes ticked and there's the referral type "child welfare"?
A. Yes.

323 Q. Would emotional abuse be put into that category normally?
A. No. No. Emotional abuse would be a separate category.
Q. Okay. And there's a heading there: "Is person aware of the referral?" And there seems to be an $x$ ticked in the yes box, is that right?
A. Yes.

325 Q. And would that -- who would that relate to, the person being aware? which person does the form relate to?
A. It would relate to either the parents of the children, generally. Generally speaking, yes. okay. And it records then the information that was on the form in handwriting from Superintendent McGovern: "Child present during argument with mother and partner. HSE to contact Gardaí in receipt of notification to confirm contact details."
A. Yes.
Q. "No additional information and referral. Private level 1 other."
A. Yes.
Q. Can you just explain that reference?
A. Yes. Our cases are divided into priorities levels 1, 2 or 3. It is important to point out, this proforma is usually used for gathering information, the basic information that comes in, in the initial referral. So
it probably only includes the information that was received on the initial Garda notification. Potentially why the "child welfare" box may be ticked on this particular occasion, although I would suspect, is because what was on the Garda notification at that time was the children witnessing an argument between their parents. Now in terms of the social work assessment of such a comment, that would fit within the category of child welfare, until such time as further information might well change that category. So at this particular point, which is at the very early stages, priority leve1 2 would mean that there is a medium priority assigned to this particular case.

329 Q. A11 right. But can I take it or have you any recollection of any having contact with Superintendent McGovern about the referral that he sent you or your letter back to him?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any discussion with any member of an Gardaí at all?
33.

331 Q. As I understand your previous answers, you had no input into the choice of the social worker?
A. No.

332 Q. And did you have any contact or discussion with Ms. McTeague as to how she should do her job?
A. No.

333 Q. Can I ask you to look at intake record then on page 116? Again that's dated, it appears to be dated 16th October, it's given at report number, client number. Is that in regular form?
A. That's in regular form, yes.

334 Q. I see. It's got no reference to Garda Harrison at this point in time. It's got Sergeant McGowan's details at part 5 heading "Reporter"?
A. Yes.
Q. There's, the word "yes" is included there in the bottom right-hand box, "discussed concern with parents/guardian", what's that intended to reflect?
A. That's usually intended to reflect whether the referrer has discussed the particular matter with the persons being referred, usually the parents, yes.
336 Q. That is Sergeant McGowan?
A. That is Sergeant McGowan, yeah, who would be --

337 Q. But how would Ms. McTeague know that or would that be 15:05 an assumption?
A. No, that must be through discussion. You would have to ask Ms. McTeague that, yes.
338 Q. I see. At the top of the next page the question is
explicitly asked:
"Are the child's parents/carers aware that this concern has been reported to the HSE?"

And again the word "yes" is put in there.
A. Yes.

339 Q. okay. At the bottom of that page, at box 13, it says: "Other checks: This information is recorded in case notes but it may be appropriate to highlight particular 15:06 details on this."

And it says:
"Sergeant McGowan to discuss case with DSW prior to proceeding. Strategy meeting to be organised."

Would that be the expectation; that Sergeant McGowan either would or had been in contact?
A. Yes. And certainly I would have expected more contact from the initial notification between the Gardaí and DSW, that is duty social worker, which refers to Ms. McTeague. And you would expect that to happen.
340 Q. okay. Would you be expected to have any oversight of how the matter went after?
A. No, not particularly. Yes, that would be the responsibility of the team leader on the particular team.
341 Q. okay. There is a similar form, in terms of an intake
record relating to the other Simms child. There's a report of a strategy meeting at page 124.
CHAIRMAN: Do we have a date for this one, Mr. McGuinness?
MR. MCGUINNESS: It appears to be dated 21st October 2013.

Would that represent a reasonable pace of progress?
A. Yes, it would. Yes. Yes, for this particular type of case, yes.
343 Q.
Okay. And would you regard this as being, therefore, a 15:07 case that was open and ongoing and being progressed properly?
A. Yes, yes.

344 Q. There's a short section (b) there which records minutes, at number 5 it says:
"Marisa Simms made statement of complaint to Gardaí detailing incident when child/children were present. Incident was a verbal disagreement between Marisa Simms and current partner. Gardaí aware that Marisa Simms recently hospitalised. Further investigations to be conducted when Marisa regains health. Social Work Department to liaise with Gardaí to ascertain when Ms. Simms in position to progress matter."

That is recommendation 1.
A. Yes.

345 Q. Recommendation 2:
"Social Work Department not to contact Ms. Simms until confirmation from Sergeant McGowan that the matter can be progressed by Social Work Department."
A. Yes.
A. It really depends on the individual circumstances of the case. I presume in this particular case it was the fact that Ms. Simms was $\mathbf{i 1 1}$ and was hospitalised and I presume sergeant McGowan was going to contact the social work service when Ms. Simms would be discharged from hospital and that would allow the social work to proceed with the investigation.
348 Q. Yes?
A. I think in cases where there's no apparent immediate protection issues in respect of a child you can be more 15:09 flexible in the timeframe for response, yes.
Q. All right. There's reference obviously to Ms. Simms's statement of complaint to Gardaí detailing incident when child/children were present?
A. Yes.

Is it common to either seek or obtain a copy of such a statement?
A. Yes. The practice at that particular time, from my recollection, was that we didn't ask particularly to
see the statements. So we were, we were depending on Gardaí to forward the relevant details pertaining to the statement, yes. Certain cases you might ask to see the statement, depending on a particular case in question, and particularly if there was very serious child protection issues. Since this particular -since I think the policy changed in Donegal around the year 2014, where, based on experience, we asked to see individual statements just because -- and that was purely based on the fact that when Gardaí read
statements they tend to read them, yes, from a child welfare perspective, but with not necessarily the expertise that a social worker might have in terms of child welfare. So that it would be better if social workers actually read statements, where possible, where child welfare concerns were being raised in order to come -- I suppose part of their assessment, to make their assessment more robust, yes.
351 Q. But it certainly wasn't standard practice to be given it?
A. No, no. No, absolutely not.

352 Q. But you would expect some discussion about the key issues, would you?
A. Yes, yes. You'd expect -- yes.

353 Q. As of this date, it doesn't appear that Garda Harrison it related to him?
A. No, I don't see any reference.

354 Q. Have you ever had any experience of the Gardaí in

Donegal trying to get to somebody by a referral, as it were, to make an inappropriate referral?
A. Never, no. I've had no experience. I've had experience of what I would call maybe inappropriate referrals to Tusla, but not so much in trying to get at 15:11 any member of their own organisation, yes.

The recommendation 2 there that we looked at, at page 125 --
A. Yes.

356 Q
-- "social worker not to contact Ms. Simms" - do you
regard that as an instruction from the Gardaí as to what the Social Work Department are to do or not to do?
A. No, absolutely not. That's in case -- I would suspect, in case another social worker would actually pick this up or say Ms. McTeague went off sick, that there would be an awareness that there was further contact expected from the Gardaí in respect of this matter. And that clearly relates to the fact that Ms. Simms was sick and it was not a good time to be going out to meet with her to discuss these concerns.

357 Q. Okay. We11, is there anything inappropriate there that causes you any concern or alarm bells or --
A. No. No. Nothing.

358 Q. There's an initial assessment form at page 134?
A. Yes.

359 Q. You've seen that document, it's dated 28th January?
A. Yes.

360 Q. And Garda Harrison is mentioned there now for the first time and it's noted in the reason there, the reason for
the initial assessment:
"Notification received from Gardaí indicating blank present during argument between her mother and partner. Marisa made statement of complaint to Gardaí and later advised she did not wish the matter to be investigated further."

CHAIRMAN: And what's the date of this particular one, Mr. McGuinness?

MR. MCGUINNESS: This is the 28th January 2014. CHAIRMAN: Right. So this is after the withdrawal in the Garda station.
MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
361 Q. The assessment form has a space for record then, it appears to be part of the form at part 9 and 10 , which is on page 137. Now, there's notes there which relate to a meeting, is that an appropriate as a summary of notes in your view as a principal social worker?
A. Yes, that's appropriate, yes.

CHAIRMAN: Are you talking about paragraph 3, is it? MR. McGUINNESS: No, it's the fourth page of the document, Chairman. At page 137 of our documents. CHAIRMAN: Oh yes, yes, I have noted that already, yes. Thanks. Sorry, what was the point, Mr. McGuinness?
362 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: I'm just asking is that an appropriate note? Do you see anything there, was anything ever reported to you by any of the personnel in your department that there was any inappropriate pressure or
influence --
A. No.

363 Q. -- brought to bear on any of your employees?
A. Never.

364 Q. You've heard and seen documented complaint made on
behalf of Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms that
notwithstanding this initial assessment and their interview that took place that there was a home visit, could you outline just your experience and knowledge of when or in what circumstances it might be considered appropriate to view the children in their home context?
A. Yes. It was normal practice at the time, and still is, that when you proceed to any initial assessment of a child welfare concern that you would undertake to see the carers and the children. And that is expected in the completion of any initial assessment. And that's why this would be highly normal in terms of what we're reading here, in terms of the home visit. That's there for a couple of reasons. And that's to make sure that the voice of the child gets heard whenever you're investigating welfare concerns to make sure that you give children an opportunity; you know, just to make sure that they're okay, you see them interact with their parents, you get them to interact with you, to just make sure everything is fine and normal basically. 15:16 365 Q. Okay. And would you expect the social worker to liaise with her supervisor in connection with whether it was necessary or what the conclusion was?
A. Yes, it would be good practice for the social worker to
liaise with their team leader. But that doesn't mean necessarily it takes place all of the time.
Q. Yes?
A. You might have child welfare cases where you'd expect an experienced duty social worker to get on with their job without too much, having to go to the supervisor all the time. It depends on the experience of the individual worker.
A. Yes.
A. That is good practice, yes. Yes, that is normal practice.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. McGuinness, I interrupted you in relation to the file, because $I$ was a little confused in relation to the dates. I thought that the 7th February 2014 was the office encounter, but this seems to be dated 28th January. It may be that as the file is built up that entries are made into the file. mR. McGUINNESS: I think I can ask Mr. Hone and the other witnesses to confirm that.

370 Q. There's nothing unusual in the assessment being recorded in the form, although the form has been opened?
A. No, there's nothing unusua1. And at that particular time as well it was -- what social workers tended to do was move their case notes into this particular part of the form. So you get almost a repeat of what is in the case notes and a description of the home visit. But that is to help clarify the decision-making in the form. This form helps bring together all the pertinent information --
Q. Yes?
A. -- look, at the particular risks to the child and analyse the information to make a determination of risk and what we should be doing next.
CHAIRMAN: So am I still correct in taking, Mr. McGuinness and Mr. Hone, from the file that the office encounter was the 9th February 2014 and that the 15:19 home visit was the 19th February 2014?

MR. MCGUINNESS: I think it was the 7th was the --
A. Yes. That would need to be clarified with the social worker I think.

CHAIRMAN: 7th was the office, was it?
A. I'm not sure, Chairman. You would need to ask -CHAIRMAN: It's just the initial date is maintained notwithstanding information being put in --
A. okay.

CHAIRMAN: -- is that the idea?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Is it the idea?
A. Yes, I think that's the idea.

CHAIRMAN: You paste it in?
A. Yes, it's just brought across, yes.

372 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: I think the initial visit was proposed as the 6 th at the offices and it was changed to the 7th?
A. Yes.

373 Q. You mightn't be aware of that?
A. I think that's -- yeah.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Would you answer any other questions.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. McGuinness, sorry, maybe you would correct me then in relation to when things were, because with so many dates flying around I am just -MR. McGUINNESS: Yes. The initial letter of invitation to come in for a meeting in the Social Work Department --
CHAIRMAN: why the 2nd February.
MR. McGUINNESS: -- was proposed to be on the 6th February, but it took place on the 7th.

CHAIRMAN: We11, that's right. And the letter was sent on the 2nd February, isn't that right?
MR. McGUINNESS: Yes. It's dated 3d in my copy
actually.
CHAIRMAN: And the home visit then, was that the 19th February? Am I correct in noting it as that? MR. McGUINNESS: I think that is correct, Chairman. CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Would you answer any questions anyone else may have, Mr. Hone, thank you.
A. Yes.

MR. HONE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DALY AS FOLLOWS:
MR. DALY: Mr. Hone, Peter Daly is my name and I appear for Garda Harrison. I just have a couple of short questions for you.
A. Yes.

Can you help me in relation to what is the management structure at the time? I think, is it right that all matters as referred from Gardaí came through to you, is that right?
A. All Garda notifications came through to my office, yes. 15:20
Q. And was the purpose of that a screening process or was that, was that due to staffing constraints? or what was the reason that you would make a first decision in relation to all matters that come in?
A. It was main7y to ensure that there was one point of contact for which the Gardaí could actually address their notifications. Because the county would have been divided into four distinct social work patches and social work teams at the time, and each social work team would have its own duty workers on each team. So Garda notifications would come into one central point. The idea was I would acknowledge those Garda notifications and send them out to the team that were responsible for that particular -- wherever the child's address was basically.

377 Q. Right. So that being that you were the central or the one focal point of contact from the inception of the referral perhaps right until the conclusion of the referral, is that right?
A. Just at the inception, yes. I wouldn't be involved through to the conclusion.
Q. Would you agree with me that -- would it be normal course that you would be kept up-to-date in relation to developments over referrals? Or is that simply once it 15:22 comes across your desk that is the end of your involvement?
A. That would be the end of my involvement, unless the team leader decided on receipt of the information or at some point during the case that they needed to consult further or needed to seek advice about how the case should be progressed.
Q. I think that letter was opened to you at page 115 in relation to you having received the referral?
A. Yes.
Q. I think the referral is categorised as an emotional abuse referral --
A. Yes.

381 Q. -- child protection issue, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q.

I think subsequently despite what you say in your letter of the 16th the matter was progressed and it was deemed not to be a child protection issue, is that right?
A. That's correct. But I fully expected the matter to be progressed, yes.

383 Q. In your direct evidence you said in relation to, when you look at that referral it would lead you to believe that it indicates that there was perhaps more to this?
A. Yes.
Q. But yet you deemed it sufficient in your letter to state that "I acknowledge receipt of the above notification. However, as there is no evidence of abuse detailed no further action will be taken from this service until we receive further information."
A. Yes. The point was that it was important that whatever other information the Gardaí held that it was actually shared with the Social Work Department.
Q. So is that a criticism that you weren't provided with enough information by the Gardaí in relation to the referral on the 10th?
A. No, it is not a criticism. I would fully expect that there would be further consultation between the Gardaí and the social work office that was dealing with the particular case.
Q. I think you said in your direct evidence that is not tantamount to a decision effectively is that the position?
A. It's not a decision, no.

And if it was the case that there was no further information that in fact would result in a final decision, in that there was no further action?
A. Yes, if there was no further information brought forward, yes.
Q. So on the basis of that letter when you wrote it, that was in fact the decision, wasn't it?
A. No. That wasn't the decision.
Q. But you had no further information at that stage,

Mr. Hone, did you?
A. I think what I pointed out earlier was, in brackets on the original notification was the "HSE to contact Gardaí on receipt of the notification", so I would have been very much aware that the Gardaí had further information on this particular case. But the place to put it was not on the Garda notification form. And I was making sure that the Gardaí knew that whatever further information was there would need to be shared with the Social Work Department. And that was normal process.

390 Q. Who were they to specifically liaise with?
A. That would have been -- you will see that it was copied to Bridgeen Smith, the team leader, the particular letter that I sent back to the Gardaí, because she was the team leader for the area from which the children came from.

391 Q. Right. Is there any particular reason why Sergeant Eunan walsh, of Letterkenny, is that right, as to why they were cc'd?
A. No particular reason. No, no particular reason.

392 Q. In relation to, referred to at the documents, the documents referred to at 114 , you're not the author of this document, are you?
A. No.

393 Q. Do you have any idea as to when it was created?
A. I'm not sure if there's a date on it. CHAIRMAN: Wasn't it supposed to be the 21st? Maybe. It's there somewhere, is it?

MR. DALY: I think there's a suggestion that it was the 16th.

CHAIRMAN: Was it?
MR. DALY: The same day that Mr. Hone sent his letter.
CHAIRMAN: Fine.
MR. DALY: Just in looking at that, is this person aware of the referral, you say that's the parents of the subject child, is that right?
A. Yes.

So you're suggesting that --
A. There can be a delay between -- so, what sometimes happens is, communications can be ongoing between the local social work office and the Gardaí in respect of a particular case, because sometimes Garda notifications are delayed or say perhaps I get them and I don't read them until four days after or whatever because I'm out of the office or whatever, then there can be ongoing contact between the Gardaí and the local office without my knowledge.
would you agree with me that as referred to previously with Ms. Col1, it is important that notes and records are kept in relation to that also?
A. Yes, yes.

397 Q. In relation to the suggestion that Tusla don't act on direction or otherwise from the Gardaí, isn't that in fact what happened when we look at page 125; that the HSE were told not to contact Ms. Simms despite having received what the Gardaí deemed to be a child protection issue of emotional abuse? So they were in
fact directing the HSE at that time or Tusla.
A. No, that's not my interpretation of that. I think the reason that that was being proposed was because concern that Ms. Simms was in hospital and that this wouldn't be the right time to do that and I would point again to 15:27 the type of case that this is. If this suggested immediate child protection concerns then this would have been followed up more quickly. This case was already designated priority 2 , priority 1 being a child protection case, priority 2 being child welfare.

So then you'd expect members of the HSE to perhaps make inquiries with An Garda Síochána if such information wasn't forthcoming in relation to Ms. Simms' health?
A. Yes. And it is my understanding that that is what happened in this particular case.
399 Q. Are you aware as to what length of period passed before such inquiries were made?
A. Yes, I think it was -- was it into February before --
Q. From a referral in October --
A. Yes.

401 Q. -- 2013?
A. Yes. And Ms. McTeague can answer to the delay herself. And my understanding of that was that it was the social work office who contacted the Gardaí to discuss the progress of the case and it was at that point that it was brought forward by the Social work Department.
402 Q. So despite the fact that it was noted that no further contact was to be forthcoming until Sergeant McGowan authorised it, it was in fact Tusla or the HSE that
made contact and not Sergeant McGowan?
A. Yes. That needs to be confirmed with Ms. McTeague, but that is my understanding.
403 Q.
Right. So in effect had Ms. McTeague, or whoever, made the contact, sergeant McGowan never referred to in relation to the health or otherwise of Ms. Simms?
A. That would have to be discussed with Ms. McTeague, I'm not sure.
Q. Just in relation to, there's reference to the previous issue of the anonymous letter in January/February 2012, 15:29 you're familiar with that?
A. Yes.
Q. I think you refer to all cases, that it's important that in respect of home visits that it's important that the opportunity is given that the social worker would have an opportunity to examine or inspect or engage with the children in the home, I suppose in their natural habitat, so to speak?
A. Yes.

406 Q. Are you surprised in that case that there was no home
A. I'm not -- not particularly because of the type of referral that it actually was.
407 Q. That was also a child welfare referral, am I correct in that?
A. That's correct.
Q. And it didn't deem or it wasn't at that stage deemed justified to have a home visit, and it was a welfare issue, but on this occasion it was?
A. My understanding of that is that it was a referral to do with the father of the children needing support because he was struggling with the care of the children. There was no real concerns that the children were suffering in any way because of that, but it would 15:30 be a family support matter. MR. DALY: Thank you, Mr. Hone.
A. Thank you.

MR. HONE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. HARTNETT AS FOLLOWS:
409 Q. MR. HARTNETT: Yes, if I could ask you some very brief questions.
A. Yes.

410 Q. You refer to the three different types of priority --
A. Yes.

411 Q. -- 1, 2 and 3. what is the 3?
A. 3 would be a family support, low level family support, where maybe parents might be struggling with the care of the children, or they might be going through -- you know, they might need just help with the general
day-to-day care of their children, yes.
412 Q. So 3 is of lesser importance?
A. Of lesser importance.

413 Q. So 1 is the most important, is that right?
A. Yes.

414 Q. What would that mean?
A. That would be children where there would be immediate child protection concerns.

415 Q. When you say immediate, are you talking about on the
spot, that day?
A. Yes, yes. It's generally where you receive a referral and you know that there's an immediate risk to those children that you actually need to address straightaway.

416 Q. And 2 you described as medium priority?
A. That's correct.

417 Q. And what would that meantime wise?
A. Yes, that would be generally where there's some welfare concerns expressed by the referrer in respect of the children that require looking at, but there is nothing to suggest in the information that there is immediate risk to the children.
418 Q. But it is a matter of priority of some type?
A. It is a matter of priority, yes.

419 Q. Now having been marked down as 2, which is medium priority --
A. Yes.

420 Q. -- what would be the usual time for intervention or addressing that priority?
A. That depends generally on the circumstances of the particular case in question.

421 Q. I see. But the obligation is on the social worker, isn't that correct?
A. Absolutely.

422 Q. So the social worker would be expected to, if you like, review the files and review the question of priority and what the situation was?
A. Yes, that's correct. And it would be done in a context
of the other priorities that exist within the social work team at that particular point in time, yes. But if there was a direction or an advice on the file that the matter was not to be progressed until, if you like, a garda had indicated certain things, would that not be taking away from the obligation that's on the social worker?
A. Absolutely not. The obligation remains on the social worker and on social work to actually look at the referral and assess the risk.

424 Q. Yes. But say the confirmation from the Gardaí didn't come for 12 months what would the situation be?
A. There is a system within social work teams to currently - look at all open cases, to keep an eye on them, to prioritise them and to make sure that they're followed up. And risk is managed within the social work team looking across cases. I'm not aware of any circumstance where on the back of any advice that social workers would wait a year to respond to a particular case. That would be a dereliction of our duties.
But what about six months, would that be a dereliction?
A. I would again say that that would be too long. That would be too long.
426 Q. What about five months?
A. Again I would go back to, you have to look at cases in terms of the priority that they present, yes. You cannot just say just because something is priority 2 there's a particular timeframe within which it should
be looked at. In terms of the risk to all children the most -- the more timely you can get to that risk the better, regardless of priority. So the social work would constantly try to prioritise all cases in terms of risk and make sure they get a response. I thought you had agreed 12 months or six months would be too long?
A. That is pushing the boundaries, absolutely.

428 Q. Now I am asking you about five months.
A. Again I think I've answered that in terms of giving -- $\quad 15: 34$ it depends on the particular case.

429 Q. If six months is too long, and you can comment on that, what do you say in relation to five months?
A. As I just said in terms of, it depends on the type of case it is, yes.

430 Q. But not in relation to six months?
A. I think you're beginning to get too long at that, yeah.

431 Q. I see. Would that be a general cultural view within the social services then, that once six months arrives --
A. I think the general --
Q. -- if I can just finish.
A. I think the general view --

433 Q. If I can just finish.
A. Yes.

434 Q. Would that be the cultural view, that six months is, if you like, the cut off point?
A. No. I think the cultural view is, we try to respond in as timely a manner as we possibly can for any child who
is at risk.
435 Q. I see. So what system would be there to, if you like, oversee the confirmation by Sergeant McGowan? Say no confirmation came in, what is the system of overseeing this?
A. That remains an open case on the duty intake system, and the way that that is looked at; the particular intake worker, the duty intake worker, will know that that case is there, the cases will be reviewed by the intake worker and also in consultation with their team leader, so that they are constantly discussed. That is the oversight.
436 Q. So, are you saying that this case would have been constantly discussed between the making of this form and the 14 -- and the date in February?
A. Yes, I can't comment on how many times it was discussed. I think that is a question for Ms. McTeague.
437 Q. If it was discussed would you expect there to be a notation of that discussion?
A. Yes, I would expect there to be --
Q. You would expect there to be a notation of that discussion?
A. Yes, I would expect that, yes.

439 Q. So we have established that it would be discussed and it would be noted?
A. It could be discussed, but you could also have a worker that said that this case in terms of priority can actually wait. So it may not get discussed.

440 Q. I had understood you to say a moment ago, to say these would be discussed over that period of time?
A. Yes, I think I will correct myself: It could be discussed because it depends on the nature of the risk of the case in question, yes.
Q. I see. Now your understanding is that this delay, this direction in relation to Sergeant McGowan, was because Mrs. Simms was in hospital?
A. I'm not sure if I accepted this was a direction. 444 Q. I will withdraw the word.
A. Yes.
Q. What word would you use?
A. I think this was an agreed action that was on a strategy meeting form that would have been discussed between the social worker in question and An Garda Síochána and there would be a reason for that, and I guess in this particular circumstance it's to do with Ms. Simms' illness.

446 Q. And what is the date of that document on which that agreed strategy was noted, can you he1p me with that?
A. I'd have to check that document again.

447 Q. My fault I'm afraid, I didn't take a note of it as we went through.
A. Okay.

448 Q. My friends for the Tribunal may be able to help?
A. Yes.

MR. MCDERMOTT: I think it's 21st October 2013.
449 Q. MR. HARTNETT: I see. And so, that note would have been based on a conversation between Sergeant McGowan and the social worker?
A. That'd be a strategy meeting. And generally the team leader would be present at those meetings.

450 Q. We11, she wasn't in hospital at that time.
CHAIRMAN: The dates when Ms. Simms was in hospital seem to be 8 th, 9 th, 10 th, 11 th. Or maybe, 9 th, 10 th, 11th, 12th. Something like that. I have had various dates.

MR. HARTNETT: Yes, that appears to be the case. She was in hospital for four days from approximately the 8th October.
A. Yes.

451 Q. So at this time, the time of the compilation of this form, she was not in hospital. Does that strike you as curious?
A. I think perhaps the question would be need to be put to the people who attended that particular meeting as to why that agreement had been reached at that particular time, to be fair. It wouldn't be appropriate for me to comment on that.
452 Q. We11, just overall, as an experienced leader within the
service, what do you think of that situation; that there's number 2 priority and there is an agreed strategy not to address the matter until Ms. Simms is released from hospital, but she was already released from hospital for at least a week or two weeks at this stage? what is your overall view for that?
A. I would guess that there would be good reason between the Gardaí and social work why that particular recommendation was made in that particular strategy meeting. And that would need to be checked out with the people who attended the meeting.

453 Q. No, but the fact that she wasn't in hospital, does that strike you as curious?
A. Yes. Or she could have been recovering, or maybe there was another reason that I'm not aware of.

454 Q. We11, the recovering wasn't mentioned to date. MR. MCDERMOTT: Chairman, I wonder could intervene, just note that in the strategy meeting document referred to in October 2013 the decision, at least as recorded, is:
"Gardaí aware Marisa Simms recently hospitalised. Further investigations would be conducted when Marisa regains health."

So for what it is worth, the agreement, as recorded anyway, isn't an agreement dependent on somebody being released from hospital, if that is the appropriate word, but it appears to be an agreement that things can
be done when someone regains health. So perhaps the question could be put in the terms in which the agreement was recorded, un7ess Mr. Hartnett wishes, as he is free, to suggest a different agreement to the one that was recorded.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. MCDermott, what is the page number please where that is recorded? That is the strategy meeting of the 21st October. MR. MCDERMOTT: It's page 124 of my booklet.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCDERMOTT: It may appear in different places. Page 124. If you scroll down to the very bottom of 124 to section 5 and you will see the last sentence there appears to be the one recording the agreement. Again bearing in mind we've yet to hear from the witnesses present who presumably can give their own information, but that is certainly what appears to be recorded. CHAIRMAN: Yes. That is what I noted. It's not anything different to what we have had.
455 Q. MR. HARTNETT: I have been deftly interrupted by Mr. McDermott, I will go back to what I was asking you: would you expect to see somewhere communications in relation to the regaining of health by Ms. Simms or her release from hospital, whichever?
A. I think as manager of the service what I would expect to see is the service picking this up and dealing with it and looking into the child welfare concern.

456 Q. I see.
A. which is exactly what happened in this particular case.

457
Q. After four and a half months?
A. Yes, it's still -- it happened. And I think -458 Q. of course it happened.
A. Yes.

459 Q. But I am just asking you --
A. Yes.

460 Q. -- would delays of that type be usual?
A. Unfortunately delays like that can happen and again it refers back to my earlier point in terms of what other pressures are going on within the particular social work team at that stage and what other priorities have to be dealt with by the team at that stage.
461 Q. Would it be usual?
A. Yes, I think that that type of delay is not unusual.

462 Q. I see.
A. Yes.

463 Q. So it is usual?
A. It happens, it happens.

464 Q. Well, is it usual or not? You say it's not unusual, is it usual?
A. I think in terms of, if you look at what we prioritise in terms of social work intervention we try to make sure that all cases where there are immediate child protection concerns are dealt with that. So if there are a lot of them that means low priority cases can available to the department.

465 Q. Are you saying these delays are usual? Are they usual?
A. They happen. They happen, yes.

466
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

467 Q. A lot of things happen, and they can happen once, they can happen a hundred times --
A. Yes.

468 Q. -- are they usual?
A. Yes, this happens more than once. Yes, absolutely.

469 Q. This has happened more than once?
A. Yes.

470 Q. Does that make it usual?
A. In terms of usual you would have to know the particular team, the particular circumstances they were in at that time, the other pressures that the team were actually dealing with and whether it was usual at that time for cases like this to get this type of delay. And that relates back to that point in time. So it's impossible to say generally if that is a usual thing. I think you need to ask the team leader and the intake worker on the particular team dealing with the work pressures at that particular time.
471 Q. Would you expect to see somewhere noted whether there had been inquiries or communications in relation to Marisa Simms being in hospital or her state of health?
A. I think what reassures me about this is that the social workers actually picked this up and moved it forward and that the matter was actually dealt with in an appropriate manner.
472 Q. Did you say at one stage that you would have expected more contact between the Gardaí and the DSW?
A. I don't think so.

473 Q. I had taken a note of that and I don't have the exact --
CHAIRMAN: I don't actually think he did, Mr. Hartnett. MR. HARTNETT: I may be mistaken, I can check the note 15:45 later. Thank you.

MR. HONE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM AS FOLLOWS: to ask you two quick questions, Mr. Hone. The first is, it may be of some assistance to the Tribunal, you were asked in relation to the date of the initial assessment record form, and it's on page 134 in respect of one of the children. You'11 recall this is the form 15:45 that is dated at the top the 28th January 2014.
A. Yes.

475 Q. You will remember the Chairman is asking you how is it that there are things in that form which clearly postdate 28th January, so for example the conversation that happened at the meeting in the office on the 7th February?
A. Yes. This is to do with the fact that that is -- the 28th January refers to when the initial assessment commenced. So, that is when the social worker would have begun their assessment. And that is why, the assessment can take a period of time between it starts and it ends and so a lot can happen obviously between the start and end of the assessment, and that is why
you get things post, post the date.
476 Q. Yes. I think you said that social workers import essentially their case notes or their working notes into this form?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. In this case you have Ms. McTeague signing off on the 24th February 2014 --
A. Yes.

480 Q. -- and Bridgeen Smith, I presume, on the 26th February 2014?
A. Yes. And that's normal in terms of it marks the day that the social worker completed the assessment and then it gets signed off by the team leader, yes.
481 Q. In relation then, we've heard evidence from Ms. Col1 about formal and informal contacts between Tusla and an Garda Síochána in performing their respective duty, is it -- to borrow or to paraphrase Mr. Hartnett, is it unusual for there to be discussion or information given 15:47 by, for example, An Garda Síochána about a particular circumstances of a family which may inform Tusla's decision as to when they should speak to that family?
A. No, it's not unusua1. The whole idea of a strategy
meeting is that you actually -- it's to work together to decide how best to intervene in a particular case, and the whole thrust of children First is about that; it's about collaborative working, taking into account the sensitivities of the family you have in front of you at any given time. And it's also in recognition of the fact as well that you need to set out in the strategy meeting what's being decided. Because there's basically two agendas a lot of the time; there's an agenda around child welfare and often there's also an agenda around criminal investigation, you need to balance both of those whilst ensuring that the welfare of the child is paramount in the process.
482 Q. Yes. And if we take the facts of this case, does it strike you as unusual that sergeant McGowan or indeed any member of An Garda Síochána would say to Tusla, by the way, the mother was recently in hospital or is in hospital or was recently in hospital, you might want to give it some time before you speak to her, because she's not well, for example?
A. No, I thoroughly expect that to happen. MR. DIGNAM: Thank you Mr. Hone.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Thank you Mr. Hone.

MR. HONE WAS QUESTION BY THE CHAIRMAN.
483 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yes. I just wanted to ask you two things, Mr. Hone, please. Mr. McGuinness did indeed touch on it, but you will recall the questions that I asked of

Úna Coll in relation to whether it is heard of or even possible that Gardaí should interfere and, well, use social workers for inappropriate ends, even if in a very mild way, such as suggesting that they need to visit a family to check out children, I'm wondering does that ever happen? Have you ever heard of it?
A. No, I have never heard of that happening. I would be extremely concerned if it was happening. We have our duties as a social work service, ou statutory duties around child welfare, we have to follow those statutory duties. That's our role, our responsibility. And that's separate to the Gardaí. And so, we have to make sure we fulfil our obligations. I would never have experienced an outside agency trying to direct us to do something. They might disagree with the way we're doing things at times or they might disagree with timeframes or whatever, but we make our own decisions on our cases.

484 Q. CHAIRMAN: No, I take it the maintenance of a file is very important to --
A. Yes.

485 Q. CHAIRMAN: -- the review of any decision in the aftermath should any question arise. And was there a proper file kept here?
A. I think in terms of a file here that actually highlights what the initial concern was, in terms of what happened when the children were visited, what happened in terms of the discussions with the parents, was the risk analysed in terms of the potential risk to
the children in terms of information given, was that analysed and was a proper conclusion reached, I think a proper -- the file that exists in this case gives that process and that's, we call that our business process, in terms of, has it followed -- are the main pillars of the decision-making evidenced on the file and the main decision points evidenced on the file? So from that file can you read this was the referral, this was the investigation, this was the risk that was identified, this is the evidence, on what it's based and this is the final decision, this is a proper file.

486 Q. CHAIRMAN: Is there anything in that file that is indicating to you any suspicion of a hint of a cause for concern?
A. Nothing whatsoever. Nothing.

487 Q. CHAIRMAN: And the last matter is this: After the home visit on the 9th February it is said that, you know, there was some embarrassment and that there wasn't going to be -- I'm sorry after the office meeting on the 9th February there was expressed to be a view that, look, we probably won't need to do a home visit and that somehow it has come out in some shape or form, but it is completely unclear to me as to where that is, by secondhand evidence which has now been withdrawn, that the Gardaí somehow made the home visit materialise later on in February, is there anything to suggest any such process from anything you know, from anything you read in the file?
A. Nothing. Nothing. .

488

CHAIRMAN: And you think there's not a sniff of that here? Are you sure about that now?
A. Yes, I'm certain. I can't see anything like that here 15:52 at al1.

CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you.
A. Yes.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

CHAIRMAN: Do you want to take a break for ten minutes?

THE HEARING ADJOURNED BRIEFLY AND THEN RESUMED
AS FOLLOWS:

MR. MCGUINNESS: Chairman, the next witness is
Ms. Donna McTeague.

MS. DONNA MCTEAGUE, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY

490 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: I hope I am pronouncing your name correctly, Ms. McTeague?
A. Yes.

491 Q. I think you are a senior social worker practitioner?
A. I am currently, yes.

492 Q. And I think you qualified with a BA in Applied Social Studies in Social Care, you've done an intermediate programme in Family Therapy and Systemic Practice from Queen's?
A. That's correct.
Q. You have got a qualification as an International [inaudible] for School Age Assessments?
A. That's correct.

494 Q. And you have a BA Hons in Social work from the University of Ulster in Derry?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I think you worked as a HSE social care worker from 2001 to 2009 in a children's residential setting?
A. Yes.

496 Q. You then secured employment as a social worker within the Leaving and Aftercare Service in Northern Ireland, and you commenced a social work post in Donegal in September 2010 in the Children and Family Social Services?
A. That's correct.

497 Q. And in 2013 you were a duty social worker on the west Central Social work Team based in Letterkenny?
A. That's correct.

498 Q. I think you became aware of the referral in this case in a meeting with Sergeant Brigid McGowan. The meeting was, $I$ think, scheduled to deal with another matter but you learned informally, as it were, of the referral on

9th of October, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And can you recollect what she advised you at that point in time?
A. Sergeant McGowan advised me that she had forwarded a notification in respect of the Simms children. I hadn't yet at that stage received the paper notification. She advised that there had been a disagreement, an argument between Ms. Simms and her current partner, alcohol had been involved and there was physical contact between the couple and that the children had witnessed this.
Q. Okay. And did she give you details of the physical contact or of anything that was said?
A. She just advised that he had held her wrist -- Marisa's partner had held her wrist. I didn't go into any further detail, we were leaving the meeting at the time, it was an informal conversation, I hadn't received the paperwork, there was no further discussion.

501 Q. Okay. I think did you subsequently become aware of the receipt of the referrals and did you receive a copy of them yourself?
A. Yes, I did, yes.

502 Q. The documents that we were looking at with Mr. Hone at 16:07 page 113 and 114 , did you create those?
A. Yes. Those particular documents are called proformas, those are the documents that we use to -- as a duty social worker I fill those in and they are given to our
administrator, she uses that information then to generate a referral on our RAISE system, which is the computerised system for recording in the Social work Department in Donegal.
503 Q. Okay. And did you become aware and receive the letter that Mr. Hone had written back to Superintendent McGovern?
A. Yes, I did.

And what is your evidence in relation to that? Does that represent a threshold not being reached or a decision to close the case or is it simply a prompt to everyone to get on with it?
A. The information in the Garda notification, if you were to look at it in isolation certainly does not meet the threshold for a social work assessment. what Mr. Hone did, as he explained, there is one central point of contact for all Garda notifications, given for child protection teams in the county. His response to the original notification is an appropriate one; he is asking for additional information to be shared. In
order for that file then to be generated on our system I need to get a copy of that as a duty worker in order to create the proforma as you see on page 113, to generate that file. I was -- as I have explained, I was in receipt of additional information, verbally from 16:09 Sergeant McGowan, in advance of me receiving the paperwork and the notification from Gerry Hone's office.

505 Q. Okay. Now, you referred to creating the intake records
on RAISE, and could you just identify those at page 116 and 117 onwards? I think was there a form created by you on the 16th in relation to each of the children?
A. Yes. It's important to understand that when you generate a file on our computer system, RAISE, in order 16:09 for that file to become active you need to generate an intake document. That intake document I received the paperwork on 16th from Gerry Hone's office, I created the proforma on 16th and I opened that intake doc on the 16th. That would be the normal practice for any duty worker.

506 Q. At the bottom of that page, it is noted that, the question "Discussed concern with parents/guardians?" and there is "yes" typed in there?
A. Yes.

507 Q. Is that ticked or does that need to be ticked or does that reflect any actual consultation?
A. Yes. Basically, $I$ filled in that particular -anything in that document $I$ completed it. The reason that that is ticked is yes, or yes is written in that box, is on receipt of the information from Sergeant McGowan informally, I was aware that Ms. Simms had made a statement of complaint to the Gardaí and given that there was an account that the children had witnessed something untoward, I assumed, and that is an

Ms. Simms that a referral would be forwarded to me, to the Social Work Department. So that "yes" is in there because I was aware that Ms. Simms had already been in
contact with the Gardaí and for no other reason.
508 Q. On page 117 at the bottom, at part 13, it's recorded:
"Sergeant McGowan to discuss case with DSW prior to proceeding. Strategy meeting to be organised." And does that represent some agreement on your part with Sergeant McGowan or just a simply an expectation?
A. That, basically on the basis of the conversation $I$ had with Sergeant McGowan on 9th we agreed the information she shared with me met the threshold for social work assessment. And "strategy meeting to be organised", that is in there because it was organised and I just needed -- I had it in the diary for 21st of October, my team leader was on leave at that stage and I just needed to confirm that to be finalised, so that is why it is "to be organised" as opposed to has been organised.

509 Q. At the bottom of page 118, this appears to be a record of "action agreed", at part 18 there, and appears to be signed off by yourself on 17th and your team leader giving approval on 18th, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

510 Q. Okay. And on what basis would your team leader sign off then? would she consider this document and the referral? Or did you discuss the matter?
A. Yes, basically for all intake documents, it's the responsibility of the team leader is to -- it's for quality assurance, so it's to make sure that she is agreeing. By signing that off she is agreeing that this is what we are doing. In all intake documents,
al1 initial assessments, that is the role of the team leader; it's for governance and oversight, and it's a line management responsibility and it's one that is very important in terms of the governance of all cases.
511 Q. Okay. Now, you did have a strategy meeting on 21st October, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

512 Q. And where was that held?
A. It was held in our offices in Letterkenny.

513 Q. And who was present for that?
A. My team leader, Bridgeen Smith, Sergeant McGowan and myself.
514 Q. Okay. Now, did you take any notes of it yourself?
A. The notes that are recorded are mine.

515 Q. And were they handwritten notes originally or not?
A. They are on, that document that you have, that you see there, the strategy meeting document, that is generated on RAISE, the computer system. I printed that out for the meeting, $I$ write on it at the meeting and it's shredded directly after, once the information is put on to the system.
516 Q. Could I just ask you about page 169 of the documents? These are extracts from the case recording summary and I will come to different dates in due course, but this records, it seems to record the meeting on 21st of October, and it says: "Notes: Strategy meeting held with Goretti Simms. See minutes. D McTeague."
A. Yes.

517 Q. Now, just going back to page 124 and 125 , just trying
to confirm with you, are they the minutes of the meeting --
A. Yes.

518 Q. -- at the bottom of page 124 ?
A. Yes, they are.

519 Q. Nothing beyond that?
A. Nothing beyond that, no.

520 Q. And then the two recommendations that we have seen --
A. That's correct.

521 Q. -- is that right? well, were you made aware of a
threat to burn Marisa Simms on that date or a threat to bury her and her sister Paula --
A. No.

522 Q. -- or a threat to do anything to the children?
A. I was made aware that there had been an argument between, at this stage $I$ knew it was Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms, that Mr. Harrison had been under the influence of alcohol, that Ms. Simms had removed the children from the house because of the argument. I was informed that he had been threatening and that
Ms. Simms had described the argument as a total rant. I was informed that one of the children -- or both of the children actually, the information $I$ had at the outset, had witnessed the argument but one of the children had come in from the car and saw Ms. Simms and 16:15 saw her being upset. That was the information that I had had.

523 Q. Okay. Is there any reason why that couldn't be recorded or isn't recorded? so that I know: we have some kind of a physical encounter, holding by the wrist, you have alcohol involved, you have a total rant --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- you have in addition, the fact that the 16:16 children witnessed it or that at least one child had come out of a car and witnessed it, and the detail
there seems to be uncertain, but what is missing is any threat to burn and bury, you didn't -- did that come -is this something that came new when the Tribunal came 16:16 along?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. All right.
525 was the only duty social worker on the team. I was dealing with high priority level 1 child protection cases, I was minuting this meeting, I had enough information that $I$ knew it met the threshold. I just wanted to be clear that we were going to agree a strategy for moving forward. I didn't record the detail that I am sharing with you there now, but I had that information at the time. If I didn't have that information, the case would not have met the threshold for initial assessment and the case would not have proceeded, nor would it have been approved by my line manager to proceed.
Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: You did, I think, include in your
A. The reason it isn't recorded is because at the time I
description of what you were told a reference to a threat, is that right?
A. Say that again, sorry.

You did include in your answer to me, I thought, a reference to a threat as you were describing the events, is that correct?
A. No, I didn't at that stage. I can clarify and explain. I am jumping a little bit ahead here but just so you can understand, Chairman. On the morning of the 7th of February, I contacted Sergeant McGowan because I had asked in my telephone conversation with her on, I think it was the 28th of January -- 27th of January, yes, I asked, and my case notes confirm this, I asked for a copy of Ms. Simms' statement that related to the incident where the children witnessed the argument between herself and Garda Harrison. I didn't have that information, I didn't receive it before my meeting on the 7th with Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms. So on the morning of that meeting, in order to ensure $I$ had the fullness of information and that I could put that to Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison, I contacted Sergeant McGowan and that was when she mentioned the threat to have the children removed from her. That was, at that stage that was where the threat had come in. And I was aware of that in advance of my meeting with Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms.
CHAIRMAN: what kind of a threat were you thinking that it was?
A. She said a threat, what he had said was that he
threatened she wouldn't have the children and the children wouldn't be with here, and that is recorded in my note. And that is actually a verbatim account of that conversation, because I typed as I was on the phone.

527 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: We will come to that in a few minutes. Just sticking at page 125, where the two recommendations are made there, at the bottom of 124 it said: "Gardaí aware that Marisa Simms recently hospitalised and further investigations be conducted when Marisa regains health."
A. Yes.

528 Q. Now, did you understand then that she was in hospital or had been in hospital or were you not clear as to whether she was in or out?
A. I didn't inquire whether she was in or out. I knew she had been, I am not sure if I was clear whether she was in hospital or out of hospital. But Sergeant McGowan's position was that Ms. Simms had been unwell and she wanted to afford her a period of time to regain health. It was out of concern.

529 Q. Okay. The recommendation 1 and 2 then on page 125, where you have recorded there what the Social work Department is to do or not to do, was that a joint decision or is that the way you phrased it? or, were 16:19 you taking direction from the Gardaí in relation to the second issue?
A. No, that was a joint decision. It was a discussion that all three of us present had and it was in
agreement as how to move forward.
530 Q. okay. Just going back to the case recording summary notes, at page 168 it appears to note a telephone call to Sergeant McGowan, was that correct? If one goes down to the bottom of that page --
A. Yes.
A. Yes, I can, yes.

532 Q. Is that a record of your call to Sergeant McGowan?
A. Yes, it is.

533 Q. Okay. And had she been in any contact with you since the strategy meeting?
A. Yes. I am aware that Sergeant McGowan had made attempts to contact me, I wasn't available and I had made attempts to contact her, but we missed one another 16:20 prior to that. That was the first time I actually spoke to her about this case.
okay.
CHAIRMAN: And the date of that, Mr. McGuinness, is? MR. MCGUINNESS: This is the 27th of January 2014.
535 Q. Is that correct?
A. Correct, yes.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. So, it is the first time you actually spoke in detail about the case, is it?
A. It's the first time we spoke in detail about the case 16:20 since the strategy meeting on 21st October, yes.

536 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: what you have recorded there is:
"TC --" that is telephone call "-- to Sergeant McGowan. Purpose of call to ascertain current status regarding

Garda investigation so as to allow Social Welfare Department to proceed with investigation."

Now, can I just stop you there? Did you think or were you led to believe there was a Garda investigation
A. I had no further contact with Sergeant McGowan from the time of the meeting on 21st of October, but that sentence is written in that regard because time had passed, I was aware that Ms. Simms had made a statement 16:21 of complaint in relation to Garda Harrison, I wanted to ascertain was there any further information that I needed to be aware of to inform my assessment, and that that was the purpose of that sentence and the purpose of my call ultimately.
537 Q. Okay. It says: "Sergeant McGowan was advised that Marisa Simms made a second statement to the Gardaí in past fortnight, advising that while the content of original statement was completely true she did not want the matter investigated by Gardaí. She is withdrawing her complaint. Ms. Simms is back in a relationship with Mr. Keith Harrison, the person against who original complaint was made. DSW advised that in order to make progress report from Gardaí on specific information in original complaint will be required by SWD so as to progress SW investigation.

Outcome: Sergeant McGowan to forward report to DSW as soon as possible."

Now, was there any information conveyed to you beyond that which you had originally been told of, either initially in October or at the strategy meeting?
A. Not at that time. There's actually a number of other outcomes of that meeting, they are not listed on that page, but -- or of that phone call, sorry. The purpose of that request that I had made was, given that I was aware now on the 27 th of January that Ms. Simms had withdrawn her statement of complaint but was saying that what she had originally said was true, it was important, in order for me to be able to do that assessment properly with her, that I had a sense of the language that she used to describe what had happened, and that was the purpose of making the request for the report from Sergeant McGowan.

538 Q. You don't seem to record there that you advised Sergeant McGowan that you would be sending an appointment letter, but was that discussed with Sergeant McGowan?
A. It is actually recorded there, it's just not on the sheet that you have. But that particular case note, there's three outcomes from that meeting, the second outcome is: "Duty social worker to send invite to Ms. Simms and Mr. Harrison in the first instance and invite meeting to be sent to Mr. Andrew Simms following receipt of report from Gardaí so as to ensure accurate information is shared."

That is the entire content of that case note.
539 Q. Okay. So, I want to be clear, that is a continuation of the note of the 27 th of January?
A. Yes, it is.

540 Q. Okay. But, and I know you have recorded that there, but my question is: Is that something that you told Sergeant McGowan you'd be doing?
A. Yes.

541 Q. Okay. Each of those things in relation to the simmses and Mr. Simms?
A. I am not entirely sure that I would have said about the conversation that I intended to have with Mr. Simms but I certainly advised that $I$ would be sending an appointment to Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms.
542 Q. okay. This is perhaps an important question: was
Sergeant McGowan urging you to progress the matter from your point of view and urging you to go and meet the Simmses -- Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison?
A. Absolutely not. The decision to progress the assessment was solely mine. I think it's important to point out at this point that I was not aware until I made that phone call to Sergeant McGowan that Ms. Simms had withdrawn her statement. We had missed contact with one another prior to that, but not until I made that call was I aware that Ms. Simms had withdrawn her statement. Because I became aware of that at that stage and also because Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms were back in a relationship, it was important, knowing that information, that $I$ proceed with my assessment.

Nobody directed me to do that. That was my own decision.
okay. But can I ask you this question: Did you specifically and explicitly ask Sergeant McGowan for a copy of the statement of complaint?
A. I asked her for the details of -- a report on the details of what Ms. Simms had said about the incident which the children witnessed. I didn't ask her for the entire statement.

Okay. But was it common in your experience for a statement of complaint either to be furnished to you, whether on request or otherwise, or for it to be withheld from you or not provided in some way?
A. At the time, at the time of this referral back in 2013/2014, as Mr. Hone outlined, we would have sought information from the Gardaí bearably about the content of statements. what is important in terms of that is that it's not common or uncommon, it depends on any social worker. If I asked for information, I always got it. If they asked for information from me in relation to a case, they would have got it. That is -the essence of being able to undertake child welfare and child protection assessments is, clear communication and collaborative work, and in particular with our Garda liaison sergeant, and that is the relationship that we would have had had at that time.
545 Q. Okay. Now, this note lists as one of the outcomes, the first one:
"Sergeant McGowan to forward report to DSW."

Were you expecting a written report?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. A11 right. And do you think you made that clear to Sergeant McGowan?
A. I may not have had. I wanted the information about exactly what Ms. Simms had said about the incident that the children witnessed. Whether I was explicit, I cannot recall the exact words that I used, but certainly $I$ was requesting the information.
547 Q. Al1 right.
CHAIRMAN: Well, Mr. McGuinness, I am sorry for interrupting. It is just that this obviously is important. But would it have done if Sergeant McGowan had rung you up and, say, read out a page of the statement?
A. Once I had the language, yes.

CHAIRMAN: what you wanted was the original language used by the alleged victim of --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- of home violence?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. I see.
548 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: Did you get any sense from Sergeant McGowan in any of your conversations or dealing with her that there was a Garda operation, as it were, to
A. No.

549 Q. Would you have expected to be given full detail of everything that was alleged if there was such an
operation?
A. I would have -- yes, if that was the case, I would have had, yes, but I think it's important to say that the information that was made available to me at the time was made available with regard to the incident that Ms. Simms described that the children were party to. Had I have had sight of or was I aware of the additional information that $I$ have since become aware of in Ms. Simms' statement, my assessment of the referral would have been different and undertaken in a 16:28 different manner.

550 Q. okay.
A. So if it was --

CHAIRMAN: Yes. And again forgive me for just trying to understand this as I go along because it's
difficult, but you seem to be saying that if you knew it was as serious as the statement that was read out here in the Tribunal, you would have done a lot more in terms of assessing the family, assessing the carers, that is to say the mother and the mother's new partner?
A. Yes, I would have.

CHAIRMAN: So you -- what you got was, it seemed to you, less serious by some few degrees than what has actually emerged since, now that you have actually seen the statement and read the statement?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: I see. Okay.
551 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: We do see from this case recording summary on page 168 , at the top of it, just further up
on that page, that it records, as it were, the actual date:
"3rd February 2014. Standard appointment letter sent. See attachments."
A. The letter would have been the same. I suppose it's really important that when -- as a duty social worker, I always remember that the first contact that a family has with a Social Work Department is generally with me. So that written correspondence needs to be clear in what I am offering in terms of, I want to meet with you either at home or in the office. But it also, it can't be laden down with any additional information because it's important that it's tempered and that you are not raising anxiety for anybody in receipt of those letters, so that is a standard letter that we would have used and that I used in the Social Work Department at that time.

552 Q. But would you have sent the letter to -- it's at page 147 there. Sorry, that is the later letter. But the earlier letter to Ms. Simms that you did send out, would that have been an invitation to both Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison to meet jointly with you?
A. Had I have been aware of all of the information, no, it
would have been an invitation to Ms. Simms in the first instance. On the basis of the information that $I$ had, the purpose of inviting them both to the meeting together was to get an essence of how they interacted together, was Mr. Harrison in any way controlling of the conversation, so I am looking to assess the dynamic between them both, if $I$ felt at that meeting that --

553 Q. But looking at that letter of the 3rd February at page 144 , it seems to be only addressed to Ms. Simms?
A. Yes.

554 Q. Did you intend to invite or did you invite Garda Harrison to attend that meeting?
A. You will see in the third paragraph it said:
"I would be grateful if you could ask your partner to attend with you so as to explore the referral information in detail."

I did intend for Mr . Harrison to attend. The reason the letter is addressed to Ms. Simms only is because Ms. Simms is the children's mother, and Garda Harrison is the children's -- he was in a relationship with Ms. Simms at the time. It would not have been appropriate for me to address him in the -- addressing the letter to him.

555 Q. That is what I am wondering, you did not write separately to him?
A. No, I did not.

556 Q. And you didn't communicate with him directly in any way
as a lead-up to or in advance of the meeting that actually took place?
A. No, I didn't.

557 Q. Right. But in any event, in advance of that, you had a telephone call, or did you, with Sergeant McGowan on the 7th of February? If we look at page 167. Do you see the note of the summary at the bottom of that page?
A. Yes.

It's not clear from the time given -- just slightly up there -- 7th February, it seems to be 000. Do you have 16:32 any recollection whether that -- this phone call was before the meeting or after the meeting?
A. Yes, this phone call was before the meeting. My previous contact with Sergeant McGowan had been on the 27th of January where I asked her for the report or the 16:32 details of what Ms. Simms had said. I hadn't received that in advance of my appointment with Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison, so that phone call was before the meeting in order to ascertain details of the original complaint made by Ms. Simms.
559 Q. Yes. You have recorded there:
"Sergeant McGowan advised that the original account outlined how Keith had been drinking at home and had made threatening and abusive comments to Marisa in front of [blank]."

Was that one child or two children, as far as you can recollect?
A. Initially, at the strategy meeting, it was -- I thought it was two children, but it was one child there.
560 Q. Okay. "Marisa also said in her original statement that Keith had held her wrist and it was sore and threatened than he would ensure she did not have the children. Marisa described Keith's behaviour as a total rant. She was upset and the children saw her upset. [Blank] had come in from the car and observed some of the arguments."

Now, this is the first time at which the HSE, as it was, and you had recorded threatening and abusive comments, and it seems to be, as it were, disjunctive to or not related to the threats that she wouldn't have the children. To the best of your recollection, was there ever a threat to burn or bury mentioned in this phone call?
A. No.

561 Q. Are you sure about that in your recollection?
A. Yes, I am sure about that in my recollection.

CHAIRMAN: Forgive me. I am finding it difficult to actually place the time of the phone call vis-á-vis the time of the encounter in the office. MR. MCGUINNESS: Well, it's the same day. CHAIRMAN: Yes.

562 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: And I think Ms. McTeague is very clear that the purpose of this phone call was to get more information in advance of the meeting, is that correct?
A. That's correct. .

563

570 Q. Perhaps we'd maybe turn to the assessment record. It's at page 137. And I think there were identical ones completed in respect of each of the two children, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

571 Q. And just to be clear, the portion at the top of this page relates to the home visit, is that correct, in the box, the first box?
A. Yes, it is, yes.

572 Q. Okay. So the portion at number 10, "Parent View", in the box below that heading, came from the meeting on the 7th?
A. That's correct, yes.

573 Q. So perhaps we'd just go through it there. It says:
"Donna explained her role to the couple and explained how she received the referral."

And did you say anything more than that or what did you describe your role as?
A. At the outset, Chairman, of every meeting that $I$ have with families who have contact with me for the first time, it's really important that I explain to them the category of the referral for which I am working. So I explained the difference between a child welfare and a child protection referral and explained that the referral in relation to the children was a child welfare referral. I advised that I received the referral information from Sergeant McGowan.

574 Q. Okay. Now, to be clear, apart from the letter that you had sent Ms. Simms and that no letter to Garda Harrison directly, had you provided them with anything in terms of any documentation or the copy of the original referral?
A. No, I did not.
okay. And is that standard practice?
A. Yes, if Ms. Simms, Mr. Simms or indeed Garda Harrison, as part of the assessment, wished to seek a copy of them, all they had to do was ask, or alternatively, on closure of the case, as outlined in the closure letter, they could make an application under FOI or Data Protection.
576 Q. It continues then: "Donna clarified with the couple the basis of the referral and asked if what mum had reported to the Gardaí was the truth."

Now, if I could just stop there. I suppose you clearly hadn't got a copy of the statement or the retraction of it?
A. That's correct.

577 Q. Right. Did you tell them whether you had the statement or was there any discussion as to whether you had the statement or not?
A. No, I put to them the information that I had about what 16:38 I knew. The information that I had received from Sergeant McGowan, I put that to them, that there had been an argument and exactly what I am after explaining.

578 Q. Okay. But are you clear and are you certain in your own mind that you "asked if what mum had reported to the Gardaí was the truth," you asked that directly in those --
A. Yes, yes, I did.

579 Q. And I suppose they didn't know that you didn't have the statement?
A. They didn't. But just, I need to preface this with, the beginning of that sentence says "Donna clarified with the couple the basis of the referral". That is a summary of me putting to them here is what I know. I know that there had been an argument, alcohol was involved, he had grabbed her wrist, that was put to them, and then I asked mum -- before I spoke to Garda Harrison, I asked mum is the information that you shared the truth? And I wasn't -- it could have been interpreted did I mean the entire statement, but specifically I had put what I knew to them.
580 Q. Yes.
A. And asked was it the truth.

581 Q. Yes.
CHAIRMAN: I am sorry for inter rupting, but can I ask just two questions there. Did you also say, well, this was described to me as you went on a total rant in consequence of, wel1, too much alcohol and rage?
A. Yes, there had been an argument, that was a rant. I used the language that $I$ had had in the phone call in the morning.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. And then threatening and abusive
comments was what Sergeant McGowan had told you that morning, apparently?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: I am just wondering about this, and one would tend to wonder, you know, there is various kinds of threats, like I will send a solicitor's letter, you might say that is grade 1 of 100 , and grade 100 might be, I am going to actually kill you, burn your body and bury it, so that would be close to the top of 100 .
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: I would wonder as to, would one not raise a query when told something like that, well, what was the nature of the threat? Because, as I say, a threat can be something from very mild to something extremely alarming.
A. Yes. In the conversation with Sergeant McGowan, as indicated in my notes, the threat was that he would have the children removed from Ms. Simms. That was the information that I had.
582 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: But can I ask you this, Ms. McTeague: When you are clarifying the basis of the referral, did you put the information that you had received that morning to Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms?
A. Yes.

583 Q. And specifically that he had made threatening and
A. I included that there had been a disagreement, there was threatening and abusive shouting and roaring. It was very much being very, I suppose, mindful of the
fact that I have a couple sitting in front of me meeting a social worker for the first time, so I am not quoting Sergeant McGowan verbatim. I am helping them to feel at ease but making sure that they are clear on the information that I have.

584 Q. But you have the record of your phone call, you had made it that morning?
A. Yes.
Q. And in the first couple of sentences it refers to threatening and abusive comments, and I am trying to be $16: 41$ certain about whether you are clear that you would have used that phrase to Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms in clarifying the basis of the referral when you did that?
A. It is my recollection that what $I$ put to them was the information that I had had, that the threat was in relation to him ensuring that she didn't have the children. I can't swear that I used the word "abusive comments", but I certainly know I put that threat to them.
Q. okay.
A. So whether I used "abusive comments" or not, I don't know. I described it as a rant and exactly that, but I won't swear otherwise.

587 Q. Well, just in terms of your practice and given that you had recorded Sergeant McGowan, is it likely that you put the whole or the substance of what Sergeant McGowan had told you to them?
A. Yes.

588 Q. As the basis of the referral?
A. Yes, it is.

589 Q. okay. Then, it's recorded:
"Mum verified that the incident did happen and that only one of the children had witnessed it, that she has 16:42 put them in the car, however [blank] had got back out and had gone into the house."

And you are clear that that is what was said by Ms. Simms and not suggested by you, that one of the children had witnessed the incident?
A. That's correct.

590 Q. In that fashion.
"Keith began to claim that prior to this reported incident they had lost a baby, an ectopic pregnancy, admitted that he did not deal with the loss very well and began to drink. At this point Keith began to get tearful and Marisa began to cry."

And then you record that:
"Marisa explained it had been very difficult for them at the time. Her sister was getting married and had not invited Keith. They did not approve of the pregnancy as both had been married previously. Keith explained it was his fault, he explained that they had made plans for the baby, calling it baby Harrison, when they lost the baby. No support here as all his friends
and family were in Galway so he leaned on drink. Donna again clarified with Marisa that she had made the statement to the Gardaí."

And that seems to reflect, or does it, a very direct question to Marisa from you that she had made the statement to the Gardaí, is that right?
A. Yes.

591 Q. She said -- you've recorded there:
"Marisa explained that she did not initially go to the Gardaí, that they had phoned her to make the statement. She further explained that it was her sister that reported it to the Gardaí. Keith again admitted to the incident being his fault and not Marisa's, that he had attended counselling in St. Eunan's Court addiction service, doing five to six sessions, and was discharged. He said that he found it beneficial and he was able to see things he could change. Donna explained to the couple she would have to inform the children's father. The couple agreed and understood this had to happen. Donna also explained that she may have to visit and speak to the children. Both agreed to this and Marisa said 'you are more than welcome to come'."

Is that right?
A. That's correct.

592 Q. okay. And in your statement you say -- when you refer
to this visit, you say:
"I explained that I may need to meet with both children."

And you then say in your statement:
"Ms. Simms and Mr. Harrison both agreed."

Do you recall Guard Harrison agreeing, in fact, at the 16:44 time?
A. Yes, I do.

593 Q. And the comment then that is made by Marisa?
A. Yes.

594 Q. Only by Marisa, is that right?
A. Yes.

595 Q. Okay. Did you convey to them essentially that, in fact, the assessment was the end of it really, that there wouldn't be, in all likelihood, any need to attend?
A. No, I did not.

596 Q. Is there anything that you would like to add to your account of the assessment as it was conducted on the 7th of February?
A. Yes. On the basis, Chairman, of the information that I 16:45 had available to me at that time, that I have explained today, Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms gave a very detailed account of what was going on in the context of their lives at that time. They described the loss of a
baby, a lack of acceptance within their extended family, not being invited to a wedding, and the consequences of a lack of support for Garda Harrison in having used alcohol to help him to manage that. Given the information that I had at the time that I completed this assessment, I felt that both Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms demonstrated insight and understanding into the situation that they were facing at the time. Ms. Simms had acted protectively on the night in question by leaving the house and taking her children with her. Garda Harrison had subsequently attended for addiction services for counselling, and I took that information at face value. I felt it demonstrated an understanding and an acknowledgement also by Garda Harrison that it was his fault. And twice during that meeting Garda Harrison indicated 'this is my fault, it's not Marisa's, I am responsible', and this was the explanation that he gave. On the basis of what I knew at that time, it was my view that there were not any ongoing child welfare or child protection concerns. But important and equally as important in why I suggested at that meeting that I would meet with the children, was because in order to inform and conclude any well-balanced initial assessment, it is important that I see the children with their parents or with their mum and her partner or whatever. And it is my view today, on the basis of the information that I now am aware of since this Tribunal has started, that had I been aware of that information at the outset or indeed
a substantive additional amount of information from Ms. Simms' statement, I would have met with her on her own in the first instance, I would have talked with her about that, $I$ would have explored supports that may be available to her. I would have met with Garda Harrison 16:47 on his own, I would have put the information to him. In addition to that, $I$ have also learned since the Tribunal has started that there was a threat to kill Mr. Harrison. If we had have known that at the time of this initial assessment, those children would not have been in the house where Garda Harrison was. There could have been a threat to their lives. We were unaware of that. That would have meant that my assessment would have been a very different assessment. But on the basis of what $I$ have, $I$ fee 1 that it was a thorough, wel1-informed and balanced assessment of the children's needs at that time, and it was a child welfare referral on the basis of what $I$ knew.

597 Q. Now, just looking at the case recording summary relating to that at page 165 , towards the bottom of that page it's recorded there:
"Donna explained to the couple she would have to inform, also explained that she may have to visit and speak to the children. Both agreed to this and Marisa said 'you are more than welcome to come'.'

And it's recorded then:
"Donna explained the next step in the process and took Marisa's number."
A. Yes.

598 Q. So can you just recollect what you would have explained there?
A. I explained that I would need to meet with the children, I've taken her number obviously to make arrangements for that visit to take place, that I would complete the paperwork, so I explained how the paperwork works, it's an initial assessment, that it wouldn't be going any further, in my view, on the basis of the information that I had had, and it would include obviously any additional information that arose in the course of my visit to the children.
okay. But was the next step in the process not consulting with your line manager, as it were?
A. Yes, certainly all cases are discussed with my line manager, but in this instance $I$ was satisfied that my assessment was the correct one. It would just have been a matter of informing Ms. Smith that this is the information I had gathered, this was my assessed view and this is how I felt we needed to proceed. Yes, I am sure I may, maybe, have mentioned, look at, I will talk to my team leader. I may have done that. That would be normal practice and nothing out of the ordinary in that.

600 Q. This isn't a criticism, but that last sentence we have been looking at there doesn't make its way into the assessment report, "Donna explained the next step and
took Marisa's number", but that is what you did anyway, is that right?
A. Yes, that is the notes as taken by Ms. Wallace, so yes, I did.

CHAIRMAN: what you seem to be saying is, there would be no reason for you to get her number unless you were going to organise a home visit?
A. Yes.
Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: The analysis that follows on page 165 onto page 166, which is obviously from Ms. wallace's notes, that is included in the summary of the initial assessment. But was that put in then at the time, was that analysis done at the time?
A. Yes. So at the time Ms. Wallace recorded, took a note of the meeting, Ms. Wallace emailed that note to me.
So I have recorded at the bottom of page 166 that the notes are recorded by Naomi wallace and analysis completed by DSW, which was me at the time. So that all went onto our computer system at the one time. It wasn't recorded later; it was done at the time. So notes were recorded by Naomi, I did the analysis and all of that was inputted on our system together.
602 Q. okay. So if one is looking at page 137 then, after number 10 it goes on to number 11 "Analysis/summary of initial assessment," that was inputted on the day, with 16:51 the exception of the last sentence in that, is that right? It might be easier if we just look at the last sentence. It says:
"Number IA not completed. Decision not to proceed to IA was made following closure of intake document. IA" -- is it -- "document used to record action taken." Is that just a note that's on the form, or is that something inputted?
A. I need to look at it on the hard doc copy, if that is okay.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. We11, your question is, was it put on that day or was it put on subsequent to the home visit? MR. McGUINNESS: Yes. Or is it just part of the form. CHAIRMAN: There is lots of stuff obviously put on this as the date is the 27th of October. I mean, it's put on -- seems to be put on at a later date.
A. Yes. What that means is, that IA is an initial assessment, so you will see if you go to the beginning of that document, none of that document is inputted, there is no information in terms of child development, parent capacity, all of that is not included. So that reference, I put that in at the time of completing the document. So basically I was the only duty social
worker on the team at the time and we were using our intake documents to record salient issues in a case. we weren't going through all of the smaller documents, the smaller sections in child welfare cases. That is an explanation as to why that other section is not

603 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: And that was done on the same day then, was it?
A. That was done on the day that $I$ completed the initial
assessment, which is whatever date is at the bottom the 24th February.
604 Q. okay. So that is when that is put in, on the 24th?
A. Yes.

605 Q. That is then following the visit to the house?
A. Yes.

606 Q. On the 19th?
A. Yes. A11 of that document would have been populated after I would have opened the document. So you will see the date that you referred to Mr. Gerry Hone in his 16:53 evidence, that date is the date that I opened that document on our system. It means nothing; it's just the date that it's opened on the system. Any of the recording in that document, you can populate it and update it as you go along. It's not something that you 16:53 have to do it a one-off event.

607 Q. Okay. Now, after the meeting had concluded on the 7th, did you consult with Ms. Smith?
A. I did, yes.

608 Q. Okay. And can you give us an account of those discussions?
A. I advised Ms. Smith that I had met with the couple, the information that $I$ had gathered from them, and that it was my view at that point that I didn't feel there were any ongoing child welfare or child protection concerns, 16:53 and that following a follow-up visit to see the children, $I$ believed the case could close. Ms. Smith concurred with that on the basis of the information $I$ gave her.

609 Q. Okay. You see, I just want to be clear as to, in the light of the analysis and the satisfactory meeting that you had, and I take it you did regard the meeting with Guard Harrison and Ms. Simms as satisfactory?
A. Yes, I did.

610 Q. They didn't appear to be concealing anything about whether -- anything had happened or there was no dispute raised about the statement to the Gardaí?
A. No.

611 Q. Or the contents of anything?
A. No.

612 Q. But was it necessary then to have the home visit?
A. Yes, it was.

613 Q. Even in the light of the limited circumstances you knew?
A. Yes, it was.

614 Q. okay.
A. And the reason for that, Chairman, is because during that meeting with Garda Harrison and Marisa Simms, Garda Harrison admitted that the incident that was reported in to us in the Social Work Department had occurred. There was no denial of it. There was an explanation for what had happened in the context. Therefore, it wasn't a speculation that the event happened. I had confirmation that it did. Therefore, it was important for me to observe the relationship between the children, their mum and Garda Harrison. And that was the purpose of the visit.
615 Q. Okay. Now, did you consult with any member of the

Gardaí before making that decision?
A. I did not.
Q. Did you consult or suggest any consultation that Ms. Smith might have with any member of the Gardaí?
A. Definitely not.

617 Q. And when did your consultation with Ms. Smith take place following the meeting of the 7 th ?
A. I can't give you an exact date, Judge. I would have dipped in and out with Ms. Smith on a regular basis. I was dealing with 25 to 30 referrals on a constant basis, so I would have just been giving her, I suppose, the headlines; look at, I am not concerned here, I think they have given an explanation, here is what I am planning to do, are you okay with that? And yes, that was the agreement. So I have no date for that meeting -- or that discussion, rather.

618 Q. Now, I may be wrong in suggesting this, but following the meeting of the 7 th, $I$ don't see any note or minute of any meeting recording the basis upon which the home visit was to take place, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So you don't record, as a matter of practice, with your team leader, look, we are going to do a home visit, this is when I am planning it, this is why I am
planning it, and record any discussion about it or any actual decision approving it?
A. No, that wouldn't be normal practice. And the reason for that is, when you are working in a busy social work environment, they are just conversations that you are having. I had made the decision this is what I needed to do, so my record of the visit will indicate why I am there, the decision to be there and the outcome of that visit. If we recorded every conversation that we had like that, I would spend all my time on a computer and no time with families.

CHAIRMAN: You are saying this was a normal work chat?
A. Absolutely, yes.

621 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: You do record the outcome then, is that right, at page 149 in the case recording summary, at the bottom of page 149?
A. Yes.

622 Q. And that is the commencement of that, the home visit?
A. Yes, that is the recording of the visit, so I am indicating why I am there, the purpose of the visit, I am indicating what happened at the visit and the outcome of the visit.

623 Q. And that goes on from page 149 on to page 150, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: And again, the date of the home visit is not necessarily the date here -- well, it is -- the actual date is the 19th February, it is in fact the same date, it's not just you are populating a document following
on opening a document on a particular date, that is the date?
A. That is the date. The actual date is the date that $I$ recorded, yes.
MR. MCGUINNESS: And you have an "NB" there in the middle of page 150 where you say:
"It is important to note that given the nature of the referral, discussion with Sergeant McGowan and meeting with Keith and Marisa in SWD earlier in the month, DSW made a decision not in either of the children's best interests to bring up the issue in the family home which led to the referral of the SWD."

And I take it the reference to discussion with Sergeant 16:54 McGowan is the earlier discussion and no later discussion?
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: In other words, you didn't say to the children, I believe your mummy and daddy had a row, what was the nature of it, or any such clumsy action like that?
A. I did not, no.

CHAIRMAN: No.
625 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: On page 151, there is a note there of the telephone call to Marisa Simms to arrange the home visit for the 19th, at 3:45.
A. Yes.

626 Q. And that appears to be on the 14 th, if one goes back to
page 150 , is that the date of the actual call?
A. It is, yes.

627 Q. Or the inputting of it, is that right?
A. It's the date of the call. I called her on 14 th to make arrangements for the visit on the 19th.

628 Q. So, had you phoned her on the night of the 7th or the night of the 8th --
A. I did not.

629 Q. -- to discuss any meeting? No. On your arrival at the house, was there any reference to explaining why you were coming or what you were doing or acting under instructions of anyone?
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. McGuinness, it may suffice at this stage to put particular allegation, the status of which frankly I am completely puzzled as to what it is at this stage.
630 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes. You have seen the statements of evidence made by Ms. Simms and Mr. Harrison. Did you say at any stage that you had been contacted by the Gardaí to come out and do this visit?
A. I absolutely did not.

631 Q. Okay. Were the Gardaí instrumental in any way in either prompting you to write the letter of the 3rd requiring them or inviting them in for a meeting?
A. Absolutely not.

632 Q. okay. Did you discuss or take direction from the Gardaí in relation to any step as to how you would conduct your assessment or did you report on it
afterwards in any form or fashion to the guards?
A. I did not.

633 Q. Did you make the Gardaí aware at any stage of your intention to conduct a home visit?
A. I did not.
Q. Did you convey in any way that you were, as it were, duty-bound to do the home visit, that you had no choice in the matter, that you were, as it were, acting against your own professional judgment in the matter but acting under instructions?
A. No, I did not. And that, I think Chairman has -- it's one of the things in this case that $I$ find upsetting, because I didn't expression confusion at any stage from the outset of this case in my contact with Garda Harrison or Ms. Simms. I didn't express bemusement, confusion or otherwise. I didn't indicate that my team leader was instructed by anybody. And I think the evidence that has been given is about how upsetting my visit had been to them; it was my view that that was a very courteous, professional engagement. The children were not distressed or upset in any way. I was introduced this to them as mammy's friend. And after that meeting I very clearly informed them that my
assessment would be completed. But the evidence presented is that that experience of me visiting their home was distressing and distressful for them. That is not the case. That was not the experience I feel that was had or had at the time, but it is the information that has been reported and I think that is very unfair. It's my professional reputation, $I$ have to engage with families, $I$ am now the team leader for the duty team in Donegal, my name goes on the end of letters, it goes to families and that is the message that is out there; that their experience of dealing with me caused them a great deal of upset and distress. That was not the experience at the time, it wasn't the message I got from them and it certainly wasn't the feeling I had from the children. And that is, I suppose, the difficult part of that.

636 Q. Did you convey in any way or make reference to any relationship that you or your team leader had with any member of An Garda Síochána or in particular Sergeant McGowan?
A. I did not.

637 Q. Do you know of any basis for that assertion as far as you are concerned?
A. I do not. I have -- I meet with the Gardaí regularly, we meet with the Children First liaison officers regularly. I am sure Garda Harrison is aware of that in the course of his role as a Garda. I never made reference to any relationship one way or another with regard -- in respect of Sergeant McGowan or anyone else
in the Gardaí.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Ms. McTeague, would you answer any questions anyone may have?
CHAIRMAN: Yes. It may be an appropriate place to break now, Mr. McGuinness. Just a couple of matters. I would like oral submissions at the end, and it may be that people will choose not to make oral submissions and simply leave the assessment of fact as it is, because it is questions of fact. It used to be a tradition that people would not make submissions of fact, for instance, in the Special Criminal, but they are more than welcome to make submissions of fact, if they wish. But what seems to me that is necessary now more than anything is that people should actually make clear what exactly the case is that they are making, as 17:04 opposed to referencing a case that perhaps might have been on certain documents at the start. And with that in mind, $I$ hope if we finish the evidence by Wednesday or Thursday that we can have the oral submissions straight away, and they can be as long or short as people want.

MR. HARTY: In that regard, Chair, I might ask that perhaps some brief period of time can be put to go -CHAIRMAN: No, I am not -MR. HARTY: By that, I mean a day.
CHAIRMAN: Look, Mr. Harty, this is a very, very straightforward case, and in the ordinary and normal way of people doing a very straightforward case is that people actually make submissions when they come to the
end. It can be worked on now with a view to what case is being put forward, but I actually need to know from each party - it's the same thing that I have been seeking right from the very, very start - what case is being made against whom in this particular section of 17:05 the Tribunal's work. And I don't want to adjourn to next week to do that. I really want to know that now. Surely I have at least some authority in that regard, some responsibility to make appropriate decisions. I will of course listen to anything you want to say about 17:06 that tomorrow, but for the moment that is my feeling. So, tomorrow at 10:00.
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