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THE HEARING RESUMED, AS FOLLOWS, ON TUESDAY, 3RD OCTOBER 2017:

MR. MCGUINNESS: Chairman, we are continuing this morning with the evidence of Ms. Donna McTeague.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thanks, Mr. McGuinness. Let's just discuss submissions for a minute, Mr. Harty. I was a bit hasty in taking that jump yesterday; if you need time of course you can have time, but just let's see where we are. I understand Saturday is available and supposing we finished on Thursday morning, everybody could make their submissions and fit them in on Friday, if that is what you want. But just tell Mr. McGuinness and I will go with the flow as they say. But, as I say, Saturday is available.
MR. HARTY: I am ob7iged.

MS. DONNA MCTEAGUE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. HARTY:
1 Q. MR. HARTY: Ms. McTeague, I am Mark Harty, I am counse1 for Garda Keith Harrison. Can you assist me, because you use terms of art in relation to your business that I don't necessarily understand, and it would be helpful for me to understand those things in relation to dealing with things. Firstly, can you explain to me the different categories of social worker that there are and what their various roles are in relation to this?
A. Sure. Within the child protection system we have a duty social worker and then we have social workers who
carry on further assessments after the duty social worker has completed their role. So, you have a duty social worker, you have a child protection social worker and then you, obviously in other departments you have social workers for disability, for older people, for learning disabilities, social workers for foster carers and for children in foster care. okay. And you mention child protection system, and there is a distinction made between child protection and child welfare, can you explain to me what that is?
A. Yes. The Child Protection Service receives all referrals in relation to child welfare and child protection referrals. We designate the category of the referral on the basis of the information that we receive but the Child Protection Service deals with, the social workers in the Child Protection Service deal with all of the referrals in relation to child welfare and child protection.

3 Q. Now, can you explain to me how you designate between child welfare and child protection?
A. As a duty social worker, on receipt of the referral information, regardless from whom the referral comes, you make a determination on the basis of the information you receive, whether it reaches a threshold for child protection or whether it reaches a threshold for child welfare. You then, on the basis of your assessment and gathering information the priority of that case may go up to a child protection referral or it may come down to a child welfare referral.

4 Q. Is that the grading 1,2 and 3 ?
A. That's correct.

5 Q. And child welfare is what grading?
A. It's priority level 2.

6 Q. Priority level 2. I understand. Priority level 1 is child protection?
A. That's correct.

7 Q. Now, can you explain to me the threshold in -- what is priority level 3 or is there a priority level 3 ?
A. Yes, there is. Priority level 3 would be a case where 10:03 we would look at -- the referral information would pertain particularly to family support issues or referrals whereby we would be in a position to redirect community services. Generally not the -- immediate welfare concerns are not evident in the information or from our initial screening and preliminary inquiries.
8 Q. Okay. Now, when say you say community level services, are there social workers operating at that level in respect of child welfare as well or is there particular organisations that you would refer them on to?
A. There are particular organisations we would refer on to.

9 Q. The question then of the threshold, can you explain to me what the threshold is between category 1 and 2 and category 2 and 3 ?
A. Yes. Category 1, as I explained, is a child protection referral. So a child protection referral, when I would designate that there would be evidence of abuse or neglect in the referral information. In particular,
whether it being emotional, sexual, physical abuse, or neglect indeed. And the child welfare referral, when there would be a risk of immediate harm or potential risk of threat or immediate harm to a child. A child welfare referral is when a child may have been a witness to an incident or may have been a party to ongoing issues of concern in a family environment, where parents may need support in providing care to their children, in meeting their needs, and in not doing so there is a welfare implication for the child, but there isn't evidence of immediate risk.
10 Q. Okay. In relation to that, you are obviously at the referral stage entirely dependent on the information that you receive, isn't that correct?
A. At the outset, yes.

11 Q. Because unless a child is within your concern -- within your, shall we say, vision or view, once they are brought into your view you are reliant on the evidence that comes to you or the information that comes to you from third parties at that initial stage, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

12 Q. And that is the basis upon which you designate -- that information is what you rely upon to designate the urgency in respect of a matter, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

13 Q. The question, I suppose, I need to ask you: Does one row in front of a child give rise to child welfare concerns?
A. One row in front of a child, on its own without additional information around that, I would want to conduct preliminary inquiries just to find out other information. It's really important as a duty social worker that I don't miss things. I would prefer to make every attempt that $I$ can to gather information and make an informed decision rather than close something down on the basis of just a small piece of information. But you are -- I mean, we saw in respect of the 2012 referral, which you didn't deal with, but that it was possible that the information, which was scant and was anonymous, and -- but you stil1 investigated it because the information came across your desk, or sorry, the organisation still investigated it because the information came across your desk?
A. Yes. That's correct, yes.

15 Q. in relation to the mechanism then for engaging with An Garda Síochána, there are designated liaison Gardaí in every district, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

16 Q. And you would expect any contact to come to you from the designated liaison person, but I presume you can also get information randomly from other sources within Garda Síochána?
A. Yes. A11 the referrals from the Gardaí, they can come from certainly the liaison sergeant, but they also can come from other rank and file Gardaí through their superintendent and into the agency, yes.
17 Q. Right. So there isn't a requirement that any reference
to the agency must go through the liaison person, you have had it through the superintendent as well?
A. Yes. But the origination of the referral can come from any guard, I understand, and currently that is how we receive our referrals it. Could be a guard out on his normal duty that comes across an incident of concern, a standard notification is completed by the guard in question, it is signed off by their superintendent, it comes across to our principal social worker and down to me.

18 Q. Yes. And I take it, it is important at that stage to note all relevant information because different social workers can be dealing with the one case over a period of time, so all information that you receive should be carefully noted, isn't that correct?
A. The information we receive on the notification?

19 Q. We11, both on the notification and after the notification. Once the notification is received, you will then make contact for further information, is that what happens?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And that further information that you receive, that would be carefully noted, isn't that correct?
A. In most instances, yes.

And the purpose for that, and we have seen this in the Children First Guidelines is to ensure that -- and I think Mr. Hone gave the evidence, I am not sure that you didn't give the evidence yourself as well, that because these files can often be dealt with by
different people within the service, it's important that all information is maintained carefully, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

22 Q. And in relation to matters that would give rise to alarm bells, all the more important that information is noted down, isn't that correct?
A. Yes. In child protection referrals and child protection cases we would be increasingly diligent in those particular instances to record information carefully, yes.
23 Q. If I can just bring up page 1217, and to the words at the bottom of that page please. In relation to informal consultation, you will see that. It was partially opened by counsel for Tusla yesterday but perhaps it would be helpful if you can assist me in relation to this.
"In cases where the HSE is aware of concerns about a child but is unable to establish sufficient grounds for formal notification, the HSE should consult An Garda Síochána on an informal basis."

And that is the basis on which you would do an informal consultation, whereby you have reasons to think that matters might need to be progressed and therefore consult with the Garda Síochána, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

24 Q. "Such contact is to be actively encouraged in order to
protect the welfare of the child concerned."

And that is yet again just to make sure that information is shared even where things haven't gone to a stage of a formal notification, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

A
Q.
well, it doesn't. That paragraph -- I am saying informal contacts don't apply once a formal notification takes place. This is to deal with situations whereby a formal -- there is not sufficient grounds for formal notification.
A. I don't agree. I suppose in my practice one of the most important aspects of my work is formal and informal conversations with the Gardaí, whether it's with our liaison sergeant or whether it is with Gardaí who make direct referrals. One of the difficulties that we quite often have is that the information in the notifications that come to us from the Gardaí can be quite scant. We will often contact the Gardaí directly to seek information on that. So, if I was to agree with you I would be saying if the information is scant I wouldn't be contacting them. I might get sufficient information but I will contact them to see if there is any other information that might be helpful to me. So that informal consultation, $I$ suppose it's the foundation of our practice and ou engagement with the

Gardaí. In order for us, as joint agencies working together, to promote the welfare of children we need to be able to consult on a formal and informal basis in respect of any information that we might get.
I appreciate that you have to consult, but once a formal notification has been received -- I am not talking about the forum being informed or I am not saying it isn't by way of a chat to exchange information, informal contact, but that when you receive information by way of a formal notification, every other piece of information that you receive from An Garda Síochána is no longer, shall we say, simply a chat, it should be noted carefully, isn't that correct?
A. Certainly relevant information will be recorded. I think, I would have regular contacts with the Gardaí where I may obtain information that might not be all recorded completely, nor I would presume with them. I could be out of the office when I am making those calls, as they could be, so it might not necessarily be the case that everything -- we would strive to do that but it's certainly not the case that that always happens in practice.
28 Q. Yes. There is, however, and if we go to page 1219, and 7, that is "Record-Keeping" there, and this is where it's dealt with in relation to formal contact, and what 10:12 then is to be done:

[^0]This record should be accessible in the absence of specific personne1 allocated to the case. Al1 contacts between the HSE and An Garda Síochána should be recorded. A decision that is made by the HSE or An Garda Síochána not to proceed must be recorded in detai1."

But you see that the Guidelines say that all contacts should be recorded?
A. I see that, yes.

29 Q. Not necessarily all the detail of the contact, but the fact of the contacts should be recorded?
A. Yes.

30 Q. And you accept that that is the way it should be done?
A. That is the record in Children First, yes.

31 Q. And then if we go to page 1220 , and the bottom of that page, the "Ongoing Liaison" there:
"The HSE social worker and the designated garda should stay in regular contact and inform each other of
developments in the case as they take place and record these on the record of the Garda/hSE liaison form. The link between both agencies should be maintained until the criminal investigation and the prosecution is completed."

Do you accept that that needs to be done?
A. Yes.

32 Q. Can we go then to page 1253? And this is a record of

Garda/HSE 1iaison. Now, can you te11 me, who fills that out?
A. Those documents are filled out, filled out between the liaison sergeant and the duty social worker or indeed the liaison sergeant and the team leader.

And those documents are -- so there is a copy of this kept in both offices, as such?
A. That's correct.

And can you tell me did you fill out one of these in this case?
A. It wasn't necessary in this case. And the reason for that being, because we had a strategy meeting. In cases where we have a strategy meeting in respect of the welfare of a child we don't fill in a HSE/Garda 1iaison sheet. They are only filled in, in cases where 10:15 we don't hold strategy meetings.
Q. Can we move to the next page, 1254? That definitely deals with the strategy meeting?
A. Yes. We use our record, you will see from the previous record we've -- it's been before the Tribunal, we have a strategy meeting documentation that we use within Tusla, within the department, it's on our recording system. It's also in the documentation, I am not sure on which page. We have an agreement and have had an agreement in Donegal since the Children First
Guidelines came out, the documentation at the end of Children First Guidelines is too cumbersome, this particular strategy discussion, so we use our own strategy discussion record that retains the same
information.
A. I accept that the Children First Guidelines state that place there needs to be a minute of that meeting. We don't use this particular document.

39 Q. Page 1220 again, paragraph 7.13.1:
"The HSE social worker and the designated Garda should stay in regular contact and inform each other of developments in the case as they take place and record these on the Record of Garda/HSE Liaison Form."

I mean, the Guidelines are explicit on that; that this form should be used.
A. I accept that that is what is in the Guidelines. what I am clearly saying is our practice is not affected by
the particular document or form that we use. we had a strategy meek, we recorded it on our strategy meeting document. We had discussion in the case. And Sergeant McGowan, Brigid Smith and I attended that meeting.
There is a record. We don't use and have not used and do not currently use this form in Children First in Donegal. We have our own strategy meeting record and the information is retained there.
40 Q. Am I correct in saying that the HSE -- the Guidelines, Children First Guidelines aren't supposed to be an a la carte system, they are supposed to be followed, aren't they?
A. It's important to be clear about this. The Guidelines are there to facilitate and enable interdepartmental conversation and discussion around the welfare of children. This particular form has been challenged I understand by An Garda Síochána in its current format and also by Tusla. The new Guidelines that are to be issued I understand this form is to change, so we have used our own notification form in Donegal and have done for a number of years and still continue to do so. So the particular form we use, I think over all is irrelevant, what is important is that the recording of the information and the sharing of information is the cornerstone of our practice.
41 Q. I would have to put it to you, Ms. McTeague, that in terms of the Children First Guidelines, that they were carefully constructed, weren't they?
A. I would presume they were.

42 Q. Yes. And they are relied upon by An Garda Síochána, by various agencies as to the format in which they are to do things, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

43 Q. And they are supposed to be followed, aren't they?
A. Yes.

44 Q. And this is a very clear directive in relation to what is to be done once Gardaí and HSE are liaising in relation to a referral, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

45 Q. And in this case it wasn't done, isn't that correct?
A. That is not correct. We did liaise, we conducted our business as we should, we didn't fill in the particular form in Children First in the form that it's in, but we utilised another form that we have used in Donegal. So the work was done, the liaison was completed, the discussion was had, we just used a different form, and we continue to do that.
46 Q. Okay. Can you tell me where on your form there is anything to say forensic evidence to be obtained, medical examination required, the question of legal options, section 16 Criminal Evidence Act interview? Where is all of that on your form?
A. It's not on our form. If that was relevant to the case those conversations would arise in the course of the discussion and we would record them accordingly.
47 Q. This form is supposed to be filled out, isn't it?
A. That is the form that is in Children First and as I have said already it's not the documentation that we
use. We still ensure that we have the -- the purpose of the meeting is to share information, the recording of it is still completed, it's done on a different document.

48 Q. Can you tell me what other parts of the Children First Guidelines you don't follow?
A. I am going to be very clear with this: I don't not follow any aspect of children First Guidelines. I don't use that particular document. It's a decision that we have made in Donegal for a very long time and continue to do so. I follow the Guidelines, it's the fundamental cornerstone of my practice and it is very, I feel, unfair to suggest that I don't follow the Guidelines. Our practice is informed by our engagement with all agencies, the recording of that information is 10:20 also important but we do not use that document.
49 Q. Ms. McTeague, I didn't accuse you of anything. Unti1 such time as I asked you about this form I had no reason to believe that you didn't follow it.
A. Sure.

50 Q. You have just told me that in Donegal you have made a decision not to use this form. And not to follow the HSE Guidelines -- the Children First Guidelines in relation to that. I didn't say to you that you weren't following it, you told me that you have simply ignored this provision in relation to the Children First Guidelines, and I am just asking you: Are there any other portions of the Guidelines you feel you cannot follow?
A. No.

51 Q. No. You appreciate that in this particular instance, the question of the liaison between An Garda Síochána and the HSE is central to what is being investigated by this Tribunal?
A. I understand that, yes.

52 Q. I mean, this form should be front and centre, isn't that correct?
A. The liaison between Tusla and the Sergeant McGowan in this case was conducted with the utmost integrity, in my view. I believe that in all of my engagement with Sergeant McGowan, it has been professional, it has been conducted with absolute professionalism. Sergeant McGowan in my view is a very child centred Garda, given the role that she is in. She has been professional, as I have been, in all of my engagement with her.

53 Q. Right. I didn't ask you that. I asked you why this one document, which is the one document that should have been central to this inquiry, was not filled out, and you have told me that a decision was made in Donegal not to use this document. And is that in all cases that it's not used?
A. Yes.

54 Q. And when was that decision made?
A. I don't know. I didn't make the decision. I was the 10:22 duty social worker. This is how we did our practice. I am currently the team leader in the intake team in Donegal and we still don't use this form. That does not mean that our engagement with An Garda Síochána is
in any way undermined or in any way different. We record it in a different template. Our work is still done, the engagement is still there, the cross-references with agencies and accountability is still evident in our practice.
I have to put it to you, Ms. McTeague, that you are obliged to follow the Children First Guidelines to the letter. There's lots of room in relation to informal contacts and everything else contained within it, but you are obliged to follow the Guidelines to the letter, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

56 Q. In relation to your contacts with Brigid McGowan in respect of this case, your first notification of this was on 9th October, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

57 Q. And who was present at that conversation?
A. We were leaving a strategy meeting, a number of strategy meetings in relation to other cases and Sergeant McGowan mentioned it as we were leaving.
Ms. Coll was acting team leader on that particular occasion for Ms. Smith, who was on leave. She may or may not have been there when we were having the conversation as we were leaving. I can't be sure about that.

58 Q. Okay. Do you recall being notified of it on that date?
A. Yes, I do.

59 Q. Okay. And you were given what sort of detail, what was said to you?
A. Sergeant McGowan asked, advised me that she had forwarded a notification in relation to the Simms children. She asked me if I had received the paperwork, I hadn't at that stage. I asked her what it was in relation to. She said there had been an argument between Ms. Simms and her current partner. She didn't name Garda Harrison at that time. She said that her partner was under the influence of alcohol and there had been physical contact between them.
60 Q. okay. And just in relation to that, did she say the children had witnessed the physical contact?
A. Yes, the children were a party to it. The children were a witness to it, she didn't specify whether it was a physical contact, there are other ways, but that this had happened.
61 Q. Now, can I be clear that, what would happen if you are notified that one person had had a row with another person in the presence of their children, do Tusla become involved?
A. If one person -- say that again, sorry.

A couple, a married couple have had a row in front of their children, does that involve Tusla?
A. No.

63 Q. No. So that is below any threshold for Tusla?
A. Yes.

64 Q. A married couple had a row when there was drink taken, does that involve Tusla?
A. Potentially, yes. I would want more information, yes.
Q. All right. A married couple had a row which the
children didn't witness, does that involve Tusla?
A. No, not necessarily, but I would want more information on that as well.

Right. You are not seriously saying to me that simply because somebody tells you that a couple had a row where the children were present, that Tusla would become involved?
A. No, I am not saying that. But the information that I had was there was alcohol involved and there was a physical contact between the adults concerned and the children witnessed this. That does meet the threshold. Okay. And what happens if the children hadn't witnessed it?
A. I would still want more -- if the children hadn't witnessed it I wouldn't know anything about it. It would have been a row between two adults. That is not the information that I had had.
68 Q. The information you had was that the children had witnessed the physical contact?
A. They had witnessed -- I don't know what part they had witnessed, the children witnessed it. At that stage I didn't know that the children had witnessed this. I didn't go into any details because I didn't have the paperwork, we were leaving a meeting and at that point I said, look, we need a strategy meeting, can we organise it and we agreed a date.

69 Q. So you agreed a date for a strategy meeting at that stage?
A. Yes, I did.

70 Q. The referral was then received by Mr. Hone on 16th, I understand, of October. And he said that nothing further would be done in relation to it and that it was to be forwarded to Bridgeen Smith for information purposes only. So, how did it come about that that strategy meeting then proceeded?
A. In advance of receiving the paperwork I had had the information, that additional information from Sergeant McGowan that allowed us to proceed to a strategy meeting. Mr. Hone on receipt of that paperwork from the superintendent would not have known that I had had the conversation with Sergeant McGowan the previous week. So he wasn't in receipt of that information at the time when that letter was written.
71 Q. Right. And how did you correct that with Mr. Hone?
A. I didn't. I didn't have a need to correct that with Mr. Hone. I wouldn't be liaising with my principal social worker in relation to case-management or casework on a case. It wouldn't be something that I would do.

72 Q. Why would you not liaise with -- where he has made a decision that the referral would not be taken in, surely somewhere on the system it should be noted why that decision was no longer being followed?
A. Mr. Hone in his evidence to the Tribunal yesterday indicated that it was not a decision.

73 Q. I appreciate that is what his evidence is, but I would suggest to you that the letter says otherwise.
A. That is not -- was not a decision. It's important to
understand that when Garda notifications come into the principal social worker's office, Mr. -- and the information is scant on those notifications, quite often letters like that could go back to the Gardaí concerned or to the Garda concerned. My job is to make 10:28 sure that $I$ follow that up. If no additional information is made available then we make a decision at practice level whether the case proceeds or otherwise. It was not a decision and Mr. Hone gave his evidence in that regard yesterday.

74 Q. Sorry, Mr. Hone wrote:
"However, as there is no evidence of abuse detailed no further action will be taken from this service until we receive more information."

Are you saying that that is not a decision made by Mr. Hone?
A. I am saying that is not a decision made by Mr. Hone. That is what his evidence is and I would support that. I had further information to allow me to proceed to a strategy meeting in advance of the paperwork being received by the department.
75 Q. okay. The information that you had came on foot of an informal chat with Sergeant McGowan, isn't that
correct, on the 9th of October? where did you note that information down?
A. I didn't note that information down. And I can say, Chairman, that was a mistake on my part; that
information should have been noted, and it wasn't. At the time when I received the verbal information from sergeant McGowan I had nowhere to record it because I hadn't received the paperwork in relation to the notification. On receipt of that notification yes, I should have recorded that information but I didn't. But you should have recorded the information day one, shouldn't you, on 9th October?
A. No, I shouldn't, because I didn't have anywhere to record it. There was no active paperwork file on the children, I couldn't record it until I received that, until that was opened on the system, which I did on receipt of the paperwork on the 16th.
77 Q. Right. And that information is quite important, isn't it, that you received on the 9th of October?
A. Yes.

78 Q. And the nature of your conversation with Sergeant McGowan was important because that is what escalated this. Mr. Hone said he wasn't willing to deal with the matter, putting it at its -- apparently that is not a decision, but he wasn't willing to deal with the matter unless they received further information. You had further information which wasn't noted anywhere, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

79 Q. And it is that further information which arose during an informal chat leaving another meeting with Sergeant McGowan which gave rise to the involvement of Tusla in this, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

80 Q. And that is noted nowhere?
A. As I said, it was an error on my part in not recording the information from Sergeant McGowan when I activated the referral on the system, but the information I received met the threshold for a social work assessment.

And see, it's important that we are clear as to what this information that you received was. And I am still not clear what the information you received. You say, and it's noted, $I$ am just trying to find the notification, that the information that was received was that there had been physical contact during a row in which drink was taken and this was witnessed by the children, isn't that correct?
A. What I said was that Sergeant McGowan advised me that Ms. Simms and her current partner had had an argument, that her partner had consumed alcohol at the time, the children were present and that he had -- there was a physical contact between them. That is enough for me to need to proceed to a strategy meeting given the notification comes from the Gardaí and to conduct preliminary inquiries in relation to that. CHAIRMAN: Maybe I could intervene here just from the point of view of clarity, appreciating that these things are a while back. Now, as I understand it on the 9th of October 2013 you met Sergeant McGowan face-to-face?
A. Correct.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. And that the information you got on that occasion, which you didn't note down, you say that is a mistake, that is fine, was that there had been a disagreement between parents, that the physical contact involved holding her wrist, that is what I thought you had said, the children witnessed this, and there was a threat apparently that the mother wouldn't see her children and that alcohol was involved?
A. The information with regard to the threat I didn't know until the morning of the 7th February. A11 the rest of the information that you have just said I was aware of it on the 9th.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right. And then, as I understand it, you had another contact on 16th October 2013, is that right?
A. With?

CHAIRMAN: With Sergeant McGowan?
A. No, I did not.

CHAIRMAN: okay. when it came then to the information that there was a total rant and that one child came in from the car and witnessed the total rant, when did that bit of information come --
A. On the 21st October at the strategy meeting.

CHAIRMAN: That was the morning -- sorry, on the 21st October at the strategy meeting?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: So that, if you like, is the additional bit of information, there was nothing more than that, and there was no burn or bury mentioned on either of those
occasions?
A. Not to the best of my recollection, no.

CHAIRMAN: Not to the best of your recollection. And then the third, if you like, tranche of information
that you got would have been on the morning of the 7th February when you had, prior to the meeting, rung Sergeant McGowan again, it seems that she said that there was a total rant --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- that it was threatening and abusive comments, but burn and bury wasn't added.
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: As far as you recall.
A. As far as $I$ recall, yes.

CHAIRMAN: So incrementally, it had gone up from being a row in front of the children, held by the wrist, then added total rant on 21st of October, one child came in from car and then going on then to the 7th February on the telephone, total rant is repeated as well as the other bits and pieces that we already know, and then threatening and abusive comments and, as far as you know, the threatening and abusive comments, was that that she wouldn't see the children because she was a bad mother?
A. That is what I recorded on my notes at the time and I McGowan on the 7th.

CHAIRMAN: And it was in the context of not being a competent mother?
A. I didn't -- there was no inference to that.

CHAIRMAN: No, no, I know, but --
A. Yes, if $I$ was reading between --

CHAIRMAN: I mean, it's very rare that a mother would ever have children taken away from her, but the threat 10:35 was that she wouldn't see her children --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- except at the weekends. Did you get that?
A. I didn't get the weekend part.

CHAIRMAN: Thanks. It is a lot clearer.
A. I subsequently saw that. In terms of the sequence of events, I also had a conversation with Sergeant McGowan at the end of January as well when I initiated that contact, but there was no discussion at that stage about what actually had been said.

CHAIRMAN: No, that makes it clearer. Thank you. So, maybe that helps, Mr. Harty, I don't know.
82 Q. MR. HARTY: No, I am afraid I do need to go back over all of this. Your statement said -- this is why it's important that we work this out:
"Sergeant McGowan explained --"

If I go to page 1143.
"-- Ms. Simms had made a statement of complaint to the Gardaí in relation to the incident in which she advised that Mr. Harrison was under the influence of alcohol at
the time of the incident and physical contact was evident in the interaction. The children were reported to have witnessed what occurred and were upset."

Am I to take it that you understood from your talk with Sergeant McGowan that the children witnessed the physical contact and the rant, because that is what your statement says there?
A. I just want to have a read of this again, sorry. To the best of my memory, that information on the 9th October, the information that I received from Sergeant McGowan was that the children were present for the rant. I didn't clarify at that stage whether they saw the physical altercation, that information was gathered subsequently at the strategy meeting. It was enough to allow me to proceed to an assessment. It would be unfair of me to say that I absolutely know for definite that the children witnessed the physical altercation, but they were certainly present for the row and Garda Harrison was under the influence of alcohol. I didn't know it was Garda Harrison at that time, on 9th of October.
83 Q. Just so we are clear on that, there's no mention of a rant on the 9th of October, it was a row that was mentioned?
A. Yes, that's correct.

84 Q. And I would have to say to you that it's quite important as to whether or not children witnessed the physical contact, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, it is. But it's also important to be aware that even if the children didn't witness the physical contact, if I knew or didn't know this at that time, the fact that it had occurred whilst the children were there was enough for me to be concerned. It was enough 10:38 to meet the threshold to move forward to a strategy meeting.
Q.

Were you told that the children were present for the row?
A. Yes, the children were present. This was basically a conversation that Sergeant McGowan was flagging with me, that a referral was coming, she was letting me know, and I didn't get into any detailed discussion, nor indeed did Sergeant McGowan as she was leaving the meeting. We had scheduled a strategy meeting to discuss this in more detail a fortnight later.

86 Q. Would you accept that if children were not present for the row or the majority of the row, that that would change the import of what you are being told?
A. No, because if I know -- I suppose taking this case, or 10:38 indeed any case, if an argument occurs in a family home where alcohol is involved, and children are present in that home, whether they witness the actual incident or otherwise, there is an emotional fallout of that for the adults involved. So my concern as a social worker is about the impact of events in families' lives that impact on their children emotionally. So whether the children were physically and physically saw what had occurred or not isn't going to be something that's
going -- yes, certainly it's important, but it has equal, if not slightly lesser, importance if the children were there and they are in some way negatively emotionally impacted by this. And it's my job, I suppose as a competent and professional social worker, to gather the information around that appropriately. So whether they were present or not for the actual physical part of the event or otherwise would still allow me to proceed to assessment. And again, to be clear, this was a conversation with Sergeant McGowan as 10:39 we were leaving, she was flagging up something that was on its way to me.
87 Q. And in relation to that, you are dependent on the quality of the information that you receive, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

88 Q. And in relation to the quality of the information that you receive, the more detail you can be given and the more accurate that information is, the more valuable it is to you, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

89 Q. If you were given sufficient information, it is possible that you might decide that there is no requirement to escalate the matter at all to an investigation on your part -- or an assessment, shall
we say, on your part, if you are given enough information about any row?
A. Yes. I suppose, to be clear, when the Gardaí are making referrals in to us they are making referrals on
the basis of what they heard or what they saw or what they have been told. It's my job to make the determination from Tusla's point of view about whether this meets the criteria for assessment or otherwise. So I am going to be asking questions that allow me to referral information at the outset.

90 Q. Yes. The question then of the precise detail that you are given, the more precision you are given the more valuable that is in terms of the need for your involvement or otherwise, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

91 Q. And the more accurate that information is in terms of how it's reported to you, the more useful it is?
A. That's correct.

92 Q. The information that you received, it was quite important as to whether or not the children had witnessed the row and had witnessed the physical contact, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

And also it would be important for you to know whether or not this was uncharacteristic to have a row in front of the children or normal to have a row in front of the children?
A. It's information that $I$ would then gather when we met
at the strategy meeting, yes, that's correct, yes.

94 Q.
A.
which we did receive when we met at the strategy meeting. It's really important to be clear about this, you know, sort of informal conversation. There was no questioning of Sergeant McGowan in terms of: well, what happened? How did it happen? How often did it happen? I didn't have any detailed discussion at that stage. She was flagging up to me a referral that was coming my way. Those questions were relevant at the time of the strategy meeting. I wasn't going to be having that strategy meeting as we were leaving a meeting and going on to do something else. So to be clear, my decision to proceed to a strategy meeting was on the basis of the information that I had, that I have shared with the Tribunal, and it was an appropriate decision to make based on what I knew. Ms. McTeague, just so we are clear in relation to this, neither Garda Harrison or to my understanding Ms. Simms have ever suggested that you have acted in a manner which was inappropriate?
A. I understand that.
Q. And they have been very clear in relation to that at al1 times.
A. Yes.

97 Q. That in terms of the information that you received -sorry, sir.
CHAIRMAN: Well, I am sorry, I don't believe that is the case. And this is a point at which perhaps I need a clarification. If it be the case that indeed, as the allegation which was made at the start of the Tribunal, which has not been dropped, was that this witness said at the end of the office meeting that it would be very unlikely that they would be seeing each other again, if this witness made some kind of an apology in coming in the door when the home visit was done --
MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, I will deal with that with the witness.

CHAIRMAN: I need to finish, Mr. Harty. Serious7y, I need to finish. Maybe my mind is over-tidy, maybe my mind is over-pigeonholed, I mean I will accept that criticism from anybody, but I think I am going to start again. If it be the case that this witness said at the end of the meeting on the 9th February with Keith Harrison and Marisa Simms that it was very unlikely that she was going to visit their home, which is alleged, then it may be that she was not following what she ought to have been following, which was to leave the matter open; if this witness then apologised when making the home visit and expressed wonderment as to why she was there, if this witness then in the kitchen to Marisa Simms, as repeated to Garda Keith Harrison, said that she was only here because of pressure from her superior who had had pressure put on them by the

Gardaí, that would be wrong; if it were the case that she had accepted direction from a superior on the basis of pressure from the Gardaí, which is the main allegation made, and it was $I$ think in the letter to Minister Zappone, that also would be wrong. And those are a series of wrong things that are alleged against this witness or have been alleged, the status of them at the moment is unclear but certainly this witness is accused of a number of things and that is the reason that she is represented.
98 Q. MR. HARTY: The Tribunal is of the view that certain things that have been said are personal allegations against you, Ms. McTeague, and can I assure you that there was no personal allegation made against you by Garda Harrison in relation to it? And that is the situation. And that has remained their case; that at all times your contact with them was professional and at all times it was friendly and at all times your contact with them was, as far as they were concerned, somebody who was doing their job as they were required to do it or directed to do it. And that has been their case at all times. In relation to what --
CHAIRMAN: No, but sorry --
MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, I am not going to engage in a situation whereby this is -- and this constantly --

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, I am sorry, I am the Judge. I mean, I may be very bad at the job, but I am still the Judge, and I am entitled to interrupt and I am entitled to direct proceedings in accordance with what seems to
me to be the path which may lead to the truth. Now, I appreciate, Mr. Harty, that your clients have at all times said that Ms. McTeague was kind and courteous, but there are other allegations as well as that and these are serious matters and they are ones that I need 10:47 to resolve one way or the other. So we can take it as a fact that no one is saying and no one has ever said that Ms. McTeague was anything other than kind and courteous, but that's, frankly, what one would expect. It is the allegation of her being manipulated through her superior by the Garda Síochána, apologising for her presence and saying that she shouldn't really be there, that is actually central to any determination that I might make that this entire home visit was somehow set up by the Garda Síochána. Because that is what really I am inquiring into.

MR. HARTY: No, sir, what you are inquiring into is contacts between An Garda Síochána and Tusla in respect of Garda Keith Harrison, not any particular version of those, but those actual contacts. That is what we are inquiring into in relation to it. And it is not my case or Tusla's case or the Tribunal's case or anybody's case that is being inquired into. It is the fact of the contacts and the manner in which they took place. I am cross-examining this witness in order to elucidate what took place and how it took place from her perspective. And that is what $I$ am doing and that is why I am asking the questions which I am asking. Insofar as Ms. McTeague thought or was led to believe
that there was anything personal or any assertion of anything personal on the part of Ms. McTeague by way of a personal criticism of her, I simply was clarifying with her there was no personal criticism of Ms. McTeague, and so far we have an acceptance from Ms. McTeague that in relation to carrying out her function she is utterly dependent on the nature and the quality of the information that she receives. Now, the fact that that information, there's some controversy as to what information was received between Ms. McTeague and Sergeant McGowan, is very relevant in relation to this. And in relation to --

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, I am not stopping you, but cases are very much easier when people actually adopt a position and follow through on a position. we are making a generalised inquiry as to the way people followed the Children First Guidelines in Donegal, what noting is made. If there is a case to be made it should be made. But I think Ms. McTeague is aware of the fact that serious allegations are made against her in relation to her professionalism, not in relation to her decency and kindness, which is transparent and which is accepted. But I think we ought to proceed by you putting whatever allegations you wish to put to Ms. McTeague.
MR. HARTY: I am not wishing to put any allegations to any witness, I am wishing --
ChAIRMAN: Well, you may not wish to do so but sometimes unfortunately, Mr. Harty, it's the duty of a
barrister to put unpleasant or difficult things. But I am going to stop there and say nothing else.

99 Q. MR. HARTY: The situation, Ms. McTeague, is that the next piece of information that you received was on the 21st October, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

100 Q. And on the 21st October -- firstly, how does that feature in your diary in relation to it? The paperwork was received by Ms. Smith, is that correct, on 16th of october?
A. The paperwork comes down from the principal social worker's office to our admin.
Q. Yes.
A. The paperwork comes directly to me. I create the proforma that you saw yesterday, that proforma allows our administrator to input it into our system. I open the intake document, I complete the intake document and that document is then signed off by the team leader. So I don't believe Ms. Smith would have even known about the referral until she got the paperwork from me in relation to the completion of the intake doc.

102 Q. But Mr. Hone's letter was forwarded to Ms. Smith, not to you?
A. That's correct. In the duty team, at the time, as a duty worker all of the referrals, the duty referrals and new referrals into the system would have come to me first and not directly to Ms. Smith, albeit that they are addressed to her. I was the only duty social worker on the team and we had a significant increase in
referrals at that time, so all of the paperwork came to me to allow me to input it quickly and then Ms. Smith would be notified about them on receipt of that for her sign-off.
103 Q.
But in this case it wasn't an actual intake, it was forwarded for information only?
A. Yes, but -- okay. So to be clear about the process around this, once a referral is received into the Social work Department regardless of whether we are going to proceed to an assessment or closing it down on 10:51 receipt of the referral it's still inputted on to our system, so that is why it was opened. It was opened anyway because I had the additional information, but even if I hadn't had it would have went on the system and it would have went to Ms. Smith for closure.
104 Q. That referral that you received detailed emotional abuse?
A. That's correct.
Q. Can you tell me what the threshold is or the test for emotional abuse?
A. Children First clearly outlines that for emotional abuse there has to be ongoing or evidence of ongoing belittlement of a child, witnessing abusive -- living or witnessing -- living in an abusive environment, sorry, parents' engagement with their children in terms ${ }^{10: 52}$ of, I suppose, you are looking at the quality of their relationship, the engagement with the child, lack of support, emotional warmth, and the list could go on. 106 Q. Yes. There is a list?
A. Yes, there is.

107 Q. And I suppose we all, if we thought about it, could work out how it can happen in various different circumstances --
A. Exactly, yes.

109 Q. And it's not a child -- and I appreciate that it might feature in child welfare, but it's not in and of itself a child welfare issue?
A. That's correct.
Q. Emotional abuse, if it's present, would lead to a categorisation of 1 , isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

111 Q. And insofar as a referral was made to you as a result of a meeting which took place that you weren't present at in An Garda Síochána on the 8th October, which said that a referral was to be made in respect of emotional abuse, it didn't meet that classification, isn't that correct?
A. On the basis of the information I had received I didn't believe that it was emotional abuse. And it is important to be clear on this point: The Garda notification forms allow the Gardaí to categorise a neglect. It hasn't been practice, I understand, that the Gardaí use the standard reporting form to the Social work Department which allows them to categorise
the information that they are sharing with us as child welfare. So the documentation that they have available to them in Children First only allows them to categorise it on the basis of one of those four that I have outlined. If the Gardaí were using the standard reporting form for notifying Tusla of referrals they would be able to categorise it themselves as child welfare. But the important factor here is regardless of what documentation is used to refer it, and it's my job as the duty worker to make a categorisation, the referring Garda, referring sergeant or otherwise in An Garda Síochána doesn't have that opportunity available to them because of the documentation that they use, they have only got one of those four boxes to tick because they don't use a standard reporting form.
112 Q. I take it there is nothing to preclude them from using the standard reporting form?
A. They just don't. And they currently don't. I am not sure why. It would be very helpful to me indeed if they did, but they don't.
113 Q. Because I assume the Gardaí come across, in the course of their job all the time, many different incidents that don't involve child protection issues but fall properly into child welfare issues?
A. That is absolutely correct, yes.

114 Q. I mean, if I was to imagine the obvious situation where there's dependency issues in a household, the guards might be aware of it, there is no actual abuse going on but it would be very valuable if the welfare services
were involved with the children to make sure that no issues arise and to mind those children, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

115 Q. And you don't know why the Gardaí don't use the standard reporting form?
A. No, I can't answer to that.

116 Q. And I think you'11 -- would you accept from the point of view of a parent, that categorising the manner in which they are dealing with their child as abuse is far 10:55 more serious that saying that by virtue of factors within the family it's an issue of child welfare?
A. Indeed, yes.

117 Q. And that no parent would want it to be reported that they are involved in child abuse of any type?
A. Certainly, yes, if it wasn't the case.

118 Q. Yes. And there are parents who very happily bring their children in under the welfare because they know they are struggling to cope, for example?
A. That's correct.

119 Q. So you yet again did the right thing when you had that information, you realised this wasn't a child protection issue and you categorised it as a child welfare issue, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

120 Q. And you then had your strategy meeting on the 21st?
A. That's correct.

121 Q. Can you tell me who was present at that?
A. Sergeant McGowan, Bridgeen Smith and myself.

122 Q. And in relation to that, McGowan then gave you more detail, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

123 Q. And can you tell me what detail she gave?
A. MCGowan outlined that Ms. Simms had made a substantive statement of complaint to the Gardaí, she advised that she wouldn't be going into the details of that statement to preserve the integrity of the Garda investigation. She explained that one of the incidents described by Ms. Simms involved Garda Harrison being under the influence of alcohol, that there had been -the children were in the house, Ms. Simms had, in an attempt to protect them interest that had moved them out to the car, she was leaving the family home. She went back into the house, Garda Harrison was threatening towards her, she described it -- it was an ongoing argument, she went in to get I understood the uniforms for school for one of the children. Garda Harrison had grabbed her wrist and one of the children had come in from the car and that the children had seen her upset at that time. But they then left the house.
124 Q. Now, in your statement and I just -- it is for clarification, page 1143, and if we go down the page there, please.
"During this meeting --"

Further on down. That is perfect, thank you.
"During this meeting McGowan confirmed that Ms. Simms made a statement of complaint to the Gardaí, detailing an incident where the children were present. The incident was reported to be a verbal disagreement between Ms. Simms and Mr. Harrison. Mr. Harrison was reported to be under the influence of alcohol and inappropriate physical contact was made by him on Ms. Simms which the children witnessed."

So, as far as your information was at that stage then, the children had witnessed the physical contact?
A. I understood that when one of the children had come in from the car that they witnessed, yes, that. That should say child, not children. I stand to correct that. true in its details, and certainly it has been denied subsequently as well that the children had ever witnessed that, can I take it that that certain7y would affect your assessment of the case as to whether or not the children had witnessed the contact?
A. The very nature of the fact that it had happened at a11, that a disagreement under the influence of alcohol and physical contact had happened between a couple, whether their children witnessed it or not, it would be
more serious to me if the children had witnessed it certainly but it wouldn't remove the need for the assessment.

No. And I suppose can you te11 me what happens if the strategy meeting -- what information could have been given to you at the strategy meeting that would effectively stop the Tusla train from leaving the station? Or, has it already left the station once you have started to receive the information? Could you have been given more information that would have satisfied you that there was no need to look into it further or do you feel that once you are given that, the information that you were given on the 9th, that really there was nothing you could do but proceed to investigate?
A. Certainly not. The purpose of a strategy meeting is to agree a joint strategy between the Gardaí and ourselves and Tusla. The fact of the matter is, initial
information on the general conversation that $I$ had with Sergeant McGowan on the 9th indicated that alcohol was involved and there was a physical interaction, whether the children witnessed it not, between Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms. Unless Sergeant McGowan had arrived at that meeting to tell me well, actually, there was no alcohol involved, there was no physical contact, stopped the train from leaving the station, as you put it. But the fact of the matter is, that did occur. And more importantly, when that information was put to

Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms they concurred that it had -- an event had happened. So there was reason for me to undertake my assessment in this case. The purpose of the strategy meeting is to share information and for us to make a joint decision on how we will proceed.

127 Q. One thing I don't note from your notes of the meeting is any reference to the fact that this was a once-off, and I am just curious as to what information were you given in relation to this, the frequency of such incidents?
A. Sergeant McGowan was very clear at that meeting in advising that Ms. Simms had made a lengthy statement or a substantial statement of complaint, with regard to her relationship with Garda Harrison. She was very careful and I would say very professional in what she chose to share with us. She didn't in any way undermine Garda Harrison, in any way undermine Ms. Simms. She only shared the information with us that pertained to the incident that occurred with the children as shared by Ms. Simms. And at that time in my view that was what was given. Sergeant McGowan at that meeting was very clear in saying look it, this was the one incident that was described, that it happened in front of the children or the children were party to it, whatever way you want to look at that, but she was, I would suggest, the consummate professional in not going outside of providing information to me that she didn't feel was necessary for me to understand. But
she made it quite clear a substantive statement of complaint had been made. So even though I wasn't aware of what that was about, I was aware that it was in regard to their relationship. Knowing that, but knowing this one incident happened in front of the children, I suppose in my mind I am always coming at this from a child welfare and child protection perspective, how could we support this family, and I believe that on the basis of knowing that, it was relevant for me to proceed and to agree in the decisions that we did.
Q. Ms. McTeague, the question I was asking you, and I think you may have given it in your answer there: Did Sergeant McGowan te11 you that this was a unique occurrence in terms of a row in front of a children?
A. She told me this was the one incident in Ms. Simms' statement that the children had been party to, yes. okay. And did she tell that you Ms. Simms had said there had never been another row in front of the children?
A. She told me this was the one incident, so I don't think she needed to say there was never another one. This was the one incident that Ms. Simms had described.
130 Q. okay. And your recollection is very clear that there was no mention of any threats to burn or to bury?
A. I have no recollection of the words burn or bury in my discussions with Sergeant McGowan.

131 Q. And I think your evidence was yesterday that alarm bells would have gone off instantly had those been
mentioned?
A. I would have been much more concerned, yes.
Q. Sergeant McGowan's evidence is that she did tell you about these threats.
CHAIRMAN: Specifically we are talking now about the burn and bury.
A. Yes. And I understand that is Sergeant McGowan's evidence. I don't recollect that, that those words were used. I do not. And I will be very honest, if I did I would be very honest. I don't recollect that. MR. HARTY: And I think you would have noted them, wouldn't you?
A. To be fair, the minutes of that strategy meeting are scant anyway, so if they had have been mentioned, would I have recorded them? Maybe I would, maybe I wouldn't. 11:04 But I don't recollect them being shared with me. And that is the truth.
Q. The meeting then finished up, in terms of -- and I presume you dealt with other cases on the day with Sergeant McGowan. How long did this talk take? Just 11:04 sort of, if you give me the general idea of --
A. I couldn't, I couldn't tell you. We did discuss other cases on that morning and how long any particular case took to discuss I certainly couldn't estimate that, it would be unfair.

135 Q. Could you give me a general picture? when you meet for a strategy meeting how many cases come up in a strategy meeting generally on average?
A. It would -- I suppose it's determined by number of
referrals I've had in from the Milford district at that time, the cases that require strategy meeting, the cases where obviously I am concerned from a child protection point of view will take a substantive longer period of time than cases where there are child welfare referral, for obvious reasons.
okay. If this was a child protection issue I take it you would have immediately escalated in relation to it and called in Ms. Simms, for example, as a matter of urgency if it was a child protection matter?
A. If it was a child protection matter, I would -- what I did and what I would have done, is, I would have made sure Ms. Simms was in a position to be able to come to meet with me and would have done it in a very sensitive way. It wouldn't have been going out with the sirens blazing. I was aware at this stage that Ms. Simms was unwe11 and I understood that they weren't together, so that any potential risk that may have been there was eliminated by the nature of the fact that they were no longer in a relationship, as $I$ understood it at that time. So no, I wouldn't have been going out escalating it with sirens, I would have dealt with it appropriately but it would have received a much quicker response from me than what it did.
137 Q. Yes. Your resources -- just what is the area that you 11:06 cover or the population that you cover in terms of your own --
A. I am not sure if the Tribunal is familiar with Donegal, but in the west central team I covered half of

Letterkenny and all of The Fanad Peninsula, all the way out to Downings. So you are talking a substantive area for one small team.
Q.

And you lead that team, is that correct?
A. No, I was the duty social worker on that team at that time.
139 Q. Right.
A. Yes.
Q.

And the sort of the approximate population base or can you tell me?
A. I can't guess.
A. Incredibly so. I suppose you are talking, Letterkenny is a rather large town anyway as people are aware, and the area that we would -- that I would have covered at that time would be an area that would, I suppose, have experienced a lot of poverty over those years leading up to that, we had had the recession, and it was a very busy period of time in the department. I was the only duty social worker managing maybe 25,30 referrals on an ongoing basis, so I was -- it was necessary that I was prioritising all the time what needed my attention more quickly.
142 Q. Okay. You were told or did you understand that Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms were no longer in a relationship?
A. At the time of the strategy meeting I understood that Ms. Simms was in hospital and I didn't believe they were in a relationship at that stage, that she had left
the house on that night and they weren't together, I understood that. I didn't delve into that terribly deeply but I understood she was in hospital and the children weren't with Garda Harrison.

143 Q.
okay. That in fact was not -- both of those facts were 11:07 incorrect. In relation to the hospital, by 21st October Ms. Simms was out of hospital for at least a week at that stage.
CHAIRMAN: Well, I don't know the extent to which the Gardaí would have been inquiring into that, but
certainly when you come to the withdrawal of the statement in January you will remember the email that was sent by Inspector Goretti Sheridan mentioning "they're back together!". So it seems to have come as something of a surprise to the Gardaí at that point.
MR. HARTY: Well, it was put to the Gardaí in relation to that, that the Gardaí had been -- and this is matters which don't -- but in relation to the death threats, the Gardaí had been in regular patrolling of Mr. Harrison's home in that week, and the week after.

The Gardaí were aware of the fact --
CHAIRMAN: I know, Mr. Harty, but I don't know if they know who is coming or going. I mean, if there is a threat to shoot somebody and people don't take action, I tend to wonder whether that is a good thing. But, might assist, but apparently it doesn't. MR. HARTY: Unfortunately it doesn't.
144 Q. The situation --

CHAIRMAN: We11, it might assist me in due course. MR. HARTY: Yes. That is a matter for the Tribunal.

I don't recollect that there was. I stand to be correct on that but I don't recollect that there was. I know -- I am not sure when I came to know that they had had a bereavement, I am not sure when I came to know that, but what I do know is that in that discussion at that strategy meeting Sergeant McGowan was concerned for Ms. Simms and was saying look it, you need to give her a bit of time too recover. I think, and she appreciates in her role as a liaison sergeant, the impact of social services coming into families' lives can be quite difficult and her suggestion that we wouldn't make contact immediately was from a caring perspective with regard to Ms. Simms. And I concurred with that. Did I know why she was in hospital? I am not 100 percent sure. I can't recollect that.
147 Q. But I suppose the one thing we can certain; you must have been aware that she wasn't in hospital as a result of --
A. Oh, absolutely.

148 Q. And we can assume from that that it would have been
noted that the hospitalisation wasn't directly related to any domestic issues?
A. Absolutely.

149 Q. And Sergeant McGowan undertook to contact you as to when it was appropriate to contact Ms. Simms?
A. That's correct. That was -- now, just to be clear, that wasn't in relation to if she knew she was out of hospital, it would be if she was aware of that information. It wasn't that she was deciding she was going to tell me when I could do what I needed to do. It was to let me know if she knew when Ms. Simms was feeling well again or regained good health. It was for no other reason than that.
150 Q. And you at the strategy agreed to wait a while and Sergeant McGowan was to contact you in relation to when 11:11 Ms. Simms would be well enough to be dealt with?
A. That's correct. And this, to a certain extent, the attitude that you take in relation to it, is all premised on the fact that this was a child welfare in relation to the facts that you had, the one row, the children may or may not have witnessed physical contact, there was alcohol involved?
A. Coupled with being aware that there was a substantive statement of complaint, yes.
Yes. But what I am saying to you is that the reason why you were willing to wait until Sergeant McGowan contacted you to say that Marisa Simms was better, was because there was no urgency in relation to the matter?
A. I didn't believe there was any urgency, no.

153 Q. Now, Sergeant McGowan has given evidence that she phoned the HSE, she didn't say who or who she spoke with, to advise them that Marisa Simms had withdrawn her statement of complaint. Now, I take it that's not your recollection?
A. I am aware that Sergeant McGowan had made attempts to contact me, as I had made attempts to contact her in the early part of January, we both missed one another. So she certainly rang, $I$ just didn't get the call or wasn't available and I had rang her a few times and we had missed one another.
Q. Are you the only person that she would be in contact with?
A. We11, in relation to this case, yes, I would imagine, 11:13 or else if she requested to speak to my team leader, but I would find that highly unlikely. I would have done all my direct dealings in Sergeant McGowan in all cases that I worked. informed them that the statement of complaint was withdrawn.
A. To the best of my knowledge, and my records indicate this, that my first knowledge that the statement of complaint had been withdrawn was on my phone call to her on 27 th of January, yes.
A. Ms. Smith may be able to assist there, whether Sergeant McGowan spoke to her but it certainly wasn't me.

157 Q. In circumstances where you don't remember a phone call in relation to anything, $I$ am not suggesting to you that you did, it's simply the evidence that Sergeant McGowan gave in relation to it. You then phoned Sergeant McGowan. Had this been coming up on, do you have an alarm system in relation to files that sort of weekly reminds you to make contact or to do things in relation to them or bring them up? How did it come about that you made a phone call on 27 th of February?
A. I had a little lull in child protection referrals that week and I wanted to clear out some of the child welfare cases that I had on my list and the Simms children were there from the end of October, from the beginning of October, and I wanted it dealt with. So I didn't want it sitting there anyway longer, I wanted to 11:14 be able to move it on and I had an opportunity to be able to do that at that time.
158 Q. Yes. The situation is that once you then spoke to her, your system noting that phone call is, I think, on page 293 -- or page 160, excuse me, 160. Thank you.
"Telephone call to Sergeant McGowan." So this is the call that you made to Sergeant McGowan.
"Purpose of cal1: To ascertain current status regarding Garda investigation so as to allow SWD to proceed with investigation."

Can you explain that sentence to me?
A. Sure. The referral information was received from the

Gardaí, it had sat on my waiting list for over, at this stage four to four-and-a-half months. I was contacting Sergeant McGowan to ascertain if anything had proceeded, if she had any further information in respect of the Garda investigation that would assist me 11:15 in proceeding with my assessment.
159 Q. Now, I take it that in relation to both child welfare and child protection, Tusla don't wait for Garda investigations because obviously there's a living breathing child at the centre of what you are looking at and you are not going to wait for matters coming from An Garda Síochána in relation to your action, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

160 Q. But that sentence says:
"Ascertain current status of the Garda investigation so as to allow SWD to proceed with investigation."

I would have to put it to you that that sentence would suggest that, somehow, the proceeding with your investigation was dependent on the status of the criminal investigation.
A. Unfortunately the structure of that sentence lends itself to allowing anybody to interpret it in that way. 11:16 I can categorically tell you that my phone call to Sergeant McGowan that day was to have ascertain if there was any other information that I needed to know before I could proceed, because I was now in a position
to conduct my assessment. It's very important to understand where there are Garda investigations and social work investigations running they are run paralle1. We do our best and always work very closely together to make sure that we don't impede on each other's assessments or indeed the Garda investigation. The structure of that sentence certain7y doesn't lend itself to what I am saying to you now, but I can categorically be very clear I wasn't waiting for them to do anything or waiting to be instructed by them to te11 me when I could do my work. I accept that the sentence structure isn't -- doesn't lend itself to that.

161 Q. "Sergeant McGowan advised that Marisa Simms made a second statement to the Gardaí in the past fortnight 11:17 advising her that while the content of her original statement was completely true she did not want the matter to be investigated by the Gardaí."

Was that relevant?
A. Of course it was.

162 Q. Why?
A. In relation to complaints that are made to Gardaí, individuals and families quite often withdraw their complaints. That doesn't mean that the information that they provided in the complaint didn't happen or may not have happened. So, it was important for me to know that because if it was the case that Ms. Simms was saying I made a statement of complaint and I made it
a11 up and it was a lie and none of it was the truth, but she still had said it, I needed to understand that. So I need to understand is Ms. Simms standing over what she had said or is she no longer standing over what she had said. That would be vitally important information for me to know.

163 Q. And what would be the difference in your approach if she had said the statement was untrue?
A. The fact that it was said at all in the first instance, that there was a substantive statement of complaint made and that the children were in some way implicated or impacted by what had happened, my job is to determine a parent's capacity to protect, a parent's capacity to understand the impact of what happens in their relationships and the impact that can have on their children. So if Ms. Simms was saying, for example -- and we are hypothetically speaking here, so if Ms. Simms was saying that no, this didn't happen, none of it was the truth, but she had said it, I would need to be in a position to put those questions to Ms. Simms so that I could get an understanding from a social work point of view. The Garda investigation, they are looking for, you know they are proceeding from a criminal point of view in relation to the information they get. My role is very different to that. My role is to make sure that the welfare of the children is at the forefront of my assessment, so it would be important that I would know that and it would be I know in the context of which that happened.

164 Q. It wouldn't have altered the necessity for you to make a -- to have a meeting with Ms. Simms or Mr. Harrison if she had said this was now completely untrue, you stil1 would have been ob1iged, I take it, to inquire further?
A. Yes, of course.
Q. Did Sergeant McGowan tell you that no criminal investigation had taken place?
A. I don't recall sergeant McGowan saying anything to me about a criminal investigation. I wasn't interested in 11:20 that, I was interested in my part of my work, I didn't get into that with her and I don't remember if she ever said.
167 Q. But you did call to her to ask about what was happening with the investigation in general, 1 presume that is the way you put it rather than the criminal investigation?
A. Yes. I would never consider using -- I wouldn't use that word. Just, where are you guys at with your assessment, where are you guys at with your investigation, is there anything I need to know before I proceed.
168 Q. Yes. It's just that Sergeant McGowan, despite being given the job of interviewing certain witnesses, hadn't
done it and no criminal investigation had taken place and you didn't know that?
A. I didn't ask for that information.

169 Q. But you did, you ascertained the current status of the criminal investigation, of the Garda investigation, so you rang generally to say what is going on, what is happening on the ground?
A. Yes, is there anything that you guys are doing that I need to know about in relation to the children. It's not my business what they do with the rest of that investigation, that is for the Gardaí to deal with. 170 Q. It goes on: "Ms. Simms is back in a relationship with Mr. Keith Harrison, the person against whom the original complaint was made."

Was that said to you by Sergeant McGowan at that stage?
A. She advised me that Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison were back in a relationship and that was how I structured that sentence.
171 Q. "DSW advised that in order to progress the matter, report from Gardaí on specific information on original complaint would be required by Social Work Department so as to progress social work investigation.

Outcome: Sergeant McGowan to forward report to duty social worker as soon as possible, duty social worker to send invite to Ms. Simms and Mr. Harrison in the first instance. Invite to meeting to be sent to Mr. Andrew Simms following receipt of a report from

Gardaí so as to ensure accurate information shared. Donna McTeague."

And that is what should have been done, isn't that correct?
A. That's what I had hoped would happen, yes.

172 Q. And that is good record-keeping, in terms of your investigation it would allow for a full investigation in relation to the matter and a full assessment?
A. That's correct.

173 Q. You were going to invite Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison to a meeting regardless, but you still wanted to have the report at the time that that was taking place?
A. Yes. The reason for making that request is, quite often the language that is used when people are making statements of complaint or sharing information with the Gardaí is important, so if I am aware of that information $I$ can put that to the individuals concerned and explore that in more detail. So if they were coming, if any particular parent was coming to and saying to me no, I didn't say that, I have the evidence to say well, I have this information, this is what you said previously, and we can identify any discrepancies.
174 Q. Just so I am clear on the manner in which things were recorded here, you don't record cases -- cases are recorded against an individual child, isn't that correct? So if there are a number of children in a family there would be an identical entry assuming there is no differences between the children?
A. That's correct.
Q. So this would be -- this, $I$ take it, in terms of we are looking at this page, that is one of the children that is referred to at the top there? I don't have the unredacted version.
A. Yes. So what happens in this case is, the information relates to both children, it's recorded under one child and cloned to the second child.
Q. Cloned?
A. Yes.

177 Q. So that is where the cloning appears on some of these documentation, and that's where it's referred as being cloned from?
A. And it will also give you the clone date. It will not be the date of the actual entry but it will be the date 11:24 it was cloned.
Q. Okay. So that meeting, you never received that report from Sergeant McGowan, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

179 Q. She gave you more detail at that stage?
A. No --

180 Q. Phone cal1?
A. -- not on 27th, no. I didn't receive anything in written form from Sergeant McGowan. So in advance of the meeting with Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms I rang her to request that information and she then gave it to me verbally.

181 Q. And can you just remind me what information that was?
A. It's recorded there in the case note. I don't see it
on the screen, but it's recorded on the case note on 7th of --
182 Q. I think that may be, if I can get it, 167.
CHAIRMAN: what date are we at now?
MR. HARTY: That is the 7th of February.
183 Q. At the bottom of that page, it has that telephone call. And that is the information that is given to you by Sergeant McGowan at the bottom of that page:
"Sergeant McGowan advised the original account outlined 11:25 how Keith had been drinking at home and had made threatening and abusive comments to Marisa in front of --"

And I take it that is the name of one of the children?
A. It looks like it is one child. I would need to see my own notes, but it looks as such, yes.
184 Q. It looks, in terms of the length of the blackout it certainly appears to be a child's name rather than children.
"Marisa said in her original statement that Keith held her wrist and it was sore and threatened he would ensure that she would not have the children. Marisa described Keith's behaviour as a total rant. She was 11:26 upset and the children saw her upset as --"

And I take it, one of the children there.
"-- had come in from the car and observed some of the arguments."

And just one thing I want to be clear in relation to that, that's significantly more detailed in terms of what was and was not witnessed by the children than the earlier information that you received on 21st?
A. I think it's clarity. I am not sure that it's significantly more information. As I said earlier in my evidence, that I wasn't sure and I can't be sure whether Sergeant McGowan advised that the children had witnessed the argument or whether they had come in on the argument or witnessed the physical encounter, but there is clarity there in that information that Sergeant McGowan shared.
185 Q. Okay. And then following on from that, you had your meeting with Keith Harrison and Marisa Simms in your office.
A. I did, yes.

186 Q. And you had invited Marisa Simms but that if Keith Harrison wished to come along or was available to come along he could also come along, isn't that correct?
A. No. I was clear in the letter, I don't have it in front of me, but from memory from yesterday's information, I asked that Keith attend also.
187 Q. Yes. In relation to that then, if we go to page 164, that is the note, and perhaps if we stop there.

[^1]how she received the referral."

Can I take it at that stage, that's when you explained that this was a child welfare issue not a child protection issue? or, would you have explained the difference between child welfare and child protection to them?
A. Yes. In all my initial contacts with families that is how I would explain, so they understand the difference between the category that we have prioritised the
referral. So I would explain the difference between both and then explain which category their referral comes under.
Q. I take it when you go into these meetings, it's important that you try to develop a rapport with people?
A. That's correct, yes.
A. I think we are all aware of that when we work in the business that we are in, and in particular the Garda liaison sergeants are very aware of that. And in my role it's really important that that early contact is not a difficult one for families where that can be possible.

190 Q. And I think the situation is that you are mindful that they need to trust you or insofar as you can get them
to trust you in relation to be open with you and to realise that while this is -- some of them might be unwanted by the family, you are very much -- your goal is to develop a rapport with people so that you can properly assess the situation and properly deliver whatever supports are necessary, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

191 Q. And that doesn't involve barging in to somebody's home and saying I am a social worker, $I$ am going to tell you what to do now?
A. That's correct.
Q. You do that subtly and softly, to try to make sure that everyone is as open as possible and then yet again if there is a prescription at the end of your visit, to ensure that they are cooperating with that in the best interests of their children?
A. That's correct.
Q. And insofar as simple ways to do that, you could say, thank you very much, I am sorry you had to take time out of your day today to come in here, that wouldn't be 11:30 an unusual thing to say to somebody, I take it?
A. It's certainly not language that I would use, but people have different ways of reassuring others, but that is not language that $I$ would use.
what you say?
A. I would thank them for coming in, I would show them my ID, confirm who I am and explain what it is that I do and then explain why they are here.
Q. And would you acknowledge the intrusion into their
family life?
A. No, I don't think I would. I don't believe I would.

No, is the short answer to that question. No.
Q. No.
A. They are there for a reason. So, my job is to make sure that they know why I am there, they know why they are there and that we can work together to bring this to a resolution, whatever the situation may be. Might I say, yes, it's difficult sometimes, a social worker being involved in your life, but we are here to help you, certainly I might make that comment.
Q. You'd acknowledge that there might be the difficulty in relation to social workers being involved in your life?
A. Depending on the nature of the case, yes.

Yes. And you'd make it clear that you want to make it as easy as possible in relation to people?
A. Again, depending on the nature of the case, yes.
Q. Yes. And you fully acknowledge, and I think you fully appreciate, that unless somebody has come to the social services looking for assistance with their children, for the most part on initial contact people are, shall we say, at best nervous as to what is going to go on and often scared, worried, in relation to what can happen, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

And it's the nature of a visit with your services that it is going to be distressing, no matter what you do, the fact that the visit is taking place, it starts off as being distressing until you can explain to people
where you are going and what you are doing, because people will be --
A. That may be, yes.

201 Q. Yes. And insofar as that reassurance had to be given, as I have already said, both Garda Harrison and

Ms. Simms are clear that you did a very good job in reassuring them that this was not going to be a problem and that you were professional in relation to the matter and courteous at all times and friendly and helpful. And they took it, and I think both of their evidence was, that you were apologetic for being there. But can I suggest that that might be a situation whereby you are saying to them, I am sorry we have to do this, I am sorry if this is causing you upset and that wouldn't be an unreasonable thing to say? CHAIRMAN: So is the question that Ms. McTeague apologised for being there?
MR. HARTY: Well, I am exploring that, sir.
CHAIRMAN: No, I know, but I mean there's several propositions wrapped up in that question, Mr. Harty, as 11:33 you will appreciate. So, did you apologise for being there?
A. I did not.

202 Q. MR. HARTY: Were they upset at you being there -- at being there?
A. I suppose $I$ can't speak to how they felt, but what I can say is, they both came to the meeting, I sent them a letter, they both came to the meeting, they both engaged with me at the meeting. There was some
upsetting information discussed during that meeting. were they upset? I think it was more about what they were talking about and I don't believe I upset them in any way, nor are they saying that I did at that
meeting. information to them, and that is the inference that is being made about my practice. It's the inference that, I suppose, is the central terms of reference of this Tribunal. I did not apologise. I might have said I am glad you have come in, but I didn't apologise for my role or apologise for doing my job.

CHAIRMAN: And you didn't apologise when you went to their home either?
A. No, I did not. I was clear in why I was there. And it was clearly evident why I was there. So I didn't making, and it's not -- I don't agree.
204 Q. MR. HARTY: Sorry, Ms. McTeague, when the dentist injects you to give you a painkiller is he actually
apologising or is he simply reassuring you in relation to things?
CHAIRMAN: No, but I mean, the dental analogy is a very good one, Mr. Harty, but she is absolutely clear she
didn't apologise.

MR. HARTY: The reason why I am asking this, it has to do with bedside manner and it's undoubtedly the case, Ms. McTeague, that you have an excellent bedside manner. Because there is no question but that at all times you put Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms at their ease to the extent that they were able to be open with you about some deep intimate details in relation to their relationship. So there is no doubt in relation to that. And I am not saying to you that you believed you were apologising; I am just saying that you used phraseology in such a way as to put them at ease, acknowledging the fact that it was an intrusion, which you accept it is an intrusion into a family life for a social worker to be present.
CHAIRMAN: But again there's two questions wrapped up there, and maybe we could just split them up. I think you do accept that it is difficult for people to have a social worker visiting their home?
A. Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. And then the second question is: In terms of putting people at ease, what strategy did you adopt at the office meeting, at the home meeting? Maybe you'd just tell us that.
A. okay. So, in order to -- in this case in order to, I
suppose as you refer Mr. Harty, to develop a rapport with the family I explained the difference between a child protection referral and a child welfare. And in allowing them to understand that this was categorised in the Department as a child welfare referral that was me putting them at ease. I didn't apologise for that. That was me putting them at ease and that is the manner in which I went about that in that meeting. put at ease to know it was simply a child welfare referral rather than a child protection referral?
A. Yes. Because I think when you think about social services being involved in your lives, unfortunately the perception is we come and take your children away or we come and make life very difficult for you, so being able to reassure them, look at, this is not -it's not top priority, it's not a child protection referral, it's a child welfare referral, that in itself immediately removes that first sense of anxiety, in my view. And I would use this strategy with many families 11:37 that I work with and it's helpful for them to understand, so we are both on the same page from the beginning, that they clearly understand where I am coming from and I may need some information to help me make a decision, how we are going to move forward together.

207 Q. I think both Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms were of the view that the language that you used in relation to it was apologetic. I am not saying that you yourself
didn't believe why you should be there or dealing with it, but they were of the view that the language that you used was apologetic, and I have put that to you, that that is what you said. And I put to you that it is a perfectly reasonable thing for a social worker to say, 'I am sorry we have to go through this, I am sorry you have to be here', would that be an unreasonable thing for a social worker to say?
A. It wouldn't be an unreasonable thing for a social worker to say. I do not believe I said that.
208 Q. You then proceeded on that meeting to have, can I say -- how long did the meeting take, approximately?
A. I would say less than half an hour.

209 Q. Less than half an hour?
A. Half an hour, max.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, can I inquire, is there any challenge to what is put down on page 160 -- yes, page 160, as to --
MR. HARTY: we are coming on to that, I think, so we'11 be --

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I beg your pardon, I am getting the wrong page number now, but the home visit is 164 . Is there any challenge to the detail of that 164,165 , 166 ?

MR. HARTY: None. No challenge at all.
CHAIRMAN: So I can take it that your client accepts every single bit of that is true?

MR. HARTY: Well --
CHAIRMAN: Because that is what this process is about,
trying to sort out what are people saying, what are they saying is untrue. So --
MR. HARTY: well, then I am going to need to go into it if $I$ am being held to every single letter in relation to it. There are --

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, Mr. Harty, you are not being held to every single letter. We are now at a cross-examination that has lasted an hour and forty minutes, and that is fine, that is not a problem, but the problem is the lack of specificity. I mean, you are putting to the witness that she apologised at the meeting which took place in the office on the 7th February.
MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Now, you have an opportunity to say whether that happened or not, and you have already said it didn't happen, as I understand, Ms. McTeague?
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: That it is not your style to go around apologising for yourself, because you are a
professional who has got work to do, and that is it?
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: And it's not like giving someone an
injection at a dentist where you say 'I am sorry, there
is going to be a bit of pain'. The reality is, you have to be there?
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: And were therefore not apologising, you are clear about that?
Q. MR. HARTY: Just in relation to the note, the information that you put to Garda Harrison and Marisa Simms was the information that had been relayed to you by Brigid McGowan on the morning of that --
A. That's correct.

211 Q. -- meeting. And did you relay that information in detail? would you have -- I take it you didn't relay it verbatim?
A. No, I did not.

212 Q. But as a result of that, you would have put to them that there had been a row, I take it?
A. Yes.

213 Q. That there had been drink involved on Garda Harrison's part?
A. That's correct.
Q. That -- would you have used the word 'rant', or can you say whether you used the word 'rant' or not?
A. I don't recall using the word 'rant'. I may have had, but I don't recall.

215 Q. And that there had been physical contact?
A. Yes. I specifically mentioned grabbing the wrist.

216 Q. okay.
A. Yes, I did.

217 Q. And you asked Marisa if that was true?
A. I did, yes.

218 Q. And she said the incident did happen?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

219 Q. She seems to have clarified that only one of the
children had witnessed it, but it appears to have been clear from your note of the call earlier in the day that you already were aware of that fact?
A. I was, yes. And I suppose the importance in that is that while I was aware that only one of the children, I 11:42 wanted to see would Ms. Simms or Garda Harrison correct me because I said "children", so it was important that I was clarifying accurate information from them. It's also important just on this point to say that Garda Harrison very much took responsibility for what had happened, accepted his part in it, and they gave contextual information to explain what had happened, and I took them at face value and accepted that they understood why I was there and the importance of it at that stage.
220 Q. And you got quite a lot of context in relation to the background and what had been going on in their relationship at the time?
A. I did, yes.

221 Q. And I take it you would have explored, for example, to make sure that those, shall we say, elements which could have given rise to this situation, where they stood in respect of those elements now?
A. Yes. They advised me they were back in a relationship and they recently had got engaged.
222 Q. Yes. And you were aware that, for example, there was an awareness from Garda Harrison in relation to the drink issue?
A. Yes.

You told them that you were going to have to contact Mr. Simms?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And they were -- I think they clearly understood why?
A. They did, yes.
Q. I think you were very satisfied -- would it be fair to say you were satisfied, from the point of view of the meeting that took place in the office, that these were people who understood their parenting responsibilities?
A. Yes, I think as my analysis at the end of that case note indicates, I accepted that -- it was my assessment 11:44 of Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms at that time that they appreciated, they admitted what had happened, they acknowledged the situation, they provided contextual information. Garda Harrison, I felt, was -- took responsibility to some degree in acknowledgement of what -- his misuse of alcohol at that time, Ms. Simms acted protectively with regard to the children, and they demonstrated insight in their responses that they were providing to me and it was my view that I didn't believe there was any further ongoing child protection concerns -- or child welfare concerns at that time, and my analysis indicates that at the end of that case note.

227 Q. And I think that would explain why it wasn't that you
were going to come and visit the children, it was that you may come and visit the children?
A. Yes, I said I may come to visit the children and I asked for Ms. Simms' telephone number, which I took from her.

But you didn't say you would come and visit the children, you said you may come and visit the children?
A. Whether I said 'may' or 'would', and in order to complete an initial assessment, when I have met with parents like this and when an incident has been accepted that it has happened, our standard operating procedures indicate that our -- we need to see children so the children's voices are heard in the first instance, but also for me to observe the relationship between the children and Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison in this instance. It's -- I suppose the very essence of, I understand, why we are here, is whether I was directed, or otherwise, to complete that home visit. And we can go around in circles and talk about this for the next hour, but I want to be very clear about this: if I used 'may' or 'could' I don't know because I don't remember the structure of my sentence at that time, but I would have -- I would have known that I needed to complete a home visit, and that is exactly what I did.
229 Q. The situation in respect of the referral in 2012 --
A. Yes.

230 Q. -- that didn't require a home visit?
A. That's correct.

231 Q. So did the standard operating procedures change between

February 2012 and February 2013?
A. Ms. Coll gave evidence --
Q. 2014, I'm sorry.
A. Ms. Coll gave evidence already to the Tribunal indicating that when -- it was an anonymous referral. ${ }^{11: 46}$ Ms. Simms and her -- and Mr. Simms, the children's parents, at the time indicated that the information in the referral wasn't relevant, it wasn't an issue. There was nothing admitted to or no evidence to substantiate the original referral. Ms. Coll's
decision in that regard, in my view, was an accurate decision to make. In this case, the substantive difference is that Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms had confirmed the information in the referral, they had confirmed what had happened, and therefore I needed to 11:47 complete a home visit to the children.

I haven't seen it and I have never come -- I didn't know there was such a document.
A. It's good practice in the completion of an initial assessment to make contact with children, especially in situations where parents, in this case Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms, confirmed that the referral information referral and Ms. Coll's assessment, you are not comparing like with like; you are comparing apples with oranges, because the previous referal, there both
parents indicated that the referal information wasn't substantive, that there wasn't any concerns and it wasn't confirmed, so there was no basis and no need in which to proceed to do that. In this case, the substantive difference is that Garda Harrison and

Ms. Simms had indicated that the referral information was accurate, I needed to see the children.
Q. that, a matter of a judgement call that has to be made in relation to it and some consideration that has to be 11:48 made in relation to whether or not that visit has to take place, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, in all situations you are -- I suppose, to be clear, in completion of an initial assessment it is best practice to see the children. Again, it depends on the nature of the referral, so yes, there is an element of judgement in that, but in 99 percent of cases you are going to see the children once you've initiated an initial assessment. There may be cases where, especially in anonymous referrals, which we may clarify the information with the parents and not proceed to move on. In this case, as I have already explained, it was necessary for me to do that. .
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Q. But you didn't, in fact, believe that at the end of this assessment, that it was going to be absolutely necessary, isn't that correct?
CHAIRMAN: Well, as I understand the allegation that is going to be -- that is made, is that, at the end of this meeting, Ms. McTeague said, I think it's highly unlikely that $I$ am going to need to visit, and isn't that the case? Isn't that the case that your client is making?

MR. HARTY: Well, unlikely, I think, rather than highly 11:49 unlikely.

CHAIRMAN: We11, did you ever say, it's unlikely I'm going to visit?
A. No, I did not.

CHAIRMAN: And are you sure about that?
A. I asked Ms. Simms for her number. I wouldn't have needed to take her number if I didn't -- what I did was, and I think it's important, the interpretation here: I -- my analysis at the end of that case note clearly indicates my assessment of the family at that meeting. I didn't believe there were any ongoing child welfare/child protection concerns, and I did share that with Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms at the end. I was satisfied that they had insight and understanding into what had happened. I certainly did all of that. And I 11:50 was very clear with them, in an attempt to reassure them, or otherwise. But I did not say it was unlikely that I was going to need to see the children.
Q. The situation is, though, Ms. Wallace accurately
recorded what you said and you explained that you may have to visit. It is not saying that you will visit, is it?

CHAIRMAN: Well, is the allegation withdrawn then? MR. HARTY: The allegation isn't withdrawn. Two people 11:50 have a conversation. Two people generally -- often have a different understanding of what was said or recollection of what was said. In relation to this, Ms. McTeague was of the view that she was conveying, that she was definitely going to visit. Now, that was understood by Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms for whatever reason, because of the use of the word 'may', that she might not --
CHAIRMAN: The allegation always was that, at the end of the office visit, that Ms. McTeague said something to the effect of, it is unlikely that I will have to visit you at home or I probably won't have to visit you at home. It's not a question of understanding. That was the allegation, and the allegation needs to be put. MR. HARTY: Okay.
239 Q. Well, I will put it to you. Did you say that it was unlikely that you were going to have to visit?
A. I did not.

240 Q. Did you say that it is likely that you would have to visit?
A. I asked Ms. Simms for her telephone number. The purpose of doing that was to arrange the visit. Did I use the word 'unlikely'? I definitely did not.
241 Q. Did you use the word 'likely'?
A. I would need to see the children. Ms. Wallace may be right, I may have said I may need to see the children, but I never used the word 'likely' or 'unlikely'. That is -- I am categorical about that, absolutely.
But you accept that saying, I may need to see the children does not mean $I$ will need to see the children?
A. Again, $I$ will be very clear about this: in the completion of our assessments, it is important that I see the children. I asked Ms. Simms for her number. I didn't use the word 'likely' or 'unlikely'. If I said I will or I may, maybe I did, I can't be sure about that. But I can categorically tell you I did not use the word 'un7ikely'.
243 Q. Was Ms. Coll engaging in best practice when she didn't carry out an assessment of the children?
A. I will not be commenting on my colleague's practice. I have already explained that Ms. Coll gave evidence to the effect that the information in that referral was unsubstantiated.
244 Q.
So it is not the case that in every referral you have to see the children, isn't that correct?

CHAIRMAN: No, but we have had that, Mr. Harty, we have been over that, and, as I understand it, quite often anonymous allegations are received. They are not treated with the same degree of, I suppose, weight as allegations made by people who are prepared to stand over them, but investigations are made, and in some of those a home visit isn't necessary. I mean, it was explicitly stated that to compare an anonymous
allegation where there has been a chat with the parents and things are sorted out, with a case where there is a substantive complaint by a person, is to compare an apple with an orange. I really think we really need to move on now and we need to move on. You have put the allegation, it's been answered. We need to move on to the home visit and whatever allegations are in relation to the home visit.
MR. HARTY: I need to deal with matters in relation to this, which is that Ms. coll found it necessary, after the apparently not seriously-considered anonymous allegation, to contact teachers and doctors in relation to the children.
CHAIRMAN: We11, you can certainly ask about teachers and doctors if you feel you should.
MR. HARTY: I am going to ask the question, sorry, sir.
245 Q. The situation is, Ms. coll was -- found it necessary, after her anonymous reference, to contact teachers and doctors, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

246 Q. She didn't treat the anonymous letter as entirely vexatious. She did investigate it further than simply meeting with the parents, isn't that correct?
A. She completed preliminary inquiries, yes.

247 Q. And her preliminary inquiries were dealt with by way of 11:54 contact with other professionals who were dealing with the children, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

248 Q. So she didn't just simply say, this is an anonymous
allegation, therefore I am not going to treat it seriously. She did treat it seriously and she did investigate it, isn't that correct?
A. She completed her initial assessment, yes.

Yes. And her initial assessment didn't require a visit 11:54 to the children, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: So the question is, why did your initial assessment require a visit to the children?
A. Because in my discussion with Garda Harrison and

Ms. Simms they confirmed that the information in the referral had indeed happened, that the children did -were party to the argument or came in on -- one of the children came back into the house. It did occur. Ms. Simms had confirmed to me when asked twice in that interview, are you confirming that the information that you shared with the Gardaí is correct? And twice she said yes. That is the basis of my visit to the children in this case at that time.

250 Q. MR. HARTY: But you could have looked with contacting doctors or teachers in respect of the children, couldn't you?
A. Yes, I could. You could have contacted other -- Mr. Simms. I know you contacted him afterwards, but in terms of the assessment, you could have contacted him to ask him how he felt the children were being dealt with and looked after. He shared custody of the children, isn't that correct?
A. That is my understanding, yes.

```
252 Q. And you could have done all of that, couldn't you?
```

A. I could.

253 Q. And that is another way to satisfy yourself in relation to the well-being of the children without necessitating a home visit, isn't that correct?
A. That is not correct.

254 Q. Why is that not correct?
A. The information that was shared by Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms at my meeting indicated that the referral information was accurate to some degree. Secondly, we live in a small county. Garda Harrison is a guard in our area, Ms. Simms is a teacher in our area. I could certainly have phoned around schools, GPs, public-health nurses and other people to inform my
assessment. It was my judgement at the time, on the basis of the information that both Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms shared with me, that they demonstrated insight, understanding and provided contextual information that I was at that stage satisfied with. In contacting schools, public-health nurses or GPs, I am drawing attention to the fact that Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms are known to the Social Work Department, I made a judgement call that I felt if I saw the children directly, I wouldn't be causing them any distress or upset in doing so, that it was better for me to do that than to have -- phoning around other professionals in a small county where people are known. That was my decision to make. I made it because I felt
it was the best thing to do for this family at that time, and that is why I did my home visit. And even if I was going to contact all those services, I would have done my home visit anyway, that would have been additional information, and I chose not to do that. My 11:57 home visit was happening anyway.
But it wasn't happening, because you said you may have to visit?
CHAIRMAN: I know, but we have been over that, Mr. Harty. I really feel that this entire matter is 11:57 now exhausted, and I think we really should move on to the home visit and any allegations that need to be put in relation to the home visit as recounted to Garda Harrison by Marisa Simms, the conversation at the door and the conversation in the kitchen, as indeed was there a conversation in the kitchen. Those are the things I need to know about.
MR. HARTY: Yes.
256 Q. The situation then, you returned, you inputted this information, isn't that correct, into your system? Was 11:58 it Ms. Wallace who would have typed that in or you entered it into the system?
A. I entered it in. Ms. Wallace typed up the notes, emailed them to me, I added the analysis in, attached it to RAISE, and shredded the document that Ms. Wallace 11:58 had sent me.
257 Q. You didn't contact -- why didn't you arrange the home visit on the day?
A. I didn't have my diary in the room, for starters, and I
also didn't know when $I$ was going to be available to be able to do that.
Q. But it was in your office?
A. No, it wasn't -- it was in our building, yes, but it wasn't in my office, no.

But you waited for -- on what day did you make the phone call to arrange the home visit?
A. On the 14th.
Q. So you waited a week to arrange the home visit?
A. I did, yes.

261 Q. Why?
A. I obviously had other issues in my diary that needed more prompt attention at that stage than the visit to just confirm what $I$ already believe would be a case closure at that stage, so other cases took priority.

262 Q. Did you report in relation to what your -- what you put on the RAISE system to anybody? Did you contact Ms. Smith?
A. Ms. Smith would have been aware after my meeting with Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms that I accepted and believed that the case didn't need to progress any further, that we would be closing it after a home visit would be done.

263 Q. And what further conversation did you have with Ms. Smith?
A. As I said, I had a conversation with Ms. Smith directly after the meeting. Ms. Smith was satisfied with my assessment on the basis of what I shared with her, and we agreed if there wasn't anything further arising from
the home visit with the children, that the case could close to the department.

## Q. Did yourself and Ms. Smith discuss anything about the

 referral mechanism?A. No.
Q. Ms. Smith hadn't been present in your -- for your phone conversation with Sergeant McGowan. I take it you went into detai 1 in relation to what Sergeant McGowan had told you, in your discussion with Ms. Smith?
A. I advised her that I had followed up with Sergeant McGowan that morning and that $I$ had put the information to Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms. I don't recollect going into any specific detail with her. I suppose in my role as a social worker, as a duty social worker, the relationship between myself and my team leader is an important one, that Ms. Smith is satisfied and I suppose appreciates my competence and my ability to make good decision-making on the basis of the information I have, so I certainly don't go into the specific detail of all cases with her. I will give her 12:01 a summary of the information that $I$ have, the assessments that $I$ have done, the information that $I$ have gathered and my intended outcome. So, no, I don't recall going into specific information with her. 266 Q. But she was present at the first strategy meeting?
A. She was, yes. So when I -- I have no doubt I would say, look at, I put the information to Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms and they concurred that an issue had arisen, it did occur, and they provided a number of
pieces of information in the context that they were in at the time, and I remember expressing empathy, given the situation that they had experienced, and there was what $I$ felt at the time was a valid explanation for what had happened.

267 Q. Sorry, in relation to the situation, I take it that was the loss of the child?
A. Yes, and I suppose the difficulties in terms of acceptance from extended family and the wedding situation. So from the information that I had, I
certainly took Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms on the basis of the information they provided to me.
Q. Yes. And you then phoned Ms. Simms. She seemed to have thought that it was -- the evening of the meeting in the office was her recollection, but, in fact, that took place on the 14th, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

269 Q. And you arranged the meeting for the -- was it the 16th of February?
A. 19th.

270 Q. 19th. What did you say in the phone call to Ms. Simms?
A. I don't recall exactly what I said. I was ringing up with -- the purpose of the phone call was to arrange a visit to see the children at a time that was suitable both to Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison. I didn't -inference has been made to the Tribunal that I made reference to having been told by my line manager that I had to visit or that there was contact from the Gardaí. That conversation never took place. I never -- because
that never happened, I never would have been saying it to Ms. Simms.
Q.

And what $I$ am trying to explore in relation to this, yet again the information gets garbled from one side to another. And would you have at that stage, for example, discussed with Ms. Simms the fact that the -the way that this referral had come about?
A. Not at that stage, because $I$ had already explained that information in my meeting with, when $I$ met with them on the 7th.
Q. Would you have explained to Ms. Simms, for example, discussions that were had with Sergeant McGowan about the withdrawal of her statement?
A. No, I would not.

273 Q. That was your first opportunity to speak with Ms. Simms 12:03 on her own, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

274 Q. Did you ever relay that fact of the withdrawal of the statement to Ms. Simms?
A. It may -- I am not sure if I mentioned it to them in the meeting that I had had, look at, you made a statement of complaint to the Gardaí and you are standing over that and you have since withdrawn it, I don't know whether I mentioned that. That wasn't the essence of the part that was important to me. What's important to me is did this or did this not happen. So, no, in that phone call to Ms. Simms I did not speak about the statement of complaint, the Garda referral system or otherwise. The purpose of the call was to
Q.
okay. Could you have been in any way apologetic about the fact that what you had expressed as being a conditional, a may, in your meeting of the 7th of February, was now a definite?
A. I don't recall being apologetic for any part of my practice in this case. If I said, look, I need to come out to see the children, yes, $I$ have no doubt I did that when I was arranging a visit. I don't remember apologising for anything at any point in time. Maybe, you know, did I try to reassure them, I am going to come to see the kids but I am satisfied that this is going to close anyway but I am going to need to come to see the children, possibly, yes. So I am not going to say that Ms. Simms is lying. I am -- it certainly wasn't apologising.
Q. I understand. I understand that you didn't feel you were apologising, albeit that you might have been saying something?
A. The interpretation may have been otherwise, but it certainly wasn't apologising for why I was there or what I was doing.

277 Q. Yes. You then came out to see the children, isn't that correct? Are there any notes of that meeting? Did Ms. Wallace travel with you on that occasion or you
A. I travelled by myself. There are case notes of that meeting recorded on --
278 Q. On the system. But there is no standalone notes like
there was in the meeting in your office because --
A. There is, there is a case note recorded. It's there. I am not sure what page it's on.
Q.

162 is where it's noted on the system in the case recording summary, but there was no separate notes recorded, I take it?
A. No, that is a record of the visit.
Q. That is the record.
A. Yes.

281 Q. -- and as I say, you didn't have somebody in the office ${ }_{12: 05}$ with you to take a minute, as such --
A. No.
Q. -- which you would have had with Ms. Wallace on the previous occasion?
CHAIRMAN: So it is 162 , isn't it?
MR. HARTY: It is 162 , yes.
MR. McGUINNESS: On to 163.
283 Q. MR. HARTY: I think in relation to that, Ms. McTeague, can you just give me a little geographic description of what went on there in the family home. You had the meeting in the kitchen, the sitting room?
A. I came in through the front door, met with Ms. Simms in the hallway. Garda Harrison and the children were in the living room. I went in and joined them in the living room. I understood the children were being
introduce as mammy's friend -- or I was being
introduced to the children as mammy's friend, and I was perfectly happy with that arrangement. When I met with the children, and I don't think it's appropriate here
to talk about that part of it --
284 Q. No, no, no.
A. -- I met with them in the living room. And I was satisfied that -- from what I observed, and my case notes indicate that accordingly, and I think maybe maximum 15 minutes that $I$ was there. It was after the Christmas period, everybody was very relaxed and happy and there was -- I had no issues of concern and I left. CHAIRMAN: Did you go into the kitchen?
A. No, I spoke with Ms. Simms in the hallway on my way out.
CHAIRMAN: So you didn't go into the kitchen?
A. I never saw their kitchen. I could describe their living room, but I never saw their kitchen, I couldn't tell you what it's like, but I certainly know what their living room and their hallway was like.
285 Q. MR. HARTY: And what did you say to her in the hallway?
A. I advised her that I was satisfied that the case was closed, there is no further issues of concern and I would be closing the case to the department. It might take a week or a fortnight, but you will get a letter from me indicating that the case is closed. CHAIRMAN: what is the date of the letter that eventually came, do you know?
MR. MCGUINNESS: The 27th.
MR. MCDERMOTT: Page 147. Sorry, Chairman, page 147 and 27th of February 2014, and that is the letter from Bridgeen Smith to Marisa Simms indicating the matter was closed and --

CHAIRMAN: Yes. That is the letter about the stamp. MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Right. Well, there it is. So -MR. HARTY: Sorry --

CHAIRMAN: This is a question now, a secret conversation you had out of the hearing of Garda Harrison which was later reported, allegedly, to Garda Harrison by Marisa Simms on what you said. In particular, it is alleged that you said to her that you were embarrassed to be there and that, in effect, your superior had a personal relationship with Sergeant McGowan and that, in consequence of that personal relationship, you had been asked to make this home visit in circumstances where otherwise you wouldn't have done so. Now, that is the allegation. I think we 12:08 really need to meet it squarely at this point. MR. HARTY: Sorry, she was led in relation to her evidence in relation to that, sir, and she has given her evidence in relation to it. It is not something that was said to my client. It was reported to him. Insofar as the conversation took place, or otherwise, it's a matter for Ms. Simms' counsel in relation to it, and it's not a matter that I can properly put because I am already reporting it secondhand or thirdhand. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, your client had no hesitation in 12:09 the witness-box in saying that this was, in fact, reported to him by Marisa Simms and that is why he understood it. Marisa Simms did not repeat it, did not stand over the allegation. Your client is standing
over that allegation as having been reported to him, and I am in a situation where hearsay evidence is admissible, has been admitted, and I have to pay attention to it. Now, if you don't want to put it, that's fine. I have put it, so maybe you'd answer it, so please answer it now.
A. At no point in time did I indicate to Ms. Simms in the hallway, on a phone call or otherwise that I was instructed by my line manager to do that visit. At no point in time did I have any discussion with Ms. Simms, 12:10 Garda Harrison or otherwise with regard to my line manager Bridgeen Smith's relationship with Sergeant McGowan. At no point in time did I discuss -- I have no doubt that I discussed, at the beginning of the meeting, the close working relationship we have with the Gardaí, in -- professional working relationship we have with the Gardai in the nature of the job that we do. I did not, and I can't state this any more categorically than this, I did not speak to Marisa simms in the hallway about our relationships, about referral information, about apologising for being there, or otherwise. I simply said I was satisfied with what I had seen in the house and you will get a letter from me and thanked her for allowing me to be there. I didn't apologise for being there. Never at any point in time in this case did I apologise for my practice.
CHAIRMAN: You had indicated earlier on certain upset that you had in relation to things that were said about
you. We11, you now have had the opportunity to publically state what your position is on this.
A. Thank you.

286 Q. MR. HARTY: Ms. McTeague, you can understand, because we deal with upset, you can understand how people might 12:11 be upset at the idea that this statement was taken in October in relation to suggestions made in an office to Ms. Simms that the withdrawal of her statement and discussion of a family who had a visit from the HSE, could you understand how that upset could arise?
A. Of course I could, but I wasn't aware of that information --
Q.

That --
CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Ms. MCTeague has to be able to answer the question.
MR. HARTY: I am aware you are not aware of it, but the Chairman has put to you in relation to upset. Can you understand how Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms were also upset in relation to things?
CHAIRMAN: what were they upset about?
MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, I thought we had been here for two weeks in relation to the matter. They were upset about a visit by the HSE to their family; they were upset about the fact that a statement was taken from Ms. Simms on the 6th October; they are upset about the fact that that statement was sent to GSOC grounding a complaint; they are upset about the fact that that statement was then used to commence disciplinary proceedings; they were upset about the fact that never
was Garda Harrison ever asked to give his version of events in relation to the matter; they are upset about the fact they are here in relation to it, because Garda Harrison spent six months stuck behind a desk in a Garda station, ostensibly for his own safety, even though we know that isn't the case now; they are upset about the fact that all of this information was put out in relation to them. That is what they are upset about, and that should be entirely clear in relation to what they are upset about. In relation to
Ms. McTeague, they are always very clear that they were never upset with any of Ms. McTeague's actions or manner in which she conducted herself, and they have always been clear in relation to that.
CHAIRMAN: A11 right. We11, let's stop now because I understood that I was inquiring into contacts between the Gardaí and Tusla in relation to Garda Keith Harrison. That is what the term of reference says. And I understood that the pivot of that is, that this witness, Ms. McTeague, would not otherwise have done a home visit but for the fact that she had been manipulated through her superior into doing a home visit in circumstances where it was unnecessary and that your client was upset specifically about that particular thing that $I$ am inquiring into. the pivot.

CHAIRMAN: And I think, and I think that in the event that a Tribunal has been set up to inquire into that,
the least that can be done is that that allegation should be aired publically with the person against whom it is directed, so that, publically, that answer, if there is one, can be given publically. That's what I am focusing on now, Mr. Harty.

MR. HARTY: Yes. We11, I need to clarify in relation to one thing.

CHAIRMAN: There is no point again in having this discussion. It is pointless. The rule in Browne v. Dunn is very clear. The rule in the Sunday world newspaper case recently decided by the Supreme Court, which I actually printed out a copy of and gave to everybody in the room who is interested, it's very clear: if there is an allegation, the allegation should be put. People should have, in fairness, an opportunity to deal with what is said against their character. Now, I have put the allegation that Garda Harrison is standing over, as having been reported to him in the immediate aftermath of Ms. McTeague leaving the house, and she has answered it. Perhaps that's all that is necessary.

MR. HARTY: In terms of what you said, sir, the pivot of this case --

CHAIRMAN: No, no, I am not listening to a submission now, Mr. Harty. We are in the middle of a two-and-a-quarter hours, and I think you really should try and proceed.

MR. HARTY: Except I need to clarify something in
relation to the Tribunal. The Tribunal has suggested that the pivot of this is the contact between Ms. McTeague and ms. Simms on the -- at the time of the home visit. That is not the pivot of this.
CHAIRMAN: What is the pivot?
MR. HARTY: The pivot of this is the circumstance whereby a statement -- this Tribunal is required to investigate where a statement was taken, what was decided to be done with that statement, matters which in no way touch upon Ms. McTeague's actions at a meeting on the 8th of October.

CHAIRMAN: That is fine. I understand that. I understand that --
MR. HARTY: But there is not one single sentence that gives rise to what --
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, everyone has been waiting for the last two hours and fifteen minutes for this allegation to be put. I have put it, and there it is. If you want to expand on it or if you feel you want to ask other questions which will make the allegation more likely in terms of any fact, you are perfectly entitled to do that. And of course I do appreciate that in the event that the Gardaí coerced, which was the word that was used in the letter, as I understand, to Minister Zappone, a statement out of Marisa Simms, that is, of course, an extremely important factor because it might make it more likely in those circumstances that the Gardaí would somehow exercise pressure on the Social work Department in Tusla and ensure that a home visit
was done, when it was unnecessary. I appreciate that, very much. But in terms of contact between the Gardaí and Garda Keith Harrison and Tusla, this is a pivotal issue, it is clearly a pivotal issue, and I am not standing back from that because $I$ can't see any other way of looking at it. And I really think we ought to go on, Mr. Harty, I really think we ought to go on. Witnesses can't be kept here forever.
289 Q. MR. HARTY: Ms. MCTeague, what discussion did you have with Marisa Simms after you had observed the children?
A. She walked me to the hallway. I was leaving. I advised, similar to the conversation $I$ had had at the end of my meeting with them on 7 th of February, that I didn't believe there were any ongoing child welfare concerns. I thanked her for letting me see the children, I commented on them and how lovely they were, and I advised her she would be receiving a letter from me within the next fortnight, in the next number of days/fortnight, to say that the case would be closed to the Social work Department.
290 Q. Did you advise her in relation to why you had decided not to raise the particulars of the incident with the children?
A. I did. That is also correct. I advised her that given that -- from my understanding at that time on the basis 12:17 of the information $I$ have and that the incident had occurred at the end of September, I didn't feel it was appropriate to raise it with the children and she was satisfied with that. I didn't go into any more detail
except to say that it was clear there was a very close relationship between all four of them.
Because -- and just so we are clear in relation to that, yet again things can be about what is understood between people?
A. Sure.
Q. When you note this --

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, I appreciate you can, of course, explore that, but, you know, there is a computer on my desk, there is a microphone on my desk, there is a -there is a glass that you can put water into on my desk. These are facts. It's not a question of perceiving things from that. They are either there or they are not. And this conversation either took place or it did not. That is clearly what I am looking at. And in the event that there was any ambiguity in terms of the way matters were put across, of course you are entitled to explore that, could people have taken up from what you said, that. That's fine. But there are instances, actually, where things are black and white. This may be one, I don't know.
293 Q. MR. HARTY: The situation is, you noted that decision to Ms. Simms, as to why you weren't, didn't find it necessary to go into the details of the incident with the child in question, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

294 Q. And at page 162 of your case recording summary, you make a note of that, page 162 , but with one difference, and that you mention in your note of why you made the
decision not to do it, is that it is important to note that given the nature of the referral, discussion with Sergeant McGowan and meeting with Keith and Marisa in the Social Work Department earlier in the month, you made a decision that it was not in either of the children's best interests to bring up the issue in the family home, and I have to put it to you that, at the very least, you said that to Marisa Simms?
A. No, I did not. What I said to Ms. Simms was in a general conversation. I didn't put it -- that is how I ${ }_{12: 20}$ would write a record. That is not how I would speak with someone. what I said to Ms. Simms was, you are aware of what the referral was, you are aware of the information that we had, I didn't feel it was appropriate to put it to the children given it had happened so long ago. She was thankful that that had been the case.
295 Q. I am sure she was thankful that that had been the case, but you did mention that you said to her that because of your meeting with them and because of what -- the nature of the referral, that you didn't need to -- fee1 it was appropriate or right to go into the information with the kids. Now, what you note in your notes as to what went on -- or your decision, is that you also have the discussion with Sergeant McGowan mentioned?
A. I did not -- I want to be very clear about this. My recording is, in this particular case, that is the analysis, my analysis of the assessment that I completed. In order -- Mr. Hone talked yesterday about
the pillars of an assessment and the pillars of social work practice. I need to evidence why I am making a decision and why $I$ have come to a conclusion in a case. That is a record that I would write. I would never speak -- I certainly didn't have that conversation with 12:21 Ms. Simms, I did not mention Sergeant McGowan, and I understand from Ms. Simms' own evidence that she is saying that $I$ also didn't. So I am very clear about this. I did not mention Sergeant McGowan in that conversation. I explained I didn't bring it up, I didn't fee1 it was appropriate.

And did you point out that it was Sergeant McGowan's Marisa's statement?
A. I pointed out at the meeting on the 7 th that the source of the referral was Sergeant McGowan. This is the information that I had had and I understood it was part 12:21 of her statement, yes.
Q. And you never brought up Sergeant McGowan again?
A. I never, ever did. And if I ever had have brought up Sergeant McGowan again, it would have been to say how respectful and understanding she was of Ms. Simms'

Sergeant McGowan, I never mentioned our working relationship or Sergeant McGowan's working relationship with anybody in my department. That is an absolute
untruth.
CHAIRMAN: I think maybe it's a good time to have a break.

MR. HARTY: We11, I have finished with Ms. McTeague. I
have asked all the questions I need to ask
Ms. McTeague, so it might be --
CHAIRMAN: We will sit again at half past one.

THE HEARING ADJOURNED FOR LUNCH

THE HEARING RESUMED, AS FOLLOWS, AFTER LUNCH:

CHAIRMAN: So Mr. Barnes, I think.
MR. BARNES: Yes, sir.

MS. MCTEAGUE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. BARNES:
299 Q. MR. BARNES: Ms. McTeague, my name is Joe Barnes and I appear on behalf of Marisa Simms. And I just have a few questions to put to you. I take it you have been following the proceedings closely?
A. Indeed, yes.

300 Q. And at the conclusion of your direct examination you expressed feelings of hurt and felt that your professionalism was unjustly under attack, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

301 Q. And I think you're aware that Marisa Simms has indicated that you were courteous and professional at all times in relation to her and the referral, and that her problem is not with the way the referral was managed or anything to do with your professionalism, but that it relates to the necessity of the referral, the fact that her children have a Pulse ID, and that is her position, you understand that?
A. I do, yes.

302 Q. Now, going on from that, Marisa Simms indicated on Day 26 at pages 116 and 117 of the transcript - I will just quote it - line 3 :
"Yes, but you must -- you have somehow made the -based on suspicions or something, Ms. Simms. The guards motivating the HSE to get involved in February." And her answer was:
"What I couldn't understand was why Inspector Sheridan had made a reference to social services and then a week and a half later 1 'm getting a letter, that's what -that's all that was going on in my mind."

And I think you heard that?
A. I did, yes.

303 Q. And then at line 19:
"well, why don't you know? You must be basing it on something, your doubt that they may have been acting at the behest of the guards?"

And she says:
"As I said, I suppose I was suspicious, in that I had retracted my statement, and then a week and a half later I got a letter, that's only my personal opinion."

And then on the following page, she makes -- she gives the evidence -- sorry, just at the end of that page -sorry, at line 5 on page 117:
"Okay. And you have nothing other than your own thoughts/suspicions in relation to the connection between withdrawing the statement and contacting the HSE?"

And her answer was:
"That's all."

You heard that as well?
A. I did.

304 Q. We11, I just want to go a little bit further, before I get into the questioning, to indicate that Marisa Simms has instructed that she has no evidence that the Gardaí had any bearing on you in the discharge of your professional duties, do you understand that?
A. I do, yes.

305 Q. Okay. But you understand that she still, nevertheless, has misgivings about aspects of the referral and that her children have a Pulse ID, and is very, very upset about it?
A. I appreciate that.

306 Q. Okay. And there may be circumstances justifying that upset, and in particular I just want to refer to a comment of the Chairman, which I'm sure you've also heard, and it is Day 25, 26th September, at page 200 of the transcript, and the Chairman says in the context of an exchange with Mr. Harty:
"Chairman: And it is contested as to what was said at the strategy meeting. If indeed what the Garda said at the strategy meeting is correct then the HSE didn't do enough, and if what they did in consequence of the strategy meeting, it may be that they were doing too much, I don't know. Let's wait and hear what they have to say."

I'm sure you heard that?
A. I did, yes.

307 Q. And it would have concerned you, that that was said?
A. Of course.

308 Q. Yes. So moving then to the 9th October 2013, I think the position is that Sergeant Brigid McGowan advised that the referal related to two children who were present during a row between Marisa Simms and Keith Harrison, that Marisa Simms had made a statement of complaint, that Keith Harrison was drunk, that physical contact was evident and the children witnessed it, isn't that the position as related to you by Sergeant McGowan?
A. Under the influence of alcohol. She didn't use the word 'drunk', to the best of my knowledge.
309 Q. We11, the papers are very detailed, you may be exactly correct --
A. Okay.

310 Q. -- but in any event, the real gravamen of my question is that physical contact was evident and the children, plural, witnessed it. And I think that that is
completely incorrect, isn't that right?
A. What I now understand to be correct is that there was an argument and one of the children were there at the beginning of the argument, Ms. Simms brought the children to the car, one of them came back into the house where Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms were still having an argument and observed that part of it.
311 Q. observed what?
A. observed that part of the argument and the aftermath. But there was no question that either of the children ever observed any domestic violence as alleged or at a11?
A. My understanding is that the incident of Garda Harrison grabbing Marisa Simms' wrist did happen, they confirmed that in my interview with them. They didn't
confirm whether the -- they said one of the children had come back in from the car, yes.

313 Q. Now, isn't it the position that what was related to you on the 9th October 2013 in the strategy meeting relating to another case, Sergeant McGowan related to you that both the children had witnessed alleged, and only alleged, I say, domestic violence?
A. She didn't use the word 'domestic violence'. she did say that both children, but that was later clarified at the strategy meeting to one child, and that was confirmed.

314 Q. And that position is incorrect as well, isn't it?
A. My understanding from the evidence given to the Tribunal is that both Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms are
saying none of the children saw Garda Harrison hold Ms. Simms by her wrist, but when I put the information to them in my meeting with them on the 7th February, they didn't indicate that, they just confirmed that the incident had happened.

Well, we will come to that presently. But isn't it the position that the statement of Marisa Simms does not provide a basis for sergeant McGowan making that statement to you on the 9th October 2013, isn't that correct?
A. I think -- I think it's important to be clear about this. Sergeant McGowan made an appropriate referral, in my view --
316 Q. No, no, I'm not asking you that, I'm sorry. I'm just asking you -- I've already indicated to you what Marisa 13:43 Simms' position is in relation to that. We are about to come to the meeting on the 7th February and what was or what was not confirmed. So I would ask you, please, in some sense there has been an additional removal of contention in relation to matters as they relate to you 13:44 out of the situation. There's no sucker punch on the way or anything of that sort. I'm simply asking you to confirm your understanding, having subsequently seen the statement, that there was no basis within the statement, for whatever reason, and I'm not asking you second -- there was no basis within Marisa Simms' statement for what was related to you in relation to physical contact by Sergeant McGowan on the 9th October

2013, true or false?
A. I'm not sure that $I$ can answer that with a true or false. But yes, on the basis of Marisa Simms' statement, it does not say in Marisa Simms' statement that the children witnessed the physical altercation. But I think it is important to put this into the wider context of that conversation as we were leaving a meeting. That was further clarified by Sergeant McGowan at the meeting on the 21st October. We11, I have a précis of the document here. It says Marisa Simms -- in my own note, Marisa Simms had made a statement, Keith Harrison had drink taken, let's put it that way, physical contact was evident, that is a direct quote, and the children witnessed it, that is a direct quote, and that is wrong, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

318 Q. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr. Barnes, if you wouldn't mind, can we just have the particular page up that you're referring to, it may help. And the bit that is wrong is "children" as opposed to "child"?
A. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN: That's the bit that is wrong.
MR. BARNES: But I do think that -- I do think there's an issue that neither child saw it, or saw what was alleged, should I say.
CHAIRMAN: We11, again, we will look at the statement. It's perfectly fair for you to make that point, Mr. Barnes, but in due course we will look at the
statement and see what the statement says.
MR. BARNES: And in relation to --
CHAIRMAN: And I appreciate that the witness didn't have the statement and would have only read the statement, I suppose, since the start of the
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- happening.
MR. BARNES: I'm sorry, I don't have the reference just at the minute, but we will sort that out in due course. I am very sorry about that. materiality to any such reference, namely - and we have covered this already - the reference to the allegation, and it is merely an allegation and it is disputed and the context of it is disputed, but burying and burning was not part of what was related to you, and that is -would be what might be regarded as a material omission?
A. I don't recollect hearing the words 'burning' or 'burned' or 'bury' in the information that I received.
320 Q. Yes. Now, in relation to matters, Ms. Simms takes the view that relating that physical contact was made during the course of the row and that the children witnessed it, is a very significant matter, but that it could be a mistake, because, after all, you were in the 13:47 context of a strategy meeting relating to a separate matter and she was telling you that a notice was on the way, isn't that right?
A. Yes, she was advising me that she had forwarded a Garda
notification in relation to the children.
321 Q. And that she has no evidence to suggest that, for example, that that was other than a mistake, if mistake it was, but I put it to you that such a mistake in the context of such a referral would be a very significant mistake, whether or not, let's say, Brigid McGowan realised it?
A. The significance of making a child welfare or child protection referral to the Social work Department in this instance does not hinge on the fact that whether the children saw or witnessed the incident or whether the incident -- the fact of the matter is whether the incident actually occurred.
322 Q. I'm sorry --
A. So I think it is important that I clarify this. I understand the question to be, you know, would it be less significant if the children didn't see the incident, the physical grabbing of Ms. Simms' wrist.
323 Q. The alleged -- sorry?
A. I understand that is in her statement, from my memory of reading it, and I don't have it here in front of me. So the appropriateness, or otherwise, of the referral is not contingent upon the children having witnessed that. The appropriateness of the referral is based upon all of the information that I have recounted here already.

324 Q. And that's a professional view. But from Ms. Simms' point of view and from a common-sense point of view, wouldn't an observer in these circumstances regard
this, if mistake it was, as a very significant mistake? And I'm not putting it that Ms. Simms is putting it or can put it as other than as a mistake, but I am asking you to say whether or not --
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Barnes, we're talking at cross-purposes, and I'm not saying your questions are invalid, but if one simply takes page 89 of the materials, which is page 20 of the statement, there is a reference to a particular thing happening and a reference to the child being there. Now, I appreciate that during the course of the Tribunal, whether I accept it or don't accept it or whether it is relevant, that Marisa Simms has said that as soon as there was a reference to taking down paula a peg or two, she brought the children out to the car and that one of the children came back towards the end of the row when she was leaving, but a different account is given in that statement at page 89. That's the plain reality of it. So it's hard to see how it could be a mistake, in the event that that statement wasn't coerced out of her, to make a reference to the row being in front of the children. It's not a semantic difference; it actually is a difference. I think you can see that on page 89 , if page 89 is put up, because it is relevant to the questions that this witness is being asked.
MR. BARNES: I am just not clear. We are saying that Brigid McGowan made a mistake that was potentially momentous in terms of the various increments of any referral, or indicia of a referral. That's what we are
saying. And that it could be a mistake, but it was very significant and that Marisa Simms' take on it -CHAIRMAN: No, Mr. Barnes, I see your point, it could be valid, and I suppose it's a question of, if you
have, let's say, five points and you knock off one of them and say that's a mistake, did the other four suffice to make a social work referral? If you knock off two, did the other three? If you knock off three, did the other two? I appreciate that line of question. MR. BARNES: I think violence or non-violence would be up there as possibly the most significant of, let's say, the five that you were talking about theoretically.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. A11 right. We11, then you are entitled to pursue that, but I am looking at the text of the statement which is on page 89, if we could perhaps have that up as a reference point. As to whether something incorrect was reported or taken up incorrectly, I don't know. So the point you're making is --

MR. BARNES: I can't see.
CHAIRMAN: -- "children" was a mistake. And was there some other point you wanted to put? Because I'm sorry for not being clear, it's my fault. MR. BARNES: I just don't have page 89 to hand.

CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, Mr. Kavanagh, would you mind putting up page 89? It is up.

MR. BARNES: No, it's not visible on my screen.
CHAIRMAN: Well, it's volume 1, Mr. Barnes, page 89.

MR. BARNES: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Do you have it there?
A. No, I don't.

CHAIRMAN: No, I don't either. We11, there's no point in adjourning. We will carry on. You can take it out in the physical thing, which is Volume 1 and page 89. I mean, there is a reference to him taking a good look at the children because you'd on7y see them at weekend visits by the time he was finished with them. So I don't know how she was supposed to be looking at the children if they weren't there or somewhere in eyesight.
MR. BARNES: We11, as I understand it, there is -- what was related was related orally, but there are mistakes in it, such as the omission to burn and bury, and mistakes in relation to the witnessing or not witnessing of -- or the witnessing by both children or any child of physical violence, and I think that these are inclusions in error and omissions of significance that relate to your own comments that I quoted just now 13:53 in the transcript and are of some significance in relation to at least the common sense or Ms. Simms' perception of the referral as being a valid one. But I wil1 move on for the moment, and if I have to make the point again with reference to the specific text, which isn't to hand, I will do so, with your leave.
325 Q. Now, Sergeant McGowan gave evidence that she did not release the statement to you, and you know the reason why she gave for that?
A. Pardon?
Q. Do you know the reason why Sergeant McGowan, the reason Sergeant McGowan gave for the refusal to release Marisa Simms' statement to you?
A. She didn't give me an explanation. I didn't -- when I asked for it on the phone call on the 29th January, I was asking for the part of the statement that related specifically to the referral information that $I$ had received. She didn't give me an explanation as to why I didn't receive that, and I phoned her then on the 7th 13:54 to clarify that verbally.
Q. And did she give you a written précis at any stage of the reasons for the referral, or was it entirely oral at all events?
A. It was entirely oral at all events.
Q. And then particularly at, then, for the making of mistakes, perhaps innocent mistakes but nevertheless momentous mistakes?
A. I don't believe they were momentous mistakes. I believe that on the basis of the --
Q. That is not the question. Did the fact that -MR. MCDERMOTT: Sorry, Chairman, the witness is perfectly entitled to disagree with the proposition contained in the question. If a question is put to a witness which includes the phrase "momentous mistake", 13:55 it is obviously a matter for you, Chairman, but I would have thought that the witness is perfectly entitled, for fear of they're obviously being misunderstood, to say, by the way, $I$ respectfully disagree with the
proposition in your question, $I$ don't think it was a momentous mistake. If the witness doesn't do that, then the witness will then find themselves being told they have agreed with the phrase "momentous mistake", and that may or may not have implications for other witnesses. I am sorry for interrupting.

CHAIRMAN: It may help to say where my thinking is in relation to this matter, and my thinking is: which way does this go? If there was more in the statement and it wasn't said or the HSE don't remember it, that seems 13:55 to be the position of -- I'm sorry, Tusla, then Tusla are saying we would have taken more action than the action that we took. If indeed what was said by way of the first conversation with Sergeant McGowan was more than was in the statement, then it is valid to pursue the issue as to whether, nonetheless, there would have needed to have been a talk in the office, I'm using that as the building, and as to whether, in consequence of the talk in the office, there would needed to have been the home visit. So it goes both ways. And it's possibly best that people take a clear position on it, as to their recollection of events, and I appreciate recollection can be fallible. But I think what Mr. Barnes is putting to you, if I may turn to you, Ms. McTeague, is this: that if there was some worse than it seemed in the statement, then it may be that you wouldn't, taking those mistakes out, like taking one finger out of five, have needed to follow
the matter up at all. I think that is the point being made to you. Is that fair, Mr. Barnes?

MR. BARNES: Yes, it is, Judge.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. All right.
A. And to be clear, taking that one point out with regard to the children witnessing the violence, it wouldn't have changed my course of action and my assessment in the case.
MR. BARNES: And then my question in relation to the common sense perception and Marisa Simms' subjective view as to the referral being fair and proper, in the light of what she has heard and the light of the papers that she has witnessed showing that omission -- or, sorry, that inclusion, do you understand how she feels about the referral being part-based on the unsupported position that either or both children witnessed physical violence?
A. I can appreciate Ms. Simms' position, yes.

331 Q. Thank you. Now, in relation to -- I think I was asking you, would it have been helpful that you received a written précis of the allegations such that perhaps people might take a little more care in writing them down to make sure that they related, cross-checking and so forth, would it have been better if you had received at least that over and above an oral indication?
A. My request on the 29th was to have --

332 Q. I'm sorry, that's not, again --
A. Again, I'm answering your question --

333 Q. Sorry --
A. -- would it have been helpful. Yes, it would have been helpful if I had have had the language that Ms. Simms used in her statement to the Gardaí so that I could put it to her in my meeting with her. That is why I requested it. So it would have been helpful.
And in your several follow-up phone calls where you were playing telephone tag with Sergeant McGowan and to no avail, when you had 25 or 30 active cases in a busy town, where you obviously work very hard, you weren't able to effectively get the statement you were looking for, isn't that right?
A. Your sequence of events is incorrect there.

335 Q. Okay.
A. The phone calls to and from Sergeant McGowan were in advance of my conversation with her on the 29th. They weren't after the 29th and before the 7th. Sergeant McGowan had made attempts to contact me, as I her, prior to the 29th, not at any time after the 29th and between the 7th of February.
Q. Pardon me. But you did make numerous attempts to obtain a copy of the statement, correct?
A. No. I made one request on my phone call on the 29th.

337 Q. Very good.
CHAIRMAN: It's the 29th, not the 27th?
A. Whichever date that -- let me just clarify.

CHAIRMAN: I thought it was the 27th.
A. The 27th it is, I apologise.

338 Q. MR. BARNES: So I presume looking for a statement is a usual thing that you will do to clarify the basis for
the referral, because, as you've indicated, it's quite a serious thing for social services to get involved with a family and may have impact on them and you need to screen them and make sure that that intervention is justified and necessary to put children first, isn't that right.
A. That's correct.

339 Q. So am I correct in saying that looking for a statement in these cases is not an unusual thing?
A. At the time, in 2014, this wasn't our normal practice in relation to looking at or looking for copies of statements that were made. Currently it is our practice. we do make requests from the superintendent's office to view statements in situations similar to this, and in particular child protection cases, but at that time, given that Ms. Simms had retracted her statement, I felt it was important that I understand the language that she had used herself so that I could put it to the children. So it wouldn't have been a usual request of sergeant McGowan, but it certainly was one that I made, that I felt was appropriate and important in this instance. 340 Q. I see. And it was the withdrawal of the statement is what actuated you to seek a copy of it?
A. Yes, because I was unclear. While Sergeant McGowan had 14:01 advised me that Ms. Simms was standing over the content of the information that she had shared in her statement of complaint, I felt it was important for me, in order to be thorough in my assessment, that $I$ have sight of
that.
341 Q. Now, are you aware of the reason why Sergeant McGowan gave in evidence as to why she did not wish to give you the statement?
A. I will need to be reminded of that.

342 Q. We11, would you tell us what that is?
A. I will need to be reminded. I don't recall.

343 Q. Oh, you need to be reminded, I beg your pardon. We11, the reason given is that, as $I$ recall it, is that Brigid McGowan was anxious not to compromise the integrity of the investigation. what do you think of that?
A. I think that's Sergeant McGowan's professional opinion in respect of the substantive statement that she had at that time.

We11, for some reason she's being guarded about giving you the statement, isn't that right? And I'm sure she trusts you as much as you trust her, but there is a question of trust, professional trust, in that context; at least it arises, don't you agree?
A. I think Sergeant McGowan -- I certain7y don't think it's an issue of trust. I have worked with Sergeant McGowan for a long time and I would absolutely respect her professional integrity, as I understand she does mine, and if she felt that it wasn't appropriate to share that information with me when I had asked her, I will accept that, hence the reason I did follow up with a follow-on phone call prior to the meeting with the couple concerned and got the information that I

345 Q. Well, in any event, I'm sure I'm not impugning the professional integrity of either of you at all or on behalf of Marisa Simms, but she felt, and she has given evidence, that she held on to the statement in this particular case at least, "Gardaí integrity" of the investigation, what do you think of that?
A. I accept that's Sergeant McGowan's position, and I am happy to accept that and was at the time also.
346 Q. And you have been following the proceedings and you have been furnished with the papers which clearly you have read assiduously because of the implications for you, which I hope I have done something to diffuse, but -- on Marisa Simms' behalf. But regarding the integrity of the investigation, I think you have seen the statement and the materials related to it, such as the complaint in relation to eight hours, that there was a long preamble and lead-in, and that only four of the 38 pages at the end related to the subject matter that you subsequently got involved with, you've seen it, haven't you?
A. Ms. Simms' statement you're referring to?

347 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

348 Q. No, no, no, I'm not. I'm referring to Ms. Simms' before the Tribunal. You would have seen all of them?
A. They have been made available to me, yes.

349 Q. And you probably have heard the evidence in relation to
the preamble when there was one page of notes on the table, and that high up, quite high up in those notes was "harassment", the word "harassment"?
A. That's correct, yes.

350 Q. And you are aware perhaps of the evidence that was given in relation to harassment, and it was to the effect that for all of the relationship from 2010 to unhappy circumstances in September 2013, it seemed as if the guards were trying to build a case that there was criminal harassment right throughout that time in terms of section 10 of the Non-Natal offences Against the Person Act 1997. Would it have been helpful if you had sight of the statement and were aware of those circumstances?
A. I think it's important that -- I think you're asking me 14:06 do I think the Gardaí were building a criminal
investigation and had I seen the statement would that have been my view. I would have held a very different view, and I can't comment on what the Gardaí were or were not doing in their practice, but what I can absolutely say is, had I had sight of that statement in its entirety, my assessment and investigation from the Social work Department's perspective would have been managed in a different way. It's my view, on reading Ms. Simms' statement, that there's evidence of domestic 14:06 abuse over a protracted period of time, and I can't comment, as I said, on the Garda investigation, but that would have been my reading of it and I would have put that information to her and met her individually on
her own to allow her to respond to that, had I had sight of it.
Having heard the evidence and seen the materials, would you agree with me that in attempting to build a case of harassment, based on interpersonal communications between 2010 and 2013 when the unhappy differences arose, could possibly indicate an animus on the part of the guards, for good reasons or bad, towards Keith Harrison?

MR. MCDERMOTT: Chairman, I'm sorry to interrupt. It seems like -- I haven't interrupted the last couple of questions. Is this witness being asked to review the paperwork in the case and express an opinion as to whether the Gardaí were up to no good? That would seem to be the job of the Chair. obviously, this witness has expertise --

CHAIRMAN: We11, Mr. McDermott, I do understand the submission you make, but I think it is fair for counsel, particularly for Marisa Simms, to ask someone who was at the coalface, and that's where Ms. McTeague was, did she in any way think, did she have any reason to feel that the Gardaí had an animus against either Garda Harrison or Ms. Simms, and that is a fair question to ask, so maybe you would answer that question. Was there anything to indicate to you that the Gardaí that you interacted with had any kind of animus against either of them?
A. Absolutely not. I would have suggested the alternative, to be fair. Sergeant McGowan expressed
concern about Ms. Simms. It was her suggestion about us not approaching her, given she had been hospitalised, and to allow her a period of time to recover, was done from a position of caring. It was a family-centred and child-centred approached as a sergeant, in my view, and I certainly didn't speak to any other guard about this case. But in my engagement with Sergeant McGowan, I could only say that it was completely the contrary to what has been put to me. MR. BARNES: Very well. Now, in relation to the errors, that is as to the matter of it being reported to you by Sergeant McGowan that you didn't -- sorry, you didn't have the paperwork and it was reported orally to you by Sergeant McGowan that both children had witnessed domestic violence, can you understand how, in your interview on the 7th February 2013, it would be fair to say that you and they were at cross-purposes because that allegation in relation to domestic violence was not part of the equation as far as they would be concerned, or the children witnessing domestic violence, and of course they both deny that there was any.
A. That's not correct. At the outset of my meeting, as I've already explained, I put the information to Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms, the information I had received both confirmed that the incident did happen, and in fact Ms. Simms herself corrected me to say that it wasn't both children, it was one of the children, and
there was no denial of the fact that Garda Harrison had held her by the wrist. So I can't possibly agree with what you are saying.
No, you see, that's it, because if -- if there was no basis for Sergeant McGowan saying that both, and there's a temporal aspect in relation to what the elder child saw and how much the child was in a position to see, but how can you say that all sides were at idem when there was a serious allegation, which there is no basis for, was -- that you knew about and they did not know about, was part of the equation? You are saying that you put it to them that both children witnessed domestic violence and they accepted that, is that what you are saying?
A. No, what I am saying is, I put the information to them that I was aware of and that they admitted that it had happened. So I will repeat myself again: I put it to them that I was aware that there had been a row, that it was an alcohol-fuelled argument from Garda Harrison's position, that Ms. Simms had seen that the children were getting upset, had brought them to the car, and that he had -- she had come back in to get the uniform, I understand, from the tumble drier, and that Garda Harrison had held her by the wrist in the utility room and one of the children had come into the house. That's what I put to them and that's what they confirmed. And to be clear, Ms. Simms very quickly corrected me and advised that both of the children didn't see that, it was one of the children that had
come in from the car. So there was no ambiguity between myself and them, I understood at the time, nor did they express any.
354 Q. I don't know if you can say that there was no ambiguity. There's no ambiguity as far as you are concerned. I'm sorry. There is misinformation, material misinformation, for whatever reason. And Ms. Simms is perfectly prepared to accept that it's a human mistake, however momentous. But this is misinformation. There's no basis to it in the statement. You are operating on the assumption that it's there.
CHAIRMAN: Well, Mr. Barnes, there is an issue as to whether the statement is worse or better than the information conveyed by the Gardaí.
MR. BARNES: I think it's both.
CHAIRMAN: And it may be that some people will take the view that if you actually read the statement on page 89 and 90 , that it is, in fact, worse than anything conveyed to the social services by the Gardaí.
MR. BARNES: Except for the --
CHAIRMAN: I mean, we're dancing on pinheads here. we're referring to one particular thing, which is "the child", "the children", and in looking at the statement there's a reference to a particular child's eyes
filling up with tears and Keith Harrison not stopping. Now, again, of course it is a pivotal issue as to whether that was coerced, but the clear evidence so far from Tusla is that if they had actually read that
statement, their approach would have been much tougher, if I can use 'tougher' as a euphemism here for taking more action, investigating further, investigating longer, keeping the family in their sights, to ensure that things would not happen again. The clear position is that if they had read that statement, that that is the approach they would have taken and the approach they took was too mild. That's the evidence.
mR. BARNES: Well, I'm --
CHAIRMAN: Am I wrong in thinking that? Am I taking you up wrong?
A. You are not.

MR. BARNES: My point is, sir, that I am putting it to her that both sides were at cross-purposes in relation to matters, as, one side, that is Ms. McTeague, had incorrect information that she received, the other side had the statement which was retracted after some of the allegations were repudiated. I am putting it to her that they were at cross-purposes and that she is therefore mistaken and that that is a reasonable position to adopt in the circumstances.

CHAIRMAN: I mean, Mr. Barnes, I'm not stopping you putting that allegation, certainly. That's a reasonable allegation to put. What Mr. Barnes seems to be putting to you is that there were certain mistakes in what you were relayed and that these mistakes were perhaps not as bad as what was in the statement, but nonetheless, when you look at those and if you took away the mistakes, you would never have done any
investigation. That's what seems to me to be the point of this.
MR. BARNES: I'm sorry if I am being awkward.
CHAIRMAN: No, you're not being in the slightest bit awkward, Mr. Barnes.

MR. BARNES: what I'm saying is that they were at cross-purposes in relation to what was being accepted in the meeting by Keith Harrison and Marisa Simms, and let's not forget that they were on the cusp of tears, each of them, and Marisa then burst into tears and Keith Harrison. So it was a stressful encounter for them.
CHAIRMAN: Okay. We11, I understand that, Mr. Barnes. And if it be the case that there is a disagreement with Ms. McTeague as to what she put to them at the meeting and as to what Garda Harrison accepted, then, we11, I suppose it would be good to hear as to what that is. 355 Q. MR. BARNES: I'm simply suggesting to you that if you were given mistaken information, we now know to be mistaken, there having been no basis for it in Marisa
Simms' statement, if you were given mistaken
information, are you operating on that particular assumption and didn't put it perhaps clearly to them, that you could be at cross-purposes as to what was accepted and what was not accepted, they being in a very stressful situation and they obviously wept shortly thereafter?
A. I accept that it is possible that we were at cross-purposes with regards to the children witnessing

Garda Harrison holding Marisa's wrist. I accept that is possible.
that accordingly, again from Marisa Simms' point of view, that that could cause her some misgivings about -- and she has no misgivings whatsoever, by the way, in relation to the manner in which you conducted the referral, either from personal courtesy or from professionalism, but that she has some feelings about that particular circumstance and that what is taken as an admission by her and perhaps by both of them, you understand that?
A. I can understand why Ms. Simms would feel like that. Now, moving on to another potential misunderstanding, and that is in relation to the question, and I accept, Marisa Simms accepts that this could -- the implication could have a bearing on your professional standing, and that has been, I think, wholeheartedly withdrawn -- or not withdrawn, but clarified at the start of this particular cross-examination.

CHAIRMAN: Well, again, Mr. Barnes, it may be relevant to put as to why that allegation was sent to a minister of government and as to why that allegation is actually contained in her statement, if it is now being withdrawn. I mean, again, it's all very we11, you that's what's being said about Ms. McTeague, I am sure it is true, but you can be a nice person and you can be a person who is prepared to sacrifice your professional
integrity and operate, in effect, under the control of the Gardaí and apologise for your presence and say to someone who you are professionally interacting with to the benefit of their family that you would not be here but for the fact that a senior social worker, that is to say Ms. McTeague's boss, was lent on by a particular garda, which is to say Sergeant McGowan.
mR. BARNES: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN: I mean, that is actually a really, really seriously thing, and as has been famously said, niceness is not enough, because you can be nice and you can be utterly corrupt.
MR. BARNES: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: And I really think that has to be tackled. MR. BARNES: Yes.

CHAIRMAN: why was that said? If it is being withdrawn now, why was it said before?
MR. BARNES: well, absent direct instructions, can I just say my surmise from taking instructions is this: that having read all the papers and having been in a domestic relationship where both tried to make sense of what was happening to them and it was extremely distressing to them, these things have been discussed, and I don't obviously want to go too deeply into instructions, these things have been discussed, and, for example, asking a question like, in fairness, can you say that such-and-such was mistaken, such as perhaps seriously and momentously mistaken, as in Garda Brigid McGowan, and the answer is yes, and therefore
it's put to this witness in those terms. So having been in the crucible of this particular inquiry, consideration has been given to a number of factors and that's the only way I can explain why there may be some divergence between what was said to Minister Zappone. CHAIRMAN: Again, Mr. Barnes, I'm not sure people actually realise how serious this is. We're all sitting here, it's costing a great deal of money, but, I mean, the plain reality of this is, as the Jesuits used to say, and I didn't by the way go to the Jesuits, you can be furious in the evening and you can write a letter, which is what we used to call a snorter of a letter, and in the morning you think about it and see whether you are going to send the letter. But these are allegations made, sometimes in affidavits, sometimes in statements, and, in one case, in a letter to a minister of government under the Constitution, complaining about social services in Donegal. Now, that doesn't happen in consequence of a misunderstanding, because the allegation is very specific. If it now be the case that it is said, somehow, that apart from being incorrect, that it came about due to a particular circumstance or event, maybe that particular circumstance or event should be made known to the Tribunal or to the witness so the witness can, I suppose, understand why they were accused of this highly unprofessional conduct of accepting directions from the Gardaí in carrying out their professional duty as a social worker.

MR. BARNES: I understand. Sir, I did indicate that, in answer to a comment of yourself, that it was my surmise that this is the case. But it's clear that the cross-examination has been on the basis that wasn't it reasonable for Ms. Simms to be very upset and disconcerted about certain anomalies in relation to the reference, including the fact -- or the allegation that -- without a basis, that both children witnessed domestic violence and that she had misgivings about the reference for that and other reasons.
CHAIRMAN: Well, it may be, but $I$ have to bear in mind that there is a lot of represented parties in this Tribunal and I have been looking down for the last three weeks and I appreciate there are a number of upset people here.
MR. BARNES: Yes, indeed.
CHAIRMAN: And for very good reason.
MR. BARNES: Yes. Apropos of which I hope that this party has done her best to take the fairest possible view and made clear in her evidence how she felt in particular about the HSE, and even in relation to the misinformation that was communicated to the HSE, has accepted, as any fair-minded person would do, that it is possible that that misinformation is entirely due to an innocent mistake.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Barnes, I suppose that's not what I am talking about, because $I$ think anyone can realise that it would have been better had the statement been passed over or at least an extract from the statement had been
passed over, certainly that's the case, in which case the intervention in the family would have been at a higher level. But, in fact, I'm not talking about that, $I$ 'm not talking about that at all. I'm talking about the fact that there's supposed to be a visit to the kitchen, there's supposed to be a conversation in the kitchen, which is to the effect that I'm only here because the Gardaí lent on my supervising social worker. And again, you know, that's not something that people can have a misunderstanding about; either it happened or it didn't. Even the visit to the kitchen, nobody has yet put to Ms. McTeague that she was in the kitchen, although she said, look, the only place I was in was in the hall and the sitting room. Again, it's coming back to this very, very crucial aspect of Irish law, which is still the law, and whether they enforce it down in the criminal courts now or not I do not know, but $I$ always did; whatever the allegation is that is being made by someone, that is the basis upon which you cross-examine, and while you can take your time in getting to the point, the point must be got to and the allegation must be put. And I'm going to take an inference, whatever inference I deem appropriate in the end, in the context of all of the submissions and all of the evidence, if that is not now put.
358 Q. MR. BARNES: In relation to the kitchen, can I put it to you that talk did take place in the kitchen and Ms. Simms remembers you admiring flowers. I mean, does that jog your memory at al1?
A. Not at all.

359 Q. And that there's a glass door in the sitting room and therefore the children could have heard the conversation. That's the note that has just been passed to me.
A. That's not my recollection --
Q. Had the conversation taken place in the hallway?
A. No, my recollection is the conversation I had had following meeting with the children and the family together was in the front hall by the front door. I have no memory whatsoever of being in the kitchen in that house.
Q. I know, but I think you may have said categorically that you weren't in the kitchen?
A. I categorically wasn't. I would remember -- I can categorically say -- to be clear, if Ms. Simms is saying one thing and I'm saying another, there's obviously a disagreement of where $I$ was in the house. I have no memory and I categorically -- I know the door that $I$ went in, $I$ went in the front door and into the right, that was into the living room. I know where I went, I know where I sat in the room. I could even tell you what the children were wearing, what they were wearing. I could tell you what the hall was like. But I definitely was not in the kitchen in that house.

362 Q. Now, Marisa Simms indicates in her statement:
"I don't think I will need to speak to you again but I'11 have to speak to my team leader", that's in relation to the necessity for a follow-up home visit
after the meeting of -- or at the meeting of the 7th February. Could you have said something like that?
A. No, I don't believe so. What I did say at the end of that meeting was, as I've already said, I was satisfied that, and I believed both Garda Harrison and Marisa Simms in what they had shared with me. I didn't believe there was a need to do a further assessment or there was other information I needed to gather from other professionals. I asked for Ms. Simms' phone number. And whether I used the word 'may' or 'need to' or 'have to' or 'will' visit the children, I can't recall exactly. But did I make reference to my team leader? I have absolutely no memory of doing that and I don't see why I would have had. I had already had my decision made.

363 Q. And it's perhaps unfortunate to say that you were -words to the effect that you were confused or conflicted or apologetic, but you have indicated that there is an impact of social services coming into people's lives -- or, sorry, the impact of social services coming into people's lives can be quite significant. And as a caring person in a caring profession, do you say that there was nothing in your countenance and demeanour that might have given the impression that you were -- first of all, obviously, you were putting children first as public policy, and as you're required to do professionally, but on a human level you may have -- your countenance or demeanour may have indicated a sort of conflictedness about having to
do something, especially, as you've just indicated, where you thought that, while it was necessary, that not much would come of it?
A. It is the situation in my job, Chairman, that this happens regularly. Referrals come to me, I investigate ${ }_{14: 28}$ it, I have -- I get an explanation for what has happened and we move on and the case doesn't proceed. That would never preclude me to then apologise for having had to do that in the first instance. I fully understood, I accepted their explanation, and if my demeanour is interpreted in some way by another person, I certainly can't have responsibility for that. words such as 'apology' or 'embarrassment' or some such word on the circumstances, but you say I will or I may, or I don't think $I$ will need to speak to you again but I'11 have to check with my team leader, that your countenance and demeanour might have indicate a sense of conflictedness, and 'embarrassment' is the wrong word or 'conflictedness' is the wrong word, but just a sense on a human level of that conflict of having to come into people's lives, you're clearly sensitive about it, and properly so, and just as a caring person would be. Could you understand how she might have received that message?
A. Yes, I can understand that. It's not the message I was given, but to be fair to Ms. Simms, I can understand that. If I was on receipt of a meeting like that and how difficult it proved to be for them both, I can
understand that. It certainly was not how I conduct my professional practice and it wasn't the message that I was giving.
mR. BARNES: Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN: It's not being put now, it seems, by anybody, that there was a conversation, whether in the kitchen or anywhere else, where Ms. McTeague indicated that she was embarrassed to be there and she wouldn't be there but for the fact that her team leader, Bridgeen Smith, had had contact with sergeant McGowan, with whom she had a personal relationship, is that not being put now by anybody?
MR. BARNES: Yes. Well, I don't think it is for me to put it because --
CHAIRMAN: It's your witness, and she is the one who, according to her partner, is the origin of that particular allegation.
MR. BARNES: That is according to her partner.
CHAIRMAN: Well, he stood over it. But the question hasn't even then been explicitly put.
MR. BARNES: Well, given that I --
CHAIRMAN: It's, you know, not as if I am making any of this up. I'm not making anything of this up. Martin McDermott v. Sunday world Newspapers, I have given people that, the rule in Browne V . Dunn, people have that, I mentioned it a number of times over the course of the last weeks. It is not being put by anybody. But this is not a question of someone making a grimace or perhaps turning a slightly different colour; it is a
question of a specific allegation that was put in black and white to a number of parties, including a minister of government, and nobody appearing on behalf of the parties who are supposedly witness to that is prepared to actually put that to this witness. I don't actually understand. I mean, if you accuse somebody of murder in the wrong, let us say, and then you discover, oh, look, I'm really sorry because the person you're supposed to have murdered in fact just rang me from England yesterday, it might be reasonable to (a) admit you're wrong and (b) to apologise. Now, forget about apologies, that is nothing to do with the way courts conduct their business, but in the event that this is wrong and shouldn't have been said, I think now is the time to say that this is wrong and shouldn't have been said, as opposed to saying that this was somehow telepathically picked up in consequence of some grimace or some body language, or what, I don't know, from Ms. McTeague in the house, in the corridor, in the wherever.
mR. BARNES: Yes, Judge I completely understand the point. What I was maintaining was that my client had not maintained that position in evidence, that she was party or knew about the conversation in question. And she is now separately represented and she is
responsible for whatever is put in a letter on her behalf when she is jointly represented, and I will just have to broach that particular question with her and come back to the Tribunal on it.

CHAIRMAN: Well, I mean, the plain reality is that Garda Keith Harrison has stood over this allegation and said that's what Marisa Simms said to him, and it is therefore here and I intend to make a finding of fact on it, one way or the other. But if the rule in Browne 14:33 V. Dunn, which is simply that you put your client's allegations to a witness to enable them in terms of ordinary human fairness, if not in pursuit of the kind of fairness that courts are supposed to pursue in pursuit of the truth, if it is not put, well it's not fair. But I have actually put it and the witness has had a chance to reply, and beyond that I am saying nothing --
MR. BARNES: Well, we --
CHAIRMAN: -- at the moment.
MR. BARNES: Indeed. And we are not making that
allegation. Insofar as it was made in tandem in that 1etter --

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Barnes, I don't think I need to hear any more about this. The allegation is not now made, but you have just put a question to the effect that, somehow, this very explicit statement mentioning a number of people, Sergeant McGowan, her supervisor Bridgeen Smith, the fact that the visit was unnecessary but that it was in consequence of Garda pressure, you're saying now that that came across through some form of communication, that, in my view, doesn't happen very often and is not normally regarded as reliable, what somebody thinks, in other words.

MR. BARNES: I thought -- yes, if I can come back to the Tribunal on that, please. I have no further questions.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. McDermott?
MR. MCDERMOTT: Chairman, I am happy with all the issues that have been ventilated.

MR. HEGARTY: I would like to ask questions on behalf of Sergeant McGowan, if I could.

MS. MCTEAGUE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. HEGARTY:
365 Q. MR. HEGARTY: Ms. McTeague, I represent Sergeant Brigid McGowan, one of the upset persons that the Chairman referred to earlier. I wasn't here for your evidence earlier in the morning but $I$ understand that you describe my client as professional, child-centred and somebody who acts with the utmost integrity, am I right in that?
A. That's correct.
Q. Yes. As far as I can see, there's four contacts, points of contact between yourself and Sergeant McGowan 14:35 which we have a record of. So the first being the 9th october?
A. Yes.

367 Q. The 21st October 2013?
A. Yes.

368 Q. And then the 27th January 2014?
A. Yes.

369 Q. And then the 7th February 2014, am I correct in that?
A. You are. . 3

370
A. Yes.
Q. And at that meeting she would have given you, obviously, details of what this referral was all about?
A. She did, yes.
Q. Yes. And I understand that you may not have a memory of her telling you that a threat had been made by Garda Keith Harrison to burn and bury Marisa Simms, but that's her recollection, that's what she -- I'm instructed to put to you that she said to you on that date?
A. Yeah, I don't recollect it.

373 Q. Right.
A. I don't recollect that as part of the conversation.
A. That's correct.
Q. And you don't always have a strategy meeting unless the facts surrounding the referral justify it?
A. That's correct.

377 Q. And at such a meeting there would be quite a lot of right?
A. In general, yes.

378 Q. Yeah. Okay. And that's what happened on that date? Gardaí were at in terms of their investigation, if there was any information that I required or needed in order to progress my assessment. I was aware in advance of making that phone call that Sergeant McGowan had made attempts to contact me, and I her, but we both 14:38 missed one another.

382 Q. I understand that. So both of you actually tried to contact each other but you missed each other, I do understand that.
A. Yes.

383 Q. And when did you actually make the -- your memory of making the request for the report, what date was that?
A. That was during my phone call on the 27 th. Yeah. Okay. And I think in fairness to you, you said yesterday that she may not have understood that you were requesting her to give an actual written report?
A. Yes. She may not have had. I may not have been clear enough, but that was my request.
Q. Okay. And obviously being involved in Tusla you have
processes, as do An Garda Síochána, and the sharing of information, obviously there's protocols in place as to how information is to be shared, would you agree with that?
A. I do.
Q. I think you said earlier on that if you wanted a particular report or statement, a request would be made to the superintendent's office?
A. Currently that's our practice, yes.
A. The normal practice that I would have had at that time, as I said it wasn't a normal request that we would have made. What normally I would do is make the request from the referring garda, so the garda who is making the -- completing the Garda notification that subsequently goes to Superintendent McGovern for sign-off and onward transmission to Tusla. So my initial request would normally be to the garda. But request is made to the relevant superintendent for access to that information.
389 Q. Absolutely. And again, it's not a criticism, but no
such request was made on this occasion?
A. That's correct.

MR. HEGARTY: Okay. Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN: Thanks, Mr. Hegarty.
MR. DIGNAM: Chairman, I just have two brief questions, 14:40 two brief areas.

MS. MCTEAGUE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM:
MR. DIGNAM: Ms. MCTeague, my name is Conor Dignam. I appear on behalf of An Garda Síochána. I just want to ask you about the reporting form that was used in this case. You recall your discussion with Mr. Harty and you indicated that the standard reporting form wasn't used or isn't used in Donegal, I can't remember whether you said it in the past tense or the current tense.
Could I just ask you to look at page 1252 of the booklet. Just to refresh your memory, Ms. McTeague, this was in the context of Mr. Harty asking you, essentially asking you why the Gardaí didn't notify this as a welfare case rather than a child protection
or an abuse case. And in response to that you said that the guards don't use the standard notification or standard reporting form and that the form they use only has four boxes, which doesn't include a welfare box, if I might put it that way. Page 1252 is Appendix 5 of the Children First Guidelines. Am I correct in saying that that is the standard notification or standard reporting form --
A. It is, yes.

391 Q. -- that you were referring to? And if I can just ask you to look at point number 2, "Forms of abuse suspected", and there's four boxes: "neglect", "physical abuse", "emotional abuse" and "sexual abuse". And there's no box there for welfare or a welfare case? 14:42
A. Yes.

392 Q. And if I can then ask you to look at page 402. It's not very legible on the screen, Ms. McTeague. But do you agree or do you accept that that is the reporting form that was used in respect of one of the children in 14:42 this case?
A. I do, yes.

393 Q. Yes. And could you confirm that that is identical to the Appendix 5 standard reporting form which we've just looked at in the Children First Guidelines?
A. It is, yes.

394 Q. Yes. And there is no box in the standard reporting form for welfare, isn't that right?
A. There is not, no.

395 Q. Yes. So all the Gardaí could do was tick the boxes that they had in the standard reporting form, which you accept was used in this case?
A. Yes.

396 Q. And that they ticked "emotional abuse"?
A. Yes.

397 Q. And then, of course, you are -- you're the expert, Ms. McTeague, you're the, I suppose the child welfare expert, if I might put it that way, and irrespective of which box the Gardaí tick, even if they had a fifth one
for a welfare case, it's still up to you to decide what type of case this actually is, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

398 Q.
And just finally, Ms. McTeague, you were asked about your opinion by Mr. Barnes, and the way he put it was, page 118 line 21 of the transcript today:
"That having heard the evidence and seen the materials, would you agree with me that in attempting to build a case of harassment --" as he put it, and then there's another phrase "-- that that could possibly indicate an animus on the part of the guards for good reason or bad towards Keith Harrison?"

And you were asked for your opinion on that and you said that you didn't see any evidence of an animus on the part of the Gardaí. We know, Ms. McTeague, and I think you know now, although you saw the statement some time after these events, you know that the Gardaí had in their possession a statement of complaint which had been made by Ms. Simms in October, in which there was evidence at that stage of harassment, involvement with other women, a number of other occasions when Ms. Simms left the family home, left the home late at night, and there's some dispute about whether that was voluntary or not, but even assuming it was voluntary, left the home after rows in the middle of the night and asked for her mother to come and collect her. You're a professional social worker, Ms. McTeague; is it your
view that if there was an animus or malice towards Garda Harrison, that that is all material which could have been deployed, and one would reasonably expect would be deployed, to do down Garda Harrison, if there was that malice or animus against him?
A. Most definitely.
Q. And that wasn't -- you weren't informed of those features of the case, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. MR. DIGNAM: Thank you, Ms. MCTeague.

MS. MCTEAGUE WAS RE-EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:
MR. McGUINNESS: Ms. McTeague, just a couple of matters. I wonder could you be shown page 1579. This is the second page of a letter dated 10th February 2017 written by the solicitors on behalf of Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms at the time, to Dr. Zappone, the minister concerned. And on the second page at paragraph 5, the last line of that, referring to the meeting on the 7th February, it says:
"Ms. McTeague was satisfied to leave the matter as it was, advising no further intervention was required."

Is that something that you said to them on that occasion?
A. It's not, no.

401 Q. Did you say the following matter: "And that was the end of it"?
A. No, definitely not. That's not language that $I$ would use in conversation with anyone. It's not what I said.
Q. Okay. Now, at paragraph 3, on the last line of that, it says:
"We understand from the documentation received from Tusla, no further information or referrals were forwarded to Tus7a."

Now, I think were you aware that a Freedom of Information request had been made?
A. Only recently, only since $I$ was in receipt of the Tribunal documentation.
Q. A11 right. okay. But certain1y after that date referred to in paragraph 3, 16th October, you had at least four contacts with Ms. McGowan, Sergeant McGowan, isn't that correct?
A. Em --

404 Q. The strategy meeting on the 21st?
A. Yes, the phone call at the end of January.

405 Q. Phone calls?
A. Yes, I had three.

406 Q. Three?
A. Yes.

407 Q. Three, in any event. So further information was received --
A. That's correct.

408 Q. -- by you. I think certainly I would take it it would have been most satisfactory and perhaps the best
position if you had received all of the pertinent information either directly quoted from or excerpted from the statement of complaint?
A. I think had I have received that, it would have allowed me to make a more informed and thorough assessment of all of the information.

409 Q. okay. Going back then to paragraph 6, this says:
"However, later that evening Marisa received a phone call from Ms. Donna McTeague explaining that she had spoken to her supervisor, Bridgeen Smith, who stated, as a result of a phone call from Gardaí, she was now asking Ms. McTeague to do a home visit despite Ms. McTeague earlier having confirmed that no further action was needed."

Did such a phone call occur?
A. Not on the evening after the visit, no. And when I did make a call to Ms. Simms on the 14th February, absolutely no reference to what is said there occurred in that conversation.
410 Q. Okay. Do you take that in conjunction with the other statements that were made, as an allegation that the Gardaí had interfered so as to make you do this, the home visit?
A. Yes.

411 Q. Is there any truth in that at all?
A. Absolutely none. For the purpose of clarity, that is -- it's -- it would be unacceptable at every level
for me as a professional even to think that somebody outside of our agency would direct me to do anything. This -- my engagement with the simms family was on the basis of my assessment and my assessment alone. It was what I felt was best practice. And Sergeant McGowan or 14:49 nobody in the Gardaí has ever directed me to do anything in this case.
412 Q. Okay. In the final paragraph it's stated that:
"The manner of the intervention of Tusla in our clients' family life is a cause of concern and is by any measure an inexcusable abuse of their position."

Now, you were the only person, in conjunction with Ms. Wallace, who attended the meeting as a notetaker, who had any direct personal dealings and through correspondence with Garda Harrison and Marisa Simms. Do you want to comment on that assertion that -MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, I'm going to interject at this stage. If Mr. McGuinness wished to put this to the witness, it doesn't arise from any of the cross-examination that I gave, it is not a matter which -- if Mr. McGuinness wished to lead this evidence, he should have done so. It shouldn't arise in re-examination at this stage. The witness has gone through her evidence. I don't see any purpose for this at this stage and how it can come about.

CHAIRMAN: No, I am absolutely satisfied that it does arise, unfortunately, that it has to be done this way.

MR. HARTY: Then, sir, can I comment that it should have been done in examination before cross-examination. MR. MCGUINNESS: Well, I wish to reject that.
CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure that pejorative comments against counsel, particularly one as responsible as Mr. McGuinness and as careful in relation to the work that he does, are actually helpful, and I actually don't think there is anything to that.
413 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: It goes on to say on the top of the next page that:
"Tusla, without just cause or explanation, would contact our clients, inviting them to a meeting."

That seems to be referable to your letter of the 3rd February. I take it you don't agree with that?
A. No, I don't. In relation to the first sentence that you read out just a moment ago, I accept fully that Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms have not called into question my engagement with them or being a nice person or my professionalism or otherwise, and I would have worried if they did, because our engagement with one another was positive from my view and I had hoped so from theirs, which I understand they are saying. The issue for me in this Tribunal is that $I$ have to continue to work in the department. I hold a team leader position, my name goes on the letters that go out to all families for whom direct referrals are made to our agency. And what is in writing here in this
letter and what is inferred in information that has been shared with the Tribunal, is that I made contact with them without just cause or explanation, and in the previous page, if we can just go back to 1579, the first line in the bottom of that says:

"The manner of intervention of Tusla in our clients' family life is a cause of concern and is by any measure an inexcusable abuse of their position."

At no point in time in my engagement with this family did I abuse my position, did I abuse the power that I hold as a social work professional. And I think it's not about my feelings, it's certainly not about that, but it's about my professional reputation. And having that sent to effectively a Minister of State, who is my employer, and not being allowed in any way to respond to that until now, is a cause of concern for me, and indeed for my line manager as well, because the inference is made that we abused our power, and that is not the case.

414 Q. On page 1580 a number of questions are set out there that they would seem to like to have answered. In the previous -- in the years previous to this, the three or four years between -- we11, February 2014 to February 2017, would you have had any difficulty answering any of those questions had they been asked directly?
A. No, none at all. Not one.

415 Q. obviously you made reference during the course of the
interview on the 7th February to the statement that Marisa Simms had made to the Gardaí. You obviously didn't ask her any questions about it?
A. No, I didn't.

416 Q. But when you did make reference to it, was there any complaint made about the manner in which it had been taken or any Garda impropriety of any type or discourtesy or anything?
A. Nothing.

MR. McGUINNESS: Thank you.

## MS. MCTEAGUE WAS QUESTIONED BY THE CHAIRMAN:

417 Q. ChAIRMAN: Ms. McTeague, there was just one thing that I was wondering about. You said in your statement, let's suppose -- and we're speaking generally, we're not speaking about this situation at all -- let's suppose a lady, Ms. A, goes in and says my domestic partner has been abusive and that the children are suffering in consequence, or something to that effect, you get the drift?
A. Yes.

418 Q. CHAIRMAN: And then that comes to you, but it's a domestic violence or domestic aggravation type situation where the statement is withdrawn, you said nonetheless you still need to follow that up and ask questions. Well, why is that when the statement is withdrawn?
A. In my experience, Judge, where there's domestic abuse in a relationship, people feel empowered at some point
to make a statement of complaint or to share the information that is happening in their lives. Their relationship then can change or something positive happens and they think, oh, well, maybe I won't do that now or I don't want to proceed with this, and they can withdraw that statement of complaint. That is a characteristic quite often in domestically abusive relationships. I think it is important to highlight as well, domestic abuse isn't just about physical violence; it is about emotional violence, financial abuse, psychological abuse. So when someone makes a statement of complaint to the Gardaí or shares information with anybody and says this has happened to me and it has affected my children, and then maybe a week or two weeks or three months later says, well, no, it didn't happen, I am still going to want to find out why did they take that back and did the actual event that they talked about happen and what is going on in this relationship. Because my job isn't just about, you know, finding fault in people; it is about how do I $14: 55$ support them. So I am always going to follow up on that. I mightn't do it the next day or the next week, but when I have the opportunity within the workload that I have, I am always going to follow up on it for those reasons.
and furthermore $I$ have an LSD addiction, or something like that, would, nonetheless, you still ask the questions if that was the reason given for withdrawing the statement?
A. Yes, I would, and the reason for that is, you have got to look at the dynamics in a relationship where that may occur and the coercion that could be placed on any individual to withdraw or retract that information or fear of repercussions for having said something against a partner, in a relationship, a marriage. Even within parent and child relationships, we have often come across where parents are making allegations against their children and then they withdraw them, when there is abuse in families. So it is important that I always follow up, because at the end of the day there may be children that are vulnerable in those situations, and if I can provide support and have an informed assessment, that will help me to do that.
420 Q. CHAIRMAN: Well, certainly I think practitioners in the criminal courts would be aware of situations where, for 14:57 instance, a father would be charged with very severe physical violence, using his belt, let us say, on a child, and then when it comes to the court case that there is no evidence, obviously that happens. But even with an explanation, you seem to be saying, well, you need to actually look at the explanation and you need to look at the circumstance which led to making the statement?
A. Yes.

421 Q. CHAIRMAN: And that is usually best done by speaking to the person who made the statement one-to-one?
A. Yes.

422 Q. CHAIRMAN: You mean in the absence of the other party, be it the father or the husband or the partner?
A. Yeah, and obviously allow them a right of reply also.

423 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yes.
A. Yeah.

424 Q. CHAIRMAN: Later on?
A. Yes.

425 Q. CHAIRMAN: And you may not be able to answer this question, $I$ don't know, but are we talking about a significant percentage of domestic violence cases, and if you can estimate it, what are we talking about?
A. Sorry?

426 Q. CHAIRMAN: We11, you are saying it happens?
A. Yes.

427 Q. CHAIRMAN: Obviously the experience of a criminal practitioner would be pretty limited because it is usually charges that go to court?
A. Yes.

428 Q. CHAIRMAN: And I suppose they are very much the tip of the tip of the iceberg?
A. Yes.

429 Q. CHAIRMAN: But is it a substantial portion of cases in 14:58 domestic violence cases where statements of complaint are withdrawn?
A. Yeah, currently, as I explained, I'm the team leader in Donegal for the entire county, and the stats that we
have currently, in terms of referral rate, the predominant feature of referral to the Social Work Department are alcohol-fuelled incidents of violence or alcohol-fuelled incidents that require Gardaí to call to a family home. Quite often in those cases you don't ${ }_{14: 58}$ have statements made at all but which would warrant a statement. So in terms of, is there a percentage of people who make statements and withdraw them, I couldn't give you a number on that, but what I do know is that where statements could be made on the basis of information that we have and the work that we do, statements are not made.
430 Q. ChAIRMAN: Yes.
A. So when they are made and then withdrawn, it leads me to believe, well, something has happened here.

431 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well, I know I was at a conference recently where a judge commented in relation to his particular area, which was, I think, Birmingham, where they had moved the local court out of the area where families were living and instead put in a glass-fronted building that you could see right through in the centre of Birmingham, and the comment he made was, well, look, it's much less suitable for those who are suffering from domestic violence --
A. Yes, of course.

432 Q. CHAIRMAN: -- to have to come into the middle of town and go in through a glass-fronted building. I mean, is that a sensible comment, or is that a --
A. That's a very sensible comment. I think you've got to
look at, domestically-abusive relationships can be a one-off act of violence or they can be something that is perpetrated over a period of time.
433 Q. CHAIRMAN: In other words, it can be an explosion?
A. Yeah, it can be a one-off event, exactly, or one or two events over -- and then somebody decides, okay, I'm not going to put up with this and I am out of here. Domestically-abusive relationships quite often are categorised by small, subtle, and, sort of, for want of a better analogy, it's like picking away at a scab all the time until you just keep going and going, and it wears individuals down, and that makes them vulnerable then to protect their children, which is where we end up becoming involved; we help to support them to be able to protect themselves and their children. And I think the description of a glass-fronted building in a city would be the most inappropriate place to hold a court, where you have somebody who is already vulnerable in the public eye in that way. I think it would be wholly inappropriate.
434 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yes. All right. Well, those are obviously wider questions in many ways.
A. Yes.
Q. CHAIRMAN: But thanks for the help.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

MR. MCGUINNESS: Chairman, the next witness is Ms. Naomi wallace.

CHAIRMAN: She is a short witness?
MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.

MS. NAOMI WALLACE, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:
MR. MCGUINNESS: Ms. Wallace, thank you. I think you are currently employed in the Child Protection welfare Team since March 2013?
A. Yes, that's correct.

437 Q.
And I think you have been employed by the Child and Family Agency, formerly the HSE, since January 2003?
A. Yes. Prior to my employment in the community in the Child Protection Team I was ten years in children's residential services.
438 Q. And I think you have a BA from the NUI Maynooth, a BA in applied social studies in 2007 from the Institute of Technology and a diploma in drug and alcohol studies?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And I think your involvement in this was attending a meeting with Ms. McTeague, the previous witness, when she met Ms. Marisa Simms and Garda Keith Harrison?
A. Yes, that's correct.

440 Q. And had you prepared for the meeting in any way by reading the file such as it was or discussing it with Ms. McTeague?
A. No, not at a11. I had no access to the file at any point.

441 Q. So you were there purely in a note-recording capacity, is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.

442 Q. And did you have any conversation or any interaction with Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms during that?
A. No.

443 Q. I think you did record notes?
A. I did, yeah.

444 Q. I think were they initially handwritten notes?
A. Yes, initially they were handwritten and I typed them up into a word document and shredded my handwritten notes.
445 Q. okay. Could you look at page 145 and 146 of our documents. They will be shown on screen there.
A. Yes.

446 Q. Is that a true copy of the document that you prepared?
A. Yes.

447 Q. Okay. May I take it that you left nothing out from your notes that you had, in fact, recorded?
A. Yes, I had inserted everything.
Q. Okay. I am just going to ask you one thing about it. In the bottom third of that you record as follows:
"Donna explained to the couple that she would have to inform the children's father. The couple agreed and understood this had to happen. Donna also explained that she may have to visit and speak to the children. Both agreed to this and Marisa said 'you're more than welcome to come'. Donna explained the next step in the process and took Marisa's number."
A. Yes.


Q. Now, it would seem that you didn't record her saying that there would be no further intervention, that was the end of the matter. Was anything like that said?
A. Not to my recollection.
Q. All right. In terms of what Donna said about "may have to visit", did she convey in any way that this mightn't well be necessary and it could be the end of it?
A. No. Even what I have written there, "Both agreed to this and Marisa said 'you're more than welcome to come'," that would imply that there was an understanding that it was to take place.
Q. Okay. Can you say whether, as part of an initial assessment and perhaps the conclusion of it, would it be normal to have a home visit to view children?
A. I would have very little experience of initial assessments. I don't do them at all.
Q. In terms of the analysis that's recorded at the bottom of this document --
A. Yes.
Q. -- was that discussed with you?
A. No.
Q. Or was that prepared by Ms. McTeague?
A. Yes, that was put on to the document after I had emailed over my notes.
Q. All right. Did you have any discussion afterwards with 15:04 Ms. McTeague about what she was, in fact, going to do or did she volunteer --
A. No.
Q. -- what she was going to do?
A. No. I was asked to take minutes, I took the minutes, I typed them up, emailed them over. As I didn't have access to the file, that was it. There was -- I didn't hear anything after.

Okay. But in the context of the last sentence you did record there "Donna explained the next step". Can you recall what she said?
A. I don't recall the details of what she said. The only thing I do recall is that $I$ remember her saying "assessment". But I don't recall in the context of it or --

458 Q. Is that in terms of perhaps writing it up or assessing the children, or maybe both?
A. Maybe both. I actually don't recall, yeah. MR. MCGUINNESS: A11 right. Thank you. Thank you, 15:05 Ms. Wallace. If you would answer any questions.

MS. WALLACE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DALY:
Q. MR. DALY: Ms. Wallace, my name is Peter Daly. I appear for Garda Harrison. I have a couple of very quick questions for you. In your direct evidence you said that this note, that nothing was left out, is that the position?
A. Yes. To my knowledge, it's concise and it has everything that needs to be in it.
460 Q. Right. And it's not a verbatim note of what was exchanged between --
A. No.

461 Q. No. And in relation to, how long did the meeting last?
A. Not very long. Maybe up to half an hour.
Q. Right. And would you agree with me that perhaps half an hour of discussion, that there was obviously more that was said than what is recorded in this, just over a page-long document?
A. I believe the salient points are mentioned, are noted in that.
Q. In relation to the -- you said there was reference to a word "assessment". Would you agree with me that perhaps this word was used in the context of, well, this completes the assessment, and that there is nothing further to arise as a result?
A. No, I didn't find the meeting was final. When we left the room, I didn't fee1 -- I didn't get that feeling, that the meeting was final.
464 Q. But you note there that there's reference to there may be a visit, there's nothing definitive there, that there was a visit to follow or that wasn't directed, and it's not noted by you that there was a visit to follow?
A. I think it is pertinent that a visit was mentioned and that she may have to visit and speak to the children. "Both agreed to this and Marisa said 'you're more than welcome to come'" would imply that they were to expect it.

MR. DALY: Thank you, Ms. Wallace.
CHAIRMAN: Is there any questions? Mr. McDermott? MR. MCDERMOTT: No, thank you.
MR. MULLANEY: No questions on behalf of Ms. Simms,

Chairman.
MR. DIGNAM: No questions.
MR. McGUINNESS: Thank you, Ms. Wallace.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

MR. MCGUINNESS: The next witness, sir, is Ms. Bridgeen Smith. Her statement is to be found at volume 4, page 1152, and volume 7 , page 2429.

MS. BRIDGEEN SMITH, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:

465 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: Ms. Smith, I think you work for the Tusla Child and Family Agency at Scally Place, Justice walsh Road, Letterkenny, County Donegal?
A. Yes, that's correct.

466 Q. I think you're a principal social worker?
A. Yes, that's correct.

467 Q. And I think you have been employed with the agency since November 2004?
A. November 2005.
Q. November 2005. And you worked as a child protection social worker until December 2010?
A. Yes, that's correct.

And then as a protection team leader from December 2010 15:09 until February 2016?
A. Yes, that's correct. I would have had -- between the year 2011 and 2012 I would have had intermittent periods of covering as team leader and acting as a

470 Q. And I think you've worked as a principal social worker since February 2016 to date?
A. Yes, that's correct.

471 Q. But back in October 2013 I think you were team leader?
A. Yes, that's correct.

472 Q. And could you just explain how that relates to Ms. McTeague and her position? what was your duties in relation to her?
A. Yes, Judge. As a team leader within the East Central Child Protection Team, I would have managed five social workers and I would have had one duty social worker. There would have normally have been two duty workers, but on that -- at that particular time there was only one, and that was Ms. McTeague. I would have had the clinical oversight of all of the cases that came into the team and the management of the staff in terms of the work that they would have carried out with the families.
473 Q. Now, did you receive the referral on in this case from 15:10 Mr. Hone, did it come to your notice?
A. Yes, it did. I recall being off the week of the 7th October and I recall coming back to work the following week. I would have had a handover from Ms. Coll, who was covering for me the week before, and sporadically throughout that week I would have had ongoing conversations with Ms. McTeague about cases that were coming in and out of the department.

474 Q. Now, we have seen Mr. Hone's letter back to

Superintendent McGovern, and there's been interpretations of what it meant or what it was intended to be in the process, but what is your evidence in that regard?
CHAIRMAN: Just if you wouldn't mind, Mr. McGuinness, 15:10 the page number and the dates, $I$ am afraid they are passing me by.
MR. MCGUINNESS: It's page 115.
CHAIRMAN: And the date of that?
MR. MCGUINNESS: The date is 16th October 2013. It 15:11 should be on the screen there, hopefully.
475 Q. Did you see that letter, in fact, or were you aware of it?
A. Yes, I was.

476 Q. And what did you understand that letter to be saying?
A. My understanding of that letter, Judge, would have been a trigger back to the guards to provide us with more information. That was not an unusual letter that we would have seen going between the two departments.
477 Q. It seems to be clearly indicating that HSE aren't going 15:11 to do anything, but it's not a refusal to do anything; it's, you're doing nothing until further information, is that --
A. Yes, that's correct. Yes, that's correct.

478 Q. But it does appear, and we've seen the succeeding 15:11 documents, that intake records were created on the 16th and apparently signed off by you then, is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

479 Q. If we go to the bottom of page 118. Now, no action is
taken by the Health Board at this point in time other than this. Why is this being done in the absence of any other information?
A. That is to generate a file to open up on the system so that when we are able to get more information from the Gardaí, we can record it.
480 Q. okay. Is that a normal step?
A. Yes, absolutely.
Q. Is there anything unusual or abnormal about the referrals that Superintendent McGovern had sent in, to your eye?
A. In that particular case there is an absence of information, but that would have been not an isolated incident. There would have been times when we would have had referrals coming into the department that we would have needed further information from the Gardaí for us to conclude our assessment.

482 Q. Yes. And did you become aware of a proposed strategy meeting?
A. Yes, I did.

483 Q. And did you attend that?
A. I did.

484 Q. And in terms of your practice as regards note-taking, did you take any note at that time?
A. No, Ms. McTeague took the notes.

485 Q. What is the purpose of you attending the meeting?
A. I chair the strategy meeting.

486 Q. Now, is this a meeting dealing with lots of cases or just this case?
A. Sometimes we deal with more than one case.
A. I recall talking about this case obviously, Judge, but I do recall talking about another case subsequently, afterwards. But I don't know if the strategy meeting was set up specifically to deal with this case or to deal with both cases --

488 Q. okay.
A. -- on this occasion.

489 Q. Okay. We11, we have seen a printed form recording some 15:13 typed minutes relating to the meeting at page 124. Perhaps we will just look at those. At paragraph 3, for a start, part 3 and then section $B$. Was this prepared then subsequent to the meeting as far as part 3 is concerned there?
A. No, that would have been -- we would have had a printout of the document, and that would have been recorded at the time.
Q. Okay. So that is more or less what the guards have put on the form?
A. Well, the purpose of the strategy meeting, that's what that is.

491 Q. The purpose of it?
A. Yeah.

492 Q. Okay. Now, at part 5 are the minutes that are there 15:14 included. Is that the normal level of information that's recorded?
A. Any child welfare case potentially. In a child protection case there would have been much more
detailed minutes.
493 Q. Okay. And was this categorised at this stage as a priority 2 , or had that already been done?
A. That would have been done in advance, whenever we received the information on the 16th. discussion there was at that meeting about the reason for the referral?
A. My recollection, Judge, is that there was myself, Ms. McTeague and Sergeant Bridget McGowan in
attendance. I recall Sergeant McGowan advising us that she had received a statement of complaint from Marisa Simms. She said it was a lengthy statement where she had described controlling behaviours of Mr. Keith Harrison towards her. She talked about there was an incident that occurred in the family home, where the children, at that particular time I was led to believe it was two children, had witnessed a domestic dispute. CHAIRMAN: Yes, I note there it says "when child/children were present". So it seems to have been 15:15 uncertain as to whether it was one or two.
A. That's correct.

495 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: In your statement you seem to describe or recollect having a discussion with Ms. McTeague after the report, the referral had been received, but prior to the strategy meeting, is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct. Because I had been off the previous week, when the discussion took place with Sergeant McGowan I believe on the 9th October, I wasn't
party to that information, so when the Garda notification was received to our team, Ms. McTeague told me that she had spoken with Sergeant McGowan and that the information that she had received was that there was an alcohol-fuelled disruption, I suppose, in the family home.

496 Q. Okay. As short and as general as that?
A. Yes, that's correct.

497 Q. Well, at the strategy meeting, can you recollect what was described?
A. I recall Sergeant McGowan advising us that there was a domestic dispute in the family home, that there was alcohol taken by Garda Keith Harrison, that Marisa Simms was trying to leave the family home, that the children had witnessed this, that there was -- I don't believe that there was threats, word used of threats used at that particular time, but that it was a heated disruption really in the family home.
498 Q. Well, did you form any view as to the necessity or otherwise, or desirability or otherwise, of progressing the matter, and, if so, on what basis?
A. Initially at the start of the meeting Ms. McTeague would have advised me, Judge, of the previous referral in relation to the children. So at that point in time I would have known that we now had two referrals into the system in respect to the simms children, albeit she advised me that the first referral had been closed because there was insufficient information. But I would also have been aware that the original complaint
was in relation to Mr. Simms' ability to care for the children, whilst there was allegations made that Marisa Simms was in a relationship with Garda Keith Harrison. So I would have had a suspicion that there was potentially some truth to the first referral that came into the department, and then coupled with a second incident being reported where there had been a dispute in the family home, alcohol misuse and the children being present, then I would have been more worried in respect of the welfare of the children.
499 Q. Could I ask you for your comment in relation to page 130, recommendation number 2:
"Social Work Department not to contact Ms. Simms until confirmation from Sergeant McGowan that the matter can be progressed by the Social Work Department."
A. Yes, that's correct. And I would have been of the view that Mr. Harrison and Ms. Simms were no longer in a relationship. So my understanding at that particular time was that the risk had been removed and therefore this was a welfare case and we would have been progressing to complete our initial assessment on that basis.
500 Q. You see, an interpretation could be put on this, and perhaps was being put on this at certain7y some stage, that Sergeant McGowan, or the Gardaí on whose behalf she was acting, were in some way, as it were,
controlling the department in terms of how they would interact or maybe when they would interact with Ms. Simms?
A. That's absolutely and categorically not true.

501 Q.
well, is this an unusual recommendation? Have you seen 15:19 this sort of recommendation in other intake forms?
A. I certainly would have agreed courses of actions with Sergeant McGowan or any other sergeant in the Donegal division in respect of how we would progress with regard to our joint working relationship with a family.
502 Q. But did you think this was a reasonable step to take at the time?
A. Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr. McGuinness, I just missed it. I may be wrong here. You're looking at recommendation 1: 15:20
"Social Work Department to liaise with Gardaí to ascertain when Ms. Simms is in a position to progress matter."

And then recommendation 2:
"SWD not to contact Ms. Simms until confirmation from Sergeant McGowan that matter can be progressed by the swD."

And then:
"Minute: Garda aware that Ms. Simms recently
hospitalised and further investigations to be conducted when Marisa regains health."

Is that the overall context of what you are -MR. McGUINNESS: Yes, I think it is.
But it seems to be premised, or is it premised, on Ms. Simms wishing to, as opposed to also being able to, progress matters if she was well enough? were you being led to believe that Ms. Simms wanted to progress matters, as far as this referral was concerned?
A. My understanding was that Ms. Simms was unwe11, and Sergeant McGowan was asking us to just hold back in terms of our inquiries with the family until she was well enough to engage with us.
504 Q.
Well, can I ask you this: If there hadn't been that discussion about holding back, what would you be likely to have done, in fact, at that time?
A. We more than likely would have organised a meeting, which happened in due course.
505 Q. so is it just an issue of, as it were, the promptness of the response, the timeliness of the response; the response would have been the same, is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.

506 Q. Now, I think you never met Garda Harrison or Ms. Simms in connection with this?
A. That's correct.

507 Q. But at what stage were you consulted next?
A. I would have regularly had consultation with Ms. McTeague as her direct line manager. We would have
talked about cases coming in and out of the team regularly on a weekly basis. As I had stated earlier, Judge, there would have been -- should have been two duty social workers in the team at that particular time, and Ms. McTeague was effectively doing the job of 15:22 two workers, not one social worker. So I would have had regular conversations with her about her case load and I would have been eager to make sure that cases were moved on as quickly as possible so that there was no congestion, I suppose, at the front, at the front door.

508 Q. Now, were you aware or did you have any hand, act or part in sanctioning the issuing of the letter of the 3rd February inviting them in for a meeting?
A. No. But I would have been aware that Ms. McTeague was going to do that.

509 Q. okay.
CHAIRMAN: Maybe you could be so kind as to give me a page number for that particular letter, Mr. McGuinness, if you can, please?

MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: I know it is here somewhere but -MR. MCGUINNESS: I think it is 144.

CHAIRMAN: It's 144 , is it?
MR. MCGUINNESS: 144.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
510 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: And were you made aware of the discussion that had occurred at the meeting of the 7 th February after it had taken place?
A. Yes. Ms. McTeague would have fed back to me that she felt that there was, $I$ suppose, a reasonable explanation given by the parents in respect to what had happened back in October and given the context of the environment in which the couple were experiencing.

511 Q. I mean, did you review the notes of the meeting that had been prepared and inputted on the system, as it were?
A. I would have viewed those notes at the time I was reviewing the initial assessment and in advance of closing the case.

512 Q. So the analysis that was included, for instance, on page 137, do you see that? It's box number 11 : "Analysis/summary of initial assessment".
A. Yes.

513 Q. Does that reflect any input from you or as a result of any discussion with you?
A. I would have spoke with Ms. McTeague about it at the time and we would have agreed that that was a course of action. I would have been happy with her assessment. That would have been her assessment of her involvement with the family.
514 Q. Yes. But perhaps I'm not being specific enough. Did you review this after it was included or did you have that discussion with Ms. McTeague before it was inputted?
A. Oh, I would have had discussions with her before it was inputted.
515 Q. Okay. We11, it doesn't seem to address the issue of an
intended visit, is that right?
A. In the analysis?

516 Q. Yes.
A. The analysis is a summary of the work carried out by the social worker.

CHAIRMAN: I'm just looking at the paragraph in the middle, the box. I hope I'm looking at the same document, Mr. McGuinness, as you and --
mR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: -- the witness.
"Donna also explained that she may have to visit and speak to the children. Both agreed to this and Marisa said 'you're more than welcome to come'."
MR. McGUINNESS: That's in the meeting, that's a note of the meeting.

CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's what $I$ was wondering about. Are we at the meeting now?
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes, we are. But I'm looking at the box down below that reflects the discussion with
Ms. McTeague and Ms. Smith.
CHAIRMAN: Oh, I see. I beg your pardon. I'm looking at the wrong place.
517 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: I am just asking the question, because it doesn't appear to reflect any either consideration of plan of actually going to do the visit, as it were. Can you recollect discussing that with Ms. McTeague?
A. I recall speaking with Ms. McTeague about her course of action following her meeting with Mr. Keith Harrison and Ms. Simms and I recall the agreement that she would
go and meet the children.
518 Q. okay. I don't know, did she come to you at that stage or update you about any information or lack of information that she was getting from Sergeant McGowan about the precise details of what was in the complaint?
A. No, I don't recall that.

519 Q. okay. I take it you've seen obviously from the various papers available that there was a lot of information in the statement, virtually a very small amount made their way in a very summary form to the HSE. Is that a matter of concern for you?
A. At that particular time, Judge, we would have -- in our liaisons with the Gardaí, we would have generally only have gotten the information that would have been pertinent to the incident that concerned the child. That practice has now changed, and it's, to be clear, we do get regular access to witness statements when we request.
520 Q. The next record from the 7 th onwards seems to be a record of a phone call on the 14th that Ms. McTeague had with Ms. Simms. Did you in any way direct her to have a home visit?
A. No, that would have been general practice. we would have talked about the next course of action after she had met with Ms. Simms and Mr. Harrison, but that would have been part of her assessment.
CHAIRMAN: which bit of the page is that, Mr. McGuinness? I'm sorry. We11, I know -- yes. MR. MCGUINNESS: I'm sorry, Judge, I have jumped
forward to the record of the phone call -CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. MCGUINNESS: -- of the 14th February.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. "Rang to arrange home" -- which is what page?

MR. MCGUINNESS: It's on page -- at the top of page 151.

CHAIRMAN: Is it? Okay. Yes.
"Telephone call to Marisa Simms. Purpose of call: To arrange home visit to meet the children. Confirmed visit for wednesday the 19th at 3:45."

MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: I understood it, maybe I am wrong, but I was under the impression it took place at 10:30am because there was a question of ringing Sergeant McGowan. Am I 15:28 wrong in thinking that?

MR. MCGUINNESS: That is the first meeting on the 7th.
CHAIRMAN: All right. I have you. Thank you. MR. MCGUINNESS: This is the note of the 14th.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCGUINNESS: And if we go back then to page 150, it gives the actual date of that, without a particular time, towards the bottom of that page.
521 Q. But in advance of Ms. McTeague deciding to do the home visit or arranging the home visit, did you have any discussion with her about, you know, clearing it with the guards or getting advice from the Gardaí as to whether they thought it needed to be done?
A. Absolutely not.

522 Q. And you yourself, did you have any conversation with any member of the Garda Síochána about the prospect of a home visit or whether they thought it might be better just to square things off to do that?
A. Absolutely not.
A. Never.

On page 149, at the bottom half of that page, this is the input note, going on to page 150 , concerning the visit. And was that discussed with you prior to Ms. McTeague inputting that, or did you read that after she had input that?
A. I would have read that after she had inputted it onto the system. I recall Ms. McTeague telling me that she had met Ms. Simms and Mr. Harrison. I recall her telling me that she was going out to meet the children, as per process. I would have recalled her telling me afterwards that she had no concerns in respect of the children. We would have talked about the case a bit more in detail at that particular time and then we would have moved to looking to close the case.
525 Q. Okay. And the letter at page 148, that's under your hand, that was directed to Sergeant McGowan, and page
A. That's correct.

526 Q. And you signed the original of both of those, did you?
A. That's correct, yes.

527 Q. And they differ in content, obviously. One is informational, as it were, to the sergeant, and this refers to the Data Protection Act, etcetera, and concludes by saying:
"Should you have any further questions/concerns, please do not hesitate to contact Donna McTeague, duty social worker, on the above number."

Were you ever made aware of any contacts or questions in the next few years --
A. Absolutely not.
A. No.

529 Q. -- or any abuse of power alleged?
A. No.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Would you answer any questions anyone else may have.

MS. SMITH WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. HARTY:
530 Q. MR. HARTY: Ms. Smith, good afternoon. I am counsel for Mr. Harrison - Garda Harrison, I should say. Firstly, I just want to confirm with you the situation. If we go to page 1253, this is the form provided for in the Children First Protection Guidelines that is to be filled out in respect of all Garda/HSE liaisons?
A. Yes.

531 Q. And are you aware when this was dropped? Do you know when this was dropped by the HSE in Donega1?
A. Sorry, no. We do complete those forms, we meet our sergeants normally every three or four months, complete them in batches. They would be completed in cases that are open and active and are actively being worked on by the Social Work Department. In the event of a case that's being dealt with on duty and if there's going to be an intervention between ourselves and the guards, we normally complete a strategy meeting record and that is the record that we would keep.
532 Q. So you do complete these forms as well?
A. Yes, we do, yes.
A. No, because there was a strategy meeting held. But this form expects that there will be a strategy meeting held. If I can go to page 1254 , it's headed "strategy Discussion", so this form anticipates a strategy meeting?
A. Yes, I appreciate your view, but we don't use that in Donegal, that form.
Q. Even though it's provided for in the Children First Guidelines?
A. Which is a guideline.
Q. It's a guideline?
A. Yeah.

537 Q. You see, everyone here has told us that things had to be done because they are in children First.
A. Yes.

538 Q. And the first thing we notice that hasn't been done by Tusla, the very first thing that should be filled out
by the Gardai and the HSE once the thing comes up, is this, but you say it's only a guideline when it applies -- complies to actions from you, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

So they're discretionary?
A. No, we use them when the cases are open and active for a protracted period of time. If a case is being dealt with on duty and it's being dealt with through the process of a strategy meeting, that is the record that is kept. In the circumstances of Mr. Harrison and Ms. Simms, that case was then closed. If that case had have remained opened and had been allocated to a social worker, there would have been ongoing liaisons with the Gardaí and there would have been forms and document that it's completed like that.

540 Q. Can you explain to me what is deemed to be a protracted period of time?
A. If it's allocated to a social worker following duty. so, on the completion of our assessment, our initial assessment, should we require a further assessment, then it would be allocated to a social worker for an intervention.

541 Q. I see. But that is not what the children First Guidelines say, isn't that correct? This is supposed to be used for all liaisons between Gardaí and the HSE?
A. I appreciate that is a recommendation, yes.

542 Q. And when a case has been live for four-and-a-half months, is that a protracted period?
A. Well, it's a protracted period for a case that's on duty, yes.
543 Q. So if these are filled out in batches, can I suggest to you that the time for this case to be filled out into a batch would have arisen?
A. If it had been allocated to a social worker.

544 Q. So this case was -- you see, I am a little lost here. I hadn't been given a distinction between duty social workers and social workers --
A. Okay.

545 Q. -- when I asked Ms. McTeague in relation to it, and perhaps I just didn't ask the right questions, undoubtedly that is the case. Can you explain to me the difference between that?
A. Yes. So when a referral comes into the Social work Department, an initial assessment is completed.

546 Q. Mm-hmm.
A. Following that initial assessment, a decision is made as to whether to look for a further assessment. If it goes for further assessment, it is then allocated to a child protection social worker, not a duty social worker.

547 Q. I understand. Now, can I take you to how this referral began, which is a letter which was sent to you -- to Mr. Hone, and it's at page 110. It's a letter addressed to Mr. Hone, and if we stop there.

[^2]Re: Notification of suspected child abuse, emotional."
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

548 Q. Attached to that then was the form --
A. Mm-hmm.

549 Q. -- referred to as the "Standard Notification Form", and 15:37 that's at page 111. "Notification of suspected child abuse."

Isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

Now, Ms. McTeague is very clear in relation to that, 15:37 there is no child abuse in this case. She was satisfied, at the time she inputted it on the 16th October into the system, that it was a welfare case, no child protection issues?
A. Based on the information we had at that time, yes.

551 Q. Yes. And it's not just a matter of filling out a form on the part of the Gardaí, as was suggested to

Ms. McTeague, but, in fact, somebody had gone to the trouble in the document, at page 110, to type out "notification of suspected child abuse, emotional". So this isn't a situation whereby the Gardaí were confused as to what box they were supposed to tick in relation to it. The reason I ask you this is, Ms. McTeague tells us that Gardaí don't use standard notification forms and that's why "emotional abuse" was ticked on
A. The Gardaí use their own standard reporting form, which is the form we see.

552 Q. No, it's not the only -- there's two different -- there's the standard reporting form?
A. Yes.

553 Q. And then there is a reporting form in respect of child abuse?
A. Yes, that's correct.

554 Q. Yes.
A. But the Gardaí only use their own document.

555 Q. But anybody can use the standard reporting form, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

556 Q. And that includes the Gardaí?
A. Yes, that's correct.

557 Q. And then there is a special Garda form in respect of child abuse, isn't that correct?
A. Sorry, can you say that again?

558 Q. There is a special Garda form in respect of child abuse?
A. The form that you have just shown us, yes.
Q. Yes. But this isn't a case whereby anybody in the Garda Síochána thought they were simply ticking a box; they actually, on the covering letter, have written "notification of suspected child abuse".
A. "Suspected" --

560 Q. Yes.
A. -- is the key --

561 Q. "Suspected" is there, obviously it's suspected?
A. Yeah.

562 Q. But the point is that they were reporting child abuse, not simply ticking a box on a form?
563 Q. Now, you were away and you were on holidays certainly on the 9th October. You came back before the 16th October, is that correct?
A. Yes, the following week.
Q. Yes. And do you recall the conversation in relation to this referral?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you aware that Mr. Hone had refused to input the -- had indicated that Tusla were going to do nothing further in respect of the matter?
A. I was aware that the letter was sent. I would have seen sight of the letter, and that letter would not have been an isolated letter sent to the simms. It was not uncommon for us to send those letters to the Gardaí at that particular time.
567 Q. Because Mr. Hone was entirely right, wasn't it? The referral, in fact, had nothing to do with emotional abuse?
A. I think there was an absence of information.

568 Q. But even when you got the information, the notification
of suspected child abuse simply wasn't appropriate?
A. No. I think when Ms. McTeague had conversations with Sergeant McGowan, there was information shared that would have been of concern for us that would have warranted --

569 Q. In relation to child welfare?
A. Yes.
Q. But not in relation to suspected child abuse?
A. It is our job to assess whether it is suspected child abuse or whether it is child welfare, and that is our role.
Q. Are you saying that the Garda Síochána wouldn't know the difference between child welfare and child abuse?
A. I certainly am not saying that. Absolutely, categorically not. What I am saying is that they have a document, a standard document that they use and that is the document that they sent to our department and that is the categories in which they use for the purposes of that.
572 Q. We11, I have to put it to you, and you seem to think that it is acceptable, that it is absurd that the Gardaí, in respect of referring things in respect of child welfare, feel obliged to tick a box choosing between physical abuse, sexual abuse and emotional abuse, that is absurd, that the Gardaí would be sending 15:42 out such documents, wouldn't you agree?
A. No, I think that is the document that they have and that is the document that they use and I beg to differ with you, because if you look at the definition of
"emotional abuse" and under the definition of "emotional abuse" there is a pertinent point to domestic -- children here who are subjected to domestic violence.

573 Q. The person who made the call in relation to this --
A. I'm sorry?

574 Q. Are you now telling me you are disagreeing with that?
A. I'm sorry?

575
Q. Are you now telling us that you are disagreeing with Ms. McTeague's assessment?
A. No, no.

576 Q. Ms. McTeague was very clear on that. She very clearly said that this did not come within the remit of emotional abuse, that would have been a Category 1 ?
A. Mm -hmm.

577 Q. This was a Category 2?
A. Yes.

578 Q. And you accept her assessment in relation to it? I take it when she reached that assessment, she discussed it with you?
A. Yes, that's correct.

579 Q. So you, together, agreed that this was not a child protection matter; it was a child welfare matter?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And it was not a matter of suspected child abuse, isn't ${ }_{15: 43}$ that correct?
A. Well, suspected child abuse is a matter for the Gardaí. That is what they are suspecting, they are suspecting that this is emotional abuse. They refer it to our
department. It is our duty and obligation to assess that and form an opinion on the basis of our own assessment.
581 Q. And they're also supposed to form an opinion as well, aren't they, in terms of criminal --
A. In terms of crime, yes.

582 Q. Yes. But you were satisfied, Mr. Hone was satisfied, Ms. McTeague was satisfied, that in fact there was nothing in that referral to support a categorisation of suspected child abuse?
A. Based on the information that we had at that particular time.
Q. And when you got more information, you're still satisfied?
A. That it was child welfare.

584 Q. It was child welfare.
A. Based on the information that we had at that time.

585 Q. Yes. At all times, and Ms. McTeague was clear in relation to that, you're entirely dependent on information that you get from sources, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

586 Q. And that, after that, you do have an opportunity if you engage in interviews with parents, other professionals, to gather extra information, but in terms of this stage 15:44 of the situation, you're entirely dependent on the information that you receive from your external source, be it the Gardaí, a teacher, a doctor?
A. Absolutely.

587
Q
An anonymous letter. It's always, you're entirely dependent and constrained by that information?
A. That's correct.

588 Q. Now, Ms. McTeague is also clear that if it's a child protection matter, it, shall we say, escalated in terms 15:45 of the timeframe for your response, would that be correct?
A. That's correct.

589 Q. For the very obvious reasons, because there is a risk of an immediate threat to the child?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you have limited resources, you can't answer every single query on the day it comes in, you can't investigate every query on the day it comes in; you have to triage them?
A. Prioritise them, yes.

591 Q. And engage in a triage to make sure that you are treating them or engaging in the cases that require first involvement?
A. That's correct.

592 Q. Do you recal1 Sergeant McGowan referring to, at the meeting -- sorry, do you recall it being mentioned to you prior to the meeting that Sergeant McGowan had mentioned there being physical contact between the parents?
A. I don't recall that, I don't recall that.

593 Q. At the meeting then on the 21st October?
A. Yes.

594 Q. You got more detail from Sergeant McGowan at that
stage?
A. At the strategy meeting.
Q. Yes.
A. I recall the information being shared that there was a row in the family home, that Mr. Harrison was under the 15:46 influence of alcohol, that Ms. Simms had tried to leave and that he was preventing her from leaving and that the children had witnessed this. That is my memory of that meeting.
okay. That took place on the 21st October, and at that 15:47 stage Sergeant McGowan gave you the impression -- the impression of a couple of things, I understand. One of them, you were led to believe that Ms. Simms and Garda Harrison were no longer in a relationship, is that your understanding?
A. That was my understanding. Now, whether Sergeant McGowan was aware that they were back in a relationship or not, I don't know, but I certainly was of the view that they were no longer in a relationship. And did you understand that Ms. Simms was in hospital or had recently been in hospital?
A. I can't recall either or. But certainly she was, she was recovering from some form of an illness.

598 Q. Right. Now, it's evidence that will be dealt with in fact by a witness tomorrow, but certainly on the 11th October Sergeant McGowan had already informed Superintendent McGovern that Garda Harrison and Marisa Simms were possibly back in a relationship together, she didn't advise you of that?
A. Certainly that discussion didn't take place.

599 Q. And Marisa Simms was released from hospital on the 14th october?

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, was there any document to suggest that the Gardaí knew that at that stage?

MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: And was there some reference to some thing that you would like to --
MR. HARTY: Yes. Superintendent McGovern in his
statement of evidence and in his notes records that on
the 11th October 2013 Sergeant McGowan contacted him to say that Keith Harrison was possibly coupled back together and a withdrawal of complaint.
CHAIRMAN: Possibly coupled back together? MR. HARTY: Yes, and withdrawal of complaint.
CHAIRMAN: So they were possibly back together? MR. HARTY: Yes. This is 11th October.

CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MR. HARTY: I only received these typed notes this morning, sir, so that's --
CHAIRMAN: No, no. And have you got a page number for that?

MR. HARTY: Page 2462.
CHAIRMAN: So Sergeant McGowan says to -- was it to Chief Superintendent Sheridan?
MR. HARTY: No, McGovern. Superintendent McGovern.
CHAIRMAN: Okay.
600 Q. MR. HARTY: In any event, you weren't told that information?
A. That discussion didn't take place, no.

601 Q. I do take it that in relation to the dynamic of any relationship for child welfare and child protection, but in respect of both, obviously relationship status between parties is often central in relation to that, isn't that correct?
A. Sorry, it's often?

602 Q. Central?
A. Yes.

603 Q. The relationship between parents --
A. Yes.

604 Q. -- or the people in locus parentis. That it can be a positive or a negative if the partnership is together --
A. Yes.

605 Q. -- and it is a matter which could escalate or reduce the urgency in respect of a matter. For example, if there's one parent who is stable and one person who is unstable, if the stable parent is present in the house that's obviously a matter which would allow you to deescalate your investigations, but if the stable parent is missing, for example, from the home at the time, you would be obliged to escalate it quicker, isn't that correct?
A. Yes. So long as the stable person was a protective parent.

606 Q. Yes, by stable I meant protective. So the protective person is present. Similarly, if the disruptive parent is present in the house or otherwise that will impact
on whether or not you move quickly or slowly in respect of a child welfare issue?
A. Yes, that's correct.

607 Q. Now, from reading your statement, between the 21st October, through January you had no further dealings with this case, isn't that correct?
A. Other than brief conversations that I would have with Ms. McTeague as to whether it was ready to move onto the next stage. Very brief conversation.
CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry to interrupt you, Mr. Harty, but am I correct in thinking that -- I wasn't sure Ms. Simms was still in hospital on the 14th October, I thought it was four days from the 8th.
MR. HARTY: I thought it was four days.
CHAIRMAN: So that would make it the 12th, but even if it was the 12th --

MR. HARTY: I think it was 13th, in fact. There's a bit of confusion as to the 8 th or 9 th.
Chairman: maybe. But I mean, how could they be back together living in the same house in the event that she 15:51 is in hospital and he is not in hospital?
MR. HARTY: The answer to that is that it would appear that the Garda Síochána were well aware of the fact that Garda Harrison was in hospital visiting Ms. Simms on the 11th october. That is what I am assuming in relation to it, and I'm not sure how they had that information.

CHAIRMAN: Well, it's four days, as I understood, from the 8 th. But, I mean, I can't understand how you could
make an inference that people are living together when one party is in hospital. Okay, if they were living together prior to the party going into hospital and then there's nowhere else for the young lady to go back to, that is a different matter. But I just had that query in my mind. Thanks for clarifying it there. MR. HARTY: My understanding in relation to the dates, and there is some confusion, was that it was the 9th to the 13th was the hospital, but it may have been the 8th to the 12th.
Chairman: yes. But either way this note, supposedly sent to superintendent -- from Sergeant McGowan, that the couple are possibly back together, it may mean they are on friendly terms or something like that, or not on hostile terms.
MR. HARTY: This is coming from Superintendent McGovern, this wasn't evidence that I was leading in relation to it.
Chairman: yes. No. So the point I think that you were pointing to Ms. Smith was: Look, surely you should have been aware that they were living together again.
A. That would have been important information for us to know.
CHAIRMAN: But you didn't know that?
A. I didn't, no.

CHAIRMAN: You thought, you inferred from the depth of the argument that they weren't?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: okay.
A. Because she made a statement of complaint to the Gardaí I --

608 Q. MR. HARTY: You assumed?
A. Yes.

609 Q. And you weren't given any information to the contrary?
A. No. No.

610 Q. How many times -- I take it you continued to have strategy meetings with your various Garda liaison sergeants?
A. Yes.

611 Q. How many divisions would you or districts would you be dealing with?
A. I would have had at that particular time two.

612 Q. Two?
A. Yeah.

613 Q. And they were Milford?
A. And the Letterkenny.

614 Q. Okay. Do you attend the weekly strategy meeting with each sergeant?
A. There isn't weekly strategy meetings.

615 Q. Okay. What way are they done?
A. Strategy meetings happen when there is a specific referral into the department that warrants a strategy meeting.
616 Q. Okay. Do you attend all strategy meetings?
A. Unless someone is covering for me, yes.

617 Q. There is always a team leader or acting team leader?
A. Yes, yes.

618 Q. On average how many strategy meetings would you have a week from a district?
A. It wouldn't happen that regularly.

619 Q. okay.
A. Sorry, maybe once a month or sometimes you might not see that particular sergeant for a few months, just depending on the nature of the referrals into the system.
Q. How many times did you see Brigid McGowan between October and January 2013, 2014?
A. I didn't.

621 Q. You didn't see --
A. No.

622 Q. At all?
A. No. That's correct.

623 Q. Did she try contacting you at any stage?
A. I had no further correspondence with Sergeant McGowan from the strategy meeting that $I$ chaired with her in respect of this case.
624 Q. So this is a time when I am told by Ms. McTeague that 15:55 it is a particularly busy time, with domestic violence, child welfare, but in fact there was nothing at all coming from milford giving rise to a strategy meeting from October to January?
A. We may have just been dealing with Letterkenny at that 15:55 particular time. I can't recal1. But I certainly did not have any further strategy meetings with Sergeant McGowan from the 21st October until after this case was closed.

625

Q. Can you tell me when the next one was?
A. No, I can't. I'm sorry.
Q. Did you direct Ms. McTeague to contact Sergeant McGowan at any stage?
A. No.

15:56
child protection matters going on, on a weekly basis but there is no strategy meeting between October and February, in fact March it would appear, of 2014, so for five months -- child protection matters are matters that are escalated urgently from the point of view of a 15:57 duty social worker, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

632 Q. And once the duty social worker has done her job in respect of them they will then get passed on to a social worker, is that correct?
A. They may have other roles to follow through with. For example, attend court where there's emergency care order applications, prepare reports for court, attend and give evidence in court; if those cases go on in the interim care order proceedings that duty social worker may still have to attend court and give evidence in court; if those cases go beyond duty to child protection case conferences the duty social worker would have to attend and give their assessment that they took place on duty at those conferences as well. would strategy meetings continue during the lifespan of such a case?
A. It would depend on where the case goes. So if those children are received into care then we wouldn't have strategy meetings, no.
All right. If the children are not received into care?
A. We wouldn't, we would have child protection case conferences and we would have core group meetings.
Q. And the Gardaí would be involved in those?
A. Some of them, not all of them.
Q. So I'm slightly confused as to how Ms. McTeague is immensely busy with child protection matters but there was no reason for sergeant McGowan to be at a meeting with you between October and March.
A. That's my evidence, Judge. I didn't have a meeting with Sergeant McGowan between that period of time.
637 Q. Now, in relation to the meeting on the 21st Sergeant McGowan says that she mentioned the threats to bury and burn?
A. I'm aware that that's Ms. McGowan's evidence, yes.
Q. Is that your recollection?
A. I don't recall those words being used.
Q. Am I correct in saying that had those words been used it would have been something that you would have taken note of?
A. Certainly, yes, yes, I believe I would have did that, yes.
Q. Because if there was any suggestion that those threats were serious or seriously believed or seriously made that obviously would escalate in terms of the urgency of the matter, isn't that correct?
A. Well, we certainly would have wanted to have completed a risk assessment in respect to Keith Harrison, we certainly would have wanted to assess whether there was 16:00 the potential of those threats being carried out. Had we have seen sight of the full statement of complaint from Marisa Simms then that would have given us very serious concerns in respect of making threats of burn



or bury and whether he had the capacity to carry out those threats.

641 Q. Would you be surprised to know that nobody ever questioned, and I'm not blaming Tusla in relation to that, you didn't have the thing, but nobody ever questioned Garda Keith Harrison in relation to those threats?
A. I'm aware of that, yes.
Q. But had Tus7a been aware Tusla would have investigated it, I take it?
A. We would have taken it very seriously. We also would have taken very seriously the other information that was detailed in that witness statement, yes.
643 Q. In relation to the question of Sergeant McGowan contacted somebody from Tusla and rang somebody from Tusla to advise them that a statement had been withdrawn by Marisa Simms, you say you had no further contact with her, I just want you to confirm to me that if that call was made it wasn't made to you?
A. That's correct.

644 Q. okay. And insofar as it was relayed that there were some suggestion that Ms. McTeague -- it was relayed secondhand to Garda Harrison and he maintains the position, that he was told that Ms. McTeague had to make the home visit because she was instructed by her superior to do so, can I ask you did you give such an instruction?
A. Absolutely, categorically not.

645 Q. okay. In relation to the question of the death threats
on Garda Harrison, were you aware of those?
A. No.

646 Q. Would they have given cause for concern to you --
A. Yes.

647 Q. -- in relation to the wellbeing of the Simms children?
A. Yes, we would have had concerns about the Simms children residing in a home with Mr. Harrison where there was an active death threat to him.
Q. Is that something that you have received referrals from the Gardaí in the past in relation to people whose children who are residing with people who are under the shadow, shall we say, of a live death threat, an active death threat is possibly a better word?
A. Yes, I can recall at least another few incidents, yeah.

649 Q. Where you have been asked to assist the Gardaí in relation to the wellbeing of the children?
A. Yes. We would have had a joint strategy in relation to making sure that there was a safe care plan in place.

650 Q. Okay. In relation finally, as I come to the end of this, Ms. McTeague, I take it you were satisfied with the work she had done in relation to this?
A. Yes, I was.

651 Q. Also can I suggest to you that Ms. McTeague is somebody whose assessment and capabilities as a social worker are somebody who you would value?
A. Absolutely, yes.

652 Q. And that insofar as Ms. McTeague was aware of the fact that there was a statement followed by a statement of withdrawal you would be satisfied that Ms. McTeague
would be well placed to assess whether or not there was coercion involved in that or anything else?
A. Yes, I believe she would have been, had she had -- in the context of her assessment, yes.
653 Q. Yes. She is somebody --
A. Yes.

654 Q. -- who is more than adequately suspicious --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and inquisitive in relation to what is going on?

CHAIRMAN: Well, that issue hasn't been raised with the 16:04 social worker as to whether she did an investigation as to whether the withdrawal of the statement was consequent upon pressure.
MR. HARTY: Well, it is a matter which was raised.
CHAIRMAN: Nor was she tasked with investigating that, nor did she in visiting the family or in having the meeting in the office pay any regard to that or feel a need to follow-through on that. I mean, maybe she possibly would have had the possibly to do that, but that investigation was never made as far as I can see. I'm not saying there's anything wrong with that, but it has not been raised at this Tribunal before.
MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, it's as a result of the questions raised by the Tribunal to Ms. McTeague, following her evidence being given, where the questions 16:05 were raised in relation to whether or not alarm bells would go off in respect of withdrawal of a statement, and I think Ms. McTeague fairly said yes, they would.
656 Q. I take it that those alarm bells would then have to be
dealt with and the assessment would take that factor into account, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.

And that Ms. McTeague would be somebody who you would trust and whose assessment you would value in relation to any of that?
A. Absolutely. But it's important to be very clear that we were not aware of all of the facts.
I appreciate that you weren't aware of all of the facts in relation to things, but in relation to this thing of one fact that was, Ms. McTeague was made aware of, was that there was a statement of complaint which was subsequently withdrawn.
A. Yes.

659 Q. And what I am saying is that Ms. McTeague isn't a person who is unduly optimistic about human nature, she is capable of working out if there is a bad reason why something might have happened.
A. She is a very competent worker, yes.

CHAIRMAN: It's a fair question, I suppose, Mr. Harty, but there was never any inquiry to Marisa Simms as to why she withdrew the statement, especially as the meeting in the office records that she accepted that the statement was all true.
MR. HARTY: I'm not asking --
CHAIRMAN: -- or that she didn't want it acted on. I'm not sure I ever used the phrase alarm bells as well. I am just going back on that. I think I asked a number of general questions in relation to could it happen in
domestic violence situations that statements were withdrawn and could she give me any kind of a percentage or quantity thereof and she said she couldn't but it certainly happened. So I mean, the note records her saying, yes, what's in the statement is true. Now she may not have known what was in the statement, I appreciate there is an issue on that, but given that that is so, it's hard to imagine why a social worker would need to follow-through on a withdrawn statement, which is an apparently true withdrawn statement. There may be a point there, I don't know.

660 Q. MR. HARTY: The reason being, and I think it is an important thing, because when there's questions of domestic violence the simple fact of withdrawing or saying the statement wasn't true doesn't mean that the social worker must assume that the person is happily withdrawing the statement without external pressure being put on them, isn't that right?
A. Yes, they would form an opinion, yes.

661 Q. Yes. They would have to assess that as a matter of caution?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: I just don't agree with that, Mr. Harty. I really don't agree with that. I mean, it has not been followed up in the Tribunal as to whether Ms. McTeague actually had any regard to the withdrawal of the statement or felt any need to make any inquiry in relation to was that in consequence of pressure from

Keith Harrison or anybody else. I mean, the Rules of Evidence say that at the very end of a case when everyone is finished the Judge is entitled to ask a number of questions, but $I$ never stopped anyone in consequence of that asking any further questions that are necessary. But this is just an issue that is now coming up and I don't know, I don't know why.
662 Q. MR. HARTY: Finally, Ms. Smith, you were satisfied with her assessment and analysis as to the situation and her judgment in relation to the future needs and whether or not this initial assessment had to be, could be shutdown at that stage?
A. Based on the information that she had at that time, yes.
MR. HARTY: Yes. Thank you Ms. Smith.
CHAIRMAN: Is there anything Mr. Barnes?
MR. MCDERMOTT: I have no questions.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Barnes?
MR. BARNES: I have no questions, sir.

MS. SMITH WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM AS FOLLOWS:
663 Q. MR. DIGNAM: Chairman, I have one question to ask Ms. Smith. Ms. Smith, my name is Conor Dignam, I appear on behalf of Garda Síochána. You were asked a question by Mr . Harty and in the course of that
question he described essentially the use by An Garda Síochána of appendix 5 to the Children First Guidelines as absurd. If I can just ask you to look at that, that is page 1252. And, first of al1, could you confirm
that when you're saying that the guards in Donegal use their own form, that that is the form that you are referring to?
A. Yes.

664 Q. So that is appendix 5 of the Children First Guidelines that the Garda use. It's not a specially designed Garda form, it's not a unique form or a particularly tailored form, it is an actual form that is contained in the Children First Guidelines, and it's headed up, you might confirm, for us: "Standard notification form 16:10 for use by An Garda Síochána in notifying cases to the HSE."
A. That's absolutely correct and I apologise if I inferred something other than that.
665 Q. Not at a11.
A. Yes.

666 Q. It's more dealing with the question that was put to you, rather than your answer. And in fact you went on to answer the question to say that it's not absurd and in doing so you referred to the definitions of
emotional abuse. And, if I just draw your attention to page 1178 and over on to 1179 , which is paragraph 2.3 of the Children First Guidelines, which is the definition of emotional abuse. And would you confirm that at paragraph 2.3.1(x) that the definition of emotional abuse includes "exposure to domestic violence"?
A. Yes, that's absolutely correct.

667 Q. Yes. You said that in your answer. So in those
circumstances I take it that you don't agree that it's fair to characterise the Gardaí seeing this incident based on the statement that they have from Ms. Simms as a possible case of emotional abuse?
A. I fully concur with the Garda's assessment in this matter.

668 Q. Yes. And in those circumstances their use of the standard notification form for use by An Garda Síochána in notifying cases to the HSE contained in the Children First Guidelines with the emotional abuse box ticked was in fact appropriate and a correct procedure for them to have used?
A. Absolutely Judge.

669 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

670 Q. And once you receive that, you can have a different opinion, and you are the child protection expert, you may reach a different view and a different opinion and decide it's not in fact emotional abuse, it is a child welfare case, or indeed if the Gardaí identify it as a child welfare case you might disagree and see it as an emotional abuse?
A. Yes.

MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, I just wish to point out that the Garda instructions appear to have changed from one witness to the next, in that on the previous case it was because there was no space in the box for welfare. MR. DIGNAM: No, no.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harty, I never said alarm bells, by the
way. I just searched the entire transcript. Neither did I ever use the word "horseplay" in relation to a previous module. But things are being ascribed to me. I suppose worse can be said than that. Sorry, Mr. Dignam.

MR. DIGNAM: Not at all. Chairman, just in relation to that I didn't intervene when Mr. Harty put the question, and the way he put the question to this witness at one stage was that An Garda Síochána were confused. There was no evidence that given that An Garda Síochána were confused. The reference to the number of boxes to be ticked, etcetera, came on foot of Ms. McTeague's evidence, and I simply pointed out to her that the form which An Garda Síochána used was the standard notification form and contrary to what she had said in her evidence, I think she accepts that it was mistaken, there is no box in the standard notification form for welfare or welfare case or child welfare case. so there's no question of instructions or positions having changed, chairman.
CHAIRMAN: All right. Look, we will see. MR. DIGNAM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. MCGuinness, did you want to ask any further questions?
mR. McGUINNESS: No, sir.

MS. SMITH WAS THEN QUESTIONED BY THE CHAIRMAN,
AS FOLLOWS:
671 Q. CHAIRMAN: There is one matter I want to put to you and
this is the last thing, Ms. Smith. Are you aware that an allegation was made against you that you had been leaned on specifically by Sergeant McGowan to ensure that a home visit took place in this instance in relation to this report to you as to what had happened between Marisa Simms and Keith Harrison?
A. Yes, I am aware of that, Judge, and I utterly refute that.
Q. CHAIRMAN: A11 right. We11, it has now been put to you and you have had a chance to say that, and that is the only reason that I asked you about it.
A. Thank you.
where are we going now for tomorrow? Thank you very much, Ms. Smith, I am sure you want to sit down.
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[^1]:    "Donna explained her role to the couple and explained
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