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THE HEARING RESUMED, AS FOLLOWS, ON WEDNESDAY, 11TH OCTOBER 2017:

MR. MCGUINNESS: Chairman, thank you for the time. I should just explain where matters are at, at the present. We received yesterday a number of documents from An Garda Síochána, one of them was, amongst others, of a collection of minutes of different management accountability meetings, if I could put it that way. One of them dated the 5 th November refers to 11:14 a regional divisional meeting held in Dundalk at which a number of senior Gardaí were present, and it's recorded on, in one line of the minutes that Chief Superintendent McGinn updated the meeting on the investigation concerning Garda Keith Harrison. It 11:15 doesn't obviously explicitly relate or refer directly to a HSE referral, but it's a sentence there in the document and that's being circulated and disclosed to al1 the parties.

Another minute relates to a divisional accountability meeting at Letterkenny Garda Station on 29/11, and among the participants were Chief Superintendent McGinn, Superintendent McGovern, Superintendent Finan, Superintendent Archbold, who is now deceased, Inspector 11:15 Harrison and Sergeant Duffy. And it appears from the minutes that Mr. Gerry Hone from the HSE spoke to the meeting, that arrangement having been made obviously some time prior to the meeting, in relation to HSE
referrals. The minutes of that have been circulated. Garda Harrison isn't referred to explicitly. It seems to be more on the level of a general strategic-type meeting of the type which has in fact already been referred to in the evidence.

However, notwithstanding that, these documents appear to the Tribunal counsel to fall within the scope of being considered to be potentially relevant to some of the witnesses, some of the evidence, perhaps, and they were disclosed and circulated on that basis.

Obviously behind that is a concern about their appearance at this stage of the matter, at least this stage of the hearings, so $I$ was going to suggest, Chairman, that you would give an opportunity to both the Garda Commissioner and counse1 for the HSE to explain, if they wish, and if they have the appropriate instructions, to outline to the Tribunal where these documents came from, how is it that they perhaps have just come to light at this stage and their potential relevance. And I should say in that context, it is the intention of the Tribunal to recall a number of witnesses so that you, Chairman, can make such inquiry as you think fit in relation to the matters.
CHAIRMAN: If I could see the two documents, if anyone has a copy of them here it would perhaps help to focus. MR. MCGUINNESS: So, Chairman, that is all I would like to say at the moment. obviously from the point of view
of the Tribunal and the presentation of evidence, we hope to make and take steps to recall any relevant witness as soon as possible.
CHAIRMAN: All right.
MR. McGUINNESS: But I would like to hear the parties. CHAIRMAN: If you don't mind, let me go through these so I know what I am talking about. So there is a divisional accountability meeting in Letterkenny Garda Station on 29th of November 2013 at 10:00am, and Chief Superintendent McGinn is there, Superintendent McGovern 11:18 of Buncrana, Superintendent Finan, Superintendent Archbold and Inspector Harrison, acting milford superintendent, Sergeant Peter Duffy, divisional office. And then it goes through:
"Gerry Hone spoke to the meeting re HSE referrals. Big increase in Garda referrals to HSE."

It says:
"29 referrals this year. Liaison between the Garda and HSE is very good on the ground. New Freedom of Information impact. Case conference on each referral. MF -- "

Who presumably is Michael Finan?
MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
Chairman: "-- suggested the referral form could be improved so that more information would be included in
the referral."

Yes. Certainly historically I've had evidence that happened.
"where a referral is received the parents must be informed of the existence of the referral. JH still a 9-5 service costing... sought."

Now, why is this potentially in any way relevant to anything? I mean, you are saying it's relevant, Mr. McGuinness, I am wondering why are you saying it's in any way relevant?
MR. MCGUINNESS: We11, it's arguably just potentially relevant because it's a meeting concerning inter alia the superintendent, Superintendent McGovern who made the referral to Mr. Hone, who is speaking at the meeting to him and the senior guards. It's in relation to HSE referrals in a general sense of course and it would seem on one interpretation perhaps to relate to the type of referral that had been made by Superintendent McGovern a number of -- two months ago, to Mr. Hone, in fact. And obviously there is no mention of Garda Harrison directly in that, but it obviously at a general level touches on the sort of concerns that were explored in evidence.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well, it seems to be concerns may well have been gone through -- just looking at the document and where we might go in relation to it, where it might
go is that they are having, it seems, a high-level meeting with Gerry Hone. By high level I don't know that everyone is very important, I mean that it is to do with policy, and basically how they are getting on. I mean, it's heartening $I$ suppose to realise that they are having such meetings, but why has it anything to do with what $I$ am inquiring into? And that is what I am wondering about. I mean, how potentially could it? MR. McGUINNESS: We11, I suppose, Chairman, it's a matter as to whether any party might think it appropriate to inquire whether Garda Harrison's case was discussed at the meeting.
CHAIRMAN: It could be. It could be. But, it could have been discussed at any other meeting as well. Well, maybe on this, can we just stick with this document for a moment? I would like to hear Mr. McDermott first of a11. Thank you for drawing that to my attention, Mr. McGuinness.
MR. MCDERMOTT: Chairman, the position of Tusla is, this is a document which appears to have no relevance whatsoever to the Tribunal's term of reference. We have checked with Mr. Hone and his recollection is that the minute is accurate, he was asked and attended a meeting where they discussed at a very high level how referrals were being made. He addressed this in his evidence, you wil1 recal1, Chairman, where he said at the time Tusla were concerned that they weren't getting very much information in referrals, and that they needed more information, and this document confirms the
accuracy of that evidence; which is, it appears he was asked to attend a meeting where he made the point I would like to get more information when you make referrals. There is no mention of Garda Harrison there. Mr. Hone doesn't recall any mention of Garda Harrison at the meeting. And insofar as Tusla were asked to discover documentation relating to Garda Harrison, they discovered documentation relating to Garda Harrison, and they didn't discover documentation that doesn't relate to Garda Harrison, of which there is clearly a very large volume existing. So that's the simple position, and obviously Mr. Hone is more than happy to make a statement or give evidence simply to confirm that. But this appears to be a completely irrelevant document. Clearly the Gardaí and the HSE and Tusla are meeting all of the time about all kinds of issues, but what this Tribunal is looking at is the case of Garda Harrison, so here is a document that doesn't mention him and there it is. But we will obviously assist the Tribunal in any way we possibly can, if somebody thinks this could in some way be relevant. Mr. Hone is happy -- he can't put it any further than that minute but would be more than happy, if required, to make a statement or give evidence to confirm that position. And what we haven't been able to ascertain is, how he came to attend the meeting. Presumably either somebody rang him or emailed him and said will you attend and he attended. And that's the minute; the minute is then what was discussed. So I
hope that's of some assistance to the Tribunal. CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. MCDERMOTT: As I said, we will provide whatever assistance we can if anybody wants to explore did A phone $B$, did $B$ phone $A$ or any other issue relating to how Mr. Hone came to attend a meeting where he was indicating he'd like to get more information when referrals are made.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Is Mr. Hone available?
MR. MCDERMOTT: He is in Donegal at the moment, so not immediately, but we will make him available as soon as may be if anybody wants to explore it further. CHAIRMAN: We11, Donegal, speaking from experience, depending on where you are, is a five-hour drive away, so it can't be today.

MR. MCDERMOTT: It wouldn't be today if he was required.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. What other meetings, I wonder, Mr. McDermott, were there between the Gardaí and Mr . Hone in relation to, I suppose, policy matters or that kind of thing? In other words, what is our documentation like? Can we do it better? where potentially there might have been some reference to this particular case as opposed to any one of the other 29 referrals up to November 2013.
MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes, Chairman, my instructions are we have discovered everything relating to Garda Harrison. Obviously if the Tribunal starts going down the road of meetings that don't involve Garda Harrison or where he
is not mentioned, presumably Tusla and the Gardaí are talking and meeting and interacting all of the time, so -- yes.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Mr. McDermott, what is the legal definition of relevance?

MR. MCDERMOTT: I think, certainly in a court case, you identify the issues by reference to the Pleadings. There are no Pleadings in a tribunal, but a tribunal has to have focus. And I think on a number of occasions during the Tribunal when the chair, when you, 11:26 sir, have engaged with counsel and said why are you asking these things or what is the purpose of this, on occasion the answer seems to have been this is inquisitorial, therefore we can ask anything and raise any issue. My understanding of an inquisitorial process, even in a civil law system, is, it has to have a focus, there has to be an issue. I know the courts have said you shouldn't use the word allegations because a tribunal isn't investigating allegations, but it is investigating claims and there has to be a focus and that is why you have a term of reference. So, the term of reference relates to Garda Harrison and we have sought to disclose documents and sought to give evidence relating to Garda Harrison. And that's as far as it goes. But of course, presumably the Gardaí and Tusla in Donegal must have a huge number of interactions that don't deal with Garda Harrison, and that is the position. If one starts going down that avenue we could be here for a very long time. But
obviously we will do whatever we are asked to do. But I think we are satisfied you have heard the evidence relating to Garda Harrison. There was a referral, there was a meeting with Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms, and then there was a follow-up meeting with the children, they both went very we11, and Tusla wrote a letter saying that's the end of it, do you have any queries? That is it. There isn't anything further that we are aware of, sir.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. MCDermott, if you go back and you think, 11:27 is there a focus to this? well, it seems to me yesterday I tried to outline what I was thinking of, and that's not a bad exercise because if a judge retires to write a judgment, the first question that occurs to one's mind is: what exactly is this case about? Now, I focused lastly on the letter to Minister Zappone of the 10th February 2017, and in terms of focus, and in terms of identifying what needs to be decided, it's clear that it is said that the HSE were influenced in their actions by the Garda Síochána
leaning on them. The HSE have said before the Tribunal, no, in fact if the Gardaí had actually shown us the statement or given us a proper précis in relation to it we would have actually done a lot more. How much more is up in the air because it wasn't followed up, but certainly there would have been separate meetings, there would have been no question of Keith Harrison living with the children of Marisa Simms. And what might have happened after that, I
don't know. But certainly it was a response on a different level to having a chat in an office and having a 15-minute chat in a house. But what is claimed is that through very specific evidence relayed by Marisa Simms to Garda Keith Harrison, one of the social workers divulged that the Gardaí had leaned on her. Now, I know Marisa Simms hasn't stood over that and indeed the questions asked by counse1 for Marisa Simms seem to devolve down to not a communication in words but something to do with the look on her face. So the focus, if there is a focus, is: was there any leaning at al1? I suppose this is a meeting where potentially one might say there could be such leaning, it's a meeting we don't know about. I agree there may be other meetings, but if one goes back to the classic definition of a fact in issue, that is a fact upon which the result of the case may turn; and relevance means another fact which may influence the outcome of the fact in issue. So, in a court case obviously people would call evidence that is relevant to themselves, in an inquiry one has to find out what might be potentially relevant, and it looks to me as if potentially this could be something where just such a matter as whether or not the précis provided orally by Sergeant McGovern to Tus1a was or was not adequate and could things have been improved. In other words, it could come up as an example. So, therefore, I would be happier to see Mr. Hone back and to see what the story is in relation to that.

MR. MCDERMOTT: Of course, Chairman. Absolutely. ChaIrman: Now, could I just ask the Garda Commissioner, just dealing with this document alone, please, for the moment, because we will get into a tangle or my mind will get into a tangle if we have to look at everything all at once. So, what is the situation there? I mean, you are under an obligation, as you appreciate, to cooperate with the Tribunal. MR. DIGNAM: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN: You appreciate as well that you have to look 11:31 for everything that is potentially relevant. And, what is the situation vis-á-vis this only coming late? MR. DIGNAM: Yes, Chairman, and I will confine my remarks at this stage to this document as the Tribunal has requested. Chairman, in my respectful submission, this document when disclosure was being made, simply wouldn't have appeared relevant to anybody who looked at it. I can appreciate, and readily appreciate, how it has become of interest, if I put that way, and I will address the test of relevance in just a moment, but it has become of interest as the Tribunal has progressed, as issues have been clarified and as issues have been explored by the Tribunal. As Mr. McGuinness has indicated, a number of the relevant Gardaí, if I might put it that way, were present, and Mr. Hone, who is obviously a witness for Tusla, for the HSE was also present. So I can see how, as the organic process of the Tribunal has proceeded, it has become of interest and, as I say, I can appreciate why Tribunal counse1
have identified it as being a document of interest.

In relation to the strict legal sense of relevance -so, in those circumstances, Chairman, if this document had been looked at a number of months ago, it wouldn't have appeared to be relevant and, therefore, wouldn't have been expected to be provided to the Tribunal at that stage.

In relation to the test of relevance, Chairman, one of the ingredients of that is, if it reasonably gives rise to a line of inquiry. And I think I can't gainsay or dispute that, in light of the evidence that has been given and in light of some of the submissions, for example, that has been made by Mr. Harty, that it doesn't reasonably give rise to a line of inquiry. It may well be that Mr. Harty will want to inquire of the people who were at that meeting what was discussed, was Garda Harrison discussed, was Garda Harrison's case discussed, and in those circumstances it is a matter which is properly before the Tribunal at this stage, and which Mr. Harty may wish to use in cross-examining any relevant witnesses.
CHAIRMAN: Well, of -- sorry, yes?
MR. DIGNAM: I might just conclude, chairman, just one point I would make in relation to the issue which the Chair identified as being a possible line of inquiry, is the fact that it is alleged that pressure was brought to bear on -- it is alleged, sorry, that the
social worker said that pressure was brought to bear by her on her -- on her management. I don't think it was ever said that that pressure was brought to bear by Mr. Hone and Mr. Hone was the only person present. So, we are now going one step further. Because the case that would have to be made is that Mr. Hone was pressurised at a meeting with An Garda Síochána, he then brought pressure on the social work team leader who then brought pressure to bear on the social worker. CHAIRMAN: Well, no, I appreciate nobody has alleged that. But of the people at the meeting who is available?
MR. DIGNAM: Superintendent MCGovern obviously is readily available, not today, chairman.
CHAIRMAN: Well, he is up in Buncrana?
MR. DIGNAM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Chief Superintendent McGinn is here. MR. DIGNAM: Yes. Sergeant Peter Duffy is here. Superintendent Finan, I understand has gone back to Donegal after giving evidence yesterday.
CHAIRMAN: He was here yesterday.
MR. DIGNAM: Yes. And the Tribunal will be aware of that Superintendent Archbold has passed away. Inspector Harrison, I would have to make inquiries in relation to that, but he hasn't been in attendance at the Tribunal, Chair.
CHAIRMAN: But he was just an acting inspector. MR. DIGNAM: Yes.

CHAIRMAN: An acting superintendent.

MR. DIGNAM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Sergeant Duffy, haven't we had Sergeant Duffy at some stage?
MR. DIGNAM: No, we haven't. But he is present.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well, I am actually mixing him up with 11:35 Garda Kar1 Campbe11, who was here yesterday. MR. DIGNAM: Yes. We did hear from a Sergeant Karen Duffy in the last module.
CHAIRMAN: Oh, yes, that's right. So then turning to Mr. Harty; Mr. Harty, do you want to have some of these 11:35 called? Let's just stick with one document at the moment, so we won't get mixed up.
MR. HARTY: Firstly, Mr. Dignam very fairly set out the test which ought to be applied in respect of the discovery of documents, which is the train of inquiry
test. It, therefore, is a broader test than what would appear to be material. And my worry is that Mr. Dignam and Mr. McDermott have both indicated that they have engaged in strictly material searches in relation to matters to date, and that simply will not meet the requirements of the Tribunal in carrying out its investigation, because it is the question of a document that leads to a train of inquiry is the relevant test. And undoubtedly reference -- contacts between An Garda Síochána and the HSE in respect of other cases would not be material and would not lead to a train of inquiry, but contacts between An Garda Síochána and the HSE in relation to the manner in which contacts are being made is a matter which would be directly relevant
in that they would lead to a train of inquiry.

What has not been clarified by Mr. McDermott - and I appreciate he has had some short period of time to deal with this - is how this meeting came about; Mr. Hone's notes in relation to it; any documentation received by Mr. Hone in relation to it. It would appear to be clear that Tusla or the HSE have limited their searches to documentation referencing An Garda Síochána and Garda Harrison as a combined three-point search. And that simply would not be sufficient to meet the tests of the train of inquiry test for relevance. Mr. Dignam, I think, appreciates that the train of inquiry test is the appropriate test and certainly contacts between chief superintendent McGinn, Superintendent McGovern, on a lesser basis in this case Superintendent Finan in that he indicated that he had no awareness at all of the Tusla reference and didn't know it was going to happen, they are clearly relevant and as such, recalling the witnesses unfortunately will
not be sufficient absent full and proper searches of train of inquiry relevance of documentation.
CHAIRMAN: So you are saying my test is Peruvian Guano? MR. HARTY: Yes. It is still the test. It is still the law in this jurisdiction. They have attempted to change it in other jurisdictions.
CHAIRMAN: Am I not entitled to inquire into what I feel is relevant myself. I mean, why am I bound by Peruvian Guano? Is there any case which says Peruvian

Guano is the test which tribunals should follow? I am asking that question -- I am asking that --
MR. HARTY: Obviously I can't make --
CHAIRMAN: I am continuing to speak Mr. Harty. I am asking that question because in England and wales they have said this is ridiculous, that discovery will go on forever and in consequence of that they have moved to a reasonable search for documents. Now am I not entitled to make any own inquiries on things? I mean, if this appears to be relevant of course I am going to follow what you say, but a train of inquiry that leads to a train of inquiry, is that not too wide? That is my on7y question.
MR. HARTY: The answer is that the courts in the UK and wales have thrown out Peruvian Guano, leading to problems immediately. And the Irish courts, when offered the same choice, have to date stuck with Peruvian Guano and it remains the law in Ireland. And in those circumstances -- and it is my view, that Peruvian Guano in any event is the correct test. I am 11:39 not suggesting that anybody should swamp anyone with documentation, but if the test is being -- if the searches are being carried out on an incorrect basis, then it is clear that there would be other documentation that are relevant -- that is relevant, I 11:40 should say.
CHAIRMAN: why do you say that? why do you think there's more documents some place? I mean, how are they going to help on the issue as to whether the HSE
was lent on by the Garda? That is what I am inquiring into.

MR. HARTY: The situation is that, as Chief Superintendent McGinn was giving evidence we received her diary entry, which didn't come up in the Garda search because it didn't mention Tusla. This document that we have before us still hasn't been produced or the Tusla version of it, the Tusla side of it, still hasn't been produced by Tusla because it doesn't mention Garda Keith Harrison. But they are clearly relevant in circumstances whereby evidence has been given by Mr. Hone that he had no further contact. Now, in fact, we do now know that he attended a meeting. I still don't know what was at that meeting, how he came to be there, why he came to be there. Was it reference 11:41 the Simms/Harrison family that gave rise to this meeting being called? These are questions I don't -train of inquiry, these are the questions that arise. CHAIRMAN: No, no, Mr. Harty, I can't have a dialogue with you if every time I try to speak you actually
interrupt me. It doesn't help. So please don't interrupt. I am simply asking you this, and all I wanted to interrupt and ask you a question was, and it's pretty normal in exchanges with counsel: Look, the meeting is actually headed, it says "re HSE referrals", and it seems in general terms to be about the whole notion of HSE referrals, now I mean are you saying that Tusla and the HSE are actually in default in not producing this document so far?

MR. HARTY: Yes, absolutely.
CHAIRMAN: You are. And in what way are they in default?

MR. HARTY: Firstly, because I have questions that arise instantly from it, which means that to an inquiring mind they clearly give rise to a train of inquiry. They are therefore relevant. And therefore, they are in default. This is contact between four witnesses to this Tribunal who have already given evidence in respect of the issues of how Gardaí carry out HSE referrals. If it gives rise to an inquiry it is relevant. And even now, the HSE have not produced the documentation giving rise to this meeting.
CHAIRMAN: You mean the email perhaps inviting Gerry Hone?

MR. HARTY: Or, was it Gerry Hone who called the meeting? Even that question $I$ don't know the answer to.
CHAIRMAN: Or the other way around, yes. MR. HARTY: I literally don't know.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay.
MR. HARTY: So it is definitely relevant. And the searches are clearly not being carried out on the correct basis.
CHAIRMAN: We11, searches take an enormous amount of
time and trouble and, you know, there's debates about what are the right search words, etcetera, and with hundreds of thousands of emails, and I am sure in the case of HSE or Tusla you are talking about millions of
emails, one has to narrow it down in some respect. what is your suggestion, Mr. Harty, in relation to this issue and what is your suggestion as to what I might do?
MR. HARTY: In relation to the HSE, if I were doing -- ${ }^{11: 43}$ if I was suggesting search terms for an electronic document search I would suggest that the names of any of the Garda witnesses before this Tribunal, for a limited period, obviously, from the start of October to the start of March; the general meetings, and the search terms in relation to that --

CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. Just go back. From the start of October when? And why October?
MR. HARTY: Because that is when the referral was made.
CHAIRMAN: I know.
MR. HARTY: The 8th October. The 8th October was the date -- 8th October 2013.
CHAIRMAN: Until when?
MR. HARTY: 1st march I think would be a date that would --

CHAIRMAN: Why is the 1st March a good date?
MR. HARTY: Because we know that the HSE had closed its file just the week prior, the 27th of February. So that is the relevant period.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. So 27th February?
MR. HARTY: Yes. And in that regard it would strike me that the appropriate Gardaí whose name should be searched against are Chief Superintendent McGinn, Superintendent McGovern, Inspector Sheridan and

Sergeant McGowan. In that there is no question of any contacts by anybody else or involvement by anybody else in relation to that, in relation to the Harrison case. CHAIRMAN: You will appreciate at this stage, Mr. Harty, that Marisa Simms did not stand over her allegation that the social worker told her in her home that she was leaned on, her principal, Bridgeen Smith, was leaned on, and that what $I$ am going on here is a hearsay account of what allegedly Marisa Simms said to Garda Keith Harrison in consequence of the social worker going out the door. I mean, I am apparently being expected to condemn social workers and the Gardaí on the basis of a piece of evidence that the person who is expected to know most about it isn't even prepared to swear to, despite having written to a minister of government to that effect in February of this year. MR. HARTY: The Tribunal is -- and Mr. McDermott referred to the $€ 1$ stamp. Had the $€ 1$ stamp been spent what we would have received was documentation showing that a referral was made and rejected, that there was subsequently a meeting, that subsequent to that meeting nothing was done until after a phone call was made on the documentation by Donna McTeague asking about the process of the criminal investigation, that is what the documentation tells us, and then the following Sergeant McGowan now says that she made the phone call to advise somebody in Tusla, and she didn't say who, that the statement of Marisa Simms had been withdrawn.

Donna McTeague did not agree with her written evidence in relation to it and said that it wasn't about the process of the criminal investigation, and that while it was misleading it wasn't quite why she made the call. In relation to that, the €1 stamp would not have 11:47 answered our questions. The question of when somebody may have put pressure or somebody else, whether or not the pressure was put on and whatever is reported by way of third parties, whether the pressure was put on before the first office visit or between the office visit and the home visit, is something that the Tribunal has to assess on the basis of the evidence before it. But it is patently clear that absent a contact from An Garda Síochána to the HSE in January, there is no other starting gun for the visit, the contact made by Donna McTeague to Marisa Simms and Keith Harrison.

So while it may not be stood over in relation to what was said, this Tribunal has no proper explanation as to 11:48 the inertia on the part of the HSE between the 21st October and the beginning of February. CHAIRMAN: Well, these are very good closing submissions, if I may say so, Mr. Harty, and very interesting. Now the question before us is: what do 11:48 we do? And you suggest a fresh search.

MR. HARTY: I suggest a fresh search.
CHAIRMAN: And in terms of witnesses, what do you suggest?

MR. HARTY: Well, obviously a search precedes the witnesses and the necessity for calling any witness may be minimised on the basis of the search and what is produced in that search.
CHAIRMAN: So who do you suggest should be called? we are just again dealing with this document.

MR. HARTY: with this document.
CHAIRMAN: And at the moment I am finding it hard to be suspicious, but I appreciate that you are making a case here. It seems, as I say, to be a high-level meeting, but of the people at the meeting who do you want to have called?
MR. HARTY: Who would be necessary --
CHAIRMAN: No, no, I think --
MR. HARTY: Sorry.
CHAIRMAN: -- you make the submission and I make the decision. So, who do you think should be called? who do you feel ought to be called?
MR. HARTY: Necessary in relation to that document, but
limited to that document on the basis of the search
that is being carried out, is Chief Superintendent McGinn, Superintendent McGovern, in terms of
Superintendent Finan I don't see that he can be -- have had the Harrison case or the Harrison/simms case in his mind when he didn't know that there was a
Harrison/simms reference.
Chairman: well, I mean, that sounds to me to be sensible because certainly he didn't know a great deal about it when he gave evidence yesterday.

MR. HARTY: He knew nothing yesterday. And obviously Mr. Hone.

CHAIRMAN: And you are happy to accept that?
MR. HARTY: Well, I have no difficulty with the evidence. His evidence was that the discussion in relation to the HSE took place at sometime when he wasn't present.
CHAIRMAN: So it's Chief Superintendent McGinn, Superintendent McGovern and Gerry Hone of HSE?

MR. HARTY: And there is an argument and my solicitor believes that Ms. Smith and Ms. McGowan ought perhaps be recalled, perhaps as to the necessity for this meeting and why this meeting had to take place. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Bridgeen Smith?
MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: And Sergeant McGowan?
MR. HARTY: Sergeant McGowan -- sorry, not Sergeant McGowan, she wasn't at that meeting. Ms. Bridgeen Smith I think is the -- she was the point of contact, one below Mr. Hone.

CHAIRMAN: But she is not mentioned anywhere. MR. HARTY: She is not mentioned anywhere, but the necessity -- you see, I don't know how this meeting came about. I am fishing in the absence of any information as to potential. The document has caused a 11:51 train of inquiry in my mind and absent other documentation the inquiry is broad reaching. CHAIRMAN: Well, that is the problem with inquiries, that is a problem with tribunals and that is presumably
why some of them have gone on for decades. There has to come a point where I am entitled to say no, enough. MR. MCDERMOTT: Chairman, if it assists, I have checked and Mr. Hone is available in the morning and it may be this issue could be dealt with in five minutes.

CHAIRMAN: what do you say about a search, Mr. McDermott.

MR. MCDERMOTT: If Mr. Hone gives evidence for five minutes in the morning he will either say yes, the minute is accurate, we just discussed high level things 11:52 and then he can be asked by Mr. Harty was there a secret discussion not recorded in the minutes where you actually all had a discussion about doing down Garda Harrison, and Mr. Hone can then say yes, that is what happened, or he can say, no, that didn't happen, and that would be the end of the issue. In terms of disclosure, we made disclosure on the basis of the term of reference. The term of reference is to investigate contacts between members of An Garda Síochána and Tusla in relation to Garda Keith Harrison. And obviously, the last six words of that sentence appear to be the important ones, and the six words which give the rest of the sentence its meaning. We are satisfied we have disclosed documents that identify contacts between members of the Gardaí and Tusla in relation to Garda involve Garda Harrison, and therefore it wasn't disclosed. That is as far as $I$ can put it. MR. HARTY: Sir, if I could reply to that briefly.

CHAIRMAN: Yes, I just wanted to ask him one question, Mr. Harty, please. You are possibly aware of the quote attributed to a famous professor of theology in relation to a PhD by one of his students, I can't say it in German I am afraid, something like for 'heaven's sake, have the courage to leave gaps', by doing what you suggest am I not leaving gaps? First question. Secondly, am I entitled to leave gaps? That is a question for you, Mr. McDermott.

MR. MCDERMOTT: Sorry, Chairman, I think the position is, once you start getting into meetings that don't involve Garda Harrison that is not a finite category of inquiry or disclosure that can ever be made. The only way we can assist the Tribunal is on the basis of a defined subject matter. And, as we understood it, the defined subject matter are contacts between the Gardaí and Tusla in relation to Garda Harrison. But I think the only way I can advance it and help the Tribunal, which is obviously what we are trying to do, is to say let Mr . Hone attend in the morning and within two minutes we will quickly find out was this a meeting at which Garda Harrison was discussed, in which case Mr. Hone can and should be questioned as to: what did you discuss about him? why did you discuss him? what was said about him? Or, was it a meeting where he wasn't discussed? In which case that would seem to be the end of the matter. So, like all conspiracies, one can perhaps talk all day about what might have happened, and clearly any time a member of the Gardaí
bumped into a member of Tusla in the street in Donegal, they could have discussed Garda Harrison, every time they were both in a petrol station filling up their cars at the same time they could have discussed Garda Harrison, every time they were in an ATM queue they could have discussed Garda Harrison, but all we can really do is try to identify any records or any conversations we are aware of where Garda Harrison's case was discussed, and we have done our best to do that within the, I suppose, scope of human fallibility. And we will continue to try to do that. But as I said, the best we can do to assist is to make Mr. Hone available at the earliest opportunity in the morning and immediately identify what happened at the meeting and did he phone and say, can I come to a meeting, or did someone phone him and say, can you come to a meeting. And I don't know if either answer will assist anybody, but we will find out who called who. CHAIRMAN: Yes. What do you say about Bridgeen Smith? mr. McDermott: I can't understand why she would be called to give evidence about a meeting she wasn't at. And I surmise some attempt is now being made to recall witnesses perhaps to make a case that was never made when they were in the box. I mean, we are talking about one minute of one meeting at which one of my witnesses was present. And if we call Bridgeen Smith we probably should recall every Tusla witness to say, what do you know about the meeting you weren't at? Presumably the answer would be relatively concise; I
don't know anything about the meeting I wasn't at. But if there is an enthusiasm to pursue that for a few hours again we will assist in any way that we can. CHAIRMAN: We11, I don't want to ask somebody to get into a car and drive down from Donega1. I mean, apart from anything else, $I$ feel for the risk of any accident that might occur. So I don't want to call anybody un1ess it's absolutely essential. I mean, that seems to me to be the right test. So look, I have heard submissions, unless anybody else wants to say anything -- Mr. Harty, you wanted to reply briefly. MR. HARTY: Firstly in relation to secret discussions at meetings, $I$ am not characterising anything as secret or otherwise. In relation to what Mr. McDermott has just said, it is clear that they have run the most
limited possible search terms in relation to this documentation. He doesn't even look for documentation referencing simms.
CHAIRMAN: Have you done a search in relation to the Simms?

MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes. Broad computer searches were done to make sure we captured any document that could come within the term of reference.

CHAIRMAN: Al1 right.
MR. HARTY: Sorry, but in relation to the limit, this meeting, which both the Tribunal and I agree is relevant, as the Gardaí appear to agree is relevant, there must be some HSE documentation in relation to it. CHAIRMAN: There may be. I mean, one of the things
that one might expect is, say a letter or an email, I beg your pardon, from somebody in divisional headquarters saying, look, this whole subject of referrals has got a bit chaotic and we want to have a meeting and see can we sort it out. I mean, it would seem in the ordinary course of events to be something like that. It's not, however, in the slightest bit likely that anyone would put in that anything to do with Garda Keith Harrison or any plans that they had in relation to it, but the meeting obviously just didn't take place because a number of people happened to be in the same queue at Family Meats, the famous butchers in Donegal Town, so there must be something leading behind it, and I am wondering, Mr. McDermott, why the HSE haven't found that. And I am not saying any of this is 11:59 an alarm be11, $I$ am not at al1, but $I$ am just raising a query. So, do you have -- I mean, it's clear a meeting can't take place without people arranging meeting, so we don't have any arranging the meeting stuff. MR. MCDERMOTT: No. And nor did we produce this minute. It's because this was a meeting that didn't involve Garda Harrison, and so, didn't appear to involve or be relevant to an inquiry into Garda Harrison. I can't put it any further than that, other than making Mr. Hone available at the first opportunity 11:59 to indicate or to confirm that Garda Harrison wasn't discussed at the meeting and if he wasn't discussed at the meeting, it's not immediately obvious. CHAIRMAN: Yes. We11, can you look for whatever led up
to the meeting?
MR. MCDERMOTT: we will ask him to bring tomorrow anything relevant to the meeting.
Chairman: yes, I think we'd need to have it. Now, the burden unfortunately in relation to everything being captured virtually electronically is that compared to 30 or 40 years ago there is a vast amount of documentation. I appreciate that, and I appreciate how difficult it is for people. But equally, the facility that is available to get stuff together by email and attach it, surely can be used in relation to this. So you don't just come up with a bunch of stuff, you can actually send it to the Tribunal and also just distribute it by email yourself prior to anyone arriving. I am sure you have Mr. Harty's email and that can be done, can't it? So do you want more than that, Mr. Harty? Are you suggesting I should do more than that?

MR. HARTY: I think that the relevant searches would be contacts between members of An Garda Síochána and -the identified members of An Garda Síochána and Tusla, from October to March. And that is the relevant searches that should be made. In relation to that, the Tribunal will recall the startling evidence that even though Sergeant Brigid McGowan said she was in regular contact with Tusla, Ms. Bridgeen Smith says she didn't have any contact, the principal social worker didn't have any contact with Brigid McGowan from 21st October until the day after the -- until the week after, I
should say, the closure of the investigation, HSE investigation, into the Simms children.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. HARTY: And that would be relevant because that is directly relevant to her evidence. And if there is documentation in relation to that, that is directly relevant and calls into question -- I don't believe that it is possible to deal with this tomorrow, in circumstances where the searches that have been carried out have been so restrictive in the manner which they have been carried out.

CHAIRMAN: what do you suggest?
MR. HARTY: I would suggest a broader search needs to be carried out in relation to contact between, and as I say, I have identified the --
CHAIRMAN: Yes. But, can I tell you, Mr. Harty, I actually don't want to know the HSE meeting the Garda about the unfortunate cases that have been referred to prior to and somewhere about children being locked up in the dog cages that people tend to use nowadays and the revelations during sexual education classes. I mean, they are going on all the time and I am sure there's hundreds and thousands of them. I don't want to know about those. I mean, I don't see why I should know about them.
MR. HARTY: And neither do I, but there aren't hundreds and thousands. There is reference in this to 29 referrals in the year, 29th of November 2013. CHAIRMAN: Well, should I look at every single one of
those?
MR. HARTY: I don't think we need to look at every single one of them. I think we need documentation that deals with the contacts in relation to them.

CHAIRMAN: But there has to be contacts in relation to them. I mean, under the Children First Guidelines that is what it says; it says there should be contact, formal and informal. I mean, just, I don't know. MR. HARTY: The Children First Guidelines also say that records should be kept of all contacts and that is something we now know didn't take place.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right.
MR. HARTY: So, I do not want myself to be journeying through vast amount of documentation of which the majority of which is blacked out. That is not
something I want, and the Tribunal will be fully aware why I don't want it. Nor do I want the Tribunal -- but I do want, if any witness is to be recalled, to ensure that we aren't met with a situation whereby this documentation, relevant documentation, isn't put. And 12:03 that is the chain of inquiry test in relation to it, not a search which says Garda Síochána, Tusla, Garda Keith Harrison, Marisa Simms. It's simply an inadequate search.
CHAIRMAN: A11 right. Okay. We11, thank you very much 12:03 everyone for your submissions, let's deal with this document first. Now, there is, I think, two things that someone who is conducting any inquiry ought to be very well aware of, and the first is this: One can
inquire and inquire and dig and the more you dig the larger the hole grows, but that doesn't necessarily mean that you are going to discover what you need to find. And so, there has to be a focus and the focus has to be reasonable. The submission has been that I am stuck with the Peruvian Guano test. Just to enlighten those who may not know about the Peruvian Guano test, it was a case in the 19th century, at a time when typewriters hadn't been invented and when people wrote to each other using possibly quill pens, because again it was at a time when the fountain pen, that wonderful invention, was still in its infancy, and what that said was that the test for discovery is that you discover documents relevant to the facts in issue, which are defined in legal cases by the Pleadings, 'I allege such-and-such' and the defendant denies such-and-such, and furthermore, that you should disclose documents which might lead to a document relevant to an issue in the pleadings. So in other words, two-tier, not only what is directly relevant but 12:05 documents which might put people on a chain of inquiry leading to another document. I think it's too broad, but for the moment I am just going to apply it.

The second thing that a judge needs to be aware of is this, it's very easily illustrated: In 1916 we had a revolution in this city and a man called Patrick Henry Pearse came out of the GPO and read a famous document. If you read the book by that great historian Charles

Townsend, and if you look at the documents in the military archives, you will realise that some people said that he came out and stood on the steps of the GPO, other people said that he went over to Nelson's Pillar, other people put him in a different place, and the reality is there was never any steps then and nor are there any steps now at the GPO, so he couldn't have been standing on the steps of the GPO. So, the fact people make mistakes is not necessarily an indication that they are lying; it is perhaps an indication that in any eyewitness account there may be divergencies, there may be mistakes in recollection, and what one is looking for is the overall picture.

Now, what is to be done in relation to this? well, the 12:06 document came to light, it seems, yesterday. I have examined it this morning. It's a divisional accountability meeting at Letterkenny Garda Station on 29th November 2013. Potentially that could be at a time when the HSE/Tusla investigation in relation to the various domestic blisters, to use the words of Rita McDermott, between Marisa Simms and Keith Harrison, could have been in issue. He is not mentioned in the document anywhere, but Gerry Hone is mentioned, and the subject matter is: How are we doing referrals? Is there any way we can do them better? And it is possible in that context, just possible, and I put it in the realm of possibility, certainly not even close to probability, that somebody might have mentioned
something in relation to Keith Harrison. But the meeting also had to come into being somehow. I mean, it just didn't happen. I gave a colourful example early on of people standing in a queue in Donegal Town in the Family Meats Butchers and deciding to have a meeting, that is not likely. So it seems to me there probably are some documents missing and we will look at it in the potential exercise of the possibility that something might emerge from that. So I would ask for that to be done.

Now, I have been asked to go further, which is to say that, firstly, the searches conducted by the HSE/Tusla were inadequate, but the term of reference is, Garda Keith Harrison and contacts between the Garda and Tusla in relation to him. So that is the search that has been done and that is what has been thrown up. No, I don't intend to ask for a search to be done in relation to every Garda interaction over a four- or five-month period -- October, November, December, January, February, March, a six-month period, between the Garda and Tusla, because $I$ just don't see that it's relevant. They have business interacting with each other. But I am asking that a further search should be done in relation to Garda Keith Harrison and any documents of any kind which mention him over that five-month period and I am asking as well that Gerry Hone should be recalled. I am not asking for Bridgeen Smith to be recalled, because I actually don't think that she has
anything to do with anything to do with this unless the documents so indicate. And it seems to me that Chief Superintendent McGinn and Superintendent McGovern should also give evidence in relation to that. And insofar as there may be officers journals or notebooks in any way mentioning this, would they please just have another look and see if there is any mention of this and photocopy that? That can all be scanned into an email and I would ask that the HSE be so kind as to send that, not just directly to the Tribunal, please, but also to the solicitors who are involved in the Tribunal.

Now, when can we do that, is the next question? But we have another issue, which is another document, and 1et's discuss the question of time when we have looked at that document. So maybe, Mr. McGuinness, you would be so kind as to outline that document to us.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes, Chairman. That is the minutes of a regional meeting held in Dundalk Garda Station on 5th November of 2013. There is a wide attendance, starting with Assistant Commissioner Kenny listed as I think chairing the meeting, with all other relevant senior officers from, it would appear, each district and division within that region. Of note, if it is to be
noted, in fact, Chief Superintendent Sheridan was there, Chief Superintendent McGinn was there, and we have been furnished with the minutes of the meeting which encompass a total of five pages of very, very
extensive minutes of a large number of policing issues in the most general sense, with a report from each division, and the final sentence of the report relating to the Donegal division simply records that Chief Superintendent McGinn gave an overview of the
investigation in relation to Garda Keith Harrison. There is no express mention of a HSE referral or any other issue as such. So that's a document which I think the Garda Commissioner accepts ought to have been previously furnished to the Tribunal in terms of its potential for a line of inquiry.

CHAIRMAN: where do you think the potential will bring us or could potentially bring us, Mr. McGuinness?
MR. McGUINNESS: Well, I suppose just anchoring it in the evidence, obviously Chief Superintendent McGinn's evidence is that she phoned Assistant Commissioner Kenny after the meeting of the 8th October to keep him informed, and the Tribunal had obvious7y received the documentation which it circulated, which showed the emails which went up to the assistant commissioner's office from then on and including the reports which have been referred to in the last few days. So in one sense it's perhaps not surprising or in any way -- on first viewing it is not in any way a matter of great comment that the chief superintendent would be giving an update at a divisional regional meeting. what Chief Superintendent McGinn told them is another matter, it's obviously not -- it's not gone into in any detail in the --

CHAIRMAN: No. I wonder, how often do these meetings take place? Have we any idea about that?

MR. MCGUINNESS: I think they are quarterly but I could be wrong in that.

CHAIRMAN: Maybe someone might just take instructions on that. Because this one is the 5th November and this is the only one we have of a regional meeting, isn't it?

MR. MCGUINNESS: That is of any relevance, yes.
Obviously on a practical level --
CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT MCGINN: Chairman, they are monthly meetings regionally.

CHAIRMAN: Okay. So it is a month7y management meeting. Right.
MR. McGUINNESS: On a practical level, I suppose given that Chief Superintendent McGinn is to be recalled in relation to the other document, certainly it seems an appropriate inquiry to make about what was discussed and why it was discussed, etcetera, and what records there may be of it. Other than that, Chairman, I don't 12:13 see any possible relevance.

CHAIRMAN: We11, what am I supposed to do, Mr. McGuinness? I mean, have you any potential suggestion about it? I mean, we could look for months for further regional -- I mean, potentially every in Dundalk for the northern region, could have mentioned Garda Keith Harrison. MR. MCGUINNESS: In fact, Chairman, we have been shown
others and there is no potential relevance in any others that we have been shown.

CHAIRMAN: And I don't want to look into them because I don't feel it's any of my business as to what the police are doing about various investigations or what 12:14 resources they have or whatever.

MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: I just don't want to know. But it's Keith Harrison that is the focus of this.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes. Now, obviously the other officer 12:14 who is recorded as being present there is chief Superintendent Sheridan and we could potentially require the submission of a statement from Chief Superintendent Sheridan and see what he says and/or whether it leads to his recall is another issue. But I 12:15 see no real objection to recalling Chief Superintendent McGinn on that issue.
CHAIRMAN: what about Mr. McGoey?
MR. MCGUINNESS: I don't see any relevance there.
CHAIRMAN: Well, he is the crime analyst, so it could be that somebody mentioned the crimes alleged7y committed against Marisa Simms and he gave a view on them. I mean, everyone else in the Tribunal has given a view on that, why not Mr. McGoey?
MR. MCGUINNESS: It's my understanding he was there to 12:15 deal with different issues and obviously they are redacted matters that just don't concern any work of the Tribunal.
CHAIRMAN: Okay. So, Mr. Dignam, do you want to make a
submission? I mean, where are we at in relation to this? I could probably stay now here for another week and we would probably get more documents, in which case I would be asked to do more things. Appreciating that, you know, people look for things and they find things and even in your own house if you have lost something then something else will turn up even months later. But even still.

MR. DIGNAM: Chairman, there is an express reference to Garda Harrison in the document and there is an express reference to an investigation. I think it is a matter, I think it is a document which should have been provided at an earlier stage. We will have to wait to hear what Chief Superintendent McGinn says about what she said, because it may have had nothing to do with the referral to Tusla but obviously the interaction between a referral to Tusla, a GSOC investigation and possibly a criminal investigation is something which Mr. Harty on behalf of Garda Harrison makes something of and which the Tribunal has an interest in and a legitimate interest in.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. I don't know whether you have had a chance to have a chat with Chief Superintendent McGinn this morning as to what her recollection of this is and as to whether she wouldn't mind drawing up a brief
statement as to that. The worry that arises is: She thought that that was the end of any involvement she had with Garda Keith Harrison, then apart from the reminders that she caused to be sent following the
appointment of Superintendent Murray, and this would seem to indicate that she had some kind of involvement. Now, if she was going to give an outline, I would imagine for someone of her obvious application and diligence, that she would have got someone to tell her, 12:17 look, what is the up-to-date on this thing? And again you might say, well then, if that happened, we11, where is it?

MR. DIGNAM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: so, there is the problem.
MR. DIGNAM: You will appreciate that Chief Superintendent McGinn is separately represented, I think Mr. Ó Braonáin can address you as to what -CHAIRMAN: No, I know, but that doesn't mean you can't talk to her. I can't talk to her because of my position, but there is nothing to stop you talking to her.
MR. DIGNAM: No, I appreciate that.
CHAIRMAN: After all, you do represent the Garda Commissioner.

MR. DIGNAM: I do.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well, I should perhaps have addressed that question to Mr. Ó Braonáin, but in any event. MR. DIGNAM: In relation to documents in a broader sense, you will recall, Chairman, that this document, 12:18 the awareness of this document came about because chief Superintendent McGinn said in evidence that she probably discussed Garda Harrison's case at a management meeting and that led to a discussion about

PAF meetings or PALF meetings being held at district division and regional levels and in light of that evidence a search was carried out for minutes of all management meetings, otherwise known as PAF or PALF
meetings.

CHAIRMAN: So, that is what led to this?
MR. DIGNAM: That is what led to this, yes. And where we stand at the moment, Chairman, is that the minutes of regional meetings have been provided, although we are still searching for two $I$ think management meetings, regional management meetings.

CHAIRMAN: Are they within the relevant period though? MR. DIGNAM: They are, yes, Chairman. They are, yes. CHAIRMAN: Because we are dealing with a very small number of months.

MR. DIGNAM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: I mean, one has to be realistic about this, Mr. Dignam, and again I hope I am not coming across as coming down on you, because I am not, and I am not intending to, but I really have to try and keep some kind of a focus on this. Because if you say okay, what happened? Well, look, I went through it yesterday, but what happened was, there was the argument on the 28th September 2013, everybody admits that. We know that it came to the attention of the Gardaí shortly afterwards, 12:19 we know that Rita McDermott made a statement about it, that she spoke to Sergeant Durkin in relation to it and Garda McGowan. We know that a statement was made by Marisa Simms in Letterkenny on 6th October, to what
extent or in circumstances is in dispute. And then we know that on 8th of October there was a referral to Tusla. And we know as well that the Gardaí are saying, look, there had to be a referral to Tusla because that is our duty under the Children First Guidelines and this came, even at a minimal level, within it. And we know that Tusla are saying they got some kind of garbled account. We know that Sergeant McGowan says no, it wasn't a garbled account. But what Tusla are saying we would have done more had we got a fuller account and then matters move on. But the question is: In the event that things got stalled perhaps because of other work in social work, but then were revived again, could this have anything to do with it, this monthly management meeting, such as any business that is acting sensibly would have? So I mean, if this is something to do with it, fine, but how could other management meetings have anything to do with that? Because what we are focusing on is the Gardaí allegedly, through hearsay now, getting HSE involved in the Harrisons' lives, Harrison/Simms lives.

MR. DIGNAM: I can assure you, Chairman, that the searches are being conducted are for the relevant time period. There is no question of us carrying out a traw 1 for al1 management minutes for a long periods of time, that wouldn't assist the Tribunal. We have also I think provided the divisional management meetings for the relevant period insofar as any of them might be relevant to the work of the Tribunal, and we have also
gathered in all of the minutes of management meetings for each of the districts in Donegal, they haven't been reviewed as to whether there is anything relevant in them to the work of the Tribunal, but that process is being carried out currently, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN: We11, I mean -- yes.
MR. DIGNAM: But that does give rise to, we certainly don't want to flood the Tribunal with any unnecessary or irrelevant material.

CHAIRMAN: No. We all know the Supreme Court pronouncements on discovery and we all know they haven't worked.
MR. DIGNAM: Sorry, Chairman, when I say -- we don't propose providing the Tribunal with volumes of management committee meetings.
CHAIRMAN: well, you know perfectly well I don't want them.

MR. DIGNAM: No.
CHAIRMAN: I couldn't care less about them, frankly. MR. DIGNAM: Yes.

CHAIRMAN: It's to do with Garda Harrison that I am inquiring into.
MR. DIGNAM: Yes. And that is why they are being reviewed currently; to see if there is anything relevant in relation to the work of the Tribunal and Garda Harrison.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. But you know, under normal circumstances people nowadays agree the exchange of documents and the rules provide that you should set out
issues. That of course has made everything worse because you can make anything, it seems, relevant to anything. And it used to be that these issues were defined by the Pleadings. Now, we don't have any Pleadings here, but you know and I know what is of importance.

MR. DIGNAM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: But you know as well that in consequence of things coming to light, it seems at the moment in good faith, that is certainly the presumption I am making in consequence of evidence, that questions may be asked as to the process. It may be that if you swore an affidavit as to the process and you were prepared to stand over the process in terms of the searches you have made, that this would at least be something
against which a test could be made in the event that other stuff is turned up which we are not aware of, in which case a query can be made: well, you are supposed, according to your affidavit, to have done such-and-such and this didn't turn up but the reality
is if you did that then this would have turned up. And those are the questions I think which one might be entitled to ask.

MR. DIGNAM: I am happy to arrange that, Chairman, and I have absolutely no difficulty in doing that.
CHAIRMAN: How long would it take to do? I am not asking for an affidavit as to documents. I am trying to follow the modern procedure of the High court, which is that people agree categories of documents which may
be relevant and then exchange them without the necessity for an affidavit. But in this instance, can you do an affidavit as to the methodology of the search and the categories looked in with a view to assisting the Tribunal? Can you do that?

MR. DIGNAM: Yes, Chairman.
CHAIRMAN: Can you do that within a week?
MR. DIGNAM: I don't see any difficulty within that,
Chairman.
CHAIRMAN: will it take a week?
MR. DIGNAM: I would hope that I could do it in less than a week, but without specific instructions as to exactly how long it will take I don't want to guarantee that $I$ could do it in less than that.
CHAIRMAN: Well, it's nothing to do with my feelings but I came in today hoping that I was going to be finishing with this and could begin thinking about the very serious issues that have arisen, and now it seems we are into next week.

MR. DIGNAM: Chairman, if you could give me a week with 12:24 an assurance that if it can be done in less time than that it will be done in less time.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thanks. So, Mr. Ó Braonáin, would you like to help in any way?
MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: I am certainly happy, and Chief
Superintendent McGinn is certainly happy to assist the Tribunal in any way that --

CHAIRMAN: Is it possible?
MR. Ó BRAONÁIN: In relation to a statement the

Tribunal suggested, I am sure there is no difficulty about that.

CHAIRMAN: Well, is it possible that someone would have a brief chat with her, perhaps, outside and see where we stand in relation to that? what she now recalls, it 12:25 would be useful perhaps to know that now. Would you agree, Mr. Harty?
MR. HARTY: I have no difficulty with somebody having a chat with Chief Superintendent McGinn, and I have no problem with that being informed to the Tribunal at this stage. There is one issue in particular -- I am very happy in relation to the suggestion of an affidavit of methodology, something which is used in the courts, and it's a very effective way of ensuring that the process has the sufficient level of inquiry, and I have no difficulty with that. There is one issue which arises from this in relation to the witnesses that would be required to be called, but I will address that when --
CHAIRMAN: No, do. Do tell me. What do you say about that?

MR. HARTY: Well, this is now the second clear mention of an involvement on the part of Assistant Commissioner Kenny. Chief Superintendent Terry McGinn obviously is directly relevant in relation to it, and Chief
Superintendent Jim Sheridan, who, while it was a broad general meeting, Chief Superintendent Sheridan had some detailed interaction with Garda Harrison at an earlier stage, and it would surprise me if his ears didn't at
least prick up when his name was mentioned at a divisional management meeting, but I think of primary concern to me in relation to it would be the state of knowledge and the awareness of Assistant Commissioner Kenny. The Tribunal will be aware that when we came here, and it was put, and I think accurately put to Garda Harrison at the time that there wasn't a scintilla of evidence to suggest that the matter went outside the Donegal division, on the basis of what was --

CHAIRMAN: Yes. We11, it did go outside the Donegal division, it had to. I mean, once there was a GSOC referral, it had to go to up to -- and I think also in relation to personne1, I mean, once the statement was made I think it had to be referred to Headquarters. But it's the influence of Headquarters.
MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: They had to be kept informed, but that might be like people sending in returns to the Revenue, you know.

MR. HARTY: It could be. But it's only during the evidence of Chief Superintendent McGinn that we learned there was a phone call made to Assistant Commissioner Kenny. We now know that it was a matter which was brought up subsequent to that phone call at a meeting Mr. McGuinness has had an opportunity of looking at the PALF meetings in the surrounding months and if nothing arises I am very happy with that. But the Tribunal
will be aware that this document was only produced because it was in direct response to questions that were raised on Garda Harrison's behalf to Chief Superintendent McGinn, that these meetings were raised by Chief Superintendent McGinn, giving rise to the train of inquiry and at the end of that, chief Superintendent McGinn's evidence, the Tribunal directed that Chief Superintendent McGinn produce the minutes of the PALF meetings. But I would submit, and I think it's accepted by Mr. Dignam, that these were clearly relevant and, as such, I do feel that it is important that a further search should be carried out, whereby the integrity of it can be stood over by way of an affidavit as to methodology.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. No, that makes sense. We11, for a start, there is nothing to stop your solicitor, Mr. Ó Braonáin, asking Chief Superintendent McGinn what recollection she has, perhaps, of this meeting, if any, and then a statement can be supplied. Certainly an affidavit as to documents is a good idea. I am aware that Assistant Commissioner Kenny is retired and is on an extended holiday somewhere in the Far East. I think the first step is, if we have a statement then he can be asked over the telephone as to what his recollection is in relation to that. I mean, that is what can be done. In the event that he has something to add, well, we are going to have to adjourn until such time as he is available. If he doesn't -- if I am informed of that and the basis for saying that, I will look at
that, but it seems to me also I think we do need to have Chief Superintendent McGinn back on that, Chief Superintendent Sheridan and who else do you suggest we ought to inquire in relation to this? I mean, it is sticking out a bit that if there is an update that something might have been said at it, you know. So what is your suggestion?
MR. MCGUINNESS: I think it's sufficient for present purposes to get a statement from those, that the Tribunal have mentioned; Chief Superintendent McGinn, 12:30 Chief Superintendent Sheridan and if possible an account from Assistant Commissioner Kenny. If it's necessary to go further I don't see it at the moment. CHAIRMAN: Yes. So it's a question of softly, softly, see where we are at, and then see what else needs to be 12:30 done.

MR. MCGUINNESS: I think so, Chairman. That seems to be the extent necessary at present.
CHAIRMAN: It seems a terrible, you know, delay, Mr. McGuinness, appreciating it's not your fault.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes. There are two ways of looking at it, perhaps. One is, obviously Mr. Dignam will come up with his affidavit of process and methodology for searching and that can be provided then at the end of a week from today. On the other hand, there is a view that says, well, 1et's take the evidence of the witnesses that are available as soon as we can and proceed with their evidence tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN: Well, I am asked for a week in relation to
this affidavit, as to methodology of searching documents.

MR. McGUINNESS: Yes. But on one view --
CHAIRMAN: That brings us to next wednesday.
MR. McGUINNESS: On one view the affidavit shouldn't prevent the taking of evidence that is currently available. And it shouldn't in fact influence it in any way on one view. One difficulty, Chairman, that I have to point out is the very practical one about next week, is that next week is the only week where we don't ${ }_{12: 31}$ have the use of this large room. It's blocked off for State use from 16th to 20th. So we wouldn't be able to reconvene here in that week next week.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, all right. I think we have to have the affidavits -- the affidavit as to the methodology of search and documents before we go to these witnesses. I think that is the only fair way to approach it. And I think also that, as we have this time, Napoleon had a suspicion in relation to lawyers and gave them strict time limits, so you will forgive me for doing the same thing, but I think we need that affidavit by this day week, next Wednesday. I think we also need Chief Superintendent McGinn and any other witness available who is in a position to say what happened at this meeting. And I would actually like to include someone who is outside the Garda loop and Mr. McGoey seems to be outside the Garda loop, if he is available I would like to see what he has got to say about this. And they should also be available by next Wednesday. By
next Wednesday I mean 10:00 in the morning. Then we can't sit unfortunately here next week. Look, it's just impractical, so I am going to adjourn any further evidence to Monday week and any submissions also to

Monday week to follow immediately after.

Now, there is just one other thing that is on my mind, if I might mention it now. There was one witness left behind from the HSE/Tusla from the last section of evidence that we heard, and I am just wondering, she had given birth I think, and is it possible to get her in on Tuesday week and to have any submissions that anyone wants to make in relation to the issue as to influence by the Gardaí in relation to Sergeant McCabe on Tus1a/HSE on the Tuesday of that week as well? MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes, Chairman. I think that is the 24th of October. And that should be fine. We will get back if there is any difficulty. So I understand, the Tribunal is proposing to sit in this module on the 23 rd of October, and then possibly --
CHAIRMAN: Well, I would do it on Monday, but I can't, so you will appreciate that. You are not going to accuse me of going on a week's holiday, Mr. McDermott, others may.
MR. McGUINNESS: Chairman, just on that issue,
obviously Sergeant McCabe's legal advisors aren't here today, and I am not sure that they know --
CHAIRMAN: No, I appreciate that, but we have got to get things to an end and we will put it on the website
and in the event that there is any difficulty people can come back to us. But, Mr. McDermott, are you in a position to say whether that young lady is available? MR. MCDERMOTT: As far as we know, chairman, she would be available for the 24th of October and we will tell you immediately if we are wrong about that. But off the top of our heads we think she is around at the moment.

CHAIRMAN: All right. Well, maybe it's safer to say the Wednesday, is it? Because the submissions and evidence could go on for two days and it would give people time. I want to try and get things wrapped up if at all possible, appreciating what you say, Mr. McGuinness, but if it's on the website would that cause a difficulty? we will certainly contact them as well. I know Mr. Barnes is out, but he will be back soon hopefully.
MR. McGUINNESS: Yes. Obviously we will contact any relevant party who was represented at the last module and inform them immediately of the intention of the
Tribunal to deal with the matter on the 25th. CHAIRMAN: Yes. All right. Well, I think that is the best that can be done. There is no point in saying any more.
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