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# THE HEARI NG RESUMED ON MDNDAY, 23RD DAY OF <br> OCTOBER, 2017, AS FOLLOWB: 

MR. MARRI NAN The first witness today, sir, is James Sheridan, please. His statement is to be found at page 10:05 2568 of the materials.

## MR. JAMES SHERI DAN, HAV NG BEEN SUDRN MAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MR. MARR NAN AS FQLLOVG:

MR. MARRI NAN Now, Mr. Sheridan, you have already given evidence in relation to your dealings with Garda Keith Harrison whilst you were in Donegal?
A. That's correct, Judge, yes.

2 Q. You are being recalled today to deal specifically with a meeting that occurred on 5th November 2013, which was a regional management meeting and it was held at Dundalk Garda station?
A. That's correct, Judge, yes.

3 Q. Do you have any recollection of that meeting?
A. I don't, other than obviously I was at it, and I was the chief superintendent in Cavan-Monaghan at the time. I didn't have any jurisdiction or involvement in the management of Donegal division at that time and it would be a meeting that would be held on a monthly basis.

4 Q. If we could have page 2695 on the screen, please. This is a note, a typed note of the minutes of the meeting. You'11 see there at the top it's headed:
"Management meeting 2: 00pm on 5th November 2013, at Dundal k Garda station".

And then in attendance it has the Assistant
Commissioner Kieran Kenny, Chief Superintendent Terry McGinn, Chief Superintendent Jim Sheridan, yourself, Chief Superintendent Pat Magee, Chief Superintendent Michae1 Clancy, Detective Superintendent John O'Reilly and Superintendent McMahon, who was in charge of roads policing. Is that normal attendance for a divisional meeting?
A. It would be, yes, Judge, yes.

5 Q. And what matters are normally discussed?
A. There would usually be an agenda, but it would normally 10:07 be a meeting of crime that -- in relation to crime, traffic, subversives and any other issues that may be relevant at the time.

6 Q. We see that Sergeant Karen Duffy was also present at the meeting. I think she might have been taking the minutes of the meeting.
A. Perhaps.

7 Q. And then Mr. Eddie McGoey, who is a crime analyst, I think he just brings statistics to the meeting?
A. That's correct.

8 Q. That's his sole input, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yeah. He would be responsible for presenting the crime figures and the data in relation to crime.

9 Q. There's a Ms. Sinéad Murphy who is attending at the meeting and she has a title of HFO of the Northern Region, what's that?
A. She would be the Higher Executive Officer I think in the -- she's in the regional office in sligo anyway.

10 Q. Now, we can see that a number of matters that were discussed, which we needn't go into, but relate to various aspects of crime policing, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Judge, yes.

11 Q. If we could just go over to page 2696, we have the sligo/Leitrim division, where it's been blacked out --
A. Yes.

12 Q. -- but we can see that there was matters discussed in relation to that. Then over the page. At 2697, you'11 see the Donegal division. That was no longer your bailiwick, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

13 Q. And Chief Superintendent McGinn was then in charge of the Donegal division. You see down at the end of that page, 2697:
"Chi ef Superintendent MEGinn gave an overvi ew in rel ation to the Garda Keith Harrison investigation."

Have you any recollection of that taking place?
A. No, Judge, I haven't.

14 Q. If we could then go over to the next page, 2698, we see that there was an update in relation to Cavan and Monaghan and then below that, in relation to the Louth
division, there was also an update.
A. That's correct, Judge, yes.
Q. I think that we can then see that there was an update given in relation to the traffic division, isn't that right?
A. That is correct, yes.

16 Q. And then over at page 2699 we can see that other matters concerning budget and finance, major events that might have taken place within the division Haddington Road, and recording of incidents on Pulse. And then on the sixth page of the note, at 2700 , we have any other business that might have been discussed, is that right.
A. That's correct, Judge, yes.

17 Q. Thank you. Would you answer any questions please.

MR. JAMES SHERI DAN WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. HARTY, AS FOLOVS:

18 Q. MR. HARTY: Chief superintendent, in relation to these regional meetings, they take place on a monthly basis; is that correct?
A. Yeah, it would be normally on a monthly basis, yes.

19 Q. What do you receive in advance of those meetings?
A. There would be an agenda there. Usually an agenda comes out from the regional office in relation to what the topics could be.

20 Q. Right. From your division, you would feed into that agenda before it comes out, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

21 Q. As a result, the agenda would be broken down into each division?
A. Each chief superintendent would account for his division.

22 Q. Yes. And that would feed into the meeting. So that would be something you would prepare at some period in advance, I take it?
A. That's correct, yes.

23 Q. And you would send that in with the matters that you felt needed to be addressed at a regional level?
A. You would normally send in what -- you may not send all the crime figures, the crime figures would come out from the analyst. Sometimes you would send in stuff in advance, sometimes you wouldn't, it would be given verbally at the meeting.

24 Q. Right.
A. You may hand in a report and whatever that you had in relation to certain matters to the meeting or whatever like that.

25 Q. And is it a situation whereby the Assistant Commissioner would correspond with you as to what issues he wanted you to raise, or he or she, I should say, wanted you to raise?
A. No.
A. No.

27 Q. No. It's something that each head of division would indicate the matters that they were going to deal with,
is that by email or 1etter?
A. Well, it would usually be done at the meeting. You would go through the different crime investigations, the status of investigations, any other matters that were there, the budget, whatever, you give an account

So, if you were dealing with a GSOC case, for example, and you wanted it on the agenda, you would indicate that to the Assistant Commissioner's office in advance?
A. No, not necessarily in advance, it would come under any business, or whatever, if you wanted to raise any other matters when you were there, that was the forum to do it.
Q. Okay. Now, I understand from your statement that you have no recollection, but you had obviously dealings
with Garda Harrison previous to this?
A. That's correct, yes.

31
A. I had left the division a year before that. As I said in my previous evidence, I never sought out Garda Harrison at any time. Any dealings I had with Garda Harrison arose out of matters that had occurred, not by me looking at him, looking for him. I had no interest in Garda Harrison. I was in Louth division for 30 years. There was presentations in respect of Louth division at that meeting. I was in Sligo Leitrim division for two and a half years, there were issues in relation to that division. They do not concern me. It would be inappropriate for me, totally, to involve myself in those.

So, can you explain to me what the purpose was or why there would be a purpose in raising an individual case at a general meeting between heads of divisions and assistant commissioners?
A. Well, I don't know, I didn't raise it. And, as I've said, you know, there would be matters that would be raised at the meeting by a Chief when he'd be giving his presentation in relation to his division, or at the end of it, under any other business or any of those
type of matters.
34 Q. But it would be fair to say that from your perspective what goes on in another division wouldn't pique your interest, wouldn't grab your attention?
A. It was not my concern.
A.
Q. Thank you very much.

## MR. JAMES SHERI DAN WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. O H GG NS,

 AS FOLLOVS:37 Q. MR. OHGGN: Just one matter arising, if I may, Chief Superintendent. Do you have the unredacted minute there in front of you of the meeting?
A. I don't, Judge, no.

A11 right. I wonder might that be provided, I wonder, to the witness, if possible.
MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, I wasn't provided with a copy of the unredacted minute.

MR. OHGGNS: Yes.
MR. HARTY: I certainly wouldn't be happy with the document being given -- an unredacted form being given to a witness without me being given it first.
MR. OHGGNS: I appreciate that.
MR. MARRI NAN The document has been redacted for a reason, sir. There is reference in Chief

Superintendent Tony Howard's affidavit that he has provided to the Tribunal a reference to disciplinary matters in relation to some other Gardaí, that's contained in the document, that may be what Mr. O'Higgins is referring to.
MR. OHGGNS: Yes, and there's reference, Chairman, to other individual cases in the particular minutes. I think the point won't be lost on you, Chairman, you'11 be in a position to assess the minutes themselves in their entirety.
CHA RMAN Not really, Mr. O'higgins. I mean I actually skipped over everything except the reference to Garda Harrison. I didn't read any of the rest, I didn't think it was any of my business, to be perfectly honest.

MR. OHGG NS: Yes, I understand. The only relevance I was going to seek to elicit from the document is that there appears to be reference to other individual cases within the minutes, insofar as it being suggested that reference to Garda Harrison was somehow --
CHA RMAN The minutes go on for about six pages, isn't that right?
MR. OHGGN: That's right.
CHA RMAN Yes. And there's loads of stuff about, I suppose, what you might call ordinary, routine management things that come up.
MR. OHGGNS: There are.
CHA RMAN So, this is one and I think it occupies all of one line and a half.

MR. O H GG NS: In that eventuality, Chairman, I don't need to ask the witness any questions.
39 Q. CHA RMAN Yes. I presume the meeting lasted for what, two or three hours.
A. It would usually last two and a half to three hours, yes, Judge.

40 Q. CHA RMAN And you were talking about lots of things.
A. Mm-hmm.

CHA RMAN But this particular thing you just don't recall. If Mr. O'Higgins was to ask you about another individual case, unless you were personally dealing with it, would you remember it.
A. I wouldn't recall it, Judge, no.

CHA RMAN was that all you wanted to bring out?
MR. O H GG NS: Yes.
CHA RMAN A11 right. Thank you.
MR. MARRI NAN Thank you.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MARRI NAN Sir, there was another attendee at the meeting, Mr. Edward McGoey, who is a crime analyst, and you, sir, expressed some interest in relation to whether or not he had something to contribute. His statement is to be found at page 2571 and the relevant portion of it is that he has no recollection of Garda Keith Harrison being mentioned at the meeting and no contemporaneous notes of the meeting and since he had no independent recollection of the meeting at all, we
didn't see it necessary to bring him.
MS. LEADER: The next witness, sir, is Superintendent Eugene McGovern and his statement is at page 2572 of volume 8 of the materials.

## SUPERI NTENDENT EUGENE MEGOVERN, HAV NG BEEN SVDRN, WAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MS. LEADER AS FOLLOVB:

42 Q.
MS. LEADER: Superintendent McGovern, thank you for coming back. I think in your statement, which you prepared in relation to this topic, you became aware that Mr. Gerry Hone of the HSE was going to attend a meeting on 29th November 2013 with Gardaí?
A. That would be correct, Chairman.

43 Q. Yes. I think that meeting was a Donegal divisional management meeting, is that correct?
A. That would be correct.

44 Q. Superintendent McGovern, if you could just explain to me what a divisional management meeting is and what topics are generally discussed at such meetings?
A. The purposes of the divisional management meeting is basically to review all aspects of policing for all the districts and after that then other matters in the day under any other business may well be discussed or if anyone wants something put in the agenda in relation to a particular aspect of the district, then that would be put on the agenda and it's discussed after the fact.

45 Q. I think you've set out in your statement that you became aware of the possible attendance of Mr . Hone at
that meeting on the 29th November, on 19th November 2013?
A. Yes. Originally we were put on notice that Mr. Hone may well be in attendance at that particular meeting but it hadn't been confirmed at that particular date.
46 Q. Okay. You exhibit to your statement an email that you got from Mr. John mulroe, is that correct?
A. That would be correct.

47 Q. That's at page 2579 of the materials, which will come up in front of you. Mr. Mulroe was informing you that there was to be a divisional management accountability meeting taking place at Letterkenny Garda station at 11:00am on Friday, 29th November 2013. And the email went on to outline the agenda in relation to the meeting. And at the very bottom of that email, it's set out that:
"There may al so be a presentation by Mr. Gerry Hone regarding HSE referrals. I'mawaiting confirmation of his availability to attend and you will be advised in due course."
A. That would be correct, yes.

48 Q. Did you speak to Gerry hone prior to the meeting on foot of that email in relation to his presence at the meeting?
A. No, I didn't speak to Mr. Hone.

49 Q. Okay. Then, on 26th November 2013, you received an email from Chief Superintendent MCGinn, indicating to
you that the divisional accountability meeting would commence at 10:00am and that a seminar would take place with Mr. Gerry hone of the HSE?
A. Yes, that's correct.

50 Q. That email appears at page 2583 of the materials, which 10:23 should just come up in front of you. Chief Superintendent McGinn sends you; Inspector Harrison, Michae1 Harrison; Superintendent Archbold; Superintendent Finan, an email in relation to the divisional accountability meeting on 26th November 2013, and she informs you that the:
"Meeting with followed with seminar by Mr. Gerry hone HSE. . ."

And thereafter there would be a presentation made by one of the other superintendents in the Garda Síochána; is that correct?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

51 Q. Now, you attended at that meeting; is that correct?
A. I attended the meeting, Chairman, yes.
were prepared by one of the other attendees, $I$ think it was one of the sergeants is that correct?
A. Yes, Sergeant Peter Duffy, the Divisional Clerk, prepared the minutes of the meeting, Chairman.

53 Q. They appear at page 2592 of the materials, just at the very bottom of the page $I$ think. It's under number 10 "any other busi ness", it says:
"HSE referral s: Gerry Hone fromthe HSE gave a presentation at the management meeting in Letterkenny recently. There is insufficient --"

I think that may be a briefing document that you prepared afterwards, is that right?
A. Yes. I think what has been put in, Mr. Chairman, is not in fact the minutes of the actual divisional meeting. They are actually my own meetings from a follow up meeting from the divisional conference, yes.
54 Q. Sorry, superintendent?
A. Yeah.

55 Q. I think it might be page 2587. You will see the attendees at the meeting on the 29th November are Chief 10:25 Superintendent MCGinn; yourself; Superintendent Finan; Superintendent Archbold; Inspector Harrison; and sergeant Duffy, is that correct?
A. That would be correct, Chairman.
Q. Sergeant Duffy prepared the minutes, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
A. I would have prepared minutes myself in that there, but I no longer have them, Chairman.
58 Q. When you say you no longer have them, could you explain 10:26 to the Tribunal what enquiries you carried out or what searches you carried out in order to ascertain whether or not you still have those minutes?
A. I searched all available files and documents that I
have, Chairman, in relation to it, and I'm not able to locate the actual minutes of that particular meeting.
59 Q. You referred to your search in your statement as a comprehensive search, is that correct?
A. That would be correct. I do have the actual folder from the divisional management meeting available but the minutes are not in it. But I would be happy that I had the meeting because the briefing document I would have done on the 6th December reflect that I would have briefed people in my own district off notes that I would have taken at the actual divisional meeting.
60 Q. So, if we go through the minutes as prepared by Sergeant Duffy. He sets out as follows:
"Big increase in Garda notification/referrals to HSE. HSE sought Pulse printouts with referrals, but there are data protection.

29 referrals this year which required clarification. Information on the referral can be scant. Not many referrals in rel ation to el der abuse, but should be referred to Fi ona MEManus.

Li ai son bet ween HSE and Garda Ii ai sons is very good on the ground. New systens starting in 2014 in HSE where intakes will be centralised. MH new FOls will have maj or i mpact in all that data, incl udi ng sof t information can be di scl osed. "

MH, do you think that's a reference to Inspector Harrison4?
A. That would be correct, Chairman, yes.

61 Q.
"Case conf er ence now to be hel d for each referral. If there is enough information on the referral allows for more informed HSE deci sion. MF suggested that the referral formcould be i mproved so that more inf ormation would be incl uded in the referral. Where a referral is recei ved, the parents must be informed of the exi stence of the referral. JH, still a ni ne to five service."

Do you know what the reference to JH is there?
A. GH, I would say that was GH, Gerry Hone possibly, yes.
A. Yes.

63 Q. Thank you.
"...still a ni ne to five service. Costings have been
sought for the provisi ons of out of hours service, but it is still in the ether."
A. That would be correct, yes.

64 Q. Now, from your recollection, is that an accurate 10:28 account of what Mr. Hone spoke about at the meeting and what was spoken about with him?
A. Yes, to my recollection, chairman, that would be a reasonable recollection of what occurred at the
meeting.

65
Q. Okay. Did Mr. Hone attend for the whole of the meeting or did he attend for some of the meeting only?
A. No, he only attended for a very short period of time, in relation to his own aspect of it. As the minutes reflect, there were other speakers, there was other business discussed and he just took a very small part in the actual divisional management meeting.
A. No, Chairman, no individual case was discussed, and wouldn't be at this particular type of a meeting, it wouldn't have been prudent to discuss any particular individual case.

69 Q. Okay. Now, following on that meeting, you had a series of meetings where you referred to in your statement, superintendent, where what Mr. Hone had discussed during the meeting on the 29th November was further
discussed and shared with other people in your district, is that correct?
A. That would be correct, Chairman.

70 Q. Okay. Now, I think the first of those meetings was on 6th December 2013, and that was a district management meeting at Buncrana Garda station?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

I think that you refer to your journal entry in relation to that meeting, that such a meeting had taken place and who was present at it, is that correct?
A. That's correct, Mr. Chairman, I have an entry for 6th December 2013 for a management meeting at 10:30am.

72 Q. That's at page 2589 of the materials.
A. That's correct, Mr. Chairman. That's the entry I made.

73 Q. Yes.
CHA RNAN what does it say actually?
A. It says:
"Management meeting present. Gardaí Sweeney, Ward, Lanb, Conroy and Detective Sergeant Egan".

74 Q. MS. LEADER: Now, I think there is a briefing document from that accountability meeting created and the relevant part of that is what I referred to already in error, at page 2592 of the materials. It's at the end of the page.
> "HSE referral s. Gerry Hone fromthe HSE gave a presentation at the management meeting in Letterkenny
recently. There is insufficient information being supplied by members on the notification forms. There is no reason why a précis cannot be gi ven. No headed paper reports to be gi ven. The HSE asked for Pul se rel ated inci dents but these are not to be supplied."

Now, what was the purpose of sharing that with the meeting? It may be obvious, but just explain it to us.
A. They were basically the issues that were raised by Mr. Hone in relation to streamlining and improving the efficiency of the actual HSE referrals to Tusla. I brought it to the attention of people who attended at the management meeting. Those management meetings also would be typed up and circulated to everybody within the district. That subsequently took place on 10th December 2013. As I said, it was just recording any other business, there would have been a substantial agenda, there was nine other items on the actual agenda that particular day, it was just one aspect of the actual meeting.
75 Q. CHAN RMAN Sorry for interrupting, Ms. Leader. Was this the thing that was discussed at some length; what information should be on the form, should there be access to statements, etcetera, etcetera, was it that kind of area?
A. It was. It was basically in relation to the shortfalls that had been identified to us and the remedial action that they thought would have been appropriate to actually appropriate the efficiency of the actual --

76 Q. CHA RMAN But did it focus on any particular case?
A. No. No, no, this was just -- this was general in relation to HSE referrals across the Buncrana Garda district at that particular time.

CHA RMAN Yes.
A. There is another follow up document that will also reflect on a similar type instruction that went out to everybody in the actual district.
MS. LEADER: okay. That document also appears as an appendix to your statement, where Detective Sergeant Egan sends a circular to the superintendent at Buncrana in relation to the notifications, is that correct? That appears at page 2596 of the materials.
A. Yes, that's correct, Mr. Chairman. Detective Sergeant Egan would have been the Buncrana District Liaison Manager with the HSE and Tusla at that particular time, he still actually is.
79 Q. He sets out in a letter, which is date stamped 9th January 2014:
"Re: Notifications to Child and Fanmily Agency, formerly HSE notifications".

Sets out:
"W th reference to the above subject, as of 1st January 2014 all referral forms in rel ation to child protection concerns should be addressed to Mr. Gerry Hone."

And it sets out his address there. And then it sets out that:
"The Child and Family Agency is a separate body and no I onger an armof the HSE. "

There is some reference to the premises that they're using at that time. And then, Sergeant Egan says:
"At the start of the new year I would propose that a common approach formbe adopted in regard to the compl etion of referral forms and follow up on same."

He says he has:
" -- noted there are five common issues that are causing conf usi on to members compl eting same."

The first of those issues is reference number. The second of the issues is the designated officer and the third of the issues, which is at page 2597 of the materials:
"The section for additional inf ormation which is the basis on which the referral is made needs more detail in some form. Thi s may require the member attaching a report on the back. I amin possessi on of a template versi on of the formand this can be typed on."

Is that correct?
A. That's correct, Mr. Chairman.

Is that a reference to the material which should be contained in a referral form and, if necessary, a further précis to be attached to the actual referral form?
A. Yes. It's reflecting on the existing form that was presently being used and a few issues that were raising concern with Tusla in relation to information provided and also in relation to the management of forms at local 1eve1. I suppose Detective Sergeant Egan was just identifying issues that he felt if they were corrected would improve the actual efficiency of the actual referrals, and I suppose he's reflecting on the fact on the day that there was a new centralised intake service coming into place in relation to Tusla.

81 Q. Okay.
A. That was basically what the purpose of the actual document was. As a consequence, I would have circulated that document to everybody within the district, but Detective Sergeant Egan also provided a template that could be actually typed upon, a document that could be completed and forwarded on-1ine, so that document was actually forwarded to everybody within the district as well.

82 Q. Yes, okay. Before I just go to your document circulating this letter from Detective Sergeant Egan, it then provided that the designated officer, who was the superintendent, sign all referrals and that
referrals shouldn't be directly referred to Tusla, that it should be referred to the district officer. Then, at the very last paragraph, it sets out:
"The maj ority of referrals rarely go to case
conferences and are closed at an early stage. This method of recording will provide a uniformity of action in tracking and assisting with children with moltiple referrals."

Is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

83 Q. Now, I think you in turn sent that out to each Sergeant in Charge in the Buncrana district, the Detective sergeant in Charge of the Buncrana district and the Traffic Sergeant, and that was sent out to those people on 21st January 2014?
A. That's correct, yes.

84 Q. That is at page 2599 of the materials. You set out:
"With reference to the above, the attached report submitted by D/Sergeant Egan is forwarded for your attention please. You should brief all personnel in respect of the nost common issues as identified and ensure that they have been addressed prior to the submission of any referral for onward transmission to Mr. Hone. An email version of the designated form whi ch can be typed on is bei ng made available to all stations. In the main, it is essential that a short
préci s of the facts of each case are provided to the HSE to assist with thei r eval uations."

Is that correct?
A. That's correct.

Did you see it as the most important thing coming out of the meeting and the circular prepared by Sergeant Egan that more information be contained in referrals?
A. It certainly was one of the issues on the day that was causing concern for Tusla in relation to the referrals and as had been discussed on the 29th November with Mr. Hone.
Q. When I say did you see it, you say:
"In the main it is essential that a short précis of the 10:39 facts of each case are provi ded to the HSE".
A. Yeah. It was deemed important on the day that a précis of the facts be provided.
87 Q. Okay. I think Sergeant Egan's report was also emailed to al1 the members in the Buncrana Garda district on 22nd January 2014. That email appears at page 2601 of the materials.
A. That's correct, yes.

88 Q. If you identify that.
A. The scanned form that could be typed on was subsequently forwarded to everybody within the district.

89 Q. okay. I think attached to that scanned form was a
standard notification form, that standard notification form appears at page 2605 of the materials.
CHA RMN Nobody actually, I suppose, wrote out, if you like, a template or anything like that, to say, look, this is the kind of information that they need? MS. LEADER: It doesn't appear so. Maybe the superintendent --
CHA RNAN what I am kind of thinking about is like a mode1 answer type of thing.
A. No. The form that is there is the designated form under the Children First regulations. It is somewhat self explanatory but unfortunately sometimes you don't always get the form completed to the standard that you would require, so sometimes it requires to be explained, Mr. Chairman.
90 Q. M. LEADER: Now, I think you held a further management meeting on 17th February 2014, is that correct, superintendent?
A. That's correct, Mr. Chairman.

91 Q. I think there is an entry in your officers journal to ${ }^{0: 41}$ that effect at page 2607 of the materials, which should come up in front of you. It's just at the very end of the page. It would appear that there was a management meeting at $2: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ on that day, and Inspector Murphy, Sergeant Breslin -- maybe if you could read your writing?
A. Sorry, yes. Inspector Murphy, Sergeant Dev7in, Sergeant Conroy, Garda Coyne and Garda McDaid.
92 Q. I think during the course of that meeting, you made a
reference to HSE referrals and the requirement to provide a précis of the subject matter of the referral, is that correct?
A. That would be correct, Mr. Chairman.

93 Q. You have exhibited to your statement the weekly performance accountability meeting at page 2609. Is that a plan of the meeting or a note of the meeting, superintendent?
A. Again, it's just one small aspect of what was discussed at the actual district management meeting. Again, there would be a fixed agenda on that particular day. Again, there would be ten items on the agenda and I suppose the matter in relation to HSE referrals was a side issue to the actual other ten issues that were discussed. There would be standard issues that would be discussed at every management meeting.

94 Q. So, at page 2609 of the materials, it's noted that:
"HSE referrals: A précis of evi dence requi red in al l cases and not on headed paper. All HSE referrals are to be accompani ed by a précis."

And there's also notes of that meeting prepared by Garda Conroy, at page 2611.
A. That's correct, Mr. Chairman.

95 Q. Yes. It mirrors what's in the typed notes, is that correct?
A. It does, indeed, Mr. Chairman, yes.

96 Q. CHA RMAN would it be fair to regard this as entirely
administrative stuff as opposed to anything to do with any particular case?
A. Oh, it would be administrative, Mr. Chairman. The situation sometimes arises that people don't always comply with whatever instructions may well issue. Some 10:43 people don't always get it right. So, this was an opportunity to just remind them of the requirements on the day, to include the précis, that it shouldn't be on headed paper. It's just a reminder. Management meetings are such that we sometimes continuously repeat 10:44 things or bring things to people's attention to make sure that we get them right, Mr. Chairman.

MS. LEADER: In any of the meetings after the meeting you had where Gerry Hone was present, were the Simms referrals discussed?
A. They wouldn't have been, Mr. Chairman, at these particular meetings, this was now the Buncrana district and it would have been totally irrelevant to that particular district. Anyway, individual cases of that nature wouldn't be us asked at the management meetings anyway.

98 Q. Just for the sake of completeness, superintendent, were they referred to as an example of a referral which contained insufficient information in any way?
A. No, Mr. Chairman, No.
okay.
CHA RMAN I mean, I'm taking from that, that what I have heard in relation to this, was a kind of a more widespread thing and you were constantly getting
letters from the HSE saying, wel1, you haven't given us enough information so we're closing the file, in which case you gave the information. So now you're setting up a system whereby you're saying, we will give you the information at the start and maybe it's necessary for you to look at a file, but we are giving you a lot more than we gave you before. Was that the whole object of this?
A. Yeah, probably wouldn't be getting letters from Tusla or the HSE on ongoing basis but or liaison managers would have constant contact with the social workers on the ground and the actual intake officers and as a result they would be bringing these particular issues to their attention and the District Liaison manager would be bringing them back to my attention, so we were 10:45 trying to streamline them at district level.

100 Q. CHA RMAN So that basically you wouldn't be having all this bother. But I mean apart from getting the job done properly, I mean it's annoying to have to deal with correspondence of that kind.
A. Well, as I say, we wouldn't really be getting significant correspondence in relation to them, they would be matters that would come up at strategy meetings.
101 Q. CHAI RMAN Yes.
A. It would be brought to the attention of the actual district liaison sergeant on this particular case that there was insufficient information for them to make a decision.

CHAN RMAN okay, yes.
Q.

M5. LEADER: Now, I think you refer to another note which you discovered when you were reviewing matters in relation to a management meeting on 10th October 2015, which deals with threats made to Garda Harrison, is that correct?
A. That would be correct, Mr. Chairman.

MS. LEADER: If would you answer any questions anybody else might have for you?
A. Thank you.

## SUPERI NTENDENT EUGENE MEGOVERN WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY

MR. HARTY, AS FOLLOVB:

103 Q. MR. HARTY: Superintendent, firstly, just in relation to the matters that you brought before the Tribunal and you completed your statement and looked through your notes. In relation to the preparation of your statement and in relation to the documents search, how did that come about? who requested that you do a search for relevant material and what did they ask for?
A. At what --

I am talking about your initial statement rather than the later search.
A. My initial statement? Searches to be conducted in
relation to anything that would relate in any way to Keith Harrison or Marisa Simms, a word check or word search on those particular names. I suppose the minutes that subsequently came up in milford and that there had no names mentioned in that particular minute, so unfortunately they didn't come up as a result of that particular search.
Q. You wouldn't have been doing the search in milford in any event, you weren't in milford district at the time?
A. No, but I would have searched my own data and my own emails etcetera in relation to it. But, no, I didn't do the actual specific search in the milford Garda district, no.
Q. Yeah.
A. There was a specific search done at the time in the milford Garda district, I'm aware, in relation to the names Harrison and Simms and disclosures were made in relation to that particular material.
Q. Well, in fact, the affidavit of Mr. Howard, the Garda liaison, says that the shared drive in use at the time,
namely Letterkenny, Ballyshannon and Buncrana have also been searched for relevant material, but milford in fact wasn't searched?
A. I can't answer that question, Mr. Chairman. I know as a result of today's appearance, yes, there was a search 10:48 carried out of those particular drives for any additional data --
Q. Yes, I appreciate that a search was done in relation to divisional. Now, what you refer to as a weekly performance accountability meeting, is that a PALF meeting?
A. Yes. It's what is called PALF now. It wouldn't have been PALF at that time but there were divisional accountability meetings and that there. During my time in the milford district, and I still do it, I hold two per week.

111 Q. Two per week?
A. Yes.

112 Q. okay?
A. One on a Monday and one on a Friday, subject to availability. Sometimes it's not always possible but I generally hold two per week.
Q. In relation to those meetings, can you tell me, how long do these meetings ordinarily take?
A. With the new PALF system they take hours, yes. At that 10:49 particular time probably maybe an hour and a half to two hours.

114 Q. Okay. What takes place at those meetings?
A. Basically I have designated headings and matters
relating to whatever district you're in are discussed under those particular headings and people that are at the meeting feed into those particular headings or if there's issues or concern in relation to those particular headings, then they're brought to their attention and there's somebody there to record the minutes of the meeting and they are generally circulated to everybody within the district or people who aren't actually there.
115 Q. Can you tel1 me what the headings are?
A. Yeah, I have no difficulties. The ten headings that I would used at that particular time. The first heading: Crime trends and figures; the second one traffic figures and fixed charge penalty system returns; the third one was firearms, progress of renewal process, warrants, number outstanding, attempts to execute etcetera; five was subversive related incidents, intelligence recordings; six was public order related incidents; seven community engagement, community alert, neighbourhood watch, visits to the elderly, etcetera; eight was ethnic and cultural diversity; nine was health and safety issues; and ten was any other business.
116 Q. Sorry, what was eight, I didn't hear you there, sorry?
A. Eight was ethnic and cultural diversity.

117 Q. What about being updated on the progress of investigations and those matters, where does that come into those headings as such?
A. At that particular time, generally progress in relation
to particular investigations, if there was significant investigation it may well be discussed if the relevant person was there, but if they weren't there it wouldn't be discussed, but generally done by way of correspondence, okay. At this moment in time it's done as a result of PALF review and that there, and I do it after the management meeting with the people on the day with relevant investigations. So, people's investigations are not discussed really in the open meetings.
118 Q. I understand. Now, when you were informed that Gerry Hone was to give a presentation to the division, what issues did you want to raise with him?
A. I didn't have any issues to raise with Mr. Hone. If you look at the minutes of the management meeting, there's nothing recorded against me in relation to any issues that I raised with Mr. Hone in relation to what presentation he made or issues on the day that he would have brought to the actual meeting.
119 Q. But would there not have been matters in terms of your own dealings with Mr. Hone that you might have liked to have raised?
A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had any direct contact with Mr. Hone. The process of management of Tus7a and HSE at district level generally is through the district liaison managers, it probably would have been discussed at length earlier on at the Tribunal, and if any issues of concern or any significant issue of concern -- it may well be even that I would find it necessary to
contact Mr. Hone, but I never have found it necessary to contact Mr . Hone on the day to discuss any particular case or issue with him.
But Mr. Hone had contacted you in relation to the Simms referral.
A. We11, Mr. Hone wrote a standard letter to me in relation to the Simms referral and that would be a standard letter that we would receive on a quite an ongoing basis. As I explained, it was a standard letter and --
Can I stop you there? You said that you weren't receiving letters in your evidence when led by the Tribunal, you said you weren't receiving letters rejecting referrals on an ongoing basis?
A. No, that isn't what I said. I said I wasn't receiving letters from Tusla or HSE or Mr. Hone identifying issues of concern that they had in relation to the management of referrals at district level.
122 Q. Well, you had, because you'd received a letter from Mr. Hone saying insufficient information and they were closing the file. So, that's suggesting that the nature of the referral and on the information given that the file would be closed because of the information that was given?
A. I think I've explained previously that that letter that ${ }^{10: 54}$ was written on the 16th october didn't arrive until the 22nd October and there already had been strategy meeting held on the 21st October, so there already had been a strategy meeting held and the information on the
day that was identified, and that is generic document, the information that was required had been previously supplied.
123 Q. Page 769, please.
"I acknow edge of the above notification. However, as there is no evi dence of abuse detailed, no further action will be taken fromthis service."

Now, was that a commonplace letter or an unual letter?
A. No, not an unusual letter. I mean it would be unusual to receive letters of that particular nature. Sometimes on the day that the information, whatever information is supplied on the actual document, they decided on the day to close their file and that certainly on the day would be the case. It wouldn't be unusual. It wouldn't have been unusual.
124 Q. It wouldn't be unusual.
A. No. In relation to every referral that we make to the HSE or Tusla, they acknowledge receipt of the referral in some form or fashion and it's referred back to the district liaison manager, referred back to the investigating guard and any issues that arise in relation to it are managed at that particular level.
125 Q. After your discussion with Sergeant McGowan, she had confirmed to you that they had confirmed that they had closed the case on the matter. That's what you say in your statement.
A. No, I explained that line in my statement. That line referred to what my understanding was at the time that I made my statement, that the matter had been closed, had been finalised.
Q. How did you become aware that the HSE had confirmed to Sergeant McGowan that they had closed their case on the matter?
A. We11, all I can say, Mr. Chairman, is I would have been aware at the time that I made my statement that the matter had been closed.

127 Q. But you were aware that they had confirmed it to Sergeant McGowan?
A. Well --

128 Q. How did you become aware that they had confirmed it to Sergeant McGowan?
A. A11 I can say, Mr. Chairman, is that at some stage Sergeant McGowan would have made me aware that the matter was now finalised.
Q. How could that have happened? You weren't working in the same district as Sergeant McGowan?
A. The fact that I wouldn't have been working in the same district wouldn't mean I wouldn't have had some contact with Sergeant McGowan at some stage in relation to it. I was aware anyway, Mr. Chairman, that's all I can say. 130 Q. Superintendent McGovern, you can't just say that?
A. Well, I have said it, I have said it in my statement and I confirm that is the situation.

131 Q. Sergeant McGowan told you at some stage that the HSE had closed their case on the matter, when did that
happen?
A. I cannot say, Mr. Chairman, I don't have a date for
that.
Q. Why did that happen?
A. Why did which happen?
Q. Why did Sergeant McGowan tell that you the HSE had closed their case on the matter? It was no longer relevant to you, you weren't working the district.
A. I can't really answer that question, Mr. Chairman, it's just I was aware of the fact on the day the matter was closed.
Q. No, you were aware that Sergeant McGowan had been informed by the HSE that they had closed their case on the matter?
A. I was aware at the time that I made my statement that 10:57 the matter was finalised, it was closed.
Q. No. You were aware at the time you made your
statement, superintendent, that the HSE had informed Sergeant McGowan, that's a particular, precise detail.
I want to know when you became aware that the HSE
informed Sergeant McGowan that they had closed their case on the matter?
A. I can't help the Tribunal any further, Mr. Chairman, I don't know when that date actually was.
136 Q. Did you not take a note of it?
A. No, I don't have a specific note of it.

137 Q. Al1 right. The situation is that at some stage you were informed by Sergeant McGowan that the HSE had closed their case on the matter and you don't know when
that was?
A. That's correct, Mr. Chairman.

138 Q. But you do recal1 it happening. Do you know whether it was by way of phone conversation?
A. I can't add any more specifics to it, Mr. Chairman. Do you know whether it took place at a face-to-face meeting?
A. I can't, I can't say, Mr. Chairman.
Q. Do you recal1 what she said?
A. No, Mr. Chairman.

141 Q. Do you recall whether she said that it was closed because there was no evidence of abuse?
A. No, Mr. Chairman.

142 Q. Do you recall whether she said it was closed because it was never a child protection matter in the first place?
A. No, Mr. Chairman.

143 Q. You see, I have to put it to you what comes from your statement and from the order of your statement, is that on 24th October 2013, as far as you're aware the matter had been closed?
A. No. As far as I was aware on the 24th October a strategy meet had gone been held with Sergeant McGowan and the HSE in relation to the matter and the matter was not closed at that particular time.
144 Q. But you already knew that on the 24th October, so why did you write a letter at page 768 saying:
"Li ai se with Bridgeen Smith rel evant to this referral and certify the following di scussion on the matter with
full di scl osure of the facts to the HSE that no further HSE intervention will now take place."

If you already knew that they had a strategy meeting on the 21st, why did you write the letter on the 24th?
A. That was forwarding all the correspondence that I received to Sergeant McGowan to confirm in relation to what the up-to-date situation was on the actual case.
Q. You left Milford the next day, isn't that correct?
A. I did, indeed, yes.

146 Q. You then attended divisional accountability meetings as we11, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, if I was available $I$ attended all the divisional accountability meetings, yes.
147 Q. And in divisional accountability meetings, I take it, 11:00 disciplinary matters would be dealt with?
A. Not in general terms, Mr. Chairman, no. It wasn't a forum for discussing disciplinary matters.
148 Q. Serious investigations?
A. Yes, serious investigations.

149 Q. Death threats would come within the realm of serious investigations?
A. They certainly would, yes.

We have, as yet, seen no divisional accountability meetings. They take place on a weekly basis, is that correct?
A. No, they take place approximately on a monthly basis.

151 Q. In relation to those meetings, at what stage was it discussed at those meetings that there was difficulties
with HSE referrals?
A. I don't recall it actually being discussed at a previous divisional accountability meeting. Mr. Hone was invited by Chief Superintendent McGinn to the management meeting of the 29th November or he was -whatever interaction took place between both agencies, Mr. Hone -- we were notified that Mr. Hone was going to be in attendance at that particular meeting, and he did attend and he did speak to us in relation to the whole aspect of HSE referrals and issues of concerns that he did have, or new developments were taking place as well in relation to the change over from HSE to Tusla. If you just excuse me one moment, $I$ just received another document from Chief Superintendent McGinn, and $I$ don't want to be unfair to you by suggesting that things -- in fact, sir, I would ask the Tribunal to rise for five minutes, $I$ need to look at this?

CHA RMAK Yes. Maybe, Ms. Leader, you are probably familiar with it. It looks bulky, so, before I rise would you just give us a -- I'm sorry to interrupt you there, Superintendent McGovern, but just give us a guide to it, if you wouldn't mind, please.
MS. LEADER: It's Superintendent McGinn's statement dealing with the regional management meeting at Dundalk on 5th November 2013, and Chief Superintendent Sheridan has already given evidence in relation to that meeting this morning.
CHA RMAN There seems to be a whole load of stuff attached. I mean, it looks very bulky. So, the first

11:01
thing there, just to try and ease my own mind on it, is -- well, it's about ten or fifteen pages, the notes of the famous meeting or becoming famous, at least in this room, of the 5th November.
ME. LEADER: Yes. It's actually heavily redacted, sir. 11:03 It looks bulky, but --
CHAN RMAN Is this the minutes of the 5th November?
MG. LEADER: Yes.
CHAN RMAN It's longer than I thought. And then is this the death threats thing on page 2796?
MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, this appears to be a divisional meeting rather than a regional meeting.
CHA RMAN No, I'm just trying to sort it out myself so that I know what it is.
ME. LEADER: I think the first documents --
CHAl RNAN Sorry, superintendent, if you are happy, stay there, but sit down if you want.
A. No, there's no problem.
M. LEADER: The first documents are briefing documents in preparation for the meeting on the 5 th November.
CHA RMAN what date do they date from, I wonder?
ME. LEADER: Actually, it doesn't appear to be dated, sir.

CHA RMAN Yes. So, this is the lead up to the meeting, is it?
Mb. LEADER: Yes.
CHA RMAN when does that send, I wonder, Ms. Leader?
I am just looking, trying to find it as well.
"The following gave evi dence for the prosecution in addition to the tho female injured parties."

There's five, that's page 2794, one of them is Garda Keith Harrison. That's maybe a rape case or something? I certainly don't want to go into that. And then 2796. MS. LEADER: It's re Garda Harrison. CHA RMAN Yes. Then there's the death threat and just the response to the threat. There's the reference then to Martin McDermott and a very unpleasant name, aggressive name that he called Garda Harrison. That's 5th November 2013.
M. LEADER: Yes.

CHA RMAN Now, that seems to be the briefing document, yes. Anything that she had to say would be that. Then 11:05 the last letter is Arthur cox letter about searches. MG. LEADER: Yes. That's in relation to Mr. Hone's evidence, who is to give evidence this morning. CHA RMAN Maybe, Ms. Leader, you would be so kind, before I break for the moment, just to read the new statement of Chief Superintendent McGinn into the record.
M. LEADER: Yes. It's at page 2769 of the materials, which has just been circulated.
"This statement is made for the purpose of providing rel evant information to the Disclosure Tri bunal and matters rai sed by the Chai rman, Mr. Justice Charleton, on Day 36 regarding my attendance at a regi onal
management meeting at Dundal k on 5th November 2013.

Regi onal management meetings are hel d on a monthly basis in the northern region. They are chai red by Assi stant Commi ssi oner Norther n Regi on and the attendees usually incl ude the four di visional officers in the northern regi on. The regi onal detective superintendent, the regi onal traffic superintendent, a nember of the Garda anal ysis service and a note taker.

The meetings are strategic in nature, where serious inci dents of regi onal si gni ficance are discussed, usually incl uding crime, budgets, policing plan targets and pol icy. One area that is invariably di scussed is threats agai nst Gar da nembers.

In preparation for the meeting on 5th Novenber 2013, a bri efing document outlining updates on page inci dents in the Donegal di vision was prepared and was furni shed to the meeting.

The briefing note was prepared on my instruction at the di vi si onal office in Letterkenny. The document was enmiled by Garda Séan Mulroe, Di visi onal Officer, Letterkenny, to the regi onal office in Sligo on 4 th Novenber 2013, at 16: 30: 41 . Thi s versi on of the docurent was used for the purpose of advi sing the Assistant Commissioner on matters that l would be briefing hi mon during the meeting on 5/11/2013.

Of the attendees, onl y Assi stant Commi ssi oner Kenny was provi ded with this briefing note in advance.

Garda Harrison is mentioned at pages 25-27 of the document. He is al so mentioned in passing on page 23 of the document, as he was a witness in a prosecution agai nst a serving Garda menber."

Who is named
CHA RMAN So, I was wrong about that. It wasn't a sexual offence case at a11 and I am sorry if anyone got that impression. It's in addition to the two females or whatever. All right.
MG. LEADER:
"I note frompage 2 of the mintes of the meeting that the Regi onal Crime Anal ysts Mr. Eddie MEGoey mentions crimes agai nst a person, four incidents of murder threats, one of whi ch was to a Garda in Donegal.

My memory of the meeting is that it was a long meeting. As is normal practice, during one portion of the meeting I provided an update on some of the maj or incidents in the Donegal di vision as outlined in the min nutes.

Towards the end of my briefing l briefly gave an overvi ew of a compl ai nt that had been made agai nst

Garda Harrison and the threats that had been made agai nst him

To the best of my recollection there was no di scussion on the matter as the notes in the briefing document were comprehensi ve and reflected the up-to-date si tuat i on.

As I recall, I did not expand on the bri efing document, whi ch was not opened at the meeting and that after my overvi ew the assi stant commi ssi oner i ndi cated that matters were noted and di rected that we move on.

At the time l was of the view that GSOC were i nvesti gating the matter following the Section 102 ref erral.

The issue of di visional PALF meetings arose during my cross-examination on Day 34 of the Tribunal. At the time of preparing the di visional di sclosure,
documentation in rel ation to the di vi si onal and regi onal PALF/management meetings were not di scovered as I was of the view that they were not rel evant to the terms of reference. However, rel evant records have now been di scovered.

Garda Harrison is al so mentioned in two ot her briefing documents; March 2015 for regi onal meeting dated 6th March 2015, and April 2015 for regi onal meeting dated

6th April 2015, whi ch rel ate to the 2015 threat and are deal $t$ with in section 10 of the Tribunal documents, page 1451 onwards."

CHA RMAK We have that already, don't we?
ME. LEADER: Yes. I think that has been circulated yes.
CHA RMAN Then the actual reference then to Garda Keith Harrison is on page 2796; is that correct?
Mb. LEADER: Yes.
CHA RMAN Maybe would you be so kind as to go through that as well, Ms. Leader, just before we rise for a minute, just to see is there anything new in it.
MS. LEADER: Yes.
CHA RMAN Again, if you wouldn't mind reading it into the record because we're getting bits and pieces.

MS. LEADER: okay.
MR. HARTY: Sir, I'm not sure that it's essential to go into the full detail in relation to this. In fact, I am quite happy to proceed with Superintendent McGovern in circumstances where this document appears to relate to the later regional meeting rather than the divisional meetings, and as such there should be no difficulty with me --
CHA RMAN I think this was treated as a serious matter, $I$ think we have to have it in the record in the event that any issue arises as to whether there has been --

MR. HARTY: I understand Chief Superintendent McGinn
will be giving evidence.
CHA RMAN Oh, I know, but it's a matter that I think it's better to do it this way. I don't want to say any more than that. So, it's 2796, is it, Ms. Leader, would you mind just reading that into the record.
MG. LEADER: Yes. It's:
"Re Garda Keith Harrison.

The above named menber, stationed in Donegal Town, was
found in breach of di sci pline on 24th October 2013 by deci ding officer Superintendent Archbold following an i nvestigation into his convi ction for road traffic offences, insurance and tax, at Manorhamilton District Court on 8th May 2013.

A temporary reduction in pay was i mposed."

CHA RMAN This is the famous incident outside Donegal Garda station, where the incorrect insurance disk was -- but it's not a different case, it's the same case.

Mb. LEADER: Yes.
CHA RMAN It took a while to get through the court system.
"Garda Harrison is currently the subject of a Section 102 referal following a written compl ai nt made by his
partner Marisa Si mms on 6th October 2013, outlining a series of alleged verbal and physical abuses, assaults, threats to harmkill and harassment on various dates throughout their rel ationshi p. Ms. Si mms is the brother of Martin McDermott, convi cted of the mansl aughter of Gary McLoughl in RI P.

On 4th October 2013, at 6: 50pm a mal e caller rang Letterkenny Garda Station via the 999 Iine and reported that he overheard a conversation in a public house in
Strabane, Co. Tyrone, in whi ch four men di scussed causing seri ous harm death to Garda Keith Harrison. The caller i mplied that suspect 2 from Strabane, was the person who would carry out the attack as Garda Harrison was hassling suspect 2 all week. The caller i mplied that the threat was to be carried out on that date. No name was given and the SIM removed from caller's phone. I nvestigations reveal ed the call came fromthe Lifford area.

On 5th October 2013, at 3: 34pm the same mal e rang back via 999 line and implied that the action would be carried out on 5th October 2013, and not the previ ous day, as he asserted in his first call. The caller el aborated on his origi nal information and said that suspect 2 is a rel ation of Martin McDer mott.
I nvesti gati ons reveal ed that Garda Harrison had informed prison authorities that he bel ieved MEDermott had access to a mobile phone in his cell, resulting in

MKDer nott's rel ease date bei ng pushed back.

Garda Harrison was on annual leave and not at his hore in Churchill on 4th October 2013 and attempts were made to communi cate with hi mby tel ephone. He was event ually cont acted by phone on 4th October 2013 and indi cated that he was then in Dubl in and on his way to Gal way and di d not intend to travel home to his resi dence in Churchill, County Donegal. He cont acted Let ter kenny Garda Station at 3: 00am on 5th Oct ober 2013, indi cating that he had ret urned home to Churchill.

Patrols were carried out in the vi ci nity of the home on that date. He was served with a copy of GIM1 at 12: 20pm on 5th October 2013. Hi s partner and her children were not present in the property on these dates and were schedul ed to attend her sister's wedding on 5th October 2013, an event for whi ch Keith Harrison was not invited."

CHA RMAN Yes. It seems that the first call on the 4th October came during the currency of the wedding or celebrations around the wedding and the date in relation to the wedding being on the 5th October, the date of the second call is incorrect. I don't think anybody disputes that, Ms. Leader, do they?

MS. LEADER:
"Following the second threat, Garda Harrison was notified and made a statement to Garda Ser geant MEGroary at MIford station. In it he referred to the contact with Martin McDermot, indi cating that his partner's mother had won money on Winning Streak earlier in the year and was in his words hassling his partner and mother rel ative to what his share would be. At that time he indi cated that he and his partner had lost an unborn child and that he was annoyed at this contact. As a result he contacted the Portlaoi se Garda 11:16 Station and the prison and informed themthat he bel ieved MEDer mott had access to a mobile phone in his cell, resulting in MCDer mott's rel ease date being pushed back to April 2015. He indi cated that his partner's mother had recei ved a letter from McDermott 11:16 referring to Harrison as a "pig bastard". Garda Harrison al so stated that he was aware of a regul ar visitor to MEDermott in the prison, a female...."

And it gives details of where she is meant to be from.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "The threat was rated as substantial and Garda Harrison } \\
& \text { was advi sed to exercise caution in rel ation to his } \\
& \text { personal saf ety. Security patrols and rolling } \\
& \text { checkpoi nts were implemented. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Garda Harrison reported for duty on 13th October 2013 and was detailed for indoor duties and remai ned so det ai l ed.

An excess request has been made regarding the call/threats made to Letterkenny Garda Station and an application now lies with Crime and Security to identify the IMEI number of the phone used to make the calls.

As the threat agai nst Garda Harrison was believed to have emanated from Martin MEDernott, the prison authorities were contacted and carried out a search on Martin MEDermott. This was acceded to and a mobile phone found on his person. The data obtai ned fromit is bei ng anal ysed.

Enqui ries reveal a female is regul ar visitor to MEDernott, she has come to attendance for bei ng at a demonstration. Information has been recei ved regarding her associ ates fromS $\&$ and has been forwarded to Detective Inspector O Donnell for necessary i nvestigation. No decision has been made by GSOC in rel ation to the complaints of ME. Si mms."

CHA RMAN So that's the full documentation read into the record. So I will rise then for a few minutes.

## SHORT ADI OURNMENT

## AFTER A SHORT AD OURNMENT, THE TRI BUNAL RESUMED AS

 FOLLOMS:MR. HARTY: Superintendent MCGovern, the situation is that you had received a letter from Mr. Hone, you now say it's a pro forma letter, your statement said that you were informed by Sergeant MCGowan that the HSE had closed their file, you don't recall when that happened, and you were then summoned to a meeting with Mr. Hone in November. I have to put it to you that you that this particular referral would have been at the top of your mind in relation to that meeting?
A. That's not correct, Mr. Chairman.

But this is a referral which you say was of utmost importance, it had to be done immediately, you received 11:41 a letter saying it wasn't to be admitted or to be accepted?
A. With respect, Mr. Chairman, I had no concerns about the letter I received from Mr. Hone, because I was aware at the time $I$ received the letter that a strategy meeting had already been held between Sergeant McGowan and THE HSE in relation to the matter, that the information on the day or clarification in relation to the matter had already been done. I had no concerns in relation to the letter whatsoever.
155 Q. Then why did you write the letter of the 24 th October to Sergeant McGowan, page 768 ?
A. That's a letter to Sergeant McGowan by way of administration of the actual letter received from

Mr. Hone for sign off in relation to the matter. Under normal circumstances a letter like that comes in and they're sent out to the actual relevant liaison sergeant for report or for finalisation in relation to the matter, and a similar situation happened in relation to that particular matter. But when I was sending the letter $I$ had no concerns in relation to it or I would have expressed concerns specifically in the 1etter.
156 Q. Your letter at page 768 says:
"Li ai se meetings rel evant to this referral and certify that following a di scussion on the matter with following full disclosure of the facts with HSE, that no HSE intervention will now take place."

You wrote that letter on the 24th October. You say you were aware prior to writing that letter that a strategy meeting had taken place?
A. That's correct.

157 Q. Well, then that letter doesn't make any sense?
A. Well, it's subject to interpretation.

158 Q. It's not subject to interpretation.
A. Well, unfortunately it is. It's subject to whatever interpretation you wish to put on it, but as far as I'm 11:43 concerned the letter on the day was going to Sergeant McGowan to provide just a follow up report in relation to the liaising that she had done in relation to the matter.

159 Q. Sergeant McGowan didn't come back to you in relation to that letter, isn't that correct?
A. I can't answer that question because I wasn't in the Milford Garda district after the 25th October.
So, as matters stood on the 24th October, as far as you 11:43 were concerned, with full disclosure of the facts to HSE, that no further HSE intervention will now take place. So insofar as you were aware on the 24th October no further HSE intervention will now take place?
A. I'm asking Sergeant McGowan to liaise with Bridgeen Smith to certify that particular information, I cannot answer the question because I didn't see any follow up report in relation to the matter after the 24 th october.
161 Q. As a result this referral would have been top of your mind when you were going to the meeting with Mr. Hone at the end of November?
A. Well, that's --

162 Q. Because you don't know how it played out?
A. No, that's not correct, Mr. Chairman. The meeting with Mr. Hone at the divisional management meeting on the 29th November, it was a meeting between two agencies for the purposes of discussion of issues on the day surrounding both agencies' business and the sharing of business and ensuring on the day that issues of concern between both agencies could be discussed and ironed out. That's solely the purpose of that particular meeting, no individual cases were discussed, as I
already said, it wouldn't be appropriate to do so, and definitively no reference was made to either Keith Harrison, Marisa Simms or the children that had been referred in October of 2013.

There was reference to the way things are done. You say that there was constant contact between Garda liaison and the HSE/Tusla?
A. Yes, there's ongoing contact between district liaison managers and their counterparts in the HSE, Tus7a now, yes.

164 Q. Those counterparties in the HSE/Tus7a are the team leaders; isn't that right?
A. Yes, and the social workers, specifically the social workers. Many of the referrals we receive from Tusla at this stage, they identify a social worker that must be contacted prior to any further Garda action in relation to the matter and those letters are referred definitively to the district liaison managers to liaise with the names person and strategy in relation to followup is discussed thereafter. In relation to Superintendent Finan's notes, which are at page 2717, he in fact appears to have the most detailed notes of that meeting. You don't have your notes of that meeting?
A. Unfortunately, Mr. Chairman, I can't locate my notes, 11:46 but I am happy that I recorded notes and that I briefed the personnel in the Buncrana district of those notes at the follow up district meeting.
166 Q. In his notes he records?
"Gerry Hone, HSE, referrals, enotional, physi cal, sexual abuse and neglect."

So, they were the matters that he dealt with. Did anyone at any stage say, why are we using this form?
A. Not to my recollection, Mr. Chairman. That's the form that is designated under the children First Guidelines. That's the form that was being used at that particular time, it's the form that you will see I circulated around the Buncrana district after the fact in 2014, and it's the form that we still use to make those referrals because it's contained in the children First Guidelines.
167 Q. Yeah. We know that the children First Guidelines are 11:47 in fact that the HSE take à la carte approach and so do the Gardaí in relation to the HSE Gardaí liaison forms and that they don't find themselves stuck to them. Did no one say this, form doesn't, in fact, make any provision for child welfare issues?
A. To my recollection, Mr. Chairman, I cannot recall that specific aspect on the day being discussed.
168 Q. Surely that would have been one of the first things that should have been discussed, which was this form doesn't actually serve the purpose for which we require 11:47 it?
A. Well, all I can say, Mr. Chairman, it serves the purpose for which An Garda Síochána require it, and it's the form that's contained in the children First

Guidelines, which we must use on the day to make referrals to Tusla.

But it doesn't, in fact, serve the purpose at all, because it only deals with child abuse; in other words, child protection matters?
A. No, it also deals with child emotional abuse related incidents. I'm happy as a district officer on the day that the form fulfills my requirements in relation to referrals to Tusla.
You see, everyone in the HSE and Tusla was clear that this was never a child abuse matter, it was a child welfare matter. Not a child protection matter, a child welfare matter.
A. But as already discussed, emotional abuse falls within the terms of domestic related abuse related incidents, and it qualifies for referral and this form on the day is the appropriate form for An Garda Síochána to use to make those referrals. As I say again, I am more than happy on the day that this was the correct form and correct manner of referral in relation to the matter in 11:48 relation to the simms children.

171 Q. You're more than happy, are you?
A. I am, yes.

172 Q. And were you more than happy that the other forms were not being used?
A. That's not my --

173 Q. Sorry, it was in your bailiwick, you were supposed to --
A. No, it isn't. with respect, Mr. Chairman, it isn't my
decision to make.
Q.
why is it not a decision of yours to make?
A. I don't deal with the followup aspects of the children First Guidelines in relation it to liaising with the actual social workers.
But there is a form that has to be filled out by the Gardaí and the HSE in relation to all Gardaí referrals. It wasn't being filled out in your district or, indeed, in any other division district in the Donegal division. Did that not come up?
A. To my recollection, Mr. Chairman, no.
Q. All right. If we look at the form that you were forwarding around, the template, at 2605, this was circulated afterwards but, in fact, is in the same form as the earlier one:
"Notification of suspected child abuse."
which is a different category, we've all heard evidence from Tusla that there is a distinct difference between $11: 50$ abuse, which is the protection matter, and welfare matters. And this was never an abuse case.
A. It falls under the terms of domestic abuse under Children First Guidelines and as a result it qualifies for referral as emotional related incident, and this is 11:50 the correct designated form for doing that.
177 Q. In this particular instance they were satisfied it didn't. In this particular instance they were satisfied that it might be the subject matter of a
referral in respect of child welfare but not child protection.
A. Mr. Chairman, all An Garda Síochána have to satisfy themselves if it falls within the remit domestic abuse, emotional abuse under the domestic violence regulations 11:51 and the Children First Guidelines, and as a result we were obliged on the day to make the referral and the correct form for making that referral is the one as already discussed.
The question I'm asking you is, why nobody asked where do we put in child welfare referrals?
A. As I said, Mr. Chairman, this form fully fulfills the requirements in relation to referrals by An Garda Síochána and I am happy and still using that particular form and happy that it fulfills our requirements in relation to referrals to Tusla.

179 Q. Even though on the face of it, it is related solely in relation to suspected child abuse. You do accept there is a difference between child abuse and neglect matters, for example?
A. I certainly do, Mr. Chairman, but I reiterate what I've already said; I am more than satisfied that this form fully fulfills the requirements of An Garda Síochána in relation to referrals to Tusla and in particular it fully fulfills the requirements in relation to the simms children in October of 2013.

180 Q. Even though you received a pro forma response, which you say is a pro forma response, saying, we don't have enough information to show that there is child abuse in
this matter, no evidence of abuse detailed, no further action will be taken, and you say the form works?
A. Yes, Mr. Chairman, the form works.

Even though everybody accepts there was no child abuse
here?
A. Chairman, the form just satisfies a referral, thereafter on the day it's a matter between the two agencies what discussion takes place, what strategy meetings take place and what followup happens in relation to after the fact. But the form itself
fulfills the requirements and satisfied An Garda Síochána's responsibilities in relation to making the referrals.
182 Q. You were having a meeting with Mr. Hone as to why referrals weren't going the right way?
A. well, one of the issues that was discussed at that particular meeting and as identified, 29 particular referrals where Mr. Hone identified there were issues in relation to the actual referrals or issues, that they had concerns in relation to it. As a result, you can see from the reports, the follow up reports, the district meetings and the reports that followed, remedial action was put in place by An Garda Síochána to assist Tus7a in the better management of the referrals that we were making.

183 Q. You see, what is interesting is that in Superintendent Finan's note, and not in the other minutes of the meeting, it refers to "emotional, physical, sexual abuse and neglect", it doesn't deal with welfare
referrals, nobody is mentioning welfare referrals? CHA RMAN yeah, but you have mentioned this point now a number of times and I think I do have the point. I think you're saying the form is inadequate. I think you made the point during the main hearings, if I can call them that now, since we have been required to break for a week, that what was reported, even taking the statement of Marisa Simms at face value, didn't amount to anything that could be referred to Tusla, and I have noted that Tusla said that in the event they have read the statement as such or had a better summary of it, that they would have in fact done a great deal more than they did. So, that's the position that people are in. I wonder the extent to which this is advancing matters.
MR HARTY: very good.
184 Q. What I am also interested in relation to is that Superintendent Finan, on page 2717, notes that there is:
"Teamleader sergeant very good rel ationship with the four teamleaders."

That was discussed at the meeting, I take it?
A. Yes, it was a comment that has been noted in both Superintendent Finan's minutes and it's also noted in the minutes that were recorded by sergeant Duffy and it would have been an acknowledgment by Mr. Hone on the day of the relationship that was in existence between
the four district liaison managers and his staff on the day in relation to management of cases.
Q. Most particularly, it was the relationship between the sergeants and I think there were inspectors also, but team leader sergeant with the team leaders in Tusla?
A. Yes, basically on the day in relation to the working relationship that they had.
Q. And that was because there was regular ongoing contact between those sergeants and the team leaders?
A. Yeah, there would be regular ongoing contact in relation to district management of cases.
Q. Were you updated regularly by Sergeant McGowan in relation to her contact with Bridgeen Smith?
A. In relation to all the cases.
Q. Other cases, yes.
A. There would be discussion from time to time in relation to particular cases, and Sergeant McGowan would have sought time and attended with her team leader on the day to discuss and to sign off on particular cases and I would have been aware of the fact on the day that those particular meetings and sign offs were ongoing.
Q. Could you give me an estimate, was that on a daily basis, a weekly basis or a monthly basis?
A. I don't think it was on a daily or a weekly basis. I'm going to say monthly, Mr. Chairman, but that's not giving you a -- I can't say that definitively. But it would certainly have been on a need to attend basis.
Q. Would you agree with me that it would be certainly more frequently than a half yearly basis?
A. I certainly would have expected it to be more than on a half yearly basis, yes, subject to the number of cases that required on the day to be discussed and signed off on.
Well, there was a lot going on at that time, there were a lot of issues before that, dealing with the guards and Tusla, we're told that with the economic situation, various problems going on, the HSE were very much overwhelmed at the time with the amount of cases that were coming in?
A. I think it's a reality of -- and certainly from a policing perspective, we make referrals to Tusla every week of the year.
192 Q. Every week in the year?
A. Yes.

193 Q. And that would be your experience in Milford and in Buncrana now?
A. Yes. Different policing districts have different requirements or different demands, some of them are more busy than others, depending on the actual workload 11:57 in the relevant districts. But, yes, on basically -maybe more referrals in Buncrana district than there would be in the Milford district but certainly on the day, yes, it's nearly a weekly occurrence to make referrals to Tusla.

194 Q. You would expect the liaison sergeant therefore to have relatively frequent contact with the team leader?
A. The district liaison manager has regular and ongoing contact on the day with their counterparts in Tusla,
yes. Certainly that's the way it works at this time. And, as I say, an awful lot of referrals that come from Tus7a to ourselves, they identify a key social worker that already has been put in charge of a particular case and the case is never progressed until such time as our district liaison manager makes contact with that particular social worker to discuss a strategy forward in relation to a particular case.
The minutes of the meeting taken by Sergeant Duffy are at page 2587. He refers to 29 referrals this year which require clarification. Do you know how many of those referrals you were involved in?
A. No, Mr. Chairman.

The question then of that meeting, it would appear that, shall we say, the first third, or just in excess of a third of the meeting, took an hour and a quarter, and it's referenced by a third of the paper on the detail given in Sergeant Duffy's meeting, would it be fair to say that this meeting with Mr. Hone must have taken in excess of an hour?
A. I can't say exactly on the day how long the meeting took, but there were a number of other speakers on the actual day and there was quite a significant amount of divisional business discussed on that particular day as well. Mr. Hone took a certain amount of time out of the meeting, I can't say exactly how long.
197 Q. Mr. Hone made it clear that where a referral is received, a parent must be informed of the existence of the referral. Were you aware of that prior to that
meeting?
A. I certainly would have been aware that at some stage of the actual management of the case by the HSE or Tusla that certainly they would have had engagement with the parents in relation to referrals where children were involved, yes.

But if Tusla don't engage with the parents, do you accept that it was the Gardaí's job to inform the parents that a referral had been made?
A. No, I don't think that is what that particular note means. That's nothing to do with the Garda responsibility in relation to the referral. Garda responsibility in relation to the referral to some extent, once we make the referral, any follow up in relation to that particular referral is the
responsibility of the HSE or Tusla, not the Gardaí. The Gardaí have a responsibility in relation to the criminal management of whatever aspect, if there is a criminal aspect to that particular referral. The other aspects of it are the responsibility of the HSE and Tusla and whatever interaction or joint interaction between the two agencies take place. There may well be cases, Mr. Chairman, where Tusla would have signed off on a particular case but we would not have signed off on it because there would still be an incomplete criminal investigation associated with it.
199 Q. Why is Mr. Hone telling you that when a referral is received the parents must be informed of the existence of the referral?
A. I think Mr. Hone may well be able to explain that particular aspect of it better himself. It's just letting us know that they must on all occasions notify the parents. Sometimes early notification in cases from our own point of view in relation to criminal investigation has provided difficulties. I cannot surmise what Mr. Hone's meaning of that particular sentence was. But certainly on the day he would be alerting us to the fact on the day that they have a responsibility to notify parents in relation to referrals. So, in relation to criminal investigations, I suppose it would be important for us to know that particular packet.
Q. Did you take your opportunity at that meeting to enquire as to whether or not the HSE had, in fact, dealt with the Simms referral, with anybody other than Mr. Hone?
A. No, as I say, Keith Harrison, Marisa Simms or the Simms children's case was not discussed at this meeting in any format.
Q. And you didn't take the opportunity to discuss with anybody as to what progress was being made?
A. No, absolutely not, Mr. Chairman.
Q. This was a matter which you considered to be -- you were taking immensely seriously on the 9th October. You appear to have forgotten it after the 24th October. Can you explain to the Tribunal why that was?
A. I wasn't the district officer responsible for the Milford Garda district after the 25th October. So, I
suppose the responsibility in relation to the finalisation of the matter didn't rest with me at that particular time. But it would have not have been appropriate for me in any format to discuss the case with Mr. Hone at a divisional management meeting. If I 12:03 required to discuss any matter with Mr. Hone on a private basis in relation to any case, I would have had no difficulty in speaking to Mr. Hone in relation matter, but I didn't.
Q. Did you speak with him at any stage?
A. No, I didn't speak with Mr. Hone at any time in relation to Keith Harrison, Marisa Simms or the referral of the Simms children. correct? she didn't do anything after 11th october. By way of an investigation.
A. I think, Mr. Chairman, the issues in relation to where or how the investigation progressed after that particular date has been open and explained to the Tribunal at this stage.
205 Q. But the point is that you weren't overly concerned about the HSE referral, just as Inspector Sheridan just wasn't overly concerned about the alleged criminal threats?
A. I would have been concerned about the referral to Tusla if I didn't know that Sergeant McGowan had already met with, held a strategy meeting and shared the relevant information on the day with Tusla in relation to this
particular case.
206 Q. That isn't in your statement, Superintendent McGovern. That is not in your statement. what is remarkable is that your statement suggests precisely the opposite. It isn't in your statement that Sergeant McGowan ever told you that matters had progressed.
A. We11, I am aware that there's documentation supplied on the day from district records as well at Milford, that Sergeant McGowan did hold a strategy meeting on the 22nd October with Tusla in relation to -- or with the HSE at the time in relation to the referral.

207 Q. When did you become aware of that? On the 22nd october?
A. No, I would have -- I don't -- as I said, I have already said, I'm not aware of what the date was.

208 Q. Because you're impeccable in your note taking and your entries into your journal, but you have no record of Sergeant McGowan telling you that the HSE were taking on this referral.

CHA RMAK They couldn't do anything else except take it on.

MR. HARTY: They could have rejected it.
CHA RMAN I know but they couldn't do anything else except take it on. Once it was there they had to take it on, that's the evidence $I$ have been given by everybody.

MR. HARTY: No, that was GSOC.
CHA RMAN I know, you're referring to that letter and that letter is assuming an enormous importance in
relation to the case that is attempting to be built by Garda Harrison. I agree. I know that, but there's also the evidence of the strategy meeting, what was said at the strategy meeting, there's the evidence as to what information was provided, what information was received, whether there was a mistake was made, whether something was said or was not said, that's also of significance as well as this letter.
MR. HARTY: There's also the very precise evidence given by Superintendent McGovern in his statement, where he makes no reference to having any knowledge of that strategy meeting and where he indicates that he writes this letter on the 24th October in reply or as a result of the letter of the 16th October, to Sergeant McGowan, which makes it perfectly clear on the face of it that no further HSE intervention will now take place. That is what is said in the letter. This Tribunal is well aware of the passage from the Morris Tribunal that I have quoted before and I don't propose to go into it again, but we are dealing now with a very 12:07 serious embellishment of the evidence that's on paper. CHA RMAN A11 right. We11, is the case being made by Garda Harrison here that the referral was made, that the HSE rejected it but that somehow, through some nefarious means that the Garda Síochána managed to have 12:07 the matter resurrected.

MR. HARTY: That's what happened.
CHA RMAN Is that the case?
MR. HARTY: That's not the case, that's what happened.

Through some means this referral was dead after the 21st October.

CHA RMAN Well, no, that's not necessarily so. I mean there was the strategy meeting.
MR. HARTY: After 21st October.
CHA RMAN I'm not sure you ever put it to any of the social workers, indeed, you didn't put it to any of the social workers that the case was dead after the strategy meeting.
MR. HARTY: Yes, I did.
CHA RMAN That the case was dead?
MR. HARTY: That nothing was done at all until a phone call was received.
CHA RMAN You've made the case, Mr. Harty, about practically everything, the death threats, the
statement of Marisa Simms, that nothing was done, so perhaps I missed it. But you never put to any of the social workers that this was a situation where the case was dead and the matter had to be revived in consequence of something that happened after the 20th October. Now, the strategy meeting was the 21st, this letter was the 24th, Superintendent McGovern's evidence was that matters then had overtaken each other, which is understandable, like the famous line at the end of; lots of letters: If this crosses in the post with the cheque you've already sent, please don't bother to pay your TV licence twice. So, I'm not exactly sure what case you're making.
MR. HARTY: Sorry, firstly, I'm not making a case. I
am a witness at a Tribunal. If I were making a case, I would have drafted a Statement of Claim, I would have looked for a defence, I would have sought particulars --
CHAN RMAN Mr. Harty.
MR. HARTY: No, sir, please, it's important that I say --

CHAL RMAN Mr. Harty, please, I have been in legal practice since 1979, I know how civil procedure works, you don't have to tell me that.
MR. HARTY: I am not making a case.
CHA RMAN I am beginning to fee 1 ancient at this point and I note one major store had its Christmas shop open already. Now, you say you're not making a case, well you are making a case and you did make a case, for instance, in relation to this letter to Minister Zappone and it's perfectly clear that what you say is that the case was in fact shutdown, but you never put to any of the social workers that the case was dead as and from the strategy meeting of the 21st October.
MR. HARTY: I'm not obliged to put everything. CHA RMAN We11, it would actually help if you would put a case. What case are you making now? I mean, if we could move on, Mr. Harty.
MR. HARTY: I am dealing with the evidence and the

Tribunal piece of paper that references what was done at the time rather than embellishment, additions or anything else being added to it at later stage. On the

24th October --
CHA RMAN AS I recall the Morris matter, and you're telling me $I$ am an expert in it, and possibly $I$ am, but at this stage memory can be fallible, an awful lot of officers' notebooks went into a furnace, that didn't happen here. So, can we go on, Mr. Harty, please.

MR. HARTY: Yes.
209 Q. The situation is, Superintendent McGovern, that there is nothing in your statement or anywhere else to say that at the time of the meeting with Gerry Hone that you knew that the matter had been activated?
A. With respect, Mr. Chairman, and save repeating myself in relation to the matter, there was no discussion whatsoever at this particular meeting on the 29th November with Mr. Hone in relation to Keith Harrison, Marisa Simms, her children, and again, it would have not have been appropriate to do so. That would not have been the form. This meeting was for a different purpose. It wasn't there to discuss individual cases. The discussion of the individual cases and as occurred in relation to Keith Harrison, Marisa Simms and their children was at district strategy meeting level and that occurred, Sergeant McGowan on the day did, as she was required. The line was put on the actual referral to contact Sergeant McGowan in relation to the matter, that occurred and as a consequence a strategy meeting was held between herself and her relevant counterpart on the 21st October. The facts are what they are.
210 Q. You're wrong on that, because, in fact, if there was a
strategy meeting held at all, it wasn't because of what was contained on the referral, it was because of a conversation held between Sergeant McGowan and Bridgeen Smith on the 9th October at a different strategy meeting. So, it wasn't as a result of the referral? CHA RMAN Sorry, Mr. Harty, you just said if the strategy meeting was held at a11. It was never denied on your side that there was a strategy meeting held. Everyone has given evidence there was a strategy meeting held on the 21st October, without challenge from anybody at all anywhere in the room.

MR. HARTY: Sorry, sir, if --
CHA RMAK I agree it's an inquiry but it's not an inquiry as to whether today is Monday.
MR. HARTY: I don't have control of discovery in this process, I don't have the ability to go as deep as I believe is necessary in relation to things and in relation to that, where people give evidence that something took place and $I$ am not in a position to contradict it, I can't put it to somebody that it did or did not happen.

CHAN RMAN We11, there is contemporaneous --
MR. HARTY: It's not a positive case that I can make or a negative one.
CHA RMAN Mr. Harty, there's contemporaneous documents 12:12 backing it up, but you're now saying apparently that the strategy meeting never took place.

MR. HARTY: I'm not saying anything. I can't say anything about the meeting, $I$ wasn't there.

CHA RMAN Well, it would honestly help me if you'd actually make a case.
MR. HARTY: I don't propose to make a case.
CHA RMAN what is your case?

MR. HARTY: I propose to assist this Tribunal insofar
12:12
as I can in asking what $I$ believe to be relevant questions. That is the purpose of what $I$ am here for. CHAL RMAN A11 right. We11, we have been over the letter of the 24 th October, we have been over the strategy meeting, which at the moment I am actually believing, perhaps unwisely, actually took place. We have been over the document and as to whether the document was or was not adequate to deal with this particular kind of child concern and whether a different document should have been drafted, we certainly are going over now as to whether the Gardaí somehow revived matters in a wrong way as opposed to treating the letter of the 24th October as a pro forma letter and then supplying information or information already having been supplied on the 21st October, not supplying information. So, we're inquiring into those matters and the basic allegation is the allegation in the letter to Minister Zappone that what happened was that this letter had shut everything down, that social workers regarded it as dead but the Garda Síochána somehow revived matters improperly. That letter to Katherine Zappone, by the way, is at page 1579 and it's 10th February 2017, it's an important letter. MR. HARTY: And the Tribunal has heard evidence that
the only thing that took place before the HSE visited or called for a meeting with Garda Harrison and Marisa Simms was as a result of contact between An Garda Síochána and Tusla. We cannot ignore that fact because that is common case from all the witnesses. CHA RMAN That's fine, and that is what the child protection guidelines actually say, that there should be contact. But let's go on, Mr. Harty, and let's see what questions you have which may assist.
MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHA RMAN I'm delighted to listen to them and let's see if we can go somewhere on this matter.

211 Q.
MR. HARTY: The situation, and we won't be much longer, Superintendent McGovern, is that on paper, on your statement, there is nothing to suggest that you had any 12:15 awareness that the HSE were going to conduct an active investigation in this matter, and you, I put it to you, it would have been appropriate to raise with Mr. Hone as to why that was, either in the general or in the specific, you didn't take that opportunity?
A. It wouldn't have been appropriate, Mr. Chairman. It was not appropriate to raise that particular case or any other case at an open forum, a discussion meeting at a divisional conference in relation to any particular specific case. I didn't raise Keith Harrison's case, Marisa Simms's case or their children's case there, and I didn't raise it with Mr. Hone, and I didn't make any attempt -- and I want to say I didn't make any attempt at any stage to revive
anything that I was aware of or had any knowledge on of on the day that it had been finalised. I made no contact with Tusla or the HSE to do that at any stage, or have ever done that in relation to any case.
The situation is, we don't have the minutes from Milford. Can you tell the Tribunal how many times after the 10th October Garda Harrison was discussed at district accountability meetings?
A. Garda Harrison would not have been specifically discussed at district management meetings.
213 Q. Why not?
A. why would he be discussed specifically at district management meetings?
214 Q. Well, there were death threats in relation to him?
A. There is one note in one of the district management meetings on the day after the alleged death threats took place on the 4th and 5th th October, it is contained in the management meeting notes.
215 Q. And why did it not continue to be mentioned in district accountability meetings after that?
A. I can't answer that question, Mr. Chairman. It was mentioned on that particular occasion, the instructions on the day that issued from chief superintendent in Letterkenny in relation to the matter were brought to the attention of the actual district force and that's basically on the day what the situation was or what was to take place into the future in relation to the matter. Like the notes have been trawled and that's the only reference on day that can be taken to refer on
the day to Keith Harrison in relation to the minutes, and his name wasn't mentioned, it was only the actual location of his house that was mentioned.

But those threats were allegedly live and still being treated as serious a number of months later, so why was 12:17 it not raised again?
A. Well, there would have been ongoing attention in relation to that area and no new issues of concern in relation to the threat were identified to me that required to be brought to attention on the day at the district management meeting and to be recorded in the actual district management meeting notes.

217 Q. Do you have any record as to how frequently Garda Harrison was mentioned at divisional management meetings?
CHAD RMAN Maybe Garda Harrison isn't actually as important as all that.
MR. HARTY: Maybe but the question is important. He certainly merited three pages in regional meeting and in those circumstances it is perfectly valid to assume that he merited at least a paragraph in divisional management meetings.
CHA RMAN What would they be talking about? I mean what we are talking about here are things that happened long after the event. The event being the referral by the Gardaí to the HSE of effectively what Marisa Simms is supposed to have complained about, whether coerced or not, in her statement to the Gardaí of 6th October 2013.

MR. HARTY: which manages to make it --
CHA RMAN If they discuss it endlessly for the next three years, does it change matters?
MR. HARTY: It certainly changes matters in the six months around the time of the making of the statement. So August, September, October, November, December, January, February, March.

CHA RMAN Right. So I can accept, Mr. Harty, that if it snows in December, it doesn't necessarily mean that it was snowing in July. I can accept that what people do in December may well cast light on what happened and what they were doing in July, but I'd say there comes a point where it's way outside the realm of anything that can cast light on an event that's in issue, and here the event that's in issue is the referral to the HSE. That is it.

MR. HARTY: The circumstances surrounding the referral to the HSE, which are directly referred to in a report to the regional meeting on the 5th October, they are matters which therefore one would anticipate would be mentioned at divisional and district level. CHA RMAN Well, maybe not. You've asked the question. MR. HARTY: I'm asking --
CHA RMAN You're asking the question and you're going into this, as you say, in-depth. So, has the matter been gone into in depth and were people talking about Garda Keith Harrison at divisional meetings, at district meetings? was he being noted up and written in their books, to quote Shakespeare talking about

Julius Cesar.
A. No is the answer, Mr. Chairman. That meeting that was held on the 10th October, like I was gone from the Milford district on the 25th October, so my opportunity on the day to discuss Keith Harrison, issues in relation to the Milford Garda district terminated on the 24th October.

218 Q. MR. HARTY: So you don't have a recollection of being of discussing him?
A. I certainly, Mr. Chairman, never discussed Keith Harrison at a divisional management meeting. Yes, I was at the famous meeting on the day where the conference was held by Chief Superintendent McGinn and of course he was the subject of that discussion specific. That's the only meeting and the only
conference that was held by a chief superintendent or that I attended at in relation to Keith Harrison or the particular issues on the day surrounding himself, Marisa Simms, the referral of the children and the alleged death threats.

219 Q. You see, unfortunately we're relying on Chief Superintendent McGinn to disclose what she believes is relevant in relation to this matter, but you can't assist us any further in your recollection?
A. No. I have disclosed all --

220 Q. I appreciate that?
A. Yeah, and I have disclosed comprehensive material on the day in relation to my involvement.

CHA RMAN That's an unfair comment in relation to

Chief Superintendent McGinn. It's always the case that lawyers leave it to the Judge to make up any assessment in relation to the veracity of evidence or the character of a witness, not for counsel. There is nothing unfortunate about it, at all.
MR. HARTY: In circumstances where I am receiving minutes of a meeting from the 5th November which Chief Superintendent MCGinn determined to be irrelevant, even though they refer directly to --
CHAN RMAN Mr. Harty, you don't need to justify a comment. I think your comment was inappropriate, that's my view, I am sure you have a different view. There it is.
MR. HARTY: Okay. Thank you very much, superintendent.
CHA RMAN So Mr. Barnes, have you any questions,
MR. BARNES: No, Chairman, thank you.
CHAN RMAN Mr. Dignam, any questions.
MR. DIGNM No questions, Chairman.
ME. LEADER: Nothing arising.
CHAN RMAN Thank you.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MARPI NAN The next witness is chief superintendent McGinn, please.
CHA RMAN Mr. Marrinan, given that both substantial documents have been read into the record, is there much you feel you need to go through on this?
MR. MARRI NAN No, there's two aspects of the evidence.

CH EF SUPERI NTENDENT TERRY MEG NN HAV NG BEEN
RECALLED, WAS DI RECTLY-EXAM NED BY MR. MARRI NAN AS

## FOLLO/5:

CHA RMAN You are continuing your evidence.
A. Okay.

221 Q. MR. MARR NAN You have been recalled, chief superintendent, to deal with two meetings that you attended, one on 5th November 2013, which was a regional management meeting at Dundalk?
A. That's correct.

222 Q. We have already heard some evidence in relation to this and I'm not going to go over it again, but I think that in preparation for that meeting you caused to be prepared some briefing document, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. I would have received the agenda for the meeting prior to attending. One of the main issues was murder threats and the fact that there was a murder threat against a serving member. I would have supplied 12:23 a comprehensive document for the Assistant Commissioner.

223 Q. Now, in reference to that, first of all, I think the minutes of the meeting can be found at page 2695. If we could just go over to page 2696. This was an input 12:24 from Mr. McGoey in relation to the statistics and it refers to "crimes agai nst the person" and then it says:

[^0]whi ch was to a Garda in Donegal."

Is that right?
A. Yes, that information would be supplied and assessed and the tactical assessment that we receive before attending a meeting. One of the main issues in relation to our management meetings with the region are murder threats, they are always discussed, and it's contained within the statistics, crimes against the person. As one of the persons within that murder threat was a serving member, that's why I would have supplied a comprehensive briefing note to the Commissioner on the matter.
224 Q. A11 right. It appears at that juncture but it doesn't actually name Garda Harrison, isn't that right?
A. No, no, in the crime stats that we would receive, it would be just that there are four murder threats and one of them would be related to the Donegal division.
Q. You've provided the Tribunal with the briefing document which was in large part read out by Ms. Leader, and I don't wish to go through it all again, but it's at page 2772 of the Tribunal documentation, to 2799. But just two matters in relation to that. I think that there is a reference there to Garda Harrison giving evidence in a case, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that was an ongoing court case that was going on at the time in relation to another serving Garda member related to female -- two female members.
document is at page 2796. It starts off by reference to a road traffic matter and disciplinary matter arising from that, and then the only reference I think, if you can confirm, the only reference to the incident with Marisa Simms reads:
"Garda Harrison is currently the subject of a Section 102 referral following a written complaint made by his partner Marisa Si mms on 6th October 2013, outlining a series of alleged verbal and physical abuses, assaults, 12:26 threat to harmkill and harassment on various dates throughout their rel ationship."
A. That's correct.

227 Q. I think the rest of quite a lengthy report refers to the threats that had been made against Garda Harrison and what steps had been taken to investigate those threats and to protect Garda Harrison, isn't that right?
A. That's the purpose of the briefing note to the Commissioner.
Q. So there isn't actually any reference to the fact that the matter has been referred to the HSE/Tusla?
A. No, and I never discussed that with Assistant Commissioner Kenny.
229 Q. That briefing document was sent by your office to the assistant commissioner, Assistant Commissioner Kenny, prior to the meeting; is that right?
A. It was sent down on the evening of the 4th by email.

Q. Yes.
A. For the meeting of the 5th.
Q. You've kindly provided those to the Tribunal and I don't intend to go through them, because it seems to be the position that they were sent, but they weren't distributed to anybody else other than Assistant Commissioner Kenny, isn't that right?
A. That's right, and we actually didn't spend very long at the meeting. My memory was it was a very long meeting and the Commissioner moved through it very quickly, he wanted to move on to other matters, and I think it was because he had a comprehensive note and there was no new update from the previous notes and documents that he would have received on it.
Q. You gave us a summary then at the meeting of those briefing notes to the meeting; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Was Garda Harrison discussed any further outside that?
A. No, only the fact of the death threat, and very quickly the Commissioner noted that I had sent him the report and he moved on very quickly.
Q. Now then, if we could turn to the meeting on 29th November 2013, I think that you also attended that meeting, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. In the first instance, you point out in your statement that on 9th September 2013, you received correspondence, which was dated 6th September 2013, from a Maria McInnis, is that right?
A. Maria McInnis, yes. please. This was the letter that was sent to you by her dated 6th September 2013:
"Dear, Chi ef Superintendent MEGi nn, I would wel come an opportunity to meet with you to revi ew the ongoing collabor ations bet ween the Donegal Children and Family Servi ce and the Garda Sí ochána. Specifically l would like to di scuss the following areas:

1. To update you on the establ ishment of the Child and Famil y Agency;
2. Revi ew the Ii ai son meetings bet ween Children First i nspectors and Chil dren and Famil y Servi ce;
3. Child protection notification system,
4. Devel opment of SORAMS in Donegal."

What's that?
A. That's sex offenders risk management system, risk assessment management system. It's to do with sex offenders.
"5. Children First action plan of the Children Servi ces Committee in Donegal.

I would like to acknow edge the ongoing positive
collaboration bet ween inspector M chael Harrison and myself and al so the good collaboration on the ground bet ween front line children and family staff and the Gar daí .

I I ook forward to hearing fromyou in the near future."

I think that you then, subsequent to receiving that, had contact with her, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

240 Q. As a result of that ongoing contact that you had with her, is it your belief that this meeting at which Gerry Hone attended, that that's how that arose?
A. I know that I had a meeting again with her on the 8th September, but at that stage it had already been
decided that Mr. Hone was coming to my office to make a presentation. So, I feel that it came to my attention through sergeant Eunan Walsh, who is the liaison officer for Letterkenny, who would have brought it to
my attention that he was seeking a meeting in terms of the level of information that was referred on referrals. But I do know that by the time I meet with Ms. Simms on the 8th in Glenties, it has already been decided that Mr. Hone is coming to the meeting of the 29th November.

So, you've provided us again with emails where the notification to various parties is referred to, and I don't intend to them with you, other parties can if they think there is anything significant in them. But that brings us to 29th November 2013, and the meeting?
A. That's correct.

242 Q. You've seen the minutes of that meeting. Does that correspond with your recollection of the meeting?
A. Yes. It does, Chairman.

243 Q. I suppose to come to the issue that could possibly arise, was there any discussion at that meeting, first of all between you and Mr. Hone, in relation to the referral to Tusla by the Gardaí in relation to Garda Keith Harrison?
A. No, Judge, absolutely no discussion, and at no stage did I ever discuss the Simms children referral with the HSE or Mr. Hone at any time in all of this. If you note, Judge, the format of the meeting, the meeting started at 10:00 o'clock in the morning and I had a presentation from Sergeant wallace on crime prevention issues, particularly text alerting, Mr. Hone was taking to the meeting at $11: 15$ and he departed the meeting at 12:15. So, there are mention of 29 referrals in the
notes, not one of those referrals was discussed. The purpose of the meeting was to see how the agencies could work together to provide information that would assist both of us in carrying out effectively our roles and responsibility within the legal framework and the guidelines that were provided. That was the purpose of the meeting.

244 Q. Did you hear anybody else discussing Garda Harrison?
A. Absolutely not, and it wouldn't be appropriate. It was a strategic meeting between the HSE and An Garda Síochána to see how best we could do our business, bearing in mind the legal responsibilities placed on both agencies and how we could exchange information to assist each other towards a common purpose with the focus on children.

245 Q. Now, in relation to disclosure matters and how these two matters came to be disclosed to the Tribunal, you wish to point out that this meeting wasn't in your mind until you were cross-examined at the Tribunal, is that right?
A. That's correct. When I was making disclosure on behalf of the Donegal division to this Tribunal, the fact that Mr. Gerry Hone was significantly involved never came to my mind at the time. I was fully aware that the HSE, An Garda Síochána took place at district level and at sergeant liaison officer at team level. It didn't come to my table, it was nothing that I had dealt with as the chief superintendent and this was a strategic meeting, it had nothing to do with any case or it was
not case specific to Garda Harrison and Garda Harrison was not discussed at the meeting. And that wasn't the purpose of the meeting.
246 Q. I think once you became aware that it perhaps might be significant, the matter was disclosed?
A. Well, as soon as it came up in my examination and cross-examination and the Judge asked me to go back and search the documents, it was through my search of the share drive at Letterkenny divisional office that this document came up and I disclosed it immediately to the Tribunal.

247 Q. Then in relation to the regional meeting, again there was no reference --
A. The regional meeting, again, as a result of my hearing, when I would have briefed the Garda commissioner on certain issues, I then went back and searched again. But I would have been aware at the time I would have been briefing the commissioner, and I think it was my evidence in cross-examination that I did brief the assistant commissioner at the margins of one of the regional meetings.
MR. MARRI NAN Thank you very much, would you answer any questions.
MR. HARTY: I am looking at the time, sir, I don't know if the Tribunal wishes me to start now.

CHAD RMAN Do you want to break now for lunch? You will be half an hour.

MR. HARTY: yes.
CHA RMAN If you don't mind we will break for lunch.

THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVS, AFTER LUNCH:

## CH EF SUPERI NTENDENT TERRY MEG NN WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. HARTY, AS FOLLOWG:

248 Q. MR. HARTY: Chief Superintendent McGinn, you were involved, I understand, in determining the relevance, or otherwise, of documentation to be disclosed from the Donegal division in March of this year, isn't that correct?
A. Yes. As the chief superintendent $I$ was involved in the disclosure process. In response to that then I set up an office in Ballyshannon Garda station, where I appointed a guard who was familiar with disclosure to courts, he's an incident room coordinator, and I asked him to set up a book, like jobs book, to try and gather up all the material from the Donegal division and prepare it for onward transmission Inspector Duffy in the regional office for onward transmission to Garda headquarters.
249 Q. I think just in that regard, Garda Howard -- Garda headquarter's liaison officer said in his affidavit:
"The di vi si onal shared drive at Letterkenny di vi si onal headquarters was searched using Harrison and all material rel evant to the Terms of Reference N and O to be sent to liai son officer Inspector Karen Duffy. I am informed that the files referring to di vi si onal and di strict PAF meetings were not consi dered rel evant at
the time by Chi ef Superintendent MEG nn to the terms of reference and were therefore not provi ded to the regi onal office."

So, that's correct, is it?
A. That's correct. Yes.

So. You are the person who determined what would be given to the Tribunal?
A. Oh, no, no. No, I was only determining what would go from Donegal to Garda headquarters and Garda headquarters would decide then.
Q. Yes, you were the person who determined that?
A. Yes.

Insofar as the Tribunal has received documentation coming from the Donegal division. It's on the basis of your determination of relevance or otherwise?
A. The ones from the divisional office are mine.
A. But each superintendent made their own, each person, and each retired member made their own disclosure.

255 Q. Right. Now, if we look at the document we have now received, which is at page 2796, that is the briefing document that you sent in advance of the regional PALF meeting. At the last paragraph of that page:
"Garda Harrison is currently the subject of a Section 102 referral following a written compl ai nt made by his partner Marisa Si mm on the 6th October outlining a series of alleged verbal and physical abuses, assaults, 13:46 threats to harmkill, har assment on various dates throughout thei r rel ationshi p."

Can you explain to me how that is not relevant to the terms of reference?
A. Well, I would have been aware the Assistant Commissioner was fully briefed on those issues and reports that I had sent him, so he had that information.
How is that document not relevant to the terms of reference. I am just trying to get inside your thinking, Chief Superintendent McGinn?
A. Yes. I determined when I looked at that document, on a strict interpretation by me, it was a subjective view on my behalf in relation to the terms of reference under $0, N$, it was in relation to the Tusla and the lead up to the Tusla referrals that was subject matter of this Tribunal. It was a strict interpretation on my behalf. But it is only as the Tribunal has gone through the evidence, you know, that I can see there is 13:47 a great emphasis on certain matters.
257 Q. At precisely the same time as that meeting determined that a Section 102 referral was made.
A. Yes.

Q. Immediately after that meeting Superintendent McGovern was tasked with making the reference to Tusla.
A. No, can I correct please, no, I need to correct this. I never tasked Superintendent McGovern with making the HSE referral. At the meeting of the 8th, I satisfied myself and confirmed with him that the HSE referral was being made. I had no involvement in relation to a HSE referral. I had no briefing from him on the detail or the specifics, nor did I brief the assistant commissioner on any detail or specifics in relation to the HSE referral, because $I$ didn't have that information.
Q. You did have that information.
A. I didn't. All I knew was that the HSE referral had
been made.
Q. Sorry. You wrote a letter looking for the suspension or removal from the division of Garda Harrison, where you referred to the fact that the Tusla reference had been made?
A. Well, my memory is, when I told the assistant commissioner that a HSE had referral had been made. I went into no specific or no details, because I didn't have that information myself, because $I$ had never discussed with Superintendent McGovern or Mr. Hone or anybody else in relation to the HSE referral. A11 I knew was that a referral had been made. And you referenced that in your letter looking for the suspension or removal from the division of Garda Harrison?
A. Yes. Can I open just to see what I exactly -- could I open that document, please, at whatever page?
Q. I haven't got the page reference, I will get it for you and we will come back to it.
A. Because my memory is that $I$ just reference that --
Q. My point is that this paragraph deals directly with the background to the HSE referral. So how could this document not have been relevant?
A. But I understood from my reading of the terms of reference that strategic meetings at my level, where we had no input into, you know, what actually happened with the HSE referral or the investigation, weren't relevant. My involvement was with the 102 referral and in relation to his future employment within and the suspension. I wasn't dealing with that aspect and I am basing -- sorry, it was based on a strict interpretation, and that's what I made the decision at the time.

267 Q. You knew -- sorry, the terms of relevance, a strict interpretation?
A. Yes.
Q. You thought it was relevant.
A. No, but I didn't decide, I was only providing an overview, briefing to the assist the Tribunal, as every other person was requested to do at that time.

273 Q. Should you not have disclosed documents that might have
been peripherally relevant?
A. But I didn't go into detail. As I said, if I was to talk about -- there's over a thousand documents disclosed from the Donegal division, if I was to detail the whole thousand, that was being done by the liaison officer at Garda headquarters, where all material was disclosed.
Q. Are you telling me that Garda Harrison's move from Buncrana is more relevant to terms of reference $N$ than this document?
A. This document, as I described, was a briefing note to the assistant commissioner prior to the regional meeting. Its focus was on the death threat against Garda Harrison, which was the purpose of the document going down, which was the purpose of the discussion.
"Garda Harrison is currently the subject of a Section 102 referral following a written compl ai nt made by his partner Marisa Si mms on THE 6th October outlining a series of a verbal and physical abuses, assaults, threats to harmkill, harassment on various dates..."

Are you telling me that is less relevant to the terms of reference of the Tribunal than the fact that Garda Harrison had to move from Buncrana?
A. No, no, I'm not saying what is less or more relevant to the terms of reference. As we all know, as the Tribunal hearings evolved, new information came to
light, there was greater emphasis on certain meetings and certain information. when I made my statement back in March, I was providing the Tribunal with an overview of incidents within my knowledge within Donegal which I thought would have been of relevance. Now, there were over a thousand more documents disclosed from the Donegal division. They weren't all listed and not all scheduled at that time, because that was at the very early stages of the hearings. When we were doing the general disclosure on foot of the order from the Chairman, I was gathering the material from my office and at that time I felt that those weren't relevant as they didn't refer to the HSE referral. But it referred to the circumstances giving rise to the HSE referral?
A. Exactly, which I had previously reported on to Commissioner Kenny and he was aware of. That was only an update to say I had no word back from Gsoc.

277 Q. You appreciate that this document is relevant and it's more relevant -- I have to put to you, it's more relevant than Garda Harrison's move from Buncrana?
A. Well, that was a decision that I made at the time. It was a subjective view on my behalf and as the Tribunal -- sorry. Do you accept that it is an incorrect decision?
A. No, at the time when I made it -- you see, you make a decision at the time based on whatever information that you have, and you make it in good faith. So this is the decision that you are making at the time. As the
evidence evolved here and the focus of the inquiry developed into particular lines of inquiry, other documents became more relevant, as we can see with the Gerry Hone attendance at my divisional management meeting.
A. Oh, absolutely.

280 Q. Did you leave this out because it didn't actually fit into the narrative that you were putting together for the Tribunal?
A. No, no, no. The Tribunal is not about me, the Tribunal is about An Garda Síochána's interaction with Tusla and the Simms children.

281 Q. Did you disclose the documentation -- did you furnish the Tribunal with documentation in relation to the move from Buncrana?
A. I would have -- that would have come from different sources. There would be very little at my office in relation to that, whatever was at my office. But, as I said, there was a process put in place so that we could gather all the information. As you must appreciate, in 13:55 An Garda Síochána, an instant would happen, from the birth of an incident to its conclusion, it moves through certain offices, certain functions, different people have responsibility for it, and matters are
duplicated as we go along and different people are kept in the loop and informed, so everybody --
All the more reason not to swamp the Tribunal with fundamentally irrelevant matter, like the move from Buncrana, isn't that correct?
MR. OHGGN: well, I wonder, Chairman, is that fair? Excuse me for interrupting for a very brief moment. The documentation touching on the transfer of Garda Harrison from Buncrana, as I think Mr. Harty will be aware, formed part of the seven categories which were the subject of a stand alone letter in April from the Tribunal. So, I don't think it is fair to put to the witness that it is odd that that was provided in circumstances where it wasn't specifically sought. CHA RMAN Yes. We11, certainly a great deal has been Garda Harrison in relation to, for instance, Sergeant Durkin and his alleged bullying, all of which is part of the weave and weft of the narrative, which leads up to the tapestry which I am supposed to find contains a picture of Garda interference in the life of Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms domestically and a wrongful intervention by Tusla in consequence of Garda manipulation. That is what this is about.

So, the move from Buncrana isn't irrelevant and I don't think it's irrelevant at all. As to whether this document was one that was deliberately concealed, which is really something that should be uppermost in the mind of anyone actually doing the inquiry, and it's me that's doing the inquiry. The first test, I suppose, one would appear is whether there is anything new in it that we haven't heard before; whether there is anything different to the narrative from the Garda witness that have given evidence so far; and as to whether there would be, therefore, any cause to conceal it as opposed to whether an accident happened, which no doubt can happen to the best of people.

So, of course, Mr. Harty, you may continue if you wish, but it seems to me that if you're saying that the move to Buncrana or from Buncrana is irrelevant, then you're saying your client client's statement to the Tribunal which mentions those as part of that ongoing narrative leading to interference in his life and that of his domestic partner, itself becomes irrelevant.

MR. HARTY: In fact, I am dealing with the question and on7y the question of what was going through Chief Superintendent McGinn.
CHA RMAN I'm not putting a dialogue here, Mr. Harty. You may continue if you wish, I am not having a dialogue, $I$ have given my view, I am the one doing the inquiry.
283 Q. MR. HARTY: Chief Superintendent McGinn, what we are
concerned about here is what was going through your mind as to what was relevant and what was not relevant. when you prepared your statement, you hadn't read Garda Harrison's statement to the Tribunal?
A. No, I hadn't got it, no.

284 Q. So, you determined that the Buncrana incident was relevant, you also determined that the anonymous letter was relevant, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, I did include that, yes.

CHA RMAN Mr. Harty, we have to face reality here. I mean the plain reality is that Garda Harrison didn't drop in from a helicopter into Donegal randomly. The plain reality is he went to Donegal. So, the point at which the Donegal Gardaí aided, as he says in his statement, by Garda headquarters or directed by Garda headquarters, began to act in relation to the destruction of his private life, surely begins as a matter of reality when he actually moved to Donegal. That's the point at which it begins.
MR. HARTY: I am not dealing with the facts, I am dealing with what was going through chief Superintendent McGinn's --
CHAl RMAN We11, I am inviting you if you wish to continue, but I am not sure it's the best point that has been made so far, but I am listening with interest. ${ }_{13: 59}$ MR HARTY: well, what I am interested in is how anybody could have thought this document was not relevant with other peripheral matters.
CHAl RMAN Mr. Harty, is there anything new in this
document, are you saying there's anything new?
MR. HARTY: Irrelevance doesn't arise because of novelty.
CHA RMAN No, it does. No, it does, because if you have 50 documents saying exactly the same thing from 50 14:00 different sources, then it may be that someone doing the inquiry, and that is me, by the way, may think, well, they left out document 49 but it says exactly the same thing, so how am I supposed to take an
interpretation of scanda1 from that? Now, I don't see anything new, but if you see anything new apart from the gun threat and how seriously it was taken and the results of it, which you have cross-examined on previously, please feel free to point it out to me. MR. HARTY: Firstly, I would disagree with the Tribunal in terms of a disclosure obligation --
CHA RMAN Sorry, Mr. Harty, I'm not having a dialogue with you, do you understand. If you wish to continue this line of questioning, please do.
MR. HARTY: yes, I will.
285 Q. The situation is: Your disclosure obligation is to disclose all relevant material?
A. That's correct, and I took it very serious, to such an extent that I appointed a person in the Donegal division to collect all of the information from all the 14:01 relevant parties. Somebody who was familiar, she was a trained incident room coordinator, she was familiar with doing disclosure for court cases and I appointed her within the division to collect all of the
materials. I then have to make disclosure from my own personal office. These documents at the time were on the shared drive at Letterkenny. At the time I took a strategic overview that these were documents that had gone down to the assistant commissioner in relation to management meetings, they weren't case specific and they weren't, as I took at the time, to be of relevance to the terms of reference. It was a subjective assessment on my behalf at the time in relation to it.

As we have seen during the inquiry, different lines of inquiry have developed, greater emphasis -- and if I can link this into the district meeting as well in relation to Mr. Hone, if I may. Different emphasis was placed on particular meetings at the time. But at the time that I made the disclosure and it went from Donegal, I deemed at the time that these two documents were not relevant.
286 Q. And I have to put it to you that you will now accept that they are directly relevant?
A. Well, first of all, if I can cover this particular one. There is no mention here of the HSE referral in this letter. The 102 was on an update. The Commissioner had already been briefed in documents from me, as had the death threats on the weekend. The death threats at 14:02 a regional management meeting are very important. At that time we were after losing our colleague in Dundalk, in January, where Adrian Donoghue had been shot dead. So we were taking death threats against

Garda members very seriously and death threats against any person, but particularly going into regional management meetings at the time, that these documents were very important route to that, that that was happened in An Garda Síochána at that time, death threats against Garda members, and we had already lost Detective Garda Adrian Donoghue in January of that year.
A. It's in relation to the death threats. I don't see -at the time, maybe I can accept as the inquiry went on that other documents are important, as the documents -but there is nothing new in the document.
288 Q Just so we are clear on this?
A. Yes.

289 Q. Your obligation is to disclose what's relevant. Your obligation is not to decide if something is new or old?
A. No, no, you're right. My obligation is to determine relevance, I did determine relevance at that time and my decision at the time was they weren't relevant and I didn't disclose them as part of the --
290 Q. And there are other documents, I take it, you made similar decisions about?
A. Just those two in relation to --

291 Q. Just those two?
A. Yes, in relation to those district meetings. There are other mentions of Garda Harrison in regional and divisional PALF meetings but they're in relation to
other death threats that were received from a different source against Garda Harrison.
Q. We haven't seen any minutes of your divisional PALF meetings?
A. I understand you did in relation to the Gerry Hone meeting.
Q. Well, that's one meeting?
A. But I have looked at the other meetings and there is no relevance --
Q. And you have determined they are not relevant?
A. Well, I did a search after we left the Tribunal the last day under the word Harrison and I put that into the shared drive at Letterkenny, I got somebody in my office to do it for me, and these are the two documents that I pulled out at this time that I thought was relevant and brought them to the notice of the Tribunal.
Q. This document contains an extra entry in relation to the relevant matters, which is at page 2798 , at the end of the last paragraph, 2798:
"No decisi on has yet been made by GSOC in rel ation to the compl ai nt of ME. Si mms."
A. Yes.
Q. That's another reference to it as well, isn't it?
A. We11, I had already briefed the commissioner on that after the meeting of the 8 th, the ongoing --
Q. When did you brief the commissioner?
A. I briefed him after the meeting of the 8th October in my office.
Q. What about the further correspondence from Chief Superintendent McLough1in?
A. Yeah, well first $I$ briefed him in relation to the 102 referral, the difficulty we were having with GSOC in accepting the 102 referral, and I also at that meeting had briefed him on the death threats. I was aware then that he had received the death threats from superintendent Kevin English. I sent him down the report of the 10th in relation to the suspension, and I also had briefed him on when I looked for the appointment of an outside superintendent.
Q. So, they were your only contacts with him in relation to it?
A. In relation to this, yeah.

300 Q. So, this being despite the fact that it was clear that it wasn't a valid section 102 referral as far as GSOC were concerned?
A. I disagree with that.

301 Q. That's what GSOC told you?
A. No, no, GSOC at the time -- we have been through this evidence, the 102 referral is the sole determination for the Garda commissioner.
CHA RMAN I'm not going back on it.
MR. HARTY: No, I'm not going back on it either. CHA RMAN I'm just not going back there, I've really had enough on it. I am well able to make up my mind on the basis of what is there already.
Q. MR. HARTY: But you wrote in that letter:
> "Garda Harrison is currently the subject of a Section 102 ref erral."

But you knew at that stage that GSOC had said it was not an appropriate matter for a Section 102 referral.
A. No, I didn't receive the notification back from GSOC until the 6th.

303 Q. No, you didn't receive notification back from GSOC to say they were going to do nothing until the 6th?
A. Yes.

304 Q. But you already knew that GSOC did not believe it was an appropriate matter for a section 102 and were going to see if they would investigate it under Section 85 ?
A. No, GSOC never informed me they were never taking it on as a 102 referral. I received no notification from them. A11 I received from GSOC was looking for the rationalisation as to why a 102 referral was made. They never told me they were never going to take it on. 14:07 I never knew until 6th November they weren't going to particular it on. And that was only after prompting by me with Superintendent McGovern from the first on that we were receiving no notification from GSOC as to what was happening and I was enquiring as to where the criminal investigation was at.

305 Q. I think Inspector Karen Duffy was the person carrying out -- the liaison officer in the Donegal division; is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct. Not in Donegal, no, sorry, in the regional.
Q. Regional?
A. Regiona1.

307 Q. She was also the person who attended the meeting and took the minutes of that particular regional PALF meeting?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Where this issue was raised?
A. Yes. My memory of to was that it was a very detailed, a very long meeting and it was just a brief overview and Assistant Commissioner Kenny was happy with the comprehensive note and he didn't deliberate at time on it.

309 Q. Now, the meeting with Mr. Hone?
A. Yes.

310 Q. Who called that meeting?
A. Since I left the Tribunal the last day $I$ have been carrying out searches to try and determine if I had any correspondence in relation to it. I have had a number of searches carried out in my office. The first that I found was the email that was sent on the 19th by Garda Mulroe from my office, advising my team that a meeting was taking place. That was confirmed on the 23 rd . I enquired from sergeant Eunan Walsh, who is liaison, manager officer for the Letterkenny district, had he any recollection of -- he had a vague recollection about the meeting and about trying to get the meeting set up. I asked searched my database and I could see
that I had correspondence from Maria McInnis looking for a meeting. I know that when I met her in Glenties on the 8th that it had already been decided that Gerry Hone was going to attend. So, to put it any further, I think it was really -- from my assessment of all the information material and the evidence that I have now, that it was possibly set up through Sergeant Eunan walsh, the liaison inspector at Letterkenny. What Mr. Inspector Harrison?
A. Or possibly Michael Harrison, yeah, who is the inspector liaison.

312 Q. He is the inspector liaison for the division; is that right?
A. He is, that's correct, and I did carry out enquiries with him, but he has no recollection of actually setting up the meeting. But it was an ongoing matter that was being discussed between the two organisations at district and sergeant level, that they weren't getting sufficient information to assist them in carrying out their assessment of the referral, and that it was a matter that needed to be dealt with at the strategic level on my behalf.
313 Q. Sergeant walsh says he doesn't recall who requested the meeting, I don't have a statement from Inspector Harrison?
A. But I did carry out enquiries with inspector Harrison since we left, he wasn't able to assist.

314 Q. It would be kind of odd, wouldn't it, that the head of Child and Family Services would, without a paper trail,
appear at a meeting in the divisional headquarters?
A. No. Like, it was obviously arranged and organised. I am even telling Ms. McInnis at our meeting that --
Q. you knew at that stage --
A. Oh, I knew that he's coming on the 8th, and I'm telling my people, it wasn't just to confirm the date, but I am telling my divisional team that he's coming on the 9th. well, I wasn't sure on the 9th, I'm confirming it on the 26 th, that he would be in attendance.
Yes, but you had, in fact, been aware or at least the date penciled in, you knew at the meeting on the 8th?
A. I would have known that it was going to happen.

317 Q. Were the dates given?
A. Yes, the 29 th and 28 th, we weren't sure when it was going to happen, we were trying to match up diaries etcetera.
Q. And that was a meeting which was attended by a number of people who had dealings in respect of the simms referral, isn't that right? You were at that meeting?
A. well, I had no dealings, I have to be clear on that, I was never briefed.

319 Q. Yes.
A. All I confirmed and satisfied myself there's a HSE referral. But at that meeting was myself, Superintendent McGovern, Mr. Gerry Hone, Superintendent 19:11 Michael Finan, Superintendent Archbold, Inspector Michael Harrison and our note taker, Sergeant Duffy.

320 Q. We mentioned the letter that you sent to the chief Superintendent in Internal Affairs and that's at page

1624 , and 1628 the reference to the HSE is made.
"The HSE referral has been made by Ser geant Bridget MEGowan in respect of Marisa Si mms children and thei $r$ exposure to abusive and alleged assaults by Keith Harrison on Marisa Si mms."
A. That's correct, yeah. That was in relation to the suspension and it's in relation to the appointment. When I looked for an outside superintendent to be appointed, for Superintendent Murray to be appointed, I think it's part of that. But basically that a HSE referral has been made. There's no specifics or details, because I didn't have them, and my office didn't have them.

321 Q. And that was then repeated in your letter to the Assistant Commissioner Northern Region, which is dated the 12th November?
A. Yes.

322 Q. And that's at page 2124. Yet again under "ot her
A. Yeah, it's a replica of the previous. So, you did know at least who made the referral?
A. Oh, yes.

324 Q. You knew it wasn't in fact -- it was Sergeant McGowan was dealing with it, not just --
A. Well, Superintendent McGovern is the person -- it's his responsibility to make the referral. Sergeant McGowan is the liaison sergeant who deals with the actual
exchange of information between the two agencies. But the responsibility lies with superintendent --
Q. But, in fact, you knew that Sergeant McGowan was central to it?
A. Well, because she's the liaison manager for Milford and she would be the one that would be making the referral. So what were you informed of after that date and coming up to the meeting with Mr. Hone, which we know was arranged before 8th November 2013?
A. What did I know about the HSE referral?

327 Q. Yeah.
A. Nothing. Hadn't been briefed.

328 Q. Nothing. So. what --
A. Those matters would be dealt with --

329 Q. What did you understand that the meeting with Gerry Hone was about?
A. Gerry Hone, it was a strategic meeting between our two organisations to see how we could best assist each other in exchanging information in relation to referrals within the guidelines and within the legalities of the exchange of information, so that we could be more efficient and more effective on how we deal with referrals.

330 Q. Did you know how many referrals had been received with inadequate information?
A. Well, I can see from the notes of the meeting that 29 --

331 Q. No, I mean before the meeting.
A. Before the meeting, no.
Q. No?
A. No.

333 Q. Did you know anything about that?
A. No.

334 Q. Nothing?
A. On7y that there was on ongoing dialogue between our two agencies over the amount of information that was being exchanged to assist HSE in carrying our their work and I was aware that there was competing interests in relation to the information into determining how our work was going to be carried out and there's always a judgment call in relation to how much information that we were going to provide, based on the fact that we had different roles and responsibilities. we were always conscious as an organisation that we had the criminal aspect to carry out and that our focus would be obviously on preserving evidence, whereas the HSE was in relation to child protection issues. Where those completing interests was colliding or there was collision, we would always fall down on the interests of the children and the outcome. So it was a common purpose with a shared reference and we were looking at best practice as to how we could do our business.

335 Q. Yeah.
A. But it was at a strategic level, it wasn't case been appropriate.
336 Q. You see, I haven't come to that point. You had a meeting with Ms. McInnis, isn't that correct?
A. Yes. Had the chief superintendent in Donegal stopped using the Garda HSE liaison form?
A. No, but I can only feed into -- I am trying to create -- try and get our job done, best practice, how best can he can do this within the confines of the guidelines and legalities as to what information we can
provide.
343 Q. I am saying to you, had the chief superintendent in Donegal stopped using the HSE Garda liaison form?
A. No, I don't agree there, no, we continued to use the form within the guidelines.

No, you don't. Neither you nor Tusla use the Garda liaison form?
A. We use the forms within the referral notifications.

That's not the evidence?
A. Well, that matter didn't come to my table, that there was a difficulty. The difficulty was the content and the amount of information that we were providing to another statutory agency to enable them to do the work. The matters that were coming to my attention were that there were data protection issues, there was Freedom of 14:18 Information issues, there was issues in relation to what information we could provide in terms of soft intelligence, if people were applying for jobs, if it was information that was soft, that wasn't proven, how do we exchange that information. As Tusla were subject 14:18 to the Freedom of Information, they were under different data protection matters than An Garda Síochána were at that time. So, they were dealing with the issues that I needed to deal with at a strategic level, they weren't case specific.
346 Q. Why wasn't it case specific? why weren't you concerned?
A. The meeting wasn't for that purpose. It wouldn't have been appropriate that I would discuss Garda Harrison's
case. It wouldn't be at my level, it was at the level of the district officer, Superintendent McGovern and Sergeant McGowan. It wouldn't come to my desk. Those cases wouldn't come. What would come to my desk is the criminal aspect, where a member of An Garda Síochána is involved in an alleged criminal activity, that would come to my office. What would come to my office, there was death threats against a member of An Garda Síochána.

347 Q. You sought fit and what was necessary to enquire at the meeting of the 8th October as to whether or not a Tusla reference was being made, so it was clearly a matter which had already come to your desk?
A. That was to satisfy myself that the HSE referral was made, I didn't go into any details or specifics, it was 14:19 just to satisfy myself and confirm that it had been made.

348 Q. And would you not satisfy yourself that it had been progressed?
A. That matter was dealt with at district leve1. Nobody brought it to my attention that there was a problem or issue with it.
349 Q. No, but, in fact, it never progressed, not for another four months, isn't that right?
A. As I said, I had never been briefed in relation to the HSE referral and that wasn't the purpose of my meeting with Gerry Hone.

350 Q. Yes, but it was something that should have been if your concerns -- we've already dealt with your so-called
concerns for Ms. Simms and with the reality of the threats?
A. Certainly, of Ms. Maria McInnis, who was the Donegal area manager, or Gerry Hone had a difficulty, my door was always open to them. We had a good working relationship on the ground. Neither agency had any difficulty picking up the phone and saying, look, this is not workable, we need to sort this out. We didn't have that type of relationship. We had a relationship that we wanted to work together on a common purpose with a shared reference. And that's how we operated the business on the ground.

351 Q. But you didn't ask any details about the 29 cases?
A. No.

352 Q. And what was the nature of the inadequate information?
A. The meeting wasn't case specific, the meeting was about how much information An Garda Síochána can provide to Tusla to enable them to carry out their duties within the framework and the legalities of what information that we can provide. Specifically around soft information, particularly around if it was in a family where mother and father had separated, how can we give the information between -- that was the discussion at the meeting. It wasn't case specific, it was about how can we do our business better and to come up with a formula --

353 Q. Surely an example of how you had not been doing your business correctly would have been useful?
A. But nobody had brought it to my attention we weren't
doing our business properly. I am satisfied that Superintendent McGovern, who is a very competent superintendent, carried out his business effectively in this, and it was on his table and he was the person dealing with it.
But I am asking you in relation to 29th, the first question surely you'd ask Mr. Hone when you're setting up the meeting --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

355 Q.
-- is: Can you give me an example of what is wrong
here?
A. No, no. Mr. Hone was telling me that they were finding it a difficulty, they were now going to centralise the system, they were now moving into Tusla, they were moving away from HSE, they were trying to make their systems more efficient and effective and it was about how can we make this better? How can two agencies, statutory agencies of the state do our business where we have a common purpose? And how can we share information within the legalities of sharing information? And the big difficulty for An Garda Síochána at the time when we were providing detail, that Tusla were subject to the Freedom of Information, which was posing a problem for An Garda Síochána when we're trying to gather evidence in relation to criminal investigations. So, we were being careful about what information that we could actually disclose.

356 Q. And the mechanism by which --
A. And the mechanism of how it was going to be done. And
that was the purpose of the meeting. It was at a strategic level, and it was me bringing my management team in together, that we could have an open discussion on this, to see how could we do it better. And as a result of that meeting we decided that we wouldn't give 14:22 out Pulse information because we had data protection issues, but we will give a précis of the evidence to enable Tus7a to carry out. And we always had one-to-one meetings which would further it. So, there was always ongoing dialogue between our agencies. But this was at a strategic level and to see how as an organisation we could do our job better. And it was not case specific to Garda Harrison and he was not discussed at the meeting.
But the meeting came about in the aftermath of the referral being made in respect of the simms children, isn't that correct?
A. It was in and around, yeah.

CHA RMAN I presume there's three or four more others that could be even closer.

MR. HARTY: I don't know. I don't have the disclosure. CHA RMAN Well, let's work it out. If there's 29 and there's 12 months in the year, that means there's two a month and that surely means that in November there would have been two, could have been three.

MR. HARTY: The meeting was arranged before the 8th November, that's what we know.
A. If I can assist.

CHA RMAN I'm not sure you're seeing the point,

Mr. Harty.
MR. HARTY: I am seeing the point.
A. Could I assist here, I understand that Mr. Hone was bringing to the meeting that of all the referrals he got, 29 were a difficulty. I'm sure there was a lot more than 29 and he would be best able to --

358 Q. MR. HARTY: I appreciate that?
A. There were 29.
Q. But I'm asking --

CHAN RMAN 29 examples.
A. 29 examples of where there were insufficient
information provided to enable them to carry out their duties.

CHA RMAN Yes, I have some statistics from the last hearing in relation to how many there were a year, I can look them up in any event, but there's a lot.
A. Well, I think what he was highlighting was that he had 29 within Donegal where he felt he hadn't sufficient information.
MR. HARTY: Exactly.
CHA RMAN There were 29 where he felt he was at sea.
A. Yes, that he needed more information to help him assist him in his work.
Q. MR. HARTY: Did you discuss using a different form?
A. We discussed how we could best provide the information. 14:24 There was different opinions in the room. Some people expressed that maybe we could give the Pulse data. I personally didn't agree with that because I knew there was going to be a problem in terms of data protection.

So, we decided how best we could give the information and we discussed then if we could provide a narrative, a synopsis of what we had on Pulse as précis, that that would assist them in carrying out the work, so then they could come back to us at strategy meetings. We were trying to find out how we best could do it, as I said, within the legalities that we were confined to, and the framework document.
361 Q. In terms of PALF meetings, district PALF meetings from Letterkenny?
A. Yes.
A. Divisional meetings have all been searched and these were the two documents. Apart from documents I have high1ighted where Keith Harrison is mentioned, but threats linked to this particular -- in total there were five death threats against Keith Harrison.

365 Q. And risk registers?
Q. What would they say?
A. What would they say? For example, they would say, we'11 talk about Carrickfinn Airport, they will say about how many flights coming in, what time they come in, what manpower have we in relation to any threat assessment that would take place. They will be in relation to how we police the border in terms of the dissident activity over and back, what are the resources that we employ. You know what I mean, they're on more higher strategic level than case specific, they don't go into case specifics.

369 Q. Why wasn't the Milford drive searched?
A. The Milford shared drive was searched but it wasn't Milford. I did ask for it be searched but they weren't using it.
370 Q. Superintendent Howard in his statement, his affidavit
says that the Milford drive wasn't searched?
A. It was, it wasn't used. It wasn't used at the time. You know, it wasn't a usable share drive at the time, because we did search it, and I provided that information to the Chief Superintendent Howard. They couldn't search it because they weren't using it.
Q. But you can search it, it will just bring up nothing?
A. Well, exactly.
Q. It's a different thing?
A. The only one that was really being used was the divisional office.
Q. That the on7y shared drive being used?
A. Apart from the one in Letterkenny, but the Letterkenny one didn't contain the district meetings, it only contained that one reference to the Garda Harrison that 14:27 I told you about.

374 Q. You understand better than anybody else how important it is that a Tribunal is given as much information as possible as early as possible?
A. Yes, and of relevance, yes.
Q.

You appreciate that the judgment calls in relation to relevance should at best err on the side of disclosing documentation?
A. Well, yes, but I carefully considered them. When I was served with a notice in the Donegal division, I took it 14:28 very seriously, to such an extent that I appointed a person within my own division, who was very familiar with disclosures and murder trials.

376 Q. Who is that?
A. Detective Garda Alison Moore, and she's very familiar with putting documents together like this. So, she was the person who I selected to do the disclosure and everybody fed into her in relation to what disclosure, and then I met with her to determine then --
Q. The relevance or otherwise?
A. Well, only in relation to -- I didn't determine other people's relevance, only my own. what advice did you receive in relation to determining relevance?
A. From?
A. I received the instruction that came out from Assistant Commissioner Eugene Corcoran, we received information through the portal in relation to the duty to preserve evidence and collect all relevant material to the Tribunal. I have to say, Mr. Harty, the amount of documents that are created in Donegal in relation to Garda Keith Harrison is vast and if you look at the amount of documents that were disclosed from the Donegal division, they're very, very high. So, we look it very seriously, we carried out the checks, we wrote to every retired member in the division, we searched all the documentation and the shared drives on the computers that we could.
380 Q. Who gave you advice as to how to determine relevance? CHA RMAK what are we going into now, Peruvi an Guano, because it is Peruvi an Guano, the word actually means something. Is that what you want me to do, wallow
around in Guano.
MR. HARTY: We11 Peruvi an Guano is a very valuable thing.
CHA RMAR Well, it is but most other countries have just decided that it is in fact Guano and they have moved onto something else. Look, Mr. Harty, in the event that there is any element of cunning here, the central question has to be: Is there something new in this document that we don't know before? And I actually can't see anything new in it that hasn't been gone over dozens of times at this stage.

MR. HARTY: Firstly, the question of relevance is not determined by what is new or not. Secondly, in relation to --

CHA RMAN I know all about relevance, Mr. Harty, believe me, I have even written about relevance. My goodness, $I$ know all about relevance, but, you know, it helps to have relevance and it helps to have focus. When you have focus as to what the allegations are, then you can see what's relevant. Now, you seem to
think that you're entitled to not to put any case from Garda Keith Harrison, you seem to think that you're here to do the inquiry, well, you're not, 1 'm here to do the inquiry. So, if you want to make the case that in fact at this meeting of 5 th November 2013, that Garda Keith Harrison was in fact discussed.
MR. HARTY: 6th November, the briefing document was the 5 th, the meeting took place on the 6th.
CHA RMAR If you want to make the case that Garda

Keith Harrison was discussed, then please make that case. But I think you are actually obliged to make a case at this stage if you want to make a case. Now, if you don't want to make a case, well that's different.
But it can't go on forever talking about documents and talking about relevance and talking about disclosure. I know courts in the past have got excited about that, but I'm not about to get excited about it, because at the moment I can't see there is anything other than an honest mistake involved here.
381 Q. MR. HARTY: In relation to the meeting of the 6th November, it makes it patently clear that the assistant commissioner was involved and was briefed a month later in relation to the decisions that were made at the meeting of the 8 th october in respect of the statement taken by Marisa Simms, isn't that correct?
A. On the 8th October I called him.

382 Q. Yes.
A. I briefed him in relation to the 102 referral and the death threats.

383 Q. And he said he had no notes about it?
A. Well.

384 Q. Yes.
A. I told him, but it was basically from the fact that the 102 referral and that GSOC were not happy that An Garda 14:32 Síochána had made a 102 , and as he was my line manager, they would be making references to him, and the death threats, the death threats against Garda Keith Harrison were taken very seriously and I wanted to brief him,
but I was aware he been briefed by -- he got a copy by Superintendent English had been sent to his office, he was the superintendent on call for the weekend. You see, the reason why this is relevant and just so you be satisfied, the Tribunal is asking what's new. What's new is that this is a document that makes it perfectly clear that Assistant Commissioner Kenny had another document on the 5 th November in relation to Garda Keith Harrison and that Garda Keith Harrison was then discussed at a meeting on the 6th December in the presence and with Assistant Commissioner Kenny.

CHA RMAK 6th December?
386 Q. MR. HARTY: November.
A. 6th November, yeah, very briefly.

CHA RMAN But again I am asking the question, so what, 14:33 surely they should discuss it. I mean, there is a statement by the domestic partner of a Garda which on the face of it is very serious, there is a death threat against that Garda, a person whose domestic partner he is, is a person who has been convicted of manslaughter, 14:33 is serving time in jail, and the death threat seems to have come by phone, and a phone enquiry reveals that the person in question had in fact a mobile phone in Portlaoise prison. So, all of those are relevant things and I would be surprised if they didn't go up the line. The question $I$ 'm inquiring into, however, is contacts between members of An Garda Síochána and Tusla in relation to Garda Keith Harrison.

MR. HARTY: And the use and distribution of files
created by Tusla to module o, which the Tribunal has said at the moment it is parking representation issues with Garda Harrison.

CHA RMAN Any pattern of creation, distribution or use by Tusla of files containing allegations of criminal -- 14:34 no, I mean, I'm asking myself the question, is there any pattern here?
MR. HARTY: we're not dealing with module o now.
CHA RMAN To have a pattern you need two things, like a finger isn't a pattern, but two fingers crossed in some way is a pattern. So, certainly I have it in the back of my mind as to what pattern may be created by any of this. But I'm looking into Tusla, that's what I am looking into, Garda and Tusla.
MR. HARTY: Garda Harrison was told that he did not have a scintilla of evidence to suggest that this matter went outside the Donegal division.
CHA RMAN who told you that?
MR. HARTY: Mr. Marrinan.
CHA RMAN Yes. We11, I presume he told him that in the form of a question.

MR. HARTY: We11, I wasn't aware that it was the purpose of questioning by counsel for the Tribunal to trick people to see if they did in fact have a scintilla of evidence.
MR. MARR NAN Sir, sorry, if I can just intervene here. It's totally unnecessary for Mr. Harty to make that comment. Garda Harrison was questioned in relation to try and isolate the issues and isolate the
case that he was making in terms of what influence did headquarters have in matters on the ground. It wasn't done with a view to tricking him in any shape or form or otherwise. Perhaps Mr. Harty would withdraw the comment, because he knows the context in which it was made.

MR. HARTY: I also know the context and that the comments were made by the Tribunal when I just responded to what the Tribunal just said, and I don't propose in those circumstances to deal with it because the Tribunal suggests somehow there was an innocent question without -- which Garda Harrison could have answered by himself. The answer is: Had this disclosure been made, Garda Harrison would have had more than a scintilla of evidence in relation to it and 14:36 we only received this disclosure now and it's a new thing.

CHA RMAN Mr. Harty, there's two things. It's not whether a document is new, it's whether a fact is new. It's not whether an enquiry has been made, it's whether 14:36 an enquiry can usefully be made. Now, in relation to the use of the word "trick" in relation to Mr. Marrinan, I think it's fair to say that I have read many of the cases throughout, in fact, the common law world in relation to confessions, fair methods of questioning, the circumstances under which people may be suggestible, and in particular $I$ sat through the evidence of Professor Gísli Guðjónsson in the Morris Tribunal and I actually read his book, and one of the
most obnoxious things that can occur in the context of any questioning of an individual is that they should be tricked in relation to something, whereby, for instance, a policeman goes into a person in custody and says, oh, by the way, we have your fingerprint on the silver salver that was beside the murder victim on the floor in such and such a premises, in which case the person under questioning confesses. So, to accuse someone of tricking somebody is to accuse them of something truly obnoxious.

Now, perhaps you didn't mean it do it, but it's a matter between you, frankly, and your conscience as to whether you wish to leave that the matter uncorrected. For my part, I can't imagine that Mr. Marrinan would ever do anything like that, and secondly, I have been sitting here all the time and it simply didn't happen, that he tried to trick anybody. So that's it. Let's just try and continue and finish this, maybe this afternoon.
MR. HARTY: I would ask the Tribunal to leave my conscience out of things.
387 Q. Chief Chief Superintendent McGinn --
CHA RMAN Sorry, Mr. Harty, you know, that may sound very smart, but, you know, you brought Mr. Marrinan's conscience into it, because a trick is a deliberate thing with a view to deceiving somebody, that's a matter of conscience. Now, if you want to accuse somebody of that, that's a matter between you and your
conscience, but you brought Mr. Marrinan's conscience into it.
MR. HARTY: This Tribunal is well aware that what I am saying was a hypothetical response to a question -CHA RMAN Mr. Harty, if you want to start shouting at me, if you want to start shouting at me, believe me, I am not going to sit here and listen to it. Now, you can continue with your examination in you wish or you can start shouting at me, in which case I am going to leave until such time as you calm down.
MR. HARTY: I don't have any further questions.
CHA RMAK Has anybody else got questions?
MR. BARNES: I have no questions.
MR. PONER: Might I clarify one matter with the Chief.

## CH EF SUPER NTENDENT TERRY MEG NN MAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR PONER, AS FOLLONB:

388 Q. MR. POVER: You mentioned a meeting with Ms. Maria MCInnis and you mentioned it having taken place on the 6th September, I wonder if you want to say anything about the date that you had the meeting.
A. No, I think the date of the meeting was the 8 th.

389 Q. Sorry, the 8th, yes, you received a letter from her on the 6 th, but what month was the meeting in? October?
A. October, yes.

390 Q. Or September?
A. No, September, September. June I think, was it, I have to check actually the.

391
Q. Sorry about that, thank you very much?
A. Thank you very much.

MR. MARRI NAN Nothing arises. CHA RMAN Thanks, chief superintendent.
A. Thank you.

## THE WTNESS WTHDREW

MS. LEADER: Mr. Hone is next, sir, and his statement is at page 2719 of volume 8 of the materials.

## MR. GERRY HONE, HAV NG BEEN PREV OUSLY SUDRN, WAS

## FURTHER- EXAM NED BY MS. LEADER

396 Q. M5. LEADER: Mr. Hone, thank you for coming back to the 14:40 Tribunal. I think you recall attending a meeting at Letterkenny Garda Station on 29th November 2013?
A. Correct, yes.

397 Q. Yes. Could you tell the Tribunal what the purpose, as
you understood it, of you attending that meeting was?
A. Yes. I think for a good while prior to that meeting, I suppose to give a bit of context, we were in the process of establishing the Child and Family Agency at that time, and we were in the process of separating from the HSE. So, our own new structures within the Child and Family Agency were being bedded in and bedded down. So we were trying to actually review our relationships between the new agency and our colleagues in other agencies that we worked closely with. We were 14:41 re-examining some of the issues that we had been experiencing. So, we were meeting with lots of agencies at that particular time. So, I referred to the Children and Young People's Services Committee, that was being set up at the time, where there were subgroups with key partners in mental health in the community and voluntary sector through the various subgroups of that meeting. But in respect of the Gardaí, this particular meeting, I think when I reviewed my documentation, really came on the back of the original request from my manager, Maria McInnis, who was area manager at the time, to sit down with the Gardaí to discuss joint issues. Now, as I was -- I was principal social worker at the time, so, she being my manager, would have depended on me in terms of anything 14:42 to do with operational issues between child protection, child welfare and the Gardaí, because I managed the team leaders who worked on the front line.

So, the purpose of this meeting was to actually put certain issues on the table for discussion that were relevant to both agencies, as in relevant to the Child and Family Agency and relevant to the Gardaí in terms of operation of their role and function and particular issues that were causing difficulty for a period of time at that point. So that was the purpose of the meeting.
Okay. Ms. McInnis's letter to Chief Superintendent McGinn which appears at 2722 of the materials and is also appended to your statement, if we could just have that up on the screen in front of you, Mr. Hone. Ms. McInnis was seeking to meet with the chief superintendent to review ongoing collaborations between the Donegal Children and Family Services and the Gardaí?
A. Yes.
Q. There were a number of areas she wanted to discuss, one of them being child protection notification system?
A. Correct.

400 Q. okay. You, following on from that letter, eventually attended a meeting on 29th November 2013?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Okay. I think you conducted an extensive search of your emails in order to ascertain how it was actually arranged that you attend on 29th November 2013, and on foot of that traw1 of your emails you found one email rearranging the date, is that correct?
A. Yes, correct. .
 7

402
Q. That email is at page 2809 of the materials, if that can be brought up. It's an email from Denise McBride, who is Denise McBride?
A. Denise McBride was my secretary at the time. It's dated the 19th November, the subject is re meeting 14:44 with Superintendent McGinn and she sets out:
"Hi Gerry, you were to meet with Superintendent MEGinn re revi ew of Garda notifications on Thursday, 27th November, but they need to cancel this now. They want to know if you would be available on Friday, 29th November instead. You were to check this date out for me as you were unsure if you would be in the office that day. Regards, Deni se. "
A. Correct. That would suggest to me, because Denise was my secretary, that she would have received a phone call requesting that change to happen, or a written correspondence, but I haven't found any written correspondence so that's why I'm assuming it was a phone call requesting a change in the date.

404 Q. Do you recall any direct dealings you may have had with any member of An Garda Síochána setting up that meeting?
A. No. I have no, I have no direct memory, but I know in 14:45 terms of the person I would have been talking to in respect of front line issues to do with notifications and other issues to do with joint issues with the Gardaí, that would have been Eunan Walsh. So I would
presume it was via him that this actually got set up. Because I was seeking a forum to attend to actually address the issues where it could be done jointly, but it had to be a forum as well that covered the whole county. Hence the decision to attend the divisional meeting, because the different inspectors from different parts of the county would be present, and so the issues would be discussed at a senior level. okay. Did you in preparation for the meeting look up any particular notifications that your agency had received from An Garda Síochána?
A. We did a review. My office received all Garda notifications at the time. So, we were able to check, because we've a database of all notifications, so we were able to check how many I had to write back to the Gardaí on requesting clarifications, yeah. And the number that came to be, I think it was 29, as I said in my statement. So, I would have gone in knowing that there was 29 where we had to seek clarification, but there was no discussion about anything to do with any individual one, it was just given the number to say this is illustrative of the problem that we have, and maybe to point out, the Gardaí nationally and in Donegal are the biggest referrer into the child and Family Agency, into Tusla. So, you're talking 25 to $30 \%$ of our referrals. So, if you break that down, the numbers won't be very precise, so Donegal could get between 1,000 and 1,200 referrals a year. So, you're talking anywhere between 250 and 300 referrals from

Gardaí. So, out of that, 29 were causing an issue, yeah. referral, was it replied to in a standard format or was it taken on a case-by-case basis?
A. Yes. It was generally a standard format that would go out to the Gardaí, just to say that there's insufficient information on the form for us to act and we will need further information, yes.
okay.
A. The particular problem I think that was emerging on those notifications was that you would get a box ticked in terms of one of the abuse categories and then you might have a piece of information, but you would say, well, the facts that actually led to the Gardaí to tick 14:48 that box in terms of emotional abuse or neglect or whatever it was, there wasn't enough factual detail, yeah. So it might say, for example, child at home or child at home, you know, when they should be at school, and that might be put down as neglect, yeah. So, that's a big jump to make without more factual information in order to allow us to act in terms of a neglect issue. So it was that type of example, yeah.
408 Q. Insofar as there may have been a standard response adopted, I wonder if page 115 of the materials, if we go back to the Simms notification, could be brought up in front of you. That is a letter written by you on 16th October 2013?
A. Yes.

409 Q. I think you acknowledge receipt of the notification, copy attached.
"However, as there is no evi dence of abuse det ailed, no further action will be taken fromthis service until we 14:49 recei ve more inf ormation."
A. Yes.

410 Q. Then you say it has been forwarded to Bridgeen Smith for information purposes only.
A. Yes.

411 Q. Is that a standard response, do you think, or is that different from --
A. That's standard. The on7y difference that it might have is, until we receive more information, because I was aware from the initial Garda notification that it had in brackets that the HSE were to contact the Gardaí on receipt of the notification. So, I would have known that the Gardaí held further information but it just wasn't on the notification form.
412 Q. Okay. Now, if we could come back to the 29th November, did you take any notes at the meeting?
A. No.

413 Q. okay. Is that norma1?
A. That's norma1. This was a Gardaí divisional meeting, 14:50 it wasn't a Child and Family Agency meeting. So in my view it's up to them to minute that meeting, yes.

414 Q. okay. I wonder if you could turn to the notes that Sergeant Duffy prepared in relation to the meeting,
which are at page 2560 of the materials, amongst other places? Do you remember who was present? Have you a memory of Chief Superintendent McGinn.
A. Yes.

415 Q. Superintendent McGovern?
A. Yes.

416 Q. Superintendent Finan?
A. Yes.

417 Q. Superintendent Archbold?
A. Yes.

418 Q. Inspector Harrison?
A. My memory of Superintendent McGinn and McGovern are very definite because $I$ know them. The others I didn't know so well, but I knew inspector Michael Harrison very well. So, I remember them being present. The others I can't be one hundred percent sure but I have no reason to doubt that they weren't.
419 Q. If we could just scroll down that document. Then we see that it's recorded that you spoke to the meeting re HSE referrals?
A. Yes.

420 Q. Do you remember it being a discussion or more akin to a presentation, are you speaking to the meeting and no interaction? I don't know if you can help the Tribunal in any way?
A. No, I certainly had -- I think I provided the topics for discussion, mostly.

421 Q. Yes.
A. And then it was a discussion.

422
Q
A. Yes.

423 Q. You remember what was discussed?
A. Yes.
A. That wasn't my expectation, that we would, it was to do with strategic issues. I think, it's important I went in, there were certain serious problems emerging and they've already been alluded to but, the example, like this just wasn't about Garda notifications, I have to say, I mean there's lots of other things in the minutes 14:52 here. A big issue at the time was around Freedom of Information, referrals that were coming in from the Gardaí. I suppose my discussion with the Gardaí went along the lines of, well, if we are going to have a Gardaí notification on our files and it's open to disclosure, then I wanted Garda notifications to be as accurate as possible, so that means hold enough information at least to show why you thought it was appropriate to refer a certain matter on to the child and Family Agency. As far as Children First is suspect that abuse has occurred or could occur, then they have to refer that under Children First. They do not need a standard of proof, that's about conviction,
all they need is a proof to suspect that abuse is occurring. That's the same in terms of our -- vice versa, if the child and Family Agency is notifying back, it's the same standard. We're both caught with the same forms under Children First in terms of our notifications centre around reporting of abuse, yeah. And even the HSE, the old HSE document that reported concerns to the Gardaí was itself around abuse, yeah. But I wanted to be clear that if families have access to information, that information should be accurate and it should be proportionate to the response that's actually on the file.
426 Q. You didn't stay for the whole of the meeting; is that correct?
A. No, my understanding, I was attending what was a Gardaí meeting and I was attending a slot at the meeting in order to discuss these matters. The other issues around Freedom of Information for me at the time, was, there was changes happening in Freedom of Information legislation. We were receiving information from Gardaí around matters that might be subject to ongoing criminal investigation whilst at the same time we were receiving freedom of Information requests from people in the community and we were hitting a problem as to, well, what can we disclose or what can't we. The new Freedom of Information legislation deals with this, in that we don't have to release information that's subject to criminal investigation. But at that point, it was a problem for the service, yeah.

427 Q. Now, I think in your statement which you made on the 19th of this month, you also refer to other meetings that you attended with members of An Garda Síochána?
A. Correct.

428 Q. Without referring to any specific other meeting, was the Simms referral discussed at any of those other meetings?
A. Never. No, never. And I would have to say, coming into these meetings $I$ had no particular case in my mind to discuss with the Gardaí, it was more the issues, general operational issues, and I saw the meeting, and this one in particular, and other ones that I have attended with my manager, Maria McInnis, as an opportunity to deal with those operational issues, to allow the more smooth functioning of the front line.
429 Q. Okay. In relation to emails which you've disclosed at the Tribunal?
A. Yes.
Q. I think at one stage there was a query which you were required to answer, it's at page 2832 of the materials, 14:56 it would seem to be a meeting with the Gardaí, sometimes in February of 2014.
A. Yes.

It was a planned meeting with the Garda senior management on Thursday. A request had been put in as to whether your colleagues could advise whether or not there were regular liaison meetings with the Gardaí.
"If you can name the level of reaction, e. g. mont hly,
the rank of Gardaí and thei r names if possi ble. If you have any difficulty or lack of cooper ation when you seek assistance, if there are any final issues you need rai sed. "

Is that correct?
A. That's correct.

432 Q. That was the request?
A. Yes. And that request, as I understand it, is because, this is the national office having a meet with the senior management of Gardaí nationally.
Q. Okay. I think on foot of that query you sent that down to social workers who you were responsible to. And Bridgeen Smith would seem to have replied to you on 5th February 2014, which reply is at page 2829 of the materials, setting out that she:
"Meets regul arly with Sergeants hal sh and McGowan both - - "

I think it's liaison officers, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Child and Family Liaison Officers?
A. Children First Liaison Officers.
Q. Children First, thank you?

437 Q.
A. Yes.
"We have no outstanding issues. We meet as and when required for strategy meeting and additionally if
required for the purpose of revi ew of j oint actions. Bridgeen Smith, soci al work teamleader."
A. Correct.
Q. Do you understand that to mean that she meets with
liaison officers in relation to particular cases whenever that needs to be done?
A. Yes. Absolutely. Yes.
Q. Was any further information forth coming from her or was there any --
A. No.
Q. -- information in relation to any particular cases forthcoming from her?
A. No, no information in relation to particular cases.

441 Q. okay. Was that information conveyed?
A. Yes. That would have been conveyed, it would have been 14:59 collated among the teams.
Q. Yes.
A. And then put back up to the national office for discussion at senior level.
Q. So, Ms. Smith wouldn't have been -- am I correct in saying Ms. Smith wouldn't have been referenced at the national meeting?
A. No, no.
Q. okay.
A. No, not at a11. No, I'd be expected to do the response on behalf of the area to the national office. So, anybody would be mentioned, it would be me, yeah, just in terms of the response from Donegal, yeah.
Thank you very much. If you would answer any questions anybody else might have for you.

MR. BARNES: I no questions, Chairman, thank you.

MR. GERRY HONE WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. HARTY, AS FOLLOVG:

446 Q. MR. HARTY: There was a note taken of the meeting as we11 by Superintendent Finan?
A. Yes.

447 Q. That's at 2717. I want to just look at one thing, which is, in relation to the mechanism by which people interact with the guards, it was between the liaison officer in any individual district and team leader, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes, but it could be the social workers 15:00 and the liaison officer as well, yes.

448 Q. But it was supposed to be through the team leader, wouldn't that be correct? It should be through the team leader first and foremost and then to the social workers?
A. At the initial point, but it depends, sometimes when we receive a notification I'11 write back and I'11 say the team leader dealing with this particular matter is.
449 Q. Yeah.
A. So, that will give the Gardaí the first point of contact as the case develops on further, if a social worker gets identified.
450 Q. Yes.
A. The contact is then through the social worker.

451 Q. Directly through the social worker?
A. Yes.

452 Q. I think your evidence is that there were 250 referrals from the Donegal division?
A. Approximately, yeah, approximately.

453 Q. So, I'm correct in saying that obviously there would be hot spots, the larger urban or quasi urban areas would obviously have a greater degree of referral, I'm presuming, and from rural areas a lesser degree?
A. Yes. Donegal is quite unique in terms of it has a lot of rural profit, yeah.
Q. Right?
A. So, a lot of problems can be rural and not precisely urban.
Q. Right.
A. So, for example, Inishowen would be a very busy district, where Buncrana is, and that's largely related to it has things like $33 \%$ unemployment, it has a lot of social issues, a lot of rural isolation, it doesn't necessarily have a big centre, other than maybe Buncrana and Carndonagh would be the two biggest towns, but a lot of rural issues as well, yes.

456 Q. Would those referrals therefore be spread relatively evenly throughout the county, the $240-250$ referrals?
A. They would. We had four teams, four social work teams at that particular point, the teams were divided geographically, almost as per referral rates, yeah. So each team was dealing with same number of referrals. So, two social work teams in Letterkenny, one kind of went northwest, the other southeast and covered half the town each. Then you'd a team in Donegal Town and then a team in Inishowen, yeah.
Q. So, Milford would be covered by?
A. It'd be covered by a Letterkenny team, the one that went north, yeah, yeah.

458 Q. If there were 250 referrals, you would expect 250 engagements between a team leader and a liaison officer, would that be correct?
A. Yes. I can't comment on that exactly, because it would 15:03 depend on what was decided, but you're correct in terms of, if a Garda notification comes in and $I$ put it out to the team leader, there would have to be a conversation between the Gardaí and social work, whether that's instigated by social work or the Gardaí, 15:03 yeah.

459 Q. If we come to that email at page 2829 from Bridgeen Smith:
"I meet regul arly with Sergeant Wal sh and MEGowan, both 15:03 CFLs. "

Children First liaison officers.
A. Yes.

Q. What would you understand by regularly in that context?
A. This would be determined by the amount of referrals coming in at any point in time. So, it's hard to say, you know, referrals don't tend to come weekly, they could sporadically. You could have 20 one week and you 15:04 could have none for two weeks, yeah. So that's the way it operates. So, in the week you'd have 20, you would expect a lot of contact between social work and the Gardaí. Then you may not have some for a while but then it starts again, based on the notifications coming 15:04 through, yeah.
Q. Would but interpret from the word regularly as somebody who would have contact at least monthly?
A. oh, yes, absolutely.
Q. And possibly more frequently, depending on the --
A. Possibly, possibly more frequently, yes. For a team leader like bridgeen Smith, who was on a Letterkenny team, you're dealing with two different Children First liaison officers.
Q. Yes.
A. Depending on what the address of a particular family is. So, that's why there's two mentioned in that particular email.
Q. Yes. Would there be any circumstance where you could envisage that Ms. Smith wouldn't have contact with a liaison officer for five months?
A. It would depend what's going on I suppose with -- it'd be highly unusual, I would have to say.
Q. It certainly wouldn't be regular?
A. No, that's not regular contact, but there might be regular contact if Bridgeen Smith is off or she's away somewhere.
Q. Yes.
A. Or there's somebody covering for her, then there would be an acting team leader put in place, or there's always a duty officer in place on each of the teams. So there could well be liaison between the duty officer and the Gardaí, yes.
Thank you very much, Mr. Hone?
A. Thank you.

MR. DI GNM No questions, Chair.
ME. LEADER: Nothing arising, thank you.

MR. HONE WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. MEDERMDTT, AS

## FOLLO/5:

468 Q. MR. MEDERMDTT: Chairman, on behalf of Tusla. Mr. Hone, can you confirm that since the Tribunal last sat you conducted a full review of a11 emails you had between October 2013 and March 2014, to see had you missed anything, can you confirm whether or not you found any additional documents referencing Keith Harrison or the matters being looked at in this module?
A. Yes, I did complete a complete check of all my emails. There was an issue on the first pass, where the first check was done, where a virus was noticed on my email system. They were made available to me and I checked those manually and they have also been checked
electronically and there's no reference to either Keith Harrison or the Simms children.

And, I think, Mr. Hone, you're aware Arthur Cox did an electronic review of data using key words that had been suggested by the Tribunal that had been identified and again has it been brought to your attention whether any further documents have emerged referencing Garda Keith Harrison or the incidents under review in this module?
A. No, no further documents emerged.

470 Q.
As a result of the extra work that's been done since the Tribunal sat, are you satisfied that the evidence you've given covers all of the interactions between Tusla and Garda Keith Harrison?
A. Yes, I am satisfied.

MR. MEDERMDTT: Thank you.
MS. LEADER: Nothing arising. Thank you, Mr. Hone.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MARRI NAN Sir, that concludes the evidence today.
The evidence that was called today arose out of questions that arose on the 1ast day, 11th October, and all matters have been addressed bar one, Assistant Commissioner Kieran Kenny, who is holidays in the Far East, has been contacted and the indication that he has 15:08 given to the solicitors on behalf of An Garda Síochána is that he has no recollection, particular recollection of the meeting on 6th November 2013, which he chaired. It doesn't appear that he has anything to offer the

Tribuna1.

We also have an affidavit of discovery that has been provided by Detective Chief Superintendent Anthony Howard, that's set out at page 2645 of volume 8.

On review of that, we are happy that a11 matters that are relevant to this term of reference have been disclosed by An Garda Síochána to the Tribunal and that all relevant matters have been inquired into.
CHA RMAN So, let's hear submissions then. It's traditional that counsel for the Tribunal don't make any submissions.
MR. MARRI NAN Thank you.
CHA RMAN So, are you in a position to make
submissions now or would you like to reply to
submissions, Mr. Harty, or how would you like to do it? I suppose there's a couple of ways of looking at this. Tusla is in the firing line, so maybe Tusla should make submissions first. Now, in the event that anybody crosses over anybody else's submissions, of course I will give a right of reply, and that's universal, whether you go first or second. Does anybody have any problem with that? Do you want to go first, Mr. Harty. MR. HARTY: I don't wish to go first, sir. I am of the 15:10 view that the Tribunal should consider whether or not further disclosure is required and whether or not now is an appropriate time to close the module as such. I appreciate the Tribunal might have a different view but
to a large extent I will be limiting my submission purely to that issue.
CHA RMAN To what issue?
MR. HARTY: To the issue of whether or not sufficient disclosure has been made to the Tribunal.

CHA RMAK By whom do you say sufficient disclosure has not been made?
MR. HARTY: I say that, for example, in relation to the disclosure made by An Garda Síochána, it is limited in its terms and it is too limited in terms of the search. I fully accept the bona fides of Detective Chief Superintendent Howard in carrying out his searches, but the documentation that is sought and the documentation that arose from that I say is too limited and it is clear from what has taken place during the course of the Tribunal that documentation has continued to appear throughout which is directly relevant and I think the Tribunal appreciates the relevance of that documentation.

The limit of the general search to Garda Harrison and Tusla, I say is too narrow a search in relation to what he refers to as category 8, and a broader search in relation to documentation referring to Garda Harrison over a narrower timeframe is what would be necessary. In other words, a timeframe in this regard from August to March would encapsulate 2013 to 2014. But it needs to be a broader, general search in relation to relevant documentation.

We have seen also in relation to the evidence of Chief Superintendent McGinn that she determined documentation to be irrelevant in circumstances whereby I would submit the document is plainly relevant, I appreciate the Tribunal's view that the relevance might not be as important because it might disclose nothing new, but it still remains to be a relevant document, and it wasn't excluded by Chief Superintendent McGinn on the basis that it contained nothing new, but rather that as far as she was concerned it didn't refer to Tusla and therefore it wasn't relevant.

In relation to the disclosure made by the HSE, a very new matter, which has only -- in fact, while there was suspicion about it, only come to my attention whereby I can have some certainty in relation to it, was the rather, at the time $I$ thought extraordinary evidence from team leader Bridgeen Smith, that from the 21st October to March of the following year she had no contact at all with Sergeant Brigid McGowan. The document that we have now received at 2829 --

CHA RMAN Well, was she asked in relation to anything on earth.

MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHA RMAK Anything on earth.
MR. HARTY: Anything on earth.
CHA RMAN Have you got a transcript reference?
MR. HARTY: Yes, the transcript reference is Day 30,
page 201.
CHA RMAN Was she asked did she have any contact with MR. HARTY:
"Q. How many times di d you see Brigid McGowan bet ween Oct ober and J anuary 2013, 2014.

A I di dn't.
Q. You di dn't see.
A. No.
Q. At all.
A. That is correct.
Q. Did she try contacting you at any stage?
A. I had no further correspondence with Sergeant

MEGowan fromthe strategy meeting that I chaired with her in respect of this case.
Q. So, this is the time when l'mtold by Ms. McTeague that it's a particularly busy time with domestic vi ol ence, child welfare, when in fact there was nothing at all coming from Mlford giving rise to a strategy meeting from October to J anuary.
A. We may have just been deal ing with Letterkenny at that particular time, I don't recall, but l certainly did not have any further strategy meetings with Ser geant MkGowan from 21st October until after this case cl osed. "

CHA RMAN No, any further strategy meetings?
MR. HARTY: No, she said, I didn't have any further --

CHAN RMAN Was she asked about Sergeant Walsh?
MR. HARTY: Well, Sergeant walsh isn't relevant to this.

CHA RMAK We11, I know, but I mean those were the two 1iaison persons. So, it may not be unusual that she is 15:15 dealing with one but is dealing with the other, or vice versa.

MR. HARTY: She has said in an emai1, "I reet regul arly with Sergeant hal sh and McGowan". She said she didn't see her at all from October to January.
CHA RMAN We11, you're saying that I ought to do something else, what is it you're saying.
MR. HARTY: I think that I need disclosure in order to deal with that rather central thing, because that is about contact between Gardaí and Tusla, from both the Gardaí and Tus1a, of all contacts between Bridgeen Smith, I think Donna McTeague to be safe and Sergeant McGowan. That it's a limited search category on Ms. Smith's version of events and from the 22nd October so that I can leave out the meeting of the 21st October, to the 28th February, but any contact between those two overlap times. It's quite a central issue, that Ms. Smith found it necessary or herself capable to swear that she had no contact at all over that period.

The final matter which I say is critical is the contact with Assistant Commissioner Kenny. Until we received disclosure of Chief Superintendent McGinn's diary, which arose as a result of Ms. Leader's zeal in
checking to make sure that absolutely everything she could find was there when Chief Superintendent McGinn was giving evidence, and was only disclosed on that basis, it became clear that Assistant Commissioner Kenny was contacted on the day of the 8th October. It 15:17 was only following the evidence from Chief Superintendent McGinn that we were disclosed the meeting of the 6th November, and it is on7y today that we receive the briefing document of the 5 th November. But all of those documents make it clear that Assistant 15:17 Commissioner Kenny was certainly briefed, and in respect of some matters, one would assume on the phone call where he was briefed, gave some opinion as to what needed to be done, and I do not accept that Assistant Commissioner Kenny, from the other side of the world, can simply say, I recall nothing about it. He does have officers journals, there must be correspondence in relation to him. I don't know what search has been carried out in relation to that. And it is directly relevant, because it takes place and I am mindful of not straying too far into module o, but in respect of module N , for the period between August to March of 2014, that a proper statement of evidence from Assistant Commissioner Kenny should be seen by the Tribunal before it goes to its conclusion.
CHA RMAN Al1 right, thank you. We11, today I have sat here and I have learned absolutely nothing new. That's the position I am in. There has been a complete waste of time in my view and I don't propose to go on
and attempt to squeeze further information out of people when there is clearly no information to be had that is in any way relevant to the issues that the Tribunal is required to consider in consequence of all of the evidence that has been heard.

Now, I also want to point out that this Tribunal didn't go on holidays for seven weeks in the summer. The Tribunal started in February and started gathering information and putting together a hearing of this kind, which eventually involves 2837 documents which seem to be potentially relevant, is a mammoth undertaking, the hearing should have lasted two weeks, it's now lasted four weeks. There has to come to a point where you say what you're obliged to do is what is reasonable, what you're obliged to do is make a human inquiry, not an obsessive inquiry, not an obsessive compulsive inquiry, what you are obliged to do is isolate issues and to focus yourself on those issues and ask yourself the question: Given that the matter has been referred by the Oireachtas to the Tribunal and given that the Tribunal's jurisdiction cannot stray outside what the oireachtas has referred, have I now investigated contacts between members of An Garda Síochána and Tusla in relation to Garda Keith thing that is missing in terms of the In re Haughey guidelines is submissions in relation to the issue in the case. People are entitled to be represented in the
event that their character or credit is put in issue because they have a constitutional right to their good name under Article 40.3 of the Constitution, and they are represented here.

The Tribunal has put forward all the relevant information through counse 1 and that has been done in my view eminently fairly and eminently sensibly. It seems to me it's proper now that I ask for submissions from people because there is not only the right to be represented and the right to cross-examine, there is also the right to make submissions at the end. Those submissions, it seems to me, relate to a number of issues upon which I would invite the parties now to make submissions, not to ask me to come back tomorrow, when I'm attempting to sit in the Supreme Court at ten o'clock on another case, but to do it now, if they can, and if they can't finish today, well then we will sit another day or perhaps tomorrow after I am finished and as far as I'm concerned I am afraid I am going to have to ask people to dance attendance on me as soon as I am finished tomorrow in the event that we don't finish now. But it is proper, I think, at this stage, to say that an inquiry is not a loose, amorphous, unwoven exercise in thinking about this, that and the other, and furthermore, nothing of what I am going to mention now can come as a surprise to anyone who has sat through any portion of this Tribunal for more than about an hour.

As soon as any Judge in the High Court, and I was a Judge in the High Court for about eight years, finishes an oral hearing, the first thing that he or she does is ask themselves the question: what exactly am I deciding here? That makes things perfectly clear. And what exactly am I deciding here was outlined by me in relation to the chronology which started on December 2010 and ended on 10th February 2017, on the second last occasion on which we sat. But distilling this down into a series of questions is now, it seems to me, the right thing to do because these are the things that are on my mind and I would ask people for submissions in relation to that.

Firstly, was there an animus done against Garda Keith Harrison in Donegal?

Two, if there was, did this result in bullying of Garda Keith Harrison? Is there any evidence of that.

Three, did any such animus, if it existed, result in a plan to induce Marisa Simms into coming to the Garda station on the 6th October 2013 for the purpose of making a negative statement against Garda Keith Harrison? In other words, was there such a plan by anybody? It doesn't have to be a conspiracy, any individual Garda, any group of Gardaí.

Fourthly, was the statement of Marisa Simms of that date coerced from Marisa Simms so that it doesn't actually represent what she wanted to say in any fair minded way?

Fifthly, if that statement was coerced from Marisa Simms by the Gardaí, and in particular we're talking about Sergeant McGowan and Inspector Sheridan here, did Chief Superintendent McGinn, Superintendent McGovern, know or suspect that?

Sixthly, if they did know or suspect that this was a coerced statement that was not reliable, then was the HSE referral by the Gardaí in relation to the Simms children wrongful?

Seventhly, if they, meaning Chief Superintendent McGinn, Superintendent McGovern, in other words the higher up officers in Donegal, did not know that the statement was coerced, was the HSE referral nonetheless 15:25 looking at it on face value without this issue of coercion a proper referral under the Children First Guidelines?

Eighth7y, even if the HSE referral was properly made, was it then improperly influenced or interfered with by the Gardaí?

And then ninthly, did the social workers involved, that
is to say Bridgeen Smith and Donna McTeague, carry out their job properly and professionally in terms of what was needed in the light of the information which they had or believed themselves to have had in addressing themselves to that problem, or did they instead cast off the cloak of professional integrity and pursue a wrongful interference in the lives of Garda keith Harrison, Marisa Simms and the Simms children?

Those are the issues. That's perfectly clear to everybody, it seems to me, throughout that. So, Mr. McDermott, maybe you would like to start, as it's clear to me that it's the HSE that is directly in the firing line here.

## SUBM SSI ON BY MR. MCDERMDTT

MR. MEDERMOTT: May it please you. on behalf of Tusla, I think the first inquiry which arises is: what's the purpose of a module of Tribunal? It became clear that there were different views on that on day 36, page 26 , when Mr. Harty, on behalf of Garda Harrison, was being questioned by the Tribunal as to the relevance of a line of inquiry and in his answer he said.
"I amfishing in the absence of any information as to potential."

And generally in law, be it discovery, be it cross-examination, be it any other process, the cardinal rule is you don't go fishing, you act on evidence that may give rise to a reasonable line of inquiry. And the reason this module was set up was not 15:27 because there were doubts or queries or questions, but because of calculated, deliberate and studied allegations of wrongdoing that were made in a solicitor's letter, written on behalf of Garda Keith Harrison and Marisa Simms to Dr, Katherine Zappone, the 15:27 Minister for Children and Youth Affairs, on 10th February 2017. And that is to be found at page 1578.

In that letter a complaint was made against my client, along with what were described as other agencies. It was described as being part of a systemic approach by State agencies to attack and undermine the credibility, good standing and reputation of our clients. There were then specific allegations made as regards how Ms. Simms came to make her statement to the Gardaí. At 15:28 no stage was it made clear that she was in fact standing over the vast majority of the allegations made in that statement. And whilst the letter indicated she had retracted the statement, it omitted to say that in retracting it she had again confirmed its contents.
The specific allegation that was made against Tusla appears in paragraph 5 and 6 of that letter, where it refers to Mr. and Mrs. Simms attending -- sorry, Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms attending a meeting with Tusla.

And it was alleged that at the end of the meeting Ms. McTeague was satisfied to leave the matter where it was, advising that no further intervention was required, and that was the end of it. There was no mention of coming back to see the children. But instead the letter went on to say:
"Later that evening Marisa recei ved a phone call from Donna MLTeague expl ai ni ng that she had spoken to a supervisor, Bridgeen Smith, who stated as a result of a 15:29 phone call fromthe Gardaí she was now asking Mb. McTeague to do a hore visit despite Mb. McTeague earlier having confirmed that no further action was needed. "

And the letter concludes by saying:
"Thi s intervention by Tusla in our clients' family life is a cause of concern and is by any measure an inexcusable abuse of thei $r$ position."

And, of course, it would be an inexcusable abuse of their position if any of that was true. But when the evidence was given by Ms. Simms, who is the person who is alleged to have received the phone call, to have been told that having been assured there wouldn't be any further visit, having been assured it was now over, that she received a phone call saying, because of the Gardaí there was now going to have to be another visit.

Ms. Simms, to her credit, when it came to giving evidence under oath on her own behalf, separately legally represented, was unwilling to stand over that allegation.

That was the key claim made in the letter to the minister, to my client's minister, and it now transpires it was an inaccurate allegation. It was alleging a very specific phone call which it now appears never occurred at all.

Given that was the genesis of the module and the genesis of the public concern that led to this module, a reasonable question arises as to why the claims made against Tusla were not withdrawn. Because you can withdraw a claim at any stage, you can withdraw it just before a module begins, you can withdraw it during the module, can you even withdraw it at the end of the module, because a Tribunal doesn't just happen, it's not an act of God, it is an act of government. And a Tribunal has to have focus, which is why it has terms of reference. It was an unusual feature of this module that it came about as a result of a very specific complaint about a nonexistent telephone call.

You'11 recall, sir, that at the end of their dealings with the family, Tusla wrote a letter on the 27 th February 2014, that's at page 147, where Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms were expressly told, if you have any
questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact Donna McTeague. And, as the Tribunal is aware, no question was raised, no query was raised, instead subsequently that letter was written to the minister containing that very specific, untrue allegation. And when Garda Harrison was asked about why he hadn't raised any queries or concerns with Tus7a, his answer was:
"I did not because l did not want Tusla back in my life."

And it's a matter for the Tribunal as to whether or not it accepts that as being an adequate explanation for not raising any queries with Tusla, but instead being a party to that letter written to the minister. Because the suggestion by Garda Harrison that he didn't answer the letter, because he didn't want Tusla back in his life, seems to leave the suggestion floating that by inviting them back that could leave to trouble or him or problems. But, of course, if you have a query and have you a question and you raise it, of course that is inviting the person back into your life, but only for the purpose of answering the query that you had raised.

On the last day this Tribunal sat, Mr. Harty began to make a closing submission, that is on day 36 , and he offered a different explanation as to why a letter hadn't been written at that stage raising any questions
or any queries. And Mr. Harty, on behalf of his client, suggested that the reason it wasn't done was because, what he described as a $€ 1$ stamp would not have answered our questions. That simply writing to Tusla would not have provided the answer. I simply ask the Tribunal to know that is a different explanation to the one his client, Garda Harrison, gave in the witness box, his explanation for not answering the letter was at that stage he didn't want Tusla back in his life.

So, it's ultimately a matter for the Tribunal, but it appears that this module of the Tribunal was set up on an incorrect premise, it was set up on foot of an allegation that not only isn't true but wasn't even stood over by the witness who was supposed to have received the phone call, and the impact of a module having occurred on such an improper and flawed basis is, firstly, an expenditure of public money; secondly, professional witnesses have had to attend, have had allegations made against them, have been subjected in some cases to hours of cross-examination in circumstances where the actual allegation that led to the setting up of the module was no longer being made. And we would submit no focus was brought to bear on much of that questioning.

To conclude, sir, there's an Italian playwright called Pirandello who wrote a play called Six Characters in Search of an Author. At times this module has been six
legal teams in search of a focus, and I make that observation not because there's any fault on the part of the Tribunal, but what seems to have happened is, terms of reference were set up on foot of a very specific allegation that wasn't true and that's where we are. I would invite the Tribunal to find that there was no evidence that Tusla acted anything other than in a proper manner and that has been accepted both by Garda Harrison, who in his evidence accepted that if the contents of Ms. Simms' statement to the Gardaí were 15:37 true, then he accepted it was a proper referral, you will find that on day 28, at pages 191-196, and Ms. Simms herself accepted she understood the reasons for the referral and had no complaint to make.

So, I say the answer to the Tribunal's final question, which is the one that most concerns my client, which I think was question 9 , which is "did Tusla deal with the referral in a proper manner?" The answer given to that both by Garda Harrison and by Ms. Simms appears to be yes. May it please you.
CHA RMAN Would the Gardaí like to make submissions now the. Do you want to make submissions, you don't have to.
MR. OHGGN: Yes, sir, I will keep them brief.

## SUBM SSI ON BY MR. OH GG NS

MR. OHGGN: I think first of all, Chairman, can I
preface my remarks by saying perhaps a little degree of difficulty attaches to making submissions in defence of my clients in circumstances where the person who has made allegations against my client, perhaps it might be said, has either not persisted with certain allegations 15:38 that were made in correspondence or has not refined them by way of submissions in this module, but that difficulty aside, I hope the following observations are of assistance in relation to the headings that you, Chairman, have identified as being relevant.

Dealing first of all with the question of the transfer of Garda Harrison. On day 27 of the hearings, at pages 73 to 76, I think it was counsel for the Tribunal set out in some detail the various obvious practical and perceptional difficulties that arose from Garda Harrison serving in the station before the trial of Mr. McDermott, who was ultimately convicted of the manslaughter. Rather than acknowledge these difficulties, Garda Harrison offered a different responses referring to diverse matters as to his difficulties in Athlone, his absence of any choice as to where to move and his indication which is proper to take at face value, of course, that he would not have intervened in Mr. McDermott's trial and there's no suggestion to the contrary.

Nonetheless, it is relevant, I think, that it was acknowledged by Garda Harrison in evidence that his
failure to mention his connection to Mr. McDermott was a conscious decision which he now regretted. I think in fairness to Garda Harrison, he also accepted that his service in Buncrana was not ideal. We say that parking that the obvious disparity between his initial statement to the Tribunal and correspondence that was sent on his behalf, and his eventual acknowledgment of the difficulties which his serving in Buncrana gave rise to, we respectfully say, Chairman, that really no further response is required to an allegation of bullying against my clients of harassment by senior members of An Garda Síochána in relation to this issue. It may be that there remained on Garda Harrison's mind a lingering dissatisfaction on his part with the fact and it was a fact - that he ultimately had to leave Buncrana. It may be that he didn't reconcile himself to that reality and that perhaps had an impact upon his ultimate attitude and his ultimate allegations.

In any event, dealing further then with the transfer to 15:41 Donegal. Garda Harrison in his statement indicated that he didn't wish to move from Buncrana and he attributed certain remarks to Chief Superintendent Sheridan which were disputed. We say, Chairman that, a complaint was made by Garda Harrison against two senior 15:41 members of An Garda Síochána about the manner in which they handled the transfer. Those members are aware of this complaint, which was characterised as being part of an orchestrated campaign against Garda Harrison, had
to be, and were, widely circulated.
whilst my clients, the senior members concerned, are relieved that the complaint has not been pursued, I say that the apparent ease with which it was withdrawn perhaps highlights the fact that the complaint should never -- or the allegation at least should never have been made in the first place.

Insofar as, Chairman, you have listed the refusal of Garda Harrison's transfer to Letterkenny in the headings to be examined, I say that it is the case that Garda Harrison complains about the refusal of his transfer to Letterkenny which, Chairman, you'11 be aware, was refused on the basis that members of the McDermott family were living there. It is my submission that given the concerns that had arisen in Buncrana, it is an entirely valid reason to refuse his transfer there on that basis. We submit that no members of An Garda Síochána have any right to be stationed in any particular division. There is perfectly good policy and operational reasons for having refused that particular request. I say that there is simply no evidence that that forms any part or could form any part of some alleged bullying conspiracy 15:43 or targeting, as has been suggested, certainly in the correspondence that predated this Tribunal.

Dealing then with the question of accessing of Pulse
records, on day 28 of the hearings, Garda Harrison was questioned as to why, if his purpose in accessing the records had been as indicated by him through counsel, namely to check whether An Garda Síochána were checking in on himself and his partner, he was asked if that was 15:43 the purpose why he would have been accessing Pulse as far as back as May 2008, which is long before Garda Harrison had invited any relationship with Ms. Simms, let alone one known to his Garda colleagues. Garda Harrison stated in answer to that question that, the Pulse system it seems was used as some class of social media site and it was possible that they may have been in contact. He acknowledged he may have checked on Ms. Simms out of curiosity. The reference for that is transcript day 28 , page 122.

We say again, leaving aside perhaps the difficulty or perhaps possibility of reconciling that explanation with the initial explanation as to why he accessed Pulse, we submit that the use of Garda Harrison of the system in this manner was a matter of legitimate concern for senior members of An Garda Síochána. We acknowledge that there is a disparity in the evidence as to what occurred at the meeting between Chief Superintendent Sheridan on 24th April 2012, but we suggest that the evidence of Chief Superintendent Sheridan ought be preferred.

It will be recalled by you, Chairman, that insofar as
there was a conflict on this, Chief Superintendent sheridan stated in his evidence, on day 19, when he gave his evidence, that he raised the matter at the meeting of the Pulse accessing. That's to be contrasted with the cross-examination by counsel for Garda Harrison. It was put to Garda Harrison that -it was said that Garda Harrison doesn't recall the conversation. That's to be found at transcript day 19, at page 87. I am referring now to the cross-examination of Chief Superintendent Jim Sheridan. 15:45 we say that insofar as that constitutes conflicting evidence, it should be emphasised that a failure of recollection is not a denial that the conversation did occur. We say for these reasons the evidence of chief superintendent sheridan ought be preferred in this regard.

In your initial summary of headings, chairman, you made reference to the threat to burn junior certificate papers, for example, I don't think that appeared in the 15:46 list you mentioned earlier on, so $I$ might just pass from that, I think you have that from day 22 in any event
CHA RMAN Mr. o'higgins, you are not actually bound by that.
MR. OHGGNS: of course.
CHA RMAN If you think that that is relevant, then it may be relevant but I'm entitled to think it's relevant as well without mentioning it necessarily in the whole

1ist of things that have emerged.
MR. O H GG NS: The way I put that was clumsy, I meant to assist counsel making submissions, you focused a refined list of what you believed to be relevant and I wi11 endeavour to keep to that.

Moving then to the question of the actions taken by Gardaí on foot of reports made by Marisa Simms and members of the McDermott family between 1st April '13 and the 6th October '13, which is the key period perhaps. Obviously it will be for counsel representing Inspector Sheridan in particular and Sergeant McGowan to deal with the taking of the statement, because that is something for Garda officers for whom I do not act wil1 be concerned, but it will be part of my overall submission that the taking of that statement raised no issues of concern and, indeed, was impeccable.

To the extent that concerns were expressed on behalf of Marisa Simms and Garda Harrison about the limited nature of any subsequent criminal investigation on day 24 of the hearings, the point $I$ would make is really straightforward; I say that the limited nature of the investigation as alleged is, if anything, difficult to reconcile with the wider allegation of a malicious campaign of intimidation and harassment against Garda Harrison. We make the straightforward point that if such a campaign existed, one would have expected that a formal complaint of criminal wrongdoing on his part
would have been investigated fully, expeditiously and with considerable zeal. So, I say, if anything, that point actually takes from the allegation of a targeting of Garda Harrison and not the opposite.

In relation to disciplinary proceedings, it has been suggested, though perhaps not pursued with a tremendous amount of enthusiasm, it had been suggested, certainly in the letter to the Minister for Justice that was sent by Garda Harrison's legal advisers, it was suggested that a campaign was orchestrated against Garda Harrison which led to him being disciplined for a number of alleged breaches of duty.

Under this heading, could I simply say, Chairman, it's
my respectful submission, you will make what you will of the evidence, but I say, for instance, when one has regard to the allegations that were made on paper against sergeant, the name escapes me for a moment, sergeant Durkin as to bullying, I suggest, Chairman, that in fact a more reasonable construction of the relevant events is that there was a proper disciplining of Garda members arising from admitted breaches on his part. And insofar as there was dissatisfaction with that, dissatisfaction by Garda Harrison with a steps that were taken in relation to what ultimately were matters that he admitted to in relation to the no insurance, for instance, and any other issues of
breaches of discipline. It would be recalled that insofar as there was a finding of breach of discipline, again that was something that was dealt with by way of a plea of guilty by Garda Harrison and I say that that being the case, it's difficult for him to suggest that somehow this formed part of a wider campaign of bullying.

I say that if there was something in the suggestion that there was a Garda force dealing with malicious intent, I suggest that one would have expected that there would have been an enthusiasm to avail of any opportunity to discipline Garda Harrison, whereas in fact it is clear from the evidence that many reasonable efforts were made and many things were let pass, far from seizing on any opportunity to discipline Garda Harrison.

I say that an examination of the record demonstrates this to be the case. On 10th February 2014.
Superintendent Mary Murray was appointed to conduct the internal disciplinary inquiry, complaint of Ms. Simms in October 2013, and the accessing of Pulse, it should be recalled, by Garda Harrison in relation to Ms. Simms' vehicle between August '09 and Apri1 2013. Again, rather than advancing the matter with the enthusiasm that one would expect from a representative of the Garda force who, if the allegation is correct, had malicious intent, it is clear that, regrettably
perhaps, and she acknowledged it on her own part, regrettably on Superintendent Murray's part there was something of a delay in progressing the inquiry which ultimately led to the High Court granting a permanent stay on the inquiry due to the delay that had occurred. 15:51 Again, $I$ say that, if anything, this demonstrates the complete absence of a malicious intent or an enthusiasm to target Garda Harrison.

Finally, I suggest that it is part of our submission, Chairman, that there is simply no evidence, and after all, the inquiry is examining whether there was evidence or not as to the matters this Tribunal has been tasked investigate into. The issue is: Is there evidence to support Garda Harrison's allegations that the disciplinary proceedings were centrally directed from Garda headquarters as part of some class of campaign of bullying? I say that on the contrary, the evidence appears to point the opposite way.

As pointed out by Superintendent McGovern -- as pointed out to Superintendent McGovern by counsel for An Garda Síochána in the course of his evidence, the record of the interaction between divisional and Garda headquarters was that Chief Superintendent McGinn
wished to either suspend or transfer Garda Harrison and in a response dated 21st October 2013, Chief Superintendent McLaugh1in disagreed with that particular appraisal and recommended that Garda

Harrison should be confined to indoor duties, which ultimately was the decision that was arrived at.

In relation to the threats against Garda Harrison, I won't dwell on those because you have the detail in relation to that, and it is clear that they were taken seriously and investigated properly. I say again that points against any suggestion that there was a targeting of the member concerned.

In conclusion, Chairman, I say that both Garda Harrison and Ms. Simms have made a number -- initially certain7y made a number of wide ranging allegations, which were serious, of impropriety against both An Garda Síochána and against Tusla, which, it was suggested, demonstrated a pattern of behaviour on the part of both organisations in dealing with Garda whistleblowers. In the course of the hearing, $I$ think it's a matter for you, Chairman, but I suggested that Ms. Simms at least accepted that she had no evidence to support her concerns. By contrast, Garda Harrison was reluctant to make that acknowledgment. On the contrary, it seems that he appears to suggest that the absence of any evidence to support his claims somehow assists rather than undermines his case. And I say, with respect, that that makes little sense, is incoherent and perhaps is the position he has to adopt in circumstances where there simply has been no evidence whatsoever of a head of malice. Rather than supporting any malicious
campaign against him, the record of the various instances demonstrate, I say, that whatever difficulties Garda Harrison has experienced in his domestic and professional life were entirely of his own making, unfortunately for him this simple fact was not altered by him making protected disclosure and characterising himself as a whistleblower.

I suggest, Chairman, that there is not a screed of evidence to support any of the allegations, either those that were made initially and abandoned or those that have been pursued even unenthusiastically. while Garda Harrison might consider the absence helpful of evidence, I suggest the reality is that entirely of his own making he had a complicated and difficult relationship with Ms. Simms and her family in 2013 which resulted in a number of concerns being addressed to his colleagues. These concerns properly culminated in Ms. Simms making formal complaint in October 2013, the majority of which she has never withdrawn. I say that the relevant actors in An Garda Síochána and Tusla agreed unanimously that it was appropriate to refer the complaint, for An Garda Síochána to refer the complaint to Tusla and for Tusla to act on it. And all actors from An Garda Síochána and, critically, from Tusla, equally reject any contention that there was anything improper by way of influence by Gardaí in a child welfare case.

Ms. Simms at least acknowledged the reality of the situation in her evidence and accepted the absence of any manipulation or impropriety by either agency. Garda Harrison ultimately did not. I say it is regrettable that that is the case, that that was ultimately not withdrawn by him, but that's a matter ultimately for him.

Finally, Chairman, I say on behalf of senior management of An Garda Síochána, that the virtually unanimous evidence heard in the case supports the view that there was no improper influence by An Garda Síochána on Tusla or, indeed, that there was any head of malice against Garda Harrison at all.

Thank you.
CHA RMAN Mr. Dockery, you appear for Sergeant McGowan and for Inspector Sheridan, you might like to make some brief submissions, but before you do, can I just ask outside the transcript.

## SUBM SSI ON BY MR. DOCKERY

MR. DOCKERY: Yes, Chairman, I represent Inspector Goretti Sheridan and Sergeant McGowan as well as two other members who gave evidence before the Tribunal, Sergeant James Collins and Sergeant Durkin. But I will address the circumstances in which the statement of the 6th October was taken first and foremost, because the
letter from Kilfeather \& Company Solicitors to the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs, Dr. Zappone, of 10th February 2013, stated at point number 1 of the points to be emphasised in the letter, that on 5th October 2013 Marisa Simms was invited to attend at Letterkenny Garda Station after much pressure was put on her, and that during an eight hour interview she was coerced into making a statement with a threat that if she didn't, there might be repercussions for her and her children.

Now, in the first instance, as Mr. McDermott has already mentioned to you, sir, it's my respectful submission that Marisa Simms did not stand over evidence that the statement was coerced from her. Rather, she said that it was her statement and she quibbled over the use of some of the words used in it, and she said that it wasn't an ordeal but it felt uncomfortable and that she never intended to talk about those personal matters.

Secondly, $I$ say that there was no evidence at all that she was threatened that if she didn't make the statement there might be repercussions for her or for her children and that the height of the evidence as alleged by her in that regard was that Sergeant McGowan said to her once or twice, "think of your children". And I understood that to be in the context of whether you decide to make a statement. That, of course, was
vehemently denied by Sergeant McGowan.

So, I think, sir, the first thing we need to consider in the context of the statement after making those initial points is: How did Marisa Simms come to visit Letterkenny Garda Station on the 6th October that year? I think the context is important, sir. The fact that she had undergone over two years what one might describe as an experience of, at the hands of Garda Harrison, obsession, control, denigration, outbursts of 15:59 anger and ultimately threats which caused her to leave the home and stay with her sister Paula, a person whom she knew to be openly hostile to her partner, and with whom Marisa had not seen eye to eye at least since the time that her relationship with Keith Harrison had been 15:59 reignited.

That experience that she had undergone prior to the 28th September 2011 had lasted over two years. The first incident described by her in her statement of the 16:00 6th October, which made her uncomfortable, was the one at the home in Churchil1, when her former husband arranged to call to the house and the next thing was Gardaí arrived and subsequently Garda Harrison, which Marisa described as totally blown out of proportion. So, that was over two years prior to her leaving on the 28th September.

The second thing is that contacts were initiated by
members of her own family with the Gardaí at Donegal Town and Letterkenny stations, on four occasions that we know of; 1st April 2013, the 24th August, the 24th September and the 30th September, culminating in Rita McDermott's statement of 2nd october 2013, which confirmed the making of threats. So as Rita McDermott put it about or said of Marisa at the time "she is scared for her life".

I submit, sir, that the Gardaí's response to these concerns and in particular to the visit to Letterkenny station by Paula McDaid was appropriate and proportionate and that they made proportionate and appropriate contact with Marisa Simms herself. Inspector Sheridan having been given Marisa's mobile number by Marisa's mother. But first, sir, before she attempted to contact Marisa Simms, she made further contact with Rita. The evidence for that is to be found on day 23 , page 22 , line 76 , where Inspector sheridan told you, sir, the following:
"I rang Rita the following day, just, I suppose, to ask by way of follow up had she spoken to Marisa and she said she had and that Marisa was willing to make a statement and that she had furni shed Marisa with my number. "

And at this point, I might just pause to note, sir, that the Tribunal has also heard evidence that on the

9th October Marisa told George O'Doherty of GSOC that she would think things over and talk to her mother before confirming anything to GSoC. Now, I mention that in the context that there is clearly evidence before the Tribunal that her mother was somebody with whom she did confer about matters to do with what the Tribunal is concerned with.

Phone records in evidence before the Tribunal establish that Marisa Simms received one text from Sergeant collins on 2nd October 2013, which was a friendly text and told her that they had been in contact with her mother, and she received one missed call the following day, on the 3rd october, and one text from Inspector sheridan. In response to that she telephoned Inspector
sheridan back and spoke to her for at least seven minutes. That was the beginning of consensual over and back contact between the two of them by text and phone subsequently.

So, I say that the context in which she came to be in Letterkenny Garda Station indicates fair, reasonable, proportionate and appropriate behaviour by the Gardaí, in light of the seriousness of the allegations, the fact that they were being levelled against a serving member of the Gardaí, the fact that they involve such serious matters as threats to burn and to bury and the fact that children or a child were said to be present when some or all of that occurred.

Then how was the statement taken? well, as a matter of law, Chairman, I submit that as with a suspect who has been arrested and detained for the purpose of being arrested, a volunteer in the form of a complainant or a 16:04 witness also enjoys a right to silence. Once at the station, such a person can decide not to make a statement or not to answer questions. That person also enjoys a right to receive legal advice from a solicitor prior to making a statement, though perhaps this seems a little academic in the case of a complainant or a witness who is not suspected of criminal wrongdoing, not detained against their will but attending voluntarily at the station and who is not being interviewed under caution.

Such a person, I submit, also has a right to accuracy in the recording of their statement, and it's my submission that Marisa simms' statement was recorded faithfully and accurately. I will come back to that in a few minutes.

And lastly, a person in her position has a right not to be subjected to oppressive or persistent questioning in the face of harassment. And in my submission the
evidence is that she was not subjected to such treatment. She has told you, sir, that when she arrived at the station, she was met in a very friendly manner by Inspector Sheridan. She has told you of a
friendly and affable chat which lasted for an hour or two. She has told you of tea breaks and loo breaks, and she has told you that she felt uncomfortable but that it was by no means an ordeal. Bearing in mind, of course, the extent to which anybody could feel comfortable in any situation in which one has to make a statement or chooses to make a statement or be interviewed by the Gardaí. It's not an everyday experience.

Now, you will also be aware, sir, that the Criminal Justice Act 1984, Treatment of Persons in Custody in Garda Síochána Stations, Regulations, 1987, provide at regulation 12:
"Before an arrested person is intervi ewed, the member conducting the interview should identify himself and any other mentber present by name and rank to the arrested person."

Ms. Simms was not an arrested person and the Gardaí's conduct was not subject to the Criminal Justice Act 1984 or to these regulations, but certainly she was introduced to both members, the interview was conducted as regulation requires, in a fair and humane manner, in 16:06 my submission. The regulation requires that no more than two members should question the arrested person at any one time. That appears to have been the position here, though again bearing in mind that Marisa Simms
had not been arrested. And regulation 12 also provides that if an interview has lasted for four hours, it should either be terminated or adjourned for a reasonable time.

Now just, a couple of points about that, sir. Firstly, just to repeat, Marisa Simms was not an arrested person. The 1984 Act and the 1987 regulations had no direct applicability. Second point, the taking of the interview itself did not take eight hours. The evidence appears to be there was a chat, preliminaries, conversation, sounding each other out, that went on, perhaps as one might have expect it would have, for an hour to two hours, which means that the interview at most took six to six and a half hours. There has been evidence, undisputed, that there were tea breaks and loo breaks and there has been evidence from my clients that they offered on one or more occasions to postpone the continuation of the interview but that Ms. Simms was anxious to get it over with and wanted to get it done and dusted and completed and that they went along with that in those circumstances. So, in my respectful submission in all the circumstances, the surrounding facts of the taking of the statement indicate that it was done with her consent, voluntarily and in
conjunction with her and that she knew what she was doing and was given every opportunity to adjourn it.

Now, you see, the point is, sir, that as I put it to

Ms. Simms, she is not a suggestible person, rather she is an educated, intelligent woman, a secondary schoolteacher, well capable of managing and teaching young adults, well capable of making the detailed, coherent and vivid statement which she did, and of appreciating the consequences of doing so. I would ask you to bear in mind this fact: That if is borne out by evidence from Sergeant wallace, which you heard the week before last, sir, that she reportedly told Garda Harrison the next day that she had taken out a safety order against him at Letterkenny District Court and that he was going to be arrested.

Now, she has told this Tribunal that she was in a bad place when she made the statement of complaint, but in my submission that is the very reason why she was there making the statement of complaint. As to the length of the chat and the taking of the statement, it seems and this point was raised by Inspector Sheridan in passing - it seems that the Tribunal's own experienced investigators took almost seven hours to complete an interview with Chief Superintendent Sheridan, as noted on the front page of the statement taken from him at page 548 of the materials.

We know, sir that there were text messages from Marisa Simms to her sister Paula during the course of making the statement, that there were text messages to her ex-husband and the phone records show that Garda

Harrison rang her repeatedly and that she elected not to take any of those calls. All indicating, in my submission, that she was as comfortable as one can reasonably expect to be making a statement or being interviewed by the Gardaí in a Garda station, even where one elects to go there and do that oneself.

There was the text message to Inspector Sheridan thanking her and confirming that she had got home safely that night. There is evidence of her internet searches and reported remarks, as I say, to Garda Harrison the following day, about his impending arrest, as she saw it, or threatened upon him and having got a safety order.

Her follow up visit to Letterkenny Garda Station the day after that, on the 8th October, to hand in her mobile phone. And Sergeant Wallace's evidence, Chairman, and his notes, gave no hint whatsoever that on the 7th October Marisa Simms was complaining to Garda Harrison of any mistreatment by Gardaí during the course of making that statement the previous day. There was no mention of compulsion, a trick or exhaustion made by her to George o'Doherty of GSOC on either the 9th or the 11th October, when they spoke to Donna McTeague during two meetings in early 2014, and no reference to such issues when the statement of complaint was retracted on 11th January 2014. In fact,
for the purpose of retracting it, she went back to the same Garda station and back to one of the same two officers who had taken the statement from her, and she confirmed that everything she had said was true, having been given an opportunity to read the statement back. The most she said of it was that she had forgotten some of the things that were in it.

Insofar as it was suggested that there was police speak or something of that nature involved in the substance of that statement, one example of that might be where she was asked whether she had come under any duress to retract the statement. That, of course, is a question that one must ask any person who visits a Garda station, to retract an earlier statement. It's absolutely essential to establish whether there's any question of the statement being retracted under duress. Duress is a word lawyers use and police officers use, it means under compulsion and I have no doubt at all, in my submission, that the sense, if not the word, the sense of that statement, that sentence was uttered by Marisa Simms. That statement was written down by Inspector sheridan in handwriting for Marisa simms and she signed it.

And then the last thing I want to say about the statement, sir, is this: That at no time did Marisa Simms make any allegation that she was pressurised or harassed, it seems to me, until the judicial review
proceedings of 2015. In an affidavit sworn on 19th May 2015, at paragraph 8 , she seemed to say things about the statement which were inherently contradictory, it seems to me. Firstly, that at no time ever had she felt pressurised or harassed by Garda Harrison, followed by an assertion by her immediately that there were only two inaccuracies in the statement. This is at page 88 of the materials. If she felt no pressure or harassment from her partner at any stage, then the entirety of the statement must be untrue. That is inconsistent with suggesting that in fact the only complaint is that there were two inaccuracies in the statement and she cited what they were, use of the words "more" and "more abusive" and use of the words "continually harassing me" at two points in the statement.

Yet, in answer to Tribunal questions here, Mr. Hartnett said that much of the statement was true and it was merely inaccurate in certain details. He said those were that she was never put out of the house, that there was a throwing of keys on the dashboard on 1st Apri1 2013, not a banging of Garda Harrison's fist, and that a duvet was pushed back in her house that day while she lay in bed asleep but she had not been grabbed by the arm or pulled from her bad. But in cross-examination of Inspector Sheridan, Mr. Hartnett put it to her that the word "obsessive" was the Gardaí's world and the word "controlling" was the

Gardaí's word. Ms. Simms maintained this in cross-examination by me but accepted that the word "suffocating", which also appears in her statement, and which means the same thing in effect, was her word. She also told the Tribunal that insofar as she was alleging that "obsessive", that is the word, and the word "controlling" were not her words, that she nodded in agreement when they were used by the Gardaí.

So, it seems to me that if that's the height of her complaint, it's a very particular type of one, indeed, sir.

When she gave her evidence she added in a whole slue of new inaccuracies that she alleged. She said she wasn't 16:16 grabbed on the wrist, by the wrist on 28th September 2013; she never said that he wasn't in control of himself and was crazy on the 28th September 2013; she never felt that she wondered whether she would get out of the house on the 28th September 2013; that on7y one child was crying immediately after the incident, that was only when Marisa Simms returned to the car having already removed the children earlier. None of these matters were put in cross-examination to Inspector Sheridan and, in my respectful submission, should be disregarded.

To conclude on the statement, I say, Chairman, that there was no conspiracy here, there is no evidence of
any conspiracy by either the inspector and Sergeant McGowan, or by either them together and Chief Superintendent McGinn, or of any solo run by either of my two clients to bully or cajole Marisa Simms into making this statement. And that all the surrounding corroborative evidence tends to suggest from that time that it was only much later that she changed her mind about it in the context of having got back together shortly after making the statement with Garda Harrison.

Now moving on from that, $I$ just want to very briefly refer to the referral to Tusla, sir. Marisa Simms appears to have withdrawn any allegation of impropriety by the HSE and the Gardaí in connection with the Garda referral to Tusla or the manner in which Tusla followed up on the referral. This appears from the evidence on day 26, page 122, at line 17 , where Ms. Leader said to her:
"You're making a particular allegation, if l might put it that way, agai nst Sergeant MkGowan and Donna METeague' s superiors in the HSE. "

To which she answered:
" I' m not i mpl yi ng anything. I don't know what type of rel ati onshi $p$ they have. I am assuming it was pr of essi onal."

Later that day, in answer to me, at page 130, line 3, she agreed that she had no basis whatsoever for believing the Gardaí sought to manipulate Tusla in regard to the referral.

Notwithstanding that, she said just that in a formal statement of complaint on GSOC on the 16th August last year, in which she named Sergeant McGowan and Inspector Sheridan as the subject of her complaint. She said precisely the very thing that she resiled from before this Tribunal. She told this Tribunal that her notion that the Gardaí were involved in manipulation of Tusla was based on nothing more than a hunch, a false hunch because it had happened, the referral had happened shortly after she had retracted her statement on the 11th January 2014, and I put it to her at the time that if that were the case it indicated a very casual regard for people's professional reputation.

And just turning to reputations, Chairman, can I say this: The statement by Marisa Simms of the 16th August 2016 to GSOC is in the form of a complaint. It was made at The Connaught Hote 1 in Galway, signed by her, witnessed by Johan Groenewald, and is stated at the head of the statement to be one, to be a witness
statement made under section 21 of the Criminal Justice Act 1984. And I am instructed that that is a statement taken in the form of a criminal investigation and that it may be, but we don't know, it may be a section 98
referral under the 2005 Act. what she has alleged in it, sir, is a form of corruption or abuse of power. She has said:
"I bel ieve it was the Gardaí who made the referral to the HSE and got the kids invol ved. There was no reason for doing so. It was a way of abusing thei $r$ authority. I bel ieve it was pursued because I woul dn't pursue that matter I was called to chat with Sheridan about."

In my submission that appears now to be dropped. And the evidence before this Tribunal is that she doesn't believe that it was a hunch and she doesn't stand over it.

My clients superiors have still, I'm instructed, not been formally notified of the existence of this complaint. GSOC have not at any point contacted my clients' superiors about it, to their knowledge. And my clients were not aware of it until the Tribunal papers were circulated.

That brings me back to the letter to the Minister for Children on 10th February 2017, alleging coercion in the taking of the statement, and a threat. My clients were not aware of this letter until this Tribunal began its hearings and the papers were circulated in advance. My client, Inspector Sheridan, has had a very fruitful career in the Garda Síochána to date and in the
ordinary course might look forward to being promoted further to superintendent rank and beyond. As matters stand, my instructions are that that advancement, if it were to happen, is in suspension and will not happen unless she emerges from these allegations about the taking of this statement with her integrity fully intact, her professional integrity, her personal integrity.

To conclude, almost, or almost to the end, sir, can I just say this: That Sergeant Bridget McGowan has been criticised as well, in particular with regard, for example, to the anonymous letter of January 2012. whether she ought to have requested a copy of it from Úna coll, the HSE officer who brought it to her attention in the first instance, in the form of an informal referral. The opening sentence of the letter makes it plain that its purpose was to raise concerns over the wellbeing of "the Simms children", it referred to them as having become withdrawn, of having been placed under stress, of needing help. This was brought to her attention, Sergeant McGowan 's attention, by the HSE in her capacity as child liaison officer. It was her duty to request a copy of it. It related to a serving Garda officer. It was forwarded to her
superintendent. It was marked confidential. And in my submission it was an exemplary excuse by her of her duties, not to speak of her discovery of multiple Pulse checks against Marisa Simms apparently carried out by

Garda Harrison going back to May 2008.

I should also say that in regard to sergeant Harrison, sir, her reputation emerges intact from the evidence of Donna McTeague, who told you on the 3rd October that Sergeant McGowan was a person of the utmost integrity and professional repute, and who told Mr. Hegarty in questions that she was given the essential facts of the referral on the 9th October 2013 and that that was what allowed her to prepare intake records on the 16th October. And she accepted to Mr. Hegarty that she had no recollection of being told by Sergeant McGowan of the threats but that she could have been told of them and doesn't remember it. And in my submission the fact of the matter is that they were the most essential facts of the referral and the very reason why it was considered necessary to organise a strategy meeting on the 21st October.

Lastly, can $I$ just refer in passing and briefly to Sergeant Durkin and his involvement with Garda Harrison at Donegal Town, sir? There is no issue to resolve there in my respectful submission. Sergeant Durkin's evidence was that Garda Harrison was treated fairly and settled in well there. He was included in everything. This was not challenged. Yes, Sergeant Durkin was a witness in a prosecution taken against Garda Harrison for failure to display a task disk and fraudulent use of insurance disk, but no il1 will emerged between the
two men over that. There is no evidence of any malice by Sergeant Durkin. Garda Harrison pleaded guilty in the District Court to that. And it is unclear to me why he would wait until 2017 to suggest that there was a bullying campaign by Sergeant Durkin against him. My 16:25 understanding is the state of the evidence before this Tribunal is that there is no such evidence.

It emerged under cross-examination by Mr. O'Higgins at some stage that Garda Harrison intended to maintain in another forum that he had been subjected to bullying at Donegal Town Station in a general way, not specifically at the hands of Sergeant Durkin, again there has been no evidence of that before this Tribuna1. Rather, Sergeant Durkin gave evidence that in may 2014 when Garda Harrison came to him with a report alleging bullying in the Westmeath and Donegal divisions, Sergeant Durkin was told by Sergeant Harrison that Sergeant Harrison had no such allegation to make regarding Donegal Town and Sergeant Durkin noted this. And his note is apparent at page 684 of the materials.

Then lastly, sir, my client Sergeant James collins. You know his involvement, sir; it was at the early stages of this saga. He was on duty on the 30th September when he received a report from a garda in his unit, Garda Mahon, that Paula McDaid had been in to the station. Now, he asked Garda Mahon to repair a report, and I think that was appropriate, and I submit it was
appropriate, and three critically important facts emerge from Sergeant James Collins' evidence, in my respectful submission. Firstly, that Marisa had told Paula McDaid of the fact that threats to burn her and to bury Paula and her had been made by Garda Harrison; secondly, Garda Mahon's belief that Ms. McDaid was visibly upset and genuinely concerned for her sister, for her sister's safety; and thirdly, that Sergeant collins acted appropriately, in my submission - this is the third factor - in seeking a report from Garda Mahon, in notifying Sergeant McGowan about an incident reported from the milford District, which was her district, and in notifying his own immediate superiors. And I suggest, sir, that Marisa Simms' contemporaneous reporting of threats to her sister is consistent with Marisa Simms' reaction to the threats as expressed in the series of emails which passed between her and Garda Harrison, between the 28th and 30th September 2013, where she challenged him and told him that he would never threaten her again. These emails, and her contemporaneous reporting of the threats to her sister, serve to undermine the version now put forward by both she and Keith Harrison that the texts were deliberate lies or at least exaggeration aimed solely at discombobulating Garda Harrison.

And I finally say that Sergeant collins acted appropriately in taking a statement from Rita McDermott with his colleague, Inspector sheridan, and that any
question mark over that statement can be set aside, and has been clarified by solicitor's letter by submissions made by her counse1, Mr. o'Neill, to the Tribunal and by her own evidence that she had merely forgotten important things in her statement made in 2013.

And I say that Sergeant Collins' conduct of this investigation at that stage was exemplary as well and that no findings that are critical can justifiably be made against either Inspector Sheridan, Sergeant McGowan, Sergeant Durkin or Sergeant Collins.

## Thank you, sir.

CHA RMAN The DAR is still on. Let's go off transcript for a second. [SHORT EXCHANGE OFF THE
RECORD] I beg your pardon, Ms. Ke11y, we better take this down. Let's go back one second. Mr. Dwyer, for Superintendent English and Superintendent Coen?

MR. DVYER: Total time, Chairman, two to three minutes, maximum.

CHA RMAN And Mr. Barnes then on behalf of Marisa Simms?

MR. BARNES: Yes, Chairman. My instructions are to offer no submissions in relation to the evidence before this Tribunal and as to any inferences or conclusions which the Tribunal may draw. Thank you.
CHA RMAN And then, for Garda Keith Harrison, Mr. Harty?
MR. HARTY: I think perhaps Chief Superintendent

McGinn --
CHAI RMAN Yes. No, you are right. Then Mr. Power for Chief Superintendent McGinn?
MR. PONER: Yes, chairperson, $I$ will be very brief.
CHA RMAN Are we talking about five minutes?
MR. POVER: Yes, less.
CHA RMAN And then for Garda Harrison, Mr. Harty?
MR. HARTY: Less than ten minutes.
CHA RMAN We might get it finished today, but let's not force ourselves. Ms. Kelly, should we take a break? It would probably be the prudent thing to do.

## AFTER A SHORT AD OURNMENT THE TRI BUNAL RESUMED AS FOLOVS

MR. O NEI LL: Chairman, before you take up the proceedings, $I$ should have identified myself.

CHA RMAN I am sorry, if I left you out. It certainly was not in any way deliberate.
MR. O NEILL: I don't take any offence whatsoever. I have very brief comments to make, two to three minutes maximum.

CHAI RMAN Maybe just remind me of who you are appearing for.
MR. O NEI LL: Nial1 o'Neil1, appearing on behalf of
Rita McDermott.
CHAN RMAN Ah yes, I know Mr. O'Neill.
MR. O NEILL: I think there were a couple of comments the Tribunal made on day 35 involving Rita, there is
one aspect of that, that I wish to address.
CHA RMAN Please do draw them to my attention. Make whatever submissions you want. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill.

## SUBM SSI ON BY MR. O NEI LL:

MR. O NEILL: Thank you, Chairman. I didn't know whether there was a running order. It is just simply on day 35 , I think that the Tribunal referred to the actions on the part of McDermott family and whether there was any ulterior motive in relation to the McDermotts in the way that they approached these matters, and obviously that includes my client, Rita McDermott, who is the mother of Marisa and Paula, and has had a fairly, I suppose, maybe germane part to play in relation to the matters that come before the Tribuna1. But, in any event, I think that whenever Ms. McDermott was being questioned by the Tribunal and by various legal teams, I don't think that, in relation to her part in the events that it was ever challenged that she acted anything other than out of genuine concern for her daughter and for her granddaughter, I would say in the first part, Mr. Chairman.

There was also reference $I$ think as far as the genuineness of her statement and I suppose I would point out that, certainly my instructions, and I think that the evidence certainly never in any event diverged from the assertion that my client had any conversation with any member of the family in relation to Keith

Harrison attending the wedding, I would say at the outset. I would also point out that there were a number of contacts obviously with the Gardaí by my client, Rita McDermott, before the wedding and before her statement on the 2 nd October and in fact her involvement with the Gardaí and the connections with the Gardaí went back to before summer of 2013, well before I think the wedding was even on the radar. I would say that at the outset, that obviously you have the text messages then that bear out in the middle of August concerns that Ms. McDermott had. There was certainly never any evidence that the text messages that were brought -- brought forward in the evidence in questioning of my client in regard to any ulterior motive for any of those text messages, I don't think that those text messages bore out any ulterior motive. I don't think any reasonable reading of those text messages would show anything other than my client, Rita McDermott, having a concern at the time for her daughter. And certainly nothing that would lead, I 16:42 would submit, a person to believe that in fact there was a collusion there or indeed that there was an ulterior motive on behalf of Rita McDermott to approach the Gardaí, having regard to the previous contacts she had.

And I would point out as well that obviously the 28th September is a particularly significant date and Rita McDermott's contacts with the Gardaí, that is coming
from her, occurred before that date and indeed before the statement of the 2nd October. There was contact between Sergeant Durkin and Rita McDermott coming from Sergeant Durkin on the 1st October, but in any event it was not my client, Ms. McDermott, that was, I suppose, approaching the Gardaí in the early days before the wedding.

And I think it's very important to point out that on the 2nd October Inspector Sheridan referred to the meeting of Ms. McDermott on the street in Raphoe as being a cold call, and that was the situation. And I would submit to the Tribunal, when the Tribunal is looking at the genuineness of the statement, that the Tribunal have regard to the fact that maybe when a person might in some way put together their own statement or I suppose maybe have an ulterior motive that some time might be given to putting some thought into the fruits of that ulterior motive, and in this case that could not have happened because of the manner in which Ms. McDermott was stopped on the street.

And I would say that in relation to the way the matters flowed after that, it's been clear from the evidence that the statement, as it was taken, in Ms. McDermott's house, was in a fairly relaxed manner. In fact, it was Inspector sheridan who said that Ms. McDermott spoke freely -- this is from her evidence, that "she spoke freely and openly and came across to me as a pleasant

I ady who had genui ne concerns", and I think that those last couple of words echo the approach that many of the legal teams had, indeed, in relation to the questioning of Ms. McDermott. And that is that she had genuine concerns and that there was never an ulterior motive in 16:45 the way that she approached those matters.

If I leave it at that, Chairman. Thank you.
CHA RMAN Thank you, Mr. O'Neill. So, Mr. Power.

## SUBM SSI ON BY MR. POVER:

MR. POVER: Thank you very much, Chairman. It seems to be suggested by Garda Harrison that Chief Superintendent McGinn acted out of malice towards him. There is no evidence to support any such assertion. In 16:45 fact, we are yet even to hear what motive Chief Superintendent McGinn is accused of having for any such malice. That chief Superintendent McGinn acted in accordance with her duties is a matter of written record and is clearly apparent from the correspondence and documentation presented to this Tribunal. She has honestly set forth her evidence to this Tribunal and any doubting of her credibility is unwarranted, and any such assertion can only be made to pursue a version of events not grounded in fact.

Chief Superintendent McGinn did not seek Garda Harrison out. Ms. Simms' mother and sister sought the assistance of An Garda Síochána in relation to his
conduct. As a serving member within her division Chief Superintendent McGinn was required to act as she did. And that is something that seems to be accepted, because on day 26 of this Tribunal, on the 27th September 2017, Ms. Simms herself accepted in her evidence that Chief Superintendent McGinn had a proper basis for action on foot of her statement of complaint and the statements and preceding reports from family members.

It must also be remembered that chief Superintendent McGinn had received a number of Garda reports concerning Garda Harrison prior to her appointment of Inspector sheridan on October 2nd, 2013. Her actions were grounded in statements of fact.

Any allegation of coercion that might be made as regards her statement must be considered in respect of what Ms. Simms has told this Tribunal and any assessment of Chief superintendent McGinn's knowledge of any such alleged coercion must be seen in that light.

In fact, having heard the evidence, the only reasonable conclusion is that at all reasonable times Chief Superintendent McGinn acted properly and in good faith and any actions she took were carried out in her role as chief superintendent in a professional manner, not motivated by malice against Garda Harrison.

As regards the HSE or the Tusla reference, the only involvement Chief Superintendent McGinn had was to satisfy herself that the referral was being attended to, and that was entirely appropriate. Indeed, in that 16:48 regard and in regard to the GSOC referral one would ask the question, had Chief Superintendent McGinn failed to act as she did one might ask what criticism she might then have faced in the absence of action.

Thank you very much, Chairperson.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much, Mr. Power. Mr. Dwyer?

## SUBM SSI ON BY MR. DVYER:

MR. DVYER: Yes, Chairman. I appear with Mr. Gageby
and Mr. Conlon - I think I might have overlooked a member of the legal team earlier in calling people into the record - representing Superintendent Kevin English and Superintendent James Coen. Ultimately, the Tribunal did not hear from either of those potential witnesses. The evidence referred to those two potential witnesses at different times, but the critical evidence, Chairman, from the point of view of making findings and conclusions with respect to both Superintendent James Coen and Superintendent Kevin English may be found in the transcript on the day of the 29th September, pages 78 to 79 onwards. And the witness, Garda Harrison, confirmed in cross-examination in relation to both of those witnesses, insofar as his
treatment was concerned, that he had no issues in relation to those witnesses, bar a small issue in relation to Superintendent Coen. The Tribunal may recal1 that Superintendent Coen had made an inquiry as to the address of Garda Harrison, but Garda Harrison confirmed in his evidence that the request to Superintendent Coen to make that particular inquiry came from Superintendent McGovern and that he was simply acting on a request from another officer.

The Tribunal will recall, perhaps, that Superintendent English made his statement prior to the module commencing. Superintendent Coen made his statement during the module, arising out of, I believe, a request made for such a statement. That request was made, I think, by counsel for Mr. Harrison during the currency of the evidence of Chief Superintendent Sheridan. Ultimately, in any event, Chairman, having heard the cross-examination you stated then at page 78, going into 79:
"Is there any need to call Superintendent Coen or Superintendent English in the light of that?"

And following that day's hearing, Chairman, both Superintendent Coen and Superintendent English were informed that they would not be required as witnesses. so whilst there may have been some discrepancies and some potential controversies on foot of statements that
they had made, ultimately the evidence disclosed that there was no controversy or issue of any substance in relation to either of those witnesses.

That concludes the submission.
CHAl RMAN Mr. Harty?

## SUBM SSI ON BY MR HARTY:

MR. HARTY: Yes, sir. The Tribunal will be aware that as important as it is to hear the evidence that it has received, just as one is analysing a canvas one doesn't just look at the action that is put in place or clearly visible, one must also look at the lacunae. One doesn't just see what actors are moving but also assess the inertia. What has been represented to the Tribunal today by way of closing submission on the evidence by the various parties, all I will say to the Tribunal is that that is one view of the evidence that was given by the various witnesses and that a closer examination of the evidence of those witnesses, which is clearly a role solely for the Tribunal, will reveal that it perhaps is not quite so serving of the parties who wish to have that particular colour put on that evidence. And I don't propose to take issue with any particular individual characterisation of the evidence made in closing submissions.

What I will say to the Tribunal is that at a meeting in Blacklion, Chief Superintendent McGinn gave a
disciplinary file to Superintendent Mary Murray, and the Tribunal has a copy of that file, and the Tribunal will see from that file that much of what took place in terms of the statement of Chief Superintendent McGinn and the early stages of the investigation in this module are in fact reflected in that file for good or for ill. And to a certain extent that file contains matters which are not directly relevant to the matters that Superintendent Murray was asked to investigate nor indeed this Tribunal, but I accept, and I think it is fair to say, that when the matters were put before the Tribunal and appeared to show some relevance the Tribunal had to go into them.

I would ask the Tribunal in particular to note one fact 16:54 which is the anonymous letter, which Chief Superintendent McGinn said she only saw in March of this year when in fact she handed it to Superintendent Murray in February of 2014. And I would ask the Tribunal to inquire of itself: why was that letter there yet again? It would seem to me that it's purpose was to give a dog a bad name and to beat it. And much of what is contained in the statement of chief Superintendent McGinn and the backstory is not there because it is probative, but because it puts a colour on the actions of Garda Harrison.

In relation to the central matters - which are the taking of the statement on the 6th October, the meeting
of the 8th October, the steps taken by the Garda Síochána following that meeting - what I would say to the Tribunal is, firstly, I would ask the Tribunal to look at what was actually contained in the statement of evidence prior to evidence being taken out or being brought out by way of examination or cross-examination, but what detail was in fact offered first in relation to that? Then, I would ask the Tribunal to ask itself in relation to the statement which was sold or was proffered as evidence of serious criminal wrongdoing in the version of events that this Tribunal was asked to look at and the canvas that was being painted, when in fact it came to that meeting of the 8th october what is clear is that at that point already the Garda Síochána had decided they were not going to investigate this
criminal activity. And then that gives rise to the question, one assumes, and indeed everyone's position is, that the Gardaí, if they believed there were serious threats to kill, would do something about it. And one remembers the evidence Mr. Wright from GSOC
when he said that he would expect the Garda Síochána where they took such threats to be serious not to just sit back but to do something. But within minutes of the referral to Gsoc being made it was clear and correspondence was issuing to say that this should not have been a section 102 referral. what did Chief Superintendent McGinn do? Nothing. what did Inspector Sheridan do? Nothing.

That must give rise then to the question which must be foremost in the mind of this Tribunal, which is: Did An Garda Síochána believe that there were real and intended criminal threats made against Marisa Simms as relayed in the statement of Marisa Simms on the 6th October? It poses a conundrum for the Tribunal because if the Gardaí did believe there were such real threats then the Tribunal has to ask itself, why they did nothing. And it is a conundrum which I cannot see a clear answer on the evidence that has been heard by the ${ }_{16: 58}$ Tribunal. Because excuses have been made to say that it was with GSOC and then there was to be a disciplinary file, but everybody accepts that in terms of the protection of a person who is the subject matter of threats the Gardaí were the only ones who could act. 16:58

The matters then move on in terms of the HSE referral and yet again we have lacunae and absence of explanation and also inertia, we have partial explanations that the HSE were busy, we have the somewhat convoluted explanation of what happened between, in the correspondence between Mr. Hone and Superintendent McGovern and what people understood to have been the outcome of that, but what is clear is that after the 24th October 2013 nothing was done until 16:59 phone calls were made between An Garda Síochána and members of Tusla at the end of January 2014. It is also clear that Tusla were not going to do anything prior to that contact with An Garda Síochána. And
insofar as there is an allegation contained in a letter -- and the precise details of such an allegation I say are not directly relevant, in that the precise format of them is somewhat irrelevant, but what is much more relevant is: why did Sergeant McGowan feel it necessary to ring somebody in Tusla, who she didn't identify, to say that the statement of complaint had been withdrawn? why did Ms. McTeague say that she spoke to Sergeant McGowan and give a version of events which is in fact not mirrored by her contemporaneous note which refers to her phoning to see what the progress was in the criminal investigation? And perhaps even more extraordinary, why or how did it come about Ms. Bridgeen Smith gave evidence that she had no contact between the 21st October 2013 and the 1st March 17:01 2014, and on the last day of this module we received evidence which showed that certainly as of the 5th February 2014 she was saying she was in regular contact with Brigit McGowan?

Now, those are questions which are difficult to answer and in fact $I$ would suggest have not been answered.

In relation to the other matters which follow on, which is the disciplinary matter, nothing again was done in relation to it until December, by which stage High Court proceedings stopped the disciplinary proceedings, but did not stop any criminal proceedings. And that is something which this Tribunal must be aware of; is that
never at any stage was there any bar on the criminal proceedings or the criminal investigation of that statement and yet never at any stage did any member of An Garda Síochána see fit to investigate it.

Now it has been asked what proof is there of malice, what proof is there of an improper purpose, and that proof rests in the two letters of Chief Superintendent MCGinn, the first requesting the suspension and the second requesting the appointment of a disciplinary officer. And in respect of those it is clear that the purpose here was not to criminally investigate the complaint but rather to rely on the complaint for the purpose of seeking a suspension, a removal from the division and potentially dismissal from An Garda Síochána following a disciplinary inquiry. And that was the actual purpose. And there is no evidence to suggest the contrary at all. Insofar as there are lacunae, they all point in that direction.

And I will also just, at my client's request, thank the Tribunal for its assistance. It is certainly a matter for which a € 1 stamp would not have provided him with the answers that he required. And that in relation to the question of a play, there are Pirandello plays that 17:04 perhaps could describe the actions of the various parties in relation to the statement of Marisa Simms, but it's not Six Characters in Search of an Author, it's Henry IV, whereby one is engaged in a charade in
your actions.

I have nothing further to say. Thank you.
CHAI RMAN Thanks, Mr. Harty. I did say that if anyone wished to exercise a brief, and I really, really do mean brief, rebuttal that if they want to take that opportunity, just please indicate. If you don't -MR. PONER: Yes, thank you, Chairman. Could I make two comments arising out of the submission made in due course?

CHA RMAN Yes.

## FURTHER SUBM SSI ON BY MR. POVER:

MR. POVER: Thank you, Chairman. Firstly, in relation to the file that was handed to Superintendent Mary
Murray in Blacklion and the content of the file containing the anonymous letter, the uncontested evidence before this Tribunal was that Chief Superintendent McGinn was unaware of the letter notwithstanding it was enclosed within the file. I
think that is a context that is very important, given the manner in which the submission was made to the Tribunal, given an impression that a particular single individual letter as an individual document was handed over. That is not how matters transpired. And I think 17:05 my friend should have known better.

And secondly, in relation to the late allegation of malice now made by Garda Harrison in respect of the
letters written by Chief Superintendent McGinn, those letters have been before the Tribunal and with Garda Harrison for a very long time, and the content of them speak for themselves, and were put to chief Superintendent McGinn before this Tribunal, and the answers will speak for themselves, and in my respectful submission are no basis for any allegation of malice, even if put at this late stage. Thank you, Chairperson.
CHA RMAN Al1 right. Mr. McDermott, you don't want to 17:06 say anything, you're happy enough with what you have said already? All right, then that is the same as everybody else. okay. well, that is that for this little bit. There's obviously a good way to go in relation to the rest of the Tribunal. It's not something to be rushed at. I need to think about it. I will of course put something on the website as soon as is possible to indicate when a report will be ready in relation to this, not anything else, in due course. I am sure people would like more information than that, 17:06 but I just can't give it at the moment. It requires some thought. So there it is.
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| 4:26, 4:27, 4:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 160:2, 160:8, } \\ & \text { 160:13, 166:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $20: 10,59: 15$ | $10: 16,14: 2,29: 5,$ | 130:13, 132:5, | 49:12, 65:10, |
| 4:29, 5:4, 5:8, | $166 \cdot 10 \quad 172 \cdot 25$ | 61:1, 68:19, | 44:17, 66:27, | 132:6, 134:16, | 65:25, 65:26, |
| 5:9, 5:11, 8:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 166: 19,172: 25, \\ & 170 \cdot 27 \\ & 172 \cdot 29 \end{aligned}$ | 68:28, 69:28, | 84:10, 84:28, | 134:19, 138:6, | 82:28, 88:20, |
| 8:20, 12:12, |  | 70:3, 103:25, | 98:3, 122:8, | 138:9, 146:25, | 192:22, 202:20 |
| 12:15, 12:21, | 177:26, 178:2, <br> 184:22, 184:24 | 161:17, 194:14, | 133:9, 140:10, | 158:7, 158:10, | notes [29] - |
| 12:23, 12:24, | 204:19, 204:23, | 195:10, 210:11, | 142:9, 145:29, | 158:15, 161:27, | 14:28, 19:10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:27, 12:28, } \\ & \text { 13:6, 13:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 204:29, 205:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 210:18, 210:21, } \\ & \text { 213:13. 216:1. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 1,148: 3 \\ & 160: 13,186: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 196:15 next $[8]-7 \cdot 27$ | 30:23, 30:26, |
| $13.6,23,13: 27$, | 205:6, 205:8, | 217:29 | 212:22, 220:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { next [8]-7:27, } \\ 15: 2,43: 9,82: 2, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 18,33: 25, \\ & 34 \cdot 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| , | 205:16, 205:20, |  |  | 15.2, 43.9, 82.2, | 34:20, 45:2, 49 |



| 53:5, | operational [5] | outbursts [1] | 5:27, 7:10, 7:14, | aph | :14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :23, 160:6, | 138:26, 147:11, | 186:10 | 7:20, 7:27, 8:7, | 27:3, 81:21, | 79:22, 79:2 |
| 1:12, 162:26, | 147:14, 148:13 | come [2] | 8:11, 14:25, 15 | 96:29, 99:14 | 80:20, |
| 1:7, 172:17, | 175:22 | 118:21, 216:2 | 16 | 110:20, 167:27 | 04 |
| 6:9, 178:28 | opinion [1] |  | 17:28, 18: | 195 | 108:21 |
| 9:17, 180:11 | 161:13 | 16:1 | 22 | pardon [1] | 11 |
| 0:27, 186:8, | nions [1] | outlined [2] - | 22:26, 24:1 | 204:16 | 7, 134 |
| 86:21, 188:10, | 125:26 | 48:25, 164:7 | 25:21, 27:1 | parent [1] - | :13, 138: |
| $8: 13,188: 14$, | portunity ${ }_{[12]}$ | outlining [5] | 28:22, 29:2 | 68:2 | :5, 141:10 |
| 0:6, 190:28, | - 31:7, 70:14, | 47:18, 52:1, 87 | 29:21, 29:2 | rents | 42:1 |
| 1:13, 191:18, | 70:21, 79:20 | 97:4, 101:20 | 30:6, 30:17 | 20:10, 69:5 | 45:6, 145:8 |
| 3:3, 193:6, | 83:4, 89:15 | outset [2] | 30 | 69:9, 69:28 | 47 |
| 4:2, 194:11 | 147:14, 180:13 | 207:2, 207:9 | 42:26, 45: | 70:10 | 149: |
| 4:14, 196:11, | 180:16, 191:27 | outside [8] - | 46:4, 46:23 | parking | 149:18, 150:2 |
| 6:20, 198:25, | 194:5, 219:7 | 51:19, 82:13 | 47:18, 48: | 133:2, 174 | 153 |
| 4:17, 206:1, | osed [3] | 88:18, 111:1 | 48:17, 50:3 | part [29]-21:7, | 23, 155:27 |
| 211:6, 211:8, | 31:1, 78:17 | 116:10, 133:1 | 56:27, 57:10 | 22:24, 86:20 | 159:23, 165:7 |
| 3:11, 213:13 | 105:11 | 162:23, 184:2 | 59:22, 65:1 | 100:14, 104 | 175 |
| 3:18, 214:15 | osite [3] - | outstanding ${ }^{[2]}$ | 68:10, 78:27 | 104:22, 105: | 18 |
| 215:17, 215:20, | 72:4, 17 | - 36:16, 149 | 85:24, 85:2 | 109:22, 116:12, | 187:11, 196: |
| $218: 29$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 21,87: 1 \\ & 89: 10,96: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 135:15, 167:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 197:20, 200:12, } \\ & \text { 212:7, 213:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:9 } \\ & \text { ones }[3]-96 \end{aligned}$ | $185$ | $178$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 29,99: 2,99: 3, \\ & \text { 110:19, 115:29, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 174: 28,175: 2 \\ & 175 \cdot 25 \quad 178.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 213:24, 214:15, } \\ & \text { 219:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 147:12, } 216: 15 \\ \text { oneself }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | orchestrated [2] | 52: | $\begin{aligned} & 116: 20,137: 2 \\ & 140: 1,142: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 178:29, 179:2 } \\ & \text { 180:6, 181:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { particularly }[7] \\ 66: 3,91: 27, \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| 193:6 ongoir | ordeal [2]- <br> 185:18 190 | $160: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144: 1,147: 20 \\ & 148: 19,152: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 181:2, 181:1 } \\ & \text { 181:17, 182: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:7, 109:2, } \\ & \text { 122:21, 159:1 } \end{aligned}$ |
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| 8:14, 59:8, 66:8, | 18:27, 36:18, | overly [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 166: 22,167: 1 \\ & 169: 28,176: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 206:19, 206:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { particulars }[1] \text { - } \\ & 75: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { o:10, 66:21, } \\ & 7: 28,81: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 18,102: 10 \\ & 139: 25,142: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $71: 22,71: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169: 28,176: 1 \\ & 177: 9,187: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { partial [1] - } \\ \text { 216:19 } \end{gathered}$ | 75:4 <br> partie |
| 8:26, 89:16, | 146:17, 160:13 | 74:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 192:23, 192:2 } \\ & \text { 195:8, 197:17 } \end{aligned}$ | particular [114] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:2, 91:8, 91:9, } \\ & \text { 107:26, 163:14, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 90: 10,90: 21, \\ & \text { 105:19, 110:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 192:11, 193:14, } \\ & \text { 206:7 } \end{aligned}$ | overview [8] | $\begin{aligned} & 195: 8,197: 17 \\ & 198: 1,202: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:7, 14:9, 15:26, } \\ & \text { 16:4, 16:5, 19:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:26, 163:14, } \\ & \text { 213:17, 213:22, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 14:16, 118:6, | ordinarily [2] - | $49: 11,100: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 212:19 } \\ & \text { pages }[7] \end{aligned}$ | 21:19, 21:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 218:27 } \\ & \text { partner }[13]- \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:14, 124:10, } \\ & 139: 14,146: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $10: 9,35: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:27, 102:3 } \\ & \text { 108:4, 113:11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pages [7]- } \\ 13: 21,45: 2,48: \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 23,21: 2 \\ & 23: 19,24: 1 \end{aligned}$ | partner [13] - $52 \cdot 1,53: 16,54: 7$ |
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| opened [1] | gina | 83:15, 187:1 | 126:9 | 44:8, 56:11, 57:6 | party [1] |
| 49:10 | $52: 25,138: 21$ | $192: 20,203: 13$ | PALF/ manageme | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 12,58: 28, \\ & 60: 9,62: 27, \end{aligned}$ | $170: 16$ |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { past }[1]-131: 7 \\ & \text { Pat }[1]-6: 8 \\ & \text { patently }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -30: 6,35: 10 \\ & \text { perhaps [25] - } \\ & 6: 22,74: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Persons [1] - } \\ & \text { 190:12 } \\ & \text { persons [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:28, 136:20, } \\ & \text { 137:4, 154:6, } \\ & \text { 154:7, 157:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 182:8, 185:4, } \\ & \text { 186:5, 191:6, } \\ & 195: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3:5, 4:15, 4:26, } \\ & \text { 4:29, 136:14, } \\ & \text { 136:17, 136:19, } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:12 } \\ & \text { patrols [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 11,93: 4, \\ & \text { 103:6, 134:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $86: 10,160: 5$ perspective [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 161: 20,175: 8 \\ & 192: 15,213: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { police }[3] \text { - } \\ 127: 19,194: \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 05: 4,205: 6, \\ & 09: 11,209: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
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| 187:28 | 160:24, 161:22 178:10 | 140:21, 161:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:13, 90:7, } \\ & \text { 164:23, 164:26 } \end{aligned}$ | $14: 26,48: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 19,73: 9, \\ & 141: 27,217: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
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| 12, | 189:24 | 87:10, 97:5, | 171:27 | 156:15, 161:2 | 176:27, 177:15 |
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| perfectly [8] | 185:20, 200:7 | 69:22, 73:17 | 207:27, 208:9 | tentially | 2:1 |
| 13:14, 73:15, | personally ${ }^{2}$ ] - | 77:19, 77:27 | 211:23, 215:14 | 162:12, 218:15 | present ${ }^{10}$ |
| 75:17, 81:20, | 14:11, 125 | 78:11, 79:1 | 218: | , | 19, 22:10 |
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| 171:12, 171:13, | verbally ${ }_{[1]}$ | W | $60: 20,61: 12$ | $169: 15,175: 5$ | $59: 14,62: 5,74: 7$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 171:23, 172:4, } \\ & \text { 187:23, 193:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:16 } \\ & \text { versa } \end{aligned}$ |  | $61: 13,62: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 183:20, 184:6, } \\ & \text { 197:13, 200:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 8,74: 18 \\ & 75: 19,78: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| 197:16, 205:16 up-to-date [2] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 146:3, } 160: 7 \\ \text { version }[8] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | wait [1] - 202:4 <br> Wallace [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 63: 1,63: 11, \\ & 64: 29,65: 1, \\ & \text { 138:27, 159: } \end{aligned}$ | 217:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:17, 150:20, } \\ & \text { 150:25, 165:29 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 43:8, 49:6 } \\ \text { update [8] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 28,27: 27 \\ & 47: 26,160: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 91:26, 192:8 } \\ & \text { Wallace's [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 183:28 | 14:19, 84:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { workload }[1] \text { - } \\ & 67: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7:28, 8:1, 8:3, | 203:22, 209:24, | 193:18 | 200:19 | WITNESS ${ }_{[5]}$ | works [4]-64:2, |
| 48:24, 88:13, | 215:11, 217:9 | wallow [1] | Westmeath [1] - | 4:2, 14:19, 84:22, | 64:3, 68:1, 75:9 |
| 89:21, 102:18, | via [3] - 52:9, | 129:29 | 202:17 | 137:17, 155:18 | world [3]- |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:23 } \\ & \text { updated }[2]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 52:22, 141:1 } \\ & \text { vice }[2]-146: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Walsh [10]- } \\ 90: 28,113: 25, \end{gathered}$ | whatsoever [6] $56 \cdot 25,76 \cdot 14$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { witness }[19]- \\ 5: 4,12: 20,12: 26, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:25, 161:15, } \\ & \text { 195:29 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 36:26, 66:12 updates [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 160:6 } \\ & \text { vicinity }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 114: 8,114: 23 \\ & 140: 29,148: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 182:28, 193:19, } \\ & \text { 198:2, 205:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $14: 2,15: 2,48: 7 .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wright }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 215: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 47:18 | 53:14 | 152:25, 160:1, |  | $\begin{aligned} & : 1,84: 4,84: 2 \\ & 3: 26,104: 13, \end{aligned}$ | wrist $[2]$ |
| uppermost [1] - | victim [1] - | 160:2, 160:9 | 118:17, 180:13 | 105:9, 171:7, | 196:16 |
| 105:4 | 135:6 | wants [1] - |  | 171:15, 189:6, | write [5] - 42:26, |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:5, 56:26, } \\ & \text { 141:15, 150:27 } \\ & \text { writes [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | Z |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 73: 13 \\ & \text { writing }[3]- \\ & \text { 29:26, } 57: 18 \text {, } \\ & \text { 171:4 } \\ & \text { written }[17] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zappone }[5] \\ & 75: 17,78: 23, \\ & 78: 27,167: 10, \\ & \text { 185:2 } \\ & \text { zeal }[2]-160: 29, \\ & \text { 179:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 101:19, 130:16, | $€$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 142:27, 167:9, } \\ & \text { 170:4, 170:16, } \\ & \text { 170:29, 194:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { €1 [2]-171:3, } \\ & 218: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 209:19, 220:1 wrongdoing [4] | Ó |
| $\begin{gathered} -167: 8,178: 29, \\ \text { 189:12, 215:10 } \\ \text { wrongful }[3] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | Ó ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:6 |
| 104:26, 165:15, 166:7 | Ú |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 38:6, 57:17, } \\ & 98: 16,99: 12, \\ & \text { 112:1, 129:22, } \\ & \text { 169:27, 171:28 } \end{aligned}$ | Úna [1]-200:15 |
|  | À |
|  |  |
| Y | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ [1] - 60: |
| year ${ }_{[16]}-11: 9$, |  |
| 19:19, 25:10, |  |
| 54:6, 67:13, |  |
| 67:14, 68:10, |  |
| 95:9, 109:8, |  |
| 124:23, 125:15, |  |
| 141:28, 158:20, |  |
| 186:6, 198:8, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 214:18 } \\ & \text { yearly }[2] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 66:29, } 67: 2 \\ \text { years }[7]- \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 11:15, 11:17, |  |
| 82:3, 164:3, |  |
| 186:8, 186:19, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 186:26 } \\ & \text { young }{ }_{[1]}- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 192:4 |  |
| Young [1] - |  |
|  |  |
| 6:7, 18:16, |  |
| 121:18, 162:19, |  |
| 162:20 |  |
| Youth [2] - |  |
| 167:11, 185:2 |  |


[^0]:    "Four inci dents of murder threats in the region, one of

