TRIBUNAL OF INQUIRY INTO PROTECTED DISCLOSURES MADE UNDER
THE PROTECTED DISCLOSURES ACT 2014 AND CERTAIN OTHER
MATTERS FOLLOWING RESOLUTIONS PASSED BY DÁIL ÉIREANN AND
SEANAD ÉIREANN ON 16 FEBRUARY 2017

ESTABLISHED BY INSTRUMENT MADE BY THE MINISTER FOR JUSTICE AND EQUALITY UNDER THE TRIBUNALS OF INQUIRY (EVIDENCE) ACT 1921, ON 17 FEBRUARY 2017

SOLE MEMBER: MR. JUSTICE PETER CHARLETON, JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT

HELD IN DUBLIN CASTLE
ON MONDAY, 29TH JANUARY 2018 - DAY 50

50

Gwen Malone Stenography Services certify the following to be a verbatim transcript of their stenographic notes in the above-named action.

GWEN MALONE STENOGRAPHY SERVICES

## **APPEARANCES**

SOLE MEMBER: MR. JUSTICE PETER CHARLETON, JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT

**REGISTRAR:** MR. PETER KAVANAGH

FOR THE TRIBUNAL: MR. DIARMAID MCGUINNESS SC

MR. PATRICK MARRINAN SC
MS. KATHLEEN LEADER BL
MS. ELIZABETH MULLAN, SOLICITOR

FOR SGT. McCABE: MR. MICHAEL McDOWELL SC

MR. PAUL MCGARRY SC MR. BREFFNI GORDON BL

SEAN COSTELLO & COMPANY **INSTRUCTED BY:** 

HALIDAY HOUSE 32 ARRAN QUAY DUBLIN 7

MR. MÍCHEÁL P. O'HIGGINS SC FOR THE COMMISSIONER:

MR. SHANE MURPHY SC MR. CONOR DIGNAM SC MR. DONAL MCGUINNESS BL MR. JOHN FITZGERALD BL

MS. KATHY DONALD **INSTRUCTED BY:** 

CHIEF STATE SOLICITOR'S OFFICE

OSMOND HOUSE

LITTLE SHIP STREET DUBLIN 8

FOR MR. JOHN BARRETT: MR. JOHN ROGERS SC

**INSTRUCTED BY:** MR. FELIX MCTIERNAN

NOBLE SOLICITORS FRANKFORT BUILDING

DUNDRUM ROAD DUBLIN 14

FOR MR. COLM SMYTH SC MR. MICHAEL MACNAMEE BL MR. GARRET BYRNE BL:

MR. PAUL SREENAN SC

MS. ELLEN GLEESON BL MS. CATHERINE DONNELLY BL

INSTRUCTED BY:

MS. GERALDIŅE CLARKE MR. RONAN O'BRIEN

GLEESON MCGRATH BALDWIN

29 ANGLESEA STREET

DUBLIN 2

FOR MS. FRANCES FITZGERALD

MR. MICHAEL FLAHIVE

MR. KEN O'LEARY
MR. NOEL WATERS
MR. CHRISTOPHER QUATTROCIOCCHI
MR. MARTIN POWER:

MR. PATRICK MCCANN SC MR. GERARD MEEHAN BL

**INSTRUCTED BY:** 

MR. CHARLES WALLACE

CHIEF STATE SOLICITOR'S OFFICE

OSMOND HOUSE

LITTLE SHIP STREET DUBLIN 8

## INDEX

WITNESS	PAGE
MS. PAULA MONKS	
DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MARRINAN	5
MR. KEN O'LEARY	
DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MARRINAN	9
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDOWELL	58
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM	65
EXAMINED BY MR. MCCANN	71
RE-EXAMINED BY MR. MARRINAN	73
FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDOWELL	74
QUESTIONED BY THE CHAIRMAN:	77
MR. MICHAEL DREELAN	
DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS	82
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDOWELL	107
MR. MICHAEL FLAHIVE	
DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER	121
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDOWELL	159
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM	190
EXAMINED BY MR. MEEHAN	195
RE-EXAMINED BY MR. LEADER	196
QUESTIONED BY THE CHAIRMAN	198

1			THE HEARING RESUMED ON MONDAY, 29TH JANUARY 2018,	
2			AS FOLLOWS:	
3				
4			MR. MARRINAN: Sorry, sir, the first witness this	
5			morning is Paula Monks, please.	10:02
6				
7			MS. PAULA MONKS, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY	
8			EXAMINED BY MR. MARRINAN:	
9			MR. MARRINAN: Ms. Monks' statement is to be found at	
10			page 3468 of the Tribunal's books.	10:02
11	1	Q.	Now, I think that you are a clerical officer in the	
12			Department of Justice and Equality, isn't that right?	
13		Α.	That's correct, yeah.	
14	2	Q.	And you have been there since 2009, up until December	
15			of 2015?	10:03
16		Α.	I have been in the Secretary General's office since	
17			2009, in the Department of Justice since 2004.	
18	3	Q.	Oh, very good.	
19		Α.	Yeah.	
20	4	Q.	Now, working in the Secretary General's office, you had	10:03
21			a number of duties, isn't that so?	
22		Α.	I did.	
23	5	Q.	I think first of all, you had diary management of the	
24			Secretary General's diary, you were involved in opening	
25			post and ensuring the Secretary General was made aware	10:03
26			of any correspondence deemed necessary, and scanning	
27			correspondence to the appropriate divisions as	
28			required?	
29		Α.	That's correct.	

Is that right? You were also involved in coordinating 1 6 Q. 2 international business trips, circulating memos that 3 are sent from other departments to the Department for observations? 4 5 That's correct. Α. 10:04 6 7 Q. Is that right? And then to arrange for invoices to be 7 paid, the Government decisions were shown to the 8 Secretary General and to assist the private secretary in the day-to-day organisation of parliamentary 9 questions, is that right? 10 10.04 11 Α. That's correct. 12 You were also involved in assisting the private 8 Q. 13 secretary in the daily running of the office? 14 Α. I was, yeah. 15 9 Now, I think that on a daily basis, an email comes in Q. 10:04 16 to the Secretary General's office for the Secretary 17 General's attention; what happens to the email? 18 When it comes in? Α. 19 10 Yes. Q. 20 Normally what happens is, it's the responsibility of Α. 10:04 the private secretary to the Secretary General to show 21 22 that to him or her of the day. I would only be cc'ed 23 on that group in the absence of the private secretary 24 or if he was otherwise engaged. So it would be the 25 responsibility of the private secretary. 10.04 26 11 And I think you are aware that we are concerned about Q. two emails in particular? 27

And if they could be just put up on the screen now at

I am, yeah.

28

29

Α.

Ο.

12

- page 3470. Who was the Secretary General at this time?
- 2 A. It was Noel Waters.
- 3 13 Q. Noel Waters. We have already heard from Mr. Waters.
- 4 If you look there first of all at the bottom one, it's
- 5 dated 15th May of 2015, at 16:57, and it's an email
- from Michael Flahive to Christopher Quattrociocchi, and

10:05

10:06

10:06

- 7 it's copied to the, you see there, Secretary General's
- 8 office?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 14 Q. Is that in fact you? It would go to you, is that
- 11 right?
- 12 A. That would be me and a number of other people as well.
- 13 15 Q. Right. And we also see that it's copied to
- Mr. O'Leary, who was at that time the Deputy Secretary
- 15 General, is that right?
- 16 A. I can't recall if he was the deputy at the time.
- 17 16 Q. All right.
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 17 Q. And also to Martin Power, is that so?
- 20 A. Martin Power, yeah.
- 21 18 Q. And the subject is "Commission of Investigation". I am
- not going to open it because it's already been opened
- to the Tribunal, sir. Do you have any recollection of
- 24 having received that?
- 25 A. I don't, no.
- 26 19 Q. You don't. But in the normal course of events you
- 27 would draw that to the Secretary General's attention,
- would you?
- 29 A. If the private secretary wasn't in the office, but on

- this occasion he brought it to the Secretary General's
- 2 attention.
- 3 20 Q. And who was the private secretary?
- 4 A. It was Denis Griffin.
- 5 21 Q. Mm?
- 6 A. Denis Griffin.
- 7 22 O. Yes. Then above that we have another email dated 25th

10.07

10:07

10.07

- 8 of May 2015 at 12:20, and it's a short email. The
- 9 Minister has noted the below, and it's sent to, again
- it's difficult to see it there on the copy before you
- but again it's the Secretary General's office?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 23 Q. And it's also addressed to Michael Flahive, Ken O'Leary
- and Martin Power, sorry, then it says Secretary
- 15 General's office. So that would have been again given
- to Mr. Waters, is that right?
- 17 A. It would have been, yeah.
- 18 24 Q. Yes. And that's an email from Mr. Quattrociocchi to
- 19 Michael Flahive. Okay. Do you have any recollection
- of that email?
- 21 A. No, I don't have any recollection.
- 22 25 Q. Did you discuss these emails with anybody outside the
- 23 office?
- A. I didn't. Not that I can recall, no, no.
- 25 26 Q. This would have just been routine business for you, is
- 26 that right?
- 27 A. Sorry?
- 28 27 Q. This would have just been routine business for you?
- 29 A. Yeah, yeah.

_	20	Q.	mank you very much. would you answer any quescions,	
2			please?	
3				
4			MR. McDOWELL: I have no questions.	
5				10:08
6			THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW	
7				
8			MR. MARRINAN: Thank you. The next witness is Ken	
9			O'Leary, please. His statement, sir, is to be found at	
10			page 3347 of the material.	10:08
11				
12			MR. KEN O'LEARY, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY	
13			EXAMINED BY MR. MARRINAN:	
14	29	Q.	MR. MARRINAN: Now, Mr. O'Leary, I think you are a	
15			former official of the Department of Justice and	10:08
16			Equality, and you were appointed Deputy Secretary of	
17			the Department of Justice and Equality on 27th of April	
18			of 2015, is that right?	
19		Α.	That's correct.	
20	30	Q.	I think that that was after a very long and	10:09
21			distinguished career in the Department of Justice and	
22			Equality. I think in your time as Assistant Secretary	
23			in charge of Crime and Security Directorate, you were	
24			responsible for directing, in collaboration with other	
25			State actors, the State domestic and international	10:09
26			counter-terrorism policies, isn't that right?	
27		Α.	I was indeed.	
28	31	Q.	And also its gangland crime responses, is that right?	
29		Δ	Vas	

- 1 32 Q. As well as having deep engagement with political and demilitarisation aspects of the Peace Process?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 33 Q. So you have an extremely long and distinguished career.
  5 You are retired now, is that right?

10:11

10 · 11

- 6 A. I retired in June last career.
- 7 And you are here to give evidence primarily in 34 Q. 8 relation to a telephone conversation that you had with the former Commissioner, Nóirín O'Sullivan, on 15th May 9 But perhaps we could just explore some of the 10:10 10 of 2015. 11 background circumstances at the time and your knowledge 12 of particular matters. First of all, in relation to 13 Sergeant Maurice McCabe, what did you know of Sergeant 14 Maurice McCabe at that time?
- 15 A. It might be helpful to explain before the end of April 10:10
  16 2015, when I became Deputy Secretary, I had no
  17 responsibility in relation to the Garda or policing
  18 divisions.
- 19 35 Q. Yes.
- And it was the Garda divisions that dealt with Garda 20 Α. accountability matters and in particular issues in 21 22 relation to Sergeant McCabe. Now, I would have been involved from time to time when, do you know, various 23 24 controversies were ranging, not just in relation to 25 Sergeant McCabe, but other matters, and I would have had some involvement in relation to that. 26 But. in 27 relation to, say, for example, the establishment of the 28 O'Higgins Commission, I hadn't been directly involved 29 in that work.

- 1 36 Q. Right. But in terms of, I suppose, the fact that he
- 2 had been involved in many of the central issues that
- 3 involved the Department of Justice and Equality in 2014
- 4 and 2015, either directly or indirectly, and I'm
- 5 thinking of the retirement of the former Commissioner

10:12

- 6 Martin Callinan and also the resignation of the former
- 7 Minister, Mr. Alan Shatter?
- 8 A. Again, I mean, I would have had knowledge of what was
- going on generally in relation to those matters. As
- you know, the former Commissioner, Martin Callinan, his 10:12
- retirement at the time, and this was all looked at by
- 12 Mr. Justice Finlay, this was all directed related to
- issues about a taping system in Garda stations rather
- 14 than --
- 15 37 Q. Yes, in Bandon Garda station.
- 16 A. -- rather than Sergeant McCabe issues. So of course, I
- mean, they were in the background simultaneously.
- 18 38 Q. Yes. Well, he was fairly prominent in terms of --
- 19 A. That is certainly the case.
- 20 39 Q. -- of his public profile and vis-á-vis his dealings
- with the Garda Commissioner, former Garda Commissioner?
- 22 A. I mean, there were two issues that were live at the
- time: One was the penalty points issue --
- 24 40 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. -- and the other were complaints about what happened in 10:13
- 26 Bailieboro in the mid-2000s.
- 27 41 Q. And in a general way, without being specific about it,
- were you aware that there were procedures that were put
- in place by the former Commissioner, Nóirín O'Sullivan,

1			who was Commissioner at the time of course, to assist	
2			Sergeant McCabe?	
3		Α.	I was in a general way.	
4	42	Q.	Yes. I think these might have been matters that were	
5			dealt with by a colleague, Mr. Flahive, Michael	10:13
6			Flahive, is that right?	
7		Α.	Yes. As I say, at that particular time, I mean, look,	
8			we have a management board in the Department, we talk	
9			about things, so you'd pick up what is going on, but at	
10			the early after Nóirín O'Sullivan became	10:14
11			Commissioner and she was trying to address workplace	
12			issues in relation to Sergeant McCabe, I didn't have a	
13			direct involvement but would have known generally that	
14			that was the case.	
15	43	Q.	And in terms of him writing to the Minister, had he met	10:14
16			the Minister, Sergeant McCabe, at that stage?	
17		Α.	I know he met the Minister with the Secretary General	
18			of the Department, but I am not sure when that was.	
19	44	Q.	Well, would it be fair to say that perhaps the attitude	
20			of the Department was reflected by the attitude of the	10:14
21			Garda Commissioner at the time, was to support Maurice	
22			McCabe as a whistleblower?	
23		Α.	I mean, the view of the Department was that procedures	
24			had to be in place to protect people who were reporting	
25			wrongdoing.	10:15
26	45	Q.	Yes. But did this relate at that time in particular to	
27			Maurice McCabe?	
28		Α.	Sergeant McCabe would of course have been by far the	
29			most high profile person.	

- 1 46 Q. Yes.
- 2 Other people labelling themselves whistleblowers, I Α. think that was live there or thereabouts or thereafter. 3
- And then vis-á-vis your, not just your but the 4 47 0. 5 Department's, relationship with the Garda Commissioner, 10:15 6 how would you categorise that? And just before you 7 answer the question, because there is a matter 8 concerning you, you have probably read -- either read the transcripts or you have seen reporting of the 9 matter, there is, for example, a letter, but I don't 10 11 really want to go into the details of and open up, that 12 appears on first blush to have been written by you as a 13 draft to be sent by the Garda Commissioner to the 14 Department of Justice, and we also have a letter from, or an email from the former Commissioner directly to 15 16 the Minister for Justice, including what appears again on the face of it, it's not characterised by her as 17 being a draft speech but it appears to have been a 18 19 draft speech because it's in the first person; you are 20 aware of those documents?

10:16

10:16

10.16

- 21 Oh, very much so. Α.
- 22 So, I mean, there may be absolutely nothing 48 Q. 23 wrong with this, but what is the relationship between, 24 for instance, you and the Garda Commissioner at that time? 25
- 26 I mean, the relationship -- I mean, I can very much Α. 27 deal with the specific documents you are referring to, 28 but in general terms, the Department is responsible for 29 the maintenance of public safety. The main agency that

- carries out that work is the Garda Síochána. So there is an inevitably close and intensive relationship because we have a common objective. The Guards, in
- turn, are also accountable to the Minister --
- 5 49 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. -- which informs the relationship.
- 7 50 Q. Yes.
- A. In relation to the two items you mention, there was
  great controversy after Judge O'Higgins' report was
  published, when transcripts were leaked and the version 10:17
  of a particular story was put about involving tapes
- of a particular story was put about, involving tapes
  and so on, and that led to, as I say, a lot of
- and so on, and that led to, as I say, a lot of
- controversy. Our view in the Department, and we had a
- 14 clear view of the matter, was that Judge O'Higgins had
- been there, it was a matter for Judge O'Higgins to report what he wished to report, that there was nothing

10.18

- in his report that called the position of the Garda
- Commissioner into question in any way.
- 19 51 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. And we were left with a situation where public concerns 10:18
- were arising on the basis of, I think, three pages of
- what went on at the O'Higgins Commission.
- 23 52 Q. Yes.
- A. And a story about what happened at the O'Higgins
- Commission. And our view was, as I say, that didn't
- call into question at all the position of the Garda
- Commissioner, and yet, she was publically being
- traduced said in circumstances where, of its nature,
- she couldn't defend herself.

- 1 53 Q. Sorry, just before you go on. You say "our view" --
- 2 A. The Department's view.
- 3 54 Q. -- is that a view, that is a view that is held by
- 4 senior officials in the Department of Justice, but is
- 5 that also the view that is communicated to the Minister 10:19
- 6 of the time?
- 7 A. I think so. We had intensive discussions with the
- 8 Minister at the time.
- 9 55 Q. And then you would, therefore, be advised by the
- 10 Minister that that was her view?
- 11 A. Certainly. But the other side of it was, while we felt

10 · 19

- we had to rely on and indeed defend Judge O'Higgins's
- 13 report --
- 14 56 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. -- we felt that any jeopardy to the Commissioner's
- position was both wrong and not in the public interest.
- 17 By the same token, the Minister still had to deal with
- the political reality of the concerns that had been
- raised. And without going through all that week, I
- 20 know this exchange of letters might look a bit odd. In
- reality, what was involved was, at the end of that week
- of controversy, the following Wednesday, I think, there
- was to be a debate on the O'Higgins Report in the Dáil
- and it was important that -- we were trying to bring
- 25 this controversy to an end -- it was important that the 10:20
- Minister, in her speech, could say the Commissioner has
- done her best within the constraints that applied to
- respond to these matters. There had been a series of
- 29 exchanges, there was a meeting on the previous Friday

		that the Minister and the Commissioner were at, and the	
		understanding after that was that the Department and	
		the Guards would continue to engage in relation to what	
		was going to happen in the Dáil. Now, I took the view,	
		I think it was on the Sunday, that a lot of what had	10:21
		gone on of its nature was circular because we couldn't	
		ask the Commissioner what happened at the Commission	
		because the proceedings were confidential. There was	
		an issue about her legal advice and so on. So I took	
		the view, just to move the process along, that I would	10:21
		commit some material to paper. And essentially what it	
		was, was a stream of consciousness based on what had	
		gone on that week, just as a starting point to move the	
		process forward. Time wasn't on our side, and given	
		the nature of the controversy that had taken place,	10:22
		what was the Minister was going to circulate, I	
		thought, at the time, the letter to accompany her	
		speech in the Dáil. As it happens, she circulated a	
		speech based on that letter, but it was not a draft in	
		the normal sense of what we thought the Commissioner	10:22
		would say. We could have done it in another way and it	
		may not have looked as strange and sent a note to the	
		Commissioner saying, look, based on our discussions,	
		these are the sort of points that might be made.	
57	Q.	Yes.	10:22
	Α.	But I think it's clear from the correspondence that we	
		said to the Commissioner, look, this is entirely a	
		matter for yourself	

58 Q. No, at the end of the day she has given evidence in

1			relation to this, she says it's her letter and of	
2			course she signed off on it. But the curious aspect of	
3			it is that the input in the letter, it's effectively in	
4			the first instance drafted by yourself, isn't that	
5			right?	10:23
6		Α.	As I say, there was a draft that I think I did up on	
7			the Sunday	
8	59	Q.	Yes.	
9		Α.	in terms of what was going to happen.	
10	60	Q.	It shared again, I am not going to open these	10:23
11			because they have already been opened to the Chairman	
12			and there is no need to go through them all, and you	
13			have seen them and so we all know what we are talking	
14			about. But they are shared by you with Noel Waters,	
15			for example, isn't that right, for his consideration	10:23
16			and for his, if he has any suggestions that could go	
17			into the letter? It's also shared with, I think it's	
18			Patrick Forsyth, is that right?	
19		Α.	He was the Head of Corporate Affairs in the Department.	
20			He had just joined. It's sort of a support office for	10:24
21			the Secretary General's office.	
22	61	Q.	So. And that is sent back. I think there is about 15	
23			drafts in total, that they go back and forth to Garda	
24			Headquarters and then they come back and I think that	
25			Terry Prone had some input as well in relation	10:24
26		Α.	Other than the documents, I have no idea	
27	62	Q.	So there is a large number of input, people have input	
28			into what is obviously going to be quite an important	
29			letter setting out the Garda Commissioner's stall in	

- relation to the O'Higgins Commission, isn't that right?
- 2 A. Yeah. Like, it might be helpful to say, we weren't, as
- far as I was concerned anyway, disinterested observers
- 4 in the whole thing. We felt that we had to rely on the

10 . 25

10:25

10:25

- 5 O'Higgins Report, that nothing in that called into
- 6 question the position of the Garda Commissioner, that
- 7 it was extremely difficult for the Garda Commissioner,
- 8 if not impossible, to respond effectively to the leaks
- 9 that had taken place, and we were working closely
- that had taken prace, and we were working crosery
- 11 63 Q. Yes.

10

- 12 A. -- to try and resolve, from the Minister's point of
- view, or Tánaiste, as she was then, the public concerns
- that had arisen. The only way of doing that was that
- something was produced that reached a standard, not
- that, do you know, everyone would find acceptable, but
- 17 a reasonable account in very difficult circumstances
- because of the constraints which applied.
- 19 64 Q. But in any event, I mean, a commentator might say that
- you know, that the Department was acting hand in glove
- 21 with the Commissioner at the time?

together --

- 22 A. And so it was, for the very good reason that we had to
- take a public interest view in relation to all these
- 24 matters and our view was that the public interest was
- not going to be served in any way by the Commissioner's 10:26
- position being put in jeopardy at that time.
- 27 65 Q. Yes. But you will appreciate that we are probably
- hearing about this relationship as it existed at the
- time between the Department and the Garda Commissioner

for the first time, because it's not evident and
apparent to members of the public to know that there is
this closeness and degree of closeness between the
Garda Commissioner and Department -- officials in the
Department of Justice and indeed the Minister, do you

6 understand?

A. Well, I mean, there is two points I'd make: Like, our contribution, I mean, if any of the material that week looked as if we were very supportive of the Garda Commissioner, that's because we were very supportive.

10:26

10 · 27

11 66 Q. Yes.

7

8

9

10

12 And it wasn't because of loyalty or whatever. Α. It was 13 because we took the view that there was no proper basis 14 for questioning the Commissioner's position. 15 was a danger because of the political feverish climate 10:27 16 going on at the time, if people had looked at previous 17 things that had happened during the course of Garda 18 controversies they could go anywhere. And frankly, we 19 also thought there was a danger that the Commissioner, 20 given the way she was being pilloried in public and the 10:27 very difficult position that was in, there was 21 22 obviously a danger that a sensible person might say, 23 look, I am not putting up with this any more. 24 implications for us of having to find a new 25 Commissioner and the disruption that would have been 10.28 caused, as I say our view of the public interest was 26 27 that anything we could do to support the Commissioner, 28 we would do, because we thought that was in the 29 interests of the leadership of the Guards, and the

public interest. So as I say, it wasn't all friends 1 2 helping each other out; it was a clear view of where we 3 thought the public interest lay. If --I mean, if the 4 O'Higgins Commission report said the Commissioner 5 inappropriately relied on strategies to do down 10:28

Sergeant McCabe, well then we would have had to deal

7 with that in an entirely different way.

6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 8 67 So I mean, would it be fair to summarise your Q. position in relation to this, that you may well have 9 been hand in glove with the Commissioner in relation to 10:28 10 11 this issue at the time, not because you had a cosy 12 relationship but because the Department had taken a 13 view arising out of the O'Higgins Commission that the Commissioner was in fact correct in her approach and 14 there was no question that she was going to resign? 15 16
  - It's not that we were taking a view the Commissioner Α. was correct in what happened at the O'Higgins Commission, because we had absolutely no information except for one detail, we may be coming to. were doing was relying on Judge O'Higgins's report and there was nothing in that that questioned in any way the approach of the Garda Commissioner on our reading The hand in glove phrase, do you know, if you don't mind me saying so, it is a bit pejorative. we were working closely with the Garda Commissioner in the situation which arose to achieve the objectives we thought were best in the public interest.

10:29

10:29

10 · 29

But so closely that you thought it appropriate 28 68 Q. Yes. 29 and not out of order to send a draft letter to the

1		Commissioner for her consideration to send back to the	
2		Department and also that the Garda Commissioner could	
3		contact the Minister directly with what, on the face of	
4		it, appears to be a draft speech expressing confidence	
5		in her?	10:30
6	Α.	In relation to, you know, what is called a draft	
7		letter, I have tried to explain the background to that,	
8		if it had been written, headed instead 'points that you	
9		might take into account in the light of our	
10		discussions', I don't think anyone would find that	10:30
11		objectionable and maybe that is what I should have	
12		done.	
13	69 Q.	I know. But that is not the way it's written, it's	

16 A. Well, I mean, frankly, like, that is a question of form
17 rather than substance in relation to what was going on.

written in the first person.

14

15

written in the first person, as indeed the speech is

- 18 70 Q. Well, I think if it had become -- either the letter or
  19 the speech had become bestsellers, there might have
  20 been a quarrel in relation to copyright and royalties, 10:31
  21 but anyway.
- I am sure we would have donated to charity in those 22 Α. circumstances. In relation to the Commissioner 23 24 providing material to the Minister, we weren't, as a 25 Department, directly involved in that. At the time 10:31 there was some communications between the Tánaiste, 26 27 sorry, as she was then, and the Commissioner, and I mean, I would have the simple view that the 28 29 Commissioner and the Minister of the day are entitled

1			to communicate.	
2	71	Q.	No, absolutely.	
3		Α.	The fact that something was done in bullet-point form,	
4			that might arise at Leaders' Questions, again I think	
5			it's a question of form rather than substance.	10:32
6	72	Q.	Yes, yeah. So in effect what you are saying in terms	
7			of, a decision is made and if you can help the	
8			Commissioner in those circumstances by identifying	
9			facts that would be helpful to go into a letter or	
10			alternatively, if she can identify matters that she	10:32
11			thinks ought the Minister ought to raise in a speech	
12			that is expressing confidence in her, that it's quite	
13			in order to assist. At the end of the day, it's her	
14			letter, it's the Minister's speech, and that is the	
15			point.	10:32
16		Α.	I mean, that would be my strong view, given the very,	
17			very, very difficult circumstances that were being	
18			addressed.	
19	73	Q.	So can I take it that a lot of the communication that	
20			might take place between, for instance, yourself and	10:32
21			the former Garda Commissioner, Nóirín O'Sullivan, a lot	
22			of the communication might be informal?	
23		Α.	No more informal than any working relationship I had	
24			with anyone else.	
25	74	Q.	well	10:33
26		Α.	Like, on a given year I'd say, because of the crime and	
27			security responsibilities in particular, that I	
28			probably would be talking to the Garda Commissioner a	
29			couple of hundred times.	

- 1 75 Q. But there wouldn't be a record of those conversations?
- 2 A. Only to the extent that if matters arose from them that 3 were being advanced.
- 4 76 Q. Well, that is what I am coming to. I mean, there isn't
  5 a record of those -- of many of the conversations that 10:33
  6 you would have with the Garda Commissioner?

10:34

10:34

- 7 A. No, and I mean, that was simply a product of pressure of time.
- well, is it, or is it that there is a degree of 9 77 Q. informality? There is nothing wrong with that, it 10 11 might be encouraged. But you see, one of the problems 12 that we have in relation to this matter, and I will 13 come now shortly to the phone call that you had with 14 the Garda Commissioner, but there was a spark at the 15 O'Higgins Commission that ignited a series of events 16 that took place, all within approximately an hour, all 17 right. And on the one hand you had Mr. McDowell, who 18 raised an issue in relation to whether or not the Garda 19 Commissioner was instructing her counsel to challenge Sergeant McCabe's motivation. And that was, Annmarie 20 Ryan in the Chief State Solicitor's Office was there 21 22 present for that and she made a note in relation to it, 23 but she thought the matter so significant that she 24 contacted Michael Dreelan in the Attorney General's 25 office, and he was equally concerned about the 26 information that was being imparted to him and he duly 27 noted the matter and, again, referred it on to his 28 superior, Richard Barrett, who was the Deputy Director 29 in the Attorney General's office. He took on board

what had been said to him, noted it, sent some internal emails that are privileged, and then he contacted Mr. Michael Flahive, and Mr. Flahive, in your Department, duly noted what Mr. Barrett had to say in relation to the matter. So within a very short time, 10:35 the Department, through the Chief State Solicitor's Office -- again I should add there that Annmarie also contacted her superior, I think it's Paul Fleming. The Chief State Solicitor's Office become aware of it and the Attorney General's office become aware of it, and 10:36 coming into your Department, Mr. Flahive notes what has transpired, and then emails the private secretary, Mr. Quattrociocchi, and there is a chain of emails, there are notes in relation to all this of what transpired, do you understand? 10:36

A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

78 Q. And that is what one would imagine in an official situation, that's well-documented. But on the other hand, on the other side, we have the former Commissioner contacting Mr. Waters and phoning him. We 10:36 have no recollection on the part of the Commissioner in relation to that call, and Noel Waters has no memory of it either. It's right in the middle of what is going on at that time. It's undocumented. There is no record of it. We wouldn't have been aware of it had we 10:37 not had the telephone records. And then she phones you and apparently there is a discussion that I will come to in relation to matters that arose at the O'Higgins Commission, and there is no record of that. And again,

1			the only information we have is a statement that you	
2			provided to us just December gone, when the telephone	
3			records were available to us. And then you then	
4			contact Mr. Flahive and there is no record of that	
5			communication, and Mr. Flahive has advised us that you	10:37
6			did call him, but in the email that he sends to the	
7			Minister's private secretary, there is no mention of	
8			his conversation with you or that you imparted an	
9			additional piece of information, which we will come to.	
10			So, on the one hand, everything seems to be	10:38
11			well-documented, people have a recall of what took	
12			place, it seems to have been done officially, but on	
13			the other hand, we have a lack of recall, no notes, no	
14			documentation, no emails and no reference to this	
15			having occurred in any of the emails. Do you see	10:38
16			what	
17		Α.	I see exactly the point you are making.	
18	79	Q.	Yes.	
19		Α.	I mean, all I can say is that if there was an	
20			expectation on us generally that we would record all	10:38
21			telephone calls with the Garda Commissioner and all	
22			telephone calls with each other, that we just wouldn't	
23			be getting work done. I am not disputing its	
24			necessity; I am just talking about the real world in	
25			which we operate.	10:38
26	80	Q.	Yes. I can understand that. But nevertheless,	
27			anything to do with Sergeant Maurice McCabe had been	
28			fairly controversial.	
29		Α.	Do you know, anything to do with international	

Т			terrorism, anything to do with trime, anything to do	
2			with I mean, there is a whole range of things we	
3			deal with to try and prevent them becoming problems.	
4			As I say, of course, sitting here now, with the	
5			Tribunal established to deal with this matter, I would	10:39
6			have loved to have written down a verbatim account of	
7			everything that happened that afternoon. I don't	
8			think, to be honest with you, it would have been on my	
9			mind that afternoon that these calls would be subject	
10			to a tribunal looking at what was happening at a	10:39
11			commission of investigation.	
12	81	Q.	No, indeed. So if we just come to the call on the	
13			afternoon of the 15th May of 2015. What is your	
14			recollection of the call?	
15		Α.	Could I just explain something in advance of that, in	10:39
16			the light of what has happened at the Commission and	
17			the Commissioner's phone records? They show one phone	
18			call, I think, at 16:16, that the Commissioner had with	
19			me for three-and-a-half minutes. I think there were	
20			two phone calls	10:40
21	82	Q.	Yes.	
22		Α.	that afternoon.	
23	83	Q.	I was going to ask you about that. Did you phone her	
24			back?	
25		Α.	I just don't know. I'd say the likely explanation,	10:40
26			while I said in my statement to the Tribunal that the	
27			Commissioner contacted me, I think the likeliest	
28			explanation is I had been on to the Commissioner and	
29			this was mentioned. But I'm clear, for whatever	

1			reason, that there were two phone calls in the period	
2			from this becoming an issue at the Commission and, do	
3			you know, when the issue ended that afternoon.	
4	84	Q.	Well, just tell us, just tell us in the first instance	
5			what your recollection of the phone call is, the first	10:40
6			phone call?	
7		Α.	The first phone call, the Commissioner mentioned to me	
8			that a dispute had arisen at the Commission.	
9	85	Q.	Well, first of all, do you recall where you were? Were	
10			you in the Department?	10:41
11		Α.	Oh, I was in my own office, in 94 Stephen's Green.	
12	86	Q.	And you took a call from the Commissioner, was it on	
13			your mobile?	
14		Α.	Or I had been talking to the Commissioner and this was	
15			mentioned.	10:4
16	87	Q.	well now, now, just before let's deal with that, all	
17			right. You know, this matter arose last week, I	
18			expressed some concern to the Chairman that if anybody	
19			was going to change their position in relation to	
20			anything that they should put it in writing and provide	10:4
21			a statement to the Tribunal. You provided a statement	
22			to the Tribunal on the 6th December of 2017 in which	
23			you said you received a phone call from the	
24			Commissioner arising out of a matter that had arisen at	
25			the O'Higgins Commission, isn't that right?	10:4
26		Α.	Yes.	
27	88	Q.	Now, are you changing that?	

been that I had been on the telephone to the

28

29

Α.

I'm changing it to the extent that I think it may have

- Commissioner or the Commissioner had another phone, that isn't included in the records.
- 89 Q. Right. Well, wouldn't it have been an absolutely
  4 extraordinary coincidence, extraordinary coincidence,
  5 that in the short period of time, the Commissioner having contacted Noel Waters and then been in contact
  7 with you, that you just happened to ring the
- 8 Commissioner about something entirely different? Is that what you are telling us?
- I'm telling you, I mean, when I did the statement for 10 Α. 10 · 42 the Commission, I had -- I had the benefit of no 11 12 records, no documents. I tried to recall as best I 13 could to be helpful to the Tribunal my belief about 14 what happened that afternoon. If I got it wrong in 15 terms of the contact being initiated one way or another 10:43 16 way, I very much regret that. But as I say, it was in 17 the context of trying to set out the best recollection 18 I had of what happened. I can't really comment on the, 19 you know, the likelihood or level of coincidence or 20 whatever of a phone call to -- between Noel Waters and 10:43 the Commissioner, that I know nothing about and the 21 22 fact that I was talking to the Commissioner.
- 23 90 Q. All right. Well, let's hear what you have to say in 24 relation to the content of the phone call.
- A. As I say, she mentioned to me that a dispute had arisen 10:43 in the Commission.
- 27 91 Q. What did the dispute relate to?
- A. That Mr. McDowell had objected very strongly to a question counsel had put to a witness.

- 1 92 Q. And do you recall what that question concerned?
- 2 A. No. But my understanding was that the question related
- in some way to matters following the -- what is called
- 4 now the Ms. D case.
- 5 93 Q. Right. And so therefore, the witness in question is -- 10:44
- 6 sorry, question is to a witness but it concerns
- 7 Sergeant Maurice McCabe, is that right?
- 8 A. As I say, now, I mean, I can't pretend to have a
- 9 verbatim recollection --
- 10 94 Q. No.
- 11 A. -- but my understanding was that the question related

10 · 44

10:44

10:45

10 · 45

- in some way to something in the aftermath of the Ms. D
- 13 case.
- 14 95 Q. And were you familiar with the -- not with the actual
- circumstances obviously of the Ms. D case but the fact
- that the Ms. D case had been considered by the
- 17 Independent Review Mechanism?
- 18 A. I had just a passing knowledge in relation to that. I
- 19 knew at one stage, Michael Flahive mentioned to me
- that there was an issue as to whether that, the
- 21 handling by the guards of the Ms. D case --
- 22 96 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. -- might be subject to a commission of investigation.
- 24 97 Q. That it would be included in the terms of reference?
- 25 A. Either separately or included in the terms of
- reference. But as I say, the only reason I think it
- 27 was mentioned to me at the time was with the background
- of the IRM and why it was established.
- 29 98 Q. Yes.

- A. It would be a very strange outcome if the only one of 300 cases dealt with the handling of the Ms. D case.
- 3 99 Q. Indeed, yes. So, just the Commissioner is advising you of this issue that has arisen at the O'Higgins Commission?

10:46

10:47

10.47

- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 100 Q. What else did she say to you?
- A. What I recall is -- I think the context of her call
  was, she felt that this matter might get in the public
  domain and that we should be alerted to it. And I
  think, you know, she was generally concerned as to, do
  you know, a serious row having arisen at the Commission
  as to where it all might go.
- 14 101 Q. Was she tapping into your wealth of experience by
  15 asking your advice as to --
- 16 well, I think -- no, I don't think so. What happened Α. 17 then was, I thought just so any misunderstandings could be avoided, that I had better say to her that the 18 Department couldn't become in any way involved in what 19 20 approach she was taking at the Commission. That that was her responsibility and we couldn't become involved 21 22 or try to influence that. And she fully accepted that. 23 Now, I think she took the opportunity to, just based on 24 my experience, to say to me, I forget the exact words, 25 but look, are there issues I need to be mindful of in 26 dealing with this?
- 27 102 Q. Yes.
- A. And as I set out in my statement, the issues and -- it might be helpful to explain, I don't think I even knew

1			at the time that the O'Higgins Commission had	
2			commenced. So just on a colleague to colleague basis,	
3			if I could put it like that, I just mentioned issues	
4			that struck me. The obvious one, which the	
5			Commissioner was clearly aware of, was the	10:48
6			sensitivities in relation to the position of Sergeant	
7			McCabe.	
8	103	Q.	Yes. Well, I think that in your statement, and it may	
9			be of assistance to you, we are not here to catch you	
10			out or to trick you or trip you up in any way, at 3348,	10:48
11			you might find it of assistance, you say in the top of	
12			the paragraph there:	
13				
14			"While clearly accepting the Department could have no	
15			role in determining the Garda position in relation to	10:48
16			the Commission, the Commissioner asked me if based on	
17			my experience anything occurred to me which she might	
18			need to be mindful of in addressing this particular	
19			issue with her legal advisers. I suggested that she	
20			should have to be guided by her legal advice in these	10:48
21			matters, but against the background that the Department	
22			was not in a position to express any views on the	
23			position of An Garda Síochána at the Commission, there	
24			was a discussion of factors which she might need to be	
25			mindful of in the context of discussions with her legal	10:49
26			advisors."	
27		Α.	Yes.	
28	104	Q.	All right. So, it would appear from that that, you	
29			know, that she was looking for some assistance and	

1			advice from you?	
2		Α.	I think the better way of phrasing it is she was	
3			looking for she thought that I might be able to say	
4			things that might assure her or reassure her that she	
5			was taking into account whatever she needed to take	10:49
6			into account.	
7	105	Q.	Maybe she was just using you as a sounding board	
8		Α.	I think so.	
9	106	Q.	effectively. Okay. But you had made it clear that	
10			her that you couldn't make the decision for her?	10:49
11		Α.	Oh, it wasn't just I mean, as a matter of principle,	
12			I don't believe the Department could properly be	
13			involved. Like, the Guards and ourselves could	
14			cooperate in lots of things, we couldn't cooperate in	
15			circumstances where a commission of investigation had	10:50
16			been established which was a statutory process, we	
17			couldn't start involving ourselves then in what case	
18			the Gardaí were going to make to the Commission.	
19	107	Q.	And you then go on to say:	
20				10:50
21			"To the best of my recollection matters mentioned were	
22			the need for sensitivity in relation to protecting the	
23			position of Sergeant McCabe."	
24				
25			That was the first matter that you thought that she	10:50
26			should put into the melting-pot, as it were, isn't that	
27			right?	
28		Α.	As I say, I mean, I should say I can't say how long the	
29			conversation went on but it was a brief conversation	

1			that would have lasted no more than a few minutes. And	
2			I just, having been asked were there any issues that	
3			came to my mind, the issue that came to my mind was the	
4			obvious one of the sensitivity in relation to Sergeant	
5			McCabe. And other issues that came into my mind were,	10:51
6			there was the issue of other people at the Tribunal, if	
7			there were matters that were relevant to people finding	
8			whether or not finding the veracity of what was	
9			alleged against other people, that was something that	
10			had to be taken into account.	10:51
11	108	Q.	Yes.	
12		Α.	The other matter was - and like, these were general	
13			points that, in my view, would apply to any Commission.	
14			- that if a commission had been established to deal	
15			with the facts of a situation, that there was a general	10:51
16			duty on the Garda Commissioner to cooperate as much as	
17			possible with the Commission. And I also made the	
18			point that if inappropriate material or matters were	
19			raised at the Commission, the chances are the	
20			Commission would take a very dim view of that and	10:52
21			report accordingly.	
22	109	Q.	There might be a negative reaction by the Commission	
23			by the Commissioner?	
24		Α.	Obviously.	
25	110	Q.	Sorry, Mr. Justice O'Higgins in this instance here.	10:52
26			You then go on to say:	
27				
28			"The Commissioner was then going to discuss these	
29			matters with her legal advisers."	

1

2 She told you that, is that right?

- A. Well, I mean, that was my understanding; that there was some ongoing contact in relation to what was happening.
- 5 111 Q. And you reiterated the view that she should be guided 10:52 by the legal advice that was available to her at the time, is that right?
- A. Well, I mean, I took the view that if I had been in her position that there were a lot of potentially competing interests in a complex area at issue, and I mean what I 10:53 would have done was relied on whatever legal advice was available to me.
- 13 112 Q. Did she seem unsure at the time of what course she was going to take?
- 15 As I say, I wasn't -- it's hard to explain this. Ι Α. 10:53 16 mean, I wasn't having a detailed discussion with the Commissioner as to where she was in all of this. 17 18 was dealing with it. She had asked me in relation -whether I thought there were any issues, and I felt --19 20 even though I had made it clear the Department couldn't 10:53 be involved in it, I felt it wasn't unreasonable 21 22 colleague-to-colleague to mention particular issues 23 that struck me on the spot. But I wasn't getting into 24 engaging, if I can put it like this, with the 25 Commissioner as to what she was going to do about any 10:53 26 of these things. That was her statutory 27 responsibility.
- 28 113 Q. I know. But the question I asked you was: Did she appear unsure about her decision?

1		Α.	I don't think I could characterise it like that. I	
2			think she was in the centre of dealing with it. I	
3			think she was as I think I was saying, she phoned me	
4			in the context of the row that he was erupted, and I	
5			think she may have been concerned as to what all this	10:54
6			meant and where it was going. But I really can't put	
7			myself in her mind as to what view she was taking in	
8			relation to all of this.	
9	114	Q.	Well, you see, the reason I ask you that is because you	
10			go on in your statement to say:	10:54
11				
12			"I suggested that from her point of view it might be	
13			helpful if it was possible to gain more time so she	
14			could consider the matters more fully with her legal	
15			advisers."	10:54
16		Α.	That was probably more my concern necessarily than	
17			hers. It just struck me and, I mean, it's something	
18			that arises in a lot of contexts, if a difficult,	
19			complex situation arises, that more time is always	
20			beneficial.	10:55
21	115	Q.	So the impression you got was that she was making a	
22			difficult and complex decision in relation to the	
23			matter and in the circumstances she ought to see if she	
24			could get more time to discuss the matter with her	
25			legal advisers, is that it?	10:55
26		Α.	That would be broadly the case.	
27	116	Q.	And so, you then go on that was the end of the phone	
28			call, and at that juncture, as far as you were	
29			concerned, the Commissioner was	

1 She was continuing to deal with the matter. I wasn't, Α. 2 to be honest, sure whether I would hear any outcome 3 from it. 4 And was there anything else discussed during the course 117 0. 5 of that conversation? 10:56 6 Not that I can recall. Sorry, I should say, I don't Α. 7 have a recall of every word that was said. 8 118 But this is the topic that she was discussing? Q. 9 That was. Now, I couldn't say something else wasn't Α. 10 mentioned that might be relevant to security, or 10:56 11 whatever. 12 119 Okay. And then you go on to say: Q. 13 14 "To the best of my recollection I received a further 15 call shortly afterwards from the Garda Commissioner 10:56 16 and I think for at least some of that call that she was 17 on to HQ legal officer at the O'Higgins Commission ." 18 19 That is in fact not Ken Ruane who held that position, 20 but she was in fact in contact with Chief 10:56 Superintendent Fergus Healy. This was your 21 22 recollection. You have now looked at the phone records. There was a second conversation with the 23 24 Commissioner, is that right? 25 Now, I mean, in the light of the phone Α. There was. 10:57 26 records -- sorry, I may have mislabelled people, I knew 27 it was Fergus Healy rather than Ken Ruane. 28 120 Yes. Q. 29 But in the light of the phone records, I think it could Α.

have been in either of the two calls, I have a 1 2 recollection at one stage that it was I was interrupted when I think the Commissioner was talking to Fergus 3 Healy. And frankly, the reason I have the recollection 4 5 is, while I was happy to, do you know, offer abstract 10:57 6 advice, if advice isn't too strong word, in relation to 7 commissions and so on, I recall being -- I will put it 8 this way: I did not see a role for myself, either for the Department or even me personally, in getting into 9 the nitty-gritty of what was going on at the 10 10:58 11 Commission. I'm not suggesting the Commissioner was 12 trying to do that. But, as I say, the reason I think 13 she phoned -- she was on the phone to Fergus Healy for some of the call was, I can remember getting slightly 14 15 concerned just from my own point of view as to not, as 10:58 16 I say, becoming involved in the specifics of what was 17 going on. 18 Yes. So your position that you maintained during the 121 Q. 19 course of the two conversations was look, this isn't 20 really a matter the Department, I will give you the 10:58 benefit of my wisdom but I don't really want to know 21 22 the nitty-gritty and all the details, is that it? 23 That is not an unreasonable characterisation. Α. 24 say, the Department could not be involved. I was asked 25 as a colleague if there were any issues that I thought 10:59

29 122 Q. So what you then go on to say in your statement is:

to mention what I thought at the time.

26

27

28

she should have in her mind, and I felt it wasn't

unreasonable, though I make no great claims for them,

1				
2			"I understand if the Commissioner was I understood	
3			it the Commissioner was being advised that her legal	
4			representatives at the Commission had to respond more	
5			or less immediately to the issue which had arisen	10:59
6			earlier and the view of her legal team was that in	
7			discharging her obligation to the Commission there was	
8			a legal duty on her to raise matters which had arisen.	
9			I believe in the circumstances, the Commissioner	
10			indicated to the effect that her legal team should	10:59
11			maintain their position that afternoon, but there would	
12			be further detailed consultation with her legal team	
13			subsequently about the matter."	
14		Α.	That was my understanding	
15	123	Q.	That was your understanding	11:00
16		Α.	from the situation.	
17	124	Q.	from the manner in which you left it. So is it that	
18			there were two conversations in a relatively short	
19			period of time, and you are not sure the exact sequence	
20			but the purport and thrust of both conversations were	11:00
21			along the lines you have told the Chairman, is that	
22			right?	
23		Α.	Exactly.	
24	125	Q.	We know that you had a conversation with her later on	
25			that evening shortly after 9 o'clock, from the	11:00

sequence of calls, is it?

26

27

28

29

Α.

telephone records, but that is not part of the these

Honestly, I mean, I can't say at this remove what that

phone call was about, but it's not part of that

- 1 sequence of phone calls, no. As I say, that was my 2 best recollection in relation to the issues in 3 auestion. The phone call that night, I don't know what it related to. 4 5 126 So you didn't discuss the matter with Mr. Waters, did Q. 11:01 6 you? 7 I don't know. Em, I certainly don't recall discussing Α. 8 it with him. And I know that afternoon, I think he gave evidence that he was down at INIS Headquarters but 9 10 I just can't say whether that day or some other day I 11 · 01 11 mentioned it to Mr. Waters or not. 12 Is it likely that you would have discussed the matter 127 Q. 13 with him? 14 Α. Again, I can't say. 15 128 All right. Okay. Now, I think that sometime that Q. 11:01 16 afternoon Mr. Flahive, who is the assistant secretary, 17 telephoned you in relation to an email that he had 18 received? 19 He did indeed. Now, I think it would have been after Α. 20 the two phone calls that I had with the Garda 11:02 Commissioner. 21 22 129 Yes. Q.
- 23 A. And he --
- 24 130 Q. Just tell us what --
- A. He mentioned to me his understanding from the Attorney
  General's office. I mentioned to him the Commissioner
  had also mentioned the matters to me. I had been
  contemplating doing an email for the Minister in
  relation to the issue that had arisen at the

1 Commission, but I can recall reflecting on, do you 2 know, what I could or should say. The Minister, in my view, could have had no role in relation to the Garda 3 case at the Commission, and contacts between the 4 5 Commissioner and the Minister about the Commission, 11:03 6 once it was going on, I was a bit uneasy about. 7 while I was thinking of what I might say to the 8 Minister, Michael Flahive was on to me. information had come from the Attorney General's 9 office, and it seemed to me that was, for want of a 10 11:03 11 better phrase, a legitimate route for the Minister to 12 get the information. And we agreed that he'd write an 13 email setting out the conversations that he had or conversation that he had with Richard Barrett in the 14 15 Attorney's office. 11:03 From your conversation that you had with Mr. Flahive, 131 Q.

16 131 Q. From your conversation that you had with Mr. Flahive,
17 was it clear to you that the questions that were being
18 asked at the O'Higgins Commission went to the
19 motivation of Sergeant McCabe in making his complaints?

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

A. I can't recall in the discussion I had with the

Commissioner that -- like, what I am sure of, there was no discussion of the general legal approach that was being taken in relation to motivation and credibility.

As I understand it now from what happened at the Commission, after the question was raised Mr. McDowell made the point that if these matters were going to be raised, he needed notice of them. And there was a discussion then that went on to, I think, counsel for the Gardaí talking about motivation and credibility and

1			so on. I had no knowledge of any of that at the time.	
2	132	Q.	I beg your pardon. An email was sent to you shortly	
3			after was copied to you very shortly after that	
4			discussion with Mr. Flahive, isn't that right?	
5		Α.	Yes.	11:05
6	133	Q.	And if we could just have page 3483 up on the screen,	
7			please. This is yes, this is an email from	
8			Mr. Flahive to Mr. Quattrociocchi, and it's:	
9				
10			"Chris, could you pass this on to the Minister for	11:06
11			information, please."	
12				
13			I can't actually read that. Yes.	
14				
15			"I took a call this afternoon from Richard Barrett in	11:06
16			relation to the O'Higgins Commission of Investigation	
17			(which is investigating the matters identified by the	
18			Guerin Report). The O'Higgins Commission has started	
19			hearings and the Garda Commissioner are represented by	
20			counsel, as is Sergeant McCabe (in this case Michael	11:06
21			McDowell SC). Richard wanted to let me know that	
22			counsel for the Garda Síochána has raised as an issue	
23			in the hearings an allegation made against Sergeant	
24			McCabe which was one of the cases examined by the IRM.	
25			The allegation had been that a serious criminal	11:07
26			complaint against Sergeant McCabe (which he always	
27			denied) has not been properly investigated by the Garda	
28			Síochána. The IRM found that an investigation file on	
29			the case had in fact been submitted to the DPP, who had	

directed no prosecution, and the IRM, which because of the seriousness of the allegation, had been considering whether to recommend its inclusion in the O'Higgins terms of reference, in the end recommended no further action by the Minister. Presumably, the Garda Síochána 11:07 are raising the matter on the basis they could argue (and Sergeant McCabe would deny) that it is potentially relevant to motivation. Richard advised me that counsel for Sergeant McCabe objected to this issue being raised and asked whether the Garda Commissioner had authorised this approach. Richard also told me that the Garda Commissioner's authorisation had been confirmed (although I understand separately that this may be subject to further legal advice)."

11:08

11:08

11:09

You are the source of that information, is that right?

A. As I say, my understanding after the telephone call with -- the second telephone call with the Commissioner is that there was further engagement going on between the Commissioner and her legal team. I am not saying necessarily personally, but that there was -- that matters had arisen that continued to be the subject of engagement.

Q. Mr. Flahive has made a statement to us in which he says that this information came from you, and it's included -- even though he doesn't indicate in the email it came from you, but this information came from you that the Commissioner was --

29 "Although I understand separately that this may be the

Т			subject of any further legal advice" that that was	
2			as a result of the conversation he had with you.	
3		Α.	And my understanding would have been that, as I say,	
4			there was further legal engagement going on.	
5	135	Q.	"Richard and I agreed that this is a matter for the	11:09
6			Garda Commissioner, who is being legally advised, and	
7			that neither the Attorney nor the Minister has a	
8			function relating to the evidence a party to the	
9			Commission of Investigation may adduce."	
10				11:09
11			That email makes no reference to the conversation that	
12			you had with Mr. Flahive, does it?	
13		Α.	With the Commissioner?	
14	136	Q.	No, that you had sorry, the conversation that you	
15			had with Mr. Flahive about your telephone call with the	11:10
16			Commissioner?	
17		Α.	No.	
18	137	Q.	No.	
19		Α.	But as I say, the substantive point that the Minister	
20			needed to be alerted about was particularly in the	11:10
21			light of the fact that the Attorney's office had been	
22			in touch with us, is set out in Mr. Flahive's email.	
23	138	Q.	Yeah, but it's a little bit more than that, because on	
24			the one hand you are advised through the channels that	
25			I've indicated to you from Annmarie Ryan to Mr. Dreelan	11:10
26			to Mr. Barrett and then to Mr. Flahive, through the	
27			official channels, and that's referenced in the email	
28			and the conversation that Mr. Flahive had with	
29			Mr. Barrett, but then there is this informal discussion	

Т			that you had with the Garda Commissioner, and that is	
2			not referenced	
3		Α.	No	
4	139	Q.	by Mr. Flahive, when he is effectively drawing the	
5			Minister's attention to this, all right?	11:11
6		Α.	Yeah, but as I say, I had been thinking of what to say	
7			to the Minister in relation to it, and I had some	
8			concerns that the Commissioner and the Minister should	
9			not have engagement in relation to what was happening	
10			at a commission of investigation, that the Minister had	11:11
11			established. And as the substance had been advised to	
12			us by the Attorney General's office, which didn't give	
13			rise in my mind to any potential difficulties, that	
14			that was the best way of the Minister being advised	
15			about the matter.	11:11
16	140	Q.	In any event, there is no written record in the	
17			Department of your telephone call, or the fact that	
18			there was a telephone call from the Garda Commissioner	
19			to yourself, isn't that right?	
20		Α.	That's right.	11:12
21	141	Q.	Yes. And when Mr. Flahive perhaps had an opportunity,	
22			and it's perhaps a matter for him but when he had the	
23			opportunity to alert the Minister to the fact that the	
24			Garda Commissioner had in fact phoned you to discuss	
25			the matter, he chose not to do so, that's right?	11:12
26		Α.	Well, obviously he didn't.	
27	142	Q.	Yes. So I mean, did you separately advise the Minister	
28			or I know you had been considering actually writing	
29			to the Minister, but did you	

- 1 I had, and in what terms. But as I say, I had an Α. 2 uneasiness about the whole thing. But I felt, when Michael Flahive said to me that the Attorney had 3 brought -- or the Attorney General's office had brought 4 5 this to attention, I thought that was the legitimate, 11:12 correct way for the Minister to be advised. 6 7 Well, is it your evidence that it was common case 143 Q.
- 7 143 Q. Well, is it your evidence that it was common case
  8 between the Attorney General's office and the
  9 Department that the Minister couldn't intervene in
  10 relation to this matter, is that right?
- 11 A. Very much so.
- 12 144 Q. Yes. But nevertheless, a view was taken that the
  13 Minister should be advised of the development, is that
  14 right?
- 15 A. Simply because, if the Attorneys felt it right to
  16 advise us of the development, we would have felt it
  17 right to advise the Minister.

11 · 14

18 145 But in any event, you were happy that Mr. Flahive was Q. taking the steps to advise the Minister, so you didn't 19 do so. At the time did it occur to you that perhaps 20 that the issue that you were really considering here 21 22 was that, privately, or publicly, the Garda 23 Commissioner was supporting Sergeant Maurice McCabe and 24 making public statements to that effect and, in fact, 25 had placed him on a Professional Standards Unit, and 26 then privately, in private at the O'Higgins Commission, 27 there was to be, as Mr. Flahive has identified in his email, an attack on his motivation that was somehow 28 29 linked to a sexual assault case for many years prior;

1 did it concern you that that is what was going on here? 2 But I have explained my discussion with the Garda Α. 3 Commissioner. There was certainly an issue in relation to sensitivity in relation to Sergeant McCabe. 4 5 relation to the Commission, it was the Commissioner's 11:15 statutory decision in relation to, in consultation with 6 7 her legal advisers, what approach to take. All I know 8 about that approach was that a particular question had been raised and Mr. Flahive mentioned motivation, but 9 there were no -- and I would have known that, do you 10 11 · 15 11 know, Sergeant McCabe was legally represented at the 12 Commission, that Judge O'Higgins was going to look 13 after the rights of everyone at it, but what would have 14 informed me -- as I say, I wasn't in a position that afternoon to make a hugely detailed analysis of what 15 11:15 16 was going on in the sense that I had virtually no information whatsoever, but the reality was, and I was 17 18 clear in my mind about this, that the Minister could 19 not establish a commission of investigation and then become involved in the case anyone was making at that 20 11:16 Commission of Investigation. 21 well, of course not, in a formal way. 146 Q.

- 22
- 23 Even an informal way. Α.
- 24 147 Well, we have discussed the informal communications Q. 25 that might have existed between the Department and between the Minister and the Garda Commissioner, okay. 26 So, there may have been an issue that arose that wasn't 27 strictly -- couldn't be resolved or wasn't causing any 28 29 sort of embarrassment from a legal perspective, but

11 · 16

from a political perspective it might be causing a
little bit of embarrassment or had the potential to
cause some embarrassment. Would there have been
anything wrong for the Minister to speak with the Garda
Commissioner and ask her for her reasoning for adopting what on the face of it may appear, and I underline may

appear, to be a double standard?

A. I mean, there's two points I would make about that. I mean, of course there were political sensitivities about this, but expediency doesn't make what is wrong right because it's politically expedient. And if you look at the practical terms, if you accept that it just wasn't proper for the Minister to try and interfere with the Commission she had established herself, like how would that conversation go in practical terms?

She'd say to the Commissioner, look, I am worried about this, the Commissioner would say I have legal advice.

18 148 Q. Well --

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 Is that the Minister then meant to say, well, get other Α. 20 legal advice? You couldn't overlook the fact, too, 11:18 that everyone at the Commission of Investigation had 21 22 the same rights. The Minister couldn't be in the 23 business of deciding the balance of that. And it all 24 boiled down -- like, we wouldn't have had any 25 knowledge, nor would the Minister, of whether there was 11:18 evidence, of what the evidence was, whether there was 26 27 evidence that would act, for example, to exonerate I mean, just if you look at it as a matter of 28 people. 29 political expediency, we can't, as a department, as I

1 say, advise that suddenly becomes something -- that's 2 wrong, suddenly becomes right. But any of this would 3 involve, if it was to be meaningful, the Minister making judgements in relation to, say, for example, the 4 5 quality of evidence that was to be presented at the 11:19 O'Higgins Commission, involve herself in -- this was 6 7 the Commissioner's statutory responsibility, not the 8 Minister's, not the Department's.

11:19

11:19

11:20

11.20

- 9 149 Q. But it didn't stop the Commissioner from seeking informally advice from you?
- A. What she asked me about, and what I said was not directional in any way, was that if there are issues -- and one of the issues that was mentioned was the sensitivities of the position of Sergeant McCabe in relation to Sergeant McCabe.
- 16 150 Q. No, no, but I am just pointing out to you that the
  17 distinction that you are drawing doesn't in fact exist,
  18 because the Commissioner was consulting with you about
  19 matters that had occurred in private at the O'Higgins
  20 Commission, isn't that right?
- A. She mentioned, as I say, that there had been -- that

  Mr. McDowell had reacted very strongly to a particular

  question that had been put. I'm not sure if she

  mentioned the precise terms of the question, but as I

  say, I understood it to relate in some way to matters

  that followed from the Ms. D case.
- 27 151 Q. She discussed matters with you that had arisen at the O'Higgins Commission in private, isn't that right?
- 29 A. She mentioned one particular issue.

- 1 152 Q. That had occurred in private, and she was happy to discuss it with you --
- 3 A. Yeah, but I mean delighted to discuss it with her.
- 4 153 Q. I know that. But on an informal basis, she was happy 5 to discuss it with you, isn't that right?

11 · 21

11:21

A. As I say, the purpose of her call, as I saw it, was to alert to us a particular thing. What she then went on to discuss with me wasn't the ins and outs of any decision she was going to make; it was asking me if any matters occurred to me that she should take into account. And the issues that came into my head, including the position of Sergeant McCabe and the

including the position of Sergeant McCabe and the
efforts that were being made to resolve his workplace
difficulties, were one of the things I said what I

difficulties, were one of the things I said what I

couldn't do and I don't believe the Minister could do,

particularly with our state of knowledge or state of

absence of knowledge, and given that there was a

statutory process in place, to interfere with whatever

19 the Commissioner was going to do.

20 154 Q. You see, there could be -- one interpretation in terms 11:21
21 of your discussion with Mr. Flahive and how this matter
22 was to be dealt with, and that Mr. Flahive plumbed for
23 what could be regarded as the legitimate route, namely
24 by reference to his conversation with Mr. Barrett,
25 because it was well-documented, and that you would keep 11:22

stymie in relation to your call with the Commissioner,

27 do you understand?

28 A. Yeah.

15

16

17

18

26

29 155 O. Yes. Was that the decision that had been made?

1	Α.	No, as I say, it was a fast moving situation. I took
2		the view when Mr. Flahive spoke to me that the proper
3		route for the Minister to be informed of this was for
4		him to outline the phone call he had with Richard
5		Barrett. As I say, I don't know if there hadn't been 11:23
6		that intervention, for want of a better word, what
7		precisely I would have said to the Minister. What I
8		knew was, informing her this way didn't cause any

But in either instance you'll appreciate, 10 156 Q. 11 · 23 11 whether you adopt the Michael Flahive approach of 12 advising of his phone call with the Attorney General's 13 office, the Minister hasn't been advised of the fact 14 that the Commissioner has phoned you in relation to the selfsame matter? 15 11:23

difficulties.

9

- 16 And as I say, my reservation was that the Minister, Α. 17 having established the Commission, that contacts 18 between the Commissioner and the Minister about what was happening at the Commission, even through me, I 19 20 just had reservations. Now, I hadn't resolved that 11:24 when the phone call from Mr. Flahive came and we agreed 21 22 that he would write an email to the Minister.
- 23 157 Q. After this, if we just move on now from the 15th May,
  24 but in the following week, that was a Friday, the
  25 following week did you have an opportunity at any time 11:24
  26 to mention to the Minister that you had got this phone
  27 call from --
- A. No, as I say, I -- I had -- that Friday afternoon, I was reflecting on what properly could be said to the

1			Minister, with the reservations I had. That was	
2			addressed, the issue of substance to be put to the	
3			Minister was as set out in Michael Flahive's email. It	
4			didn't arise subsequently that I felt there was a need	
5			or would be appropriate to say, by the way, I got a	11:25
6			phone call about that.	
7	158	Q.	Okay. If we could just then is there anything else	
8			you want to say about the 15th May and what transpired	
9			at that time?	
10		Α.	No.	11:25
11	159	Q.	If we could move on then to the following year, to	
12			Saturday 4th July no, sorry, for the following two	
13			months later, the 4th July of 2015. I think that you	
14			received a query or you were advised of a query by the	
15			Garda Commissioner, is that right?	11:25
16		Α.	Yeah.	
17	160	Q.	Will you just tell us about that.	
18		Α.	It was a Saturday evening and the Commissioner told me,	
19			I don't have a very specific recollection at all, I am	
20			relying on the email I did, that there was a query	11:26
21			from, I understood it initially to be from Colm Ó	
22			Mongáin from the This Week programme, and it related	
23			to as I understood it when I wrote the email at any	
24			rate, it related to the strategy being followed by the	
25			Garda Commissioner at the O'Higgins Commission.	11:26
26	161	Q.	All right. If we could	
27		Α.	And to the position of Sergeant McCabe in relation to	
28			his unhappiness, I think, in Mullingar.	

29 162 Q. Okay.

		Α.	now, it may be neighble to explain, the minister was due	
2			on the This Week programme on the Sunday on a range of	
3			other issues, but the query from John Burke, as it	
4			transpired, I think he mentioned in his email that the	
5			programme was going to run with that story the	11:27
6			following day, which is when the Minister would be on	
7			the programme. So I just set out to alert the Minister	
8			of that and some lines that might be taken in relation	
9			to it.	
10	163	Q.	If we could have page 3484 up on the screen, please.	11:27
11			This is your email, it's sent to the Minister, it's	
12			copied to it's also sent to Marion Mannion. What	
13			was her	
14		Α.	She was one of the Minister's special advisers.	
15	164	Q.	And William Lavelle?	11:27
16		Α.	Again, one of the advisers.	
17	165	Q.	And then we have Noel Waters and then Dale Sunderland?	
18		Α.	He was the Department's Head of Communications, I	
19			think.	
20	166	Q.	Yes. And we have already heard from Fiona O'Sullivan,	11:28
21			and then to Michael Flahive and Christopher	
22			Quattrociocchi. I can't say that name.	
23				
24			"Good evening, Minister	
25			The Garda Commissioner phoned me to let me know that	11:28
26			they had received queries from Colm Ó Mongáin about	
27			Sergeant McCabe. They were asked was it the case that	
28			Sergeant McCabe was looking to be taken out of the	
29			traffic unit in Mullingar and was it the Garda	

1 Commissioner who had instructed counsel to adopt an 2 aggressive stance towards Sergeant McCabe at the 3 O'Higgins Commission. The Gardaí are not commenting. 4 5 I understand that some weeks ago Sergeant McCabe 11:28 indicated to his authorities in Mullingar that he no 6 7 longer wanted to stay in his post in the traffic unit. 8 Apparently he blamed the Commissioner for this, though he said he could not be more specific as he was bound 9 by confidentiality. This coincided with the hearings 10 11 · 29 11 of the O'Higgins Commission (where these hearings are 12 being held in private and there is a prohibition on 13 disclosing evidence by them). 14 15 Sergeant McCabe remains in the post at the traffic 11:29 16 unit, though in practice his time recently has been 17 taken up giving evidence to the Commission." 18 19 If I could just stop there for a moment. Were you 20 linking, as you appear to be doing in that paragraph, 11:29 the fact that Sergeant McCabe no longer wanted to stay 21 22 in the post in the traffic unit with what had occurred 23 to him at the O'Higgins Commission? 24 I was trying provide material to the Minister in Α. 25 relation to the two aspects that had been raised and 11:30 that was to deal with the question about Sergeant 26 27 McCabe on the basis of what the Commissioner told me.

28

29

Certainly, I had understood or was under the impression

that there had been a link between what had happened at

Т			the commission and what was happening in Mullingar, but	
2			I knew no more details than I would have set out in my	
3			email.	
4	167	Q.	All right.	
5		Α.	Sorry, just to explain, I think that would have been	11:30
6			based on the conversation I had that Saturday with the	
7			Commissioner rather than background information that I	
8			was already aware of.	
9	168	Q.	Well, then it would appear that the Commissioner was	
10			linking the two of them on the basis of what she	11:30
11			informed you at the time.	
12		Α.	Well, I mean, I can only at this remove make from the	
13			email, what the email says	
14	169	Q.	"If these matters are raised with you, you could say	
15			both the Garda Commissioner and myself had made it	11:31
16			clear that Sergeant McCabe is a valued member of the	
17			force. I think it is a matter of public record that at	
18			various times he has raised various concerns regarding	
19			his position in the force. I know that there has been	
20			an ongoing process in attempting to address those	11:31
21			concerns. I, of course, have made it clear, including	
22			to Sergeant McCabe himself when I met him, that it	
23			would not be acceptable if anyone in the force were to	
24			be disadvantaged in any way by bringing wrongdoing to	
25			light.	11:31
26				
27			I am glad too that the legal regime in relation to	
28			whistleblowers has been changed fundamentally by the	

29

Protected Disclosures Act, so there are now proper

1	procedures in place to deal with matters brought to	
2	light by whistleblowers.	
3		
4	As to Sergeant McCabe's present situation, I have to be	
5	conscious that he, no more and no less than any serving ${}_{1}$	1:32
6	member of the force, is entitled to confidentiality in	
7	his dealings with the Garda authorities, so it would	
8	not be appropriate for me to comment publicly in any	
9	way on those dealings. In particular, I think it would	
10	be very unfair to Sergeant McCabe for me to do so.	1:32
11		
12	I have to be conscious too that at present various	
13	matters raised by Sergeant McCabe about policing in	
14	Cavan-Monaghan are being dealt with by a commission of	
15	investigation under Justice Kevin O'Higgins and it	1:32
16	would be wrong of anyone to make public comment which	
17	might interfere with or attempt to influence those	
18	proceedings in any way. That Commission clearly has to	
19	be let take its course."	
20	1	1:32
21	And then you sign off on that. And then if we could	
22	just have page 3486, just for completeness. This is a	
23	letter again sent by you to the same persons as the	
24	last one, and it's:	
25	1	1:33
26	"Minister	
27	I was wrong to say that Sergeant McCabe's queries	
28	from Colm Ó Mongáin. You will see from the queries and	
29	response below that they came from John Burke, an	

1			investigative reporter with This Week."	
2				
3			And then you go on to say what the Garda response is in	
4			relation to that, isn't that right?	
5				11:33
6			Now I think I have already dealt in a general way with	
7			your dealings with the Garda Commissioner, and we spoke	
8			about the draft letter and also the emails that	
9			transpired, I am not going to bring you through those	
10			in, 2016, and the response to the publication of the	11:33
11			O'Higgins Commission report. But is there anything you	
12			would like to say in relation to that aspect, because I	
13			don't want to cut an avenue off that you might have	
14			something to say in relation to the material that has	
15			been already produced to the Tribunal?	11:34
16		Α.	No, as I say, I mean, I have tried to explain as best I	
17			can the context in which we were operating. The one	
18			thing I would like to say is, in relation to those	
19			emails where there is a reference to the aggressive	
20			strategy or an aggressive strategy; what I was doing	11:34
21			there was describing my understanding of the press	
22			query, not any understanding of what strategy was being	
23			followed at the Commission.	
24	170	Q.	Just finally, Mr. O'Leary, the Tribunal that is now	
25			looking into these matters was established on the 17th	11:35
26			February of last year and the Chairman made a public	
27			statement at the commencement of it, calling for	
28			assistance and information in relation to the various	
29			modules and the module that we are currently looking	

- into was one of those, obviously, and again, counsel
  for the Tribunal made an opening statement in June of
  this year, and I think you read that, isn't that right?
- 4 A. I would have, at some stage.
- 5 171 Q. Yes. And I just want to ask you, because I have to ask 11:35
  you the question, why you didn't provide a statement or
  information to the Tribunal until December of last
  year?
- 9 A. I mean, when the Tribunal was established and there was
  10 an initial opening statement, I certainly wasn't
  11 conscious of the significance that those matters were
  12 going to give rise to.
- 13 172 Q. Well, you were aware of the fact that in the middle of
  14 the O'Higgins Commission and when this row developed
  15 between counsel on behalf of Sergeant Maurice McCabe
  16 and the Garda Commissioner legal team, that you had
  17 been consulted by the Commissioner?
- 18 Well, I mean, I had two brief telephone calls on a Α. 19 Friday afternoon. I mean, all I can say is, if you 20 looked at the terms of reference of the Tribunal at the 11:36 time, the Department wasn't even mentioned. 21 22 know of course -- were mentioned, but just the significance, that do you know, the issue subsequently 23 24 got would not have been apparent to me at the time. 25 mean, the long and the short of it is, it just did not 11:37 strike me at the time that it was necessary for me to 26 27 make a statement to the Tribunal. If that has 28 inconvenienced the Tribunal in any way, I regret that. 29 MR. MARRINAN: Thank you. Would you answer any

1			questions?	
2				
3			THE WITNESS WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. McDOWELL:	
4	173	Q.	MR. McDOWELL: Good morning, Mr. O'Leary.	
5		Α.	Good morning.	11:37
6	174	Q.	Just a few questions really only for you. Do I	
7			understand that the telephone conversations, plural,	
8			that you described having with the Commissioner on	
9			Friday, 15th May were conversations which you thought	
10			was a colleague-to-colleague discussion in which she	11:38
11			was bouncing off you the issues that she was dealing	
12			with at the time?	
13		Α.	Yes.	
14	175	Q.	And do I also understand that she you did not	
15			believe that she was seeking formal advice on this	11:38
16			matter from the Department of Justice?	
17		Α.	Oh, I certainly didn't, or, do you know, even informal	
18			advice in the sense of specifically what should I do.	
19	176	Q.	Yes.	
20		Α.	It was a sounding board for issues that she might have	11:38
21			regard to.	
22	177	Q.	So instead of saying what should I do, she was putting	
23			a slightly different she was making a slightly	
24			different phone call; I am in this position, have you	
25			any comments on my position? That kind of a	11:38
26			colleague-to-colleague discussion, is it?	
27		Α.	Well, it's something like that. As I say, you know,	
28			quite what mind the Commissioner was in at the time,	
29			she was dealing with this issue	

- 1 178 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. -- and she saw fit, while she was talking to me, to ask
- me if there were any issues, do you know, that I felt
- 4 she should be mindful of. And I mentioned what I
- thought she -- which, do you know, I am not suggesting

11:39

11:40

11:40

11 · 40

- for a moment was some comprehensive analysis of the
- 7 whole thing.
- 8 179 Q. Yes. Well, if, and this is a hypothetical, I know, but
- 9 if Mr. Barrett in the Attorney General's office hadn't
- 10 lifted the phone to Mr. Flahive, would you have
- 11 regarded that phone -- that pair of phone conversations
- 12 you had with the Commissioner as being something which
- 13 you could just park, so to speak?
- 14 A. I mean, I don't know. As I was saying earlier,
- Mr. McDowell, I was thinking about what I could
- 16 properly say to the Minister in relation to the whole
- 17 thing.
- 18 180 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. I felt that was resolved by the Attorney General's
- 20 office.
- 21 181 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. To try and continue that thought process, which
- essentially I suspended because I didn't think it was
- 24 necessary any more at this stage -- I mean, I think I
- 25 would have been concerned that, do you know, if, for
- 26 example, there was public attention paid to what
- 27 happened --
- 28 182 Q. Yes.
- 29 A. -- on the 15th, that it would be nice if there was some

- 1 advance warning to the --
- 2 183 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. -- Minister, as it would have been at the time. On the
- other hand, as I say, I thought the Minister should not
- 5 really have any involvement whatsoever with what was
- 6 happening at the Commission. How I would have
- 7 reconciled those in the end, I just don't know.
- 8 184 Q. I mean, on the one hand it would be nice to know if a
- 9 hullabaloo had developed at the time about it. On the
- other hand, it would be even nicer to be able to say I

11 · 41

11:41

11 · 42

- 11 have no idea what you are talking about, this is a
- private matter for the Commission, and I'm utterly
- unaware of it. From the Minister's point of view
- 14 either was an attractive --
- 15 A. Well, I mean, I just make the general point that if you 11:41
- look at the trouble the two emails we did do caused, a
- third one mightn't have been very helpful.
- 18 185 Q. And that is the point I am making. There was a sense
- in which to inform the Minister of these matters was to
- give her information which was not useful to her or
- 21 might not be useful to her at a later stage, is that
- the point you are making?
- 23 A. I think it's not so much that it mightn't be useful to
- her, but to give information that there is nothing you
- could about doesn't necessarily put a Minister in a
- very good position. But as I say, how that would have
- 27 worked out in the end without the Attorney's letter,
- I'm not sure.
- 29 186 Q. I see. And you also mentioned that you were fairly

1			clear there were two phone calls on that day, and that	
2			if only one was showing up on the records, it might	
3			have been either that you phoned her or, alternatively,	
4			that she had another mobile phone, is that right?	
5		Α.	I am not suggesting that. It's just, like, there would	11:42
6			have been people in Garda Headquarters that would have	
7			my phone on their phone and if she was one phone and	
8			she asked someone get Ken, or whatever, like that could	
9			happen.	
10	187	Q.	Yes. I see. So what you are saying is,	11:43
11			notwithstanding the situation in relation to phone	
12			records you are fairly clear in your own mind there	
13			were two conversations at that time?	
14		Α.	I am. Now, I mean, it's now two-and-a-half years later	
15			that I was trying to recall it, but I did, when I was	11:43
16			asked by the Tribunal to make a statement, I made as	
17			intense an effort as I could to set out my	
18			understanding of what happened that day.	
19	188	Q.	And then you had a conversation with Mr. Flahive in	
20			which he tells you about Mr. Barrett's call and you	11:43
21			tell him about the Commissioner's call to you, is that	
22			right?	
23		Α.	I mentioned that the Commissioner had been on to me.	
24	189	Q.	Yes. And Mr. Flahive says that when he put in his	
25			email to the Minister all that he understood	11:43
26			separately, that there might be further legal	
27			consideration given to what was happening, that came	
28			from you?	
29		Α.	That would have been based from me, on my understanding	

- 1 at the time, which was that there was going to be 2 continued legal engagements about whatever had arisen at the Commission that afternoon. 3 4 I take it you'd agree with the following proposition: 190 0. 5 That the Commissioner, in her colleague-to-colleague 11:44 6 discussion with you, was raising issues which she'd 7 better have been discussing with her legal advisers? 8 I really don't --Α. You don't want to say that --9 191 Q. -- know that that would be a matter for me to make 10 Α. 11 · 44 11 assumptions about. 12 Well, there were issues which you thought should weigh 192 Ο. 13 in her mind, the ones you have mentioned, isn't that 14 right? 15 As I say, they were issues that I felt, just having Α. 11:44 16 been asked the question that afternoon, were issues 17 that were relevant to any consideration in these 18 matters. 19 193 And I'm suggesting to you, just simply, that these were Q. issues which were more proper for her to raise with her 11:45 20 legal advisers in considering what she wanted to do, 21 22 than with you? 23 I mean, I am not talking about the -- as I say, I think Α. 24 she took the opportunity thinking I may have something 25 that might be helpful in her dealing with these 11:45
- 29 194 Q. Yes.

matters.

to --

26

27

28

If the question is, were these matters she'd

be expected to heavily rely on legal advice in relation

1 -- I would have thought in relation to any commission Α. 2 that legal advice is very important. 3 195 Yes. And just then can we move on to the July, John Q. Byrne's inquiry --4 5 John Burke. Α. 11:46 6 196 Q. John Burke inquiry from RTÉ. Just, can you enlighten 7 us as to whether the Department knew that Sergeant 8 McCabe had, on Monday 18th May, resigned his position as head of the traffic unit in Mullingar before that 9 inquiry was made from RTÉ, or was it news to the 10 11 · 46 11 Department that day? 12 I can't speak the Department in the sense that there Α. 13 were other people this may have been raised with, 14 but --15 197 But for yourself then? Q. 11:46 16 Certainly I think at this remove the specifics of that Α. 17 were news to me --18 198 Yes. Q. 19 -- that two months later. Now, as I say, there could Α. have been other contacts with the Department and other 20 11:46 people following the 15th May, but I have no 21 22 recollection of it. 23 And can you just assist the Tribunal as to 199 Q. 24 whether, when that query came from the Garda press 25 spokesperson to the Commissioner's office and then to 11 · 47 you, did you discuss it with the Minister at the time? 26 27 Α. I can't -- what I said in my statement was -- like, the

This Week programme the next day.

28

29

context of the emails was that the Minister was on the

- 1 200 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. The This Week programme said they were running with the
- story. It would have been awkward, to put it mildly,
- 4 if there had been leaks from the Commission proceedings
- 5 that were going to be broadcast, and it was a question
- 6 of giving the Minister suggested lines to use if that
- 7 happened. Because of the range of my responsibilities,
- 8 it wouldn't be unusual for the Minister to talk to me
- 9 before doing an interview but I just can't recall
- whether that happened at the time.
- 11 201 Q. And I think it's the case that nothing came of it in
- relation to the radio interview she did that day, isn't

11 · 48

- 13 that right?
- A. No, it wasn't -- as it happened the This Week programme
- 15 didn't run with the story.
- 16 202 Q. I see. And she was never asked about it, as far as you
- 17 know?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 203 Q. I see. But when you were apprised of it, you said, I
- 20 think in your evidence, that you understood there was a 11:48
- 21 link between this resignation by Sergeant McCabe and
- 22 what had transpired at the Tribunal?
- 23 A. I mean, I am relying on the email.
- 24 204 Q. Yes.
- A. But I think the email is written in the sense that you
- 26 know, if A and B happened at the same time that there
- is a link.
- 28 205 Q. You don't have to be Einstein to see a connection
- between the two, is that what you are saying?

1	Α.	Yes.
	<b>~</b> :	103.

- Yes. And of course maybe you are not the person to put 2 206 Q. 3 this question, but if the Department was aware that Sergeant McCabe had resigned this position further back 4 5 towards May, you are saying that you were -- you have 6 no knowledge of any departmental awareness of it?
- 7 I just don't have any recollection of it, but at the Α. 8 time I wasn't particularly closely involved with the -if I can put it like this, the workplace issues in 9 relation to Sergeant McCabe. 10

11:50

11:50

11 MR. McDOWELL: Thanks very much, Mr. O'Leary.

12

13

## THE WITNESS WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM:

- Mr. O'Leary, my name is Conor Dignam. 14 207 Q. MR. DIGNAM: appear on behalf of An Garda Síochána, including former 11:50 15 16 Commissioner O'Sullivan and I just wanted to ask you a few questions. I don't think there is any real dispute 17 18 between us and I just want to ask you questions more 19 from the point of view of clarification than anything 20 I think you were probably here during Ms. O'Sullivan evidence last week? 21
- 22 I read the transcripts. Α.
- And you will have seen then from the transcripts that 23 208 Q. 24 she doesn't have a specific memory of the call or calls 25 on that Friday afternoon, and she is relying on the phone records to give her evidence to the Tribunal. 26 27 think I am right in saying, Mr. O'Leary, that you have 28 no notes of those phone contacts on the 15th of May?
- 29 That's right. Α.

- 209 Yes. And I think you very fairly say in your statement 1 Q. 2 to the Tribunal on page 3347 that you do not have 3 perfect recall of every detail of the contact with Ms. O'Sullivan, and on page 3348 you enter a caveat 4 5 that it's to the best of your recollection, which is 11:51 6 perfectly understandable. You say then that, in your evidence today, that you could have been talking to the 7 8 Commissioner about other matters, including I think you say crime and security matters, in those phone 9 conversations, is that your --10 11:51 11 I mean, it's possible. Α.
- 12 210 Yes. Ο.
- Because as I say, I don't have a precise recollection 13 Α. 14 of the calls.

11:52

- 15 211 Yes. And I will come back just in a moment to the Q. 16 number of calls and when they occurred. 17 suggested I think in your statement on page 3348 in 18 relation to what you identify as being the first call, 19 that it may be helpful for the former Commissioner to 20 gain more time to consider the matters more fully with her legal advisors. Did you know at the time that, in 21 22 fact, the issue of getting more time had been explored 23 by the solicitor from the Chief State Solicitor's 24 Office, who was down in the Commission?
- 25 No. As I say, in relation to it, I made a series of Α. 26 points to the Commissioner just in response to saying, 27 look, is there anything you think I should keep in mind? I don't think there was any response. 28 29 weren't engaging in detail on what exactly the

1			Commissioner was going to do. So, I am not the expert	
2			on what was happening elsewhere at that time.	
3	212	Q.	Yes. No, I understand that. And I think you explained	
4			to the Tribunal that when there is a difficult decision	
5			to be made, sometimes more time can be useful or can be	11:52
6			beneficial and that is why you suggested that?	
7		Α.	As I say, it was a general point.	
8	213	Q.	You say then, in your evidence you said that your	
9			understanding after your conversation or conversations	
10			was that there would be further engagement with the	11:53
11			Commissioner's legal team. And I think in your	
12			statement what you say is that, at the bottom of the	
13			first main paragraph on page 3348, you say that the	
14			Commissioner was then going to be	
15				11:53
16			"was then going to discuss these matters with her	
17			legal advisers and I think I reiterated the view that	
18			she would have to be guided by the legal advice	
19			available to her. I also believe I suggested that from	
20			her point of view it might be helpful if it was	11:53
21			possible to gain more time so she could consider the	
22			matters more fully with her legal advisers."	
23				
24			And then, at the bottom of the following paragraph you	
25			say that:	11:53
26				
27			"I believe that in the circumstances the Commissioner	
28			indicated to the effect that her legal team should	
29			maintain their position that afternoon but that there	

would be further detailed consultation with her legal team subsequently about the matter."

3

4

5

6

7

That is what you said in your statement. I think in your evidence today you say that, you put it in terms that there would be further engagement with the legal team after the afternoon of the 15th May.

11:54

11:54

- A. As I say, my understanding -- and I am not sure they
  are inconsistent but I appreciate the point, my
  understanding was that matters were going to proceed at 11:54
  the -- the Commissioner had to proceed that afternoon
  in the light of whatever the status quo was and that
  matters would continue to be the subject of engagement
  with the Garda legal team.
- 15 214 Q. Yes. No, no, and I wasn't suggesting they were
  16 inconsistent, Mr. O'Leary, but I am not sure whether
  17 you were aware at the time, and that you may have
  18 become aware since, that in fact the legal team had
  19 been directed by Mr. Justice O'Higgins to put a written
  20 notification into the --
- A. No, I hadn't, and I mean, I appreciate that -- like, my understanding was further legal engagement was taking place. What had actually happened at the Commission other than, for want of a better phrase, other than the time the row started, I had no knowledge at all of that.
- 27 215 Q. Yes. So to the extent that there was reference to
  28 further consultation or engagement with the legal team,
  29 do you accept that that could have been a reference to

- that job of work that had to be done over the weekend?
- 2 A. It could. As I say, I mean, these conversations were
- 3 very hurried, the Commissioner knew what was going on,
- I hadn't much of an idea of what was going on. So, as
- I say, my understanding was that issues would continue
- to be engagement, advice, whatever.
- 7 216 Q. Yes. The former Commissioner, Ms. O'Sullivan, has
- 8 given evidence that in asking as to whether there
- 9 should be anything that she should be aware of when she
- was in touch with the Department on the 15th, that she

11:56

- 11 would have been asking about any of the contacts
- 12 between the Department and Sergeant McCabe that may be
- relevant to her consideration on the afternoon of the
- 14 15th. Would that be consistent with your memory?
- 15 A. Again, I don't have a perfect recollection of the call
- and I mean, I had no -- I read the Commissioner's
- 17 evidence, I have no particular issues with it. You
- 18 know, she is in a far better position than me to -- in
- relation to where she was coming from. They were
- 20 hurried phone calls. She was in the centre of dealing
- 21 with the situation. I was sort of a peripheral
- creature in relation to the whole thing.
- 23 217 Q. And then just finally, in relation to the number of
- calls or when the calls took place, Mr. O'Leary, in
- your statement you don't put a time on any of the calls 11:57
- and I think you say that you hadn't got access to the
- 27 records at that stage. It's understandable that you
- 28 wouldn't put a specific time on the calls. The
- 29 Commissioner, as I say, has no specific memory of the

1 calls but relying on her phone records, they disclose 2 that there was a telephone contact between you and the Commissioner at 16:16 on the afternoon of the 15th and 3 another one at 21:05 on the evening or night-time of 4 5 the 15th but no other contacts. And, em, I have to put 11:57 6 it to that you that that is consistent with there being 7 that contact at 16:16 and no contact until, either 8 before that or until 21:05, on the evening of the 15th. Could it simply be that you are mistaken in your memory 9 that there were two in the afternoon of the 15th? 10 11:58 11 Α. Look, all I can say is, my best recollection is there 12 were two calls in the period. I mentioned that. 13 mean, I acknowledge two-and-a-half years later, that --14 and without any records, there are difficulties. All I 15 can say is my best recollection is that two phone 11:58 16 calls, hurried phone calls, took place within the timeframe I mentioned. 17 18 218 That is very fair, Mr. O'Leary. And you may not Q. 19 be aware of this but the former Commissioner has given 20 details of her telephone devices that she was using in 11:58 the relevant period, including her landline, the 21 22 landline records in the Commissioner's office, which show incoming and outgoing calls to extensions other 23 24 than hers also, extensions within the Commissioner's 25 I think you probably know that she has taken 11:59 26 the exceptional step of waiving privilege to place all 27 relevant matters before the Tribunal, but none of those disclose a second call, either before or after the call 28

at 16:16?

29

Т		Α.	well, as I say, I offered possible explanations earlier	
2			as to being mistaken as to whether it was an outgoing	
3			or incoming call, but I really can't put the matter	
4			further.	
5			MR. DIGNAM: Thank you, Mr. O'Leary.	11:59
6				
7			THE WITNESS WAS EXAMINED BY MR. MCCANN:	
8	219	Q.	MR. McCANN: Just one or two questions, Mr. O'Leary.	
9			The hand in glove metaphor, I can't recall whether it	
10			was Mr. Marrinan's words or your words	11:59
11		Α.	They were Mr. Marrinan's words, I can assure you.	
12	220	Q.	I think he certainly took it up, I think they actually	
13			may have been your words originally. But as regards	
14			the metaphor, you are describing there the relationship	
15			in respect of a particular engagement after the	12:00
16			publication of the O'Higgins Commission, isn't that	
17			right, or that metaphor might arise?	
18		Α.	I am in the circumstances where, as I say, there is	
19			I am sure the Tribunal doesn't want me to rehearse it	
20			again.	12:00
21	221	Q.	No.	
22		Α.	There were very specific and very unusual and very	
23			important issues at play.	
24	222	Q.	Yes. And then would that metaphor apply in any way in	
25			respect of the overall engagement of the Department in	12:00
26			relation to the O'Higgins Commission?	
27		Α.	No, we had no I mean, I think the only thing we had	
28			heard about the O'Higgins Commission during the course	
29			of its proceedings, is about an account of what	

- 1 happened when a particular question was raised.
- 2 223 Yes. Q.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 3 we had no further engagement, because it wouldn't have Α. 4 been appropriate.
- 5 224 And then just taking up from something which Q. 12:01 6 Mr. McGuinness put on the record last week, and that is 7 the need to look at matters from the perspective of the 8 time when they occurred, and just taking that point on board, and then taking Mr. Marrinan's question where he 9 described the phone call between the Commissioner and 10 12:01 11 Mr. Noel Waters as taking place right in the middle of 12 what is going on, can you assist the Tribunal in 13 addressing what was going on at 15: -- I can't find it 14 now, yes, at 15:26 on the 15th May 2015? What was 15 going in Mr. Waters' world? What was going on in your 12:01 16 world that afternoon?
  - I mean, again, like from this remove to reconstruct Α. what happened at half three -- what I will say is, there is extensive engagement, because there has to be, between the Guards and ourselves in relation to a whole 12:02 range of issues. Like, this wasn't a slow Friday afternoon waiting for the Commissioner to phone about something that happened in the O'Higgins Commission. Like, there would have been a lot of balls in the air because there always are. I know matters were mentioned about security and Prince Charles, etcetera, etcetera, but there's just constant engagement because of the relationship between the Department and the Guards that arises from the functions they fulfil.

12.02

1 225 Q. Yes. Thank you very much.

2

## THE WITNESS WAS RE-EXAMINED BY MR. MARRINAN:

- 5 226 MR. MARRINAN: Just one matter arising out of a Q. 12:02 6 question that was asked by Mr. Dignam, and you said that you had read the Garda Commissioner's evidence 7 8 that she had given to the Tribunal and you said you had no issue in relation to it. The Garda Commissioner 9 doesn't have a recollection of this call -- or calls 10 12:03 11 that she made to you. You have a clear recollection in 12 relation to the conversations that you had with her, 13 isn't that right?
- A. I -- my best recollection is as I set out. When I was
  saying I have no issue with the Commissioner, it's -- I 12:03

  was conscious that she has no specific recollection of
  the calls. And two-and-a-half years later, when she
  was in the middle of dealing with these things, I mean,
  that is just the way it is.
- 20 227 well, what you are saying is that you have no problem Q. 12:03 in excusing her lack of recollection in relation to the 21 22 matter because of the circumstances she found herself But I am more concerned about the evidence that 23 24 you have given to the Chairman in relation to your 25 recall of these conversations and the statement that 12:03 26 you provided to the Tribunal. I am sure that when you 27 were making the statement to the Tribunal, you did your best to recall your conversations with the 28 Commissioner, is that right? 29

1 Α. Yes. 2 And at that stage you had the benefit of the email, or 228 Q. 3 did you, that Mr. Flahive had sent on? 4 Yes. Α. 5 229 So you included all details in that statement. Q. Yes. 12:04 6 Nowhere in the statement is there any reference to any 7 conversation involving a royal visit or otherwise, sure 8 there is not? In relation to which? 9 Α. The statement that you made and your conversation? 10 230 Q. 12:04 11 Yes, I mean, I am not saying that I had any Α. 12 conversations about the royal visit. It was in answer 13 to counsel, I was simply saying a lot of things go on. 14 231 Q. But you have no recollection of having a 15 conversation -- during the course of that conversation, 12:05 16 discussing the royal visit? 17 I am sorry, I am just not sure what I said that this is Α. 18 leading from. 19 232 No, I am just asking you the question: During the Q. 20 course of those two conversations, do you have any 12:05 recollection of discussing the royal visit? 21 22 No. Α. 23 233 No. Thank you very much. Q. 24 MR. McDOWELL: Just one point for the witness. 25 12:05 26 MR. KEN O'LEARY WAS FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINED BY

29 234 Q. MR. McDOWELL: You do accept that Mr. Flahive has

MR. McDOWELL:

27

1 recorded that you and he spoke after your phone call 2 with the Commissioner? 3 Oh, very much so. Α. You accept that? 4 235 0. 5 Yeah. Α. 12:05 6 236 And that he says that the business about further Q. 7 consultation with the legal team arises out of that 8 conversation? 9 Oh, yes. Α. So it's hardly likely -- well, sorry, I am suggesting 10 237 Q. 12:05 11 to you that, apart from the points that are being made 12 by the Garda Commissioner's counsel to you, it's hardly 13 likely that both of you invented this or both of you 14 are mistaken in your recollection that there was a call 15 from the Commissioner to you? 12:06 16 Oh, sorry, I mean, I don't think anyone has any doubt Α. 17 about one call, because it's in the records. 18 second call that --19 238 Yes. Q. 20 -- isn't on any records. Α. 12:06 The other point is, that there was a discussion about 21 239 Q. 22 her taking further legal advice. That could hardly be 23 something that both of you just conjured up out of thin 24 air? That is the point I am putting to you. 25 As I say, my understanding, which I would have passed Α. 12:06 26 on to Mr. Flahive, is that there was going to be 27 further legal engagement, which I would always assume

includes --

Yes.

28

29

240

Q.

1	Α.	 do	vou	know.	legal	advice	as	it	arises.
_			,	,	,			_	

- 2 241 Q. And it must follow from that that you did discuss what was going on at the Commission, with the Commissioner?
- 4 A. In the sense that I have outlined.
- 5 242 Q. Yes. And therefore, the suggestion that is being put
- 6 to you that you could have been talking about anything,

12:07

12:07

12:07

12:08

- 7 royal visits or whatever, cannot be correct?
- 8 A. As I say, I may have missed out on something.
- 9 243 Q. I am not trying to pressurise you. I am just asking
- 10 you to agree with the propositions that unless the
- 11 Commissioner's counsel are suggesting to you that your
- memory is just flawed and that she didn't discuss these
- matters with you or may not have discussed these
- 14 matters with you, your clear recollection is that you
- 15 did discuss the matters with her?
- 16 A. Oh, as I say, my best recollection I mean, I will put
- it no more strongly than this there is no reason for
- 18 me to imagine these conversations.
- 19 244 Q. Yes. And if there was, you would have to get
- 20 Mr. Flahive in on the imagination business as well?
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Mr. McDowell, I actually think I do take the
- point. And as for references to what have been called,
- colourfully, royal visits, fine. If that is something
- people want to float, that is grand, but I am not
- 25 interested in them.
- MR. McDOWELL: I know it's not now the time for
- submissions, but I am making the point that it's a bit
- 28 ridiculous to ask this witness to say that he could be
- confused about whether he had such a conversation, when

Т			he is very crear about it and when Mr. Flanive is also	
2			very clear about it.	
3			CHAIRMAN: Well, it's a question going to credibility,	
4			Mr. McDowell, and it's a perfectly legitimate question.	
5			I have no problem with the question.	12:08
6			MR. DIGNAM: Thank you, Chairman, but	
7			CHAIRMAN: If I am telling you I have no problem with	
8			the question	
9			MR. DIGNAM: No, I just want to make clear, Chairman,	
10			just for the purpose of the Tribunal, it's not being	12:08
11			suggested that Ms. O'Sullivan did not have a	
12			conversation with Mr. O'Leary about what happened in	
13			the Commission in the afternoon of the 15th May, and I	
14			take exception or object to Mr. McDowell's suggesting	
15			that that is what I was putting to the witness.	12:08
16			CHAIRMAN: Well, I think we are having a weird moment,	
17			because even on the transcript, after the name	
18			"Mr. McDowell", "(ridiculous)" appears, and I don't	
19			think anybody ever said that. I actually think it's	
20			time to move on from this.	12:09
21				
22			MR. KEN O'LEARY WAS THEN QUESTIONED BY THE CHAIRMAN:	
23				
24	245	Q.	CHAIRMAN: I just wanted to ask you a couple of things,	
25			if you don't mind, arising out of what has been asked	12:09
26			already. You said vis-á-vis the Garda commissioner,	
27			was it this one in particular or every Garda	
28			commissioner, you would have had literally hundreds of	
29			phone calls a year?	

_		Α.	i mean, I started dearing directly with the guards in	
2			the year 2000, and the intensity of contacts, I mean,	
3			it can fluctuate depending on what was happening, but	
4			there wouldn't have been anything unusual about the	
5			number of contacts.	12:09
6	246	Q.	CHAIRMAN: And were you look, it happens that people	
7			working together become close, in the sense become kind	
8			of friendly or you like the person and you get on with	
9			them. Was it the case that you and Nóirín O'Sullivan	
10			were on a kind of friendly or chatty basis with each	12:09
11			other?	
12		Α.	I mean, we wouldn't socialise together, or anything	
13			like that.	
14	247	Q.	CHAIRMAN: No, no, no. I don't mean	
15		Α.	Like, I think most people try and maintain civil,	12:10
16			decent human relationships with who they work.	
17	248	Q.	CHAIRMAN: No, I think we all appreciate that,	
18			Mr. O'Leary, but sometimes you get on with some people	
19			a bit better than other people, that is the point, and	
20			sometimes those people would tend to lean on you a bit	12:10
21			as opposed to the people you don't get along with?	
22		Α.	I take all I can say is, I mean, I would have	
23			regarded myself as having a good and close	
24			relationship working relationship, sorry, with	
25			Commissioner O'Sullivan.	12:10
26	249	Q.	CHAIRMAN: And would it be the same with her	
27			predecessor, Commissioner Callinan, or would it have	
28			been slightly more distant?	
29		Α.	I I mean, I find it very hard to make that	

1 judgement. As I say, I mean, I try and maintain good 2 working relationships with people and --3 250 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yes, all right. Well, that's grand. 4 Listen, the only other thing I wanted to ask you about 5 I mean, you will appreciate that public 12:11 6 controversy that blew up before Christmas. 7 don't want to refer to that, because one of the things 8 I am trying to do is not to follow the media in relation to anything, though obviously I have great 9 respect for their work. But let's say the following is 12:11 10 11 the case: What if the Garda Commissioner had rung you 12 up and said: what we are proposing to do is we are 13 proposing to challenge Maurice McCabe on the basis that 14 he sexually abused a child, knowing that the matter had 15 been investigated thoroughly, knowing that the DPP had 12:11 16 ruled on the matter, knowing there was no prosecution, 17 knowing that the DPP had said even if there was no 18 issues as to credibility, what had happened wasn't a 19 sexual assault and wasn't even an assault at all, would you have brought that to the attention of the Minister 20 12:12 and said, look, Minister, something alarming is going 21 22 to happen here and we need to do something about it? 23 I think so, in the sense that, like, that would have Α. 24 transcended -- it would have been such a very egregious thing to do. I mean, if I had got a phone call from 25 12.12 the Garda Commissioner saying, look, we are going to 26 27 use the Ms. D allegation to undermine Maurice McCabe,

28

29

as I say that would have transcended any issues in

relation to the Commission. I mean, that would be

1			alerting us that we had a problem with the Garda	
2			Commissioner. Now, I have to say in relation to the	
3			Garda Commissioner O'Sullivan in any discussion she	
4			ever had with me in relation to Sergeant McCabe, there	
5			wasn't the slightest doubt in my mind that she was	12:13
6			trying her best to resolve the issues that had arisen.	
7	251	Q.	CHAIRMAN: Okay. And I take it if that information,	
8			the question we have just discussed, had come from any	
9			other source, that also would have transcended matters;	
10			you would have brought it to the attention of the	12:13
11			Minister and suggested certain action?	
12		Α.	Yeah. Now, what action, I'm not sure, but I think it	
13			would have it wouldn't have been action in relation	
14			to what was happening at the Commission, as it were; it	
15			would have raised a wider issue of confidence.	12:13
16	252	Q.	CHAIRMAN: Yes. No, I understand what you are saying.	
17			Thank you, Mr. O'Leary.	
18				
19			THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW	
20				12:13
21			CHAIRMAN: I know we have another witness, but just	
22			something was mentioned to me this morning and I just	
23			feel I need to say something. Again, as I say, I am	
24			trying not to follow the media on this issue, but	
25			respecting their work and their entitlement obviously	12:14
26			to call me to account. But there has been some mention	
27			in relation to a Twitter account by the Tribunal in	
28			somewhat bizarre circumstances. I just want to make it	

29

clear, I don't have a Twitter account, the Tribunal

1	doesn't have a Twitter account. The Tribunal isn't, to
2	use the correct verb, tweeting anybody on anything. So
3	that is that.
4	MR. McGUINNESS: Thank you, Chairman. The next witness
5	is Mr. Michael Dreelan.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

1			MR. MICHAEL DREELAN, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY	
2			EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:	
3				
4			MR. McGUINNESS: Mr. Dreelan's statement is to be found	
5			at page 3327 of the Tribunal's papers.	12:14
6	253	Q.	Mr. Dreelan, I think in May of 2015 you were in	
7			position as one of the advisory counsel in the office	
8			of the Attorney General?	
9		Α.	I was indeed.	
10	254	Q.	And how long had you served in that position at that	12:15
11			time?	
12		Α.	I joined the Attorney General's office in 2006.	
13			However, at the end of 2006 to the end of 2011 I was	
14			seconded to the Department of Transport, so I had been	
15			back from the start of 2012 and I remained there until	12:15
16			September 2016, when I returned sorry, 2015, when I	
17			returned again to the Department of Transport sorry,	
18			2016 I returned to the Department of Transport again.	
19	255	Q.	And I think that is an arrangement that is not unusual;	
20			advisory counsel from the Attorney's office are often	12:15
21			embedded in departments?	
22		Α.	Absolutely.	
23	256	Q.	While still remaining part of the staff of the Attorney	
24			General's office?	
25		Α.	Well, we are seconded from the office of the Attorney	12:15
26			General, so we are no longer advisory counsel or advice	
27			is not the advice of the Attorney while we are	
28			seconded. We are paid by the Department, but we can	
29			return to the office. And it's a secondment project.	

1 There are a large number of advisory counsel who go out 2 and come back in after rotations of some years. 3 257 Q. Now, in May of 2015, I think you had 4 responsibility for the file in the Attorney's office in 5 connection with the representation of the Commissioner at the Commission? 6 7 That's correct. Α. 8 258 Now, I think you were kept informed of anything of Q. 9 relevance by Ms. Ryan in connection with the file at that time? 10 12:16 11 Well, Ms. Ryan contacted me from time to time. Α. 12 Yes. And I think it's fair to say from your knowledge 259 Ο. 13 of Ms. Ryan, you regarded her as a very competent and 14 diligent and hard-working solicitor? 15 I had a particularly, and have a particularly, high Α. 12:16 16 regard for Ms. Ryan. 17 And do you recall the afternoon of the 15th May, in 260 Q. 18 particular? 19 I do, indeed. Α. 20 261 Now, I think at the time you were making your statement 12:17 Q. to the Tribunal, you didn't have access to any of your 21 22 files, but are you satisfied that there is nothing 23 inaccurate in your statement? 24 I am happy there is nothing inaccurate in my statement. Α. 25 I mentioned in my statement that because I was again 12 · 17 26 seconded, that I had not sought from the Attorney 27 General's office access to my file or to the Attorney's file on the matter, but that I was happy to seek that 28

29

access if it would be of benefit. I have a clear

Τ			recollection of the events of that day. I don't	
2			believe that it would be inaccurate in any material	
3			respect.	
4	262	Q.	Yes. Well, can you tell the Tribunal what occurred?	
5		Α.	Well, I received a call from Ms. Ryan and it was	12:17
6			informing me for the first time of what had been	
7			referred to this morning of the as the Ms. D	
8			allegations, and, additionally, that the Commission had	
9			adjourned briefly to confirm that the Commissioner had	
10			instructed the line as to motivation to be that they	12:18
11			were express instructions from the Commissioner, and I	
12			was I was informed of this.	
13	263	Q.	Yes. May I just pause there and ask you a number of	
14			questions. Firstly about your knowledge of the Ms. D	
15			allegation, the original file, had you any prior	12:18
16			involvement or knowledge in connection with that?	
17		Α.	Absolutely zero.	
18	264	Q.	And you had no involvement or knowledge of that issue,	
19			either in terms of the IRM examination of it or its	
20			inclusion in the terms of reference?	12:18
21		Α.	None.	
22	265	Q.	Okay. And were you aware that it hadn't been included	
23			in the terms of reference?	
24		Α.	It was something totally new. I had no knowledge	
25			whatsoever of it.	12:19
26	266	Q.	Okay. And when she described to you that an issue had	
27			arisen in connection with that in relation to Sergeant	
28			McCabe's motivation and credibility, how did she	
29			explain that to you as having arisen?	

A. Well, what -- if I can stress that this was new and significant information in two respects: firstly, the fact of Ms. D, and secondly, the fact that it was to be relevant to a line which, that afternoon, was to be progressed at the Commission.

12:19

12:20

12.21

- 6 267 Q. Yes.
- 7 So, I suppose, the scenario which the Chairman set out Α. 8 earlier, my first concern was to ensure that that wasn't in any way the line which was being advanced, 9 and, you know, again, I wasn't -- I am fairly certain I 12:20 10 11 wouldn't have been taking any contemporaneous note 12 while I was on the phone, or any meaningful one, to 13 Ms. Ryan, but it was to establish that it was something 14 other than that, and the word which is very clear to me is "motivation", so the explanation was that Ms. D 15 12:20 16 was -- that the allegations had been investigated and, 17 without any disrespect to any party in it, were found 18 in law and in fact to have been, you know, utterly 19 unfounded, and that the question was something else.
- 20 268 Q. Yes. Well, what did you understand the line to be
  21 taken was, or how was it described to you?
- 22 Well, I understood it, that the effect of the Α. 23 investigation, and I say that in its broadest sense, 24 the investigation, the prosecutorial process, even 25 though ultimately there was no indictment drawn, et cetera, but that that had been devastating and had 26 27 had a very profound impact on Sergeant McCabe, and that, arising from that, that there was an issue 28 29 that -- the thesis, as I understand it, was that that

- had caused a change in Sergeant McCabe's behaviour or in his relationship with the force and had led in some way to what has transpired today.
- 4 269 Q. And was that expressed to you as being potentially
  5 relevant to his complaints or the nature of the
  6 complaints or their credibility or --
- 7 A. That is a level of detail that I wouldn't have access
  8 to. I don't believe so. I don't think I had a very
  9 long conversation with Ms. Ryan.
- 10 270 Q. Yes. So would it be fair to say Ms. Ryan didn't inform 12:22 you of any particular events or personnel or --
- 12 I don't believe so. I say in my statement that this Α. 13 was an inactive file, or something of that nature, and 14 that is very much the case. I wasn't familiar with the 15 detail. The Attorney's office was not directing. 12:22 16 Garda Síochána needed legal representation at the 17 Tribunal, and that had come through the office; the 18 Attorney had nominated counsel. I, in fact, hadn't 19 been present when the nomination was sought and hadn't 20 sought the nomination, so I am not absolutely sure when 12:22 the contact with Ms. Ryan commenced. 21 I don't think 22 that was my first phone call, but I didn't have a lot 23 of detail, and I wasn't looking for a lot of detail 24 because the Attorney's office wasn't directing and I didn't want information that I --25 12.22
- 26 271 Q. Didn't need, I suppose?
- A. Well, I didn't need, that I couldn't use, that there was no -- that I had no function in respect of.
- 29 272 Q. All right. Well, I mean, were you clear in your own

_			mild that Ms. Ryah wash t seeking any directions of	
2			seeking advice from you? Was it keeping you in the	
3			loop, as it were?	
4		Α.	I think that is fair to say.	
5	273	Q.	Yes.	12:23
6		Α.	She was keeping me in the loop lest I would want to do	
7			something.	
8	274	Q.	Yes. But did she mention that the Commissioner had	
9			been asked to reconfirm instructions in this regard and	
10			was seeking instructions, counsel was seeking those	12:23
11			instructions from the Commissioner?	
12		Α.	Yes, my recollection is that Ms. Ryan was clear that	
13			instructions of some sort had been furnished and that	
14			they were being firmed up on, I think, but certainly	
15			that there was sanction in place for the line.	12:23
16	275	Q.	Yes. Could I ask to you look at page 3766.	
17		Α.	Should I await the screen?	
18	276	Q.	And this is a handwritten note. And just if we go down	
19			to the end of the page, it records there.	
20				12:24
21			"Fergus"	
22				
23			That is Chief Superintendent Healy.	
24				
25			" made numerous calls directly to Commissioner. It	12:24
26			appears she consulted with others, perhaps Minister. I	
27			phoned Michael Dreelan in AGO and informed him of this	
28			event."	
29				

1			Do you recollect being informed of what consultations	
2			the Minister or the Commissioner might be having with	
3			anyone?	
4		Α.	Certainly not the Minister.	
5	277	Q.	All right.	12:24
6		Α.	My understanding was that senior Garda management had	
7			sanctioned the line of questioning.	
8	278	Q.	All right. Okay. And did you understand that to be	
9			the Commissioner, or not, at that time?	
10		Α.	I don't believe that I would have got or would have	12:24
11			concerned myself with that level of detail, that I	
12			wouldn't have questioned the Garda line of instruction	
13			on that. My recollection is, senior Garda management	
14			had sanctioned the line.	
15	279	Q.	I think you do say in your statement that you	12:25
16			considered the information that Ms. Ryan had given to	
17			you to be of the highest importance, and it seems to	
18			have caused you to consult with Mr. Barrett, is that	
19			correct?	
20		Α.	Yes.	12:25
21	280	Q.	Now, I don't want to go into any details of any advice	
22			or view that Mr. Barrett expressed within the	
23			Attorney's office, but did you inform him of the	
24			information you had received?	
25		Α.	Yes. As we mentioned a moment ago, the Ms. D issue was	12:25
26			entirely new to me, and I was also aware, however, that	
27			the office was not directing An Garda in relation to	
28			its in relation to the Commission. However, as I	
29			say, I thought this was important, and very important,	

1			information, so I wanted to ensure that my view, which	
2			was that notwithstanding the importance of the matter,	
3			that it was not not something which should cause the	
4			Attorney's office to try to intervene. I mean, I am	
5			not sure how the office could have intervened. But I	12:26
6			wanted to discuss that with a colleague. I was also,	
7			however, very mindful of the fact that the allegations,	
8			in and of themselves, were not something which should	
9			be circulated, and, even within the office, I didn't	
10			want to inform a colleague who wasn't aware of it. So	12:26
11			initially I wanted to establish that Mr. Barrett knew	
12			about the allegations, and then once I was happy that	
13			once he obviously did, I was very happy that we were	
14			able to discuss the matter. And I don't know if you	
15			want to get into that discussion?	12:26
16	281	Q.	No, no. But can you recollect whether Ms. Ryan had	
17			mentioned any prospect of a judicial review or the	
18			matter going public; was that something that had been	
19			conveyed to you?	
20		Α.	I think that may have been mentioned.	12:27
21	282	Q.	All right.	
22		Α.	Yes, that has a ring of truth to it, although I don't	
23			have a direct recollection of that being the case.	
24	283	Q.	All right. Okay. It appears that Ms. Ryan and/or	
25			counsel were asked to provide counsel's advices in	12:27
26			writing, and these were apparently forwarded to you,	
27			and perhaps could I ask you to look at page 689 of our	
28			documents, which should be on screen. That appears to	
29			have been forwarded on to you slightly later. It was	

originally apparently sent at 15:29, although there
were copies of it available. Do you recall getting
that in the afternoon of the 15th?

I recall getting some email communication from 4 Α. 5 Ms. Ryan. However, at that stage I had -- I can also 6 recall that by the time that came in, I had confirmed 7 with my colleague my opinion that this was not a matter 8 where the office should attempt to sway or, in the very short time available, should attempt to intervene in 9 So this information, and I haven't read it 10 11 again, but I do recall getting this. This, I did not 12 consider in the same careful way that I considered the 13 initial information which Ms. Ryan had conveyed over 14 the telephone.

12:27

- 15 284 Q. All right. But did you appreciate this was counsel's 12:28 advices to the Commissioner being forwarded to you?
- 17 A. I believe I did, yes.
- 18 285 Q. And perhaps if we just go to the top of that page. As
  19 I understand what you are saying, that you had, in
  20 conjunction with Mr. Barrett, reached the view that you 12:28
  21 have just expressed, prior to receiving this?
- 22 That is my recollection, that this was somewhat Α. 23 secondary by the time I received it. Now, it may have 24 been -- I wonder did I receive it at 16:33? It may 25 have been that I was away from my desk. The Attorney's 12:29 office is very careful in terms of security, so we 26 27 don't carry, or certainly at that time didn't carry devices to retrieve our emails everywhere, but 28 29 certainly I can recall that when I read a subsequent

Т			somewhat more formal communication, that my	
2			decision-making process, effectively, or the office's,	
3			had already been completed.	
4	286	Q.	Yes. Ms. Ryan has provided us with another note, which	
5			has been typed, and if I could ask you to look at page	12:29
6			3783, and that will appear on the screen in a moment.	
7		Α.	Thank you.	
8	287	Q.	And that records the matter from her point of view.	
9			Were you aware that the Commissioner was or Ms. Ryan	
10			was seeking an adjournment of the Commission hearing?	12:30
11		Α.	Again, that rings a bell. What I can recall is that it	
12			wasn't possible to put off the decision in this regard.	
13	288	Q.	All right. Your reference there for the first time	
14			in the middle there it says:	
15				12:30
16			"During this time I telephoned Michael Dreelan, AGO,	
17			and informed him of the above."	
18				
19			So that seems to be the first set of events. She then	
20			refers to counsel's advice, and then she refers to	12:30
21			sending it on to you there:	
22				
23			"I immediately had counsel's advices sent to Michael	
24			Dreelan."	
25				12:30
26			And if we scroll down. She says:	
27				
28			"I followed this up by further telephone call to	
29			Michael Dreelan."	

1				
2			Do you recall her discussing the advices on the phone	
3			with you or just filling you in?	
4		Α.	Well, I can recall that Annmarie Ryan and I had more	
5			than one conversation on that day.	12:31
6	289	Q.	Yes.	
7		Α.	I don't recall the detail of the further conversation.	
8			To an extent, once the office was clear, that,	
9			notwithstanding that this was important information and	
10			significant, that it was not changing its position, the	12:31
11			conversations became, you know, somewhat to one side.	
12			There was nothing I was going to do in respect of what	
13			Annmarie was telling me.	
14			CHAIRMAN: Mr. McGuinness, is this an appropriate time	
15			to break?	12:31
16			MR. McGUINNESS: Very good, Chairman.	
17				
18			THE HEARING ADJOURNED FOR LUNCH	
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				

Т			THE HEARING RESUMED AS FULLOWS AFTER LUNCH:	
2				
3	290	Q.	MR. McGUINNESS: Mr. Dreelan, just before lunch you	
4			were, I think, saying, in effect, that once you were	
5			satisfied that, from the point of view of the	13:30
6			Attorney's office not having to, as to were, do	
7			anything, there was no change in the position of the	
8			Attorney's office, no need to intervene or give any	
9			directions, that it was of lesser concern, is that a	
10			reflection of what you were thinking?	13:30
11		Α.	Yes. So I was acutely conscious that I was only	
12			receiving a snippet of the detail in a very quick	
13			telephone conversation.	
14	291	Q.	Yes.	
15		Α.	And if it was the case that the Attorney's office would	13:30
16			try to make some intervention, then would I need to	
17			become very familiar with all of the details very	
18			quickly. But once it was decided, and accepted,	
19			that as seems, I would suggest, the correct course	
20			now, that it wasn't for the Attorney to do so	13:3
21	292	Q.	Yes.	
22		Α.	then the detail of the matter ceased to be of	
23			central relevance.	
24	293	Q.	Yes. Well, obviously that was the net outcome. No	
25			role was seen for the Attorney's office, no directions	13:3
26			were to be given, isn't that correct?	
27		Α.	Yes.	
28	294	Q.	You do, however, record in your statement that you were	
29			very concerned that the office ensure that all	

Т			appropriate parties were aware of the proposed approach	
2			of An Garda Síochána so as to be able to make any	
3			relevant observations. And who had you got in mind in	
4			that respect?	
5		Α.	Well, I really, I suppose, directly had the Attorney in	13:31
6			mind, but, on a wider scheme, certainly the Department	
7			in mind, and how far into the Department I would	
8			stretch, I wouldn't like to surmise, because I would be	
9			surmising.	
10	295	Q.	Yes.	13:32
11		Α.	But certainly, events have occurred in the past, where	
12			information certainly was claimed not to have been	
13			disseminated as freely as it should have been.	
14	296	Q.	Or as quickly?	
15		Α.	Or as quickly, indeed. And although I, as an advisory	13:32
16			counsel, couldn't see anything for the Attorney to do,	
17			it mightn't be the case that somebody else with more	
18			experience, more detail, a wider view, a narrower view,	
19			who knows what, might not see a different action.	
20	297	Q.	Yes.	13:32
21		Α.	And therefore, what was foremost in my mind well, I	
22			don't know about foremost, but yes, really,	
23			foremost, because I couldn't see a role for the	
24			Attorney's office with almost no detail and an	
25			impossibly short time-line, was to make sure that the	13:32
26			information flowed appropriately and didn't stay with	
27			me at my desk, and that if somebody else had a	
28			different view, that they would have been in a position	
29			to make some observation.	

Т	298	Q.	rean. It's just in terms of the sequence of your	
2			statement, you detail perhaps we should look at it,	
3			in fairness to you, at page 3328, in the middle of the	
4			page. In the third-last paragraph of the page you	
5			start the sentence	13:33
6		Α.	I don't have it, but I'm familiar with it, and it's a	
7			short statement.	
8	299	Q.	<pre>I'll just read it out and you'll know you'll see it</pre>	
9			when it comes up:	
10				13:33
11			"I consider this information to be of the highest	
12			importance and notwithstanding the hands-off approach	
13			of the office on the file, it's a matter which the	
14			Attorney General personally should be aware of, lest	
15			she wished to make any intervention."	13:33
16				
17			It's at 3328.	
18		Α.	I'm very familiar with my statement.	
19	300	Q.	Yes. Can we get that on screen. In any event, in the	
20			next paragraph you go on to detailing, bringing it to	13:34
21			Mr. Barrett's attention?	
22		Α.	Yes.	
23	301	Q.	And then, having done that, you record in the second	
24			sentence there:	
25				13:34
26			"While I could not see any appropriate role I was	
27			very concerned that the office would ensure that all	
28			appropriate parties"	
29				

1 That appears to be, as it were, the end result of the 2 reasoning that it was necessary to ensure all 3 appropriate parties were aware of it. something that you had any function in, or did you 4 5 leave that to Mr. Barrett? 13:34 6 My recollection is that Mr. Barrett looked after the Α. 7 onward communication. 8 302 were you present with him when he phoned -- made 0. the phone call to Mr. Flahive? 9 No, I don't -- I don't believe I was. 10 Α. 13:35 11 303 All right. Q. 12 I think it's unlikely that I was because, well I would Α. 13 have wanted to speak to Annmarie. I couldn't say that 14 for certain. I think it most unlikely. 15 304 Yes. Q. 13:35 16 And then as to other -- as I say, I haven't, as I'm outside the Attorney's office, I haven't consulted my 17 18 I suspect I would have done either a memo or 19 something of that nature --20 305 Q. Yes. 13:35 -- for the Attorney's attention. 21 Α. 22 May the Tribunal take it that you had no 306 All right. Q. 23 direct communication with either the Commissioner or 24 any officer on her behalf? 25 Oh. no. Α. 13:35 26 307 Or with anyone in the Department? 0. 27 No, I certainly don't believe so. I worked quite Α. 28 closely on other matters with Fergus Healy. 29 308 0. Yes.

Т		Α.	1 don t believe	
2	309	Q.	But this afternoon?	
3		Α.	No. It was all Annmarie. I don't believe so. I mean,	
4			it's	
5	310	Q.	In any event, Ms. Ryan, at her note, which I'd ask to	13:35
6			go back on the screen, at 3783, at the end of that note	
7			she talks about following up the advices:	
8				
9			"I followed this up by further telephone call to	
10			Michael Dreelan."	13:36
11				
12			And then:	
13				
14			"I further phoned Michael Dreelan at 5:55 and then gave	
15			him full details of events."	13:36
16				
17			Do you recollect being filled in on the outcome of the	
18			day?	
19		Α.	I recollect that I had a few conversations with	
20			Annmarie. I don't have precise recollections of them.	13:36
21	311	Q.	Yes.	
22		Α.	As I say, and perhaps very goal-orientated or	
23			stove-piped, when I wasn't I didn't have a broad	
24			understanding of what was going on.	
25	312	Q.	All right.	13:36
26		Α.	When it wasn't clear that I was going to have when	
27			it was clear to me that I wouldn't have to attempt to	
28			understand the nuance of what was a fairly combative	
29			process, I pulled back a little bit, so I don't have a	

Т			direct recollection in the way that I do of receiving	
2			significant information from Annmarie prior to having	
3			made that decision and then subsequently, you know, had	
4			it confirmed	
5	313	Q.	Yes.	13:37
6		Α.	with the senior officer.	
7	314	Q.	We've seen from your statement that you were anxious to	
8			ensure all appropriate parties were aware so that they	
9			would be able to make any relevant observations. What	
10			did you have in mind in the way of relevant	13:37
11			observations on what the Commissioner might be doing?	
12		Α.	I was just mindful of instances in the past where, for	
13			example, the office-holder doesn't get the information	
14			or some relevant party doesn't get the information and,	
15			later on, when matters are assessed, the office has	13:37
16			been found wanting or perhaps it has been suggested	
17			that it might have been better had information got to	
18			the directly concerned, you know,	
19			constitutionally-appointed office-holders.	
20	315	Q.	To the ministers?	13:38
21		Α.	Well, I'm really thinking of the Attorney, in	
22			particular	
23	316	Q.	Yes.	
24		Α.	in terms of my office, but my office, from which	
25			I am seconded. But also, of course, the Department. I	13:38
26			think once it goes into the Department, it's for the	
27			Department to make the call in relation to the	
28			Minister.	
29	317	Q.	Yes. I mean, obviously the previous year had been	

1 preceded by controversy over whether the Secretary 2 General had brought something to the Minister's 3 attention, isn't that right, in terms of the report --4 Yes, yes. Α. 5 318 -- of Commissioner Callinan to --Q. 13:38 6 I'm not sure how much of all that had been in May Α. 7 2015 -- I've thought about that. I'm not sure how much 8 of that would have been in my mind, that in the public domain --9 10 319 Q. Yes. 13:38 11 -- I haven't gone back to see what would I have known Α. 12 then that I now know. But certainly, as an ongoing 13 theme within the office, former Director General, Liam 14 O'Daly, was always very, very keen to make sure did the 15 Department know, did the relevant people know, did the 13:39 16 Attorney know --17 Yes. 320 Q. 18 -- to make sure that information flowed freely, that we Α. 19 weren't simply sitting around making legal assessments, 20 but that we were ensuring that the correct people had 13:39 the correct information. 21 22 Well, I mean, obviously leaving aside the Fennelly 321 Q. 23 Commission, which was ongoing at this period, is that 24 correct? 25 I'm just not sure --Α. 13:39 26 322 Yes. Ο. 27 -- at that stage. Α. But leaving aside that, Commissioner Callinan had 28 323 Q.

resigned in March of 2014.

- 1 Mm-hmm. Α. 2 And the Minister had subsequently resigned - I'm not 324 Q. 3 suggesting they're linked - but the controversy was because the Secretary General hadn't brought, or had 4 5 delayed in some way, apparently, in bringing a 13:39 Commissioner's letter to the attention of the Minister, 6 7 isn't that right? 8 That's certainly my recollection now, yes. Α. And would you, in your concern here, would you have 9 325 Q. expected the Commissioner's concerns or any concern 10 13:39 11 about the Commissioner to be brought to the attention 12 of the Minister herself? 13 My attention was much more narrow than that, or my Α. 14 focus was narrow. The Department, I felt, would need 15 to know at the appropriate level. Whether that would 13:40 16 involve the Minister, I think would be a matter for the 17 Department, once they were getting it at an
- 20 326 Q. Yes.

A. -- and I haven't reviewed my file, but I would be very surprised if the matter wasn't brought to the Attorney's attention.

appropriately senior level. And as I said in my

13:40

13:40

24 327 Q. Yes.

18

19

25 A. That was my focus.

statement --

26 328 Q. All right. Obviously you probably heard Mr. O'Leary's 27 evidence this morning. He apparently decided in a 28 deliberate fashion that the Commissioner's phone call 29 to him would not be brought to the attention of the

- 1 Minister, and he discussed with Mr. Flahive the way the
- 2 legitimate route in which he thought the concerns about
- 3 what had happened at the Commission would be brought to
- 4 the Minister. Had you any particular -- had you given
- any particular thought as to who would be informed or

13 · 41

13:41

13:41

- 6 who should be informed in order to make any appropriate
- 7 observations on the matter?
- 8 A. No. I say the Department, it would have to be the
- 9 Department at a senior level.

if need be?

- 10 329 Q. Yes. But certainly Mr. O'Daly's concerns was that
- everyone -- in his practice as Director General, was
- that people should know what they perhaps ought to know
- or be told about as soon as possible, and the relevant
- 14 personnel, relevant parties, including office-holders,
- 16 A. Well, and there may be two lines constrained there.
- 17 330 Q. Yes.

- 18 A. When I say office-holder, I'm really thinking of the
- 19 Attorney.
- 20 331 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. In the case of the Attorney General's office.
- 22 332 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. That the Attorney is entitled to --
- 24 333 Q. Of course.
- 25 A. -- be informed of information that is important to him
- 26 or her --
- 27 334 Q. Yes.
- 28 A. -- at an appropriate time.
- 29 335 O. Of course.

- And then, beyond that, I don't think in terms of 1 Α. 2 office-holders. So perhaps I was a little lax in my 3 language there. when I say office-holder, I really mean the Attorney. 4 5 336 Okay. Anyway, leaving the parsing of that aside about Q. 13:42 6 the concern to make appropriate parties aware, did you 7 know that Mr. Barrett was going to phone the 8 Department? I imagine I knew he was doing that, absolutely. 9 Α. think we worked very closely at it. 10 13 · 42 11 337 Yes. Q. 12 I have no distinct recollection of being informed that Α. 13 that was the case. But I know that I had a series of 14 things that I wanted done; I imagine the Department 15 being informed was one of them and the Attorney being 13:42 informed was the other, and I felt very comfortable 16 17 that they were done, that the decision had been made, 18 that it had been conveyed, that it had been approved, 19 that it was, you know, upon recollection, that it was 20 the correct and only thing to do. So, on that basis, 13:43 that I wouldn't have been happy if the Department was 21 22 not informed. 23 Yes. And do you recollect speaking with Mr. Barrett 338 Q.
- 25 Mr. Flahive, or learning that it had been done?
- 26 A. I have no specific recollection of that.

24

27 339 Q. All right. Well, just going then to the next issue, 28 the last -- it would appear the last apparent phone 29 call on this day is recorded there by Ms. Ryan:

afterwards, after he made his phone call to

1				
2			"I further phoned Michael Dreelan, AGO, at 5:55 and	
3			again gave him full detail of events."	
4				
5			Do you recollect being told about the drafting of a	13:43
6			letter over the weekend, or any detail about that?	
7		Α.	No, I have no recollection of that.	
8	340	Q.	You didn't receive the letter yourself in the office,	
9			did you?	
10		Α.	I don't believe so.	13:43
11	341	Q.	Yes.	
12		Α.	But as I say, my focus, when I wasn't going to take any	
13			action	
14	342	Q.	Yes.	
15		Α.	or give any direction on the matter, my focus would	13:44
16			have drifted from it.	
17	343	Q.	And just turning then to the last document I want to	
18			ask you to comment on, at page 692, this is Ms. Ryan's	
19			notes on emails in respect of privilege, in respect of	
20			which privilege has been claimed, and I think you had	13:44
21			forwarded on or she had been cc'd with emails, sort of	
22			thanking her for bringing it to the attention of the	
23			office. Do you remember that?	
24		Α.	That sounds entirely possible.	
25	344	Q.	All right. Well, just to go back down to the bottom	13:44
26			then. What she has noted on this is:	
27				
28			"I telephoned FH"	

1			That is Fergus Healy.	
2				
3			" and informed him of AGO's comments. Not	
4			directions not directing Commissioner's decision.	
5			Line of questioning may have some validity. Political	13:45
6			dynamite! He'll brief Commissioner. I'll circulate	
7			draft letter once I get it from counsel."	
8				
9			Now, do you recollect conveying those three	
10			bullet-points to her?	13:45
11		Α.	I don't, but they would not be an unfair summation of	
12			my thinking at that time, if it came from me, so it's	
13			entirely possible.	
14	345	Q.	All right. And, I mean, there's no evidence that	
15			Mr. Barrett spoke to Ms. Ryan from either of them on	13:45
16			the afternoon?	
17		Α.	Oh, I imagine that the communication with Ms. Ryan was	
18			me.	
19	346	Q.	Yes. Do you recall Ms. Ryan expressing any view such	
20			as recorded there, political dynamite, or the	13:45
21			consequences of what was happening at the Commission	
22			with you?	
23		Α.	As I said in the statement, I thought this information	
24			was important and significant. You know, I don't	
25			recall a statement like political dynamite, but this	13:46
26			was sensitive and significant and important, and I	
27			think certainly Mr. Barrett, myself and Ms. Ryan were	
28			all acutely aware that this was, you know, a sensitive	
29			matter.	

- 1 347 Q. Okay. Leaving aside the explosive nature of it,
- obviously it had the potential to be a political issue,

13 · 46

13:47

13:47

- 3 perhaps; you saw that, I take it?
- 4 A. Well, political and personal to the individuals
- 5 involved.
- 6 348 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Drilling right down into the detail.
- 8 349 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. And legal. I mean, I didn't dissect my thinking in
- 10 relation to it.
- 11 350 Q. Yes. Okay. But as occurred between yourself and
- 12 Mr. Barrett --
- 13 A. Or separate my thinking, I suppose I should say.
- 14 351 Q. Yes. But looking at, sort of, the triangle of concern,
- 15 yourself, the Attorney, Mr. Barrett, and the desire
- that somebody should tell the Department, did you have
- an expectation yourself that the Minister would be
- informed?
- 19 A. Em, I certainly have no recollection of that. I would
- 20 have felt that it was important that the Department
- 21 would be informed, more particularly that it would be
- 22 escalated up the line within my own office --
- 23 352 Q. Yes.
- 24 A. -- as a primary concern, and then --
- 25 353 Q. It's a matter for the Department as to what the
- 26 Minister should know or not know?
- 27 A. Yes. And I stress again that, I mean, this was
- happening at speed.
- 29 354 Q. Yes, of course.

- 1 A. And was quite significant.
- 2 355 Q. Right. We know at a later stage Ms. Ryan offered to
- 3 send you the Module 1 submissions, and I think you
- 4 declined those; that's correct?
- 5 A. I don't know if I cast my eye on them or not.
- 6 356 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Ms. Ryan was not looking for directions. There is a

13 · 48

13:48

13:48

- 8 system -- there's a very formal system within the
- 9 Attorney General's office. Neither orally informally
- nor on our very formal system was Ms. Ryan looking for
- directions.
- 12 357 Q. That's something -- there's an acronym for that, I
- think?
- 14 A. ACME, yes.
- 15 358 Q. ACME.
- 16 A. And I don't know, I don't believe she looked for
- 17 directions.
- 18 359 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. Because if she had, I would need to read them carefully
- and give directions. I was not in a position to do
- that. If I cast my eye on them, I know I didn't want
- to be in the position that I had been on the 15th
- again, which was with something significant happening
- and not a lot of background detail.
- 25 360 Q. And no function, as you saw it?
- A. Well, even having to second-guess whether or not I had
- a function in a very short period of time with only
- very recent access to significant facts.
- 29 361 Q. All right. Thank you, Mr. Dreelan.

1				
2			MR. MICHAEL DREELAN WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDOWELL:	
3				
4	362	Q.	MR. McDOWELL: Good afternoon, Mr. Dreelan.	
5		Α.	Good afternoon.	13:49
6	363	Q.	When you made your statement on this, on the 1st	
7			December, for the Tribunal's purposes, you had limited	
8			access to materials, is that right?	
9		Α.	That's correct.	
10	364	Q.	And you were largely dependent, therefore, I take it,	13:49
11			on recollection, was that right?	
12		Α.	And still am, save that I've read the opening statement	
13			in full.	
14	365	Q.	Yes.	
15		Α.	And then I have read the transcripts of some witnesses	13:49
16			where they were referring a lot to me.	
17	366	Q.	Yes. And could I bring you to page 3328 of book 6. If	
18			I could bring you to the third paragraph, you say in	
19			that:	
20				13:50
21			"When Ms. Ryan informed me that allegations of assault	
22			against Sergeant McCabe had been investigated "	
23				
24			Sorry.	
25		Α.	I think I have the wrong document. Is that my	13:50
26			statement?	
27	367	Q.	3328, yes. I'm waiting for your statement. Now do we	
28			have it now? Yes. It's the third paragraph there.	
29				

1			"When Ms. Ryan informed me that allegations of assault	
2			against Sergeant McCabe had been investigated by	
3			AGS "	
4				
5			That is An Garda Síochána, isn't that right?	13:51
6		Α.	Yes.	
7	368	Q.	" I was of course surprised. My recollection is	
8			that Ms. Ryan was very clear that these had been fully	
9			investigated and were groundless, but that the process	
10			of investigation into the allegations had been	13:51
11			devastating for Sergeant McCabe."	
12				
13			And then you say:	
14				
15			"I may be mistaken but I was informed the complainant's	13:51
16			father"	
17				
18			We needn't deal with that. First of all, she was	
19			informing you for the very first time that the D	
20			allegation had been made against Sergeant McCabe and	13:51
21			had been investigated, isn't that right?	
22		Α.	Mm-hmm.	
23	369	Q.	And you, for your part, had never heard of this before?	
24		Α.	No.	
25	370	Q.	You were acting as legal adviser in relation to some	13:51
26			aspects of this Commission of Investigation, is that	
27			right?	
28		Α.	Well, it was rather limited.	
29	371	Q.	Housekeeping kind of aspects, is it?	

- 1 A. I couldn't deny that.
- 2 372 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. An Garda needed representation.
- 4 373 Q. Yes
- 5 A. The nomination had been made while I was out of the

13:52

13:52

13:52

13:53

13:53

- 6 office, with the file assigned to me, and when I was
- 7 out of the office one day the nomination was made
- because it was urgent. At some point, Annmarie
- 9 telephoned me because she was aware that I was the
- 10 advisory counsel on the file.
- 11 374 Q. Yeah.
- 12 A. And then, of course, I became aware and established
- that, you know, rather obviously, look, when one looks
- at it carefully, that the office and Minister would not
- be directing An Garda in their handling of it.
- 16 375 Q. Yes. So you weren't involved in advisory work in
- relation to the fixing of the terms of reference?
- 18 A. Ah, no.
- 19 376 Q. At all?
- 20 A. No. This was -- I say that the file was somewhat
- 21 inactive.
- 22 377 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. This is was a file which, you know, I suppose
- 24 housekeeping is a very, very fair term for it. It
- 25 ended up not being entirely housekeeping.
- 26 378 Q. At the time it looked like that to you?
- 27 A. Absolutely, absolutely.
- 28 379 O. Yes. So it came as a bit of a bolt out of the blue
- when she rang you up and told you that An Garda

1			Siochána had investigated allegations of assault	
2			against Sergeant McCabe, this was something which was	
3			wholly different from any knowledge you had on the	
4			matter?	
5		Α.	That was wholly different, yes.	13:53
6	380	Q.	And you then go on to say:	
7				
8			"My recollection is that Ms. Ryan was very clear that	
9			these had been fully investigated and were groundless."	
10				13:53
11			That was what she imparted to you, obviously, because	
12			you didn't know anything about it.	
13		Α.	Yes.	
14	381	Q.	"But that the process of investigation into the	
15			allegations had been devastating for Sergeant McCabe."	13:54
16				
17			Can you indicate, as best you can, how she conveyed	
18			that to you?	
19		Α.	I certainly can't put words you know, recall the	
20			words that she used, but my understanding was, as I've	13:54
21			laid out there, that it was the investigative process.	
22			The whole being on the other side of a Garda	
23			transaction and, indeed, you know a prosecutorial	
24			assessment, that that had been, as I say, devastating,	
25			and I think that is the best word that conveys what I	13:54
26			took from that. Now, subsequently I've read the	
27			opening statement, obviously, and I have more	
28			information, so I'm aware now that Annmarie may have	
29			been trying to may have conveyed precisely what the	

Т			problem was to me, but I was simply getting too much	
2			information, I don't know if that was the case, but I	
3			had understood that it was the fact that others within	
4			the force for which he cared so much were now making	
5			decisions as to whether or not he was a person with	13:55
6			some culpability or not and that the full process of	
7			State against him, or in relation to him, I should say,	
8			that what that meant on the ground had been	
9			devastating. That was my understanding.	
10	382	Q.	I think you then continue in the next paragraph:	13:55
11				
12			"I understand the An Garda Síochána thesis proposed to	
13			be shortly advanced at the O'Higgins Commission was	
14			that Sergeant McCabe deeply resented the suspicion of	
15			colleagues and the investigative process into the	13:55
16			allegations."	
17				
18			That's based on what you were told, isn't that right?	
19		Α.	Yes, that's my understanding.	
20	383	Q.	And then you say:	13:56
21				
22			"I was informed that An Garda Síochána would put it to	
23			Sergeant McCabe that he was motivated to raise the	
24			issues before the O'Higgins Commission by a sense of	
25			having been treated unjustly."	13:56
26				
27			And again, that's what Ms. Ryan told you, I take it?	
28		Α.	Yes, that's as best I can recollect what Ms. Ryan told	
29			me.	

384 Q. So that the thesis was that he was motivated to raise 1 2 the issues which were before the O'Higgins Commission 3 by a sense of having been treated unjustly by An Garda Síochána? 4 5 Or that that was part of his motivation, yes, that that 13:56 Α. 6 was some part of his motivation. 7 385 And then you say: Q. 8 "I was informed that this approach had Garda 9 management's sanction but that the AGO --" 10 13:56 11 12 That is the Attorney General's office. 13 14 " -- was being informed, lest it wished to make any observations." 15 13:56 16 Yes. Α. 17 So that was the kernel of why you thought you were 386 Q. 18 being given this information that afternoon? 19 Yes. Α. 20 Does the Attorney General's office want to make any 387 Q. 13:57 21 observations on the thesis that Sergeant McCabe had 22 raised all these issues which were before the Commission out of a sense of being unjustly treated? 23 24 I don't think it would have been all the issues. Α. 25 Or sorry --388 Ο. 13:57 But some issues. 26 Α. 27 389 The issues, you said. Yes. Q. 28 Α. Yes. 29 390 And you then say: Ο.

1				
2			"I considered this information to be of the highest	
3			importance and notwithstanding the hands-off approach	
4			of the office on the file was a matter which the	
5			Attorney General personally should be made aware of,	13:57
6			lest she wished to make any intervention."	
7				
8			I don't want to pry too much into anything that is	
9			privileged, but "notwithstanding the hands-off approach	
10			of the office on the file," was that apparent to you,	13:58
11			that there was a hands-off approach?	
12		Α.	Yes, so I wasn't present when the nomination of counsel	
13			was made.	
14	391	Q.	Yes.	
15		Α.	And my recollection is that, at some point thereafter,	13:58
16			Annmarie Ryan telephoned me in relation to what was	
17			going on, and it must have been in the preparatory work	
18			for the Commission's commencement.	
19	392	Q.	Yes.	
20		Α.	And that I was initially somewhat surprised because the	13:58
21			file would have landed on my desk, I wasn't there, gone	
22			to a colleague nomination and been returned to our	
23			registry. So my recollection is that I found out,	
24			first of all, somewhat surprised, a call from Annmarie	
25			Ryan, giving me information, not looking for any	13:58
26			direction and a sort of a casual and inform al chat	
27			about a difficult situation.	
28	393	Ο.	was this prior to the 15th May?	

29

A. I think it must have been, because I wasn't surprised

1			to be hearing from Annmarie. So there were two	
2			surprising things on the 15th: one, the fact of the	
3			Ms. D allegations, and secondly, that they were about	
4			to become in some way part of the Garda thesis. But I	
5			wasn't surprised to be getting the call at all from	13:59
6			Annmarie.	
7	394	Q.	Yes.	
8		Α.	But earlier, I had been surprised. And at that point,	
9			I don't believe I had looked after a file, a	
10			representation file, a housekeeping file, as you have	13:59
11			described it, or one with the potential or the	
12			likelihood of being a housekeeping file, of this sort	
13			before. So I had to establish was this a case that I	
14			now needed to I knew a colleague of mine had the	
15			file for the actual establishment of the Commission	13:59
16			itself. What was my relationship with him? Did I have	
17			any relationship? What was I to do? Etcetera. So I	
18			had established all that, and that that was a hands-off	
19			approach, because, as it was described by Mr. O'Leary	
20			this morning, it would be inappropriate for the	14:00
21			Minister or the Attorney as a member or somebody who	
22			sits with the same Government, to direct the Garda	
23			Commissioner in that regard.	
24	395	Q.	I don't want to build too much on what is just a	
25			comment in your statement, but you said:	14:00
26				

"I considered this information to be of the highest 27 importance and notwithstanding the hands-off approach 28 29

1			Attorney General personally should be made aware of,	
2			lest she wished to make any intervention."	
3				
4			So clearly, had you a sense from the file before the	
5			15th	14:00
6		Α.	Yes.	
7	396	Q.	that this was something that the AG's office was not	
8			going to be involved in on a hands-on basis, put it	
9			that way?	
10		Α.	As regards the Garda	14:00
11	397	Q.	Yes.	
12		Α.	element, or the representation of An Garda the	
13			counsel had been nominated.	
14	398	Q.	Yes.	
15		Α.	They were in place, they were assisting the	14:00
16			Commissioner and whatever other members. And the	
17			Attorney's office would take a hands-off approach, yes.	
18	399	Q.	You then say:	
19				
20			"I believe that I was first informed of both matters,	14:01
21			i.e. the allegations and proposed approach of An Garda	
22			Síochána, on the same occasion, which appears, from the	
23			material you've furnished me, to be the 15th May 2015."	
24				
25			And then you say that you went to your group leader,	14:01
26			Richard Barrett, who was the deputy head of the office,	
27			is that right, at the time?	
28		Α.	Yes, I wonder subsequently, having read Richard's	
29			transcripts, he wasn't a group leader at that time, but	

- he had been close to that time if he wasn't at that time.

  He was somebody up the ladder, if we can use that
- 4 phrase?5 A. Yes, yes.

14:01

- 6 401 Q. And you told him about what Ms. Ryan had told you, is that right?
- A. Having first established in a, you know, in a careful fashion that he was aware of the underlying issue.
- 10 402 Q. Yes. And he was -- he appeared to be aware of it?
- 11 A. He was. He was, absolutely.
- 12 403 Q. Therefore, you didn't have to go back over that, I take 13 it?
- A. Well, I wouldn't have been able to have him assist

  me --
- 16 404 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. -- if he hadn't.
- 18 405 Q. Yes, yes. Now, could I ask in relation to the number

  19 of times on which Annmarie Ryan contacted you on that
- day, it appears to have been either two or three times, 14:02 would that be roughly right?
- 22 A. Yes. We had a number of conversations. I must have called her back --
- 24 406 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. -- to let her know what the position was, because I 14:02 certainly wanted to run it up the line.
- 27 407 Q. Yes. When you telephoned -- when she notes, at page 28 692, that she telephoned Fergus Healy and informed him 29 of AGO's comments, these were comments which were

2 Oh, I should think so, yes. Α. 3 408 0. And the first one was that you weren't directing, and I presume that is giving directions in relation to what 4 5 the Commissioner, how she ran her case, is that right? 14:03 Because the office does have a directing role in 6 Α. 7 respect of quite a lot of state litigation. 8 409 And then the next bullet-point you have there is: Q. 9 "Commissioner's decision." 10 14 · 03 11 12 In other words, that it was for her to make up her mind 13 on whether she wanted to pursue this line of 14 questioning? 15 That was certainly my information, or my understanding. 14:03 Α. 16 I have no direct recollection of saying this, but it is 17 a very fair summation of my thinking at the time. 18 410 Yes. And the third one is that the line of Q. questioning, I presume that is, it's QU, may have some 19 20 validity? 14:03 21 Yes. Α. 22 Would you have said that? 411 Q. 23 I think certainly that was my view, that it wasn't Α. 24 outlandish in the way that, for example, the Chairman 25 presented some outlandish proposals this morning. 14.04 Had you any personal idea of the format of the 26 412 Ο. 27 O'Higgins Commission? 28 Unless at a very, very basic level. Α. 29 413 I mean, for instance, would you have known that when Ο.

coming through you, is that right?

1			this was being put to or Superintendent Rooney was	
2			being brought to this topic	
3		Α.	No.	
4	414	Q.	that there were lay witnesses, their solicitors,	
5			maybe 40 or 50 people watching this process?	14:04
6		Α.	No is the answer to that. I don't know if you want	
7			to it sounds like there's a follow-on. But, no, I	
8			didn't have that level of knowledge at all, or I don't	
9			believe I did.	
10	415	Q.	Bearing in mind that you were taken aback by being	14:04
11			informed about the D assault allegation, would you have	
12			thought differently about the matter if you had known	
13			that lay people, their lawyers, were going to be	
14			witness to this matter being raised?	
15		Α.	I think the ventilation of that matter was a concern of	14:05
16			mine and that I took it that however private an	
17			investigation might be, there would have been a risk of	
18			something like of there being some emanation from	
19			it, so that was a concern.	
20	416	Q.	Yes.	14:05
21		Α.	Whether at report stage or any particular place. So,	
22			no, I didn't know that there were, you know, and I	
23			still don't really, how many people were in the room or	
24			how secure it was, but it would absolutely have been a	
25			concern of mine that was being	14:05
26	417	Q.	But you were aware - I mean, some of us know, for	
27			instance, that Mr. Justice Birmingham conducted the	
28			Dean Lyons Commission on an entirely different model;	
29			it was, as I call it, a dining-room-table type of	

- 1 investigation.
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 418 Q. And likewise, Mr. Justice Fennelly had engaged in a 4 similar process, where witnesses came in one-by-one and

5 things were put to them. You were aware, though, that

- at least from the fact that it was rather
- 7 adversarial --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 419 Q. -- the interchange between counsel, that this was not a
- private investigation in the Fennelly/Birmingham/Judge

14:06

14:06

14.07

- 11 Yvonne Murphy, Dublin Archdiocese, model, this was
- something different?
- 13 A. I wouldn't have thought about it in those forensic and
- categorical terms, but I certainly was aware of the
- fact that it was quite adversarial, that there were
- teams involved. And certainly, the fact that this was
- 17 being ventilated at all was something which was of
- concern to me. That's why it became somewhat
- important, or one of the reasons why it came somewhat
- important; it was a consideration in my mind whether or 14:07
- 21 not that would cause me to attempt to engage with the
- 22 detail.
- 23 420 Q. Yes.
- 24 A. And in some way intervene.
- 25 421 Q. And just one other thing. You said, though you've no
- clear recollection of it, that perhaps Ms. Ryan also
- imparted to you her concern that the matter might get
- into the public domain via a judicial review, isn't
- 29 that right?

- 1 A. That has a ring of truth to it. I don't have a direct recollection of that, but it rings a bell, yes.
- And from that point of view, you felt it all the more appropriate that everybody who should know about this event was informed about it and that you didn't keep it 14:07 just on your desk?
- I wasn't, as I said, you know, separating my thoughts 7 Α. 8 into neat compartments, judicial review. I felt that, taken as a whole, the information which Ms. Ryan 9 conveyed to me was important and significant and 10 14 · 08 11 deserved a moment of pause in relation to the State's position, the central State's, the Attorney's office 12 13 position on this file. That pause and reconsideration 14 was taken.
- 15 423 Q. Yes.

A. And I felt that that was appropriate to do so. It was serious enough and significant enough to merit that pause.

14:08

14.08

- 19 424 Q. Certainly, and this is the last question, it would have
  20 been wrong for you just simply to listen to Ms. Ryan
  21 and say, well, that's interesting, but I'm doing
  22 nothing about it?
- A. Because Ms. Ryan called me, and I don't know how many time she called me, not terribly often --
- 25 425 Q. Yes.

A. -- and there were situations where it was very clear to me that that was the response. So this was not -- and this was, I think, the only situation where she didn't come to me maybe directly, she did at some point come

Т			in ACME, but where I felt there was a need to take a	
2			pause.	
3			MR. McDOWELL: Thanks very much, Mr. Dreelan.	
4			MR. DIGNAM: No questions.	
5			MR. McCANN: No questions, Chairman. Thank you.	14:09
6			MR. McGUINNESS: Thank you, Mr. Dreelan.	
7				
8			THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW	
9				
10			MS. LEADER: The next witness, sir, is Michael Flahive.	14:09
11			His statement is at page 3336 of Volume 6 of the	
12			materials.	
13				
14			MR. MICHAEL FLAHIVE, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY	
15			EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER:	14:09
16				
17	426	Q.	MS. LEADER: Mr. Flahive, I understand in May 2015 you	
18			were an Assistant Secretary in the Department of	
19			Justice and Equality?	
20		Α.	That's correct.	14:10
21	427	Q.	And I think you had particular responsibility over the	
22			Policing Division, is that correct?	
23		Α.	That's correct.	
24	428	Q.	I wonder could you outline what that actually means	
25			insofar as what you did with regard to heading up the	14:10
26			Policing Division?	
27		Α.	Well, the Policing Division was responsible for the	
28			organisation, the law relating to the Garda Síochána;	
29			for example we were instrumental in drafting with	

Т			colleagues, the Garda Stochana Act 2005 and in	
2			regulations under it. So we were responsible for how	
3			the force was regulated and for what you might call	
4			logistical aspects of it; in other words, recruitment,	
5			financing, ICT, and essentially every organisational	14:11
6			aspect.	
7	429	Q.	Now, I think following on Mr. Guerin's report in May	
8			2014, you had an involvement with two particular	
9			things, those being the setting up of the O'Higgins	
10			Commission and also the Independent Review Mechanism?	14:11
11		Α.	That's correct.	
12	430	Q.	If we could first of all take the Independent Review	
13			Mechanism.	
14		Α.	Yes.	
15	431	Q.	As I understand it, this was set up following	14:11
16			Mr. Guerin's report, is that correct?	
17		Α.	That is correct.	
18	432	Q.	And why was it set up?	
19		Α.	It was set up because at the time, in that first	
20			quarter of 2014, there were many, many Garda	14:11
21			controversies, as we all know. There was obviously the	
22			controversy surrounding Sergeant McCabe, that was	
23			probably first and foremost in our minds, but there	
24			were many other things as well. There were other	
25			allegations of Garda misconduct, and they had come in	14:12
26			to the Department and they'd also come into the office	
27			of the Taoiseach as well. So we were conscious that,	
28			clearly the issues raised by Sergeant McCabe had to be	
29			addressed, and they had, in particular, arisen because,	

1 in February 2014, Micheal Martin, the leader of the 2 opposition -- of Fianna Fáil, had handed over to the Taoiseach a dossier of documents and that led to 3 getting Séan Guerin to review those documents and those 4 5 concerns. And as I say, we were conscious that we were 14:12 6 going to have to face up to those, but also, we had to 7 find some mechanism to deal with all the other 8 allegations that were coming out, both in the Dáil and in the media. Like, at that point in time, I'd say 9 barely a week went back -- in fact, sometimes barely a 10 14 · 12 11 day went by when there wasn't some fresh Garda 12 allegation being raised on the floor of the House. 13 433 Okay. And I think the model that the Independent Q. Review Mechanism followed was, seven barristers were 14 15 appointed in all, two senior counsel and five junior 14:13 16 counsel, and they were to review each of the allegations of Garda misconduct? 17 18 That's correct. Α. 19 434 And report back to the Minister? Q. That's correct. And it was made clear that this was to 14:13 20 Α. be a paper exercise, that they were simply to look at 21 22 the papers that were on hand. Now, there was some criticism of that, in that, almost by definition, it 23 24 was, if you like, a one-sided examination, because, in 25 many cases, what the counsel had in front of them was a 14:13 letter or an email or some documentation from the 26 27 complainant. And the counsel were not to interview 28 people, they were not to conduct any investigation

themselves. So essentially what we had was, we had

eventually 320 cases, and there were effectively calls for commissions of investigation into some or many of them, which clearly wasn't practical. So really, what the IRM amounted to was a triage of the outstanding complaints, to see which of them would merit further 14:14 examination. And, in that, we gave some guidance to Now, we made it clear it was up to them as to counsel. what their final recommendation was. But in cases where they were going to recommend further action -and I should pause here and say that in the vast 14 · 14 majority of cases they recommended no further action; I would say, from memory, in over 90% of cases they recommended no further action, because in many, many cases they were dealing with people who had been true due process; they had been, for example, to GSOC, 14:14 that's the Garda Ombudsman Commission, or they had been to court, or they had been to some other forum, and they were disappointed, perhaps legitimately from their point of view, but they were disappointed with the outcome, but they had been through due process. But in 14:15 those cases where counsel were going to recommend further action, there was an expectation that they would make -- that they would avail of the existing statutory possibilities. So, kind of, in escalating scale, they could, for example, recommend that the 14 · 15 Minister might refer a case to GSOC, and she had legislative power to do that. They could recommend that the Minister establish an inquiry under Section 42 of the Garda Síochána Act 2005. That is a fairly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

light-touch statutory inquiry; people don't give
evidence on oath. But in more serious cases, it was
acknowledged that they could, if they felt it
appropriate, recommend that a case be referred to a
commission of investigation. So, just to be clear,
what happened was that Séan Guerin reported on the 6th

what happened was that Séan Guerin reported on the 6th May 2014.

14 · 16

14:16

14:16

8 435 Q. Yes.

7

- A. A week later, the Cabinet considered that report and came to a number of decisions. One decision was to establish a commission of investigation along the lines recommended by Mr. Guerin, albeit that the terms of reference were to be settled later on, and they also decided to establish the Independent Review Mechanism with counsel.
- 16 436 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. So it was a formal Cabinet decision.
- 18 437 Q. So in relation to the Independent Review Mechanism, one 19 of the cases they considered was the Ms. D complaint, 20 is that correct?

That's correct. What happened was that on the 30th 21 Α. 22 April 2014, Micheál Martin, the leader of Fianna Fáil, 23 transmitted to the Taoiseach an email, I think it was, 24 that he had received from Ms. D, wherein, I think -- I 25 think Ms. D, in fact, copied to Micheál Martin an email 14:17 26 that she had previously sent to Séan Guerin, and she 27 had heard that Séan Guerin was looking at cases of alleged Garda misconduct and malpractice and she asked 28 29 him to take her complaint on board; that is to say, her

1			complaint that her original allegation had not been	
2			properly investigated. Séan Guerin explained that that	
3			did not come within his terms of reference. And as I	
4			say, she contacted Micheál Martin, who passed this on	
5			to the Taoiseach. That was on 30th April 2014. Now,	14:17
6			whether or not there is any question of that ever	
7			happening, is moot, because on 6th May Séan Guerin	
8			reported. We in the Department of Justice got that	
9			letter from the office of the Taoiseach on the 7th May.	
10			So there was no question of re-opening Séan Guerin's	14:18
11			review. That moment had passed. So, therefore, we now	
12			had a situation where we had this complaint by Ms. D,	
13			which effectively became one of the many complaints we	
14			had on hand, and therefore it became one of the	
15			complaints to go into the IRM process.	14:18
16	438	Q.	Okay. It was dealt with in the IRM process, that's	
17			correct, isn't it?	
18		Α.	That's correct.	
19	439	Q.	Was it considered for inclusion in a commission of	
20			investigation or the O'Higgins Commission of	14:18
21			Investigation?	
22		Α.	One of the issues we discussed with counsel was that,	
23			as I've said, that in what we thought would be very	
24			rare cases, very serious cases, where they thought	
25			something was so serious that it might warrant	14:19
26			examination by a commission of investigation, we were	
27			conscious that, at the same time, we were in the	
28			process of setting up what would be the O'Higgins	
29			Commission of Investigation, and therefore it was an	

option open to counsel, not only to recommend that a case would go to a commission of investigation but that it could go to the O'Higgins -- what would be the O'Higgins Commission of investigation. Now, counsel understood that, but they made clear that, in their 14:19 view, they would only recommend a case for inclusion in the O'Higgins terms of reference if there was some thread connecting such a case to the O'Higgins remit, by way of location, Garda station, Garda district, Garda division or personnel. They wouldn't simply 14 · 19 pluck a case out of context, so to speak, and recommend it go into the O'Higgins remit. So that was an option open to them. So that posed a choice for us, in that here we had a Government decision to establish what would be the O'Higgins Commission of Investigation, but 14:20 we also had this IRM process in parallel. And we sent -- I should explain that as part of the, at times, frenetic activity in responding to all the Garda pressures, that, in April 2014, the Government had established a Cabinet Committee on Justice Reform, and 14:20 therefore all Garda matters went to Cabinet through that Cabinet Committee. So in late July we sent a paper to the Cabinet Committee outlining where we were on developing the terms of reference for what would be the O'Higgins Commission of Investigation and also 14 . 20 explaining that there was this parallel process that possibly could yield a case to be included in that. Now, we had no particular case in mind, I should emphasise that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1
_
2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

29

we had got legal advice to make clear that we couldn't, and we had thought of this, we couldn't establish the Guerin -- sorry, the O'Higgins Commission of investigation and add in some kind of open-ended 14:21 additional term of reference, you know, to the effect that he could also look at any case subsequently referred to, to him by the Minister. The Commissions of Investigation Act requires specificity. So we couldn't do that. So the choice, therefore, was that 14 · 21 we either went ahead and established the O'Higgins Commission of Investigation, this is in the summer of 2014, and then if there were any recommendations from the IRM in the autumn for any case to go to a commission of investigation, we would have to set up 14:21 another commission of investigation, or we could hold on until the autumn, establishing the O'Higgins Commission of Investigation, to see if the IRM would produce any cases. So, in July, late July 2014, the Cabinet Committee agreed that position. So then, over 14:22 the summer, counsel for the IRM continued their work. In October, we got a paper from them, essentially saying that they had narrowed down -- sorry, could I pause here and say that --Yes. 14.22

25 440 Q. Yes

14.22

A. -- we asked them to try and kind of focus, first of all, on sifting through all their cases, to see would there, in fact, be any cases that looked so serious that they might warrant a referral to a commission of

investigation, because we didn't want to hold on for	
too long. So they got back to us, and I think it was	
in October they gave us a paper saying they had	
narrowed it down to two possible cases that might	
warrant a referral. One of those has no connection	14:22
whatsoever to these proceedings, and it dropped away;	
ultimately they decided not to pursue it as a	
recommendation for a commission of investigation. So	
that left just one case that they thought might fit in,	
and that was the case of Ms. D's complaint. And they	14:23
sent us a paper in which they and it was a	
thoughtful paper, it set out the pros and cons. Going	
back to my earlier point, essentially what they had in	
front of them was Ms. D's complaint, so and, you	
know, on its own, that is a very serious matter,	14:23
clearly. They recognise, however, that its inclusion	
in what would be the O'Higgins terms of reference could	
be very problematical, that it could make Sergeant	
McCabe feel that he is being actually victimised rather	
than vindicated and it could have an overall adverse	14:24
impact on the Commission itself. Their provisional	
conclusion in this report in October was that, prima	
facie, it would be a good fit with the O'Higgins	
Commission of Investigation, but that they recommended	
that the Minister and the Attorney General should	14:24
discuss the matter with whoever is going to be the	
Chair, who of course turned out to be Judge O'Higgins,	
to see if he or she would agree with that. So this	
proposition seemed to me to be very problematical. I	

fully shared their concerns about the possible adverse impacts and I was looking at it from a wider perspective as well, not just a legal perspective. Ι fully appreciated that this would be very, very controversial. For this IRM process to have looked at 14:25 320 cases and to have picked out just one case to go to the O'Higgins Commission of Investigation, after that one case to be this case, would have been controversial, and, rightly or wrongly, fairly or unfairly, it would have been seen as having the effect 14 · 25 of putting Sergeant McCabe on the back foot, if I can put it like that, in the Commission of Investigation.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

So if I could pause here just for a moment and just explain one point: that even though counsel, from the start, were advised that they simply had to work with what they had, there was an important proviso, and we did make clear that they could ask the Department for additional -- to try to get additional information, if that was possible and if they thought it was advisable. 14:25 So given that there was this provisional recommendation that would have such profound consequences, potentially, it seemed to me, it seemed obvious to me that we should make every effort to make sure that any such recommendation was, in fact, based on the widest possible information. So I asked counsel if it would be useful, if we could arrange it, if they could have sight of the Garda investigation file, making it clear it was their call. They responded to me by saying

14:25

14 · 26

1			that, in all the circumstances, it being such an	
2			exceptional case, it would be useful. We made	
3			arrangements with the Garda Síochána for counsel to see	
4			the Garda investigation file in the Ms. D case, and	
5			quite quickly afterwards they responded by saying that	14:26
6			they examined the file, they saw that the Gardaí had	
7			investigated it, that Sergeant McCabe had been	
8			interviewed under caution, he had given a statement,	
9			the matter all relevant people had been interviewed,	
10			the file had gone to the DPP, who had directed no	14:27
11			prosecution, as has been recommended by the file	
12			itself, and the counsel's conclusion the IRM's	
13			counsel's conclusion was that the Minister should take	
14			no further action. So, once that advice was in, the	
15			way was clear to establish the O'Higgins Commission.	14:27
16			Again, we reported back to the Cabinet Committee.	
17			There was some to-ing and fro-ing between myself and	
18			Richard Barrett in the Attorney's office about	
19			fine-tuning the terms of reference. They were almost	
20			verbatim as recommended by Séan Guerin, with just three	14:27
21			small technical differences. And we proceeded on that	
22			basis.	
23	441	Q.	Okay. So am I correct in saying that prior to the	
24			Independent Review Mechanism having sight of the Garda	
25			investigation file, all they had was Ms. D's complaints	14:28
26			in relation to her view of matters?	
27		Α.	That's correct.	
28	442	Q.	They simply had a statement from Ms. D before that?	

29

A. Essentially. That's my recollection, essentially,

1			yeah.	
2	443	Q.	Okay. So once it had been excluded for consideration	
3			from the O'Higgins Commission terms of reference, the	
4			O'Higgins Commission was set up, and I think it was on	
5			the 3rd February that the statutory instrument was set	14:28
6			up?	
7		Α.	That's correct.	
8	444	Q.	Set into place. So thereafter, matters remained until	
9			May 2015?	
10		Α.	Yes, that's correct.	14:28
11	445	Q.	It started its work and it began to commence hearings?	
12		Α.	That's correct.	
13	446	Q.	Now, on the 15th May, you received two telephone calls	
14			of note in connection with proceedings at the O'Higgins	
15			Commission?	14:28
16		Α.	Yes.	
17	447	Q.	And do you know which one you received first?	
18		Α.	I received Richard Barrett's first.	
19	448	Q.	Okay. Could you tell us your version of what	
20			Mr. Barrett said to you?	14:29
21		Α.	Well, I have to be honest and say that I don't have a	
22			very clear recollection of the detail of the phone	
23			call. I remember the phone call. I'm more relying now	
24			on my email as a guide for my memory. But he rang me	
25			to say that, as I understood it, and I may not have	14:29
26			quite got the subtleties of this right, but, as I	
27			understood it, that at the O'Higgins Commission that	
28			day, that the Ms. D the issue of the Ms. D	
29			allegation had been raised and that it seemed to relate	

to motivation. Now, I didn't understand -- I now 1 2 understand, of course, that the aspect of the Ms. D allegation that had been raised was the DPP's decision 3 in relation to it. I didn't appreciate that subtlety 4 5 arising from my phone call, the phone call I received. 14:30 6 But just to make it absolutely clear, I didn't for one 7 second think that anyone, the Commissioner or anyone else, was raising the Ms. D allegation as a matter of 8 substance. In other words, I didn't for one second 9 think that anyone was, as it were, re-accusing Sergeant 14:30 10 11 McCabe of any wrongdoing, because I was fully aware of 12 the Ms. D allegation from the IRM process, I was fully 13 aware of the Garda investigation that had gone to the 14 DPP, that there was no prosecution, so I knew that the 15 matter was closed. So that would have, as it were, 14:30 16 reinforced what Richard was telling me about the issue 17 of motivation being the focus. 18 449 Okay. Did Mr. Barrett say anything to you? Q. 19 tell you why he was telephoning you? 20 I can't remember the exact details. Α. 14:30 21 450 Yes. Q. 22 But clearly -- I mean, certainly the sense I got was Α. 23 that he appreciated that this was a significant 24 development, and I've read -- of course I've heard the 25 evidence, and so on, and I know that one concern was 14:31 that there might have been a judicial review taken, and 26 that detail I cannot recall. But certainly I think 27 it's fair to say that Richard would have appreciated 28 29 that this was a very significant development because it

And did he say anything in relation to your role, that 2 451 Q. 3 you now had this piece of information and what you were supposed to do with it, or was he suggesting to you 4 5 that you should do anything with this piece of information? 6

14:31

14:31

14:32

14:32

14:32

- 7 My recollection, insofar as I can recall, and as I No. Α. 8 say I really have quite a sketchy recall of exactly what we discussed, but I think what I put in my email 9 is a fair summary, that we agreed that -- and this 10 11 certainly was my view, most certainly my view, that the
- Minister and the Department had no role in relation to 13 That it was important to know, and I think this. 14 Richard was quite right to phone me, it was important 15 to know what was happening, but this matter, whatever 16 it was, and I wasn't completely clear on what the point 17 was, even in relation to motivation, I wasn't quite 18 sure where that argument was going, but it was being
- 19 raised at a private hearing of the O'Higgins 20 Commission. There was a distinguished judge presiding over the hearing who would ensure fair play for all, 21
- 22 everyone was represented, and I was quite clear in my 23 mind that the Minister could have no role in it.
- 24 I think you also spoke to the last witness -- or the 452 Q. 25 second-last witness, Mr. O'Leary?
- That's correct. 26 Α.

- 27 453 Could you tell the Tribunal what your memory of that Q. telephone conversation is? 28
- 29 Certainly. Although, once again, I do have to enter Α.

the caveat, I can't remember the fine details of that conversation. But I do remember my colleague, Ken, saying that he had been speaking to the Commissioner, who essentially had also told him that a row had broken out at the O'Higgins Commission of Investigation that 14:33 day, and I have a general recollection that Ken told me that he had taken the position that the Department couldn't get involved in it, but that the Commissioner had said something to him along the lines that she would be continuing to talk to her legal team, 14:33 something to that effect. And that was the basis for me including in brackets in my email that I understood separately that the Commissioner may be taking legal advice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

454

Q.

Was there any sense at this stage, Mr. Flahive, that the Department now had a problem to resolve insofar as you've had phone calls from two separate sources, one of them being the Attorney's office and the other being from the Commissioner of An Garda Síochána, to say that something had happened at the O'Higgins Commission, which everybody agreed was sensitive, to put it at its mildest, so was there any sense, in having had two telephone calls, that there was now a problem developing with regard to the O'Higgins Commission?

14:33

14:34

14:34

A. Oh, certainly, I had a sense that there was a problem at the O'Higgins Commission. It was a significant development. I felt I had to advise the Minister and senior colleagues of it. As it happened, I had quite recently at that stage given evidence myself at the

1			Fennelly Commission of Investigation where certain	
2			issues had arisen, coincidentally, in relation to a	
3			phone call I had received from the office of the	
4			Attorney General, and the question had arisen as to who	
5			I told. And, more generally, there had been issues	14:35
6			raised at that Commission about the recording of	
7			information received, and so on. So I was very clear	
8			that there was no question of me keeping this	
9			information to myself. It was important for me to	
10			advise people of what was happening, but at the same	14:35
11			time I was very clear in my mind - in fact, I still	
12			am - that it would not have been proper, even if	
13			possible, for the Minister to intervene in the matter.	
14	455	Q.	Okay. Well, if we can go back to what Mr. O'Leary said	
15			this morning. I may be paraphrasing his words now, but	14:35
16			he said he felt a little bit uneasy about what the	
17			Commissioner had told him or his conversation with the	
18			Minister and going up the line with it, so as to speak,	
19			is my understanding of what Mr. O'Leary said this	
20			morning.	14:36
21		Α.	Mm-hmm.	
22	456	Q.	So was there a discussion between the two of you about	
23			what you would do with this piece of information?	
24		Α.	I'm sure there was. I can't remember the detail of it,	
25			but I'm quite clear that and certainly, it would	14:36
26			have been agreed that I was going to tell the Minister	
27			and the Secretary General what I had heard from the	
28			office of the Attorney General. That's certain. In	
29			fact, I would have done it anyway, because, as I say, I	

			had had reason to appreciate the importance or making	
2			sure that everyone was told what they should be told.	
3			So, yeah, it would have been certainly, Ken would	
4			have been left with the understanding that I was	
5			emailing the Minister, I have no doubt about that.	14:37
6	457	Q.	Was there any suggestion that the conversation between	
7			the Commissioner and Mr. O'Leary not be recorded in any	
8			way whatsoever, be taken out of the story, so to speak?	
9		Α.	I've no recollection of that. Like, certainly I wasn't	
10			hiding anything in that regard. That line, albeit I	14:37
11			didn't attribute it to anyone, I did put in that line	
12			in brackets which referenced the information I had got	
13			from my colleague, Ken. So it simply was a matter, I	
14			think, of me conveying the information that I had	
15			received in my phone call, and that really was about	14:37
16			the size of it.	
17	458	Q.	Well, we know Mr. O'Leary told us this morning that he	
18			was thinking about what he should do about the	
19			information that he had received from the Garda	
20			Commissioner.	14:37
21		Α.	Mm-hmm.	
22	459	Q.	And separately, you received more or less the same	
23			information from Mr. Barrett. So the way of conveying	
24			it up the line, so to speak, seemed to be that the	
25			conversation with Mr. Barrett, to you, and thereafter	14:38
26			it would be sent via the Minister's private secretary	
27			to the Minister?	
28		Α.	Mm-hmm.	
29	460	Q.	But was there any suggestion that the conversation	

Τ			between the Commissioner, whose decision it was, in	
2			relation to the D allegation, and so forth, her	
3			conversation with Mr. O'Leary, was there any sense that	
4			there was something wrong with this insofar as it	
5			wasn't in any way recorded or committed to writing?	14:38
6		Α.	No, I didn't get that sense. I certainly got a sense	
7			that my colleague, Ken, was a little uneasy about even	
8			discussing the matter, whereas it's entirely different	
9			for myself to have a conversation with Richard Barrett.	
10			So certainly, I did get that sense, that Ken had not	14:39
11			been anxious to get involved in any way, and I	
12			completely understand his position. But in terms of my	
13			email going up to the private secretary and other	
14			people, I was conveying what I knew from my	
15			conversation, and it really was as simple as that.	14:39
16	461	Q.	And when you were speaking to Mr. Barrett, was there	
17			any sense in your own head, look, this is something	
18			which we've actually considered and decided not to make	
19			part of the O'Higgins Commission and now here it is	
20			again? Was there any sense of alarm at that or	14:39
21			uncomfortableness, or anything of that nature?	
22		Α.	Well, I can't recall the exact details. But I would	
23			make the point that I think, first of all, there is a	
24			difference in that what we had been, well, close to	
25			contemplating, or what we might have had to	14:40
26			contemplate, if I put it like that, was including the	
27			Ms. D complaint in a term of reference for Judge	
28			O'Higgins, but that wouldn't necessarily have been a	
29			nublic sten Obviously the terms of reference would	

1			have gone before the Dáil and the Seanad, they would	
2			have been published, it would have been a public	
3			matter, and clearly, albeit the hearings were in	
4			private, Judge O'Higgins would have had to report on	
5			that in his final report. So again, that would have	14:40
6			been a public matter of a very on a very sensitive	
7			issue, clearly. Whereas what was happening here,	
8			however problematical, was of a different nature; it	
9			was a private hearing, it was being raised and objected	
10			to and being dealt with. So I just make that point,	14:41
11			that I think I would have had a sense that we're	
12			talking about two obviously related things but	
13			different things. So I'm not sure I had the sense, if	
14			this is what you are suggesting, that this was being	
15			introduced by the back door, so to speak.	14:41
16	462	Q.	Yes.	
17		Α.	I think that phrase has been used before. I don't	
18			think I did. But I think it was we both recognised	
19			it was a very sensitive matter, there's no question	
20			about that.	14:41
21	463	Q.	Right. Well, if we just turn to your email, it's at	
22			page 3340 of the materials. We see it's from you to	
23			Mr. Quattrociocchi, I think that's the way it's	
24			pronounced?	
25		Α.	That's very correct, yes.	14:41
26	464	Q.	I spoke to him. You also cc it to the Secretary	
27			General's office, Mr. O'Leary and Mr. Power?	
28		Α.	Yes.	
29	465	Q.	"Chris, could you pass this on to the Minister for	

information, please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

I took a call this afternoon from Richard Barrett in relation to the O'Higgins Commission of Investigation which is investigating the matters identified by the Guerin Report. The O'Higgins Commission had started hearings and the Garda Síochána are represented by counsel, as is Sergeant McCabe, in this case Michael McDowell, Senior Counsel. Richard wanted to let me know that counsel for the Garda Síochána has raised an issue in the hearings, an allegation made against Sergeant McCabe which was one of the cases examined by The allegation had been that a serious the IRM. criminal complaint against Sergeant McCabe, which he has always denied, had not properly been investigated by the Garda Síochána. The IRM found that an investigation file on the case had in fact been submitted to the DPP, who had directed no prosecution, and the IRM, which because of the seriousness of the allegation, had been considering whether to recommend its inclusion in the O'Higgins terms of reference, in the end recommended no further action by the Minister.

14:42

14 · 42

14:42

14:43

14 · 43

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Presumably the Garda Síochána are raising the matter on the basis they could argue and Sergeant McCabe would deny that it is potentially relevant to motivation.

Richard advised me that counsel for Sergeant McCabe objected to the issue being raised and asked whether the Garda Commissioner had authorised this approach.

1			Richard also told me that the Garda Commissioner's	
2			authorisation had been confirmed, although I understand	
3			separately that this may be subject to any further	
4			legal advice.	
5				14:43
6			Richard and I agree that this is a matter for the Garda	
7			Commissioner, who is being legally advised, and that	
8			neither the Attorney nor the Minister has a function	
9			relating to the evidence a party to the Commission of	
10			Investigation may adduce.	14:43
11				
12			Regards,	
13			Michael."	
14		Α.	Yes.	
15	466	Q.	That is your email?	14:44
16		Α.	Yes.	
17	467	Q.	Now, if we can just go back to the very	
18			Mr. Quattrociocchi is the Minister's private secretary	
19			and the line of communication to the Minister, is that	
20			correct?	14:44
21		Α.	That's correct. Sometimes I and colleagues might email	
22			the Minister direct, but more normally it would be	
23			through the private secretary, because that would be a	
24			better way of guaranteeing that the Minister would see	
25			it.	14:44
26	468	Q.	Okay. So this was the most reliable way to get the	
27			Minister's attention, is that correct?	
28		Α.	Yes, because there was a tried and trusted practice of	
29			the private secretary following up any forwarding of	

1			emails by printing off emails and showing them to the	
2			minister. So it's the same system, by the way, that	
3			applied with the sec gen office, collective address;	
4			the point there was to make sure that the secretary	
5			general would see an email.	14:45
6	469	Q.	Then you say:	
7				
8			"I took a call this afternoon from Richard Barrett in	
9			relation to the O'Higgins Commission of Investigation	
10			which is investigating the matters identified by the	14:45
11			Guerin Report."	
12				
13			Was there any particular reason you explained that it	
14			was investigating the matters identified by the Guerin	
15			Report?	14:45
16		Α.	No, it's just explanatory.	
17	470	Q.	Explanatory. All right. You then say:	
18				
19			"The O'Higgins Commission started hearings and the	
20			Garda Síochána are represented by counsel, as is	14:45
21			Sergeant McCabe McCabe, in this case Michael McDowell,	
22			Senior Counsel."	
23				
24			Would you expect that people would understand that the	
25			matters being investigated by the O'Higgins Commission	14:45
26			had its origins in a complaint made by Sergeant McCabe?	
27		Α.	Oh, absolutely.	
28	471	Q.	Yes.	
29		Α.	Oh, absolutely.	

Т	4/2	Q.	And that sets the scene for that sentence, is that it?	
2		Α.	Oh, yeah. I mean, everyone there would have well,	
3			all the major players there would have known that the	
4			Guerin Report related to allegations made by Sergeant	
5			McCabe.	14:4
6	473	Q.	"Richard wanted to let me know that counsel for the	
7			Garda Síochána has raised an issue in the hearings, an	
8			allegation made against Sergeant McCabe, which was one	
9			of the cases examined by the IRM."	
10				14:4
11			Now, if we can just look at that and see that what you	
12			are saying there, it seems to me, is that the Garda	
13			Síochána had raised an issue in the hearings, an	
14			allegation made by Sergeant McCabe, is that what you	
15			understood at that time?	14:4
16		Α.	Made against Sergeant McCabe?	
17	474	Q.	Yes.	
18		Α.	Yes.	
19	475	Q.	Yes, an allegation made against Sergeant McCabe?	
20		Α.	I understood that the issue of the Ms. D allegation had	14:4
21			been raised.	
22	476	Q.	Okay.	
23		Α.	As I say, I now understand that the aspect of it that	
24			was raised was the decision of the DPP in relation to	
25			it. I didn't quite know what aspect was raised. I was	14:4
26			simply saying that the issue of Ms. D's allegation had	

the cases examined by the IRM?

Okay. And you're explaining then that that was one of

been raised.

27

28

29

477 Q.

1 A. Yes.

2 478 Q. You continue on there. Now, surely the Minister who
3 was getting this would immediately know that the issue
4 at the hearings of an allegation made against Sergeant
5 McCabe was specifically excluded at the end of the day
6 by the IRM for inclusion in the O'Higgins Commission?

14:47

14 · 47

14:47

7 A. The Minister would have been aware of that, as would we all, at a senior level.

9 479 Q. So that sentence conveys that, that something on a
10 departmental level, if I can put it that way, or a
11 political level, was being raised at the O'Higgins
12 Commission which the politicians had decided shouldn't
13 be included in the O'Higgins Commission?

14 A. Well, perhaps if I could explain it in this way --

15 480 Q. Certainly.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

-- and if you just bear with me for a moment, just to Α. explain that the reason I described it in that way is that I didn't want to, and I think I refer to this in my statement, I didn't want to explicitly refer to the phrase "an allegation of sexual assault", because even 14:48 though this was a very limited email circulation at a high level, emails, as we all know, can just get -- be forwarded on sometimes by mistake, sometimes without thought, and they can escape into a wider circulation, and this is too sensitive a matter, in my view, to use 14 · 48 explicit language in relation to it. So that's why I used the description of this being one of the cases examined by the IRM, and I went on in the next sentence to explain what that allegation had been. And in doing

1			so, I accept that I am talking about two allegations:	
2			the allegation made by sorry, against Sergeant	
3			McCabe, and then the matter that was examined by the	
4			IRM. But in terms of the point you're putting to me, I	
5			would go back to what I said a few moments ago, that	14:49
6			certainly from my perspective, I think there was, and	
7			is, a difference between what, if you like, had been	
8			decided, which is to accept the recommendation of IRM	
9			counsel	
10	481	Q.	Yes.	14:49
11		Α.	not to include Ms. D's complaint in the terms of	
12			reference of the Commission of Investigation, which	
13			would have been a public listing of this issue and	
14			requiring a public report on this issue, and it being	
15			raised as an issue somehow connected with motivation at	14:49
16			a private hearing. So I completely accept, obviously,	
17			that they are related, but I'm just making the point	
18			that I don't think it is quite the same thing. But	
19			certainly the whole point of my using that kind of	
20			language was designed to be discreet, on the one hand,	14:49
21			but still be understandable by the people to whom I was	
22			addressing the email.	
23	482	Q.	Okay. If we look at maybe the last sentence of that	
24			paragraph:	
25				14:50

"The IRM found that an investigation file in the case had in fact been submitted to the DPP who had directed no prosecution and the IRM, which, because of the seriousness of the allegation, had been considering whether to recommend its inclusion in the O'Higgins term of reference, in the end recommended no further action by the Minister."

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

So I suppose what I am suggesting to you really,
Mr. Flahive, is that this paragraph is very clear in
what it is saying in one way, insofar as saying that
there is an investigation into an allegation made
against Sergeant McCabe; it, the IRM, found it had been
properly investigated, the DPP said no further action
should be taken, and we didn't include it in the
O'Higgins Commission. So one of the meanings that
could be taken from this paragraph is that something
which should be consigned to the history books was now
appearing in the O'Higgins Commission, and one way of
looking at that paragraph is a very carefully drafted
paragraph to make sure that that is what is being
conveyed upwards to the Minister?

14:50

14:50

14:51

14:51

14:51

A. Well, I was drafting it to be absolutely clear what the case was about.

21 483 Q. Yes.

22 Because I wasn't -- I wasn't naming the case, I wasn't Α. 23 specifying that it was to do with the allegation of 24 sexual assault, so I had to try and make it 25 understandable that this was, in fact, the case. one of the issues was, and this is a core issue, we 26 27 didn't have -- I didn't have and we didn't have the we didn't know exactly what was being raised. 28 facts. 29 I knew that the issue of the allegation, or some aspect

Т			of the issue had been raised, and that, as I go on to	
2			say, that there was some connection with motivation,	
3			but we didn't know exactly what was being said, we	
4			didn't know what the legal advice was, we didn't know	
5			what the evidence, if any, was. I certainly didn't	14:52
6			know where the motivation argument was going; you know,	
7			whether it was going to be that, for example, Sergeant	
8			McCabe was in some way resentful, or something like	
9			that. That doesn't necessarily go to credibility. So	
10			we didn't know what had been said, what was being	14:52
11			argued or where it was going. So we didn't I	
12			certainly didn't conclude that it was anything like the	
13			same issue that had been faced by us.	
14	484	Q.	Okay. And, in fairness, you go on in the next	
15			paragraph to say:	14:52
16				
17			"Presumably the Garda Síochána are raising the matter	
18			on the basis they could argue and Sergeant McCabe would	
19			deny that it is potentially relevant to motivation."	
20				14:52
21			Then you say:	
22				
23			"Richard advised me that counsel for Sergeant McCabe	
24			objected to the issue being raised and asked whether	
25			the Garda Commissioner had authorised this approach.	14:53
26			Richard also told me that the Garda Commissioner's	
27			authorisation had been confirmed, although I understand	
28			separately that this may be subject to further legal	
29			advice."	

1		Α.	Yes.	
2	485	Q.	Now, if we can just look at your understanding	
3			separately and where that came from and perhaps you	
4			could explain that.	
5		Α.	The words in brackets?	14:53
6	486	Q.	Yes.	
7		Α.	That flows from my conversation with my colleague, Ken	
8			O'Leary, and, as I was saying, while I can't recall his	
9			exact words, the sense I got from my colleague, Ken,	
10			was that even though he hadn't got into a detailed	14:53
11			examination with the Garda Commissioner in his phone	
12			call, that the Commissioner had said something to the	
13			effect or something along the lines that she would be	
14			engaging with her lawyers or discussing the matter	
15			further with her lawyers, and that was my attempt at	14:54
16			summarising that.	
17	487	Q.	Okay.	
18		Α.	It's as simple as that.	
19	488	Q.	And then the final paragraph:	
20				14:54
21			"Agreeing that it is a matter for the Garda	
22			Commissioner who is being legally advised and that	
23			neither the Attorney nor the Minister has a function	
24			relating to the evidence a party to a commission of	
25			investigation may adduce."	14:54
26				
27			Now, just in relation to that, was there any discussion	
28			as to somebody on the Minister's behalf going in to the	
29			Commission of Investigation and putting it on the	

- record that the D allegation was not part of the terms of reference, although it had been considered prior to settling the terms of reference?
- A. Any discussion between myself and Richard or more generally --

14:55

14:55

- 6 489 Q. Or yourself and Mr. O'Leary, or yourself indeed and anybody else?
- 8 A. Well, that is easily answered. The answer is no, there was no discussion.
- 10 490 Q. Yes.
- 11 And my position and my advice was very clear. Now, it Α. 12 was open to anyone else to disagree with me, but my 13 position was, and still is very clear: we weren't 14 party at that stage to the O'Higgins Commission of investigation. We were involved in a different module 15 16 that was considered later on - in fact, I myself gave 17 evidence at it. And at that stage we were represented 18 by counsel. But at this stage we weren't involved and, 19 as I say, we didn't know the facts, we didn't know 20 exactly what was being argued. But as I say, I did know that it was being presided over by a distinguished 21 22 Sergeant McCabe was ably represented by senior counsel, who did object to this. So the system was in 23 24 place to handle it. And I regarded the Commission of 25 Investigation as a body that simply could not be interfered with in that way, and that the Minister 26 could not intervene. And I know it has been suggested 27 as well by commentators that perhaps you could have, 28 29 somehow, separately, or in some kind of parallel

1			process, asked the Commissioner, you know, what was she	
2			doing, and maybe challenged what she was doing. I	
3			equally think that that would have been inappropriate.	
4	491	Q.	Well, I suppose maybe if I just explore that slightly.	
5			You have this information and it is now with you,	14:56
6			regardless of the fact that maybe you thought you'd be	
7			better off not knowing about it. You have it from two	
8			different sources: you have it from the Commissioner	
9			and you have it from the Attorney's office. So one of,	
10			perhaps, the cleanest or neatest way in setting the	14:56
11			Department's position on the record would have been	
12			simply to go into the Commission of Investigation,	
13			through counsel correctly instructed by solicitor, and	
14			say to the Commission of Investigation that the terms	
15			of reference had been carefully considered and the	14:57
16			matter in relation to the D investigation was	
17			specifically excluded from the terms of reference, and	
18			leave it at that.	
19		Α.	Well, as I say, we weren't aware of what was going on	
20			in any detail	14:57
21	492	Q.	Yes.	
22		Α.	at the O'Higgins Commission of Investigation. So	
23			what we had decided in terms of the Department, but	
24			ultimately the Minister, in accepting the	
25			recommendation of counsel not to refer the D allegation	14:57
26			to the Commission of Investigation, that was a decision	
27			on that matter. But it isn't necessarily the same	
28			thing for this to be raised at the Commission. In	
29			other words, as I understand it, not that I did have a	

1			very clear understanding of the facts at all, but it	
2			wasn't the same issue that was being raised in the same	
3			way. Obviously it's very closely related, but we	
4			simply didn't know what the facts were, we didn't know	
5			what the advice was, we didn't have details of the	14:58
6			legal debate that was going on in the Commission. And	
7			I firmly believed - as I say, it was open to people to	
8			disagree with me - I firmly believed that it would not	
9			be appropriate for the Minister to intervene, even if	
10			it were possible.	14:58
11	493	Q.	Yes. Well, if you didn't know all of the facts,	
12			perhaps it made it more important that you consider	
13			going into the Commission and setting out what the	
14			terms of reference is, if there was any possibility of	
15			them the Commission's work being derailed, whether	14:58

A. Well, if something was being raised that wasn't relevant to the terms of reference, it'd be a matter for Judge O'Higgins to stop that in its tracks. That was one of the points of having such a judge in charge of this Commission. The 2004 Act does not require a judge to be a chairperson. We, here, had a distinguished judge who I was absolutely confident would ensure that extraneous matters were not permitted. So if anyone objected, and of course there was an objection, that something was being raised that was outside the terms of reference and was not

it be considering something it shouldn't be considering

or whether it be that matters of a confidential nature

14:59

14:59

would end up in the High Court in a judicial review?

Т			relevant, then I was confident that was there checks	
2			and balances in place to deal with that.	
3	494	Q.	Okay. Thanks. I think you received an email from	
4			Mr. Quattrociocchi at 17:05, and that's at page 3341,	
5			notifying you that he'd flagged the matter to the	15:00
6			Minister?	
7		Α.	That's correct.	
8	495	Q.	Did you yourself speak to the Minister about it at any	
9			time?	
10		Α.	No.	15:00
11	496	Q.	And are you aware that anybody spoke to the Minister	
12			about it at any time?	
13		Α.	To the best of my recollection, I heard nothing back in	
14			relation to my email.	
15	497	Q.	Except other emails?	15:00
16		Α.	Except, sorry, of course, the other emails. But there	
17			was no subsequent conversations, that is what I meant.	
18	498	Q.	All right. Now, Mr. O'Leary emailed you at five	
19			o'clock in relation to the matter. That's at page 3342	
20			of the materials. What he says is:	15:01
21				
22			"That's grand, Michael. Thanks."	
23				
24			Did you understand that to be confirmation that the	
25			email you had sent to the Minister met with his	15:01
26			approval?	
27		Α.	No. I took that to mean, more or less, just thank you,	
28			that's fine. There was nothing more to it than that.	
29	499	Ο	Well T suppose you'd discussed the matter with him	

1 earlier on and he was your direct superior, is that 2 correct? 3 He was, yes. Α. 4 500 0. Yes. 5 And, yes, he was noting the fact that I had indeed Α. 15:01 6 emailed the Minister's private secretary and the 7 Secretary General. So he was, in effect, noting that 8 with approval. Okay. Then we have an email from Mr. Griffin to you on 9 501 Q. 18th May, which is at page 3348, and that says: 10 15:01 11 12 "Michael, noted by Acting Secretary General, Denis." 13 Yes. Α. 14 502 Q. who is Mr. Griffin, if you could explain that? 15 Denis Griffin is the -- was the private secretary to Α. 15:02 16 the Secretary General. 17 Okay. 503 Q. 18 And the purpose --Α. 19 504 Sorry. Yes. Q. And the purpose of emailing the collective address sec 20 Α. gen office, which includes -- or included Denis 21 22 Griffin, and it also included three other officials, 23 was to have the private secretary ensure, a bit like 24 the private secretary to the Minister, to have Denis 25 Griffin ensure that the Secretary General would see the 15:02 email, and that could be achieved by forwarding on that 26 27 email and just asking him had he seen it or actually handing him a printed copy. The reason there's a 28 29 collective address with three other people in it is

Т			that if the private secretary, Denis Griffin, isn't	
2			there for a particular reason, perhaps he might be out	
3			sick or on leave for some reason, somebody else would	
4			step into the gap and do that job. In the event, Denis	
5			Griffin was there and he did carry out that task, so,	15:03
6			in fact, the other officials had nothing to do	
7			whatsoever.	
8	505	Q.	In fact, the Acting General Secretary was Mr. Waters at	
9			that stage?	
10		Α.	That's correct.	15:03
11	506	Q.	Did you speak to Mr. Waters about the matter?	
12		Α.	No.	
13	507	Q.	We have an email at page 3344 of the materials from	
14			Mr. Quattrociocchi to you and it's dated 25th May 2015.	
15			What he says is:	15:03
16				
17			"Michael, the Minister has noted the below."	
18				
19			Is that a standard answer?	
20		Α.	Yes, it is.	15:03
21	508	Q.	Yes. What do you take from that, if it's a standard	
22			answer? Does it mean anything in particular?	
23		Α.	It means that the Minister had read and understood the	
24			communication.	
25	509	Q.	Okay.	15:03
26			CHAIRMAN: Was that the first, if you like, bounce of	
27			the ball backwards? There was nothing on the 15th or	
28			18th, was there?	
29			MS LEADER: Not from the Minister	

1 CHAIRMAN: Not from the Minister. But from 2 Mr. Ouattrociocchi? MS. LEADER: Yes. 3 So I'm correct in saying that nobody, except 4 510 Q. 5 Mr. Quattrociocchi, spoke to the Minister about the 15:04 communication from the Commissioner of An Garda 6 Síochána? 7 Spoke to the Minister? 8 Α. Spoke to the Minister about the communication from the 9 511 Q. Commissioner of An Garda Síochána? 10 15:04 11 Sorry, which communication? Α. 12 512 The Friday evening communication, the phone call to Ο. Mr. 0'Leary? 13 14 Oh, sorry, I'm not aware of any --Α. 15 From the Commission, on the Friday afternoon? 513 Q. 15:04 16 To my colleague Ken O'Leary? Α. 17 514 Q. Yes. 18 Yes. And you're asking me was that --Α. 19 515 To your knowledge, did anybody speak to the Minister Q. about that? 20 15:05 21 I have no knowledge of that, no. As far as I know, no. Α. 22 Did you speak to the Minister about that? 516 Q. 23 I did not. Α. 24 was there any particular reason that you didn't speak 517 Ο. 25 to the Minister about that? 15:05 26 Well, I had sent my email to the Minister and to the Α. 27 Acting Secretary General, copying in a few colleagues, 28 and I believe that my message was self-contained. Ιt 29 contained advice that, as I say, people were free to

disagree with, although I firmly believed in it, and still do, and, as it happens, nobody, apart from these emails effectively noting what I was saying, nobody sought a substantive discussion on the matter.

5 518 You see, at one level your email was telling the Q. Minister about something which you have described as 6 7 sensitive and also which generated emails in her 8 direction and also a conversation that you had with Ken O'Leary, yet you're telling the Minister that she has 9 no function in relation to the matter, and I wonder if 10 11 you could tell us why you were telling her you had no 12 function in relation to the matter and why you didn't 13 speak to her about it?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Α.

15:05

15:06

well, I believed that this, as I said, was a matter about which we weren't clear, we didn't have the facts, 15:06 but it was a matter being raised within the Commission of Investigation. Clearly it was a controversy; like, by definition, it was being strongly objected to by counsel for Sergeant McCabe, and that legal debate was going on within the Commission. It was being presided 15:06 over, as I said, by a distinguished judge. Commissioner herself had legal advice and was going to continue to have legal advice. The Minister was not a party to the Commission. And I personally didn't see any basis for the Minister to make an intervention, and 15:07 that was my advice. So, from my perspective, there was no further action possible in that direction at that time.

29 519 Q. I think you were also copied into an email that was

1 opened by Mr. O'Leary this morning in relation to a 2 press guery in July of 2015? 3 Α. That's correct. I remember it. And I don't think you took -- is it correct to say you 4 520 0. 5 didn't take any action in relation to that? 15:07 No, in fact, I do remember getting it. 6 Α. Saturday evening and from my perspective --7 8 CHAIRMAN: This is at 3484, is it? I think it is, 9 anyway. I don't have a page reference for it. 10 MS. LEADER: 15:08 11 CHAIRMAN: It's 3484, I believe. MS. LEADER: 12 3484. But I was quite happy that my colleague, Ken O'Leary, 13 Α. 14 had dealt with the matter. He had received this query, 15 he had forwarded advice, a line to take, as we say in 15:08 16 the jargon, to the Minister, in case this arose the 17 following day in a media interview, which in the event 18 it didn't, as I understand it. So I was quite happy 19 that my colleague, Ken O'Leary, had dealt with that and there was no input needed from me. 20 15:08 Okay. These weren't matters that you forgot about in 21 521 Q. 22 the intervening time, between 2015 and this November, 23 is that correct to say? I think you said you 24 remembered getting the email, the July email? 25 For some reason, I do remember getting that email, yes. 15:09 Α. And is there any reason statements -- you didn't make a 26 522 0. 27 statement available to the Tribunal until November of 28 this year, or maybe December? 29 well, I clearly made a statement when I was asked to Α.

1 make a statement.

2 523 Q. November.

- 3 And I was very happy to do that. But in relation to my Α. email, and of course my statement flows from my email, 4 5 I had no involvement in the discovery process. I left 15:09 this area, Garda division, or Policing Division, as 6 7 it's now called, at the end of August 2015, so I simply 8 had no involvement in the discovery process. And I'm not saying that in a critical way, I'm saying that in 9 just a factual and neutral way. And plus, of course, 10 15:09 11 my email was not my exclusive property; a number of 12 people had it. But I simply did not know what was 13 being discovered to the Tribunal or in what order. 14 presumed that there was a process underway and in due 15 course I would give a statement, which I did. 15:10
- 16 524 Q. Okay. Were you aware the Chairman made a -- gave a
  17 public address in February of last year, asking for
  18 people who had knowledge of the terms of reference to
  19 make a statement or tell the Tribunal about it?

15:10

15:10

20 A. Yes, I was.

21 525 Q. But you didn't at that time?

22 Well, I didn't interpret that as applying in the same Α. 23 way to everyone. I interpreted the process as the 24 Department having itself a process in place, rather 25 than individual officers sending in statements and 26 disclosing documents. I very much imagined that there 27 would be a central process in the Department which would be discovering documents which would then lead to 28 29 statements being made. Now, if I was mistaken in that,

Т			i regret that, but that was my understanding.	
2	526	Q.	So you were relying on a request from somebody within	
3			the Department?	
4		Α.	I was relying on an official engagement between the	
5			Department and this Tribunal to discover documents, and	15:11
6			I sincerely believe that that was the process that was	
7			in place.	
8	527	Q.	Were you aware at any time that the Commissioner sent	
9			emails to the Minister's Oireachtas account?	
10		Α.	You mean generally or	15:11
11	528	Q.	Yes. Emails?	
12		Α.	I don't?	
13	529	Q.	We've seen emails opened before the Tribunal.	
14		Α.	I have seen those. I don't believe I ever recall	
15			seeing that, no.	15:12
16	530	Q.	And in relation to Mr. Waters' email address, there	
17			seems to be a suggestion that emails which were sent to	
18			him didn't get to him because of the insertion of an	
19			initial in his email account. Do you have any	
20			knowledge of that?	15:12
21		Α.	I saw the evidence, but I've no knowledge of that.	
22			MS. LEADER: Okay. If you would answer any questions,	
23			please.	
24		Α.	Thank you.	
25				15:12
26			MR. MICHAEL FLAHIVE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDOWELL:	
27				
28	531	Q.	MR. McDOWELL: Good afternoon, Mr. Flahive.	
29		Α.	Good afternoon.	

532 Q. Could I ask you about, you've said that in the period 1 2 from 2014 to 2015 a number of things had happened, and one of them was that arising out of the, I suppose 3 we'll put a neutral term, controversy about the 4 5 Department of Justice, that a Cabinet Committee was 15:13 6 established, a subcommittee of the Cabinet, was it? It was chaired by the Taoiseach. 7 Α. 8 established in April 2014. And I take it the Minister was allowed to attend the 9 533 Q. Cabinet -- was she Tánaiste at the time? She was, 10 15:13 11 or --12 She wasn't. I think Joan Burton might have been Α. 13 Tánaiste. 14 534 Q. Sorry, yes, so she was Minister --15 And the Minister attended, as did another minister, I Α. 15:13 16 think the Minister for Communications, Marine and 17 Natural Resources, and the Attorney also attended as 18 she would be Cabinet. 19 535 I see. So effectively there was kind of a specialist Q. committee established to look at reform of the 20 15:13 Department of Justice, is that right? 21 Broadly speaking, that is correct. 22 Α. 23 And not merely was it concerned with reform, but it was 536 Q. 24 during this post-crisis period, if I may put it that 25 way, there was a de facto situation that all policing 15:13 26 matters went through that subcommittee chaired by the 27 Taoiseach to go on to Cabinet or not to go on to the 28 cabinet as the case might be, is that right?

That's essentially correct.

29

Α.

- 1 537 Q. I see. And you were effectively under a kind of a, how would I put it, a governance spotlight, would that be a
- fair description?
- 4 A. That would be fair. That would be fair.
- 5 538 Q. And in that context, a number of things seemed to have
- 6 occurred. One, we know that Ms. D had caused a
- 7 complaint to be made to GSOC, charging that the
- 8 original Garda investigation into her complaint was
- 9 totally inadequate?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 539 Q. And that was up and running, wasn't it, from, I think

15:15

15:15

- 12 it was May or June of 2014?
- 13 A. I can't remember the exact dates. But around that
- 14 time, I believe it was.
- 15 540 Q. And a second thing had happened, and that was that
- there had been reference to her allegations in Dáil
- 17 Éireann itself, isn't that right? Well, maybe I will
- 18 remind you. On the 19th June, former Minister Shatter
- 19 publicly called for her allegations to be included in
- 20 whatever post-Guerin commission of investigation was
- established, isn't that right?
- 22 A. I recall that.
- 23 541 Q. And thirdly, you tell us that the IRM process had been
- set up to deal with what was becoming a fairly
- substantial number of complaints from all over the
- place about misinvestigation, is that right?
- 27 A. That's correct.
- 28 542 Q. And I didn't quite pick up from you, one way or the
- other, are we to take it that Sergeant McCabe's case or

1 Ms. D's case got into the IRM process by itself or was 2 it put there by Department?

A. Well, it couldn't get in by itself; it had to be put in.

5 543 Q. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

6 So, yes, it was included in all the cases. Α. 7 explain that we had at that point a great many cases on 8 hand, and, as soon as the IRM process was announced in 9 principle, a lot more people wrote in. So some people wrote in direct to the Minister, some people wrote in 10 11 through their TDs, saying that we have these -- you 12 know, I have this complaint and it hasn't been properly 13

looked at. Some people wrote in through an organisation called 'Justice For All'. So we ended up actually getting more complaints in. And we took a decision, and this was approved at political level,

15:16

15:17

15:17

15:17

that we couldn't be seen to be in any way acting as a filter; in other words, we didn't want anyone saying to

us, Department of Justice, you know, why did you stop

this complaint or that complaint going? So we took a decision not to act as a filter. And the result was

22 that a very significant number of complaints, 320 in

total, I believe, went, and some of them at the lower

end of the scale were relatively unimportant. I know

every case is important to the person. But that's what 15:17

happened.

27 544 Q. Well then just going back to the particular manner in 28 which Ms. D's allegations ended up in the IRM. I just 29 want clarity; was it done as a result of a renewed

1			application by her that it should be done or was it	
2			done by the Department on the basis that it was now	
3			something on hand, that the Taoiseach had been handed	
4			by Deputy Micheál Martin?	
5		Α.	I think it's the latter. That's the best of my	15:18
6			recollection.	
7	545	Q.	So it was effectively, it was an executive decision to	
8			put it into the IRM, is that right?	
9		Α.	I suppose you could call it that. I mean, this was a	
10			complaint that we had on hand, we had decided and the	15:18
11			Government had decided to put in place a system to deal	
12			without standing complaints. This was an outstanding	
13			complaint. So it was almost automatic consequent upon	
14			the Government decision.	
15	546	Q.	Were you yourself conscious of the terms in which	15:18
16			Deputy Shatter had addressed the Dáil on the 19th June	
17			2014?	
18		Α.	I can't now recall it, to be honest, but certainly I	
19			would have read it at the time, I've no doubt about	
20			that.	15:19
21	547	Q.	Well, my note of it was that he said:	
22				
23			"If the statutory inquiry is to be comprehensive, it	
24			should include all cases dealt with in Bailieboro Garda	
25			Station which had given rise to complaint. There is a	15:19
26			matter which has been the subject of articles in the	
27			Irish Independent, which included a report of Deputy	
28			Martin meeting an individual who alleged that she was	
29			the victim of a sexual assault and her complaint was	

1			not included on the Pulse system and did not result in	
2			a prosecution. I understand from the newspaper report	
3			that Deputy Martin was to provide information on the	
4			matter to the Taoiseach and I presume that he has done	
5			so. This case should clearly form part of any	15:19
6			statutory inquiry."	
7				
8			Was the Department alerted to the fact that its former	
9			Minister, who is now about six or eight weeks out of	
10			office, had made that demand?	15:20
11		Α.	We weren't alerted in advance. But clearly when he	
12			said that, I can't	
13	548	Q.	No, sorry, I wasn't suggesting that you were alerted to	
14			a speech in advance. No, alerted by his speech to the	
15			fact that he was making that demand?	15:20
16		Α.	Oh, yes, we were aware of that demand, yes.	
17	549	Q.	Yes.	
18			CHAIRMAN: I think Ms. D had met with Minister Shatter.	
19			And that has been the evidence so far.	
20			MR. McDOWELL: Shatter at that time, that's right, yes.	15:20
21			CHAIRMAN: And she took a particular view in relation	
22			to that and felt that Micheál Martin was more helpful.	
23			Now, I have absolutely no view in relation to that	
24			whatsoever. But as to whether either of those	
25			politicians was aware that the allegation was, in fact,	15:20
26			against Sergeant McCabe, is another matter. Because	
27			the manner in which it went forward in the Irish	
28			Independent was in a way that was neutral. Those who	
29			were in the know would know, but those who were not in	

1 the know would not know. I don't know that. 2 MR. McDOWELL: Well, I take it in the Department you 3 knew that this was Ms. D's allegation he was referring 4 to? 5 Yes. Α. 15:21 6 550 0. And that Sergeant McCabe was the object of the 7 complaint? 8 Yes. Α. 9 551 Or the investigation into --Q. We would have known, yes. 10 Α. 15:21 11 552 Now, in that context you say that the process of Q. Yes. deciding the terms of reference of the O'Higgins 12 13 Commission commenced? That's correct. 14 Α. 15 553 And you started again, just to be quick about it, you Q. 15:21 16 started on the basis of what Séan Guerin, Senior 17 Counsel, had recommended as the starting point for 18 considering the terms of reference, is that right? 19 Yes. And it ended up almost being the finishing point Α. -- there were only three minor changes to it. 20 15:21 And you say that, and you've explained it, I 21 554 Q. 22 don't want to go back over it again, that the IRM 23 process left it open to the team of counsel who are 24 sifting through all of these 320 complaints, to look at 25 those complaints and to suggest various outcomes? 15:22 That's correct. 26 Α. 27 555 And for those that required further action of a Q. 28 non-commission of investigation type, it was the provision in the Garda Síochána Act which allowed 29

Т			informal lawyer-chaired inquiries under the statute, is	
2			that right?	
3		Α.	Yes. And I think there's about five of those which may	
4			currently be underway.	
5	556	Q.	And then you say that of the possible cases that were	15:22
6			being considered for a full commission of	
7			investigation, there were two, and one of them which we	
8			don't know about and don't care about here today fell	
9			away, leaving just one?	
10		Α.	That's correct.	15:22
11	557	Q.	And you were then faced with this, I suppose people	
12			would say somewhat unusual situation, that in all of	
13			the controversy, only one case had popped up which was	
14			now a candidate for a commission of investigation, and	
15			that happened to be the one about Sergeant McCabe?	15:23
16		Α.	That is correct.	
17	558	Q.	Now, you also told us that the provisional view of	
18			counsel, of the team of counsel, was that that	
19			particular case would dovetail with the Guerin proposed	
20			terms of reference, is that right?	15:23
21		Α.	Yes. I think their phrase was prima facie.	
22	559	Q.	Yes.	
23		Α.	It might be a good fit.	
24	560	Q.	Good fit.	
25		Α.	But they are very careful to go on to recognise the	15:23
26			down sides and to say that, in the event of that	
27			happening, there would have to be consultation between,	
28			on the one hand, the Minister for Justice and the	
29			Attorney, on the other hand whoever was going to chair	

- 1 the commission.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: I think it was simply a geographical fit,
- isn't that right? They were all Bailieboro-type,
- 4 Monaghan cases.
- 5 561 Q. MR. McDOWELL: Well, I presume it was a seriousness fit 15:24
- 6 and a geographical fit, is that --
- 7 A. It had to be serious, by definition, to get to a
- 8 commission. But counsel, as I said, had made clear
- 9 that if they were ever going to recommend any case to
- go to the O'Higgins Commission, there would have to be

15:24

- 11 a thread, I think that was their phrase, a thread
- 12 connecting any such case to the remit of Judge
- O'Higgins by way of location or personnel.
- 14 562 Q. Can we put a timeframe on this, because it's of some
- significance. Was -- are we now dealing with late
- 16 2014?
- 17 A. I would have got this paper containing this provisional
- 18 view in October.
- 19 563 Q. October, yes.
- 20 A. And I can't remember the date, I think around
- 21 mid-October.
- 22 564 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. And then we arranged for IRM counsel to see the Garda
- investigation file.
- 25 565 Q. Can I stop you there? Was the decision to arrange for
- counsel to be given unusual access to the Garda file in
- 27 this particular case, was that taken on foot of a
- 28 consideration at the Cabinet Committee?
- 29 A. No. I think it was -- I think I proposed it.

- 1 566 Q. You made that decision?
- 2 A. I proposed it. I may have discussed it with my
- 3 Secretary General, but I certainly proposed it.
- 4 567 Q. So that is departmental decision?
- 5 A. Essentially, yes. And if I can just pause and go back

15:26

15:26

15:26

- 6 to something I said earlier on, that even though
- 7 counsel were under instructions to simply look at the
- 8 papers they had, they were told from the start that if
- 9 they wanted any additional material, they could ask us.
- 10 So, in other words, I was effectively asking them did
- they want to ask us to get this file, and they did.
- 12 And then just to round off on the dates, I remember it
- 13 was 11th November when counsel reported back and said
- 14 no further action.
- 15 568 Q. That was the 11th November?
- 16 A. That's my recollection, yes.
- 17 569 Q. And can you assist the Tribunal as to whether the --
- 18 conferring with the proposed Chair of the Commission of
- 19 Investigation did or did not happen, to your knowledge?
- 20 A. There was conferring, I understand, between the office
- of the Attorney General and the Chair about the terms
- of reference. But as far as I know, there was no
- conferring about this because it didn't get to that
- 24 point.
- 25 570 Q. You say that it had stopped before then, had it?
- 26 A. Yes, that is my understanding.
- 27 571 Q. The idea had died out before --
- 28 A. Precisely, precisely.
- 29 572 Q. -- any such conferring could have taken place?

Т		Α.	That is my understanding. And that, therefore, my	
2			understanding is that the conferral or conferring with	
3			the Judge was in relation to the fine-tuning of the	
4			Séan Guerin recommended terms of reference, and, as I	
5			say, there were only three minor changes made to that.	15:27
6	573	Q.	So the position then is that, I just want to know from	
7			you, was the position then that you had informed the	
8			Minister and/or the Cabinet Committee of these events?	
9		Α.	In October, we sent another paper to the Cabinet	
10			Committee on Justice Reform, giving them the first	15:27
11			proper draft of the terms of reference for the	
12			O'Higgins Commission, but also saying that, as regards	
13			the IRM process, there was one it had boiled down to	
14			one possible case for consideration for inclusion, but	
15			that the counsel for the IRM were going to look at an	15:28
16			important file to decide the matter.	
17	574	Q.	And was the case identified?	
18		Α.	No.	
19	575	Q.	I see. And was it ever identified?	
20		Α.	To the Cabinet Committee?	15:28
21	576	Q.	Yes.	
22		Α.	Not that I'm aware of.	
23	577	Q.	I see. And so then we know that the Taoiseach made the	
24			order establishing the O'Higgins Commission of	
25			Investigation in February. I take it that, by that	15:28
26			time, you were quite clear in your mind that there	
27			would have been a huge problem for Sergeant McCabe	
28			participating in the O'Higgins Commission if he was	
29			both quasi defendant on the Ms. D allegation and also	

1			somebody was to give evidence of facts in relation to	
2			all of the shortcomings of policing that he had	
3			alleged, isn't that right?	
4		Α.	I knew it would be both highly controversial generally	
5			and also that it would be problematical within the	15:29
6			Commission, yes, I appreciated that.	
7	578	Q.	And did it ever strike you not merely that it would be	
8			highly controversial, but that it would be grossly	
9			unfair to him to put him in that position?	
10			CHAIRMAN: Mr. McDowell, I appreciate the point you're	15:29
11			making. But I think the situation would be, let's	
12			suppose the D case was referred, it would be the D	
13			investigation.	
14			MR. McDOWELL: Yes.	
15			CHAIRMAN: But the net result of that would, of course,	15:29
16			be, that Ms. D would have to say, well, this is what	
17			happened to me.	
18			MR. McDOWELL: Yes.	
19			CHAIRMAN: Now, in all the other ten cases which	
20			actually did go, nobody challenged that, for instance,	15:30
21			the lady on the bus in Kingscourt, that actually did	
22			happen to her, so this would have been, as you say,	
23			very unfortunate. But in terms of the form of the	
24			thing, it would have been the investigation that was	
25			referred, as in all the other cases.	15:30
26			MR. McDOWELL: Theoretically, it could have it would	
27			have been dealt with exactly like all the other cases.	
28			CHAIRMAN: Yes.	
29			MR. McDOWELL: But the man who was going to be asked	

about his involvement in Lorraine Browne's case, and 1 2 all the rest of it, would know that Module 3 was where 3 he was going to be on the receiving end, effectively. CHAIRMAN: Yes. 4 5 MR. McDOWELL: Of the same business. 15:30 6 No. I think I do get that point. 7 matter how it is, in fact, sent in terms of form, the 8 substance of the matter would have been very unfortunate. 9 MR. McDOWELL: And as you pointed out yourself, firstly 15:30 10 579 Q. 11 it would have come to public knowledge, what case it 12 was that was being investigated? 13 Yes. Α. 14 580 Q. Isn't that right? 15 Yes. That was my -- one of my concerns, yes. Α. 15:30 16 So that, I mean, Sergeant McCabe would have been 581 Ο. 17 immediately subjected to public scrutiny in respect of 18 the D allegation because they would have said why are 19 they investigating this matter? well, it would seem to me that it would be difficult, 20 Α. 15:31 if not impossible, to examine Ms. D's subsequent 21 22 complaint without examining the underlying issue and 23 therefore --24 It would have had to have been in the terms of 582 Q. reference? 25 15:31 26 Yes, that was my point, yes. Α. 27 583 And everyone would have said what's module (m) all Q. 28 about? 29 Effectively, yes. Α.

- 1 584 Q. So, in essence, it would have involved opening the whole allegation to public awareness, isn't that right?
- 3 A. I believe so.
- 4 585 Q. Even before one day of the Commission had taken place,
- the public would know that this was what was to happen
- 6 at some time during the Commission?
- 7 A. It would put it in the public domain and then the report would have to deal with it in a public way.
- 9 586 Q. Yes. I see. Now, when you sought the Garda file in relation to this allegation, did you seek the DPP's

15:32

- 11 file?
- 12 A. Well, first of all, I can't actually remember the
- logistics of this, whether we arranged for the -- I
- asked for this to be done, and I actually can't
- remember if we arranged for the Gardaí to bring the
- file down to counsel or whether counsel went up to see
- 17 the file. So I actually don't recall that detail. I
- 18 certainly don't have any personal recollection. I've
- obviously heard and seen the evidence since of the
- 20 expanded explanation by the DPP, and I don't have any
- 21 personal recall of that.
- 22 587 Q. You made the arrangement for this to be done, isn't
- 23 that right?
- A. Yes, I asked for it to be done, yes.
- 25 588 Q. And can you -- by doing so, you were informing at least 15:33
- 26 some members of An Garda Síochána that the IRM was
- considering whether or not this particular issue should
- be one of the terms of reference?
- 29 A. I presume that, necessarily, that must have been the

Т			case, arthough I didn't personally do it, but it must	
2			have been the case. We couldn't simply demand the file	
3			and give no explanation.	
4			CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr. McDowell, just one point where	
5			there may be a misunderstanding there. The DPP file,	15:33
6			of course the DPP may note things, but the DPP file is	
7			always the Garda file, and I think in terms of the DPP	
8			file here, certainly what we got contains the DPP's	
9			letter, which makes the Garda file the DPP file.	
10			MR. McDOWELL: That's true.	15:33
11			CHAIRMAN: Yes. And that is what would have gone to	
12			counsel, I assume; in other words, the whole thing as	
13			it came back	
14		Α.	The whole thing.	
15			CHAIRMAN: from the DPP with the letter of the 5th	15:33
16			April.	
17			MR. McDOWELL: The full DPP's direction.	
18		Α.	I believe so, I believe so.	
19			CHAIRMAN: So there's nothing missing, in effect. Yes.	
20	589	Q.	MR. McDOWELL: But to go back to the other point that	15:34
21			you had moved on to for a second. You assumed that An	
22			Garda Síochána would realise that they were being asked	
23			to produce this file in the context of a pending	
24			decision as to whether there should be a commission of	
25			investigation into the Ms. D allegation and how it was	15:34
26			handled?	
27		Α.	I am presuming that. I have no personal knowledge of	
28			it. But I just want to be clear that there was	
29			absolutely no interaction between the Department and	

- the Garda Síochána about this IRM case or the process.
- 2 It was exclusively a dialogue between the Department
- and the IRM counsel.
- 4 590 Q. Yes, I fully appreciate that. But the whole process
- there, they're going to Garda Headquarters and they're

15:35

15:35

- 6 being facilitated, was something which was being done
- 7 in this context because you had requested that it
- 8 should be done?
- 9 A. Absolutely.
- 10 591 Q. Yes. And therefore, unless your surmise is wrong, it
- 11 would have been known in An Garda Síochána at the time
- that it was a live issue as to whether the allegation
- against Sergeant McCabe and the investigation of it, I
- should be careful about this, as to whether the
- investigation of the allegation against Sergeant McCabe 15:35
- was to be included in the forthcoming Commission of
- 17 Investigation?
- 18 A. I don't have any personal knowledge of that. I'm
- 19 simply, if I can borrow your words, surmising that the
- Department couldn't demand a file, or request a file
- from the Garda Síochána without any explanation, so I
- imagine it would have been explained that it is needed
- for a certain purpose. But I don't have any personal
- 24 knowledge of that.
- 25 592 Q. And it follows from all of that then that that would
- have had happened in October/November time, is that
- 27 right?
- 28 A. October.
- 29 593 Q. October. Because by early November the idea was dead?

- 1 A. By the 11th November, yes.
- 2 594 Q. Yes. And who made the decision on the 11th November 3 that it would be excluded?
- 4 A. Well, on the 11th November we, in the Department, got
- an email from IRM counsel, who said that they had now

15:36

15:37

15:37

- 6 considered the Garda file or the DPP file.
- 7 595 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. And they recounted -- they had an analysis of it and
- 9 they explained that it was now apparent to them that
- 10 the Gardaí had investigated the matter, that Sergeant
- 11 McCabe had been interviewed under caution, and one of
- the points that had been made by Ms. D, I believe, if I
- recollect correctly, is that she doubted whether, in
- fact, he had even been interviewed.
- 15 596 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. That he had been interviewed under caution, had given a
- 17 statement, file gone to the DPP with a recommendation
- not to prosecute, and the DPP had agreed, and, on that
- basis, the counsel concluded that everything seem to
- 20 have been done properly.
- 21 597 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. Everyone had been interviewed who should have been
- interviewed. There was nothing there to indicate that
- this file had been treated in any way out of the
- ordinary, just because Sergeant McCabe was a garda.
- 26 598 Q. Yes.
- 27 A. And that they recommended no further action, and we
- 28 accepted that recommendation.
- 29 599 Q. I see. And did you inform the Minister of that

1 development? 2 Yes. Α. So the Minister would have been conscious that this was 3 600 0. an issue and it was now being finally decided that the 4 5 O'Higgins Commission would not include this matter? 15:37 The Minister would have been aware of this. 6 Α. the 17th -- I think it was the 17th November, the 7 8 Minister wrote to her colleague, the Minister for Public Expenditure and Reform, seeking his approval, as 9 she had to, to bring the proposal for the order with 10 15:38 That's a 11 the terms of reference to Cabinet. 12 requirement under the 2004 Act, as you know. 13 601 Yes. Q. 14 Α. And in that letter she recounted how she had had to put the finalisation of the terms of reference on hold 15 15:38 16 pending clarification from the IRM counsel as to 17 whether there might be any extra cases, and she -- in 18 that letter she went on to explain to the Minister for 19 public expenditure and reform that it was now clear 20 that there would be no cases. 15:38 And that was the leader of the Labour Party, is that 21 602 0. 22 right? 23 That would have been Brendan Howlin, yeah. Α. 24 603 Yes. Q. 25 Α. Yes. 15:38 26 604 Sorry, he was leader, I think. 0. 27 Yes. Α. 28 605 So I understand that. And again, did that

letter identify which case we were dealing with?

Q.

29

okay.

- 1 A. No.
- 2 606 Q. It didn't. So moving on very quickly from there, it
- 3 would appear that Mr. Justice O'Higgins -- do you
- 4 believe he was aware of any of this prior manoeuvring
- 5 as to his terms of reference?
- 6 A. I don't know. As I say, I do know that there were
- 7 discussions between the office of the Attorney General

15:39

15:39

15:40

- 8 and Judge O'Higgins. But my surmise, if I can use that
- 9 word again, is that because --
- 10 607 Q. I'm not suggesting there would have been anything
- improper.
- 12 A. Oh. indeed. But I think because the matter had been
- disposed of, as it were, it simply didn't arise. But I
- can't rule out the possibility that he may have been
- 15 alerted to it. I simply don't know.
- 16 608 Q. Because it does appear that throughout the proceedings,
- 17 I don't know whether you have ever looked at the
- 18 transcript and his report and everything that happened
- 19 at it, that he religiously precluded any reference
- 20 wherever he could to the D allegation, were you aware
- of that?
- 22 A. Em, I don't have a detailed recollection of that. But
- as I say, I simply don't know if he was alerted to this
- 24 possibility. I simply don't know.
- 25 609 Q. The last thing I just want to ask you about is this --
- 26 CHAIRMAN: Well, I'm not sure how often it came up,
- Mr. McDowell.
- 28 MR. McDOWELL: Sorry, Judge?
- 29 CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure how often it actually came up

1			before Mr. Justice O'Higgins. I mean, there is the	
2			ruling in relation to credit and credibility, which	
3			occurs on the Monday, and then there's the two	
4			questions from Mr. Smyth, which is in relation to a	
5			particular thing. But of course I'm looking at what	15:40
6			the Garda Commissioner's involvement was, but I didn't	
7			see it after that, because we searched very hard.	
8			MR. McDOWELL: Well, maybe I'm wrong on that, Chairman.	
9			Maybe I'm wrong.	
10	610	Q.	But I think he was anxious at least until the 24th June	15:40
11			when day 4 of the Commission took place, not to	
12			mention he used the phrase the matter is delicate or	
13			délicat?	
14		Α.	Yes.	
15	611	Q.	Using a French term in relation to it. So he seemed to	15:41
16			understand what the issue was, without having it to be	
17			spelled out to him?	
18			CHAIRMAN: I don't know how you are interpreting that,	
19			Mr. McDowell, as a reference to the D matter.	
20			Seriously, I mean, if you can help me on that, do. But	15:41
21			just because you use the phrase "délicat", doesn't mean	
22			that it's a reference to Ms. D. I mean, every judge is	
23			anxious to (a) finish a case and (b) try and keep it on	
24			the rails. The two things are connected.	
25			MR. McDOWELL: Perhaps, Judge.	15:41
26			CHAIRMAN: Yes.	
27			MR. McDOWELL: Perhaps. All I can say is, Judge, and	
28			this may be a matter for submissions	
29			CHAIRMAN: Yes.	

1			MR. McDOWELL: but, I mean, it does appear that he	
2			immediately understood what the conversation between	
3			Sergeant McCabe and Chief Superintendent Rooney	
4			referred to, and described it as a delicate matter. It	
5			does appear he did.	15:42
6	612	Q.	Now, could I then ask you in relation to how your	
7			CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr. McDowell, I'm sorry, I mean, this	
8			can be left kind of mysterious. The background, as I	
9			understand it, and please correct me if I am wrong, is	
10			that Sergeant McCabe had written the letters that has	15:42
11			been referred to a number of occasions. In one of them	
12			he says that: In consequence of the allegations made	
13			against me and the investigation, everything has	
14			changed. I don't trust anybody anymore. So from	
15			that	15:42
16			MR. McDOWELL: He must have had some basic	
17			understanding of the D allegation.	
18			CHAIRMAN: He must have had a very good understanding.	
19			He's hardly a fool.	
20			MR. McDOWELL: Yes, exactly, that's the point.	15:42
21			Exactly, that is my point.	
22			CHAIRMAN: No, but, I mean, the whole idea that	
23			Mr. Justice O'Higgins kind of knew about D and was	
24			looking into D, I'm not kind of content to leave that	
25			floating out there. I just don't see it on the	15:43
26			transcript at all.	
27			MR. McDOWELL: What I am saying is that it would appear	
28			from the transcript that he was anxious to avoid that	
29			obtruding into the proceedings.	

1	CHAIRMAN: Well, it wasn't the only thing. I mean,	
2	then there was the other thing in relation to the	
3	unfortunate dangerous driving causing death, I mean he	
4	was anxious that wasn't going to intrude either.	
5	MR. McDOWELL: Sorry	15:43
6	CHAIRMAN: There was a reference to that through	
7	Mr. Sreenan.	
8	MR. McDOWELL: Superintendent Cunningham	
9	CHAIRMAN: There was a reference to that through	
10	Mr. Sreenan.	15:43
11	MR. McDOWELL: I'll come back to that, Judge	
12	CHAIRMAN: No, no, I don't necessarily	
13	MR. McDOWELL: because this witness is going to be	
14	totally lost	
15	CHAIRMAN: But, I mean, that was another thing that was	15:43
16	excluded. I mean, there was a number of things that	
17	along the way were excluded. But the whole point of it	
18	was, I suppose sitting where I'm sitting, was, the	
19	judge is looking at the rails and looking at the train	
20	and wondering are we going to now take are the wrong $_{ ext{ iny 1}}$	15:43
21	points going to be pulled and we are going to end up on	
22	the top of the Alps, or something like that.	
23	MR. McDOWELL: Sorry, Judge, I think, really, it would	
24	be better if I dealt with that in submissions.	
25	CHAIRMAN: Yes. No, if you wish but	15:44
26	MR. McDOWELL: This witness is not	
27	CHAIRMAN: I'm just puzzled, Mr. McDowell, where I	
28	mean, is there any sense that people were trying to do	
29	something kind of nasty in bringing the D case? I just	

1	really don't I really don't understand that.
2	MR. McDOWELL: Well, Judge, maybe I will just remind
3	you of this.
4	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
5	MR. McDOWELL: That when Chief Superintendent Rooney 15:44
6	said on day 2
7	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
8	MR. McDOWELL: "it was probably in late 2007.
9	A. Yes, definitely. He came to my office. He was in
10	that state and he demanded of me that I write to the 15:44
11	Director of Public Prosecutions and I challenge a
12	decision that the Director of Public Prosecutions had
13	made in respect of him."
14	
15	And Mr. Gillane said - not me, Judge - Mr. Gillane 15:44
16	said:
17	
18	"I don't mean to cut across the witness, but I think
19	just in relation to that matter, unless the parties
20	have a different view, I think it is evidence
21	concerning a matter the Commission isn't directed to
22	investigate. Whether it is relevant or not is a matter
23	for the parties."
24	CHAIRMAN: And that doesn't come as a huge surprise to
25	me, Mr. McDowell. I did read it. But again, it is a 15:45
26	question of the judge attempting to be the train driver
27	and attempting to ensure that the wrong siding isn't
28	gone on. It's not in the terms of reference, the D
29	thing isn't in the terms of reference, and there was

1	other things mentioned as well that weren't in the
2	terms of reference, and that was it.
3	MR. McDOWELL: The only point I make, Judge, I mean it
4	is a matter which I will deal in submission, that it
5	isn't as if Mr. Gillane wondered what's this about. He $_{15:48}$
6	immediately twigged that this was an issue outside the
7	terms of reference as soon as that conversation was
8	mentioned.
9	CHAIRMAN: But again, we have mentioned Einstein
10	earlier on. It didn't take Einstein to reach that 15:45
11	conclusion as there is only ten substantive ones, and
12	it isn't one of them. Similarly, when the unfortunate
13	case of the hit and run and the death came up, he had
14	exactly the same reaction. They are two examples of
15	saying, right, let's keep it to what we are looking at. $_{ extstyle 15:46}$
16	But I don't see
17	MR. McDOWELL: Judge, I will come back to what
18	Superintendent Cunningham said and did in early
19	December.
20	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
21	MR. McDOWELL: And it is qualitatively different from
22	this. Because this was an attempt, as the Tribunal
23	well sees, and I don't claim to be Einstein, but
24	everybody, I think, can well see that this was setting
25	the evidential basis for the motivation argument. 15:46
26	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
27	MR. McDOWELL: That is what it was about. And as soon
28	as it was mentioned, Mr. Gillane intervened to query
29	its relevance.

1	CHAIRMAN: Well, yes. I mean, things don't happen for
2	no reason. There couldn't have been a sense of hurt if
3	an allegation hadn't been made. There couldn't have
4	been a sense of being investigated by your own
5	colleagues if an allegation hadn't been made. There 15:47
6	couldn't have been a sense of, I suppose, vindication
7	if the DPP hadn't ruled the way the ruling was and
8	there couldn't have been a sense of, well, surely more
9	people should know about this rather than me hearing it
10	from the State solicitor. By the way, Mr. D was never 15:47
11	asked, and it was a question that was on my mind over
12	the weekend, thinking about this matter, Mr. D was
13	never asked, and we didn't think to ask him, as to
14	whether he had a communication with the State solicitor
15	himself. I mean, if Sergeant McCabe had it, it's not 15:47
16	beyond the bounds of possibility that Mr. D already
17	knew himself.
18	MR. MCDOWELL: Judge, I really do think, and I submit
19	to you now, that this is better left for another
20	occasion rather than a dialogue between myself and 15:47
21	yourself now.
22	CHAIRMAN: No, but I am just kind of wondering what
23	phantoms are being conjured up, Mr. McDowell. That is
24	what I worry about.
25	MR. McDOWELL: I'm not conjuring up anything, Judge. 15:48
26	CHAIRMAN: Pardon?
27	MR. McDOWELL: I'm not conjuring up anything. I am
28	merely saying that it would appear maybe
29	CHAIRMAN: By the way, I shouldn't have said can we

1		take the word out of the transcript. Just Mr. D. It	
2		is my fault. Sorry, I beg your pardon, Mr. McDowell.	
3		MR. McDOWELL: I was putting to the witness that there	
4		seemed to be a disposition to exclude any reference to	
5		the D allegation at the O'Higgins Commission. I don't 15:4	18
6		think that is in controversy, Judge.	
7		CHAIRMAN: No. Well, was that wrong or right?	
8		MR. McDOWELL: Well, now, Judge, the judge is always	
9		right, so I start on that basis.	
10		CHAIRMAN: It would seem to me that is right. So if 15:4	18
11		it's right, why is it a problem?	
12		MR. McDOWELL: Sorry, Judge, I actually am getting	
13		confused, Judge. But I do want to ask this witness	
14		CHAIRMAN: I am sorry, I didn't mean to engage in any	
15		such exercise, Mr. McDowell.	18
16		MR. McDOWELL: I hope I'm not looking irritated. I'm	
17		not.	
18		CHAIRMAN: No, you are not. Everything is fine. It's	
19		just, there have been so many things floated and	
20		inferences drawn from so many things, I just don't want 15:4	19
21		that to happen.	
22		MR. McDOWELL: Yes.	
23		CHAIRMAN: It seems to me to be right. The Judge was	
24		right to exclude everything except the ten terms of	
25		reference and the things that were related to the ten 15:4	19
26		terms of reference and the things that followed. And	
27		it wasn't just D, it was a whole load of other things.	
28		But that is logic.	
29	613 Q.	MR. McDOWELL: When it came to the Department becoming	

1			aware through two channels, one Mr. O'Leary referred to	
2			as a somewhat more legitimate channel, the Attorney	
3			General talking to you, the Attorney General's office	
4			talking to you about the matter and through his direct	
5			contact with the Commissioner. I just want to ask you	15:50
6			why it was that nobody, the Secretary General,	
7			yourself, Mr. O'Leary and Mr. Power, the Minister, the	
8			Minister's adviser, nobody seems ever to have mentioned	
9			the matter again, at any level at all, is that what we	
10			are to believe?	15:50
11		Α.	I don't recall any subsequent discussion about my email	
12			or the information in it. But what I would say to you	
13			in that, is that my email contained the information but	
14			also my view that the minister could not intervene in	
15			what was going on at the Commission of Investigation.	15:50
16			Now while it was open, as I said before, to others to	
17			disagree with my view, it would seem that my view was	
18			accepted and shared by the addressees of my email.	
19	614	Q.	And you were left in the position, it appears from what	
20			Mr. Waters told you and from what you knew from	15:51
21			Mr. Barrett you were left in the position of thinking	
22			that further legal consideration would be put into the	
23			question as to whether that line of territory, whatever	
24			it was, would be pursued?	
25		Α.	well, I'd say	15:51
26			MR. McCANN: Mr. O'Leary.	
27	615	Q.	MR. McDOWELL: Sorry, Mr. O'Leary, is it?	
28		Α.	might be rather than would be. That the	
29			Commissioner might be taking further legal advice on	

1 this.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Then we go to the following week, did any of you or did you wonder what had become of the previous Friday's email and the subjects referred to in it? Did it even cross your mind did they plough on or did they stop?

Well, what would have crossed my mind is that it was Α. being dealt with within the Commission. So it wouldn't have been in any mind to inquire any further into it proactively. Because one of the messages I was conveying was that this was a matter that whatever it 15:52 was, and we weren't quite sure of the facts, that had arisen within the Commission, it was being dealt with within the Commission. I felt the Minister had no function in intervening, even if that was possible. therefore, it was part of and very much central to my 15:52 thinking that this was within the sealed atmosphere of

15:52

15:52

Now could you tell me, as the senior civil servant in respect, in charge of policing affairs or policing matters in the Department, were you made aware that Sergeant McCabe had resigned his position as sergeant in charge of the traffic unit in Mullingar on the following Monday?

the private hearings of the Commission.

A. I don't recall being aware of it. Now, I say that with some hesitation. Because there was so much information 15:53 being transmitted here, there and everywhere, but I honestly don't recall being aware of that.

28 618 Q. Were you aware of the subsequent press query arising apparently out of his resignation which Mr. O'Leary

1			dealt with today?	
2		Α.	Oh, I recall getting I recall being copied in on	
3			that email in July.	
4	619	Q.	At that point, did it even strike you to wonder why has	
5			Sergeant McCabe resigned his position or to inquire	15:53
6			whether he had or not?	
7		Α.	It didn't. If I recall correctly, and I am open to	
8			correction on this, the information in that email	
9			didn't tie in the resignation directly with the events	
10			of, was it the 18th May, or whenever it was, I don't	15:54
11			think it did. But certainly, I didn't make that	
12			connection in my mind. I don't recall making that	
13			connection.	
14	620	Q.	If memory serves me right it says there are two issues,	
15			and they are separate issues. One, aggressive	15:54
16			questioning and two	
17			MR. McCANN: Judge, it would be fair for the witness to	
18			have the document before the question is put. It's at	
19			various places, 2012 is where it is, where I have it in	
20			my hand. It is fairness to the witness to have the	15:54
21			document.	
22			CHAIRMAN: It is. We had it this morning. It is 3484.	
23			MR. McCANN: Yes, we did.	
24			CHAIRMAN: And it mentions two things. He was asked to	
25			be taken out of the traffic unit in Mullingar and the	15:54
26			Garda Commissioner had instructed counsel to adopt an	
27			aggressive stands. So the two things were mentioned.	
28			MR. McDOWELL: Those were the two things.	
29			CHAIRMAN: We had it this morning.	

Т	621	Q.	MR. MCDOWELL: You're aware of that, aren't you?	
2		Α.	I see it there now. As I say, I do recall the email.	
3			But I didn't interpret that as linking Sergeant	
4			McCabe's indication to any specific occurrence. And	
5			also, in relation to the reference to, is it,	15:55
6			aggressive stance, I certainly interpreted that as Ken	
7			O'Leary's description of what he understood the media	
8			to be saying. I didn't understand that to be Ken	
9			O'Leary's personal characterisation.	
10	622	Q.	And did you at any stage prior to the resignation of	15:55
11			the Tánaiste, Minister Francis Fitzgerald, consider	
12			making a statement dealing with these matters to this	
13			Tribunal or was it only after she had left that it	
14			occurred to you?	
15		Α.	Making a statement in relation to?	15:56
16	623	Q.	Your knowledge of what had happened that day at the	
17			O'Higgins Commission?	
18		Α.	I'm not clear, Mr. McDowell, a statement to whom?	
19	624	Q.	Well, it would appear that both yourself and	
20			Mr. O'Leary were, I'm going to be kind to you, late in	15:56
21			the day in making statements here?	
22		Α.	To this Tribunal?	
23	625	Q.	Yes.	
24		Α.	Well, as I've said, I wasn't in any way trying to hold	
25			back anything.	15:56
26	626	Q.	I'm not accusing you of that, but just wondering was	
27			the lateness or was the final decision to make a	
28			statement to this Tribunal, was that brought about the	
29			fact that she had resigned in publicly known	

1 circumstances and then it suddenly occurred to you that 2 vou knew a lot more --3 No. Α. -- which might be of assistance to the Commission --4 627 0. 5 Α. 15:57 -- the Tribunal? 6 628 Q. 7 No my understanding and my recollection is that when Α. this -- I think it was back in November of last year, 8 that my -- first of all my email was, my email of the 9 15th May 2015, was found by staff who are preparing 10 15:57 11 answers to parliamentary questions and they showed it 12 to me. 13 Did that jog your memory? 629 Q. 14 Α. Up to that point it wasn't in my memory because --15 630 That is what I'm driving at. It was the circumstances, 15:57 Q. 16 the public controversy of relatively recent times that 17 brought all this back to your mind, is that right? Well yes, and when I was shown the email, my email of 18 Α. 19 the 25th May 2015 I immediately recalled it, instantly 20 recalled it. But --15:57 I take it at that stage you realised you had something 21 631 Q. 22 of interest to tell this Tribunal? 23 well, as I say, in relation to this Tribunal I Α. 24 understood that there was a process underway whereby 25 the Department was communicating with this Tribunal, I 15:58 wasn't clear what they were discovering and in what 26 27 order and I was perfectly happy to cooperate and make any statements necessary that arose from that. 28 29 just wasn't, I wasn't in control of that process.

	032	Q.	1 see. And in railiness to you, you're saying that you	
2			probably thought that if they want me they can come and	
3			get me, and I'll make a statement then, is that the	
4		Α.	Well, my email wasn't a secret, as it were.	
5	633	Q.	It was forgotten though.	15:58
6		Α.	Well, but I hadn't known it was forgotten. Like, I was	
7			two years or thereabouts, a bit more than two years	
8			out	
9			CHAIRMAN: I think we have probably gone over that	
10			enough. And actually, they are questions as to credit,	15:58
11			Mr. McDowell, at this point.	
12			MR. McDOWELL: I'm just trying to work out why suddenly	
13			this material came out.	
14			CHAIRMAN: Look, I mean, I may have my own private	
15			feelings about this, but really if I have the material	15:59
16			in front of me if the dinner is there I'm going to	
17			eat it. It's a pity perhaps it wasn't there earlier,	
18			but	
19			MR. McDOWELL: Thank you.	
20			MR. DIGNAM: Chairman, I've about three minutes of	15:59
21			questions, I'm not sure if you want to take them today.	
22			CHAIRMAN: I do, yes. If possible.	
23			MR. MEEHAN: Chairman, three minutes also.	
24				
25			THE WITNESS WAS THEN EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM	15:59
26	634	Q.	MR. DIGNAM: Mr. Flahive, my name is Conor Dignam. I	
27			appear on behalf of An Garda Síochána. I just want to	
28			ask you about two separate areas. The first is in	
29			relation to the independent review mechanism and where	

1			the terms of reference ended up. I think the effect of	
2			where the terms of reference ended up is a matter for	
3			submissions, so I'm not going to trouble you with that.	
4			As I understand it, you explained how the independent	
5			review mechanism work; how you were left with two cases	15:59
6			which may be candidates for a Commission of	
7			Investigation and that ultimately became one case,	
8			which was the Ms. D complaint. And I just want to	
9			confirm for clarity, that that was Ms. D's complaint	
10			about the quality or adequacy of the investigation of	16:00
11			her initial allegation against Sergeant McCabe?	
12		Α.	That's correct.	
13	635	Q.	It wasn't the substantive allegation which she had made	
14			against Sergeant McCabe?	
15		Α.	You're absolutely correct.	16:00
16	636	Q.	Yes. And you explained how the Independent Review	
17			Mechanism counsel gave, I think you described it as a	
18			well reasoned paper as to their thoughts, as to where	
19			things stood, the pros and cons?	
20		Α.	That's correct.	16:00
21	637	Q.	And included in that was reasons why it should why	
22			the complaint shouldn't be part of a Commission of	
23			Investigation controversy, and the position that might	
24			place Sergeant McCabe in, etcetera. But am I correct	
25			in saying that that was all superseded by the	16:00
26			Department requesting An Garda Síochána to provide the	
27			investigation file, the DPP file, to the Independent	
28			Review Mechanism counsel and them concluding that in	
29			fact there was nothing left to investigate, because	

1 there had been an adequate investigation in the first 2 place? That's correct. 3 Α. So there was no reason to refer it to a Commission of 4 638 0. 5 Investigation because there was no question marks left 6 over the adequacy of that investigation? 7 I agree with that. Α. So can I take it from that, that to the extent 8 639 0. 9 that anything was specifically excluded or not included in the terms of reference, it was an inquiry or an 10 16:01 11 investigation into the adequacy of the Garda 12 investigation into Ms. D's original allegations? 13 That's correct. Α. 14 640 Q. In relation to your statement and your email of the 15 15th May 2015, am I correct in saying that your 16:01 16 understanding of what was happening at the Commission 17 that day is based on a conversation which you had with 18 Mr. Barrett of the Attorney General's office? 19 That's correct, yes. Essentially, yes. Α. 20 641 And that his understanding was based on a conversation Q. which he had with Mr. Dreelan of the Attorney General's 21 office? 22 23 As I understand it, yes. Α. 24 642 And Mr. Dreelan's understanding was based on a Q. 25 conversation which he had, or conversations which he 16:02 had with Ms. Ryan, the solicitor to An Garda Síochána 26

So in essence, your understanding at that stage

in the Commission of Investigation?

As I understand it.

27

28

29

643

Α.

Ο.

Yes.

- was third- or fourth-hand?A. That's correct.
- 3 644 Q. And is it fair to say that the contents of your 4 statement and indeed of your email of the 15th May has 5 to be read in that context?

16:02

16:03

16:03

- A. Yes. And I accept that my statement or my email
  referred to the broad issue of the allegation by Ms. G,
  whereas in fact I now understand that what was being
  actually raised was the decision of the DPP in relation
  to it. And I accept that I didn't appreciate that
  subtlety.
- 12 645 Q. You have been very clear in your evidence in relation
  13 to that. In relation to your reference in your email
  14 of the 15th, this is the bit in practices, the page
  15 escapes me, I'm afraid, where you refer to further
  16 advice being taken by the Commissioner?
- 17 A. That it might be taken, yes.
- 18 646 Q. Yes?
- 19 A. That was based on my conversation with Ken O'Leary.
- 20 647 Q. Yes. I think he gave evidence this morning to explain
  21 that he understood there was to be further engagement
  22 and that seems to have then become in your email that
  23 further advice may be taken?
- 24 A. That was the basis for it, yes.
- 25 648 Q. And then finally, Mr. Flahive, just in relation to your 16:03
  26 email, it was put to Mr. O'Leary this morning that you
  27 identified in your email that there was an attack on
  28 Sergeant McCabe's motivation; (a) is that what you say
  29 in your email and (b) is that what you understood was

1	happening? And your email is at page 3483.	
2	CHAIRMAN: Well, it says an aggressive stance,	
3	Mr. Dignam. No one put it to any of the barristers or	
4	indeed to the solicitor, all of whom have given	
5	evidence, that they were in any way aggressive towards	16:04
6	Sergeant McCabe. That was never put.	
7	MR. DIGNAM: Yes.	
8	CHAIRMAN: If that is a case that anybody wants to make	
9	they could have made it. They didn't make it.	
10	MR. DIGNAM: Thank you.	16:04
11	CHAIRMAN: It was mentioned on occasion that Sergeant	
12	McCabe was upset on three or four occasions, but	
13	apparently that upset came not when he was being	
14	questioned by anyone on behalf of the Garda	
15	Commissioner but indeed by counsel on behalf of the	16:04
16	Commission itself. And as I said at the time, anyone	
17	who is used to being in court realises that it can be	
18	the mention of a subject that gets people upset. It	
19	doesn't mean that you are browbeating or yelling at	
20	them.	16:05
21	MR. DIGNAM: Thank you.	
22	CHAIRMAN: Was there a question you wanted to ask about	
23	that?	
24	MR. DIGNAM: No, I think that clarifies it, Chairman.	
25	I was getting to the point of whether in fact	16:05
26	Mr. Flahive was describing it whether his view was	
27	that it was an aggressive stance.	
28	CHAIRMAN: That's a fair question. Do you want to	
29	answer that?	

1		Α.	This is now in the email by Ken O'Leary that was copied	
2			to me?	
3	649	Q.	MR. DIGNAM: I suppose, I should perhaps put two	
4			questions to you. Firstly in the email from Ken	
5			O'Leary in July	16:05
6		Α.	Yes.	
7	650	Q.	on foot of the request by, who turned out to be	
8			Mr. Burke, of RTÉ, there is a reference to an	
9			aggressive stance being taken?	
10		Α.	Yes.	16:05
11	651	Q.	Was it your view that an aggressive stance was being	
12			taken or did you know enough about what was occurring	
13			to have an opinion on it?	
14		Α.	I knew nothing about it. And, as I said, my	
15			interpretation of that phrase, that sentence in that	16:05
16			email, was that that was Ken O'Leary's description of	
17			what was being asserted by the media. It wasn't Ken	
18			O'Leary's personal characterisation of what had	
19			actually happened.	
20			CHAIRMAN: It was the query which came from	16:06
21			responsibly came from John Burke	
22		Α.	That's my understanding.	
23			CHAIRMAN: of This Week who presumably had been told	
24			that by somebody.	
25		Α.	That was my understanding. Thank you.	16:06
26			CHAIRMAN: I beg your pardon.	
27				
28			THE WITNESS WAS THEN CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MEEHAN	
20	652	0	MB MEELIANI Vany briafly Chairman Ac you know	

Т			Mr. Flanive, my name is Gerard Meenan, I'm acting for	
2			you and the Department. Just very briefly, returning	
3			to your famous email I think by now of the 15th May,	
4			you say "Chris, can you pass this on to the Minister	
5			for information, please?" Can you just explain what	16:06
6			you understood that phrase "for information" to mean in	
7			the civil service context?	
8		Α.	In the civil service it's a term of art, which is	
9			understood to mean that it is for information and not	
10			for action. So, at one level the words mean precisely	16:06
11			what they say, but they have a slightly stronger	
12			meaning in terms of civil service language, in that, as	
13			I went on to say in an email "I do not think she had	
14			any function in relation to intervening in the matter".	
15	653	Q.	And would that have applied to all the other recipients	16:07
16			as well?	
17		Α.	Yes. It would.	
18	654	Q.	Okay. Thank you Mr. Flahive.	
19			CHAIRMAN: That's it? Ms. Leader, did you have	
20			questions in re-examination?	16:07
21				
22			THE WITNESS WAS THEN RE-EXAMINED BY MR. LEADER:	
23	655	Q.	MS. LEADER: Just one question, sir. Mr. Flahive, is	
24			there any sense that yourself and Mr. O'Leary regarded	
25			the phone call from the Commissioner as a leak from the	16:07
26			Commission and an illegitimate source of information?	
27		Α.	No. I never regarded it as such.	
28	656	Q.	So was there any deliberate decision made to exclude	
29			Mr. O'Leary's source of knowledge from the Minister?	

1 I was -- well, I was not taking any decision to Α. 2 deliberately exclude it. I was simply forwarding information based on my phone call. Now I did include 3 those words in facts. 4 5 657 Yes. Q. 16:08 6 Because I thought that was relevant, information Α. 7 relevant to what I was saying. But I was simply 8 reporting what I had been told. And I take it from your answers to Mr. Meehan, that 9 658 Q. there was a deliberate decision made between yourself 10 16:08 and Mr. O'Leary not to speak to the Minister about the 11 12 telephone call? 13 About the telephone call from the Commissioner? Α. From both the Commissioner. 14 659 0. And from Richard Barrett? 15 Α. 16:08 16 660 Yes. To speak to the Minister about it. Ο. 17 I wouldn't characterise it as a decision not to speak Α. 18 to the Minister. We would have been perfectly -- I 19 would have been perfectly happy to speak to the 20 Minister or anyone else if they had sought any 16:08 clarification or if they wanted to discuss the matter. 21 22 But because of what I was saying in my email I wasn't 23 seeking a discussion, because my whole basis was that 24 there was no function for the Minister in intervening 25 in the matter. But if the Minister or anyone else had any doubts about that or wanted to seek clarification I 26 27 would have been perfectly happy to discuss it. But the Minister didn't actually know about the 28 661 Q. 29 Commissioner phoning Mr. O'Leary, isn't that correct?

1			She couldn't have, because nobody told her?	
2		Α.	It would appear so, yes.	
3	662	Q.	Yes. So is there any sense that that information was	
4			kept from the Minister on a deliberate basis?	
5		Α.	Well, all I can say is that I wasn't keeping it from	16:09
6			the Minister. I was simply conveying the important	
7			message I got from Richard Barrett as best I could,	
8			supplementing it with that additional information. But	
9			I wasn't consciously hiding anything. I was trying to	
10			convey a very full message to the Minister.	16:09
11	663	Q.	Thank you very much.	
12				
13			THE WITNESS WAS THEN QUESTIONED BY THE CHAIRMAN:	
14	664	Q.	CHAIRMAN: I have two questions, and one is my own	
15			sense of confusion as to the dramatis personae and	16:09
16			where they rank. Because someone said I think Ken	
17			O'Leary you were Ken O'Leary's superior or vice	
18			versa?	
19		Α.	No. Ken O'Leary was Deputy Secretary General. I was,	
20			and am, Assistant Secretary. So he was superior to me.	16:10
21	665	Q.	CHAIRMAN: Okay. Then Noel Waters was obviously the	
22			Secretary General?	
23		Α.	Acting, yes.	
24	666	Q.	CHAIRMAN: Acting, yes. And then John Twomey is who?	
25		Α.	He's a deputy Garda Commissioner? John Twomey?	16:10
26	667	Q.	CHAIRMAN: Really. Okay. All right. He hasn't come	
27			into it so far. That is not a signal that he is or	
28			whatever, but let's not worry about that. And then	
29			Denis X Griffin?	

- 1 A. Denis Griffin.
- 2 668 Q. CHAIRMAN: Just picking up names from emails.
- 3 A. Denis Griffin was Noel waters' private secretary.
- 4 669 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And then Christopher Quattrociocchi?
- 5 A. Quattrociocchi.
- 6 670 Q. CHAIRMAN: Was the private secretary to the Minister?

16:10

16:11

16:11

16:11

16:11

- 7 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 8 671 Q. CHAIRMAN: And therefore the conduit for getting stuff
- 9 to the Minister?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 672 Q. CHAIRMAN: And whereas you mentioned something about,
- look, I could email the Minister directly but if I did
- that wouldn't necessarily mean that it was considered
- in detail, or there was some sense that if you sent it
- to the private secretary, the private secretary would
- make sure the Minister actually read it, are you saying
- 17 something like that?
- 18 A. I am saying that. Like, it did happen that I would
- 19 email the Minister direct sometimes and she would email
- 20 me, but there's always the risk that with the huge
- 21 number of emails that the Minister would get that
- 22 something could be overlooked. Whereas if it was given
- to the private secretary, the private secretary would
- 24 make sure that it was put in front of the Minister and
- 25 that it just wasn't overlooked in the avalanche of
- 26 material.
- 27 673 Q. CHAIRMAN: Would you not be under an avalanche as well?
- 28 A. I mean, in the circumstances it is probably the safest
- way to do it.

Т	6/4	Q.	CHAIRMAN: Okay. The other question I want to ask you	
2			is: Do you remember the question I asked Ken O'Leary.	
3			Look, if in the heel of the hunt you were made aware of	
4			the fact that someone was going to deploy, as a weapon	
5			against Sergeant McCabe, the actual allegation of child	16:12
6			sexual abuse made by Ms. D, which had been ruled on by	
7			the DPP not to be a sexual assault, not to be an	
8			assault, even if there was no credibility issues	
9			attached to it, I'm paraphrasing what she said on the	
10			occasion	16:12
11		Α.	Mm-hmm.	
12	675	Q.	CHAIRMAN: He had told me that, look, if that had	
13			happened that would have overwritten everything and it	
14			would have gone straight to the Minister. What is your	
15			stance on that?	16:12
16		Α.	Well, first of all, just to be absolutely clear, I	
17			didn't for one second imagine that that was being put.	
18	676	Q.	CHAIRMAN: No, you have told me that. Yes.	
19		Α.	If that had been my understanding, yes, it would have	
20			been a significantly different matter. And I would	16:12
21			have thought it necessary to have immediate discussions	
22			with the Minister, the Secretary General and probably	
23			the Attorney General to see what could be done.	
24	677	Q.	CHAIRMAN: Yes. Now the other thing I suppose is this:	
25			No case has been made here that counsel towards	16:13
26			Sergeant McCabe were in any way aggressive, so that's,	
27			in terms of legal procedure, that means it didn't	
28			happen. If aggression had, for instance, at that time	
29			heen brought to your attention, and it wasn't and	

Τ			nobody has made that case here, would that again have	
2			been something that you would have regarded as an	
3			overriding consideration and perhaps requiring you to	
4			act in some way?	
5		Α.	Well, my basic position would still be that the	16:13
6			Commission was under the Chairmanship of a	
7			distinguished judge and I would have had every faith in	
8			that judge.	
9	678	Q.	CHAIRMAN: I know all about that.	
10		Α.	So I mean	16:13
11	679	Q.	CHAIRMAN: But I mean, let's suppose you were told	
12			that?	
13		Α.	I would think that would be a matter for the judge. I	
14			mean, it's a matter of judgment as to where that line	
15			is.	16:14
16	680	Q.	CHAIRMAN: Do you think the Minister should have known	
17			about it if it had been drawn to your attention as a	
18			fact as opposed to a query coming from somebody?	
19		Α.	If that was drawn to my attention, I would probably	
20			have included that, yes. Yes, I think would.	16:14
21	681	Q.	CHAIRMAN: That is fair enough. Thank you very much.	
22				
23			So is it ten o'clock in the morning? It is.	
24				
25			THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW	16:14
26				
27			THE HEARING THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TUESDAY, 30TH JANUARY	
28			2018 AT 10:00AM	
29				

•	151:23, 176:12	<b>3344</b> [1] - 154:13	9	133:10, 188:26
	<b>2005</b> [2] - 122:1,	<b>3347</b> [2] - 9:10, 66:2		_ achieve [1] - 20:26
Unation w 160:14	124:29	<b>3348</b> [5] - 31:10,	0 to 4.7 20.25	achieved [1] -
'Justice [1] - 162:14	<b>2006</b> [2] - 82:12,	66:4, 66:17, 67:13,	<b>9</b> [2] - 4:7, 38:25	153:26
'points [1] - 21:8	82:13	153:10	90% [1] - 124:12	acknowledge [1] -
	— <b>2007</b> [1] - 181:8	<b>3468</b> [1] - 5:10	<b>94</b> [1] - 27:11	70:13
1	<b>2009</b> [2] - 5:14, 5:17	<b>3470</b> [1] - 7:1		<ul><li>acknowledged [1] -</li></ul>
	<b>2011</b> [1] - 82:13	<b>3483</b> [2] - 41:6, 194:1	Α	125:3
4 m 100.2	<b>2012</b> [2] - 82:15,	<b>3484</b> [5] - 52:10,		- ACME [3] - 106:14,
<b>1</b> [1] - 106:3	187:19	157:8, 157:11,	-bl 440:40	106:15, 121:1
<b>107</b> [1] - 4:17	<b>2014</b> [17] - 1:4, 11:3,	157:12, 187:22	aback [1] - 118:10	acronym [1] - 106:12
<b>10:00AM</b> [1] - 201:28	99:29, 122:8, 122:20,	<b>3486</b> [1] - 55:22	<b>able</b> [6] - 32:3, 60:10,	Act [6] - 122:1,
<b>11th</b> [5] - 168:13,	123:1, 125:7, 125:22,	<b>3766</b> [1] - 87:16	89:14, 94:2, 98:9,	124:29, 128:9,
168:15, 175:1, 175:2,	126:5, 127:19,	<b>3783</b> [2] - 91:6, 97:6	116:14	151:23, 165:29,
175:4	128:13, 128:19,		<b>ably</b> [1] - 149:22	176:12
<b>121</b> [1] - 4:20		<b>3rd</b> [1] - 132:5	above-named [1] -	
<b>12:20</b> [1] - 8:8	160:2, 160:8, 161:12,		<del>-</del> 1:27	<b>ACT</b> [2] - 1:4, 1:9
<b>14</b> [1] - 2:25	163:17, 167:16	4	absence [2] - 6:23,	act [4] - 47:27, 54:29,
<b>15</b> <sub>[2]</sub> - 17:22, 72:13	<b>2015</b> [25] - 5:15, 7:5,		49:17	162:21, 201:4
<b>159</b> [1] - 4:21	8:8, 9:18, 10:10,	Am. 170:11	absolutely [22] -	Acting [3] - 153:12,
<b>15:26</b> [1] - 72:14	10:16, 11:4, 26:13,	<b>4</b> [1] - 178:11	13:22, 20:18, 22:2,	154:8, 155:27
	51:13, 72:14, 82:6,	<b>40</b> [1] - 118:5	28:3, 82:22, 84:17,	acting [6] - 18:20,
<b>15:29</b> [1] - 90:1	82:16, 83:3, 99:7,	<b>42</b> [1] - 124:28	86:20, 102:9, 109:27,	108:25, 162:17,
<b>15th</b> [32] - 7:5, 10:9,	115:23, 121:17,	<b>4th</b> [2] - 51:12, 51:13		196:1, 198:23, 198:24
26:13, 50:23, 51:8,	132:9, 154:14, 157:2,		116:11, 118:24,	action [21] - 1:28,
58:9, 59:29, 63:21,	157:22, 158:7, 160:2,	5	133:6, 142:27,	42:5, 80:11, 80:12,
65:28, 68:7, 69:10,	189:10, 189:19,	-	_ 142:29, 146:19,	80:13, 94:19, 103:13,
69:14, 70:3, 70:5,	192:15		151:25, 164:23,	124:9, 124:11,
70:8, 70:10, 72:14,	<b>2016</b> [3] - 56:10,	<b>5</b> [1] - 4:4	173:29, 174:9,	124:13, 124:22,
77:13, 83:17, 90:3,	82:16, 82:18	<b>50</b> [2] - 1:18, 118:5	191:15, 200:16	131:14, 140:22,
106:22, 113:28,	<b>2017</b> [3] - 1:6, 1:10,	<b>58</b> [1] - 4:8	abstract [1] - 37:5	146:3, 146:10,
114:2, 115:5, 115:23,	27:22	<b>5:55</b> [2] - 97:14,	<b>abuse</b> [1] - 200:6	
132:13, 154:27,		103:2	abused [1] - 79:14	156:27, 157:5,
189:10, 192:15,	<b>2018</b> [3] - 1:18, 5:1,	5th [1] - 173:15	accept [9] - 47:12,	165:27, 168:14,
193:4, 193:14, 196:3	201:28		_ 68:29, 74:29, 75:4,	175:27, 196:10
<b>16</b> [1] - 1:6	<b>21:05</b> [2] - 70:4, 70:8	6	145:1, 145:8, 145:16,	activity [1] - 127:18
<b>16:16</b> [4] - 26:18,	<b>24th</b> [1] - 178:10	·	<b>–</b> 193:6, 193:10	actors [1] - 9:25
70:3, 70:7, 70:29	<b>25th</b> [3] - 8:7,		acceptable [2] -	actual [3] - 29:14,
<b>16:33</b> [1] - 90:24	154:14, 189:19	<b>6</b> [2] - 107:17, 121:11	18:16, 54:23	114:15, 200:5
	<b>27th</b> [1] - 9:17	<b>65</b> [1] - 4:9	accepted [4] - 30:22,	acutely [2] - 93:11,
<b>16:57</b> [1] - 7:5	<b>29</b> [1] - 3:5	<b>689</b> [1] - 89:27		104:28
<b>17</b> [1] - 1:10	29TH [2] - 1:18, 5:1	<b>692</b> [2] - 103:18,	93:18, 175:28, 185:18	add [2] - 24:7, 128:5
<b>17:05</b> [1] - 152:4		– 116:28	accepting [2] -	additional [6] - 25:9,
<b>17th</b> [3] - 56:25,	3	6th [3] - 27:22,	31:14, 150:24	128:6, 130:19, 168:9,
176:7			access [8] - 69:26,	198:8
<b>18th</b> [4] - 63:8,		125:6, 126:7	83:21, 83:27, 83:29,	additionally [1] -
153:10, 154:28,	<b>3</b> [1] - 171:2		<b>–</b> 86:7, 106:28, 107:8,	84:8
187:10	<b>300</b> [1] - 30:2	7	167:26	
<b>190</b> [1] - 4:22	30th [2] - 125:21,		accompany [1] -	address [7] - 12:11,
<b>1921</b> [1] - 1:10	126:5	<b>7</b> [41 - 2:13	16:17	54:20, 142:3, 153:20,
<b>195</b> [1] - 4:23	<b>30TH</b> [1] - 201:27	<b>7</b> [1] - 2:13	accordingly [1] -	153:29, 158:17,
<b>196</b> [1] - 4:24	<b>32</b> [1] - 2:12	<b>71</b> [1] - 4:10	33:21	159:16
<b>198</b> [1] - 4:25	<b>320</b> [4] - 124:1,	<b>73</b> [1] - 4:11	account [14] - 18:17,	addressed [5] - 8:13,
<b>196</b> [1] - 4.25 <b>19th</b> [2] - 161:18,		<b>74</b> [1] - 4:12	21:9, 26:6, 32:5, 32:6,	22:18, 51:2, 122:29,
163:16	130:6, 162:22, 165:24	<b>77</b> [1] - 4:13	33:10, 49:11, 71:29,	163:16
	<b>3327</b> [1] - 82:5	<b>7th</b> [1] - 126:9	80:26, 80:27, 80:29,	addressees [1] -
<b>1st</b> [1] - 107:6	<b>3328</b> [4] - 95:3,		81:1, 159:9, 159:19	185:18
	95:17, 107:17, 107:27	8		addressing [3] -
2	<b>3336</b> [1] - 121:11		accountability [1] -	31:18, 72:13, 145:22
	<b>3340</b> [1] - 139:22		10:21	adduce [3] - 43:9,
	<b>3341</b> [1] - 152:4	<b>8</b> [2] - 2:20, 3:14	accountable [1] -	141:10, 148:25
2 101 2.6 104.6	0011[1] 102.1			
<b>2</b> [2] - 3:6, 181:6	<b>3342</b> [1] - 152:19	<b>82</b> [1] - 4:16	14:4	
<b>2</b> [2] - 3:6, 181:6 <b>2000</b> [1] - 78:2 <b>2004</b> [3] - 5:17,		<b>82</b> [1] - 4:16	14:4 accusing [2] -	adequacy [3] - 191:10, 192:6, 192:11

adequate [1] - 192:1 adjourned [1] - 84:9	66:21 <b>advisory</b> [7] - 82:7,	<b>alerted</b> [8] - 30 43:20, 164:8, 16
ADJOURNED [2] -	82:20, 82:26, 83:1,	164:13, 164:14,
92:18, 201:27	94:15, 109:10, 109:16	177:15, 177:23
	<b>affairs</b> [2] - 17:19,	alerting [1] - 80
adjournment [1] - 91:10	186:19	<b>All'</b> [1] - 162:14
adopt [3] - 50:11,	afraid [1] - 193:15	allegation [50]
53:1, 187:26	<b>AFTER</b> [1] - 93:1 <b>aftermath</b> [1] - 29:12	41:23, 41:25, 42
adopting [1] - 47:5	afternoon [38] - 26:7,	79:27, 84:15, 10
advance [4] - 26:15,		118:11, 123:12, 126:1, 132:29, <i>1</i>
0:1, 164:11, 164:14	26:9, 26:13, 26:22, 27:3, 28:14, 38:11,	133:8, 133:12,
advanced [3] - 23:3,	39:8, 39:16, 41:15,	140:11, 140:13,
5:9, 111:13	46:15, 50:28, 57:19,	140:20, 143:8,
adversarial [2] - 19:7, 119:15	62:3, 62:16, 65:25,	143:14, 143:19,
	67:29, 68:7, 68:11,	143:20, 143:26,
<b>adverse</b> [2] - 129:20, 30:1	69:13, 70:3, 70:10,	144:4, 144:20,
	72:16, 72:22, 77:13,	144:29, 145:2,
<b>advice</b> [40] - 16:9, 0:15, 31:20, 32:1,	83:17, 85:4, 90:3,	145:29, 146:8,
4:6, 34:11, 37:6,	97:2, 104:16, 107:4,	146:23, 146:29,
7:17, 47:20, 48:10,	107:5, 112:18, 140:3,	149:1, 150:25,
68:15, 58:18, 62:27,	142:8, 155:15,	164:25, 165:3,
3:2, 67:18, 69:6,	159:28, 159:29	169:29, 171:18,
75:22, 76:1, 82:26,	afterwards [3] -	172:2, 172:10,
2:27, 87:2, 88:21,	36:15, 102:24, 131:5	173:25, 174:12,
1:20, 128:2, 131:14,	AG's [1] - 115:7	174:15, 177:20,
35:14, 141:4, 147:4,	agency [1] - 13:29	179:17, 183:3,
147:29, 149:11,	aggression [1] -	184:5, 191:11,
151:5, 155:29,	200:28	191:13, 193:7, 2
56:22, 156:23,	aggressive [12] -	allegations [22
156:26, 157:15,	53:2, 56:19, 56:20,	84:8, 85:16, 89:
185:29, 193:16,	187:15, 187:27,	89:12, 107:21,
93:23	188:6, 194:2, 194:5,	108:10, 110:1,
advice) [1] - 42:14	194:27, 195:9,	110:15, 111:16,
advice [1] - 43:1	195:11, 200:26	114:3, 115:21,
advices [5] - 89:25,	<b>AGO</b> [4] - 87:27,	122:25, 123:8,
90:16, 91:23, 92:2,	91:16, 103:2, 112:10	123:17, 143:4, 1
97:7	<b>ago</b> [3] - 53:5, 88:25,	161:16, 161:19,
advisable [1] -	145:5	162:28, 179:12,
130:20	<b>AGO's</b> [2] - 104:3,	192:12
advise [7] - 44:27,	116:29	alleged [4] - 33
45:16, 45:17, 45:19,	<b>agree</b> [5] - 62:4,	125:28, 163:28,
18:1, 135:27, 136:10	76:10, 129:28, 141:6,	<b>allowed</b> [2] - 10 165:29
advised [17] - 15:9,	192:7	almost [5] - 94
25:5, 38:3, 42:8, 43:6,	agreed [8] - 40:12,	123:23, 131:19,
13:24, 44:11, 44:14,	43:5, 50:21, 128:20,	163:13, 165:19
45:6, 45:13, 50:13,	134:10, 135:21,	Alps [1] - 180:2
51:14, 130:16,	136:26, 175:18	alternatively
140:27, 141:7,	agreeing [1] - 148:21 AGS [1] - 108:3	22:10, 61:3
147:23, 148:22		amounted [1]
adviser <sub>[2]</sub> - 108:25, 185:8	ahead [1] - 128:11	analysis [3] - 4
	air [2] - 72:24, 75:24	59:6, 175:8
advisers [11] - 31:19, 33:29, 35:15, 35:25,	al [1] - 113:26	<b>AND</b> [3] - 1:4, 1
16:7, 52:14, 52:16,	Alan [1] - 11:7	1:9
62:7, 62:21, 67:17,	alarm [1] - 138:20	ANGLESEA[1
67:22	alarming [1] - 79:21	Annmarie [16]
advising [2] - 30:3,	<b>albeit</b> [3] - 125:12, 137:10, 139:3	23:20, 24:7, 43:
	131.10, 138.3	
50:12	alert [3] - 44:23	92:4, 92:13, 96:
_	<b>alert</b> [3] - 44:23, 49:7, 52:7	92:4, 92:13, 96: 97:3, 97:20, 98:

0:10, 109:8, 110:28, 64:11, 113:16, 113:24, 114:1, 114:6, 116:19 announced [1] -0:1 162:8 4 answer [10] - 9:1, 13:7, 57:29, 74:12, 118:6, 149:8, 154:19, 2:2, 154:22, 159:22, 08:20, 194:29 133:3, answered [1] - 149:8 138:2, answers [2] -189:11, 197:9 anxious [6] - 98:7, 138:11, 178:10, 178:23, 179:28, 180:4 anyway [5] - 18:3, 21:21, 102:5, 136:29, 157:9 apart [2] - 75:11, 156:2 apparent [5] - 19:2, 57:24, 102:28, 113:10, 175:9 appear [18] - 31:28, 34:29, 47:6, 47:7, 183:5, 53:20, 54:9, 65:15, 91:6, 102:28, 177:3, 200:5 177:16, 179:1, 179:5, 2] -179:27, 183:28, ):7, 188:19, 190:27, 198:2 108:1, APPEARANCES[1] -2.1 appeared [1] -116:10 appearing [1] -145:1, 146:15 application [1] -163:1 applied [4] - 15:27, 3:9, 18:18, 142:3, 196:15 , 170:3 **apply** [2] - 33:13, 160:9, 71:24 applying [1] - 158:22 1:24, appointed [3] - 9:16, 98:19, 123:15 appreciate [12] -22 18:27, 50:10, 68:9, [2] -68:21, 78:17, 79:5, 90:15, 133:4, 137:1, - 124:4 170:10, 174:4, 193:10 46:15, appreciated [4] -130:4, 133:23, 1:5, 133:28, 170:6 apprised [1] - 64:19 1] - 3:5 approach [20] -20:14, 20:22, 30:20, :25, 40:22, 42:11, 46:7, 3:13, 46:8, 50:11, 94:1, :2, 95:12, 112:9, 113:3,

113:9, 113:11, 114:19, 114:28, 115:17, 115:21, 140:29, 147:25 appropriate [19] -5:27, 20:28, 51:5, 55:8, 72:4, 92:14, 94:1, 95:26, 95:28, 96:3, 98:8, 100:15, 101:6, 101:28, 102:6, 120:4, 120:16, 125:4, 151:9 appropriately [2] -94:26, 100:18 approval [3] -152:26, 153:8, 176:9 approved [2] -102:18, 162:16 April [7] - 9:17, 10:15, 125:22, 126:5, 127:19, 160:8, 173:16 Archdiocese [1] -119:11 area [2] - 34:10, 158:6 areas [1] - 190:28 argue [3] - 42:6, 140:25, 147:18 argued [2] - 147:11, 149:20 argument [3] -134:18, 147:6, 182:25 arise [4] - 22:4, 51:4, 71:17, 177:13 arisen [19] - 18:14, 27:8, 27:24, 28:25, 30:4, 30:12, 38:5, 38:8, 39:29, 42:22, 48:27, 62:2, 80:6, 84:27, 84:29, 122:29, 136:2, 136:4, 186:12 arises [5] - 35:18, 35:19, 72:29, 75:7, 76:1 arising [9] - 14:21, 20:13, 27:24, 73:5, 77:25, 85:28, 133:5, 160:3, 186:28 arose [7] - 20:26, 23:2, 24:28, 27:17, 46:27, 157:16, 189:28 **ARRAN**[1] - 2:12 arrange [3] - 6:6, 130:27, 167:25 arranged [3] -167:23, 172:13, 172:15 arrangement [2] -82:19, 172:22

arrangements [1] -

131:3 art [1] - 196:8 articles [1] - 163:26 **AS** [2] - 5:2, 93:1 aside [4] - 99:22, 99:28, 102:5, 105:1 aspect [7] - 17:2, 56:12, 122:6, 133:2, 143:23, 143:25, 146:29 aspects [5] - 10:2, 53:25, 108:26, 108:29, 122:4 assault [12] - 45:29, 79:19, 107:21, 108:1, 110:1, 118:11, 144:20, 146:24, 163:29, 200:7, 200:8 asserted [1] - 195:17 assessed [1] - 98:15 assessment [1] -110:24 assessments [1] -99:19 assigned [1] - 109:6 assist [7] - 6:8, 12:1, 22:13, 63:23, 72:12, 116:14, 168:17 assistance [5] -31:9, 31:11, 31:29, 56:28, 189:4 assistant [3] - 9:22, 39:16, 198:20 Assistant[1] -121:18 assisting [2] - 6:12, 115.15 assume [2] - 75:27, 173:12 assumed [1] -173:21 assumptions [1] -62:11 assure [2] - 32:4, 71:11 **AT**[1] - 201:28 atmosphere [1] -186:16 attached [1] - 200:9 attack [2] - 45:28, 193:27 attempt [7] - 55:17, 90:8, 90:9, 97:27, 119:21, 148:15, 182:22 attempting [3] -54:20, 181:26, 181:27 attend [1] - 160:9 attended [2] -160:15, 160:17

attention [21] - 6:17, 7:27, 8:2, 44:5, 45:5, 59:26, 79:20, 80:10, 95:21, 96:21, 99:3, 100:6, 100:11, 100:13, 100:23, 100:29, 103:22, 141:27, 200:29, 201:17, 201:19 attitude [2] - 12:19, Attorney [52] - 23:24, 23:29, 24:10, 39:25, 40:9, 43:7, 44:12, 45:3, 45:4, 45:8, 50:12, 59:9, 59:19, 82:8, 82:12, 82:23, 82:25, 82:27, 83:26, 86:18, 93:20, 94:5, 94:16, 95:14, 98:21, 99:16, 101:19, 101:21, 101:23, 102:4, 102:15, 105:15, 106:9, 112:12, 112:20, 113:5, 114:21, 115:1, 129:25, 136:4, 136:28, 141:8, 148:23, 160:17, 166:29, 168:21, 177:7, 185:2, 185:3, 192:18, 192:21, 200:23

82:20, 83:4, 83:27, 86:15, 86:24, 88:23, 89:4, 90:25, 93:6, 93:8, 93:15, 93:25, 94:24, 96:17, 96:21, 100:23, 115:17, 120:12, 131:18, 135:18, 150:9 Attorneys [1] - 45:15 attractive [1] - 60:14 attribute [1] - 137:11 August[1] - 158:7 authorisation [3] -42:12, 141:2, 147:27 authorised [3] -42:11, 140:29, 147:25 authorities [2] -53:6. 55:7 automatic [1] -163:13 autumn [2] - 128:14, 128:17

avail [1] - 124:23

34:6, 34:12, 67:19,

available [7] - 25:3,

Attorney's [24] -

40:15, 43:21, 60:27,

90:2, 90:9, 157:27 avalanche [2] -199:25, 199:27 avenue [1] - 56:13 avoid [1] - 179:28 avoided [1] - 30:18 await [1] - 87:17 aware [56] - 5:25, 6:26, 11:28, 13:20, 24:9, 24:10, 24:25, 31:5, 54:8, 57:13, 65:3, 68:17, 68:18, 69:9, 70:19, 84:22, 88:26, 89:10, 91:9, 94:1, 95:14, 96:3, 98:8, 102:6, 104:28, 109:9, 109:12, 110:28, 113:5, 115:1, 116:9, 116:10, 118:26, 119:5, 119:14, 133:11, 133:13, 144:7, 150:19, 152:11, 155:14, 158:16, 159:8, 164:16, 164:25, 169:22, 176:6, 177:4, 177:20, 185:1, 186:20, 186:24, 186:27, 186:28, 188:1, 200:3 awareness [2] -65:6. 172:2 awkward [1] - 64:3

В

background [8] -10:11, 11:17, 21:7, 29:27, 31:21, 54:7, 106:24, 179:8 backwards [1] -154:27 Bailieboro [3] -11:26, 163:24, 167:3 Bailieboro-type [1] balance [1] - 47:23 balances [1] - 152:2 **BALDWIN** [1] - 3:5 ball [1] - 154:27 balls [1] - 72:24 Bandon [1] - 11:15 barely [2] - 123:10 BARRETT[1] - 2:22 Barrett [35] - 23:28, 24:4, 40:14, 41:15, 43:26, 43:29, 49:24, 50:5, 59:9, 88:18, 88:22, 89:11, 90:20,

96:5, 96:6, 102:7, 102:23, 104:15, 104:27, 105:12, 105:15, 115:26, 131:18, 132:20, 133:18, 137:23, 137:25, 138:9, 138:16, 140:3, 142:8, 185:21, 192:18, 197:15, 198:7 Barrett's [3] - 61:20, 95:21, 132:18 barristers [2] -123:14, 194:3 based [14] - 16:12, 16:19, 16:23, 30:23, 31:16, 54:6, 61:29, 111:18, 130:25, 192:17, 192:20, 192:24, 193:19, 197:3 basic [3] - 117:28, 179:16, 201:5 basis [25] - 6:15, 14:21, 19:13, 31:2, 42:6, 49:4, 53:27, 54:10. 78:10. 79:13. 102:20. 115:8. 131:22, 135:11, 140:25, 147:18, 156:25, 163:2, 165:16, 175:19, 182:25, 184:9, 193:24, 197:23, 198:4 bear [1] - 144:16 bearing [1] - 118:10 became [8] - 10:16, 12:10, 92:11, 109:12, 119:18, 126:13, 126:14, 191:7 become [14] - 21:18,

21:19, 24:9, 24:10, 30:19, 30:21, 46:20, 68:18, 78:7, 93:17, 114:4, 186:3, 193:22 becomes [2] - 48:1, becoming [5] - 26:3, 27:2, 37:16, 161:24, 184:29 **BEEN** [4] - 5:7, 9:12, 82:1, 121:14 beg [3] - 41:2, 184:2, 195:26 began [1] - 132:11 behalf [7] - 57:15, 65:15, 96:24, 148:28, 190:27, 194:14, 194:15 behaviour[1] - 86:1

belief [1] - 28:13

bell [2] - 91:11, 120:2 below [3] - 8:9, 55:29, 154:17 beneficial [2] -35:20, 67:6 benefit [4] - 28:11, 37:21, 74:2, 83:29 best [22] - 15:27, 20:27, 28:12, 28:17, 32:21, 36:14, 39:2, 44:14, 56:16, 66:5, 70:11, 70:15, 73:14, 73:28, 76:16, 80:6, 110:17, 110:25, 111:28, 152:13, 163:5, 198:7 bestsellers [1] -21:19 better [13] - 30:18, 32:2, 40:11, 50:6, 62:7, 68:24, 69:18, 78:19, 98:17, 141:24, 150:7, 180:24, 183:19 between [40] - 13:23, 18:29, 19:3, 21:26, 22:20, 28:20, 40:4, 42:19, 45:8, 46:25, 46:26, 50:18, 53:29, 57:15, 64:21, 64:29, 65:18, 69:12, 70:2, 72:10, 72:20, 72:28, 105:11. 119:9. 131:17, 136:22, 137:6, 138:1, 145:7, 149:4, 157:22, 159:4, 166:27, 168:20, 173:29, 174:2, 177:7, 179:2, 183:20, 197:10 beyond [2] - 102:1, 183:16 Birmingham [1] -118:27 bit [14] - 15:20, 20:24, 40:6, 43:23, 47:2, 76:27, 78:19, 78:20. 97:29. 109:28. 136:16, 153:23, 190:7, 193:14 bizarre [1] - 80:28 **BL** [9] - 2:7, 2:10, 2:16, 2:17, 3:1, 3:2, 3:2, 3:3, 3:11 blamed [1] - 53:8 blew [1] - 79:6 blue [1] - 109:28 blush [1] - 13:12 board [6] - 12:8. 23:29, 32:7, 58:20, 72:9, 125:29

body [1] - 149:25

75:6, 76:20, 171:5 89:23, 93:15, 94:17, cc [1] - 139:26 167:2, 170:10, boiled [2] - 47:24, 169:13 BY [40] - 1:5, 1:8, 101:21, 102:13, cc'd [1] - 103:21 170:15, 170:19, 170:28, 171:4, 171:6, bolt [1] - 109:28 2:11, 2:18, 2:23, 3:4, 111:2, 114:13, 117:5, cc'ed [1] - 6:22 124:26, 125:4, 127:2, 173:4. 173:11. book [1] - 107:17 3:12, 4:4, 4:7, 4:8, ceased [1] - 93:22 4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 127:6, 127:8, 127:11, 173:15, 173:19, books [2] - 5:10, central [5] - 11:2, 127:27, 127:28, 177:26, 177:29, 146.14 4:13, 4:16, 4:17, 4:20, 93:23, 120:12, 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 4:24, 128:7, 128:14, 129:9, 178:18, 178:26, borrow [1] - 174:19 158:27, 186:15 129:10, 130:6, 130:8, 178:29, 179:7, 4:25, 5:8, 9:13, 58:3, bottom [4] - 7:4, centre [2] - 35:2, 131:2, 131:4, 140:8, 179:18, 179:22, 65:13. 71:7. 73:3. 67:12, 67:24, 103:25 69:20 74:26, 77:22, 82:2, 140:17, 142:21, 180:1, 180:6, 180:9, bounce [1] - 154:26 **CERTAIN**[1] - 1:4 107:2, 121:15, 145:26, 146:20, 180:12, 180:15, bouncing [1] - 58:11 certain [6] - 80:11, 146:22, 146:25, 180:25, 180:27, 159:26, 190:25, bound [1] - 53:9 85:10, 96:14, 136:1, 195:28, 196:22, 157:16, 160:28, 181:4, 181:7, 181:24, bounds [1] - 183:16 136:28, 174:23 161:29, 162:1, 182:9, 182:20, 198:13 certainly [51] - 11:19, brackets [3] -**BYRNE** [1] - 3:2 162:25, 164:5, 182:26, 183:1, 135:12, 137:12, 148:5 15:11, 39:7, 46:3, 166:13, 166:19, 183:22, 183:26, Byrne's [1] - 63:4 53:28, 57:10, 58:17, break [1] - 92:15 167:9, 167:12, 183:29, 184:7, 63:16, 71:12, 87:14, BREFFNI [1] - 2:10 184:10, 184:14, 167:27, 169:14, C 88:4, 90:27, 90:29, Brendan [1] - 176:23 169:17, 170:12, 184:18, 184:23, 94:6, 94:11, 94:12, brief [3] - 32:29, 187:22, 187:24, 171:1, 171:11, 173:1, 96:27, 99:12, 100:8, 57:18, 104:6 Cabinet [18] - 125:9, 173:2, 174:1, 176:29, 187:29, 190:9. 101:10, 104:27, briefly [3] - 84:9, 125:17, 127:20, 178:23, 180:29, 190:14, 190:22, 105:19, 110:19, 195:29, 196:2 127:21, 127:22, 182:13, 191:7, 194:8, 194:2, 194:8, 194:11, 116:26, 117:15, bring [6] - 15:24, 127:23, 128:20, 200:25, 201:1 194:22, 194:28, 117:23, 119:14, 56:9, 107:17, 107:18, 131:16, 160:5, 160:6, 195:20. 195:23. cases [34] - 30:2, 119:16, 120:19, 172:15, 176:10 160:10, 160:18, 41:24, 123:25, 124:1, 195:26, 196:19, 133:22, 133:27, bringing [5] - 54:24, 160:27, 167:28, 124:8, 124:11, 198:13, 198:14, 134:11, 134:29, 95:20, 100:5, 103:22, 169:8, 169:9, 169:20, 124:12, 124:14, 198:21, 198:24, 135:25, 136:25, 180:29 176:11 198:26, 199:2, 199:4, 124:21, 125:2, 137:3. 137:9. 138:6. broad [2] - 97:23, cabinet [1] - 160:28 125:19, 125:27, 199:6, 199:8, 199:11, 138:10, 144:15, 193:7 Callinan [5] - 11:6, 126:24, 128:19, 199:27, 200:1, 145:6, 145:19, 147:5, broadcast [1] - 64:5 11:10, 78:27, 99:5, 200:12, 200:18, 128:27, 128:28, 147:12, 163:18, broadest [1] - 85:23 99:28 200.24 201.9 129:4, 130:6, 140:12, 168:3, 172:18, 173:8, broadly [2] - 35:26, candidate [1] -143:9, 143:29, 201:11, 201:16, 187:11, 188:6 160.22 166:14 144:27, 162:6, 162:7, 201:21 certify [1] - 1:25 broken [1] - 135:4 candidates [1] -163:24, 166:5, 167:4, Chairmanship [1] cetera [1] - 85:26 brought [16] - 8:1, 170:19, 170:25, 201:6 chain [1] - 24:13 45:4, 55:1, 79:20, cannot [2] - 76:7, 170:27, 176:17, chairperson [1] -Chair [3] - 129:27, 80:10, 99:2, 100:4, 133:27 176:20, 191:5 151:24 168:18, 168:21 100:11, 100:22, care [1] - 166:8 cast [2] - 106:5, challenge [3] chair [1] - 166:29 100:29, 101:3, 118:2, cared [1] - 111:4 106:21 23:19, 79:13, 181:11 chaired [3] - 160:7, 188:28, 189:17, career [3] - 9:21, CASTLE[1] - 1:17 challenged [2] -160:26, 166:1 200:29 10:4, 10:6 casual [1] - 113:26 150:2 170:20 Chairman [18] browbeating [1] careful [5] - 90:12, catch [1] - 31:9 chances [1] - 33:19 17:11, 27:18, 38:21, 194:19 90:26, 116:8, 166:25, categorical [1] change [3] - 27:19, 56:26, 73:24, 77:6, Browne's [1] - 171:1 174:14 119:14 86:1, 93:7 77:9, 81:4, 85:7, build [1] - 114:24 carefully [4] categorise [1] - 13:6 changed [2] - 54:28, 92:16, 117:24, 121:5, BUILDING [1] - 2:24 106:19, 109:14, CATHERINE[1] - 3:3 179:14 158:16, 178:8, bullet [3] - 22:3, 146:16, 150:15 caused [5] - 19:26, changes [2] -190:20, 190:23, 104:10, 117:8 carries [1] - 14:1 60:16, 86:1, 88:18, 165:20, 169:5 194:24, 195:29 bullet-point [2] carry [3] - 90:27, 161:6 changing [3] - 27:27, cHAIRMAN[1] - 77:7 22:3, 117:8 154.5 causing [3] - 46:28, 27:28, 92:10 CHAIRMAN [97] bullet-points [1] case [81] - 11:19, channel [1] - 185:2 47:1, 180:3 4:13, 4:25, 76:21, 104:10 12:14, 29:4, 29:13, caution [3] - 131:8, channels [3] - 43:24, 77:3, 77:16, 77:22, Burke [6] - 52:3, 29:15, 29:16, 29:21, 175:11, 175:16 43:27, 185:1 77:24, 78:6, 78:14, 55:29, 63:5, 63:6, 30:2, 32:17, 35:26, Cavan [1] - 55:14 characterisation [3] 78:17, 78:26, 79:3, 195:8, 195:21 40:4, 41:20, 41:29, Cavan-Monaghan - 37:23, 188:9, 195:18 80:7, 80:16, 80:21, **Burton** [1] - 160:12 45:7, 45:29, 46:20, [1] - 55:14 92:14, 154:26, 155:1, characterise [2] bus [1] - 170:21 48:26, 52:27, 64:11, 35:1, 197:17 caveat [2] - 66:4, 157:8, 157:11, business [7] - 6:2, 78:9, 79:11, 86:14, 135:1 characterised [1] -164:18, 164:21,

8:25, 8:28, 47:23,

13:17	cleanest [1] - 150:10	111:15, 122:1,	41:18, 43:9, 45:26,	11:21, 11:29, 12:1,
charge [4] - 9:23,	clear [58] - 14:14,	135:28, 141:21,	46:5, 46:12, 46:21,	12:11, 12:21, 13:5,
151:22, 186:19,	16:26, 20:2, 26:29,	155:27, 183:5	47:14, 47:21, 48:6,	13:13, 13:15, 13:24,
186:22	32:9, 34:20, 40:17,	collective [3] -	48:20, 48:28, 50:17,	14:18, 14:27, 15:26,
charging [1] - 161:7	46:18, 54:16, 54:21,	142:3, 153:20, 153:29	50:19, 51:25, 53:3,	16:1, 16:7, 16:20,
charity [1] - 21:22	61:1, 61:12, 73:11,	<b>Colm</b> [3] - 51:21,	53:11, 53:17, 53:23,	16:23, 16:27, 18:6,
CHARLES [1] - 3:12	76:14, 77:1, 77:2,	52:26, 55:28	54:1, 55:18, 56:11,	18:7, 18:21, 18:29,
Charles [1] - 72:26	77:9, 80:29, 83:29,	COLM [1] - 3:1	56:23, 57:14, 60:6,	19:4, 19:10, 19:19,
CHARLETON [2] -	85:14, 86:29, 87:12,	colourfully [1] -	60:12, 62:3, 64:4,	19:25, 19:27, 20:4,
• •	92:8, 97:26, 97:27,	76:23	66:24, 68:23, 71:16,	20:10, 20:14, 20:16,
1:12, 2:2	108:8, 110:8, 119:26,		71:26, 71:28, 72:23,	20:22, 20:25, 21:1,
chat [1] - 113:26	120:26, 123:20,	combative [1] - 97:28	76:3, 77:13, 79:29,	21:2, 21:23, 21:27,
chatty [1] - 78:10	124:7, 125:5, 127:5,	comfortable [1] -	80:14, 83:6, 84:8,	21:29, 22:8, 22:21,
checks [1] - 152:1	128:2, 130:18,	102:16	85:5, 88:28, 91:10,	22:28, 23:6, 23:14,
CHIEF [2] - 2:18,	130:28, 131:15,	coming [7] - 20:19,	99:23, 101:3, 104:21,	23:19, 24:20, 24:21,
3:12	132:22, 133:6,	23:4, 24:11, 69:19,	108:26, 111:13,	25:21, 26:18, 26:27,
Chief [8] - 23:21,	134:16, 134:22,		111:24, 112:2,	26:28, 27:7, 27:12,
24:6, 24:9, 36:20,	136:7, 136:11,	117:1, 123:8, 201:18	112:23, 114:15,	27:14, 27:24, 28:1,
66:23, 87:23, 179:3,	136:25, 146:6,	commence [1] - 132:11	117:27, 118:28,	28:5, 28:8, 28:21,
181:5	146:19, 149:11,		122:10, 124:16,	28:22, 30:3, 31:5,
<b>child</b> [2] - 79:14,	149:13, 151:1,	commenced [3] -	126:20, 126:29,	31:16, 33:16, 33:23,
200:5	156:15, 167:8,	31:2, 86:21, 165:13	127:4, 127:15,	33:28, 34:17, 34:25,
<b>choice</b> [2] - 127:13,	169:26, 173:28,	commencement [2]	127:25, 128:4,	35:29, 36:15, 36:24,
128:10	176:19, 188:18,	- 56:27, 113:18	128:12, 128:18,	37:3, 37:11, 38:2,
chose [1] - 44:25	189:26, 193:12,	comment [5] - 28:18,	129:21, 129:24,	38:3, 38:9, 39:21,
Chris [3] - 41:10,	200:16	55:8, 55:16, 103:18,	130:7, 130:12,	39:26, 40:5, 40:21,
139:29, 196:4	clearly [14] - 31:5,	114:25	131:15, 132:3, 132:4,	41:19, 42:10, 42:18,
Christmas [1] - 79:6	31:14, 55:18, 115:4,	commentator [1] -	132:15, 132:27,	42:20, 42:28, 43:6,
Christopher [3] -	122:28, 124:3,	18:19	134:20, 135:5,	43:13, 43:16, 44:1,
7:6, 52:21, 199:4	129:16, 133:22,	commentators [1] -	135:20, 135:24,	44:8, 44:18, 44:24,
CHRISTOPHER [1] -	139:3, 139:7, 156:17,	149:28	135:26, 136:1, 136:6,	45:23, 46:3, 46:26,
3:10	157:29, 164:5, 164:11	commenting [1] -	138:19, 140:4, 140:6,	47:5, 47:16, 47:17,
<b>circular</b> [1] - 16:6	clerical [1] - 5:11	53:3	141:9, 142:9, 142:19,	48:9, 48:18, 49:19,
circulate [2] - 16:16,	climate [1] - 19:15	comments [4] -	142:25, 144:6,	49:26, 50:14, 50:18,
104:6	<b>close</b> [5] - 14:2, 78:7,	58:25, 104:3, 116:29	144:12, 144:13,	51:15, 51:18, 51:25,
circulated [2] -	78:23, 116:1, 138:24	commission [25] -	145:12, 146:12,	52:25, 53:1, 53:8,
16:18, 89:9	closed [1] - 133:15	26:11, 29:23, 32:15,	146:15, 148:29,	53:27, 54:7, 54:9,
circulating [1] - 6:2	closely [7] - 18:9,	33:14, 44:10, 46:19,	149:14, 149:24,	54:15, 56:7, 57:16,
circulation [2] -	20:25, 20:28, 65:8,	55:14, 63:1, 125:5,	150:12, 150:14,	57:17, 58:8, 58:28,
144:21, 144:24	96:28, 102:10, 151:3	125:11, 126:19,	150:22, 150:26,	59:12, 61:23, 62:5,
circumstances [18] -	closeness [2] - 19:3	126:26, 127:2, 128:15, 128:16,	150:28, 151:6,	65:16, 66:8, 66:19,
10:11, 14:28, 18:17,	coincided [1] - 53:10	128:29, 129:8,	151:13, 151:23,	66:26, 67:1, 67:14,
21:23, 22:8, 22:17,	coincidence [3] -	148:24, 161:20,	155:15, 156:16,	67:27, 68:11, 69:3,
29:15, 32:15, 35:23,	28:4, 28:19	165:28, 166:6,	156:20, 156:24,	69:7, 69:29, 70:3,
38:9, 67:27, 71:18,	coincidentally [1] -	166:14, 167:1, 167:8,	165:13, 167:10,	70:19, 72:10, 72:22,
73:22, 80:28, 131:1,	136:2	173:24	168:18, 169:12,	73:9, 73:15, 73:29,
189:1, 189:15, 199:28	collaboration [1] -	Commission [175] -	169:24, 169:28,	75:2, 75:15, 76:3,
civil [5] - 78:15,	9:24	7:21, 10:28, 14:22,	170:6, 172:4, 172:6,	78:25, 78:27, 79:11,
186:18, 196:7, 196:8,	colleague [26] - 12:5,	14:25, 16:7, 18:1,	174:16, 176:5,	79:26, 80:2, 80:3,
196:12	31:2, 34:22, 37:25,	20:4, 20:13, 20:18,	178:11, 181:21,	83:5, 84:9, 84:11,
claim [1] - 182:23	58:10, 58:26, 62:5,	23:15, 24:29, 26:16,	184:5, 185:15, 186:7,	87:8, 87:11, 87:25,
claimed [2] - 94:12,	89:6, 89:10, 90:7,	27:2, 27:8, 27:25,	186:12, 186:13,	88:2, 88:9, 90:16,
103:20	113:22, 114:14,	28:11, 28:26, 30:5,	186:17, 188:17,	91:9, 96:23, 98:11,
claims [1] - 37:27	135:2, 137:13, 138:7,	30:12, 30:20, 31:1,	189:4, 191:6, 191:22,	99:5, 99:28, 100:11,
clarification [4] -	148:7, 148:9, 155:16,	31:16, 31:23, 32:18,	192:4, 192:16,	104:6, 114:23,
65:19, 176:16,	157:13, 157:19, 176:8	33:13, 33:17, 33:19,	192:27, 194:16,	115:16, 117:5, 133:7,
197:21, 197:26	colleague-to-	33:20, 33:22, 36:17,	196:26, 201:6	135:3, 135:8, 135:13,
clarifies [1] - 194:24	colleague [4] - 34:22,	37:11, 38:4, 38:7,	Commission's [2] -	135:19, 136:17,
<b>clarity</b> [2] - 162:29,	58:10, 58:26, 62:5	40:1, 40:4, 40:5,	113:18, 151:15	137:7, 137:20, 138:1,
191:9 <b>CLARKE</b> [1] - 3:4	colleagues [6] -	40:18, 40:25, 41:16,	Commissioner [202]	140:29, 141:7,
ULAKNE   11 - 3:4			- 10:9, 11:5, 11:10,	147:25, 148:11,

148:12, 148:22, 83:13 175:19 contacting [1] consciousness [1] -150:1, 150:8, 155:6, competing [1] - 34:9 concluding [1] -16:12 24:20 155:10, 156:22, complainant [1] -191:28 consequence [1] contacts [8] - 40:4, 159:8, 185:5, 185:29, 123:27 conclusion [4] -179:12 50:17, 63:20, 65:28, 187:26, 193:16, complainant's [1] -129:22, 131:12, 69:11, 70:5, 78:2, consequences [2] -194:15, 196:25, 108:15 131:13, 182:11 104:21, 130:22 197:13, 197:14, conduct [1] - 123:28 contained [3] complaint [25] consequent [1] -197:29, 198:25 41:26, 125:19, conducted [1] -163:13 155:28, 155:29, **COMMISSIONER** [1] 185:13 125:29, 126:1, 118:27 consider [7] - 35:14, 126:12, 129:10, conduit [1] - 199:8 66:20, 67:21, 90:12, containing [1] commissioner [2] -129:14, 138:27, conferral [1] - 169:2 95:11, 151:12, 188:11 167:17 77:26, 77:28 140:14, 142:26, conferring [5] consideration [11] contains [1] - 173:8 Commissioner's [25] 145:11, 161:7, 161:8, 168:18, 168:20, 17:15, 21:1, 61:27, contemplate [1] -- 15:15, 17:29, 18:25, 162:12, 162:20, 168:23, 168:29, 169:2 62:17, 69:13, 119:20, 138:26 19:14, 26:17, 42:12, 163:10, 163:13, 132:2, 167:28, confidence [3] contemplating [2] -46:5, 48:7, 61:21, 163:25, 163:29, 169:14, 185:22, 201:3 39:28, 138:25 21:4, 22:12, 80:15 63:25, 67:11, 69:16, 165:7, 171:22, 191:8, considered [15] confident [2] contemporaneous 70:22, 70:24, 73:7. 191:9, 191:22 29:16, 88:16, 90:12, [1] - 85:11 151:25, 152:1 75:12, 76:11, 100:6, complaints [14] -113:2, 114:27, 125:9, confidential [2] content [2] - 28:24, 100:10, 100:28, 11:25, 40:19, 86:5, 125:19, 126:19, 179:24 16:8, 151:17 104:4, 117:10, 141:1, 86:6, 124:5, 126:13, confidentiality [2] -138:18, 149:2, contents [1] - 193:3 147:26, 178:6 126:15, 131:25, 53:10, 55:6 149:16, 150:15, context [13] - 28:17, Commissions [1] -161:25, 162:15, confirm [2] - 84:9, 166:6, 175:6, 199:13 30:8, 31:25, 35:4, 162:22, 163:12, considerina [10] -191:9 56:17, 63:28, 127:11, commissions [2] -165:24, 165:25 42:2, 44:28, 45:21, 161:5, 165:11, confirmation [1] -37:7, 124:2 completed [1] - 91:3 62:21, 140:20, 173:23, 174:7, 193:5, 152:24 commit [1] - 16:11 completely [3] confirmed [5] -145:29, 151:16, 134:16, 138:12, committed [1] -165:18, 172:27 42:13, 90:6, 98:4, contexts [1] - 35:18 145:16 consigned [1] -141:2, 147:27 continue [7] - 16:3, Committee [10] completeness [1] -146:14 59:22, 68:13, 69:5, confused [2] - 76:29, 127:20, 127:22, 55:22 consistent [2] -111:10, 144:2, 156:23 184:13 127:23, 128:20, complex [3] - 34:10, confusion [1] -69:14. 70:6 continued [3] -131:16, 160:5, 35:19, 35:22 constant [1] - 72:27 42:22, 62:2, 128:21 198:15 167:28, 169:8, constitutionally [1] comprehensive [2] conjunction [1] continuing [2] -169:10, 169:20 59:6, 163:23 36:1, 135:10 90:20 committee [1] concern [16] - 27:18, constitutionallycontribution [1] conjured [2] - 75:23, 160:20 35:16, 46:1, 85:8, appointed [1] - 98:19 183:23 common [2] - 14:3, 93:9, 100:9, 100:10, constrained [1] control [1] - 189:29 conjuring [2] -45:7 102:6, 105:14, 101:16 183:25, 183:27 controversial [5] communicate [1] -105:24, 118:15, constraints [2] -25:28. 130:5. 130:9. connected [2] -22:1 118:19, 118:25, 15:27, 18:18 170:4, 170:8 145:15, 178:24 119:18, 119:27, communicated [1] connecting [2] consult [1] - 88:18 controversies [3] -15:5 133:25 127:8, 167:12 consultation [6] -10:24, 19:18, 122:21 concerned [15] communicating [1] connection [10] -38:12, 46:6, 68:1, controversy [15] -189:25 6:26, 18:3, 23:25, 68:28, 75:7, 166:27 14:9, 14:13, 15:22, 64:28, 83:5, 83:9, 29:1, 30:11, 35:5, communication [15] consultations [1] -15:25, 16:15, 79:6, 84:16, 84:27, 129:5, 35:29, 37:15, 59:25, - 22:19, 22:22, 25:5, 99:1, 100:3, 122:22, 132:14, 147:2. 73:23, 88:11, 93:29, 90:4, 91:1, 96:7, consulted [3] -156:17, 160:4, 187:12, 187:13 96:23, 104:17, 95:27, 98:18, 160:23 CONOR [1] - 2:16 57:17, 87:26, 96:17 166:13, 184:6, concerning [2] -141:19, 154:24, consulting [1] -189:16, 191:23 Conor [2] - 65:14, 13:8. 181:21 155:6, 155:9, 155:11, conversation [46] -190:26 155:12, 183:14 concerns [13] contact [12] - 21:3, 10:8, 25:8, 32:29, cons [2] - 129:12, 14:20, 15:18, 18:13, Communications [1] 25:4, 28:6, 28:15, 36:5, 36:23, 38:24, 191:19 - 160:16 29:6, 44:8, 54:18, 40:14, 40:16, 43:2, 34:4, 36:20, 66:3, conscious [10] -54:21, 100:10, 101:2, communications [3] 70:2, 70:7, 86:21, 43:11, 43:14, 43:28, 55:5, 55:12, 57:11, 101:10, 123:5, 130:1, - 21:26, 46:24, 52:18 47:15, 49:24, 54:6, 185.5 73:16, 93:11, 122:27, COMPANY [1] - 2:11 171:15 123:5, 126:27, contacted [8] -61:19, 67:9, 74:7, compartments [1] conclude [1] -74:10, 74:15, 75:8, 23:24, 24:2, 24:8, 163:15, 176:3 120:8 147:12 76:29, 77:12, 86:9, 26:27, 28:6, 83:11, consciously [1] competent [1] concluded [1] -116:19, 126:4 92:5, 92:7, 93:13, 198:9

40.400 40-0				
134:28, 135:2,	139:25, 141:20,	[1] - 9:26	D	163:11, 176:4
136:17, 137:6,	141:21, 141:27,	<b>couple</b> [2] - 22:29,		deciding [2] - 47:23,
137:25, 137:29,	152:7, 153:2, 154:10,	77:24	d'o (44) 120:10	165:12
138:3, 138:9, 138:15,	155:4, 157:3, 157:4,	course [37] - 7:26,	<b>d's</b> [11] - 129:10,	decision [37] - 22:7,
148:7, 156:8, 179:2,	157:23, 160:22,	11:16, 12:1, 12:28,	129:14, 131:25, 143:26, 145:11,	32:10, 34:29, 35:22,
182:7, 192:17,	160:29, 161:27,	17:2, 19:17, 26:4,	162:1, 162:28, 165:3,	46:6, 49:9, 49:29,
192:20, 192:25,	165:14, 165:26,	34:13, 36:4, 37:19,	171:21, 191:9, 192:12	67:4, 91:2, 91:12,
193:19	166:10, 166:16,	46:22, 47:9, 54:21,		98:3, 102:17, 104:4,
conversations [24] -	179:9, 191:12,	55:19, 57:22, 65:2,	daily [2] - 6:13, 6:15	117:10, 125:10,
23:1, 23:5, 37:19,	191:15, 191:20,	71:28, 74:15, 74:20,	Dale [1] - 52:17	125:17, 127:14,
38:18, 38:20, 40:13,	191:24, 192:3,	93:19, 98:25, 101:24,	danger [3] - 19:15,	133:3, 138:1, 143:24,
58:7, 58:9, 59:11,	192:13, 192:15,	101:29, 105:29,	19:19, 19:22	150:26, 162:16,
61:13, 66:10, 67:9,	192:19, 193:2,	108:7, 109:12,	dangerous [1] -	162:21, 163:7,
69:2, 73:12, 73:25,	197:29, 199:7, 199:10	129:27, 133:2,	180:3	163:14, 167:25,
73:28, 74:12, 74:20,	correction [1] -	133:24, 151:27,	date [1] - 167:20	168:1, 168:4, 173:24,
76:18, 92:11, 97:19,	187:8	152:16, 158:4,	dated [3] - 7:5, 8:7,	175:2, 181:12,
116:22, 152:17,	correctly [3] -	158:10, 158:15,	154:14	188:27, 193:9,
192:25	150:13, 175:13, 187:7	170:15, 173:6, 178:5	dates [2] - 161:13,	196:28, 197:1,
convey [1] - 198:10	correspondence [3]	COURT [2] - 1:13,	168:12	197:10, 197:17
conveyed [7] -	- 5:26, 5:27, 16:26	2:3	DAY <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:18	decision-making [1]
89:19, 90:13, 102:18,	COSTELLO [1] -	court [2] - 124:17,	day-to-day [1] - 6:9	- 91:2
110:17, 110:29,	2:11	194:17	<b>de</b> [1] - 160:25	decisions [3] - 6:7,
120:10, 146:18	cosy [1] - 20:11	Court [1] - 151:18	dead [1] - 174:29	111:5, 125:10
conveying [6] -	counsel [72] - 23:19,	creature [1] - 69:22	deal [17] - 13:27,	declined [1] - 106:4
104:9, 137:14,	28:29, 40:28, 41:20,	credibility [9] -	15:17, 20:6, 26:3,	deemed [1] - 5:26
137:23, 138:14,	41:22, 42:9, 53:1,	40:23, 40:29, 77:3,	26:5, 27:16, 33:14,	deep [1] - 10:1
186:10, 198:6	57:1, 57:15, 74:13,	79:18, 84:28, 86:6,	36:1, 53:26, 55:1,	deeply [1] - 111:14
conveys [2] -	75:12, 76:11, 82:7,	147:9, 178:2, 200:8	108:18, 123:7, 152:2,	defend [2] - 14:29,
110:25, 144:9	82:20, 82:26, 83:1,	credit [2] - 178:2,	161:24, 163:11,	15:12
cooperate [4] -	86:18, 87:10, 89:25,	190:10	172:8, 182:4	defendant [1] -
32:14, 33:16, 189:27	94:16, 104:7, 109:10,	<b>crime</b> [5] - 9:23,	dealing [13] - 30:26,	169:29
coordinating [1] - 6:1	113:12, 115:13,	9:28, 22:26, 26:1,	34:18, 35:2, 58:11,	<b>definitely</b> [1] - 181:9
	119:9, 123:15, 123:16, 123:25,	66:9	58:29, 62:25, 69:20,	definition [3] -
copied [8] - 7:7,		<b>criminal</b> [2] - 41:25,	73:18, 78:1, 124:14,	123:23, 156:18, 167:7
7:13, 41:3, 52:12,	123:27, 124:7, 124:21, 125:15,	140:14	167:15, 176:29,	<b>degree</b> [2] - 19:3,
125:25, 156:29, 187:2, 195:1	126:22, 127:1, 127:4,	crisis [1] - 160:24	188:12	23:9
	128:21, 130:15,	critical [1] - 158:9	dealings [4] - 11:20,	delayed [1] - 100:5
<b>copies</b> [1] - 90:2 <b>copy</b> [2] - 8:10,	130:26, 131:3, 140:8,	criticism [1] - 123:23	55:7, 55:9, 56:7	deliberate [4] -
153:28	140:10, 140:27,	cross [1] - 186:5	<b>dealt</b> [16] - 10:20, 12:5, 30:2, 49:22,	100:28, 196:28,
copying [1] - 155:27	142:20, 143:6, 145:9,	CROSS [12] - 4:8,	55:14, 56:6, 126:16,	197:10, 198:4
copyright [1] - 21:20	147:23, 149:18,	4:9, 4:12, 4:17, 4:21,	139:10, 157:14,	deliberately [1] -
core [1] - 146:26	149:23, 150:13,	4:22, 58:3, 65:13,	157:19, 163:24,	197:2
	150:25, 156:19,	74:26, 107:2, 159:26,	170:27, 180:24,	delicate [2] - 178:12,
Corporate [1] - 17:19	165:23, 166:18,	195:28	186:7, 186:12, 187:1	179:4
<b>correct</b> [69] - 5:13, 5:29, 6:5, 6:11, 9:19,	167:8, 167:23,	CROSS-EXAMINED	<b>Dean</b> [1] - 118:28	delighted [1] - 49:3
20:14, 20:17, 45:6,	167:26, 168:7,	[12] - 4:8, 4:9, 4:12, 4:17, 4:21, 4:22, 58:3,	death [2] - 180:3,	demand [5] - 164:10,
76:7, 81:2, 83:7,	168:13, 169:15,	65:13, 74:26, 107:2,	182:13	164:15, 164:16,
88:19, 93:19, 93:26,	172:16, 173:12,	159:26, 195:28	debate [3] - 15:23,	173:2, 174:20
99:20, 99:21, 99:24,	174:3, 175:5, 175:19,	crossed [1] - 186:6	151:6, 156:19	<b>demanded</b> [1] - 181:10
102:20, 106:4, 107:9,	176:16, 187:26,	culpability [1] -	December [7] - 5:14,	
121:20, 121:22,	191:17, 191:28,	111:6	25:2, 27:22, 57:7,	demilitarisation [1] - 10:2
121:23, 122:11,	194:15, 200:25	Cunningham [2] -	107:7, 157:28, 182:19	denied [2] - 41:27,
122:16, 122:17,	Counsel [3] - 140:9,	180:8, 182:18	decent [1] - 78:16	140:15
123:18, 123:20,	142:22, 165:17	curious [1] - 17:2	decide [1] - 169:16	<b>Denis</b> [11] - 8:4, 8:6,
125:20, 125:21,	counsel's [6] -	cut [2] - 56:13,	decided [11] - 93:18,	153:12, 153:15,
126:17, 126:18,	89:25, 90:15, 91:20,	181:18	100:27, 125:14,	153:21, 153:24,
131:23, 131:27,	91:23, 131:12, 131:13		129:7, 138:18,	154:1, 154:4, 198:29,
132:7, 132:10,	counter [1] - 9:26		144:12, 145:8,	199:1, 199:3
132:12, 134:26,	counter-terrorism		150:23, 163:10,	deny [4] - 42:7,
			-	

109:1, 140:26, 147:19	156:6, 179:4, 191:17	139:13, 149:15,	director [1] - 23:28	disposed [1] -
Department [97] -	describing [3] -	150:8, 181:20,	directorate [1] - 9:23	177:13
5:12, 5:17, 6:3, 9:15,	56:21, 71:14, 194:26	182:21, 200:20	disadvantaged [1] -	disposition [1] -
9:17, 9:21, 11:3, 12:8,	description [4] -	differently [1] -	54:24	184:4
12:18, 12:20, 12:23,	144:27, 161:3, 188:7,	118:12	disagree [4] -	dispute [4] - 27:8,
13:14, 13:28, 14:13,	195:16	difficult [10] - 8:10,	149:12, 151:8, 156:1,	28:25, 28:27, 65:17
15:4, 16:2, 17:19,	deserved [1] -	18:7, 18:17, 19:21,	185:17	disputing [1] - 25:23
18:20, 18:29, 19:4,	120:11	22:17, 35:18, 35:22,	disappointed [2] -	disrespect [1] -
19:5, 20:12, 21:2,	designed [1] -	67:4, 113:27, 171:20	124:18, 124:19	85:17
21:25, 24:4, 24:6,	145:20	difficulties [4] -	·	
24:11, 27:10, 30:19,		44:13, 49:14, 50:9,	discharging [1] -	disruption [1] -
	desire [1] - 105:15		38:7	19:25
31:14, 31:21, 32:12,	<b>desk</b> [4] - 90:25,	70:14	disclose [2] - 70:1,	dissect [1] - 105:9
34:20, 37:9, 37:20,	94:27, 113:21, 120:6	<b>Dignam</b> [4] - 65:14,	70:28	disseminated [1] -
37:24, 44:17, 45:9,	detail [25] - 20:19,	73:6, 190:26, 194:3	disclosing [2] -	94:13
46:25, 57:21, 58:16,	66:3, 66:29, 86:7,	<b>DIGNAM</b> [17] - 2:16,	53:13, 158:26	distant [1] - 78:28
63:7, 63:11, 63:12,	86:15, 86:23, 88:11,	4:9, 4:22, 65:13,	DISCLOSURES [2] -	distinct [1] - 102:12
63:20, 65:3, 69:10,	92:7, 93:12, 93:22,	65:14, 71:5, 77:6,	1:3, 1:4	distinction [1] -
69:12, 71:25, 72:28,	94:18, 94:24, 95:2,	77:9, 121:4, 190:20,	disclosures [1] -	48:17
82:14, 82:17, 82:18,	103:3, 103:6, 105:7,	190:25, 190:26,	54:29	distinguished [7] -
82:28, 94:6, 94:7,	106:24, 119:22,	194:7, 194:10,	discover[1] - 159:5	9:21, 10:4, 134:20,
96:26, 98:25, 98:26,	132:22, 133:27,	194:21, 194:24, 195:3	discovered [1] -	149:21, 151:25,
98:27, 99:15, 100:14,	136:24, 150:20,	diligent [1] - 83:14	158:13	
100:17, 101:8, 101:9,	172:17, 199:14	dim [1] - 33:20		156:21, 201:7
102:8, 102:14,	·		discovering [2] -	district [1] - 127:9
102:21, 105:16,	detailed [6] - 34:16,	dining [1] - 118:29	158:28, 189:26	<b>Division</b> [4] - 121:22,
105:20, 105:25,	38:12, 46:15, 68:1,	dining-room-table	discovery [2] -	121:26, 121:27, 158:6
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	148:10, 177:22	[1] - 118:29	158:5, 158:8	division [2] - 127:10,
121:18, 122:26,	detailing [1] - 95:20	dinner [1] - 190:16	discreet [1] - 145:20	158:6
126:8, 130:18,	details [12] - 13:11,	direct [12] - 12:13,	discuss [19] - 8:22,	divisions [3] - 5:27,
134:12, 135:7,	37:22, 54:2, 70:20,	89:23, 96:23, 98:1,	33:28, 35:24, 39:5,	10:18, 10:20
135:16, 150:23,	74:5, 88:21, 93:17,	114:22, 117:16,	44:24, 49:2, 49:3,	document [4] -
158:24, 158:27,	97:15, 133:20, 135:1,	120:1, 141:22, 153:1,	49:5, 49:8, 63:26,	103:17, 107:25,
159:3, 159:5, 160:5,	138:22, 151:5	162:10, 185:4, 199:19	67:16, 76:2, 76:12,	187:18, 187:21
160:21, 162:2,	determining [1] -	directed [7] - 11:12,	76:15, 89:6, 89:14,	documentation [2] -
162:19, 163:2, 164:8,	31:15	42:1, 68:19, 131:10,	129:26, 197:21,	25:14, 123:26
165:2, 173:29, 174:2,	devastating [5] -	140:18, 145:27,	197:27	
174:20, 175:4,	85:26, 108:11,	181:21	discussed [11] -	documented [3] -
184:29, 191:26, 196:2	110:15, 110:24, 111:9	directing [8] - 9:24,	• •	24:18, 25:11, 49:25
department [3] -		• • •	36:4, 39:12, 46:24,	documents [10] -
47:29, 186:20, 189:25	developed [2] -	86:15, 86:24, 88:27,	48:27, 76:13, 80:8,	13:20, 13:27, 17:26,
Department's [5] -	57:14, 60:9	104:4, 109:15, 117:3,	101:1, 126:22, 134:9,	28:12, 89:28, 123:3,
13:5, 15:2, 48:8,	developing [2] -	117:6	152:29, 168:2	123:4, 158:26,
52:18, 150:11	127:24, 135:24	direction [5] -	discussing [8] -	158:28, 159:5
	development [6] -	103:15, 113:26,	36:8, 39:7, 62:7,	domain [4] - 30:10,
departmental [3] -	45:13, 45:16, 133:24,	156:8, 156:27, 173:17	74:16, 74:21, 92:2,	99:9, 119:28, 172:7
65:6, 144:10, 168:4	133:29, 135:27, 176:1	directional [1] -	138:8, 148:14	domestic [1] - 9:25
departments [2] -	devices [2] - 70:20,	48:12	discussion [23] -	<b>DONAL</b> [1] - 2:16
6:3, 82:21	90:28	directions [9] - 87:1,	24:27, 31:24, 34:16,	<b>DONALD</b> [1] - 2:18
dependent [1] -	dialogue [2] - 174:2,	93:9, 93:25, 104:4,	40:20, 40:22, 40:28,	donated [1] - 21:22
107:10	183:20	106:7, 106:11,	41:4, 43:29, 46:2,	done [25] - 15:27,
<b>deploy</b> [1] - 200:4	<b>DIARMAID</b> [1] - 2:6	106:17, 106:20, 117:4	49:21, 58:10, 58:26,	
<b>Deputy</b> [6] - 9:16,	diary [2] - 5:23, 5:24	DIRECTLY [8] - 4:4,	62:6, 75:21, 80:3,	16:21, 21:12, 22:3,
10:16, 163:4, 163:16,	died [1] - 168:27	4:7, 4:16, 4:20, 5:7,	89:15, 136:22,	25:12, 25:23, 34:11,
163:27, 164:3		9:12, 82:1, 121:14		69:1, 95:23, 96:18,
deputy [6] - 7:14,	difference [2] -	directly [12] - 10:28,	148:27, 149:4, 149:9,	102:14, 102:17,
7:16, 23:28, 115:26,	138:24, 145:7	•	156:4, 185:11, 197:23	102:25, 136:29,
198:19, 198:25	differences [1] -	11:4, 13:15, 21:3,	discussions [5] -	162:29, 163:1, 163:2,
derailed [1] - 151:15	131:21	21:25, 78:1, 87:25,	15:7, 16:23, 31:25,	164:4, 172:14,
	different [18] - 20:7,	94:5, 98:18, 120:29,	177:7, 200:21	172:22, 172:24,
described [10] -	28:8, 58:23, 58:24,	187:9, 199:12	discussions' [1] -	174:6, 174:8, 175:20,
58:8, 72:10, 84:26,	94:19, 94:28, 110:3,	<b>Director</b> [4] - 99:13,	21:10	200:23
85:21, 114:11,	110:5, 118:28,	101:11, 181:11,	disinterested [1] -	<b>DONNELLY</b> [1] - 3:3
114:19, 144:17,	119:12, 138:8, 139:8,	181:12	18:3	door[1] - 139:15

dossier[1] - 123:3 dropped [1] - 129:6 **ELIZABETH**[1] - 2:7 46:29, 47:2, 47:3 escalated [1] double [1] - 47:7 **Dublin** [1] - 119:11 **ELLEN** [1] - 3:2 embedded [1] -105:22 doubt [4] - 75:16, **DUBLIN** [6] - 1:17, 82:21 escalating [1] elsewhere [1] - 67:2 80:5, 137:5, 163:19 2:13, 2:20, 2:25, 3:6, em [4] - 39:7, 70:5, emphasise [1] -124:24 doubted [1] - 175:13 3:14 127:29 escape [1] - 144:24 105:19, 177:22 doubts [1] - 197:26 due [4] - 52:1, email [95] - 6:15, encouraged [1] escapes [1] - 193:15 124:15, 124:20, dovetail [1] - 166:19 6:17, 7:5, 8:7, 8:8, 23:11 essence [2] - 172:1, 158:14 8:18, 8:20, 13:15, end [22] - 10:15, down [14] - 20:5, 26:6, 39:9, 47:24, duly [2] - 23:26, 24:4 25:6, 39:17, 39:28, 15:21, 15:25, 16:29, essentially [12] -22:13, 35:27, 42:4, **DUNDRUM** [1] - 2:25 40:13, 41:2, 41:7, 16:11, 59:23, 122:5, 66:24, 87:18, 91:26, 42:27, 43:11, 43:22, 60:7, 60:27, 82:13, during [10] - 19:17, 123:29, 128:22, 103:25, 105:7, 128:23, 129:4, 36:4, 37:18, 65:20, 43:27, 45:28, 50:22, 87:19, 96:1, 97:6, 129:13, 131:29, 71:28, 74:15, 74:19, 51:3, 51:20, 51:23, 140:22, 144:5, 146:2, 135:4, 160:29, 168:5, 166:26, 169:13, 151:18, 158:7, 172:16 91:16, 160:24, 172:6 52:4, 52:11, 54:3, 192:19 54:13, 61:25, 64:23, 162:24, 171:3, 180:21 DPP [23] - 41:29, duties [1] - 5:21 establish [10] -46:19, 85:13, 89:11, 64:25, 74:2, 90:4, ended [8] - 27:3, 79:15, 79:17, 131:10, duty [2] - 33:16, 38:8 dynamite [3] - 104:6, 123:26, 125:23, 109:25, 128:5, 114:13, 124:28, 133:14, 140:18, 125:25, 132:24, 162:14, 162:28, 143:24, 145:27, 125:11, 125:14, 104:20, 104:25 134:9, 135:12, 146:10, 172:20, 165:19, 191:1, 191:2 127:14, 128:3, 131:15 **DÁIL** [1] - 1:5 138:13, 139:21, engage [3] - 16:3, ESTABLISHED[1] -173:5, 173:6, 173:7, Dáil [7] - 15:23, 16:4, 141:15, 141:21, 119:21, 184:14 173:9, 173:15, 175:6, 16:18, 123:8, 139:1, 1:8 175:17, 175:18, 142:5, 144:21, engaged [2] - 6:24, established [18] -161:16. 163:16 145:22, 152:3, 183:7, 191:27, 193:9, 119:3 26:5, 29:28, 32:16, délicat [2] - 178:13, 152:14, 152:25, 200.7 engagement [19] -33:14, 44:11, 47:14, 178:21 **DPP's** [4] - 133:3, 153:9, 153:26, 10:1, 42:19, 42:23, 50:17, 56:25, 57:9, 153:27, 154:13, 172:10, 173:8, 173:17 43:4, 44:9, 67:10, 109:12, 114:18, Ε 155:26, 156:5, draft [11] - 13:13, 68:6, 68:13, 68:22, 116:8, 127:20, 156:29, 157:24, 68:28, 69:6, 71:15, 128:11, 160:6, 160:8, 13:18, 13:19, 16:19, early [3] - 12:10, 157:25, 158:4, 17:6, 20:29, 21:4, 71:25, 72:3, 72:19, 160:20, 161:21 174:29, 182:18 158:11, 159:16, 72:27, 75:27, 159:4, 21:6, 56:8, 104:7, establishing [2] easily [1] - 149:8 169:11 159:19, 175:5, 193:21 128:17, 169:24 eat [1] - 190:17 185:11, 185:13, drafted [2] - 17:4, engagements [1] establishment [2] effect [13] - 22:6, 185:18, 186:4, 187:3, 146:16 10:27, 114:15 187:8, 188:2, 189:9, 38:10, 45:24, 67:28, engaging [3] - 34:24, drafting [3] - 103:5, et [1] - 85:26 189:18, 190:4, 85:22, 93:4, 128:6, 66:29, 148:14 121:29, 146:19 etcetera [4] - 72:26, 130:10, 135:11, 192:14, 193:4, 193:6, drafts [1] - 17:23 enlighten [1] - 63:6 72:27, 114:17, 191:24 193:13, 193:22, 148:13, 153:7, dramatis [1] - 198:15 ensure [11] - 85:8, evening[7] - 38:25, 173:19, 191:1 193:26, 193:27, 89:1, 93:29, 95:27, 51:18, 52:24, 70:4, draw [1] - 7:27 193:29, 194:1, 195:1, effectively [14] -96:2, 98:8, 134:21, 70:8, 155:12, 157:7 drawing [2] - 44:4, 195:4, 195:16, 196:3, 17:3, 18:8, 32:9, 44:4, 151:26, 153:23, 48:17 event [10] - 18:19, 196:13, 197:22, 91:2, 124:1, 126:13, 153:25, 181:27 44:16, 45:18, 87:28, drawn [4] - 85:25, 156:3, 160:19, 161:1, 199:12, 199:19 ensuring [2] - 5:25, 184:20, 201:17, 95:19, 97:5, 120:5, 163:7, 168:10, 171:3, emailed [2] - 152:18, 99:20 154:4, 157:17, 166:26 201:19 171.29 enter [2] - 66:4, Dreelan [17] - 23:24, events [10] - 7:26, effort [2] - 61:17, emailing [2] - 137:5, 134:29 23:15, 84:1, 86:11, 43:25, 81:5, 82:6, 130:24 153:20 entirely [9] - 16:27, 91:19, 94:11, 97:15, 87:27, 91:16, 91:24, efforts [1] - 49:13 emails [27] - 6:27, 91:29, 93:3, 97:10, 20:7, 28:8, 88:26, 103:3, 169:8, 187:9 8:22, 24:2, 24:12, egregious [1] - 79:24 103:24, 104:13, 97:14, 103:2, 106:29, eventually [1] eight [1] - 164:9 24:13, 25:14, 25:15, 109:25, 118:28, 138:8 107:4, 121:3, 121:6, Einstein [4] - 64:28, 56:8, 56:19, 60:16, entitled [3] - 21:29, 192:21 everywhere [2] -63:28, 90:28, 103:19, 182:9, 182:10, 182:23 55:6, 101:23 90:28, 186:26 DREELAN [3] - 4:15, 103:21, 142:1, either [20] - 11:4, entitlement [1] -82:1, 107:2 evidence [38] - 10:7, 144:22, 152:15, 13:8, 21:18, 24:23, dreelan's [1] - 82:4 80:25 16:29, 39:9, 43:8, 152:16, 156:3, 156:7, 29:25, 37:1, 37:8, **EQUALITY**[1] - 1:9 45:7, 47:26, 47:27, Dreelan's [1] -159:9, 159:11, 50:10, 60:14, 61:3, 192:24 Equality [1] - 121:19 48:5, 53:13, 53:17, 159:13, 159:17, 70:7, 70:28, 84:19, drifted [1] - 103:16 equality [5] - 5:12, 64:20, 65:21, 65:26, 199:2, 199:21 96:18, 96:23, 104:15, 9:16, 9:17, 9:22, 11:3 66:7, 67:8, 68:5, 69:8, drilling [1] - 105:7 emanation [1] -116:20, 128:11, 69:17, 73:7, 73:23, equally [2] - 23:25, driver[1] - 181:26 118:18 164:24, 180:4 150:3 100:27, 104:14, driving [2] - 180:3, embarrassment [3] element [1] - 115:12 erupted [1] - 35:4 125:2, 133:25, 189:15 9

135:29, 141:9, 147:5, 180:16, 180:17, 192:9 151:1, 151:4, 151:11, few [6] - 33:1, 58:6, express [2] - 31:22, 148:24, 149:17, exclusive[1]-84:11 156:15, 170:1, 65:17, 97:19, 145:5, 159:21, 164:19, 158:11 expressed [4] -186:11, 197:4 155:27 FH [1] - 103:28 170:1, 172:19, exclusively [1] -27:18, 86:4, 88:22, factual [1] - 158:10 181:20, 193:12, 174.2 fair [18] - 12:19, 20:8, Fianna [2] - 123:2, 90.21 193:20, 194:5 excusing [1] - 73:21 expressing [3] -70:18, 83:12, 86:10, 125:22 **EVIDENCE** [1] - 1:9 87:4, 109:24, 117:17, executive [1] - 163:7 21:4, 22:12, 104:19 file [57] - 41:28, 83:4, evident [1] - 19:1 extensions [2] -133:28, 134:10, 83:9, 83:27, 83:28, exercise [2] evidential [1] -134:21, 161:3, 161:4, 123:21, 184:15 70:23, 70:24 84:15, 86:13, 95:13, 182:25 extensive [1] - 72:19 187:17, 193:3, 96:18, 100:21, 109:6, exist [1] - 48:17 exact [6] - 30:24, extent [5] - 23:2, 194:28, 201:21 109:10, 109:20, existed [2] - 18:28, 38:19, 133:20, 27:28, 68:27, 92:8, fairly [10] - 11:18, 109:23, 113:4, 138:22, 148:9, 161:13 192:8 25:28, 60:29, 61:12, 113:10, 113:21, existing [1] - 124:23 66:1, 85:10, 97:28, 114:9, 114:10, exactly [11] - 25:17, extra [1] - 176:17 exonerate [1] - 47:27 38:23, 66:29, 134:8, 124:29, 130:9, 161:24 114:12, 114:15, expanded [1] extraneous [1] fairness [4] - 95:3, 114:29, 115:4, 146:28, 147:3, 151:26 172:20 149:20, 170:27, 147:14, 187:20, 190:1 120:13, 130:28, extraordinary [2] expect [1] - 142:24 179:20, 179:21, 131:4, 131:6, 131:10, faith [1] - 201:7 expectation [3] -131:11, 131:25, 182:14 familiar [5] - 29:14, extremely [2] - 10:4, 25:20, 105:17, 124:22 140:17, 145:26, examination [6] -86:14, 93:17, 95:6, expected [2] - 62:27, 84:19, 123:24, 124:6, 95:18 167:24, 167:26, eye [2] - 106:5, 100:10 168:11, 169:16, 126:26, 148:11, 106:21 **famous** [1] - 196:3 expediency [2] -172:9, 172:11, 196:20 far [10] - 12:28, 18:3, 47:10, 47:29 172:16, 172:17, examine [1] - 171:21 35:28, 64:16, 69:18, F expedient [1] - 47:11 EXAMINED [28] -94:7, 155:21, 164:19, 173:2, 173:5, 173:6, Expenditure [1] -173:7, 173:8, 173:9, 4:4, 4:7, 4:8, 4:9, 168:22, 198:27 176:9 face [4] - 13:17, 21:3, 173:23, 174:20, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 4:16, fashion [2] - 100:28, expenditure [1] -47:6, 123:6 175:6, 175:17, 4:17, 4:20, 4:21, 4:22, 116.9 176:19 faced [2] - 147:13, 175:24, 191:27 4:23, 4:24, 5:8, 9:13, fast [1] - 50:1 experience [4] -166:11 58:3, 65:13, 71:7, files [1] - 83:22 father.. [1] - 108:16 30:14, 30:24, 31:17, filled [1] - 97:17 facie [2] - 129:23, 73:3, 74:26, 82:2, fault [1] - 184:2 94:18 166:21 filling [1] - 92:3 107:2, 121:15, February [5] - 56:26, expert [1] - 67:1 facilitated [1] - 174:6 159:26, 190:25, filter [2] - 162:18, 123:1, 132:5, 158:17, explain [20] - 10:15, fact [57] - 7:10, 11:1, 195:28, 196:22 162:21 169:25 21:7, 26:15, 30:29, 20:14. 22:3. 28:22. examined [7] final [4] - 124:8, **FEBRUARY** [2] - 1:6, 34:15, 52:1, 54:5, 29:15, 36:19, 36:20, 41:24, 131:6, 140:12, 139:5, 148:19, 188:27 56:16, 84:29, 127:17, 41:29, 43:21, 44:17, 143:9, 143:29, finalisation [1] feelings [1] - 190:15 130:15, 144:14, 44:23, 44:24, 45:24, 144:28, 145:3 176:15 FELIX [1] - 2:23 144:17, 144:29, examining [1] -47:20, 48:17, 50:13, finally [4] - 56:24, fell [1] - 166:8 148:4, 153:14, 162:7, 53:21, 57:13, 66:22, 171:22 69:23, 176:4, 193:25 felt [26] - 15:11, 176:18, 193:20, 196:5 68:18, 85:3, 85:18, example [12] - 10:27, financing [1] - 122:5 15:15, 18:4, 30:9, explained [9] - 46:2, 86:18, 89:7, 111:3, 13:10, 17:15, 47:27, fine [6] - 76:23, 67:3, 126:2, 142:13, 34:19, 34:21, 37:26, 114.2 119.6 119.15 131:19, 135:1, 48:4, 59:26, 98:13, 165:21, 174:22, 45:2, 45:15, 45:16, 119:16, 123:10, 152:28, 169:3, 184:18 117:24, 121:29, 175:9, 191:4, 191:16 51:4, 59:3, 59:19, 125:25, 128:28, 124:15, 124:25, 147:7 fine-tuning [2] -62:15, 100:14, explaining [2] -130:25, 136:11, examples [1] -131:19, 169:3 102:16, 105:20, 127:26, 143:28 136:29, 140:17, 182:14 120:3, 120:8, 120:16, finish [1] - 178:23 explanation (6) -145:27, 146:25, except [5] - 20:19, finishing [1] - 165:19 121:1, 125:3, 135:27, 26:25, 26:28, 85:15, 149:16, 150:6, 153:5, 152:15, 152:16, Finlay [1] - 11:12 136:16. 164:22. 172:20, 173:3, 174:21 154:6, 154:8, 157:6, 155:4, 184:24 186:13 Fiona [1] - 52:20 explanations [1] -164:8, 164:15, exception [1] - 77:14 firmed [1] - 87:14 Fennelly [3] - 99:22, 164:25, 171:7, exceptional [2] -119:3, 136:1 firmly [3] - 151:7, explanatory [2] -175:14, 188:29, 70:26, 131:2 Fennelly/ 151:8, 156:1 142:16, 142:17 191:29, 193:8, exchange [1] - 15:20 Birmingham/Judge first [42] - 5:4, 5:23, explicit [1] - 144:26 194:25, 200:4, 201:18 exchanges [1] -[1] - 119:10 7:4, 10:12, 13:12, explicitly [1] facto [1] - 160:25 15:29 13:19, 17:4, 19:1, Fergus [8] - 36:21, 144:19 factors [1] - 31:24 exclude [4] - 184:4, 36:27, 37:3, 37:13, 21:14, 21:15, 27:4, explore [2] - 10:10, facts [12] - 22:9, 184:24, 196:28, 197:2 87:21, 96:28, 104:1, 27:5, 27:7, 27:9, 33:15, 106:28, excluded [7] - 132:2, 116:28 32:25. 66:18. 67:13. explored [1] - 66:22 146:28, 149:19, 144:5, 150:17, 175:3, feverish [1] - 19:15 84:6, 85:8, 86:22, explosive [1] - 105:1

91:13, 91:19, 108:18, 108:19, 113:24,	100:25, 103:12, 103:15, 128:26,	<b>forwarded</b> [6] - 89:26, 89:29, 90:16,	G	172:26, 173:7, 173:9, — 173:22, 174:1, 174:5,
115:20, 116:8, 117:3,	133:17	103:21, 144:23,		174:11, 174:21,
122:12, 122:19,	follow [4] - 76:2,	157:15	gain [3] - 35:13,	175:6, 178:6, 187:26,
122:23, 128:26,	79:8, 80:24, 118:7	forwarding [3] -	66:20, 67:21	190:27, 191:26,
132:17, 132:18,	follow-on [1] - 118:7	141:29, 153:26, 197:2	gangland [1] - 9:28	192:11, 192:26,
138:23, 154:26,	followed [7] - 48:26,	four [1] - 194:12	gap [1] - 154:4	194:14, 198:25
169:10, 172:12,	51:24, 56:23, 91:28,	fourth [1] - 193:1	garda [1] - 175:25	Gardaí [6] - 32:18,
189:9, 190:28, 192:1,	97:9, 123:14, 184:26	fourth-hand [1] -	Garda [155] - 10:17,	40:29, 53:3, 131:6,
200:16	FOLLOWING [1] -	193:1	10:20, 11:13, 11:15,	172:15, 175:10
firstly [4] - 84:14,	1:5	FRANCES [1] - 3:8	11:21, 12:21, 13:5,	<b>GARRET</b> [1] - 3:2
85:2, 171:10, 195:4	following [19] - 1:26,	Francis [1] - 188:11	13:13, 13:24, 14:1,	gen [2] - 142:3,
<b>fit</b> [8] - 59:2, 129:9,	15:22, 29:3, 50:24,	FRANKFORT [1] -	14:17, 14:26, 17:23,	153:21
129:23, 166:23,	50:25, 51:11, 51:12,	2:24	17:29, 18:6, 18:7,	<b>General</b> [31] - 5:25,
166:24, 167:2, 167:5,	52:6, 62:4, 63:21,	frankly [3] - 19:18,	18:29, 19:4, 19:9,	6:8, 6:21, 7:1, 7:15,
167:6	67:24, 79:10, 97:7,	21:16, 37:4	19:17, 20:22, 20:25,	12:17, 82:8, 82:26,
Fitzgerald [1] -	122:7, 122:15,	free [1] - 155:29	21:2, 22:21, 22:28, 23:6, 23:14, 23:18,	95:14, 99:2, 99:13,
188:11	141:29, 157:17,	freely [2] - 94:13,	25:21, 31:15, 31:23,	100:4, 101:11, 113:5,
<b>FITZGERALD</b> [2] - 2:17, 3:8	186:2, 186:23	99:18	33:16, 36:15, 39:20,	115:1, 129:25, 136:4, 136:27, 136:28,
	follows [1] - 174:25	French [1] - 178:15	40:3, 41:19, 41:22,	153:7, 153:12,
<b>five</b> [3] - 123:15, 152:18, 166:3	<b>FOLLOWS</b> [2] - 5:2, 93:1	frenetic [1] - 127:18	41:27, 42:5, 42:10,	153:16, 153:25,
fixing [1] - 109:17	<b>fool</b> [1] - 179:19	fresh [1] - 123:11	42:12, 43:6, 44:1,	154:8, 155:27, 168:3,
flagged [1] - 152:5	foot [3] - 130:11,	Friday [9] - 15:29,	44:18, 44:24, 45:22,	168:21, 177:7, 185:3,
FLAHIVE [4] - 3:8,	167:27, 195:7	50:24, 50:28, 57:19,	46:2, 46:26, 47:4,	185:6, 200:23
4:19, 121:14, 159:26	FOR [8] - 1:8, 2:6,	58:9, 65:25, 72:21, 155:12, 155:15	51:15, 51:25, 52:25,	general [14] - 11:27,
Flahive [55] - 7:6,	2:9, 2:15, 2:22, 3:1,	Friday's [1] - 186:3	52:29, 54:15, 55:7,	12:3, 13:28, 33:12,
8:13, 8:19, 12:5, 12:6,	3:8, 92:18	friendly [2] - 78:8,	56:3, 56:7, 57:16,	33:15, 40:22, 56:6,
24:3, 24:11, 25:4,	force [7] - 54:17,	78:10	61:6, 63:24, 65:15,	60:15, 67:7, 135:6,
25:5, 29:19, 39:16,	54:19, 54:23, 55:6,	friends [1] - 20:1	68:14, 73:7, 73:9,	142:5, 198:19,
40:8, 40:16, 41:4,	86:2, 111:4, 122:3	fro [1] - 131:17	75:12, 77:26, 77:27,	198:22, 200:22
41:8, 42:24, 43:12,	foremost [4] - 94:21,	fro-ing [1] - 131:17	79:11, 79:26, 80:1,	General's [33] - 5:16,
43:15, 43:26, 43:28,	94:22, 94:23, 122:23	front [4] - 123:25,	80:3, 86:16, 88:6,	5:20, 5:24, 6:16, 6:17,
44:4, 44:21, 45:3,	forensic [1] - 119:13	129:14, 190:16,	88:12, 88:13, 88:27,	7:7, 7:27, 8:1, 8:11,
45:18, 45:27, 46:9,	forget [1] - 30:24	199:24	94:2, 108:5, 109:3,	8:15, 17:21, 23:24,
49:21, 49:22, 50:2,	forgot [1] - 157:21	fulfil [1] - 72:29	109:15, 109:29, 110:22, 111:12,	23:29, 24:10, 39:26,
50:11, 50:21, 52:21,	forgotten [2] - 190:5,	full [7] - 97:15,	111:22, 112:3, 112:9,	40:9, 44:12, 45:4,
59:10, 61:19, 61:24,	190:6	103:3, 107:13, 111:6,	114:4, 114:22,	45:8, 50:12, 59:9, 59:19, 82:12, 82:24,
74:3, 74:29, 75:26, 76:20, 77:1, 96:9,	form [6] - 21:16,	166:6, 173:17, 198:10	115:10, 115:12,	83:27, 101:21, 106:9,
101:1, 102:25,	22:3, 22:5, 164:5,	fully [11] - 30:22,	115:21, 121:28,	112:12, 112:20,
121:10, 121:17,	170:23, 171:7	35:14, 66:20, 67:22,	122:1, 122:20,	139:27, 185:3,
135:15, 146:6,	formal [6] - 46:22,	108:8, 110:9, 130:1,	122:25, 123:11,	192:18, 192:21
159:28, 190:26,	58:15, 91:1, 106:8, 106:10, 125:17	130:4, 133:11,	123:17, 124:16,	generally [8] - 11:9,
193:25, 194:26,	format [1] - 117:26	133:12, 174:4	124:29, 125:28,	12:13, 25:20, 30:11,
196:1, 196:18, 196:23	former [17] - 9:15,	function [12] - 43:8,	127:9, 127:10,	136:5, 149:5, 159:10,
Flahive's [2] - 43:22,	10:9, 11:5, 11:6,	86:28, 96:4, 106:25,	127:18, 127:21,	170:4
51:3	11:10, 11:21, 11:29,	106:27, 141:8, 148:23, 156:10,	130:28, 131:3, 131:4,	generated [1] - 156:7
flawed [1] - 76:12	13:15, 22:21, 24:19,	156:12, 186:14,	131:24, 133:13,	geographical [2] -
Fleming [1] - 24:8	65:15, 66:19, 69:7,	196:14, 197:24	135:19, 137:19,	167:2, 167:6
float [1] - 76:24	70:19, 99:13, 161:18,	functions [1] - 72:29	140:7, 140:10,	<b>GERALDINE</b> [1] - 3:4
floated [1] - 184:19	164:8	fundamentally [1] -	140:16, 140:24, 140:29, 141:1, 141:6,	Gerard [1] - 196:1
floating [1] - 179:25	Forsyth [1] - 17:18	54:28	142:20, 143:7,	<b>GERARD</b> [1] - 3:11
floor [1] - 123:12	forth [2] - 17:23,	furnished [2] -	143:12, 147:17,	<b>Gillane</b> [4] - 181:15,
flowed [2] - 94:26,	138:2	87:13, 115:23	147:25, 147:26,	182:5, 182:28
99:18	forthcoming [1] -	<b>FURTHER</b> [2] - 4:12,	148:11, 148:21,	given [24] - 8:15,
flows [2] - 148:7,	174.16			16:14, 16:29, 19:20,
450.4	174:16	74:26	155:6, 155:10, 158:6,	00:46 00:00 40:47
158:4	forum [1] - 124:17	<b>Fáil</b> [2] - 123:2,	161:8, 163:24,	22:16, 22:26, 49:17,
158:4 fluctuate [1] - 78:3 focus [6] - 100:14,				22:16, 22:26, 49:17, 61:27, 69:8, 70:19, 73:8, 73:24, 88:16,

93:26, 101:4, 112:18, 130:21, 131:8, 135:29, 163:25, 167:26, 175:16, 194:4, 199:22 glad [1] - 54:27 GLEESON [2] - 3:2, half [5] - 26:19, glove [4] - 18:20, 73:17 20:10, 20:23, 71:9 goal [1] - 97:22 goal-orientated [1] -97:22 GORDON [1] - 2:10 governance [1] -161:2 Government [6] -6:7, 114:22, 127:14, 127:19. 163:11. 163:14 grand [3] - 76:24, 163·3 79:3, 152:22 great [4] - 14:9, 37:27, 79:9, 162:7 Green [1] - 27:11 Griffin [12] - 8:4, 8:6, 153:9, 153:14, 153:15, 153:22, 153:25, 154:1, 154:5, 198:29, 199:1, 199:3 gritty [2] - 37:10, 37:22 grossly [1] - 170:8 ground [1] - 111:8 groundless [2] -108:9, 110:9 group [3] - 6:23, 87:18 115:25, 115:29 GSOC [3] - 124:15, 124:26, 161:7 guaranteeing [1] -141:24 guards [8] - 14:3, 16:3, 19:29, 29:21, 32:13, 72:20, 72:29, 78:1 Guerin [18] - 41:18, 123:4, 125:6, 125:12, 83:14 125:26, 125:27, 126:2, 126:7, 128:4, 131:20, 140:6, 142:11, 142:14, 143:4, 161:20, 165:16, 166:19, 169:4 Guerin's [3] - 122:7. 122:16, 126:10 guess [1] - 106:26 guidance [1] - 124:6 Headquarters [4] guide [1] - 132:24 17:24, 39:9, 61:6, guided [3] - 31:20,

34:5, 67:18 GWEN [1] - 1:30 Gwen [1] - 1:25

## Н

61:14, 70:13, 72:18, HALIDAY[1] - 2:12 hand [22] - 18:20, 20:10, 20:23, 23:17, 24:19, 25:10, 25:13, 43:24, 60:4, 60:8, 60:10, 71:9, 123:22, 126:14, 145:20, 162:8, 163:3, 163:10, 166:28, 166:29, 187:20, 193:1 handed [2] - 123:2, handing [1] - 153:28 handle [1] - 149:24 handled [1] - 173:26 handling [3] - 29:21, 30:2, 109:15 hands [8] - 95:12, 113:3, 113:9, 113:11, 114:18, 114:28, 115:8, 115:17 hands-off [7] -95:12, 113:3, 113:9, 113:11, 114:18, 114:28, 115:17 hands-on [1] - 115:8 handwritten [1] happy [15] - 37:5, 45:18, 49:1, 49:4, 83:24, 83:28, 89:12, 89:13, 102:21, 157:13, 157:18, 158:3, 189:27, 197:19, 197:27 hard [4] - 34:15, 78:29, 83:14, 178:7 hard-working [1] hardly [4] - 75:10, 75:12, 75:22, 179:19 HAVING [4] - 5:7, 9:12, 82:1, 121:14 head [6] - 17:19, 49:11, 52:18, 63:9, 115:26, 138:17 headed [1] - 21:8 heading [1] - 121:25

174:5 Healy [8] - 36:21, 36:27, 37:4, 37:13, 87:23, 96:28, 104:1, 116:28 hear [2] - 28:23, 36:2 heard [10] - 7:3, 52:20, 71:28, 100:26, 108:23, 125:27, 133:24, 136:27, 152:13, 172:19 hearing [8] - 18:28, 91:10, 114:1, 134:19, 134:21, 139:9, 145:16, 183:9 **HEARING** [4] - 5:1, 92:18, 93:1, 201:27 hearings [13] -41:19, 41:23, 53:10, 53:11, 132:11, 139:3, 140:7, 140:11, 142:19, 143:7, 143:13, 144:4, 186:17 heavily [1] - 62:27 heel [1] - 200:3 HELD [1] - 1:17 held [3] - 15:3, 36:19, 53:12 help [2] - 22:7, 178:20 helpful [12] - 10:15, 18:2, 22:9, 28:13, 30:29, 35:13, 52:1, 60:17, 62:25, 66:19, 67:20, 164:22 helping [1] - 20:2 herself [6] - 14:29, 47:14, 48:6, 73:22, 100:12, 156:22 hesitation [1] -186:25 hiding [2] - 137:10, 198:9

holder [3] - 98:13, 101:18, 102:3 holders [3] - 98:19, 101:14, 102:2 honest [4] - 26:8, 36:2, 132:21, 163:18 honestly [2] - 38:28, 186:27 hope [1] - 184:16 hour [1] - 23:16 HOUSE [3] - 2:12, 2:19, 3:13 House [1] - 123:12 housekeeping [5] -108:29, 109:24, 109:25, 114:10, 114:12 Howlin [1] - 176:23 HQ[1] - 36:17 huge [3] - 169:27, 181:24, 199:20 hugely [1] - 46:15 hullabaloo [1] - 60:9 human [1] - 78:16 hundred [1] - 22:29 hundreds [1] - 77:28 hunt [1] - 200:3 hurried [3] - 69:3, 69:20 70:16 hurt [1] - 183:2 hypothetical [1] -59:8

ı

i.e [1] - 115:21

ICT [1] - 122:5

168:27, 174:29,

142:14, 169:17,

169:19, 193:27

66:18, 176:29

196:26

76:20

200:17

158:26

identify [3] - 22:10,

ignited [1] - 23:15

illegitimate [1] -

imagination [1] -

104:17, 174:22,

imagined [1] -

imagine [7] - 24:17,

179.22

idea [7] - 17:26,

60:11, 69:4, 117:26,

94:25 161:9 identified [8] - 41:17, 45:27, 140:5, 142:10, 20:5 identifying[1] - 22:8 76:18, 102:9, 102:14,

113:3, 114:28, 137:1 important [23] -15:24, 15:25, 17:28, 63:2, 71:23, 88:29, 92:9, 101:25, 104:24, 104:26, 105:20, 119:19, 119:20, 120:10, 130:17, 134:13, 134:14, 136:9, 151:12, 162:25, 169:16, 198:6 impossible [2] -18:8. 171:21 impossibly [1] impression [2] -35:21, 53:28 improper [1] -177:11 IN [1] - 1:17 inaccurate [3] -83:23, 83:24, 84:2 inactive [2] - 86:13, 109:21 inadequate [1] inappropriate [3] -33:18, 114:20, 150:3 inappropriately [1] include [5] - 145:11, 146:11, 163:24, 176:5, 197:3 included [18] - 28:2, 29:24, 29:25, 42:26, 74:5, 84:22, 127:27, 144:13, 153:21, 153:22, 161:19, 162:6, 163:27, 164:1, 174:16, 191:21, 192:9, 201:20 includes [2] - 75:28, 153:21 including [9] - 13:16, 49:12, 54:21, 65:15,

immediate [1] -

immediately [7] -

38:5, 91:23, 144:3,

171:17, 179:2, 182:6,

impact [2] - 85:27,

impacts [1] - 130:2

25:8, 110:11, 119:27

implications [1] -

importance [6] -

88:17, 89:2, 95:12,

imparted [4] - 23:26,

200:21

129:21

19:24

high [3] - 12:29,

High [1] - 151:18

highest [4] - 88:17,

95:11, 113:2, 114:27

**highly** [2] - 170:4,

himself [3] - 54:22,

history [1] - 146:14

183:15, 183:17

hit [1] - 182:13

108:22, 136:21,

137:21, 137:28,

hmm [6] - 100:1,

hold [4] - 128:16,

129:1, 176:15, 188:24

83:15, 144:22

170:8

200:11

66:8, 70:21, 101:14, 41:11, 42:16, 42:25, 134:7, 135:16, 138:4, 178:18 191:10, 191:27, 135:12, 138:26 42:27, 46:17, 54:7, 146:7 interrupted [1] - 37:2 192:1, 192:6, 192:11, inclusion [9] - 42:3, 56:28, 57:7, 60:20, instance [10] - 13:24, intervene [9] - 45:9, 192:12 60:24, 80:7, 85:2, 84:20, 126:19, 127:6, 17:4, 22:20, 27:4, Investigation [36] -89:4. 90:9. 93:8. 129:16, 140:21, 86:25, 88:16, 88:24, 33:25, 50:10, 117:29, 119:24, 136:13. 41:16, 43:9, 46:21, 89:1, 90:10, 90:13, 47:21, 108:26, 144:6, 146:1, 169:14 118:27, 170:20, 149:27, 151:9, 185:14 incoming [2] - 70:23, 92:9, 94:12, 94:26, 200:28 intervened [2] - 89:5, 126:21, 126:29, 95:11, 98:2, 98:13, instances [1] - 98:12 127:15, 127:25, 182:28 98:14, 98:17, 99:18, 128:9, 128:12, instantly [1] - 189:19 inconsistent [2] intervening [4] -68:9, 68:16 99:21, 101:25, 157:22, 186:14, 128:18, 129:24, instead [2] - 21:8, inconvenienced[1] -104:23, 110:28, 196:14, 197:24 130:7, 130:12, 135:5, 111:2, 112:18, 113:2, 57:28 instructed [4] - 53:1, intervention [6] -136:1, 140:4, 141:10, 113:25, 114:27, 142:9, 145:12, indeed [17] - 9:27, 84:10, 150:13, 187:26 50:6, 93:16, 95:15, 117:15, 120:9, 15:12, 19:5, 21:14, 148:29, 149:25, INSTRUCTED [5] -113:6, 115:2, 156:25 26:12, 30:3, 39:19, 130:19, 130:26, 150:12, 150:14, 2:11, 2:18, 2:23, 3:4, interview [4] - 64:9, 134:3, 134:6, 136:7, 150:22, 150:26, 64:12, 123:27, 157:17 82:9, 83:19, 94:15, 3:12 136:9, 136:23, 156:17, 168:19, 110:23, 149:6, 153:5, interviewed [7] instructing [1] -137:12, 137:14, 169:25, 174:17, 177:12, 193:4, 194:4, 23:19 131:8, 131:9, 175:11, 137:19, 137:23, 185:15, 191:7, 175:14, 175:16, 194:15 instruction[1] -140:1, 150:5, 164:3, 191:23, 192:5, 192:27 Independent [11] -175:22, 175:23 88:12 185:12, 185:13, 29:17, 122:10, Investigation" [1] instructions [6] -INTO [1] - 1:3 186:25, 187:8, 196:5, 7:21 122:12, 123:13, 84:11, 87:9, 87:10, introduced [1] -196:6, 196:9, 196:26, investigative [3] -125:14, 125:18, 87:11, 87:13, 168:7 139:15 197:3, 197:6, 198:3, 131:24, 163:27, 56:1, 110:21, 111:15 INSTRUMENT [1] intrude [1] - 180:4 198:8 164:28, 191:16, invoices [1] - 6:6 1.8 invented [1] - 75:13 informed [29] -191:27 instrument [1] investigate [2] involve [3] - 48:3. 46:14, 50:3, 54:11, 48:6, 100:16 independent [2] -132:5 181:22, 191:29 83:8, 84:12, 87:27, 190:29, 191:4 involved [26] - 5:24, instrumental [1] investigated [17] -88:1, 91:17, 101:5, 6:1, 6:12, 10:23, INDEX [1] - 4:1 121:29 41:27, 79:15, 85:16, 101:6, 101:25, 10:28, 11:2, 11:3, indicate [3] - 42:26, 107:22, 108:2, 108:9, intense [1] - 61:17 102:12, 102:15, 110:17, 175:23 15:21, 21:25, 30:19, intensity [1] - 78:2 108:21, 110:1, 110:9, 102:16, 102:22, 30:21, 32:13, 34:21, indicated [4] - 38:10, intensive [2] - 14:2, 126:2, 131:7, 140:15, 104:3, 105:18, 43:25, 53:6, 67:28 37:16, 37:24, 46:20, 142:25, 146:10, 105:21, 107:21, 65:8, 105:5, 109:16, indication [1] - 188:4 171:12, 175:10, 183:4 interaction [1] -108:1, 108:15, 115:8, 119:16, 135:8, indictment [1] investigating [5] -173:29 111:22, 112:9, 138:11, 149:15, 85:25 interchange [1] -41:17, 140:5, 142:10, 112:14, 115:20, 149:18, 172:1 indirectly [1] - 11:4 142:14, 171:19 116:28, 118:11, involvement[10] individual [2] interest [8] - 15:16, investigation [56] -120:5, 169:7 10:26, 12:13, 60:5, 158:25, 163:28 26:11, 29:23, 32:15, 18:23, 18:24, 19:26, informing [4] - 50:8, 84:16, 84:18, 122:8, individuals [1] -41:28, 44:10, 46:19, 20:1, 20:3, 20:27, 84:6, 108:19, 172:25 158:5, 158:8, 171:1, 105:4 55:15, 85:23, 85:24, 189:22 informs [1] - 14:6 178:6 inevitably [1] - 14:2 interested [1] - 76:25 108:10, 110:14, ing [2] - 131:17 involving [3] - 14:11, 118:17, 119:1, inferences [1] interesting [1] -INIS [1] - 39:9 32:17, 74:7 184.20 119:10, 123:28, 120:21 Irish [2] - 163:27, initial [4] - 57:10, influence [2] - 30:22, 124:2, 125:5, 125:11, interests [2] - 19:29, 90:13, 159:19, 191:11 164:27 126:20, 126:26, 55:17 34.10 initiated [1] - 28:15 IRM [40] - 29:28, inform [6] - 60:19, 127:2, 127:4, 128:5, interfere [3] - 47:13, input [5] - 17:3, 41:24, 41:28, 42:1, 128:15, 128:16, 86:10, 88:23, 89:10, 49:18, 55:17 17:25, 17:27, 157:20 84:19, 124:4, 126:15, 129:1, 129:8, 130:28, 113:26, 175:29 interfered [1] inquire [2] - 186:8, 126:16, 127:16, 131:4, 131:25, informal [8] - 22:22. 149:26 128:14, 128:18, 133:13, 140:17, 22:23, 43:29, 46:23, internal [1] - 24:1 inquiries [1] - 166:1 128:21, 130:5, 145:26, 146:8, 46:24, 49:4, 58:17, international 131 -133:12, 140:13, **INQUIRY** [2] - 1:3, 148:25, 149:15, 166:1 6:2, 9:25, 25:29 140:16, 140:19, 150:16, 161:8, informality [1] interpret [2] inquiry [8] - 63:4, 161:20, 165:9. 143:9, 143:29, 144:6, 23:10 158:22, 188:3 63:6, 63:10, 124:28, 165:28, 166:7, 144:28, 145:4, 145:8, informally [2] interpretation [2] -125:1, 163:23, 164:6, 166:14, 167:24, 145:26, 145:28, 48:10, 106:9 49:20, 195:15 146:9, 161:23, 162:1, 192:10 170:13, 170:24, information [70] interpreted [2] -162:8, 162:28, 163:8, insertion [1] - 159:18 173:25, 174:13, 20:18, 23:26, 25:1, 158:23, 188:6 165:22, 167:23, insofar [5] - 121:25, 174:15, 179:13, 25:9, 40:9, 40:12, interpreting[1] -

## J

JANUARY[3] - 1:18, 5:1. 201:27 jargon [1] - 157:16

jeopardy [2] - 15:15, 18:26 Joan [1] - 160:12 job [2] - 69:1, 154:4 jog [1] - 189:13 JOHN [3] - 2:17, 2:22 John [8] - 52:3, 55:29, 63:3, 63:5, 63:6, 195:21, 198:24, 198:25 joined [2] - 17:20, 82:12 Judge [29] - 14:9, 14:14, 14:15, 15:12, 20:20, 46:12, 129:27, 138:27, 139:4, 151:21, 167:12, 169:3, 177:8, 177:28, 178:25, 178:27, 180:11, 180:23, 181:2, 181:15, 182:3, 182:17, 183:18, 183:25, 184:6, 184:8, 184:12, 184:13, 184:23 JUDGE [2] - 1:12, 2:3 judge [14] - 134:20, 149:22, 151:22, 151:24, 151:25, 156:21, 178:22, 180:19, 181:26, 184:8, 187:17, 201:7, 201:8, 201:13 judgement [1] - 79:1 judgements [1] -48:4 judgment [1] -201:14 judicial [5] - 89:17, 119:28, 120:8, 133:26, 151:18 July [10] - 51:12, 51:13, 63:3, 127:22, 128:19, 157:2, 157:24, 187:3, 195:5 juncture [1] - 35:28 June [6] - 10:6, 57:2, 161:12, 161:18, 163:16, 178:10 junior[1] - 123:15 Justice [28] - 5:12, 5:17, 9:15, 9:17, 9:21, 11:3, 11:12, 13:14, 13:16, 15:4, 19:5, 33:25, 55:15, 58:16,

68:19, 118:27, 119:3,

121:19, 126:8,

127:20, 160:5,

160:21, 162:19,

166:28, 169:10, 177:3, 178:1, 179:23 JUSTICE [3] - 1:8, 1:12, 2:2

## K

**KATHLEEN** [1] - 2:7 KATHY[1] - 2:18 **KAVANAGH**[1] - 2:4 keen [1] - 99:14 keep [5] - 49:25, 66:27, 120:5, 178:23, 182:15 keeping [4] - 87:2, 87:6, 136:8, 198:5 **KEN** [5] - 3:9, 4:6, 9:12, 74:26, 77:22 Ken [28] - 8:13, 9:8, 36:19, 36:27, 61:8, 135:2, 135:6, 137:3, 137:13, 138:7. 138:10, 148:7, 148:9, 155:16, 156:8, 157:13, 157:19, 188:6, 188:8, 193:19, 195:1, 195:4, 195:16, 195:17, 198:16, 198:17, 198:19, 200:2 kept [2] - 83:8, 198:4 kernel [1] - 112:17 Kevin [1] - 55:15 kind [17] - 58:25, 78:7, 78:10, 108:29, 124:24, 128:5, 128:26, 145:19, 149:29, 160:19, 161:1, 179:8, 179:23, 179:24, 180:29, 183:22, 188:20 Kingscourt [1] knowing [5] - 79:14, 79:15, 79:16, 79:17, 150:7 knowledge [28] -10:11, 11:8, 29:18, 41:1, 47:25, 49:16, 49:17, 65:6, 68:25, 83:12, 84:14, 84:16, 84:18, 84:24, 110:3, 118:8, 155:19, 155:21, 158:18, 159:20, 159:21, 168:19, 171:11, 173:27, 174:18, 174:24, 188:16, 196:29

46:10, 99:11, 117:29, 118:12, 143:3, 165:10, 174:11, 188:29, 190:6, 201:16 knows [1] - 94:19

176:26

Leader [1] - 196:19

Leaders' [1] - 22:4

leadership [1] -

## L

labelling [1] - 13:2

lack [2] - 25:13,

ladder [1] - 116:3

lady [1] - 170:21

laid [1] - 110:21

144:26, 145:20,

large [2] - 17:27,

last [18] - 10:6,

57:7, 65:21, 72:6,

120:19, 134:24,

134:25, 145:23,

lasted [1] - 33:1

late [5] - 127:22,

latter [1] - 163:5

law [2] - 85:18,

148:14, 148:15

lax [1] - 102:2

lead [1] - 158:28

4:20, 4:24, 121:10,

121:15, 121:17,

154:29, 155:3,

157:10, 157:12,

159:22, 196:22,

115:29, 123:1,

125:22, 176:21,

leader [6] - 115:25,

196:23

**LEADER** [13] - 2:7,

121:28

166.1

118:13

Lavelle [1] - 52:15

lawyer [1] - 166:1

128:19, 167:15,

181:8, 188:20

landed [1] - 113:21

73.21

70.22

196:12

19:29 leading [1] - 74:18 leak [1] - 196:25 leaked [1] - 14:10 leaks [2] - 18:8, 64:4 lean [1] - 78:20 Labour [1] - 176:21 learning [1] - 102:25 least [4] - 36:16, 119:6, 172:25, 178:10 leave [4] - 96:5, 150:18, 154:3, 179:24 leaving [5] - 99:22, 99:28, 102:5, 105:1, landline [2] - 70:21, 166:9 led [3] - 14:12, 86:2, language [4] - 102:3, 123:3 left [14] - 14:20, 38:17, 129:9, 137:4, 158:5, 165:23, 179:8, 183:19, 185:19, largely [1] - 107:10 185:21, 188:13, 191:5, 191:29, 192:5 27:17, 55:24, 56:26, legal [66] - 16:9, 31:19, 31:20, 31:25, 95:4, 102:28, 103:17, 33:29, 34:6, 34:11, 35:14, 35:25, 36:17, 38:3, 38:6, 38:8, 158:17, 177:25, 189:8 38:10, 38:12, 40:22, 42:14, 42:20, 43:1, 43:4, 46:7, 46:29, 47:17, 47:20, 54:27, 57:16, 61:26, 62:2, lateness [1] - 188:27 62:7, 62:21, 62:27, 63:2, 66:21, 67:11, 67:17, 67:18, 67:22, 67:28, 68:1, 68:6, 68:14, 68:18, 68:22, 68:28, 75:7, 75:22, lawyer-chaired [1] -75:27, 76:1, 86:16, 99:19, 105:9, 108:25, lawyers [3] - 118:13, 128:2, 130:3, 135:10, 135:13, 141:4, 147:4, 147:28, 151:6, lay [3] - 20:3, 118:4, 156:19, 156:22, 156:23, 185:22, 185:29, 200:27 legally [4] - 43:6, 46:11, 141:7, 148:22 legislative [1] legitimate [6] -40:11, 45:5, 49:23, 77:4, 101:2, 185:2 legitimately [1] -

124:18

less [4] - 38:5, 55:5,

known [11] - 12:13,

137:22, 152:27	120:20	<b>MADE</b> [2] - 1:3, 1:8	39:12, 42:6, 43:5,	76:15, 80:9, 96:28,
lesser [1] - 93:9	listing [1] - 145:13	main [2] - 13:29,	44:15, 44:22, 44:25,	98:15, 115:20,
lest [5] - 87:6, 95:14,	literally [1] - 77:28	67:13	45:10, 47:28, 49:21,	127:21, 131:26,
112:14, 113:6, 115:2	litigation [1] - 117:7	maintain [4] - 38:11,	50:15, 54:17, 58:16,	132:8, 140:5, 142:10,
letter [27] - 13:10,	LITTLE [2] - 2:19,	67:29, 78:15, 79:1	60:12, 62:10, 68:2,	142:14, 142:25,
13:14, 16:17, 16:19,	3:14	maintained [1] -	71:3, 73:5, 73:22,	151:17, 151:26,
17:1, 17:3, 17:17,	live [3] - 11:22, 13:3,	37:18	79:14, 79:16, 83:28,	157:21, 160:26,
17:29, 20:29, 21:7,	174:12	maintenance [1] -	89:2, 89:14, 89:18,	186:20, 188:12
21:18, 22:9, 22:14,	load [1] - 184:27	13:29	90:7, 91:8, 93:22,	<b>MATTERS</b> [1] - 1:5
55:23, 56:8, 60:27,	location [2] - 127:9,	major [1] - 143:3	95:13, 100:16,	Maurice [10] - 10:13,
100:6, 103:6, 103:8,	167:13	majority [1] - 124:11	100:22, 101:7,	10:14, 12:21, 12:27,
104:7, 123:26, 126:9,	logic [1] - 184:28	MALONE [1] - 1:30	103:15, 104:29,	25:27, 29:7, 45:23,
173:9, 173:15,	logistical [1] - 122:4	Malone [1] - 1:25	105:25, 110:4, 113:4,	57:15, 79:13, 79:27
176:14, 176:18,	logistics [1] - 172:13	malpractice [1] -	114:29, 118:12,	McCabe [109] - 2:9,
176:29	look [38] - 7:4, 12:7,	125:28	118:14, 118:15,	10:13, 10:14, 10:22,
letters [2] - 15:20,	15:20, 16:23, 16:27,	man [1] - 170:29	119:27, 129:15,	10:25, 11:16, 12:2,
179:10	19:23, 30:25, 37:19,	management [4] -	129:26, 131:9, 133:8,	12:12, 12:16, 12:22,
level [16] - 28:19,	46:12, 47:12, 47:16,	5:23, 12:8, 88:6,	133:15, 134:1,	12:27, 12:28, 20:6,
86:7, 88:11, 100:15,	47:28, 60:16, 66:27,	88:13	134:15, 136:13, 137:13, 138:8, 139:3,	25:27, 29:7, 31:7,
100:18, 101:9,	70:11, 72:7, 78:6,	management's [1] -	139:6, 139:19,	32:23, 33:5, 40:19, 41:20, 41:24, 41:26,
117:28, 118:8, 144:8, 144:10, 144:11,	79:21, 79:26, 87:16,	112:10	140:24, 141:6,	42:7, 42:9, 45:23,
144:10, 144:11,	89:27, 91:5, 95:2,	manner [3] - 38:17,	144:25, 145:3,	46:4, 46:11, 48:14,
162:16, 185:9, 196:10	109:13, 123:21,	162:27, 164:27	147:17, 148:14,	48:15, 49:12, 51:27,
<b>Liam</b> [1] - 99:13	128:7, 138:17, 143:11, 145:23,	Mannion [1] - 52:12	148:21, 150:16,	52:27, 52:28, 53:2,
lifted [1] - 59:10	148:2, 160:20,	manoeuvring [1] -	150:27, 151:20,	53:5, 53:15, 53:21,
light [9] - 21:9,	165:24, 168:7,	177:4	152:5, 152:19,	53:27, 54:16, 54:22,
26:16, 36:25, 36:29,	169:15, 190:14,	March [1] - 99:29	152:29, 154:11,	55:10, 55:13, 57:15,
43:21, 54:25, 55:2,	199:12, 200:3, 200:12	Marine [1] - 160:16 Marion [1] - 52:12	156:4, 156:10,	63:8, 64:21, 65:4,
68:12, 125:1	looked [14] - 11:11,	marks [1] - 192:5	156:12, 156:14,	65:10, 69:12, 79:13,
light-touch [1] -	16:22, 19:9, 19:16,	MARRINAN [13] -	156:16, 157:14,	79:27, 80:4, 85:27,
125:1	36:22, 57:20, 96:6,	2:6, 4:4, 4:7, 4:11,	163:26, 164:4,	107:22, 108:2,
likeliest [1] - 26:27	106:16, 109:26,	5:4, 5:8, 5:9, 9:8,	164:26, 169:16,	108:11, 108:20,
likelihood [2] -	114:9, 128:28, 130:5,	9:13, 9:14, 57:29,	171:7, 171:8, 171:19,	110:2, 110:15,
28:19, 114:12	162:13, 177:17	73:3, 73:5	175:10, 176:5,	111:14, 111:23,
likely [4] - 26:25,	looking [20] - 26:10,	Marrinan's [3] -	177:12, 178:12,	112:21, 122:22,
39:12, 75:10, 75:13	31:29, 32:3, 52:28,	71:10, 71:11, 72:9	178:19, 178:28, 179:4, 181:19,	122:28, 129:19,
likewise [1] - 119:3	56:25, 56:29, 86:23,	Martin [13] - 7:19,	181:21, 181:22,	130:11, 131:7, 133:11, 140:8,
limited [3] - 107:7,	105:14, 106:7,	7:20, 8:14, 11:6,	182:4, 183:12, 185:4,	140:12, 140:14,
108:28, 144:21	106:10, 113:25,	11:10, 123:1, 125:22,	185:9, 186:10, 191:2,	140:25, 140:27,
line [22] - 84:10,	125:27, 130:2,	125:25, 126:4, 163:4,	197:21, 197:25,	142:21, 142:26,
85:4, 85:9, 85:20,	146:16, 178:5,	163:28, 164:3, 164:22	200:20, 201:13,	143:5, 143:8, 143:14,
87:15, 88:7, 88:12, 88:14, 94:25, 104:5,	179:24, 180:19, 182:15, 184:16	<b>MARTIN</b> [1] - 3:10	201:14	143:16, 143:19,
105:22, 116:26,	looks [1] - 109:13	material [13] - 9:10,	matter" [1] - 196:14	144:5, 145:3, 146:9,
117:13, 117:18,	loop [2] - 87:3, 87:6	16:11, 19:8, 21:24,	matters [65] - 10:12,	147:8, 147:18,
136:18, 137:10,	Lorraine [1] - 171:1	33:18, 53:24, 56:14,	10:21, 10:25, 11:9,	147:23, 149:22,
137:11, 137:24,	lost [1] - 180:14	84:2, 115:23, 168:9,	12:4, 15:28, 18:24,	156:19, 164:26,
141:19, 157:15,	loved [1] - 26:6	190:13, 190:15, 199:26	22:10, 23:2, 24:28,	165:6, 166:15,
185:23, 201:14	lower [1] - 162:23	materials [5] - 107:8,	29:3, 31:21, 32:21,	169:27, 171:16,
lines [7] - 38:21,	loyalty [1] - 19:12	121:12, 139:22,	33:7, 33:18, 33:29,	174:13, 174:15,
52:8, 64:6, 101:16,	LUNCH [2] - 92:18,	152:20, 154:13	35:14, 38:8, 39:27,	175:11, 175:25,
125:11, 135:9, 148:13	93:1	matter [124] - 13:7,	40:26, 41:17, 42:22,	179:3, 179:10,
link [3] - 53:29,	lunch [1] - 93:3	13:10, 14:14, 14:15,	48:19, 48:25, 48:27, 49:10, 54:14, 55:1	183:15, 186:21, 187:5, 101:11
64:21, 64:27	Lyons [1] - 118:28	16:28, 23:12, 23:23,	49:10, 54:14, 55:1, 55:13, 56:25, 57:11,	187:5, 191:11, 191:14, 191:24,
linked [2] - 45:29,		_ 23:27, 24:5, 26:5,	60:19, 62:18, 62:26,	194:6, 194:12, 200:5,
100:3	M	27:17, 27:24, 30:9,	66:8, 66:9, 66:20,	200:26
linking [3] - 53:20,		32:11, 32:25, 33:12,	67:16, 67:22, 68:10,	McCabe's [8] -
54:10, 188:3	<b>MacNAMEE</b> [1] - 3:1	35:23, 35:24, 36:1,	68:13, 70:27, 72:7,	23:20, 55:4, 55:27,
listen [2] - 79:4,	Maditalle [1] - 0.1	37:20, 38:13, 39:5,	72:25, 76:13, 76:14,	84:28, 86:1, 161:29,

188:4, 193:28	20:3, 20:8, 21:16,	4:23,
MCCANN [2] - 3:10,	21:28, 22:16, 23:4,	195:2
4:10	23:7, 25:19, 26:2,	Med
McCANN [6] - 71:7,	28:10, 29:8, 32:11,	197:9
71:8, 121:5, 185:26,	32:28, 34:3, 34:8,	me
187:17, 187:23	34:10, 34:16, 35:17,	163:2
McDowell [84] - 2:9,	36:25, 38:28, 44:27,	me
9:4, 23:17, 28:28,	47:8, 47:9, 47:28,	me
40:25, 41:21, 48:22,	49:3, 54:12, 56:16,	32:26
58:3, 58:4, 59:15,	57:9, 57:18, 57:19,	ME
65:11, 74:24, 74:27,	57:25, 59:14, 59:24,	2:2
74:29, 76:21, 76:26,	60:8, 60:15, 61:14,	me
77:4, 77:18, 107:2,	62:23, 64:23, 66:11,	55:6,
107:4, 121:3, 140:9,	68:21, 69:2, 69:16,	me
142:21, 159:26,	70:13, 71:27, 72:17,	115:
159:28, 164:20,	73:18, 74:11, 75:16,	me
165:2, 167:5, 170:10,	76:16, 78:1, 78:2,	me
170:14, 170:18,	78:12, 78:14, 78:22,	65:24
170:26, 170:29,	78:29, 79:1, 79:5,	70:9,
171:5, 171:10, 173:4,	79:25, 79:29, 86:29,	132:2
173:10, 173:17,	89:4, 97:3, 98:29,	187:
173:20, 177:27,	99:22, 102:4, 104:14,	189:
177:28, 178:8,	105:9, 105:27,	me
178:19, 178:25,	117:29, 118:26,	me
178:27, 179:1, 179:7,	133:22, 143:2,	25:7,
179:16, 179:20,	152:27, 154:22,	50:26
179:27, 180:5, 180:8,	159:10, 163:9,	178:
180:11, 180:13,	171:16, 178:1,	me
180:23, 180:26,	178:20, 178:21,	26:29
180:27, 181:2, 181:5,	178:22, 179:1, 179:7,	28:25
181:8, 181:25, 182:3,	179:22, 180:1, 180:3,	31:3,
182:17, 182:21,	180:15, 180:16,	39:11
182:27, 183:18,	180:28, 181:18,	39:27
183:23, 183:25,	182:3, 183:1, 183:15,	48:2
183:27, 184:2, 184:3,	184:14, 190:14, 194:19, 196:6, 196:9,	52:4,
184:8, 184:12,	196:10, 199:13,	59:4,
184:15, 184:16,	199:28, 201:10,	62:13
184:22, 184:29,	201:11, 201:14	72:26
185:27, 187:28, 188:1, 188:18,	meaning [1] - 196:12	88:25
	-	182:
190:11, 190:12, 190:19	meaningful [2] - 48:3, 85:12	182:2
	meanings [1] -	187:2
<b>MCDOWELL</b> [4] - 4:8, 4:12, 4:17, 4:21	146:12	199:
McDowell's [1] -		me
77:14	<b>means</b> [3] - 121:24, 154:23, 200:27	187:2
McGARRY [1] - 2:10	meant [4] - 35:6,	me
	47:19, 111:8, 152:17	170:7
MCGRATH [1] - 3:5 MCGUINNESS [2] -		me
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>Mechanism</b> [9] - 29:17, 122:10,	124:
2:6, 4:16		me
McGuinness [9] -	122:13, 123:14,	155:2
2:16, 72:6, 81:4, 82:2,	125:14, 125:18,	me
82:4, 92:14, 92:16,	131:24, 191:17,	186:9
93:3, 121:6	191:28	me
McTIERNAN [1] -	mechanism [3] -	12:17
2:23	123:7, 190:29, 191:5	164:
mean [115] - 11:8,	media [6] - 79:8,	me
11:17, 11:22, 12:7,	80:24, 123:9, 157:17,	71:14
12:23, 13:22, 13:26,	188:7, 195:17	Mic
18:19, 19:7, 19:8,	<b>MEEHAN</b> [5] - 3:11,	8:13,

, 190:23, 195:28, 29 ehan [2] - 196:1, 9 eeting [2] - 15:29, :28 elting [1] - 32:26 elting-pot [1] -EMBER [2] - 1:12, ember [3] - 54:16, , 114:21 embers [3] - 19:2, 16, 172:26 emo [1] - 96:18 emory [12] - 24:22, 4, 69:14, 69:29, , 76:12, 124:12, 24, 134:27, 14, 189:13, 14 emos [1] - 6:2 ention [9] - 14:8, , 34:22, 37:28, 6, 80:26, 87:8, 12, 194:18 entioned [41] -9, 27:7, 27:15, 5, 29:19, 29:27, , 32:21, 36:10, 1, 39:25, 39:26, 27, 46:9, 48:13, 1, 48:24, 48:29, , 57:21, 57:22, , 60:29, 61:23, 3, 70:12, 70:17, 26, 80:22, 83:25, 25, 89:17, 89:20, 1, 182:8, 182:9, 28, 185:8, 27, 194:11, 11 entions [1] -24 erely [3] - 160:23, 7, 183:28 erit [2] - 120:17, essage [3] -28, 198:7, 198:10 essages [1] et [5] - 12:15, 7, 54:22, 152:25, etaphor [4] - 71:9, 4, 71:17, 71:24 chael [28] - 7:6, 8:13, 8:19, 12:5,

23:24, 24:3, 29:19, 40:8, 41:20, 45:3, 50:11, 51:3, 52:21, 81:5, 87:27, 91:16, 91:23, 91:29, 97:10, 97:14, 103:2, 121:10, 140:8, 141:13, 142:21, 152:22, 153:12, 154:17 MICHAEL [9] - 2:9, 3:1, 3:8, 4:15, 4:19, 82:1, 107:2, 121:14, 159:26 Micheál [6] - 123:1, 125:22, 125:25, 126:4, 163:4, 164:22 mid [1] - 167:21 mid-2000s [1] -11:26 mid-October [1] -167:21 middle [6] - 24:23, 57:13, 72:11, 73:18, 91:14, 95:3 might [63] - 10:15, 12:4, 15:20, 16:24, 18:2, 18:19, 19:22, 21:9. 21:19. 22:4. 22:20, 22:22, 23:11, 29:23, 30:9, 30:13, 30:29, 31:11, 31:17, 31:24, 32:3, 32:4, 33:22, 35:12, 36:10, 40:7, 46:25, 47:1, 52:8, 55:17, 56:13, 58:20, 60:21, 61:2, 61:26, 62:25, 67:20, 71:17, 88:2, 94:19, 98:11, 98:17, 118:17, 119:27, 122:3, 124:26, 126:25, 128:29, 129:4, 129:9, 133:26, 138:25, 141:21, 154:2, 160:12, 160:28, 166:23, 176:17, 185:28, 185:29, 189:4, 191:23, 193:17 mightn't [3] - 60:17, 60:23, 94:17 mildest [1] - 135:22 mildly [1] - 64:3 mind [35] - 20:24, 26:9, 33:3, 33:5, 35:7, 37:26, 44:13, 46:18, 58:28, 61:12, 62:13, 66:28, 77:25, 80:5, 87:1, 94:3, 94:6, 94:7, 94:21, 98:10, 99:8, 117:12, 118:10,

119:20, 127:28, 134:23, 136:11, 169:26, 183:11, 186:5, 186:6, 186:8, 187:12, 189:17 mindful [6] - 30:25, 31:18, 31:25, 59:4, 89:7, 98:12 minds [1] - 122:23 mine [3] - 114:14, 118:16, 118:25 Minister [181] - 8:9, 11:7, 12:15, 12:16, 12:17, 13:16, 14:4, 15:5, 15:8, 15:10, 15:17, 15:26, 16:1, 16:16, 19:5, 21:3, 21:24, 21:29, 22:11, 39:28, 40:2, 40:5, 40:8, 40:11, 41:10, 42:5, 43:7, 43:19, 44:7, 44:8, 44:10, 44:14, 44:23, 44:27, 44:29, 45:6, 45:9, 45:13, 45:17, 45:19, 46:18, 46:26, 47:4, 47:13, 47:19, 47:22, 47:25, 48:3, 49:15, 50:3, 50:7, 50:13, 50:16, 50:18, 50:22, 50:26, 51:1, 51:3, 52:1, 52:6, 52:7, 52:11, 52:24, 53:24, 55:26, 59:16, 60:3, 60:4. 60:19. 60:25. 61:25, 63:26, 63:28, 64:6, 64:8, 79:20, 79:21, 80:11, 87:26, 88:2, 88:4, 98:28, 100:2, 100:6, 100:12, 100:16, 101:1, 101:4, 105:17, 105:26, 109:14. 114:21. 123:19, 124:26, 124:28, 128:8, 129:25, 131:13, 134:12, 134:23, 135:27, 136:13, 136:18, 136:26, 137:5, 137:27, 139:29 140:22 141:8, 141:19, 141:22, 141:24, 144:2, 144:7, 146:3, 146:18, 148:23, 149:26, 150:24, 151:9, 152:6, 152:8, 152:11, 152:25, 153:24, 154:17, 154:23, 154:29,

155:1, 155:5, 155:8,

155:9, 155:19, 171:2 4:4, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8, 4:9, must [8] - 76:2, nevertheless [2] -25:26, 45:12 155:22, 155:25, module [3] - 56:29, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 4:15, 113:17, 113:29, 155:26, 156:6, 156:9, 149:15, 171:27 4:16, 4:17, 4:19, 4:21, 116:22, 172:29, new [4] - 19:24, 156:23, 156:25, 173:1, 179:16, 179:18 4:22, 4:23, 4:24, 5:4, modules [1] - 56:29 84:24, 85:1, 88:26 157:16, 160:9, moment [10] - 53:19, 5:8, 5:9, 9:4, 9:8, mysterious [1] news [2] - 63:10, 160:14, 160:15, 59:6, 66:15, 77:16, 9:12, 9:13, 9:14, 179.8 63:17 160:16, 161:18, 57:29, 58:3, 58:4, MÍCHEÁL [1] - 2:15 88:25, 91:6, 120:11, newspaper [1] -162:10, 164:9, 65:11, 65:13, 65:14, 126:11, 130:14, 164:2 164:18, 166:28, 71:5, 71:7, 71:8, 73:3, Ν 144:16 next [10] - 9:8, 63:29, 169:8, 175:29, 176:3, 73:5, 74:24, 74:26, 81:4, 95:20, 102:27, moments [1] - 145:5 176:6, 176:8, 176:18, Monaghan [2] -74:27, 74:29, 76:26, 111:10, 117:8, name [5] - 52:22, 185:7, 186:13, 196:4, 55:14. 167:4 77:6. 77:9. 77:22. 121:10, 144:28, 65:14, 77:17, 190:26, 196:29, 197:11, 81:4, 82:1, 82:2, 82:4, MONDAY [2] - 1:18, 147:14 196:1 197:16, 197:18, 92:16, 93:3, 107:2, nice [2] - 59:29, 60:8 named [1] - 1:27 197:20, 197:24, 107:4, 121:3, 121:4, nicer [1] - 60:10 Monday [3] - 63:8, namely [1] - 49:23 197:25, 197:28, 121:5, 121:6, 121:14, 178:3, 186:23 night [2] - 39:3, 70:4 names [1] - 199:2 159:26, 159:28, 198:4. 198:6. 198:10. Mongáin [3] - 51:22, night-time [1] - 70:4 naming [1] - 146:22 199:6, 199:9, 199:12, 164:20, 165:2, 167:5, 52:26, 55:28 nitty [2] - 37:10, narrow [2] - 100:13, 199:16, 199:19, 170:14, 170:18, Monks [1] - 5:5 37:22 100:14 170:26, 170:29, 199:21, 199:24, MONKS [2] - 4:3, 5:7 nitty-gritty [2] narrowed [2] -200:14, 200:22, 171:5, 171:10, Monks' [1] - 5:9 37:10, 37:22 201:16 173:10, 173:17, 128:23, 129:4 months [2] - 51:13, **NOBLE** [1] - 2:24 MINISTER [1] - 1:8 173:20, 177:28, narrower [1] - 94:18 63:19 nobody [8] - 155:4, 178:8, 178:25, nasty [1] - 180:29 minister [4] - 142:2, 156:2, 156:3, 170:20, moot [1] - 126:7 178:27, 179:1. Natural [1] - 160:17 160:15, 185:14, morning [17] - 5:5, 185:6, 185:8, 198:1, 179:16, 179:20, nature [10] - 14:28, 188:11 58:4, 58:5, 80:22, 201.1 179:27, 180:5, 180:8, Minister's [15] -16:6, 16:15, 86:5, **NOEL** [1] - 3:9 84:7, 100:27, 114:20, 18:12, 22:14, 25:7, 180:11, 180:13, 86:13, 96:19, 105:1, 117:25, 136:15, Noel [10] - 7:2, 7:3, 180:23, 180:26, 44:5, 48:8, 52:14, 138:21, 139:8, 151:17 136:20, 137:17, 17:14, 24:22, 28:6, 181:2, 181:5, 181:8, 60:13, 99:2, 137:26, neat [1] - 120:8 157:1, 187:22, 28:20, 52:17, 72:11, 182:3, 182:17, 141:18, 141:27, neatest [1] - 150:10 187:29, 193:20, 198:21, 199:3 182:21, 182:27, 148:28, 153:6, 159:9, necessarily [9] -193:26, 201:23 nominated [2] -183:18, 183:25, 185.8 35:16, 42:21, 60:25, most [5] - 12:29, 86:18, 115:13 183:27, 184:3, 184:8, ministers [1] - 98:20 138:28, 147:9, 78:15, 96:14, 134:11, nomination [6] -184:12, 184:16, minor [2] - 165:20, 150:27, 172:29, 141.26 86:19. 86:20. 109:5. 184:22, 184:29, 169:5 180:12, 199:13 motivated [2] -109:7, 113:12, 113:22 185:26, 185:27, minutes [4] - 26:19, necessary [6] - 5:26, 111:23, 112:1 non [1] - 165:28 187:17, 187:23, 33:1, 190:20, 190:23 57:26, 59:24, 96:2, motivation [22] non-commission [1] 187:28, 188:1, 189:28, 200:21 misconduct [3] -23:20, 40:19, 40:23, - 165:28 190:12, 190:19, 122:25, 123:17, necessity [1] - 25:24 40:29, 42:8, 45:28, none [2] - 70:27, 190:20, 190:23, 125:28 need [17] - 17:12, 46:9, 84:10, 84:28, 84:21 190:25, 190:26, misinvestigation [1] 30:25, 31:18, 31:24, 85:15, 112:5, 112:6, normal [2] - 7:26, 194:7, 194:10, - 161:26 32:22, 51:4, 72:7, 133:1, 133:17, 16:20 194:21, 194:24, mislabelled [1] -79:22, 80:23, 86:26, 134:17, 140:26, normally [2] - 6:20, 195:3, 195:28, 36:26 86:27. 93:8. 93:16. 145:15, 147:2, 147:6, 141:22 195:29, 196:22 missed [1] - 76:8 100:14, 101:15, 147:19, 182:25, **note** [10] - 16:22, MS [19] - 2:7, 2:7, 106:19, 121:1 missing [1] - 173:19 193:28 23:22. 85:11. 87:18. 2:18, 3:2, 3:3, 3:4, mistake [1] - 144:23 needed [8] - 32:5, move [6] - 16:10, 91:4, 97:5, 97:6, 3:8, 4:3, 4:20, 5:7, 40:27, 43:20, 86:16, mistaken [5] - 70:9, 16:13, 50:23, 51:11, 132:14, 163:21, 173:6 121:10, 121:15, 109:3, 114:14, 71:2, 75:14, 108:15, 63:3, 77:20 noted [7] - 8:9, 121:17, 154:29, 157:20, 174:22 158:29 moved [1] - 173:21 23:27. 24:1. 24:4. 155:3, 157:10, needn't [1] - 108:18 misunderstanding moving [2] - 50:1, 103:26, 153:12, 157:12, 159:22, negative [1] - 33:22 111 - 173:5 177:2 154:17 196:23 net [2] - 93:24, misunderstandings MR [151] - 1:12, 2:2, notes [7] - 1:27, MULLAN [1] - 2:7 170:15 [1] - 30:17 2:4, 2:6, 2:6, 2:9, 24:11, 24:14, 25:13, Mullingar [7] - 51:28, neutral [3] - 158:10, mobile [2] - 27:13, 2:10, 2:10, 2:15, 2:15, 65:28, 103:19, 116:27 52:29, 53:6, 54:1, 61:4 160:4, 164:28 2:16, 2:16, 2:17, 2:22, nothing [20] - 13:22, 63:9, 186:22, 187:25 model [3] - 118:28, never[6] - 64:16, 2:23, 3:1, 3:1, 3:2, 14:16, 18:5, 20:21, Murphy [1] - 119:11 108:23, 183:10, 119:11, 123:13 3:4, 3:8, 3:9, 3:9, 23:10, 28:21, 60:24, MURPHY [1] - 2:15 183:13, 194:6, 196:27 Module [2] - 106:3, 3:10, 3:10, 3:11, 3:12, 64:11, 83:22, 83:24,

92:12, 120:22, 152:13, 152:28, 154:6, 154:27, 173:19, 175:23, 191:29, 195:14 notice [1] - 40:27 notification [1] -68:20 notifying [1] - 152:5 noting [3] - 153:5, 153:7, 156:3 notwithstanding [7] - 61:11, 89:2, 92:9, 95:12, 113:3, 113:9, 114:28 November [11] -157:22, 157:27, 158:2, 168:13, 168:15, 174:29, 175:1, 175:2, 175:4, 176:7, 189:8 nowhere [1] - 74:6 nuance [1] - 97:28 number [19] - 5:21, 7:12, 17:27, 66:16, 69:23, 78:5, 83:1, 84:13, 116:18, 116:22, 125:10, 158:11, 160:2, 161:5, 161:25, 162:22, 179:11, 180:16, 199:21 numerous [1] -87:25 Nóirín [5] - 10:9, 11:29, 12:10, 22:21, 78:9

## 0

O'BRIEN [1] - 3:4 o'clock [3] - 38:25, 152:19, 201:23 O'Daly [1] - 99:14 O'Daly's [1] - 101:10 O'HIGGINS [1] - 2:15 O'Hiaains [101] -10:28, 14:14, 14:15, 14:22, 14:24, 15:23, 18:1, 18:5, 20:4, 20:13, 20:17, 23:15, 24:28, 27:25, 30:4, 31:1, 33:25, 36:17, 40:18, 41:16, 41:18, 42:3, 45:26, 46:12, 48:6, 48:19, 48:28, 51:25, 53:3, 53:11, 53:23, 55:15, 56:11, 57:14, 68:19, 71:16,

71:26, 71:28, 72:23, 111:13, 111:24, 112:2, 117:27, 122:9, 126:20, 126:28, 127:3, 127:4, 127:7, 127:8, 127:12, 127:15, 127:25, 128:4, 128:11, 128:17, 129:17, 129:23, 129:27, 130:7, 131:15, 132:3, 132:4, 132:14, 132:27, 134:19, 135:5, 135:20, 135:24, 135:26, 138:19, 138:28, 139:4, 140:4, 140:6, 140:21, 142:9, 142:19, 142:25, 144:6, 144:11, 144:13, 146:1, 146:12, 146:15, 149:14, 150:22, 151:21, 165:12, 167:10. 167:13. 169:12, 169:24, 169:28, 176:5, 177:3, 177:8, 178:1, 179:23, 184:5, 188:17 O'Higgins' [1] - 14:9 O'Higgins's [2] -15:12, 20:20

8:13, 9:9, 9:14, 56:24, 58:4, 65:11, 65:14, 65:27, 68:16, 69:24, 70:18, 71:5, 71:8, 77:12, 78:18, 80:17, 114:19, 134:25, 136:14, 136:19, 137:7, 137:17, 138:3, 139:27, 148:8, 149:6, 152:18, 155:13, 155:16, 156:9, 157:1, 157:13, 157:19, 185:1, 185:7, 185:26, 185:27, 186:29, 188:20, 193:19, 193:26, 195:1, 195:5, 196:24, 197:11, 197:29, 198:17, 198:19, 200:2 O'LEARY [5] - 3:9, 4:6, 9:12, 74:26, 77:22

O'Leary's [7] -

195:16, 195:18,

196:29, 198:17

O'Sullivan [13] -

100:26, 188:7, 188:9,

O'Leary [50] - 7:14,

10:9, 11:29, 12:10, 22:21, 52:20, 65:16, 65:21, 66:4, 69:7, 77:11, 78:9, 78:25, oath [1] - 125:2 object [3] - 77:14, 149:23, 165:6 objected [7] - 28:28, 42:9, 139:9, 140:28, 147:24, 151:27, 156:18 objection [1] -151:28 objectionable [1] objective [1] - 14:3 objectives [1] -20:26 obligation [1] - 38:7 observation [1] -94:29 observations [7] -6:4, 94:3, 98:9, 98:11, 101:7, 112:15, 112:21 observers [1] - 18:3 obtruding [1] -179:29 obvious [3] - 31:4, 33:4, 130:23 obviously [24] -17:28, 19:22, 29:15, 33:24, 44:26, 57:1, 79:9, 80:25, 89:13, 93:24, 98:29, 99:22, 100:26, 105:2, 109:13, 110:11, 110:27, 122:21, 138:29, 139:12, 145:16, 151:3, 172:19, 198:21 occasion [5] - 8:1, 115:22, 183:20, 194:11, 200:10 occasions [2] -179:11, 194:12 occur [1] - 45:20 occurred [14] -25:15. 31:17. 48:19. 49:1, 49:10, 53:22, 66:16, 72:8, 84:4, 94:11, 105:11, 161:6, 188:14, 189:1 occurrence [1] -188:4 occurring[1]-195:12 occurs [1] - 178:3

167:18, 167:19, 167:21, 169:9, 174:28, 174:29 October/November 111 - 174:26 odd [1] - 15:20 **OF** [4] - 1:3, 1:9, 1:12, 2:3 **offer** [1] - 37:5 offered [2] - 71:1, 106:2 Office [4] - 23:21, 24:7, 24:9, 66:24 office [101] - 5:16, 5:20, 6:13, 6:16, 7:8, 7:29, 8:11, 8:15, 8:23, 17:20, 17:21, 23:25, 23:29, 24:10, 27:11, 39:26, 40:10, 40:15, 43:21, 44:12, 45:4, 45:8, 50:13, 59:9, 59:20, 63:25, 70:22, 70:25, 82:7, 82:12, 82:20, 82:24, 82:25, 82:29, 83:4, 83:27, 86:15, 86:17, 86:24, 88:23, 88:27, 89:4, 89:5. 89:9. 90:8. 90:26, 92:8, 93:6, 93:8, 93:15, 93:25, 93:29, 94:24, 95:13, 95:27, 96:17, 98:13, 98:15, 98:19, 98:24, 99:13, 101:14, 101:18, 101:21, 102:2. 102:3. 103:8. 103:23, 105:22, 106:9, 109:6, 109:7, 109:14, 112:12, 112:20, 113:4, 113:10, 114:29, 115:7, 115:17, 115:26, 117:6, 120:12, 122:26, 126:9, 131:18, 135:18, 136:3, 136:28, 139:27, 142:3, 150:9, 153:21, 164:10, 168:20, 177:7, 181:9, 185:3, 192:18, 192:22 **OFFICE** [2] - 2:18, 3.13 office's [1] - 91:2 office-holder [3] -98:13, 101:18, 102:3 office-holders [3] -98:19, 101:14, 102:2 officer [4] - 5:11.

36:17, 96:24, 98:6

officers [1] - 158:25 official [4] - 9:15, 24:17, 43:27, 159:4 officially [1] - 25:12 officials [4] - 15:4, 19:4, 153:22, 154:6 often [4] - 82:20, 120:24, 177:26, 177:29 Oireachtas [1] -159:9 Ombudsman [1] -124:16 ON [4] - 1:6, 1:10, 1:18, 5:1 once [12] - 40:6, 89:12, 89:13, 92:8, 93:4, 93:18, 98:26, 100:17, 104:7, 131:14, 132:2, 134:29 one [105] - 7:4, 11:23, 20:19, 23:11, 23:17, 24:17, 25:10, 26:17, 28:15, 29:19, 30:1, 31:4, 33:4, 37:2, 41:24, 43:24, 48:13, 48:29, 49:14, 49:20, 52:14, 52:16, 55:24, 56:17, 57:1, 60:8, 60:17, 61:2, 61:7, 70:4, 71:8, 73:5, 74:24, 75:17, 77:27, 79:7, 82:7, 85:12, 92:5, 92:11, 102:15, 109:7, 109:13, 114:2, 114:11, 117:3, 117:18, 119:4, 119:19, 119:25, 123:24, 125:10, 125:18, 126:13, 126:14, 126:22, 129:5, 129:9, 130:6, 130:8, 130:15, 132:17, 133:6, 133:9, 133:25, 135:17, 140:12, 143:8, 143:28, 144:27, 145:20, 146:7, 146:12, 146:15, 146:26, 150:9, 151:22, 156:5, 160:3, 161:6, 161:28, 166:7, 166:9, 166:13, 166:15, 166:28, 169:13, 169:14, 171:15, 172:4, 172:28, 173:4, 175:11, 179:11, 182:12, 185:1, 186:9, 187:15, 191:7, 194:3,

129:3, 129:22,

October [9] - 128:22,

196:10, 196:23, 198:14, 200:17 one-by-one [1] -119:4 one-sided [1] -123:24 ones [2] - 62:13, 182:11 ongoing [4] - 34:4, 54:20, 99:12, 99:23 onward [1] - 96:7 open [11] - 7:22, 13:11, 17:10, 127:1, 127:13, 128:5, 149:12, 151:7, 165:23, 185:16, 187:7 open-ended [1] -128:5 opened [4] - 7:22, 17:11, 157:1, 159:13 opening [7] - 5:24, 57:2, 57:10, 107:12, 110:27, 126:10, 172:1 operate [1] - 25:25 operating [1] - 56:17 opinion [2] - 90:7, opportunity [5] -30:23, 44:21, 44:23, 50:25, 62:24 opposed [2] - 78:21, 201:18 opposition[1] -123:2 option [2] - 127:1, 127:12 orally [1] - 106:9 order [7] - 20:29, 22:13, 101:6, 158:13, 169:24, 176:10, 189:27 ordinary [1] - 175:25 organisation[3] -6:9, 121:28, 162:14 organisational [1] -122:5 orientated [1] original [4] - 84:15, 126:1, 161:8, 192:12 originally [2] - 71:13, origins [1] - 142:26 **OSMOND** [2] - 2:19, 3:13 **OTHER** [1] - 1:4 otherwise [2] - 6:24,

ought [4] - 22:11,

35:23, 101:12

ourselves 131 -32:13, 32:17, 72:20 outcome [5] - 30:1, 36:2, 93:24, 97:17, 124:20 outcomes [1] -165:25 outgoing [2] - 70:23, 71:2 outlandish [2] -117:24, 117:25 outline [2] - 50:4, 121:24 outlined [1] - 76:4 outlining [1] - 127:23 outs [1] - 49:8 outside [4] - 8:22, 96:17, 151:29, 182:6 outstanding [2] -124:4, 163:12 overall [2] - 71:25, 129:20 overlook [1] - 47:20 overlooked [2] -199:22, 199:25 overriding[1] -201:3 overwritten [1] own [10] - 27:11, 37:15, 61:12, 86:29, 105:22, 129:15, 138:17, 183:4, 190:14, 198:14

## Р

page [31] - 5:10, 7:1,

9:10, 41:6, 52:10, 55:22, 66:2, 66:4, 66:17, 67:13, 82:5 87:16, 87:19, 89:27, 90:18, 91:5, 95:3, 95:4, 103:18, 107:17, 116:27, 121:11, 139:22, 152:4, 152:19, 153:10, 154:13, 157:10, 193:14, 194:1 **PAGE**[1] - 4:2 pages [1] - 14:21 paid [3] - 6:7, 59:26, 82:28 pair [1] - 59:11 paper [10] - 16:11, 123:21, 127:23, 128:22, 129:3, 129:11, 129:12, 167:17, 169:9, 191:18

papers [3] - 82:5. 123:22, 168:8 paragraph [16] -31:12, 53:20, 67:13, 67:24, 95:4, 95:20, 107:18, 107:28, 111:10, 145:24, 146:6, 146:13, 146:16, 146:17, 147:15, 148:19 parallel [3] - 127:16, 127:26, 149:29 paraphrasing [2] -136:15, 200:9 pardon [4] - 41:2, 183:26, 184:2, 195:26 park [1] - 59:13 parliamentary [2] -6:9, 189:11 parsing [1] - 102:5 part [14] - 24:21, 38:26, 38:29, 82:23, 108:23, 112:5, 112:6, 114:4, 127:17, 138:19, 149:1, 164:5, 186:15, 191:22 participating [1] -169:28 particular [38] - 6:27, 10:12, 10:21, 12:7, 12:26, 14:11, 22:27, 31:18, 34:22, 46:8, 48:22, 48:29, 49:7, 55:9, 69:17, 71:15, 72:1, 77:27, 83:18, 86:11, 98:22, 101:4, 101:5, 118:21, 121:21, 122:8, 122:29, 127:28, 142:13, 154:2, 154:22, 155:24, 162:27, 164:21, 166:19, 167:27, 172:27, 178:5 particularly [6] -43:20, 49:16, 65:8, 83:15, 105:21 parties [7] - 94:1, 96:3, 98:8, 101:14, 102:6, 181:19, 181:23 parties.. [1] - 95:28 Party [1] - 176:21 party [7] - 43:8, 85:17, 98:14, 141:9, 148:24, 149:14,

126:4, 126:11 passing [1] - 29:18 past [2] - 94:11, 98:12 PATRICK [2] - 2:6, 3:10 Patrick [1] - 17:18 Paul [1] - 24:8 PAUL[2] - 2:10, 3:2 Paula [1] - 5:5 PAULA[2] - 4:3, 5:7 pause [9] - 84:13, 120:11, 120:13, 120:18, 121:2, 124:10, 128:24, 130:14, 168:5 Peace [1] - 10:2 pejorative [1] - 20:24 penalty [1] - 11:23 pending [2] - 173:23, 176:16 people [49] - 7:12, 12:24, 13:2, 17:27, 19:16, 25:11, 33:6, 33:7, 33:9, 36:26, 47:28, 61:6, 63:13, 63:21, 76:24, 78:6. 78:15, 78:18, 78:19, 78:20, 78:21, 79:2, 99:15, 99:20, 101:12, 118:5. 118:13. 118:23, 123:28, 124:14, 125:1, 131:9, 136:10, 138:14, 142:24, 145:21, 151:7, 153:29, 155:29, 158:12, 158:18, 162:9, 162:10, 162:13, 166:11, 180:28, 183:9, 194:18 perfect [2] - 66:3, 69.15 perfectly [6] - 66:6, 77:4, 189:27, 197:18, 197:19, 197:27 perhaps [27] - 10:10, 12:19, 44:21, 44:22, 45:20, 87:26, 89:27, 90:18, 95:2, 97:22, 98:16, 101:12, 102:2, 105:3, 119:26, 124:18, 144:14, 148:3, 149:28, 150:10, 151:12, 154:2, 178:25. 178:27, 190:17, 195:3, 201:3 period [9] - 27:1, 28:5, 38:19, 70:12,

70:21, 99:23, 106:27, 160:1, 160:24 peripheral [1] -69:21 permitted [1] -151:27 person [9] - 12:29, 13:19, 19:22, 21:14, 21:15, 65:2, 78:8, 111:5, 162:25 personae [1] -198:15 personal [9] - 105:4, 117:26, 172:18, 172:21, 173:27, 174:18, 174:23, 188:9, 195:18 personally [7] - 37:9, 42:21, 95:14, 113:5, 115:1, 156:24, 173:1 personnel [4] -86:11, 101:14, 127:10, 167:13 persons [1] - 55:23 perspective [8] -46:29, 47:1, 72:7, 130:3, 145:6, 156:26, PETER [3] - 1:12, 2:2, 2:4 phantoms [1] -183:23 **phone** [69] - 23:13, 26:17, 26:20, 26:23, 27:1, 27:5, 27:6, 27:7, 27:23, 28:1, 28:20, 28:24, 35:27, 36:22, 36:25, 36:29, 37:13, 38:29, 39:1, 39:3, 39:20, 50:4, 50:12, 50:21, 50:26, 51:6, 58:24, 59:10, 59:11, 61:1, 61:4, 61:7, 61:11, 65:26, 65:28, 66:9, 69:20, 70:1, 70:15, 70:16, 72:10, 72:22, 75:1, 77:29, 79:25, 85:12, 86:22, 92:2, 96:9, 100:28, 102:7, 102:24, 102:28, 132:22, 132:23, 133:5, 134:14, 135:17, 136:3, 137:15, 148:11, 155:12, 196:25, 197:3 phoned [10] - 35:3, 37:13, 44:24, 50:14, 52:25, 61:3, 87:27,

96:8, 97:14, 103:2

pass [3] - 41:10,

PASSED[1] - 1:5

passed [3] - 75:25,

139:29, 196:4

156:24

phones [1] - 24:26 phoning [2] - 24:20, 197:29 phrase [12] - 20:23, 40:11, 68:24, 116:4, 139:17, 144:20, 166:21, 167:11, 178:12, 178:21,	171:6, 171:26, 173:4, 173:20, 179:20, 179:21, 180:17, 182:3, 187:4, 189:14, 190:11, 194:25 pointed [1] - 171:10 pointing [1] - 48:16 points [11] - 11:23,	129:4, 130:1, 130:20, 130:26, 136:13, 151:10, 156:27, 166:5, 169:14, 186:14, 190:22 possibly [1] - 127:27 post [6] - 5:25, 53:7, 53:15, 53:22, 160:24,	42:5, 140:24, 147:17, 195:23 presume [5] - 117:4, 117:19, 164:4, 167:5, 172:29 presumed [1] - 158:14 presuming [1] -	11:28, 12:23, 55:1 proceed [2] - 68:10, 68:11 proceeded [1] - 131:21 proceedings [8] - 16:8, 55:18, 64:4, 71:29, 129:6, 132:14,
195:15, 196:6	16:24, 19:7, 33:13,	161:20	173:27	177:16, 179:29
phrasing [1] - 32:2	47:8, 66:26, 75:11,	post-crisis [1] -	pretend [1] - 29:8	Process [1] - 10:2
<b>pick</b> [2] - 12:9, 161:28	104:10, 151:22, 175:12, 180:21	160:24 post-Guerin [1] -	prevent [1] - 26:3 previous [4] - 15:29,	<b>process</b> [43] - 16:10, 16:14, 32:16, 49:18,
picked [1] - 130:6	policies [1] - 9:26	161:20	19:16, 98:29, 186:3	54:20, 59:22, 85:24,
picking [1] - 199:2	policing [6] - 10:17,	pot [1] - 32:26	previously[1] -	91:2, 97:29, 108:9,
piece [4] - 25:9,	55:13, 160:25, 170:2,	potential [4] - 44:13,	125:26	110:14, 110:21,
134:3, 134:5, 136:23	186:19	47:2, 105:2, 114:11	prima [2] - 129:22,	111:6, 111:15, 118:5,
pilloried [1] - 19:20	Policing [4] - 121:22,	potentially [6] - 34:9,	166:21	119:4, 124:15,
piped [1] - 97:23	121:26, 121:27, 158:6	42:7, 86:4, 130:23,	primarily [1] - 10:7	124:20, 126:15,
pity [1] - 190:17	political [13] - 10:1,	140:26, 147:19	primary [1] - 105:24	126:16, 126:28,
place [29] - 11:29,	15:18, 19:15, 47:1,	power [3] - 124:27,	<b>Prince</b> [1] - 72:26	127:16, 127:26,
12:24, 16:15, 18:9,	47:9, 47:29, 104:5,	139:27, 185:7	principle [2] - 32:11,	130:5, 133:12, 150:1,
22:20, 23:16, 25:12,	104:20, 104:25, 105:2, 105:4, 144:11,	POWER [1] - 3:10	162:9	158:5, 158:8, 158:14, 158:23, 158:24,
49:18, 55:1, 68:23, 69:24, 70:16, 70:26,	162:16	<b>Power</b> [3] - 7:19, 7:20, 8:14	printed [1] - 153:28	158:27, 159:6,
72:11, 87:15, 115:15,	politically [1] - 47:11	practical [3] - 47:12,	printing [1] - 142:1 private [39] - 6:8,	161:23, 162:1, 162:8,
118:21, 132:8,	politicians [2] -	47:15, 124:3	6:12, 6:21, 6:23, 6:25,	165:11, 165:23,
149:24, 152:2,	144:12, 164:25	practice [3] - 53:16,	7:29, 8:3, 24:12, 25:7,	169:13, 174:1, 174:4,
158:24, 159:7,	popped [1] - 166:13	101:11, 141:28	45:26, 48:19, 48:28,	189:24, 189:29
161:26, 163:11,	posed [1] - 127:13	practices [1] -	49:1, 53:12, 60:12,	<b>produce</b> [2] - 128:19,
168:29, 172:4,	position [55] - 14:17,	193:14	118:16, 119:10,	173:23
178:11, 191:24, 192:2	14:26, 15:16, 18:6,	preceded [1] - 99:1	134:19, 137:26,	produced [2] -
placed [1] - 45:25	18:26, 19:14, 19:21,	precise [3] - 48:24,	138:13, 139:4, 139:9,	18:15, 56:15
places [1] - 187:19	20:9, 27:19, 31:6,	66:13, 97:20	141:18, 141:23,	product [1] - 23:7 Professional [1] -
<b>play</b> [2] - 71:23, 134:21	31:15, 31:22, 31:23, 32:23, 34:9, 36:19,	<b>precisely</b> [5] - 50:7, 110:29, 168:28,	141:29, 145:16, 153:6, 153:15,	45:25
players [1] - 143:3	37:18, 38:11, 46:14,	196:10	153:23, 153:24,	profile [2] - 11:20,
plough [1] - 186:5	48:14, 49:12, 51:27,	precluded [1] -	154:1, 186:17,	12:29
pluck [1] - 127:11	54:19, 58:24, 58:25,	177:19	190:14, 199:3, 199:6,	profound [2] - 85:27,
plumbed [1] - 49:22	60:26, 63:8, 65:4,	predecessor [1] -	199:15, 199:23	130:22
<b>plural</b> [1] - 58:7	67:29, 69:18, 82:7,	78:27	privately [2] - 45:22,	programme [7] -
<b>plus</b> [1] - 158:10	82:10, 92:10, 93:7,	preparatory [1] -	45:26	51:22, 52:2, 52:5,
point [61] - 16:13,	94:28, 106:20,	113:17	privilege [3] - 70:26,	52:7, 63:29, 64:2,
18:12, 22:3, 22:15,	106:22, 116:25,	preparing [1] -	103:19, 103:20	64:14
25:17, 33:18, 35:12,	120:12, 120:13, 128:20, 135:7,	189:10	privileged [2] - 24:2,	<b>progressed</b> [1] - 85:5
37:15, 40:26, 43:19,	138:12, 149:11,	present [6] - 23:22,	113:9 proactively[1] -	prohibition [1] -
60:13, 60:15, 60:18, 60:22, 65:19, 67:7,	149:13, 150:11,	55:4, 55:12, 86:19, 96:8, 113:12	186:9	53:12
67:20, 68:9, 72:8,	169:6, 169:7, 170:9,	presented [2] - 48:5,	problem [10] - 73:20,	project [1] - 82:29
74:24, 75:21, 75:24,	185:19, 185:21,	117:25	77:5, 77:7, 80:1,	prominent [1] -
76:22, 76:27, 78:19,	186:21, 187:5,	presided [2] -	111:1, 135:16,	11:18
91:8, 93:5, 109:8,	191:23, 201:5	149:21, 156:20	135:23, 135:25,	Prone [1] - 17:25
113:15, 114:8, 117:8,	possibilities [1] -	presiding [1] -	169:27, 184:11	pronounced [1] -
120:3, 120:29, 123:9,	124:24	134:20	problematical [4] -	139:24
124:19, 129:13,	possibility [4] -	press [4] - 56:21,	129:18, 129:29,	proper [7] - 19:13,
130:15, 134:16,	151:14, 177:14, 177:24, 183:16	63:24, 157:2, 186:28	139:8, 170:5	47:13, 50:2, 54:29,
138:23, 139:10,	possible [20] - 33:17,	pressure [1] - 23:7	problems [2] - 23:11,	62:20, 136:12, 169:11
142:4, 145:4, 145:17, 145:19, 162:7,	35:13, 66:11, 67:21,	pressures [1] -	26:3 procedure [1] -	<b>properly</b> [9] - 32:12, 41:27, 50:29, 59:16,
165:17, 165:19,	71:1, 91:12, 101:13,	127:19	200:27	126:2, 140:15,
168:24, 170:10,	103:24, 104:13,	pressurise [1] - 76:9 presumably [4] -	procedures [3] -	146:10, 162:12,
,,		produitably [4]	to a received to	•

175:20 172:8, 176:19, 189:16 [1] - 3:10 raised [43] - 15:19, 107:12, 107:15, property [1] - 158:11 Public [3] - 176:9, Quattrociocchi [13] -23:18, 33:19, 40:25, 110:26, 115:28, proposal [1] - 176:10 181:11, 181:12 7:6, 8:18, 24:13, 41:8, 40:27, 41:22, 42:10, 133:24, 154:23, publically [1] - 14:27 163:19, 181:25, proposals [1] -52:22, 139:23, 46:9, 53:25, 54:14, publication [2] -141:18, 152:4, 54:18, 55:13, 63:13, 193:5, 199:16 117:25 proposed [8] - 94:1, 154:14, 155:2, 155:5, 72:1, 80:15, 112:22, reading [1] - 20:22 56:10, 71:16 199:4, 199:5 118:14, 122:28, real [2] - 25:24, 111:12, 115:21, publicly [4] - 45:22, 123:12, 132:29, 166:19, 167:29, 55:8, 161:19, 188:29 **QUAY**[1] - 2:12 65:17 133:3, 134:19, 136:6, realise [1] - 173:22 queries [2] - 52:26, 168:2, 168:3, 168:18 published [2] -139:9, 140:10, realised [1] - 189:21 proposing [2] -14:10. 139:2 79:12, 79:13 pulled [2] - 97:29, queries.. [1] - 55:27 140:28, 143:7, realises [1] - 194:17 proposition [2] -180:21 query [12] - 51:14, 143:13, 143:21, reality [3] - 15:18, 143:24, 143:25, 62:4, 129:29 Pulse [1] - 164:1 51:20, 52:3, 56:22, 15:21, 46:17 143:27, 144:11, propositions [1] purport [1] - 38:20 63:24, 157:2, 157:14, really [27] - 13:11, 145:15, 146:28, 182:28, 186:28, 76:10 purpose [5] - 49:6, 28:18, 35:6, 37:20, 147:1, 147:24, 195:20, 201:18 pros [2] - 129:12, 37:21, 45:21, 58:6, 77:10, 153:18, 150:28, 151:2, questioned [3] -191.19 153:20, 174:23 60:5, 62:8, 71:3, 94:5, 151:19, 151:28, 20:21, 88:12, 194:14 94:22, 98:21, 101:18, prosecute [1] purposes [1] - 107:7 156:16, 193:9 QUESTIONED [4] -102:3, 118:23, 124:3, 175:18 pursue [2] - 117:13, raising [5] - 42:6, 4:13, 4:25, 77:22, 134:8, 137:15, prosecution [7] -129:7 62:6, 133:8, 140:24, 138:15, 146:5, 198:13 42:1, 79:16, 131:11, pursued [1] - 185:24 147:17 133:14, 140:18, questioning [6] -180:23, 181:1, put [58] - 6:29, 11:28, ran [1] - 117:5 183:18, 190:15, 145:28, 164:2 19:14, 88:7, 104:5, 14:11, 18:26, 27:20, rang [2] - 109:29, 198:26 117:14, 117:19, Prosecutions [2] -28:29, 31:3, 32:26, 187:16 132:24 reason [18] - 18:22, 181:11, 181:12 34:24, 35:6, 37:7, prosecutorial [2] questions [20] range [4] - 26:2, 27:1, 29:26, 35:9, 48:23, 51:2, 60:25, 52:2, 64:7, 72:21 85:24, 110:23 61:24, 64:3, 65:2, 6:10, 9:1, 9:4, 40:17, 37:4, 37:12, 76:17, ranging [1] - 10:24 58:1, 58:6, 65:17, 137:1, 142:13, prospect [1] - 89:17 65:9, 68:5, 68:19, 65:18, 71:8, 84:14, rank [1] - 198:16 144:17, 153:28, protect [1] - 12:24 69:25, 69:28, 70:5, rare [1] - 126:24 154:2, 154:3, 155:24, 121:4, 121:5, 159:22, 71:3, 72:6, 76:5, protected [1] - 54:29 178:4, 189:11, rate [1] - 51:24 157:25, 157:26, 76:16, 91:12, 110:19, PROTECTED [2] -190:10, 190:21, 183:2. 192:4 1:3, 1:4 111:22, 115:8, 118:1, rather [14] - 11:13, 195:4, 196:20, 198:14 reasonable [1] -11:16, 21:17, 22:5, 119:5, 130:12, 134:9, protecting [1] -Questions [1] - 22:4 36:27, 54:7, 108:28, 18:17 135:21, 137:11, quick [2] - 93:12, 109:13, 119:6, reasoned [1] -138:26, 144:10, provide [6] - 27:20, 160:4, 160:24, 161:2, 165:15 129:19, 158:24, 191:18 53:24, 57:6, 89:25, 183:9, 183:20, 185:28 reasoning [2] - 47:5, 162:2, 162:3, 163:8, quickly [5] - 93:18, 164:3, 191:26 94:14, 94:15, 131:5, re [3] - 126:10, 163:11, 167:14, provided [4] - 25:2, 133:10, 196:20 170:9, 172:7, 176:14, 177:2 reasons [2] - 119:19, 27:21, 73:26, 91:4 quite [22] - 17:28, RE [4] - 4:11, 4:24, 185:22, 187:18, 191:21 providing[1] - 21:24 193:26, 194:3, 194:6, 22:12, 58:28, 96:27, 73:3, 196:22 reassure [1] - 32:4 provision[1] re-accusing [1] -165:29 195:3, 199:24, 200:17 106:1, 117:7, 119:15, recalled [2] - 189:19, putting [8] - 19:23, 131:5, 132:26, 134:8, 133:10 189.20 provisional [4] -58:22, 75:24, 77:15, 134:14, 134:17, re-examination [1] receive [2] - 90:24, 129:21, 130:21, 130:11, 145:4, 134:22, 135:28, 196:20 166:17, 167:17 136:25, 143:25, 148:29, 184:3 RE-EXAMINED [4] received [21] - 7:24, proviso [1] - 130:17 145:18, 157:13, puzzled [1] - 180:27 4:11, 4:24, 73:3, 27:23, 36:14, 39:18, pry [1] - 113:8 157:18, 161:28, 196:22 51:14, 52:26, 84:5, public [37] - 11:20, 169:26, 186:11 Q re-opening [1] -88:24, 90:23, 125:24, 13:29, 14:20, 15:16, quo [1] - 68:12 126:10 132:13, 132:17, 18:13, 18:23, 18:24, reach [1] - 182:10 132:18, 133:5, 136:3, 19:2, 19:20, 19:26, QU [1] - 117:19 reached [2] - 18:15, 136:7, 137:15, R 20:1, 20:3, 20:27, qualitatively [1] -90.20 137:19, 137:22, 30:9, 45:24, 54:17, 182:21 152:3, 157:14 reacted [1] - 48:22 55:16, 56:26, 59:26, radio [1] - 64:12 quality [2] - 48:5, reaction [2] - 33:22, receiving [4] - 90:21, 79:5, 89:18, 99:8, rails [2] - 178:24, 191:10 93:12, 98:1, 171:3 182.14 119:28, 138:29, quarrel [1] - 21:20 recent [2] - 106:28, read [21] - 13:8, 139:2, 139:6, 145:13, raise [5] - 22:11, quarter [1] - 122:20 189:16 41:13, 57:3, 65:22, 145:14, 158:17, 38:8, 62:20, 111:23, quasi [1] - 169:29 69:16, 73:7, 90:10, recently [2] - 53:16, 171:11, 171:17, 112:1 QUATTROCIOCCHI 90:29, 95:8, 106:19, 135:29 172:2, 172:5, 172:7,

recipients [1] -	reconstruct [1] -	referral [2] - 128:29,	20:10, 21:6, 21:17,	relevant [24] - 33:7,
196:15	72:17	129:5	21:20, 21:23, 23:12,	36:10, 42:8, 62:17,
recognise [2] -	record [13] - 23:1,	referred [11] - 23:27,	23:18, 23:22, 24:5,	69:13, 70:21, 70:27,
129:16, 166:25	23:5, 24:25, 24:29,	84:7, 125:4, 128:8,	24:14, 24:22, 24:28,	85:4, 86:5, 94:3, 98:9,
recognised [1] -	25:4, 25:20, 44:16,	170:12, 170:25,	27:19, 28:24, 29:18,	98:10, 98:14, 99:15,
139:18	54:17, 72:6, 93:28,	179:4, 179:11, 185:1,	31:6, 31:15, 32:22,	101:13, 101:14,
	95:23, 149:1, 150:11	186:4, 193:7	33:4, 34:4, 34:18,	131:9, 140:26,
recollect [9] - 88:1,			35:8, 35:22, 37:6,	147:19, 151:20,
89:16, 97:17, 97:19,	recorded [5] - 75:1,	referring [3] - 13:27,		
102:23, 103:5, 104:9,	102:29, 104:20,	107:16, 165:3	39:2, 39:17, 39:29,	152:1, 181:22, 197:6,
111:28, 175:13	137:7, 138:5	refers [2] - 91:20	40:3, 40:23, 41:16,	197:7
recollection [64] -	recording [1] - 136:6	reflected [1] - 12:20	44:7, 44:9, 45:10,	reliable [1] - 141:26
7:23, 8:19, 8:21,	records [20] - 24:26,	reflecting [2] - 40:1,	46:3, 46:4, 46:5, 46:6,	relied [2] - 20:5,
24:21, 26:14, 27:5,	25:3, 26:17, 28:2,	50:29	48:4, 48:15, 49:26,	34:11
28:17, 29:9, 32:21,	28:12, 36:23, 36:26,	reflection [1] - 93:10	50:14, 51:27, 52:8,	religiously [1] -
36:14, 36:22, 37:2,	36:29, 38:26, 61:2,	Reform [3] - 127:20,	53:25, 54:27, 56:4,	177:19
37:4, 39:2, 51:19,	61:12, 65:26, 69:27,	169:10, 176:9	56:12, 56:14, 56:18,	rely [3] - 15:12, 18:4,
63:22, 65:7, 66:5,	70:1, 70:14, 70:22,	•	56:28, 59:16, 61:11,	62:27
66:13, 69:15, 70:11,		reform [3] - 160:20,	62:27, 63:1, 64:12,	
	75:17, 75:20, 87:19,	160:23, 176:19	65:10, 66:18, 66:25,	relying [8] - 20:20,
70:15, 73:10, 73:11,	91:8	regard [8] - 58:21,		51:20, 64:23, 65:25,
73:14, 73:16, 73:21,	recounted [2] -	83:16, 87:9, 91:12,	69:19, 69:22, 69:23,	70:1, 132:23, 159:2,
74:14, 74:21, 75:14,	175:8, 176:14	114:23, 121:25,	71:26, 72:20, 73:9,	159:4
76:14, 76:16, 84:1,	recruitment [1] -	135:24, 137:10	73:12, 73:21, 73:24,	remained [2] - 82:15,
87:12, 88:13, 89:23,	122:4	regarded [8] - 49:23,	74:9, 79:9, 79:29,	132:8
90:22, 96:6, 98:1,	refer [7] - 79:7,	59:11, 78:23, 83:13,	80:2, 80:4, 80:13,	remaining [1] - 82:23
100:8, 102:12,	124:26, 144:18,	149:24, 196:24,	80:27, 84:27, 88:27,	remains [1] - 53:15
102:19, 102:26,	144:19, 150:25,	196:27, 201:2	88:28, 98:27, 105:10,	remember [16] -
103:7, 105:19,	192:4, 193:15	regarding [1] - 54:18	108:25, 109:17,	37:14, 103:23,
107:11, 108:7, 110:8,	reference [67] -		111:7, 113:16,	132:23, 133:20,
113:15, 113:23,	25:14, 29:24, 29:26,	regardless [1] -	116:18, 117:4,	
117:16, 119:26,		150:6	120:11, 125:18,	135:1, 135:2, 136:24,
	42:4, 43:11, 49:24,	regards [4] - 71:13,	131:26, 133:4, 134:2,	157:3, 157:6, 157:25,
120:2, 131:29,	56:19, 57:20, 68:27,	115:10, 141:12,		161:13, 167:20,
132:22, 134:7, 135:6,	68:29, 74:6, 84:20,	169:12	134:12, 134:17,	168:12, 172:12,
137:9, 152:13, 163:6,	84:23, 91:13, 109:17,	regime [1] - 54:27	136:2, 138:2, 140:4,	172:15, 200:2
168:16, 172:18,	125:13, 126:3, 127:7,	REGISTRAR[1] - 2:4	142:9, 143:24,	remembered [1] -
177:22, 189:7	127:24, 128:6,	registry [1] - 113:23	144:26, 148:27,	157:24
recollections [1] -	129:17, 131:19,	regret [3] - 28:16,	150:16, 152:14,	remind [2] - 161:18,
97:20	132:3, 138:27,	57:28, 159:1	152:19, 156:10,	181:2
recommend [12] -	138:29, 140:21,	regulated [1] - 122:3	156:12, 157:1, 157:5,	remit [3] - 127:8,
42:3, 124:9, 124:21,	145:12, 146:2, 149:2,	•	158:3, 159:16,	127:12, 167:12
124:25, 124:27,	149:3, 150:15,	regulations [1] -	164:21, 164:23,	remove [4] - 38:28,
125:4, 127:1, 127:6,	150:17, 151:14,	122:2	169:3, 170:1, 172:10,	
127:11, 140:20,	151:20, 151:29,	rehearse [1] - 71:19	178:2, 178:4, 178:15,	54:12, 63:16, 72:17
146:1, 167:9		reinforced [1] -	179:6, 180:2, 181:19,	renewed [1] - 162:29
	157:10, 158:18,	133:16	188:5, 188:15,	report [23] - 14:9,
recommendation [8]	161:16, 165:12,	reiterated [2] - 34:5,	189:23, 190:29,	14:16, 14:17, 15:13,
- 124:8, 129:8,	165:18, 166:20,	67:17	192:14, 193:9,	20:4, 20:20, 33:21,
130:21, 130:25,	168:22, 169:4,	relate [4] - 12:26,		56:11, 99:3, 118:21,
145:8, 150:25,	169:11, 171:25,	28:27, 48:25, 132:29	193:12, 193:13,	122:7, 122:16,
175:17, 175:28	172:28, 176:11,	related [11] - 11:12,	193:25, 196:14	123:19, 125:9,
recommendations	176:15, 177:5,	29:2, 29:11, 39:4,	relationship [15] -	129:22, 139:4, 139:5,
[1] - 128:13	177:19, 178:19,	51:22, 51:24, 139:12,	13:5, 13:23, 13:26,	145:14, 163:27,
recommended [12] -	178:22, 180:6, 180:9,		14:2, 14:6, 18:28,	164:2, 172:8, 177:18
42:4, 124:11, 124:13,	181:28, 181:29,	143:4, 145:17, 151:3,	20:12, 22:23, 71:14,	Report [6] - 15:23,
125:12, 129:24,	182:2, 182:7, 184:4,	184:25	72:28, 78:24, 86:2,	18:5, 140:6, 142:11,
131:11, 131:20,	184:25, 184:26,	relating [4] - 43:8,	114:16, 114:17	
140:22, 146:2,	188:5, 191:1, 191:2,	121:28, 141:9, 148:24	relationships [2] -	142:15, 143:4
165:17, 169:4, 175:27	192:10, 193:13, 195:8	relation [145] - 10:8,	78:16, 79:2	Report) [1] - 41:18
		10:12, 10:17, 10:22,	relatively [3] - 38:18,	reported [4] - 125:6,
reconciled [1] - 60:7	referenced [3] -	10:24, 10:26, 10:27,	162:24, 189:16	126:8, 131:16, 168:13
reconfirm [1] - 87:9	43:27, 44:2, 137:12	11:9, 12:12, 14:8,	relevance [3] - 83:9,	reporter [1] - 56:1
reconsideration [1] -	references [1] -	16:3, 17:1, 17:25,		reporting [3] - 12:24,
120:13	76:22	18:1, 18:23, 20:9,	93:23, 182:29	13:9, 197:8
		. , ,		

representation [5] -66:28, 120:27 116:6, 116:19, 82:14, 82:25, 82:28, rings [2] - 91:11, 83:5, 86:16, 109:3, responses [1] - 9:28 120:2 119:26, 120:9, 83:26, 98:25 114:10, 115:12 responsibilities [2] rise [3] - 44:13, 120:20, 120:23, secondly [2] - 85:3, representatives [1] -192.26 22:27, 64:7 57:12, 163:25 114:3 38.4 risk [2] - 118:17, Ryan's [1] - 103:18 secondment [1] responsibility [8] represented [7] -6:20, 6:25, 10:17, 199:20 82:29 secret [1] - 190:4 41:19, 46:11, 134:22, 30:21, 34:27, 48:7, ROAD [1] - 2:25 S 140:7, 142:20, 83:4, 121:21 ROGERS [1] - 2:22 Secretary [32] - 5:16, 149:17, 149:22 responsible [4] role [9] - 31:15, 37:8, 5:20, 5:24, 5:25, 6:8, safest [1] - 199:28 6:16, 6:21, 7:1, 7:7, 9:24, 13:28, 121:27, request [3] - 159:2. 40:3, 93:25, 94:23, safety [1] - 13:29 174:20, 195:7 7:14, 7:27, 8:1, 8:11, 117:6, 134:2, 134:12, sanction [2] - 87:15, requested [1] - 174:7 responsibly [1] -134:23 8:14, 9:16, 10:16, 112:10 requesting [1] -195:21 role.. [1] - 95:26 12:17, 17:21, 99:1, sanctioned [2] -100:4, 121:18, 191:26 rest [1] - 171:2 **RONAN**[1] - 3:4 88:7, 88:14 result [6] - 43:2, 136:27, 139:26, require [1] - 151:23 room [2] - 118:23, satisfied [2] - 83:22, 153:7, 153:12, required [2] - 5:28, 96:1, 162:21, 162:29, 118:29 93:5 153:16, 153:25, 165:27 164:1, 170:15 Rooney [3] - 118:1, Saturday [4] - 51:12, 154:8, 155:27, 168:3, **RESUMED** [2] - 5:1, requirement [1] -179:3, 181:5 51:18, 54:6, 157:7 185:6 176:12 93:1 rotations [1] - 83:2 save [1] - 107:12 secretary [32] - 6:8, requires [1] - 128:9 retired [2] - 10:5, roughly [1] - 116:21 saw [6] - 49:6, 59:2, 6:13, 6:21, 6:23, 6:25, 10:6 requiring [2] round [1] - 168:12 105:3, 106:25, 131:6, 145:14, 201:3 retirement [2] - 11:5, 7:29, 8:3, 9:22, 24:12, route [4] - 40:11, 159.21 25:7, 39:16, 137:26, resented [1] - 111:14 11:11 49:23, 50:3, 101:2 **SC** [11] - 2:6, 2:6, 138:13, 141:18, retrieve [1] - 90:28 resentful [1] - 147:8 routine [2] - 8:25, 2:9, 2:10, 2:15, 2:15, 141:23, 141:29, reservation [1] return [1] - 82:29 8:28 2:16, 2:22, 3:1, 3:2, 142:4, 153:6, 153:15, returned [4] - 82:16, 50:16 row [5] - 30:12, 35:4, 3:10 153:23, 153:24, 82:17, 82:18, 113:22 reservations [2] -57:14, 68:25, 135:4 SC) [1] - 41:21 154:1, 198:19, 50:20, 51:1 returning [1] - 196:2 royal [6] - 74:7, scale [2] - 124:25, 198:20, 198:22, resign [1] - 20:15 review [10] - 89:17, 74:12, 74:16, 74:21, 162:24 199:3, 199:6, 199:15, resignation [5] -119:28, 120:8, 123:4, 76:7, 76:23 scanning [1] - 5:26 199:23, 200:22 123:16, 126:11, 11:6, 64:21, 186:29, royalties [1] - 21:20 scenario [1] - 85:7 Section [1] - 124:28 133:26, 151:18, 187:9, 188:10 **RTÉ** [3] - 63:6, 63:10, scene [1] - 143:1 secure [1] - 118:24 190:29, 191:5 resigned [7] - 63:8, 195:8 scheme [1] - 94:6 security [6] - 9:23, 65:4, 99:29, 100:2, Review [9] - 29:17, Ruane [2] - 36:19, screen [8] - 6:29, 22:27, 36:10, 66:9, 122:10, 122:12, 186:21, 187:5, 188:29 36:27 41:6, 52:10, 87:17, 72:26, 90:26 123:14, 125:14, RESOLUTIONS [1] rule [1] - 177:14 89:28, 91:6, 95:19, see [50] - 7:7, 7:13, 125:18, 131:24, ruled [3] - 79:16, 97:6 8:10, 23:11, 25:15, 191:16, 191:28 resolve [4] - 18:12, 183:7, 200:6 scroll [1] - 91:26 25:17, 35:9, 35:23, reviewed [1] -49:13, 80:6, 135:16 ruling [2] - 178:2, scrutiny [1] - 171:17 37:8, 49:20, 55:28, 100:21 resolved [3] - 46:28, sealed [1] - 186:16 60:29, 61:10, 63:23, Richard [27] - 23:28, 50:20, 59:19 run [4] - 52:5, 64:15, SEAN [1] - 2:11 64:16, 64:19, 64:28, Resources [1] -40:14, 41:15, 41:21, 116:26, 182:13 Seanad [1] - 139:1 94:16, 94:19, 94:23, 160:17 42:8, 42:11, 43:5, rung [1] - 79:11 **SEANAD**[1] - 1:6 95:8. 95:26. 99:11. respect [13] - 71:15, 50:4, 115:26, 131:18, running [3] - 6:13, 124:5. 128:18. searched [1] - 178:7 132:18, 133:16, 71:25, 79:10, 84:3, 64:2, 161:11 128:27, 129:28, sec [2] - 142:3, 133:28, 134:14, 86:28, 92:12, 94:4, Ryan [45] - 23:21, 131:3, 139:22, 153:20 138:9, 140:3, 140:9, 103:19, 117:7, 43:25, 83:9, 83:11, 141:24, 142:5, second [12] - 36:23, 140:27, 141:1, 141:6, 171:17, 181:13, 83:13, 83:16, 84:5, 143:11, 153:25, 42:18, 70:28, 75:18, 142:8, 143:6, 147:23, 186:19 85:13. 86:9. 86:10. 156:5, 156:24, 95:23, 106:26, 133:7, 147:26, 149:4, respecting [1] -86:21, 87:1, 87:12, 160:19, 161:1, 197:15, 198:7 133:9, 134:25, 80:25 88:16, 89:16, 89:24, 167:23, 169:19, 161:15, 173:21, Richard's [1] respects [1] - 85:2 90:5, 90:13, 91:4, 169:23, 172:9, 200:17 115:28 respond [3] - 15:28, 91:9, 92:4, 97:5, 172:16, 175:29, second-guess [1] ridiculous [2] -18:8, 38:4 102:29, 104:15, 178:7, 179:25, 106:26 76:28, 77:18 responded [2] -104:17, 104:19, 182:16, 182:24, second-last [1] rightly [1] - 130:9 104:27, 106:2, 106:7, 130:29, 131:5 188:2, 190:1, 200:23 134:25 rights [2] - 46:13, responding [1] -106:10, 107:21, seeing [1] - 159:15 secondary [1] -47:22 127:18 108:1, 108:8, 110:8, seek [3] - 83:28, 90:23 ring [3] - 28:7, 89:22, 111:27, 111:28, response [6] - 55:29, 172:10, 197:26 120:1 seconded [5] -56:3, 56:10, 66:26, 113:16, 113:25,

	444.00 445.00	404.04 400.00	470.0	
<b>seeking</b> [9] - 48:9,	144:28, 145:23,	191:24, 193:28,	170:2	situation [16] -
58:15, 87:1, 87:2,	195:15	194:6, 194:11, 200:5,	shortly [6] - 23:13,	14:20, 20:26, 24:18,
87:10, 91:10, 176:9,	separate [4] -	200:26	36:15, 38:25, 41:2,	33:15, 35:19, 38:16,
197:23	105:13, 135:17,	sergeant [1] - 186:21	41:3, 111:13	50:1, 55:4, 61:11,
<b>seem</b> [5] - 34:13,	187:15, 190:28	series [4] - 15:28,	<b>show</b> [3] - 6:21,	69:21, 113:27,
171:20, 175:19,	separately [11] -	23:15, 66:25, 102:13	26:17, 70:23	120:28, 126:12,
184:10, 185:17	29:25, 42:13, 42:29,	serious [10] - 30:12,	<b>showed</b> [1] - 189:11	160:25, 166:12,
sees [1] - 182:23	44:27, 61:26, 135:13,	41:25, 120:17, 125:2,	showing [2] - 61:2,	170:11
self [1] - 155:28	137:22, 141:3,	126:24, 126:25,	142:1	situations [1] -
self-contained [1] -	147:28, 148:3, 149:29	128:28, 129:15,	<b>shown</b> [2] - 6:7,	120:26
155:28	separating [1] -	140:13, 167:7	189:18	<b>six</b> [1] - 164:9
selfsame [1] - 50:15	120:7	seriously [1] -	sick [1] - 154:3	size [1] - 137:16
send [3] - 20:29,	September [1] -	178:20	side [5] - 15:11,	sketchy [1] - 134:8
21:1, 106:3	82:16	seriousness [4] -	16:14, 24:19, 92:11,	slightest [1] - 80:5
sending [2] - 91:21,	sequence [4] -	42:2, 140:19, 145:29,	110:22	slightly [7] - 37:14,
158:25	38:19, 38:27, 39:1,	167:5	sided [1] - 123:24	58:23, 78:28, 89:29,
sends [1] - 25:6	95:1	servant [1] - 186:18	sides [1] - 166:26	150:4, 196:11
senior [11] - 15:4,	Sergeant [111] -	served [2] - 18:25,	siding [1] - 181:27	slow [1] - 72:21
88:6, 88:13, 98:6,	10:13, 10:22, 10:25,	82:10	sifting [2] - 128:27,	small [1] - 131:21
100:18, 101:9,	11:16, 12:2, 12:12,	serves [1] - 187:14	165:24	<b>SMYTH</b> [1] - 3:1
123:15, 135:28,	12:16, 12:28, 20:6,	service [3] - 196:7,	sight [2] - 130:28,	Smyth [1] - 178:4
144:8, 149:22, 186:18	23:20, 25:27, 29:7,	196:8, 196:12	131:24	snippet [1] - 93:12
<b>Senior</b> [3] - 140:9,	31:6, 32:23, 33:4,	Services [1] - 1:25	sign [1] - 55:21	socialise [1] - 78:12
142:22, 165:16	40:19, 41:20, 41:23,	<b>SERVICES</b> [1] - 1:30	signal [1] - 198:27	<b>SOLE</b> [2] - 1:12, 2:2
sense [36] - 16:20,	41:26, 42:7, 42:9,	serving [1] - 55:5	signed [1] - 17:2	SOLICITOR [1] - 2:7
46:16, 58:18, 60:18,	45:23, 46:4, 46:11,	set [19] - 28:17,	significance [3] -	solicitor [7] - 66:23,
63:12, 64:25, 76:4,	48:14, 48:15, 49:12,	30:28, 43:22, 51:3,	57:11, 57:23, 167:15	83:14, 150:13,
78:7, 79:23, 85:23,	51:27, 52:27, 52:28,	52:7, 54:2, 61:17,	significant [15] -	183:10, 183:14,
111:24, 112:3,	53:2, 53:5, 53:15,	73:14, 85:7, 91:19,	•	
112:23, 115:4,	53:21, 53:26, 54:16,	122:15, 122:18,	23:23, 85:2, 92:10, 98:2, 104:24, 104:26,	192:26, 194:4 <b>Solicitor's</b> [4] -
133:22, 135:15,	54:22, 55:4, 55:10,	122:19, 128:15,		
135:22, 135:25,	55:13, 55:27, 57:15,	129:12, 132:4, 132:5,	106:1, 106:23,	23:21, 24:6, 24:9,
138:3, 138:6, 138:10,	63:7, 64:21, 65:4,	132:8, 161:24	106:28, 120:10,	66:23
138:17, 138:20,	65:10, 69:12, 80:4,	sets [1] - 143:1	120:17, 133:23,	<b>SOLICITOR'S</b> [2] -
139:11, 139:13,	84:27, 85:27, 86:1,	setting [7] - 17:29,	133:29, 135:26,	2:18, 3:12
148:9, 180:28, 183:2,	107:22, 108:2,	<del>-</del>	162:22	solicitors [1] - 118:4
183:4, 183:6, 183:8,	108:11, 108:20,	40:13, 122:9, 126:28, 150:10, 151:13,	significantly [1] -	SOLICITORS [1] -
196:24, 198:3,	110:2, 110:15,	182:24	200:20	2:24
198:15, 199:14	111:14, 111:23,		similar [1] - 119:4	<b>someone</b> [3] - 61:8,
sensible [1] - 19:22	112:21, 122:22,	settled [1] - 125:13 settling [1] - 149:3	similarly [1] - 182:12	198:16, 200:4
sensitive [8] -	122:28, 129:18,	•	simple [3] - 21:28,	sometime [1] - 39:15
104:26, 104:28,	130:11, 131:7,	seven [1] - 123:14	138:15, 148:18	sometimes [8] -
134:1, 135:21, 139:6,	133:10, 140:8,	sexual [7] - 45:29,	simply [30] - 23:7,	67:5, 78:18, 78:20,
139:19, 144:25, 156:7	140:12, 140:14,	79:19, 144:20,	45:15, 62:19, 70:9,	123:10, 141:21,
sensitivities [3] -	140:25, 140:27,	146:24, 163:29,	74:13, 99:19, 111:1,	144:23, 199:19
31:6, 47:9, 48:14	142:21, 142:26,	200:6, 200:7	120:20, 123:21,	somewhat [11] -
	143:4, 143:8, 143:14,	sexually [1] - 79:14	127:10, 130:16,	80:28, 90:22, 91:1,
sensitivity [3] -	143:16, 143:19,	SGT [1] - 2:9	131:28, 137:13,	92:11, 109:20,
32:22, 33:4, 46:4	144:4, 145:2, 146:9,	SHANE [1] - 2:15	143:26, 149:25,	113:20, 113:24,
sent [25] - 6:3, 8:9,	147:7, 147:18,	<b>shared</b> [5] - 17:10,	150:12, 151:4, 158:7,	119:18, 119:19,
13:13, 16:22, 17:22,	147:23, 149:22,	17:14, 17:17, 130:1,	158:12, 167:2, 168:7,	166:12, 185:2
24:1, 41:2, 52:11, 52:12, 55:23, 74:3,	156:19, 161:29,	185:18	173:2, 174:19,	soon [4] - 101:13,
	164:26, 165:6,	Shatter [5] - 11:7,	177:13, 177:15,	162:8, 182:7, 182:27
90:1, 91:23, 125:26,	166:15, 169:27,	161:18, 163:16,	177:23, 177:24,	<b>sorry</b> [40] - 5:4, 8:14,
127:17, 127:22,	171:16, 174:13,	164:18, 164:20	197:2, 197:7, 198:6	8:27, 15:1, 21:27,
129:11, 137:26,	174:15, 175:10,	<b>SHIP</b> [2] - 2:19, 3:14	simultaneously [1] -	29:6, 33:25, 36:6,
152:25, 155:26,	175:25, 179:3,	<b>short</b> [9] - 8:8, 24:5,	11:17	36:26, 43:14, 51:12,
159:8, 159:17, 169:9,	179:10, 183:15,	28:5, 38:18, 57:25,	sincerely [1] - 159:6	54:5, 74:17, 75:10,
171:7, 199:14	186:21, 187:5, 188:3,	90:9, 94:25, 95:7,	sits [1] - 114:22	75:16, 78:24, 82:16,
sentence [7] - 95:5,	191:11, 191:14,	106:27	sitting [4] - 26:4,	82:17, 107:24,
95:24, 143:1, 144:9,	. ,	shortcomings [1] -	99:19, 180:18	112:25, 128:4,

128:23, 145:2,	<b>staff</b> [2] - 82:23,	131:8, 131:28,	stress [2] - 85:1,	77:11, 80:11, 98:16,
152:16, 153:19,	189:10	144:19, 157:27,	105:27	149:27
155:11, 155:14,	stage [21] - 12:16,	157:29, 158:1, 158:4,	stretch [1] - 94:8	suggesting [14] -
160:14, 164:13,	29:19, 37:2, 57:4,	158:15, 158:19,	strictly [1] - 46:28	37:11, 59:5, 61:5,
173:4, 176:26,	59:24, 60:21, 69:27,	175:17, 188:12,	strike [3] - 57:26,	62:19, 68:15, 75:10,
177:28, 179:7, 180:5,	74:2, 90:5, 99:27,	188:15, 188:18,	170:7, 187:4	76:11, 77:14, 100:3,
180:23, 184:2,	106:2, 118:21,	188:28, 190:3,		134:4, 139:14, 146:5,
184:12, 184:14,	135:15, 135:29,	192:14, 193:4, 193:6	strong [2] - 22:16,	164:13, 177:10
185:27			37:6	
	149:14, 149:17,	statements [6] -	stronger [1] - 196:11	suggestion [4] -
sort [9] - 16:24,	149:18, 154:9,	45:24, 157:26,	strongly [4] - 28:28,	76:5, 137:6, 137:29,
17:20, 46:29, 69:21,	188:10, 189:21,	158:25, 158:29,	48:22, 76:17, 156:18	159:17
87:13, 103:21,	192:29	188:21, 189:28	struck [3] - 31:4,	suggestions [1] -
105:14, 113:26,	<b>stall</b> [1] - 17:29	<b>station</b> [2] - 11:15,	34:23, 35:17	17:16
114:12	stance [7] - 53:2,	127:9	stuff [1] - 199:8	<b>summarise</b> [1] - 20:8
sought [6] - 83:26,	188:6, 194:2, 194:27,	Station [1] - 163:25	stymie [1] - 49:26	summarising [1] -
86:19, 86:20, 156:4,	195:9, 195:11, 200:15	stations [1] - 11:13	subcommittee [2] -	148:16
172:9, 197:20	standard [4] - 18:15,	status [1] - 68:12	160:6, 160:26	summary [1] -
sounding [2] - 32:7,	47:7, 154:19, 154:21	statute [1] - 166:1	•	134:10
58:20	Standards [1] -	statutory [10] -	subject [11] - 7:21,	summation [2] -
sounds [2] - 103:24,	45:25	32:16, 34:26, 46:6,	26:9, 29:23, 42:14,	
118:7			42:22, 43:1, 68:13,	104:11, 117:17
	standing [1] - 163:12	48:7, 49:18, 124:24,	141:3, 147:28,	summer [2] - 128:12,
<b>source</b> [4] - 42:16,	stands [1] - 187:27	125:1, 132:5, 163:23,	163:26, 194:18	128:21
80:9, 196:26, 196:29	<b>start</b> [6] - 32:17,	164:6	subjected [1] -	<b>Sunday</b> [3] - 16:5,
sources [2] - 135:17,	82:15, 95:5, 130:16,	stay [3] - 53:7, 53:21,	171:17	17:7, 52:2
150:8	168:8, 184:9	94:26	subjects [1] - 186:4	Sunderland [1] -
<b>spark</b> [1] - 23:14	started [8] - 41:18,	stenographic [1] -	submission [1] -	52:17
speaking [4] -	68:25, 78:1, 132:11,	1:27	182:4	Superintendent [7] -
102:23, 135:3,	140:6, 142:19,	STENOGRAPHY[1]	submissions [5] -	36:21, 87:23, 118:1,
138:16, 160:22	165:15, 165:16	- 1:30	76:27, 106:3, 178:28,	179:3, 180:8, 181:5,
special [1] - 52:14	starting [2] - 16:13,	stenography [1] -	180:24, 191:3	182:18
specialist [1] -	165:17	1:25	•	superior [5] - 23:28,
160:19			submit [1] - 183:18	24:8, 153:1, 198:17,
	<b>state</b> [4] - 49:16,	<b>step</b> [3] - 70:26,	submitted [3] -	
specific [11] - 11:27,	117:7, 181:10	138:29, 154:4	41:29, 140:18, 145:27	198:20
13:27, 51:19, 53:9,	<b>State</b> [9] - 9:25,	Stephen's [1] - 27:11	subsequent [5] -	superseded [1] -
65:24, 69:28, 69:29,	23:21, 24:6, 24:9,	steps [1] - 45:19	90:29, 152:17,	191:25
71:22, 73:16, 102:26,	66:23, 111:7, 183:10,	<b>still</b> [9] - 15:17,	171:21, 185:11,	supplementing [1] -
188:4	183:14	82:23, 107:12,	186:28	198:8
specifically [4] -	<b>STATE</b> [2] - 2:18,	118:23, 136:11,	subsequently [9] -	support [3] - 12:21,
58:18, 144:5, 150:17,	3:12	145:21, 149:13,	38:13, 51:4, 57:23,	17:20, 19:27
192:9	State's [2] - 120:11,	156:2, 201:5	68:2, 98:3, 100:2,	supporting [1] -
specificity [1] -	120:12	stood [1] - 191:19	110:26, 115:28, 128:7	45:23
128:9	statement [69] - 5:9,	stop [6] - 48:9,	substance [6] -	supportive [2] -
specifics [2] - 37:16,	9:9, 25:1, 26:26,	53:19, 151:21,	21:17, 22:5, 44:11,	19:9, 19:10
63:16	27:21, 28:10, 30:28,	162:19, 167:25, 186:5	51:2, 133:9, 171:8	suppose [18] - 11:1,
specifying [1] -	31:8, 35:10, 37:29,	stopped [1] - 168:25		85:7, 86:26, 94:5,
146:23	42:24, 56:27, 57:2,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	substantial [1] -	
speech [12] - 13:18,	57:6, 57:10, 57:27,	story [6] - 14:11,	161:25	105:13, 109:23,
•		14:24, 52:5, 64:3,	substantive [4] -	146:5, 150:4, 152:29,
13:19, 15:26, 16:18,	61:16, 63:27, 66:1,	64:15, 137:8	43:19, 156:4, 182:11,	160:3, 163:9, 166:11,
16:19, 21:4, 21:14,	66:17, 67:12, 68:4,	<b>stove</b> [1] - 97:23	191:13	170:12, 180:18,
21:19, 22:11, 22:14,	69:25, 73:25, 73:27,	stove-piped [1] -	subtleties [1] -	183:6, 195:3, 200:24,
164:14	74:5, 74:6, 74:10,	97:23	132:26	201:11
<b>speed</b> [1] - 105:28	82:4, 83:20, 83:23,	straight [1] - 200:14	subtlety [2] - 133:4,	<b>supposed</b> [1] - 134:4
<b>spelled</b> [1] - 178:17	83:24, 83:25, 86:12,	strange [2] - 16:22,	193:11	<b>SUPREME</b> [2] - 1:13,
spokesperson [1] -	88:15, 93:28, 95:2,	30:1	suddenly [4] - 48:1,	2:3
63:25	95:7, 95:18, 98:7,	strategies [1] - 20:5	48:2, 189:1, 190:12	surely [2] - 144:2,
spot[1] - 34:23	100:19, 104:23,	strategy [4] - 51:24,	suggest [2] - 93:19,	183:8
spotlight [1] - 161:2	104:25, 107:6,	56:20, 56:22	165:25	<b>surmise</b> [3] - 94:8,
SREENAN [1] - 3:2	107:12, 107:26,	stream [1] - 16:12		174:10, 177:8
Sreenan [2] - 180:7,	107:27, 110:27,		suggested [10] -	surmising [2] - 94:9,
180:10	114:25, 121:11,	<b>STREET</b> [3] - 2:19,	31:19, 35:12, 64:6,	174:19
100.10	-,,	3:5, 3:14	66:17, 67:6, 67:19,	177.10

surprise [1] - 181:24 38:10, 38:12, 42:20, 182:2, 182:7, 184:24, thoroughly [1] transaction[1] surprised [7] -57:16, 67:11, 67:28, 184:26, 191:1, 191:2, 79:15 110:23 100:22, 108:7, 68:2, 68:7, 68:14, 192:10, 196:12, thoughtful[1]transcended [3] -68:18, 68:28, 75:7, 200:27 113:20, 113:24, 129:12 79:24, 79:28, 80:9 terribly [1] - 120:24 113:29, 114:5, 114:8 135:10, 165:23, thoughts [2] - 120:7, transcript [6] - 1:26, surprising [1] -166:18 territory [1] - 185:23 191:18 77:17, 177:18, teams [1] - 119:16 terrorism [2] - 9:26, 114:2 thread [3] - 127:8, 179:26, 179:28, 184:1 technical [1] surrounding [1] -167:11 transcripts [6] -131:21 122:22 Terry [1] - 17:25 three [13] - 14:21, 13:9, 14:10, 65:22, telephone [25] -26:19, 72:18, 104:9, 65:23, 107:15, 115:29 suspect [1] - 96:18 thanking [1] - 103:22 suspended [1] -10:8, 24:26, 25:2, THE [27] - 1:4, 1:8, 116:20, 131:20, transmitted [2] -59:23 25:21, 25:22, 27:29, 1:9, 1:12, 2:3, 2:6, 153:22, 153:29, 125:23, 186:26 38:26, 42:17, 42:18, 2:15, 4:13, 4:25, 5:1, 165:20, 169:5, transpired [7] suspicion [1] -43:15, 44:17, 44:18, 111:14 9:6, 58:3, 65:13, 71:7, 190:20, 190:23, 24:12, 24:15, 51:8, 57:18, 58:7, 70:2, 194:12 73:3, 77:22, 80:19, 52:4, 56:9, 64:22, **sway** [1] - 90:8 70:20, 90:14, 91:28, 92:18, 93:1, 121:8, three-and-a-half [1] -86:3 SWORN [4] - 5:7, 93:13, 97:9, 132:13, 190:25, 195:28, 26:19 9:12, 82:1, 121:14 Transport [3] -134:28, 135:23, 196:22, 198:13, throughout [1] -82:14, 82:17, 82:18 system [8] - 11:13, 197:12, 197:13 201:25, 201:27 177:16 treated [4] - 111:25, 106:8, 106:10, 142:2, telephoned [7] -149:23, 163:11, 164:1 them) [1] - 53:13 thrust [1] - 38:20 112:3, 112:23, 175:24 39:17, 91:16, 103:28, theme [1] - 99:13 tie [1] - 187:9 Séan [10] - 123:4, triage [1] - 124:4 109:9, 113:16, 125:6, 125:26, themselves [3] time-line [1] - 94:25 triangle [1] - 105:14 116:27, 116:28 125:27, 126:2, 126:7, 13:2, 89:8, 123:29 timeframe [2] -TRIBUNAL [2] - 1:3, telephoning[1] -126:10, 131:20, **THEN** [10] - 9:6, 70:17, 167:14 2:6 133:19 77:22, 80:19, 121:8, 165:16, 169:4 to-ing [1] - 131:17 Tribunal [50] - 7:23, Síochána [38] - 14:1, ten [5] - 170:19, 190:25, 195:28, today [6] - 66:7, 26.5 26.26 27.21 182:11, 184:24, 31:23, 41:22, 41:28, 196:22, 198:13, 68:5, 86:3, 166:8, 27:22, 28:13, 33:6, 184:25, 201:23 201:25, 201:27 42:5, 65:15, 86:16, 187:1, 190:21 56:15, 56:24, 57:2, tend [1] - 78:20 theoretically [1] -94:2, 108:5, 110:1, together [3] - 18:10, 57:7, 57:9, 57:20, term [7] - 109:24, 170:26 111:12, 111:22, 57:27, 57:28, 61:16, 78:7, 78:12 128:6, 138:27, 146:2, 112:4, 115:22, thereabouts [2] -63:23, 64:22, 65:26, token [1] - 15:17 160:4, 178:15, 196:8 121:28, 122:1, 13:3, 190:7 took [25] - 16:4, 16:9, 66:2, 67:4, 70:27, terms [74] - 11:1, 124:29, 131:3, thereafter [4] - 13:3, 71:19, 72:12, 73:8, 19:13, 23:16, 23:29, 11:18, 12:15, 13:28, 73:26, 73:27, 77:10, 135:19, 140:7, 113:15, 132:8, 137:25 25:11, 27:12, 30:23, 17:9, 22:6, 28:15, 140:10, 140:16, therefore [16] - 15:9, 80:27, 80:29, 81:1, 34:8, 41:15, 50:1, 29:24, 29:25, 42:4, 140:24, 142:20, 83:21, 84:4, 86:17, 29:5, 76:5, 94:21, 62:24, 69:24, 70:16, 45:1, 47:12, 47:15, 143:7, 143:13, 96:22, 134:27, 107:10, 116:12, 71:12, 110:26, 147:17, 155:7, 48:24, 49:20, 57:20, 157:27, 158:13, 126:11, 126:14, 118:16, 140:3, 142:8, 68:5, 84:19, 84:20, 155:10, 165:29, 126:29, 127:21, 152:27, 157:4, 158:19, 159:5, 172:26, 173:22, 84:23, 90:26, 95:1, 159:13, 168:17, 128:10, 169:1, 162:15, 162:20, 98:24, 99:3, 102:1, 174:1, 174:11, 171:23, 174:10, 182:22, 188:13, 164:21, 178:11 109:17, 119:14, 188:22, 188:28, 174:21, 190:27, 186:15, 199:8 top [3] - 31:11, 125:12, 126:3, 127:7, 191:26, 192:26 189:6, 189:22, thesis [5] - 85:29, 90:18, 180:22 127:24, 129:17, 189:23, 189:25 111:12, 112:1, topic [2] - 36:8, 131:19. 132:3. Т tribunal [1] - 26:10 112:21, 114:4 118:2 138:12, 138:29, thin [1] - 75:23 **Tribunal's** [3] - 5:10, total [2] - 17:23, 140:21, 145:4, thinking [16] - 11:5, 162:23 82:5, 107:7 table [1] - 118:29 145:11, 149:1, 149:3, **TRIBUNALS** [1] - 1:9 40:7, 44:6, 59:15, totally [3] - 84:24, talks [1] - 97:7 150:14, 150:17, 62:24, 93:10, 98:21, trick [1] - 31:10 161:9, 180:14 Taoiseach [10] -150:23, 151:14, 101:18, 104:12, tried [4] - 21:7, touch [3] - 43:22, 122:27, 123:3, 151:20, 151:29, 105:9, 105:13, 28:12, 56:16, 141:28 69:10, 125:1 125:23, 126:5, 126:9, 158:18, 163:15, 117:17, 137:18, towards [4] - 53:2, **trip** [1] - 31:10 160:7, 160:27, 163:3, 165:12, 165:18, 183:12, 185:21, **trips** [1] - 6:2 65:5, 194:5, 200:25 164:4, 169:23 166:20, 168:21, 186:16 trouble [2] - 60:16, tracks [1] - 151:21 tapes [1] - 14:11 169:4, 169:11, thinks [1] - 22:11 traduced [1] - 14:28 191:3 taping [1] - 11:13 170:23, 171:7, third [6] - 60:17, traffic [7] - 52:29, true [2] - 124:14, tapping [1] - 30:14 171:24, 172:28, 95:4, 107:18, 107:28, 173:10 53:7, 53:15, 53:22, task [1] - 154:5 173:7, 176:11, 117:18. 193:1 trust [1] - 179:14 63:9, 186:22, 187:25 TDs [1] - 162:11 176:15, 177:5, third-last [1] - 95:4 trusted [1] - 141:28 train [2] - 180:19, team [16] - 38:6, 181:28, 181:29, thirdly [1] - 161:23 truth [2] - 89:22,

181:26

120:1 unless [4] - 76:10, 119:17 wants [1] - 194:8 U try [13] - 18:12, 26:3, 117:28, 174:10, ventilation[1] warning [1] - 60:1 30:22, 47:13, 59:22, 181:19 118:15 warrant [3] - 126:25, ultimately [4] unlikely [2] - 96:12, 78:15, 79:1, 89:4, veracity [1] - 33:8 128:29, 129:5 85:25, 129:7, 150:24, 93:16, 128:26, 96.14 verb [1] - 81:2 WAS [16] - 5:7, 9:12, 191:7 130:19, 146:24, verbatim [4] - 1:26, unreasonable [3] -58:3. 65:13. 71:7. unaware [1] - 60:13 178:23 34:21, 37:23, 37:27 26:6, 29:9, 131:20 73:3, 74:26, 77:22, uncomfortableness trying [15] - 12:11, unsure [2] - 34:13, versa [1] - 198:18 82:1, 107:2, 121:14, [1] - 138:21 15:24, 28:17, 37:12, 34:29 version [2] - 14:10, 159:26, 190:25, 53:24, 61:15, 76:9, under [13] - 53:28, UNTIL [1] - 201:27 195:28, 196:22, 132:19 79:8, 80:6, 80:24, 55:15, 122:2, 124:28, unusual [6] - 64:8, 198:13 via [2] - 119:28, 131:8, 161:1, 166:1, 110:29, 180:28, 71:22, 78:4, 82:19, 137:26 watching [1] - 118:5 168:7, 175:11, 188:24, 190:12, 198:9 166:12, 167:26 waters [4] - 154:8, vice [1] - 198:17 175:16, 176:12, TUESDAY[1] up [62] - 5:14, 6:29, victim [1] - 163:29 154:11, 185:20, 199:27, 201:6 201:27 198:21 12:9, 13:11, 17:6, victimised [1] -UNDER [2] - 1:3, 1:9 tuning [2] - 131:19, 19:23, 31:10, 41:6, Waters [13] - 7:2, 129:19 underline [1] - 47:6 169:3 7:3, 8:16, 17:14, 52:10, 53:17, 61:2, view [64] - 12:23, underlying [2] turn [2] - 14:4, 71:12, 72:5, 75:23, 24:20, 24:22, 28:6, 14:13, 14:14, 14:25, 116:9, 171:22 139:21 79:6, 79:12, 87:14, 28:20, 39:5, 39:11, 15:1, 15:2, 15:3, 15:5, undermine [1] turned [2] - 129:27, 91:28, 95:9, 97:7, 52:17, 72:11 15:10, 16:4, 16:10, 195:7 79:27 97:9, 105:22, 109:25, **WATERS**[1] - 3:9 18:13, 18:23, 18:24, understandable [4] turning [1] - 103:17 109:29, 116:3, 19:13, 19:26, 20:2, waters' [2] - 159:16, 66:6, 69:27, 145:21, 116:26, 117:12, tweeting [1] - 81:2 20:13, 20:16, 21:28, 199:3 146:25 121:25, 122:9, twigged [1] - 182:6 22:16, 33:13, 33:20, Waters' [1] - 72:15 Twitter [3] - 80:27, understood [23] -122:15, 122:18, wealth [1] - 30:14 34:5. 34:8. 35:7. 38:2, 48:25, 51:21, 80:29, 81:1 122:19, 123:6, 124:7, 35:12, 37:15, 38:6, weapon [1] - 200:4 51:23, 53:28, 61:25, 126:28, 128:15, two [58] - 6:27, 40:3, 45:12, 50:2, Wednesday [1] -64:20, 85:22, 111:3, 132:4, 132:6, 136:18, 11:22, 14:8, 19:7, 60:13, 65:19, 67:17, 127:5, 132:25, 137:24, 138:13, 26:20, 27:1, 37:1, 67:20, 88:22, 89:1, week [19] - 15:19, 132:27, 135:12, 141:29, 151:18, 37:19, 38:18, 39:20, 90:20, 91:8, 93:5, 15:21, 16:13, 19:8, 143:15, 143:20, 161:11, 161:24, 94:18, 94:28, 104:19, 47:8, 51:12, 53:25, 27:17, 50:24, 50:25, 154:23, 179:2, 188:7, 161:28, 162:14, 54:10, 57:18, 60:16, 117:23, 120:3, 51:22, 52:2, 56:1, 189:24, 193:21, 162:28, 165:19, 124:19, 127:6, 61:1, 61:13, 61:14, 63:29, 64:2, 64:14, 193:29, 196:6, 196:9 166:13, 172:16, 131:26, 134:11, 63:19, 64:29, 70:10, 65:21, 72:6, 123:10, underway [3] -177:26, 177:29, 144:25, 164:21, 70:12, 70:13, 70:15, 125:9, 186:2, 195:23 158:14, 166:4, 189:24 180:21, 182:13, 71:8, 73:17, 74:20, 164:23, 166:17, weekend [3] - 69:1, 85:2, 101:16, 114:1, undocumented [1] -183:23, 183:25, 167:18, 181:20, 103:6, 183:12 24:24 183:27, 189:14, 116:20, 122:8, 185:14, 185:17, weeks [2] - 53:5, uneasiness [1] -191:1, 191:2, 199:2 123:15, 129:4, 194:26, 195:11 164.9 45.2 upset [3] - 194:12, 132:13, 135:17, views [1] - 31:22 weigh [1] - 62:12 uneasy [3] - 40:6, 194:13, 194:18 135:22, 136:22, vindicated [1] weird [1] - 77:16 136:16, 138:7 upwards [1] - 146:18 139:12, 145:1, 150:7, 129:20 well-documented [3] unfair [3] - 55:10, urgent [1] - 109:8 166:7, 178:3, 178:24, vindication[1] -- 24:18, 25:11, 49:25 182:14, 185:1, 104:11, 170:9 useful [6] - 60:20, whatsoever [7] -187:14, 187:16, unfairly [1] - 130:10 60:21, 60:23, 67:5, virtually [1] - 46:16 46:17, 60:5, 84:25, 130:27, 131:2 187:24, 187:27, unfortunate [4] vis-á-vis [3] - 11:20, 129:6, 137:8, 154:7, 187:28, 190:7, 170:23, 171:9, 180:3, utterly [2] - 60:12, 13:4, 77:26 164.24 190:28, 191:5, 195:3, 182:12 85:18 visit [4] - 74:7, 74:12, whereas [5] - 138:8, 198:14 unfounded [1] -74:16, 74:21 139:7, 193:8, 199:11, 85.19 two-and-a-half [3] -V visits [2] - 76:7, 199:22 61:14, 70:13, 73:17 unhappiness [1] -76:23 whereby [1] - 189:24 Twomey [2] -51:28 Volume [1] - 121:11 wherein [1] - 125:24 validity [2] - 104:5, 198:24, 198:25 unimportant[1] whistleblower [1] -117:20 type [3] - 118:29, 162:24 W 12:22 valued [1] - 54:16 165:28, 167:3 unit [7] - 52:29, 53:7, whistleblowers [3] various [7] - 10:23, typed [1] - 91:5 53:16. 53:22. 63:9. 13:2, 54:28, 55:2 54:18, 55:12, 56:28, waiting [2] - 72:22, Tánaiste [5] - 18:13, 186:22, 187:25 whole [18] - 18:4, 165:25, 187:19 107:27 21:26, 160:10, Unit [1] - 45:25 26:2, 45:2, 59:7, vast [1] - 124:10 waiving [1] - 70:26 160:13, 188:11 unjustly [3] - 111:25, 59:16, 69:22, 72:20,

ventilated [1] -

112:3, 112:23

WALLACE[1] - 3:12

110:22, 120:9,

145:19, 172:2, 198:28 173:12, 173:14, write [3] - 40:12, 174:4, 179:22, 50:22, 181:10 180:17, 184:27, writing [5] - 12:15, 197:23 27:20, 44:28, 89:26, wholly [2] - 110:3, 110:5 written [10] - 13:12, wider [5] - 80:15, 21:8, 21:13, 21:14, 94:6, 94:18, 130:2, 21:15, 26:6, 44:16, 144:24 64:25, 68:19, 179:10 widest [1] - 130:25 wrongdoing [3] -William [1] - 52:15 12:25, 54:24, 133:11 wisdom [1] - 37:21 wrongly [1] - 130:9 wish [1] - 180:25 wrote [6] - 51:23, wished [5] - 14:16, 162:9, 162:10, 95:15, 112:14, 113:6, 162:13, 176:8 Υ WITHDREW [4] - 9:6, 80:19, 121:8, 201:25 witness [21] - 5:4, year [11] - 22:26, 9:8, 28:29, 29:5, 29:6, 51:11, 56:26, 57:3, 74:24, 76:28, 77:15, 57:8, 77:29, 78:2, 80:21, 81:4, 118:14, 98:29, 157:28, 121:10, 134:24, 158:17, 189:8 134:25, 180:13, years [7] - 45:29, 180:26, 181:18, 61:14, 70:13, 73:17, 184:3, 184:13, 83:2, 190:7 187:17, 187:20 yelling [1] - 194:19 WITNESS [13] - 4:2, yield [1] - 127:27 9:6, 58:3, 65:13, 71:7, yourself [19] - 16:28, 73:3, 80:19, 121:8, 17:4, 22:20, 44:19, 190:25, 195:28, 63:15, 103:8, 105:11, 196:22, 198:13, 105:15, 105:17, 201:25 149:6, 152:8, 163:15, witnesses [3] -171:10, 183:21, 107:15, 118:4, 119:4 185:7, 188:19, wonder [6] - 90:24, 196:24, 197:10 115:28, 121:24, Yvonne [1] - 119:11 156:10, 186:3, 187:4 wondered [1] - 182:5 Z wondering [3] -180:20, 183:22, 188:26 zero [1] - 84:17 word [7] - 36:7, 37:6, 50:6, 85:14, 110:25, Ε 177:9, 184:1 words [20] - 30:24, Éireann [1] - 161:17 71:10, 71:11, 71:13, ÉIREANN [2] - 1:5, 110:19, 110:20, 117:12, 122:4, 133:9, 1:6 136:15, 148:5, 148:9, 150:29, 162:18, 0 168:10, 173:12, 174:19, 196:10, 197:4 Ó[3] - 51:21, 52:26, workplace [3] -55:28 12:11, 49:13, 65:9

world [3] - 25:24, 72:15, 72:16 worried [1] - 47:16 worry [2] - 183:24,