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MR. JOHN BARRETT CONTINUED TO BE DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:

MR. MCGUINNESS: Mr. Barrett, please.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Barrett, I think you have circulated a whole load of stuff. Thank you for your efforts yesterday.
A. Thank you, Judge.

MR. DIGNAM: Chairman, just before Mr. McGuinness starts, we have obviously been handed this material, I don't think it's an impediment to matters proceeding now but in the event that any issues arises I may have to seek a short period of time.
1 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: Mr. Barrett, good morning. Yesterday, you told the Tribunal that you recall or you believe that this remark was made on the 13th May 2015?
A. Yes.

2 Q. And the Chairman was inquiring how you had come to that 10:05 view at this point in time and by reference to what material. And you undertook yesterday to go and source the material and bring it down, and you arrived early this morning and the material has now been circulated. And could I just ask you then to, as it were, talk us 10:06 through that?
MR. ROGERS: Excuse me, Chairperson, this is John Rogers.
CHAIRMAN: I am sorry, Mr. Rogers. You were sitting
over there yesterday.
MR. ROGERS: We haven't had any material circulated to us. It may be apprehended that we had.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well, what would you like?
MR. ROGERS: Well, we just haven't seen this material, that is the difficulty that $I$ have.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Rogers, if you need time, I think the right thing to do is, let's go through what Mr. Barrett has to say and if you feel you need time to look at this material at the end of Mr. McGuinness going through it as carefully as possible, then of course I will give you time.

MR. ROGERS: Yes. I just wanted it to be known that we have had no sight of this at all. That's all.
CHAIRMAN: We11 --
MR. ROGERS: At this point $I$ feel at a little disadvantage because I don't know what is about to be spoken about.
CHAIRMAN: what is your ideal scenario, Mr. Rogers? MR. ROGERS: Simply that it be given to us perhaps now,
if we could be furnished with a hard copy.
CHAIRMAN: Are we in a position to do that, I wonder?
Is there very much of it, Ms. Leader?
MR. ROGERS: I don't want to detain anybody, but unfortunately it's the first we have seen --
CHAIRMAN: I think the right thing for me to do is to rise for ten minutes to give you a chance to look at it.

MR. ROGERS: Thank you.

AS FOLLOWS:

MR. MCGUINNESS: Apologies, Chairman, for taking more than ten minutes but various documents had to be redacted of personal contact details and other issues.

3 Q. Mr. Barrett, do you have a copy of the documents that have been circulated?
A. No.

4 Q. No. Are they in the book in front of you, perhaps?
A. which book?

5 Q. Volume 9. If you could turn to what should be page 4948, towards the back. And do you have those?
A. Yes.

6 Q. And we will get them up on screen now. This seems to be a coloured coded document, is that right?
A. That's correct.

7 Q. And that is compiled by you, is that correct?
A. Yes.

8 Q. All right. And when did you compile this, if I may ask?
A. In the last two weeks, as I explained yesterday.

9 Q. All right. okay. And did you compile it for the purpose of enabling you to recall the date or just for a general narrative?
A. General narrative.

10 Q. Al1 right. And it starts in January 2014 and goes through different dates. Turning to page 4957, you have an entry there, is that right, for May 13th?
A. Yes.

11 Q. All right. And what colour is that in the original? Is that purple denoting a meeting?
A. I couldn't tell you. If it is -- yes, purple is meeting.

12 Q. Yes.
A. I have an index or a key at the head of this.

13 Q. Yes. At the top. Thank you. What you have recorded there is:
"At the conclusion of a meeting in Mr. Dunne's office he asked me to stay back and we discussed a number of items. As I got up to leave he mentioned in relation to Sergeant McCabe "we're going after him in the Commission". I responded crossly that you must out of your mind."

And then you record:
"This statement was at odds with all the intensive and aligned efforts I was involved in to address the concerns of Sergeant McCabe and to improve the climate for speaking out and to end harassment and bullying."

And that is your own comment, made not to Mr. Dunne but 10:48 just as an observation?
A. It's an observation of -- this is a chronology created in the last couple of weeks. That is my memory of roughly what the discussion related to.

14 Q. okay.
A. Yes.
Q. So this question then, was it at that time of the compilation of this chronology that you had then pinpointed the 13th May?
A. I tried to explain this yesterday, sir.

16 Q. Yes.
A. What I did was, I took my diary, which I think I also included last evening, and I tried to determine what date this meeting took place on.
17 Q. okay.
A. And it's 13th May 2015.

18 Q. Okay. You have included your desk -- is it your desk diary there?
A. Desk diary.

19 Q. Which commences at page 4960?
A. Yes.

20 Q. And obviously that starts in January, it's a month per page. And going on to, 4965 is the month of May.
A. Yes.

21 Q. And the third column across includes the 13th May. And it doesn't seem to show any meeting with the Commissioner or with Mr. Dunne?
A. The only residual material that sits in that electronic diary are those meetings which are recurring. And so, for that reason I had to try and use email, as I sought to explain yesterday, to reconstruct the events of that week.
22 Q. A11 right. So you wouldn't -- is it your practice then
not to include meetings other than recurring meetings?
A. No. And for that reason, sir, I explained -- there is a copy of 2016, which is still intact, at least there was this morning, there is an example of how the diary would normally look. I'm not sure what page that is on.
A. Correct.

All right. And as of the 13th May -- there is no, I think, text to or from him on the 13th of May 2015. There are some in the succeeding days; the following day, the week after. obviously these texts weren't intended to be a record of any meetings that you had or they don't relate to meetings with the Commissioner or Mr. Dunne?
A. No, no. They are simply a record of all my texts to and from sergeant McCabe.
26 Q. Yes. And am I correct in saying that there is no mention of the remark or the significance of the remark referred to in the texts?
A. No, there isn't. And as I explained yesterday, I didn't understand the significance myself until after
the fact.
27 Q. Yes. There are obviously texts relating to some of the meetings that you had arranged with Sergeant McCabe, including, for instance, I think you went down at the end of May 2016 to visit him on 31st May?
A. That's correct.

28 Q. And I think we may see minutes of that, but am I correct in saying that the minutes don't appear to include any reference to any such remark?
A. The minutes of what?

29 Q. Of your meeting with Sergeant McCabe.
A. On the 31st May?

30 Q. Yes.
A. There was the 31st May 2016?

31 Q. Yes. But what was being discussed, inter alia, was his 10:52 position vis-á-vis all of the fallout, as it were, after the publication of the report, isn't that right?
A. The meeting on the 31st May was his invitation to me to visit him at his home to discuss the fallout of the publication of the report and the announcement in the
Dái1 made by John McGuinness of a meeting he says he had with the former Commissioner.

32 Q. Yes. And perhaps we'd just look at the first page of that. That is at page 3066. It's volume 5 of the books, if you want to look at a paper copy. I think you can probably identify that as a copy of your minutes?
A. Sir, can you just advise me the page number again please?

33

4 Q.
A. Yes.

And there is some discussion obviously there of how Sergeant McCabe was feeling and how he'd reacted and he 10:54 was recording -- you have recorded there:
"Stil1 shocked at the treatment he received from Colm Smyth SC on instructions of the Commissioner. Made it clear to the Commission that the instructions to challenge his integrity and motivation."

And then he expresses his hopes there and you inquire as to what approach he had anticipated. And the discussion goes over the page then in relation to that. 10:54
A. It does.
A. That's correct.
Q. And it goes back to deal with, there is a passing reference to the O'Higgins Commission again on page 3070, and in fact it's probably -- yes, you seem to be explaining your position vis-á-vis the Commission here and what you had been reading about?
A. Yes.

37 Q. And that goes then over the next few paragraphs. There doesn't appear to be any explicit reference to the remark made by Mr . Dunne to you at this point?
A. There wasn't.

42 Q. It starts at page 4246.
A. Volume?

43 Q. I am sorry, it's volume 8. And obviously it's appropriate to point out, you set out the purpose of the meeting there at the heading of the memo. You are occasion you read over the minutes of your meeting of the 31st to Sergeant McCabe and his wife?
A. That's correct. Because of their seriousness and the
significant issues he revealed to me at that meeting.
44 Q. Yes. And there is some reference to the o'Higgins Commission at the bottom of page 4248. And there is some passing reference at the bottom of page 4251 as well, but am $I$ correct in saying the issue of the remark made to you wasn't discussed?
A. No, it wasn't.

45 Q. A11 right. Going back to your documents provided this morning, after the text messages that you have included, helpfully, there is another type of document referred to. It starts at page 4977, if I am not mistaken. It's in volume 9 that we have been looking at originally.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. MCGuinness, as a matter of fact I had inquired earlier on in relation to litigation, and I know there's three pieces of litigation. was there a fourth piece of litigation as well, such as mentioned? MR. MCDOWELL: Yes, Judge, there is.
CHAIRMAN: And the judicial review of the O'Higgins Commission?
MR. MCDOWELL: No, it's not a judicial review case, it's a general case. It's plenary proceedings.
CHAIRMAN: Kind of a bullying type thing? MR. MCDOWELL: Damages.
CHAIRMAN: A bullying type case?
MR. MCDOWELL: Yes, everything Superintendent Callinan -- or former Commissioner Callinan, everything.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. I understand. That is probably a misunderstanding there at the end of that paragraph.
46 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: Mr. Barrett, could you just help us by identifying -- I am sorry.
MR. MCDOWELL: My solicitor just asked me to make it clear that the only judicial review that is in existence is a dispute as to the fees payable under the Commissions of Investigation Act.
CHAIRMAN: Well --
MR. MCDOWELL: It's a lawyers' dispute.
CHAIRMAN: No, no, I understand that. That is pretty irrelevant. But it's just, at the end of that there is a reference to Sergeant McCabe saying that his lawyers had commenced a judicial review against the o'Higgins Commission. But it does say that, Mr. McDowe11.
MR. MCDOWELL: I think that is an infelicitous misdescription of that.
CHAIRMAN: Was there any proceedings started when the draft report was circulated?
MR. MCDOWELL: No.
CHAIRMAN: Okay.
47 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: Sorry --
A. The page, Mr. McGuinness?

48 Q. Yes, I was asking you to look at page 4977.
A. 4977, yes.

49 Q. Yes. And could you just identify this type of document that we are looking at, these are retrieved emails?
A. These are retrieved emails, yes.

50 Q. And I think they obvious7y cover some of this period,
and there is some then in relation to the 13th May on page 4981. And it seems to be one from you to a Mr. Collins about a case conference?
A. Correct.

51 Q. Obviously that appears to be later in the evening. There is one from to you Fiona -- well, cc'ed to Fiona Broderick about another case conference, again that is later that day. Are there any of relevance that you'd like to draw our attention to?
A. What I am seeking to do is to position my week, so I can now explain to you what $I$ did on each of the days of the week courtesy of these emails and the review I did of various documents. These are what I was asked to do as I understand it, yesterday, by the judge.
52 Q. Yes.
A. On the Monday, the 11th, I had a review of a case in the Distillery Building in Church Street.
53 Q. A11 right.
A. On Tuesday I had a series of meetings in the Department of Justice with officials of the Department of Justice relating to the unwinding of FEMPI legislation. On Wednesday $I$ was in the office, that is the 13th May. On 14th, I was at a briefing delivered by the Department of Finance in the offices of the Department of Justice, in the atrium of the Department of Justice. 11:03 On the day following, the Friday, I was all day in the Garda College.

54 Q. Yes.
A. On the Thursday evening, I drove to Adare, County

Limerick. On the Friday evening, I drove from the Garda College to Dublin, and on the Saturday, with the Commissioner, I was at the memorial service for An Garda Síochána.
Here in the castle?
A. Here in the Castle.

56 Q. Yes. So is it a process of exclusion that has led you to --
A. I didn't in any way mean to be obscure or to aggravate the Chairman, but yesterday I used the word triangulate 11:04 because this has been a process of reconstruction.

57 Q. No, I understand that.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Barrett, I am not aggravated in any way.
A. Excuse me, sir.

CHAIRMAN: You did send in your statement very early 11:04 on, I appreciate that very much and thank you. The only difficulty is that there is no date mentioned in it, but you did mention a date yesterday. And it happens to be a very --
A. Significant date.

CHAIRMAN: -- significant and important date.
A. Can I say --

CHAIRMAN: So the obvious question that arose in my mind was: why that date as opposed to any other and why was it chosen? I don't want to engage in dialogue 11:04 with you, Mr. McGuinness is asking questions.
A. And I do want to apologise if I caused any frustration for you yesterday. I was simply seeking --
CHAIRMAN: I think we should stop using words like
cornucopia, frustration, aggravation. Let's just carry on with the case.
A. Okay.

MR. McGUINNESS: No, I mean, we are anxious obvious7y to understand the basis of your belief for the 13th. But I don't know if you -- you probably didn't hear all of the Commissioner's evidence in this regard. Ms. Leader closely examined her because there was an issue about obviously when or at what stage she had given her instructions, and in the course of her evidence on day 45 , at page 111 , going on to page 112 , she told the Tribunal that she had gone for a very important security briefing to London on the 13th, early in the morning, and had come back later on that evening. And we had, thereafter, obviously in preparation for -- well, we had previously circulated her diary from the 14th onwards because we had seen that she was diaried to the out of the country and she said she was out of the country. We have now circulated her diary entry for the 13th, and that should be at page 4989 there.
A. I can make no comment about that.

59 Q. Yes. It's just that it would appear that she could not have been at a meeting then with you on 13th, that's the sort of conclusion I'm putting to you.
A. Yes, that is -- there is a conflict.

60 Q. A11 right. Okay. And we have been provided with Mr. Dunne's diary, desk diary, for that day, and it seems to be blank, that is at page 4993. I am not sure
if you want to see that on the screen or -- and it just records, you know, something the officers club, it appears to be half past tennish. Can I ask you, what is your recollection of the time of the meeting?
A. I understand the time of the meeting was in the evening, close to the end of the day.
61 Q. All right. And just in terms of the time at which you first attributed significance to the remark?
A. Probably about, in the two weeks following the issues that arose in o'Higgins.

62 Q. Okay. And apart from -- is it Chief Superintendent McLoughlin or Superintendent McLoughlin?
A. It's chief superintendent.

63 Q. And when do you recall first mentioned the matter to him?
A. Several weeks later. Chief Superintendent McLoughlin can give evidence on this, and you know, that is my recollection.
64 Q. Would you answer any other questions perhaps, thank you, Mr. Barrett.

MR. MCDOWELL: Mr. Barrett --
MR. ROGERS: Chairman, it would be my view that perhaps Mr. Dignam should examine at this point.
CHAIRMAN: Your reason for thinking that, Mr. Rogers? Your reason for saying that, Mr. Rogers, is?
MR. ROGERS: We11, I am just concerned who is going to examine last. That is the first question.

CHAIRMAN: Well, it's definitely going to be you because you are counsel for the witness, and obviously

Mr. McGuinness has a right of re-examination. Is that all right?
MR. ROGERS: Well, it does seem to me that, having regard to the fact that there is a live conflict in which Mr. Dignam has indicated an interest, that perhaps at this point it would be fairer were that issue to be dealt with now.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right. Thank you, Mr. Rogers. I think the right thing to do is Mr. McDowell has always examined second. I don't see any reason to change
that. As regards the rule that we have been following, vis-á-vis a person who is represented before the Tribuna1, which is Mr. Barrett, he is represented by you, you get to examine last and if there's any questions in clear-up they will follow from
Mr. McGuinness, but that is a genuine re-examination in relation to points that have become unclear. So it's Mr. McDowe11, Mr. Dignam, Mr. Barrett -- sorry, Mr. Dignam, Mr. Rogers, and I don't think the Department of Justice has any questions. Yes. So that 11:09 is it. Thank you.

## THE WITNESS WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDOWELL:

65 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Good morning, Mr. Barrett. I just want to ask you about a few dates, if you would. Your statement to this Tribunal was furnished on the 27 th -or was signed by you on 27th April of last year, is that right?
A. That's correct.

66
Q not put a date on the particular meeting?
A. That's correct.

67 Q. At any point thereafter until yesterday were you asked by any investigator or written to asking you to particularise the date?
A. No, sir.

68 Q. I see. But I presume that, in preparation for your evidence, you attempted to put a date on it, is that right?
A. That's correct.
A. It did, sir.

70 Q. -- and the second question is, I want to deal with the question of its timing. Now, going to the first question, when you made this statement, was this a vague or a clear recollection which you had?
A. It is a very clear recollection of a statement being made by my boss to me.
71 Q. Yes. And just on that subject, you called him your boss, so just so that $I$ can follow this, he is a civilian now -- both of you are civilians, isn't that 11:12 right?
A. Yes. When we served together in the organisation, both of us were civilians.
72 Q. I see. And he no longer -- he has gone to CIE or
somewhere else has he?
A. And I think he has retired from CIE now.

I see. And you remain in your position in An Garda Síochána?
A. I do.

74 Q. I see. And you say you have a clear recollection of this. What impression did it make on you at the time when he made this remark to you?
A. It jarred with the work that essentially had been underway for three months, in my office, to try and build a bridge, create a new engagement with Sergeant McCabe. The work was, I felt at that point, in May, beginning to show dividends and results. I was therefore surprised that this was being shared with me. I see. Now, I think you have given two slightly different versions of your reaction to it; one which was 'you must be joking' and with an expletive in the middle of it, so can I take it that it was 'you must be f-ing joking' is what you said or something like that?
A. Yes, sir.

76 Q. And the alternative in the papers that you gave to Mr. McGuinness for this morning was, something along the lines 'Are you out of your mind?'.
A. Yeah, it was of that order.

77 Q. It was of that form. But you were expressing
A. I was.

78 Q. And disagreement?
A. Concern.

79 Q. Concern. Now, we have been through all of the questions that were put to you, you made no note of this at the time and you made no diary entry about it or whatever, contemporary diary entry?
A. No, I did not.

80 Q. But lest it be said that it was an invention, you did te11 the Chairman, or Mr. McGuinness yesterday, that you had mentioned this remark made by Mr. Dunne to you to, is it, Chief Superintendent Tony McLough1in?
A. Yes.
A. Chief Superintendent Tony McLough1in is the Head of Garda HR, he is on my senior staff. He is also intimately involved as a protected disclosures manager in the organisation. He wouldn't have been appointed to that role at that time. He is the equality officer for the organisation. He is very familiar with the dealings of the organisation with individual cases of prominence. So he would have been aware of Sergeant McCabe's case and several others.
82 Q. I see. Now, you told, I think the Chairman or Mr. McGuinness yesterday, that you had recently checked with Chief Superintendent McLough1in and that he had confirmed that you had told him about this incident at some stage in the past?
A. Yes.

83 Q. And you said that it was in recent days that he had given you this confirmation, is that right?
A. Yes, in advance of seeking to assist the Tribunal, I spoke to Tony McLoughlin.
84 Q. Yes. And I just want to inquire from you, can you recollect, was there any specificity as to the timing, either rough or exact, at which you told him about this 11:16 incident?
A. No, I can't recal1. I can't recall with specificity. Yes. But what you do know is that you did mention it to him prior to -- was it post or prior to making a statement for this Tribunal?
A. I would say it's on or about that time. I don't have, I don't have a recollection on that.
Q. I see.
A. Can I just further say, and this is proving to be considerable disadvantage to me, I anticipated that I would be invited to meet with the Tribunal and go through materials and hence truthfully, the statement was very much an outline, as we gave it. I understand the facility to meet with personnel from the Tribunal was by and large the process used. We anticipated it, but it didn't happen.

87 Q. Yes. We11, it appears that it does happen with some people and it doesn't happen with other people, but I don't think anything is to be --
A. Well, I think it would have guided our specificity, Mr. McDowel1.

88 Q. Nothing is to be inferred from the fact that that is the case. But as you said earlier, you have never been asked about it until you were sitting in the witness
box, is that right?
A. The issues of the dates were not an issue of any great substance, I sought to bring as much as I could to specificity to the Tribunal with the work I did over the last number of weeks.

89 Q. Yes. Now, going back to whatever conversation you had with Chief Superintendent McLough1in, can you indicate to the Tribunal now was that conversation with him independent of the fact that you were going to testify here, the first conversation, the first time you mentioned it to him, or was it part of your preparation?
A. No, no, I think it would have been following the event and it would have been a bit like the matter was shared with me, it would have been a passing reference.
90 Q. Yes. But I mean, it wasn't 'I am about to tell the Tribunal this'?
A. No.

91 Q. 'I am now telling you this', it wasn't that kind of transaction?
A. No, no.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. MCDowell, I don't think that could be the case. I mean, it was several weeks after the remark is made on the 13th -- or 14th of May, 2015, the Tribunal, I suppose, was like a child yet to be even conceived.
MR. MCDOWELL: Yes. We are into O'Higgins Commission territory, we are not into Disclosures Tribunal. CHAIRMAN: Nowhere close, yes. I mean, that only
becomes a possibility as of January 2017. MR. MCDOWELL: We11, that is exactly the point I am trying to get at.
92 Q. was it, do you think, sometime roughly contemporary to the o'Higgins Commission?
A. I would imagine o'Higgins was in session at that point.

I see. And by that time, the significance of what Mr. Dunne had said to you would have been slightly more obvious?
A. slightly more obvious.

94 Q. Yes. Well, can I ask you one other question, this is on the issue as to whether these remarks were made to you at all or whether they are -- you are just completely mistaken about them or inventing them. Firstly, had you any reason to implicate Mr. Dunne in 11:20 such a transaction?
A. None. My relationship with Cyril was, we frequently engaged on things, it was open. I think there are other matters on the public record which demonstrate the degree to which we collaborated. The reality is, I 11:20 expected Mr. Dunne not to say as he said in his statement, and I think his statement was delivered several months after mine.
95 Q. Yes.
A. So I think I only became aware of his not recollecting any of this, somewhere around the 19th December, when I received first documents from the Tribunal.

96 Q. I see. So I am just trying to deal with the possibility that the pair of you were at loggerheads or
in some unpleasant relationship that you just wanted to plant this alleged remark on him as a kind of a getting back at him thing, is there any element --
A. For absolutely no reason. Cyril Dunne was responsible for hiring me into this organisation. In the course of the interviews that I went through at the top level Government appointments he, amongst others, asked me about my ability to deal with conflict and -conflictual situations and difficult employees. He asked me about conciliation processes, my time at the FUE in dealing with industrial employee relations. This was all part of the selection process that he sat on both boards of, the top level Government appointments system that hired me into An Garda Síochána. to deal with the possibility that these remarks by Mr. Dunne were made at a later point when the Tribunal was up and running and he was merely telling you they are going after McCabe. I mean, that is one possibility. Sorry, at the Commission, rather.
A. Can I make this point?

98 Q. I just want you to deal with that possibility because, assuming that you are not -- we have to deal with all of this logically.
A. Sure.

99 Q. Assuming that this is not an invention on your part and that this remark was made to you, for the purpose of my question, the question that naturally would arise:
Could Mr. Dunne have said this to you innocently just describing what he understood to be already well in train at the Commission?
A. I don't believe so, because it was as the Commission -first of al1, I must make the point, the Commission, in my world, did not overlap. I had no engagement with the preparation for the Commission, the o'Higgins Commission, at al1. My understanding of what was going on down there came from conversations and meetings with people who were engaged with the thing. And so, my triangulation was, I am clear in my mind that there was 11:24 about one week between the remarks that were made, and it was a passing remark, and what then I learned had transpired in the o'Higgins Commission.
100 Q. So that's the point I am trying to get clarity on. You are saying that you are clear in your mind that this preceded your subsequent knowledge that a dispute had arisen --
A. That is my clear recollection. were to be believed and Mr. Dunne is to be believed, maybe he was merely casually telling you things have gone off the rails at the o'Higgins Commission, they are going after Maurice McCabe, or something like that?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. I see.

CHAIRMAN: I am just a bit puzzled, Mr. McDowe11, in relation to this. I mean, if the o'Higgins Commission is subject to section 11 of the Commissions of Inquiry Act 2004, how is there any conversation happening in relation to Human Resources in Garda Headquarters about the details of the Commission?

For instance, were you at any stage alerted to Sergeant McCabe's deep unhappiness that led to his resignation of his position as head of the --
A. Traffic unit.
Q. -- Mullingar traffic corps?
A. Yes, I was. And I think there is correspondence to that effect in the chronology. I would have been alerted to the decision that he took. There were a number of issues he raised at the meeting of the 25 th February, when I met him for the first occasion which had occurred on the 21st February, the night he went home from duty, and a preceding event where two guards in his unit were reassigned without his consent, both of those items were dealt with in the minute of the

25th February. And my attention to the issues at the mullingar station was heightened by all of the various histories that I was becoming aware of as I read myself into this. So when those issues happened they would have been brought to my attention.
106 Q. Yes. Because I mean, we know that on Monday the 18th he sought a meeting in the evening time --
A. He did.

107 Q. -- with his local superior down there?
A. Superintendent Alan Murray.

108 Q. And effectively handed in his badge as head of the local traffic corps, is that right?
A. Yes, and Alan Murray --

109 Q. How soon after that would you have been aware that that had happened?
A. I would imagine it happened in a couple of days or even hours, because Alan Murray is a very proactive superintendent. I have found him to be a very important ally in the work that I was doing, and he and I would have spoken frequently through this period. So 11:27 it would be pretty immediately. You know, certainly not later than 24,48 hours that $I$ would have been aware of the situation.
110 Q. It had been impressed on him that sergeant McCabe was not to be bullied or harassed at work, is that right?
A. And he was as assiduous about that, as was Chief Superintendent Lorraine wheatley, both of whom had helped the process that I was seeking to advance.

111 Q. And he reports up the line on the 18th --

112 Q. -- May 2015 that Sergeant McCabe has done this and has indicated he is confidence bound not to say why effectively, is that right?
A. Yes. And I subsequently received a direction also from the Commissioner's office to engage around this particular area. Superintendent Frank walsh writes to me, I believe, an email in that regard.
113 Q. Yes. Now, taking that as a time that you, I presume, became either clearly aware or vaguely aware that something that displeased Sergeant McCabe was happening at the O'Higgins Commission, now can you tell this Tribunal whether the interchange between yourself and Mr. Dunne took place before that or after that?
A. It took place before that.

I see. That is all I want to ask you about. Thank you.

## THE WITNESS WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM:

MR. DIGNAM: Mr. Barrett, my name is Conor Dignam and I 11:29 appear on behalf of Garda Síochána, including Mr. Dunne and Ms. O'Sullivan, the former Commissioner, with whom you worked. The position you hold, Mr. Barrett, is Executive Director of Human Resources and I think you have already described Mr. Dunne as your boss or your former boss. He was the Chief Administrative officer I think is his formal title, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

116 Q. And the reporting line was that you reported directly
to Mr. Dunne?
A. Yes.

117 Q. He was also a civilian, I think?
A. Yes.

118 Q. Yes. And you obvious7y overlapped for a period of time, I think Mr. Dunne was in, worked for An Garda Síochána or in An Garda Síochána for approximately two-and-a-half years, is that right?
A. Yes.

119 Q. I think he was from Apri1 2013 to the end of 2015, so he was there for a relatively short period?
A. Yeah, two years and nine months.

120 Q. Yes. You outlined to Mr. McGuinness yesterday morning what the duties of the Executive Director of Human Resources are and you described in broad terms, and I 11:30 don't need to go into the detail of that, but you mentioned you are responsible for approximately 16,000 staff or human resources?
A. That is the scale of the organisation.

121 Q. Yes. And could you tell us what the staffing of the 11:30 Executive Director of Human Resources section or Department consists of?
A. My direct office or the totality?

122 Q. Perhaps if you start with the totality and then your direct office.
A. My direct office would be no more than five people at present, but the sections that report into me are the Human Resource Management, it's called HRM for An Garda Síochána, we have a civilian directorate of HR which
also looks after all the back office activity for womb-to-tomb, from recruitment, selection, appointments, appointment sections, transfers, the issues of bullying and harassment, the issues of general employee management in conflictual situations, advice to managers across the country in the various divisions, and the training college, An Garda Síochána training college and all the formation, where there's professional continuous development and all of those related matters under the PD banner, people development.
123 Q. And I think you mentioned approximately five people in your direct office?
A. That would be my direct staff and then I would have a group of seven that report to me directly, these would be seven senior people.
124 Q. And Chief Superintendent McLoughlin who you have mentioned, you described him as being part of your senior staff.
A. Yes.

125 Q. Was he one of the five --
A. No, no. The office and the senior staff are different groups. He would head up an arm of the organisation.
126 Q. And would you work closely with chief superintendent McLoughlin?
A. Yes.

127 Q. He is a person you'd rely on, you'd have regular interactions?
A. I rely on all of my staff.

128 Q. Yes. And Chief Superintendent McLoughlin included?
A. Yes.

129 Q. I think your background, and I don't want to go into it in detail, you had worked for a number of large multinational corporations or companies before you came 11:32 to join An Garda Síochána, the organisation, is that right?
A. Yes. I worked for primarily American corporations, both in the US and in Europe. I lived for a time in California, silicone valley, doing merges and acquisitions. I ran the European arm of Merck Millipore out of Strasbourg. I joined Applied Materials outside Grenoble in France, first in the European arm and then moved to the US. Before that, the European, Middle East and Africa organisation of 11:33 Lucent Technologies.
130 Q. Yes.
A. And before that all the way back to a seminal period in the Federated Union of Employers, now IBEC.
131 Q. You have extensive experience, not all in human
A. Business related.

132 Q. Yes. Now, I think in February 2015 you were asked by Commissioner O'Sullivan to liaise directly with Sergeant McCabe about workplace issues?
A. On the 23rd February.

133 Q. 23rd February. Yes. And I think she has described it as asking you to liaise directly with Sergeant McCabe to address any issues that were continuing to cause
concern to him, and then in her interview with the investigators for the Tribunal on page 3080 -- I haven't got the volume number, Mr. Barrett. Volume 5. 3080. It's page 27 of former Commissioner o'Sullivan's interview notes. And you will see right at the bottom of that page, the last sentence on the page, Mr. Barrett, Ms. O'Sullivan says that:
"Mr. Barrett in his capacity as Executive Director HR PD was tasked to ensure that all HR and welfare supports were being provided to Sergeant McCabe and that any gaps in those supports were addressed in respect of Sergeant McCabe and his family. In addition, Mr. Barrett was to oversee and coordinate a review of the organisation's HR policies and practices by Mr. Tony Kerr SC. This included a range of seminars to be conducted with an external HR expert, Mr. Gerard McMahon. Furthermore, I requested that a workplace mediation expert would be identified and made available to provide mediation if required by Sergeant McCabe and 11:35 this person was identified, Mr. Kieran Mulvey. Mr. Barrett was also tasked at one stage to meet with Sergeant McCabe to identify what HR actions needed to be put in place to comprehensively address Sergeant McCabe's concerns."

Is that --
A. I am looking at 3080 in file 5, but that is not what it says. Yes, because you say in your statement that you were appointed, how you put it was that you were appointed to act as interlocutor/principal point of contact under the Protected Disclosures Act 2014 for Sergeant Maurice 11:37 McCabe?
A. Being mindful of the obligations in the Act is the issue.

138 Q. As far as you are putting it now, you were reminded or
asked to be mindful of the Act?
A. Yes.

139 Q. Now, I think at that point you had joined the organisation in the autumn of 2014, isn't that correct?
A. October 3rd? were asked to carry out this important task. Is it fair to say, Mr. Barrett, that it couldn't be said about you that you were an insider or a person enmeshed in any culture of the institution or organisation of An Garda Síochána?
A. I think anybody coming from the outside would obviously bring with them where they have been and be free of the internal culture if they hadn't been there before.

141 Q. Yes. And you were asked by the Commissioner with that background to carry out this important task. I think you have also and I don't want to dwell on it, Mr. Barrett, you have also in your evidence explained the different things that were going on from February 2015 if not before, onwards, and that included the appointment of Chief Superintendent O'Brien, Barry O'Brien, on the nomination of Sergeant McCabe. The background to that, Mr. Barrett, is that the former Commissioner asked Sergeant McCabe if he'd like to nominate somebody who could deal with his concerns and

Sergeant McCabe himself nominated Chief Superintendent o'brien, isn't that right?
A. I understand there were 14 open issues that Chief Superintendent o'brien was specifically asked to address and it was on the nomination of Sergeant McCabe.

142 Q. Yes. And I think you have already said that you had a meeting with Sergeant McCabe on 25th February at the Shangan Building in Garda Headquarters with Chief Superintendent O'Brien?
A. Yes.

143 Q. Yes. Now, can I take it that when you were asked to carry out this assignment or this job of work by the former Commissioner, that you applied yourself with diligence and professionalism that one would expect you 11:39 to bring to the task?
A. I would hope so, yes.

144 Q. You certainly seemed to have, if I may say, Mr. Barrett, based on the documentation, come to it with energy. You met Sergeant McCabe I think almost immediately, you were appointed on 23rd of February and you met him on 25th February, isn't that right?
A. Yeah, I spoke to him on 24th for the first time on the telephone.
145 Q. Yes. And having met him then, you were in close telephone and text contact with him, pretty much from then on, according to your own chronology?
A. No. There are three spikes of clusters of activity, but there are periods where there is not a lot of
contact over a period of months.
146 Q. Yes. There is a fairer way to put it; that you were in intermittent contact?
A. Yes, I was the person I think that Sergeant McCabe would come to in -- and that was the bridge that was established in this earlier period.

147 Q. Yes. And you met him, as wel1 as in the Shangan Building you met him in his home on, is it I think three separate occasions?
A. I met him in all 12 times: I met him three times in 11:41 2015, I met him six times in 2016 and I met him three times in 2017.

148 Q. And I think you provided memos of those meetings?
A. Not all of them.

149 Q. No?
A. Some of them were quite social and just, I would say of a welfare focus, wherein activity was continuing. But I took the time to consider how I should respond when particularly serious matters were revealed to me, and my role was to ensure that they were documented such that, and I required Sergeant McCabe's willingness on this, to report using those notes.

150 Q. Yes. And you have provided memos of your meetings, at 1east of the 25th February 2015, 31st May 2016 and the 23rd August 2016, and I might come back to those in just a few minutes?
A. And the meeting of the 7th February 2017.

151 Q. Yes. That's right. Now, obviously we have seen those memos and they are in the core booklets, and I think
it's fair to say that they are very detailed comprehensive conveying of information, recording of what happened at those meetings, or those occasions, and conveying the detail of those meetings to the authorities, to the Garda authorities. Would you agree ${ }^{11: 42}$ that they are very detailed?
A. Yes, they are not casual notes.

152 Q. Yes. The meeting of the 25th February 2015, which is the first time you personally met Sergeant McCabe, is at page 3019?
A. of the volume?

153
Of volume --
A. 3019. okay.

154 Q. It's volume 5, Mr. Barrett.
A. Volume 5.

155 Q. And you will see, Mr. Barrett, and I think you will acknowledge that, as I say, that is a careful
methodical record of the meeting. It starts with -- in fact, it starts with the introductions that are made at the very beginning of the meeting, and then goes into the details of what was discussed between you and what occurred at that meeting, and gives a full picture of your engagement on that occasion between Sergeant McCabe, Chief Superintendent o'brien and yourself, is that fair?
A. Yeah, it was a two and three quarter hour meeting, I think.

156 Q. And then similarly, on the 31st May 2016, you met Sergeant McCabe at his home, and in fact his family, at
his home in -- at his home, is that right?
A. That's correct.

157 Q. Yes. And the memo of that meeting or the minute of that meeting is at 3066, it should be in the same booklet. And again, that is a very detailed note or minute or record of the meeting that you had with sergeant McCabe, it goes into close detail of what was discussed and what you were told by Sergeant McCabe, is that a fair description?
A. Yes, it is. And on both occasions I think the commonality in both meetings was, they were of heightened interest at that time. I was quite alarmed, as you can see from what I wrote of that meeting of the 31st of May, the meeting on the 26th -- on 25th February was effectively taking place on foot of a ministerial letter to the Commissioner seeking an urgent response in relation to certain matters, and I had a direction from Superintendent Frank Walsh as to the two issues in particular that I should deal with sergeant McCabe concerning, on February 25th.
158 Q. Yes. And I will come back to the contents of the minutes in just a few moments, Mr. Barrett. But that being the purpose of your visit, you faithfully record in great detail the discussions and in fact you record what I might describe as surrounding circumstances or narrative details of your meeting, you describe the location of the house, you describe how Sergeant McCabe presented on the day, you describe where you sat in the house when you were having your meeting, you describe
what you had to eat and in fact are very complimentary on what you had to eat. So there is an incredible amount of detail in that in that statement -- in that minute, isn't that right?
A. The welfare obligation that I carried, just to put this 11:46 in some context, was to be concerned for Sergeant McCabe's welfare, and the minute was in effect a statement about how I found him. He was the one that tendered the invitation for me to come and visit his house, he was the one that introduced me to his children, four of the five children were introduced to me. It was a pleasant occasion with a very real underlining set of circumstances, hence the detail.
159 Q. Now, could I then ask you just to turn to your statement to the Tribunal, Mr. Barrett, which is at page 2967. And the relevant paragraph, as you know, is at page 2969. Now, this was made, as I say, in Apri1 2017 and the Tribunal had been established some months before that, $I$ think the Chairman's opening statement had been made almost two months before that statement was made, and you, I presume, appreciated the importance of the work of the Tribunal and presumably you appreciated the importance of and the significance of any information that might be given to the Tribunal, is that fair?
A. That's fair.

160 Q. Yes. And in your statement in relation to this particular issue, you say:
"Prior to the commencement of the O'Higgins Commission hearings, at the conclusion of a meeting in the office of the Chief Administrative officer, Cyril Dunne, Mr. Dunne asked me to remain in his office after the other attendees had left and with reference to Sergeant 11:48 Maurice McCabe said 'we're going after him in the Commission". My role as interlocutor principal point of contact with Sergeant McCabe was wel1-established at this stage and I indicated my shock and dismay that such an approach would be taken in the o'Higgins Commission."

And you then go on in subparagraph (ii) to say:
"I was not present at any of the modules of the o'higgins Commission, but I gained some insights from Sergeant McCabe and other participants from the media coverage. As matters unfolded in the autumn of 2016 the collage of scenarios became clearer to me. I believe the work of the Tribunal may benefit from understanding the connection between these events."

And that is the extent of what you said when you were providing information that had been requested by the Tribunal in its pub7ic statement, that is the extent of 11:49 what you informed the Tribunal of when you made that statement in April 2017, isn't that right?
A. As I explained to Mr. McDowell, we fully expected to be invited and deposed by the Tribunal in all of the
detail, that was the understanding I got from my legal people, and that was the -- we were essentially advising the Tribunal under the various headings of matters that we were aware of.
161 Q. Now, I don't want to ask you about your legal advice, Mr. Barrett, but it's common case, and I don't think there is any debate about this, Mr. Barrett, but you don't give the date for the alleged meeting in that statement, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

162 Q. Okay. Do you accept that it would have been helpful to the Tribunal and indeed to the parties against whom you were making a very serious allegation, to have known when you were alleging that statement was made by Mr. Dunne?
A. I do.

163 Q. Yes. And why then, were you content to wait for the Tribunal to come and ask you for further information? why didn't you, bearing in mind that you appreciated that it would have been helpful to have provided the information, why didn't you simply provide it?
A. Quite frankly, and I have dealt with this on a number of occasions, we perhaps, with the benefit of hindsight, should have written a much more detailed statement. The view that was given to me was the that didn't happen. The first engagement was the 19th December when we received some papers from this Tribunal.

164 Q. Yes. Now, I think you are a student of the 1aw, Mr. Barrett, I think you mentioned in one of your documents; do you understand the need for a person who is answering an allegation to know precisely what is being alleged against them?
A. Of course.
Q. Now, you were asked the question yesterday by Mr. McGuinness why the date wasn't in your statement, and your answer, which is at page 190 of yesterday's transcript -- I am not sure that it's in that booklet, Mr. Barrett.
A. [SAME HANDED] Thank you.

167 Q. You said at page 190 that:
"I am doing this having looked at the chronology of correspondence that I hold, I am doing this from looking at diary entries."
okay. And I am sure you recall then that at almost the conclusion of the day's business I asked that those
documents might be provided by you to the Tribunal and then to us. And that led to an exchange and some supplemental questions being asked by Mr. McGuinness. And at page 200, so ten pages after you had made that
statement, you say:
"I looked at a series of emails."

And later that page you say:
"I went and examined what was in my email archive."

Then, three pages later, on page 203, you say:
"I have an email which talks about the meeting that I had with the Commissioner and Mr. Dunne."

Two pages later again, page 205, you say, in response to questions being asked by the chair:
"That's the email that triangulates to that discussion."

And then the resting place of that dialogue is on page 208, I think, where you say:

So, you go from page 190 where you say that it's based
on a chronology of correspondence and diary entries, and review of diary entries, through having a series of emails to the resting place, 18 pages later on 208 , to you having a single email to the point. Now, can I ask you, Mr. Barrett, why didn't you simply say when you were asked how you placed the date for the meeting, that you had a single email which led you to that conclusion?
A. I am going to say that I was genuinely concerned about the focus on the date rather than the content of the discussion. And I was somewhat taken aback by that, and I tried to facilitate the Tribunal with the work I did overnight. I think there is an email in there that refers to a conversation with the Commissioner, Cyril and I think it's the evening of the 12th. And I think that from my triangulation of it, I believe the meeting took place on the 13th. For me, I am in absolutely no doubt that this was sent to me, it was sent to me in advance of the Tribunal commencing. I appreciate that there is a requirement to consider the period of time between when this was sent to me and when the Tribunal commenced. I am offering you my best evidence and I am speaking truthfully and on oath.
168 Q. Now, Mr. Barrett, let's be clear, you said that it was on the 13th May?
A. Yes.

169 Q. And you confirmed that in your evidence yesterday?
A. Yes.

170 Q. You were examined by Mr. McDowe11 and he put other
possibilities to you and you in fact rejected those possibilities?
A. I did.

171 Q. Yes. So this conversation, if it happened at a11, the statement, if it was made at all, happened on 13th May?
A. I believe that to be the case, yes.

172 Q. Now, in fact, your answers to the questions yesterday of how you placed the date didn't answer the primary question, which is why it wasn't in your statement, and as I understand your evidence on that point, it wasn't in your statement because you were expecting the Tribunal to come looking to you for information based on your statement, is that fair?
A. That's correct.

173 Q. Yes. Now, do you accept that to the extent that you have done some work which causes you to believe that this statement was made to you on the 13th may 2015, that that work could have been done before you made your statement?
A. It could, it could very well have been.

174 Q. Yes. Now, your solicitor wrote to this Tribunal on 17th January 2018, setting out your interactions with and about sergeant McCabe and that is on page 4356 of the booklets. Now, obviously this letter was written on instructions, and it begins, it's addressed to the solicitor for the Tribunal:
> "Further to your email this evening, we note it is currently anticipated that our client will give
evidence to the Tribunal next Tuesday, 23rd January 2018. We are cognisant of both the Chairman's comments on 8th January 2018 concerning the sheer volume of paperwork received by the Tribunal and of our client's limited role in assisting the Tribunal in this module. With this in mind, we believe it may be of assistance to the Tribunal if we outline the scope of our client's dealings with Sergeant McCabe during the relevant period, along with the documentation which our client believes is relevant."

Now, this was written ten days after the commencement of this module. You will recall, I am sure, I think you were in fact present, Mr. Barrett, the Tribunal sat on the 8th of January and due to the necessity to
gather documents there was then a short break until the Friday of that week, and this letter then came into the Tribunal, it seems, on the 18th January, which I think is the wednesday, but it may have been the Tuesday. Sorry, 18th is a Thursday, Mr. Barrett. Now, if I could just direct your attention to that third paragraph:
"With this in mind, we believe it may be of assistance to the Tribunal if we outline the scope of our client's 11:59 dealings with Sergeant McCabe during the relevant period, along with the documentation which our client believes is relevant."

This letter was written eight months after you had made your statement, without a date, to the Tribunal. Your explanation for not putting the date in your statement was you assumed the Tribunal would be coming back to you looking for information. Eight months have now passed, the Tribunal haven't come to you looking for information, and you send this in.
A. That's correct.

Yes. Now, you then set out bullet-points 1 to 10 . Do you accept, Mr. Barrett, that there is not one reference in that document to the conversation which you alleged took place on the 13th May 2015 ?
A. That's correct.
Q. Yes. why not?
A. I thought that the statement that we furnished in April would have been adequate in that respect because it makes clear that there was a conversation. And I also on7y discovered as of the 20th December that that conversation was denied.
177 Q. Well, let's just take that in sequence. You have 12:00 already accepted in evidence that your statement -- and you have explained why, and I will come back to that, but you have already accepted in evidence that your statement was inadequate from the point of view of giving all the relevant information to the Tribunal and 12:00 from the point of view of fairness to Mr. Dunne, you have already accepted that in your evidence?
A. On the basis that Mr. Dunne denied what it is that transpired between us.
Q. Yes.
A. And I sat here on the day of the opening statement was made and it was clear to me that there was a request for anybody who had something to say to the Tribunal to make themselves known, and that is what we were doing in our April statement, as $I$ understood it.

Yes. So, let's take your second point then,
Mr. Barrett. You say that it only occurred to you that it would be necessary to give a date when Mr. Dunne denied the allegation that you are making against him, which was on the 20th December you become aware of it, is that right?
A. Let me go back and try and be clear around this. Genuinely we were indicating the areas of information that we had at our disposal for the benefit of the Tribunal in the April statement, in the expectation that we would meet with personnel from the Tribunal or investigators from the Tribunal and be directed as to what it is and how it is we could be most helpful. That did not happen. The first engagement beyond that was a receipt of a clip disk with some three-and-a-half thousand pages on 19 December.
180 Q. Yes. That is what I was asking you about. So you knew shortly after the 19th December, because I would imagine that the first thing you looked for when you opened the USB key was, what Mr. Dunne has to say about what I have said about him? You see, you have had from shortly after 19th of December to become aware that Mr. Dunne is absolutely denying that he said this to
you, and a month later, 17th January, you send in a letter which doesn't deal with it at all. It still doesn't give the date of the alleged meeting. In fact doesn't refer to it at all. What is your explanation for that, Mr. Barrett?
A. I don't have anything to say other than that which I said to you already. We had indicated the areas, we had put our hands up and said this meeting took place. I am on oath and I am saying that the meeting -- the reference at that meeting did take place, and that this was information shared with me en passant by Mr. Dunne, and that the relevance of the matter became clear to me after the fact. And we didn't reference it in the letter that you pointed to, that is true.
181 Q. So the relevance of the material became known to you after the fact and I will come back to that, but just you did appreciate the significance of it by the 17th April 2017, didn't you?
A. Yes, absolutely.

182 Q. And certainly by the 17th -- sorry, by 19th December 2017?
A. By the time the events had played themselves out in full and this was going to be a point of conflict, certainly I understood the significance of it. This is the ugly truth, these matters were put to me, I noted them, I didn't note them formally, it was part of a discussion. And this is my testimony.
183 Q. Yes. You noted them in your head, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

184 Q. Yes. Now you said yesterday that the people who were at the meeting, after which this alleged had been said, were the former Commissioner Ms. O'Sullivan, Mr. Dunne and yourself?
A. That's correct.

185 Q. Yes. Now, can you explain to me why you say in your statement that this conversation happened after the other attendees, plural, had 1eft?
A. It is perfectly normal in circumstances that $I$ have worked in all my life that somebody would say, look, can you stay back for a second, we need to talk about one or two items. This was an item mentioned to me in passing, as I was leaving Mr. Dunne's office. I noted it purely on the basis that the three preceding months had been fully engaged in building a very different kind of engagement with Sergeant McCabe, and this seemed to jar with it. That was the basis of my first response to him.
Q. That is not the question I asked you, Mr. Barrett. I asked you why you used the plural for attendees when in your evidence yesterday you identified one other attendee, Ms. O'Sullivan?
A. There were three.

187 Q. Yourself, Mr. Dunne and --
A. And the Commissioner.

188 Q. -- the Commissioner. What you say in your statement is that "Mr. Dunne asked me to remain in his office after the other attendees" plural?
A. I can't account for that in the general scheme of
things --
189 Q. How can you not account for it, it's your statement?
A. It's my statement and I accept there may be an "s" that is unintended, but the matter we were discussing was a serious matter pertaining to a senior employee and litigation threatened therefrom.
Q. Now, you made this statement appreciating the significance of the work of the Tribunal and appreciating the effect that findings made by a tribunal of inquiry can have on people's lives and careers and reputations?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. And you are telling us that you simply said attendees without really meaning there was more than one other attendee, is that right?
A. That's correct.

192 Q. Did you take any care about this statement?
A. Of course I did.

193 Q. Now, when you made this statement, why didn't you simply say Mr. Dunne asked me to remain in his office after Ms. O'Sullivan, the Commissioner, had left? why the vague reference to other attendees or, even taking it in the singular, other attendee?
A. I can't account for the confusion you are pointing to. Truthfully, I didn't ever anticipate that this issue of 12:06 the actual timing of the statement would in effect overshadow what was said to me. It was said to me by Mr. Dunne, it was clearly in my memory from reason of its impact, and the syntax or statement is written, $I$
am sorry, I didn't catch that. I really am --
194 Q. Mr. McGuinness questioned you earlier on, Mr. Barrett, Ms. O'Sullivan was, in fact in London on 13th May --
A. That's right.

195 Q. -- 2015?
A. That is her diary entry, yes.
Q. Sorry, are you doubting that she was in London that day?
A. I am not doubting that she is in London, if that is what her diary says. The confusion -- I believe this was the 13th, I met with, by my own notes I met with Ms. O'Sullivan and Cyril Dunne on the night of the 12th also.

197 Q. Mr. Barrett --
A. And I have said I believe and I have triangulated this 12:07 to be 13th of May, to the best of my ability.

198 Q. And we are dealing with it on the basis that it was alleged7y the 13th?
A. Yes, yes.

199 Q. Ms. O'Sullivan not only gave her diary entry, but in fact she gave evidence, and has told this Tribunal that she was in London that day.
A. And I appreciate the confusion that was pointed out by Mr. McGuinness.
Q. Well, that is not confusion, Mr. Barrett. You say a meeting took place on 13th May that Ms. O'Sullivan couldn't have been at. That is not confusion, Mr. Barrett.
A. I understand the conflict.

201 Q. Now, you say in your statement that your role as point of contact with Sergeant McCabe was well-known or established at that point. Have you any comment or any view to give, and you may not have, Mr. Barrett, why Mr. Dunne would have said this to you, being the point of contact with Sergeant McCabe?
A. Mr. Dunne was fully involved in the preparation of what became the section 41 document. He would have been attending meetings with me in relation to the appointment of Kieran Mulvey. He would have been fully 12:08 aware of the matters in relation to Tony Kerr and his review of the policies and procedures. He would have been involved in a series of meetings which I think are evidenced from documents produced by Ken Ruane and others, where he is at meetings. He was at the post meeting briefing of the 25 th February. There are meetings which he attended, clearly involved in the work that we were doing between February and May 2015, pertaining to Sergeant McCabe.
202 Q. You have told us about what you thought when he told you this, and you have described it in different terms, although probably not a huge amount between the descriptions, but you say in your statement that you were dismayed and shocked, you have said in evidence to the Tribunal that you thought it was odd, and you contextualised that by saying that there was a lot of work going on in the human resource/employment front and you thought it was odd that this would be said or done. You also say on page 206 of yesterday's
transcript:
"My belief is based on how $I$ reacted to it. It was a visceral reaction."

And that is, I think you will accept, a very vivid description?
A. 206? Visceral, surprised, shocked, it jarred with me I think is the word. That is I word I used.
203 Q
That is another account you gave. And you also said in 12:10 your recent documents which you just handed in this morning at page 4957, you said that you said to Mr. Dunne 'You must be out of your mind'.
A. Yes.

204 Q. And I think you also gave evidence that you said you
A. Yeah, yeah. It was a passing -- it was a passing exchange between us and it was all thematically consistent. I felt this was extraordinary.
Now. I will come on to the explanation in just a moment, Mr. Barrett, but just to confirm, you didn't protest or raise this with anybody at that time, isn't that right?
A. No, I didn't. And as I said yesterday, the only action that I took following this was, I re-examined the terms 12:11 of reference of o'Higgins and $I$ could not reconcile the two. It was as much as I did about it, and equally, on the record, I think it is my regret, that if -- if this could have been relived I would have done it much more
formally and protested formally.
-- about it. Yes. Now, you didn't tell anybody about it until you say that you told Chief Superintendent McLough1in, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. So let me try and contextualise the number of things that were going on in the ether at that point in time. The agenda is fully loaded, I am not engaged with the preparation for o'Higgins. My obligations are to Sergeant McCabe, as delegated by the Commissioner to me, and I am focused on that. I see no reason whatsoever to change my engagement with Sergeant 12:12 McCabe, and that continues, albeit, you know, he is then very involved in the O'Higgins Commission and there is a lull, as you will see in the chronology, effectively, between -- there are texts and there are some phone calls, but there isn't a lot of activity until 2016.

208 Q. Yes. In fact, it's interesting that you mention the texts, etcetera, Mr. Barrett. Because on 14th May, the day after this comment is alleged to have been made to you, at 9:22 you text Sergeant McCabe to say: "M --" sorry.
A. Yeah, this is in relation to his allowance.
Q. On page 4957. It's there on the screen if you are comfortable watching it on the screen. You say in a
text to Sergeant McCabe:
"M, I just approved the set of expenses you sent into GPSU for the work done on the SPCF report."

And that is the Professional Standards Unit and the Penalty Points Report.
"Chief ward will confirm on our behalf and you will be paid shortly. By the way, I owe you lunch and it's about time we made a plan. J."
A. Yes.

210 Q. And then Sergeant McCabe I think replies at 9:45, and he says, and these are the documents that you have handed in Mr. Barrett, says:
"Thanks very much, John. I really appreciate that. The O'Higgins Commission starts this morning with oral evidence so under pressure for the last month giving documents. I haven't forgotten about you. Wi11 buzz you next week. Thanks again."

And then on -- yes, so on the 14th May, you are not divorced from the o'Higgins Commission going on, in fact you are in contact with --
A. Correct.

211 Q. Yes. And you are telling us that, in that context, the significance of what is alleged to have been said to you on the 13th went over your head, is that right?
A. You must understand that conversations between a boss and somebody in my role, I had to maintain a very well Chinese wall in the way that my interaction with Sergeant McCabe was focused on his welfare and the concerns for that that the organisation needed to express, and any matters said around that topic were, if they were shared with me like the conversation with Cyril Dunne, that's where it would remain. And it's not in my habit to go and have conversations with other people about what it is that my boss says to me unless it's a matter of general discussion. That is common courtesy. And so, Sergeant McCabe is the subject of this particular matter, there is an issue outstanding pertaining to expenses, I send him a text and that is how he responded. There is nothing further to be read into that.

212 Q. Mr. Barrett, I wasn't asking you whether you were conversing with Sergeant McCabe about it; I am simply putting it to you that you gave an explanation that other things were going on, it's a busy job, it's a big job, other things were going on so you weren't really thinking about the O'Higgins Commission, but in fact on the day that the O'Higgins Commission started its sittings, you are in touch with Sergeant McCabe so you know it's going on?
A. Of course I do, of course I do, it's the backdrop -the O'Higgins Commission, as I said, I think I used the term cottage industry, there was a tremendous amount of activity in Garda Headquarters in the period February
to May prior to the commencement, that I was aware of but not involved in, plus the activity that I was leading myself.

You said in your evidence yesterday at page 198 that in the week of 14th of May -- you say that:
"In the week of the 14th May I am asked to re-engage and effectively ensure that from a welfare perspective particularly --"

I think is how you put it. I will just get the full quote for you now, Mr. Barrett. 198. So, it begins, the passage begins on line 13, Mr. Barrett, where you are asked:
"In terms of the Commission, did you have some interaction with Sergeant McCabe after Superintendent Murray's report came on the 19th May? Did you see that report?
A. Yeah, the chronology is clear. I'm asked to correspondence from Superintendent Frank Walsh who was the Commissioner's secretary --
Q. Yes?
A. -- on or about 14th or 15th May. It's in that week I think, Mr. McGuinness. And so, I'm asked to reengage and effectively ensure that from a welfare perspective particularly --
Q. Yes?
A. -- that Sergeant McCabe is contacted, and I do that."

So you are asked to reengage, as you put it, with Sergeant McCabe, but in fact your work with and around Sergeant McCabe had been going on from at least February 2015, isn't it?
A. It ran for 25 months, up to the 9th March 2017.

Yes. And that when you are asked to reengage, I think that was in response to a specific issue which had arisen, which $I$ will come to in just a moment, but in fact engagement was ongoing intermittently as you have described it in your evidence?
A. Yes.

215 Q. Yes. Now, you have accepted in your evidence that you have no note of -- that you didn't make any note of this conversation, and you accepted in your evidence yesterday on page -- that that is completely at odds with your normal conduct or style, I think on page 200, you say:
"I am genuinely disappointed in myself that I didn't make a more detail note of it. It's not how $I$ generally conduct myself."

Now, firstly, when you refer to not making a more detailed note and your regret about not doing so, in fact you didn't make any note at all.
A. Correct. And just to demonstrate the normal course, I
amended -- as I dealt with other issues, perhaps the Garda college being a clear one, it began in the same month, in may of '15, my notes I think in that regard are chronological and clear, and that is why I said what I said, I was sorry I didn't make a note. I didn't.

216 Q. I presume you have either been in attendance at the Tribunal or following the transcripts. Are you aware that all of the evidence that has been given to the Tribunal is to the effect that there was no discussion or decision as to the approach to be taken in the o'Higgins Commission until may and in fact until the week of the 11 th/12th May onwards, are you aware of that?
A. Yes.

217 Q. Yes. And in fact, the evidence is that no decision was made as to an approach or the approach to be taken in o'Higgins until the 14th May?
A. I have read that.

218 Q. Now, can I then just come back, and I will do this relatively quickly, Mr. Barrett, could I just come back to your memos or minutes of your meetings with Sergeant McCabe. If I could ask you to look firstly at the one of the 31st May 2016, which is at page 3066. So this is a meeting, Mr. Barrett, I think in Sergeant McCabe's ${ }_{12: 21}$ family home, and it's headed:
"Part of the regular round of contact to review welfare and issues arising following the revelations in the
media of the statements made by Mr. John McGuinness, TD, concerning the TD`s meeting with the then-Garda Commissioner Martin Callinan on January 24, 2014, six days before Sergeant McCabe was scheduled to give
evidence to the PAC."

And that issue is discussed at the meeting, but there is also a discussion around the approach that was adopted in the o'Higgins Commission, isn't that right?
A. This is Sergeant McCabe informing me, yes?

219 Q. Yes. And on page 5 of that statement -- or of that minute, 3070, you say:
"I explained that I could not make any worthwhile comment on the events as I did not know of any of that until I read the media reports. I explained that, though it may seem odd given my office, I was not involved in the preparation or decision-making with respect to the o'Higgins Commission at any stage. I did give a certain amount of support to the then-chief in GPSU, Seán Ward, who was charged with gathering and submitting documentation for the Commission, but other than that I was an outsider to the process."

Now, was that not an opportunity to either say to

Sergeant McCabe: in fact, I had a conversation where I was told in advance that we were going to go after you at the Commission, or, if you didn't want to say it or feel that it was appropriate to say it to Sergeant

McCabe, to go back to the Garda authorities and say, Sergeant McCabe is extremely upset about what happened in the o'Higgins Commission and, in fact, I think he is correct to be because I was told this before the o'Higgins Commission even started to sit?
A. It would have been highly inappropriate for me to make such remarks to Sergeant McCabe. My minute couldn't be clearer. It is just that point, that is illustrated by those six lines. I am reporting what it is that Sergeant McCabe said of the O'Higgins Commission, I think in the clearest possible terms. And it is perfectly plain to those who would have been the recipients of that minute, including Cyril Dunne, as to what his perspective was. Also, respect for my boss in the chinese wall, I did not breach it. It would be inappropriate for me to so do. But the minute is carefully written for the purposes of conveying his perspective.
220 Q. Sorry, Mr. Barrett, how on earth would it have been inappropriate for you to tell your immediate line boss something that he himself said or to report to his boss that this had been said?
A. I reported precisely what it was that I had responded to Sergeant McCabe, as the Commissioner's interlocutor, and made clear to him I was an external party to the preparations for o'Higgins. And the rest of the minute, and you have referred to it repeatedly, deals with Sergeant McCabe's view of how it is he was being treated at the o'Higgins Report -- at the o'Higgins

Commission.
221 Q. Yes, it does also contain your view in the final paragraph on that page where you express -- you restate your commitment to cultural change and the healing of
wounds, and then you go on to say that:
"I express my revulsion at the matters revealed to them by Mr. McGuinness."

That is Deputy McGuinness.
A. Absolutely.

222 Q. Yes. So you are not averse to expressing an opinion in the minute?
A. So let's be very clear about the central tenet and what that minute is primarily about, and you refer to it yourself in the purpose. Part of a regular round of contacts but primarily dealing with the statement made by John McGuinness, TD, in the House concerning the meeting that he says he had with the former Garda Commissioner Martin Callinan. I must point out all of the allegations that Sergeant -- that John McGuinness made, were unknown to me in their fine detail until such time as I attended the home of Lorraine and Maurice McCabe. I found this a shocking meeting and I found the matters revealed in the course of that meeting, when I inquired what lay behind the use of the term "vile allegation" -- "vile allegations", when it was explained to me, I found it horrific." As I left the McCabe household that might, I drove to the village
closest by. I telephoned the deputy commissioner of strategy and change management, Donal Ó Cualáin, now Acting Commissioner. I didn't get him. I rang his superintendent -- office chief of staff and I made an appointment to see him when I got back from Donegal. felt this was an extraordinary meeting and one that really was focused on those allegations. This will remain with me for the rest of my life, frankly. so it being an extraordinary meeting and the level of upset and hurt that you describe Sergeant McCabe and his family experiencing and feeling, didn't cause you to believe that you should inform your authorities that you had been told immediately before the o'Higgins Commission that we are going to go after him in the Commission, despite all of that?
A. No, because this minute, this minute went to Mr. Cyril Dunne, it went to the Commissioner of the organisation. To the best of my knowledge, it went to the Minister for Justice under a Section 41 cover.
224 Q. Yes. And your other memo then of 23rd August 2016, at page 4246.
A. Volume 8?

225 Q. Page 6 of that minute. You have a discussion, I don't want to go into the contents of the discussion, Mr. Barrett, but you had a discussion about Sergeant Commissioner, and you quote, you quote him as being told that, in their view -- him saying that, in their view, he risked being got at if he returned to work.

So you were being told then in August 2016 that Sergeant McCabe is being advised that he shouldn't go back to work because he would be got at by the Commissioner, and she is the person who you have said was with Mr. Dunne immediately before you were told by Mr. Dunne that a decision had been made to go after Sergeant McCabe?
A. Please guide me to the paragraph to which you refer. Yes. I would prefer not to go into the contents, Mr. Barrett, but if you look at the first -- the second 12:28 full paragraph -- sorry, maybe 1 will begin at the top of the page.
A. 4246 ?

227 Q. 4246, and page 6 of that, so 4231, I think.
A. okay.

228 Q. It's on the screen there. 4251, sorry, Mr. Barrett.
A. Can you put the matter to me again, please?
Q. You will see that the contents of the first three paragraphs in particular, there is a discussion of the advice that Sergeant McCabe has received about whether or not to return to work, and he appears to be advised that he shouldn't return to work because he would be got at by the then-Commissioner, Ms. O'Sullivan, and you record that in detail. Ms. O'Sullivan is the person who is with Mr. Dunne at the meeting immediately 12:30 after which you are told of a decision, as you have put it, to go after Sergeant McCabe at the Commission. Now, you didn't refer to that in your minute, you didn't bring that up the line, you didn't bring it to
anybody, to say that Sergeant McCabe believes that he will be got at if he returns to work, he is laying that at the door of the current Commissioner and, do you know what, I believe there is good reason for that because she was involved in making a decision to go after him at the Commission; you didn't record it at all at that point?
A. You are absolutely right I didn't. These are quotations from Sergeant McCabe, and he can give direct evidence on it himself. If you go back to my minute of 12:30 the 25th February, 25th February, now, this is even I think 25th February 2015, it's before o'Higgins, it's before any of this. Sergeant McCabe, in that minute, is recorded as saying to both Barry o'brien and myself that he regretted making a statement in support of Commissioner o'sullivan on her appointment and that he had, you know, regrets about those concerns. I cannot mediate the views that he expressed to me. I can record them, and that is all I did. These minutes are a faithful recording. And Sergeant McCabe himself can give evidence on the content of the conversation.
230 Q. Was that the limit of the job that you were assigned to do in this regard, to record Sergeant McCabe's comments and concerns?
A. No, absolutely not. And you yourself, as I understood the question earlier, made reference to the fact that considerable progress was being achieved in realigning sergeant McCabe with the organisation in the period February to May 2015. I asked Sergeant McCabe, on the
very first occasion I met with him, to desist from writing to the Minister, the Taoiseach and the Commissioner, that we should do our business out of the glare of the media. He honoured that. Progress was made. And part of that progress is built on authenticity, it's built on faithful recording of matters discussed. It is about not gilding the lily. It's about using his voice in records of meetings. Yes. And simply conveying that, you didn't add to it --
A. No.
A. No.
Q. No.
A. And I didn't know that there was any dispute between Cyril Dunne and myself until such time as I read Mr. Dunne's statement, which is only a matter of months ago.
234 Q. Now, I just want to cover, I think, two other areas with you, Mr. Barrett. Just bear with me for one moment. Your evidence has been that you didn't appreciate the significance of what was said to you by Mr. Dunne at the time; that's correct, isn't it?
A. When it was said to me?

235 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

236 Q. You didn't appreciate the significance when it was said to you?
A. I didn't appreciate the significance when it was said to me.
Q. Now, I have to put it to you that one only has a visceral reaction to something which is significant and the significance of which you appreciate?
A. Yes. It was at odds, it jarred with the work that I had spent three months doing in which I felt real and substantial progress was being made.

Now, I think you then said in your evidence, and you may correct me if I am wrong, Mr. Barrett, that you realised the significance of it about two weeks later?
A. Yes.

240 Q. But you did nothing about it at that stage?
A. Correct. It was -- again, let me explain: The terms of reference and the secrecy under which the Commission of Inquiry was progressing was known to me in a general sense and that there were whispers in and around the organisation that there had been an adjournment for some period of time in O'Higgins for reasons which related to a recording or something like that. That did not sound to me as the way the Commission intended to proceed or it was intended to proceed. That is the beginnings of my knowledge.
MR. DIGNAM: Sorry, Mr. Barrett, just bear with me for one moment. Sorry, Chairman.
A. Can I request a bio break for five minutes, if that is
not unreasonable?
MR. McGUINNESS: Perhaps it's a good time to break for lunch, Chairman.
mR. DIGNAM: I will be less than five minutes, Chairman, when I am finished, but I just can't put my 12:35 hand on a particular document.

Chairman: Let's do that. It's twenty five to. so twenty five to two.

THE HEARING ADJOURNED FOR LUNCH

241 Q. MR. DIGNAM: Mr. Barrett, I'm sorry, I just couldn't put my hands on a particular document that I wanted to explore with you before lunch. If I could ask for 4205 to be put up on the screen. I was bringing you to this document, Mr. Barrett, in the context of your evidence that you didn't appreciate the significance of the remark that was -- that's alleged to have been made by Mr. Dunne until a couple of weeks later. Now, this, I 13:44 think, raises an issue that you're familiar with and that the Chairman has heard evidence about, which is Sergeant McCabe's resignation or stepping down from sergeant in charge of the traffic corps in mullingar, which I think was communicated on the 18th May. And there's an email there from Sergeant McCabe to you of the 26th May 2015, where he says that he "really enjoyed the position and the work but that didn't last too long. I was forced to quit the position as a result of Commissioner O'Sullivan and I can't comment any further on that."

I think that not being able to comment is a reference to the Commission of Investigation and the confidentiality thereof. But Sergeant McCabe says that 13:45 his stepping down is as a result of Commissioner o'Sullivan. Now, you then email -- forwarded that email to former Commissioner o'Sullivan on the same day, and you say:
"N, I got this from Maurice earlier. I plan to call him tonight if I can and give you a full update in the morning. Best regards, J."

Now, this is the Commissioner -- sorry, this is the sergeant who you have been asked to ensure that he's obtaining all the necessary supports, etcetera.
A. Yeah.

242 Q. From February 2015, and then, on your evidence, asked to re-engage in or around the 17th/18th May 2015. You then get this email from him on the 26th May, and you forward it to the Commissioner, who you address as "N" in the email. Now, firstly, there's no sense of alarm or concern in that email, and there's obviously no reference to a belief on your part that anybody is going after Sergeant McCabe in the Commission. Could you explain why that is the case?
A. The succession of issues that were dealt with in relation to Maurice McCabe, this is just one such another. He had stepped down. My view was, I will talk to him and I will find out what this is about. That is purely what it is intended to communicate and to brief the Commissioner that this information had been received by me. That's the intention that was in ${ }_{\text {13:47 }}$ my mind.
243 Q. It's quite, this isn't a criticism, it's quite an informal email. It's not addressed to Commissioner, Ms. O'Sullivan, it's not even addressed to Nóirín.

It's "N". Would you accept that it's an informal way of addressing --
A. It is an informal and it's from my Garda telephone and it's obviously something that I felt was important, because I think the forward time is not that dissimilar 13:47 from the receipt time. It's in the same day. So what I wanted to do was bring it to her attention and say, look, I'11 call him tonight.
Yes. But this is the Commissioner who you believe, on the basis of a conversation which you say took place on 13:47 the 13th, has decided to go after Sergeant McCabe, the very person who, in the first email we looked at, is saying that he can no longer work in the traffic corps because of that Commissioner, and you're then addressing an email in very informal, friendly terms, 13:48 I'd say, to that Commissioner, without any reference to --
A. Yes.

245 Q. -- to that conversation or the statement made by Mr. Dunne.
A. Post - and this is the piece that I think is absolutely critical to this - post the discussion with Cyril Dunne, I continued to work faithfully to the instructions the Commissioner gave me in February, and I continued to do that. And this is just one such example of, we have an issue to deal with here, I'm going to give him a call and see what I can -- the matter of the discussion with Cyril Dunne grew in hindsight.

246 Q. I appreciate that --








## A. That's the point.

47 Q. -- but what you said is that you realised the significance about two weeks later?
A. Yes.

248 Q. That is two weeks after 13th May?
A. Yes.

249 Q. This is the 26th May.
A. It is.

250 Q. And this is the Commissioner, who you have information, which causes you to believe that she, amongst others, has made a decision to go after Sergeant McCabe. And I have to put it to you, Mr. Barrett, that this email is completely inconsistent with that account.
A. I disagree.

251 Q. You disagree?
A. I disagree. It's simply in the scheme of me continuing to do what it is that I was asked to do and I'm saying I'm going to deal with this issue, much like I dealt with all of the media-related issues, all of the issues 13:49 in relation to pay, all of the issues in relation to concerns that Sergeant McCabe was voicing.
252 Q. So did you not see this as an opportunity to say N, or Nóirín, or Commissioner, got this from Sergeant McCabe, I need to talk to you about a serious issue?
A. The assumption that $I$ worked on was very clearly that on the basis of the reaction $I$ gave to Cyril Dunne, it was clear that $I$ felt, whatever was the suggestion, and I didn't understand the suggestion, and I have made
that clear, was something that was going to be injurious to this process. I continued my process. This is an example of the degree to which I had fidelity into that process. I was continuing to work
on any and all issues that Sergeant McCabe raised.
well, is that quite correct, Mr. Barrett? I mean, you say you worked on any and all issues?
A. Yes.

But here you have information to say that a member who you say you had reason to believe was being gone after at the Commission, just evaporates into the ether. You never raise it with anybody, you never deal with it, you never grapple with it, as Human Resources Executive Director. How is that dealing with that issue?
A. The issue that we are now seeing, in the light of the Tribunal and the Commission and all of the various other things at that point in time, was a statement as I left the office of Cyril Dunne where he said to me, "we're going after him at the Commission". I placed no weight on it at the time, I didn't actually understand it, but it jarred with the work that I was doing, and continued to do, as is evidenced by this email.
Q. Yes. I don't want to go over old ground, Mr. Barrett, but you've now said twice in the last minute or so that you didn't understand Mr. Dunne's comment and yet you
A. Yes.

256 Q. Having a visceral reaction?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes.
A. -- they were specific instances where I wrote, when it's about the matters, if you like, of the time. Mr. Barrett: whether (a) you had told Sergeant McCabe or whether you considered it inappropriate that you
would tell him, and you addressed that, but I'd also asked you had you told anybody else, and it was in response to those questions that you referred to Chinese walls?
A. Yes.


And some time later -- on page 20 of today's transcript, you said that you spoke to Chief other words, in around the time of making your statement, but I think you then clarified it to say that it was several weeks after the statement had been made to you in May '15. And you also said in response 13:53 to a question I think from the Chairman, possibly Mr. McDowe11, that you spoke to Chief Superintendent McLoughlin when the O'Higgins Commission was in session. Now, which is it, Mr. Barrett?
A. Okay. This is a lot less clear for me, simply because 13:53 my conversations with Tony McLoughlin would have ranged over a whole series of issues. I believe I mentioned it to him in the period of the sitting of the Commission, which I think ran for about six months.

That's the best of my recollection, and I may be wrong about that, but that is the best of my recollection. And it would be in the context of his role, as I said, as somebody else who was dealing with protected disclosures and various other people like that.

263 Q. Now, you mentioned for the first time Chief Superintendent McLough1in in this context whilst you were giving evidence yesterday, and the Tribunal asked Chief Superintendent McLough1in to meet the Tribunal's investigators to be interviewed this morning, and he attended to be interviewed at, 1 think, $9: 15$, and that interview took place. We have been circulated with the record, the statement taken by those investigators, and that's at page 4994 of the booklet.
A. 4994. Of this booklet?

264 Q. And on page 4 of that, which is page 4997.
A. I'm sorry, I don't have that.
Q. I'm sorry.
A. Okay. It's on the screen.

266 Q. And on page 4 of that, which is page 4997, it begins with a quote from your statement in which you refer to this statement being made, or this comment being made by Mr. Dunne. And Chief Superintendent McLough1in, who I think you've said you worked very closely with and have a good working relationship with, says:
"I have also been provided with a document containing transcript extracts of the evidence given by Mr. John Barrett at the Disclosures Tribunal on 1st February,
two pages in total. This two-page document has been exhibited as part of my statement. I have also been provided with access to a printed copy of the evidence of Mr. Barrett at the Disclosures Tribunal while making this statement. I have had an opportunity to read transcript extracts of the evidence given by Mr. John Barrett at the Disclosures Tribunal. Given the above, I have been asked whether Mr. John Barrett ever told me that Mr. Cyril Dunne said to him the following 'we are going after him', Sergeant Maurice McCabe, 'in the Commission'. If so, I have been asked to provide details of what was said to me by Mr. Barrett and to detail all the attendant circumstances, including date, time, location and who else was present.

Answer: The first thing I would say is that last Friday, 26th January 2008, I had a missed call from Mr. John Barrett at 9:27 hours. I was at a meeting so I could not take the call. I note that I also received a text from John at 9:28 hours that read 'т, can you give me a call. J'. I had meetings all that morning. I did not have an opportunity to get back to him. I then got a call from John at $12: 39$ hours. My colleague picked up it and said to me 'You need to get on to John'. I think it was about 13:30 hours when I got to him. John was putting his papers together. He was on his way out the door to meet his counsel. John showed me his file he put together in respect to his evidence for the Tribunal. I was anxious to meet John
before he gave evidence and I had not had the opportunity. The reason was, I had an entry in my journal following a one-to-one meeting I had with John about Sergeant McCabe and he may not have been aware I had taken notes. This meeting was on the 18th January 2017. The time of the note was 16:30 hours. I briefly mentioned this to him from the perspective that if it came up in the Tribunal, we had not had the opportunity yet to discuss my note. I have already provided this note to the Tribunal, to the Garda -- Tribunal liaison office. John spoke about his evidence and all the work he had put into it. He then said, 'Do you recall me saying to you about a meeting I had with Cyril Dunne about the strategy that is being embarked upon?'
This did not come as a surprise to me as I said to John, 'You mentioned it to me before Christmas' 2017. And I told him I had no recollection of him saying it to me before then. If John had sat me down at that time and formalised this in a meeting between us, the chances are I would have taken notes, but I have no note of any such conversation. The conversation I had with John on the 26th January 2008 was casual, as he was on his way out the door for a meeting with his counsel and, as such, I did not make a note of this conversation. Both conversations in respect of this matter took place just between the two of us. The first conversation was, as I said, before Christmas 2017. It could have been November or December. I don't have an exact date."

And he then deals with whether he has any -- whether he personally has any notes. And then on page 5000 , the statement goes on to say:
"In respect of the above, I have been asked whether I spoke to Mr. Barrett in the last number of days as purported by him and specifically whether I confirmed to him that he had told me previously about his purported meeting with Mr. Cyril Dunne and what was alleged to have been said by Mr. Dunne as detailed above. If so, I have been asked to provide details of what was said and to detail all the attendant circumstances of the said conversation, including, date, time, location and who else was present.
A. I refer to my previous answers. Just to emphasise, I did not raise the matter with John. He raised it with me. That was last Friday, 26th January 2018.
Q. I have been asked whether I made a contemporaneous note or any record whatsoever, however made or however stored in this conversation with Mr. John Barrett in recent days before he gave his evidence on 1st February and, if so, I have been asked to provide same to the Disclosures Tribuna1.
A. No.
Q. I've again been referred to the exhibit AM1 and I've been asked whether Mr. Barrett's evidence is accurate in respect of his account of his alleged interactions with me.
A. It is inaccurate that I raised it with him on the 26th January 2018. I can state there was a conversation on the 26th January 2018, as I have outlined above. From the evidence of John Barrett yesterday, it appears that he may be saying that he said this to me sooner than November/December 2017. However, I have no recollection of it being said to me by John Barrett prior to November/December 2017.
Q. I have been asked whether I can explain

Mr. Barrett's assertion during his evidence to the Disclosures Tribunal on 1st February 2018 that he told me about his alleged conversation with Mr. Cyril Dunne on 13th May 2015, some time after that date and that he confirmed this with me in recent days or whether I can explain the variance between our accounts.
A. I can't explain the variance. There is nothing further I can add, other than what I have stated above.
Q. I have been asked whether I have any other information and/or evidence in my knowledge relating to terms of reference (e) which may assist the Disclosures Tribunal.
A. No. Only to reinforce the comment that John has given in his evidence in that there was a lot of support put in place to ameliorate the situation for Sergeant Maurice McCabe in respect of his workplace environment. I can also state that neither Cyril Dunne nor any other member of An Garda Síochána ever said to me that there was a strategy being embarked upon in the O'Higgins Commission against Sergeant McCabe, apart from what I have outlined above."

Now, in your evidence yesterday, Mr. Barrett, you said that Chief Superintendent McLoughlin had verified, is the word you used, to you in recent times, and I don't want to go into a specific time in that, but in recent times, that you had said to him at an earlier stage that Mr. Dunne had made the particular comment to you.
A. I would -- I would have a lot of credence in the statement you've just read to me, with the addition that I had clear recollection of having a discussion with the superintendent in the currency of the o'Higgins tribunal. I had a conversation with him as he describes. It is not -- it is not the clearest part of my recollection, and I willfully acknowledge that.
267 Q. Yes. Well --
A. And nothing in the note of that recent conversation I can argue with.
268 Q. Yes. Well, that is very fair, Mr. Barrett. There's two limbs to that, I suppose: One is, you say that you
had a clear recollection of saying it to Mr. McLoughlin initially, whenever that was. Chief Superintendent McLough1in says he has no memory of that.
A. I understand.

269 Q. And you also said, you said in your evidence, and this is about more recent times, you said in your evidence that Chief Superintendent McLough1in had verified the conversation --
A. I will accept it --

270 Q. -- and he says no.
A. Yeah, the verification is that there was a conversation and that he has described it there. I'm not seeking to dispute that, and I do apologise for misleading the Tribunal yesterday in that regard. I had very many conversations with Chief Superintendent Tony McLoughlin. I regard him highly.
CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry to interrupt, but that is not the point. The point is, you told me yesterday that you said to Chief Superintendent McLough1in, at some stage after the conversation, look, I've just had this conversation with Cyril Dunne or within the last several weeks --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- is how you put it.
A. That was my recollection, sir.

CHAIRMAN: You also said that he came to you very recently and reminded you of that conversation. Now, he's denying both things.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: You're not being asked as to whether you had a conversation with Chief Superintendent McLough1in, you clearly did on many occasions, about everything from, I suppose, work to rugby to Gaelic football. That is not the point. So it's just that that I am interested in.

271 Q. MR. DIGNAM: Yes. The Chairman has identified a repeat of your evidence, Mr. Barrett. You said two things about Chief Superintendent McLough1in -- amongst what you said about Chief Superintendent McLoughlin were two things. One was that he had verified that you had said to him at an earlier stage that Mr. Dunne had said this to you. Okay. But you also said, and it was quite a striking comment, that Chief Superintendent McLoughlin came to you to give you that verification.
A. Okay. The discussion that I clearly did have with Tony McLoughlin was in the summer, in the currency of the O'Higgins tribuna1. That is my recollection. It's not reflected in the note you've put on screen. And if I was to consider the text as taken from Tony this morning, I would accept that, that conversation to take place. As to whether he came to me or I came to him, clearly I went to him. And I did send him a text, as you have read, and that is correct.
272 Q. So do I take it then that you accept Chief verify to you?
A. Yes, I do.

CHAIRMAN: If that is the case today, why did you tell
me something different yesterday?
A. Because, quite frankly, that was my recollection. The best evidence I gave you yesterday was of my recollection. I do have a very clear recollection of a discussion with chief superintendent McLoughlin in the currency of the Tribunal. Again, Chairman, as to whether I have a note, I don't. And so this would be --

ChAIRMAN: No, that is not the question. You said to me yesterday --
A. I understand that.

CHAIRMAN: -- that you had that conversation verifying that you had told --
A. Yes. And I shouldn't have used the word "verifying". I accept that.
CHAIRMAN: I don't think you used the word "verifying", either way.
A. Well, I've just been --

CHAIRMAN: I am using the word "verifying" as making something out to be true. Now, you told me yesterday
that you made something out to be true, which was that you'd spoken to Chief Superintendent McLoughlin in the last number of weeks, that he had come to you and that he had reminded you that you had had a conversation with him some time previously, which you're identifying 14:05 as some time during the currency of the o'Higgins Commission.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: which lasted up until Apri1 2016, from the

3rd February 2015. The conversation that you allege took place was with Cyril Dunne and you say it was on the 13th May 2015. And you say that he actually came to you to say you reported that conversation from Cyril Dunne to me. Now, I appreciate that, for all I know, you may be very good friends with Chief Superintendent McLoughlin, you don't want to disagree with him, but I'm in the unfortunate position where --
A. I understand.

CHAIRMAN: -- where I have two people telling me diametrically opposed things, and you seem to be telling me now something completely different to what you told me yesterday.
A. I do apologise.

CHAIRMAN: Don't worry about the apologies. The apology doesn't matter. what I actually want to know is what happened? what do you say happened now?
A. I accept Tony McLoughlin's version that I came to him. And the verification issue, I went to him to put this to him, as to whether or not -- that's the import of my 14:06 text. I was seeking to clarify what it is that he said. If I misled the Tribunal yesterday, I do apologise.
CHAIRMAN: well, what are you saying now?
A. I'm saying that the Chief Superintendent is correct in his summary of the dialogue between us in the recent weeks.

CHAIRMAN: And about never speaking to you about Cyril Dunne during the currency?
A. I hold firmly to the view that there was a conversation in the currency of the Tribunal, and that was, you know, again, something that is in my recollection, but clearly Tony disagrees with me.
CHAIRMAN: But how could you get those two things so wrong?
A. Well --

CHAIRMAN: I mean, just look at it, if you wouldn't mind, at the moment, that yesterday you had a clear recollection of Chief Superintendent McLough1in coming to you and reminding you: you told me some time ago that you had had a conversation with Cyril Dunne and that he had said, "we are going after Maurice McCabe in the O'Higgins Commission"; secondly, that you actually had a conversation with Chief Superintendent McLough1in in or around several weeks of that conversation allegedly happening on the 15th May and telling Tony McLough1in: "I've just had a conversation in the last while with Cyril Dunne to say that --"
A. I have a clear --

CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just wait.
A. Sorry.

CHAIRMAN: "-- just to say that we are going after Maurice McCabe at the Commission." But, I mean, how can you get those two things -- we11, if you were sitting in my place, Mr. Barrett, what would you believe? How do you explain it or reconcile it on a human leve1? That is all I am asking you.
A. The reality, sir, is that this conversation, the
original conversation between Cyril Dunne and I took place much as I described it. The reality of the discussion thereafter was, $I$ did not, until several weeks after, understand -- the import of that conversation became clear to me as I understand what transpired in the o'Higgins Commission in the two or three weeks thereafter. In the period of weeks or -thereafter, I have a real clear understanding that I did speak to Chief Superintendent Tony McLough1in, and he doesn't have any recollection of it, clearly, from his notes as presented, and that $I$ sought to verify that with him in discussions in the last number of weeks as I prepared to come and give evidence to assist the Tribunal, and I'm at odds with Tony's report, but I wi11 accept Tony's report as it is much more current and recent and dated than mine.
CHAIRMAN: I don't think, Mr. Barrett, it's a question of accepting somebody's report. I mean, if you know there is a door behind you and somebody else reports that is an absolutely solid wall, you may well like the 14:09 person who is making the report that it is a solid wall, but you are still obliged to tell the truth. Now, what is the truth about this?
A. The truth of this matter is that in and around 13th June -- of May 2015, in a conversation in Cyril Dunne's 14:10 office, as I was about to leave, he mentioned to me "we're going after him in the Commission". And I expressed surprise at that particular statement and I responded to it in an immediate way. I proceeded
thereafter to make no note and to make no further comment on the matter. And in the two weeks there following, it was clear to me that there was some connection potentially between what was then happening in o'Higgins and what that might be, but it wasn't at all clear to me at that point in time. Over the succeeding weeks, I believe I had that conversation I described with Tony McLoughlin, which he denies, and I sought, in the weeks coming up to this sitting of the Tribunal where I was being called to give evidence, I sought to talk with Tony McLoughlin. And if I misled the Tribunal, I do apologise, and I clearly did because you've presented the transcripts to me. But the conversation with Mr. Dunne is a reality.
CHAIRMAN: I don't want to interrupt Mr. Dignam, and my 14:11 hope every day is to remain silent for the entire day, and I can't sometimes. But I did put the scenario to you, he's saying one thing, you're saying the other. If you know one thing to be true, you can't reduce things to a basis of mere belief or you can't say I now 14:11 accept somebody else 's report. If, for instance, you know that there is a door behind you and they are saying no, it's a solid wall, that's -- I have to report on reality, not on people's beliefs. So I think I'm going to leave it at that and let mr. Dignam continue.
MR. DIGNAM: Thank you, Chairman.
273 Q. Mr. Barrett, just to make clear, you're saying that you have a very clear recollection of a conversation which
happened some time around June 2015, this is the conversation with Chief Superintendent McLoughlin, where you say you told him that Mr. Dunne had said to you "we're going to go after him in the Commission", but you accept now that you got it completely wrong about a conversation which you had with chief Superintendent McLough1in in the last couple of months. I think Chief Superintendent McLough1in refers to a period November/December onwards, that is what you accept, is that right?
A. Yes, that is what I accepted.
Q. Now, could I just debunk this vagueness, Mr. Barrett, where you've fallen back, in response to the questions being asked by the Chairman, to saying that the conversation happened in or around the 13th May 2015. You were provided with two opportunities this morning while Mr. McDowell was asking you questions and while I was asking you questions, to say whether it might have been another day, and you have pinned it on the 13th May, and, in response to the question I asked you, you say that is the day the conversation took place or it didn't take place; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes. And I was with the Commissioner and Cyril Dunne on the night of the 12th, and I believe the conversation was on the 13th, and you've shown me a 14:13 diary which says it couldn't take place on the 13th.

275 Q. And Mr. McGuinness has shown you in sworn evidence that it couldn't have taken place that day because Ms. O'Sullivan was out of the country.
A. She was out of the country.
Q. It's not just a diary entry, Mr. Barrett. You attempted to rely solely on it being a diary entry --
A. No, I wasn't seeking to be obtuse.

277 Q. Now, just a couple of matters of fact, Mr. Barrett.
You said in your evidence this morning at page 22, in relation to your relationship with Mr. Dunne, that you frequently engaged on things, it was an open relationship. I think there are other matters on the public record which demonstrate the degree to which you collaborated. And I have no reason to suggest to you that you hadn't got a close working relationship and collaborated on a lot of things. Is it correct to say, Mr. Barrett, that there are also matters on the public record on which you disagreed?
A. We did come to disagree on some things, yeah.
Q. In public, isn't that right, in a public forum?
A. In public and after the fact in relation to matters that we were dealing with, which happened after this particular set of events.
279 Q. And, in fact, what you had said about Mr. Dunne was, in fact, shown to be incorrect, isn't that right?
A. What did I say about Mr. Dunne?

280 Q. You had suggested that he hadn't provided information to an audit committee, and in fact it turned out that he had, isn't that right?
A. That's not correct.

281 Q. So what did you disagree about?
A. We disagreed about the approach in making public
certain matters of financial irregularity at the Garda College.
282 Q. Now, just for completeness' sake, Mr. Barrett, I think you're aware, and you have touched on it in your own evidence, in fairness, Mr. Dunne denies that he said this to you and says that he had no basis for saying that?
A. Yes, I understand that.

You've seen the statement. I want to put that to you. Ms. O'Sullivan, who you say was at the meeting, but you go further than that, because you've said, if not in words then by implication, that she made the decision to go after --
A. I haven't said that.

284 Q. Sorry, who made the decision, sorry?
A. I would suspect the "we" there reflected the executive, perhaps, in the organisation. It didn't get specified. But "we" is a collective.
Q. Okay. So the extent of your belief is that you would imagine that that was the case?
A. No. But he didn't say I made the decision. It was "we".
Q. Well, in any event, I'm sure you've heard the evidence?
A. And the "we" could have been a collective involving me as well.

287 Q. So you've heard the evidence. Ms. O'Sullivan has denied --
A. I understand.

288 Q. -- that there was any decision to go after or to attack

Sergeant McCabe.
A. I understand that.
Q. And you've heard that she, in fact, wasn't in the country. So you came yesterday, Mr. Barrett, on the basis of a statement which you gave in Apri1 2017, and you gave further information which was significant, and one piece of information which was quite startling, I have to say to you, was that this meeting happened on the 13th May, which, as you know, because you have watched the Tribunal carefully, is a significant date. That was the first time you told us that date. But it's also a significant date in the chronology that this Tribunal is investigating. Do you appreciate that?
A. I think the entire week is significant in the history of the Tribunal, yes.
Q. Now, if I could just try and summarise, Mr. Barrett, and I will conclude with this and I want to get your comment on this. You're an experienced, mature, independent professional. You have vast business
experience in a variety of different types of companies in different areas of the world. You have shown yourself, and in fact in one of your comments in your evidence $I$ think pride yourself on being a detailed note-taker and conveyor of information. Your memos of your meetings with Sergeant McCabe give full information about the discussions that you have with Sergeant McCabe, they recite narrative details or surrounding circumstances, such as comments on the
light as you're departing Sergeant McCabe's home, such as the apple tart that you were fortunate enough to enjoy on your visits, and the condition in which you found Sergeant McCabe, which is why you were there in your welfare role. A statement is made to you, which you say happened on the 13th May, which is directed at, and which means, that something is going to be done to or with an employee, and you're responsible for the employees as the human resources director, which is significant, even if only in the context of the work that you've been doing for a few months before that, but you don't note it and you don't report it, despite your detailed note-taking on other occasions. when, on your evidence, when its significance becomes apparent to you in the couple of weeks after that, you don't note it and you don't report it. You then make a statement to this Tribunal and you don't tell the Tribunal when the comment by Mr. Dunne is alleged to have been made and you don't tell the Tribunal either in April 2017, and we've heard your explanation for that, but, more importantly, you don't tell the Tribunal when your solicitor writes in on the 17th January 2018, eight months after you made your original statement. You then tell the Tribunal when you come to give evidence that it was, in fact, on a day, 13th May, 14:19 when the Commissioner, who you say was at a meeting with you on that day, wasn't even in the country, the meeting with Ms. O'Sullivan couldn't have happened, and that's at a time when some of the evidence has already
been heard. The Tribunal has already been sitting for three or four days at that stage. And the one person who you identify as (a) you telling about what Mr. Dunne has had to say and (b) as you say, verified to you that you had that conversation with him, (a) doesn't remember that you told him in the first place and (b) denies that he verified to you that you had that conversation in the first place. So that seems to me to be a summary of your evidence, Mr. Barrett. Now, I have to put it to you that what emerges from that is that the comment by Mr. Dunne simply couldn't have been made by him when you suggest it was made, or at all, and that you're wrong in saying that he made it?
A. Can I say this: I'm here for one reason and one reason only, and that is to tell the truth as $I$ know it. The details of when this comment was made to me was something that I had to triangulate or to go back and try and place at a point in time. I've placed it at a point in time on 13th May, and the comment I have said took place on that date, and, as you said, I've been given an opportunity not to hold with it. I was with the Commissioner and Cyril Dunne on the evening of the 12th. And I very much regret not saying "on or about", because I do agree with you, my specificity, and my specificity is a real issue. However, that comment was 14:21 made, I responded to it, and simply noted it. It wasn't a subject of a meeting. It was a comment en passant, the importance of which became clear to me later. That is my evidence. And I am seeking to make
it plain and clear. I accept the points that you're making, I accept that Tony's version of events in relation to the most recent set of comments, he has no memory of the discussion that took place probably in the months after the commencement of O'Higgins, and that is his memory and he can give evidence on that. I'm in no doubt, and I want to make this abundantly clear, and I do it for reasons to assist the Tribunal, I am in no doubt that this was said to me, and I make the point purely for the record. And, Mr. Dignam, I accept the skill of your cross-examination, I respect the points that you raise, but the reality is, the comment was made, and I'm giving evidence of it despite the difficulties of context that you point to.
291 Q. Yes. Mr. Barrett, just to conclude, there's no reason to regret not saying "in or around" or "on or around", because, in fact, you do say that several times. But then on page 200 of your transcript yesterday --
A. Yes.

292 Q. -- you say it was on that date.
A. Yes.

293 Q. Under cross-examination by Mr. McDowe11 and under cross-examination by me, you have said it was the 13th May.
A. I understand that, I understand that. And I do want the matters to be dealt with in the context of my statement of April, which made clear that this statement was made to me, and it was. MR. DIGNAM: Thank you, Mr. Barrett.

294 Q. MR. ROGERS: Good afternoon, Mr. Barrett. A number of propositions have been put to you shortly -- a short time ago by Mr. Dignam, and one of them is that the Commissioner couldn't have been here on the 13th May. Now, we would appear to have two contradictory statements in that regard; one is that, this morning, you were furnished with extracts from the
Commissioner's diary which appeared to show that she left London at $17: 35 \mathrm{pm}$, 4989, which would allow her to be in Dublin by half past six that day and which would probably allow her to be in Garda $H Q$ by 7 pm that day. In answering Mr. Dignam, have you considered that matter?
A. Yes, I have. And many of the emails that I've referred to and offered the Tribunal this morning in the overnight request that was made, are written well after that hour. There are emails written at eight o'clock, nine o'clock. So it's not unheard of to have people in 14:24 the organisation --

295 Q. Yes.
A. Truthfully, I work long hours, I could have easily been, you know, at my desk in around the organisation long beyond that.
296 Q. I wonder could 4985 be put up, please. Do you see that email dated 13th May? It hasn't come up yet. Thank you. I'm looking for an email from John Barrett, on 4985, to the Commissioner and Cyril Dunne on 13th May

2015 at nine minutes past seven. Mr. McGillicuddy thinks it's higher up the page. The other way, please. To the top of the page. Now, do you see that, Mr. Barrett?
A. I do indeed, sir.

297 Q. Is that your email?
A. It is.

298 Q. It's addressed to the Commissioner and Cyril Dunne?
A. It is.

299 Q. Copies to Mr. Walsh, that's Frank Walsh, is it?
A. It is.

300 Q. Fiona Broderick. Is that the day you mention?
A. That is the day I mention, yeah.

301 Q. Is it possible there was a discussion between you and Mr. Dunne later that day?
A. It is possible indeed.

302 Q. Is that the day that you believe that you heard from mr . Dunne the words that are in controversy?
A. Yes, I think it is. This is a rather illegible copy and I just want to make certain that I can see.
Perhaps I could have a hard copy of that.
MR. McGUINNESS: volume 9.
A. Oh, it's in the volume, is it? volume 9. And page number?
MR. ROGERS: 4985.
A. 4985. Yes.

303 Q. I think that that arose out of a discussion with a Mr. Banks in the Department on the previous day, the 12th May, concerning promotions, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

304 Q. And it appears that you were seeking to communicate with Mr. Dunne and the Commissioner that evening of the 13th at five past seven?
A. Yes.

So the Commissioner's counsel has put it to you that she wasn't in the country that evening. It appears from her diary that she left London at 17:35, and you have been, I suppose, cross-examined vigorously, is the way we might describe it, to the effect that you must be wrong about the date, but yet it appears possible that the Commissioner was actually in the country, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

306 Q. Mr. Barrett, do you have the sense that you may have been cross-examined on a false premise?
MR. DIGNAM: Chairman, I was very careful to put it to Mr. Barrett that, in fact, Ms. O'Sullivan wasn't in the country that day. I didn't say at any stage she wasn't there in the evening, so there is no false premise. But I would also draw your attention to page 15 of the transcript where Mr. Barrett said that the time of the meeting, "I understand the time of the meeting was in the evening", but he went on to say "close to the end of the day". Now, if he's going to suggest that "close 14:29 to the end of the day" is up to midnight at some stage, then he could have said that in his evidence-in-chief. I should also say, Chairman, that our instructions are, to the extent that that will be suggested,

Ms. O'Sullivan will say that she wasn't in Garda Headquarters that evening.
MR. ROGERS: Well, with respect, My Friend cross-examined on the basis that the Commissioner was not in the country and he relied on a transcript to cross-examine my witness on that basis, and I am suggesting a cross-examination was conducted here on a false premise. And I am not asking My Friend, I am asking Mr. Barrett to deal with that. Can he help whether the Commissioner was there that night or not. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Well, one of the things $I$ have been looking for is as to whether there is any reply to that, and I know that, on some devices, such as the device you might carry in your pocket, it says on it, if you are replying from that device, sent from my something, my iPad, whatever. Now, there doesn't seem to be a reply to that. Secondly, I'm actually very familiar with flights to London, for personal reasons that I'm not going to go into, and I know that Ryanair have this very, very irritating trumpet call, having announced the time of the flight to be about 20 minutes longer than it actually takes, and then you arrive and you have to listen to this thing and then it takes you, and again I'm very familiar with this, waiting for people, 20 minutes to half an hour to get to the outside. So let's suppose the very best, an hour and ten minutes, plus 20 minutes, plus certainly half an hour, if you have a car, to get to Garda Headquarters. It is possible, but it makes it a good half an hour
later. Now, vis-à-vis words that are being bandied around here, I'm afraid I'm reminded of a cantata by Pablo Casals, which contains the words for the United Nations delegates "beware of words for with words we lie". I think we have to be very careful of our words. I don't want the ultimate nightmare scenario to be emerging here that there was some unfairness to somebody. of course none of this can be leaked because it's all in public. But, if necessary, $I$ will put the whole audio --

MR. ROGERS: Sorry?
CHAIRMAN: If necessary, I will put the whole audio in the public domain, if necessary. I don't believe there was any (a) vigorous cross-examination, if that is regarded as a pejorative word, I don't think it is, I think there was a proper cross-examination, and I don't think that there has been a cross-examination on a false premise either. It would help me if people just deal with the facts instead of perhaps making comments at each other.
MR. ROGERS: Judge, My Friend did very clearly state that Ms. O'Sullivan was not in the country, and relied on a transcript to that effect. Day 45.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Rogers, there's nothing wrong with what you say, there's nothing wrong with the line you have
taken. Please don't take me as saying that. There's nothing wrong with it. Ms. O'Sullivan could indeed have been here. MR. ROGERS: Sorry?

CHAIRMAN: But it was -- the evidence that I have so far is that the conversation took place towards close of business. Now, if it really is in or around half past seven, eight o'clock in the evening, and

Mr. Dunne, the Commissioner and Mr. Barrett are there together, it might be odd, might be unusual. It might be more memorable for that reason, I don't know. But again, $I$ try to look for externals that will help me, and I don't see any reply from the Commissioner "sent from my iPad", or a reply from the Commissioner which Superintendent McLoughlin some time about the time of the O'Higgins Commission regarding the statement you say Mr. Dunne made, is that correct?
A. That's correct, in the currency of the o'Higgins Commission, while it was sitting.

308 Q. So is the Chairman to take it that that is your belief, that you had such a discussion with Chief Superintendent McLough1in?
A. That is my belief.
Q. Yes.
A. That is my belief. relationship with Chief Superintendent Tony McLoughlin?
A. Yes. He's a member of my senior staff. Is your working relationship with him such that you wouldn't wish in any circumstance to be in dispute with him?
A. I regard him highly, yes. I would not like to be in dispute with Tony McLough1in. I regard him very highly. His integrity is of the highest order.
Q. I just want to check something, if I may, with the record. Could we have page 4988, please. Mr. Barrett, do you see 1 ine 62 there?
A. Yes.

313 Q. "The reason was I had an entry in my journal following a one-to-one meeting I had with John about Sergeant McCabe and he may not have been aware I had taken notes. This was a meeting on the 18th January 2017 at 4:30pm."

Do you see that?
A. I do.

314 Q. Do you recal1 that meeting?
A. Yes, I do. I recall that meeting.

315 Q. Did Chief Superintendent McLough1in discuss it with you?
A. Discuss what, specifically?
Q. date. Superintendent McLough1in is that the discussion you had with one another that day was very brief, both of you seemed to be going in different directions; you were going, apparently, to meet your counse1?
A. Yes. It was brief.

319 Q. And is this the -- this is a recent discussion. Is this a discussion in January this year?
A. It is.
Q. Right. It's Friday 26th January, is that correct?
A. If you tell me it's a Friday, yeah, it is.

321 Q. Yes. Do you have any difficulty with the date of the 18th January 2017, which is in line 64?
A. I have no -- I'11 need to understand what day the 18th January was. I tend to remember more clearly based on the days than dates.

CHAIRMAN: It can't be 2017, January 2017. I'm sorry
for interrupting, Mr. Rogers, but -MR. ROGERS: Not at all.
CHAIRMAN: -- it has quite often happened that people, including myself, have given the wrong year for something.

MR. ROGERS: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN: We're talking about this year, I presume? MR. ROGERS: Well, candidly I'm not clear about that, because I have had no previous knowledge of this meeting. There's something incongruous about that date.

CHAIRMAN: No, but let's say, as a matter of logic, someone is on the way to the Tribunal, they can't be before 17th February 2017.

MR. ROGERS: Exactly.
CHAIRMAN: So if this says January 2017, we're still early enough in the year when people haven't got used to 2018, so let's assume that it's this year. I presume you think it was this year as well, Mr. Barrett?
A. It makes no sense otherwise.
Q. MR. ROGERS: But if that is the case, what's the significance of the note Mr. McLough1in had composed?
A. I had no sight of this note, and, in my memory of any discussion I had with Tony at this time, I didn't see him take a note as we proceeded. So perhaps it's a note written subsequently, I don't know.

323 Q. Okay. We11, if we look at the bottom of this page, 4998, it does appear that Mr. McLough1in agrees with
your evidence that you had a conversation together before Christmas?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that right?
A. That's correct.

325 Q. And the difference between ye is in the final line of 4998 where Mr. McLough1in says that he has no recollection of you saying Mr. Dunne's comment to him before that, that's the difference between ye?
A. That's the essence of the difference between us, exactly.
Q. And that's all?
A. That's all.

327 Q. So quite apart from any difference between what you might have said here today and yesterday, that's the essence of the difference between you and Mr. McLough1in?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, you made your statement to the Tribunal on 27 th April 2017, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And Mr. Dunne made his statement to the Tribunal in what appears to have been a question-and-answer, very formal engagement between him and the Tribunal on the 2nd November 2017, is that right?
A. That's correct. I think he met with Tribunal officials. We didn't.

330 Q. So nobody knew what was the position of Mr. Dunne in respect of what you said he had said in 2015, at least
until the 2nd November last?
A. That would appear to be the case, yeah.
Q. And the first you knew about it was on the 19th December, or thereabouts?
A. or thereabouts, yeah.
A. No.
-- notwithstanding the fact that Mr. Dunne said in his statement that he didn't know the occasion of it, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

Now, your solicitor's letter of the 17th January 2018, which $I$ think is very plain on its face, it's a letter which, in the third paragraph, states:
"With this in mind we believe it may be of assistance to the Tribunal if we outline the scope of our client's 'dealings with Sergeant McCabe during the relevant
period along with the documentation which our client believes is relevant'."

That is what your letter, your solicitor's letter was about?
A. Correct, that is exactly it.
Q. Now, I think that your solicitor's letter of the 17th January, in effect was designed to advise the Tribunal of something that you have referred to as a cottage
industry?
A. That's correct.
Q. Yes. And although you use that sort of language sometimes, Mr. Barrett, that's not to suggest in any way that you see this as a lighthearted matter?
A. Absolutely not. There was a tremendous amount of determined effort by a number of people to ensure that the organisation's response to Sergeant McCabe was visibly different, qualitatively and quantitatively. well, we know that the Commissioner chose you to be what you have called yourself, an interlocutor, with Sergeant McCabe?
A. That's correct.
Q. And was that a different position from what chief Superintendent o'brien had had?
A. Well, Chief Superintendent 0 'brien had dealt with, there were some 14 issues that he was asked to investigate in a kind of investigatory manner. The intention, as I understand it, for my appointment, was that it wouldn't follow, if you like, an investigatory engagement; it would be more holistic, welfare-focused, under the umbrella of the 2014 Protected Disclosures Act, Sergeant McCabe having come under the protective effect of that legislation, and that I should be concerned for his welfare and his engagement with colleagues at work, etcetera.
339 Q. We11, I wonder could we just go back to this engagement of you on the 23rd February, and could you just remind the Tribunal of the events which had preceded it so
that we can get a sense of why this added layer had to be added to what Chief Superintendent Barry o'brien was doing. Am I right that there was a meeting with Mr. McCabe, Sergeant McCabe and his solicitor and the Commissioner and Assistant Commissioner Fanning and Deputy Commissioner Twomey and Ken Ruane and Chief Superintendent McLoughlin and Superintendent Frank walsh on the 12th February 2015?
A. That's correct. And my apologies, I think you're noted at that meeting. I was unable to attend.
340 Q. We11, that seems an extraordinary panoply of officers to have at a meeting with a garda sergeant and his solicitor. What was going on?
A. There was extensive correspondence opened by Sergeant McCabe to a number of officers of State - the Taoiseach, the Minister, the Commissioner, concerning matters that were both workplace-related and matters of publication which had occurred in September 2014 in the 'Garda Review', and he was expressing the view that the organisation, I think his solicitor actually summed it up rather well and said the response of the organisation was not sufficient, and these -- I think, harassment is continuing, is the theme that is visible in those pieces of correspondence to all those parties.
341 Q. Chairman, yesterday, at the end of the business, you mentioned the 'Garda Review' material. I don't know whether the Tribunal has it? I haven't seen this 'Garda Review' material. What was the nature of what was published on the 23rd September 2014?
A. We11, the September edition of the 'Garda Review' contained two articles, one by the editor of the 'Garda Review' and the other by the General Secretary of the Garda association, the --
Q. GRA?
A. -- GRA, Mr. PJ Stone, and Mr. Hand, who I think was then the editor. And these articles were found to be offensive by Sergeant McCabe. He was quite animated about it and he wrote extensively seeking that this matter be dealt with.

343 Q. Can you give the Tribunal some indication of what was the tenor of the article, such that Sergeant McCabe was bothered?
A. Sergeant McCabe was of the view that the articles were critical of him in light of some of the outputs of the Guerin Report and that he felt it unfair to the position that Guerin had taken and that he had sought to have vindicated on many previous occasions concerning the activities of the Bailieboro station. The article was less than sympathetic, in his view, to his efforts.
344 Q. And there was a further article then, was there, on the 4th January 2015?
A. There was an article on the 4th January, in the early spring, on ethics generally, but that wouldn't have been something that he was critical of. He would have been, I think, more animated about the September edition with those two articles both published in the same edition.

MR. MCDOWELL: Chairman, it would be a help to me to follow this if we could have a copy of this.
CHAIRMAN: Thanks. I did inquire about that and apparently we do have those articles. I don't actually think I read them apart -- perhaps I glanced at them. But they do exist. If necessary, we can circulate them, but I don't think it is actually crucial to this. MR. McDOWELL: Just so I can understand them.
CHAIRMAN: Sure. Let's take them at their worst. MR. MCDOWELL: whatever that is.

CHAIRMAN: A11 right, whatever it is, that Mr. Stone was saying things that were whatever. Now, I'm not saying anything against Mr. Stone, so please don't get me wrong. I'm just saying, that let's suppose that whatever way they were phrased was something from which one could legitimately take offence, whether it was correct or incorrect.
346 Q. MR. ROGERS: I think that Sergeant McCabe interpreted these publications as "ongoing harassment" and that there is an email of the 9th January 2015 to the Department of Justice and the Commissioner referring to these publications. I think it's 3010. If we could see that. 9th January 2015. There's an acknowledgment there, and it reads -- the email from Maurice McCabe reads:
"Still being harassed, victimised, set upon and bullied, yet no one has any solutions. We met the

Garda Commissioner 1ast Thursday and I can describe that meeting as 'ticking the boxes'."

Was this part of the background into which you entered?
A. Yes, this was one stream, as Mr. McGuinness made the point this morning, there was one set of grievances emerging from the publications and from the belief that nothing was being done on foot of those publications, and also, the second stream was relating to the work environment where certain of the station party in Mullingar were not treating the sergeant with the necessary respect and contrary to our Dignity at Work policy.
347 Q. And if we put up 3002 for a moment, please. Was there a meeting on the 15th January again with Sergeant McCabe and his solicitor?
A. Yes.

348 Q. The Deputy Commissioner Twomey and Chief Superintendent McLough1in?
A. Yes.

349 Q. And you see the first indent there:
"The main issue discussed concerned the working environment that the member finds himself in and his view of a lack of meaningful local intervention and
A. Yes.

350 Q. "Sergeant McCabe has to attend court before a District judge against whom he had identified in his previous
reports."

I don't think we need to read on. But that is the tenor of what was going on at the time. And although there was direct contact between Assistant Commissioner Twomey and officers of that leve1, Chief Superintendent McLoughlin, in January 2015, when we get to the 12th February 2015 it was necessary to have a meeting with the Commissioner
A. That's correct.

351 Q. And the officers I mentioned earlier, including Assistant Commissioner Fanning?
A. Correct.
Q. Could you just tell us, was Assistant Commissioner Fanning your predecessor in the office of human
A. He was.

353 Q. He was the assistant commissioner charged with your job?
A. Correct.

354 Q. So you're the first civilian holding that office?
A. That's correct. It was recommended in the aftermath of the 2005 Act by the implementation body led by Maurice Hayes. That report came out in 2007. I began in 2014. February 2015, at 3010. This is an email to the Minister for Justice from Sergeant McCabe, and it does appear as though, at this point, Sergeant McCabe began to direct himself to officers of State outside An Garda

Síochána, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in that email he said he was:
"Still being harassed, victimised, set upon and bullied, yet no one has any solutions. We met the Garda Commissioner last Thursday and I can describe the meeting as 'ticking the boxes'."

Is that right?
A. That's correct.

357 Q. So on the 21st I think there was a further email from him to the minister for Justice, and that's at 3009. I wonder could you read that email, because it seems that this is the immediate background to the minister
calling on the Commissioner to make a report to the Minister, is that right?
A. It is. It is part of that general backdrop. It may not be the last such correspondence, but it's certainly an influencer leading to that request.
358 Q. Yes.
A. "Dear minister, I refer to previous emails and complaints. Still being harassed, victimised, set upon and bullied, yet no one has any solutions. We met with the Garda Commissioner last Thursday and I can describe 14:57 the meeting as 'ticking the boxes'. It is very unfair. Maurice McCabe."

359 Q. Yes. I think that is the email of the 19th February?
A. That's correct.
A. Yeah.

I think we can get the flavour of it if we perhaps look at the last six or seven lines:
"I have rights and employment rights to work in a stress- and harassment-free environment. Well, I thought I had, but I was wrong. Maybe that doesn't work in Ireland. I really did believe you or the Garda Commissioner could protect these rights, but I was wrong again. Anyway, I'11 end this email with one question for you to answer: Do you think it was worth it, reporting wrongdoing and coming forward?"

That seemed to carry the implication that his position as somebody who had made a disclosure had been damaging to him?
A. That's correct. That is my reading of it.
Q. Right. On the same day, I think there was an email to the Commissioner, which I won't ask you to look at, but then on the 22nd he makes an email again to the Commissioner at three minutes to midnight, about ongoing harassment. This is at 3012. And this is the email in which he indicated he had left his work on the previous day because of harassment. Do you see that?
A. Yes, sir.

363 Q. This is the 22nd.
A. Yes. At 23:57.
Q. Yes. Now, this is directed to Commissioner o'sullivan and he's referring to his family and his employment rights all being affected as a direct result of continued harassment, you seem to be unable to stop it. 15:00 All of this seems to suggest that this man perceived that the organisation was bent on harassing him and that the officer core of the organisation was unable to control that. Is that right?
A. That's right. That's exactly the tenor of his correspondence.
Q. I think it was in this context that you got an email from Chief Superintendent Frank walsh giving you a direction to make direct contact with Mr. McCabe, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. I think there's -- first of all, Mr. McCabe, Sergeant McCabe was told that that was underway, is that right?
A. That's right. He received an independent email advising.
Q. Yeah. Now, although that was underway and although the Department was informed that you had been directed to engage with Mr. McCabe, I think you had phoned him on the 24th, is that right?
A. That's correct. On foot of Frank Walsh's email, I called him on the 24th February and we spoke on the telephone for a little while.

368 Q. Yeah. And notwithstanding that, though, Sergeant McCabe, on 3017, sent a further email to the

Commissioner, is that right? would this have been before or after your --
A. It looks after. It's 23:31. Yes, this is again at half eleven in the day -- in the evening?
A. In the evening.

370 Q. And you would have spoken to him by this time, would you?
A. Yes.

371 Q. So notwithstanding whatever reassuring voice you had been able to give him, he's referring to, in the third paragraph there:
"Today's articles and the statements made by Garda Stone against me and minister Fitzgerald are defamatory 15:02 insulting and are evidence that the Garda Representative Association would resist change by attacking anyone who reports malpractice or who highlights the need for cultural change in An Garda síochána."

And it goes on. He says in the next line that:
"Garda Stone had publicly demonised me for making a protected disclosure and availing of the Protected Disclosure Act 2014."

So, notwithstanding your call, it looks like Sergeant McCabe was grievously upset and a man of action who
was, day and night, now communicating with officers in the guards and officers of state about his plight, is that right?
A. That is correct.

372 Q. Yes. So I think then that it was arranged by you that there would be a meeting with Sergeant McCabe on the 25th?
A. That's right. That was the purpose of my call. And I wonder would you just tell us how that meeting came to happen. What happened before it?
A. There was a briefing meeting in the office of Cyril Dunne before it on the -- the meeting took place at 2:30, so, shortly before that, Barry O'Brien, the Commissioner and I and Cyril had a pre-meeting, wherein -- and it was for my benefit because I had never met Sergeant McCabe before this. I was being given a briefing as to what to expect, how it is that he might respond to the difficult questions, that the prospect existed that I may be recorded, that he was likely to be furtive in his response to difficult
questions if I was to challenge him, and that the meeting would likely last no more than 45 minutes to an hour.

374 Q. Now, an essential formal background to this meeting that we can't ignore, is that, by this stage, the Minister had formally written to the Commissioner, is that right?
A. That's right. On the morning of the meeting, and this may account for the amount of time devoted on the day
of the 25 th February by the number of senior people that were clustered around this event, the Minister wrote I think in very clear terms to the Commissioner and said that she wanted a response from the Commissioner on the outstanding matters of concern to Sergeant McCabe.
Q.

So there was ministerial concern, and this briefing you had, you were being briefed in the context of you having knowledge of that senior departmental and ministerial concern?
A. Yes. I think that we would have seen the prospect of this letter coming from a couple of days back, because of the frequency with which Sergeant McCabe was corresponding with officers of State. I think the Taoiseach might have received correspondence, also.
There was real concern to ensure that we had an approach in dealing with Sergeant McCabe's issues that was comprehensive.
Q. Well, before you went to the meeting with Sergeant McCabe, did you have any sense of how long it would 1ast?
A. No, I didn't, quite frankly. I had taken the Commissioner and Mr. Dunne at their word, that it probably would last about an hour, and, you know, I had no idea. In fact, at that meeting I said let's take preempt how it might turn out. I thought it would simply be a case of let's see what he's got to say.
377 Q. And was your deal with Sergeant McCabe that the meeting
would occur with him unaccompanied? Did you presume him having a solicitor --
A. No, no, the previous meetings that you've referred to in January, at both those sessions I think he was accompanied by Mr. Costello, his solicitor. And the expectation was that he would be so accompanied at the meeting on the 25 th also, that having had a solicitor at the two previous meetings, he would again attend accompanied.
378 Q.
Well, you met him, and I think we know that the meeting lasted two-and-three-quarter hours, or thereabouts?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And the meeting is recorded in your minute of -- I think it's a minute prepared some while later, about the 10th, the following month, was it?
A. Yes, sir.

380 Q. And it's number 3019. I just want to get from you, before I ask you about this, the content of this, because there are some references in it to the Commissioner. What did you understand the
Commissioner's disposition to be in advance of this meeting and what did you understand the nature of her instruction to you as to how to deal with sergeant McCabe?
A. I think the Commissioner was very -- being helpful to me in briefing me in that pre-meeting with Cyril Dunne in Cyril's office, and Barry o'brien likewise, that there was a practice, and it was well known, that I might be recorded, that, you know, Sergeant McCabe may
respond in a particular way. I saw that as nothing being but helpful. In relation to the amount of energy that was clearly now beginning to be invested in dealing with all of the various issues, the Barry O'Brien agenda and others, I was persuaded that there was a commitment to do something that was different than had been done before in seeking to address these matters. So I was not in any way concerned for the briefing that I got with respect, you know, let's get something done here.
381 Q. Right. We11, if we just look at the meeting, because there are some matters I just want to raise with you about it.
A. Sure.

382 Q. Who was this minute written for?
A. This is a record of the meeting to report essentially on what it was in very holistic terms, what took place at the meeting, what Sergeant McCabe said to Barry and I. I would have, you know, written a draft of this and given Barry's side of it to make certain that he'd covered all the various elements. It's written for the record essentially to note what happened over a lengthy meeting at a point at which clearly the Minister was beginning to express some real frustration or concern about our progress.
383 Q. Yeah. We11, the meeting seems to have focused at the outset on the difficulties Sergeant McCabe was having in Mullingar, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. In the next paragraph, there's a reference to Sergeant McCabe expressing his pleasure at being invited to the meeting and how he spoke enthusiastically for the need for change, which seems to be a theme of this record, is that right?
A. It is. The response from Sergeant McCabe was that many of the actions he had taken, going right back to the very beginning of his concerns in Bailieboro, were about improving the job, and I think that's captured somewhere in the minute, and so change is something that he identified with, and I think we, you know, as an organisation, perhaps didn't recognise to the degree working with the Commissioner, Chief Superintendent O'Brien and others to ensure that any oppression, perceived or real, in the workplace was unacceptable and that I was committed to doing what I can in my role to ensure that the dignity and contribution of all our employees was fully respected and reported."

Was that your warrant?
A. Yes. That was very much what I understood my challenge to be and that's what I put to Sergeant McCabe. I wanted to assure him that there was an alignment of commitment to getting these things resolved.
that Sergeant McCabe wanted recognition for the contribution that he himself had made to change, and that's very clearly noted in this minute for purpose.
Do you see in that paragraph where he expressed reservations about the Commissioner's commitment and his regret that he endorsed her, did you try to turn him on that?
A. My brief was from the Commissioner. These are his words. I was endorsing the move that the Commissioner was making. We were moving towards, as I saw it, a very changed environment. My appointment was part of that. So I was very supportive of the idea that we are all aligned around what needs to be done here for Sergeant McCabe and for the organisation at large in making change happen. And I spoke on that, just to confirm the point, some time later at the Garda College, and I think that was a submission that we included at some remove.

387 Q. I will come to that. If you look at the next two paragraphs, at the foot of the page, 3019 into the following page and then the following paragraph, those are passages in which the sergeant is describing problems he is having in February, February 11th --
A. Yes.

388 Q. -- and February 25th of 2015, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. I was specifically asked to raise those matters with the sergeant when the letter -- or the email that I received from Frank walsh instructed me to engage. They were matters that occurred
actually, it's the 21st rather than the 25th February. It was a Saturday night in Mullingar station, where Sergeant McCabe had some issues with comments made by a colleague, a sergeant, and that resulted in he leaving work and going home, and that was one of the presenting 15:13 circumstances that were immediate backdrop to this meeting.
389 Q. Yes. There's this very, possibly, hurtful remark, in the middle of the paragraph, at the top of page 3020, where it would appear an inspector had said something like Sergeant McCabe "was a rash all over this"?
A. That's correct.

390 Q. Is this one of the matters he complained about?
A. It is. And one of the things that struck me about this was, I put it forcibly to Sergeant McCabe that, you know, that, in itself, is not a very hugely offensive remark in the scheme of things, and what impressed me was the authenticity of his response to say, well, you need to consider this in the context of six years of dealing with like harassment of one form or another, and it wasn't demonstrated in furtive behaviour or withdrawal, he dealt with it in a very thoughtful and considered way, and it gave me to believe that he was sincere in all of the approach that he was taking to the matters that we were just dealing with.
well, the result of that, anyway, was that he had had to excuse himself from work, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

392 Q. And notwithstanding that, there's an exchange between
you and him in the following paragraph, in the fourth line of it, page 3020. You say:
"Notwithstanding his real concerns, they would not justify both the writing of letters to the Taoiseach, the Minister and Commissioner. You advised Sergeant McCabe that in a career as long as his, that I was certain that many far more challenging things had been said about me personally than you are like a rash all over this. And I could not say that I reacted in a manner likened to this. I indicated that he may find my comments provocative and a pushback, but that I do see the need to question the proportionality of his subsequent actions."

It looks, from that, that you were fronting up with Sergeant McCabe in an attempt to bring some proportionality to his thinking?
A. Yes, yes, that's precisely what I was doing. I mean, the requirement in circumstances like this and I'm meeting the sergeant for the first time, was to challenge the parameters of his actions and responses. was he such a delicate violet that he couldn't deal with these matters in the normal cut and thrust? And I think his response to that was the first real occasion 15:16 where I was surprised, to be honest, and surprised positively, that he had the kind of reflective intuition to be able to say, look, this is a cumulative effect, and he was persuasive in that regard and I
think I note that in the rest of the following paragraph.
393 Q. That's right. And he goes on to say that because of his having pointed out that somebody locally had six penalty points cancelled, he had not been forgiven, or words to that effect, isn't that right?
A. That's right.

394 Q. And you went on then to point out the array of senior personnel that were addressing his issues, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

395 Q. And he seemed to think that the approach that was being taken was simply 'for effect', window dressing, as it were, is that right?
A. That's what he said.
Q. Yes. Now, if you go over the page at 3021, there's a reference to the GPSU investigation. Could you just explain to the Chairman what that is?
A. Garda Professional Standards Unit is an organisation which looks at and conducts inspections in various parts of the Garda organisation. It was tasked, somewhere in the middle of 2014, to conduct a review of certain of the breaches in the penalty points, cancellations that had been highlighted by the work done by Sergeant McCabe in the public domain. The work 15:18 was undertaken by GPSU and there was a full review of many of the data sets that were taken from Pulse by Sergeant McCabe to prove his thesis or to support his thesis that this was still continuing. And there had
been no fewer than four HQ directives. These are statements from the apex of the organisation not to cancel penalty points, under only the most defined of circumstances. And that is what GPSU were
investigating.

Superintendent Cunningham?
MR. MCGUINNESS: No, retired Chief Superintendent Rooney.
CHAIRMAN: How long do you anticipate his evidence will be? Let's please bear in mind that, as I have said
before, if someone has legal professional privilege, they have legal professional privilege. MS. LEADER: Yes, sir, I'm taking the former chief superintendent through his evidence. I think about half an hour, but I will truncate what -- I won't open too many documents.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. And do you think you could truncate that even more? $I^{\prime m}$ looking at the time now. MS. LEADER: I will try my best. He has to be
cross-examined as we11, obvious7y.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. McDowe11, do you have many questions for Chief Superintendent Rooney?
MR. MCDOWELL: I will have a few questions, but I do want to make it clear that $I$ will respect what you've said about privilege.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. I think we all have to, Mr. McDowell. MR. MCDOWELL: I'm not going to attempt any clever circumvention of that.
CHAIRMAN: I think it's probably right, Mr. Rogers, you'11 be another hour, perhaps, will you?

MR. ROGERS: Probably 45 minutes to 50 minutes.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. A11 right. I'm afraid we're going to have to break, Mr. Barrett, I'm sorry for having to ask you to come back. You can do it on Monday or Tuesday, 15:20 whichever is more convenient to you. Maybe you will discuss that with your solicitor and just send a note to the Tribunal. So I think we should do that now. I mean, we can't do otherwise.

THE WITNESS STOOD DOWN

MR. MCDOWELL: Chairman, just arising out of that, Ms. Leader can lead the witness, so to speak, until somebody says it's excessive, as far as I'm concerned. now of, unless --
MS. LEADER: Headline events.
CHAIRMAN: I think it really is headline events, and if
there is headline correspondence, appreciating that I'm familiar with a great deal of it, and it's, I suppose, the point of the correspondence as opposed to the actual text thereof that seems to be important.
MS. LEADER: Mr. Colm Rooney, please.

MR. COLM ROONEY, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER:

397 Q. MS. LEADER: Mr. Rooney, you retired from the guards at 15:22 the rank of chief superintendent, is that correct?
A. That's correct, Judge, yes.

398 Q. And you held the position of chief superintendent in the Cavan-Monaghan division, as I understand it?
A. Yes, I did.

399 Q. When did you take up that position, Chief Superintendent?
A. In 1994.

400 Q. And when did you retire?
A. I retired in 2011.

401 Q. So, as chief superintendent, you were familiar with the investigation carried out by Inspector Cunningham into allegations made by Ms. D concerning Sergeant McCabe?
A. Yes, I was.

402 Q. And indeed you appointed Chief Superintendent
Cunningham to investigate that allegation?
A. Inspector Cunningham, I did, yes.

403 Q. At that time. And you were aware of the directions of the DPP which issued in 2007, is that correct?
A. Yes, I was.
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And you were clear in your head that these complaints were made in relation to the D family and were complaints made directed at the $D$ family and certain issues with regard to Mr. D that Sergeant McCabe had?
A. Yes.

407 Q. And I think you in due course, having referred the matter to the then assistant commissioner in the northern region, asked Superintendent Cunningham to investigate those complaints made, is that correct?
A. Yes, I did.

408 Q. And those complaints, to be perfectly clear about it, were to Superintendent Clancy concerning the D family?
A. That's correct.

409 Q. And in due course, did you become aware of
A. Yes, I was, Judge.

410 Q. And that report is the report in September 2008 completed by Superintendent Cunningham?
A. That's correct.

411 Q. Now, separately from those complaints you were no doubt also aware that Sergeant McCabe brought certain matters to the attention of Superintendent Clancy in January of 2008 relating to policing standards, if I can put it that way, in Bailieboro?
A. Yes, I was. I became aware of them much later. I know 15:25 Sergeant McCabe wrote to me from Mullingar while he was stationed there and he attached a copy of his report of January 2008 to the correspondence, and that was the first occasion that I saw that report. When Superintendent Clancy received that report at the time in 2008, he did not forward that to me or he didn't discuss it with me.

412 Q. Okay. Those complaints were subsumed into a much longer statement dated 28th Apri1 2008, is that correct, by Sergeant McCabe, in relation to policing standards and certain investigations?
A. I didn't see that report.

413 Q. You didn't see that report?
A. I didn't see that.

414 Q. I suppose you now know, I suppose, that that report formed the basis of the Byrne/McGinn investigation?
A. Yes, I do.

415 Q. And you're familiar with it?
A. Yes, I am.

416 Q. And you were familiar with that at the time of the O'Higgins Commission in 2015?
A. Yes, I was.

417 Q. And that was a very separate stream of matters that Sergeant McCabe was concerned about, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

418 Q. And you were always clear about that?
A. Yes.

419 Q. Am I correct about that? Now, that April statement of 2008 formed the basis of the Byrne/McGinn investigation, and no doubt you're familiar with that, as the chief superintendent in the region that Assistant Commissioner Byrne and Chief Superintendent McGinn were investigating into?
A. Yes, I was.

420 Q. Now, following on that investigation, reference has been made to a circular that you sent around various -to the Gardaí following on the Byrne/McGinn investigation. You already made a statement to the Tribunal about that circular. Could you explain how that circular came about?
A. The assistant commissioner visited my office on the 24th June 2011. He didn't have an appointment to see me, but he had business in the station dealing with other matters, and he dropped into my office and he told me --
CHAIRMAN: Because there's a personnel change, maybe you would tell me the name of the assistant commissioner you are talking about?
A. Assistant Commissioner Derek Byrne.

CHAIRMAN: Right. So it's the Byrne/McGinn report, is that correct?
A. Yes, the Byrne/McGinn report. And he called into my office on the 24th June 2011. As I said, he had no prior appointment to see me, he was in the station on other business, and we had a short meeting in relation to when he came to see me. He told me that the allegations that were made against me by Sergeant McCabe were not upheld by his investigation. And the Assistant Commissioner went on to say that his investigation was now complete and he said his findings were approved by the Garda Commissioner and he concluded that there were no criminal conduct identified on the part of any member of Bailieboro district force. He said there was no systematic failures identified in the management and administration of Bailieboro district, apart from a number of minor procedural issues.
421 Q. MS. LEADER: And what did you understand those minor procedural issues to be, Mr. Rooney?
A. Well, my understanding of the minor procedural issues were that there were a number of disciplinary investigations carried out in respect of the findings of Byrne/McGinn. They were of a non-serious nature, because under the discipline regulations they can be either serious or non-serious investigations, and they were non-serious investigations. So I took it that what he was saying to me was that the minor issues were
the ones that were dealt with under the disciplinary regulations.
Q. And I think you also inquired of Assistant Commissioner Byrne with regard to the guards who worked to you had cooperated with the investigation?
A. I did, Judge. I asked him if all the guards fully cooperated with his investigation and he said that that was never an issue. I also asked him if all the guards told the full truth in relation to the matters that were under investigation, and he said absolutely. And the reason I asked him those questions, Judge, that once I became aware that the Byrne/McGinn team were appointed to conduct an investigation, I made it clear to the district officer in Bailieboro and to the representative body in that area that I expected every member to fully cooperate with the Byrne/McGinn investigation and not just to tell the truth but to tell the full truth, and I made it clear to them that if it came to my attention that that was not the case, that it would have serious consequences for the people involved, much more so than any findings of Byrne/McGinn. So I wanted everybody to clearly understand what my position was, and that's why I asked the assistant commissioner those questions.
423 Q. And I think, having sorted that out, you asked Assistant Commissioner Byrne to circulate his findings to your force, and he gave you his permission to do so?
A. Yes, he did, Judge.

424 Q. And I think arising out of all of that, that circular,
if I can call it that, was sent by you on the 4th July 2013, is that correct?
A. That is correct, Judge.

425 Q. And that circular appears at page 4943 of the materials. We don't have to open, it will come up in 15:31 front of you, Mr. Rooney. MR. WHELAN: I wonder is it 2011? MS. LEADER: 2011, sorry, yes. Thank you. It refers to your meeting with Assistant Commissioner Byrne on 24th June 2011. So the third paragraph of it concludes by saying:
"I would like to congratulate all members who served in Bailieboro district during the period in question. In particular, I wish to thank Sergeant Gavigan, who provided leadership, enthusiasm and commitment in steering the station party through the crisis that had occurred. The findings of the assistant commissioner vindicated the high standards and professionalism of the district force in Bailieboro. I appreciate the manner in which the members of the district participated in the investigation, were open and truthful in their account of events surrounding the allegations. I hope that the members and their families can now put this difficult period behind them 15:32 and continue to serve the public and their colleagues in an efficient and professional manner."

And it's "CM Rooney, Chief Superintendent". It's cc'd
to the assistant commissioner and to each district officer in Cavan-Monaghan
A. Correct.

427 Q. Now, when you were asked by the Tribunal in March 2017 to elaborate on the contents of that letter and how the 15:32 view expressed in that letter was tenable, particularly in light of the findings of the O'Higgins Commission, which was subsequently pub1ished, you made a number of observations, if you could explain that to the Tribunal?
A. Yes, I did. My letter was based on the outcome of the Byrne/McGinn investigation, and I was naturally very happy with what the assistant commissioner told me, that there was no criminal activity taking place in my area of responsibility, and that was based on what he had told me in relation to his investigation. But clearly when I read the Guerin Report, I saw that that was somewhat different and indeed the findings of Mr. Justice O'Higgins was not in line with the observations that I had made based on the Byrne/McGinn report. And in response to the Tribunal in relation to how that letter was viewed, based on the findings of Mr. Justice O'Higgins, $I$ indicated that in the light of the findings of Mr. Justice O'Higgins, the views expressed in my letter, congratulating all members who served in Bailieboro Garda district during the period in question, was not appropriate. My vindication of the high standards and professionalism of the district force in Bailieboro was not warranted in the
circumstances.
428 Q. And I think you also explained that the letter of the 4th July was not intended as a criticism of Sergeant McCabe?
A. Absolutely. I had no criticism whatever of Sergeant McCabe. Sergeant McCabe had left my area for three years. He was three years gone out of the division. He wasn't under my management and control. Morale in Bailieboro district was very low. Indeed throughout the whole division morale was low. People were very worried. The vast majority of the guards in Bailieboro district were trainee guards, or what we refer to as probationer guards. Many of them had been subject to interview by Byrne/McGinn, and they were clearly upset, and I felt it was my duty to write to them and allay their fears in respect of the outcome of the investigation as conveyed to me. Indeed I felt I had a duty to correspond with them. I think if I were to retain what Assistant Commissioner Byrne had told me, and not share it with people in my area of
responsibility, I think it would be very wrong of me to have done that. I think they were entitled to know what the outcome of the Byrne/McGinn, as relayed to me by the assistant commissioner.
429 Q. And in relation to the changed circumstances post 15:36 O'Higgins and Mr. Guerin's report, is this the case, Mr. Rooney, that, prior to May 2017 when you made your statement to the Tribunal explaining how come the 1etter of the 4th July came about, you hadn't before
that said or expressed to people that your vindication of the high standards and professionalism of the district force in Bailieboro was not warranted in the circumstances?
A. No, I didn't, Judge, because I retired very shortly after that letter was issued, and obviously I am retired still, so I had no opportunity to do that, number one. And number two, I genuinely believed what I was told in respect of the outcome of the Byrne/McGinn investigation.
430 Q. So you'11 understand that Sergeant McCabe didn't become aware of this until your statement was circulated a few days ago to the Tribunal?
A. Okay.

431 Q. You understand that?
A. Yes, I do.

432 Q. Now, if we just come to the O'Higgins Commission and deal with Module 1 of the 0 'Higgins Commission, which was the Lorraine Browne episode.
CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, Ms. Leader, would you just go back. What did you just say? Do you have that? MS. LEADER: I think what I was trying to put across -CHAIRMAN: No, I'm sorry, your actual words. It's just come across somewhat --
MS. LEADER: The last question. So you'11 understand that Sergeant McCabe didn't become aware of this, that is his statement made in May of this year to the Tribunal, until your statement was circulated a few days ago?

MR. MCDOWELL: Judge, I think the transcript, Judge -CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, you know I have enormous time for both Ms. Downes and Ms. Kelly, but it has come out as "aggressive stance", which is a kind of a buzz word. what did you say? would you mind just telling us and we can get it corrected.
MS. LEADER: Until your statement was circulated a few days ago by the Tribunal, that's what I think I said. Now, if you can turn to the o'Higgins Commissions itself in May 2015. You explained in a statement that you submitted to the Tribunal in January of this year that you applied to the Garda Commissioner for legal representation under the umbrella of the Garda Commissioner's legal team, is that correct?
A. That's correct, Judge.

And you did that because you had been contacted by Mr. Justice O'Higgins or his solicitor to indicate that you would be a witness, is that correct?
A. That is correct.

435 Q. And then you were contacted by chief superintendent
Fergus Healy to attend a consultation and, as I understand it, that consultation, which was with counse 1 and Ms. Ryan, happened on the 13th May 2015?
A. That's correct.

436 Q. And --
CHAIRMAN: That's the Wednesday now, isn't it? MS. LEADER: That is the Wednesday.
A. The day before the Commission commenced. CHAIRMAN: It started on the Thursday. Thanks.

437 Q. MS. LEADER: Now, you've told us in your statement to the Tribunal that consultation is a privileged matter, you've submitted a statement telling us that the consultation took a form of a question-and-answer session to elicit information from you touching on the various issues surrounding Sergeant McCabe's complaints. And then you said you made it clear to counsel at the outset that your primary concern was to protect your reputation, your reputation at the Commission. And then you say, in answer to a question, 15:40 you told counsel that you had a meeting with Sergeant McCabe following the receipt of the direction of the DPP on the investigation on the allegation of sexual assault. And you're referring there to the D a11egation?
A. Yes.

438 Q. So you're saying that meeting took place in your office in Monaghan at the request of Sergeant McCabe in 2007, and it related to an exchange with regard to the DPP's directions?
A. That is correct, Judge.

439 Q. I will paraphrase it that way.
CHAIRMAN: Can we pinpoint the date of that at all?
A. No.

MS. LEADER: I don't think we can.
CHAIRMAN: We can't. No. It's not related to any particular piece of correspondence?
MS. LEADER: Exactly. There is a dispute, as I understand it, between -- well, maybe not a dispute.

But perhaps, Chief Superintendent Rooney, you're not able to, with certainty, establish the date of that meeting?
A. No, I'm not, Judge. I was putting forward the view that, in my view, it happened somewhere around June or July, but I couldn't pin down exactly when it happened, I had no note of when it happened, Judge, but it certain7y took place after the 8th May 2007, when Superintendent Cunningham had provided the directions to Sergeant McCabe.

440 Q. And I think Sergeant McCabe thinks it took place earlier than you place it, put it that way, and he has a different interpretation of what happened at that meeting?
A. Yes. Sergeant McCabe was of the view that he came to see me three weeks after he received the DPP's directions. We know that he received the -- or that the State solicitor received the DPP directions on 11th April 2007, three weeks after that brings him into the early days of May 2007, and at the Commission Mr. Smyth 15:42 put it to Sergeant McCabe that he was on sick leave from the 24th April to the 21st May 2007, and the Judge asked Sergeant McCabe if he came to see me while he was on sick leave and he said no, he didn't. So the Judge then asked Sergeant McCabe, we11, when did he come to see Chief Superintendent Rooney, and his final date was that he thought it was the day before he went sick, which was the $23 r d$.

CHAIRMAN: Of April?
A. Of April 2007. I can tell the Tribunal he didn't come to me on the 23rd April because I wasn't in Monaghan that day.
CHAIRMAN: Well, it's in or around. Anyway, the DPP's letter is dated 5th Apri1 2007.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure that it matters a whole lot, but anyway.
MS. LEADER: So that is your telling of the consultation you had on the 13th May with counsel and Ms. Ryan?
A. That's correct, Judge.

442 Q. In very broad terms?
A. Yes.

443 Q. Now, the Commission started the next day --
CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, Ms. Leader, I know this time I'm slowing you up.

MS. LEADER: That is all right.
CHAIRMAN: Forgive me for that. But the whole tenor of the conversation with Sergeant McCabe was about what?
Getting the DPP letter released? Or was it about something else or --
A. What I was taking from what Sergeant McCabe was saying to me, that he was dissatisfied with the outcome of the DPP's directions and that he was coming to me in relation to that. Now, as I saw it, what he was doing was contesting the decision of the Director of Public Prosecutions, that he was actually looking for something more than he had got, such as, that he wanted
his innocence declared.
CHAIRMAN: Again, I don't want to spend time on this, and there may be room for, I suppose, some confusion, but, as I understand it, you would have read the DPP's 1etter?
A. I would have. I'm not certain that I had seen it, Judge.

CHAIRMAN: Don't worry.
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: It's as close to an exoneration as anybody 15:45 could get.
A. It is, Judge.

CHAIRMAN: But it seems that the view taken was, all we can tell you is, insufficient evidence.
A. I would imagine, Judge, that Sergeant McCabe's issue was round what he was told by Superintendent Cunningham rather than what was actually in the letter.

CHAIRMAN: And you possibly had spoken to the State solicitor and possibly the State solicitor had told you, yes, I told --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: And I don't know whether the State solicitor told you he also told the other Garda, whose daughter it was?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Did he?
A. No, he didn't tell me that at all.

CHAIRMAN: Okay.
A. So at my meeting, if you like, I had a deficit of
information.
CHAIRMAN: All right. It is fine. As long as we know the general subject matter.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Ms. Leader, I'm sorry.
444 Q. MS. LEADER: Now I think you say in your statement that you didn't instruct anybody to challenge Sergeant McCabe, is that correct, with regard to motivation?
A. That's correct.

445 Q. You said that in your statement?
A. Yes.

446 Q. Now, we go then to the 14th May 2015, which was day 2 of the o'Higgins Commission, and you were due to give evidence in that module of the o'Higgins Commission concerning dealings you had with the probationer guard, is that correct, Garda McCarthy?
A. That's correct, Judge.

447 Q. And you also had a role in the disciplinary process that followed in relation to the incident on the bus?
A. Yes, I had.

448 Q. And his investigation into that matter?
A. That's correct.

449 Q. And that, very broad7y speaking, is what you gave evidence about to a certain point on the 15th May?
A. Yes, Judge. That was my only role that day at the

Commission, was to deal with the disciplinary investigation in respect of probationer Garda McCarthy.

450 Q. So counsel for the Garda Commissioner then began to ask you about meeting Sergeant McCabe, the reference being
to the meeting with regard to the DPP's directions?
A. That's right.
Q. You've told the Tribunal in your statement that you had a certain reaction to this, and maybe you could tell us about that. Were you prepared for that line of questioning?
A. No, I wasn't. When I was asked the question, I really didn't understand initially what the question was, and then I recollected my memory of what the question was and I endeavoured to deal with it.
Q. And then that followed an intervention by Mr. Gillane, counse 1 for the Commission, and the exchange between Mr. McDowell and Mr. Smyth which led to the phone calls to Commissioner o'sullivan on the evening of the 15th?
A. That's correct.

453 Q. And at the end of the evening of the 15th, the position was that Sergeant McCabe and his legal team had to be put on notice of what was going to be said in relation to the issue of motivation?
A. That is correct.

454 Q. Did you understand that to be the case?
A. Yes, I did. And from what I took from what was being said by the Judge, is that the Garda Commissioner was going to set out in a letter what the issues were. Initially, I didn't think that that would involve me, but, quite clearly, the information $I$ had provided which led to the instructions of counsel, clearly involved me, and, as such, I participated.
455 Q. Yes. Now, we know from Mr. MacNamee's evidence to the

Tribunal that he took instructions that evening from you and Superintendent Cunningham. I don't know if maybe you weren't following Mr. MacNamee's evidence?
A. Yes, I was following Mr. MacNamee's evidence. I don't share that view.
Q. We will pass. You haven't waived your privilege Mr. Rooney.
A. okay.

457 Q. So I refer to it because Mr. MacNamee has referred to
it. On the Saturday evening, it would appear a letter was circulated, that's Saturday 16th May, and that letter eventually turned into the letter of the 18th May?
A. That's correct, Judge.

458 Q. Okay. And you're familiar with that letter at this stage?
A. Yes, I am.

459 Q. Yes. Now, did you see it that morning prior to it being given to Judge o'Higgins?
A. No, I didn't, Judge. What happened that morning was 15:50 that the letter was presented to me and as soon as it was given -- I was told to read it and to sign it, and as soon as it was given to me, the Judge came out to start the hearings in the morning. The letter was taken back from me. Before $I$ handed it back, I
certified at the end of the letter that I was only certifying the paragraphs that were relevant to me. In other words, $I$ wasn't taking responsibility for the complete document when $I$ hadn't read the final report.

460 Q. And we also know from emails circulated to the Tribunal that Mr. MacNamee, in an email addressed to Ms. Ryan and Chief Superintendent Healy, which appears at page 762 of the materials, that a draft of the letter was forwarded to Ms. Ryan and Chief Superintendent Healy, which in the second paragraph said:
"It is of the utmost importance that the content be as factually accurate as possible, such that there are no misstatements and nothing that cannot be backed up by oral or documentary evidence."


MS. LEADER: No. what does appear is a redacted version at page 775 of the materials. That is the engrossed 1etter. Perhaps that can be brought up. CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MS. LEADER: Just down underneath -- scroll down, please. So that would -- the redacted version. And that corresponds to the same place Superintendent Cunningham's signature appears on the unredacted. And there is a -- you will see there two sets of redactions, first of all on the right of the screen and 15:53 underneath on the left.
A. Yes.

462 Q. Because you have said, Mr. Rooney, that you signed the letter somewhere, I don't know if you want to indicate to the Tribunal where you signed that letter, or can you remember?
A. I can't remember, Judge.

463 Q. If we could have 4079 of the materials. If that can be scrolled down, please. That would seem to be -- I don't know if you can identify that signature?
A. That's Superintendent Cunningham's.

464 Q. Superintendent Cunningham's signature?
A. Yes.

465 Q. And in relation to the signing off of the letter, you may not letter this, Mr. Rooney, but did everybody sign 15:54 the same letter or were there copies given individually, and perhaps there were a number of signed-off versions of the letter?
A. It was individual letters.
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Q. And insofar as you are saying you signed off on the letter, if you would explain again what you signed off to?
A. What I said, exactly the wording I'm not sure, but basically what I said was that I certify the accuracy of the document insofar as the paragraphs are relevant to me.
Q. A11 right.
A. Or words to that effect.

If I can just bring you to paragraph 14 of the 1etter. 15:54 Thank you. You see it says:
"Sergeant McCabe was unhappy with the outcome of the decision of the DPP as he believed that the decision ought to have completely exonerated him rather than recording that there was not sufficient evidence to proceed against him."

You'11 understand that Sergeant McCabe's position is that he was very happy with the DPP's directions because he knew that it was as close as one could get to a complete exoneration.
A. Yes. Sergeant McCabe would have been very happy with what he was told by the State solicitor, I have no doubt at a11 about that. Perhaps he may not have been 15:55 that happy with what he was told at the meeting with Superintendent Cunningham. And there may be a divergence between those two accounts.

469 Q. And if we could go to paragraph 16, it would appear to
be a paragraph which directly affects you, Mr. Rooney. It says:
"Sergeant McCabe sought an appointment to see chief Superintendent Colm Rooney and this was facilitated in $15: 56$ June/July 2007."

There's an uncertainty with regard to that date.
A. Yes.

470 Q. Is that correct?
"At the meeting Sergeant McCabe expressed anger and annoyance towards the Director of Public Prosecutions. He demanded that chief Superintendent Rooney communicate with the Director of Public Prosecutions to 15:56 $^{\text {P }}$ seek a declaration of his innocence from the DPP in relation to the allegation. Chief Superintendent Rooney advised Sergeant McCabe of the policy of the Director, of the DPP in dealing with such issues, a policy which sergeant McCabe was himself professionally 15:56 aware of. Chief Superintendent Rooney told Sergeant McCabe that he could not seek such a declaration on Sergeant McCabe's behalf from the DPP. Chief Superintendent Rooney pointed out to Sergeant McCabe that from his own experience of dealing with criminal files to the DPP, he was aware of the DPP's role to determine if sufficient evidence was available on a file to direct a prosecution. Chief Superintendent Rooney advised Sergeant McCabe that it was not the

Garda Commissioner's policy that An Garda Síochána challenge the Director of Public Prosecutions on his decisions. Chief Superintendent Rooney further pointed out to Sergeant McCabe that, as a private citizen, it was open to him to write to the DPP if he so wished to seek the declaration he required."
A. Yes.

471 Q. Were you happy with the contents of that paragraph at that time?
A. Yes, I was, Judge.

472 Q. And I think you were cross-examined subsequently at the Commission specifically with regard to the contents of that paragraph?
A. Yes, I was.

CHAIRMAN: And you remain happy with it?
A. Yes, I do, Judge. That was my understanding of the meeting that we had at Monaghan station that day. CHAIRMAN: That is fine.

473 Q. MS. LEADER: And you now understand, having been cross-examined in relation to that meeting, that
Sergeant McCabe's understanding of that meeting is very different?
A. Yes, I do.

474 Q. In relation to paragraph 19 of that letter, the complaints to Superintendent Clancy, turning into complaints against Superintendent Clancy, it would appear from your knowledge of the $D$ investigation and your dealings with Sergeant McCabe's complaints against Mr. D, that you must have known that -- did it occur --
you were aware of all of that, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, I was, Judge.
Q. Can you say anything in relation to the inaccuracy, misunderstanding, or otherwise, however otherwise it could be described, in relation to paragraph $19 ?$
A. Yes, Judge, well there was a number of contributors -MR. WHELAN: The witness will know that his answer is within the constraints of privilege. I'm just conscious that he should be -- that his attention should be drawn to that, Judge.

MS. LEADER: Yes.
MR. WHELAN: And the privilege of others.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, fair enough. You'11 be aware of that, Ms. Leader.

MS. LEADER: Yes.
476 Q. But I suppose what I'm suggesting to you, Mr. Rooney, is, you, at the time in 2015, had knowledge of Superintendent Cunningham's dealings with Sergeant McCabe in relation to the $D$ issue?
A. Yes, I had.

477 Q. And were you clear about them at that time in 2015?
A. Yes, I was.

478 Q. Maybe we will leave it at that. And you were also aware, I think you've already confirmed in your evidence, of Superintendent Cunningham's report to you, as chief superintendent, in September 2008 in relation to that meeting in August 2008?
A. Yes, I was, Judge.

479 Q. In any event, when the Commission's proceedings dealt
with the issue on day 3, Mr. Justice O'Higgins didn't allow that letter to be admitted in evidence or dealt with otherwise, other than to say that Sergeant McCabe had a grievance of some sort with the guards?
A. That's correct.

480 Q. And I think on that day you continued your evidence, and everybody confined -- you and Superintendent Cunningham confined yourself to that statement, agreeing with Mr. Smyth that Sergeant McCabe had a grievance with the guards, Garda management?
A. Correct, Judge.

481 Q. And thereafter it was issues with regard to the bus incident, is that correct?
A. Yes.

482 Q. Now, Sergeant McCabe went on to give evidence that day, 16:00 isn't that correct?
A. I'm not sure.

483 Q. You're not sure. Perhaps, I don't know if this would refresh your memory, Mr. Rooney. Page 785 of the materials. You'11 see the line-up of witnesses for that day, Mr. Rooney. So you're the first witness, it continues on down, and you'11 see that Sergeant McCabe gave evidence starting at page 141 of the materials. 786.
A. I don't have that page.

484 Q. Yes.
CHAIRMAN: We can take it as a given, if you're on transcript --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- it's clearly not your ghost that is giving evidence.
Q. MS. LEADER: Were you present when Sergeant McCabe gave that evidence?
A. I don't have any recollection of being present, Judge. I was on, from what I recal1, restricted entry in the Commission. I do know I had to leave after giving my evidence. On day 1 I was -- or on Module 1 I was allowed to stay in by the Judge, but I'm just not certain that $I$ was there for the full period of module 1.

CHAIRMAN: Is this the evidence about the Mullingar meeting, so to speak?
MS. LEADER: It is, yes.
CHAIRMAN: It is. And you think you weren't there?
A. I don't -- I have no recollection. I'm not certain, Judge, whether I was actually in the room when that was debated or not.
Q. MS. LEADER: Could you have been in the room when it was debated?
A. Oh, I could well have been, and then again, I may not have been.

487 Q. A11 right. You would have had an interest in Sergeant McCabe's evidence, I am correct in saying that?
A. I would. Of course I would, Judge. But, I mean, cannot honestly say to this Tribunal yes I was there, because I may not have been. I just can't say.

488 Q. And you obviously travelled to Dublin that day to
attend to give evidence, isn't that correct?
A. I stayed up in Dublin.

489 Q. Yes. And would have had an interest in the proceedings of the o'Higgins Commission generally?
A. Oh, of course I would, yes.
Q. So do you think it would be more likely than not that you would have stayed to hear the evidence that Sergeant McCabe gave? This was the first time he was giving evidence to the o'Higgins Commission.
A. Yes. But, I mean, I'm going to have to speculate, Judge, you know, I'm just not certain whether I was there or not.
491 Q. We11, when you were giving evidence, I think you gave evidence in relation to Sergeant McCabe directing Ms. Browne towards GSOC, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, I did, yes.

492 Q. And you also expressed the view that this was very unhelpful for subsequent disciplinary investigations, isn't that right?
A. Well, it was my view that it may have interfered with the investigation; in other words, if a witness is approached and subsequently interviewed by an investigation team, you know, is it an honest account of what that person is saying, or have they been influenced by previous contacts. I think that is the point that I was making.
493 Q. Yes. So I suppose your evidence, and I don't mean to dismiss it or anything, but it was of a very formal nature up to that point insofar as you were giving
evidence of reviewing Garda McCarthy's notebook, I think, and also in relation to appointing somebody to discipline him, is that correct?
A. Yes, yes.

494 Q. So the contentious part of your evidence, and I think you had been cross-examined in relation to it, was the directing Ms. Browne towards GSOC and the effect that had on subsequent disciplinary investigations. That would seem to have been the contentious part of your evidence?
A. I'm not sure that I actually said that it would impact on disciplinary investigations. From what I recall, the point that I was making to the Judge, that Ms. Browne was obviously a central figure and that if people had approached her directly, that it may influence her when she was later being interviewed in respect of the investigation. And I think the second point $I$ was making was that if those officers had a concern in relation to the issue, that their duty was to report it and have it properly investigated by the authorities, rather than going directly to Mrs. Browne. That was the point I think I was making.

CHAIRMAN: Ms. Leader, I just want to clarify one thing for myself. what you're referring to here in the transcript is where Mr. Smyth puts it to Sergeant

MS. LEADER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: -- that in relation to the meeting in Mullingar, that he had made a complaint about

Superintendent Clancy in order to ensure the DPP's directions would be passed on, whereas, in fact, the correct situation which emerged with the letter in fact handed in that day from Superintendent Cunningham and was later referred to by the Judge was that at that meeting Superintendent Cunningham, Sergeant Yvonne Martin were there and Sergeant McCabe and they were all agreed that it was a complaint to Superintendent Clancy with a view to getting the DPP's directions passed on. MS. LEADER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: But Chief Superintendent Rooney was never at that meeting.
A. No.
mS. LEADER: No, he was never at that meeting.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. So he couldn't know what was happening, I presume, apart from getting a report about it in August.
A. That's correct.

MS. LEADER: Absolutely. And I think Mr. Rooney has confirmed that he was familiar with what was going on and that it was a complaint to Superintendent Clancy.
A. Absolutely.

495 Q. And what I am exploring with Mr. Rooney is whether or not he was in the room when Sergeant McCabe was questioned in relation to that?
A. I cannot honestly say whether I was or not, Judge.

496 Q. A11 right. And what I am suggesting to you is that it was very much in your interest to be in the room to hear what Sergeant McCabe had to say about directing

Ms. Browne to GSOC at the time?
A. I'm sure the whole seven or eight modules were of interest to me, Judge. Maybe I was at the toilet, maybe I was having coffee, I honestly don't know, but I cannot recall that actual incident.

497 Q. And just to make it clear to you what Mr. Smyth put to Sergeant McCabe, it's at page 980 of the materials, $I$ think it appears at its most stark, Mr. Rooney. Question 735. You will see Mr. Smyth puts to Sergeant McCabe:
"The only reason will be the evidence of Superintendent Cunningham. The only reason that you wrote those lists of complaints for Superintendent Clancy, do you understand, that you made the complaints about Superintendent Clancy, was that you wanted to put pressure on Superintendent Clancy to get the full directions from the authority conveyed to you."

And the answer is:
"That is absolutely false. Absolutely.
Q. Fair enough."

You see that there?
A. Yes.

498 Q. And can you remember if you were in the room when that exchange took place?
A. I have no knowledge. I don't remember that, Judge.

499 Q. And if you had heard that exchange take place, would you have reacted to it, do you think?
A. I have no idea. One would expect that there were other people in the room who would have possibly heard it and maybe reacted. Certainly I would imagine I would have brought it to the notice of Chief Superintendent Healy, if I was actually clear in my mind that there was a misstatement being put to Sergeant McCabe, I would certainly have informed Chief Superintendent Healy, who was there all the time, to correct the record. But I don't specifically remember anything like that.
500 Q. That is the end of day 3 of the Commission. And I think on day 4 both yourself and Superintendent Cunningham were recalled to deal with the evidence that Sergeant McCabe had given, is that correct?
A. That's correct, Judge.

501 Q. And that was at the request of the counsel for the Commissioner and your counse1, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

502 Q. Now, when you were recalled on day 4, you were cross-examined with regard to your meeting with Sergeant McCabe, is that correct?
A. That's right.

503 Q. And Sergeant McCabe's interpretation of that meeting was that he was a bit annoyed that Superintendent Cunningham hadn't told him about the directions of the DPP earlier, he was of the view that Sergeant [sic] Cunningham had sat on the directions, so to speak? That was --
A. Inspector Cunningham.

504 Q. Inspector Cunningham at that stage.
A. That's what he was saying, Judge, but, you know, I reflected on that at some time, and what Sergeant McCabe was saying, that he had obviously known what the 16:12 directions were from the State solicitor.

CHAIRMAN: I don't think it is necessary to go into that because I've actually heard evidence on that whole thing.

MS. LEADER: Yes.
A. Okay, Judge.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. And I know Superintendent Cunningham says, look, I was away, I came back, I had to go to the victim first, $I$ went to him, we arranged a meeting, eventually we met in a hotel, he was accompanied by a member of the AGSI, and then there is the conversation.
A. Yes.

MS. LEADER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: But it is not actually important.
A. Okay, Judge.

505 Q. MS. LEADER: Sergeant McCabe's version of your particular paragraph of the letter of the 18th May was put to you and teased out in cross-examination, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

506 Q. In no uncertain terms?
A. Yes.

507 Q. It was made very clear to you what Sergeant McCabe's view of that meeting was?
A. Yes. And from my point of view, Judge, I cannot see for the life of me how $I$ could have a conversation with Sergeant McCabe about the failure of Superintendent Cunningham to inform him about the DPP directions when, as far as we were concerned, the DPP's directions were not issued at all, because Sergeant McCabe was saying that he came to me before the DPP's directions were -before he got them from Superintendent Cunningham on the 8th May.
CHAIRMAN: Well, you know, it could be correct, because 16:13 if the State solicitor had read them, which everyone seems to be agreeing that he did, he --
A. Oh, yes, the State solicitor would have read them to him, say, on or about the 11th, but I would not have known at that stage that the DPP's directions were actually out.

CHAIRMAN: It could have been a wee bit earlier then.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: I really don't think it is important. MS. LEADER: I just wanted to put both sides.
CHAIRMAN: No, you have covered it.
MS. LEADER: And finally, in relation to the issue of the letter of the 18th May, it appears again in a different format in the submissions submitted on your behalf and on behalf of the Commissioner at the end of Module 1.
A. Yes.

508 Q. Now, at that stage the error still hadn't been identified by Mr. Justice O'Higgins, you're aware of
that?
A. Yes.

509 Q. Those submissions were submitted on the 11th June 2015, and the problem with paragraph 19 of the letter of the 18th may still hadn't come to light, isn't that correct?
A. That's right. And that paragraph really wasn't my information or instructions and $I$ could not deal with that. Any review I had was in respect of paragraphs that were relevant to me.

510 Q. okay. So if I just turn to paragraphs 69 and 70 of those submissions, which are at page 1452 of the materials, you see paragraph 69:
"Sergeant McCabe then made a series of complaints against other officers in Bailieboro station, including Superintendent Clancy, against whom he alleged a lack of support. Chief Superintendent Rooney appointed Superintendent Cunningham to investigate these complaints. Superintendent Cunningham attempted to meet Sergeant McCabe to discuss the complaints and finally did so on the 25th August 2008. On this occasion, Superintendent Cunningham was accompanied by Sergeant Martin."

Then it goes into the meeting issue in paragraph 70. And refers to:

[^0]Sergeant Martin will give evidence that Sergeant McCabe said at the meeting that the complaint which he had made alleging lack of support as referred to in the previous paragraph was a bid by him to have the full DPP directions conveyed to him and to the complaining party. This is recorded in a report of the meeting prepared jointly by sergeant McCabe and Superintendent Cunningham -- Sergeant Martin and Superintendent Cunningham."

Were you happy to sign off on those submissions, so to speak?
A. I don't think that I signed off on those submissions, because I'm not in a position to verify everything that's in that document, and I can only ensure the accuracy of the information that's relevant to me. It's for everybody to ensure that their own information is accurate. I couldn't sign off on 72 paragraphs of a report that clearly I would not have knowledge of.
511 Q. All right. But I suppose you are mentioned directly in 16:17 paragraph 69, saying that you appointed Superintendent Cunningham to investigate these complaints. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.

512 Q. Yes. And these complaints there would appear to refer 16:17 to complaints against other officers in Bailieboro, including Superintendent Clancy?
A. Yes.

513 Q. Do you understand what --
A. Yes, I think it's not very well worded, you know, in relation to it. You could say that the first sentence is correct, because it's a number of complaints that's been referred to, probably over a period of time, but I cannot say. I mean, I wasn't dealing with that issue, so I don't think that it would be appropriate for me to interfere in relation to that when $I$ didn't have all the information around it.
514 Q. And that's your position with regard to --
CHAIRMAN: Yes, Superintendent Cunningham was, in fact, 16:18 reporting on the $D$ issues.

MS. LEADER: Exactly.
CHAIRMAN: As opposed to issues about police standards.
A. Exactly, Judge.

CHAIRMAN: It was basically a family-type situation, except, unfortunately, both of them were Garda officers.
A. Exactly.
Q. When did you become aware of it?
A. I honestly don't know.

517 Q. I suppose if you didn't know -- was any consideration
given by you to amending the submissions or instructing anybody to do that?
A. The submissions, as I understand it, didn't come before the Commission at all. That was actually -- that document would have been lodged in the commission.
Q. Yes, yes.
A. And it wouldn't have been discussed at the Commission at all.
519 Q. That's certainly true, but it was a document that I suppose was putting your best case forward to the Commission, Mr. Rooney?
A. Yes. And my best case, Judge, is accurate.

520 Q. So am I correct in saying you didn't give any thought to revisiting the submissions or instructing your lawyers to do so?
A. Anything I had contributed, as far as I was concerned, was accurate, and no issue was raised in relation to anything I did.
MS. LEADER: Thanks very much. If you would answer any questions anybody else might have.
CHAIRMAN: Let's just check, if you don't mind.

THE HEARING ADJOURNED BRIEFLY AND RESUMED AS FOLLOWS:

MR. MCGUINNESS: Chairman, I should say that I have consulted with his client. I am very conscious that Mr. Barrett has been required to be available and listed for a number of different days, but Mr. Rogers
has confirmed that he's able to continue the evidence on Monday, and Mr. Rogers is available, so we're going to schedule him for the continuation of his evidence at 10:00 on Monday morning. And then Superintendent Cunningham will be called after that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: So just maybe it would help if I summarise the position that we're in. Chief Superintendent Rooney, now Mr. Rooney, says yes, he did put up the letter congratulating everybody in relation to their cooperation with the Byrne/McGinn thing, based on a meeting with Assistant Commissioner Byrne on the 24th June 2011. He says that in the light of the Guerin investigation and the O'Higgins Report, he acknowledges that he was wrong, and he has apologised. In relation to, then, the actual Commission and what went wrong in relation to the Commission, his view is that yes, he had a meeting with Sergeant McCabe and that it was about whether or not the DPP's directions could be released. He did not participate in the August mullingar 2008 meeting, which Superintendent Cunningham however reported to him shortly after the 25th August 2008. So there had to be some degree of familiarity. That report, of course, was correct to the effect that the complaints were to Superintendent Clancy in relation to the DPP direction circulation, not against Superintendent Clancy. He cannot recall whether he was there on the Monday. He saw the letter and signed it as being correct, as in relation to him, specifically, without caveat. As regards the Tuesday, when the
matter was put, he doesn't know whether he was in the room, he was on restricted access. As for the correction then on day 4 when he was recalled, that correction was then made, but by that stage the Judge had ruled against the issue. That is a summary of the evidence.

MS. LEADER: I think correction was given on day 5. MR. MCDOWELL: Judge, there is one thing, Judge, and it probably will shorten things. The report by Superintendent Cunningham dated some day in September 2008 was not handed in on day 4 -- or day 3 . It was produced at a later stage.
CHAIRMAN: Maybe. But the evidence $I$ have at the moment is that it was actually handed in with the letter --

MR. MCDOWELL: No, it wasn't.
CHAIRMAN: We11, somebody is going to have to tell me that, and not just by nodding and gesticulating. If that is going to become important, somebody will have to te11 me by evidence.
MR. McDOWELL: I think, Judge, that if you check the transcript, the evidence is the contrary, that it wasn't handed in that day.
CHAIRMAN: Well, the evidence is it was handed in that day and that people didn't get a chance to read it, Mr. McDowe11, that can all be corrected. Don't worry about it. That's my understanding of it, but I actually think I'm right. I don't always think I'm
right, but I actually think I'm right on this occasion. MR. McDowell: I know I am not always right, but on this I think I am right.
CHAIRMAN: You could well be, because you were there, but I think someone is going to have to tell me about that if it becomes important. So why don't you -- I'm inviting you to carry on.

MR. COLM ROONEY WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDOWELL:

521 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Chief Superintendent Rooney, thank you for the apology in respect of the circular letter. I do suggest to you that you know that Superintendent clancy posted it throughout the Garda region, isn't that right?
A. Well, that's what one would expect him to do in the normal correspondence, yes.
522 Q. And it was generally known in the -- its contents were generally known and I presume generally accepted, even though they are now shown to be wrong?
A. Yes, it would have been generally known throughout Cavan-Monaghan division. That is where it was sent. At the time it was sent, it was correct insofar as what I was told.
523 Q. Yes.
A. But with what transpired later through Guerin and Mr. Justice o'Higgins, clearly that was not the case. 524 Q. Yes. And I have to suggest to you that, insofar as it was wrong, not merely -- it didn't just depend on

Mr. Guerin's view or Mr. Justice O'Higgins' view, in fact, you now know that a substantial number of Sergeant McCabe's complaints were, in fact, upheld originally by the Byrne/McGinn report, so you were, so to speak, misled a bit by Assistant Commissioner Byrne, 16:36 if that was the pen picture he gave you of its findings?
A. We11, as I understand, there was 11 of Sergeant McCabe's complaints out of in the region of 40 complaints that were made to Byrne/McGinn upheld, and the ones that were upheld were basically the ones that were brought before the Commission of Investigation.
525 Q. Yes.
A. Obviously I don't want to comment, Mr. McDowe11, on what you suggest I was misied. I can only report on the set of circumstances that $I$ found myself in.

526 Q. Yes, I appreciate that. And I don't want to unravel your apology in any way, but it would appear that you were -- the picture that was painted to you of the Byrne/McGinn report was not accurate?
A. As it transpired, that is correct, Judge.

527 Q. And I also have to put it to you that, in March 2009, Séan Costello, solicitor, of Séan Costello \& Company, on behalf of Sergeant McCabe, had written to you arising out of an article that appeared in the Anglo-Celt, in which you had described the complaints being investigated by the Byrne/McGinn report as absolute rubbish?
A. You're completely incorrect in what you say,

Mr. McDowe11. That is not what is in the article. And I will read to you what is in the article.
528 Q. If you would?
A. "I recently read reports in the national and local media in relation to policing in Bailieboro and it was absolute rubbish what was in those reports. It was factually incorrect."

And if you look at Sergeant McCabe's statement, statement $G$ at page 7 , he said:
"I am not alleging corruption or criminality by any member, nor have I any evidence to support the al1egation."

That is there. What was in the local media was very clear, it was the headlines in the media, in the print media, that there was corruption and criminality taking part in Cavan-Monaghan division, and indeed it was carried on radio and TV stations, and that was absolutely incorrect and it was wrong.

529 Q. Could I ask you about the letter again, just to bring you back briefly to the letter which Ms. Leader brought you to, and that was at paragraph 14, we're at page 774 of the materials, the letter of the 18th May 2015. Paragraph 14 says:
"Sergeant McCabe was unhappy with the outcome of the decision of the Director of Public Prosecutions as he
believed the decision ought to have completely exonerated him, rather than recording that there was not sufficient evidence to proceed against him."

Now, that is entirely false, isn't it? That was not the DPP's determination, and he knew it wasn't.
A. No, well, I can only deal with the paragraphs that referred to me.
A. I didn't provide the information in respect of that and that was in relation to communications with my legal team, and I honestly cannot comment in relation to it. well, I didn't ask you whether you were responsible for it; I'm just saying do you agree it was absolutely false?
A. No, I don't, because, if you like, they were two sets of DPP directions, if you like to describe it that way. There was the one that Sergeant McCabe had, which is the factual one in relation to the DPP's direction and then you had meeting with Superintendent Cunningham where clearly Sergeant McCabe probably was not happy with the information that he got in relation to that. So you could say there was a diversion of opinion, but clearly that's not what the full directions of the DPP said.
532 Q. No. I've got to put it to you it's firmer than that. It's that the DPP's direction never was based on a proposition that there was not sufficient evidence to proceed against him. That was never the basis, on
anybody's version.
A. Well, he certainly didn't say that he was absolutely exonerated, either. The exact words he said, I just cannot recall the exact words.

CHAIRMAN: It said: "This did not amount to an assault" or -- "This did not amount to a sexual assault or indeed an assault, even if there were no issues as to credibility."
A. okay.

533 Q. MR. McDOWELL: Yes. I have to suggest to you that it is simply untrue to say that it recorded that there was not sufficient evidence to proceed against him, that simply was not true?
A. That is a fair comment, yes.

534 Q. And paragraph 15 deals with Sergeant McCabe presenting Superintendent Clancy with a series of operational issues for his attention, which is stated here:
"Which were of a type which would normally have been dealt with by the sergeant in charge of the station."

And I think, again without saying that that is false, I've got to suggest to you that that gave a misleading impression. He wrote to Superintendent Clancy seeking his support in dealing with issues which he was encountering as sergeant in charge of the station, in relation to discipline and performance?
A. We11, I think, Judge, I'm familiar with that report, and it was issued in January 2008, and the issues
outlined in the bullet-points by Sergeant McCabe was clearly issues that come solely within the remit of not just the sergeant in charge of the station but the first-line supervisors, the unit sergeants. I mean, what that was dealing with was complaining that guards weren't coming to work on time, that they were taking too long of tea breaks, that they were reading newspapers when they were working, and it was all very general day-to-day policing issues.
535 Q. Yes.
A. Now, it's beyond me to say that Sergeant McCabe needed to go to his superintendent to seek assistance to deal with those issues.
536 Q. We11, you see, we do know -- we do know that in the submission of the 11th June, it's precisely stated that 16:42 he made a complaint of lack of support in these matters.

CHAIRMAN: Well, it's certainly correct to say, in terms of documents, that on the 28th January '08 Sergeant McCabe wrote to Superintendent Clancy a letter 16:43 and then there was a meeting and it was about supervision, non-completion of work, and then Pulse issues as well.

MR. McDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: So it was Sergeant McCabe bringing to Superintendent Clancy.
MR. MCDOWELL: And seeking his support, Judge.
CHAIRMAN: Well, that would definitely follow from that.
A. Yes.

MR. McDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: That he would wish that things be done better.
A. Yes.

537 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: And then we have paragraph 16, which, in fact, is two long paragraphs. Do you accept responsibility for what's in paragraph $16 ?$
A. Well, again, Judge, it's in relation to a consultation that I had with my legal team, and obviously I don't wish to discuss it.

538 Q. I see. We11, could I bring you to page 1113, which is in Book 2B.

CHAIRMAN: Maybe it would help, I know you're going there, but as we're coming there, as it's coming on the 16:43 screen, on your understanding of any meeting you had with Sergeant McCabe at the time, was he expressing annoyance or dissatisfaction with the DPP as to the ruling or else as to the ruling, whether the ruling couldn't be circulated, or was it annoyance or
dissatisfaction with the Gardaí that the ruling couldn't be circulated?
A. It was very difficult to be sure exactly what he was saying, if it was annoyance with the actual decision of the DPP or if he was annoyed with what Superintendent Cunningham was after telling him.
CHAIRMAN: You appreciate his case is that it was annoyance with the fact that the circular -- sorry, that the letter from the DPP couldn't be circulated to
the two parties.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: That's his case.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: And do you disagree with it?
A. I wouldn't disagree with it, Judge. That is probably what was in his mind.
Q.

MR. MCDOWELL: And I think when we use the term "circulated", we're actually saying shown to the D family?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: And I think it may have gone a bit further than that, in the event that that had happened, but I appreciate that was his aim.
A. Yes.

540 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Now, could I bring you to page 1113 in Book 2B, where you were cross-examined at question 47 , 1ine 12. Have you got that in front of you?
A. Yes.

541 Q. And before we go there, I just want to ask you one question -- or two questions. You didn't expect the issue of your meeting with Sergeant McCabe to be raised on Friday 15th May, is that right?
A. That's correct.

542 Q. It came as a surprise to you that counse 1 brought you there, is that right?
A. We11, it came as a surprise to me that counsel asked me that day in relation to it. But having said that, the Commissioner's counsel were fully entitled to raise
issues with me --
543 Q. Yes.
A. -- from information available to them, and they were entitled to raise that at any module throughout the Commission hearing. The point I was making is that I wasn't expecting them to raise it that day.

544 Q. Yes.
A. And why I was putting it in context, Judge, you know, it was suggested to me that day that the Commissioner and I were in collusion to bring this matter on to the floor of the Commission, and I just wanted to make it clear that I was not aware that that issue was being raised with me. So it is to deal with the issue of collusion between the Commissioner and I, rather than any other reason, that $I$ was putting it out there.
545 Q. I understand that. The second point is, and I just want to be clear about this, you did not want yourself to question Sergeant McCabe's motivation, is that right?
A. No, I did not. No.

546 Q. At any point?
A. No.

547 Q. I see. Now, on Tuesday 19th May you were cross-examined as follows:
"Firstly, Sergeant McCabe says and instructs me that the meeting he had with you was in May 2007, the April/May period of 2007, and not in June and July, that is the first thing, so I want to put that to you."

And you replied:
"We11, Judge, as I said, I cannot definitively say when the meeting took place. If Sergeant McCabe says it was 18:47 in May 2007, I certainly have no reason to disagree with Sergeant McCabe."
A. Sorry, Mr. MCDowe11, I think you need to put that in context. Judge O'Higgins put it to Sergeant McCabe when that issue was raised, when they became aware that 10:47 he was on sick leave from 24th April until 25th May, and the Judge asked Sergeant McCabe did you come to see the chief superintendent while you were on sick leave, and Sergeant McCabe said no.
548 Q. Yes.
A. So this issue of April/May was blown away by the Judge. 549 Q. I see. We will come back to that in a moment because I want to put what actually was in Sergeant McCabe's diary which was shown to the Commission at the time.
"Secondly, he will say that at that time he knew, and he said this in evidence yesterday, he knew the substance of the DPP's direction."

And you said:
"Yes, Judge, I accept that.
Q. And he will say that the only issue that he complained to you about was that this direction having
been given to him and he being aware of it only through the State solicitor, although he never actually -although he mentioned how he was aware of it to you, that Inspector Cunningham had sat on it -- " MR. WHELAN: "Never mentioned".
MR. MCDOWELL: "... never mentioned how he was aware of it to you, that Inspector Cunningham had sat on it for three weeks.
A. No, definitely not, Judge. That is not what the meeting was about."

And Mr. Justice O'Higgins said:
"Was that mentioned at the meeting?"

And you said: "Sorry?"

He said:
"was that mentioned at the meeting?"

And you said:
"No, well I have absolutely no recollection that it was a complaint, if you like, from Sergeant McCabe in relation to Inspector Cunningham, because if that was his complaint I would certainly have acted on it... Right."

Now, I then put to you:
"I have listened carefully to your evidence today, Chief Superintendent Rooney, and I note that you haven't said that at the meeting Sergeant McCabe expressed anger and annoyance towards the Director of Public Prosecutions, that is in the letter we received."

And you answered:
"A. Yes.
Q. I have got to suggest to you (a) that he never expressed annoyance or anger towards the DPP and had no reason to."

And then you replied:
"No, he certainly didn't use those words to me, Judge. They are my interpretation of what Sergeant McCabe was saying to me. From what he was saying to me, I took it he was angry with the Director of Public Prosecutions that may not --"

And then I interrupted you, possibly rudely, and said:
"Sorry, let's stop for a second there. We were given a letter yesterday stating that he had sought a meeting with you in June or July which was facilitated and it
can only be on the account you gave to the Chief State Solicitor: 'At the meeting Sergeant McCabe expressed anger and annoyance towards the Director of Public Prosecutions'. Now, either that's true or it's not
true, is it true?"

And you answered:
"what I said, Judge, is, he did not use those words to me. It was my interpretation of the form of the conversation that he appeared to me to be annoyed with the Director of Pub1ic Prosecutions. That was my interpretation of what was coming across to me. That may not be what Sergeant McCabe actually meant."

And Mr. Justice O'Higgins said:
"Forget about the words he used. Did he express anger and annoyance at the DPP or did you just infer that he was angry?
A. I would have inferred rather than he actually saying it, Judge."

I went on:
"what is more, he denies emphatically that he asked you or demanded of you that you communicate with the Director of Public Prosections to seek a declaration of his innocence."

1

## You answered:

"Yes, we11 that was my interpretation of what he was saying to me."

I said:
"Hold on a second. What did he actually say to you? Because you're drawing inferences from his facial
expressions now to say he was angry with the DPP and now you are suggesting that he is seeking a declaration demanding he use the phrase in this letter that he seek -- you seek a declaration of his innocence from the DPP."

And you said:
"what he was saying."

And I said:
"Did you ever use any words along the lines to you --"

I presume that's "he".
"-- I demand that you write to the DPP and get a declaration of my innocence?"

And you answered:
"We11, to say that he says I demand, he didn't use that language. what he was saying to me, Judge, was, you can write, and I was saying I cannot do what you are asking me to do, and he said you can do it, I want you to do it. Now, that, to me, is making a demand of me to do something and that is what $I$ was expressing in the report I was writing."

And Mr. Justice O'Higgins said:
"I'm having a little bit of difficulty understanding that.

You said it was your interpretation. I mean, again forget about what words he used. If he wanted you to write to the DPP no matter what way you said it, did he say it, and, if he said it, why was that only --"

I presume that's "If he didn't say it, why was that on7y your interpretation?"

And you said:
"He absolutely asked me to write to the DPP."

And the Judge said:
"why did you say then that that was your interpretation of he said?
A. No, maybe -- I'm sorry, Judge, if I didn't explain it properly. I'm just quoting what you said it was your interpretation of."

And you said:
"No, he absolutely asked me to write to the DPP, but he 16:52 was further saying to me when I said I cannot write to the DPP, he was saying yes you can and asking me to do it. Those are the circumstances in relation to it."

And I put it to you:
"I have to suggest to you that that is not the case. I'm also putting it to you that the words 'seeking a declaration of his innocence' or words to that effect were never exchanged between you at this meeting."

And you said:
"Absolutely, Judge, he asked me to communicate with the DPP because he wanted, what I understood from him, the DPP to say that he was innocent. It could well be, Judge, that what he meant was that he wanted the DPP's directions to show to somebody else's innocence."

CHAIRMAN: Well, "else his innocence", perhaps. MR. MCDOWELL: "His innocence". Yes.
"So which is it? I mean, paragraph 16 of this letter is so clear, you say he expressed anger and annoyance towards the DPP. He demanded that you communicate with the DPP. Now you're saying he wanted to be in a position to show the DPP's wording to somebody else. Is that it?"

And you said:
"That's what I am saying, Judge. I can only tell you what my interpretation of the meeting I had with
Sergeant McCabe was. There's no question about it. He asked me to write to the Director of Public Prosecutions to, if you like, establish his innocence or show his innocence."

And you were asked:
"Did he take a record of that meeting?"
CHAIRMAN: Well, Mr. MCDowell, it is possible to interpret that as saying he wanted the circulation because the circulation would show his innocence. MR. MCDOWELL: Yes.

550 Q. So I'm asking you, Chief Superintendent Rooney, at that point, paragraph 16 of your letter appeared to have
been seriously erroneous in a number of ways, and surely that was the -- those were the two paragraphs that you yourself assumed responsibility for?
A. I don't agree. I don't agree at all, Judge. Sergeant McCabe and I were very, very friendly over many years, and on the day he came to me we were still friends on that particular day. we had a very good relationship, that we could talk to each other in a very friendly and understanding way. And what I was saying that day is that, at that meeting with Sergeant McCabe, I found him 16:54 in a different mood completely to the Sergeant McCabe that I knew, and he was challenging me and wanting me to do something for him that I was not comfortable to do. And that's where I am expressing the view that Sergeant McCabe was annoyed and that he wasn't happy. It is from my overall general view and understanding and know7edge of Sergeant McCabe. And the second point you raise is that I said that he demanded of me, as though that I was insinuating something. And if you look at Sergeant McCabe's report of the 25th February 2008 to his superintendent on the same subject, he said: I urge you, Superintendent, to write to the Director of Public Prosecutions. Now, I demand you or I ask you or I urge you, in my view means the same thing. In the same way if I was asked to describe what 16:55 took place at the commission on the 15th may, it would be quite right of me to describe in a narrative that people were very angry and upset, even though they never used those words.

551 Q. So, okay. We11, I think we can agree this: that the absolute high watermark was that he was asking that a letter be written to the DPP to allow the substance of his decision to be shown to the $D$ family?
A. Absolutely.

16:56
552 Q. And I've got to suggest to you that, in those circumstances, paragraph 16 is a seriously misleading and prejudicial set of statements to make about him?
A. Well, I don't want to comment on that, Judge.

553 Q. I see. But when you signed off on the letter and said you were only taking responsibility for the bits that you were concerned with, nobody else except yourself and Sergeant McCabe were at that meeting, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

554 Q. So I take it that you were referring to paragraph 16 at least when you took responsibility for the letter?
A. We11, you also know, Mr. McDowe11, that that is a letter with my legal team that $I$ cannot discuss.
555 Q. I mean, I fully understand, and I'm not going to -- I have told the Tribunal I'm not going to pry into your privilege, but I do want you to indicate when you say you accepted responsibility for the portions of the letter that you were concerned with, are you saying you really don't accept responsibility for paragraph 16 at
A. What $I$ say in the note that $I$ put on the letter, what's written on that is what $I$ mean, that $I$ accept responsibility for the accuracy of what I contributed
to. Any more than that, I cannot say.
CHAIRMAN: I think Chief Superintendent Rooney's position is, he thinks that paragraph 16 is accurate. That's what he thinks now.
A. Exactly.

CHAIRMAN: And in the event that he's wrong about that, he's wrong about that. But that's what he says. MR. MCDOWELL: I see.

CHAIRMAN: I take a more subtle and a more nuanced view, which is, the whole thing was about could the DPP change his mind, could the senior officers change their mind in order to allow circulation, because it is as close as one could ever get in terms of a DPP's ruling when one has been accused of something. MR. MCDOWELL: He was asking that a request be made of the DPP to show the letter.

CHAIRMAN: Well, that is entirely possible.
MR. MCDOWELL: It wasn't the DPP changing his mind.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. McDowell, I don't regard it as unreasonable that Sergeant McCabe would make that case. 16:58 I can understand why he would.

556 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Now, can I then just bring you briefly to the proposition that Sergeant McCabe had, in or around this time, presented - this is set out in paragraph 15 - Superintendent Clancy with a series of operational issues in respect of which he was requesting his support?
A. Nothing to do with me.

557 Q. Sorry, that had nothing to do with you? But you did
know that in March 2008 Sergeant McCabe applied to be redeployed from his position?
A. Yes.

558 Q. And this request was granted?
A. Yes.

559 Q. And you do know that you directed, subsequent to him going to Mullingar, that the matters that he had raised in relation to Mr. D and his difficulties with Mr. D, you directed that Superintendent Clancy should investigate those?
A. No, I did not.

CHAIRMAN: I thought you said that you did.
A. Superintendent Clancy?

MR. MCDOWELL: Sorry, Superintendent Cunningham.
A. Oh, yes, yes.

CHAIRMAN: Yes, I am sorry, I took Clancy to mean Cunningham.
A. Yes, the letter of the 25 th February 2008, yes, that was sent to me by Superintendent Clancy, and we referred to it earlier. I sent it to the assistant commissioner, with a recommendation that a chief superintendent be appointed and he sent it back to me telling me to do it at local level, and I appointed Superintendent Cunningham, that's correct.
560 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: And Superintendent Cunningham comes to Sergeant McCabe in August 2008, at Mullingar, and tells him that he wants to interview him in relation to the matters he had raised about Mr . D and the problems with Mr. D at the station, isn't that right?
A. Yeah. We11, it went out to Superintendent Cunningham in February 2008.
Q. Yes?
A. And he had it for some time, endeavouring to set up a meeting with Sergeant McCabe and he was having a lot of 17:00 difficulty getting that meeting set up and eventually they met in Mullingar to deal with the issue.
562 Q. I see. And just so that the Tribunal has a clear picture of what happened, Sergeant McCabe we know, because we have a report of that meeting, made it clear 17:00 to Superintendent Cunningham that he didn't wish to progress that at all any further, that he was now getting on with the rest of his life in Mullingar and he didn't wish to go back over that territory at all, isn't that right?
A. Well, Judge, that was not within the remit of Sergeant McCabe to decide. Because that report -CHAIRMAN: And I appreciate, but it's the kind of thing that's taken into account.
A. Yes, of course.

CHAIRMAN: It was, because $I$ have read it.
A. Yes, of course.

CHAIRMAN: I understand your position.
A. The problem I had, is that within that case that he was making he had mentioned elements where criminal conduct 17:01 may have taken place in respect of a number of members. CHAIRMAN: I know that.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: But you know, at the end of the day if
someone gets a punch in the face in a pub and they say 'oh, so and so did it, but I don't want anything to happen'.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: I mean, that will be taken into account. Not always, but a lot of the time.
A. Yes.

563 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: He didn't want to become the complainant in a series of further --
A. Yes, absolutely, that was clearly his view, yes.
Q. That is the point.
A. And I directed Superintendent Cunningham to pursue the matter. Because it did concern me that there were allegations of alleged sexual assault within that case that he was making, in relation to young female guards and --

CHAIRMAN: I know. And I appreciate that.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: You will appreciate I don't want to --
A. Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN: And there's the barbecue and there's people's wives and there's the lot --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- and you know.
MR. MCDOWELL: But what I'm suggesting to you, Chief Superintendent Rooney, is that the next thing that happened is that Mr. Costello, Séan Costello, wrote to the Gardaí asking that this should be dropped, that it was putting additional stress on his client and he did not want to persist with this as the complainant in respect of these matters?
A. Yes. As I understand it, Judge, he wrote to Superintendent Cunningham. He didn't write to me.
Q. Yes. Eventually, amn't I right in saying, that it was coincidence, and I'm not saying there's anything strange at all, that this matter landed on the desk of the assistant commissioner for human relations at the time who was none other than Nóirín O'Sullivan and she directed that this investigation should be subsumed into the Byrne/McGinn process, isn't that right?
A. Well, I would have no knowledge of that at all. I wasn't actually aware of that.

569 Q. It ended at that point.
CHAIRMAN: She had Mr. Barrett's job at the time?
A. Yes, she would have.

MR. McDOWELL: I think so. So things are somewhat circular, but these things do happen, Judge.
Q. So, in any event, at that stage that was the end of the effort, was it, to interview Sergeant McCabe on that issue as a discrete separate inquiry?
A. Insofar as I was concerned once Superintendent Cunningham received the report from Mr. Costelloe, we didn't pursue that matter any further.
Could I ask you to look at the submission that was made on your behalf on 11th June, which is --
CHAIRMAN: It's 1452.
MR. MCDOWELL: 1452. Thank you Judge. Sorry Judge. CHAIRMAN: I think so. It's paragraphs 69 and 70.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: You have to go on a bit from 1452. It's on the screen.

MR. MCDOWELL: I need my own copy.
MS. LEADER: Volume 2B. It's the last document.
572 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: There's just a couple of things I just want to draw your attention to. At pages 1446 and 1447 there's a discussion at paragraphs 41 to 43 about the complaint that had been made against probationer Garda Ferghal McCarthy and the terms in which it was made. And you'11 see at paragraph 41:
"Chief superintendent Grogan gave evidence that if the authorisation was intended to relate to only one charge he would have expressly said so in the letter of authorisation. The reference to corrupt or improper
practice was simply accidentally omitted. Accordingly, the reference to alleged neglect of duty should not have been read as limiting the scope of the investigation. It was not viewed as such by any of the members of the force involved. Furthermore, it had no impact on the conduct of the disciplinary investigation. A further issue of concern to the Commission was the combination of the allegations of acting in a corrupt manner and acting in an improper manner in the same alleged stated breach of discipline.'

And it is stated:
"As noted by the Commission, this practice is prohibited by Garda HQ Directive 159/08, paragraph 10.1.3. However, as the Commission also noted, directive 159/08 postdated the preparation of the form relating to Garda McCarthy. The corresponding provision in place at the time was paragraph 10.10.3 of the Garda Síochána Code which provided guidance on the Garda Disciplinary Regulations 1989. However, when the disciplinary investigation of Garda McCarthy began the 1989 regulations were no longer in force as they had been replaced by the Garda Disciplinary Regulations 2007. Accordingly, at the commencement of the disciplinary investigation there was no directly relevant guidance in place. It must, however, be accepted that Chief Superintendent Rooney and Sergeant
o'Connell gave evidence that they were not aware of the to direction to separate the alleged breaches of acting in a corrupt manner and acting in an improper manner, both witnesses indicated --"

And you deal with that issue at the time, and it was a live issue, was it not, at the time that the particular charge was whatever it is, acting in a corrupt manner or malpractice or improperly, it was a confusingly broad term to use and you had some difficulty with it at the time?
A. I wouldn't say that I had some difficulty with it, Judge. Clearly it was incorrect. And as I said to the Judge -- I didn't directly make that out, but I took responsibility for it, as the person with overall responsibility in respect of it.
573 Q. Yes.
A. The regulation was there, they shouldn't have been put together and the problem that Mr. Justice o'Higgins had that the word corruption was being used and he was anxious to ensure that that didn't, if you like, flow through the modules or interfere in any way with his Commission. He was very anxious to get that cleared out of the way.
574 Q. I only draw that your attention to just make the point and to lay the foundation for a proposition that that particular aspect of the Garda Code gave rise to some difficulty, in that it created the danger that people would use the term corruption in an inappropriate way?
A. Yes. Yes.

575 Q. And in fact just to finish off there, you said:
"Both witnesses indicated that they'd used the form of words because it was described as such in the regulations, i.e. paragraph 8 of the schedule to the regulations, rather than because they believed sergeant McCarthy's conduct should be investigated as being both corrupt and improper. It is, therefore, unfortunate that the regulations seem to suggest that the two terms 17:10 should be alleged jointly rather than separately. It is submitted that this may be a matter which may be considered by the Minister for Justice and Equality so that the position could be clarified within the text of the regulations."

I am just making the point that at a later stage and it's not really for you, that this was not something which was without difficulty?
A. No, it wasn't. I suppose, Judge, if we go back further 17:10 far enough, when I studied for my exams they were one and the same and later on as a result of court decisions they were split up. And obviously we didn't pick it up at the time when this was being dealt with.
576 Q. I see. Could I then just bring you to paragraph 62 onwards in those submissions? By the time the submissions had been made Mr. Justice O'Higgins said the most that could be alleged against Sergeant McCabe was that he had a grievance with An Garda Síochána.

CHAIRMAN: Real or perceived.
A. Real or perceived, that is what he said.

MR. McDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: He said he thought that had already been proved or was already on the evidence.
MR. MCDOWELL: Yes. what was set out in those paragraphs, apart from paragraph 63 was:
"A complaint was made against Sergeant McCabe around December 2006 which involved a sensitive and serious matter."

Then there is a description of the interaction between Sergeant McCabe and others in respect of the Director of Public Prosecutions directions, isn't that right, in 17:12 the following paragraphs?
A. Yes. But again, as I said, I really don't want to get into the discussion of that document.
Q. I see. I mean, it was put in on your behalf, you know that? It wasn't just the Commissioner was putting this 17:12 in, this was put in on your behalf as well.
A. Yes, I accept that.

579 Q. At paragraph 69 to 70 I think Ms. Leader brought you to it, the charge was repeated again:
"It's understood that Superintendent Cunningham and Sergeant Martin will give evidence that Sergeant McCabe said at the meeting that the complaint which he had made (alleging lack of support as referred to in the
preceding paragraph) --"

And that is lack of support by Superintendent Clancy.
"-- was a bid by him to have the full directions conveyed to him and the complaining party. This is recorded in a report of a meeting prepared jointly by Sergeant Martin and Superintendent Cunningham."

Then it is said:
"It is submitted that these interactions are critical to the understanding of the behaviour of Sergeant McCabe and of the responses of the various officers to his complaints. Prior to this, the complaints he made were few in number and adequately dealt with. Thereafter they multiplied and showed a tendency to exaggeration, such as in relation to this incident which was recognised by, among others, Assistant Commissioner Byrne and Chief Superintendent McGinn."

Now we needn't get into the tiny detail of it, but Sergeant McCabe had alleged that there was a sexual assault involved in the Lorraine Brown affair, and this was regarded as a gross exaggeration in the Byrne/McGinn report but Mr. Justice O'Higgins believed that there was a sexual angle to it.
A. Yes, he did, Judge. That was his finding.

580 Q. But the suggestion in paragraph 71 is that he
exaggerated this, that the reason that he exaggerated this has to be referred back to -- repeat again:
"It is submitted that these interactions are critical to the understanding of the behaviour of Sergeant McCabe and of the responses of the various officers to his complaints. Prior to this the complaints he made were few in number and adequately dealt with. Thereafter they multiplied and showed a tendency to exaggeration, such as in relation to this incident, which was recognised by among others, Assistant Commissioner Byrne and Chief Superintendent McGinn.'"

So the suggestion there clearly is, rightly or wrongly, and Mr. Justice O'Higgins thought it was wrong, that the suggestion that this was an incident involving a sexual assault had to be understood by reference to Sergeant McCabe's dissatisfaction with how he had been treated in An Garda Síochána in relation to the DPP's and Ms. D issue, isn't that right?
A. Yes. But I mean, I accept that that's what Mr. Justice O'Higgins clearly said, that he believed it was a sexual assault. The Byrne/McGinn report didn't hold that view.
581 Q. No?
A. And in the context a sexual assault, it was a very, very minor issue. Clearly it was one guy just pinching a lady in the backside, and that's what Mr. Justice O'Higgins found was a sexual assault. I think if it
was debated in other places, I think other judges may differ with that.

We11 certainly, there were times when in different jurisdictions it was hardly regarded as a very grave sexual assault, but I mean Mr. Justice O'Higgins thought that it was not untrue to say that this was a sexual assault?
A. He did, Mr. McDowe11. But I was afraid you were conveying the view that it was a very serious sexual assault that the Byrne/McGinn team were ignoring and I think they were entitled to their view which was reasonable.

583 Q. But let's remember Ms. Lorraine Brown actually fled her bus and hid in the bushes and summoned assistance. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And so did somebody else.
A. Yes.

584 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: So I'm not going to, I'm not going to ask you to put it on a graph of seriousness, but it was what it was?
A. Yes.

585 Q. Then at paragraph 72 it's stated:
"These issues will be relevant to subsequent modules where they can be considered again. However, in relation to this specific module it's submitted that Ms. Browne to encourage a complaint to GSOC and to include it in his brief proving facts pertaining to my complaint document."

Was that done on your instruction?
A. Well again, you know, I have said, Mr. McDowe11, that I don't want to discuss this document in any great detail.
Q. I won't press you on it. But may I infer from that at the very least that this was -- that paragraph was not your idea?
A. Well, you'd be better not to infer, Mr. McDowell. we'11 just leave it as it is.
Q. There's one or two other matters I want to put to you. I just want to put a time sequence to you that Sergeant McCabe and his diary, which was handed to the o'Higgins Commission, shows that he got a phone call from the state solicitor on the 11th April 2007 in relation to the D matter, that he met you on the 26th April 2007, that he met Superintendent Cunningham on the matter on the 8th May of 2007. Could that be right?
A. No. That's incorrect, Mr. McDowell. Sergeant McCabe himself corrected that record at the commission when the Judge put it to him. That he came to me while he was on sick leave, which clearly he was on the 26th, and Sergeant McCabe accepted that he did not come to me while he was on sick leave. So that is not correct, Mr. McDowell.

588 Q. Well I suppose it's not going to be the -- those are my instructions.

CHAIRMAN: No, it really isn't important. And indeed, I was a wee bit confused about the delay, the meeting
in the hotel, etcetera, and Superintendent Cunningham being attached to another station and then coming back.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: And vis-à-vis the sexual element,
Mr. McDowe11, I think --
MR. MCDOWELL: Sorry, Judge, I didn't want to interrupt. I'm trying to finish.

CHAIRMAN: According to the statement of Lorraine Brown; filthy talk, talk about privates, the groping of two girls. So I'm not sure you can say it wasn't -- it 17:19 was trivial. It wasn't a joke.

MR. MCDOWELL: It wasn't a joke at a11, Judge, no. CHAIRMAN: No, no. Far, far from it.
A. Yes.

589 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: On day 14 -- sorry, day 4 rather at page 17:19 8, Mr. Smyth cross-examined you at page 8 of the transcript for day 4, he said, this is about the DPP's policy, at question 17:
"For completeness, could you say what that policy was, what you understood it to be?"

And you answered:
"I advised Sergeant McCabe of the policy of the DPP in relation to dealing with such issues, a policy which I would have believed that Sergeant McCabe himself was fully aware of. I told Sergeant McCabe that I could not seek such a declaration on his behalf from the DPP
from the Director of Public Prosecutions.
Q. Were you aware at that stage, did he indicate to you that he had been exonerated by the authority?
A. Not to my recollection, Judge, but I cannot say for certain.
Q. So what was his attitude to your decision not to contact the DPP?"

And Mr. Justice O'Higgins intervened:
"Sorry, you must have known, you must have known that he knew, what would be the point of him looking for a declaration if he wasn't aware that there was no prosecution going to happen?"

And your answer was:
"Yes, as I understood it from what he was saying to me, Judge, was what he wanted the DPP to specifically say was he was innocent."

And Mr. Justice O'Higgins said.

> "Yes."

And you said:
"Rather than --"

And Mr. Justice O'Higgins said:
"But you were saying you weren't sure whether he was aware he had been exonerated at that stage, no?
A. Oh no, the question was did he discuss with him." 17:21

And Mr. Smyth said:
"No, no, in actual fact, that is not the question $I$ asked you."

And you answered:

## "Sorry.

Q. I said, did you know at that stage, lest there be any doubt this, did you know at that stage that he had been exonerated?"

And you said:
"I would imagine I did, Judge, yeah."
A. Yes. And I think the point that I'm making there, that quite obvious7y the Director's decision was given to Sergeant McCabe on the 8 th May 2008, but the question is would I have seen the decision of the DPP or at what 17:21 stage did I see the decision of the DPP. You know, I may have been told of it as soon as Superintendent Cunningham got it or it may only come to me in the course of correspondence, which may be much time later.

590 Q. Without getting into a lengthy re-examination of it again, I've got to suggest to you that that is quite different from what was implied by paragraph 16 of the 1etter?
CHAIRMAN: Well, I do see the difference and I see that 17:22 Chief Superintendent Cunningham is now saying, look, I wil1 accept that what he wanted was circulation of the DPP's letter, by whatever means that was to occur, it might have necessitated writing to the DPP. MR. McDOWELL: Yes.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: It might have necessitated --
MR. MCDOWELL: It was common case, Judge, that Sergeant McCabe was requesting this witness to write to the DPP.
A. Yes.

MR. MCDOWELL: This witness was saying no, you can do it as a private citizen, I'm not doing it, is that correct?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. It could be that the problem was there 17:22 were the published guidelines.

MR. McDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: It could be that it could have been done informally through the state solicitor or something anyway --
MR. MCDOWELL: He was asking that the directions be shown.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCDOWELL: And you were saying that the DPP's
guidelines did not permit it, is that it?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Well, it didn't permit the state solicitor either to read the letter out over the phone, but there was another member of the Gardaí involved -- well, that 17:23 could have happened too and for a11 I know it did happen, I don't know. But anyway, I'm not even sure, Mr. McDowe11, let's suppose it did happen, it'd be kind of wishful thinking to say it would have calmed things in the light of everything that happened subsequently.
It probably wouldn't have, it might have made everything worse for all I know.
591 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Your position, whatever it is, is that you were saying if he wanted any movement from you, on this issue, it was not going to come from you, he'd have to bring it up with the DPP himself?
A. Yes. And as the Judge rightly said, number one, it was the policy of the Director at that time, it was the Commissioner's policy and you know I just felt it wouldn't be appropriate for me to communicate with the DPP.

CHAIRMAN: I don't even think you can write to the DPP that, Mr. McDowel1. The only exception in the Act is section 4.

MR. MCDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: which is about the prosecution or non-prosecution of someone.

MR. MCDOWELL: I'm not suggesting that people can't write to the DPP.

CHAIRMAN: We11, I don't think you can. But anyway. Maybe that is a strained interpretation. Section 4 is the only exception; you cannot communicate with the DPP, exception, section 4, prosecution or non-prosecution.
MR. MCDOWELL: Judge, I should clarify I am being instructed now that my client's view is that he never actually -- that that interchange about the DPP just simply never happened --
CHAIRMAN: Al1 right.
MR. MCDOWELL: -- with this witness.
CHAIRMAN: Fine. Well, that is fine.
MR. MCDOWELL: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN: Did you have any questions?
MR. WHELAN: I wonder if I could impose on the court and stenographer for two questions.

MS. GLEESON: Chairman, I have one or two very short questions.
MR. WHELAN: Sorry.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, Ms. Gleeson, carry on.

THE WITNESS WAS THEN CROSS-EXAMINED BY MS. GLEESON:
592 Q. MS. GLEESON: Mr. Rooney, I just wanted to clarify one or two matters in relation to the submissions. If you can go to the evidence of Annmarie Ryan before the
Tribunal which is on day 42 , I don't know if the witness could be given a copy of the transcript, if there is a hard copy of the transcript available, I don't have one I'm afraid, $I$ have only a soft copy
available [SAME HANDED]. This is day 42, Mr. Rooney. And it's at page 55. If you can just look at line 8 there. Page 55, line 8.
A. Yeah yes.

593 Q. This is the evidence of Annmarie Ryan, just discussing the submissions. At line 8 the Chairman asked:
"Sorry for interrupting, but I take it that point of sending submissions to Chief Superintendent Healy was for him to come back to you presumably after having checked with anyone who was involved as to whether these were correct and to say to you yes, you have the go ahead on that."

And Ms. Ryan answered:
"Yes. Initially when we got the submission I recall speaking to Chief Superintendent Healy. I am acting for a lot of people here, I suppose just conscious how are we going to get these agreed so quickly and get
them before, get them lodged with the Commission.
Counsel advised that they would want all clients to ensure that they were happy with content of same and arising out of that it would have been circulated to al1 witnesses until final confirmation came that
submissions were ready to be lodged -- well, not lodged, but submitted to the Commission.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
A. And that was the way all submissions worked
throughout it. They may have changed somewhat in the way we dealt with them, that I probably would have been sending submissions as it went on directly to clients but the final drafts would have been all coming back and always have been recirculated, that would be circulated to Chief Superintendent Healy. Timing was a huge problem in relation to it."

And then the Chairman:
"Yes. But the point was that something that is being sent in on behalf of multiple clients, your expectation was that advices of counsel were Chief Superintendent Healy knew nothing was to go in on their behalf unless it had been checked by them and they were happy factually with what was being said on their behalf in writing in the submission.
A. Yes. There is an email on my file in relation to module 1, the first submissions we are doing from counsel advising that. I don't know the full content of it, but I am aware there is an email from counsel in relation to that and going forward that is what was done. That style in respect of all submissions lodged."

So would you accept, Mr. Rooney, that that is Ms. Ryan confirming that counse 1 had asked her to circulate the submissions to you and for you to review them?
A. Yes, Judge. Absolutely.

594 Q. And would you accept that that is actually what she did also?
A. Yes. I do.

595 Q. And then I think you also in addition to that spoke to Fergus Healy about the submissions, would you accept 17:27 that?
A. That I spoke to Fergus Healy?
Q. That you spoke to Fergus Healy about the submissions.
A. Which submission are we talking about now?

597 Q. This is the module 1 submissions.
A. No, I didn't. No, I didn't.

598 Q. Well, if I can just take you then to page 3828, that's in volume 7 , if that can be put up for the witness. Do you have that note, Mr. Rooney?
A. Yes, I do.

599 Q. I'm going to go through it, it's a short note. It says 11th June 2015. This is Fergus Healy's diary. He says:
"Spoke to Annmarie Ryan about the draft submission to the Commission of Investigation Cavan-Monaghan. She inquired on the sign off on draft submission. I advised I sent it to Karl Heller, Catherine Clancy, Maura Lernihan, Noe1 Cunningham and Colm Rooney. Spoke to Colm Rooney and Karl Heller. No further comment."
A. Yes.

600 Q. Would you accept that that suggests --
A. Yes. Sorry, I thought you were talking about the drafts in relation to module 1 . This is actually the
submission.
601 Q. That is a submission.
A. I apologise.

602 Q. Correct. So you spoke to Fergus Healy and you told him having received the submissions you had no further comment on them?
A. No. I actually made a submission in relation to it, from my knowledge.
MR. WHELAN: And the content of that submission, Judge, would fall within his legal privilege.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. But you engaged with it is the question --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- that Ms. Gleeson is asking.
A. Yes, I did, Judge.

603 Q. MS. GLEESON: In any event, you didn't, as Ms. Leader has already put it to you, that paragraph 69 of those submissions which you've been through already, which contain the mistake in relation to the complaint being against Clancy, also included a reference to yourself, but you didn't identify that mistake either to Fergus Healy or to any member of your legal team having seen the submissions?
A. I think I have already explained that. That that was not my information, it was not my instructions, and if I was to interfere in anybody else's instructions I think you would have total confusion. So I stayed to what was my knowledge and my instructions and I didn't
go outside that.
604 Q. Thank you Mr. Rooney. No further questions.

## THE WITNESS WAS THEN EXAMINED BY MR. WHELAN:

605 Q. MR. WHELAN: Judge, two matters briefly, retired chief superintendent. The first is: I think I understood correctly Mr. McDowe11 to put to you in his first question that his report from the Anglo-Celt suggested that you were critical of the Byrne/McGinn report, but in fact as you read it, the report, or the report you were critical of was previous newspaper reports, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

606 Q. Am I correct in understanding your evidence to be that those previous newspaper reports, whatever the legal definitions, used the word corruption in characterising the various investigations?
A. Yes.

607 Q. okay. Can I ask you then, and I am very conscious what the Chairman had to say summarising the evidence at the 17:31 start of the cross-examination, and I know at this stage he has indicated he's minded towards a nuanced view, but there is one important fact that may assist the context for that nuance. And the chronology it seems is that in early April 2007 the state solicitor got the letter of directions from DPP which directed no prosecution, on the 11th April he a conversation with Sergeant Maurice McCabe, on my reading and I'm open to correction, in which it appears she communicated the
full contents of that letter of directions to Sergeant Maurice McCabe. And then, whenever this meeting was, whether it was May or June, that by the time he came to meet you he had the full details of those directions from the state solicitor we now know, isn't that correct?
A. That is correct, and did he not discuss them or let me know that he had them.
608 Q. That is the question: At the time you had this conversation about whatever he was asking you to write to the DPP about, and it seems now that he is suggesting he didn't ask you anything, but at the time when you were having this conversation, there was that confusion in understanding at least between you, in that he had the full directions which could be read as something exoneration or very close to an exoneration, but you didn't know that he had the full directions, isn't that correct?
A. No, I did not.

CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see that. I see that point
Mr. Whelan. But again, unless you were to learn it off over the phone back like a Yeats poem and then recite it --
MR. WHELAN: I suppose if I put it to the witness and to you, Judge --
CHAIRMAN: I know, he a knowledge of that and he didn't share that, but it's not quite the same thing -everyone is calling for the written copy here. And you know, I doubt Sergeant McCabe was any different to
that.
MR. WHELAN: But it is one thing to tell a person that a prosecution is not proceeding for lack of evidence, which at the time was the standard communication, wasn't it, chief superintendent --
A. Yes.

609 Q. -- that every guard gave to an accused person, isn't that correct?
A. Absolutely.

610 Q. And in accordance with the DPP's regulation and Garda policy that was the only communication that could be formally given, isn't that correct?
A. The only communication, yes.

611 Q. And ultimately, whether it was before or after your meeting, whatever about that dispute, that was the communication Superintendent Cunningham gave Sergeant McCabe, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. It seems he was given more details by the state solicitor, isn't that correct?
A. Oh, much more. He was given the full details as I understand it from the state solicitor.

613 Q. But you did not know that when he was having this conversation with you, that is the subject of paragraph 16 in the letter?
A. No. I did not know that and neither did I know the conversation that he had with Superintendent Cunningham. In other words, I didn't know how much information Superintendent Cunningham actually shared
with him.
614 Q. When did you become aware, when did you become aware that the state solicitor had communicated the content or full content of the DPP's directions to him? when did you first become aware of that?
A. At the Commission.

615 Q. At the Commission?
A. Yes.

616 Q. Am I to take it, it was after the key date of the 18th May?
A. I'm not sure.

617 Q. Okay. Because Mr. McDowe11 in the passage he opened earlier put it to you that Mr. McCabe would say that he was aware of the directions but he didn't tell you how he was or that he had got them from the state solicitor?
A. Yeah, he certainly didn't tell me.

618 Q. And he was saying that to you on the 18th or 19th?
A. Yes. And indeed, if he had shared it with me probably the meeting would have made much more sense.

619 Q. You'd have understood what he was looking for --
A. Absolutely.

620 Q. -- if it was unclear what he was looking for, if you knew that he knew the full directions?
A. Absolutely.

621 Q. Thank you very much, chief superintendent.
CHAIRMAN: Is there anything? MS. LEADER: No, thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
A. Thank you Judge for your assistance.

THE WITNESS WITHDREW

CHAIRMAN: We might go off transcript just to discuss the future. I think I would have to congratulate Mr. McDowe11; I think, Mr. McDowe11, your mimicking of some of the voices on the transcript you read out today has been spot on. So Mr. McGuinness - we don't have to record this, but - would you just please tell us where we are going and we will get an idea, as it is a Friday evening and it is nearly six o'clock. MR. MCGUINNESS: Chairman, we are intending to resume Monday morning at 10:00am to deal with the conclusion of Mr. Barrett's evidence, and then hear evidence from Superintendent Cunningham. And it is intended then to sit again on Wednesday, at 2:00pm, Chairman, I think, isn't that correct?

CHAIRMAN: Well, I hope it will be $2: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ but $I$ have to be in the other place in the morning.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes. We are intending then to take the evidence of Ms. Marion Mannion and then Mr. Cyril Dunne. We are giving consideration as to whether it is necessary to recall any other witnesses in the light of some portion of Ms. Fitzgerald's evidence yesterday but no witnesses have yet been listed for that. Thereafter it is intended to, subject to any issue, to take a break until the papers are served for the next module. CHAIRMAN: I thought we were going to have submissions
on the Tusla module, was it, on Tuesday?
MR. McGUINNESS: Yes. On the Tuesday we are going to schedule the submissions as may be made on the Tusla module, in relation to the creation of the file of Tusla. And Sergeant McCabe's evidence is going to be left over to the commencement of the next module. CHAIRMAN: So that we can hear the entirety of his evidence rather than calling him twice, yes. MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes, rather than focusing on anything out of sequence or out of time.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. And I can hear submissions then in relation to this after he completes his evidence. MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes, on this issue, after he gives his evidence on that.

CHAIRMAN: Well, that will be on everything but obviously any evidence in relation to the concluding module will be -- sorry, any submissions in relation to the concluding module will be heard at the end of the concluding module, but I want to try and get this one out of the way if possible. Al1 right. That, I think, helps everybody because we know where we are going on things. And if it is a recording, we maybe should put it on the transcript. I am sorry, Ms. Kelly, you have been very patient.
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