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THE HEARN NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVS, ON FRI DAY, 11TH MAY 2018:

MR. MARRI NAN The first witness this morning, sir, is

Peter Kirwan, please.

## MR. PETER KI RMAN, HAM NG BEEN SVDRN, WAS DI RECTLY EXAM NED BY MR. MARRI NAN

1 Q. MR. MARRI NAN I think you retired from An Garda Síochána last year, is that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

2 Q. And I think that you held the rank of detective chief superintendent on your retirement, is that right?
A. I did, Chairman, yes.

3 Q. And I think you were attached, for many years you were attached to the Security and Intelligence section in Garda Headquarters, is that right?
A. Yes, Chairman, for the last 20 years of my service I was attached to different sections of Security and Intelligence at detective inspector, detective superintendent and the 1ast six-and-a-half years as detective chief superintendent in charge of Security and Intelligence.
4 Q. Now, I think that in response to a request from Mr. Justice Iarfhlaith O'Neill you prepared a report which was sent to Mr. Justice O'Neill on the 14 th November of 2016, isn't that right?
A. Yes. That request came via the liaison officer. That was Superintendent Broderick.

5 Q. That is to be found at page 160 of the materials, which is volume 1. And I think that there were three specific questions that were raised by Mr. Justice Iarfhlaith O'Neill in his scoping exercise arising out of protected disclosure of Superintendent Taylor, and these are set out at page 161. Firstly, whether there are in existence any intelligence files on Sergeant Maurice McCabe in Garda Headquarters, to include whether there is one file with crime and Security and another file on a special computer at Garda Headquarters named Oisín, is that right?
A. That is correct, yes.

6 Q. I think secondly, to confirm whether there are files kept in Crime and Security on members under investigation which are colloquially known as the corruption file and whether there are any such files kept in relation to either Sergeant Maurice McCabe or Superintendent David Taylor in that respect. And then I think finally, confirm whether there was at any time any interception placed on the phone of Sergeant Maurice McCabe under either the 1993 Interception of Postal Packets and Telecommunications Act or the 2009 Criminal Justice Surveillance Act, isn't that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

7 Q. Now, in order to look into that, I think you set out the background in relation to the Security and Intelligence section and its role in An Garda Síochána, is that right?
A. Yes. That is the way I started in my report. Maybe I
just -- what I did on receipt of the letter of request --
8 Q. Yes?
A. -- I got the three superintendents that report on different facets of operations at Crime and Security, I 10:06 got them together and briefed them, told them I had got this request and on the request it was to be returned -- replied by return. So I explained the urgency of it and then they went on their way and they reported back and that enabled me to compile the report.

9 Q. Yes. I think at page 160 of your report you deal with the background to the Security and Intelligence section and you point out that the section is the central repository within An Garda Síochána for all intelligence material relative to national security, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

10 Q. And that includes --
A. And serious and organised crime as well.

11 Q. -- terrorism and subversive activity, and serious organised crime. And the Security and Intelligence incorporates a number of sections, and you point out the intelligence section in the first instance; what responsibility did that have?
A. That is, I suppose, best described as almost exclusively dealing with State security, security of the State issues. So that would, in the main, take into in counterterrorism, intelligence in relation to
counterterrorism, both collection and analysis and dissemination of that intelligence.
12 Q. And then the National Criminal Intelligence Unit, what responsibility falls on that?
A. Basically the same type of collection, analysis and dissemination, but in that case in relation to serious and organised crime, Chair.

13 Q. And then the Telephone Liaison Unit, what is undertaken then?
A. The Telephone Liaison Unit is a unit exclusively dedicated to processing applications from the force in relation to call-related data requests. That is done under the Communications (Retention of Data) Act 2011. The Act specifies that in the context of Garda Síochána requests, it has to be of the rank of chief
superintendent. As a matter of policy, the
Commissioner of the day, back in 2008 or before that, dictated that it would be one dedicated unit that would process that material, and that was done from my office but with a dedicated unit servicing that and exclusively dealing with that.

14 Q. And just on another issue in relation to the Clerkin investigation, $I$ think that you processed a number of applications for telephone metadata, is that right?
A. Yes, I processed, I think eleven in all, ten in relation to --

15 Q. And I think that you in some instances refused applications as well?
A. Yes, I refused one, yes.

16 Q. That was after consideration of all the circumstances pertaining to the requests, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chair. Just in relation, sorry, before $I$ go -- in relation to TLU, the TLU, as I described dealing with the call-related data, there is a separate section dealing with interception and sometimes it's been referred to as TLU as well, but just to make that distinction; that there is a dedicated unit exclusively dealing with the call-related data requests. In fact, that is completely civilianised; there's no garda now in that unit.

17 Q. I think then there is the National Surveillance Unit as we11; what does that engage in?
A. Basically it provides a covert surveillance capability to the Garda service and in particular, in relation to the powers under the 2009 Criminal Justice (Surveillance) Act.
18 Q. You then go on to deal specifically with the queries that were raised by Mr. Justice O'Neill, which are also 10:10 highly relevant to our inquiry, and the first one was:
" Whet her there are in exi stence any intelligence files on Ser geant Maurice McCabe in Garda Headquarters to incl ude whether there is one file with Crime and Security and another file on a special computer at Gar da Headquarters named Oi sín. "

Now, I think the intelligence data that is held is both
held in paper-based files and electronically on a computer system, isn't that right?
A. That's right. In the 1990s -- I suppose, before the 1990s it was exclusively paper, changed over to computer in mid-1990s and the emphasis has been or the direction has been that it would eventually become completely computerised, so that there is less emphasis now on paper files for a number of years.
19 Q. I think that the computer system itself is known as Oisín, is that right?
A. That's, the most recent database is known as Oisín. It was introduced in 2015, but was in a few years in design and delivery stages before that.
Q. And I think prior to 2015 the system was known as verity?
A. That is correct, Chair, yes.

21 Q. Now, I think that you caused the systems at Security and Intelligence section to be searched for any traces of an Operation Oisín and also Sergeant Maurice McCabe, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chair. And you found that there was no file in existence at Security and Intelligence in respect of sergeant Maurice McCabe, is that right?
A. That is correct.

And I think that you can confirm that there is no file or document held whatsoever which presents Sergeant Maurice McCabe as a target of An Garda Síochána or as a suspect or in a derogatory light, is that right?
A. That is the situation, Chair.
A. That is correct, Chairman.

And I think that that was scheduled to run from the 5th October 1998 to the 21st March of 1999 and its objectives were crime prevention, intelligence gathering on criminals and subversives and provision of cordon system into divisional and regional cooperation, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.
Q. So it doesn't touch on any of the matters that we are concerned with?
A. No.

There was also a trace of an Operation Oisín programme dated 16th March 1998, which related to an exchange programme involving operational offices and intelligence offices on a multiagency basis. Again, that has no bearing on the matters that we are considering, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.
Q. You also confirm that there was no reference to Sergeant Maurice McCabe in the context of the reference to operations or programmes, isn't that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

I think that there was no other trace of an Operation Oisín in the Security and Intelligence systems, but you point out again that the actual system itself is called Oisín. And then looking at references to Sergeant Maurice McCabe, I think that it's recorded on the Security and Intelligence computer system and database in the context of a report from the Monaghan Garda division concerning a drugs operation at Clones, County Monaghan on the 15th May of 2002. Sergeant McCabe was simply part of that Garda search party, isn't that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.
Q. So one would expect that there would be a reference to him in the context of his duties at that time. Other
traces that were found of Sergeant McCabe on the systems do not, in fact, refer to Sergeant Maurice McCabe, but to other Gardaí with a similar family name, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chair. Síochána, isn't that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman, yes.

34 Q. You also found another reference to a Maurice McCabe; however, on examination, it proved that this reference was not to a member of An Garda Síochána, isn't that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

Now, I think that in your report, you indicate that you were happy to make available and for inspection and access and indeed a demonstration to Mr. Justice Iarfhlaith o'Neill of your operating systems in the Crime and Intelligence Unit, is that right?
A. That is correct. It's not unusual for judges, there's
four judges that $I$ had interaction with in the context of oversight of different systems, so that offer was made, yes.
36 Q.
Now, I think you then point out that, in answer to the question to confirm whether there are files kept in Crime and Security on members under investigation, which are colloquially known as the corruption file, and whether there are any such files kept in relation to either Sergeant Maurice McCabe or Superintendent David Taylor. Now, I think that the National Criminal Intelligence Unit conducted a search of both electronic and paper records and found no reference to Maurice McCabe or to David Taylor in the context of an operation, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

Now, as part of the National Criminal Intelligence Unit's wide remit to collate and store intelligence related to serious organised crime, several electronic folders have been created on Oisín in order to provide the user with easy access to intelligence on crime areas, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

38 Q. And you give as an example of that folders consisting of information and reports and intelligence on burglaries, perhaps under the heading of "Cannabis", under the heading of "Sex offenders", and there are general files for the storage and analysis of intelligence -- does not readily fit into any existing operations, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman, yes.
Q. corruption in public office" and it's designed to hold information and reports and intelligence pertaining to misconduct in public office. Typical examples of that you give as misconduct in public office held in this file, include, inter alia, Gardaí alleged or suspected of being involved in drug-trafficking or Gardaí suspected of passing information to criminals, isn't that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

40 Q. I think you caused a search to be carried out in Oisín and the document content management system, and Verity, for the search term "Dave Taylor", and I think due to his career and in particular his service in the Special Detective Unit, the then-Detective Sergeant Dave Taylor is referenced on multiple times on Verity and the DCMS in the context of duties carried out by him in the discharge of his functions as a Garda officer; for example, the conduct of searches, the reporting on suspects and providing intelligence. I think there was one report on Oisín where Superintendent Taylor is reporting on a traffic-related matter in October of 2015, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

41 Q. And you say that for the avoidance of doubt you can confirm that there is no file or document held whatsoever which presents Superintendent David Taylor as a target of An Garda Síochána, a suspect or in a
derogatory light, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

42

You then, at page 164 of your report, move on to the third and final question that was posed: To confirm whether there was at any time an interception placed on the phones of Sergeant Maurice McCabe under either the 1993 Interception of Postal Packets and Telecommunications Act and/or the 2009 Criminal Justice (Surveillance) Act. And I think that you observed that all applications for the lawful interception of telecommunications are processed and prepared by the Telecom Liaison Unit before being considered by the Commissioner, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.
Q. And you point out the circumstances in which interception can take place. Dealing with Sergeant Maurice McCabe, I think that you point out that you had
caused the necessary inquiries and research to be conducted to ascertain whether any authorisation for lawful interception was in place for any communications device for sergeant Maurice McCabe, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

46 Q. And I think no reference period had been provided nor any specific mobile or fixed line number for your assistance, but in order to ensure completeness you took the period from the 1st January 2009 to the 10th November of 2016, is that right?
A. Yes, that is correct, Chairman.

47 Q. I think the timeframe was selected on the basis that the earliest media reference to Sergeant McCabe making a complaint to any member of An Garda Síochána was in early 2010. On that basis, records for a period of 12 months prior to that had been examined for completeness, is that right?
A. That is the situation, Chairman, yes.
Q. I think no specific phone number was provided, research was conducted on Sergeant McCabe's full name and on this basis you can confirm that there has been no application for a lawful authorisation for interception for anyone by that name for the period the 1st January 2009 until the 10th November of 2016, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

49 Q. Now, again, I think that you made available al1 relevant documents for inspection for Mr. Justice o'Neill at the time and those are available to the Tribunal also, isn't that right?
A. That is the situation, Chairman, yes.

50 Q. Now, you then go on to deal for applications for call data or telephone billing to Security and Intelligence in respect of phone numbers used by Superintendent

David Taylor, isn't that right?
A. That is -- that's correct, Chairman.

51 Q. I think that those applications were made to your Department in the context of a criminal investigation into numerous instances of alleged disclosure of information contrary to section 62(1) of the Garda Síochána Act 2005, is that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

52 Q. I think, as you have already indicated to us, a total of ten separate applications were processed in respect of a telecommunications device used by Superintendent Taylor relative to the period December 2014 to May 2015, isn't that right?
A. That is correct.

53 Q. And that related to metadata and, as already indicated, having considered the various applications, you
actually refused certainly one application that was made in the context of that investigation, isn't that right?
A. That is correct, Chairman.

54 Q. Again, all the relevant documentation was made
A. "In summary, l amto confirmthat there is to file in exi stence at Security and Intelligence (formerly Crime and Security) in respect of either Dave Tayl or or Ser geant Maurice McCabe. From 2009 to date nei ther Superintendent Davi d Tayl or nor Sergeant Maurice McCabe 10:27 was the subject of surveillance under the Criminal Justice (Surveillance) Act 2009. Additionally l can confirmthat there is no file or document hel d which presents Superintendent David Tayl or or Sergeant Mauri ce McCabe as targets, indi vidually or collectively, of An Garda Sí ochána or as suspects or in any der ogat ory context. Finally, I amto confirmthat there has been no appl i cation for the I awf ul authorisation for interception 102803 fromfor Maurice McCabe for the period 1 J anuary 2009 until 10 Novenber 2016. "

55 Q. Just two matters. The refusal that I referred to where you refused an application that was being made, I think that related to a journalist's phone, is that right?
A. That is correct.

56 Q. And the other matter that I would like you to deal with is, were you at any time consulted by Commissioner Nóirín O'Sullivan in relation to concerns that Noel Brett had in relation to his phone perhaps being intercepted? Do you have any recollection of that?
A. Yeah. There was a frenzy of allegations in the thing, it's quite possible she would have rang me to see -- I don't have a recollection but $I$ can certainly check that.

57
Q. You don't --
A. Yeah, I can confirm that it's not the case anyway.

58 Q. Yes, no --
A. But I just don't have a firm recollection of that.

59 Q. But do you have a recollection of --
A. Yeah, I have a recollection of the allegations that almost everyone was being tapped, according to the paper, you know.
60 Q. Yes.
A. It's -- yeah, it's quite possible --

61 Q. It's possible, but you have no recollection of it?
A. I don't have a recollection of it, no.

MR. MARRI NAN Thank you very much. Would you answer any questions.
MR. MEDONELL: No questions.
MS. BURNS: No questions.
MR. Ó MU RCHEARTAI GH No questions.
MR. DI GNMM No questions.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
A. Thank you, Chair.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MARR NAN Detective Superintendent Brian Brunton, please.

## DETECTI VE SUPER NTENDENT BRI AN BRUNTON, HAM NG BEEN SUDRN WAS DI RECTLY EXAM NED BY MR. MARRI NAN

MR. MARRI NAN I think, Detective Superintendent Brunton, that you are attached to the Security and Intelligence division and have responsibility for the intelligence section since March of 2011, is that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman. Sand I think on the 20th July last year you received correspondence from Ms. Elizabeth Mullan, solicitor to the Tribunal, that the Tribunal was anxious to advance its investigations into term of reference [i]; namely, to examine all electronic and paper files relating to Sergeant Maurice McCabe held by An Garda Síochána and to consider any material therein relevant to [a], [b], [c], [d], [e] and [f] - is that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

64 Q. I think an arrangement was subsequently put in place for the Chairman, Mr. Justice Peter Charleton, to visit the Security and Intelligence section on the 31st July of last year, is that right?
A. That's correct.

65 Q. And that was to conduct a search of records held in that section. Now, I think in advance of the visit, you provided the Tribunal with a list of 13 keywords which were to be searched across your electronic databases, isn't that right?
A. I was provided by the Tribunal with 13 keywords, yes. 66 Q. Yes, sorry. Yes. I think the keywords were: McCabe,

Maurice, Ms. D's surname and Ms. D's Christian name, rat, Oisín, whistle, blower, whistleblower, person of the year, child, child abuse and kiddie fiddler - is that right?
A. That's correct.

67 Q. I think in advance of the visit by the Chairman, you arranged for searches to be conducted on those keywords on each of the computer systems databases that are maintained within the Security and Intelligence section?
A. That's correct, Chairman.
Q. I think that returned 58,865 hits in respect of the words that have been provided by the Tribunal, is that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman. Some of those hits were hits on partial searches. So, as an example, one of the words provided was the word "rat" so if you look at the word "operation" R-A-T appears within that word, so there were in excess of 24,000 hits alone on the word "rat".

69 Q. Now, I think on the 31st Ju7y at 10:00am the Chairman called to Garda Headquarters and that the Garda Commissioner had arranged for some of her senior management team to meet with the Chairman on his arrival at Garda Headquarters, is that right?
A. That's correct.

70 Q. I think that due to the fact that you were aware that the Tribunal was conducting searches across all the Garda IT systems, there were a large number of senior
managers present, is that right?
A. Yes. There was some senior management present from ICT section as well as Security and Intelligence section.
71 Q. I think the Chairman outlined to all those that were present the importance of the work that he was undertaking and the importance of the work of the Tribunal and his proposed timelines for same, and you spoke at the meeting and outlined the work to the Chairman that you had conducted regarding the key word searches, is that right?
A. That's correct.

72 Q. I think following the meeting, you accompanied the Chairman to the Security and Intelligence section?
A. That's correct.

73 Q. I think that you first visited the Telephone Liaison
Unit and we have heard from the last witness, Mr. Kirwan, in relation to what is done in that unit, and you introduced the Chairman to a member -- senior member of staff there, who provided the Chairman with an explanation of the systems and processes in place within that office, is that right?
A. That's correct.

74 Q. I think the Chairman was then provided with an overview of the operation and use of the IT system within that office by another member of staff, is that right?
A. By the same member of staff, the office manager.

75 Q. Oh, was it the same member of staff?
A. Yes.

76 Q. The Chairman then requested that a search be conducted
on a number of key words in his presence, which was done by the member of staff under the Chairman's direction and supervision, is that right?
A. That's correct.

77 Q. And the Chairman was given an opportunity to view the results of those searches, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. I think it was the Chairman who selected the words to be searched and the words searched and combinations of words searched were from the list that had been provided in advance, is that right?
A. That's correct.
A. That's correct. I was aware of the existence of the files which had been reverend by the previous witness, the ten applications under the 2011 Communications (Retention of Data) Act, and while the Chairman was present in the office $I$ felt it appropriate to bring it to his attention.

80 Q. Now, I think the Telephone Liaison Unit acts as the single point of contact for administering all Garda Síochána requests for communications data made to the communications service providers --
A. That's correct.

81 Q. -- is that right? I think the requests for phone traffic, namely billing data, are currently processed
under the provisions of the Communications (Retention of Data) Act 2011 and previous to the enactment of the 2011 Act, requests were processed under the provisions of the Criminal Justice (Terrorist offences) Act 2005, is that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

82 Q. I think the billing request is signed by the chief superintendent of Security and Intelligence in advance of the request being processed by the communications service providers, is that right?
A. That's correct, as provided for in the legislation. I think that it's after careful checks and considerations have been applied as to the legality, relevance, necessity and proportionality of the applications, is that so?
A. That's correct.

84 Q. I think regarding the interactions in respect of Superintendent Taylor, you are in a position to state that a review of records indicates that applications were made to the Telephone Liaison Unit in respect of phone numbers used by Superintendent Taylor?
A. That's correct.

85 Q. I think these applications were made to the TLU in the context of a criminal investigation into instances of alleged disclosure of information contrary to section 62(1) of the Garda Síochána Act 2005?
A. That's correct.

86 Q. I think as we have heard from Mr. Kirwan, a total of ten separate applications were processed in respect of
communications devices used by Superintendent David Taylor relative to the period December 2014 to May 2015, is that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

87 Q. I think that those files in relation to those ten applications were made available to the Chairman for his inspection, is that right?
A. That's correct.

88 Q. I think you then visited another office within the Telephone Liaison Unit which deals with the
Interception of Postal Packets and Telecommunications Messages (Regulations) Act 1993?
A. That's correct.

89 Q. I think that you introduced the chairman to a member of staff there who provided the Chairman with an
explanation of the systems and processes in place within that office?
A. That's correct.
Q. I think the Chairman was provided with a demonstration of the IT system in that office, and again, the
Chairman requested that a search using keywords be conducted in his presence, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

91 Q. I think that that was done, and the Chairman viewed the results of those searches?
A. That's correct.

92 Q. Again, you point out it was the Chairman who selected the words to be searched and the words searched and combinations of words searched were from the list that
had been provided in advance?
A. That's correct.

93 Q. I think you then brought the Chairman to the National Source Management Unit, is that right?
A. That's correct.

Under whose control is that unit?
A. The National Source management Unit is a unit established post the Morris Tribunal. While it's under my management responsibility on a day-to-day basis in terms of staff it actually reports directly to the Assistant Commissioner in Security and Intelligence, who has responsibility for informant management.
95 Q. I think that you introduced the Chairman to a senior member of staff there who provided the chairman with background information in relation to the setting up of the office and an overview of the work conducted by that office. I think the IT system was then explained to the Chairman and again the Chairman requested to conduct a number of key word searches, that they would be conducted in his presence and that was done, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

96 Q. Again, the results were examined by the Chairman. I think the next office you visited was the Intelligence office, which has responsibility for the analysis of
A. That's correct.

97 Q. Again, the results were examined by the Chairman. I think the next office you visited was the Intelligence
office, which has responsibility for the analysis of national security intelligence, is that right?
A. That's correct.

And again, you introduced the Chairman to a senior member of staff who provided the Chairman with an explanation of the intelligence system and demonstrated its use, is that right?
A. That's correct.

Again, the Chairman requested that a number of key word searches in his presence be done, would be done, and this was done under his direct supervision and control, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And again, the Chairman viewed the results of the searches?
A. That's correct. If I might just expand there a little bit, in terms of the four references to Maurice McCabe which were highlighted by the previous witness, they were shown to the Chairman.
101 Q. I think the visit to Security and Intelligence section concluded at 1:00pm and you accompanied the Chairman from the building. Now, I think that all these searches that were done were obviously done in an open way to the Chairman and the Chairman had access to all the systems and, as we have heard, he directed that certain searches be done and then had the opportunity to view those searches, is that right?
A. That's correct. The Chairman had complete control over the searches that were conducted.

102 Q. And yesterday we heard evidence from Mr. Mark McConne11 from the FNSI in relation to examination of Garda computers; this process is entirely separate --
A. Yes.

103 Q. -- to the process that was undertaken by Mr. Mark McConnell, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes. MR. MARRI NAN Thank you very much. Would you answer any questions.
MR. MEDONELL: No questions.
MS. BURNS: No questions, Chairman.

## THE WTNESS VAS EXAM NED BY MR. DI GNAM

104 Q. MR. DI GNAM I have one question for superintendent Brunton. Just, lest there be any uncertainty or doubt about this, when a request is made of the mobile phone service providers under the 2012 Act for billing records, etcetera, do they provide the -- in the case of text messages, do they provide the contents of the text messages or the mere fact that texts were sent or received at a particular point in time?
A. There is no content provided, Chairman. The legislation is specific in that regard. So, there is no way of recovering content.
MR. DI GNAM Thank you.

THE WTNESS WAS THEN QUESTI ONED BY THE CHA RMAN
CHA RMAN Chief superintendent Brunton, if you were to summarise basically what was seen, my recollection is that Maurice McCabe certainly does come up on a number of files but he comes up as a conscientious policeman doing his duty in relation to, let's say, border searches or drug searches or things like that, but there is absolutely nothing to suggest any official policy against him or any official targeting of him in any of these files?
A. Absolutely, Chairman. There is absolutely nothing derogatory on our systems in relation to Sergeant McCabe.

CHA RMAN And just any hits are his duty as a policeman doing his work in the same way as any other policeman or policewoman?
A. Yes. The hits that we mentioned here this morning are simply his name appearing in reports carrying out his functions as a police officer.
CHA RMAN Yes. A11 right. Thank you for your help and your courtesy on the occasion.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MARRI NAN Sir, they were the only two witnesses that we had listed today for this morning, we had anticipated that they would take an awful lot longer, but none of them have been subjected to any cross-examination at all.

CHA RMAN Right. Okay.
MR. MARRI NAN So the Tribunal will sit again on Monday.

CHA RMAK Yes, I could ask you, Mr. McDowe11, for an explanation as to the absence of a vigorous cross-examination. So we are going to sit on Monday. MR. MARRI NAN Yes. With the evidence of Superintendent Taylor who we anticipate will be in the witness-box for probably three days, until Wednesday, and then his wife Michelle Taylor will be called to give evidence, and then on Thursday we have the former Minister for Justice Alan Shatter is listed to give evidence and then we will be commencing the evidence of former Commissioner Martin Callinan, which we would anticipate will go into Friday and probably into the following week.

CHA RMAN Yes. A11 right. We11, thank you very much. Then we will adjourn to next week. It's important, as it has been the case in the Tribunal, that we all maintain our decorum in relation to this because it's coming to, $I$ suppose, what may be a pivotal point in the whole thing. So, thank you all very much.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



