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THE HEARING RESUMED ON THURSDAY, 13TH JULY 2017 AS FOLLOWS:

CHAIRMAN: So Mr. McGuinness, you have some post-Brexit -- post-breakfast as opposed to Brexit submissions to make.
MR. MCGUINNESS: We11, Chairman, you indicated yesterday that you would wish to hear submissions from parties as to the appropriate manner in which, or the conditions in relation to which the evidence of Ms. D and other family members would be taken. Now, counsel for Ms. D is here and he has furnished me just literally a minute ago with some, as it were, a written sketch of submissions. I think he is anxious to make a case for the Tribunal dealing with it in a particular way, and it might be appropriate for him to outline that case, as it were, at this juncture.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.

## SUBMISSION BY MR. BUCKLEY

MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you, Chairman. Obvious7y I appear on behalf of Ms. D, instructed by Fanning Kelly
Solicitors. The Tribunal took the step, of its own initiative, to protect Ms. D's identity and to protect various confidential and private documentation in recognising the important privacy and ancillary public interest served by those measures, and it's Ms. D's submission that the circumstances set out in Section

2(a) of the 1921 Act are satisfied. As I say, there are some written submissions which I circulated just this morning and I am going to speak briefly to them, if it's appropriate, but I won't delay unduly.

I say the public interest reasons connected with both the subject matter of the specific aspect of the inquiry with which this term of reference is concerned and the nature of the evidence to be given by Ms. D, make it appropriate why the public should be excluded. And I think if the public is satisfied that that threshold is met it then becomes in part a matter for the Tribunal and in part a matter of the disposition of press representatives, whether it can uphold that public interest with measures less than a full in camera hearing. So I wanted to firstly identify the public interest served by a private hearing, then identify the particular apprehensions of the privacy impact and go on to identify what I say confer powers to restrict reporting, if the Tribunal feels that it can facilitate a hearing with press restrictions. So obviously the statutory norm is for a pub1ic inquiry, but there is a clear jurisdiction for exceptions where it's in the public interest for reasons connected with the subject matter of the inquiry or the nature of the evidence to be given, and I say there is also a constitutional message which overlays the application of those provisions. I say the public interest served by Ms. D's evidence being
heard otherwise than in public relate to vindication of her personal rights in terms of privacy and dignity concerning deeply private matters, including in relation to sexual health and family matters; secondly, upholding the State's obligations under Article 8 of the ECHR to protect the right to private and family life; thirdly, protecting the confidentiality and anonymity of minors in relation to private information and/or allegations of sexual misconduct - and that is an interest which I say continues after my a minor attains majority; and finally, encouraging the continued confidential reporting of allegations of sexual misconduct.

So in terms of the apprehensions of privacy, I am conscious that the Tribunal doesn't regard various matters as being within its terms of reference but nevertheless there has been, I suppose, public attention and media reporting on various lines of questioning which I would respectfully submit are outside the scope of the Tribunal's inquiries.

CHAIRMAN: Can I just stop you there, Mr. Buckley, if I may? we had a private hearing in relation to what was within and what was without, and I think everybody agreed -- I mean, everybody without cavil agreed that certain things were not within the terms of reference. MR. BUCKLEY: I fully appreciate that and I suppose I am not apprehensive that there will be any determination or findings by the Tribunal in relation
to those matters, but I am aware that there has been reporting on matters which I say stray beyond the strict parameters of the necessary terms of the inquiry, and those are documented in the submissions, but they obviously relate to the issues concerning the presentation in 2006 and the presentation again in 2013. And I think it's undesirable that those matters would be the subject of attention or public hearings.

So, in terms of the focus of this particular phase, it seems to me it's concerned with the creation, distribution and use by Tus7a of a file in 2013, and not anything else, and I don't think there is any controversy in relation to that. But I say most of Ms. D's evidence is likely to bear directly upon that issue, but there is a risk that it would transgress into some other private subject matters, as I say, relating to 2006 and 2013. And I say that ordinarily she would enjoy constitutional and statutory protection against disclosure of such subject matter, and there is a risk with even tangental questioning on those issues and with media reporting thereon that there will be a significant erosion of rights to privacy or a risk that her anonymity may be eroded even if other features may lead to her being identified.

So I say hopefully there might be a willingness on the part of any press representatives, if the Court is minded to permit their presence, to uphold protocols
around anonymity, but I say regardless there are powers under the Tribunals of Inquiry 1921 Act and the 1979 Act in circumstances of section 1(1) and section 4, and I say it's inherent in the Court's recognition, as reflected by Flood v. Lawlor, that the Tribunal has a wide discretion in terms of controlling its own proceedings, and I say that if the Tribunal's entitled to entirely exclude the public I say it follows as a matter of course and ancillary to that, that it can also impose intermediate restrictions to uphold that objective of a public inquiry whilst prevailing to protect countervailing public interests in having a private hearing.

So, I would also direct the Chairman, the Tribunal to Judge 0 'Donnell's recent comments in the Sunday
Newspapers V. Gilchrist, and I can hand in a copy of that judgment if it's required but I don't propose to open it now.
CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I know that judgment. And there is
also my own judgment in M.A.R.A. which seems to have been forgotten.
MR. BUCKLEY: Indeed, Chairman.
CHAIRMAN: But maybe it wasn't any good, I don't know. MR. BUCKLEY: There was only a limited timeframe for preparing these particular submissions overnight and the range of authorities covered may not do full justice to the Tribunal. But I say that whilst Ms. D has some concerns about a press presence and the scope
of any reporting that might take place if there is a press presence she would be prepared to countenance the application of a limited press presence subject to certain restrictions and I would suggest that any measures along those lines might encompass the following: That the identities of Ms. D and her immediate family would remain anonymised --
CHAIRMAN: Are you at a particular point in the submissions now, because I have read most of them?
MR. BUCKLEY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Was there a particular point where you set out --
MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, paragraph 4, Chairman, sets out some of those measures.
CHAIRMAN: Okay. Yes.
MR. BUCKLEY: That the identities would remain anonymised; there would be no identifying details not already in the public domain be reported upon; no photography or video footage of Ms. D and/or her family approaching or departing the Tribunal; and the questioning would be strictly limited to matters the proper focus of the Tribunal's terms of reference, namely the creation of the 2013 file; and the parties' representatives would not be permitted to question her on extraneous, intrusive and private matters without satisfying the Tribunal as to the relevancy of such questioning. And I think it follows that there may be a need to perhaps impose redactions on transcripts for public release to secure those objectives, and those
are essentially I suppose the terms on which Ms. D thinks that a limited press presence could be countenanced. But fundamentally, the submission is that the circumstances warranting a hearing otherwise than in public are satisfied and --

CHAIRMAN: But Mr. Buckley, I take it those particular points there in paragraph 4 are based on your instructions from Ms. D?
MR. BUCKLEY: Those are based on specific instructions, Chairman, yes.
CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And what do you mean, if you don't mind me asking you, by limited press presence?
MR. BUCKLEY: I suppose --
CHAIRMAN: Because I mean, it's kind of invidious for me to be sitting up here and saying Ms. A and Ms. B may 09:12 attend and share their copy with everybody else, and I don't know how the thing works. I would need your help on that, please.
MR. BUCKLEY: No, I appreciate that. I am not suggesting limiting it to specific members of the press, but I think the general statutory protocols that reflect bona fide representatives of the press is a threshold. I think one would expect them to be associated with a recognised media organ, but beyond that, there is not a suggestion that there be a quota put on the number of press representatives allowed to attend.

CHAIRMAN: Okay. And the photographers wouldn't be allowed in the room, because they do come in, I mean
they have their job to do too. And it may be better that they know who not to photograph than who -- I mean, that is important, too, isn't it? I am sorry, do you see the point I am making?
MR. BUCKLEY: I do.
CHAIRMAN: I meet the photographers every morning and they are very nice outside in the yard, but they do come in, in order to see who they might like to photograph later on. But surely, it's right for them to come in, in order to see who they do not want to photograph if there is a restriction. Is that -MR. BUCKLEY: I think that is possible. The other alternative is to have an alternative means of ingress and egress from -- I am not sure if that is -CHAIRMAN: Say that again.
MR. BUCKLEY: An alternative means of access and egress from the Tribunal location here, but I am not sure which is more feasible but --
CHAIRMAN: Well, there's only two ways in and out, as indeed Robert Emmet might have told you in 1803, so
it's not exactly difficult to cover all the spots.
MR. BUCKLEY: Well, then, the former solution suggested by you, Chairman, may be the more workable one.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Maybe. Mr. Buckley, M.A.R.A. says the following: That - as Judge o'Donnell said in the case 09:14 in relation to, it must have -- yeah, it's Gilchrist the fundamental thing is that there has to be an administration of justice, but before you get to the step of saying this hearing is in camera, in other
words no one is allowed to know about it, no one is allowed to know any names, no one is allowed in, no one is allowed to report on it, and only the judgment is public, there are very many intermediate steps that you can take. One step is that everyone be allowed in but it's just a question of initials. Another step may be the ultimately step, which is that no one is allowed in but in between there are perhaps grades. So I kind of need to know from you, and your submissions are indeed very helpful, and thank you for them, where do you stand on this?

MR. BUCKLEY: I think --
CHAIRMAN: Like, your preferred position is what if you don't mind me asking you?
MR. BUCKLEY: Yes. I suppose, a first caveat is that obviously I don't think the same constitutional imperative of pub7ic processes apply to the tribunals as would apply to administration of justice, obviously. But in terms of setting out our specific position, I think my specific instructions are set out by the kind of measures identified at paragraph 4 of the submissions, Judge; that she is prepared to countenance a press presence subject to those type of restrictions being imposed.
CHAIRMAN: Mm-hmm. okay.
MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much and thank you for the submissions.

SUBMISSION BY MR. GILLANE

MR. GILLANE: Chairman, Sean Gillane is my name. I appear as counsel for RTE. I have a very brief submission to make and I hope it's of some assistance in relation to Mr. Buckley's submissions to you.
Firstly, on behalf of RTE, can I indicate clearly and explicitly there is no interest in nor application to film Ms. D, photograph Ms. D or any of her family members. Secondly - and I wish to indicate this explicitly - there is no interest in, or application, to identify her directly or indirectly or anyone connected to her which could lead to her identification. It's submitted on behalf of RTE that the approach adopted by the Supreme Court in Gilchrist explicitly indicates that incremental steps can be taken, and I wish to indicate to you, Chairman, that any such orders as the Court might make in that regard will be adhered to by RTE. It's our submission that the steps that can be taken to protect Ms. D and any of the concerns raised by Mr. Buckley should not include a full in camera order and it's my submission that bona fide members of the press should be allowed to be present to contemporaneously report the proceedings. And again, there isn't any difficulty on behalf of RTE with Mr. Buckley's submission that ultimately the Court may redact certain portions of the transcript of evidence that you will hear. And so, in those circumstances, I submit that insofar as Mr. Buckley has indicated in terms of paragraph 4 that incremental steps can be taken on behalf of RTE, I'd ask the

Tribunal to adopt those steps and not to go as far as excluding bona fide members of the press.
CHAIRMAN: Thanks, Mr. Gillane. Is there any submission from any other media organisation?

## SUBMISSION BY MR. O'MALLEY

MR. O'MALLEY: Chairman, Joe o'malley from Hayes solicitors on behalf of The Irish Times. We adopt the position broadly outlined by Mr. Gillane. we are certainly prepared to maintain the non-identification of this witness, and to abide by any directions which the Tribunal might hand down during the course of her evidence. we have no desire whatsoever to identify her by reference to our press coverage or any imagery. We do believe that that should be the height of the restrictions that are imposed upon the press, and otherwise we should be free and fully at liberty to attend and report in the normal way, because it's clearly a matter of public interest and a fair and accurate report of it can only be guaranteed by their attendance.

## SUBMISSION BY MR. KEALY

MR. KEALY: Mr. Chairman, Michael Kealy on behalf of Associated Newspapers, the publishers of the Irish Daily Mail and Irish Mail on Sunday. I too would adopt the position given by Mr. Gillane to you. Similarly, we have no desire or intention to identify Ms. D or members of her family or to photograph them in any way.

We do believe, however, that the matter should not be held in camera and that bona fide members of the press, including representatives of my client, should be in a position to attend. And I haven't had an opportunity to see the submissions that are before you, so in terms 09:19 of the detailed intermediate steps it is somewhat difficult. The only issue that $I$ might raise, as showing some potential concern, would be the suggestion that matters might be raised which are outside of the terms of reference and if they were said in front of members of the press they should be entitled potentially to report upon those. It's not for the press $I$ think to make a determination --
CHAIRMAN: Just go back a wee bit, Mr. Kealy, what did you say there?
MR. KEALY: One of the concerns I have - and I haven't seen the submissions so I am only doing this on the basis of what Mr. Buckley has said - there seems to be a suggestion that matters which are outside of the terms of reference which are the subject of questioning at the hearing could not be reported upon by the press. I think it's a matter for Mr. Buckley to object to the matters being raised if he believes they are outside the terms of reference, but if matters are given in evidence and if questions are raised it seems to me that the press have an entitlement to report upon that subject to anonymising Ms. D and members of her family. CHAIRMAN: Can I just ask you about that, because that is an important point? You will be aware, Mr. Kealy,
that the documents submitted by the Tribunal, I think you would have got the memory stick containing all of those.
MR. KEALY: We have received no material from the Tribunal.
CHAIRMAN: Well, you are totally welcome to them, Mr. Kealy, and we will give you a memory stick today with thousands of documents and we would be delighted for you to have it.

MR. KEALY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN: That's just a little bit worrying. But anyone who has seen any of the documents will realise that there are blank -- there are black spaces. And when you come, for instance, to the chart in relation to the intake records 2013 and Tus7a, there are charts where only Ms. D is showing and then there are other people and they are all blacked out, and then there are private counselling records where large areas are blacked out. Now, is it within the scope of the court -- sorry, the Tribunal exercising the powers of the High Court to say well, seeing as how we have protected somebody's privacy, in the event of anything of that variety slips out I am sorry you just can't report that? And let's move away from Ms. D for a moment and just let's say that it is an elderly
gentleman, which is the example given by Judge o'Donnell in Gilchrist, who is giving evidence, and who does not want a particular thing to be known, for instance, that his daughter died while he was in

England and has nothing to do with him but is very, very sensitive about that subject, is it within the scope of an order that a Tribunal can make to say well look, that can't be reported on, I am sorry, if something of that variety slips out?

MR. KEALY: I believe that the Tribunal does have that power, and I believe the analogous situation would be something like the decision of the House of Lords in the UK in Campbell v. Mirror Group Newspapers, where, for example, it was determined that the publication of effectively private medical records was a breach of her Article 8 privacy rights.

CHAIRMAN: That is to do with, for instance, an addiction or something.
MR. KEALY: That was a case to do with a drug and alcohol addiction that she suffered from, and while the press were entitled to report upon certain aspects of that, such as she was having treatment, they weren't entitled to report upon what were described in the judgment as the details of that treatment. It would seem to me that that would be an analogous situation here. I would like to make it clear, my clients have no intention of publishing that kind of material, because that might potentially both lead to identification and could potentially cause unnecessary 09:23 distress. They have no intention of doing that. But I am just merely flagging that the issue that the idea that we as members of the press, if material is ventilated before this Tribunal where members of the
press are there, it's not for them to make a determination whether something is within or without the terms of reference of the Tribunal.

CHAIRMAN: Has it happened before? I mean, I can't think of it happening but then very, very few High Court cases, which is what I would be familiar with, are heard otherwise than in public. It's usually one thing or the other, but $I$ have never come across a situation where a judge will say, like on American TV, 'strike that from the record, in this instance, sorry, that detail can't be reported'.

MR. KEALY: I think it could potentially happen in theory. I think it has sometimes happened in practice where members of the High Court and other courts have indicated to the press they should voluntarily, for example, not publish material and that type of request has been acceded to. In terms of the powers that you might potentially have, it would seem to me that if you make a determination that the publication of this material would be a breach of a Constitutional or a Convention right it seems to me the press would be obligated to do that. Because publication in that interest would, for example, expose them potentially to some form of action by way of breach of privacy or unnecessary distress or whatsoever.

CHAIRMAN: No, I see your point. I have come across situations like that in the past where I did ask the press not to report, for instance, a woman who had suffered an operation that resulted in an embarrassing
condition, they didn't report her name but did report the condition. But I think, in fact, there is a statutory power in relation to medical matters to prevent publication. In fact, there is a plethora of new statutory powers covering this whole area, none of which it seems apply to me. But then again, it may be that in exercising the powers of the High Court I also have those powers.
MR. KEALY: That was certainly the view that we had come to; which is that the provisions of the Tribunals of Inquiry Act, particularly section 4 which gives you those powers, I think by analogy can apply in the particular instance. And Mr. O'malley has, I think, helpfully pointed out, I think the provisions you were referencing is section 27 of the Criminal Law (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act.
CHAIRMAN: Yeah, there's a lot of those things that have slipped in, in sections in apparently unrelated act. Yes. Okay. Thanks, Mr. Kealy.

## SUBMISSION BY MR. MCALEESE

MR. McALEESE: Chairman, Simon McAleese is my name. I am the solicitor for the News Group Newspapers and Times Newspapers. Times being the publisher of The Sunday Times and the Ireland Edition of The Times My clients' position is exactly as outlined by Mr. Kealy, and Mr. Kealy very kindly drew my attention to section 4 of the 1979 Tribunals Act before kick-off,
and it would, it seems to me, just from my brief look at it, give you the requisite powers to restrict, if needs be, the publication of evidence that might infringe upon the privacy of Ms. D, and that would be possibly the way to go, I submit.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. So you are broadly in agreement? MR. MCALEESE: I do, indeed

CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And what do you say then in relation to the question of, if something that is clearly private and would otherwise be redacted slips out, do you submit that I do have the power to say, look, I am sorry, you can't report that particular thing?
MR. MCALEESE: I think you do, Chairman. And I think it's in everyone's interest that the Tribunal look after that end of things, because otherwise, you know -- we obviously don't know what has been blacked out in the documents that you referred to, and if something that has been blacked out slips out we are not to know that it has been blacked out, if you know what I mean.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thanks, Mr. McAleese. Mr. McDermott?

## SUBMISSION BY MR. MCDERMOTT

MR. MCDERMOTT: Thank you, Chairman. It seems to be a matter between Ms. D, the Tribunal and the media. with my client, Tusla, we have always accepted our actions should be subject to public scrutiny.
CHAIRMAN: I appreciate you do accept that, but I think
the relationship that you have, you would have had with Ms. D over the years, it goes back to 2005, is one predicated on medical confidentiality, psychotherapeutic confidentiality, is that right?
MR. MCDERMOTT: That would be at the heart of it. Obviously I appreciate one of the things the Tribunal is investigating is whether we fulfilled our own standards in this case, but the normal procedure is these matters would be treated by Tusla as being of the highest confidentiality and privacy and we would have intended to deal with Ms. D on that basis. Whether or not we did is obviously a matter for the Tribunal to decide in due course, but that would have been the intention of Tusla.
CHAIRMAN: And is Tusla satisfied with the manner in which redactions have been made by the Tribunal with a view to protecting such matters as are confidential, A and $B$, not impacting on any issue before the Tribunal? MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes, I think the Tribunal has struck the right balance between revealing just enough information to allow the issues it has to consider to be debated, but not revealing other issues which couldn't possibly impact on the issues you have to consider, sir.
CHAIRMAN: And there was just one last matter then. You may be aware of an article which appeared in the Bar Review I think about eight years ago, by Simon o'Leary called Privilege for Psychotherapy, I don't think that has been taken up, but you are not
contending that there is a privilege which is automatic for psychotherapy beyond the duty to strike a balance between confidentiality and the necessity to inquire into matters publicly which are mandated by the terms of reference?

MR. MCDERMOTT: No. And if any question of privilege arises we will identify it, but I think we haven't seen anything to date that would suggest any recognised privilege arises.
CHAIRMAN: And just one final matter then: Insofar as anything might arise which would be a potential breach of confidentiality, but, in other words, not germane to a pub7ic examination of the matters that are at issue, and I am sticking strictly by the terms of reference as I am obliged to do so, I take it Tusla would regard it as appropriate to intervene?

MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes, of course.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

## SUBMISSION BY MR. BRODERICK

MR. BRODERICK: Chairman, Darryl Broderick, Ronan Daly Jermyn, on behalf of The Irish Examiner Newspaper. Just for completeness and for expedience, just to confirm that The Irish Examiner adopts the submissions made on behalf of RTE and indeed the submissions made on behalf of the other media representatives in response to questions from the Chairman. And I can confirm on behalf of The Irish Examiner that it doesn't intend to publish anything which might identify Ms. D
or any of her family members.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Broderick. Did you want to say something, Mr. McDowell?

## SUBMISSION BY MR. MCDOWELL

MR. MCDOWELL: I only want to say very little, Chairman; that obviously the Tribunal has determined on a policy of anonymity for Ms. D and that can easily be preserved and I don't think anybody is challenging that. The second thing is that --
CHAIRMAN: You don't have a problem with it, as such?
MR. MCDOWELL: No, absolutely -- well, at this stage it would be a bill late to -- My client's position has always been that he wanted all matters dealt with in public.
CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MR. MCDOWELL: And insofar the parameters of the
Tribunal and what is inside its terms of reference and outside its terms of reference seem to be agreed by Mr. Buckley and not challenged in any way, we can live with that. And the only thing that I would say is that I would be wary of the idea about there being any secret evidence or people thinking there was secret evidence.
CHAIRMAN: No, I appreciate that, but hopefully we won't ever get there, Mr. McDowell. But I mean, I do think -- I mean, the Campbell case is a good example. The fact that someone is attending, for instance, a counsellor is perhaps of importance to us. I mean,
it's a fact that that is a background fact to 2005 and again 2013. But the precise reasons for that -- I mean if they come out, because witnesses can say things, perhaps, in the heat of the moment. Whereas I would find it undesirable, an extreme step, to do any such thing, I think it may be appropriate with a view to protecting people -- I am not referring to Ms. D in particular, but you know the old legal concept protecting people from themselves, I mean that can be important.
MR. MCDOWELL: We11, let's hope that that exigency doesn't arise.

CHAIRMAN: But if it does happen --
MR. MCDOWELL: If it does, a strike from the record, to use the American phrase, may be necessary, an order of that kind may be necessary.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. McDowel1.

## SUBMISSION BY MR. MCGUINNESS

MR. McGUINNESS: It's very helpful to hear those views,
obviously. Might I just address one issue in passing? Sir, you were in discussion with Mr. Kealy in relation to the issue of him being provided with statements on a USB key, I just want to clarify one matter: obviously that Mr. Kealy has written in to the Tribunal in relation to a person who is intended to be a witness before the Tribunal, and he is going to be seeking representation in relation to that person.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. You are right to correct me,

Mr. McGuinness, because I am sorry, I was confused. I had seen Mr. Kealy at the beginning, I was wondering was he representing and then $I$ understood the application for representation was withdrawn. But I am sorry, I am not completely up to date as to who is in and out, but anyone who is represented is what I mean, obviously, is entitled to the documents for the purpose of the Tribunal.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes. And obviously if Mr. Kealy's application for representation in relation to that witness is granted on a limited basis --
CHAIRMAN: Is that done already?
MR. MCGUINNESS: No, no -- he will then be entitled to any relevant documents. But he is here obviously today in a completely different capacity.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCGUINNESS: For one purpose only, which is to maintain his clients -- his different clients' interests in having access to the proceedings before the Tribunal and he is not seeking full representation before the Tribunal on that basis, and he won't, therefore, be entitled to the provision of all of the documents.
CHAIRMAN: I am sorry, you are absolutely right, Mr. McGuinness. I got that wrong and I am sorry,

MR. MCGUINNESS: Insofar as the issues raised by Mr. Buckley are concerned, it's quite clear that he is not envisaging or arguing for a completely private
hearing with the exclusion of the public and the total exclusion of the press, and/or any ban on reporting what would be properly the scope of evidence before the Tribunal. He is arguing for a much more limited form of restriction, which is a matter for the Tribunal to balance as to whether it's justified in restricting it to any extent. I did want to refer the Tribunal obviously to the judgment of the supreme court in the M.A.R.A. case, which is reported in the Irish Reports for 2015, 1 Irish Reports, page 561. And it is a judgment obviously of yourself, Chairman, in that regard, I think unanimously concurred in by the other members of the court. It has been followed more recently by the Gilchrist judgment, and Mr. Buckley has referred to that in his submissions and in his written submissions, and obviously the most relevant paragraphs of that are paragraphs 44 and 45 of the judgment of the Court delivered by Mr. Justice o'Donnell, and it's perhaps appropriate to quote that key paragraph, paragraph 44:
"The legal issue for this Court was presented in a very stark and binary form. The on7y options canvassed were a hearing fully in public or one completely in camera. I do not consider that this is or can be the correct approach. In any event, since any departure from the rule of hearing in public is an exception which must be strictly justified, it is in my view necessary to consider the matter incrementally, and to ask whether
any lesser steps would meet any legitimate interests involved. That may involve considerations of anonymising witnesses or orders that witnesses may not be photographed or identified in any way, or whether any part of the hearing may be conducted in public, or whether it is possible in respect of any hearing in private, that a redacted transcript of proceedings can be released to the media. Given the fact that the plaintiffs are already identified by name, and that there has been a range of interlocutory applications in this case, and furthermore that the trial of this case would necessarily involve disclosure of matters to at least the 12 jurors and any witnesses from the newspapers who were in attendance, it would in my view be incumbent on a court, even if satisfied, that there was a case for some exception from the general principle to consider the precise steps which might be taken in this case, starting from the proposition that any provision permitting trial other than in public is an exception to a general rule of fundamental importance. Nothing more should be permitted than is demonstrated to be necessary to avoid the damage to the public interest involved. As already observed, this is a matter which could be the subject of further submissions to the trial judge by the parties and if appropriate representatives of the media more generally."

And he reinforces that in the succeeding paragraph, and

I will just (vii) of that paragraph:
"The requirement of strict construction of any exception to the principle of trial in public means that a court must be satisfied that each departure from that general rule is no more than is required to protect the countervailing interest. It also means that court must be resolutely sceptical of any claim to depart from any aspect of a full hearing in public. Litigation is a robust business. The presence of the pub7ic is not just unavoidable, but is necessary and welcome. In particular this will mean that even after concluding that case warrants a departure from that constitutional standard, the court must consider if any lesser steps are possible such as providing for witnesses not to be identified by name, or otherwise identified or for the provision of a redacted transcript for any portion of the hearing conducted in camera."

So in my submission, that does carry with it the necessary inherent power that if any matter were elicited or raised in the in camera hearing, albeit with the representatives of the press pub7ic, that the Tribunal has the power to decide what portions of the transcript ought to be redacted and therefore ought not to be reported as a consequence of that redaction.

But in summary, it would appear, sir, that no party has
argued substantially in any way or put forward any grounds against the submission made by Mr. Buckley that Ms. D's evidence ought to be taken in an in camera session with the rights of the press to attend and report upon that subject to your direction and control. MR. MCDOWELL: Chairman, I didn't understand that to be his submission, that it was to be taken in camera.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Well, it's otherwise than in public. CHAIRMAN: I am sorry, I am missing it, I beg your pardon, it's the acoustics. Maybe, Mr. McGuinness, would you just repeat that last bit and then Mr. McDowell. We will see where we stand, please, if you repeat that.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes, it's the reference to in camera, paragraph 3 of Mr. Buckley's submissions, he is saying:

## "It is respectfully submitted insofar as the oral

 testimony is required for Ms. D her evidence should be heard in camera."And as I understand his submission, it's without the public themselves being permitted to attend but that bona fide representatives of the press would of course be entitled to attend without any restriction on that issue as such.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. McGuinness, in rape cases now in the High Court - and obviously that is an allegation, it's not proved, we don't know what happened, the standard of proof is beyond reasonable doubt - we are not
deciding what happened, if there was a couch, if there was a game of hide and seek back in 1998, and that is not within the terms of reference, but what are the restrictions now for sexual violence cases in the Central criminal Court? How are they done?
MR. MCGUINNESS: Well, they are heard in camera, subject to the right of the press to attend without identifying the parties, each of whom at that stage, although the accused may lose that right, have a right of anonymity in respect of the matters at issue.
CHAIRMAN: And sometimes permission is given for other persons to attend who are bona fide researchers or whatever.

MR. MCGUINNESS: We11, yes. I mean, there is a statutory right for a complainant to have a friend or a 09:42 close family member in under the provisions of the statutes in that regard.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. I am sorry, Mr. McDowe11, you had a problem and I didn't catch it, what was it?
MR. MCDOWELL: Well, if the gravamen -- if we are now
discussing whether people who are not members of the -bona fide members of the media should be allowed be here when Ms. D is giving evidence, as long as her evidence is in conformity with the rulings that the Tribunal has already made, in my respectful submission that is enough. Nobody has to be excluded from being in the room.
CHAIRMAN: I don't know. Well, it's just -- I suppose the problem is, Mr. McDowell, it's intimidating enough
looking down on a host of faces in these circumstances. MR. MCDOWELL: I fully accept that giving evidence, especially possibly in this case, is intimidating for a witness. But I would make the point with the media here, it's very unlikely that anybody is going to be intimidated by Josephine or Joe Soap sitting in the back of the court or the tribunal. I am just making that point. I don't see a need for an in camera order, if the directions of the Tribunal are, which have already been made -- and the understanding set out in Mr. Buckley's submission as to what is and is not within the area of proper debate and cross-examination, if that's the case I don't see why somebody should be put out of the room.
Chairman: yeah, well, I mean, if there is a represented party surely the represented party, even in that, would be entitled to be here with a close person they choose?
MR. MCDOWELL: Well, I don't know who the members of the public are. There are always people who attend tribunals, just out of interest.
CHAIRMAN: well, they are always very welcome, obviously.
MR. MCDOWELL: Exactly. I don't see why they should not hear Ms. D's evidence.
CHAIRMAN: All right. No, I understand. And I am sorry, I interrupted you at that point.
Mr. McGuinness, and --
MR. MCGUINNESS: No, and I hope I haven't misunderstood

Mr. Buckley's application, that it did embrace that.
CHAIRMAN: That it did embrace that?
MR. MCGUINNESS: That does embrace that.
CHAIRMAN: Yeah, maybe he would be so kind as to clarify that.
MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, Chairman, that is the submission. I think, I suppose there is not undertakings being made by members of the public, in terms of orders are not being directed towards them in terms of reporting on the content of evidence given, so there is not the same 09:44 safeguards. And I think it's analogous to the provision that applies in a range of provisions traversing sexual offences in the context of the criminal courts, and I think similar -- analogous protocols should be adopted. That is my submission.
CHAIRMAN: Okay. Well, it's good to clarify that. Thank you. So, Mr. McGuinness, what do you say? did you want to address that?
MR. McGUINNESS: well, it seems that it is within the power of the Tribunal under the section of the Act to so decide. Obviously, the provision is as follows:
"A tribunal to which this Act is so applied as aforesaid shall not refuse to allow the public or any portion of the public to be present at any of the proceedings of the Tribunal unless in the opinion of the Tribunal it's in the public interest expedient so to do for reasons connected with the subject matter of the inquiry and the nature of the evidence to be given,
and in particular where there is a risk of prejudice to the criminal proceedings".

So the power that Mr. Buckley is asking the Tribunal to invoke is explicitly and solely directed towards that issue, as opposed to the exercise solely of a power conferred by section 4(1), which is derived from a High Court jurisdiction.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Did you want to say anything else, Mr. McGuinness, on the general issue or would you like to just help me in relation to paragraph 4 and the bullet-point that occur there?
MR. MCGUINNESS: Paragraph 4. Yes. We11, I think it would appear that there is no substantial issue in objection to the first bullet-point.
CHAIRMAN: So the identities of Ms. D and her immediate family should remain anonymised.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes. Nor the second bullet-point.
The third bullet-point --
CHAIRMAN: So, no identifying details not already in
the public domain would be reported. There's no identifying details in the public domain as far as $I$ know, except that this is something to do with Cavan-Monaghan.
MR. MCGUINNESS: We11, there has been reference
obviously to the occupation of Ms. D's father.
CHAIRMAN: That is true. So that both fathers were members of the Gardaí.

MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN: That's not very identifying, seeing as there is about 12,000 of them or something.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes. Well, perhaps. In relation to the third bullet-point, it seems that if the Tribunal intends to make the orders in relation to the first two 09:47 bullet-points that there would be no legitimate objective or reason not to make the third order, because it would be, as it were, giving a clear definable effect to the operation of the Tribunal's order. And it's difficult to see what purpose there could be in, as it were, permitting that to happen, where it could pose a very genuine and significant risk to the infraction of the primary order, which is not to identify Ms. D or her family.

Obviously, the issue relating to the questioning of Ms. D is subject to your control to the objection of parties and will be a matter of, no doubt, vigilance on everyone's part.

Insofar as the redactions issue is concerned, in my submission that should be dealt with as and when it arises in the course of any objection, having heard the evidence, and subject to your ultimate, obviously, control in that regard. It is obviously from the point 09:48 of view of counsel to the Tribunal, imperative that the transcripts would be published on the website in the normal way and made accessible to the public so that no question can arise about taking evidence in secret
relevant to the terms of reference of the Tribunal, nor concealing it in any regard. And of course, with the representatives of the press being permitted to be present during the taking of it --
CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MR. MCGUINNESS: And subject to any legitimate restrictions of the Tribunal, that wil1, no doubt, be reported upon immediately in the normal way. So, in my submission, there can't be any objection in principle to that last bullet-point.
CHAIRMAN: when we talk about bona fide representatives of the press and media, I mean we all know we have newspapers in this country and we have TV, etcetera, that is fine, but then we also have cyberspace, etcetera, have you got any submission to make in relation to those who interest themselves in blogging on matters? I mean, some of them are, I don't know, I am not quite certain, it seems almost semi-official or are news outlets in themselves, but then there are others that, I don't know, seem to be more private or pursued as a personal interest.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes. There is no statutory definition of a bona fide representative of the press. They do have, as I understand it, a chapel of journalists, a National Union of Journalists, for those who in the normal way profess themselves to be journalists, to whatever extent they do that. Press accreditation is a matter which I have no doubt they are familiar with themselves and obviously it could become an issue
insofar as the Tribunal would want to be satisfied that its order is not -- is complied with or not infringed, whether accidentally or otherwise.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. So, maybe just whoever would perhaps like to -- is there anything else?

MR. MCGUINNESS: No, sir. Not at present.
CHAIRMAN: Maybe Mr. McAleese or Mr. Broderick or whatever would just like to object that. Is there any objection to people having to show press cards? I think, Mr. Barnes would certainly be familiar with anybody who is here on a regular basis. I mean, I don't think there is likely to be any such mistake made, is there? or, would anyone like to say anything about that?

## SUBMISSION BY MR. KEALY

MR. KEALY: Just in relation to that, I think it should be a matter that should be dealt with on a case-by-case basis by the Tribunal. People can apply for accreditation and we would have no objection to people doing that. The only area that there might be a slight concern is that there would be freelance reporters who would not necessarily be members of the National Union of Journalists, so I think simply saying you are an NUJ member -- the fact you are not a member shouldn't member of the press and not a member of the NUJ. But I think that can be dealt with on a case-by-case basis. CHAIRMAN: I wonder is it likely to arise at all.

MR. KEALY: It may not, but I am aware of some court reporters who are not members of the NUJ.

## SUBMISSION BY MR. MCALEESE

MR. MCALEESE: Chairman, Simon McAleese again. I would 09:52 again agree with Mr. Kealy. whether it's an issue that is going to arise or not remains to be seen, but there is, $I$ am sure, a very practical way of dealing with it, which is simply, if there is any doubt that the relevant press representative can make themselves known 09:52 to you and get the green light.
CHAIRMAN: Right. That makes sense. Thanks. Mr. McGuinness, Ms. D is scheduled for?
MR. MCGUINNESS: It's intended to commence her evidence next Monday morning.
CHAIRMAN: And are we making progress appropriately? I know I have had to be elsewhere at times.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Well, I think we are happy with the stage we are at. If it's necessary to reschedule a witness, it's not intended to put them into that Monday 09:52 to displace her.

CHAIRMAN: Yes. But she is the whole of Monday? MR. MCGUINNESS: Well, yes.
CHAIRMAN: Or is it that it's convenient to have her on Monday?
MR. MCGUINNESS: No, it's intended to take Ms. D's evidence and that of her immediate family together on Monday with no other witnesses being scheduled. CHAIRMAN: A11 right. We11, thank you very much
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everybody for your submissions and in due course I will give a ruling. Thank you.

So will we carry on with the evidence then, I am sorry I have to rise about five past eleven. MS. LEADER: Yes, sir. The next witness is Sergeant Anthony Byrne.

SERGEANT ANTHONY BYRNE, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER AS FOLLOWS:

SERGEANT BYRNE: Sergeant Tony Byrne, Carrick-on-Shannon Garda Station, Chairman.

MS. LEADER: Sergeant Byrne's statement is to be found in volume 7 at page 1743 and there are also relevant extracts from the Garda Code and various circulars in volume 10 from page 3009 onwards.
1 Q. Now, Sergeant Byrne, I think you were promoted to the rank of sergeant in or around February 2010, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

2 Q. And prior to your promotion where were you stationed?
A. I was stationed in clune in County Leitrim.

3 Q. And on promotion were you transferred?
A. Yes, I was transferred from Clune Garda Station to Bailieboro Garda Station in County Cavan.
4 Q. And that transfer was a consequence of your promotion, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

5 Q. Now, prior to your transfer to Bailieboro, what was your state of knowledge in relation to policing matters generally in Bailieboro and County Cavan?
A. I was aware that there were some issues and that there 09:55 was investigations ongoing. I wasn't particularly aware of the nature of the investigation, but I was aware there was issues.
6 Q. And when you are saying you were aware there were
issues, what type of issues were you aware of at that stage?
A. There was complaints about the standard of policing or allegations regarding the standard of policing, as far as I can recollect.
7 Q. Were you aware who was making those complaints?
A. I would have been, yes.

8 Q. And who was that?
A. That was Sergeant McCabe, the sergeant in charge of Bailieboro Garda Station.
9 Q. And were you aware of the investigation which was commenced by Chief Superintendent McGinn and Assistant Commissioner Byrne specifically, do you remember?
A. I was aware of an investigation ongoing. I wasn't intimately familiar with it but I would have been aware, yes.
10 Q. In relation to Sergeant McCabe specifically, what was your state of knowledge about Sergeant McCabe?
A. When I transferred or prior to my transfer or just in general?
11 Q. Prior -- well, just on your transfer, if I could ask you that first of all?
A. I was aware just prior to transferring that he had made complaints about the standard of policing and I was also aware that there had been a complaint made in respect of him or an allegation made in respect of Sergeant McCabe dating back to 2006. I wasn't aware of the specifics of it, but I was aware there had been an allegation made against him.

12 Q. And was that prior to the time you went to Bailieboro, that awareness?
A. No, it was around the time I was transferring to Bailieboro. I would have heard about it because when I was promoted I suppose people were ringing me to congratulate me and I would have heard about it then when I was going to Bailieboro.
13 Q. Okay. And were you aware of the nature of the allegation made against Sergeant McCabe?
A. I was aware it was an allegation of abuse, sexual abuse, in respect of, in respect of the daughter of a colleague.
14 Q. Okay. And you understand, Sergeant Byrne, you haven't been here for too long but we are referring to that person as Ms. D?
A. Ms. D.

CHAIRMAN: Her father can be called Mr. D.
A. Mr. D. I wasn't aware of any identities or any details, $I$ was just aware of a general allegation. MS. LEADER: Okay. And when you say you were aware of a general allegation, were you aware in any way of the specifics of that allegation?
A. No, I just -- I knew that there had been an allegation made and the file had been sent to the DPP and that was the end of it, there was no prosecution directed or no further action.

16 Q. Okay. Was that the extent of your knowledge?
A. Yes.

17 Q. And when you say colleagues informed you of this, was
it -- you said people congratulating you on your transfer?
A. Yeah. People would have been ringing me and congratulations, and I would have got numerous phone calls from colleagues, yes.
18 Q. Garda colleagues?
A. Yes.

19 Q. Am I correct in saying that?
A. Yes.

20 Q. Were they people attached to Bailieboro Garda Station or attached to --
A. No, not that I know of.

21 Q. Okay. So, can I take it from that, Sergeant Byrne, that it was generally known within An Garda Síochána that an allegation had been made by Ms. D implicating Sergeant McCabe in sexual misconduct?
A. Yes, I believe -- well, I heard about it, I had no connection with that division until $I$ was being transferred there.
okay. Now, you were transferred and you went to
Bailieboro, I am correct in saying, in February 2010?
A. Well, I was promoted in February 2010. I think I transferred in March 2010.

23 Q. March 2010. And you were assigned certain duties at that stage, is that correct, with regard to the HSE?
A. I couldn't remember the exact date but I know I was appointed to be the HSE liaison officer, yes.
24 Q. okay. Now, at that stage, I don't know if you can assist the Tribunal with regard to this, but were you
the first formal person -- appointment in that role?
A. I don't know that. When I transferred to Bailieboro in March 2010 there was a number of sergeants went there at the same time, so there would have been a large number of sergeants would have left that particular station. I don't know whether somebody had that role before me or not or whether it was a new role, I couldn't -- I don't know.
okay. So, I don't know if you are able to comment in any way but the Tribunal has been informed by the office of the chief State solicitor that the nomination of a sergeant inspector to be the Garda representative on the Garda HSE liaison management team, the first formal enunciation of that role was made on 13th April 2010. Can you comment in any way on that?
A. No, I have no knowledge of that.

26 Q. And that would appear to emanate from a Directive No. 54/2010, are you familiar with that directive --
A. No.

27 Q. -- in any way?
A. If I could see it, maybe. I may have seen it. But, no. off the top of my head, no.
28 Q. OK. It's at page 3011 of the materials and it should come up on the screen in front of you.
A. Yes, I may have seen that document and read it, yes.

29 Q. Okay. And you will see at page 3010 of that document, that:
"The nomination of a member inspector/sergeant rank to
oversee and monitor the effective implementation in each district station of part 3 of the Policy in relation to child welfare. The sergeant/inspector will be the Garda representative on the Garda HSE Liaison Management Team as outlined in the policy document."

Do you see that in front of you at page 3013 underneath the heading "District officers will ensure"?
CHAIRMAN: This is a Fogra Tora.
MS. LEADER: Folder 10. 3011.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. I said Fogra Tora.
MS. LEADER: Yes, sorry, Chairman, I misheard.
30 Q. Do you see that Sergeant Byrne?
A. "District officers will ensure nominated member is inspector/sergeant rank", yes.
31 Q. Yes. And that document is signed off by the then Deputy Commissioner Martin Callinan and is dated 13th of April 2010, and that is at page 3014. So would it make sense, Sergeant Byrne, that your nomination --
A. That would appear to be the role, yes.

32 Q. Are you agreeing with me?
A. Yes.

33 Q. Yes. Now, can you explain to the Tribunal what the duties of the HSE liaison sergeant were?
A. My understanding in the role was that I had -- there 10:02 was three aspects to it. One was to be a point of contact for the then HSE, if they needed Garda assistance, maybe, with executing court orders or issues like that, that I was to that point of contact.

The second one was that I would attend case conferences that were organised by the HSE in relation to children at risk in the Bailieboro district. And the third aspect of it was to attend joint agency meetings with HSE staff.
34 Q. Okay. So, you have referred to two varieties of meetings between you and the HSE. So the first one was in relation to -- the first type of meeting?
A. The first type of meeting was case conferences.

35 Q. And is that in relation to specific children?
A. It would be. Now, what would happen is the HSE would convene a case conference in relation to children at risk, it would be attended by, generally attended by any professionals that had an input or involvement, the children, the likes of the GP, the public-health nurse, social workers and Gardaí, if necessary.

36 Q. Okay.
A. Now, I didn't really get to attend many of them meetings because if they didn't happen to fall on a date that I was working an early tour of duty then whatever sergeant was on duty would attend at the meeting.
37 Q. So you could delegate --
A. No. If I wasn't available somebody else -- as in, if I wasn't working at that time then somebody else was delegated to go in my stead.
38 Q. Okay. The meetings wouldn't be arranged so as to coincide with when you were on duty?
A. No, no, no. The meetings would be arranged by the then

HSE or Child and Family Agency and if I wasn't working early tour then somebody else was just sent.
39 Q. okay. And the second category of meetings, Sergeant Byrne, if you could explain those to me, please.
A. They were joint agency meetings and what would happen was -- we11, I would meet with a social work team leader and we would review all the cases that were open, that would be referrals, say, from the HSE to us and from us to the HSE. We would review all those cases just to see what the status -- where there was a 10:05 Garda investigation to see what status that was at or where there was social work involvement to see what status that was at. If the input feeder agency was finished on a particular case then we would sign off on it as it was closed to one or other particular agency.
40 Q. And how regularly would those meetings take place?
A. Up to -- when I went there in 2010 I was being assisted in the task by Garda Peter O'Sullivan, and he used to organise those meetings, he would coordinate with the HSE staff and he would arrange the meetings and then I'd attend at them.

41 Q. Okay.
A. Ideally, they were to be held every quarter, as far as I am aware, but realistically that wasn't always possible.
42 Q. Okay. Do you know how many meetings were held in 2010, for instance?
A. No, I can't -- I would have no recollection, I would have to go back and check, same with 2011 and 2012.

Garda O'Sullivan used to organise them and I would just go along to the meeting then.
43 Q. okay. So, in relation to those meetings, you said they were organised so as to discuss live cases?
A. Yeah, just to see what progress has been made in them. If there was -- if there was a criminal investigation to see what stage the Garda investigation was at and to let the HSE know. And vice versa, they would let us know what their involvement was or how far matters had progressed from their side.
44 Q. Who created the agenda for those meetings?
A. The agenda seems to have been created by An Garda Síochána, which would be Ms. Bridget Kenny was -- is a clerical officer at Bailieboro Garda Station, and she would keep a spreadsheet of all the cases that were referred from the HSE to us and cases that we had referred to the HSE.

45 Q. Okay. And when you say she would keep a spreadsheet of the cases that were referred from the HSE to the Guards and from the Guards to the HSE, are you -- what are you 10:07 referring to there? where did those referrals come from or how were they created?
A. To explain what had happened -- what would happen. Say, Gardaí went to an incident of domestic violence or a domestic dispute, if there was children present there 10:08 and Gardaí had concerns that those children were at the risk of physical or emotional abuse, we would -- the Garda member would fill out a notification, it would be sent through our district office to the HSE, they would
acknowledge receipt of that referral and they would inform us which social worker had been allocated to the case. Vice versa, if the HSE came across a case of some form of child abuse, whether it was an historic case or they became aware of physical abuse through their work, they would cause a referral to be created at their end. That would be forwarded to our district office and then it would be allocated by the superintendent to member of Garda rank to investigate the incident. Copies of all those referrals would have 10:08 been kept on file or would have been copied to Ms. Kenny and she would keep a record then of the cases that were -- had been gone between both agencies.
46 Q. So am I correct in saying, Sergeant Byrne, that on the agenda for the liaison meetings would be all of the referrals received from the HSE to the Guards and all of the referrals given by the Guards to the HSE?
A. That should be the case, yes.

47 Q. And when you say "that should be the case"?
A. well, that would be the case. That would be the case, 10:09 yeah.
48 Q. Okay. And you wouldn't compile the agenda but somebody else in the Garda station would compile the agenda?
A. Ms. Kenny would put together a list of the cases that -- to be discussed.

49 Q. okay.
A. And that'd be on the basis of what she would have had received through her office and what she had on file, she would record them on computer and she would
generate a list then that we could discuss at the next meeting.
50 Q. Okay. Was there a system in Bailieboro Garda Station whereby all notifications automatically went to you as the liaison person --
A. No.

51 Q. -- with the HSE?
A. No, no.

52 Q. And can you explain to me why that was the case, if you were the Garda liaison person with the HSE?
A. I can't explain. That was the system when I got there, they came through the district office and they went to Ms. Kenny. They weren't notified directly to me. I wouldn't have been aware of every referral that was coming through the office.
53 Q. But would you eventually find out about every referral if you were attending at meetings?
A. Yeah, the list would be there, the files would be there, the referrals, there'd be copies of the referrals there and I would go through the list, the spreadsheet in advance of meetings.
54 Q. And if, for instance, a person who worked with Tus7a, a social worker, wanted to make an inquiry as to the status of any particular referral, were you the person they'd go to?
A. But they could but in practice I very rarely ever heard from them. I would assume that they would liaise directly with the investigating guard or the guard that had generated the report that would have the kind of
more intimate knowledge of the reason behind the referral.
Q. okay.
A. Or the guard -- if there was a criminal offence, the guard that would be investigating it.
56 Q. So, did you maintain overall control of the referrals?
A. I would have been aware of them, no, but I didn't direct, I didn't coordinate investigations or anything like that.
57 Q. okay. So, if somebody from the HSE were to phone the Garda liaison officer would you simply act as a signpost --
A. Well, I would make inquiries for them if need be, but I don't ever recollect it happening.
58 Q. It never happened?
A. I don't recollect any incident where somebody rang me up and said 'What's the status with Garda such-and-such's referral Garda such-and-such's or investigation?'
59 Q. Or, for instance, a referral going from the HSE to the Guards or Tusla to the Guards?
A. No, no, I don't ever -- I can't remember any occasion that they rang me as the liaison officer to ask me what is the status of this referral.
60 Q. So that never happened; that you were random7y asked about the status of a referral?
A. No.

61 Q. Okay. Now, what was your understanding of the role of the Gardaí with regard to children at that stage and
referrals, in distinguishing, it say from the role of the HSE or Tusla?
A. We had concerns regarding any form -- there would be four types of child abuse, for want of a better -physical, emotional, sexual and neglect. If we came across a scenario that we believed a child was the victim or at risk of any of those forms of abuse, we would have a notification sent to the HSE. If we believed there was a criminal offence involved then we would also initiate an investigation, criminal investigation.
62 Q. And would you tell the HSE about the investigation?
A. They would have been kept up to date at the meetings. When we would have the joint meetings I could say yes, it's at this stage or a file has been sent to the DPP or it's -- the case is closed to the Guards or there is no further Garda involvement, there is no criminal offence alleged.
63 Q. Okay. And insofar as referrals coming from the HSE, what was your understanding of what the Gardaí had to do with those referrals or the purpose of those referrals?
A. The referral would generally come from the HSE where there was a possibility of a criminal offence. That referral would arrive into the district office and then 10:14 it would be allocated by superintendent or an inspector acting for him to a specific member from the district, depending what area it was, to investigate the criminal allegation.

64 Q. Okay. And do you remember any occasion when the Guards received a referral in relation to something they had already investigated?
A. No.

65 Q. Okay. Would you be surprised if the guards got such a 10:14 referral in relation --
A. If the matter was already investigated, yeah, it would be unusual, to my mind anyway.
66 Q. okay. Can you think of any instance when it happened in your role as Garda liaison officer?
A. No, I can't say I have ever encountered --

67 Q. If I could just --
CHAIRMAN: So, Ms. Leader, what you might be referring to there is reopening a case, but would it be fair to regard it as that?
MS. LEADER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: In that it can happen sometimes in old murder cases, or whatever. But that is what you are asking about?
MS. LEADER: I think what $I$ was asking about is a duplicate referral, Chairman, but --
A. I have never encountered it in my time dealing with the HSE or Child and Family Agency.
68 Q. Now, if I could refer you, please, to 3045. It's an extract from the Garda Code. And just at paragraph number 6 there. You will see that that paragraph describes the An Garda Síochána health authority protocol, and it would appear from that:
"That a social work team leader from the health authority and designated district-based inspector or sergeant" -- who would appear to be you in Bailieboro -- "from within An Garda Síochána would constitute a liaison management team whose functions comprise the following:
A. To consider notifications;
B. To assign personnel and supervise investigation;
C. To review the progress in the case;
D. To ensure that cases are brought to a satisfactory 10:16 conclusion and that all who should know are informed."

Do you see that, Sergeant Byrne, there? It should be on the screen in front of you.
A. No, the screen is still moving.

69 Q. Mr. Kavanagh might be -- 3045, volume 10.
ChAIRMAN: Well, it's 6A, B, C, D, is that the one? Ms. LEADER: Yes, I think it's -- yes, yes. That's correct.
A. I see it there, yes, yes.

70 Q. So my understanding of what you told me earlier on in relation to your role, that particular procedure wasn't followed in Bailieboro, am I correct in saying that?
A. We wouldn't jointly assign personnel, no. If there was to be a criminal investigation, it would be a matter for the superintendent or inspector acting for him to assign Garda personne1 to investigations.
CHAIRMAN: In other words, the evidence seems to be that it was the same as kind of other things, you had
an official role but everything went through the superintendent as opposed to going through you?
A. Yes, yes. we didn't --

71 Q. MS. LEADER: So your function would appear to have been to consider individual notifications and thereafter assign somebody to investigate the matter. That didn't actually happen in Bailieboro, am I correct in saying that?
A. No, to the best of my knowledge, the superintendent -when a notification came from the HSE, it wasn't -- I didn't know -- I didn't feel it was my role to allocate a member to that investigation.
72 Q. okay. It would be --
A. I was a sergeant in Bailieboro Garda Station.

73 Q. Yes.
A. Investigations may have pertained to Ballyjamesduff Garda Station or Cootehill Garda Station, who had their own sergeants in charge who were responsible for supervising the officers in those stations. A notification would come in and it would be sent by the 10:18 superintendent's office or the superintendent to Ballyjamesduff Station to be investigated.
74 Q. Okay. Perhaps if it related to the Ballyjamesduff area, a notification would be sent there as opposed to Bailieboro, you understand?
A. No, I believe all notifications would come in centrally to -- from HSE to the district office with -Bailieboro would have been the district headquarters, so notifications would come into the district
headquarters and then been distributed. If it referred to a sub-district other than Bailieboro, it would go to the -- either the sergeant in charge of that station to delegate it or to a particular member to delegate it.
75 Q. In relation to the district of Bailieboro, did it cover 10:19 the entire County of Cavan?
A. No, Bailieboro would have covered, I suppose, east -the eastern half of the county, I think. It covered about seven towns.
76 Q. okay.
A. Or seven Garda stations or sub-districts.

77 Q. Now, if I then could then, Sergeant Byrne, I think you were present at a liaison meeting in December 2013, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

78 Q. And who from the HSE was present there, can you remember?
A. Keara McGlone was the team leader. I don't recollect who was with her.
79 Q. okay. And I think you may be aware that in August of 2013 Ms. MCGlone had sent a letter to Superintendent Noel Cunningham inquiring about Sergeant McCabe's case. Are you now aware of that?
A. I am aware of that now, yes, in the last week or so.

80 Q. And do you know Superintendent Cunningham?
A. No, I have never met him.

81 Q. Never met him?
A. No.

82 Q. But would you make it your business to conduct
inquiries if -- with Superintendent Cunningham if Ms. McGlone had asked you to?
A. Oh, yeah, if she had raised an issue at a meeting and it needed to be followed up, yes. I would certainly try to oblige anyway.

83 Q. And did she at any time make any inquiries with you in relation to Sergeant McCabe?
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: So this is the letter of the 15th of August 2013?

MS. LEADER: The 15th of August.
CHAIRMAN: That is the one. Thank you.
84 Q. MS. LEADER: And did anybody at that meeting ask you about Sergeant McCabe?
A. No.

85 Q. Did you speak to anybody in relation to Sergeant McCabe and, in particular, in relation to the allegation made against him by Ms. D in August of 2013?
A. No.

86 Q. Did you hear any talk of it in the Garda station?
A. No.

87 Q. We11, are you sure about that, because there was a lot of attention focused on Sergeant McCabe in 2013?
A. I am aware of the attention that was focused on him, but I never discussed any matters relating to any allegation against Sergeant McCabe.
CHAIRMAN: So you weren't aware at the time of the 1etter?
A. No, no, no.

CHAIRMAN: I am sorry, I just wasn't picking you up right. Thank you.
88 Q. MS. LEADER: Now, that meeting in December of 2013, I understand it, that was a Garda liaison meeting, is that correct?
A. Yes.

89 Q. Where did that meeting take place, do you think?
A. Any meetings I attended was at Bailieboro Garda Station. That was generally convenient because I would have had access to the PULSE computer terminal if there 10:22 was any issues that needed to be clarified or any -- if the team leader needed any updates on particular investigations, I could $70 g$ in and check.
90 Q. And I don't know if you know this offhand, but when was the previous meeting prior to December 2013?
A. I don't recollect that. It would have been organised by Garda O'Sullivan. Now, I would have attended at it, but I can't tell you what date.
91 Q. Do you think there was one in November 2013?
A. No, because Guard O'Sullivan left I think on transfer in February 2013, to the best of my recollection. There may have been a meeting -- no, I don't know, I can't remember before that.
92 Q. Do you think there was one in October 2013 --
A. I don't recollect now. I honestly have no idea.

93 Q. -- at all. There could have been?
A. There could have been. I can't say with any degree of certainty.
94 Q. Or September?

95 Q. But somebody might be able to check that out and provide that information.
A. There would be records, yes. If I attended a meeting, I would have signed on any of the cases that were discussed, we would have both signed -- myself and whatever team leader attended the meeting would have signed a sheet off on that. There would be a record, but $I$ can't remember.

96 Q. Okay. And in relation to the December 2013 meeting where Keara McGlone attended, are there notes created in relation to that meeting?
A. There would be a joint action sheet signed. It would be -- it was generally filled out by the team leader, she would write --
97 Q. Ms. McGlone you are referring to?
A. Yes, yes, whether the case was closed to social work, whether it was closed to Gardaí or whether it needed to be discussed at the next meeting. We would both sign that sheet then and make a copy of it.
98 Q. Was there an agenda created for that meeting?
A. Judge, it on the spreadsheet beforehand and that dictated the list of cases to be discussed. We would bring down our files pertaining to those cases and Social work Department would bring their files pertaining to them cases.
99 Q. So those records, if available --
A. They should be at Bailieboro Garda Station, yeah.

100 Q. -- can be made available?
A. I don't see any reason why they couldn't.

101 Q. And that is for all meetings, if there were any, between August and, we will say, May 2014 ?
A. Oh, there would be records, yes, there would certainly be records, yes.
102 Q. But you can't remember?
A. No, I can't say with any degree of certainty.

103 Q. okay. Now, if I could then bring you forward to May 2014 and a Garda notification would appear to have been received at Bailieboro Garda Station on 7th May 2014, and that is at page 1750 of the materials at Volume 7. Is that in front of you?
A. Yes, I can see it, yeah.

104 Q. So that is date-stamped the superintendent's office received on 7th May 2014, and it's a notification of suspected child abuse addressed to the superintendent in charge in Bailieboro Garda Station in relation to Ms. D, do you see that?
A. Yes.

105 Q. Now, was that brought to your attention in May 2014?
A. No, that is the first time I have seen that document.

106 Q. Okay. And you being the Garda liaison person, would that, in the normal course, have been brought to your attention?
A. Well, as I explained already the procedure was to file -- or the notification would come in to the district office and then it would be allocated by the superintendent out to somebody to investigate it if it required an investigation, and a copy would have been
filed with Ms. Kenny.
107 Q. okay.
A. And that is how I would know to discuss an issue at the next meeting.

108 Q. Okay.
A. But that is the first time $I$ have ever seen that.

109 Q. So would it be something of surprise to you that a notification would come in from Tusla and you wouldn't be told about it, Sergeant Byrne, would that surprise you?
A. Yeah, that would be unusual, yes.

110 Q. You are happy to say that it would be an unusual thing to happen?
A. Yes.

111 Q. So is it in the normal course you would be aware of all notifications received from Tusla?
A. I would expect so, yes, because copies would be filed with Ms. Kenny.
112 Q. Okay. And would Ms. Kenny bring them to your attention immediate7y?
A. No, no. It may have been in advance of a meeting I would go up and peruse them if necessary, but...
113 Q. Okay. And if we could just turn over to page 1751 of those documents, you will see at the very top:
"Ms. D informed her parents of this alleged abuse when she was aged eleven to twelve years of age. Ms. D made a statement to An Garda Síochána at the time. A file was sent to the DPP, however no prosecution was
directed." You see those lines?
A. Yes.

114 Q. Have you ever seen a reference like that in a Garda notification before?
A. No.

115 Q. And if one were to come in to Bailieboro -- I think you are attached to Leitrim -- a Garda station in Leitrim now?
A. Carrick-on-Shannon.

116 Q. Carrick-on-Shannon. Would it surprise you to see that in a Garda notification from Tusla?
A. Yeah, it would be -- it would be unusual. If the DPP has directed no prosecution, yeah, it would be unusual to come back to be reinvestigated, in my opinion now or 10:28 in my experience.

117 Q. okay. So am I correct in saying, Sergeant, that the purpose of Garda notifications is so the guards can investigate an allegation?
A. That would be my understanding of their reasoning behind sending a notification to us, is because they believed there was a potential criminal offence disclosed for us to investigate, yes.
118 Q. okay. And a second notification in relation to a matter or a notification in relation to a matter that had been investigated, am I correct in saying that would signal to the guards that the HSE were saying this should be reinvestigated?
A. In my opinion, the purpose of them sending a
notification was because they believed a criminal offence was disclosed and it warranted investigation. Now, if it was already investigated and no prosecution directed, it would be unusual, yes, to see a referral, in my opinion.
119 Q. Now, the Tribunal knows that this notification was dealt with by Superintendent McGinn. Did you at any time discuss Garda notifications with Superintendent McGinn in the Garda station?
A. No, no.

120 Q. Did he ever bring this one to your attention?
A. No.

121 Q. And does that surprise you, considering you were the point of contact between Tusla and the guards?
A. It would be unusual that it wasn't filed with -- if it was to be discussed at further meetings, yeah, it would be unusual I wasn't aware of it.
122 Q. Okay. And then if I could turn to page 1799 of the materials, it's also in volume 7 , it should be in front of you, you will see that that is also a notification of suspected child abuse in relation to Ms. D, which is dated the 10th of June 2014 and is date-stamped as having been received by the Gardaí on 24th June 2014.
A. Yeah.

123 Q. And the heading in that is "Amended notification. Previously notified on 2nd May 2014." You see that?
A. Yes.

124 Q. And it again relates to the Ms. D notification. Have you seen that before otherwise than in the materials?
A. No, that is the first time I have ever seen that.

125 Q. Okay. And again, you at that time were the liaison officer?
A. Yes.

126 Q. Does that surprise you, that you didn't see it before?
A. Yeah, it surprised me it wasn't sent down to be filed with -- as standard notifications are.

127 Q. Okay. Now, in 2014, in May and June 2014, you were in Bailieboro Garda Station at that time?
A. Yes.

128 Q. Do you remember any talk in the Garda station in relation to Maurice McCabe at that time?
A. No.

129 Q. Are you certain?
A. Yes, I am very certain.

130 Q. And in relation to the notification in particular?
A. No, I never discussed Sergeant McCabe in the station.

131 Q. okay.
A. And I never heard any talk about any notification or any mention of any notification.

132 Q. With any other sergeants in the Garda station?
A. No.

133 Q. okay. Now, if I can bring you forward to 2015, I think you spoke with -- you attended a Garda liaison meeting where Ms. Kay McLough1in was in attendance, is that correct?
A. That was in October 2015, is it?

134 Q. 2015.
A. Yes.

135 Q. okay. And who organised that meeting?
A. That would have been organised at our behest. As far as I can remember, I emailed Denise Duignan. She worked with the Child and Family Agency.
136 Q. Yes.
A. It was emails between us to try and organise a meeting.

137 Q. okay.
A. And then we'd send on -- Bridget Kenny sent to me the spreadsheet for the cases to be discussed at the meeting, and then I would have forwarded it on to the 10:33 Child and Family Agency, and that would form the agenda then for cases to be discussed at the meeting.
138 Q. So you created the agenda with Ms. Kenny for that meeting, is that correct?
A. Well, she created it. She would have had the list of open cases from her files in the office and she would have sent it down to me and then I sent it on to the Child and Family Agency.
139 Q. Okay. Was there a method whereby the Child and Family Agency could add items to that agenda?
A. I don't ever recollect that happening now. It was generally -- we seemed to set the agenda, we seemed to initiate the meetings.
140 Q. okay.
A. I don't ever recollect a case where they contacted us 10:34 and said 'can we discuss this as well' or 'can we discuss this'.

141 Q. And was there a section of that meeting whereby any other business could be discussed, that it was formally
put on the agenda?
A. Not really, no. We'd generally sit in the conference room in Bailieboro Garda Station and we'd go through the list and get out the files and discuss them and then write a note on the action sheet as to what further action was required or if the case could be closed to Gardaí or if it could be closed to social work, and then which to be copycat to that then, the action sheet.
142 Q. I think there might be an example of an action sheet at 10:35 page 3051 of the materials. It's at volume 10. Is that in front of you, Sergeant?
A. I see one, yes.

143 Q. So that is a joint action sheet, and is that the action sheet that would be completed --
A. It would be similar now. It may not have been that particular format, but something similar, yeah.
144 Q. okay. So it would deal with each case on the agenda individually, is that correct?
A. Yes, there would be one completed for each case that we 10:35 discussed and then the social work team leader would sign off on it and I would sign off on it.

145 Q. And would that contain the Garda reference and the Tusla reference and the meetings, a summary of the matter, and at the very end you will see that there is a record of whether or not there is a file sent to the DPP, the DPP decision, the charges, the court --
A. Yes, that information but not necessarily in that format, but that information would be included on it,
yes.
146 Q. So that, Sergeant Byrne, seems to suggest to me that the purpose of these meetings was so as the HSE or Tusla could be informed in relation to the status of an investigation. Am I correct or incorrect in saying that?
A. Yeah, well it went both ways. It was that we were kept apprised as to their involvement in a particular case maybe that we had initiated, and vice versa, we were able to keep them informed of the status of referrals 10:36 they had sent to us.
147 Q. okay. And I think generally with regard to the preparation of Garda files, that the investigating guards who prepared those Garda files for the DPP would contain references to the HSE's involvement in a case, 10:37 is that correct?
A. They may do, now I couldn't say -- it wouldn't -- we11, if the initial referral came from the HSE, I am sure that would have been recorded in the file, yeah.
148 Q. I think that may be referred to in the Garda Code, about referring to HSE involvement?
A. I don't know, I am not aware of that, but...

149 Q. Yes. Okay. So was Sergeant McCabe's case on the agenda in that meeting?
A. No.

150 Q. okay. And I think the matter, on your recollection of events, was brought up by Ms. McLough1in, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you know Ms. McLough1in well at that time?
A. Yeah, I would have known her. Now, I think I had a previous meeting with her in December 2014, maybe.
152 Q. okay. I think you had written to her on the 1st November 2014 --
A. Yeah.

153 Q. -- introducing yourself to her, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

154 Q. And you wrote to her with a view to organising a joint agency liaison meeting at her earliest convenience, and 10:38 you said to her that the last meeting had been held in December 2013 with Keara McGlone?
A. That's correct, yes.

155 Q. Okay.
A. I did attempt to arrange another meeting with -- I think I wrote to them in --

156 Q. Ms. McAulay?
A. Yeah, I wrote to her maybe in July that year.

157 Q. Yes, I think there is a letter on -- supplied to us yesterday dated 2nd July 2014 --
A. Yeah.

158 Q. -- whereby you wrote to Ms. McAulay?
A. A similar letter.

159 Q. A similar letter.
A. And we did schedule a meeting, I think, for 24th of 10:38 Ju7y, but I think she contacted me then by phone two days beforehand to say that she wasn't able to make the meeting. And I think that Ms. McLoughlin was taking over as team leader and I had asked for them just to
pass my contact details on and we could organise a meeting again, so it was that November then I wrote.
160 Q. Okay. So I think you went through the agenda of the meeting, is that correct --
A. Yes.

161 Q. -- in October 2015. And then you had a conversation with Ms. McLoughlin, and when did that conversation take place?
A. At the very end of the meeting.

162 Q. Okay.
A. We were kind of sitting at the table and I just -- the only reason I remember it, Ms. Kenny had got up and the meeting was over really, I think she had left, and Ms. McLoughlin then made some reference to Sergeant McCabe and having to go speak to him, and I was kind of a bit taken aback now when $I$ heard mention of his name. And I said to her, look, I -- basically, I don't know anything about Sergeant McCabe or anything to do with Sergeant McCabe, that all happened before I came here. That was more or less the end of the --
163 Q. We11, what did you think Ms. McLoughlin was asking you about Sergeant McCabe for?
A. I assumed -- there had been a lot of -- there was newspaper articles the previous year and I remember a speech in the Dáil then by Deputy Shatter and it was quite prominent in the news at the time. I think I was surprised at the mention of his name at the meeting. There was no -- it was not on any schedule. And I
assumed maybe it had something to do with that, but I didn't really want to engage with her because I don't know anything about it and I didn't fee1 comfortable discussing the issue.
164 Q. Did you seek to clarify what exactly she was talking about?
A. No. As I said, I didn't feel comfortable discussing the matter. I had no knowledge of why she had to go talk to him and, as far as I was concerned, there was no referral. There was no reason to discuss him with her.

165 Q. Do you think she might have mentioned the word 'referral' to you?
A. No, I don't recollect the word 'referral'. I just said she had -- I remember she had the -- she made reference 10:41 to having to go speak with him about a matter. I didn't ask because I knew nothing.
166 Q. Okay. Because, you see, as far as Ms. McLoughlin was concerned, she had sent a referral to you or her agency had sent --
A. Yes, a referral had been sent. Yes, I accept that now, yes.
167 Q. -- two referrals --
A. But I wasn't aware of them and I wasn't prepared to discuss a matter with her that I wasn't aware of or that I had no knowledge of.
168 Q. Okay. But as far as you were concerned at that time, you hadn't received the referrals, isn't that correct?
A. I knew nothing of the existence of any referral.

169 Q. Yes. But you did know the existence of a criminal allegation made against Sergeant McCabe?
A. From nine or ten years back, yeah.

170 Q. So did you seek to clarify what exactly Ms. McLough1in was talking about?
A. No, I wasn't -- I didn't know -- I didn't know enough -- or I knew nothing about the criminal allegation, except that a file had been sent to the DPP and there was no prosecution, that was the end of the matter. I wasn't familiar with the intricate details of the investigation or the allegation, or anything like that. As I say, I didn't feel comfortable discussing the matter with her.
171 Q. It may, from one perspective, have seemed rather strange when Ms. McLoughlin was a professional and she 10:42 worked for Tusla, which was an agency there to make sure children were properly looked after and kept safe, and at the end of a Garda liaison meeting she asked you -- she said to you, I have to meet Sergeant McCabe about something?
A. Yeah.

172 Q. So did that in any way spark anything with you or a further conversation with any of your superiors?
A. No, I never mentioned that conversation again.

173 Q. I am sorry?
A. I never mentioned that conversation again.

174 Q. We11, that, in one person's perspective, may be hard to believe, considering the fuss and attention that was focused on policing in Bailieboro at the time and also
in particular on Sergeant McCabe. Do you understand what I am saying to you, Sergeant Byrne; that that is fairly unusual that a policeman would just ignore that?
A. I didn't want to get involved in historical issues relating to Bailieboro Garda Station.

175 Q. Yes.
A. And I had no accurate knowledge, I wasn't prepared to discuss a matter with her about which I had no accurate know7edge.
176 Q. You didn't think of referring her onwards --
A. No.

177 Q. -- in relation to the matter?
A. No.

178 Q. why is that, Sergeant?
A. Because $I$ had no knowledge of the matter. I didn't -as I say, I didn't feel comfortable discussing it with her.
179 Q. Did you think Ms. McLoughlin was simply gossiping about the matter or did you think there was something else to it?
A. No, I was surprised that -- at the matter being raised, but, as I say, I put it down to the fact that he had been the subject of newspaper articles the previous year. That was -- I had no accurate knowledge to be discussing matters like that with her. There was -- it 10:44 was -- where the existence and no referral, it wasn't scheduled for the meeting, it took me completely by surprise. As I said, I just didn't feel I was in a position to discuss it with her.

MR. LEADER: Thanks very much, Sergeant. If you would answer any questions anybody else might have.

END OF DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. LEADER
MR. DIGNAM: Judge, I think the appropriate running order is probably for Mr . McDowe11 to go next, or such other parties.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, I think that is fair, Mr. McDowell.
MR. MCDOWELL: I will go now.
CHAIRMAN: I mean, if anything is left out, of course 10:45 it can be mentioned again.

## SERGEANT BYRNE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDOWELL:

180 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: Two things, Sergeant Byrne. First of all, the original allegation was never investigated in Bailieboro, isn't that right?
A. Sorry?

181 Q. The original allegation made by Ms. D was never investigated at Bailieboro; there was no file kept at 10:45 Bailieboro in relation to that original allegation, isn't that right?
A. I have no idea, I don't know -- as I say, I have no idea. I knew very little about the original allegation or investigation.

182 Q. And the notification was sent to Bailieboro in mistake. They thought that it was the Garda station where this had been dealt with, isn't that right, or the area in which the alleged crime had been committed?
A. That would be the usual procedure, yes, but I was never aware of it existed, I was never aware a referral had been sent to Bailieboro until now.
183 Q. But the point that we dealt with yesterday is, it was the wrong Garda station that this notification was sent 10:46 to?
A. I don't disagree with that.

184 Q. I see. And if you would just go to page 1748. Superintendent McGinn sends a letter on the 7 th May 2014 to the chief superintendent of the Cavan-Monaghan division, isn't that right? Do you see that there?
A. That is what I see on the screen, yes.

185 Q. And he says -- it reads:
"On the $7 / 5 / 14$ " -- that is the day on which this letter 10:46 was written -- a referral was received from" -- Tusla, that should be -- "Child and Family Agency, Monaghan, notifying Gardai of a retrospective adult disclosure having been made by an alleged victim named Ms. D."

So the day it comes in, a letter is sent to the chief superintendent, is that right?
A. That -- yes, that is what I see here.

186 Q. And Ms. Leader was saying, did you regard it as unusual that there was no -- it wasn't sent to you, notification wasn't sent to you, but it does appear that Superintendent McGinn immediately took action in respect of this?
A. Yes, that appears to be the case, yes, but this is the
first sight I have had of this document.
187 Q. And then the next line is:
"Ms. D" -- date of birth blanked out -- "County Cavan is the daughter of Mr. D who is the sergeant in charge 10:47 of Bailieboro Station. The referral concerns a disclosure by Ms. D that when she was aged 6/7 years of age she was sexually assaulted by a man she named as Maurice McCabe. Maurice McCabe is a serving member of An Garda Síochána holding the rank of sergeant and he is currently attached to Mullingar Garda Station."

And the letter goes on:
"The allegation was investigated from Monaghan Garda Station by the then-Inspector Noel Cunningham, who is now a district officer at Monaghan. The completed investigation file was submitted to the Director of Pub7ic Prosecutions, who directed no prosecution. No copy of the investigation file is held or was held at Bailieboro district. On interrogation of the correspondence registered here, on7y
records/documentation received here to Maurice McCabe are the DPP's directions, Reference BB84607, and a few other similar types of correspondence. It is believed the investigation file with all subsequent relevant documentation is held at Monaghan Garda Station."

And then Superintendent McGinn says:
"In light of the referral received on foot of Ms. D's disclosures to a professional, $I$ feel it is appropriate to have the investigation reviewed. I suggest that the file and investigation in its entirety be reviewed at officer leve7 within An Garda Síochána or that it be referred to the Cold Case Unit, National Bureau of Criminal Investigation. In any case, if a review of the case is to be conducted, I suggest it be conducted external of personne1 within Cavan-Monaghan division."

So does that explain to you why it wasn't sent to you?
A. It would explain, yes. We11, it doesn't appear to have been relevant to Bailieboro district.
188 Q. Yes. Effectively, it was batted away and batted upstairs --
A. It never made it past upstairs, for want of a better description. It was obviously redirected, from what I see here.
189 Q. Yes. And can I ask you, arising out of that, were you aware of any conversation between Superintendent McGinn and Ms. D's father that day?
A. No, absolutely not, no, no.

190 Q. I see. Because it appears that there was such a conversation. You weren't told about it?
A. Between Superintendent McGinn --

191 Q. Superintendent McGinn and Ms. D's father?
A. No, I have no knowledge of that. I certainly wasn't aware of any.

192
Q. To discuss this referral form?
A. Yeah, no, I had no knowledge of it. I had no knowledge of the referral form until this week.
193 Q. Now, you said, in answer to Ms. Leader, that in May 2014 there was never any discussion of Sergeant McCabe 10:50 in the station at all?
A. No, not particularly. I didn't engage in discussion with people about it.
194 Q. I mean, let's remember where we were at this time. Commissioner Callinan had retired by this time, May -May of 2014. There had been a major discussion of the matter, leading to the resignation of minister Shatter, I think, in June, was it, or just around that time?
A. Yeah.

195 Q. And just around that time as well, there was the whole business of Seán Guerin, Senior Counse1, carrying out a preliminary scoping report. And are you saying in relation to Sergeant McCabe's allegations, which centred on Bailieboro, are you saying that Sergeant McCabe was never discussed in the station at that time? 10:52
A. I am not saying he was never discussed. I am saying this particular allegation to Ms. D was never discussed.
196 Q. Oh, I see.
A. I never discussed that with anybody.

197 Q. I am sorry, I thought you had gone further.
A. Yes, there would have been -- we don't live in a bubble down there.

198 Q. No, I thought you had gone further and said that he had
never been -- his name had --
A. Yes, he was certainly a topic of discussion.

199 Q. I presume he was a topic of discussion?
A. Yes, he was, yes. But in relation to this particular --
200 Q. Not in relation to the historic thing -- allegation --
A. In relation to the historic allegation, I never heard that discussed by anybody down there.
201 Q. So then can we move forward to your meeting with Ms. McLoughlin in October of the following year. You have given evidence, she never attempted to raise the McCabe issue with you in the interval -- or you weren't aware of any attempt to raise the McCabe issue with Bailieboro Garda Station?
A. Not with me personally, no, and I can't speak for anybody else in the station, but there was never any attempt -- I would have had a meeting with her in December 2014. It was never discussed at that. The first time she mentioned his name to me was at that meeting in October 2015.
202 Q. Yes. And at that point she seemed to be operating under the erroneous belief that, somehow, Bailieboro was the place to communicate with in relation to the Ms. D matter, isn't that right?
A. That would appear to be the case now, yeah, looking back.

203 Q. But she was at the wrong station and the wrong district completely, isn't that right?
A. Yes, counsel, yes.

204 Q. And then when she mentioned it to you, there was no context for her to do that?
A. I hadn't a clue what she was talking about or why it would come up. As I say, I only associated to him having been in the public arena the previous year.

205 Q. Yes. So that, as far as you were concerned, it was no business of Bailieboro Garda Station's at all --
A. Basically, yes.

206
Q. -- still less your business, to discuss this matter?
A. Even less so mine.

207 Q. And can you do your best just to recollect what did she say to you that sort of made you feel uncomfortable?
A. I just -- the only reason $I$ remember the conversation was because she mentioned his name, and by that stage he was a kind of fairly public figure, but I can basically remember she said something about 'I have to go and talk to Maurice McCabe'.

208 Q. I see.
A. Or 'I have to go speak with him'. Now, as I say, I put it down to the fact that there had been articles in the 10:55 news the previous year, but I didn't engage with her any further.

209 Q. Could you just assist me. Mr. McGarry points out to me, at page 1748, the letter $I$ was asking you about --
A. Yes.

210 Q. -- from Superintendent McGinn, there is -- if you go to 1756, the same letter seems to be appearing there with a different date-stamp from Bailieboro on it - the 8th of May on one and the 7 th of May on the other. How
would that happen?
A. How would it -- a letter with different dates?

CHAIRMAN: If you actually look on top of the date-stamp, you wil1 find the 8th of May 2014 on one, 1756, and if you look at the date-stamp on 1748 it's the 7th --

MR. MCDOWELL: It seems the same letter was sent -sorry, it's not quite the same letter because it's redated and sent the following day.
CHAIRMAN: Is there --
MR. MCDOWELL: Is one a draft?
CHAIRMAN: Is it a draft?
MR. DIGNAM: Chairman, $I$ don't want to intervene if Mr. McDowe11 doesn't want me to, but there is an explanation.
MR. MCDOWELL: We11, if there is an explanation, maybe I won't proceed --

MR. DIGNAM: In fact, it's a typographical error, Chairman, that was corrected by Superintendent McGinn, and the letter was then redated the 8 th of May.
211 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: But the point I am really making is, that the day this document came in from the HSE, it was batted away almost immediately by Superintendent McGinn, with a recommendation that the whole matter be reviewed and possibly an investigation reopened either with the Cold Case Unit of the National Bureau of Criminal Investigation or at officer level within the force.
A. I have no knowledge of any of that. That came from Superintendent McGinn's office. I am not aware of any of it.
212 Q. And I think, sorry, I may have misled you slightly. I think 7th of May 2014 was the day that Minister Shatter resigned, so it was --
A. I don't remember the date, but $I$ do remember he resigned.
MR. MCDOWELL: I see. Thank you.

END OF CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MCDOWELL

CHAIRMAN: Is there any other questions?
MR. DIGNAM: I just have a few very brief questions.
CHAIRMAN: Can we leave it until after the break? I am really sorry, things are going to get worse before they get better, but $I$ hope to be back in an hour, but $I$ may not be, and I am sorry, that is as most as I can say. I beg your pardon.

THE TRIBUNAL RESUMED, AS FOLLOWS, AFTER LUNCH:

SERGEANT BYRNE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DIGNAM:

CHAIRMAN: So, Sergeant, I think Mr. Dignam has a few questions.
213 Q. MR. DIGNAM: Sergeant Byrne, as you know I appear on behalf of Garda Síochána. Sergeant, I just have a few questions I want to ask you. In response to Ms. Leader's questioning, you described how the agenda 12:12 for the liaison meetings was compiled by An Garda Síochána and then sent to the HSE and then what became Tusla, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

214 Q. And was that sent to the HSE/Tusla in advance of the meeting?
A. It would have been. Certainly from when I started taking responsibility for the meetings after the departure Garda O'Sullivan in February 2013, I got an email address for Ms. Denise Duignan, she worked with the Child and Family Agency, and I was able to arrange the meetings in advance, and then I could forward on a copy of the proposed 1ist of cases in advance of meetings so that they would know what files to bring with them.
215 Q. And having that knowledge, you then told Ms. Leader that it never happened that they contacted you to say there should be another case on it or it should be different to the one that you had sent?
A. I don't recollect any incident where they rang us or made contact or emailed back to say, can we include this case on the agenda. I don't recollect incidents of that.
216 Q. And would you have thought that it would be in any way inappropriate to have done so?
A. No, not particularly, no. I would have thought it would be more appropriate, if they had an issue concerned -- or a file they wanted to discuss, I don't see there was any difficulty to add it to the list and we'd discuss it at the meeting.
217 Q. Now, you also told Ms. Leader in describing how the Garda notifications that were received by An Garda Síochána from the HSE or Tusla would come to you, and I think you describe that they be sent to the superintendent. He would, I think, give it or pass it to Ms. Kenny, is that the name?
A. That's correct.

218 Q. And then she would bring it to your attention at a meeting at some point in the future?
A. I would see it on the spreadsheet. And in advance of that meeting, in preparing for it I would go through the files to see what was to be discussed. Like, the role I was -- the HSE liaison role at that time was only a very, very small part of my responsibilities in 12:14 the station. Like, I was still sergeant with responsibility for a unit and providing a policing service and supervising younger, less experienced guards. So it was only a very small aspect of my role
in that station.
219 Q. We11, I take it from that, that the process didn't involve a notification being received in the superintendent's office and immediately being passed to you?
A. No, no, no.

220 Q. No.
A. I may not have had sight of them notifications until in advance of a meeting.
221 Q. And the Tribunal has asked for assistance and asked you 12:15 whether the agendas or the spreadsheets for those meetings would be available, and they can certainly be provided. But can you give us an idea of how often those meetings would have happened, during your time at least?
A. Ideally, they were to be held maybe four times a year, but in reality that was difficult. As I say, I had other duties to perform. I was responsible for a unit and providing a policing service. we were very short-staffed from 2013 on; we were becoming that
short-staffed that, in order to keep providing a service, I would often have to step in and perform the role of a guard, to drive a patrol car or to do station duty. And furthermore, at that time, then, I was commuting from home, maybe an hour and a half, to and from the station every day. It wasn't possible for me just to drop in on spec maybe on a day that suited Tusla staff. And again, they were quite busy as well, and there was an issue then with turnover of team

222 Q. Yes.
A. So it made it difficult. Ideally, yeah, if we could have had a meeting every three months, four months, it would have been ideal, but it just wasn't practical. 12:16

223 Q. Yes. Now, Ms. McLoughlin described in her evidence yesterday, and you have dealt with it in your evidence this morning, that her asking you something about Sergeant McCabe at the end or in fact after the meeting had concluded in October 2015?
A. She didn't so much ask as -- my recollection is that she said -- she brought up, she said 'I need to talk to him' or 'I need to speak with him' regarding a matter.
224 Q. And you described your response to that. Did she say to you at that stage 'we sent a notification about that 12:17 back in' --
A. No. I was never aware of any notification. The conversation was very, very brief. As I said already, I didn't feel comfortable or appropriate to be discussing, I didn't know him, I didn't know anything about the case, it wasn't on the list to be discussed. 225 Q. And Ms. McLough1in said in her evidence yesterday that she didn't pursue it after the meeting. Is that your recollection?
A. No, it was the briefest of conversations at the very end of a meeting, and I remember my parting words to her were, 'look, I know nothing about this, I wasn't here when any of this happened'.

226 Q. Did you have any -- were there any other mentions or
inquiries on the HSE Tusla side in relation to Sergeant McCabe? In other words, did you get any inquiries or queries from anybody?
A. In the six-and-a-half years I was there, I never had a conversation or mention or any inquiry of any nature from anybody in the Child and Family Agency regarding Sergeant McCabe. As I say, the first I became aware of the controversy was on a 'Prime Time' programme in 2017.

227 Q. Now, Mr. McDowell asked you was it unusual that you didn't get the Garda notification that was sent in May 2014, and you expressed the view that it was unusual?
A. It'd be unusual I didn't see it, yes.

228 Q. Yes. I think you also said earlier in your evidence that you had never seen a Garda notification where there was a reference to the DPP having previously given directions in relation to the matter?
A. Yeah, not to the best of my recollection. I have never seen -- I don't ever recall seeing a referral such as that.
229 Q. So would you consider that to be an unusual feature of this particular Garda notification?
A. We11, I have never seen it, so, to me, it would be unusual, yes.
230 Q. Did you come across many referrals which involved which involved serving members?
A. I never came across it in my life.

231 Q. Yes. So that was an unusual feature?
A. I never came across this notification. But were I to come across a notification, it would have been unusual, but I have never been in that position before.
232 Q. You weren't aware of everything that was happening in May 2014, that we have heard evidence about, in relation to the notification coming in, it having to be corrected and there being correspondence between Rian in particular and An Garda Síochána, Superintendent McGinn?
A. No, I'd absolutely no knowledge of anything of that nature.

233 Q. Yes. So therefore, you weren't aware, I take it -- if I just ask you to look at page 1723. This is a letter from Chief Superintendent James Sheridan to Assistant Commissioner for the Northern Region, where he says on the 22nd May that the previous referral, that refers to the notification which came in on the 2nd May -- which was dated 2 nd May, came in on the 8th May:
"... contained incorrect information and should therefore be withdrawn and replaced with the attached. This is a referral made by Tus7a relating to an incident which was reported to and investigated by An Garda Síochána in 2006/2007. The Director of Pub7ic Prosecutions directed that there should not be a not disclose any new information/evidence in regard to these matters and therefore at this time does not require any further action by An Garda Síochána."

You weren't aware of that letter at the time, were you?
A. No.

234 Q. Have you subsequently become aware of it?
A. That was -- at divisional office level, certainly not, 12:21 no.

235 Q. Yes.
A. I'm not aware of it.

236 Q. So you weren't aware that as early as 22nd May, the chief superintendent said there is nothing to investigate?
A. I didn't even know the referral existed, so I certainly would have had no knowledge of what was happening at district office level.
MR. DIGNAM: Thank you, Sergeant Byrne.

END OF CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DIGNAM

SERGEANT BYRNE WAS QUESTIONED BY THE CHAIRMAN:

237 Q. CHAIRMAN: Sergeant, you are a Dublin man, I take it?
A. That's correct.

238 Q. CHAIRMAN: When did you join the Gardaí?
A. I joined in -- I went into Templemore in August 1990 and I would have been attested or sworn in in October
1991. I was sent to Store Street Garda Station then until May 2000. In May 2000, I went on a United Nations mission to Bosnia and I returned in May 2001 to Store Street. And then in, I think, February 2002 I transferred to Cloone Garda Station in Leitrim.

239 Q. CHAIRMAN: And how long was it before you made sergeant?
A. I was promoted to sergeant in 2010, February 2010.

240 Q. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So it was ten years?
A. Em...

241 Q. CHAIRMAN: You were a garda ten years?
A. Oh, no, I was a garda 19 years.

242 Q. CHAIRMAN: 19 years?
A. Yes, I joined in 1991 and promoted in 2010.

243 Q. CHAIRMAN: Did you have family in the Gardaí, did you?
A. Yes. My father is a retired sergeant.

244 Q. CHAIRMAN: He is a retired sergeant?
A. I have a brother, he'd be younger now, he's stationed in Dublin.
245 Q. CHAIRMAN: And is he a detective?
A. No, just a ranked garda. He's stationed in Irishtown.

246 Q. CHAIRMAN: It was unusual enough at one stage for Gardaí to be Dub7iners, or so it seemed to me anyway, but --
A. There's plenty in it now.

247 Q. CHAIRMAN: Is there?
A. Not so many in Leitrim, but --

248 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yes. okay. And does the tradition of policing go back further in your family?
A. Grandfather would have been a sergeant as wel1, actually, in Sligo-Leitrim division.
249 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And that wouldn't go as far back as the RIC now, would it?
A. No. From the foundation of the -- An Garda Síochána.

250 Q. CHAIRMAN: The State, yes.
A. Further than that, I have never searched. I don't know.

251 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And the atmosphere in Bailieboro, was 12:23 it kind of tolerable when you --
A. I found it pleasant -- not pleasant, but it was -there was a relatively good atmosphere. I spent six-and-a-half-years in it. Now I was looking to -obviously return to Leitrim where I was living, but I found the atmosphere -- there was a good working relationship there between various colleagues in it, between units and sections, and that made it quite bearable, actually, to me, working there.
252 Q. Did you have much interaction with Sergeant McCabe?
A. No, I never -- the first time I've ever met Sergeant McCabe was when I saw him here yesterday. I never met him before. He was gone from Bailieboro when I transferred there in 2010.
Q. CHAIRMAN: He had gone to Monaghan, I think, is that right?
A. I believe it was Mullingar. I'm not sure. CHAIRMAN: Okay. This is a difficult question for you to answer, I know, and I appreciate that, but was there a sense of malice towards him at your rank?
A. Not to --

255
Q. CHAIRMAN: Look, you know the way, I mean, if you look at this thing, there was at one stage a website with a big rat on it and it was called Maurice.
A. I'm aware of that.

256 Q. CHAIRMAN: You know that, everybody knows that?
A. Yes.

257 Q. CHAIRMAN: But was there a sense of --
A. I never experienced it. Now, as I say, when I went there, everything that had gone on had predated my arrival and when I arrived there, there was five or six sergeants all came at the same time. We had all come from different divisions, transferred into Bailieboro, so we had no connection with what had gone on there, other than we happen to be working in this station. Certainly, it was a topic of discussion when he came to public prominence, but $I$ can't say there was an air of malevolence or malice towards him. I never experienced it.

258 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
A. But again, I had no connection with what had transpired there previously.
259 Q. CHAIRMAN: We11, you know the way some people spend a lot of time gossiping and gossip tends to be a currency - you've got to give some in order to get some.
A. I'm not one for engaging in gossip, to be honest. I don't -- I never have, and I don't find it -- I don't think -- I'm not one to engage in gossip, if that makes 12:25 sense. What had gone on there had preceded my arrival. As I say, I was new in there. I wanted to come in and do my job and eventually get transferred back to Leitrim, and that was my motivation. I just wanted to
do my job there and go home, if you understand.
260 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Ms. Leader drew your attention to your statement. You said at the end of that that when the whole notion of digital abuse, et cetera, had come along, you were horrified?
A. Yeah, I was.

261 Q. CHAIRMAN: By what had happened to your colleague, effectively?
A. It was a horrific allegation for to be made against anyone. The first I became aware of it was 'Prime Time' programme.
262 Q. CHAIRMAN: Was there a general kind of feeling that something had happened in the past or were people skeptical or did they just adopt the attitude, you know, you have to prove things or --
A. That particular allegation, in my experience there, was never -- it was never discussed. I never had a discussion with anybody about it. Certainly there was discussions about the raising of the penalty points issues, and there was discussions about, I think that there was a tribunal -- or a commission of inquiry.
Q. CHAIRMAN: And PULSE and all that?
A. They would have been topics of discussion, but an allegation like that was never -- not --

264 Q. CHAIRMAN: In other words, what you seem to be saying to me is, no one, as far as you know, was saying Maurice McCabe, he is a whatever?
A. No, that was never, never, never, never mentioned. Not to me anyway.

CHAIRMAN: And you would take a skeptical attitude to any such attitude were it expressed to you anyway?
A. I didn't know the man. I wouldn't -- I had never met him before. I would only judge a person when I meet them. I don't pay much heed to gossip, because there's plenty of it out there, and, as I say, I would make a decision when I met a person. It's gossip, yes, there 12:28 certainly is plenty of it, but if you were to heed that now you could make a -- it could lead you to make an incorrect judgement on a person, and I wouldn't.
267 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Unless you have anything else, Ms. Leader?
MS. LEADER: No, Chairman.

## END OF QUESTIONING BY THE CHAIRMAN

## SERGEANT BYRNE WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. MCDERMOTT:

268 Q. MR. MCDERMOTT: Sergeant Byrne, I wonder if I could ask a question. I'm Paul McDermott, I am counsel for Tusla. I don't know if you can see me back here? In relation to the October 2015 conversation with Ms. Kay 12:28 Mclough1in, can you just confirm in what capacity you had attended that meeting.
A. That was as liaison officer.

269 Q. Liaison between the Gardaí and Tusla?
A. Child and Family Agency, yes.

270 Q. And there's one very small difference in recollection between you and Ms. McLoughlin, it may well be nothing turns on it, and I wanted to say that Ms. McLoughlin was very tentative about her memory, she made it clear 12:29 she had very little memory of the conversation, but insofar as she had any memory, she said in her evidence, and it's page 40, question 230, if anybody else wants to look at it, she said:
"Whilst it wasn't on the agenda, I just wanted to know whether the Gardai had done anything since we had Garda notified them in 2014."

And she said her intention was to find out what status the Garda investigation was at, whether it was open or closed. Do you have any recollection of her raising any issue at all relating to the status of the Garda --
A. I don't recollect that, but I can't say that she didn't. I don't recollect it.
271 Q. And she agreed with your evidence that you had indicated it wasn't a case you knew anything about, that matches her recollection. And then at page 41, line 27 , she said:
"My understanding is he confirmed there was no investigation, that it had been dealt with previous7y."

And I stress she was very tentative about this but she
seemed to have some possible recollection that you may have said that the matter had been dealt with. Does that ring any bells now?
A. I don't remember saying that. I may have said that I wasn't aware of any investigation, but I don't recollect actually saying it was dealt with. I couldn't stand over that and say I said that.
272 Q. I think in your evidence it was something put to you that, in fact, the Tusla referral had been made to the wrong station or the wrong part of the county completely?
A. That appears to be the case, yeah. It had nothing to do with Bailieboro Garda Station or Bailieboro district.
273 Q. I think it's nobody's fault, but, in retrospect, if you 12:31 had had a longer conversation with Ms. McLoughlin on that occasion that issue might have been clarified. If the conversation had been longer, you might have got an opportunity to say, look, you're actually talking to the wrong person, in the wrong station, in the wrong side of the county, but, as the liaison officer, I'11 tell you or I'11 find out who you should have this conversation with?
A. No. I didn't, I didn't have that conversation. As I said, I didn't feel it was appropriate or I wasn't comfortable discussing that matter with her, because I had no knowledge of it. So I ended the conversation fairly, fairly -- I won't say abruptly but fairly quickly.

MR. MCDERMOTT: Thank you.
END OF CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MCDERMOTT
CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Sergeant.
THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREWMR. MARRINAN: Sir, the next witness is Orla Curran, please. Her statement is to be found in Volume 9, page 2593.

## MS. ORLA CURRAN, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MARRINAN:

274 Q. MR. MARRINAN: Ms. Curran, would you mind stating your professional qualifications, please.
$12: 32$
A. I'm a professionally qualified social worker. I completed a BA in social studies in 1999 with a diploma in social work.

275 Q.
And I think you commenced working as a social worker with the North Eastern Area Health Board, Cavan-Monaghan, in 1999, is that right?
A. Yes. I commenced working as a social worker in 1999 with the NEHB in the area of child protection and I remained working in this area until about 2005. In 2005, I was appointed the acting senior social work practitioner with the child sexual abuse assessment and treatment team in Cavan-Monaghan.
Q. I think the team consisted of yourself and a Ms. Emer O'Neill, who was a senior clinical psychologist, is that right?
A. That's correct.

277 Q. And at that time your line manager was the then-principal social worker, Mary O'Reilly?
A. That's correct.

278 Q. I think that you worked with that team unti1 2010?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

279 Q. When you were appointed social work team leader with primary care services safeguarding vulnerable adults in the HSE, is that right?
A. That's correct. That is my current role.

280 Q. That is your current role. I think in 2006/2007 the Cavan-Monaghan child sexual abuse assessment and treatment team had two main functions, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
A. The two main functions of the assessment and treatment team were to assess allegations of child sexual abuse and to provide treatment services for young people who had experiences or made allegations around sexual abuse.
Q. And who did you receive referrals from?
A. The referrals were received to the team from the intake social work team, so people couldn't directly refer into the service, it had to go through the intake social worker.
283 Q. I think that you first became aware of the Ms. D case on the 12th December 2006, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. I believe I first became aware of the Ms. D case on that date. I was present at the child Protection Team meeting where the case would have been discussed and it was agreed that Ms. D would be referred to the team by the intake social worker.
284 Q. I think that was Rhona Murphy, and we have heard evidence from her --
A. That was Rhona Murphy, yeah.

285 Q. -- in relation to this and I don't think that anything arises from that. I think that you believe that the referral that you received from Rhona Murphy came
between the 12th December 2006 and the 18th December 2006, is that right?
A. That's correct. On the 14th December, on the file, there's a record of a fax sheet having been sent with copies of notes from Ms. Murphy. So that would have activated the referral into the service.

286 Q. Now, I think on the 4th January of 2006 you met with Ms. D's parents, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

287 Q. And I think that yourself and Ms. O'Neill went through 12:36 the background in relation to Ms. D, and we're not going to go into any of the details in relation to -CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, Mr. Marrinan, that has to be 2007, doesn't it?

MR. MARRINAN: 2007, sorry. Did I say 2006? I did. I 12:36 beg your pardon.
288 Q. And I think that we're not going to go into any of the details, but you got background information and, in particular, you got written consent for copies of the Garda statements that had been made in the Garda investigation, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

289 Q. And it was agreed that Ms. D would attend the service for the purpose of assessment of her treatment needs, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

290 Q. Now, I think on the 24th January of 2007 Ms. D attended for appointment and you saw her along with Ms. O'Neill, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

291 Q. And again, the concentration at that time was on the assessment of Ms. D's treatment needs, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's correct. And on that date Ms. O'Neill
would have administered some psychometric testing to Ms. D.
292 Q. And we're not going to concern ourselves with that. I think on the 2nd February 2007 you had cause to phone Inspector Noe1 Cunningham to request copies of the Garda statements, and they had not yet been received at that time, is that right?
A. Yes, I telephoned Inspector Cunningham to ask him could we have copies of the statement because they were required for Ms. D's appointment with us on that day. 12:38
293 Q. I think that he arranged with Ms. D's mother to bring copies of the statements to the appointment, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, and she did so.

294 Q. I think that during the course of that telephone conversation, that you informed Inspector Cunningham that your service was carrying out an assessment of Ms. D's treatment needs, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.

295 Q. In terms of an assessment being carried out in relation 12:38 to Ms. D's treatment needs, were you actually carrying out an assessment of the allegation of child sexual abuse that had been made, as to whether it was founded or unfounded or whether it was accurate or not or
whether it was reliable, or at that time were you focused on, as you have put it several times in your statement, Ms. D's treatment and how she ought to be treated by your service?
A. No, the purpose of our intervention with Ms. D was to assess her treatment needs.

296 Q. And at that time you weren't concerned with assessing the accuracy or reliability of the allegations that she was making?
A. It wasn't a matter that we weren't concerned with undertaking the reliability of the assessment. I suppose to describe a credibility assessment, there's three main components that we look at: the context in which the allegation is made, the background information and collateral information being sought on the young person's behaviour, and the third component would be an investigative interview. We developed those protocols based on the international practice that was there in 2006. Now, because two statements had been made by Ms. D, we could not accurately conduct 12:40 an investigative interview that could safely conclude, given that she had already twice given her story and had been interviewed around that. So we therefore moved to assessing her for her treatment needs, because we were concerned about her presenting behaviours.
297 Q. We have been advised that perhaps there's an additional reason as to why Garda statements would be obtained; namely, that it would obviate the need to have to go over the circumstances that gave rise to the allegation
in the first place with the complainant because it would relieve any distress that that would involve?
A. That is certainly one aspect of it. Research at that time and the practice that we were following very clearly for adolescents in particular notes that repeated interviewing can often be highly distressing or young people, it leads to recanting, it leads to contradictions arising. so, for those reasons, we wouldn't have undertaken a credibility assessment.
298 Q. Okay. Now, I think that Mrs. D brought copies of the statements that had been made by her daughter on the 5th December 2006 and a later statement dated 21st December 2006, and you were provided with those prior to your meeting with Ms. D, is that right?
A. That's correct.

299 Q. And that meeting took place on the 7th February 2007?
A. Yes, that's correct.

300 Q. Now, I think again on the 21st February of 2007 Ms. D attended for appointment, and at that time she was offered an intervention session treatment with your service but she declined that offer, is that right?
A. That's correct.

301 Q. Could you just tell us what you mean by "intervention session"?
A. Ms. D, based on her contact with our service, it was
our recommendation that she would attend for some intervention sessions regarding feelings she was expressing, and we offered that service to her but at that time she did not wish to engage with us.

302 Q. Again, I think on the 21st March 2007 her parents attended for feedback on their daughter's attendance at the service, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

303 Q. I think that you advised them that their daughter had been offered the service that you had just described but had declined and that they had some concerns surrounding -- but if they had some concerns surrounding their daughter into the future, they could contact the service at any future juncture, is that right?
A. That's correct.

304 Q. I think on the 2nd Apri1 2007 a letter was sent to Ms. Rhona Murphy from yourself and Ms. O'Neill outlining the nature of the contact that you had had with Ms. D and her parents, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

305 Q. I think the letter outlined that Ms. D had been discharged from the service, that relates to any treatment or future treatment, is that right?
A. Not necessarily any future treatment. The option, if she wished to reattend the service, was there, but it was closing the case to the service at that time.
306 Q. Now, on the 24th April 2007 Ms. D's mother contacted the service and informed Emer O'Neill that the Director 12:44 of Public Prosecutions had recommended no prosecution and advice was given to her regarding how to discuss this with her daughter, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

307 Q. Now, coincidentally on the same day you were present at a child protection management team meeting in relation to the case, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

308 Q. If we could just have page 1290 put on the screen, you 12:44 will see it on the screen there, Ms. Curran. We see the date of the meeting is Tuesday, 24th April 2007 at $2: 15 \mathrm{pm}$. Had you already received the information in relation to the DPP's direction prior to that meeting?
A. It's not recorded on the file the time we took the telephone call from Ms. D.
309 Q. A11 right.
A. And I really can't remember whether that was before the meeting or after the meeting.

310 Q. Well, we can see that present at the meeting was Mary O'Reilly, and then Emer O'Neill and yourself is noted, and Mary Tiernan, Eileen Argue and Rhona Murphy. And Maurice McCabe is put down as person who is to be discussed at the meeting, is that right?
A. That's correct.
$12: 45$

311 Q. And to your knowledge, had a file been opened in relation to Maurice McCabe or how was it that he came to be discussed at that meeting?
A. I have no knowledge that a file was open on Sergeant McCabe and I wouldn't have any knowledge on
got together --
A. Yes.

313 Q. -- to discuss the Ms. D allegations?
A. Yes.

314 Q. And this had come in by way of referral as a result, and we can see it there "Reasons for referral: Ms. D informed the CSA team during recent appointment that Mr. McCabe inappropriately touched her". Isn't that right?
A. That's right.

315 Q. And the CSA team is you?
A. That's us, that's me.

316 Q. And Emer o'neill. So it would appear that this meeting was convened arising out of something that had been said by Ms. D during the course of your sessions with her, is that right?
A. The meeting wouldn't have been particularly convened because of Ms. D. These were our weekly, our biweekly meetings. We looked at new information, new referrals that came in, and they got allocated out. And if you refer back to the -- in December where the decision was made to make the referral to the CSA assessment and treatment team, this would have been us feeding back into the meeting. So there would be two components of that meeting: new intake and review. So clearly this 12:47 was us updating the meeting on our work.

317 Q. And we can see there the reasons for referral, I've read out the first bullet-point, and the second one is:
"The file returned from the DPP. No prosecution."

Is it possible that you had brought that information to the meeting and conveyed it to the meeting arising out of your discussion with Ms. D's mother?
A. It's very possible, it's very likely, yes. But I'm unsure if the social worker had been told.
318 Q. Now it has:
"Actions Agreed: Mary O'Reilly to contact Catherine Sweeney, principal social worker in meath, to ask her to nominate a member of her team to deal with mr. McCabe."

Do you recall a discussion in relation to that?
A. I can't recall any specific discussion in relation to it. I was at the meeting, it was a decision that was made. But I can't recall the actual meeting and our discussions around it.
319 Q. Well, you see, the Tribunal has been given some information in relation to this insofar as there's a suggestion that perhaps it was being sent to Meath, because Sergeant McCabe was somebody who was known in a professional capacity to people who had attended, or some of the people who had attended, perhaps, at these 12:49 conferences. Do you recall that?
A. I am aware probably from the Tribunal that that is the information. I cannot recall that.
320 Q. You don't --
A. I can't recall that meeting.

321 Q. You don't remember a discussion there amongst people who were present?
A. I don't. And if it wasn't a direct piece of work that I would be undertaking, it's less likely that I was overly aware of the details of it or -- I would remember clearer if it was something, a task that $I$ had to take out myself.
322 Q. Then if we look at bullet-point number 2:
"Social worker to offer Mr. McCabe a risk assessment and to inform" -- "him" that should be -- "that the HSE are aware of the allegations against him."

That was directed to Rhona Murphy, isn't that right, who was the social worker?
A. That would be the role of the social worker, yes, within the intake team.

323 Q. And then the final bullet $p-0-i-n-t$ is:
"Contact the Gardaí re current address for Mr. McCabe."

And we see that the minutes were sent to Mary o'Reilly, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

324 Q. Insofar as the directions that were given at that meeting on the 24th April 2007, I think you had no remit at all to implement any of the actions that have been outlined?
A. No, I would have had no remit in undertaking any of those actions.
Q. I think that you had no further contact with Ms. D or her parents and you had no further involvement in relation to the processing or otherwise of the file, is 12:51 that right?
A. That's correct. Once the work was concluded with Ms. D, neither myself nor Ms. O'Neill would have had ongoing involvement.
MR. MARRINAN: Thank you very much. Would you answer 12:51 any questions.
A. Thank you.

MR. MCDOWELL: No questions.

The WITNESS WAS CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR. DONAL MCGUINNESS:

326 Q. MR. DONAL MCGUINNESS: On behalf of An Garda Síochána, my name is Donal McGuinness, and I would like to ask you a few questions. You mentioned, Ms. Curran, at the start of your evidence that the HSE has two functions; one is the assessment of the allegations and the other is the provision of treatment services, and you also mentioned in your evidence that you're very much involved in the, at this time, in the provision of the treatment services itself?
A. I was involved in both aspects of those functions.

327 Q. But you would --
A. At that time.

328 Q. At that time.
A. Yes.

329 Q. But would you accept that a large part of your intervention at this moment in time was dealing with the provision of treatment services?
A. With this particular case?

330 Q. With this case.
A. With this case, that was the role we were undertaking.

331 Q. And the two roles that Mr. Marrinan referred to spring from Section 3 of the Childcare Act 1991, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

332 Q. And if I could just refer you back to page 1290 and the bullet-points at the bottom of that document:
"Mary O'Reilly to contact Catherine Sweeney, principal social worker in Meath, to ask her to nominate a member of her team to deal with Mr. McCabe."

And then number 2 :
"Social worker to offer Mr. McCabe a risk assessment and to inform him that the HSE are aware of the allegations against him.'

And then the third one is:
"Contact the Gardaí re current address for Mr. McCabe." Now, would you agree with me that those three
bullet-points are linked to what has been referred to as the requirement to meet Mr. McCabe arising out of the Barr judgment?
A. Section 3, as I understand it today, has a different set of protocols to what we were working from back in 2006/2007, so I'm not terribly clear on those set of protocols. I am familiar, or was familiar at the time regarding the Barr judgment and the interpretation at that time we were trying to develop. There were no national guidelines at that time. Our interpretation was to inform alleged persons of concern in order to inform a third party.
333 Q. Yes, the Barr judgment, more appropriately called the Gleeson judgment, which was decided by Mr. Justice Barr, was 1997, yes?
A. Mm-hmm.

334 Q. And then in 2006 the Murphy Commission was established, as it's known, the Commission to Inquire into Child Abuse in the Diocese of Dublin?
A. Mm-hmm.

335 Q. You're familiar with that. And she reported in 2009. Do you remember that?
A. 2009?

336 Q. 2009.
A. If it was 2009, I accept that. I can't remember.

337 Q. It was. The reason I mention these dates is because the Commission was formed in 2006.
A. Okay.

338 Q. It was looking back at historic, the management of
child abuse claims in the diocese of Dublin specifically in relation to priests --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

339 Q. -- over a long period of time, but the area in which she was reporting commenced in 2006 and she reported in 12:55 2009.
A. okay.

340 Q. Now, they investigated the procedures that were in place at the time by the HSE and by the Gardaí and they investigated the issues surrounding the Barr judgment and what procedures had been put in place on behalf of the HSE as a result of the Barr judgment. If I just might read to you a little excerpt. It's page 107 of the Murphy Commission report of July 2009, it's Part 1. And it states as follows:
"The HSE told the Commission that the judgment in this case (generally known as the Barr judgment) had" -- and then it quotes directly:
" -- significant implication for the management of child sexual abuse cases by the Health Board/HSE. It provided that the Health Board/HSE, except in cases where a child is believed to be at immediate risk of suspected child sexual abuse, before passing on any information with regard to a suspected child abuser to a third party, must give the allegations in writing to the alleged perpetrator. The alleged perpetrator must then be given the opportunity to respond in person to
the HSE before the HSE makes its decision on whether or not to pass the information on to a third party. Recent legal advice is that the opportunity to appeal the decision of the HSE to pass on information to a third party must also be given to the alleged perpetrator."
would you agree with me that this paragraph, which has come from your organisation essentially, to the Murphy Commission, makes it clear that the reason why an alleged perpetrator is to be contacted is for the purposes of ensuring that he is given fair procedures to deal with any allegations that are made against him before a third party is notified?
A. Correct.

341 Q. Now, the possible third parties that might be notified arising out of the allegation against Sergeant McCabe would be third parties concerned for other children, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

342 Q. Which is the other part of the HSE's obligations under Section 3?
A. Correct.

343 Q. And one example might be his employer --
A. Correct.

344 Q. -- the Gardaí, but they already knew.
A. Yes.

345 Q. So there was no need to tell them. Another person that might have been informed might have been Mr. McCabe's
own wife?
A. Yes. She would -- a wife or a husband, depending on whom the allegation was made against --
346 Q. Yes.
A. -- would be the third party often that was being informed.

347 Q. So the purpose behind the Barr letter, if it ever is sent, and the purpose behind contacting Mr. McCabe, which is a prerequisite to sending the Barr letter, is effectively to get clearance to contact those other parties that need to be contacted?
A. Okay, yes.

348 Q. An employer, a wife or maybe a club?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

349 Q. Or if there was someone involved in club activities or something like that. Do you agree with me?
A. Yes.

350 Q. And it would therefore be important to identify what steps need to be taken and whether or not there is any need to contact third parties at all in relation to an 12:58 investigation such as this?
A. Yes, it's a very -- it was an area we were trying to develop protocols around at that time, so the protocols at that time were in development, and it was definitely much more straightforward if you had a confirmed
allegation or a conviction. So we were trying to work and adapt best practice insofar as we possibly could, while having regard to the rights of people whom allegations had been made against, while taking on
board the needs of children, and that's what we were trying to do.
351 Q. Yes. So obviously before you can make a decision as to whether or not any third parties have to be contacted, you conduct a credibility assessment in relation to the 12:59 case?
A. It the situation allows a credibility assessment to be undertaken. You undertake a credibility assessment not with the specific focus to responding to the alleged person of concern, but around the needs of the child. 12:59 Our focus was clearly on the needs of that young person.
352 Q. Okay. well, then would it be safe to say that the credibility assessment is a twofold purpose: one is to deal with the issues surrounding the needs of the child, but also for the purposes of your general assessment as to whether or not there are any other child protection concerns out there?
A. Yes, absolutely, but we did not undertake credibility assessments to satisfy persons of concerns. It was to focus on the needs of that child, their risks, their needs.

353 Q. Yes. But it was identified at the very least that there was going to be contact made with Sergeant McCabe, or there ought to have been contact 13:00 made with Sergeant McCabe?
A. Yes.

354 Q. But the reason for that contact was for the purposes of determining whether or not there was any risk to other
children, isn't that correct?
A. I think I said earlier, I cannot specifically recall the decision-making rationale around those decisions. Crude though it may seem, when the directions aren't in relation to my work, I would have -- I would have less focus and awareness around that, so I can't answer you on the rationale we had around that, I'm afraid.
Q. But you will agree with me that essentially the three bullet-points at the end of the document 1209 indicate that there was a job of work to be done in relation to contacting Sergeant McCabe?
A. Yes, there was a decision that that piece of work should be undertaken.

356 Q. And you also agree with me that the purpose behind doing that piece of work was, before you could approach 13:01 any third parties in relation to the allegations, you had an obligation to contact Sergeant McCabe before you approached third parties, in accordance with the paragraph I just read out --
A. Yes.

357 Q. -- from the Murphy Commission?
A. Yes.

358 Q. And that job of work essentially wasn't done back in 2008?
A. 2007, no.

359 Q. Or 2007?
A. No, as I understand now it wasn't. I wouldn't have been aware at that time whether it was or whether it wasn't, but I am, for the purposes of the Tribunal,
aware now that that didn't happen.
360 Q. And if that piece of work had been done in 2007, it would not necessarily have required to be done again in 2013 when there was a re-referral?
A. I really couldn't speculate on a task social workers were undertaking in 2013. I really couldn't.

MR. DONAL MCGUINNESS: Thank you, Ms. Curran.

END OF CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DONAL MCGUINNESS

MR. CUSH: I have no questions, Chairman.
CHAIRMAN: Yes. Mr. McDermott?
MR. MCDERMOTT: No, thank you.
MR. MARRINAN: Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW.

MS. LEADER: Dr. Gerard o'neill is the next witness, sir. The materials relevant to his evidence are at page 2883 , volume 10 of the materials.

# DR. GERARD O'NEILL, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER: 

361 Q. MS. LEADER: Dr. O'Nei11, if you wouldn't mind outlining to the Tribunal your relevant qualifications 13:03 and your current role.
A. Okay. I am director of counselling in a counselling service in the southeast of Ireland with the HSE. My qualifications are a master's in counselling and a doctorate in psychotherapy.
362 Q. And I think you're Ms. Fiona Ward's equivalent in the counselling service in the southeast?
A. That's correct, yes.

363 Q. For the purposes of this Tribunal, I think you reviewed a file in relation to a person that the Tribunal is referring to as Ms. D, is that correct?
A. When you say $I$ reviewed a file, can you just explain -I am aware of the file, yes.
364 Q. You're aware of the file?
A. Yes, yes.

365 Q. And that file, I think, was transferred to your counselling service in 2014, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

366 Q. Do you know when it was transferred in 2014?
A. Approximately May 2014.

367 Q. And I think from your review of the file it appeared to you that it was transferred on the 2nd May 2014 ?
A. That would be correct.

368 Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.

369 Q. And that actually appears on a CORE record which was created in your service, is that correct?
A. In waterford -- in the southeast, yes.
Q. Yes. And that's at page 363 of the materials. It should be in front of you just shortly.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Just from the point of view of the press, you said a particular town. We will just leave it at the southeast, if that could be reported as such, please, and not the town. Thanks.
A. That's correct yes.

371 Q. MS. LEADER: That was Ms. D's file that was transferred?
A. Yes.

372 Q. I think it was transferred from your counselling service, Rian, is that correct?
A. The call record is the one that was created in the southeast.

373 Q. okay.
A. Yeah.

374 Q. Right. Do you know at this stage how the file was transferred to you?
A. I can't recall specifically, but standard practice, it would be by standard post or by registered post.

375 Q. And in that regard I take it that it wasn't an unusual thing that files would be transferred between various services?
A. If a client had moved between services, a file would
follow -- sometimes a file would follow, sometimes it may be just a case summary. In this case it was the full file.
376 Q. Now, I think following the transfer of the file your service received a phone call from Laura Brophy of Rian, is that correct?
A. That would be correct, yes.

377 Q. And that's apparent from your review of the file?
A. Yes. It's the letter, I think, dated 28th May. That would be correct, yeah.
And what did Ms. Brophy tell your service during the course of that telephone call?
A. I wouldn't have had access to the specific conversation. It probably would -- the conversation was, according to a subsequent letter -- according to that letter with our administrator at the time, who has since left the service, but at that point she would have raised concerns about an erroneous notification that was in the first file that was sent to the southeast.
379 Q. And what steps were taken by your service when you heard about the erroneous report?
A. We waited for the replacement file, because that was part of the conversation between Laura Brophy and the then-administrator.

380 Q. Yes.
A. The file in question, the second file, my recollection is that it arrived on the 28 th may and there was a letter which was date-stamped 29th May. In that
letter, it refers to "Please find enclosed the full replacement file," I think.

381 Q. Okay.
A. So that's --

382 Q. That letter is at page 370 of the materials.
A. That's correct.

383 Q. So that letter is date-stamped 29th May 2014, the Southeast National Counselling Service, is that correct?
A. Yes, yes.

384 Q. And the letter itself is dated 28th May 2014?
A. Yes.

385 Q. That's correct. And it's:
"Re transfer of client from NCS Cavan to NCS southeast."

Is that correct?
A. That's correct.

386 Q. And the letter which Ms. Brophy has already referred to 13:08 in her evidence says:
"Please find enclosed a full copy of the file allocated to Ms. D. I apologise for any prior confusion in relation to the partial transfer of her file and the issue in relation to the retrospective report I submitted in 2013. I have enclosed the amended and correct report as discussed with the administrator in the southeast."

That's the conversation you were referring to already, is that correct?
A. Yes.

387 Q. "And I am grateful for your support in my efforts to resolve this matter."

Is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

388 Q. "And if there are any further queries in relation to this file or documents enclosed, please do not hesitate 13:09 to contact me."
A. Correct.

389 Q. And Laura Brophy signs off on that letter?
A. Yes.

390 Q. So what steps were taken by your service at that stage 13:09 in relation to the first file that was transferred?
A. The first file at that stage would have been, the copy of the first file would have been shredded in the southeast.

391 Q. Okay. Have you checked your records to ensure that you 13:09 don't have any copy of the first --
A. We11, we don't have a copy of the first file in the southeast.

392 Q. okay. Now in relation to the second transfer of the file, what was contained with the documentation that was transferred to your service on the 28th May?
A. It was a full record of the client contact in the northeast, so it would have taken an initial assessment, there would have been the call record
sheet, there would have been documentation on contact with Ms. D, and relevant -- the corrected and amended retrospective notification form and relevant correspondence around notifying the various personnel. so that would be a complete account.
393 Q. Did you have any conversations with any Rian personne1 at that time?
A. Not directly. I can't recall any direct conversation with the counsellor involved, Laura Brophy. I may have had conversations with my opposite number in the northeast, Ms. Fiona Ward. That would really be in relation to if the client would have been waiting for a service in that part of the country, when they transferred to the southeast we would accredit them with that waiting time. So they would start at the same point in terms of -- so they wouldn't lose out in terms of moving from one --
394 Q. I understand.
A. Yeah.

395 Q. I understand. And did you ever speak to Ms. D?
A. No. It wouldn't be normal in my role that I would have direct contact with the client, unless $I$ was seeing a client myself as a therapist, yeah.
396 Q. I think it was Ms. Waters in your service?
A. That's correct, yes.

397 Q. And did you supervise Ms. Waters?
A. I did, yes.

398 Q. Did you speak to her in relation to Ms. D?
A. She would have spoken to me in relation to the contact
that she had with Ms. D during counselling, and that's part of the regular supervisory structure.
MS. LEADER: Thank you very much. If you would answer any questions anybody else might have.
A. of course.

CHAIRMAN: There's no questions. Thanks, Doctor. That's it.
A. Right. Thank you.

399 Q. MS. LEADER: There is one question I should have asked you. Did you at any time notify the Gardaí in relation 13:12 to this matter?
A. No. The replacement file that was sent was comprehensive from my perspective in terms of the corrected notification and reviewing the relevant correspondence around that, so...
MS. LEADER: Thank you very much.
A. Thank you.

THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW.

MS. LEADER: Ms. Pamela Armitage, please.

MS. PAMELA ARMITAGE, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER:

MS. LEADER: Ms. Armitage's statement is to be found at Volume 9, page 2839 of the materials.
400 Q. Ms. Armitage, if you can first of all outline your qualifications and your relevant work experience, please?
A. I have worked in the Child and Family Agency for the last 13/14 years. I did my regular school
qualifications and then I left school and I studied business and finance and then moved to Ireland and took up work with the Child and Family Agency.
401 Q. Okay. I think you worked with the HSE in 2014 in the Cavan office, is that correct?
A. I did, yeah.

402 Q. And what was your specific role in the Cavan office in 2014?
A. I'm clerical admin in the office. And I work in the admin team, dealing with the child protection social workers.
403 Q. Now, if you could first of all explain to the Tribunal the filing system in Cavan in 2014?
A. Yeah. We have a system where we have all of the open unallocated cases are based in the main office and oldest up to the most current. Any closed files are away in storage. Any files that are allocated to social workers would be with the social worker, whether
that be a child in care or a duty case.
404 Q. And we have heard some evidence about a system called Measuring the Pressure. Would those files be stored separate from other files?
A. No, they're not. They're kept within the system, the open unallocated system.

405
Q.

We've heard evidence from Ms. Laura Connolly to the effect that some files were kept in the duty social worker's room. Could you explain to the Tribunal a little bit about the filing system in that room, please?
A. There would be a number of files that would be in the duty room and they would be cases that they would be working on at the time. The duty system, if there's a case that has come in and there's information coming in on it regularly, they would keep it in the duty office so that they have the information there with them. It wouldn't necessarily be -- it's still unallocated, but it's being worked by the duty system.
406 Q. Okay. And those files, would they be kept in any particular order in that room?
A. The duty social worker have a system in there where they have cases where they have families waiting to come in for an appointment, so they'd have them in one section. They have the files that are ready to go to files that are waiting for correspondence to come back from professionals that they may have written out to, looking for information.

407 Q. So the files that were ready to go to a referrals meeting, were they kept in a tray ready to go to a referrals meeting?
A. Yes.

408 Q. So they weren't in the filing cabinet?
13:17
A. They're in the -- they're all in a cabinet, yes, but in a tray in a cabinet, yeah.
409 Q. So there was a tray assigned to the referral meetings in a cabinet?
A. Yes.

410 Q. And in relation to files that had tasks to be assigned on them, when were they put into the duty room?
A. Sometimes after the referrals meeting.

411 Q. Yes.
A. If a task is to be carried out with a family or on a 13:17 file, it would then -- initially they would come back to admin for us to send out any relevant letters --
412 Q. Yes.
A. -- to update the Measuring the Pressure as to the status of the case, but if they had duty tasks, the
files would then go back to the duty office for them to carry out the work.
413 Q. okay. Would they be put in any particular order into the filing cabinet if it had a task outstanding?
A. There wouldn't be any particular order. They would just be -- I mean, the duty social worker probably puts them in their own order of importance to them.
414 Q. okay. So if somebody phoned up the HSE in Cavan and a file happened to have a task outstanding on it, would you know where to find it at any particular time?
A. Yes.

415 Q. And how would you know that?
A. We have the file index, the card system --

416 Q. Yes.
A. -- which was the Exce1 database. Every file is listed on there, whether it be opened or closed, and where the file is and what date it went.

417 Q. Okay. So you would know if it was in the duty room, that it was somewhere in the cabinet, but not necessarily where?
A. Yes.

418 Q. And you would just go through the cabinet?
A. Yes.

419 Q. And find it?
A. Yes.

420 Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.

421 Q. Now, on the 14th May 2014 I think you took a telephone call in relation to a referral that Laura Brophy had made from Rian, is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

422 Q. Do you remember that?
A. I do.

423 Q. Why is it you have a particular memory of that telephone call?
A. I remember it because she was quite anxious when I spoke to her and also because of the content of the error that had been made. It wasn't that it was about
whoever it was it was about; it was just that what had happened and the error.
424 Q. okay. I think you made a record of the telephone call you'd received from Ms. Brophy, is that correct?
A. Yes, I did.

425 Q. That appears at page 439 of the materials, if it can be brought up in front of you. So is that in front of you on the screen?
A. Yes, yes.

426 Q. So it's an email from you on the 14th May 2014, is that 13:19 correct?
A. That's correct.

427 Q. And the time of the email is $11: 05$, is that correct?
A. Yes.

428 Q. And would that have been proximate to the time that you 13:20 received the telephone call from Ms. Brophy?
A. Yes, I would say it was just a few minutes after I spoke to her.
429 Q. Now, the email is to Eileen Argue, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

430 Q. Why did you send the email to Ms. Argue in particular?
A. Eileen was the team leader at the time.

431 Q. Was there any thought to sending it to the duty social worker on that day?
A. No, I didn't, because it was a serious error and that's 13:20 why I thought it was important it went to the team leader.

432 Q. okay. And if you could read out the content of that email, please, Ms. Armitage?
A. It says:
"Dear Eileen,

Laura Brophy, Rian, just called to say that she has 13:21 made an error in her report to us re Ms. D. Line (that this abuse involved digital penetration both vaginal and ana7) is an error and should not be in the referra7. It is in fact a line from another referral on another adult that has been pasted in in error. Laura has apologised and is sending us an amended report ASAP."
433 Q. Okay. And Ms. Argue was in the same office with you at that time, was she?
A. Yes.

434 Q. You regarded that as something serious, is that correct?
A. Yes.

435 Q. And did you regard it as something that should be corrected immediately?
A. Yes.

436 Q. And was that the purpose of you sending that to Ms. Argue?
A. Yes.

437 Q. Do you remember did you pull out the file or anything of that nature on that day?
A. No, I didn't pull the file on that day. I just sent the information straight through to Eileen.
438 Q. Okay. And did Eileen speak to you in relation to the
matter? Did she phone you or anything of that nature?
A. I don't remember her speaking to me. I just remember her then asking me to print a copy of it out for the file.

439 Q. Okay.
A. I think she emailed me back to say 'Could you print this out for the file, please, Pam.'

440 Q. And that was on the same day, is that correct?
A. Yes.

441 Q. So if you printed it out for the file, did somebody pull the file on that day?
A. I think the file was actually with Eileen at the time.

442 Q. Okay. Do you have a positive memory of that?
A. I don't.

443 Q. Okay.
A. No. I can't say that definitely.

444 Q. But your impression is, is it?
A. Yes.

445 Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.

446 Q. Is it your memory that the file was with Eileen?
A. Yes.

447 Q. And of course the Garda notification would have been sent out on the 2nd May, is that correct?
A. Okay, yeah. I wouldn't have had any involvement with 13:22 that, so I can't say for sure, but --

448 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah.

449 Q. So it would appear that -- we know from Ms. Connolly's
evidence that she was working on the file on the 30th April.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

450 Q. And she, on her evidence, put it onto the referrals tray when she was finished working with it on the 30th 13:23 April, but your impression, in any event, on the 14th May, is that the file was with Ms. Argue?
A. Yeah. Well, that's how I remember. The file, I think, was unallocated. And if something is unallocated and comes into our department that is just not a basic piece of information, it will go to the team leader.
451 Q. Okay. Now, the next piece of documentation I'm going to ask you to look at --
CHAIRMAN: Ms. Leader, I'm sorry, it's my fault, but I was just a bit unclear. Did the witness actually speak 13:23 following the email to Ms. Argue?
MS. LEADER: Maybe Ms. Armitage could help us on that.
452 Q. Did you speak to Ms. Argue after sending the email?
A. I don't remember speaking to Ms. Argue about it, no.

453 Q. okay. And your impression is that the file was with her on that day?
A. Yes.

454 Q. Is your office near Ms. Argue's office?
A. Near enough.

455 Q. Okay. Now, if I could turn to page 443 of the materials. Is that in front of you?
A. Yeah.

456 Q. Okay. That's a letter you will see addressed to Ms. Argue from Fiona ward in relation to the error in
the retrospective abuse report form. And you will see there is a note on top of that: "Séamus, please see attached".
A. Yeah.

457 Q. Do you think that's your handwriting?
A. It is my handwriting.

458 Q. It is your handwriting. okay. If we could turn to Volume 9 of the materials, please. There is an actual colour-copy of the documentation. It would appear that is a Post-It note attached to that letter.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Just give me the page again, if you wouldn't mind.

MS. LEADER: The page in the copy is 443.
CHAIRMAN: And then the one with the Post-It note is?
MS. LEADER: Is -- I'm sorry, I just have lost it for a minute.

CHAIRMAN: I lost it too, so don't worry. I know the thing you're referring to.
MS. LEADER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: I can practically see it in front of me. MS. LEADER: It's page 2407 of volume 9.

459 Q. It will come up in front of you now. You see it appears to be a Post-It note attached to a letter?
A. Mm-hmm.

460 Q. Addressed to Ms. Eileen Argue, signed by Fiona Ward, asking that the incorrect retrospective report be returned, is that correct?
A. Yes.

461 Q. Now, do you have any memory of writing that note?


462 Q. It's your handwriting?
A. Yes.

463 Q. Do you sort the post when it comes in in the morning
or --
A. I don't.

464 Q. okay.
A. We did, years ago, take it on a rota, but now it's just one person that all the post goes to and she date-stamps it and distributes it.
465 Q. So you wouldn't have received that when it came in in the morning?
A. No, no, not necessarily.

466 Q. Okay. But it would appear from that, that that letter, 13:26 for some reason, came to your attention?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

467 Q. From the contents of the file as we have it now?
A. Yes.

468 Q. Could you in any way explain to the Tribunal how that 13:26 could have happened?
A. I would say that if the information -- if that letter had come in, I would say that $I$ have seen the letter and I don't know why I have asked Seamus to look at it, it's probably that Eileen may not have been there that 13:27 day and that's why $I$ have put it for Seamus's attention.
469 Q. That would be very close to the day you had received the telephone call from Laura Brophy, is that correct?
A. Yes, yes.

470 Q. So I don't know if this would make any sense to you, Ms. Armitage, but it may be that you attached some importance to this letter; do you think that could be correct?
A. Probably correct, yes.

471 Q. And you brought it to Mr. Deeney's attention, is that correct?
A. Yes.

472 Q. Was it normal for you to bring items of post to Mr. Deeney's attention at that time?
A. Not always Mr. Deeney because he wouldn't always have been in our -- he's usually based in Monaghan.

473 Q. okay.
A. But if things of importance come in that people's attention needs to be drawn to, then, yes, I would.

474 Q. Okay. And how often would he have attended at the Cavan office back in 2014?
A. From what I remember, he would have been there probably a few days a week, but is he a principal social worker. 13:28

475 Q. Okay. And you don't remember what days of the week he was assigned?
A. No, I don't, sorry.

476 Q. okay. That's okay. So you think you would have brought this letter to Mr. Deeney's attention with a stamped-addressed envelope attached to it?
A. No, there wouldn't be a stamped-addressed-attached envelope to it, I wouldn't have thought.

477 Q. We11, the letter itself says that "I enclosed a
stamped-addressed envelope for the return of the report" --
A. Sorry, yes.

478 Q. So that do you think you would have brought the file with you if you bringing that piece of correspondence to Mr. Deeney's attention?
A. I don't remember. I have no recollection.
Q. Yeah.
A. -- that isn't always, you need the file to see what it's relating to. So usually when the post comes in, 13:29 we would look, do we know the family. If we do, where's the file, pull the file, put the correspondence with the file and bring it to duty social worker's attention or the relevant social worker who may be working it.
481 Q. Okay. Do you remember any conversation you had with Mr . Deeney or anything of that nature?
A. I don't, no. Sorry.

482 Q. That's all right. Do you know, in fact, if the form was returned to Rian?
A. If it was, I didn't return it.

483 Q. Okay. And you're happy about that?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

484 Q. Now, in relation to the error that was made in the
report, do you remember, besides your conversation with Laura Brophy and you sending the email to Ms. Argue, if you spoke to anybody in the office about it?
A. I don't remember discussing it with anybody. I may have said to my colleague that I work with, I've just taken a phone call from Laura Brophy and she's very concerned about there's been an error. But other than that, no.
485 Q.
CHAIRMAN: By your colleague, do you mean the administrator --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: -- who might have been working in the same --
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Just by way of a general chat?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
486 Q. MS. LEADER: And was there any protocol in place at that time in relation to dealing with mistakes that had been made on files?
A. Not that I remember, because, to be honest, we hadn't dealt with anything like it before.
487 Q. okay.
A. It's not very often that we -- that we get information in that would be incorrect.

488 Q. Okay. Can you remember any other instance of information being received in the HSE --
A. I can't.

489 Q. -- which was incorrect?
A. I can't, no. I haven't.

490 Q. okay. And how long have you worked in the Cavan office?
A. I have been there for 14 years.

491 Q. Okay. So can I take it from that, Ms. Armitage, that 13:31 it was a fairly unusual occurrence and something that you definitely remember?
A. Yes.

492 Q. Okay. There is one other possibility in relation to that Post-It note: it is possible that Mr. Deeney could have had the file already and you could have been bringing the post to him to be attached to a file that he already had?
A. It's possible, but I can't say for sure.

493 Q. You can't say for sure?
A. No.

494 Q. If I could then turn to page 444 of the materials. Ms. Brophy sent an email on the $16 / 5$ to Ms. Argue in relation to the report, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

495 Q. And she was informing Ms. Argue that the guards hadn't been informed of the mistake in the file -- in the report, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

496 Q. Now, that email was forwarded to you on the 2nd June, 13:33 is that correct?
A. Yes.

497 Q. And what did Ms. Argue ask you to do in relation to the matter?
A. She asked me to print a copy of it for the file.

498 Q. okay. So if you had been given that instruction --
A. Mm-hmm.

499 Q. -- you'd have printed a copy, is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

500 Q. Would you have put it on the file?
A. No. I think the reason Eileen at the time was asking us to print things was because she didn't have any ink in her printer.

501 Q. okay.
A. And the reason I remember is that at the time everybody had their own individual printers and we were having problems getting ink for them.
502 Q. okay.
A. So we were printing out quite a lot of different things 13:33 for different people.

503 Q. Okay. So you were in a separate office to Ms. Argue?
A. Yes.

504 Q. So you would print as instructed?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

505 Q. Would you then put it in internal post or physically give it to Ms. Argue?
A. I would either have given it to her if I had seen her or $I$ would have put it in her own tray. Every one of the social workers has a tray in our office where we leave post and information for them if they are not around.

506 Q. okay. And then if we could turn to page 445 of the materials, you will see this. Ms. Argue sent an email
to Linda Dewhirst, who was in the Monaghan office, as I understand it, is that correct?
A. She is, yes.

507 Q. And that was on the 7th June 2014, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

508 Q. And that was at $2: 24$. And she says:
"Dear Linda, this is an amended Garda notification."
which is attached to the email, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

509 Q. Then there is: "Pamela, please print a copy for the file".
A. Yes.

510 Q. So what steps do you think you took on foot of that 13:34 instruction?
A. I would have printed the email and the attachment.

511 Q. Okay. And again given it?
A. Yes.

512 Q. And do you think that was because of the ink shortage 13:35 at the time?
A. I think it was, because Eileen would have printed that herself otherwise.
513 Q. Okay. Now, just generally, there seemed to have been a lot of activity in relation to that particular file from 30th April 2014 up until into June, and obviously there was the notification from Ms. Brophy, which phone call you took. Do you remember that file being unusually busy around that period of time?
A. I can't say, no. I just -- I do remember the phone call with Laura Brophy and passing the information on, but other than that, no.
514 Q. I think you also had a role at one stage in relation to Garda liaison meetings, is that correct?
A. No, no, I have never --

515 Q. Child protection?
A. The case conferences, child protection case conferences. Not liaison meetings.

516 Q. And what used you do in child protection conferences?
A. I took the minutes of the meetings.

517 Q. And I think in one of those meetings the investigators pointed out to you that Sergeant McCabe was present at the meeting, is that correct?
A. Yes.

518 Q. Do you have any memory of that particular meeting?
A. No.

519 Q. okay.
A. No. At the time I could have been doing three meetings a week and there could have been a different -- well, there's a different group of professionals at each meeting.

520 Q. The details of the minutes of the meeting, they're draft minutes, at page 2863 of the materials.
A. Yeah.

521 Q. It should be on the screen in front of you. It will appear that that meeting took place on the 16th April 2008. The chairperson was Gerry Lowry, and Maurice McCabe from Bailieboro Garda Station appears to have been present?
A. Yes.

522 Q. And you have no reason to think that was incorrect in any way?
A. No, no, because I would have made a record of all the people that were in attendance.

523 Q. Did you have any memory of meeting Sergeant McCabe at any such meetings?
A. No, I don't.

524 Q. Or at any time since then in Cavan?
A. No, I haven't.

525 Q. Okay. So in relation to the file that had been opened in your office in relation to Sergeant McCabe, did you put that together with the person who had been at child protection conferences years earlier?
A. Sorry?

526 Q. Sorry, did you associate the file in your office which was opened in relation to Sergeant McCabe, with the person who had been in child protection conferences?
A. No. The fact that he had attended a case conference at 13:37 that time, you know, I would have had no memory of that whatsoever.
527 Q. Was his name familiar to you because he, at that stage, had a reasonably high media profile?
A. Vaguely. It wouldn't really have been a -- at the time, it was just -- it was the content of the information that was more concerning, rather than anything else, when I spoke to Ms. Brophy on the phone.
528 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that that's your stand-out
memory of that file?
A. Yes. Yeah.

529 Q. Okay. Did you discuss the matter with anybody --
A. No.

530 Q. -- outside of your office in Cavan?
A. No. Everything that we do in our office is confidential. Nobody discusses anything with anyone outside of the office.

531 Q. I suppose, more particularly, did you discuss it with any members of An Garda Síochána?
A. No, definitely not.

532 Q. Okay. Now, there is an unsigned case review form at page 2319 of the materials. I don't know if it's in front of you. Is that your writing on that?
A. No, that's not my handwriting.

533 Q. I think it may be Ms. Duignan's writing, it appears to be. Do you recognise it?
A. I recognise the handwriting, and it's not Ms. Duignan's handwriting, no. It belongs to a social worker who has left the department, she's living abroad now, who I think at the time was working in the duty office.

534 Q. What is her name?
A. Louise Burns.

535 Q. Louise Burns. And she was working in the duty office?
A. She was at the time, yeah.

MS. LEADER: If you would answer any questions anybody else might have for you, Ms. Armitage.

END OF DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. LEADER

536 Q. MR. MCGARRY: Ms. Armitage, Paul McGarry is my name. I am one of the counsel for Maurice McCabe. I have a couple of questions for you. If I can ask you to bring 13:40 up again the two emails that are -- they're in a number of places, but $I$ have them on 1076. I think they're in Volume 2 as well. These are the emails on the 14th May, the one that you sent to Eileen Argue at the bottom of the page and then the one that she sent on to 13:40 Gerry Lowry at the top. 1076 is what I have.
A. Yeah.

537 Q. Do you see that at the bottom of the page? Had you been discussing the Ms. D file with Eileen Argue around this time or before this?
A. No.

538 Q. Okay. If you just look at what you say in it, it says:
"Laura Brophy, Rian, called to say she has made an error in her report to us re" -- and then the redacted portion is identified as relating to Ms. D. Obviously I don't want you to talk about what is behind the redaction. But it is clear, I think, from that that what is behind the redaction is simply an initial or two initials; it's not a name because of the size of it, isn't that reasonable to assume?
A. Yes.

539 Q. Similarly, in the email that she sends on to Gerry Lowry at the top of the page, it's also shortened, so
it's not the same as some of the other redactions which contain the name. So --
A. We wouldn't normally list full children's names in emails.

540 Q. Sure.
A. We put initials in.

541 Q. I understand that. It's just that we're aware from the evidence of the other Tusla social workers and Mr. Lowry that there were an awful lot of files in Cavan-Monaghan at this stage, hundreds of files?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

542 Q. And how would Ms. Argue know that you were talking about the Ms. D file if you are simply reporting to her that there's an error made "to us re" and just initials?
A. I can't say for sure. I would imagine after, when she asked me to print that out, she probably would have come to ask me about it.

543 Q. You see you said earlier that you were fairly sure that she had the file on her desk at the time, how did you know that?
A. Because she's the team leader, and it would have been listed as unallocated on our system.
544 Q. And so the team leader would have all of the unallocated files?
A. No. But they would have responsibility for them, if they are not allocated to a social worker. But they would be the team leader for that team.
545 Q. You see, I'm suggesting to you it is just curious that

Ms. Argue would be able to tell immediately upon receipt of your email that that relates to Ms. D --
A. $M m-h m m$.

546 Q. -- given the sheer volume of unallocated files at the time. Do you see where I am coming from?
A. I can see where you are coming from, but I don't know, I can't explain any more than that.
547 Q. And she then forwards on to Mr. Lowry very shortly afterwards detail in relation to the file, again noting only Ms. D by reference to an or two initials, clearly? 13:43
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

548 Q. Doesn't that suggest that both Ms. Argue and Mr. Lowry were very familiar with the Ms. D file at this particular point in time?
A. Possibly they were.

549 Q. I mean, there's a familiarity with the references in the initialised version of Ms. D --
A. Mm-hmm.

550 Q. -- which suggests that, isn't that right?
A. Yeah.

551 Q. I think you said earlier, did Ms. Argue also have an office in your building?
A. She did, yes. In our building.

552 Q. On the two emails, her office is identified as being in Rooskey, Monaghan, whereas you are in Cavan?
A. I think at the time she was based between Cavan and Monaghan.

553 Q. You said earlier -- you said in your statement that there was a file opened in relation to Maurice McCabe.

Am I correct in thinking that there were also files opened in relation to his children?
A. I have no knowledge of any files being opened on his children.

CHAIRMAN: That happened sometime in 2014 ?
MR. MCGARRY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
A. There's no files registered on my system relating to his children.
MR. MCGARRY: Thank you.
MR. MCDERMOTT: Sir, it might be useful to clarify one thing for the witness.

CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCDERMOTT: I think they weren't files on the
children, they were intake records on the McCabe file. 13:44 I don't know if that will help the witness.

CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MR. MCDERMOTT: But I don't think they were files as such.
CHAIRMAN: So they were part of the Maurice McCabe file 13:44 as such, but intake records in relation to the children as part of the file, yes. Is that fair?
A. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Thank you. Thank you. MR. DIGNAM: No questions.

## MS. ARMITAGE WAS RE-EXAMINED BY MS. LEADER:

554 Q. MS. LEADER: In relation to the redaction, just for the
sake of clarification, in your email to Eileen Argue at page 439 the unredacted version has her first initial and the initial of her surname on it.
A. Mm-hmm.

555 Q. Is that in accordance with your practice?
A. Yes. If we were sending an email, we wouldn't normally put somebody's full name in; we would put initials in, of a child.

556 Q. okay. Just so that we're clear in relation to the matter, how then would Ms. Argue know what file you were talking about?
A. That's -- I'm not sure.

557 Q. Okay. Maybe we will ask Ms. Argue.
A. Okay.

CHAIRMAN: Thanks very much.
A. Okay. Thanks.

## THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW.

MR. MARRINAN: The next witness, sir, is Anne

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Marrinan, I'm sorry, I'm a wee bit behind. Where are we going today? I mean, we're not going anywhere apart from here, but do we have many more witnesses and are they long or what's the

MR. MARRINAN: We have three more witnesses, sir. One is potentially quite long.

CHAIRMAN: Yeah.

MR. MARRINAN: As in an hour-and-a-half, maybe two hours.

CHAIRMAN: Is that the last person?
MR. MARRINAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: A11 right. We wil1 try and make whatever progress we can, thanks.

MS. ANNE MASTERSON, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MARRINAN:

558 Q. MR. MARRINAN: Thank you very much for coming. I think, Ms. Masterson, that you are section officer office manager for the National Counselling Service with responsibility for the administration and operational functions of the National Counselling Service, is that right?
A. That's correct.

559 Q. And that included freedom of information, data protection and another administrative functions for the department, is that so?
A. That's correct.

560 Q. I think in 2014 you were based at 34 Brews Hil1, Navan, 13:47 County Meath, is that right?
A. That's correct.

561 Q. Now, I think on the 14th May 2014 you received a phone call from Fiona ward, who is the director of counselling, regarding the procedures to be followed with the consumer affairs department, following what she described as an error on a child protection report on a Rian client file, is that right?
A. That's correct.

562 Q. And what did you do then?
A. I contacted the consumer affairs office in Cavan and advised them of the -- that there had been an error on a report and asked them what was the procedure to be followed regarding the error, and they advised me that
an incident report should be completed regarding the error.
563 Q. I think you then contacted Laura Brophy by telephone and advised her to compile an incident work record, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

564 Q. Now, I think that you were copied on a number of emails during the course of a period of time between 14th May and 18th June 2014, between Fiona ward and Rosalie Lynch-Smyth [sic] --
A. Yes, that's correct.

565 Q. -- amongst others. But you actually had no function in relation to making any decisions, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

566 Q. So I'm not going to open those to you, but your name appears on the emails, but we needn't go through them. If I could then skip to the 18th June 2014. If page 883 could be brought up on the screen, please. I think this was a letter that was sent by Fiona Ward, as director of counselling, to Chief Superintendent
Sheridan. It's dated 18th June 2014. I think you signed and posted that letter on behalf of Fiona ward, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

567 Q. I think you didn't have any other dealings with the file, isn't that so?
A. That's correct.

568 Q. Now, I have to ask you this question: You didn't bring any knowledge that you had gleaned from the emails that
sent to you -- that were sent to you outside the service, you didn't bring it to anybody outside the service, did you?
A. No, I did not.

569 Q. And I suppose at the time you had no particular interest in the file or any of the emails that were copied to you?
A. No.

MR. MARRINAN: Thank you. Would you answer any questions, please.

## END OF DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MARRINAN

CHAIRMAN: There's no questions, is there?
MR. MCGARRY: No questions, Chairman.
MR. MARRINAN: Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you Ms. Masterson.

## THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW.

MR. MCGUINNESS: The next witness, sir, is Ms. Briege Tinnelly.
CHAIRMAN: Is she a long witness, Mr. McGuinness?
MR. MCGUINNESS: I'm sorry, Judge?
CHAIRMAN: Is she going to be a long witness,
Mr. McGuinness?
MR. MCGUINNESS: I don't anticipate.
CHAIRMAN: A11 right.
MR. MCGUINNESS: If you want to take a break, Chairman?
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CHAIRMAN: We11, I do, but let's get through as much as
we can here.

570 Q. MR. McGUINNESS: I think, Ms. Tinnelly, you qualified as a social worker in the year 2009?
A. Yes.

571 Q. And I think you commenced working in Cavan as a social worker in June of 2010?
A. I did, yes.

572 Q. Is that correct? And what were your duties there at that point in time?
A. When I first started working in Cavan I began working on the duty intake team, and I continued working on that team until approximately the end of 2011, start of the 2012. Then I moved to the long-term team with children in care and I have remained just working solely with children in care from then on until present.
573 Q. Okay. But at the period in 2013 and 2014, were you working on the child protection side, including duty intake?
A. I worked on the duty rota.
A. So that was in addition to my role in the children in care team.

575 Q. okay.
A. So that was -- I would have completed a week of duty perhaps every six to eight weeks.
Q. I'm sorry, could you just repeat that answer?
A. So I worked on the children in care team at that point.

577 Q. Yes.
A. But I also was on the duty rota, so for the duty team, everyone -- every social worker at that point was on the duty rota, so depending on how many social workers were on all the teams at that time, we did a week at a time each, so it could have been a week every six weeks 13:53 or seven weeks or eight weeks, that we would just be on duty.
578 Q. That would be effectively supporting the intake team and the team leader dealing with that?
A. Yes.

579 Q. Whenever you were so assigned?
A. Yes.

580 Q. And reporting to them?
A. Yes.

581 Q. And would you be allocated any cases arising from any of those intakes?
A. No.

582 Q. Right. It would appear that you were on duty in August 2013 when a referral came in?
A. Yes.

583 Q. But could I ask you to describe, if you can recollect it, your practice at that time in relation to intake referrals, if you could describe that?
A. In relation to taking an intake?

584 Q. Yes.
A. Yes. So when I was on duty, if a referral came in, whether that would be by letter, by phone or by fax or by a walk-in appointment, the information was put on an intake record and then given to the team leader.
585 Q. A11 right. And did you engage in any process of dialogue in relation to a referral? Now in this case we know it was a telephone referral, but did you simply record the information or did you engage in any way with the person making the referral?
A. You're talking about the specific referral?
Q. Yes.
A. I don't have an accurate memory of taking this referral. It definitely is a referral that $I$ took. It's the way I would record things, it's my signature. 13:55 So I don't have an accurate memory of this exact phone call, but I definitely appear to have spoken to Ms. Brophy and taken that information.
587 Q. Perhaps would you describe your practice at the time if you were taking a telephone referral?
A. Sorry, can you ask me that again?

588 Q. Can you describe your practice at the time taking a telephone referral?
A. Yes. So if I took a telephone referral --

589 Q. You'd be in the duty office?
A. I'd be in the duty office. I'd be taking notes as the person is talking to me.
590 Q. Yes.
A. And then I would be putting that on the intake record.

591 Q. And as I understand your practice, you would type the notes rather than handwrite them?
A. Yes, I would type them.

592 Q. On the duty computer, is that correct?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. McGuinness, I don't know if we have an exact date in Apri1 2014 for that, do we? Probably we do.

MR. MCGUINNESS: This is August 2013 we're dealing with.

CHAIRMAN: Yeah, we're back there, right.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: It's what date in August?
MR. McGUINNESS: It's --
CHAIRMAN: Did you say? I can't remember.
MR. MCGUINNESS: It's 9th August, isn't that right?
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MCDOWELL: The weekend of the 9th.
MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: So it's Friday 9th August.
MR. McGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: And then the allocation meeting is Monday 12th.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
593 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: And could I ask you to look at that record. It's page 1305, one of the references for it. Do you see that in front of you?
A. Yes, I do.

594 Q. And I just want to be clear what portions you filled in --
A. Yeah.

595 Q. -- at the top of 1305.
A. So I filled in the date, 9th August 2013. I filled in the -- although it says "Details of the child", we didn't have any forms for adults, so we would differentiate by saying it's adult. So I have written "Adu7t Maurice McCabe. Address unknown". I didn't know that from the information from Laura Brophy. Again, these forms don't really relate as well to adults, so we wouldn't write in parents of the adults, so they're blank. I didn't write in the household composition of the adult. The reporter is Laura
Brophy, Rian. I have given her contact details.
Again, the part about "Are the parents aware?" It's not relevant to adults. Then I wrote down the details of what Laura Brophy had reported to me.
596 Q. Yes. Were you doing that as she was speaking on the phone?
A. I would be recording as she's telling me, yes.

597 Q. Live, as it were?
A. Yes.

598 Q. I mean, you didn't take handwritten notes beforehand and then type them up; you're doing this at the computer while on the phone, is that correct?
A. I may have written it down, handwritten, and then typed it on the computer. I can't recall.

599 Q. Okay. I mean, was that your practice or -- I had understood your statement to indicate that you would do it, as it were, as it was coming in. Am I wrong about that?
A. Well, $I$ would be making a note as it's coming in.
A. Whether I handwrote it and then typed it up immediately afterwards, I can't recall.
601 Q. Okay. Obviously you're being referred to -- or the referral is in relation to somebody whose name you know, but we're calling her Ms. D, obviously?
A. Yes.

602 Q. Were you in a position to check whether there was, in fact, a file in relation to Ms. D?
A. Again, I can't recall taking this particular phone ca11.

603 Q. Yes.
A. But normal practice would be that $I$ would phone in to admin and ask do we have a file on this person.
604 Q. okay.
A. And then they could tell me yes or no. So if they told me no, well then that would be a simple no.
605 Q. Yes.
A. And if they told me yes, well then usually we would get a file, attach it to the referral or look up the file, 13:59 see what information was on it.

606 Q. Yes. But when you say you would enquire whether there was a file relating to this person, is that the person in respect of whom you have recorded the referral
relates to?
A. Yes.

607 Q. That is Mr. McCabe?
A. Yes.

608 Q. Okay. And have you any recollection of checking on that day or at that time you took the call?
A. No.

609 Q. Okay. Would it be your practice, though, to, in fact, check so that you could put a reference on if you knew that there was a file?
A. It would be my practice to check, yes.

610 Q. In any event, at the bottom of that page you did include the details of the report. Could you just read that out, what you have included there?
A. Read all the details of the report?

611 Q. Yes. "Laura advised that she has a client at present called Ms. D who is now 21. She self-referred to the service. Ms. D told Laura that she was abused when she was six or seven by Garda Maurice McCabe, who was her father's garda partner at the time (Ms. D's father is a garda). Maurice McCabe was stationed in Bailieboro at the time and has two daughters who were three and five at the time. Ms. D had blocked out the abuse and it came back to her when she was approximately 11 when she had sex education at school and it was reported to the Gardai and there was no prosecution from the DPP. The details of the abuse is, Ms. D was playing hide and seek in Maurice McCabe's house when Maurice put her on the couch, tick7ed her and touched her inappropriate7y
whilst gyrating on top of her with clothes on. Ms. D's phone number is --" and then that is blanked out. And then:
" -- Laura agreed to send in the standard notification form."

CHAIRMAN: So, in other words, that is the Ms. D allegation as it was actually made.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes.
612 Q. And, Ms. Tinnelly, the reference there to it having been reported to the Gardaí, can you recollect would you have checked or would it have been your normal practice to check whether there was a file created in relation to Ms. D also, as opposed to simply Mr. McCabe?
A. Again, I can't recall this on this date.

613 Q. Yes.
A. But, generally speaking, at the time that this happened, there would have been an adult file, so if I'd checked to see was there a file on Maurice McCabe and it came up on the system as no, I would have understood, well, we don't know about the matter.
614 Q. Yes. I'm asking the question really in relation to not sergeant McCabe or Mr. McCabe, as you have recorded him, but in relation to Ms. D, would you have checked as to whether there was a file open on Ms. D, and, if you had found one, would you put the record or case number on the file?
A. Em...

615
Q. At the time or soon after you created it?
A. Again, I suppose I'm just speculating. I think I may have just checked did we have a file on the adult.
616 Q. okay.
A. Because at the time, as well, I took this Ms. D herself 14:02 was an adult, so I may have assumed that it wouldn't have had a file.

617 Q. A11 right.
A. Because we wouldn't have opened a file on an adult alleged victim.

CHAIRMAN: But in any event, the file number is a modern file number. It's the second file of -- I'm going to get this wrong, it's the second file of August 2013. So it's 02082013.

MR. MCGUINNESS: That was created the following week. 14:02 We will come to that, perhaps.

618 Q. But could I ask you to look at page 1307, which is the third page of the form. There's a section B there, number 12 "Known to Social work Department", and obviously the printed template says: "Based on information known at that time is the child/family known to Social work Department?"
And there are two boxes "Yes" and "No", and did you tick that "No'?
A. I assume I did.

619 Q. Okay. And would you normally only tick that as a result of having checked that?
A. Yes.

620 Q. It's not something you asked Ms. Brophy and presumably

Ms. Brophy wouldn't know that?
A. No.

621 Q. The handwriting in the next -- in the box underneath that, that is not your handwriting, that is Ms. McGlone's?
A. Yes.

622 Q. Did you have a discussion with her about that or were you present when she wrote that on the form?
A. I don't recall speaking to Ms. McGlone about it. Generally speaking, if I did an intake record, I suppose maybe one of two things I may have done: one thing is, $I$ may have done the intake record and left it in the tray --
623 Q. Yes.
A. -- for Ms. McGlone's attention, or I may have said to her, there's another intake record in, just letting you know it's there.
624 Q. Yes.
A. So I may have either told her it was there or just left it in the tray for her.
625 Q. Yes. Certainly there's another box down in part 16 which is presumably capable of being ticked by you on the template. It's, on the third box down, "Notify to An Garda Síochána". It looks as if it has been ticked by hand.
A. I assume that's Keara that has ticked it --

626 Q. Yes.
A. -- because it is usually a team leader decision.

627 Q. Yes. Again, there is an entry there: "Duty to Garda
notify and await allocation MTP". Now, did you discuss that with Ms. McGlone at any stage?
A. I don't recall, but I wouldn't necessarily have discussed that with her.
628 Q. Yes, okay.
A. Like, at those times we could have been taking in approximately 20 intake records a week.
629 Q. Yes.
A. It wouldn't always be the case that you would discuss every intake record with the team leader.
630 Q. I understand that. But do you understand that entry to mean that it's the function of the duty social worker to do that?
A. Yes.

631 Q. Rather than recognising just that it's a general legal 14:05 duty. It's something for somebody in the office to do?
A. It's a task of the duty worker to do that, yes.

632 Q. The duty worker to do that. And in your experience, would that normally or should that normally be done pretty soon after the intake record is approved by the 14:05 team leader?
A. Em, I suppose it depends on what is in on any given day.
633 Q. Yes.
A. Sometimes a team leader might leave priority tasks.
A. At the start of your duty week, they might say, well, this is priority for this week.
635 Q. Yes.
A. Otherwise files are in the cabinet to work at when the time arises, that you are able to get to those.
Q. Yes. But I suppose I'm just gasping towards the question: was this an instruction given to you? I mean, did Ms. McGlone give you this form back and say, 14:06 would you do this notification then?
A. I don't assume so. I know for the purpose of the Tribunal I was looking at when this came in, and it came in on a Friday --

637 Q. Yes.
A. -- so generally it would have gone to the referrals meeting the next week, which is usually on a Monday.
638 Q. Yes.
A. And then kind of admin tasks would happen and the file mightn't come back into the duty room for a few days afterwards.

639 Q. Yes.
A. So it definitely wouldn't happen that quickly.

640 Q. Yes. Where is the referrals meeting held?
A. In our office.

641 Q. Yes. But obviously it's not in the duty office?
A. No.

642 Q. No. That is a much smaller office?
A. It's much smaller.

643 Q. But it would seem that you must have printed out this 14:06 intake form having completed it on your template, is that correct?
A. Correct.

644 Q. And I take it you have no memory of either handing it
to Ms. McGlone or otherwise, but your practice would be to leave it in the referrals tray, there was one such --
A. Yes.

645 Q. -- in the duty office?
A. Yes.

646 Q. okay. It is both signed, if one turns on to the next page, 1308 , it's signed by you there as a sign-off?
A. Yeah.

647 Q. And then by the team leader giving her approval?
A. Yes.

648 Q. It would appear that she was there on the day?
A. $M m-h m m$.

649 Q. You've no particular memory of submitting it to her in person?
A. No.

650 Q. Okay. Now, do you know whether you would have been or might have been at the referrals meeting the following Monday?
A. I don't know whether I was or not. I may have been, but I don't know.

651 Q. Okay. But can I just ask you about the practice of such meetings? what would the team leader do with this duty intake approved by her at that time, at the referrals meeting? How would it be introduced or what 14:08 would happen?
A. So we had a big book. It was either blue or green.

652 Q. The big blue book?
A. Yeah. And all referrals were recorded in that book by
hand. So, on the Monday, all the referrals would be taken to the referrals meeting, and generally it was more of an admin task of just writing everything into the book because the business process had come in, usually the decisions to be made were written in within 14:08 24 hours. So at this point I think that on the Monday we would just be writing into the book the agreed tasks.
653 Q. And I think when you were being interviewed by the investigators, you referred them to the book and you told them that you would make a copy available of the entry, and you did that; I think it was done perhaps on your behalf?
A. I think I have seen that in the disclosures.

654 Q. And could I ask you to look at page 2470, which is in volume 9. It's heavily redacted in relation to third parties, but there's an entry across there.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

655 Q. And I'm not sure, are you able to read that out for the transcript?
A. It's kind of hard to read. There's a date that is hard to read.

656 Q. Yes.
A. Then it says "Maurice McCabe".

657 Q. Is it dated 12th August?
A. It's hard --

658 Q. okay.
CHAIRMAN: I would be prepared to accept from prior evidence that it is.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
659 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: It's in handwriting anyway. Is that your handwriting or not?
A. No, that is not my handwriting.

660 Q. Was there practice at the referrals meeting for a particular person to enter it into the register, as it were?
A. Just we took turns. There wasn't any specific rota of who would write in, we would just take turns.

661 Q. Okay. Obviously we know from the referrals form, the intake form that you completed, that Laura Brophy was going to send in a notification. Is that then something that you would be on the lookout for or expected to get and associate with the file?
A. I suppose I wouldn't specifically be looking out for it because we take our own weeks on duty and we concentrate on the week that we are on.
662 Q. Yes.
A. Then after that we are back to our own regular duties. 14:10 663 Q. Yes.
A. I wouldn't necessarily see that, because I mightn't have been on duty again for another six or seven weeks.
664 Q. That's what I was going to ask you. Did your duty as an intake on the rota, did that run from Monday to Friday, so would you have finished on the 19th August?
A. Usually speaking, yes, yes.

665 Q. Well, could I ask you to look at the referral form, a copy of it that came in at 964 . This is the original
version from Ms. Brophy which came in. We know there is a light blue date-stamp dated 12th August at the bottom of the page.
A. Sorry, this is what Laura Brophy sent in following my conversation with her?

666 Q. Yes.
A. okay.

667 Q. Do you recollect seeing that?
A. No.

668 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen that before, to your knowledge?
A. I may have seen it in all the disclosure information that we got.
669 Q. Yes.
A. But not before that, I don't think.

670 Q. okay. In any event, just to be clear, obviously if you cease to be duty intake for that period, it doesn't remain part of your duty to check whether that comes in, where it goes and whether it's placed on a file?
A. No.

671 Q. Okay.
CHAIRMAN: And the precise date of that, Mr. McGuinness, I know we've had it before, just in case there's any change.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: The date on it.
MR. MCGUINNESS: And just finally --
CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr. McGuinness, I'm just wondering what was the date?

MR. MCGUINNESS: I'm sorry, Judge.
CHAIRMAN: We had it before, I know, but is there any difference in the date given?
MR. MCGUINNESS: No, no.
CHAIRMAN: This is the incorrect one?
MR. MCGUINNESS: This is the incorrect one, exactly. CHAIRMAN: And Ms. Tinnelly had no knowledge of it. MR. MCGUINNESS: She has confirmed she never saw it.
CHAIRMAN: And the date on it is?
MR. MCGUINNESS: Didn't deal with it.
CHAIRMAN: 15th.
MR. MCGUINNESS: It's the 9th.
CHAIRMAN: No, it's not. It's the 15th, isn't it?
MR. MCGUINNESS: Of the actual -- this form that
Ms. Brophy sent?
CHAIRMAN: The incorrect.
MR. MCGUINNESS: The incorrect form.
CHAIRMAN: with the Ms. Y allegation in it.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: That's the 15th, is it?
MR. MCGUINNESS: And it arrived on the 12th.
CHAIRMAN: The same day as the Monday meeting?
MR. MCGUINNESS: Monday meeting, yes.
CHAIRMAN: Yes.
672 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: I think it shows the date on it there, 14:12 does it?
A. I think it was at the top.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Thank you. Perhaps you would answer any questions if there are any.
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book, is that right?
A. If you were there, you would have heard, yes.

679 Q. Yes. You had, on the previous Friday, completed the intake form, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

680 Q. When you were dealing with Laura Brophy, did you understand that she was making a telephone referral to you or that she was making inquiries about what the consequence of a telephone referral would be?
A. I don't remember. A11 I can say is what I have written 14:15 down on the form would be an accurate --

681 Q. You've no recollection of the phone call?
A. I have no recollection of the phone call aside from what $I$ have written down and signed off.

682 Q. Well, I take it that in preparation for giving evidence 14:15 here, you saw her side of the equation, that she had made notes of a conversation with you, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

683 Q. And she didn't note in that, in her note of the conversation with you, that she was making a formal referral to you?
A. Sorry, say that again.

684 Q. She didn't -- she didn't in her note of the conversation she had with you on the 9th August 2013, 14:15 she made no note of the fact that she was -- that she believed that she was making a telephone referral to you. You saw that?
A. I did see some of her notes. I don't know exactly

685
Q.
A. I did look over some of her notes, but to say that I remember offhand exactly what she said. I know from what $I$ have written that she had said that she was going to follow up in writing. So I would assume that, a professional would understand that is a referral, you know, that they are phoning in, the information --
686 Q. But you took it as a full-blooded telephone referral?
A. Yes.

687 Q. You must have if you filled that form out, is that right?
A. Yes.

688 Q. I see. Now, after the week in which this referral was made, when did you first become aware in your work in the HSE office that there was a problem in respect of that referral?
A. Sorry, is the question that when did I become aware that there was a problem with that referral?

689 Q. Yes.
A. I think it wasn't until earlier this year when there was a lot in the media around it that I became aware of the problem in it. I wasn't aware of it up until that point.
690 Q. You see, we know that there was a major problem identified between the 30th May -- sorry, 30th April 2014 and the 14th May 2014, we now know that.
A. Yes.

691 Q. And you're aware of that?
A. I'm aware of that now, yes.

692 Q. Yes. And I am just asking, when was it first discussed in your office that Ms. Brophy's written follow-up report contained this appalling error?
A. I don't know when it was first discussed.

693 Q. We11, I am just -- can you do your best now to help me?
A. Are you asking --

694 Q. Was it ever discussed in your office that this had happened?
A. Well, from looking at the disclosures, it had to have 14:18 been discussed because --

695 Q. No, I'm asking, was it ever discussed in your presence that a catastrophic error had been made --
A. Well, the first --

696 Q. -- in respect of a file that you had taken?
A. Yeah.

697 Q. A telephone referral on?
A. Yeah.

698 Q. And that was a -- the follow-up written report contained a catastrophic error. Was there ever a verbal discussion between you and any of your colleagues or any of your superiors about that having happened?
A. We11, the first of my knowledge was I think around when the 'Prime Time' information was out, that's when I became aware of it. So I wouldn't have been aware of any conversations before that, because I wasn't aware of the mistake, so --
699 Q. That's in 2017, the 'Prime Time' programme, is that
right?
A. Yes. That's when $I$ first became aware of it. So I assume if I only became aware of it then, I wouldn't have heard conversations around it before then.
CHAIRMAN: That's February 2017.
MR. MCDOWELL: I think so, Judge.
700 Q. And are you saying, therefore, that for the years 2014, 2015 and 2016 nobody in your office ever adverted in any way conversationally with you to the fact that the Maurice McCabe file had contained this monstrous mistake?
A. Not to me.

701 Q. Do you think that it was being kept secret from you?
A. No.

702 Q. Mmm?
A. You see, I was on a different team at that point. I was on the children in care team. So maybe there was discussions within the Child Protection Team, but I was on a different team, from early 2012 I had a different team leader. Our building is segregated into two sides, I'm on a different side of the building to where the child protection side is, so maybe there were conversations, but I wasn't part of them.

703 Q. I see. And are we to take it then that when in May 2014 this catastrophic error was identified as having occurred, that nobody asked you to confirm your dealings with Laura Brophy at the time?
A. I don't recollect any conversation around this, no.

704 Q. You see, I'm putting to you that it is strange, if
people are looking at how this error occurred and they're wondering whether Ms. Brophy's cut-and-paste version is correct, that they would come back to you and say, well, you dealt with Ms. Brophy that weekend, and are you saying that nobody, none of your superiors ever mentioned this to you?
A. To the best of my knowledge, no.
Q. So does it follow from that, that as far as you're aware, not merely were you not engaged in a conversation, but even now, looking back, you're unaware of anybody ever conducting any inquiry into what had happened in the office?
A. Sorry, that I am unaware --

706 Q. You say you were unaware at the time that there was any problem?
A. Yes.

707 Q. But now are you aware of any inquiry having taken place at the time?
A. No.

708 Q. At a11?
A. No.

709 Q. I see. You heard Mr. Lowry say that he believes that Louise carolan told him that there had been a re-referral in respect of Maurice McCabe before April 2014 on the basis that it was and would have been known 14:22 to be a significant event. Have you heard that?
A. I heard Mr. Lowry say that he was told by Ms. Carolan that information, yes.
710 Q. Did you regard it as a significant event at the time?
A. I didn't know about it at the time.

711 Q. I see. It meant nothing to you, is that what you are saying?
A. Well, I didn't know about it.

712 Q. Well, sorry, let's go back over this. Mr. Lowry has told this Tribunal that he believes that Ms. Carolan, it was probably Ms. Carolan who mentioned to him that the Maurice McCabe matter had been re-referred and he believes that because it would have been her custom to mention significant events to him, right?
A. Mm-hmm.

713 Q. Did you regard it as a significant event when you took the referral?
A. Did I think that me taking the phone call was a significant event?

714 Q. Yes.
A. No more significant than any referral that I take.

715 Q. When did you first become aware of Sergeant McCabe being a person of public significance?
A. I'm not really sure, to be honest. I know definitely 14:23 from the 'Prime Time' in February 20 --

716 Q. That was this year?
A. Yes. Definitely from then $I$ was aware.

717 Q. I mean, going back, let me help you. For instance, did you know that Minister shatter resigned?
A. I knew that a minister resigned, yes.

718 Q. And did you know that a senior counse1, Séan Guerin, had conducted an inquiry which led to his resignation?
A. I don't think $I$ would have known that, no.

719 Q. Did you know --
A. I would have known, generally speaking, about him resigning. I may have known the term 'whistleblower', but I don't think I would have known anything in greater detai 1 than that.

720 Q. Did you ever hear about Sergeant McCabe being a whistleblower in respect of penalty points?
A. I think I knew that there was the term whistleblowing and penalty points. I don't know whether I would have put Maurice McCabe's name to that.

721 Q. I see.
A. His name wouldn't have been distinct to me.

722 Q. I see. When did his name become of significance to you?
A. This year, early this year.

723 Q. This year, the 'Prime Time' programme, is that right?
A. Yes.

724 Q. And at that point, when the 'Prime Time' programme was broadcast, did you speak to your colleagues about this matter?
A. At the time the 'Prime Time' programme came out, I really wasn't in the office a lot, $I$ was involved in a long-running court case, so I actually wasn't in the office to speak to my colleagues about it.
725 Q. Can you elaborate on that?
A. I wasn't in the office really. I was in court nearly every day for a couple of months.
726 Q. And is it your sworn testimony here that when these events were described in the 'Prime Time' programme,
that your colleagues and yourself, even out of office hours, wouldn't have discussed all of these events?
A. I think there may have been reference to, 'did you watch it?' or -- but I can't say in any more great detail what more was discussed other than 'did you see 14:26 the 'Prime Time' programme?'
727 Q. But did you realise that your own office was centrally involved in this 'Prime Time' controversy?
A. I did.

728 Q. And did you not ask any of your colleagues what happened?
A. Well, as I said at the time, I actually wasn't in the office, so I didn't -- I wasn't even able to do that.
729 Q. We11, did you wonder yourself, given that you knew it was your office that was centrally involved?
A. Em, I --

730 Q. Did you wonder yourself had you any walk-on part in this controversy?
A. I was definitely interested because it was relating to our office.

731 Q. Yes.
A. But a lot --

732 Q. Did you ask anybody about it, at work?
A. We11, a lot of the information was on 'Prime Time', so I actually had a lot of information from that. But aside from that, I don't know that I did ask anyone in the office, because, as I said, I actually wasn't really in the office for a couple of months at that time.

733 Q. Ms. Tinnelly, this was a major national controversy.
A. Yes.

734 Q. You knew it referred to your office?
A. Yes.

735 Q. Are you saying that you never discussed this major national controversy, which you knew referred to your office, with any of the people with whom you were working?
A. Well, as I said, there was probably some discussions of, 'did you see it?' But aside from that --
736 Q. Yes, 'do you think we were to blame?' Do any questions like that occur to you to ask or discuss. 'Whose fault was this? who messed up? Was it me? Was it somebody else?' Did any of you ever discuss those things?
A. Em, I don't know what level it was discussed, and I'm 14:27 trying to remember as clear as $I$ can. At the time that 'Prime Time' came out, I wasn't in the office at the all -- well, I might have been in for like half an hour a day when I was trying to do my other casework and then I was in court a lot. So it probably was maybe up 14:28 to --

737 Q. This was a bit of an explosive event for the office?
A. It absolutely was. But at the time, professionally, I was extremely busy, so perhaps if I was in the office I might have been involved in more discussions, but I wasn't.

738 Q. Do you recal1 -- what page are we dealing with here?
At page 963 , did you look at the note made by Laura Brophy of her telephone conversation with you preparing
for this Tribunal?
A. Sorry, which bit am I looking at here?

739 Q. 963.
A. Child protection.

740 Q. The bottom excerpt.
A. "I phoned Social work today and spoke with duty social worker, Briege Tinnelly."
741 Q. Sorry, first of all, did you read this before today?
A. Em, I don't know whether I read the specific thing. I got a lot of information, I tried to read as much of it 14:29 as I could but --

742 Q. Let's forget about reading it for a moment.
A. Yeah.

743 Q. Have you prepared for this Tribunal? Have you been to meetings to discuss the kind of evidence you're going to give?
A. I was, I had one meeting with the legal team, that was about three weeks ago, and there was another meeting that I was unable to attend.
744 Q. I see. And were you told by anybody that your name 14:30 appeared on a note of a telephone conversation on the 9th August 2013.
A. I wasn't told about this contact sheet, no.

745 Q. Sorry?
A. I wasn't told about this contact sheet.

746 Q. Nobody pointed that out to you to assist you in preparing for this, for this Tribunal, that you had been named in that, in that note?
A. No.

CHAIRMAN: Yes, but at the same time, Mr. McDowe11, there's nothing sinister about that note as such -MR. MCDOWELL: No, no, I'm not suggesting there's something sinister.
CHAIRMAN: Just for fear that people might get the wrong impression. I'm not getting the wrong impression, and I know you are not under a misapprehension.
747 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: But you're saying that nobody pointed out that your name was there?
A. No.

748 Q. Right. And it reads: "I phoned Social work today and spoke with duty social worker, Briege Tinne17y."
And I think it's "who I informed about alleged and concerns about the report having been" -- I can't read
-- "sent" I think "to Social work ten years ago.
Ms. Tinnelly took contact details of client and alleged and I agreed to follow this up with a report." Do you recall at all being told about the fact that this had already gone to you ten years ago?
A. I think if I could get up the intake record I might have recorded it on the intake record.

CHAIRMAN: she did record it on the intake record, as far as I know.
749 Q. MR. MCDOWELL: But do you recall that conversation?
A. With Laura Brophy?

750 Q. Yeah.
A. No.

751 Q. We11, you do recal1 that you -- that it was effectively a re-referral?
A. Sorry, say that again.

752 Q. You are now aware that it was something that had already been with you ten years previously?
A. Yes.

753 Q. And did you check out -- check up on this? she said you already knew about it.
A. Well, as part of --

754 Q. Sorry, your office already knew about it?
A. As part of the disclosures, I'm now aware that we had a 14:32 file on it.

755 Q. Put it this way: would it not have been an unusual feature of the case when it was discussed at the referrals meeting on the following Monday that this, according to Ms. Brophy, was already a matter that had 14:32 been referred to you some ten years previously?
A. Unusual in what way?

756 Q. Unusual in that these things don't come back in again after ten years?
A. We often get information in at later stages or from different people, from different sources. So it wouldn't necessarily be unusual.

757 Q. And could you have told Ms. Brophy that this was a matter in which it was likely that a report would be made to An Garda Síochána?
A. I don't know. I don't think I would have went into those specifics, but again I can't say for definite because I don't remember taking this phone call.

758 Q. Then tell me this, Ms. Tinnelly: if a decision was
made to refer it to An Garda Síochána, presumably that would have been -- that decision would have been made on the basis that they were unaware of it previously, isn't that right?
A. I assume so.

759 Q. So on Monday 12th August it must have been, at some stage during that day, clear to Ms. McGlone that it had been referred previously to An Garda Síochána?
A. It appears to have been known to her.

760 Q. Can you think of any reason why she wouldn't have noted 14:34 that on the intake document?
A. I don't know.

761 Q. I suggest to you it would have been a sensible thing to do, given that there was a manuscript direction to Garda -- to Garda report the matter, and that that appears to have been -- that manuscript appears to have been made on the 9th or 12 th August?
A. Sorry, what is your question?

762 Q. I am suggesting to you that it would have been a sensible thing to do, once Ms. McGlone understood that 14:35 the guards already knew about this matter, to go back to the intake file and to record the fact that this had been previously noted and notified to An Garda Síochána?
A. Perhaps it may have been. I know, again just from the 14:35 disclosures, that she had written to the Gardaí to try and find --

CHAIRMAN: But, Mr. McDowel1, isn't it all over the place that DPP directed no prosecution?

MR. McDOWELL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: And I suppose the only people normally communicating, apart from the late Judge Frank Martin, with the DPP would be the Garda Síochána.

MR. McDOWELL: Yes.
763 Q. I am just mystified as to how it would be the case, bearing in mind what was known at the meeting on the 12th August, or by the end of that day, why the file was not altered to reflect the fact that it was now clear that the guards knew about this already?
A. I don't know. I can't answer that.

764 Q. I see. And are we to take it then that, until the 'Prime Time' programme, you remained in total ignorance of what had happened in respect of this file?
A. Yes.

765 Q. And that until the 'Prime Time' programme was broadcast, you say you weren't aware of the significance of Maurice McCabe, is that right?
A. I didn't relate any significance of Maurice McCabe to a file we had. Again, I don't know if I did know his name, I can't be sure whether I did or not know his name from the media, but definitely didn't relate it to any file that we had.
766 Q. And it's quite clear that some of the people who later dealt with the file thought that the allegation related 14:37 to digital penetration, and others were aware that that was a mistake?
A. Yes.

767 Q. And is the Tribunal to take it from your testimony that
this was never discussed or alluded to at any time from May 2014 to February 2017 in your service?
A. Well, not with me. I can't speak for conversations that happened when I wasn't there. But not with me.
MR. MCDOWELL: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN: There's no other questions, is there?
MR. MCDERMOTT: Chairman, I just wanted to clarify one thing.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, please.
MR. MCDERMOTT: Given the slightly accusatory tone of the cross-examination, my understanding is there is no suggestion Ms. Tinnelly did anything wrong. She took a phone call, filled in a form and never had anything else to do with the case. And just in terms of her reputation, it's perhaps important to record that. She 14:38 seems to have had a very limited role, which she did, and she filled in the form and that was it. I just wanted to clarify that for her sake lest there -CHAIRMAN: That seems to be it. I don't think there's any question being raised that Ms. Tinnelly's application to it is anything other than exemplary. I mean, she didn't make any mistake or didn't make a mess of correcting anybody else's mistake. I think it's fair to say that. Now, what chat there was and why that's important is an open question, but the witness's 14:39 answers on that are absolutely clear. Thank you, Mr. McDermott.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Thank you.

## THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

CHAIRMAN: A11 right. I'm going to take break. I know breaks are disastrous for the throughput of work, but I'm going to take a break for half an hour because I need to consult with my own legal team in relation to two matters, and then we will go on to $3: 45$ and then we wil1 stop, right? Okay. Thanks.

THE HEARING TOOK A SHORT ADJOURNMENT AND RESUMED AS FOLLOWS:

## RULING OF THE CHAIRMAN:

CHAIRMAN: Mr. MCGuinness, just before we go on to the next witness, I just want to mention the application that we had this morning in relation to Ms. D. So I just want to briefly reference that.

As is well known under Section 2 of the Tribunals of Inquiry (Evidence) Act 1921 as amended in 2002, the requirement on the Tribunal is that:
"It shall not refuse to allow the public, or any portion of the pub7ic, to be present at any of the proceedings of the Tribunal un7ess, in the opinion of the Tribunal, that it is in the pub7ic interest expedient to do so for reasons connected with the subject matter of the inquiry or the nature of the
evidence to be given and, in particular, where there is a risk of prejudice to criminal proceedings."

Now, in relation to Ms. D, there is no risk in relation to criminal proceedings or them being prejudiced, but there is an issue as to the subject matter of the inquiry or the nature of the evidence to be given. There have been a number of cases in relation to the clarification of the duty of courts to hear evidence in public under Article 38 of the Constitution, of which it seems to me the M.A.R.A. decision and the decision in Sunday Newspapers v. Gilchrist stand out. And basically what those cases establish is that the courts have to be mindful of the fact that it can be difficult for people to give evidence and if we are in a situation where that difficulty may stand in the way of justice being done, in other words that a person may find it very difficult or impossible to come to court unless there is a restriction, then the courts may impose a restriction. But in doing so, it seems to me
whether it is a tribunal or court, and the same principles apply, the courts have to be mindful and the Tribunal has to be mindful of actually precisely titrating an order in such a way as to enable the role of the press as representatives of the common good in terms of being the watchdog of how the courts or a tribunal might behave to actually be present and to report, if necessary, within the bounds of reason and accuracy as to anything the Tribunal might do which
might be wrong or which might be worthy of public attention.

I am mindful of the fact that in some cases it is possible to restrict evidence by reason of the nature of what is given and the 2007 Criminal Justice Miscellaneous Provisions apply there. I am mindful also of how particular kinds of cases are dealt with in some aspects of criminal proceedings, and I am mindfu1 also that Ms. D and her family have been in contact, as 15:20 has been mentioned in Tribunal, with social work and social services over a period of time, including from 2005, and that therefore it is going to be very difficult for her to give evidence, and I am sure that everyone will treat her and her family with great respect and offer appropriate consideration, but that, in the circumstances, is not enough. I feel that a large room such as this, filled as it is with members of the legal profession, with representatives of the press and media and also with members of the public, is a very intimidating room to face, apart from the difficulty in itself of giving evidence, which of course all the witnesses to date have had to face, and I therefore feel there has to be something done in terms of making the circumstances easier in terms of Ms. D and her family, given the background that I have mentioned. Now, can I just first of all say that apart from what I have said in relation to the press and their very important role as representatives of the
public in ensuring that the courts or the Tribunal is or are held to account, that, in addition, members of the public who come here and listen are, to put it mildly, welcome, and I do welcome every single one of them in fulfilling what is an important constitutional duty in attending on court proceedings, because, without that, we would be in a situation where legal proceedings might be held in private which is an obnoxious aspect of certain regimes which do not follow a Christian and democratic substratum to their constitutional provisions.

Having mentioned that, therefore, it seems to me that the right thing to do in relation to Ms. D would be the
following:

Firstly, I do exclude the public.

Secondly, I will ask Mr. Philip Barnes, who is the Manager of the Tribunal, to make arrangements in relation to coming and going of Ms. D and her family, just to make it easier. I know that he would extend that courtesy not just to Ms. D but to anyone else who needs his assistance and that has been so thus far in the Tribunal. I thank him for it.

I am also making an order that the identities of ms. D and her immediate family should remain anonymised, that no identifying details which are not already mentioned,
such as being in the southwest, being in Cavan, that nothing more specific than that will be reported.

In relation to the photographers, with whom at this stage I am practically on friendly terms because I meet 15:23 them every single morning and they seem to me to be great people and the people taking video footage, I'm sorry, but, on Monday, it seems the weather forecast is good and I'm ordering them to take the day off. They can go somewhere else. I don't mean any disrespect to 15:24 them in that regard. But that seems to me to be the only safe of doing things.

As will be appreciated, a person in the position of Chairman of the Tribunal will have a document against which everything is measured, and that is of course the Terms of Reference. I'm not entitled to go outside it, I'm not entitled to discuss matters of present public moment or matters which have not been put down in black and white by the oireachtas as matters that I am required to inquire into. And therefore, it seems to me that when we come to Ms. D, we are not focused on 2005, 2006, 2007. I am not asked to make a decision in relation to the allegation that originally was made, or indeed what happened in 1998, if that be the right date, because that is not within the terms of reference, and the parties should be aware that any questioning should not be extraneous to the terms of Reference. It may be that there will be some mention
of that, but it should be borne in mind, please, at all times, as was agreed by all the parties to this Tribunal in a private sitting of the Tribunal with a view to getting this worked out, that I am not tasked with that. Now, given that that is the case and given also, finally, that the Tribunal has distributed documents to parties, not for general public consumption but for use in the Tribunal by way of a number of memory sticks, the parties will have noted, no doubt, that there are many blank or blacked out areas on that, where, for instance, a name is replaced by Ms. D or Ms. Y, as the case may be, or that counselling notes which are irrelevant to anything we are doing here have been blacked out, it is clear that the Tribunal is, as a matter of principle, entitled to do that in order to preserve the dignity of the counselling client relationship, in order to ensure an appropriate respect for privacy as guaranteed under our constitution and in order to ensure as well that we concentrate on what we are about, which is the Terms of Reference, which have been explained by the Tribunal and are on the Courts website. So therefore, although it is highly exceptional given that we have made redactions already, it seems to me that the Tribunal retains the power (a) to edit the transcript before it is released onto the web to ensure that we keep within the parameters of what I have said; and secondly, that if there is an extraordinary circumstance whereby matters are mentioned which are not simply irrelevant,
because a lot of stuff has been mentioned which may be irrelevant, it happens in every court proceedings, but which operate as an infringement of her privacy and are not necessary to the work of the Tribunal or are outside the Terms of Reference, that it may be necessary for the Tribunal to say that cannot be reported, and I will do that if that happens, but I don't expect it will happen. So those, it seems to me, are the terms, and Mr. Barnes will make the appropriate arrangements and I'm sure everyone will respect them.

There is only one other matter that was mentioned by people, who is a bona fide representative of the press. Look, I'm going to leave that to Mr. Barnes, and in the event that there is any issue, of course I'm going to allow that person in in private session and we will hear what they have to say if there is any query in relation to it. I am sure most press people do carry cards, but if they wouldn't mind, if they are not already known, doing that on Monday.
So I think that is it.
MR. MCGUINNESS: Thank you, Chairman. I think just to confirm matters from an administrative point of view, I think it is intended by the Tribunal that it should sit at 12 pm on the Monday.
CHAIRMAN: Yes, because of other duties, that is the case.

MR. MCGUINNESS: very good.
CHAIRMAN: Yeah.

MR. MCGUINNESS: The next witness then is Ms. Clair Tobin.

MS. CLAIR TOBIN, HAVING BEEN SWORN, WAS DIRECTLY EXAMINED BY MR. MCGUINNESS:

768 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: Ms. Tobin, I think you're a qualified social worker employed by the Child and Family Agency?
A. I am, yes.

769 Q. I think you qualified with BSS honours degree in social studies and a national qualification in social work in TCD in 2008?
A. Yes.

770 Q. I think you have been employed in Tusla since March 2010, and have you been a regional social worker on the 15:29 Sexual Abuse Regional Team since June 2016 ?
A. That's correct, yes.

771 Q. And that is the position you currently hold?
A. That's correct, yes.

772 Q. Could you just assist us in this regard: were you recruited to that team or how did your appointment to the team come about?
A. My team leader, Lisa o'Loghlen, was my previous team leader on the Long-Term Child Protection Team which I was a member of, and during my maternity leave she advised that the Sexual Abuse Regional Team would be set up and asked if I would be interested in being a member of that team. As, while we worked together on the Long-Term Child Protection Team, my role on that
team I would have dealt with a lot of retrospective cases in terms of adults of concern or sexual abuse cases.
773 Q. okay. So she was your team leader at that time in relation to those duties, this new unit was being established and she knew you had the experience, is that it?
A. That's correct, yes.

774 Q. And can I ask you when you then first learned of the establishment of the team, just to be clear about that? 15:30
A. It was by April I think.

775 Q. okay. We've seen different dates in different documents, but you learnt about it in April, was it established in April?
A. Around April/may, I know there was talk about it. I can't be sure. I was on maternity at the time. I know there was discussions around the need for a team within -- the service director, Linda Creamer had identified that a lot of the cases in terms of retrospective allegations were sitting on waiting lists 15:31 in local duty social work departments and needed a response. I can't be sure as to what --
776 Q. Can I ask you this: When did you return to June?
A. June. The end of June 2016.

777 Q. Was it up and running at that point in time?
A. Yeah, yeah. Yes. It was.

778 Q. And is there a regional team for each region of the country?
A. No. No. There would be, I suppose, a specialist
inquiry team in Cork, there would be different social workers in different areas assigned to retrospective, but it's not a national kind of direction.
779 Q. And had you a particular responsibility for the Cavan-Monaghan area?
A. The regional team is a Dublin northeast, so it would be Cavan, Monaghan, Louth, Meath and everything north in Dublin, north of the Liffey in Dublin.
780 Q. okay. So it wasn't established, as it were, specially to deal with any delays in Cavan-Monaghan?
A. Not particular to Cavan-Monaghan.

781 Q. No.
A. Particular to the region.

782 Q. Okay. Now, can you help us in this regard: when were you allocated to the case of Ms. D?
A. With regard to retrospective work it would have been the case of Maurice McCabe.
783 Q. Maurice McCabe.
A. So it would have been in August, I believe.

784 Q. okay. You've referred to it as the case of Ms. D/McCabe in your statement?
A. Yes.

785 Q. Is that the way you regarded it at that time?
A. When we started the regional team it was quite apparent that different areas were managing the retrospective cases quite differently. Some areas would have a file on the complainant, some areas would have just a file on the adult of concern, other areas would have a file on both, so it was appropriate, I suppose, to refer to

786 Q. Okay. You see, I'm not quite clear from your statement, you say you reviewed the file to "identify what my role would be in fulfilling my obligations under the Child and Family Agency's policy'?
A. Yes.

787 Q. I want to be clear in the first instance, what files did you review? Is it a single file?
A. It was a single file, yes.

788 Q. Okay. And is that the one that we've seen referred to 15:33 as 02.082013?
A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.

789 Q. And did you take possession of and review the Ms. D file?
A. I would have viewed it, yes. Just as to inform kind of 15:33 what had happened back in 2006, in relation to the case.

790 Q. And did you take possession of or view the separate file that was created as a result of her referral to the child sexual abuse section in Monaghan?
A. No. I don't recall seeing that file.

791 Q. You don't recall seeing that file?
A. No.

792 Q. Were you aware of it then?
A. About a separate file --

793 Q. That other file?
A. -- to the ex-reference file, is that --

794 Q. Yes.
A. No, I don't believe I was.

795 Q. You see, I'm not clear as to what you were then given. I mean, did somebody in fact produce a file to you on some date?
A. Yeah. I remember correctly, it was I think the 28 th June, because I had just returned to work on the 27th June. We -- when I say "we", myself and my team leader, Lisa O'Loghlen went to the Cavan Social Work Department and met with Michael Cunningham who was the then duty social work team leader, and he had a number of files that he wished for us to view to see if they were acceptable in terms of meeting the criteria for allocation to SART. And it was on that occasion that he would have given us a very brief synopsis of the files that he had, and in that number of files was the Ms. D and Maurice McCabe file.
796 Q. Again, I'm trying to be very precise myself, are you talking about the 2013 file?
A. Yes, that's correct.

797 Q. And we haven't seen any documentation from Mr. Cunningham in relation to that. Did he provide you 15:35 with a summary of it?
A. It was more, he the files set in a duty room for us to go through. There was no formal hand over, because we hadn't agreed that we would take all the cases, because we had to screen them to see if they would be appropriate in terms of what our role would be. We were specific in terms of, I suppose, that would we would be working only with historical allegations, retrospective work, not with current concerns that

798 Q. And is it the case that you have no recollection of whether he mentioned the CSA file in relation to Ms. D?
A. I don't recall.

799 Q. Would you agree with me that that must have been a relevant file for you to have?
A. Yes, I agree. Yeah.

800 Q. And it became evident to you, I think, from the actual Ms. D file that the file had been closed, isn't that correct?
A. The 2005?

801 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

802 Q. And did you speak to Rhona Murphy or Mary Tiernan --
A. No.

803 Q. -- or Mary O'Reilly --
A. No.

804 Q. -- to determine the circumstances in which that came about?
A. No.

805 Q. Can I ask you this: Can you recollect what date you commenced your review on?
A. When you talk about review, can you just clarify what you mean?
806 Q. We11, you say you were allocated to the case of to the case $I$ reviewed the fi7e"?
A. Yes.

807 Q. So was that -- can you help?
A. Yeah.
Q. I'm trying to find out when in August that was?
A. Review is, like, social work speak. So when I get a file my practice would be to read the file, to look at what information is on that file and what would be relevant in terms of my work going forward. I suppose it's an undocumented review. So it's to take note of what information is on file.
Q. okay.
A. I suppose the formal review would have happened later on --

810 Q. Okay.
A. -- or documented review for better words.

811 Q. We11, did you see that Ms. McLough1in had conducted a review of the file herself on the 26th February following receipt of Mr. Costello's letter?
A. That wasn't on the file.

812 Q. That wasn't on the file?
A. No.

813 Q. Did you ever see that?
A. No. I recall seeing a review around May 2015, when a decision was made to make contact with Mr. McCabe in relation to the allegations that had been made.

814 Q. Okay. Perhaps could I ask you just to look at that document Ms. McLough1in reviewed, 2439 is the number of 15:38 the document. It appears in a number of places.
A. That's an email, yes.

815 Q. Yes. And at the bottom there, it's being sent to Mr. Lowry, but it sets out what she had earlier sent
him. Did you ever see that before?
A. No.

816 Q. Okay. Did you ever see a five-step plan, as it were, set out by Mr. Lowry?
A. I recall something in relation to a five-step plan with regard to Ms. McLoughlin being told what she needed to be to address --

817 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah.

818 Q. Okay. Now can I ask you to turn to page 511? This is 15:39 headed "Serious incident other than HIQA and risk escalation", is that a document that you prepared?
A. No.

819 Q. Is that a document that was given to you for the purpose of your review?
A. No.

820 Q. Did you assess the accuracy of it in any respect?
A. No.

821 Q. Have you seen it before?
A. For the purpose of this Tribunal I have seen it, yes. 15:40

822 Q. Okay. So this is apparently prepared by Ms. O'Loghlen, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.

823 Q. It's dated 2nd August 2016?
A. Yes.

824 Q. And it's some four pages?
A. Yeah.

825 Q. Was this not perhaps relevant to your review, whether the review you were about to conduct with the files and
then your formal review in writing?
A. Just with regard to those forms, they're completed by social worker team leaders when they have a concern. They wouldn't ordinarily be completed by a social worker unless a social worker wanted to raise concern. I know Lisa o'Loghlen would keep a copy of those in her own database in her office in a folder. I don't think it was on the file at the time that I got the file. She would have, of course, told me that she was going to risk escalate her concerns, and by that I suppose she was concerned about how the file had been managed and what we notified when we took over from them.
826 Q. Yeah. So obviously you did have a conversation with her in which you learned of this risk escalation issue?
A. Yes.

827 Q. Now could you just explain what you understood that to mean?
A. With regard to why she would notify the service director?
828 Q. Yes.
A. Well, I suppose when we took the file we were quite, I suppose, for want of a better word, horrified as to how the case had been managed. And we were, we felt we needed to bring it to the attention of our regional service director. I suppose our role within SART was to deal with the allegation and the assessment of the allegation and we felt that there was a bigger issue behind that, and that was Lisa's reasoning, I think, for bringing it to the attention of the people above
829 Q. Okay. Is this addressed to Linda Creamer, the regional
director?
A. That's correct, yes.

830 Q. Okay. I see on page 514 it said "sent to all the following email addresses mikecorcoran@Tusla.i.e.", Mike Corcoran was he Linda Creamer's line manager, or do you know?
A. I don't know.

831 Q. Okay.
A. I believe he's in national office but I couldn't tell you what his role is.

832 Q. Okay. Did you check -- you never saw this at any stage for the purpose of your review?
A. No. No.

833 Q. okay. And have you reviewed it for its accuracy since?
A. I've looked at it for the purpose of this Tribunal, yeah.
834 Q. Yeah. And would you agree with me it's inaccurate?
A. Yes.

835 Q. Yeah?
A. Yes.

836 Q. And what --
A. There's an inaccurate date on it.

837 Q. Pardon?
A. An inaccurate date.

838 Q. An inaccurate date?
A. Yeah.

839 Q. And is that all?
A. If you can just scroll up so $I$ can see the document.

840 Q. Yes, I'm sorry, go back to page 511. Do you see any inaccuracies on that page?
A. I suppose I have noticed that Ms. O'Logh1en has referred to Sergeant Maurice McCabe as "Detective Sergeant Maurice McCabe" and that's, of course, an inaccuracy.

841 Q. okay. And the next paragraph?
A. The "DS" obviously referring to --

842 Q. Yes?
A. -- detective sergeant.

843 Q. Obviously there is a mistake about the date in the first instance?
A. Yes, yes, yes, yes.

844 Q. 2014 --
A. Yeah.

845 Q. -- that should be 2013?
A. That's correct, yes.

846 Q. And did you understand that Ms. D had been referred in 2013 or '14 yourself?
A. 2013.

847 Q. Right. Did you get that from the original referral form or the amended one?
A. The original referral form $I$ believe.

848 Q. Okay. The statement there that "SW department received 15:44 a copy of Ms. D's garda statement taken on the 5th December 2006 and used this to write to DS McCabe in December 2015," do you know that to be inaccurate?
A. I don't know what Lisa O'Logh1en means by that, because

I do understand part of our practice, if somebody has given consent to utilise their Garda statement as part of our assessment we would use that to write to a person, but it would only be someone's consent.
849 Q. Yeah?
$15: 44$
A. So I don't know what that means. You would have to ask Lisa o'Loghlen about that.
850 Q. But from what I understand is, you yourself would make an assumption that the statement of the person, which has been made to the Guards will be used and is normally used to base the Barr letter?
A. Can you just --

851 Q. Is that right?
A. Can you clarify that, please?

852 Q. I am asking you about your previous answer. 15:45
A. Yes.

853 Q. You seem to be implying that a Garda statement, a statement that has been made to the Gardaí would be used to base the letter to the alleged perpetrator?
A. It wouldn't form the basis of it, but it might be supporting documentation for example.
854 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah.

855 Q. We11 now, the phrase is "used this", so she seems to have believed or assumed that it was used to write the 15:45 letter?
A. And I don't know what she has based that assumption on, you'd have to clarify that with Lisa.
856 Q. And do you know whether that's correct or not? 857 Q. Yeah.
A. Oh, I do know it wasn't used. Well, I don't know. Like, I can only assume because the information that was sent to Mr. McCabe was not information from the Garda statement.

858 Q. Yes. Did you conclude that in your review? Did you point out this error in your review?
A. The error that's on the risk escalation?

859 Q. Yes.
A. No, because I didn't see it.

860 Q. But did you in fact document that Ms. D's statement hadn't been used and wasn't available to Ms. McLough1in when she wrote the letter of the 29th?
A. No. Because I wouldn't have been aware that that was an assumption that had been made.

861 Q. No. Forget about Ms. O'Logh1en's report, I am asking you, did you document in your review that Ms. McLough1in hadn't used the Garda statement --
A. No.

862 Q. -- as the basis for the letter?
A. No.

863 Q. Why is that?
A. I really don't understand what you are asking.

864 Q. We11, I am wondering what the purpose of your review 15:46 was. Is it to identify the errors that had taken place --
A. No, no.

865 Q. -- or is it some other purpose?
A. I suppose the purpose of the review at the time in which it was written up, we were quite conscious that Mr. McCabe's solicitors had sought answers to questions in relation to how the errors had come about, we had requested our legal team to liaise with Mr. McCabe's solicitors. It became apparent, $I$ suppose, at some point that they hadn't received a response, so it was agreed that we would write a chronology of events in terms of how it came about that the false information had been sent to Mr. McCabe, but also what our role would be in terms of SART team on the case.

866 Q. Yeah. But what I am not clear about it was: was it intended to be a full review of any errors or handling of it within the Social Work Department in Cavan?
A. It was more to do with the errors before it came to SART, if that makes sense.

867 Q. We11, yes. But errors within the Cavan area, is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

868 Q. Or was it conducted on the basis that you had to find a 15:48 solution to what hadn't been done either in 2006 or earlier than it was coming to you at?
A. It probably had a dual function in terms of identifying what had or hadn't happened on the file, but also to indicate what needed to happen.
869 Q. Yeah. We11, was there any document or guidance in writing provided to you setting out the purposes of the review?
A. No.

870 Q. Is there any record of it anywhere that you know of?
A. With regard to any document or guidance?

871 Q. Yes. The purpose of the review.
A. No.

MR. MCGUINNESS: I see, Chairman, it is gone to the time where you said it was --

CHAIRMAN: We let's maybe carry on until four, is that all right with you, Mr. McGuinness, and then we will stop?
MR. MCGUINNESS: I have no difficulty if nobody else objects.
872 Q. So, what was the first documented thing that you did?
A. I think what I would have done -- before I was allocated to the case I know myself and Lisa o'Loghlen would have done a brief audit on the files to see what had and hadn't been done in terms of our policy. And that was to look at -- it's a form, and I don't think my name was signed on it, it has -- it is in the documents that have been furnished to the Tribunal. So that was the first documented thing that I would have done on the file before $I$ was allocated.
873 Q. When did you do that?
A. I can't recall exactly when, but it would have been, I think, probably at the time when we were in Cavan. We did spend some time going through some of the files we had, and we did take some of them away, so I can't particularly remember when I completed that form exactly.
874 Q. And does that commence by referring to the two
referral, the dates of the two referral reports, or what sort of a form is that on?
A. I can't recall. It is in the documentation that we received recently, volume 9 and 10 in terms of the files. There's a Tusla logo on it.
875 Q. Just to help me with the date of that; when do you say you completed that?
A. Well, I can't recall the exact date but we would have completed some of those reviews or audit templates --
876 Q. well, it's not the document at 2309 because that's your 15:50 formal review finished in September, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct. No, it's a handwritten document.

877 Q. So is it at 2312, perhaps we will look at that?
A. No, no.

878 Q. Okay.
A. It's a handwritten document.

879 Q. Okay.
A. It should be --

880 Q. 2312 seems to be a handwritten form?
A. No, I can see that. No. It might be following some of those pages.
881 Q. What I am interested in is: In this discussion with Lisa o'Loghlen what did you identify as the audit issues?
A. I suppose, we queried whether, I suppose whether we had any legitimacy in terms of our role in SART in terms of taking the file on and doing a section 3 assessment, given that the concerns had already been raised back in
2006. So we sought to clarify that. I suppose we clearly identified the error that the wrong information had been sent to Mr. McCabe.
882 Q. But did you identify the process by which any error or multiple errors had occurred within the department which led to that?
A. The file that we received, I know I have seen a lot of documentation for the purpose of the Tribunal.
883
Q. Yes?
A. And I will give an example: I didn't see any signed sent Garda notifications on the file at that time, so I assumed no Garda notification had been made. There's also a number of email threads missing from that file that I have since seen from the Tribunal. So I suppose the thing, the main error that I would have picked up on would have been the fact that the file remained unallocated for specific periods of time and also that the wrong information had been sent to Mr. McCabe.
884 Q. Perhaps we'11 come to the issues in sequence. At that point in time did you see the initial intake referral form, if can I put it that way?
A. The one from 2013?

885 Q. Yes.
A. I did, yes.

886 Q. And did you see that Ms. McGlone had instructed "duty to notify", and that that didn't appear to have been done at that point in time?
A. I did see that, yes.

887 Q. Now, did you consider that to be an error in any way or
not yourself?
A. In terms of whether or not to notify the Gardaí? 888 Q. Yes.
A. Our practice, and my practice from being a social worker in Dublin, in terms of dealing with retrospective allegations is that we wouldn't notify the Gardaí on foot of a referral coming through the through the door, we would wait until we meet the person, we would clarify the information and have some grounding to the information that has come in for our attention.

889 Q. So did you enquire as to whether the practice was different in Cavan?
A. I suppose the practice is different throughout the region, and that is something that we did notice. while it is not wrong to do that we are from a retrospective point of view -- and I suppose it is one of the purposes of our team, trying to get consistency throughout the region that, that doesn't happen until a point. And I think that has been agreed at regional meetings that we have had since; that areas do not notify Gardaí about a retrospective allegation until it has been confirmed with the person that the contents of the referral we have received are true and accurate.
890 Q. Okay. So do you consider it to have been an error if 15:54 they in fact notified the Garda Síochána in Bailieboro without having done that?
A. Yes.

891 Q. Okay. And you have mentioned there in your answer to
my question four answers ago, that you didn't see any signed Garda notification. So, you assumed that they hadn't notified the Gardaí?
A. I did, yes.

892 Q. And do you know that that assumption is incorrect?
A. I do, yes.

893 Q. And were you aware that the Garda notifications folder was maintained in Monaghan by Ms. Dewhirst?
A. I wasn't no.

894 Q. Did you know that that was a place to which you could have resort to see was there a record of a Garda notification in possession of Ms. Dewhirst under Mr. Deeney's control?
A. I didn't, no.

895 Q. So, is it correct then that at this stage in August, 15:55 you wrongly assumed there had been no Garda notification?
A. That's correct, yes.

896 Q. Okay. And when did you discovery it to be different?
A. I remember when the Prime Time thing came out I was 15:55 quite shocked, because I didn't recall seeing it on the file.
897 Q. Yes.
A. So it was when the story hit the media --

898 Q. Yes?
A. -- that it became apparent to me that the notification had been sent.
899 Q. Now, the Tribunal obviously has to hear from Ms. Dewhirst but she has produced a letter of
instruction as to how Garda notifications are to be dealt with in the Monaghan office in terms of keeping a file copy and sending a copy to Cavan, etcetera. And it doesn't appear to have actually been put on the file or at least any version of the file that we have seen, but are you confirming to the Tribunal that there was no such signed copy of the amended Garda notification on the file relating to Maurice McCabe?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Can I just stop you there, Mr. McGuinness? I beg your pardon. Ms. Tobin, were you aware that the matter had been referred to the DPP and the DPP had made a decision?
A. Through different letters that were on file it was apparent that there was no DPP -- but there was no specific -- I suppose, I know in my review I would have noted that the 2006 file that I reviewed, there was no notification from the Gardai that the DPP had instructed no prosecution on the basis that no criminal offence had taken place. And $I$ know that might be a little bit confusing in terms of my review, but I suppose it was important for us to note that we hadn't received that specific information; that the DPP had instructed that no specific criminal offence had taken place.
900 Q. MR. MCGUINNESS: Okay. We11, can I ask you to look at document 257 ? This is the minutes of a management meeting on the 21st April of 2007, in which it is noted that the file returned from the DPP no prosecution. I
don't know, do you see that, in the middle of it?
A. Yes, I can see that.

901 Q. Okay. Did you see that document?
A. No. I don't recall seeing that document.

902 Q. Okay. Are you in a position to say whether that was on 15:57 the Ms. D file or not?
A. I'm not, no. It certainly wasn't on the Maurice McCabe file.

903 Q. Okay. We11, let's go back to another document that was on the Ms. D file, page 255. These appear to be -- I think they are Rhona Murphy's contact notes. Did you consider those?
A. I don't recall seeing them.

904 Q. I don't know if you can make out the writing there, but it records on that date, which is coincidentally the date of the management meeting, that "Garda Inspector Noel Cunningham called out to the house today and informed Ms. D that the DPP had come back and said there will be no prosecution." Do you see that?
A. No, I can't see it, you need to scroll down.

905 Q. You can't see it, I'm sorry.
A. Yeah.

906 Q. Are you in a position to read that?
A. Is it a typed document?

907 Q. No.
A. It's not in front of me.

908 Q. Page 255. Just bear with us for a moment, thank you.
A. Yes. It was there a second ago.

909 Q. I am being whispered it is perhaps 254.
A. No. It must be 256 because that has gone back up a page, and what I can see in front of me is a typed document.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. McGuinness, why don't we go to this tomorrow.

MR. MCGUINNESS: Very good, Chairman. Very good. Thank you.
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