TRIBUNAL OF INQUIRY INTO PROTECTED DISCLOSURES MADE UNDER THE PROTECTED DISCLOSURES ACT 2014 AND CERTAIN OTHER MATTERS FOLLOWING RESOLUTIONS PASSED BY DÁIL ÉIREANN AND SEANAD ÉIREANN ON 16TH FEBRUARY 2017

ESTABLISHED BY INSTRUMENT MADE BY THE MINISTER FOR JUSTICE AND EQUALITY UNDER THE TRIBUNALS OF INQUIRY (EVIDENCE) ACT 1921, ON 17TH FEBRUARY 2017

SOLE MEMBER: MR. JUSTICE PETER CHARLETON, JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT

OPENING STATEMENT HELD IN DUBLIN CASTLE ON WEDNESDAY, 14TH JUNE 2017

> Gwen Malone Stenography Services certify the following to be a verbatim transcript of their stenographic notes in the above-named action.

GWEN MALONE STENOGRAPHY SERVICES OPENING STATEMENT OF COUNSEL FOR THE TRIBUNAL MADE ON WEDNESDAY, 14TH JUNE 2017:

1

2

3

24

MR. MCGUINNESS: Sir, it is now my function to address 4 you to deliver an opening statement on behalf of the 5 10:33 6 Tribunal. I will be aided in this task by your other counsel Mr. Patrick Marrinan SC and Ms. Kathleen Leader 7 8 The purpose of this is to outline in public the BL. progress the Tribunal is making in relation to the 9 issues comprised in the terms of reference, an overview 10:33 10 11 of the evidence and issues that have emerged so far, without drawing any conclusions in relation to those 12 and to lay out, fairly I hope, the factual landscape 13 14 that appears to be emerging. This is subject to all 15 the evidence that will emerge in the course of the 10:33 public hearings that you, sir, are about to embark 16 17 upon. Anything, therefore, that I or my colleagues say is subject to the evidence as it emerges and is tested, 18 19 but also to the whole process that a tribunal must 20 necessarily bring to bear in relation to that. I 10:33 21 shall, as a matter of necessity and fairness, have to 22 outline what is involved in such a process, albeit as 23 briefly as I can.

25 Scope of Inquiry and the Terms of Reference

10:34

The scope of the Tribunal's inquiries have necessarily been defined and thereby limited by the terms of reference conferred on it by the resolutions of each of the Houses of the Oireachtas. These are set out here,

1 and I will quote them.

2

24

3 "(a) To investigate the allegation made in a Protected Disclosure under the Protected Disclosures Act 2014. on 4 the 30th September 2016, by Superintendent David 5 10:34 6 Taylor, wherein he alleges he was instructed or directed by former Commissioner Martin Callinan and/or 7 8 Deputy Commissioner Nóirín O'Sullivan to contact the media, to brief them negatively against Sergeant 9 Maurice McCabe and in particular to brief the media 10 10:34 11 that Sergeant McCabe was motivated by malice and 12 revenge, that he was to encourage the media to write negatively about Sergeant McCabe to the effect that his 13 14 complaints had no substance, that the Gardaí had fully 15 investigated his complaints and found no substance to 10:35 16 his allegations and that he was driven by agendas. 17

18 (b) To investigate the allegation of

19Superintendent Taylor in his Protected Disclosure that20he was directed to draw journalists' attention to an10:3521allegation of criminal misconduct made against2222Sergeant McCabe and that this was the root cause of his2323agenda, namely revenge against the Gardaí.

(c) To investigate what knowledge former Commissioner 10:35
Callinan and/or Commissioner O'Sullivan and/or other
senior members of the Garda Síochána had concerning
this allegation of criminal misconduct made against
Sergeant McCabe and whether they acted upon the same in

a manner intended to discredit Sergeant McCabe.

1

2

10

18

22

27

3 (d) To investigate the creation, distribution and use
4 by Tusla of a file containing false allegations of
5 sexual abuse against Sergeant Maurice McCabe that was 10:35
6 allegedly sent to Gardaí in 2013 and whether these
7 false allegations and/or the file were knowingly used
8 by senior members of An Garda Síochána to discredit
9 Sergeant McCabe.

10:36

(e) To investigate whether the false allegations of
sexual abuse or any other unjustified grounds were
inappropriately relied upon by Commissioner O'Sullivan
to discredit Sergeant Maurice McCabe at the Commission
of Investigation into Certain Matters in the
Cavan/Monaghan district under the Chairmanship of
Mr. Justice Kevin O'Higgins.

19 (f) To investigate whether senior members of An Garda
20 Síochána attempted to entrap or falsely accuse 10:36
21 Sergeant McCabe of criminal conduct.

(g) To investigate such knowledge which former
Commissioner Callinan and Commissioner O'Sullivan had
concerning the matters set out at (a), (b), (c), (d), 10:36
(e) and (f) move.

(h) To investigate contacts between members of the
Garda Síochána and media and broadcasting personnel,

1 members of the Government, Tusla, the Health Service 2 Executive, any other State agencies or any relevant 3 person as the Sole Member may deem necessary to carry out his work relevant to the matters set out at (a). 4 (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) above. 5 10:36 6 7 (i) To examine all records relating to the 8 telecommunications interactions used by Superintendent Taylor, former Commissioner Callinan and 9 Commissioner O'Sullivan in the period from the 1st July 10:37 10 11 2012 to the 31st May 2014, to ascertain whether there 12 are any records of text messages or other telecommunications interactions relating to the matters 13 14 set out at (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) above and to 15 examine and consider the content of any such text 10:37 16 messages or other telecommunications interactions. 17 (j) To examine all electronic and paper files relating 18 19 to Sergeant Maurice McCabe held by An Garda Síochána 20 and to consider any material therein relevant to (a), 10:37 21 (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) above. 22 23 (k) To investigate whether Commissioner O'Sullivan, using briefing material prepared in Garda Headquarters, 24 influenced or attempted to influence broadcasts on RTÉ 25 10:38 26 on the 9th May 2016, purporting to be a leaked account 27 of the unpublished O'Higgins Commission Report, in 28 which Sergeant McCabe was branded a liar and 29 irresponsible.

1		
2	(1) To investigate whether a meeting took place between	
3	former Commissioner Callinan and Deputy John McGuinness	
4	on the 24th January 2014 in the car park of Bewley's	
5	Hotel, Newlands Cross, County Dublin, and to examine	10:38
6	and consider the circumstances which led to any such	
7	meeting, the purpose of such meeting and matters	
8	discussed at such meeting.	
9		
10	(M) To investigate such knowledge which Commissioner	10:38
11	O'Sullivan had of the meeting referred to in (1) above.	
12		
13	(n) To investigate contacts between members of the	
14	Garda Síochána and Tusla in relation to Garda Keith	
15	Harrison.	10:38
16		
17	(o) To investigate the pattern of the creation,	
18	distribution and use by Tusla of files containing	
19	allegations of criminal misconduct against members of	
20	An Garda Síochána who had made allegations of	10:39
21	wrongdoing within An Garda Síochána and of the use	
22	knowingly by senior members of the Garda Síochána of	
23	these files to discredit members who had made such	
24	allegations.	
25		10:39
26	(p) To consider any other complaints by members of	
27	Garda Síochána who has made a Protected Disclosure	
28	prior to the 16th February 2017 alleging wrongdoing	
29	within the Garda Síochána and where, following the	

making of the Protected Disclosure, the garda making the said Protected Disclosure was targeted or discredited with the knowledge or acquiescence of senior members of the Garda Síochána."

10:39

That is the end of the terms of reference, sir.

8 The terms of reference having been set down, the Tribunal, in accordance with the Supreme Court decision 9 in *Haughey v. Moriarty*, duly interpreted its terms of 10 10:39 11 reference in its interpretation of the Terms of 12 Reference of the Tribunal on the 12th May 2017. It is unnecessary to refer to it in any detail here as it 13 14 speaks for itself and no issue has been raised by any 15 party in relation to the ambit of the Tribunal's 10:40 16 inquiries in pursuance of its interpretation of its 17 terms of reference. On the same date, the Tribunal also published its memorandum of procedures adopted for 18 19 the purpose of the Tribunal. Again, no issue has 20 arisen to date in connection with this. These steps 10:40 were all preceded, sir, by your immediate announcement 21 22 in relation to the establishment of the Tribunal and your urgent call for the cooperation of all concerned 23 24 and the provision of all necessary information, documentation and relevant devices as outlined by you 25 10:40 26 at your sitting on the 27th February 2017.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 28
- 29 Function of the Tribunal

7

1 In accordance with its mandate under the terms of 2 reference, the Tribunal's function is to inquire into 3 the facts, make such findings and recommendations as 4 appear appropriate and report thereon. 5 10:40 As Finlay CJ said in the case of Goodman International 6 v. Mr. Justice Hamilton: 7 8 "It is a simple fact finding operation, reporting to 9 the legislature." 10 10:41 11 12 The decision in the High Court of Costello J in that case, both in his conclusions and in the reasoning by 13 14 which he came to those conclusions, was approved by the 15 Supreme Court. He said. At the relevant pages of the 10:41 16 judgment, and I quote: 17 "4. The functions of the Tribunal are to inquire, 18 19 report and, if appropriate, to make recommendations. 20 when reporting on allegations of wrongdoing it 10:41 21 expresses an opinion as to whether the allegations are 22 true or false, but this opinion is of no legal effect. The Tribunal determines no legal rights; it imposes no 23 24 legal obligations. It expresses conclusions for the guidance of the legislature and the executive. 25 10:41 26 27 5. There are no parties before the Tribunal, although 28 persons accused of wrongdoing in the allegations being 29 investigated will have the same rights as if they were

parties against whom a charge had been made. The Tribunal is seized of no lis. Its functions are inquisitorial, which means that the Tribunal itself has to make inquiries relevant to its terms of reference. The witnesses produced at its hearings are the Tribunal's witnesses and are not produced by any party to whom representation has been granted. All witnesses called are subject to being cross-examined as permitted by the Tribunal.

10:42

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 6. ... The terms of reference in this case required 12 the Tribunal to inquire into the truth or falsity of a number of allegations of wrongdoing, including the 13 assertions that the criminal law has been breached. 14 15 But in inquiring into these allegations and in 10:42 16 reporting its upon on them, the Tribunal is not 17 imposing any liabilities or affecting any rights. It is not deciding any controversy as to the existence of 18 19 any legal right. It is not making any determination of any rights or liabilities. It is not imposing any 20 10:42 21 penalties. It may come to the conclusion that some or 22 all of the allegations of wrongdoing are true, but this opinion is devoid of legal consequences. Its functions 23 24 of inquiring, reporting and recommending cannot therefore be regarded as the "administration of 25 10:43 26 iustice". The Tribunal is not exercising a "judicial 27 function" in the case of allegations of criminal 28 behaviour. It is not trying anyone on a criminal 29 charge. In my judgment Parliament did not direct the

establishment of a Tribunal that is to exercise
 judicial functions."

And that is the end of the quotation from Costello J.

10:43

6 As you, sir, indicated in your opening statement, the 7 Tribunal, though having many of the powers of the High 8 Court, is not a court of law, it is a Tribunal of Inquiry, the purpose of which in the instant case is to 9 examine and, where possible, in the light of the 10 10:43 11 evidence, make findings on the facts and if thought 12 appropriate to make recommendations based upon the established facts. As you also recognised, sir, the 13 14 Tribunal's inquiry is not a trial of alleged wrongdoing 15 by any particular person or group of persons, nor will 10:43 vou, sir, I am sure, allow it to be turned into one. 16 17 There are many, many matters outside your terms of reference and you, sir, will be vigilant to ensure that 18 19 neither the parties stray outside them and that you are 20 not enticed into error by doing so yourself. 10:44

21

22

3

4

5

## Finding the Facts

Not unusually, there are many disputed areas of facts
or areas of evidence where a conflict exists between
witnesses as to what occurred. Where these occur and 10:44
are of relevance, these will be identified in the
appropriate place throughout the hearings and
appropriately pursued to conclusion. The resolution of
any such conflict in accordance with the appropriate

1 legal principles is of course a matter for you, sir, in 2 deciding what the primary facts are. The second level 3 of fact-finding which the Tribunal must engage in is what has been described as secondary fact-finding, i.e. 4 drawing inferences or reaching conclusions from the 5 10:44 6 facts directly established by the primary evidence. In relation to Tribunals of Inquiry, the standard which 7 8 has been laid down as the basis for fact-finding by 9 tribunals has long been established and approved of by the courts, e.g. in the Goodman International, cited 10 10:45 11 above, Hederman J at page 603 noted that:

13 "The Tribunal will doubtless adopt the same approach as
14 the Tribunal of Inquiry into dealings in Great Southern
15 Railway Stock, the members of which were Mr. Justice 10:45
16 Overend, Judge Davitt, and Judge Barra O'Briain. While
17 it sifted through rumour and hearsay it relied only on
18 admissible evidence for its findings."

20 McCarthy J also said at page 607:

12

19

21

10:45

22 "I do not accept that the determining of truth or 23 falsity is necessarily a judicial act in the sense that it may only validly be performed by judges. 24 It does require the application of judicial standards, but it 25 10:45 26 is an every day occurrence that a variety of tribunals, 27 collegiate or otherwise, have to decide disputes of fact." 28 29

1 The former President of the High Court, Mr. Justice 2 Hamilton, in his conduct of the Tribunal of Inquiry 3 into the beef processing industry likewise defended and asserted his right to receive hearsay evidence, but 4 also indicated repeatedly he would act only on legally 5 10:46 6 admissible evidence when he came to make his findings. And the references to that are set out. 7 8 The Rights of Parties to Fair Procedures 9 It must be noted that this is an indispensable 10 10:46 11 component of constitutional justice and fair procedures 12 and was recognised as such by the Supreme Court in the case of *In re Haughey*. These are set down in its 13 14 judgment at page 263: 15 10:46 16 "(a) that he should be furnished with a copy of the 17 evidence which reflected on his good name; (b) that he should be allowed to cross-examine by 18 19 counsel his accuser or accusers: 20 (c) that he should be allowed to give rebutting 10:47 21 evidence: and 22 (d) that he should be permitted to address, again by counsel, the committee in his own defence." 23 24 Of these Ó Dálaigh CJ said: 25 10:47 26 27 "A person whose conduct is impugned as part of the 28 subject matter of the inquiry must be afforded 29 reasonable means of defending himself. What are these

1 They have been already enumerated at (a) to (d) means? 2 Without the two rights which the Committee's above. procedures have purported to exclude, no accused - and 3 I speak within the context of the terms of the inquiry 4 - could hope to make any adequate defence of his own 5 10:47 6 name. To deny such rights is, in an ancestral adage, a classic case of clocha ceangailte agus madraí scaoilte. 7 8 Article 40. s. 3 of the Constitution is a guarantee to the citizen of basic fairness of procedures. 9 The Constitution guarantees such fairness and it is the 10 10:47 11 duty of the Court to underline that the words of 12 Article 40, s. 3 are not political shibboleths but provide a positive protection for the citizen and his 13 14 good name." 15 10:48 In the case of Goodman International v. Hamilton 16 17 (No. 2) Geoghegan J was considering how the rights of, inter alia, the applicant company there were to be 18 19 vindicated by the Tribunal. He stated at page 313, and 20 I quote: 10:48 21 22 "I turn now to an important argument made by counsel 23 for the applicants that the right to vindication of one's good name and the right to fair procedures at a 24 tribunal in which that good name was being questioned 25 10:48 are separate constitutional rights and that the 26 27 tribunal must respect and vindicate both of those 28 rights at all times. I agree with that proposition but 29 in any view in the context of a tribunal hearing there

13

1 is no difference in the manner in which the tribunal 2 must discharge its constitutional obligation to respect 3 and vindicate both of those constitutional rights. In each case the tribunal discharges its constitutional 4 obligation by ensuring that there is a fair hearing in 5 10:48 6 which, where appropriate, the protections identified in 7 by Ó Dálaigh CJ in In re Haughey are afforded and are 8 reflected in the ultimate report of the tribunal."

10 At page 316, he states:

9

11

20

21

25

12 "It does not seem to be suggested in the Haughey case that Chief Superintendent Fleming should not have been 13 14 permitted to give evidence at all of a hearsay nature 15 and therefore the former Chief Justice was obviously 10:49 16 concerned to ensure in the vindication of Mr. Haughey's 17 good name that the good name would not be destroyed except on the basis of evidence from the real accusers, 18 19 duly tested by cross-examination if that was desired.

- Ó Dálaigh CJ referred to:-
- 22 "The well established procedure, adopted by the several
  23 tribunals of inquiry set up by Dáil Éireann to inquire
  24 into matters of public importance."

10:49

10:49

10:49

26 He pointed out that in that case persons accused in 27 connection with the subject matter of the inquiry were 28 granted the rights of parties and were allowed to 29 appear by counsel to cross-examine and address the

1 tribunal."

2

3 There have been a number of previous tribunals which have been established to inquiry into the matters 4 related to An Garda Síochána. These include three 5 10:50 previous Tribunals of Inquiry into the facts and 6 circumstances surrounding the death of citizens and the 7 8 involvement of the police force of the State. The first of these was the Tribunal of Inquiry in 1928 to 9 inquire into the shooting of Timothy Coghlan at Dartry 10 10:50 11 Road. Three serving judges were appointed to inquire 12 into this shooting. The second was the Tribunal of Inquiry 1967 to inquire into the death in Garda custody 13 14 of one Liam O'Mahony. Again, this was presided over by 15 three serving members of the judiciary. The third of 10:50 16 these was the Tribunal of Inquiry into the fatal 17 shooting of the late John Carty in Abbeylara, presided over by the late Mr. Justice Barr. 18 Sitting at least 19 for some of the same period of time was the Morris 20 Tribunal at which you, sir, were one of the counsel for 10:50 21 the Tribunal which produced several very high quality 22 reports. The Smithwick Tribunal then dealt with allegations of garda collusion in relation to the 23 24 murders of Chief Superintendent Harry Breen and Superintendent Bob Buchanan by the IRA in Northern 25 10:51 26 Ireland. More recently a number of commissions of 27 inquiry were established: one to deal with the Dean 28 Lyons issue, presided over by Mr. George Birmingham SC, as he then was. 29

2 More recently, but connected to some of the dramatis personae that you will be dealing with, were events 3 which gave rise and led to the independent review 4 mechanism established by the Minister for Justice and 5 10:51 6 Equality, the O'Higgins Commission itself, followed by the Scoping Inquiry conducted by O'Neill J, which 7 8 ultimately led to the decisions and resolutions establishing this Tribunal. These all comprise 9 matters, which, with the exception of term of reference 10:51 10 11 (e) above, you cannot enquire into.

1

12

18

24

13 It can be accurately observed that no previous Tribunal 14 has had to deal with matters which concern directly 15 both the serving and former Commissioner of the force, 10:52 16 the Press Officer of An Garda Síochána and potentially 17 all other senior ranking officers of An Garda Síochána.

Also centrally involved are Sergeant Maurice McCabe,
the former Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee 10:52
Mr. John McGuinness TD, the Child and Family Agency
(known as Tusla), the Health Service Executive, RTÉ and others.

Also involved in the Tribunal's terms of reference are 10:52
the media. It remains to be determined whether, and if
so, to what extent an essential element of the
Tribunal's inquiries, as determined by the Oireachtas,
can legitimately put itself beyond the scope of those

16

inquiries as laid down by the law of the land in the
 Tribunals of Inquiry (Evidence) Act 1921, as amended.

In all of these matters, sir, I know you will be guided 4 5 by the law as it is, your patience, experience and 10:52 6 above all by the guiding principle of your solemn 7 judicial declaration to fulfil your duty "without fear 8 or favour to any". Rank or position, high or low, will count for nothing in this process. And, as has been 9 said, "Be you ever so high the law is above you". 10 10:53 11 You have already announced your intention to make 12 fairness your watch word for the Tribunal and to treat all equally in this respect. 13

I now propose to summarise some of the issues involved 10:53 in the Tribunal more particularly.

## 18 Summary

3

14

15

16

17

19 Sir, on the 17th February 2017 this Tribunal of Inquiry 20 into Protected Disclosures made under the Protected 10:53 21 Disclosures Act 2014, and certain other matters, was 22 established by the Minister for Justice and Equality by instrument under the Tribunals of Inquiry (Evidence) 23 Act 1921, as amended. The establishment of the 24 25 Tribunal followed what might be fair to say were months 10:53 26 of controversy about how the Garda establishment 27 allegedly treated those who chose to break ranks and to 28 disclose, through the mechanisms established following 29 the Morris Tribunal, serious failings within that

17

1 organisation. While this period of disquiet was 2 intense in itself, it should be pointed out that it was 3 preceded by the resignation of the former Commissioner Martin Callinan on the 24th March 2014, the 4 5 Commissioner having appeared before the Public Accounts 10:54 6 Committee on the 23rd January 2014 and having referred 7 to an officer at the centre of this entire controversy, 8 Sergeant Maurice McCabe, together with another officer, as "disgusting", and contrasting their conduct with 9 that of 13,000 other police officers. As outlined 10 10:54 11 above, a number of other commissions of inquiry were 12 set up in the aftermath of these events, the details of which do not concern us. But, briefly, a Commission of 13 14 Investigation under Mr. Justice Nial Fennelly was set 15 up on the 25th March 2014, initially to inquire into an 10:54 issue as to the recording of telephone conversations in 16 17 Garda stations and then to inquire into the circumstances of the resignation of the former 18 19 Commissioner; Mr. Séan Guerin SC recommended the establishment of a Commission of Investigation which in 10:55 20 21 due course was set up under the Chairmanship of 22 Mr. Justice Kevin O'Higgins on the 3rd February 2015 23 and reported on what was essentially a review of the 24 internal Byrne-McGinn garda inquiry on the 25th April 2016. 25 10:55 26

Sir, it is not the purpose of this Tribunal to revisit work that has already been done. You will be aware that under section 5 of the Commission of

27

28

29

18

1 Investigations Act 2014 you are entitled to regard as 2 evidence matters that the O'Higgins Commission have made available for the work of the Tribunal. 3 However. sir, you are not tasked with reinvestigating such 4 matters as the Kingscourt bus incident, an assault at 5 10:55 6 the Lakeside Manor Hotel, the savage attack on a taxi driver by a man later convicted of murder, the assault 7 8 on a 17-year-old girl in Cootehill, the misuse of the Pulse system and other incidents covered in that 9 comprehensive inquiry. These are in the past and we 10 10:56 11 say that they are not subject to re-analysis within the 12 context of the hearings which will now follow. Apart from the futility of spending huge sums on a public 13 14 re-examination of work that has already been conducted 15 with great efficiency and application by the O'Higgins 10:56 Commission you will be aware, sir, that the Tribunal of 16 17 Inquiry established under the relevant legislation is a creature of statute. Therefore, you have jurisdiction 18 19 to investigate only those particular matters which are set out in the terms of reference. 20 10:56

22 Sir, in any reading of the terms of reference, it is clear that the subject of Sergeant Maurice McCabe and 23 his treatment by senior officers is a central issue. 24 25 Sir, you will be aware that on the 30th September 2016 10:56 26 the confidential recipient designated to deal with 27 communications from garda officers received a Protected 28 Disclosure from Superintendent David Taylor. Earlier, a revelation as to the text of what 29

21

1 Superintendent Taylor was to say had been received from 2 Sergeant Maurice McCabe. Both documents were in 3 substantially the same terms. We will refer to the text of this in due course and the investigations that 4 5 have been subsequently done with a view to establishing 10:57 6 the basis for these. In summary, Superintendent Taylor alleged in broad terms that the current head of the 7 8 Garda force. Commissioner Nóirín O'Sullivan. and her immediate predecessor, former Commissioner Martin 9 Callinan, had abused their position of authority and 10 10:57 11 their standing within the community as unimpeachable 12 sources of reliable information to damnify the character of Sergeant McCabe. 13

14

15 For a period from the 1st July 2012 to 31st May 2014, 10:57 16 under former Commissioner Callinan, the head of the 17 Garda Press Office was Superintendent Taylor. He was therefore the official to whom members of the media had 18 19 to resort when they wished to ascertain the progress of 20 particular investigations and if they were to inquire 10:58 21 into matters of internal structure, discipline and 22 resourcing of our national police force or any other 23 matters relating to policing. His word, sir, might 24 therefore reasonably be taken as one of high reliability when it came to anything to do with matters 10:58 25 26 relating to the police. Superintendent Taylor was also 27 a person who could reasonably be regarded as an 28 individual who would have inside knowledge as to the 29 character and background of individual officers since

1 it might reasonably be supposed he might either have 2 access to or might inquire in an official way into 3 matters, files, internal documents or events and acquire information that would be regarded as more than 4 mere barroom gossip. Therefore, from the rank which he 10:58 5 6 held, his position within the police and his means of 7 knowledge, his say-so on a matter would carry 8 particular weight. That at least is what one might have thought. 9

10:58

11 Superintendent Taylor alleges that he was required by 12 former Commissioner Martin Callinan and with the knowledge of the then Deputy Commissioner Nóirín 13 14 O'Sullivan in the course of his role as Press Officer 15 to brief the media negatively against Sergeant McCabe 10:59 16 and to tell them that he was motivated by malice and 17 revenge to make complaints about garda malpractice which had no substance and that the Gardaí had fully 18 19 investigated these complaints, finding them to be 20 groundless and that therefore he was driven by agendas 10:59 of his own. 21

10

22

Sir, it is a regrettable fact of human nature that many
people do not approach gossip with healthy skepticism,
nor do they apply the maxim central to the 10:59
administration of justice, that people are presumed to
be innocent until proven guilty. Instead, many believe
that there is no smoke without fire. This shibboleth
in our day and age finds particular traction when it

comes to some allegations of which, perhaps, financial
 irregularity and sexual misconduct towards children are
 prime examples.

4

17

5 Thus for his time as Garda Press Officer, 10:59 6 Superintendent Taylor alleges that he was briefing the 7 media negatively to the effect that an allegation of 8 criminal misconduct had been made against Sergeant McCabe in December 2006 that supposedly 9 referred to an event which had taken place in his house 11:00 10 11 as far back as 1998. Sir, it is appropriate to record 12 as of this point that when this complaint was referred to the Director of Public Prosecutions in 2007 the 13 analysis by that office was that even on the facts 14 15 alleged, taken at their height, no sexual assault was 11:00 16 disclosed.

Sir, if such instructions were given to 18 19 Superintendent Taylor, but that he, acting under his 20 own motivations, had chosen to misrepresent these 11:00 21 facts, it would be shocking. Even more shocking would 22 be the lack of fair mindedness, professionalism and adherence to basic and civilised standards were those 23 tasked with the direction of the national police force 24 25 at the very highest level to ignore everything which 11:00 26 they were taught as to appropriate behaviour and to 27 resort instead to the adoption of a culture of deceit 28 in order to destroy those whom it might be thought were 29 making life uncomfortable for them. As it happens,

1 despite anxious scrutiny of the media in all of its 2 formats, we have been unable to find any mention in any 3 published source from a professional organisation, at the relevant time, which took up and ran with the 4 5 allegation that was supposedly being widely 11:01 disseminated on the instructions of the then 6 7 Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner by 8 Superintendent Taylor.

9

19

Nonetheless, sir, you are tasked with inquiring into 10 11:01 11 whether any direction was given to Superintendent 12 Taylor in that form, whether he acted on the same by contacting particular members of the media and briefing 13 14 them in a particular way, what reception he received 15 from journalists in the event that he did indeed 11:01 16 follow-through on this and if any of this happened, 17 from what source ultimately came the direction or motivation for this conduct 18

20 Sir, as if this were not bad enough, public controversy 11:01 21 surrounds the creation, distribution and use of a file 22 by certain agencies, namely Rian, which is a 23 counselling agency under the Health Service Executive, 24 and later, on statutory transfer, the Child and Family Agency or, as they refer to themselves, Tusla. 25 While 11:02 26 this will be referred to in detail at a later stage in 27 the statement it is convenient now to indicate that in 28 July 2013 the young lady who had made the allegation which the Director of Public Prosecutions had decided 29

1 in April 2007 did not amount to a sexual assault 2 returned to counselling with Rian because of an 3 unrelated matter. Sir, you are not investigating whether anything happened in 1998 which led to this 4 5 young lady making a complaint in December 2006, nor is 11:02 6 anything concerning the private life of this young lady 7 - and we will call her Ms. D - anything to do with the 8 terms of reference. What is, however, central to the terms of reference is the fact that on returning to 9 counselling it so happened that in the course of 10 11:02 11 discussion with her counsellor the earlier alleged incident was mentioned which led in turn to invocation 12 of certain and particular procedures which had been set 13 14 up with a view to the protection of families and 15 children. 11:03

17 Some of this, sir, might be regarded as part of the mandatory reporting culture which is central to the way 18 19 in which society now responds to allegations of harm to children. While this will be referred to in greater 20 11:03 21 detail shortly, it suffices to say that while the 22 original allegation made by Ms. D was one of "being grabbed while clothed and what were described as 23 24 humping motions made", through some dreadful alchemy in making an official typed report of the counselling 25 11:03 26 session to refer on to child protection services, this 27 became recorded therein as digital penetration, both 28 anal and vaginal. Ms. D never alleged this and never 29 came close to making such allegation, nor did she make

16

1 an allegation, also recorded there, that in the event 2 that she reported this matter that her alleged abuser 3 would threaten her father. Furthermore, she has told your investigators, sir - and you must obviously 4 5 question whether there is any reason to disbelieve her 11:04 6 - that when she learned of this perversion of what she 7 had said she took immediate steps to rectify what was 8 none of her doing. In this respect, sir, it is clear that she appears to have done more than her duty, if 9 this is correct, and acted in the correction of this 10 11:04 11 error in the public interest with a view to protecting her integrity. 12

13

14 Sir, there has been speculation, and indeed it might be 15 reasonable to say from the coincidence of dates and 11:04 16 circumstances that the speculation might be based on a 17 potentially open inference, that this further attempt at damnification of Sergeant McCabe was inspired by 18 19 Garda Headquarters. It is fair and appropriate at this 20 juncture to record that the various agencies involved 11:04 claim no connection with or direction by Garda 21 22 Headquarters, instead saying that as regards to any of the individuals involved they have almost no relations 23 who are Gardaí, do not act under the direction of the 24 Gardaí and are entirely independent of the exercise of 25 11:05 26 their functions, but that an unfortunate error was 27 made. while, shortly, we will outline the manner in 28 which this was alleged to have occurred, as recounted 29 to your investigators, it would appear now appropriate

1 to briefly record that what was said to have happened 2 was a word processing error. Sir, as a person trained 3 in word processing and typing to a professional level, you will perhaps appreciate that people often use 4 5 templates for standard reports. The creation and 11:05 6 availability of templates can save time in necessary 7 paperwork and this is especially so where several boxes 8 need to be ticked. Having in front of you a checklist when creating or completing particular paperwork can be 9 10 very valuable and can ensure that nothing is left off. 11:05 11 What is said to have happened is that another young 12 lady - and we will call her Ms. Y - had indeed reported that she had been subjected to this deeply regrettable 13 14 form of abuse and was receiving counselling in 15 consequence. What is said is that the template from 11:06 Ms. Y was used in filling in the details in relation to 16 17 Ms. D but that the two had been mixed up. Surely you might say, sir, that any such egregious error would or 18 19 could not happen or would be stopped and that any 20 organisation which did not have a system of checks and 11:06 21 balances to ensure against such mistakes would be a 22 construction of eminent stupidity. Be that as it may, 23 this is the case that is being made. Nonetheless, this 24 necessitates inquiry and cannot be accepted at face value. 25 11:06 26

Sir, we have received correspondence from the solicitor
acting on behalf of Ms. Y who is anxious that her
identity be protected. Naturally, she is annoyed by

26

1 any risk of being dragged closely into what might seem 2 to be a public arena through what is said to be a 3 mistake. While your investigators have been tasked with inquiring into whether there was ever a Ms. Y. 4 5 what file was created in relation to her and what steps 11:07 were taken within the relevant organisation to assure 6 7 her welfare, you have, sir, we understand, made a 8 decision that no one within the Tribunal staff, apart from the investigators, is to know anything of her 9 identity and that no document relevant to her 10 11:07 11 confidential interactions in counselling is to be brought back to Dublin Castle or is to be disclosed or 12 distributed to any other party. 13

14

15 Sir, these allegations of the systematic destruction of 11:07 the character of Sergeant McCabe are to some extent 16 17 supported by some witnesses, including journalists, who have come forward with a view to assisting the Tribunal 18 19 by disclosing conversations which they have had with former Commissioner Callinan at a time when he was 20 11:07 Commissioner of the national police force. 21 We will 22 refer to these shortly in detail and it is also fair to 23 immediately record that these are vehemently denied by him. One of the conversations is alleged to be that 24 25 which took place on the 24th January 2014 in the car 11:07 26 park of Bewley's Hotel in Newlands Cross in Dublin with 27 the Head of the Public Accounts Committee of the 28 Oireachtas, Deputy John McGuinness TD. And if this 29 occurred, sir, it would indicate, depending upon the

1 view taken by the Tribunal in the context of other 2 evidence, inappropriate comment, evidence of material 3 from which it could be inferred that Commissioner Callinan did indeed have the motivation and emotional 4 charge to attack the character of Sergeant Maurice 5 11:08 6 McCabe and might perhaps have instructed his press officer to do likewise. What did Commissioner 7 8 O'Sullivan know of this meeting? She appears not to 9 have known of it. And, what did she do in consequence 10 of what the former Commissioner might perhaps have felt 11:08 11 in relation to Sergeant Maurice McCabe? These are 12 questions you have to consider. It is fair to record as of this juncture, however, that Commissioner 13 14 O'Sullivan is not alleged by direct evidence by any 15 potential witness to the Tribunal to have spread 11:09 unpleasant or nasty comments about this officer. 16 Α 17 question which must loom large in your mind, however, sir, is as to whether, if it happened at all, former 18 19 Commissioner Callinan was disturbed to the extent of 20 making the statements which several witnesses claim, 11:09 21 and which he vehemently denies, how could it be that 22 the person with whom he worked most closely, namely then Deputy Commissioner O'Sullivan, could not have 23 known of his expressed state of mind that is described 24 25 in such graphic terms to others, and why there is no 11:09 mention of this in statements to the Tribunal thus far? 26 27 It could easily happen, however, that the choice of 28 those who were to be regarded as confidants of these 29 unproven allegations might be limited or targeted.

2 If so, in what terms and whether the reach of his power 3 extended to other agencies, such as those mentioned in the terms of reference - namely, media and broadcasting 4 5 personnel, members of the Government, Tusla, the Health 11:10 6 Service Executive, other state agencies and any other 7 relevant personnel - is a matter you will have to 8 decide in the context of all of the evidence. The issue as to whether it will perhaps be supported by 9 telecommunications interactions between 10 11:10 11 Superintendent Taylor, former Commissioner Callinan and 12 Commissioner O'Sullivan are, as we speak, subject to investigation and analysis pursuant to a contract 13 14 entered into by this Tribunal with the Forensic Service of Northern Ireland. 15 11:10

1

16

17 Related to this, sir, is the extent to which Garda Headquarters is in a position to influence broadcasts. 18 19 Particularly, you are tasked with considering 20 broadcasts on RTÉ on the 9th May 2016 when a leaked 11:10 21 account of the unpublished O'Higgins Commission Report 22 was traversed and discussed in radio and television Because the O'Higgins Commission held its 23 broadcasts. hearings in private, another aspect of the terms of 24 reference is as to whether false allegations of sexual 25 11:10 26 abuse or any other unjustified grounds were 27 inappropriately relied upon during the course of those 28 hearings by Commissioner O'Sullivan for the purposes of 29 undermining the testimony of Sergeant McCabe.

2 Sir, one of the other issues for you to consider is 3 whether more than one garda officer has been made the subject of inappropriate attention of social workers in 4 consequence of an allegation involving him in allegedly 11:11 5 6 inappropriate contact or behaviour with children, and 7 whether two garda officers being dragged into this 8 sphere is justified or carelessness and more or perhaps evidence of conspiracy. Indeed, sir, you are tasked 9 10 with investigating whether there are any patterns to 11:11 11 the creation, distribution and use of these social work 12 files containing allegations of criminal misconduct against garda officers who have become a thorn in the 13 14 side of Garda Headquarters by making allegations of 15 wrongdoing. Clearly, Gardaí, while trained observers 11:11 16 and persons who ought to be highly motivated in the 17 service of their country, are also human. You will be aware, sir, that there is a garda disciplinary 18 19 procedure and that many of these cases have ended up 20 from time to time in the High Court and indeed as a 11:12 21 judge of that court over a period of eight years you 22 will perhaps have dealt with some of them in the judicial review list. This is simply a way of saying 23 that Gardaí are as human as anyone else. Thus, Gardaí 24 25 may get drunk, may commit assaults, may neglect their 11:12 26 duty and may also, shocking as this is, abuse their 27 spouse or domestic partner. One of the matters which 28 you are tasked with investigating is the contacts 29 between the national police force and Tusla as the

1

1 agency tasked with protection of child welfare. 2 Briefly, according to Garda Keith Harrison, because of 3 concerns which he had while stationed in Athlone, which are outside your terms of reference, and his 4 relationship with a woman who lived in Donegal, he 5 11:12 6 sought a transfer to Donegal. While there he claims 7 that he was targeted by senior officers and that social 8 workers were brought into the equation due to the manipulation of his situation and the extraction of an 9 unfair and untrue series of allegations of serious 10 11:13 11 domestic misconduct by his domestic partner. You must 12 consider whether these interactions were simply those of people doing their duty to ensure the protection of 13 14 children or whether the influence of senior garda officers extended into the social work services of the 15 11:13 State enabling manipulation of procedures in order to 16 17 undermine and destroy a decent and upstanding officer.

19 That, sir, briefly is an outline of the inquiry which 20 you are tasked with investigating. While it is not 11:13 21 appropriate to traverse the detail of this with the 22 extreme particularity of which you are aware, it is appropriate, in the context of impending public 23 hearings, to now refer to some of the details in a way 24 which will give fair notice to those involved as to 25 11:13 what we are tasked to consider in a concise manner. 26 AS 27 all will be aware from the first interim report of the 28 Tribunal on the 17th May 2017 you had proposed to divide the work of the Tribunal into five sections. 29

18

1 while these may be related to each other and to some 2 degree intersect with each other this is one from the 3 point of view of convenience for potential witnesses and with a view to disposing of some of the more 4 5 serious controversies in a public forum. It is, of 11:14 6 course, a matter for you, sir, as to what decision you 7 make in relation to these, but it is certainly to be 8 hoped that by witnesses being given an opportunity to give evidence relevant to the terms of reference - and 9 we must repeat that you are limited to that, otherwise 10 11:14 11 you would be exceeding your jurisdiction - that the 12 grave matters of public controversy which led to the establishment of this Tribunal may be somewhat guieted. 13 We thus quote what you then said in the interim report: 14 15 11:14

16 "Of pressing public concern is whether or not files in 17 certain State agencies, who here might be identified as Rian, the Health Service Executive, Child and Family 18 19 Agency, otherwise Tusla, were created and distributed or otherwise used by senior members of our force in 20 11:15 inventing or furthering a false allegation of sexual 21 22 abuse against Sergeant Maurice McCabe. This will be the first section of public hearings. 23 It is hoped to 24 engage in these and to complete them in July of this year. Progress on this matter has moved very far but 25 11:15 26 the analysis of relevant computers is essential and 27 there are further interviews to be conducted by our 28 investigators.

32

1Concerns in relation to Garda Keith Harrison and his2family and the same State agencies might be regarded as3being similar in kind, if not in detail, and it is4hoped to engage in public hearings on that issue in5September of this year. Again, considerable work has11:156been done.

7

24

8 As to what may have been briefed to the then Garda Press Officer, Superintendent David Taylor, for 9 dissemination to journalists by former Commissioner 10 11:15 11 Martin Callinan and then Deputy Commissioner Nóirín 12 O'Sullivan, this is the subject of inquiry in respect of which public hearings are hoped to be held, perhaps 13 following a short break, in November. Allied to this 14 are concerns in relation to an engagement between 15 11:15 former Commissioner Martin Callinan and John McGuinness 16 17 TD that is said to have taken place on the 24th January 2014 in a very specific location according to the terms 18 19 of reference. These sections do not seem to be 20 divisible and evidence on one may be of assistance in 11:16 the determination of what attitude was taken by those 21 22 senior officers to Sergeant Maurice McCabe, if any, and 23 as to how they responded or acted.

A specific, and it would seem relatively short inquiry, 11:16
is to be made in relation to broadcasts on RTÉ of the
9th May 2016. This in fact consisted of several
broadcasts and commentaries and as to whether
Commissioner Nóirín O'Sullivan was influencing or had

33

1 dictated the terms of these in some way.

2

3 The O'Higgins Commission was of course the subject of commentary in relation to these broadcasts. It may be 4 5 logical to consider that with the question as to 11:16 6 whether false allegations of sexual abuse and/or any unjustified grounds were inappropriately relied upon by 7 8 the Commissioner during the hearings before Mr. Justice Kevin O'Higgins. It is hoped to dispose of these 9 matters in December of this year. It is not within the 11:17 10 11 terms of reference to re-run the O'Higgins Commission 12 but, instead, that report is part of the evidence It might usefully be read by all 13 before the Tribunal. 14 interested parties." 15 11:17 16 And that is the end of the quotation from your interim 17 report. 18 19 We now move on, sir, to a more detailed consideration of various aspects of the work in hand. 20 11:17 21 22 Rian, the HSE and the Child and Family Agency (Tusla) Sir, term of reference (d) requires the Tribunal to 23 investigate files held by certain State bodies, Rian, 24 the Health Service Executive and the Child and Family 25 11:17 26 Agency, otherwise Tusla, and the creation, distribution 27 and use of files containing a false allegation of 28 sexual abuse against Sergeant Maurice McCabe and 29 whether such allegations were knowingly used by senior

members of the Gardaí to discredit Sergeant McCabe.

1

2

21

3 This matter is also relevant to other terms of reference of the Tribunal as to the knowledge of 4 5 Commissioner O'Sullivan and former Commissioner 11:18 Callinan of this matter and whether they or other 6 7 senior members of the Gardaí acted upon such knowledge 8 in order to discredit Sergeant McCabe. The sequence of events could possibly lead to the conclusion that in 9 consequence of Sergeant McCabe's complaints of 10 11:18 11 malpractice and low standards of policing in 12 Cavan/Monaghan which were the subject of the O'Higgins Commission, there was campaign to use allegations of 13 14 child sexual abuse to undermine the reputation of 15 Sergeant McCabe and discredit his complaints. Another 11:18 16 matter which will be dealt with in further detail below 17 is whether such allegations of sexual abuse were later relied upon by Commissioner O'Sullivan in order to 18 19 discredit Sergeant McCabe at the O'Higgins Commission in 2015. 20 11:18

22 Sir, while this Tribunal is not tasked with 23 re-examining in any way the allegation of sexual abuse 24 against Sergeant McCabe made by Ms. D in 2006, the 2006 25 allegation lays the foundation for other aspects of the 11:18 26 Tribunal's work which have just been mentioned. Α 27 background of the circumstances and investigation of 28 this complaint is relevant in order to consider how the 29 referral to Tusla came from Rian counselling in 2013

and how a subsequent notification to the Gardaí came to
 be made in 2014.

3

18

By way of background, Maurice McCabe became a member of 4 the Garda Síochána in August 1985. He began to serve 5 11:19 6 at Bailieboro Garda Station in 1989 and was promoted to the rank of sergeant in 1999. He became sergeant in 7 8 charge at Bailieboro Garda Station in October 2004. On the 4th December 2006 Ms. D, the daughter of someone 9 known to Sergeant McCabe, then aged 14, made an 10 11:19 11 allegation of sexual abuse against Sergeant McCabe 12 dating back to around Christmas 1998, when she would 13 have been approximately six years of age. The incident was alleged to have occurred in the McCabe's family 14 15 Ms. D made two statements, in the first of which 11:20 home. she describes the alleged incident as follows from the 16 17 5th December 2006:

19 "It was the Christmas time when we visited. Man, dad 20 and [another person]. I don't remember [being] in [the 11:20] 21 McCabe's house] before. I was about six years of age 22 at the time. I remember we played a game of hide and 23 seek. Me, [another], Maurice McCabe and his two girls. Mum and dad were in the kitchen with Lorraine. 24 25 Sergeant McCabe's wife. I went and hid in the sitting 11:20 What I can remember of this room was that there 26 room. 27 was a couch, it was a long seated chair... I was the 28 only one there. I remember hearing him coming for fear 29 he would find me. This fear is from the game, nothing

36

1 else. The next thing I remember I am bent over the arm 2 of the couch, my feet on the ground and my face down. 3 I remember his. Maurice McCabe's arms around my waist tickling me. I did not see his face. He was behind 4 I can remember pressing against me. I could not 5 me. 11:20 6 get up, the pressure was too strong. He was pressing 7 himself against me. Humping. I can't remember how 8 long it lasted. I remember somebody running down the hall. He stopped." 9

11:21

10

24

25

26

27

Ms. D made a further statement on the 21st December 11 Ms. D's mother and father also made statements 12 2006. in their home on the 19th December 2006. 13 14 Sergeant McCabe was cautioned and made a statement on 15 the 22nd December 2006 in which he fully denied the 11:21 16 allegation. While he stated that Ms. D's family had 17 visited their house but by the time Ms. D was aged six this no longer occurred. He also stated that he did 18 19 not have any recollection of a game of hide and seek 20 taking place at their home, nor did he have any memory 11:21 21 of Ms. D's family visiting his home at Christmas of 22 1998. He fully denied the allegation of sexual 23 assault.

> "It did not happen. It did not happen. [It's a] 11:21 horrendous allegation to make and it did not happen."

Sergeant McCabe's wife, Lorraine, made a statement to
the Gardaí on the 23rd December 2006. She recalled the

37

1 following:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

"Ms. D's family would have visited this house about half a dozen times that I can recall and on one occasion I can remember their having two children with 11:22 them and it was in the evening time. I recall [Ms. D's sibling] being a toddler around two years of age and the girl maybe four or five years. I am aware of the allegation that has been made against my husband which surrounds a game of hide and seek. I have never seen 11:22 Maurice playing hide and seek with any other children apart from our own and my two nieces and I am absolutely one hundred percent sure of that."

15 A notification of suspected child abuse was sent to the 11:22 16 HSE in late December 2006 and was received by the 17 Health Board on the 2nd January 2007. It appears to have previously arisen first at a meeting on the 12th 18 19 December 2006 of the Child Protection Strategy Risk 20 Management Committee and was again considered on the 11:22 21 27th April 2007 at which time it had been decided to 22 offer Sergeant McCabe the opportunity of an assessment. Ms. D had been met and by this time the Director of 23 24 Public Prosecutions had directed there should be no prosecution. At this time, Child and Family Services 25 11:22 26 in the HSE did not contact Sergeant McCabe about the 27 allegations. An entry relating to this referral had 28 been made and a decision was later made to close the file with a letter dated the 10th October 2007 from 29

Rhona Murphy, noting that this was being done without
 meeting Sergeant McCabe and apparently contrary to the
 applicable procedures at the time.

5 It appears from some material available to the Tribunal 11:23 6 that Sergeant McCabe, while stationed at Clones and 7 Bailieboro, had been acting on several occasions in 8 2004, 2005, 2006 and up to 2009 as the Garda/HSE liaison officer for family/child protection issues and 9 attended meetings with a number of those HSE staff who 10 11:23 11 were later to have significant dealings with the file 12 when the 2013/2014 referrals took place. The possible relevance of this will be explored by the Tribunal. 13

15On the 19th February 2007 Superintendent Noel11:2416Cunningham completed an investigation file in relation17Ms. D's complaint in this case and stated:

19 "Taking all matters into consideration, including the
20 question of whether the event, if it happened, 11:24
21 constituted a breach of the criminal law, it is felt
22 there is no ground for a criminal prosecution."

24On the 1st March 2007, Rory Hayden, state solicitor for25Cavan, sent the garda investigation file to the26Director of Prosecutions, concluding in a letter "I do27not think any case arises for prosecution".

28

29

4

14

18

23

On the 5th April 2007 a letter from the DPP stated that

there was no basis for prosecution of Sergeant McCabe
 for the allegations made by Ms. D. The letter stated
 the following:

4

17

5 "I agree with you [that is the state solicitor] and the 11:24 Guards that the evidence does not warrant a 6 7 prosecution. There are no admissions. The incident as 8 described by the injured party is vague. It appears that it was only when she was 11/12 that she decided 9 that whatever occurred was sexual in nature. 10 Even if 11:25 11 there wasn't a doubt over her credibility, the incident that she describes does not constitute a sexual assault 12 or indeed an assault. Further, the account given to 13 14 her cousin differs in a number of respects to that 15 given to her parents and the Guards. There is no basis 11:25 16 for prosecution."

Sir, at and subsequent to this time, Sergeant McCabe's 18 19 complaints relating to a number of investigations in the Cavan district later became the subject of the 20 11:25 21 O'Higgins Commission. Sergeant McCabe made a number of 22 complaints to senior Gardaí, Garda HR and to the confidential recipient and made contact with various 23 24 members of Government in relation to these complaints. These matters were also the subject of investigation 25 11:25 26 internally by Gardaí in the Byrne/McGinn Inquiry. 27 Sergeant McCabe came to prominence in 2013 and 2014 and 28 became known as a garda whistleblower, receiving media 29 attention during the course of the Public Accounts

Committee, which examined alleged penalty points
 inconsistencies brought to the fore by Sergeant McCabe
 and Garda John Wilson.

4

18

5 Sir, the matters relevant for your consideration relate 11:26 6 in the first part to Ms. D's attendance at counselling in July 2013 with Rian, a free counselling service 7 8 under the remit of the HSE, described as a specialised counselling service for adults who have experienced 9 10 abuse in childhood. Ms. D began to attend counselling 11:26 11 for this service for unrelated personal matters and it appears that she attended two appointments with a 12 counsellor, Laura Brophy, on the 24th July 2013 and 7th 13 14 August 2013. During the course of the initial 15 assessment in July 2013, Ms. D discussed the 2006 11:26 allegation and Ms. Brophy accurately recorded it in her 16 17 own handwritten notes.

19 Sir, the Children First Guidelines place various 20 obligations on members of organisations, such as the 11:26 21 HSE, to refer allegations of child abuse on to the 22 appropriate authorities. Within the HSE, if there is suspicion of child abuse or neglect, Gardaí may also be 23 notified of the suspected abuse. In this case it seems 24 initially a verbal referral of the actual allegations 25 11:27 26 which had been made in 2006 were conveyed to a social 27 worker, Ms. Briege Tinnelly, in the HSE by Laura 28 Ms. Brophy made a verbal referral which was Brophy. 29 recorded as follows in an intake record completed by

Ms. Tinnelly, signed off by HSE line manager, Keara
 McGlone:

3

25

"Laura advised that she has a client called [Ms. D]... 4 5 [who] told Laura that she was abused when she was six 11:27 6 or seven by [Sergeant McCabe]... It was reported to 7 Gardaí and there was no prosecution from the DPP... 8 [Ms. D] was playing hide and seek in [Sergeant McCabe's] house when [he] put her on the 9 couch, tickled her and touched her inappropriately 10 11:27 11 whilst gyrating on top of her with clothes on... Laura 12 agreed to send in standard notification form." 13 14 The intake record also noted "duty to garda notify & await allocation". 15 11:28 16

17 Sir, a crucial event occurred which resulted in an allegation of digital penetration being sent to Gardaí, 18 19 distributed within Tusla and later being wrongfully put 20 to Sergeant McCabe in December 2015. After making a 11:28 21 verbal referral on the 9th August 2013, Ms. Brophy 22 completed a typed retrospective Disclosure of Abuse Report on the same date which provided the following 23 24 under the heading "Description of Abuse":

11:28

26 "Ms. [Blank] informed me that she suffered sexual abuse
27 in childhood. The abuse involved digital penetration,
28 both vaginal and anal. The alleged would also threaten
29 [blank's] father if she said anything."

42

2 Accordingly to Ms. Brophy a genuine mistake was made, 3 that in filling out the report to forward to social workers in the HSE she states that she used the 4 template of a retrospective disclosure of abuse form 5 11:29 6 relating to another one of her clients, Ms. Y, and that she mistakenly failed to remove the "Description of 7 Abuse" section which related to that client and 8 therefore did not include the correct information about 9 10 Ms. D's allegation which she had verbally conveyed to 11:29 11 Ms. Tinnelly on that day. This form was sent to the 12 HSE on the 9th August 2013. It appears that nothing was done in-house, as it were, in relation to this 13 matter until the following year as the file awaited 14 15 allocation within what became the Child and Family 11:29 Agency, or Tusla. However, a letter dated the 15th 16 17 August 2013 from Keara McGlone was sent to the superintendent in Monaghan, who at the time was 18 19 Superintendent Cunningham, referring to Ms. D by her actual name and to "MMCC" seeking a meeting with him, 20 11:30 21 Superintendent Cunningham. This referred to the 22 criminal investigation that he had carried out in 2007 23 but did not contain any detail, let alone the inclusion of an allegation of digital penetration in the 24 referral, allegedly included in error from the Ms. Y 25 11:30 26 Superintendent Cunningham was not on duty for file. 27 extended periods in or around this time and did not 28 apparently see the letter in this period. He, however, 29 appears to have had possession of it and produced it

1

from a safe in early 2017. It is accurate to record
 here that both Ms. McGlone and the superintendent have
 each confirmed to the Tribunal this their statements
 that Superintendent Cunningham did not reply to the
 letter and that Ms. McGlone did not make any further 11:31
 contact with him in relation to this matter.

7

8 Sir, in early 2014, some journalists sought to contact Ms. D, at whose instigation is not yet entirely clear. 9 However, Ms. D engaged in contact with a journalist in 10 11:31 11 relation to her initial complaint in 2006. This 12 appears to have been as a result of an inquiry made by her father of her as to whether she would be prepared 13 14 to speak to Mr. Paul Williams. He in turn appears to 15 have been nominated to her father by a friend of his 11:31 16 who is a detective superintendent in the Gardaí. On 17 the 12th April 2014 an article by Paul Williams entitled "Girl wants new probe into alleged sex assault 18 19 by garda" appeared in the Irish Independent containing comments by Ms. D about her dissatisfaction with the 20 11:31 21 2006 investigation by Gardaí into her complaint against Sergeant McCabe. Ms. D sought inclusion of this matter 22 within the terms of reference of the Guerin Report 23 24 carried out by Mr. Séan Guerin SC, who ultimately 25 recommended the establishment of the O'Higgins 11:32 26 Commission. In this article Ms. D complained that 27 Sergeant McCabe had not been formally arrested and that 28 her complaint was not recorded on the Garda Pulse 29 system in 2006.

2 On the 29th April 2014 Ms. D made an official complaint 3 to the Garda Síochána Ombudsman Commission on the basis that the complaint she had made against Sergeant McCabe 4 5 in 2006 was not properly investigated for two reasons: 11:32 6 The first being that the appointment of 7 Superintendent Cunningham to investigate the 8 allegations when he personally knew both her father and Sergeant McCabe and, secondly, the failure to report 9 10 the case on Pulse. Ms. D made a statement to GSOC on 11:32 11 the 3rd July 2014. However, on the 16th April 2015 12 GSOC found that no disciplinary proceedings should be commenced against Superintendent Cunningham or 13 14 Detective Sergeant James Fraher, to whom the initial 15 complaint was made on the 4th December 2006. 11:33

1

16

17 Sir, on the following day, the 30th April 2014, Laura Connolly, duty social worker in Cavan town, reviewed 18 19 the Ms. D file and noted that the intake records had 20 not been completed on Sergeant McCabe's children in 21 2006/7, at the time of the initial allegation, nor in 22 2013 when the matter came to HSE attention once more. 23 Ms. Connolly states that she sought the direction of 24 Eileen Argue, social work team leader, on opening 25 intake records on four of Sergeant McCabe's children 26 and was advised to open these. The inclusion of the 27 incorrect allegation of digital penetration was 28 recorded on each of these records in the following 29 terms:

11:33

11:33

1 2 "[Ms. D] is attending counselling with Rian, during the 3 course of counselling she alleged that she experienced sexual abuse in childhood. that this abuse involved 4 digital penetration, both vaginal and anal. This abuse 11:34 5 6 is alleged to have occurred on one occasion in 7 1998-1999. [Ms. D] reports being aged six/seven years 8 old at the time of this alleged abuse." 9 10 A garda notification form was then completed by Laura 11:34 11 Connolly on this date. According to Ms. Connolly, in 12 her statement to the Tribunal on the 20th May 2017: 13 14 "The content of this notification was based on the 15 standard referral form forwarded by Rian to Tusla. 11:34 Notifying An Garda Síochána of allegations of child 16 17 sexual abuse was standard procedure." 18 19 She emailed the garda notification to Linda Dewhirst, social work administrator, to be signed off by Seamus 20 11:34 21 Deeney, principal social worker, and sent to Gardaí on 22 the 2nd May 2014. The garda notification form of the 23 2nd May 2014 contains the following: 24 "Laura Brophy, counsellor with Rian Counselling 25 11:35 26 Service. reported the following information to the 27 Child and Family Agency in August 2013. [Ms. D] is 28 attending counselling with Rian, during the course of 29 counselling she alleged that she experienced sexual

1 abuse in childhood, that this abuse involved digital 2 penetration, both vaginal and anal. This abuse is alleged to have occurred on one occasion 1998-1999. 3 [Ms. D] reports being aged six/seven years at the time 4 5 of this alleged abuse. [Ms. D] alleges that the 11:35 alleged perpetrator of this abuse threatened her father 6 7 if she said anything. [Ms. D] alleges that this 8 incident of alleged abuse occurred whilst she and her parents were visiting the home of the alleged 9 perpetrator, [Ms. D] alleges that her parents and the 10 11:35 11 alleged perpetrator's wife were in another part of the 12 house, that she was playing hide and seek and the alleged perpetrator and his two daughters who were then 13 14 aged approximately three and five years of age." 15 11:35 16 The above notification, therefore, combined aspects of 17 the 2006 allegation, in relation to the allegations that there had been a sexual assault during a game of 18 19 hide and seek, with incorrect information claimed to 20 have been mistakenly included from the report of 11:36 21 another client, Ms. Y, relating to digital penetration 22 as well as an allegation that the perpetrator of the abuse had threatened her father if she told anyone 23 about the abuse. Allegations of the digital 24 25 penetration or threats against her father were never 11:36 26 made by Ms. D against Sergeant McCabe. This appears 27 clear from, inter alia, the statements of Ms. Brophy, Ms. D and her father. 28 29

Gwen Malone Stenography Services Ltd.

1 Soon after the garda notification had been received on 2 the 14th May 2014, it appears from Laura Brophy's notes 3 that a person (identified as "client") contacted her to inform her that there was an error on the retrospective 4 5 report sent to social services in Cavan which was 11:37 6 subsequently the basis for the garda notification. 7 There was a second phone call on this date from the 8 "client" along the same lines. Ms. Brophy hold the client that she, Ms. Brophy, had made an error in the 9 form and would take steps to remedy this. It seems 10 11:37 11 that Ms. D was informed of the inclusion of an 12 allegation of digital penetration by a relative of hers following notification to the Gardaí, and subsequently 13 14 she contacted Rian Counselling Service to have the 15 report amended. 11:37

17 It appears that Ms. Brophy took a number of steps following these contacts to rectify what she claims was 18 19 a genuine error that she only became aware of on this 20 She prepared an amended standard report form and dav. 11:37 21 sent this to Eileen Argue. The amended report under 22 the heading "Description of Abuse" now contained the correct details of the 2006 allegations: 23

16

24

"[Ms. D] informed me that there was a single incident 25 11:37 of sexual abuse. At the time of the incident both 26 27 [Ms. D] and the alleged were fully clothed, and the 28 incident involved inappropriate contact as the alleged 29 rubbed himself up and down [Ms. D] in a sexual way."

48

2 Ms. Brophy also sent a letter to Eileen Argue on this 3 date requesting the return of the erroneous report and any copies of same. On the 16th May 2014 there would 4 5 appear to be a third phone call from "client" informing 11:38 6 Ms. Brophy that the superintendent in Bailieboro had 7 not been informed of the fact that there was the 8 inclusion of a more serious allegation which Ms. D had 9 never made against Sergeant McCabe. Ms. Brophy then 10 spoke to Superintendant Leo McGinn and also sent a 11:38 11 letter explaining the error, attaching a copy of the corrected report which she had completed. 12

1

13

14 In May 2014, Fiona Ward, Director of Counselling, wrote 15 to the Child and Family Agency seeking the return of 11:38 16 all copies of the report on the 9th August 2013 to the 17 National Counselling Service and also from the Gardaí, writing directly to Chief Superintendent Sheridan. 18 19 Ms. Ward has informed your investigators that the 20 original report was never returned by Gardaí. This was 11:39 21 a course of action decided upon by Assistant 22 Commissioner Kieran Kenny, who had been kept informed 23 of matters by Chief Superintendent Sheridan and had 24 presided over a meeting in Mullingar on the 16th July 2014 to consider the HSE error, the result of which was 11:39 25 to the effect that the Assistant Commissioner would 26 27 seek legal advice and that Chief Superintendent Sheridan would seek to ascertain the intentions of 28 29 Tusla in relation to the matter. It is clear that the

49

Commissioner was kept informed of these developments at
 that time.

3

19

Sir, the first part of this term of reference has 4 related to the resurfacing of the initial 2006 5 11:39 6 allegation against Sergeant McCabe in 2013 and the inclusion of much more serious allegations in the 7 8 referral from Rian counselling to Tusla and therefrom 9 to the Gardaí; whether there was a genuine mistake as is claimed by the counsellor and social workers 10 11:40 11 involved up to that point in time is a matter for you. 12 The second aspect of this term of reference relates to the actions of various personnel in Tusla once 13 14 Ms. Brophy had been contacted on the 14th May 2014 and 15 had taken a number of steps to remedy what she claims 11:40 16 was a genuine error which resulted in much more serious 17 allegations being included in her report sent to Tusla and the Gardaí. 18

20 On the 7th June 2014, Eileen Argue sent an amended 11:40 21 garda notification form, which she appears to have 22 completed, to Linda Dewhirst, in order for this to be 23 signed off by Seamus Deeney before being sent to 24 Gardaí. In the meantime, the Gardaí sought clarification as to how the error had occurred and come 11:40 25 26 to light subsequently. On the 9th June 2014 Chief 27 Superintendent Sheridan sought clarification as to how 28 a notification was sent to Gardaí which contained wrong information and how the alleged error came to light. 29

2 On the 20th June 2014, now more than six weeks after 3 the first garda notification, the amended garda notification form now dated the 10th June was signed 4 5 off by Seamus Deeney and sent to the superintendent at 11:41 6 Bailieboro. The more serious allegation had been 7 removed, however the reference to threats made by the 8 alleged perpetrator were still included. This was a further error as the reference to threats should not 9 10 been an included, given that according to Ms. Brophy's 11:41 11 account the description of the abuse contained in the 12 initial report related to another client in its entirety. The amended report sent to Gardaí provided: 13 14 15 "Laura Brophy stated that during the course of 11:41 16 counselling [Ms. D] alleged she had experienced sexual 17 abuse in childhood. This abuse is alleged to have occurred on one occasion in 1998 to 1999. 18 [Ms. D] 19 reports being aged six/seven at the time of this 20 alleged abuse. [Ms. D] alleges that the alleged 11:42 21 perpetrator of this abuse threatened her father if she

On the 24th June 2014 Ms. Ward spoke to Inspector Pat O'Connell regarding the return of the incorrect retrospective report form of the 2nd May 2014, and gives an account as follows:

said anything."

27 28

29

22

23

24

25

26

1

"He was seeking clarification around the administrative

51

1 error. He wanted to know how it came about. He asked 2 me was it a typographical error of a cut and paste 3 These were the words Inspector O'Connell used type. when querying the error with me. I stated that it was 4 a typographical error, that it was a cut and paste from 11:42 5 6 another report. I know that this description is not entirely accurate. My understanding now of what 7 8 actually is that what should have been a blank report form used by Laura Brophy was not in fact blanked out, 9 but contained the sentences that refer to another 10 11:43 11 client and a previous report made by Laura Brophy to 12 social work in 2013, prior to the [Ms. D] retrospective report form... Inspector O'Connell stated that the 13 14 previous report received by the Garda Síochána was sent 15 to the Commissioner in Sligo and that they needed to 11:43 16 clarify the nature of the error before any report could 17 be destroyed or returned, given the nature of the In respect of the previous report referred to 18 report. 19 by Inspector Pat O'Connell above I can confirm that I do not know what that report looks like or what the 20 11:43 21 content that report was. I should clarify that the 22 Rian Counselling Service did not send the original retrospective form (completed by Laura Brophy) 23 containing the incorrect information to the Gardaí. 24 Our process is to send it to the social work 25 11:44 26 department, who then make a decision to refer it to the 27 Gardaí. Inspector O'Connell again sought clarification 28 regarding whether the retrospective report form made to 29 the social work department in 2013 related to the 2006

allegations to Gardaí. I understood this to be the same query made by Chief Superintendent Sheridan... I clarified that it was the first report received by Rian but seemed to relate to a previous report made by [Ms. D] to the Gardaí. Inspector O'Connell said that 11:44 he would pass on this information to Chief Superintendent Sheridan and revert if any further clarification was needed."

10 The quotation continues:

11:44

12 "... On the 21st July 2014 I telephoned Inspector Pat O'Connell and my notes indicate that he stated that the 13 Chief Superintendent was querying whether there was any 14 15 subsequent action in relation to the original referral. 11:44 16 My understanding is that this was the original 17 retrospective report form made by Rian to the social work department in August 2013. He specifically asked 18 19 if there was any subsequent action by the HSE, such as strategy meetings with Gardaí. I informed Inspector 20 11:45 21 O'Connell that such action would be the remit of the 22 social work department, i.e. Tusla, and that Rian Counselling would not be involved in any such actions. 23 24 I agreed to obtain the contact details regarding the social worker dealing with these matters in Tusla and 25 11:45 26 to pass them on to Inspector O'Connell." 27

28

29

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

It appears that the corrected garda notification was appropriately filed in a separate file kept by the HSE

for that purpose in their Monaghan office. However, when apparently sent to Cavan it was not associated with or put on the file relating to either Ms. D or Sergeant McCabe.

1

2

3

4

5

25

6 Sir, nothing further seems to have occurred in relation 7 to the Ms. D file until 7th May 2015, when a review of 8 the file took place by social workers in Tusla. It is important to note that Sergeant McCabe had not been 9 informed of the decision to refer the case to Gardaí, 10 11:46 11 nor was he aware of any of these matters. At the time 12 a decision was made to respond to the referral and to write to Sergeant McCabe about the allegations. 13 кау 14 McLoughlin, Social Work Team Leader, drafted the letter 15 to Sergeant McCabe. On the 8th May 2015, Seamus Deeney 11:46 16 outlined the five steps to be taken in the Ms. D case 17 in correspondence with Ms. McLoughlin. It was advised that she contact Ms. D to conduct a credibility 18 19 assessment, to determine whether anyone of relevance 20 would need to be entered viewed in this matter, such as 11:46 21 Ms. Brophy, to inform Sergeant McCabe on review of the 22 above steps and to make a plan around informing third 23 parties such as Sergeant McCabe's wife, Lorraine 24 McCabe, of the allegations.

11:47

11:45

26 On the 11th May 2015 Kay McLoughlin offered Ms. D an 27 appointment as "a matter has been brought to the 28 attention of the social work department which we would 29 would like to discuss with you". Ms. D did not attend

1 this meeting.

2

7

12

19

Sir, it was only seven months later, on the 29th
December 2015, that Kay Mcloughlin sent a Barr letter
to Sergeant McCabe stating that the Child and Family 11:47
Agency was:

8 "Obliged to investigate allegations of abuse and to 9 reach a determination as to whether there are 10 sufficient grounds for believing you may potentially 11:47 11 pose a risk to children.

13The allegations mad by [Ms. D] are as follows:14That on one occasion between 1998 and 1999 at the home15of Sergeant Maurice McCabe, [Ms. D] alleged that16Maurice McCabe sexually abused her. The abuse17allegedly involved digital penetration and the victim18was aged six/seven years old."

20 The letter stated that Ms. McLoughlin wished to meet 11:48 21 with Sergeant McCabe to give him an opportunity to 22 respond to the allegations and further stated that 23 relevant third parties, such as his employer or family, 24 would be informed if the Child and Family Agency 25 assessment determined that Sergeant McCabe posed a risk 11:48 26 to children. This letter was the first time that an 27 allegation of digital penetration had ever been made to 28 In a context where the inclusion of Sergeant McCabe. 29 such an allegation had been brought to the attention of

1 Ms. Brophy some 18 months earlier, sir, it is for you 2 to consider how such an egregious error could have been 3 made again in putting this allegation to Sergeant McCabe, where Ms. D herself was shocked by its 4 5 inclusion in the report and had never made such an 11:48 6 allegation against him. In addition, it does not 7 appear that the first two of the five steps 8 contemplated by Mr. Deeney had been completed prior to this being done. 9

11:49

On the 28th January 2016, Sergeant McCabe's solicitors responded to the Tusla letter, stating that the allegation of digital penetration was "wholly untrue" and had never been made before by Ms. D, highlighting Sergeant McCabe's astonishment at receiving this 11:49 letter.

10

17

Sir, this is the crucial event in this second part of 18 19 the term of reference. Ms. McLoughlin claims that she 20 realised she had made an error when on the 2nd February 11:49 21 2016 she sought a copy of Ms. D's 2006 statement to 22 Gardaí from the HSE. Following this, on the 9th 23 February to 2016, Ms. McLoughlin realised that the amended 14th May 2014 report by Ms. Brophy had removed 24 25 the digital penetration allegation. As such, it 11:49 26 appears that the Child and Family Agency had returned 27 the incorrect report by Ms. Brophy made on the 9th 28 August 2013, but the incorrect garda referral, i.e. the 29 one made to Gardaí, on the 2nd May 2014, had remained

56

on file. According to Ms. McLoughlin, she based the contents of the Barr letter - that is the one sent in December 2013 - on the incorrect garda notification of the 2nd May 2014.

11:50

11:51

1

2

3

4

5

20

6 Sir, it is important to note the context in which the 7 above events took place. From 2015 onwards, and in 8 2016, Sergeant McCabe continued to be a prominent 9 person in the media as the O'Higgins Commission was In particular in May 2016, the report of the 10 ongoing. 11:50 11 O'Higgins Commission was leaked and subject to much 12 comment. However, there was no response to the January letter from Sergeant McCabe's solicitors until the 22nd 13 14 June 2016. Ms. McLoughlin replied stating there was a 15 "mistake" in previous correspondence and said that "no 11:51 allegation of digital penetration" had ever been made 16 17 against Sergeant McCabe by Ms. D. The letter did not address how the allegation came to be included on the 18 19 file or how this had occurred.

21 Sir, this second part of the term of reference requires 22 you to consider the dissemination from Rian to Tusla and the Gardaí of an allegation of digital penetration 23 24 made against Sergeant McCabe. It may be that the 25 inclusion of this allegation was a genuine mistake made 11:51 26 initially by Rian counsellor, Laura Brophy, which was 27 compounded and repeated by a series of further errors 28 and omissions on the part of Tusla personnel, despite 29 her best efforts to rectify this, or it may that be

1 there was a deliberate attempt to keep matters live at 2 a time when Sergeant McCabe was a household name, and 3 that the ongoing pursuit of this matter by State agencies was part of the overall aim of discrediting 4 5 Sergeant McCabe and destroying his reputation. It 11:52 6 should be noted that this latter possibility has been canvassed with all of the Tusla witnesses involved to 7 8 date and that no knowledge or evidence of this seems to 9 have emerged to date.

Sir, the matter did not end with the incorrect Barr letter from Kay McLoughlin. In July 2016, the Ms. D file was referred to the Sexual Abuse Regional Team (SART), a regional team set up initially by Tusla to manage and to respond to retrospective cases of child sexual abuse under Lisa O'Loghlen, Regional Social Work Team Leader.

11:52

19 Correspondence in relation to the Barr letter was 20 ongoing at the time between Tusla and Sergeant McCabe's 11:52 21 legal team, who queried why the HSE had not contacted 22 Sergeant McCabe in 2006/2007 if they had any concerns in relation to his children. The solicitors again 23 24 sought an explanation for the inclusion of the 25 allegation of digital penetration in the 29th December 11:53 26 2015 letter.

27

10

18

28On the 2nd August 2016, the Ms. D file was considered29by the Sexual Abuse Regional Team (SART) to be of high

58

1 priority given "the complex legal issues" involved and 2 Sergeant McCabe's "profession and high media profile". 3 As a result the case was "risk escalated" by Lisa The risk escalation form outlined concerns 4 O'Loahlen. 5 around the issue of fair procedures given the failure 11:53 6 to contact Sergeant McCabe until December 2015. The 7 Ms. D file was then allocated to Claire Tobin, Regional 8 Social Worker in SART. On review of the file. Ms. Tobin criticised the failure to contact 9 10 Sergeant McCabe earlier and the fact that Ms. Brophy's 11:53 11 report from August 2013 included allegations which had 12 not been recorded in 2006. In her view, a credibility assessment of Ms. D's allegations should have taken 13 14 place before any contact was made with Sergeant McCabe. 15 11:54 16 A number of attempts were made to meet with Ms. D on 17 this matter by Tusla staff to discuss the cases transferred to SART. Ms. D, however, did not attend 18 19 any of these appointments and in August 2016 Ms. D 20 contacted Tusla to state that she did not wish to 11:54 pursue the matter any further. On the 22nd September 21 22 2006 SART conducted a review of the Ms. D file. The 23 report found that Sergeant McCabe was not afforded fair 24 procedures with regard to the allegation made by Ms. D initially in 2006 and when the case was referred again 25 11:54 26 to the social work department in 2013. 27

28 Ms. D was again invited to attend an appointment in 29 order to conduct an assessment and to establish whether

59

she wished to proceed with the matter. However, Ms. D
 did not attend the scheduled appointment and contacted
 the social work department to state that she did not
 wish to pursue the matter any further. In the light of
 this, a decision was made to close the file.

6

13

On the 22nd September 2006, Sergeant McCabe was
informed that Tusla would not be proceeding with its
assessment of the allegations and informed them of the
decision to close the file, confirming that there were 11:55
no outstanding allegations or findings recorded against
Sergeant McCabe.

14 Sir, on one view it could be thought possible that the 15 Gardaí and State agencies involved deliberately sought 11:55 to blacken the character of Sergeant McCabe by making 16 17 such allegations resurface at a time when he was a prominent person in public discourse in order to 18 19 discredit and undermine him; it may also be thought 20 possible that a genuine mistake was made by one person 11:55 21 which resulted in a more serious allegation being 22 forwarded to the Gardaí in 2014 and that a further 23 series of errors resulted in these then being put to 24 Sergeant McCabe in 2015 and the case being kept open 25 within the Child and Family Agency until late 2016. 11:56 26

Sir, in this module, you will expect to hear evidence
from relevant witnesses from Rian, the Health Service
Executive and Tusla, who will claim that the sequence

60

of errors which unfolded was the product of a series of
genuine errors. You must, however, consider whether
this was not the case. You may also hear from Ms. D
and her parents, if needs be, and from the relevant
Gardaí to the extent necessary to give as full a
picture of the events as possible surrounding the Tusla
file. This will then be a matter for you, sir.

I am now going to ask my colleague, Ms. Leader, at this
stage to continue the opening statement in relation to 11:56
the next issue. Thank you, Chairman.

13 MS. LEADER:

8

12

The actions of Tusla in Donegal and the role of the 14 15 Gardaí 11:56 16 Sir, the issues which concern Garda Keith Harrison are 17 expressed in the terms of reference in brought terms. You are tasked with investigating "contacts between" 18 19 Gardaí and the Child and Family Agency in relation to that officer. 20 11:57 21 22 Keith Harrison joined the Gardaí in April 2000 and was 23 attested in June 2001. It was in consequence of

certain statements made in relation to him in the
public media that this term of reference was inserted. 11:57
Garda Keith Harrison made a statement to the Tribunal
on the 1st March 2017. Much of that statement is
outside the terms of reference. It contains direct
allegations against colleagues within the Gardaí while

1 he was serving in the traffic division of Athlone where 2 Sir, it is fair to say that he does he began in 2008. 3 not hold back in relation to what he says about colleagues, all of whom are named, and about the 4 difficulties which he says that he had with his 5 11:57 6 superior officers. None of this, however, is within the terms of reference. 7 It would seem that Garda Keith 8 Harrison did make a Protected Disclosure to the 9 confidential recipient in May 2014, but, sir, you are not asked to investigate the terms of that complaint. 10 11:58 11 Rather, it can be taken as a fact that such a complaint 12 was made in the terms in which it was made.

13

14 This aspect of the Tribunal's work concerns family 15 circumstances and it is regrettable, but unfortunately 11:58 inescapable, that references will have to be made to 16 17 Garda Keith Harrison's private life. Indeed, in his statement to the Tribunal he complains about 18 19 intervention by garda colleagues, the involvement of 20 the Child and Family Agency, and makes the claim that 11:58 21 how he was treated in comparison to Sergeant Maurice 22 McCabe leads him to view that "the similarities are so alike it couldn't be coincidence and considering the 23 geographical locations of us such treatment had to come 24 on orders from the highest level". In other words, 25 11:59 26 Garda Harrison is alleging that there was an abuse of 27 power by colleagues of his in Donegal and that this was 28 directed by headquarters in Dublin. Furthermore, he 29 claims that this abuse extended to inappropriately

involving the Child and Family Agency in his personal 1 2 and domestic relationships. The extent of this 3 apparently was that he and his domestic partner met with a social worker called Donna McTeague and that 4 this lady visited their home and spoke for about 15 5 11:59 6 minutes with the children of the household. This is 7 said to have had profound effects upon him and upon his 8 domestic partner.

9

A brief sketch of the background is required. 10 While 12:00 11 Marisa McDermott, a young lady whose family is from 12 Raphoe in County Donegal, was a student at the National University of Ireland, Galway, she met Keith Harrison. 13 14 According to her statement to the Tribunal made on the 15 7th March 2007 they "went out together for about a 12:00 year" before she took "a year out in second year and 16 17 Keith joined [the Gardaí] and we lost contact". Garda Keith Harrison then married somebody else and she 18 19 married a man from Donegal and adopted his surname of 20 Simms. Garda Keith Harrison and his wife apparently 12:00 21 divorced in November 2011. These other people, sir, do 22 not have to be brought into the narrative. In late 23 2010 the couple began to see each other again and, as she puts it, "Keith and I decided we wanted to give 24 things a proper go and do this Keith agreed to seek a 25 12:00 transfer to Donegal. In March 2011 Keith told me that 26 27 he had got a transfer to Buncrana." There was a certain wariness attached to this which she ascribes to 28 29 the fact that her brother had been involved in a

traffic accident with a garda patrol car two years
 previously that resulted in the death of a garda.

3

24

Sir, it may very well be that this is how she saw the 4 matter but insofar as it may be relevant what was 5 12:01 involved was much more than a traffic dent. 6 In December 2009 a garda patrol spotted Martin McDermott 7 8 travelling at excessive speed out of a petrol station at Bridgend and followed him. He failed to stop and 9 chase ensued. The car in which he was driving reached 10 12:01 11 speeds of up to 180 kilometres an hour and averaged 12 over a 30 kilometre chase, 150 kilometres per hour. The pursuit ended when he smashed head-on into a 13 14 uniformed garda car at Lisfannon, near Burt. The 15 driver of that car was the late Garda Gary McLoughlin, 12:02 16 an officer who was simply doing his duty and his life 17 was snatched from him in consequence of the deliberate actions of Martin McDermott. The offending driver was 18 19 arrested and in July 2011 he was convicted of the 20 manslaughter of the late garda and was sentenced to 12:02 21 eight years imprisonment. He was driving while 22 disqualified, had no insurance and was over the legal limit for alcohol consumption. 23

Sir, the view was taken by the Garda management, and 12:02
provided for in the Garda Code, is that before
requesting a transfer to Buncrana in early 2011 in
order to be closer to Marisa Simms, Garda Keith
Harrison under sections 8.3 and 8.4 of the Garda Code

64

1 should have informed Garda management of "any family 2 connections or relationships" which might mean that a 3 garda should not serve in a particular district or station. Garda Harrison did not inform anyone in Garda 4 management that he was in a relationship with the 5 12:03 6 sister of a person who had been guilty of the homicide of a locally serving and much respected officer. 7 8 According to the Chief Superintendent Terry McGinn, the relationship was only discovered in May 2011 when a 9 request was made by the Garda Harrison to be permitted 10 12:03 11 to finish work early because of family difficulties, 12 which turned out to be connected to Marisa Simms' previous marriage. Garda Keith Harrison alleges that 13 in consequence of this discovery he was asked to meet 14 15 Superintendent Kevin English for a cup of coffee in the 12:03 Mount Errigal Hotel. Garda Harrison's expectations of 16 17 this meeting were that because, according to himself, he "had been working well in Buncrana", he "assumed he 18 19 wanted to meet perhaps to offer me a position in a specialist unit". This is Garda Harrison's narrative: 20 12:04

22 "Superintendent English said "look, Keith, I am not going to beat around the bush, we know about Marisa's 23 connection. we know her brother is Martin McDermott and 24 it's causing me difficulties in that a number of 25 12:04 26 members in Buncrana are very angry about it and some 27 have indicated to me that they won't work alongside you 28 anymore, I have to consider their feelings and your 29 safety." I was stunned and hurt that after such a good

21

1 start that I would be judged over something I had no 2 part to play in. I had no contact or relationship 3 whatsoever with Martin McDermott. I explained to Superintendent English that Marisa and I were own 4 people, we did not engage in any way with her brother, 5 12:04 6 we led our own lives privately and who I am in a relationship should not impact on my professional life. 7 8 Superintendent English said "well, Keith, it's not just me who has a problem with this, Chief Superintendent 9 Jim Sheridan, who is in situ for Chief Superintendent 10 12:05 11 McGinn, is very angry about it and wants to see you, 12 you'll be moving station." I told him I didn't want to move, that I was very happy where I was and would 13 14 continue to work alongside each and every member in 15 Buncrana and if they chose to do otherwise that was a 12:05 16 matter for them."

Afterwards, Garda Harrison "pleaded", to use his own
words, with Chief Superintendent Sheridan to stay in
Donegal and in the end he was moved to the southern end 12:05
of the county, to Donegal town, where he began work in
June 2011.

17

23

Sir, at this time other things were happening. In
September 2011 Garda Harrison met with Chief
Superintendent Terry McGinn, where Garda Harrison says
he requested a move closer to home and was told this
wouldn't be possible because of Marisa's brother. In
January 2012 an anonymous letter was sent to the Health

66

Service Executive concerning the children of Marisa
 Simms. Gardaí in Milford were made aware of this
 letter on the 9th February 2012 and a copy of this
 anonymous letter was furnished by Úna Coll of the HSE
 to Sergeant Bridget McGowan. The letter stated the 12:06
 following:

7

20

27

8 "It has come to my attention that the wellbeing of [the two children] is questionable. Their mother, Marisa 9 Simms (nee McDermott) is having an extramarital affair 10 12:06 11 with Garda Keith Harrison who has a barring order 12 against him from his estranged wife. She leaves and returns to the family home on a regular basis, causing 13 14 great upset to her children and husband, Andrew Simms 15 Their father, Andrew Simms' prime concern obviouslv. 12:06 16 is to get his wife back and is at breaking point. Μv 17 gut feeling is that while Andrew is caring for the children physically and working full-time he needs 18 19 help. Thankfully he has the help of his mother.

21I would call you but I am aware that all calls are22recorded. I have been advised not to leave myself open23to Garda Harrison on any level as I believe him to be a24dangerous individual. I would fear in his post that he25might obtain a recording of the call and so therefore I 12:0726do not wish to put myself and my own family in danger.

12:07

[One of the children] has become very withdrawn and
[various health issues are mentioned]... I believe the

1 stress of everything is far too much for [the child] to 2 handle without proper help. 3 I feel it my duty to inform you of this situation and 4 so let you decide on what action might be taken, 5 12:07 whether it be to inform the school, provide 6 7 counselling, etcetera. I feel that the children have 8 suffered so much already and that maybe you are the people to help." 9 10 12:08 11 On the 10th February 2012, Sergeant McGowan forwarded a 12 report to Superintendent Eugene McGovern, attaching the anonymous letter which was in the following terms: 13 14 15 "On the afternoon of the 9/2/2012 I met with Ms. Coll 12:08 16 and she handed me a copy of the letter which they had 17 received. 18 19 The matter was discussed and based on the contents the member informed Ms. Coll that in their view there were 20 12:08 specific concerns raised in the letter pertaining to 21 22 the health and welfare of the children, in particular [one of the children], which would need to be 23 The HSE informed me that they would call 24 addressed. and speak to both parents of the children to ensure 25 12:08 26 that the children were being adequately cared for and 27 that they would inform me of the outcome of their enquiries." 28 29

Gwen Malone Stenography Services Ltd.

On the 14th March 2012, a meeting occurred between 1 2 Gardaí and Nora Roarty, Social Work Team Leader, and 3 Úna Coll, in which they informed Sergeant McGowan that they had met with Marisa and Andrew Simms regarding the 4 letter and discussed the welfare of the children. 5 12:09 6 Following on from this the matter was closed as there 7 were no further child protection concerns. According 8 to Bridgeen Smith, social worker, the matter was not 9 taken any further following a screening as there was no information to substantiate the referral allegations. 10 12:09

12 Sir, while we do not know the origin of this letter, on a number of occasions in 2013 relatives of Marisa Simms 13 14 contacted Gardaí in Letterkenny to express concern in 15 relation to the conduct of the Garda Keith Harrison 12:09 towards her. These contacts came from the uncle. 16 17 cousin, sister and mother of Marisa Simms. At around this time, on the 29th February 2012, Chief 18 19 Superintendent Sheridan reprimanded Garda Harrison for 20 inappropriate use of the Pulse system to enquire about 12:10 21 Marisa Simms, a matter which had been referred to him 22 by Superintendent Eugene McGovern.

11

23

Regarding the first phone call, on the 1st April 2013,
it is claimed by Gardaí that Marisa Simms' uncle told 12:10
Gardaí that Marisa Simms had been *"forcibly removed from her by Garda Harrison"* and that her cousin said
that Marisa Simms was being pursued by Garda Harrison
in her car around Letterkenny and that she *"feared for*

1 her cousin's safety". On the 24th August 2013, Gardaí 2 state that Rita McDermott contacted Donegal town Garda 3 station and expressed concern about Garda Harrison's behaviour towards her daughter, saving that he had 4 thrown Marisa out of their home on multiple occasions 5 12:11 6 over the past three months. On the 24th September 2013, Marisa Simms' sister, Paula McDaid, alleged that 7 8 Garda Harrison had "threatened to cause a disturbance at the wedding" as he was not invited. As there was no 9 formal complaint, a Garda investigation was not 10 12:11 11 commenced into these matters. This last contact was 12 the most serious because it is said by the Gardaí that Paula McDaid called to Letterkenny Garda station on the 13 afternoon of the 30th September 2013 and reported to 14 15 Garda Brendan Mahon that on the evening of the 28th 12:11 September 2013 Garda Harrison had threatened Marisa 16 17 Simms in front of one of her children by saying that he was going to burn her and bury her and her sister. 18 19 According to garda sources, Paula McDaid appeared 20 "visibly upset". 12:11

22 All of this happened, it would seem, in the context of 23 a row which occurred because of a family wedding on her side of the family to which Garda Keith Harrison was 24 not invited, for whatever reason. 25 While it is 12:12 26 understandable that there might be tension over such a 27 decision, especially, as according to Marisa Simms, an 28 invitation had been withdrawn, the reference in a 29 Donegal context of a threat to burn someone may

21

70

resonate with a notorious case from January 2004 where
 a woman called Dolores McCrea had been murdered by her
 husband and her body burned in a pyre almost destroying
 every trace of her remains, this action resulting in
 Gary McCrea's conviction for murder in November 2005. 12:12

6

20

7 Following the complaint made by Paula McDaid on the 30th September the Gardaí then claim that they made 8 contact with Marisa Simms and asked her to come in and 9 make a statement about the incident and say that Marisa 12:12 10 11 Simms later voluntarily attended to make a statement. 12 However, sir, there are conflicting accounts on the incident itself as well as how Marisa Simms came to 13 make a statement and indeed in relation to the content 14 of the statement itself. Garda Keith Harrison 15 12:13 16 describes whatever happened as "a normal family row". 17 He describes how Marisa Simms was pestered by certain members of the Gardaí to attend and to make a statement 18 about the incident. In particular he says: 19

"As a result of Marisa going to her sister's a complete 21 22 fabrication of events was transmitted to Sergeant David Durkin, that I had been violent to Marisa and threw her 23 and the children out. This false version of events was 24 forwarded to Inspector Goretti Sheridan of Letterkenny. 12:13 25 26 a relation of Chief Superintendent Jim Sheridan. 27 Inspector Sheridan then bombarded Marisa with phone 28 calls to meet though Marisa didn't want to. She even 29 offered to send the patrol car out to her sister's

12:13

1 house the night before the wedding at which point 2 Marisa agreed to meet with Inspector Sheridan the day 3 after the wedding to get her to stop calling. Marisa attended Letterkenny Garda station as requested. She 4 was taken to the Superintendent's office, which is 5 12:14 6 quite unusual, and over the course of the next eight and a half hours she was pressurised and coerced into 7 8 making a statement without any breaks and was told that this statement was for the Chief Superintendent only. 9 we both have since read this statement and Marisa is 10 12:14 11 shocked by it as she states that there are parts of it 12 which she never said and other parts of it where what she said was manipulated to portray me in a bad way. 13 14 During this time, on the 4th October 2013, I was 15 informed over the phone by Sergeant Tony Cornyn that he 12:14 had received information from Letterkenny Gardaí that 16 17 there had been a phone call to the 999 line and that I was going to be shot tonight." 18 19

Sir, if this happened, it would indeed be shocking. 20 12:15 21 Marisa Simms describes the particular row as "terse and 22 we both exchanged words, there was no violence, just words exchanged in the heat of the moment". 23 Nonetheless she moved into her sister's house for a 24 time prior to the wedding. Marisa Simms claims that 25 12:15 26 she was threatened by the Gardaí and in particular by 27 Inspector Goretti Sheridan.

28 29

Sir, it is appropriate before we quote from what Marisa

1 Simms has to say that we record that all of the Gardaí 2 involved in these incidents have furnished statements 3 which indicate that they followed procedures and that they took action not only out of an ordinary duty in 4 5 terms of criminal law, but also because they were 12:15 concerned with the welfare of the children in question. 6 7 According to Chief Superintendent Terry McGinn an 8 intervention by the Gardaí was necessary. As the relevant officer ultimately responsible she had, 9 through officers under her command, apparently learned 10 12:16 11 of the previous contacts made by family members 12 although there had been no formal complaints following these incidents. After the complaint of the 30th 13 14 September 2013 Gardaí also spoke with Rita McDermott on 15 the 2nd October 2013 who made a witness statement and 12:16 16 then sought to interview Marisa Simms. In relation to 17 this interview Marisa Simms says:

19 "I stated a number of times during that time of taking the statement I questioned if this was appropriate to 20 12:16 21 which Bridget McGowan replied "you should think of your 22 children". My understanding at all times was that this was for the Chief Superintendent 's eyes only. 23 I never sought or wanted any garda intervention into my private 24 life. Goretti Sheridan and Bridget McGowan took 25 12:17 26 advantage of me at a very vulnerable time where I was 27 mentally and physically exhausted and my emotions were 28 heightened due to extraordinary circumstances. A few 29 days later I had to go to hospital due to complications

18

1 related to the loss of our baby."

2

11

29

3 Sir, the 23-page statement made by Marisa Simms to Gardaí on the 6th October 2013 does not record happy 4 domestic circumstances. She describes an occasion 5 12:17 where Garda Harrison banged the dashboard of the car so 6 7 hard with his fist that she thought he had actually cracked it. She describes him as being "in a complete 8 out of control rage". She describes being woken out of 9 10 her sleep by Keith Harrison and goes on to say: 12:18

12 "The next thing I do remember is being woken out of my sleep by Keith. He was roaring and shouting at me 13 about getting into the car earlier with the fellas and 14 15 why did I leave the pub. He pulled the quilt off me 12:18 16 and grabbed my arm and pulled me out of the bed and 17 told me to get out of the house. I tried to reason with him and told him that I wouldn't be able to get a 18 19 taxi and asked if I could stay until the morning. He 20 kept telling me to get out and caught me by the arm. **I** 12:18 21 kept pleading with him to get a coat as I only had 22 pyjamas on and he allowed me to get my coat. At one point he had a hold of my arm and was shouting and 23 roaring at me. I was terrified of him and the only 24 other time I had seen him like this was on the night of 12:18 25 26 his brother's 21st in Galway. I got my phone which is 27 beside the locker and rang my mother and asked her to come and collect me." 28

The other major row that is detailed in her lengthy and 1 2 highly particularised statement is that which occurred 3 in the context of the wedding which she dates as occurring on Saturday, 28th September 2013. 4 Just before this she describes collecting her children from 5 12:19 6 her husband's house and a row beginning concerning a financial contribution it seems to the wedding. 7 This 8 is described by Marisa Simms as "the first time that he [ever] started going on in front of [the children]". 9 She went on in her statement to the Gardaí: 10 12:19

11

12 "[He] kept making comments and ranting on about my sister saying "Who does she think she is? I will take 13 14 down a peg or two", and also "I'm going to bury her and 15 you". He kept repeating this and I told him to stop 12:19 but it was as if he went into a total rant. 16 He then 17 said "I am going to burn you" and at that point I could see [one of the children's] eyes filling up and she was 18 19 getting upset, so at that stage I put their coats over 20 their pyjamas and told them they were going to the car. 12:20 21 *I* remember [that child] was asking me if *I* was okay 22 after having him threatening to burn me and it appeared to me that she didn't know whether to go to the car or 23 not as he was worried whether I would follow her out or 24 25 not. I brought [the children] to the car and strapped 12:20 them in. At that point I knew once I left I wouldn't 26 27 be back to the house but I went back to get [one of the 28 children's] school uniform[s] out of the tumble drier. when I lifted it out he wouldn't let me back into the 29

kitchen from the utility room again. He prevented me from me going back in by physically grabbing my wrist. I was really frightened of him at this stage as he was in such a rage, it was as if he was not in control of himself and he was crazy."

12:21

1

2

3

4

5

6

Sir, if this statement was not coerced or invented by 7 members of the Gardaí, whether Keith Harrison was a 8 9 garda officer or not, you may regard it as being appropriate that in the interests of child protection 10 12:21 11 and safety that there should be some social work 12 involvement. Would it be appropriate, in this context, to blame the Health Service Executive or the Child and 13 14 Family Agency? Is there evidence here that all of 15 these contacts with State agencies were deliberately 12:21 set up in Garda Headquarters in Dublin with a view to 16 17 undermining the personal and family life of Garda Keith Harrison as indeed he alleges? Certainly having social 18 19 workers visit one in the privacy of one's home would 20 not be pleasant. Sir, you will have to think of the 12:21 21 alternatives as to whether it would have been 22 appropriate that no action was taken following the 23 statement. Before you make any of these decisions, however, you need to establish whether there is a 24 factual basis for the two radically different 25 12:22 26 conflicting accounts which have been given by the 27 parties involved, bearing in mind the terms of 28 reference and your lack of jurisdiction to stray outside these. 29

2 On the 8th October 2013, Marisa Simms' statement was 3 referred to GSOC. However, Ms. Simms later contacted GSOC stating that she did not want their involvement in 4 5 the matter. On the 9th October 2013, formal referrals 12:22 6 to the HSE were completed by Sergeant McGowan arising out of Marisa Simms' statement. A standard 7 8 notification form was filled out in respect of both children for suspected emotional abuse, providing that 9 10 the children were "present during an argument between 12:23 11 mother and partner (HSE to contact Gardaí on receipt of *notification to confirm contact details*)." These were 12 signed off by Superintendent McGovern the following day 13 14 and sent to what was at the time HSE Child and Family 15 Services. 12:23

1

16

17 On the 16th October 2013, intake records on the Simms children were opened and a request for further 18 19 information was made by the HSE to the Gardaí. Gerry Hone, Principal Social Worker, states that this was 20 12:23 21 standard practice where garda referrals did not contain 22 sufficient information and this was done "prior to the social workers intervening with any family". On the 23 24 21st October 2013 a strategy meeting was held between Gardaí and the HSE regarding the Simms children, 25 12:23 26 attended by Sergeant McGowan, Bridgeen Smith and Donna 27 McTeague, social workers. Ms. Simms had been 28 hospitalised around this time and as a result it was 29 decided that the social work department would liaise

1 with Gardaí and that they would not contact Ms. Simms 2 or progress the matter until they received confirmation 3 from Sergeant McGowan that Marisa had regained health. 4 On the 11th January 2013 Marisa Simms withdrew her 5 12:24 6 statement of the 6th October 2013. She said: 7 8 "I want to say that everything I told them on the 6th October 2013 and that recorded in the statement is 9 These things did happen and I was honest in what 12:24 10 true. 11 I told them at the time. Today, the 11th January 2014, 12 I wish to inform you that I no longer wish to pursue a complaint against Keith Harrison. I wish to withdraw 13 14 the statement I made on the 6th October 2013. I am 15 making this statement of own accord. No one is 12:24 16 pressurising me to do so and I am not under duress." 17 According to Ms. Simms, on this date, Inspector 18 19 Sheridan was "cold and short" with her and that had a 20 pre-prepared statement of withdrawal for her to sign. 12:25 21 She also says the following: 22 23 "I told her I wanted to retract my statement and that I 24 was put under a lot of pressure at the time of making 25 it. At this she began to recount a story to me about a 12:25 26 local couple who had a row in front of their children 27 and now social services were involved and said she 28 couldn't guarantee this wouldn't happen with Keith and 29 I felt sick to my stomach. She basically was Ι.

1 telling me if I took back my statement social services 2 would get involved. I confirmed that it was my wish to 3 take back the statement. I did not dictate the statement of retraction. Those are all the words of 4 5 Goretti Sheridan. I again wanted to just get out of 12:26 6 there, so I signed it and left to go home to my family. I left feeling relieved as I thought that was the end 7 8 of the matter. but Goretti Sheridan's threat of social services getting involved played on my mind." 9

12:26

11 On the 27th January 2014 Donna McTeague contacted 12 Sergeant McGowan for the purposes of ascertaining the current status regarding garda investigation so as to 13 14 allow the social work department to proceed with 15 investigation. Ms. McTeague states that she advised 12:26 16 that the HSE needed a report from Gardaí with specific 17 information regarding the 28th September incident in order to progress the matter. She was also informed of 18 19 the withdrawal of the 6th October statement. An initial assessment of the Simms children was then 20 12:27 21 commenced on the following day. On the 3rd February 22 2014 Ms. McTeague wrote to Marisa Simms requesting a 23 meeting on the 6th February as the social work 24 department had "received information in relation to your family which we would like to discuss" and asked 25 12:27 that Garda Harrison also attend "so as to explore the 26 27 referral information in detail".

28

29

10

Following this meeting between Donna McTeague, Marisa

1 Simms and Garda Harrison on the 7th February 2014, 2 discussion seems to have taken place between 3 Ms. McTeague and Bridgeen Smith and it was agreed that Ms. McTeague would meet the children in order to 4 5 complete the assessment. This home visit took place on 12:27 6 the 19th February 2014 and Ms. McTeague reported that there were "no issues of concern noted in the 7 8 observations of the children". Ms. McTeague's view following the initial assessment and meeting with 9 Marisa Simms and Garda Harrison found that the children 12:28 10 11 were "not at risk of ongoing significant harm and both 12 have taken steps to ensure disagreements/arguments will not happen again in front of children". The file was 13 14 closed on the 27th February 2014 with no further action 15 to be taken. 12:28

17Both Marisa Simms and Garda Keith Harrison allege that18there were very serious consequences to the involvement19of social workers from Tusla with their private and20family life. Ms. Simms says of her meeting and21subsequent contact with the social workers:

16

22

23 "I couldn't sleep, I was stressed and very emotional 24 Everything Keith and I do is for the and upset. I was scared that the children would be 25 children. 12:28 26 taken from us. I wish that I had never agreed to meet 27 with Goretti Sheridan. Keith and I attended the 28 meeting with Donna McTeague, a social worker, she said she had been asked to meet with us over a row that 29

80

happened in September 2013. She explained she dealt with families with children who were at immediate risk but was confused as to her role in this instance as the incident occurred over four months previously. Keith and I explained what happened was a normal row, that 12:29 there was nothing untoward and it was not a common thing to happen between us and that it occurred at a very stressful time for both of us, which she accepted.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23

I asked Donna McTeague that she visit our home 10 12:29 11 immediately as I didn't want though hanging over me. 12 She stated she wasn't able to do that but would do so in the next few days. When she did visit she 13 14 apologised to me on her arrival saying that she had no 15 choice in the matter. She spoke to our children for 12:29 16 about ten or fifteen minutes. I am to this day 17 extremely upset and angry my children had to do this. Donna McTeague then left, but she did she spoke with me 18 19 in our kitchen and assured me that would be the end of the matter but I remain skeptical. Every day I fear 20 12:30 21 receiving another letter from the Child and Family 22 Agency or a phone call or fictitious complaint."

24 Complaints have also been made in the statements of 25 both Garda Harrison and Marisa Simms in relation to 26 garda attention to their home. Sir, you should be 27 aware that a number of death threats were made against 28 Garda Harrison, whether these are credible threats or 29 not is a matter for you to decide. The first was on

12:30

81

1 the 4th October 2013, when an anonymous male made a 2 phone call to Letterkenny Garda Station. The anonymous 3 caller said that he had overhead a conversation in a pub. to the effect that Garda Harrison would be shot 4 that night. Gardaí state that this matter was 5 6 investigated but they were unable to identify the 7 caller. On this date, Garda Harrison was put on office 8 duties and remained on such duties until he went on sick leave in May 2014. The following day, the 5th 9 October 2013, an anonymous caller who identified 10 12:31 11 himself as the same male who had called the previous night, phoned Letterkenny Garda Station and said that 12 Garda Harrison would be killed on that night as opposed 13 14 to the 4th October. He stated that [somebody], a 15 relation of Martin McDermott, was arranging to have 12:31 16 Garda Harrison killed as he had been harassing his 17 sister. On the 24th February 2015 Garda Harrison was informed of a further threat that a man had contacted 18 19 Donegal Town Garda Station to say he was going to put a 20 bullet in Garda Harrison. 12:31

22Garda Harrison describes the garda attention to his23home with Marisa Simms in the following terms:

21

24

25 "[Following December 2014] we endured patrol cars 12:32
26 passing our home and following us and in general
27 intimidating us... In July 2015 my family and I moved
28 home and for the first time in a long time we enjoyed a
29 life of no passing patrol cars or daily intimidation as

82

Garda management were not aware of our new address. In August 2013, over four days various patrol cars passed our home nine times. I rang Letterkenny Garda Station and told them to stop as on one occasion my partner and daughter were out in the front garden a patrol car drove very slowly past smiling in at them making my partner very uncomfortable. Patrol cars passing our home on a regular basis became the norm again."

12:33

10 According to Marisa Simms:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

21

12 "Since Keith reported incidents of garda malpractice and corruption we have been continually harassed with 13 14 patrol cars driving slowly past our home, we have been 15 followed by patrol cars. An Garda Síochána have us 12:33 16 living in fear as they have told us there are death 17 threats against Keith but have failed to investigate them. As two individuals, as a couple, as parents and 18 19 as a family An Garda Síochána have tried to break us emotionally, mentally and financially." 20 12:33

22 Sir, in this module, you will hear from relevant 23 members of the Gardaí, from relevant witnesses and most especially from Garda Keith Harrison and Marisa Simms 24 and from the relevant social workers. They defend 25 12:33 26 their position but they do not seek to blame the Gardaí 27 in relation to what happened. Since Garda Harrison 28 blames these events on inappropriate, malign and 29 unjustified action on foot of senior Gardaí and Garda

1	Headquarters, sir, you must anxiously consider what	
2	evidence there may be for this.	
3		
4	Mr. Marrinan will continue.	
5		12:34
6	THE HEARING THEN ADJOURNED FOR LUNCH	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		

1	THE HEARING RESUMED, AS FOLLOWS, AFTER LUNCH:	
2		
3	MR. MARRINAN: Sir, we now turn to the allegations of	
4	Superintendent David Taylor. Much of the reason why	
5	this Tribunal was established may be traced to	13:42
6	Superintendent David Taylor's Protected Disclosure of	
7	September 2016. In that Protected Disclosure he	
8	alleged that during his time serving as the Garda Press	
9	Officer he was, and I quote:	
10		13:42
11	"Instructed or directed to contact the media, to brief	
12	them on the particular line that the Commissioner had	
13	instructed, namely to brief negatively against	
14	Sergeant McCabe."	
15		13:42
16	And that he was, again to quote:	
17		
18	"directed to draw journalists' attention to the	
19	complaint of sexual assault made against	
20	Sergeant McCabe and that this was the root cause of his	13:43
21	agenda. Revenge against the Gardaí."	
22		
23	You are tasked, sir, by terms of reference (a) and (b)	
24	with investigating the allegations made by	
25	Superintendent Taylor in that Protected Disclosure.	13:43
26		
27	David Taylor joined An Garda Síochána in 1985 and rose	
28	through the ranks to become a superintendent. He was	
29	appointed Press Officer in July 2012 and remained in	

1 that post until his transfer to the traffic division in 2 June 2014. 3 In May 2015, Superintendent Taylor was suspended from 4 duty and arrested for offences contrary to section 62 5 13:43 of the Garda Síochána Act 2005. 6 7 8 Section 62 makes it an offence for a garda: 9 "Disclose in or outside the State any information 10 13:44 11 obtained in the course of carrying out duties of that 12 person's office, employment, contract or other arrangement if the person knows that the disclosure of 13 14 that information is likely to have a harmful effect." 15 13:44 16 The section defines "harmful effect" in a number of 17 ways, including impeding the prevention, detection or investigation of an offence, and impeding the 18 19 apprehension and prosecution of a suspected offender. 20 13:44 21 The investigation which led to the arrest and 22 suspension of Superintendent Taylor commenced in 2013, 23 where Gardaí in Tallaght temporarily took a Roma child 24 into care, after a member of the public expressed 25 concern to a journalist that the child may not be kin 13:44 26 to the people who were ultimately determined to be her 27 biological parents. 28 29 A leak from inside the Gardaí to the press resulted in

86

1an exclusive article appearing in the Sunday World the2following day. This report led Emily Logan, then the3Children's Ombudsman, to investigate whether there had4been an unlawful leak in the media of the child's5identity. This investigation in turn led Gardaí to6investigate Superintendent Taylor who was Press Officer7at the time.

9 On the 13th February 2017, the DPP, after considering a 10 voluminous and very detailed report from the 13:45 11 investigating officers, directed that no prosecution be 12 brought against Superintendent Taylor. He returned to 13 work in the traffic division the following day.

8

14

22

25

15 Sir, some of the witnesses who are to be called by us 13:45 16 have remarked on the lack of detail in 17 Superintendent Taylor's Protected Disclosure, which does not contain the names of any journalists, the 18 19 exact nature of his alleged instructions to brief 20 journalists against Sergeant McCabe, nor any specific 13:46 21 instances when such briefings took place.

Former Commissioner Callinan, in his statement to theTribunal, expressed concern that:

13:46

26 "The terms of reference are based on allegations that
27 have been made against me in extremely vague and broad
28 terms... In circumstances where very little by way of
29 specific detail has been set out in relation to the

1 allegation, it is difficult to do any more at this 2 stage than refute them by way of denial." 3 Commissioner O'Sullivan similarly says, in her 4 statement to the Tribunal on the 13th March 2017, that: 13:46 5 6 "Insofar as Superintendent Taylor makes two core 7 8 allegations which are to be investigated by this Tribunal, namely that he was directed to brief 9 10 negatively against Sergeant McCabe and to draw 13:46 11 allegations of criminal misconduct made against 12 Sergeant McCabe to the attention of journalists, his Protected Disclosure is entirely bereft of any details 13 14 particularly in relation to me. As will be 15 appreciated, this presents difficulties in responding 13:47 16 to same." 17 Sir, it seemed to us that these concerns may be 18 19 legitimate. Therefore, your investigators were 20 requested to interview Superintendent Taylor at some 13:47 21 length. When specifically asked by your investigators

if he had any evidence of former Commissioner

Callinan's instructions, Superintendent Taylor stated

that he would need access to his emails, currently

archived by the Gardaí, and his phones, in order to

assist the Tribunal. However, Superintendent Taylor

maintains that the instructions given to him were

13:47

always given verbally and were never recorded inwriting

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 2 "The instructions referred to were verbal in nature... the instructions by Commissioner Callinan in this 3 regard was always verbal. The instruction was never 4 5 documented in writing, text or email." 13:48 6 7 In his statement to Tribunal investigators, 8 Superintendent Taylor clarified some aspects of his Protected Disclosure as follows: 9 10 13:48 11 "Deputy Commissioner O'Sullivan never instructed me 12 brief the media negatively about Sergeant McCabe though as far as I am concerned she was aware of the 13 14 instruction by Commissioner Callinan... Deputy Commissioner O'Sullivan never instructed me to brief 15 13:48 16 journalists against Maurice McCabe. However, she was 17 aware of the strategy through my updates to her." 18 19 Sir, it is perhaps worth noting that this is the height 20 of the allegation made by Superintendent Taylor against 13:48 21 Commissioner O'Sullivan, namely, that she was aware of 22 former Commissioner Callinan's instructions. It is not suggested or even implied that she issued such orders 23 24 No witness has thus far come forward and herself. 25 directly alleged that Commissioner O'Sullivan herself 13.49 26 spread rumours or misinformation about Sergeant McCabe. 27 28 Sir, Superintendent Taylor also told your investigators that Andrew McLindon, a civilian who was director of 29

1 communications in the Garda Press Office during the 2 relevant period of time, was aware of the alleged 3 instructions to brief journalists negatively. This matter is currently under investigation. 4 5 13:49 6 The most detail given by Superintendent Taylor with 7 regard to his alleged instructions from former Commissioner Callinan was provided to Tribunal 8 9 investigators. And I guote at some length: 10 13:49 11 "Commissioner Callinan would have said to me we need to 12 hit back [at Sergeant McCabe], so that when I was talking to the media in whatever environment it 13 14 presented itself I would take advantage and I was to 15 say that Maurice McCabe was driven by agendas, he is 13:50 16 motivated by revenge, and that revenge is driven by the 17 allegation, the sexual allegations made against him by someone else's daughter a number of years ago. 18 I would 19 say that I did always clarify to the journalists that a 20 file had gone to the DPP and that there was no 13:50 21 prosecution, however, this was the narrative. It was 22 put in such a way that there was no smoke without fire. I would drop that in when talking to journalists. 23 Ι 24 will clarify I never saw the garda investigation file into the complaint of sexual assault against Maurice 25 13:50 26 McCabe, what I got was always verbally from the 27 Commissioner. I would also say that I believed what the Commissioner told me about Maurice McCabe. I had 28 29 no reason to question what he was telling me.... ΜV

90

1 briefing to journalists was always where an opportunity 2 arose I would make them aware as detailed above of the instruction from Commissioner Callinan in respect of 3 Maurice McCabe. I don't think this would have included 4 details of the parties involved, it was more in the 5 13:51 6 general, about McCabe, to draw people's attention towards McCabe and his agendas. The genesis of this 7 agenda was revenge for the fact that a complaint of 8 sexual assault had been made against him, he had been 9 criminally investigated and the file had gone to the 10 13:51 11 DPP. I can confirm that I was never aware of any 12 complaint made to Tusla regarding Sergeant McCabe in when journalists were talking to me they knew 13 2013. 14 they were talking to the Garda Press Officer of An 15 Garda Síochána. I would not have advised them that I 13:51 16 was acting on foot of instructions. They were speaking 17 to the Garda Press Officer and not David Taylor in a personal capacity. I would also have said to 18 19 journalists that anything they said to me would be reported up the line." 20 13:52 21 22 Sir, the Tribunal still has not received specific

details of the time Superintendent Taylor "spoke to
various journalists on foot of instructions" as he says
"to encourage them to write negatively about
Sergeant Maurice McCabe and to brief against him".
Furthermore, what is supposed to have been said to him?
To whom did he say it and when? Why can he not now
remember it?

2 Sir, these are obvious questions that need to be asked 3 but of considerable importance is whether the other parties to these alleged considerations can assist you 4 5 in coming to the truth. And in order to investigate 13:52 6 the matter thoroughly it is absolutely necessary to ask 7 the journalists about their dealings with 8 Superintendent Taylor during the relevant period of time. Unfortunately, this has proved problematic 9 because of journalists' alleged privilege. 10 13:53 11 12 Sir, of importance in this regard, and perhaps crucially, on the 28th April 2017 Superintendent Taylor 13 14 provided the Tribunal with a waiver of any journalistic 15 privilege. It is in the following unambiguous terms: 13:53 16 17 "I wish to confirm that I do not claim and have not claimed any privilege over my identification as the 18 19 source of any information, briefing, allegation or 20 belief communicated to journalists in the print, 13:53 21 broadcasting or other media directly or indirectly 22 relating to Sergeant Maurice McCabe. 23 24 For the avoidance of any doubts, I also confirm that insofar as may be necessary I waive and abandon any 25 13:54 26 such right to claim privilege or have it asserted on my 27 behalf or in relation to me insofar as it relates to my identity as the source of same. 28 29

Gwen Malone Stenography Services Ltd.

1	I understand I am giving this confirmation:	
2	(a) for the purposes of the Tribunal's preliminary	
3	inquiries into the matters included within the	
4	Tribunal's terms of reference which are attached	
5	<i>hereto;</i> 13:54	
6	(b) for all other purposes of the Tribunal, including	
7	the taking of evidence from myself or any other person,	
8	whether in public or private sittings."	
9		
10	This waiver, and similar waivers signed by Commissioner $_{13:54}$	
11	Nóirín O'Sullivan, and former Commissioner Callinan,	
12	have been notified to several journalists.	
13		
14	The journalists named by Superintendent Taylor are Paul	
15	Williams, Paul Reynolds, Conor Lally, John Mooney, 13:54	
16	Michael O'Toole, Cormac O'Keeffe, John Burke, Daniel	
17	McConnell and Juno McEnroe. In particular	
18	Superintendent Taylor reiterated in his statement to	
19	the Tribunal investigators that Paul Reynolds and Conor	
20	Lally would have received negative briefings by him	
21	about Sergeant McCabe.	
22		
23	Sir, the Tribunal has also identified from telephone	
24	data other journalists that Superintendent Taylor	
25	contacted during the relevant period of time. 13:55	
26		
27	Arising out of its investigations to date the Tribunal	
28	has now written to the following journalists: Sarah	
29	Bardon, Ali Bracken, Tom Brady, Jennifer Bray, Stephen	

93

Breen, John Burke, Paul Connolly, Dyanne Connor, Ken 1 Foy, Fran Greany, Mark Hilliard, Mick McCaffrey, Juno 2 3 McEnroe, Cathal McMahon, Emma McMenamey, John Mooney, Eavan Murray, Niall O'Connor (formerly of the Irish 4 Sun), Niall O'Connor (currently of the Irish 5 13:56 6 Independent), Mick O'Toole, Paul Reynolds, Joe Walsh, 7 Debbie McCann, Alison O'Reilly, Paul Williams and, at a 8 later date, Conor Lally, Daniel McConnell and Cormac O'Keeffe. 9 10 13:56 11 In the first instance the Tribunal wrote to most of 12 these journalists on the 15th March 2017 and requested 13 statements from anyone who may have information 14 relevant to the terms of reference. Regrettably many 15 of these letters went unanswered. 13:56 16 17 Five weeks later, on the 21st April 2017, the Tribunal wrote again to these journalists, informing them of the 18 19 waivers referred to earlier and asking the following 20 series of questions: 13:56 21 22 "1. Can you confirm your mobile phone number and work 23 phone number? 24 Was this number your mobile phone number in the 25 2. 13:56 26 years from July 2012 until February 2017? 27 28 Were you briefed negatively about Sergeant McCabe 3. 29 by anyone? And if so, by whom?

94

1 2 4. Have you any information or evidence about an 3 orchestrated campaign directed by senior officers of the Garda Síochána to discredit Sergeant Maurice McCabe 4 5 by spreading rumours about his professional and 13:57 6 personal life? 7 8 5. Were you contacted by Superintendent David Taylor in relation to Sergeant Maurice McCabe? 9 10 13:57 11 6. Were you briefed negatively by Superintendent David 12 Taylor in relation to Sergeant Maurice McCabe? 13 14 7. Were you briefed negatively by Superintendent David 15 Taylor in relation to Sergeant Maurice McCabe to the 13:57 16 effect that his complaints had no substance? 17 18 Were you briefed negatively by Superintendent David 8. 19 Taylor in relation to Sergeant McCabe to the effect that the Gardaí had fully investigated complaints and 20 13:57 had found no substance to his allegations and that he 21 22 was driven by agendas? 23 24 Was your attention drawn by Superintendent Taylor 9. to an allegation or suggestion of criminal misconduct 25 13:58 26 made against Sergeant McCabe in any respect? 27 28 Was your attention drawn by Superintendent Taylor 10. 29 to an allegation that the root cause of Sergeant

95

1 Maurice McCabe's agenda was revenge against An Garda 2 Síochána? 3 Were you informed by Superintendent David Taylor 4 11. 5 that he was instructed/directed by former Commissioner 13:58 Callinan and/or then Deputy Commissioner Nóirín 6 O'Sullivan to contact the media to brief the media 7 8 negatively against Sergeant Maurice McCabe? 9 12. Are you aware and have you any evidence of any 10 13:58 11 attempt made by former Commissioner Callinan or Commissioner O'Sullivan or any other senior member of 12 An Garda Síochána to discredit Sergeant Maurice McCabe 13 14 by reference to an allegation of criminal misconduct 15 made against him? 13:58 16 17 Were you informed by any journalist or any person 13. of any matters referred to in the questions above? 18 19 20 Have you any knowledge, information or evidence 14. 13:59 21 relating to any of the matters above? 22 23 Have you any knowledge, information or evidence 15. 24 relating to any matters within the terms of reference of the Tribunal? 25 13:59 26 27 16. Have you any records (however made, wherever 28 stored) of any communications from or with 29 Superintendent Taylor or former Commissioner Callinan,

96

1 Commissioner O'Sullivan or any other senior Gardaí 2 relating to any of the above matters?" 3 Sir, with a few exceptions the journalists have either 4 5 ignored these letters or refused to answer those 13:59 6 questions, citing journalistic privilege. Many of them 7 nonetheless wish to make generalised academic arguments 8 about the nature of journalistic privilege to the Tribunal. 9 10 14:00 11 Sir, as you said during the applications for 12 representations on the 30th March 2017: 13 14 "That's what court cases never do. That's what judges 15 never do. Issues are never tried on the basis of a 14:00 16 hypothesis. What you are suggesting is that I listen 17 to an exegesis on journalistic privilege which may be very important, which may be protected under the 18 19 European Convention on Human Rights and then I rule in 20 abstract as opposed to hearing from people without even 14:00 21 knowing if they have anything to tell the Tribunal 22 which is relevant." 23 24 We will return to this topic later in the opening 25 statement, sir. 14:00 26 27 It must be stated that the claim of journalistic 28 privilege has not been raised universally. Some, for 29 instance, have written saying that they have no

97

relevant information to offer. Others have regarded
 what they know as having been learned on an occasion to
 which privilege does not attach and have made helpful
 statements to the Tribunal.

14:01

14:01

14:01

14:02

6 Philip Boucher-Hayes of RTÉ made a statement to the Tribunal on the 13th March 2017 detailing a 7 8 conversation he had with former Commissioner Callinan on the 17th December 2013, as part of the Crimecall 9 10 programme. A disagreement had arisen between the 14:01 11 programme's producers and Gardaí, about whether 12 Mr. Boucher-Hayes could ask the Commissioner about the 13 penalty points allegation made by Sergeant McCabe. Ιn 14 his statement to the Tribunal he says the following:

16 "When the Commissioner arrived at RTÉ I asked to speak 17 to him alone, without any of his advisers present, in 18 an attempt to resolve what had become a row that was by 19 that stage at risk of knocking the programme off the 20 air.

22 We talked privately, out of earshot of all others, but 23 in a public corridor outside studio 4. I explained to 24 him that from the perspective of the 25 journalist/presenters it was wholly unacceptable that 26 the Gardaí were seeking to determine what questions we 27 could and could not ask.

28

29

5

15

21

He offered me background information on the penalty

98

1point story. But the majority of his information2related solely to the two whistleblowers, John Wilson3and Maurice McCabe, not to the substance of the story.4He offered a negative but cursory impression of John5Wilson, before speaking at some length on Maurice14:026McCabe's character. I had not asked any questions to7elicit this information.

8

19

25

He told me that McCabe was a troubled individual and 9 that he had a "lot of psychological issues and 10 14:02 psychiatric issues". He claimed that McCabe was 11 12 motivated by a set of grievances against Garda management and that he was famous with An Garda 13 14 Síochána for this. He warned me that McCabe was not to 15 be trusted and went on to add that there were other 14:03 16 things he could tell me about him, "horrific things, 17 the worst kind of thing" but he did not elaborate further. 18

As our conversation was ending, he added that if there 14:03 As our conversation was ending, he added that if there 14:03 was anything else I wanted to know about Maurice McCabe or the penalty points issue that I should ask Superintendent Dave Taylor from the Garda Press Office who was also present that evening.

14:03

Almost immediately afterwards Superintendent Taylor buttonholed me and asked "Now do you understand what the problem with Maurice McCabe and the penalty points is?"

1 2 I took no immediate action on foot of what Commissioner 3 Callinan had said to me as my instinct about the encounter told me that the information passed on to me 4 wasn't true." 5 14:04 6 7 Sir, Martin Callinan responded to this statement on the 8 14th June 2017, where he confirms that he had a 9 conversation with Mr. Boucher-Hayes on the night of his scheduled appearance on Crimecall, but he denies the 10 14:04 11 account of Mr. Boucher-Hayes, stating the following: 12 13 "I did not speak at some length on Sergeant McCabe's 14 character as indicated. 15 14:04 16 I did not state that Sergeant McCabe had "a lot of 17 psychological issues and psychiatric issues" and was motivated by a set of grievances against Garda 18 19 management and that he was famous within An Garda Síochána for this. I did not indicate to Philip 20 14:04 21 Boucher-Hayes that Sergeant McCabe was not to be 22 trusted, nor did I state there were other things that I 23 could tell him about "horrific things, the worst kind of things". I do not know what Philip Boucher-Hayes is 24 referring to. 25 14:05 26 27 I certainly did say to Philip Boucher-Hayes that if he 28 had any questions on either of the topics raised, i.e. 29 the Smithwick Report or the penalty points issue, that

he could forward them to the Garda Press Office or
 Superintendent David Taylor, whom I was aware that he
 was in contact with prior to me speaking with him.

4

13

14

15

16

17

5 On Sunday 30th May 2017, I read on the front page of 14:05 the Sunday Times (the Irish edition) an article by John 6 Mooney titled "RTÉ Journalist Links Callinan to McCabe 7 8 Smear". The article claimed "Boucher-Haves' statement is the first independent verification of claims made by 9 Taylor that he was directed by Callinan to discredit 10 14:05 11 McCabe by smearing his reputation". I was shocked and alarmed to read this." 12

Sir, in addition, Anne Harris, former editor of the Sunday Independent, wrote to the Tribunal on the 11th 14:06 March 2017 stating:

"In the years 2013 and 2014, matters raised by 18 19 whistleblower Sergeant Maurice McCabe, such as the termination by senior Gardaí of fixed penalty points, 20 14:06 21 as well as allegations of murder and abductions not 22 properly investigated, came to prominence. From the 23 first instance that the Sunday Independent began to report on these matters certain journalists came to my 24 office to warn me off Sergeant McCabe. I was given 25 14:06 26 varying accounts of an alleged case of child sex abuse 27 by him which was apparently being investigated. This 28 was repeated several times by very a reputable 29 journalist, one who had shown great courage in exposing

1 incidents of corruption and terrorism. I made 2 enquiries and was satisfied that the matter had been 3 investigated by the DPP and the complaint was found to be without grounds. The Sunday Independent continued 4 to report on Sergeant McCabe's concerns and the 5 14:07 6 consequent treatment of him. 7 8 In 2013, the allegation that Sergeant McCabe as a "paedophile" was stated in my office by senior 9 executive from the wider "Group" editorial hierarchy of 14:07 10 11 Independent Newspapers. 12 13 I am certain that a whispering smear campaign was being 14 conducted and that the media were being used. The 15 pressure on me was less about publishing the sex abuse 14:07 16 allegation. it would have been difficult within the 17 laws of libel, but had the clear purpose of discrediting him and therefore censoring the issues he 18 19 was raising." 20 14:08 21 Sir, it is important to note that former Commissioner 22 Callinan has responded to these allegations, which he 23 denies. In a statement to the Tribunal on the 30th May 24 2017 he states as follows: 25 14:08 26 "I have no knowledge of the allegation that 27 Sergeant McCabe was referred to as a "paedophile" in 28 2014 as referenced by Ms. Harris in her correspondence." 29

Commissioner O'Sullivan in a letter to the Tribunal on the 2nd June 2017 stated that she had no response to Ms. Harris beyond the statements she had already provided to the Tribunal. This effectively amounts to 14:08 a denial of the allegations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

8 Sir, Mr. Brendan Howlin TD has made a statement to the 9 Tribunal in which he outlines a conversation with a 10 journalist, Alison O'Reilly, of the Mail on Sunday, on 14:08 11 the 8th February 2017.

According to Mr. Howlin, Ms. O'Reilly informed him that 13 14 another journalist, Ms. Debbie McCann, had told her 15 that "the Commissioner had given information to her 14:08 16 claiming serious sexual misconduct on the part of 17 Sergeant McCabe". Ms. O'Reilly then informed Mr. Howlin that Ms. McCann described Sergeant McCabe in 18 19 a very derogatory term following a conversation with 20 Commissioner O'Sullivan and that these matters were 14:09 21 being discussed generally in the Mail on Sunday office. 22 Ms. O'Reilly also told Mr. Howlin that Superintendent Taylor and Commissioner O'Sullivan had 23 24 both provided this type of information to Ms. McCann. Obviously some of what Ms. O'Reilly recounted to 25 14:09 26 Mr. Howlin was hearsay evidence. 27

28 Last week, in response to Mr. Howlin's statement,
29 Ms. Alison O'Reilly sent a very helpful and detailed

1 statement to the Tribunal which covers not only her 2 account of her conversation with Mr. Howlin but also 3 her knowledge of events from 2013 until the present as seen from the perspective of the media. This statement 4 5 is of great assistance to the Tribunal and has opened 14:10 6 up a number of avenues of enquiry. Some of the 7 information is hearsay but is nevertheless helpful in 8 identifying journalists who it appears have first hand knowledge of who may have been behind a campaign to 9 10 blacken Sergeant McCabe's good name. 14:10 11 12 Sir, Gemma O'Doherty, who is a journalist, wrote to the 13 Tribunal stating that she was: 14 15 "well affair of the whispering campaign against 14:10 16 Sergeant McCabe and when I was informed about the 17 allegations of child sexual assault against him I put 18 them to him. He immediately informed me as to what 19 happened and when I did some further investigation into 20 the allegations I found them to lack credibility 14:10 entirelv." 21 22 23 Ms. O'Doherty elaborated on this in a subsequent letter 24 to the Tribunal on the 18th May 2017: 25 14:11 "It was in 2013 that I first became aware that 26 27 Sergeant McCabe was being associated with an allegation of child sexual assault. Rumours about this were 28 29 circulating in a number of Dublin news rooms at the

time. It is my understanding that a copy of the garda file concerning the allegations had been provided Mr. Paul Williams (special correspondent) by senior Gardaí in Garda Headquarters and that was how 'the word' got out.

14:11

7 The fact that a garda investigation file was said to 8 exist and that it contained damaging details concerning 9 Sergeant McCabe gave the story certain credibility, but 10 knowing Sergeant McCabe I was in no doubt that this was 14:11 11 a malicious campaign against him orchestrated by 12 certain people who wanted to silence him and knew they 13 couldn't.

15 I spoke to Garda wilson about the allegations that I 14:12 16 had heard concerning Sergeant McCabe. He was aware of 17 them. He said that a number of journalists were on the story but that it was untrue. One such individual was 18 19 Paul Williams. Paul Williams has significant garda 20 connections which both he and [Independent News and 14:12 Media] rely upon for exclusive information... 21

23It is my understanding that the same firm of solicitors24represents Paul Williams [Independent News and Media]25and the woman who was purportedly behind the26allegations of sexual abuse concerning27Sergeant McCabe...

28

29

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

14

Rumours circulated that a copy of the garda file had

105

1 been given to Paul Williams and that it revealed that 2 Sergeant McCabe was under investigation for child 3 sexual abuse... Garda Wilson also informed me that a reporter named Debbie McCann. who worked for the Irish 4 Daily Mail and whose father was a senior garda, was 5 14:12 6 allegedly one of the journalists that was putting the 7 word out that Sergeant McCabe was a child sex abuser. 8 He said that she called him a "paedo"."

10Sir, former Commissioner Callinan also responded to14:1311these allegations in his statement to the Tribunal on12the 30th May 2017:

9

13

19

14"At the outset it is unclear to me as to how all of the15matters recited by Gemma O'Doherty fall within the14:1316terms of reference. Nonetheless I propose to deal with17the matters of which I have direct knowledge and which18would seem to be of relevance to me.

I recall that in 2007 I was using my private car to 20 14:13 21 attend to an urgent operational matter when I was 22 recorded as exceeding the speed limit. I subsequently 23 applied to have the fixed charge penalty cancelled. I became aware that Ms. Gemma O'Doherty had been 24 furnished with details and that she had called to my 25 14:14 26 home late one night looking for me at a time when I was 27 out of the country on state security business. I was 28 unhappy that a journalist firstly had my home address 29 and secondly called to my home in relation to a matter

that could have been dealt with during the hours of
 business and through the Press Office in a normal way.

3

13

18

I contacted the Press Officer, Superintendent David 4 Taylor, and informed him of the visit to my home. I 5 14:14 6 asked him to make contact with the newspaper to inform them that the Garda Press Office is open from early 7 8 morning until late night to deal with any press queries, in addition to having an email service to 9 facilitate queries from journalists. Superintendent 10 14:14 11 Taylor subsequently informed me that he had spoken to 12 the newspaper concerned and conveyed my message."

Commissioner O'Sullivan also responded to
Ms. O'Doherty's statement, pointing out that she does 14:14
not and has not had a close relationship with Paul
Williams.

19 Sir, some of Superintendent Taylor's phones, phone 20 bills, laptop and emails have been made available to 14:15 21 the Tribunal and are being examined, including those 22 already examined as part of the section 62 criminal investigation referred to earlier. The Tribunal has 23 also received former Commissioner Martin Callinan's 24 25 phone, which was previously with the Fennelly 14:15 26 commission. That phone was not forensically examined 27 as part of that commission, but will now undergo analysis as part of Tribunal's work. 28 The Tribunal has 29 recently received a further phone which was formally

used by former Commissioner Callinan which will also
 undergo analysis.

3

15

24

Sir, Superintendent Michael Flynn of the 4 5 telecommunications section of An Garda Síochána 14:16 6 provided a statement to the Tribunal on the 16th May 2017 in relation to a number of phones that the 7 8 Tribunal wished to take possession of in order to thoroughly investigate the matters within its terms of 9 reference. The statement indicates that there were six 14:16 10 11 phones granted to Commissioner O'Sullivan throughout 12 the relevant period, with an additional six allocated to former Commissioner and three allocated to 13 14 Superintendent Taylor.

16 Sir, not all of these phones have been located. In 17 total, there are fifteen mobile phones which are of interest to the Tribunal, only four of these have been 18 19 located by Gardaí, including one delivered to the 20 Tribunal just yesterday along with an iPad, both of 14:16 21 which were assigned to Commissioner O'Sullivan and will 22 be sent to the forensic service of Northern Ireland for examination. 23

14:16

Superintendent Flynn indicates that a full search is
ongoing for the remaining phones. In the meantime
Superintendent Flynn has instructed technicians "to
ensure that no mobile phones are disposed of when
replaced or returned". Previously, various seems had

been in place to donate "old, obsolete and broken
 mobile phones" to charities such as the Jack and Jill
 Foundation.

5 Sir, Superintendent Taylor's Protected Disclosure of 14:17 6 the 30th September 2016 also refers to a meeting between John McGuinness and former Commissioner 7 Callinan in the car park of Bewley's Hotel. 8 Superintendent Taylor's statement to the Tribunal 9 10 states that he was not present at the meeting, but he 14:17 11 recalls that it was arranged in haste, and in what 12 might seem to be quite unusual circumstances.

Term of reference (i) requires the Tribunal:

16 "To investigate whether a meeting took place between
17 former Commissioner Callinan and Deputy John McGuinness
18 on the 24th January 2014 in the car park of Bewley's
19 Hotel, Newlands Cross, County Dublin and to examine and
20 consider the circumstances which led to any such 14:18
21 meeting, the purpose of such meeting and matters
22 discussed at such meeting."

14:17

- In your interim of the 17th May 2017, sir, you point
  out that this issue is indivisible from the question of 14:18
  Superintendent Taylor's alleged briefing.
  Superintendent Taylor stated:
- 28

29

23

4

13

14

15

"A few days before Sergeant McCabe was due to attend

109

1 the PAC hearing in January 2014 I recall that I 2 attended Dundalk Garda Station as we were to conduct a The Commissioner was to attend for 3 press conference... that press conference but I had travelled in advance to 4 make preparations... After the Commissioner arrived, I 14:19 5 briefed him in the superintendent's office in Dundalk 6 7 Garda Station. During that briefing I was informed by 8 the Commissioner that he had a telephone conversation with John McGuinness, then Chairman of the PAC, who he 9 informed me had agreed to meet with him. The location 10 14:19 11 of the meeting was Bewley's Hotel at Newlands Cross as 12 it was on Mr. McGuinness's route home. I knew the Commissioner had been trying to reach out to 13 Mr. McGuinness in his capacity as Chairman of the PAC 14 15 concerning Sergeant McCabe. The Commissioner never 14:19 16 discussed with me what he wanted to discuss with 17 Mr. McGuinness. He had mentioned Mr. McGuinness guite often in the previous days. 18

20 I had to delay the press conference as the Commissioner 14:20 21 decided to leave Dundalk and to immediately travel to 22 meet Mr. McGuinness. The Commissioner returned later 23 that day, perhaps at about 4:00pm or after, and the press conference was held then. The Commissioner never 24 discussed the meeting with me save to confirm it took 25 14:20 place." 26

27

19

28Deputy McGuinness and former Commissioner Callinan29confirm that the meeting took place at the time and

place specified in the terms of reference. They also agree that Sergeant McCabe was discussed at that meeting. They differ fundamentally, however, in their accounts of how the subject was broached. Deputy McGuinness gave a statement to the Tribunal on the 28th 14:20 March 2017 in which he recalls the meeting, and I quote:

8

"Mr. Callanan asked if I was aware of issues 9 surrounding Mr. McCabe's personal life. I stated that 10 14:20 11 I had heard vague rumours and gossip that Mr. McCabe 12 had abused someone and that he was a paedophile but that I had been assured by Mr. McCabe that these 13 14 rumours were lies, and that he had heard them before 15 and that they were malicious falsehoods. Mr. Callinan 14:21 16 stated to me that the rumours were true, that 17 Mr. McCabe had sexually abused someone and that he was not a credible person. Mr. Callinan stated that an 18 investigation into Mr. McCabe's activities was 19 20 underway. Mr. Callinan then asked me was I aware 14:21 21 Mr. McCabe had sexually abused family members. I was 22 shocked and extremely troubled by what Mr. Callinan was 23 telling because the allegations being made were extreme and the person relaying them to me, as well as the fact 24 that an investigation had commenced, was the 25 14:21 26 Commissioner of An Garda Síochána. When he left my car 27 Mr. Callinan put his hand on my arm and stated that Mr. McCabe was not reliable and could not be trusted 28 29 and suggested that I had gotten myself and the Public

1	Accounts Committee into a lot of trouble by pursuing	
2	the penalty points issue."	
3		
4	Deputy McGuinness's statement to the Tribunal states	
5	that he kept a handwritten note of the meeting. A copy 14	:22
6	of the handwritten note is now with the Tribunal. The	
7	relevant extracts are noted as:	
8		
9	"Callinan	
10	McCabe	:22
11	Sexual abuse!	
12	Individual + family	
13	Don't trust him	
14	Story not credible	
15	Investigations ongoing 14	:22
16	He's not credible."	
17		
18	Sir, former Commissioner Callinan for his part in his	
19	statement to the Tribunal of the 13th March 2017	
20	describes the meeting as follows: 14	:22
21		
22	"During the course of the meeting Deputy McGuinness	
23	asked why was Sergeant McCabe raising these issues. He	
24	asked if it was because of the file that went to the	
25	DPP. It was clear he was already aware prior to our 14:	:23
26	meeting that Sergeant McCabe had been the subject of a	
27	criminal investigation. His query in this regard was	
28	not in response to nor was it prompted by anything I	
29	had said. I responded by telling him that if he knew	

about the file that went to the DPP he knew what the DPP had decided. He indicated to me that he knew all about the allegation underlying the investigation."

1

2

3

4

9

22

23

24

25

26

5 The former Commissioner provided the Tribunal with an 14:23 6 additional statement on the 30th May 2017, further 7 responding to the allegations that had been made by 8 Deputy McGuinness, which he denies. And I quote:

10 "At paragraph 11, McGuinness alleges that I asked him 14:23 11 if he was aware of issues surrounding Mr. McCabe's 12 This is not correct. As I indicated in personal life. my previous statement, Mr. McGuinness already appeared 13 14 to be aware of the fact that Sergeant McCabe had been 15 the subject of a criminal investigation and the nature 14:24 16 of that investigation. He said that he had been 17 assured by Sergeant McCabe that these rumours were all lies, that he had heard them before and that they were 18 19 malicious falsehoods. Mr. McGuinness was the one to raise the issue at our meeting, however the word 20 14:24 21 "paedophile" never entered the conversation.

I did not at any time state to Mr. McGuinness that Mr. McCabe had sexually abused anyone or that he was not a credible person.

14:24

I never at any stage indicated to Mr. McGuinness that
an investigation into Mr. McCabe's activities was
underway. Indeed this would have been entirely

1 contrary to my understanding of matters at that time. 2 I was aware that the investigation in 2006 had long 3 since completed from reading the reference to it in what is referred to by the O'Higgins Commission as the 4 5 Byrne/McGinn report. I am clear in my mind that there 14:25 6 was no new investigation ongoing at that time in 7 relation to allegations of sexual assault against 8 Sergeant McCabe, nor was I aware of any fresh allegation of any description against him. 9 10 14:25 11 I never at any stage indicated to Mr. McGuinness that 12 Sergeant McCabe had sexually abused family members. 13 14 As I indicated previously, I never stated that 15 Sergeant McCabe was not reliable and could not be 14:25 16 what I did say to Mr. McGuinness was that trusted. 17 some of the allegations made by Sergeant McCabe in 18 relation to the fixed charge penalty system was 19 questionable, in that a number of those allegations 20 turned out to be untrue and Assistant Commissioner 14:25 21 O'Mahoney's report highlighted some of those issues." 22 23 Sir, Commissioner O'Sullivan in her statement to the 24 Tribunal of the 13th March 2017 says: 25 14:26 26 "I was not aware of any meeting between Commissioner 27 Callinan and Deputy McGuinness as outlined by Deputy 28 McGuinness... I was not at, and had not been invited to 29 participate in, the press conference with Commissioner

1 Callinan is alleged to have postponed for this purpose, 2 and I had no knowledge of any proposed arrangements for 3 a meeting or indeed of the meeting between former Commissioner Callinan and Deputy McGuinness. 4 I first became aware of such a meeting when the matter was 5 14:26 6 reported in the media following a statement made at that time by Deputy John McGuinness." 7 8 Sergeant McCabe's Protected Disclosure of the 26th 9 10 September 2016 reports Superintendent Taylor stating, 14:26 11 and I quote: 12 "Commissioner O'Sullivan would have known of the 13 14 meeting in the car park of Bewley's Hotel because 15 Commissioner Callinan always kept her informed of such 14:27 16 matters." 17 Superintendent Taylor told your investigators that: 18 19 20 "I would have sent out a press invite 24 before [the 14:27 21 press conference] that would have been sent to all the 22 media and to Garda senior management team, including the Deputy Commissioner." 23 24 Sir, Superintendent Taylor in a statement taken by your 14:27 25 26 investigators reiterated that he was not at the meeting 27 in Bewley's car park on the 24th January 2016, but was 28 present at the Public Accounts Committee meeting the 29 previous day. You will recall that this was the

1 meeting at which the then Commissioner Callinan 2 described whistleblowers as "disgusting" which he 3 subsequently clarified, and I quote: 4 5 "Was not in reference to the character of either 14:28 6 Sergeant McCabe or former Garda Wilson, but the manner 7 in which personal and sensitive data was 8 inappropriately appearing in the public domain without regard to due process and fair procedures." 9 10 14:28 11 According to Superintendent Taylor, after the PAC 12 meeting and with then Deputy Commissioner O'Sullivan, then Garda Communications Director Andrew McLindon, 13 14 Assistant Commissioner John O'Mahoney and Deputy 15 McGuinness, all present in the room, Commissioner 14:28 16 Callinan referred to Sergeant McCabe as, as I quote, a 17 "kiddie fiddler" in conversation with Deputy McGuinness. The Tribunal is currently attempting to 18 19 establish whether any of these other persons present 20 heard the comments allegedly made by former 14:29 21 Commissioner Callinan to Deputy McGuinness. 22 23 Former Commissioner Callinan provided an additional 24 statement to the Tribunal on the 30th May 2017 denying the allegation made by Deputy McGuinness in relation to 14:29 25 26 their conversations on the 23rd January 2014. Не 27 asserts the following: 28 "Mr. McGuinness states that at the conclusion of the 29

116

1 hearing on the 23rd January 2014 he approached me to 2 thank me for attending the Committee. This is correct 3 and it was certainly his normal practice to do so after such meetings. I recall that we exchanged pleasantries 4 5 and engaged in mundane conversation about it having 14:29 6 been a long day and so on. While I believe the then 7 Deputy Commissioner Nóirín O'Sullivan and other 8 colleagues were in the vicinity preparing to leave, I am unsure as to whether they were party to any 9 conversation I had with Mr. McGuinness. His assertion 10 14:29 11 that I said "this man fiddles with children, this is 12 outrageous" is simply incorrect and untrue."

14Sir, the Comptroller and Auditor General, Seamus15McCarthy, also gave a statement to the Tribunal on the<br/>14:301613th March 2017 recalling the PAC meeting of the 23rd17January 2014, and I quote:

13

18

19 "Commissioner Callinan appeared at that meeting as 20 accounting officer. In the lobby outside the Committee 14:30 21 meeting room, I met Commissioner Callinan and we spoke 22 briefly. No one else was party to the conversation, which lasted probably not more than about five minutes. 23 I had previously had no direct personal communication 24 or conversation with Commissioner Callinan about my 25 14:30 26 report [about the penalty points allegation]. I do not 27 know recall any conversation (beyond normal social 28 greetings) on the day with other senior garda 29 personnel.

1 2 Early in his conversation with me Commissioner Callinan 3 referred to Sergeant McCabe by name and made statements to the effect that Sergeant McCabe was not to be 4 trusted, that he had questions to answer, and that 5 14:31 6 there were allegations of sexual offences against him. 7 8 I responded to the effect that my report was not an investigation of any of the whistleblowers' 9 allegations; that it was based on analysis of random 10 14:31 11 sample of fixed charge notice cases extracted by my office's examination team from the garda records; and 12 that my findings were not affected or influenced by the 13 14 whistleblower's motives. I did not probe the nature of 15 the alleged sexual offences to which he had referred 14:31 16 and he did not provide any information in that regard. 17 I did not acknowledge Sergeant McCabe as being the 18 whistleblower who had contacted my office.... 19 20 During the subsequent Committee meeting I thought about 14:32 21 what Commissioner Callinan had said to me personally 22 about Sergeant McCabe and realised that the words he 23 had used meant that Sergeant McCabe was accused of and 24 was being investigated for alleged sexual offences. I concluded that his statement referred to the alleged 25 14:32 26 involvement of Sergeant McCabe in the loss of a 27 computer in a paedophile case in Cavan/Monaghan, of which I was previously aware." 28 29

Sir, former Commissioner Callinan has responded to this statement in his additional statement, in which he recalls meeting Mr. McCarthy but denies introducing the allegations against Sergeant McCabe into the conversation, and I quote:

1

2

3

4

5

6

19

"I recall meeting Mr. McCarthy outside the Committee 7 8 room on the 23rd January 2014 on the stairs leading down to the lobby and the Committee rooms. 9 Mr. McCarthy spoke about his audit and we briefly 10 14:33 11 chatted about a number of fixed charge penalty notices 12 and previous audits where weaknesses in the system were highlighted. He mentioned a file he had received from 13 14 a whistleblower in 2012, which prompted the audit. 15 Even though Mr. McCarthy did not name the 14:33 whistleblower, I was satisfied that Sergeant McCabe was 16 17 I believe that this would have been obvious a party. to all concerned at this point. 18

20 I indicated to Mr. McCarthy that some of the 14:33 21 allegations made by Sergeant McCabe on the fixed charge 22 penalty system were questionable as a number of the 23 allegations made were subsequently proved to be incorrect. Mr. McCarthy said he had heard the rumours 24 about Sergeant McCabe being investigated over an 25 14:33 26 allegation of a sexual nature. He did not elaborate 27 on, nor specify, what these rumours were. I indicated 28 to Mr. McCarthy that this was several years ago and that the Director of Public Prosecutions directed no 29

1 prosecution. That was the extent of the conversation 2 on that subject. 3 4 I did not at any point state that Sergeant McCabe was not to be trusted nor that he had questions to answer. 5 14:34 I did not state that there were any allegations of 6 sexual offences against him." 7 8 Sir, former Commissioner Callinan vehemently denies all 9 the allegations made against him. He says: 10 14:34 11 12 "I wish to state categorically that I did not direct Superintendent Taylor to brief the media to the effect 13 14 that Sergeant McCabe was motivated by malice or 15 Nor did I direct Superintendent Taylor to revenge. 14:34 16 encourage the media to write negatively about 17 Sergeant McCabe. It is also incorrect to allege that I instructed Superintendent Taylor to encourage the media 18 19 to report that Sergeant McCabe was therefore driven by 20 his own improper agendas. 14:35 21 22 It is undoubtedly a matter of public record that I did 23 disagree with the manner in which Sergeant McCabe was 24 disclosing personal, sensitive data pertaining to members of the public to the Public Accounts Committee 25 14:35 and other third parties. However, I reject any 26 27 suggestion that I directed Superintendent Taylor to 28 engage in any improper campaign to negatively brief the 29 media to the effect that he was driven by an improper

120

1 agenda.

2

11

18

3 I note in Superintendent Taylor Protected Disclosures that he alleged that there was a campaign at the 4 highest level in An Garda Síochána involving me as the 5 14:35 Commissioner and the then Deputy Commissioner Nóirín 6 O'Sullivan, to discredit Sergeant McCabe. 7 *I* absolutely 8 refute that allegation. I have no knowledge of any such meetings, nor have I ever received any report of 9 such a campaign within An Garda Síochána. 10 14:36

12 I specifically wish to state that I did not direct 13 Superintendent Taylor to draw journalists' attention to 14 the complaint of criminal misconduct made against 15 Sergeant McCabe. Nor did I direct him to suggest to 14:36 16 journalists and others that this was the root cause of 17 his agenda.

19 It is striking that the allegation made by 20 Superintendent Taylor is made without any reference to 14:36 21 the surrounding detail, context or the circumstances in 22 which the purported direction was made. Given the extraordinary nature of this allegation, that I gave 23 24 him such a direction, it is remarkable that the account he has given devoid of any such detail and puts me in 25 14:36 26 the position of being able to do little more than 27 simply deny it, which I do fully....

28

29

I was not aware of the creation, distribution and use

1 by Tusla of a file containing false allegations of 2 sexual abuse against Sergeant McCabe that was sent to 3 the Gardaí in 2013. Therefore, the implication that I used such information to discredit Sergeant McCabe in 4 some way is wholly unfounded." 5 14:37 6 7 Sir, the former Commissioner does however admit to once 8 sharing information about the allegation made against Sergeant McCabe in his statement: 9 10 14:37 11 "In late 2013 I spoke with the then Minister for 12 Justice Alan Shatter TD. This was in connection with the report prepared by Assistant Commissioner John 13 14 O'Mahoney, which I believe Minister Shatter received on the 28th March 2013. 15 14:37 16 17 This was in the context of a briefing with the Minister. I believe that on the occasion in question 18 19 we spoke by telephone. During the course of 20 conversation Mr. Shatter specifically asked me if there 14:38 21 was anything in the background relating to 22 Sergeant McCabe that he should be aware of. In response I told him that there had been an allegation 23 24 of inappropriate contact by Sergeant McCabe with a child in 2006. I made it clear to him that the matter 25 14:38 26 had been investigated and that a file had been sent to 27 the DPP who had directed no prosecution." 28

122

Sir, it will be apparent from the foregoing that there

are substantially different accounts of the same 1 2 incidents being given by the various protagonists. We 3 hope to hold hearings on this matter in October and November of this year and to explore the contradictions 4 with a view to making your task of deciding where the 5 14:38 truth lies easier. 6 7 8 I now turn to the broadcasts on RTÉ on the 9th May on the leaked O'Higgins Report. 9 10 14:39 11 Sir, the report of the O'Higgins Commission was 12 delivered to the Minister For Justice and Equality on the 25th April 2016. As in many previous instances it 13 14 may be fair to note that the publication of this report 15 was perhaps precipitated by the leaking of the report 14:39 16 and discussion on RTÉ throughout the 9th May 2016. 17 Sir, what is involved in your investigation here is 18 19 whether "briefing material prepared in Garda 20 Headquarters influenced or attempted to influence" 14:39 21 these broadcasts. It is said that contrary to the duty 22 of the media, the reports were not accurate and in 23 particular that Sergeant McCabe "was branded a liar and 24 irresponsible". 25 14:39 26 The only place to begin in such an inquiry is with the 27 actual text of the broadcasts concerning the O'Higgins 28 report. On the television news at one o'clock on RTÉ, the word "lie" was indeed used in the following 29

1 context, and I quote:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

"Paul Reynolds: It was the allegations of Sergeant McCabe of corruption and malpractice in Garda Síochána that threatened public confidence in the 14:40 police service. These led to the establishment of the O'Higgins Commission.

Its report, seen by RTÉ news, has found there were 9 problems with Garda management and resources at many 10 14:40 11 levels but no evidence of garda criminality or 12 It identified serious failings in eight corruption. criminal investigations from Bailieboro Garda Station. 13 14 These included assaults, dangerous driving and child 15 The most serious began with a report called "a abuse. 14:40 16 savage attack" on a taxi driver, Mary Lynch, by Jerry 17 McGrath, who was only charged with a minor assault. This was strongly criticised by the report. While on 18 19 bail for that McGrath first abducted a five-year-old child but was given bail again and then went on to 20 14:41 21 murder Sylvia Roche Kelly. The Commission found that 22 the investigations were led by junior, inexperienced and probationary Gardaí and the Commission said that 23 24 the failings were at a human level caused by poor individual performance and in many cases by poor 25 14:41 26 supervision. The investigations were characterised by 27 errors and delays and the report found that victims of crime were not well served by Gardaí or GSOC 28 29 investigations.

2 On Sergeant Maurice McCabe, the Commission says he 3 acted out of genuine and legitimate concerns and is a dedicated and committed member of the Gardaí. It also 4 said that he was prone to exaggeration and while some 5 14:41 6 of his complaints were upheld, others were found to be 7 overstated, exaggerated unfounded and were withdrawn. 8 On the former Garda Commissioner, the report says "it 9 must be stated clearly and ambiguously [sic] --" 10 14:42 11 12 That should be unambiguously, sir. 13 14 "-- "it must be stated clearly and unambiguously there 15 is not a scintilla of evidence to support an allegation 14:42 16 of any corruption against the former Commissioner" and 17 that Martin Callinan was entitled to have his reputation vindicated. The Commission also found the 18 19 former Minister for Justice Alan Shatter's actions were 20 entirely reasonable and appropriate and that he took 14:42 21 the allegations of garda whistleblowers very seriously. 22 The report concluded that much of what happened could have been avoided or resolved if garda inspector had 23 24 been appointed to Bailieboro Garda Station. 25 14:42 26 John Finnerty: And Paul is in studio now. Paul. first 27 of all, where does this leave complaints by whistleblower Maurice McCabe? 28 29

1

1 Paul Reynolds: Well, Sergeant Maurice McCabe is 2 described in this report as the central figure in this 3 investigation and it says that he acted out of genuine and legitimate concerns, he showed courage, he 4 5 performed a public service at considerable personal 14:43 6 cost and that he is due the gratitude of the general 7 public and the Garda Síochána. It does also sav that 8 while some of his complaints were upheld others were found to be exaggerated, overstated and were withdrawn. 9 And it also said that while the sergeant was never less 14:43 10 11 than truthful in his evidence to the Commission it did 12 highlight a number of incidents, including cases where he wrongly claimed that a minor assault was a rape, he 13 14 alleged there had been an attempt to poison a father 15 and son when there was no evidence to support this, the 14:43 16 Commission found, and also the Commission says, that he 17 lied in a report to a senior officer."

19 Sir, on a programme known as RTÉ Six One, in other 20 words the ordinary television news that people may 14:44 21 watch if they are back home from work in time, that 22 notion of a lie was repeated but it was not repeated on 23 the nine o'clock news bulletin television. Here is the 24 relevant extract:

18

25

14:44

26 "Sharon Ní Bheoláin: Well, Paul Reynolds is with us
27 here in studio. Paul, we've had the internal garda
28 reports, we've had the Sean Guerin report, we have this
29 commission report now, is this the end of the saga as

far as garda failure allegations is concerned?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Paul Reynolds: Well, in this case it looks like it. I mean, if you look at where we are today, the former Commissioner Martin Callinan lost his job, the former Minister for Justice, Alan Shatter, lost his job, and the former Garda confidential recipient, Oliver Connolly, lost his job and they're not going to get those jobs back.

14:45

In relation to Sergeant Maurice McCabe, the Commission's report has found that he's displayed great courage, that he acted in the interest of the public service and that he should be commended and trusted by the public and within An Garda Síochána. But it also highlights a certain amount of criticism in relation to him. It says a lot of his complaints aren't upheld, and perhaps most damning of all it does say in the report that he told a lie in an internal garda report.

14:45

21 However, he still remains a serving of An Garda 22 Síochána and the report says he is a dedicated and committed members of the force. On the broader issue 23 24 though. this Commission's report has raised fundamental questions about how the Garda investigate serious crime 14:45 25 26 and particularly in these cases their ability to 27 investigate serious crime has fallen well short of what 28 the public demands from an organisation charged with 29 this responsibility. Interestingly enough, similar

1 questions and similar findings were made in the 2 internal Garda report, the Byrne/McGinn report, which 3 was referred to in the Commission report. But that was never published. The public didn't know about it and 4 5 we are only now aware of the detail of all these cases 14:46 6 thanks to the publication of the Commission's report and indeed thanks to the work of Sergeant Maurice 7 8 McCabe and the fact that he did blow the whistle on this sort of thing and pushed it so far. However, in 9 relation to this, perhaps if the Gardaí had been more 10 14:46 11 open about the their own failings, perhaps if they had 12 acted quicker, if they had, as the report says, appointed an inspector in 2006 when one was looked at, 13 14 we wouldn't be in the position we're in today." 15 14:46 16 Sir, there were also a number of relevant radio 17 broadcasts on the same. On Morning Ireland, Paul Reynolds again refers to Sergeant McCabe telling a lie: 18 19 20 "Fran McNulty: It's just gone half past eight, we'll 14:47 21 come to news headlines presently. Paul, chapter 10 22 details an assault at Crossan's Pub in Bailieboro in County Cavan on the 23rd May 2017. 23 24 Paul Reynolds: Yeah. This was a probationer garda 25 14:47 26 again investigated this case. A probationer garda is a 27 trainee garda, just out of Templemore. In some of 28 these cases the probationer who investigated these 29 cases, it was their first case. On the 23rd May 2017

Mr. R was attacked in a pub. He received injuries to 1 2 his face and head. He was helped from the pub by a 3 friend. Collected by his wife. He went to hospital two days later and was diagnosed "minor head injury and 4 concussion". Ten months later two suspects were 5 14:47 6 arrested. One was charged and remanded on bail at Monaghan District Court. The victim however later 7 8 withdrew his complaint and the DPP directed the charge be withdrawn. Now it transpired that the probationer 9 garda had in fact encouraged the victim to withdraw his 14:48 10 11 statement and the victim was misled in relation to 12 evidence in the case. The commission found that "unfortunately in this instance, the trust the victim 13 14 and his wife had in the Gardaí" quote "was not 15 justified". 14:48

Fran McNulty: And what about Sergeant Maurice McCabe's claims in this case?

16

17

18

19

20 Paul Reynolds: Well it says, the report says that 14:48 21 Sergeant McCabe was guite right to be suspicious of the 22 fact that the complaint had been withdrawn. Quote: "It is clear from the evidence of AC Derek Byrne that 23 he considered Sergeant McCabe's complaints in the 24 matter substantiated." But also the details the 25 14:48 26 Sergeant submitted in his complaints were exaggerated 27 and wrong. The Commission found that Sergeant McCabe was "incorrect to say that" quote "an ambulance was 28 29 called and that the injured party was rushed to

129

hospital that night". Quote: "He did not attend 1 2 hospital until two days after the incident." It also 3 pointed out Sergeant McCabe said the victims were linking a personnel trauma to an assault but it later 4 emerged that this was not the case. This trauma was 5 14:49 6 not being linked to the investigation. Also, while on 7 the one hand the Commission said Sergeant McCabe was "never less than truthful in his evidence" that he gave 8 to the Commission, it also says that he lied during 9 this case, or, as the Commission puts it "told this 10 14:49 11 untruth" in a report to a senior officer. On the 3rd 12 March 2008 the Commission report says "the sergeant reported that a complaint had been made to GSOC", end 13 14 quote, when he knew, the Commission says, he was quote 15 "aware" that this was not the case. He told the 14:50 16 Commission that the reason for the untruth was that he 17 felt the victim and his wife had been badly treated and that he knew the reference to GSOC would ensure the 18 matter would receive attention. Quote, "while his 19 concern was genuine and commendable," the Commission 20 14:50 21 said "it was unacceptable to furnish false information 22 in a report"."

Later, on the Today with Séan O'Rourke programme,
 presenter Keelin Shanley, in posing a question to the 14:50
 reporter Mick Clifford referred to Sergeant McCabe
 having told a lie:

28

29

23

"Keelin Shanley: And just, Mick, to put some of what

130

we understand to be in the report, it says that the 1 2 sergeant, Sergeant McCabe, was never less than truthful 3 in his evidence, it highlighted through a number of incidents, including cases where he wrongly claimed a 4 minor assault was a rape, he alleged that there had 5 14:51 6 been an attempt to poison a father and a young son when there's no evidence to support it, and he lied in a 7 8 report to a senior officer. The Commission has said 9 that whilst his concerns were genuine and commendable, it was unacceptable to furnish false information in a 10 14:51 11 report."

Sir, as to the word "irresponsible", this does not
occur anywhere in any of the broadcasts. The
description does, however, appear in Sergeant McCabe's 14:51
Protected Disclosure of the 26th September 2016.

12

17

22

18 While the word "lie" does not appear in relation to
19 Sergeant McCabe in the O'Higgins Commission Report we
20 would point out that an equivalent expression does 14:52
21 indeed occur at one point.

This was where the Commission was reporting on an investigation into an assault on the 23rd May 2007 in a public house in Bailieboro, when a man suffered serious 14:52 injuries to his head and face. This man was helped back to his flat and was collected there by his wife who then brought him to Cavan General Hospital. In March 2008 two suspects were arrested in connection

1 with the assault and detained for questioning. 2 However, on the 28th November 2007 the injured party 3 made a statement withdrawing his complaint in controversial circumstances which were indeed 4 5 criticised by Mr. Justice O'Higgins. Other aspects of 14:52 6 the investigation were deemed unsatisfactory, including 7 the delay in compiling the file, which was described as 8 *"inordinate and inexcusable"*. There was also inordinate delay in interviewing witnesses and internal 9 10 communications during the investigations were poor. А 14:53 11 lack of professionalism was shown in taking statements, 12 including that many were unsigned and the supervision of the relevant garda officers was regarded as 13 14 inadequate. Sergeant McCabe had complained to 15 Superintendent Clancy on the 20th January 2008 about 14:53 16 the investigation files not being completed or being 17 very poor, together with the non-performance of officers in relation to their work. 18

20 Sir, apparently with a view to getting Superintendent 14:53 21 Clancy to take this case seriously, Sergeant McCabe 22 informed him that the victims had lodged a complaint with the Garda Síochána Ombudsman Commission. 23 This was 24 not so and, as Mr. Justice O'Higgins found, Sergeant McCabe knew full well that this was not so. 25 In plain 14:53 26 parlance, this was a lie. Perhaps in the context of 27 the call to duty and the findings of the Commission in 28 favour of the complaints made by Sergeant McCabe, it 29 was a very small matter. Indeed, one would ponder in

19

in the context of a report lasting 362 pages how a
 journalist might manage to find this one flaw. Was it
 reported with emphasis? That, sir, is a matter for
 you. Here, in any event, is the relevant paragraph,
 10.86 of the report:

7 "In a report of the 3rd March 2008 Sergeant McCabe 8 informed Superintendent Clancy of the complaints which had been made to him by [the victim of the assault and 9 his wife]. He reported that a complaint had been made 10 14:54 11 to [the Garda Síochána Ombudsman Commission], although he was aware this was not the case. He told the 12 Commission that this the reason for this untruth was 13 14 that he felt [the victim and his wife] had been badly 15 treated and he knew that the reference to [the Garda 14:55 16 Síochána Ombudsman Commission] would ensure that the 17 matter would receive attention. While the concern was genuine and commendable it is unacceptable to furnish 18 19 false information in a report."

Sir, we have nothing more to say about this matter. We hope to hold hearings on this matter in October/November when the issues will be explored in detail.

14:55

14:55

14:54

I now turn to the credit, credibility and the O'Higgins Commission.

27 28

29

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

6

Sir, as we have mentioned before, you are required to

1 investigate whether "false allegations of sexual abuse 2 or any other unjustified grounds were inappropriately relied upon by Commissioner O'Sullivan" during the 3 hearings of the O'Higgins Commission. 4 In making an 5 opening statement on the 27th February 2017, sir, you 14:55 6 touched on this matter by recording your expectation 7 that those cross-examining witnesses were expected to 8 come to the point. This can be understood to mean that the parties to the Tribunal should concentrate on facts 9 in issue and not on issues of credit unless it is 10 14:56 11 absolutely necessary. You, sir, went on to say:

12

"While cross-examination is an instrument for finding 13 14 the truth, it can also be used to obfuscate and to 15 divert attention away from the central issues. It is 14:56 16 expected that the represented parties will provide 17 their legal representative with clear and instructions: that they will tell them what facts they will later 18 testify to. Cross-examination as to credit can be 19 20 legitimate. That may or may not be at the discretion 14:56 21 of counsel. It may depend on the client or it may be 22 within counsel's hands. The credit of a witness may be important, apart from their opportunity of observation, 23 24 sureness of memory or possible motive. Where a witness is coming from may be germane in some cases. If, for 25 14:57 26 instance, a prisoner sharing a cell with an accused 27 person on remand on a charge of murder claims that the accused confessed his motive to him for killing the 28 29 victim, then the fact that the prisoner as a witness

himself has a previous fraud conviction is important. It would be less important if he had been unfaithful to his girlfriend or perhaps had done something discreditable whilst under strain or while young. The law of evidence allows the control of cross-examination 14:57 as to the credit of a witness based on its usefulness to the determination of the facts at issue and its length. That is a rule of commonsense."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Sir, evidence of disposition or reputation is tightly 10 14:57 11 controlled by the law of evidence. Reputation as to 12 good character may be introduced by an accused in a criminal case but not by one of the parties to a civil 13 14 suit. Evidence as to bad character in a criminal trial 15 is restricted to clearly defined exceptions to the 14:58 16 general rule that such evidence is inadmissible. 17 Clearly, where an issue arises as to the witness's means of knowledge or perhaps their opportunity for 18 19 observation, cross-examination may undermine the credibility of a witness's account without at the same 20 14:58 21 time attacking the character of the witness. when. 22 however, one moves to credit, counsel is in the realm of undermining the character of a witness with a view 23 24 to demonstrating that the witness should by reason of unrelated behaviour or disposition be disbelieved. 25 14:58 26

Even textbooks tend towards confusion in this area by
mistaking issues as to credibility with the diminution
of credibility due to lack of credit worthiness of a

1 In the civil case, *Clayton v. Cashman* [2006] witness. 2 IEHC 360, Peart J referred to the judicial assessment 3 of evidence as including the consistency of the witness's evidence with what is agreed, and clearly 4 5 shown by other evidence to have occurred, the internal 14:59 6 consistency of the witness's evidence, that consistency 7 with what the witness said on other occasions, the 8 credibility of the witness generally and the witness's demeanour. 9

10

11 There is a clear distinction, sir, between suggesting to a witness that they are wrong because they had 12 limited opportunity to view an event and clearly 13 14 stating that a witness is not telling the truth because 15 of unrelated matters. Examples of the latter would 14:59 16 perhaps include some previous convictions of the 17 witness, lies told on previous occasions and perhaps some interest in the outcome of the proceedings. 18 The 19 former is designed to demonstrate that a witness cannot 20 be relied upon on this occasion because his testimony 15:00 21 is vulnerable to objective scrutiny when contrasted to 22 the other known or proved facts. The latter is 23 designed to expose the witness as someone who because 24 of their bad character is incapable of belief. 25

15:00

14:59

26 Sir, within the terms of reference as set by the 27 Oireachtas, you will find reference to a requirement to 28 consider whether Sergeant Maurice McCabe was "driven by 29 agendas", that agenda being based on "an allegation of

criminal misconduct made against him" and that in consequence he wished to take revenge against the Gardaí. It may be argued that a cross-examination along those lines involves an attack on the character of Sergeant McCabe so as to expose him as someone who 15:00 should not be believed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

Sir, the Tribunal has been in correspondence with
Commissioner O'Sullivan as to whether she wishes to
waive legal privilege in relation to whatever
instructions she gave to counselling appearing on her
behalf before the O'Higgins Commission.

14 Furthermore, it is worth pointing out that counsel on 15 behalf of the Commissioner also appeared on behalf of 15:01 16 24 other named Gardaí. They included Assistant 17 Commissioner Catherine Clancy, retired Chief Superintendent Colm Rooney, Superintendent Noel 18 19 Cunningham, retired Superintendent Maura Lernihan, 20 retired Chief Superintendent John Grogan, Chief 15:01 21 Superintendent Michael Clancy, Superintendent Noel 22 Carolan, Superintendent Karl Heller, Assistant Commissioner Kieran Kenny, retired Superintendent John 23 24 Courtney, Superintendent Gerard Redmond, retired Deputy Commissioner Walter Rice, Chief Superintendent Brendan 25 15:01 26 Cloonan, Assistant Commissioner Dermot Jennings, Chief 27 Superintendent James Sheridan, Superintendent Thomas 28 Maguire, Assistant Commissioner Fintan Fanning, 29 Superintendent John G O'Brien, Superintendent Niall

Featherstone, former Commissioner Fachtna Murphy,
 former Commissioner Martin Callinan, Sergeant Gavin
 Scott, Assistant Commissioner Jack Nolan and
 Superintendent Gerard Wall.

5

17

24

15:02

6 As you will be aware, sir, legal privilege as we find 7 it in this context is absolute. Where a party is 8 requested to consider waiving the privilege it is made absolutely clear to them that no adverse inference will 9 be drawn from their failure to assert what is a legal 10 15:02 11 entitle. However, the Commissioner, in the 12 circumstances of this Tribunal, has taken the exceptional approach of waiving her privilege. This 13 14 should make your task considerably easier and the 15 Tribunal is grateful to the Commissioner for the stance 15:02 16 adopted by her.

Sir, the O'Higgins Commission was set up on 3rd
February 2015 and on the 25th April 2016 Mr. Justice
O'Higgins sent his final report to the Minister for 15:02
Justice. As in all such exercises, clearly between the
set up of the Commission and the commencement of
hearings there was a period of preparation.

The Commission heard 34 days of evidence and day one commenced on Thursday, 14th May 2015. What is of particular interest in relation to the report is that the vast majority of it relates to issues as to what happened or did not happen. There is very little in

1 the report that could be regarded as contest as to 2 credibility, as where two people have a private meeting 3 or encounter and each later tell diametrically different accounts. Usually cross-examination as to 4 5 credit arises in that context. 15:03 6 Sir, on day 2 of the hearings of the O'Higgins 7 8 Commission a reference was made by Chief Superintendent Colm Rooney to the supposed incident of 1998 which, 9 when ultimately remembered and reported by Ms. D in 10 15:04 11 2006, was described by the Director of Public 12 Prosecutions on the 5th April 2007 as not being an assault let alone a sexual assault. 13 14 15 Against an objection by counsel for the Commission, who 15:04 16 pointed out that the evidence appeared to be outside 17 the terms of reference, Chief Superintendent Rooney described an incident in late 2007 when he alleged 18 19 Sergeant Maurice McCabe came to him, and I quote: 20 15:04 "Demanded of me that I write to the Director of Public 21 22 Prosecutions and challenge the decision that the Director of Public Prosecutions had made in respect of 23 24 him." 25 15:04 Replying to the objection of counsel for the Tribunal. 26 27 counsel for the Garda Commissioner and other Gardaí 28 stated: 29

"Can I say, Judge, perhaps you should hear us since this is a private hearing. The relevance may be in the context of motivation for certain facts or for certain matters or indeed credibility in relation to the certain matters."

7 Counsel for Sergeant Maurice McCabe intervened at this 8 stage and asked for clarification as to whether the questions proposed were going to be asked "on the firm 9 instructions of the Commissioner and that he knows the 10 15:05 11 answers that he is seeking from this witness". There 12 was also a reference to the appropriateness of this potential line of examination. After a short break 13 14 there was some legal argument during by counsel for the 15 Commissioner said the following: 15:05

17 "I have instructions from the Commissioner, Judge. This is an inquiry dealing with allegations of 18 19 malpractice and corruption on a grand scale by members 20 of the police... My instructions are to challenge the 15:05 21 integrity certainly of Sergeant McCabe and his 22 motivation... his motivation and his credibility in mounting these allegations of corruption and 23 24 malpractice... That is the position, Judge."

15:06

15:05

There then followed the following exchange between counsel for the Commissioner and Mr. Justice O'Higgins:

28

29

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

16

"Mr. Justice O'Higgins: Yes. It seems, unless I am

140

1 mistaken... that your case is in relation to the 2 matters under investigation subject only perhaps to 3 matters that deal with general staffing levels, the condition of the Garda station in Bailieboro. that most 4 5 of the matters deal with factual issues and you are 15:06 6 saying that Garda McCabe's complaints on your 7 instructions are motivated, that they are not properly 8 motivated, that they are not genuine, that they are done for improper motives. 9 10 15:06 11 Counsel: whatever the reasons are for it on his side 12 and it runs right through all the --13 14 Mr. Justice O'Higgins: But you are attacking his 15 motivation and you are attacking his integrity. 15:07 16 17 Counsel: Right the way through. 18 19 Mr. Justice O'Higgins: Full stop. 20 15:07 21 Counsel: Yes. Full stop. 22 23 Mr. Justice O'Higgins: So be it. 24 25 Counsel: Yes." 15:07 26 27 Following further argument, Mr. Justice O'Higgins pointed out that if there was no issue on the facts the 28 29 issue of credibility would not arise. Following

1 further argument a break was bought by counsel for the 2 Commissioner and on resumption he simply said: 3 "My instructions are re-confirmed." 4 5 15:07 6 Sir, on Monday, 18th May 2015, which was the third day 7 of hearings, the following question was put by counsel 8 for the Garda Commissioner to Superintendent Cunningham: 9 10 15:07 11 "Superintendent, you were that Sergeant McCabe had a 12 personal grievance with the guards (sic), you don't 13 have to say what the grievance was, but you were aware of that?" 14 15 15:08 16 Superintendent Cunningham said that he was and then 17 asked to "put a timeframe on when you became aware of the personal grievance" and replied that it was "early 18 19 in 2007". 20 15:08 21 Sir, as you will be aware from reading the materials 22 before you, the complaint to the Gardaí from Ms. D was 23 made on the 5th December 2006 and Sergeant McCabe was 24 interviewed in relation to it on the 22nd December 2006. Various other statements were taken and a full 25 15:08 26 garda investigation file was sent to the Director of 27 Public Prosecutions on the 1st March 2007. 28 29 On day 3 of the hearings of the O'Higgins Commission,

Sergeant McCabe was giving evidence on one of the
 modules and was cross-examined by counsel for the Garda
 Commissioner. The following question was put to
 Sergeant McCabe:

5

6

7

8

16

27

15:08

"Then we know that you have a personal grievance with the guards, isn't that right?"

Sergeant McCabe denied this and said that in relation 9 to the letter from the Director of Public Prosecutions 10 15:09 that his issue with Superintendent Cunningham was the 11 fact that this decision of the Director of Public 12 13 Prosecutions had been with him for three weeks before 14 the news had been passed on. That is to 15 Sergeant McCabe. 15:09

17 Later, an issue was introduced into the proceedings as to whether Superintendent Cunningham had been told by 18 19 Sergeant McCabe that he had only made a complaint 20 against Superintendent Clancy in order to get hold of 15:09 21 the actual text of the letter from the DPP. Again on 22 day 4 of the hearings, it was suggested that 23 Sergeant McCabe had sought what was described as "a 24 declaration of his innocence from the Director of Public Prosecutions in relation to the allegation that 25 15:09 26 was made against him".

Sir on day 29 of the hearings, which was Wednesday, 4th
November 2015, Mr. Justice O'Higgins returned to the

1 exchange that had taken place on day 3 of the hearings, 2 this arose in circumstances where the Commissioner, 3 Nóirín O'Sullivan, was actually present at the Commission, and Mr. Justice O'Higgins, referring to the 4 5 earlier day 3 interaction between him and counsel, he 15:10 asked for further clarification in relation to what he 6 7 perceived to be an attack on his motivation and 8 integrity.

Counsel sought to clarify what he had previously 10 15:10 11 submitted by saying that he had never intended to 12 suggest mala fides on the part of the Sergeant McCabe. Is there a difference between a lack of integrity and 13 14 mala fides? Counsel was asked by Mr. Justice O'Higgins 15 as to whether he was continuing by "attacking his 15:11 16 motivation and... attacking his integrity". Counsel 17 replied:

9

18

19 "In relation to the corruption and malpractice 20 allegations, yes. He has alleged corruption on the 15:11 21 grand scale against Superintendent Clancy, as he then 22 was, and Superintendent Cunningham and also against former Commissioner Callinan... amongst others. 23 Не 24 indeed also made allegations of corruption against Assistant Commissioner Byrne and Chief Superintendent 25 15:11 26 McGinn. He withdrew these allegations but he was 27 invited to withdraw allegations of corruption against former Commissioner Callinan and he refused to do so... 28 29 As far as the Commissioner was concerned at all stages

144

1 I had instructions to challenge Sergeant McCabe in 2 relation to motivation and credibility." 3 In response to a mention from Mr. Justice O'Higgins, 4 again of integrity, counsel replied "No, there was no 5 15:12 mention of integrity". 6 7 8 Mr. Justice O'Higgins rightly pointed out that in indeed integrity had been mentioned previously by 9 counsel at an earlier hearing, and if someone was 10 15:12 11 "motivated by malice or some such motive that impinges 12 on his integrity". While this may be regarded as the introduction of credibility into what is an 13 14 increasingly cloudy and fraught set of submissions to 15 Mr. Justice O'Higgins, counsel for the Commissioner 15:12 16 clarified, if clarification it was, that his 17 instructions were, and I quote, to challenge "his motivation and his credibility only" and that this was 18 19 in relation to "mounting these allegations of 20 corruption and malpractice". 15:13 21 Mr. Justice O'Higgins then confirmed "Sergeant McCabe's 22 integrity is not now being challenged". There was then 23 24 a further clarification from counsel for the Commissioner: 25 15:13 26 27 "Judge, the Commissioner has a duty of care to all 28 members. She wasn't acquiescing and then she has to 29 hold the balance between on the one part she has

Sergeant McCabe who she has a concern for and for his welfare, and on the other hand she has a concern for superintendents who are under her control and she has to hold the balance. She cannot come down on the side of Sergeant McCabe and say I agree with everything he says without challenge or I am simply acquiescing to everything he says."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

22

Sir, facts may be challenged, and clearly were 9 challenged throughout the rest of the hearings before 10 15:14 11 Mr. Justice O'Higgins, but this appears to be the last 12 reference to the sexual assault allegation as a means of undermining Sergeant McCabe as a witness. 13 The only 14 other mention in the transcript occurs on day 32, which 15 was on Tuesday, 8th December 2015, and this is in an 15:14 16 entirely different context when Superintendent 17 Cunningham agreed that Sergeant McCabe was, and I quote, "a very dedicated member of" the police and that 18 19 every officer with whom he had "worked" could "vouch for this". He also spoke about the strain that 20 15:14 21 resulted from the sexual assault allegation.

Sir, such an allegation does have a tendency to ruin
lives and cause people to come to the conclusion that
because an allegation has been made that it is not
baseless but correct. Sergeant McCabe was under enough
strain in consequence of the allegation having been
made in the first place. It was clearly adjudicated
upon by the Director of Public Prosecutions. Any fair

146

Gwen Malone Stenography Services Ltd.

1 minded person applying the presumption of innocence and 2 looking at the allegation in context would not have 3 mentioned the matter again. Indeed, Sergeant McCabe was fully entitled, insofar as he could, to leave it in 4 the past and to consign it to history. 5 15:15 6 7 Sir, it is for you to decide whether this allegation or 8 indeed if any other unjustified grounds were inappropriately relied upon by Commissioner O'Sullivan 9 10 to discredit Sergeant Maurice McCabe during the hearing 15:15 11 of the O'Higgins Commission. 12 It is hoped that this matter will be dealt with in 13 14 December of this year. 15 15:15 Sir, if I can just touch lastly and briefly in relation 16 17 to the privilege against revealing a confidential 18 source. 19 20 Sir, there are a number of forms of privilege which 15:16 21 protect people from disclosing information or documents 22 relevant to court proceedings, notwithstanding their 23 relevance to the proper administration of justice and this may encompass journalistic privilege. This, 24 25 however, is heavily dependent on the circumstances. It 15:16 26 does not seem to us that there is any blanket privilege 27 against the protection of sources by journalists and 28 nor does it emerge from any decided case either in 29 Ireland or before the European Court of Human Rights

that the privilege is absolute. In other words,
 journalists do have a privilege against the disclosure
 of sources but this depends on the facts and it may be
 overridden.

5

15:17

6 The great American jurist John Henry Wigmore in his 7 Treatise on the Anglo-American System of Evidence in 8 Trials at Common Law suggest that there are four conditions that need to be satisfied before a 9 particular relationship of confidence can override the 10 15:17 11 general principle that the law is entitled to the evidence of every person. 12 These conditions are: The communication must originate in a confidence 13 1. 14 that will not be disclosed; 15 2. This element of confidentiality must be essential 15:17 16 to the full and satisfactory maintenance of the 17 relationship between the parties; The relationship must be one which in the opinion 18 3. 19 of the community ought to be sedulously fostered; and 20 The injury that would inure to the relation by the 4. 15:18 21 disclosure of the communication must be greater than 22 the benefit thereby gained for the correct disposal of 23 the litigation. 24 Sir, these general principles have been recognised in a 15:18 25 26 number of cases concerning sacerdotal privilege, 27 informer privilege and also with journalistic 28 privilege. However, every such privilege is subject to 29 the overriding principle that there may be

circumstances where the interests of justice override
 the community's interest in the maintenance of the
 confidential relationship.

5 The innocence at stake exception is an example of that 15:18 6 in relation to informer privilege, though there the appropriate action by the authorities appears to 7 8 discontinue a prosecution. Nevertheless an informer may waive privilege by making public declarations as to 9 the subject matter or by voluntarily coming forward to 10 15:19 11 a public forum and seeking to be heard.

Sir, as you are well aware exactly, this occurred
before the Morris Tribunal in relation to the
confidential relationship which up to that point had 15:19
existed between a garda superintendent and a supposed
source, who was in fact working on his behalf in a most
unconventional way.

Diplomatic privilege may also be waived and, as we have 15:19 referred to earlier, Commissioner O'Sullivan has waived her entitlement to legal professional privilege with regard to her communications to her counsel at the O'Higgins Commission. It should be noted that it is her privilege and not that of the lawyers who represent 15:19 her.

27

4

12

19

28Sir, you will be aware of the leading case under the29European Convention on Human Rights, which is Goodwin

*v. United Kingdom*. There, in a ruling, which has been repeated since, it was first delivered in 1996, the European Court of Human Rights stated as follows:

1

2

3

4

23

5 "Protection of journalistic sources is one of the basic 15:20 conditions for press freedom, as is reflected in the 6 laws and the professional codes of conduct in a number 7 8 of contracting states and is affirmed in several international instruments and journalistic freedoms... 9 Without such protection, sources may be deterred from 10 15:20 11 assisting the press in informing the public on matters 12 of public interest. As a result, the vital public watchdog role of the press may be undermined and the 13 14 ability of the press to provide accurate and reliable 15 information may be adversely affected. Having regard 15:20 16 to the importance of the protection of journalistic 17 sources for press freedom in a democratic society and the potentially chilling effect an order of source 18 disclosure has for the exercise of that freedom, such a 19 measure cannot be compatible with Article 10 of the 20 15:21 Convention unless it is justified by an overriding 21 22 requirement in the public interest."

Sir, these principles which bear kinship, at least in
reasoning, with the Wigmore analysis have been applied 15:21
by that Court whenever the issue of journalistic
privilege has been referred to it. In *Mahon v. Keena*[2009] IESC 64, those principles were applied by the
Supreme Court in the context indeed of a Tribunal of

1Inquiry. The court emphasised in its analysis of the2relevant case law that the right to freedom of3expression is not unlimited and that the press must not4"overstep certain bounds". Article 10, therefore, does5not "guarantee a wholly unrestricted freedom of6expression even with respect to press coverage of7matters of serious public concern".

8

18

We would also point out that the High Court has held in 9 10 *Walsh v. News Group Newspapers* [2012] IEHC 353, that a 15:22 11 privilege against the disclosure of a confidential 12 source cannot be asserted by a journalist in respect of communications where the identity of that source has 13 Sir, as we have mentioned earlier, 14 been disclosed. 15 exactly the situation arose in the Morris Tribunal. 15:22 16 Recent decisions of the European Court seem to suggest 17 that this may not be dispositive of the issue.

19 Do we have the same situation here? You will be aware, sir, that at the heart of your investigation are two 20 15:23 21 protected disclosures that would be protected by 22 legislation against any form of publication. In 23 reality, what we are concerned with is one particular 24 disclosure, namely that of Superintendent David Taylor 25 of the 30th September 2016. In consequence of various 15:23 interactions between him and the Garda authorities and 26 27 with Sergeant Maurice McCabe, he has waived any 28 statutory or any other kind of privilege that he may 29 have.

Therefore, he has voluntarily come forward into the
public domain. He has not only identified himself as
the source but also the information he claims he was
briefed to disseminate. He has also disclosed the 15:23
manner in which he used journalists to spread what can
only be referred to as gossip.

1

8

13

23

9 The allegations made by Superintendent Taylor have been 10 widely publicised to the detriment of the character and 15:24 11 standing of former Commissioner Callinan and his 12 successor Commissioner O'Sullivan.

14 Sir, the notion that there is no smoke without fire may 15 be a very powerful one here. Certainly those against 15:24 16 whom unjustified allegations are made in the sphere of 17 sexual violence have cause for complaint because it is a rare, as we have mentioned, that fair-minded people 18 19 will immediately apply the presumption of innocence. 20 That is part of the training of lawyers and it may not 15:24 21 be part of the training of journalists, much less those 22 of the consumers of news.

24 But what about these allegations? Are these not 25 serious? Are these not allegations which may lead 15:25 26 people to conclude that at the very top in Garda 27 Headquarters they are engaged in determined efforts to 28 destroy any person who would undermine the notion that 29 members of the Gardaí can do no wrong? Is there a

152

Gwen Malone Stenography Services Ltd.

1 situation here of overriding public interest in seeking 2 out the truth? 3 But then we also have the perspective of 4 5 Superintendent David Taylor. If he is wrong in what he 15:25 6 says then he could perhaps be characterised as a most 7 mischievous individual. It is not right to attack and undermine peoples' characters, by which I mean former 8 Commissioner Callinan and Commissioner O'Sullivan, 9 unless such calumny is based in truth. 10 15:25 11 12 He has publicly come forward and identified himself as Is this therefore the situation that arose 13 a source. 14 in the Irish courts in the Walsh case? Furthermore, he 15 has waived any privilege through a formal document 15:26 16 provided to this Tribunal. In what way does the 17 protection of the very important relationship of journalists and their sources come under threat through 18 19 his actions? Has Superintendent Taylor not come to the 20 public and asked to be believed and does not part of 15:26 21 this necessarily involve us asking the journalists he 22 says he sourced information to, to confirm what he is 23 saying? Or perhaps they may refute what he is saying 24 and lay bare a lie. 25 15:26 26 Sir, these ultimately are all questions that you must 27 decide. 28 29

1	Concluding Remarks	
2	We will be in a position to distribute relevant	
3	documents in relation to the first section of our work	
4	which concerns Rian, the Health Service Executive and	
5	the Child and Family Agency, otherwise known as Tusla,	15:27
6	tomorrow. The work will thus unfold. People should	
7	watch the website because these hearings will take	
8	place in two weeks time and we intend concluding as	
9	soon as we possibly can.	
10		15:27
11	Sir, we intend to come directly to the point in terms	
12	of what questions we ask witnesses and we would ask our	
13	colleagues who need to ask questions, and only if they	
14	need to ask questions, to do the same. We hope that	
15	this opening statement has outlined what the potential	15:27
16	issues are and where the lines of controversy may be	
17	drawn as between the witnesses and the protagonists.	
18		
19	THE HEARING THEN ADJOURNED	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		

• 'the [1] - 105:4	<b>1999</b> [3] - 36:7, – 51:18, 55:14 <b>19th</b> [3] - 37:13, 39:15, 80:6	41:15, 42:21, 43:12, 43:17, 45:22, 46:27, 49:16, 50:6, 52:12, 52:29, 53:18, 56:28,	97:12, 98:7, 100:8, 101:5, 101:16, 102:24, 103:3, 103:11, 104:24,	102:23, 106:12, 109:6, 113:6, 116:24, 151:25 <b>313</b> [1] - 13:19
1	<ul> <li>1st [6] - 5:10, 20:15,</li> <li>39:24, 61:27, 69:24,</li> <li>142:27</li> </ul>	57:3, 59:11, 59:26, 69:13, 69:24, 70:1, 70:7, 70:14, 70:16,	106:12, 108:7, 109:24, 111:6, 112:19, 113:6,	<b>316</b> [1] - 14:10 <b>31st</b> [2] - 5:11, 20:15 <b>32</b> [1] - 146:14
1 [2] - 94:22, 148:13 10 [4] - 95:28, 128:21, 150:20, 151:4 10.86 [1] - 133:5 10th [3] - 38:29, 51:4, 68:11	<b>2</b> 2 [4] - 13:17, 94:25, 139:7, 148:15 <b>2000</b> [1] - 61:22	72:14, 73:14, 73:15, 74:4, 75:4, 77:2, 77:5, 77:17, 77:24, 78:5, 78:6, 78:9, 78:14, 81:1, 82:1, 82:10, 83:2, 86:22, 91:13, 98:9, 101:18, 102:8,	114:24, 116:24, 117:16, 128:23, 128:29, 134:5 <b>20th</b> [3] - 46:12, 51:2, 132:15 <b>21st</b> [5] - 37:11, 53:12, 74:26, 77:24,	<b>34</b> [1] - 138:25 <b>353</b> [1] - 151:10 <b>360</b> [1] - 136:2 <b>362</b> [1] - 133:1 <b>3rd</b> [6] - 18:22, 45:11, 79:21, 130:11,
<b>11</b> [2] - 96:4, 113:10 <b>11/12</b> [1] - 40:9	<b>2001</b> [1] - 61:23 <b>2004</b> [3] - 36:8, 39:8,	104:3, 104:26, 122:3, 122:11, 122:15	94:17 <b>22nd</b> [5] - 37:15,	133:7, 138:18  <b>4</b>
<b>11th</b> [4] - 54:26, 78:5, 78:11, 101:15	71:1 <b>2005</b> [3] - 39:8, 71:5,	<b>2013/2014</b> [1] - 39:12 <b>2014</b> [54] - 1:4, 3:4,	57:13, 59:21, 60:7, 142:24	
<b>12</b> [1] - 96:10 <b>12th</b> [3] - 7:12, 38:18, 44:17 <b>13</b> [1] - 96:17 <b>13,000</b> [1] - 18:10 <b>13th</b> [6] - 87:9, 88:5, 98:7, 112:19, 114:24, 117:16	86:6 <b>2006</b> [36] - 22:9, 24:5, 35:24, 36:9, 36:17, 37:12, 37:13, 37:15, 37:29, 38:16, 38:19, 39:8, 41:15, 41:26, 44:11, 44:21, 44:29, 45:5, 45:15,	5:11, 6:4, 17:21, 18:4, 18:6, 18:15, 19:1, 20:15, 27:25, 33:18, 36:2, 40:27, 44:8, 44:17, 45:2, 45:11, 45:17, 46:22, 46:23, 48:2, 49:4, 49:14, 49:25, 50:14, 50:20,	<b>23-page</b> [1] - 74:3 <b>23rd</b> [9] - 18:6, 37:29, 116:26, 117:1, 117:16, 119:8, 128:23, 128:29, 131:24 <b>24</b> [2] - 115:20, 137:40	<b>4</b> [5] - 8:18, 95:2, 98:23, 143:22, 148:20 <b>40</b> [2] - 13:8, 13:12 <b>4:00pm</b> [1] - 110:23 <b>4th</b> [6] - 36:9, 45:15, 72:14, 82:1, 82:14, 143:28
<b>14</b> [2] - 36:10, 96:20	47:17, 48:23, 50:5,	50:26, 51:2, 51:24,	137:16 <b>24th</b> [11] - 6:4, 18:4,	5
14TH [2] - 1:18, 2:2 14th [6] - 48:2, 50:14, 56:24, 69:1, 100:8, 138:26 15 [2] - 63:5, 96:23 150 [1] - 64:12 15th [2] - 43:16,	52:29, 56:21, 59:12, 59:22, 59:25, 60:7, 114:2, 122:25, 128:13, 136:1, 139:11, 142:23, 142:25 <b>2006/2007</b> [1] - 58:22	51:26, 53:12, 56:24, 56:29, 57:4, 60:22, 62:9, 78:11, 79:11, 79:22, 80:1, 80:6, 80:14, 82:9, 82:25, 86:2, 101:18, 102:28, 109:18, 110:1,	27:25, 33:17, 41:13, 51:24, 70:1, 70:6, 82:17, 109:18, 115:27 <b>25th</b> [4] - 18:15, 18:24, 123:13, 138:19 <b>263</b> [1] - 12:14 <b>26th</b> [2] - 115:9,	<b>5</b> [3] - 8:27, 18:29, 95:8 <b>5th</b> [5] - 36:17, 39:29, 82:9, 139:12, 142:23
94:12 <b>16</b> [1] - 96:27	<b>2006/7</b> [1] - 45:21 <b>2007</b> [16] - 22:13,	116:26, 117:1, 117:17, 119:8	131:16 <b>27th</b> [5] - 7:26,	6
$16th [6] - 6:28, \\45:11, 49:4, 49:24, \\77:17, 108:6 \\16TH [1] - 1:6 \\17-year-old [1] - 19:8 \\17TH [1] - 1:10 \\17th [4] - 17:19, \\31:28, 98:9, 109:24 \\18 [1] - 56:1 \\180 [1] - 64:11 \\18th [2] - 104:24, \\142:6 \\1921 [3] - 1:10, 17:2, \\17:24$	24:1, 38:17, 38:21, 38:29, 39:15, 39:24, 39:29, 43:22, 63:15, 106:20, 131:24, 132:2, 139:12, 139:18, 142:27 <b>2007"</b> [1] - 142:19 <b>2008</b> [5] - 62:2, 130:12, 131:29, 132:15, 133:7 <b>2009</b> [3] - 39:8, 64:7, 150:28 <b>2010</b> [1] - 63:23 <b>2011</b> [7] - 63:21, 63:26, 64:19, 64:27,	<b>2015</b> [20] - 18:22, 35:20, 42:20, 45:11, 54:7, 54:15, 54:26, 55:4, 57:7, 58:26, 59:6, 60:24, 82:17, 82:27, 86:4, 138:19, 138:26, 142:6, 143:29, 146:15 <b>2016</b> [25] - 3:5, 5:26, 18:25, 19:25, 29:20, 33:27, 56:11, 56:21, 56:23, 57:8, 57:10, 57:14, 58:12, 58:28, 59:19, 60:25, 85:7, 109:6, 115:10,	38:21, 79:11, 80:14, 134:5 <b>28th</b> [8] - 56:11, 70:15, 75:4, 79:17, 92:13, 111:5, 122:15, 132:2 <b>29</b> [1] - 143:28 <b>29th</b> [4] - 45:2, 55:3, 58:25, 69:18 <b>2nd</b> [10] - 38:17, 46:22, 46:23, 51:26, 56:20, 56:29, 57:4, 58:28, 73:15, 103:3	6 [2] - 9:11, 95:11 603 [1] - 11:11 607 [1] - 11:20 62 [3] - 86:5, 86:8, 107:22 64 [1] - 150:28 6th [6] - 74:4, 78:6, 78:8, 78:14, 79:19, 79:23 7
<b>1928</b> [1] - 15:9 <b>1967</b> [1] - 15:13	65:9, 66:22, 66:25	115:27, 123:13,	3	<b>7</b> [1] - 95:14 - <b>7th</b> [5] - 41:13,
<b>1985</b> [2] - 36:5, 85:27 <b>1989</b> [1] - 36:6 <b>1996</b> (1) - 150:2	<b>2012</b> [11] - 5:11, 20:15, 66:29, 67:3, 68:11, 69:1, 69:18,	123:16, 131:16, 138:19, 151:25 <b>2017</b> [39] - 1:6, 1:10,	<b>3</b> [7] - 13:8, 13:12, 94:28, 142:29, 144:1,	50:20, 54:7, 63:15, 80:1
<b>1996</b> [1] - 150:2 <b>1998</b> [7] - 22:11, 24:4, 26:12, 27:22	85:29, 94:26, 119:14, 151:10	1:18, 2:2, 6:28, 7:12, 7:26, 17:19, 31:28,	144:5, 148:18 <b>30</b> [1] - 64:12	8
24:4, 36:12, 37:22, 51:18, 55:14, 139:9 <b>1998-1999</b> [2] - 46:7, 47:3	<b>2013</b> [55] - 4:6, 23:28, 35:29, 40:27, 41:7, 41:13, 41:14,	44:1, 46:12, 61:27, 87:9, 88:5, 92:13, 94:12, 94:17, 94:26,	<b>30th</b> [14] - 3:5, 19:25, 45:17, 70:14, 71:8, 73:13, 97:12, 101:5,	<b>8</b> [1] - 95:18 <b>8.3</b> [1] - 64:29 <b>8.4</b> [1] - 64:29

## Gwen Malone Stenography Services Ltd.

**8th** [4] - 54:15, 77:2, 103:11, 146:15

**9 9** [1] - 95:24 **9/2/2012** [1] - 68:15 **999** [1] - 72:17 **9th** [13] - 5:26, 29:20, 33:27, 42:21, 43:12, 49:16, 50:26, 56:22, 56:27, 67:3, 77:5, 123:8, 123:16

Α abandon [1] - 92:25 Abbeylara [1] - 15:17 abducted [1] -124:19 abductions [1] -101:21 ability [2] - 127:26, 150:14 able [3] - 74:18, 81:12. 121:26 above-named [1] -1:27 absolute [2] - 138:7, 148:1 absolutely [5] -38:13, 92:6, 121:7, 134:11, 138:9 abstract [1] - 97:20 abuse [55] - 4:5, 4:12, 26:14, 29:26, 30:26, 32:22, 34:6, 34:28, 35:14, 35:17, 35:23, 36:11, 38:15, 41:10, 41:21, 41:23, 41:24, 42:24, 42:26, 42:27, 43:5, 43:8, 46:4, 46:5, 46:8, 46:17, 47:1, 47:2, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 47:23, 47:24, 48:22, 48:26, 51:11, 51:17, 51:20, 51:21, 55:8, 55:16, 58:16, 62:26, 62:29, 77:9, 101:26, 102:15, 105:26, 112:11, 122:2, 124:15, 134:1 Abuse [3] - 42:22, 58:13, 58:29 abuse.. [1] - 106:3 abused [8] - 20:10, 42:5, 55:16, 111:12, 111:17, 111:21,

113:24, 114:12 abuser [2] - 25:2, 106:7 AC [1] - 129:23 academic [1] - 97:7 accept [1] - 11:22 accepted [2] - 26:24, 81.8 access [2] - 21:2, 88:24 accident [1] - 64:1 accord [1] - 78:15 accordance [3] - 7:9, 8:1, 10:29 according [16] -31:2, 33:18, 46:11, 51:10, 57:1, 63:14, 65:8, 65:17, 69:7, 70:19, 70:27, 73:7, 78:18, 83:10, 103:13, 116:11 accordingly [1] -43:2 account [9] - 5:26, 29:21, 40:13, 51:11, 51:27, 100:11, 104:2, 121:24, 135:20 accounting [1] -117:20 Accounts [6] - 16:20, 18:5, 27:27, 40:29, 112:1, 120:25 accounts [7] - 71:12, 76:26, 101:26, 111:4, 115:28, 123:1, 139:4 accurate [4] - 44:1, 52:7, 123:22, 150:14 accurately [2] -16:13, 41:16 accuse [1] - 4:20 accused [7] - 8:28, 13:3, 14:26, 118:23, 134:26, 134:28, 135:12 accuser [1] - 12:19 accusers [2] - 12:19, 14:18 acknowledge [1] -118:17 acquiescence [1] -7.3 acquiescing [2] -145:28, 146:6 acquire [1] - 21:4 Act [4] - 3:4, 17:21, 17:24, 19:1 act [5] - 11:23, 12:5, 17:2, 25:24, 86:6 ACT [2] - 1:4, 1:9 acted [9] - 3:29,

23:12, 25:10, 33:23, 35:7, 125:3, 126:3, 127:13, 128:12 acting [4] - 22:19, 26:28, 39:7, 91:16 action [13] - 1:28, 49:21, 53:15, 53:19, 53:21, 68:5, 71:4, 73:4, 76:22, 80:14, 83:29, 100:2, 149:7 actions [6] - 50:13, 53:23, 61:14, 64:18, 125:19, 153:19 activities [2] -111:19, 113:28 actual [4] - 41:25, 43:20, 123:27, 143:21 adage [1] - 13:6 add [1] - 99:15 added [1] - 99:20 addition [3] - 56:6, 101:14, 107:9 additional [4] -108:12, 113:6, 116:23, 119:2 address [6] - 2:4, 12:22, 14:29, 57:18, 83:1, 106:28 addressed [1] -68<sup>.</sup>24 adequate [1] - 13:5 adequately [1] -68:26 adherence [1] -22:23 ADJOURNED [2] -84:6, 154:19 adjudicated [1] -146:28 administration [3] -9:25, 21:26, 147:23 administrative [1] -51:29 administrator [1] -46:20 admissible [2] -11:18, 12:6 admissions [1] -40:7 admit [1] - 122:7 adopt [1] - 11:13 adopted [4] - 7:18, 14:22, 63:19, 138:16 adoption [1] - 22:27 adults [1] - 41:9 advance [1] - 110:4 advantage [2] -73:26.90:14 adverse [1] - 138:9 adversely [1] -

150:15 advice [1] - 49:27 advised [6] - 42:4, 45:26, 54:17, 67:22, 79:15, 91:15 advisers [1] - 98:17 affair [2] - 67:10, 104:15 affected [2] - 118:13, 150:15 affecting [1] - 9:17 affirmed [1] - 150:8 afforded [3] - 12:28, 14:7, 59:23 AFTER [1] - 85:1 aftermath [1] - 18:12 afternoon [2] -68:15, 70:14 afterwards [2] -66:18, 99:26 age [5] - 21:29, 36:13, 36:21, 38:7, 47:14 aged [7] - 36:10, 37:17, 46:7, 47:4, 47:14, 51:19, 55:18 agencies [10] - 5:2, 23:22, 25:20, 29:3, 29:6, 32:17, 33:2, 58:4, 60:15, 76:15 agency [2] - 23:23, 31:1 Agency [18] - 16:21, 23:25, 32:19, 34:22, 34:26, 43:16, 46:27, 49:15, 55:6, 55:24, 56:26, 60:25, 61:19, 62:20, 63:1, 76:14, 81:22, 154:5 agenda [7] - 3:23, 85:21, 91:8, 96:1, 121:1, 121:17, 136:29 agendas [7] - 3:16, 21:20, 90:15, 91:7, 95:22, 120:20, 136:29 ago [2] - 90:18, 119:28 agree [4] - 13:28, 40:5, 111:2, 146:5 agreed [9] - 42:12, 53:24, 63:25, 72:2, 80:3, 80:26, 110:10, 136:4, 146:17 agus [1] - 13:7 aided [1] - 2:6 aim [1] - 58:4 air [1] - 98:20 Alan [3] - 122:12, 125:19, 127:6 alarmed [1] - 101:12

albeit [1] - 2:22 alchemy [1] - 24:24 alcohol [1] - 64:23 Ali [1] - 93:29 alia [2] - 13:18, 47:27 alike [1] - 62:23 Alison [3] - 94:7, 103:10, 103:29 allegation [76] - 3:3, 3:18, 3:21, 3:28, 22:7, 23:5, 23:28, 24:22, 24:29, 25:1, 30:5, 32:21, 34:27, 35:23, 35:25, 36:11, 37:16, 37:22, 37:26, 38:9, 41:16, 42:18, 43:10, 43:24, 45:21, 45:27, 47:17, 47:22, 48:12, 49:8, 50:6, 51:6, 55:27, 55:29, 56:3, 56:6, 56:13, 56:25, 57:16, 57:18, 57:23, 57:25, 58:25, 59:24, 60:21, 88:1, 89:20, 90:17, 92:19, 95:25, 95:29, 96:14, 98:13, 102:8, 102:16, 102:26, 104:27, 113:3, 114:9, 116:25, 119:26, 121:8, 121:19, 121:23, 122:8, 122:23, 125:15, 136:29, 143:25, 146:12, 146:21, 146:23, 146:25, 146:27, 147:2, 147:7 allegation] [1] -117:26 allegations [95] -3:16, 4:4, 4:7, 4:11, 6:19, 6:20, 6:24, 8:20, 8:21, 8:28, 9:13, 9:15, 9:22, 9:27, 15:23, 22:1. 24:19. 27:15. 28:29, 29:25, 30:12, 30:14, 31:10, 34:6, 34:29, 35:13, 35:17, 38:27, 40:2, 41:21, 41:25, 45:8, 46:16, 47:17, 47:24, 48:23, 50:7, 50:17, 53:1, 54:13, 54:24, 55:8, 55:13, 55:22, 59:11, 59:13, 60:9, 60:11, 60:17, 61:29, 69:10, 85:3, 85:24, 87:26, 88:8, 88:11, 90:17, 95:21, 101:21, 102:22, 103:6,

104:17, 104:20, 105:2, 105:15, 105:26, 106:11, 111:23, 113:7, 114:7, 114:17.114:19. 118:6, 118:10, 119:4, 119:21, 119:23, 120:6, 120:10, 122:1, 124:3, 125:21, 127:1, 134:1, 140:18, 140:23, 144:20, 144:24, 144:26, 144:27, 145:19, 152:9, 152:16, 152:24, 152:25 allege [2] - 80:17, 120:17 alleged [53] - 10:14, 20:7, 22:15, 24:11, 24:28, 25:2, 25:28, 27:24, 28:14, 36:14, 36:16, 41:1, 42:28, 44:18, 46:3, 46:6, 46:8, 46:29, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 47:9, 47:11, 47:13, 48:27, 48:28, 50:29, 51:8, 51:16, 51:17, 51:20, 55:15, 70:7, 85:8, 87:19, 89:25, 90:2, 90:7, 92:4, 92:10, 101:26, 109:26, 115:1, 118:15, 118:24, 118:25, 121:4, 126:14, 131:5, 139:18, 144:20 allegedly [7] - 4:6, 17:27, 30:5, 43:25, 55:17, 106:6, 116:20 alleges [10] - 3:6, 21:11, 22:6, 47:5, 47:7, 47:10, 51:20, 65:13, 76:18, 113:10 alleging [2] - 6:28, 62:26 allied [1] - 33:14 allocated [3] - 59:7, 108:12, 108:13 allocation [1] - 43:15 allocation" [1] -42:15 allow [2] - 10:16, 79.14 allowed [4] - 12:18, 12:20. 14:28. 74:22 allows [1] - 135:5 almost [3] - 25:23, 71:3, 99:26 alone [3] - 43:23, 98:17, 139:13

alongside [2] -65:27, 66:14 alternatives [1] -76:21 ambiguously [1] -125.10ambit [1] - 7:15 ambulance [1] -129:28 amended [9] - 17:2, 17:24.48:15.48:20. 48:21, 50:20, 51:3, 51:13, 56:24 American [2] - 148:6, 148.7 amount [2] - 24:1, 127:16 amounts [1] - 103:5 anal [4] - 24:28, 42:28, 46:5, 47:2 analysis [9] - 19:11, 22:14, 29:13, 32:26, 107:28, 108:2, 118:10, 150:25, 151:1 ancestral [1] - 13:6 **AND** [3] - 1:4, 1:5, 1:9 and.. [1] - 144:16 Andrew [6] - 67:14, 67:15, 67:17, 69:4, 89:29, 116:13 Anglo [1] - 148:7 Anglo-American [1] - 148:7 angry [3] - 65:26, 66:11.81:17 Anne [1] - 101:14 announced [1] -17:11 announcement [1] -7:21 annoyed [1] - 26:29 anonymous [6] -66:29, 67:4, 68:13, 82:1, 82:2, 82:10 answer [3] - 97:5, 118:5, 120:5 answers [1] - 140:11 anxious [2] - 23:1, 26:28 anxiously [1] - 84:1 any" [1] - 17:8 apart [4] - 19:12, 27:8, 38:12, 134:23 apologised [1] -81:14 apparent [1] - 122:29 appear [7] - 8:4, 14:29, 25:29, 49:5, 56:7, 131:15, 131:18

appearance [1] -100:10 appeared [8] - 18:5, 44:19, 70:19, 75:22, 113:13, 117:19, 137:15, 139:16 appearing [3] - 87:1, 116:8, 137:11 applicable [1] - 39:3 applicant [1] - 13:18 applicants [1] -13.23 application [2] -11:25, 19:15 applications [1] -97.11 applied [3] - 106:23, 150:25, 150:28 apply [2] - 21:25, 152:19 applying [1] - 147:1 appointed [4] -15:11, 85:29, 125:24, 128:13 appointment [4] -45:6, 54:27, 59:28, 60:2 appointments [2] -41:12, 59:19 appreciate [1] - 26:4 appreciated [1] -88:15 apprehension [1] -86:19 approach [3] - 11:13, 21:24, 138:13 approached [1] -117:1 appropriate [20] -8:4, 8:19, 10:12, 10:27, 10:29, 14:6, 22:11, 22:26, 25:19, 25:29, 31:21, 31:23, 41:22, 72:29, 73:20, 76:10, 76:12, 76:22, 125:20, 149:7 appropriately [2] -10:28, 53:29 appropriateness [1] - 140:12 approved [2] - 8:14, 11:9 April [15] - 18:24, 24:1, 38:21, 39:29, 44:17, 45:2, 45:11, 45:17, 61:22, 69:24, 92:13, 94:17, 123:13, 138:19, 139:12 archived [1] - 88:25 area [1] - 135:27

areas [2] - 10:23, 10:24 arena [1] - 27:2 Argue [4] - 45:24, 48:21, 49:2, 50:20 argued [1] - 137:3 argument [5] -13:22, 77:10, 140:14, 141:27, 142:1 arguments [1] - 97:7 arise [1] - 141:29 arisen [3] - 7:20, 38:18, 98:10 arises [3] - 39:27, 135:17, 139:5 arising [2] - 77:6, 93.27 arm [5] - 37:1, 74:16, 74:20, 74:23, 111:27 arms [1] - 37:3 arose [4] - 91:2, 144:2, 151:15, 153:13 arranged [1] -109:11 arrangement [1] -86:13 arrangements [1] -115.2arranging [1] - 82:15 arrest [1] - 86:21 arrested [5] - 44:27, 64:19, 86:5, 129:6, 131:29 arrival [1] - 81:14 arrived [2] - 98:16, 110:5 article [7] - 44:17, 44:26, 87:1, 101:6, 101:8, 150:20, 151:4 Article [2] - 13:8, 13:12 AS [1] - 85:1 ascertain [3] - 5:11, 20:19, 49:28 ascertaining [1] -79:12 ascribes [1] - 63:28 aspect [3] - 29:24, 50:12, 62:14 aspects [5] - 34:20, 35:25, 47:16, 89:8, 132:5 assault [27] - 19:5, 19:7, 22:15, 24:1, 37:23, 40:12, 40:13, 44:18, 47:18, 85:19, 90:25, 91:9, 104:17, 104:28, 114:7, 124:17, 126:13, 128:22, 130:4, 131:5,

131:24, 132:1, 133:9, 139:13, 146:12, 146:21 assaults [2] - 30:25, 124.14 assert [1] - 138:10 asserted [3] - 12:4, 92:26, 151:12 assertion [1] -117:10 assertions [1] - 9:14 asserts [1] - 116:27 assessment [11] -38:22, 41:15, 54:19, 55:25, 59:13, 59:29, 60:9, 79:20, 80:5, 80:9, 136:2 assigned [1] -108:21 assist [2] - 88:26, 92:4 assistance [2] -33:20, 104:5 Assistant [11] -49:21, 49:26, 114:20, 116:14, 122:13, 137:16, 137:22, 137:26, 137:28, 138:3, 144:25 assisting [2] - 27:18, 150.11associated [2] -54:2, 104:27 assumed [1] - 65:18 assure [1] - 27:6 assured [3] - 81:19, 111:13, 113:17 astonishment [1] -56:15 Athlone [2] - 31:3, 62:1 attach [1] - 98:3 attached [2] - 63:28, 93:4 attaching [2] - 49:11, 68:12 attack [6] - 19:6, 28:5, 124:16, 137:4, 144:7, 153:7 attacked [1] - 129:1 attacking [5] -135:21, 141:14, 141:15, 144:15, 144:16 attempt [6] - 25:17, 58:1, 96:11, 98:18, 126:14, 131:6 attempted [3] - 4:20, 5:25, 123:20 attempting [1] -

116:18 attempts [1] - 59:16 attend [11] - 41:10, 54:29, 59:18, 59:28, 60:2, 71:18, 79:26, 106:21, 109:29, 110:3, 130:1 attendance [1] - 41:6 attended [7] - 39:10, 41:12, 71:11, 72:4, 77:26, 80:27, 110:2 attending [3] - 46:2, 46:28, 117:2 attention [18] - 3:20, 30:4, 40:29, 45:22, 54:28, 55:29, 67:8, 81:26, 82:22, 85:18, 88:12, 91:6, 95:24, 95:28, 121:13, 130:19, 133:17, 134:15 attested [1] - 61:23 attitude [1] - 33:21 audit [2] - 119:10, 119.14Auditor [1] - 117:14 audits [1] - 119:12 August [14] - 36:5, 41:14, 42:21, 43:12, 43:17, 46:27, 49:16, 53:18, 56:28, 58:28, 59:11, 59:19, 70:1, 83:2 authorities [3] -41:22, 149:7, 151:26 authority [1] - 20:10 availability [1] - 26:6 available [3] - 19:3, 39:5, 107:20 avenues [1] - 104:6 averaged [1] - 64:11 avoidance [1] -92:24 avoided [1] - 125:23 await [1] - 42:15 awaited [1] - 43:14 aware [46] - 18:28, 19:16, 19:25, 30:18, 31:22, 31:27, 38:8, 48:19, 54:11, 67:2, 67:21, 81:27, 83:1, 89:13, 89:17, 89:21, 90:2, 91:2, 91:11, 96:10, 101:2, 104:26, 105:16, 106:24, 111:9, 111:20, 112:25, 113:11, 113:14, 114:2, 114:8, 114:26, 115:5, 118:28, 121:29,

122:22, 128:5, 130:15, 133:12, 138:6, 142:13, 142:17, 142:21, 149:13, 149:28, 151:19

#### В

baby [1] - 74:1 background [6] -20:29, 35:27, 36:4, 63:10, 98:29, 122:21 bad [4] - 23:20, 72:13, 135:14, 136:24 badly [2] - 130:17, 133:14 bail [3] - 124:19, 124:20, 129:6 Bailieboro [10] -36:6, 36:8, 39:7, 49:6, 51:6, 124:13, 125:24, 128:22, 131:25, 141:4 balance [2] - 145:29, 146:4 balances [1] - 26:21 banged [1] - 74:6 Bardon [1] - 93:29 bare [1] - 153:24 barr [3] - 55:4, 57:2, 58:11 Barr [2] - 15:18, 58.19 Barra [1] - 11:16 barring [1] - 67:11 barroom [1] - 21:5 based [10] - 10:12, 25:16, 46:14, 57:1, 68:19, 87:26, 118:10, 135:6, 136:29, 153:10 baseless [1] - 146:26 basic [3] - 13:9, 22:23. 150:5 basis [11] - 11:8, 14:18, 20:6, 40:1, 40:15, 45:3, 48:6, 67:13, 76:25, 83:8, 97:15 bear [2] - 2:20, 150:24 bearing [1] - 76:27 beat [1] - 65:23 became [12] - 24:27, 36:4, 36:7, 40:20, 40:28, 43:15, 48:19, 83:8, 104:26, 106:24, 115:5, 142:17 become [4] - 30:13, 67:28, 85:28, 98:18

bed [1] - 74:16 beef [1] - 12:3 began [8] - 36:5, 41:10, 62:2, 63:23, 66:21, 78:25, 101:23, 124.15begin [1] - 123:26 beginning [1] - 75:6 behalf [7] - 2:5, 26:28, 92:27, 137:12, 137:15, 149:17 behaviour [5] - 9:28, 22:26, 30:6, 70:4, 135:25 behind [3] - 37:4, 104:9, 105:25 belief [2] - 92:20, 136.24 below [1] - 35:16 benefit [1] - 148:22 bent [1] - 37:1 bereft [1] - 88:13 beside [1] - 74:27 best [1] - 57:29 between [31] - 4:28, 6:2, 6:13, 10:24, 29:10, 30:29, 33:15, 55:14, 58:20, 61:18, 69:1, 77:10, 77:24, 79:29, 80:2, 81:7, 98:10, 109:7, 109:16, 114:26, 115:3, 136:11, 138:21, 140:26, 144:5, 144:13, 145:29, 148:17, 149:16, 151:26, 154:17 Bewley's [7] - 6:4, 27:26, 109:8, 109:18, 110:11, 115:14, 115:27 beyond [3] - 16:29, 103:4, 117:27 Bheoláin [1] - 126:26 bills [1] - 107:20 biological [1] - 86:27 Birmingham [1] -15:28 BL [1] - 2:8 blacken [2] - 60:16, 104:10 blame [2] - 76:13, 83:26 blames [1] - 83:28 blank [2] - 42:26, 52:8 blank's [1] - 42:29 blanked [1] - 52:9 blanket [1] - 147:26 blow [1] - 128:8

Board [1] - 38:17 Bob [1] - 15:25 bodies [1] - 34:24 body [1] - 71:3 bombarded [1] -71:27 Boucher [8] - 98:6, 98:12, 100:9, 100:11, 100:21, 100:24, 100:27, 101:8 Boucher-Hayes [7] -98:6, 98:12, 100:9, 100:11, 100:21, 100:24, 100:27 Boucher-Hayes' [1] -101.8 bought [1] - 142:1 bounds" [1] - 151:4 boxes [1] - 26:7 Bracken [1] - 93:29 Brady [1] - 93:29 branded [2] - 5:28, 123:23 Bray [1] - 93:29 breach [1] - 39:21 breached [1] - 9:14 break [5] - 17:27, 33:14, 83:19, 140:13, 142.1 breaking [1] - 67:16 breaks [1] - 72:8 Breen [2] - 15:24, 94:1 Brendan [3] - 70:15, 103:8. 137:25 Bridgeen [3] - 69:8, 77:26, 80:3 bridgend [1] - 64:9 Bridget [3] - 67:5, 73:21, 73:25 brief [15] - 3:9, 3:10, 21:15, 63:10, 85:11, 85:13, 87:19, 88:9, 89:12, 89:15, 90:3, 91:26, 96:7, 120:13, 120:28 briefed [7] - 33:8, 94:28, 95:11, 95:14, 95:18, 110:6, 152:5 briefing [9] - 5:24, 22:6, 23:13, 91:1, 92:19, 109:26, 110:7, 122:17, 123:19 briefings [2] - 87:21, 93:20 briefly [8] - 2:23, 18:13, 26:1, 31:2, 31:19. 117:22. 119:10. 147:16 Briege [1] - 41:27

bring [1] - 2:20 broached [1] - 111:4 broad [2] - 20:7, 87:27 broadcasting [3] -4.29 29.4 92.21 broadcasts [12] -5:25, 29:18, 29:20, 29:23, 33:26, 33:28, 34:4, 123:8, 123:21, 123:27, 128:17, 131:14 broader [1] - 127:23 broken [1] - 109:1 Brophy [24] - 41:13, 41:16, 41:28, 42:21, 43:2, 46:25, 47:27, 48:8, 48:9, 48:17, 49:2, 49:6, 49:9, 50:14, 51:15, 52:9, 52:11, 52:23, 54:21, 56:1, 56:24, 56:27, 57:26 Brophy's [3] - 48:2, 51:10, 59:10 brother [4] - 63:29, 65:24, 66:5, 66:28 brother's [1] - 74:26 brought [10] - 27:12, 31:8, 41:2, 54:27, 55:29, 61:17, 63:22, 75:25, 87:12, 131:28 Buchanan [1] -15:25 bullet [1] - 82:20 bulletin [1] - 126:23 Buncrana [5] -63:27, 64:27, 65:18, 65:26, 66:15 Burke [2] - 93:16, 94:1 burn [4] - 70:18, 70:29, 75:17, 75:22 burned [1] - 71:3 Burt [1] - 64:14 bury [2] - 70:18, 75:14 bus [1] - 19:5 bush [1] - 65:23 business [2] -106:27, 107:2 buttonholed [1] -99:27 BY [3] - 1:5, 1:8 Byrne [3] - 18:24, 129:23, 144:25 Byrne-McGinn [1] -18.24 Byrne/McGinn [3] -40:26, 114:5, 128:2

С Callanan [1] - 111:9 caller [3] - 82:3, 82:7, 82:10 Callinan [64] - 3:7, 3:26, 4:24, 5:9, 6:3, 18:4, 20:10, 20:16, 21:12, 27:20, 28:4, 28:19, 29:11, 33:11, 33:16, 35:6, 87:23, 89:3, 90:8, 90:11, 91:3, 93:11, 96:6, 96:11, 96:29, 98:8, 100:3, 100:7, 101:7, 101:10, 102:22, 106:10, 108:1, 109:8, 109:17, 110:28, 111:15, 111:18, 111:20, 111:22, 111:27, 112:9, 112:18. 114:27. 115:1, 115:4, 115:15, 116:1, 116:16, 116:21, 116:23, 117:19, 117:21, 117:25, 118:2, 118:21, 119:1, 120:9, 125:17, 127:5, 138:2, 144:28, 152:11, 153:9 Callinan's [3] -88:23, 89:22, 107:24 Callinan.. [2] - 89:14, 144:23 calumny [1] - 153:10 campaign [9] -35:13, 95:3, 102:13, 104:9, 104:15, 105:11, 120:28, 121:4, 121:10 cancelled [1] -106:23 cannot [7] - 9:24, 16:11, 26:24, 136:19, 146:4, 150:20, 151:12 canvassed [1] - 58:7 capacity [2] - 91:18, 110:14 car [20] - 6:4, 27:25, 64:1, 64:10, 64:14, 64:15, 69:29, 71:29, 74:6, 74:14, 75:20, 75:23, 75:25, 83:5, 106:20, 109:8, 109:18, 111:26, 115:14, 115:27 care [2] - 86:24, 145:27 cared [1] - 68:26

carelessness [1] -30:8 caring [1] - 67:17 Carolan [1] - 137:22 carried [2] - 43:22, 44.24 carry [2] - 5:3, 21:7 carrying [1] - 86:11 cars [6] - 82:25, 82:29, 83:2, 83:7, 83:14, 83:15 Carty [1] - 15:17 case [42] - 8:6, 8:13, 9:11, 9:27, 10:9, 12:13, 13:7, 13:16, 14:4, 14:12, 14:26, 26:23, 39:17, 39:27, 41:24, 45:10, 54:10, 54:16, 59:3, 59:25, 60:24, 61:3, 71:1, 101:26, 118:27, 127:3, 128:26, 128:29, 129:12, 129:18, 130:5, 130:10, 130:15, 132:21, 133:12, 135:13, 136:1, 141:1, 147:28, 149:28, 151:2, 153:14 cases [14] - 30:19, 58:15, 59:17, 97:14, 118:11, 124:25, 126:12, 127:26, 128:5, 128:28, 128:29, 131:4, 134:25, 148:26 cashman [1] - 136:1 Castle [1] - 27:12 CASTLE [1] - 1:17 categorically [1] -120:12 Cathal [1] - 94:3 Catherine [1] -137:17 caught [1] - 74:20 caused [1] - 124:24 causing [2] - 65:25, 67:13 cautioned [1] - 37:14 Cavan [7] - 39:25, 40:20, 45:18, 48:5, 54:2, 128:23, 131:28 Cavan/Monaghan [3] - 4:16, 35:12, 118.27 ceangailte [1] - 13:7 cell [1] - 134:26 censoring [1] -102:18 central [6] - 19:24,

21:25, 24:8, 24:18, 126:2, 134:15 centrally [1] - 16:19 centre [1] - 18:7 certain [18] - 4:15, 17:21, 23:22, 24:13, 32:17, 34:24, 61:24, 63:28, 71:17, 101:24, 102:13, 105:9, 105:12, 127:16, 140:3, 140:5, 151:4 CERTAIN [1] - 1:4 certainly [6] - 32:7, 76:18, 100:27, 117:3, 140:21, 152:15 certify [1] - 1:25 chair.. [1] - 36:27 Chairman [4] -16:20, 61:11, 110:9, 110:14 Chairmanship [2] -4:16, 18:21 challenge [5] -139:22, 140:20, 145:1, 145:17, 146:6 challenged [2] -146:9, 146:10 challenged" [1] -145:23 chapter [1] - 128:21 character [15] -20:13, 20:29, 27:16, 28:5, 60:16, 99:6, 100:14, 116:5, 135:12, 135:14, 135:21, 135:23, 136:24, 137:4, 152:10 characterised [2] -124:26, 153:6 characters [1] -153:8 charge [11] - 9:1, 9:29, 28:5, 36:8, 106:23, 114:18, 118:11. 119:11. 119:21, 129:8, 134:27 charged [3] - 124:17, 127:28, 129:6 charities [1] - 109:2 CHARLETON [1] -1:12 chase [2] - 64:10, 64:12 chatted [1] - 119:11 checklist [1] - 26:8 checks [1] - 26:20 Chief [28] - 14:13, 14:15, 15:24, 49:18, 49:23, 49:27, 50:26, 53:2, 53:6, 53:14,

65:8, 66:9, 66:10, 66:19, 66:25, 69:18, 71:26, 72:9, 73:7, 73:23, 137:17, 137:20, 137:25, 137:26, 139:8, 139:17, 144:25 child [23] - 24:26, 31:1, 35:14, 38:15, 38:19, 41:21, 41:23, 46:16, 58:15, 68:1, 69:7, 75:21, 76:10, 86:23, 86:25, 101:26, 104:17, 104:28, 106:2, 106:7, 122:25, 124:14, 124:20 Child [20] - 16:21, 23:24, 32:18, 34:22, 34:25, 38:25, 43:15, 46:27, 49:15, 55:5, 55:24, 56:26, 60:25, 61:19, 62:20, 63:1, 76:13, 77:14, 81:21, 154:5 child's [1] - 87:4 childhood [5] -41:10, 42:27, 46:4, 47:1, 51:17 children [43] - 22:2, 24:15, 24:20, 30:6, 31:14, 38:5, 38:11, 45:20, 45:25, 55:11, 55:26, 58:23, 63:6, 67:1, 67:9, 67:14, 67:18, 67:28, 68:7, 68:22, 68:23, 68:25, 68:26, 69:5, 70:17, 71:24, 73:6, 75:5, 75:25, 77:9, 77:10, 77:18, 77:25, 78:26, 79:20, 80:4, 80:10, 80:25, 81:2, 81:15, 81:17, 117:11 Children [1] - 41:19 children" [3] - 73:22, 80:8, 80:13 children's [3] -75:18, 75:28, 87:3 children]" [1] - 75:9 chilling [1] - 150:18 choice [2] - 28:27, 81:15 chose [2] - 17:27, 66:15 chosen [1] - 22:20 Christmas [3] -36:12, 36:19, 37:21 circulated [1] -105:29 circulating [1] -

104:29 circumstances [17] -6:6, 15:7, 18:18, 25:16, 35:27, 62:15, 73:28, 74:5, 87:28, 109:12, 109:20, 121:21, 132:4, 138:12, 144:2, 147:25, 149:1 cited [1] - 11:10 citing [1] - 97:6 citizen [2] - 13:9, 13:13 citizens [1] - 15:7 civil [2] - 135:13, 136:1 civilian [1] - 89:29 civilised [1] - 22:23 **CJ** [4] - 8:6, 12:25, 14:7, 14:21 claim [8] - 25:21, 28:20, 60:29, 62:20, 71:8, 92:17, 92:26, 97:27 claimed [8] - 47:19, 50.10 69.25 92.18 99:11, 101:8, 126:13, 131:4 claiming [1] - 103:16 claims [10] - 31:6, 48:18, 50:15, 56:19, 62:29, 72:25, 101:9, 129:18, 134:27, 152:4 Claire [1] - 59:7 Clancy [7] - 132:15, 132:21, 133:8, 137:17, 137:21, 143:20, 144:21 clarification [9] -50:25, 50:27, 51:29, 52:27, 53:8, 140:8, 144:6, 145:16, 145:24 clarified [4] - 53:3, 89:8, 116:3, 145:16 clarify [5] - 52:16, 52:21, 90:19, 90:24, 144:10 classic [1] - 13:7 clayton [1] - 136:1 clear [13] - 19:23, 25:8, 44:9, 47:27, 49:29, 102:17, 112:25, 114:5, 122:25, 129:23, 134:17, 136:11, 138:9 clearly [10] - 30:15, 125:10, 125:14, 135:15, 135:17, 136:4, 136:13, 138:21, 146:9, 146:28

client [10] - 42:4, 43:8, 47:21, 48:3, 48:8, 48:9, 49:5, 51:12, 52:11, 134:21 clients [1] - 43:6 Clifford [1] - 130:26 clocha [1] - 13:7 clones [1] - 39:6 Cloonan [1] - 137:26 close [5] - 24:29, 38:28, 60:5, 60:10, 107:16 closed [2] - 69:6, 80:14 closely [2] - 27:1, 28:22 closer [2] - 64:28, 66:27 clothed [2] - 24:23, 48:27 clothes [1] - 42:11 cloudy [1] - 145:14 coat [2] - 74:21, 74:22 coats [1] - 75:19 code [2] - 64:26, 64:29 codes [1] - 150:7 coerced [2] - 72:7, 76:7 coffee [1] - 65:15 Coghlan [1] - 15:10 coincidence [2] -25:15, 62:23 cold [1] - 78:19 Coll [4] - 67:4, 68:15, 68:20, 69:3 colleague [1] - 61:9 colleagues [7] -2:17, 61:29, 62:4, 62:19, 62:27, 117:8, 154:13 collect [1] - 74:28 collected [2] - 129:3, 131.27 collecting [1] - 75:5 collegiate [1] - 11:27 collusion [1] - 15:23 Colm [2] - 137:18, 139:9 combined [1] - 47:16 coming [4] - 36:28, 92:5, 134:25, 149:10 command [1] - 73:10 commenced [6] -45:13, 70:11, 79:21, 86:22, 111:25, 138:26 commencement [1] - 138:22 commendable [3] -

130:20, 131:9, 133:18 commended [1] -127:14 comment [2] - 28:2, 57:12 commentaries [1] -33.28 commentary [1] -34:4 comments [4] -28:16, 44:20, 75:12, 116:20 Commission [36] -4:14, 5:27, 16:6, 18:13, 18:20, 18:29, 19:2, 19:16, 29:21, 29:23, 34:3, 34:11, 35:13, 35:19, 40:21, 44:26, 57:9, 57:11, 114:4, 123:11, 124:7, 128:3, 129:27, 130:9, 130:10, 131:19, 132:23, 132:27, 133:27, 134:4, 137:12, 138:18, 139:8, 142:29, 147:11, 149:24 commission [26] -45:3, 107:26, 107:27, 124:21, 124:23, 125:2, 125:18, 126:11, 126:16, 126:29, 129:12, 130:7, 130:12, 130:14, 130:16, 130:20, 131:8, 131:23, 133:11, 133:13, 133:16, 138:22, 138:25, 139:15, 144:4 commission's [3] -127:12, 127:24, 128:6 Commissioner [161] - 3:7, 3:8, 3:25, 3:26, 4:13, 4:24, 5:9, 5:10, 5:23, 6:3, 6:10, 16:15, 18:3, 18:5, 18:19, 20:8, 20:9, 20:16, 21:12, 21:13, 23:7, 27:20, 27:21, 28:3, 28:7, 28:10, 28:13, 28:19, 28:23, 29:11, 29:12, 29:28, 33:10, 33.11 33.16 33.29 34:8, 35:5, 35:18, 49:22, 49:26, 50:1, 52:15, 85:12, 87:23, 88:4, 88:22, 89:3, 89:11, 89:14, 89:15, 89:21, 89:22, 89:25,

90:8, 90:11, 90:27, 90:28, 91:3, 93:10, 93:11, 96:5, 96:6, 96:11, 96:12, 96:29, 97:1. 98:8. 98:12. 98:16, 100:2, 102:21, 103:2, 103:15, 103:20, 103:23, 106:10, 107:14, 107:24, 108:1, 108:11, 108:13, 108:21, 109:7, 109:17, 110:3, 110:5, 110:8, 110:13, 110:15, 110:20, 110:22, 110:24, 110:28, 111:26, 112:18, 113:5, 114:20, 114:23, 114:26, 114:29, 115:4, 115:13, 115:15, 115:23, 116:1, 116:12, 116:14, 116:15, 116:21, 116:23, 117:7, 117:19, 117:21, 117:25, 118:2, 118:21, 119:1, 120:9, 121:6, 122:7, 122:13, 125:9, 125:16, 127:5, 134:3, 137:9, 137:15, 137:17, 137:23, 137:25, 137:26, 137:28, 138:1, 138:2, 138:3, 138:11, 138:15, 139:27, 140:10, 140:15, 140:17, 140:27, 143:3, 144:2, 144:23, 144:25, 144:28, 144:29, 145:15, 145:25, 147:9, 149:21, 152:11, 152:12, 153:9 commissioner [3] -142:2, 142:8, 145:27 commissions [2] -15:26, 18:11 commit [1] - 30:25 committed [2] -125:4, 127:23 committee [7] -12:23, 38:20, 117:2, 117:20, 118:20, 119:7, 119:9 Committee [7] -16:20, 18:6, 27:27, 41:1, 112:1, 115:28, 120:25

committee's [1] -13:2 common [2] - 81:6, 148:8 commonsense [1] -135.8 communicated [1] -92:20 communication [3] -117:24, 148:13, 148:21 communications [7] - 19:27, 90:1, 96:28, 116:13, 132:10, 149:23, 151:13 community [2] -20:11, 148:19 community's [1] -149:2 company [1] - 13:18 comparison [1] -62:21 compatible [1] -150:20 compiling [1] - 132:7 complained [2] -44:26, 132:14 complains [1] -62:18 complaint [32] -22:12.24:5.35:28. 39:17, 44:11, 44:21, 44:28, 45:2, 45:4, 45:15, 62:10, 62:11, 70:10, 71:7, 73:13, 78:13, 81:22, 85:19, 90:25, 91:8, 91:12, 102:3, 121:14, 129:8, 129:22, 130:13, 132:3, 132:22, 133:10, 142:22, 143:19, 152:17 complaints [23] -3:14, 3:15, 6:26, 21:17, 21:19, 35:10, 35:15, 40:19, 40:22, 40:24, 73:12, 81:24, 95:16, 95:20, 125:6, 125:27, 126:8, 127:17, 129:24, 129:26, 132:28, 133:8, 141:6 complete [4] - 32:24, 71:21, 74:8, 80:5 completed [12] -39:16, 41:29, 42:22, 45:20, 46:10, 49:12, 50:22. 52:23. 56:8. 77:6, 114:3, 132:16 completing [1] - 26:9

complex [1] - 59:1 complications [1] -73:29 component [1] -12:11 compounded [1] -57:27 comprehensive [1] -19:10 comprise [1] - 16:9 comprised [1] - 2:10 Comptroller [1] -117:14 computer [1] -118:27 computers [1] -32.26 concentrate [1] -134:9 concern [14] - 16:14, 18:13, 32:16, 61:16, 67:15, 69:14, 70:3, 80:7, 86:25, 87:24, 130:20, 133:17, 146:1, 146:2 concern" [1] - 151:7 concerned [9] - 7:23, 14:16, 73:6, 89:13, 107:12, 119:18, 127:1, 144:29, 151:23 concerning [12] -3:27, 4:25, 24:6, 67:1, 75:6, 105:2, 105:8, 105:16, 105:26, 110:15, 123:27, 148:26 concerns [14] - 31:3, 33:1, 33:15, 58:22, 59:4, 62:14, 68:21, 69:7, 88:18, 102:5, 125:3, 126:4, 131:9, 154:4 concise [1] - 31:26 conclude [1] -152.26 concluded [2] -118:25, 125:22 Concluding [1] -154:1 concluding [2] -39:26, 154:8 conclusion [5] -9:21, 10:28, 35:9, 116:29, 146:24 conclusions [5] -2:12, 8:13, 8:14, 8:24, 11.5concussion" [1] -129:5 condition [1] - 141:4

conditions [3] -148:9, 148:12, 150:6 conduct [10] - 4:21, 12:2, 12:27, 18:9, 23:18, 54:18, 59:29, 69:15, 110:2, 150:7 conducted [5] - 16:7, 19:14, 32:27, 59:22, 102:14 conference [5] -110:4, 110:20, 110:24, 114:29, 115:21 conference.. [1] -110.3conferred [1] - 2:28 confessed [1] -134:28 confidants [1] -28.28 confidence [3] -124:5, 148:10, 148:13 confidential [9] -19:26, 27:11, 40:23, 62:9, 127:7, 147:17, 149:3, 149:15, 151:11 confidentiality [1] -148:15 confirm [9] - 52:19, 77:12, 91:11, 92:17, 92:24, 94:22, 110:25, 110:29, 153:22 confirmation [2] -78:2.93:1 confirmed [4] - 44:3, 79:2, 142:4, 145:22 confirming [1] -60:10 confirms [1] - 100:8 conflict [2] - 10:24, 10:29 conflicting [2] -71:12, 76:26 confused [1] - 81:3 confusion [1] -135.27connected [2] - 16:2, 65:12 connection [6] -7:20, 14:27, 25:21, 65:24, 122:12, 131:29 connections [2] -65:2, 105:20 Connolly [6] - 45:18, 45:23, 46:11, 94:1, 127.8 Connor [1] - 94:1 Conor [3] - 93:15, 93:19, 94:8 consequence [10] -

26:15, 28:9, 30:5, 35:10, 61:23, 64:17, 65:14, 137:2, 146:27, 151:25 consequences [2] -9:23, 80:18 consequent [1] -102:6 consider [19] - 5:15, 5:20, 6:6, 6:26, 28:12, 30:2, 31:12, 31:26, 34:5, 35:28, 49:25, 56:2, 57:22, 61:2, 65:28, 84:1, 109:20, 136:28, 138:8 considerable [3] -33:5, 92:3, 126:5 considerably [1] -138:14 consideration [3] -34:19, 39:19, 41:5 considerations [1] -92:4 considered [3] -38:20, 58:28, 129:24 considering [4] -13:17, 29:19, 62:23, 87:9 consign [1] - 147:5 consisted [1] - 33:27 consistency [3] -136:3. 136:6 conspiracy [1] - 30:9 constitute [1] - 40:12 constituted [1] -39:21 Constitution [2] -13:8, 13:10 constitutional [5] -12:11, 13:26, 14:2, 14:3. 14:4 construction [1] -26:22 consumers [1] -152:22 consumption [1] -64:23 contact [25] - 3:8, 30:6, 38:26, 40:23, 44:6, 44:8, 44:10, 48:28, 53:24, 54:18, 59:6, 59:9, 59:14, 66:2, 70:11, 71:9, 77:11, 77:12, 78:1, 80:21, 85:11, 96:7, 101:3, 107:6, 122:24 contact" [1] - 63:17 contacted [15] -48:3, 48:14, 50:14, 58:21, 59:20, 60:2,

69:14, 70:2, 77:3, 79:11, 82:18, 93:25, 95:8, 107:4, 118:18 contacting [1] -23.13contacts [8] - 4:28. 6:13, 30:28, 48:18, 61:18, 69:16, 73:11, 76:15 contain [3] - 43:23, 77:21, 87:18 contained [5] -48:22, 50:28, 51:11, 52:10, 105:8 containing [7] - 4:4, 6:18, 30:12, 34:27, 44:19, 52:24, 122:1 contains [2] - 46:23, 61:28 contemplated [1] -56:8 content [4] - 5:15, 46:14, 52:21, 71:14 contents [2] - 57:2, 68·19 contest [1] - 139:1 context [23] - 13:4, 13:29, 19:12, 28:1, 29:8, 31:23, 55:28, 57:6, 70:22, 70:29, 75:3, 76:12, 121:21, 122:17, 124:1, 132:26, 133:1, 138:7, 139:5, 140:3, 146:16, 147:2, 150:29 continually [1] -83:13 continue [3] - 61:10, 66:14,84:4 continued [2] - 57:8, 102:4 continues [1] - 53:10 continuing [1] -144:15 contract [2] - 29:13, 86:12 contracting [1] -150:8 contradictions [1] -123:4 contrary [4] - 39:2, 86:5, 114:1, 123:21 contrasted [1] -136:21 contrasting [1] -18:9 contribution [1] -75:7 control [4] - 74:9, 76:4, 135:5, 146:3

controlled [1] -135:11 controversial [1] -132:4 controversies [1] -32.5 controversy [6] -9:18, 17:26, 18:7, 23:20, 32:12, 154:16 convenience [1] -32:3 convenient [1] -23:27 Convention [2] -97:19, 149:29 convention [1] -150:21 conversation [19] -82:3, 98:8, 99:20, 100:9, 103:9, 103:19, 104:2, 110:8, 113:21, 116:17, 117:5, 117:10, 117:22, 117:25, 117:27, 118:2, 119:5, 120:1, 122.20 conversations [4] -18:16, 27:19, 27:24, 116:26 conveyed [3] -41:26, 43:10, 107:12 convicted [2] - 19:7, 64:19 conviction [2] - 71:5, 135.1convictions [1] -136:16 cooperation [1] -7:23 Cootehill [1] - 19:8 copies [2] - 49:4, 49:16 copy [8] - 12:16, 49:11, 56:21, 67:3, 68:16, 105:1, 105:29, 112:5 core [1] - 88:7 Cormac [2] - 93:16, 94:8 Cornyn [1] - 72:15 correct [7] - 25:10, 43:9, 48:23, 113:12, 117:2, 146:26, 148:22 corrected [2] -49:12, 53:28 correction [1] -25:10 correspondence [6] 26:27, 54:17, 57:15, 58:19, 102:29, 137:8

correspondent [1] -105:3 corridor [1] - 98:23 corruption [12] -83:13, 102:1, 124:4, 124:12, 125:16, 140:19, 140:23, 144:19, 144:20, 144:24, 144:27, 145:20 cost [1] - 126:6 Costello [1] - 10:4 costello [1] - 8:12 couch [3] - 36:27, 37:2, 42:10 COUNSEL [1] - 2:1 counsel [31] - 2:7, 12:19, 12:23, 13:22 14:29, 15:20, 134:21, 135:22, 137:14, 139:15, 139:26, 139:27, 140:7, 140:14, 140:27, 141:11, 141:17, 141:21, 141:25, 142:1, 142:7, 143:2, 144:5, 144:10, 144:14, 144:16, 145:5, 145:10, 145:15, 145:24, 149:23 counsel's [1] -134:22 counselling [25] -23:23, 24:2, 24:10, 24:25, 26:14, 27:11, 35:29, 41:6, 41:7, 41:9, 41:10, 46:2, 46:3, 46:25, 46:28, 46:29, 48:14, 49:14, 49:17, 50:8, 51:16, 52:22, 53:23, 68:7, 137:11 counsellor [5] -24:11, 41:13, 46:25, 50:10. 57:26 count [1] - 17:9 country [2] - 30:17, 106:27 County [4] - 6:5, 63:12, 109:19, 128:23 county [1] - 66:21 couple [3] - 63:23, 78:26, 83:18 courage [3] - 101:29, 126:4, 127:13 course [19] - 2:15, 11:1, 18:21, 20:4, 21:14, 24:10, 29:27, 32:6, 34:3, 40:29,

41:14, 46:3, 46:28, 49:21, 51:15, 72:6, 86:11, 112:22, 122:19 Court [13] - 7:9, 8:12, 8:15, 10:8, 12:1, 12:12, 30:20, 129:7, 147:29, 150:3, 150:29, 151:9, 151:16 COURT [1] - 1:13 court [7] - 10:8, 13:11, 30:21, 97:14, 147:22, 150:26, 151:1 Courtney [1] -137:24 courts [2] - 11:10, 153:14 cousin [3] - 40:14, 69:17, 69:27 cousin's [1] - 70:1 coverage [1] - 151:6 covered [1] - 19:9 covers [1] - 104:1 cracked [1] - 74:8 crazy [1] - 76:5 created [2] - 27:5, 32:19 creating [1] - 26:9 creation [7] - 4:3, 6:17, 23:21, 26:5, 30:11, 34:26, 121:29 creature [1] - 19:18 credibility [17] -40:11, 54:18, 59:12, 104:20, 105:9, 133:26, 135:20, 135:28, 135:29, 136:8, 139:2, 140:4, 140:22, 141:29, 145:2, 145:13, 145:18 credible [5] - 81:28, 111:18, 112:14, 112:16, 113:25 credit [8] - 133:26, 134:10, 134:19, 134:22, 135:6, 135:22, 135:29, 139:5 crime [3] - 124:28, 127:25, 127:27 crimecall [2] - 98:9, 100:10 criminal [24] - 3:21, 3:28, 4:21, 6:19, 9:14, 9:27, 9:28, 22:8, 30:12, 39:21, 39:22, 43:22, 73:5, 88:11, 95:25, 96:14, 107:22, 112:27, 113:15, 121:14, 124:13, 135:13, 135:14, 137:1 criminality [1] -

124:11 criminally [1] - 91:10 criticised [3] - 59:9, 124:18, 132:5 criticism [1] - 127:16 Cross [4] - 6:5, 27:26, 109:19, 110:11 cross [12] - 9:8, 12:18, 14:19, 14:29, 134:7, 134:13, 134:19, 135:5, 135:19, 137:3, 139:4, 143:2 cross-examination [7] - 14:19, 134:13, 134:19, 135:5, 135:19, 137:3, 139:4 cross-examine [2] -12:18, 14:29 cross-examined [2] - 9:8. 143:2 cross-examining [1] - 134:7 crossan's [1] -128:22 crucial [2] - 42:17, 56.18 crucially [1] - 92:13 culture [2] - 22:27, 24:18 Cunningham [14] -39:16, 43:19, 43:21, 43:26, 44:4, 45:7, 45:13, 137:19, 142:9, 142:16, 143:11, 143:18, 144:22, 146:17 cup [1] - 65:15 current [2] - 20:7, 79:13 cursory [1] - 99:4 custody [1] - 15:13 cut [2] - 52:2, 52:5 D d's [10] - 37:12, 37:16, 37:21, 38:3, 38:6, 39:17, 41:6, 43:10, 56:21, 59:13 d].. [1] - 42:4 dad [2] - 36:19, 36:24 daily [2] - 82:29, 106:5 damaging [1] - 105:8 damnification [1] -

25:18

damnify [1] - 20:12

damning [1] - 127:18 danger [1] - 67:26 dangerous [2] -67:24, 124:14 Daniel [2] - 93:16, 94.8 dartry [1] - 15:10 dashboard [1] - 74:6 data [3] - 93:24, 116:7, 120:24 date [12] - 7:17, 7:20, 42:23, 46:11, 48:7, 49:3, 58:8, 58:9, 78:18, 82:7, 93:27, 94:8 dated [3] - 38:29, 43:16, 51:4 dates [2] - 25:15, 75:3 dating [1] - 36:12 daughter [4] - 36:9, 70:4, 83:5, 90:18 daughters [1] -47:13 Dave [1] - 99:23 David [17] - 3:5, 19:28, 33:9, 71:22, 85:4, 85:6, 85:27, 91:17, 95:8, 95:11, 95:14, 95:18, 96:4, 101:2, 107:4, 151:24, 153:5 Davitt [1] - 11:16 days [8] - 73:29, 81:13, 83:2, 109:29, 110:18, 129:4, 130:2, 138.25 deal [7] - 15:27, 16:14, 19:26, 106:16, 107:8, 141:3, 141:5 dealing [3] - 16:3, 53:25, 140:18 dealings [3] - 11:14, 39:11, 92:7 dealt [6] - 15:22, 30:22, 35:16, 81:1, 107:1, 147:13 dean [1] - 15:27 death [5] - 15:7, 15:13, 64:2, 81:27, 83.16 Debbie [3] - 94:7, 103:14, 106:4 deceit [1] - 22:27 December [24] -22:9, 24:5, 34:10, 36:9, 36:17, 37:11, 37:13, 37:15, 37:29, 38:16, 38:19, 42:20,

58:25, 59:6, 64:7, 82:25, 98:9, 142:23, 142:24, 146:15, 147:14 decent [1] - 31:17 decide [6] - 11:27, 29:8, 68:5, 81:29, 147:7, 153:27 decided [9] - 23:29, 38:21, 40:9, 49:21, 63:24, 77:29, 110:21, 113:2, 147:28 deciding [3] - 9:18, 11:2, 123:5 decision [13] - 7:9, 8:12, 27:8, 32:6, 38:28, 52:26, 54:10, 54:12, 60:5, 60:10, 70:27, 139:22, 143:12 decisions [3] - 16:8, 76:23. 151:16 declaration [2] -17:7.143:24 declarations [1] -149:9 dedicated [3] -125:4, 127:22, 146:18 deem [1] - 5:3 deemed [1] - 132:6 Deeney [5] - 46:21, 50:23, 51:5, 54:15, 56:8 deeply [1] - 26:13 defence [2] - 12:23, 13.5defend [1] - 83:25 defended [1] - 12:3 defending [1] - 12:29 defined [2] - 2:27, 135:15 defines [1] - 86:16 degree [1] - 32:2 delay [3] - 110:20, 132:7, 132:9 delays [1] - 124:27 deliberate [2] - 58:1, 64.17 deliberately [2] -60:15, 76:15 deliver [1] - 2:5 delivered [3] -108:19, 123:12, 150:2 demanded [1] -139:21 demands [1] -127:28 demeanour [1] -136.9 democratic [1] -150:17

demonstrate [1] -136:19 demonstrating [1] -135:24 denial [2] - 88:2, 103.6denied [4] - 27:23, 37:15, 37:22, 143:9 denies [6] - 28:21, 100:10, 102:23, 113:8, 119:3, 120:9 dent [1] - 64:6 deny [2] - 13:6, 121:27 denying [1] - 116:24 department [10] -52:26, 52:29, 53:18, 53:22, 54:28, 59:26, 60:3, 77:29, 79:14, 79:24 dependent [1] -147:25 deputy [4] - 110:28, 111:4, 112:4, 116:21 Deputy [25] - 3:8, 6:3, 21:13, 23:7, 27:28, 28:23, 33:11, 89:11, 89:14, 96:6, 109:17, 112:22, 113:8, 114:27, 115:4, 115:7, 115:23, 116:12, 116:14, 116:17, 116:25, 117:7, 121:6, 137:24 Derek [1] - 129:23 Dermot [1] - 137:26 derogatory [1] -103:19 described [13] -11:4, 24:23, 28:24, 40:8, 41:8, 75:8, 103:18, 116:2, 126:2, 132:7, 139:11, 139:18, 143:23 describes [11] -36:16, 40:12, 71:16, 71:17, 72:21, 74:5, 74:8, 74:9, 75:5, 82:22, 112:20 description [7] -42:24, 43:7, 48:22, 51:11, 52:6, 114:9, 131:15 designated [1] -19:26 designed [2] -136:19, 136:23 desired [1] - 14:19 despite [2] - 23:1, 57:28

45:15, 55:4, 57:3,

destroy [3] - 22:28, 31:17, 152:28 destroyed [2] -14:17, 52:17 destroying [2] -58.5 71.3 destruction [1] -27:15 detail [15] - 7:13, 23:26, 24:21, 27:22, 31:21, 33:3, 35:16, 43:23, 87:16, 87:29, 90:6, 121:21, 121:25, 128:5, 133:24 detail" [1] - 79:27 detailed [5] - 34:19, 75:1, 87:10, 91:2, 103:29 detailing [1] - 98:7 details [12] - 18:12, 26:16, 31:24, 48:23, 53:24, 88:13, 91:5, 91:23, 105:8, 106:25, 128:22, 129:25 details) [1] - 77:12 detained [1] - 132:1 detection [1] - 86:17 detective [1] - 44:16 Detective [1] - 45:14 determination [4] -9:19, 33:21, 55:9, 135:7 determine [2] -54:19, 98:26 determined [5] -16:26, 16:28, 55:25, 86.26 152.27 determines [1] - 8:23 determining [1] -11:22 deterred [1] - 150:10 detriment [1] -152:10 developments [1] -50:1 devices [1] - 7:25 devoid [2] - 9:23, 121.25 Dewhirst [2] - 46:19, 50:22 diagnosed [1] -129:4 diametrically [1] -139:3 dictate [1] - 79:3 dictated [1] - 34:1 differ [1] - 111:3 difference [2] - 14:1, 144:13 different [4] - 76:25,

123:1, 139:4, 146:16 differs [1] - 40:14 difficult [2] - 88:1, 102:16 difficulties [4] - 62:5, 65:11, 65:25, 88:15 digital [17] - 24:27, 42:18, 42:27, 43:24, 45:27, 46:5, 47:1, 47:21, 47:24, 48:12, 55:17, 55:27, 56:13, 56:25, 57:16, 57:23, 58:25 diminution [1] -135.28 diplomatic [1] -149:20 direct [9] - 9:29, 28:14, 61:28, 106:17, 117:24, 120:12, 120:15, 121:12, 121:15 directed [14] - 3:7, 3:20, 38:24, 62:28, 85:11, 85:18, 87:11, 88:9, 95:3, 101:10, 119:29, 120:27, 122:27, 129:8 direction [8] - 22:24, 23:11, 23:17, 25:21, 25:24, 45:23, 121:22, 121:24 directly [6] - 11:6, 16:14, 49:18, 89:25, 92:21, 154:11 director [6] - 49:14, 89:29, 116:13, 139:11, 139:23, 143:10 Director [10] - 22:13, 23:29, 38:23, 39:26, 119:29, 139:21, 142:26, 143:12, 143:24, 146:29 disagree [1] - 120:23 disagreement [1] -98:10 disagreements/ arguments [1] - 80:12 disbelieve [1] - 25:5 disbelieved [1] -135:25 discharge [1] - 14:2 discharges [1] - 14:4 disciplinary [2] -30:18, 45:12 discipline [1] - 20:21 disclose [2] - 17:28, 86:10 disclosed [5] -

22:16, 27:12, 148:14, 151:14, 152:5 disclosing [3] -27:19, 120:24, 147:21 Disclosure [16] - 3:4, 3:19, 7:1, 7:2, 19:28, 42:22, 62:8, 85:6, 85:7, 85:25, 87:17, 88:13, 89:9, 109:5, 115:9, 131:16 disclosure [8] - 6:27, 43:5, 86:13, 148:2, 148:21, 150:19, 151:11. 151:24 disclosures [1] -151:21 DISCLOSURES [2] -1:3, 1:4 Disclosures [4] -3:4, 17:20, 17:21, 121:3 discontinue [1] -149:8 discourse [1] - 60:18 discovered [1] - 65:9 discovery [1] - 65:14 discredit [15] - 4:1, 4:8, 4:14, 6:23, 35:1, 35:8, 35:15, 35:19, 60:19, 95:4, 96:13, 101:10, 121:7, 122:4, 147:10 discreditable [1] -135:4 discredited [1] - 7:3 discrediting [2] -58:4, 102:18 discretion [1] -134:20 discuss [4] - 54:29, 59:17, 79:25, 110:16 discussed [10] - 6:8, 29:22, 41:15, 68:19, 69:5, 103:21, 109:22, 110:16, 110:25, 111:2 discussion [3] -24:11, 80:2, 123:16 disgusting [2] - 18:9, 116:2 displayed [1] -127:12 disposal [1] - 148:22 dispose [1] - 34:9 disposed [1] -108:28 disposing [1] - 32:4 disposition [2] -135:10, 135:25 dispositive [1] -151:17

disputed [1] - 10:23 disputes [1] - 11:27 disqualified [1] -64:22 disquiet [1] - 18:1 dissatisfaction [1] -44:20 disseminate [1] -152:5 disseminated [1] -23.6 dissemination [2] -33:10, 57:22 distinction [1] -136:11 distribute [1] - 154:2 distributed [3] -27:13, 32:19, 42:19 distribution [6] - 4:3, 6:18, 23:21, 30:11, 34:26, 121:29 District [1] - 129:7 district [3] - 4:16, 40:20, 65:3 disturbance [1] -70:8 disturbed [1] - 28:19 divert [1] - 134:15 divide [1] - 31:29 divisible [1] - 33:20 division [3] - 62:1, 86:1, 87:13 divorced [1] - 63:21 document [2] -27:10. 153:15 documentation [1] -7:25 documented [1] -89.5 documents [4] -20:2, 21:3, 147:21, 154:3 Dolores [1] - 71:2 domain [2] - 116:8, 152.3domestic [7] - 30:27, 31:11, 63:2, 63:3, 63:8, 74:5 donate [1] - 109:1 done [10] - 18:28, 20:5, 25:9, 33:6, 39:1, 43:13, 56:9, 77:22, 135:3, 141:9 Donegal [12] - 31:5, 31:6, 61:14, 62:27, 63:12, 63:19, 63:26, 66:20, 66:21, 70:2, 70:29, 82:19 Donna [7] - 63:4, 77:26, 79:11, 79:29,

80:28, 81:10, 81:18 doubt [2] - 40:11, 105:10 doubtless [1] - 11:13 doubts [1] - 92:24 down [10] - 7:8, 11:8, 12:13, 17:1, 37:2, 37:8, 48:29, 75:14, 119:9, 146:4 dozen [1] - 38:4 **DPP** [11] - 39:29, 87:9, 90:20, 91:11, 102:3, 112:25, 113:1, 113:2, 122:27, 129:8, 143:21 DPP.. [1] - 42:7 drafted [1] - 54:14 dragged [2] - 27:1, 30:7 dramatis [1] - 16:2 draw [5] - 3:20, 85:18, 88:10, 91:6, 121:13 drawing [2] - 2:12, 11:5 drawn [4] - 95:24, 95:28, 138:10, 154:17 dreadful [1] - 24:24 drier [1] - 75:28 driven [8] - 3:16, 21:20, 90:15, 90:16, 95:22, 120:19, 120:29, 136:28 driver [4] - 19:7, 64:15, 64:18, 124:16 driving [4] - 64:10, 64:21, 83:14, 124:14 drop [1] - 90:23 drove [1] - 83:6 drunk [1] - 30:25 DUBLIN [1] - 1:17 Dublin [7] - 6:5, 27:12, 27:26, 62:28, 76:16, 104:29, 109:19 due [9] - 18:21, 20:4, 31:8, 73:28, 73:29, 109:29, 116:9, 126:6, 135.29 duly [2] - 7:10, 14:19 Dundalk [3] - 110:2, 110:6, 110:21 duress [1] - 78:16 during [26] - 29:27, 34:8, 40:29, 41:14, 46:2, 46:28, 47:18, 51:15, 72:14, 73:19, 77:10, 85:8, 90:1, 92:8, 93:25, 97:11, 107:1, 110:7, 112:22, 118:20, 122:19,

130:9, 132:10, 134:3, 140:14, 147:10 Durkin [1] - 71:23 duties [3] - 82:8, 86.11 duty [15] - 13:11, 17:7, 25:9, 30:26, 31:13, 42:14, 43:26, 45:18, 64:16, 68:4, 73:4, 86:5, 123:21, 132:27, 145:27 Dyanne [1] - 94:1 DÁIL [1] - 1:5 Dáil [1] - 14:23 Dálaigh [3] - 12:25, 14:7, 14:21

Ε

e.g [1] - 11:10 early [7] - 44:1, 44:8, 64:27, 65:11, 107:7, 118:2. 142:18 earshot [1] - 98:22 easier [2] - 123:6, 138:14 easily [1] - 28:27 Eavan [1] - 94:4 edition [1] - 101:6 editor [1] - 101:14 editorial [1] - 102:10 effect [14] - 3:13, 8:22, 22:7, 49:26, 82:4, 86:14, 86:16, 95:16, 95:19, 118:4, 118:8, 120:13, 120:29, 150:18 effectively [1] -103.5effects [1] - 63:7 efficiency [1] - 19:15 efforts [2] - 57:29, 152:27 egregious [2] -26:18, 56:2 eight [5] - 30:21, 64:21, 72:6, 124:12, 128:20 Eileen [4] - 45:24, 48:21, 49:2, 50:20 either [6] - 21:1, 54:3, 97:4, 100:28, 116:5, 147:28 elaborate [2] - 99:17, 119:26 elaborated [1] -104:23 electronic [1] - 5:18 element [2] - 16:27,

148:15 elicit [1] - 99:7 email [2] - 89:5, 107:9 emailed [1] - 46:19 emails [2] - 88:24, 107:20 embark [1] - 2:16 emerge [2] - 2:15, 147:28 emerged [3] - 2:11, 58:9, 130:5 emerges [1] - 2:18 emerging [1] - 2:14 Emily [1] - 87:2 eminent [1] - 26:22 Emma [1] - 94:3 emotional [3] - 28:4, 77:9, 80:23 emotionally [1] -83:20 emotions [1] - 73:27 emphasis [1] - 133:3 emphasised [1] -151:1 employer [1] - 55:23 employment [1] -86:12 enabling [1] - 31:16 encompass [1] -147:24 encounter [2] -100:4, 139:3 encourage [4] - 3:12, 91:25, 120:16, 120:18 encouraged [1] -129:10 end [10] - 7:6, 10:4, 34:16, 58:11, 66:20, 79:7, 81:19, 126:29, 130:13 ended [2] - 30:19, 64:13 ending [1] - 99:20 endured [1] - 82:25 engage [5] - 11:3, 32:24, 33:4, 66:5, 120:28 engaged [3] - 44:10, 117:5. 152:27 engagement [1] -33:15 English [4] - 65:15, 65:22, 66:4, 66:8 enjoyed [1] - 82:28 enquire [2] - 16:11, 69:20 enquiries [2] - 68:28, 102.2enquiry [1] - 104:6

ensued [1] - 64:10 ensure [10] - 10:18, 14:16, 26:10, 26:21, 31:13, 68:25, 80:12, 108:28, 130:18, 133:16 ensuring [1] - 14:5 entered [3] - 29:14, 54:20, 113:21 enticed [1] - 10:20 entire [1] - 18:7 entirely [8] - 25:25, 44:9, 52:7, 88:13, 104:21, 113:29, 125:20, 146:16 entirety [1] - 51:13 entitle [1] - 138:11 entitled [5] - 19:1, 44:18, 125:17, 147:4, 148:11 entitlement [1] -149:22 entrap [1] - 4:20 entry [1] - 38:27 enumerated [1] -13:1 environment [1] -90:13 Equality [1] - 123:12 EQUALITY [1] - 1:9 equality [2] - 16:6, 17:22 equally [1] - 17:13 equation [1] - 31:8 equivalent [1] -131:20 Errigal [1] - 65:16 erroneous [1] - 49:3 error [22] - 10:20, 25:11, 25:26, 26:2. 26:18, 43:25, 48:4, 48:9, 48:19, 49:11, 49:25, 50:16, 50:25, 50:29, 51:9, 52:1, 52:2, 52:4, 52:5, 52:16, 56:2, 56:20 errors [5] - 57:27, 60:23, 61:1, 61:2, 124:27 escalated [1] - 59:3 escalation [1] - 59:4 especially [3] - 26:7, 70:27,83:24 essential [3] - 16:27, 32:26, 148:15 essentially [1] -18:23 establish [3] - 59:29, 76:24. 116:19 ESTABLISHED [1] -

1

1:8 established [11] -10:13, 11:6, 11:9, 14:22, 15:4, 15:27, 16:5, 17:22, 17:28, 19:17, 85:5 establishing [2] -16:9, 20:5 establishment [8] -7:22, 10:1, 17:24, 17:26, 18:20, 32:13, 44:25, 124:6 estranged [1] - 67:12 etcetera [1] - 68:7 Eugene [2] - 68:12, 69:22 European [5] -97:19, 147:29, 149:29, 150:3, 151:16 evening [3] - 38:6, 70:15, 99:24 event [8] - 22:10, 23:15, 25:1, 39:20, 42:17, 56:18, 133:4, 136.13events [10] - 16:3, 18:12, 21:3, 35:9, 57:7, 61:6, 71:22, 71:24, 83:28, 104:3 evidence [58] - 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 10:11, 10:24, 11:6, 11:18, 12:4, 12:6, 12:17, 12:21, 14:14, 14:18, 17:2, 19:2, 28:2, 28:14, 29:8, 30:9, 32:9, 33:20, 34:12, 40:6, 58:8, 60:27, 76:14, 84:2, 88:22, 93:7, 95:2, 96:10, 96:20, 96:23, 103:26, 124:11. 125:15. 126:11, 126:15, 129:12, 129:23, 130:8, 131:3, 131:7, 135:5, 135:10, 135:11, 135:14, 135:16, 136:3, 136:4, 136:5, 136:6, 138:25, 139:16, 143:1, 148:7, 148:12 Evidence [1] - 17:23 EVIDENCE [1] - 1:9 exact [1] - 87:19 exactly [2] - 149:13, 151:15 exaggerated [3] -125:7, 126:9, 129:26 exaggeration [1] -125:5

examination [11] -14:19, 19:14, 108:23, 118:12, 134:13, 134:19, 135:5, 135:19, 137:3, 139:4, 140.13examine [8] - 5:7, 5:15, 5:18, 6:5, 10:10, 12:18, 14:29, 109:19 examined [6] - 9:8, 41:1, 107:21, 107:22, 107:26, 143:2 examining [2] -35:23, 134:7 example [1] - 149:5 examples [2] - 22:3, 136:15 exceeding [2] -32:11, 106:22 except [1] - 14:18 exception [2] -16:10, 149:5 exceptional [1] -138:13 exceptions [2] -97:4, 135:15 excessive [1] - 64:8 exchange [2] -140:26, 144:1 exchanged [3] -72:22, 72:23, 117:4 exclude [1] - 13:3 exclusive [2] - 87:1, 105:21 Executive [10] - 5:2, 16:22, 23:23, 29:6, 32.18 34.25 60.29 67:1, 76:13, 154:4 executive [2] - 8:25, 102:10 exegesis [1] - 97:17 exercise [3] - 10:1, 25:25, 150:19 exercises [1] -138:21 exercising [1] - 9:26 exhausted [1] -73:27 exist [1] - 105:8 existed [1] - 149:16 existence [1] - 9:18 exists [1] - 10:24 expect [1] - 60:27 expectation [1] -134:6 expectations [1] -65:16 expected [2] - 134:7, 134:16 experience [1] - 17:5

experienced [4] -41:9, 46:3, 46:29, 51:16 explained [4] - 66:3, 81:1, 81:5, 98:23 explaining [1] -49:11 explanation [1] -58:24 explore [2] - 79:26, 123:4 explored [2] - 39:13, 133:23 expose [2] - 136:23, 137:5 exposing [1] -101:29 express [1] - 69:14 expressed [5] -28:24, 61:17, 70:3, 86:24.87:24 expresses [2] - 8:21, 8:24 expression [3] -131:20, 151:3, 151:6 extended [4] - 29:3, 31:15, 43:27, 62:29 extent [7] - 16:27, 27:16, 28:19, 29:17, 61:5, 63:2, 120:1 extract [1] - 126:24 extracted [1] -118:11 extraction [1] - 31:9 extracts [1] - 112:7 extramarital [1] -67:10 extraordinary [2] -73:28, 121:23 extreme [2] - 31:22, 111:23 extremely [3] -81:17, 87:27, 111:22 eyes [2] - 73:23, 75:18

#### F

fabrication [1] -71:22 face [5] - 26:24, 37:2, 37:4, 129:2, 131:26 Fachtna [1] - 138:1 facilitate [1] - 107:10 fact [23] - 8:9, 11:3, 11:4, 11:8, 11:28, 21:23, 24:9, 33:27, 49:7, 52:9, 59:10, 62:11, 63:29, 91:8,

105:7, 111:24, 113:14, 128:8, 129:10, 129:22, 134:29, 143:12, 149:17 fact-finding [3] -11:3, 11:4, 11:8 facts [18] - 8:3, 10:11, 10:13, 10:22, 10:23, 11:2, 11:6, 15:6, 22:14, 22:21, 134:9, 134:18, 135:7, 136:22, 140:3, 141:28, 146:9, 148:3 factual [3] - 2:13, 76:25, 141:5 failed [3] - 43:7, 64:9, 83:17 failings [4] - 17:29, 124:12, 124:24, 128:11 failure [5] - 45:9, 59:5, 59:9, 127:1, 138:10 fair [17] - 12:9, 12:11, 13:24, 14:5, 17:25, 22:22, 25:19, 27:22, 28:12, 31:25, 59:5, 59:23, 62:2, 116:9, 123:14, 146:29, 152:18 fair-minded [1] -152:18 fairly [1] - 2:13 fairness [4] - 2:21, 13:9, 13:10, 17:12 fall [1] - 106:15 fallen [1] - 127:27 false [14] - 4:4, 4:7, 4:11, 8:22, 29:25, 32:21, 34:6, 34:27, 71:24, 122:1, 130:21, 131:10, 133:19, 134:1 falsehoods [2] -111:15, 113:19 falsely [1] - 4:20 falsity [2] - 9:12, 11:23 families [2] - 24:14, 81:2 Family [20] - 16:21, 23:24, 32:18, 34:22, 34:25, 38:25, 43:15, 46:27, 49:15, 55:5, 55:24, 56:26, 60:25, 61:19, 62:20, 63:1, 76:14, 77:14, 81:21, 154:5 family [25] - 33:2, 36:14, 37:16, 37:21,

38:3, 55:23, 62:14, 63:11, 65:1, 65:11, 67:13, 67:26, 70:23, 70:24, 71:16, 73:11, 76:17, 79:6, 79:25, 80:20, 82:27, 83:19, 111:21, 112:12, 114:12 family" [1] - 77:23 family/child [1] -39:9 famous [2] - 99:13, 100.19Fanning [1] - 137:28 far [10] - 2:11, 22:11, 28:26, 32:25, 68:1, 89:13, 89:24, 127:1, 128:9, 144:29 fatal [1] - 15:16 father [15] - 25:3, 37:12, 42:29, 44:13, 44:15, 45:8, 47:6, 47:23, 47:25, 47:28, 51:21, 67:15, 106:5, 126:14, 131:6 favour [2] - 17:8, 132:28 fear [6] - 17:7, 36:28, 36:29, 67:24, 81:20, 83:16 feared [1] - 69:29 Featherstone [1] -138:1 FEBRUARY [2] - 1:6, 1:10 February [21] - 6:28, 7:26, 17:19, 18:22, 39:15, 56:20, 56:23, 67:3, 68:11, 69:18, 79:21, 79:23, 80:1, 80:6, 80:14, 82:17, 87:9, 94:26, 103:11, 134:5, 138:19 feelings [1] - 65:28 feet [1] - 37:2 fellas [1] - 74:14 felt [5] - 28:10, 39:21, 78:29, 130:17, 133:14 Fennelly [2] - 18:14, 107:25 few [4] - 73:28, 81:13, 97:4, 109:29 fictitious [1] - 81:22 fiddler [1] - 116:17 fiddles [1] - 117:11 fides [2] - 144:12, 144:14 fifteen [2] - 81:16, 108:17

figure [1] - 126:2 file [39] - 4:4, 4:7, 23:21, 27:5, 38:29, 39:11, 39:16, 39:25, 43:14, 43:26, 45:19, 53:29, 54:3, 54:7, 54:8, 57:1, 57:19, 58:13, 58:28, 59:7, 59:8, 59:22, 60:5, 60:10, 61:7, 80:13, 90:20, 90:24, 91:10, 105:2, 105:7, 105:29, 112:24, 113:1, 119:13, 122:1, 122:26, 132:7, 142:26 filed [1] - 53:29 files [9] - 5:18, 6:18, 6:23, 21:3, 30:12, 32:16, 34:24, 34:27, 132:16 filled [1] - 77:8 filling [3] - 26:16, 43:3, 75:18 final [1] - 138:20 financial [2] - 22:1, 75:7 financially [1] -83:20 findings [8] - 8:3, 10:11, 11:18, 12:6, 60:11, 118:13, 128:1, 132:27 finish [1] - 65:11 Finlay [1] - 8:6 Finnerty [1] - 125:26 Fintan [1] - 137:28 Fiona [1] - 49:14 fire [3] - 21:28, 90:22, 152:14 firm [2] - 105:23, 140:9 first [28] - 15:9, 31:27, 32:23, 36:15, 38:18, 41:6, 45:6, 50:4, 51:3, 53:3, 55:26, 56:7, 69:24, 75:8, 81:29, 82:28, 94:11, 101:9, 101:23, 104:8, 104:26, 115:4, 124:19, 125:26, 128:29, 146:28, 150:2, 154:3 First [1] - 41:19 firstly [1] - 106:28 fist [1] - 74:7 five [8] - 31:29, 38:8, 47:14, 54:16, 56:7, 94:17, 117:23, 124:19 five-year-old [1] -124:19

fixed [6] - 101:20, 106:23, 114:18, 118:11, 119:11, 119:21 flat [1] - 131:27 flaw [1] - 133:2 Fleming [1] - 14:13 Flynn [3] - 108:4, 108:25, 108:27 follow [3] - 19:12, 23:16, 75:24 follow-through [1] -23:16 followed [6] - 16:6, 17:25, 64:9, 73:3, 83:15, 140:26 FOLLOWING [1] -1.5following [48] - 1:26, 6:29, 17:28, 33:14, 38:1. 40:3. 42:23. 43:14, 45:17, 45:28, 46:23, 46:26, 48:13, 48:18, 56:22, 67:6, 68:13, 69:6, 69:9, 71:7, 73:12, 76:22, 77:13, 78:21, 79:21, 79:29, 80:9, 82:9, 82:23, 82:25, 82:26, 87:2, 87:13, 92:15, 93:28, 94:19, 98:14, 100:11, 103:19, 115:6, 116:27, 123:29, 140:15, 140:26, 141:27, 141:29, 142:7, 143:3 FOLLOWS [1] - 85:1 follows [8] - 36:16, 41:29, 51:27, 55:13, 89:9, 102:24, 112:20, 150:3 foot [4] - 83:29, 91:16, 91:24, 100:2 FOR [3] - 1:8, 2:1, 84:6 force [9] - 15:8, 16:15, 20:8, 20:22, 22:24, 27:21, 30:29, 32:20, 127:23 forcibly [1] - 69:26 fore [1] - 41:2 foregoing [1] -122:29 Forensic [1] - 29:14 forensic [1] - 108:22 forensically [1] -107:26 form [20] - 23:12, 26:14, 42:12, 43:5, 43:11, 46:10, 46:15,

46:22, 48:10, 48:20, 50:21, 51:4, 51:26, 52:9, 52:23, 52:28, 53:17, 59:4, 77:8, 151:22 form.. [1] - 52:13 formal [4] - 70:10, 73:12, 77:5, 153:15 formally [2] - 44:27, 107:29 formats [1] - 23:2 former [61] - 3:7, 3:25, 4:23, 5:9, 6:3, 12:1, 14:15, 16:15, 16:20, 18:3, 18:18, 20:9, 20:16, 21:12, 27:20, 28:10, 28:18, 29:11, 33:10, 33:16, 35:5, 87:23, 88:22, 89:22, 90:7, 93:11, 96:5, 96:11, 96:29, 98:8, 101:14, 102:21, 106:10. 107:24. 108:1, 108:13, 109:7, 109:17, 110:28, 112:18, 113:5, 115:3, 116:6, 116:20, 116:23, 119:1, 120:9, 122:7, 125:9, 125:16, 125:19, 127:4, 127:5, 127:7, 136:19, 138:1, 138:2, 144:23, 144:28, 152:11, 153:8 formerly [1] - 94:4 forms [1] - 147:20 forum [2] - 32:5, 149:11 forward [7] - 27:18, 43:3, 89:24, 101:1, 149:10, 152:2, 153:12 forwarded [4] -46:15, 60:22, 68:11, 71:25 fostered [1] - 148:19 foundation [2] -35:25, 109:3 four [6] - 38:8, 45:25, 81:4, 83:2, 108:18, 148:8 Foy [1] - 94:2 Fraher [1] - 45:14 Fran [3] - 94:2, 128:20, 129:17 fraud [1] - 135:1 fraught [1] - 145:14 free [1] - 41:7 freedom [5] - 150:6, 150:17. 150:19. 151:2, 151:5 freedoms.. [1] -

150:9 fresh [1] - 114:8 friend [2] - 44:15, 129:3 frightened [1] - 76:3 front [7] - 26:8, 70:17, 75:9, 78:26, 80:13, 83:5, 101:5 fulfil [1] - 17:7 full [8] - 61:5, 67:18, 108:25, 132:25, 141:19, 141:21, 142:25, 148:16 full-time [1] - 67:18 fully [7] - 3:14, 21:18, 37:15, 37:22, 48:27, 95:20, 147:4 fully... [1] - 121:27 function [4] - 2:4, 7:29, 8:2, 9:27 functions [5] - 8:18, 9:2, 9:23, 10:2, 25:26 fundamental [1] -127:24 fundamentally [1] -111:3 furnish [3] - 130:21, 131:10, 133:18 furnished [4] -12:16, 67:4, 73:2, 106:25 furthering [1] - 32:21 furthermore [5] -25:3, 62:28, 91:27, 137:14, 153:14 futility [1] - 19:13 G gained [1] - 148:22 Galway [2] - 63:13, 74:26 game [5] - 36:22, 36:29, 37:19, 38:10, 47:18 garda [63] - 7:1, 15:23, 18:24, 19:27, 21:17, 30:3, 30:7, 30:13, 30:18, 31:14, 39:25, 40:28, 42:14, 44:19, 46:10, 46:19, 46:22, 48:1, 48:6, 50:21, 51:3, 53:28, 56:28, 57:3, 62:19, 64:1, 64:2, 64:7, 64:14, 64:20, 65:3, 70:19, 73:24, 76:9, 77:21, 79:13, 81:26,

82:19, 82:22, 83:12,

86:8, 90:24, 105:1, 105:7, 105:19, 105:29, 106:5, 117:28, 118:12, 124:11, 125:21, 125:23, 126:27, 127:1, 127:19, 128:25, 128:26, 128:27, 129:10, 132:13, 142:26, 149:16 Garda [153] - 3:27, 4:8, 4:19, 4:29, 5:19, 5:24, 6:14, 6:20, 6:21, 6:22, 6:27, 6:29, 7:4, 15:5, 15:13, 16:16, 16:17, 17:26, 18:17, 20:8, 20:17, 22:5, 25:19, 25:21, 29:17, 30:14, 31:2, 33:1, 33:8, 36:5, 36:6, 36:8, 40:22, 41:3, 44:28, 45:3, 46:16, 52:14, 61:16, 61:26, 62:7, 62:17, 62:26, 63:17, 63:20, 64:15, 64:25, 64:26, 64:28, 64:29, 65:1, 65:4, 65:10, 65:13, 65:16, 65:20, 66:18, 66:25, 66:26, 67:11, 67:23, 69:15, 69:19, 69:27, 69:28, 70:2, 70:3, 70:8, 70:10, 70:13, 70:15, 70:16, 70:24, 71:15, 72:4, 74:6, 76:16, 76:17, 79:26, 80:1, 80:10, 80:17, 81:25, 81:28, 82:2, 82:4, 82:7, 82:12, 82:13, 82:16, 82:17, 82:20, 82:22, 83:1, 83:3, 83:15, 83:19, 83:24, 83:27, 83:29, 85:8, 85:27, 86:6, 90:1, 91:14, 91:15, 91:17, 95:4, 96:1, 96:13, 99:12, 99:13, 99:23, 100:18, 100:19, 101:1, 105:4, 105:15, 106:3, 107:7, 108:5, 110:2, 110:7, 111:26, 115:22, 116:6, 116:13, 121:5, 121:10, 123:19, 124:4, 124:10, 124:13, 125:9, 125:24, 126:7, 127:7, 127:15, 127:21, 127:25, 128:2, 132:23, 133:11,

133:15, 139:27, 141:4, 141:6, 142:8, 143:2, 151:26, 152:26 Garda/HSE [1] - 39:8 Gardaí [96] - 3:14, 3:23, 4:6, 21:18, 25:24, 25:25, 30:15, 30:24, 35:1, 35:7, 36:1, 37:29, 40:22, 40:26, 41:23, 42:7, 42:18, 44:16, 44:21, 46:21, 48:13, 49:17, 49:20, 50:9, 50:18, 50:24, 50:28, 51:13, 52:24, 52:27, 53:1, 53:5, 53:20, 54:10, 56:22, 56:29, 57:23, 60:15. 60:22. 61:5. 61:15, 61:19, 61:22, 61:29, 63:17, 67:2, 69:2, 69:14, 69:25, 69:26, 70:1, 70:12, 71:8, 71:18, 72:16, 72:26, 73:1, 73:8, 73:14, 74:4, 75:10, 76:8, 77:11, 77:19, 77:25, 78:1, 79:16, 82:5, 83:23, 83:26, 83:29, 85:21, 86:23, 86:29.87:5.88:25. 95:20, 97:1, 98:11, 98:26, 101:20, 105:4, 108:19, 122:3, 124:23, 124:28, 125:4, 128:10, 129:14, 137:3, 137:16, 139:27, 142:22, 152:29 garden [1] - 83:5 Gary [2] - 64:15, 71:5 Gavin [1] - 138:2 Gemma [3] - 104:12, 106:15, 106:24 general [8] - 82:26, 91:6. 126:6. 131:28. 135:16, 141:3, 148:11, 148:25 General [1] - 117:14 generalised [1] -97:7 generally [2] -103:21, 136:8 genesis [1] - 91:7 genuine [13] - 43:2, 48:19, 50:9, 50:16, 57:25, 60:20, 61:2, 125:3, 126:3, 130:20, 131:9, 133:18, 141:8 Geoghegan [1] -13:17

geographical [1] -62:24 George [1] - 15:28 Gerard [2] - 137:24, 138:4 germane [1] - 134:25 Gerry [1] - 77:19 girl [3] - 19:8, 38:8, 44:18 girlfriend [1] - 135:3 girls [1] - 36:23 given [20] - 22:18, 23:11, 32:8, 40:13, 40:15, 51:10, 52:17, 59:1, 59:5, 76:26, 88:27, 88:28, 90:6, 101:25, 103:15, 106:1, 121:22, 121:25, 123:2, 124:20 Goodman [3] - 8:6, 11:10, 13:16 goodwin [1] - 149:29 Goretti [5] - 71:25, 73:25, 79:5, 79:8, 80:27 goretti [1] - 72:27 gossip [4] - 21:5, 21:24, 111:11, 152:7 government [3] -5:1, 29:5, 40:24 grabbed [2] - 24:23, 74:16 grabbing [1] - 76:2 grand [2] - 140:19, 144:21 granted [3] - 9:7, 14:28, 108:11 graphic [1] - 28:25 grateful [1] - 138:15 gratitude [1] - 126:6 grave [1] - 32:12 Greany [1] - 94:2 great [7] - 11:14, 19:15, 67:14, 101:29, 104:5, 127:12, 148:6 greater [2] - 24:20, 148:21 greetings [1] -117:28 grievance [4] -142:12, 142:13, 142:18, 143:6 grievances [2] -99:12.100:18 Grogan [1] - 137:20 ground [2] - 37:2, 39:22 groundless [1] -21:20 grounds [7] - 4:12,

29:26, 34:7, 55:10, 102:4, 134:2, 147:8 group [3] - 10:15, 102:10, 151:10 GSOC [7] - 45:10, 45:12, 77:3, 77:4, 124:28, 130:13, 130:18 guarantee [3] - 13:8, 78:28, 151:5 guarantees [1] -13:10 guards [3] - 40:6, 142:12, 143:7 Guards [1] - 40:15 Guerin [4] - 18:19, 44:23, 44:24, 126:28 guidance [1] - 8:25 guided [1] - 17:4 Guidelines [1] -41:19 guiding [1] - 17:6 guilty [2] - 21:27, 65:6 gut [1] - 67:17 GWEN [1] - 1:30 Gwen [1] - 1:25 gyrating [1] - 42:11

# Η

half [3] - 38:4, 72:7, 128:20 hall [1] - 37:9 Hamilton [3] - 8:7, 12:2, 13:16 hand [5] - 34:20, 104:8, 111:27, 130:7, 146:2 handed [1] - 68:16 handle [1] - 68:2 hands [1] - 134:22 handwritten [3] -41:17, 112:5, 112:6 hanging [1] - 81:11 happy [2] - 66:13, 74:4 harassed [1] - 83:13 harassing [1] - 82:16 hard [1] - 74:7 harm [2] - 24:19, 80:11 harmful [2] - 86:14, 86.16 Harris [3] - 101:14, 102:28, 103:4 Harrison [48] - 6:15, 31:2, 33:1, 61:16, 61:22, 61:26, 62:8,

62:26, 63:13, 63:18, 63:20, 64:29, 65:4, 65:10, 65:13, 66:18, 66:25, 66:26, 67:11, 67:23, 69:15, 69:19, 69:27, 69:28, 70:8, 70:16, 70:24, 71:15, 74:6, 74:10, 76:8, 76:18, 78:13, 79:26, 80:1, 80:10, 80:17, 81:25, 81:28, 82:4, 82:7, 82:13, 82:16, 82:17, 82:20, 82:22, 83:24, 83:27 Harrison's [4] -62:17, 65:16, 65:20, 70:3 Harry [1] - 15:24 haste [1] - 109:11 Haughey [4] - 7:10, 12:13, 14:7, 14:12 Haughey's [1] -14.16Hayden [1] - 39:24 Hayes [7] - 98:6, 98:12, 100:9, 100:11, 100:21, 100:24, 100:27 Hayes' [1] - 101:8 head [7] - 20:7, 20:16, 27:27, 64:13, 129:2, 129:4, 131:26 head-on [1] - 64:13 heading [2] - 42:24, 48.22 headlines [1] -128:21 headquarters [2] -29:18.62:28 Headquarters [9] -5:24, 25:19, 25:22, 30:14, 76:16, 84:1, 105:4, 123:20, 152:27 Health [11] - 5:1, 16:22, 23:23, 29:5, 32:18, 34:25, 38:17, 60:28, 66:29, 76:13, 154:4 health [3] - 67:29, 68:22, 78:3 healthy [1] - 21:24 hear [4] - 60:27, 61:3, 83:22, 140:1 heard [8] - 105:16, 111:11, 111:14, 113:18, 116:20, 119:24, 138:25, 149:11 HEARING [3] - 84:6, 85:1, 154:19

hearing [9] - 13:29, 14:5, 36:28, 97:20, 110:1, 117:1, 140:2, 145:10, 147:10 hearings [23] - 2:16, 9:5, 10:27, 19:12, 29:24, 29:28, 31:24, 32:23, 33:4, 33:13, 34:8, 123:3, 133:22, 134:4, 138:23, 139:7, 142:7, 142:29, 143:22, 143:28, 144:1, 146:10, 154:7 hearsay [5] - 11:17, 12:4, 14:14, 103:26, 104:7 heart [1] - 151:20 heat [1] - 72:23 heavily [1] - 147:25 Hederman [1] -11:11 height [2] - 22:15, 89:19 heightened [1] -73.28 HELD [1] - 1:17 held [8] - 5:19, 21:6, 29:23, 33:13, 34:24, 77:24, 110:24, 151:9 Heller [1] - 137:22 help [4] - 67:19, 68:2, 68:9 helped [2] - 129:2, 131:26 helpful [3] - 98:3, 103:29, 104:7 Henry [1] - 148:6 hereto [1] - 93:5 herself [3] - 56:4, 89:24, 89:25 hid [1] - 36:25 hide [7] - 36:22, 37:19, 38:10, 38:11, 42:8, 47:12, 47:19 hierarchy [1] -102:10 high [6] - 15:21, 17:8, 17:10, 20:24, 58:29, 59:2 High [5] - 8:12, 10:7, 12:1, 30:20, 151:9 highest [3] - 22:25, 62:25, 121:5 highlight [1] - 126:12 highlighted [3] -114:21, 119:13, 131:3 highlighting [1] -56:14 highlights [1] -127:16

highly [2] - 30:16, 75:2 Hilliard [1] - 94:2 him" [2] - 91:26, 143:26 himself [9] - 12:29, 37:7, 48:29, 65:17, 76:5, 82:11, 135:1, 152:3, 153:12 history [1] - 147:5 hit [1] - 90:12 hold [8] - 48:8, 62:3, 74:23, 123:3, 133:22, 143:20, 145:29, 146:4 home [27] - 36:15, 37:13, 37:20, 37:21, 47:9, 55:14, 63:5, 66:27, 67:13, 70:5, 76:19, 79:6, 80:5, 81:10, 81:26, 82:23, 82:26, 82:28, 83:3, 83:8, 83:14, 106:26, 106:28, 106:29, 107:5, 110:12, 126:21 homicide [1] - 65:6 Hone [1] - 77:20 honest [1] - 78:10 hope [5] - 2:13, 13:5, 123:3, 133:22, 154:14 hoped [6] - 32:8, 32:23, 33:4, 33:13, 34:9, 147:13 horrendous [1] -37.26 horrific [2] - 99:16, 100:23 hospital [5] - 73:29, 129:3, 130:1, 130:2, 131:28 hospitalised [1] -77:28 hotel [1] - 19:6 Hotel [7] - 6:5, 27:26, 65:16, 109:8, 109:19, 110:11, 115:14 hour [2] - 64:11, 64:12 hours [2] - 72:7, 107:1 house [13] - 22:10, 36:21, 37:17, 38:3, 42:9, 43:13, 47:12, 72:1, 72:24, 74:17, 75:6, 75:27, 131:25 household [2] - 58:2, 63:6 Houses [1] - 2:29 Howlin [6] - 103:8, 103:13, 103:18, 103:22, 103:26, 104:2

Howlin's [1] - 103:28 HR [1] - 40:22 HSE [25] - 34:22, 38:16, 38:26, 39:10, 41:8, 41:21, 41:22, 41:27, 42:1, 43:4, 43:12, 45:22, 49:25, 53:19, 53:29, 56:22, 58:21, 67:4, 68:24, 77:6, 77:11, 77:14, 77:19, 77:25, 79:16 huge [1] - 19:13 human [4] - 21:23, 30:17, 30:24, 124:24 Human [4] - 97:19, 147:29, 149:29, 150:3 humping [2] - 24:24, 37:7 hundred [1] - 38:13 hurt [1] - 65:29 husband [3] - 38:9, 67:14, 71:3 husband's [1] - 75:6 hypothesis [1] -97:16

## I

i.e [4] - 11:4, 53:22, 56:28, 100:28 identification [1] -92:18 identified [9] - 10:26, 14:6. 32:17. 48:3. 82:10, 93:23, 124:12, 152:3, 153:12 identify [1] - 82:6 identifying [1] -104:8 identity [5] - 26:29, 27:10, 87:5, 92:28, 151:13 IEHC [2] - 136:2, 151:10 IESC [1] - 150:28 ignore [1] - 22:25 ignored [1] - 97:5 immediate [5] - 7:21, 20:9, 25:7, 81:2, 100:2 immediately [6] -27:23, 81:11, 99:26, 104:18, 110:21, 152:19 impact [1] - 66:7 impeding [2] - 86:17, 86:18 impending [1] -31:23

impinges [1] -145:11 implication [1] -122:3 implied [1] - 89:23 importance [4] -14:24, 92:3, 92:12, 150:16 important [9] -13:22, 54:9, 57:6, 97:18, 102:21, 134:23, 135:1, 135:2, 153:17 imposes [1] - 8:23 imposing [2] - 9:17, 9.20 impression [1] - 99:4 imprisonment [1] -64:21 improper [4] -120:20, 120:28, 120:29, 141:9 impugned [1] - 12:27 IN [1] - 1:17 in-house [1] - 43:13 inadequate [1] -132.14 inadmissible [1] -135:16 inappropriate [7] -28:2, 30:4, 30:6, 48:28, 69:20, 83:28, 122:24 inappropriately [8] -4:13, 29:27, 34:7, 42:10, 62:29, 116:8, 134.2 147.9 incapable [1] -136:24 incident [18] - 19:5, 24:12, 36:13, 36:16, 40:7, 40:11, 47:8, 48:25, 48:26, 48:28, 71:10, 71:13, 71:19, 79:17, 81:4, 130:2, 139:9, 139:18 incidents [8] - 19:9, 73:2, 73:13, 83:12, 102:1, 123:2, 126:12, 131.4include [3] - 15:5, 43:9, 136:16 included [11] -43:25, 47:20, 50:17, 51:8, 51:10, 57:18, 59:11, 91:4, 93:3, 124:14, 137:16 including [13] - 9:13, 27:17, 39:19, 86:17, 93:6, 107:21, 108:19,

115:22, 126:12, 131:4, 132:6, 132:12, 136:3 inclusion [10] -43:23, 44:22, 45:26, 48:11, 49:8, 50:7, 55:28, 56:5, 57:25, 58:24 inconsistencies [1] -41:2 incorrect [12] -45:27, 47:19, 51:25, 52:24, 56:27, 56:28, 57:3, 58:11, 117:12, 119:24, 120:17, 129:28 increasingly [1] -145:14 indeed [24] - 23:15, 25:14, 26:12, 28:4, 30:9, 30:20, 40:13, 62:17, 71:14, 72:20, 76:18, 113:29, 115:3, 123:29, 128:7, 131:21, 132:4, 132:29, 140:4, 144:24, 145:9, 147:3, 147:8, 150:29 independent [8] -16:4, 25:25, 101:9, 101:15, 101:23, 102:4, 102:11, 105:20 Independent [3] -44:19, 94:6, 105:24 indicate [5] - 23:27, 27:29, 53:13, 73:3, 100:20 indicated [11] - 10:6, 12:5, 65:27, 100:14, 113:2, 113:12, 113:27. 114:11. 114:14, 119:20, 119:27 indicates [2] -108:10, 108:25 indirectly [1] - 92:21 indispensable [1] -12:10 individual [8] -20:28, 20:29, 67:24, 99:9, 105:18, 112:12, 124:25, 153:7 individuals [2] -25:23, 83:18 indivisible [1] -109:25 industry [1] - 12:3 inescapable [1] -62:16 inexcusable" [1] -

132:8 inexperienced [1] -124:22 inference [2] - 25:17, 138:9 inferences [1] - 11:5 inferred [1] - 28:3 influence [4] - 5:25, 29:18, 31:14, 123:20 influenced [3] - 5:25, 118:13. 123:20 influencing [1] -33:29 inform [8] - 48:4, 54:21, 65:4, 68:4, 68:6, 68:27, 78:12, 107:6 information [41] -7:24, 20:12, 21:4, 43:9, 46:26, 47:19, 50:29, 52:24, 53:6, 69:10, 72:16, 77:19, 77:22, 79:17, 79:24, 79:27, 86:10, 86:14, 92:19, 94:13, 95:2, 96:20, 96:23, 98:1, 98:29, 99:1, 99:7, 100:4, 103:15, 103:24, 104:7, 118:16, 122:4, 122:8, 130:21, 131:10, 133:19, 147:21, 150:15, 152:4, 153:22 information.. [1] -105:21 informed [33] -42:26, 48:11, 48:25, 49:7, 49:19, 49:22, 50:1, 53:20, 54:10, 55:24, 60:8, 60:9, 65:1, 68:20, 68:24, 69:3, 72:15, 79:18, 82:18, 96:4, 96:17, 103:13, 103:17, 104:16, 104:18, 106:3, 107:5, 107:11, 110:7, 110:10, 115:15, 132:22, 133:8 informer [3] -148:27, 149:6, 149:8 informing [4] - 49:5, 54:22, 94:18, 150:11 initial [8] - 41:14, 44:11, 45:14, 45:21, 50:5, 51:12, 79:20, 80:9 injured [3] - 40:8, 129:29, 132:2 injuries [2] - 129:1, 131:26

injury [2] - 129:4, 148:20 innocence [4] -143:24, 147:1, 149:5, 152:19 innocent [1] - 21:27 inordinate [2] -132:8, 132:9 inquire [11] - 8:2, 8:18, 9:12, 14:23, 15:10, 15:11, 15:13, 18:15, 18:17, 20:20, 21:2 inquiries [6] - 2:26, 7:16, 9:4, 16:28, 17:1, 93:3 inquiring [4] - 9:15, 9:24, 23:10, 27:4 Inquiry [8] - 15:9, 15:13, 15:16, 17:2, 17:19, 17:23, 19:17, 151:1 INQUIRY [2] - 1:3, 1:9 inquiry [25] - 2:25, 10:9, 10:14, 11:7, 11:14, 12:2, 12:28, 13:4, 14:23, 14:27, 15:4, 15:6, 15:27, 16:7, 18:11, 18:24, 19:10, 26:24, 31:19, 33:12, 33:25, 40:26, 44:12, 123:26, 140:18 inquisitorial [1] - 9:3 inserted [1] - 61:25 inside [2] - 20:28, 86:29 insofar [5] - 64:5, 88:7, 92:25, 92:27, 147:4 Inspector [12] -51:24, 52:3, 52:13, 52:19, 52:27, 53:5, 53:20, 53:26, 71:25, 71:27, 72:2, 78:18 inspector [4] - 53:12, 72:27, 125:23, 128:13 inspired [1] - 25:18 instance [6] - 81:3, 94:11, 97:29, 101:23, 129:13, 134:26 instances [2] -87:21, 123:13 instant [1] - 10:9 instead [4] - 21:27, 22:27, 25:22, 34:12 instigation [1] - 44:9 instinct [1] - 100:3 instructed [8] - 3:6, 28:6, 85:11, 85:13,

89:11, 89:15, 108:27, 120:18 instructed/directed [1] - 96:5 instruction [3] -89:4, 89:14, 91:3 instructions [21] -22:18, 23:6, 87:19, 88:23, 88:27, 89:2, 89:3, 89:22, 90:3, 90:7, 91:16, 91:24, 134:17, 137:11, 140:10, 140:17, 140:20, 141:7, 142:4, 145:1, 145:17 instrument [2] -17:23, 134:13 INSTRUMENT [1] -1:8 instruments [1] -150:9 insurance [1] - 64:22 intake [5] - 41:29, 42:14, 45:19, 45:25, 77:17 integrity [8] - 25:12, 140:21, 141:15, 144:8, 144:13, 145:5, 145:9, 145:23 integrity" [3] -144:16, 145:6, 145:12 intend [2] - 154:8, 154:11 intended [2] - 4:1, 144:11 intense [1] - 18:2 intention [1] - 17:11 intentions [1] - 49:28 inter [2] - 13:18, 47:27 interaction [1] -144:5 interactions [7] -5:8, 5:13, 5:16, 27:11, 29:10, 31:12, 151:26 interest [9] - 25:11, 108:18, 127:13, 136:18, 138:27, 149:2, 150:12, 150:22, 153:1 interested [1] - 34:14 interestingly [1] -127:29 interests [2] - 76:10, 149.1interim [4] - 31:27, 32:14, 34:16, 109:24 internal [8] - 18:24, 20:21, 21:3, 126:27, 127:19, 128:2, 132:9,

136:5 internally [1] - 40:26 International [3] -8:6, 11:10, 13:16 international [1] -150.9interpretation [2] -7:11, 7:16 interpreted [1] - 7:10 intersect [1] - 32:2 intervened [1] -140:7 intervening [1] -77:23 intervention [3] -62:19, 73:8, 73:24 interview [3] - 73:16, 73:17, 88:20 interviewed [1] -142:24 interviewing [1] -132:9 interviews [1] -32:27 intimidating [1] -82.27 intimidation [1] -82:29 INTO [1] - 1:3 introduced [2] -135:12, 143:17 introducing [1] -119:3 introduction [1] -145:13 inure [1] - 148:20 invented [1] - 76:7 inventing [1] - 32:21 investigate [27] - 3:3, 3:18, 3:25, 4:3, 4:11, 4:19, 4:23, 4:28, 5:23, 6:2, 6:10, 6:13, 6:17, 19:19, 34:24, 45:7, 55:8, 62:10, 83:17, 87:3, 87:6, 92:5, 108:9, 109:16, 127:25, 127:27, 134:1 investigated [16] -3:15, 8:29, 21:19, 45:5, 82:6, 88:8, 91:10, 95:20, 101:22, 101:27, 102:3, 118:24, 119:25, 122:26, 128:26, 128:28 investigating [7] -24:3, 30:10, 30:28, 31:20, 61:18, 85:24, 87:11 investigation [38] -

29:13, 35:27, 39:16, 39:25, 40:25, 43:22, 44:21, 70:10, 79:13, 79:15, 86:18, 86:21, 87:5, 90:4, 90:24, 104:19, 105:7, 106:2, 107:23, 111:19, 111:25, 112:27, 113:3, 113:15, 113:16, 113:28, 114:2, 114:6, 118:9, 123:18, 126:3, 130:6, 131:24, 132:6, 132:16, 141:2, 142:26, 151:20 Investigation [3] -4:15, 18:14, 18:20 investigations [10] -20:4, 20:20, 40:19, 93:27, 112:15, 124:13, 124:22, 124:26, 124:29, 132:10 Investigations [1] -19:1 investigators [14] -25:4, 25:29, 27:3, 27:9, 32:28, 49:19, 88:19, 88:21, 89:7, 89:28, 90:9, 93:19, 115:18, 115:26 invitation [1] - 70:28 invite [1] - 115:20 invited [5] - 59:28, 70:9, 70:25, 114:28, 144:27 invocation [1] -24:12 involve [1] - 153:21 involved [26] - 2:22, 16:19, 16:25, 17:15, 25:20, 25:23, 31:25, 42:27, 46:4, 47:1, 48:28, 50:11, 53:23, 55:17, 58:7, 59:1, 60:15, 63:29, 64:6, 73:2, 76:27, 78:27, 79:2, 79:9, 91:5, 123:18 involvement [6] -15:8, 62:19, 76:12, 77:4, 80:18, 118:26 involves [1] - 137:4 involving [3] - 30:5, 63:1. 121:5 iPad [1] - 108:20 IRA [1] - 15:25 Ireland [6] - 15:26, 29:15, 63:13, 108:22, 128:17, 147:29

Irish [6] - 44:19, 94:4, 94:5, 101:6, 106:4, 153:14 irregularity [1] - 22:2 irresponsible [2] -5.29 131.13 irresponsible" [1] -123:24 issue [24] - 7:14, 7:19, 15:28, 18:16, 19:24, 29:9, 33:4, 59:5, 61:11, 99:22, 100:29, 109:25, 112:2, 113:20, 127:23, 134:10, 135:7, 135:17, 141:28, 141:29, 143:11, 143:17, 150:26, 151:17 issued [1] - 89:23 issues [25] - 2:10, 2:11, 17:15, 30:2, 39:9, 59:1, 61:16, 67:29, 80:7, 97:15, 99:10, 100:17, 102:18, 111:9, 112:23, 113:11, 114:21, 133:23, 134:10, 134:15, 135:28, 138:28, 141:5, 154:16 issues" [1] - 99:11 itself [8] - 7:14, 9:3, 16:6, 16:29, 18:2, 71:13, 71:15, 90:14 J Jack [1] - 138:3 jack [1] - 109:2 James [2] - 45:14, 137.27 January [20] - 6:4, 18:6, 27:25, 33:17, 38:17, 56:11, 57:12, 66:29, 71:1, 78:5, 78:11, 79:11, 109:18, 110:1, 115:27, 116:26, 117:1, 117:17, 119:8, 132:15 Jennifer [1] - 93:29 Jennings [1] -137:26 Jerry [1] - 124:16 Jill [1] - 109:2 Jim [2] - 66:10, 71:26 job [3] - 127:5, 127:6, 127:8

Joe [1] - 94:6 John [24] - 6:3, 15:17, 16:21, 27:28, 33:16, 41:3, 93:15, 93:16, 94:1, 94:3, 99:2, 99:4, 101:6, 109:7, 109:17, 110:9, 115:7, 116:14, 122:13, 125:26, 137:20, 137:23, 137:29, 148:6 joined [3] - 61:22, 63:17, 85:27 journalist [11] -44:10, 86:25, 96:17, 101:7, 101:29, 103:10, 103:14, 104:12, 106:28, 133:2, 151:12 journalist/ presenters [1] - 98:25 journalistic [11] -92:14, 97:6, 97:8, 97:17, 97:27, 147:24, 148:27, 150:5, 150:9, 150:16, 150:26 journalists [36] -23:15, 27:17, 33:10, 44:8, 87:18, 87:20, 88:12, 89:16, 90:3, 90:19, 90:23, 91:1, 91:13, 91:19, 91:24, 92:7, 92:20, 93:12, 93:14, 93:24, 93:28, 94:12, 94:18, 97:4, 101:24, 104:8, 105:17, 106:6, 107:10, 121:16, 147:27, 148:2, 152:6, 152:21, 153:18, 153:21 journalists' [4] -3:20, 85:18, 92:10, 121:13 judge [1] - 30:21 JUDGE [1] - 1:12 Judge [6] - 11:16, 140:1, 140:17, 140:24, 145:27 judged [1] - 66:1 judges [3] - 11:24, 15:11, 97:14 judgment [3] - 8:16, 9:29, 12:14 judicial [7] - 9:26, 10:2, 11:23, 11:25, 17:7, 30:23, 136:2 judiciary [1] - 15:15 July [15] - 5:10, 20:15, 23:28, 32:24,

41:7, 41:13, 41:15, 45:11, 49:24, 53:12, 58:12, 64:19, 82:27, 85:29, 94:26 juncture [2] - 25:20, 28.13 June [11] - 50:20, 50:26, 51:2, 51:4, 51:24, 57:14, 61:23, 66:22, 86:2, 100:8, 103:3 JUNE [2] - 1:18, 2:2 junior [1] - 124:22 Juno [2] - 93:17, 94.2 jurisdiction [3] -19:18, 32:11, 76:28 jurist [1] - 148:6 Justice [21] - 4:17, 14:15, 15:18, 16:5, 17:22, 18:22, 122:12, 123:12, 125:19, 127:6, 138:21, 141:23, 141:27, 143:29, 144:4, 144:14, 145:4, 145:8, 145:15, 145:22, 146:11 JUSTICE [2] - 1:8, 1:12 justice [16] - 8:7, 11:15, 12:1, 12:11, 18:14, 21:26, 34:8, 132:5, 132:24, 138:19, 140:27, 140:29, 141:14, 141:19, 147:23, 149:1 justice" [1] - 9:26 justified [2] - 30:8, 150:21 justified" [1] -

#### Κ

129:15

Karl [1] - 137:22 Kathleen [1] - 2:7 Kay [4] - 54:13, 54:26, 55:4, 58:12 Keara [2] - 42:1, 43:17 Keelin [2] - 130:25, 130:29 Keena [1] - 150:27 keep [1] - 58:1 Keith [36] - 6:14, 31:2, 33:1, 61:16, 61:22, 61:26, 62:7, 62:17, 63:13, 63:17,

#### 15

jobs [1] - 127:9

63:18, 63:20, 63:24, 63:25, 63:26, 64:28, 65:13, 65:22, 66:8, 67:11, 69:15, 70:24, 71:15, 74:10, 74:13, 76:8, 76:17, 78:13, 78:28, 80:17, 80:24, 80:27, 81:4, 83:12, 83:17, 83:24 Kelly [1] - 124:21 Ken [1] - 94:1 Kenny [2] - 49:22, 137:23 kept [10] - 49:22, 50:1, 53:29, 60:24, 74:20, 74:21, 75:12, 75:15, 112:5, 115:15 **Kevin** [4] - 4:17, 18:22, 34:9, 65:15 kiddie [1] - 116:17 Kieran [2] - 49:22, 137:23 killed [2] - 82:13, 82:16 killing [1] - 134:28 kilometre [1] - 64:12 kilometres [2] -64:11, 64:12 kin [1] - 86:25 kind [4] - 33:3, 99:17, 100:23, 151:28 Kingdom [1] - 150:1 kingscourt [1] - 19:5 kinship [1] - 150:24 kitchen [3] - 36:24, 76:1, 81:19 knocking [1] - 98:19 knowing [2] - 97:21, 105:10 knowingly [3] - 4:7, 6:22, 34:29 knowledge [19] -3:25, 4:23, 6:10, 7:3, 20:28, 21:7, 21:13, 35:4, 35:7, 58:8, 96:20, 96:23, 102:26, 104:3. 104:9. 106:17. 115:2, 121:8, 135:18 known [9] - 16:22, 28:9, 28:24, 36:10, 40:28, 115:13, 126:19, 136:22, 154:5 knows [2] - 86:13, 140:10

## L

**lack** [7] - 22:22, 76:28, 87:16, 104:20,

21:8, 150:24

132:11, 135:29, 144:13 lady [6] - 23:28, 24:5, 24:6, 26:12, 63:5, 63·11 laid [2] - 11:8, 17:1 lakeside [1] - 19:6 Lally [3] - 93:15, 93:20, 94:8 land [1] - 17:1 landscape [1] - 2:13 laptop [1] - 107:20 large [1] - 28:17 last [3] - 70:11, 103:28, 146:11 lasted [2] - 37:8, 117.23 lasting [1] - 133:1 lastly [1] - 147:16 late [11] - 15:17, 15:18, 38:16, 60:25, 63:22, 64:15, 64:20, 106:26, 107:8, 122:11, 139:18 latter [3] - 58:6, 136:15, 136:22 Laura [13] - 41:13, 41:27, 42:5, 42:11, 45:17, 46:10, 46:25, 48:2, 51:15, 52:9, 52:11, 52:23, 57:26 laura [1] - 42:4 law [12] - 9:14, 10:8, 17:1, 17:5, 17:10, 39:21, 73:5, 135:5, 135:11, 148:8, 148:11. 151:2 laws [2] - 102:17, 150:7 lawyers [2] - 149:25, 152:20 lay [2] - 2:13, 153:24 lays [1] - 35:25 lead [2] - 35:9, 152:25 LEADER [1] - 61:13 leader [6] - 2:7, 45:24, 54:14, 58:17, 61:9.69:2 leading [2] - 119:8, 149:28 leads [1] - 62:22 leak [2] - 86:29, 87:4 leaked [4] - 5:26, 29:20, 57:11, 123:9 leaking [1] - 123:15 learned [3] - 25:6, 73:10, 98:2 least [3] - 15:18,

leave [7] - 67:22, 74:15, 82:9, 110:21, 117:8, 125:27, 147:4 leaves [1] - 67:12 led [13] - 6:6, 16:4, 16:8, 24:4, 24:12, 32:12, 66:6, 86:21, 87:2, 87:5, 109:20, 124:6, 124:22 left [6] - 26:10, 75:26, 79:6, 79:7, 81:18, 111:26 legal [16] - 8:22, 8:23, 8:24, 9:19, 9:23, 11:1, 49:27, 58:21, 59:1, 64:22, 134:17, 137:10, 138:6, 138:10, 140:14, 149:22 legally [1] - 12:5 legislation [2] -19:17, 151:22 legislature [2] - 8:10, 8:25 legitimate [4] -88:19, 125:3, 126:4, 134:20 legitimately [1] -16:29 length [5] - 88:21, 90:9, 99:5, 100:13, 135:8 lengthy [1] - 75:1 Leo [1] - 49:10 Lernihan [1] - 137:19 less [6] - 102:15, 126:10, 130:8, 131:2, 135:2, 152:21 letter [35] - 38:29, 39:26, 39:29, 40:2, 43:16, 43:28, 44:5, 49:2, 49:11, 54:14, 55:4, 55:20, 55:26, 56:12, 56:16, 57:2, 57:13, 57:17, 58:12, 58:19, 58:26, 66:29, 67:3, 67:4, 67:5, 68:13, 68:16, 68:21, 69:5. 69:12. 81:21. 103:2, 104:23, 143:10, 143:21 Letterkenny [9] -69:14, 69:29, 70:13, 71:25, 72:4, 72:16, 82:2, 82:12, 83:3 letters [2] - 94:15, 97:5 level [6] - 11:2, 22:25, 26:3, 67:23, 121:5, 124:24

level" [1] - 62:25 levels [2] - 124:11, 141:3 liabilities [2] - 9:17, 9:20 liaise [1] - 77:29 liaison [1] - 39:9 Liam [1] - 15:14 liar [2] - 5:28, 123:23 libel [1] - 102:17 lie [8] - 123:29, 126:22, 127:19, 128:18, 130:27, 131:18, 132:26, 153:24 lied [3] - 126:17, 130:9, 131:7 lies [4] - 111:14, 113:18, 123:6, 136:17 life [12] - 22:29, 24:6, 62:17, 64:16, 66:7, 73:25, 76:17, 80:20, 82:29, 95:6, 111:10, 113:12 lifted [1] - 75:29 light [4] - 10:10, 50:26, 50:29, 60:4 likely [1] - 86:14 likewise [2] - 12:3, 28:7 limit [2] - 64:23, 106.22 limited [4] - 2:27, 28:29, 32:10, 136:13 Linda [2] - 46:19, 50:22 line [5] - 42:1, 72:17, 85:12, 91:20, 140:13 lines [3] - 48:8, 137:4, 154:16 linked [1] - 130:6 linking [1] - 130:4 links [1] - 101:7 lis [1] - 9:2 Lisa [2] - 58:16, 59:3 Lisfannon [1] - 64:14 list [1] - 30:23 listen [1] - 97:16 litigation [1] - 148:23 live [1] - 58:1 lived [1] - 31:5 lives [2] - 66:6, 146:24 living [1] - 83:16 lobby [2] - 117:20, 119:9 local [1] - 78:26 locally [1] - 65:7 located [2] - 108:16, 108:19

location [2] - 33:18, 110:10 locations [1] - 62:24 locker [1] - 74:27 lodged [1] - 132:22 Logan [1] - 87:2 logical [1] - 34:5 look [2] - 65:22, 127:4 looked [1] - 128:13 looking [2] - 106:26, 147.2 looks [2] - 52:20, 127:3 loom [1] - 28:17 Lorraine [3] - 36:24, 37:28, 54:23 loss [2] - 74:1, 118:26 lost [4] - 63:17, 127:5, 127:6, 127:8 low [2] - 17:8, 35:11 LUNCH [2] - 84:6, 85:1 Lynch [1] - 124:16 Lyons [1] - 15:28

## Μ

mad [1] - 55:13 MADE [3] - 1:3, 1:8, 2:1 madraí [1] - 13:7 Maguire [1] - 137:28 Mahon [1] - 150:27 mahon [1] - 70:15 mail [3] - 103:10, 103:21, 106:5 maintains [1] - 88:27 maintenance [2] -148:16, 149:2 major [1] - 75:1 majority [2] - 99:1, 138.28 mala [2] - 144:12, 144:14 male [2] - 82:1, 82:11 malice [4] - 3:11, 21:16, 120:14, 145:11 malicious [3] -105:11, 111:15, 113:19 malign [1] - 83:28 MALONE [1] - 1:30 Malone [1] - 1:25 malpractice [6] -21:17, 35:11, 83:12, 124:4, 140:19, 144:19 malpractice" [1] -

145:20 malpractice.. [1] -140:24 Man [1] - 36:19 man [6] - 19:7, 63:19, 82:18, 117:11, 131:25, 131:26 manage [2] - 58:15, 133:2 management [9] -38:20, 64:25, 65:1, 65:5, 83:1, 99:13, 100:19, 115:22, 124:10 manager [1] - 42:1 mandate [1] - 8:1 mandatory [1] -24:18 manipulated [1] -72:13 manipulation [2] -31:9, 31:16 manner [7] - 4:1, 14:1, 25:27, 31:26, 116:6, 120:23, 152:6 manor [1] - 19:6 manslaughter [1] -64.20 March [21] - 18:4, 18:15, 39:24, 61:27, 63:15, 63:26, 69:1, 88:5, 94:12, 97:12, 98:7, 101:16, 111:6, 112:19, 114:24, 117:16, 122:15, 130:12, 131:29, 133:7, 142:27 Marisa [47] - 63:11, 64:28, 65:12, 66:4, 67:1, 67:9, 69:4, 69:13, 69:17, 69:21, 69:25, 69:26, 69:28, 70:5, 70:7, 70:16, 70:27, 71:9, 71:10, 71:13, 71:17, 71:21, 71:23, 71:27, 71:28, 72:2, 72:3, 72:10, 72:21, 72:25, 72:29, 73:16, 73:17, 74:3, 75:8, 77:2, 77:7, 78:3, 78:5, 79:22, 79:29, 80:10.80:17.81:25. 82:23, 83:10, 83:24 Marisa's [2] - 65:23, 66:28 Mark [1] - 94:2 marriage [1] - 65:13 married [2] - 63:18, 63:19 MARRINAN [1] -

85.3 Marrinan [2] - 2:7, 84:4 Martin [16] - 3:7, 18:4, 20:9, 21:12, 33:11, 33:16, 64:7, 64:18, 65:24, 66:3, 82:15, 100:7, 107:24, 125:17, 127:5, 138:2 Mary [1] - 124:16 material [5] - 5:20, 5:24, 28:2, 39:5, 123:19 materials [1] -142.21 matter [62] - 2:21, 11:1, 12:28, 14:27, 21:7, 24:3, 25:2, 29:7, 32:6, 32:25, 35:3, 35:6, 35:16, 43:14, 44:6, 44:22, 45:22, 49:29, 50:11, 54:20, 54:27, 58:3, 58:11, 59:17, 59:21, 60:1, 60:4, 61:7, 64:5, 66:16, 68:19, 69:6, 69:8, 69:21, 77:5, 78:2, 79:8, 79:18, 81:15, 81:20, 81:29, 82:5, 90:4, 92:6, 102:2, 106:21, 106:29, 115:5, 120:22, 122:25, 123:3, 129:25, 130:19. 132:29. 133:3, 133:17, 133:21, 133:22, 134:6, 147:3, 147:13, 149:10 matters [53] - 4:15, 4:25, 5:4, 5:13, 6:7, 10:17, 14:24, 15:4, 16:10, 16:14, 17:4, 17:21, 19:2, 19:5, 19:19. 20:21. 20:23. 20:25, 21:3, 30:27, 32:12, 34:10, 39:19, 40:25, 41:5, 41:11, 49:23, 53:25, 54:11, 58:1, 70:11, 93:3, 96:18, 96:21, 96:24, 97:2, 101:18, 101:24, 103:20, 106:15, 106:17, 108:9, 109:21, 114:1, 115:16, 136:15, 140:4, 140:5, 141:2, 141:3, 141:5, 150:11, 151:7 MATTERS [1] - 1:5

Maura [1] - 137:19 Maurice [50] - 3:10, 4:5, 4:14, 5:19, 16:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:2, 28:5, 28:11, 32:22, 33:22, 34:28, 36:4, 36:23, 37:3, 38:11, 55:15, 55:16, 62:21, 89:16, 90:15, 90:25, 90:28.91:4.91:26. 92:22, 95:4, 95:9, 95:12, 95:15, 96:1, 96:8, 96:13, 99:3, 99:5, 99:21, 99:28, 101:19, 125:2, 125:28, 126:1, 127:11, 128:7, 129:17, 136:28, 139:19, 140:7, 147:10, 151:27 maxim [1] - 21:25 McCabe [217] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:13, 3:22, 3:29, 4:1, 4:5, 4:9, 4:14, 4:21, 5:19, 5:28, 16:19. 18:8. 19:23. 20:2. 20:13. 21:15. 22:9, 25:18, 27:16, 28:6, 28:11, 29:29, 32:22, 33:22, 34:28, 35:1, 35:8, 35:15, 35:19, 35:24, 36:4, 36:10, 36:11, 36:23, 37:14, 38:22, 38:26, 39:2, 39:6, 40:1, 40:21, 40:27, 41:2, 42:20, 44:22, 44:27, 45:4, 45:9, 47:26, 49:9, 50:6, 54:4, 54:9, 54:13, 54:15, 54:21, 54:24, 55:5, 55:15, 55:16, 55:21, 55:25, 55:28, 56:4, 57:8, 57:17, 57:24, 58:2, 58:5, 58:22, 59:6, 59:10, 59:14, 59:23, 60:7. 60:12. 60:16. 60:24, 62:22, 85:14, 85:20, 87:20, 88:10, 88:12, 89:12, 89:16, 89:26, 90:12, 90:15, 90:26, 90:28, 91:4, 91:6, 91:7, 91:12, 91:26, 92:22, 93:21, 94:28, 95:4, 95:9, 95:12, 95:15, 95:19, 95:26, 96:8, 96:13, 98:13, 99:3, 99:9, 99:11, 99:14, 99:21, 99:28, 100:16. 100:21, 101:7,

101:25, 102:8, 102:27, 103:18, 104:16, 104:27, 105:9, 105:10, 105:16, 106:2, 106:7, 109:29, 110:15, 111:2, 111:11, 111:13, 111:17, 111:21, 111:28, 112:10, 112:23, 112:26, 113:14, 113:17, 113:24, 114:8, 114:12, 114:15, 114:17, 116:6, 116:16, 118:3, 118:4. 118:17. 118:22, 118:23, 118:26, 119:4, 119:16, 119:21, 119:25, 120:4, 120:14, 120:17, 120:19, 120:23, 121:7, 121:15, 122:2, 122:4, 122:9, 122:22, 122:24, 123:23, 124:4, 125:2, 125:28, 126:1, 127:11, 128:8, 128:18. 129:21. 129:27, 130:3, 130:7, 130:26, 131:2, 131:19, 132:14, 132:21, 132:25, 132:28, 133:7, 136:28, 137:5, 139:19, 140:7, 140:21, 142:11, 142:23, 143:1, 143:4, 143:9, 143:15, 143:19, 143:23 144:12, 145:1, 146:1, 146:5. 146:13. 146:17, 146:26, 147:3, 147:10, 151:27 McCabe" [1] -103:17 McCabe's [31] -35:10, 36:14, 36:21, 36:25, 37:3, 37:28, 40:18, 42:9, 45:20, 45:25, 54:23, 56:11, 56:15, 57:13, 58:20, 59:2, 96:1, 99:6, 100:13, 102:5, 104:10, 111:10, 111:19, 113:11, 113:28, 115:9, 129:17, 129:24, 131:15, 141:6, 145:22 McCabe.. [1] -105:27

101:11, 101:19,

McCabe].. [1] - 42:6 McCaffrey [1] - 94:2 McCann [5] - 94:7, 103:14, 103:18, 103:24, 106:4 McCarthy [9] -11:20, 117:15, 119:3, 119:7, 119:10, 119:15, 119:20, 119:24, 119:28 McConnell [2] -93:17, 94:8 McCrea [1] - 71:2 McCrea's [1] - 71:5 McDaid [4] - 70:7, 70:13, 70:19, 71:7 McDermott [9] -63:11, 64:7, 64:18, 65:24, 66:3, 67:10, 70:2, 73:14, 82:15 McEnroe [2] - 93:17, 94:3 McGinn [7] - 18:24, 49:10, 65:8, 66:11, 66:26, 73:7, 144:26 McGlone [4] - 42:2, 43:17, 44:2, 44:5 McGovern [3] -68:12, 69:22, 77:13 McGowan [9] - 67:5, 68:11, 69:3, 73:21, 73:25, 77:6, 77:26, 78:3, 79:12 McGrath [2] -124:17, 124:19 McGuinness [32] -2:4, 6:3, 16:21, 27:28, 33:16, 109:7, 109:17, 110:9, 110:14, 110:17, 110:22, 110:28, 111:5, 112:22, 113:8, 113:10, 113:13, 113:19, 113:23, 113:27, 114:11, 114:16, 114:27, 115:4, 115:7, 116:15, 116:18, 116:21, 116:25, 116:29, 117:10 McGuinness's [2] -110:12, 112:4 McGuinness.. [1] -114:28 McLindon [2] -89:29, 116:13 Mcloughlin [11] -54:14, 54:17, 54:26, 55:4, 55:20, 56:19, 56:23, 57:1, 57:14,

58:12, 64:15 McMahon [1] - 94:3 McMenamey [1] -94:3 McNulty [2] - 128:20, 129.17 McTeague [12] -63:4, 77:27, 79:11, 79:15, 79:22, 79:29, 80:3, 80:4, 80:6, 80:28, 81:10, 81:18 McTeague's [1] -80:8 me... [1] - 90:29 mean [4] - 65:2, 127:4, 134:8, 153:8 means [6] - 9:3, 12:29, 13:1, 21:6, 135:18, 146:12 meant [1] - 118:23 meantime [2] -50:24, 108:26 measure [1] - 150:20 mechanism [1] -16:5 mechanisms [1] -17:28 media [32] - 3:9, 3:10, 3:12, 4:29, 16:26, 20:18, 21:15, 22:7, 23:1, 23:13, 29:4, 40:28, 57:9, 59:2, 61:25, 85:11, 87:4, 89:12, 90:13, 92:21, 96:7, 102:14, 104:4, 105:21, 115:6, 115:22, 120:13, 120:16, 120:18, 120:29, 123:22 Media [1] - 105:24 meet [11] - 55:20, 59:16, 65:14, 65:19, 71:28, 72:2, 80:4, 80:26, 80:29, 110:10, 110:22 meeting [51] - 6:2, 6:7, 6:8, 6:11, 28:8, 38:18, 39:2, 43:20, 49:24, 55:1, 65:17, 69:1, 77:24, 79:23, 79:29, 80:9, 80:20, 80:28, 109:6, 109:10, 109:16, 109:21, 109:22, 110:11, 110:25, 110:29, 111:3, 111:6, 112:5, 112:20, 112:22, 112:26, 113:20, 114:26, 115:3, 115:5, 115:14, 115:26,

115:28, 116:1, 116:12, 117:16, 117:19, 117:21, 118:20, 119:3, 119:7, 139:2 meetings [4] - 39:10, 53:20, 117:4, 121:9 MEMBER [1] - 1:12 member [7] - 36:4, 66:14, 68:20, 86:24, 96:12, 125:4, 146:18 Member [1] - 5:3 members [33] - 3:27, 4:8, 4:19, 4:28, 5:1, 6:13, 6:19, 6:22, 6:23, 6:26, 7:4, 11:15, 15:15, 20:18, 23:13, 29:5, 32:20, 35:1, 35:7, 40:24, 41:20, 65:26, 71:18, 73:11, 76:8, 83:23, 111:21, 114:12, 120:25, 127:23. 140:19. 145:28, 152:29 memorandum [1] -7:18 memory [2] - 37:20, 134:24 mentally [2] - 73:27, 83:20 mention [5] - 23:2, 28:26, 145:4, 145:6, 146.14mentioned [10] -24:12, 29:3, 35:26, 110:17, 119:13, 133:29, 145:9, 147:3, 151:14, 152:18 mentioned].. [1] -67:29 mere [1] - 21:5 message [1] -107.12 messages [2] - 5:12, 5:16 met [7] - 38:23, 63:3, 63:13, 66:25, 68:15, 69:4, 117:21 Michael [3] - 93:16, 108:4, 137:21 Mick [4] - 94:2, 94:6, 130:26, 130:29 might [24] - 17:25, 20:23, 21:1, 21:2, 21:8, 22:28, 24:17, 25:14, 25:16, 26:18, 27:1, 28:6, 28:10, 28:29, 32:17, 33:2, 34:13, 65:2, 67:25, 68:5, 70:26, 109:12,

133:2 Milford [1] - 67:2 mind [5] - 28:17, 28:24, 76:27, 79:9, 114:5 minded [2] - 147:1, 152.18 mindedness [1] -22:22 Minister [8] - 16:5, 17:22, 122:11, 122:14, 123:12, 125:19, 127:6, 138:20 MINISTER [1] - 1:8 minister [1] - 122:18 minor [4] - 124:17, 126:13, 129:4, 131:5 minutes [3] - 63:6, 81:16, 117:23 mischievous [1] -153:7 misconduct [13] -3:21, 3:28, 6:19, 22:2, 22:8, 30:12, 31:11, 88:11, 95:25, 96:14, 103:16, 121:14, 137:1 misinformation [1] -89:26 misled [1] - 129:11 misrepresent [1] -22:20 mistake [6] - 27:3, 43:2, 50:9, 57:15, 57:25, 60:20 mistaken.. [1] -141.1 mistakenly [2] -43:7, 47:20 mistakes [1] - 26:21 mistaking [1] -135:28 misuse [1] - 19:8 mixed [1] - 26:17 MMcC [1] - 43:20 mobile [5] - 94:22, 94:25, 108:17, 108:28, 109:2 module [2] - 60:27, 83:22 modules [1] - 143:2 moment" [1] - 72:23 Monaghan [3] -43:18, 54:1, 129:7 Monday [1] - 142:6 months [6] - 17:25, 55:3, 56:1, 70:6, 81:4, 129.5Mooney [3] - 93:15, 94:3. 101:7 Moriarty [1] - 7:10

Morning [1] - 128:17 morning [2] - 74:19, 107:8 Morris [4] - 15:19, 17:29, 149:14, 151:15 most [10] - 28:22, 70:12, 83:23, 90:6, 94:11, 124:15, 127:18, 141:4, 149:17, 153:6 mother [6] - 37:12, 67:9, 67:19, 69:17, 74:27, 77:11 motions [1] - 24:24 motivated [10] -3:11, 21:16, 30:16. 90:16, 99:12, 100:18, 120:14, 141:7, 141:8, 145:11 motivation [9] -23:18, 28:4, 140:3, 140:22, 141:15, 144:7, 144:16, 145:2, 145:18 motivation.. [1] -140:22 motivations [1] -22:20 motive [3] - 134:24, 134:28. 145:11 motives [2] - 118:14, 141:9 Mount [1] - 65:16 mounting [2] -140:23, 145:19 move [4] - 4:26. 34:19, 66:13, 66:27 moved [4] - 32:25, 66:20, 72:24, 82:27 moves [1] - 135:22 moving [1] - 66:12 MR [3] - 1:12, 2:4, 85:3 MS [1] - 61:13 Mullingar [1] - 49:24 multiple [1] - 70:5 mum [1] - 36:24 mundane [1] - 117:5 murder [5] - 19:7, 71:5, 101:21, 124:21, 134:27 murdered [1] - 71:2 murders [1] - 15:24 Murphy [2] - 39:1, 138:1 Murray [1] - 94:4 must [21] - 2:19, 11:3, 12:10, 12:28, 13:27, 14:2, 25:4, 28:17, 31:11, 32:10,

61:2, 84:1, 97:27, 125:10, 125:14, 148:13, 148:15, 148:18, 148:21, 151:3, 153:26

#### Ν

name [12] - 12:17, 13:6, 13:14, 13:24, 13:25, 14:17, 43:20, 58:2, 104:10, 118:3, 119:15 named [5] - 1:27, 62:4, 93:14, 106:4, 137:16 namely [8] - 3:23, 23:22, 28:22, 29:4, 85:13, 88:9, 89:21, 151.24 names [1] - 87:18 narrative [3] - 63:22, 65:20, 90:21 nasty [1] - 28:16 national [6] - 20:22, 22:24, 27:21, 30:29, 49:17, 63:12 naturally [1] - 26:29 nature [11] - 14:14, 21:23, 40:10, 52:16, 52:17, 87:19, 97:8, 113:15, 118:14, 119:26, 121:23 nature.. [1] - 89:2 near [1] - 64:14 necessarily [4] -2:20, 2:26, 11:23, 153:21 necessary [8] - 5:3, 7:24, 26:6, 61:5, 73:8, 92:6, 92:25, 134:11 necessitates [1] -26:24 necessity [1] - 2:21 nee [1] - 67:10 need [10] - 26:8, 54:20, 68:23, 76:24, 88:24, 90:11, 92:2, 148:9, 154:13, 154:14 needed [3] - 52:15, 53:8, 79:16 needs [2] - 61:4, 67:18 negative [2] - 93:20, 99:4 negatively [16] - 3:9, 3:13, 21:15, 22:7, 85:13, 88:10, 89:12, 90:3, 91:25, 94:28,

95:11, 95:14, 95:18, 96:8, 120:16, 120:28 neglect [2] - 30:25, 41:23 never [31] - 24:28, 38:10, 47:25, 49:9, 49:20, 56:5, 56:14, 72:12, 73:23, 80:26, 88:28, 89:4, 89:11, 89:15.90:24.91:11. 97:14, 97:15, 110:15, 110:24, 113:21, 113:27, 114:11, 114:14, 126:10, 128:4, 130:8, 131:2, 144:11 nevertheless [2] -104:7, 149:8 new [3] - 44:18, 83:1, 114:6 Newlands [4] - 6:5, 27:26, 109:19, 110:11 News [1] - 105:24 news [10] - 104:29, 105:20, 123:28, 124:9, 126:20, 126:23, 128:21, 143:14, 151:10, 152:22 newspaper [2] -107:6, 107:12 Newspapers [1] -151.10newspapers [1] -102:11 next [5] - 37:1, 61:11, 72:6, 74:12, 81:13 Nial [1] - 18:14 Niall [3] - 94:4, 94:5, 137:29 nieces [1] - 38:12 night [8] - 72:1, 74:25, 82:5, 82:12, 82:13, 100:9, 106:26, 107.8 night" [1] - 130:1 nine [2] - 83:3, 126:23 Noel [3] - 39:15, 137:18, 137:21 Nolan [1] - 138:3 nominated [1] -44:15 non [1] - 132:17 non-performance [1] - 132:17 none [2] - 25:8, 62:6 nonetheless [5] -23:10, 26:23, 72:24,

97:7, 106:16 Nora [1] - 69:2 norm [1] - 83:8 normal [5] - 71:16, 81:5, 107:2, 117:3, 117.27 Northern [3] - 15:25, 29:15, 108:22 note [7] - 54:9, 57:6, 102:21, 112:5, 112:6, 121:3, 123:14 noted [8] - 11:11, 12:10, 42:14, 45:19, 58:6, 80:7, 112:7, 149:24 notes [4] - 1:27, 41:17, 48:2, 53:13 nothing [7] - 17:9, 26:10, 36:29, 43:12, 54:6, 81:6, 133:21 notice [2] - 31:25, 118:11 notices [1] - 119:11 notification [19] -36:1, 38:15, 42:12, 46:10, 46:14, 46:19, 46:22, 47:16, 48:1, 48:6, 48:13, 50:21, 50:28, 51:3, 51:4, 53:28, 57:3, 77:8, 77:12 notified [2] - 41:24, 93:12 notify [1] - 42:14 notifying [1] - 46:16 noting [2] - 39:1, 89.19 notion [3] - 126:22, 152:14, 152:28 notorious [1] - 71:1 notwithstanding [1] - 147:22 November [6] -33:14, 63:21, 71:5, 123:4, 132:2, 143:29 number [34] - 9:13, 15:3, 15:26, 18:11, 39:10, 40:14, 40:19, 40:21, 48:17, 50:15, 59:16, 65:25, 69:13, 73:19, 81:27, 86:16, 90:18, 94:22, 94:23, 94:25, 104:6, 104:29, 105:17, 108:7, 114:19, 119:11, 119:22, 126:12, 128:16, 131:3, 147:20, 148:26, 150:7 Ní [1] - 126:26 Nóirín [10] - 3:8,

20:8, 21:13, 33:11, 33:29, 93:11, 96:6, 117:7, 121:6, 144:3

#### 0

O'Briain [1] - 11:16 O'Brien [1] - 137:29 o'clock [2] - 123:28, 126:23 O'Connell [9] -51:25, 52:3, 52:13, 52:19, 52:27, 53:5, 53:13, 53:21, 53:26 O'Connor [2] - 94:4, 94:5 O'Doherty [4] -104:12, 104:23, 106:15, 106:24 O'Doherty's [1] -107.15O'Higgins [48] -4:17, 5:27, 16:6, 18:22, 19:2, 19:15, 29:21, 29:23, 34:3, 34:9, 34:11, 35:12, 35:19, 40:21, 44:25, 57:9, 57:11, 114:4, 123:9, 123:11, 123:27, 124:7, 131:19, 132:5, 132:24, 133:26, 134:4, 137:12, 138:18, 138:20, 139:7, 140:27, 140:29, 141:14, 141:19, 141:23, 141:27, 142:29, 143:29, 144:4, 144:14, 145:4, 145:8, 145:15, 145:22, 146:11, 147:11, 149:24 O'Keeffe [2] - 93:16, 94:9 O'Loghlen [2] -58:16, 59:4 O'Mahoney [2] -116:14, 122:14 O'Mahoney's [1] -114:21 O'Mahony [1] - 15:14 O'Neill [1] - 16:7 O'Reilly [7] - 94:7, 103:10, 103:13, 103:17, 103:22, 103:25, 103:29 O'Rourke [1] -130.24

O'Sullivan [45] - 3:8, 3:26, 4:13, 4:24, 5:10, 5:23, 6:11, 20:8, 21:14, 28:8, 28:14, 28:23, 29:12, 29:28, 33:12, 33:29, 35:5, 35:18, 88:4, 89:11, 89:15, 89:21, 89:25, 93:11, 96:7, 96:12, 97:1, 103:2, 103:20, 103:23, 107:14, 108:11, 108:21, 114:23, 115:13, 116:12, 117:7, 121:7, 134:3, 137:9, 144:3, 147:9, 149:21, 152:12, 153:9 O'Toole [2] - 93:16, 94:6 obfuscate [1] -134:14 objection [2] -139:15, 139:26 objective [1] -136:21 obligation [2] - 14:2, 14.5 obligations [2] -8:24, 41:20 obliged [1] - 55:8 observation [2] -134:23, 135:19 observations [1] -80:8 observed [1] - 16:13 observers [1] - 30:15 obsolete [1] - 109:1 obtain [2] - 53:24, 67:25 obtained [1] - 86:11 obvious [2] - 92:2, 119:17 obviously [4] -14:15, 25:4, 67:15, 103.25occasion [10] - 38:5, 46:6, 47:3, 51:18, 55:14, 74:5, 83:4, 98:2, 122:18, 136:20 occasions [5] - 39:7. 69:13, 70:5, 136:7, 136:17 occur [3] - 10:25, 131:14, 131:21 occurred [21] -10:25, 25:28, 27:29, 36:14, 37:18, 40:10, 42:17, 46:6, 47:3, 47:8, 50:25, 51:18, 54:6, 57:19, 69:1,

70:23, 75:2, 81:4, 81:7, 136:5, 149:13 occurrence [1] -11:26 occurring [1] - 75:4 occurs [1] - 146:14 October [17] - 36:8, 38:29, 72:14, 73:15, 74:4, 77:2, 77:5, 77:17, 77:24, 78:6, 78:9, 78:14, 79:19, 82:1, 82:10, 82:14, 123:3 **October/November** [1] - 133:23 **OF** [4] - 1:3, 1:9, 1:12, 2:1 offence [2] - 86:8, 86:18 offences [5] - 86:5, 118:6, 118:15, 118:24, 120:7 offender [1] - 86:19 offending [1] - 64:18 offer [3] - 38:22, 65·19 98·1 offered [4] - 54:26, 71:29, 98:29, 99:4 office [9] - 22:14, 54:1, 72:5, 82:7, 86:12, 101:25, 102:9, 103:21, 110:6 Office [6] - 20:17, 90:1, 99:23, 101:1, 107:2, 107:7 office's [1] - 118:12 office... [1] - 118:18 Officer [10] - 16:16, 21:14, 22:5, 33:9, 85:9, 85:29, 87:6, 91:14, 91:17, 107:4 officer [17] - 18:7, 18:8, 28:7, 28:16, 30:3, 31:17, 39:9, 61:20. 64:16. 65:7. 73:9, 76:9, 117:20, 126:17, 130:11, 131:8, 146:19 officers [16] - 16:17, 18:10, 19:24, 19:27, 20:29, 30:7, 30:13, 31:7, 31:15, 33:22, 62:6, 73:10, 87:11, 95:3, 132:13, 132:18 official [4] - 20:18, 21:2, 24:25, 45:2 often [2] - 26:4, 110:18 Oireachtas [4] -2:29, 16:28, 27:28,

136:27 old [4] - 46:8, 55:18, 109:1, 124:19 Oliver [1] - 127:7 Ombudsman [5] -45:3, 87:3, 132:23, 133:11, 133:16 omissions [1] -57:28 ON [4] - 1:6, 1:10, 1:18, 2:1 on.. [1] - 42:11 once [4] - 45:22, 50:13, 75:26, 122:7 one [59] - 10:16, 15:14, 15:20, 15:27, 20:24, 21:8, 24:22, 27:8, 27:24, 30:2, 30:3, 30:27, 32:2, 33:20, 36:28, 38:4, 38:13, 43:6, 46:6, 47:3, 51:18, 55:14, 56:29, 57:2, 60:14, 60:20, 67:28, 68:23, 70:17, 74:22, 75:18, 75:27, 76:19, 78:15, 83:4, 101:29, 105:18, 106:6, 106:26, 108:19, 113:19, 117:22, 123:28, 126:19, 128:13, 129:6, 130:7, 131:21, 132:29, 133:2, 135:13, 135:22, 138:25, 143:1, 145:29, 148:18, 150:5, 151:23, 152:15 one's [2] - 13:24, 76:19 ongoing [7] - 57:10, 58:3, 58:20, 80:11, 108:26, 112:15, 114:6 onwards [1] - 57:7 open [6] - 25:17, 45:26, 60:24, 67:22, 107:7, 128:11 opened [2] - 77:18, 104:5 OPENING [2] - 1:16, 2:1 opening [7] - 2:5, 10:6, 45:24, 61:10, 97:24, 134:5, 154:15 operation [1] - 8:9 operational [1] -106:21 opinion [4] - 8:21, 8:22, 9:23, 148:18 opportunity [7] -32:8, 38:22, 55:21,

91:1, 134:23, 135:18, 136:13 opposed [2] - 82:13, 97:20 orchestrated [2] -95:3, 105:11 order [17] - 22:28, 31:16, 35:8, 35:18, 35:28, 50:22, 59:29, 60:18, 64:28, 67:11, 79:18, 80:4, 88:25, 92:5, 108:8, 143:20, 150:18 orders [2] - 62:25, 89:23 ordinary [2] - 73:4, 126:20 organisation [5] -18:1, 23:3, 26:20, 27:6, 127:28 organisations [1] -41:20 origin [1] - 69:12 original [5] - 24:22, 49:20, 52:22, 53:15, 53.16 originate [1] - 148:13 OTHER [1] - 1:4 otherwise [7] -11:27, 32:10, 32:19, 32:20, 34:26, 66:15, 154:5 ought [2] - 30:16, 148:19 outcome [2] - 68:27, 136:18 outline [4] - 2:8, 2:22, 25:27, 31:19 outlined [6] - 7:25, 18:10, 54:16, 59:4, 114:27, 154:15 outlines [1] - 103:9 outrageous [1] -117:12 outset [1] - 106:14 outside [10] - 10:17, 10:19, 31:4, 61:28, 76:29, 86:10, 98:23, 117:20, 119:7, 139:16 outstanding [1] -60:11 overall [1] - 58:4 overend [1] - 11:16 overhead [1] - 82:3 overridden [1] -148:4 override [2] - 148:10, 149:1 overriding [3] -148:29, 150:21, 153:1

overstated [2] -125:7, 126:9 overstep [1] - 151:4 overview [1] - 2:10 own [13] - 12:23, 13:5, 21:21, 22:20, 38:12, 41:17, 66:4, 66:6, 66:18, 67:26, 78:15, 120:20, 128:11

#### Ρ

PAC [5] - 110:1. 110:9, 110:14, 116:11, 117:16 paedo" [1] - 106:8 paedophile [5] -102:9, 102:27, 111:12, 113:21, 118:27 page [6] - 11:11, 11:20, 12:14, 13:19, 14:10, 101:5 pages [2] - 8:15, 133:1 paper [1] - 5:18 paperwork [2] -26:7, 26:9 paragraph [2] -113:10, 133:4 parents [7] - 40:15, 47:9.47:10.61:4. 68:25, 83:18, 86:27 park [6] - 6:4, 27:26, 109:8, 109:18, 115:14, 115:27 parlance [1] - 132:26 parliament [1] - 9:29 part [22] - 12:27, 24:17, 34:12, 41:6, 47:11, 50:4, 56:18, 57:21, 57:28, 58:4, 66.2 98.9 103.16 107:22, 107:27, 107:28, 112:18, 144:12, 145:29, 152:20, 152:21, 153:20 participate [1] -114:29 particular [22] - 3:10, 10.15 19.19 20.20 21:8, 21:29, 23:13, 23:14, 24:13, 26:9, 57:10, 65:3, 68:22, 71:19, 72:21, 72:26, 85:12, 93:17, 123:23, 138:27, 148:10, 151:23

particularised [1] -75:2 particularity [1] -31:22 particularly [4] -17:16, 29:19, 88:14, 127.26 parties [16] - 8:27, 9:1, 10:19, 12:9, 14:28, 34:14, 54:23, 55:23, 76:27, 91:5, 92:4, 120:26, 134:9, 134:16, 135:13, 148:17 partner [7] - 30:27, 31:11, 63:3, 63:8, 77:11, 83:4, 83:7 parts [2] - 72:11, 72:12 party [10] - 7:15, 9:6, 27:13, 40:8, 117:9, 117:22, 119:17, 129:29, 132:2, 138:7 pass [2] - 53:6, 53:26 PASSED [1] - 1:5 passed [3] - 83:2, 100:4, 143:14 passing [3] - 82:26, 82:29, 83:7 past [6] - 19:10, 70:6, 83:6, 83:14, 128:20, 147:5 paste [2] - 52:2, 52:5 Pat [2] - 51:24, 52:19 pat [1] - 53:12 patience [1] - 17:5 Patrick [1] - 2:7 patrol [10] - 64:1, 64:7, 71:29, 82:25, 82:29, 83:2, 83:5, 83:7, 83:14, 83:15 pattern [1] - 6:17 patterns [1] - 30:10 Paul [24] - 44:14, 44:17, 93:14, 93:15, 93:19, 94:1, 94:6, 94:7, 105:3, 105:19, 105:24, 106:1, 107:16, 124:3, 125:26, 126:1, 126:26, 127:3, 128:17, 128:21, 128:25, 129:20 paul [1] - 126:27 Paula [4] - 70:7, 70:13, 70:19, 71:7 Peart [1] - 136:2 peg [1] - 75:14 penalties [1] - 9:21 penalty [13] - 41:1,

98:13, 98:29, 99:22, 99:28. 100:29. 101:20, 106:23, 112:2, 114:18, 117:26, 119:11, 119:22 penetration [17] -24:27, 42:18, 42:27, 43:24, 45:27, 46:5, 47:2, 47:21, 47:25, 48:12, 55:17, 55:27, 56:13, 56:25, 57:16, 57:23, 58:25 people [17] - 21:24, 21:26, 26:4, 31:13, 63:21, 66:5, 68:9, 86:26, 97:20, 105:12, 126:20, 139:2, 146:24, 147:21, 152:18, 152:26, 154:6 people's [1] - 91:6 peoples' [1] - 153:8 per [1] - 64:12 perceived [1] - 144:7 percent [1] - 38:13 performance [2] -124:25, 132:17 performed [2] -11:24, 126:5 perhaps [25] - 22:1, 26:4, 28:6, 28:10, 29:9, 30:8, 30:22, 33:13, 65:19, 89:19, 92:12, 110:23, 123:15, 127:18, 128:10, 128:11, 132:26, 135:3, 135:18, 136:16, 136:17, 140:1, 141:2, 153:6, 153:23 period [11] - 5:10, 15:19, 18:1, 20:15, 30:21, 43:28, 90:2, 92:8, 93:25, 108:12, 138:23 periods [1] - 43:27 permitted [4] - 9:8, 12:22, 14:14, 65:10 perpetrator [6] -47:6, 47:10, 47:13, 47:22, 51:8, 51:21 perpetrator's [1] -47.11 person [21] - 5:3, 10:15, 12:27, 20:27, 26:2. 28:22. 48:3. 57:9.60:18.60:20. 65:6, 86:13, 93:7, 96:17, 111:18, 111:24, 113:25,

134:27, 147:1, 148:12, 152:28 person's [1] - 86:12 person] [1] - 36:20 personae [1] - 16:3 personal [14] -41:11, 63:1, 76:17, 91:18, 95:6, 111:10, 113:12, 116:7, 117:24, 120:24, 126:5, 142:12, 142:18, 143:6 personally [2] - 45:8, 118:21 personnel [7] - 4:29, 29:5, 29:7, 50:13, 57:28, 117:29, 130:4 persons [5] - 8:28, 10:15, 14:26, 30:16, 116:19 perspective [3] -98:24, 104:4, 153:4 pertaining [2] -68:21, 120:24 perversion [1] - 25:6 pestered [1] - 71:17 PETER [1] - 1:12 petrol [1] - 64:8 Philip [4] - 98:6, 100:20, 100:24, 100:27 phone [16] - 48:7, 49:5, 69:24, 71:27, 72:15, 72:17, 74:26, 81:22, 82:2, 94:22, 94:23, 94:25, 107:19, 107:25, 107:26, 107:29 phoned [1] - 82:12 phones [9] - 88:25, 107:19. 108:7. 108:11, 108:16, 108:17, 108:26, 108:28, 109:2 physically [3] -67:18, 73:27, 76:2 picture [1] - 61:6 place [23] - 6:2, 10:27, 22:10, 27:25, 33:17, 37:20, 39:12, 41:19, 54:8, 57:7, 59:14, 80:2, 80:5, 87:21, 109:1, 109:16, 110:26, 110:29, 111:1, 123:26, 144:1, 146:28, 154:8 plain [1] - 132:25 plan [1] - 54:22 play [1] - 66:2 played [2] - 36:22,

79.9 playing [3] - 38:11, 42:8, 47:12 pleaded [1] - 66:18 pleading [1] - 74:21 pleasant [1] - 76:20 pleasantries [1] -117.4point [18] - 22:12, 32:3, 50:11, 67:16, 72:1, 74:23, 75:17, 75:26, 99:1, 109:24, 119:18, 120:4, 131:20, 131:21, 134:8, 149:15, 151:9, 154:11 pointed [6] - 14:26, 18:2, 130:3, 139:16, 141:28, 145:8 pointing [2] - 107:15, 137:14 points [8] - 41:1, 98:13, 99:22, 99:28, 100:29, 101:20, 112:2. 117:26 poison [2] - 126:14, 131:6 police [10] - 15:8, 18:10, 20:22, 20:26. 21:6, 22:24, 27:21, 30:29, 124:6, 146:18 police.. [1] - 140:20 policing [2] - 20:23, 35:11 political [1] - 13:12 ponder [1] - 132:29 poor [4] - 124:24, 124:25, 132:10, 132:17 portray [1] - 72:13 pose [1] - 55:11 posed [1] - 55:25 posing [1] - 130:25 position [10] - 17:8, 20:10, 21:6, 29:18, 65:19, 83:26, 121:26, 128:14, 140:24, 154:2 positive [1] - 13:13 possession [2] -43:29, 108:8 possibility [1] - 58:6 possible [7] - 10:10, 39:12, 60:14, 60:20, 61:6, 66:28, 134:24 possibly [2] - 35:9, 154.9post [2] - 67:24, 86:1 postponed [1] -115:1 potential [4] - 28:15,

32:3, 140:13, 154:15 potentially [4] -16:16, 25:17, 55:10, 150:18 power [2] - 29:2, 62:27 powerful [1] - 152:15 powers [1] - 10:7 practice [2] - 77:21, 117.3pre [1] - 78:20 pre-prepared [1] -78:20 preceded [2] - 7:21, 18.3precipitated [1] -123:15 predecessor [1] -20:9 preliminary [1] -93:2 preparation [1] -138:23 preparations.. [1] -110:5 prepared [6] - 5:24, 44:13, 48:20, 78:20, 122:13, 123:19 preparing [1] - 117:8 present [9] - 77:10, 98:17, 99:24, 104:3, 109:10, 115:28, 116:15, 116:19, 144:3 presented [1] - 90:14 presenter [1] -130:25 presently [1] -128:21 presents [1] - 88:15 presided [4] - 15:14, 15:17, 15:28, 49:24 president [1] - 12:1 Press [16] - 16:16, 20:17, 21:14, 22:5, 33:9, 85:8, 85:29, 87:6, 90:1, 91:14, 91:17, 99:23, 101:1, 107:2, 107:4, 107:7 press [17] - 28:6, 86:29, 107:8, 110:3, 110:4, 110:20, 110:24, 114:29, 115:20, 115:21, 150:6, 150:11, 150:13, 150:14, 150:17, 151:3, 151:6 pressing [3] - 32:16, 37:5, 37:6 pressure [3] - 37:6, 78:24, 102:15

pressurised [1] -72:7 pressurising [1] -78:16 presumed [1] - 21:26 presumption [2] -147:1, 152:19 prevented [1] - 76:1 prevention [1] -86:17 previous [19] - 15:3, 15:6, 16:13, 52:11, 52:14, 52:18, 53:4, 57:15, 65:13, 73:11, 82:11, 110:18, 113:13, 115:29, 119:12, 123:13, 135:1, 136:16, 136:17 previously [10] -38:18, 64:2, 81:4, 107:25, 108:29, 114:14, 117:24, 118:28, 144:10, 145:9 primary [2] - 11:2, 11.6 prime [2] - 22:3, 67:15 principal [2] - 46:21, 77.20 principle [3] - 17:6, 148:11, 148:29 principles [4] - 11:1, 148:25, 150:24, 150:28 print [1] - 92:20 priority [1] - 59:1 prisoner [2] -134:26, 134:29 privacy [1] - 76:19 private [9] - 24:6, 29:24, 62:17, 73:24, 80:19, 93:8, 106:20, 139:2. 140:2 privately [2] - 66:6, 98:22 privilege [32] - 92:10, 92:15, 92:18, 92:26, 97:6, 97:8, 97:17, 97:28, 98:3, 137:10, 138:6, 138:8, 138:13, 147:17, 147:20, 147:24, 147:26, 148:1, 148:2, 148:26, 148:27, 148:28, 149:6, 149:9, 149:20, 149:22, 149:25, 150:27, 151:11, 151:28, 153:15 probationary [1] -124:23

probationer [4] -128:25, 128:26, 128:28, 129:9 probe [2] - 44:18, 118:14 problem [2] - 66:9, 99.28 problematic [1] -92:9 problems [1] -124:10 procedure [3] -14:22, 30:19, 46:17 procedures [13] -7:18, 12:9, 12:11, 13.3 13.9 13.24 24:13, 31:16, 39:3, 59:5, 59:24, 73:3, 116:9 proceed [2] - 60:1, 79:14 proceeding [1] -60:8 proceedings [4] -45:12. 136:18. 143:17, 147:22 process [5] - 2:19, 2:22, 17:9, 52:25, 116:9 processing [3] -12:3, 26:2, 26:3 produced [4] - 9:5, 9:6, 15:21, 43:29 producers [1] -98:11 product [1] - 61:1 profession [1] - 59:2 professional [6] 23:3, 26:3, 66:7, 95:5, 149:22, 150:7 professionalism [2] - 22:22, 132:11 profile" [1] - 59:2 profound [1] - 63:7 programme [4] -98:10, 98:19, 126:19, 130:24 programme's [1] -98:11 progress [5] - 2:9, 20:19, 32:25, 78:2, 79:18 prominence [2] -40:27, 101:22 prominent [2] - 57:8, 60:18 promoted [1] - 36:6 prompted [2] -112:28, 119:14 prone [1] - 125:5

proper [3] - 63:25, 68:2, 147:23 properly [3] - 45:5, 101:22, 141:7 propose [2] - 17:15, 106.16proposed [3] -31:28, 115:2, 140:9 proposition [1] -13:28 prosecution [12] -38:25, 39:22, 40:1, 40:7, 40:16, 42:7, 86:19, 87:11, 90:21, 120:1, 122:27, 149:8 prosecution" [1] -39.27 prosecutions [3] -139:12, 139:23, 143:10 Prosecutions [10] -22:13, 23:29, 38:24, 39:26, 119:29, 139:22, 142:27, 143:13, 143:25, 146.29 protagonists [2] -123:2, 154:17 protect [1] - 147:21 PROTECTED [2] -1:3. 1:4 Protected [19] - 3:3, 3:4, 3:19, 7:1, 7:2, 17:20, 19:27, 62:8, 85:6, 85:7, 85:25, 87:17, 88:13, 89:9, 109:5, 115:9, 121:3, 131:16 protected [5] - 6:27, 26:29, 97:18, 151:21 protecting [1] -25:11 protection [14] -13:13, 24:14, 24:26, 31:1, 31:13, 38:19, 39:9, 69:7, 76:10, 147:27, 150:5, 150:10, 150:16, 153:17 protections [1] -14:6 proved [3] - 92:9, 119:23, 136:22 proven [1] - 21:27 provide [5] - 13:13, 68:6, 118:16, 134:16, 150:14 provided [12] -42:23, 51:13, 64:26, 90:8, 92:14, 103:5,

103:24, 105:2, 108:6, 113:5, 116:23, 153:16 providing [1] - 77:9 provision [1] - 7:24 psychiatric [2] -99:11, 100:17 psychological [2] -99:10, 100:17 pub [5] - 74:15, 82:4, 128:22, 129:1, 129:2 Public [18] - 16:20, 18:5, 22:13, 23:29, 27:27, 38:24, 40:29, 111:29, 119:29, 120:25, 139:11, 139:21, 139:23, 142:27, 143:10, 143:12, 143:25, 146:29 public [41] - 2:8, 2:16, 14:24, 19:13, 23:20, 25:11, 27:2, 31:23, 32:5, 32:12, 32:16, 32:23, 33:4, 33:13, 60:18, 61:25, 86:24, 93:8, 98:23, 115:28, 116:8, 120:22, 120:25 124:5, 126:5, 126:7, 127:13, 127:15, 127:28, 128:4, 131:25, 149:9, 149:11, 150:11, 150:12, 150:22, 151:7, 152:3, 153:1, 153:20 publication [3] -123:14, 128:6, 151:22 publicised [1] -152:10 publicly [1] - 153:12 published [3] - 7:18, 23:3, 128:4 publishing [1] -102:15 pulled [2] - 74:15, 74:16 pulse [4] - 19:9, 44:28, 45:10, 69:20 purported [2] - 13:3, 121:22 purportedly [1] -105:25 purporting [1] - 5:26 purpose [9] - 2:8, 6:7, 7:19, 10:9, 18:27, 54:1, 102:17, 109:21, 115:1 purposes [4] - 29:28, 79:12, 93:2, 93:6

pursue [3] - 59:21, 60:4, 78:12 pursued [2] - 10:28, 69.28 pursuing [1] - 112:1 pursuit [2] - 58:3, 64:13 pushed [1] - 128:9 put [17] - 16:29, 42:9, 42:19, 54:3, 60:23, 67:26, 75:19, 78:24, 82:7, 82:19, 90:22, 104:17, 111:27, 130:29, 142:7, 142:17, 143:3 puts [3] - 63:24, 121:25, 130:10 putting [2] - 56:3, 106:6 pyjamas [2] - 74:22, 75:20 pyre [1] - 71:3 Q quality [1] - 15:21 queried [1] - 58:21 queries [2] - 107:9, 107:10 query [2] - 53:2, 112:27 querying [2] - 52:4, 53:14 questionable [3] -67:9, 114:19, 119:22 questioned [2] -13:25, 73:20 questioning [1] -132:1 questions [17] -28:12, 92:2, 94:20, 96:18, 97:6, 98:26, 99:6, 100:28, 118:5, 120:5, 127:25, 128:1, 140:9, 153:26, 154:12, 154:13, 154:14 quicker [1] - 128:12 quieted [1] - 32:13 quilt [1] - 74:15 quite [4] - 72:6, 109:12, 110:17, 129:21 quotation [3] - 10:4, 34:16, 53:10 quote [26] - 3:1, 8:16, 13:20, 32:14,

pursuance [1] - 7:16

pursuant [1] - 29:13

72:29, 85:9, 85:16, 90:9, 111:7, 113:8, 115:11, 116:3, 116:16, 117:17, 119:5, 124:1, 129:14, 129:22, 129:28, 130:1, 130:14, 130:19, 139:19, 145:17, 146:18

#### R

radically [1] - 76:25 radio [2] - 29:22, 128:16 rage [1] - 76:4 rage" [1] - 74:9 railway [1] - 11:15 raise [1] - 113:20 raised [6] - 7:14, 68:21, 97:28, 100:28, 101:18, 127:24 raising [2] - 102:19, 112:23 ran [1] - 23:4 random [1] - 118:10 rang [2] - 74:27, 83:3 rank [3] - 17:8, 21:5, 36.7 ranking [1] - 16:17 ranks [2] - 17:27, 85:28 rant [1] - 75:16 ranting [1] - 75:12 rape [2] - 126:13, 131:5 Raphoe [1] - 63:12 rare [1] - 152:18 rather [1] - 62:11 re [7] - 12:13, 14:7, 19:11, 19:14, 34:11, 35:23, 142:4 re-analysis [1] -19.11re-confirmed [1] -142.4 re-examination [1] -19:14 re-examining [1] -35.23 re-run [1] - 34:11 reach [3] - 29:2, 55:9, 110:13 reached [1] - 64:10 reaching [1] - 11:5 read [4] - 34:13, 72:10, 101:5, 101:12 reading [3] - 19:22, 114:3, 142:21

real [1] - 14:18 realised [3] - 56:20, 56:23, 118:22 reality [1] - 151:23 really [1] - 76:3 realm [1] - 135:22 reason [8] - 25:5, 70:25, 74:17, 85:4, 90:29, 130:16, 133:13, 135:24 reasonable [3] -12:29, 25:15, 125:20 reasonably [3] -20:24, 20:27, 21:1 reasoning [2] - 8:13, 150:25 reasons [2] - 45:5, 141:11 rebutting [1] - 12:20 recalled [1] - 37:29 recalling [1] - 117:16 receipt [1] - 77:11 receive [3] - 12:4, 130:19, 133:17 received [20] - 19:27, 20:1, 23:14, 26:27, 38:16, 48:1, 52:14, 53:3, 68:17, 72:16, 78:2, 79:24, 91:22, 93:20, 107:24, 107:29, 119:13, 121:9, 122:14, 129:1 receiving [4] - 26:14, 40:28, 56:15, 81:21 recent [1] - 151:16 recently [3] - 15:26, 16:2, 107:29 reception [1] - 23:14 recipient [4] - 19:26, 40:23, 62:9, 127:7 recited [1] - 106:15 recognised [3] -10:13, 12:12, 148:25 recollection [1] -37:19 recommendations [3] - 8:3, 8:19, 10:12 recommended [2] -18:19.44:25 recommending [1] -9:24 record [11] - 22:11, 25:20, 26:1, 27:23, 28:12, 41:29, 42:14, 44:1, 73:1, 74:4, 120:22 recorded [12] -24:27, 25:1, 41:16, 41:29, 44:28, 45:28, 59:12, 60:11, 67:22,

78:9, 88:28, 106:22 recording [3] -18:16, 67:25, 134:6 records [8] - 5:7, 5:12, 45:19, 45:25, 45:28, 77:17, 96:27, 118:12 recount [1] - 78:25 recounted [2] -25:28, 103:25 rectify [3] - 25:7, 48:18, 57:29 Redmond [1] -137:24 refer [10] - 7:13, 20:3, 23:25, 24:26, 27:22, 31:24, 41:21, 52:10, 52:26, 54:10 reference [60] - 2:10, 2:25, 2:28, 7:6, 7:8, 7:11, 7:12, 7:17, 8:2, 9:4, 9:11, 10:18, 16:10, 16:25, 19:20, 19:22, 24:8, 24:9, 29:4, 29:25, 31:4, 32:9, 33:19, 34:11, 34:23, 35:4, 44:23, 50:4, 50:12, 51:7, 51:9. 56:19. 57:21. 61:17, 61:25, 61:28, 62:7, 70:28, 76:28, 85:23, 87:26, 93:4, 94:14, 96:14, 96:24, 106:16, 108:10, 109:14, 111:1, 114:3, 116:5. 121:20. 130:18, 133:15, 136:26, 136:27, 139:8, 139:17, 140:12, 146:12 referenced [1] -102:28 references [2] - 12:7, 62:16 referral [13] - 35:29, 38:27, 41:25, 41:28, 42:21, 43:25, 46:15, 50:8, 53:15, 54:12, 56:28, 69:10, 79:27 referrals [3] - 39:12, 77:5, 77:21 referred [29] - 6:11, 14:21, 18:6, 22:10, 22:12, 23:26, 24:20, 43:21, 52:18, 58:13, 59:25, 69:21, 77:3, 89:2, 94:19, 96:18, 102:27, 107:23, 114:4, 116:16, 118:3, 118:15, 118:25,

128:3, 130:26, 136:2, 149:21, 150:27, 152:7 referring [3] - 43:19, 100:25, 144:4 refers [2] - 109:6, 128:18 reflected [3] - 12:17, 14:8, 150:6 refused [2] - 97:5, 144:28 refute [3] - 88:2, 121:8, 153:23 regained [1] - 78:3 regard [11] - 19:1, 59:24, 76:9, 89:4, 90:7, 92:12, 112:27, 116:9, 118:16, 149:23, 150:15 regarded [10] - 9:25, 20:27, 21:4, 24:17, 28:28, 33:2, 98:1, 132:13, 139:1, 145:12 regarding [9] -51:25, 52:28, 53:24, 69:4, 69:24, 77:25, 79:13, 79:17, 91:12 regards [1] - 25:22 Regional [2] - 58:13, 58:29 regional [3] - 58:14, 58:16, 59:7 regrettable [3] -21:23, 26:13, 62:15 regrettably [1] -94:14 regular [2] - 67:13, 83.8 reinvestigating [1] -19:4 reiterated [2] -93:18, 115:26 reject [1] - 120:26 relate [2] - 41:5, 53:4 related [9] - 15:5, 29:17, 32:1, 43:8, 50:5, 51:12, 52:29, 74:1, 99:2 relates [3] - 50:12, 92:27, 138:28 relating [15] - 5:7, 5:13, 5:18, 20:23, 20:26, 38:27, 40:19, 43:6, 47:21, 54:3, 92:22, 96:21, 96:24, 97:2, 122:21 relation [73] - 2:9, 2:12, 2:20, 6:14, 7:15, 7:22, 11:7, 15:23, 26:16, 27:5, 28:11, 32:7, 33:1, 33:15,

33:26, 34:4, 39:16, 40:24, 43:13, 44:6, 44:11, 47:17, 49:29, 53:15, 54:6, 58:19, 58:23, 61:10, 61:19, 61:24, 62:3, 69:15, 71:14, 71:26, 73:16, 79:24, 81:25, 82:15, 83:27, 87:29, 88:14, 92:27, 95:9, 95:12, 95:15, 95:19, 106:29, 108:7, 114:7, 114:18, 116:25, 127:11, 127:16, 128:10, 129:11, 131:18, 132:18, 137:10, 138:27, 140:4, 141:1, 142:24, 143:9, 143:25, 144:6, 144:19, 145:2, 145:19, 147:16, 148:20, 149:6, 149:14, 154:3 relations [1] - 25:23 relationship [12] -31:5, 65:5, 65:9, 66:2, 66:7, 107:16, 148:10, 148:17, 148:18, 149:3, 149:15, 153:17 relationships [2] -63:2.65:2 relative [1] - 48:12 relatively [1] - 33:25 relatives [1] - 69:13 relaying [1] - 111:24 relevance [6] -10:26, 39:13, 54:19. 106:18, 140:2, 147:23 relevant [39] - 5:2, 5:4, 5:20, 7:25, 8:15, 9:4, 19:17, 23:4, 27:6, 27:10, 29:7, 32:9, 32:26, 35:3, 35:28, 41:5, 55:23, 60:28, 61:4, 64:5, 73:9, 83:22.83:23.83:25. 90:2, 92:8, 93:25, 94:14, 97:22, 98:1, 108:12, 112:7, 126:24, 128:16, 132:13, 133:4, 147:22, 151:2, 154:2 reliability [1] - 20:25 reliable [4] - 20:12, 111:28. 114:15. 150:14 relied [8] - 4:13, 11:17, 29:27, 34:7, 35:18, 134:3, 136:20, 147:9

relieved [1] - 79:7 rely [1] - 105:21 remain [1] - 81:20 remained [3] - 56:29, 82:8.85:29 remaining [1] -108.26 remains [3] - 16:26, 71:4, 127:21 remand [1] - 134:27 remanded [1] - 129:6 remarkable [1] -121:24 remarked [1] - 87:16 remarks [1] - 154:1 remedy [2] - 48:10, 50.15 remember [13] -36:20, 36:22, 36:26, 36:28, 37:1, 37:3, 37:5, 37:7, 37:8, 38:5, 74:12, 75:21, 91:29 remembered [1] -139:10 remit [2] - 41:8, 53:21 remove [1] - 43:7 removed [3] - 51:7, 56:24, 69:26 repeat [1] - 32:10 repeated [5] - 57:27, 101:28, 126:22, 150:2 repeatedly [1] - 12:5 repeating [1] - 75:15 replaced [1] - 108:29 replied [5] - 57:14, 73:21, 142:18, 144:17, 145:5 reply [1] - 44:4 replying [1] - 139:26 report [95] - 8:4, 8:19, 14:8, 24:25, 31:27, 32:14, 34:12, 34:17, 43:3, 45:9, 47:20, 48:5, 48:15, 48:20, 48:21, 49:3, 49:12, 49:16, 49:20, 50:17. 51:12. 51:13. 51:26, 52:6, 52:8, 52:11, 52:13, 52:14, 52:16, 52:18, 52:20, 52:21, 52:28, 53:3, 53:4, 53:17, 56:5, 56:24, 56:27, 57:10, 59:11, 59:23, 68:12, 79:16, 87:2, 87:10, 100:29, 101:24, 102:5, 114:5, 114:21, 117:26, 118:8, 120:19, 121:9,

122:13, 123:9, 123:11, 123:14, 123:15, 123:28, 124:9, 124:15, 124:18, 124:27, 125:9, 125:22, 126:2, 126:17, 126:28, 126:29, 127:12, 127:19, 127:22, 127:24, 128:2, 128:3, 128:6, 128:12, 129:20, 130:11, 130:12, 131:1, 131:8, 131:11, 133:1, 133:5, 133:7, 133:19, 138:20, 138:27, 139:1 **Report** [5] - 5:27, 29:21, 42:23, 44:23, 131:19 report" [1] - 130:22 reported [14] - 18:23, 25:2, 26:12, 42:6, 46:26, 70:14, 80:6, 83:12, 91:20, 115:6, 130:13, 133:3, 133:10, 139:10 reporter [2] - 106:4, 130:26 reporting [6] - 8:9, 8:20, 9:16, 9:24, 24:18, 131:23 reports [8] - 15:22, 26:5, 46:7, 47:4, 51:19, 115:10, 123:22, 126:28 represent [1] -149:25 representation [1] -9:7 representations [1] -97:12 representative [1] -134:17 represented [1] -134:16 represents [1] -105:24 reprimanded [1] -69:19 reputable [1] -101:28 reputation [5] -35:14, 58:5, 125:18, 135:10, 135:11 reputation" [1] -101:11 request [2] - 65:10, 77:18 requested [5] -66:27, 72:4, 88:20,

94:12, 138:8 requesting [3] -49:3, 64:27, 79:22 require [1] - 11:25 required [4] - 9:11, 21:11, 63:10, 133:29 requirement [2] -136:27, 150:22 requires [3] - 34:23, 57:21, 109:14 resignation [2] -18:3, 18:18 resolution [1] -10:28 **RESOLUTIONS** [1] -1.5resolutions [2] -2:28, 16:8 resolve [1] - 98:18 resolved [1] - 125:23 resonate [1] - 71:1 resort [2] - 20:19, 22:27 resources [1] -124:10 resourcing [1] -20:22 respect [12] - 13:27, 14:2, 17:13, 25:8, 33:12, 52:18, 77:8, 91:3, 95:26, 139:23, 151:6, 151:12 respected [1] - 65:7 respects [1] - 40:14 respond [3] - 54:12, 55:22, 58:15 responded [9] -33:23, 56:12, 100:7, 102:22, 106:10, 107:14, 112:29, 118:8, 119:1 responding [2] -88:15.113:7 responds [1] - 24:19 response [6] - 57:12, 103:3, 103:28, 112:28, 122:23, 145:4 responsibility [1] -127:29 responsible [1] -73:9 rest [1] - 146:10 restricted [1] -135:15 result [6] - 44:12, 49:25, 59:3, 71:21, 77:28, 150:12 resulted [7] - 42:17, 50:16, 60:21, 60:23, 64:2, 86:29, 146:21

resulting [1] - 71:4 RESUMED [1] - 85:1 resumption [1] -142:2 resurface [1] - 60:17 resurfacing [1] -50:5 retired [5] - 137:17, 137:19, 137:20, 137:23, 137:24 retract [1] - 78:23 retraction [1] - 79:4 retrospective [9] -42:22, 43:5, 48:4, 51:26, 52:12, 52:23, 52:28, 53:17, 58:15 return [4] - 49:3, 49:15, 51:25, 97:24 returned [7] - 24:2, 49:20, 52:17, 56:26, 87:12, 110:22, 143:29 returned" [1] -108:29 returning [1] - 24:9 returns [1] - 67:13 revealed [1] - 106:1 revealing [1] -147.17 revelation [1] - 19:29 revenge [10] - 3:12, 3:23, 21:17, 85:21, 90:16, 91:8, 96:1, 120:15, 137:2 revert [1] - 53:7 review [7] - 16:4, 18:23, 30:23, 54:7, 54:21, 59:8, 59:22 reviewed [1] - 45:18 revisit [1] - 18:27 Reynolds [10] -93:15, 93:19, 94:6, 124:3, 126:1, 126:26, 127:3. 128:18. 128:25, 129:20 Rhona [1] - 39:1 Rian [21] - 23:22, 24:2, 32:18, 34:22, 34:24, 35:29, 41:7, 46:2, 46:15, 46:25, 46:28, 48:14, 50:8, 52:22, 53:3, 53:17, 53:22, 57:22, 57:26, 60:28, 154:4 Rice [1] - 137:25 rightly [1] - 145:8 Rights [4] - 97:19, 147:29, 149:29, 150:3 rights [12] - 8:23, 8:29, 9:17, 9:20, 12:9, 13:2, 13:6, 13:17,

13:26, 13:28, 14:3, 14:28 rise [1] - 16:4 risk [9] - 27:1, 38:19, 55:11, 55:25, 59:3, 59:4, 80:11, 81:2, 98:19 Rita [2] - 70:2, 73:14 Road [1] - 15:11 roaring [2] - 74:13, 74:24 Roarty [1] - 69:2 Roche [1] - 124:21 role [4] - 21:14, 61:14, 81:3, 150:13 Roma [1] - 86:23 room [6] - 36:26, 76:1, 116:15, 117:21, 119:8 rooms [2] - 104:29, 119:9 Rooney [3] - 137:18, 139:9, 139:17 root [4] - 3:22, 85:20, 95:29, 121:16 Rory [1] - 39:24 rose [1] - 85:27 route [1] - 110:12 row [8] - 70:23, 72:21, 75:1, 75:6, 78:26, 80:29, 81:5, 98·18 row" [1] - 71:16 RTÉ [12] - 5:25, 16:22, 29:20, 33:26, 98:6, 98:16, 101:7, 123:8, 123:16, 123:28, 124:9, 126:19 rubbed [1] - 48:29 ruin [1] - 146:23 rule [3] - 97:19, 135:8, 135:16 ruling [1] - 150:1 rumour [1] - 11:17 rumours [10] - 89:26, 95:5, 104:28, 105:29, 111:11, 111:14, 111:16, 113:17, 119:24, 119:27 run [1] - 34:11 running [1] - 37:8 runs [1] - 141:12 rushed [1] - 129:29 S sacerdotal [1] -

sacerdotal [1] -148:26 safe [1] - 44:1

safety [2] - 65:29, 76:11 safety" [1] - 70:1 saga [1] - 126:29 sample [1] - 118:11 Sarah [1] - 93:28 SART [5] - 58:14, 58:29, 59:8, 59:18, 59:22 satisfactory [1] -148.16 satisfied [3] - 102:2, 119:16, 148:9 Saturday [1] - 75:4 savage [2] - 19:6, 124:16 save [2] - 26:6, 110:25 saw [2] - 64:4, 90:24 say-so [1] - 21:7 SC [4] - 2:7, 15:28, 18:19, 44:24 scale [2] - 140:19, 144:21 scaoilte [1] - 13:7 scared [1] - 80:25 scheduled [2] - 60:2, 100.10school [2] - 68:6, 75:28 scintilla [1] - 125:15 scope [3] - 2:25, 2:26, 16:29 scoping [1] - 16:7 Scott [1] - 138:3 screening [1] - 69:9 scrutiny [2] - 23:1, 136:21 Seamus [5] - 46:20, 50:23, 51:5, 54:15, 117:14 Sean [1] - 126:28 SEANAD [1] - 1:6 search [1] - 108:25 seated [1] - 36:27 second [7] - 11:2, 15:12, 48:7, 50:12, 56:18, 57:21, 63:16 secondary [1] - 11:4 secondly [2] - 45:9, 106:29 section [9] - 18:29, 32:23, 43:8, 86:5, 86:8, 86:16, 107:22, 108:5, 154:3 sections [3] - 31:29, 33:19, 64:29 security [1] - 106:27 sedulously [1] -148:19

see [5] - 37:4, 43:28, 63:23, 66:11, 75:18 seek [11] - 36:23, 37:19, 38:10, 38:11, 42:8, 47:12, 47:19, 49:27, 49:28, 63:25, 83:26 seeking [7] - 43:20, 49:15, 51:29, 98:26, 140:11, 149:11, 153:1 seem [10] - 14:12, 27:1, 33:19, 33:25, 62:7, 70:22, 106:18, 109:12, 147:26, 151:16 seized [1] - 9:2 send [4] - 42:12, 52:22, 52:25, 71:29 senior [27] - 3:27, 4:8, 4:19, 6:22, 7:4, 16:17, 19:24, 31:7, 31:14, 32:20, 33:22, 34:29, 35:7, 40:22, 83:29, 95:3, 96:12, 97:1, 101:20, 102:9, 105:3, 106:5, 115:22, 117:28, 126:17, 130:11, 131:8 sense [1] - 11:23 sensitive [2] - 116:7, 120:24 sent [31] - 4:6, 38:15, 39:25, 42:18, 43:11, 43:17, 46:21, 48:5, 48:21, 49:2, 49:10, 50:17, 50:20, 50:23, 50:28, 51:5, 51:13, 52:14, 54:2, 55:4, 57:2, 66:29, 77:14, 103:29, 108:22, 115:20, 115:21, 122:2, 122:26, 138:20, 142:26 sentenced [1] -64:20 sentences [1] -52:10 separate [2] - 13:26, 53:29 September [19] - 3:5, 19:25, 33:5, 59:21, 60:7, 66:25, 70:6, 70:14, 70:16, 71:8, 73:14, 75:4, 79:17, 81:1, 85:7, 109:6, 115:10, 131:16, 151:25 sequence [2] - 35:8, 60:29 Sergeant [224] - 3:9,

3:11, 3:13, 3:22, 3:29, 4:1, 4:5, 4:9, 4:14, 4:21, 5:19, 5:28, 16:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:2, 20:13, 21:15, 22:9, 25:18, 27:16, 28:5, 28:11, 29:29, 32:22, 33:22, 34:28, 35:1, 35:8, 35:10, 35:15, 35:19, 35:24, 36:10, 36:11, 36:25, 37:14, 37:28, 38:22, 38:26, 39:2, 39:6, 40:1, 40:18, 40:21, 40:27, 41:2, 42:6, 42:9, 42:20, 44:22, 44:27, 45:4, 45:9, 45:14, 45:20, 45:25, 47:26, 49:9, 50:6, 54:4, 54:9, 54:13, 54:15, 54:21, 54:23, 55:5, 55:15, 55:21, 55:25, 55:28, 56:4, 56:11, 56:15, 57:8, 57:13, 57:17, 57:24, 58:2, 58:5, 58:20, 58:22, 59:2, 59:6, 59:10, 59:14, 59:23, 60:7, 60:12, 60:16, 60:24, 62:21, 67:5, 68:11, 69:3, 77:6, 77:26, 78:3, 79:12, 85:14, 85:20, 87:20, 88:10, 88:12, 89:12, 89:26, 90:12, 91:12, 91:26, 92:22, 93:21, 94:28, 95:4, 95:9, 95:12, 95:19, 95:26, 95:29, 96:8, 96:13, 98:13, 100:13, 100:16, 100:21, 101:19, 101:25, 102:5, 102:8, 102:27, 103:17, 103:18, 104:10, 104:16, 104:27, 105:9, 105:10, 105:16, 105:27, 106:2, 106:7, 109:29, 110:15, 111:2, 112:23, 112:26, 113:14, 113:17, 114:8, 114:12, 114:15, 114:17, 115:9, 116:6, 116:16, 118:3, 118:4, 118:17, 118:22, 118:23, 118:26, 119:4. 119:16. 119:21, 119:25, 120:4, 120:14, 120:17, 120:19,

120:23, 121:7, 121:15, 122:2, 122:4, 122:9, 122:22, 122:24, 123:23, 124:4, 125:2, 126:1, 127:11, 128:7, 128:18, 129:17, 129:21, 129:24, 129:26, 129:27, 130:3, 130:7, 130:26, 131:2, 131:15, 131:19, 132:14, 132:21, 132:24, 132:28, 133:7, 136:28, 137:5, 138:2, 139:19, 140:7, 140:21, 142:11, 142:23, 143:1, 143:4, 143:9, 143:15, 143:19, 143:23, 144:12, 145:1, 145:22, 146:1, 146:5, 146:13, 146:17, 146:26. 147:3. 147:10, 151:27 sergeant [8] - 36:7, 71:22, 72:15, 95:15, 126:10, 130:12, 131:2 series [5] - 31:10, 57:27, 60:23, 61:1, 94:20 serious [18] - 17:29, 31:10, 32:5, 49:8, 50:7, 50:16, 51:6, 60:21.70:12.80:18. 103:16, 124:12, 124:15, 127:25, 127:27, 131:25, 151:7, 152:25 seriously [2] -125:21, 132:21 serve [2] - 36:5, 65:3 served [1] - 124:28 service [13] - 30:17, 41:7. 41:9. 41:11. 46:26, 48:14, 49:17, 52:22, 107:9, 108:22, 124:6, 126:5, 127:14 Service [11] - 5:1, 16:22, 23:23, 29:6, 29:14, 32:18, 34:25, 60:28, 67:1, 76:13, 154.4 SERVICES [1] - 1:30 services [6] - 24:26, 31:15, 48:5, 78:27, 79:1, 79:9 Services [3] - 1:25, 38:25.77:15 serving [7] - 15:11,

15:15, 16:15, 62:1, 65:7, 85:8, 127:21 session [1] - 24:26 set [22] - 2:29, 4:25, 5:4, 5:14, 7:8, 12:7, 12:13, 14:23, 18:12, 18:14, 18:21, 19:20, 24:13, 58:14, 76:16, 87:29, 99:12, 100:18, 136:26, 138:18, 138:22, 145:14 seven [2] - 42:6, 55:3 several [10] - 14:22, 15:21, 26:7, 28:20, 33:27, 39:7, 93:12, 101:28, 119:28, 150:8 sex [4] - 44:18, 101:26, 102:15, 106:7 sexual [47] - 4:5, 4:12, 22:2, 22:15, 24:1, 29:25, 32:21, 34:6, 34:28, 35:14, 35:17, 35:23, 36:11, 37:22, 40:10, 40:12, 42:26, 46:4, 46:17, 46:29, 47:18, 48:26, 48:29, 51:16, 58:16, 85:19, 90:17, 90:25, 91:9, 103:16, 104:17, 104:28, 105:26, 106:3, 112:11, 114:7, 118:6, 118:15, 118:24, 119:26, 120:7, 122:2, 134:1, 139:13, 146:12, 146:21, 152:17 Sexual [2] - 58:13, 58:29 sexually [5] - 55:16, 111:17, 111:21, 113:24, 114:12 shall [1] - 2:21 Shanley [2] - 130:25, 130:29 sharing [2] - 122:8, 134:26 Sharon [1] - 126:26 Shatter [4] - 122:12, 122:14, 122:20, 127:6 Shatter's [1] -125:19 Sheridan [18] -49:18, 49:23, 49:28, 50:27, 53:7, 66:10, 66:19, 69:19, 71:25, 71:26, 71:27, 72:2, 72:27, 73:25, 78:19, 79:5, 80:27, 137:27 Sheridan's [1] - 79:8 Sheridan.. [1] - 53:2

21:28 shibboleths [1] -13:12 shocked [4] - 56:4, 72:11, 101:11, 111:22 shocking [4] - 22:21, 30:26, 72:20 shooting [3] - 15:10, 15:12, 15:17 short [5] - 33:14, 33:25, 78:19, 127:27, 140:13 shortly [3] - 24:21, 25:27, 27:22 shot [2] - 72:18, 82:4 shouting [2] - 74:13, 74.23 showed [1] - 126:4 shown [3] - 101:29, 132:11, 136:5 sibling [1] - 38:7 sic [2] - 125:10, 142:12 sick [2] - 78:29, 82:9 side [4] - 30:14, 70:24, 141:11, 146:4 sifted [1] - 11:17 sign [1] - 78:20 signed [7] - 42:1, 46:20, 50:23, 51:4, 77:13, 79:6, 93:10 significant [3] -39:11, 80:11, 105:19 silence [1] - 105:12 similar [4] - 33:3, 93:10, 127:29, 128:1 similarities [1] -62.22 similarly [1] - 88:4 Simms [41] - 63:20, 64:28, 67:2, 67:10, 67:14, 69:4, 69:13, 69:17.69:21.69:26. 69:28, 70:17, 70:27, 71:9, 71:11, 71:13, 71:17, 72:21, 72:25, 73:1, 73:16, 73:17, 74:3, 75:8, 77:3, 77:17, 77:25, 77:27, 78:1, 78:5, 78:18, 79:20, 79:22, 80:1, 80:10, 80:17, 80:20, 81:25, 82:23, 83:10, 83:24 Simms' [6] - 65:12, 67:15, 69:25, 70:7, 77:2, 77:7 simple [1] - 8:9 simply [7] - 30:23,

shibboleth [1] -

31:12, 64:16, 117:12, 121:27, 142:2, 146:6 single [1] - 48:25 sister [6] - 65:6, 69:17, 70:7, 70:18, 75:13, 82:17 sister's [3] - 71:21, 71:29, 72:24 sitting [3] - 7:26, 15:18, 36:25 sittings [1] - 93:8 situ [1] - 66:10 situation [6] - 31:9, 68:4, 151:15, 151:19, 153:1, 153:13 six [7] - 36:13, 36:21, 37:17, 42:5, 51:2, 108:10, 108:12 Six [1] - 126:19 six/seven [4] - 46:7, 47:4, 51:19, 55:18 skeptical [1] - 81:20 skepticism [1] -21:24 sketch [1] - 63:10 sleep [3] - 74:10, 74:13, 80:23 Sligo [1] - 52:15 slowly [2] - 83:6, 83:14 small [1] - 132:29 smashed [1] - 64:13 smear [1] - 102:13 smear" [1] - 101:8 smearing [1] -101:11 smiling [1] - 83:6 Smith [3] - 69:8, 77:26, 80:3 Smithwick [2] -15:22, 100:29 smoke [3] - 21:28, 90:22, 152:14 snatched [1] - 64:17 so.. [1] - 144:28 social [44] - 30:4, 30:11, 31:7, 31:15, 41:26, 43:3, 45:18, 45:24, 46:20, 46:21, 48:5. 50:10. 52:12. 52:25, 52:29, 53:17, 53:22, 53:25, 54:8, 54:14, 54:28, 58:16, 59:8, 59:26, 60:3, 63:4, 69:2, 69:8, 76:11, 76:18, 77:20, 77:23, 77:27, 77:29, 78:27, 79:1, 79:8, 79:14, 79:23, 80:19, 80:21, 80:28, 83:25,

117:27 society [2] - 24:19, 150:17 **SOLE** [1] - 1:12 Sole [1] - 5:3 solely [1] - 99:2 solemn [1] - 17:6 solicitor [3] - 26:27, 39:24, 40:5 solicitors [4] - 56:11, 57:13, 58:23, 105:23 someone [8] - 36:9, 70:29, 90:18, 111:12, 111:17, 136:23, 137:5, 145:10 somewhat [1] -32:13 son [2] - 126:15, 131:6 soon [2] - 48:1, 154:9 sort [1] - 128:9 sought [14] - 31:6, 44:8, 44:22, 45:23, 50:24, 50:27, 52:27, 56:21, 58:24, 60:15, 73:16, 73:24, 143:23, 144.10source [11] - 23:3, 23:17, 92:19, 92:28, 147:18, 149:17, 150:18, 151:12, 151:13, 152:4, 153:13 sourced [1] - 153:22 sources [8] - 20:12, 70:19, 147:27, 148:3, 150:5, 150:10, 150:17, 153:18 southern [2] - 11:14, 66:20 speaking [3] - 91:16, 99:5. 101:3 speaks [1] - 7:14 special [1] - 105:3 specialised [1] -41:8 specialist [1] - 65:20 specific [7] - 33:18, 33:25, 68:21, 79:16, 87:20, 87:29, 91:22 specifically [4] -53:18, 88:21, 121:12, 122:20 specified [1] - 111:1 specify [1] - 119:27 speculation [2] -25:14, 25:16 speed [2] - 64:8, 106:22 speeds [1] - 64:11

spending [1] - 19:13 sphere [2] - 30:8, 152:16 spoken [1] - 107:11 spotted [1] - 64:7 spouse [1] - 30:27 spread [3] - 28:15, 89:26, 152:6 spreading [1] - 95:5 staff [3] - 27:8, 39:10, 59:17 staffing [1] - 141:3 stage [9] - 23:26, 61:10, 75:19, 76:3, 88:2, 98:19, 113:27, 114:11, 140:8 stages [1] - 144:29 stairs [1] - 119:8 stake [1] - 149:5 stance [1] - 138:15 standard [8] - 11:7, 26:5, 42:12, 46:15, 46:17, 48:20, 77:7, 77:21 standards [3] -11:25, 22:23, 35:11 standing [2] - 20:11, 152:11 start [1] - 66:1 started [1] - 75:9 State [6] - 5:2, 15:8, 31:16, 32:17, 33:2, 86:10 state [20] - 28:24, 29:6, 34:24, 39:24, 40:5, 58:3, 59:20, 60:3, 60:15, 70:2, 76:15, 82:5, 100:16, 100:22, 106:27, 113:23, 120:4, 120:6, 120:12, 121:12 STATEMENT [2] -1:16. 2:1 statement [78] - 2:5, 10:6, 23:27, 37:11, 37:14, 37:28, 45:10, 46:12, 56:21, 61:10, 61:26, 61:27, 62:18, 63:14, 71:10, 71:11, 71:14, 71:15, 71:18, 72:8, 72:9, 72:10, 73:15, 73:20, 74:3, 75:2, 75:10, 76:7, 76:23, 77:2, 77:7, 78:6, 78:9, 78:14, 78:15, 78:20, 78:23, 79:1, 79:3, 79:4, 79:19, 87:23, 88:5, 89:7, 93:18, 97:25, 98:6, 98:14, 100:7,

101:8, 102:23, 103:8, 103:28, 104:1, 104:4, 106:11, 107:15, 108:6, 108:10, 109:9, 111:5, 112:4, 112:19, 113:6, 113:13, 114:23, 115:6, 115:25, 116:24, 117:15, 118:25, 119:2, 122:9, 129:11, 132:3, 134:5, 154:15 statements [15] -28:20, 28:26, 36:15, 37:12, 44:3, 47:27, 61:24, 73:2, 81:24, 94:13, 98:4, 103:4, 118:3, 132:11, 142:25 states [11] - 14:10, 43:4, 45:23, 72:11, 77:20, 79:15, 102:24, 109:10, 112:4, 116:29, 150:8 stating [9] - 55:5, 56:12, 57:14, 77:4, 100:11, 101:16, 104:13, 115:10, 136:14 station [9] - 64:8, 65:4, 66:12, 70:3, 70:13, 72:4, 82:2, 82:19, 141:4 Station [8] - 36:6, 36:8, 82:12, 83:3, 110:2, 110:7, 124:13, 125:24 stationed [2] - 31:3, 39:6 stations [1] - 18:17 status [1] - 79:13 statute [1] - 19:18 statutory [2] - 23:24, 151:28 stay [2] - 66:19, 74:19 stenographic [1] -1.27 **STENOGRAPHY** [1] - 1:30 stenography [1] -1:25 Stephen [1] - 93:29 steps [10] - 7:20, 25:7, 27:5, 48:10, 48:17, 50:15, 54:16, 54:22, 56:7, 80:12 still [3] - 51:8, 91:22, 127:21 stock [1] - 11:15 stomach [1] - 78:29 stop [6] - 64:9, 72:3,

75:15, 83:4, 141:19, 141:21 stopped [2] - 26:19, 37:9 stored [1] - 96:28 story [6] - 78:25, 99:1, 99:3, 105:9, 105:18, 112:14 strain [3] - 135:4, 146:20, 146:27 strapped [1] - 75:25 strategy [4] - 38:19, 53:20, 77:24, 89:17 stray [2] - 10:19, 76:28 stress [1] - 68:1 stressed [1] - 80:23 stressful [1] - 81:8 striking [1] - 121:19 strong [1] - 37:6 strongly [1] - 124:18 structure [1] - 20:21 student [1] - 63:12 studio [3] - 98:23, 125:26, 126:27 stunned [1] - 65:29 stupidity [1] - 26:22 subject [22] - 2:14, 2:18, 9:8, 12:28, 14:27, 19:11, 19:23, 29:12, 30:4, 33:12, 34:3, 35:12, 40:20, 40:25, 57:11, 111:4, 112:26, 113:15, 120:2, 141:2, 148:28, 149:10 subjected [1] - 26:13 submissions [1] -145:14 submitted [2] -129:26, 144:11 subsequent [7] -36:1. 40:18. 53:15. 53:19, 80:21, 104:23, 118:20 subsequently [8] -20:5, 48:6, 48:13, 50:26. 106:22. 107:11, 116:3, 119:23 substance [6] - 3:14, 3:15, 21:18, 95:16, 95:21, 99:3 substantially [2] -20:3, 123:1 substantiate [1] -69:10 substantiated [1] -129.25 successor [1] -152:12

suffered [3] - 42:26, 68:8, 131:25 suffices [1] - 24:21 sufficient [2] - 55:10, 77:22 suggest [4] - 121:15, 144:12, 148:8, 151:16 suggested [4] -14:12, 89:23, 111:29, 143.22 suggesting [2] -97:16, 136:11 suggestion [2] -95:25, 120:27 suit [1] - 135:14 summarise [1] -17:15 summary [1] - 20:6 Summary [1] - 17:18 sums [1] - 19:13 sun [1] - 94:5 Sunday [8] - 87:1, 101:5, 101:6, 101:15, 101:23, 102:4, 103:10, 103:21 Superintendant [1] -49:10 superintendent [13] - 43:18, 44:2, 44:16, 49:6, 51:5, 65:15, 65:22, 85:6, 85:28, 137:19, 142:11, 144:22, 149:16 Superintendent [133] - 3:5, 3:19, 5:9, 14:13, 15:24, 15:25, 19:28, 20:1, 20:6, 20:17. 20:26, 21:11, 22:6, 22:19, 23:8, 23:11, 29:11, 33:9, 39:15, 43:19, 43:21, 43:26, 44:4, 45:7, 45:13, 49:18, 49:23, 49:27, 50:27, 53:2, 53:7, 53:14, 65:8, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:10, 66:19, 66:26, 68:12, 69:19, 69:22, 71:26, 72:9, 73:7, 73:23, 77:13, 85:4, 85:25, 86:4, 86:22, 87:6, 87:12, 87:17, 88:7, 88:20. 88:23. 88:26. 89:8, 89:20, 89:28, 90:6, 91:23, 92:8, 92:13, 93:14, 93:18, 93:24, 95:8, 95:11, 95:14, 95:18, 95:24, 95:28, 96:4, 96:29, 99:23, 99:26, 101:2,

103:23, 107:4, 107:10, 107:19, 108:4, 108:14, 108:25, 108:27, 109:5, 109:9, 109:26, 109:27, 115:10, 115:18, 115:25, 116:11, 120:13, 120:15, 120:18, 120:27, 121:3, 121:13, 121:20, 132:15, 132:20, 133:8, 137:18, 137:20, 137:21, 137:22, 137:23, 137:24, 137:25, 137:27, 137:29, 138:4, 139:8, 139:17, 142:9, 142:16, 143:11, 143:18, 143:20, 144:21, 144:25, 146:16, 151:24, 152:9, 153:5, 153.19superintendent's [2] - 72:5, 110:6 superintendents [1] - 146:3 superior [1] - 62:6 supervision [2] -124:26, 132:12 support [3] - 125:15, 126:15, 131:7 supported [2] -27:17, 29:9 supposed [4] - 21:1, 91:27, 139:9, 149:16 supposedly [2] -22:9, 23:5 Supreme [4] - 7:9, 8:15, 12:12, 150:29 SUPREME [1] - 1:13 surely [1] - 26:17 sureness [1] -134:24 surname [1] - 63:19 surrounding [5] -15:7, 61:6, 111:10, 113:11, 121:21 surrounds [2] -23:21, 38:10 suspected [4] -38:15, 41:24, 77:9, 86:19 suspects [2] - 129:5, 131:29 suspended [1] - 86:4 suspension [1] -86:22 suspicion [1] - 41:23

suspicious [1] -129:21 Sylvia [1] - 124:21 system [8] - 19:9, 26:20, 44:29, 69:20, 114:18, 119:12, 119:22, 148:7 systematic [1] -27:15 Séan [3] - 18:19, 44:24, 130:24 Síochána [40] - 3:27, 4:8, 4:20, 4:29, 5:19, 6:14, 6:20, 6:21, 6:22, 6:27, 6:29, 7:4, 15:5, 16:16, 16:17, 36:5, 45:3, 46:16, 52:14, 83:15, 83:19, 85:27, 86:6, 91:15, 95:4, 96:2, 96:13, 99:14, 100:20, 108:5, 111:26, 121:5, 121:10, 124:5, 126:7, 127:15, 127:22, 132:23, 133:11, 133:16 Т Tallaght [1] - 86:23 targeted [3] - 7:2, 28:29, 31:7 task [3] - 2:6, 123:5, 138:14 tasked [13] - 19:4, 22:24, 23:10, 27:3, 29:19, 30:9, 30:28, 31:1, 31:20, 31:26, 35:22, 61:18, 85:23 taught [1] - 22:26 taxi [3] - 19:6, 74:19, 124.16 Taylor [69] - 3:6, 3:19, 5:9, 19:28, 20:1, 20:6, 20:17, 20:26, 21:11, 22:6, 22:19, 23:8, 23:12, 29:11, 33:9, 85:4, 85:25, 85:27, 86:4, 86:22, 87:6, 87:12, 88:7, 88:20, 88:23, 88:26, 89:8, 89:20, 89:28, 90:6, 91:17, 91:23, 92:8, 92:13, 93:14, 93:18, 93:24, 95:8, 95:12, 95:15, 95:19, 95:24, 95:28, 96:4, 96:29, 99:23, 99:26, 101:2, 101:10,

103:23, 107:5,

107:11, 108:14, 109:27, 115:10, 115:18, 115:25, 116:11, 120:13, 120:15, 120:18, 120:27, 121:3, 121:13, 121:20, 151:24, 152:9, 153:5, 153:19 Taylor's [6] - 85:6, 87:17, 107:19, 109:5, 109:9, 109:26 TD [5] - 16:21, 27:28, 33:17, 103:8, 122:12 Team [2] - 58:13, 58:29 team [8] - 45:24, 54:14, 58:14, 58:17, 58:21, 69:2, 115:22, 118:12 technicians [1] -108:27 telecommunication **s** [5] - 5:8, 5:13, 5:16, 29:10, 108:5 telephone [4] -18:16, 93:23, 110:8, 122.19 telephoned [1] -53:12 television [4] -29:22, 123:28, 126:20, 126:23 template [2] - 26:15, 43.5 templates [2] - 26:5, 26:6 Templemore [1] -128:27 temporarily [1] -86:23 ten [2] - 81:16, 129:5 tend [1] - 135:27 tendency [1] -146.23 tension [1] - 70:26 term [9] - 16:10, 34:23, 50:4, 50:12, 56:19, 57:21, 61:25, 103:19, 109:14 termination [1] -101:20 terms [54] - 2:10, 2:25, 2:27, 7:6, 7:8, 7:10, 7:11, 7:17, 8:1, 9:4, 9:11, 10:17, 13:4, 16:25, 19:20, 19:22, 20:3, 20:7, 24:8, 24:9, 28:25, 29:2, 29:4, 29:24, 31:4, 32:9,

33:18, 34:1, 34:11, 35:3, 44:23, 45:29, 61:17, 61:28, 62:7, 62:10, 62:12, 68:13, 73:5, 76:27, 82:23, 85:23, 87:26, 92:15, 93:4, 94:14, 96:24, 106:16, 108:9, 111:1, 136:26, 139:17, 154:11 terms.. [1] - 87:28 terrified [1] - 74:24 terrorism [1] - 102:1 Terry [3] - 65:8, 66:26, 73:7 terse [1] - 72:21 tested [2] - 2:18, 14:19 testify [1] - 134:19 testimony [2] -29:29, 136:20 text [7] - 5:12, 5:15, 19:29, 20:4, 89:5, 123:27, 143:21 textbooks [1] -135:27 thankfully [1] - 67:19 THE [8] - 1:4, 1:8, 1:9, 1:12, 2:1, 84:6, 85:1.154:19 themselves [1] -23:25 THEN [2] - 84:6, 154:19 thereby [2] - 2:27, 148:22 therefore [18] - 2:17, 9:25, 14:15, 19:18, 20:18, 20:24, 21:5, 21:20, 43:9, 47:16, 67:25, 88:19, 102:18, 120:19, 122:3, 151:4, 152:2, 153:13 therefrom [1] - 50:8 therein [2] - 5:20, 24:27 thereon [1] - 8:4 things" [1] - 100:24 third [6] - 15:15, 49:5, 54:22, 55:23, 120:26, 142:6 this" [1] - 146:20 Thomas [1] - 137:27 thorn [1] - 30:13 thoroughly [2] -92:6, 108:9 threat [4] - 70:29, 79:8, 82:18, 153:18 threaten [2] - 25:3, 42:28

threatened [7] -47:6, 47:23, 51:21, 70:8, 70:16, 72:26, 124:5 threatening [1] -75:22 threats [6] - 47:25, 51:7, 51:9, 81:27, 81:28, 83:17 three [7] - 15:5, 15:11, 15:15, 47:14, 70:6, 108:13, 143:13 threw [1] - 71:23 throughout [4] -10:27, 108:11, 123:16, 146:10 thrown [1] - 70:5 Thursday [1] -138:26 ticked [1] - 26:8 tickled [1] - 42:10 tickling [1] - 37:4 tightly [1] - 135:10 timeframe [1] -142:17 Timothy [1] - 15:10 Tinnelly [3] - 41:27, 42:1, 43:11 titled [1] - 101:7 Tobin [1] - 59:7 tobin [1] - 59:9 today [4] - 78:11, 127:4, 128:14, 130:24 toddler [1] - 38:7 together [3] - 18:8, 63:15, 132:17 Tom [1] - 93:29 tomorrow [1] - 154:6 tonight [1] - 72:18 Tony [1] - 72:15 took [20] - 6:2, 23:4, 25:7, 27:25, 39:12, 48:17, 54:8, 57:7, 63:16, 73:4, 73:25, 79:1, 80:5, 86:23, 87:21, 100:2, 109:16, 110:25, 110:29, 125:20 top [2] - 42:11, 152:26 topic [1] - 97:24 topics [1] - 100:28 total [2] - 75:16, 108:17 touch [1] - 147:16 touched [2] - 42:10, 134:6 towards [5] - 22:2, 69:16, 70:4, 91:7, 135:27

town [4] - 45:18, 66:21, 70:2, 82:19 trace [1] - 71:4 traced [1] - 85:5 traction [1] - 21:29 traffic [5] - 62:1, 64:1, 64:6, 86:1, 87.13 trained [2] - 26:2, 30:15 trainee [1] - 128:27 training [2] - 152:20, 152:21 transcript [2] - 1:26, 146:14 transfer [6] - 23:24, 31:6, 63:26, 63:27, 64:27,86:1 transferred [1] -59:18 transmitted [1] -71:22 transpired [1] -129:9 trauma [2] - 130:4, 130:5 travel [1] - 110:21 travelled [1] - 110:4 travelling [1] - 64:8 traverse [1] - 31:21 traversed [1] - 29:22 treat [1] - 17:12 treated [4] - 17:27, 62:21, 130:17, 133:15 treatise [1] - 148:7 treatment [3] -19:24, 62:24, 102:6 trial [2] - 10:14, 135.14trials [1] - 148:8 Tribunal [118] - 2:6, 2:9, 7:9, 7:12, 7:17, 7:19, 7:22, 7:29, 8:18, 8:23, 8:27, 9:2, 9:3, 9:9, 9:12, 9:16, 9:26, 10:1, 10:7, 11:3, 11:13, 11:14, 12:2, 13:19, 15:9, 15:12, 15:16, 15:20, 15:21, 15:22, 16:9, 16:13, 17:12, 17:16, 17:19, 17:25, 17:29, 18:27, 19:3, 19:16, 27:8, 27:18, 28:1, 28:15, 28:26, 29:14, 31:28, 31:29, 32:13, 34:13, 34:23, 35:4, 35:22, 39:5, 39:13, 44:3, 46:12, 61:26, 62:18, 63:14, 85:5, 87:24,

88:5, 88:9, 88:26, 89:7, 90:8, 91:22, 92:14, 93:6, 93:19, 93:23, 93:27, 94:11, 94:17, 96:25, 97:9, 97:21, 98:4, 98:7, 98:14, 101:15, 102:23, 103:2, 103:5, 103:9, 104:1, 104:5, 104:13, 104:24, 106:11, 107:21, 107:23, 107:28, 108:6, 108:8, 108:18, 108:20, 109:9, 109:14, 111:5, 112:4, 112:6, 112:19, 113:5, 114:24, 116:18, 116:24, 117:15, 134:9, 137:8, 138:12, 138:15, 139:26, 149:14, 150:29, 151:15, 153:16 TRIBUNAL [2] - 1:3, 2:1 tribunal [9] - 2:19, 10:8, 13:25, 13:27, 13:29, 14:1, 14:4, 14:8, 15:1 Tribunal's [12] -2:26, 7:15, 8:2, 9:6, 10:14, 16:25, 16:28, 35:26, 62:14, 93:2, 93:4, 107:28 Tribunals [2] - 17:2, 17:23 tribunals [6] - 11:7, 11:9, 11:26, 14:23, 15:3, 15:6 **TRIBUNALS** [1] - 1:9 tried [3] - 74:17, 83:19, 97:15 trouble [1] - 112:1 troubled [2] - 99:9, 111:22 true [5] - 8:22, 9:22, 78:10, 100:5, 111:16 trust [2] - 112:13, 129:13 trusted [7] - 99:15, 100:22, 111:28, 114:16, 118:5, 120:5, 127:14 truth [8] - 9:12, 11:22, 92:5, 123:6, 134:14, 136:14, 153:2, 153:10 truthful [3] - 126:11, 130:8, 131:2 trying [2] - 9:28, 110:13

Tuesday [1] - 146:15 tumble [1] - 75:28 turn [7] - 13:22, 24:12, 44:14, 85:3, 87:5, 123:8, 133:26 turned [3] - 10:16, 65:12, 114:20 Tusla [38] - 4:4, 5:1, 6:14, 6:18, 16:22, 23:25, 29:5, 30:29, 32:19, 34:22, 34:26, 35:29, 42:19, 43:16, 46:15, 49:29, 50:8, 50:13, 50:17, 53:22, 53:25, 54:8, 56:12, 57:22, 57:28, 58:7, 58:14, 58:20, 59:17, 59:20, 60:8, 60:29, 61:6, 61:14, 80:19, 91:12, 122:1, 154:5 two [26] - 13:2, 26:17, 30:7, 36:15, 36:23, 38:5, 38:7, 38:12, 41:12, 45:5, 47:13, 56:7, 64:1, 67:9, 75:14, 76:25, 83:18, 88:7, 99:2, 129:4, 129:5, 130:2, 131:29, 139:2, 151:20, 154:8 type [2] - 52:3, 103.24typed [2] - 24:25, 42:22 typing [1] - 26:3 typographical [2] -52:2, 52:5 U ultimate [1] - 14:8 ultimately [7] - 16:8, 23:17, 44:24, 73:9, 86:26, 139:10, 153:26 unable [2] - 23:2, 82:6 unacceptable [4] -98:25, 130:21, 131:10, 133:18 unambiguous [1] -92:15 unambiguously [2] -125:12, 125:14

58:16, 64:29, 73:10, 78:16, 78:24, 90:4, 97:18, 106:2, 135:4, 141:2, 146:3, 146:26, 149:28, 153:18 UNDER [2] - 1:3, 1:9 undergo [2] -107:27, 108:2 underline [1] - 13:11 underlying [1] -113:3 undermine [6] -31:17, 35:14, 60:19, 135:19, 152:28, 153:8 undermined [1] -150:13 undermining [4] -29:29, 76:17, 135:23, 146:13 understandable [1] -70.26 understood [2] -53:1, 134:8 underway [2] -111:20, 113:29 undoubtedly [1] -120.22 unfair [1] - 31:10 unfaithful [1] - 135:2 unfold [1] - 154:6 unfolded [1] - 61:1 unfortunate [1] -25:26 unfortunately [3] -62:15, 92:9, 129:13 unfounded [2] -122:5, 125:7 unhappy [1] - 106:28 uniform[s [1] - 75:28 uniformed [1] -64:14 unimpeachable [1] -20:11 unit" [1] - 65:20 United [1] - 150:1 universally [1] -97:28 university [1] - 63:13 unjustified [7] - 4:12, 29:26, 34:7, 83:29, 134:2, 147:8, 152:16

22:29, 83:7

149:18

unconventional [1] -

under [30] - 3:4,

17:23, 18:14, 18:21,

18:29, 19:17, 20:16,

22:19, 23:23, 25:24,

41:8, 42:24, 48:21,

4:16, 8:1, 17:20,

unlawful [1] - 87:4 unless [4] - 134:10, 140:29, 150:21, 153:10 unlimited [1] - 151:3 unnecessary [1] -7:13 unpleasant [1] -28:16 unproven [1] - 28:29 unpublished [2] -5:27, 29:21 unrelated [4] - 24:3, 41:11, 135:25, 136:15 unrestricted [1] -151:5 unsatisfactory [1] -132:6 unsigned [1] -132:12 unsure [1] - 117:9 untoward [1] - 81:6 untrue [5] - 31:10, 56:13, 105:18, 114:20, 117:12 untruth [3] - 130:11, 130:16, 133:13 unusual [2] - 72:6, 109:12 unusually [1] - 10:23 up [21] - 14:23, 18:12, 18:15, 18:21, 23:4, 24:14, 26:17, 30:19, 37:6, 39:8, 48:29, 50:11, 58:14, 64:11, 75:18, 76:16, 91:20, 104:6, 138:18, 138:22, 149:15 updates [1] - 89:17 upheld [3] - 125:6, 126:8, 127:17 upset [4] - 67:14, 75:19, 80:24, 81:17 upset" [1] - 70:20 upstanding [1] -31:17 urgent [2] - 7:23, 106.21us.. [1] - 82:27 usefully [1] - 34:13 usefulness [1] -135:6 utility [1] - 76:1

## V

**vaginal** [4] - 24:28, 42:28, 46:5, 47:2 **vague** [3] - 40:8,

#### 28

unanswered [1] -

uncle [2] - 69:16,

unclear [1] - 106:14

uncomfortable [2] -

94:15

69:25

87:27, 111:11 validly [1] - 11:24 valuable [1] - 26:10 value [1] - 26:25 variety [1] - 11:26 various [12] - 25:20, 34:20, 40:23, 41:19, 50:13, 67:29, 83:2, 91:24, 108:29, 123:2, 142:25, 151:25 varying [1] - 101:26 vast [1] - 138:28 vehemently [3] -27:23, 28:21, 120:9 verbal [5] - 41:25, 41:28, 42:21, 89:2, 89.4 verbally [3] - 43:10, 88:28, 90:26 verbatim [1] - 1:26 verification [1] -101:9 version [1] - 71:24 vicinity [1] - 117:8 victim [9] - 55:17, 129:7, 129:10, 129:11, 129:13, 130:17, 133:9, 133:14, 134:29 victims [3] - 124:27, 130:3, 132:22 view [19] - 13:29, 20:5, 24:14, 25:11, 27:18, 28:1, 32:3, 32:4, 59:12, 60:14, 62:22, 64:25, 68:20, 76:16, 80:8, 123:5, 132:20, 135:23, 136:13 viewed [1] - 54:20 vigilant [1] - 10:18 vindicate [2] - 13:27, 14:3 vindicated [2] -13:19, 125:18 vindication [2] -13:23, 14:16 violence [2] - 72:22, 152:17 violent [1] - 71:23 visibly [1] - 70:20 visit [5] - 76:19, 80:5, 81:10, 81:13, 107:5 visited [4] - 36:19, 37:17, 38:3, 63:5 visiting [2] - 37:21, 47.9 vital [1] - 150:12 voluminous [1] -87:10

voluntarily [3] -71:11, 149:10, 152:2 vouch [1] - 146:19 vulnerable [2] -73:26, 136:21

#### W

waist [1] - 37:3 waive [3] - 92:25, 137:10, 149:9 waived [4] - 149:20, 149:21, 151:27, 153:15 waiver [2] - 92:14, 93:10 waivers [2] - 93:10, 94:19 waiving [2] - 138:8, 138:13 Wall [1] - 138:4 Walsh [3] - 94:6, 151:10, 153:14 Walter [1] - 137:25 wants [2] - 44:18, 66:11 Ward [1] - 49:14 ward [2] - 49:19, 51.24 wariness [1] - 63:28 warn [1] - 101:25 warned [1] - 99:14 warrant [1] - 40:6 watch [3] - 17:12, 126:21, 154:7 watchdog [1] -150:13 ways [1] - 86:17 weaknesses [1] -119:12 website [1] - 154:7 wedding [7] - 70:9, 70:23, 72:1, 72:3, 72:25, 75:3, 75:7 Wednesday [1] -143.28 WEDNESDAY [2] -1:18, 2:2 week [1] - 103:28 weeks [4] - 51:2, 94:17, 143:13, 154:8 weight [1] - 21:8 welfare [6] - 27:7, 31:1, 68:22, 69:5, 73:6. 146:2 wellbeing [1] - 67:8 whatsoever [1] -66:3 wherein [1] - 3:6

whilst [4] - 42:11, 47:8, 131:9, 135:4 whispering [2] -102:13, 104:15 whistle [1] - 128:8 whistleblower [6] -40:28, 101:19, 118:18, 119:14, 119:16, 125:28 whistleblower's [1] -118:14 whistleblowers [3] -99:2, 116:2, 125:21 whistleblowers' [1] -118:9 whole [1] - 2:19 wholly [4] - 56:13, 98:25, 122:5, 151:5 widely [2] - 23:5, 152:10 wider [1] - 102:10 wife [12] - 36:25, 37:28, 47:11, 54:23, 63:20, 67:12, 67:16, 129:3, 129:14, 130:17, 131:27, 133:14 wife] [1] - 133:10 wigmore [2] - 148:6, 150:25 Williams [10] - 44:14, 44:17, 93:15, 94:7, 105:3, 105:19, 105:24, 106:1, 107:17 Wilson [6] - 41:3, 99:2, 99:5, 105:15, 106:3, 116:6 wish [12] - 59:20, 60:4, 67:26, 78:12, 78:13, 79:2, 80:26, 92:17, 97:7, 120:12, 121:12 wished [5] - 20:19, 55:20, 60:1, 108:8, 137.2 wishes [1] - 137:9 withdraw [3] - 78:13, 129:10, 144:27 withdrawal [2] -78:20, 79:19 withdrawing [1] -132.3 withdrawn [6] -67:28, 70:28, 125:7, 126:9, 129:9, 129:22 withdrew [3] - 78:5, 129:8, 144:26 witness [19] - 28:15, 73:15, 89:24, 134:22, 134:24, 134:29,

135:6, 135:21, 135:23, 135:24, 136:1, 136:7, 136:8, 136:12, 136:14, 136:17, 136:19, 136:23, 146:13 witness" [1] - 140:11 witness's [5] -135:17, 135:20, 136:4, 136:6, 136:8 witnesses [16] - 9:5, 9:6, 9:7, 10:25, 27:17, 28:20, 32:3, 32:8, 58:7. 60:28. 83:23. 87:15, 132:9, 134:7, 154:12, 154:17 woken [2] - 74:9, 74:12 woman [3] - 31:5, 71:2, 105:25 word [9] - 17:12, 20:23, 26:2, 26:3, 106:7, 113:20, 123:29, 131:13, 131:18 word' [1] - 105:5 words [10] - 13:11, 52:3, 62:25, 66:19, 72:22, 72:23, 79:4, 118:22, 126:20, 148:1 worker [9] - 41:27, 45:18, 46:21, 53:25, 59:8, 63:4, 69:8, 77:20, 80:28 workers [11] - 30:4, 31:8, 43:4, 50:10, 54:8. 76:19. 77:23. 77:27, 80:19, 80:21, 83:25 World [1] - 87:1 worried [1] - 75:24 worst [2] - 99:17, 100.23 worth [2] - 89:19, 137:14 worthiness [1] -135:29 wrist [1] - 76:2 write [5] - 3:12, 54:13, 91:25, 120:16, 139:21 writing [3] - 49:18, 88:29, 89:5 written [2] - 93:28, 97.29 wrongdoing [8] -6:21, 6:28, 8:20, 8:28, 9:13, 9:22, 10:14, 30:15 wrongfully [1] -

42:19 **wrongly** [2] - 126:13, 131:4 **wrote** [6] - 49:14, 79:22, 94:11, 94:18, 101:15, 104:12

#### Υ

year [10] - 32:25, 33:5, 34:10, 43:14, 63:16, 123:4, 124:19, 147:14 years [15] - 30:21, 36:13, 36:21, 38:7, 38:8, 46:7, 47:4, 47:14, 55:18, 64:1, 64:21, 90:18, 94:26, 101:18, 119:28 yesterday [1] -108.20 you" [3] - 17:10, 54:29, 75:15 young [7] - 23:28, 24:5, 24:6, 26:11, 63:11, 131:6, 135:4 yourself [1] - 10:20

## Ε

Éireann [1] - 14:23 ÉIREANN [2] - 1:5, 1:6

# Ó

**Ó** [1] - 12:25

# Ú

**Úna** [2] - 67:4, 69:3

# Ó

**ó** [2] - 14:7, 14:21

## 29

Gwen Malone Stenography Services Ltd.