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THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVS, ON TUESDAY, 22ND
OCTOBER 2019:

CHA RMAN Now, Mr. McGuinness, cross-examination wil1 begin. Where is Garda Keogh? Thanks very much.

MR. MEGI NNESS: Garda Keogh, please. Thank you. CHAL RMAN who is first?

MS. GLEESON I think I might be first.
CHA RMAN Ms. Gleeson, are you first? Thank you. Just clarify for everybody's benefit, Ms. Gleeson, who you're appearing for?

M5. GLEESON Yes, Chairman. I appear on behalf of Sergeant Andrew Haran, Sergeant Aidan Lyons, Detective Sergeant Yvonne Martin, Sergeant Sandra Keane, Inspector Nicholas Farre11, Inspector Michelle Baker, Sergeant Dermot Monaghan and Sergeant Cormac Moylan. CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Does that help you? WTNESS: Yes.
CHA RMAN At least you know who Ms. Gleeson is appearing for. Thank you very much.

GARDA N CHOLAS KEOGH WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY Mb. GLEESON,

## AS FOLLOWB:

1 Q.
MS. G_EESON Garda Keogh, you have heard who I appear for there. I am just going to be asking you some questions on their behalf. So, you have dealt with a number of the issues, you have dealt with them with Mr. McGuinness. Obviously I am conscious that the

Chairman has heard a lot of information already and I am conscious not to rehash things or go over old ground, as it were, so you will be relieved that I am going to be really keep my questions to things that are relevant to my clients.
A. Thank you.

2 Q. I think I might start with Inspector Farrell, whom you know. I think you commenced working with Inspector Farrell around October of 2008, isn't that correct?
A. I am not sure which one of us arrived in Athlone first. 10:32

3 Q. Yes.
A. I think I arrived towards the end of 2007.

4 Q. I believe it was yourself.
A. Okay.

5 Q. Yes. And then after that, around october 2008 -
A. That would be correct.

6 Q. - Inspector Farrell arrived. He was a colleague of yours obviously in Athlone Garda Station for some time, is that right?
A. Yes.

7 Q. And obviously prior to your protected disclosure. You obviously made your protected disclosure on 8th May 2014?
A. Yes.

8 Q. I believe that prior to that, essentially Inspector
Farrell was a colleague of yours, he was a superior officer and I think apart from professional interactions, there was essentially very little interaction between you, apart from in the context of
professionalism and the day-to-day workings of Athlone Garda Station, is that correct?
A. That would be fair to say.

9 Q. Your own work and that type of thing. So obviously you made your protected disclosure on the 8th May. I believe after that then, on the 9th May, if not, in fact, the 8th May itself, Chief Superintendent Curran instructed Inspector Farrel1 to meet with you, are you aware of that?
A. Em, I think that is correct. I dig back into my mind, but I think that is correct.

10 Q. Very good.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}$.

11 Q. I believe he tried to make contact with you on that date, the 8th May?
A. Yeah, that would -- I'm not sure about it, but I'm not disputing that. I just can't -- a lot happened on that day, so I just can't really...

12 Q. I understand. I believe you were working on the 9th, you may not remember that?
A. I do remember that night very clearly.

13 Q. Yes. I believe Inspector Farrell did meet with you at his office, I believe you attended at his office on the evening of the 9th, is that correct?
A. Can I just check my notes ?

14 Q. Certainly, obviously feel very free to check your notes but $I$ believe very little turns on that.
A. Yeah. I don't have it there and I don't know if it was that night, but I am not -- I would agree, very little
would turn on it even if -- yeah.
15 Q. Very good, on the actual date. But certainly Inspector Farrell has a note of the interaction between you and he's clear it was the evening of 9th May 2015.
A. '15?

16 Q. Sorry '14, apologies.
A. Yeah.

17 Q. But in any event, I believe you attended --
A. Just, I don't recollect it and I don't have a note just -- but, anyway.

18 Q. You don't recollect it?
A. I don't recollect meeting him on the -- I don't, not on 9th. Now I'm not saying it didn't happen, $I$ just don't recollect it. I'm not saying it didn't happen. I don't know, $I$ don't remember, it doesn't mean it didn't 10:35 happen on that night.

19 Q. I see.
A. That was a -- like, it was just obviously a very strange night to walk into a Garda station -
Q. I understand.
A. - where the day before you're just another guard and you walk in that night and everyone is looking at you like you're an alien, so...
21 Q. Yes, I understand. So, Inspector Farrell will be giving evidence. He has instructed me, he is very
A. 9th?

22 Q. The 9th, yes.
A. I thought you said the 8 th.
A. It was to do with persons Inspector Farrell would have been friendly with, that I didn't want to get too close to Inspector Farre11.

28 Q. I see. I see. I believe, yes, you are referring to an
association with another garda, is that right, and you had a view that Inspector Farrell was associated with somebody else and for that reason was essentially somebody to be treated somewhat with suspicion, is that correct?
A. Yeah. This is not now Garda A we're talking about.
. No.
A. It's another garda.

30
Q. I see.
A. Yes.

31 Q. But in any event, Inspector Farrell offered you that support on that occasion. If I can just move on to the first issue which the Chairman is considering, that is in relation to the pulse entry. So, on the 18th may you obviously created the intelligence entry referring to Ms. B. Mr. McGuinness has obviously covered this with you in great detail and I don't propose to go over old ground, but with regard to Inspector Farre11, I do have some questions in relation to it. But that has been covered in great detail. You stated, you:
"... observed ME. B in her car, on seei ng her she smiled and stuck out her tongue. ME. B is seriously invol ved in the heroin trade in Athl one, with a turnover of approxi mately $€ 250$ per week. She has no previ ous convictions for drugs due to the fact that she has been ai ded and abetted for years by a seni or menber of the drugs unit, who hinself is cl osel y associ ated with a seni or ranki ng garda officer."

So, that was the Pulse entry which you created on the 18th May 2014?
A. Yes.

32 Q. Now, you met with Inspector Farrell in relation to that. Mr. McGuinness has asked you some questions about that. And again, I won't reiterate what has been said already, but obviously Inspector Farre11 has a note of the interaction between you on that occasion.
A. And so do I.

33 Q. That has already been put to you. I think you accept the contents of Inspector Farrell's report, isn't that right? Bar perhaps one point, which I will refer to in a moment. But Day 99 of the transcript, page 129, 1ine 19, you did accept the contents of Inspector Farrel1's report in relation to the interaction between you about that particular Pulse entry?
MR. KELLY: Judge, it might be helpful if the witness were actually referred to the actual report.
CHA RMAN Yes, I think that's right. Ms. Gleeson, while we're on the subject, before we get to that, if Inspector Farre11 is going to give evidence about the conversation that took place on the evening as he recalls -

Mb. GLEESON Yes.
CHA RMAN - on the evening of the 9th, I think you should put the substance of that conversation as Inspector Farrell is going to give it.
Mb. GLEESON Yes.

CHA RMAN Do you follow me? So that everybody knows where they stand and nobody is taken by surprise when Inspector farrell in due course gives his evidence. So if you want to. I will take this opportunity, while I agree with Mr. Kelly's expression, it is helpful, because Garda Keogh doesn't know the specific reference in the transcript, just to lay it out. Don't be worried about the fact that it may take a few more minutes.
Mb. Gleesonk yes.
CHA RMAN First of a11, at some point you might return to the conversation of 9th May 2014 as Inspector Farrell recalls it and will be giving evidence.
Mb. GLEESON Yes.
CHA RMAN Anyway, here we are, you're talking about this occasion, the date of this occasion is the date of the -- this particular one we're talking about?
WTNESS: The 19th.
Mb. Geesont 19th.
CHA RMAN This is the day after the Pulse entry and the day after the pulse enquiry.
ME. GLEESON Yes. Inspector Farrell's note is page 640.

CHA RMAN 640, very good. We will turn that up now.
M. GLEESON So you will see there, Garda Keogh, this
is Inspector Farrell's note about his interaction with you in relation to the pulse entry.
CHA RMAN And you say, if I understand, that Garda Keogh agreed that this was an accurate description when
being asked by Mr. McGuinness, is that correct?
Mb. GLEESON I do, Chairman, yes.
CHA RMAN okay. Do you understand that?
WTNESS: I do. I am just reading it. I agree with everything in the report but there is one thing omitted 10:42 from the report that I don't -- that's kind of where he asked me to change part or alter, let's say, part of it. And I just say, what part? I think, what I have there:
"What part do you want me to change?"

## 35 Q. Mb. GLEESON Yes.

A. That is the only discrepancy in relation to that.

36 Q. I see. Can I just focus on that please for a moment? Can I just bring your attention to the part in italics on page 640 there, just at the bottom of the page. This is obviously the note that Inspector Farrell has about this interaction between you. what he has recorded there is that you said:
"It's done now and what can l do, everyone has seen it."

Do you agree that that's what you said?
A. I do agree with that as well as what I just said.

37 Q. I see. No, I have the point you say in relation to changing of the Pulse entry. I am going to focus on that just now. But you agree that what you said was:
"It's done now but what can I do, everyone has seen it."
A. I would agree with that.

38 Q. Isn't that the point, Garda Keogh, the section "what can I do", isn't the fact of the matter, at that stage there was nothing you could do, it wasn't in your power and it wasn't in Inspector Farrell's Farrell to actually change the narrative of that Pulse entry, isn't that correct?
A. As I've said earlier, it's one of the few things that if I could go back in time, I would not change anything to do with that thing.
39 Q. We11, what I am focusing on, Garda Keogh, is you gave evidence, you were asked about this by Mr. McGuinness
and while you agreed with the contents of Inspector Farrell's note, your evidence is that Inspector Farrell asked you or requested that you change the Pulse entry, isn't that correct?
A. Yes. Just from recollection now, he didn't kind of --
it wasn't in an aggressive manner or formally or that.
It kind of was more said, you know -- just from recollection, you know, is there any way you can change it. I think was he was implying, maybe, is there any way to rejig it or something. He didn't use those words at all but he asked me to change it.
40 Q. We11, your evidence is that he asked you to change the Pulse entry?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}$.

41
Q. And that you replied to him:
"What part of it do you want me to change?"
A. Yes, that's correct.

42 Q. And that's what you have noted in your diary, is that correct?
A. Yes.

I put to you, and Inspector Farrell will be giving evidence, $I$ am instructed that Inspector Farrell will be giving evidence essentially with regard to the following, that he never asked you to change it, he never asked you to change the Pulse entry?
A. We11, I dispute that.

Yes. In relation to what you said, isn't the part "what can l do", that's correct, isn't it? At that stage you couldn't change it?
A. That's correct, yeah.
Q. Neither you nor Inspector Farrell could have the capacity to change the Pulse entry?
A. That's correct. Because, Judge, it -- in order -- it would have to be done by the collator in Mullingar, I think. It's to do with different authorisations and levels on the Pulse computer system.
Q. Yes. That's correct, essentially if this has to be done, there's a limited number of people who can assist in changing a Pulse entry, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

47 Q. It would have to be done through the district criminal
intelligence officer, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, that's the person I referred to as the collator in mullingar.
48 Q. Yes.
A. Yes, that's correct.
A. Mm.

50 Q. Inspector Farrell will obviously give evidence to that effect. He will say that he never asked you to change it and, in fact, neither you nor Inspector Farrell have 10:47 that capacity, because it must be done through the collator, as you describe that person, or the district criminal intelligence officer?
A. Yeah. So far we're agreed on everything, with the exception of one issue.
51 Q. Right. Now, in relation to this Pulse entry, the fact of the matter is, it wasn't changed, either by you or anyone else, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

52 Q. In fact, I believe Garda A wrote on 29th July 2014, at 8307 of the documents you can see his letter.
A. I've seen it already, yeah.

53 Q. Yes, complaining about this Pulse entry?
A. Mm.

54 Q. Saying that he was very disappointed that this was
still available and this was still on Pulse. I believe that if you used the correct search words etcetera, that that pulse entry is there to this day, I think, is that correct?
A. I think so. I haven't been on Pulse now for a few years myself but I think it is.
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

56 Q. Garda Keogh, in the light of the evidence that you have 10:48 given just now, wouldn't it be reasonable to conclude that in fact Inspector Farrell would not ask you to change the Pulse entry?
A. I dispute that.

57 Q. Yes.
58 Q. CHA RMAN what counsel is saying is, it appears to be agreed that you couldn't change it and he couldn't change it. So what was the point in him asking you to change it?
A. That was what came out in the conversation.

59 Q. CHA RMAN Are you with me?
A. Oh I'm with you, I understand.

60 Q. CHAN RMAN It doesn't make sense.
A. He couldn't -- I agree.

61 Q. CHA RMAN He was asking you to do something that you knew you couldn't do and he knew you couldn't do. So counsel is saying that suggests that he didn't ask you, do you know what I mean, that's the logic, that's the jigsaw that's actually working out here?
A. I understand.

62 Q. CHA RMAN what do you say to that?
A. I am saying that's grand in a couple of year's time when we are getting ready for a Tribunal but on the 9th May, the day after --

63 Q. CHA RMAN Don't mind that. You both knew that you couldn't change the Pulse?
A. That's correct.

64 Q. CHA RMAN So why would he ask you to change it?
A. He did ask me.

65 Q. CHA RMAN That's all right.
A. I have a note of it, Judge.
Q. CHA RMAN No, no, no, sorry, I just wanted to lay out, if you like, the path of logic that counsel is pursuing?
A. Yes.

67 Q. CHAN RMAN okay.
A. And my reply was:
"What part of it do you want me to change?"

CHAN RMAN okay, thank you.
68 Q. Mb. GLEESON We11, I have to put to you, Garda Keogh, that your recollection of what you say Inspector Farrell said to you in relation to changing the Pulse is incorrect and also your diary entry is incorrect.
A. I dispute both of those.

CHA RMAN okay.
69 Q. M. GLEESON Now, would you agree with me that perhaps you were in error in relation to other matters with regard to Inspector Farrell?
A. Other matters?

CHA RMAN We11, he doesn't know unless you put specifically.

70 believe that you had a view that maybe Inspector Farre11 was "manuf act uring compl ai nts" against you, in relation to, I believe, the Liam McHugh complaint and the Olivia O'Neill complaint, is that right?
A. In relation to the Olivia O'Neill complaint, I think we clarified that was just kind of Chinese whispers thing that went wherever. I can't remember what Inspector Farrell wrote in his report there. But the Liam McHugh thing is a completely different kettle of fish for me.

71 Q. CHA RMAN You draw a big distinction between those two?
A. Yes.

CHAN RMAN I recall that.
MS. GLEESON At page 97 of the documents, this is in your own statement, you say that essentially you were no longer making the accusation that Inspector Farre11 was manufacturing reports in relation to Ms. O'Neill, isn't that right?
A. Yeah. I think that's --
Q. Yes. That's in relation to Ms. O'Neill and also in relation to the Liam McHugh matter, and I understand that you make a distinction between those two items. Because previously you had written to Superintendent Mulcahy in particular terms, stating that in fact Inspector Farrell had been involved in the manufacture
of those two complaints, isn't that correct?
A. I think I may have written, yes, I recollect that.

Yes
A. Just, $I$ mean, there's a lot of things all along the way where I have assumed things by perception and been incorrect even at that stage. I think that's correct.
Q. Yes. That's on page --
CHA RMAK I'm sorry, page?
M5. GLEESON Sorry that is NK3 of the statement, page 54 I believe.
CHA RMAN I don't think that is --
MG. GLEESON This is the handwritten note to Superintendent Mulcahy. But in that particular, in that particular letter, 1 will just get the letter for you now in a moment, but in that letter you had
obviously referred to the alleged manufacture of complaints by Inspector Farre11 and it's clear in your statement that you no longer hold that view and that you no longer believe that Inspector Farrell was involved in the manufacture of complaints, isn't that right?
A. Yeah, he's involved as per the chain of events and reports and that, but as in motive, motive for anything against me, I don't hold that view.
78 Q. CHAN RMAN Sorry, correct me if I am wrong, from what I 10:54 understood from page 97 and from your evidence.
A. Yes.

79 Q. CHA RMAN I got the impression that you did not have a complaint against Sergeant Farrel1 on the ground that
he manufactured anything against you?
A. Yeah.

80 Q. CHAI RMAN Statements, allegation, anything else?
A. Yeah.

81 Q. CHA RMAN You have this disagreement about the conversation that took place in relation to the Pulse, you stand by your account of that?
A. Mm-hmm.

CHA RMAN And you recognise that he doesn't agree with it.
A. Yes.

83 Q. CHN RMAN But there you stand. You make no accusation against him about manufacturing anything against you?
A. No.

84 Q. CHA RMAN Is that a fair description? 10:54
A. That is correct.

CHA RMAN okay.
MR. KELLY: Judge, just so I can follow, we've made reference to page 54 and then there was mention of a handwritten statement.

CHA RMAN Yes, I think we can forget page 54.
MR. KELLY: We clearly can, because it's nothing to do with that.
CHA RMAN correct.
MR. KELLY: But what reference are we looking at?
CHA RMAN Ms. Gleeson has said she is going to come back when she finds it, she can't put her hand on it at the moment. But page 97. Mr. Kelly, I hope I am clarifying correctly, if $I$ come in, it's only to get it
clear in my mind. But I understood that you didn't have any complaint against Inspector Farrell on the basis of this. You do not stand over the suggestion that he manufactured any complaints against you.
A. I agree with you. I am trying to think.

85 Q. CHAI RMAN He's in the clear, so to speak on that thing?
A. Yes.

86 Q. CHAN RMAN Although do you have a disagreement with him about your conversation following the pulse?
A. Yeah.

CHA RMAN Okay. Now, at some point before you stop, Ms. Gleeson, or after you stop, you might find the handwritten note that you are referring to.
MS. GLEESON 324.
CHA RMAN which?
MS. GEESON 324.
CHAN RMAN 324, thanks very much.
MS. GLEESON Apologies.
CHA RMAN That's is all right.
MR. KELLY: Thanks.
CHA RMAN Thank you.
ME. GEESON If you scroll down a little bit please. CHA RMAN Yes. which bit are you referring to?
MS. GLEESON Just further, please. Sorry, that doesn't appear to be the correct letter.
CHA RMAN okay.
M5. GLEESON I will just park that letter and come back to it. It's at NK3 in any event.

WTNESS: Judge --
87 Q. MS. GLEESON So essentially, you're agreeing with me, Garda Keogh, that previously you held those views in relation to Inspector Farrell, you no longer do. So clearly, obviously, originally you were in error in that regard, isn't that right?
A. Em, again, we11, you see, $I$ just read stuff on that report that $I$ had kind of forgotten about, that would have been relevant. But if we could read the report, maybe it will just help me see where $I$ my mind was at the time when I wrote that report.
A. I don't think there's any -- I don't think there's any issue really major with Inspector Farrell over all, to speed things up, I don't think there is.
89 Q. CHA RMAN whatever you said about him in the past, you now have no complaint about Inspector Farre11, except that you do have a disagreement with him about this conversation?
A. On that conversation, yeah.

90 Q. CHA RMAN whatever you said?
A. One part of it.

91 Q. CHA RMAN Correct.
A. Just one part.

CHA RMAN One single issue, a disagreement, and that's 10:58 the end of it. Okay. That's fairly reassuring, Ms. Gleeson.

MS. GLEESON Now, in relation to -- if I might just move on to issue number 4 , that is the -- and I will
get that letter for you in due course. Issue number 4 is the Liam McHugh matter. That's the investigation into Liam McHugh's complaint to Garda Aidan Lyons on 31st May 2014. Now, as you know, I represent Garda Lyons, who is now Sergeant Lyons?
A. Mm-hmm.

93 Q. Now, his report is on page 1029 of the documents. Mr. McGuinness has already covered that with you, Garda Keogh, but that was the report which Sergeant Lyons sent to Detective Sergeant Curley on 7th June 2014?
A. And I dispute that in its entirety.
A. Judge, just a thing that came to my mind during the, was it last week or this week, there's that report, I understand there's no statements and after the mick Finn and onto the de Bruir report, it appears, it appears from reading the findings in relation to this incident that I am the one that virtually puts my hand into this guy's pocket, even though the other two guards, alleged guards are not there. I forgot to bring that point to you when we went onto that, that this report, where it starts off and where it ends up in the final part of the de Bruir report, even though there's no statements, it seems to have some way meandered into something more serious than me just trying to get someone to make complaints. It has me then actually going hands on into criminality.

95 Q. CHA RMAN You yourself?
A. Yes. That's the way -- when I read it.

96 Q. CHA RMAN I understand. So you are saying to me, irrespective of the question of Ms. Gleeson, you are saying to me, please note, you're saying, the reference in the de Bruir report particularly but not forgetting the Finn report and look out for allegations that it was Garda Keogh that was up to no good?
A. Yes.

97 Q. CHA RMAN Apart from the allegation of encouraging complaints?
A. Yes.

98 Q. CHA RMAN We have two things. We have the allegations of encouraging complaints, you say, okay, I have my evidence about that, but here's a separate thing, where they are treating it as if I were -- as if I had been in some way implicated?
A. It appears --

99 Q. CHA RMAN Is that what you are saying?
A. Yes, that's what I am saying, Judge.
Q. CHA RMAK Thank you.
A. And, Judge, the de Bruir report, of course, is based on 11:01 the Finn investigation.
CHA RMAN of course. No, no, I mean expressly, we know it is explicitly based on it because it's a review of it. Okay. I am sorry Ms. Gleeson but Garda Keogh wanted to make that point. Thank you.
ME. GLEESON I understand. Now, in relation to -obviously I have been listening, Garda Keogh, I know your views in relation to that particular complaint, but I think the Chairman essentially summarised the
issue with regard to this particular matter. Day 100, page 86 , line 13 . But essentially you are saying this, that Garda Lyons, as he was then, was essentially put up to making this particular report and that the contents of the report were, to use the Chairman's words, essentially trumped up, isn't that right?
A. That's my view.

I know you have referred to Assistant Commissioner Finn's investigation and obviously then the independent review thereafter, but essentially your evidence that this was somehow contrived, you say as a result of two gardaí, I believe one is Garda A, they were driving up and down the main street of Athlone and your view is that this matter was contrived then, as you say, on that occasion, the night before this apparent encounter, this encounter with Mr. McHugh?
A. Yes. That's my belief, yes.
Q. I see. And that this never happened and that Garda Lyons was, as you put it, a clean pair of hands, so that's why he was put up to it by other people, including Garda A I believe, isn't that correct, that's what you say?
A. I suspect Garda A and the other guard who is in the --

104 Q. The other guard who was also in the car?
A. Yes.

105 Q. There's big investigations about those two people, according to yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. For that reason, Garda Lyons was singled out as the
person who should make this bogus, as it were, report, isn't that right?
A. Yes. And I worked with Garda Lyons. Garda Lyons is a smart, smart member, a smart member of An Garda Síochána. I can't see Garda Lyons writing a report like that. It's all over the shop, the whole report, because there's one obviously question anybody is going to ask this person and that would be: who are the other two guards?
Yes. Well you have made that point to the Chairman in your evidence to Mr. McGuinness, that there was no reference to the other two guards. I am sure he has that point. But in relation to Garda Lyons -- sorry, were you going to say something there?
A. Yeah, sorry, did you say just that the allegation was made and the person just made no reference to who the other two guards and just -- sorry, I may have misheard you, I apologise.
Q. No, sorry, you just made a reference there to two other gardaí, I believe obviously the complaint is that three 11:05 gardaí were at a particular search?
A. Yes.

109 Q. I know you make a complaint and you take issue with the fact that nobody was apparently named except for yourself, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

110 Q. Yes.
A. But that would go back to, if there was an initial meeting -

111 Q. Yes.
A. - at Bastion Street, and someone volunteers this information to any guard that there's whatever, this incident happened and there's three guards and one of them is me. Any guard in the country, I would assume, at some point in the conversation would have to ask, by the way, who are the other two?
112 Q. Yes.
A. Is my point. And as I said, I worked with Garda Aidan Lyons, like he would've been -- he'd be smart, like he would be an intelligent -- like, he'd be -- I'm trying to think of the word. He wouldn't have -- if that conversation had have happened, he would have been the kind of guard that would have asked that question, is my point.
that you say that Garda Lyons is obvious7y a smart person, you're saying that he essentially was involved in manufacturing this entry?
A. Yes. Motive. Motive as well. Like, I don't see what motive Garda Lyons would have had to -- you know, em, the other two guards that I referred to, they would have motive but they just couldn't put any -- commit anything like that to paper. They needed another -someone if they were proceed with something like this.

115 Q. Yes. Now in relation to the Liam McHugh, I believe he is -- and Garda Lyons will say, or Sergeant Lyons will say that he is a character, you could encounter him around Athlone town, isn't that right?
A. Anywhere, yeah.
Q. He sells the Big Issue?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

117 Q. He is somebody that he could be seen about the place, isn't that right?
A. As I gave evidence in last week, after telling Superintendent McBrien I hadn't had any interaction was this guy and then I think it's either that evening or around that evening I walk out the station and --
118 Q. CHAN RMAN Bump into him?
A. Bump into him and it's just me and him. So that's correct.

119 Q. MS. GLEESON I see. Now, I think obviously after Garda Lyons made that report to Detective Sergeant Curley, it was then sent to Superintendent McBrien, she dealt with it, she wrote back to Superintendent Curly, requesting a statement be taken from Mr. McHugh. I already covered that and I don't propose to go into that in any major detail. Essentially, isn't it the case that Garda Lyons -- Sergeant Lyons's superior officers essentially viewed this report as having been made by him in good faith, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, that's all over it, that it's made in good faith. And in every one of the reports that relate to that, I think that line is in there, but there is no -- like,
it's never said, by the way, Garda Lyons is partners at the time this report was written with Garda A.
Q. Yes, wel1 I will come to that. But if we can go to 1191, I believe Chief Superintendent Curran actually states that this report was made in good faith by Garda 11:09 Lyons?
A. I dispute anything to do with this report being made in good faith.
CHA RMAN Sorry 1191, we better look at 1191, okay. We better go down, Philip. This is Chief
Superintendent Curran, Ms. Gleeson, you're referring to, where he says it's written in good faith, is that right?
MS. GLEESON In good faith, yes.
CHA RMAN we are having difficulty finding that in 1191.

MS. GLEESON Yes.
CHA RMAN which is a polite way of saying we're not finding it in 1191.
121 Q. Mb. GLEESON I think you accept, Garda Keogh, that a11 of Garda Lyons's superior officers did view this report as being made by him in good faith.
A. I dispute that and I dispute even how they could put in, in good faith, when all those senior officers in the Westmeath division would have known that Garda A and Garda Lyons were partners at the time. How that could have been left out of any of these reports is just incredible.
122 Q. Yes. In any event, essentially after Garda Lyons made
this report on 2nd June of 2014, it was obviously dealt with by his superior officers, Detective Sergeant
Curley --
A. Sorry, 2nd June?

123 Q. of 2014, yes.
A. The report was made.

124 Q. Yes.
A. Just one moment now.

125 Q. We have already referred to it.
A. Oh, this is the original?

126 Q. Yes.
A. The original Liam McHugh report?

127 Q. Yes.
A. Just a moment. okay.

CHA RMAN I am sorry then Garda Lyons's encounter with 11:12 Liam McHugh was on the 2nd June; is that correct?

MS. GLEESON It was on the 31st May, the report was the 2nd June.
CHA RMAN I am sorry, 31st may.
WTNESS: Sorry, yeah. I was thinking of the 31st. 11:12
CHA RMAN And did he report on the 3rd June?
M. GLEESON He reported on the 2nd June, Chairman.

CHA RMAN The 2nd June, sorry, yes, okay. He was approached at 9 pm .
WTNESS: Just one moment, he was approached then at
9 pm on the 31st June, but he doesn't report until the
2nd --
CHA RMAN May.
WTNESS: June.

CHA RMAN Hold on, we are all round in circles here. One second. Garda Lyons reports, his report concerns an encounter that he says happened on the 31st May.
Mb. GLEESON: Yes.
CHA RMAN As I understand, at 9pm. Okay. And he reported that and Ms. Gleeson corrects me, I had a note of the 3rd June, it's on the 2nd June, he reports that on the 2nd June. Are you with me?
WTNESS: Yeah. which is two days later.
CHA RMAN It is.
WTNESS: Yeah.
CHN RMAN It certainly is. It is. Okay, the 2nd June. Thank you very much.
M5. GLEESON Now, obviously after that the matter was dealt with by his superior officers, Detective Sergeant 11:13 Curley, Superintendent McBrien and Detective Sergeant Curley again, and obviously it crossed the desk of Superintendent Minnock as well. Superintendent McBrien requested that a statement be taken from Mr. McHugh and wrote to Detective Sergeant Curley to that effect. We have all of that. But what I would like to put to you, Garda Keogh, is that essentially this is all done over Garda Lyons's head, as it were, and that nobody came back to him questioning the veracity of his report or anything like that. The superior officers obviously received the report and dealt with it themselves. And nobody went back to Garda Lyons saying, are you sure this is right, did you make this up, or anything to that effect; isn't that right?
A. Correct. They're quite -- the senior officers are quite happy to run with it, Judge, because this is on the back foot of the Olivia o'Neill report. It's in the same week, in fact, as the Olivia O'Neill thing.
129 Q. Now, you've referred to Assistant Commissioner Finn's investigation and Mr. de Bruir's audit thereafter, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now in relation to Mr. de Bruir's audit, there were certain grounds of appeal, as it were, referring to this particular issue. Are you aware of that?
A. I'm sure I read it but I just can't think of what they were or $I$ just can't recall. But $I$ would have read it at some stage.
131 Q. Your solicitor would have prepared those grounds of appeal on your behalf, isn't that correct?
A. Okay. Yes. They are at volume 47, page 13160 and 13161. Yes, just paragraph 8 there. That refers to the alleged complaint. Mr. de Bruir then essentially prepares a chronology of that complaint. That can be found, if you don't mind scrolling down, please, that particular page, to page 13161, "grounds of appeal compl ai nt 4". It's just under that, please.
CHA RMAN Keep going, Philip.
ME. GLEESON Thank you. 8.9:
"The submi ssion of Mr . Cullen in rel ation to compl ai nt 4 reads, inter al ia: Garda Keogh was never invol ved in
any such incident. He is a stranger to it. It is bi zarre. Where is the evi dence of any di sci plining of the ot her two gardaí? It's not expl ai ned why the names of the ot her two guards were not rel eased to Garda Keogh.
(B). The report of Garda Lyons in rel ation to the conversation with Li am MtHugh does not list the names of $t$ he ot her two gardaí. The onl y names apparently i dentified is that of Garda Ni ck Keogh. "

They are the two grounds of appeal in relation to that particular issue, Garda Keogh?
A. Yes.

133 Q. And that obviously would have been submitted by your solicitor, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

134 Q. Nowhere in your grounds of appeal does it state that Garda Lyons was put up to this by another garda and that the entire thing was made up, isn't that right?
A. I accept that's probably not in the appeal. I accept. I don't know how, it's an error on my behalf. But it's from my notes. when I go back, when Superintendent McBrien shows me the actual report and I actually get to see what this allegation is about, I still don't know who wrote the report, so I go back into my own diary to try find out what was going on around the night of the 30th and the early hours of the 31st, that period of time. And, of course, I have a recollection
of an incident with these other two guards that night and, as I stated, I was dealing with a thing on the -I have a clear recollection of that. So, it's not in the report, but that's perhaps an omission on my behalf.
135 Q. Yes. We11, it's very clear from your grounds of appea1 there that you are aware of Garda Lyons's identity at that stage, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q.

Yes. But nowhere in your grounds of appeal does it doubt the veracity or the authenticity of this particular complaint. Essentially, your evidence to the Chairman is the first we have heard of that; isn't that right?
A. In relation to the -- that he was put up to it?

137 Q. That Garda Lyons was put up to it and that it's trumped up.
A. Well, I have always stated it's trumped up. I have always said this is pure set up. This is -- none of this is -- I know nothing about this. I have always said that.

138 Q. Yes. No, I accept that you have always said that.
A. Mm.

139 Q. My question to you is: Your evidence to the Chairman, and he has summarised your evidence to the Chairman on
Day 100 , page 86 of the transcript, that Garda Lyons was put up to this by other gardaí and that was made up. This was the first we have heard of this, isn't that right?
A. I think you're correct. But that's my belief. I have no evidence to back it up.
Q. That may very well be your belief. I believe you don't have any evidence in that regard, isn't that right?
A. Apart from, I have the incident on Pulse in relation -- 11:19 and I'm aware there's an incident on Pulse in relation to the traffic incident that I'm on about, which would have the exact time and everything that corresponds with when that drugs patrol car was going by. Other than that, $I$ have no evidence.
141 Q. Yes. So if we can go back to page 13161, please. CHA RMAN Page 161.
MS. GLEESON Page 13161.
CHA RMAN I'm sorry, 13161, yes.
142 Q. MS. GLEESON If you don't find scrolling down to paragraph 8.12, please. I think it might be the next page. Yes. If you see there, paragraph 8.12, thank you:
"There is no submi ssi on on the appeal that Garda Lyons was incorrect or inaccurate in his recording of what was sai d to hi mby Li am MzHugh on 31st May 2014."

And further, at paragraph 8.13:
"There is no compl ai nt agai nst Garda Lyons - - "

Sorry 8.13
CHA RMAN Don't worry, we are coming back to it.

MS. GLEESON Thank you, just there.
"There's no compl ai nt agai nst Garda Lyons and there is no suggestion that he was instructed by any superior officer to fabricate the account he reported of the conversation with Liam MEHugh. Garda Lyons's integrity or the accuracy of his report has not been challenged. "

That is the position with regard to the Finn investigation and the subsequent appeal, isn't that right?
A. That's what they find, Judge.

143 Q. Well, isn't that a fact, though, Garda Keogh; that you never had any issue with the veracity or the integrity of Garda Lyons until your evidence to the Chairperson, Day 100 of this inquiry?
A. Oh no. Obviously when I -- hang on. when I find out that Aidan Lyons wrote this report, I mean, like, that was during the Finn investigation, a couple of years on, I didn't -- like I never would have suspected it was Aidan Lyons that wrote that report. So that -- I'm sorry if I have wandered a bit there.

CHA RMAN No, you didn't, no.
144 Q. M. GLEESON No, there is no concern about that at a11. But clearly at the time when your appeal was made 11:22 you were aware of Garda Lyons's identity.
A. Hm -hmm.

145 Q. And there was no ground of appeal to say, well, that Garda Lyons was put up to this and that it was made up.
A. I accept what you are saying is correct.
A. I don't -- you see, sometimes when I am reading things, even on the screens, there's things that can jump out at me and can just rejig the memory that $I$ can't explain. But that is, that is the reason I put it into my note originally, when I went back to find out what was happening, I wasn't even suspecting Garda Lyons had anything to do with this when I was -- I was actually looking at, not Garda A, I was looking at the other garda, a person I had suspected perhaps wrote the report.

147 Q. Yes. I know you have obviously said that that's your belief but my point is that essentially this is the first we have heard of it, that this was contrived as a 11:23 result of the two gentlemen, the two gardaí in the car on the night before and that Garda Lyons was put up to it?
A. That's my case, that's my argument. Or that's my belief.

148 Q. Yes. Now, Garda Lyons will obviously have an opportunity to give evidence. He will be giving evidence to the effect that he encountered Liam McHugh on the 31st May, around 9pm.
CHA RMAN In other words, that this is a true account of his encounter with --

149 Q. MS. GLEESON Yes. And that he had that interaction with Liam McHugh and he made his report on 2 nd June 2014, and the contents of his report are accurate.
A. So, just to get this right for myself, so he meets Liam McHugh on the 31st June, there's this --
Q. May?

CHAI RMAN May.
A. May, sorry, this kind of explosive allegation and he doesn't report that until two days later. I find that strange. Then there's obviously the issue with the conversation and the obvious questions that one would ask to Mr. McHugh, if that conversation did -- if there was such a conversation, there was an obvious question that was to be asked there.
MS. GLEESON Garda Lyons received this information and he reported it and he will be giving evidence to the effect that his report of the interaction between yourself and Liam McHugh is as he says in his report. 11:25
A. Look, I can't -- I can't speak for Garda Lyons. I would use the word alleged information or alleged -- I mean, I don't know what to say. Look, he will be giving evidence himself.
152 Q. Yes. In any event, Garda Lyons, once he reported this, 11:25 it was dealt with by his superior officers and nobody came back to him saying this is inaccurate; isn't that right?
A. The senior officers at that time are quite happy that they had something, another stick to kind of beat me with. So, they didn't have to. They were quite happy to -- as I said, this is the Olivia O'Neill first, earlier in the week, and now they have this, this --
153 Q. Thank you, Garda Keogh. Now, in relation to the
letter, sorry, it was -- this is the letter in respect of Inspector Farrell, I do have it.
CHAL RMAN This is the handwritten note that you said you would come back to. okay.
M. Gleeson I said 324 , in fact it was 326 , apologies.
CHAN RMAN Say again.
MG. Gleesont 326.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much. So 326.
M. GLEESON This is a handwritten letter.

CHA RMAN That's fine.
MS. GLEESON This is a handwritten letter to Superintendent Mulcahy written by yourself. I believe it's undated but it is stamped 7th October 2015.
CHAN RMAN okay, yes.
154 Q. M. GLEESON At the second paragraph it states:
"Chi ef Superintendent Curran, Mllingar Garda station, and Inspect or Ni chol as Farrell, Athl one, were both i nvol ved to some degree regarding this. I understand that both men are going for promotion."

That's referring to:
"As you are aware, I have spoken to GSOC in rel ation to 11:27 an attempt to manufacture complai nts agai nst me. I am aware that Chi ef Superintendent Curran and Inspector Farrell will be invol ved to some degree regarding this. I understand that both men are going for promotion and
if my complai nt were proven, it may jeopardi se their chances."

That's a letter that you wrote to Superintendent mulcahy, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct. The date stamp, it is dated with the date stamp on the top, it would have been me that -- I wouldn't have written the date, I just put the stamp on it. It's the date I would have written that, yeah.
155 Q. I see. But you longer hold that view obviously?
A. No.

156 Q. You have covered that, I know.
A. Yeah.

157 Q. But I just wanted to get the letter for you.
A. Yeah.

158 Q. So essentially, just to summarise my own position, and I am coming to the end of my questioning in relation to these matters. In relation to Inspector Farrell, he will be giving evidence, I am instructed, he is very clear that he never asked you to change the pulse entry?
A. And I am also very clear that he did. And I am more -also on my answer, like:
"What part of it do you want me to change?"

CHAL RMAN Yes.
159 Q. M. GLEESON Yes. But in any event, you accept that it's not possible for you or him to do it. It was
still there on the 29th July, when Garda A complained. I think you accept that it's still there; isn't that right?
A. Yeah. And as I said, I think everything else in Inspector Farrell's thing, report, I think everything else we're agreed on.
160 Q. Yes.
A. Just that one, one, one sentence from him and the one sentence from me back. That's the only thing we differ from on that.

161 Q. Yes. In relation to Garda Lyons, essentially previously you haven't made a complaint about his integrity or the veracity of his -- the information that he received from Mr. McHugh, but obviously the Chairman has your evidence in that regard at Day 100 and has summarised the issue. But essentially, Garda Lyons will be giving evidence to the effect that he received that information in good faith, passed it on to his superior officers and he certainly wasn't put up to it and it wasn't trumped up by him in any way, shape 11:30 or form?
A. I mean, I am quite interested in what he has to say in evidence himself. Judge, one thing, in relation to that we couldn't change, myself or Inspector Farrell couldn't change the thing, just for clarification:
That could have been easily -- could have been altered via the CIO in Mullingar, the collator. So if my version is correct, and I said there is, yeah, okay, I will change it or worded it a bit better, that would
have been -- could have been -- we could have -something could have been changed fairly lively via the collator in Mullingar. Just to take that into account. CHAD RMAN Thanks very much.
Mb. Gleesonk Yes. Now, the Chairman has asked me to refer to your interactions, Inspector Nicholas Farrell's interaction with you?
CHA RMAN Ms. Gleeson, don't misunderstand, I am not suggesting have you to ask any particular question.
But I was thinking that if Inspector Farrell is going to give particular evidence about his encounter, his conversation with Garda Keogh that happened on 9th May 2014.

ME. GLEESON Yes.
CHA RMAN Then I think it would be fair to everybody ${ }_{\text {11:31 }}$ for you to put what Inspector Farrell says was said on that occasion, if he is going to give that evidence.
ME. GLEESON Yes.
CHA RMAN okay.
MS. GLEESON wel1, we have already had his note, which 11:31 is on page 640. I will put that now.
CHAI RMAN 640, thank you.
163 Q.
M. GLEESON Now, you see there that essentially Garda Keogh met with Inspector Farrell the evening of 9th May 2014. He was at Inspector Farrell's office.

CHA RMAN Sorry, this is the 19th May.
ME. GLEESON 19th May.
CHA RMAN I am talking about the 9th May, the day after the Pulse entry was made.

ME. GLEESON Yes.
CHA RMAN Now, it doesn't matter if --
MR. MGGI NESS: Page 634, Chairman.
CHA RMAN 634, I think you wil1 find it. Thanks very much. As I say, if he's is not going to give that evidence, that's not a problem, but if he is, I think it's only fair. 634.
164 Q. M. G_EESON Inspector Farrell's note is that he said to you, Garda Keogh, this could be a very difficult time in the wake of your protected disclosure and that he wanted to offer his personal and organisational support. That obviously you were in particular form at the time and he records you as having said to him:
"Your support means nothing to me. Say what you have 11:33 to say. Tick all the boxes."

And that Inspector Farrell explained that there are obviously service facilities available in the job to support you; for example, the employee assistance and peer support. That Inspector Farrell said if you had any issues that he could help you with, that he was available and would listen to you in that regard; isn't that right?
A. Yes. All of that, Judge.

165 Q. CHA RMAN All of that is correct?
A. All of that. Judge, just again to clarify: It wasn't Inspector Farre11, I see here there's "very anxi ous and hostile", it's not Inspector Farrell himself.

Inspector Farrell I know is friends with -- may have perhaps formed an opinion -- there would have been other people whispering into his ear or whatever. But I can't get too close to Inspector Farrell because he is friends with other parties within the station. It's 11:34 not to do -- he himself is a decent guy, but just there's other persons there that he was friends with. CHA RMAN okay. Thank you very much. A11 I wanted was that if he was going to give that evidence, that it was only fair that you should have an opportunity of commenting.
A. I agree with everything in that. CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Have you finished, Ms. Gleeson?
MS. GLEESON Yes, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Who is next? Mr. McGuinness, who is next?
MR. MEGI NNESS: Well, $I$ think it's Mr. Carroll.
CHA RMAN Mr. Carroll, yes. Good morning, Mr. Carroll.

MR. CARROL: Thank you Chairman. I don't know if you can see me, Garda Keogh.
CHAI RMAN Can you see Mr. Carrol1 over there?
WTNESS: Yes.
MR. CARROLL: Thank you.

GARDA N CHOLAS KEOGH WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. CARROLL AS FOLLOVB

MR. CARROL: I am here representing Superintendent McBrien. You will be glad to hear I don't really have too much questioning for you either. There's two main reasons for that: One is, in the course of you being examined in detailed by Mr. McGuinness, you agreed with him on a couple of occasions that you had access to Superintendent McBrien's notes. I think we have typed versions as well that were done for Superintendent Healy, and that you were happy with the accuracy of those notes?
A. Yeah.

167 Q. Obviously your notes are there as well and they tallied a lot in terms of dates and what not, but her notes are more, far more extensive, I suppose.
A. Far more detailed.

168 Q. So, in that context, and you don't really raise -- my understanding is that you don't raise an issue with those notes and nor her statements to the Tribunal. There may be one or two minor differences.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

169 Q. Or conflicts maybe. I might deal with them, but the way I see it is, there's not really much by way of conflict or differences as such. So, for that reason -- second7y I suppose, the reason, you seem to -- you've confirmed it last week a number of times with Mr. McGuinness that you aren't alleging that my
client, Superintendent McBrien, was involved in bullying or harassing you or targeting you or discrediting you?
A. No, never ever alleged that. No, no, no.

170 Q. I think in fairness to you, one of the things that came 11:36 out in examination by Mr. McGuinness was that you remember the meeting with Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin, it was put up, we don't need to go to it, and you were making the point -- or Assistant Commissioner Finn, sorry, and you were being asked to name names as such. I think in the course of that, as early as that in the sense of before this Tribunal started its work, you were making the point that you didn't have such an issue with Superintendent McBrien. Is that fair?
A. Yeah. And I think if my memory is correct, I think she 11:37 may have got served papers, that $I$ was making an allegation against her. I'm not sure. I'm not sure. Just there was a list of --

171 Q. Obviously she finds herself now as a witness in this Tribunal and she is happy to be here to assist the

Tribunal and will be giving evidence in due course. But she obviously also found herself caught up, I suppose, in numerous inquiries that were dealt with. So that was obviously a concern to her as well. But in any event, that's just a general point at the start. I ${ }^{11: 37}$ just want to make a couple of general points and I may touch on a few specifics that have come out in the evidence, but I hope not to repeat anything and also, if you feel you need to get some of the documents up,
we can do that. I am not trying to stop you having a look at the documents, but I am trying to, I suppose, summarise matters, so we don't have to go into great detail, because Mr. McGuinness has done that with you already.

I suppose just to put things in context, I think your first -- you actually had a connection with Superintendent McBrien, going back to when you were stationed in Bray, is that correct?
A. That's correct, yeah.

172 Q. I think the exact dates, I think she was an inspector in Bray in and around ' 05 to '10, 2010, and that would cover some of the time you were stationed in Bray, isn't that right?
A. Yes. I was with the drugs unit '05, I was with Detective Branch Enniskerry '06, and then I transferred down the country some period after that. So certainly two years anyway of an overlap.
173 Q. Yes. I think in the context of that, you have said, 11:39 you said to the Tribunal investigators when they interviewed you, that had you a good relationship with her back then?
A. Yeah.

174 Q. And that continued then when you met up again in
Athlone. I think she herself in Athlone was, I suppose, new and I think, without going into unnecessary detail, she would have been at one point not residing, $I$ suppose, or living in accommodation
locally, hotels or whatever, but she would have been, it would appear, around the station a lot, particularly at nighttime. We can see that from your own notes and her notes, that there would have been several meetings between you in Athlone, where it would have been late in the evening and you would have been chatting about various things. would that be fair?
A. Em, I'm not disputing it.

175
Q. Yes.
A. I just...

176 Q. So I think the point I am trying to make, I suppose, is in terms of the relationship with her, it was a good relationship. As she says herself, I think uses the phrase, she had a sort of open door policy, she was accessible, I suppose, in terms of this period of time. 11:40 would that be fair?
A. Yeah, that'd be fair. I remember when she first arrived to Athlone and came down, I recall, there's actually a video or a film, it's called Hot Fuzz, it's a comedy, a spoof comedy about a mad police station in 11:40 England. I recall, I think I may have given it her and I said, you may watch this if you're coming to this place, because this is mad, some of the stuff that's going on here. I don't think it went beyond anything. Because there was so much stuff going on in Athlone, as 11:40 well, at the time. But that's just from memory.
177 Q. I suppose in the context of how matters evolved then and the subject-matter of this Tribunal, it would appear she was in a difficult position at one point, I
suppose. What I mean by this is, she was attempting to deal -- when you made your protected disclosure, she was attempting, I suppose, to deal with the welfare of everybody in the station?
A. Yes.

178 Q. To keep everything on the rails, I suppose, in terms of the station?
A. Yes.
Q.

But at the same time, it would appear from her notes and of her meetings with you, she was conscious of your 11:41 welfare and how you were getting on, I suppose?
A. She was firm and fair.

180 Q. Yes. I think in those notes, and you have mentioned this, that she told you from the outset about the welfare officer and the availability of that?
A. Yes.

181 Q. I think initially you didn't take that up but then you did?
A. Yes.

182 Q. And that worked out for you in terms of being useful, 11:41 as matters progressed?
A. Yes.

183 Q. I think she also said to you from early on that she would keep you informed of matters as things progressed. That seems to be fair enough, because we 11:42 see in these notes, she's telling you what's happening and what's going on to the extent she can, would that be fair?
A. Yeah. To the extent she can because --
.
Q. I will come to that. An example of that would be when she puts Garda Lyons's report but doesn't give you the name, she felt that wasn't appropriate, but she did give you that or read that, let you read that report or read it out to you, so you were aware of what was going 11:42 on in that context?
A. Oh yeah, and I never -- look, the reason I don't blame Superintendent McBrien is because I believe there were people behind the scenes at that stage even, you know, that are quite happy to run with anything they can get negative to do with me.

185 Q. I think, just again, I am really not going to go into super detail at al1 but there are a few things that $I$ suppose I want to highlight. They come up in the course of the various issues, particularly the first 11:43 four or five issues, which were this timeframe. And also to put into context that for various reasons Superintendent McBrien was herself absent, I suppose, at certain points in that sequence. So I just want to put that.

Really, $I$ think the first thing I just want to talk about was, there was -- and we don't need to name the guard because I don't think he is represented, I don't think he's relevant, from early on I think there was reference to somebody had made a comment in the station?
A. Oh yes.
Q. We will just call him Garda M?
A. Sure.

187 Q. It's not that issue. But the point about it was --
A. I accept it was an off the cuff comment.

188 Q. Yes.
A. It wasn't even anything, it was just an off the cuff, $11: 44$ yeah.

189 Q. The point is, I am just trying to get across to the Tribunal, I suppose, on behalf of my client and how she was trying to react with things and deal with things?
CHA RMAN Mr. Carroll, surely if there is no complaint 11:44 against your client, why don't you get to the point. Sorry, if there is any disagreement, surely isn't that the thing to focus on. I'm sorry to --
MR. CARROL: I appreciate that, chairman.
CHA RMAN Sorry, Mr. McGuinness.
MR. MEGI NESS: Chairman, I think the cross-examiner, Mr. Carroll was trying to get Garda Keogh's view about how appropriately the superintendent had dealt with the protected disclosure.
CHA RMAN I'm sorry.
MR. MEGU NNESS: The issue that was raised.
CHAN RMAN I am sorry, my misunderstanding,
Mr. Carroll. Sorry. No, Mr. Carroll, forget what we said before. Thank you, Mr. McGuinness, I
misunderstood, thank you very much. Let's forget it. 11:44
MR. CARROL: There's about four or five incidents I am going to deal with.
CHA RMAN Don't worry, no, you don't have to explain, Mr . Carroll. Consider yourself free from my obligation
to explain. I misunderstood. Please carry on.
MR. CARROL: Garda Keogh, I was just going to deal with a couple of things and try and deal with it in sequence, we don't need to get into in detail, just to show, I suppose, Superintendent McBrien's -- how she was reacting to certain matters?
CHA RMAN I just want you to stop for one moment. MR CARRCL: Yes.

CHA RMAN Would you like a break at this point. We have been doing it regularly and it's just that we are pretty well exactly halfway through the session and you're perfectly okay, we have been doing it every other day, so I just wanted to say that this would be a convenient time, because Mr. Carroll, having made his introductory comments, which I'm sorry for misunderstanding, and he's now about to proceed to some specific things. So, I think that's probably a convenient time, as I say. Sorry about that, Mr. Carroll. Okay, we will break now for ten minutes. very good.

THE HEARI NG THEN ADJ OURNED BRI EFLY AND RESUMED, AS FOLLOVS:

CHAN RMAN Thank you.
MR. CARROLL: Thank you, Chairman. Garda Keogh, just go back to a couple of matters. I can assure you, I don't intend to be so long with you. But it was just in terms of Superintendent McBrien and how she was
trying to react and deal with matters as they arose. Just before the break I was saying a particular Garda M had made a comment, it would appear that was on the 15th May, that it came to her attention. She was actually due for annual leave abroad on the 18th May and before she went, she sent an e-mail to Inspector Farrell. That's at 857 but we don't need to look at it. She asked him to deal with that and to speak to that member and say that's out of order, to make such comments. You have seen some of that documentation, is 12:03 that right?
A. I have and I have seen the explanation that was given by the member, that it was an off the cuff remark and it wasn't -- and accept that. It was all dealt with.
191 Q. She also sent out an e-mail to everybody, the policy document about bullying and harassment, the policy document, that was sent out as well?
A. She may have. I wouldn't be good with my emails but she may have. I don't know. I would accept that, sorry.
192 Q. I think then as matters progressed she, as I say, was away and came on the 5th June, I think, and other matters had happened then in the intervening period in terms of McHugh, O'Neill and such matters. I think they had been dealt with by others in her absence. But 12:03 when she returned, she did have meetings with you. There was a particular meeting on the 9th June. In the course of that meeting she outlines certain things of, I suppose, a welfare nature, concern about you, concern
about what was going on. Would that be fair to say?
A. Yes.

I think similarly then, there was the -- and again, I am just touching on these, if we need to get any documents up, we can, but $I$ am just trying to get across how she was trying to react to things as best she could. One of the matters that the Tribunal is looking at relates to Garda Lyons's report and Mr. McHugh. I think, without going through the ins and outs of it, I think you're aware that you discussed that with her as well and ultimately she actually asked Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin to -- she actually did that in writing, it's at 1201, to consider investigating that, that it wasn't appropriate within the station, so to speak, and it would seem more appropriate that it was investigated outside. You're aware of that documentation?
A. I wasn't aware at the time, but obviously I have read it.
Q. Yes.
A. At that period in time I'm writing to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, obviously pointing out the same thing there.
Q. Yes. Again, I think from -- Superintendent McBrien was obviously aware of your concerns about that?
A. Mm.

196 Q. And to an extent was going down the line of, wel1, maybe this would be a good thing to not have investigated within the station?
A. Yeah.
Q. But ultimately, of course, we know that Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin, they wrote back saying, no, we are not dealing with that, we are only going to deal with the contents of the protected disclosure and not the -- I am not going to trammel that again. But the point is, she was making that move, so to speak. I think another issue arose again in June, on 10th June 2014, where it would appear Garda A had made some enquiries, she had received a call from, I think, it was Garda Greene, and that Garda A had made some enquiries about the investigation team and what they were doing. She tried to, I suppose, nip that in the bud. She requested that Sergeant Curley speak to Garda A and not to be getting involved in that way, to tell him not to do that. You accept that. You have probably seen some of that documentation?
A. I wouldn't have known that at the time. I accept it, but like, I just wouldn't have been aware of that at the time.

198 Q. Just bear with me. I don't know if that came up in -I will check that, it may have, it may have come up in one of the subsequent meetings you had with her, that Superintendent McBrien was trying to keep you informed as to what was going on.

But in any event, the other matter then. Again, $I$ suppose, as an example of how she was attempting to follow things up and keep things on train in the
station with two things happening at the same time obviously, her duties to all members in terms of the normal police activities --
A. Sorry, there was more than two things, there was a whole lot of them.

Ah yeah, I know that. I am talking about from her perspective, she had -- her role, her role regardless of all of this, in terms of the whole station and the welfare of everybody?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}$.

200 Q. Then also, particular matters that emanated from your protected disclosure?
A. Yeah.

201 Q. I am trying to keep --
A. There was other things going on, which had nothing to do with the protected disclosure.
Q. Yes.
A. Other investigations.

203 Q. As well.
A. Yes.

204 Q. Yes, yes. One of the other things I was going to high1ight, again without any great detail, was that you raised -- at one of the meetings you raise concern, and we have heard this already, about the firearms issue and Garda A having access to firearms. And again, we 12:08 can see that she followed that up with a report, seeking a report from Sergeant Curley and then that was sent up the line to Chief Superintendent Curran.

Again, we can see that she, I suppose, was attempting to deal with matters as they arose as best she could, but acknowledging your issues, I suppose, without obviously going into the content of your protected disclosure. So that was the position she was in. But ${ }_{\text {12:09 }}$ nonetheless --
A. Yes.

205 Q. Just bear with me now, I just want to check one of the notes. I'm sorry. I think in fairness to you and the Tribunal, I think obviously we have gone through your statement and notes and all the rest, the only conflict, and there may be not much in it, appears to arise -- I will just deal with it for the sake of completeness. Possibly the best way is 6264, that document, which is the statement of superintendent MCBrien. There is just one thing. Again, I just want to put it, it seems to me the only conflict in the matter between you and my client was that in the course of that meeting you had with her, matters were discussed, I think it was the 8th July, and again, this 12:10 is something that the Tribunal investigators put to Superintendent McBrien, is that she was -- I think you can see it, yes, I think it's at line 922, and that's from your statement, about her being put under pressure by Chief Superintendent Curran. That was, yes, in relation to -- particularly in relation to the CHIS issue, that arising and what directive was complied with, you understand. You can see that at line 929. And that she had told the Tribunal investigators at
this point, Superintendent McBrien, that she didn't recall saying that she was under pressure. She goes on to say that she wasn't put under pressure, if you follow me. So I just want to put that, because it's -it would appear to be the only conflict in terms of what she has in her notes and what you might have said, do you understand me?
A. I understand. My note is a lot shorter and less detailed than Superintendent McBrien's.
Q. Yes.

## A. But what I have is:

"Tuesday, 8th July, 9pm met with super, who informed me she is sending people out again to try to get statements from OON."
which is Olivia O'Neill
"And LMH. "

## Liam McHugh

And a full stop.
"She under pressure."

It doesn't say, like, I don't know, like I don't say she said that or $I$ said it or anything. But either way:
"Tol d her I was meeting judge Mbnday re this and I knew who was behind it. She di dn't reply."

That's my note.

12:12 it was something she said. Do you understand what I mean?
A. Look, I can't remember now word-for-word what was said in that meeting, I am only going by my notes and that's 12:13 my account of the meeting.

208 Q. Thank you, Garda Keogh,

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thank you very much, Mr. Carro11. Now, yes, Mr. McGarry, are you next?
MR. MtGARRY: I am happy to go next, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Is that the scheme, Mr. McGuinness, that Mr. McGarry goes next?
MR. MEGI NESS: Yes, I think that's convenient.
CHAI RMAN Very good, thanks very much.

GARDA N CHOLAS KEOGH MAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR MEGARRY, AS FOLLONE:

MR. MEGARRY: Thank you, Chairman. Garda Keogh, I don't know if you can see me, I am here. Paul McGarry is my name and I am one of the lawyers representing former Assistant Commissioner Fanning. I just want to ask you a couple of questions about the issue that was touched upon yesterday when you were answering questions from Mr. McGuinness about the complaint that 12:13 led ultimately to the investigation established under Assistant Commissioner Finn. You remember yesterday there was a discussion about this?
A. Yes.

210 Q. You were looking at the chronology that was prepared by 12:14 Inspector McCarthy from page 10478 onwards. I think you remember that document. I don't know that it's necessary, Chairman, to put it up on the screen? CHA RMAN Yes, I think you are right. Only if you need it. Do you remember there was a long chronology. WTNESS: Yes.

CHA RMAN Explaining the delay in setting up the Finn inquiry, isn't that right? Do you remember that?
WTNESS: Yes.
CHA RMAN okay, if we need to -- we have it now anyway, there it is.
211 Q. MR. MEGARRY: Garda Keogh, this is in the context, I think, of your complaint about an alleged delay in establishing this particular inquiry. I apologise
again if I am threading on ground you were over yesterday, but I have to suggest to you that at the very least it seems from that document that there was a very large amount of work going on from the moment that Assistant Commissioner Fanning was made aware of your complaint up to the point at which Assistant Commissioner Finn was appointed?
A. Yeah, I accept that.
Q. Yes. Ultimately his evidence will be that at all times he was keen to ensure that the matter was properly investigated. Again, I suggest to you that that document bears out the thoroughness of that work that was going on?
A. Yeah. I have no issue with that, I accept that.

213 Q. I counted, just on a brief look at it, six occasions between March and November of 2017 when you were contacted directly in relation to the ongoing work, twice I think to look for information from you and four occasions on which you were informed about what was going on?
A. Just for clarification, it's not directly Commissioner Fanning.
CHA RMAN No, but somebody --
A. Yes, yes, absolutely.

214 Q. CHA RMAN Somebody in that section, division or department?
A. Yes, yes.

215 Q. MR. MEGARRY: Yes. well, on certain occasions it was Assistant Commissioner Fanning. We don't need to look
at specific details of it.
CHA RMAN Assistant Commissioner Fanning or someone in his unit.
A. Inspector Jimmy McCarthy.

CHA RMAN okay.

Commissioner Fanning wrote to you and then, on the 22nd May it was Inspector McCarthy. Then on the 5th June again it was Assistant Commissioner Fanning himself that wrote to you referring to the issue?
A. Yes, sorry, I accept -- sorry, I erred there, that's correct, he did, he did write. Sorry I read other -- I had read stuff in there in those documents about Inspector Fanning calling to my house and mad stuff like that, that wasn't accurate. Sorry, I apologise there, yeah.

217 Q. I suggest to you that what ultimately occurred does disclose the fact that the matter was properly considered at least up until point at which Assistant Commissioner Finn was appointed?
A. Yeah, I have read that. I have read all that, and I understand Commissioner Fanning was trying his best to move it, progress the matter. Yes.

218 Q. And ultimately we know that a person of senior rank, as assistant commissioner was, appointed to carry out that 12:17 inquiry. I suggest to you that from that report, it can't really be said that there's any specific targeting or bullying on the part of Assistant Commissioner Fanning of you or, indeed, of any of the
people who Assistant Commissioner Fanning was interacting with in order to get that investigation going?
A. Em, the first part I accept. In relation to

Commissioner Fanning, yes, he was trying to progress it. In relation to people that he was interacting with now, $I$ can't go along with that.
219 Q. Thank you.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Very good.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Mr. Murphy, are you next in line?
MR. MtGU NESS: No, Mr. Kane is here.
CHA RMAN I am sorry.
MR. MEGI NESS: For a number of individual gardaí.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much, Mr. Kane. Yes, that seems more sensible and then we will come to Mr. Murphy. Yes, Mr. Kane.

GARDA N CHOLAS KEOGH WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. KANE, AS FOLLOVS

220 Q. MR. KANE: Thank you, Chairman. Good afternoon, Garda Keogh. I am one of the barristers representing Ferghal Green, Stephanie Treacy and David turner. I wanted to ask you, is it a fair characterisation of the evidence that you have given to say that your complaints as to bullying, harassment, targeting and discrediting is
that they emanated from management and not from rank and file or ordinary members?
A. That's correct. And they have -- look, there's no allegation or nothing to do with any of those three members to do with what we are here for or what -- I have no allegations against any of those three whatsoever.

221 Q. Thank you, Garda Keogh. Thank you, Chairman. END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Now, Mr. Murphy. GARDA N CHOLAS KEOGH WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. MRPHY, AS FOLLOVS:

222 Q. MR. MRPHY: Good morning, Garda Keogh. My name is Shane Murphy and I appear on behalf of the Commissioner of An Garda Síochána and on behalf of 38 other gardaí, some of whom will be featured in the course of our cross-examination and whose interests I will identify to you. But first of all, can I ask you, in terms of the history of your involvement in An Garda Síochána, to recall the evidence you gave on the first day, when you spoke to Mr. McGuinness, in relation to how long you have been in the force. There is no controversy. You moved from the events of 2009 up to 2014. During the period of 2009-2014, did you know a Sergeant Tully. A. Yes.

223
A. Yeah.
Q. I think he indicates, perhaps $I$ will just read this to you. He says:
"His coping skills are being tested due to his al cohol probl ens. Even though he is currently of f the drink, it is certainly taking its toll on himbut he is making a huge effort to overcome his problem

His rel ationshi p with his peers and supervisors is excellent. He is a very affable and i noffensive i ndi vi dual, who tries to please and cooper ate with supervisors and colleagues al ike.

Thi s menber is not the most robust indi vi dual and is easily upset by the rough and tunble of life. He bel i eves he needs a transfer from Athl one, though he's
not sure where he wants to go. He cl ai m§ he' s happy with his work colleagues and has no issue in that regard. Over all, he's a bit mixed up but hopef ully will make a recovery and become more self reliant and
settled. "
A. I never informed Sergeant Tully of what the difficult time was.

227 Q. Yes.
A. All I said -- what I said to Sergeant Tully at one point is: Some day, sergeant, $I$ am going to tell you everything I know, I just can't now. Because in 2012 I am already -- I am already on the way, gathering evidence and printing stuff, gathering my evidence for what is about to happen in 2014. As I have stated, in 2011, I met a solicitor in relation to things, where he's the first person I break confidence with. I have never, to this way, told Sergeant Tully anything because ultimately he retires at some point, but this is all going on and he doesn't know about it and I never told -- got the opportunity to tell him the full story. That's my answer there.
228 Q. Garda Keogh, can we agree that there's no doubt about this, that in 2012 you had a significant problem in relation to alcohol?
A. I was drinking, yeah, I was turning to drink. Obviously it was decision time as well. Am I going to go ahead with this or am I not? This is not -- the way it's portrayed by reading some of the Garda documents is that I woke up on 8th May 2014 and had a great idea, that I would just go and make a disclosure and become a whistleblower for the craic. It wasn't like that, I can assure you. Like, I had to go through, like, 2011, '12, '13. By 2013 I firmly had my decision made, but 2012, I was still humming and hawing, what course of action I was going to take. But would you agree, Garda Keogh, in 2012 you weren't well for a long period of time during that year, with your alcohol problem?
A. I'm not disputing that.
Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown document 9448. Garda Keogh, this is an absence report in relation to you. It details materials in relation to a number of years. I just want to look, if I could please, at 2012. Will you see that towards the last part of the page. I think again there will be no dispute between us, that that shows that in the year 1st January 2012 to 6th January 2012, you were off sick for 106 days.
A. That's correct. Is there any way we can just go down prior to that, for the few years prior to that? think approximately six days?
A. Okay. And can we go -- just for continuity of this, can we go down?

I think you indicated yesterday that you have nothing but unreserved praise for the way in which they dealt with you?
A. Yes.
Q. We will come back to that later on. Just in terms of the documentation, can I also just ask if document 3657 could be put on the screen, please? This is a report by Inspector Minnock and it's dated 2013. Can I just ask you to look at the centre of the page complaint, please? He says:
"Garda Keogh is presently engaged in full uniform duties attached to a core unit in Athl one station. He has an excellent rel ationshi $p$ with both his peers and supervisors. He carries out any duties assi gned to him
in a professional and diligent manner.

As part of his continued rehabilitation, Garda Keogh is in regular contact with the Garda welfare officer and he attends AA meetings. He is aware of the services available to himboth from within the Garda organi sation and outsi de agenci es. He continues to make good progress as advi sed by chi ef medi cal officer in a report of 19th October 2012. It would appear that no further revi ew is warranted at this time."

Again, I think you would accept that's a fair representation of the position in relation to 2013?
A. I accept that. And once again, Judge, nobody knows what is in my head at that time and what I'm -basically, in 2012, and I think I have given certain documents in to the Tribunal, where it's clear I've already commenced investigating and downloading information in 2012. So, I'm already -- I'm still not a hundred percent sure whether I am going to go ahead with this or not but I am using alcohol as a crutch. I don't deny it. Just back to the previous point: Since 1999, when I joined An Garda Síochána, until the end of 2011, I don't think I have a single sick day.
236 Q. I think in terms of Inspector Minnock, he is somebody against whom you make no complaints, isn't that right?
A. Judge, Inspector Minnock, up until -- while he is under Noreen McBrien's watch, he is someone I could trust, but that changes after Superintendent Murray arrives.

There's a change there.
237 Q. Garda Keogh, can you just hold on for a moment? Do you remember the discussion you had, that you talked about, with Assistant Commissioner Finn, you were asked to name people against whom you were making allegations?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me, Inspector Minnock was not one of the people against whom you made an allegation?
A. That's correct, yeah, yes.

I have to suggest to you, that has been the case throughout. But I do so for the purpose of saying that at this time, 2013, Inspector Minnock is effectively saying that you're back in action and he's happy to see you back in action. He's saying that you're making efforts.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. That's fair enough. Again, once again, Judge, Inspector Minnock would have no idea, let's say, what's going on in my head in relation to what -- in relation to the matter of the disclosure and things like that. Nobody knows because I'm telling nobody.
241 Q. Garda Keogh, will you agree with me, it's quite clear that An Garda Síochána was fully aware of the fact that you had a significant problem with alcohol in 2012 and they sought to help you with that?
A. Yes. Yes, but I mean, I'm using alcohol as a crutch at
that time. I mean it's a big decision and I do use alcohol as a crutch and unfortunately, you see, the problem with alcohol is, Judge, it becomes a passtime, then it becomes a habit and then it becomes an addiction and I didn't know, I didn't know what I was dealing with in relation to alcohol and the power of it. Tribunal, not only did you not make any complaint about Inspector Minnock but you also said at line 142 , which can be seen at page 685, it's referred to in Inspector Minnock's statement, I should say, in 685, and you are quoted as saying:
"I have had a good rel ationshi p with Inspector Mnock at all times."

Isn't that correct?
A. Yeah. Just one second, I haven't seen -- I have to see what date. Yes. Yeah. Look, over all, as I said, I would have -- superintendent -- or Inspector Minnock, certainly at one time $I$ would have trusted him. The trust part diminishes at a later period. But I have no -- I have no -- he's not in my complaint in relation to -- yeah.
243 Q. Thank you. Now, insofar as your diary is concerned, you have referred to this on numerous occasions, I think the position is that you have indicated to the Chairman that you started taking your diary at the
suggestion of Judge McMahon, is that right?
A. Sorry, just can you go a bit slower please?

244 Q. Yes. Is it the case that you started to write a diary because Judge Mcmahon suggested it might be a good idea?
A. Yes.
Q. And again, if you have the original of that book, I wonder if you could be given it please?
A. I have it here.

247 Q. Yes. Could I trouble you just to look, please, at that page. 10th April 2014 ?
A. Sorry 2014?
Q. 2014.
A. Sorry, excuse me, sorry. Yeah.

249 Q. Okay. You see on the left-hand side there's an entry on the 7th April, saying?
"Met Mng Castlerea. "
A. Yes.
Q. Can the Chairman take it that is Mr. Ming Flanagan and that you met him in Castlerea?
A. Yes, Luke Ming Flanagan TD, yes.

Q. Was that the first time you met him?
A. No. No, it wasn't. The first time I met him was -the first time I met him, I can't remember, it was the end -- it was actually after that Vincent Brown show episode that I gave in evidence earlier. I clearly remember the night he told me, he was down in Offaly, meet me in Edenderry, and when I went to Edenderry he got the towns mixed up, Edenderry is on the kildare border, he was over in Banagher, which is on the Galway border. So, by the time he had to drive the whole way from Edenderry to Banagher, he was up in Cloghan, and it was actually on an old bog road, the Cloghan to Athlone road, on the side of the road at night and I jumped into the side of the car with him. And that's the first time we met.
Q. I think you will agree me that in this diary you are reflecting your thoughts about the steps you're preparing to take to make a statement and to try and become a whistleblower, is that fair comment?
A. That would be fair.
Q. Yes. Just looking please at the right-hand side, I think the entries are in blue ink and in blank ink, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

254 Q. That obviously indicates that they were written at
A. Yeah, it would.

255 Q. Can you help us to know which was written at which time? which was written first?
A. Oh I mean, I couldn't. The fact that they're in different pen, different ink, Judge, it would show I'm actually not trying to hide anything. I just pick up whatever pen and whatever entries $I$ am writing in. I don't know what entry I would have -- the only way I could say, the entries at the top are the first ones and then, as we go down, the entry in black at the bottom is obviously a later one. section, the first three lines, please help me if I get 12:33 this wrong because it's rather difficult on the photocopy. It says:
"Li mited phone contact. No names, dates, times,

I ocations."
A. Yeah.

257 Q. To what does that refer?
A. Ah that's to do with -- that's to do with trying to avoid phone monitoring. Because I have already -- from 12:34 the first day that $I$ am in contact with John wilson and with what's going on with him and Sergeant McCabe, and actually it's a concern that his phone was monitored, not mine. But by the -- I become paranoid, I suppose, in relation to the phone.
Q. Can I stop you there, Garda Keogh, for a moment?
A. Yes.

259 Q. I am going to ask you this question repeatedly.
A. Yeah.

260 Q. Do you have any evidence yourself of what you have just said?
A. of?

261 Q. Do you have any evidence that Mr. Wilson's phone was monitored, from your own personal knowledge?
A. I have no evidence.
Q. Thank you.
A. Other than I know how An Garda Síochána work. I know they have a phone monitoring section and it's very active and they're very good at it. So...
263 Q. Garda Keogh, again we will come back to this at a later stage, but would you agree with me, there is a world of a difference between knowing and proving that a phone has been interfered with and believing that a phone has been interfered with?
A. Well, I am somewhere between strongly suspecting and believing. But probably suspecting at that stage is where $I$ am in that.
Q. Let's come back to that again. Just looking down at the next word?
A. Yeah.

265 Q. "Feel ings of fear, di arrhoea caused by anxi ety in st omach, legs feel like jelly, hands tremble at times."

Will you read, please, the next line?
A.

[^0]"Heart beats faster than.."
Something, it looks like -- I can't.
Not to worry, can you please read the next word?
A.
"Paranoi d but..." --
Q. Paranoid, yes?
A.
" . . . committed. "
268 Q.
"Paranoi d but committed."

So that reflected your view of yourself on that day?
A. Around that time.

269 Q. Around that time?
A. Yeah.

270 Q.
"Wbnder how von Stauffenberg felt when he wanted to take down Hitler."

CHA RMAN Attempted.
MR. MEGU NESS: Attempted.
271 Q.
MR. MRPHY: "...attempted to take down Hitler."
A. That's a comment in relation to -- I suppose I'm wondering, let's say, when Claus von Stauffenberg is to carry in the briefcase into the wolf's lair when they are trying to assassinate Hitler and Himmler. I am
actually trying to get it into my own head, how could he physically have done that, how could he have walked in to that. Because if I am so fearful dealing with the Guards, like I just can't understand how he would have been brave enough to do what he did. I don't know 12:36 how he did that.
Q. Did you think you were like him on that day?
A. No, no, no, no, that was just my -- I'm trying to describe my -- I feel so fearful and I am just -- I mean and I am only dealing with, let's say, small fry compared to what he was dealing with. That's my point, I'm going, how could he, how could -- I'd say I assume I probably saw the film Valkyrie or something in and around that time. I mean it just stood out.
273 Q. Did you see yourself, therefore, in what you were thinking of doing at the time as taking down people?
A. Well, no.

274 Q. Is that what you were contemplating?
A. Ah no, no, I knew, I mean this was in -- where are we? April/may. I had a good idea, I would have known from the history of Garda whistleblowers that I was going to have to take on, I would have been taking on Garda management in the end, that was my view. That at some point it's going to end up with -- I will be in a face off with cabal at some period. As I say, this core group, not everybody, a core group of senior officers, is how I describe it.
Q. Garda Keogh, would you just help the Chairman on this point as well please, when you use the word paranoid,
your word, what symptoms did you feel at the time? were you angry?
A. No. Phones, I am switching phones from 2013 I think, whenever -- whenever -- early 2014, late '13 to early '14, I start switching phones and phone numbers, SIM cards, the whole lot, just to avoid any possible monitoring or anything. Because $I$ knew, if there was any inclination that they would have known or suspected what I was up to, that I would have been shutdown immediately.
Q. Would you agree with me, you hadn't told anyone in An Garda Síochána that you were thinking of making any kind of statement?
A. I have to break confidence, you see, at certain times. So, by April '14 I have told certain members of An Garda Síochána. Can I ask you again to assist the Chair, were you drinking at this time?
A. In general, I'm not sure. It's in my diaries. I write actually in when I'm drinking.
Q. Yes.
A. So I wasn't drinking that week anyway.
Q. And again, we will come back to this later on, but elsewhere in your diaries you make reference to taking Xanax, was that a drug that had been prescribed for you?
A. Yes, prescribed. I am still prescribed with xanax.
Q. Were you advised by your doctors to take Xanax and to drink alcohol at the same time?
A. No, definitely not. My doctor stopped giving me Xanax because I had told him, I told him when I was trying to stop drinking one time, oh -- actually, I remember I think the first time I took Xanax with alcohol, I remember reading on the label it had "do not use machi nery and do not use with al cohol ", and I suppose curiosity has to kill the cat with me. I said, I wonder what's that like and took Xanax with drink and that was the first time $I$ took it.

281 Q. Can I ask that you to be shown document 1338, please? Sorry, Chairman, I've got the wrong document there at the moment. Yes. Thank you. Just at the top right-hand corner, this is an entry for November 2015? CHA RMAN And the number of this, Mr. Murphy, do you have that?

MR. MRPHY: I think it should be 13383.
CHAN RMAN Thank you.
MR. KELLY: 383?
282 Q. MR. MRPHY: Just by way of example, to help the Chairman understand the recording that you put down, this is a week starting 16th November 2015, and the entries from left to right proceed to say:
"Si ck drink sick drink sick drink."

On the 19th it says:
"St opped dri nking. Noti ced about 20 Xanax were gone. Onl y drank six..."

Is that glasses or bottles of wine?
A. Oh that would be bottles.

283 Q.
"...bottles of wi ne. Seven cans over four days.
12:41
Struggling to cope."
A. I was struggling to cope, I mean.
Q. I think you very fairly indicated that you realised or your doctors realised that to take these two in
combination, that's to say alcohol and Xanax is not good for you, to put it mildly?
A. Do you remember here, we're into November 2015.

285 Q. Yes.
A. I'm on my last legs within An Garda Síochána. I know 12:41 I'm going out at this stage. Because I go sick permanently on the 26th of -- the 26th of -- the next month, December, 2015, I'm gone. I am on my way out and I know that at this stage. Like, I know -- I think I said last week, I knew I was going to enter into the 12:42 next stage of this, which was going to be into the siege warfare stage, you know, where I'm gone and I'm going to be cut off from my colleagues and my wages are obviously at that time under attack. That's it. My diary notes explain it.
286 Q. Just to assist the Chairman in that regard, I picked up the impression in the last few days that you were of the belief that you stepped out of work in December 2015 voluntarily. I have to suggest to you that's
incorrect, that in fact the reason you stood down at that stage was because the CMO took the view that you were unfit for work; isn't that right?
A. We11, no. Well -- well -- I have read that, but there's a problem there. Can you just -- can you just 12:43 tell me when that decision was made?

287 Q. Yes. December 2015, on the 18th. I wonder if you could be shown, please, document 3792?
A. Okay. Sorry, just that date again, December?

288
Q. 2015.
A. Yeah, the date, please?

289 Q. The 18th.
A. Okay, the 18th. Well, you see, I have to say I'm still working on the 21st December. I have not been informed that I'm not fit for duty at that stage, so $I$ have to dispute that. I wasn't informed and I was on duty on the 21st December, 9pm to 7am.

290 Q. Would you mind please turning back your diary to the 17 th, or the 18th?
A. Yeah.

291 Q. That page reference, Chairman, is 13342. So there I think it details a meeting at 11am between yourself and the CMO?
A. Yes.

292 Q. "He showed me my sick record."
A. Yes. Where I was marked out with flu.

293 Q. Yes?
A. That's the discussion where I say, sure I'm -- no, I think from recollection he said, why aren't you out of work? Because I at this stage bring a load of documents up, and I said, look, this is happening, that's happening, and he said, why aren't you out with work related stress. I said, I am. He then went and showed me his records and it was viral flu for all those sick entries, they were all marked viral flu. Garda Keogh, that's a separate issue, which I will come back to, I promise you, later on. But in terms of at this stage, what I want to do is to bring to your attention and to the Chairman's is that -- if you could be shown, please, document 3792. These are the notes taken by Dr. Oghuvbu. They start on the previous page. It's 3791. Just can I draw your attention just to the 12:45 heading:
"Notes and recommendations."

The middle of the page? So:
"Si nce last seen, further periods of short-term absences. Some of concern highlighted. 4/7 July had forgotten he was mistaken after calling in of fick from 10/7/2015. Attri butes this to drinking while off and takes Xanax with al cohol on the 4/7/ 2015. "

Sorry, probably, Chairman, on 9/7/2015
CHA RMAN Yes, that's right.
Q. MR. MRPHY: So that's the history that Dr. Oghuvbu recorded as having received by you. If you turn over to the next page, please, to 3792. If you take, please, the fourth bullet-point. Scroll down, please. Yes, that's it "di scussed! It says there:
"Di scussed how we progress from here and agreed, must engage with the treat ment interventions as requi red by hi s GP and..."

I think it's:
"... punctually with GP. Continued to engage with EAB supports. RTW will be supported depending on GP i ntervention, i mportance of compl i ance restated. "

Then the next one:
"Agreed temporarily unfit to attend work pending re- exami nation by GP."

So ultimately, in that situation, there is effectively a review by management of the position on 3646, please. You will see in the central column:
"Observations by the occupational health observations and actions. Menbers condition appears to have taken a turn for the worst, whi ch wasn't apparent at the time of the CMO s last revi ew on 19th May 2015. Necessary
that the menber will engage with support services offered to him The menber should be booked into a treat ment facility to hel p himrehabilitate. "

Those entries, Garda Keogh, I think suggest, and I think there shouldn't be any disagreement between you and I at this point, that at that time, at the end of 2015, the CMO's view was that the treatment you needed was rehabilitation in relation to alcohol and at that stage, with regret, he saw that you were unfit for work 12:48 for that reason. Isn't that the case?
A. I can't agree with you because he didn't say that to me. Because like, I was in work on -- like my note on the 21st December, as I said, I'm on duty on the 21st December. So, no, I have got no notice, he didn't actually say it to me at the time and I haven't got a notice of that. Then I go into work and it's:
" 12 midday, post at home from Chi ef Super Wheat ley reaffirming breach of discipline. Fined 300 . Mbre post in locker for me. All rubbi sh stuff."

That would have been my work locker at work. So, you know, management are in that period of time putting me under a lot of pressure. And, as I said, I know myself ${ }_{\text {12:49 }}$ I'm on my last days in this period of time. I know the game is up. So, I went sick myself on the 26th December 2015 and I was never informed between that meeting and this meeting that Dr. Oghuvbu had marked me
out on the 18th December. So I can't agree with that. 296 Q. You see, Garda Keogh, this is one example, and there will be others, where $I$ have to suggest to you that reality and your perception of reality differ. So here is an example where a decision has been taken in your best interests by the CMO, with result, but today you still take the view that this was somehow your choice and that you were dictating events. I have to suggest to you, with respect, that is effectively a false perception on your part of reality?
A. Look, I don't know. All I can say is the CMO didn't -I have no recollection of and I didn't take -- no, there was no -- from my recollection, the CMO touches base with my doctor then, I think to sign a thing to allow him to discuss welfare, because of both doctors, they discuss it, one is the Garda doctor and one is my doctor. But $I$ have got no notification to say $I$ am sick, that I'm not fit for duty. I wouldn't have gone in on the 21st. At that stage, I mean I would have given anything not to have gone in, even that one day towards the end. Like that was dreadful. And I mean, the other thing is, yesterday I'm accused of being a mastermind running media campaigns with TDs and the media and I'm some sort of mastermind, and today we're onto this, that $I$ can't function. So, it's just, I'm not sure which is worse. Anyway.
297 Q. Garda Keogh, the point I'm seeking to make to you is that the record will show that you had a problem, which you do accept you had, and that problem was one of
alcoholism?
A. I don't dispute that.
Q. Fine.
A. I am not disputing that.

299 Q. I wil1 return to Dr. Oghuvbu at one of the 1ater issues, but can we go back to 2014, back to your diary please at page 13252?
A. What date? Sorry, what date is that?
Q. This is 10th April 2014, the von Stauffenberg reference?
A. okay.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Just one moment.

302 Q. Now, I think you wi 11 agree with me, Garda Keogh, that like von Stauffenberg, at this stage even you were not 12:52 alone, there were other people who were helping you, isn't that right? You had I think referred to a few days ago a circle of trust that you had?
A. Yeah.

303 Q. So if we just take that period in 2014, in April 2014 who was in the circle of trust, your circle of trust?
A. We11, in April of '14, I don't know, I'm trying to think. I mean, a circle of trust, when I say that, it's not a thing where there's a document. I mean this is -- I can't answer, in that period.
304 Q. To elaborate on your phrase, would you agree that Mr. John Wilson was one of the people who was helping you at that time?
A. Oh yes.

305 Q. Would you agree that Mr. Ming Flanagan was somebody who was helping you at that time?
A. Yes.
Q. They both feature in the diary?
A. Yes.
A. Yeah.

308 Q. And then underneath that it says:
"Dái I 30/4/2014. "
A. Yeah.

309 Q. Does that reflect a visit by you to the Dái 1 to meet Mr. Flanagan with Mr. Wilson?
A. That's the time where we tried to go to GSOC and where John wilson and Luke Ming -- John Wilson is a retired guard at this stage, where they basically try to make the complaint to GSOC and GSOC don't -- I'm up in Dublin with them, waiting to called in as a witness should GSOC take the complaint, but GSOC say that because the complaint emanated from a guard under the old Act, they couldn't take the complaint. That's the day then Luke Ming Flanagan has to change his speech in the Dáil at leader's questions with Enda Kenny. The
only article I recall, which was interesting at the time, I can't remember the name of the journalist, he wrote an article, "Hilarity is no Laughing Matter". It was to do with Enda Kenny accused Luke Ming Flanagan, he made a smart comment, which I understand he withdrew, and I remember that incident well. so by this date you have sworn an affidavit, is that right?
A. Sorry, did you say by mistake.

I'm sorry.
CHA RMAN By this date. Mr. Murphy, there is a confusion. Mr. Murphy said, by this date.
WTNESS: oh, sorry.
CHA RMAN No, no, it's all right, it's just a mishearing, you had sworn an affidavit.
WTNESS: Yes.
CHA RMAN That's the question you were asking. WTNESS: Yes.
312 Q. MR. MRPH: Is that the affidavit which was your first affidavit in this controversy?
A. Yes.

313 Q. We will come back to that.
A. That was signed in Tullamore.

314 Q. So, on 30/4/2014, did you have your affidavit with you at the Dáil, to show it to Mr. Flanagan?
A. Sorry, just one second.

315 Q. Sure.
A. On the 30th of which? we're on to which?

316 Q. April.
A. April.

317 Q. Just below the reference to "affidavit"?
A. I mean, I have no note, I can't remember whether I had it with me or not.

318 Q. Okay. If you turn then over to the following page, which is 13255.
A. Just the date, please?

319 Q. The date is the 8th May.
A. The 8th May. Yeah.

320 Q. This is the date where it says:
A. Yeah.

321 Q. And then what's the next entry please?
A.
"Wile I meet with Judge Pat McMahon."

322 Q. Just before that, on the left-hand column, it says:
"TV3. . ."

Can you read that?
A. I'm looking for it.

323 Q. On the 7th?
A. Oh, that's on the 7th. Yeah. Yes, that is a separate matter, Judge. Yes.

324 Q. Right. Just below that you see:
"Wilson meet M ng."
what does the rest of that say, please?
A.
"Wil son meet M ng, i nvoke Section 62 re Shatter, he resi gns."

Judge, this is something -- just for clarification, Judge. Alan Shatter, all what was happening in his career emanates from when senior Garda officers lied to 12:57 him. There was a period of time where he was believing these senior officers -- and this is a separate matter that really shouldn't -- I'd love to meet Minister Shatter or former Minister Shatter at some point about this and I don't think it would be fair, Judge, for him 12:57 to be asked that without me speaking to him first

325 Q. Garda Keogh, can we summarise it is in this way: By this date you had been named in the Dáil as a person who has made a protected disclosure?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. You were aware, were you not, that you did not have to be named in the Dáil?
A. Yes. But to clarify that, as Deputy Flanagan said, like that was for -- I think -- he said, it is for your protection because they'11 go after you.

327 Q. You told us about other advisers prior to that time, including a solicitor. Did you go and seek advice from them as to whether you should have your name published in the Dáil?
A. No, I didn't seek legal advice regarding that, no.

## Q. Yes.

A. Because I didn't want my name to be -- look, I used to be a private person and that. But em, I didn't want my name, but he said, look, they're going to come after you, it's for your protection if you are named, and that is on a record somewhere, it'11 be harder for them to go after you.
Q. Did you speak to your GRA representative as to whether it was a good idea for you to be named at this stage?
A. No, no, no. I pulled away from the GRA prior to the this, you see. I wouldn't have been able to trust the GRA, just to do with certain persons that were in the GRA in that, the area at the time.
Q. It's a matter entirely for the Chairman entirely to decide, but it is open on one view of these facts to conclude that you were quite happy to have your name pub7ished?
A. Well, look, at the original time $I$ don't recollect that was the way.

333 Q. At the time, like Mr. von Stauffenberg, you believed that you had a mission to achieve?
A. I don't think Mr. von Stauffenberg announced his name now before he was going to carry the briefcase into the wolf's layer.
Garda Keogh, in terms of the attitude that you adopted on that occasion, because of that concession by you, your name became known publicly straightaway?
A. It became public.
Q. Yes. Do you understand it need not have been made public at that time?
A. I don't know, I'm not sure. I don't think -- I think in hindsight now, if I wasn't named public I mightn't be sitting here, I could be in some jail somewhere for something. I'm not so sure about that.
Q. And again in terms of this protected disclosure that you were making, did you consider that this was something which made you very important?
A. Well, you see, I would be -- I would know the history of the Guards from, I mean, the foundation of the State and everything.
A. Well, obviously I know what's happening to John wilson and Maurice McCabe.

CHA RMAN Right. I see. When you mentioned the foundation of the State, sorry, you misled me when you said the foundation of the State?
A. Oh yeah, even the history of that, like the history of the Guards.

CHA RMAN No, no, I see. I do understand your point. okay.
A.
$\square$
A. was going to Europe, he then handed me over to Deputies Wallace and Daly. whatever time period that was. CHA RMAK Okay. We will take a break there. MR. MRPHY: Yes, Chairman.
CHA RMAK If that is a convenient time. It sounds like a convenient time, with the handover from Deputy Flanagan and so on. Thank you very much. Very good.
THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED, ..... AS
FOLLOVS:

341 Q. MR. MRPHY: Thank you, Chairman. Garda Keogh, just before lunch I think I was asking you when you could recall meeting with Deputy Daly, Clare Daly, and Mick wallace. Just to help you, I wonder could be shown 13287, please. Could I just draw your attention to the top right-hand corner? I think it's 18th December 2014. Please take a moment, if you would, just to check that in your own diary. Do you see at the top of

it, it says:
"Meet Cl are Daly and Mck Wallace. "

Could you just perhaps read the balance of it, which is 14:01 not very clear on my note.
A. Yeah.

342 Q. Please.
A. They had, now it was one glass of wine, just from recollection.
"They had wi ne. The smell of it was unnat ural to me, like a bl oodhound. "
oh
"I' m deepl y patriotic to Eire. My greatest hero is PH Pearse, Cl aus von Stauffenberg."

Do you want me to read it all?
343 Q. Well, in fact, if I can help with the latter part, I think it says:
"My greatest hero is PH Pearse, Cl aus von Stauffenberg and I will soon meet their fate. Drinking now 8: 30pm " 14:01
A. Yes, well their fate didn't end too well, unfortunately.
344 Q. Garda Keogh, isn't it the case that that entry refers
to several different things, first of all meeting at 8 pm with Clare Daly and with Mick wallace?
A. That's correct, yeah.

And your description of their drinking wine, and then a reflection on your part about what you thought your fate might be, connected to Stauffenberg and Pearse. so, help me to identify, was that one of the earliest meetings you had with Ms. Daly and Mr. Wallace, or would you have met them before?
A. No, I actually met them in the Dáil, it was time I was working -- it was with Deputy Luke Ming Flanagan. I went up to the call with him. I had come off nights. So I had just finished nights. I was actually trying to sleep on the ground in an office in the Dáil. I think the first time I met them -- like I was on the ground with just a jacket under my head, just trying to sleep, because I was on night work and then had to come up to Dublin for whatever the incident was. But I mean it was just hello, hello and that, I think, just from recollection. There was no -- I was half asleep and I can't -- there's no -- it was Deputy Flanagan that I was dealing with at that time, so...
346 Q. And in addition, I think in the entries that follow, we don't need to deal with them all here today, but we may cover them when dealing with issues affecting the promotion of Superintendent Murray. Could I ask you to turn, please, to page 13311? That's an entry on 13th May of 2015. Just on the left-hand side, I think if I could read this to you, you might just confirm I am
right. It says:
"Si ck. Cl are Dal y and Mck Vallace called to the house to tell me not to give up. CD..."

Presumably that's Ms. Daly.
"Don't worry, we will get themin the end."
A. Yes, I remember that.

347 Q. To whom was she referring when you and she would get them in the end?
A. It wasn't you and she, it wasn't like that. I wasn't in a great place at the time, Judge. She just gave me a bit of encouragement. She put her hand of my shoulder and she just said, don't worry, we'11 get them in the end, like that. That was the way it was.
348 Q. Is it the case then, can the Chairman can take it that the agreed view of you and your circle of trust at the time was to try and get certain people?
A. Certain people, as in the cabal, like them is Garda management. As I said, there's a whole series of events going on in -- this is what year, '14 or '15, to do with Sergeant McCabe in particular.
349 Q. Is the word cabal your word or a word from one of the others in the circle of trust?
A. That would be my word. I wrote it in a letter to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy at some point at the start. I remember writing the word, because I remember
a little thing came up with the spelling of the word. Apparently it can be spelt with a C and a K.
350 Q. Would you agree with me, at this point this language suggests that certainly by this date your priorities had moved on from having a criminal investigation into matters which are the subject of a protected disclosure to a new thing, which was to get people?
A. No, no, I don't. Because at this stage -- just -- can we see the top of the page, just for the date? Yes, May 2015?
A. May '15. I'm trying to think. Oh, May '15, like there's a whole -- at that period there's -- what I would say is, the Guards already embarked on a cover up. And then there's -- I am under serious pressure from Garda management at the same time, you know, it's a parallel thing.

And again, I will come back to each of those points you have make in due course in the correct issues. But just in general terms, was Garda Fergal Greene a member of your circle of trust as well for a while?
A. Garda Fergal Greene. Basically, Judge, Garda Fergal Green was the person who, when I broke trust first within the ranks of An Garda Síochána, to my memory. And I had to do that because he was in the storeroom where the particular DVD that I had to get -- I was going nowhere until I got the, as I said, primary piece of evidence. So I had to break trust with Fergal, or confide in him, sorry, is the right word. I had to say, look, this is the story, I have to do this, I need
to get that and I need it to be done above board, how will we do it. He said, because you're the investigating officer, you're entitled to sign out, sign out that, at the time, video, which I did, and then when I was finished with it, I got it copied onto DVDs, signed it back in accordingly. So, I was going nowhere without Garda Greene, Judge, my complaint or none of this was going anywhere without Garda Greene.
Q. I wonder can be shown page 13305, please. This is one of your diary entries for April, sorry March and April 2005?
A. 2000 and.
Q. '15, sorry Can I ask you to look at the bottom left-hand entry, the very bottom of the left-hand side of the page. It says:
"FG rang to say I betrayed himand he is pulling out."
A. Yes.
Q. So is that the divergence between you to which you referred earlier?
A. No, no, this is a separate matter. This is a separate thing.
356 Q. Right.
A. Judge, I betrayed -- well, betrayed -- yes, I did to explain to him, I did it for the right reason and I used -- I distinctly remember using the example of when winston Churchill had to sink the French fleet in the

Mediterranean, world war II, that was the example that I used. Although they were allies and all the rest, Churchill under the -- I based a lot of stuff to do with historical events, Judge, and where mistakes were made in the past, and that's why. So, it was under a scenario like that, that $I$ had felt I did something for the right reason and I had to go back to Fergal to say, look, I am sorry about this, but I have done something. He was aware of that and that was that scenario.
357 Q. But up to that time or thereabouts, the Chairman can take it that Fergal Green was a friend of yours?
A. Yes.
Q.
A. Yes. Seeking to expose criminality, I mean, yes.

359 Q. Well, did he meet with Deputy wallace and Deputy Daly?
A. No, I don't think so.

360 Q. Just looking at the top of that page, you make reference to a meeting in Dublin at 12:45 on 13th March 2015. Again, that's with Mr. Wallace and Ms. Daly, and I think with Sergeant McCabe, is that Sergeant Maurice McCabe?
A. Yes.

361 Q. I think you said that's your first meeting with him?
A. Yes. Just one second.

362 Q. Sure.
A. I am just back with my own diaries there. 2nd April, the same thing. Yeah. Okay. what date?
Q. I believe it's 13th March and it's your diary. Left-hand column.
A. Okay. Yes.
Q. Do you see the next line:
"Have to double cross FG, like Churchill si nking the French fleet."
A. Well, I have just actually gone into that. or whatever. It's in that period, time period.
A. I don't know, I don't know if we had a meeting before, I'm not sure. Like, I can't recall, if that's the day I think this morning you said to us that you thought you hadn't met Assistant Commissioner Fanning. I just want to help you in that regard. Can you look, please, at page 13335?
A. Excuse me, I never said I never met with Assistant Commissioner Fanning.
Q. Well again, perhaps we can review the record, but are you saying to the Chairman that you have?
A. I have, I have met Assistant Commissioner Fanning twice, Judge.
369 Q. Well, this looks like it may have been the first visit?
A. Correct.

370
Q. Can I ask you, please, to look at the page that I
mentioned, 13335. On the right-hand side of the page there is an entry --
A. Just please.
Q. 30th November 2015?
A. Thank you.

372 Q. Do you see that? So it seems to suggest that you were on duty in the station in Ath1one as PO; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you like to read to the Chairman what comes next?
A. Sorry, 30th November 2015?
Q. Yes.
A.
"KH gets word St ate are wi thdrawing everything agai nst hi m"

Sorry, I think we may be at cross purposes. What I am looking at, please, is page 13335. Thank you. Just on the middle of the page there:
" 5 pm PO. "

MR. KELLY: Sorry, Chairman.
CHAL RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: The witness is working off his original
diary.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: Mr. Murphy is working off this.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: Perhaps if he would give the date clearly
to the witness.
CHA RMAN Yes. It's Friday, 30th November 2015. Make sure it's 2015. Get your diary for 2015.

MR. MRPHY: It may be the 3rd October, Chairman.
CHA RMAN We11, it says November at the top. I am sorry, I see. Sorry, it's October going into November.

MR. MRPHY: Yes, Chairman.
CHA RMAN So it's actually the 30th October, because the next day on the diary is November, do you understand.

WTNESS: Yes, yes.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Do you see that? WTNESS: Yeah.
Q.

MR. MRPHY: Garda Keogh, does it say:
"9pm AC FF came into the station."

Is that Fintan Fanning?
A. Yes.

377 Q. "He sai d he knew who I was even though we never met."
A. Yes.

378 Q.
"He says he appreci ates what I' m doing, we coul dn't really tal k. "

Is that right?
A. That's correct.
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Q. okay. Can I ask you then to be shown page 13373. And this should be an entry around 1st January 2018.
A. Right.
Q. Do you see the opening part, again perhaps you might just help us to understand. If I am right in this, please say so; if I am wrong, please correct me. It says:
"Entering into the fourth year of this SHIT, things turning sl owly. New allies. D Tayl or, F fanning,

Could I ask you just to confirm for the Chairman that at that stage you considered people to be allies to incorporate David Taylor, who I think was the former press secretary of An Garda Síochána?
A. Yes.

381 Q. Assistant Commissioner Fanning and Mr. John Barrett?
A. Yes. And by that, when I say allies, I mean it's -- I see the way I have it worded, but for me it's very
simple. You're enemy's enemy is your friend.
382 Q. Had you met with Mr. Taylor at any stage?
A. Not at that stage, I don't think so.

383 Q. Did you receive any telephone calls or information from Mr. Taylor when he was still working?
A. Em, I would have. I would have had some contact with him.

384 Q. We might come back to that. Could I ask you to turn forward to 13376, please? Do you see on 27th January

2018, there is a reference to a phone call:
" DT. "

Is that David Taylor or is that somebody else?
A. Em, just one second.
Q. Sorry, third line.
A. I see it. Just give me a second.
Q. Sure.
A. Yes. The full entry is:
"Noi rín in Tribunal, she supported all whi stlebl owers. DT rang..."

That is David Taylor
Q. That is David Taylor?
A. Yes.

388 Q. Again, we may come back to this, but just so the Chairman understands, at that stage was David Taylor prone to ringing you on regular basis while he was still working at Garda headquarters?
A. No, not regular basis, no. How that starts I think is just, whenever he comes forward, I, just from recollection, just ring to say, look -- to say, look, I just want to make contact to say I wish you the best. It started off just simple there.
389 Q. Just looking again at that entry for a moment, if you would please. Do you see it says:
" DT. . ."

David Taylor.
"...rang. Drug sei zures a few years ago in Athlone, drugs missing and warrant F Fan onto it."

Does that refer to Fintan fanning?
A. It is. It's to do with the 2012 drugs seizure that was in the documents there, that was very curiously, very curiously investigated and, of course, was never put in with the main investigation which I originally reported.
MR. MEGU NESS: Chairman, I wonder could I just
intervene. Because it's not clear to me how the last
few questions relating to these entries and with the answer that it's provoking is relevant to our inquiries.
CHA RMAN well, that thought is occurring to me, but I am reluctant to --
MR. MEGU NESS: I am just concerned, chairman, that it might cause, as it were, some parties to attempt to go on and have examination that aren't directly relevant at all.
CHAI RMAN What do you say to that, Mr. Murphy?
MR. MRPHY: Chairman, I will pass from that the substance of that communication quid pro tem and perhaps I can address you later. What I am eliciting from this is the fact of the communication.

CHAI RMAN I understand. Let me say this: I am conscious as you are exploring these things, Mr. Murphy, I am conscious of the limitations of my function. while Garda Keogh, indeed, maybe the Gardaí, anybody may wish it to be otherwise, I might wish it to 14:18 be, not that $I$ do or don't, but I am limited to that. So look, for the moment, if and insofar as you maintain that a line of cross-examination that explores factual matters is relevant to the Tribunal or otherwise permissible, maybe you would address us on that or deal with it in some shape or form and alert Mr. McGuinness to it and Mr. Kelly, so we know where we're going and we can have a discussion, and any other relevant party.
MR. MRPHY: Yes, Chairman.
CHA RMAN okay. Thank you very much.
390 Q. MR. MRPHY: Moving very briefly then thereafter, could I ask you to see page 13389.
A. Yeah.

391 Q. This is an entry for May 2018.
CHAN RMAN I think that certain1y comes under Mr. McGuinness's concern, Mr. Murphy. I think we can leave that until a later stage.
MR. MRPH: May it please you, Chairman.
CHA RMAN A11 right.
392 Q. MR. MRPHY: So in effect, Garda Keogh, I think we will agree, as you did at the outset, that when it came to pursuing the issues that you have raised in 2014, you are not alone, you agree with that?
A. No. Not in '14. In '14 -- you see this is what --
this emanates years later, Judge. None of these guys -- I've never heard -- as I stated even to do with the $H R$ incident, I wouldn't give my statement to HR because I didn't trust them. Like Commissioner Fanning is the head of $H R$ at the time. I didn't know anything, 14:19 him or anything about him. The same with Superintendent Taylor, John Barrett. I knew none -- I never heard of any of these people. It's only years later and as things move in a certain direction.
CHA RMAN Mr. Murphy is suggesting, as I understand 14:20 it, and I am making no declaration as to materiality to this inquiry, but Mr. Murphy is suggesting, as I understand it, that from an early point you were associated with Mr. Flanagan and then Ms. Daly and mr. Wallace.
A. Yes.

393 Q. CHA RMAN And then as events unfolded, as I understand it, he's making the point that other people, so to speak, came on board in a general way, in support of your claims, allegations, your case, I am trying to be neutral. That's basically what Mr. Murphy is suggesting?
A. It's fairly right. But even on that, each one, it's sort of different with -- it's more -- it's fairly right. But just to point out, Judge, like these notes, 14:21 I'm hiding nothing in these notes, they're there for the Tribunal.

394 Q. CHAI RMAN Mr. Murphy hasn't suggested that you have been hiding something?
A. Oh no, no.

MR. MRPHY: Thank you. Chairman, if I can change direction and move back to 2014. Could I ask if the witness could be shown page 3900 and succeeding pages, which is the statement of Detective Superintendent Declan Mulcahy.

CHA RMAN Thank you.
MR. MRPHY: Garda Keogh, before we come to deal with each of the individual issues, $I$ think you will agree with me that your evidence so far in the Tribunal has indicated two things; you're not making any complaint against Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, is that right?

398 Q. I think second7y, correct me if I am wrong, but I think you have indicated that whereas you were slightly wary from the beginning, that he developed trust with you
and that you felt he was a tenacious investigator?
A. The trust went both ways. I trusted him towards the end as well, just for clarification.
Q. Thank you.
A. Yeah.

400 Q. He will he say in the course of his evidence that he and his team worked extremely hard to follow up the leads which you had given them?
A. Yeah. Now, as I have said, it did take him a bit of them to get into it but they -- but there's no doubt, no doubt -- I said that clearly at some stage.

401 Q. Again, Garda Keogh, if you just bear with me for a moment. You will understand the Chairman has insisted that I will put to you what witnesses say. So I will try and do that in as simple way as possible, perhaps using the statement for that reason.

There is also a separate reason $I$ want to just take you through, because here is somebody against whom you have no complaint. I want to help you at this stage, with the benefit of hindsight, to look back at what it was like for him to have to deal with you at that time. So, if we just take it in stages. On the first page, on the 15th May, he will say that he and Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin were briefed with Inspector Michael Coppinger of the facts surrounding the report. He effectively then was assigned to the investigation. He then says on the 30th May he was advised by Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin, that he had arranged
for you to meet with them on the 7th June?
A. Yes, that's correct.

402 Q. Did you go with him and meet with him, on the 7th June?
A. The 7th June was my first time to meet with Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin and Detective Superintendent Mulcahy.
Q. Again, I will move through this as swiftly as I ask, Garda Keogh, just to help the Tribuna1. But effectively he says at this meeting you outlined your concerns that were contained in your affidavit of the 7th May, is that right?
A. 8th May.
Q. And that at the meeting you expanded on the affidavit and brought up other issues and he recorded rough notes of that meeting?
A. Yes, and the thing -- the main thing was the collusion complaint.
405 Q. Again, I think the next item is that he met you on 11th June 2014 and he was in the company of Detective Inspector Coppinger?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that meeting took place at your home?
A. Yes.

407 Q. Again, the purpose or the exercise that day was to record in writing your formal statement of complaint?
A. Yes.

408 Q. Then that statement was effectively -- work on that statement was suspended and was resumed on the 13th June, and again at your home to facilitate you?
A. Yes. The last one was in Oranmore.

409 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

410 Q. Again, I think there is no dispute between us, but again this continued onto 18th June, Oranmore. The statement was concluded in the evening. It was read over to you and you signed it?
A. Yes.

411 Q. He and Detective Inspector Coppinger witnessed that signature?
A. Yes.

412 Q. In addition, he will say that in the course of making your statement you handed over to Detective Inspector Coppinger a number of exhibits and you were later provided with a typed copy of your statement?
A. Yes.

413 Q. On the 13th June, he then says that he met with Garda A at Athlone Garda Station and he handed over to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy the official date mobile phone that he had in his possession and he also wil1 say evidence that he had previously, on $10 / 6 / 2014$, made a request to Superintendent McBrien to seize the phone. I think there's no dispute about that either, is there?
A. I wouldn't have known, you see, about that part of it.

414 Q. Okay. But thus far, Garda Keogh, would you agree that that indicates a fairly prompt investigation by him and by his team; they met with you at least three times?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes.
A. Oh yes. But -- yes, but like we're still -- the first meeting is on the 7th June and I made the disclosure on the 8th May. I thought, like, I thought when I went -I wasn't sure, you see, how it would work, how -- I didn't think it would take the whole month. Garda Keogh, I'm not seeking to blame you at all for this, I am just simply putting before you what the witnesses will say, because it is will be a matter for the Chair to decide whether there is any merit, any criticism of them, which I say there isn't. But if we move then, please, further on to page 3901. Detective Superintendent Mulcahy will say when the official phone was taken possession of from Garda A, he arranged for it to be analysed by Garda Garry walsh, who is trained as telephone liaison officer. Having completed this, it was found it didn't contain any text messages, nor did it contain any contact details for any person named Ms. B, to whom you made reference?
A. You're speaking very quick.

417 Q. I am referring to the top, right-hand side of the page?
A. Okay. Yeah, as I said, I have already said, my understanding is the phone was wiped.
418 Q. You don't dispute that that's what took place, do you?
A. With Superintendent Mulcahy meeting with Barry Walsh and giving -

419 Q. Yes.
A. Oh I can't dispute that, no.
Q. Would you agree with me that's indicative of a standard
eye level professional examination of exhibits, standard practice?
A. I have always said from the start of this, a lot of the stuff in that investigation was done very thorough.
421 Q. Again, if we can just speed along, I don't want to delay the Tribunal on this unnecessarily, but he goes on to confirm in the next few sentences that in order to establish the full picture of contact, he sought the call related data from the service provider.
A. I would accept that, yes.

422 Q. He will say that some of the data could not be provided for the relevant period due to the fact that it was outside of the timeframe that the service providers are required to retain data, which is a period of two years?
A. I understand that.

423 Q. I am sure you have come across that in your investigative experience as well?
A. Yes.

424 Q. He will also say that he took possession of Garda A's
official notebook and he arranged for a forensic examination of that notebook. Again, I think you will agree it's good police work?
A. oh yeah.

425 Q. This was dealt with by Detective Garda John Leonard of the handwriting section. He says that during the examination nothing of an evidential nature was uncovered?
A. Again, I have no issue with anything that has been
Q. In the following paragraphs he will say that he went to Athlone Garda Station on four different dates between 10/6/2014 and 6/8/2014 and Detective Inspector Coppinger took possession of a number of exhibits which he documented. Then, I think on the 26/6/2014, he went with Detective Inspector Coppinger to Ath1one Garda Station, where he met with now Inspector Curley but then Detective Sergeant Curley. Detective Sergeant Curley provided him with a key to a locked store close to the D/Branch office, which contained operation Loki files. Detective Coppinger carried out a search of the store based on the information supplied by you, where he believes there may have been a collection of stolen DVDs in the store, but he confirms that no evidence in support of that claim was found.
A. I accept what you are saying there, but there was stolen DVDs and there was commercial opium, as I have already said. I understand --
427 Q. Again, Garda Keogh, to be fair to you, this is probably 14:30 one of these examples where you have a belief or a suspicion, but when the investigators go to check, they don't find evidence?
A. This is one -- where are we, we're into -- what date is this?
428 Q. This is 26th June 2014.
A. June, yeah, like it's a good deal after from the 8th May.
429 Q. But in addition, they don't just stop there, he wil1
also say that they contacted Chief Superintendent Mark Curran in respect of the store and his knowledge of that and he said that he had no knowledge of it or hadn't searched it or cleared it out, as had been
alleged by you.
A. If I alleged that Mark Curran cleared it out, I take that -- withdraw that, if I alleged that chief Superintendent Curran cleared it out. That would be totally wrong, just to clarify that. I don't believe Chief Superintendent Curran -- no, I believe it was cleared ought all right but...
430 Q. So you're not making any point against Chief Superintendent Curran on that issue?
A. Not for clearing out, no, no, no, definitely not, no.

431 Q. Then to touch on a different issue. You see on 23/9/2014, there was a meeting between Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin, Chief Superintendent Mark Curran and Superintendent McBrien in Dublin. Their discussion took place about the possible suspension of Garda A. It was agreed there was no local or other issues to justify the suspension at that time because neither the chief superintendent nor the superintendent had received any complaint regarding Garda A following the commencement of the investigation or the visit to Athlone.

Just on that point, I think you would accept, would you not, that that indicates that Superintendent McBrien was of the same view, doesn't it?
A. Sorry?
A. In relation to this matter.
okay. Just the next page I would like to refer to is your evidence in relation to communications. Could I ask you to see page 3902 please. Again, these things could have happened, Garda Keogh, but he will say that having attempted to contact people on several occasions on the number provided by the reporter, that's by you,
that the number appeared to be disconnected. And then, you advised him that the person you had mentioned had changed his number; is that correct?
A. I'm sorry. You talk very fast and perhaps I am a bit slow, but sorry...
To avoid wasting time on this but just to say -CHA RMAN Take your time, Mr. Murphy. I have to say, I have some sympathy with Garda Keogh. I know it's in the interest of efficiency.
MR. MRPHY: Yes.
CHA RMAN And I note there's another thing, when you have something up on the screen, you don't want to waste time by reading it when we can all read it.

MR. MRPHY: Yes.
CHA RMAN I understand that perfectly. But if you could speak a little more slowly.

MR. MRPHY: Certainly, Chairman.
CHA RMAN I think that would be -- I know that Garda Keogh would appreciate that and, I have to confess, that I'11 go on those coattails.
439 Q. MR. MRPHY: Garda Keogh, I think you see in the top of that statement there is a reference to the attempts made by Detective Superintendent Mulcahy to make contact?
A. Yes.

440 Q. I think you have seen the statement before, do you disagree with it in any way?
A. Em, just -- disagree with which?

441 Q. Do you disagree that these steps were taken by

Detective Superintendent Mulcahy?
A. Oh no. I don't disagree with...
Q. Do you see, at the end of that paragraph, that Detective Superintendent Mulcahy will say:
"The person we spoke to wasn't sure how the process wor ked. "
A. Yes. I'm aware of that, yes.

443 Q. You assured you that you wouldn't do anything until he, 14:34 Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, could meet and explain it to him?
A. Yes.

444 Q. Now, in the next paragraph, do you see there is a reference there to the judge, about four lines down?
A. Yeah, I see it.
Q. He says, on the 3/7/2014 that he, Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, made contact. The person said he wanted to put the matter behind him. He said you told the story to the judge, who told him to distance himself from it. Do you see that?
A. "He told his story to the Judge, who told him to disconnect himself from it."

I don't know if that -- that doesn't sound right to me but... I don't know what to say about that.
Q. Very good. Well, perhaps I can summarise it in this way: Detective Superintendent Mulcahy will gave evidence of the steps he took to make contact with a
potential witness?
447 Q. CHA RMAN That is the informant who had given you information.
A. Yes.

448 Q. CHA RMAN They tried to make contact with him?
A. Yes.

449 Q. CHA RMAN His number appeared to be disconnected?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAK You were in a position to give them a different number for him?
A. Right.

451 Q. CHAN RMAN They rang that and then the story, it a11 makes sense if you realise that that's the person they're trying to contact?
A. Yes.

452 Q. CHA RMAN That person was unsure as to the process, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera, and Superintendent Mulcahy, if I understand it, was trying to reassure. Does that make sense?
A. Yes.

453 Q. CHA RMAN As we look at this.
A. Just for clarification, Judge, I have given in evidence where that person had actually dropped phones with me, dropped contact with me and then at some stage afterwards comes back with I think a series of text messages or something and then he drops the phone then. Maybe perhaps -- and then he drops the phone. CHA RMAN A11 of that may be so, there may be no argument with it, but Mr. Murphy is simply going
through the steps that the ó Cualáin team, namely Detective Superintendent Mulcahy and Detective Inspector Coppinger, this is what they did, he is recording what they did. Mr. Murphy is sort of inviting you to agree that this represents a thorough and proper investigation. I think that's where Mr. Murphy is going, is that correct?
A. As I have said, Judge, parts of the investigation were completely thorough. Just there were problems in other 14:37 parts. I think I've said that from the start. CHA RMAK And no doubt Mr. Murphy will be asking you about the different parts in due course? station with you on 13th August 2014.
A. Yes.
Q. I think at this meeting you expressed your views about the investigation?
A. Yes.

457 Q. Those were taken into account by the investigators, but also I think you queried why Garda $A$ had not been suspended from duty?
A. Yes.

You also said to the investigators that you were satisfied that there were no leaks coming from the investigation?
A. I think that was correct, yeah, I think.
Q. And would you agree that you were also expressing the view that you were happy for the investigation to continue?
A. Yes.

460 Q. So again, just to pause there for a moment, up to this date, I think you will agree with me, that the investigators were keeping in regular contact with you, were listening to your concerns and were seeking to address them?
A. I'm not sure, it's a bit later $I$ think when they get the -- start doing the real digging. I have never disputed the fact that they do. I'm not sure just the time period, when exactly. But I have no issue with anything that's being said here to far.
461 Q. Then the role of another person comes up in the next section, at page 3905 , that's to say the DPP. By this time, I think you will agree, that the gardaí had asked you for your consent to disclose your identity to the DPP and you gave that consent?
A. Yes. That's the investigation team, yes.

Again, you weren't at these meetings but Detective Superintendent Mulcahy will say that there were two meetings, one on 14th August 2014, another on 9th November 2014, where the DPP was updated about the progress of the investigation?
A. Yeah, I don't dispute any of this.
Q. I think thereafter he will say that the investigation conducted was conducted in as detailed a fashion as possible. But can I now ask you to move on, please, to
page 3909? In particular, it's the last paragraph on page 3909, please. Here I want to ask you not about the investigation, Garda Keogh, but your communications with Detective Superintendent Mulcahy. Let me explain as I go through what I mean by this.

First of a11, I want to say that Detective Superintendent Mulcahy will say that he spoke to you throughout the investigation concerning welfare matters?
A. Yes, that's correct.

464 Q. He will also say that he maintained contact with both Superintendent McBrien and Superintendent Pat Murray in respect of welfare issues that arose concerning yourself?
A. I understand that.

465 Q. He will say that on $5 / 3 / 2015$ he again spoke to you about your welfare and he recommended to you the availability of welfare officers?
A. Yes.

466 Q. He says that you reply by saying that Ms. Friel from the welfare office had not told you that she was working out of the same office as Aidan Glacken and you raised issues as to whether you could trust the welfare people?
A. I remember that.

467 Q. Detective Superintendent Mulcahy will say that he advised you that the service was confidential, that there were other welfare officers?
A. He did.
Q. I think that you signed off by thanking him for the cal1 at around 22:06?
A. Yeah.

469 Q. Then he will say that following a long conversation with you on the 19/4/2014 and a further conversation with you on the morning of the 20/4/2014, that he had concerns for your welfare and as a result of his concerns he contacted Superintendent Pat Murray and advised him of those concerns?
A. I see that's there, yeah.

470 Q. Just to pause, and the reason I am putting this to you, I appreciate you see things from one perspective, but I am just inviting you and the Chair to see the perspective of somebody who is working hard to follow up your leads and who was concerned for you at the time, and is taking steps that you can't see to try and make sure that the Garda welfare authorities are available to you, to help you?
A. That's correct, but also that goes both ways. It should go both ways, what you just described there, yes.
471 Q. But also I am going to put it to you that it also shows, and we will see some more of this detail later, that notwithstanding your dislike for Superintendent Pat Murray, that in fact he was also working behind the scenes to ensure that you got appropriate welfare health. You may not have been aware of this at this time, but I have to suggest to you that's the evidence
A.
will be?
A. Just for clarification, there's a lot -- there's a couple -- there's a lot more senior officers that I dislike more than Superintendent Pat Murray. Just to clarify that.

472 Q. Well again, just walk with me, Garda Keogh, in relation to this particular aspect of the case. If we look at the next phase, at the top of page 3910, please, I think he will say that you sent him a text message saying:
"I will accept welfare if you are still offering."
A. Yes, that's correct.

473 Q. He rang you again, regarding your welfare?
A. Yes.

474 Q. I think at that stage he will say that you were stil1 reluctant to utilise the appointed welfare officer for the division?
A. Yes.

475 Q. He will also say that he noticed that you were intoxicated?
A. I have no doubt, yes.
Q. He will then say also that having had that discussion with you, on 18th June 2014 he rang the welfare officers Garda Morgan Landy and Garda Clare Malone and explained the position in order to facilitate increased help for you?
A. Yes, again, I can't -- I'm agreeing with all of that.

477
Q. Again, just to help the Chairman understand the position from your own perspective, I think he will say that he also spoke and made arrangements with Garda Michae1 Quinn, the welfare officer who was appointed to make liaise with you?
A. Yes.
Q. Then he will also say that he phoned Superintendent Pat Murray and advised him about the welfare action which he had taken and that you had asked him to ring Pat Murray and to explain to him that he was not bad?
A. That was that I wasn't bad.

481 Q. Yes.
A. I asked Detective Superintendent Mulcahy to ring Pat Murray to say, listen -- I obviously explained to him, this guy is putting me under a lot of pressure, can you talk to him and tell him I'm not bad, is what I am trying to say there.
482 Q. Then, on 1/9/2015, Detective Superintendent Mulcahy
spoke with you and you confirmed to him that you had been to visit Mick Quinn, the welfare officer?
A. Again, I am agreeing with all of this.

483 Q. Yes. Then moving forward to $26 / 6 / 2014$, he will say that he received a text from you asking if you could take a call and that you had been talking to Olivia o'Neill regarding the investigation?
A. That would be correct.

484 Q. I think you will agree, he advised you not to get involved with any witnesses?
A. Yes. Well, she wasn't a witness in this investigation.

485
Q. He also said to you that any name that came up during the investigation would be interviewed?
A. Yeah. As I said, that name that had just cropped up there, she has nothing to do with the main investigation, just for clarification on that.
487 Q. Sure. Then again he will say that you enquired of him on 30th June 2014 if he could get the names of the investigating team?
A. Yes.

488 Q. He provided you with those names?
A. He did, yes. There was a reason for that. Just, there was a reason but that's all correct.
Them moving forward to the $31 / 10 / 2014$, at page 3911 , he will say he received a text from you asking if he, Superintendent mulcahy, could call you. But before he could ring back, he will say that he received a second
text at 21:47 that said:
"If you want to be commi ssi oner, you need my support."
A. Judge, I remember that. I know I was drinking that night and I remember the next morning or whenever I looked at the texts and I just went, oh God. But I do remember, yeah.
Q. That's a fair answer, Garda Keogh, because I think he will say that he rang you back and that he found that you were very drunk and on bringing this to your attention, you said that you hadn't drunk in over a year and this was the first time. He said to you that there was help for you available if you needed it?
A. Mm-hmm, yeah. Oh look, I'm not disputing it. I was under a lot of serious pressure sure during all this. I take it you agree with me that Detective Superintendent Mulcahy showed concern for your welfare at this stage and at every other stage?
A. Yes.

492 Q. Then he said that you weren't making a lot of sense and spoke of bugs, $b-u-g-s$, and talked about a gun in someone's mouth, do you recall that?
A. I don't recall it but -- I don't recall obviously the conversation there.

493 Q. Sure.
A. But I mean, if the detective superintendent has it written down that, if he has it noted that way, I'm not disputing, I'd say his version would be, would...
Q. I think he also noted that you said Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin needs to distance himself from Glacken and he would be commissioner.
A. Mm-hmm.

495 Q. Then he went on to speak about a file which was in the district office and was close to Garda A and that Clare Daly was coming to speak with you soon and that she would speak in the Dáil?
A. Yeah. Some of that rings a bell actually to do with -actually, yeah, I recollect just what that may have been about, not the actual conversation.
Q. Sure.
A. But I do recollect what that was about, yes.

497 Q. And again, he will say in evidence, just turning to page 3912, following this conversation he made contact with Superintendent McBrien and outlined his concerns for you to her?
A. I accept that.
Q. Moving forward then to $2 / 11 / 2014$, he received another phone call from you and you told him that you were not tout wage war and said:
"Make up your effing mind, you are pussyfooting ar ound. "

Now, at this stage he could understand that you were well intoxicated.
A. Yeah, we11, look I was frustrated as well the investigation and different parts of the investigation

499 Q. okay. But I think he said he will talk to you when you are sober?
A. That's correct, yeah.

500 Q. I think you went on then to say a number of other things, one of which was that you said that you weren't on a witch hunt and that the super, that's to say Superintendent Noreen McBrien, was 100\%.
A. Mm-hmm.

But that fellow Curran, which he understood to be Chief Superintendent Curran, declared war with him, he is making it hard for the super.
A. Look, that was my view, I suppose, and I had lot of drink and that was my view.
502 Q. Okay. Again just moving forward to give the Tribunal a 14:51 sense of the continual communication between you and Detective Superintendent Mulcahy. On 5/12/2014 he rang you to update you on the investigation. You gave him certain information. Then he says that in the following days he became a bit concerned because he couldn't make contact with you and out of concern for your welfare, he contacted Superintendent McBrien on $5 / 3 / 2015$. So there appears to be a bit of a gap at that time, is that right, between December of '14 and March of '15?
A. I can check my own notes here.

503 Q. Please.
A. December -- what date in December '14, sorry?

504 Q. 5th December 2014.
A. The 5th. 5th December '14. Yeah, okay, I don't know what -- em, I don't know what that is about.

505 Q. okay.
A. That there is a gap, just in contacting me? Is that just your question there?

506 Q. No, I think the position is, he was finding it difficult to make contact with you during that time.
A. Okay.

507 Q. I think the explanation you gave him was that you were -- that you made contact with him by phone on the 5th March 2015 and advised him that you were out stress sick for a few weeks.
A. Right.

508 Q. That you were under pressure?
A. Yeah.

509 Q. He understood that to be pressure at work?
A. Sorry?

510 Q. He understood that you meant that you were under pressure at work?
A. Yeah.

511 Q. Okay. I think you asked him how long the investigation would take and that would determine how long that you would be out of work; is that correct?
A. Yes.

512 Q. He then went on to say that your sick had nothing to do 14:53 with the investigation being conducted by Detective Superintendent Mulcahy?
A. Oh yes -- we11, hang on now. You see, they're all link in, it's not as simple. But Detective Superintendent

Mulcahy, as I said, he was not a person that would cause -- he was someone I could turn to, just to clarify, yeah.
513 Q. He agrees with you, Garda Keogh.
A. Mm-hmm.

14:54
$14: 54$ about your welfare and the availability of the welfare officers?
A. Yeah.

515 Q. Then turning down to 1st Apri1 2015, he phoned you again and gave you an update on the investigation?
A. Yes.

516 Q. I think on that day he will say that he told you -when he spoke to you, that you told him that you felt pinned in and when he asked you why, that you replied:
"The craic with the tax on the car and that surgeon referred because he was out stick with stress."
A. Yes, but at the time, of course, they were marking me out with flu. In any case, I am not disputing

517 Q. CHA RMAN That is a reference to Superintendent Murray, isn't that right, two things, the car and the conversation, when he said he would refer you to Garda
doctor, isn't that right?
A. CMO, yes.

518 Q. CHA RMAN That seems to be a reference to that?
A. Em...

519 Q. CHA RMAN You said you were suffering from stress, he said I'll have to refer you or I am going to -- isn't that right, that seems to be a reference, is that right?
A. Yeah, I'd have to just get the date. Just what date, period are we in here.
MR. MEGU NNESS: The 1st April.
520 Q. CHA RMAN No, but just what you are describing to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, it doesn't seem terribly important, frankly, but you mention the question of the tax and I am recalling your conversation with Superintendent Murray, one of which was about the car tax and one of which was about stress and referring you to the doctor?
A. Yeah.

521 Q. CHA RMAN Is that right? That seems to be what that's 14:56 about?
A. Yeah. So far, there's nothing in this that I have so far disagreed with, Judge.

CHA RMAN okay.
522 Q. MR. MRPHY: He again enquired of you whether there was 14:56 welfare problem and that you said that you were out sick on stress, that you went back on advice and would not do full weeks?
A. I accept, I just can't remember that part of it.

523 Q. CHAN RMAN Can you recall having seen Superintendent Mulcahy's statement? In other words, are you quite happy that whatever he says is correct?
A. I read Detective Superintendent Mulcahy's, and from recollection, nothing, there was nothing in it that I --

CHAI RMAN Okay. Mr. Murphy, if there something specific that you want to refer to for the purpose of your case, well and good, but otherwise you may take it that Garda Keogh has no problem with any of what Detective Superintendent Mulcahy says.

MR. MRPHY: Yes, Chair. I think I have literally got one and a half pages to go but I will deal with just three points, if I can.
CHA RMAN Certainly, that's al1 right. Then we might 14:57 take a little break, that might be a good point in time.

MR. MRPHY: I think that if you look, please, at page 3913?

CHA RMAN I think that's the one we're on.
MR. KELLY: It is, yes.
MR. MRPHY: Sorry, before we move on, can I just ask you to look at middle paragraph, page 3913. Detective Superintendent Mulcahy will say that you told him that you were happy with the investigation and you appreciated the call.
A. Sorry, I can't see this -- I don't know where we are here, I'm sorry.
MR. MEGU NNESS: The last line.

MR. KELLY: Judge, I think there is an issue, I am experiencing it myself, I think the witness is having difficulty following where we actually are at a passage. It may be because he's not being given an opportunity -- I'm not criticising any one.
CHA RMAN I understand.
MR. KELLY: Trying to read the passage that he is referring to.
CHA RMAN What I am keen on is this, Mr. Kelly, I am keen that Garda Keogh will have a break. I am conscious of the fact Mr. Murphy wants to refer to certain parts of this to, so to speak, put them, but Garda Keogh makes it clear he has no problem. I am also conscious that it would be nice for Garda Keogh to have a little break, maybe for all of to us have a little break. And Mr. Murphy says, look, I have three things to do. So I think we will crack on, finish the three things and then take the break. If there is any big misunderstanding, we can deal with it. But as I understand, we are at present on 3913, we have been referring to some parts of it, if you don't understand it, come back to me, or you can always refer back to it later, do you know what I mean.
MR. KELLY: A11 I am saying is, when the witness is being referred to a passage, I am making the suggestion 14:58 that perhaps it would be good to ask him has he had an opportunity to read it, let him read it first before inviting him to comment on it.
CHA RMAN I understand.

MR. KELLY: I can see he is trying to comment but without having read the stuff, because he's feeling, I think, under pressure. But there it is.
CHA RMAN I understand. Okay. We11, Mr. Murphy, I'11 tell you what we will do, put the three points you want 14:59 to make, I am conscious of what Mr. Kelly is saying, and if there is any question of -- if you want to come back to it, Mr. Kelly, you just mention it.
MR. KELLY: Yes, certain1y, I will, but I would like -CHAI RMAN And the same for you, Garda Keogh, even more 14:59 importantly, if you want to come back to it. We will complete this part first.
MR. KELLY: Sure.
CHAN RMAN Mr. Murphy is going to refer to three paragraphs or three bits or three sentences, we will get his three sentences.

MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN We will then close down for a short while and we will return. All right?
MR. MRPHY: Chairman, not for now but perhaps this is an issue I can deal with Mr. McGuinness on, but there is a point $I$ have raised with him about to what extent you, Chair, would require us to put every piece of evidence. I think the indication before lunchtime was that you would require it to be put.
CHA RMAK No, Mr. Murphy, sorry. We11, this gives me a convenient. Ms. Gleeson was cross-examining Garda Keogh in relation to an exchange with Inspector Farrell. I was aware that Inspector Farrell had made a
statement in which he had described a fuller description. It was the piece where Inspector Farrell had recorded Garda Keogh as saying, go through the motions, tick all the boxes, I don't care what you say. It seemed to me that that might be of some significance 15:00 in regard to if Inspector Farrell came forward, gave evidence, and was doing that, it might be said and legitimately said, well that should have been put. In fact, when it happened -- so on that specific point, I suggested to Ms. Gleeson that it would be a useful thing if Inspector Farrell was going to give a fuller description with a specific thing in mind. Ms. Gleeson understood exactly what $I$ was saying and, in fact, put the very point.
MR. MRPH: Yes.
CHA RMAN It then in fact somewhat evaporated because Garda Keogh said, I have no difficulty with Inspector Farrell's, he said, it's only just that at the time he seemed to be representing dark forces behind and superior to him. That, I think, is it. No, I wasn't making a general rule, $I$ was just suggesting to Ms. Gleeson and she immediately identified the passage, which was very helpful, put it to Garda Keogh and the whole thing was cleared up.

So, if I can just say, I will leave it to counsel's discretion as to what's important. But if Garda Keogh says, look, as far as I'm concerned Detective Superintendent Mulcahy did an extremely good job,
worked very thoroughly and I agree with everything, I don't disagree with anything in his statement, which is more or less his position.

If that were to change, no doubt Garda Keogh would tell us and Mr. Kelly would be careful to remind us of that and then we would deal with whatever consequence. Now, I am sorry to make a speech about that at all but I hope that's helpful.
MR. MRPHY: If I can explain, my apprehension is that
Mr. Justice Charleton had called publically for a very strict application of Dunn v Browne and I queried whether that was necessary for this process and I think you've indicated very clearly it's. So that's most hopeful.
CHA RMAN Just tell me again.
MR. MRPH: Dunn v Browne is the one that put every piece of evidence one's witness is going be to called to give evidence about, which would seem to be rather burdensome, where so much information has been opened by the Tribunal already.
CHA RMAN I was always forgetting things like that to put and I was always criticised on it when I was at the bar. You may consider yourself free from that. Sorry, I don't want to -- It's not for me to dissent from the wisdom of these decisions. But in the particular circumstances I don't require it, over and above what is strictly required by the Rules of Evidence.
WTNESS: Sorry, Judge, can I just make a point? I
never said that Inspector Farrell represented dark forces.
CHA RMAN No, I understand that. I am trying to help you here. I know you didn't. Sorry. I am trying to deal with the situation where -- I know you didn't say that, but you were encountering the authorities, them, the them you were encountering.
WTNESS: Yes.
CHA RMAN He was not the them but there it was.
WTNESS: Judge, the issue with him, just because he's friends with another guard.
CHA RMAN I understand that. I think the whole thing is pretty clear. Thanks very much. So we will take a little break. Okay. we will take a little break now, Mr. Murphy.
MR. MRPHY: yes.
CHAN RMAN You can come back further to this if you need to on this issue. All right. Thank you very much.

## THE HEARI NG THEN ADI OURNED BRI EFLY AND RESUMED, AS

## FOLONS:

CHA RMAN Just so people know, we will be breaking at 3:45. Just so everybody knows where they are.
MR. KELLY: Chairman, just to explain. I have spoken to Garda Keogh, just during the break, I think he will find it easier where he is being referred to a passage if he is able to look at the paper version. I said in
that case, just ask to see the paper version.
CHAN RMAN Certainly.
MR. KELLY: Because the screen --
CHA RMAN It's not always the easiest thing, yes, I agree.

MR. KELLY: It's not.
CHA RMAN It's not always the easiest.
MR KELLY: So, that combined with rising a little earlier today may help, because he has been in the witness box --

CHA RMAN Absolutely, Mr. Kelly. The other thing is, while we're on that, Mr. Murphy, if it is convenient and only if it's convenient, if you are going to be referring to a lot of pages, if it was possible to do it, if you could let us know in advance, we could arrange with our researchers to have the pages ready and convenient, which would save you trouble as well. MR MRPHY: Yes.

CHA RMAN But look, you've enough to worry about in managing your own case, but if that's possible well and 15:19 good and if it's not possible there will be no criticism or anything like that.
MR MRPHY: Yes, Chairman.
CHAN RMN okay. So, here we are again. okay, thank you very much. By the way, you were right, I'm sorry, you were right when I said about the dark forces behind it, you were perfectly correct to correct me that that's not what you said about Inspector Farrell. WTNESS: Inspector Farrell.

CHA RMAN That's not what you said about him, you said about connection with somebody else. Perfectly correct. Thank you.
MR. MRPHY: Chairman, just in relation to Mr. Kelly's request, I wonder if your researchers could provide the 15:19 witness with Volume 13 please, at page 3913.

CHA RMAN Thank you. okay.

MR. MRPHY: Thanks, Garda Keogh. I have spoken to Mr. Kelly, Chairman, also, and with your permission what I propose to do from time to time is to invite the 15:20 witness to take a moment to read a paragraph and then I wil1 ask him questions.
CHA RMAK Absolutely. If you are happy and Mr. Kelly is happy, then that's --
526 Q. MR. MRPHY: We will try that, Chairman, to see if it works with this witness. Garda Keogh, could I ask you just to look at the last paragraph on page 3913, it begins with the words "on 19/4/2015", please take a moment.
CHA RMAN Is he to go into the next page, Mr. Murphy? MR. MRPHY: No, Chairman.
A. Yeah, I have read that.

527 Q. MR. MRPHY: Again, in terms of the detail contained there, are you happy to accept that you said to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy that you were talking about all out attack and taking Nóirín O'Sullivan down, and also mentioning Alan shatter and that you were going to take him down?
A. Em, yeah. Now at the time, Judge, just for
clarification, I think in relation to Minister Shatter, I think I would be very sympathetic to him now and what he has gone through. Things have changed in so much over the last years and different things and, as I said, I would love to be able to just meet him for five 15:21 minutes.

528 Q. Just as of that date, Garda Keogh, are you happy to agree that on that date that's what you said?
A. Look, I can't deny it.

529 Q. Could I just ask a further question flowing on from that: Can we take it then, if you recall earlier today when I asked you at the very beginning about the phrase "taken down" and you indicated that that wasn't your objective. By this time, in April 2015, is it your evidence that you were trying to take down people from office?
A. Well, certainly at that point I had a firm view in relation to Commissioner Nóirín o'sullivan. And just in relation to this thing, this particular part, I just see it's 22:28 when I'm in conversation with the Detective Superintendent Mulcahy. I don't think he has stated in -- oh, he has said I was intoxicated, I see that there. I was just presuming.
530 Q. Sure.
A. Looking at the time I would have been ringing him there. But all this, you see, I have all this on my mind and like $I$ have lived through all this and it was in my mind and I can't -- as I said, that's the way it was.
CHA RMAN Garda Keogh, can I ask you a question?
A. Yeah.

532 Q. CHA RMAN Did that represent your state of mind or was that a drunken statement?
A. Judge, I --

533 Q. CHA RMAN I don't mean to be rude or offensive now when I say this, but I mean, let's talk plainly?
A. Yeah. I would not have said those, that wording.

CHA RMAN I understand. Don't worry. I am saying, did it reflect your state of mind or was it simply a bizarre thing that you said in drink?
A. Judge, I'd say it was probably a bit of both, if I am to be honest about it. I'd say a bit of both.
CHAN RMAN okay. Thank you very much.
MR. MRPHY: Thank you, Garda Keogh. I think just to help you on that answer, Garda Keogh, the second point I wish to mentioned to the Chair before we rise, can I ask you to turn over to page 3914, just to amplify what you said.
CHA RMAN The next page?
MR. MRPHY: The next page please, Judge, yes. Could I ask you, Garda Keogh, just to go maybe seven lines down, you will see a sentence beginning "he states". Do you see that?
A. Yeah.

537 Q. Could you please just take a moment to read just down to the words "appendi $\times \mathrm{K}$ ".
A. I remember reading this, yeah.

538 Q. Take a moment to read that down to "appendi $x$ K".

539 Q. okay. So, would you agree with me that from the point of somebody like Detective Superintendent Mulcahy phoning you to be told that you were playing around with your computer, putting pictures of rats on Nóirín O'Sullivan's face, was something that would have been very strange?
A. Just on that, just on that particular line, because that obviously jumped out when I read the documents, I don't know what $I$ was saying at the time but I actually 15:25 wouldn't have been able -- I wouldn't have that level of knowledge with a computer to be able to do that. I simply wouldn't. I only went on to -- like I said earlier, I didn't even use the Garda e-mail on Pulse. Although I'm good with the Garda Pulse system, emails is only a thing even very new to me. I'm not -- I have never had a digital phone or anything, I still work off old phones that you press the button and stuff like that. So I wouldn't have had that knowledge to do that.

Now, what I was trying to say at that point in time have I no idea in relation to that particular thing. But I just see here, just in general to do with the whole investigation, I see:

[^1]540 Q. Do you see just below that, Garda Keogh, you refer to
meetings with Maurice McCabe, Clare Daly and Mick wallace, had you been talking to them about taking down Nóirín o'sullivan?
A. Oh no, no, no, no, no, no, no. It was -- ah, no. I recall that meeting in Dublin, of course. It was the first time I met Maurice McCabe and, of course, we were just in stations across from each other, he was in Mullingar and I was in Athlone. We're in the same division. But em, I don't think -- I don't think it was -- I mean, I don't think it was like that. I'm
not --

541 Q. The third point, the final point on this statement, can you turn please to the next page, page 3915. This moves forward in time to 7th April 2016.
A. Just that paragraph on the 7 th.

542 Q. If you look down to the last paragraph when you have "the following morni ng..." ?
A. Okay.

543 Q. Before you read it, if I can summarise it, apparently he's ringing you to tell you about the DPP's decision.
A. Okay.

544 Q. Just take a moment, please, just to read the last paragraph, "the following morning"?
A. Okay. Yes, I have read this.

545 Q. Okay. First of all, would you agree with me that that 15:27 would suggest that this was in the morning time, that you had not yet started drinking and that you were reasonably sober, because you're talking about going out drinking later on?
A. Oh, I can't accept that.

546 Q. Okay.
A. Because my drinking pattern, Judge -- what year is this?

547 Q. 2016?
A. 2016, yeah, I'd say it would've been -- I'm in the period there, Judge, of binges, binge drinking. So, I mean, just because it's in the morning doesn't necessarily mean $I$ wasn't drinking.
548 Q. Do you dispute that you told him that you were going to 15:28 the press and you wanted to bring down Nóirín O'Sullivan. He told you that the DPP had directed no prosecution?
A. Look, I'm not disputing any part of that. Like I can't, I can't.
549 Q. Okay. Then finally on this third point I think, he will say that you rang him back later and spoke about drinking, you said that your head wasn't correct and that every family had it, and he understood that to mean a drink problem?
A. Yes, I recal1 -- we11, I just --

550 Q. Fine.
A. I am not disputing this, Judge.

Just the last question on that point, I want to put it to you, on his behalf, because I represent him and the other gardaí who $I$ represent, that this evidence, I will be submitting later in the Chairman, at the end of this process, shows, and I think you don't disagree, that Detective Superintendent Mulcahy did the best he
could in terms of the investigation but showed kindness, concern for your welfare and that he wasn't acting alone. I have to put it to you that the Garda system was looking out for you. He was trying to engage the welfare supports --
CHA RMAN That is a series of questions, Mr. Murphy, each of which may be perfectly valid, but it is a portmanteau question. would you mind taking it one-by-one?

552 Q.
MR. MRPH: Certainly. Garda Keogh, would you agree that Detective Superintendent Mulcahy sought to enlist the welfare supports of An Garda Síochána to help you?
A. Yes.

553 Q. Would you agree that he spoke to other senior officers, Superintendent McBrien and superintendent --
A. Murray.
Q. -- yes, with a view to ensuring that they were aware that you were a person that needed help from a welfare point of view?
A. I don't dispute that.

555 Q. And finally in that regard, that throughout the course of that particular period of time, when you were speaking to him you were frequently intoxicated or the worse for wear, if I can put it that way?
A. I was under a lot of pressure. Like, I was under a lot 15:30 of pressure at that time. I mean...

556 Q. Thank you.
A. At that period, going into work, again through al1 this, like I'm -- this is in the middle of, while the
investigation is going on, I am still working alongside Garda A. It's just a very difficult -- I turned to drink, and that's my story. I can't, I can't change it I'm afraid.
MR. MRPH: Chairman, I propose to turn to the issues and deal with them in sequence.
CHA RMAN Certainly. If you would like to start, Mr. Murphy, we will proceed.
MR. MRPHY: Yes, with issue number 1. So I think the position is that issue number 1 relates to the investigation of a pulse entry by you on the 18/5/2014. Can I ask you, first of all, to be shown page 1802? I don't have volume number, Chairman, but I will
certainly have that by tomorrow morning.
CHA RMAN That is all right. I'm sorry, what volume
is that?
MR. MRPHY: 1802.
MR. KELLY: I think that is volume 7.
CHAN RMAN Thanks very much.
WTNESS: Sorry, 18 --
MR. MRPH: Page 1802.
CHA RMAN we will get it for you now. 1802.
MR. MRPHY: Again, Chairman, insofar as I can I will
try to use the same documents as Mr. McGuinness --
CHAL RMAN Thanks very much.
MR. MRPHY: -- and to only add extra ones if it becomes necessary.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. MRPH: So most of these documents we will have
seen before.
CHAN RMAN This is the pulse entry.
MR. MRPFH: That's right.
CHA RMAN Pulse entry, yes.
MR. MRPHY: I think you have seen this Pulse entry during the course of the Tribunal hearing so far. First of all, just a number of points. At this time, I think you will agree that you had been publicly identified as a whistleblower?
A. Yes.

And at this time you had also spoken to members in your own station about the fact that you made a protected disclosure, but not the content of it?
A. That's correct.

560 Q. At this time, had you spoken to Judge McMahon confidentially about the content of your complaint?
A. Yes.

561 Q. At this time, had you spoken to any of the investigators in relation to your complaint?
A. No.

562 Q. No. So effectively at this time, I have to suggest to you that by doing what you did here you were effectively opening a window into the information that you provided on the protected disclosure, would you agree with that?
A. Judge, I have already explained why I put this piece of intelligence onto the Pulse system and I have already -- we have gone into this and I have clearly said I just felt I had to do it. That was in that
first month, that, as I said, was extremely difficult. so...
563 Q. Would you agree with me that Judge McMahon didn't think you had to do it?
A. Yeah. Oh, I've also said Judge McMahon thought it was a bad idea. Yeah.
564 Q. Would you agree with me that Detective Superintendent Mulcahy would have preferred if you hadn't done it?
A. Oh, he would have definitely preferred if I hadn't done it.

565 Q. Yes.
A. But the other thing is, I have to -- I mean, for me, at the time, in the station, and I have said it already, you know, if there was one thing, if I could go back in time to do again, there's so much other stuff I would change, that's one thing I wouldn't change, personally.
566 Q. CHA RMAK You wouldn't change that?
A. Yeah, no, I wouldn't. I would still put that on. CHA RMAN okay.
567 Q. MR. MRPH: Can I just put to you the suggestion that 15:34 it wasn't necessary for you to do this at all.
A. For me, it was.

568 Q. And again I suggest to you, just park this for the moment, put away the words "for me", just saying objectively speaking would you agree with me it wasn't necessary for you to do this?
A. Objectively -- well, if you are looking at objectively, you have to look at my side. So I would say it was for me.

569 Q. CHA RMAN why was it necessary for you?
A. Judge, as I said, there was a lot of -- I got to -- I didn't get to tell everyone in the station, listen, this is -- I explained this last week. Whoever was working on whatever night, I think it was the night of the 8th May, that --

570 Q. CHA RMAN No, on the 18th, you put this on Pulse.
A. Yes.

571 Q. CHAN RMAN Why? You said it was necessary --
A. Yes.

572 Q. CHAI RMAN -- for me.
A. Yes.

573 Q. CHA RMAN Question: why?
A. Well, there was two reasons. Well, as I said, there was the night, as I clarified last week, on the 18th, whatever time on the 18 th, or whenever it was that Ms. B stuck out her tongue at me, I took that up in defiance, I am untouchable.
574 Q. CHA RMAN I have to ask you a question.
A. Yes, Judge?

575 Q. CHA RMAN I am sure you are going to be asked it, but I can't resist asking you. If she hadn't stuck out her tongue would we have this on Pulse?
A. Em, I don't know, Judge.
Q. CHAL RMAN So it was her sticking out her tongue that made you -- that's why it was necessary --
A. No, no, no.

577 Q. CHA RMAN Do you know what I mean? I mean, listen, I am trying to understand this. I'm sorry, I hope I'm
not -- but that question has been intriguing me.
A. Yes. I took that up as, when she did, stuck out her tongue at me that it was --
Q. CHAL RMAN I'm invulnerable?
A. Untouchable is the word, and there is nothing you can do. And that's the way I took it up. And --

579 Q. CHA RMN In the sense that there's nothing you can do that will -- I am invulnerable to anything that you'd do?
A. Yes.

580 Q. CHAN RMN okay. I'11 fix you is the thing. I'11 put this on you Pulse.
A. Well...

581 Q. CHA RMAN Does that make sense?
A. Yeah. Well, the other part to that is --

582 Q. CHA RMAN Do you understand why I am asking you?
A. Oh, I do.

583 Q. CHA RMAN Do you know what I mean? Because I was struck by the sticking out of the tongue and so on. And I sort of understand you said look, I think you mentioned the red mist and that was it and you were very angry and so on.
A. Yes.

584 Q. CHA RMAN okay. But you didn't put it on until your next shift?
A. Yes. I would have tuned, thought about it, stewed over it.
Q. CHAl RMAN okay.
A. I would have.

586 Q. CHA RMAN But you say, I'm not understanding why you say it was necessary for you. I am not understanding that.
A. Well, at this point the investigation team haven't met me.
Q. CHA RMAN That is right.
A. I did say it was extremely difficult that first month. That first month, before $I$ can get talking -- once I can get talking to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy I can lift all this off my shoulders and give it to him. And that's the best way $I$ can explain it.

CHA RMAN Okay. A11 right. Sorry.
588 Q. MR. MRPHY: Garda Keogh, I have to suggest to you that by putting the information the way you did on Pulse you drew attention to the details of matters that you had referred to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, you were letting people know what it was all about; isn't that right?
A. Em, that would be accurate, yeah.

589 Q. Were you drawing attention to yourself?
A. No, no, no. Again it was for other people. Just, it was the atmosphere in the station at that time. I think I said earlier on, where, you know, prior to the 8th May I was a colleague and after the 8th May it was like just there was an alien in the station and it was extremely difficult. And as I said, it was, there was younger guards there that didn't have a clue what was going on. And then, you know, I do believe I had a right to be apprehensive, because it's within the next
couple of days we have the events of Olivia O'Neill and Liam McHugh. So there was -- my apprehension wasn't unfounded is what I'd say, Judge.
590 Q. You see I have to suggest to you that what you did here was extremely imprudent, because you ultimately revealed information that you had gone to great trouble, on your own case, to keep confidential until it was presented through the confidential recipient process. This was inconsistent, I have to put to you.
A. I equally have to try to protect myself.

And again that may be your perspective, but I have to suggest to you that your perspective is flawed.
A. Well, I have just given it from my point of view. I understand the other side of the argument completely, but that's...
Q. Just on that point, Garda Keogh, understanding the other side of the argument, if any of your superior officers saw this on Pulse, I have to suggest it would have been their duty to follow and enquire what on earth this is about?
A. Yes. Yes. I understand where -- seeing all the documents so... yeah.
593 Q. From that then can I ask you would you agree it was reasonable for them to raise questions about what type of information was present and whether it was covered and registered by CHIS?
A. Yeah. Up to a certain point. Up to a certain point. of course. But like, I make it very clear when I am asked, listen, I write the letter to Chief

Superintendent Curran and I say look, when this is over I will fully comply with you in relation to this. I think that the last line, it cropped up on the screen last week sometime, but -- so...
594 Q. Garda Keogh, can I ask you to turn over to page 640, please. It should be in volume 1 -- sorry, volume 2 -Volume 3, I beg your pardon.
A. Page number, please?

595
Q. 640, please.
A. Thanks.

596 Q. So this is a document you have seen before, which is a note, extract from Inspector Farrell's diary, 19/5/2014, do you see that?
A. Yes.

597 Q. Again I have to suggest to you that when he informed you, Inspector Farrell informed you that if you had any information that it should be registered on CHIS in accordance with HQ1260 he was correct.
A. We've gone through all this, Judge. I have said this was not -- this was not a CHIS matter. This was not a 15:41 CHIS matter. And...

598 Q. Garda Keogh, can I ask you, who were you to decide whether it was or was not a CHIS matter?
A. Judge, I, as I said, hand over everything to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, including all this information. 15:41 I become their informant technically and I hand everything I have and they then are dealing with the subject of the source there. And I mean, that's it.
599 Q. Well at this stage, on the $19 / 5 / 2014$, isn't it the case
that the CHIS directions and HQ1260 were being correctly implemented by your superiors, nothing more, nothing else. They weren't trying to target you, they were trying to apply the rules.
A. I think I have clearly written "this is not a CHIS matter" on my replies.

600 Q. And again I have suggest to you that when all of the authorities above you and the termes of the contents of the document itself say it is, that you are wrong?
A. Can you just come again at that part?

601 Q. CHA RMAN He says that CHIS rules say it was a CHIS matter. That is what counsel says.
A. I'd have to look at the CHIS rules to be able to answer that question, Judge.
CHA RMAN okay. detailed protocols in relation to CHIS are all available, on my instructions, on a Garda portal, is that right?
A. Yes.

604 Q. The Garda portal is something that you can read as a
A. Yes.

605 Q. In this case, this particular rule was self-evidently applicable to this area, but you keep on objecting to
its use because you seem to feel you have a parallel investigation where you are exempt from the ordinary rules, is that the case?
A. No, no. This, the circumstances of this matter is different. It's not a CHIS. It's a separate thing to 15:43 CHIS, this particular thing. And as I said, I'd have to look at CHIS.

606 Q. Just to help you in that regard, can I ask you to turn to 163 , which should be in Volume 1 , to see your reply.
A. 163 .

607 Q. Just at the end of the page you say:
"This is not a CHIS matter."

This is to Superintendent McBrien.
"The information is in the care of Assi stant Commíssi oner Donal Ó Cual ái n. Forwar ded for your information pl ease."

But again, I have to suggest to you that you are the one who has lowered the blind on the train window; you're on the secret train, which is the confidential recipient, and you choose to open up that window and 1et all your station colleagues and anybody else who has access to Pulse to see it, and I have to suggest to you that once you do that, that triggers the application of those rules, isn't that true?
A. I'm...

608 Q. Even with the benefit of hindsight, Garda Keogh, I appreciate you're describing your thought process at the time, but looking back at it now, can you see that by pulling down that blind and letting everybody see it on Pulse, naturally, the superiors had to say, what is this?
A. Equally the other guards in the station didn't know what was going on and was thinking am I going to be reporting them for something to do with penalty points or Mickey Mouse things. Sorry, I shouldn't say -CHA RMAN It's all right. WTNESS: Excuse me, I did not mean to say what came out there, Judge.
CHA RMAN You only said Mickey Mouse things.
WTNESS: What I meant to say --
CHA RMAN That's al1 right.
WTNESS: -- is: The younger guards in the station didn't have a clue what was going on.
CHA RMAN Okay. Here's where we are stopping. we are stopping here for the moment. Mr. Murphy is saying,
it's one thing to make your protected disclosure to the ó Cualáin team and that gives you confidentiality, he says it's quite another thing to put information on Pulse, that, on Mr. Murphy's suggestion, and you appeared to agree with it, is bound to call down an inquiry from the Guards. If it hadn't, I mean if they had simply left it there without doing anything, possibly one might have wondered what they were at. But this is what he says. So, therefore, when they
cal1 that down, they are entitled to ask: what's the position here in regard to CHIS? Now I appreciate you say this is not a proper CHIS situation. So we have a difference of opinion here. And Mr. Murphy says, well, that's all very well, but it's not for the garda to start saying to his superintendent I've looked into this or $I$ haven't or whatever it is, that this is not a CHIS. That is where we are at the moment. Now that's the purpose of where Mr. Murphy is going and that, as I understand, is where the difference goes. And I think 15:46 we will adjourn until tomorrow simply noting that there is a difference and where we can, as far as we can, locate that zone of disagreement. okay.
WTNESS: Yes. Yes, Judge.
CHA RMAN That seems to me to be the situation as it exists at the moment.

WTNESS: Yes, Judge.
CHAN RMAN A11 right.
WTNESS: Okay. Yes, Judge.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. okay. Very good. 15:46 Thank you very much.
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| 110:9, 113:25, 114:5, 117:15, 118:22, | sergeant [1]-68:15 <br> Sergeant [31] - 6:13, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { shown [9] - 69:16, } \\ \text { 81:10, 83:8, 84:13, } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:16 } \\ & \text { small }[1]-79: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 139: 8,139: 24, \\ & 139: 29,140: 4, \end{aligned}$ |



| supports [3] - 85:14, | target [1] - 157:3 | $27: 10,34: 6,108: 16$ | 10:20, 69:20, 87:21, | trying [40] - 23:5, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 148: 5,148: 12 \\ \text { suppose }[29] \end{array}$ | targeted [1] - 118:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:27 } \\ & \text { therefore }[2] \text { - 79:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:2 } \\ & \text { town [1] - 30:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 26,29: 11,49: 1, \\ & 49: 2,50: 11,53: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| 47:17, 47:27, 48:23, | 64:28, 65:29, 118:16 | 159:29 | TOWNPARKS [1] - | $53: 9,53: 17,55: 1,$ |
| 49:2, 49:7, 49:27, | tax [3]-133:21, | thinking [5] - 32:20, | 2:12 | 56:5, 56:6, 57:24, |
| 49:29, 50:11, 50:15, | 134:15, 134:17 | 44:10, 79:16, 80:12, | towns [1] - 75:8 | 58:14, 64:22, 65:5, |
| 50:27, 51:1, 51:3, | Taylor [10] - 105:10, | 159:8 | trade [1] - 11:24 | 76:3, 76:19, 78:29, |
| 51:6, 51:11, 52:14, | 105:15, 105:22, | third [3]-106:7 | traffic [1] - 37:7 | 79:1, 79:8, 81:2, |
| 52:18, 53:8, 54:5, | 105:25, 106:5, | 146:12, 147:16 | train [3]-57:29, | 88:22, 97:13, 97:16, |
| 55:29, 57:13, 57:28, | 106:15, 106:16, | thirds [2]-70:9 | 158:22, 158:23 | 99:11, 109:20, |
| 59:1, 59:3, 61:6, | 106:19, 107:3, 109:7 | thorough [3]-115:4, | trained [1]-114:15 | 121:14, 121:18, |
| 76:24, 78:26, 81:6, | TD [2] - 74:29, 95:10 | 122:5, 122:10 | trammel [1] - 57:6 | 127:28, 136:7, 137:1, |
| 131:13 | TDs [1] - 87:23 | thoroughly [1] - | transcript [4] - 1:25, | $140: 3,140: 4,143: 15$ |
| supposed [2] - | team [8]-57:12 | 139:1 | 12:14, 13:7, 36:26 | 45:22, 148:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 157:19, 157:20 } \\ & \text { surely }[2]-53: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 111:7, 113:28, 122:1, | thoroughness [1] $63: 12$ | transfer [1] - 67:29 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 152:29, 157:3, 157:4 } \\ \text { Tuesday [1] - } 60: 13 \end{array}$ |
| $53: 12$ | $154: 4,159: 22$ | thoughts [1] | 49:17 | TUESDAY [2] - 1:18, |
| surgeon [1] - 133:21 | technically [1] - | threading [1] - 63:1 | TREACY [1] - 2:27 | 6:1 |
| surprise [1] - 13:2 | 156:26 | three [14]-28:20 | Treacy [1] - 65:26 | Tullamore [1] - 90:23 |
| surprising [1] - 95:5 | telephone [2] | 29:4, 66:4, 66:6, | treated [1]-11:4 | Tully [5] - 66:28, |
| surrounding [1] - | 105:24, 114:16 | 76:10, 113:28, | treating [1] - 26:1 | 67:9, 68:11, 68:14, |
| 111:26 | tem [1] - 107:27 | 135:14, 136:16 | treatment [5] - 70:6, | 68:22 |
| suspect [1] - 27:23 | TEMPLE [1] - 2:31 | 136:18, 137:5, | $70: 8,85: 8,86: 3,86: 8$ | Tully's [1] - 68:8 |
| suspected [3] - | temporarily [1] - | 137:14, 137:15, | tremble [1] - 77:24 | tumble [1] - 67:28 |
| $\begin{gathered} 38: 20,39: 11,80: 8 \\ \text { suspecting }[3]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 19 \\ & \text { ten }[1]-54: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 137: 16 \\ \text { throu } \end{gathered}$ | Tribunal [24]-18:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tuned [1] - 153:26 } \\ & \text { turn [13] - 9:1, 13:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 39:8, 77:16, 77:17 | tenacious [1] - 111:1 | 72:11, 124:9, 127:10, | 48:21, 49:21, 50:28, | 85:2, 85:28, 91:5, |
| suspend [1] - 118:4 | term [1]-84:22 | 148:21 | $53: 8,56: 7,59: 10$ | 97:27, 105:28, 133:2, |
| suspended [2] - | termes [1] - 157:8 | tick [2] - 45:16, 138:4 | 59:21, 59:29, 71:17, | 144:18, 146:13, |
| $112: 28,122: 24$ | terms [23]-20:28, | timeframe [2] - <br> 52.16, 115:13 | $73: 9,74: 11,106: 12$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 149:5, 156:5, 158:8 } \\ \text { turned [1] - 149:2 } \end{gathered}$ |
| $117: 19,117: 21$ | $47$ | 52:16, 115.13 |  | turner [1] - 65:26 |
| suspicion [2] - 11:4, | $55: 24,58: 2,58: 8$ | $87: 24,97: 24,141: 9$ | 131:15, 139:21, 150:6 | TURNER [1] - 2:28 |
| 116:22 | 60:5, 66:22, 67:3, | 143:11 | TRIBUNAL [2] - 1:2, | turning [6]-69:1, |
| swiftly [1] - 112:7 | 70:2, 70:19, 71:25 | toll [1] - 67:19 | 2:6 | 83:18, 105:10, |
| switching [2] - 80:3, | 84:10, 94:4, 94:14, | TOM [1] - 3:12 | TRIBUNALS ${ }_{[1]}-1: 8$ | 130:14, 133:6, 133:14 |
| 80:5 | 95:3, 99:19, 142:23, | tomorrow [2] - | tried [5]-8:14, 10:1, | turnover [1] - 11:24 |
| sworn [2]-90:7, | $148: 1$ | $149: 14,160: 11$ | $57: 13,89: 20,121: 5$ | turns [2]-8:27, 61:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90:15 } \\ & \text { sympathetic [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { terribly }[1]-134: 14 \\ & \text { tested }[1]-67: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tongue }[6]-11: 23, \\ & 152: 17,152: 23, \end{aligned}$ | tries [1] - 67:24 | TV3.. [1]-91:21 <br> twice [2]-63:18, |
| 143:2 | text [6]-114:17, | 152:25, 153:3, 153:19 | trouble [3]-74:16, | 102:26 |
| sympathy [1] - 119:8 | 121:25, 126:9, 128:5, | took [15] - 12:23, | 141:17, 155:7 | two [35]-20:12, |
| symptoms [1] - 80:1 | 128:27, 129:1 | 22:6, 81:4, 81:8, 81:9, | true [2] - 39:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 26,21: 1,25: 19, \\ & 26 \cdot 112711 \text {, } 27 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| system [4]-16:24, | texts [1]-129:7 | 83:2, 112:22, 114:24, | 158:28 | 26:11, 27:11, 27:26, |
| 145:15, 148:4, 150:27 | than. [1]-78:1 | 115:20, 116:5, | trumped [5] - 27:6, | 28:9, 28:12, 28:17, |
| SİOCHÁNA [1] - 3:2 | than.. [1]-77:28 | 117:19, 120:29, | 29:18, 36:16, 36:18, | 28:19, 29:7, 29:26, |
| Síochána [16] - 28:5, 66.19, 66.23, 70.5 | thanking ${ }_{[1]}-125: 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 152:17, 153:2, 153:6 } \\ \text { top [12] }-42: 7,76: 6, \end{gathered}$ | 43:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 9,35: 3,35: 4,35: 9, \\ & 35: 12,36: 1,39: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| $71: 23,72: 18,72: 26,$ |  | 81:12, 95:27, 95:29, | $\begin{gathered} \text { trust [16] - 71:28, } \\ \text { 73:23, 88:18, 88:21, } \end{gathered}$ | $0: 6,47: 6,47: 22,$ |
| $77: 8,80: 12,80: 16$ | that's.. [1] - 155:15 $\operatorname{THE}_{[11]}-1: 3,1: 7,$ | $99: 9,101: 17,104: 5$ | 73:23, 88:18, 88:21, <br> 88:23, 93:19, 98:19 | $49: 19,58: 1,58: 4$ |
| 82:15, 95:5, 99:23, | 1:8, 1:13, 2:3, 2:6, | 114:21, 119:21, | 98:26, 99:20, 99:22, | 70:9, 82:10, 110:23, |
| 105:16, 148:12, | 6:1, 54:22, 95:19, | 126:8, 133:6 | 99:27, 109:4, 110:29, | 115:14, 123:22, |
| 157:18 | 140:21, 160:23 | totally [1] - 117:9 <br> touch [2]-48:27, | $111: 2,124: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:28, 152:14 } \\ & \text { two-thirds [2]-70:9 } \end{aligned}$ |
| T | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 26 \\ & \text { THEN } \\ & \text { T4] }-54: 22, \\ & 95: 19,140: 21,160: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 117:15 <br> touched [1] - 62:9 | 111:2 | type [2] - 8:4, 155:24 <br> typed [2]-47:10, |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| T\&N [1] - 3:31 |  | touches [1]-87:13 | $54: 3,60: 14,75: 18,$ | 113.15 |
| tallied [1] - 47:15 |  | tout [1] - 130:2 | 125:17. 142:15. |  |
|  | thereafter [4] - | towards [5] - 7:12, | $149: 24,155: 10$ |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & 53: 28,78: 4,98: 8, \\ & 98: 16,141: 19,144: 9 \\ & \text { worse }[2]-87: 26, \\ & \text { 148:24 } \\ & \text { worst }[1]-85: 28 \\ & \text { would've }[2]-29: 10, \end{aligned}$ | Z |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | zone [1] - 160:13 |
|  | $€$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { would.. }[1]-129: 29 \\ & \text { write }[4]-64: 12, \\ & 74: 3,80: 19,155: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & € 220_{[1]}-70: 8 \\ & \epsilon 250_{[1]}-11: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| writing [6] - 28:5, 56:13, 56:21, 76:4, | É |
| $\begin{aligned} & \quad \text { written [12]-20:27, } \\ & \text { 21:2, 31:2, 31:12, } \\ & 41: 13,42: 8,42: 9 \\ & 75: 25,75: 28,75: 29, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ÉABHALL }[1]-2: 30 \\ & \text { ÉIREANN }[2]-1: 4 \text {, } \\ & \text { 1:5 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 129:28, 157:5 | Ó |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20: 9,24: 11,30: 20 \\ & 33: 20,35: 26,38: 18 \\ & 38: 21,39: 11,42: 4, \\ & 57: 3,64: 7,64: 10 \\ & 74: 9,90: 3,98: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{O}_{[12]}-3: 7,48: 7, \\ & \text { 56:12, } 57: 3,111: 25, \\ & \text { 111:29, 112:5, } \\ & \text { 117:17, 122:1, 130:2, } \\ & \text { 158:18, 159:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| X |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Xanax [9] - 80:25, } \\ & \text { 80:27, 80:28, 81:1, } \\ & \text { 81:4, 81:8, 81:28, } \\ & 82: 11,84: 26 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Y |  |
| ```year [6] - 69:13, 69:22, 98:23, 105:9, 129:13, 147:3 year's [1]-18:27 years [12] - 11:27, 18:2, 38:19, 49:19, 69:19, 69:25, 107:5, 109:1, 109:8, 115:15, 127:10, 143:4 yesterday \([5]\) - 62:9, 62:12, 63:2, 70:15, 87:22 younger [2]-154:27, 159:17 yourself \([14]-7: 13\), 25:28, 27:27, 28:25, 40:15, 41:13, 53:29, 77:1, 78:13, 79:15, 83:22, 124:15, 139:24, 154:20 Yvonne [1] - 6:14``` |  |


[^0]:    "Heart beats faster than..."

[^1]:    "It was a circus of madness".

