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## WTNESS <br> PAGE <br> GARDA N CHOLAS KEOGH

EXAMINED BY MR. KELLY6
## THE HEAR NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVS, ON FRI DAY, 8TH NOVEMBER 2019:

CHA RMAK Thank you very much. Good morning, everybody. Just before we start, a pleasant duty and a 10:29 bit of housekeeping. The pleasant duty is to welcome two very distinguished visitors. We have Judge Bielska-Sobkowicz of the Polish Supreme Court, she is very welcome. And we have Professor Gerdy Jurgens, who is a member of the Dutch Council of State. They are more than welcome. Thank you very much.

Now, as to the housekeeping, the sitting today, wil1 break at 12:30 and resume at two o'clock. Then we will finish at 3:40 or thereabouts. A11 right, as close to that as we can manage. Just so that everybody understands where we are. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, Garda Keogh, once more into the breach. Thanks very much. Mr. Ke1ly
MR. KELLY: Thank you, Chairman.

GARDA N CHOLAS KEOGH WAS EXAM NED BY MR. KELLY, AS

## FOLLOMS:

MR. KELLY: Good morning, Garda Keogh.
A. Good morning.

1 Q. This is the last lap. Can I begin by asking you this: What was it that drove you or motivated you to make these disclosures?
A. This is the 2014, the initial main disclosures?

2 Q. Yes.
A. Judge, I have to go back, you know, I have to go back to a couple of years prior to this. I know from reading the documents it would appear I woke up on the 8th May and decided to make a disclosure. That, of course, wasn't the case. Roughly in 2009, Judge, somebody, a person, it was actually in a nightclub, told me some stuff. I can't even recollect the conversation now. I put it in, I referred to it in my 2014 statement, my initial statement. It was just a general conversation and it was to do with corruption and stuff that was going on. As a guard, Judge, it went in one ear, kind of, most of it and out the other ear. Because in every station in every town in the country you have people that make kind of wild accusations of corruption to do with guards and stuff like that. So, I didn't, I didn't even pass any heed to it. But as time went on, in 2010 certainly, a lot of stuff, absolutely insane criminality, insane stuff went on in 2010.

I had become aware, I was aware of previous incidents then in 2008, which, like a puzzle, Judge, a jigsaw puzzle, certain parts of a puzzle or a mosaic kind of in my mind started to appear. In 2010, just a serious amount of absolute malice stuff, which is in -- the bulk of which -- a lot of it is in the 2014 statement.

Then in 2011, again more incidents were occurring and I was obviously aware of other insane stuff. And we're not talking corruption here, we're talking absolute criminality, Judge. Some of it I witnessed and other stuff I was aware of, and other stuff I would have heard about or known about and things started to add up.

Towards some period, I think, towards the end of 2011, I went with a solicitor. Being a guard in Athlone, I would have known -- there's only one -- it's not like Dublin, there's one District Court in the town. I would have known all the solicitors in the court. Indeed, I would have drank with an awful lot of the solicitors, you know. We would be on first terms name
and that. But I went to a solicitor that was -- I went to a solicitor, for want of a better term, who wasn't in the circle of trust and I broke confidence, as in the Guards. At the time like --
3 Q. I don't necessarily want to know what you discussed with the solicitor, but was it things that were troubling you.
A. Yes.

4 Q. Concerning you within An Garda Síochána?
A. Yes. As it happened, this stuff was melting my brain, Judge, and I was trying to figure out who I would talk to or whatever about this. So, as it happened, one day I just walked into this particular solicitor, who I had been thinking, this guy doesn't hang around with the

Guards or I don't drink with him or anything like that, I might be able to talk to this guy and it'll be confidential.

So I then, as I said, happened to walked into him one morning and there and then $I$ just said, can I have a word with you and I spoke to him and that was the first person that I mentioned anything ever to, to do with it. From recollection of the conversation, he more or less tried to talk me out of it. I recall him saying, you'11 open a can of worms if you go down this road, but, he said, if you're having to do this, you're going have to start gathering evidence, if you are going to do anything. But he did try to talk me out of it.

So, at 2012 then, I was stil1 mulling, more, more green man stuff was going on in 2012. At that stage in 2012 I went into a rehabilitation centre. I started drinking an awful lot at the time, Judge, in relation to this. Actually, ironically, I think someone, was it 10:36 Mr. Murphy, got the report from Sergeant Tully, I think that was 2012, where Sergeant Tully wrote, I think in the last line of the report, that $I$ appeared to be very mixed up. I of course couldn't -- Sergeant Tully is someone I would have had great respect for and I couldn't tell Sergeant Tully what was going on. He would have had an idea but he wouldn't have known in depth what was going on. No one was knowing really. I couldn't tell him. And I couldn't tell him, Judge, for
fear -- like Sergeant Tully is a married man with kids and I would be terrified that basically he could have -- if I told him, there's a possibility he'd be here on my side today. I wanted to keep him out of
everything.

Anyway, I was very mixed up, he was very accurate in those terms, despite the fact I hadn't told him anything really.

So, later in 2012 more very, very mad stuff went on. There's an incident, it was to do with planting drugs. Again, to read what's in the documents, the way the Guards have that, it appears everything was fine there. Judge, there's an awful lot of stuff that went on in relation to that incident that's not in those documents. I know it's not an under the terms, so I won't go into that.

2012, so by January -- I had, as I said, I started printing stuff off in relation to things in 2012. I was humming and hawing basically, week in week out, I'm going to do something, then, I'm not going to do something, I'm going to do something, I'm not. This was going on all through 2012.

2013, January 2013, Judge, I had a personal incident, which I don't want to go into. As a result of that incident, it was a morning incident, $I$ wasn't drinking
that morning or anything like that. After that incident I decided, right, there's no more messing around here, you've wasted the last two years, you know, with indecisiveness and all the rest, you're either going to do something or you're going to do nothing. And I decided, right, I'm going to do something. So that was in January 2013.

So from January 2013 I had my mind made up then, I'm going through with this. So, that was in January 2013.
Then, from January 2013, I think then that's -- at some stage there then I make the initial -- I think I went onto the -- I saw -- I just happened to see Deputy Luke ming Flanagan on a programme one night, talking about stuff, very similar to what was going on in my head at the time. And stuff, when I say going on in my head, I had an awareness of. And at this stage I had evidence, you know, a good bit of evidence at this stage, but I hadn't still enough.

I met deputy -- sorry, I got the one piece of primary evidence at some stage I think in 2013 then. I met with -- I told you, I said I made contact with Deputy Flanagan, Luke Ming Flanagan, and, as I said, he was speaking about something, but also, he is the TD for Roscommon and one-third of Athlone is in Roscommon. So, he was the obvious person for me to go to. Then he told me, look, again, if you are going ahead with this, you're going to need help. He put me in touch with

Jockey Wilson, sorry, Garda John Wilson, Judge.

So that was - where are we, '13. Eventually we roll on into 2014. I first try and get it in through to GSOC, I think it was two weeks prior to the 8th May, rough7y, 10:41 where, with Luke Ming Flanagan and John wilson, who had retired at the time, $I$ think they went in to make a complaint to GSOC and I was to wait outside and if GSOC were to take a complaint, $I$ would be called in as a garda, as a witness. Because at the time a garda could 10:41 not make a complaint to GSOC.

That incident itself was interesting, because Garda Wilson was actually followed by an unmarked patrol car that morning and I had been walking 50 feet behind Garda wilson at that stage, and the patrol car that was following Garda wilson actually --

CHA RMAN Mr. Kelly.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
WTNESS: Sorry.
CHA RMAN I hate interrupting a narrative being given by a crucial witness, particularly since it's your first question, but let me tell you my concerns. We now have an allegation never made previously, clearly it's outside the Terms of Reference. Garda Keogh treats this as a fact, that the Gardaí were trailing, with the implication being that it was unlawful trailing of Mr. Wilson.
WTNESS: Judge, I understand that it was --

CHAL RMAN Hold on, no, I am speaking. Just a moment. Let me go on. Not only that, he has in the past few minutes since he began asserted as facts matters based on nothing that has been established in evidence, in saying stuff, absolute criminality, very mad stuff, planting drugs. These are assertions of fact. They may well be -- I know they are beliefs that Garda Keogh has. But what he is not entitled to do is to give the impression that these are established facts. They're not.

He may believe them, he may believe them passionately, and if they were relevant to this inquiry it would be important to establish the source of that information. But he's not free to use the platform he has here for the purpose of asserting as established or clear facts matters that are of extreme seriousness. I understood your question to be an open question to invite Garda Keogh to say, look, how did it call get started. That's what I understood it to be. I thought, if I may 10:44 say so, with respect, I thought it was a perfectly legitimate first question.

I have been holding myself back from intervening but at this point, I have to say, a halt has to be drawn and I 10:44 have to point out to Garda Keogh that this is illegitimate and not permissible.

The other thing is: It's not to do with the matters
under investigation and it doesn't really arise out of your question, it seems to me, when properly put in the context of the inquiry.

Now I don't want to be finger wagging or complaining or intervening. Thus, that's my position on this. I don't need a big debate about it. Obviously it Mr. Kelly wishes to dispute the matter or challenge it, obviously that's entirely a matter for him and I will entertain any such application. But that's where we stand at the moment

WTNESS: Judge, I apologise. Can I apologise for my use of wording there. I should have said belief and that, I apologise for that, I was only trying to go into the sequence.
CHA RMAN I am not looking for apologies. I just want to keep ourselves on track here on the job that we are engaged in.

MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN Anyway, that's enough. Proceed, Mr. Kelly. Maybe you should lead him a little past that, Mr. Kelly, and we will then proceed to more immediately relevant matters.
5 Q. MR. KELLY: That's right. As you know, Garda Keogh, the substance of the allegations that you have made at various times in the past are not within the Terms of Reference of this Tribunal. This is to do with having made them, whether they were true or not, what happened thereafter and how you were treated.

6 Q. So we will keep to that. One of the things I did want to ask you about was, you seemed to identify your beginning drinking. The only reason I am asking about drinking is so much has been made about it. But, you seemed from what you were saying there, it was around about 2012. Am I right in thinking that's when you began drinking to excess?
A. Probably before that. Probably -- as I said, in 2010 and '11 there was a lot of this, a lot of stuff.

7 Q. okay. It was building up.
A. It was progressive. It was progressive.

8 Q. What I want to know is: was it because of work concerns or something? we don't need to know all the detail.
A. Yes. Obvious7y, as I was just trying to describe there earlier, initially $I$ had this stuff in my head, going on in my head and I had nobody to talk to. I didn't get to talk to anybody. When I first broke confidence, that was, I suppose, at the end...
9 Q. Hold on. Okay, so it was related to what you were perceiving was going around you at work?
A. Yes.

10 Q. You also used then at various times, twice or three times during the last couple of weeks when you have been cross-examined, the expression that you were using it as crutch, is that right?
A. Yes.

11 Q. It was a way of releasing what was going on?
A. Yes. For me, it was a bubble, Judge. This is over the years as things progressed. It was just a bubble that I could escape from reality.
12 Q. I understand. You have told us the sequence, that you tried to report it initially to GSOC, the way the law stood at the time it wasn't something they could receive.
A. That's correct, Judge.

13 Q. That then led you, as I understand it, to the protected disclosure on 8th May 2014, to retired Judge McMahon, is that right?
A. That's correct. At the time I had enough evidence, I felt I was in a position to --

14 Q. Yes. Now, without going into all of that again, the substance of what you said to Judge McMahon related to events from the years immediately preceding that?
A. Correct, yes.

15 Q. They weren't the day before you were on about?
A. Oh no, no, no. It was 2008, '09, '10, '11, '12.

16 Q. The other thing I want to ask you is, you said on Day 99 , it must have been the first or second day of this module, that so far as you were concerned, what you had disclosed was the subject of gossiping in Athlone, you weren't suggesting everybody in Athlone was going around talking about it but there were others who at least were retailing this gossip. So you weren't the only one who knew about it?
A. Oh no. Judge, there's so much stuff going on in Athlone, even this part and where this emanates from,
other stuff, which obviously I won't -- they're not relevant.

17 Q. Yes.
A. But other -- can I use the word mad or insane stuff just to describe other stuff, and leave it there?

18 Q. There was stuff that you weren't happy about, is that right?
A. Yes. And not just me, there was a lot of other guards, like.

You told the Tribunal about, I think you said was it your grandfather or father were Garda officers, or have I got that wrong?
A. No, my grandfather joined the very first day, registered number 51. That was the civic guard. And, indeed, Judge, like as I said, even going back to the civil war, for example, I'd have a good awareness of his relationship with his own brother finished during the civil war, you know. So he joined the civic guard as number 51 on the very first day.
Q. Right.
A. That later becomes An Garda Síochána.

21 Q. What I am trying to get at is how you felt about the Gardaí. Your grandfather had been in, I will use the term police, in the State?
A. Yes.

22 Q. And the --
A. My uncle also was.

23 Q. Yes. So was this a profession -- some young boys grow up wanting to be train drivers, others who are foolish
want to be lawyers or whatever, was this something that you always aspired to do or not?
A. Well, Judge, I would have nearly blame my mother for me. Because my big things were history and nature, but my mother is the mother of a guard, she is the sister of a guard, she is the niece of a guard, she is the daughter of a guard and actually -- niece of guards and sergeants and the rest. So, I suppose --
24 Q. So there is a lot of guards in the family?
A. On her side I think they all were.

So it was a culture that you grew up with and you were aware of. Was it something that you were attracted to or was it something that you thought, good Lord, that's not something I would ever want to do, or, yes, I do want to do it?
A. Look, young lads, you have an interest in the police, you know, policing things, it sounds exciting. Everyone thinks, of course, the police it's all about going out chasing criminals and the exciting part, they forget that you have to do a huge amount of paperwork and files and stuff like that. But, yes, I would have been -- I didn't join the Guards because I didn't want to joint the Guards, if that makes sense.

26 Q. Yes.
A. I wanted to.

27 Q. Was it something you were proud of?
A. Yes.

28 Q. Was it a force you felt proud of?
A. Yes.

29
Q. Hence, when you saw things that weren't right, presumably, you weren't keen on that, you didn't like it?
A. Yes. And bear in mind, I had been in other stations prior to this, normal police stations, Garda stations, and I had been in the drugs squad, I was in the drugs squad in Bray, the Detective branch in Enniskerry and that, so I was aware of how things, you know, were supposed to work. Then, I went down to Athlone. I am aware, a lot of other guards, for example, would have transferred down from the DMR, the Dublin metropolitan region and other places. A lot of the conversations would have been, where you were stationed before, was that like here in Athlone? I wasn't the only one to know Athlone was strange.
You had seen how it could work, we11 as far as you were concerned, you transferred, you saw things you didn't like and you thought, this isn't how it's supposed to work. Is that a fair summary?
A. Yeah, I saw things, I became aware of things. And there was -- evidence started to fall in together.
Q. Might I ask, I don't want to know exactly where, what part of the country did you originally come from?
A. Sorry?

32 Q. What part of the country did you originally come from?
A. Oh I'm Offaly.

33 Q. You're Offaly, I see.
A. Yes.

34 Q. So you grew up, you served in Bray, you enjoyed that,
you then came back to effectively your home territory?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that something you were happy about or, oh Lord, I'm back here again, or what?
A. No, Judge, in Templemore, when I was down there and they called out the stations, I was never in Bray in my life and I remember saying to a guard in my class, God rest him, RIP now, but I said to him, what's Bray like? I remember he turned around to another guy who was from Dublin and the two of them looked at each other and said, oh, it's a lovely seaside town, it's a big nice town and you'11 have fun there, it's a lovely place and a11 the rest. And Bray is a tough spot, I will just leave it at that. But I knew why they were laughing at each other, after my time in Bray.

We will not go too far into Bray. My sister lives in Bray. Let's not worry about that.
A. I looked to get down the country because it was time to move.

I see. I will come back to this in a moment. You make these disclosures, you've explained what your motivation was for doing that. The person who gave you the information formed the basis for what I think is issue 1. That person, as you have told us, wasn't, as far as you knew, engaged in any criminality at all, is that right?
A. This is the person that came forward the day after, I think.
Q. Yes.
A. Or made contact the day after I made my complaint.
Q. I see. Yes, that's right.
A. Yes.

40 Q. The chap you went to see in Galway?
A. Yes. I had nothing to do with that person prior to making a complaint. That person had heard stuff on the news and volunteered, I understand he made contact with the Garda station and basically I met him on 10th May 2014.

41 Q. Yes. Just how you met him, as I understand from your evidence, was that someone had phoned the station and left a message for you, is that right?
A. Yes.

42 Q. That person spoke to Garda Turner, is that right?
A. Another guard spoke to another guard, who passed the message on to me, for this contact number.
Q. The number was given for you to ring that person?
A. And I contacted, this is possibly 9th May 2014.

44 Q. Right. So this is the day after you had seen Judge McMahon?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.

46 Q. As I understand it, you then went to Galway on the Saturday night?
A. Yes.

47 Q. And you met the person?
A. Yes. The person, I don't know where, on some street -I would have known --
Q. It doesn't matter.
A. He jumped into the -- he didn't jump -- he got into the passenger seat of the car and we pulled into the car park and he told me his story. My story, which I had already two days before spoken to Judge McMahon, you know, about, my story was fairly right but this person was really able to hammer down into finer detail on stuff that $I$ had said maybe in a general way, this person was able to tie it down really accurately.
49 Q. That person, as I understand it, wasn't willing to make 10:57 to make the statement, is that right?
A. Yeah. Yes, that's correct.

But you made notes of what the person was saying, is that right?
A. When I asked for a statement, Judge, from my recollection he said, I have to think about this. Then I said, fine, look, I understand the position. Because this person is now -- -everything had turned right in his life and he was working, he had a child, he was settled and everything was going well. This was stuff to do with his past. So, I then had said fair enough, I said, is it okay if I take just some notes about this. So he agreed to that. So I wrote down in longhand in the car, just a couple of notes, I think it's on maybe five pages of notes, that was it, rough7y.
51 Q. Yes. We know that from other evidence, other material, you handed over that material. Certainly by that time, the investigation team knew who that person was, is
that right?
A. Yes. I passed over everything to the investigation team.

52 Q.
I put this just directly to you, it's been effectively suggested to you in cross-examination that the real reason you were making these disclosures was that, for want of a better expression, you wanted to be famous; what do you say about that?
A. Yeah, I got that thing, obviously. Yeah. I mean, I'm a private person, Judge. As I said, even with all the stuff --

MR. MRPHY: Chairman, I don't believe that suggestion was put to this witness, or what was put to him was the way in which he attracted attention after he made his complaint.
CHA RMAK okay.
MR. MRPHY: It was never put to him that he made his protected disclosure because he wanted to be famous.
MR. KELLY: okay.
CHA RMAN I think that's is correct, Mr. Kelly.
MR. KELLY: okay.
CHA RMAN There is a core but it comes later.
MR. KELLY: I can deal with it.
CHA RMAN Yes.
53 Q. MR. KELLY: Okay. You make the disclosure. 10:59
A. Yes.

54 Q. It's broadcast in the Dáil, there's a lot of coverage. Did there come a time when you thought, wow, I'm really enjoying all this attention, I'm famous?
A. Oh Judge, I will never forget walking into work that night, it was the same night, it was the first time I had come in after I met the judge. Then there was the incident in the Dáil, which, Judge, ironically --
55 Q. Look, it's a straightforward question?
A. Yeah.

56 Q. Once this was coming out, did you think, wow, this is great, now I'm famous?
A. No. Judge, I fully -- at that stage, bear in mind, I'm in the next 24 -hour station up from Sergeant McCabe, he's in Mullingar, I'm in Athlone. I'm aware of what happened to Sergeant McCabe and Garda wilson, so.
57 Q. In the intervening years, just to round that one off, did there ever come a time where you thought, wow, I'm really enjoying all this attention?
A. Judge, I wouldn't have been -- if I was enjoying it so much, $I$ wouldn't have been turning to drinking in the way I was. My drinking, Judge, a lot of the time ends up solitary drinking, it's not that I'm, you know, out at nightclubs bopping around to Bob Marley or anything like that. I was solitary drinking on my own. There is no enjoyment in that, Judge.
58 Q. Just about alcohol, because it has featured quite a lot in the questions, I put it to you directly, is there any truth in any suggestion that might be made, look, you were just drunk, paranoid, and had no real idea what on earth you were doing, you were creating a fuss.
A. Well, if that's the case, Judge, I gathered an awful lot of evidence. The evidence is there and to this
day, Judge, we're what, we're 2019, I don't believe any of the evidence has actually been shot down as being false or anything like that.
59 Q.
Yes. So, that suggestion, I put to you, you just completely reject, you say is completely wrong?
A. Oh absolutely. I absolutely reject.

60 Q. That's fine. Now in your evidence you described yourself as being a sitting duck, you were vulnerable. what do you mean by you were a sitting duck?
A. Well, first, that refers to the first months, Judge, when I made my complaints, going back to 8th May 2014 to 7th June 2014, that period of time, that month was just dreadful, because it was -- other guards, as I said, fine. A had an idea of what was going on. But younger guards had no idea really of what had gone on in Athlone or anything. It was like, Judge, they thought -- when I went into work the first night, it was like -- I will just go back to the day before, let's say, when I'm a guard on the 7th May and I'm working, just a normal guard and everyone treats me normal and everything is grand. By the 8th May I'm like an alien walking around in that station for the next month, because people don't know what am I going to be complaining about someone, some other guard letting Mrs. O'Reilly down the road off for not wearing 11:03 a seatbelt or something like that.
61 Q. So you fe7t just very exposed and vulnerable, is that right?
A. Yes.

62 Q. Now, you were written to by Noreen McBrien on the 23rd July, asking about the CHIS entry, I think that's pages 163 and 165 of volume 1 . Perhaps we could just call those up. 163 in Volume 1 . Let's just remind ourselves, she was the superintendent then, you had made the disclosure back on the 8th of May, there was the Pulse entry. It is written directly to you.
"W th regard to the intelligence report..."

She gives the name.
"...created on the 18th May."

This is the 23rd Ju7y.
"I requi re a report fromyou indi cating whet her the informant has been regi stered with CHIS in accordance with Garda Headquarters di rective."

Now, she is asking you there just simply was the informant registered, not who the informant was, isn't that so?
A. Yes, that's correct.

63 Q. I see you're replying then, which is standard, as having been received on the 27th July. I will read this correctly:
"This is not a CHIS matter --"
A. CHIS.

64 Q. CHIS, I'm sorry.
"The inf or mation is in the care of Assi stant
Commi ssi oner Donal Ó Cual ái n. Forwar ded for your 11:05 i nf or mat i on, pl ease. "

Is that right?
A. Yes. Just for clarification, CHIS, C-H-I-S, is covert handling, I think, information.

65 Q. Information source or something of that sort, yes. So that's your reply. By this stage you had passed, on the face of this, the information over to Assistant Commissioner Donal ó Cualáin, is that right? That's what you are saying here anyway, this handwritten note. 11:06
A. Yes, July 27th, that's correct.

66 Q. Now, in your view was that the only available way or the most appropriate way to deal with the information you had in fact received?
A. Yes.

67 Q. Right. Okay. Page 165, which is just two pages on down in that, we then have another letter from the -sorry, it should be 164, if we just go up one page. Do you have that in the folder in front of you?
A. Yes.

68 Q. This is 18th September:
"Pl ease see attached report."

It's from Superintendent Noreen McBrien.
"Pl ease see the attached report from Garda Ni chol as Keogh dated 27th Jul y 2014, whi ch was forwarded to you to Chi ef Superintendent Curran, di visional officer."

She then writes:
"Chi ef Superintendent Curran is not satisfied that this negates our responsi bilities as outlined in Garda Headquarters Directive 126/10..."

We will come to that in a moment.
"... and has requested that the source be referred in Iine with Garda policy.

Garda Keogh should comply with this request and report by ret urn."

So it's moved on to asking for the source, is that right?
A. Correct, yes.

69 Q. Is that what you understood this to be?
A. Yes.

70 Q. That's what I understand it to be, but I'm interested in what you understood it to be.
A. Yes.

71 Q. So they want to know who is it, is the question?

72 Q. So your response comes back at 165 , is that right? That's your handwritten statement?
A. That's correct, yes.

73 Q. Yes. You then say:
"Chi ef Superintendent Curran is fully aware that there is an ongoing investi gation into alleged criminals..."
A. Criminality.
"...criminal ity invol vi ng menbers of An Garda Sí ochána in the West meath di vision."

You then say:
"I bel ieve it is inappropriate while the investigation is ongoing for Chi ef Superintendent Curran to seek the source rel ated to intelligence report which is directly linked to this investigation at this stage at least as it could jeopardi se the said investi gation. "

Just pausing there. By that stage you had handed the material over to the investigation, is that right?
A. That's correct.

75 Q. You believed that that was the appropriate home for it, is that right?
A. That's correct.

76 Q. That was because? why did you think it was appropriate
home for it?
A. I had handed a11, the notes I took, the statement I had attempted to take and all the information that $I$ had gained separate to -- everything I had at that time I had handed over to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy and 11:09 Assistant Commissioner Donal Ó Cualáin. Was that because it related to the substance of what you were saying?
A. It was central, it was central, absolutely central. Because this was a window into -- as I said, what I had 11:09 pieced together in the jigsaw was fairly right, but this additional material that $I$ became aware of was after $I$ had met Judge McMahon, the confidential recipient. This was the next day -- sorry, two days afterwards this new avenue of information appears and it is extremely accurate, far more accurate than what I had, Judge.

78 Q. Yeah. You handed it all over, it related to it and you believed that that was really, as you say, the appropriate home for it. You then go on to say this: 11:10
"I wi sh to add that I have been advi sed..."

This is again page 165 , the last paragraph in last section.
"I wi sh to add that I have been advi sed by Judge Patrick McMahon not to speak with any member of An Garda Sí ochána about any part of this investigation
with the exception of the investigation team under Assi stant Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái $n$ and, ther of ore, I request that any deal ings between myself and Chi ef Superintendent Curran, di visional officer, should not be infornal."

Is that right?
A. That is --
Q.
"I intend to be..."

Is it compliant?
A. Compliant, yes.
A. Yes.

81 Q. Now, what I am concerned about is what was in your mind at that time. You had recovered this information, or provided the information which you had got as important 11:11 to the disclosure you had made, an investigation team had been appointed. You had handed the material over to them, is that right?
A. Yes.

82 Q. You believed, did you, that that was the proper way to 11:12 do it?
A. Yes.

83 Q. Okay. Now, we have had referrals to the CHIS rules, the Garda Headquarters circular 185 of 2005. I think
that's at Volume 28, page 8122. Have you found that?
A. Page 8122?

84
A. Yes.
Q. That is the document which you are being referred to there. You will see that it is that document, because it says in the top right-hand column:
"Headquarters di rective 126/10."

Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Just read that there?
A. The whole --

87 Q. No, no, just read it to yourself, familiarise yourself with it?
A. Okay.
Q. That's not the Code, we can clearly see, but a letter about the Code, signed by the then Assistant Commissioner Nóirín O'Sullivan, is that right?
A. Yes.

89 Q. It then makes reference to another directive, in the penultimate paragraph, saying:
"This directive should be read in conj unction with HQ Di rective HQ 185/05 and di visional di strict officers will ensure that its contents are brought to the attention of all personnel."

Firstly can I ask you, have you seen this document before, the one you're looking at right now, 126/10?
A. I don't know. I can't answer, I don't know if $I$ had seen it before. I do know I wrote looking for this document on the 26th December, because Chief Superintendent Curran had referred that the source, the source -- it refers back to --
Q. Well, we can see that it's there.
A. Yeah.

91 Q. We see what it says and we have established that it's not the Code at all.
A. Yes.

92 Q. Right. It then refers, cross refers to another one, $185 / 2005$, and that's at page 8122 ?
A. Sorry, I'm just after thinking, Judge, I would have
seen this document, because in order to write that letter, the reply, $I$ had to find that document to reply, to make sure there was nothing in 126/10 in order for the reply. So I would have seen the document at some stage, yes.
93 Q. Okay. We have this letter that says we've got this Code, you've got to respect it and so on. It then makes reference to another document, which is at 8123, I think that's Volume 28 as well, which should be the next page, though in my copy it's -- wel1, it's it. But it doesn't again appear to be the directive, the Code at all, but rather a note about it, is that right?
A. Judge, all $I$ have in front of me is -- I think it's on the screen as well.

CHA RMAN If you can read it you're a better man than I am, I will tell you that.
WTNESS: I just can't -- there's words.
CHA RMAK Your point, Mr. Kelly, is, this is similar in a way to the previous document, in that it is a reference to the Code but it's not actually what the Code says. That's what you are saying.
MR. KELLY: That's right, yes.
CHA RMAN So, subject to anybody producing, and presumably at some point we will get a proper copy of this, why don't we move on, on the assumption that you're correct, because that's my understanding.
MR. KFLLY: we are going to and I am moving towards going to the Code.

CHA RMAN I understand that, absolutely.
MR. KELLY: The point I'm making here --
CHA RMAN Absolutely. This another reference, although it's referred to in the document, it's not actually the core rule, so to speak, that's being invoked.

MR. KELLY: Yes. Just to complete this paper trail, although it is perhaps rather pointless. 106/10 refers to $185 / 05$. Your view at that time anyway was that it couldn't have been a CHIS matter because you made a protected disclosure. The CHIS thing, we will come onto it in a moment, it doesn't actually appear to deal with that exact situation certain7y at the time; that
is someone making a protected disclosure and what's to happen vis-à-vis CHIS.
A. CHIS.
Q. CHIS. Yes, I'm sorry.
A. And it had nothing, nothing to do -- it had nothing -this is a separate, unique matter, Judge. It's not -I've gone into this somewhere in the last two weeks. This is a different --

CHA RMAN I thought you were saying, and Mr. Kelly can correct me and you will correct me if I am wrong, I thought that you were saying it's one thing to engage or recruit, so to speak, an informant, such a person has to be registered on the system. No question about that. As I understand your position.
A. Yes.

96 Q. CHA RMAN Here was a situation where somebody had come forward with information relating to historical events that had happened some years before and this person was not now going to be engaged as an informant, this person had provided relevant information on a one-off basis relating to historical events?
A. Correct.

CHA RMAN That's my understanding of what your challenge to the suggestion that this was a CHIS matter?
A. That's correct.

98 Q. CHA RMAN Am I understanding that right, that's your position?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAK Now, Mr. Kelly is going to take you to the actual CHIS rules and no doubt we will see what they apply to.
MR. KELLY: You're absolutely right, Judge, that accords with my understanding of it as well. I am going to go into it but not just perhaps immediately. CHAL RMAN In due course, Mr. Kelly. MR. KELLY: Yes. No, no, we will get there.
On the 18th May you created an entry on Pulse in respect of the person that was Ms. B, it's Volume 7, page 1802. We will just have a look at it to remind ourselves of it. Now, we have been through that. Again, when you spoke to Noreen McBrien on 23rd July asking about the register, that's page 165, we already looked at it. You say it's not a CHIS matter and because of that you didn't report it. Again, we've looked at this page, I won't take you back to it, you gave Inspector Farre11 and Superintendent McBrien that same explanation at 165 , is that right? You say you couldn't discuss it?
A. Yes.

100 Q. What you were saying at that time, it's not a CHIS matter, it's all down to the protected disclosure, it's all over with this investigation team and that's where it's to be, is that right?
A. Yes.

101 Q. And layered on top of that, you had also, you said, spoken to Judge McMahon, who said, as you understood it, there should only be one investigation, just deal
with them?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that right?
A. I recall he made reference to the Morris Tribunal in Donegal, where he said, you can't mount all different investigations -- or Judge Morris I think may have found that they shouldn't have done it up there in Donegal, that that's what they tried to do, divide it a11 up and mount different investigations. That was my interpretation of what Judge McMahon said, yes.
103 Q. It's not, insofar as I have been able to see anyway, in Judge McMahon's statement, but it's something that perhaps gives evidence. It is more properly a question probably directed towards him, as to when and where that was. I see there are other entries in your diary and so on, going up to Stillorgan and all of that, $I$ can pursue that with him.

I want you to look at Volume 1, 304. There is a reference down the bottom of the page, you will see,
"J udge MCMahon said the following findi ngs fromthe Mbris Tri bunal, Chi ef Superintendent Curran/Inspector Farrell..."

I think that says:
"... coul dn't mount such a series i nvestigation. There should only be one investigation. He said he would
rai se the issue with the Commissi oner."

Is that right?
A. Just for clarification, Judge McMahon didn't name names. If I have it in here that he named names, that's not correct.

104 Q. Yes. But that is what you were referring to?
A. Yes.
Q. So you were asking him, look -- we11, what were you asking him?
A. Just from recollection, I was obviously informing him, 1isten, there's this Liam McHugh matter, this olivia O'Neill matter, they're trying to make out -- trying to make out that $I$ am getting people to make complaints or whatever. I would have explained that this is emanating from the chief's office in Mullingar whilst the main Garda investigation team under Commissioner Ó Cualáin is based in Galway. So we now have gardaí in Galway who are investigating my complaints and gardaí in the chief's office in Mullingar that appear to me to 11:24 be mounting investigations into me.

106 Q. Yes. So by that stage your senior officers were insisting on relying on Garda policy to have the name of the informant, is that right?
A. Yes.

107 Q. That's how you saw it?
A. Yes, Judge. I think in that letter I wrote to Superintendent McBrien on the 26th, the handwritten letter, where I make --
"In rel ation to HK directive $126 / 10$, this does not appear to cover this particul ar situation. Perhaps Chi ef Superintendent Curran could furnish to me the exact wording that he refers to be outlined in HQ di rective 126 / 10 ."

108 Q. Yes. The final stage, 18th September 2014, page 164, which will be volume 1, if you have it there, do you?
A. I have it.

109 Q. You have got it.
A. Page 164.

110 Q. 164.
A. Yes.

111 Q. Now, this, it will be up on the screen now, you will 11:26 see it's a letter of 18th September 2014, from Superintendent McBrien, in which she makes reference to the attached reported, we have already looked at. She says:
"Chi ef Superintendent Curran is not satisfied that this negates our responsibilities as outlined in..."

The directive we have looked at.
" -- and has requested that the source be referred to in line with Garda policy."

So there is a move to release your source?
A. Yes.

112 Q. What did you feel about that?
A. Judge, there was no way I could release the source to the chief in mullingar. Judge, bear in mind, the allegations that $I$ am making referred to criminality or 11:27 alleged criminality within the westmeath division and he is the chief superintendent of the said Westmeath division.
MR. KELLY: Perhaps, Judge, at this stage it might be a convenient moment to take a relatively short break.
CHA RMAN Certain7y.
MR. KELLY: we are going to move onto a slightly different topic or a derivative of it.
CHA RMAN Yes. Just give me the number, that last one was 164 , is that right?
MR. KELLY: Volume 1, page 164.
CHA RMAN Sure. Yes, we will take a short break. Say ten minutes.
MR. KELLY: Yes, that is fine. Thank you.
CHA RMAN okay.

## THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED BRI EFLY AND RESUMED, AS

## FOLLOW6:

MR. KELLY: Garda Keogh, just to quickly recap just what we have been over.
CHAL RMAN Yes.
113 Q. MR. KELLY: Your initial disclosure was the 8th May.
A. Yes.

114 Q. You make a Pulse entry on the 18th May?
A. Yes.

115 Q. You meet the investigation team on the 7th June?
A. Yes.

116 Q. A month later you get a letter from Superintendent McBrien on the 24th July?
A. Yes.

117 Q. You explained the position as you saw it?
A. Correct.

118 Q. Was that explanation accepted or ignored? I think we 11:42 probably know the answer to that.
A. I just can't remember, Judge.

119 Q. Right. Did anybody provide you with a reason to explain why it was a CHIS matter other than referring to the document we have already looked at, which actually related to the management of CHIS?
A. No. Judge, I would have obviously been speaking to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy about this issue and I would have been outlining my views to him.
120 Q. Yeah. At this stage I am just going through the 11:42 timeline as it were?
A. Yes.

121 Q. Then in September, the document we looked at just before the break, the local management come out and ask you directly for the source of the information, is that 11:43 right?
A. Yes, that's the way it appeared to me, Judge.

122 Q. Yes. How did you feel about that as a system? Did you fee1, wel1, okay, they're entitled to do this, or, I
made a protected disclosure, or what?
A. Judge, personally I thought it was outrageous, where the allegations are of criminality in Athlone, which is in the Westmeath division, and then we have the chief superintendent of the Westmeath division looking for the source in relation to stuff which is certainly connected and is aware that there is an investigation team appointed. At that point I think we're at the start, had commenced the investigation.
123 Q. okay. Right. So this is all four months after you made the disclosure, this is rumbling on. Now, you made the Pulse entries concerning Garda A, which I think we looked at, Volume 7, 1802, I think we had it open there before the break.
A. Yes.

124 Q. It's perhaps not necessity at this point in time to go to it. What I want to then move on to is this: There comes a time then when Garda A complains about the making of that Pulse entry, which we have already looked at, as apparently he believes it's about him. Now, if we turn up to volume 29, page 8336. It's on the screen anyway. That is a letter, I think if we go down to the bottom, we see it should be from Garda $A$, yes. If we go back up, just leave it there. If we go back up, just to establish the date of it.
A. 27th July 2014.

125 Q. Right. So at this stage you had made the entry, so then, just filling in the chronology, Garda A writes this memo:
"Wth reference to the above,
I wi sh to report on the above intelligence record which was created by Garda Ni chol as Keogh on 18th May 2014.
I am obvi ously the seni or menber of the drugs squad ref erred to in this report, as Garda Keogh has recently made a number of compl ai nts agai nst me, which l bel ieve are as a result of a personal grievance whi ch Garda Keogh hol ds agai nst me."

Just pausing there. So far as I am aware, I will be corrected if I am wrong, nobody at that stage has actually told Garda A that it's about him, have they?
A. I was never aware of this document until I read it in the files, Judge. But just one thing I want to address 11:46 is, I had never made any allegations against Garda A with the exception of the initial -- what I reported to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy and Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin on the 7th June 2014. So there's no other allegations ever sense Garda A from me. That was it.
126 Q. So the point of it is this, the Pulse entry doesn't name him, it names Ms. B, but not him, and then he on this date is writing in, if you like, self identifying himself, as no one else appears in the documents, has self identified himself. Is that right?
A. It appears to be so.

127 Q. That then seems to lead to Chief Superintendent Curran to write a report about that complaint, which I think
is at Volume 7, at page 1802. If we can get that up. And 3. Have you got that? That's 19th May 2014.
A. Yes.

The first page. He attaches the correspondence with a report from Garda A, he then says:
"As outlined in the attached correspondence, the report of Garda A sets out his concerns regarding the intelligence created by Garda Keogh and the fact that this intelligence had become the subject of di scussion in Athl one Garda Station. Garda A has al so stated that it has on occasion resulted in himbeing the subject of unf avourable comment by colleagues in an attempt at humour which makes hi munconfortable."

Is what he says. Were you aware of that at the time?
A. I don't think so. I don't think so. I don't think so, no. I think -- I think -- I'm not sure, Judge. I know I definitely read it in the volumes.
129 Q. Yes.
A. I'm just not just at the time. I wouldn't think so.
Q. Right.

MR. MtGI NESS: Chairman, might I just clarify one matter there. This document is dated the 19th may, but Chief superintendent Curran has made an additional
statement identifying that this document, amongst some other ones, are misdated.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. MGGI NESS: This should be dated 10th September
2014.

CHA RMAN I see. It should be dated 10th September 2014.

MR. MEGU NNESS: Yes.
CHA RMAK So, it says 19 May, and it wouldn't make sense if it was 19th May.

MR. KELLY: No.
CHA RMAN Because it couldn't make sense.
MR. KELLY: why?
CHA RMAN Because the entry was only made on the 18th May, so there wouldn't be time for the complaint to be made and to some the chief superintendent. But if there is a question about the date, we will take it for the moment -

MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN - that there is an assertion that the correct date is not the 19th May.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN what date, Mr. McGuinness?
MR. KELLY: 10th September is the date Mr. McGuinness
has given.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. We will take that it is asserted that the correct date is 10th September '14.

MR. KELLY: Yes. In my own mind, I flag May actually 11:50 means September.
CHA RMAN Subject to checking or revisiting it.
MR. KELLY: Absolutely.
CHA RMAN Yes. .
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Q. MR. KELLY: But in any event, that sets in train a series of events. So Chief Superintendent Curran is writing this letter outlining the complaints of Garda A. I think we have already discussed the point that on the basis of the evidence we have seen so far, nobody had actually suggested to Garda A that the Pulse entry was about him. So, now here it's out, Chief Superintendent Curran is saying, well, look, he's concerned about it. He is expressing his concern, that we will see, I think, on the next page, 1804, this is 11:51 Chief Superintendent Curran concerned. It's the middle paragraph:
"I ammindful of the compl exity of the compl ai nt in vi ew of the fact that this case al so invol ves reports 11:51 made through the confidential recipient. However, I am concer ned with the intelligence system being utilised to assert as fact an entry whi ch di rectly rel ates to menbers of An Gar da Sí ochána. Accor di ngly, I am seeking clarification as to what aspects of the intelligence could be retracted..."

Is what mine says.
"...in view of the fact that not all of the information 11:52 appears to be pertinent to the kernel of the i ntel I i gence created. "

When did you see this document for the first time, from

Chief Superintendent Curran?
A. I don't --
Q. If you don't remember, just say so, it's fine. I wil1 just deal with this quickly and come back to it later, 1et's see how we get on quickly with this on. You said 11:52 at one point, as I recall, in evidence, look, with the Pulse entry, any guard starting off any investigation, the first thing you'd do is go to Pulse, is that right?
A. That's correct, Judge.

You go there, presumably as a starting point, to see what's on there about them?
A. Yes.
Q. You're looking for person $X$, it doesn't matter, is that right?
A. Judge, $I$ have already said, if it's me and to day if I was to be given an investigation, number one, I'11 go on to Pulse and I'11 check up the person. Number two, I'11 check to see if they've a car and I'11 go into that. So it's person first and the car will be the second. They will the standard things that you would go into. Depending, if you find something on the persons tab of interest, you go into that. But if you don't find anything on the persons, you then go into the car and see if there is anything on that.
135 Q. okay. We will move on to look, if we may, at the we can call it up. Now, this is the actual policy document. Hitherto you've just been referred to documents referring to the it or about its management.

But this is the real thing, as it were.

If we go through that, we get a definition of what covert human intelligence source is, hence the CHIS definition. I think that's at 8067, I think. Right. 11:54 There we are at 3.2:
"A person who is in contact with criminals agrees to regul arly provi de information or assi stance to An Garda Sí ochána in respect of criminal activity and in so doing has an expectation that it will be treated confi dentially."

So, I suppose the word to point to there is "regul arly", is that right? This was a one-off, the information you got?
A. Judge, I have always said this was not a CHIS matter.

136 Q. Yes. We will go through it and just look at it. So that's the definition that we're looking at, all right?
A. Yes.

137 Q. Now, if we then go back to 2.5, 8062. Now, we will start there at the top. It's talking in general about such people:
"A covert human intelligence source can gi ve
information sol el with the intention of iminating a rival in the context of criminal activity. Al so provi de inf ormation."

And so on. Then we look at 2.5:
"I ndi vi dual s offer thei $r$ services as a CH S for devi ous motives or reasons of self-interest. In this context, serious and caref ul consi deration of the CHIS's motivation must be undertaken. Any ulterior motive must be identified and proper safeguards implemented to ensure the interests of the publ ic and An Garda Sí ochána remai $n$ paramount."

So it's talking -- this is relating, bearing in mind it's "regul ar". So you look at motives, perfectly sensible stuff, you may think. Then you move down to 2.5(a), which begins with the words:
"Outsi de the CHIS systemthere will al ways be people who pass on inf ormati on to the Gardaí. In many instances such contact will be once off. These people may be described as ' public spirited citizens' exercising thei $r$ civic duties and in normal ci rcunstances need not be regi stered within the CHIS system It is i mortant that An Garda Sí ochána continue to recei ve inf ormation fromsuch indi vi duals and that the CHI S systemshould in no way prevent this from occurring."

Is that what you were relying on?
A. Yes, Judge. Judge, just as we11, I would have had an awareness of how the CHIS system worked, because I had
previously utilised the CHIS system previously in unrelated matters to this.

138 Q. Yes. So, just, for someone like me, pretend for the moment that I'm a guard, I'm sure it's something you don't want to dwell on too much, I am wandering down through Ath1one and I meet somebody, they give me a bit of information, $I$ think, oh, that's useful. On your basis, am I required to run back to the station and register them up as an informant or just take account of what's said?
Q. Yes.
A. That again could be a once off. If it was a person that was going to regularly supply information --

140 Q. Yes. The person you met wasn't on that basis, was it?
A. No, no, no.

141 Q. It was a one off, was it?
A. Yes.

142 Q. And they weren't engaged in any current criminality, you have already told us that?
A. No. Yeah. Just for clarification, Judge, that person did at later points, via $I$ think a phone call and perhaps text messages, they're in my diary anyway, they 11:58 are all recorded in my diary.

143 Q. Yes.
A. But did contact me with additional information over a period of -- within, let's say, three months.

Everything I passed on to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy. Everything.
144 Q. In this situation your superintendent, Noreen McBrien, who you said you got on well with so long, we looked at the letter she was writing to you, asking initially why it wasn't registered and then secondly, the prompting of the chief superintendent wanting the source, but at that time she knew that you had made a protected disclosure, is that right?
A. That would be correct.

145 Q. Chief Superintendent Curran knew that as well?
A. Yes. Everybody in Garda management would have known.
Q. Did anybody at that time take any steps to advise you as to how the information could be dealt with in what was a fairly unusual set of circumstances?
A. No. Well, the only person that would have gone into a detailed conversation in relation to this would have been Detective Superintendent Mulcahy. From my recollection, he was happy that this wasn't a CHIS matter either. Now he may dispute that, I don't know, but that's from my recollection.

147 Q. Let's not speculate on what he may or may not say?
A. Yes.

148 Q. What I am asking you is about what you said.
A. My recollection is that he agreed that it wasn't a CHIS matter.

149 Q. You were told or asked (a), why didn't you register it and (b) give us a source. We already know, because we looked at this document this morning, that you wrote
back and said that you wanted to know where in CHIS it said you had to do it, what covered it?
A. Yes.

150 Q. Did you ever get that information?
A. No, no. I would myself have had an awareness that that $12: 00$ wasn't certainly not in HQ directive 126, that was referred to in the original letter, that's why I looked, where I think I wrote in that letter that I wrote back to say where in HQ 126 does it refer to this. But there was no reply back.

So your point is, look, they wrote, they asked, I asked where is it covered, show me. Nobody ever did?
A. Correct.

152 Q. Is the short point?
A. Yes.
okay. As I understand the evidence so far, the matter sort of petered out around about September or October because I understand Noreen McBrien went out sick and the matter fell away, that is at least what I picked up whilst you were giving evidence and being asked questions by Mr. McGuinness. Is that right?
A. I'm aware that Superintendent McBrien went sick.

154 Q. Yes. What I am getting at, did they ever follow this up beyond September or thereabouts?
A. Sorry.

155 Q. Did they ever follow this CHIS line up?
A. I've read a lot of to-ing and fro-ing in documents, there's pages there with Garda -- it was very simple, it was very simple, Chief Superintendent Curran could
have picked up the phone to Deputy Commissioner ó Cualáin, or assistant commissioner at the time ó Cualáin and said, listen, there's this issue. It could have been very, very easily sorted. They could have had a very quick meeting with Detective Superintendent Mulcahy. But they chose to go down the road of reams of paper and that.

Just for clarification as well, Judge, a reference was made there, I took it up that it kind of like Superintendent McBrien was behind this. I never believed Superintendent McBrien was behind this, just for clarification
Q. I understand that and I am sure the chairman understands as well. You had a good relationship with Superintendent McBrien.
A. It's not that I had a good relationship or anything like that, it's just I didn't believe she was behind this.
157 Q. Right.
A. This part.
Q. You didn't believe she was behind it. What did you perceive this all to be? In other words, clearly they were asking this stuff, but why? what effect was it having on you? what did you think they were trying to achieve?
A. Em, Judge, I think -- I mean, a lot of -- within the first month there 's five incidents, I recollect, where the chief's office in mullingar had a particular
pivotal role in the first five incidents in that May or June period 2014, Judge. I would believe that they were trying to -- trying to cut me off at the pass perhaps. I don't know if it's the right phrase in this context.

159 Q. We11, look --
A. They knew I was dealing with Detective Superintendent Mulcahy.
160 Q. Did you regard this as harassment?
A. Oh yes.

161 Q. They were getting at you?
A. Yes.

162 Q. okay. I want to then just move on to issue 2, this is the --
A. Just for clarification, when they are all put together, 12:04 like as well, there's not just one. Like I've mentioned there in that first month period there was about five different separate matters emanating from the chief's office.
163 Q. Yes. We11, we covered the timeline, I am sure the Chairman has got a handle on the timeline involved.

This is the Pulse entry Garda A that I want to move on to. You were asked, whilst you were being questioned on Day 100, page 15 , line 7 , it's not necessary to call 12:05 it up, unless someone wants it, you were asked what you could check about a colleague on Pulse. From memory, I think it was Mr. McGuinness was asking you, but it doesn't matter who it was. what can you check about
someone on Pulse?
A. I could think, for example, any person in this room that has been involved in, let's say, a traffic accident or reported a handbag theft, was a victim of a crime or a witness to anything, they would go onto the Pulse system and I would be able to check pretty much -- I could go into pretty much any person in the country basically. There's very few people I'd say that aren't on Pulse. Bear in mind, it came in in 2000, so from 2000 on, we're now in, what, 2019, so virtually most people in the country would now probably be on Pulse or there would be a Pulse record.

It can be viewed, and this is important, I forgot to tell you Judge, I can check anything and I would leave a trace. For example, it will show up if I have checked anybody in this room, it will show up. But senior, high -- there is, for example, a cloak on Pulse where senior officers can check persons and not leave a trace that would be visible to me as a guard. They would have a higher level of access to Pulse.

164 Q. Okay. Look, leave aside my -- I was going to use a word -- I will leave that to one side and move on. But what could you check? You went to check him, Garda A on Pulse, what were you seeking?
A. Anything.

165 Q. What were you after?
A. Anything. I was going to meet with detective superintendent -- this is 18th May 2014 and I have to
meet them on -- at this stage $I$ think I'm aware as well, I'm due to meet them, I think, so I have to check him on Pulse. I have to see if there is anything else there on the system that I need to know. It could have been on the face of it a little thing, like he --
166 Q. It's perhaps not necessary to speculate, perhaps let me reframe it this way: You go and you check him on Pulse. Why were you checking him?
A. To see if there was anything additional that I needed to bring to the attention of --
167 Q. That's the bit I'm trying to get at?
A. Yes.

168 Q. It wasn't just out of idle curiosity, you wanted to hand it over to Ó Cualáin, is that right?
A. Yes. I would do that with any investigation. Any guard would do that. It's the thing a guard would do if they're investigating anything, they will check on Pulse to see what else is there, is there anything, it's part of the whole initial process of evaluating is there substance, is there anything, extra evidence, is there something else we should look at. Pulse is where it would be recorded on.

And, Judge, one thing as well, for clarification, what we're referring to, what I'm checking is the individual 12:09 person tab on Pulse. As I said, most people here may have a Pulse identification number, including myself, but also then Garda personnel would have their own thing, but that doesn't record incident -- that would
record perhaps work incidents and things like that. But what I am giving evidence in relation to is I checked the person tab, as in private.
169 Q. You told us why you would have done that and what it was about. What we then know is that it then starts and it triggers some other events. Garda A wanted a report. That's to be found at volume 30, page 8619, perhaps if we could have that up. volume 30. Right. This is the report, complaint, whatever it is, from Garda A:
" 20 th September, I noticed that the above named two gardaí had checked my personal details in the Garda system I can think of no good reason as to why these gardaí have been checking me. Garda [something] gi ves his reason for checking as an incident inquiry, Garda Keogh offers no reason at all for his using the Pulse systemto check ne. I believe both these gardaí were using Pul se for personal reasons and these checks were in no way Garda rel ated matters and that they may have breached the Data Protection Act by carrying out these checks."

Then he says:
"Garda [blank] performed his checks on the 9th May and Garda Keogh performed his check on the 18th May. In the light of the allegations that both these members are accusing me of, I find this very sinister, that
both are checking me on the Pul se systemand I am worried as to who they might be passing this information on to.

I would like this matter fully investigated, please."

You have told us why you were investigating and you have told us what issue you intended to make and what you found.
A. Yes.
Q. I don't want to know any of the details, but did you find something that was of use that you could pass on to ó Cualáin?
A. No. No, Judge, was the answer. And just to clarify,
"। am worried as to who they might be passing this information on to".

I was passing the information on to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, for clarification.
171 Q. And it concerned matters that he was investigating?
A. Yes.

172 Q. Okay. It was put to you that it was perfectly reasonable for the management to ask you why you were checking him. Did you supply that rationale at the time?
A. I cannot remember, I just can't remember off hand.

173 Q. okay.
A. But I would have been discussing this with Detective

Superintendent Mulcahy, because I would have been in contact with him when all this stuff was going on. I wasn't even -- I don't think $I$ was even aware of this report, Judge, until I read it somewhere.
174 Q. Okay. Well, you have had a long career in the Guards, haven't you?
A. Sorry.

175 Q. You have had a long career in the Guards?
A. I joined in 1999.

176 Q. How many times during your career in the Gardaí have
A. Oh, eh, thousands, Judge. Thousands, not even a thousands, I'm talking thousands. I couldn't put a rough figure on it, because checking persons, checking cars, checking -- the Pulse system, it is actually an excellent system, Judge. It's a net that records everything and it's a brilliant system.

177 Q. Yes.
A. But thousands of times.

178 Q. You've told us why you made the check. Why did you think that it was your responsibility to do this check?
A. Judge --

179 Q. Because you were providing information to the information team, did you think it was part of that or why was it down to you?
A. For clarification, and I touched on this in the evidence over the last two weeks. Judge, this investigation was my baby, excuse the term, until I passed it over to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy.

And at that point in time I wanted to have as much information and accurate information when I went to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy. There was nothing, I found nothing of any evidential value on the Pulse thing but $I$ still had to check. And that's it.

180 Q. Yes. So, we may conclude from that, might we, that it wasn't just for personal reasons or out of idle curiosity?
A. Oh no, no, no.
A. Yes, to explore an avenue, it didn't even yield anything.
Q.

I am just now going to move on to what I think is the third issue, Olivia O'Neill, which will probably take us up to the break, I anticipate. Well, we will see.

This is 24th May 2014, Olivia O'Neill. You're in the public office, as I understand it, is that right?
A. Yes. This is the first, first -- this is the initial --

183 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

184 Q. She initially comes in.
A. Yes.

185 Q. It concerns a complaint, that she is coming in to complain about a row had gone on which involved Ms. B; is that right?
A. Yes. Just for clarification, she doesn't just come in. She is sent in by the guards that are up at the scene.

186 Q. Oh, I see.
A. There is an incident and she is sent down to the station. I just happen to be there when she walks in.
187 Q. Yes. I don't need all the details of it. So this is in an estate down in Athlone, there is a row develops or a fight or something?
A. Yes.
Q. The Guards are summoned, they come out?
A. Yes.

189 Q. They see her, no doubt other people.
A. Yes.

190 Q. They tell her to go down?
A. Yes.

191 Q. This is your understanding, down to the police station and make a statement?
A. Make a complaint.

192 Q. Yes, make a complaint?
A. That would be a common thing within the Guards, and I'm guilty of it myself, Judge. It's where you're at a scene, you're out in the patrol car, you're dealing with something, and instead of taking -- you see, a lot of people, when you're dealing with things, a lot of things, Judge, people want to make complaints at the time. The next day they withdraw them and all this sort of stuff and there's a lot of wasted paperwork, and, as I said, I'm guilty of it myself, we call it kick to touch, where the guards at the scene didn't deal with the investigation and they said, go down to
the station and make a complaint.
193 Q. I can see that for dealing with stuff going on, go down to the station.
A. Yes.

194 Q. Right, you were in the public office, is that right?
A. Yes.

195 Q. Was it busy that night?
A. Em, I can't remember that night.
Q. We11, you have been asked about it before by the Tribunal investigators, you said it was busy?
A. Well, Judge, can I just check my note.
Q. Of course you can.
A. It's May -- just the date please?
Q. I have it as the 28th May.

CHA RMAN Your note may have it as the 29th.
MR. KELLY: Yes, I am just looking.
CHAN RMN: I have it as the 28th but I have a note to say, or is this 29th. But I think you will find, if you look at your diary, you will find that there's an entry on the 28th also.
A. Yes, Judge.

199 Q. CHA RMAN It's not important. But we will take it as the 28th unless somebody corrects us?
MR. KELLY: Yes.
A. For clarification purposes, Judge, I don't start using diaries until -- you see, when I met Judge McMahon on the --
Q. CHA RMAN Don't worry about that.
A. Yeah.

201 Q. CHA RMAN We have Garda Treacy and Inspector Farre11 and a variety of other people, all of whom dealt with the incident on the same -- or sorry, dealt with Ms. O'Neill on the same occasion.
A. Yeah, I don't think there is an issue on the date, Judge.

CHA RMAN There isn't. We will take it as the 28th until further notice.
Q. MR. KELLY: Yes. Let's not get too caught up on it.
A. From my recollection, just to answer the question, was 12:19 it busy that night? I knew there was an incident going on in a certain part of Athlone, because I had heard it on the radio and again, I was only half listening, I don't know if it was me that sent the patrol car up to it or how they went up there or how I was aware, I don't know. I was just there. Judge, there were guards in the public office as wel1 and there may have been I think members -- I think there may have been members of the public in that public part.
203 Q. CHA RMAN But you don't particularly remember whether it was busy or not?
A. I don't remember how busy it was.

CHA RMAN okay.
A. There was guards in the public office and I think -CHA RMAN I don't think it matters whether it was busy 12:20 or not.

MR. KELLY: No.
CHA RMAN Mr. Kelly is just trying to get to you recall as best you can what the information was.

WTNESS: Yes.
CHA RMAN And we are getting hung up on how busy it was or how many people were there.
MR. KELLY: We're not going to get hung up.

CHA RMAK No, no.
MR. KELLY: You're in the public office, olivia O'Neill has given in her statement, says you were on the phone, you previously said it was busy. But anyway. She then mentioned, you say, that there was police collusion in the drugs trade; is that right?
A. I don't know if they're -- I don't think -- I don't know -- I don't recollect the wording.
Q. Ms. B was doing favours for guards?
A. That, I recollect that, yes.

207 Q. So you are in the office, she is coming in. Where does that, doing favours for guards, is it her that comes out with it or someone else or you?
A. No, there's some issue. Whatever incident went on just prior to this, Ms. O'Neill comes in to the station, in to the counter, I just happened to be standing there. So obviously I had no idea what she was going to say. Then, when she started talking about Ms. B and what's going on there. It puts me straightaway in an awkward difficulty.
208 Q CHA RMAN Can I see if I understand for a second?
A. Yes.

209 Q. CHA RMAN If it's okay. Ms. O'Neill comes in to the station?
A. Yes.

210 Q
Q. CHA RMAN As you understand it, this is related to the matters that you have been hearing about on the monitor as to a public order incident?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN So here's somebody coming in from the public order incident?
A. Yes. CHA RMAK No, no, not exactly, but you knew there was an incident of some kind?
A. Some incident.

CHA RMAN They come in. I thought she was there with her daughter?
A. Yes, that's correct.

216 Q. CHAN RMAN He comes in with her daughter.
A. Yes.

217 Q. CHA RMAN And the question is, if I understood, she makes it clear that she is complaining about Ms. B -
A. Yes.

218 Q. CHA RMAN - allegedly assaulting her daughter; is that correct?
A. Yes.

219 Q. CHA RMAN That she was the subject but she's bringing her daughter with her?
A. Yes.

220 Q
CHA RMAN Is that correct? Have I got that right?
A. That's correct Judge. And at some point --

221 Q. CHA RMAN This is how Ms. B comes to be relevant to the conversation?
A. Yes

222 Q. CHA RMAN I'm sorry, Mr. Kelly, I am trying to confirm 12:22 my own recollection of this incident.
A. And at some point then, Judge, she mentions about -goes further about Ms. B.
A. And says Ms. B is doing favours for guards. She's doing favours for guards and I can't even recollect now exactly what else.
224 Q. CHA RMAN okay.
A. But I then said to her, right, I think I called Sergeant Haran and I said, this lady is looking to make 12:23 a statement. Sergeant Haran I think then got -- asked sergeant Stephanie Treacy to take a statement.

225 Q. CHN RMAN I thought you thought, if she's naming Ms. B, that you were concerned in affairs concerning Ms. B?
A. Oh yeah.
Q. CHAN RMAN But you're missing out this bit, you're not telling us this bit. That you decided that you better not take the statement?
A. Yeah.

227 Q. CHA RMAN Is that right?
A. We11 I couldn't take the statement.

228 Q. CHA RMAN No, I understand that, but you are forgetting that bit, you're leaving that bit out of the
story?
A. No, I'm coming back, sorry, Judge, I was to come back to that, because when she then said --
Q. CHA RMAN I'm sorry, forgive my impatience.
A. When she said, Judge, about --

230 Q. CHA RMAN First of all, Ms. B was the subject of the complaint?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAK She was complaining that Ms. B had assaulted her daughter?
A. Yes.

CHAN RMAN Another thing.
A. She then said, Ms. B is doing favours for guards, and she mentioned some other stuff as well.
Q. CHAL RMAN okay.
A. I then told her, when you're making your, name names and name guards, is what I said to her.

234 Q. CHA RMAN But in the meantime, you had decided you weren't going to be taking the statement, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN Because that would be more appropriate or something of that kind because you were in some way concerned with affairs, is that right?
A. Judge, yes. There was two things. I think I was at the public office, I think, I can check my diary.
236 Q. CHA RMAN Don't mind about checking the diary, just te11 us.
A. I think I was at the public office anyway.

237 Q
Q. CHA RMAN I mean nobody has suggested that there was the slightest thing incorrect, improper, nobody has suggested that.
A. Not here, Judge.

So, look, summarising it this way, you're in the office, she comes in with her daughter, making an allegation, saying that the daughter has been involved in this complaint?
A. Yes.
Q. Ms. B is involved in this as well. It's a complaint essentially against her, is that right?
A. Yes.

Q
Yes. Presumably you then think, alarm bells start ringing, wow, I'm conflicted here because I'm not the person to do this?
A. But also, she went further, she mentioned about Ms. B doing favours for guards and there was something else. She then -- yeah.

242 Q. Just pause there for a moment.
A. Right.

243 Q. She mentions Ms. B doing favours for guards. You had told her -- you realised that you are involved in this internal investigation and you might be conflicted, you told her you would get another guard to take her statement, is that right?
A. Yes. And, of course, the elephant in the room is, $I$ knew exactly who she was on about.
244 Q. Yes.
A. It was common knowledge, as I've said.

245 Q. Yes. You told her, in your evidence, to name names and 12:26 name guards?
A. Yes.

246 Q. What did you mean by that?
A. Put the names of whoever she's on about into the statement.

247 Q. In other words, tell everything?
A. Yes.

248 Q. Is that right?
A. Yes.

249 Q. Is that what it amounts to?
A. Yes. To tell -- yes. I can't recollect exactly what -- the one part I can recollect exactly, because I think I took a note of it, is, name names and name guards, which is essentially --
250 Q. Perhaps we can conclude this section on this bit: Were 12:27 you prompting her to go and make some sort of allegations against guards?
A. Absolutely not. If this -- I don't want to --

251 Q. Were you coaching her?
A. Absolutely not. No way. And, Judge, if this is 12:27 actually dealt with properly, and that's why I don't want to be critical of guards that were at the scene, they should have dealt with that. It shouldn't have been her sent down to the station.
Q. CHA RMAN That's the least of our worries.
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN That is the least of our worries. Your position is, as Mr. Kelly summarised it, in two words, what you were saying to her was, tell everything?
A. Yes.

254 Q. CHA RMAN That's what you were saying. He correctly summarises that?
A. Yes. That is, Judge, my case on this particular matter.

CHA RMAN I understand. A11 right. Thanks very much. MR. KELLY: okay.
255 Q. Three words, cough them up.
CHA RMAN Two words, tell everything, is what I am saying.
MR. KELLY: We11, I was using three there.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. A11 right. Thank you very much. Very good. Two o'clock. Thank you.

THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED AS

## FOLLOVB:

256 Q. MR. KELLY: Garda Keogh, before lunch we were on the matter of olivia O'Neill. If you turn up the statement of -- it's volume 30, page 8687. If we look down at the bottom of that, that just simply identifies who the author is; Nicholas Farre11, an inspector or superintendent, we11, superintendent. If you go to the first, the page before that, it's a letter dated 29th

May 2014. This is the following day. The account is given there.
"Mb. O' Neill came into the station, met with Garda Keogh, the public officer. Outlined her complaint. Garda Keogh was busy on other duties. Sergeant Har an asked Garda Stephani e Treacy to take a statement of compl ai nt fromOivia O Neill."

Then it goes on to record how - none of this is in dispute:
"Garda Treacy was about to record a statement, Ms. O Neill stated that she was advi sed that ME. B is friendly with certain other gardaí in Athl one Station and that she's phoned prior to any search so that she can get rid of weapons or drugs. She al so advi sed that Ms. B is tol d when anybody makes a compl ai nt or statement agai nst her that Gardaí cover up offences for Ms. B. She was advi sed to ensure this information is i ncor por at ed. "

And so on.
"When Garda Treacy asked Ms. O' Neill who gave her this advi ce, she sai d Garda Ni ck, just on the counter down stairs."

Then to move on:
"ME. O Neill made a statement of complaint in respect of her daughter, but declined to make a statement or formal complaint in respect of the advice gi ven. "

The preceding paragraph:
"I nspector Farrell had instructed that ME. O Neill should be invited to make a statement outlining her concerns rel ating to the advi ce gi ven by Garda Keogh, that she should al so be made aware of the options available to her in respect of bringing her concerns to the superintendent at Athl one and/ or the Garda Onbudsman Commi ssi on. "

I want to draw your attention to that third last paragraph:
"The advi ce allegedly gi ven by Garda Ni chol as Keogh is not appropriate in the circunstances and projects the i mage of An Garda Sí ochána in an unf avour abl e Ii ght.

It al so places Mb. B in a vul nerable position from persons currently under investigation in the At hl one area. "

So at that stage it would seem that Ms. O'Neill was asked to make a statement, it's confined just simply to the assault. She is then asked to make a statement of
complaint and to deal with the matters taking place downstairs, but she declines to do so because of the chronology.

So, at that stage there's no complaint, but then Sergeant Curley seems to have taken a report from Olivia O'Neill. I think that's Volume 3, page 452. If we get that up. This is, sorry, the statement to the Tribunal of that date. Perhaps if you go back to 451, the page before, this is the Tribunal investigators, they put the passage that has been opened.
"I informed her I was conducting enquiries into the i nf ormati on she had di vul ged to Garda Stephani e Treacy. "

This is a statement from Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley. It was put to Ms. O'Neill, this is Garda Curley:
"I expl ai ned to her that I wi shed to record a witness statement fromher outlining what she was tol d by Garda Keogh. She replied she di dn't wi sh to make a statement and that she now coul dn't really remember what was said in the Garda station that ni ght at all. Oivia O' Neill
declined to consent to the recording of a witness statement fromher despite being invited to do so."

Ms. O'Nei11 says:
"I have been asked in respect of this extract from Detective Sergeant Eamonn Curley's report to comment on the accuracy or otherwise of what he has stated in respect of this interaction he had with me."
what she has told the investigators is:
"I recall two guards approaching me on the street. I wasn't at hore when they came. They had called to the house and they were tol d where I was. I was down in my brother's house. I was out the front when they came. I knew they were guards when I saw them I was havi ng a ci garette. They were in a little black car. They pulled up on the side of the path, they wound down the wi ndow, they were just male Guards. They had files on thei I Iaps. They had asked me to get into the car. I said no, । would not. | asked them was | understand arrest. They said I was not under arrest. I said I would not get into the car. They asked me was I
afraid. I said l was not afraid. They mentioned about Ni ck Keogh and they asked me what did Ni ck Keogh say to me. What were we tal king about, were we meeting up all the time. They were not very ni ce to me. Garda Curley was doing all the tal king. I know himpreviously from 14:09 calling to my house on other matters. They asked me was I afraid to make a statement agai nst Ni ck Keogh. said I was not. I said, what is this all about? They went on sayi ng about the allegations and said was it

Ni ck Keogh telling me to say all these thi ngs, but as l have al ready said, he wasn't. When I say allegations, it's what I said about Ms. B having ears in the barracks. They kept wanting to know was I afraid. I sai d I wasn't. They wanted to take a statement from me 14:10 in the car. They asked me did I meet up with Garda Ni ck Keogh and what was Nick Keogh saying to tell me to say about a certai $n$ guard."

Just pausing there. Were you ever telling Ms. O'Neill what to say about any guard?
A. Never. Absolutely, I absolutely reject -- never.
"They asked me would Garda Ni ck Keogh ask me to make a certai n allegation about a guard. They di dn't say what 14:10 guard that was. That is to the best of my know edge. Q. I have been asked ot her than what I have outlined above have I ever been approached by any garda who mentioned or spoke about Garda Keogh in conversation with me and if so, to provide details of all such conversations.
A. No, l wasn't."

What I want to know is, the reference to a little black car, what sort of cars did you use in Athlone?
A. Judge there was an unmarked, at the time, a black opal Vectra.

258 Q. That is a smallish, small black car, is it? okay. In your experience as a police officer, somebody attends a
station to make a complaint and, for whatever reason, they decide not to make a statement, to leave it at that. How often do the Gardaí then go out to their house to ask them to make a statement?
A. Never. Never. matter and the next matter, in that neither Ms. O'Neill nor Mr. McHugh had anything to do with my main complaint. They weren't -- they'd nothing to do with it.
What did you understand the substance of the complaint to be? what was it saying that you had done in relation to Ms. O'Neill that was wrong?
A. My take on it is that they were trying to imply that I was basically rounding up Ms. O'Neill to come in and make --

261 Q. That you were coaching her?
A. Coaching her, correct.

262 Q. Fine. Let's move on to the next of those allegations, Liam McHugh. That's an allegation that concerns events
that are said to have happened on 31st May 2014. It seems to arise in this way: Garda Aidan Lyons makes a statement regarding Liam McHugh, which I think is at volume 42, page 11719. That is the whole statement.
A. Just for clarification, Judge, as well, these two, when this Olivia O'Neill matter occurs, it's within a couple of days the next thing appears, Judge. Right. If you just look at the page before, just to set it in context. I will go through it quickly because we have been through this before. It's page 11718. It's under the subheading "The complaint of Mr. Li am MEHugh". Have you found it?
A. Yes.

264 Q.
"In rel ation to the investigation into Li am MEHugh's compl ai nt of Garda Lyons (me) on the 31st May, I recall an occasion in the summer of 2014 when I was approached on the street in Athl one by Liam MEHugh.

Li am MEHugh is known in Athl one for selling magazi nes, the Big Issue, he was al so known to sell ci garettes.

I recall on the 31st May Mr. MEHugh informed ne he had recently been approached by the bald guard..."

Just pausing there, do you accept that's you or that that's a description of you
A. Yes, Judge.

265 Q. Right.
"... who had asked himif he could remember the day when he had been searched by three gardaí who had sei zed €800 in cash fromhimand whi ch was subsequently spent in a pub, a local booki es and casi no. The bal d guard then informed Mr. MyHugh that if it were the case that he wi shed to make a compl ai nt in rel ation to this then he (the bal d guard) woul d back hi mup."

Just pausing there, that's what you understood the allegation to be?
A. Yes.
Q. What's your reaction to that allegation?
A. From the minute -- when that allegation was formally put to me, Judge, the way they went around this, I was receiving correspondence first about my interactions with Mr. McHugh. I didn't know what it was about. Then I had to write on documents, which are before the Tribunal, as to explain interactions and things like that. It wasn't until a good period later that I actually got -- Superintendent McBrien showed me the actual contents of the allegation. Judge, from that moment on, I absolutely rejected everything to do with the allegation.
267 Q. Okay. The bit I want to particularly put to you is over the next page, 11719, where Aidan Lyons, Sergeant Aidan Lyons says at 4.31:

[^0]Mr. McHugh to make a fal se compl ai nt."

What do you say to that?
A. I absolute1y, absolutely, completely reject, Judge, everything to do with this. Everything to do with this. In relation to the Olivia O'Neill matter, like there was an interaction with myself and Ms. O'Neill at the counter, I don't dispute that, but this, this whole thing, Judge, the whole thing, Judge, I would say is false. I have said that from when it was first put to me, Judge, not alone did I say I don't know anything about it, I actually went further and said, this is false.

268 Q. Yes. Now, we know that Aidan Lyons makes a report by e-mail to Sergeant Curley. First, Aidan Lyons, who did 14:17 he work with? whose partner was he?
A. He was Garda A's partner at the time.

269 Q. It's then passed on to Inspector Farrell. If we then turn to Volume 30 , page -- this is the one -- yes, the first document, just for purposes of the transcript, given that it's there, it's page 522, that's the original report from Aidan Lyons. I think what I referred you to was Volume 30 , page 8712 . In this document, you're being asked by Superintendent Noreen McBrien:
"Further to our tel ephone conversation, can you provi de me with a report outlining your contact with Mr. Liam McHugh over the past three months and the nat ure of
such interaction?"

Do you see, down below, whose handwriting is that?
A. Sorry, can I just get the page number?

270
Q. I'm sorry, 8712.
A. 8712?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Good. May 2014, this is the 23rd July. Will you read out what you have written there, "I wi sh to report..."
A. Addressed to Superintendent McBrien, Athlone:
"I wi sh to report । met Liam McHugh at 21:50 on the 19/7/14 Church Street, Athl one, while on the beat. Prior to that I had no contact or dealings with Liam MEHugh over the past three months. Forwarded for your inf ormation, please."

273 Q. Do you know why that was sent to you, that request was sent to you?
A. I do now. I do now. I didn't at the time.

274 Q. At the time, right. Could we look at your diary entry for the 20th July, it's volume 47, page 13265?
A. Yes.
Q. You see down at the bottom, it's the bottom right-hand corner I think, because we see at the top, 17, 18,
possibly blanked out 19, and then there is 20 below. Is that your note at the time?
A. Yes.
Q.

Right. what does it say there? I have difficulty reading the handwriting. But:
"On the beat met Li am McHugh. I heard the..."
what?
A.
"He sai d to me, I heard they ran you out of town. I asked about when I last saw himin last one to two years. Informed me approached re statement."

The next part is not relevant. The last line is not relevant to the thing, Judge. who the author of that original report was? In other words, did you know that it was Aidan Lyons?
A. No, I only found out -- the first I saw of sergeant

Aidan Lyons, I think was in 2018, I think. It was during the Finn investigation. It appeared there at some -- because I had no idea until then.
Q. Right. Now, I think it's undisputed that Noreen McBrien reported the incident to Inspector Curran on the 5th August. She confirms that she didn't actually disclose Garda Lyons' identity to you?
A. Yes.
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Q. We've heard that in the evidence.
A. Just for clarification, not alone did $I$ have no idea, it was a shock, an absolute shock when I saw who wrote that report.
Q. Right. As far as you know, has there been any investigation into the allegations, the alleged theft of money?
A. Not that I know of, Judge.
Q. As far as you know, were any other guards if they were involved in it?
A. I don't know, I don't -- I wouldn't -- as I have always 14:23 stated, Judge, I don't believe -- this certain incident, if I am alleged to have been involved in it, and anyway it didn't happen, I have no knowledge of it, the thing even with the casino, Judge, I have a lot of problems, gambling is a thing I do not do. I never -- 14:24 the Lotto, I do the Lotto the odd time. Absolutely not.

282 Q. As far as you know nobody else was investigated, but you were?
A. Correct. Judge, for clarification, they didn't even investigate whether there was an alleged theft of money.

283 Q. Yes.
A. The only thing they seemed to investigate was whether I told Mr. McHugh to go and make -- to make a statement or to make a complaint. That's the only thing they seemed to have investigated, whether I actually told him to go and make a complaint.
284 Q. What I am want to ask you is: what did you understand
the substance of the complaint to be?
A. Well, the substance of the complaint was that there was a theft which involved -- an alleged theft which involved three gardaí, of which I appeared to be one. I allegedly then go back to Mr. McHugh and say, if you report this, I'11 back you up, is in a nutshel1 what they --
Q. So this is coaching, making up evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. That's what you understood it to be?
A. Absolutely. I've said this from day one, this whole thing, Judge, I always maintaining this whole thing is sinister.
287 Q. Just to round that bit off, we know from the evidence of Inspector minnock at volume 4, you needn't call this up, page 688, that he says:
"I believe Ni chol as Keogh is correct in stating that no investigation took pl ace with regard to the monies."

It is corroborated again by Superintendent Curran.

It was put to you in evidence that the first time you alleged the McHugh report was trumped up was when you were giving your evidence. I may have misunderstood it, if we call it up, it's transcript Day 100, page 86, line 16 . It's page 86
A. Just the line?
note says.
"CHAI RMAN: Mr. MEHugh never made this, this was
trumped up. I suppose what we are concerned about was the response to that. And you don't say, they should have known it was trumped up, you don't say that. You say, no, they should have dealt with it differently. One, you sai d they shoul d have gi ven it to Ó Cual ái $n$, and, I have to say, I am having difficulty with that, because I understand Ó Cual ái $n$ or the assi stant commi ssi oner to be saying what he said, I am difficulty with that one.
A. Yeah.

CHAl RMAN: Mr. McGui nness is saying to you, look, they got this report, whatever its credi bility, here was a report. They went of f to you, you gave a report and that seens to have been the end of it. Mr. McHugh was approached, apparently would not make a statement?
A. Approached a number of times."

And so on. I think in fact, and we needn't call this up unless it's disputed, that in Volume 1, at page 125 , the third paragraph, you actually say that to the Tribunal, that it was trumped up.
A. Yes.

289 Q. That's Volume 7?
A. Judge, trumped up is an understatement, it was absolutely sinister. I used the term trumped up but
it's even worse than trumped up. That's is my belief, Judge.
290 Q. Judge, I will move on to a new matter, the re-examination -- sorry, the alleged micro supervision by Sergeants Martin, Moylan and Haran. Now, I think on 9th March 2015 that Superintendent Pat Murray became a superintendent in Athlone, is that right?
A. Roughly, yes.
Q.

I think it's your position, if I understood it correctly, that from that date you were subject to criticism and oppressive levels of supervision, is that right?
A. Yes.

292 Q. Right. Now, volume 1, page 187, this is a document entitled "Sick Report 2nd April". So, on the 9th March, this is the same thing, 2015, where Superintendent Murray is saying:
"I have allocated Sergeant Martin as Iiaison person for Garda Keogh, to allow hi mto di scuss any work rel ated issues he may be havi ng with a vi ew to sol vi ng any issues that may arise. Both Sergeant Martin and Garda Keogh have been informed of this.

Sergeant Mbylan, and in his absence Sergeant Haran,
supervise unit C, to whi ch Garda Keogh is attached.
Both those sergeants shoul d continue to supervi se the mentber in the normal way in rel ation to any work out put required of the menber resulting frominci dents he
attends or matters he is investigating."

Just pausing there, what is meant by "in the normal way"? Presumably this is just what normally happens?
A. Sorry, just which part? Where is that?

Paragraph 2:
"Both sergeants should continue to supervi se the member in the normal way."

We11, I take it in the station you're working under a sergeant with them. Are they looking over your shoulder all the time or what are they doing it?
A. No, no. A sergeant is a supervisor, just to make sure everything runs smoothly, Judge, essentially.
Sergeants would be over a unit. Every sergeant would usually have, depending on the unit, somewhere between four and eight guards under their supervision. And a sergeant would supervise just to make sure everything runs correctly.
294 Q. Then he goes on to say:
"Sergeant Mbylan should sit down with Garda Keogh to go through his notebook, Pulse, the DPP and crime files list and ascertain if he requires hel p with any ongoing 14:31 cases as he mentioned a harassment case he may be in difficulty with. Any issues arising should be i mmedi at el y reported. "

So here was the superintendent saying, well, go through his notebook, Pulse, the DPP and crime files list, what do you feel about that?
A. We11, Judge, that word "hel p", Judge, could be replaced with an another word beginning with H , to hammer, you know.
Q.

How long had you been in the Guards at that time?
A. What year? 2015, I joined in 2019 (sic) so I have what, 15,16 years.
296 Q. What message does this send, in your view, some 15 or 16 years in the Guards, your superintendent saying, look, take this guy, go through his notebook, Pulse and DPP crime files list?
A. Oh, it's as clear as day they're just looking for any problem that they are able to hammer me with. with that, this thing, the last thing, the line there:
"... as he mentioned a harassment case he may be in difficulty with. "

Judge, that's cropped up in a previous thing, where I disputed that absolutely as well. In a previous, I don't know was it last week or the week before, but I didn't -- I had no difficulty with that case. I don't know if you recall what I'm talking about.
That's okay. I don't need to go into it too much at this point. But here you are, is this treating you like a child?
A. Oh yes. Yes, Judge. To put a third sergeant on as
well. And another, like another issue, just recently I've been thinking about, Judge: with all that went on, and Sergeant Martin was under pressure with other matters, why would they put Sergeant Martin again into the line of fire of what potentially is going to be another explosive thing? Garda management were the cause of that.

298 Q. Right. It was suggested to you that Pat Murray was simply trying to introduce higher standards, greater visibility, accountability, he said so in his statement. What do you say to that?
A. Sorry?
Q. I will repeat the question.
A. Yeah.

300 Q. It was suggested to you that Pat Murray was merely trying to introduce higher standards and greater visibility and accountability. That's what he says in his statement. What do you say to that?
A. I reject that. I just outright reject that. This is, Judge, very clear. They're looking to find any problems, any problems that they can find, notebook, Pulse, DPP, crime file lists. To find any problem that they can, have a go. To back that up, as has cropped up in evidence with other things, incidents I was investigating, when we go into the likes of the C8s and 14:34 things like that, just any little mickey Mouse thing at a11, Judge, that they could hit me a dart with. That's all it was. To try and find something else to keep me under maximum pressure, Judge.
A. 2187?
Q. That's correct, yes.
"Met Garda Keogh for the first time."

Are you looking at the right page?
A. Yes.

303 Q. The third paragraph:
"Tol d hi manyone working here can come in at any time and nothing can be done about that. I di scussed work rel ated stress in terms of his coming to work today, if work rel ated stress was the issue. He said he had certs fromhis doctor. I asked himif he had been to the CMD. He said no and I said I would send himto assess his stress because the in and out appearances do 14:36 not in my view support what he is saying."

You had your statement, he had asserted you're under no stress.
A. Yes. I also dispute this part:
"I asked himif he had been to the CMD. He said no."

That can't be correct, Judge. I would have been with
the CMO before and I would have been on -- they would have had a record of it, like I wouldn't have said no. I don't what -- I dispute that part as well. What do you say to that note of his of the conversation, you're saying that he had asserted to you 14:37 you're under no stress?
A. Judge, they knew, they knew absolutely I was under stress. Even this whole thing, going through my work in micromanagement, the attempt at micromanagement was just to keep me under maximum pressure. well, would it be fair to say the position was that he was sceptical about this work related stress?
A. That, sorry, who?

306 Q. That he was sceptical?
A. oh I reject that. I reject that.
Q. CHAN RMAK I don't think Mr. Kelly wants you to reject that, I think he wants you to agree with that?
A. No, no, I'm thinking of -- sorry --

CHA RMAN Mr. Kelly is suggesting that the superintendent was sceptical about work related stress. 14:37
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN

So I think the answer to that is yes?
A. No, but sorry, I was going to come at it from another angle. okay.
309 Q.
MR. KELLY: We11, I will put this passage to you and we ${ }^{14: 38}$ will see where we get to with this.
CHA RMAN That is an issue, that is an issue in the case.
MR. KELLY: That's right.
Q. CHA RMAN You say that he was at least unsympathetic and it does appear from the rival positions as we know them, that one view is that he was sceptical, disbelieving or doubtful about work related stress. That is one view of this. That's what Mr. Kelly is suggesting to you.
A. Yes but that's the part I reject. He couldn't have been sceptical. He knew, I told him I was under stress.

CHA RMAN That's all right. Whether he could be or not, $I$ am not getting into that one. Mr. Kelly is taking reviewing your evidence.
MR. KELLY: It will be explored later in a particular way, sceptical. But there it is.
312 Q. He certain7y wasn't accepting that you were under stress, was he?
A. No, Judge.

313 Q. Related to work?
A. Judge, from recollection, the first meeting that $I$ had with him, he stated twice to me, you're under no stress, when I brought up stress. He said, you're under no stress, twice.

314 Q. Down that last paragraph:
"I asked himif he was doing any work. He said, what
do you mean? I said, you're getting wages, are you doi ng any Garda work, enf or cement, i nvesti gations, community engagements, et cetera? He said he was doing very little. I said l coul dn't condone that and asked
hi $m$ what he was doi $n g$ and was he foll owing up on inci dents being reported to hi $m$ Then he mentioned an assault/harassment case...."
and so on
A. Sorry, just there:
"He menti oned an assault/harassment case he said he was negl ect ing. "

That is completely false, Judge.
315 Q. Right. Okay. So there's a great difference between what he has written and what you are saying there. Can we agree this: I think he wasn't being sympathetic to any concept with work related stress as far as you were 14:40 concerned.

Had you ever up until making your protected disclosure been the subject of the proposed level of supervision before?
A. Never. Never. Judge, as a probation guard, a student guard back in 1999, you'd be called up to the superintendent's office.
316 Q. Yes.
A. And they'd inspect your diary. And as a probation guard, the superintendent, $I$ mean, the odd time might just check your notebook and sign your notebook and that would be it. Never ever, ever since, never anything like this.

317 Q. You see, Pat Murray says in his statement, the reference is, it needn't be called up, Volume 11, page 3055, talking about what we have identified or you have identified as these criticisms, the micro supervision, Pat Murray said:
"What he..."

That means you
"...says is criticisml would say as gui dance and advi ce. I feel he is compl et el y exaggerating the situation. I outlined in my statement, page 4, I would provi de a formof support for Garda Keogh. I think it is an exaggeration for himto say he had three sergeants supervising him To put it into context, the supervi sing situation that applied was in pl ace bef ore my arrival."

Is that right?
A. No, I can't agree with that either.

318 Q. I think Sergeant Moylan and Sergeant Haran were supervising sergeants, is that right?
A. Yes. Sergeant Haran was a community policing sergeant that would have rested on the same shift as my unit, unit C. Sergeant Moylan was the sergeant in charge of my unit, unit $C$. So, on that shift generally there would be at lest one of those sergeants around, if not two, but always one sergeant around.

319
Q. You're not criticising Sergeants Haran, Moylan or Martin?
A. I'm not --
Q. They were told what they were told?
A. I am in no way criticising any of those three sergeants, Judge.

321 Q.
CHA RMAN My understanding of your evidence is that they did absolutely nothing that you consider in any way offensive?
A. That's correct.

322 Q. CHA RMAN The entire complaint in this case is the direction, order, whatever it was, the direction by Superintendent Murray that you say was not in fact carried out by the three sergeants?
A. Yes.

323 Q. CHA RMAN That's what I have written down elsewhere?
A. Yes.

324 Q. CHA RMAN So, if it's wrong, tell me it's wrong?
A. No, no. No, no, no.
Q.

CHA RMAN I am pretty certain that if I go back through my notes and the transcripts, that's exactly what I am going to find. Because I remember asking you to clarify that?
A. Judge, I'm not --
Q. CHAN RMAN I understand. But we're absolutely clear, the sergeants said nothing. Your point the sergeants didn't in fact carry out any micro supervision notwithstanding the unreasonable order made by Superintendent Murray?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN Some words to that effect?
A. Yes. Also, it was very unfair what they did, putting Sergeant Martin back into the line of fire.
Q. CHA RMAN whether they did or didn't, nothing happened 14:43 as a result?
A. No, no, no.

## CHA RMAN Yes.

MR. KELLY: what you are saying is, it's really the fact that he did it, this was targeting.

CHA RMAN He gave the order.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN That shows that he was targeting you or whatever, you know, certainly fixing on you.
A. Yes.
Q. MR. KELLY: You were in his sight?
A. Yes.
Q. I take it when he speaks in the statement about guidance and advice, that's not something you would accept?
A. No.
Q. Meaning that that's what it was about. We covered this already, you were in the Guards since 1999, you had been in the drugs unit, and you're just saying that, look, it's excessive for someone of that experience to be subjected or proposed to be subjected to such a level of supervision of basic principles.
A. Judge, I was in two drugs unit.
Q. CHA RMAN That's right.
A. I was in the national drugs unit, seconded for a time. I was in the detective branch. Uniform guards, of course, they deal with every sort of thing as well. CHA RMAK I see. But the question, Garda Keogh, the question $I$ have to decide in this case is the motivation of Superintendent Murray?
A. Yes.

334 Q.
CHA RMAN Not what happened on the ground, it's what was in his mind, did he make an unreasonable order that targeted you or is there another interpretation of it?
A. Judge, the word I would use is vindictive. CHAN RMAN Absolutely. Did he make this decision vindictively and not helpfully, as he asserts?
A. Yes.

336 Q. CHAN RMAN That's the debate?
A. Yes.

MR. KELLY: That's right, that's the issue. Right. We will move on to the next issue, please, issue 6, the motor tax issue. Now, what we know, just as a précis, is that essentially you were required to reclassify your vehicle and so on, subsequently there was a letter sent out, we will go through all this in a moment. But the point here is that no other guard was in fact done on this. You had mentioned, volunteered that there was another guard in another sub area or something who may have been -- it's been clarified, helpfully, by counsel to the Tribunal that that wasn't so. You were the only
one in what we are about to hear. what we are about to look at is how this train of enquiry gets going.

According to Noreen McBrien, she says that it was Superintendent Mulcahy that made a comment to her about 14:46 car tax. Just to source this, it's is volume 21, page 6237. Thanks very much. This is the witness statement of Noreen McBrien, 6237. This is the statement that she made to the Tribunal's investigators. The question she is asked is:
"I have been referred to my statement..."

That's her statement
"... where I said..."

That's she Said
"...I recall Detective Superintendent Mul cahy coming to me in regards to Garda Keogh's car tax. I have been asked to detail, to the best of my recollection, what Detective Superintendent Mul cahy said to me about Garda Ni chol as Keogh and his car tax, when this occurred and all the attendant circunstances.
A. I cannot be sure of $t h i s$ but $I$ recall it was mentioned to me coming froma cup of coffee in Athlone. My menory is that I was wal king with Detective

Superintendent Mul cahy fromthe coffee shop back to the station. He sai d, you should have a look at Garda Keogh' s car tax. I asked was there somet hing I should be concerned about and he said no, but it's just somet hing that I should I ook at. I assumed that this would be somet hing that would come to me in an e-mail or a report from Detective Superintendent Mulcahy following this interaction but no such e-mail or report was recei ved by me."

Presumably up until reading this, this is all news to you.
A. Yes.

338 Q.
"I have been asked di d Detective Superintendent Mul cahy advi se me specifically whether he had identified an i ssue with Garda Ni chol as Keogh's car tax and how he identified this issue, and if not, di d you clarify, at any stage with Superintendent Mul cahy what he was ref erring."

Over the page.
"No. He di dn't identify the specific issue. When I asked if there was somet hing I shoul d be concerned about, he just said it was just something l should look at.
Q. I have been asked did Detective Superintendent

Mul cahy ever rai se a concern with me in respect to car tax or any other i ssue concerning any other Garda members fromthe Athl one station party and if so, I have been asked to detail what I was told Detective Superintendent Mul cahy and all attendant circumstances.

## A. No. Onl y Gar da Keogh. "

Then she goes on to say:
"I was waiting something to follow, a report or correspondence from Superintendent Mul cahy."

But to cut a long story short, we know that she passed over some papers or a file to Superintendent Murray when he arrived, is that right?
A. I understand so. Judge, can I just clarify something? Judge, in relation to this, I think -- mentioned -just from recollection, $I$ could be wrong.
339 Q. CHA RMAN It's all right.
A. That it was Superintendent Mulcahy may have looked at my car and spotted something.

340 Q. CHA RMAN Yes.
A. That's not how it would have happened.

341 Q. CHA RMAN How it would have happened. I was just guessing, speculating?
A. Yeah. Judge, as I explained earlier, if you're going to look at somebody or start an investigation, first you go in to the person. If you find anything
interesting there, grand, whatever. Number two, then you go into the car, you'll nearly always find something in the car. And as I said, senior officers have a cloak on the Pulse system where -CHA RMAN So you think he might have gone to the Pulse 14:51 system?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN Discovered that you were paying the commercial rate of tax and decided there was something fishy about that?
A. That's what I would have done it if I was...

CHA RMAN Okay. Who knows. We will have to wait for him to come along. Thank you very much.
344 Q. MR. KELLY: The --
A. And just, Judge, I wouldn't have been able to see that, 14:51 as I said.

CHA RMAN I understand that. There would be a record but it would on7y be accessible to people at a certain 1eve1.

345 Q. MR. KELLY: You're using your diary quite effectively, 14:51 if I may say so, would you turn over the diary of June 2014, it's in 13260, volume 47.
A. Sorry, the date?

346 Q. This is about June 2014. I think it's about the 11th June. I see that here. okay. If you look on the 7th 14:52 June 2014?
CHAL RMAN 7th June?
347 Q. MR. KELLY: 7th June 2014, it seems to read "10an", I think, "Portumna Garda station".
A. Yes.
Q. Can you read that out?
A.
" 10am Portuma Garda station. Meet Assi stant
Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái $n$ and Det ective Superi nt endent Mul cahy. Expl ai $n$ of all egat i ons made on 18/10/ 13 re pl anting drugs. While drunk D/ Super asks if l put it in writing after. I said they banged me up to the --"

Perhaps it's not necessary to read it all. But I think the point there is that you weren't actually in Athlone that day?
A. No, I was in Portumna meeting with --
Q. Can I ask you this: Did Detective Superintendent Mulcahy ever raise the issue of car tax with you?
A. No. Again, like the Aidan Lyons report, he would have been the last person I would have suspected on that, Judge.
A.
well, a superintendent --
Q. Do you see much of this in Athlone?
A. Well, in Athlone, Athlone is a very different station to normal Garda stations. But, Judge, no, you wouldn't see -- like Superintendent Mulcahy's job is to investigate my allegations. But it appears at the very start he looks into me and unfortunately it's out of -he obviously spots this is how the car tax issue starts.

353 Q. Can I take it, it isn't the normal run-of-the-mil1 stuff for a superintendent to be bothered with car tax?
A. Sorry?

354 Q.
It's not normal run of the mill stuff for a superintendent to be bothered with --

MR. MRPHY: I wonder could I just raise an objection, Chairman. I have a concern. There have been a number of leading questions but this question is clearly a leading question as we11. I appreciate in re-examination there is a need to try and keep matters concise, but there has been a series of leading questions and this is something which I certainly have to object to.
CHA RMAN We11, I am not concerned Mr. Murphy. I appreciate that certainly it's a leading question, but we're getting a move on. It contains also an assertion that it's not really a matter for a superintendent. That is a proposition, maybe some people will agree with that, maybe some people won't agree with that. Maybe some people might say, well, if a guard has the wrong tax on his car it should be the matter for a superintendent or anybody else, or the Commissioner who notices it, I don't know. It's a point of view. But Mr. Kelly is asserting a point of view. He is saying, no, this is too trivial for a detective superintendent 14:55 to be bothering his head with and he shouldn't be doing it. That's what he's suggesting.
MR. MRPHY: Chairman, that's my second concern. Because this witness has been cross-examined and
examined by the Tribuna1. To my understanding that's the first time there's an attempt being made to make an accusation against Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, who you will recall, this witness said he had no complaint about.

CHA RMAN He did.
MR. MRPHY: Now in re-examination, for the first time there is an attempt to develop a line through leading questions suggesting that Superintendent Mulcahy did something improper.
CHA RMAN Mr. Murphy, I am slow to interfere. I appreciate that the point you make about Mr. Kelly's question is entirely valid. But equally, I appreciate that Mr. Kelly's purpose is to move things along, there's a certain amount of supposition, assumption, assertion and submission, as there often is when counse1 are asking questions. We have been over a lot of this ground before. So I am sympathetic to the idea that Mr. Kelly truncates, if you like, a proposition and a submission into a question. Now, if it goes too far, I am sure I will be very happy to entertain an application.

MR. MRPHY: Sure.
CHA RMAN At this stage I treat it -- as I say, in my mind, there's a proposition advanced by Mr. Kelly, I regard it as a proposition, $I$ don't regard it as a heinous allegation against Detective Superintendent Mulcahy. Some people will call it an interesting proposition, that having the wrong tax is a matter so
trivial that it shouldn't be that somebody so elevated as a detective superintendent should find it unworthy to interest himself in the matter. Others might take a different view and say, well, anyway, punctilio is never to be ignored. That's the position we find ourselves in, so I am not stopping Mr. Kelly, that's his proposition.
MR. MRPH: Chairman.
CHA RMAN It came as a bit of a disappointment to you to find that the trail of the wrong tax came back to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, in whom you had reposed and do repose such faith. So here is a little blot on his escutcheon as far as you're concerned?
A. Judge, I did say at the start, it took a time for myself and Detective Superintendent Mulcahy to build trust.

356 Q. CHA RMAN Agreed. And trust you did build, but here is a blot we have to put on his escutcheon if you are correct. Al1 right. Thank you. Now, Mr. Kelly. It says in on the transcript "a blot on his discussion", we11 I suppose it is a blot on his discussion but it's his escutcheon.

357 Q. MR. KELLY: We11, I was thinking of all the different literary allusions but I am going to leave literary allusions well alone in this case. I want to turn at the car tax issue. Anther garda was asked, I think, to check your car tax on Pulse on the 30th September and 1st October 2014. We find this at Volume 13, page 486 , if that's called up, it's a statement of evidence of

Gerry white. He is now a retired garda. Can you find it?
A. Yes. 486.
what he is saying, four lines down:
"It would appear that I am being requested to clarify my checking of Garda Ni ck Keogh's private vehicle on the Garda Pul se system on the 30th September and the 1st October 2016. On this matter I would state as follows: I do not recall specifically checking Ni ck Keogh' s vehi cle on any occasion. It would not be a normal practice for me to check Garda members' vehi cles beyond the occasi onal check where a car may be bl ocking access to or fromthe car parking spacing in the Garda station yard."
what we do know is that he did do such a check.
"However, having checked the Pul se printouts supplied with the di scl osure showing my checks of Ni ck Keogh's car, I do recall being at an informal meeting with the di strict finance officer and the district officer Noreen M Brien while l was empl oyed as a di strict clerk in Athlone. I do not, however, recall the actual date. During our conversation, the finance officer showed us a large number of travel and subsi stence allowance cl ai $\mathrm{n} \Phi$ she had from Garda menbers for processing and payment. I do recall Superintendent McBrien asking me to do with a check on a car regi stration details of
random Garda menbers whose clains were in for processing. This check would have been done to ensure that the vehicles were properly taxed and insured on the dates clains were incurred. I cannot recall if Superintendent McBrien or the finance officer handed me 15:01 the claims to be checked or if l took themfromthe bundl es being processed. I do not recall if Nick Keogh's vehicle was one of those checked. However, Pulse entries supplied would indicate that it may have been. Nor do I recall the results of my enquiries or how I transmitted them back to MEBrien.

I amat a loss to expl ai $n$ why l checked Ni ck Keogh's vehicle a few times in a short period of time. In an effort to confirmthat this recollection of events is correct, I requested ser geant $M$ chael MtArdle to source my Pul se search hi story of the 30th September and the 1st October 2016. The same shows my check on a number of motor vehi cl es on the afternoon of the 30th September. These checks appear to be of vehicl es of
Garda menbers who were stationed in Athl one at that time. Fromthis l amsatisfied that my recollection of events as outlined above is correct and my checking of Garda Ni ck Keogh's vehicle was connected with this request by Superintendent MbBrien, to check the val idity of clain n of a number of Garda menbers which were bei ng submitted for payment.

I have never had any personal or professional ani mosity
towards Ni ck Keogh and would hope that he feel sthe same about me."

Just pausing there, when you do a Pulse search, what does that absolutely show up in relation to a vehicle? I presume it shows the registration, you have to put that in, but what else does it show up
A. Well, you type in the registration number, is how it would start, you type that in. Then a number of tabs crop up. The first tab, just from recollection, would be the name and address of who the car is registered to. Then on other tabs you can go into tax details, insurance details, things like that. So you could find quite a lot of information about a car.
359 Q. They seem to be saying here it's not -- well, he actually says it would not be normal practice for him to check Garda members vehicles unless it was somebody blocking access. Presumably if somebody was blocking access, you would put in the registration number, that would tell you who owned it and you could then go and say, well move the thing. Is that about right or have I oversimplified it?
A. Well, Judge, in this thing, my belief is on this, this whole thing is a cover story for the original. Detective Superintendent Mulcahy had said look at the car tax, Judge. I believe in order to look at the car tax, that they needed an excuse to look at the car tax, so they then went down the lines of subsistence claims, sub claims, and used that as a cover to go into the
car. In order to look at my car, Judge, they had to check a number of other cars, to justify doing the checks. Judge, what was unusual was, this was actually brought to my attention by another guard, who had his car checked. The difference was, when his car was checked it had marked "enqui ries At hl one" but when my car was checked, it had "call to super's office" and that is the big thing that $I$ had an issue with. I was trying to find out who was the caller to the superintendent's office that caused the car to be checked. I have never -- I am still in the dark as to that, Judge.
360 Q. Who did you speak to about it? was it Noreen McBrien you spoke to about it in the first instance, or who?
A. No. I don't think I was even aware of the -- no, I wasn't aware of the car tax issue at all. It was the sub claims, Judge.

361 Q. I see.
A. They went missing. That was it, they went missing. Then I right to Ms. Catriona Quirk, RIP, Judge, she was 15:05 the clerk that dealt with all the allowances and stuff like that. When my sub claims went missing, Judge, I asked her and I put it in writing to her. She didn't know anything about it and she wrote back to me saying, I haven't got anything to do with sub claims from you.
They appear to go missing. I didn't see them for years until they reappeared in those volumes there. But, Judge, I believe this whole was just to cover to check the car, to check the car from the initial detective
superintendent. They needed a reason to look at the car, a formal reason, and they checked the other guards, Judge, just because --
362 Q. We will come on to that in a moment. Maybe we will
look at the statement of Pat Murray, it's page 2042.
15:06 This is another meeting in the office in Athlone. Have you got that?
A. 2042?
Q. Yes, it is, 2042.
A. Yes.
Q.

This is one of Pat Murray's notes of his meetings.
"The primary objective of the meeting as far a l was concerned was to di scuss with Garda Keogh a file left for me by my predecessor Superintendent MEBrien,
rel ating to financial clai ms by Garda Keogh in 2014 for travelling expenses invol ving the use of his personal jeep to travel to and from Gal way."

Did you go up and down to Galway often?
A. No, Galway would be Portumna, where I was meeting Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin.
365 Q. Right.
A. This was on duty business.

366 Q. Okay, right.
"My predecessor explai ned to me on 4th March 2015 that she had been informed by Detective Superintendent Mul cahy at Gal way that Garda Keogh's private vehicle
was not correctly taxed. She felt that his clain for travelling expenses were in breach of financial code regul ations and could not theref ore be paid in those circumstances. She made me aware that Garda Keogh had been querying the nonpayment of his cl aims in writing but that no one had spoken to himabout the matter. Frommy perspective, Garda Keogh and I had an amiable conversation. "

CHA RMAN Amicable.
MR. KELLY: "Ami cable", yes correct.
"... and told me about his career and his time in Bray. He reminded me that we met bef ore in court when he was a garda in Bray and I an inspector in $\mathbf{W} c k l o w, ~ b u t ~ I ~$ unf ortunatel $y$ had no recollection of a meeting.

I di scussed his si ckness record..."

And appearances in work and so on. The bit I'm interested in, he goes on to talk about appointing a sergeant. We have been over this before.
"I showed himthe results. I then spoke with himabout his car tax and he got slightly annoyed and indi cated he felt peopl e were getting at him m showed himthe results of enqui ries l had Inspector $M$ nnock make at a tax office in Tullamore in rel ation to his jeep, which showed it was taxed in the wrong category, commercial
instead of private, resulting in a loss of revenue to the State.

I spoke about the effect of that on his claims or travelling expenses."

Then he goes on:
"I offered a sol ution to deal ing with the matter."

First of al1, so he had Inspector Minnock make enquiries at the Tullamore tax office. We know later he says that it resulted in a loss of revenue to the State. Could we call up Volume 8, at page 2200. These are emails that have been disclosed concerning this. If we start with the bottom and read up the with all the e-mails. 19th March 2015, 2200.

CHA RMAN Sorry, we have the wrong one. We need 2200? MR. KELLY: Yes, 2200.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: Thanks very much for calling it up. If you just scroll it down a little because it's emails, we wil1 read from the bottom up. That will do there, that's fine. You see at 19th March 2015, 16:08, do you see that? This is to a person in the motor tax office. 15:10 We can see that it's from Aidan Minnock, right?
A. Yes.

367 Q. There is a vehicle and the number is blanked out?
"I would be obl iged if you could provi de me with the taxation hi story of vehi cle [blank] particularly covering the period June to Decenber 2014. I understand the vehi cle is taxed as per our records. However, I aminterested in the class of tax; private or commercial. If taxed as a commercial vehi cle, I will be looking for a copy of the si gned decl aration that the vehicle is a goods vehi cle and would appreci ate a scanned copy of the same or ot herwi se pop it in the post. Your assistance in this matter is appreci ated and I would be gratef ul if you could send the reply to me Superintendent Murray in Athl one."

Then he gives Pat Murray's e-mail address. If we look up, we will see what was replied:
"I have attached some scanned documents for you. One, a notor tax renewal fromthat formthat was processed on 20/4/15. The next document shows details of the vehicle and tax hi story.

Thi s is a goods van taxed as an own goods but we have had no decl aration attached to our form unf ort unatel $y$."

Why would they be looking for a statutory declaration?
A. Fraud, Judge. They were aiming to go down the route of fraud here on this. That's what they were looking at.
368 Q. So he is specifically asking for a statutory
declaration, it comes back, bang, there isn't one?
A. And bear in mind, Judge, I wrote them. Like the thing with the sub claims, I actually wrote, if there is a problem with the claims, this is year prior, roughly, months prior, if there is a problem can you let me know, you know, what the problem is and I'll sort it out. But they never told me anything.
369 Q. CHA RMAN Did you know the difference between commercial and private tax?
A. I know. But, Judge, you see, my car --

370 Q. CHA RMAN Did you know the difference?
A. I do.

371 Q. CHA RMAN No, no, did you know the difference between commercial and private tax?
A. I'm sure I did, Judge. I am sure I did. But my car 15:13 was a commercial -- I had only two seats in it.
Q. CHA RMAN No, I understand that?
A. Like it was -- I couldn't --

373 Q. CHA RMAN I understand. I know the car had originally been taxed as commercial.
A. Yes. Judge, even at that, I couldn't put it through the NCT, it had to go through a DOE.
374 Q. CHAL RMAN In the end of the day?
A. Yes, I accept that.

375 Q. CHA RMAN There is a difference between commercial and 15:13 private.
A. Yes.

376 Q. CHA RMAN And you knew that?
A. Yes, Judge. I was going to say something else.

377 Q. CHA RMAN That's all right, say anything you like. Anything that you say, not a problem, I am putting something to you, I am asking you a question, if you think there is an implication in it, you may well be correct and if you disagree with the implication, you just tell me?
A. Judge, just, when I made my protected disclosures and knowing, because I am a guard, the first thing they're going to do is look at your car.
CHA RMAN I am not concerned with whether you look at your car. I am concerned with whether your car was correctly taxed or not, A, and whether you knew it was not correctly taxed. I mean, because if that's the case and Superintendent Murray says to you, your car is not properly taxed, I don't know -- I don't see somebody terribly wrong with that, Mr. Kelly, I may as wel1 tell you. You may say the checking out on the -and he wants to see are you making a declaration that this is used as a goods vehicle, which would make it pretty serious.
A. Can I just answer, Judge. thought of the tax thing. I would have --
Q. CHA RMAN I know, but everybody else has to do that as 15:15 well?
A. I know.

381 Q. CHA RMAN I have to tax my car and I have to put my tyres on it and I have to insure it?

MR. KELLY: I don't imagine you running around in a two-seater jeep.
CHA RMAN Forgive he.
A. Can I say one thing, I never thought about the car tax.

CHA RMAN I have your evidence about that, I understand that.
A. I had wrote to them, is there a problem. I actually never thought of it. I would have had it addressed. CHA RMAN Sorry, I am sounding more unsympathetic than I intend. Do you understand what I mean by that?
A. Yes, Judge.

CHA RMAK okay.
384 Q. MR. KELLY: Judge, I just say, a question for Garda Keogh, I had also understood and I don't profess any degree of expertise in this area, that the motor class taxation related to the nature of the vehicle. But we don't have to go there either for the purpose of this inquiry. what $I$ am directing at here is motive.
CHA RMAN I understand that.
MR. KELLY: And I point to the statutory declaration. CHA RMAN I understand.
MR. KELLY: I am merely asking the question, we11, why would you do you that.
CHA RMAN Because he wanted to know was Garda Keogh making a false declaration.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN That's what he wanted to know. In other words, did you have to make a declaration every year to say this is actually a commercial vehicle. That's what
he was looking for. Rightly or wrongly, I think it's very obvious that's what he was looking for.
MR. KELLY: That's right.
CHA RMAN subject to what anybody e1se may say as to the purpose of it, but that's what it looks like.
MR. KELLY: We can get on with this later, what we may be looking at here is motivation.
A. Judge, can I just say.
Q. CHAN RMAN Yes.
A. The minute it was brought to my attention, I did rectify it.

386 Q. CHA RMAN Yes, I understand that, absolutely, and within a very short number of days?
A. Immediately, I think.

387 Q. CHN RMN okay, immediately.
A. I would have done that if it was brought to my attention months before, I would have done it then as wel1.
CHA RMAN I understand that.
388 Q. MR. KELLY: So here we have this going on in March, this is the one currently on the screen. I want to take you to a different volume now, volume 1, it's at page 184.
A. Yes.

389 Q. Right. So, Superintendent Murray is doing this with you in March. Then, on 22nd April 2015, a month later, he sends out this letter to each station unit, sergeant in charge, to all members at Athlone district.
"I refer to the above and wi sh to informeach menber that I have been made aware in a general way that the private vehicles of some members are not in order in rel ati on to no valid tax, no valid insurance, no NCT
certs, no valid Driving Li cences.

- All menbers will be asked to vol unt arily present thei $r$ vehi cl es and driving licences for inspection to thei $r$ i mmedi ate supervisors, who will certify all is correct.
- The supervisors will in the same manner be asked to present their driving licences and vehicles for i nspections to thei r imedi ate inspectors, who will certify all is correct.
- I have asked both inspectors to present to me.
- I in turn will present to the di visional officer.

In that way, l will be in a position to confirm district."

Then he goes on and says:
"Al members are reminded that any clai ms invol ving the use of a member's private vehicles will only be approved if the vehicle being used complies with all road traffic legi slation in force."

So this is a month later he's sending this out. It's the 24th April, and he is giving everybody until 1st June 2015 to get their act together. So, if you are a policeman and you haven't got a driving licence, you have until 1st June to come up with one.

This was after your meeting. Did anybody ever say to you well, look, if this isn't in order, go and get it sorted and that's the end of it?
A. Like I just said, Judge, if anyone prior to this had ever said it to me, I would have done it immediately. When it was said to me, I did it immediately. Like, as well, also, Judge, these are Al Capone tactics, if you can't get him on the big stuff, you go after the little stuff, the tax.
390 Q. Another thing, it may be obvious but I'm going to ask you, is it normal to give an amnesty if you are concerned about this sort of stuff, vehicles going around with no road tax, to say, look, you've two months to get your act together, in your experience as a guard?
A. For insurance?

391 Q. Well, that's what it is saying?
A. Judge, you can go to prison for that, no insurance. CHA RMAN I haven't missed that, Mr. Kelly. I haven't missed the range.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
A. Judge, like no tax --

15:21
CHA RMAN Don't worry. We are understanding each other on this one.

MR. KELLY: Judge, I will pass through this very quickly. I was going to move on to another section, which I think is going to take much longer, so it's perhaps a little earlier than you intended. CHA RMAN You are moving on to another area now? MR. KELLY: I was going to, yeah.
CHA RMAN Well, yes, you're not going to finish that in 15 minutes.
MR. KELLY: Definitely not.
CHA RMAN okay. Very good. Well then that is a convenient time to break. There is nothing else you want to say about that?
A. No.

392 Q. CHAN RMAN I mean Mr. Kelly is making the case.
A. Yes.

393 Q. CHA RMAN He said, look at the comparison of the approach that the superintendent takes, including much more serious, as one might say, matters such as driving 15:22 licence and insurance and so on. That's the point he's making?
A. Yes. Everyone else, Judge, was given a chance.

394 Q. CHA RMAN I understand.
A. I wasn't.

395 Q. CHA RMAN That's the point that Mr. Kelly and you have been making and Mr. Kelly is emphasising. Okay.

Very good. So, until Monday, thank you very much. 15:22 Very good

MR. KELLY: Thank you, Judge.
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| 126/10.. [1] - | 117:8, 118:8, | 21[2]-3:12 | $34[1]-3: 19$ | 8062 [1] - 48:21 8067 [1]-48.5 | accessible [1] - |
| 28:11 | $118: 11$ | 97:6 | 35[1] - 3:20 | 8067 [1] - 48:5 | $100: 18$ <br> accident [1] - |
| 12:30 [1] - 6:14 |  | 2187 [2]-89:1, | 36 [1] - 3:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 8122[3]-32: 1, \\ & 32: 2,33: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 13 [2]-3:8, | 2 | 89:5 | 37 [1] - 3:21 |  | $55: 4$ <br> accordance $\square$ |
| 104:28 |  | 21:50[1]-80:16 | 38[1]-3:21 | 8123 [1] - 33:23 |  |
| 13260 [1] - |  | 21st [1] - 80:9 | 39 [1] - 3:22 | 8336 [1] - 42:21 | 26:18 <br> according [1] - |
| 100:22 | $2[4]-3: 3,4: 6,$ | 22 [1]-3:13 | 3:40[1] - 6:15 | 86[3]-83:26, |  |
| 13265 [1]-80:26 | 54:13, 86:6 | 2200 [4] - |  | $83: 27,83: 29$8619 | 97:4 |
| $14[1]-3: 9$ $15[5]-3 \cdot 9$ | 2.5 [2]-48:21, | 111:14, 111:17, | 4 |  | accordingly [1] - |
| 15[5] - 3:9, | 49:1 | 111:18, 111:19 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8687[1]-70: 25 \\ & 8712[3]-79: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:19 } \\ & \text { accords [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 54:25, 87:9, | 2.5(a [1] - 49:14 | 22nd [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 4[3]-3: 4,83: 15 \\ & 93: 13 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 87:10, 119:15 | 20[2]-3:12, | 116:26 |  | 80:5, 80:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 36:5 } \\ & \text { account [2] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 [5]-3:10, | 81:1 | 23 [1]-3:13 |  | 8th [7]-7:6, |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $50: 9,71: 1$ <br> accountability | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 21,74: 27, \\ & 75: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85:19 } \\ & \text { allow [1] }-85: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 3,91: 2,105: 6 \\ & 106: 20,108: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:20 } \\ & \text { aspired [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:11, 101:22, } \\ & \text { 101:23, 105:24, } \end{aligned}$ |
| [2] - 88:10, 88:17 | afternoon [1] - | allowance [1] - | appearances [2] | 18:2 | 106:21, 108:6, |
| accuracy [1] - | 106:19 | 105:26 | - 89:20, 110:20 | assault [1] - | 109:6, 112:12, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 74: 4 \\ & \text { accurate }[4] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { afterwards [1] - } \\ & 30: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { allowances [1] - } \\ & \text { 108:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appeared }[4] \text { - } \\ & 9: 23,41: 27, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72:29 } \\ & \text { assault/ } \end{aligned}$ | 116:28 <br> attached [7] |
| 10:7, 30:16, 60:2 | agree [5] | allusions [2] - | 81:22, 83:4 | harassment [2] - | 27:28, 28:3, |
| accurately [1] - | 90:17, 92:14, | 104:24, 104:25 | application [2] - | 92:3, 92:8 | 39:18, 44:7, |
| 22:9 | 93:21, 102:18, | alone [3] - | 14:10, 103:22 | assaulted [1] - | 85:26, 112:17, |
| accusation [1] - | 102:19 | 79:11, 82:1 | applied [1] - | 67:9 | 112:23 |
| 103:3 | agreed [3] - | 104:25 | 93:17 | assaulting [1] - | attaches [1] - |
| accusations [1] | 22:23, 51:25, | amiable [1] - | apply [1] - 36:3 | 65:23 | 44:4 |
| -7:17 | 104:17 | 110:7 | appointed [2] - | assert [1] - | attempt [4] - |
| accusing [1] - | agrees [1] - 48:8 | amicable [1] - | 31:22, 42:8 | 46:18 | 44:13, 90:9, |
| $57: 29$ | $\text { AGSI }_{[1]}-4: 1$ | $110: 10$ | appointing [1] - | asserted [4] - | $103: 2,103: 8$ |
| achieve [1] - | ahead [1] - | Amicable [1] - | 110:21 | 13:3, 45:23, | attempted |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53: 26 \\ & \text { act [2] - 118:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:28 } \\ & \text { Aidar } \end{aligned}$ | $110: 11$ | appreciate [5] - 102:9, 102:15, | $89: 23,90: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 3 \\ & \text { atter } \end{aligned}$ |
| 118:26 | 77:2, 78:26, | 118:23 | 103:12, 103:13, | 13:16, 102:24 | 97:25, 99:5 |
| Act [1] - 57:21 | 78:27, 79:14, | amount [3] | 112:9 | assertion [3] - | attends [2] - |
| ACTING [2] - | 79:15, 79:22, | 7:27, 18:20, | appreciated [1] - | 45:16, 102:16, | 75:29, 86:1 |
| 3:7, 3:13 | 81:19, 81:21, | 103:15 | 112:11 | 103:16 | attention [9] - |
| activity [2] - | 101:16, 111:26 | amounts [1] - | approach [1] - | assertions [1] - | 23:14, 23:29, |
| $48: 10,48: 27$ | AIDAN [2] - 3:9, | 69:15 | $119: 24$ | 13:6 | 24:15, 32:28, |
| actual [5] - 36:2, | 4:3 | $\mathbf{A N}_{[1]}-3: 2$ | approached [6] | asserts [1] - | 56:10, 72:16, |
| 47:26, 47:27, | aiming [1] - | AND [3] - 3:15, | - 75:18, 77:17, | 96:15 | 108:4, 116:10, |
| 78:22, 105:24 | 112:27 | 40:22, 70:20 | 77:24, 81:13, | assess [1] - | 116:17 |
| add [3] - 8:6, | AISLING [1] | ANDREW [1] - | 84:19, 84:20 | 89:20 | attracted [2] - |
| $30: 22,30: 27$ | $3: 21$ | $4: 2$ | approaching [1] | assistance [2] - | $18: 12,23: 14$ August [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { additional [4] - } \\ & 30: 12,44: 25, \end{aligned}$ | AI [1] - 118:19 <br> ALAN [2]-3:9 | angle [1] - 90:24 | - 74:9 | $48: 9,112: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August [1] - } \\ & 81: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30: 12,44: 25, \\ & 50: 28,56: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALAN [2] - 3:9, } \\ & 3: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { animosity [1] - } \\ & \text { 106:29 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appropriate [6] - } \\ & \text { - } 7: 18,29: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Assistant }[8]- \\ 27: 4,27: 13,30 \end{array}$ | 81:26 author [2] - |
| address [3] - | alarm [1] - 68:17 | ANNE [2] - 3:8, | 29:29, 30:20, | 31:2, 32:19, | 70:27, 81:18 |
| 43:15, 107:11, | alcohol [1] - | 3:17 | 67:22, 72:20 | 43:18, 101:4, | available [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:14 } \\ & \text { addressed [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:23 } \\ & \text { alien [1] - 25:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { annoyed [1] - } \\ & 110: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { approved [1] - } \\ & \text { 118:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:22 } \\ & \text { assistant [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27: 17,72: 12 \\ \text { avenue [2] - } \end{array}$ |
| 80:14, 115:8 | ALISON ${ }_{[1]}$ - | answer [6] - | April [2] - | 53:2, 84:10 | 30:15, 60:11 |
| ADJOURNED | 3:26 | 33:3, 41:11, | 116:26, 118:8 | ASSISTANT ${ }^{\text {[5] - }}$ | aware [25] - |
| [3] - 40:22, 70:20, | allay [1] - 117:7 | 58:14, 63:10, | April" [1]-85:15 | 3:5, 3:6, 3:8, | 7:23, 8:2, 8:5, |
| 120:9 | allegation [10] - | 90:22, 114:21 | area [4]-72:25, | 3:18, 3:20 | 18:12, 19:8, |
| ADMINISTRATI | 12:24, 68:11, | anther [1] - | 96:27, 115:15, | assumed [1] - | 19:10, 19:20, |
| VE [1] - 3:14 | 75:15, 76:29, | 104:26 | 119:12 | 98:5 | 24:11, 29:7, |
| advanced [1] - | 78:11, 78:13, | ANTHONY ${ }_{[1]}$ | arise [3]-14:1, | assumption [2] - | 30:12, 42:7, |
| 103:25 | $78: 14,78: 22$ | 3:6 | $77: 2,85: 22$ | $34: 13,103: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 11,43: 14, \\ & 44 \cdot 16,52 \cdot 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| advice [6] - | $78: 24,103: 27$ | anticipate [1] - | arising [1] - | $\text { AT }{ }_{[1]}-120: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 16,52: 22, \\ & 56: 1,59: 3,63: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 71: 26,72: 4 \\ & 72: 10,72: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { allegations [13] } \\ & -14: 25,40: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:15 } \\ & \text { anyway [11] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:27 } \\ & \text { arrangement [1] } \end{aligned}$ | ATHLONE ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 1,59: 3,63: 15, \\ & 65: 11,72: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| 93:12, 95:19 | 42:3, 43:16, | 10:7, 14:20, | - 21:22 | Athlone [36] - | 108:15, 108:16, |
| advise [3] - | 43:20, 57:28, | 27:15, 34:25, | arrest [2] - 74:19 | 8:10, 11:26, | 110:4, 117:2 |
| 51:13, 98:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 22,74: 29, \\ & 75: 2,76: 28,82: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 37:11, 42:22, <br> 50:25, 64:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arrival }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 93 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:23, 16:24, } \\ & \text { 16:29, 19:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { awareness [4] - } \\ & \text { 11:17. 17:16. } \end{aligned}$ |
| advised [4] - | $101: 6,101: 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 25,64: 8 \\ & 67: 29,82: 13 \end{aligned}$ | arrived [1] - | 19:14, 19:15, | $49: 29,52: 5$ |
| 30:27, 71:14, | alleged [8] - | 104:4 | $99: 16$ | $24: 11,25: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { awful [4]-8:14, } \\ & 9: 19.10: 15 . \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 71:17, 71:20 } \\ \text { advised.. [1] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 8,40: 6,82: 5 \\ & \text { 82:12, 82:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { apologies [1] - } \\ & 14: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AS [4]-6:1, } \\ 6: 22,40: 22, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 3,44: 11,50: 6 \\ & 61: 5,63: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:19, 10:15, } \\ & 24: 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30:22 } \\ & \text { affairs [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | 83:3, 83:24, 85:4 allegedly [3] - | apologise [3] 14:12, 14:14 | $70: 20$ <br> ascertain [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 15,72: 13 \\ & 72: 24,75: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { awkward [1] - } \\ & \text { 64:24 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 66:19, 67:24 | 65:23, 72:19, | appear [10] - | 86:25 | $77: 18,77: 20$ |  |
| $\text { affect }{ }_{[1]}-76: 12$ | 83:5 | $7: 5,7: 26,33: 27$ | aside [1] - 55:22 | 80:14, 80:17, |  |


| B | 92:12, 113:8, | 57:21 | 22:24, 47:18, | central [3]-30:9 | 90:16, 90:19, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { break [7] - 6:14, } \\ \text { 40:10, 40:17, } \end{gathered}$ | 47:19, 47:2 | centre [1]-9:1 | 90:22, 90:27 |
| baby [1] - 59:28 | 52:24, 105:13 | 41:24, 42:14 | 74:14, 74:17, | $7: 25,63: 12$ | 94:11, 94:16, |
| bald [3]-77:24, | Bielska [1] - 6:8 | 60:15, 119:18 | 74:20, 75:6 | 71:15, 75:8 | 94:18, 94:20, |
| 78:5, 78:8 | Bielska | BRIAN [2] | 75:25, 75:28, | 75:15, 82:11 | 94:25, 95:2, 95:5, |
| bang [1] - 113:1 | Sobkowicz [1] - | 3:15, 3:16 | 97:6, 97:21 | 94:20, 100:18, | 95:8, 95:11, |
| banged [1] - | 6:8 | BRIEFLY [1] | 97:24, 98:3 | 103:15 | 95:13, 96:2, 96:6, |
| 101:8 | Big [1] - 77:21 | $40: 22$ | 98:17, 99:1 | certainly [10] | 96:10, 96:14, |
| barracks [1] - | [5]-14:7 | brilliant [1] | 99:22, 100:2 | 7:19, 22:28 | 96:17, 99:20, |
| $75: 4$ | 18:4, 20:11, | 59:17 | 100:3, 101:15, 101:20, 101:28, | 34:29, 40:11, | $99: 23,99: 25,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { based [2] - 13:3, } \\ & 38: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $108: 8,118: 20$ | bring [1] - 56:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 101: 20,101: 28 \\ & 102: 2,102: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $42: 6,52: 6,91: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 5,100: 8, \\ & 100: 12,100: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| bas | bit [13] - 6:6, | $\begin{gathered} \text { bringing [2] - } \\ 65: 26,72: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $104: 26,104: 2$ | 95:14, 102:12, 102:15 | 100:27, 102:14, |
| basis [5]-20:23, | 56:11, 66:22 | broadcast [1] - | 105:13, 105:14, | certify [2] - | 103:6, 103:11, |
| 35:21, 46:5, 50:8, | 66:23, 66:29 | 23:27 | 105:21, 105:29, | 117:13, 117:19 | 103:24, 104:9, |
| 50:17 | 69:20, 78:25, | broke [2]-8:18, | 107:11, 107:14, | certs [2]-89:18, | 104:17, 110:10, |
| BASTION ${ }^{11]}$ - | 83:14, 104:9, | 15:19 | 107:26, 107:27 <br> 108:1, 108:5, | 117:5 | 111:18, 111:20, |
| 3:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 110: 20 \\ & B L_{[5]}-3: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { brother [1] - } \\ & \text { 17:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 1,108: 5, \\ & 108: 7,108: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chairman [1] - } \\ & \text { 104:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:8, 113:11, } \\ & \text { 113:13, 113:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 3:25, 3:25, 3:30, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:17 } \\ & \text { brother's [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 108:16, 108:29, | CHAIRMAN ${ }_{[162]}$ | 113:19, 113:23, |
|  | 4:4 | 74:12 | 109:2, 110:25, | - 6:4, 12:18, | 113:25, 113:28, |
|  | black [4]-74:14, | broug | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:10, 113:15, } \\ & \text { 113:19, 114:9, } \end{aligned}$ | 12:21, 13:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:1, 114:10, } \\ & \text { 114:22. 114:25. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 113:2 <br> bearing [2] - | 75:24, 75:26 | 32:27, 91:21, | 113:19, 114:9, | 14:16, 14:20, | 114:22, 114:25, <br> 114:28, 115:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:11, } 80: 9 \\ & \text { beat }[2]-80: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 75:28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:4, 116:10, } \\ & 116: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 114:23, 114:28, | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 16,23: 20, \\ & \text { 23:22, 23:24, } \end{aligned}$ | 115:5, 115:9, |
| $81: 7$became [3] - | blame [1] - 18:3 <br> blank [2] - | bubble [2] | $115: 4$ | 34:3, 34:6, 34:11, | 115:12, 115:19, |
|  | $57: 26,112: 2$ | $16: 1,16:$ | care [1] - 27:4 <br> career [4]-59:5, | 34:17, 34:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:21, 115:24, } \\ & \text { 115:27, 116:4, } \end{aligned}$ |
| became [3] - 19:20, 30:12, | blanked [2] - $81.1,111 \cdot 28$ |  | $59: 8,59: 10$ |  | 116:9, 116:12, |
| 19:20, 30:12, 85:6 | 81:1, 111:28 blocking [3] | 104:15, 104:17 building [1] - | 110:13 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 35: 23,35: 27 \\ 36: 1,36: 7,40: 11 \end{array}$ | 116:15, 116:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7: 23,44: 10 \\ & \text { becomes [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:13, 107:18 } \\ & \text { blot }[4]-104: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $15: 11$ | careful ${ }_{[1]}-49: 5$ | $40: 14,40: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:2, 119:6, } \\ & \text { 119:12, 119:14, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | bulk [1] - 7:28 | CARR [1]-3:15 carried [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 20,40: 27, \\ & 44: 28.45: 2.45: 5 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:12, 119:14, } \\ & \text { 119:17, 119:21, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:21 } \\ & \text { began }[2]-13: 3 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | 104:18, 104:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bundles [1] - } \\ & \text { 106:7 } \end{aligned}$ | $94: 14,117: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 28,45: 2,45: 5, \\ & 45: 8,45: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 119:23, 119:29, |
| $15: 8$ <br> begin [1] - 6:27 <br> beginning [2] - | Bob [1] - 24:20 bookies [1] - | business [1] | carry [1] - 94:27 | $45: 16,45: 19$ | $120: 2$ <br> Chairman [7] |
|  |  | $109: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { carrying [1] - } \\ & 57: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 22,45: 27, \\ & 45 \cdot 29 \end{aligned}$ | $6: 20,23: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { beginning }[2] \text { - } \\ & \text { 15:4, 87:5 } \end{aligned}$ |  | busy [9] - 62: | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 21 \\ & \text { cars [3] - 59:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 29,62: 15, \\ & 62: 17,62: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $44: 23,53: 14$ |
| $15: 4,87: 5$ | bopping [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 10,63: 11 \\ & 63: 21,63: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 75:25, 108: | $62: 28,63: 1,63: 7$ | 54:21, 102:7, |
| 49:14behind [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:20 } \\ & \text { bothered [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $63: 25,64: 2,64: 8,$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { case }[15]-7: 7 \\ 24: 28.46: 15 \end{array}$ | 63:20, 63:23, $63: 25,63: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:28 } \\ & \text { challenge }[2] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 102:2, 102:5 | 71:6 | 24:28, 46:15, | 63:25, 63:28, $64: 2,64: 5,64: 25,$ | $14: 8,35: 24$ |
| behind [5] - 12:15, $53: 11$, | bothering [2] - | $\mathbf{B Y}_{[5] ~-~ 3: 26, ~}^{\text {, }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 9,78: 6,86: 26, \\ & 87: 18.87: 24 . \end{aligned}$ | 64:2, 64:5, 64:25, $64: 27,65: 1,65: 5$ | 14:8, 35:24 chance [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 15,53: 11 \\ & 53: 12,53: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 101:20, 102:26 | $3: 30,4: 5,5: 5,$ | 87:18, 87:24, 90:28. 92:8. | 64:27, 65:1, 65:5, | 119:28 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:22 } \\ & \text { belief }[3]- \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 28,92: 8, \\ & 94: 11,96: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 7,65: 10, \\ & 65: 12,65: 15, \end{aligned}$ | chap [1]-21:4 |
| 14:13, $85: 1$,$107: 23$ | $70: 26,80: 28$ |  | 104:25, 114:14 | 65:18, 65:20 | charge [2] - |
|  |  |  | 119:2 | 65:23, 65:26, | 93:26, 116:28 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:23 } \\ & \text { beliefs [1] - 13:7 } \end{aligned}$ | 111:16, 111:23 |  | case... [1] - 92:3 <br> cases [1]-86:26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:29, 66:2, 66:5, } \\ & 66: 9,66: 13, \end{aligned}$ | CHARLTON [1] - $4: 5$ |
| believes [1] - | brain [1]-8:25 | CAGNEY [1] - | cash [1] - 78: | 66:18, 66:22, | chasing [1] - |
|  | $19: 7,96: 4$ | $3: 17$ | casi | $66: 26,66: 28,$ | $18: 19$ |
| below [2] - 80:3, |  | caller [1] - 108:9 | $78: 5,82: 14$ | $67: 4,67: 6,67: 9$ | check [33] - |
| $81: 1$best $[3]-63: 29$, | $19: 29,20: 6,20: 8$ | cannot [3] | category [1] | 67:12, 67:15, | 47:17, 47:18, |
|  | $20: 13,20: 15$ | 58:27, 97:27 | 110:29 | 67:18, 67:22, | 54:27, 54:29, |
| $75: 16,97: 22$better [4] - 8:17, | 20:16, 20:17, | 106:4 | Catriona [1] - | 67:27, 68:1, 68:5, | 55:6, 55:15, |
|  | 110:13, 110:15 | Capone [1] - | 108:20 | 68:7, 70:1, 70:3, | 55:19, 55:24, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 23:7, 34:3, 66:23 } \\ \text { between [7] - } \\ 31: 3,86: 17,89: 2, \end{gathered}$ | ```breach [2] - 6:18,110:2 breached [1] -``` | $\begin{aligned} & 118: 19 \\ & \operatorname{car}[62]-12: 14, \\ & 12: 16,22: 3, \end{aligned}$ | caught [1] - 63:9 | 70:7, 70:11, | 56:2, 56:7, 56:17, |
|  |  |  | caused [1] - | 70:14, 70:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 18,57: 27, \\ & 59: 11.59: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 108:10 | 84:3, 84:15, | 59:11, 59:20, |


| 59:21, 60:5, | 49:16, 49:21, | clerk [2] - | 69:4 | 104:13, 109:14, | contacted [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62:11, 67:26, | 49:24, 49:29, | 105:23, 108:21 | community [2] - | 114:10, 114:11, | 21:18 |
| 92:27, 104:27, | 50:1, 51:19, | cloak [2] - 55:18, | 91:28, 93:24 | $118: 24$ | contains [1] - |
| 105:12, 105:13, | 51:25, 52:1, | 100:4 | comparison [1] | concerning [5] - | 102:16 |
| 105:17, 105:29, | 52:26 | close [1] - 6:15 | - 119:23 | 8:24, 42:12, | contents [2] - |
| 106:2, 106:18, | CHIS's [1] - 49:5 | CMO [3]-89:19, | complain [1] - | 66:19, 99:2, | 32:27, 78:22 |
| 106:25, 107:17, | chose [1] - 53:6 | 89:27, 90:1 | 60:26 | 111:15 | context [6] - |
| 108:2, 108:28, | chronology [2] - | CO [1] - 4:1 | complaining [4] | concerns [7] - | 14:3, 48:27, 49:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:29 } \\ & \text { checked [12] - } \end{aligned}$ | 42:28, $73: 3$ Church [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { coaching [4] - } \\ \text { 69:24, 76:26, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -14: 5,25: 24, \\ & 65: 21,67: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:23, 15:14, } \\ & 44: 8,60: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 54:5, 77:9, 93:16 } \\ \text { continue }[3] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ |
| 55:17, 57:3, | 80:17 | 76:27, 83:8 | complains [1] - | 72:10, 72:12, | 49:23, 85:27, |
| 57:13, 105:19, <br> 106.6, 106:8 | cigarette [1] - | Code [8] - 32:18, | 42:18 | $76: 29$ | 86:8 |
| 106:13, 108:5, | 74:14 <br> cigarettes [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 19,33: 11, \\ & 33: 22,33: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { complaint [39] - } \\ \text { 12:8, } 12: 9,12: 11 \text {, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { conci } \\ 102: 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { convenient [2] - } \\ & \text { 40:10, 119:18 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 108:6, 108:7, | 77:21 | 34:8, 34:9, 34:16 | 21:1, 21:6, 23:15, | conclude [2] - | conversation |
| 108:11, 109:2 checking [15] - | circle [1] - 8:18 circular [1] - | code [1] - 110:2 coffee [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 29,45: 11, \\ & 46: 14,57: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:6, 69:20 } \\ & \text { concluded [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [11] - 7:10, 7:12, } \\ & 9: 9,51: 17,66: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| 45:27, 56:8, | 31:29 | 97:28, 98:1 | 60:25, 61:16, | 31:15 | 75:19, 79:27, |
| 56:25, 57:15, | circumstances | colleague [1] - | 61:17, 62:1, 67:7, | condone [1] - | 89:2, 90:5, |
| 57:16, 58:1, | [6]-49:21, 51:15, | 54:27 | 68:12, 68:14, | 91:29 | $105: 25,110: 8$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58: 25,59: 14 \\ & 59: 15,67: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 72:20, 97:25, | colleagues [1] - | 71:5, 71:8, 71:18, | conducting [1] - | conversations |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:15, 67:27, } \\ & \text { 105:7, 105:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 99:5, 110:4 } \\ & \text { citizens' [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $44: 13$ collusion [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 2,72: 4,73: 1, \\ & 73: 5,76: 1,76: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 73:13 } \\ & \text { confidence [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [2] }-19: 12,75: 21 \\ & \text { COPPINGER }{ }_{[1]} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 106:23, } 114: 17 \\ \text { checks }[7] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 19 \\ & \text { civic }[3]-17: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 64:9, } 76: 13 \\ \text { column [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 18,76: 20, \\ & 77: 11,77: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 18,15: 19 \\ & \text { confidential [3] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3: 4 \\ & \text { copy }[4]-33: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| 57:19, 57:22, | $17: 18,49: 20$ | $32: 7$ | $78: 7,79: 1,80: 9$ | $-9: 3,30: 13$ | $34: 12,112: 7$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57: 26,105: 20, \\ & 106: 20,108: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { civil [2]-17:16, } \\ & 17: 18 \end{aligned}$ | coming [8] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 82:26, 82:28, } \\ & \text { 83:1, 83:2, 94:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:16 } \\ & \text { confidentially } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:9 } \\ & \text { core }[2]-23: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $117: 9$ CHIEF | claims [17] - | $64: 15,65: 7,67: 2$ | 103:5 | $[1]-48: 12$ | $34: 21$ |
| 3:3, 3:4, 3:6, 3:8, | 105:27, 106:1, | 89:16, 97:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { complaints [6] - } \\ & \text { 25:11, } 38: 14 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { confined [1] - } \\ & 72: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { corner [1] - } \\ & 80 \cdot 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| $3: 11,3: 13,3: 14$ $3: 14,3: 17,3: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:4, 106:6, } \\ & \text { 106:26, 107:28, } \end{aligned}$ | 97:28 commenced [1] | $38: 19,43: 7,46: 3,$ | confirm [3] | correct [43] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 14,3: 17,3: 19 \\ & 3: 19,3: 21,3: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:29, 108:17, } \\ & \text { 108:22, 108:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -42: 9 \\ & \text { commencing }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:23 } \\ & \text { complete [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 5,106: 15, \\ & 117: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 8,16: 12 \\ & 16: 17,22: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| Chief [17]-28:5, | 109:16, 110:1, | - 117:8 | 34:23 | confirms [1] - | 23:20, 26:24, |
| 28:9, 29:7, 29:18, | 110:5, 111:4, | comment [3] - | completely [5] - | 81:26 | 27:16, 28:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31: 3,33: 5,37: 23, \\ & 39: 4,39: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 113:3, 113:4, | $44: 13,74: 3,97: 5$ | 25:5, 79:4, 92:11, | conflicted [2] - | $29: 4,29: 25$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 39: 4,39: 21 \\ & 43: 28,44: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 118:2 <br> clarification [15] | $\begin{gathered} \text { commercial }_{[10]} \\ -100: 9,110: 29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:12 } \\ & \text { complexity }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:18, 68:27 } \\ & \text { conjunction [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 28,34: 14, \\ & 35: 10,35: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| 46:2, 46:7, 46:11, | - 27:9, 38:4, | 112:6, 113:9, | 46:14 | - 32:25 | $35: 26,38: 6,41: 9$ |
| $47: 1,51: 11,$ | 46:20, 50:23, | 113:14, 113:16, | compliant [3] - | CONNAUGHT | $45: 17,45: 23$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 52:29 } \\ & \text { chief }[5]-40: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 9,53: 13 \\ & 54: 15,56: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 113:20, 113:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 11,31: 12, \\ & 31: 14 \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 3:32 | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 9,50: 13 \\ & 51: 10,52: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 40:7, 42:4, 45:12, | 58:20, 59:26, | Commission [1] | complies [1] - | $42: 7,106: 24$ | $65: 5,65: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51:7 } \\ & \text { chief's }[4] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 28,62: 25, \\ & 77: 5,82: 1,82: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $-72: 14$ | 118:4 comp | CONNELLAN <br> [2] - $3.30,3.30$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:17, 65:24, } \\ & \text { 65:29, 66:1, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $38: 16,38: 20$ | clarified [1] - | [12] - 27:5, 27:14, | 28:18 | CONOR [1] - | 76:27, 82:20, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:29, 54:19 } \\ & \text { child [2] - 22:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:28 } \\ & \text { clarify [6] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 6,31: 2,32: 20, \\ & 38: 1,38: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { concept }[1] \text { - } \\ & 92: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3: 24 \\ \text { con } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 18,89: 6, \\ & 89: 29,94: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| 87:28 | $44: 23,58: 14$ | $43: 19,53: 1$ | concern [4] - | $73: 26$ | 104:19, 106:16, |
| CHIS [32] - 26:2, 26.18, 26 . 29 | 94:23, 98:18, | 101:5, 102:22, | $46: 9,99: 1,102: 7$ | consider [1] - | 106:23, 110:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:18, 26:29, } \\ & 27: 1,27: 2,27: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 99:17, } 105: 6 \\ & \text { class }[3]-20: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 109:22 <br> COMMISSIONE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:28 } \\ & \text { concerned }[19] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94:8 } \\ & \text { consideration } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:5, 117:14, } \\ & 117: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $31: 28,34: 26,$ | $112: 5,115: 15$ | $\mathbf{R}[8]-3: 2,3: 5,$ | 16:22, 19:17, | [1] - 49:5 | corrected [1] - |
| $34: 27,35: 2,35: 3$ $35 \cdot 4 \quad 35 \cdot 24 \quad 36 \cdot 2$ | clear [5] - 13:16, | $3: 6,3: 7,3: 7,3: 8$ | $31: 18,46: 9,$ | contact [10] - | $43: 12$ |
| 35:4, 35:24, 36:2, $36: 15,36: 22,$ | 65:21, 87:14, | 3:18, 3:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 11,46: 17 \\ & 58: 21,66: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $11: 23,21: 1,21: 7 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { correctly [7] - } \\ & 26: 27.70: 7 . \end{aligned}$ |
| $41: 14,41: 16$ | 88:20, 94:25 | commissioner | 58:21, 66:19, | 21:16, 48:8, | 26:27, 70:7, |
| 47:26, 48:4, | $12: 24,32: 18$ | [2]-53:2, 84:11 common [3] - | 92:16, 98:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 18,50: 28, \\ & 59: 2,79: 28, \end{aligned}$ | 110:1, 114:12, |
| 48:17, 49:3, | $53: 23,102: 8$ | 61:18, 61:26, | 98:25, 102:14, | 80:18 |  |


| corrects [1] - | 20:25, 29:10, | 47:1, 51:11, | decided [6] - | 43:18, 51:1, | directly [6] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62:23 | 29:12, 40:5, 40:6, | 52:29, 81:25, | 7:6, 11:2, 11:6, | 51:18, 53:5, 54:7, | 23:4, 24:24, 26:7, |
| correspondenc | 42:3, 50:21 | 83:21 | 66:23, 67:18, | 58:19, 58:29, | 29:19, 41:25, |
| e [4] - 44:4, 44:7, | criminals [2] - | Curran | 100:9 | 59:29, 60:3, | 46:18 |
| $78: 16,99: 12$ | 18:19, 48:8 | Inspector [1] - 37.23 | decision [1] - | $73: 17,74: 3 \text {, }$ | DIRECTOR [1] - |
| corroborated [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { criminals.. [1] - } \\ & \text { 29:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $37: 23$ | 96:14 | 97:20, 97:23, | $3: 13$ |
| corruption [3] - | critical [1] | $50: 21$ | 3:5 | 98:15, 98:29, | $[1]-3: 15$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 7: 12,7: 17,8: 3 \\ \text { cough [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:27 } \\ & \text { critic } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cut }[2]-54: 3, \\ & 99: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { declaration }[8] \text { - } \\ & \text { 112:7, 112:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 4,101: 5 \\ & \text { 101:14, 103:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { disagree [1] - } \\ & \text { 114:5 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 70:13 | 94:1, 94:5 |  | 112:26, 113:1, | 103:27, 104:11, | disappointmen |
| Council [1] - | criticism [2] - | D | 114:18, 115:20, | 104:15, 107:25, | t[1] - 104:9 |
| $6: 10$ <br> counsel [2] - | 85:11, 93:11 criticisms [1] |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 115:25, 115:28 } \\ \text { declined [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | 109:28 <br> develop [1] - | $\text { disbelieving }{ }_{[1]}$ - 91:4 |
| 96:28, 103:17 counter [3] - | 93:4 <br> crop [1] - 107:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 76:14, 101:7 } \\ & \text { dark [1] - 108:11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72:3, } 73: 26 \\ & \text { declines }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:8 } \\ & \text { DEVELOPMEN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { disclose [1] - } \\ & 81: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| 64:20, 71:26, | cropped [2] - <br> 87.21, 88.23 | dart [1] - 88:27 | 73:2 | T [1] - 3:16 develops [1] - | disclosed [2] - |
| country [6] 7.16, 19.23 | cross <br> 15.26, 23.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data }[1]-57: 21 \\ & \text { date }[13]-42: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $44: 19,119: 16$ | 61:5 <br> devious [1] - | disclosure [15] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7: 16,19: 23 \\ & \text { 19:25, 20:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 26,23: 5, \\ & 33: 13,102: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $43: 24,45: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { definition [3] - } \\ 48: 3,48: 5,48: 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { devious [1] - } \\ & 49: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 6,16: 10,23: 18, \\ & 23: 25,26: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| $55: 8,55: 11$ | cross- | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 17,45: 19 \\ & 45: 20,45: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { degree [1] - } \\ 115 \cdot 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { diaries [1] - } \\ & 62: 26 \end{aligned}$ | 31:21, 34:27, |
| $15: 25,22: 24$ | examination [1] 23:5 | $62: 13,63: 5,73: 9$ | depth [1]-9:28 | diary [10] - | $40: 28,42: 1$ |
| 77:6 | cross | 85:10, 100:23, | deputy [1] - | 37:15, 50:25, | 42:11, 51:9, |
| course [7] - 7:7, | examined [2] - | 105:24 dated [5] - 28:4, | 11:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 26,62: 19, \\ & 67: 26,67: 27, \end{aligned}$ | $92: 18,105: 20$ |
| $62: 12,69: 1,96: 5$ | crucial [1] | 44:24, 44:29, | 11:13, 11:23, | 80:25, 92:25, | $6: 29,7: 1,20: 21$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Court [3]-6:8, } \\ & 8: 12,8: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:22 } \\ & \text { crutch }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:2, } 70: 29 \\ & \text { dates }[1]-106: 4 \\ & \text { daughter }[9]- \end{aligned}$ | $53: 1$ <br> derivative [1] - | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 100:20, 100:21 } \\ \text { difference }[6]- \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:6, 114:7 } \\ & \text { discovered [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { court [1] - } \\ & \text { 110:14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15:27 } \\ \text { CUA } \end{gathered}$ | 18:7, 65:16, | 40:13 <br> describe [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:12, 108:5, } \\ & \text { 113:8, 113:11, } \end{aligned}$ | 100:8 discredit [3] - |
| ${ }_{3.31}{ }^{\text {COURT }}{ }^{1]}$ - | 3:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 18,65: 23, \\ & 65: 27,67: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $15: 16,17: 5$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 113:13, 113:25 } \\ \text { different }[9] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $76: 8,76: 11$ |
| cover [5] - 39:3, | $27: 5,27: 14,30: 6$ | $68: 10,68: 11$ | $25: 7,49: 19$ | $35: 8,37: 5,37: 9$ | 36:20, 85:20, |
| 71:19, 107:24, | 31:2, 38:18, | $72: 3$ | description [1] - | 40:13, 54:18, | 109:14 |
| $107: 29,108: 28$ | $43: 19,53: 2,53: 3$ <br> $56: 14,58: 13$ | DAVID [1] - 3:18 days [4]-22:5, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 77:27 } \\ \text { DESN } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:23, 104:4, } \\ & \text { 104:23, 116:22 } \end{aligned}$ | discussed [4] - <br> 8:20, 46:4, 89:15, |
| 23:27 | $34: 1$ | 30:14, 77:7, | $4: 3$ | differently [1] - | 110:18 |
| covered [4] - <br> $52.2,52 \cdot 12$ | $101: 5,109: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:13 } \\ & \text { deal }[8]-23: 23, \end{aligned}$ | despite [2]- | $84: 7$ <br> difficulty [8] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { discussing [1] - } \\ & 59 \cdot 90 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 52: 2,52: 12, \\ & 54: 20 \quad 95: 2 ? \end{aligned}$ | $18: 11$ | $27: 18,34: 28$ | detail [4] - | 33:26, 64:24, | discussion [3] - |
| covering [1] - | cup [1] - 97:28 | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 29,47: 4, \\ & 61: 29,73: 1,96: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $15: 15,22: 7$ | 81:4, 84:9, 84:11, | 44:10, 104:20, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:3 } \\ & \text { covert }[3]-27: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { curiosity }[2] \text { - } \\ & 56: 13,60: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 61:29, 73:1, 96:5 <br> dealing [6] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 97:22, 99:4 } \\ \text { detailed [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 27,87: 19, \\ & 87: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:21 } \\ & \text { dispute }[6]- \end{aligned}$ |
| 48:4, 48:25 | Curley [5] - 73:6, | 54:7, 61:20, | 51:17 | DIGNAM [1] - | 14:8, 51:20, |
| created [5] - | 73:18, 73:19, | 61:22, 62:2, <br> 76:10, 111:9 | details [8] - | 3:24 | 71:11, 79:8, |
| 26:13, 36:9, 43:4, | 74:24, 79:15 | 76:10, 111:9 dealings [2] - | $57: 13,58: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { directed [1] - } \\ & 37 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $89: 25,90: 3$ |
| $44: 9,46: 27$ <br> creating [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CURLEY }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 3: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $31: 3,80: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:4, 75:20, } \\ & \text { 105:29, 107:12 } \end{aligned}$ | 37:14 <br> directing [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { disputed [2] - } \\ 84: 23,87: 22 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24: 27 \\ & \text { credibility [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curley's [1] - } \\ & 74: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { dealt }[7]-51: 14, \\ 63: 2,63: 3,69: 26, \end{array}$ | 107:13, 112:19 DETECTIVE [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:18 } \\ & \text { direction [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | distinguished [1] - 6:7 |
| $76: 13,84: 16$ <br> crime [5]-55:5, | CURRAN [1] - 3:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 28,84: 7, \\ & 108: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 4,3: 5,3: 12 \\ & 3: 13,3: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94:12 } \\ & \text { directive [9] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { district }[6]- \\ 30.26 \quad 105.27 \end{gathered}$ |
| 86:24, 87:2, | Curran | debate [2] - | detective [5] - | 26:19, 32:9, | 105:23, 116:28, |
| 87:13, 88:22 | 28:5, 28:9, 29:7, | 14:7, 96:17 <br> December [2] - | $55: 28,96: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 22,32: 25, \\ & 33: 27,39: 2,39: \end{aligned}$ | 117:27 |
| criminal [2] - | 29:18, 31:4, 33:6, $39 \cdot 4 \quad 39 \cdot 21$ | $33: 5,112: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:25, 104:2, } \\ & 108 \cdot 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 27,39: 2,39: 6, \\ & 39: 24,52: 6 \end{aligned}$ | District [1] - |
| 48:10, 48:27 <br> criminality [10] - | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 4,39: 21, \\ & 43: 28,44: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { decide }[2] \text { - } \\ 76: 2,96: 7 \end{gathered}$ | Detective [29] - | Directive [2] 28:11, 32:26 | divide [1] - 37:8 |


| 29:13, 40:6, 40:8, | 86:23, 91:23, | $E$ | enforcement [1] | $33: 10$ | excess [1] - 15:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42:4, 42:5 | 94:16, 105:4, |  | - 91:27 | $\text { estate [1] }-61: 5$ | excessive [1] |
| divisional [4] - | 107:28, 109:20, |  | engage [1] - | etcetera [1] - | 95:25 |
| 28:5, 31:4, 32:26, | 111:22, 112:27 | e-mail [4] - | 35:11 | 91:28 | exciting [2] - |
| 117:23 | DOWNEY [2] - | 79:15, 98:6, 98:8, | engaged [4] - | evaluated [1] - | 18:17, 18:19 |
| divulged [1] - | 3:15, 3:20 | 112:14 | 14:18, 20:25, | 50:12 | excuse [2] - |
| 73:14 | downstairs [1] - | e-mails [1] - | 35:19, 50:21 | evaluating [1] - | 59:28, 107:27 |
| DMR [1] - 19:11 | 73:2 | 111:17 | engagements | 56:19 | EXECUTIVE ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| DOCKERY [1] - | DPP [4] - 86:24, | EAMON [1] - | [1]-91:28 | event [1] - 46:1 | - $3: 13$ |
| 4:3 | 87:2, 87:13, | 3:10 | enjoyed [1] - | events [8] - | exercising [1] - |
| doctor [1] - | 88:22 | Eamon [1] - | 19:29 | 16:16, 35:17, | 49:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:18 } \\ & \text { document [23] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DR [1] - 3:11 } \\ & \text { drank [1] - 8:14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 73:17 } \\ & \quad \text { Eamonn [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { enjoying [3] - } \\ \text { 23:29, 24:15, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 21,46: 2,57: 6 \\ & 76: 29,106: 15 \end{aligned}$ | exist [1] - 117:7 |
| 32:5, 32:6, 33:1, | draw [1] - 72:16 | $74: 3$ | 24:16 | 106:23 | $48: 11$ |
| $33: 5,33: 16$, $33: 17,33 \cdot 19$, | drawn [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ear [2] - 7:14 } \\ & 7: 15 \end{aligned}$ | enjoyment [1] - | eventually [1] - | expenses [3] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 17,33: 19, \\ & 33: 23,34: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $13: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:15 } \\ & \text { ears [1] - 75:3 } \end{aligned}$ | $24: 22$ | $12: 3$ <br> evidence [32]- | 109:17, 110:2, |
| 34:20, 41:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dreadful [1] - } \\ & 25: 13 \end{aligned}$ | earth [1] - 24:27 | Enniskerry [1] 19:7 | evidence [32] - | 111:5 |
| 41:23, 43:14, | drink [1] - 9:1 | easily [1] - 53:4 | enormous [1] - | 11:18, 11:22, | $75: 29,95: 25,$ |
| 44:24, 44:26, | drinking [9] - | effect [3] - | 33:26 | 13:4, 16:12, | 118:26 |
| 46:29, 47:26, | 9:19, 10:29, 15:4, | 53:24, 95:2, | enquiries [5] - | 19:21, 21:11, | expertise [1] - |
| 47:28, 51:29, | 15:5, 15:8, 24:17, | 111:4 | 73:13, 106:10, | 22:27, 24:29, | 115:15 |
| 79:20, 79:24, | 24:18, 24:19, | effectively [3] - | 108:6, 110:27, | 25:2, 25:7, 37:13, | explain [4] - |
| $85: 14,112: 19$ <br> documents | $24: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 1,23: 4, \\ & 100: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $111: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 5,47: 6,52: 16 \\ & 52: 20,56: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 14,78: 19 \\ & 101: 6,106: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $7: 5,10: 13,10: 17$ | 17:29 | effort [1] - | 97:2 | $57: 2,59: 27,69: 5,$ | explained [6] - |
| 43:25, 47:29, | Driving [1] - | 106:15 | ensure [4] - | 81:29, 83:8, | 20:21, 38:15, |
| 52:27, 78:18, | 117:5 | EGAN [1] - 3:25 | 32:27, 49:8, | 83:14, 83:23, | 41:8, 73:21, |
| 112:17 | driving [4] - | eight [1]-86:18 | 71:20, 106:2 | 83:25, 88:24, | 99:27, 109:27 |
| DOE [1] - 113:22 | 117:12, 117:17, | either [4]-11:5, | entertain [2] - | 91:12, 94:7, | explanation [2] - |
| DONAL [2] - 3:7, | 118:10, 119:25 | 51:20, 93:21, | 14:10, 103:21 | 104:29, 115:5 | 36:19, 41:10 |
| 3:24 | drove [1] - 6:28 | 115:17 | entire [1] - 94:11 | evidential [1] - | explore [1] - |
| Donal [3]-27:5, | drugs [11] - | elephant [1] - | entirely [2] - | 60:4 | 60:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:14, 30:6 } \\ & \text { done }[10]-37: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:12, 13:6, 19:6, } \\ & 43: 5,64: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:1 } \\ & \text { elevated }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 14:9, 103:13 } \\ \text { entitled }[3] \text { - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exact }[2]-34: 29 \text {, } \\ & 39: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { explored [1] - } \\ & 01 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $57: 4,76: 21$ | 71:17, 95:24, | 104:1 | $13: 8,41: 29$ | exactly [8] - | explosive [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:15, 96:25, } \\ & \text { 100:11, 106:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $96: 1,96: 3,101: 7$ | eliminating [1] - | $85: 15$ | 19:22, 65:11, 65:12, 66:12, | 88:6 |
| 116:16, 116:17, | drunk [2] - 24:26, 101:7 | 48:26 elsewhe | $\begin{array}{r} \text { entries [3] - } \\ 37: 15,42: 12 . \end{array}$ | 69:2, 69:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exposed }[1] \text { - } \\ & 25: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| 118:17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 24:26, 101:7 } \\ \text { Dublin [3] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { elsewhere } \\ & 94: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 15,42: 12, \\ & 106: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 69:17, 94:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:27 } \\ & \text { expressing }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| Donegal [2] - | 8:12, 19:11, | em [2]-53:27, | entry [14] - 26:2, | exaggerating [1] | 46:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 37: 5,37: 8 \\ & \text { doubt }[2]-36: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 20:10 <br> DUBLIN ${ }^{[2]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:8 } \\ & \text { emails [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 7,36: 9,41: 1, \\ & \text { 42:19, 42:27, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -93: 12 \\ & \quad \text { exaggeration }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { expression }[2] \text { - } \\ & 15: 26,23: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:10 } \\ & \text { doubtful }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 28,4: 6 \\ & \text { duck }[2]-25: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111: 15,111: 22 \\ \text { emanates }[1]- \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:22, 45:10, } \\ & 46: 6,46: 18,47: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -93: 15 \\ & \quad \text { examination }[4] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extra }[1]-56: 20 \\ & \text { extract }[1]-74: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 91:4 } \\ & \text { down [39] - 9:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:9 } \\ & \text { due [2] - 36:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:29 } \\ & \text { emanating }[2] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 23,62: 20, \\ & 80: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -23: 5,85: 4 \\ & \text { 102:10, 103:7 } \end{aligned}$ | extreme [1] - |
| 19:9, 19:11, 20:5, | 56:2 | 38:16, 54:18 | equally [1] - | examined [3] - | extremely ${ }^{[1]}$ - |
| $20: 18,22: 7,22: 9$ $22.23 \quad 25 \cdot 2$ | during [5] - | EMMA [1] - 3:26 | $103: 13$ | 15:26, 102:29, | $30: 16$ |
| 25:25, 27:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 25,17: 17, \\ & 59: 10,81: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { emphasising [1] } \\ -120: 3 \end{array}$ | escutcheon [3] - | EXAMINED ${ }_{[2]}$ - | F |
| 36:23, 37:20, | 105:25 | employed [1] - | 104:13, 104:18, | $5: 5,6: 22$ |  |
| $42: 23,49: 13$ | Dutch [1] - 6:10 | 105:23 | 104:22 | example [5] - |  |
| 61:2, 61:5, 61:12, | duties [2] - <br> 49.20, $71 \cdot 6$ | encouraging [1] - 78:29 | essentially [4] - <br> 68:15, 69:19 | 17:16, 19:10, $55: 2,55: 16,$ | $56: 5$ |
| 61:14, 61:29, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:20, 71:6 } \\ & \text { duty [3] - } 6: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\text { end }[4]-8: 9 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 15,69: 19, \\ & 86: 15,96: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $55: 18$ | fact [13] - 10:8, |
| 62:2, 69:29, <br> 70.25, 71:26 | $6: 6,109: 24$ | 84:18, 113:23, | establish [2] - | excellent [1] - | 12:26, 13:6, $27: 19.44: 9 .$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 70: 25,71: 26, \\ & 74: 11,74: 15, \end{aligned}$ | dwell [1] - 50:5 | $118: 15$ | $13: 14,42: 25$ | 59:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:19, 44:9, } \\ & 46: 15,46: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 80:3, 80:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dáil [2]-23:27, } \\ & \text { 24:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { end.. [1] - 15:20 } \\ & \text { ends [1] - 24:18 } \end{aligned}$ | established [4] - <br> $13.4,13: 9,13 \cdot 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exception [2]- } \\ & 31: 1,43: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 46:15, 46:18, 46:25, 84:22, |


| 94:13, 94:27, | files [6]-18:21, | $\text { FLYNN }_{[1]}-3: 19$ | G |  | grandfather [3] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -13:3, | 86:24, 8 | follow [3] |  | 96:6, 97:2 | 17:23 |
| 13:9, 13:16 | 87:13 | 52:23, 5 | gained [1] - 30:4 | 97:23, 98:2 | grateful [1] - |
| fair [3]-19:19, | filling [1] - 42:28 | 99:11 | Galway [8] - | 98:17, 99:2, 99:7, | 112:11 |
| 22:21, 90:11 | final [1] - 39:8 | followed [1] | 21:4, 21:24 | 100:29, 101:4, | great [3]-9:25, |
| fairly [3]-22:6, | finance [3] - | 12:14 | 38:18, 38:19, | 101:24, 105:7, | 24:8, 92:12 |
| 30:11, 51:15 | 105:22, 105:25, | following [5] - | 109:18, 109:20, | $105: 8,105: 12$ | greater [2] - |
| faith [1] - 104:12 | 106:5 | 12:17, 37:22, | 109:21, 109:29 | 105:14, 105:27, | 88:9, 88:16 |
| fall [1] - 19:21 | financial ${ }_{[2]}$ | $\text { 71:1, 92:1, } 98: 8$ | gambling 82:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:1, 106:21, } \\ & \text { 106:24, 106:2 } \end{aligned}$ | green [1] - 9:16 |
| $79: 1,79: 10$ | findings [1] - | $6: 1,6: 23,40: 23$ | GARDA [7] - 3:2, | 107:17, 109:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { grew [2] - 18: } \\ & \text { 19:29 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 79:13, 92:11, | 37:22 | 70:21 | 3:10, 3:11, 3:12, | 109:16, 109:29, | grievance [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:25 } \\ & \text { familiarise }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fine }[9]-10: 14, \\ & 22: 17,25: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { follows [2] - } \\ \text { 105:10, } 117: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3: 21,5: 3,6: 22 \\ \text { garda }[7] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:4, 110:7, } \\ & \text { 115:13, 115:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $43: 8$ |
| 32:15 | 25:14, 40:19, | lish [1] | 12:10, 75:18, | gardaí [8] - | 3:26 |
| family [1] - 18:9 | 47:3, 68:8, 76:28, | 17:29 | 88:6, 104:26, | 38:18, 38:19, | ground [2] - |
| famous [4] - | 111:24 | FOR [4]-3:2, | 105:1, 110:15 | 57:13, 57:15, | 96:10, 103:18 |
| 23:7, 23:18, | finer [1] - 22:7 | 3:30, 4:1, 70:20 | Garda [123] - | 57:18, 71:15, | grow [1] - 17:28 |
| $23: 29,24: 8$ | finger [1] - 14:5 <br> finish [2]-6:15, | $\begin{gathered} \text { force [2] - 18:28, } \\ 118.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 18,6: 25,8: 24, \\ & \text { 12:1, 12:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 78:3, 83:4 } \\ \text { Gardaí [6] - } \end{gathered}$ | GSOC [5] - 12:4, |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { finish } \\ \text { 119:14 } \end{gathered}$ |  | $12: 16,12: 1$ | 12:26, 17:23, | 12:8, 12:11, 16 |
| 20:25, 30:16, | finished [1] - | 18:20, 24 : | 12:25, 13:7, | 49:17, 59:10, | $7: 13,8: 10,17: 14$ |
| 43:11, 46:5, | 17:17 | forgetting [1] - | 13:18, 13:26, | 71:19, 76:3 | 17:18, 18:5, 18:6, |
| 52:16, 82:4, 82:8, | Finn [1]-81:22 | 66:29 | 14:24, 17:11, | gathered [1] - | 18:7, 20:7, 21:15, |
| 82:18, 92:15, | FINN ${ }_{[1]}-3: 5$ | forgive [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:21, 19:5, 21:8, } \\ & 21: 14,24: 12, \end{aligned}$ | 24:28 | 25:19, 25:20, |
| 103:21, 104:13, | fire [2]-88:5, | 67:4, 115:3 | 21:14, 24:12, $26: 19,28: 3,$ | gathering [1] - | 25:24, 47:7, 50:4, |
| 109:13 | 95:4 | forgot [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 19,28: 3, \\ & 28: 10.28: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 9:13 | 55:20, 56:16, |
| Farrell [5] - | first [39]-8:15, | 55:14 | 28:10, 28:16, | ${ }_{\text {general }}[4]$ - | 61:26, 68:28, |
| 36:18, 63:1, | 9:7, 12:4, 12:23, | form [3] - 93:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 18,29: 12, \\ & 30: 29,31: 29 \end{aligned}$ | 7:12, 22:8, 48:22, | 75:8, 75:11, |
| 70:27, 72:8, | 13:22, 15:19, | 112:18, 112:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 29,31: 29, \\ & 38: 17,38: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 117:2 | 75:15, 75:16, |
| 79:18 | 16:21, 17:13, | formal [2] - 72:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 17,38: 23, \\ & 39: 27,40: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { generally }{ }^{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 93: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $78: 6,78: 8,92: 21,$ |
| FARRELL [1] - | 17:19, 24:2, | 109:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 27,40: 25, \\ & 42: 12,42: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:27 } \\ & \text { Gerdv }[11-6: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 92:22, 92:26, |
| 4:2 | 25:10, 25:17, | formally [1] - | 42:23, 42:28, | Gerdy [1] - 6:9 | $96: 25,96: 27,$ |
| Farrell.. [1] | 44:4, 46:29, 47:8, | $78: 14$ | $43: 4,43: 6,43$ | Gerry [1] - 105:1 | 102:20, 108:4, |
| 37:24 | 47:19, 53:28, | formed [1] - | 43:13, 43:16, | ${ }_{3}^{\text {GERRY [1] - }}$ | $114: 8,118: 27$ |
| father [1] - 17:11 <br> fault [1] - 33.26 | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 1,54: 17 \\ & 60: 19,67: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $20: 23$ | $43: 20,44: 5,44: 8 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 11 \\ & \text { given [12] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guard.. [1] - } \\ & 77: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| favours [7] - | $70: 29,78: 16$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { forwa } \\ \text { 20:27, } \end{array}$ | 44:9, 44:11, 46:3, | 12:21, 21:17 | Guards [13] |
| 64:13, 64:16, | 79:10, 79:15, | forwarded [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 6,46: 19,48: 9 \\ & 49: 8,49: 22, \end{aligned}$ | 45:21, 47:16, | 8:19, 9:1, 10:14, |
| 66:10, 66:11, | 79:20, 81:20, | $27: 5,28: 4,80: 19$ | 51:12, 52:28, | 64:7, 71:2, 72:4, | 18:22, 18:23, |
| 67:13, 68:21, | 83:23, 89:8, | four [3] - 42:10, |  | 72:10, 72:19, | 59:5, 59:8, 61:8, |
| 68:25 | 91:19, 99:28, | 86:18, 105:4 | $56: 28,57: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 21,84: 8, \\ & 119: 28 \end{aligned}$ | 61:18, 74:16, |
| fear [1] - 10:1 <br> fears [1]-117 | 103:2, 103:7, $\text { 107:10, } 108:$ | fraud [2] - | $57: 10,57: 13$ | GLEESON | 87:7, 87:11, 95:23 |
| featured [1] - | $\text { 111:11, } 114: 8$ | 112:27, 112:28 free [1] $-13: 15$ | 57:15, 57:16, | 4:4 | guards [29] - |
| 24:23 | firstly [2]-33:1, | FRIDAY ${ }_{[1]}$ - 6:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:20, 57:26, } \\ & 57: 27,63: 1, \end{aligned}$ | God [1] - 20:7 | 7:17, 17:8, 18:7, |
| feet [1] - 12:15 | 50:11 | friendly [1] - |  | GOODE [1] - | 18:9, 19:10, |
| fell [1] - 52:19 | fishy | 71:15 | 71:7, 71:13, | 3:22 | 25:13, 25:15, |
| felt [6]-16:13, | 100:10 | [1]-52:2 | 71:25, 71:26, | goods [4]- | 60:29, 61:28, |
| 17:22, 18:28, | FITZWILLIAM | fro-ing [1] | 72:10, 72:13, | 112:8, 112:22, | 63:17, 63:24, |
| $25: 27,110: 1$ | [1] - 4:5 | $52: 27$ | $72: 19,72: 21 \text {, }$ | 114:19 gossip [1] - | 64:13, 64:16, $66: 10,66: 11$ |
| 110:26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { five }[4]-22: 25, \\ & 53: 28,54: 1, \end{aligned}$ | front [4] - 27:24, | $73: 14,73: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gossip [1] - } \\ & \text { 16:26 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:10, 66:11, } \\ & \text { 67:13, 67:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $55: 8,106: 14$ | $54: 18$ | 34:1, 74:12 | 73:22, 73:25, | gossiping [1] - | 68:21, 68:25, |
| fight [1] - 61:6 | fixing [1] - 95:14 | $29$ | $74: 24,75: 6$, $75: 14,75: 19$ | 16:23 | 69:6, 69:19, |
| figure [2]-8:26, | flag [1] - 45:25 | $\text { fun [1] }-20: 1$ | 75:14, 75:19, | GRALTON ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 69:22, 69:27, |
| $59: 14$ | Flanagan [4] - | furnish [1] - 39:4 | $78: 29,79: 1$ | 3:14 | 74:9, 74:13, 82:8, |
| file [3] - 88:22, | 11:14, 11:24, | fuss [1] - 24:27 | 81:27, 85:20, | grand [2]- | 86:18, 96:4, |
| 99:15, 109:14 | 12:6 |  | 85:22, 85:26, | 25:21, 100:1 | 109:3 |




| $96: 23,116: 27$ | $49: 12,52: 11$ | M | $36: 15,36: 23$ | 77:12 | 107:17, 116:28, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25:25 | 56:21, 62: |  | 41:14, 44:2 | 77:15 | 117:11, 118 |
| level [4]-55:21, | 68:9, 70:25, 77:8, | mad [3] - 10:11, | 47:13, 48:17, | MCLOUGHLIN | members' [2] - |
| 92:19, 95:27, | 80:25, 84:15, | 13:5, 17:4 | 51:20, 51:26, | [1] - 3:6 | 105:12, 117:26 |
| 100:19 | 87:12, 95:25, | magazines [1] - | 52:16, 52:19, | McLYNN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | memo [1] - |
| levels [1] - 85:11 | 97:2, 98:2, 98:5, | 77:20 | 54:29, 58:5, | 3:31 | 42:29 |
| liaison [1] - | 98:26, 99:28, | mail [4]-79:15, | 70:10, 70:24, | MCMAHON ${ }^{1}$ ] - | memory [2] - |
| 85:19 | 100:25, 107:25, | 98:6, 98:8, | 76:9, 76:10, | 3:8 | 54:27, 97:29 |
| LIAM [1] - 3:18 | 107:26, 107:27, | 112:14 | 76:16, 77:6, 79:6, | McMahon [11] - | mentioned [14] - |
| Liam [11] - | 108:1, 109:1, | mails [1] - | 85:3, 102:17, | 16:10, 16:15, | 9:8, 54:17, 64:9, |
| 38:12, 76:29, | 109:5, 112:14, | 111:17 | 102:21, 103:29, | 21:20, 22:5, | 67:14, 68:20, |
| 77:3, 77:12, | 114:9, 114:10, | main [5]-7:1, | 104:3, 105:9, | 30:13, 30:28, | 74:21, 75:19, |
| 77:15, 77:18, | 118:14, 118:25, | 38:17, 76:12, | 110:6, 111:9, | 36:28, 37:10, | 86:26, 87:18, |
| 77:20, 79:28, | 119:23 | $76: 13,76: 17$ | 112:10 | 37:22, 38:4, | 92:2, 92:8, 96:26, |
| 80:16, 80:18, | looked [14] - | maintained [1] - | matters [16] - | 62:26 | 97:28, 99:18 |
| 81:7 | 20:10, 20:18, | 76:15 | 13:3, 13:17, | McMahon's [1] - | mentions [2] - |
| licence [2] - | 36:15, 36:17, | maintaining [1] | 13:29, 14:23, | 37:12 | 66:7, 68:25 |
| 118:10, 119:26 | 39:18, 39:24, | - 83:12 | 50:2, 54:18, | MCPARTLIN ${ }_{[1]}$ | merely [2] - |
| Licences [1] - | 41:15, 41:23, | male [1] - 74:16 | 57:20, 58:21, | - 3:20 | 88:15, 115:22 |
| 117:5 | 42:13, 42:20, | malice [1] - 7:27 | 63:25, 65:2, 73:1, | mean [10]-23:9, | message [3] - |
| licences [2] - | 51:4, 51:29, 52:8, | man [3]-9:17, | 74:26, 86:1, 88:4, | 25:9, 53:27, 68:1, | 21:12, 21:16, |
| 117:12, 117:17 | 99:21 | 10:1, 34:3 | 102:10, 119:25 | 69:8, 91:26, | 87:10 |
| life [2]-20:7, | looking [18] - | manage [1] - | maximum [2] | 92:26, 114:13, | messages [1] - |
| 22:19 | 14:16, 33:2, 33:4, | 6:16 | 88:29, 90:10 | 115:10, 119:21 | 50:25 |
| light [2] - 57:28, | 42:5, 47:13, | management [6] | McArdle [1] - | meaning [1] - | messing [1] - |
| 72:21 | 48:19, 62:16, | - 41:16, 41:24, | 106:16 | 95:22 | 11:2 |
| line [13]-9:23, | 66:15, 86:12, | 47:29, 51:12, | McBrien [26] - | means [2] - | met [14]-11:21, |
| 28:16, 39:27, | 87:14, 88:20, 89:10. 112:7. | 58:24, 88:6 | 26:1, 28:1, 36:13, | 45:26, 93:9 | 11:22, 21:8, |
| 52:26, 54:25, | 89:10, 112:7, | manner [1] - | 36:18, 38:28, | meant [1] - 86:3 | 21:10, 21:27, |
| 81:15, 83:27, | 112:26, 112:28, | 117:16 | 39:17, 41:6, 51:3, | meantime [1] - | 24:3, 30:13, |
| 83:28, 83:29, | $\begin{aligned} & 116: 1,116: 2, \\ & 116 \cdot 7 \end{aligned}$ | March [8] - 85:6, | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 18,52: 22, \\ & 53: 11.53: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $67: 18$ | $50: 17,62: 26$ |
| $87: 16,88: 5,95: 4$ | looks [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85:16, 89:3, } \\ & \text { 109:27, 111:17, } \end{aligned}$ | 53:16, 78:21, | MEDICAL [1] - | 71:4, 80:16, 81:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:8 } \\ & \text { lines [2] - 105:4, } \end{aligned}$ | 101:27, 116:5 | 111:24, 116:20, | $79: 25,80: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 11 \\ & \text { meet }[8]-21: 22, \end{aligned}$ | 89:8, 110:14 metropolitan [1] |
| 107:28 | Lord [2]-18:13, | 116:26 | 81:25, 97:4, 97:8, | 41:3, 50:6, 55:28, | - 19:11 |
| linked [1] - | 20:3 | MARGARET ${ }_{[1]}$ | 105:23, 105:28, | 56:1, 56:2, 75:6, | Michael [1] - |
| 29:20 | LORRAINE [1] - | - 3:21 | 106:5, 106:11, | 101:4 | 106:16 |
| list [3]-86:25, | $3: 4$ | MARIE [2] - 3:8, | 106:25, 108:13, | meeting [10] - | MICHAEL [4] - |
| 87:2, 87:13 | loss [3] - 106:13, | 3:17 | 109:15 | 53:5, 74:23, | $3: 4,3: 5,3: 10$ |
| listen [2] - | 111:1, 111:13 | MARK [1] - 3:3 | McCabe [2] - | 91:19, 101:13, | $3: 19$ |
| $38: 12,53: 3$ | Lotto [2] - 82:16 lovely [2] - | marked [1] | $24: 10,24: 12$ <br> McGuinness [9] | 105:21, 109:6, | Mickey [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { listening [1] - } \\ & 63: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lovely [2] - } \\ \text { 20:11, } 20: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:6 } \\ & \text { Marley [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $-3: 24,44: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:13, 109:21, } \\ & \text { 110:16, 118:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 88:26 } \\ & \text { micro [3] - 85:4. } \end{aligned}$ |
| lists [1]-88:22 | Luke [3]-11:13, | 24:20 | $44: 29,45: 4,$ | meetings [1] - | $93: 4,94: 27$ |
| literary [2] - | 11:24, 12:6 | married [1] - | 45:19, 45:20, | 109:11 | micromanage |
| 104:24 | LUNCH [1] - | 10:1 | 52:21, 54:28, | melting [1] - | ment [2]-90:9 |
| LITTLE [1] - 3:28 | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 20 \\ & \text { lunch [1] - 70:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Martin [7]-85:5, } \\ & \text { 85:19. 85:22. } \end{aligned}$ | 84:15 <br> McHugh [19] | $8: 25$ | middle [1] - |
| lives [1] - 20:16 | Lyons [10] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85:19, 85:22, } \\ & 88: 3,88: 4,94: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 38:12, 76:17, | $\begin{gathered} \text { member [7] - } \\ 6: 10,30: 28,43: 5, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:11 } \\ & \text { might [14] - } 9: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| 78:5 | $77: 2,77: 16$ | $95: 4$ | 76:29, 77:3, | $85: 28,85: 29,$ | 19:22, 24:25, |
| Ionghand [1] - | $79: 14,79: 15$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { material [5] - } \\ \text { 22:27, 22:28 } \end{gathered}$ | $77: 23,78: 6$ | $86: 8,117: 1$ | $40: 9,44: 23,58: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:24 } \\ & \text { look [45] - 11:28, } \end{aligned}$ | 79:22, 81:19, | 29:24, 30:12, | $78: 17,79: 1 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { member's [1] - } \\ & 118: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 16,60: 6, \\ & 68: 27,92: 26, \end{aligned}$ |
| 13:19, 18:16, | 81:21, 101:16 | 31:22 | 79:29, 80:16, | members [16] - | 100:5, 102:20, |
| 22:17, 24:5, | LYONS [1] - 4:3 | MATT [1] - 3:19 | 82: | 29:12, 46:19, | 104:3, 119:25 |
| 24:25, 36:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lyons' [1] - } \\ & 81 \cdot 27 \end{aligned}$ | matter [39] - | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 25,83: 5, \\ & 83: 24,84: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $57: 28,63: 18$ | mill [2] - 102:1, |
| 37:19, 38:9, 46:8, | 81:27 | 14:8, 14:9, 22:1, | 84:18 | 63:19, 99:3, | 102:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 47: 6,47: 25, \\ & 48: 18,49: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 29,34: 26, \\ & 35: 6,35: 25, \end{aligned}$ | McHugh" [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:27, 106:1, } \\ & \text { 106:21, 106:26, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{mind}[14]-7: 26, \\ 11: 9,19: 4,24: 9, \end{gathered}$ |


| 31:18, 40:4, | 80:19, 113:5, | 3:30, 3:30, 4:3, | MULLIGAN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | necessarily [1] - | 107:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45:25, 49:11, | 116:17, 118:26 | 5:5, 6:20, 6:22, | 3:13 | 8:20 | niece [2] - 18:6, |
| 55:9, 67:27, 80:9, | morning [8] - | 6:25, 12:19, | mulling [1] - | necessary [4] - | 18:7 |
| 96:11, 103:25, | 6:4, 6:25, 6:26, | 14:19, 14:24, | 9:16 | 54:25, 56:6, | night [9]-11:14, |
| 113:2 | 9:6, 10:29, 11:1, | 23:12, 23:17, | Mullingar [5] | 101:10, 117:9 | $21: 25,24: 2,$ |
| mindful | 12:15, 51:29 | 23:19, 23:21 | 24:11, 38:16, | necessity [1] - | 25:17, 62:7, 62:8, |
| 46:14 | MORONEY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 23:23, 23:25, | 38:20, 40:4, | 42:16 | 63:11, 73:25 |
| mine [1] - 46:23 | 3:18 | 34:10, 34:15, | 53:29 | need [9]-11:29, | nightclub [1] - |
| Ming [3]-11:14, | Morris [3]-37:4, | 34:18, 34:23, | MURPHY [8] | 14:7, 15:14, | 7:8 |
| 11:24, 12:6 | 37:6, 37:23 | 36:4, 36:8, 40:9, | 3:23, 23:12 | 49:21, 56:4, 61:4, | nightclubs [1] - |
| Minnock [4] - | MORRISSEY ${ }_{[1]}$ | 40:12, 40:16, | 23:17, 102:6 | 87:26, 102:10, | 24:20 |
| 83:15, 110:27, | - 3:26 | 40:19, 40:25, | 102:28, 103:7 | 111:18 | nobody [7] - |
| 111:11, 111:26 | mosaic [1] - | 40:28, 44:23, | 103:23, 104:8 | needed [3] - | 15:18, 43:12, |
| MINNOCK [1] - | 7:25 | 44:29, 45:4, 45:7, | Murphy [3] - | 56:9, 107:27, | 46:5, 52:12, 68:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 9 \\ & \text { minute [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { most }[4]-7: 14, \\ 27: 18,55: 11, \end{gathered}$ | 45:9, 45:15, | 9:21, 102:14 | 109:1 | 68:2, 82:18 |
|  |  | 45:18, 45:20, | 103:11 | needn't [3] - | NOLAN [1] - 3:6 |
| $\begin{gathered} 78: 14,116: 10 \\ \text { minutes }[3]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 56:26 } \\ & \text { mother [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | $45: 25,45: 28,$ | MURRAY ${ }_{[2]}$ | $83: 15,84: 22$ | none [1] - 71:10 |
|  |  | 46:1, 62:16, | 3:3, 3:9 | 93:2 | nonpayment [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:15 } \\ & \text { misdated [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:3, 18:5 } \\ & \text { motivated }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 24,63: 9 \\ & 63: 27,64: 4,64: 6, \end{aligned}$ | Murray [15] - <br> 85.6, 85:17, 88.8 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { negates [2] - } \\ 28: 10,39: 22 \end{array}$ | $-110: 5$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { motivated [1] - } \\ & 6: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $68: 6,68: 8,70: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 6,85: 17,88: 8, \\ & 88: 15,89: 3,93: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:10, 39:22 } \\ & \text { neglecting }[1] ~ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Noreen [11] - } \\ 26: 1,28: 1,36: 13, \end{gathered}$ |
| $44: 27$ <br> missed [2] - | 6:28 motivation [4] - | 70:16, 70:23, | 93:5, 94:13, | 92:9 | 51:3, 52:18, |
|  | 20:22, 49:6, 96:8, | 90:25, 90:29 <br> 91:13, 95:9 | 94:29, 96:8, | $\text { net }[1]-59: 16$ | 79:24, 81:24, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 119:2, 119:3 } \\ \text { missing [5] - } \end{gathered}$ | 116:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 13,95: 9 \\ & 95: 12,95: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 15,109: 5 \\ & 112: 12,114: 1 \end{aligned}$ | never [24] 12:24, 20:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 4,97: 8 \\ & 105: 23,108: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 49:6, } 115: 18 \\ \text { motives [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | 96:19, 100:14, | 116:25 | 23:17, 24:1, | normal [13] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:22, 108:19, } \\ & \text { 108:22, 108:26 } \\ & \text { misunderstood } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 20,100: 28, \\ & 102: 6,102: 28, \end{aligned}$ | Murray's [2] - <br> 109:11, 112-14 | $43: 14,43: 16$ | $19: 5,25: 20,$ |
| misunderstood | 49:4, 49:12 | 103:7, 103:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:11, 112:14 } \\ & \text { must [3] - 16:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 11,75: 12 \\ & 76: 5,82: 15,84: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 21,49: 20, \\ & 85: 28,86: 3,86 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-83: 25} \\ & \quad \text { mixed }_{[2]}-9: 24, \end{aligned}$ | motor [5] 96:21, 106:19 | 104:8, 104:23, 110:11. 111:19. | $49: 6,49: 7$ | 92:21, 92:28, | 101:24, 102:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:7 } \\ & \text { module [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $115: 15$ <br> mount [3] - 37:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:11, 111:19, } \\ & \text { 111:21, 115:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MÍCHEÁL [1] - } \\ & 3 \cdot 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:5, 106:29, } \\ & \text { 108:11, 113:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:4, 105:12, } \\ & \text { 107:16, 118:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:22 } \\ & \text { moment [12] - } \end{aligned}$ |  | 115:13, 115:20, |  | 114:23, 115:4, | normally [1] - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 9,37: 28 \\ & \text { mounting }[1]- \\ & 38: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:22, 115:26, } \\ & \text { 116:3, 116:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | 115:8 | $86: 4$ |
| 20:20, 28:13, |  | 119:8, 119:13, |  | 85:3, 114:23 | $33: 28,62: 11$ |
| $34: 28,40: 10$, $45: 14,50: 4$ | 88:26 | $119: 16,120: 7$ | $26: 11,38: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { news [2]-21:7, } \\ & 98: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:15, 62:17, } \\ & \text { 69:18, 81:2, 84:1, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 68:23, 78:23, |  | MS [7] - 3:25, | $38: 23,43: 23$ | next [14] - 24:10, | 89:2, 90:4 |
| $96: 24,109: 4$ | 20:19. 34:13. | $3: 25,3: 26,3: 26,$ | 67:16, 67:17 | 25:23, 30:14, | notebook [6] - |
| Monday [1] - | $39: 29,40: 12$ |  | $107: 11$ | 33:25, 46:10, | 86:24, 87:2, |
| 120:5 <br> MONDAY [1] - | $42: 17,47: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MULCAHY [1] } \\ & \text { 3:5 } \end{aligned}$ | named [2] - | 61:24, 76:9, | 87:12, 88:21, |
|  | $49: 13,54: 13$ | Mulcahy [32] | $38: 5,57: 12$ | 76:16, 76:28, | 92:27 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MONDAY }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 120: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 23,55: 23, \\ & 60: 13,71: 29, \end{aligned}$ | $30: 5,41: 18$ | names [7] | 77:7, 78:26, $81: 15,96: 20$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { notes [7] - } \\ \text { 22:13. } 22: 22 . \end{gathered}$ |
| money [2] - |  | 43:18, 51:2, | 38:5, 43:23, | 112:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 13,22: 22, \\ & 22: 24,22: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| $82: 6,82: 22$ <br> MONICA [1] - | 96:20, 102:16, | $51: 18,53: 6,54: 8$ | $67: 16,69: 5,69: 9$ | nice [2]-20:11, | $30: 2,94: 21,$ |
| $3: 15$ <br> monies [1] - | 103:14, 107:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 20,59: 1 \\ & 59: 29,60: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 69:18 naming [1] | $74: 24$ | $109: 11$ |
|  | 119:9 moved [1] | $76: 14,97: 5$ | 66:18 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Nicholas [7] - } \\ 28: 3,43: 4,70: \end{array}$ | nothing [15] - <br> 11:6, 13:4, 21:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 83:19 } \\ & \text { monitor [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 21 \\ & \text { moving [2] - } \\ & 34: 15,119: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 97:20, 97:23, | narrative [1] - | 72:19, 83:18, | $33: 18,35: 5,60: 3$ |
| $65: 2$month [7] - |  | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 1,98: 7,98: 15, \\ & 98 \cdot 10 \text { 99.1 } 99 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | 12:21 | $97: 24,98: 17$ | $60: 4,76: 18$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { nat } \\ 96: 3 \end{array}$ | NICHOLAS [3] - | 89:15, 94:8, |
| 25:12, 25:23, | $85: 5,85: 25$ | 101:6, 101:15 | nature [3]-18:4, | $4: 2,5: 3,6: 22$ | $94: 26,95: 5,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 41: 5,53: 28 \\ & 54: 17,116: 26 \end{aligned}$ |  | 103:3, 103:9, | 79:29, 115:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nick [15]-71:26, } \\ & 74: 22 \quad 74: 27 . \end{aligned}$ | 119:18 |
| 118:7 <br> months [8] - | $93: 26,94: 1$ | 103:28, 104:11, | NCT [2] - | 74:22, 74:27, <br> 75:1, 75:7, 75:14, | notice [1] - 63:8 noticed [1] - |
|  | MR [89] - 3:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:15, 107:25, } \\ & 109: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $113: 22,117: 4$ | 105:7, 105:10, | $57: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:10, 42:10, } \\ & 50: 29,79: 29 \end{aligned}$ | 3:14, 3:16, 3:23, | Mulcahy's [1] - | nearly [2]-18:3, 100:2 | 105:20, 106:7, | notices [1] - |
|  | 3:23, 3:24, 3:24, | 101:25 |  | 106:13, 106:24, | 102:23 |


| notwithstandin | 102:6 | 17:11, 32:26, | 73:11 | $36: 11,36: 14$ | parts [1]-7:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g [1] - 94:28 | objective [1] - | 38:22, 55:19, | ressive [1] - | 36:17, 37:20, | party [1] - 99:3 |
| NOVEMBER ${ }_{[2]}$ | 109:13 | 100:3 | $85: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 8,39: 12, \\ & 40 \cdot 16: 17 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | pass [5] - 7:18, |
| - 6:2, 120:9 | obliged [1] - | often [4] - 76:3, | options [1] - | 40:16, 42:21, | 49:17, 54:3, |
| NUGENT ${ }^{\text {[2] - }}$ - $3.14,3.21$ | 112:1 obvious [3] | 101:19, 103:16, 109:20 | $72: 11$ | 44:1, 44:4, 46:10, | $58: 12,119: 8$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 3: 14,3: 21 \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { obvious [3] } \\ \text { 11:27, 116:2, } \end{gathered}$ | 109:20 OGHUV | $\begin{gathered} \text { order [14] } \\ 33: 16,33: \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 25,57: 7 \\ & 70: 25,70: 29, \end{aligned}$ | passage [2] $73: 11,90: 25$ |
| 17:14, 17:19, | 118:22 | 3:11 | 65:3, 65:8, 94:12, | 73:7, 73:10, 77:4, | passed [7] - |
| 21:16, 21:17, | obviously [12] - | Olivia [10] | 94:28, 95:11, | 77:8, 77:10, | 21:15, 23:2, |
| 40:14, 43:7, | 8:2, 14:7, 14:9, | 38:12, 60:14 | 96:11, 107:26, | 78:26, 79:19, | 27:12, 51:1, |
| 47:16, 47:17 | 15:16, 17:1, 23:9, | 60:17, 64:6, | 108:1, 117:3, | 79:21, 79:23, | 59:29, 79:18, |
| 56:27, 80:4, | 38:11, 41:17, | 70:24, 71:8, 73:7, | 117:7, 117:26 | 80:4, 80:26, | 99:14 |
| 84:20, 100:1 | 43:5, 64:21, | 73:25, 77:6, 79:6 | 118:14 | 83:16, 83:26 | passenger [1] - |
| 102:7, 105:26, | 76:12, 101:28 | OLIVIA [2] - | original [5] - | 83:27, 83:29, | 22:3 |
| 106:18, 106:26, | occasion [4] | 3:12, 3:30 | 52:7, 79:22, 80:9, | 84:23, 85:14, | passing [3] - |
| 107:8, 107:9, | 44:12, 63:4, | Ombudsman [1] | 81:18, 107:24 | 89:1, 89:10, 93:2, | 58:2, 58:16, |
| 107:19, 108:2, | $77: 17,105: 11$ | $-72: 14$ | originally [3] | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 13,97: 6, \\ & 98: 22,104: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $58: 19$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 111:28, 116:13 } \\ \text { nutshell [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | ```occasional [1] - 105:13``` | $\mathbf{O N}_{[1]}-6: 1$ <br> once [5]-6.18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:23, 19:25, } \\ & 113: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 22,104: 28, \\ & \text { 109:5, 111:14, } \end{aligned}$ | passionately [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nutshell }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 83:6 } \end{aligned}$ | 105:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { once [5] - 6:18, } \\ & \text { 24:7, } 31: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 113:19 ORLA [1] | 116:23 | $-13: 12$ |
| NYLAND [1] | 97:24 | 49:18, 50:15 | OSMOND | PAGE [1] - 5:2 | 14:21, 14:26 |
| 3:19 | occurring [2] - | one [56]-7:14, | 3:27 | pages [4] - | 22:21, 79:29, |
| NÓIRÍN [1] - 3:7 | 8:1, 49:25 | 8:11, 8:12, 8:27, | otherwise [2] - | 22:25, 26:2, | 80:19 |
| Nóirín [1] - | occurs [1] - 77:6 | 9:5, 9:28, 11:14, | 74:4, 112:9 | 27:21, 52:28 | Pat [9]-85:6, |
| 32:20 | October [4] 52.17 104:28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:21, 11:26, } \\ & \text { 15:2, 16:27, } \end{aligned}$ | ourselves [4] $14: 17,26: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paid [1] - 110:3 } \\ & \text { paper [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $88: 8,88: 15,89: 3$ $93: 1,93: 5,109: 5,$ |
| 0 | $105: 9,106: 18$ | 19:14, 24:13, | 36:12, 104:6 | $34: 23,53: 7$ | 109:11, 112:14 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { odd [2] - 82:16, } \\ & \text { 92:26 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 23,33: 2, \\ & 33: 13,35: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { outlined [8] } \\ \text { 28:10, 39:5. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { papers [1] - } \\ & 99: 15 \end{aligned}$ | path [1] - 74:15 |
| o'clock [2] - <br> 6:14, 70:18 <br> O'HIGGINS [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 26 \\ & \text { OF } \\ & {[2]-3: 2,3: 15} \end{aligned}$ | $35: 20,36: 29,$ | 39:22, 44:7, 71:5, | paperwork [2] - | 30:28 |
|  | Offaly [2] - | 37:29, 40:14, | 75:17, 93:13, | 18:20, 61:25 | PATRICK ${ }_{[1]}$ |
|  | 19:26, 19:27 | 43:15, 43:25, | 106:23 | paragraph [9] - | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 3 \\ & \text { patrol }[4]- \end{aligned}$ |
| $3: 23$ <br> O'Neill [26] - | offences [1] - | 44:23, 47:6, | outlining [5] - | 30:24, 32:23, |  |
|  | 71:19 | 47:16, 48:15, | 41:19, 46:3, 72:9, | 46:12, 72:6, | 12:14, 12:16, |
|  |  | 50:19, 54:16, | 73:22, 79:28 | 72:17, 84:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:20, 63:14 } \\ & \text { PAUL [1] - } 3: 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| 60:17, 63:4, 64:6 | 94:9 | 55:23, 56:24, | output [1] - | 86:6, 89:12, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 64: 19,64: 27, \\ & 70: 24,71: 4,71: 8 \end{aligned}$ | offer [1] - 49:3 | 69:17, $79: 19$, $81: 12,83: 4$ | 85:28 | 91:23 | pause [1] - |
| 71:14, 71:25, | offered [1] - | 81:12, 83:4 83:11, 84:8, | outrageous [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paramount [1] } \\ & \text { 49:9 } \end{aligned}$ | 68:23 <br> pausing [7] - |
| $72: 2,72: 8,72: 27$, $73: 7,73: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:9 } \\ & \text { offers [1] - 57:17 } \end{aligned}$ | 84:12, 91:3, 91:5, | outright [1] - | paranoid [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { pausing [7] - } \\ \text { 29:23, 43:11, } \end{gathered}$ |
| 73:7, 73:18, $73.25,73.29$ | office [20] - | 91:11, 93:28, | 88:19 | 24:26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:23, 43:11, } \\ & 75: 10,77: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 38:16, 38:20, | 93:29, 97:1, | outside [3] | ark [1] - 22:4 | $75.10,77.26$, $78: 10,86: 3$, |
| $76$ | 53:29, 54:19, | 106:8, 109:11, | 12:8, 12:25, | parking [1] - | 107:4 |
| $77: 6,79: 6,79: 7$ | 60:18, 62:5, | $110: 6,111: 18$, $112: 17,113: 1$ | 49:16 | 105:14 | paying [1] - |
| O'NEILL [1] - | $63: 17,63: 24$ $64: 6,64: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:17, 113:1, } \\ & \text { 114:22, 115:4, } \end{aligned}$ | oversimplified | $\begin{gathered} \text { part [16] - 16:29, } \\ \text { 18:19, 19:23, } \end{gathered}$ | 100:8 |
| 3:30 | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 6,64: 15, \\ & 67: 26,67: 29, \end{aligned}$ | 116:21, 118:11, | [1] - 107:22 <br> own [6] - 1 | 19:25, 30:29, | payment [2] - |
| O'REARDON ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 26,67: 29, \\ & 68: 10,92: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 119:7, 119:25 | 24:21, 45:25, | 53:21, 56:19, | $105: 28,106: 27$ |
| - 3:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 10,92: 23 \\ & 108: 7,108: 10 \end{aligned}$ | one-off [2] - | $56: 28,66: 6$ | 59:24, 63:12, | PEGGY [1] - 4:4 penultimate ${ }^{[1]}$ |
| O'Reilly [1] - | 109:6, 110:28 | 35:20, 48:15 | 112:22 | 63:19, 69:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 32:23 } \\ & \text { PEOPLE } \end{aligned}$ |
| O'ROURKE [1] - | 111:12, 111:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { one-third [1] - } \\ & 11: 26 \end{aligned}$ | owned [1] - | 81:15, 86:5, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O'ROURKE [1] - } \\ & 4: 4 \end{aligned}$ | OFFICE [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:26 } \\ & \text { ones [1] - 44:27 } \end{aligned}$ | 107:20 | $\begin{gathered} 89: 25,90: 3,91: 7 \\ \text { particular [5] - } \end{gathered}$ | $3: 16$ <br> people [20] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O'Sullivan [1] - } \\ & \text { 32:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 27 \\ & \text { officer [9]-28:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ongoing [3] } \\ & \text { 29:8, 29:18, } \end{aligned}$ | P | 8:28, 39:3, 53:29, | 7:16, 25:23, |
| O'SULLIVAN ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 105:22, 105:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:25 } \\ & \text { Opal [1] - 75:26 } \end{aligned}$ | page [49]- | 70:9, 91:13 particularly [4] - | 49:16, 49:18 |
|  | $106: 5,117: 23$OFFICER |  |  | 12:22, 63:20, | 55:8, 55:11, |
| Object [1] - |  | Opal [1] - 75:26 <br> open [3]-9:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 21,27: 23 \\ & 30: 24,32: 1,32: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 78:25, 112:2 | 56:26, 61:10, |
| 102:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 11,3: 14 \\ & \text { officers [5] - } \end{aligned}$ | 13:18, 42:14 | $33: 14,33: 25$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { partner [2] - } \\ 79: 16,79: 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:22, 61:23, } \\ & \text { 63:2, 64:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| objection [1] - |  | opened [1] - |  |  |  |


| 100:18, 102:18, |  | Polish [1] - 6:8 pop [1] - 112:9 Portumna [4] 100:29, 101:4, | printouts [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:29, 104:7 } \\ & \text { protected [9] - } \\ & \text { 16:9, 23:18, } \\ & 34: 27,35: 1 \text {, } \\ & 36: 23,42: 1,51: 8 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:29, 14:2, 23:4, } \\ & \text { 23:13, 23:17, } \\ & \text { 24:24, 25:4, } \\ & 54: 15,58: 23, \\ & 59: 13,69: 9, \end{aligned}$$73: 11,73: 18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102:19, 102:20, | ```pertinent [1] - 46:26 PETER [1] - 3:13 petered [1] - 52:17``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:19 } \\ & \text { prison [1] - } \\ & \text { 119:1 } \\ & \text { private [12] - } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 103:28, 110:26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{per}[1]-112: 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| perceive [1] |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:23 } \\ & \text { perceiving }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $52: 17$ <br> phone | $\begin{gathered} \text { 101:13, 109:21 } \\ \text { position [12] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 10,57: 3 \\ & 105: 7,109: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:18, 114:7 } \\ & \text { Protection [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 11,73: 18, \\ & 78: 15,78: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| $15: 22$ <br> perfectly [3] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 50:24, 53:1, } 64: 7 \\ \text { phoned [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:6, 16:13, } \\ & 22: 17,35: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:1, 112:5, } \\ & \text { 113:9, 113:14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:21 } \\ & \text { proud [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 10,83: 23, \\ & 87: 29,88: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| 13:21, 49:12, | ```21:11, 71:16 phrase [1] - 54:4 picked [2] -``` | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 28,41: 8,70: 4 \\ & 72: 23,85: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:26, 117:3, } \\ & 117: 26,118: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $18: 26,18: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90:25, 93:16, } \\ & \text { 101:7, 104:18, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:23 } \\ & \text { performed }[2]- \end{aligned}$ |  | 72:23, 85:9, |  | provide [7] - | 101:7, 104:18, 107:6, 107:19, |
|  |  | 117:25 | $92: 21,92: 25$ <br> problem [7] - | 41:13, 48:9, | $23.113: 21$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:26, } 57: 27 \\ & \text { perhaps }[17]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 19,53: 1 \\ & \text { piece }[1]-11: 21 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 79:27, 93:14, | 114:23, 114:28 |
| 26:3, 34:24, 36:6, | pieced [1] -$30: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 91:2 } \\ & \text { possibility }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 15,88: 22 \\ & 113: 4,113: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 112:1 provided [2] | puts [1] - 64:23 |
| 37:13, 39:3, 40:9, |  |  |  |  | putting [2] - |
| $42: 16,50: 25$, $54: 4,56: 6,57$ | 30:11 <br> pivotal [1] - 54:1 <br> place [4] - 20:12, | 10:3 | 113:4, 113:5, 113:6, 114:2, | $\begin{gathered} 31: 20,35: 20 \\ \text { providing [1] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:3, 114:2 } \\ & \text { puzzle }[3]-7: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| $57: 8,69: 20,73: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { place [4]-20:12, } \\ & 73: 1,83: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $21: 18,81:$ | 115:7 <br> problems [3] | 59:23 précis [1] - |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 101:10, 119:11 } \\ \text { period }[8]-8: 9, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:17 } \\ & \text { PLACE }[1]-4: 5 \end{aligned}$ | potentially [1] - | 82:15, 88:21 proceed [2] - | 96:21 |  |
| 54:2, 54:17 | 19:12, 72:23 | rac | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14:20, 14:22 } \\ \text { process [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | public [13] - |  |
| 78:20, 106:14 | planting [3] | 105:12, 107:16 | $56: 19$ | $49: 8,60: 18,62: 5$ | querying [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:3 } \\ & \text { permissi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 12,13: 6, \\ & 101: 7 \end{aligned}$ | preceding [2] 16:16, 72:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { processed [2] - } \\ \text { 106:7, 112:18 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:17, 63:19, } \\ & \text { 63:24, 64:6, 65:3 } \end{aligned}$ | 110:5 questioned [1] - |
| 13:27 <br> perso | platform [1] | predecessor [2] <br> - 109:15, 109:27 | processing [2] - | $65: 7,67: 26,$ | $54: 24$ <br> questions [6] - |
| 7:8, 9:8, 11:27, <br> 20:22, 20:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:15 } \\ & \text { pleasant }[2] \\ & 6: 5,6: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -109: 15,109: 27 \\ \text { present [4] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:27, 106:2 } \\ & \text { producing [1] - } \\ & 34: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 29,71: 5 \\ & \text { pulled [2] - 22:3, } \\ & 74: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 24,52: 21 \\ & 102: 8,102: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20: 22,20: 24, \\ & 20: 27,21: 5,21: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:5, 6:6 } \\ & \text { point }[27] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:11, 117:17, } \\ & \text { 117:21, 117:23 } \end{aligned}$ | 34:11 <br> profess [1] - | Pulse [44]-26:7, | 103:9, 103:17 |
| 21:14, 21:17, | $13: 25,13: 26$ | pressure [3] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:14 } \\ & \text { profession [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 36:9, 41:1, 42:12, | quickly [5] - |
| 21:27, 21:28, | 34:6, 34:12, | 88:3, 88:29, |  | 42:19, 43:22, | quickly [5] - 40:25, 47:4, 47:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:6, 22:9, 22:10, } \\ & 22: 13,22: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 42:16, 43:22, | 90:10 presumably | 17:28 | 47:17, 54:23, | $77: 9,119: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22: 13,22: 18, \\ & 22: 29,23: 10, \end{aligned}$ |  |  | professional [1] | 47:17, 54:23, 54:27, 55:1, 55:6, | QUINN [1] - 3:10 |
| 35:12, 35:18, | 46:4, 47:6, 47:10, $48: 14,52: 11$ | 47:10, $68: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - 106:29 } \\ & \text { Professor [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 54:27, 55:1, 55:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:20 } \\ & \text { quite }[3]-24: 23, \\ & 100: 20,107: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 35:20, 36:10, | 52:14, 60:1, 66:1, | 86:4, 98:11 |  | 55:18, 55:21, |  |
| 47:13, 47:17, | 66:7, 87:27, | 107:18 | programme [1] - | $55: 25,56: 3,56: 8,$ |  |
| 47:19, 48:8, | $94: 26,96: 25$,$101: 11,102: 23$, | presume [1] - | 11:14 | 56:18, 56:21, |  |
| 50:15, 50:17, $50: 23,51: 16$, |  | 107:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { progressed [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | 56:26, 56:27, | R |
| 55:2, 55:7, 56:26, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:24, 103:12, } \\ & \text { 115:20, 119:26, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50:3 } \\ & \text { pretty [4] - 55:6, } \\ & 55: 7,94: 20, \end{aligned}$ | progressive [2] | 58:1, 59:11 | radio [1] - 63:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57: 3,68: 19 \\ & 85: 19,99: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:2 } \\ & \text { pointless }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |  | - 15:12 | $59: 15,60: 4$ |  |
| 85:19, 99:29, <br> 101:17, 111:25 |  |  | projects [1] | 86:24, 87:2, | raise [4]-38:1, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 101:17, 111:25 } \\ \text { personal }[7] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $34: 24$ <br> point | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:20 } \\ & \text { prevent [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $72: 20$ | $100: 4,100: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 1,101: 15, \\ & 102: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10:27, 43:8, | 50:2 | $49: 24$ <br> previous [4] |  | 104:27, 105:8, | $\operatorname{ran}[1]-81: 11$ |
| 57:13, 57:19, |  |  |  | 105:19, 106:9, |  |
| 60:7, 106:29, | 17:24, 18:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 23,34: 7,87: 21, \\ & 87: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 25,34: 12, \\ & 49: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 106:17, 107:4 punctilio [1] | 106:1 |
| personally [1] | $18: 18,19: 5 \text {, }$ | previously [5] - |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { punctilio [1] - } \\ & \text { 104:4 } \end{aligned}$ | rate [1] - 100:9 |
| 42:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 75:29 } \\ & \text { policeman } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 24,50: 1,64: 8, \\ & 74: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  | 104:4 | rate [1] - 100:9 <br> rather [2] - |
| personnel |  |  | 69:26, 106:3 | 13:16, 103:14 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 33: 28,34: 24 \\ \text { rationale [1] - } \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 32: 28,56: 28 \\ \text { persons [5] - } \end{gathered}$ | 118:10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { primary [2] - } \\ 11: 21,109: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $114: 15$ | $115: 17,116: 5$ |  |
| 47:22, 47:23, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 18:17, 93:24 } \\ \text { policy [5] - } \\ \text { 28:16, 38:23, } \\ 39: 27,47: 26, \end{gathered}$ | ```principles [1] - 95:27 printing [1] - 10:21``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:19, 95:26 } \\ & \text { proposition [6] - } \\ & \text { 102:18, 103:19, } \\ & \text { 103:25, 103:26 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 25,79: 20 \\ & \text { pursue [1] - } \\ & 37: 17 \\ & \text { put }[31]-7: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:25 } \\ & \text { re }[5]-81: 13, \\ & \text { 85:4, 101:6, } \\ & \text { 102:10, 103:7 } \\ & \text { re-examination } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 55: 19,59: 14, \\ & 72 \cdot 24 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| perspective [1] - |  |  |  |  |  |


| [3]-85:4, 102:10, | 51:21, 51:25, | 97:21 | releasing [1] - | reposed [1] - | reviewing [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103:7 | $63: 10,66: 6$ | region [1] - | 15:29 | 104:12 | 91:12 |
| reaction [1] - | 91:19, 97:22, | 19:12 | elevant [7] - | request [4] - | revisiting [1] - |
| $78: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 19,106: 15 \\ & \text { 106:22, 107:10 } \end{aligned}$ | register [3] - | 13:13, 14:23, | 28:18, 31:3, | $45: 27$ |
| 26:26, 32:13, | 110:16 | $51: 27$ | 81:15, 81:16 | requested [4] - | right-hand [2] - |
| 32:15, 32:25, | record [9] - 43:3, | registered [7] - | relying [2] - | 28:15, 39:26, | 32:7, 80:28 |
| 34:3, 43:14, | 55:12, 56:29, | 17:14, 26:18, | 38:23, 49:27 | 105:6, 106:16 | rightly [1] - |
| 44:19, 52:27, | 57:1, 71:10, | 26:22, 35:13, | remain [1] - 49:9 | require [1] - | 116:1 |
| 59:4, 80:10, | 71:13, 73:21, | 49:21, 51:6, | remember [12] - | 26:17 | ring [1] - 21:17 |
| 100:28, 101:2, | 90:2, 100:17 | 107:11 | 20:7, 20:9, 41:12, | required [3] - | ringing [1] - |
| 101:10, 111:16, | record.. [1] - | registration [4] - | 47:3, 58:27, 62:8, | 50:8, 85:29, | 68:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:23 } \\ & \text { reading }[4]-7: 5, \end{aligned}$ | 110:18 recorded [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:29, 107:6, } \\ & 107: 8,107: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63: 20,63: 22, \\ & 73: 24,78: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96: 22 \\ \text { requi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RIP [2]-20:8, } \\ & \text { 108:20 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 33:26, 81:5, | 50:26, 56:22 | regular" [1] - | 94:22 | 86:25 | rival [2] - 48:27, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 98:11 } \\ & \text { real }[3]-23: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recording }[1] \text { - } \\ & 73: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $49: 12$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { remind [2] - } \\ 26: 4,36: 11 \end{gathered}$ | RESOURCES [1]-3:15 | 91:2 |
| $24: 26,48: 1$ | records [2] - | 48:9, 48:15, | reminded [2] | respect [11] - | $25: 25,53: 7$ |
| realised [1] - | $59: 16,112: 4$ | $50: 16$ | $110: 14,118: 2$ | $9: 25,13: 21$ | $118: 5,118: 25$ |
| 68:26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recovered [1] - } \\ & 31: 19 \end{aligned}$ | regulations [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { renewal [1] - } \\ & 112 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | 33:22, 36:10, | $\text { role }[1]-54: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { reality [1] - 16:3 } \\ & \text { really [12] - 9:28, } \end{aligned}$ | 31:19 recruit [1] | rehabilitation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:18 } \\ & \text { repeat [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 10,72: 2,72: 4, \\ & 72: 12,74: 2,74: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { roll }[1]-12: 3 \\ & \text { room [3] - 55:2, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:9, 14:1, 22:7, } \\ & 22: 9,23: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 12 \\ & \text { rectif } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { [1] }-9: 18$ | $88: 13$ | 99:1 | $55: 17,69: 1$ |
| 24:15, 25:15, | 116:11 | 25:6, 75:12, 79:4, | 87:4 | 29:2, 84:5 | $-11: 26$ |
| 30:19, 73:24, | REDDY [1] - 4:5 | 88:19, 90:15, | replied [2] - | responsibilitie | rough [1] - 59:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:9, 102:17 } \\ & \text { reams [1] - 53:7 } \end{aligned}$ | refer [2] - 52:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 16,91: 7 \\ & \text { rejected }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 23,112: 15 \\ & \text { reply [6] - } 27: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{s}[2]-28: 10, \\ & 39: 22 \end{aligned}$ | roughly [5] - 7:7, |
| reappeared [1] - | reference [11] - | 78:23 | $33: 17,33: 18$ | responsibility | 12:5, 22:26, 85:8, 113:4 |
| 108:27 | 32:22, 33:23, | related [17] - | $33: 19,52: 10$ | [1]-59:21 | round [2] - |
| reason [9] - | $34: 8,34: 19,37: 4$ | 15:21, 16:15, | 112:12 | rest [4] - 11:4, | $24: 13,83: 14$ |
| 15:4, 23:6, 41:13, 57:14, 57:16, | $43: 2,53: 9,75: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 19,30: 7, \\ & 30: 18,41: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { replying [1] - } \\ 26: 25 \end{array}$ | 18:8, 20:8, 20:13 | rounding [1] - |
| 57:17, 76:1, | 93:2 | 57:20, 65:1, | Report [1] - | 93:25 | route [1] - |
| $109: 1,109: 2$ reasonable | Reference [2] 12:25, 14:27 | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 20,89: 16 \\ & 89: 17,90: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 85:15 | result [3] - | 112:27 |
| $58: 24$ | 12:25, 14:27 <br> referrals [1] - | $90: 20,91: 4$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { report [34] - } \\ \text { 9:21, 9:23, 16:5, } \end{gathered}$ | 10:28, 43:8, 95:6 resulted [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { row }[2]-60: 26 \text {, } \\ & 61: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| reasons [3] - | 31:28 | 91:18, 92:15, | 26:17, 27:28, | 44:12, 111:13 | rule [1] - 34:21 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 49: 4,57: 19,60: 7 \\ \text { recap [1] - 40:25 } \end{array}$ | referred [12] - <br> 7:10, 28:15, 32 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:16 } \\ & \text { relates [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 3,28: 18 \\ & 29: 19,36: 16 \end{aligned}$ | resulting [2] - | rules [2]-31:28, |
| receive [2] - | $33: 6,34: 20,$ | $46: 18$ | $43: 3,43: 6,43: 29$ | 85:29, 111:1 results [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 36:2 } \\ & \text { rumbling }{ }_{[1]}- \end{aligned}$ |
| 16:7, 49:23 | 39:26, 40:5, 43:6, | relating [5] - | $44: 5,44: 7,57: 7$ | 106:10, 110:24, | $42: 11$ |
| received [3] - | $47: 28,52: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 17,35: 21, \\ & 19 \cdot 117: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $57: 9,59: 4,73: 6$ | 110:27 | run [3] - 50:8, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26: 26,27: 19, \\ & 98: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 79:23, 97:12 <br> referring [5] | $109: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 3,79: 14 \\ & 79: 22,79: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { resume [1] - } \\ & 6 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | 102:1, 102:4 |
| receiving [1] - | 38:7, 41:14, | relation [16] - | 80:16, 81:18, | RESUMED ${ }_{[3]}$ - | $[1]-102: 1$ |
| 78:16 | $47: 29,56: 25$ | $9: 19,10: 16$ | $82: 3,83: 6,83: 24$ | $6: 1,40: 22,70: 20$ | running [1] - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { recently [3] - } \\ 43: 6,77: 24,88: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 98:20 } \\ & \text { refers [6] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 21,39: 2,42: 6, \\ & 51: 17,57: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84:16, 84:17, } \\ & \text { 98:7, 98:8, 99:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { retailing [1] - } \\ & \text { 16:26 } \end{aligned}$ | 115:1 |
| recipient [2] - | 25:10, 33:7, | 76:22, 77:15, | 101:16 | retired [3] - 12:7, | 86:20 |
| 30:14, 46:16 | 33:13, 34:24, | 78:7, 79:6, 85:28, | report.. [2] - | 16:10, 105:1 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { reclassify [1] - } \\ & 96: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 39:5 } \\ & \text { reframe }{ }_{[1]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 18,107: 5 \\ & 110: 28,117: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:9, 80:11 } \\ & \text { reported [6] - } \end{aligned}$ | RETIRED [7] 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:7, | S |
| recollect [7] - | $56: 7$ | relationship [3] | 39:18, 43:17, | $3: 11,3: 12,3: 13$ |  |
| 64:14, 66:11, | 54:9, 83:19, | $53: 17$ | 86:28, 92:2 | retracted.. [1] - 46:21 | 49:7 |
| $69: 16,69: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:26 } \\ & \text { regarding [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { relatively [1] - } \\ & 40: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { reports [1] - } \\ 46: 15 \end{array}$ | return [1] - | said.. [1] - 97:16 <br> SANDRA [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { recollection [15] } \\ & -9: 9,22: 16, \end{aligned}$ | regarding [2] - $44: 8,77: 3$ | release [2] $39 \cdot 29,40 \cdot 3$ | 46:15 repose [1] 104:12 | 28:19 <br> revenue [2] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { S } \\ 4: 3 \end{gathered}$ |


| 28:9, 39:21, | 32:18, 33:8, | 45:20, 45:23, | SMITH [1] - 3:21 | 34:29, 35:16, | sounding [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106:22 | 33:10, 36:2, | 45:26, 52:17, | SHEAHAN ${ }_{[1]}$ | 39:3, 51:3, 93:13, | 115:9 |
| Saturday [1] - | 37:11, 37:15, | 52:24, 57:12, | 3:18 | 93:17 | sounds [1] - |
| 21:25 | 37:20, 39:16, | 104:27, 105:8, | SHELLEY [1] - | slightest [1] - | 18:17 |
| SAVAGE [1] - | 42:23, 45:2, | 106:17, 106:20 | 3:25 | 68:2 | source [18] - |
| 3:16 | 46:10, 46:29, | sequence [2] - | shift [2] - 93:25, | slightly [2] - | 13:14, 27:11, |
| saw [11] - 11:13, | 47:5, 47:10, | 14:15, 16:4 | 93:27 | 40:12, 110:25 | 28:15, 28:21, |
| 19:1, 19:17, | 47:18, 47:24, | Sergeant [28] - | SHIP [1] - 3:28 | slow [1] - 103:11 | 29:19, 33:6, 33:7, |
| 19:20, 38:26, | 56:3, 56:9, 56:18, | 9:21, 9:22, 9:24, | shock [2] - 82:2 | small [1] - 75:28 | 39:26, 39:29, |
| 41:8, 74:13, 76:7, | 60:15, 61:1, | 9:26, 10:1, 24:10, | shop [1] - 98:1 | smallish [1] - | 40:3, 41:25, 42:6, |
| 81:12, 81:20, | 61:10, 61:21, | 24:12, 66:15, | short [6] - 40:10, | 75:28 | 48:4, 48:25, 51:7, |
| 82:2 | 62:2, 62:26, | 66:16, 71:6, 73:6, | 40:17, 52:14, | SMITH [1] - 3:21 | 51:28, 97:6, |
| SC [5] - 3:23, | 64:25, 80:3, | 73:17, 74:3, | 99:14, 106:14, | smoothly [1] - | 106:16 |
| 3:23, 3:24, 4:3, | 80:28, 80:29, | 78:26, 79:15, | 116:13 | 86:15 | spacing [1] - |
| 4:4 | 90:26, 93:1, 96:6, | 81:20, 85:19, | $\text { shot [1] }-25: 2$ | Sobkowicz [1] - | $105: 14$ |
| SCANLAN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 100:15, 100:25, | 85:22, 85:25, | shoulder [1] - | 6:8 | speaking [3] - |
| 3:8 | 101:22, 101:25, | 86:23, 88:3, 88:4, | 86:13 | solely [1] - 48:26 | 11:25, 13:1, |
| scanned [2] - | 108:18, 108:26, | 93:22, 93:24, | show [5] - | solicitor [5] - | 41:17 |
| $112: 9,112: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:24, 111:25, } \\ & \text { 111:26, 112:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $93: 26,95: 4$ | 52:12, 55:16, | 8:10, 8:16, 8:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { speaks [1] - } \\ & 95: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { scene [4] - } \\ 60: 29,61: 20, \end{gathered}$ | 113:10, 114:15, | $3: 12,3: 20,4: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 55:17, 107:5, } \\ & \text { 107:7 } \end{aligned}$ | 8:21, 8:28 <br> SOLICITOR'S | specific [1] - |
| 61:28, 69:27 sceptical [6] - | 114:18 <br> seek [1] - 29:18 | $4: 3,4: 3$ <br> sergeant [12] | $\begin{gathered} \text { showed [5] - } \\ 78: 21,105: 25, \end{gathered}$ | [1] - 3:27 SOLICITORS [1] | $98: 24$ <br> specifically [3] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 90: 12,90: 14, \\ & 90: 20,91: 3,9 \end{aligned}$ | $46: 20,55: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 17,86: 12, \\ & 86: 14,86: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 110:24, 110:26, | - 4:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 16,105: 10, \\ & 112: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| $91: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { seem [2]-72:27, } \\ & \text { 107:15 } \end{aligned}$ | 86:19, 87:29, <br> $93: 24$ 93:26 | 110:29 showing [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { solicitors [2]- } \\ & 8: 13,8: 15 \end{aligned}$ | speculate [2] - |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { screen [4] - } \\ 34: 2,39: 15, \end{array}$ | seized [1] - 78:4 | 93:29, 106:16, | 105:20 shows [4] | $\begin{array}{r} \text { solitary [2] - } \\ 24: 19,24: 21 \end{array}$ | 51:22, 56:6 speculating |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 42:22, 116:21 } \\ \text { scroll [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { self }[3]-43: 24, \\ & 43: 26,49: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 110:22, 116:27 <br> Sergeants [2] - | 95:13, 106:18, | solution [1] - | 99:26 spent [1]-78:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { scroll [1] - } \\ & 111: 22 \end{aligned}$ | self-interest [1] | $85: 5,94: 1$ | $\begin{gathered} 107: 6,112: 19 \\ \text { sic }[1]-87: 8 \end{gathered}$ | 111:9 solving [1] - | spent [1]-78:5 <br> spirited [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEAN }_{[1]}-3: 17 \\ & \text { search }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -49: 4 \\ & \text { sell [1] - 77:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sergeants [11] - } \\ 18: 8,85: 27,86: 8, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sick [2]-52:18, } \\ & 52: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 85:21 <br> someone [10] - | $49: 19$ <br> spoken [3] - |
| 71:16, 106:17, | selling [1] - | 86:16, 93:16, | Sick [1] - 85:15 | 9:20, 9:25, 21:11, | 22:5, 36:28, |
| 107:4 | 77:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 23,93: 28, \\ & 94: 6,94: 14, \end{aligned}$ | sickness [1] - | $25: 24,35: 1,50: 3$ | 110:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { searched [1] - } \\ & 78: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $89: 19,112: 11$ | 94:26 | 110:18 | 54:26, 55:1, | spot [1] - 20:13 spots [1] - |
| seaside [1] - | sending [1] | series [3] - | 18:10, 55:23, | somewhere [3] - | 101:28 |
| 20:11 | 118:7 | $37: 28,46: 2,$ | $74: 15$ | $35: 7,59: 4,86: 17$ | spotted [1] - |
| seat [1] - 22:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sends [1] - } \\ & 116: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 102:11 serious [4] - | $\text { sight }[1]-95: 16$ | sorry [29] - | 99:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { seatbelt [1] - } \\ & 25: 26 \end{aligned}$ | senior [5] | 7:26, 49:5, | sign [1] - 92:27 | 11:21, 12:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { squad }[3]-19: 6, \\ & 19: 7,43: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| seater [1] | 38:22, 43:5, | 114:20, 119:25 | $32: 19,112: 7$ | $27: 2,27: 23$ | stage [22] - 9:17, |
| 115:2 | 55:18, 55:19, | seriousness [1] | similar [2] - | 30:14, 33:15, | 11:12, 11:17, |
| seats [1] - | 100:3 | $-13: 17$ | 11:15, 34:6 | 35:4, 52:25, 59:7, | 11:18, 11:22, |
| $113: 16$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sense }[4] \text { - } \\ 18: 23,43: 20, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { served [1] - } \\ & \text { 19:29 } \end{aligned}$ | simple [2] - | $63: 3,66: 5,67: 2$ | $12: 16,24: 9$ 27:12. 29:20. |
| 16:21, 47:20, | $45: 6,45: 8$ | services [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 52:28, 52:29 } \\ \text { simply }[4] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $80: 5,85: 4,86: 5$ | 29:23, 33:20, |
| 64:25, 102:28 | sensible [1] - | 49:3 | 26:21, 70:26, | 88:12, 90:13, | $38: 22,39: 8,40: 9,$ |
| seconded [1] - | 49:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { set }[2]-51: 15, \\ & 77 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ | $72: 28,88: 9$ | 90:18, 90:23, | 41:20, 42:27, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 96: 3 \\ & \text { secor } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sent }[7]-60: 29 \text {, } \\ & 61: 2,63: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 9 \\ & \text { sets [2] - 44:8, } \end{aligned}$ | sinister [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:6, 100:23, } \\ & \text { 102:3, 111:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 12,56: 1, \\ & 72: 27,73: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $51: 6$ | $69: 29,80: 22$ | 46:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 29,83: 13, \\ & 84: 29 \end{aligned}$ | 115:9 | $98: 19,103: 24$ |
| section [3] - | 80:23, 96:24 | settled [1] - | SINÉAD [1] - 4:4 | sort [8] - 27:11, | stairs [1] - 71:27 |
| 30:25, 69:20, | separate [3] 30:4, 35:6, 54:18 | $\begin{array}{r} 22: 20 \\ \text { SHA } \end{array}$ | sister [2] - 18:5, | 52:17, 61:25, | stand [1] - 14:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:9 } \\ & \text { see }[54]-11: 13, \end{aligned}$ | September [16] - | $3: 23$ | $20: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:21, 75:25, } \\ & 96: 5,113: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { standard [2] - } \\ & 26: 25,47: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19:27, 20:20, | 27:26, 39:8, | SHANKEY [1] - | sitting [3] - 6:13, | 118:24 | standards [2] - |
| 21:2, 21:4, 26:25, | 39:16, 41:23, | 3:21 | $25: 8,25: 9$ | sorted [2]-53:4, | 88:9, 88:16 |
| 27:28, 28:3, 32:6, | 44:29, 45:2, | SHANKEY- | situation [6] - | 118:15 | standing [1] - |



| THEN [3] - | town [5]-7:15, | 84:25, 84:28, | uncle [1] - 17:27 | 9:24, 10:7, 11:9, | 107:5, 109:29, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40:22, 70:20, | 8:12, 20:11, | 84:29, 85:1 | uncomfortable | 15:11, 17:29, | 111:28, 112:2, |
| 120:9 | 20:12, 81:11 | truncates [1] - | [1] - 44:1 | 18:11, 19:29, | 112:4, 112:6, |
| thereabouts [2] | trace [2] - 55:16, | 103:1 | under [20] | 24:10, 24:19, | 112:8, 112:20, |
| $-6: 15,52: 24$ | $55: 20$ | trust [3] - 8:18, <br> 104:16, 104:17 | 10:17, 14:1, 31:1, | 26:4, 27:23, 37:7, | 114:19, 115:16, 115:29. 118:4 |
| $14: 29$ | $\text { trade }[1]-64: 10$ | truth [1]-24:25 | 74:19, 76:14, | 39:15, 42:21, | vehicles [11] - <br> 105:12 106.3 |
| therefore [2] | traffic [2]-55:3, | try $[5]-9: 14$ <br> 12.4 <br> 16.8 | 77:11, 86:11, | 42:24, 42:25, | 105:12, 106:3, |
| 31:2, 110:3 <br> they've [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:5 } \\ & \text { trail [2] - } 34: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 4,76: 8,88: 28, \\ & 102: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 18,88: 3 \\ & 88: 29,89: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $47: 27,50: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:19, 106:2 } \\ & 107: 17,117: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| 47:18 | 104:10 | trying [16] | 90:6, 90:7, 90:10, 91:8, 91:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 19,52: 24, \\ & 52: 26,53: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:12, 117:17, } \\ & \text { 117:26, 118:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 8:29, 15:7, 33:15, | $12: 26,12: 28$ | 15:16, 17:22, | 91:20, 91:22 | $53: 10,54: 26$ $55: 16,55: 17,$ | $118: 24$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 88: 2,90: 18 \\ & 104: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { train [3]-17:29, } \\ & 46: 1.97: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 13,53: 25, \\ & 54: 3,56: 11, \end{aligned}$ | understatemen $\mathbf{t}[1]-84: 28$ | $57: 8,60: 15$ | victim [1] - 55:4 |
| thinks [1] | 46:1, 97:2 <br> transcript [3] | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 3,56: 11, \\ & 63: 28,66: 5, \end{aligned}$ | understood [10] | $60: 29,63: 9$ | view [14]- |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:18 } \\ & \text { third }[6]-11: 26, \end{aligned}$ | 104:20transcripts [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 23,88: 9 \\ & 88: 16,108: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 28:24, 28:27, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:14, 63:15, } \\ & \text { 64:2, 64:4, 70:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 17,34: 25, \\ & 46: 15,46: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Tullamore [2] - | 36:28, 65:20, | 70:24, 71:19, | 76:6, 85:21, |
| 84:24, 87:29, | $94: 21$ | $110: 28,111: 12$ | 78:10, 83:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 8,74: 15, \\ & 74: 23,75: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 87:10, 89:21, |
| $89: 12$ <br> thousands [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { transferred [2] - } \\ & \text { 19:11, 19:17 } \end{aligned}$ | Tully [5] - 9:21, 9:22, 9:24, 9:26, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85:9, 115:14 } \\ & \text { undertaken }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 23,75: 6, \\ & 76: 24,78: 8,83: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 91:3, 91:5, } \\ & \text { 102:23, 102:24, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 59: 12,59: 13 \\ & 59: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:11, 19:17 } \\ & \text { transmitted }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:1 } \\ & \text { turn [6] }-42: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:6 } \\ & \text { undisputed [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 8,83: 16, \\ & 83: 24,83: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 104:4, 114:22 } \\ \text { viewed [1] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:19 } \\ & \text { three }[11]- \end{aligned}$ | 106:11 travel [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 24,79: 19 \\ & 100: 21.104: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 81:24 | 83:29, 84:4, 84:6, | 55:14 |
| 15:24, 50:29 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 105:26, 109:18 } \\ \text { travelling [3] - } \end{gathered}$ | 117:23 | unfavourable | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 23,84: 25, \\ & 84: 28,84: 29, \end{aligned}$ | views [1] - 41:19 |
| $70: 13,70: 16$, $78: 3,79: 29$, |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 28,84: 29, \\ & \text { 85:1, 87:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vindictive [1] - } \\ & 96: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 80:19, 83:4, | 111:5 | 22:18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { [2] }-44: 13,72: 21 \\ \text { unfortunately } \end{gathered}$ | 88:23, 88:24, | vindictively [1] - |
| 93:15, 94:5, | Treacy [6] - 63:1, 66:17, 71:7 | $21: 14$ <br> turning [1] - $24: 17$ <br> twice [3] - 15:24, | $110: 16,112: 24$ | 89:2, 91:21, 92:1, | 96:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 94: 14 \\ & \text { tie }[1]-22: 9 \\ & \text { timeline }[3] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:1, 66:17, 71:7, } \\ & 71: 13,71: 25, \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:18, 92:22, } \\ & \text { 93:2, 98:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { virtually }[1] \text { - } \\ & 55: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 73:15 |  | 96:4 | 101:8, 104:29 | vis-à-vis [1] - |
| 41:21, 54:20, | treat [1] - 103:24 |  | unique [1] - 35:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:5, 107:7, } \\ & \text { 107:10, 109:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $35: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 54:21 } \\ & \text { to" }[1]-58: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $14: 29,48: 11$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { two }[26]-6: 7, \\ 6: 14,11: 3,12: 5 \end{gathered}$ | 86:16, 86:17, | 111:14, 111:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { visibility [2] - } \\ & \text { 88:10, 88:17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| to-ing [1] - 52:27 |  |  | $93: 25,93: 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111: 21,111: 23, \\ & 112: 15,118: 11 \end{aligned}$ | visible [1] - |
| today [3]-6:13, $10: 4,89: 16$ | 87:27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:10, 22:5, } \\ & 27: 21,30: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 27,95: 24, \\ & 96: 1,96: 3, \end{aligned}$ | useful [1] - 50:7 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 55: 20 \\ \text { visitors }[1]-6: 7 \end{array}$ |
| 10:4, 89:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { treats [2] - } \\ 12: 26.25: 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:21, 30:14, } \\ & 35: 7,47: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 116:27 <br> unlawful [1] | utilised [2] - | visitors [1]-6: volume [1] - |
| 19:21, 30:11, | Tribunal [12] | 57:12, 59:27 |  | 46:17, 50:1 | 116:22 |
| 118:26 | $37: 4,37: 23$ | $70: 14,70: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:27 } \\ & \text { unless [4] - } \end{aligned}$ | V | Volume [31] - |
|  |  | $74: 9,76: 9,77: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 54:26, 62:23, } \\ & \text { 84:23, 107:17 } \\ & \text { unmarked }[2]- \end{aligned}$ | va | 33:24, 36:10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOM }[1]-3: 12 \\ & \text { took [6] }-30: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:10, 68:5, 73:9, } \\ & 73: 10,78: 19, \end{aligned}$ | 81:12, 93:29, |  |  | 37:19, 39:9 |
| 53:10, 69:18, 83:19. 104:14. | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 25,96: 29, \\ & 103: 1 \end{aligned}$ <br> Tribunal's [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 1,100: 1 \\ & \text { 113:16, } 115: \end{aligned}$ |  | 103:13, 117:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:16, 42:13, } \\ & 42: 21.44: 1 . \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:6 } \\ & \text { top }[4]-32: 7, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:25 } \\ & \text { two-seater [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | unreasonable <br> [2] - 94:28, 96:11 | 117:5 <br> validity [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 26,57: 7,57: 8, \\ & 70: 25,73: 7,77: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| 36:27, 48:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:9 } \\ & \text { tried }[3]-9: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 115:2 | unrelated [1] - | value [1] - 60:4 | $79: 19,79: 23$ |
| ```80:29 topic [1] - 40:13 touch [2] -``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:5, 37:8 } \\ & \text { triggers }[1]- \\ & 57: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { type }[2]-107: 8, \\ & 107: 9 \\ & \text { tyres }[2]- \end{aligned}$ | 50:2 unsympathetic [2]-91:1, 115:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { van }[1]-112: 22 \\ & \text { variety }[1]-63: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 26,83: 15, \\ & 84: 23,84: 27, \\ & 85: 14,89: 1,93: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:29, 61:28 } \\ \text { touched [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { trivial [2]- } \\ \text { 102:25. 104:1 } \end{gathered}$ | 114:23, 114:29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNTIL [1] - } \\ & 120: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $14: 26,15: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:6, 100:22, } \\ & \text { 104:28, 111:14, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 59: 26 \\ & \text { tough }[1]-20: 13 \\ & \text { towards }[5]- \\ & 8: 9,34: 15,37: 14, \\ & 107: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { troubling }[1] \text { - } \\ & 8: 22 \\ & \text { true }[1]-14: 28 \\ & \text { trumped }[7]- \\ & 83: 24,84: 4,84: 6, \end{aligned}$ | U | unusu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vectra [1] - } \\ & 75: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 116:22 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ulterior [1] - } \\ & \text { 49:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unworthy [1] - } \\ & \text { 104:2 } \\ & \text { up [84] - 7:5, 8:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 23,105: 7 \\ & \text { 105:11, 106:8 } \\ & \text { 106:14, 106:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44:19, 108:27 } \\ & \text { voluntarily }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 117:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
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