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## THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVB, ON FRI DAY, 15TH NOVEMBER 2019:

CHA RMAK Good morning, chief superintendent. Just give me a moment to do something. Thank you very much. 10:30 This morning we have Mr. Kelly, then we have Mr. O'Higgins, Ms. O'Rourke, I am so sorry for getting your name wrong yesterday. when I looked over, I wasn't paying proper attention. Thank you very much. Now, Mr. Kelly.

MR. OBRIEN Good morning, Chairman, in fact I will be asking the questions.

CHA RMAN Yes, Mr. O'brien, indeed, I am sorry.

## CH EF SUPERI NTENDENT MARK CURRAN MAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY 10:31 MR. O BRI EN, AS FOLLOVG:

1 Q. MR. O BRI EN Good morning, chief superintendent.
A. Good morning.

2 Q. Patrick O'Brien is my name, I will be asking some questions on behalf of Garda Keogh.

Just to commence, chief superintendent, I think you were promoted to that rank in February of 2012 and you transferred to the westmeath division, is that correct? 10:31
A. That's correct, Chairman.

3 Q. In that capacity and as such you are the divisional
officer for westmeath, is that right?
A. That's correct.

4 Q. If I just can ask for Volume 7, page 1931, which is your statement to the Tribunal investigators, please. You can see here, chief superintendent, I think you confirmed to the investigators that you hadn't investigated complaints made by Garda members under the 10:32 Protected Disclosure Act 2014, or interacted with members in senior management with the exception of Garda Keogh, is that right?
A. No, I didn't investigate anybody, but I met people who made disclosures previously.
5 Q. I see. You also confirmed with the interview investigators you were aware of the Garda circular that was issued following the confidential reporting of corruption and malpractice regulation 2007, you're familiar with that?
A. (Inaudible).

MG. MEGRATH I wonder, chairman, if the chief superintendent could just lean a little bit forward or pul1 his chair forward.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
MR. O BRI EN If you just go forward, please, to page 1933. I think you told us yesterday, you told the Chairman in evidence that you became aware of Garda Keogh's protected disclosure from broadcast media. I think that can be seen there. The protected disclosure ${ }^{10: 33}$ had been named in the Dái1. That was on 8th May 2014, isn't that right?
A. Yes. In the Dáil, yes.

7 Q. I think you confirmed yesterday that while a divisional
officer since 2012, you'd on7y met Garda Keogh once in passing?
A. That's correct.

8 Q. You had never had any real dealings with him, is that correct?
A. No, Chairman.

And certainly you didn't have any issues with performance, I think you confirmed that, with Garda Keogh?
A. No, no.

10 Q. And can I ask you, when you learned that Garda Keogh had made this protected disclosure, was made in the Dáil on 8th May 2014, as divisional officer for Westmeath, what concerns did you have at that stage?
A. Well, my concern was -- the first thing is the wisdom of actually being publicly named as a confidential recipient. Then my concerns were the welfare concern, that's why I sent down, on the 8th May, volume 7, page 1980, that's why I asked Superintendent McBrien to make -- actually it was Inspector Farre11 in the end, to meet and just let him know that he was going to be supported in the workplace. An Garda Síochána values individuality within the workplace and any issues would be addressed in a positive manner.
11 Q. I see. You will agree that at this stage in 2014, this 10:34 was an entirely new situation for the Westmeath division, being faced with somebody who had made a protected disclosure, isn't that right?
A. Em, I'd say what was new about it was the fact that the
person was named so publicly at the time, when making it.
12 Q. You described to us -- sorry, you describe in your evidence and you used the word "fluster" yesterday?
A. Did I?

10:35
13 Q. If you look at page 14 of yesterday's transcript, at line 27 ?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN Would you like a hard copy? I'm sure that Mr. O'Brien will probably be referring more than once to the transcript, so it may be convenient. WTNESS: what line did you say it was, sorry?
14 Q. MR. O BRIEN Page 14, line 27.
A. Line 27.

Do you see it says:
"I thi nk there was probably a bit of fluster around it at the time."

I mean, was there a sense of panic?
A. I didn't say fluster. I don't use that word very often I'm not sure if that's the right word, to be honest with you. But anyway, there was a lot of activity around it, you know.
16 Q. Amongst the senior management and the local management, 10:35 yourself and the district officers, what was the feeling on the ground?
A. We11, Superintendent McBrien was off I think at the time. To be honest with you, some weeks -- I mean the

Commissioner had been speaking publicly that people who were whistleblowers, who want to report corruption and malpractice in the workplace would be supported. I was of the same view. If something is not right, I have no issue with that being addressed whatsoever. So the person making the report, they would be supported and I would be of that mind.
17 Q. You told us a moment ago that you had instructed or directed Inspector Farre11 and Superintendent McBrien to liaise with Garda Keogh in relation to the welfare issues?
A. Yes.

18 Q. I think at the early stage Garda Keogh also indicated to Inspector Farre11 that he was happy to meet with you?
A. Yes. I don't think he said he was happy to meet, I know what --

19 Q. Well, in relation to welfare issues anyway?
A. Said it to Superintendent McBrien.

20 Q. To Superintendent McBrien?
A. Yeah.

21 Q. And that message was passed back to you, is that right?
A. Yes.

22 Q. But you didn't meet with them, sure you didn't?
A. No.

23 Q. Can I ask you just in relation to a management situation on the ground where you have such a protected disclosure, would it not have been best practice for you as divisional officer to perhaps meet with Garda

Keogh yourself in relation to welfare concerns?
A. I had every intention of doing that. Then, when the intelligence -- when Inspector Farrell met him, there was reluctance there and I don't think he wanted to meet anybody. I thought I would just let things lie a little bit with the intention of meeting him several weeks on. Then we had a couple of incidents that distracted me. It's a very busy time operationally down there afterwards. very shortly afterwards, on the 26th, and there was a very intense period in June from an operational point of view, on the 26th June I got a call from Detective Superintendent Mulcahy with this allegation that I'd removed property. That obviously was the subject of a report to the confidential reporting team, and that concerned me. I had to reflect on that to some extent. It didn't mean I was going to automatically not meet him. But then, when we had interactions around the CHIS matter, I didn't want to muddy the waters and I thought it was better from my point of view to just to keep a bit of a distance. I had asked him -- in this particular context, Superintendent McBrien had been engaging now with Garda Keogh and there was a good rapport there. There was a connection from the past. Superintendent McBrien is excellent at this type of stuff. She is very good at supporting people. So I was comfortable that the best possible support was being provided to him.
24 Q. I see. But just going back just in terms of being the senior person in the westmeath division, and I
understand what you are saying about Superintendent McBrien, but between Garda Keogh being named in the Dáil on the 8 th May and the month of June, or the 24 th June, when you had the conversation with Detective

Superintendent Mulcahy, surely it would have been a good idea for you to personally meet with Garda Keogh?
A. Yeah. You know, I have thought about it many times. You know, I still think -- once the 26th June phone call came to me, I think from that point of view it became -- it wasn't wise for me to meet him in around that time.
Q. I see.
A. Then there was a paper. He mentioned then in the 26 th or the 27 th September report that he didn't want to deal with me anyway, we'11 wait on the form in relation to the CHIS matter. I took that to be something similar.

26 Q. Well, we will come back to that conversation in a moment. But given Garda Keogh's particular situation and in hindsight, knowing now what you know, within that month, if you could change things, would you meet with him, if you could go back?
A. Yes, if I had the time I would, yeah. I thought about this quite a lot. I don't think it would make a difference to us being here today.

27 Q. Now, Superintendent McBrien, in her statement to the Tribunal investigators, this can be seen at page 6620, it's Volume 21, told the investigators that there was an awareness around the station that Assistant

Commissioner ó Cualáin was appointed to investigate the complaint. In the course of that statement to the Tribunal investigators, she also says that she can't specifically recall if you, chief superintendent
Curran, would have mentioned his name to her but there was also an awareness that Garda A was involved. At that stage, as of the 12th May, were you aware of who was involved in the ó Cualáin investigation?
A. No.

I mean, what Superintendent McBrien's statement suggests --
A. Sorry, what page are you talking about here?

29 Q. Sorry, 6620?
A. Is that the page --

CHA RMAN No, it's not the page we have up here.
MR. O BRI EN Sorry.
CHA RMAN 6620, Peter, please. This is Superintendent McBrien's statement that you're referring to. I am not seeing that, this is a letter.
MR. O BRI EN Sorry, Chairman, I will come back to the reference in a moment.

CHA RMAN I don't think you have the right number, Mr. O'Brien, because Mr. Kavanagh is here saying, look, I am giving you 6620 and it's a letter.
MR. O BRIEN Yes, my apologies, Chairman.
CHA RMAN A11 right. would you like to read out what you say and we will muddle for the moment, subject to checking on the actual paper. what do you say that Superintendent McBrien's statement says, that you want
to ask the witness.
MR. OBRIEN We11, what it says, Chairman, is that she --

CHA RMAN Read it rather than -- don't tell me what it says. If you wouldn't mind, quote it, Mr. O'brien. If 10:42 you are reading from the statement, quote it.
MR. O BRIEN Yes, it says:
"I can't specifically recall if Chi ef Superintendent Curran would have mentioned his name to me but there was an awareness that Garda A was i nvol ved. "

CHA RMAN okay. Actually we have it up now. I don't know what number it is but we have it up in front of us. Yes, thanks.
30 Q. MR. O BRI EN I'm asking you, were you aware?
A. No, I wasn't aware. I mean, other than maybe you might hear speculation, but nobody told me, you know.
31 Q. If we move forward then to the 18th May. We know that this is the day that Garda Keogh creates the Pulse
entry or the intelligence entry on Pulse. I don't want to pull this up, because I'm just conscious that it contains certain sensitive information. But if Chief Superintendent Curran could be just passed Volume 7 , please, at page 1802?
CHA RMAN Thank you. Yes. So don't put it up on the screen.

MR. O BRI EN It's essentially the Pulse entry, Chairman, which we have had before.

CHA RMAN I understand. Sometimes it appears fully redacted but because it is in a number of places, we can never be sure whether it is the fully redacted version or not.

MR. O BRI EN Exactly, Chairman.
ME. MEGRATH Chairman, I think 1802 has been redacted.
CHAN RMAN 1802 is okay, thank you.
MS. MEGRATH It should come up fine.
CHA RMAN okay, so we're safe to look at 1802. okay, thank you very much.
32 Q. MR. O BRIEN You will see here, chief superintendent, that the Pulse entry reads as follows:
"I observed ME. B in her car at the address and on seei $n g$ the member she smiled and stuck her tongue out.
Mb. B is seriously invol ved in the heroin trade in Athl one, with a turnover of approxi matel y €2, 500 per week. She has no previ ous convi ctions for drugs due to the fact that she has been ai ded and abetted for years by a seni or member of the drugs unit, who himelf is a cl ose associ ate of a hi gh ranking Garda officer. Fact."

Now, when you read that, chief superintendent -- sorry, I think that was passed up to you?
A. By way of report, yes.

33 Q. When you read the content of that, what was your initial reaction?
A. First thing, first line, it's a comment "stuck out her
tongue". I mean, it's out of place from what I would normally see in an intelligence entry. The next thing is the turnover of approximately 2,500 , so the alarm are going to there, when you talk specific amounts of money like that.
ME. MEGRATH Chairman, I think there's difficulty picking up the answers.
WTNESS: Sorry, that's my fault. I should have put the volume down. Apologies. Do you want me to start again?
ME. MtGRATH Please.
WTNESS: Okay. So, the first thing that struck me was her tongue, I said that and I hope you heard that.
Then the next is the 2,500 a week, where is that figure coming from? That's really of interest to me. And she 10:45 has no previous convictions because she was being aided and abetted by a Garda, who himself is a close associate of high ranking guard. So we've got somebody there who is a drug dealer, active, pretty active. An associating high rank ranking Garda officer and then the word "Fact". So that's interesting.
34 Q. MR. O BRIEN Looking at the words "ai ded and abetted by a seni or member of the drugs unit", I mean as divisional officer did this set alarm bells ringing for you?
A. Absolutely.

35 Q. Were you shocked and concerned that this was going on within your division?
A. Of course. That's an allegation, so my concern then
is: Is this something that is the subject of the CR? Confidential recipient. And do I investigate it or am $I$ threading on the toes of the confidential recipient investigation? So I wrote, subsequently I wrote about that and I asked for some direction on it. So it came up yesterday.

36 Q. When Inspector Farrell sent you the e-mail, which is on the 19th May, that's at page 8278 ?
A. Yes.

37 Q
You will see that there appears to be some criticism in the last sentence of the intelligence report, isn't that right?
A. If you just bring it up there.

38 Q. 8278. Just at the last sentence there of that report?
A. "Vere not appropriate for recording this matter".
"Some of the contents of this intelligence report is not appropriate for recording in this matter."

When you got the report, did you share that view?
A. Well, the first thing, sticking out a tongue is a very personal view, right. It's -- it's just not -- it's a bit unprofessional, to say the least. And then, the fact that if you are reporting something around, say, 2,500 per week, so the source of the information, is he being set up, for example, is one of the things that occurred to me, or does that source know that it's on Pulse or is this common knowledge, and is this somebody
else using the guard to set up somebody else or eliminate a rival? Then, when you're looking at aided and abetted by a member of the drugs unit, that's concerning. But for me the issue to be resolved is: Does it go off to the CR team, the Ó Cualáin team, or do I do it? That's the biggest issue for me. I see. You wrote then subsequently to Inspector Farrell with a list of directions. That's at page 1805. I think we can see from that letter that you're looking for a number of items of information. You want 10:48 to know the content of the intelligence, isn't that correct, in the first paragraph?
A. Discuss the contents, yes.

41 Q. To discuss the content with him. You want to ascertain whether he has a specific source within his information, at paragraph 2, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

42 Q. You want to establish the basis -- sorry, that he should be advised in relation to Directive $126 / 10$; is that correct?
A. Yes.

43 Q. And you want to establish on what basis Garda Keogh has entered the additional information relating to colleagues, and this his assertion about collusion, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

44 Q. You requested that an immediate -- that upon -- sorry, upon Inspector Farrell meeting with Garda Keogh, that he should respond immediately, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

45
Q this?
A. Yes, it was a very serious matter alleged. Yes. That was the first thing that jumped out here. Do I investigate it? And on the basis, is he making a report to the Westmeath division, to the superintendent Athlone, that there's corruption? or is he trying to bring -- bringing it to our attention for investigation?
Q. You wanted to know -- as of this date, which I think is the 19th May, you wanted to know the content of the intelligence -- sorry, you wanted him to discuss the contents of the intelligence; isn't that right?
A. Yeah. I wanted him to -- yes, what's this about? Because it's in a public forum here, you know, in a way. It's internal in the organisation, it's accessible to anybody, this piece of information.

47 Q. We know then that Inspector Farrell met with Garda Keogh?
A. Yes.
Q. We have Inspector Farrell's response to you at page 1806. I just want to highlight that Inspector Farre11 informs you that upon this discussion with Garda Keogh, you will see in the middle of the first paragraph. Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái $n$ and that he could not di scuss this entry with me. He said he was advi sed by the
confidential recipient that he did not need to talk to anybody el se except Assi stant Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái n."

Isn't that correct?
A. That's what it's saying, yes.

49 Q. I see. So from that, Garda Keogh is saying to Inspector Farrell to report to you, I am dealing with Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin?
A. Yes.

50 Q. By doing that, I suggest to you that he is trying to give comfort to Inspector Farrell and to yourself that he is dealing with the Ó Cualáin investigation in relation to the intelligence that he has entered on the Pulse system, would you agree with that?
A. I don't think -- he might be ring-fencing his position. The problem for me is, why did he put it in on in the first place? If he's trying to infer this is a matter for Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin, why is he broadcasting it within the Westmeath division?
51 Q. Would he be seeking to ring-fence his position?
A. I mean --
A. Yeah.

53 Q. Although he hasn't met with the investigation team yet, he is saying, I have got to deal with Assistant

Commissioner Ó Cualáin. Essentially the message is, take comfort in that situation?
A. But that doesn't relieve me of responsibilities that $I$ have. You see, he's after putting this on a system and
basically he's reporting to me and the superintendent that there's corruption and there are other issues that have to be addressed. So that doesn't shutdown, the position I'm talking about is the position in relation to the intelligence.

54 Q. I see.
A. Okay. It's not necessarily the position relating to the CR. So I've got a dilemma here and that's not resolved by him saying he's talking to AC Ó Cualáin. Because I don't know what he is saying to AC ó Cualáin.
55 Q. Yes. You mentioned that word dilemma yesterday, isn't it the fact that -- sorry, the dilemma is that you're not in contact with Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin, so you don't know what he is -- in your mind you don't know what he's investigating?
A. The dilemma is the process doesn't include me. Once you go -- it's a confidential reported process, so it's meant to be anonymous, people are not meant to be, you know, normally, named. Therefore, these things don't arise. But by reporting it, it's in a formal setting.
And that is perplexing for me, because I don't want to investigate anything that is a part of the other team and yet there's a piece of a report here, and all I'm getting from Garda Keogh, or all Inspector Farrell is getting from Garda Keogh is, AC Ó Cualáin has this. So ${ }^{10: 53}$ how do I know that? It doesn't relieve me of any responsibility at all.

56 Q. When you break down the intelligence entry, was your primary concern with the intelligence relating to the
reporting of drugs or was it in relation to the latter part of the entry, where there is a suggestion that there is aiding and abetting?
A. I think any criminality -- whatever criminality is there has to be investigated. So like, if there is corruption, that has to be investigated. If there is drug dealing, that has to be addressed. So the whole lot has to be addressed as one.

57 Q. We know that Garda Keogh has told Inspector Farrell that he is due to meet with Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin. And also, secondly, that he has been told by the confidential recipient not to talk to anybody else except Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's what he's saying.

58 Q. And that's a position that Garda Keogh has maintained throughout this process, isn't that right?
A. That's true. However, it was the first time. It is reasonable for Garda Keogh in his position, having discussed his issues and made his disclosures to of the confidential recipient, to take comfort from the confidential recipient that he doesn't need to speak to anybody else, isn't that correct?
A. I'm okay with that. I'm actually quite happy with all this. I'm happy with the principles there. The problem is, this is put into a public forum, it doesn't appear to have been something put on -- there's a certain -- the allegations are so serious, is this something else entirely different to what ó Cualáin is
investigating? Or is this similar? or is this -- and is there an additional piece to this? So I don't know any of this. So I have a dilemma: Does this require investigation by the westmeath team or is AC Ó Cualáin doing this? Is this the exact nature of the confidential report or part of it? So, by reporting it to the confidential recipient and then putting this on the intelligence system, it's creating all sorts of difficulties. And that, I mean, you can see the correspondence, there's nearly 50 pieces of correspondence in relation to this intel, to try and sort it out and get through it.
60 Q. As of this stage, have you made a link between the protected disclosure and what's contained in the Pulse entry. Do you make any link with those?
A. I have no knowledge of the protected disclosure. I have no knowledge whatsoever.
61 Q. Just moving forward then, we know that you escalated the matter to the assistant commissioner -- sorry, yes, to the assistant commissioner of the Eastern Region at that stage. If we can turn to page 8276?
A. What date is that?

62 Q. That is --
A. A few of these are dated incorrectly.

63 Q. I believe the 21st is the corrected date?
A. Yes.

64 Q. This is a letter that you wrote to the assistant commissioner. It sets out that Garda Keogh has created an intelligence on the Pulse database and so on?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

65 Q. At this stage, I think you mentioned you're concerned with the responsibilities under CHIS, is that right?
A. No, I think it's criminality. Acts of criminality. Do you see that there, paragraph 4.
"I ammindful of the obl igations pl aced on me to ensure all aspects of criminality disclosed are to be i nvesti gated further."
Q. Yes. But the letter itself doesn't refer to CHIS or any responsibilities with CHIS?
A. No. Not at that point, no. The first thing here that jumps out at me is, do I investigate this or not? Because if I start investigating it, I can cause difficulties for the Ó Cualáin investigation.
67 Q. But just going back to the previous letter, when you gave your direction to Inspector Farre11, CHIS was on your mind?
A. Of course it was, yes.

68 Q. So why then did you not follow-through with that in your letter to the assistant commissioner to say, this is also a concern I have as at the 20th?
A. Em, well, the issue here, the CHIS matter is a matter for me as the chief superintendent. The criminality is something that may be being dealt with by Assistant
Commissioner ó Cualáin. That is the most pressing issue. I didn't want to intrude on that investigation. And also, part of what developed was, $I$ got nothing in terms of an assurance that there wasn't a CHIS matter
in this for me to worry about.
69 Q. Yes.
A. So, if you look at --

70 Q. We're going to revisit that.
A. Yeah. That's sometime afterwards. So I let it rest anyway until such time as I am comfortable enough to actually say, oh, I need to know that
71 Q. If we can move forward then to the 29th May 2019, and just go back to your statement to the investigators, the Tribunal investigators. This is at page 1934, at the bottom of the page, please, going into page 1935. I think you will see at page 1934, you confirmed on 29th May 2014:
"Superintendent Frank Wal sh fromthe Garda
Commissioner's office contacted me by tel ephone and made me aware that a complaint had been made to the confidential reci pi ent, Judge MEMahon. The compl ai nt rel at ed to queries I had made of Garda Keogh in respect of the intelligence entry that appeared on the Pul se entry on 18th May 2014."

Do you recall that conversation?
A. Yes.

72 Q. You do. Did you make a note of the conversation?
A. No, I didn't.

73 Q. So Superintendent walsh is the private secretary to the Commissioner; is that right?
A. Yes.

74 Q. So this was quite a serious phone call?
A. Yeah, it was serious. But I have written on it. The issues I was to worry about was: The criminality, was that being investigated or not? So that phone call really wasn't about that as such. Commissioner's office?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Why are you not taking comfort at that stage that matters are in hand with the ó Cualáin investigation in relation to this?
A. Because I sent a note up on the 28th May, and I am saying again, so this is volume 29, 8303, and that stage $I$ think $I$ am saying that there's a conflict of interest here and there is a dilemma for me. So I am saying I propose to take no further action. It's at the very end of it, I think.

79 Q. So we know that at that stage then, as at the 29th May, your focus, I suggest to you, then turns primarily to CHIS and your responsibilities on CHIS. You leave
aside the content of the entry, the intelligence and you're now concerned with CHIS, isn't that right?
A. I would say, you know, there's a number -- CHIS is probably -- if you ask me, the most important thing is: If the criminality is being addressed by the ó Cualáin team, then the next thing that jumps out of urgency is the CHIS matter. The CHIS matter is sort of unique in that it leaves responsibilities on me, as we discussed yesterday.
80 Q. Can I just move forward then to the conversation between yourself and Detective Superintendent Mulcahy on 26th June 2014?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}$.

81 Q. Do you recall that conversation?
A. Yes. Briefly.

82 Q. And did you make a note of the conversation?
A. No.

83 Q. Was there any particular reason for that?
A. For not taking a note?

84 Q. Yes.
A. Well, there's a serious allegation being made and I was being asked to comment on it, I expected to hear something formally afterwards. I didn't.

85 Q. But we know that in the course of that conversation, you yourself, an allegation or a suggestion of an
allegation is being made against you, isn't that right?
A. Yeah, but I knew it didn't happen.

86 Q. But you didn't make any note?
A. No, because I knew it didn't happen.

87 Q. If we could just -- what did you understand that allegation to be?
A. That I removed DVDs and property from the store. I can't remember if the word drugs was used. But property anyway, from a storeroom in Athlone. At one stage, $I$ don't know when the date was, sometime prior anyway to May, I think, maybe it was in May, I can't remember. Actually, I'm not even sure if the date was mentioned. I could be conflating that with my understanding of the reports that $I$ have seen now since. But that $I$ removed property a store cupboard in Athlone in the course of being -- I don't know if it was in the course of an audit or whatever. But anyway, I knew it didn't happen because I wouldn't do that. you were somehow referred to in relation to the ó Cualáin investigation, is that right?
A. Yes. That's the first time, yeah. I knew nothing else. That was my first time, and the only time, actually, I heard about that.
89 Q. I think you told us that -- or you decided then to proceed with caution in respect of Garda Keogh?
A. Well, here is another dilemma: So, Detective Superintendent Mulcahy rings me, asks me for a response. In my mind -- it was a very businesslike cal1. In my mind, that doesn't mean it's the only thing that is alleged against me. And I'm conscious here that I haven't done anything. So I am wondering what other things are being alleged about things I
haven't done.
90 Q. Did that give rise to an irritation on your part that you had been --
A. No, I11 tell you, if you ask me, the expression I could use, this is daft.

91 Q. If you hadn't received that phone call or had that conversation with Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, would you have sat down with Garda Keogh?
A. I think so, yes.

92 Q
So the upshot of that conversation is essentially that it changed the manner in which you were proposing to deal with Garda Keogh?
A. No. It changed the manner, not really how to approach -- I decided not to meet with him. Well, on a one-on-one basis, you told us a moment ago that you were happy to meet with him in relation to welfare. We then have this conversation between yourself and Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, and that leads to you not meeting with him. The reason for that, I suggest to you, is because you were somehow implicated in the Ó Cualáin investigation?
A. I didn't see it that way because I wasn't -- in my view I had done nothing wrong. So there was nothing to investigate.

94 Q. Were you concerned about your own position? I mean, 11:04 were you concerned about --
A. No.

95 Q. -- self preservation or protection?
A. I wasn't concerned, I knew I did nothing wrong. I am
just concerned that there may be other allegations coming my way. So if I met Garda Keogh and he knew that I knew that allegations were put to me, it makes for a difficult conversation and it may be seen to be less than authentic.

96 Q. Just pausing there, we know that, and Garda Keogh has accepted in cross-examination to Mr. Murphy, that the initial enquiry that you make of him, he accepts that that's okay. But his case against you is that the targeting arises as a result of what happens thereafter. You understand that to be his case?
A. I understand that is his case. I think I understand that to be his case. If you look at the first one I ask, and the second time I ask the superintendent are we in compliance or has the source been handed over, and the third one I ask are we in compliance. So I fail to see how that is targeting. I reject the idea that it's targeting or discrediting. It's a function of the chief superintendent in an operational division to manage compliance with the policy of CHIS. I have no choice. If you read the Code of Practice, you will see how tightly it must be complied with. There is actually a very important part in the CHIS policy, which is worth saying, it's somewhere in there, there's no -- page 1817 on the CHIS policy, I know it's not to 11:06 be brought up on the scene, there is no novel interpretations.
97 Q. We are going to come back to that in a moment?
A. Okay.

98 Q. I think you have look at the statement of Superintendent Noreen McBrien. Sorry, that's page 834, I think it's volume 4. If we go to the second last paragraph of that page, please. I think Superintendent McBrien had been absent for some time, I think she returned in June. You had to ask her to meet with Garda Keogh in relation to a number of issues and one of those was your concern about CHIS. Superintendent MCBrien deals with this at page 834. In the second paragraph, she says:
"On the 16th July, Garda Keogh was unable to make a meeting with me. We had some ongoing arrangement to meet frequently throughout this period. I contacted hi m by tel ephone. In this call I asked himabout CHIS obl i gations and an intelligence entry. This refers to an intelligence entry that was put on the Garda Pul se system by Garda Keogh on the 20th May, when I was on annual I eave."
A. Yes.

```
99 Q. It goes on to say:
```

"The intelligence entry was dealt with by Inspector Farrell, who was acting superintendent at the time. He reported it to Chi ef Superintendent Curran. I had been 11:08 made aware of the intelligence report on my return from I eave on the 5th June. Concerns were rai sed by Chi ef Superintendent Curran, di visional officer, about this and whether it met the criteria as outlined in 126/ 10
management of CHIS."
Q.

Well, I think it's fair to say, however, that your enquiries in relation to CHIS were continuing, in paper form in any event, you were writing down because you wanted to establish whether or not it was a CHIS matter?
A. Yeah, the next enquiry is the 21st July, from reading the papers.
101 Q. Yes. I think at all times again in relation to this, 11:08 Garda Keogh maintained his position that it wasn't a CHIS matter, that it was in the care of Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah, he is consistent in that approach, yes, Chairman.

102 Q. Notwithstanding, he has met with the Ó Cualáin investigation team at this stage?
A. Yes.

103 Q. Were you aware of that?
A. Yes.

104 Q. You were?
A. We11, I think I was. I mean, sometime around June. Obviously I get a phone call on the 26th June, so things were in train at that point. And he may have said something to Superintendent McBrien on the 9th

June. I could be getting the dates wrong, but in around that time. I think he met ó Cualáin on the 7th June. I think. That's from memory. If you return to page 835 , please, you will see Superintendent McBrien says in her statement:
"I asked Garda Keogh if this information came froma specific source whi ch gave rise to assertions made in the intelligence entry. He responded to say it was bei ng fully investigated by the assistant
commissioner's investigation team I advi sed himof HQ 126/10 and he said that this was an exceptional ci rcunstance and not sure if it came under CHIS."

I suggest to you that it was an exceptional circumstance, do you agree with that?
A. Em...

106 Q. What Garda Keogh was faced with and the intelligence entry that he made?
A. I understand. I have some understanding of his dilemma 1:10 too. He is being told not to say anything. However, it doesn't relieve me of the responsibilities that there is someone interacting with Garda Keogh, and that is putting Garda Keogh at risk, the organisation at risk and others at risk. The responsibility, he's
under my control within the division, that is not with the ó Cualáin team. They don't have that responsibility. Their responsibility is to investigate. They don't have the control that goes
with that.
107 Q. If we could move to page 164, please. You will see that on the 18th September, Superintendent McBrien points out to Sergeant Haran, sorry, it's page 164, that you were not satisfied that it negated your responsibilities as outlined in HQ Directive 126/10. So still as of September it is a pressing issue for you?
A. At that stage, I think previously I had asked if the source had been handed over to the regional management unit. Because if you look at it from my point of view, I have an intelligence on the system that looks like it's a live source, it's been evaluated. The source has been evaluated, the information has been evaluated and there's a possibility here that there's
interaction. He says I think that he's interacting with criminals, in a report dated the 9th June from Superintendent McBrien. So all the way through here, I am concerned that we are compliant. So when I ask -- I asked the question then, has it been handed over in July. So when it comes to this point in September, I am asking the superintendent are we complying with 126/10. I am asking is the question to be considered. So it's a potential source, it may not be a source, but I want to know are we compliant.
108 Q. I see.
A. You know.

109 Q. If we turn to page 165, there is a handwritten response then to that by Garda Keogh?
A. Yes.

110 Q. It's date stamped 26th September 2014?
A. Yes.

111 Q. Were you at that stage -- do you recal1 receiving a copy of this letter?
A. I can't recal1. I can't recall the moment I saw it, no, but I did see it.
Q. If you just look down, it's about five lines down, it begins as follows -- this is his response and it's quite, I suggest to you, a comprehensive response, to try and allay any concerns that senior management would have, would you accept that?
A. I know what he is trying to say here. It doesn't relieve me, it doesn't mean that Garda Keogh is not telling me that he's not dealing with a source. He's not telling me that -- I'm not getting -- I need to get some understanding that there's compliance with 126/10. 113 Q. We'11 go back to that in a second. But he says as follows:
"I bel ieve it is inappropriate while the investigation is ongoing for Chi ef Superintendent Curran to seek the source rel at ed to the intelligence report, [ number] whi ch is di rectly linked to this investigation at this stage at least, as it could jeopardi se the said i nvesti gation. I bel i eve the investi gation team with Assi stant Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái $n$ should do thei $r$ job without any internal interference and at some point they will make a finding and we can deal with the
intel Ii gence report then. "

114 Q. He then says in relation -- he is being asked about -sorry, his attention is being drawn to your concern with HQ Directive 126/10. You can see that he deals with this in the next line:
"In rel ation to HQ Di rective 126/10, this does not appear to cover this particul ar situation. Perhaps Chi ef Superintendent Curran could furnish to me the exact wording that he refers to be outlined in the di rective."
A. Yes.

115 Q. Now, Garda Keogh, I suggest, is dealing with your query and he's saying, can Chief Superintendent Curran please 11:14 point out to me where in this directive he is referring to, so I can allay his fears?
A. Okay. So, the --

116 Q. First of all, if $I$ can just finish my question. Did
you do that? Did you identify where the directive says?
A. No, I didn't and I'11 tell you why. The CHIS Code of Practice was circulated in 2006 and every guard in the country got a copy of it. Similarly, when the revised Code of Practice came in 2010, it was distributed to every guard in the country. In this, when I see -when you read the Code of Practice, it's very digestible. It's very easy to understand. You don't need words to be -- and by the way, it's only up to a sergeant, an inspector, a superintendent, to me to ask what the words are. Everyone understands the Code of Practice. To go back to what I said here, there's no nove1 interpretations to be -- on page 1817, in the code, no nove1 interpretations. The Code of Practice is saying it rests with the chief superintendent. If there is a source, it rests with the chief superintendent.
117 Q. But wasn't that a simple matter of you saying in black and white, here it is, Garda keogh for your attention, please answer?
A. I don't think -- when I saw that, I said, I think this is going nowhere. That's why I didn't ask any more questions of it. Then two weeks later, I read it and I ask Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin for some type of advice in relation to how to deal with it.
118 Q. Just on that, if the ó Cualáin investigation team are dealing with the source --
A. They can't deal with it. If it's a CHIS source they
don't have responsibility. You have to remember, if you just put it this way: If there is a source on the ground, the responsibility for that is with me, it's not with them. The Code of Practice firmly puts it on me. Everything is recorded in CHIS. There is one central thing: which is everything is recorded. These people are investigating what has been complained to them. The source is not within their remit.
119 Q. I think yesterday you said in your evidence that what you were concerned with was compliance?
A. Yes.

120 Q. You wanted compliance?
A. Yeah.

121 Q. If we can just look to the last sentence of Garda Keogh's letter, please, on that page. He says:
"And I, therefore, request that any deal ings bet ween mysel f and Chi ef Superintendent Curran, di visional officer if West meath, should not be informal. I intend to be compl iant once the investigation has been concl uded. "
A. It's is not within his gift. If he has a source, he has no choice in this matter. It's is not for him to say that. You have to remember, a source interacting with one guard, who is not able to deal with it, is not 1:17 equipped or trained to deal with it, and with the CHIS system, there are other safeguards there. There's management oversight, that's why there's an intrusive type of -- it even said it, intrusive type of nature,
nature of inquiry and has to be present. So, for him to say that I will hand over the source afterwards, that's just -- it's not acceptable. But you know, chief superintendent, that at this stage he is dealing with the ó Cualáin investigation team, you know that?
A. It doesn't really make -- it gave me comfort, by the way, that at this stage we're into four, five months -where are we? September, from May. It gave me some comfort that Declan mulcahy, the D/Super, was a controller himself and would understand it.

123 Q. Yes.
A. But it didn't remove my responsibility. So put it in my situation, a year's time passes and next thing it turns out that Garda Keogh has been dealing with a source and a whole other set of circumstances arise. I'm the one that has to answer those questions, not Declan mulcahy.
124 Q. Not only do we have that, but we also have in black and white that Garda Keogh is saying to you, I will comply with you, chief superintendent, once the investigation is at an end?
A. Okay. So there is a document produced here yesterday that went into 2006 and the DPP had given a direction in relation to the file sometime in early '16. So, he was saying that a source is going to be managed by a garda for a year outside the organisation. That's not -- it's just impossible for that to happen. It's impossible for me to accept that.
Q. We will go back to the source issue in a moment.
A. The risk is too high. There is an extraordinary risk with informants.
In relation to -- at this stage you've spoken to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy and you say that you have taken comfort in relation to --
A. No.
Q. Sorry, I know, back in June?
A. Yeah, that was a different phone call, that was him asking me questions about the DVD. He didn't mention anything else.

We11, why did you not pick up the phone as a senior officer to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy and say --
A. No.

129 Q. -- not in relation to the intelligence, not asking him 11:19 to name the source but just say to him simply, I have a concern in relation to compliance, which is, can you give me some comfort in relation to that? Wouldn't that have sorted out all the issues at that stage?
A. Not necessarily. But apart from that, it would have 11:20 been me interfering with their investigation.
Q. I suggest to you it wouldn't, because what you are simply doing is making enquiries to try and give yourself some comfort. You're not asking him about intelligence, you're not asking him to name the source, 11:20 you're simply saying to him, I have a CHIS compliance concern, can you confirm that you are dealing with it?
A. You know, I suppose all I wanted was -- I mean, all I wanted was compliance with $126 / 10$. So if there's a
source then -- and the way you're talking suggests there is a source all the way through, then it's not a matter for me to ring Declan Mulcahy, because Declan Mulcahy doesn't have control of Garda Keogh.
131 Q. As of this date, so we're in December 2014?
A. That is the 26 th or the 27 th.
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. But there are three issues pressing that concern yourself and Garda Keogh at this stage, isn't that correct? First of all -- isn't that correct?
A. So what --

134 Q. The first issue is the intelligence issue, the second issue is the olivia o'Neill issue and the third issue is the Liam McHugh issue. They are all still live as of September?
A. No, no, they're not.
Q. They're not?
A. No. The O'Neill and McHugh are not.
Q. We11, insofar as the CHIS issue is kept live, is the reason for that because you didn't want to relinquish control with dealing with Garda Keogh in relation to that?
A. No, it's very clear: I have obligations under the Code of Practice. I was controller. I understand the risks. They're of the highest order. I can't think of the most -- there was tribunals going back 20 years ago a11 about -- central to the management of informants, and that's where the CHIS Code of Practice came from.

They create -- they can undermine the criminal justice system, they can create enormous problems for individual guards who interact, unless they are actually employing with the skills and backed up with management assistance and oversight, which is what the Code of Practice delivers and ensures. So I am concerned Garda Keogh is being protected in this and he's not exposing himself.
Could we go back to -- sorry, could we go to page 830 , please? Again, this is the statement of Superintendent 11:22 McBrien. You will see in the second paragraph there, this is going back I think to June of 2014. She says:
"While not prying into the issues being addressed by hi $m$ with Assi stant Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái $n$, I asked him if he was in contact with criminals as l was concerned about his personal safety. He sai d that he was. He sai $d$ he would gi ve thei $r$ nanes to the assi stant commi ssi oner. He was no longer contacting criminals and would pass everything to the assi stant
commi ssi oner. We agreed to meet in the week starting the 16th June."

Were you aware of that at that stage?
A. Yes, I was aware of it, yeah.

138 Q. So that says he was no longer contacting criminals and would pass everything to Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin?
A. You know, if there's one thing that happens, is that
you don't leave it down to one individual. An individual interacting with a -- an individual criminal interacting with a guard outside the organisation's oversight, is the highest risk. So his assurance is not -- even if he believes it's right, isn't going to give comfort that $126 / 10$ is complied with.

139 Q. Moving on then, if we look to page 8067, please. This is the Code of Practice. At paragraph 3.2, will you see there the definition of a source is stated to be as follows:
"A person who is in contact with criminal s and agrees to regul arly provi de inf ormation or assi stance to An Garda Sí ochána in respect of criminality activity and in so doing has an expectation that it will be treated confi dentially."
A. Yeah.

140 Q. What I am suggesting to you is that the situation that Garda Keogh found himself in does not fall within this definition?
A. Okay.

141 Q. Do you accept that?
A. It depends, because we never got to understand that fully. I'd also come back to page 1845 --
142 Q. We11, look to the word "regul arly" there?
A. Yeah.

143 Q. So this seems to envisage a scenario where there is contact between a Garda member and a source regularly.

We know that Garda Keogh says, as in June of 2014, that he is no longer contacting criminals. So there is no regular contact, I suggest to you?
A. If you really want to get into the technical, that doesn't mean they're not contacting him.
A. Can we go to page 1845.

CHA RMAN Page which?
WTNESS: 1845.
CHA RMAN 1845.
WTNESS: Yeah. I have a note of what is in it. I don't know if we can produce the original document. MS. MtGRATH The one we just had previously is unredacted.
MR. O BRI EN $\quad$ I think it's in volume 29.
CHA RMAN Is there a particular part?
WTNESS: Yes:
"The tasking of any unregi stered sources should not be permitted under any circumstances."
CHA RMAN Just repeat that, please.
A.
"The tasking of any unregi stered sources should not be permitted under any circunstances."
145 Q. CHA RMAN The tasking?
A. Yes.

ME. MEGRATH I think, Chairman, the CHIS code is at 8067, the unredacted version.

MR. KELLY: Yes.

CHA RMAN Don't worry, if you say it is there. Let's not waste our time going down through it.
A. It is there. Look, it's a principle really of the operation.
Q. MR. O BRI EN Just going back one step there, chief superintendent. You never asked the question, did you, 11:26 that criminals were still contacting Garda Keogh?
A. No, I didn't ask that question but --
A. I'm not a hundred percent sure if he's saying that.
Q. That is what Garda Keogh's position is, is what I am suggesting to you.
A. Yeah. I don't know if he actually used those words. He may have. I can't recollect him saying it.
"Outside the CHIS systemthere will al ways be people who pass on inf ormati on to the Gardaí. In many
instances such contact will be a once off."
A. Yeah.
Q. "These people may be described as public spirited citizens, exercising thei $r$ ci vic duties and in normal ci rcumstances need not to be regi stered with CHIS. It 11:27 is i mportant that An Garda Sí ochána conti nue to recei ve inf ormation fromsuch indi vi dual s and the CHI S system should in no way prevent this fromoccurring."

I would suggest that it's reasonable for Garda Keogh to 11:28 rely on that passage?
A. And if you look at the question I ask, he has put up a source, and, you know, we have gone through this before, that I have some grounds on which to believe that he may have been interacting with a source, from the intelligence and then the way he interacted in some way with Inspector Farre11, he nodded with the mention of the CHIS. Then he's saying that he was in contact with criminals and now we're saying that he's a witness. So he may be relying on that. It doesn't mean that the Code of Practice doesn't intrude in in finding out is this a witness or not. So, a person supplying information regularly, someone other than Garda Keogh has to make that decision.

And the other side, I asked that question, but the two subsequent questions was: Is there compliance with 126/10? But I wasn't getting that back as an answer.

154 Q. If a member of the guards meets a person in the street
and that person passes information to them? -
A. That's fine. There's no issue with that but there's an issue around the relationship with some people. That's when you get into difficulty.
155 Q.
We know then by the end of September 2014 that Garda Keogh is no longer contacted in relation to this issue, the intelligence issue, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Why was that?
A. Because I had written to Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin, there on page 8360, and I asked him for his views in relation to HQ 126/10.
157 Q. Over all it's Garda Keogh's position and he accepts that as divisional officer you were entitled to make that additional enquiry of him in relation to the intelligence entry. But his position is clear, I suggest to you, after that; is that your sustained correspondence to him in relation to the source of this intelligence, despite his maintained position that it was within the remit of the ó Cualáin investigation and was being dealt with by the Ó Cualáin investigation, amounts to you targeting?
A. Number one, I asked the superintendent in subsequent correspondence was it in compliance $126 / 10$, it wasn't directed to him. But I wanted to establish whether there was compliance or not. I wasn't getting the answers, so I continued on afterwards, and I wrote, even in December, saying that $I$ had issues with compliance with $126 / 10$. It was an issue that hadn't
resolved itself for me. So, the issue didn't go away in the last correspondence with Garda Keogh. There was a lot of paper then went on after that.
A. I saw -- I have to say, I reject that there was any targeting whatsoever.
159 Q. I mean --
A. If you look at this, it's very sort of neutral language, is there compliance with $126 / 10$ ?
160 Q. Just moving on then to the next issue, which concerns olivia o'Neill. If we can go to volume 30 , page 8686 , please. We know that Olivia O'Neill attended Athlone Garda Station on 28th May 2014 and there was an interaction between herself and Garda keogh and Garda Stephanie Treacy is tasked with taking a statement?
A. That's correct.
A. Yes.
Q. As a result of the letter that's on the screen?
A. I think he rang me and said that he had made -- on the previous day and he had made -- he had made a decision, 11:32 which I endorsed, that the statements should be taken separately, one in relation to the specific incident and then the separate issues around the advices.
164 Q. I see. If we can scroll down to the bottom of that
letter, please. The content of the letter, I mean Inspector Farrell has taken the information that he is passing to you from what he has been told by Garda Treacy with her interaction with Ms. O'Neill, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

165 Q. At the end there, it says, three paragraphs up:
"The advi ce allegedl y gi ven by Garda Keogh is not appropriate in the circunstances and projects the image 11:32 of An Garda Sí ochána in an unf avourable light."

That's is what it says?
A. That's correct, yes.

166 Q. Isn't that the second letter in the matter of a number ${ }_{11: 32}$ of days or a very short period where Inspector Farrell, I suppose, writes to you with a slightly negative connotation regarding Garda Keogh?
A. Well --

167 Q. Because he said --
A. Sorry.

168 Q. -- the other letter, sorry the e-mail and the information that he says in relation to the pulse entry?
A. okay.

169 Q. So now we have two, we have two letters and we have two issues in the matter of a number of days, both of which Inspector farrell is saying to you as the divisional officer, this is inappropriate?
A. Yeah, Inspector Farrell is saying that based on the report of Garda Treacy.
Q. Did you share that view when you read the letter?
A. If we were to read the letter in the way it's suggested, advices were given and they were inappropriate, then would I agree that that's the right word for it. But it's not entirely clear from the report. You know, he's putting his spin, he was interacting with the people on the ground in relation to it, so he had more knowledge of it when he sends in the report, you know.

171 Q. Did you ever go back to him and say, the report is not entirely accurate, I'm not sure what you are referring to? Did you ever express that view to Inspector Farrell?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. So you took it that at that stage, anyway, you were happy to proceed on the basis of what the report contained?
A. I was happy to proceed on the report, even though Inspector Farre11 might may share a view, if you look at what I am looking for, I sent back I was looking for a statement in relation to the information divulged and what was foremost in my mind was the fact that there was alleged corruption here.

173 Q. I see.
A. And that there was an invitation for her to mention something around -- to go to GSOC. In actual fact, she could have reported that to us. So that's a
criminality piece.
174 Q. Could we just scroll up to the top of that letter, please, if it's possible? You say you spoke to Inspector Farre11 on that day, the 29th May?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Do you recall the conversation?
A. I remember it was a very brief phone call in the early part of the day, nine or ten o'clock.
Q. Again I ask you, did you make any note of it in a diary?
A. No, because it's mirrored in my correspondence afterwards and his correspondence to me, which is more or 1ess --

177 Q. The 29th May, that's the same day that you receive the phone call from Superintendent walsh in the Commissioner's office; is that right?
A. Yeah.

178 Q. So again, as of that date, we have a number of pressing issues regarding Garda Keogh. You have been told there's been a complaint in relation to the information 11:36 you requested on the intelligence issue, isn't that right?
A. Yeah, I have been told it's come through the system, yeah.
179 Q. And now, as well as that, we have the live issue regarding Olivia O'Neill?
A. Yes.

180 Q. This is concerning a person that prior to May 2014 you had really no interaction with at a11, you had never

```
    met?
    A. That's correct.
181 Q. And in the space of a couple of days --
    A. We11, I met him once.
182 Q. Sorry you met him once, you said.
A. Yeah.
183 Q. In the space of a couple of days now we have two, what you might say, serious issues, isn't that right?
A. \(\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}\).
184 Q. Again, were you irritated at that stage by this? Did you think, oh, here we go, another issue with Garda Keogh?
A. I was concerned for Garda Keogh, you know, to some extent, the media and a lot of commentary there and I'm not sure Garda Keogh, how he was feeling. I know Superintendent McBrien looked after all the welfare afterwards. But really what's being alleged here is that there's criminality and that's is what \(I\) am really seeking to establish, to make sure that Olivia O'Neill was to be given the opportunity to report that to us and it's just not to GSOC. So that was the bit that jumped out at me.
185 Q. Despite that concern, as you say, and the commentary that was going on and so on, as divisional officer you still took no step to go and speak with Garda Keogh, did you? You have accepted that?
A. No, I understand that. But, I mean, at this stage, contact had been made with Superintendent McBrien.
186 Q. What you did after that, however, is that you directed
```

-- sorry, that you wrote on the 29th to Inspector Farre11 and you directed that Detective Sergeant Curley get a statement from Ms. O'Neill; is that right?
A. Yes.

187 Q.
I think you can see that on page 514. And again, at this stage, as divisional officer, you're involving yourself and giving directions for how this issue is to be dealt with from the outset, isn't that right?
A. Yes. It had come through the system to me, yes.

188 Q. okay. We know that Detective Sergeant Curley met with Olivia O'Neill. If could we go to 8690. He attempted to take statement from her and we know that she declined to make a statement, isn't that right?
A. Yes. That's true, Chairman.

189 Q. On the 10th June, at page 8693, if we scroll down, you have requested Superintendent McBrien to seek a report from Garda Keogh in relation to this issue, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. You will see there that the reason a report is required, you say, is to establish the veracity of the claims of Ms. O'Neill during her conversation with Garda Treacy. You were concerned about the veracity obviously then at that stage?
A. If Garda Keogh had some -- you know, I was concerned that Garda Keogh hadn't been asked, I just wanted to make sure that we were getting his side of things.

191 Q. Just going back one step, we know then that as well and alongside the intelligence issue, the Pulse entry and
this issue with olivia o'Neill, we now have a third issue concerning Garda Keogh and that relates to Liam McHugh?
A. Yes.

192 Q. That arises as a result of a report of Garda Lyons, which was sent by e-mail on 2nd June 2014 ?
A. Yes.

193 Q. That can be seen I think at volume 5, page 1029.
A. Okay.

194 Q. So now we have three live issues, chief superintendent, 11:40 concerning Garda Keogh in a matter of days?
A. Yes.

195 Q. This is essentially in the aftermath of his protected disclosure. I mean, is this not odd? Is this not jumping out at you as being very strange?
A. But they're all separate.

196 Q. If we just look to the -- you received that e-mail, I think was it on the 3rd June?
A. I think so, yes.

197 Q. I think again -- when you read that report of Garda 11:41 Lyons, what were your views? what were your concerns?
A. When I looked -- again, you have to read it two or three times to pick up -- I had to read it two or three times and actually do an analysis of it. But the concern is, we went through them yesterday, there's a 11:41 number of possibilities may occur here but what jumped at me was that all of the information was in Garda Keogh's possession, allegedly, in relation to this. There was no verification of this from Mr. McHugh. So
it suggested, as we said yesterday, was there criminality? was there a breach of discipline? was there some other aspect to it? The only way to deal with that was to go directly to McHugh and see would he provide a statement.

198 Q. I suggest to you that once you received this report from Garda Lyons, the most appropriate step to take was for Garda Lyons to make a statement, wouldn't that be correct?
A. No.
A. It's not, no, it's not. The most important thing is to get the evidence. Right. So, Garda Lyons reported evidence himself and it can been incorporated in any investigation afterwards as an exhibit. so the most important thing was to go to Liam McHugh straightaway. 200 Q. What investigation did you make in relation to the other guards that were allegedly involved in this?
A. Well, as I said yesterday, Garda Keogh was saying this didn't happen, McHugh will make a statement. And Garda 11:43 Keogh is saying it didn't happen, in a previous meeting, I think it was the 5th June, I may be getting the dates wrong. Then subsequently, on the 5th August I think, I got a report from Superintendent McBrien to the same effect. At that stage, around the 27 th July, he had said that -- he had previously said he hadn't met McHugh in two years, and then in July, in written format to me, that he hadn't met him in three months. So Garda Keogh is saying it didn't happen. He is
insistent it didn't happen. He is saying this to Superintendent McBrien, someone who he has a very good rapport with, has a good relationship and she is very supportive. He is saying it didn't happen. So he has committed that to writing. He said he hasn't met McHugh in three months. So if it didn't happen, there's no other guards to go to. But in terms of consistency and your approach to matters where there's a criminal complaint or there's an allegation of criminality, 1 mean --
A. There's no complaint here.
Q. Well there's an allegation of criminality?
A. There's an insinuation, perhaps, that's about it, you know.

Why was no further investigation carried out of the other guards -- or sorry, in relation to this?
A. Because Garda Keogh -- you see, McHugh, he is saying that Garda Keogh has all the information in his possession. So Garda Keogh is the guard that allegedly knows all of this and he is saying it never happened. I am accepting that. There's no further investigation required.
204 Q. At that stage in 2014, did you accept that?
A. I did accept it. I accepted it. Myself and Superintendent McBrien agreed, we didn't believe it happened, because she was interacting with him. And that was it, he said it didn't happen, that was the end of it.
Q. But nobody ever told you that didn't happen?
A. Garda Keogh is saying it didn't happen.
Q. Garda Keogh --
A. He is saying it didn't happen to Superintendent McBrien in meetings, two meeting, and then he has submitted in writing that he hasn't met McHugh. He is saying -- if you look at the report, $I$ don't know the page number, he is saying that it didn't happen. There's no way it happened. If you want I can refer you to documents. 9th June, Volume 5, 1037, he said he had a conversation with McHugh in two years. Right. And then it comes back to me, he is saying, I think then the 5th August -- his report was never really challenged by senior management?
A. Garda Lyons sent up a report with no commentary. So, he is just trying to leave a message. There's no complaint. It's an insinuation from someone on the street, that didn't make a report, wouldn't make a statement and Garda Keogh is saying it didn't happen. So I am accepting it didn't happen at that time. That's all $I$ can do.

208 Q. We11, you said yesterday that you didn't know what allegations were made by Garda Keogh in his protected disclosure?
A. That's correct.

209 Q. So, essentially that means, doesn't it, that the allegation could have been about Garda Lyons as well as Garda A, couldn't it?
A. I wouldn't even jump to that conclusion.

210 Q. And you know then in June of 2014 there's an allegation made about you yourself?
A. That's correct. Although an allegation that I knew had no basis and was wrong.
Q. Would you accept that in relation to the Pulse entry and the correspondence between yourself and Superintendent McBrien, I mean you're told this issue is going to the investigation team, weren't you, the Ó Cualáin investigation team?
A. No. On the 15th July, Declan Mulcahy came along and said that they were dealing with the complaint of Garda Keogh through the confidential recipient system. They didn't say they weren't -- they didn't confirm or deny that they were dealing with this. And I left it that they may have been dealing with this.

213 Q. From that, I am suggesting to you that you know that it's a senior member of the drugs squad that's being investigated?
A. That's a matter for them. I don't know anything about what they are doing.

214 Q. I am suggesting to you that it's most improbable that you are not aware that the allegations were about the
sale and supply of drugs?
A. I can speculate from what was in the confidential -- in the intelligence.
Secondly, I am suggesting to you is that it's most improbable as we11 that you weren't aware that it was the Athlone drugs unit that was implicated, would you agree with that?
A. There's no mention of drugs in that report of Garda Lyons.

216 Q.
If we go back to page 1802, this is in relation to the Pulse entry. If we scroll down. So, you see it says there -- we11, sorry, just dealing with the Pulse entry as opposed to the Lyons' report, sorry, I should have said that?
A. Okay.

217 Q. You will accept then that there was a mention in this report of the drugs unit in Ath1one and that they're implicated?
A. There isn't a mention of the drugs unit in Athlone. There's a mention that somebody -- aided and abetted by 11:49 a guard who is a senior member of the drugs unit.
Q. A senior member of the drugs unit. Garda Lyons is a member of the drugs squad, isn't he?
A. I don't think he was at that time, you know.

219 Q. Isn't he a peer, don't you know, of Garda A?
A. I don't know what their relationship is at that stage, to be honest with you. Garda Lyons is someone that strikes me as a man of high integrity. Sergeant Lyons now.
Q. They worked together, that's a fact?
A. To be honest with you, I wasn't sure how often they were interacting.
Q. Did it ever occur to you, I mean, since you say that you didn't know the details of the allegations, that Garda Lyons was a person being implicated by Garda Keogh?
A. I didn't know who the investigation team were focusing on. That's their business and I have made it my business not to know their business.
Q. Did you make any inquiry of Garda Lyons as to why he delayed in reporting the issue, the McHugh issue?
A. No. We11, I didn't, no. It might have been the day of it? was it? I think it might have been the 2nd he reported up. So I mean, I don't think -- it's not -- 11:51 it doesn't worry me that it's a day or two out.
Q. Just dealing with this issue: If it was a false complaint being reported by Garda Lyons, surely you would want to meet with him and ask him what he was up to?
A. It's not a complaint.
Q. A report.
A. It's not even that. He has a conversation with a person on the street and he decides to report it. The person on the street is saying he doesn't want to 11:52 report it. He's doesn't really say it did happen, it's on7y mentioned in the report.
Q. There was a Pulse entry dealing with the Lyons --
A. Yes, I didn't know about it at the time. It didn't
really matter to me because I was dealing with whatever interactions I had to do.
Q. Can we have volume 56 , page 15713 , please. This is the station book or the station diary for Athlone Station on the 1st June. Are you familiar with -- I presume you're familiar with a station book?
A. Oh I am, yes.

227 Q. We can see from this, that Garda Lyons is on duty until 4 am on the 1st June.
A. Mm.

228 Q. He comes back on duty at 6 pm on the 1st June, if we go to 15714 . So again he has waited a number of days to make this complaint of what we can see is an allegation of serious criminal activity within An Garda Síochána. I think they were your words as well?
A. It's an insinuation, that's really the best -- I don't know if that is even the right word but, em...
229 Q. You can see from that, that Garda Lyons is on duty with Garda A?
A. okay. well, I don't see this record, you know, that's a matter really for what happens in Athlone. You know, the relationship between Garda Lyons and Garda A, you have to remember, it's coming to up to me as a report, I'm not getting anything other than that report sent up to me. There's no observations from any of the management suggesting there's something here that needs to be addressed or something untoward.
MR. ḾCEÁL OHGGN: Chairman, I wonder could I just --

CHAN RMAN Certainly, yes, Mr. O'Higgins.
MR. MCHEÁL O H GG NS: To make one observation. Mr. O'Brien is putting questions to the witness, referable to, as I understand it, a situation that the guard who made the report, Garda Lyons, may have made it up.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: Hence he has suggested that there is a delay in it and that's somehow suspicious.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O HGG NS: In my respectful submission, if that line is being pursued, it doesn't appear to have been a line that was pursued at the time, at the time of these events.
CHAI RMAN Yes.
MR. M CHEÁL O HGGN: But if that is being pursued, my respectful submission is that's ultimately a matter that would have to be put to Garda Lyons.
CHA RMAN Clearly.
MR. M' CHEÁL O H GG NS: It's not a matter that this
witness can answer to.
CHA RMAN Obviously. But the chief superintendent has said, I dealt with the material that came to me, the material that came to me was the report from Garda Lyons.
MR. MCHEÁL OHGG NS: Yes.
CHA RMAN He has expressed a view about his opinion of Garda Lyons and he has said that's what I had in front of me, I didn't have anything else, I didn't have the
times or the dates when Garda Lyons was on duty. So, he says, that's nothing to do with me.
MR. MCHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes.
CHA RMAN I didn't know it at the time. That's what he says.
MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: I suppose the only point I am saying --
CHA RMAN I don't know that Mr. O'Brien is entitled to say to him, do you think it's a bit fishy. I don't know that Mr. O'Brien is entitled to say that to him, maybe he is, but if he's going to do it, maybe he's going to do it. But that's what the chief superintendent has said, that's not what came before me. As I understand it, he said, look, what came before me, the report. That's what he says.
MR. ḾCHEÁL O H GG NS: Thank you.
CHAN RMAN That's right, Mr. O'brien.
MR. OBRI EN what I am trying to establish essentially with the chief superintendent is that he should have made a chain of enquiry in relation to this at the time.

CHA RMAN Yes. But if he didn't see the station record or shouldn't have seen the station record or shouldn't have known the station record, it's hard to criticise him. But maybe you're saying, well,
shouldn't you have pursued the possibility that Garda Keogh was being set up.

MR. O BRIEN That's exactly what I am saying. CHA RMAN Well, that might be a good one to ask,

Mr. O'Brien.
MR. O BRIEN Yes. That is what I am suggesting to you, chief superintendent.
CHA RMAN Do you understand? I mean, the question is this: okay, nothing comes of this, you send it back. Question: Was there an obligation to check up whether Garda Keogh was being set up? That's the question. what do you say to that, chief superintendent?
A. Em, I don't have the information that suggests anything other than that Garda Lyons transmits this in good faith, having heard it, probably having reflected on it for a day or two before he sent it up. Garda Lyons is someone that is held in high esteem. He's now a sergeant. I get nothing from the management team or the supervisors to suggest there's something untoward here. Which is what I would rely on if there was something that I had to deal with.

231 Q. What I am suggesting to you, chief superintendent, is that as a result of the failure to investigate this, that it was a deliberate attempt to discredit a whistleblower?
A. You see, there was a statement of request, asked of McHugh, Garda Keogh is saying it didn't happen. So it didn't happen. The way I saw it: It didn't happen, there was nothing to suggest there was anything to investigate. It was finished. I suggest to you that this had the effect of targeting Garda Keogh as a whistleblower?
A. I reject that. I don't see how he can say that. I am
just doing my job. It comes up to me through the system. I am sending it back down with some basic enquiries and it dies very quickly afterwards, and on the basis of Garda Keogh's word.
Finally, I'm suggesting to you is that the entirety of your actions in relation to the three issues, the intelligence issue, the Olivia O'Neill issue and the Liam McHugh, just given the proximity and timing of each of these and when you consider them collectively, I suggest to you this amounts to targeting of you by Garda Keogh -- targeting on your part against Garda Keogh?
A. No. I am doing my job, I am doing what's necessary and important. I would have dealt with that, with any of those situations with any other person the same way. A 11:58 standard approach. I reject that there is any targeting or discrediting on my behalf or anybody else, for that matter.

MR. O BRI EN No further questions, Judge, for this witness.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Now, who is next? Ms. O'Rourke, are you next? Isn't that right, you will come back to Ms. McGrath, is that correct?

MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes, Chairman. CHA RMAN Yes, Ms. O'Rourke.

CH EF SUPERI NTENDENT MARK CURRAN WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY ME. O ROURKE, AS FOLLOVB:

MG. O ROURKE: Thank you, Chair. Chief Superintendent Curran, I really have very few questions for you. In that regard, $I$ think you have indicated that Garda Lyons -- there's a number of matters $I$ want to raise in respect of Garda Lyons, the Mr. McHugh statement arising out of the evidence of Garda Keogh here on day 100 and equally arising out of cross-examination.

In that regard, I think you said, chief superintendent, that Garda Lyons is a member who is held in the highest esteem, isn't that correct?
A. Yes. Yes. And I have interacted with him quite a few times in investigations and $I$ found him a very straight and direct and an honourable person, yes.

235 Q. I think you received a report which Garda Lyons had prepared on 2nd June 2014?
A. That's correct, Chairman, yes.

That report, $I$ think, can be brought up. It's at 522. In that report there is reference to an approach that was made by Mr. McHugh to Garda Lyons on the 31st May and the conversation that then took place.
A. Yes.

You have indicated that when you read that report, that there was a number of issues but one of those issues that you had was a concern about criminality?
A. Yes.

Q. In light of that, you sought to establish the position?
A. That's correct.
Q. But as you have indicated in your evidence, the report was made to you and you had no issues in respect of the -- you didn't consider anything other than this was 12:00 Garda Lyons relaying the conversation that he had?
A. No, exactly. And that's why I saw him as transmitting, not a complaint, just a conversation that he had, and that had to be followed up because there was inferences there of criminality. So that's why I asked for a statement to be taken off Liam McHugh.
Q. Subsequently, you know that Superintendent McBrien discussed the matter with Garda Keogh, including on the 9th June?
A. That's correct.

I think evidence was given yesterday in relation to that conversation. I think on the 9th June, Garda Keogh indicated, and I think it's at page 126 or 162 of the transcript, that he had not -- he had met -- he had met Mr. McHugh on a number of occasions but other than general chitchat. I will just get the --
A. Garda Keogh was saying that, I think.
Q. Yes. That he was adamant that apart from general chitchat, saying he11o, etcetera, he hadn't had a conversation with him in two years?
A. That was the first time and then later on he said something, that it was three months.
Q. Exactly?
A. Yes.

244 Q. And following upon receipt of that, as you say, you now had Garda Keogh saying he had nothing other than a general conversation --
A. Garda Lyons.
Q. Sorry, this is Garda Keogh has said to Superintendent McBrien --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that he hasn't had other than a general chitchat with Mr. --
A. I think he said, other than hello, I think he said, he hasn't had a conversation, yes.

247 Q. Exactly. Following on from that, you then still faced the fact that there was potential, you say, of criminality --
A. Yes.

248 Q. -- in what was relayed in the conversation?
A. Yes.

249 Q. You followed on from that and you sought to get a statement from Mr. McHugh?
A. That's correct.

250 Q. Mr. McHugh wasn't willing to give a statement?
A. Yes.

251 Q. That's what you understood. In the light of that, Garda Keogh hadn't committed to writing his views on --
A. On the interaction.

252 Q. Exactly.
A. Yeah.

253 Q. But he had a further conversation with Superintendent McBrien and ultimately you said that you didn't believe
the incident happened. I think, when you're referring to that incident, can you just clarify what incident you believed had not happened?
A. I don't think the interaction between Garda Keogh and McHugh took place.
Q. Yes.
A. That's on the basis of what Garda Keogh was saying. He was insistent on it and insistent right through with Superintendent McBrien in his conversations and then in the paper sent up afterwards.

255 Q. I think you'd accept that the report that was made by Garda Lyons was made in good faith.
A. Absolutely, I would accept that, yes. Chairman.
Q. Thank you very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAK Now, anybody else? Mr. McGrath, have you any questions.
MR. MEGRATH No, I appear for Garda Greene, we have no questions.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
MR. MtGRATH Thank you very much.
CHA RMAN So, nobody else has any questions? Thank you very much. Very good, okay, Mr. O'Higgins.

## CH EF SUPERI NTENDENT MARK CURRAN WAS EXAM NED BY MR.

## O H GG NS, AS FOLLOVB:

MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: Thank you, Chairman. Chief Superintendent Curran, good morning.
A. Good morning.
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. That is, I suppose, the straightforward matter concerning your tenure in the Westmeath division. You remained -- I think it's the case you remained in Coolock as superintendent until February 2012?
A. February ' 12 , yes.
Q. You've told us already you were promoted to the rank of 12:04 chief superintendent at that point and then you were transferred to the Westmeath division?
A. Exactly, on the 21st February of that year.
Q. Yes. Now, we know that the division comprises two districts; Athlone and Mullingar?
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. Can you tel1 the Chairman, divisional functions operated mostly from where?
A. From Mullingar station. My office would have been there.
Q. From where?
A. From Mullingar station, that was the divisional headquarters.
Q. Yes. The divisional superintendents, I think we know,
of course, in Athlone was Noreen McBrien and I think it was Superintendent Patrick McMenamin in Mullingar?
A. That's true, McMenamin was Mullingar, yeah.
Q. we've heard, of course, that in Athlone the two inspectors were Nicholas Farrell and Aidan Minnock?
A. Yes.

Garda Keogh -- we're not concerned with the car tax issue, because that's for another day, but just in terms of the breadth of the allegations that are now being made against you, you are aware, aren't you, that 12:05 Garda Keogh has stated his belief that, for instance, Superintendent Pat Murray had targeted him in relation to the car tax issue?
A. Yes.

267 Q. Mr. Murphy dealt with that in his cross-examination on behalf of Superintendent MCBrien. But Garda Keogh, you're aware, has alleged that Pat Murray did that with the acquiescence of you, you're aware of that?
A. Yes.

268 Q. Can I ask you, just in terms of -- and that is for another day.
A. Yes.

269 Q. But just in terms of the temporal question.
A. Yes.

270 Q. During your time as divisional officer, was division?
A. No, Superintendent Pat Murray came and replaced Superintendent MCBrien and myself and Superintendent

McBrien left and transferred on the same day, I think it was 9th March 2015. I never met Pat Murray before that. My first conversation with Pat Murray was some time, I think it was probably October of '18. At that stage we provided a response to the bullying allegation 12:06 and I think that's where we had some common ground to some extent. But I never spoke to Pat Murray at any time when Pat Murray was a superintendent in Athlone. Ever. I didn't know him, I never met him. I don't think I ever corresponded with him. So to suggest that 12:07 I acquiesced with him would be entirely wrong.

271 Q. Yes. Could I bring you then to the format with which we are concerned directly at the moment, and that is firstly the Pulse record. It might be put up again. I am sure the Chairman is almost tired of looking at this, it's page 1802.

CHA RMAN Indeed.
272 Q. MR. MCHEÁL O HGG NS: You've given us already, arising from Mr. O'Brien's questions, outlining your concerns that occurred to you. Can I just ask you, in terms of the -- apart from the issue of corruption and the necessity to investigate that, and CHIS matters, which we will come to presently, do you see the last entry "fact".
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

273 Q. The last there, and the reference to a grading of the source, if source is the right word?
A. Yes.

274 Q. Just from an accuracy point of view, as to whether
these details that are up on a public record, which are accessible by any Garda, what concerns did that give you, if any, as to whether these details were or were not factually correct?
A. Well, the crosses by which the source of the
information was being evaluated and where was the information coming from. That was the biggest issue. I suppose you ask me about the source, if you look at, you know, fact. Also, it's the provenance of where the information is coming from, if you are talking about that in particular. I don't know how someone can say it's fact without saying some justification as to how it is fact. There has to be a basis to it. So there is an evaluation put here, as everything is factual.
so that generally would suggest that there's absolute certainty in all the information of where it's coming from.

275 Q. All right. Now, I think the next day, on 19th May 2014, we have seen already that you sent a memorandum to Superintendent Noreen McBrien. we might have that. It's 1805, please, Volume 7. If you have a paper copy. You have already been brought through by Ms. McGrath and Mr. O'brien separately, issues concerning the CHIS policy?
A. Yes.

276 Q. We see here in this, in the contemporaneous memorandum, you are referencing the covert human intelligence sources policy and revised code of practice, in the second paragraph there, is that so?
A. That's correct, Chairman, yes.
A. So I need a hard copy of volume 15.
Q. It might be worthwhile for you to have a copy of volume 15?

CHA RMAK 15?
MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes, thank you. If we can start perhaps with -- we11, the page reference I have is page 40559.
A. Yes, that's the Garda Headquarters circular 126/10.

MR. MCHEÁL O H GG NS: The signature page is 4561, I think. There we are. So that's the document there. This is the November '05 memorandum. So if Mr. Kavanagh might scroll up to the top of that document, please. It's a three-page document. There we are. Thank you. So, about halfway down that, in the paragraph commencing "From 3rd April 2006", the following is stated:
"The Code of Practice will be adopted as the organi sational pol icy in respect of the management and use of covert human intelligence sources (CHIS) by An Garda Sí ochána. It will repl ace the current i ntelligence source management system The Code of Practice provides safeguards for all those invol ved in CHI S management, including the source."

Then, the next two paragraphs I am going to ask you to comment upon. It is stated that:
"It is i mperative that no menber should contempl ate running a CH S that is not properly regi stered in accordance with the Code of Practice. In this regard, assistant commissioners, di visional and di strict of ficers have responsi bility to ensure that this Code of Practice is strictly adhered to and that all members are familiar with its contents. Fail ure by any member to comply with the CHI S management procedures will not be tol erated in any circumstances."

Was that your understanding of mandatory requirements?
A. That's my understanding. That's exactly -- that's the position I took. It was consistent with everything I did.

286 Q. Then in the next paragraph, it continues:

[^0]authorisation by assistant commissi oner, Crime and Security, who will be the hol der of true identities. A full and detailed assessment in respect of each proposed CHIS will be prepared and will include in-depth background, risk assessment and a face-to-face 12:15 i nt er vi ew. "

Then, if we skip down to the next paragraph after that, commencing "A mi ni mum of two menbers..." do you have that
A. Yes.

287 Q. It states:
"A min ni mum of t wo menbers of An Garda Sí ochána will be i nvol ved in the handling of a CHIS, and will be present 12:15 together at all meetings with the CHIS. To facilitate the smooth transition fromthe current systemto the new system at least one of the nembers attending these meeting must be trai ned under the no programme. The transition period will end on 1st December 2006 and fromthat date only trai ned members will attend meetings with a CHIS."
A. That's correct.

288 Q. Again, does that reflect your understanding?
A. Yes, and that was one of my concerns, is that Garda Keogh, if meeting a source, was meeting him on his own and, therefore, there was no protection for him or the organisation. And the training goes along with that because training is key to it, how you deal with a
source.
289 Q. Could I ask then, if we could scroll back a few pages to the Nóirín O'Sullivan document, HQ Directive 126/10. Yes, that's it there, thank you. So if we go back up to the top of that page, please. At the top right-hand 12:16 corner we see HQ Directive 126/10. I think this is the document to which you have been making reference from time to time?
A. Yes. That's the revised Code of Practice, yes.

290 Q. Yes. And we see in the heading:
"Re: Management and use of covert humen intelligence source (CH S) Garda Code of Practice."

I am just going to ask you to comment upon, it's a short document but I think it's somewhat important. It states:
"The Covert Human Intelligence Source ( CHI S system) came into effect on 3rd April 2006 with the adoption of the Code of Practice as the organi sational policy in respect of the management and use of covert human i ntelli gence sources by An Garda Sí ochána. The system has been the subject of a number of revi ews and amendments in the meantime, as a result of which the Code of Practice has now been revi sed and amend ed to take account of the various changes."

Then this next piece:
"The revi sed Code of Practice has been pl aced on the Garda portal and should be accessed and per used by all members of An Gar da Sí ochána. "

Just for those of us who are not familiar, what is the Garda portal and who has access to it?
A. All members of An Garda Síochána and all Garda staff members have access to this, at least most of them have access to the portal, but all Garda members have access 12:17 to the portal. So when you open up the Pulse screen, the portal is what actually hits you first of all. Basically it's like an internal web page and it has a lot of links and you can search for documents, search for directives and they're all there in their entirety. 12:18 A11 directives are there in their entirety. And the Code of Practice is one of those documents. That's very easy to access.
291 Q. Looking at the next paragraph there, there's something of an imperative statement, it states: "Managers --" we11, sorry, the next paragraph reads:
"CHI S, i ncl uding compl i ance with the Code of Practice, will be a regul ar itemon district, di visional and regi onal management/accountability meetings."
A. That's correct, Chairman.

292 Q. Has that been your experience?
A. Yes. It's a high risk business, dealing with informants. Yes. Probably in my business it's -- when
you're in the operational world, every second day it becomes -- it's a discussion somewhere around CHIS or who should be a CHIS. Then the final paragraph I want to ask you to comment upon:
> "Managers and supervisors will ensure that the instructions contai ned in the Code of Practice are strictly complied with. "

That presumably is something directed at your level and management level generally?
A. Yeah. There's no latitude on this. It's a very tight -- there's very strict instructions in relation to it. As I said, there's no novel interpretations of it either.
Q. I think it's clear for everybody to see, this, in fact, is not the Code of Practice itself but it's a circular relating to it, isn't that right?
A. That's true, Chairman.

295 Q. For the policy itself, I wonder might the witness be provided with volume 7 for a moment?
A. I have it here.
Q. If we might go to -- it's my page -- I hope it's page 1812 within volume 7. It's the start of the substantive part?
A. Mine is redacted in this.

297 Q. The unredacted one is perhaps -- I think Ms. McGrath pointed out page 8067, if we might have that on the
screen. Perhaps if we can scroll back and go back to paragraph 2.1, please, Mr. Kavanagh.
A. okay.
Q. Thank you. So the heading of this is:
"Covert Human Intelligence Sources - general."

And the following is stated, chief superintendent, at paragraph 2.1:
"A fundamental point to be emphasised at the outset in rel ation to the management of Covert Human Intelligence Sources is that any person providing information to the Gardaí is not the sole responsi bility of the Garda menber who happens to be interacting with that person. That indi vidual should be vi ewed as provi di ng information to be used for the benefit of the organi sation as a whole and responsibility for the interaction, control, accountability and eval uation will impact at corporate rather than individual level." ${ }^{12: 21}$
A. Yes.
Q. So it's a corporate rather than individual level?
A. It is corporate, yeah. The management is at -- the management is with chief superintendent in the Code.
Q. Would it be fair to describe that as being a key principle of the CHIS policy?
A. It's a key principle of it, of both the policy and how it operates.
Q. If we move down to paragraph 2.3, the following is
stated:
"A lack of experi ence on the part of the Garda deal ing with the source may result in inappropriate
rel ationshi ps or a rel ationship that lacks appropriate control. It is possi ble that in such circunstances a CHI S may del i ber atel y or uni ntentionally drift into the role of agent provocateur, where he/she becomes i nvol ved in instigating an offence. "
A. Yes. That is one of the highest risks.

302 Q. Over the page then to the next paragraph, 2.4:
"A CHI S can give information sol el with the intention of el iminating a rival in the context of criminal activity."

Is that a real or practical concern?
A. That's a real concern. Motivation of someone who is an informant, especially when you're talking in the business of money, it's ever present.
303 Q. If we can scrol1 down to paragraph 2.6, please, or just above 2.6. Just above. If we can just scroll up a small bit. Just above 2.6, the following is stated, chief superintendent:
"In every case invol ving the supply of inf ormation where a menber of An Garda Sí ochána establishes a rel ati onshi p or tasks any indi vi dual, then the member must have that person referred for assessment within
the CHI S system by notifying thei r regi onal source handl ing unit."

That element of the exercise, the referral for assessment, again, is that a key component of the policy?
A. It is, because that's where those who are trained can perform an assessment and the manager or the controller can actually come along and do an valuation of everything that they have done. So, you can see where the risks are and whether it is acceptable to retain that person as a CHIS, for recommendation to go up the line to the $A C$ Crime and Security.
304 Q. Arising from that then, if we look at paragraph 2.6, the next paragraph, the policy then provides:
"With a view to addressing the potential risk and seeking to maintain integrity standards within the system this Code is based upon a number of fundament al princi pl es."

Then, if we might turn over. Can we scroll down to viii at the bottom of the next page, and get your view on this, please. viii provides:
"All Garda officers overseeing CHIS activity have a responsi bility to supervi se the admi ni stration, legality, integrity and security of operations."
A. Yes.

305 Q. From your point of view as the chief superintendent at that time in the Westmeath division, as far as you're concerned, does that create obligations for you?
A. It creates obligations on me, which are actually described with the Code of Practice. And also, as I say, any of the superintendents.
306 Q. Yes. If we can go down to paragraph 2.9, please, which is the top of the next page. This is a matter that have you already referred to in evidence with Mr. O'Brien. It says:
"The Code of Practice must be considered in its entirety and there should be no novel interpretations of indi vidual sections of it. Where there is a doubt, the views of national source management unit or the hol der of true identities should be sought."

That reference to "novel inter pretations" what do you understand at that to mean?
A. Well, I suppose anything outside the main -- the concern would be that someone is -- you can't have an interpretation that suits an individual garda. That also works both ways, for the managers as well. The managers can't allow a novel interpretation. So, if we relate it to the Garda Keogh case, that he, for some reason, thought that because he was interacting with AC Ó Cualáin that this gave him a way out, or not a way out, but excused him from actually referring the source. This policy, and we have read through some of
the sections, shows that the risks are there and that shouldn't happen. That the code has to be strictly complied with. Strictly. So, no matter what the circumstances.

In that case, even if the source was referred to the Eastern Region management unit, it might be a case that another chief superintendent manages that source. But on the ground, in terms of the interpretation, it has to be strictly applied, strictly implemented.
307 Q. You made reference yesterday in your evidence to an necessity for, according to the policy at any right, an intrusive style of supervision in respect of a handler and the CHIS relationship?
A. Yes.

308 Q. Perhaps if we can scrol1 down to paragraph 4.8, the heading "controller", I might just ask you to deal with that. So 4.8 is a few pages on. We have it up on screen there. This obviously applies to the controllers, which, in fairness, Garda Keogh is not. But it provides that:
"Controllers will be of superintendent rank or hi gher. They will arrange the appoi nt ment of at least two handlers to deal with each CH S and will adopt an intrusive style of supervision in respect of the handl er/CHIS rel ati onshi p, to ensure that it is at all times being conducted to the hi ghest professional standards. They are al so responsi ble for the
operational management of the dedi cated source handl ing unit establ ished within thei $r$ area of operation. "

From your point of view, although, am I right, you weren't a controller?
A. I wasn't a controller as a chief but $I$ was a controller previously, from 2006 to 2012.
309 Q. Yes. But that reference to a necessity for an intrusive style of supervision.
A. Yes.

310 Q. What is the Policy telling us there?
A. What's really interesting there is, it's not the rank that matters, the term is "controller". So, if you talk to anybody in the CHIS unit, they don't talk about the superintendent, they talk about controller. In other words, all control is with the controller. So every decision is with the controller. So the handlers are protected, that whatever they do has management cover on it. But very importantly, that the questions -- the controller must ask all the questions so make sure that inappropriate relationships don't occur and there is no unprofessionalism. They're entitled do that. The members know and expect those questions, because they know everybody in the area understands the risk. So it's an acceptable practice.
311 Q. Can I ask you, chief superintendent, to deal then with -- and we will pass from the Policy shortly. But if we could go on about 10 pages on, my page 1834 , to paragraph 5.1, we will get the substantive instructions
regarding referral, assessment and recruitment. It's headed Chapter 5, it's paragraph 5.14 of the document on my page 1834. Under the heading of "referral " there, the following is stated:
"Where a potential CHIS is identified, referral should take place without del ay through the local superintendent. The superintendent will contact the regi onal controller to have the necessary arrangements put in place to facilitate the assessment process. The 12:30 referring menber should onl y di scl ose the identity of the potential source to the regi onal controller or handl er appointed to carry out the assessment process."

The question $I$ have for you, chief superintendent, is: That requirement, that when a CHIS is identified or a potential CHIS is identified, the referral should take place without delay. Again, is that regarded as the key principle on the policy?
A. Yeah, because there should be no -- everything here have management oversight. Everything. And that's where the controller comes in. So it's control. Management and control.
312 Q. If we can go on to paragraph 6.1, please, a few pages on, under the heading of "registration process". Just 12:30 the first sentence there, 6.1, Mr. Kavanagh.

[^1]Again, is that a key requirement?
A. Absolutely. There's no alternative in this.

And lastly then in relation to the Code, could I ask for paragraph 7.10 to be put on the screen, it's a few pages on. This is a provision that you were referring to yourself in evidence with Mr. O'Brien. That's it there. So, 7:10 reads:
"The tasking of unregi stered sources should not be permitted under any circumstances."

Again, was that your understanding of what appears to be a mandatory requirement?
A. It's the start of the most -- the most serious risk is when you start doing tasking. That's why you should never have an unregistered source.

314 Q. In terms of the Pulse entry itself, we needn't go back to it, and it's on page 1802 if required. The source is characterised as being "al ways reliable". It is my understanding that there is a number of different -that is's there, do you see, in the top left-hand box?
A. Yes, Chairman.

315 Q. Is it correct that there is a number of different drop down entries possible?
A. Yes.
Q. I think they range from "al ways rel iable, proved rel iable, proved unrel iable and source untied"?
A. Yes.
A.
Q. Apart from the CHIS issues and the concerns for the safety of any source, if source there was, what other risks were presented by this intelligence, as you saw it?
A. There's a risk to the -- first of all, to the source, because there's a quantity of money there being mentioned that may be in the possession of only one or two people, potentially. There's the risk to -- I
think there's a risk that this is being put out there and being supplied by someone who is trying to take someone else out of the market.

Yes. When Garda Keogh gave an assurance that the substantive criminal matter was being processed through the confidential recipient process, you told us that that did not exhaust your responsibilities as chief superintendent?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

323 Q.
why not?
A. Because the Code of Practice places responsibility on me and not on AC Ó Cualáin. And I have control of Garda Keogh as the chief superintendent within the division. Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin does not.
324 Q. You have told the Tribunal that you sought directions from, as it were, your superiors?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. We might have page 1878, please, on the screen. If we just move on a few pages, $I$ am looking for the -- it's 12th December 2014 document signed by yourself.
A. Okay.
Q. I think that's it. Thank you, Mr. Kavanagh. So, in the second paragraph there, and this is a December '14 letter up to the assistant commissioner Eastern Region, who is that?
A. Assistant Commissioner Fintan Fanning.

327 Q. December '14, you're writing to him and we have seen this document before. In the second paragraph, you have asked the question -- you have made the statement,
the last sentence:
"This is an essential ingredi ent to establish whether or not this is untested, uncorroborated and potentially i naccur ate inf or mation. "

Was that a potential concern arising from the placing of this entry on Pulse? Do you see that there?
A. Yeah, I do. Just give me a second. What I am saying is, I can't talk to the guard. He's not talking to me. 12:37 So I can't, I can't do an evaluation of the information and the source. So I can't get to the bottom of it.
328 Q. Yes. You mentioned yesterday and again with Mr. O'brien, you used the word "dilemma", that you fe1t you were placed in as a result of the intelligence
record being placed on Pulse. I think it's the case that at some point in July '14, Garda A made a complaint, which was something you also had to deal with?
A. Yes, Chairman.

329 Q. We might just have that very briefly. Page 1163, I think is a reasonable copy of the complaint made. I think this is Garda A's memo raising an objection or complaint, for want of a better word, about the Pulse. Again, we have seen this document before. Do you see the last paragraph there:

[^2]fashi on. If the situation is not resol ved, I will be forced to take legal advi ce on the matter, whi ch is causing me great upset."

From the organisation's point of view, was that a risk for management?
A. Well, there was a concern, potentially -- first of all, Garda A is saying he is the focus of the investigations, but I don't know that. So that's the problem that $I$ face there. But also, if he is, then he 12:38 may -- there's a civil aspect to that, he may go off and pursue some complaint in relation to data protection or, you know, some issue. That's what we thought at the time. So, it posed an additional layer of concern and it prompted correspondence up to assistant commissioner, ODSP, organisation development and strategic planning, to try and see if we could deal with it from that point of view, data protection, and with civil being present in that as well.
330 Q. Yes. Could I ask you to look at page 8342. This is in 12:39 the context of evidence you gave yesterday to Ms. McGrath in her questioning, where you made efforts to, as it were, get some help in relation to this dilemma from superior officers. This is, I think, a document you have authored again to assistant commissioner Eastern Region?
A. That's correct.

331 Q. Sorry, it's copied to the assistant commissioner Eastern Region but it's a letter to assistant
commissioner, organisation development and strategic planning.
A. That's right, yeah.
Q. It carries a date of the 19th May but I'm not sure --
A. It's 10th September, is the correct date.
Q. This is one of the documents that are misdated?
A. Yes.

334 Q. If we just look at that for a moment. You outline something of the dilemma where you say -- in the second paragraph you refer to Garda A's concerns regarding the 12:40 intelligence created by Garda Keogh and the fact that this intelligence has been the subject of discussion in the station.
"Garda A has al so stated that it has on occasion resulted in himbeing the subject of unf avourable comment."

Then it goes on in the next paragraph:
"Garda A states that he does not bel i eve the intelligence systemis the for umfor members to make scurrilous, slanderous and unfounded allegations agai nst ot her members in order to settle personal gri evances. "

That's a quotation.

[^3]will be forced to take legal advice on the matter."

Then you outline the background in the next paragraph.
"This is set agai nst the backdrop of an inquiry being conducted by Assi stant Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái $n$ into certain allegations made by Garda Keogh through the confidential reci pient. Garda Keogh was named publicly in the Dáil and as a result nost menbers attached to Athl one Garda Station are aware that this investigation is ongoing in respect of matters alleged by Garda Keogh agai nst D/ Garda A. Some of these members have been i nt er vi ewed by Assi stant Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái n's i nvestigation teambut it is not known when this will
be concl uded. "

Can I ask you about that? Arising from the somewhat unusual situation here, where, in common parlance, a whistleblower has made allegations, which absolutely have to be investigated, but also appears on one reading of matters, and it's a matter for the Chairman ultimately, but appears to have done something perhaps unacceptable, posting these matters up on Pulse.
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. As far as you're concerned, was that a situation of complexity or of difficulty that presented challenges for you in management?
A. It did, because Garda Keogh wasn't giving anything -he more or less shutdown -- first of all, he wasn't
prepared to talk to us when he was talking to Inspector Farrell. Then the judge had put a restriction, that's why I took that conversation from Superintendent walsh, put a restriction on me talking to him about the intelligence. Then we had Garda A here, who he believes, but I don't know, he is the person that is the subject of it. The complexity then goes into, how does the organisation deal with that? Assistant Commissioner o'mahony had previously done a review, a review that required a hold on it until the investigation was complete. So that was done in June. So, I suppose that was one of the many issues. I mean, there was a lot of discussion around this. That's where we ended up in our meeting then in December.
336 Q. Yes. I think just in terms of the timeline, these dilemmas, if that's the correct word, appeared to have continued on the burner, as it were, because, if you look at page 8360, we have a memo again from you to assistant commissioner Eastern Region in October of 2014. Page 8360. Do you recognise this document?
A. Yes, I do actually.
Q. I think we had it yesterday in evidence.
A. Yes. It's quite an important document.

338 Q. I am just going to ask you to deal with the last paragraph of the first page, commencing "owi ng to the vacuunt do you see that yeah?
A. Yes.

339 Q. You state there in this memorandum:
"Owing to the vacuum of inf ormation that is created as a result of this investigation being conducted in accordance with the CR mechanism l am not in a position to confirmthat this aspect of the file is bei $n g$ addressed as part of the ongoing investigation bei ng conducted by AC Ó Cual ái n. "

I just wanted to ask you to deal with that. Does that correctly characterise your view of matters then, there was a vacuum of information available to you?
A. I was getting no confirmation that the intelligence that was on Pulse was to be investigated or not. That's really where $I$ am at there. There's nothing coming to me by way of confirmation from the ó Cualáin side as to exactly what was to be investigated. I wasn't even looking to know what he was doing. I wanted him to relieve me of the responsibility of investigation in relation to the item on Pulse.
340 Q. Those are the questions $I$ have for you in relation to the intelligence item. Could we move to --
A. At the very end, $I$ think the end of that document, $I$ don't know if it's on it.

341 Q. Yes.
A. I think I talked about the dilemma in relation to 126 as well.

342 Q. You do, yes. CHAI RMAN Yes.

343 Q. MR. MCHEÁL O H GG NS: That reads:
"Accordingly, I wel come the vi ews of AC Ó Cual ái $n$ as to how this matter might best be addressed so that the terns of HQ Directive 126/10 might be met in this i nst ance. "
A. Yes.

344 Q. That was a concern that you continued to have in October 2014?
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. Sorry, what it says is "in this uni que instance"?
A. Yes.

346 Q. Just then to move matters on. Can we move to issue 3 then, the Olivia O'Neill matter? we might have up on screen page 1888, please. Garda Keogh, chief superintendent, is saying or has said that management of An Garda Síochána, if I understand this part of the allegation correctly, effectively sought to procure a complaint about him from Olivia O'Neill. All right. Isn't that part of one of the allegations that management was facing?
A. Yes, that's what he said.

347 Q. As far as you're concerned, as we look at this document, and, in fact, what I'm actually looking for is the statement provided by Garda Stephanie Treacy, which might be a page on. Yes, that's it there.
A. Okay.

We might just look at this for a moment. So this is Garda Stephanie Treacy's actual report of the conversation she indicated she had with Olivia O'Neill at the relevant date. It's headed "statement of

Cheyanne O' Nei II, 28th May 2014"?
A. Yes.
Q. If you see at the bottom of it, it's indicating that Garda Treacy appears to be relaying -- sorry, she's reporting not just a suggestion of alleged coaching, but ahead of that she's relaying an allegation that Ms. O'Neill is said to have made, that her and her daughter Cheyanne --
CHA RMAN I think it's pretty clear what it's saying, Mr. O'Higgins.
MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes. Sorry, Chairman. Just insofar as it was suggested that really the only focus was on coaching.
A. Yes.

350 Q. I mean, the Chairman can see the thing there
A. Yes.

CHAN RMAN That's right.
351 Q. MR. MC CHEÁL O H GG NS: The report was of very significant corruption?
A. That was my primary concern, and that's what the information divulged. The instruction was about dealing with that. The coaching is a secondary issue.

352 Q. Yes?
A. So, Olivia O'Neill wasn't invited to make a statement in relation to that, from the documentation I read. So 12:48 that's why I asked for that to be sent to her, for a statement to be taken.

353 Q. Yes. In fairness to Garda Keogh, he has stated on record and on the transcript and, if needs be, we can
mention it, it's Day 100, page 43, lines 20-23, and also the same day, page 50. It's probably not necessary to put it up, but he has confirmed his position that there was nothing in Garda Treacy reporting that up the line?
A. Yes, I think I recall that.

CHA RMAN Yes.
354 Q. MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: So that having been reported up the line, can you just assist, and perhaps it's seeking the answer to an obvious question, but from your perspective, was it reasonable for steps to be taken to at least investigate these serious matters?
A. I had no choice, I was duty bound to pursue the insinuation that Olivia O'Neill had information relating to Garda corruption. The superintendent and myself were of a similar view, we have to pursue that. But it was nearly a technical thing, she was asked to go to GSOC or told to go to GSOC, but she wasn't invited to make a statement directly to the guards, which she could have done.
355 Q. Can I ask you, this is a point made by Noreen McBrien: Is there a difference as far as you're concerned between Gardaí seeking a statement from Olivia O'Neill and Gardaí procuring, as is alleged, procuring a complaint from her?
A. Well, what $I$ was asking for was the information, a statement to be taken in relation to criminality. If the interaction with Garda Keogh came up in that, fine. But number one is criminality. The Garda Keogh thing,
it wasn't about Garda Keogh, to me it was about, number one, and total primacy, was the information on corruption that she may have had or hadn't. So that needed to be bottomed out. That conversation she had with Garda Keogh would perhaps come up in that conversation with her. All I am looking for is the facts. That's it.
356 Q. Moving on to the next issue, that of Liam McHugh. I think it's the case that this issue also arose as a result of a civilian making a report of some sort?
A. well, he had a conversation and the report then was to Garda Lyons.
357 Q. Having words with the guards?
A. Yes.

358 Q. As alleged.
A. Yes.

359 Q. And we know this is said to have happened on 31st May '14?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

360 Q. We have seen the Garda Aidan Lyons report that was sent 12:51 to Sergeant Curley. we might have page 1897. we can do this quickly, because we have seen this repeatedly. Perhaps just move on a small bit there, again we may have the wrong page, but it's the Garda Aidan Lyons report. That's it there. The third paragraph has put ${ }_{12: 51}$ in quotation marks what it is said the civilian, Mr. McHugh, said to Garda Lyons. We have seen and we have heard already that Noreen McBrien formed an assessment in favour of Garda Keogh's position, as
appears from the memorandum that we have already seen earlier today and yesterday. She had met Garda Keogh, isn't that right, and discussed with him on the 19th July, where he confirmed that he knew nothing about that at all?
A. It could have been June actually, that one. But anyway, he had a conversation with her and said that it didn't happen. And he was upset. I think he was upset.
361 Q And she concluded with the fact that:
"His assertion that he had not been in contact with Li am MEHugh is consistent with his conversation with me on the 9th June 2014."
A. Yeah, that's the time. Yeah, that's right.
Q. Was that view informed by the fact that Mr. McHugh declined when asked to provide a statement?
A. No, I think it was -- there was no evidence from McHugh. McHugh in this information wasn't a witness to anything, according to this. He was just repeating something Garda Keogh said. So Garda keogh was the primary person to talk to in terms of -- sorry, McHugh was a primary in terms of finding any potential criminality, but then after that it was Garda Keogh.
364 Q. When enquiries were made on foot of this communication being sent, as we see on the screen, did those
enquiries corroborate any case of criminality at all?
A. No.
Q. Now, we are aware, the Tribunal is aware that Garda Keogh pursued a complaint of bullying and harassment against you personally, isn't that so?
A. That's true, Chairman.

368 Q. And other Garda officers, isn't that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.

369 Q. Can you indicate when was the first that you became aware that a bullying complaint had been made against you?
A. My first knowledge was, $I$ was in the offices of corporate affairs, which is in the Commissioner's office, the Chairperson of GSOC wrote to the Minister advising the Commissioner that because I had signed a

Section 41 report that there was -- I think the words used was, a shocking display, or something, that I shouldn't be dealing with correspondence relating to Garda Keogh. So that's the first time I had heard anything in relation to it.
A. So I knew nothing about bullying. I never even -- I heard bullying being mentioned and I didn't think it was me in any way, shape or form. Then the next thing I heard about was when Commissioner Fanning wrote -- he 12:55 had appointed Mick Finn. I think Commissioner Fanning wrote to us in November of '17 and then Commissioner Finn was in contact with me around December, I think I received the allegation on paper in around January of '18.

371 Q. I see. So, if we could have up on screen, please, I hope it's page 4219, from Volume 14, which is a document again we have seen before but it's the Mullingar Park Hotel meeting between Garda Keogh, his solicitor, Assistant Commissioner Michael Finn and his team, Chief Superintendent Myers, Inspector Annette Browne. We have it there. Could I ask you to look at the bottom of the second page, in the middle. That's it there. Just above, Garda Keogh is saying:
"Pat Murray."

He is being asked against whom he is making the complaint.
"Garda Keogh: Pat Murray. That's crystal clear. Mark Curran, yes. I'mnot making a complaint agai nst Noreen MEBrien and Lorrai ne Wheatley. I've an issue with John Scanl an because the complaint went missing."

Then he is pressed a little bit:
"So agai nst Pat Murray and Mark Curran?"

And then he says:
"My mind is open to Mark Curran. I thought it was him because he was friends with Ai dan Glacken. I knowit's coming fromthe chi ef's office but l'mnot sure who is doing it."

Then if you turn over the page, please, towards the bottom of the next page. Again, AC Finn asked the question:
"I need to be clear whoml need to approach and i nvesti gate. "

Do you see that there? It is on the screen.
A. Yes.

372 Q. "I aminvesti gating bullying and har assment agai nst persons. I need to know who the compl ai nt is being made agai nst."

Mr. Cullen says:
"There's lots of documents and it's in there."

AC Finn says:
"I need to know the peopl e."

Then Garda Keogh says:
"Superintendent Pat Murray is one. I'm not sure about Mark Curran but my mindis open. When he left, Lorrai ne Wheatl ey came and she's sound. That sergeant was there all the time and he's friends on Facebook with A."

If that is the basis upon which you are being brought into the bullying complaint, could I just ask you, looking at it now, how do you feel about that. It is for the Chairman to determine how strong or flimsy or otherwise this is.
A. It's upsetting, to be honest with you. It's very upsetting to be in this situation.
373 Q. And to be involved in a Tribunal of Inquiry arising from the same matter it seems.
A. Well, it's over a long period of time.

374 Q. Finally, chief superintendent, can I ask you this: It's been suggested that you participated in a campaign
of deliberate discrediting and targeting of a member of An Garda Síochána. Just tell the Chairman, what's your position on that?
A. It's not true. I wouldn't target anybody. I didn't target Garda Keogh. I wouldn't do it. It's not in my nature to do it. I wouldn't discredit him. It's not in the values of the organisation which $I$ believe in. I can only say that Garda Keogh is very misguided in the way he views me or a lot of the people here.
375 Q. Thank you very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAN RMAN Ms. McGrath.
MS. MEGRATH Chairman, I will be very short, if the Tribunal wants to continue.

CHA RMAN If everyone is happy. Are you happy to proceed? If that is convenient for you, Ms. McGrath, then we will proceed and we will finish.
MS. MEGRATH Yes, Chairman.

CH EF SUPERI NTENDENT MARK CURRAN MAS RE- EXAM NED BY ME. MEGRATH, AS FOLLOVE:

376 Q. MS. MLGRATH Chief superintendent, I want to just, before I start, I want to deal with some issues from this morning but just one in relation to yesterday, if I can ask you about it. If the transcript could come up of 117 , which is yesterday's transcript, at page 18.

CHA RMAN Page 18 of yesterday's?
MS. MEGRATH of 17, it's line 5.
CHA RMAN Have you got a copy of this, a hard copy of this?

WTNESS: I don't have a hard copy. I'm okay for the moment.

CHA RMAN Okay. If you need a hard copy, I will give you one.

WTNESS: Sure.
MS. MtGRATH Chief superintendent, I had put a question to you. It's line 5, Mr. Kavanagh, sorry, at the top. I just want to look at your answer there, but the nature of the question was, we were talking about the meeting that Garda Keogh had on the night of the 9th May with Inspector Farre11. It was put to you it hadn't been a particularly positive meeting with Inspector Farre11. You see that there. And you said:
"To some extent, yes, but l think if I was to really rational ise it, there was anti-management things going for him you know, at that time."

Now, it's my error there, $I$ didn't go on to ask you what you meant by that. I just want to ask you this morning and give you an opportunity to say, what did you mean by that "anti-management thi ngs going on for hi m"?
A. I had a conversation with Inspector Farre11 after that meeting and he had the impression that Garda Keogh --
he mentioned words "ticked the box". So there was an impression from Inspector Farrell that there was certain circumspection, I suppose, around the motives of Inspector Farrell at that time. specific comment you made there as opposed to an over a11...
A. No, that's what I gleaned from Inspector Farrell's view of Garda Keogh.

Did Inspector Farre11 te11 you there was an anti-management position being taken in respect of Garda Keogh?
A. No, I think he actually said that he felt there was an issue with him personally.
Now, just in relation to this morning, there's just a couple of brief things $I$ just want to ask you about. Just at the very start of your cross-examination by Mr. O'brien, you were asked about whether or not you might have sat down with Garda Keogh, and I am paraphrasing there, at the very outset. And your answer, and I think is at page 721 of this morning, you say:
"I don't thi nk it would have made a difference to us bei $n g$ here today."

Can I just ask you and give you an opportunity to say what you mean by that?
A. Well, I'm saying it in the position now. But in
terms -- I say that in the context of having considered this several times. Would it have made a difference had he not -- would he have made an allegation against me had I met him? That's what I meant. And at this point I don't think it would have met a difference had I met him. But had I met him, it would have been for welfare concerns anyway, but as you know, complications then arose afterwards.
A. I'd have to think on that very carefully before I would answer. But I would say, would he have made a bullying complaint against me had I met him and maybe got a sense that $I$ was bona fide in relation to him. I'm not sure that I wouldn't be here still. I know Chief Superintendent wheatley met him and she's here.

382 Q. Is it your view that Garda Keogh was on a certain course and so be it, is that right?
A. I don't know if I want to answer that. I would have to think about the implications of an answer like that, to 13:04 be honest with you.

383 Q. Okay. Can I ask you then, just continuing on I think still in respect of Mr. O'Brien's questioning. He was asking you about the intelligence entry and the date in question, he was looking at a period of 18th May 2014. And you said to him:

[^4]bi ggest issue for пе."

I think in fairness to you, is that your position from the immediate outset on the 18th May?
A. That's the immediate, that's what jumps out, do $I$ have to investigate? Is there criminality that I have to investigate or have someone investigate, or appoint someone to investigate?
I think over the last now day and a half, it took over six months, as you said this morning, and over 50 pieces of correspondence to find some sort of answer to that question. What's your view on that?
A. Em, it's just a very complicated situation because in the intelligence system -- in the normal source of events, had the intelligence item not been put in there ${ }_{\text {13:06 }}$ in the first place, a lot of this wouldn't have been -the paper wouldn't have happened.
385 Q. But I think the question I have asked you is: What's your view on the duration it took, the level of correspondence involved? Do you have a view on that? ${ }_{\text {13:06 }}$
A. Well --

386 Q. Was that reasonable, understandable?
A. It's complex. You know, I suppose in terms of -- if you are talking about criminality, at least it was being investigated straightaway by Assistant
Commissioner ó Cualáin. In terms of the HQ 126/10, that took some time to resolve itself. But that was only after four months or I think from May to September was the final correspondence I had with Garda Keogh.

After that, it took three months, I think it was September or December then I got something from Mulcahy.
387 Q. I just want to clarify something with you about during the six-month period that we're talking about. We have 13:07 seen the letter many times, page 165, that's the 26th September 2016. This is Garda Keogh's handwritten letter, where he is asking for, for example, what part of Directive 126/10 applies, etcetera.
A. Yes.

388 Q. I am paraphrasing, but that's is the letter, it's at page 165. He is saying in that letter -- we will just wait for it to come up there. And apologies for opening this again, I know it's been opened a times?
CHAN RMAN It's all right.
389 Q. ME. MtGRATH If you go down a couple of lines, there's a reference by Garda Keogh, he's telling the superintendent that the matter is directly linked to the investigation at this stage, at least as it could jeopardise the said investigation. Can I just ask you, 13:07 bearing in mind what he has just said, my Friend Mr. O'Higgins has opened in some detail the CHIS code to you, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

390 Q. With regard to how CHIS would work --
A. Yes.

391 Q. -- hand in hand with the criminal investigation that was going on, or whether it could work hand in hand -A. Okay.

392 Q. -- with the criminal investigation. Can I just ask you about that? You were looking for the source to be referred. Can I ask you, it would appear from the CHIS document that the member does not have to tell the superintendent the identity?
A. That's correct.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

396 Q. From a divisional leve1 then, can I ask you, would you then know the source?
A. What I would have done in that case is, and as I said earlier on, I would have transferred that source to another chief superintendent. And that happens frequently enough.

397 Q. But I suppose I am asking you, would the name have come to you?
A. No. I wouldn't want to know. If it was anything to do with the ó Cualáin investigation, I wouldn't want to know. I would send that to someone else and another unit would run that.

398 Q. okay.
A. That's the protection in the system.

399 Q. Did you think through how all this would work on a practical level, in the sense that if a source was referred? As I say, my Friend opened the document, it's a very complex and detailed manner of dealing with the source and what the source can and cannot say, what 13:09 the handler can and cannot do?
A. Yeah.
Q. As you said, it's a very intrusive style of supervision and it's very strictly controlled?
A. Yes.

401 Q. How was that going to be compatible with what the Ó Cualáin investigation was doing?
A. I'm not following you there.

402 Q. In the sense that we know that the Ó Cualáin investigation was dealing with the source. was there going to be a sharing of duty? How was this all going to work in practical terms?
A. You see, the ó Cualáin investigation, if there was source the ó Cualáin investigation would not be dealing with that source. They'd interact but they wouldn't manage the source. So the source management unit do that with a controller. It's a specialist unit. They only deal with that type of stuff. Nothing else. Only informants. So the Ó Cualáin investigation would feed in. So if that source was providing information, it would feed information. The source would feed information in to the national unit and then there's an assessment of the intelligence and then it's disseminated. So it's centrally controlled.
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Q. We know that Garda Keogh has said, I had referred the source to the Ó Cualáin investigation --
A. No, that's not a referral.

407 Q. We11, not a referral, sorry, that's the wrong word. He had given the details of the source.
A. Yes. But the ó Cualáin investigation had no responsibility on it. That's my point all along.
408 Q. But the ó Cualáin investigation was meeting with the source?
A. They may have met him, but they may be going to and from and not -- so the source could be interacting with the garda outside of their view and that's where the risk is. And the risk, the risk is with me. It's the chief is the person who has to form a view, with the superintendent.
409 Q. Did you consider whether this source was a potential witness in a criminal investigation and how that might affect your --
A. No, I did consider that, and that's why you will see: Are we compliant with $126 / 10$ ?

410 Q. So is it your view that it all could have gone ahead in a compatible manner, the referral process, the
evaluation process and yet at the same time the ó Cualáin investigation continuing unhindered?
A. Absolutely.

411 Q. So what's your view then on the statement of Garda Keogh in the letter, that it could jeopardise the said investigation?
A. Well, you see, what happens there is -- I don't know why it would jeopardise it. I know he might have concerns I understand, I know, from his view at that time, for some reason, and not known to me. But if
it's done right, the system works very well. They feed the information. It's not an issue for ó Cualáin. It wouldn't jeopardise the investigation. As a matter of fact, it would actually protect the integrity of the investigation by doing a proper evaluation of the source.

Was this explained to Garda Keogh?
A. No, it wasn't. All he to do was be compliant with $126 / 10$, the rest of it looks after itself then.
413 Q. I suppose what I am saying to you, he is expressing his concerns, as you say he had the view it might jeopardise the investigation, did anyone put him at ease in this regard?
A. But you see, $126 / 10$, once there's compliance -- and if there's a witness then there's no issue here, but if there's 126/10 compliance, then we have to go through that and implement that the way the Code of Practice is meant to be implemented.
414 Q. Thank you, chief superintendent. Can I just move on,
again just a couple of other matters in relation to this morning. Mr. O'Brien asked you about the phone ca11 in respect of Detective Superintendent Mulcahy on 26th June 2014?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

You were asked did you have any notes of this conversation and you said you didn't make any note. Can I just ask you, did you maintain journals or diaries your period as divisional officer in Westmeath?
A. My journals would mainly have -- nearly all my journals contains all the extensions and legal authorisations. If I have meetings they're minuted and if I do an action, $I$ commit it to writing.
416 Q. Were the journals given --
A. No, I don't have a journal about that, no.

417 Q. So you don't have journal or diaries?
A. As much as possible I commit it in writing. That's where you see all -- anything there is -- I don't do an index or a timeline of what happens every day. But it's there in record.

418 Q. Did you keep notes of telephone conversations in your role as divisional officer?
A. No.

419 Q. Can I ask you this in respect of the Olivia O'Neill matter: One of the things that you repeated this morning to Mr. O'Brien and throughout the morning, you say one of your main concerns was that she would have been given an opportunity to make a statement in respect of alleged Garda corruption; isn't that right?
A. This is Olivia O'Neill?

420 Q. Ms. O'Neill, yes.
A. Yes.

421 Q. You repeat it again, you say, and this is at page 46 this morning, line 27:
"To make sure that Oi via O Neill was to be given the opportunity to report to us. It is not just going to GSOC. So that was the bit that jumped at re."
A. Mm-hmm. Yes. That was the piece that was missing. You know, she was told she could go to GSOC, but she wasn't told she could make a complaint to us in relation to corruption.
422 Q. We11, can I just ask you to look at the statement of Garda Stephanie Treacy, which is at page 476. Can I ask you to look at line 114 , there at the end?
A. Sure.

423 Q. She says:
"My recollection is that Inspector Farrell advi sed that 13:15 Ol ivia O Neill could make a statement to Gardaí in At hl one or could make a compl ai nt to the garda Onbudsman Commíssion. If Oivia O Neill did wi sh to make a statement in rel ation to the conduct of Gardaí, my bel i ef is that 1 would not be taking the statement, 13:16 that someone of a hi gher rank woul d have taken that st at ement."

So I think she is saying there that in fact she was
asked that night did she wish to make a statement to the superintendent in Athlone?
A. I think it's, advice is received, is what's actually mentioned in Farrell's statement, if I'm right. But I'm just saying, this is Garda Stephanie Treacy -- 13:16
A. Yeah, but that's her recollection, you know. That's her belief. I am just going on what comes to me in terms of, you know, the report from Inspector Farrell.
If you look at Inspector Farrell's report, at page 653.
And again, I am just asking you, we will deal with this in with Inspector Farrell, but it was just arising from the evidence you gave this morning. On the first paragraph, the last three lines there:
"That she should al so be made aware of the options available to her in respect of bringing her concerns to the superintendent at Athl one and/ or the Garda Ontbudsnan Commi ssi on. "
A. Yeah, but she wasn't invited to make a statement in relation to the criminal allegations.
We11, the reference to the superintendent and the Garda Ombudsman Commission, would that suggest to you -- did you read that that she had actually been given that opportunity?
A. No, she wasn't then invited to make statement, you
know. It was just a piece that needed to be done to close it off.

427 Q. Okay. So, as I say, we can clarify that with Inspector Farrell. Just one or two small matters. In relation
to the Liam McHugh incident, both yesterday and this morning you told the Chairman that you accepted Garda Keogh's position that the incident didn't happen, is that right?
A. Yes. Well, that's what I believe, you know, when I look at his assurances, I have gone through all that, yeah, so I accepted Garda Keogh's account.
Q. okay. This morning, at page 50, line 14-15, you say:
"He is saying he hasn't met MEHugh in three months, so if it didn't happen, there's no other guards to go to."

The following page, page 51,23 to 24 , you say:
"Garda Keogh is saying it di dn't happen, so I am accepting it di dn't happen at that time."

I think that's your evidence to the Chairman.
A. Yes.

429 Q. Can I ask you, in your statement to the Tribunal did
A. No. But I think the allegation was did I send something to McHugh, that was the issue, to ground a discipline complaint. which was never the case.
430 Q. When you were meeting with the Tribunal investigators, did you set out this position?
A. No, but I understood that -- if you look at it, from the outset he was saying that there was an attempt here to start a discipline process. There was never such a
thing.
Can I ask you, Day 106, when Garda Keogh as being cross-examined by Mr. Murphy, and at page 68. Day 106, page 68. So it's 68, you see at the top of the page there, actually in the previous page, page 67 , the answer -- what is happening here is, Garda Keogh -sorry, at the very end of the page, page 23 onwards, that answer from Garda Keogh.
"Agai n, like the previ ous two or three matters, Judge, on the first round, first round, fair enough, he' d be entitled, yes, of course the chi ef is entitled to carry out these enquiries..."

Now this is in relation to the Liam McHugh issue.
"But equally, the way this is done, they do the di gging on this bef ore they even put the allegation to me and the way even it's done, where l don't get to see the author of the report, whi ch is Garda Lyons, I don't find that out until years afterwards. Judge, the whole way this is dealt with is not normal Garda policy. It's not the way thi ngs are normally dealt with and l will di spute -- I amstanding my ground fully on this one, Judge. "

Then Mr. Murphy puts it to Garda Keogh:
"Q. You see, Garda Keogh, I suggest to you that you are
wrong and that this will fit into a number of your ot her compl ai nts. Because here we have an example of i nf ormati on coming to the Gardaí, a query being rai sed and the matter being investigated and finalised. You seemto have a problemin some cases with matters being finalised, like crime files, for example, which we will come to later on. But l have to suggest to you, all that occurred here was standard, normal policing. A. No.
Q. And the matter ended at that point."

Now, a couple of pages on, the Chairman is putting some questions to seek to clarify matters with Garda Keogh. Page 75 , at line 9 , the Chairman says:
"There's the debate. Mr. Murphy says they went and tried to get a statement from Mr. MLHugh, he woul dn't gi ve them a statement and that's the end of the matter, they coul dn't progress any further. That's the case he is putting to you?

Nobody corrected the Chairman otherwise. Can I say, it wasn't put to Garda Keogh that the reason everything came to an end was because his position was accepted?
A. He was told by Superintendent McBrien there was no investigation. She was interacting with him and she had taken notes and then he supplied the fact, he said, I haven't met him in three months. And that was the end of it from my point of view. .
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ME. MEGRATH Can I ask you to clarify whether you have ever stated before that you accept Garda Keogh's position on this matter, before yesterday?
A. Well, you see, that's an interesting point, because
it's inferred that that vacuum caused problems. If there was some -- and there was an attempt here to ground discipline. If discipline was to be pursued, there would be a notification very promptly. And there's a process there that has all the safeguards in it, it has been tried and tested in the courts, and discipline process. Someone goes off -- I appoint someone, someone goes off and deals with it. That never happened.
I'm sorry, I am not understanding, chief superintendent. Your reference to the discipline process, how does that come into the equation?
A. Well I am throwing it back to Garda Keogh's original complaint. So he's saying this was an attempt to actually go off and start a discipline process. So he is saying now it doesn't happen. Right. So I am accepting that. Because no discipline happened, he was saying, well, there was intent to get discipline.
435 Q. CHA RMAN If I understand, Ms. McGrath is saying, okay, so, we now know from your evidence that you accepted what Garda Keogh said?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}$.

436 Q. CHA RMAN I'm understanding counsel's query to be: Did anybody te11 him that? To say, okay, that's the end of that?
A. Yeah. I can't -- I understood that Superintendent McBrien in her conversations with him said there was no investigation. There was no attempt to ground discipline, $I$ don't use those words.

CHA RMAN I understand.

437 Q.
MS. MEGRATH I suppose I just really want to get a very straight answer in respect of this. Did you ever say it to him?
A. No, I didn't, no, I just left it at that. I let it rest.

Can I ask you then, we seem to have now reached a position where it would appear that Mr. McHugh has made a false report, do you accept or dispute that?
A. I don't think he made any false report. He had a conversation and it was reported unknowns to him.

439 Q. Had a conversation with whom, chief superintendent?
A. With Garda Lyons.

440 Q. And in that conversation --
A. You see, he didn't actually make a complaint or a report. He had a conversation and he was asked did it happen and he said no, not at a11. Not at a11. oh yes, did it happen, no, not at al1. I don't want to get myself into trouble, or something like that. I don't know what -- whatever he was -- I can put a report. His he has a conversation and then Garda Lyons reports it.
441 Q. Can I say, you have told the Chairman that you were satisfied this conversation didn't happen, so therefore 13:26 did you then have a concern as to what Liam McHugh was is doing?
A. Well he hasn't made a complaint or a report, it's a comment.

442 Q. I can't put that any further. Thank you, chief superintendent. I don't have any further questions? CHA RMAN Just to follow up on that.
A. Sure.

443 Q. CHA RMAN Suppose it were to be suggested, well, that's okay, McHugh won't make a statement, that's in effect the end of that. And if Olivia O'Neill won't make a statement, that's the end of that. But is there hanging in the ether, is there hanging in the air a sort of notion that Garda Keogh is drumming up complaints against the guards? Do you know what I mean? If olivia O'Neill is to be believed and if Liam McHugh is to be believed, somebody is saying something?
A. Well, Garda Keogh knows that these people haven't made statements.

444 Q. CHA RMAN Yes.
A. So that's for him. I think with the controversy that came around being publicly named, there was obvious7y some concern when you hear that -- one of the interpretations, there's about six of them I think, of the McHugh one, is that he could have been doing that. To me it wasn't automatically that at all. Was it hanging in the air? Some people may have had that view.
445 Q. CHAN RMAN okay.
A. It wasn't really mine.

446 Q. CHA RMAN One further thing: In relation to the CHIS protocols and the operation of an informant?
A. Yes. CHA RMAN In the ordinary way and in a, how do I say this, I'm trying to be as careful as possible, in a perfect world -- sorry, if the rules were to be observed?
A. Yes.

448 Q. CHA RMAN Am I understanding that if an informant presents himself or herself, the procedure, the proper procedure, not necessarily always followed, but the proper procedure would be that in advance that would be notified. That that would be, if I was the guard and somebody approaches me?
A. Yeah.

449 Q. CHA RMAN Would I go and get this registered first?
A. No. You see, he can't register. The registration only happens after the source unit do the evaluation.
450 Q. CHA RMAN So in the ideal world?
A. Yes.

451 Q. CHA RMAN Or, I should say, in a world where the protocols were being followed?
A. okay.

452 Q. CHA RMAN And I understand what you say, this is a precise and important thing, what would happen? A guard becomes aware of an informant?
A. Yes.

453 Q. CHA RMAN Or a potential informant having information? 13:29
A. Yes.

454 Q. CHA RMAN Now what happens?
A. He gets -- he goes and he reports --
Q. CHA RMAN Lean forward.
A. I beg your pardon, Chairman. He can go forward to his supervisor or superintendent or inspector. They then make an arrangement, they get on to the controller, who then sends out a handler to talk to the source. Handlers, rather, to talk to the source. That's how it 13:29 works. Somebody who comes along who is an informant, there's a number of reasons why you wouldn't necessarily -- if it's just a public spirited citizen, you don't necessarily --
CHA RMAN Yes, I understand that. And that's one of the issues, $I$ know, as to whether it applies and so on.
A. A lot of the work they do is just evaluations before it ever gets to registration.
457 Q. CHAI RMAN okay. Does the person give to the information to the controllers?
A. No, to handlers. A Controller can be part of that conversation, it's up to the controller. But the handlers do all that and everything is recorded.

458 Q. CHA RMAN So if I am the original garda who gets the information.
A. Yes, you're gone.

459 Q. CHA RMAN I'm sidelined?
A. You're gone.

460 Q. CHA RMAN I'm sidelined, not so to speak, I am literally sidelined?
A. You're gone. You're removed from the interactions.

461 Q. CHAN RMAN Thanks very much. Thank you very much.
A. Thank you, Chairman.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Are we finished with Chief Superintendent Curran?
MG. MEGRATH Yes, thank you very much.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. okay. Very good.
MG. MEGRATH Chairman, I think you mentioned yesterday
that we were adjourning to Tuesday morning with Ms. O'Neill I think, the 26th of November.
CHA RMAN Yes. We are not sitting on the first day, on the Monday. You can stand down.
WTNESS: Thank you.
CHA RMAN So the on the Tuesday and we will resume with Ms. O'Neill, okay. okay. Thanks very much.
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| 46:4 | $61: 23,73: 7,$ | common [4] - | complying [1] - | -7:13, 8:16, | contained [3] - |
| civic [1] - 46:4 <br> civil [2] - 92:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 10,73: 16 \\ & 96: 14,104: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 29,58: 7,72: 6, \\ & 94: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 22 \\ & \text { component [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:14, 17:2, 17:3, } \\ & \text { 20:1, 21:17, } \end{aligned}$ | 23:14, 50:19, |
| 92:19 | 121:3 | 94:18 communica | component [1] - 83:5 | 22:12, 22:21, | 80:8 |
| civilian [2] - | commence [1] - | $\mathbf{n}[1]-101: 28$ | comprehensiv | 22:22, 23:6, 23:7, | $14: 23,116: 11$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:10, 100:26 } \\ & \text { claims [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 6:23 <br> commencing [3] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { compared [1] - } \\ & 58: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e[1]-35:10 } \\ & \text { comprises [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:18, 26:7, } \\ & 58: 19,59: 2,90: 6, \end{aligned}$ | contemplate [1] - 76:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53: 22 \\ & \text { clarify }[5]-69: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -75: 27,77: 9 \\ & 95: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { compatible [2] - } \\ 113: 11,114: 29 \end{gathered}$ | 70:19 <br> compulsory [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 8 \\ & \text { confidentially } \end{aligned}$ | contemporane <br> ous [1] - 73:26 |
| 111:4, 118:28, | comment [8] | complained [1] - | $-76: 28$ | [1] - 43:16 | content [7]- |
| $121: 13,122: 26$ <br> clear [8]-41:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:29, 27:22, } \\ & 76: 10,78: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $38: 7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { concern [24] - } \\ 8: 15,8: 17,16: 29, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { confirm [3] - } \\ 40: 27,58: 20, \end{array}$ | 15:27, 18:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { clear [8]-41:24, } \\ & 47: 16,50: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 10,78: 15, \\ & 80: 4,93: 17, \end{aligned}$ | complaint [32] - | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 15,8: 17,16: 29, \\ & 21: 29,24: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $96: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:14, 19:12, } \\ & 27: 1.49: 1.57: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80: 17,98: 9 \\ & \text { 104:2, 104:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $108: 6,124: 29$ <br> commentary [3] | 25:18, 51:20, <br> 56:9, 56:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 8,36: 12 \\ & 40: 17,40: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { confirmation [2] } \\ & -96: 11,96: 14 \end{aligned}$ | contents [4] - |



| 41:3, 58:17 | difference [5] - | 119:29, 123:3, | 6:27, 7:29, 8:13, | drift [1] - 82:7 | either [1] - 80:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DECLAN [1] - | 12:25, 99:22, | 123:7, 123:11, | 10:29, 16:24, | drop [1] - 88:24 | element [2]- |
| 3:5 | 108:24, 109:2, | 123:15, 123:17, | 31:28, 38:18, | drug [2]-16:19, | 45:1, 83:4 |
| declined [2] - | 109:5 | 123:18, 123:29 | 47:14, 49:28, | 22:7 | eliminate [1] - |
| $53: 13,101: 20$ | different [4] - | disclose [1] - | 52:24, 53:6, $70: 22,70: 27$ | drugs [15] - | $18: 2$ |
| dedicated [1] | 22:29, 40:9, | 87:11 | 70:22, 70:27, | 15:18, 15:20, | eliminating [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:1 } \\ & \text { definition [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | 88:21, 88:24 difficult [1] - | $24: 8$ | $76: 15,79: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 23,18: 3,22: 1 \\ & 28: 4,58: 24,59: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 14 \\ & \text { em }[4]-8: 29, \end{aligned}$ |
| 43:9, 43:21 | 30:4 | Disclosure [1] - | 112:16, 116:9, | 59:6, 59:8, 59:17, | 24:23, 64:9, |
| degree [1] - | difficulties [2] - | 7:6 | 116:22 | 59:19, 59:21, | 110:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 74:22 } \\ & \text { delay }[3]-62: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:9, 24:15 } \\ & \text { difficulty }[3] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { disclosure [10] - } \\ 7: 24,7: 25,8: 12, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { divulged [2] - } \\ 50: 23,98: 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:22, 59:23 } \\ & \text { drumming [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { em.. [2] - 33:17, } \\ & \text { 61:17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:7, 87:18 } \\ & \text { delayed [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16:6, 47:4, 94:26 } \\ \text { digestible }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 28,10: 28 \\ & 20: 22,23: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DOCKERY }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 4: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $125: 10$ <br> DUBLIN ${ }^{[2]}$ - | EMMA [1] - 3:26 emphasised [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:12 } \\ & \text { deliberate [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 37:9 } \\ \text { digg } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 16,54: 14, \\ & 57: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { document [21] - } \\ & 39: 23,44: 12 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $3: 28,4: 6$ | $-81: 11$ |
| $64: 20,106: 1$ | $120: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { disclosures [2] - } \\ & 7: 10,22: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 10,75: 22, \\ & 75: 25,78: 3,7 \end{aligned}$ | 19:27, 22:10 | $42: 4$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 82: 7 \\ \text { delivers [1] } \end{array}$ | 3:24 <br> dilemma [12] | $\begin{gathered} \text { discredit [2] - } \\ 64: 20,106: 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 16,87: 2 \\ & 90: 20,90: 28, \end{aligned}$ | 110:19 during [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:16, 106:12, } \\ & 128: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 42:6 | 21:8, 21:11, | discrediting [4] | 91:25, 92:25, | 53:22, 71:25, | end [18] - 8:20, |
| deny [1] - 58:20 | 21:12, 21:16, | - 30:18, 65:17, | 95:20, 95:23, | 111:4, 114:6 | 26:26, 39:22, |
| depth [1] - 77:5 | 23:3, 26:24, | 102:15, 106:1 | 96:21, 97:22, | duties [1] - 46:4 | 47:5, 49:7, 56:27, |
| describe [2] - | 28:23, 33:20, | scuss [4] | :18, 112:4 | duty [7]-61:8, | 65:26, 77:20, |
| $9: 3,81: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 14,92: 24, \\ & 93: 9,96: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 13,18: 14, \\ & 19: 13,19: 28 \end{aligned}$ | 113:3 documentation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:11, 61:18, } \\ & \text { 63:1, 99:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 21,117: 16, \\ & 120: 7.121: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9:3, 46:3, 84:5 | dilemmas [1] - | discussed [4]- | [1] - 98:25 | 102:16, 113:16 | 121:24, 121:29, |
| DESMOND [1] - | 95:16 | 22:20, 27:8, | documents [5] - | DVD [1] - 40:10 | 123:25, 125:7, |
| $4: 3$ <br> despite [2] - | ```direct [1] - 66:17 directed [5] -``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:13, 101:3 } \\ & \text { discussion }[4] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 8,79: 14, \\ & 79: 17,93: 6, \end{aligned}$ | DVDs [1] - 28:3 <br> Dáil [5] - 7:26, | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 8 \\ & \text { ended [2] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 47:19, 52:23 | 10:9, 47:25, | 19:24, 80:2, | $105: 4$ | $7: 28,8: 13,12: 3$ | $95: 14,121: 10$ |
| detail [1] - | 52:29, 53:2, | $93: 12,95: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DONAL [2] - 3:7, } \\ & 3: 24 \end{aligned}$ |  | endorsed [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:22 } \\ & \text { detailed [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:11 } \\ & \text { direction [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { display [1] - } \\ & 103: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3:24 <br> done [13] - | $E$ | 48:26 <br> engaging [1] - |
| $77: 3,113: 4$ | $17: 5,24: 17$ | disproportiona | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 28,29: 1 \\ & 29: 23.83: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | 11:22 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { details [4] - } \\ 60: 5,73: 1,73: 3, \end{gathered}$ | directions [3] - | dispute [2] - | 94:22, 95:9, | e-mail [4]-17:7, | $42: 2$ |
| $114: 12$ | $18: 8,53: 7,90: 15$ | $120: 24,124: 9$ | 95:11, 99:20, | 49:22, 54:6, | enquiries [6] - |
| DETECTIVE [5] - | directive [4] - | disseminated | 112:18, 115:11, | 54:17 <br> EAMON ${ }_{[1]}$ | $32: 9,40: 23,65: 3$ |
| $3: 4,3: 5,3: 12$ | 36:20, 36:26, | [1] - 113:29 | $120: 19$ | $3: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:28, 102:1, } \\ & \text { 102:8 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 13,3: 17 \\ & \text { Detective }[11] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 37:1, } 74: 13 \\ & \text { Directive }[9]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { distance [1] - } \\ & \text { 11:20 } \end{aligned}$ | doubt [1] - 84:14 | early [4] - 10:13, | 102:8 <br> enquiries.. [1] |
| 11:12, 12:4, | 18:19, 34:6, | distracted [1] - | down [28]-8:18, | 39:25, 51:7, | 120:13 |
| 27:11, 28:23, | 36:13, 36:16, | 11:8 | 11:9, 16:9, 21:28, | \| 89:12 | enquiry [5] - |
| 29:7, 29:18, 40:5, | 75:5, 78:3, 78:6, | distributed [1] - | 29:8, 32:10, 35:8, | ease [1] - 115:23 <br> Eastern [6] - | 30:8, 32:7, 32:13, |
| $40: 13,53: 2$ | $97: 3,111: 9$ directives | $37: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 1,45: 6,48: 29 \\ & 53: 15,59: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eastern [6] - } \\ & \text { 23:20, 85:7 } \end{aligned}$ | $47: 15,63: 20$ |
| 53:10, 116:3 <br> determine ${ }_{[1]}$ | $79: 15,79: 16$ | $9: 26,76: 15$ | $65: 2,74: 7,75: 3$ | $90: 24,92: 26$ | ensure [4] - 24:7, 76:16, 80:7, |
| $105: 21$ <br> developed [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { directly [6] - } \\ 35: 24,55: 4, \end{gathered}$ | $79: 24$ <br> districts [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 16,75: 26, \\ & 77: 8,81: 29, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 29,95: 19 \\ & \text { easy [2] - 37:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85:27 } \\ & \text { ensures [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 24:28 | $72: 13,74: 4$ | $70: 20$ | 82:21, 83:22, | 79:18 | 42:6 |
| development [2] | $99: 19,111: 18$ | division [14] - | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 7,85: 16 \\ & 88: 25,108: 19 \end{aligned}$ | ed [1] - 78:26 | entered [2] - |
| - 92:16, 93:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIRECTOR [1] - } \\ & 3: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 6:25, 8:27, 11:29, | 111:16, 128:11 | 55:25, 64:27, | $18: 23,20: 13$ |
| DEVELOPMEN | 3:13 <br> DIRECTORATE | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 28,19: 7, \\ & 20: 19,30: 19 \end{aligned}$ | DOWNEY [2] | $78: 20,125: 7$ | entirely [5] - <br> 8.26, 22:29,50:7, |
| T[1]-3:16 diaries [2] - | $\text { [1] }-3: 15$ | 33:26, 70:11, | $3: 15,3: 20$ | effectively [1] - | $50: 13,72: 11$ |
| 116:9, 116:16 | disappointmen | $70: 17,70: 19$ | DPP [1] - 39:24 | 97:16 | entirety [4] - |
| diary [2]-51:10, | t[1] - 91:28 | 71:27, 84:2, | $\mathbf{D R}_{[1]}-3: 11$ | efforts [1] - | $65: 5,79: 15$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:4 } \\ & \text { dies }[1]-65: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { discipline [11] - } \\ & 55: 2,119: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $90: 14$ <br> divisional [20] - | $36: 12$ | EGAN [1] - 3:25 | $\begin{array}{r} 79: 16,84: 13 \\ \text { entitled }[7] \text { - } \end{array}$ |


| 47:14, 63:8, | 91:11, 114:5, | expect [1] - | 73:4 | 115:11 | FITZWILLIAM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63:10, 86:23, | 114:6, 115:1, | 86:23 | ail [1] - 30:17 | felt [2]-91:14, | [1] - 4:5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 120:12, 122:19 } \\ \text { entries [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:15, 126:15 } \\ & \text { evaluations [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { expectation }[1] \text { - } \\ & 43: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { failure [2] - } \\ 64: 19,76: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:13 } \\ & \text { fence }[1]-20: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { five }[2]-35: 8 \text {, } \\ & 39: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 88:25 | $127: 12$ | expected [1] - | fair [4]-32:8, | fencing [1] - | flimsy [1] - |
| entry [28] | event [1] - 32:10 | 27:22 | 81:22, 102:3, | $20: 15$ | 105:21 |
| $14: 21,14: 28$, $15: 12,16.2$ | events [2]- $62 \cdot 14,110 \cdot 15$ | experience [2] - | 120:1 | few [10] - 23:24, | fluster [3] - 9:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:12, 16:2, } \\ & \text { 19:29, 21:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 62:14, 110:15 } \\ \text { evidence [19] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 79:27, 82:3 } \\ & \text { explained }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fairness }[3] \\ \text { 85:20, } 98: 28, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 5,66: 15,70: 6, \\ & 75: 14,78: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $9: 17,9: 21$ |
| 22:2, 23:15, | 7:23, 9:4, 38:9, | 115:17 | 110:3 | 85:18, 87:24, | focus [3]- |
| 25:20, 25:21, | 45:17, 55:13, | exposing [1] - | faith [2]-64:11, | 88:5, 90:19 | 26:28, 92:8, |
| 27:1, 31:16, | 55:14, 66:9, 67:3, | 42:8 | 69:12 | fide [1] - 109:14 | 98:12 |
| 31:17, 31:23, | 67:16, 84:9, | express [2] | all [1] - 43:20 | figure [1] - 16:14 | focusing [1] - |
| 33:9, 33:19, | 85:11, 88:7, | 50:14, 91:28 | false [3]-60:17, | file [3] - 39:25, | 60:8 |
| 47:16, 49:24, | 89:12, 92:21, | expressed [1] - | 124:9, 124:10 | 96:4, 102:3 | follow [2] - |
| 53:29, 58:12, | 95:22, 101:21, | 62:27 | familiar [5] - | files [1] - 121:6 | 24:20, 125:3 |
| 59:11, 59:12, | 118:12, 119:18, | expressing [1] - | 7:15, 61:5, 61:6, | fill [1] - 74:2 | follow-through |
| 60:28, 72:24, | 123:20 | 115:20 | 76:18, 79:6 | final [2]-80:4, | [1] - 24:20 |
| 88:18, 91:8, | exact [2] - 23:5, | expression [1] - | Fanning [3] - | 110:29 | followed [4] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:24 } \\ & \text { envisage }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36: 19 \\ \text { exac } \end{gathered}$ | $29: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 26,103: 10 \\ & 103: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { finalised [2] - } \\ \text { 121:4, 121:6 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 9,68: 18 \\ & 126: 8,126: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| $43: 28$ <br> equally [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 5,63: 28,67: 7, \\ & 67: 28,68: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 116:11 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { far [6] - 84:2, } \\ 89: 13,89: 18, \end{array}$ | finally [2] - 65:5, | following [10] - |
| 66:10, 120:17 | 68:26, 70:18, | 11:16, 52:14 | 94:25, 97:21, | fine [3] - 15:8, | 75:28, 81:8, |
| equation [1] - | 76:23, 96:15 | $72: 7,107: 19$ | 99:22 | 47:2, 99:28 | 81:29, 82:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:12 } \\ & \text { equipped [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | examination [4] | extraordinary | Farrell [38] - | finish [4] - | 87:4, 113:13, |
|  | - 30:7, 66:10, | [1] - 40:2 | 8:20, 10:9, 10:14, | 36:29, 65:26, | 119:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 26 \\ & \text { error [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $71: 15,108: 17$ <br> EXAMINATION |  | $11: 3,17: 7,18: 8$ | $106: 19,122: 13$ | follows [5] - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXAMINATION } \\ & {[4]-65: 22,69: 16,} \end{aligned}$ | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:28, 19:19, } \\ & \text { 19:23, 20:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { finished [2] - } \\ 64: 26,128: 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:12, 35:9, } \\ & 35: 19,43: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:23 } \\ & \text { escalated [1] - } \\ & 23: 18 \end{aligned}$ | [4]-65:22, 69:16, $106: 12,128: 1$ <br> EXAMINED [8] - |  | 20:11, 21:24 | $\operatorname{FINN}_{[1]}-3: 5$ | $45: 26$ |
| $23: 18$ <br> especially [1] - | EXAMINED [8] - | $92: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:9, 24:17, } \\ & 31: 24,46: 17 \end{aligned}$ | Finn [5] - | FOLLOWS [5] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 82:19 } \\ & \text { essential }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $6: 15,66: 1,69: 29$ | face-to-face [1] - | 48:20, 49:2, | 103:20, 104:19, | $70: 1,106: 23$ |
|  | 106:22 | 77:5 | 49:16, 49:28, | 105:6 | foot [1] - 101:28 |
|  | examined [1] - | Facebook [1] - | 50:1, 50:15, | Fintan [1] - | FOR [3]-3:2, |
| essentially [6] - 14:28, 20:26, | $120: 3$ <br> example [4] - | 105:15 | $50: 21,51: 4,53: 2$ | $90: 26$ | $3: 30,4: 1$ |
| 14:28, 20:26, |  | $33: 18,68: 12$ | 71:5, 74:3, 95:2, | firmly [1] - 38:4 | forced [2] - 92:2, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:10, 54:13, } \\ & 57: 27,63: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 17:27, 111:8, | facilitate [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:15, 107:17 } \\ & \text { 107:28, 108:2 } \end{aligned}$ | first [39]-8:15, | $94: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:27, 63:18 } \\ & \text { establish }[9] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $121: 2,121: 6$ | $77: 16,87: 10$ | 108:4, 108:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:29, 16:12, } \\ & \text { 17:22, 18:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { foremost [1] - } \\ & 50: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { establish [9] - } \\ & \text { 18:18, 18:22, } \end{aligned}$ | excellent [1] - 11:25 | facing [1] - | 117:20, 118:8, | $19: 5,19: 25$ | form [4]-12:15, |
| 32:11, 47:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:25 } \\ & \text { except [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | 97:19 | 118:11, 118:29 | 20:17, 22:18, | 32:10, 103:9, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 52:19, 53:21, } \\ & 63: 18,67: 1,91: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:2, 22:13 } \\ & \text { exception }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fact [21] - 6:11, } \\ & 8: 29.15: 19 . \end{aligned}$ | FARRELL [1] - | 24:12, 28:15, | 114:21 |
| 63:18, 67:1, $91: 3$ established [1] - | 7:7 <br> exceptional [2] - | 15:22, 17:25, | 4:2 ${ }^{\text {Farrell's }}$ [5] | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 18,28: 19, \\ & 30: 13,36: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { formal [1] - } \\ & \text { 21:20 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:2 } \\ & \text { establishes [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 12,50: 24, \\ & 50: 28,60: 1, \end{aligned}$ | 19:22, 108:5, | 41:11, 41:13, | formally [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 82:27 } \\ & \text { esteem [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | excused [1] - | $68: 13,73: 9$ | 118:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 19,67: 26 \\ & 72: 3,74: 8,79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:23 } \\ & \text { form } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 84:28 | 73:12, 73:13, | fashion [1] - | 87:26, 89:26, | $55: 28,72: 12$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 64:13, } 66: 14 \\ \text { etcetera }[3]- \end{array}$ | $-3: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:17, 93:11, } \\ & \text { 97:22, 101:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 1 \\ & \text { fault }[1]-16 \end{aligned}$ | 92:7, 94:29, 95:25. 102:23. | formed [1] $100 \cdot 28$ |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:21 } \\ & \text { perfect }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $54: 23$ picking [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 19,29: 25 \\ & 32: 16,36: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 19,77: 4,95: 1 \\ & \text { present }[4] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50:20, 106:18, } \\ & \text { 106:19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:16, 42:7, } \\ & 54: 13,57: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| 126:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:7, } 32: 5 \\ & \text { piece }[7]-19: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 20,45: 22 \\ & 47: 13,47: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 1,77: 15 \\ & 82: 20,92: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { process [13] - } \\ \text { 21:16, 21:17, } \end{gathered}$ | 86:18 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { perfect } \\ \text { 122:23 } \end{array}$ | 21:23, 23:2, 51:1, | $47: 19,67: 1$ | presented | 22:17, 87:10, | 29:28, 77:27, |
| perform [1] - | 78:29, 117:10, | 76:24, 96:4, 99:4, | 89:24, 94:26 | 87:13, 90:6, | 92:13, 92:18, |
| 83:8 | 118:26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:29, 106:3, } \\ & \text { 108:11, 108:29, } \end{aligned}$ | presently [2] | 114:29, 115:1, | 112:29 |
| performance [1] | pieces [2] - | 110:3, 119:3, | 70:7, 72:23 <br> presents [1] - | 119:29, 123:5, | protocols [2]- |
| - 8:8 | $23: 10,110: 11$ <br> PLACE ${ }_{[1]}-4 \cdot 5$ | 119:21, 119:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { presents [1] - } \\ & 126: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:7, 123:12, } \\ & 123 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | $125: 28,126: 19$ |
| perhaps [12] - | PLACE [1] - 4:5 <br> place [8]-16:1 | 121:24, 122:2, | 126:7 <br> preservation [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:15 } \\ & \text { process" [1] } \end{aligned}$ | proved [2] - <br> $88.27,88 \cdot 28$ |
| 10:29, 36:17, | 20:17, 66:24, | $122: 28,124: 8$ | - 29:28 | $87: 25$ | 88:27, 88:28 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 56: 13,74: 17, \\ & 74: 28,80: 28, \end{aligned}$ | 69:5, 87:7, 87:10, | positive [2] - | pressed [1] | processed [1] - | provenance [1] - $73: 9$ |
| 81:1, 85:16, <br> 94.22 99•9 | $\begin{gathered} 87: 18,110: 16 \\ \text { placed }[4] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $8: 24,107: 16$ possession [3] - | 104:7 <br> pressing [4] | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 5 \\ & \text { proc } \end{aligned}$ | provide [3] - <br> 43:13, 55:5 |
| $100: 5,100: 23$ | 24:7, 79:2, 91:15, | 54:28, 56:19, | $24: 26,34: 7,41: 9$ | $97: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 13,55: 5, \\ & 101: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| period [9] - | 91:16 | 89:28 | 51:18 | procuring [2] - | provided [4] - |
| 11:10, 31:14, | places [2] - 15:2, | possibilities [1] | presumably [2] - | 99:24 | $11: 27,72: 5,$ |
| 49:16, 77:20, | 90:11 | - 54:26 possibility [2] - | 80:11, 89:1 | produce [1] - | $80: 22,97: 23$ |




| 12:14, 34:3, 34:7, | shortly [2] - | solicitor [1] - | source [86] - | spin [1] - 50:8 | 101:20, 115:4, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34:21, 35:2, 39:9, | 11:9, 86:27 | 103:20 | 17:26, 17:28, | spirited [2] - | 116:28, 117:14, |
| 41:16, 47:5, 93:5, | shows [1]-85:1 | SOLICITOR'S | 18:15, 30:15, | $46: 3,127: 8$ | 117:21, 117:24, |
| 110:28, 111:2, 111:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shutdown [2]- } \\ & \text { 21:3. } 94: 29 \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 3:27 | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 8,34: 10 \\ & 34: 13,34: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { spoken [1] - } \\ & 40: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 117:25, 117:27, |
| Sergeant [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:3, 94:29 } \\ & \text { side }[3]-46: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $-4: 5$ | $35: 15,35: 23$ | squad [2] | 118:19, 118:25, |
| 34:4, 53:2, 53:10, | 53:27, 96:15 | someone [18] - | 36:6, 36:8, 36:10, | 58:24, 59:23 | 119:20, 121:17, |
| 59:28, 100:21 | sidelined [3]- | 33:23, 46:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 17,37: 28, \\ & 37: 29.38: 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | staff [1] - 79:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:18, 125:6, } \\ & 125: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3:12, 3:20, 4:2, | 127:25 | 59:27, 64:13, | 38:22, 38:24, | $8: 25,10: 13,13: 7$ | statements [2] - |
| 4:3, 4:3 | signature [1] - | 73:11, 82:18, | 39:2, 39:16, | $23: 13,23: 21$ | 48:26, 125:15 |
| sergeant [3] - | 75:21 | 84:21, 90:2, 90:3, | $39: 26,40: 1 \text {, }$ | 24:2, 26:18, | states [4] - |
| 37:11, 64:14, | signed [3] - | 110:7, 110:8, | 40:16, 40:25, | 26:23, 26:27, | 77:12, 78:17, |
| $105: 14$ | $75: 10,90: 20$ | 112:26, 117:26, | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 1,41: 2,43: 9 \\ & 43: 29.46: 13 . \end{aligned}$ | $28: 6,32: 21,34: 9$ | 79:20, 93:21 |
| 19:4, 22:28, 26:1, | significant [1] - | sometime [4] - | 46:15, 47:18, | 39:8, 40:4, 40:19, | 12:29, 58:7, |
| 26:2, 27:21, 52:8, | 98:19 | 25:5, 28:6, 32:26, | 72:27, 73:5, 73:8, | 41:10, 42:24, | 58:10, 61:4, 61:6, |
| 61:14, 88:15, | signs [1] - 75:13 | 39:25 | 76:5, 76:7, 77:26, | 50:17, 52:10, | 63:22, 63:23, |
| 99:12 | similar [3] - | sometimes [1] - | 78:1, 78:13, 82:4, | 52:27, 53:6, | $63: 24,70: 24$ |
| seriously [1] - | 12:17, 23:1, | 15:1 | 83:1, 84:15, | 53:24, 55:25, | 70:27, 93:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:16 } \\ & \text { seriousn } \end{aligned}$ | 99:16 | somewhat [2] 78:16, 94:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 29,85: 6,85: 8, \\ & 86: 1,87: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 23,59: 26, \\ & 72: 5,111: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Station [3] - } \\ \text { 48:13, 61:4 } \end{gathered}$ |
| - 89:17 | $\underset{37: 5}{\text { similarly }[1]}$ | 78:16, | 88:17, 88:19, | $112: 12$ | $94: 10$ |
| set $[9]-16: 24$, | simple | $30: 24,80: 2$ | 88:28, 89:23, | stamped [1] | step [4] - 45:9, |
| 17:27, 18:1, | 37:19 | sorry [42] - 6:7, | 89:26, 91:12, | 35:2 | $52: 25,53: 28$ |
| 39:16, 63:27, | simply [3] - | 6:13, 9:3, 9:12, | 110:14, 112:2, | stand [1] - | 55:7 |
| 64:7, 94:5, | 40:16, 40:23, | 13:12, 13:13, | 112:8, 112:11, | 128:11 | Stephanie [5] - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 119:21, 119:26 } \\ \text { sets }[11-23: 28 \end{gathered}$ | $40: 26$ | 13:16, 13:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:17, 112:19, } \\ & \text { 113:2, 113:5, } \end{aligned}$ | standard [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 15,97: 23 \\ & 97: 27.117: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| sets [1]-23:28 setting [1] - | SINÉAD [1] - 4:4 <br> sitting [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:24, 16:8, } \\ & \text { 18:18, 18:27, } \end{aligned}$ | 113:15, 113:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:16, 121:8 } \\ & \text { standards }[2] \end{aligned}$ | 97:27, 117:15, 118:5 |
| 21:20 | 128:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:13, 21:12, } \\ & 23: 19,31: 2,34: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:20, 113:21, } \\ & \text { 113:25, 113:26, } \end{aligned}$ | $83: 18,85: 29$ | steps [1] - 99:11 sticking [1] - |
| settle [1] - 93:24 several [2] - | situation [13] - | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 19,31: 2,34: 4, \\ & 36: 12,40: 8,42: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 114:1, 114:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { standing } \\ & \text { 120:24 - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sticking [1] - } \\ & \text { 17:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { several [2] - } \\ 11: 6,109: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 8:26, 10:27, | 36:12, 40:8, 42:9, 49:21, 49:22, | 114:12, 114:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:24 } \\ & \text { start [12] - 16:9, } \end{aligned}$ | still [9] - 12:8, |
| severe [1] - | 36:17, 39:14, | 52:5, 53:1, 56:16, | 114:18, 114:23, | 24:14, 70:6, | 34:7, 36:6, 41:15, |
| 91:28 | 43:19, 62:4, 92:1, | 59:12, 59:13, | 115:16, 126:15, | 74:28, 75:8, | 45:11, 52:25, |
| SHANE [1] | 94:18, 94:25, | 68:5, 79:21, | 127:4, 127:5 | 80:25, 88:15, | 68:12, 109:15, |
| 3:23 | 105:24, 110:13 | 92:28, 97:9, 98:4, | sources [6] - | 88:16, 106:26, | 109:23 |
| SHANKEY [1] - | situations [1] - | 98:11, 101:25, | 44:19, 44:23, | 108:17, 119:29, | store [2]-28:3, |
| 3:21 | 65:15 | 102:6, 107:11, | 73:28, 76:3, | $123: 15$ | 28:11 |
| SHANKEY- | six [3]-110:10, | 114:11, 120:7, | 78:23, 88:10 <br> Sources [2] - | starting [1] - | storeroom [1] - |
| SMITH [1] - 3:21 shape [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:5, 125:20 } \\ & \text { six-month }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:10, 122:22, } \\ & 123: 10,126: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $81: 6,81: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:21 } \\ & \text { STATE }_{[1]}-3: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 28:5 <br> straight [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:9 } \\ & \text { share } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:5 } \\ & \text { skills [1] - 42:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sorry [2] - 75:5, } \\ & 75: 7 \end{aligned}$ | space [2]-52:3, $52: 7$ | state [1] - 95:28 <br> statement [52] - | $66: 16,124: 3$ <br> straightaway [2] |
| 17:21, 50:3, | skip [1] - 77:8 | sort [6]-23:12, | speaking [1] - | 7:2, 12:26, 13:2, | - 55:16, 110:25 |
| 50:21 | slanderous [1] - | 27:7, 48:8, | 10:1 | 13:10, 13:18, | straightforwar |
| sharing [1] - | 93:23 | 100:10, 110:11, | specialist [1] - | 13:29, 14:6, 25:9, | d [1] - 70:10 |
| 113:16 | slightly [1] - | 125:10 | 113:22 | 31:1, 33:5, 42:10, | strange [1] - |
| SHEAHAN ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | 49:17 | sorted [1] - | specific [5] - $16: 4,18: 15,33: 8$ | $48: 15,50: 23$ | $54: 15$ |
| $3: 18$ | small [4] - 75:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:19 } \\ & \text { sorts [1]-23:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 4,18: 15,33: 8, \\ & 48: 27,108: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $53: 3,53: 12$ <br> $53 \cdot 13,55 \cdot 5,55 \cdot 8$ | strategic [2] - |
| SHELLEY [1] - $3: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 82:23, 100:23, } \\ & 110 \cdot 29 \end{aligned}$ | sought [5] - | specifically [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 13,55: 5,55: 8, \\ & 55: 20,57: 20, \end{aligned}$ | street $\qquad$ |
| $\text { SHIP }_{[1]}-3: 28$ | smiled | 67:1, 68:18, | 13:4, 14:9 | 58:6, 64:22, 66:8, | $46: 29,57: 19$ |
| shocked [1] - | $15: 15$ | 84:16, 90:15, | speculate [1] - | 67:11, 68:19 | 60:24, 60:25 |
| 16:27 | SMITH [1] - 3:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:16 } \\ & \text { sound }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:2 } \\ & \text { speculation [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 21,79: 20, \\ & 90: 29,97: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STREET [2] - } \\ & 3: 28,3: 32 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { shocking [1] - } \\ & \text { 103:2 } \end{aligned}$ | smooth [1] - | $105: 14$ | 14:18 | $97: 29,98: 24$ | 3:28, 3.32 |
| short [3] - 49:16, | sole [1] - 81:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Source [1] - } \\ & 78: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { speedily }[1] \text { - } \\ & 74: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 98:27, 99:19, | strictly [7] - <br> 76:17, 80.9, 85.2 |


| 85:3, 85:10, | suggests [3] - | 40:13, 42:10, | 47:17 | $44: 4,99: 17$ | tired [1] - 72:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113:9 | 13:11, 41:1, 64:9 | 51:15, 52:16, | system [25] - | telephone [3] - | today [3] - |
| strikes [1] - | suits [1] - 84:22 | 52:28, 53:16, | 20:14, 20:29, | 25:16, 31:15, | 12:25, 101:2, |
| 59:28 | superintendent | 55:24, 56:2, | 23:8, 31:18, | 116:21 | 108:25 |
| strong [1] - | [63] - 6:4, 6:18, | 56:25, 57:3, | 34:12, 38:27, | temporal [1] - | toes [1] - 17:3 |
| 105:21 | 6:23, 7:3, 7:18, | 58:14, 66:4, | 42:2, 45:28, 46:7, | 71:23 | together [2] - |
| struck [1] - | 15:11, 15:24, | 67:12, 68:5, | 51:23, 53:9, | ten [1]-51:8 | 60:1, 77:16 |
| 16:12 | 19:7, 21:1, 24:24, | 68:28, 69:9, 70:4, | 58:19, 65:2, 76:5, | tenure [1] - | tolerated [1] - |
| stuck [2] - | 30:14, 30:19, | 71:2, 71:12, | 76:28, 77:17, | 70:11 | 76:20 |
| 15:15, 15:29 | 31:24, 34:22, | 71:16, 71:26, | 77:18, 78:19, | $\text { term }[1]-86: 13$ | $\text { TOM }_{[1]}-3: 12$ |
| stuff [2]-11:25, | 37:11, 37:16, | 71:28, 71:29, | 78:23, 83:1, | terms [18] - | tongue [3] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 113: 23 \\ & \text { style }[4]-85: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $37: 18,39: 4$, $39: 21,45: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 20,95: 3 \\ & \text { 103:21. 105:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 83:19, 93:22, | 11:28, 24:29, | $15: 15,16: 13$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 21,45: 10, \\ & 47: 23,54: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 109:16, 112:8, | $115: 11$ | 56:8, 71:9, 71:20, | 17:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:8 } \\ & \text { subject }[7] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 62:22, 63:13, | 116:3, 121:25, | SÍOCHÁNA [1] - | 85:9, 88:18, | $16: 1$ |
|  | 63:19, 64:3, 64:8, | 122:5, 123:26, | 3:2 | 95:15, 97:3, | took [11] - 12:16, |
| 11:14, 13:27, | 64:18, 66:12, | 128:3 | Síochána [13] - | 101:25, 101:26, | 50:17, 52:25, |
| 17:1, 78:24, | 70:13, 70:16, | superintendent | 8:22, 43:14, 46:6, | 109:1, 110:23, | 66:24, 69:5, |
| 93:12, 93:16, | 72:8, 81:8, 81:28, | s [2] - 70:29, 84:6 | 49:11, 61:14, | 110:26, 113:17, | 76:24, 95:3, |
| 95:7 sub | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 24,84: 1,85: 8, \\ & 85: 23,86: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { superior [1] - } \\ & 07.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 4,77: 14, \\ & 78: 23,79: 4,79: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 118:8 | 110:9, 110:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 76:29 } \\ & \text { submission [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | 86:26, 87:8, | superiors [1] - | 82:27, 97:15, | 123:6 | 110:27, 111:1 |
|  | 87:15, 90:8, | 90:16 | 106:2 | THE [2] - 6:1, | 75:2, 75:4, 75:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:11, 62:17 } \\ & \text { submitted }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | 90:13, 97:14, | supervise [1] - |  | 128:16 | 78:5, 84:8, 88:22, |
|  | 99:15, 102:12, | 83:27 | T | THEN [1] - | 89:1, 89:2, |
| $57: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:28, 106:25, } \\ & \text { 107:10, 111:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { supervision }[4] \text { - } \\ & 85: 13,85: 26 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $128: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:12, 120:4 } \\ & \text { total }[1]-100: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $46: 27,47: 23$ | 112:5, 112:20, | 86:9, 113:8 | T\&N [1] - 3:31 | $30: 11$ | towards [1] - |
| subsequently | 114:22, 115:29, 118:2, 118:17, | supervisor [1] - | TARA [1] - 3:22 target [2] - | therefore [4] - | 104:18 |
| [4]-17:4, 18:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:2, 118:17, } \\ & \text { 118:21, 123:11 } \end{aligned}$ | 127:2 | $106: 4,106: 5$ | 21:19, 38:17, | trade [1] - 15:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 55: 23,67: 12 \\ & \text { substantive }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | $124: 12,125: 2$ | supervisors [2] $-64: 15,80: 7$ | targeted [1] - | 77:27, 124:25 <br> third [4]-30:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { train }[1]-32: 28 \\ & \text { trained }[4]- \end{aligned}$ |
| 80:26, 86:29, | 127:2 | supplied [2] - | 71:12 $\operatorname{targ}$ | 41:14, 54:1, | 38:26, 77:19, |
| 90:5 | ENT [19]-3.3, | 90:2, 121:27 | $30: 10,30: 17$ | 100:25 | 77:21, 83:7 |
| suggest [24] - | ENT [19]-3:3, $3: 3,3: 4,3: 5,3: 6,$ | supply [2] - <br> $59 \cdot 1,82 \cdot 26$ | 30:18, 47:22, | threading [1] - | training [2] - |
| 20:10, 26:28, 29:20, $33: 15$, | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 3,3: 4,3: 5,3: 6, \\ & 3: 8,3: 9,3: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $59: 1,82: 26$ supplvina [1] - | 48:6, 64:27, | $17: 3$ | $77: 28,77: 29$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29: 20,33: 15, \\ & 35: 10,36: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $3: 13,3: 14,3: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { supplying [1] - } \\ & 46: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $65: 10,65: 11$ | three [15]-41:9, 49.7, 54:10 | transcript [6] - |
| 36:24, 40:22, | $3: 19,3: 19,3: 21 \text {, }$ | support [2] | 65:17, 102:15, | 54:23, 55:28, | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 6,9: 11,67: 19, \\ & 98: 29,106: 28, \end{aligned}$ |
| 44:3, 46:10, | 5:3, 6:15, 66:1, | 11:27, 45:19 | asked [1] - | 56:6, 65:6, 67:27, | 106:29 |
| 47:17, 55:6, | 69:29, 106:22 | supported [3] - | $48: 15$ | 75:25, 111:1, | transferred [4] - |
| 64:15, 64:25, | Superintenden | 8:22, 10:3, 10:6 | tasking [5] - | 118:13, 119:10, | $6: 25,70: 17,72: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 64: 27,65: 10, \\ & 72: 10,73: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 10:9, 10:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { supporting [1] - } \\ & 11: 26 \end{aligned}$ | 44:19, 44:23, | 120:10, 121:28 three-page [1] | 112:19 |
| 118:22, 120:29, | 10:20, 11:12 | supportive [1] - | $44: 25,88: 10$ | $75: 25$ | $77: 17,77: 20$ |
| 121:7, 122:13, | 11:22, 11:24, | $56: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 88:16 } \\ & \text { tasks [1]-82:28 } \end{aligned}$ | throughout [3] - | transmits [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:15 } \\ & \text { suggestec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 1,12: 5,12: 26, \\ & 13: 4,13: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { suppose [16] - } \\ \text { 40:28, 49:17, } \end{gathered}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a x }}[2]-71: 7,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:17, 31:14, } \\ & \text { 116:26 } \end{aligned}$ | 64:10 <br> transmitting |
| 50:5, 55:1, 62:8, | 13:17, 13:29, | 58:9, 63:6, 70:10, | 71:13 | throwing [1] - | - 67:7 |
| 98:12, 105:29, | $14: 9,14: 24$ | 73:8, 84:20, | $\begin{gathered} \text { team [22] - } \\ \text { 11:15, 18:5, } \end{gathered}$ | 123:13 | Treacy [10] - |
| 125:5 | 26:6, 26:13, | 95:12, 108:3, | 20:24, 21:22, | ticked [1] - | 48:15, 49:4, 50:2, |
| suggesting | $27: 11,28: 24,$ | 110:23, 112:22 | 23:4, 27:6, 32:21, | 108: | 53:23, 58:6, |
| 58:23, 58:28, | 29:7, 29:18, 31:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:20, 122:8 } \\ & \text { 122:9, 124:2, } \end{aligned}$ | 33:11, 33:27, | tight [1] - 80:14 tightly [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 23,98: 4,99: 4, \\ & 117: 15.118: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 59:4, 61:26, 64:2, | 31:4, 31:8, 31:25, | $125: 5$ | $35: 26,36: 5$ | $30: 22$ | Treacy's [1] - |
| 64:18, 65:5, 89:5, | $31: 28,32: 4,$ | surely [2] - 12:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 27,39: 5 \\ & 58: 15,58: 16 \end{aligned}$ | timeline [2] - | $97: 27$ |
| 89:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 29,33: 5,34: 3, \\ & 34: 18,35: 22 . \end{aligned}$ | 60:18 | $60: 8,64: 14$ | $95: 15,116: 19$ | treated [1] - |
| suggestion [4] - | $36 \cdot 18 \cdot 36 \cdot 25$ | suspicious [1] - | $94: 14,103: 21,$ | timely [1] - | 43:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22: 2,27: 25,98: 5, \\ & 102: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $38: 18,40: 5$ | 62:9 | 109:29 | 91:29 | Tribunal [11] - |


| 25:10, 90:15, | uncorroborate | unusual [1] - | 11:11, 11:20, | weeks [3]-9:29, | word [15]-9:4, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102:17, 105:25, | d [1] - 91:4 | 94:18 | 12:9, 17:21, | $11: 7,37: 24$ | 9:21, 9:22, 16:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:16, 109:9, } \\ & \text { 119:20, 119:25 } \end{aligned}$ | under [11] - 7:5, $24: 3,33: 13 .$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{upp}_{14}[65]-13: 15,14 \cdot 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:23, 29:22, } \\ & 34: 11,50: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { welcome [1] - } \\ & 97: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 11,28: 4, \\ & 43: 26,50: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| tribunals [1] - | 33:26, 41:24, | 14:22, 14:26, | 50:14, 50:21, | welfare [7] - | 61:17, 65:4, |
| 41:27 | 44:20, 44:24, | 15:8, 15:25, 16:7, | 62:27, 72:29, | 8:17, 10:10, | 72:27, 91:14, |
| tried [2] - | 77:19, 87:3, | 17:6, 17:13, | 74:18, 83:17, | 10:18, 11:1, | 91:24, 95:16, |
| $121: 17,123: 6$ triggered [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 25,88: 11 \\ & \text { undermine }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:27, 18:1, } \\ & 26: 21,30: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 23,84: 1,86: 4, \\ & 92: 5,92: 18,96: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:17, 52:16, } \\ & \text { 109:7 } \end{aligned}$ | $114: 11$ <br> wording [ |
| $114: 1$ <br> trouble [1] - | $42: 1$ <br> understandabl | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 5,37: 10 \\ & 40: 12,42: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 99:16, 101:16, } \\ & \text { 101:17, 101:19, } \end{aligned}$ | WESTMEATH [1] - 4:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 19 \\ & \text { words } \end{aligned}$ |
| 124:19 | e [1] - 110:22 | $46: 12,49: 7,51: 2$ | 108:8, 109:17, | Westmeath [14] | 16:22, 37:10, |
| true [8]-22:18, $53: 14,71 \cdot 3,77 \cdot 2$ | understood [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 23,57: 16 \\ & 60: 15.60: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 110:12, 110:19, <br> 110:20, 114:19, | $-6: 25,6: 28,8: 14$ | $37: 12,45: 24$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53: 14,71: 3,77: 2, \\ & 80: 20,84: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 23,119: 27 \\ & 123: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:15, 60:19, } \\ & \text { 61:23, 61:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:20, 114:19, } \\ & \text { 114:21, 114:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 26,11: 29,19: 7 \\ & 20: 19,23: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 15,86: 16 \\ & 100: 13,103: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 102:20, } 106: 4 \\ \text { try }[5]-23: 11 \end{gathered}$ | unfavourable | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 6,63: 27,64: 6 \\ & 64: 7,64: 12,65: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:4, 115:9, } \\ & \text { 115:21, 121:29, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 19,70: 11, \\ & 70: 17,71: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 108:1, } 123: 29 \\ \text { workplace }[3] \end{gathered}$ |
| 35:11, 40:23, | unfounded [1] - | 66:21, 67:9, | $125: 24$ | $84: 2,116: 9$ | 8:22, 8:23, 10:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 74:19, 92:17 } \\ & \text { trying [8] - 19:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:23 } \\ & \text { unhindered [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 10,72: 14, \\ & 73: 1,74: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { viewed [1] - } \\ & 81: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { whatsoever [3] - } \\ \text { 10:5, 23:17, 48:6 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { works [3] - } \\ \text { 84:23, 115:11, } \end{gathered}$ |
| 20:10, 20:17, | 115:2 | 75:24, 78:4, | views [6] - | WHEATLEY ${ }_{\text {[1] - }}$ | 127:6 |
| 35:13, 57:17, | unintentionally | 79:11, 82:22, | 47:12, 54:21, | 3:4 | world [4]-80:1, |
| $63: 18,90: 2$ | $\text { [1] }-82: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 12,85: 18, \\ & 89: 12,89: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 24,84: 15, \\ & 97: 1,106: 9 \end{aligned}$ | Wheatley [3] - | 126:3, 126:16, |
| 126:2 <br> Tuesday [2] | $\begin{gathered} \text { unique [2] - } \\ 27: 7,97: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $90: 24,92: 15$ | viii [2] - 83:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:4, 105:14, } \\ & 109: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 126:18 <br> worry [4] - 25:1, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 128:8, 128:13 } \\ \text { TUESDAY [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unit [19] - 15:20, } \\ & 16: 23,18: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 23,95: 14, \\ & 97: 12,99: 3,99: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 83: 24 \\ \text { volume }[3]- \end{array}$ | whistleblower [3] - 64:21, 64:28, | $\begin{array}{\|c} 26: 3,45: 5,60: 16 \\ \text { worth [1] - 30:24 } \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:16 } \\ & \text { turn }[5]-23: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 11,59: 6 \\ & 59: 17,59: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 8,99: 28, \\ & \text { 100:5, 102:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 8:18, 16:9, 74:23 } \\ \text { Volume [16] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94:19 } \\ & \text { whistleblowers } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { worthwhile [1] - } \\ & 74: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 34:28, 45:18, | 59:21, 59:22, | 102:12, 103:16, | 7:1, 12:28, 14:24, | [1] - 10:2 | writes [1] - |
| $\begin{gathered} 83: 22,104: 18 \\ \text { turnover }[2]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 2,84: 15,85: 7, \\ & \text { 86:2, 86:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:29, 111:13, } \\ & \text { 125:3, 125:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:22, 31:3, } \\ & 44: 15,48: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { white [2]- } \\ 37: 20,39: 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:17 } \\ & \text { writing [7] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:17, 16:3 } \\ & \text { turns [2]-26:28, } \end{aligned}$ | 112:27, 113:21, <br> 113:22, 113:27, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:17 } \\ & \text { upset }[3]-92: 3 \text {, } \\ & \text { 101:8, } 101: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 8,57: 9,61: 3 \\ & 73: 21,74: 18 \\ & 74: 24,80: 22 \end{aligned}$ | WHITE [1] - 3:11 <br> whole [4]-22:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 10,56: 5,57: 5, \\ & 68: 24,90: 27, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 39:15 } \\ & \text { two [23]-37:24, } \\ & \text { 46:26. 49:26. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:15 } \\ & \text { unknowns [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 101:8, 101:9 } \\ \text { upsetting [2] - } \\ \text { 105:23, 105:24 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 24,80: 22 \\ & 80: 25,103: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 16,81: 18, \\ & 120: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 116:13, 116:17 } \\ \text { written [3] - } \\ \text { 26:2, 47:10, } \end{gathered}$ |
| $52: 7,54: 22$ | unless [1] - 42:3 | upshot [1] - | W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { willing [1] - } \\ & \text { 68:21 } \end{aligned}$ | 55:27 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:4, 57:10, } \\ & \text { 60:16, 64:12, } \end{aligned}$ | - 102:9 <br> unprofessional | $\begin{aligned} & \text { urgency [2] - } \\ & 19: 2,27: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wait [2] - 12:15, } \\ & \text { 111:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 15 \\ & \text { wise [1] - 12:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 7,23: 27, \\ & 47: 27,53: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| $71: 4,76: 9,77: 9$ | unprofessional | V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { waited [1] - } \\ & 61: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $117: 23,118: 1$ |  |
| 118:29 | dacted [3] - | vacuum [4] | 25:15, 25:27 | 5:2, 9:12, 16:8, | Y |
| $\begin{aligned} & 37: 25,38: 29 \\ & 102: 9,113: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:28 } \\ & \text { unregistered [4] } \\ & -44: 19,44: 23, \\ & 88: 10,88: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 10,123: 1 \\ & \text { valuation }[1] \text { - } \\ & 83: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51: 15,95: 3 \\ \text { wants }[1]- \\ 106: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 44:11, 44:17, } \\ \text { 107:5, 107:9, } \\ \text { 128:12 } \\ \text { witness [10] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { year [2] - 39:27, } \\ & 70: 18 \\ & \text { year's [1] - 39:14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| U | unreliable [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { values [2] - 8:22, } \\ & \text { 106:7 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WAS [4]-6:15, } \\ & \text { 66:1, 69:29, } \end{aligned}$ | 14:1, 46:20, | $\begin{gathered} \text { years [6] - } \\ \text { 15:19, 41:27, } \end{gathered}$ |
| ```ultimately [3] - 62:17, 68:29, 94:22 unable [1] - 31:12 unacceptable [1]-94:23``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 88:28 } \\ & \text { untested [1] - } \\ & 91: 4 \\ & \text { untied [1] - } \\ & \text { 88:28 } \\ & \text { UNTIL [1] - } \\ & \text { 128:16 } \\ & \text { untoward [2] - } \\ & 61: 27,64: 15 \end{aligned}$ | ```various [1] - 78:27 veracity [2] - 53:21, 53:23 verification [1] - 54:29 version [2] - 15:4, 44:28 view [39]-10:4,``` | ```106:22 waste [1] - 45:6 waters [1] - 11:19 ways [1] - 84:23 web [1] - 79:13 week [4]-15:18, 16:14, 17:26, 42:21``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:22, 62:3, } \\ & \text { 62:21, 65:20, } \\ & \text { 80:21, 101:22, } \\ & \text { 114:24, 115:25 } \\ & \text { wonder [4] - } \\ & 7: 17,61: 28, \\ & \text { 80:21, 122:4 } \\ & \text { wondering [1] - } \\ & \text { 28:28 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 55:27, 57:10, } \\ & 67: 25,120: 21 \\ & \text { yesterday }[24]- \\ & 6: 8,7: 22,7: 29, \\ & 9: 4,17: 6,21: 11, \\ & 27: 9,38: 9,39: 23, \\ & 54: 25,55: 1, \\ & 55: 19,57: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
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[^0]:    "A rigid system of regi stration will be compul sory for each CHIS. This regi stration will be subjected to

[^1]:    "The registration of a CHIS is a requi rement of this Code. "

[^2]:    "I wi sh to express my severe di sappoi nt ment that this matter has not been dealt with in a more timely

[^3]:    "He has outlined that if the matter is not resol ved he

[^4]:    "The issue to be resol ved is, does it go off to the CR team Ó Cual ái n team or do l do it? That is the

