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THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVB, ON FRI DAY, 29TH NOVEMBER 2019:

MR. MEGI NNESS: Good morning, Chairman. The witness today is Superintendent Minnock.

CHAN RMAN Thank you very much.

## SUPERI NTENDENT AI DAN M NNOCK, HAV NG BEEN SVDRN, WAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MR MCGU NNESS, AS FOLLOVB:

WTNESS: Superintendent Aidan Minnock. CHA RMAK Thank you very much. Good morning, superintendent. Thank you.
1 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: Good morning, Superintendent Minnock.
A. Good morning.

2 Q. Can I ask you, when did you join An Garda Síochána?
A. I joined in '94.

3 Q. And after you were attested, where were you stationed?
A. I went to Limerick firstly, for a six-month probationary period.
4 Q. And then, after that?
A. After that, I went to Blessington Garda Station in County wicklow.
5 Q. Yes. Did you obtain the rank of sergeant then?
A. I went to headquarters for a period, I was attached to the organisation development unit, and from that role I was promoted to the rank of sergeant.

6 Q. Yes. Then you became inspector I think in October 2010; is that right?
A. October 2010 I was promoted, yes, to the rank of inspector.

7 Q. You served in Athy Garda station for some period; is that right?
A. I did, for a brief period, possibly six months or so. 10:31

8 Q. You transferred to Mullingar then in August 2010, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

9 Q. Then you have moved to Ath1one just before Christmas of 2011?
A. That's correct.

10 Q. Just before Christmas 2010, is that right?
A. Just before Christmas 2010.

11 Q. I think you were stationed then in Athlone as an inspector from effectively the beginning of 2011 until your transfer in 2017?
A. That's correct.

12 Q. Were you transferred on promotion?
A. I was.

13 Q. To superintendent?
A. Transferred on promotion to superintendent to Trim district.

14 Q. I think at the time you served as an inspector in Athlone, there was one other inspector, whom we heard from yesterday, Inspector Farre11?
A. That's correct. Inspector Farrell was in Athlone for my tenure.

15 Q. Yes. Now, he had moved there slightly earlier and in that sense I suppose he would have been regarded as a
senior inspector, is that right?
A. That's correct. But you obviously acted as superintendent on occasion during the period?
A. I did, indeed.
what were your portfolios in Athlone when you transferred there?
A. I had over 20 portfolios, I couldn't recall them all. But a large range of portfolios, which included, I suppose, in addition portfolios included roles and responsibilities as to the Garda Code and also I prosecuted in Athlone District Court on a very frequent basis, almost weekly basis. In addition to that, I had a number of approximately 20 plus portfolios assigned from the divisional officer in westmeath. superintendent was in place until superintendent McBrien took over in 2012?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did your portfolios change at that point in time or did they remain the same?
A. They were consistently changing. But they were mainly assigned from the divisional office in westmeath, situated in mullingar.
21 Q. Yes. Just in terms of Garda Keogh, Athlone seems to be a reasonably large district headquarters, isn't that
correct?
A. That's correct. Absolutely. A very busy district headquarters station.

22 Q.
Did you have any or many dealings with Garda Keogh from the period you arrived there onwards?
A. No, I had very little dealings with Garda Keogh for the first time of years. I think my initial one-to-one interactions were really following him making a protected disclosure.
would it follow therefore you didn't have any direct experience of his work, his performance as a Guard?
A. That's correct. I suppose I would be relying on the input and feedback from the supervisors who had, I suppose, extensive knowledge of Garda Keogh. Yes. I note that you did become involved in reporting on his absence through illness in the middle of 2012, do you recal1 that?
A. I do.
Q. Perhaps we will just look at Volume 13, at page 3672 . This is a report dated 17th July 2012. You're reporting to the chief superintendent, who at that time was Chief Superintendent Curran, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You're reporting that:
"On 25th May 2012, Garda Ni chol as Keogh reported si ck and unfit for duty. The reason for same was that Garda Keogh had vol untarily admitted himself for inpatient somewhere treatment in Dublin.

Garda Keogh successfully compl et ed his treat ment and ret urned of f sick leave on 8th July 2012. Garda Keogh is presently on annual leave and is expected to return to full policing duties on completion of same."

And you are forwarding, with a number of attachments, that report to the chief superintendent. Is that a normal sort of return to work report?
A. Yes, that would be, I suppose particularly in a situation where there was an occupational health service referral form being submitted, it would have to go through the normal channels and be forwarded to the chief superintendent's office for onward transmission.
27 Q. Yes. That's item 3 you're referring to there, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.

28 Q. That's to be found at page 3674. That's completed by you then on the same date and it gives, on the first page, the nature of his work, the attendance record for the past year, and you're noting there towards the bottom of that page, if you scroll down that page, the number of rostered days absent in the past 12 months. And on to the next page then, you're providing background details there?
A. Yes.

29 Q. About problems or absence of problems. Presumably you had received some report from his frontline supervisors to enable you to fill that out?
A. I think, I suppose the supervisors would actually have probably assisted me in completing that.
Q. A11 right. In any event, we can see at the bottom of the page, you sign that on the 27th Ju7y and it goes up with your report, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct. That would have been instigated on foot of the fact that there was in excess of 28 days absence within a period. Yes. That didn't require you to examine the basis upon which Garda Keogh was certified to be sick. It was just reporting on the absence, is that right?
A. It was reporting on the absence but it was actually requesting that the Occupational Health Service would examine the issue.
Q. Yes.
A. That was the reason for the referral.
A. It was essentially instigating the organisational welfare supports that would be available through the occupation health service, advising them of the situation regarding Garda Keogh.

34 Q. If we look at 3671 , we see that Chief Superintendent Curran sends that on to the assistant commissioner who, was Assistant Commissioner Fanning. Then Assistant Commissioner Fanning -- sorry, Chief Superintendent Curran's report is to be found at 3671. That's the 30th July. That forwards your report and notes at the bottom that SAMS was updated by local Garda management. Assistant Commissioner Fanning then, if we go to page

3670, addresses a request to the medical officer, Dr. Collins, to enquire if Garda Keogh could be facilitated with an appointment?
A. Yeah.

I think that led then to Dr. Oghuvbu on the 18th September to furnish recommendations. If we look at page 3669 ?
A. Yeah.
Q. In the body of the report he says:
"Havi ng revi ewed the available information, I offer recommendations as follows:

1. It is appropriate for the nenber to be offered an appoi nt ment for review at this service for gui dance on his medical fitness for policing duties;
2. Local management should explain and di scuss the reasonable for the referral with the nenber.
3. The member should be requested to obtai n and bring al ong copi es of rel evant medi cal reports (case records for his treating doctors) for the aforesaid appoi nt ment.
4. Local management should provide an up-to-date unit report for the attention of this service."

Then it states:
"An appoi nt ment has been arranged for the above menber for the $9 / 10 / 12$ at 2 pmat Garda Occupational Health Service. "

I think that led to Sergeant Tully providing a report to you, which has already been referred to, we don't need to read it, but if we just turn to page 3666. Sergeant tully reports on the four matters there that we have seen already. That came to you then I think as 10:41 acting superintendent, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah.

37 Q. You forwarded that up on the 5th october for the purpose of the appointment with the doctor, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

38 Q. If we go to page 3664. You're reporting there in the following terms:
"I refer to the above and correspondence fromassistant 10:42 commissi oner Humæn Resource Management dated 20th Sept entber 2012.

It is noted that a review with the Garda Occupational Health Service has been arranged for Garda Keogh at 2pm 10:42 on 9th October 2012. Garda Keogh has been made aware of the schedul ed revi ew and has indicated his availability to attend. "

Now, had you yourself spoken to Garda Keogh?
A. No.

39 Q. No. Had Sergeant Tully done that?
A. Yes.

40 Q. "Sergeant Tully has prepared an a unit report,
attached, outlining Garda Keogh's work performance, coping skills and rel ationshi $p$ with peers and super vi sors."

You then refer to his rehabilitation and then you are quoting effectively from Sergeant Tully's report at the bottom of the page and you are sending up enclosed material there. The chief superintendent sends that on and following the appointment Dr. Oghuvbu, reported at page 3661, to the assistant commissioner. Is that a report that you would have seen or would you have been made aware of the general content of it?
A. No, I don't believe so.

41 Q. Okay. But in any event, was Garda Keogh back at work to your knowledge then?
A. I would have to check the records.
A. I don't recall.

43 Q. Okay. We have looked at the SAMS record. But I think you came to furnish a second report in 2013 in relation 10:44 to Garda Keogh, on 22nd March 2013. If we look at page 3657. That is dated the 22nd March, you say:
"I refer to the above and request updated unit report
(previ ous report of October 2012 attached for ease of reference) in respect of Garda Keogh. Si nce Garda Keogh's return to work in July 2012, his attendance record has been good. He has reported sick and unfit for duty on four occasi ons (one by two days, three days 10:44 by one, four days by one, a total of 9 days).

Garda Keogh is presently engaged in full uniformduties attached to a core unit in Athl one Garda Station. He has an excellent rel ationship with both his peers and supervisors. He carries out any duties assigned to him in a professional and diligent manner.

As part of his continued rehabilitation, Garda Keogh is in regul ar contact with the Garda welfare officer and attends AA meetings. He is aware of the servi ces available to himboth within the Garda organi sation and fromoutside agenci es. He continues to make good progress, as advi sed by the chi ef medi cal officer in a report dated 19th October 2012. It would appear that 10:45 no fut ure revi ewis warranted at this time."

That was forwarded up to the chief superintendent. Now, just in relation to the time that had passed, approximately six months since October onwards, had you 10:45 received confirmation from Garda Keogh's front line supervisors that he was making the good progress.
A. Yes.

44 Q. And was performing well?
A. Yes.

45 Q. Now, presumably you sent up that report as acting superintendent at the time?
A. Yeah.

46 Q. It doesn't appear that you had any occasion to furnish any subsequent reports, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

A11 right. If we could perhaps just look quickly at the SAMS record for this period, at 3652. If we go down towards the bottom of the page, bottom half of the 10:46 page. Scroll down, Mr. Kavanagh. Thank you. So, if we just look at that period then, you wrote that report on the 22nd March. It would appear that Garda Keogh was absent for a further 18 days in total from March to the end of that year, 2013. You had no involvement in 10:47 relation to reporting his absence or making any further appointments for review?
A. No. That would be a matter for the district officer.

48 Q. If we scroll up the page, just looking at the beginning of 2014. If we see the first absence there, the 24th January -- sorry, the 5th January 2014. There appears to be up to perhaps 15 days absence between the 1st January and the period in May when Garda Keogh made his protected disclosure. Were you involved in any way with his absence or reporting his absence or the causes 10:47 of his absence?
A. No.

49 Q. If I could turn then to the issue relating to his protected disclosure. I think you don't appear to have
been involved on paper in relation to any issue concerning the Pulse entry, but do you recall, first of all, Garda Keogh's disclosures being publicised in the Dái 1 and any subsequent discussion about that?
A. I do. I recall hearing it. I am not sure how I heard it first, but I do recall hearing the Dáil extract, possibly on the news, if I'm not mistaken, on the 12th May.
50 Q. Yes.
A. Or the 9th May, was it?

51 Q. The 8th May.
A. The 8th May, yeah, yeah.
A. Well, I suppose we didn't know the nature of the protected disclosure other than, I suppose, there was a protected disclosure. And I suppose both Superintendent McBrien and the rest of the management team were keen to support Garda keogh in whatever way we could.
Q. Yes. Were you delegated to or directed to make contact
with Garda Keogh?
A. No.

57 Q. Okay. You did ring him, though, on the 12th May, isn't that correct?
A. I did. I rang him on the 12th May, just to, I suppose, 10:50 offer my support to him.
Q. Yes. You refer to this on page 11 of your statement, which is page 689. But you make notes, which are to be found at page 779. Perhaps we will look at your notes. Now, you've told -- the notes are in volume 4, page 779. You've told the Tribunal, as you recorded in your statement, that you had very little personal contact with Garda Keogh prior to him becoming a whistleblower. So was this phone call out of the ordinary? Had you ever spoken to him on a personal level before?
A. I would have certainly in passing in the station, obviously. I would acknowledge of everyone in meeting them around the station. And I never had cause to talk to Garda Keogh, I suppose, privately in relation to any matter prior to this.
59 Q. Yes.
A. But obviously this was something quite unusual that I felt necessitated in my role and position to talk to Garda Keogh, to just tell him that we were there to support him in his protected disclosures.
60 Q. Yes. Perhaps could you talk us through the notes?
A. Yeah. So it was 12th May 2014. It was:
"6: 15pm I rang Garda Ni ck Keogh. Of fered support or
any hel p l could gi ve hi $m$ personally or on behal $f$ of the organi sation. Ni ck said he was okay at the minute but said 'l appreciate that 'he said 'I know what l'm at and l'mfocused on that'. He said 'it's running it's course as planned'. I agai $n$ reassured himhe could contact me at any stage for a coffee or just for a chat and I would gi ve himany hel p I could, either personally or organi sationally. He said he was happy enough at this stage and he would bring first thing across the line and then see after that.

Ni ck appeared in great form very happy with his course of action and how thi ngs were progressing. Appeared gl ad and appreciative of my call."
61 Q. Just in terms of the quotes there?
A. Yes.

62 Q. You have him saying "I appreci ate that" and was that in relation to your offer of help and support?
A. Yeah.

63 Q. You then quote him saying:
"I know what I'mat and I'mfocused on that."

Had you asked him what he was or how did that come out, was that just volunteered by him?
A. He just volunteered that. What he was at was making a protected disclosure.
64 Q. Was there any discussion of that?
A. No, I took the full content of the conversation noted
there.
65 Q. Okay. He seems then to have volunteered "it's running its course as pl anned". Did you expand on that or ask him anything about that?
A. No.

66 Q. You appear to have had another conversation with him on the same afternoon.
A. That's correct.

67 Q. Perhaps about half an hour later?
A. That's correct.

68 Q. He rang you, is that right?
A. That's correct.

69 Q. You presumably must have missed the call and you returned it?
A. Yeah.

70 Q. Is that right?
A. That's correct.

71 Q. It appears to be prompted by an item on the radio, is that correct?
A. That's correct. It was an article, an item on the Matt 10:54 Cooper show in relation to Section 12 , invoking -- it relates actually to the Section 12 , invoking of a Section 12 in relation to a Roma baby in Athlone.

72 Q. Yes.
A. Which received significant media publicity around that time.

Just explain the contents of the note there.
CHA RMAN If you roll it down so we can see it, Peter.
A. He rang me and I returned the call.
"I was listening to solicitor Paul Connellan on the Matt Cooper show re the Section 12 in Athl one."
74 Q. Was that you were listing to it or he was listening to it?
A. No, he was.

75 Q. He was, all right.
A. I was in work.
"He said he just wanted to reassure me that he had just had a fewissues and wasn't doing a big traw through
local stuff and said I had nothing to worry about.
Said it was like [blank] and wasn't doing a traw
through Pulse. I told himl appreciated that
information and he could ring me any time."
76 Q. Did it appear to you that he was sort of anxious to reassure you and trusted you?
A. Yes.

77 Q. The reference to a "fewissues", he didn't expand on that?
A. No. He just said --

78 Q. But did you take that to mean his protected disclosures?
A. Yes.

79 Q. Now, the next entry you have is for the 28th May. Before I come to that, we know that the entry on Pulse had been created at that point in time?
A. That's correct.

80 Q. It had come to Inspector Farrell's attention, he had reported it up the line. Did he discuss that with you,
the creation of the entry?
A. I was certainly aware of the entry. There would have been some discussion but $I$ had very little engagement or interaction with that, because the file didn't cross my desk.

81 Q. Yes. I appreciate, obviously, you didn't therefore have any sort of formal responsibility for it, but did you have a view about the creation of the entry, putting on Pulse that type of report?
A. Yeah. I certainly thought it was inappropriate.
A. Because I felt that that matter related to -particularly the last part of that entry, related to corruption by Gardaí in Athlone and that was best handled within the protected disclosure and the Galway investigation. That's who I felt it should have been addressed with, rather than the pub1ic forum of a Pulse intelligence entry.
83 Q. Did you become aware, although not involved directly in it, of the dialogue, if $I$ can call it that, that was going on between Superintendent McBrien and Chief Superintendent Curran with Garda Keogh and asking him to, as it were, explain his actions? Did you think that was appropriate?
A. Yes.

84 Q. You yourself, were you consulted as to whether you thought it was a CHIS matter or did you have any few on that, yourself?
A. Well, I would have thought it was a CHIS matter. I
think any information that comes to light where there is an informant is a CHIS matter as per policy.
85 Q. Yes. I mean, had you yourself examined the Pulse entry?
A. I did, I looked at the Pulse entry.

86 Q. Did you see that it was said to have come from a source that was totally reliable?
A. Yes, I did.

87 Q. or always reliable?
A. I noticed that.

88 Q. Is that a significant feature of it from your point of view?
A. Absolutely. It means that the person is tried and trusted, proved reliable in the past, is a known source. And if it's a known source, it puts it certainly into the realms of a CHIS referral.
89 Q. Okay. You yourself didn't speak with Garda Keogh about this at any stage, is that right?
A. No. Because it was being handled, I suppose, by other -- I suppose Inspector Farrell and other officers ${ }^{0} 0: 59$ and I was happy with that.
90 Q. Okay. We know now obviously that Garda Keogh had also done a Pulse check on Garda $A$ at 5:30 in the morning of the 18th?
A. Yes.

91 Q. Presumably you weren't aware of that at the time?
A. No.

92 Q. When did you become aware of that?
A. I can't say when I became aware of it, but it was some
time -- I can't exactly remember when, but I do know that after Garda A had --

93 Q. Garda A. We are talking about a different Garda?
A. Sorry.

94 Q. Garda A?
A. Relayed that information to Superintendent McBrien, that at that point I became aware.
Q. Yes. There were a number of reports that went up to Superintendent McBrien which related to Garda A's concern?
A. Yes.
Q. That went to Superintendent McBrien, but did you become aware of it at that stage?
A. Yes, I would have been aware of it at that stage.

97 Q. Were you consulted by Superintendent McBrien or did you discuss it with her at all?
A. I probably discussed it at some juncture, but not in any formal way. There was no -- I wasn't actioned or asked to do anything in respect of it. I think she was happy with...
98 Q. Okay. Did you have a view about whether it was appropriate to ask him why he had been checking this different Garda A on the Pulse?
A. Absolutely, it was appropriate.

In relation to the third issue, the olivia o'neill
issue, did you have any involvement in that?
A. No. I actually was abroad for a month on a leadership development programme in the United States during that month that both -- I would have to check my diary entry
just for the dates I was away, but I was away for that entire month and returned in early -- I think it was the 4th July and I was away for the month of June, abroad, and returned to work I think on the 4th July. Yes. Were you consulted or did you discuss any of the 11:01 steps that were taken or were going to be taken in relation to olivia O'Neill?
A. Well, when I came back to work following that month, there had been a murder in Athlone and there was
also -- the weekend I arrived back, the Triathlon was on, I think Garda Keogh has actually referred to that as a particularly busy weekend. So I certainly came back to be briefed on a lot of matters, but olivia o'Neill wasn't one of them.
101 Q. Yes. Now, in relation to issue number 4, I think you 11:02 became aware in early July of the e-mail that Garda Lyons had submitted to Sergeant Curley, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

102 Q. You record in your statement at page 9 of it, we don't 11:02 have to look at it:
"Detective Sergeant Curley and I di scussed the report submitted by Garda Lyons."

Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Were you made aware that Sergeant Curley had apparently spoken to Garda Lyons before he had submitted the

> report?
A. Sorry?

104 Q. Were you aware that Garda Lyons and Sergeant Curley had apparently discussed the issue before Garda Lyons submitted the report?
A. No.
Q. Can you tell us about your discussion of the issue with Sergeant Curley?
A. Yeah. I suppose, my conversation with Sergeant Curley surrounded the fact that, I suppose, I was aware of, I suppose, the broad nature of the report, or certain7y he had brought it to my attention and that essentially he had been tasked, I suppose, either himself or to one of his people under his area of responsibility, the crime detective and drugs unit in Athlone, to obtain a statement from Mr. Liam McHugh.
Q. Yes.
A. And that it was his view that he wasn't, I suppose, the appropriate person to do that, due to some knowledge he had or, I suppose, that he knew Mr. Liam McHugh.
107 Q. We11, was this sort of a knowledge based upon personal experience of some sort?
A. Well it's actually to do with --

108 Q. Where they grew up?
A. Yeah,.

109 Q. CHA RMAK They had grown-up together, I think he said. Don't think anybody disputes that, well to date they haven't.
A. That's it, they're from the same locality.

CHA RMAN He brought that to my attention.
110 Q. MR. MEGUNESS: According to your understanding, who had tasked Sergeant Curley with that job?
A. Superintendent McBrien.

111 Q. Superintendent McBrien. Did you discuss the matter with Superintendent McBrien?
A. I don't -- no, I don't believe I did.

112 Q. Yes.
A. I am not sure if Superintendent McBrien was present on that particular day, but $I$ have no recollection of discussing it with Superintendent McBrien but I did fee1 the most appropriate course of action, regardless of any discussion I was going to have with Superintendent McBrien, was to document my position in relation to what was being tasked by Detective Sergeant 11:05 Curley, to document that and advise the superintendent of my view in relation to the matter.

113 Q. okay. I think you say in your statement that you asked that he return the file to me, that's Sergeant Curley, and that's you writing on the file to Superintendent McBrien. When you say the file, what are you talking about? Was there a file as such?
A. Well, there was a report from Garda Lyons, which I didn't have.

114 Q. Had you not seen the report? 11:05
A. I don't believe I had at that stage.

115 Q. okay. So did you receive a copy of the report?
A. I got a copy of the report prior to preparing my minute to the superintendent.

116 Q. Yes.
A. That was a very small file, I appreciate, but that was the file at that juncture.
117 Q. Yes. When you saw the report, I mean it's relatively brief, one could say, did you think it might be appropriate to ask Garda Lyons for any more details or to expand on any issues that arose?
A. No. I think my view on the matter was, certainly perhaps at some juncture Sergeant Lyons could have been asked to expand, but I didn't feel it was appropriate for me or anyone from Athlone Garda Station to delve into the matter or investigate the matter.
118 Q. Well, we will come to that, but you did write and perhaps we will look at page 808, where there is a copy of your letter in that regard. It's dated the 8th July. If we scroll down. It's addressed to the superintendent. And you say:
"Wth reference to the above, I bel ieve the association of Garda members, both with Li am MkHugh and the menbers 11:07 i nvol ved in the investigation, Garda Lyons, Garda A \& Keogh, make it i nappropriate for Gardaí from Athl one to i nt ervi ew Li am McHugh when colleagues are under i nvesti gation.

I understand Detective Inspector Coppi nger is the external appointed of ficer to investigate this matter and I feel he or his investi gation team are the most appropriate persons to intervi ew Mr. MzHugh.

I will obtain a mobile number for Li am McHugh, whi ch will assist the investigation teamto arrange a meeting with Mr. McHugh."

Now, can I just ask you about different elements of that? In the first line you are referring to the "association of Garda members both with Li am McHugh and the menbers invol ved in the investi gation".
A. Yeah.

119 Q. Who are the members you're referring to there in the first line? Are there any particular members?
A. Yes. Detective Sergeant Curley.
Q. Okay. And is it only Detective Sergeant Curley you had in mind there?
A. It's only Detective Sergeant Curley I had in mind but I couldn't be sure there weren't other members.

121 Q. Yes.
A. Because there's a lot of Garda members obviously living in the locality familiar with people in the locality.
A. And I was capturing other members who may also be associated.

123 Q. Yes. Okay. So that's certainly Sergeant Curley and possibly other members?
A. Yes.

124 Q. Both with Liam McHugh. And then "The members i nvol ved with the investi gation", now what investigation are you referring to there in that line?
A. The protected disclosures investigation.
Q. The Ó Cualáin investigation?
A. Yes. Yeah, I think I referred to it later in the minute.
Q. Yes. It's just you seem to have lumped those three named guards into that investigation. Was that your intention? Were you assuming or did you have some sort of knowledge that those three guards were involved in the Ó Cualáin investigation?
A. I was aware that -- yes, I was aware that the allegations that Garda Keogh had made related to Garda A, who, I suppose, was a colleague of Garda Lyons and I suppose I couldn't be sure what other members were part of it.

127 Q. Yes.
A. But certain7y...

128 Q. Obvious7y Sergeant Curley, I take it, was one of the senior sergeants in Athlone?
A. Yes.

129 Q. You and he had come to the view that it was
A. We11, I think, yeah, we11, I had formed -- I suppose that was my independent view in relation to the position.
130 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

131 Q. I understand that. But Garda Keogh seems to have assured you in one of the phone calls that you have noted and that we have looked at, that you weren't --
you had nothing to worry about from him?
A. That's correct.

132 Q. Did you take it from that, that you weren't going to be involved in the ó Cualáin investigation in any way?
A. I couldn't take that from that.

133 Q. Yes. But obviously you're not associating yourself with being --
A. Yeah, well I was aware from -- my impression was that a lot of the allegations were prior to 2010 and prior it my arrival in Athlone, but $I$ couldn't be sure what was the nature --
Q. Al1 right.
A. I didn't know the nature of the allegations.
Q. A11 right. We11, what $I$ am circling around to is this issue: Sergeant Curley wasn't appropriate, Garda Keogh 11:11 had told you you had nothing to worry about, did you not think it perhaps a step to be taken that you should interview Mr. McHugh?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. And why do you say that so clearly, if I could ask you, 11:11 superintendent?
A. Yeah, because I felt firstly the allegation related to wrongdoing in Athlone.

137 Q. Yes.
A. So it would be internal Garda policy that you would never investigate someone under your own structure or chain of command. So it would be against Garda policy for me to go and investigation Gardaí in Athlone. That's the first reason.

```
138 Q. Al1 right.
    A. I also felt that, I suppose, the inferences was that it
        was members in Ath1one. Whether the matter was already
        investigation by the Ó Cualáin investigation, I wasn't
        to know that.
139 Q. Yes.
    A. It could be a matter that came to light during the course of their investigation and it could actually be a piece that linked in allegations that were already made by Garda Keogh. So it could be a missing piece in 11:12 their investigation. It could also be a situation, I felt, that Liam McHugh could need the reassurance from an externally appointed person and he will be more comfortable making allegations.
140 Q. We11, did you know Mr. McHugh?
A. No.
141 Q. Did you know him to see?
A. No.
Q. In terms of your previous answer to me there, you seem to be clearly of the view that the investigation of this would be an investigation into wrongdoing by Gardaí arising from Garda Lyons' report?
A. Well there was a number of possibilities based on Garda Lyons' report.
143 Q. Yes.
A. I think about six or seven different scenarios, that the report alluded to.
144 Q. Yes.
A. Which version of that was the truth, I didn't know at
```

that juncture.
145 Q. Yes.
A. That was something the investigation was going to estab1ish.

146 Q. Yes.
11:13
A. If Mr. Liam McHugh was willing to make a statement.

A11 right. So did you see it as open-ended in terms of what was to be investigated?
A. Absolutely, there was lots of possibilities.

148 Q. So you're not seeing this simply as an investigation or 11:13 a possible investigation into Garda Keogh, is that right?
A. Actually, I think, my statement, if can $I$ bring it up, is very clear in relation to where my focus was in relation to this matter and my rationale in relation to 11:14 sending it to Galway.

149 Q. Yes. We11, perhaps we will look at page 687, is that the portion you're referring to? The middle of page 687. You see there, line 446 , you're referring to -that's the line in Garda Keogh's statement made to the Tribunal investigators, 1ine 446. You say:
"I note Garda Keogh's reference to the Li am MzHugh matter."

Is that where you were referring to?
A. Yeah -- no. If we go to line 456.

150 Q. Okay, if we go on to page 688 then?
CHA RMAK I'm sorry?

MR. MEGU NESS: Page 688.
CHA RMAN Thank you.
151 Q. MR. MEGUNESS: This is the portion of your statement that you're referring to, is that right?
A. Yeah. I suppose what $I$ am saying is:
"I cannot accept that Garda management were onl y concer ned about whet her Garda Keogh went to Li am McHugh or not. In order to advance the substantive matter, a statement is requi red from Li am McHugh to establish if Gardaí actually stole money from hi mand spent it. A statement would allow for the investigation of the facts contai ned within. At various levels Garda management consi dered who was best placed to take this statement and obtai $n$ a statement. It was my consi dered 11:15 opi ni on that (then) Assi stant Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái n i nvesti gation was best placed to investi gate the matter, which clearly indi cates my focus was on the substantive matter."

And by that I mean, the actual allegation that Gardaí stole money from Mr. Liam McHugh and spent it.
152 Q. Yes. Your minute went to Superintendent McBrien and did you discuss that with her?
A. Yes.

153 Q. She has given her own evidence, but she did write the next day to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. You become aware of that?
A. Yeah.
Q. The way you describe it is that she sent the file on, is that correct?
A. Yes. I think the file had expanded within that period. 11:16
Q. Yes. So is it correct that Superintendent Mulcahy received whatever the entire file was relating to McHugh via Superintendent McBrien's --
A. Well, I presume so. I didn't send the file, it was Superintendent McBrien.
157 Q. We11, her letter of the 9th July is volume 14, page 3977. If we go down the page.
"On 2nd June 2014, Garda Ai dan Lyons made a report regarding inf ormati on he recei ved from Mr. Li am MkHugh concerning Garda Ni chol as Keogh. An e- mail outlining this is attached.

I have requested that a statement regarding this all egation be taken from Mr. MkHugh. Detective
Sergeant Curley, who knows Mr. McHugh personally since childhood, has stated that he would not be the most suitable person to take this statement. Garda Tom Hi ggi ns, At hl one, met with Li am MkHugh and invited him to make statement in rel ation to this incident.
Mr. McHugh ref used to make a statement but undertook to thi nk about it and maybe make hi nself available to provi de such statement in the future."

Can I just stop there. Had you been aware that Garda Higgins had approached Mr. McHugh?
A. No.

So, at the time of your discussion with Sergeant Curley, there was no mention of Garda Higgins going out 11:18 or having gone out, is that right?
A. No, he hadn't gone out.

159 Q. He hadn't gone out?
A. No.

160 Q. But was there any discussion or mention by Sergeant Curley of an intention to send Garda Higgins out?
A. The discussion surrounding that, he been instructed to take a statement by the superintendent and I think he had received a reminder in respect of that matter subsequent, during the period I was abroad.

161 Q. Sergeant Curley?
A. Sergeant Curley had.

162 Q. Yes.
A. So it was a very clear instruction, to take a statement from Mr. Liam McHugh. I suppose Detective Sergeant Curley was willing to comply with that requirement but he some concerns.

163 Q. Yes.
A. Which he brought to my attention on the 8th July. I formed my own personal independent view in respect of that matter and I wrote to the superintendent expressing that in my minute.

164 Q. Yes. But I just want to be clear in my own mind what your evidence is on this point. Did Sergeant Curley
mention Garda Higgins during the discussion with you?
A. No, I don't believe so. I can't recall any mention of Garda Higgins.
Q.

Did you become aware between the 8th, when you wrote your letter, and then the 9th, when you discussed the matter with Superintendent McBrien, that Garda Higgins had gone out?
A. No. I think my conversation with Superintendent McBrien would have been post the 9th July. But I can't give a specific timeframe on that. It basically said that she agreed with my view in respect of the matter and had sent the matter forward to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy.
166 Q. Superintendent MCBrien goes on:
"I have consi dered and agreed with Inspector M nnock and Detective Sergeant Curley's vi ew that in the light of your investigation it might be more appropriate and i mpartial if someone fromoutside this di strict approached Mr. MEHugh to ascertain if he is willing to make a statement. In the circunstances, would it be possi ble for Detective Inspector Coppi nger to arrange to intervi ew Li am McHugh in this regard? I nspector M nnock At hl one...."

Then there is a number given

[^0]Garda Keogh is aware that l know about this allegation. He informed me last ni ght that he is meeting his confidential reci pient on Mbnday and he will be making hi maware of it.

I would be gratef ul for your assistance in this regard. "

Now, it doesn't appear explicitly that Superintendent McBrien sent on your letter to her to Superintendent Mulcahy, but she seems to have been very clearly agreeing with your view?
A. Yeah, it appears to me as well from that minute that she compiled a composite minute of all the correspondence and placed it into that minute and forwarded it to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy. That's what it appears to me.

167 Q. Now, I think you make it clear in your statement that you were never contacted by anyone on the ó Cualáin team in relation to meeting Mr. McHugh.
A. That's correct.

168 Q. Or arranging an appointment in any way with Mr. McHugh, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

169 Q. But did Superintendent McBrien make you aware of any response that she had received in relation to this suggestion?
A. I think at some stage later we had a brief conversation to say that the file had returned. But, at that stage
it had been clarified by Garda Keogh that he had no knowledge of any interaction with Liam McHugh.
170 Q. Well, did Superintendent McBrien inform you of that, that she had interviewed him and put the allegation to Garda Keogh?
A. At some point she did.
A. I merely had got concerns the detective sergeant brought to me and I felt it appropriate to document my opinion in respect of that and document it to the superintendent. That was my sole involvement in the file.
173 Q. Yes. You do respond to an element of Garda Keogh's statement in which he effectively makes a complaint that there was no investigation ever carried out in relation to the alleged theft of monies. You say at page 688 of your statement:
> "I bel i eve Garda Keogh is correct in stating that no investi gation took pl ace in rel ation to the alleged thef $t$ of moni es."

Was that a matter of concern to you, that no investigation had taken place, or were you just confirming that as a fact?
A. I just confirming that as a fact. The reality was, it
wasn't a concern to me because the matter had been fully satisfied from the point of view that Garda Keogh had denied he had any interaction with Mr. Liam Mchugh and Mr. McHugh had also said that he had no knowledge of the situation of what was being alluded to. He also 11:24 had said he wasn't willing to make a statement in respect of the matter. So there was nothing to start an investigation. The matter was fully addressed in every avenue and concluded. So it wasn't a matter of concern to me.
174 Q. Just going back to your notes. You made a note of the conversation that you had with him on the 28th May?
A. What page, sorry?

175 Q. On page 779, volume 4. At the bottom of page 779.
A. Yes.

176 Q. You record there:
"Spoke to Garda Keogh. Had spoken to himin public office tolet himknow l wi shed to speak with him I met himinthe backyard and had a conversation with
him I asked himif he had experienced any negative feel ings or comments."

That probably should be
" -- si nce he cane forward and he said he hasn't. I told himif there was any change or he had any issue, he could come to me and I would address the matter. Garda Keogh mentioned that in relation to the media it
was not likel y the [blank] i ssue and not associated. I stated I understood that and agai $n$ reassured Garda Keogh that he could come to me with any concerns he had. Garda Keogh approved satisfied and conversation fi ni shed. "

Was there any matter that prompted that sort of attempt to reassure him?
A. Yeah. No, I suppose my phone call of the $12 / 5$ was by way of phone call.

177 Q. Yes.
A. And I suppose when you're, I suppose, giving someone, I suppose, some reassurance or want to talk to them in relation to those matters, it would have been more appropriate if Garda Keogh -- to talk to him on that day. So I followed up my phone call from the 12th by way of personal interaction when he was in the station, when we were both working together. That was the reason I followed up on that.
178 Q. All right. Now, just linking that with a number of Garda Keogh's concerns which he has given evidence about, in your minute to Superintendent McBrien you are talking about the inappropriateness of somebody interviewing Mr. McHugh, but at that point in time the ó Cualáin investigation were interviewing Gardaí in Athlone. Firstly, did Garda Keogh express any concern to you about that at the time?
A. No. Nor during the process.

179 Q. Did you have any view about that one way or another,
from any other guard in Athlone of your own...
A. Nobody ever brought it to my attention, any concerns they had in relation to the investigation being carried out in Athlone. I suppose it was my personal view that it was certainly very convenient that the investigation team were coming to us rather than us having to travel to them. The convenience was also enhanced by the fact that when they were asking you questions or queries, I know one example of my own, I required to get a court file and I could go to the court office, get the file and make my statement based on the facts contained within the court file. I also had access to Pulse or other records that I needed to make statements in respect of their investigation. So $I$ personally felt it was very convenient and certainly facilitated matters for me, personally anyway. I appreciate other had different concerns but they may be on the same unit as Garda Keogh and hearing his concerns. I appreciate they may have a different perspective on it. But from my personal point of view, it certainly facilitated...
180 Q. Are these the entirety then of the notes of any interactions that you had with Garda Keogh?
A. Yes. Everything that I disclosed?

181 Q. Yes?
A. Yeah.

182 Q. We will be coming back to issues later, you understand that, we're only dealing with issues 1 to 4 ?
A. I do.

183 Q. There is a note which potentially relates to an issue 4
matter, I think which you made in your journal, of a conversation that you had with Garda Greene at a much later date, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

184 Q. I think if we look at page 801 of your notes, 801 of volume 3. There is a record of a phone call there on the $17 / 7 / 2018$. My understanding is that that first note is incomplete, is that correct?
A. That's correct. That's correct. I think it's available on the hand --

185 Q. Yes. You didn't prepare these typed versions yourself; is that correct?
A. No, they were actually typed in an office in headquarters.
186 Q. Yes. But you brought it to our attention that there is 11:29 another few lines which should be --
A. I did, and I actually have it included in my statement, which is --

187 Q. Yes.
A. If I just refer to it. I suppose my made my statement 11:30 having gone through my notes and then submitted my notes to be typed.

188 Q. Yes.
CHA RMAN So if we go to your statement, we will find a fuller version.
A. No.

189 Q. CHAI RMAN No? Sorry, okay.
A. I made my statement, which is, I suppose, maybe a composite extract of what's there. I refer to it.

MR. MEGU NNESS: Yes, what portion of your statement do you wish to refer to?
190 Q. CHA RMAR Superintendent, can you, from your written notes, your handwritten notes, can you fill in for us what needs to be added to this?
A. If I have my original diary, I can.

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Okay.
MR. MEGI NNESS: we have circulated the handwritten version of it. It's at page 15823.
MR. MRPHY: Chairman, with your permission, we have the original document here, if that's helpful.

CHA RMAN Very good. Yes, absolutely. 15823, is that correct?

MR. MEGU NNESS: Yes.
CHA RMAN So we have the superintendent here with the original document and he is going to tell us the part that's missing from the -- he is going to tell us what the note says. Would you like to read us the note.
A. And I suppose this extract here also has it, which is the handwritten, it's just not as clear.
191 Q. CHA RMAN Absolutely.
A. So, if you scroll down to the very bottom of that. The entry is on the 17/7.

192 Q. MR. MEGUNESS: Just to put it in context, this is a phone call not from Garda Keogh or with Garda Keogh?
A. Exactly.

193 Q. It's is a phone call from Garda Greene?
A. Yeah, I think the entry clarifies it at the very outset. It says:
"Call from Garda Greene. "
194 Q. Yes. And it's at a time when Garda Keogh has I think given evidence of perhaps a change in relationship with Garda Greene?
A. That's correct.
Q. So it is to be taken in that context also?
A. Yes.
Q. You have heard that evidence?
A. I have.

197 Q. If you just read out the omitted part, which is the handwritten last four lines there?
A. Okay. At the very bottom of the entry it says:
"Ni ck sai d he wasn't happy with Lyons and Curley and what they'd said after they got di scl osure. He seemto suggest he was goi ng after them now. "
Q. Now, obviously it doesn't relate to the disclosure made by the Tribunal, but it probably relates to either the Freedom of Information disclosure or the disclosure made in the course of the Finn investigation?
A. Yeah, I couldn't be sure.

199 Q. You don't know what disclosure is being referred to as a matter of certainty?
A. I don't.

200 Q. Again, this is a hearsay version of Garda Keogh's expressions, if being accurately relayed through Garda Greene?
A. That's correct.
Q. Right.
A. Just to clarify, I suppose in my statement on page 708 I just say that:
"Garda Keogh stated he wasn't happy with --"

I have in brackets:
".. sergeant Ai dan (Lyons) or (I nspector Eamon) Curl ey after he got di scl osure and he was after them now."

I made a contemporaneous note in my Garda journal.

CHA RMAN Thank you.
202 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: You have included that in your
original statement?
A. I have, and in my original disclosure.

203 Q. Thank you, Superintendent Minnock. We will be recalling you at a later date. Other parties may wish to cross-examine you now.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAN RMAN Now, yes, Mr. O'Brien.

MR. O BRI EN Good morning, Chairman. Good morning,
superintendent.
A. Good morning.
Q. I am going to ask you some questions on behalf of Garda Keogh. Just going back first of a11, I think you described your relationship with Garda Keogh in your statement at page 685 as that you had a good relationship with him, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. I just want to ask you a question just to ascertain the position on the ground, as it were, prior to 2014. We know that you were stationed in Athlone since 2010 and I will frame this question in the same way as I asked Inspector Farre11 yesterday: Were you aware that there were rumours as to certain matters circulating either in the community or in the station at that time?
A. No.

206 Q. Okay. Just to move on to discuss the issue concerning Liam McHugh. We know that this arose as a result of an e-mail that was sent by Detective Sergeant Curley -sorry, by Garda Lyons -- sorry, it's on foot of a report by Garda Lyons, it's sent by e-mail from Detective Sergeant Curley to Inspector Farrell. When you returned from the United States in July, did you and Inspector Farrell discuss this issue?
A. No.

207 Q. So the first time you became aware of it was from your conversation with Detective Sergeant Curley, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you recall that conversation with Detective Sergeant Curley?
A. I do.

Did I understand you a moment ago to say that at the time you didn't have a copy of the e-mail containing the report?
A. Well, when the initial conversation happened I didn't have a copy, that I'm aware of, certainly not on my desk, because I asked for the file at that juncture, prior to preparing my minute, which was essentially the 11:35 report from Sergeant Lyons.
A. Well, I suppose Sergeant Curley was merely, I suppose, explaining the concerns surrounding him, the appropriateness of him making or taking the statement from Mr. Liam McHugh.
211 Q. I see. I suppose what I am trying to ascertain first of all is, what was your understanding of the content of the report or what was he saying to you about Liam McHugh and essentially what the allegation was?
A. He just basically said that there was an allegation. He didn't -- I suppose, it certainly is quite complex, so I don't think he tried to explain all of that. Rather, the conversation surrounded the appropriateness of him actually taking the statement from Liam McHugh or tasking someone from his unit or section to take the statement from Liam McHugh. I suppose he was seeking
either was I on the same page as him or was -- did I have a different view or what was my independent view on the matter. And I had my own view on the matter. I see. But did you understand it at that stage, when you're speaking to Detective Sergeant Curley, that it's 11:37 an allegation of wrongdoing relating to Gardaí in Ath1one?
A. I did. Okay. Did you see that as -- I presume you did see it as a serious issue?
A. Absolutely.
A. I documented it on the minute on the 8th July 2014, I suppose the nature of what $I$ felt surrounding the outcome of that conversation with Detective Sergeant Curley.
Q. That's the minute that we have seen a few moments ago?
A. That's correct.
Q. That was opened by Mr. McGuinness. But in terms perhaps of a diary entry, did you make any journal note or a diary entry of it, just given its seriousness?
A. Certainly not. I wouldn't feel the need. I suppose every matter we deal with in An Garda Síochána is a serious matter. If you are going to put a minute on the matter expressing your specific views on it, I think it was well documented.

217 Q. I see.
A. So I didn't.

218 Q. I think the situation then evolved and you asked for a copy of the file so that you could write to Superintendent McBrien, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yeah.

219 Q. And that's how the letter, or the minute, as you say of 11:38 the 8th --
Q. CHA RMAN Sorry, I thought you asked for the file?
A. I asked for the file as it stood.

CHA RMAN Yes, Mr. O'Brien said you asked for a copy of the file. I thought you asked for the file.
MR. O BRI EN Sorry.
CHA RMAN I thought it was a file, it wasn't as if there were copies around. That's what my understanding is so far.
221 Q. MR. O BRIEN You asked for the file, just to clarify, 11:39 superintendent?
A. Yeah.

222 Q. And you were provided with that?
A. Yeah.

223 Q. At that stage did you have any conversation with Inspector Farrell about this issue?
A. No.

224 Q. Did you know that Inspector Farrell had sent the issue up the line, as it were, to Chief Superintendent Curran?
A. No. I can't say I was aware of that. I probably would have suspected that may have happened but... That happened in my absence, so I can't say that I was aware of that. We know that the matter -- sorry, that Superintendent McBrien did write to Detective Superintendent Mulcahy and that he wrote back, and his letter is at page 1191. His view, as we can see -- if that can be brought up, please. You can see in the second last paragraph there, he felt:
"I feel it may be prudent for an i ndependent i nvestigation to be conducted to progress these matters."

So he was of the view that this should be investigated independently, is that right?
A. Sorry, I just can't see the screen.

MR. KELLY: I don't think it has been brought up on the screen.
A. I can't see the screen.

MR. KELLY: Sorry, the witness can't see the screen. He's getting a hard copy.
A. Thank you. Apologies.

230 Q. MR. O BRI EN Now, you can see there from the second last paragraph, it says:
"I feel that it may be prudent for an independent i nvesti gation to be conducted to progress these matters."

That is Superintendent Mulcahy.
A. Yes.

231 Q. That was your view as we11, was it?
A. Yes.

232 Q. Were you surprised then when that didn't occur?
A. Em, not when all the matters were bottomed out.

233 Q. I mean --
A. A investigation commences with a statement. with no
statement, there is no investigation.
234 Q.
Well, you said a few moments ago that it wasn't a concern to you as the matter was fully satisfied, because Garda Keogh, I am paraphrasing here, said he had no knowledge. That's a position he maintained from the outset, isn't that right; that he had no knowledge of this incident?
A. From his interaction --
Q. With Liam McHugh?
A. With Superintendent McBrien.

Yes. But he maintained that he had no knowledge of this incident ever occurring, isn't that correct?
A. And the date of that?

237 Q. No, at the time. When you were dealing with this issue at the time, did you understand Garda Keogh's position in relation to Mr. McHugh, that he had no interaction with him at all?
A. No. That precedes my minute of the 8th July.
Q. Okay. You said a moment ago that the issue wasn't a concern to you at the end because the matter was fully satisfied because Garda Keogh said he had no knowledge and Mr. McHugh said he had no knowledge, you said in your evidence?
A. That's correct. live issue, and that's the content of what's contained in Garda Lyons' report. How did Garda Lyons -- why the substance of the report wasn't investigated in relation to Garda Lyons?
A. What you are asking me is, did I question the credibility of Sergeant Lyons?
240 Q. Yes.
A. No.

241 Q. Why was that?
A. Did I question the credibility of Garda Keogh? No. There's one person left.
Q. Do you know why the matter wasn't properly investigated, as Garda Keogh has maintained?
A. Yes.
A. Because there was no statement. Every matter was bottomed out, that I am aware of.

244 Q. Is it your view that a statement should not have been taken from Garda Lyons?
A. Garda Lyons had provided a report. So at that stage there was -- I wouldn't have seen any need for the taking of a statement.
Q. At that stage, can I just ask you, were you aware that internally Garda Keogh was dealing with, as we have described it, the Pulse entry from the 18th May? So he was dealing with queries from the senior management in relation to that. Were you aware of that?
A. I wouldn't have been directly aware of it, but I would have known there was some queries surrounding that. But where those queries -- what Garda Keogh was answering or not answering certainly would have passed my desk.
246 Q. I see. Were you aware, secondly, that he was dealing
with queries relating to Olivia O'Neill?
A. Not really. I wasn't overly familiar with the olivia O'Neill investigating file at any stage.
okay. And obvious7y you were aware that he -- oh sorry, were you aware that he was dealing with questions in relation to the Liam McHugh issue?
A. I was aware that he was going to have to, subsequent to my minute of the 8th July, answer questions in relation to the Liam McHugh matter.
248 Q.
When you consider these three issues running side by side, these three investigations, would you accept that taken together, as Garda Keogh has said, that this is evidence of targeting of Garda Keogh?
A. Absolutely not. I fully refute that. All of those matters came to light not manufactured by Garda management but came to Garda management's attention, and all of those matters had to be bottomed out, which was done so by Garda management. I fully refute that. MR. O BRI EN I don't have any further questions, Chairman.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Now, who is next? Mr. Kane?
MR. KANE: Judge, I have two short questions for the witness, please.

CHA RMAN Very good. Is it right that you should go next and then I go to the Gardaí? Are you happy with
that.
MR. KANE: Very happy.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Mr. Kane, just remind us who you are for.
MR. KANE: Yes. Insofar as it concerns these, I am for 11:46 Garda Fergal Greene.

CHAN RMAN Thank you very much.

# SUPERI NTENDENT AI DAN M NNOCK WAS THEN CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. KANE, AS FOLLOVG: 

249 Q. MR. KANE: Superintendent Minnock, I want to ask you questions about two statements that are made in your statement. The first is on page 701, please. You should see towards the top of the page, in the second paragraph, it says:
"I asked Ser geant Har an if I shoul d approach Garda Keogh. Sergeant Har an reckoned it would not be the best move as Garda Keogh did not seek any more or ot her 11:47 interventions fromlocal management at that time."

Do you see that?
A. The 19th May?

CHA RMAN Do we know when that is, Mr. Kane? Surely 11:47 we need to go backwards.
MR. KANE: Well, it's not dated in the statement but if you trace back the previous sentence --

CHA RMAN That's what I was hoping. If you look back
at the previous page, we need to find something.
MR. KANE: It appears to be 2015. The last date in the statement is 5th January 2015.
CHA RMAN Yes.
A. Yeah.

250 Q. MR. KANE: So, did you inform Garda Greene of that information.
A. No.
Q. Can I ask you, please, to turn to page 707. At the very bottom of the page, you're referring, I think, to 11:48 an in person conversation between yourself and Garda Keogh. And at the very bottom of the page, it says:
"He" and I think that is Garda Keogh:
"...said that the chi ef superintendent was al ready in touch with himand he asked for it to top and that any further di rect contact would be seen as harassment. He sai $d$ he al ready compl ai ned about bullying and harassment frommanagement and he doesn't want any more 11:48 contact fromthem I asked would he like me to remain in contact with himand he saidit would be better if l di dn't."

Did you pass on that information to Garda Greene?
A. No. Just to clarify, I suppose, I didn't pass on any of my conversations with Garda Keogh to Garda Greene.

252 Q. Very well. Thank you, superintendent.

END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thank you. Now, Mr. Murphy.
MR. MRPHY: Yes, Chairman.
MR. O CONNOR: I beg your pardon, Chairman, Stephen
O'Connor.
CHA RMAN I am sorry, Mr. O'Connor. Should you go next, Mr. O'Connor? who are you for?
MR. O CONNOR: I appear for retired Assistant
Commissioner Fintan Fanning, I am instructed by Sean
Costello Solicitors.
CHA RMAN Yes. And do you think you should go next?
MR. O CONNOR: Towards the close of Superintendent Minnock's evidence to Mr. McGuinness, a document was opened in which adverse references were made to
Assistant Commissioner Fanning.
CHA RMAN This is the note, the handwritten note of the conversation that this witness had with Fergal
Greene, Garda Greene, is that right?
MR. O CONNOR: Precisely, Chairman.
CHAN RMAN That's right.
MR. O CONNOR: From July 2018.
CHA RMAN It did, yes. That's correct.
MR. OCONNOR: The effect of that is that in a collateral way that has now been aired in public this morning.
CHA RMAN Are you objecting to that, Mr. O'Connor?
MR. OCONNOR: No, I don't think we could object to the document being opened but it does have the effect
perhaps that Assistant Commissioner Fanning's name, good name has now been engaged and without -- the relevance of the material that I am referring to, to the Terms of Reference of the Tribunal is certainly tenuous, albeit that Garda Keogh was in fact cross-examined by the Commissioner's legal team in respect of the same conversation.
CHAI RMAN what do you suggest I should do or how should we deal with the matter?

MR. OCONOR: I would like to ask, on that basis, Superintendent Minnock just two or three questions.
CHA RMAN Yes. Do you think that you should go before Mr. Murphy, because if you do, I would be reasonably sympathetic to that, subject to hearing what Mr. Murphy has to say? would you prefer that or would you prefer to hold your fire until such time as you have heard Mr. Murphy?
MR. MRPH: Chairman, I have no objection if my Friend goes first.
CHA RMAN Yes. Te11 me, you might be better off to wait until Mr. Murphy has asked whatever he is going to, so that if something arises in it, you're in a better position. I will do whatever you want me to do. MR. OCONNOR: I am happy to wait until Mr. Murphy has finished examining the witness, just in case something does arise.

CHA RMAN I think as a matter of practical politics, so to speak, a matter of practical justice, it's probably better. That seems better, Mr. Murphy.

MR MRPH: Yes, Chairman.
CHA RMAN If something arises, there may be something else for Mr. o'Connor. And I take his point as to the questionable or whether it's of tangental relevance to the Terms of Reference. I take his point about that and I'm obviously not making any ruling on that. So you take your own source, Mr. O'Connor. Okay, thank you very much. Thank you for that intervention, Mr. O'Connor.

MR. O CONNOR: Thank you, Chairman.

SUPER NTENDENT AI DAN M NNOCK WAS THEN CROSS- EXAM NED BY

MR MRPHY, AS FQLLONS

253 Q. MR. MRPPH: Superintendent Minnock, thank you. You 11:52 have been asked questions in relation to the Liam McHugh issue. I wonder if you could please be shown page 695. This, I think, is an extract from your statement. Could I refer you please to the middle of the page and I wonder if it could scrolled down, please, just to the centre. Thank you. And the paragraph beginning "on page 9 and 10", do you see that?
A. Yes.

254 Q. Can I just ask you to confirm, what is said there, I 11:52 think you say that Garda Keogh mentions the issue surrounding Liam McHugh and you say that you have addressed your involvement in the matter and then you go on to say:
"I do not accept that the focus of the matter was the al I eged coerci on of Garda Keogh towar ds Li am MkHugh, i nducing himto make a compl ai nt agai nst ot her Gardaí. The ot her substantive matter surrounds the alleged theft from Li am McHugh and this assertion was a concern to re."

Can I ask you, does that confirm what you meant earlier when you said the substantive matter?
A. Absolutely. That was a serious and significant allegation that was in that report.

255 Q. Yes. I wonder could you then please be shown document number 15823, please. This is the handwritten note which Mr. McGuinness asked you about. I think have you 11:53 the original diary with you in the box, is that correct?

CHA RMAN This is the matter Mr. O'Connor referred to. MR. MRPHY: That's the very same matter, yes.
A. Yes.

256 Q. MR. MRPHY: Again, just because the handwriting is slightly opaque, could I ask you just to assist us by indicating what that entry say, from the top, please. I think this is call from Garda Greene?
A. That's correct.
"Fer gal Greene, Garda, 17/ 7/ 2018. . ."

Is when the entry was made.

Q. You needn't name the next person. And then "was sur prised"?
A. "And was surprised that Ni ck knew about any issue and it confirmed to hi mthat Keogh had been tal king to
Ni ck said that Fanning was after --" Fanni ng.

Ni ck said he wasn't happy with Lyons and Curley and what they'd said after he' d got di scl osure. He seemed to suggest he was going after them now. "
258 Q. I think that last passage is the one that Mr. McGuinness asked you about?
A. Yes.
Q. This was information relayed to you by Garda Greene, based on he said he had spoken to Garda Keogh about it? 11:55
A. That's correct.

260 Q. You made a note of this in your diary?
A. That's correct.

261 Q. Were you surprised by this information?
A. Yes.

262 Q. In terms of the questions you have been asked concerning your approach and relationship to the Liam McHugh issue, can I ask you, in terms of all the steps that you took, did you witness any of your colleagues targeting Garda Keogh in relation to that complaint?
A. No. I certainly didn't.

263 Q. And was it your intention or was it your objective at any stage of your involvement in this process to target or discredit or to hurt Garda Keogh?
A. Absolutely not.

264 Q. MR. MRPHY: Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Now, Mr. O'Connor. Everyone happy if Mr. O'Connor goes next? Ms. O'Rourke, are you happy?
MS. O RORKE: I have no questions.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much.
MR. CONLOR No questions.
CHA RMAN Then we will come back to Mr. McGuinness. okay, Mr. O'Connor.

# SUPERI NTENDENT AI DAN M NNOCK WAS THEN CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. O CONNOR, AS FOLLOVS: 

265 Q. MR. OCONNOR: Thank you, Chairman. Superintendent Minnock, I appear for retired Assistant Commissioner Fintan Fanning. I think you accepted in your
direct-evidence that what you are recording here as a note is hearsay. It's information which has been passed from -- allegedly passed from Garda Keogh to Garda Greene and then on to you, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. It's second or thirdhand information?
A. It is secondhand information, yes.
Q. Yes. In fact, Assistant Commissioner Fanning will say that he only ever met with Garda Keogh on two occasions. I presume that you're not in a position to dispute or deny that?
A. No.
Q. He will say that he met Garda Keogh first, I think, on an unannounced visit to Athlone Garda Station in October of 2015 and briefly spoke with Garda keogh on that occasion. He will also say that he also met Garda Keogh on one further occasion, in August of 2019, at mullingar Garda station on a completely unrelated issue and you are not in a position, I take it, to take any issue with any of that?
A. No.
Q. You might be aware that Garda Keogh was in fact cross-examined by the counsel for the commissioner in respect of this entry, were you aware of that, in the course of the Tribunal?
A. I wasn't.

270 Q. It might be helpful to bring up the transcript for Day 112, page 88. Yes, question number 347. Perhaps for the benefit of the witness, Chairman, I will just read
out these passages. Mr. Murphy was cross-examining Garda Keogh at this stage and he said:
"MR. MURPHY: Superintendent $M$ nnock will say that on the 17th July of 2018 he recei ved a call from Garda Greene who said he had been speaki ng to you and he said that you had been drunk and had been ranting on a bit. But al so he said that you had been tal king -- you tol d hi mthat you were tal king to Assi stant Commi ssi oner Fanni ng and that he was going to look after all of them Is that what you said to Garda Greene?"

Garda Keogh answered:
"A. I don't know what I said to Garda Greene, but that's definitely not true, that part is a hundred percent not true."

## He was then asked:

"Q. Garda Greene, I nspect or $M$ nnock tol d hi mthat he sai d that you had asked Garda Greene if he wanted to come on board and he' d I ook after hi mtoo. Did that conversati on happen?"

The answer to that question is:


#### Abstract

"A. I can't speak in rel ation to what I may have tol d Garda Greene. As briefly l touched on yesterday,


Judge, a l ot of the stuff -- I was aware Garda Greene was spending a lot of time in the superintendent's office. So at that point I did use the word yesterday misinformation and that is a common police tactic."

Then the Tribunal intervened and Garda Keogh clarified:
"A. M sinf ormation. Judge, the part in rel ation to-I can't -- I'm not denying that I would have said that to Garda Greene. But what I will say is, that part of me tal king to Assi stant Commi ssi oner Fanning, I may have said that to Garda Greene but that di d not happen. "

Then the Chairman asked:
"CHAI RMAN: Okay. So you may have said it to himp A. Yes.
Q. CHAI RMAN: But if you did, it wasn't correct?
A. Yes, Judge. "

The next question:
"Q. CHAI RMAN: Or said in drink or what ever it was?"

Then Garda Keogh said:
"A. Yeah, it could al so have been -- it could have al so been del i ber ate misinf ormation as well. Because I
was aware that he was runni ng back with everything. So at that stage..."

So my question to you is, I presume you're not in a position to deny Garda Keogh's explanation that this was in fact deliberate misinformation on this part with regard to Assistant Commissioner Fanning, you're not in a position to dispute that or deny that?
A. No.

271 Q.
Assistant Commissioner Fanning will deny in full that any such conversation between himself and Garda Keogh ever took place. Do you have any comment to make on that?
A. No.

MR. O CONNOR: Thank you, Superintendent Minnock.

END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Now, Mr. McGuinness, anything arising?
MR. MEGU NNESS: Nothing arising.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. A11 right, thank you very much indeed, superintendent.
WTNESS: Thank you, Chairman.
CHA RMAN We won't want you now on issues 1 to 4 but obviously there will be other issues arising at a later 12:00 stage and so on. So, thank you very much for today. Now, Mr. McGuinness, that seems to conclude the business of today.
MR. MEGU NNESS: Yes, it does, Chairman. You will note
that we had adjourned the taking of Garda Treacy's evidence until Monday morning.
CHA RMAN Yes, I understand, and that was a reasonable request for reasonable convenience. Obviously, if it hadn't been the case, then we would have proceeded with other evidence. There are other reasons why these things happen. So I think everybody pretty well understands that scheduling is a somewhat imprecise activity.
MR. MEGU NNESS: Just to say, Chairman, it is proposed
to continue taking evidence on Monday morning at 10:30, commencing with Chief Superintendent Murray.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. MEGUNESS: But it is intended to interpose Garda Treacy after midday.
CHA RMAN So somewhere around 12:30, for her convenience we will take Garda Treacy, Stephanie Treacy, isn't that right?
MR. MEGU NESS: Then we will be resuming Chief Superintendent Murray's evidence after that, just so everyone is on notice of that.
CHA RMAN okay, everybody understands that. We're all right on that, Mr. Kelly, you're aware of it.
MR. MRPHY: Yes, Chairman. Mr. McGuinness informed me, Chairman, of that position.
CHA RMAN Okay, thank you very much. Very good.
THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED UNTI L MDNDAY, 2ND DECEMBER
2019, AT 10: 30AM


| ALLUDED ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 41:4 | 28:22, 30:18, 31:10, | 32:23, 42:11, 62:25 | BROUGHT ${ }_{[9]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32:27, 40:5 | AREA $_{[1]}-26: 14$ | 31:23, 31:28, 32:3, | BASIS [4] - 8:13, | 26:12, 27:1, 36:24, |
| ALMOST ${ }_{[1]}$ - 8:13 | ARISE [1] - 59:26 | 35:24, 41:26, 42:1, | 11:9, 59:10 | 39:12, 42:2, 43:15, |
| AMENDED ${ }_{[1]}-1: 9$ | ARISES [2]-59:22, | 42:4, 47:11, 49:7, | BASTION ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:31 | 51:2, 51:27, 52:9 |
| AN ${ }_{[1]}$ - $3: 2$ | 60:2 | 64:14 | BECAME [5] - 6:28, | BULLYING ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| AND ${ }_{[4]}-1: 3,1: 4$, | ARISING [4] - 32:22, | ATHLONE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:32 | 23:29, 24:7, 25:16, | 57:19 |
| 1:8, 3:15 | 67:19, 67:20, 67:25 | ATHLONE... [1] - | 47:26 | BUSINESS ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| ANDREW ${ }_{[2]}-2: 22$, | AROSE [2]-28:7, | 37:24 | ВЕСОМE [6] - 9:15, | 67:28 |
| 4:2 | 47:18 | ATHY $_{[1]}-7: 3$ | 22:19, 23:28, 24:12, | BUSY ${ }_{[2]}$ - 9:2, 25:12 |
| ANNE [2] - 3:8, 3:17 | ARRAN $_{[1]}-2: 24$ | ATTACHED[5] - | 35:1, 37:4 | BUT.. [1] - 50:27 |
| ANNUAL ${ }_{[1]}$ - 10:4 | ARRANGE [3]-29:3, | 6:25, 14:6, 15:1, 15:9, | BECOMING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | BY [20]-1:4, 1:7, |
| ANSWER[3]-32:19, | 37:22, 37:28 | 35:17 | 18:13 | 2:11, 2:17, 2:22, 2:29, |
| 55:8, 65:26 | ARRANGED ${ }_{[2]}$ - | ATTACHMENTS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | BEEN ${ }_{[1]}-6: 8$ | 3:26, 3:30, 4:5, 5:5, |
| ANSWERED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 13:2, 13:25 | 10:7 | BEG ${ }_{[1]}-58: 5$ | 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, 5:9, 6:9, |
| 65:13 | ARRANGING ${ }_{[1]}$ | ATTEMPT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 41:7 | BEGINNING [3]- | 46:26, 56:9, 60:12, |
| ANSWERING ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 38:22 | ATTEND ${ }_{[1]}$ - 13:28 | 7:15, 16:19, 60:22 | 63:24 |
| 54:27 | ARRIVAL [1] - 31:10 | ATTENDANCE ${ }_{[2]}$ - | BEHALF ${ }_{[2]}$ - 19:1, |  |
| ANTHONY ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:6 | ARRIVED [2] - 9:5, | 10:20, 15:3 | 47:3 | C |
| ANXIOUS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 21:15 | 25:10 | ATTENDS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 15:16 | BENEFIT ${ }_{[1]}-64: 29$ |  |
| ANYWAY ${ }_{[1]}$ - 42:16 | ARTICLE ${ }_{[1]}-20: 20$ | ATTENTION ${ }_{\text {[9] }}$ - | BEST [4] - 22:14, |  |
| APOLOGIES [1] - | AS ${ }_{[7]}$ - 1:9, 6:1, 6:9, | 12:27, 21:28, 26:1 | 34:14, 34:17, 56:20 | CAGNEY [1] - 3:17 |
| 52:14 | 46:27, 56:10, 60:13, | 27:1, 36:24, 42:2, | BETTER [5] - 57:22, | NNOT [1] - 34 |
| APPEAL [2] - 1:13, | 63:25 | 43:15, 51:2, 55:16 | 59:20, 59:23, 59:29 | CAPTURING ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 2:3 | ASCERTAIN ${ }_{[3]}$ - | ATTESTED ${ }_{[1]}$ - 6:18 | BETWEEN | 29:22 |
| APPEAR ${ }_{[9]}$ - 15:20, | 37:20, 47:9, 48:19 | AUGUST $_{[2]}-7: 6$, | 16:22, | CARR $_{[1]}-3: 15$ |
| 16:5, 16:13, 16:29, | ASSERTIO | 64:17 | 57:11, 67:11 | CARRICK ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:13 |
| 20:6, 21:15, 38:9, | 61:6 | AVAILABILITY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | BIG [1] - 21:10 | CARRICK-ON- |
| 58:9, 63:28 | ASSIGNED | 13:28 | T ${ }^{2}$ - 62:4, 65:7 | SHANNON ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:13 |
| APPEARANCES ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 8:14, 8:26, 15:11 | AVAILABLE ${ }_{[5]}$ - | BL [12] - 2:7, 2:10, | CARRIED [2] - |
| 2:1 | ASSIST[3]-29:3, | 11:19, 12:11, 15:17, | 2:11, 2:16, 2:21, 2:28, | 39:18, 42:3 |
| APPEARED ${ }_{[2]}$ | 37:28, 61:22 | 35:27, 43:10 | 2:29, 3:24, 3:25, 3:25, | CARRIES $_{[1]}$ - 15:11 |
| 19:12, 19:13 | ASSISTANCE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | AVENUE ${ }_{[1]}-40: 9$ | 3:30, 4:4 | CARROLL [1]-2:16 |
| APPOINTED ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 38:6 | AWARE [43]-13:26, | BLANK [2] - 21:12, | CARTHAGE ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 28:27, 32:13 | ASSISTANT ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 14:17, 15:16, 22:2, | 41:1 | 2:17 |
| APPOINTMENT ${ }_{[7]}$ - | 11:23, 13:20, 14:15 | 22:19, 23:26, 23:28, | BLESSINGTON ${ }_{[1]}$ - | CASE [3]-12:22, |
| 12:3, 12:15, 12:24, | ASSISTANT ${ }_{[6]}$ - | 23:29, 24:7, 24:13, | 6:22 | 59:25, 68:5 |
| 13:2, 13:14, 14:14, | 2:20, 3:5, 3:6, 3:8, | 24:14, 25:16, 25:28, | BOARD ${ }_{[2]}-62: 8$ | CASTLE [1] - 1:17 |
| 38:22 | 3:18, 3:20 | 26:3, 26:10, 30:10, | 65:23 | CAUSES ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:25 |
| APPOINTMENTS ${ }_{[1]}$ | ASSISTANT ${ }_{[14]}$ | 31:8, 35:1, 36:1, 37:4, | BODY $_{[1]}$ - 12:9 | CENTRE [1]-60:21 |
| - 16:17 | 11:24, 11:29, 34:16, | 38:1, 38:4, 38:25, | BOTTOM [11] - | CERTAIN ${ }_{[1]}-47: 14$ |
| APPRECIATE ${ }_{[6]}$ | 58:9, 58:16, 59:1, | 47:13, 47:26, 48:8, | 10:22, 11:3, 11:28, | CERTAIN ${ }_{[1]}-1: 3$ |
| 19:3, 19:17, 22:6, | 62:5, 63:28, 64:8, | 50:26, 50:28, 51:5, | 14:12, 16:10, 40:14, | CERTAINLY ${ }_{\text {[17] }}$ |
| 28:2, 42:16, 42:18 | 65:9, 66:11, 67:7, | 54:13, 54:19, 54:23, | 44:22, 45:13, 57:10, | 18:16, 22:2, 22:10, |
| APPRECIATED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 67:10 | 54:24, 54:29, 55:4, | 57:12 | 23:16, 25:12, 26:11, |
| 21:13 | ASSISTED $_{[1]}$ - 11:2 | 55:5, 55:7, 64:22, | BOTTOMED ${ }_{\text {[3] }}$ | 28:8, 29:24, 42:5, |
| APPRECIATIVE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | ASSOCIATED ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 64:24, 66:1, 67:1, | 52:27, 54:13, 55:17 | :15, 42:20, 48:8, |
| 19:14 | 29:23, 41:1 | 68:23 | BOX ${ }_{[1]}-61: 16$ | 48:24, 49:23, 54:27, |
| APPROACH [2] - | ASSOCIATING ${ }_{[1]}$ - |  | BRACKETS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 4, |
| 56:18, 63:3 | 31:6 | B | 46:7 | CERTAINLY.. [1] - |
| APPROACHED ${ }_{\text {[2] - }}$ | ASSOCIATION ${ }_{[2]}$ 28:19, $29: 8$ |  | ${ }_{3}{ }_{3} 16$ IAN ${ }^{\text {[2] }}$ - $3: 15$, | 30:16 CERTAINTY ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 36:2, 37:20 APPROPR | 28:19, 29:8 | BABY $_{[1]}-20: 23$ | $\begin{gathered} 3: 16 \\ \text { BRI } \end{gathered}$ | 45:24 |
| $12: 14,22: 24,24: 22,$ | ASSURED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | BACKGROUND ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 38:28 | CERTIFIED ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 24:24, 26:19, 27:12, | 30:28 |  | BRIEFED $_{[1]}-25: 13$ | 11:10 |
| 28:6, 28:10, 28:29, | [1] - 68:29 |  | BRIEFLY [2] - 64:15, | CERTIFY $_{[1]}-1: 24$ |
| 31:15, 37:18, 39:12, | ATHLONE [28] - 7:9, |  | 65:29 | CHAIN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 31:27 |
| 41:15 | 7:14, 7:24, 7:26, 8:6, | $40 \cdot 20$ | BRING [4] - 12:21, | CHAIRMAN ${ }^{18]}$ - |
| APPROPRIATENES | 8:12, 8:19, 8:28, 15:9, |  | 19:9, 33:13, 64:27 | 6:4, 46:29, 51:12, |
| $\mathrm{S}_{[2]}-48: 17,48: 26$ | 20:23, 21:2, 22:14, |  | BROAD [2] - 8:17, | 55:20, 58:4, 58:5, |
| APPROVED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 25:9, 26:15, 28:11, |  | 26:11 | 58:20, 59:18, 60:1, |




| EXCELLENT ${ }_{[1]}$ - | FANNING'S ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 34:18, 51:12, 51:17, | FURNISH [3] - 12:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:24, 61:27, 62:2, } \\ & \text { 62:3, 62:24, 62:25, } \\ & \text { 63:6, 63:10, 64:3, } \\ & \text { 64:4, 64:9, 64:13, } \\ & 64: 14,64: 15,64: 16, \end{aligned}$64:18. 64:22. 65:2. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:10 | 59:1 |  | TUR |  |
| EXCESS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 11:7 | FAR ${ }_{[1]}-50: 14$ | FOCUSED ${ }_{[2]}$ - 19:4, | FUTURE [2] - 15:21, |  |
| EXECUTIVE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | FARRELL [8] - 7:25, | 19:22 |  |  |
| EXPAND [4]-20:3, | 47:22, 47:24, 50:21, | FOLLOWED ${ }_{[2]}$ | G |  |
|  | 50:23 | 41:16, 41: |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 5,65: 11,65: 13, \\ & 65: 15,65: 21,65: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| EXPANDED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | FARRELL [1] - 4:2 | FOLLOWING [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GALWAY }_{[4]}-22: 15, \\ & 33: 16,51: 7,51: 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 35:5 | FARRELL'S ${ }_{[1]}$ | 1:4 |  | 65:29, 66:1, 66:6, |
| EXPECTED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 21:28 | FOLLOWING ${ }_{[5]}$ | GARDA [9] - 2:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:10, 66:12, 66:26, } \\ & 67: 5,67: 11,68: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10:4 | FEATURE $_{[1]}-23: 11$ | 1:25, 9:8, 13:18, |  |  |
| EXPERIENCE ${ }_{[2]}$ | FEBRUARY ${ }_{[2]}-1: 5$, | 14:14, 25:8 | 2:27, 2:27, 2:28, 3:2, $3: 10,3: 11,3: 12,3: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:5, 67:11, 68:1, } \\ & 68: 14,68: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $9: 11,26: 22$ EXPERIENC | $1: 9$ <br> FEEDB | ${ }_{\text {FOLLOWS }}^{\text {[1] }}$ | GARDA [189] - 6:16, | GARDAÍ [10] - 22:14, |
| 40:21 | 9:13 | FOLLOWS [6] - 6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:22, 31:28, 32:22, } \\ & 34: 11,34: 21,41: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| EXPLAIN ${ }_{\text {[4] }}$ - 12:18, | FEELINGS ${ }_{[1]}$ | 6:9, 46:27, 56:10 |  | 34:11, 34:21, 41:25, $49: 6,55: 29,61: 4$ |
| 20:27, 22:23, 48:25 | 40:22 | 60:13, 63:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 4,9: 6,9: 14,9: 26, \\ & 9: 27,10: 2,10: 3, \end{aligned}$ | GENERAL [1] - |
| EXPLAINING ${ }_{[1]}$ | FELT [10] | FOOT [2] - 11:7 | 11:10, 11:21, 11:28, | 14:17 |
| 48:16 | 22:12, 22:16, 31:22, | 47:20 | 12:2, 13:3, 13:24, $13: 25,13: 26,14 \cdot 1$ | $\mathrm{CERAGHTY}_{[1]}{ }_{2: 17}$ |
| EXPLANAT | 32:2, 32:12, 39:12, | FOR [9]-1:7, 2:6, | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 25,13: 26,14: 1, \\ & 14 \cdot 614 \cdot 19 \quad 14 \cdot 2, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 48:13, 67:5 | 42:14, 49:15, 51:29 | 2:10, 2:15, 2:20, 2:27 |  | GERARD ${ }_{[1]}-2: 11$ |
| EXPLICITLY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | FERGAL [4]-56:6, | 3:2, 3:30, 4:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:6, 14:19, 14:26, } \\ & 15: 2,15: 8,15: 9, \end{aligned}$ | GERRY ${ }_{[1]}-3: 11$ |
| 38:9 | 58:18, 61:27, 62:8 | FORM [2] - 10:12, | 15:14, 15:15, 15:17, | GIVEN [5] - 34:26, |
| EXPRESS ${ }_{[1]}-41: 26$ | FERGAL [1] - 2:27 | 19:12 | 15:26, 16:13, 16:23,17:3, 17:24, 18:1, | 37:26, 41:21, 45:4,49:22 |
| RESSING | FERRY $_{[1]}-2: 16$ | FORMAL [2]-22:7, |  |  |
| 36:27, 49:26 | FEW [8]-21:10, | 24:18 | 18:29, 22:22, 23:17, | 49:22 $\text { GLAD }_{[1]}-19: 14$ |
| EXPRESSIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 21:18, 22:27, 43:16, | FORMED ${ }_{[2]}$ - 30:22, |  | GLEESON ${ }_{[1]}-4.4$ |
| 45:27 | 49:18, 51:11, 51:12, | 36:25 | 23:22, 23:23, 24:2, | GOODE ${ }_{[1]}-3: 22$ |
| EXTENSIVE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 53:2 | FORMER [2] - 1:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:3, 24:5, 24:9, } \\ & \text { 24:23, 25:11, 25:16, } \end{aligned}$ | GRALTON ${ }_{[1]}-3: 14$ |
| 9:14 | FILE [26] - 22:4, | 2:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 23,25: 11,25: 16, \\ & 25: 24,25: 29,26: 3, \end{aligned}$ | GRATEFUL $[1]$ -$38: 6$ |
| EXTERNAL | 27:19, 27:20, 27:21 | FORUM [1] - 22:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 24,25: 29,26: 3, \\ & 26: 4,27: 23,28: 6, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 28:27 | 27:22, 28:2, 28:3, | FORWARD ${ }_{[2]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:4, 27:23, 28:6, } \\ & \text { 28:11, 28:20, 28:21, } \end{aligned}$ | 38:6 |
| EXTERNALLY | 35:3, 35:5, 35:7, 35:9, | 37:12, 40:26 | 29:8, 29:19, 30:11, | GREENE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:27 |
| 32:13 | 38:29, 39:8, 39:15, | FORWARDED ${ }_{[4]}$ | $30: 12,30: 27,31: 1$ | GREENE [25]-43:2, |
| EXTRACT ${ }_{[4]}-17: 6$, | 42:10, 42:12, 48:9, | 10:13, 13:13, 15:23, |  | 44:27, 45:2, 45:5, |
| 43:29, 44:19, 60:1 | 50:2, 50:7, 50:8, | 38:1 | 31:25, 31:27, 32:10, | 45:28, 56:6, 57:6, |
|  | 50:10, 50:12, 50:15, | FORWARDING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $33: 20,33: 23,34: 7,$ | 61:24, 61:27, 62:2, |
| F | 55:3 | 10:7 | $34: 8,34: 13,35: 14,$ |  |
|  | L [2] - 10:29, 44:4 | RWARDS ${ }_{[1]}$ | 35:16, 35:23, 36: | 62:24, 64:4, 65:6, |
| FACILITATED ${ }_{[2]}$ | 59:25 |  | 36:5, 36:11, 37:1, <br> 37:3, 37:6, 38:1, 39:1 | 65:22, 65:29, 66:1, |
| 12:3, 42:15 | FINN ${ }_{[1]}-45: 21$ | $15: 5,15: 6,45: 12$ | 37:3, 37:6, 38:1, 39:1, | 66:10, 66:12 |
| FACILITATED.. [1] - | FINN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:5 | FRAME ${ }_{[1]}$ - 47:12 | 39:5, 39:16, 39:22, | GREW [1]-26:24 |
| 42:20 | FINTAN [2] - 58:10, | FREEDOM ${ }_{[1]}$ | 40:2, 40:18, 40:29, | GRIFFIN [1] - 3:26 |
| FACT $_{[10]}-11: 7$, | 63:29 | 45:20 | 41:2, 41:4, 41:15, <br> 41:21, 41:26, 42:18, | GROWN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 26:26 |
| 26:10, 39:28, 39:29, | FINTAN $_{[1]}-2: 21$ | FREEMAN ${ }_{[1]}-2: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41:21, 41:26, 42:18, } \\ & 42: 22, ~ 43: 2, ~ 44: 25, ~ \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 42:7, 51:2, 59:5, 64:8, | RE [1] - 59:16 | FREQUENT ${ }_{[1]}$ | $44: 27,45: 2,45: 3,$ | GROWN-UP [1] - |
| 64:22, 67:6 | FIRST [16] - 9:7, | 8:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:5, 45:26, 45:27, } \\ & 46: 5,46: 12,47: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 26:26GUARD$[2]-9: 11$, |
| FACTS [2] - 34:13, | 10:19, 16:20, 17:2, | FRIDAY $_{[2]}-1: 18$ |  |  |
| 42:11 | 17:6, 19:9, 29:7, |  | 47:5, 47:20, 47:21, 49:24, 51:18, 53:4, | 42:1 |
| FAIR ${ }_{[1]}$ - 51:17 | 29:12, 31:29, 43:7, | FRIEND ${ }_{[1]}-59: 18$ |  | GUARDS ${ }_{[2]}$ - 30:6, |
| FAMILIAR [2] - | 47:4, 47:26, 48:19, | FRONT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 15:26 | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 24,51: 18,53: 4, \\ & 53: 15,53: 21,53: 27, \end{aligned}$ | 30:8 GUIDANCE [1] - |
| 29:20, 55:2 | 56:14, 59:19, 64:13 | ONTLINE ${ }_{[1]}$ | $53: 29,54: 6,54: 9$ |  |
| FANNING ${ }_{[14]}$ | FIRSTLY [3]-6:19, | 10:28 | 54:15, 54:16, 54:20, | 12:15 <br> GWEN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:24 GWEN ${ }_{[1]}-1: 29$ |
| 11:24, 11:25, 11:29, | 31:22, 41:26 | FULL [4] - 10:5, 15:8, | $: 26,55: 12,55: 13$ |  |
| 58:10, 58:16, 62:5, | FITNESS $_{[1]}-12: 16$ | 19:29, 67:1 | 55:15, 55:16, 55:18, |  |
| 62:11, 62:16, 63:29, | FITZWILLIAM ${ }_{[1]}$ - | FULLER [1] - 43:25 | 56:6, 56:18, 56:20, |  |
| 64:8, 65:10, 66:11, | 4:5 | FULLY [6] - 40:2, | $57: 6,57: 11,57: 14$ |  |
| 67:7, 67:10 | FLYNN ${ }_{[1]}-3: 19$ |  |  |  |
| FANNING $_{[1]}-2: 21$ | FOCUS [5] - 33:14, | $55: 14,55: 18$ | 57:25, 57:27, 58:19, <br> 59:5, 60:26, 61:3, |  |


| H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HUMAN }_{[1]}-3: 15 \\ & \text { HUMAN }_{[1]}-13: 21 \end{aligned}$ | INPATIENT [1] - 9:28 <br> INPUT [1] - 9:13 | INTO [1] - 1:2 INVESTIGATE [4] - | JAMES [1] - 2:28 JANUARY [4] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HALF [2] - 16:10, | HUNDRED [1] - | INQUIRY [2] - 1:2, | 28:12, 28:27, 31:26, | $16: 21,16: 23,57: 3$ |
|  | 65:16 | 1:8 | 34:17 | JOB [1] - 27:3 |
| 20:9 | HURT [1] - 63:10 | INSOFAR [1] - 56:5 | INVESTIGATED ${ }_{[4]}$ - | JOE [1] - 3:14 |
| HALIDAY [1] - 2:23 |  | INSPECTOR [7] - | 33:8, 52:6, 53:28, | JOHN [5] - 2:8, 2:11, |
| HAND [1] - 43:10 | I | 3:4, 3:10, 3:15, 3:17, | 54:9 | 2:16, 3:8, 3:30 |
| HANDLED [2] - |  | 3:18, 3:22, 4:2 | INVESTIGATING ${ }_{[1]}$ | JOIN [1] - 6:16 |
| 22:15, 23:19 |  | INSPECTOR [15] - | - 55:3 | JOINED [1] - 6:17 |
| HANDWRITING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | ILLNESS [1] - 9: IMPARTIAL [1] - | 7:25, 7:26, 21:28, | INVESTIGATION ${ }_{\text {[40] }}$ | JOURNAL [3] - 43:1, |
| 61:21 | IMPARTIAL[1] - | 23:20, 28:26, 37:16, | - 22:16, 28:21, 28:24, | 46:12, 49:21 |
| HANDWRITTEN [6] - | 37:19 | 37:22, 37:23, 46:9, | 28:28, 29:3, 29:28, | JUDGE [4] - 55:26, |
| 44:4, 44:8, 44:20, | IMPRECISE [1] - | 47:13, 47:22, 47:24, | 30:1, 30:2, 30:6, 30:9, | 66:1, 66:8, 66:20 |
| 45:12, 58:17, 61:14 | 68:8 | 50:21, 50:23, 65:21 | 31:4, 31:28, 32:4, | JUDGE [1] - 3:12 |
| HAPPY [12] - 19:8, | IMPRESSION [1] - | INSPECTOR [6] - | 32:8, 32:11, 32:20, | JULY [18] - 9:20, |
| 19:12, 23:21, 24:20, | 31:8 | 6:28, 7:2, 7:15, 7:23, | 32:21, 33:3, 33:10, | 10:3, 11:4, 11:27, |
| 45:15, 46:5, 55:29, | IN [1] - 1:17 | 7:24, 8:1 | 33:11, 34:12, 34:17, | 15:3, 25:3, 25:4, |
| 56:2, 59:24, 62:18, | INAPPROPRIATE $\left.{ }^{3}\right]$ | INSTIGATED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 37:18, 39:18, 39:23, | 25:16, 28:16, 35:11, |
| 63:16, 63:17 | - 22:10, 28:22, 30:21 | 11:6 | 39:27, 40:8, 41:25, | $36: 24,37: 9,47: 23$ |
| HARAN [2] - 56:18, | INAPPROPRIATEN | INSTIGATING [1] - | 42:3, 42:5, 42:14, | 49:14, 53:18, 55:8, |
| 56:19 | ESS [1] - 41:23 | 11:18 | 45:21, 51:7, 51:22, | 58:22, 65:5 |
| HARAN [1] - 4:2 | INCIDENT [3]- | INSTRUCTED [2] - | 52:3, 52:19, 52:29, | JUNCTURE [5] - |
| HARASSMENT [2] - | 35:25, 53:7, 53:12 | 36:12, 58:10 | 53:1 | 24:17, 28:3, 28:9, |
| 57:18, 57:20 | INCLUDED [4] - 8:9, | INSTRUCTED [7] - | INVESTIGATION" | 33:1, 48:9 |
| HARD [1] - 52:13 | 8:10, 43:17, 46:15 | 2:11, 2:17, 2:22, 2:29, | [1] - 29:9 | JUNE [2]-25:3, |
| HAVING [1] - 6:8 | INCOMPLETE [1] - | 3:26, 3:30, 4:5 | INVESTIGATIONS | 35:14 |
| HEAD [1] - 3:15 | 43:8 | INSTRUCTION [1] - | [1] - 55:11 | JUSTICE [1] - 59:28 |
| HEADQUARTERS | INDEED [2] - 8:5, | 36:19 | INVESTIGATORS ${ }_{[1]}$ | JUSTICE [3] - 1:7, |
| [4]-6:25, 8:29, 9:3, | 67:22 | INSTRUMENT [1] - | - 33:21 | 1:12, 2:2 |
| 43:14 | INDEPENDENT ${ }^{\text {[5] - }}$ | 1:7 | INVITED [1] - 35:24 |  |
| $\operatorname{HEALTH}_{[3]}-11: 13,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 23,36: 25,49: 2, \\ & 59 \cdot 2 \cdot 52 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | INTELLIGENCE [1] - | INVOKING [2] - | K |
| 13:3, 13:25 <br> HEALTH [2] - 10:11, | INDEPENDENTLY | 22:18 INTENDED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | INVOLVED [9] - |  |
| 11:20 | [1] - 52:7 | 68:14 | 9:15, 16:24, 17:1, | KANE [10] - 2:28, |
| HEARD [4] - 7:24 | INDEX ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - 5:1 | INTENTION [3] - | 22:19, 28:21, 29:9, | $5: 7,55: 26,56: 2,56: 5$ |
| 17:5, 45:9, 59:16 | INDICATED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 30:7, 36:11, $63: 8$ | 29:27, 30:8, 31:4 | 56:10, 56:12, 56:27, |
| HEARING [4] - 17:5, | 13:27 | INTERACTION ${ }_{\text {[6] - }}$ | INVOLVEMENT [6] - | 57:2, 57:6 |
| 17:6, 42:18, 59:14 | INDICATES [1] - | 22:4, 39:2, 40:3, | 16:15, 24:26, 39:14, | KANE [3] - 55:25, |
| HEARING [2] - 6:1, | 34:18 | 41:17, 53:8, 53:16 | 51:18, 60:28, $63: 9$ | 56:3, 56:25 |
| 68:28 | INDICATING [1] - | INTERACTIONS ${ }_{[2]}$ - | ISSUE [29]-11:14, | KATE [1] - 3:25 |
| HEARSAY [2] - | 61:23 | 9:8, 42:22 | 16:28, 17:1, 24:25, | KAVANAGH ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 45:26, 64:2 | INDUCING [1] - 61:4 | INTERNAL [1] - | 24:26, 25:15, 26:4, | 16:11 |
| HELD [1] - 1:17 | INFERENCES ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 31:25 | 26:7, 31:15, 40:27, | KAVANAGH ${ }_{[1]}-2: 5$ |
| HELP [4]-17:18, | 32:2 | INTERNALLY [1] - | 41:1, 42:29, 47:17, | KEANE [1] - 4:3 |
| 19:1, 19:7, 19:18 | INFORM [2] - 39:3, | 54:20 | 47:24, 49:10, 50:21, | KEEN [1] - 17:24 |
| HELPFUL [2] - | 57:6 | INTERPOSE [1] - | 50:23, 51:3, 51:19, | KELLY [1] - 68:23 |
| 44:11, 64:27 | INFORMANT ${ }^{11]}$ - | 68:14 | 53:14, 53:19, 53:26, | KELLY ${ }_{[4]}-2: 10$, |
| HIGGINS [7] - 35:24, | 23:2 | INTERVENED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 55:6, 60:17, 60:26, | 3:12, 52:9, 52:12 |
| 36:2, 36:5, 36:11, | INFORMATION ${ }^{11]}$ - | 66:6 | 62:14, 63:4, 64:18, | KEOGH [90] - 8:28, |
| 37:1, 37:3, 37:6 | 45:20 | INTERVENTION ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | 64:20 | 9:4, 9:6, 9:14, 9:26, |
| HIMSELF [4]-9:28, | INFORMATION ${ }^{144]}$ - | 60:8 | ISSUES [8]-21:10, | 9:28, 10:2, 10:3, |
| 26:13, 35:27, 67:11 | 12:11, 17:28, 21:14, | INTERVENTIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ | 21:18, 28:7, 42:26, | 11:10, 11:21, 12:2, |
| HOLD [1] - 59:16 | 23:1, 24:6, 35:15, | - 56:21 | 42:27, 55:10, 67:24, | 13:25, 13:26, 14:1, |
| HOPING [1] - 56:29 | 48:12, 57:7, 57:25, | INTERVIEW [4] - | 67:25 | 14:19, 14:26, 15:2, |
| HORAN [1] - 3:25 | 62:24, 62:29, 64:2, | 28:23, 28:29, 31:18 | ITEM [3]-10:15, | $15: 8,15: 14,16: 13,$ |
| HOUR [1] - 20:9 | 64:6, 64:7 | 37:23 | 20:18, 20:20 | 16:23, 17:24, 18:1, |
| HOUSE [2]-2:23, | INFORMED [2] - <br> 38:2, 68:24 | INTERVIEWED [1] - |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:13, 18:19, 18:24, } \\ & \text { 18:29, 22:22, 23:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3:27 |  | 39:4 | J | ,20, 22.22, 23.1 |
| 2:30 | 48:7 | $41: 24,41: 25$ | JACK [1] - 3:6 | 30:11, 30:27, 31:15, |


| 32:10, 33:11, 34:8, | 29:2, 29:8, 29:27, | M | 42:16, 47:14, 52:4, | MEANS ${ }_{[1]}-23: 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35:16, 38:1, 39:1, <br> $39: 5,39 \cdot 22,40 \cdot 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 12,33: 6,33: 23, \\ & 34: 8,34: 10,34: 22, \end{aligned}$ |  | 52:20, 52:27, 55:15, $55: 17$ | MEANT [1] - 61:9 |
| 40:18, 40:29, 41:3, | 35:15, 35:24, 36:20, | MADE [2] - 1:2, 1:7 | MATTERS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:4 | 40:29 |
| 41:4, 41:15, 41:26, | 37:23, 39:2, 40:3, | MAIL [5] - 25:16, | MATTHIAS ${ }_{[1]}-2: 10$ | MEDICAL ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:11 |
| 42:18, 42:22, 44:25, | 47:18, 48:18, 48:21, | 35:16, 47:19, 47:21, | MCBRIEN[30]-2:16, | MEDICAL [4] - 12:1, |
| 45:3, 46:5, 47:4, 47:5, | 48:27, 48:29, 53:9, | 48:5 | 8:21, 17:15, 17:23, | 12:16, 12:22, 15:19 |
| 53:4, 53:21, 54:6, | 55:6, 55:9, 60:16, | $\mathrm{MAIN}_{[1]}-2: 12$ | 22:21, 24:6, 24:9, | MEET [1] - 62:7 |
| 54:9, 54:20, 54:26, | 60:27, 61:3, 61:6, | MAINTAINED ${ }_{\text {[3] }}$ - | 24:12, 24:15, 27:4, | MEETING [5] - |
| 55:12, 55:13, 56:19, | 63:3 | 53:5, 53:11, 54:9 | 27:5, 27:6, 27:9, | 18:17, 29:3, 37:28, |
| 56:20, 57:12, 57:14, | LIAM ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:18 | MALONE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:29 | 27:11, 27:14, 27:21, | 38:2, 38:20 |
| 57:27, 59:5, 60:26, | LIGHT [4]-23:1, | MALONE [1] - 1:24 | 34:23, 35:10, 37:6, | MEETINGS ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 61:3, 62:3, 62:15, | 32:7, 37:17, 55:15 | MANAGEMENT ${ }_{[12]}$ | 37:9, 37:14, 38:10, | 15:16 |
| 62:25, 63:6, 63:10, | LIKELY [1] - 41:1 | - 11:28, 12:18, 12:26, | 38:25, 39:3, 41:22, | MEMBER ${ }_{[1]}-2: 2$ |
| 64:3, 64:9, 64:13, | LIMERICK ${ }_{[1]}$ - 6:19 | 17:23, 34:7, 34:14, | 50:3, 51:2, 51:6, | MEMBER ${ }_{[4]}$ - 12:14, |
| 64:15, 64:17, 64:22, | LINE [12]-15:26, | 51:18, 54:22, 55:16, | 51:25, 53:10 | 12:19, 12:21, 13:2 |
| 65:2, 65:13, 66:6, | 19:10, 21:29, 29:7, | 55:18, 56:21, 57:20 | MCBRIEN'S [1] - | MEMBERS [13] - |
| 66:26, 67:11 | 29:12, 29:29, 33:19, | MANAGEMENT ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 35:8 | $28: 20,29: 8,29: 9,$ |
| KEOGH [1] - 2:10 | 33:20, 33:21, 33:27, | 13:21 | MCCOURT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:17 | 29:11, 29:12, 29:17, |
| KEOGH'S ${ }_{[12]}$-14:6, | 50:24, 51:3 | MANAGEMENTS ${ }_{[1]}$ | MCGARRY ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:21 | 29:19, 29:22, 29:25, |
| 15:3, 15:26, 17:3, | LINES [2] - 43:16, | - 55:16 | MCGRATH [2] - 2:7, | 29:27, 30:13, 32:3 |
| 33:20, 33:23, 39:16, | 45:12 | MANAGER ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 2:28 | MENTION [4] - 36:5, |
| 41:21, 45:26, 51:18, | LINKED [1] - 32:9 | 51:21 | MCGUINNESS ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 36:10, 37:1, 37:2 |
| 53:15, 67:5 | LINKING [1] - 41:20 | MANNER ${ }_{[1]}$ - 15:12 | 5:5, 6:9 | MENTIONED [1] - |
| KEVIN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:14 | LISTENING [2] - | MANUFACTURED | MCGUINNESS [25] - | 40:29 |
| KIERAN [1] - 3:20 | 21:1, 21:3 | [1] - 55:15 | 2:6, 3:24, 6:4, 6:14, | MENTIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| KIRWAN ${ }_{[1]}-3: 13$ | LISTING ${ }_{[1]}-21: 3$ | MARCH ${ }_{[4]}$ - 14:26, | 27:2, 34:1, 34:3, 44:1, | 60:26 |
| KNOWLEDGE [12] - | LITTLE $_{[1]}-3: 28$ | 14:27, 16:13, 16:14 | 44:8, 44:14, 44:24, | MERELY ${ }_{[2]}$ - 39:11, |
| 9:14, 14:20, 26:19, | LIVE $_{[1]}-53: 26$ | MARGARET ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 46:15, 49:20, 58:14, | 48:15 |
| 26:21, 30:8, 39:2, | LIVING ${ }_{[1]}-29: 19$ | 3:21 | 61:15, 62:22, 63:21, | MET [5] - 35:24, |
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| PASSAGE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 32:10 [2]-32.9, | PRESUME [4]-35:9, $49.9,64 \cdot 10,67 \cdot 4$ | $21: 13,21: 25,22: 9$, $22: 17,23: 3,23: 5$, | 12:19, 68:3, 68:4 |
| 62:21 | 32:10 | 49:9, 64:10, 67:4 | 22:17, 23:3, 23:5, | REASONABLY [2] - |
| PASSAGES ${ }_{[1]}$ - | PLACE [4]-8:20, | PRETTY [1] - 68:7 | 23:23, 24:23, 42:12, | 8:29, 59:13 |
| 65:1 | 39:23, 39:27, 67:12 <br> PLACE [1] - 4:5 | $8: 19,15: 1,32: 19$ | PURPOSE [1] - | REASONS [1] - 68:6 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { REASSURANCE }{ }_{[2]} \\ & -32: 12,41: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 7:29 <br> REGARDING $[3]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:9, 25:23, 26:1, } \\ & \text { 26:5, 26:11, 27:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RETURNED }[8]- \\ \text { 10:3, 17:16, 20:14, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 28,52: 15,56: 15, \\ & 64: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REASSURE [3] - | 11:21, 35:15, 35:19 | 27:25, 27:27, 27:28, | 20:29, 25:2, 25:4, | SECONDHAND ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 21:9, 21:16, 41:8 | REGARDLESS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 28:4, 32:22, 32:24, | 38:29, 47:23 | 64:7 |
| REASSURED ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 27:12 | 32:27, 35:14, 47:21, | REVIEW [5] - 12:15, | SECONDLY ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 19:5, 41:2 | REGISTRAR ${ }_{[1]}-2: 5$ | 48:6, 48:11, 48:14, | 13:24, 13:27, 15:21, | 54:29 |
| RECALLING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | REGULAR ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 48:21, 53:27, 53:28, | 16:17 | SECTION [4]-20:21, |
| 46:19 | 15:15 | 54:16, 61:12 | REVIEWED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 20:22, 20:23, 21:2 |
| RECEIVE ${ }_{[1]}-27: 27$ | REHABILITATION | REPORTED ${ }_{[4]}$ - | 12:11 | SECTION ${ }_{[1]}-48: 28$ |
| RECEIVED [8] - | [2]-14:10, 15:14 | 9:26, 14:14, 15:4, | RING [2] - 18:3, | SEE [25] - 11:3, |
| 10:28, 15:26, 20:25, | RELATE [1] - 45:18 | 21:29 | 21:14 | 11:22, 16:20, 19:10, |
| 35:7, 35:15, 36:14, | RELATED [5] - | REPORTING ${ }_{[8]}$ | ROAD ${ }_{[1]}-2: 18$ | 20:28, 23:6, 32:17, |
| 38:26, $65: 5$ | 22:12, 22:13, 24:9, | 9:15, 9:21, 9:24, | ROLE [2]-6:26, | 33:7, 33:19, 48:12, |
| RECIPIENT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 38:3 | 30:11, 31:22 | 11:11, 11:12, 13:17, | 18:23 | 48:19, 49:4, 49:9, |
| RECKONED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | RELATES [3] - | 16:16, 16:25 | ROLES ${ }_{[1]}-8: 10$ | 49:28, 51:27, 51:28, |
| 56:19 | 20:22, 42:29, 45:19 | REPORTS ${ }_{[4]}$ - | ROLL [1] - 20:28 | 2:8, 52:11, 52:12, |
| RECOLLECT ${ }_{[1]}$ - | RELATING [4] - | 12:22, 13:9, 16:6, | ROMA [1] - 20:23 | 52:15, 54:29, 56:15, |
| 51:8 | 16:28, 35:7, 49:6, | 24:8 | ROSTERED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 56:23, 60:22 |
| RECOLLECTION ${ }_{[1]}$ | 55:1 | REQUEST [3] - 12:1, | 10:23 | SEEING ${ }_{[1]}$ - 33:10 |
| - 27:10 | RELATION [35] - | 14:29, 68:4 | RULING ${ }_{[1]}-60: 6$ | SEEK ${ }_{[1]}$ - 56:20 |
| RECOMMENDATIO | 14:25, 15:24, 16:16, | REQUESTED [2] - | RUMOURS ${ }_{[1]}$ | SEEKING ${ }_{[1]}$ - 48:29 |
| NS [2] - 12:6, 12:12 | 17:1, 17:28, 18:19, | 12:21, 35:19 | 47:14 | SEEM [3] - 30:5, |
| RECORD $[7]$ - 10:20, | 19:18, 20:21, 20:23, | REQUESTING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | RUNNING ${ }_{[4]}$ - 19:4, | 32:19, 45:16 |
| 14:24, 15:4, 16:9, | 24:25, 25:7, 25:15, | 11:13 | 20:2, 55:10, 67:1 | SEND [2] - 35:9, |
| 25:20, 40:16, 43:6 | 27:15, 27:17, 30:23, | REQUIRE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 11:9 | RYAN ${ }_{[2]}$ - 1:12, 2:2 | 36:11 |
| RECORDED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 33:14, 33:15, 35:25, | REQUIRED [2] - |  | SENDING [2] - |
| 18:11 | 38:20, 38:26, 39:19, | 34:10, 42:9 | S | 14:12, 33:16 |
| RECORDING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $39: 23,40: 29,41: 14$, $42 \cdot 3,53: 16,53 \cdot 8$, | ${ }_{36}$ REQUIREMENT $^{[1]}$ - |  | SENDS [2] - 11:23, |
| 64:1 | 42:3, 53:16, 53:28, | 36:21 |  | 14:13 |
| RECORDS [3] - <br> 12:22, 14:21, 42:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 23,55: 6,55: 8, \\ & 60: 16,63: 6,65: 28, \end{aligned}$ | RESOLUTIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 1:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAMS }_{[3]}-11: 28, \\ & 14: 24,16: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SENIOR }[3]-8: 1, \\ & 30: 18,54: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12:22, 14:21, $42: 13$ REDDY | 66:8 | RESOURCE ${ }_{[1]}$ | SANDRA $_{[1]}-4: 3$ | SENSE ${ }_{[1]}-7: 29$ |
| REFER [8]-13:20, | RELATIONSHIP ${ }_{[6]}$ - | 13:21 | SATISFIED ${ }_{\text {[4] }}$ | SENT [8] - 16:2, |
| 14:10, 14:29, 18:7, | 14:7, 15:10, 45:4, | RESOURCES ${ }_{[1]}$ | 40:2, 41:4, 53:3, | $35: 3,37: 12,38: 10,$ |
| 43:20, 43:29, 44:2, | 47:5, 47:7, 63:3 | 3:15 | 53:21 | $47: 19,47: 21,50: 23,$ |
| 60:19 | RELATIVELY ${ }_{[1]}$ | RESPECT [10] - | SAVAGE ${ }_{[1]}-3: 16$ | 51:3 |
| REFERENCE ${ }_{[4]}$ - | 28:4 | 15:2, 24:19, 36:14, | SAW [1] - 28:4 | SENTENCE ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 15:2, 21:18, 28:19, | RELAYED ${ }_{[4]}-24: 6$, | 36:25, 37:11, 39:13, | SC [11]-2:6, 2:7, | 56:28 |
| 33:23 | 45:27, 48:12, 62:24 | 40:7, 42:14, 59:7, | 2:10, 2:16, 2:21, 2:28, | SEPTEMBER ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| REFERENCE [2] - | RELEVANCE [2] - | 64:24 | 3:23, 3:23, 3:24, 4:3, | 12:6, 13:22 |
| 59:4, 60:5 | 59:3, 60:4 | RESPOND ${ }_{[1]}$ |  | SERGEANT ${ }_{[42]}$ - |
| REFERENCES ${ }_{[1]}$ - | RELEVANT ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 39:16 | SCANLAN ${ }_{[1]}-3: 8$ | 13:6, 13:9, 14:3, 14:5, |
| 58:15 | 12:22 | RESPONSE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | SCENARIOS ${ }_{[1]}$ | 14:11, 25:17, 25:23, |
| REFERRAL ${ }_{[4]}$ - | RELIABLE [3] - 23:7, | 38:26 | 32:26 | 25:28, 26:3, 26:8, |
| 10:12, 11:16, 12:19, | 23:9, 23:14 | ESPONSIBILITIE | SCHEDULED ${ }_{[1]}$ | 26:9, 27:3, 27:15, |
| 23:16 | RELYING [1] - 9:12 | $\mathrm{S}_{[1]}$ - 8:11 | 13:27 | 27:19, 28:9, 29:13, |
| REFERRED ${ }_{[5]}$ - | REMAIN ${ }_{[2]}$ - 8:24, | RESPONSIBILITY | SCHEDULING [1] | 29:14, 29:16, 29:24, |
| 13:7, 25:11, 30:3, | 57:21 | [2]-22:7, 26:14 | 68:8 | 30:17, 31:15, 35:21, |
| 45:23, 61:18 | REMEMBER ${ }_{[1]}$ - | REST [1] - 17:23 | SCREEN [4] - 52:8, | 36:4, 36:10, 36:16, |
| REFERRING ${ }_{[10]}$ | 24:1 | RESULT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 47:18 | 52:10, 52:11, 52:12 | 36:17, 36:20, 36:29, |
| 10:15, 29:7, 29:11, | REMIND ${ }_{[1]}$ - 56:3 | RESUMED ${ }_{[1]}$ - 6:1 | SCROLL [5] - 10:22, | 37:17, 47:19, 47:22, |
| 29:29, 33:18, 33:19, | REMINDER ${ }_{[1]}$ - | RESUMING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 16:11, 16:19, 28:16, | 47:27, 48:1, 48:11, |
| 33:26, 34:4, 57:10, | 36:14 | 68:19 | 44:22 | 48:13, 48:15, 49:5, |
| 59:3 | REPORT [42] - 9:20, | RETIRED ${ }_{[2]}-58: 9$, | SCROLLED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 49:13, 49:16, 54:2, |
| REFUSED ${ }_{[1]}-35: 26$ | 10:8, 10:9, 10:28, | 63:28 | 60:20 | 56:18, 56:19 |
| REFUTE [2]-55:14, | 11:5, 11:26, 11:27, | RETIRED [7] - $3: 5$, | $\operatorname{SEAN}_{[1]}-58: 10$ | SERGEANT ${ }_{[5]}$ - |
| 55:18 | 12:9, 12:27, 13:6, | 3:6, 3:7, 3:7, 3:11, | SEAN [4]-1:12, 2:2, | 3:12, 3:20, 4:2, 4:3, |
| REGARD ${ }_{[4]}$ - 28:15, | 14:5, 14:11, 14:16, | 3:12, 3:13 | 2:22, 3:17 |  |
| 37:23, 38:7, 67:7 | 14:25, 14:29, 15:1, | RETURN 44 - 10:4, | SEANAD ${ }_{[1]}-1: 5$ | SERGEANT ${ }_{\text {[4] - }}$ |
| REGARDED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 15:20, 16:2, 16:12, | 10:9, 15:3, 27:19 | SECOND [5] - 14:25, | 6:24, 6:27, 39:11, |



| THIRD [2] - 24:25, | TRIBUNALS ${ }_{[1]}-1: 8$ | 11:28, 14:29 | WISHED [1] - 40:19 <br> WITH.. [1] - 24:20 <br> WITNESS [3] - 5:2, $6: 11,67: 23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53:25 | TRIED [2] - 23:13, |  |  |
| THIRDHAND [1] - | 48:25 | V |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| THREE [6] - 15:5, | TRUE [2] - 65:16, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WITNESS [7] - } 6: 4, \\ & \text { 52:12, 55:27, 58:18, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 30:5, 30:8, 55:10, | 65:17 |  |  |
| 55:11, 59:11 | TRUSTED [2] - |  | $\begin{gathered} 59: 25,63: 5,64: 29 \\ \text { WONDER }[3] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ |
| TIMEFRAME ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 21:16, 23:14 | VERBATIM ${ }_{[1]}-1: 25$ |  |
| 37:10 | TRUTH [1] - 32:29 | VERSION [4] - 32:29, | 60:17, 60:20, 61:13 |
| TODAY [3] - 6:5, | TRYING [1] - 48:19 | 43:25, 44:9, 45:26 | WORD [1] - 66:3 <br> WORRY [3]-21:11, |
| 67:26, 67:28 | TULLY [4]-13:6, | VERSIONS [1] - |  |
| TOGETHER [3] - | 13:9, 14:3, $14: 5$ | 43:11 | 31:1, 31:16 |
| 26:26, 41:18, 55:12 | TULLY'S [1] - 14:11 | VIA [1] - 35:8 VIEW [24]-22:8, | WRITE [4]-28:13,$34: 26,50: 2,51: 25$ |
| TOM [1] - 35:23 | TURN [3]-13:8, | $\begin{gathered} \text { VIEW [24] - 22:8, } \\ \text { 23:12, 24:21, 26:18 } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| TOM [1] - 3:12 | 16:28, 57:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 12,24: 21,26: 18, \\ & 27: 17,28: 8,30: 20, \end{aligned}$ | WRITING [1] - 27:20 |
| TOOK [5] - 8:21, | TURNER [1] - 2:28 |  | WRITTEN[1] - 44:3 |
| 19:29, 39:23, 63:5, | TWO [5] - 15:5, | $37: 11,37: 17,38: 12$ | WRONGDOING [3] - |
| 67:12 | 55:26, 56:13, 59:11, | 40:2, 41:29, 42:4, | $\begin{gathered} 31: 23,32: 21,49: 6 \\ \text { WROTE [4] - 16:12, } \\ 36: 26,37: 4,51: 26 \end{gathered}$ |
| TOP [3]-56:15, | 64:9 |  |  |
| 57:17, 61:23 | TYPE [1] - 22:9 | $51: 27,52: 6,52: 24$ |  |
| TOTAL[2] - 15:6, | TYPED [3] - 43:11, | $54: 14$ |  |
| 16:14 | 43:13, 43:22 | 54:14 <br> VIEWS [1] - 49:26 | Y |
| 51:23 | U | VISIT [1] - 64:14 VOLUME [5] - 9: | YEAH, [1] - 26:25 |
| 62:7 |  | 18:10, 35:11, 40:14, | YEAR [2]-10:21, |
| TOUCHED [1] - | UNANNOUNCED ${ }_{[1]}$ | 43:6 | 16:15 |
| 65:29 | UNDER [3] - 26:14, 28.23, 31.26 | 9:28 | YEARS [1] - 9:7 <br> YESTERDAY [4] - |
| TOWARDS [5] - |  |  |  |
| 10:21, 16:10, 56:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:23, 31:26 } \\ & \text { UNDER }[2]-1: 2,1: 8 \end{aligned}$UNDERSTOOD [1] - | VOLUNTEERED [3] 19:25, 19:26, 20:2 | 7:25, 47:13, 65:29, |
| 58:13, 61:3 |  |  | 66:3 |
| TOWNPARKS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | UNDERSTOOD [1] - |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:1, 22:26, 22:28, } \\ & \text { 23:3, 23:17, 31:6, } \\ & 43: 11,57: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2:12 | 41:2 | W |  |
| TRACE [1] - 56:28 | UNDERTOOK [1] - |  |  |
| TRANSCRIPT [2] - | 35:26 | WAIT [2] - 59:21, |  |
| 1:25, 64:27 TRANSFER 11$]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNFIT [2] - 9:27, } \\ & \text { 15:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $59: 24$ | $E$ |
| TRANSFER [1] | UNIFORM ${ }_{[1]}-15: 8$ | WARRANTED [1] - |  |
| TRANSFERRED ${ }_{[4]}$ - | UNIT [8] - 6:26, | 15:21 <br> WAS [5] - 6:8, 46:26, |  |
| 7:6, 7:18, 7:21, 8:7 | 12:26, 14:5, 14:29, | WAS [5] - 6:8, 46:26, $56: 9,60: 12,63: 24$ | ÉIREANN[2] - 1:4, |
| TRANSMISSION ${ }^{1}$ ] | 15:9, 26:15, 42:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WEEKEND }[2] \text { - } \\ & 25: 10,25: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1:5 |
| - 10:14 | 48:28 |  |  |
| TRAVEL [1] - 42:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNITED [2]-24:28, } \\ & 47: 23 \end{aligned}$ | WEEKLY [1] - 8:13 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRAWL [2] - 21:10, } \\ & \text { 21:12 } \end{aligned}$ | UNRELATED [1] - | WELFARE [2] - |  |
| TREACY [3] - 68:15, | 64:18 | WELLINGTON ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ó [8]-3:7, 30:2, } \\ & 30: 9,31: 4,32: 4, \\ & 34: 16,38: 19,41: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 68:17, 68:18 | UNTIL [1] - 68:28 | $2: 31$ |  |
| TREACY ${ }_{[1]}-2: 27$ | UNUSUAL [1] - | WESTMEATH ${ }_{[1]}$ - |  |
| TREACY'S ${ }_{[1]}-68: 1$ | 18:22 | $4: 1$ |  |
| TREATING [1] - | UP [21]-11:4, 12:26, | WESTMEATH ${ }_{[2]}$ - |  |
| 12:23 | 13:13, 14:12, 15:23, | 8:15, 8:26 |  |
| TREATMENT [2] - | 16:2, 16:19, 16:22, |  |  |  |
| 9:29, 10:2 | 21:29, 24:8, 26:24, | WHISTLEBLOWER |  |
| TRIATHLON ${ }_{[1]}$ | 26:26, 33:13, 41:16, | [1] - 18:13 |  |
| 25:10 | 41:19, 50:24, 51:3, | WHITE [1] - 3:11 |  |
| TRIBUNAL [2] - 1:2, | 51:27, 52:9, 62:7, |  |  |  |
| 2:6 | 64:27 | WILLING [4] - 33:6, |  |
| TRIBUNAL [6] - | UP-TO-DATE [1] - | 36:21, 37:20, 40:6 |  |
| 18:11, 33:21, 45:19, | 12:26 | $\text { WISH [2] - 44:2, }^{2}$ |  |
| 59:4, 64:25, 66:6 | UPDATED [2] - | 46:19 |  |


[^0]:    "... will assist to arrange such meeting.

