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THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLONG, ON TUESDAY, 21ST
J ANARY 2020:

CHAI RMAN Yes, good morning everybody. Good morning, Mr. Marrinan.

MR. MARRI NAN Good morning, Chairman. The first witness today is Sergeant Aidan Lyons, please. CHAN RMAN Yes. Thank you very much. where is Sergeant Lyons? Thanks very much.
MR. MARRI NAN His statement is to be found in volume 42 at page 11714, and also a supplemental statement in volume 56, page 151708.

## SERGEANT A DAN LYONS, HAV NG BEEN SUDRN, WAS

 DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MR MARR NAN, AS FOLLOVS:CHA RMAN Thanks. Good morning, Sergeant Lyons. THE WTNESS: Good morning.
1 Q. MR. MARRI NAN Now, Sergeant Lyons, I think that you are attached to Clara Garda station in Co. Offaly at the present moment in time, is that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

2 Q. Could you just give us a brief history of your time in An Garda Síochána up until now?
A. Yeah. I was attested in December 1998. I was initially assigned to Ashbourne Garda Station until around June 2000, when I was transferred to Dundalk Garda Station. In 2005 I moved to Delvin Garda station in Co. Westmeath. Sorry, 2003 I moved to De1vin Garda

Station. In 2005 I transferred to Ath1one Garda Station. In 2006 I was assigned to a drugs unit, a district drugs unit. I remained on that unit until 2012, when the drugs unit was -- there was a restructure of the drugs unit in D/Branch and I was assigned to the detective branch until early '16, when I was promoted and I was transferred to Clara Garda Station.

3 Q. Now, I think when you were attached to the drugs unit in 2006 was one of your partners Garda A at that time?
A. That's correct.

4 Q. And did it include three additional members who were also stationed in Athlone Garda Station, being Garda Tom Judge, Garda Michae1 Ryan and Garda Sinéad Cuniffe?
A. That's correct. Sergeant Judge was stationed in Mullingar $I$ believe at the time, he was supervising the unit, and Garda Cuniffe and Garda Ryan were gardaí members on the unit.
5 Q. I think you first came into contact with Garda Keogh in October of 2009 when he was transferred to Ath1one from 10:32 Bray Garda Station?
A. That's correct.

6 Q. Now, did Garda Keogh, along with Garda Turner, replace Garda Ryan and Garda Lucas, who were then on the drugs unit?
A. That's correct.

7 Q. I think Garda Lucas had previously replaced a guard, Garda Cuniffe; is that correct?
A. That's correct.

8 Q. I think your direct partner was Garda Turner, is that so?
A. That is correct, yes.

9 Q. I think for approximately a six to 12 -month period in 2010 you were also partnered to work with Garda Keogh and Garda A; is that right?
A. Approximately six months after working with Garda Turner I was partnered to work with Garda Keogh.
10 Q. A11 right, I am sorry, and Garda A was --
A. Garda A was partnered to work with Garda Turner.

11 Q. When was the drug unit expanded?
A. There was a restructuring of the drugs unit and detective branch in Ath1one in 2012, when exactly I am not sure. At that time Garda A and I were assigned to the detective branch.

12 Q. Did you partner with him at that time?
A. I did.

13 Q. For what period of time?
A. From that restructuring in 2005 until my promotion in 2016.

14 Q. In May of 2014 you were aware of the fact that a disclosure had been made, a protected disclosure had also been made public by Garda Keogh in relation to alleged wrongdoing in Athlone Garda Station. Did you become aware of that from the off, as it were?
A. I'd imagine that $I$ was aware of it in the early days.

15 Q. Were you part of the group who were assembled for Garda Keogh to speak to in his unit?
A. No. Did that meeting filter out to other members by any chance?
A. I wasn't aware of that meeting. I did become aware of the protected disclosures in -- I don't know, days or a week or two weeks after it. Not in any official capacity, I just became aware of it. I think it was generally known.
A. It would be normal, it would be normal.

23
A. Yeah, Liam McHugh would be -- I suppose you'd describe him as -- he sells the Big Issue in Athlone, he is someone who would always be on the streets of Athlone
selling the Big Issue. I suppose you would describe him as maybe being on the fringes of criminality. не is someone who would speak to the guards, he'd speak to, you know, other persons, other members of maybe the criminals in Athlone, he'd keep everyone close.

31 Q. Would it be somebody that you would consider as being reliable?
A. Not particularly, no. I wouldn't regard him now as being a pillar of society. No, I wouldn't.
32 Q When you met him, were you on duty at the time?
A. I was on duty.
Q. the station when I met Mr. McHugh. When you say you met Mr. McHugh, you knew him previously, did he know you previously?
A. We would have had. He would, yeah.

36 Q. Was that in an official capacity or was it just a social capacity?
A. It wasn't a social capacity, but at the same time I wouldn't have had any -- I don't think I had any official dealings with him but everyone in Athlone Garda Station would know Liam McHugh. If you left the station, if you went out on the beat or went for a walk, Liam McHugh, you would invariably bump into him, you know, he'd want to talk, he'd want to chat.

37

38
A.
A. Yeah, 9:00pm, I was crossing over Bastion Street, just to enter onto Connolly Street, and I heard my name being called from my right and it was Liam McHugh. He crossed over the street to talk to me. He brought up the general topic of whistleblowers. I think there was something in the news at the time.
Q. Now you say the general topic of whistleblowers, could you be a little bit more specific in relation to what was discussed?
A. Well, I can't really. There was something in the news at the time and he made reference to it. But what exactly that was, I'm not sure. A general reference to whistleblowers and what was going on in the media at the time.
Q. Well, I am not entirely sure what that would actually entail; a general reference to whistleblowers. I mean, was he talking about it in the context in Athlone?
A. No.

42 Q. So he was talking about it in terms of generally, but was he talking about it in relation to An Garda Síochána?
A. He was.

43
Q. Yes?
A. He was, yeah.

44 Q. So it was whistleblowers within An Garda Síochána?
A. Yes, yes. He made some general reference to whistleblowers and whatever was going on in the medial at that time.

Was he saying this was a good thing or a bad thing?
A. I think the slant he was putting on it was it was a bad thing, yeah, that's what I took from it. He informed me that he had been recently approached by the bald guard, who asked him if he could recall the day he had been searched by three guards and that $€ 800$ had been taken from him and spent in the bookmakers, casino and the pub, Castle pub. The bald garda informed him that if he wanted to make a complaint about that search that 10:41 he would back him up. I asked him if this search had actually taken place, if it had actually taken place. And he said no, not at all, I'm not going bringing trouble on myself.
Q. What did you take him to mean by that?
A. The comment, no, not at all, I'm not going to bring trouble on myself?

47 Q. Yes.
A. I took him to mean that -- I asked him -- I had asked -- my question was, had this search taken place, and he 10:41 said, no, not at all. I took it to mean that this search had never taken place.

48 Q. A11 right. So there was no doubt in your mind that what was being communicated to you at that time was an
assertion by Liam McHugh that this incident had never taken place, is that right?
A. That's what I took from it.

49 Q. You see, subsequently you were to a report in relation to this?
A. That's correct.
A. Yes.
A. I took it to mean that the search had never taken place and he wasn't going bringing trouble on himself by making a false report in relation to something happened -- didn't happen.
52 Q. So your understanding of this conversation that you had with him was -- did you take it that he was making some 10:42 sort of a complaint to you?
A. I took it that he was making me aware of issues that were going on in the background.

53 Q. So what he was --
A. I got the impression from him, without him actually saying so, that he was going to have -- he was having this discussion with me, but he didn't intend it to be an official complaint, no. But that's my reading of the situation.

54 Q. Well, if you took it at the time that this was an incident that had actually taken place, or you were suspicious that maybe he was trying to communicate to you that it had taken place but he didn't want to bring trouble on himself by making a formal complaint and involving himself with An Garda Síochána, I suppose you would have gone on and asked him about the other three members that he had referred to, isn't that right?
A. If that was my understanding of what he was trying to convey, but it wasn't.
But it's something that if you were suspicious that in fact this incident had taken place, you'd have wanted to know when it took place, for example, is that right?
A. That's correct. You'd have wanted to know whether the three guards were 10:44 in uniform or whether they were plain clothes guards?
A. Yes, and who they were.

57 Q. More than likely Liam McHugh would have known who the guards were, because he was so familiar with the guards in Athlone Garda Station, or he could have given you a 10:44 description in relation to them, isn't that right?
A. If he was alleging that it happened.

58 Q. So can we take it that the reason that you didn't ask him the obvious questions in relation to it was because you had excluded any possibility in your mind that what 10:44 Liam McHugh was saying to you was that this was an incident that had never occurred, is that right?
A. That was my understanding. That's what I took from the conversation.

59 Q. So in terms of what Liam McHugh was in fact conveying to you at that time, was that Garda Keogh had effectively coached him into making a false complaint against An Garda Síochána, is that right?
A. That was my belief.

10:45
And that he, as a whistleblower, one of these people that apparently Liam McHugh didn't think highly of, that in actual fact that he as a whistleblower had in fact indicated to him that he would back him up in this. I suppose the obvious question is, if this is what he was telling you: what was in it for Liam McHugh?
A. I don't know. I got the impression from Liam McHugh that he wanted me to be aware of what was going on at the time.
61 Q. But did you not ask him, we11, why would he do that, what's in it for you?
A. I didn't. Liam McHugh, he told me -- we had the conversation, it wasn't a long conversation, he told me what he wanted to say. He didn't hang around. He said, I'll leave it with you, or something to those -words to those effect and he went on. To ask him any further questions I would have had to, you know, ask him to stay and to be quite honest with you, I would have been happier if Liam McHugh had approached any in that I was partnered to work with Garda A, I had been partnered with Garda Keogh. I didn't have an issue with either member at the time. I listened to
what Liam McHugh had to te11 me and I reported on that conversation.

62 Q. We11, I suppose the meaning that you took from what he said to you was that he had been encouraged by Garda Keogh to make a false complaint to An Garda Síochána, which is in fact a criminal offence under the 1976 Criminal Law Act, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

63
A. Mm-hmm, a very serious matter.

64 Q. But it also potentially could impact on Garda Keogh and his reputation, as it were, because this might suggest and undermine his credibility as a witness in relation to his protected disclosure, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
A. That's correct.
Q. And I am just wondering how you dealt with those three issues. First of all, the issue that gave rise to a criminal offence and second of all, its obvious impact on Garda Keogh's credibility and also the potential lapse in justice that might be caused to Garda A if this matter wasn't disclosed. Could you just help us in relation to that?
A. I don't know if I thought it through in relation to those three points specifically but it was very clear
to me that what I needed to do was report the matter, report the facts of the matter and let my superiors decide what action should be taken from there and, you know, investigate the matter as they see fit.
67 Q.
We will come to the reporting of it in a minute, because you didn't immediately report it. We will come to your conversation that you say in your supplemental statement that you had with Detective Sergeant Curley. But it wasn't until the Monday that you reported the matter, on the 2nd June; isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

68 Q. But I am more concerned to understand, as it were, the significance that you attributed to what had been disclosed to you. I mean, did you think you should attach any sort of credence to what had been said to you by Liam McHugh?
A. I reported on the facts of the matter, which I am trained to do, to gather the facts and report on the facts. Obviously I had an opinion. I drew conclusions from what he told me. But I reported on the facts of what I had been told.
69 Q. And the conclusion that you drew, and you have said it very firm7y in your statement, was that what was being suggested was that Garda Keogh had coached Liam McHugh?
A. That's what I took from it. That's what I believed. Having spoken to Liam McHugh, when I walked away that was my belief, from speaking with Liam McHugh. Now my belief is primarily based on the way he presents himself, the way -- his body language, his demeanour
when he spoke to me. He was very definite about what he wanted to say. He looked me straight in the eye and he told me what he wanted to tell me. I suppose it's similar to dealing with a witness to a crime or a suspect, they tell you a story, you might have no other 10:50 evidence to corroborate what they are saying, but naturally, anyone would, you draw your own conclusions from what you are being told. And from speaking to Liam McHugh and from the way he presented to me when he made his -- when we had this conversation, I believed that he was telling me, it was the truth. That was my belief.

70 Q. You believed that what he was telling you was true?
A. Mm-hmm.

71 Q. Right. So at that time a man who is on the fringes of criminality, who is selling the Big Issue, not that there is anything wrong with that, but he is on the fringes, as you put it, of society, well known to An Garda Síochána, and he says to you that a bald guard told him to make up what is a false allegation against three colleagues, and you were happy to accept that what you were being told by him at that time was true as against what you knew of Garda Keogh as being a hard-working member of the drugs squad and also a hard-working member of Athlone Garda Station; is that what you are telling us?
A. Yes. As I said, when you have a conversation with someone it's a natural thing to do, to draw your own conclusions.

72 Q. But you didn't have any conversation with Garda Keogh.
A. That's correct.

And are you telling us that you were happy to make the judgment that what you were being told was true, purely on the basis of the man's demeanour and that he looked you in the eye? You see, the content of what he told you, I have to suggest to you, on any reading, would be a complete and utter load of nonsense. I mean, I am trying to understand what it is about the story that could possibly fit any given set of circumstances. I mean, first of all the story involves Garda Keogh being present when this incident took place, isn't that right?
A. Garda Keogh having a conversation with Liam McHugh?

74 Q. No, Garda Keogh, this story that you accepted as being true would mean that Garda Keogh -- I am just suggesting to you, it seems like a load of nonsense --
A. What I am accepting is that when Liam McHugh told me he had been approached by Garda Keogh and Garda Keogh had put it to him that this search had taken place, that Liam McHugh was asserting that it had never taken place. I asked him had the search taken place, he said no.
75 Q. No, we have gone way beyond that now, and we are at the stage where we accept -- you're accepting that Liam McHugh has told you the truth in relation to this. So now we're --
CHAL RMAN Sorry, Mr. Marrinan, there is confusion here. He is saying he accepted the conversation with

Garda Keogh as reported by Liam McHugh. He is not saying that the incident happened.
MR. MARRI NAN No.
CHA RMAN He is saying that his impression was that McHugh was telling him a true story.
MR. MARRI NAN Yes.
CHA RMAN In other words, about the conversation with Garda Keogh. There is some confusion in your questions that hasn't made it absolutely clear.
MR. MARRI NAN I am sorry.
CHA RMAN AS I see it.
76 Q. MR. MARRI NAN To be absolutely clear in relation to this, I am not talking about the contents of the allegation insofar as this is something that did occur, but what I am talking about is the conversation that you had with Liam McHugh and your acceptance of his version of events; namely, that Garda Keogh had told him to make a false complaint concerning three guards --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

77 Q. -- in an incident where money was taken from Liam McHugh and that Garda Keogh was present when this took place. All right?
A. Mm-hmm.

78 Q. That's the position that we are in.
A. Yeah.

79 Q. Now, I am suggesting to you is that that on its face seems like a load of nonsense, because, as we later know from an interpretation of this by Chief

Superintendent Curran and others, there is a possibility that Garda Keogh was actually involved in the incident himself, is that right?
A. Well, I had a conversation with Liam McHugh, so.

80 Q. Would you just answer that question that I have asked you?
A. Could you ask that question again, please?~
A. Yeah.
Q. So I am saying that on the face of it what you were being told by Liam McHugh really was nonsense, but at the same time you're saying and telling the Chairman that you accepted that this information had been conveyed by Garda Keogh to Liam McHugh, is that right?
A. That's correct.

83 Q. Do you think in some way that that might --
A. I don't know, like, if Garda Keogh had convinced Liam 10:56 McHugh to come in and make the false report, the details of the report, $I$ don't know would it have been a situation where -- this is all hypothetical of course.

84 Q. Hm-hmm?
A. Would have been a situation where at the time Garda Keogh thought it was a legal search and he thought that the money was going to be processed or used as evidence of whatever, money laundering or crime. And it was
only later that I found out that it hadn't been, you know, it hadn't properly stored. I don't know. The report was never made. So I don't know what the actual detail of that report would have been if it was made. Okay. Now it's not your fault that this story got legs, as it were, you know, and I think you're aware of the fact that a different interpretation was put on your report than you -- you're the person who made the report. Did anybody ever come back to you in relation to your report?
A. No.
Q. So in any event, you return to Athlone Garda Station. Do you remember when you had a conversation with Detective Sergeant Curley?
A. I can only say between the time of my conversation with Liam McHugh and -- sometime between that and sending my e-mail. Over those couple of days.
87 Q. We will just look at the e-mail that you sent in. It's at page 522, please, up on the screen. You see, it was sent by you to Sergeant Curley on 2nd June 2014 at 20:28. I think you had worked on the Sunday evening is that -- on the Sunday; is that right?
A. That's correct.

88 Q. Yes. We have the station diary in relation to the Sunday. I think that Garda A had also worked on the Sunday, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

89 Q. Were you partnered with him on the Sunday?
A. I would have been.

90

In relation to your conversation with Sergeant Curley, was it exactly as we have seen laid out in your report or was it more along the lines of your evidence here today, in relation to approaching this on the basis that this was something that had been communicated to Liam McHugh -- to you concerning Garda Keogh encouraging somebody to make a false statement and that you were inclined to accept what you were being told by Liam McHugh?
A. I don't know. I don't know. I recall having the
conversation with Detective Sergeant Curley and informing him of my interaction with Liam McHugh and that I was going to send in, submit a report on it, and he agreed.

Detective Sergeant Curley is your unit sergeant, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. I am sure you discuss a lot of things with him during the course of your work, both formally and informally, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And here we are in a situation where not long beforehand Garda Keogh had made a protected disclosure and I am sure Ath1one Garda Station were talking about Garda Keogh and the protected disclosure. This
information that you were bringing to the table was casting serious doubt over Garda Keogh's credibility, wasn't it?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Did you say that to Detective Sergeant Curley?
A. I don't recall saying it to him. It was a very short conversation, a couple of minutes. I don't recal1 specifically saying that to him.
99 Q. Why was it a short conversation. I mean this was a very topical issue at the time?
A. My conversation with Liam McHugh, it wasn't a long conversation, there wasn't a whole lot of detail in it. I informed Detective Sergeant Curley of what I had been told by Liam McHugh, and considering all that was going
on at that time, I knew that under any circumstances there would be an onus for me to report the matter.
100 Q. Were you aware at the time, I asked you this before but perhaps your memory has been jogged, were you aware of the Olivia o'Neill incident?
A. I don't know when or how I became aware of that incident.
when you became aware of the incident, was the incident similar to Liam McHugh in relation to the interpretation that was being placed on it; namely, that Garda Keogh was coaching a witness what to say?
A. Even to this day I wouldn't be overly familiar with the olivia O'Neill incident. It's not something I have concerned myself with. If I was to speculate I'd say that I learned of the general nature of that incident or allegation sometime after this, but that's speculation.
102 Q. Detective Sergeant Curley didn't say to you, oh, this sounds like something that happened here two days ago?
A. No. I don't recall him saying that, I don't think he did.
Q. All right. So if we go back to page 522 then, at $20: 28$ we see Liam McHugh and then:
"PI D 105191. "

That is not an incident number. You didn't put this on Pulse?
A. No, I didn't put it on Pulse. I believe that's his own
personal identification number on the pulse system.
Q. Why didn't you put this incident on Pulse?
A. I have no particular answer for why I didn't put it on Pulse. I reported on the matter and...
Q. On your own account, Liam McHugh had reported potentially a crime to you and you were accepting his credibility, would you not be obliged to put the matter on Pulse on the Saturday evening?
A. Yeah, I suppose it's not your usual incident or situation that you would encounter. I on7y -- I considered it necessary to report on the matter. I didn't consider Pulse at the time, wrongly or rightly I didn't consider Pulse.

106 Q. Okay. Did you perhaps mention to Detective Sergeant Curley that you hadn't put the matter on Pulse.
A. No.
Q. And seek any directions in relation to it?
A. No, I didn't. Pulse didn't come up.
Q. If we go through it:
"With reference to the above, l wish to report that on 31st May 2014 at approxi natel y 9: 00pm I was approached by Li am McHugh on Bastion Street, Athl one.

Mr. McHugh brought up the general topic of
whi stlebl owers and we had a general conversation for a few minutes during whi ch he informed me as follows."

Then you quote him. That quotation that you have
there, did you take a note of what --
A. I didn't take a note.

109 Q. -- you had been told by Mr. McHugh on the night of the 31st?
A. I didn't, no.

110 Q. After you had the conversation with him, did you make a note of your conversation?
A. I didn't, no.
Q. Did you ever make a note of your conversation or is it just what we see in the report?
A. what went into the report.

112 Q. "' The bal d guard came over to me the other day and asked if I could remember the time l was searched by three guards and $€ 800$ was stol en fromme and spent drinking in the Castle (pub), the booki es and the casi no. He said if I wanted to make a compl ai nt about it then he would back me up.'

I asked Liam McHugh if he was alleging that this had actually happened and his answer was 'no, not at all, l 11:06 am not bringing trouble on myself'.

I asked himif he was referring to Garda Nick Keogh and he confirmed he was. He went on to say'he told me he was there himself when it happened and he would back me 11:07 up if I wanted to make a complaint'.

Forwarded for your information."

If we could just have page 657 up on the screen. This was sent on to the chief superintendent, and sent on behalf of Inspector Nicholas Farrell after he had received it from Detective Sergeant Curley. we see here:
"Attached find report of Garda Ai dan Lyons in respect of a conversation he had with M. Liam MEHugh. The conversation occurred on Bastion Street, Athl one on 31st May 2014 and during the course of same Liam MEHugh 11:07 outlined a separate conversation he was alleged to have had with Garda Ni chol as Keogh, the content of whi ch is outlined in Garda Lyons's report.

Previ ous reports fromthis office in respect to intelligence report number on 20th May 2014 and information di vul ged by Oivia O Neill to Garda Stephanie Treacy at Athl one Garda Station on the 28th May, forwarded on the 29th May, al so appear to refer to similar incidents."

That seems to be putting the category of the Liam McHugh incident -- or the Liam McHugh incident into the same category as the olivia o'Neill incident, which is a coaching incident effectively.
A. Yeah, I would agree with that.

113 Q. Yes.
A. That's...

114 Q. And that certainly is the interpretation that you
attributed to that.
A. Well I was -- as I said, I don't know when I became aware of the Olivia O'Neill incident. And I don't think I was aware of it at that time when I made my report. I may have been but I don't think I was.
Q. You were never requested to clarify your report, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. I think it's fair to say that Chief Superintendent Curran certainly wasn't particularly happy that you made a statement subsequent to the Tribunal, indicating your interpretation of what the report set out. But as far as you're concerned, is it relatively clear as far as you're concerned what your report conveys to your superiors?
A. I thought my report was clear. As I said, at the time I kept it the facts, to the facts of the conversation, without putting any opinion in it. when I made my statement last year, obvious7y we were at Tribunal stage.

117 Q. Yes.
A. And obviously I was going to be asked for my opinion, so I thought it appropriate at that time to put opinion in my statement.
118 Q. Yes. And I suppose if there was any ambiguity in relation to the matter, that you were there to clear up the matter, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.

119 Q. Did anybody ask you for an assessment of the
information that you had received?
A. No.

120 Q. Does that surprise you in any way?
A. I didn't give it any thought. I was happy to report on it and put it behind me. As I said, this conversation, this incident, you know, put me in a very awkward position, a position I didn't want to be in. I had the conversation with Liam McHugh, I knew I had to report on it. When I done so, I put it to the back of my mind, I didn't give it any further thought.
121 Q. I suppose you know it has been suggested in some way that you were being put up to making yourself a false report in relation to that, would you like to just simply address that, that allegation that is there?
A. I certainly would, yeah. I'm aware that Garda Keogh has alleged that I was persuaded for cajoled or manipulated into making a false report. I deeply, deeply resent those comments. And I believe that Garda Keogh knows that I am not the sort of person who would allow himself to be manipulated into making a false report. I believe he said those things to further his own case. But Garda Keogh knows that I would not allow myself to be manipulated into making a false report. I have 22 years service in An Garda Síochána, not once, not once in those 22 years has any person, whether it be a member of the public, a defence solicitor, anyone, had any reason to question my credibility and honesty, and I deeply regret those comments that Garda keogh has made.
Q. Then if we just scroll over to the next page, please, at 15713, halfway down, we see at 7:00am, that's Garda Brady, Hickey and Lyons reported regular off duty, is that right, so you finished your shift? Sorry, I have misread that. That should be the 1st June.
A. At $4 a m$ I reported off duty. 125 Q. Yes, sorry. I beg your pardon, it's my fault. We have to use those circumstances. What do you say to that?
A. I think that allegation is absurd. And I think the grounds that he is basing his belief that I was manipulated into making this report also are absurd. I had that conversation with Liam McHugh, as reported on 2nd June '14. I have gone through what I took from that conversation. That's al1 I can say about it. I reported on it. That conversation did take place, as set out in my e-mail.
123 Q. Just for the sake of completeness in relation to your evidence, if we could just have page 15713 up on the screen. I referred to this earlier on in relation to the station diary. If we go to page 15712 , this is the 31st May at 5:00pm, at the very bottom if you scrol1 down. I think that you were on duty on the Saturday night, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
at 1pm on 1st June 2014, you're not recorded actually as going off duty. But if we scroll further up, we wil1 see at 1 pm on 1st June 2014. And then, if we scroll down, we see at 4am Garda Brady, Hickey and Lyons reported regular off duty.
A. That's correct, yeah. I would have started at 6 pm on Saturday the 31st and finished at 4am on Sunday the 1st June.
Q. If we scroll further down then we will see, seven lines up from the bottom, and then at 7:00am on the 1st June the record commences?
A. Yeah, I also worked on the Sunday night, I think from 6 pm , starting on Sunday, the 1st June, working until 2 am .

CHA RMAN So you worked on Saturday at 6 pm and also on 11:16 Sunday at 6 pm .
A. Yeah. 6:00 to 4:00 on Saturday and 6:00 to 2:00 on Sunday.

127 Q. MR. MARRINAN Then if we scroll down to the bottom there, on the Sunday it says, just at the bottom of the 11:16 page, at 3 pm on the 1st June you took up duty. But we will see there, over the next page, at 15714 , we see at 6 pm , and then it refers to the fact that Garda Brady, Hickey, Shanley, Garda A and Lyons reported on duty. So you remained on duty until what time?
A. Until $2 a m$ on the $2 n d$.

128 Q. Until 2am, al1 right. Then you reported for duty on the Monday, at what time?
A. I was off duty on Monday. I was on a rest day on

Monday.
129 Q. Did you not do your report?
A. I did.
Q. So you did it?
A. On a rest day.

131 Q. On a rest day. Did you come in specifically to do it or did you do it at home?
A. I don't know whether I came in specifically to do it after the bank holiday weekend, which would have been busy in Athlone, whether I had a few loose ends to tidy 11:17 up, I don't know. But I was on a rest day on Monday the $2 n d$ and the report was sent on that day. But it wouldn't be unusual to be busy on a bank holiday Sunday night and just have a few bits and pieces of paperwork to tidy up and pop in and do it. But I don't know.

132 Q. Okay. Is there anything further you would like to add in relation to your evidence at this point?
A. No.

133 Q. Would you answer any questions, please?
A. Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Now, who goes next? Mr. Marrinan, who goes next?
MR. MARR NAN I am not sure. Whoever wants to. CHA RMAN The main contradictor is Mr. Kelly, isn't that right. Mr. Kelly, what is your view? Do you think it's appropriate that you should now
cross-examine or would you refer to wait until a little later.

MR. KELLY: I am fine. If anyone else wants to ask questions of this witness, I am quite happy to follow along after that.
CHA RMAN very good.
MR. MRPHY: I have no questions.
CHAI RMAN You have no questions.
ME. O ROURKE: I appear for --
CHA RMAN Thanks very much, Ms. O'Rourke, have you any 11:19 questions.
M. O ROURKE: If anything arises following Mr. Kelly, I will then deal with them.
CHAD RNAN Yeah. It seems logical that Garda Keogh's side should present their case. If something arises, Mr. Kelly, we will sort it out in a fair way, if there is any issue that arises as a result then of Ms. O'Rourke's questions.

## SERGEANT AI DAN LYONS WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY ME.

MLLI GAN AS FOLLOVS:

134 Q. M. MLLI GAN Good morning, Sergeant Lyons.
A. Good morning.

135 Q. I wonder could I just ask very briefly, in terms of
Liam McHugh, the Pulse ID number, did you have to look that up in order to complete your statement?
A. Yes, I would have had.

136 Q. So on the rest day on the 2nd you look up Pulse ID

105191; is that right?
A. That's correct.

137 Q. Can you recall to the best of your ability what was on that Pulse ID?
A. It would be a record of all Garda interaction with Mr. McHugh.
Q. Al1 Garda interaction?
A. All Garda interaction.
Q. Would that include then any criminal convictions?
A. It would.

140 Q. And were there any criminal convictions?
A. I can't recal1, but if I was to speculate, if you want me to do so, I would imagine that he would have convictions but I wouldn't expect them to be anything of a serious nature.
CHA RMAN We should be a little careful, I suppose, about Mr. McHugh. I am a little worried. And
Mr. Kelly and Ms. Mulligan may well be wondering why we don't have Mr. McHugh waiting outside the door, and there's a pretty good reason for that. But we just have to be a little careful about that, Ms. Mulligan. I understand your point.
MS. MLLI GAN I accept the point, Chairman.
141 Q. CHAN RMAN My impression is, if there were, they wouldn't be very serious, they'd be kind of minor stuff. That's my impression.
A. I could be wrong, but if you were to ask me to guess I'd say that he has convictions but I wouldn't expect them to --

CHA RMAN We will leave it at that. Okay, Ms. Mulligan, to the extent that you need to pursue it further, well and good, you are entitled to.
MS. MLLI GAN I appreciate the difficulty about Mr. McHugh.
CHA RMAN I will leave it to your discretion.
MS. MLLI GAN The questions more relate to the credibility assessment in relation to Sergeant Lyons. So, in terms of making your assessment about Liam McHugh, before you decide to, I suppose, send up the chain an allegation about your own colleague, you didn't or can't recall whether you checked the number or level of convictions in relation to Mr. McHugh, is that correct?
A. No, I wouldn't have checked his previous convictions, $\quad$ 11:21 but I knew Mr. McHugh, I knew of his character, I knew who I was dealing with.
okay. Can we just -- and again, I hear what the Chairman is saying, but in relation to that, you knew who you were dealing with, can you just be a little bit 11:21 more specific about what had a means?
A. Yeah, certainly, yeah. Liam McHugh, as I said, he wouldn't be a pillar of society. He's not someone that if he had a -- you'd accept -- he's not someone from whom you would accept his word on every occasion. Yeah, his character could be questioned.

144 Q. So he's not someone -- you say he's someone who could be questioned, his character could be questioned?
A. That's correct.

Q. Your evidence to the Tribunal is that you didn't question his character in this scenario because he looked you in the eye and because of his demeanour, is that right?
A. No, what I said was that on this occasion, from the way 11:22 he presented to me, the way he told his story, his general body language, he seemed very sure, assured about what he was saying.
Q. And if he was very sure, was he also very sure that he wasn't going to make a statement?
A. Well I didn't ask him if he was going to make a statement, Chairman.
147 Q. Why didn't you ask him if he was going to make a statement?
A. As I have said, I was happy to report on the facts of the conversation and then leave it up to whoever else was appropriate to go and ask him if he wanted to make a statement. I at the time was a partner of Garda A, a previous partner of Garda Keogh, I had no issue with either member at the time. This had been reported to me, nothing I could do about that. I reported it on. I wanted another member to get involved in the investigation. It wouldn't be appropriate for me to delve into the investigation of this matter.
148 Q. Okay. Just to confirm, you say to the Chairman that 11:23 you knew the facts, but can you know the facts as a member of An Garda Síochána if there isn't a statement being made?
A. I certainly can, yeah, I can report on the facts of

So what you are reporting is only recall, is that right; your own recall of what you understood to be reported to you?
A. I reported the facts of my conversation with Liam McHugh.
Q. You reported a conversation, not any facts, isn't that fair to say?
A. No, they are facts. The facts are contained, I met him, location and time and place, and the facts, the details of the conversation. Just so I am clear, as a general rule if someone doesn't make a statement to you for whatever reason, is it ordinarily the case that you report it up in any chain in any scenario?
A. Well the report, in most cases they would come before the statement. You go to the scene of an assault on a street on a Saturday night, someone has an injury, you create a Pulse incident, the person could be intoxicated, you go back two days later to see if they want to make a statement, they may or may not.

152 Q. We'11 come back to that. In an ordinary case where you have assault, you create a Pulse ID for the incident, you identify the relevant parties and you go back in a couple of days to see if anyone wants to make a formal
A. That's correct.

153 Q. So where is the Pulse ID in this scenario?
A. There is no Pulse ID in this scenario.

Q. Why is that the case? Because you deviated from what you describe as general practice that you would normally follow and I want to understand why that is.
A. Well, this isn't your average incident that you would deal with. Maybe I should have created a Pulse incident, I don't know. At the time I was happy to send in my report and have it investigated by another member.
Q. So this isn't your average incident. Can you explain to the Tribunal what you mean by that?
A. When you have a member of the public alleging that he has been approached by a guard and the guard has attempted to persuade that member of the public to make a false report, which is my understanding of what Liam McHugh was telling me, that's not your average incident 11:26 that you would be dealing with on a day-to-day basis. As I am saying, maybe I should have created a Pulse ID. I don't know.
Q. And would you say this is a more serious incident considering the nature of the alleged crime?
A. It's a very serious incident.
Q. It's a very serious incident. So just we are clear, a very serious incident means that you should deviate from the general practice that you normally follow?
A. I don't think I deviated.
Q. In your own evidence, Sergeant Lyons, you deviated from general practice?
A. Yeah, I see, I see, Chairman, I see where -- I accept the point in relation to creating a Pulse incident.

Usually you would create a Pulse incident. I didn't. Maybe that was incorrect. I don't know. I don't know.

159 Q. You see, Sergeant Lyons, the difficulty is, it is a very serious incident and you have accused your own colleague of criminal activity. So when you deviate from that you have limited in any way the ability of Garda Keogh to respond to this allegation that you were now bringing up the line?
A. I don't think I have limited his ability to respond to it, no.

160 Q. Okay. Could I just ask you to look at your statement please. Page 11718, Volume 42. Can I just ask you about 4.28?
A. Yes.

161 Q. "The bal d guard then informed Mr. McHugh that if it were the case that he wi shed to make a compl ai nt in rel ati on to this then he (the bal d guard) would him back himup. I asked Mr. MkHugh if the indi vi dual he was referring to as the bald guard was Garda Ni chol as Keogh. "

Do you see that
A. Yes.

162 Q. Do you see how you name Garda Keogh?
A. Yes.

163 Q. Now correct me, but it doesn't appear that Garda Nicholas Keogh is the only member of the Athlone Garda Station that is without his hair?
A. That's probably the case.
Q. So you named Garda Keogh?
A. Yes.
Q. So you jumped to the conclusion and you create a chain of inquiry without any basis for knowing that it is in fact Garda Keogh, because Garda Keogh isn't named by the one person who you say gives you the evidence?
A. I asked Mr. McHugh a straight question, was it Garda Keogh and he said it was. I was happy then with that identification.
Q. And as we noted, it is the case that there are several other members of An Garda Síochána serving in an Athlone that are -- or are without their hair. I don't know what the most politically correct term is?
A. Yeah, there are probably several bald members in Athlone Garda Station at the time, but I asked Mr. McHugh to confirm if it was Nicholas Keogh that he referred to, and he said it was.
Q. Would you call that a leading question?
A. Maybe in a court case scenario it would be a leading question but $I$ had to identify the person he was talking about.
Q. And you didn't ask for any other descriptors, is that the case?
A. That's correct.
Q. And there's no notes from any of the incidents, any of the days on which you were present, between the 29th May and the 2nd June, isn't that right?
A. In relation to this incident, no.
Q. In relation to this incident. That is right, I am
correct in that?
A. You are correct, yes, Chairman.
Q. Okay. Just to go the last part of that statement:
"No, not at all, I am not bringing trouble on mysel f." 11:30

Now, correct me if I am wrong, would that suggest a hesitancy on the part of Mr. McHugh to make any statement? He didn't want to bring trouble on himself. Is it likely that he would make a statement to anyone?
A. If you are asking me --

172 Q. Did you consider that, the likelihood of Mr. McHugh taking the matter any further, in deciding to send this up the line?
A. Without asking him, I got the impression from him that he wouldn't take this further in an official capacity or make a statement, but $I$ didn't ask him, he didn't te11 me that. I got the impression that he was making me aware of what was going on in the background for me to use it as I saw fit.

173 Q. okay.
A. To make me aware of it.

174 Q. So your evidence to the Tribunal is that you didn't believe that Liam McHugh would make a statement and yet you reported on an alleged incident in any event, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, just --
A. I'm speculating, I don't know --
A. Yeah.
Q. In ordinary course?
A. In ordinary course of events, yeah. If an injured party doesn't want to make a complaint it would be very difficult to pursue with the investigation. There are some cases where you may.
Q. But as a general rule we don't?
A. As a general rule we don't.

180 Q. Because it's not likely to, I suppose, lead to any kind of fruition, is that fair?
A. Yeah, in the normal course.

181 Q. In the normal course. So this is different because it's a member of An Garda Síochána? Yes?
A. I wasn't treating it any differently because it was a member of An Garda Síochána.
182 Q. Well, just to be clear. So in the general course of 11:32 events if someone isn't going to make a statement, then we don't send anything up any line anywhere, and we also create a Pulse ID. There's two differences where --
A. If you are asking me -- you see, I don't know when another member went to Liam McHugh if he was going to make a complaint or not. I got the impression from him he wasn't. That's from reading between the lines. Now, if another member had gone to him, if he made a statement, I wouldn't have been shocked. But I got the impression that he was making me aware of what was going on.
okay. I just want to go back to this in terms of your credibility assessment and how you dealt with this. You accept that it was a serious issue to make a complaint about this against a colleague, yes?
A. Yes.

184 Q. Have you ever done it before or since?
A. No.

185 Q. Okay. Is that because you consider it such a serious thing to do?
A. I have never -- I have never met a situation like this before or after. if you were in Garda Keogh's situation, that your colleague would do their due diligence before making such an allegation against you?
A. I was obliged to report this matter.

187 Q. On what basis were you obliged to report this matter? 11:34
A. Because I had been made aware of it. I had the conversation with Mr. McHugh. I couldn't do it -- if I had failed to report it, I would have been -- I would have been wrong.

188 Q. And again just for the purposes of my understanding of it, because I am not a member of An Garda Síochána, if Liam McHugh reported an assault to you and the same scenario arose and it wasn't a member of An Garda Síochána, would you have sent it up the line to a further colleague?
A. If Liam McHugh reported an assault to me. was assaulted and investigate it.
A. But now I would have gone to that person that allegedly
Q. Even though you have Liam McHugh saying, no, I'm not bringing any trouble on myself. Presumably, un1ess the 11:35 injured party reported, there is nothing to report on it?
A. No, if Liam McHugh was tells me somebody else was assaulted, he can't decide whether it's investigated or not, he's only a witness, or he mightn't even be a witness, he might have just heard something on the grapevine. I'd go to the injured party and ask them if they want to make a complaint.
192 Q. Very good. Can I just ask you about your relationship with Garda A? Can you just confirm for me, I think you 11:35 said that you had been a partner of his for two years, from 2012 to 2014, or is it four years?
A. On and off I was a partner with Garda A from 2006 to 2016, at different stages. use to explain it?
A. No, I don't think you could say that. As I have said, I don't recall having conversations. It would be a very natural thing to do, as I am sure it would be a great source of stress to a member, you know, if the allegations were being made against him. It would be natural to discuss it, but I don't recall these conversations, so $I$ can't say what sort of conversations they were.
197 Q. okay. So you accept that it was more likely than not that a conversation would cause distress, that it would be very difficult, but you don't remember whether or
A. No, I don't remember specific conversations with Garda A.
Q. Did you have many conversations with Garda A then on
specifics?
A. In relation to the protected disclosures?
A. At this time, May/June, I don't remember or recall having any conversations with Garda A. I probably did. 11:37 It would be a natural thing to do. It would be strange if I hadn't. But I don't recall the specifics of those conversations, what was discussed or any details.
Q. would it be fair to say you would have good loyalty to Garda A, as is required on a partner basis?
A. I'd be close with Garda A. I would describe him as a close, personal friend. At the time I would also have been quite close with Garda Keogh.
Q. Okay.
A. I got on well with both members and I had good time for both of them.
Q. And yet you took the word of Mr. McHugh over your previous partner?
A. All I can say about that is that from my conversation with Mr. McHugh, when I walked away from that conversation I took it that what he was telling me was an honest account.

203 Q. And you didn't seek an honest account from Garda Keogh?
A. No, I didn't think it was my place to go and question Garda Keogh about this. It actually would have been totally inappropriate to do so.

204 Q. But you do realise by, I suppose, again sending it up the line, that you were setting down a train of inquiry that could have devastating effects for Garda Keogh?
Q. On what basis were you centrally involved? You weren't at the crime, you weren't involved in the crime, you had no direct knowledge other than taking an initial witness statement?
A. I was the current partner of Garda A.

209 Q. So it was conflict of interest?
A. And I was also a previous partner of Garda Keogh. 210 Q. So it was a conflict of interest?
A. I don't think it was my place to investigate it. I think it was my place to report on it and let other members investigate the matter. If I didn't report on it --
Q. Was it a conflict of interest?
A. Well, yes, I would have been conflicted because I knew all parties involved.
Q. And in those circumstances, did you raise that as an issue when you sent it up the line?
A. No, I think that would have been pretty obvious.
A. Yes, that $I$ would not be the right person to investigate this matter and that actually I would probably be the one person that shouldn't investigate it.

214 Q. Can we just bring up page 657 again please. And just the attached correspondence then, $I$ think it's the following page, is it? Just that page, sorry. If you were conflicted, you could have written it down. Yes?
A. I don't think that needed to be committed to paper. I 11:41 think Detective Sergeant Curley was well aware that I wouldn't have been the appropriate person to investigate this matter.
Q. You don't mention Sergeant Curley either and any conversation with him in that letter, isn't that right? MS. O ROURKE: I think the addressee should be identified.
MS. MLLI GAN Correct. It's to Sergeant Curley, isn't that right. You don't refer -- I will amend my
question. You don't refer to any conversation in that note to Sergeant Curley, isn't that right?
A. I don't make reference to our previous conversation?
Q. Yes?
A. In the e-mail?

No?
A. No.

218 Q. And you don't make reference to that conversation to anyone. This is the only correspondence you send up the line?
A. That's correct.
Q. Isn't that right? okay. So it's inferred that there is a conflict of interest, that's your evidence to the Tribunal?
A. I wasn't attempting to infer. But I didn't think that ${ }_{11: 42}$ needed to be explained. I thought that was pretty obvious to all concerned.

220 Q. And if there was such a conflict of interest, surely the best thing to do would have been to get a statement from Liam McHugh in order to progress the matter in the 11:43 first place?
A. Yes. And that's why I reported the matter, so a member could be appointed to investigate and approach Mr. McHugh to get a statement.
MS. MLLI GAN My apologies, Chairman. very good, I 11:43 have no further questions.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAK Very good. Now, you have no questions. So we are on to Ms. O'Rourke. You appear for?

M5. O ROURKE: I appear for Garda Lyons -- Sergeant Lyons, and I have very few questions.

CHAN RMAN Thank you very much.

GARDA A DAN LYONS WAS EXAM NED BY MS. O ROURKE, AS FOLLOVS:

221 Q.
MS. O ROURKE: You have given evidence in relation to the fact that you were a partner of Garda Keogh for a particular period of time and I think you were also a partner of Garda A. In respect of the period, can you tell the Chairman what your duties or what your shifts essentially were in the period up to May 2015.
A. Yeah. Three months prior to this weekend, the weekend when I had the conversation with Mr. McHugh, I was away from Athlone Garda Station, I was seconded on a part-time basis to another Garda unit, a different Garda unit.

223 Q. When you were on that three months on, three months off, were either Garda A or Garda Keogh assigned to that particular unit?
A. No, another Garda member from Athlone Garda Station was
assigned to this other unit.
224 Q. Okay. Thank you.
225 Q. CHAN RMAN So you were away from Athlone for a period? I'm sorry, Ms. O'Rourke. How long had you been back in Athlone at the time when this episode happened.
A. Yes, Chairman, I believe I was back a matter of days. CHA RMAN I see.
Q. M. O ROURKE: Just in relation, can you recall then when you did come back, what sort of a roster would you have been on, or do you recall that at this remove?
A. So I would have been on the detective branch non-core roster. So my nights would have been typically 6:00pm to $4: 00 \mathrm{am}$ and maybe some $12: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ to $10: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ shifts, and an early shift, which is 7:00am to 5:00pm.
227 Q. I think we've heard evidence that on 20th June 2014 a murder happened in Athlone, were you involved in that murder investigation?
A. I was, yes.

CHA RMAN Sorry, the date of that again?
MS. O ROURKE: My understanding is it's 20th June 2014. 11:46
CHAI RMAK Thank you. That can be checked in due course. I know it has been referred to, the 20th June. Thank you.
M. O ROURK: were you heavily engaged in that, Garda Lyons?
A. I was, yes. I arrested and charged two of the culprits for that murder.
Q. There is just one other matter by way of clarification. My understanding is that if a member of the public
raises an issue with a guard, in the first instance a report is forwarded; is that correct?
A. That's correct.

230 Q. And a statement may subsequently be taken, is that correct?
A. Yeah. That would be correct.

ME. O RORRK: I have no other questions for you, Sergeant Lyons.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. So we're back around to you, Mr. Marrinan.
MR. MARRI NAN Yes, sir. There is one matter that is concerning me in relation to this and I think in
fairness to the sergeant, it has been suggested during the course of Garda Keogh's evidence and also at various stages since that in some way Sergeant Lyons was involved in fabricating --
CHA RMAN oh that's absolutely clear, he made it up. ${ }^{11: 47}$ MR. MARRI NAN made it up.
CHA RMAN And they picked him because he was a person of probity.
MR. MARRI NAN Yes.
CHA RMAN So they set him up and he went along with
it. That is exactly what has been suggested.
MR. MARR NAN Now I obviously asked a number of
questions --
CHA RMAN I'm sorry, don't be offended because I am
putting it simply and clearly, but if I am wrong about this no doubt somebody will tell me I am wrong about it. But that's my understanding.

MR. MARRI NAN Yes. But he hasn't been challenged by Garda Keogh's team in relation to this.

CHA RMAN That's a matter for them, Mr. Marrinan.
MR. MARR NAN It is, but I am just high1ighting it now because in fairness to Sergeant Lyons, I mean he has had that allegation --

CHA RMAN But you have put the allegation to him.
MR. MARRI NAN I have put to him and he has dealt with it.

CHA RMAN In fairness.
MR. MARRI NAN Absolutely.
CHA RMAN But I mean, he has dealt with it,
Mr. Marrinan.
MR. MARRI NAN We11 I am on1y high1ighting at this point should it become an issue later on.
CHA RMAK I understand. Sorry, if I am understanding, you think that Ms. Mulligan should really have -- don't 11:48 think I am criticising you for a moment, but you think she should have sort of nailed her colours to the mast and said, you made it up.

MR. MARRI NAN Absolutely.
CHA RMAN I am putting it to you that you made it up. We11 I am assuming that is the case because, as I say, Sergeant Lyons has actually explicitly dealt with that, he said, look, 22 years, $I$ have never been accused of anything else, and why would do I that. But Garda

Keogh gave very detailed evidence of cars going up and down a Saturday night, he gave his suspicions, he said, yes, and they picked the honest guy, which -- sorry, if I can be forgiven for being brutal, they picked the person least likely to be challenged or less likely. MR. MARN NAN Exactly. But the concern is this: If the position has changed, as often it does and has changed in relation to a number of matters, well then we're entitled to know it has changed. But if it is still a square on assault in relation to -CHA RMAN Well, I am taking it, Mr. Marrinan, that it is. I will ask Ms. Mulligan if she wants to put anything. I am taking it that the issue is very clearly laid out. Now, somebody may say it's of less relevance, that we are concerned with the response to it, somebody might say that, but specifically as I am taking it Garda Keogh's evidence stands and what is more, he said, look, nobody followed it up, nobody sort of -- and those are indications -- anyway, Mr. Kelly.
MR. KELLY: I will put it to you straightforward, sergeant. Is it true that you, the partner and friend of Garda A, made up this whole incident with Liam McHugh?
A. No, it's not true. As I have said --

CHA RMAN or that others put you up to it.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN And said, we will pick you because you're less likely to be disbelieved, they're more likely to believe you because you have a good -- that is the
case. So you have dealt with that. what do you say to that?
A. I deeply resent those comments and that allegation.

CHA RMAN I mean, I am not saying this. Don't be offended by the fact that I am --
A. I understand.

CHA RMAN -- putting this in black and white terms.
A. What I am saying is that I believe that Garda Keogh knows, he knows that I did not make up these allegations. Despite what he says in his evidence to further his case, he knows that $I$ am not the sort of person who would allow myself to be manipulated into making a false report. I wouldn't do it. I think everyone in Athlone Garda Station knows I wouldn't do it. I had that conversation with Liam McHugh.

234 Q. CHA RMAN Very good.
A. I took from it what I took from it and I reported on it. I would be a foolish man to say I had a conversation with Liam McHugh on a public street in Athlone, where there's any number of CCTV cameras it and I let it take its own course after that.

## CHAN RMAN A11 right.

MR. KELLY: Just so there is absolutely no confusion whatsoever, I am putting to you that it didn't take place, you made no note of it, you waited some time before you reported it and Garda A was your partner, your friend, your mate and you made it up in order to discredit Nick Keogh.
A. That is totally incorrect. I would rather have had absolutely no involvement in this whole situation. If Liam McHugh could have approached somebody else I would have been very happy. It put me in a very awkward position. Here I am today. As I have said, I am a close personal friend with Garda A. I was also very friendly with Garda Keogh. I had no problem with either member.

Did it ever occur to you to say, look, Mr. McHugh, it is all terribly interesting, but why don't you go down to the Garda station and report it down there?
A. No, because if he didn't go down to the Garda station and report it and I failed to report it, well then... Did you invite him to go down to the Garda station and report it?
A. No. Liam McHugh would easily be found, you would find him any day of the week, I reported it so that some other member could be assigned to investigate.
238 Q. But you never said to him, look, Liam, it would be best to go down to the Garda station and report this formally?
A. No. I think if he went down to the Garda station he'd be into a busy public office to talk to a Garda member who is answering phones and dealing with God knows what. It was best to let him off home and get someone to go out to him and take a statement off him at the appropriate time. Make an appointment to take a statement off him.
MR. KELLY: Thank you very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Very good. Now, is that it, Mr. Marrinan. MR. MARRINAN There are a couple more questions. CHA RMAN Let me say, Mr. Marrinan, so we all know where we are. I don't require people to point a finger and say, $I$ put it to you, $X, Y$ and $Z$ if it's obvious that the allegation is out there. In this case, in my view, if Ms. Mulligan, Mr. O'Brien or Mr. Kelly wanted to alter their position, they should notify us. But absent that I don't require -- which will save us time, people will understand that they don't need to say, I put it to you and a series of allegations like that. They don't actually need to do it, but thank you for giving me the opportunity of identifying that and of clarifying the situation so we are under no illusion as to where we stand on the issue as to the Mr. Liam McHugh episode. Now, sorry, Mr. Marrinan.

## SERGEANT AI DAN LYONS WAS RE-EXAM NED BY MR. MARRI NAN, AS FOLLOVB:

239 Q. MR. MARRI NAN If you could just clear up this matter for me. This happened on the Saturday night?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

240 Q. You had a conversation with Detective Sergeant Curley, you're not sure whether it was on the Saturday night, you're not sure, maybe it might have been on the Sunday
or the Monday?
A. Most likely the Sunday.
Q. Most likely the Sunday?
A. It wasn't the Saturday night.

242 Q. And you sent in your report on the 2nd June. As far as 11:55 you were concerned this was important information that you thought should be made available to your superiors?
A. That's correct.
Q. As far as you were concerned there was only one construction on what had been said to you; namely, that 11:55 this was a discussion that Garda Keogh had in fact tried to coach a witness into making a false complaint, is that right?
A. That was my belief.

244 Q. I think you have agreed with Ms. Mulligan that that 11:55 would have been a serious matter, isn't that right?
A. Very serious.

245 Q. During the summer of 2014, you're aware of the fact that the Ó Cualáin investigation came into Athlone Garda Station and was conducting interviews, isn't that 11:55 right?
A. That's correct.

246 Q. You also agreed with me that this was a matter that would substantially affect the credibility of Garda Keogh if it were true?
A. That's correct.

247 Q. Did you make any enquiries, either formally or informally, as to what had happened to the report that you had sent in to your superiors?
A. No, I didn't make a single enquiry.

248 Q. If it was a matter that was going to be pursued and taken further, you would have been requested to make a statement in relation to it, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Just to clear this up, because I think Chief Superintendent Curran was questioned in relation to this, but you weren't required to make a statement at the outset, because you were merely reporting the incident, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. If there is to be a further investigation and perhaps prosecution, or certain7y a referral of the file to the Director of Public Prosecutions, at that stage you would be requested to make a statement in relation to the matter, isn't that so?
A. That would be correct.
Q. So certain7y you knew that this matter was going no further because you hadn't been requested to make a statement in relation to it?
A. I didn't give it any thought. I was quite happy to report on the matter and put it to the back of my mind. Quite happy to do so.
Q. You see, potentially the failure to investigate this matter could have had significant consequences for Garda A, isn't that right?
A. I don't know what $I$ was obliged to do. What $I$ felt was, I was obliged to report the matter.
Q. Yes.
A. After that, I can't comment.

254 Q. And I am not seeking to lay any blame at your doorstep. But at the same time, this could have had significant impact, the failure to investigate it potentially could have had a significant impact in relation to Garda A?
A. I don't know. I don't know what to say about that. The failure to investigate, I don't know.
Q. We11, you see, you've agreed an impact, if it were true, and say Liam McHugh had made a statement, and you had formalised the matter yourself by having made a statement at the request of your superiors. We11 then, this would have had a significant impact on Garda Keogh's credibility?
A. If it was investigated and he found to have done nothing wrong, yeah, I suppose. If it was investigated 11:58 and found to have been in the wrong, it would have affected his credibility.
256 Q. And the failure to investigate it was effectively depriving Garda A of a possible defence or evidence that assisted him, isn't that right?
A. I don't know if this is something that I can address. ME. O ROURKE: Chairperson, by way of observation, I haven't intervened but I am really at a loss to see how Sergeant Lyons can advance this matter.
CHA RMAN I think that's right, Mr. Marrinan, I don't 11:59 think that this arises, to be honest.
MR. MARRI NAN very good.
CHA RMAN I mean, we know the situation, it's very clear. Fair enough, if it had been investigated and
turned out that Garda Keogh was prosecuted for it, yes, certainly, that wouldn't have helped him. That would have been diminished him, and then in diminishing Garda Keogh, I suppose necessarily it would -- but I don't know that it would let Garda A off the hook. I mean, that's a question you could speculate on. Suppose Garda Keogh was shown to be totally in the wrong in this one, suppose he was, does that mean that he's wrong about everything else? Does that mean that the ó Cualáin investigation stops, said, look, he's a liar? No, it doesn't. I don't think -- I think that is speculative, and there's a word for it that's used, I think it's speculative, Mr. Marrinan, and it's outside the scope of this witness's involvement in the matter. So I think Ms. O'Rourke is right.
MR MARRI NAN We11, of course, I am not exploring any of that in the sense of attempting in any way to lay blame at the doorstep of sergeant Lyons.
CHA RMAN No, I understand that.
MR. MARR NAN The questions are asked arising out of a 12:01 situation where there remains a suggestion that this incident never took place.
CHAN RMAN Precisely.
MR. MARRI NAN Therefore, if it was an incident that had taken place, there could be a suggestion made that one would have thought that the matter would have been pursued.

CHA RMAN They could indeed and that's exactly the suggestion that Garda Keogh has made very clearly.

MR. MARRI NAN I will not pursue the matter any further. Thank you very much.
CHA RMAN okay, thanks very much. Thank you, Mr. Marrinan. Please don't think I am criticising you,
I am responding to Ms. O'Rourke's observation, objection, and I think it's we11-founded. Thank you very much.
THE WTNESS: Thank you. CHAI RMAN Thank you very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MARRINAN The next witness is Garda Tom Higgins.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
MR MEGRATH Good morning, Chairman, I should say I appear for Garda Tom Higgins, I am instructed by Mr. Noe1 McCartan and I am led by Hugh Hartnett, who is not here.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much.
MR. MARR NAN Garda Higgins's statement is in volume 56, Chairman.
CHA RMAN yes.

# GARDA TOM H GG NS, HAV NG BEEN SVDRN, WAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MR. MARRI NAN, AS FOLLOVS: 

THE WTNESS: Garda Tom Higgins, Chairman.
Q. MR. MARRI NAN Garda Higgins, would you just give us a brief summary in relation to your career in An Garda Síochána to date, please?
A. I was attested in June '98, where I was stationed in Bailieborough for two years, in Kingscourt, and where I 12:02 later in 2002 came to Athlone, where I have been in Athlone since.
Q. In 2014 what unit were you attached to?
A. I was attached to the detective unit.
okay. And who were you partnered with at that time, do you recall?
A. John Quinn.

260 Q. And who was your immediate supervising sergeant?
A. Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley.

261 Q. You are being called in relation to your interaction with Detective Sergeant Curley sometime in 2014. You don't recall the date on which you had an interaction with him, is that right?
A. I don't recall the date, I'm just aware sometime in June or summer 2014.

262 Q. This concerns the evidence that had been given by the last witness, Sergeant Lyons, and his interaction with Mr. Liam McHugh, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

263 Q. Can you just tell us what your recollection is of what 12:03 was mentioned to you by Detective Sergeant Curley?
A. Sometime in summer or June of 2014, Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley approached me in the detective office, he would have had a report in his hand. This report, as
you previously heard from Sergeant Aidan Lyons, was the e-mail that he had sent regarding Mr. Liam McHugh.
Q. Did you see that report?
A. I did, yes.

265 Q. Did you read it?
A. I did, yes.
Q. What interpretation did you place on that report?
A. Just there was an allegation regarding one member had stated that another member had searched Mr. Liam McHugh, or members, and that a sum of money was taken from him.

267 Q. Had you interpreted the report of Garda Lyons as being a suggestion that Garda Keogh had coached Liam McHugh?
A. I didn't take any interpretation of it, Mr. Chairman. My role from D/Sergeant Eamon Curley, asked me would I obtain a statement from Mr. Liam McHugh.
Q. But do you recall when you read the statement, what your impression was at the time?
A. I didn't take any interpretation into it, because at the time when I was asked to take a statement, I stated 12:05 to D/Sergeant Eamon Curley that I didn't think it appropriate, $I$ just didn't feel comfortable in taking a statement when there was colleagues of mine involved in this.

269 Q. Yes. And did you explain that to Detective Sergeant Curley?
A. I did, yes.
Q. And did he accept what you were saying in relation to that?
A. I informed him that if I came across Mr. McHugh that I would obtain his telephone number. At the time I was aware that there was members of Gardaí from Galway carrying out an investigation regarding these allegations that were being made. Not this specific allegation, but regarding the protected disclosure. So I felt it would have been more appropriate for some member from outside Ath1one division to carry out this obtaining of the statement?
So from the report that you read of Sergeant Lyons, you associated that in some way with the issues that arose out of a protected disclosure made by Garda Keogh; is that right?
A. This was what I was believing, yes, because Garda Keogh's name was mentioned in it.
272 Q. I suppose it impacted on Garda Keogh because nobody else is identified in what was said by Liam McHugh, isn't that right?
A. Just what I read, was Garda Keogh and other members.

273 Q. Go on then with your conversation with Detective Sergeant Curley?
A. So I informed Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley that I didn't think it was appropriate for me, I didn't feel comfortable in obtaining the statement. However, I would endeavour to obtain Mr. McHugh's mobile number,
that it could be passed on to the members in Galway in order to obtain the statement from him. Sometime later, $I$ 'm not sure was it a day or a two after, $I$ encountered Mr. McHugh on Connaught street, and I
stopped the car and I informed him that there was an incident that was being investigated and could I obtain his telephone number, that I can pass it on to other members that may wish to contact him at a future date.
I can recall Mr. McHugh taking his phone out of his jacket, he couldn't remember his phone number, and he had it wrote on a piece of paper on the back of his phone. I wrote it on a piece of paper. when I returned to the Garda station, I gave his telephone number to Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley.

274 Q. In relation to your interaction with Liam McHugh at that time, can you tell us exactly what you said to him?
A. I just advised him that there was an incident that he may need to be spoken to about, that there was other members that may need to talk to him. I didn't have any conversation regarding it. I did not want to be involved in this incident, because $I$ was aware that this had to do with colleagues of mine in Athlone and I didn't feel it appropriate and I didn't fee1 comfortable in discussing this matter with Liam McHugh. 275 Q. Did Liam McHugh appear to understand that there was some incident?
A. He didn't, he didn't mention the incident. All I said to me -- he gave me his phone number, he had no problem 12:09 giving me his phone number.

276 Q. Would he normally be quite compliant like that?
A. I never had to ask him for his phone number before, so I can't...

277
A. No.
Q. No?
A. Just, I didn't want to open up the conversation because, as I said, I didn't wish to get involved in this.

283 Q. Then you say you wrote down the number and you returned to the Garda station and you gave it to Detective
A. That's correct, yes.

284 Q. I think prior to that incident on the 28th May, you were present along with Detective Sergeant Curley --
sorry, it was on the 29th May, was it, that you went to take a statement from Olivia O'Neill?
A. That's the date that's there as the date. I have no recollection of this incident. I do recall --

CHAL RMAN That's the end of your involvement with Liam McHugh. We're now moving onto the next question, isn't that right, Mr. Marrinan?
MR. MARRI NAN Yes.
A. I do recall some date in 2014 as we11, D/Sergeant Eamon Curley asking me to drive him up to Olivia O'Neill's house in order to obtain a statement. My recollection on it, I brought Eamon Curley to the house, she wasn't at home. We observed her down the street further. I had no interaction with olivia O'Neill, only that I can recall that there was no statement obtained from 01ivia 12:11 O'Neill.

286 Q. A11 right. Okay. Do you recall what the statement was about?
A. I don't.

287 Q. Right. So you were really just the driver, is that right?
A. That's correct.

288 Q. You remained in the car throughout?
A. That's correct.

289 Q. And Detective Sergeant Curley, I think, did he remain in the car throughout?
A. I can't -- again I can't recall if he sat in the car or got out. A11 I know is there was no statement obtained from Ms. O'Neill.

290 Q. CHA RMAK Do you remember who the conversation with Olivia O'Neill?
A. It was Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley, because I wasn't even aware of what the statement --

CHA RMAN okay.
MR. MARRI NAN we will just go back now. That's all you can assist us on in relation to that particular incident on the 29th may; is that right?
A. That's correct.

292 Q. If we could then go back, please, to the Liam McHugh incident and have page 527 up on the screen. This is a report sent on 9th July 2014 by Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley to superintendent, Athlone. I will just read the report and then ask you for your comment in relation to this.
"Conversation with Li am McHugh as reported by Garda Ai dan Lyons.

I note recei pt of attached correspondence. Prior to same being forwarded to me I requested that I may not be the most suitable person to pursue same as I am per sonal ly known to Li am McHugh.

I had requested personnel within the detective of fice
to compl ete this task. I had tasked Garda Tom Hi ggins with this role. Garda Tom Higgi ns met with Li am McHugh and invited himto make a statement in rel ation to this i nci dent. Li am MtHugh ref used to consent to provi de a
witness statement at that time but undertook to think about it and maybe nake hi nself available to provi de such a statement in the future.

Garda O' Hi ggi ns reported this situation to me following 12:13 his meeting with Li am MkHugh."

What do you say in relation to that?
A. The only thing I reported was I gave the telephone number to Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley.

293 Q. First of a11, there was no written report that was put in by you, isn't that right?
A. No.
Q. Had you gone and requested a statement, would that be something that would you have noted at the time?
A. If I had requested a statement I would have documented it at the time, day, date, time, refused to make a statement, $I$ would have submitted back a report.
Q. How certain are you of your position in relation to this?
A. I am one hundred percent clear, because at the time I made my feelings known that I wasn't comfortable. I didn't think it was appropriate for a member from Athlone to get involved in an investigation where there was colleagues of mine being...
CHA RMAN Okay. Mr. Marrinan is saying, here is what Detective Sergeant Curley said, and that's wrong as far as you're concerned?
A. It is wrong. It is wrong.

CHA RMAN Yes.
297 Q. MR. MARRINAN And is wrong in a number of respects. I think that if we just explore it so that we are certain what your evidence is in relation to this and we will hear what Detective Sergeant Curley has to say in relation to it. It's correct, if we look at the second paragraph there:
"Garda Tom Hi ggi ns met wi th Li am MEHugh. "

Well you did, but it was an informal meeting you say, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. You just bumped into him?
A. Well I went looking for him to get his phone number. Yes, yes. "And invited himto make a statement in rel ation to the inci dent."

You say you didn't do that?
A. No, that's incorrect.

300 Q. CHA RMAN You didn't invite him to make a statement.
A. No.

301 Q. CHA RMAN He didn't refuse to make a statement?
A. No, but I never asked him.

302 Q. MR. MARR NAN Did you ever tell Sergeant Curley that 12:16 you had asked Liam McHugh to make a statement?
A. No.

303 Q. "Li am McHugh ref used to consent to provi de a witness statement at that time."

But then that doesn't arise on your evidence. So he's mistaken in relation to that, when he reports that to his superiors?
A. That's correct.

304 Q. "Agai n but undertook to thi nk about it", no issue arose in relation to that?
A. No.
"And maybe make hi nself available to provi de such a statement in the future."

Again, that didn't arise in the context of your conversation?
A. The on7y way that would have arisen is, I gave him a phone number, he may in the future if someone contacts him, but it wasn't said by me.
Q. CHAN RMAN You got his phone number?
A. That's correct.

307 Q. CHA RMAN And passed on the phone number, full stop, end of involvement of Garda Higgins?
A. That's correct.
Q. CHA RMAK So anybody who says anything else is incorrect?
A. That's correct.

CHA RMAN okay.
309 Q. MR. MARR NAN It's difficult to reconcile obviously the two accounts, but is there any possibility that you just simply may have given Detective Sergeant Curley the wrong impression of your interaction with Liam

McHugh at the time?
A. No, I wouldn't have, because, as I said, I made my feelings known from the start that $I$ wasn't comfortable, I didn't think it was appropriate for me to take a statement and that $I$ would obtain his telephone number, which I did.

310 Q. We11, you know what I mean, you might have just simply said to him something along the lines of, I met that fella, I got his mobile number, but he's not interested. Is that a possibility?
A. It wasn't said by me. Unless it was misinterpreted by D/Sergeant Curley, but that wasn't said by me.
311 Q. Are you sure?
A. Mm-hmm.

312 Q. I mean, he has to have heard something and he has misinterpreted it and he has put this in his report. I am sure he didn't just simply make this up.
A. I am not saying he did make it up and I am not saying what he interpreted, I am just clearly stating what I said.

313 Q. But is there a possibility that you may have said something that may have given a false impression that your interaction with Liam McHugh was a little bit more than just taking the...
A. No, because there was never -- any interaction -- if that was my case I would have documented my interaction with him.

314 Q. Yes.
A. But I didn't document my interaction, because the only
role I had to play was to obtain his telephone number. And I didn't discuss the incident with him because I didn't want to discuss the incident with him. I just wanted to obtain his telephone number in order that it could be passed on to other members from outside the division that could obtain his statement at a further dat.
Q. In support of that you point to the fact that you didn't make a written report and you would have done in those circumstances, is that right?
A. That's correct.

316 Q. And nobody asked you for a written report, which one might expect, isn't that right?
A. In the normal course.

317 Q. That would be --
A. Yes, that's correct.

318 Q. Particularly in relation to something that could be quite significant, such as this?
A. That's correct.

319 Q. And nobody asked you to provide a statement, isn't that $12: 19$ right?
A. Not until the Tribunal, no. That's correct.

MR. MARRI NAN would you answer any questions. Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Now, should we go around the same. Yes, Ms. Mulligan.

## GARDA TOM H GG NS WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MD. MLLI GAN,

## AS FOLLOVB:

320 Q.
MS. MLLI GAN Garda Higgins, just one question. when you said that you weren't comfortable making a statement, what was his response.
A. He didn't respond to me, but by that I took that he was in agreement with me. He didn't say -- he answer me.

But by that I just took --
321 Q. CHA RMAN You had said you weren't comfortable?
A. That's correct.

322 Q. CHA RMAN with taking a statement, or approaching Liam McHugh with a view to getting a statement from him?
A. That's correct.

323 Q. CHA RMAN And you said that in some shape or form?
A. Yes.

324 Q. CHAN RMAN Okay. And you say he didn't agree or disagree?
A. He didn't respond.

325 Q. CHA RMAN But you understood that he had understood?
A. That's correct.

326 Q. CHA RMAN Is that --
A. Yes.

MS. MLLI GAN Nothing further.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Anybody else?

MG. O ROURKE: I don't have any questions, Chairman. CHA RMAN Thanks very much.
MR. ḾCHÉL OHGGN: Chairman, I have appear for Inspector Curley. I have a few questions.
CHAN RMAN Sure, yes.
MR. MCHEÁL OHGGN: Many of which will be issues of inferences rather than conflict.
CHA RMAN whatever, you are there to ask the questions, Mr. O'Higgins.

## GARDA TOM H GG NS WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS, AS FOLLOV:

327 Q. MR. ḾCHÉL OHGGN: Good morning. Now, Mr. Higgins, could I ask you first of all, I have
instructions from Sergeant Curley, now Inspector Curley, that he did not in fact discuss the Galway investigation with you. That is correct, isn't it?
A. I never said he discussed it. He didn't discuss the Galway investigation with me, that's correct.
328 Q. And the reason, of course, that he wouldn't have discussed it with you, I am instructed, is that he was not aware of the subject-matter of the investigation that had been carried out by the Galway team. I take it you wouldn't differ with that?
CHA RMAN well he doesn't know whether he was or he wasn't, but he says he didn't discuss it with him. So that's the answer you're looking for, Mr. O'Higgins.
329 Q. MR. MCFEÁL OHGGN: And I think it's the case that,
or my instructions are that then Sergeant Curley assigned you the task of ascertaining whether Liam McHugh was willing to make a statement regarding the incident that had been reported.
A. As I say, we had a conversation in the detective office and he asked me and I informed him that I didn't feel comfortable, I didn't think it was appropriate.
Q. CHA RMAN But before that, Mr. O'Higgins' question is, that's what he wanted? Sergeant Curley, we will use the title that he at the time?
MR. MCHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes.
CHA RMAN Detective Sergeant Curley wanted you to approach Liam McHugh to take a statement from him?
A. That's right.

332 Q
CHA RMAN That's what he wanted?
A. Yes, right.

333 Q. MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: And that arose from the report that had been provided to him, you're aware of that?
A. That's correct.

I am also instructed that Sergeant Curley did not discuss Garda A with you and that, in fact, Garda A was not referred to in any of the reports that Sergeant Curley provided to you?
A. That's correct. The only report I seen is the report that Sergeant Lyons -- and Garda $A$ is not mentioned in it.

335 Q. Yes. You see, Sergeant Curley's recollection is that you did not offer simply to get a contact number for Liam McHugh, and, indeed, it's not his recollection
that he would have asked you for such a number, because he could have that independently. what he asked you to do was to ascertain that the man was willing to make a statement?
A. I have stated that that is what he asked me to do, to obtain the statement. However, I also have stated that I didn't feel comfortable, I didn't think it was appropriate.
CHA RMAN Mr. o'higgins, is it all right if I ask something?
MR. MCEEÁL OHGGN: Certainly.
336 Q. CHA RMAN So he wanted you to go and see if Liam McHugh would make a statement and if necessary take a statement from him?
A. That's correct.

337 Q. CHA RMAK You weren't comfortable with that and you understood that he was accepting or in some shape or form understanding of your discomfort?
A. He didn't actually say it to me.

338 Q. CHA RMAN No, I appreciate that?
A. Sorry, yes, but I understood that.

339 Q. CHA RMAN In your head?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN He didn't say, don't be ridiculous, or, that's nonsense. So rightly or wrongly you had an understanding that he was appreciative of the difficulty, the embarrassment or whatever it was that you were expressing.
A. That's correct.

340 Q. CHAN RMAN Okay. So where did that leave the request? How did you interpret that as, we11, I will get a telephone number? Do you understand what I am getting at? How did you decide, we11, I think I know where we stand now?
A. Just from the start, Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley informed me that he didn't feel comfortable himself obtaining the statement.
Q. CHAL RMAN Yes.
A. He knew Mr. Mchugh personally.

MR. ḾCHÉL O H GG NS: That's accepted.
A. I could understand that myself, that he would feel uncomfortable.

CHA RMAN I understand. But now in your mind you have had conversation and you know what is looking for. So did you just leave it to chance as to what would happen when you met Liam McHugh or did you just decide, look, I will get his telephone number and then somebody can ring him up?
A. Well I was aware that there was an investigation being conducted, I then thought --
Q. CHA RMAN You thought the Galway people --
A. I thought it would be more appropriate.
Q. CHA RMAN -- should be doing this?
A. I thought it would be more appropriate. To me in my service, it's not good policing policy for members of the local station --

CHA RMAN I understand.
A. -- to carry out an investigation regarding their colleagues.
CHA RMAN So you had it in mind that if things went the way you thought they ought to go, this number would be passed onto the Galway detectives, who would make contact with Liam McHugh and proceed from there?
A. That's correct.

349 Q. CHA RMAN That's what you had in mind?
A. That's correct.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. I am sorry about that.
MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: That has clarified matters, Chairman.
So, Mr. Higgins, can I ask you then in relation to the report that Mr . Marrinan brought you through. It's Sergeant Curley's recollection that, in fact, after you had spoken with Liam McHugh you returned to the office and you met Sergeant Curley, all right. You informed him that you had met with Liam McHugh and that Liam McHugh had told you that he would not consent or wasn't agreeable to providing you with a statement. Do you recall that?
A. The only recollection $I$ have regarding this incident
is: Obtaining the telephone number, handing it to Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley, and he was aware that I did not feel comfortable or didn't think it was appropriate. So there could have been no other conversation regarding the station that he refused to make a statement or he reclined or that he may wish to make one at a further date. This didn't take place between me and Detective Sergeant Curley.
Can I just indicate my instructions and you can indicate specifically whether you agree or disagree. First of all, Sergeant Curley has no recollection of receiving a phone number on a piece of paper from you. That's his -- he doesn't recall that. But he does recall you returning to the office and telling him that you met with Liam McHugh. So do you recall returning to the office and telling Sergeant Curley that you had met Liam McHugh?
A. Naturally I would have met Liam McHugh in order to obtain his telephone number. So I would have informed him that I met him in order to obtain his telephone number.

352 Q. And did you inform him of that, did you inform Sergeant Curley of that when you returned to the office, having met Sergeant Curley?
A. That's correct, I would have, he was aware that -That's fine. I ask you this then, you told him, I'm suggesting to, you told Sergeant Curley that Liam McHugh had told you he would not consent to providing a witness statement?

CHA RMAN He doesn't agree with that.
A. No, I don't, because I couldn't tell him that when I didn't even ask Mr. McHugh to make a statement.
MR. ḾCEÁL O H GG NS: And my instructions are that whilst you were in the office Sergeant Curley prepared his report that we are looking at on screen.
A. There's two offices, he'd have his own office, I cannot say when he prepared his report.
Q. Well you see -
A. I wasn't privy to any -- I didn't actually see him do the report. have an opportunity to say yes or no to it. It's my understanding, it's my instructions indeed, that while you were in the office he was preparing his report and, in fact, he read over to you the second paragraph there that relates to your interaction with Liam McHugh, which was to ensure that it was an accurate account of your interaction?
CHAN RMAN Do you follow?
A. I know what he's saying but that's not correct. CHA RMAN That's not right, you don't agree with that?
357 Q. MR. ḾCHEÁL O H GG NS: we might look at that then, Mr. Higgins, if we may. The second paragraph there relates to the interaction and it records or purports to record:


#### Abstract

"I had requested personnel within detective office to compl ete this task. I had tasked Garda Tom Hi ggi ns


with this. Garda Tom Hi ggi ns met with Li am MzHugh and i nvited himto make a statement in rel ation to this i nci dent. Li am MEHugh ref used to consent to provi de a witness statement at the time but undertook to think about it and maybe make hi mself available to provi de such a statement in the future."

My question to you: How would -- we11, first of a11, would you agree with me that is something you told to Sergeant Curley, because that is what's in the report that he got from you?
A. That's not correct. That is not what -- that's what in the report that you're after reading now, but it's not correct what I told Detective Sergeant Curley.
358 Q. Al1 right. I am just wondering is there a possibility of misunderstanding here? Do you see the last clause, where it says:
"But undertook to thi nk about it and maybe make himself available to provide such a statement in the future."

How would you he have known about that, what you had told him?

CHA RMAN wherever he got it, he didn't get it from Garda Higgins. That's his evidence.
A. I don't know what Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley was thinking. A11 I am stating is my role in this. I obtained the phone number, $I$ gave it to Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley in order to --

359 Q. CHA RMAN I understand. So we have a disagreement as follows, if I understand it. Number one, you arrive back from your meeting with Liam McHugh with a piece of paper with a mobile telephone number on it. You got that from Liam McHugh, who turned over the phone and the number was written on the back?
A. That's correct.

360 Q. CHAN RMAN okay. So he gave it to you. So the only thing, the on7y development, so to speak, that arose from that, was a piece of paper with a mobile phone on it, for reasons that you have explained and so on?
A. That's correct.

361 Q. CHAN RMAN So you say you gave that to Detective Sergeant Curley?
A. That's correct.

362 Q. CHA RMAN He says, no. Apparently he's going to say he doesn't remember any piece of paper with the telephone number. That's the first disagreement. The second disagreement is that he says while you were present in the office -- obviously he said you had a conversation but that is not -- while you were present in the office he's typing up his report and then he reads it to you and then you either nod to confirm or simply don't disagree with it. And you say that didn't happen as far as you're concerned?
A. That's correct.

363 Q. CHA RMAN And what's more, it couldn't have happened because of the context that you are describing?
A. That's correct.

364 Q. CHA RMAN Is that right?
A. That's correct.

CHA RMAN okay. There's the disagreement between the parties.
MR. ḾCHÉL O H GG NS: Thank you Chairman. Could I ask you then to deal with the final paragraph on the first page there. You might just scroll down, please, Mr. Kavanagh, to the next paragraph of the document that's on the screen, which is a report of 9/7/14. In this Eamon Curley, Detective Sergeant, says:
"Due to the fact that the matter under inqui ry rel at es to members within Athl one Garda Station, I feel it may be prudent for a member ot her than Athl one crime/drug office staff to pursue Liam McHugh further for a statement on this matter."

And that was his position on the matter.
CHA RMAK And that was your position as well.
A. I agree with that.

MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: Thanks very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Anybody else? Are we all happy with that. 12:33 Now, Mr. Marrinan.
MR. POVER: Chairman I wonder if I could.
CHA RMAN Sorry. And you appear for?
MR. POWER: I appear for Garda Higgins, Tom power is my
name.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much, Mr. Power, I am so sorry. MR. POVER: Not at all.

## GARDA TOM H GG NS WAS THEN EXAM NED BY MR. POVER, AS

 FOLLOMS:366 Q. MR. POVER: We're all clear that in relation to the issue of taking statement from Liam McHugh there is a conflict between yourself and the evidence of Sergeant Curley. Can I just deal first very briefly with the issue about taking a statement from Ms. Olivia O'Neill. I think your position is that you just drove Sergeant Curley there, is that correct?
A. That's correct yes.

I think you're aware that Ms. O'Neill has given evidence to say you did a lot of the talking, what do you say to that?
A. No, that's incorrect.
Q. So who do you say did all the talking?
A. Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley was speaking with Ms. O'Neill.

369 Q. I think you said in your evidence that you didn't know why you were going there to take a statement?
A. That's correct.

370 Q. Thank you. If we can just then move on to the issue about Liam McHugh. I think you say that you were worried and that you didn't think it was proper for you to be taking a statement. Could you just tell the

Tribunal in a bit more detail why you didn't fee1 it was proper for you to take a statement?
A. Well, as I stated, when it involved colleagues of mine, it just wouldn't be appropriate. In my belief and my policing career it's good practice to let members from a different division and also of a higher rank. If a guard is being investigated it's usually somebody of a higher rank, maybe a sergeant. And if a sergeant is being investigated it would be an inspector or a superintendent. So it always a rank above the person that's --

CHA RMAN But here you were both in some respect feeling a little embarrassed, a little or maybe a lot embarrassed, yourself and the detective sergeant.
A. That's why I informed him and I thought he was in agreement with me because he was in the same position as me.

371 Q. MR. POVER: And you left it at that and you went out. Did you go looking for Mr. McHugh or did you just run into him?
A. Well I was looking for him, but I didn't go -- I came across him. I cannot recall whether it was that day or the next day, but shortly, sometime shortly afterwards I did meet him, because he is a guy as you could see, as I said, five or six times a day and you mightn't see 12:35 him for a week after that, do you know.

372 Q. Just in relation to the phone number, I think you seem to remember meeting with Liam McHugh and you say that he didn't know his own phone number?
A. He didn't know, that's why -- my recollection he took, he removed his phone from his pocket and he had it wrote down on a piece of paper stuck to the back of his phone.
Q. As you say, you didn't want anything more to do it and you are simply referring that piece of paper to Sergeant Curley?
A. That's correct.
A. That's correct.
Q. -- any issues surrounding Garda Keogh. And I think Garda Keogh is named in the report that you saw from Garda Aidan Lyons?
A. That's correct, yes.

MR. POVER: Thank you.
CHA RMAN Is Mr. McHugh still to be seen selling the Big Issue around Athlone? Have you seen him recently?
A. Yeah, he's still to be seen, yes.

CHA RMAN There it is. Maybe we will have to send somebody else down to see if we can find him. All right.
MR. MARR NAN Certainly we wil1 consider it, Chairman.

CHA RMAN I think we will probably have to consider it. Just in case anybody thought that it hadn't occurred to us previously to ask Mr. McHugh, we certainly made some efforts.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Okay. Anything else, Mr. Marrinan? MR. MARRI NAN No, nothing further arises. Thank you very much, garda.
CHA RMAN Thanks, Garda Higgins.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MARRI NAN The next witness is Inspector Eamon

## Curley, please.

CHA RMAN Thanks very much.
MR. MARR NAN His statement is in Volume 3 at page 189 and also a supplemental statement in volume 51 at 14364.

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Good afternoon, inspector. Thanks very much.

I NSPECTOR EAMDN CURLEY, HAM NG BEEN SVORN, WAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MR. MARRI NAN AS FOLLOVS:

THE WTNESS: Inspector Eamon Curley.
377 Q. MR. MARR NAN Inspector Curley, would you mind just giving us a brief summary of your career in An Garda

Síochána until the present time, please?
A. Yes, Chairman. I joined An Garda Síochána in 1995. I was attested in 1996. From there, I was stationed first of all in Limerick city, initially as a normal uniform garda and in 2000 I was appointed to the role of detective garda. I remained in that role until 2004, when I was promoted to the rank of sergeant. In 2004 I was in mainly Limerick city but in different station. I went from Henry Street to Roxborough Road.
I remained there unti 1 2006, when I transferred to
Athlone. In 2006 I was on regular duties in Athlone for 12 months and in 2007 I was appointed to the role of detective sergeant. I remained in the role of detective sergeant in Athlone up until 2016, when I was promoted to the rank of inspector, which I currently hold, and I am currently stationed in Portlaoise.

378 Q. Thank you very much. You are here to deal with a number of issues. If we could just deal with issue 1 , it's a fairly formal matter in relation to this. That concerns the investigation, the Pulse entry made by
Garda Keogh on 18th May 2014. I think that you received a complaint from Garda A in relation to that entry, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

379 Q. If we could have page 8332 up on the screen, please.
This is a complaint that was directed --
CHA RMAN This is issue 2, Mr. Marrinan.
MR. MARR NAN No, this one is issue 1.
CHA RMAN I thought issue 1 was the Pulse entry.

MR. MARRI NAN Yes.
CHA RMAN I'm sorry. This is Pulse query.
MR. MARR NAN This is the Pulse entry.
CHA RMAN I'm sorry, forgive me. Sorry. Sorry.
Thanks very much. what number?
MR. MARR NAN 8332. We see the intelligence entry there.

CHAL RMAN Yes.
MR. MARR NAN I won't read through it all. At the end of the first paragraph, he says:
"Garda Keogh has recently made a number of compl ai nts agai nst me which l believe are a result of a personal gri evance whi ch Garda Keogh hol ds agai nst me."

Then he says:
"I previ ousl y verbally reported my di ssatisfaction with this intelligence record to my superiors in Athlone and was informed that the matter would be dealt with."

I think that was a complaint he made to Superintendent McBrien?
A. Yes, Chairman.

381 Q. We have heard evidence in relation to that?
A. Yes.

382 Q. "The matter has not been dealt with. The intelligence record is still in exi stence and has not been revi ewed.

I am aware that this intelligence record was wi del y vi ewed and di scussed by members on a national scal e and I have been the brunt of many a joke. I do not bel ieve that the Garda intelligence systemis the for umfor any members to make scurrilous slanders and unfounded allegations agai nst ot her members in order to settle personal gri evances.

I wi sh to express my severe di sappoi nt ment that this matter has not been dealt with in a more timely
fashi on. If a situation is not resol ved lill be forced to take legal advi ce on the matter whi ch is causing re great upset.

For war ded for your immedi ate attention please. "

And that's signed by Garda A. Did you actually discuss the contents of this with Garda A or did you just receive it?
A. No, Chairman. Received the report.

383 Q. Then if we have 8331 up on the screen. This is dated three days later, the 30th July, and you forwarded that to the superintendent in Athlone, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

384 Q. You had no other further dealings with that?
A. No. None, Chairman.

385 Q. Then if we just move on to the issue 2 , which is the investigation of the Pulse check by Garda Keogh on 18th May. I think again you received a report in relation
to this. If we could have page 8619 up on the screen. Now, this is dated 24th September 2014, it concerns Garda keogh and another guard performing a check on the Garda Pulse system on Garda A. And he says:
"On 20th September 2014 I noticed that the above named two Gardaí had checked my personal details on the Garda system I can thi nk of no good reason as to why these gardaí have been checking on me. The other guard gi ves his reason for checking as incident inquire and Garda Keogh offers no reason at all for his using the Pulse systemto check me. I believe that both these gardaí were using Pul se for personal reasons and these checks were in no way Garda rel at matters and that they may have breached the Data Protection Act while carrying out these checks."

Then he has a reference to the other guard. He says:
"I would like this matter fully investigated please."

Again, I don't think you were involved in the investigation, but if you turn to page 8618, the previous page, on the 24th September, the same day, you forward the complaint to Superintendent MCBrien in Athlone Garda Station, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

386 Q. You indicate that Garda A is requesting that this matter be investigated, isn't that so?
A. Yes.

387 Q. Now, just following the chronology and referring back to issue number 1, I think that you were requested to do an audit on entries that had been made by Garda Keogh, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. That's correct, Chairman.

388 Q. And you had been requested by Superintendent McBrien and we have heard of that request. And on 10th November 2014, at page 8370, please, you performed an audit of all intelligence entries that were made by Garda Keogh. Isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

389 Q. I don't intend to open these, unless anybody requests, but we can see at page 8370 , you say:
"In rel ation to the above and attached correspondence I wi sh to report that I have now carried out an audit of Pul se intelligence entries created by Garda Keogh since 1st January 2013. I wi sh to report that Garda Keogh has one intelligence entry created on Pulse in 2014, whi ch is the one that is the subject-matter of this report."

Then you give the number. You then say:
"Garda Keogh created 18 separate intelligence entries on Pul se in 2013. I have examined same with a vi ew to seei $n g$ if they contain inf ormation of a milar nat ure of that contai ned in Pul se intelligence number ending

409, or if they contain information that woul d lead to the intelligence contai ned in Pul se intel Ii gence 409."

So that was your brief, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.
Q. Then you set out a total of 18 intelligence reports, and they are over page 8370,8371 and 8372 . And then you conclude in your report at page 8373, please:

> "In concl usion I can report that none of the Pul se intelligence entries created by Garda Keogh from 1st June 2013 to date rel ate in any way to the intelligence he created on 18th May 2014, which in fact is the sole intelligence entry he created in the year 2014."

You signed off in relation to that, is that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.

391 Q. And that was the sole dealings that you had in relation to both those issues, is that correct?
A. Yes, Chairman.

392 Q. If we could then deal with issue 3, which, as you are aware, is the investigation into Olivia O'Neill's visit to Athlone Garda Station on 28th May of 2014. When did you first become aware that there was an issue in relation to olivia o'Neill's visit to Athlone Garda Station?
A. On 30th may 2014.

393 Q. And in what context did you become aware?
A. I received an e-mail that morning at 9:19am seeking
to -- it's page 513 and 514 of my statement, seeking to see would olivia o'Neill make a statement in relation to the matter.

Did you receive also a copy of the report that had been compiled by Garda Stephanie Treacy?
A. So at that time, at 9:19am I received the e-mail, it merely had the request from -- a copy of the request from Chief Superintendent Curran to superintendent Athlone and a copy of the e-mail or an e-mail to me, which is page 513:

[^0]Just basically obtain a statement in relation to the
information divulged to Garda Treacy on the 28th May. So having received those two documents, I sought further documents to see what was the nature of the interaction that I was to seek the statement on. And at 15:22 on that date I received an e-mail, which included Garda Stephanie Treacy's report and a report of Inspector Farrell to Chief Superintendent Curran, which are at page 516 and 652 respectively.
Q. Just in relation to the report of Garda Treacy, I don't intend to revisit it or open it, but what meaning did you take from that report? what did you think in actual fact she was reporting?
A. Well, on the face of it, to me it appeared that somebody had some information in relation to Garda
corruption in Athlone. And on the face of the report it appeared that the person who had that information was Garda Nick Keogh. But that's it on the face of it. That's my interpretation of it.
Well, I suppose if that was your interpretation, the person to approach would have been Garda Keogh in relation to the matter?
A. We11 I received a specific task, to interview 0livia O'Neill. I suppose I wasn't the decider or the investigator on this. So $I$ had been assigned a specific task to interview Olivia O'Neill. That was the task I was doing. I wasn't the decider on it, I wasn't the decider as to say, well other people may have more information on this. The specific task I got was to interview Olivia O'Neill.
Having read Garda Treacy's report, I mean, did you interpret it to mean that Garda Keogh had in fact in some way coached Olivia O'Neill to make a statement, not only to make a statement and name names but also to include a narrative that he had supplied her?
A. I suppose on the face of it, having read the report first, my initial reaction was that it was in relation to Garda corruption. who the most information in relation to this Garda corruption, on the face of it, it appeared that Garda Keogh had information in consider the word coaching. I heard the word coaching mentioned several times here. I didn't consider that word. I was given a specific ask, to interview Olivia
o'Neill regarding her knowledge of this interaction. And that's what I undertook to do. you also read the report that had been sent by Inspector Farrell on behalf of the superintendent to Chief Superintendent Curran. If we could have page 12:52 1892 up on the screen. This is the report. If we scroll down. Again, I don't intend to open the entire report, but it says in the third last paragraph:
"The advice allegedly gi ven by Garda Ni chol as Keogh is not appropriate in the circumstances and projects the i mage of An Garda Sí ochána in an unf avourable light."

What did you interpret that to mean?
A. I suppose it's hard to interpret it, this is an
interpretation of someone else to the chief superintendent, Inspector Farrel1's wording, but perhaps if you were to consider that information has been divulged by a garda at the counter to a third party which, if that were not to be the case, if that were to be the case and it wasn't welcome to that third party, that would be unfavourable, I would imagine. Or it would be unprofessional.
399 Q. okay. As far as you were concerned then when you went out on the 30th of may to Olivia O'Neill, what was your ${ }_{12: 53}$ expectation that you were taking a statement in relation to?
A. In relation to her knowledge of Garda corruption.

400 Q. Were you also interested in how it was that Garda Keogh
had supplied her information?
A. I suppose I was relying mainly on the report of Garda Treacy. And as a trained interviewer and investigator, it wouldn't be unprofessional or it wouldn't be part of my training to assume that somebody may provide a certain version of events. It is merely to establish the facts. To establish the facts would include her interaction with Garda Keogh, if there was such an interaction, it would include any knowledge she would have. I mean, I would assume nothing, challenge everything, ask the questions and record a statement in that fashion.
401 Q. Then I think you went to the last witness, Garda Higgins?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

402 Q. Will you tell us about that?
A. As I said, having got the report from Garda Treacy, it allowed me sufficient information to go and record a statement from Olivia O'Neill. So I tasked Garda Higgins to assist me on this. Normally when I am going 12:55 to seek a statement I would seek assistance in doing that, bring someone with me. We travelled to the home of Olivia O'Neill, I think he was more familiar with the location of her home than $I$ was at the time. She wasn't present there. Garda Higgins entered the house and spoke to I think one of the daughters, who informed us that she was at her brother's house or not too far away. We travelled down to where she was and spoke with her down there, which was near the Dean Kelly
school, not too far away.
Q. Yes. And when you came upon her.
A. When we came upon her, I got out of the car and spoke to her. I informed her that I wanted to seek to take a statement from her in relation to the information she had divulged to Garda Stephanie Treacy within Ath1one Garda Station on the 28th May.
Q. Did you know her previously?
A. I did, yes.

405 Q. And did she know you?
A. She did, yes. I suppose when I got out and spoke to her, I introduced myself and I suppose acknowledged that -- and hello or whatever words we choose to interact with, and I explained my reason for being there.
A. Which was to seek to take statement from her in relation to the information that she divulged to Garda Stephanie Treacy in Athlone Garda Station on the 28th May.

407 Q. You appreciate her evidence is that she says that you were there to take a statement in relation to Garda Keogh?
A. No, that's not the case.

408 Q. Okay, just carry on then with the conversation?
A. So I repeated my request to her, and she wasn't cooperative, in that she didn't consent to providing a statement to me. I repeated it and that's where it -she wouldn't give a statement.

409 Q. How long did your interaction with her last?
A. I would say it was quite brief, five to seven minutes, five minutes.

410 Q. Did you tell her that it was important that she would cooperate with you and make a statement?
A. My objective was to obtain a statement from her. I outlined what I sought the statement in relation to. I was courteous and patient with her and trying to encourage her to cooperate with me to make a statement. I asked her to sit into the car because it would afford some privacy to allow that to happen. But she wouldn't do that. She appeared, I suppose, not very cooperative towards us and a little bit hesitant. And I encouraged her, I repeated my request to her, that I was seeking to take statement from her in relation to the information she had divulged to Garda Stephanie Treacy in Athlone on the 28th May. But she wouldn't do that. She wouldn't provide a statement.
411 Q. And then what happened? Did you just drive off?
A. We just drove off, yes.

412 Q. Her account of what happened is at Day 119 of the transcript, at page 78, Mr. Kavanagh, if we could possibly have that up on the screen?
CHA RMAN I think we will leave it there, Mr. Marrinan, and we will come back to it after lunch. We will say two o'clock. Very good. Thank you very much.

THE HEARI NG THEN ADJ OURNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED AS FOLLOVS.

413 Q. MR. MARR NAN Inspector Curley, I had just got to the stage where I was going to put to you what Olivia O'Neill says in relation to this and her interaction with you. We have page 79, Day 119 up on the screen. Ms. O'Neill is being cross-examined by Mr. McGuinness. If we scroll down to question 441 at the end of the page. You see this is her account:
"No, about Ni ck Keogh. He sai d about Ni ck Keogh. He sai d his name, Ni ck Keogh. I thought it was very odd, a guard coming about another guard, like, it was nothing to do with me, like."

A11 right? If we just scrol1 back up the line then, to line 8.
"He wanted a statement. I di dn't give a statement. I
tol d you l woul dn't get into the car."

I think that should be.
"I asked was I under arrest."

Did you ask her to get into the car?
A. I did, yes.

414 Q. Did she ask you was she under arrest?
"They sai d no, and I said okay. So you know it was onl y a small little car."

Was it a small little car or was it the normal detective car?
A. There were two detective cars assigned to detective branch at the time, one was a Ford Focus and one was a Ford Mondeo, both the same colour, navy blue. It was either one of those cars, I can't remember which car it was. I asked her to get into the car to afford her some privacy because there were others on the footpath at the time and it would allow a private discussion as opposed to asking her with others standing in the background.

417 Q. You see there, she is suggesting that you wanted a statement about Garda Keogh. What do you say about that?
A. I asked her, I wanted to record a statement from her in relation to the information she divulged to Stephanie Treacy, as received by her from Garda Keogh. So I did mention Garda Keogh.
418 Q. But do you think you might have left her with the Keogh?
A. No. The information was divulged to Stephanie Treacy.

419 Q. Clearly you weren't there to take a statement from her
in relation to the incident that she had gone to Athlone Garda Station to report along with her daughter, isn't that right?
A. That's right, Chairman.

420 Q. So it was clear and did you make it clear to her that that's not a matter that you were investigating and that you weren't going to take a statement in relation to that?
A. The issue of this matter of assault didn't come up. I didn't discuss that with her. It didn't come up.
421 Q. Are you sure about that?
A. Yes. I have no recollection of discussing anything in relation to an assault investigation. It wasn't something I was overly familiar with. It was merely to seek information from her in relation to the information which she divulged to Stephanie Treacy as received from Garda Nick Keogh.
422 Q. Could it have been that she might have been mistakenly left with the impression that you had told her that you weren't investigating the incident and that you were only there in relation to the information in relation to Garda Keogh?
A. Sorry?

423 Q. Is it possible that you could have left her with the impression that you weren't interested in the assault on her daughter?
A. I wasn't interested in the assault on her daughter.

424 Q. Well that's clear, you weren't interested, and she says that you weren't interested in that?
A. Yes.

425 Q. But she also says that you refused to take a statement in relation to that?
A. That issue didn't come up.

426 Q. It didn't come up. Could you have left her with the impression that your sole focus was on her interaction with Garda Keogh and what Garda Keogh had said to her?
A. I left her with the impression -- or my intention was to elicit a statement from her in relation to the information that she had divulged to Garda Stephanie Treacy as received from Garda Nick Keogh. That was my intention. And I explained that to her. The issue of an assault investigation concerning her daughter didn't come up. She said to me that she couldn't really remember what happened in the station that night at all and she wouldn't provide a statement.

427 Q. If we just go to page 80 then and we scrol1 down to the question at 445. It's a question:
"He made it very clear to you what he wanted. "

And the answer is:
"No, he di dn't, Ni ck Keogh, he wanted a statement about it. He asked about Ni ck Keogh."

Then:
"Yes. But if you just --
A. No, he di d not -- Ni ck Keogh, he just asked me to make a statement about him l keep telling you. I cannot change my answer in a different way."

CHAL RMAN And what is your question Mr. Marrinan?
A. Yes.

429 Q. What do you say in relation to that?
A. I asked her to make a statement in relation to the information she had divulged to Stephanie Treacy on the 28th May as received from Garda Keogh Nick Keogh. That is what I was seeking the statement on and I explained at that to her. Her reply was, she wouldn't give a statement, she couldn't remember what happened in the station that night at all.
CHA RMAN They seem to be similar, Mr. Marrinan. MR. MARRI NAN To some extent, yes.

CHA RMAN In other words, it's not about the assault, nothing to do with the assault, it's about the information that allegedly originated with Garda Keogh. 14:08
MR. MARRI NAN Yes.
CHA RMAN So it's about Garda Keogh, if you like.
430 Q. MR. MARRI NAN We11, she is suggesting that the focus was a statement in relation to Garda Keogh. Your account is, it was in relation to a statement in information that had been imparted to her by Garda Keogh.
A. It was in relation to the information that she imparted
to Garda Stephanie Treacy, and on the face of the report that I had, it appeared that that information came to her from Garda Nick Keogh. But in the process of taking a witness statement from her, that may not have transpired fob the case. But I was assuming nothing, I was going armed with this information. But I did say to her as received from her by Garda Nick Keogh. So I was going to elicit that information in the course of the statement if she had been willing to participate and provide a statement.

431 Q. In any event then if we can move on from that. You wrote up a report in relation to that, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

432 Q. We will find that report in the material at 8690 , it's dated 30th May 2014.
"I nf ormation di vul ged by Olivia O' Neill to Garda Stephani e Treacy at Athl one Garda Station. "

Then you say:
"I note attached request to meet with Oivia O Neill and obtai $n$ a statement from her in rel ation to the i nf ormati on she di vul ged to Garda St ephani e Treacy.

I wish to report that l met with Oivia O Neill at 3: 50pm today, 30th May 2014. I informed her that I was conducting inqui ries into the inf ormation that she had
di vul ged to Garda Stephani e Treacy as recei ved fromby her from Garda Ni ck Keogh in Athl one Garda Station on 28th..."

That should be May.
"I expl ai ned to her that I wi shed to record a witness statement fromher outlining what she was told by Garda Keogh. "

So the focus there seems to be on what she had been told by Garda Keogh?
A. And in addition to how she divulged -- what information she divulged to Garda Treacy.
433 Q. "She replied that she di dn't wi sh to make any statement and that she now coul dn't really remember what was said in the Garda station that might at all. Olivia O' Neill declined to consent to the recording of a witness statement fromher despite being invited to do so."

And then you sign off on that. Is there anything further you wish to say in relation to that interaction at that time with Olivia O'Neill that you think might be of assistance to the Tribunal.
A. Following that interaction with her, I returned to the station and I completed my report on that and I e-mailed my report at 16:27, so within a half -- 40 minutes of that, I e-mailed a reported back to the superintendent on the matter. That was the last

You didn't include it in your statement that you made to the Tribunal, is this something that you recal1 having been jogged by Sergeant Lyons' account in the statement that he sent in to the Tribunal?
A. Well, Judge, Chairman, I didn't recall it until seeing that.
Q. Yes.
A. But having seen that, I recalled it. Even if I had considered it, I am not sure if I -- the bulk of what was concerned in relation to what he told me was contained in the report he provided to me. So a have included that. But either way, my memory was jogged on it.

439 Q. When did this conversation take place?
A. It was either Sunday evening or Monday evening, I can't be sure, of the bank holiday weekend.
Q. Will you just tell us what you recall him saying to you?
A. Well, it was a brief conversation and in summary it was a summary of what's contained in the report. I don't recall any specifics in relation to it, but in general it was that he had met Liam McHugh, who had reported to him that Garda Nick Keogh had encouraged him to report a matter which Liam McHugh said didn't happen.
A. I understand.

That in fact at some stage there may have been three guards who took money from Liam McHugh. In actual fact it goes further than that, because it ends up ultimately in a report, and this isn't your fault, but it ends up in a report by Mr. Debrun in relation to the 14:14 possibility that Garda Keogh was actually present and therefore involved in it. So I just want to be clear in relation to Sergeant Lyons' version of events in relation to this. Because Chief Superintendent Curran
expressed surprise that Sergeant Lyons was now saying something different than he interpreted from the report. But your interpretation was the same as Sergeant Lyons'?
A. Yes.
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. Yes.
A. And on the face of it that was my interpretation from the report as received, that it was quite clear on that. In any event, if we turn to page 522 of the material. That's the statement, and I don't intend to open it again on the basis of your evidence, but that was the report and you sent that on to the superintendent, is that right?
A. Yes, to actually Inspector Nicholas Farre11, who was the acting superintendent.
Q. Yes. Now, what did you make of it at the time yourself in terms of a possible impact that this may have had on 14:16 Garda A's position, on the complaints that were being then made by Garda Keogh and the fact that he was a whistleblower? You were aware of all that, weren't you?
A. I was aware of Garda Keogh's allegations.
what impact did that have on you?
A. Sorry now, just the question again?
Q. That there was a suggestion that Garda Keogh was out on the streets of Athlone coaching a witness. Did you
regard that as serious, potentially serious?
A. I regarded this as a matter which I was required and obliged to escalate to my superiors. It wasn't a matter which I was going to undertake to investigate myself. It was a matter which I expected was going to be assigned to somebody else to investigate. The consequences of this or the seriousness of it, I mean these were matters that were going to be pursued in every possible way by superiors. That is what I would expect would happen.
450 Q. Did you regard as it potentially serious?
A. Potentially serious, yes. Garda A is not referred to in the report, $I$ suppose that's one thing I would point out.

451 Q. In any event, if we turn to page 524 of the material, on the 9th June Superintendent McBrien writes to you with regard to the e-mail from Garda Aidan Lyons dated 2nd June 2014:
"Pl ease ascertain if Mr. Mchugh is willing to make a statement about this inci dent. Arrange to have such statement if taken forthcoming. "

Did you do anything arising out of that?
A. Yes. We11, prior to that report coming to me, I advised Superintendent McBrien that $I$ would not be the most suitable person to be assigned to this task, because I am from the same locality as Liam McHugh and I know him, I suppose, outside the job.
Q. If we turn to page 525 of the material?
A. Sorry now, yes. Just arising out of this report, having got this report, I was surprised to have got this report, but I did undertake to complete the task and I assigned the task to Garda Tom Higgins.
Q. Did you do it in writing?
A. No, I didn't.

457 Q. Why not?
A. It was a straightforward task enough in my mind. I had the report of Garda Aidan Lyons and I had this and I sat down with him. I read over the report of Garda Aidan Lyons with him and I said that we are seeking to get a statement from Liam McHugh in relation to this matter. And he undertook that do that. I informed him that I was unsuitable to do this as I know Liam McHugh. 458 Q. If we go back to the letter of the 23 rd June and we
just return to that conversation that you had with Garda Higgins. This is a reminder:
"I refer to the above matter and previ ous correspondence sent fromthis office on the 9th June. Has a statement been taken from Mr. Li am MEHugh? If a statement has not been taken, please outline the attempts which have been made to ascertain this st at ement.

For your attention and report please."

So by that stage you say that had you assigned Garda Higgins to take the statement?
A. Yes.

459 Q. How long after your interaction with Garda Higgins did Garda O'Higgins Report back to you?
A. So he hadn't reported back to me up until the time I received this important minder, and having received the reminder I gave him a copy of the reminder and I said, have you had any luck with getting the statement from Liam McHugh yet, and he said, I haven't met him yet. 460 Q. What do you say to the evidence that you heard this morning from Garda Higgins in relation to this?
A. I am quite clear in the task $I$ assigned Garda Higgins. It's not uncommon for me to assign detectives tasks of taking statements and never before have I had a situation where somebody misinterpreted a task being assigned to take a statement, to misinterpret it to
seek a phone number or something like that. That has never happened to me before. He undertook to take the statement and reported back that he asked Liam McHugh was he willing to provide a statement and Liam McHugh said that he wouldn't at that time, that he would think 14:21 about it and maybe make himself available in the future. So that's exactly what was reported back to me. I cannot explain Garda Higgins' interpretation. Perhaps it's the passage of time that he doesn't recall the interaction, I don't know.

461 Q. He didn't report back to you in writing, isn't that right?
A. That's right. So this task was assigned to me, and I suppose it's a task that I would expect to be assigned to a sergeant. So when I did my report in reply to this, I was replying because the task was assigned to me and I outlined why I didn't deal with it directly myself, in that I had advised previously that it would be unsuitable. Then I explained in the report how the task was conducted, in the second paragraph, in relation to Garda Higgins meeting with Liam McHugh. And I specifically recall typing out that report and in particular the second paragraph, and reading that over to Garda Higgins, asking him to agree its accuracy. And he did.

462 Q. You see, Garda Higgins says that he didn't do a report because it ended up that all he was doing was giving you a phone number or a piece of paper with a phone number written on it. Wouldn't one normally expect to
see a report in relation to what you've accepted is potentially a serious matter and the refusal of the witness to make a statement to a member of An Garda Síochána?
A. We11 I considered it a very straightforward task. A

14:22 task that was assigned to me, that $I$ in turn assigned to Garda Higgins. He replied, he came back to me. I verbally told him what I expected. He came and reported to me the outcome of it and I reported back on it. Fairly straightforward. I suppose usually in the reporting back on it, he did say, the witness, it wasn't a definitive no, $I$ am definitely not going to make a statement, he reported that he would think about it and perhaps make himself available in the future. So arising from that, when I reported on it, I reported that $I$ recommend that somebody else be tasked to complete the matter and it specifically says on page 528 of the statement:
"Consequently l recommend in my report some ot her member of sergeant or inspector rank be appointed to compl ete this task."

463 Q. You went to see Olivia O'Neill, she refused to make a statement and you report it in writing, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

464 Q. Wouldn't one normally expect that you would request of Garda Higgins that he would report back to you in writing in relation to this significant matter?
A. The way it happened is the way he reported to me and I undertook to complete a report myself, as it was a task that was assigned to me and I was explaining how I went about to do it. It wasn't a task -- it wasn't like an investigation file that $I$ would send out to somebody. It was a specific task that $I$ verbally assigned him to do and it's not unusual for me to ask somebody to do a task like that, to take a statement off somebody, see is somebody willing to take a statement, and they report back, and I reported back on it. Just on that, the 23rd June, leading into the 9th July, when I reported back on the matter, at that time the detective branch was particularly busy arising out of a murder investigation which occurred on the 20th June and Garda Higgins was an integral part of that investigation team. So perhaps that was one of the factors in my mind when I decided to write the report myself. I can't be sure. But it was that I was able to, able to report back on it, it was quite swiftly, because I had got a reminder. The way I operated generally is, I
like to be swift in how I go about my tasks. The Olivia O'Neill matter, 1 received a request on the 30th May and I complied with it immediately that day. This one I received on the 9th June with a reminder on the 23 rd and I was anxious that it would be replied to as soon as possible. So when he came back, outlined to me the interaction and the outcome of it, I replied to it immediately.
465 Q. You see we have no documentary trail in relation to
this. It stops at the request to you to obtain a statement and then we have a reminder that is sent from the superintendent. We have 56 volumes of material here and we have people who are requested to do reports and they come back and they report in writing invariably. But this is in fact the only incident that we are looking into where there was ever a request of somebody to do anything that wasn't in fact in writing. So not only is the request not in writing of Garda Higgins, but also his report isn't in writing. I am just wondering why it is that that has happened in this instant?
A. The request was to me to arrange to see was Liam McHugh willing to make a statement. I outlined how I went about the task, in that $I$ assigned Garda Higgins to do it, and I explained why I did that.

466 Q. Yes.
A. And I reported back on it. And that's the decision I made at the time.
467 Q. We11, you see, I have to ask you these questions and Garda Higgins may well, you know, take a view in relation to this and say, well, the reason that it's not in writing to me is because it was discussed with Sergeant Curley and it was agreed that I wouldn't take a statement because of my predicament and because I knew all the parties. And second of all, that there was nothing to report because all I was doing handing back a piece of paper with a telephone number, which in fact was the only thing that I was tasked to do. what
do you say in relation to that?
A. Yes, Chairman, I have heard that evidence and that evidence doesn't comply with the task I was given. I was given a task to see was Liam McHugh willing to make a statement. And if Garda Higgins had said to me, I'm not going to take a statement, I will see can I get a phone number from him, that wasn't going to complete the task that I was assigned to do. And the way I operate, I operate a very efficient, professional office, the way $I$ operate would not allow for this, if I see him, I might see can I get a phone number off him. Because getting a phone number wasn't going to address the task I was assigned. As I said, Liam McHugh is from the same locality as I, if I did require a phone number from Liam McHugh I would have the resources within myself to obtain that phone number without having to assign a member to do that. Pulse would be my first port of call, but even outside that, locally enquiries by me would have been able to identify a current phone number for Liam Mchugh. So I didn't assign Garda Higgins to get Liam McHugh's phone number.

When he came back to me on the 9th June -- or the 9th July and reported the outcome of it, I reported it paragraph of the report when he was there, the report in its entirety, but I read over the paragraph that was relevant to his interaction with Liam McHugh and he
agreed that that was accurate, and I reported on the matter.

468 Q. I am just wondering why it is you received a report from sergeant Aidan Lyons in relation to his interactions with Liam McHugh and all you did was merely send that on to Inspector Farre11, who was then acting for Superintendent Noreen McBrien, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

I am wondering why it is that you just didn't request a 14:28 report from Garda Higgins and similarly send that on to your superiors?
A. Because I decided to reply to it there and then as he returned to me because $I$ was anxious it would be dealt with. And at that time, the 9th July, we are in the midst of murder investigation, where two suspects had been identified out of three and one had fled the jurisdiction. That was probably the number one priority at that time. There was a violent, viscous murder with one suspect on the loose. I dealt with it as efficiently as I could at the time, reporting back on the matter. I suppose in reporting back on the matter, it appears that it, in my view, was a task that was going to require further work and further assignments that people needed to be assigned to, revisiting Liam McHugh, as he said he would think about it, possibly make himself available in the future.

470 Q. which might make it more important to have a documentary trail, as would normally be the position in

An Garda Síochána, where there is a written request for the report and then subsequently a report in writing rather than just simply a verbal interaction?
A. But I reported back the interaction as was reported to me by Garda Higgins.
If we could go to page 808 then of the material. This is a letter that is sent by inspector minnock on the 8th Ju7y 2014. It's headed "Li am McHugh":
"W th reference to the above, I bel ieve the associ ation
of Garda menbers both with Li am MzHugh and the members i nvol ved in the investi gation, Garda Lyons, Garda A and Gar da Keogh, make it i nappropriate for gardaí from Athl one to i nt ervi ew Li am McHugh when colleagues are under investi gation.

I understand Detective I nspector Coppinger is the external appoi nted of ficer to investigate this matter and I feel he or his investigati on team are the most appropriate persons to intervi ew Mr. McHugh.

I will obtain a nobile number for Li am McHugh, whi ch will assist the investigation teamto arrange a meeting with Mr. McHugh."

Had inspector Minnock discussed this matter with you?
A. Yes. On the 8th July I spoke with inspector Minnock, who had been away on leave for a period of time or away on a course. He had been off anyway. And Inspector

Minnock is my, I suppose, line commander. So as part of his portfolio within Ath1one to oversee crimes and investigations within the detective branch. So I would have briefed him on matters that I suppose were ongoing in detective branch at that time. I suppose more specifically the murder investigation. But I did brief him in relation to the matter involving Liam McHugh and I outlined to him that -- as reported by Aidan Lyons and how I advised Superintendent McBrien that I wouldn't be the suitable person to do it and that I had 14:31 received task. I was merely bringing up to speed in relation to different aspects of the inquiries and investigations that were ongoing at the time.
472 Q. So it appears that at that stage your stance as communicated Inspector Minnock was similar to Inspector Minnock, really that this is too closely associated with all members in Ath1one Garda Station, but particularly in relation to Garda Lyons, Garda A and Garda Keogh and that it should be investigated externally, is that right?
A. So when I said to Inspector Minnock that I didn't think it was suitable for me to be assigned, I know Garda A wasn't referred to in the report, but when I said that to him, I got the impression from him that he didn't think it was suitable even for me to be assigned, that 14:32 it wasn't suitable for anybody within the detective branch to be assigned. That was the impression I got from him.

473 Q. So it's clear, certainly by the 8th July, that you
hadn't assigned the task to Garda Higgins?
A. Sorry, say that again.
Q. It's clear that you hadn't assigned the task to Garda Higgins and that there's no issue that he has reported the matter back to you?
A. No, I had assigned the task to Garda Higgins. I assigned the task to Garda Higgins on the 9th June, when I received the report.
Q. But he couldn't have reported back to you because you had a conversation with Inspector Aidan Minnock and he sent a letter to the chief superintendent, which appears to arrive out perhaps with the reminder sent on the 23 rd June that we've opened. And that's indicating that in fact this request isn't appropriate, nobody should interview?
A. I don't believe that Aidan Minnock's report arises from the reminder of the 23 rd June. I had received a request from Superintendent McBrien to take a statement, that request stood. I was endeavouring to do that and I was merely bringing Inspector Minnock up to speed on matters within the detective branch. From my knowledge or information in relation to looking at this report, it appears that's his view in relation to matters.
476 Q. Right, okay. So you couldn't have reported that Garda Higgins had come back to you and said that he wasn't prepared to make a statement, because this effectively is redundant, this letter?
A. Sorry, maybe I am misunderstanding your question.

Garda Higgins didn't report back to me until 9th July. So maybe I'm misunderstanding your question.
477 Q. okay. I am trying to isolate the actual date? CHA RMAN There some confusion here as to chronology, because I am lost. I thought 9th June, 23rd June, what's agreed is that Garda Higgins came back to you, the nature of the return is another day's work. But if he came back on the 9th July, then this couldn't be inconsistent, because it's the 8th July, is that right? This conversation with Inspector Minnock happens on the 14:35 8th July, is that right?
A. That's correct.

478 Q. CHA RMAN You brief him on the 8th July?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

479 Q. CHAN RMAN But if I am understanding, Garda Higgins had 14:35 not come back to you at this point?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

CHA RMAN So it's not inconsistent, Mr. Marrinan. Am I missing something here? why am I losing the plot here?

MR MARRI NAN I am not suggesting that it is inconsistent. I am just fixing a date.
CHA RMAN I thought that's just what you did say. MR. MARI NAN Right. Well, if I did I --
CHA RMAN Because you were challenging, actually you 14:35 specifically said -- I am sorry, Mr. Marrinan, I am sorry for being pedantic, but I may be totally wrong as well. What you said was that this letter was redundant.

MR. MARRI NAN If Garda Higgins had come back prior to that.
CHA RMAN But I thought he said Garda Higgins had not come back prior to that.
MR. MARRI NAN well that's the evidence that --
CHA RMAN That's fine.
MR. MARI NAN You see this isn't documented.
CHA RMAN Anyway, sorry.
MR. MARRI NAN Yes.
CHA RMAN I am trying to follow and I am trying to understand. Maybe somebody else can clarify it.
Anyway. What's the date of this letter that we are looking at, 808.
MR. MARRI NAN The 8th July.
480 Q. CHAN RMAN The letter from Inspector Minnock is the 14:36 8th.
A. Yes.

481 Q. CHN RMN But Garda Higgins doesn't come back until 9th?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

CHA RMAN okay.
MR. MRPH: Chairman, perhaps I can assist. This
document probably has to be seen in sequence, page 527, which is mentioned previously, which is the letter of the 9th July.
CHA RMAN 527. Anyway, Mr. Marrinan can decide if he wants to --

MR. MRPH: That precedes the letter at 527, which is the next day, and is the next in sequence.

CHAN RMAN Very good. That is 527.

482 Q.
MR. MARRI NAN We will move on to that now, because having spoken on the 8th July to Inspector Minnock, you then refer on the 9th July to a conversation with Liam McHugh as reported by Aidan Lyons,
"I note recei pt of attached correspondence, prior to same being forwarded to me, I requested that I may not be the most suitable person to pursue same as I am personally known to Li am McHugh. "

That was a conversation that you had with Superintendent McBrien, is that right?
A. That's correct.

MR. MRPHY: Chairman, I am very sorry, would it assist 14:37 the Tribunal if that particular document 527 was put on the screen while the witness is being asked.

MR. MARRI NAN Sorry, I thought it had been.
CHA RMAN we had it a minute ago.
MR. MARRI NAN Yes.
MR. MRPHY: Thank you, Chairman.
483 Q. MR. MARR NAN "I had requested personnel within the detective office to compl ete this task. I had tasked Garda Tom Hi ggi ns with this role. Garda Tom Hi ggi ns met with Li am McHugh and invited himto take a statement in rel ation to this inci dent."

This is really why I have been asking the questions. CHA RMAN Yes. This is where they are in
disagreement.
484 Q. MR. MARRINAN You don't indicate in this report when Garda Higgins met with Liam McHugh, isn't that right?
A. So the next line, the next paragraph is:
"Garda Hi ggi ns reported this situation to me following his meeting with Li am McHugh. "

And the report is dated the 9th July. So it was that date, the day he came back into the station
Q. That's what you are telling us here.
A. Yes.
Q. But it's not in the body of the statement, or it's not in the body of your statement?
A. That was my intention, to reflect that; that it occurred on that day.
Q. Well, you may have said:
"I requested personnel and then Garda Tom Hi ggi ns met with Liam MEHugh today and invited himto make a statement in rel ation to this inci dent. Li am McHugh ref used to consent to provi de a witness statement at that time but undertook to thi nk about it and maybe make himself available to provi de such a statement in the future."

But in any event, your case is that it was on the 9th July, because Garda Higgins doesn't recall the date, but your case is that it was the 9th July that Garda

Higgins had his interaction with Liam McHugh?
A. Yes.
Q. And reported to you. And that was after Inspector minnock sent the report to Superintendent McBrien?
A. Yes, Chairman.

489 Q. And it arose out of circumstances where you had given the instruction earlier on to Garda Higgins and fortuitously or not, as the case may be, he had met with Liam McHugh on the day after Inspector Minnock sent his report?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that right?
A. Yes, Chairman,.
A. Coincidentally, it was the next day that Garda Higgins reported back on the matter. He came back into the office and said that he had just met Liam McHugh and this is what he said.

492 Q. Then you go on to say:
"Garda Hi ggi ns reported the situation to me following hi s meeting with Liam MEHugh. Due to the fact that the matter under inquiry rel ates to menbers within Athl one Garda Station, I feel it may be prudent for a menber ot her than Athl one crime/drug office staff to pursue Li am MEHugh further for a statement on this matter.

Consequently I recommend that some ot her menber of sergeant or inspector rank be appointed to complete
thi s task."

And as far as you were concerned that was a completely reasonable position to adopt in relation to the matter, isn't that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.

493 Q. Yes. Now, what do you say in relation to Garda Higgins' evidence in relation to the content of this report? You say that after he reported the matter to you that you were in a room with him, is that right?
A. Chairman, the detective office consists of, I suppose, an office and my office is off it, the door is open between the two offices, $I$ have a computer and desk within my office. It's not a very -- neither office is a particularly big office. when he returned in to the office he told me he had just met Liam McHugh and he had asked him about providing a statement and Liam McHugh told him that he didn't consent at that time but he would think about it and maybe make himself available in the future. So I commenced typing my report at that time. As I completed the second paragraph, $I$ read it over to ensure that it was accurate, to Garda Higgins. I called him over to the door and I clearly recall outlining to him, is this a correct interaction or record of the interaction between you and him and he agreed it was and I continued on with my report.

494 Q. He denies that but you say that it happened in any event?
A. I have a very clear recollection, Chairman, because I wasn't present for the interaction, so I wanted to be clear in what actual interaction took place and that's the reason $I$ did it in that fashion. And as I said, the murder investigation was number one priority at that time and I was anxious to reply on this matter because it had been with me since the 9th June at this time, and this is a month, it's not the way I operate, I am very efficient in how $I$ deal with requests and this request was going on a while and $I$ received a reminder on it and I was anxious to reply to it. Plus, due to the nature of the reply, I expected it would be further tasked, so I was anxious to send it back.
495 Q. Is there anything more you want to say in relation to that issue?
A. No, Chairman.

496 Q. We will move on now to other issues that you are involved in to a lesser or greater extent. Issue 9, in relation to an investigation that was conducted and arrests were to take place on 16th November 2015. You have referred in your statement to the fact that you advised Garda Keogh that these arrests were going to take place. The reference is in Volume 3 at 487. I don't really intend to go there in relation to the matter. I don't see that it's significant or adds anything to it. Subsequently you wrote a letter to Superintendent Murray, which is in Volume 8, at 3010. Again, I don't intend to go -- it relates to your interactions with Garda Keogh and the reason why he
couldn't attend the arrests.

If we could then --
CHA RMAN The trailer case.
MR. MARR NAN Pardon?
CHAN RMAN The trailer case.
MR. MARRI NAN Yes.
497 Q. If we can then just move on in relation to the reclassification of a robbery from the person, which is PID 12207121. Now, you had a limited involvement in relation to these matters, but could I just ask you generally in relation to Superintendent Murray and the regime that he put in place. I think that he brought about quite a number of changes in relation to crime reporting, PAF meetings and such matters that we have gone through with Superintendent Murray, isn't that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.

498 Q. Would you have seen the new regime that came into place in March in 2015 as perhaps being more accountable,
perhaps stricter than it had previously been under Superintendent McBrien?
A. More beneficial from, I suppose, a crime investigation and a governance point of view, I would have thought. In that all matters were properly pursued and followed up on, particularly in relation to, I suppose, detective branch. He a deep understanding of crime investigation and the supports necessary to ensure that crimes are properly investigated and followed up. In
doing, as he initiated a full-time incident room coordinator to detective branch, which was a huge benefit to preparing files in detective branch.
499 Q. No reflection on Superintendent McBrien's tenure in relation to these matters and matters do evolve, but did you find that it was perhaps placing a greater burden on members or not?
A. I would have thought the opposite. I would have thought that it allowed members to enjoy the supports that were there at management level to assist in the pursuit of crime investigations which they were assigned to, that they weren't isolated, that there were numerous support measures available to them to ensure that crimes were fully followed up. From speaking to members and from my knowledge, they were quite satisfied with this matter, that it was a huge support to them in how they went about their work. In particular if they found themselves investigating something which needed assistance, that they weren't isolated, assistance would be provided to them. Or if it was a matter that required, I suppose, more expedient resources to be applied, that that would happen without the member having to go and pursue that.
500 Q. If you could look at page 500 of the material, please. This relates to robbery from a person. It's addressed 14:47 to Superintendent Murray. Have you got that?
A. Yes.

501 Q. You say:
"Pl ease find attached statement fromthe injured party in this case."

The injured party's statement is 502 , we don't need it up on the screen, just for the benefit of the parties.
"On 14th September 2015 I tasked Garda A to i ntervi ew the victimand he recorded the attached statement. Garda A reported that the vi ctim was rel uctant to meet with him stating he didn't wish to pursue the matter. I encouraged Garda A to record a statement fromhim, whi ch is attached.

In summary, the vi ctimstates that he was robbed by two mal es who initially asked himfor a ci garette. He stated they --" it says hot but it's probably:
" -- hit and ki cked hi mand stole $€ 20$ and some change fromhis pocket. The victimstates he does not wish to pursue the compl ai nt.

The witness statement as recorded is lacking in detail regarding description of offenders. However, as the victimis rel uctant to cooperate, it is difficult to envi sage how a prosecution could be successful even if a suspect could be identified.

I recommend consi deration be given to recategorise this inci dent due to the attitude of the vi ctim"

If we could just have the crime counting rules, page 8356 up on the screen. We have had this before. If we turn to paragraph 1.3, you will see it there:
"If the criteria to record are satisfied (reasonable probability and no credi ble evi dence to the contrary) and the victimdoes not want the matter taken any further, a criminal offence should be recorded."

Now, we debated this with Superintendent Murray in relation to it, you were clearly of the view that the matter should be recategorised, is that right?
A. Okay. So, that investigation was first discussed by us on Monday, the 14th, at your PAF meeting.
502 Q. Yes.
A. And arising from that Garda A was tasked with it. we11, I tasked Garda A with recording a statement from the victim. Up to that point, in 2015 there had been four robberies in Athlone. So it was an unusual crime to my mind, two of them in relation to matters which are subject to the investigation in relation to a taxi driver, this inquiry in relation to a taxi driver, robbery. But I suppose it was something I would give some consideration to. So having received the statement back from Garda A, I had concerns regarding the matters, had the matters occurred as being reported. Those concerns were in relation to -- I suppose there was a number of concerns. Number one,
the victim describes a very violent robbery, yet the injuries weren't consistent with the description as he provided. There was no corroborative evidence in relation to the matter. He was now in turn, in relation to the theft of the $€ 20$, reporting some change having been stolen. I had concerns in relation to the location that the crime occurred, in that it wasn't the normal route that from his address, from the town that one would take home. And there was a discrepancy around the time that the crime occurred. Initially it was reported as having occurred between 2:30 and 3:30 and yet in his statement to Garda $A$ he said it occurred between 2:00am and 3:00am. Now this location where it occurred is approximately three minutes from the town centre. So there was no explanation surrounding the discrepancy in the time that it he occurred. No corroborative evidence. There was evidence that he was intoxicated. I suppose on top of all that, he wasn't willing to make a statement of complaint. So I suppose the first factor is the inconsistencies and my concerns 14:51 were heightened by the fact that he wasn't willing to make a complaint. He didn't provide a description in the statement that Garda A was assigned to take from him. So, all of those things I had some concerns in relation to, believing that the incident occurred as reported.
503 Q. We know that there was a description of both attackers in the Pulse entry that had been made by Garda Keogh, but did anybody think it prudent to talk to Garda

Keogh?
A. We11, I assigned Garda A to do it, he reported back to me. I advised Superintendent Murray on that day when Garda A reported back to me, with my report and I went to Superintendent Murray with my report and a copy of the statement and I outlined to him the concerns I had regarding whether the incident occurred as reported, with my recommendations. And my recommendations aren't mainly based or solely based or in any way based on his refusal to make a statement or complaint, they are based on my failure to believe that the matter occurred as reported. But they are merely recommendations. And having discussed it with Superintendent Murray, Superintendent Murray decided, having discussed it with him, that we would seek somebody else to re-interview the victim, see can we further the matter.

504 Q. Just come back to the question that I asked you?
A. I'm sorry, yes.
Q. Did anybody think that you would perhaps go to Garda Keogh, and his colleague who was with him, I can't remember his name, but his colleague who was with him on the night when they came upon this person?
A. I understand that Garda Keogh was on a rest day, on leave at that time and he wasn't available. But I didn't consider that with Superintendent Murray.
A. I didn't consider that with Superintendent Murray, that somebody would be appointed.

507 Q. Was there any reason why you didn't go back to him and
ask him perhaps what was the demeanour of the person like? How was it that he came to make a complaint? what were the circumstances he came to make a complaint? we understand that he had gotten into a taxi and was on his way to the Garda station, which might indicate that it was something substantial that he was going to report. Did you not think of contacting Garda Keogh, who had interactions with him, talk about his demeanour, how he was on the night?
A. I wasn't aware that the victim had got into a taxi on the night and come to the Garda station. If I was aware of that, that would have been beneficial.
508 Q. Yes, but I am just saying that this is information that Garda Keogh and he doesn't seem to have been consulted in relation to the matter, I am just wondering why?
A. Well, I would expect that the interaction or the details, the relevant details would have been included in the report of Garda Keogh and on the Pulse incident. The matters that I have highlighted I suppose aren't referred to on that, including the use of a copper bar, 14:54 which the victim described being beaten with.

509 Q. So then I think that having written that to Superintendent Murray, you had a further conversation with Superintendent Murray and it was felt that perhaps the statement that had been made to Garda A wasn't adequate in the circumstances and you decided then that you would get a report, a further report from Garda John Divilly, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

510 Q. That's at page 498 of the materials.
A. Yes, Chairman.

511 Q. There were four questions that were posed, the reason why he does not wish for this incident to be investigated; better description of the offenders; what 14:55 injuries he received; and had he any alcohol consumed? And the answers are set out there, the final paragraph:
"Garda informed the alleged injured party that it was his choi ce if he did not want the matter to be i nvestigated but if he changed his mind to contact Gardaí. Garda Di villy i nf ormed himthat it would be better for the i nvestigation to start sooner. However, the alleged injured party is adamant that he does not wi sh the matter to be investigated. "

You then further recommended to the superintendent, I will just give you the reference number now, it's at page 495, it's 16th September 2015. It's to Superintendent Murray. You attach the report of Garda 14:56 Divilly and then in the final paragraph you say:
"The credi bility of the victimhas to be questioned. It is not normal that a victimwould ref use to cooperate and pursue a robbery complaint. Consequently 14:56 I recommend this incident be recategorised to attention and compl ai nts following the outcome of the intervi ews with the victim"

That was your position. We know that it was on that recommendation and after Superintendent Murray considering the matter that he reclassified to attention and complaints, isn't that right?
A. Sorry, Chairman, just to clarify: The report, the task 14:57 of Garda Divilly, I suppose the best outcome we could hope for that ideally with a revisit by somebody else to a victim is perhaps they may have had a change of mind and may have reported the matter by way of a statement of complaint. But that didn't happen. That sometimes happen with a different approach. But that didn't happen. But I suppose what concerned me more arising from the report of Garda Divilly is that the description of the offenders changed from white Air Max top and black tracksuit bottoms and a McKenzie top to a 14:57 black hoodie and a blue hoodie, so the description changed again, which I suppose heightened my concerns that I previously had. My report then to Superintendent Murray, again recommended the matter be recategorised, was based on -- when it refers to the credibility, $I$ didn't include all the rationale for my report. Because the way Superintendent Murray operated at that time was, any decisions relating to the reclassification of crime or decisions surrounding how matters would proceed from an investigative perspective 14:58 were all done collectively at PAF meetings. So, I knew from the report that $I$ was sending back that it would lead to this matter being discussed openly at the PAF meeting, which happened on the 23 rd, where we all sat
around and discussed the matter at the end of the PAF meeting and $I$ outlined my concerns in relation to it and why I felt it didn't fall within the crime counting rules to be recorded as robbery, based on the concerns, in that I didn't believe that the matter as reported occurred as reported. And arising out of that three decisions were made at the PAF meeting.

512 Q. Is there anything further you want to say in relation to that issue?
A. Collectively when it was discussed at the PAF meeting, there was a decision made to recategorise the matter to attention and complaints. There was a decision made to seek further information from the investigating guard and a decision made to leave it on, I suppose, our excel sheet, which basically would mean that the matter -- or PAF sheet, which would mean the matter would be reviewed further down the road. So ultimately as detective sergeant in Athlone, $I$ am quite familiar with the crime as reported and if any information or intelligence had occurred which was going to change my view on that, this matter is something I would have given attention to or was aware of it. It is a matter which could be recategorised up towards again. The PAF meeting is there as control measure to review incidents of this nature and it performed very well and this is an example of how it works.
513 Q. Now if we could move on to issue 15, please. Yes, this concerned the stabbing of a taxi driver on 3rd August 2015. This arises out of the investigation of I think
two stabbings that occurred in or around about the same time. It was an elderly taxi driver. You recall this, yes?
A. Yes, Chairman.

514 Q. Garda Keogh has given evidence that he initially responded to the call for assistance, we know that he reported in the incident and gave details to be placed on Pulse and that he may have seen some clothing, I think it was, as part of the investigation. We know that the Pulse entry was changed in relation to this on 4th August of 2015. We have a statement at page 6202, from Garda Aisling Shankey Smith. If we have that on the screen. You see there, if you go down to the third paragraph, the last three lines:
"I nvesti gati ng gar da was updated from garda regi stration number."

And it gives the number.
"Garda Ni chol as Keogh. "

And then to another number that is Garda Niall Cogavin. And she says:
"I informed them that this was updated by garda --" and then the registration number, which is yourself.
A. That's correct.

515 Q. Pardon?
A. Yes.

516 Q. Yes. Then if we look at the statement of Mr. Brian Savage, who works as a contractor for ICT directorate in Garda Headquarters, he asserts -- if we scroll down, if we could have that up on the screen, 6203. In the second last paragraph he says:
"I can confirmthat the investigating member was changed on $4 t h$ August 2015 ther ei $n$ on the Pul se front end, there will be no evi dence in the la list of previ ous i nvesti gating members having hel d that role. The inf or mation is onl y available fromthe audit data."

I will just come back to the question that I want to ask you, but subsequently I think that there was a successful prosecution in relation to this and a number of the investigating gardaí received commendations, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

517 Q. Now, in terms of what's been suggested in relation to this, what's been suggested is that Garda Keogh was deliberately airbrushed out of the investigation and there is no record of him there and this was done with a view to denying him a commendation that others received. Now in general terms what would you have to 15:04 say to that?
A. So, first of a11, Chairman, in relation to the updating of the Pulse incident, if you go to page 534, that's actually the Pulse narrative of the incident.

CHA RMAN 534, okay.
A. 534. Chairman, the third line from the bottom, I outline updated pulse there, wrote in:
"I nci dent recategorised to robbery."

CHA RMAN Sorry let's have a look at it, sorry. Thanks very much.
A. So the third line up from the bottom:
"I nci dent recat egorised to robbery and Gardaí Cogavi n/ Di villy assi gned to investi gate following decision of daily PAF meeting."

So, Chairman, this matter would have been discussed in
the morning, it happened the night before, it was discussed at the morning PAF meeting, and this incident, detectives were assigned to investigate this incident. And I updated Pulse to reflect that. An unintentional consequence of doing that was that Garda Keogh didn't appear on front end of it. He had been down initially as the investigating member. It wasn't to my knowledge that by replacing the investigating member that the person wouldn't appear on the front end of it. It was always -- or it was our training on
wouldn't have expected -- I thought he would automatically be repopulated, I suppose, into the incident as an assisting member. But that didn't
happen.
CHA RMAN Could you explain that for the uninitiated.
A. Yes, Chairman.

518 Q. CHA RMAN First of all we have Garda Keogh recorded as the investigating member, is that correct?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

519 Q. CHA RMAN And that stands until it's changed?
A. Yes, Chairman.

520 Q. CHA RMAN So there's a PAF meeting, and now you want to record the fact that two detectives have been assigned. Am I getting it so far?
A. Yes, Chairman.

521 Q. CHA RMAN So how does it happen that Garda Keogh's name is deleted?
A. Okay.

522 Q. CHA RMAN How does that happen?
A. Okay. So after the PAF meeting, I think it was done -I think the time is actually given by Garda Shankey Smith, that it happened around 12 midday or 12:09 or something like that. So our PAF meetings were 12:30am. 15:06 So following that I would have opened up the incident on my pulse screen and I would have updated it, whereby Garda Keogh was listed as the investigating member I would have put in Garda Cogavin reg number as the investigating member.
523 Q. CHA RMAN Does that mean you took him out?
A. Sorry, Chairman. So that was the one action I do, I press save when I put in Garda Cogavin as
investigating. And I updated the narrative to put in
that they had been assigned. The unintentional consequence of that was that Garda Keogh doesn't appear on the front end. I didn't actually do an action that would press, we'll say, remove or delete.
524 Q. CHA RMAN I understand. Let's go back for a moment. 15:07 I am sorry to be tedious and pedantic about this?
A. That's okay.
Q. CHA RMAN The additional information that you put in at the end.
A. Yes.

526 Q. CHA RMAN That didn't take out Garda Keogh?
A. No.

527 Q. CHA RMAN Okay. So we can acknowledge that that happened as a result of the PAF meeting and leave it. Somebody may wish to ask you about it, complain about it, or whatever. But we for our purpose can leave it for the moment. okay.
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN Peter, can we go up a tiny bit further please. Up at the top. okay, thank you very much. Now, where do I have the investigating garda?
A. On the left-hand side, if you go down "i nj ured party, suspect" it goes down along.
Q. CHA RMAN "Connected with the associ ate..."

B7ah-blah-blah. Investigating garda.
A. Yes.

530 Q. CHA RMAN So that originally read Garda Nicholas Keogh number so and so, is that correct?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN So you put in Garda Niall Cogavin, am I pronouncing that correctly?
A. Yes.

532 Q. CHA RMAN Okay. You put in Garda Cogavin and assisting Garda John Divilly?
A. Yes.

533 Q. CHA RMAN Did you put that in?
A. Yes.

534 Q. CHA RMAN And Garda Dempsey?
A. No, I didn't put that in, just the first two, because they were the two people assigned to detective branch.
535 Q. CHA RMAN Okay, Garda Cogavin and Divilly, they're the two detectives?
A. Yes.

536 Q. CHAN RMAN Okay. So Garda Dempsey, he was there originally?
A. Yes.

537 Q. CHA RMAN And nothing happened to him?
A. No, Chairman.

538 Q. CHAI RMAN So what happened to Garda Keogh?
A. So, Chairman, I suppose to explain it the best way I can.

539 Q. CHA RMAN Yes.
A. They are the actions I did. I put in Garda Cogavin as 15:08 investigating member and I pressed save. I put in Garda Divilly as assisting member and I pressed save. I updated the narrative. I didn't do anything else in relation to it. But by doing what I did, the
unintentional consequence of that is Garda Keogh falls away from the front end of the scene as the investigating member.
540 Q. CHA RMAN okay.
A. My assumption at the time was that he would be automatically repopulated in as assisting member. That didn't happen.
541 Q. CHAL RMAN okay. So where we find Garda Dempsey, he was safe enough because he was recorded as an assisting member?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

542 Q. CHAN RMN so he remained. If I am understanding what you are saying, if Garda Keogh had been recorded as an assisting member, his name would have remained?
A. I suppose to clarify that, there's only one investigating member on an incident.
543 Q. CHA RMAN I can understand that.
A. So there's loads of assisting and there is one reporting.
544 Q. CHA RMAN of course.
A. So by --

545 Q. CHAN RMAN By changing the investigator?
A. Yes.

546 Q. CHA RMAN Or the reporter?
A. Yes.

547 Q. CHA RMAK In fact it deletes me?
A. Yes.

548 Q. CHA RMAN Is that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. CHA RMAN Okay, thank you. Sorry, I just want to be clear in my own mind about that. Thank you very much.
A. Chairman, just for clarity, that was news to me too. These questions were being asked initially.
CHA RMAN Thank you.
550 Q. MR. MARR NAN It's an automatic process?
A. Yes.

551 Q. By updating it?
A. Yes.

552 Q. You would have to have gone back and reassigned him as assisting member?
A. Yes.

553 Q. But you didn't believe at the time that his name had been deleted from the record; isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.
Q. You had no reason to check that, to see had he popped up elsewhere as assisting member?
A. I didn't, Chairman. The matter, I suppose, was under investigation at this stage from detective branch, we had a report from -- they had already been assigned, were on route and were carrying out inquiries in relation to it, and our victim had been home from hospital, we were arranging to interview him and the incident room was up and running in relation to it. That investigation was ongoing.

555 Q. CHAN RMAN So his name should have been there?
A. It should, he was an assisting member and his name should have appeared on it.

556 Q. CHA RMAN I mean he had gone from being the
investigating officer, he gone from that, he been replaced as that, but you say his name should have been there?
A. He was an assisting garda on it.

557 Q. CHAI RMAN Yes.
A. On the face of it, I expected that he would have been repopulated as assisting member, and that didn't happen.
558 Q.
MR. MARR NAN I think that you recommended some of the members for commendations, isn't that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.

559 Q. You do that by filling out an EPW form, isn't that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.

560 Q. If we could just have page 13450 on the screen, this is ${ }_{15: 11}$ a letter from the Chief State Solicitor's Office. At paragraph 2 there, if you scroll down:
"The inci dent invol ving the stabbing of a taxi driver on 23rd August 2015. As previ ously advi sed, this date is incorrect, it should be 4th August 2015."

Then it refers to the Pulse incident. Then it sets out the members who received commendations. We have nine members who received commendations. By comparing them as against the people who were on the Pulse entry, we can see that all these members were involved in the investigation side of it as opposed to being assisting members at the scene, is that right?
A. Yes. Furthermore, there were 23 people named across both Pulse incidents and 11 people recommended for a commendation. But even with the 23 people named across both incidents, there were further people involved in investigating the incident, involved at various roles across the incident. But the people that I included on the EPW1, which I prepared, were people whom in my view had performed beyond what I would consider the normal course of their duty in the investigation of this crime to bring it to a successful conclusion. And it was their actions in line with the criteria set out in the HQ circular on the matter, which is HQ circular 26/03, it was their actions which in my view, the outstanding police work, which entitled them to commendation. And they were the people I included on it.
561 Q. Indeed. If Garda Keogh had remained there on the list of people who were involved in the investigation as an assisting member and his role had been to phone in and report the matter for its entry on Pulse and then secondly, to take possession of some articles of clothing, would that have been a matter that you would then have made a recommendation in relation to those actions on his part?
A. No, Chairman. The criteria that I used -- first of all, it's not based on who was recorded on Pulse as reporting or investigating or assisting, it was the actions of the particular people, the individual people and what they did in relation to the investigation. I was familiar with the investigation. I led it from the
start, from the morning that the first robbery was reported and then later that evening, shortly after 5:00pm the second robbery was reported. Both teams continued on operating together as an investigation team. And it was my knowledge of how they performed and I included, I suppose, in summary, on the EPW1 on page 13456 , where I summarise the actions of each individual member. They mainly relate to the investigative actions of them; identifying suspects; Garda Cogavin identifies suspects; Garda Divilly identifies suspects; Garda McMeeking arrests suspect; Brian Kane arrests suspects; Yvonne Martin, sergeant, executes search warrant, assists in investigation; Dave Turner, file preparation. And in fairness to Garda Turner, on the file preparation there was a 42 day rule, I suppose, we need to comply where somebody is in custody. And he did the file quite quickly on that and he was complemented on that by Superintendent Murray. I continue on. It's all people that were involved in the investigation of it. And that's all done in line with the criteria outlined in -- it's clearly outlined on page 8010 in line with HQ circular 26/03. And it gives examples of criteria. If we bring up page 8010. At the bottom of that is examples of criteria and the first two:

> "Extreme personal risk, demonstration of particul ar zeal."

If you go on to the next page, there's more bullet points, but there is:
"Out st andi ng i nvesti gati ve work. "

So in my view, these people whom I had selected on this, it was for their outstanding investigative work. This was a crime that brought an awful lot of anguish to the community of Athlone, it had happened to elderly people, and it brought a lot of comfort to the people in Athlone that these people were before the courts quite quickly. The incident happened on the 4th and by Thursday they were in custody, charged, and a swift prosecution followed, where it was disposed of in the Circuit court subsequently.

Garda Keogh dealt with the incident, was attended on the night under the supervision of Sergeant Monaghan and he put the incident on Pulse by phoning GISC. when we reviewed it the following morning, we recategorised
it upwards to an offence of robbery. Incident recategorised. So when I mentioned earlier, Chairman, about, where I outlined Gardaí Cogavin and Divilly were assigned to investigate it, it was also recategorised at that time. It was initially on as an assault incident and we upgraded it to a robbery, having reviewed it at the PAF.

CHA RMAN That wouldn't be exceptional, I take it. A. That's quite normal.

562 Q
A. Yes.

563 Q. CHA RMAN It's an assau7t on the person accompanied by robbery?
A. And I suppose that's an example of what -- I would see as the PAF meeting as being a great control measure in relation to these, that are you starting off, that things are addressed at a very early stage, and it was updated at the PAF meeting.
CHA RMAN okay.
MR. MARRI NAN So the answer to my question whether you would have given Garda Keogh a commendation or put him forward for a commendation had you seen his name on the list, the answer is no, you wouldn't have done so?
A. The answer is no. It wasn't how I based the people I selected to be placed on the -- for commendation.
565 Q. Okay. If we can then move on from, is there anything more you would like to say in relation to that?
A. I don't think so, Chairman.

566 Q. Just in relation to issue 17, which are complaints by Garda Nicholas Keogh in relation to the criminal investigation carried out by Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin, had you any view in relation to the investigation being in the initial stages conducted in Athlone Garda Station?
A. Chairman, I suppose on a professional basis at that time in Athlone, $I$ was previously involved in, I suppose, reporting wrongdoing and appointed to
investigate Garda wrongdoing. So I would have preformed similar roles as to what the Galway investigation team were performing. And I thought that the way that they were going about their business was quite normal, I didn't see anything unusual about it. Nobody complained to me or I had no issue with that. That's purely on a professional level. I suppose I wasn't au fait with the scope of their investigation or what they were doing, and I was quite happy not to be au fait with it bar any particular task be assigned to me. That's, I suppose, merely on a professional level. On a personal level, Chairman, at that time I was dealing with a lot of grief myself, my daughter had died shortly before that from cancer. So I returned to work a number of months before that. So it wasn't something I had given a lot of consideration to. unless it came across my desk and it was a specific task for me, it wasn't something that I addressed my mind to.
567 Q. CHA RMAN You had enough on your plate.
A. I suppose, Chairman, yes.

MR. MARRI NAN I am sorry to hear that.
568 Q. CHA RMAN It did seem strange. I mean, we have heard and you mentioned yourself about Mr. McHugh and discomfort about people, you know, investigating colleagues and so on and here were two colleagues, obviously I have no view on the matter, but I know Sergeant Haran said he thought it was -- odd wasn't the word he used, but he wasn't happy with the fact that
two officers in the same station would have a major investigation being carried out in the same investigation. Now, not everybody might take that view, but did it occur to you that that might be strange or, as you say, did you have enough on your plate that you weren't going to start worrying about matters of procedures that nobody complained to you about.
A. I am sorry, Chairman. I don't understand fully the question.
569 Q. CHA RMAN Sergeant Haran, as I understand, expressed unease at the fact that the major corruption investigation instigated by the protected disclosure was being carried on, the investigation, the interviews and so on were taking place in Athlone Garda Station, in circumstances where the two officers principally involved were attached to Ath1one Garda Station. We know that Garda Keogh has spoken about this. But did you have a view about that? Did it occur to you or did it strike you as being something that was odd or desirable or undesirable?
A. As I said, Chairman, I suppose the best way I can put it is, $I$ thought it was quite normal in how they went about their work. They were professional, they were courteous. And having been involved in similar roles myself as an investigator, $I$ have gone to Garda stations in similar circumstances and met with the people and how -- I suppose the question I'm going to say is, how else would you do it, bar going make an
appointment to meet the people.
CHA RMAN It didn't occur to you that it was unprofessional. Nothing occurred to you, it didn't strike you as being inadvisable, let me put it that way, I'm trying to use as neutral and as low key a word, but that didn't strike you as being an inappropriate way of doing it?
A. Chairman, I suppose part and parcel of the job of a policeman is, you know, every now and again there are investigations and complaints, and that's the way that they are dealt with, people meet an appointment to meet with you to take an statement from you. I certainly didn't see anything other than it being normal how they went about their work, they were professional.
CHA RMAN okay, that's all I wanted to ask, thank you very much. That's what you were asking.

571 Q. MR. MARR NAN I think in early June, on the 10th June Superintendent McBrien contacted you and asked you to have a word with Garda A, because she had received a report from Garda Greene that Garda A had been asking questions about the investigation team, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

572 Q. And you did that?
A. Yes.

573 Q. You had a word with him?
A. Yes, Chairman.

574 Q. That's the sort of problem that maybe gets thrown up by investigating a matter in the local Garda station where
these alleged events occurred?
A. I suppose Superintendent McBrien asked me to advise Garda A not to be making enquiries in relation to it. I don't think I was privy to the source of her report. I advised him of that. I remember that 10th June there 15:23 was prisoners in custody that day in relation to a petrol bombing. That particular time in June, even before the murder investigation, from the 31st may onwards, from mid/early May there was a lot of serious incidents under investigation in Athlone, being led and pursued by detective branch, which included Garda A and Garda Lyons and other members. I suppose I was more conscious or very conscious about my roles and responsibility in leading my investigation team in that fashion, which I would consider as a welcome, I suppose, distraction to whatever matters were going on and I didn't concentrate on anything to do with any investigation going on outside of that.
575 Q. Just one final matter. If we could we have page 554 up on the screen. This concerns a request on 17th June 2014 from Superintendent Noreen McBrien, where she points out:
"During the course of an interview I had with Garda Ni chol as Keogh on this date he expressed concern that Garda A is carrying an of ficial weapon bearing in mind the amount of pressure that he could come under as a result of the current investi gation bei ng carried out by Detective Superintendent Mul cahy.

Pl ease report what weapons Garda A has access to. In addition, as his direct supervisor, can you monitor the situation regarding Garda A's well being and if you believe there is a foundation for Garda Keogh's concern. If there is any change in Garda A's well being that causes concern, especially regarding his access to weapons, please bring it to my attention."

She says:
"I have met with Garda A and outlined to himthe wel fare supports available to him Pl ease ensure that you al so do this."

I think at 553 you respond to that, in September, on 22nd September 2014:
"I note the attached report.

Garda A does not have an official issue firearm He does however have access to the station issue firearms, whi ch incl ude four Smith \& Wesson revol vers."

There is no need to go into that.
"I have no concerns at this time regarding Garda A's well being. I will conti nue to monitor Garda A and should I have any concerns I will report same
i mmedi at el y. "

And then you point out that you have contacted Garda A and explained the welfare supports available to him.
But as far as you were concerned there was no issue in relation to Garda's access to a firearm, isn't that right?
A. So the initial request came from Superintendent McBrien dated the 17th June, so $I$ believe that $I$ didn't get it on the 17th, I got it shortly afterwards. So the 20th June was the date of the murder investigation and Garda A was an integral part of the investigation team for the murder investigation team. But I verbally advised Superintendent McBrien in relation to what firearms Garda A has access to, and I monitored it then throughout the summer and I replied on 22nd September ' 14 that I didn't have any concerns regarding him. As I said, having worked closely with him during the summer on tasks surrounding the investigation, I didn't have any concerns in relation to him. I advised in relation to welfare supports and I was quite satisfied that he was okay in relation to having access to firearms.
576 Q. Thank you. Inspector, I think that that's the extent of your involvement over the issues, isn't that right?
A. I think so, Chairman.

MR. MARR NAN Yes. Would you answer any questions please.

END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Now, yes, Mr. O'brien.
MR. O BRI EN Thank you, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Are you the person.

## I NSPECTOR EAMDN CURLEY WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. O BRI EN, AS FOLLOWG:

577 Q. MR. O BRIEN Sergeant Curley, just to go back to the 15:27 Liam McHugh issue, if we can please. I think you described earlier in your evidence that you agreed that it was a potentially serious issue when it was brought to your attention by Garda Lyons, is that correct?
A. Yes, Chairman.

578 Q. I just want to just go back to the conversation and your recollection of the conversation that had you with Garda Lyons. I think Garda Lyons told the Chairman that he brought the matter to your attention and that he informed you of the conversation that he had with Liam McHugh, is that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.

579 Q. Did you make a note of that discussion?
A. No, Chairman.

580 Q. Why was that?
A. Because I asked him for a report on the matter and I would deal with it arising out of the report.

581 Q. So do I take it that Garda Lyons had informed you that he was going to report to you in writing and you were
going to rely on the content of that report to report it up the chain?
A. Yes, Chairman.

582 Q. I see. Is that normal practice for you? So when potentially serious matters are brought to your attention, that you wouldn't make a note yourself of it?
A. The matter was going to be contained within the report which I received, so I asked him to report and he forwarded on the report. I wouldn't normally make a report in relation to a matter I am going to get a report on.
583 Q. If we could just turn to the report again, please. It's at page 657 of volume 3, Mr. Kavanagh. If we scroll down to the next page, please, just to the bottom of it. Just there. Thank you. Do I take it -if you look at the third paragraph there, that's a description of what Garda Lyons says was said to him by Mr. McHugh. Do I take it that your concern was that the allegation that was being made here was an
allegation of coaching against Garda Keogh and that you weren't concerned with the content of the statement that's in the inverted commas in that paragraph? So if you look, where it says:
"The bal d guard came over to re..."

And so on
A. Yes.

584 Q. Were you concerned with that statement, or did you rubbish it?
A. Concerned? I'm sorry, which part are you referring to there?
585 Q. The third paragraph there?
A. Yes.

586 Q. "The bal d guard came over to me..."
A. "...the other day and I asked hi m could I remenber the time I was searched by three guards and €800 was taken stol en fromme and spent drinking in the Castle pub."

Yes.
587 Q. Were you concerned with the truth of that statement or did you rubbish it, did you say, I don't believe this?
A. So when I get this report from Garda Lyons, which is a summary of what he had, I suppose, verbally advised me and prior to sending it to me, it's a matter which I was obliged to escalate up to my superiors. Now there's numerous interpretations of how you are going to go about what you are going to consider in relation to this, but I suppose my main consideration was: This is something that $I$ am going to escalate to my superiors. If I was the person assigned to investigate this, I would have considered all of the, I suppose, evidence but number one, the way I go about take the evidence -- follow the evidence and deal with the facts as they present themselves to me, and as it presented to me on that, is that Garda Keogh is
reporting -- or Liam McHugh is reporting that Garda Keogh is reporting to report something which Liam McHugh says didn't happen.
588 Q. I see, so the escalation, if we pause there?
A. Yes.

589 Q. Just for clarity. Your escalation of this matter is on the basis of the alleged coaching of Mr. McHugh by Garda Keogh?
A. No. My escalation of the matter is on the report in its entirety. I mean, I am not, I suppose, oblivious to the fact that somebody else could take a different interpretation or if I was assigned to investigate this, $I$ would look at all the possibilities, but at the same time I'm going to, I suppose, deal with it as it appears on the face of it. And on the face of it, Liam 15:31 McHugh said that the incident didn't happen.

590 Q. okay.
A. I suppose an interview with Liam McHugh may prove differently, an interview with somebody else may prove differently or the Guards -- but you just follow the evidence, you assign people to task, to follow the evidence.

591 Q. That being the case then, did you have any discussion with Garda Lyons about the other persons that are mentioned; "I was searched by three guards and €800 was 15:32 stol en", did you say to Garda Lyons at any stage, did Mr. McHugh identify who they people are?
A. Liam McHugh said to Garda Lyons that it didn't happen.

592 Q. But you are saying there's a number of different
interpretations and I am just trying to get to the bottom of which one you were focused on?
A. So one of the interpretations, you are saying on the face of it, it appears that Liam McHugh said it didn't happen, but if you are asking me did I consider that it ${ }^{15: 32}$ did actually happen.
A. And there were actually guards involved and who were these guards, well I would see that as jumping two steps ahead. I mean, the man says it didn't happen, Garda Lyons reported it to me and it was for an investigator appointed somewhere to pursue those lines of inquiry. But you followed the evidence, you don't pick a particular route or line of inquiry and say, we will go off in this direction and we will see who these 15:32 $^{\text {w }}$ three guards where. On the face of it Liam McHugh said it didn't happen.
594 Q. If you move then to the last paragraph, did you question Garda Lyons at all about Garda Lyons volunteering Garda Keogh as being the bald guard?
A. I didn't.

595 Q. Did you say to him, where Garda Lyons says, if you look to the last paragraph?
A. Yes.

596 Q. So this is Garda Keogh to Mr. McHugh:
"I asked himif he was referring to Garda Nick Keogh and he confirmed that he was."

Did you ever say to Garda Lyons, did you consider it possible that it was somebody else or why did you volunteer Garda Keogh as this person?
A. No, I just forwarded up the matter. This is the questions I was asked and I forwarded up the matter. And perhaps that is something someone somewhere else could decide to ask Garda Lyons if they were investigated. For example, if Liam McHugh had said, I didn't meet Garda Nick Keogh at all, this was a misinterpretation in some way, shape or form. You know, I suppose you follow the evidence, this is what he said, this is the manner in which he said it. I suppose you can interpret it, I presume you are interpreting that that was a leading question, perhaps you can interpret it that way. But that's what he said. Why did he ask him? why did he volunteer that name? I don't know, that's what he said.

597 Q. A potentially serious issue, as you said a moment ago, why did you not advise Garda Lyons to enter it onto Pulse, or do it yourself?
A. Okay. So, I suppose, a number of possibilities here. From time to time as a detective sergeant I would come into possession of information and intelligence and regarding, I suppose, Garda activities, crime investigation, they're not always matters which would immediately result in the creation of a Pulse incident. They may be matters that require escalation and consideration by my superiors and that's across an array of matters and this was one of those matters.

This wasn't a matter that I considered I would create a Pulse incident on. For me to create a Pulse incident on this, if I was to take it on face value, I think I would be jumping the gun without more enquiries being done on it. It's not something that $I$ would do as a detective sergeant in relation to putting on someone as reporting, as a suspect or as an offender. You know, it's not something I would do at that time. This would be something that I would need consultation on with my superiors. I escalated it to my superiors and they were the people who were going to make a decision on this.

598 Q. In terms of your discussion with Sergeant Lyons in relation to this issue, why is there no reference to that discussion in your statement to the Tribunal?
A. Well, I suppose as is mentioned earlier, I didn't recal1 it until my memory was jogged. And if I had recalled it, $I$ am not sure if I would have put it in because it still relates to -- it was only a summary of the report. When I got the e-mail from Garda Lyons, it was a summary of what he said to me. Perhaps if he had said to me something different and I got the report and the e-mail was entirely different to what he said to me, I would say, there is some issue here, I may go back to it. But I didn't do it. That's what happened. 15:35
599 Q. What I am trying to -- I mean, it's an important issue and in terms of completeness for the Tribunal's investigation into all of these matters, 1 am just concerned as to why or asking you why you wouldn't give
a full account of what occurred. So a conversation with Garda Lyons, which subsequently transpired him sending a report by e-mail, there seems to be a gap there, would you agree with?
A. No, Chairman, I would talk to Garda Lyons, the staff I was working with on a daily basis numerous times, and he advised me in relation to a report he was about to send me or going to send, our interaction, and he was going to send a report on it. I welcomed the, I suppose, prior knowledge, because if you just got the e-mail and you had no prior notice of it, you might be a bit surprised. But he advised me that this is what happened, I am going to sent you a report and e-mail, send up the report and I will deal with it from there.
600 Q. I think it's common case, we know that the report is sent to you by e-mail on 2nd June 2014, you in turn send it up the chain to Inspector Farre11 and he on the 3rd sends it on for the attention of Chief Superintendent Curran, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

601 Q. So would you agree then or were you aware at the time that this is the second incident that's gone up to the chain to Chief Superintendent Curran regarding Nicholas Garda Keogh in a very short period of time?
A. Yes, Chairman.

602 Q. So it puts Garda Keogh on Chief Superintendent Curran's radar, so to speak, in terms of incidents that are relating to him within the station?
A. okay.
Q. Isn't that correct?
A. I don't really know how to answer that. Two reports were sent up to Chief Superintendent Curran, one was relating to Olivia O'Neill and one was relating to Liam McHugh.
604 Q. And I think the position is that Chief Superintendent Curran then directs a statement be taken from -- that efforts are made to obtain a statement from Mr. McHugh and that comes down through the chain through Superintendent McBrien, isn't that right? Indeed, on the 9th June you were asked by Superintendent McBrien to take that statement, to take a statement or try and take a statement from Mr. McHugh?
A. Yes, Chairman.

605 Q. Did you have any conversation with Superintendent McBrien in relation to this issue?
A. Yes, Chairman. I advised her that I might not be the most suitable person to take that statement from Liam McHugh because I know him.
606 Q. In relation to the substance of the allegation, did you discuss that?
A. No, Chairman.

607 Q. And were you aware that Superintendent MCBrien met Garda Keogh as we11 on the 9th June, were you aware of that?
A. No, Chairman.

608 Q. I see. I think you told us then that you tasked Garda Higgins on the 9th June with taking a statement from Mr. McHugh?
A. Yes, Chairman. Upon receipt of the request to take a statement, I tasked Garda Higgins. I presume it was the 9th June because that's the date I got it.
609 Q. Now, you used words earlier on in your evidence, you said that Garda Higgins undertook to do this?
A. Yes.

610 Q. Will you accept that there is, I suppose, a significant conflict of evidence between yourself and Garda Higgins as to what has transpired?
A. Yes, it appears there is. Over the years, I am a sergeant since 2004, and in all the years I have been a sergeant and I have assigned people to complete tasks and take statements, never before have I encountered a situation where a detective or a guard misunderstood a task that I assigned them to do to that level.
A. No, Chairman.

612 Q. Well, would you accept that that is what he is saying to the Chairman?
A. Yes, Chairman, yes.

613 Q. Wouldn't that explain why there is a delay in dealing We11, if Garda Higgins's evidence to the Tribunal is correct, to the Chairman is correct, he advised you initially within you asked him to take the statement that he didn't feel comfortable doing so, would you accept that?
with this issue from the 9 th June to the 23rd June, when you get a reminder from Superintendent McBrien?
A. My interpretation of the reason for the delay was he couldn't find Liam McHugh. When I got the reminder, I
asked him and he said, no, I haven't met him yet, I haven't found him yet.
614 Q. Why is that not contained in your statement?
A. I reply in my statement in relation to receiving the reminder and replying back on the matter, each interaction I had with him.
Q. We11, it seems from Garda Higgins' statement that you had one meeting in relation to this?
A. The second interaction was when I received the reminder. I specifically asked, look, any update on meeting Liam McHugh and he said, $I$ haven't met him yet.

616 Q. You are saying to the Chairman that there is two meetings between yourself and Garda hinges?
A. I wouldn't call it a meeting, the second one was an interaction.

617 Q. Cal1 it an interaction then?
A. Yes.

618 Q. Well why is the second interaction not dealt with anywhere in your statement?
A. I presume I said in my statement I received a reminder or got a reminder.

619 Q. Matters move on then to the 8th July and I think you spoke to Inspector Minnock in relation to this issue, isn't that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.

620 Q. Do I understand you to te11 the Chairman that that conversation took place on the 8th July?
A. Yes, Chairman. Yes, Chairman, yes.

621 Q. Was that in the course of you, I suppose, catching up
with Inspector Minnock when he returned from leave, I think that's what you told us?
A. Yes. As I said, he's my line commander as such and I was briefing him on various aspects that occurred during his time off.

622 Q. There is no mention whatsoever in your statement of any conversation between yourself and Inspector minnock regarding this McHugh allegation?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

623 Q. And why is that?
A. Because I would talk to Inspector Minnock numerous times every day and I would update him in relation to all aspects of what's going on I suppose in the live environment in relation to investigations within the detective branch, because he was my line commander as such and it was important for me to keep him up-to-date in relation to how $I$ was going on my task and complying with my roles and responsibilities as detective sergeant.
624 Q. Did you take a note of the conversation or make a note anywhere of your conversation with Inspector Minnock?
A. No, Chairman. I would talk to Inspector Minnock numerous times every day while he was in Athlone for that reason, as I have outlined. In relation to numerous investigations I would have updated him, the particular emphasis at the time, that was the 8th July, was the murder investigation and one of the suspects had fled the jurisdiction, or that's what we suspected at that time, but he was certainly at large, and that
was the kernel of what we were pursuing and following up at that time.
Q. I see. So in relation to the Liam McHugh incident, you have a conversation with Garda Lyons of which you have no note, you don't make any reference to the conversation in your statement to the Tribunal. You then move on a month, you have a conversation with Inspector Minnock, you don't make a contemporaneous note of that and you don't make any reference to the conversation in your statement to the Tribunal, isn't that the position?
A. That's correct, Chairman.
Q. We move on then and we see that you write on 9th July of 2014, this is the letter, it's at page 527, and you report to Superintendent McBrien. If we could just open that letter, please. Can I ask you first of all, why is there no reference whatsoever to any times or dates in which yourself and Garda Higgins had, as you call them, interactions in relation to this issue?
A. We11, the paper trail goes from the 9th June and that's the day I assigned him to do it and the reminder of the 23rd, and this is the reply back. And I suppose the dates and times, Garda Higgins reported this to me following his meeting with Liam McHugh, which I interpreted to -- that the reader would interpret that more clear on that, to say that today at 2:00pm he returned to the office and reported this to me. That's what I did. As I said, at this particular time the
detective branch was extremely busy in relation to the murder investigation, which was the number one priority at this time.
627 Q. The first enter sense there:
"I note recei pt of the attached correspondence. "

Is that you acknowledging the reminder letter of the 23rd June?
A. So it would have been -- no, it would have been
relating to them all, the report of Aidan Lyons, the initial report from Superintendent McBrien assigning me to task and the reminder.
628 Q. I see. So it's the full body of correspondence as it stood at the time?
A. Yes.

629 Q. We know you say you weren't the most suitable person. You tasked Garda Tom Higgins with the role, that he met with Mr. Mchugh and invited him to provide a statement. In relation to the incident Liam McHugh refused to consent to provide a witness statement at the time, undertook to think about it and maybe make himself available to provide such a statement in the future. I am suggesting to you that that is wholly inaccurate and incorrect, that statement
A. So this second paragraph of this report is exactly what Garda Higgins reported to me, and I reported it having -- I prepared it and I read it over to Garda Higgins to ensure its accuracy.

CHA RMAN I understand, we have been over this a fair amount of times now already. why are you suggesting that it's totally wrong, Mr. O'Brien? why are you suggesting it's is totally wrong?
MR. O BRI EN Just based on Garda -- sorry, if Garda Higgins' evidence is correct, I --

CHA RMAN So if Garda Higgins is right, that's totally wrong, if you are right, Garda Higgins is totally wrong.
MR. OBRI EN You say that you typed the report in the presence of Garda Higgins, why is there no reference to that in your statement to the Tribunal?
A. I didn't deem that part relevant when I typed my report, where I was when I typed it. It's not something I would do.
632 Q. Ultimately then, if Garda Higgins' position is correct, nobody ever has asked Liam McHugh to make a statement in relation to this incident, isn't that right?
A. Yes. But I was given a particular task to do and I replied as what he told me. Why would I put in
anything different other than what he told me? Why would I not report exactly he told me? If Garda Higgins had come back to me and said, or initially said I'm not taking the statement, I would have reported that. If he said to me, here's a phone number, I am not taking a statement, I would have reported that. This is what he reported back to me. I can't put it any clearer than that, this is exactly what occurred.

633 Q. I see. But the position, the position as it stands
then, is that, as we know, no statement was ever taken from Mr. Mchugh; isn't that right?
A. It appears so, yes.

634 Q. And it's been put to Garda Lyons earlier on and I am putting it to you now, that it is Garda Keogh's case 15:47 that this is fabrication, isn't that right?
A. If you want to go to page --
Q. Yes or no, is that correct?
A. Sorry?
A. Sorry, can you ask the question again please? You accept that that's Garda Keogh's case?
Q. That this incident is a fabrication, you accept that that's Garda Keogh's case?
A. It appears that that's what he's stating. But in relation to my report, that you are saying that nobody ${ }^{15: 47}$ ever asked Liam McHugh for a statement. On page 528 of my report I recommend that some other member of sergeant or inspector rank be appointed to complete this task. Because based on the reply of Liam McHugh I didn't see this task as completed.
638 Q. To the best of your knowledge or as far as you understand, did anybody else take that statement from Liam Mchugh?
A. No.

639 Q. I see. So what I am suggesting to you is, if there is 15:47 no statement, will you accept if there is no statement the matter can't go any further? It can't be pushed any further. If Mr. McHugh is not willing to make statement in relation to this, it goes no further up
the chain of command or otherwise?
A. I don't fully understand the question.
Q. Well let's say, for an investigation then, for the investigation to go further, Mr. McHugh would have had to make a complaint, isn't that right? Or sorry, make a statement. If there was to be an investigation, either into an allegation of coaching?
A. Yes.

Or into the substance of what is contained in the inverted commas about the bald guard and so on, there would have to be a statement from Mr. McHugh; isn't that right?
A. Yes, a number of avenues of inquiry would have to be pursued, starting with him.
642 Q. And the fact that there was no statement meant that this allegation went no further, isn't that correct?
A. We11, I reported up the reply and I am not fully au fait with exactly what happened from there on.
Q. So if Garda Keogh is correct and it is a fabrication, then it couldn't be further investigated, isn't that right, it stopped at that point?
A. It appears to have stopped at that point.

644 Q. And it went no further?
A. I'm not sure what happened after I reported it up on the 9th July. I didn't have any more involvement in this.

645 Q. Can I just ask you one further question then, this is in relation to the robbery from the person, as it has been referred to, and your letter that's at page 495 of
the materials?
A. Yes.

646 Q. You will see that the incident -- you have said, just in the second paragraph there:
"The credi bility of the victimhas to be questioned as it's not normal that a victimwould ref use to cooperate and pursue a robbery complaint."

You have given evidence that you were concerned about other matters earlier on, such as the absence of a description of the assailants, the use of a copper bar and so on. why is none of that contained in your letter, none of those concerns?
A. Chairman, when I refer to credibility, I didn't go into 15:50 the rationale surrounding the credibility in full because the rationale surrounding that was a matter which was going to be discussed at the PAF meeting. That's how Superintendent Murray operated, that decisions surrounding recategorisation of pursuing of investigating matters would be discussed collectively and openly by everybody. And if it was a matter, when discussed at the PAF meeting, and I outlined my concerns, that I was disagreed with and the decision was made to leave it on as a robbery, that would have happened, but it was an open discussion and that was the decision that was made surrounding it. So I didn't include the entire rationale of my issues surrounding the credibility of the victim because it was going to
lead to a discussion at a PAF meeting. My recommendation was going to lead to this being discussed at a PAF meeting.
But for reclassification purposes, essentially what I am suggesting to you, the reason that you were putting forward was the victim's refusal to cooperate and nothing else?
A. On the face of my report it would appear that way, but the reason for the credibility -- I mentioned credibility and the reasons that $I$ have concerns around 15:51 credibility is I didn't -- the version of events being offered by the victim to me didn't appear to be reliable or plausible or consistent, in that there were a number of concerns around it, which I have outlined, in relation to consistency and new information and these were the matters that were brought forward to the PAF meeting and I advised Superintendent Murray of. And it wasn't solely on the fact that he wouldn't make a statement. But it was one of the factors that I did consider, because it wasn't doing anything to address concerns I had if he'd made a statement and outlined, well, this is the time and this is the reason surrounding that, I didn't mention the bar because -but because, I suppose, that was one of the factors that he wasn't doing anything to address the concerns which I had raised.

MR. O BRI EN I don't have any further questions, Chairman.

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Now, where do I go from
here?
MR. PONER: I suppose here might be a good place, Chairman. I represent Garda Higgins.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much, Mr. Power.
MR. POVER: I don't know whether the Chairman wants
to -- I suppose I do have quite a few questions, it's up to the --
CHA RMAN Take your time. No problem. We will finish this afternoon. I mean we will finish with this witness this afternoon, but take your time. If you need a break, let me know you need a break, but otherwise we will proceed to the end and then we will stop. Thank you very much.

## I NSPECTOR EAMDN CURLEY WAS THEN CROSS- EXAM NED BY

MR. POVER, AS FOLLOVS:
648 Q. MR. POVER: Thank you. So you received a report from Garda Aidan Lyons detailing a conversation he had with Liam McHugh. You received that report in a formal sense on the 2nd June 2014, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

649 Q. And you passed that then on up the line, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

650 Q. And you received, you were directed by the district officer in Athlone to ascertain if a statement could be taken, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

651 Q. And that was on the 9th June, is that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. So it's clear, I think, from Garda Aidan Lyons' report either that there's very serious allegations being made against Garda Keogh or there are very serious
allegations being made against three unnamed members of 15:53 Athlone Garda Station, isn't that fair to say? One of those things must be the case; either Garda Keogh was coaching Mr. McHugh or there are serious allegations against three unnamed members of Ath1one Garda Station, I think that is fair to say?
A. As I said previously, on the face of it it appears that the Liam McHugh report, this was the interaction he had with Garda Nick Keogh and Liam McHugh said it didn't happen. If it did happen, and if you are not to believe that it did happen, if it did happen the second 15:54 situation which I have described arises
Q. Exactly. It is one or the other. It is either serious allegations against the three members or an allegation against Garda Keogh, is that fair?
A. That's if you jump a step ahead and say it didn't, disagree with Mr. McHugh.
Q. I am sorry?
A. That is if you jump a step ahead and say that Liam McHugh was wrong in saying that it didn't happen.
Q. Oh yes, but if you think McHugh is right and it didn't happen, then there is an allegation against Garda Keogh, I think that is fair to say?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. So one way or the other there are serious

allegations against Gardaí in Athlone Garda Station?
A. Yes, Chairman. Gardaí, yeah.

So I think is it fair to say as a matter of general principle Gardaí in one Garda station shouldn't investigate each other in respect of serious
allegations or indeed any allegation?
A. That's why I would escalate it, you know. I wasn't an investigator of it, so somebody else was going to be appointed to investigate it up, to investigate the matter.

Yes. And that's because there's a practice in the Gardaí, and again I think you said you carried out this role as an investigator at some stage in the past, that there is a practice in the Gardaí whereby Gardaí in one Garda station don't investigate each other, is that correct?
A. I wouldn't consider the role of taking a statement as being the investigator. It is certainly a role in the investigation but you're not the investigator. It is a specific task to record a statement and report it back up. And the investigator then, whoever is making a decisions surrounding that, would decide other avenues to pursue.

659 Q. You wouldn't ask Mr. McHugh for a statement because you knew him?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you just tell the Tribunal how well did you know Mr. McHugh?
A. From the same locality, Chairman. I know him all my

1ife.
CHA RMAK Outside the job you said, because you came from the townland, parish or area.
A. Small townland, Chairman. He's a man in his 60s but I would have known him all my life.
CHA RMAN That is what you told us.
MR. POWER: I am not suggesting there is anything unusual about this, the reason that you felt you couldn't take the statement was because you felt you would be seen as not being impartial or it would be improper for you because you were from the same area, is that correct?
A. Chairman, any, I suppose, specific tasks that I would be assigned, I would always -- I suppose, when I was a garda I would be more inclined to be involved in taking statements and investigating crimes, and if there was somebody I knew or had personal knowledge of outside the job I would seek to --
CHA RMAN It would be embarrassing all round.
A. We11, it would be embarrassing and also, chairman, just 15:56 for independence and impartiality it is better not to be the person who --
CHA RMAN I mean, a barrister wouldn't like to be prosecuting somebody he knew from the same townland or whatever it is. By and large, one would prefer not to be doing it. So there is nothing sinister about that.
662 Q. MR. PONER: No, no. In fact, I am suggesting that the proper thing to do is not to do that if you feel there would be any suggestion of partiality on your behalf.

CHA RMAN You don't have to have a reason of conflict of interest to explain a social embarrassment that might arise, and you're looking at it from a different point of view, partiality. And I am saying that it is perfectly ordinary for people to be embarrassed about it and to say that wouldn't be exactly right.

MR. POVER: Certainly.
CHA RMAN You don't have to find a category of legal objection that might give rise to a judicial review, in other words.

MR. PONER: No. It can simply be that you know someone personally.

CHAL RMAN Embarrassing.
MR. POVER: Yes, and it would be embarrassing. And doesn't it stand to reason --
CHA RMAN I'm sorry, forgive me. Carry on.
663 Q. MR. PONER: Does it not stand to reason that exactly the same criteria would apply in respect of Garda Higgins being asked to take a statement that concerned allegations, serious allegations of misconduct against members of a Garda station in Athlone, gardaí that he may have known well, may have known personally?
A. We11, I had been tasked to do it -- I mean, before being tasked to do it, I advised Superintendent McBrien that I would be unsuitable due to my personal knowledge 15:58 of him. Having been being assigned it then, I assigned it further onwards. I hadn't considered that portion of it, but I had been assigned a task which I was obliged to comply with. The order stood, that I was to
see was this person willing to supply a statement. From my knowledge Garda Higgins didn't know him personally and I assigned him to do the task and he undertook to do it.
664 Q. And you didn't think there was anything unusual or incorrect in asking Garda Higgins to take a statement which would necessarily have dealt with allegations of misconduct by members of Athlone Garda Station, you didn't think there was anything unusual about that?
A. Well, up until the point that, I suppose, I had spoken to Inspecor Minnock on it. And Inspecor Minnock, I got the impression, having spoken to him on the 8th July, that he was of the impression that irregardless of my personal knowledge of Liam McHugh that he shouldn't even have come to me regardless of my personal knowledge of him. I suppose it was at that point that I considered the inappropriateness of somebody assigned to drugs or detective branch being assigned to do it at a11. And that is why I reported back on it. But up to that point --
665 Q. Can I ask --
A. Yes.

666 Q. -- did you understand the reason behind those reservations that Inspecor minnock had?
A. Independence and impartiality. I understand, yes.

667 Q. Yes. I think there was a team from Galway, Inspector Coppinger and two detective sergeants, already investigating issues in Athlone Garda Station, is that fair?
A. There was a Galway investigation unit which I had no knowledge of the scope of.
Q. No, but you were aware it was in existence?
A. Yes, I was aware it was in existence.

669 Q. And again, the reason for the Galway team being brought 16:00 in was for reasons of impartiality, isn't that fair to say?
A. I suppose, yes, Chairman.
Q. So wouldn't it stand to reason that Garda Higgins could have concerns that it wouldn't be appropriate for him to take a statement, that it might be more appropriate for the Galway team to do so?
A. But I had been assigned to do this task. The only people available to me to complete this task are people under my control and I assigned him onwards to do it.
671 Q. But could you see why Garda Higgins might have a concern about your?
A. If Garda Higgins, when I assigned him to do it, explained to me that he wouldn't do it or couldn't do it or didn't want to do it perhaps I would have considered a different route, but that's not what happened. He undertook to do it. But I do see your point.
672 Q. Yes. And you understand that in fact Garda Higgins is saying that is exactly what he did do?
A. I understand that he is saying that.

673 Q. Yes. Can I ask you to look at it is appendix A to your statement, it's page 527. Yes, that is your report which you sent to Superintendent McBrien, isn't that
right?
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. Yes. And I think you say in that, going down just to the end of the statement, I think the very end, you say
your ultimate recommendation is that some other member of sergeant or inspector rank be appointed to complete this task?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I ask why you have suggested sergeant or inspector rank? And in doing so, can I suggest an answer; that
it is because it is inappropriate for a garda to investigate a garda, someone of a higher rank is usually appointed to do so?
A. So I suppose what was in my mind in relation to this, Chairman, was: In the past I had been assigned as a sergeant to carry out inquiries in neighbouring districts and to carry out inquiries. So that is what was in my mind. That perhaps somebody from Mullingar may be assigned to do this role or the sergeant or inspector in mullingar. That is what was in my mind.
Q. Would it be usual that if a garda was being investigated that someone of a higher rank would investigate, a sergeant or inspector, that is why you suggested it?
A. Yeah, I wouldn't have made a recommendation to assign

677 Q. No.
A. Yes. But I was assigned to do it, I explained why I couldn't do it, and I sought the resources that are
available to me to complete the task.
Can I say then, is it not odd that you selected Garda Higgins to take the statement given that he was a garda and he was in the same station as the gardaí against whom the complaints were being made in Garda Lyons' report?
A. The staff and resources $I$ had available to me were only the gardaí under my control. I didn't have access to people outside of the detective branch. These were the people, these were the resources available to me to assign tasks to. I was given a task, I was unsuitable to complete myself and I chose one of my resources to complete the task. That is the order I had received and passed down and in turn reassigned.
679 Q. Unfortunately you haven't recorded the date when you asked Garda Higgins to complete this task, isn't that correct?
A. From recollection, it was on the date $I$ received the correspondence, which is dated the 9th June.
Q. It may or may not be that date then, is that correct?
A. It was either that day or the day after. It was on receipt of that correspondence.

681 Q. I see. So at a very early stage?
A. Yeah. Immediately upon receipt of it.

682 Q. And you received a reminder on the 23rd I think, wasn't 16:03 it?
A. Yes.

683 Q. On the 23rd June, is that right?
A. Yes, Chairman.

684
Q. Why didn't you reply and say, we11, I have tasked Garda Higgins with getting a statement?
A. I suppose I was hoping that the matter would be concluded and I would be able to reply with a conclusion, which would hopefully be the statement or...

685 Q. Can I suggest to you that it was because you mightn't have tasked him to do so?
A. That is not the case, Chairman.

686 Q. Can I suggest to you it's because he may have refused to do so?
A. That is not the case, Chairman.
Q. So I think this letter was written following a discussion you had with Inspecor Minnock, is that correct?
A. It appears so, Chairman, yes.

689 Q. Yes. And I think you had, you had met Inspecor Minnock and you had said that you met him and discussed investigations that were ongoing in Athlone Garda Station, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, Chairman.

690 Q. And I think Inspecor Minnock, is it fair to say, made his view very clear to you, that he thought it wasn't appropriate for members of Gardaí in Athlone Garda Station to investigate themselves in effect, is that
fair to say?
A. I wouldn't say that he made the position very clear to me, Judge, Chairman. I advised him of the task and how I received it and how I was unsuitable and I got the impression from him that even I wasn't suitable to be appointed to the task notwithstanding that I knew Liam McHugh given that it was detective branch. I wouldn't say that he was clear to me, but that was the impression $I$ got, from recollection.
691 Q. And you didn't mention Garda Higgins at all to Inspecor 16:05 Minnock, isn't that correct?
A. No, Chairman.

692 Q. Doesn't that seem odd? If you had tasked him to get a statement and you were updating Inspecor Minnock on what steps were occurring in the investigation, would you not have told him, well, I have Garda Tom Higgins tasked to do it?
A. We11, I had assigned Garda Higgins, I had received a task, I was updating him on the task I had received. This matter didn't come up as to how I was, I suppose, endeavouring to complete it. If it had come up, if he had asked me I would have told him.

693 Q. It certain7y wasn't the case, you're saying that it wasn't the case then that Garda Higgins had simply said, look, I don't feel comfortable doing this, I will get a phone number?
A. No. No, Chairman.

694 Q. No?
A. If that had happened I would have said that, if that
was the case.
695 Q. And does it not seem unusual then that Inspecor Minnock in the very last line of that letter in front of you says:
"I will obtain a mobile number for Liam McHugh if it will assist the investigating teamto arrange a meeting with Mr. McHugh."

Could that not be because he was at a meeting with you and as you understood it you were getting a phone number and you were getting a phone number through Garda Tom Higgins?
A. I have no idea why Inspecor Minnock included the reference to getting a phone number. Perhaps it's a
logical conclusion to his recommendation, that in order to make it happen that he will see could he get a phone number.
Q. Could I suggest another reason? Could I suggest it is because Tom Higgins is correct in what he says, and that is all that he was going to do, was get a phone number? Can I ask you logically would that fit?
A. That's not the case, Chairman

697 Q. But can I ask logically would it fit?
A. No, Chairman.

698 Q. You don't think it would logically fit?
A. No, Chairman. It is a mere coincidence that Inspecor Minnock refers to a phone number. I don't know, I didn't refer to seeking a phone number for Mr. McHugh,

I didn't inform Inspecor Minnock of that and I don't know why he decided to report on that.
699 Q. Can I ask, at this stage, as far as you're concerned, were these still all your tasks to complete, these were your tasks to complete?
A. Task. One task.
Q. One task.
A. Yes.

701
Q. You didn't hand it over to the inspector?
A. No, I did not.

702 Q. Can I refer you to Inspecor Minnock's statement, I think it's page 687, volume 4. And if it could be scrolled down. If you pause there, thank you. Do you see the last paragraph that is on the screen?
A. Yes.

703 Q. Do you see midway through that paragraph:
"Detective Sergeant Curley and I di scussed the report submitted by Garda Ai dan Lyons."
A. Yes.

704 Q. "Detective Sergeant Curley outlined that he was asked to take a statement from Li am McHugh but due to the fact that he knew Li am MkHugh he felt he was not the appropriate person to take the statement."
A. Yes.

705 Q. "I agreed with D/Sergeant Curley. I asked that he ret urn the file to me and l would write on the file to Superintendent McBrien. Having examined the file, l felt the entire matter would best be expl ored as part
of the then Assi stant Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái n's investi gation into Garda Keogh's di scl osure. "

We11, is that not you handing over the file to Inspecor Minnock?
A. No, I didn't return the file to Inspecor Minnock.
Q. No. So he asked you that you would return the file to him and you didn't do it, is that right?
A. I didn't do that. I don't recall him asking me to return the file to him. And I didn't return the file to him.

707 Q. Is he incorrect then in his recollection in the statement?
A. It would appear so, from my recollection. I didn't ask him. I had been assigned a task. I just tasked Garda Higgins with it. It remained with me and I replied to it on the 9th Ju7y.
708 Q. I see.
A. I can't explain that.

709 Q. But didn't Aidan Minnock reply on the 8th July --
A. Yes.

710 Q. -- with the reference to a phone number?
A. Yes.

711 Q. Yes. So, he is mistaken in his statement, Garda Higgins is mistaken in his statement and you are correct, is that what you are telling the Tribunal?
A. I am correct in what $I$ am saying.

712 Q. And Inspecor Minnock is mistaken and Garda Higgins is mistaken?
A. Perhaps Inspector Minnock may be able to elaborate on that aspect of it. I didn't ask Inspecor Minnock -- I didn't return a file to Inspecor Minnock, I don't recall being asked to return a file to Inspecor Minnock. And if I was, the task as assigned still remained with me. It was tasked to me by Superintendent McBrien and it remained with me. And I replied to Superintendent McBrien. If it was a case that --
And you are saying Inspecor Minnock is mistaken when he says you handed him the file?
A. Yes, yes. If Inspecor Minnock said to me don't finish the task or whatever, I would have returned the file, wrote back on it. It was assigned to me from Superintendent McBrien, and that's the person I assigned it -- replied it back to.

714 Q. Were you aware that Inspecor Minnock had replied on the 8th July when you made your reply on the 9th?
A. No, I wasn't aware of Inspector Minnock's report of the 8th.
715 Q. I think, inspector, Superintendent McBrien agreed ultimately with Inspecor Minnock and she says with you, that someone outside Ath1one should approach Mr. McHugh to take a statement. She does that in her letter of the 9th July. That is page 3977. Just a record, I Minnock was to arrange a meeting, is it fair to say, as he understood it between Inspector Coppinger of the Galway team with Liam McHugh and that is according to

Superintendent McBrien?
A. I don't know anything about that. I replied on the 9th July and I had no more dealings with the matter.
Do you see the letter there in front of you? Do you see the, $I$ think it's the second last paragraph, the larger paragraph:
"I have consi dered and agree with I nspecor M nnock and Det ective Sergeant Curley's view that in the Iight of your investigation it might be more appropriate and i mpartial if someone fromoutside this di strict approached Mr. McHugh to ascertain if he is willing to make a statement."
A. Yes, I see that, yes.

717 Q. And it says Inspector Coppinger will be contacted by Inspecor Minnock and Inspecor Minnock will arrange such a meeting?
A. Yes.

718 Q. So, does it seem that Superintendent McBrien is of the view that it's Inspecor Minnock's task then?
A. Well, I reported back with my recommendation that some other sergeant and inspector do it. And it appears that Inspecor Minnock got word of a report of a similar matter the day before.
719 Q. Do you still think Inspecor Minnock was mistaken in views that you handed him over the file?
A. I did not hand over him the view. I didn't give him the file. I wrote back on the file to Superintendent McBrien on the 9th July and Superintendent McBrien
received it following my writing over, because she acknowledges here recommendations of Detective Sergeant Curley.
I see it. I know she has received your reply of the 9th July. Even leaving aside the issue of whether he physically took over any file, did he take over the task?
A. No. No. The task still stood as directed to me from Superintendent McBrien.
721 Q.
I think we are all crystal clear that there is no report from Garda Higgins in relation to taking a statement from Mr. McHugh. And I have to suggest to you that is because he didn't try and take one, he was simply getting a mobile phone number?
A. My report is exactly what, contains exactly the
interaction that he informed me that he had with Liam McHugh.
Can I deal with one final issue? I think you said in the course of your evidence today and I suppose it seemed a little unusual to me that you recall six years on that when you typed your report on the 9th July Garda Higgins stood by and watched you do that and then you read out one paragraph of that report and asked him if he thought that was correct. Is that a fair summary of your evidence?
A. I wouldn't say he stood by and watched me type it. I typed the report in one room --
CHA RMAN In one room. He was in another room. we have to be accurate as far as we can. You called him
over --
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN -- and read the paragraph over to him is my recollection?
A. Yes. and he agreed it was accurate.
Q. MR. POVER: And I think you were asked why you didn't record that in your statement to the Tribunal and I think your answer was effectively because you didn't think it was important, isn't that right?
A. I reported on the matter, it's in my report. Maybe I am misunderstanding the question.
Q. I will ask the question again. So you didn't record the fact that you checked what you had written with Garda Higgins, you didn't record that fact in your statement, and when asked why you didn't record it in your statement to the Tribunal you said the reason was because you didn't fee1 it was important; isn't that right?
A. But isn't it obvious from my report that I would have to have checked with what Garda Higgins to me for me to write the report? That I didn't -- sorry, maybe I am misunderstanding you.

727 Q. Can I ask the question again? Do you accept that it is
your evidence to the Tribunal that you gave earlier, the reason you didn't record the fact, as you say it, that you checked your report of the 9th July with Garda Higgins, the reason you didn't record that fact and you did this checking exercise with Garda Higgins in your statement, was because you didn't fee1 that checking exercise was important?
A. Well, I don't recall using the word important. But I didn't, I suppose, assume that it was necessary to go into that level of detail that I had recorded that second paragraph and read it over to him and agreed it was correct.
Q. So it is fair to say it is not something you gave any great weight to?
A. My report was the interaction of what he told me.

729 Q. I have to suggest to you it is odd that all these years later you seem to remember very clearly that you checked it with Garda Higgins. I suggest to you that didn't happen, you didn't check it with Garda Higgins?
A. It did happen. I recall it quite clearly. I was standing at the doorway of my office and I read it over to him and he agreed it was an accurate reflection of the interaction.
730 Q. That is not recorded in your statement to the Tribunal?
A. No. But it is what happened.

MR. POVER: Thank you very much.
CHAN RMAN Thanks very much. Who else? Yes Mr. Murphy.

## FOLLOVS

MR. MRPHY: Just dealing with the last questions, please, inspector. When did you first become aware of the fact that Sergeant Higgins was giving a version of events which collided with yours?
A. On receiving his statement.
A. Sometime before Christmas I saw it, it same in the disclosure.
Q. When did you receive his statement?

733 Q. Prior to that date did you have any idea there was a dispute between you and he in relation to this matter?
A. No, Chairman.

734 Q. In terms of the approach towards the document, at page 527, please, if that can be placed on the screen, could 16:16 I just draw your attention please to the first sentence:
"I note the recei pt of the attached correspondence."
A. Yes, Chairman.

735 Q. Could you indicate to the Tribunal what that correspondence would have entailed? This is the letter to Superintendent McBrien.
A. It was the first direction of Superintendent McBrien to record to see was Liam McHugh willing to make a statement, which was page 524. And the second one, correspondence, piece of correspondence is page 525 which is the reminder.

CHA RMAN was that the same day?
A. No.
Q. CHA RMAN The first one, 9th July was --
A. So I am replying on the 9th July, when I say "I note recei pt of attached correspondence" I am referring to the initial request to --
Q. CHA RMAN And then we have the 23rd?
A. And the reminder and the copy of the report from Garda Aidan Lyons.
MR. MRPHY: So is it fair to say that is the trail of al1 relevant correspondence of the task you have been asked to carry out?
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. And then secondly, in terms of this document, I think the position is, can you agree with me that you indicate there in that report, which is to
Superintendent McBrien, the task that you gave to Garda Higgins?
A. Yes, Chairman.
Q. And is it your evidence that that task is as correctly described in the course of paragraph 2 of that letter?
A. Yes.
Q. And insofar as the subsequent correspondence that you have been shown from Superintendent McBrien is concerned, it was referred to in recent questioning, it's clear that she received your report and replicated 16:18 this detail in the report that went up?
A. Yes, Chairman.

742 Q. And in terms of your evidence concerning the way in which you prepared this statement, are you crystal
clear that what you did is as you described in your evidence to date?
A. Yes, Chairman.

743 Q. And in terms of the statement that has come in from Garda Higgins, it was dated 5th December 2019, were you 16:18 aware at any stage of any controversy between you and he in relation to these matters?
A. No, Chairman.

744 Q.
Now in terms of the other issue in the case, can $I$ very briefly move back to more specific areas?

CHA RMAN Yes.
745 Q. MR. MRPHY: First of a11, in relation to issue number 3. This relates to your meetings with Ms. O'Neill and the attempt to obtain a statement or to enquire whether she would make a statement. Can I ask you to confirm to the Tribunal was there only one attempt by you to ask Ms. O'Neill to make a statement?
A. Yes, Chairman.

746 Q. It has been suggested elsewhere that perhaps there were many, are those suggestions true or false?
A. False, regarding me anyway. I on7y approached her once.

CHA RMAR I thought Ms. O'Neill said there was on7y one.
MR. MRPH: I thought there was an accusation at an earlier stage --

CHA RMAN Garda Keogh thought there were other ones. Correct me if I am wrong. I see Mr. Kelly is looking a bit puzzled and it is going back a bit, but I thought

Ms. O'Neill confirmed there was only one visit -MR. MRPHY: That's correct.

CHA RMAN -- in relation to the Garda Keogh aspect of the matter.
MR. MRPHY: Yes.
CHA RMAN Subject to checking, Mr. Kelly, and I will be delighted to be put wrong but that is my understanding.
MR. KELLY: So far as I am concerned I cross-examined on the basis it was one.
CHA RMAN Yes. But it had been suggested, Mr. Kelly, I am sorry to be tedious, I think Garda Keogh had suggested or believed that there had been a number of attempts to persuade Olivia O'Neill to make a statement criticising him, so to speak. But that is not important. We're all agreed there was one. I am just reassuring --
MR. KELLY: Exactly. I don't want to have a postmortem. We are agreed that there was one. There may also well have been one, she had mentioned to him
that someone had approached her to make a statement.
CHAI RMAN Thanks very much.
MR. MRPHY: Thank you.
CHA RMAN I think we're all clear.
MR. MRPHY: Thank you, Chairman.
747 Q. Could I ask you please to be shown page 519. This is a document 30/5/2014 --
A. Yes.

748 Q. -- which has been opened to you previously.
A. Yes.
Q. And it refers to that particular meeting?
A. Yes.

750 Q. First of a11, can $I$ just ask you to confirm, in page 519, in the second paragraph it is recorded as follows: 16:21
"She - - "

That is Ms. O'Neill.
"-- repl ied that she di dn't wi sh to make any statement and that she now coul dn't really remember what was said in the Garda station that ni ght at all."
A. Yes.

751 Q. Are you sure that those are the words she spoke to you?
A. That is exactly what she said, Chairman. I wrote that report. Returned to the station and replied by -CHAN RMAN 16:28 --
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN -- as it happened, as opposed to $15: 15$ when you met her.

752 Q. MR. MRPHY: Just a final question on issue number 3. Again it has been suggested in the course of her evidence, by Ms. O'Neill, that perhaps the atmosphere wasn't very nice and that your attitude was not very nice towards her. Can you indicate to the Chairman in what demeanour you approached Ms. O'Neill?
A. Chairman, most of my career I have been -- 15 years out of the 24 years I have been a detective and the vast
majority of the time as a detective is taking statements and interviewing witnesses. So my objective going out to Ms. O'Neill was to record a statement from her and in doing so being courteous and polite and establishing a rapport and initial positive interaction was my approach.

CHA RMAN But she didn't want to make a statement. I mean the situation was very clear. Ms. O'Neill did not want to get involved in making a statement.
A. Yes, Chairman.

CHA RMAN That was pretty clear and she made that pretty clear at early stage.
753 Q. MR. MRPHY: Moving on to issue number 9, a number of brief questions on that. That relates to the robbery incident on the 13/9/2015. I think the position in evidence is that Garda A saw the alleged victim on the 14/9/2015, is that correct?
A. Yes, Chairman.

754 Q. I think we also know from document NK page 232, please -- scroll down please. If we go back. Pausing
there. Just in the middle of that page, if you hold it there, thank you, that is Garda Keogh's note that was collated as part of the work of the Tribunal and he confirms, I think you will agree, that he returned to work on the 19/9/2015?
A. Yes.

755 Q. And he had been effectively off duty then from the 30th?

CHA RMAN He had rest days and leave coming up. There
was a gap of about six days.
A. on the initial report he submitted he reports himself on page 512, Garda Keogh is going into rest days and has two days annual leave booked and is next due to work on the 19/9. That was the report he submitted on the 13/9.
And then if I move forward briefly to the question of commendations in connection with matters you have given evidence concerning the fact that there were 23 members involved in the investigation and that 11 were singled out for praise?
A. Well, I wouldn't even say 23 , I would say it was far more than 23. There was 23 people named across both Pulse records but I know anecdotally from members and from my recollection and from statements that there were people who were involved in the investigation who weren't included on the Pulse incidents, which is not unusual either. But I didn't rely on the criteria of who was named on Pulse when selecting people for commendations or recommendations as per the EPW1.
758 Q. Again I ask you in relation to this question, is it your case that there was no intent on your part to target or hurt or damage Garda Keogh by what you did in connection with those recommendations?
A. Certainly, Chairman, $I$ have never had an adverse interaction in any way, shape or form with Garda Keogh.

And I was in Athlone for probably the entirety of the time that he was there.
CHA RMAN okay.
A. I never had any adverse interaction with him.

CHA RMAN very good. Thank you very much.
MR MRPH: Finally, it's been suggested by my
friend's question, a number of them, that there is some absolute rule that one member of An Garda Síochána cannot investigate another member of An Garda Síochána in the same station. I have to suggest to you that that is not correct and that there is not such absolute rule laid down in the protocol that you're aware of.
A. I can't recall or refer to a particular rule, but --

760 Q. No. Would you agree with me that in fact the language used in all of the correspondence by you and by others when it came to looking at this issue is that it was prudent perhaps not to proceed because of the particular circumstances of the case?
A. Yes. But when you use the word investigate, maybe investigating is the person who is dictating how the
whole investigation is going as opposed to a person that is assigned a particular task within the investigation. And in the investigations $I$ have been involved in, in the past guards have been assigned to assist in investigation into probably garda wrongdoing in relation to other guards. That has happened.
761 Q. Would you agree there is no absolute rule precluding that type of minimal role from taking place?
A. No, that has happened in the past.

762 Q. And would you also agree with me that in your evidence to date, in this case, what you have indicated are reasons such as personal connection, local townland, personal association, these are the words which you use in the correspondence which you wrote leading to your recommendation that others should look into these matters?
A. Yes, Chairman.

MR. MRPHY: Thank you very much.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Mr. Marrinan?
MR. MARRI NAN Nothing arising.
MS. O ROURKE: I wonder, Chairman --
CHA RMAN Sorry, Ms. O'Rourke.
MG. O ROURKE: I wonder if I could clarify one particular matter that arose out of Mr. O'Brien's cross-examination.
CHA RMAN of course.

## I NSPECTOR CURLEY WAS THEN CROSS- EXAM NED BY

ME. O ROURKE, AS FOLLOVE:
763 Q. MG. O ROURKE: Inspector Curley Mit was put to you on behalf of Mr. O'Brien that the report that you received from --
CHA RMAN Could you speak a tiny bit more directly in the microphone?
ME. O ROURKE: Apologies.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
764 Q. MS. O ROURKE: It has been put to you on behalf of Garda Keogh that the report that Garda Lyons had
submitted to you was fabricated and I think this issue arose on Day 100 of Garda Keogh's evidence, when he said that he had a suspicion or a belief that the conversation between Garda Lyons and Mr. McHugh didn't take place. At the same time I think Garda Keogh indicated that Garda Lyons was a clean pair of hands. But I want to put to you, arising out of the course of events Garda Lyons submitted this report to you on the 2nd June and I put it to you that it was submitted in good faith, do you accept that that is so? described. So Superintendent McBrien comes back on the 9th June to say please arrange to take a statement. And if I have this sequence correctly, you say that your evidence is that you ordered, tasked Garda Higgins
with the job, do this, showing him the statement so everybody knew what was required. And we know there is a big difference between his understanding and his evidence of what he had said and what he intended to do and so on. But nothing happens. And the next thing, the 23 rd June you get a reminder. Had you spoken to him as you can recall in the meantime? Had you said how are things going? Have you met -- or what?
A. No, Chairman, I didn't speak with him in relation to that matter until $I$ got the reminder.
766 Q. CHA RMAN Until?
A. Until I got the reminder on the 23rd June.

767 Q. CHA RMAN Yes. On the 23rd?
A. Yes, Chairman.

768 Q. CHA RMAN okay. So you then speak to him on the 23rd and he says, look, I didn't see him or whatever it was, is that right?
A. Yes.

769 Q. CHA RMAN So now, eventually you say on the 9th July Garda Higgins comes back, he reports to you what has happened as you recall and you write it down, type it in the station, call him, and get him to check and so on. But the day before you had a meeting with Inspecor Minnock, who is your line manager, so to speak.
A. Yes.

770 Q. CHAN RMAN And he has been away, is that right? So he needed to be filled in and briefed on what is going on?
A. Yes. Everything. A11 the current investigations and in the main the murder investigation.

771 Q. CHA RMAN And was the murder the big thing at the time?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN So clearly he is going to be -- and possibly a suspect absconding?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN And so, there is a lot of things. It's not everything to do with Garda Keogh and the Liam McHugh incident?
A. I suppose on the Liam McHugh incident, it was a fairly straightforward matter really. It was an assignment to see was he willing to make a statement. I tasked it out.
CHAN RMAN Yes. I understand. So that is not something -- you have done what you needed to do in that regard and you are not burdening him or reporting him or filling him in with any of that stuff about what is happening with Liam McHugh. Is that correct?
A. Bring him up to speed on the fact that it was assigned to me and I did advise him that I was unsuitable to do it.

775 Q. CHAN RMAN But there is a conversation about it, because he writes off to the superintendent on the 8 th, isn't that right?
A. That's right.

CHA RMAN On the day of this thing he writes off. And as it happens, and here's what I want to ask you, it's curious that he mentions the mobile phone number as it happens?
A. Yes. CHA RMAN That is what I was going to ask you really, was there talk about a phone or how to contact Liam McHugh or anything of that kind?
A. No. Chairman. And I suppose what I took from our meeting was more so that when I outlined to him that I wasn't suitable for the task to be assigned to me because I knew him, I got the impression from him in actual fact I don't think it's suitable for detective branch at all to be assigned that particular task.

779 Q. CHAN RMAN Or Ath1one to be looking after it at a11?
A. I suppose specifically maybe detective branch I got from him.
Q. CHAL RMAN okay.
A. That was the impression I got from him. The exact word he used I don't know.

781 Q. CHA RMAN So there was no conversation, you didn't have any conversation about mobile phones or numbers of that kind?
A. No.

782 Q. CHAN RMAN But this was presumably something that occurred to him after, not knowing that actually this was going to be ultimately years later we were going to
be discussing this in a major way?
A. Yes Chairman.

CHAN RMAN okay. Does anybody want to ask anything arising out of my endeavours to get things clear in my own head? Okay. Thank you very much. Very good. Thank you very much indeed, inspector.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

CHA RMAK Mr. Murphy, you are looking as if you want to say something.
MR. MRPH: Just for clarification, can I ask whether it is intended to proceed with the list as advertised to today's date tomorrow?
MR. MARI NAN Yes, that is the intention.
CHAN RMAN why wouldn't we, Mr. Murphy?
ME. MtGRATH I think in fairness to Mr. Murphy there was some rejigging of the list yesterday.
CHAN RMAN Thanks very much.
M. MtGRATH Certainly the next person on the list is

Detective Sergeant Yvonne Martin and she will be the first witness in the morning and thereafter I think we will be assuming the original list as circulated before Christmas, but I can e-mail all counsel in the next hour.

CHA RMAN And keep in touch with Ms. McGrath.
Ms. McGrath, is it appropriate if I give people an indication of the rough schedule of where we are going, is that appropriate? what we have in mind, we will do
weeks on and a week off and we are anticipating ending the hearings on the 6th March. We will want to give an opportunity to people to make submissions and we are conscious of the fact that we would try to give some three weeks perhaps with an opportunity for a very brief one-day sitting at which people could make oral submissions, but we would expect written submissions from relevant parties. Assume you that you will have from let's say the first week, the end of the first week of March, if it trickles on a little bit later we11 and good, but we would expect around mid April, is that right, around mid April will be the deadline for receipt of submissions and we would be planning sometime around the 20th Apri1, perhaps, is that right --
ME. MEGRATH Yes, Chairman.
CHA RMAN -- around that, for entertaining
submissions. But they will be very brief, because they will be oral and everybody will have them in writing. So the only thing we will be needing is some comments
in response to others. And then the intention will be to publish the report in June.

MR. MRPH: Thank you very much, Chairman. CHA RMAN Thanks very much.
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| 8618 [1] - 95:23 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:23, 197:29, } \\ & \text { 198:10, 198:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { VE }_{[1]}-3: 14$ | $\text { AGSI }_{[1]}-4: 1$ | allegedly [3] |
| 8619 [1] - 95:1 | $-211: 5$ |  | dopt [1] - 131:4 | ahead [3] - | 46:12, 100:10 |
| 8690 [1] - 109:15 | absence [2] - | 199:22 accusation [1] - | advance [1] | 182:2 | 108:20 |
| 88 [1] - 5:15 | $49: 13,179: 11$ |  | 62:24 | $\text { AIDAN }_{[7]}-3: 9$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { alleging [3] } \\ 15: 22,28: 19 \end{gathered}$ |
| 8th [18] - 123:8, | absent [1] - | accused [2] - | adverse [2] 206:28, 207:4 | $4: 3,5: 3,6: 14$ | $40: 11$ |
| 123:27, 124:29, | 59:12absolute [3] - | 41:4, 55:28 acknowledge | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 206:28, 207:4 } \\ \text { advertised [1] - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 3,5: 3,6: 14 \\ & 35: 20,52: 7 \end{aligned}$ | allow [6] - 31:20, |
| 126:9, 126:11, |  |  | $213: 13$ | 59:21 | 31:22, 57:12 |
| 126:13, 127:14, | 207:8, 207:11, | acknowledge [1] - 147:13 |  | Aidan [22] - 6:7, | 103:11, 105:15 |
| 127:16, 128:3, | $207: 27$ <br> absolutely $[7]$ | acknowledged | $94: 12,100: 1$ | 29:7, 66:1, 71:18, | 121:10 |
| 172:22, 172:27, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [1] - 102:12 } \\ & \text { acknowledges } \end{aligned}$ | advise [3] | 90:20, 111:12, | allowed [2] - |
| 173:26, 186:12, | 21:9, 21:12, |  | $159: 2,167: 1$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:18, 134:9 } \\ & \text { alter [1] - 59:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 190:15, 194:20, | 54:20, 55:14, | [1] - 197:2 <br> acknowledging | 159:2, 167:19 211:20 | 115:23, 115:25, |  |
| 195:18, 195:20, | $55: 24,57: 24$ |  | advised ${ }^{18}$ | $122: 4,124: 8$ | ambiguity [1] |
| 211:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:2 } \\ & \text { absurd [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 175:8 | $68: 14,114: 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:10, 125:16, } \\ & \text { 128:5, 175:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30:25 } \\ & \text { amend [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32:7, 32:9 | 95:15 | 132:22, 138:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 181:18, 182:2, } \\ & \text { 190:14, 193:19, } \end{aligned}$ | 50:29 |
|  | 10:5, 19:21 | ACTING [2]$3: 7,3: 13$ |  |  |  |
| 9 [3]-3 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 151:20, 159:5, } \\ & 161: 13,161: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 194:20, 201:8 | 159:27, 176:2 |
| 132:18, 205:13 | $20: 25,24: 27$ | $3: 7,3: 13$ acting [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161:13, 161:20, } \\ & \text { 164:16, 169:7, } \end{aligned}$ | Air [1] - 141:14 airbrushed [1] - | AN [1] - 3:2 |
| 9/7/14 [1] - 87:9 | $36: 23,37: 24,$ | $113: 18,122: 7$ | 169:12, 170:17, |  | AND [2] - 3:15, |
| 91[1]-5:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 25,40: 28, \\ & 45: 11,47: 23, \end{aligned}$ | action [4]-18:3, | 171:17, 180:17, | $144: 22$ <br> AISLING [1] - | 104:1 - 1 |
| 9:00pm [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 11,47: 23 \\ & 49: 3,66: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 8,146: 27 \\ & 147: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 185:24, 191:3 |  | and.. [1]-27:4 <br> ANDREW [1] - |
| 10:22, 12:8, |  |  | affect [1] - 60:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AISLING [1] - } \\ & 3: 21 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 27:22 | 171:7, 171:20, | 147:3 |  | Aisling [1] - | 4:2 |
| 9:19am [2] | 171:22, 177:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:25, 152:11, } \\ & \text { 152:13, 152:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:17 } \\ & \text { afford [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:12 } \\ & \text { ALAN }[2]-3: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { anecdotally [1] - } \\ & \text { 206:17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 97:29, 98:6 | 177.12, 177.26, |  |  |  |  |
| 9th [44]-71:12, | 209:11 | 152:27, 153:7, | 103:10, 105:13 | 3:13 | anguish [1] - |


| 154:8 | - 58:27, 158:1, | 109:6 | 154:25, 155:1, | assignments [1] | 57:14, 57:20, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNE [2] - 3:8, } \\ & 3 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $158: 11$ | arose [8] - 46:4, | 155:4 | $-122: 25$ | 60:19, 65:6, 65:7, <br> 67:8, 68:19, 69.7 |
| annual [1] | $37: 4,80: 20$ | 79:17, 86:9, | $46: 9,46: 10$ | 71:7, 101:20, | $71: 13,72: 24$ |
| $206: 7$ | $102: 21$ | $130: 6,208: 15$ | $46: 13,46: 19$ | 123:23, 127:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 13,87: 14, \\ & 90: 24.92: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| answer [16] - | appreciative [1] | 209:2 | assembled [1] - | 128:15, 134:10, | $90: 24,92: 11$ |
| 22:5, 27:3, 28:20, | - 80:26 | ARRAN [1] - 4:9 | 8:27 | 192:7, 207:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:14, 93:19, } \\ & 94: 23.95: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| 34:19, 76:23, | approach [7] <br> 51:23, 79:13, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { arrange }[7]- \\ 114: 21.120: 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { asserting [1] - } \\ & \text { 20:21 } \end{aligned}$ | assistance [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 23,95: 26, \\ & 97: 23,97: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| 107:22, 108:3, | 99:6, 141:11, | 123:23, 192:7, | assertion [1] - | 134:19, 134:20, | 98:9, 99:1, 102:6, |
| 155:12, 155:15, | 195:23, 200:14, | 195:27, 196:16, | 14:1 | 143:6 | 102:19, 103:17, |
| 155:16, 161:27, | 205:6 | 209:27 | asserts [1] - | Assistant [2] - | 106:2, 109:19, |
| 170:2, 188:10, | approached [11] | arranging [1] - | 144:4 | 155:23, 194:1 | 110:2, 113:29, |
| $198: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & -13: 10,16: 25 \\ & 20: 19,27: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $150: 23$ | assessment [4] | ASSISTANT [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:14, 124:2, } \\ & \text { 124:17, 130:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $58: 24$ <br> answers [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 12,58: 3 \\ & 65: 28,196: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 167:29 | 37:9, 45:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 18,3: 20 \\ & \text { assisted }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:25, 136:20, } \\ & \text { 142:18, 154:9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 140:7 | 202:21, 203:21, | 104:25, 104:29 | 116:26, 121:17, | 62:20 | 154:11, 155:26, 155:28, 157:15. |
| ${ }_{3}$ ANTHONY $^{\text {[1] - }}$ | 204:27 | arrested [1] - | 121:21, 165:21, | assisting [15] - | $\begin{aligned} & 155: 28,157: 15, \\ & 157: 17.159: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3:6 <br> anticipating [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { approaching [2] } \\ & -24: 23,77: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:26 } \\ & \text { arrests } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 188:25, 189:11 } \\ \text { assigned [75] - } \end{array}$ | 145:29, 148:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 157:17, 159:10, } \\ & \text { 173:23, 181:26, } \end{aligned}$ |
| - 214:1 | appropriate [30] | 132:20, 132:22, | 6:26, 7:2, 7:6, | 149:9, 149:14, | 182:6, 182:9, |
| anxious [5] - | - 30:23, 34:29, | 133:1, 153:11, | 8:14, 9:2, 52:27, | 149:18, 150:11, | 183:1, 185:21, |
| 119:25, 122:14, | 38:17, 38:23, | 153:12 | 53:1, 58:18, 79:2, | 150:17, 150:27, | 186:8, 186:28, |
| 132:6, 132:11, | 49:18, 50:22, | arrive [2]-86:2, | 99:10, 105:9, | 151:4, 151:7, | 190:23, 190:28, |
| 132:13 | 58:27, 66:22, | 125:12 | 114:6, 114:27, | 151:28, 152:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 195:23, 207:1, } \\ & 212: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| anyway [6] - | 67:7, 67:23, | articles [1] | 115:10, 115:14, | 152:26 | 212:17 |
| $56: 19,123: 29$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 20,72: 23, \\ & 75 \cdot 4 \quad 79 \cdot 7=80 \end{aligned}$ | 152:20 | 116:13, 116:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { assists [1] - } \\ & 153: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 3:32 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:8, 127:12, } \\ & \text { 127:26, 202:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $82: 2,82: 4,83: 4$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AS [15] - 6:1, } \\ 6: 15,35: 21,5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:29, 117:13, } \\ & \text { 117:14, 117:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 153:13 associate | atmosphere [1] |
| apologies [2] - | 89:4, 90:15, | 64:27, 77:3, | 118:6, 119:3, | 147:24 | - 204:24 |
| 51:25, 208:26 | 100:11, 123:20, | 78:12, 88:5 | 119:6, 120:15, | associated [2] - | attach [2] - $18: 15.140: 20$ |
| appear [16] - | 125:14, 187:10, | 91:25, 104:1 | 121:8, 121:13, | 67:11, 124:16 | $18: 15,140: 20$ |
| 29:19, 35:9, | 187:11, 190:28, | 162:8, 181:16, | 122:25, 124:22, | association [2] - | attached [18] - |
| $41: 26,52: 2,52: 3$ | 193:24, 196:10 $213: 27.213: 29$ | 200:1, 208:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:25, 124:27, } \\ & 125: 1,125: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 123:10, } 208: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:20, 7:9, 29:7, } \\ & \text { 50:17, 65:8, 65:9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 64: 18,68: 22, \\ & 78: 3,87: 28, \end{aligned}$ | 213:27, 213:29 <br> April [3] - | 209:17 <br> ascertain [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:1, 125:3, } \\ & \text { 125:6, 125:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { assume [4] - } \\ \text { 101:5, 101:10, } \end{gathered}$ | 71:20, 96:16, |
| 87:29, 145:21, | 214:11, 214:12, | 80:3, 114:20, | 134:12, 137:23, | 199:9, 214:8 | 109:23, 128:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 145: 24,147: 2 \\ & 180: 8,180: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 214:14 } \\ & \text { area [2] - 184:3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:8, 181:26, } \\ & 196: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:2, 145:12, } \\ & \text { 145:18, 146:11 } \end{aligned}$ | assuming [3] 55.26, 109-5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:1, 135:8, } \\ & \text { 135:12, 157:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 194:14 <br> appeared [6] | $184: 11$ <br> areas [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ascertaining }{ }_{[1]} \\ & -79: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 147: 1,148: 12 \\ & 150: 20,154: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 213:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 160: 19,175: 6 \\ & 200: 19,201: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 98:28, 99:2, | 202:10 | Ashbourne [1] - | 156:10, 164:23, | 149:5 | attackers [1] - |
| 99:25, 103:12, | arise [3]-74:2, | 6:26 | 165:12, 171:12, | assured [1] - | 137:27 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 109:2, 150:28 } \\ \text { appendix }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74: 12,185: 3 \\ \text { arisen [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aside }[2] \text { - } \\ & \text { 111:13, } 197: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 171:15, 174:21, } \\ & \text { 184:14, 185:26, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 7 \\ & \text { AT [1] }-214: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { attempt [2]- } \\ \text { 202:14, 202:16 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 187:27 <br> applied [1] | $74: 14$ <br> arises | aspect [2] - <br> 195.2, 203.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 185:28, 186:3, } \\ & \text { 186:17, 186:18, } \end{aligned}$ | Athlone [86] $7 \cdot 1,7 \cdot 13,7 \cdot 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { attempted }[1] \text { - } \\ & 40: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { applied [1] - } \\ & \text { 134:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $35: 12,35: 15$ | 195:2, 203:3 aspects [3]- | 187:13, 187:15, <br> 187:18, 188:15 | $8: 13,8: 24,9: 21,$ | attempting [2] 51:15, 63:17 |
| apply [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 17,62: 26, \\ & 91: 9,125: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 124:12, 173:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:18, 188:15, } \\ & \text { 188:19, 188:28, } \end{aligned}$ | 10:10, 10:28, | 51:15, 63:17 attempts [2] - |
| 185:18 appointed [14] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 91:9, 125:16, } \\ & \text { 142:29, 182:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 173:13 <br> assailants [1] | 191:18, 194:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:29, 11:5, } \\ & \text { 11:25, 12:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $116: 8,203: 14$ |
| 51:23, 92:5, | arising [12] - | 179:12 | 195:5, 195:14, | 15:20, 19:25, | attend [1] - |
| 92:12, 118:21, | 63:20, 114:24, | assault [16] | 195:16, 207:22, | $23: 12,25: 14$ | 133:1 |
| 123:18, 130:29, | $115: 7,118: 15$, $119: 13,136: 17$ | 39:17, 39:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 207:24, 211:19, } \\ & \text { 212:13. 212:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $27: 23,29: 9$ | attended [1] - |
| 138:28, 155:29, | 119:13, 136:17, | $46: 3,46: 7,46: 11$ | 212:13, 212:16 <br> assigning [1] - | $29: 18,32: 3$ | 154:17 |
| 166:12, 177:18, 183:9, 188:6, | 162:27, 208:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 10,106: 9 \\ & 106: 13,106: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $175: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 10,41: 27, \\ & 42: 12,42: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 94:15, 98:12, |
| $188: 13,191: 6$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 209:7, 213:4 } \\ \text { armed [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | 106:27, 107:13, 108:18, 108:19. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { assignment [1] - } \\ & \text { 211:11 } \end{aligned}$ | 52:18, 52:29, | 116:11, 140:26, 141:4, 142:12, |



| 57:1, 57:11, 59:9, | CHAIRMAN ${ }_{\text {[266] }}$ | 127:3, 127:6, | 213:3, 213:10, | 179:15, 180:28, | check [6] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75:26, 78:29, | - 5:24, 6:4, 6:8, | 127:8, 127:10, | 213:16, 213:19, | 181:3, 181:5, | 94:28, 95:3, |
| 91:2, 100:20, | 6:17, 20:28, 21:4, | 127:15, 127:18, | 213:26, 214:17, | 181:21, 181:24, | 95:12, 150:16, |
| 100:21, 102:24, | 21:7, 21:11, | 127:21, 127:26, | 214:24 | 181:28, 182:1, | 199:19, 210:22 |
| 109:5, 129:27, | 33:15, 34:24, | 128:1, 128:19, | chairman [1] - | 183:2, 183:29, | checked [8] - |
| 129:29, 130:8, | 34:27, 35:6, 35:8, | 128:29, 133:4, | 145:2 | 184:4, 184:13, | 37:12, 37:15, |
| 133:4, 133:6, | 35:10, 35:14, | 133:6, 145:1, | Chairman [165] - | 184:20, 187:8, | 53:21, 95:7, |
| 135:2, 165:23, | 36:16, 36:24, | 145:7, 146:2, | 6:6, 6:22, 22:15, | 188:2, 188:15, | 198:19, 198:26, |
| 169:15, 177:5, | 37:1, 37:6, 52:1, | 146:4, 146:7, | 36:23, 37:19, | 189:29, 190:9, | 199:3, 199:18 |
| 177:10, 177:13, | 52:5, 53:3, 53:7, | 146:9, 146:13, | 38:12, 38:25, | 190:12, 190:16, | checking [5] |
| 182:7, 190:9, | 53:19, 53:21, | 146:16, 146:26, | 40:28, 43:2, | 190:20, 190:25, | 95:9, 95:10, |
| 190:12, 191:23, | 54:12, 54:20, | 147:5, 147:8, | 51:25, 52:14, | 191:3, 191:12, | 199:5, 199:6, |
| 191:24, 192:1, | 54:22, 54:25, | 147:11, 147:13, | 53:6, 64:17, | 191:27, 192:23, | 203:6 |
| 192:23, 195:8, | 54:29, 55:6, | 147:19, 147:24, | 64:23, 64:29, | 192:25, 192:27, | checks [2] - |
| 202:9, 206:25, | 55:10, 55:13, | 147:28, 148:2, | 66:14, 78:1, 78:3, | 200:13, 200:20, | 95:13, 95:16 |
| $207: 18,208: 2$ | 55:15, 55:19, | 148:5, 148:8, | $82: 19,87: 5$ | 201:12, 201:18 | Chief [12] - |
| cases [2] - | 55:25, 56:11, | 148:10, 148:13, | 87:27, 90:29, | 201:27, 202:3, | 21:29, 30:9, 61:6, |
| 39:16, 44:15 | $56: 25,56: 27$ | 148:16, 148:19, | 92:2, 93:24, | 202:8, 202:18, | $98: 8,98: 22$ |
| casino [2] - | 57:4, 57:7, 57:16, <br> $57 \cdot 23,59 \cdot 4,59 \cdot 6$ | 148:21, 148:24, | 94:20, 94:24, | 203:25, 204:16, | 100:5, 112:29, |
| $\begin{gathered} 13: 13,28: 16 \\ \text { casting }[1]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 23,59: 4,59: 6, \\ & 62: 25,62: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:4, 149:8, } \\ & \text { 149:12, 149:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 26,95: 27, \\ & 96: 6,96: 12,97: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 204:26, 204:28 } \\ & \text { 205:10, 205:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 151:16, 169:23, } \\ & \text { 169:26, 170:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 25:17 | 63:19, 63:23, | 149:20, 149:22, | 97:17, 97:20, | 206:28, 208:8, | 170:6 |
| Castle [3] - | 63:28, 64:3, 64:9, | 149:24, 149:26, | 101:15, 106:4, | 208:12, 209:11, | CHIEF [14] - $3: 3$, |
| 13:14, 28:15, | 64:16, 64:21, | 149:28, 150:1, | 111:7, 111:11, | $210: 9,210: 14$ | $3: 3,3: 4,3: 6,3: 8$ |
| $164: 10$ catchir | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 24,70: 5,71: 1, \\ & 71: 5,72: 26,73: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150: 5,150: 26, \\ & 150: 29,151: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:14, 111:19, } \\ & \text { 113:7, 121:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 212: 5,212: 11, \\ & 213: 2,214: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 11,3: 13,3: 14, \\ & 3: 14,3: 17,3: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 172:29 | 73:21, 73:23, | 154:28, 155:1, | 122:9, 126:14, | 214:23 | $3: 19,3: 21,3: 27$ |
| category [3] - | 74:17, 74:19, | $155: 4,155: 11$, $156 \cdot 20,156 \cdot 23$ | 126:17, 127:20, | Chairman, [1] - | chief [4] - 29:2, |
| 29:22, 29:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 22,74: 25, \\ & 76: 28,77: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 156:20, 156:23, } \\ & \text { 157:11, 158:2, } \end{aligned}$ | 127:22, 128:15, | $130: 13$ | 100:16, 125:11, |
| 185:8 caused [1] - | 77:13, 77:16, | $158: 15,162: 3$ | 131:6, 131:11, | $-62: 22$ | 169:18 choice [2] - |
| 17:25 | 77:18, 77:21, | 162:5, 176:1, | 132:1, 132:16, | challenge [1] - | $49: 9,140: 10$ |
| causes [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 23,77: 29, \\ & 78: 2,78: 5,78: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 176:7, 180:29, } \\ & 181: 4.181: 8 . \end{aligned}$ | 133:18, 139:29, | 101:10 | choose [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 160: 7 \\ & \text { causi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 2,78: 5,78: 8, \\ & 78: 26,79: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 181:4, 181:8, } \\ & \text { 184:2, 184:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140:2, 141:5, } \\ & \text { 143:4, 144:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { challenged }[2] \text { - } \\ & 55: 4,56: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 102:13 |
| 94:13 | 79:12, 79:15 | 184:19, 184:23, | 144:27, 145:15, | challenging [1] - | 189:12 |
| $\text { CCTV }_{[1]}-57: 20$ | 80:9, 80:12, | 185:1, 185:8, <br> 185:13, 185:16, | 146:3, 146:6, $146: 8,146: 12 .$ | $126: 25$ | Christmas [2] - |
| centrally [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 16,80: 20, \\ & 80: 22,80: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 185:13, 185:16, } \\ & \text { 197:28, 198:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 8,146: 12, \\ & 146: 27,148: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chance }[3]-9: 5 \text {, } \\ & 71 \cdot 24 \\ & 81 \cdot 24 \end{aligned}$ | $200: 9,213: 24$ |
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| $153: 19,160: 28$ | 212:23, 212:24 <br> conversations | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 26,61: 5 \\ & 61: 11,61: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 175:14, 189:19, | 97:13, 97:14 creating [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:8, 203:9, } \\ & 208: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 131:27, 153:4 | [9]-47:11, 47:16, | 65:24, 69:27, | 189:22, 200:19, | 40:29 | S |
| contractor [1] - | $47: 21,47: 22$ | 70:22, 70:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200:22, 200:27 } \\ & \text { 201:4. 201:10. } \end{aligned}$ | creation [1] - | examination [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 144: 3 \\ & \text { contradictor }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $47: 29,48: 5,48: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 9,73: 6,73: 13, \\ & 74: 5,74: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 201:22, 207:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 167:26 } \\ & \text { credence }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 208:16 <br> cross-examine |
| - 34:27 | $15: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 21,74: 24, \\ & 76 \cdot 11 \text { 76:16, } \end{aligned}$ | corroborate [1] - | $18: 15$ | $\text { [1] }-35: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { contrary [1] - } \\ & 136.7 \end{aligned}$ | conveyed [1] - | 76:19, 76:22, | 19:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { credibility [19] - } \\ 17: 13,17: 24, \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 136:7 control [4] - | 22:17 | 77:12, 77:15, | corroborative | $25: 17,27: 7$ | $5: 13,5: 14,5: 20$ |
| 142:24, 155:7, | conveying [1] - | 77:22, 78:18, | [2] - 137:3, 137:17 | 31:27, 37:8, | 5:21, 5:23, 35:20, |
| 187:15, 189:8 | 16:1 | 78:20, 79:19, | corruption [5] - |  | $77: 2,78: 11$ |


| 162:7, 181:15, | 91:27, 91:28, | 213:14 | December [2] - | demeanour [6] - | 92:6, 92:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208:19 | 104:4, 120:24, | dated [10] - | 6:25, 202:5 | 18:29, 20:5, 38:3, | 92:14, 105:8, |
| cross | 162:10, 193:18, | 94:21, 95:2, | decide [8] - | 139:1, 139:9, | 105:9, 119:12 |
| examined [2] - | 193:21, 193:26, | 109:16, 114:17, | 18:3, 37:10, | 204:27 | 124:3, 124:5, |
| 104:8, 203:9 | 197:3, 208:21 | 115:11, 129:9, | 46:19, 81:4, | demonstration | 124:26, 125:21, |
| crossed [1] - | CURLEY [8] - | 161:9, 189:19, | 81:25, 127:26, | [1] - 153:27 | 128:23, 131:11, |
| 12:11 | $3: 10,5: 17,91: 24$ | 190:15, 202:5 | 167:7, 183:22 | Dempsey [3] - | 133:27, 134:2, |
| crossing [1] - | 162:7, 181:15, | dates [2] - | decided [5] - | 148:10, 148:16, | 134:3, 142:18, |
| 12:8 | 200:1, 208:19, | 174:18, 174:23 | 119:17, 122:13, | 149:8 | 148:12, 150:19, |
| crystal [2] - | 209:16 | daughter [5] - | 138:14, 139:26, | denies [1] - | 159:11, 167:22, |
| 197:10, 201:29 | Curley's [3] - | 106:3, 106:26, | 193:2 | 131:28 | 168:6, 171:14, |
| CUALÁIN ${ }^{11]}$ - | 79:27, 82:22, | 106:27, 107:13, | decider [3] - | denying [1] - | 173:15, 173:18, |
| 3:7 | 196:9 | 156:13 | 99:9, 99:12, | 144:24 | 175:1, 186:18, |
| Cualáin [3] - | Curran [11] - | daughters [1] - | 99:13 | depriving [1] - | 186:27, 189:9, |
| 60:19, 63:10, | 22:1, 30:10, 61:7, | 101:26 | deciding [1] - | 62:19 | 191:7, 204:29, |
| 155:24 | 98:8, 98:22, | Dave [1] - | 43:13 | describe [4] | 205:1, 212:15, |
| Cualáin's [1] - | 100:5, 112:29, | 153:13 | decision [8] - | 10:27, 11:1, 40:2, | 212:18, 213:21 |
| 194:1 | 169:19, 169:23, | DAVID [1] - 3:18 | 120:18, 142:11, | 48:11 | DETECTIVE [5] - |
| culprits [1] - | 170:3, 170:7 | day's [1] - 126:7 | 142:12, 142:14, | described [6] - | 3:4, 3:5, 3:12, |
| 53:26 | CURRAN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | day-to-day [1] - | 145:13, 168:11, | 139:21, 162:12, | 3:13, 3:17 |
| Cuniffe [3] - | 3:3 | 40:16 | 179:24, 179:27 | 182:16, 201:20, | Detective [47] - |
| $7: 14,7: 17,7: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curran's [1] - } \\ & \text { 169:26 } \end{aligned}$ | days [14] - 8:26, | decisions [5] 141:23, 141:24 | 202:1, 209:26 | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 8,23: 14,24: 4 \\ & 24: 7,24: 14,25: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 69:10, 69:11, | current [4] | 39:20, 39:25, | 142:7, 179:20, | 137:1 | $25: 5,25: 20$ |
| 211:28 | 49:26, 121:20, | 42:26, 53:6, | 183:22 | describing [1] - | 25:28, 26:18, |
| Curley [82] - | 159:28, 210:28 | 94:22, 205:29, | DECLAN [1] - | 86:28 | $27: 14,29: 4$ |
| 18:8, 23:14, | custody [3] - | 206:1, 206:6, | 3:5 | description [10] | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 21,59: 27, \\ & 65: 16,65: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| $23: 20,24: 4,24: 7,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 153:17, 154:13, } \\ & 15: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $206: 7,209: 23$ | declined [2] - <br> $44 \cdot 7,110 \cdot 18$ | $-15: 21,135: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 16,65: 26, \\ & 65: 27,66: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:14, 24:20, } \\ & 25: 1,25: 5,25: 20, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { deadline [1] - } \\ & 214: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 44:7, 110:18 deem [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:2, 137:22, } \\ & \text { 137:27, 140:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 67:20, 67:22, |
| 25:28, 26:18, | D | deal [14] - 35:13, | 176:13 | 141:14, 141:16, | 68:10, 69:25, |
| 27:15, 29:4, |  | 40:5, 87:6, 88:11, | deep [1] - | $163: 18,179: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:29, 70:25, } \\ & 71: 3,71: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| 50:21, 50:24, | D/Branch [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 17,92: 18 \\ & 97: 21.117: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 133:27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { descriptors }[1] \text { - } \\ & 42: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 3,71: 12, \\ & 72: 10,72: 27, \end{aligned}$ |
| 59:27, 65:14, | 7:5 | 132:9, 162:27, | 31:17, 31:18, | desirable [1] | $73: 5,74: 28$ |
| 65:16, 65:26, | D/sergeant [5] - <br> 66.15, 66.21 | 164:27, 165:14, | $31: 28,57: 3$ | $157: 21$ | $79: 12,81: 6$ |
| 65:28, 66:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:15, 66:21, } \\ & 70: 9,75: 12 . \end{aligned}$ | 169:14, 197:18 | defence [2] - | desk [2] - | 81:18, 83:2, 83:8, |
| 66:21, 66:26, | 193:26 | dealing [8] - | 31:26, 62:19 | 131:13, 156:17 | 85:14, 85:26, |
| 67:21, 67:22, | daily [2] - | 19:4, 37:17, | definite [1] - | DESMOND [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 28,86: 13, \\ & 87: 10,88: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:10, 69:26, } \\ & \text { 69:29, 70:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $145: 13,169: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 20,40: 16 \\ & 58: 24,156: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $19: 1$ | $4: 3$ | 123:17, 159:29, |
| $70: 12,70: 25$ | damage [1] - | $171: 25,200: 3$ | 118:12 | despite [2] - 57:10, 110:19 | 193:18, 193:21, |
| 71:3, 71:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 206:26 } \\ & \text { dat }[1]-76: 7 \end{aligned}$ | dealings [4] | definitive [1] - | detail [6]-23:4, | $196: 9,197: 2$ |
| 72:10, 72:27, | data [1] - 144:12 | 11:25, 94:25, $97 \cdot 18 \cdot 196: 3$ | 118:12 | $25: 27,89: 1,$ | 82:12, 116:26, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 73: 5,73: 25 \\ & 74: 28,75: 12 \end{aligned}$ | Data [1] - 95:15 | 97:18, 196:3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { delay [2] - } \\ \text { 171:25, } 171 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:22, 199:10, } \\ & 201: 26 \end{aligned}$ | 145:18, 146:10, |
| 78:4, 78:16, | date [32]-10:4, | 17:21, 45:10, | delete [1] - | detailed [1] - | 148:14 |
| 78:17, 79:1, 79:9, | $53: 19,65: 3$ | $55: 11,55: 15$ | 147:4 | $56: 1$ | devastating [2] - |
| 79:12, 79:20, | 65:17, 65:19, <br> 68:4, 70:3, 70:9 | $55: 27,57: 1$ | deleted [3] - | detailing [1] - | 48:29, 49:5 |
| 79:23, 81:6, | 68:4, 70:3, 70:9, $72: 17,83: 7$ | $93: 20,93: 27,$ | 145:26, 146:14, | 181:18 | DEVELOPMEN |
| 81:18, 82:24, | 72:17, 83:7, <br> 97:12, 98:20 | $94: 10,122: 14$ | $150: 14$ | details [7] - | $\mathbf{T}[1]-3: 16$ |
| 83:2, 83:8, 83:11, | 97:12, 98:20, <br> $115: 5,115 \cdot 16$ | 122:20, 154:17, | deletes [1] - | 22:22, 39:11, | development [1] |
| 83:16, 83:23, | 115:5, 115:16, | 158:11, 172:18, | 149:26 | $48: 8,95: 7$ | - 86:9 |
| $83: 24,83: 27$, $84: 5,85: 10$ | 127:12, 129:10, | 186:7 | deliberately [1] - | 139:17, 143:7 | $40: 23,41: 5$ |
| 84:5, 85:10, 85:14, 85:26, | 129:28, 151:20, | Dean [1] - $101: 29$ | 144:22 | detective [47] - |  |
| 85:29, 86:14, | 159:25, 161:11, | 101:29 | delighted [1] - | 7:6, 8:13, 8:15, | $40: 1,40: 25,$ |
| 87:10, 88:11, | 171:3, 173:16, | 136:11 | $203$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 2,53: 11,65: \\ & 65: 14,65: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $40: 26$ |
| 88:14, 88:21, | 189:15, 189:18, | Debrun [1] - | Delvin [2]-6:28, | 71:25, 79:5, | diary [2]-23:24, |
| 90:7, 91:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 189:20, 200:11, } \\ & \text { 202:2, 208:2 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $6: 29$ | 84:28, 89:14, | 32:18 |


| dictating [1] - | DIRECTORATE | - 10:8, 14:27 | door [3] - 36:19, | 135:29, 185:25, | effects [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207:20 | [1] - 3:15 | $60: 11,105: 15,$ | 131:12, 131:2 | 193:22, 206:7 | 48:2 |
| d [1] - 156:14 | cts | 162:23, 165:23 | P [2] | Dundalk [1] - | cient [2] - |
| differ [1] - 78:25 | 170:7 | $168: 13,168: 15$ | $62: 2,63: 18$ | 6:27 | $121: 9,132: 9$ |
| difference [1] - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $210: 3$ <br> differences [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 19,83: 10 \\ & 86: 24,182: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 190:18 } \\ & \text { disposed [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 199:21 } \\ & \text { double [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $29: 10,54: 1$ | efforts [2]-91:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44:28 } \\ & \text { different [18] - } \end{aligned}$ |  | $154: 14$ dispute [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 14 \\ & \text { doubt }[4]- \end{aligned}$ | 60:18, 159:24 | 170:8 |
| 23:7, 44:21, | 179:24 <br> disagreement | 200:12 <br> dissatisfaction | 13:28, 25:17, | 206 | ther [17] |
| 46:29, 52:19 | [5] - 86:1, 86:18, |  | 55:2, 209:12 <br> down [40] - | duties [2] - | 16:29, 38:20, |
| 89:6, 92:8, 108:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:19, 87:3, } \\ & \text { 129:1 } \\ & \text { disappointmen } \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 93:18 |  | $52: 14,92: 1$ | $49: 13,50: 24$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:16, 113:2, } \\ & \text { 124:12, 141:11, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { distraction }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 159:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 20,32: 24 \\ & 33: 4,33: 9,33: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { duty [14]-11:10, } \\ & \text { 11:11, 32:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 27,58: 8, \\ & 60: 27,86: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| 165:11, 165:29, | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{t}[1]-94: 9 \\ & \quad \text { disbelieved }_{[1]}- \end{aligned}$ | 159:16 <br> distress [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 4,33: 9,33: 19 \\ & 48: 28,50: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 32:25, 32:28 | 105:12, 111:27 |
| 168:22, 168:23, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:24 } \\ & \text { district }[3]-7: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 56:2, 58:10 | 33:2, 33:5, 33:21, | 112:1, 178: |
|  | 56:28 |  | 58:11, 58:12, | $33: 24,33: 25$ | 182:3, 182:7 |
|  | disclosed [2] - | $181: 25,196: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 14,58: 20 \\ & 58: 22,69: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 27,33: 29 \\ & \text { 152:9, 205:27 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 182:17, 189:2 } \\ & 206: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 187:21 <br> differently [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:26, 18:14 } \\ & \text { disclosure }[13] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 188:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 22,69: 24 \\ & 70: 13,87: 7,90: 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $165: 20$ <br> difficult [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 22,9: 11,9: 20, \\ & 17: 14,25: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divilly [10] - } \\ \text { 139:28, 140:12, } \end{gathered}$ | 90:27, 100:7, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 195:1 } \\ & \text { elderly [2] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 44:14, 47:25 | 25:15, | 140:21, 141: | 104:9, 107:1 |  | $143: 2,154: 9$ |
| 74:26, 135:24 | 67:12, 90:11 | 141:13, 148:6 | $115: 24,142: 1$ | 23: | element ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 37:4, 41:3, 80:27 | 157:13 200:10 | $148: 13,148: 27$ $153: 10,154 \cdot 2$ |  | 32:14, 51:5, 66:2 | \| 69:1 |
| DIGNAM [1] - | 200:10 disclosures [4] - | division [3] - | 147:23, 151:17, | 97:29, 98:6, 98:9, | 109:8 |
| 3:24 | 9:7, 47:6, 47:9 | 67:8, 76:6, 89: | 163:15, 170:9 | $168: 20,168: 2$ | elsewhere [2] |
|  |  |  | $\text { 193:13, } 205$ | 169:3, 169:11 | 150:17, 202:1 |
| diminis | 80:18, 156: | $100: 19,102: 6$ | 207:12, 210:2 | 169:13, 169:16 | $-81: 15,89: 13$ |
| 63:3 diminishing [1] | discredit [1] - | 105:22, 105:28, | DOWNEY [2] - | e-mailed [2] | embarrassing |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:29 } \\ & \text { discrepancy }[2] \end{aligned}$ |  | 3:15, 3:20 | 110:27, 110:2 |  |
| - 63:3 | discrepancy [2] | 106:16, 107:10 <br> 108:10, 109:18 | DR [1] - 3:11 <br> draw [3]-19•7 | Eamon [20] - | $\begin{aligned} & {[4]-184: 19} \\ & \text { 184:20, 185:13 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | discretion [1] - | 109:25, 110:1 | $19: 28,200: 16$ | 65:14, 65:28, | $185 \cdot 14$ |
| direc |  | $\begin{aligned} & 109: 25,110: 1 \\ & 110: 13,110: 14 \end{aligned}$ | drew [2] - 18:19, | $66: 15,66: 2$ | 185:14 <br> embarrassmen |
| 92:26, 181:25 | $37: 6$ discuss [13] - | DOCKERY [1] - | $18: 22$ | $7: 22,68: 10$ | t [2] - 80:27, 185:2 |
| 197:8 | $9: 14,9: 15,25: 8$ | $4: 3$ <br> document [8] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:15, 164:10 } \\ & \text { drive }[2]-70: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 71:13, 72:10, | emphasis [1] - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 20,76: 2,7 \\ & 78 \cdot 17 \text { 78•19 } \end{aligned}$ | $75: 29.87: 8$ |  | 81:6, 81:18, 83:2, |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 166:15, 200:24 } \\ \text { directions [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | 78:27, 79:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 29,87: 8, \\ & 127: 23,128: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 103:19 | $85: 26,85: 29$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 173:26 } \\ & \text { encounter [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 27:17 | 94:17, 106:10, | 200:14, 201:13, | driver [6] - | .10, 88.21 | 27:10 <br> encounter/ conversation [1] - |
| dir | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 170:21 } \\ & \text { discussed [21] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 203:27, 205:19 } \\ \text { documentary } \end{array}$ | 70:20, 136:23, | EAMON [5] |  |
| 117:17, 208:2 |  |  | 142:28, 143:2, | $3: 10,5: 17,91: 24$ |  |
|  | 12:16, 48:8, $78: 19,78: 22$ |  | driving [1] | 162:7, 181:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 209:20 } \\ & \text { encountered [2] } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 5,5: 12,5: \\ & 6: 15,64: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $94: 2,120: 23$ | 122:29 | $11: 14$ |  | $-67: 29,171: 13$ |
| 91:25 | 123:26, 136:14 | $-72: 16,75: 26$ | dro | 26, 53:14, | - 67:29, 171:13 |
| DIRECTLY | 138:13, 138:14 | $127: 7$ <br> documents [2] - | 88:13, 103:2 | $189: 23,205: 12$ | 69:4 |
| EXAMINED [6] | 141:28, 142:1, |  | drugs [9]-7:2, | easily [1] - 58:16 | encourage [1] - |
| 5:5, 5:12, 5:19, | $142: 10,145: 15$ | $98: 17,98: 18$ |  | eat [1] - 11:16 | $103: 9$ |
| 6:15, 64:27, 91.25 | $\begin{array}{c:c} 9: 17,1 \\ 9: 21,1 \end{array}$ | $3: 24$ | $7: 24,8: 12,19: 24$ | effect [4] - | encouraged [4] |
| 91:25 | 180:3, 190:22, |  | 186:18 | 190:29 | $\begin{gathered} -17: 4,103: 13 \\ 112: 9,135: 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| 61:14 | 193:18 <br> discussing [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { done [11]-31:9, } \\ & \text { 45:14, 62:14, } \end{aligned}$ | 186:18 | 190:29 | $112: 9,135: 11$ <br> encouraging [1] |
| DIRECTOR ${ }^{11]}$ - |  | 76:9, 141:26, | $3: 28,4: 6,4: 10$ | $16: 3.29: 25$ | - 24:26 |
| 3:13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 9:26, 47:8, 68:21, } \\ \text { 106:12, 213:1 } \\ \text { discussion [11] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:23, 146:17, } \\ & \text { 153:20, 155:15, } \\ & 168: 5,211: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { due [9] - 45:22, } \\ & 53: 21,87: 12 \\ & 130: 22,132: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | END [10] - 34:22, |
| directorate [1] - |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 02 . \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | 51:28, 54:10, |
| 144:3 |  |  |  | 205:27, 206:2 |  |


| 76:26, 77 | 96:4, 96: |  |  | 137:15 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87:23, 91:6, | 96:18, 96:26, | 47:10, 49:14 | 59:21, 64:27 | explicitly [1] - | 112:21, 112:22, |
| $162: 1$ | $97: 11$ | $51: 13,52: 10$ | $77: 2,78: 11,88: 5$ 91:25, 162:7, | $55: 27$ | 113:22, 120:6, |
| 74:20, 93:9 | 92:20, 92:23, | 56:1, 56:17 | 181:15, 208:19 | 73: | 125:14, 130:22, |
| 104:9, 142:1, | 92:29, 93:3, 93:6, | 57:10, 62:19, | examined [4] - | explored [1] - | 132:21, 137:21, |
| 144:10, 145:21, | 96:20, 97:14, | 65:21, 73:4, 74:2, | 96:27, 104:8, | 193:29 | 146:10, 149:26, |
| 145:24, 147:3, | 137:28, 143:10, | 85:25, 88:10, | 193:28, 203:9 | exploring [1] - | 156:29, 157:12, |
| 147:9, 149:2 | 151:26, 152:19 | 88:17, 88:23 | ample [4] - | 63:16 | 165:11, 178:15, |
| 181:12, 188:4, | environment [1] | 93:25, 102:21 | 15:13, 142:26, | express [1] | 180:18, 184:27, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 214:9 } \\ & \text { endeavour }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -173: 14 \\ & \text { envisage }{ }_{[1]} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:3, 113:14, } \\ & 116: 23,121: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 155:6, 167:8 } \\ & \text { examples [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 9 \\ & \text { expressed }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 187:24, 193:23, } \\ & \text { 198:19, 198:20, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $67: 25$ | $135: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:3, 127:5, } \\ & \text { 131:8, 136:7. } \end{aligned}$ | $153: 23,153: 24$ | 113:1, 157:11, | 199:2, 199:4, |
| endeavouring [2] - 125:19, | $\begin{gathered} \text { episode [2] } \\ 53: 5,59: 19 \end{gathered}$ | $137: 3,137: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { excel [1] - } \\ & \text { 142:15 } \end{aligned}$ | 159:25 | 207:14, 211:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 191:21 } \\ & \text { endeavours [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | EPW [1] - 151:12 EPW1 [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:5, 144:10, } \\ & \text { 162:12, 164:25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exceptional [1] - } \\ & \text { 154:28 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:28 } \\ & \text { extent }[4]-37: 2 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 212:15, 214:4 } \\ \text { factor }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 213: 4 \\ & \text { ended [1] - } \\ & \text { 117:27 } \\ & \text { ending [2] - } \\ & \text { 96:29, } 214: 1 \\ & \text { ends }[3]-34: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 206:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 164:27, 165:21, } \\ & \text { 165:22, 166:13, } \end{aligned}$ | excluded [1] - | 108:17, 132:18, | 137:20 <br> factors [3] - |
|  | escalate [4] | 167:11, 171:4, | 15:25 <br> executes [1] | 161:24 external [1] - | 119:16, 180:19, |
|  | 114:3, 164:18 | 171:8, 171:16, | 153:13 | 123:18 | 180:24 |
|  | 164:22, 183:7 escalated [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 176:6, 179:10, } \\ & \text { 197:19, 197:25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXECUTIVE }_{[1]} \\ & -3: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { externally }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 124:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { facts }[20]-18: 2, \\ & 18: 17,18: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 112:23, } 112: 25 \\ \text { engaged }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168:10 } \\ & \text { escalation }[4]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 199:1, 201:19, } \\ & \text { 201:28, 202:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { exercise [2] - } \\ \text { 199:5, 199:7 } \end{gathered}$ | extra [1] - 81:14 extreme[1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:19, 18:20, } \\ & 30: 17,38: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| ```53:24 enjoy [1] - 134:9 enquire [1] -``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 165:4, 165:6, } \\ & 165: 9,167: 27 \end{aligned}$especially [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 204:24, 205:16, } \\ & \text { 206:12. 208:1 } \end{aligned}$ | existence [3] - | $153: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 26,38: 29 \\ & 39: 5,39: 7,39: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 206:12, 208:1, } \\ & \text { 209:2, 209:29, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:28, 187:3, } \\ & \text { 187:4 } \end{aligned}$ | extremely [2] - $32: 3,175: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 5,39: 7,39: 9 \\ & 39: 10,101: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $202: 14$ enquiries [4] - | $160: 7$ <br> essence [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 210:4 } \\ & \text { evolve [1] - } \\ & \text { 134:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { expanded }[1] \text { - } \\ & 8: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eye }[3]-19: 2, \\ & 20: 6,38: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 164:26, 164:28 failed [2] 45:28, 58:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 60: 27,121: 19 \\ & 159: 3,168: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 198:6 } \\ & \text { essentially [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | 134:5 exact [2] - 115:5, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { expect }[10] \text { - } \\ 36: 14,36: 29, \end{array}$ | $F$ | $\begin{gathered} 45: 28,58: 13 \\ \text { failure }[5]- \\ 61: 24,62: 4,62: 7, \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:1 } \\ & \text { ensure }[7]- \end{aligned}$ | ```establish [2] - 101:6, 101:7 establishing [1]``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exactly [21] - } \\ & 8: 13,12: 19 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:14, 117:29, } \\ & \text { 118:27, 139:16, } \end{aligned}$ | fabricated [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:18, 138:11 } \\ & \text { fair }[24]-30: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 84: 18,131: 22, \\ & 133: 28,134: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 21,54: 26 \\ & 56: 6,63: 28 \end{aligned}$ | 214:7, 214:11 | 209:1 <br> fabricating | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 1,35: 16,39: 8 \\ & 44: 19,45: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 160:13, 175:29, | evening [6] - | 68:12, 117:7 | 100:26 | $54: 19$ | $47: 12,48: 9$ |
| ```198:10 entail [1] - 12:23 entailed [1] -``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:26, 175:26, } \\ & \text { 176:22, 176:28, } \end{aligned}$ | expected [5] - <br> 114:5, 118:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fabrication [3] - } \\ & \text { 177:6, 177:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 29,130: 14 \\ & \text { 176:1, 182:6 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 8,112: 1 \\ & 153: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 178:18, 182:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:5, 118:8, } \\ & \text { 132:12, 145:27 } \end{aligned}$ | 178:19 | 182:10, 182:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200:22 } \\ & \text { enter [3] - 12:9, } \end{aligned}$ | event [8]- 23.12, 43.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 185:6, 185:17, } \\ & \text { 187:25, 197:15 } \end{aligned}$ | 151:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { face }[18]-21: 27, \\ & 22: 8,22: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 182:27, 183:3, } \\ & \text { 186:29, 187:6, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 44:2, 109:11, | 203:18, 204:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { expedient [1] } \\ & 134 \cdot 2 ? \end{aligned}$ | $98: 28,99: 1,99: 3,$ | 190:26, 191:1, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 167:19, } 175: 4 \\ \text { entered }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44:2, 109:11, } \\ & \text { 113:12, 114:15, } \end{aligned}$ | EXAMINATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:22 } \\ & \text { explain [10] - } \end{aligned}$ | $99: 21,99: 24,$ | 195:27, 197:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:25 } \\ & \text { entertaining }{ }_{[1]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 129:27, 131:29 } \\ \text { events [9] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[10]-34: 22,} \\ & 51: 28,54: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 9,47: 14 \\ & 66: 25,117: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:1, 113:9, } \\ & \text { 151:6, 165:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 199:13, 201:9 } \\ & \text { fairly }[3]-92: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $21: 17,44:$ | 59:2, 64:11 | 146:2, 148:22, | 166:4, 166:16, | 118:10, 211:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -214: 17 \\ & \text { entire }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | 44:26, 101:6 | 76:26, 77:27 | 171:25, 185:2, | 168:3, 180:8, | fairness [5] - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:28, 159:1, } \\ & \text { 180:11, 200:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:23, 91:6, } \\ & 162: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $194: 19,212: 5$ | 182:11 | $54: 16,55: 8$ <br> $55 \cdot 13,153 \cdot 14$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:7, 179:28, } \\ & 193: 29 \end{aligned}$ |  | examination [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { explained [11] - } \\ & \text { 51:16, 86:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fact }[53]-8: 21, \\ 15: 12,16: 1,16: 8, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 13,153: 14, \\ & 213: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 12:22, 168:23 } \\ \text { entirety [3] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 209:8 } \\ & \text { eventually }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $-208: 1$ | $102: 14,107: 12$ | $16: 9,17: 6,23: 7$ | fait [3]-156:8, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 210:19 } \\ & \text { evidence [71] - } \end{aligned}$ | examine [1] | 108:12, 110:7, | 33:23, 42:5, | 156:10, 178:18 |
|  |  | $35: 1$ <br> EXAMINED [24] | 117:19, 120:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 11,57: 5 \\ & 60: 11,60: 18 \end{aligned}$ | faith [2] - <br> 209•10, 209:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 207:1 } \\ & \text { entitled }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 10:12, 19:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXAMINED [24] } \\ & -5: 5,5: 6,5: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161:4, 187:19, } \\ & 188: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:11, 60:18, } \\ & 76: 8,78: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 209: 10,209: 12 \\ \text { fall }[1]-142: 3 \end{array}$ |
| 37:3, 56:9, | 32:16, 34:17, | 5:8, 5:12, 5:13, | explaining [1] - | 79:21, 82:22, | falls [1] - 149:1 |
| 37:3, 56:9, <br> 152:14 <br> entries [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 1,39: 26, \\ & 40: 26,42: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $5: 14,5: 15,5: 19$ | 119:3 | 84:16, 87:12, | false [18] - |
|  |  | 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, | explanation [1] - | 97:13, 98:27, | 14:17, 16:3, 17:5, |



| 98:16, 98:21 | 130:24, 131:7 | 171:2, 171:5 | 5:10, 52:7, 64:26, | 94:13, 155:7, | hand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98:24, 98:29, | 131:23, 132:22, | 171:8, 171:16, | 77:2, 78:11, $88: 5$ | 199:14 | 209:6 |
| 99:3, 99:6, 99:16, | 132:29, 135:7, | 172:7, 172:13, | Garda's [1] - | greater [2] - | hang [1] - 16:20 |
| 99:17, 99:23, | 135:9, 135:11, | 174:4, 174:18, | 161:6 | 132:18, 134:6 | happier [1] - |
| 99:24, 99:25, | 136:17, 136:18, | 174:23, 175:18, | gardaí [13] - | Greene [1] - | 16:25 |
| 100:10, 100:12, | 136:26, 137:12, | 175:27, 175:28, | 7:17, 90:13, 95:9, | 158:20 | happy [15] - |
| 100:28, 100:29, | 137:23, 137:28, | 176:5, 176:7, | 95:12, 123:13, | grief [1] - 156:13 | 19:21, 20:3, |
| 101:2, 101:8, | 137:29, 138:2, | 176:8, 176:11, | 144:17, 183:1, | grievance [1] - | 30:10, 31:4, 35:4, |
| 101:13, 101:17, | 138:4, 138:19, | 176:16, 176:22, | 183:2, 183:4, | 93:14 | 38:15, 40:6, 42:8, |
| 101:19, 101:25, | 138:23, 139:5, | 177:4, 177:5, | 183:14, 185:21, | grievances [1] - | 58:4, 61:21, |
| 102:6, 102:7, | 139:8, 139:11, | 177:10, 177:13, | 189:4, 189:8 | 94:7 | 61:23, 81:19, |
| 102:18, 102:19, | 139:14, 139:18, | 178:19, 181:3, | Gardaí [8] - | GRIFFIN [1] - | 87:25, 156:9, |
| 102:22, 103:16, | 139:25, 139:27, | 181:18, 182:2, | 67:3, 95:7, | 3:26 | 156:29 |
| 105:19, 105:23, | 140:9, 140:12, | 182:4, 182:6, | 140:12, 145:11, | grounds [1] - | HARAN [1] - 4:2 |
| 105:24, 105:26, | 140:20, 141:6, | 182:7, 182:9, | 154:23, 183:12, | 32:8 | Haran [2] - |
| 106:2, 106:17, | 141:13, 143:5, | 182:13, 182:19, | 183:14, 190:28 | group [1] - 8:27 | 156:28, 157:11 |
| 106:22, 107:7, | 143:12, 143:21, | 182:26, 183:1, | gather [1] - | guard [22] - | hard [3]-19:24, |
| 107:10, 107:11, | 143:23, 144:4, | 183:4, 183:15, | 18:18 | 7:27, 13:11, | 19:25, 100:15 |
| 108:11, 108:20, | 144:21, 145:20, | 185:18, 185:21, | general [19] - | 19:19, 28:12, | hard-working |
| 108:22, 108:24, | 146:4, 146:13, | 186:2, 186:6, | 12:12, 12:14, | 40:12, 41:15, | [2]-19:24, 19:25 |
| 108:26, 108:27, | 146:18, 146:23, | 186:8, 186:28, | 12:19, 12:23, | 41:17, 41:19, | HARTNETT ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 109:1, 109:3, | 146:24, 146:28, | 187:9, 187:16, | 13:4, 26:15, | 54:1, 89:7, 95:3, | 4:7 |
| 109:7, 109:18, | 147:2, 147:11, | 187:18, 187:24, | 27:25, 27:26, | 95:9, 95:18, | Hartnett [1] - |
| 109:19, 109:25, | 147:28, 148:2, | 189:2, 189:5, | 38:7, 39:12, 40:2, | 104:14, 142:13, | 64:19 |
| 110:1, 110:2, | 148:5, 148:6, | 189:16, 190:1, | 40:24, 40:27, | 163:26, 164:7, | HAVING [3] - |
| 110:8, 110:12, | 148:10, 148:13, | 190:23, 190:28, | 44:16, 44:17, | 166:20, 171:14, | 6:14, 64:26, |
| 110:14, 110:17, | 148:16, 148:21, | 191:10, 191:16, | 44:25, 112:7, | 178:10 | 91:24 |
| $111: 3,111: 4$, $111: 6,111: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:25, 148:27, } \\ & \text { 149:1, 149:8. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 191:18, 191:24, } \\ & \text { 192:13. 193:19 } \end{aligned}$ | 144:25, 183:3 | Guards [1] - | HEAD [1] - 3:15 |
|  | 149:1, 149:8, | 192:13, 193:19, | generally [4] - | 165:20 | head [2] - 80:22, |
| 112:15, 112:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:13, 152:16, } \\ & \text { 153:10, 153:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 194:2, 194:15, } \\ & \text { 194:24, 194:28, } \end{aligned}$ | 9:10, 12:26, | guards [16] - | 213:5 |
| 112:26, 113:21, | 153:14, 154:17, | 197:11, 197:22, | GERRY [1] - | $15: 15,15: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { headed [1] } \\ & \text { 123:8 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 113:22, 113:25, | 155:13, 155:22, | 198:9, 198:20, | 3:11 | 15:19, 21:19, | Headquarters |
| 113:28, 114:12, <br> 114:17, 115:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 155:26, 156:1, } \\ & \text { 157:15. 157:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 198:26, 199:3, } \\ & \text { 199:5, 199:18 } \end{aligned}$ | GISC $_{\text {[1] - }}$ | 28:14, 112:22, | [1] - 144:4 |
| 115:14, 115:23, |  | 199:19, 201:7, | 154:19 | 164:9, 165:25, | hear [3] - 37:18, |
| 115:24, 116:2, | 158:19, 158:20, | 201:16, 202:5, |  |  | 73:5, 156:22 |
| 116:13, 116:16, | 158:29, 159:3, | 202:27, 203:3, | 52:10, 65:21, | 207:26 | 12:9, 46:21, |
| 116:17, 116:24, | 159:11, 159:12, | 203:12, 204:13, | 74:28, 75:22, | guess [1] - | $53: 15,66: 1,$ |
| 116:25, 117:8, 117:21, 117:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:24, 159:26, } \\ & \text { 160:2, 160:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 205:16, 205:22, } \\ & \text { 206:6, 206:29, } \end{aligned}$ | 88:16, 99:29, | 36:27 | 75:15, 93:25, |
| 117:26, 118:3, | 160:5, 160:6, | 207:8, 207:9, | 100:10, 121:3, | gun [1] - 168:4 | 96:8, 99:27, |
| 118:7, 118:28, | 160:12, 160:21, | 208:29, 209:2, | 135:28, 142:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guy [2]-56:3, } \\ & \text { 89:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:3, 116:23, } \\ & 121: 2,156: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 119:14, 120:9, | 160:27, 160:28, | 209:4, 209:5, | 143:5, 146:18, |  | HEARING |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:15, 120:21, } \\ & \text { 121:5, 121:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161:3, 161:11, } \\ & \text { 161:15, 162:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 209:6, 209:8, } \\ & \text { 209:13, 209:19, } \end{aligned}$ | 155:13, 156:16, | H | $6: 1,104: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:11, 123:1, } \\ & \text { 123:5, 123:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:18, 162:28, } \\ & \text { 163:18, 163:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 209:29, 210:20, } \\ & 211: 8,212: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 189:3, 189:11, |  | hearings [1] - |
| 123:12, 123:13, | 164:15, 164:29, | garda [20] - | 191:7, 206:11 GLEESON [1] | $42: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 214: 2 \\ & \text { heavily [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 124:17, 124:18, | 165:1, 165:8, | $13: 14,77: 5,$ | $4: 4$ | $\text { half }[1]-110: 27$ | $53: 24$ |
|  | 165:24, 165:26, 165:28, 166:11 | 91:10, 92:5, 92:6, 100:19, 143:16, | God [1] - 58:24 | halfway [1] - | heightened [2] - |
| 125:6, 125:7, | 166:19, 166:20, | 143:26, 147:21, | GOODE [1] - | 32:24 | 137:21, 141:17 |
| 125:25, 126:1, | 166:22, 166:25, | 147:26, 151:4, | gove | 147:22, 193:9, | held [1] - 144:11 |
| 126:6, 126:15, | 166:27, 167:1, | 184:15, 188:11, | $133: 24$ | 196:27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hello [1] - } \\ & \text { 102:13 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 127:1, 127:3, | 167:3, 167:7, | 188:12, 188:21, | GRALTON ${ }_{[1]}$ - | handed [2] - | help [2] - 17:26, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:18, 128:24, } \\ & \text { 129:3, 129:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 167:9, 167:19, } \\ & \text { 167:24, 168:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 188: 26,189: 3 \\ & 206: 26,207: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 3:14 | 195:11, 196:26 | 209:18 |
| 129:19, 129:28, | 169:2, 169:5, | GARDA [12] - | grapevine [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { handing [3] - } \\ 83: 1,120: 27, \end{gathered}$ | helped [1] - 63:2 |
| 129:29, 130:7, | 169:24, 169:26, | $3: 2,3: 10,3: 11,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:22 } \\ & \text { great }[4]-47: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 194:4 | Henry [1] - 92:9 |
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| 99:25, 100:18, | 14:12, 21:14, | instigated [1] - | 172:9, 172:15, | intoxicated [2] - | 92:20, 94:28, |
| 101:1, 101:18, | 201:22 | 157:13 | 172:16, 172:18, | 39:20, 137:18 | $95: 23,97: 22,$ |
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| 106:16, 106:21, | 190:26, 191:10, | INSTRUCTED | 205:5, 206:29, | 11:28, 120:6 | 123:12, 123:15, |
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| 95:1, 95:23, | $32: 1,43: 3,43: 8$ | 117:9 | 127:22, 133:20, | persuaded [1] - | $50: 1,50: 2,51: 21,$ |
| 95:24, 96:9, | 52:19, 101:4, | passed [6] - | 133:21, 134:6, | 31:16 | $57: 26,63: 22$ |
| 96:14, 97:7, 97:8, 98:1, 98:10, | 119:15, 124:1, | 67:26, 74:19, | $138: 19,139: 1$ | Peter [1] - | $63: 25,66: 7,83: 7,$ |
| 98:23. | 143:9, 152:23, | 76:5, 82:12, | 139:24, 141:8, | 147:19 | 111:29, 115:1, |
| 103:22, 104:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 158:8, 161:12, } \\ & \text { 164:3, 176:13, } \end{aligned}$ | 181:22, 189:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 167:6, 167:14, } \\ & \text { 168:21, 174:26 } \end{aligned}$ | PETER [1] - 3:13 petrol [1] - 159:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 132:3, 132:20, } \\ & 132 \cdot 23133 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 104:10, 107:17, | 193:29, 205:23, | 183:13, 188:15, | 187:20, 188:18, | phone [44] - | 133:19, 157:15, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:12, 114:15, } \\ & \text { 115:6, 118:17, } \end{aligned}$ | 206:25 | 207:24, 207:29 | 192:15, 195:1, | 68:5, 68:6, 68:8, | 172:27, 181:2, |
| 123:6, 127:23, | part-time [1] - | patient [1] - | 202:19, 204:24, | 68:25, 68:26, | 207:28, 209:5 |
| 134:24, 136:2, | 52:19 partiality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:8 } \\ & \text { PATRICK } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 207:17, 214:5, } \\ & 214: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 28,73: 15, \\ & 74: 15,74: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { placed [4] - } \\ \text { 26:10, 143:7, } \end{array}$ |
| 140:1, 140:19, | $\text { 184:29, } 185: 4$ | $3: 3$ | period [8]-8:4, | 74:19, 83:12, | $155: 17,200: 15$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:11, 144:28, } \\ & \text { 151:15, 153:7, } \end{aligned}$ | participate [1] - | PAUL [1] - 3:30 | $8: 18,52: 12$ | $85: 28,86: 5,$ | placing [1] - |
| 153:22, 153:23, | 109:10 | pause [2]- | 52:13, 52:15, | 86:10, 89:27, | 134:6 |


| plain [3]-11:12, | positive [1] - | prefer [1] - | principle [1] - | 8:22, 9:7, 9:11, | 96:27, 96:29, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:13, } 15: 16 \\ \text { planning }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 205:5 } \\ & \text { possession [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 184:25 } \\ & \text { preformed }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 183:4 <br> priority [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 20,17: 14 \\ & \text { 25:13, 25:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:2, 97:10, } \\ & \text { 121:17, 137:28, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 214:13 | 152:20, 167:23 | 156:2 | 122:19, 132:5 | 47:6, 47:9, 48:2, | 139:18, 143:8, |
| plate [2] - | possibilities [2] | preparation [2] - | 175:2 | 67:6, 67:12, | 143:10, 144:9, |
| 156:20, 157:6 | - 165:13, 167:21 | $153: 14,153: 15$ | prisoners [1] - | $90: 10,157: 13$ | 144:28, 144:29, 145:19, 145:26, |
| plausible [1] - | possibility [7] - | prepared [6] - | 159:6 | Protection [1] - 95:15 | 145:19, 145:26, |
| play [1] - 76:1 | 74:27, 75:10, | 125:27, 152:7, | $103: 11,105: 14$ | protocol [1] - | 152:2, 152:19, |
| plot [1] - 126:19 | 75:21, 85:15, | 175:28, 201:29 | private [1] - | 207:12 | $152: 25,154: 19$ |
| plus [2]-81:14, | 112:26 | preparing [2] - | 105:15 | prove [2] | 167:20, 167:26, |
| 132:11 | possible [6] - 62:19, 106:24, | 84:15, 134:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { privy [2] - 84:10, } \\ & 159: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 165:18, 165:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168:2, 206:17, } \\ & \text { 206:20, 206:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | purely [2] - 20:4, |
| point [19] - | $119: 26,167: 2$ | present [14] | $136: 7$ | $73: 28,74: 9$ | 156:7 |
| 34:17, 36:22 | possibly [5] - | 6:21, 20:12, | probity [1] - | 76:20, 85:3, 85:5, | purports [1] - |
| 36:23, 40:29, | 20:10, 103:23, | 21:22, 35:15 | 54:23 | 85:20, 101:5, | 84:25 |
| 55:18, 59:7, 76:8, | 122:27, 209:22, | 42:26, 69:29, | problem [4] - | 103:18, 107:16, | purpose [1] - |
| 114:13, 126:16, | 211:4 | 86:20, 86:21 | 58:7, 68:25 | 109:10, 117:4, | 147:16 |
| 133:24, 136:19, | postmortem [1] | 92:1, 101:25, | 158:28, 181:8 | 129:22, 129:24, | purposes [2] - |
| 161:3, 178:21, | - 203:19 | 112:26, 132:2, | procedures [1] - | 137:22, 175:19, | $46: 1,180: 4$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 178:22, 185:4, } \\ & \text { 186:10, 186:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { potential [1] - } \\ 17 \cdot 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 164:28, 198:8 } \\ \text { presented [3] } \end{gathered}$ | 157:7 | 175:21, 175:23 provided [5] - | pursue [14] 37:2, 44:14, 64:1, |
| $186: 20,187: 23$ | potentially [12] - | 19:9, 38:6, | $82: 13,141: 2$ | 79:18, 79:23, | 71:22, 87:15, |
| points [3] - | 17:11, 22:10, | 164:29 | 181:12, 207:17, | 111:25, 134:20, | 128:9, 130:25, |
| 17:29, 154:2, | $27: 6,61: 24,62: 4,$ | presents [1] - | $213: 13$ | 137:3 | 134:23, 135:10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:22 } \\ & \text { police [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:1, 114:11, } \\ & \text { 114:12, } 118: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:28 } \\ & \text { press [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { process [2] - } \\ \text { 109:3, 150:6 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { providing [4] - } \\ 82: 27,83: 28 \text {, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:20, 140:25, } \\ & \text { 166:12, 179:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $152: 14$ <br> policeman [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:13, 163:5, } \\ & 167: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 146:28, 147:4 } \\ \text { pressed [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { processed [1] - } \\ & \text { 22:28 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 102: 27,131: 17 \\ \text { prudent }[4]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 183:23 } \\ & \text { pursued }[7]- \end{aligned}$ |
| 158:9 | Power [1] - 181:4 | 148:26, 148:27 | professional [6] | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 14,130: 24, \\ & 137: 29,207: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 4,61: 2,63: 27 \\ & 114: 8,133: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| policing [2] - | 181:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pressure [1] } \\ & 150.27 \end{aligned}$ | - 121:9, 155:27, <br> $156 \cdot 7$ 156.11 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:8, 133:25, } \\ & \text { 159:11, 178:14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 82: 5,89: 5 \\ & \text { policy }[1]-82: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { power [2] } \\ 87 \cdot 29 \quad 88 \cdot 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 159: 27 \\ \text { presu } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 156: 7,156: 11 \\ & \text { 157:24, } 158: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pub [4] - 13:14, } \\ 28: 15,164: 10 \end{gathered}$ | pursuing [2] - |
| polite [1] - 205:4 | POWER [22] | $46: 15,212: 27$ | progress | Public [1] - | 174:1, 179:20 |
| politically [1] - | 4:7, 5:15, 5:21 | presume [3] - | 51:20 | 61:14 | pursuit [1] - |
| 42:13 | 87:27, 87:29, | 167:13, 171:2, | projects [1] - | public [7]-8:23, | 134:11 |
| pop [1] - 34:15 | $88: 3,88: 5,88: 8,$ | 172:20 | $100: 11$ | 31:26, 40:11, | pushed [1] - |
| popped [1] - | 89:18, 90:22, $181: 2.181: 5$ | pretty [6] - | promoted [3] - | $40: 13,53: 29$ | 177:27 |
| $150: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 181:2, 181:5, } \\ & \text { 181:16, 181:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $36: 20,50: 10$ 50:11, 51:16, | $7: 7,92: 7,92: 15$ | $\begin{gathered} 57: 19,58: 23 \\ \text { publish [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { put [53] - 16:26, } \\ & \text { 19:18, 20:20, } \end{aligned}$ |
| port [1] - 121: portfolio [1] - | 184:7, 184:27, | $205: 11,205: 12$ | $8: 19$ | $214: 22$ | 23:7, 26:27, |
| 124:2 | 185:7, 185:11 | previous [10] | pronouncing [1] | pulse [2] | 26:29, 27:2, 27:3, |
| portion | 185:14, 185:17, | 14:9, 29:15, | - 148:3 | 145:3, 146:22 | 27:7, 27:15, |
| 185:27 | 198:12, 199:26 | 37:15, 38:19, | proper [3] - | Pulse [59] - | $30: 23,31: 5,31: 6,$ |
| Portlaoise [1] - | practice [7] | 48:18, 49:28 | 88:28, 89:2, | 26:28, 26:29, | 31:9, 31:12, |
| 92:16 | 40:2, 40:24, | 51:3, 95:24, | 184:28 | $27: 1,27: 2,27: 4,$ | 55:10, 55:11, |
| posed [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 27,89: 5, \\ & \text { 163:4, 183:11. } \end{aligned}$ | $116: 4,144: 11$ | properly [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 8,27: 12 \\ & 27: 13.27: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 12,56: 20, \\ & 56: 25,58: 4,59: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 140:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 163:4, 183:11, } \\ & 183: 14 \end{aligned}$ | previously [15] - <br> 7.27, 11:19, 66:1 | $23: 2,133: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:13, 27:15, } \\ & \text { 27:18, 35:26, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 25,58: 4,59: 8, \\ & 59: 14,61: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| position [23] - $21: 25.31: 7.56: 7$ | praise [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 27,11: 19,66: 1, \\ & 91: 3,93: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 133:29 | $35: 29,36: 4$ | $72: 11,75: 16$ |
| 58:5, 59:11, | 206:14 | $102: 8,117: 18$ | $63: 1$ | 39:19, 39:23, | 84:12, 104:5, |
| 72:19, 87:18, | precedes [1] - | 127:24, 133:21, | prosecuting [1] | 39:28, 39:29, | 128:16, 133:13, |
| 87:19, 88:13, | 127:28 | 141:18, 151:20, | - 184:24 | $40: 5,40: 17$ | 146:24, 146:28, |
| 89:16, 113:21, | precisely [1] - 63.23 | 155:28, 182:11, | prosecution [4] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:29, 41:1, } \\ & 44: 28,92: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 146:29, 147:8, 148:2, 148:5, |
| 122:29, 131:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:23 } \\ & \text { precl } \end{aligned}$ | 203:29 | - 61:13, 135:25, | $92: 29,93: 2,93: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:2, 148:5, } \\ & \text { 148:8, 148:11, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $141: 1,170: 6$, $174: 11,176: 16$, | $\begin{gathered} \text { precli } \\ \text { 207:27 } \end{gathered}$ | $18: 28$ | 144:16, 154:14 | $94: 28,95: 4,$ | 148:25, 148:26, |
| 174:11, 176:16, | predicament ${ }^{11]}$ | principally [1] - | Prosecutions [1] - 61:14 | $95: 11,95: 13$ | 154:19, 155:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 176:29, 191:2, } \\ & \text { 201:14, 205:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $-120: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { principally }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & \text { 157:16 } \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 61:14 | 96:18, 96:20, | 157:22, 158:4, |


| 168:18, 176:20, | 181:6, 199:20 | $128: 28,132: 24$ | $106: 17,107: 11$ | recommended | 201:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 176:27, 177:4, | tation [1] - | 170:2, 204:12 | 108:11, 109:7 | [4] - 140:1 | referring [7] |
| 203:7, 208:21, | 27:29 | 211:11, 212:8 | 110:1, 111:5 | 141:19, 151:9 | 28:23, 41:19 |
| 208:28, 209:7, | quote [1] - 27:29 | reason [33] | $113: 10,115: 17$ | 152:2 | $90: 6,96: 2,164: 3,$ |
| 209 |  | 15:23, 31 | 116:19, 119:2 | reconcile [1] - | $166: 27,201: 4$ |
| puts [1] - 169:26 <br> putting [11]- | R | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 20,39: 13 \\ & 78: 21,95: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 24,122: 3 \\ & 124: 11,125: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 74:26 } \\ & \text { record }[26] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { refers [6] - } \\ 33: 23,141: 20 \end{gathered}$ |
| 13:8, 17:18 | ```radar [1] - 169:27 raise [1] - 50:8 raised [1] - 180:26 raises [1] - 54:1 rank [13] - 89:6, 89:8, 89:10, 92:7, 92:15, 118:21,``` | 102:14, 120:22, | $136: 25,140: 6,$ | 84:26, 93:19, | 204:2, 212:6 |
| 29:22, 30:18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $55: 1,55: 25,57: 7$ |  | $132: 4,132: 29$ $138: 29,140: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:17, 144:25, } \\ & \text { 151:24. 151:25 } \end{aligned}$ | 93:28, 94:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reflect [2] - } \\ & \text { 129:15, 145:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57: 25,168: 6, \\ & 177: 5,180: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 150:16, 171:28 | $158: 19,163: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:11, 101:18, } \\ & \text { 105:21, 110:7, } \end{aligned}$ | 129:15, 145:19 reflection [2] - |
| puzzled [1] - |  | 173:24, 180:5 | 172:9, 172:2 | 131:25, 135:11, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 134:4, 199:22 } \\ \text { refusal [3] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| 202:29 |  | 180:9, 180:22 | 181:17, 181:19 | 136:6, 144:23, <br> 146:10, 150:14, <br> 183:20, 195:25 |  |
|  |  | 185:15, 185:1 | 189:18, 189:2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:2, 138:10, } \\ & 180: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 186:23, 187:5 | 191:4, 191:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 183:20, 195:25, } \\ & \text { 198:13, 198:18, } \end{aligned}$ | refuse [3] - |
| QUAY [1] - 4:9 <br> query [1]-93:2 <br> questioned [6] - | 188:6, 188:10, | 187:9, 192:19 | 191:19, 197: | 198:20, 198:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 23,140: 24, \\ & 179: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 198:7, 198:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 197:4, 201:25, } \\ & 208: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 199:2, 199:4, |  |
|  | 188:26 | $209: 1$ | receiving [3] | recorded [13] - | refused [11] - |
| 37:26, 37:28 | rapport [1] | easonable [2] - | 83:12, 172:4 | 33:1, 135:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 29,72: 17, \\ & 73: 28,83: 5,85: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:7, 140:23, } \\ & \text { 179:6 } \end{aligned}$ | rather [3]-58:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:4, 136:6 } \\ & \text { reasonably [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | recent [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 135: 22,136: 9 \\ & 142: 4,146: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 28,83: 5,85: 3, \\ & 105: 2,107: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| QUESTIONED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 78:7, 123:3 } \\ & \text { rationale [4] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reasonably [1] - } \\ & \text { 69:1 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 118:23, 129:22, |
| [4] - 5:22, 5:24, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:1 } \\ & \text { reasons [6] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 201:24 } \\ & \text { recently [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:9, 149:13, } \\ & \text { 152:25, 189:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 175: 20,190: 10 \\ \text { reg [1] - 146:24 } \end{gathered}$ |
| $200: 1,209: 16$ | 141:21, 179:16, | 86:11, 95:13, | 13:10, 90:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 152:25, 189:15, } \\ & \text { 199:10, 199:24, } \end{aligned}$ | regard [5] - 11:8, |
| questioning [1] $-201: 24$ | 179:17, 179:28 RE [2]-5:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 180: 10,187: 6 \\ & 208: 3,209: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 93:12 | 204:5 <br> recording [2] - | 114:17, 211:16 |
| questions [33]- | 59:21 | reassigned [2] - | [3] - 133: | $\begin{gathered} \text { recording [2] - } \\ 110: 18,136: 18 \end{gathered}$ | regarded [1] - |
| 15:24, 16:23 | re [1] - 138:15 | 150:10, 189:14 | 141:24, 180: | records [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:2 } \\ & \text { regarding [21] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 21:8, 34:19, 35:4 | RE-EXAMINED | reassuring [1] - | reclassified [1] - | 84:25, 206:17 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 7,35: 8,35: 11, \\ & 35: 18,37: 7, \end{aligned}$ | [2] - 5:8, 59:21 | 203:17 | $141: 3$ | redundant [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 2,66: 8,67: 4, \\ & 67: 6,68: 17,79: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $51: 26,52: 1,52: 4$ | 138:15 | recalled [2] <br> 111:22, 168: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reclined [1] } \\ & \text { 83:6 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $54: 7,54: 28,59: 5,$ | reaction [1] | recategorisatio | recollection [20] | refer [12] - | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 8,82: 29,83: 5 \\ & 100: 1,135: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 63:20, 76:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 22 \\ & \text { read [19] - 66:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{n}[1]-179: 20 \\ & \text { recategorise }[2] \end{aligned}$ | - 9:23, 9:25, | $29: 19,35:$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 136:26, 138:7, } \\ & 160: 4,160: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| 78:1, 78:4, 78:9, |  |  | $65: 25,70: 4$ | $50: 29,51: 1,$ |  |
| 101:11, 120:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { read }[19]-66: 5, \\ & 66: 17,67: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -135: 28,142: 11 \\ \text { recategorised } \end{array}$ | 70:11, 79:27 | 116:4, 128:4, | 160:27, 161:17, |
| 128:28, 140:3, | 67:19, 71:14 |  | 79:29, 82:22 | 179:15, 190:13, | 167:24, 169:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150:4, 158:21, } \\ & \text { 161:27, 167:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 84:16, 93:9, | [9] - 136:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 29,83: 11, \\ & 90: 1,106: 12, \end{aligned}$ |  | 173:8, 202:21 |
| 180:27, 181:6, | $99: 16,99: 21$ | 140:26, 141:20, | 90:1, 106:12, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 207:13, 212:6 } \\ \text { reference }[18] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | regardless [1] - |
| 200:3, 205:14 | 121:28, 131:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 142: 23,145: 5, \\ & 145: 11,154: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 132: 1,162: 17 \\ & 189: 18,191: S \end{aligned}$ | reference [18] - 12:18, 12:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 186:15 } \\ & \text { regime [2] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| quickly [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 147:28, 175:28, } \\ & \text { 197:23, 198:3, } \end{aligned}$ | 154:22, 154:24 | $198: 4,206: 18$ | $12: 23,13: 4$ | 133:13, 133:19 registration [2] - |
| 153:17, 154:12 |  | receipt [9] - |  | 27:21, 51:3, 51:8, |  |
| QUINN [1] - 3:10 Quinn [1] - | 199:11, 199:21 | 71:20, 128:7, | recommend [6] | $95: 18,123: 10,$ | 143:17, 143:27 |
| ${ }^{\text {Quinn [1] - }}$ | reader [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 171:1, 175:6, } \\ & \text { 189:22, 189:24 } \end{aligned}$ | - 118:16, 118:20, | 132:23, 140:18, | regret [1] - 31:28 regular [3] - |
| 65:12 quite [24] - | $174: 25$ <br> reading [5] - |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { 130:28, 135:28, } \\ \text { 140:26. 177:17 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168:14, 174:5, } \\ & \text { 174:9, 174:17, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 16:24, 17:16, | $85: 13,117: 23$ | $214: 13$ | recommendati | 176:11, 192:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 25,33: 5, \\ & 92: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 35:4, 48:13, |  | receive [3] | on [8]-141:2, | 194:22 | rejigging [1] - |
| 61:21, 61:23, | ds [1] - 86:23 | 94:19, 98:4 | 152:22, 180:2 | referral [1] | 213:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 68: 27,76: 18 \\ & 103: 2,113: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200:8 } \\ & \text { received [51] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 188:5, 188:25, } \\ & \text { 192:16, 196:21, } \end{aligned}$ | 61:13 |  |
| 116:25, 119:19, |  |  |  | referred [11] - | $97: 12,153: 8$ |
| 133:14, 134:16, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:4, 31:1, 92:22, } \\ & \text { 94:20, 94:29, } \\ & 97: 29,98: 6, \\ & 98: 17,98: 20, \\ & 99: 8,105: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 208:6 } \\ & \text { recommendati } \\ & \text { ons }[6]-138: 8, \\ & 138: 12,197: 2, \\ & 206: 23,206: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 15:8, 32:17, <br> 42:17, 53:22, <br> 79:22, 114:12, <br> 124:23, 132:21, <br> 139:20, 178:29, | related [1] - |
| 142:18, 153:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:17, 22:14, } \\ & \text { 55:20, 62:23, } \\ & 70: 20,107: 14, \\ & 110: 16,124: 16, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 14 \\ & \text { relates [9] - } \\ & 84: 17,84: 25, \\ & 87: 12,130: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:12, 154:29, } \\ & \text { 156:5, 156:9, } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 156:5, 156:9, } \\ & \text { 157:23, 161:21, } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |



| 209:13 | $31: 18,57: 3$ | returned [14] - | 131:10, 134:1, | $3: 23,3: 24,4: 3$ | 153:3, 169:22, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reporter [1] - | reservations [1] | 68:9, 69:24 | 150:24, 197:27, | 4:4, 4:7 | 172:9, 172:14, |
| $149: 24$ | - 186:24 | 82:23, 83:23, | 197:28 | scale [1] - 94:2 <br> SCANLAN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 172:18, 175:26, } \\ & \text { 179:4, 182:15 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 18:5, 39:2, 61:9, | 94:11 | 122:14, 131:15, | 53:1 | 3:8 | 196:5, 199:11 |
| 98:27, 118:11, | RESOURCES | 156:14, 173:1, | rough [1] - | scenario [6] - | 200:26, 204:5 |
| 122:21, 122:22, | [1]-3:15 | 174:28, 195:13, | 213:28 | 38:2, 39:15, | seconded [1] - |
| 133:15, 137:5, | esources [6] | 204:17, 205:24 | round [1] | 39:28, 39:29, | 52:18 |
| 149:19, 152:26, | 121:16, 134:22, | returning [4] | 184:19 | 42:19, 46:4 | condly [2] - |
| 155:29, 165:1, | 188:29, 189:7, | 11:16, 12:7, | route [4]-137:8, | scene [3] - | 152:20, 201:13 |
| 165:2, 168:7, | 189:10, 189:12 | 83:14, 83:15 | 150:21, 166:14, | 39:17, 149:2, | see [96]-9:27, |
| 198:8, 198:10, | respect [6] - | review [2] - | 187:21 | 151:29 | 12:1, 14:4, 18:4, |
| 211:16 | 29:7, 29:15, | 142:24, 185:9 | Roxborough [1] | schedule [1] - | 20:6, 21:11, |
| reports [9] - | 52:13, 89:12, | reviewed [4] - | - 92:9 | 213:28 | 23:19, 26:23, |
| 29:15, 74:3, | 183:5, 185:18 | 93:28, 142:17, | rubbish [2] - | school [1] - | 28:10, 29:4, |
| 79:22, 97:6, | respectful [1] - | 154:20, 154:27 | 164:2, 164:14 | 102:1 | 32:24, 33:3, 33:4, |
| 120:4, 170:2, | 69:1 | revisit [2] - | rule [8] - $39: 12$, | scope [3] - | 33:9, 33:22, |
| 206:5, 209:21, | respectively [1] | 98:25, 141:7 | 44:16, 44:17, | 63:14, 156:8 | 39:20, 39:25, |
| $210: 20$ | $-98: 23$ | revisiting [1] - | 153:16, 207:8, | $187: 2$ | 40:28, 41:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { represent [1] - } \\ & 181 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | respects [1] - | 122:26 | 207:12, 207:13 | screen [22] - | 41:22, 41:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 181:3 } \\ & \text { representation } \end{aligned}$ | $73: 2$ <br> respond [5] - | 160:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 207:27 } \\ & \text { rules [2] - 136:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 19,29: 1 \\ & 32: 17,71: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $62: 8,62: 23,66: 3,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-32: 3} \\ & \text { reputation } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 7,41: 9,77: 8 \\ & 77: 20,160: 16 \end{aligned}$ | rider [1]-14:9 | 142:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 6,87: 9,92: 25, \\ & 94: 21,95: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:4, 69:6, 79:27, } \\ & 80: 12,84: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:12 } \\ & \text { request }[24] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | responded [1] - | 80:24 | running [1] - | 100:6, 103:23, | 84:10, 85:16, 89:24. 89:25. |
|  | 143:6 <br> responding ${ }_{[1]}$ - | rightly [2] - | $150: 24$ | 104:7, 128:17, | 89:24, 89:25, 90:27, 93:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:11, 81:1, 96:8, } \\ & 98: 7,102: 26, \end{aligned}$ | 64:5 <br> response [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:12, 80:25 } \\ & \text { ring [1] - 81:27 } \\ & \text { rise }[2]-17: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ryan [3]-7:14, } \\ & 7: 17,7: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 135:5, 136:3, 143:13, 144:5 | $96: 14,98: 2,$ |
| 103:14, 109:23, |  |  |  | 146:22, 151:15, | $98: 18,104: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:27, 119:22, } \\ & \text { 120:1, 120:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $214: 21$ <br> responsibilitie | 185:9 | S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:20, 193:14, } \\ & 200: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:18, 112:16, } \\ & \text { 117:26, 118:1, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:1, 120:7, } \\ & \text { 120:9, 120:13 } \end{aligned}$ |  | road [1] - 142:17 |  | scroll [15] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:23, 119:8, } \\ & \text { 119:29, 120:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:10, 123:1, } \\ & \text { 125:14, 125:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{s}[1]-173: 18 \\ & \text { responsibility } \end{aligned}$ | Road [1] - 92:9 | sake [1] - 32:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 19,32: 23, \\ & 33 \cdot 2,33 \cdot 4 \quad 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:29, 120:13, } \\ & \text { 120:20, 121:4, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 125:19, 132:10, | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-159: 14} \\ & \text { rest }[8]-33: 29, \end{aligned}$ | $135: 14$ | SANDRA [1] - | $33: 19,87: 7,$ | 121:6, 121:11, |
| 159:20, 161:8, |  | robberies [1] - |  | 100:7, 104:9, | 127:7, 132:25, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 171: 1,201: 5 \\ & \text { requested }[16] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 5,34: 6,34: 11 \\ & 35: 29,138: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 136:20 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sat [3] - 70:27, } \\ 115: 24,141: 29 \end{array}$ | 104:17, 107:17, 144:4, 151:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 136:4, 138:16, } \\ & \text { 143:13, 150:16, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $205: 29,206: 6$ | robbery [18] - | satisfied [3] - | $163: 15,205: 20$ | 151:27, 155:6, |
| 61:19, 71:21, | restaurant [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 9,134: 25, \\ & 136: 24,137: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 134:16, 136:6, | scrolled [1] - | 156:5, 158:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 71: 25,72: 14, \\ & 72: 16,84: 28, \\ & 96: 3,96: 7,98: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:16 } \\ & \text { restructure }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $140: 25,142: 4$ | 161:21 | 193:13 | 163:4, 165:4, |
|  |  | $145: 5,145: 11$ | Saturday [15] - | scurrilous [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 166:9, 166:15, } \\ & 170: 27,174: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 96: 3,96: 7,98: 13 \\ & 120: 4,128: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $7: 5$ <br> restructuring [2] | 153:1, 153:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:19, 10:20, } \\ & \text { 27:8, 32:20, 33:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $94: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 170:27, 174:3, } \\ & \text { 174:13, 175:14, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:4, 128:8, } \\ & \text { 128:22, 129:19 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 154:21, 154:26, | 27:8, 32:20, 33:7, $33: 15,33: 17,$ | SEAN [1] - 3:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 174:13, 175:14, } \\ & \text { 176:29, 177:20, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 128:22, 129:19 } \\ \text { requesting [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -8: 12,8: 19 \\ \text { result [5] - } \end{gathered}$ | $178: 28,179: 8$ | 39:18, 56:2, | 13:15, 13:16, | 177:25, 179:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:28 } \\ & \text { requests [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 17,93: 13 \\ & \text { 147:14, 159:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $179: 25,205: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 25,59: 28, \\ & 60: 4,111: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 13:25, 13:27, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 186:1, 187:16, } \\ & \text { 187:22, 189:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | role [17] - 66:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 4,111: 9 \\ & 209: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $14: 15,20: 20,$ | 187:22, 189:23, <br> 192:17, 193:14, |
| $\begin{gathered} 96: 13,132: 9 \\ \text { require [5] - } \end{gathered}$ | 167:26 <br> RESUMED ${ }_{[2]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 27,76: 1, \\ & 85: 27,92: 5,92: 6 \end{aligned}$ | Savage [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 22,22: 27 \\ & 153: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 193:16, 194:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 59: 7,59: 12 \\ & \text { 121:14, 122:24 } \end{aligned}$167:27 | 6:1, 104:1 | 92:12, 92:13, | 144:3 | searched [5] - | 196:4, 196:5, |
|  | RETIRED [7] - | 128:24, 144:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAVAGE }{ }_{[1]}- \\ & 3: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 13:12, 28:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 196:14, 197:4, } \\ & 200: 25,202: 28, \end{aligned}$ |
| $167: 27$ <br> required [5] - | $3: 5,3: 6,3: 7,3: 7,$ | 152:18, 175:18, | 3:16 | 66:9, 164:9, | 210:16, 211:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48: 10,61: 8 \\ & \text { 114:2, 134:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $3: 11,3: 12,3: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 183:13, 183:17, } \\ & \text { 183:18, 188:19 } \end{aligned}$ | 146:28, 148:26, |  | seeing [2] - |
|  |  | 207:2 | 148:27 | 17:23, 73:6 | 96:28, 111:19 |
| $210: 2$ <br> requiring [1] - | 126:7, 193:27, | roles [5] - 152:5, | saw [5] - 43:20, | 84:16, 84:24, | seek [12] - |
|  | 194:6, 194:7, | $156: 2,157: 25$ | 46:10, 90:19, $200: 9,205: 16$ | 86:19, 117:20, | 98:19, 101:21, |
| 49:12 resent [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 194:10, 195:3, } \\ & 195: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 159:13, 173:18 room [6] - | $\mathbf{S C}_{[6]}-3: 23,$ | 131:21, 144:6, | 102:4, 102:17 |


| 106:15, 117:1, | 161:16 | 85:29, 86:14, | 33:24 | Sinéad [1] - 7:14 | 196:11, 203:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 138:15, 142:13, | sequence [3] $127: 23.127: 29$ | 87:10, 88:10 | $77$ | $t[1]-103: 10$ | metime ${ }^{[11}$ |
| seeking [7] - | 209:28 | 90:7, 111:17, | 167:10, 206:29 | 214:6 | 65:16, 65:19, |
| 62:2, 97:29, 98:1, | SERGEANT ${ }_{[9]}$ - | 112:28, 113:1, | SHEAHAN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | situation [21] | 65:27, 67:27, |
| 103:14, 108:12, | 3:12, 3:20, 4:2, | 113:4, 120:24, | 3:18 | 14:29, 16:26, | 89:23, 115:3, |
| 115:25, 192:29 | 4:3, 4:3, 5:3, | 154:18, 156:28 | eet [2] | 22:23, 22:26 | 115:4, 200:9 |
| seem [8]-89:27, | 6:14, 35:20, | 157:11, 162:10, | 142:15, 142:16 | 25:12, 27:10, | 214:14 |
| 108:16, 139:14, | 59:21 | 168:13, 193:18, | SHELLEY ${ }_{[1]}$ | 45:18, 45:21, | sometimes [1] - |
| 156:23, 191:13, | sergeant $\left.{ }^{3} \mathbf{3}\right]$ - | 193:21, 196:9, | 3:25 | 58:2, 59:17, | 141:11 |
| 192:2, 196:19, | 7:15, 25:5, 54:16, | 197:2, 213:21 | shift [2] - 32:26, | 62:28, 63:21, | somewhere [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 199:17 } \\ & \text { selected [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 21,65: 13, \\ & 89: 8,89: 14,92: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sergeants }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 186:27 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 53: 14 \\ \text { shifts }[2] \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72:5, 94:11, } \\ & \text { 116:28, 129:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 166:12, 167:6 } \\ & \text { soon }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 154:6, 155:17, | 92:13, 92:14, | series [1] | 52:14, 53:13 | 130:21, 160:4, | 119:26 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 189:2 } \\ & \text { selecting }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:15, 118:21, } \\ & \text { 122:4, 130:29, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:14 } \\ & \text { serious [30] } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { SHIP [1] - } 3: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 171:14, 182:16, } \\ & \text { 205:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sooner [1] - } \\ & \text { 140:13 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 206:22 } \\ \text { selling }[3] \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 142:18, 153:12, } \\ & \text { 167:22, 168:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:9, 17:10, } \\ & 25: 17,36: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 45:6 } \\ \text { short }[3]-25: 21, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { six [5] - } 8: 4,8: 7, \\ 89: 25,197: 20, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { sorry [53] - 6:29, } \\ \text { 8:9, 20:28, 21:10, } \end{array}$ |
| 11:1, 19:16, | 171:11, 171:12, | 36:25, 40:19 | 25:24, 169:24 | 206:1 | 32:26, 32:29, |
| 90:23 | 173:19, 177:18, | 40:21, 40:22 | shortly [5] | slanders [1] - | 44:6, 50:18, 53:4, |
| sells [1] - 10:28 | 188:6, 188:9, | 40:23, 41:4, | 89:23, 153:2, | 94:5 | 53:19, 54:29, |
| send [19] - | 188:16, 188:19, | 45:11, 45:16 | 156:14, 161:10 | slant [1]-13:8 | 55:19, 56:3 |
| 24:11, 24:13, | 188:23, 196:22, | 60:16, 60:17 | showing | small $[3]-105: 5$, | 59:19, 70:1, |
| 24:18, 25:3, | 200:5 | 114:1, 114:11 | 210:1 | 105:7, 184:4 | 80:21, 82:17 |
| 37:10, 40:7, | Sergeant [101] - | 114:12, 118:2, | shown [3] | Smith [3] - | 87:28, 88:2, 93:2, |
| 43:13, 44:27, | 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, | 159:9, 162:13, | 63:7, 201:23 | 143:12, 146:19, | 93:4, 106:23, |
| 51:9, 90:26, | 6:19, 18:8, 23:14, | 163:5, 167:18 | 203:26 | 160:23 | 113:27, 115:7, |
| 119:5, 122:6, | 23:20, 24:4, 24:7, | 182:3, 182:4, | [3] - 35:15, | SMITH $_{[1]}-3: 21$ | 115:12, 125:2, |
| 122:11, 132:13, | 24:14, 24:20, | 182:8, 182:17, | 147:22, 151:28 | SMITHFIELD ${ }_{[1]}$ | 125:29, 126:26, |
| 169:8, 169:9, | 25:1, 25:5, 25:20, | 182:29, 183:5 | gn [1] - 110:21 | -4:10 | 126:27, 127:8 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 169:14, 169:17 } \\ \text { sending [6] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:28, 26:18, } \\ & \text { 27:14, 29:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 185:20 } \\ \text { seriousness } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { signed }[2]- \\ 94: 17,97: 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sober }_{[1]}-12: 1 \\ & \text { social }_{[3]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:15, 128:18, } \\ & \text { 138:18, 141:5, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 23:16, 48:27, | 35:23, 37:8, | - 114:7 | significance [1] | 11:22, 11:23, | 145:7, 146:27, |
| 49:3, 141:27, | 40:26, 41:3, | ervice [2] | -18:13 | 185:2 | 147:6, 150:1, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 164:17, 169:3 } \\ \text { sends [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 12,50: 21, \\ & 50: 24,50: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31: 24,82: 5 \\ \text { serving }[1]- \end{gathered}$ | significant [8] - | society [3] - <br> 11:9, 19:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 156:22, 157:9, } \\ & \text { 164:3, 176:5, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 169:18 | 51:2, 52:3, 54:8, | 42:11 | $62: 12,76: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 9,1 \\ & 37: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 177:9, 177:11, |
| sense [3]- | 54:18, 55:8, | set [7]-20:10 | $118: 29,132: 25$ | sole [3] - 97:13, | 178:5, 182:22, |
| 63:17, 175:4, 181:20 | 55:27, 59:27, | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 12,32: 14, \\ & 54: 25,97: 6, \end{aligned}$ | 171:7 | 97:18, 107:6 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 185:16, 198:27 } \\ \text { 203:12, 208:13 } \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 181:20 } \\ & \text { sent [26]-23:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:24, 63:18, } \\ & 65: 14,65: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { 54:25, 97:6, } \\ \text { 140:7, 152:11 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { similar }_{[10]}- \\ 19: 4,26: 9,29: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { solely }[2]- \\ \text { 138:9, 180:18 } \end{gathered}$ | sort [10]-14:21, |
| $23: 20,29: 2,$ | 65:22, 65:26, | sets [1] - 151:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:4, 26:9, 29:2 } \\ & 96: 28,108: 16, \end{aligned}$ | solicitor ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 18:15, 31:19, |
| 34:12, 46:5, 50:9, | 65:27, 66:1, | setting [1] - | 124:15, 156:2, | 31:26 | 35:16, 47:21, |
| 60:5, 60:29, 66:2, | 66:25, 67:10, | 48:28 | 157:25, 157:27, | SOLICITOR'S | 53:9, 55:22, |
| 71:12, 100:3, | 67:21, 67:22, | settle [1] - 94:6 | 196:23 | $[1]-3: 27$ | 56:18, 57.11 , |
| 111:13, 111:18, 113:15, 116:5, | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 68: 10,69: 26, \\ 69: 29,70: 25, \end{array}$ | seven [2] - 33:9, 103:2 | similarly ${ }_{[1]}$ - | Solicitor's [1] | 158:28 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:15, 116:5, } \\ & \text { 120:2, 123:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 29,70: 25, \\ & 71 \cdot 3 \quad 71 \cdot 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:2 } \\ & \text { several }[3] \end{aligned}$ | 122:11 | 151:16 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { sought [3] - } \\ 98: 17,103: 7, \end{array}$ |
| 125:11, 125:12, | $72: 10,72: 27,$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \text { several [3] - } \\ 42: 10,42: 14, \end{array}$ | simply [12] <br> 31:14, 55:1 | SOLICITORS [2] | 188:29 |
| 130:4, 130:10, | 73:5, 73:25, | 99:28 | $74: 28,75:$ | someone [23] | sounds [1] - |
| 169:13, 169:16, | 74:28, 78:16, | severe [1] - 94:9 | $75: 17,79: 28,$ | 10:29, 11:3, | \| 26:19 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 170:3, 187:29 } \\ \text { sentence }[2] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 79:1, 79:9, 79 } \\ & 79: 20,79: 22, \end{aligned}$ | SHANE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $86: 24,90: 6$ | 19:28, 37:23, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { source [2] - } \\ 47: 18,159: 4 \end{array}$ |
| 14:9, 200:17 | 79:25, 79:27, | Shankey [2] | 191:24, 197:14 | 39:12, 39:18, | speaking [5] - |
| separate [2] - | 81:6, 81:18, | 143:12, 146:18 | single [1] - 61:1 | $44: 2,44: 26,$ | 18:27, 19:8, |
| 29:11, 96:26 | 82:22, 82:24, <br> 83:2, 83:8, $83 \cdot 11$ | SHANKEY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | singled [1] - | 58:25, 74:15, | 88:21, 134:15, |
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| $213: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:10, 115:14, } \\ & \text { 128:24, 129:19, } \end{aligned}$ | transpired [3] 109:5, 169•2 | TUESDAY ${ }_{[1]}$ 6.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:29, 123:15, } \\ & \text { 130:23, 133:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNTIL [1] - } \\ & 214 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { therefore }[3] \text { - } \\ & 32: 5,63: 24 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:24, 129:19, } \\ & \text { 175:18, 191:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:5, 169:2, } \\ & 171: 9 \end{aligned}$ | ```6:1 turn [10] - 95:23,``` | $150: 18,154: 18$ | 214:26 <br> unusual [10] |
| 112:27 | 192:13, 192:20 | travelled [2] | 113:12, 114:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:10, 159:27, } \\ & \text { 187:15, 189:8 } \end{aligned}$ | 34:13, 119:7, |
| therein [1] - | tomorrow [1] | 101:22, 101:28 | 115:6, 118:6, | undermine [1] - | $136: 20,156: 5,$ |
| $144: 9$ <br> thinking [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 213:14 } \\ & \text { took }[30]-13: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Treacy [19] - } \\ \text { 29:18, 98:5, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 136:4, 137:4, } \\ & \text { 163:13, 169:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 17:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 184:8, 186:5, } \\ & \text { 186:9, 192:2, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 85: 27 \\ & \text { third }[8]-100: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:24, 13:26, } \\ & 14: 3,14: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 16,98: 24 \\ & \text { 101:3, 101:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 189:14 } \\ & \text { turned }[2]-63: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { understood [9] - } \\ & 39: 3,77: 21 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 197:20, 206:21 } \\ \text { up [121] - } 6: 24, \end{gathered}$ |
| 100:19, 100:21, | 14:22, 15:1, | 102:6, 102:19, | 86:5 | 80:17, 80:21, | 12:11, 13:16, |
| 143:13, 145:2, | $15: 13,15: 28$ $17 \cdot 3,18: 25$ | 103:16, 105:23, | Turner [6] - | 206:3, 206:4 | 16:9, 17:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 145: 9,163: 17, \\ & 164: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 17:3, 18:25, $20: 12,21: 22$ | 105:28, 106:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 23,8: 1,8: 8, \\ & 8: 10,153: 14, \end{aligned}$ | undertake [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:20, 23:19, } \\ & \text { 24:13, 27:18 } \end{aligned}$ |
| three [28] - 7:12, | 32:11, 33:21, $48: 17,48: 21$ | 109:1, 109:19, | $153: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:4, 115:9 } \\ & \text { undertook [13] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 25,28: 17 \\ & 28: 26,29: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:12, 15:7, } \\ & \text { 15:15, 17:21, } \end{aligned}$ | 57:17, 63:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 109: 25,110: 1, \\ & 110: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { two [32]-9:8, } \\ \text { 26:19, 39:20, } \end{gathered}$ | $72: 1,74: 6,85: 4,$ | 30:26, 31:12, |
| 17:29, 19:21, | 77:8, 77:10, 90:1, | Treacy's [2] - | 44:28, 46:26, | 85:19, 100:2, | 32:16, 33:2, |
| 21:18, 28:14, | 112:22, 115:1, | 98:21, 99:16 | $53: 26,65: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:27, 117:2, } \\ & \text { 119:2, 129:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $33: 10,33: 21,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 52: 16,52: 21, \\ & 52: 23,52: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 132:3, 146:26, } \\ & \text { 172:27, 197:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { treating }[1] \text { - } \\ & 44: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 67:28, 74:27, <br> 84:7, 95:7, 98:17, | 171:5, 175:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 11,34: 15, \\ & 35: 27,35: 29, \end{aligned}$ |
| 94:22, 112:21, | $212: 11$ | Tribunal [29] - | 103:26, 105:9, 122:16, 131:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 186:4, 187:22 } \\ & \text { undesirable }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 10,38: 16 \\ & 39: 14,41: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 142:6, 143:14, | $141: 15,147: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 11,30: 19, \\ & 38: 1,39: 26, \end{aligned}$ | 135:14, 136:21, | $157: 21$ | $41: 18,43: 14$ |
| 164:9, 165:25, | topic [3] - 12:12, | $40: 10,43: 23$ | 143:1, 146:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unease [1] - } \\ & 157: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $44: 27,46: 5$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 166:16, 182:5, } \\ & \text { 182:9, 182:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12: 14,27: 25 \\ \text { topical }[1]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 1,47: 3,51: 14, \\ & 76: 22,89: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:11, 148:12, } \\ & 148: 14,153: 25, \end{aligned}$ | unfavourable | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 27,49: 3,50: 9 \\ & 50: 16,51: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 214:5 | $25: 25$ | 110:24, 111:16, | 156:26, 157:1, | $\begin{aligned} & {[2]-100: 12,} \\ & 100: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 15,54: 20, \\ & 54: 21,54: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| $-52: 21$ | totally [8] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:18, 128:16, } \\ & \text { 168:15, 171:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 170:2, 172:12, | unfortunately | 55:23, 55:25, |
| throughout [4] - | 48:26, 58:1, 63:7, | 174:6, 174:10, | 186:27, 206:7 | [1] - 189:15 | 56:1, 56:18, |
| 70:23, 70:26, | 126:27, 176:3, | 176:12, 183:27, | type [3] - | unfounded [1] - 94:5 | $56: 22,56: 25$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 90: 12,161: 16 \\ \text { thrown [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176: 4,176: 7 \\ & 176: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 194:26, 198:13, } \\ & \text { 198:22, 199:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 197:26, 207:28, } \\ & 210: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 94:5 uniform [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 9,57: 28, \\ & 59: 24,61: 6,69: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 158:28 | touch [1] - | 199:24, 200:21, | typed [6] - | 11:12, 15:16, | $\text { 69:21, } 70: 10$ |
| Thursday [1] - | 213:26 | 202:16, 205:23 | 121:26, 176:10, |  |  |



| Ó |
| :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{o ́}_{[5]}-3: 7, \\ 60: 19,63: 10, \\ 155: 23,194: 1 \end{gathered}$ |


[^0]:    "Forwarded for your information and attention as requested. "

