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THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVS, ON WEDNESDAY, 22ND

## J ANUARY 2020:

CHA RMAN Thanks very much.
MG. MEGRATH Good morning, Chairman.
CHAN RMAN Good morning, Ms. McGrath.
MG. MtGRATH The next witness is Detective
Sergeant Yvonne Martin, please.
CHA RMAN Thank you.

## DETECTI VE SERGEANT YVONE MARTI N, HAM NG BEEN SVORN,

 WAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MD. MEGRATH, AS FOLLOVG:THE WTNESS: Detective Sergeant Yvonne Martin.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Good morning.
THE WTNESS: Good morning, Chairman.
MS. MLGRATH Good morning, detective. Detective, I think you're attached to Athlone Garda Station at the moment, at the rank of detective sergeant, is that right?
A. That's correct.

2 Q. okay. I think you were attested back in June 2001, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

3 Q. And you became a sergeant in 2007?
A. That's correct, yes.

4 Q. It was in your capacity as sergeant then that you transferred to Ath1one district in December 2014, isn't that right?
A. Yes. I initially went to Castlepollard in Mullingar, in the Westmeath division and then down to Athlone in 2014.

5 Q. Okay. Now, I think when you came to Athlone in 2014, can you just tell the Chairman what unit you were working in, in Athlone?
A. I was attached to the regular unit, unit B, in Athlone Garda Station. And I was there just over a year when I was assigned as sergeant in the crime drugs unit, commencing February '16. And I worked there for a number of months alongside Detective Sergeant Eamon Curley before his promotion to inspector and I then worked as acting detective sergeant until I was appointed myself as detective sergeant.
CHA RMAN Sorry, could I ask you to pull the microphone a tiny bit closer to you. Thanks very much. If you pull that little apparatus. Thanks very much. I don't want to make you uncomfortable or don't get too conscious of it, but $I$ find it a little easier to understand. Thanks very much.
MS. MEGRATH Now, I think you say there you were on unit B during that period at least between end of 2014 into the end of 2015, is that right?
A. Even up to February 2016.

7 Q. Okay. Now, I think Garda Keogh worked on unit C, as we 10:34 have heard here in the Tribunal, is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.

8 Q. Now, can I just refer to you your statement. Chairman, it's at volume 3 and it's at page 595. The first
paragraph is the paragraph I want you to look at, the first paragraph there at page 596. You say there at paragraph 3.1, there at the very top, you say:
"I would have had no deal ings with Garda Keogh as he was on a different unit to me. Any interaction would have been limited to change of shifts and other limited occasi ons and I do not recall any interactions even at such times."

Is that right?
A. That's correct.

9 Q. So as you say, you put it down to you were on separate shifts, separate units?
A. Yes, we'd meet at change over of if there was a crossover of units. Okay. Now, when you came to Athlone in December 2014, did you know that Garda Keogh at that point was a confidential reporter from the previous May, did you know that?
A. I would have known of it. I was on maternity leave for the six months prior to starting in Athlone, but I would have known that he had made a protected disclosure.

11 Q. Would you have known anything about him either professionally or personally, that he had been on sick leave intermittently?
A. No, not prior to that, no.

12 Q. For example, would you have known that from December
and at least into mid 2015 his medical certificates were citing work related stress, would you have known that at any point?
A. I wouldn't have known what his medical certs were saying.

13 Q. Can I just ask you, you say there you had effectively no dealings with him and I think his supervising sergeant was Sergeant Monaghan, is that right?
A. Sergeant Moylan.

Sorry, Sergeant Moylan. Would you ever have come across or had occasion to come across work performance issues for Garda Keogh, at least from December to, say, mid 2015?
A. No, I wouldn't, no.

15 Q. Okay. Can I just ask you, I just want to concentrate on one particular thing, detective, this morning, and it's the timeframe and the events in March 2015 and, as you know, Chief Superintendent Patrick Murray started in Athlone in early March, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

16 Q. Now, I want to ask you in particular about 20th March 2015. According to the documentation that the Tribunal has seen and the chief superintendent's evidence, he spoke to you on 20th march 2015 about taking on a support role in respect of Garda Keogh, is that right?
A. That is correct, yeah.

17 Q. Now can you tell the Tribunal a little bit about that conversation and assist the Chairman as much as you can about the specifics of it?
A. Yes, Chairman. I spoke to Superintendent Murray at that time and he informed me that he was putting a structure in place to help support Garda Keogh, and he asked me I would be a the liaison sergeant for him. This was to deal with any welfare issues he may have, with a view to solving them.

18 Q. Can you explain the content of the conversation, the context of it; why was this necessary? Were you told about this was necessary?
A. Well, it was obvious at that stage that Garda Keogh was 10:37 going through a stressful time and it was just an extra support in place for him. I was somebody new to the station too, I was just there a few months and I was totally independent from anything that would have went on previously. This was separate to his unit sergeant and I know Sergeant Haran was the second sergeant attached to that unit. So it was just an extra support that if he needed any -- he wanted to talk to anybody or he had any issues, that he could come to me.
19 Q. Can I ask you, was the ó Cualáin investigation mentioned to you in the context of all of this?
A. No.

20 Q. Can I also ask you, at that point, in December 2014, January 2015 and March 2015, Garda Keogh had submitted medical certs for his sick leave citing work related stress, was this discussed with you?
A. I know he would have had a number of sick days, but the reason for his sick I wasn't aware of.

21 Q. And also, can I ask you, were alcohol issues or
problems mentioned to you in this conversation?
A. I don't know if it was mentioned in the conversation, but I would have been aware that there was alcohol issues. But $I$ don't recall that it was said in that conversation.

I think your evidence there just a moment ago to the Chairman is that you were asked by the chief superintendent to deal with welfare issues for Garda Keogh?
A. Yes.
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, we will come back to that in a moment, because I think there is some documentation that mention work related issues, we will come to that in a moment, but I 10:39 think is that your evidence; that on the 20th March you understood it to be a welfare role, is that right?
A. Yes, I was very clear from that conversation that it was a welfare role $I$ had.
Q. Did it concern you or was it an issue that arose that you didn't know Garda Keogh or had no relationship with him at that point?
A. No, I didn't. I felt that I was probably a suitable person for the role, in that $I$ had no dealings with anybody prior to that in Athlone and I was totally independent and impartial in relation to anything.
Q. Now, I think the chief superintendent made you aware or at least you may have known that Sergeant Haran was previously providing the support role, is that right?
A. That's correct.
A.
Q.
okay. Can I ask you to just move on a couple of days then to 26th March 2015. The Chairman has heard that it was on this particular day that the chief superintendent spoke to Garda Keogh and informed him that he was putting new workplace structures in situ for Garda Keogh. In particular, you may be aware from the transcripts that he has given evidence that he informed Garda Keogh that you were going to be put in place to offer support to him? Haran informed me he was aware of that.
Q. Would you have by any chance approached Sergeant Moylan at this stage, to talk to him, get a background or an understanding of what was involved?
. No, I didn't speak to Sergeant Moylan.
A. That's correct.

31 Q. Okay. Now, also he has given evidence that Garda Keogh agreed to this and agreed to use you, do you have any issue with that?
A. No.

Q. Do you disagree with that? Okay. Now, the chief superintendent has recorded that after this meeting he then spoke to you and some of your colleagues and all agreed with the course of action that was going to be put in place. So this is the second conversation you had with Chief Superintendent Murray. Can you tell the Chairman about that conversation?
A. I believe it's similar to the first one, my role would be that I would be dealing with welfare issues. Sergeant Moylan was his unit sergeant. Like every unit 10:42 in the station, there was two sergeants. We11, there was one unit sergeant and then there was a second sergeant that covered in the event that the main unit sergeant was on leave or away for any reason.
If I can ask Mr. Kavanagh just to put up page 2188, I just want to ask you about the note that the chief superintendent took in respect of that meeting with Garda Keogh. Just where it concerns yourself. I just want to ask you about that. So it's 2188. If you see there at the top paragraph, it's recorded:
"I said l was asking Ser geant Yvonne Martin to link in with himin rel ation to all workplace issues."

Now this is where we see workplace issues. It doesn't say welfare issues, so $I$ wonder can you assist the Chairman in relation to that?
A. Yeah, it doesn't, no. I was very clear that I wasn't dealing with workplace issues. Sergeant Moylan was his unit issues. If Superintendent Murray had asked me to deal with workplace issues in relation to his files or his work, I would have said, well, he has his own sergeant. I had my own unit. So I was very clear from the conversation with Superintendent Murray that it was 10:43 welfare issues and not workplace issues. And in the event I didn't then deal with any workplace issues.

34 Q. okay.
A. You know, if I thought it was that role I had and it was in my remit to do it, I would have done it, if he hadn't got his own sergeant to deal with that matter.
35 Q. Just in the next sentence there, detective --
MG. O ROURKE: Chairperson, just an observation.
CHA RMAN I am sorry, Ms. O'Rourke.
M5. O ROURKE: Chairperson, just an observation, this
is a note prepared by Chief Superintendent Murray. I don't know to what extent Sergeant Martin can assist in interpreting that. She is giving her evidence as to what she understood the position to be.
MS. MEGRATH There is no issue with that. As I say, I 10:44 just want to clarify the reference to workplace issues, Chairman. But also, there is an issue arising of somewhat of a dispute in relation to the second last sentence there, if I could just ask the detective to
look at that.
CHA RMAN Before you go there, Ms. McGrath, it seems to me that it is perfectly reasonable and legitimate as an inquiry to say, look, to the witness, you're saying that it was exclusively workplace issues, it would appear from Superintendent Murray -- I am referring to people by their title at the relevant time, I know that Chief Superintendent Murray was promoted, but it's legitimate for Ms. McGrath to say, look, Superintendent Murray has recorded here that he was referring to workplace issues. There's an area to be explored. And the witness says, no, that wasn't my role, he had somebody. And the witness might say, well actually, now that you mention it, yes, there was something of work. So that's perfectly legitimate, in my view that's a perfectly legitimate area of inquiry. ME. MtGRATH Thank you, Chairman. I think in particular, Chairman, I am looking for clarity in relation to the second last sentence there, because Garda Keogh takes issue with this note. In particular, detective, if you can just have a look at it there, this is Superintendent Murray saying:
"I advi sed that he --"

Namely Garda Keogh
" -- di scussed the shortfalls in an assault harassment case with her --"

That's you detective
" -- and that she would put supports in pl ace to ensure thoroughness in the investigation and he agreed the same and to use her."

Now, Garda Keogh disputes this particular note. Can I ask you, did you have such a discussion with Superintendent Murray about such a case and Garda Keogh?
A. I can't actually recall that specifically. But I do know like, even in my time in Monaghan, I worked the majority of the time in the crime unit. And even now in my role as a detective sergeant, other members in the station, not attached to my unit, would come to me in relation to crime investigations and seek advice. So he might have went down that line, to get advice from me from my past experience and my knowledge.
37 Q. Did Garda Keogh ever come to you in relation to --
A. No, he didn't, no.
Q. So is it your evidence, detective, that you don't recal1 a conversation with the superintendent on that issue and/or you don't recall a conversation with Garda Keogh on that issue?
A. Well, I know I didn't have a conversation with Garda Keogh on it. I don't recall being asked to look at that assault harassment case.

39 Q. okay. So if we can just move on, that was the note he
took on the 22nd March. Can I ask Mr. Kavanagh to bring up page 9332. This is moving forward to the end of March, 31st March 2015. So this is 9332. Now, detective, as you know, we have heard evidence from other witnesses in respect of these SR1 forms, which are the forms that are filled out when somebody calls in sick, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.

40 Q. I think this is the 31st March, so you're now in a welfare liaison officer role in respect of Garda Keogh, 10:47 is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

41 Q. Okay. Now if we just move down there, the ordinary illness category is ticked, it says "not provi ded" and I think it's signed by yourself. Is that your signature?
A. That is, yes.

42 Q. So would you have taken that call from Garda Keogh then on that particular day in relation to the sick leave?
A. I don't reca11. I do know that if you go to the top of 10:47 that, if you go to the top of that form his name is filled out in -- I don't believe that's my writing along the time, the date, his name and the reg number and Athlone. From that -- the protocol in relation to reporting sick is that you have to report to a sergeant. My belief in relation to that, that it was the member in charge who would are taken the call and they came down and reported it to me and explained to me. Because I don't recall speaking to Garda Keogh at
any stage reporting sick.
43 Q.
CHAN RMAN would you have been on duty at five past midnight?
A. Yeah, I would have.

44 Q
CHA RMAN You would have?
A. Yeah.
M. MEGRATH But in any event, by signing the form you would have known that he was on sick leave at that point?
A. Yes. And I believe actually that's the time I actually mailed the super in relation to that, that I was actually the member in charge who reported sick too. And it's actually in the disclosure where I e-mailed the superintendent in relation to that matter because it wasn't following protocol, where the member was reporting sick and not asking to speak to the sergeant on duty to report sick, he was reporting to the member in charge.
46 Q. okay.
A. And that is included --

47 Q. CHA RMAN I am not following that, sergeant. would you mind just saying that again to me, please?
A. Yes. In HQ circular in relation to reporting sick, when a member is reporting sick, they should actually report to the sergeant on duty. So when they ring into 10:49 the station they should look -- they should ask for the sergeant on duty, but what was happening was, they were ringing in and reporting sick to the guard who was the member in charge and not looking to speak to the
sergeant. And I recall that, that night in particular, because I e-mailed asking could this protocol be --
48 Q. CHA RMAN so that was a feature, of people ringing in who were going sick?
A. Yes.

49 Q. CHA RMAN Is that right? It wasn't particular or individual to Garda Keogh?
A. No, it was happening across the board.

50 Q
CHA RMAN It was a more general issue?
A. Yes.

51 Q. CHA RMAN But it was something that attracted your attention?
A. Yes. And the e-mail related to across the board with everybody, that if everyone could follow protocol.
CHA RMAN Thank you.
MS. MtGRATH Now, I think we have also heard that what was a practice or is a process is that medical reports may come in subsequently to that. I think the medical report for this date came in on 2nd April 2015. If I can ask Mr. Kavanagh to open page 422. Now, in particular, you see this is a summary from his GP of the sick note. It says:
"2nd April 2015, work rel ated stress, unfit for work, 31st March to 3rd April '15."

Now, in your role then as his liaison officer and looking after welfare issues, did you seek to follow this up or look into it or make any contact with Garda

Keogh in relation to this?
A. I would say I was more a resource for him to come to me if he had any welfare issues. I had to be careful, I was trying to get a balance right of him, knowing he was aware that I was available to him and being intrusive. And not long after my becoming the liaison sergeant for him, he was actually appointed a full-time Garda welfare officer and I believe within two months inspector Minnock was assigned as inspector in relation to welfare issues as well. So I didn't follow up on this.

53 Q. Now, on the 2nd April then, you see the 1etter -- I am just trying to go chronologically, detective, so we're on the 2nd April at this stage. 187 it's a document the Tribunal has already looked at. And again, the first paragraph concerns yourself. I am just opening it to you more formally than anything, because you see there in the first paragraph it's also referring to work related issues he may be having with a view to solving any issues that may arise and both Sergeant Martin and Garda Keogh have been informed of this workplace report. So I think it's your evidence that you didn't deal with work related issues for him?
A. Yes, that's correct. I got that report. But, as I say, it was clear from the conversation I had had with Superintendent Murray what my role was.
54 Q. Okay. Did you seek to correct this with the superintendent?
A. No.

55 Q. Okay. Now, I think in your statement, if we can just go back to your statement at page 596, at paragraph 3.3 there, you say, at the end of that paragraph:
"Garda Keogh chose not to avail of this resource and never spoke to me in my capacity as liai son officer."

Can I just ask you about that? Did you document or make any attempts yourself to contact the garda or engage with him?
A. I didn't. As I said, I was trying to get the balance right with him. I knew he knew I was available to him, that I had been appointed as liaison sergeant and if he had any issues he could come to me. As I said, I checked with -- I confirmed with Sergeant Haran, who I knew had had a previous close relationship with him in this regard, and he confirmed with me that Garda Keogh was aware I was available should he need me.

56 Q. Were you concerned by the lack of engagement, detective, by the garda?
A. No. I think probably if it went on much longer, but the fact then that he was appointed a full-time Garda welfare officer, he had his own unit sergeant, Sergeant Haran was still available for him and I was just an extra resource if he wished to speak to somebody independently really, somebody where he had had no previous involvement in Athlone station.

57 Q. Did you ever report back, we just haven't seen in any of the documentation any official reporting or
documents where you informed the superintendent that this really was never activated, your role as a liaison officer?
A. No.

58 Q. Okay. Were you ever asked by Superintendent Murray for an update or a report?
A. No, I don't recall. Again, as the other resources were put in place for him, I think it --
59 Q. Did the superintendent ever speak to you again about this issue?
A. I can't recall specifically speaking to him again about it.
Q. I know, from the papers, that Garda Mick Quinn was put in place as his welfare officer at the end of April there, 21st Apri1 2005, were you aware of that?
A. I was. I don't know when I became aware of it, but I did come aware of it, yes.
61 Q. In particular, we see, moving into the middle of 2015, in particular the Chairman has heard a lot of evidence around July 2015, were there was an AWOL issue in
respect of Garda Keogh. Did you know anything about that or have any involvement as a point of contact?
A. No, I had no involvement.

62 Q. Were you ever asked to have any involvement as a point of contact?
A. No.

63 Q. So is it fair to say, detective, that you were formally, as it were, put in place, it was never activated and no questions were ever asked; is that
correct?
A. Again, that resource was there for Garda Keogh if he wished to avail of it and he chose not to.

64 Q. Now, did you ever query at any stage what was the whole point of the exercise then effectively?
A. To me, and in speaking to Superintendent Murray, concern for Garda Keogh's welfare was the main priority. So any steps that we could take to assist him was the aim of -- you know, was the reason that I was put in place. So anything we could do to help. You see, detective, it appears that whatever concern might have existed on the 20th and the 26th March, nobody ever came back to you, nobody ever checked the position, clarified the position?
A. Well, they did, in that they were working, in that they arranged that there was a full-time Garda welfare officer put in place. Inspector Minnock then was put in place from the district management side. So things kind of moved on from me as such. So it wasn't that it was just left.
We11, you see, I have to put it to you that Garda Keogh in his evidence to the Tribunal takes the view that this was really just an exercise in coming down on him or microsupervising him or putting sergeants in charge of him. Now, he concedes fully that that didn't happen, but his point still remains, that he says this is what this was actually all about. what do you have to say to that?
A. I don't agree with that at a11. As I said, concern for

Garda Keogh was at the forefront.
67 Q. If you bear with me for one moment, detective. I wonder if you could answer any questions, please?
A. Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Now, Mr. O'brien.

## DETECTI VE SERGEANT YVONE MARTI N WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. O BRI EN, AS FOLLOVS:

68 Q. MR. O BRI EN Good morning, sergeant.
A. Good morning,.

69 Q. Did I understand your evidence to be a moment ago that when you were asked by Superintendent Murray to become the liaison officer for Garda Keogh, that you didn't have a conversation with Garda Keogh in relation to that?
A. I didn't, no.

70 Q. And why was that?
A. Again, I didn't want to be intrusive. I knew he was aware that I had been appointed as liaison sergeant, I was available. I was satisfied he knew of my availability to him if he ever wanted it. And I just wanted to get the balance right, of him being aware and not being intrusive with him.

71 Q. I see. But, for example, to put him -- in circumstances where he already had Sergeant Haran and

Sergeant Moylan as his supervising sergeants, to put him at ease would it not have been better to introduce yourself and simply say, I am going to make myself available to you as a liaison officer for welfare issues only?
A. Yes, I understand that, but I was aware and I confirmed with Sergeant Haran that he knew, he knew me from around the station and I was satisfied that he knew I was available.

That being the case then, would you accept that Garda Keogh's position from his perspective, that along with Sergeant Haran and Sergeant Moylan you had now been appointed, $I$ suppose, to supervise him in some capacity, it's not clear to him what capacity perhaps that that is, and that therefore it appears to him that ${ }_{10: 59}$ he is being excessively supervised by all three of you, would you accept that?
A. No, because it was always clear that I was dealing with welfare issues in relation to -- and you know, it was explained that I was independent from everyone else in the station and if he wanted to talk to somebody...
73 Q. But if you didn't tell him that you were solely dealing with welfare issues, how was it clear to Garda Keogh?
A. I believe from the correspondence that he was aware that I was available to deal with him -- or to address any issues that he may have.

74 Q. When you say you believe from correspondence, I mean where would that correspondence have emanated from, do you believe?
A. We11, we all received the same correspondence where I was appointed as his liaison sergeant in relation to any...
But the letter that Ms. McGrath just referred you to is addressed, as I understand it, to the three sergeants; so to yourself, Sergeant Haran, Sergeant Moylan and nobody else?
A. We11 he was made aware of my appointment as well, in correspondence I believe. I believe he received the correspondence and he spoke to Superintendent Murray, who informed him of the structure that was being put in place.
Q. But in circumstances where $I$ suppose there's perhaps an issue regarding the wording that was used in the letter to you, where it says that you were dealing with work related issues, and you have now told us that you were dealing solely with welfare related issues, can you see how Garda Keogh would be confused about that and --
A. Yeah.

77 Q. -- be under the belief that he was being excessively supervised?
A. I would from that correspondence. But when I spoke to Sergeant Haran and confirmed that he knew I was available to talk to if he needed, I believe that he would have been aware it was welfare issues and not
-- be under the belief that he was being excessively workplace.
78 Q. Just for clarification, you didn't do that yourself with Garda Keogh?
A. No, I didn't do it myself. I didn't speak to him. I
left it for him to come to me if he wanted to use me. MR. O BRI EN Thank you, I have no further questions.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

M. MtGRATH Chairman, I don't know who wants to go next.

CHAl RMAN Yes, Mr. Murphy.

## DETECTI VE SERGEANT YVONE MARTI N MAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY 11:01 MR. MRPHY, AS FOLLONE:

79 Q. MR. MRPHY: I wonder if you could be shown on the screen page 596, please, which is your statement. And could I just ask you to confirm paragraph 3.2, that when you were appointed by Superintendent Murray in your words it was:
"...to allow Garda Keogh to di scuss any work rel at ed issues he may have with a view to sol ving any potential issues."
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.

80 Q. Can I take it from that that you understood your role at that stage to be available to talk to him if he wanted to talk to you?
A. That's correct, yeah.

81 Q. And in terms of the document at page 187, please, if that can be put on the screen. Thank you. I wonder please could we scroll down. So this is a document you
were shown a few moments ago?
A. Yes.

82 Q. I think your attention was drawn to paragraph 1, and that indicates that Superintendent Murray said:
"I have allocated Sergeant Martin as a liai son person for Garda Keogh to allow hi mto di scuss any work rel ated issues he may be having with a view to sol ving any issues that may arise. Both Sergeant Martin and Garda Keogh have been informed of this workplace support."

Can I ask you, did you understand that to indicate that your role was one in relation to welfare?
A. Yes, to me, because I was very clear from the conversation I had with Superintendent Murray that it was welfare.
Q. And you received this letter?
A. I did, yes.

84 Q. And I think Sergeant Moylan received the letter?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Could I ask you just to look then at paragraph 2 of the 1etter?
A. Yes.

87 Q. You will see what they were asked to do, Sergeant Moylan and Sergeant Haran were asked:
"To continue to supervise the menber in a normal way in rel ation to any work output requi red of the member resulting frominci dents he attends or matters he is i nvesti gating. Sergeant Mbyl an should sit down with Garda Keogh, go through his notebook, Pul se, the DPP and crime file lists, ascertain if he requires hel $p$ with any ongoing cases as he mentioned a harassment case he may have difficulty with. Any issues arising should be i mmedi at el y reported. "

So, would you agree, you weren't asked to do any of those specific work related supervisory tasks?
A. That's correct.

88 Q. And were you clear, therefore, that those workplace supervisory tasks were in fact assigned to your colleagues Sergeant Haran and Sergeant Moylan?
A. Yes, I was.

89 Q. In the ordinary way?
A. Yes.
Q. Can we take it, therefore, that it was your understanding that your position was, in a sense, an extra welfare support being put in place should Garda Keogh seek to avail of it?
A. Yes. Exactly, yes.

91 Q. I think you told the Chairman in your evidence directly 11:04 this morning that at the time when you were asked to carry out this task you understood that Garda Keogh was going through a stressful time?
A. Yes.

92
Q. In terms of the documentation, can I ask you to be shown document 2188, please. Just on the third line, 1 think this document was shown to you earlier on, this is the document where Superintendent Murray says:
"I said l was asking Sergeant Yvonne Martin to link in with himin rel ation to all workpl ace issues. He asked why her, di dn't know her. I said the very reason she is new here, like, l knew she would support himto al I ow hi mto attend work regul arly."

Just the words "to attend work regul arly", did you understand that the welfare that you were providing was to assist Garda Keogh if possible to attend work regularly?
A. Yes.

93 Q. Therefore, were you aware of the fact that he was having difficulties in attending work regularly?
A. Yes, I was, yes.

94 Q. And were you aware what those difficulties were?
A. No. The only issue I knew was that it seemed to be the early shifts that he seemed to be reporting sick for and that was really what $I$ knew about it.
Q. So would you agree with me, therefore, it would appear that the object of involving you in this process at a11, was not to micromanage, but in the words of this letter "to provi de support to hi mto allow himto attend work regul arly"?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you were asked the question a few moments ago by counsel on behalf of Garda Keogh which suggested that there was some issue as to how was Garda Keogh to know what was your role unless you spoke to him. Were you clear at the time when you were asked to carry out this task that Garda Keogh had been communicated with?
A. Yes, I knew Superintendent Murray had spoke to him.
Q. Would you consider that in your experience to be a welfare related support?
A. Definitely, yes.

97 Q. Now, in terms of your general awareness of the situation, I think you have given evidence that as time 11:06 progressed you became aware of the appointment of Garda Mick Quinn?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were aware what his role was?
A. Yeah, he was a Garda welfare officer.
A. Yes.
Q. Effectively I think, as you have indicated in your evidence, your role in this issue fell away?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Garda Keogh at any stage seek to avail of the welfare support that you represented?
A. No.
Q. Now, in terms of your statement, I wonder if we can go back please to page 596. This is a point that you address in your own statement. Can I draw your
attention, please, to paragraph 3.4. This is at page 596, please. Sergeant, do you see that paragraph?
A. Yes.

104 Q. Just to be clear, the questions put to you today suggested that perhaps Garda Keogh wasn't contacted by you, that he wouldn't have understood your role and that what you were engaged in was supervision which was excessive. But I think you have identified in your statement that he went one step further, because in his statement to this Tribunal he said:
"I was allocated Sergeant Martin as a liaison person to di scuss work rel ated issues. This is the sergeant who was invol ved in the McCabe and in the so-called Mulifngar meeting. This response did not amount to a reasonable accommodation of my medically certified work rel ated stress."

I think that was a specific allegation he made against you in the statement. I think you've responded to it at paragraph 3.4, obviously, is that right?
A. I have, yes, yeah.

105 Q. Can I ask you just to confirm that response?
A. Would you like me to read it out.

106 Q. Yes, please,
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN Well, there is no need. I mean, I can read it and everybody can read it, Mr. Murphy. But if there is some specific thing, well and good.

THE WTNESS: Just in relation to this, this was --
107 Q. CHA RMAN Nothing was found against you in relation to any issue or subject of criticism arising out of that.
A. That's correct, Chairman. But also in relation to that, this is April 2015, I was appointed as liaison sergeant, it was 2016 before there was any issue raised in relation to the last Tribunal. This is a year prior.
108 Q. CHA RMAN So this couldn't have been true, according to you?
A. Well, him saying that he found that it wasn't a reasonable accommodation of his medical certified work related stress, there was no knowledge --
109 Q. CHA RMAN It was a matter of time?
A. Yeah.

110 Q. CHA RMAN You say that couldn't have been in his mind?
A. Yes. When I was appointed liaison sergeant, there was nothing --
CHAI RMAN okay.
111 Q. MR. MRPHY: In fact, just to confirm
A. Yeah.

112 Q. At that time there could have been no basis in fact to hold that view, is that right?
A. Exactly.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAN RMAN Okay. Anybody else?

DETECTI VE SERGEANT YVONE MARTI N WAS THEN EXAM NED BY ME. O ROURKE, AS FOLLOMS:

ME. O ROURKE: Chairperson, just on that matter. I think it's is a matter of public record that in fact you weren't called as a witness.
A. That's correct.

CHA RMAN That's what she says here.
114 Q. Yes, thank you. In that regard, I think Garda Keogh in the course of his evidence has indicated he jumped the gun insofar as his complaint against you on this issue, but --

CHA RMAN Say that again.
ME. O ROURKE: I think in the course of his evidence Garda Keogh has indicated he has jumped the gun --
CHA RMAK okay.
MS. O ROURKE: -- in the context of Sergeant Martin.
But there is one document $I$ would ask to be brought up, I think that's document 151, which is Volume 1.
CHAL RMAN Yes.
MS. O ROURKE: This is a letter to Minister for Justice which Garda Keogh sent. The date isn't clear on it. Reference is made to you in this letter. Can you tell the Tribuna1, when did you become aware of this correspondence?
A. When I received the disclosure for this Tribunal.

116 Q. And approximately when was that, would you say?
A. March last year, March 2019.

117 Q. Thank you. I have no further questions.

CHA RMAN I'm sorry, just don't leave that hanging in there, Ms. O'Rourke. I am not understanding. This letter was written.
M. O ROURKE: A letter was written by Garda Keogh to the Minister for Justice.
CHAL RMAN very good.
ME. O ROURKE: He takes some issue with sergeant Martin and it's a matter she only became aware of I think this year, but it's a matter I think Garda Keogh accepts he ought not to have -- that he may have overstepped matters; is that correct?
A. That is correct.

118 Q. I think you identified in your statement, at paragraph 6.1 , the impact that the allegations in the McCabe issue had on you. And I think you say that Garda Keogh's betrayal of you has caused further -CHA RMAN Sorry, don't go away from that. 151.
ME. O ROURKE: 151.
CHA RMAN Thanks.
MS. O RORKE: I think the sixth paragraph down, "It is 11:12 interesting that both persons..."
CHA RNAN The relevant paragraph is "I subsequently became aware" is that right?
M. O ROURK: I would say that the relevant paragraph starts with -- the second last paragraph:
"It is interesting that both persons invol ved in this protected di scl osure, namel y Sergeant Martin and Superintendent Murray, are thensel ves subject to
separate allegations."

I think it's clear that no allegations -- that you did not -- were not called as a witness before the Charleton Tribunal, isn't that correct?
A. That is correct, yes. And this letter was written to the Minister for Justice in relation to an assault investigation in Ath1one and it resulted in an investigation by GSOC on me, which I -- the first time I've known about this is in the disclosure to the Tribuna1. I have never been notified that I have been investigated on another matter until the disclosure in this Tribuna1. I wasn't aware that Garda Keogh had written to the Minister for Justice in relation to me or that an investigation took place.
CHA RMAN okay. Mr. Kelly, what am I to make of this? MR. KELLY: Not a lot. Our view is that it's not actually relevant to the task that the Tribunal has to undertake. I can understand the sergeant feeling well, this is not nice, it has an impact upon me.
CHAL RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: But that's really outside the remit of this Tribunal. I really don't think it helps you in the ultimate task that you have to embark upon.
MR. MRPHY: Sorry, Chairman, I wonder if I could --
CHA RMAN Just hold on a second. We11, sorry
Mr. Murphy.
MR. MRPHY: If I might make a submission, it might assist the Chair and my Friend.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. MRPHY: In my respectful submission, this is relevant, as are some of the other questions asked today because it may be relevant to your assessment, Chairman, as to the conduct of the complaint in making allegations at a alpine level against various individuals, then effectively abandoning claims after a four year period and writing correspondence like this, making allegations --
CHA RMAN what is the date of this letter to the Minister for Justice? Can we just establish that?

ME. O ROURKE: It's is not clear to us.
CHA RMAN No, but somebody must know. Mr. Cullen must know, Garda Keogh must know. Somebody must know the date of this letter to the Minister for Justice.

MR. MRPHY: It appears to be after 2016, because there is a 2016 incident referred to in it. It's a matter perhaps I will return to in submission, Chairman. CHA RMAK It is included in, what shal1 I say, a dossier that goes, but then there's a lot of materials included in the same dossier that goes to the Policing Authority. Mr. Caoimhghín Ó Caoláin, the Chairman of the Oireachtas Justice Committee and various other people, Ms. Daly TD and so on, but anyway, we will find out the date of that. Ms. McGrath, what do you say to this?

MS. MtGRATH Chairman, as you say, the evidence, the direct-evidence was quite confined to the issues of the facts and time and I think there is nothing further
arising for the witness to deal with. We will find the date of the letter, if we can.

CHA RMAN That's all right, yes.
Mg. MEGRATH So that we can resolve it.
CHA RMAN And what do you say about relevance?
Mr. Murphy says it's relevant, Mr. Kelly it has nothing to do -- Mr. Murphy's point is, it's relevant because he says it shows Garda Keogh getting the boot in left, right and centre. And here's somebody who had a very minor role and he is getting digs in that are entirely inappropriate. That's the essence of the thing, if I can put it as bluntly as that in an advocate's fashion, which I fear is a tendency of mine. But that's what he says. What do you say to that?
MS. MEGRATH Chairman, $I$ think it all post dates the timeframe that we were interested in.

CHA RMAN Yes.
ME. MEGRATH Which was the March/April 2015.
CHA RMAN It's clear we can't get into an investigation --
MS. MEGRATH Absolutely, Chairman.
CHAN RMAN -- involving a garda or person named
McMeeking, as to whom there is no suggestion of any -but it is clear we can't get into a substantive discussion. That is clearly right. That is
irrelevant. I suppose, Mr. Kelly, I will leave this thought with you, I will leave this question with you, it doesn't have to be answered this minute:
Mr. Murphy's point is that this, as far as it goes,
demonstrates a capacity for a wild allegation of an unfounded kind. This is his point: Based on a retrospective view that could not have been held at the time. That's his point. So maybe you would consider this at some point to come back to say whether Garda Keogh is standing over that or whether that was an excess of zeal. I don't expect you to say at this minute, I expect you to be able to reflect on it. But that maybe he went over the top and accepts that he went over the top, or maybe he says, well, I'm sticking 11:17 by that. To the extent then that Mr. Murphy says it's relevant as showing an unreasonable desire to lash out left, right and centre. That's really where we are going. Have a think about that, Mr. Kelly. At some point you may wish to include that in a submission, written or oral.

MR. KELLY: Yes, Chairman, I will.
CHA RMAN We will leave it on that basis.
MR. KELLY: I will obviously reflect on that.
CHA RMAN It's limited. You are right, there is no way that the report can deal with that as a substantive question. But clearly that's correct.

MR. KELLY: Yeah, I will reflect upon it. I must say that I totally agree with the submissions that Ms. McGrath has made, it was confined and I have been anxious to confine to what it's about.

CHA RMAN Indeed.
MR. KELLY: For that reason we limited our cross-examination. Now, I will just simply say this:

We have heard ad nauseam from Mr. Murphy on a massive long cross-examination of Garda Keogh about all of this, whether it gains or not by constantly being trotted out yet again is of course a matter for professional judgment for Mr. Murphy. But there it is. 11:18 CHA RMAN If rebuke is called for in due course, rebuke will be -- I think I have enough on my plate at the moment, Mr. Kelly. Okay, thank you very much, I appreciate that. Anything else you want to say, sergeant, about this?

THE WTNESS: No.
MS. O ROURKE: Chairperson, for the record, I probably should say that on Day 100, at page 113 of his evidence, Garda Keogh indicated that he did jump the gun and shouldn't have made that particular --
CHA RMAN Very good. That's very helpful. Day 100? M5. O ROURKE: At page 113.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. That's very helpful. And that may go a long way to deal with the issue. Thanks very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

MS. MEGRATH Thank you, detective. Chairman, can I just say, the documentary counsel for the Tribunal have 11:19 confirmed that any copies of that letter on page 151 are all undated. So un1ess the Keogh team can assist us as to a date, we do not have a date.
CHA RMAN Well, the Department of Justice presumably
can say when they received it, they must have put a stamp on it. Somebody must know the date of the letter. At least we're advanced because Ms. O'Rourke has told us that Garda Keogh accepted that he had jumped the gun in relation to that. If we need to analyse that, we will do so in due course. Thank you very much. You have no questions?
ME. MEGATH No questions, thank you. CHAN RMAN Thank you. THE WTNESS: Thank you.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MARRI NAN The next witness, Chairman, is Sergeant Andrew Haran.

## SERGEANT ANDREWHARAN, HAV NG BEEN SVDRN, YAS

DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MR MARR NAN AS FOLONG:

THE WTNESS: Andrew Haran.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much.
119 Q. MR MARRI NAN Thank you for coming back, Sergeant Haran, it may well be that you will have to be called again at a future issue. You have already given evidence in relation to issues 1 to 4 ?
A. Yes.

120 Q. Which by and large cover the period of time between your first dealings with Garda Keogh and the making of his protected disclosure and your interaction with him
and the advice, etcetera, that you gave to him. Then, moving right up until March of 2015, when now Chief Superintendent Murray took over the reins in Athlone Garda Station?
A. Yes.

121 Q. Since then Superintendent Noreen McBrien has given evidence and she is going to be recalled to deal with an issue. We have heard some interactions between you and she in relation to just simply conversations that you may have had with her, maybe indicating that Garda Keogh was drinking again or that he had particular troubles. Do you take any issue with any of the evidence that has been given by Superintendent McBrien in relation to those matters?
A. No.
A. We largely, as you say, described it accurately and she had asked me to be available to Garda Keogh as a liaison. And that was something that I was happy to take up and was happy to take up.
123 Q. She has given evidence, I don't want to go through every conversation you had with everybody?
A. No.

124 Q. And you are aware of the evidence that has been given at the Tribuna1. If we could deal with it on this
basis: You don't take issue with anything that has been said in relation to any of the conversations that you had with Superintendent McBrien?
A. No.

125
Q We have heard evidence in relation to how matters transpired in relation to issues 1, 2, 3 and 4, culminating I suppose in September 2014 with Garda A making a complaint in relation to a search on Pulse that had been conducted by Garda Keogh and another garda. Between then and March of 2015, were there any issues arising that you could see in relation to Garda Keogh?
A. Can you narrow down the question? Do you mean in a negative way, an interactive way?
Q. You had interactions with him --
A. Oh yeah.
Q. -- at the time?
A. On a regular basis.

128 Q. I mean, were there any -- first of all in relation to 11:23 his performance, how was he getting on in October, November, December, January, February?
A. Well, he was struggling from the perspective that there was some alcohol related issues.
129 Q. Yes.
A. He open7y admitted he would struggle from the perspective of file preparation.
Q. Yes.
A. But that wouldn't be unique to Nick Keogh, that would be, you know, something that as a sergeant you would assistance. So in relation to Nick, he did struggle in terms of attendance, because, as I said earlier, he had difficulties $I$ suppose in working all of the day shifts
which were, I suppose, could have been seen to be linked to supervisory issues and could have been seen to be linked to alcohol issues.
Q. Yes.
A. But either way he certainly had a preference for later shifts than the day and night shifts, for a number of reasons.

During this period, from September to March, just trying to get the bigger picture, as it were, in terms of Garda Keogh, there doesn't appear to be any significant issues that were arising in Ath1one Garda Station concerning Garda Keogh during this period of time?
A. No. Not that I -- I mean, had there been anything significant $I$ would have had a record of it.
Q. Yes.
A. Certainly there was nothing significant. Like minor ones concerning attendance. But certainly nothing of a nature that required, you know, a record of or a reporting of.
134 Q. The Ó Cualáin investigation was ongoing at that time?
A. Yes.

135 Q. By that time a direction had been given, I think by Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin, that interviews were not to take place in Athlone Garda Station and that they had stopped taking place there. You have already expressed your view in relation to the earlier stages of that investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. We received those from you. In terms of the frequency of your interactions with Garda Keogh during that timeframe, I am talking about September to March, can you say how frequently you would have interacted with him?
A. Well, based on when we would both be working, we would interact every day. Because, I mean our shifts were very closely related. I was doing community policing and the shift would cross the exact shift he would do by almost two-thirds of each day. By way of
explanation, if I was working at 6:00pm until 2:00am, Nick would be working from 9:00pm through the night. So we would cross, every day that the two of us were working we would cross. And like it's a small station, you would interact with people most every day. In the absence of Sergeant Moylan, I would be -- it would be a role that I would have to interact with everybody on the unit, not just him.
137 Q. I think Inspector Minnock was acting superintendent during this period of time, because Superintendent McBrien was on long-term sick leave, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

138 Q. At various stages you would have been his supervising garda in the absence of Sergeant Moylan, isn't that right?
A. That's right.

139 Q. We have heard evidence from you in relation to this, I think that Sergeant Moylan had other duties that took him away from Athlone Garda Station and you would step
in then into the role of supervising sergeant, is that right?
A. Yes, and it would just be standard, very much a standard role that would continue.

140 Q. Can you just help us in relation to this and the role of supervising sergeant: when a file is prepared by a garda to be transmitted to the superintendent for directions in relation to how the file would be further disposed, is there actually a function that the supervising sergeant performs rather than just being a conduit of the report?
A. Yes, there is. If it came to me from any guard, your role is very definitely defined and it's to check the quality of the file and to return the file to the sender if there is something insufficient in it before you send it on. And then, if it was satisfactory, you would then send it on with a recommendation one way or the other, to either agree with the proposed course of action. At times you act as a conduit if there is no input required.
141 Q. Yes. But there is a perusal of the file that is being sent?
A. Yes.

142 Q. To check on the standard of the statements that might be contained in the file or the extent of the investigation itself?
A. Yeah. That would be dependent on each supervisor being different to each other insofar as how far you would check and so on, but certainly is best practice.

143 Q. As best practice. And from time to time the pressures of work may not allow that best practice to be obtained, is that right?
A. Yes.

144 Q. Did you start to feel uncomfortable in your role, as it 11:29 were, as a confidante to Garda Keogh?
A. No.
Q. We'11 come to a meeting that you had with Chief Superintendent Murray on the 13th March. But as a backdrop to that meeting that you had with him, is there an issue there prior to that of a conflict that might have been perceived by other members in the unit or in Athlone Garda Station between your role as being a confidante to Garda Keogh and perhaps your duties to other members?
A. I think it's fair to say that following the disclosures people, certain people would have had views of both Garda A or Garda Keogh and as such they were held. And it may be that some people would have had a view that I might be -- because I would act as a liaison, some people might perceive this as supporting his position. I would have been comfortable in the role, because I felt it was a role -- well number one, I had discussed it with Superintendent McBrien, but I was happy to do it because $I$ saw it as a role to support a person as distinct from their stance. It was more, to me, personal. Even though, like, most people had opinions in it, $I$ took it on as a role as a supervisor to support a person in difficulty as distinct from
supporting necessarily the role in which he disclosed.
146 Q. Then Chief Superintendent Murray takes up the role of superintendent, taking over from Superintendent MCBrien, and you have your first meeting with him on the 13th March, isn't that right?
A. Yeah. I wouldn't have remembered the date. Yes?
A. Like obviously, he references the date.

Yes. Will you just tell us about that. I think in the first instance he has told us the request for the meeting had something to do with another investigation that we're not concerned about, is that right?
A. Yeah. Again, I am not a person who kept copious notes but other people seem to have. So I put a certain amount of faith in notes that were made. I didn't keep 11:31 notes of that date, nor all of its content. The mention of a Roma tribunal and me looking for a meeting, I don't remember that event, but I don't have a reason to dispute that aspect. So if we discussed that as a prequel to a conversation, I trust and believe it's true.

149 Q. Perhaps if we could have page 2184 up on the screen. This is Superintendent Murray's note of that meeting. We will come to his evidence in due course. It says:
"Met Sergeant Haran re--"

And that's the matter that you just referred to.
" -- at the request of Inspector Farrell. He brought up Garda Ni chol as Keogh and di scussed the broad out I i ne. "

Did you bring up the issue of Garda Keogh?
A. I don't remember instigating, but I have no difficulty remembering the fact that we discussed it. And one thing I would have been conscious of, with any new supervisor, in this case Superintendent Murray, I would have been very much wanting to assert a position that I 11:32 was neutral in my role, so I would have been wanting to make that point to him so that he wouldn't feel I was there to say I necessarily support a person who is making a protected disclosure. I wanted it to be shown that I was supportive of this person through having been previously appointed, but also that I was fully comfortable because I had a personal relationship with Garda Keogh, both working and personal, so I had no reason to worry. But what was important to me that day was to make sure that Superintendent Murray knew that position.

150 Q. He goes on to note:
"He indi cated he was contact for Garda Keogh and knew his mind re returning to work etcetera. Said he wasn't 11:33 di rectly invol ved in any of it. Asked hi mif there was a perception he was on one side because of his role."
A. I don't, you know, specific words I won't recal1. But we would have discussed how $I$ felt in relation to it and I said that I had no difficulty in continuing in that role.
151 Q. "He said there was and not totally confortable with 11:34 that."

Did you say that you weren't comfortable in your role?
A. No, I don't recollect that at all.

152 Q. "Tol d himto consider might be better if role passed as 11:34 came near end of process."

Do you recall that?
A. I do. It's my recollection of it that it was his suggestion that I might be -- that the role might be 11:34 passed to someone else. Generally speaking, when a superintendent would take a position, I didn't refute it. Whilst I was comfortable liaising with Garda Keogh, if a superintendent was coming in with a plan to slightly alter the arrangement, I wasn't going to fight 11:34 against that decision.
153 Q. If we just look at Day 123, if we could have that up, Mr. Kavanagh, at page 44 of the transcript. This may or may not be important but I think during the course of your interview with the Tribunal investigators, they 11:35 focused on the statement that this been made by Superintendent Murray, where he suggests that you had initiated this change in events, as it were, right?
A. Yes.
Q. I am just going to give you -- you took issue with that because you said that your belief was that it was he who initiated this. We will go through what he had to say in relation to this. At page 44 , at question 178 , 178 is the question:
"A. I had never net Ser geant Har an before."

Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. "And he wanted to di scuss this issue that was causing, I suppose angst to him"

That relates to the other issue.
"I di scussed that with himin detail. It was something that was troubling him I hel ped him assi sted as best l could in our conversation. During the course of it he brought up Garda Keogh and we had a di scussi on about his invol vement with Garda Keogh and the support he was 11:36 gi ving him"

Now, I mean he is clearly asserting there that you were the person who initiated the conversation in relation to Garda Keogh and the support that you were offering. Do you take issue with that?
A. I do, yeah. Like, I suppose for a start, it would be more appropriate that the superintendent would call me to his office than $I$ would toddle in and produce a
conversation to him. So I would have said that -- as is mentioned at the start, Inspector Farrell suggests to Superintendent Murray that he should talk to me, and I would have thought that we were discussing matters. I would have been certainly anxious to clarify my role to him regarding Garda Keogh, but I would have felt he brought it up.
156 Q. You say you would have felt that he brought it up. I mean, if there was a conflict between the two of you and he seems to have a note that supports his contention that you were the one who introduced it into the conversation, I mean are you going to stand firm in your position that it was he who brought up the subject?
A. Well, I'd like to stand firm, in that I didn't initiate 11:37 a visit to his office in the first place.

157 Q. Yes.
A. So therefore, the content of any conversation that I was going in for would have been known by the person who planned the meeting, which wasn't me. So I would have said it's more likely that if I was asked to go to a meeting to discuss something, that it was the person who orchestrated the meeting that might have known what we were discussing.
158 Q. We11 then he goes on to say, in answer to question 179 there on the screen, the question is:
"Do you have anything el se to say in rel ation to it? A. Well I suppose in dealing with the issue that he
was facing himself which were causing himworry and in I ooking at his position with Garda Keogh and from what he told me, I suppose he wanted a break, as it were, and he didn't want any perception to be created because of his entirely one handed support, one man support for Garda Keogh. "

Do you recall that as being part of your conversation?
A. I think my memory of the conversation is that I was clarifying that I was comfortable in the role and he was suggesting that perception could exist from other people. And I understand that, his comment, because he was coming in from the outside. I grasped it, and that was the point at which I was saying, I see how that could be perceived that I amn't impartial.
159 Q. But he was the one to introduce the perception that might exist?
A. That perceptions could exist, exactly.

160 Q. If we can then just move down the next page, page 45. Then we have a quote from your statement, your interview with the Tribunal investigators, which is at 11756, where an extract of chief Superintendent Murray's statement concerning this matter was put to you. This was the response that you had, it's at line 21 there, and this is a quote from your statement to the Tribunal investigators:
"My recollection is that this was suggested to re. I was open to it and I had no issue with himsaying that.
l felt he, as my boss, was telling me it would be a good idea. I think that Superintendent Pat Murray felt that Sergeant Yvonne Martin would be better placed as an independent person and that it would be good to have her in that role. I saw myself as impartial and still feel the same way. I would like to clarify, I did not see myself as being the only person supporting Garda Keogh. "

And then it's put to him that you had been suggesting that it was he who introduced the issue to the conversation and the question is:
"Are you sure that it was he introduced it?"

And then he goes on to say:
"Yeah. I had never net Sergeant Haran before."

This is line 8 of that page.
"I had never met Sergeant Haran before, so when he brought up Garda Keogh and the conversation arose in terns of what he had been doing, he said he was concerned that perhaps people di dn't think he was neutral and out of that conversation came, I suppose, the suggestion, would he relinqui sh the role or like to relinquish it, was it too much for him did he want to step back. And that was something he consi dered.

There was not hing forced or planned or anything about that, it was something that came up naturally during the course of the conversation."

Does that reflect your understanding of the conversation that you had at that time?
A. It's certainly broadly in agreement with my memory of it. It just seems to kind of hinge on whether it was he or I that brought up the discussion about stepping back.
Q. Yes?
A. And my memory of it is it came up, the conversation came up and when he discussed it from the perspective of bringing somebody new into the role, I understood that logic, because the logic of bringing somebody new 11:42 into it could certainly, in my mind, be seen as a completely impartial person, if I perhaps had been seen as not. So I did understand that and reflect on it.

162 Q. We11, when you went to the meeting on the 13th March, you have already told us that you didn't have any troubles in relation to your role?
A. No, I didn't.

163 Q. Yes. I think then again on the 20th March that you had a further discussion with Superintendent Murray?
A. Yes.

164 Q. And you considered the position, is that right?
A. Yes.

165 Q. Yes. And would you just tell us about that?
A. Well again, I think it was, we were asserting a
position then that it would happen that I would step back and that Sergeant Martin would step into that role. And, as I said earlier, when the superintendent had this meeting with me, it was a position that was held, as distinct from a necessary conversation. So I was being told that that was it. But I wasn't uncomfortable with it because of the explanation of the independence and the perception of the independence of a new person being given that role. So I understood that logic.
166 Q. Having confirmed the position on the 20th March, a direction issued on the 2nd April. It's at page 2190 of the material. We have already had it open this morning. If we just focus on paragraph 2:
"Sergeant Mbylan and in his absence..."

Yourself
"...supervise unit C to which Garda Keogh is attached. 11:44 Both those sergeants shoul d continue to supervise the menber in the normal way in rel ation to any work out put required of the menber resulting frominci dents he attends or matters he is investigating. Sergeant Mbyl an should sit down with Garda Keogh, go through his 11:44 notebook, Pulse, the DPP and crime files and ascertain if he requires hel p with any ongoi ng cases as he mentioned a harassment case he may be in difficulty with. Any issues arising should be imediately
reported. "

During your conversation on the 13th March with Superintendent Murray was there any discussion in relation to the work performance of Garda Keogh?
A. No, not to my recollection, it was just the process of the slight restructuring and because it would have involved inserting Sergeant Martin in that role, it would have been more relating to the welfare aspect. I always was continuing to be on unit $C$, so my role as supervisor would continue unchanged for all persons.

167 Q. Garda Keogh complained that he had been a member of An Garda Síochána for many years and nobody had questioned his work prior to this. He says that this amounts to some form of targeting or certainly it was humiliating for him to have to sit down and to have his notebook, his Pulse entries, his DPP and crime files gone over in detail. It was suggested that in some way this might be a targeting of him. Is that unusual, for this event to take place, for somebody to be inspected, as it were, or audited in this way?
A. We11, I don't see it as that. I mean, when that instruction came out to me, I'11 be frank, I read it and took it with very little need of concern, because supervising unit $C$ when Sergeant Moylan wasn't there would have been an absolute role that $I$ would have had.

168 Q. Yes.
A. And relating to Garda Keogh, there is a specific piece that mentions Sergeant Moylan siting down. But I would
have never have taken that kind of instruction that was specific to Sergeant Moylan onto myself. I would have assisted Garda Keogh with files but never taken that piece?
So really in a way this is retaining the status quo except for the appointment of Sergeant Martin as acting in some capacity as a welfare support, is that right?
A. Yes, because in the main in Athlone at that time there would have been two sergeants attached. One with a primary role to supervise a unit and one is a slightly altered role, like my own, in community policing. And you would always be, what might be described as a substitute and your role would be to step in any time. So that would have been very routine.
170 Q. Now, as it turned out, I think that Sergeant Moylan did 11:47 go through the files, etcetera. You had no role in relation to that, isn't that right?
A. Not the specific files, I might have assisted in some, what I would call, basic paperwork on occasion.
171 Q. I think that you were to deputise for sergeant Moylan 11:48 in his absence, but I don't think that you are concerned with any of the alleged matters that arise in issue number 9 , which are the alleged criticism of criminal investigations by Garda Keogh during 2015. You're not concerned in any of those?
A. No.

172 Q. And none of those files went through you or came down from Chief Superintendent Murray for further queries, isn't that right?
Q. If we could just have page 2261 up on the screen, please. This is a direction dated 22nd October 2015, 11:49 and it concerns the confining to indoor duties of Garda Keogh.
"W th i mmedi ate effect, Garda Ni ck Keogh should be detailed for indoor duties with unit C .

I have spoken with the menber on this issue. I will revi ew the matter agai $n$ on 1st Novenber 2015.

Pl ease informall concerned and arrange accordingly."

That's addressed to the sergeant in charge of Athlone and then the sergeant in charge of unit $C$, that's yourself, isn't that right?
A. Well, it probably would have been Sergeant Moylan in
A. Yes.

177 Q. And a copy sent to Inspector Farre11 and Inspector

Minnock. You had a conversation with Garda Keogh after this direction, isn't that right?
A. I don't remember a specific one, I may have referenced it in my evidence. I would have had regular conversations with him through his work.
Q. If we could just have page 591 up on the screen. If we just scroll down there to 5.2 , please. 5.1 deals with:
"A decision was made by Superintendent Murray to confine Garda Keogh to indoor duty. I was not consulted in rel ation to this deci sion."

Indeed, Superintendent Murray has said that he didn't consult you in relation to that decision or any other sergeant because he had formed a view in relation to the presentation of Garda Keogh when he met him on an occasion, and also in relation to some crime files that he was dealing with. First of all in relation to that, did you notice anything in particular about Garda Keogh's presentation on duty in the Garda station at any time?
A. Nothing that I took official note of, but I would have been aware of his general demeanour, health, etcetera. So I would have been aware that he was struggling at that point, but nothing that would have caused me to make a formal report or anything.
179 Q. Then at 5.2 you say:
"I am aware that Garda Keogh was unhappy with the
deci sion frominformal conversations we had. He would often call me off duty to express his frustrations and his difficulties with drink. I offered support and encouraged himto seek hel p."

Then 5.3:
"Struggled to be on time for early shifts and mentioned that he may have no choi ce but to commence long-term sick I eave. I di scour aged hi mfromgoi ng on sick
leave. He felt that his assi gnment to indoor duties was a ploy on the part of the management to push him out."

Do you recal1 that conversation?
A. Yeah. They're more an amalgam of conversations as distinct from one particular event now. As I say, we worked daily, so when we spoke daily I would call them informal conversations and as a result there was no doubt he was frustrated at being put into, what we call the public office, on a full-time basis. Because it's -- well, I previously described it as quite a difficult job, and his frustration was, I suppose, building. You know, he was struggling with the pressures that he was under anyway. So I do remember regular conversations with him.
180 Q. In terms of the role on the public desk, as it were, did you think it was appropriate in the circumstances for Garda Keogh to be put on the public desk?
A. Well --

181 Q. Did you have a view?
A. If you're a sergeant with what you might call a full duty team, it's generally a position that rotates.
There are many people in the Guards who are restricted to that role for various reasons, whether it's health or other issues. So it's not a role anybody wants to be in full-time, but in a place like Athlone we don't have a large amount of roles to play with, as such. There are functional roles that have to be filled every 11:54 day, and that was one of them. So once the superintendent made the decision that he wouldn't be outside, which would generally be involved on patrol car duty, this is the next place and the fixed job. We don't have a lot of what you might call, you know, spare or alternate roles to place anybody in.

182 Q. Then if we could just move on in relation to another matter that you deal with, which is the location of the Garda safe in the station?
A. okay.

183 Q. Wi11 you just tel1 us where that is located?
A. Yeah. There was some mention of -- in relation to the firearm and in that context?

184 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah. Firearms are located in an office away from the public office. It's down a corridor that would be maybe 20 metres 1ong. A person who is qualified to hold a firearm retrieves the firearm from the safe, which is in a different room, but they must bring the
firearm to the public office in order to open a small safe that is located in the public office, and that's to retrieve a book in which they sign out the firearm that they are taking. That book is countersigned by the member in charge, who is effectively the person in the public office. So that's how it happens.
That seems clear enough in relation to matters. You had evidence to give in relation to issue number 8 , but that is an issue that is not being pursued but can I just deal with one aspect of that? This concerns a suggestion that you were advised by Superintendent Murray to pull back and alienate Garda Keogh. Do you understand?
A. I certainly understand the comment, yeah.

186 Q. Yes. What's your response in relation to that suggested suggestion that is contained in Garda Keogh's statement to the Tribunal?
A. Well, the phrase alienate was never put to me and I would have rejected it out of hand, even from a supervisor. And the other phrase used, the phrase pull back, certainly did come in in a conversation regarding the impartiality discussion. So it was brought up in that context and certainly in no respect related to, you know, the phrase alienate, which is very different. CHAN RMAN Isolate, boycott, isolate?
A. Yes, and all of those words, had any of them been put in context to me, I would have rejected them, but they certainly, absolutely were not.
187 Q. MR. MARRI NAN In terms of as Garda Keogh's supervising
sergeant during the relevant period of time in 2014 and then subsequently in 2015, albeit as a stand in for Garda Moylan, did you see any discernible change in management style at that time with the change over from Superintendent McBrien to Superintendent Murray?
A. Well, I think it's fair to say that both superintendents are very different in their manner. But changes, like, personnel changes were negligible. Practices regarding some of our meetings, what we call our PAF meetings, our daily meeting, and a thing called a crime file which was introduced, some of those things changed, but I would have said that practices changed from supervisor to supervisor. So I didn't see a change that brought -- it wasn't meteoric in any way, it was just discernible insofar as it obvious that a new superintendent was putting his stamp on the way things were done and they were in my memory all in a positive fashion, just improving what I perceived as a good ship and now only just that little bit better.
188 Q. So it was an evolutionary process, as it were. But was 11:59 there a dramatic change or did it come in gently?
A. Well, I would have to say of Superintendent Murray, that he wasn't -- he was of the type to bring change. so he brought it in promptly. So, insofar as -- it didn't creep, it happened that on a certain day, which
I certainly wouldn't remember, a new practice was in, and he did bring that practice in a firm way, insofar as it arrived quickly after his arrival. He obviously brought that manner with him, where he decided, I felt,
to change hinges promptly to what he perceived as an improvement.
189 Q. From what you could see, was that done in an evenhanded way?
A. Absolutely.

You say:
"My understanding is that Garda Keogh is cl ai ming that as a result of making a protected disclosure he encountered har assment, excl usion, victimisation and penalisation. I played no part in any such alleged treat ment."

I don't think there's any suggestion that you did, but did you observe any of those matters in Athlone Garda Station?
A. Absolutely not.

191 Q. You then go on to say at paragraph 6.2:
"I did not recei ve any request or direction frommy
ot her nember to treat Garda Keogh any differently from ot her menbers. I have no know edge of any such alleged mistreat ment. As stated, I am aware that he was unhappy with the decision to place himon indoor duty."
A. That's right.

192 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. Would you answer any questions?

END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAI RMAN Now, Mr. Kelly.

SERGEANT ANDREW HARAN WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. KELLY, AS FOLLOVG:

193 Q. MR. KELLY: Good morning, Sergeant Haran?
A. Good morning.

194 Q. You worked for a quite a long time with Nick Keogh, you were his sergeant?
A. Yes.

195 Q. The both of you got on we11, you supported him where appropriate or needed and as well you're a friend of his as well?
A. Yes, I would like to think we have a good rapport.

196 Q. There was no tension whatsoever at any point ever between you and him?
A. No, I don't think so.

197 Q. You had a good, solid working relationship?
A. Yes.

198 Q. One of the things, just before I forget about it, the changes that were brought in by Superintendent Murray, I think it was the way he entitled it "The reporting of inci dents ot her than creating Pul se entries", perhaps
if we just pull it up, it's volume 4 and it's page 752. You see it's there. Just take a moment and look at it to remind yourself. It is a letter or a memo sent "re each sergeant in Athl one district" do you see it, 18th August 2015?
A. Yes.
Q. It deals with -- you see paragraph 2:
"To ensure the reporting system works swiftly, the sergeant on duty at the time the incident occurs, by either bei ng reported or di scovered, or in the sergeant's absence the station orderly or menber in charge, will prepare a short, concise report and e-mail it to the district office e-mal and copy it to myself, Inspector Farrell, Detective Sergeant Curley and the sergeant in charge, Sergeant Baker."

Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. That was one of the changes he had introduced. From your knowledge of Nick Keogh, would you agree that he was someone who was firstly proud of being a guard?
A. Definitely.
Q. Secondly, he was quite proud of his family's connection with the Gardaí?
A. Yeah. To be honest, I hadn't known about the extensive connections but I know he was proud to be in the Guards.
Q. Yes. What I want to ask you is, that period around
about May 2014 when he made his protected disclosure, it couldn't have been that easy for you or any of you in the station, I imagine?
A. No, it was very difficult, absolutely.

203 Q. But as far as you were concerned, and I put this to you, what you saw was someone who, right or wrong, believed that this is what he had to do to expose wrongdoing?
A. Oh absolutely, I have no doubts about his motives whatsoever.

204 Q. There was no malice or anything of that sort, making stuff up?
A. No, I believed he was exposing criminality at the time.
Q. That was his firm, honest believe and that was how you saw it, is that right?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Thank you. Then I want to just finally ask you about the confinement to indoor duty. On the last occasion I asked you about that, so I will not go over it again in the same way. But given that by that stage Nick Keogh was clearly under stress, would you firstly agree that that?
A. Yes.

207 Q. And secondly, it was apparent to you, I imagine, that that was related to what had been going on at work?
A. Yes, clearly a factor.

208 Q. As he saw it. So you had this guard who then was confined to indoor duty. In your view it wasn't really appropriate to put Nick Keogh in that situation, on
permanent indoor duty, was it?
A. No, I couldn't say that, because the difficulty we have, like if you were in a situation where you have 25 members on a regular unit, you could try to find a role for everybody who had different skill levels.
Q. Yes.
A. But in a situation in Athlone, unfortunately it was a numbers game.
Q. Yeah.
A. If the superintendent perceived that Garda Keogh couldn't work outdoors, the reality is, that is the next role. Each shift in Athlone, the first three places that are filled is the role of public officer and patrol car, driver and observer. Then you work off any extra personnel you have for various roles, for people. So I don't really see the options were -- It was apparent to you that Nick Keogh felt that the effect of that was to try and force him out of the Guards. That was how he saw it, wasn't it?
A. We11, I suppose -- well, I see he says that very clearly. I don't see it as being -- what the superintendent's thought process --
Q. I'm not asking but that?
A. Yeah.
Q. But what Nick Keogh seen at the time as?
A. Oh yeah, Nick Keogh certainly felt the strain and huge pressure on that, once that happened.
Q. This was sending him a clear and unmistakable message, as he saw it?
A. We11, he may have seen it that way, yeah. Q. I see. Thank you very much, sergeant.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAN RMAN Now, Mr. Murphy? Mr. O'Higgins?
MR. M' CHEÁL O H GG NS: Thank you, Chairman.

## SERGEANT ANDREW HARAN YAS EXAM NED BY MR. M CHEÁL

O H GG NS, AS FOLLOV:

216 Q. MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: Good afternoon?
A. Good afternoon.

217 Q. Sergeant Haran, I just have a few questions for you. I would ask you to look at your statement and your interview to the Tribunal investigators. With that in mind, could we have page 589 please up on the screen. This is your statement, Sergeant Haran, I just want to ask you a few general matters. Paragraph 3.6 first of a11. This deals with, just to locate for you, this is an Olivia O'Neill matter that $I$ am asking you the question about?
A. Yes.

218 Q. You say in your statement:
"Garda Keogh refers to an interaction with Oivia O' Neill sometime in 2014 in his statement. Garda Keogh was working as public officer on this day. I remenber that he spoke to me during the day in an ani mated
manner. He outlined somet hi ng about Oivia O' Neill presenting unannounced at the counter and that she wanted to make a statement about Garda A and other i ndi vi dual s. "

Can I just ask you just to elaborate upon that a little bit. First of al1, what do you mean when you say he spoke you to you in an animated manner?
A. Yeah, this I remember because it was significant to Garda Keogh. Because he was -- I think I described him 12:08 animated, meaning he was positive and he was excited. It was a good thing for him, he saw her arrival as apparently unannounced and it was his hope, very clearly, that she was here, as the phrase was used I think by somebody else, to name names. So that was my memory of it, was that he was excited about her potentially stepping up and making a statement. Yes.

MR. KELLY: Chairman, I just wonder, probably it's my fault, isn't this issue 3.

CHAN RMAN Definitely.
MR. KELLY: Aren't we dealing with --
MR. MARRI NAN We actually have dealt with this. He has already given evidence in relation to this.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN I think that is right. Is that right, Mr. O'Higgins? If there is a reason for re considering it, I think everybody should know where they are going, if you know what I mean.

MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes.
CHA RMAN Have we covered this before? I mean, have we covered this particular point?
MR. ḾCHEÁL O H GG NS: May it please you, Chairman, I was going to move immediately onto the supervisor role aspect.

CHAI RMAN No, no, Mr. O'Higgins, in fairness, I don't want to shut anybody down in asking a relevant question and I note there are certain difficulties, but I thought that Mr. Kelly's point was correct. Now, if you tell me this issue hasn't arisen before and that it's proper that it should arise, then I will canvass views of the various parties and $I$ suppose make a ruling on it.
MR. MCHEÁL O H GG NS: If I could indicate, Chairman, I am happy and it's proper that I move to issue number 5, the supervision issue, from that. There had been a referral back in relation to other matters, for instance, the --
CHA RMAN Yes, I understand. There is no criticism. But I think in fairness, now that we have this reference to the Olivia O'Neill matter, I think in fairness if Mr. Kelly wishes to revisit the matter, he is free to revisit it.
MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: May it please you.
CHA RMAN So I will come back to you at the end, Mr. Kelly, if you want to ask anything further about that.

MR. KELLY: It is very kind of you, but I think it's
probably an invitation 1 will decline, but you can come back to me later.

CHA RMAN Because I have to say, I have to say, I wasn't aware of evidence to date, it may be just my mistake, I wasn't aware of, I can't say, now that you've just mentioned, animated, I noticed it and wrote it down, excited, thinking it was a good thing and that Olivia O'Neill was here to say things that would in effect support, which is what I am understanding. I wasn't aware of that as an issue. Insofar as anybody thinks that's of importance, then we might at a later stage decide how we are going to revisit it.
Mr. Kelly, I am sorry, that sounds very obscure, but does that make sense?

MR. KELLY: I think so.
CHA RMAN Sorry, what I mean is, Garda Keogh might well -- sorry, if somebody thinks that is a significant piece -- this is no criticism of anybody, including the sergeant. In other words, if it is thought that it's relevant, that there is evidence which suggests that Garda Keogh in the Garda station, on the occasion of the visit of Olivia O'Neill, became excited and thinking it was a very good thing, in the apparent belief that she was going to name names and name people, and i.e. support any case. If that was the case, if anybody said that's relevant or of any significance, then I think it would only be fair to return to it if necessary in a very limited way, but I am not even certain that anybody is going to say that
is important.
MR. KELLY: I hope not. I wil1 just tell you what is on my mind. If it is going to be said well, look, here Garda Keogh was excited and wonderful, because this alleged coaching that he had had all come to fruition, then of course I'm not having that, we're going to have to go over an awful lot of stuff. But if that is not being said, then we will leave it.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. I think fairness would dictate if that were being the case. okay, are we all clear on where we stand on that?

MR. ḾCHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes, that's fine, Chairman. CHA RMAN Or more particularly where I stand on that. we're all clear on that.
MR. ḾCHEÁL O HGG N: Arising from what you said, Chairman, I know you will be cognisant of what is stated at paragraph 3.7 there in the next paragraph, but it's not necessary at this point, having regard to the fact we're dealing with issues 1 to 4 , to dwell on that at this point.
CHA RMAN Paragraph 3.7 wil1 not cause any difficulty, because we have been over that ground.
MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes.
CHA RMAN And there had been reference to the fact that Garda Keogh either declined to take a statement because he knew something about the matters of olivia O'Neill, and then the statement that he took the following day from the other daughter and so on. We have been over that ground, so I don't think that
causes any --
MR. ḾCHEÁL O H GG NS: Sorry, I should be more specific, I was referring to the final sentence, which really elaborates upon paragraph 3.6 insofar as it references manner and enthusiasm that Garda

Keogh showed for --
CHA RMAN Again, I don't think that anybody is going to be troubled about that, because as far as I know the evidence is that Garda Keogh said name names and name guards. That's what he said himself.
MR. MCHEÁL O HGG NS: Thank you, Chair. I might move then to the supervisory issues.
CHA RMAN So I don't think that's any problem, but the other one would be a somewhat different point I think. okay.
MR. ḾCHEÁL O H GG NS: Thank you.
CHAN RMAN So, thanks very much, sorry about that a11. MR. ḾCHEÁL O H GG NS: So then could I ask you then, sergeant, if we could move to paragraph 3.15 on page 590 of your statement, I just want to ask you one or two questions surrounding Garda Keogh's abilities with paperwork. You say there at 3.15 that in general terms you were glad to assist Garda Keogh in doing files and reports, he readily admitted it was a weakness on his part and on occasion you would sit with him and you would literally empty out his post locker and between you would be tidy it and try to shape his correspondence. Can I just ask you about that: In your reference to help and your dealings with him in
this regard, would he sometimes fall back, fall behind in his correspondence in terms of answering it and it would build up and cause a stress for him?
A. Well that's pretty much it. If he had had an absence either for a rest days or for sick days and rest days combined, that would naturally lengthen time away from work, so anybody's correspondence would build up.
221 Q. Yes.
A. And Nick's in particular. So I just saw that as being a very natural event for me to assist him when he would 12:16 be back. That particular event refers to one particular event that I can remember, when he was on night shift.
222 Q. Yes.
A. When the phones are quiet and people have time, and was 12:16 an occasion when we actually manually emptied the locker and tried to put matters in order of importance, and answering them as systematically as we could.
223 Q. Yes. In the same vein you mentioned in your interview with the investigators to the Tribunal that he was struggling to cope with basic paperwork, is how you put it, is that something you recall in your various chats with him over this period?
A. It is. That would have been, I suppose, at the latter end of Nick's working in the station. That's not meant ${ }_{\text {12:17 }}$ to suggest an ongoing issue.
224 Q. Yes.
A. That's meant to suggest that in what would be described as the kind of post disclosures period, he began to
struggle more than he would have struggled.
Q. have provided that you had a good working relationship with him and a good friendship relationship with him, but as things moved up to 2017, he was in frequent contact with you but thereafter moved a little bit away?
A. They did, and I think it was possibly on both of our parts. I remember on one occasion Garda Keogh would have said something to the extent that it's not good to 12:17 be talking to me, from his side, he was kind of trying to, I felt, buffer me from potential, I suppose, you know, comment of being too close or whatever.
226 Q. Yes.
A. So that was the way he was pulling back, I think you could call it.

227 Q. Could I ask you then, looking at paragraph 4.3 of your statement on page 590, you say that following his disclosure you continued in your role and on occasions supervised Garda Keogh:
"He struggled at times to keep thi ngs going and would speak about his drinking being a problemand his preferences for working ni ghts."

I think you mentioned that already
A. Yes.

228 Q. He found the mornings difficult, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. In terms of actually turning up on time for work?
A. I think it would have been turning up and the scale of work for the public office changes dramatically, because obviously the amount of people who come in during the day to the public counter is huge by day and 12:18 a lower volume by night. So one aspect of work is reduced. Yes. Now, can I ask you in relation to your interview with the Tribunal investigators, we might have page 11755 please up, which is your interview, sergeant. You said there on page 11755, you were being asked by the interviewers to characterise your working and personal relationship, about halfway down line 93. Then you speak towards the bottom of that page, you to refer to:
"Sadly a lot of calls from hi mhen he was drunk and rambling. Predominantly those conversations were about his welfare. I would say my rel ati onship with Garda Keogh became more di stant as he became nore unwell."
A. Yeah, again, this is the latter times and following his disclosures when he became, as I say, yeah, unwe11.
Q. Yes. In terms then of the matter Mr. Marrinan was asking you about and others, concerning the passing of the role of support from yourself to Yvonne Martin, to come in as a liaison person, $I$ think you mentioned -you were asked about that on page 11756. The position is, as I understand it, that you were aware of course from your discussions with Pat Murray that that was going to be happening, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: I am going to ask you about that?

CHA RMAN Does it matter a whole lot?
MR. MCHEÁL O HGG NS: I am not sure it does, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Does it matter a whole lot if the superintendent said, you know, I have a feeling that this is the case, or, if he says, you know, I am a bit uncomfortable about this? I mean, I am wondering about this.

MR. M' CHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes. Maybe it's a matter for
submission later on, but in my respectful submission, it will certainly be our submission --

CHAN RMN What the sergeant says is, look, I did not have any discomfort. Yes, I had a conversation with the superintendent and, yes, this issue came up. It wasn't that I was uncomfortable and said I was uncomfortable. But my recollection is that he brought it up and he felt that I might be uncomfortable in it, and because he felt it, I went along with it because it was a reasonable point of view among intelligent people 12:22 and sensible people. That's what he wanted. He apparently wanted Sergeant Martin to take over and you were comfortable with that. If that's what he wanted, there was no problem with that.
A. Yeah, that's largely how I feel about it, exactly.

CHAD RMAN That's the situation. And he says, no, he thought that it was Sergeant Haran who brought it up because he was feeling discomfort at the role. And I am not sure it makes any difference.
MR. M' CHEÁL OHGGN: If it's of assistance,
Chairman, if I may say so, I propose to pass from that very shortly.

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Somebody may te11 me it makes had a difference and somebody may make a suggestion as to how the matter may be resolved. But I 12:22 am in doubt at the moment, I cannot see how it makes any difference.

MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes. I will shortly conclude then, Chairman.

CHA RMAN It's al1 right.
Q. MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: Could I ask you 1astly, would you mind taking a look at a document, page 4916, please, sergeant. This is later in time but perhaps it is of assistance to the Chairman to give an overview of your position. It's an e-mail from yourself to Thomas Myers of 7th March 2018. Just a take a moment there to familiarise yourself with it, I have jumped ahead in time, but $I$ think it's a relevant document that you may be able to assist us with. who, first of all, is Thomas Myers?
A. He is a chief superintendent that was involved in an investigation that followed. I don't know at what point it started.
Q. Yes.
A. But he was involved in an investigation into certain issues.

239 Q. I think the bullying and harassment complaint by Garda Keogh, is that right?
A. Yes.

240 Q. Just taking up really the last two-thirds of that document, you say, and forgive me if I am cherry picking here, others than extract from it what's relevant. About two-thirds of the way down you say:
"He would often talk to me and I would regul arly advi sed himon files and paperwork as he freely admitted he was not good on paper."

That's fine, we dealt with that.
"At this stage when he had admitted to be the person who made disclosures, he was suffering fromal cohol use and he was clearly struggling even to be in work."

And that's your recollection?
A. It is.
"He appeared to be under enormous strain and I remenber that he loved to work ni ghts but I think he felt more conf ortable when less authority was around. He was honest to me, stated that he may have to go sick and this was apparent to me. I offered himgui dance and my advi ce and engaged with hima number of times on and off duty for a period of time."

The next portion I wish to ask you about.
"Al cohol and the issues surrounding his protected di sclosures had clearly affected himand his ability to work at his previ ous standards was undermined up to the time he went on I ong-termsick I eave."

Was that your understanding?
A. Oh, it was. I mean there was an obvious, you know, change in Garda Keogh from pre and post disclosure and alcohol -- substance abuse.

242 Q. Can I ask you, when you say in the following few lines:
"I did not see Ni ck Keogh bei ng bullied by any persons, his unit colleagues appeared to be supportive. The supervisors in my station never bullied Nick in my presence, nor did they ever converse to me on paper, orally or by any meant in any way that might be percei ved as taking actions directed at Ni ck in any way. I ndeed, supervi sors appeared to make genui ne efforts to support him I look forward to his recovery and return to work in due course and woul d wel cone him back to work."

That is and remains your position?
A. Absolutely.

MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: Thanks very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Anybody else?
MS. O ROURKE: I don't have any questions, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Anything,
Mr. Marrinan?
MR. MARRI NAN Nothing arising.
CHAN RMAN I'm sorry.
MR. MARRI NAN Nothing arising.
CHAN RMAN Thank you very much, sergeant.
MR. MARRI NAN Thank you very much, sergeant.

THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MARN NAN Gerry white, please. His statement is to be found in volume 3 at page 486.

GARDA GERRY WH TE (RETI RED), HAM NG BEEN SUDRN, WAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MR. MARRI NAN, AS FOLLOVS:

THE WTNESS: Gerry white.
243 Q. MR. MARRI NAN Now, I think that you were a member of An Garda Síochána from 1984 until your retirement on 6th December 2016, is that right?
A. That's correct.

244 Q. I think that you were requested to make a statement arising from issues raised by Garda Keogh in relation to searches that were conducted on Pulse in relation to his motor tax, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Will you just tell us what you recall in relation to that?

CHA RMAN Well tell us what your position was first of a11.

MR. MARRI NAN Yes, sorry.
CHA RMAN I mean, I know what your position was, but 1et's have it officially.
A. Between 2008 and 2014 my position was district clerk, assistant to the superintendent and the inspectors.
246 Q. CHA RMAN District clerk, based in Athlone?
A. Based in Athlone.

247 Q. MR. MARR NAN Just te11 us your recollection of the events?
A. When I was asked about this, first of all I had notes, it wouldn't be a practice of mine to check cars of members in the station, bar maybe they parked in the station in error, blocking entrances or exits or something like that. But when I was asked about this, 12:27 I did recall that -- I didn't recall the date but I remember being in the finance officer's -- in the finance officer's office when Superintendent McBrien came in and we had a discussion, informal, unscheduled, where the finance officer was talking about the number of claims that she had in front of her for payment. The superintendent says, we11, maybe I should check that the tax and insurance and that on these vehicles are all correct before we pay them. So it was agreed that I would take some of these files and check the car 12:28 details of a certain number of these claims. Now, I don't recall whether I picked up the claims, whether they were handed to me by the finance officer or handed to me by the superintendent but I took possibly half a dozen claims and inputted them into Pulse, checked the 12:28 details, printed them off and transmitted to the superintendent.
248 Q. I think you said that it was a random check in relation to Garda members, it was generally a random check but Superintendent McBrien asked to you include Garda Keogh 12:28 as part of that?
A. No, no, it was a random check of the claims that were in front of the finance officer.
249 Q. Is there no specific request in relation to the
inclusion of Garda Keogh?
A. No.

250 Q. Are you sure about that?
A. I'm a hundred percent sure, yes.

251 Q. Right. If we could just have page 6272 up on the screen, please. Yes. We see there on 30th September 2014, Thomas white, that was yourself, is that right?
A. That is myself, yes.
Q. We see there the search and it refers to "caller to superintendent's office"?
A. Yes.

253 Q. In the remarks section. Can you recall what that related to?
A. I don't recall that. Pulse at that time, when you put in a query to Pulse you had to give a reason for your query. This is only speculation, $I$ don't know this as a fact, but sometimes what you had put in as a reason for your previous search came up in the following search. That may be the reason why that was there. There was no caller to the superintendent's office in relation to that search.

254 Q. We can see from that, just above, on the 1st October, the following day, Superintendent McBrien conducted her own check and that relates to an inquiry re Athlone. But you had no role in relation to that?
A. No.

255
Q. Would you answer any questions, please?

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAI RMAN Now.

# GARDA GERRY WH TE (RETI RED), WAS THEN CROSS- EXAM NED BY <br> MR. KELLY, AS FOLLOVB: 

256 Q. MR. KELLY: Do you have any recollection of these events which is independent of those documents which have been shown to you?
A. When I was first asked about this incident, I had no recollection whatsoever.

257 Q. A11 right.
A. But it was just, when it was put into context that I checked a number of vehicles at the time, that I said this is maybe why I was being asked this question.
258 Q. So what you are saying, if I understand it correctly, is well, look, I was called in to the finance office or Superintendent McBrien's office?
A. I was in the finance officer's office when Superintendent McBrien came in.
259 Q. So you were in with the finance office, were you?
A. Yes.

260 Q. Superintendent McBrien arrives?
A. Yes.

261 Q. Who has got the handful of vehicles?
A. The finance officer had a large number of claims on her desk.

262 Q. Right. And a group of those are handed to you, is that right?
A. I may have picked them up, I may have been handed them, I just can't recall.
Q. You cannot say whether the finance officer or Superintendent McBrien picked them up and handed them to you or whether you reached across and took them?
A. No, I haven't a clue.
Q. What we can be certain of, as I understand your evidence and on the documents, is that Nick Keogh's vehicle was amongst those?
A. Yes, that would be the case, yes.
Q. It's right, isn't it, that Superintendent McBrien included that in the bundle that was handed to you?
A. I cannot say that. As I say, I don't know who handed me -- whether I picked up the documents, whether it was the finance officer gave them to me or Superintendent McBrien.
Q. So what it comes to is, you can neither say it is correct or incorrect that Superintendent McBrien included Nick Keogh's amongst those documents given to you?
A. No, I can't say.
Q. You're unable to say that?
A. I'm unable to say that.
Q. Because you simply don't have a recollection?
A. I don't have a recollection.
summer festivals, so probably -- I don't know. How big, are we talking, this or this [INDICATING]? CHA RMAN Are we talking 20 or 40 or something, I mean in a rough --
A. Yeah, that ballpark. object is you're going to check the tax status of these 12:33 vehicles?
A. Tax and insurance.
Q. Tax and insurance. And you leave that office with a bunch of documents, you cannot say who handed them to you or whether they came from Superintendent McBrien or 12:33 the finance officer, but you took them off, you checked it. What you can say for certain is that Nick Keogh's was amongst them?
A. Yeah.

274
A. Yes.
Q. And you can also say, as I understood your evidence, that this was an unusual exercise from your perspective?
A. I would never have been tasked with doing this before.

276 Q. Never tasked before with that?
A. No.

277
Q. The most you would have been tasked with doing in relation to checking members' vehicles on Pulse would
be if somebody had taken their car in and was blocking an exit or a parking space or something, you would be asked to find out whose that is, so they could get on to them and say, look, move the thing?
A. Yeah, just say if somebody was trying to get out, maybe 12:34 station guard, I was at a computer that facilitated the check.
Q. CHA RMAN The name?
A. Just the name.

MR. KELLY: You have got a vehicle in the station yard, this is how I imagine it, tell me if I have got it wrong, it's registration whatever, somebody comes in, it will ultimately end up in front of you, find out whose that is, do you, they're phoned and presumably they move their vehicle?
A. It wouldn't be in that form, it was a very small yard with an awful lot of people trying to park in it, so if ${ }_{12: 34}$ there was a change of shift and somebody was trying to get out and you didn't recognise the name of person, if I was beside the computer that -CHA RMAN It would be a harmless kind of --
A. Totally harmless.

281 Q. MR. KELLY: Yeah. So this was totally out of the ordinary from the normal run of the thing?
A. Yes.

282 Q. Can I ask you, what you do now?
A. I'm retired.
Q. Are you?
A. Yeah.
Q. Congratulations.
A. Thank you.
Q. CHAN RMAN So of the possibilities, the finance officer had the documents to begin with?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN The superintendent comes in and there's a conversation?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN As a result of this conversation you leave with a bunch of documents?
A. That's right.

288 Q. CHA RMAN A relatively small bunch of documents?

289 Q. CHA RMAN Compared with the number that are on the thing. If it happened that you took them and Nick Keogh's car is there, that was random?
A. Yes.

290 Q. CHA RMAN If that was the case?
A. Yes.

291 Q. CHAL RMAN If you are handed the bundle by Superintendent McBrien, then she had produced the documents, is that right?
A. That would be the case. But I don't think Superintendent McBrien knew I was in the finance officer's office when she came in.

292 Q. CHA RMAN I understand.
A. So...CHA RMAN But you left with the bundle?

294 Q. CHA RMAN And that was either handled to you by the superintendent?
A. Yes.

295 Q. CHA RMAR And if the superintendent handed them to you, you don't know how they came to be selected?
A. No.

296 Q. CHA RMAN But the other possibility, that you just
A. Yes.

CHA RMAR okay. Otherwise it remains. okay, thank you very much. Anything arising out of that, Mr. Kelly.
MR. KELLY: No, I think that's it.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much.
297 Q. MR. KELLY: You haven't come here to assert that there was a pile of documents and you positively remember going and you randomly selecting stuff out of it?
CHA RMAN No.
MR. KELLY: That is not what you are asserting at all. CHA RMAN Garda white very fairly says, look, I do remember but $I$ am considering possibilities. Okay, thank you very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

MR. DONAL MCGU NNESS: No questions, Chairman.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
ME. O ROURKE: No questions, Chairman.
MR. CARROLL: On behalf of Superintendent McBrien, just a couple of questions by way of clarification with Mr. White.

CHAN RMAN Certain7y.

## GARDA GERRY WH TE (RETI RED), WAS EXAM NED BY MR. CARROLL, AS FOLLOWB:

298 Q. MR. CARROL: Just to be clear. I think you have said this wasn't a prearranged meeting in the finance officer's area or room, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

299 Q. I think the finance officer was Catherine Quirk, I think she is unfortunately deceased?
A. That is correct.

300 Q. Subsequent to this time. I think the position is that you went in, and I think you made this clear, that the context of what you were being asked to do was in the context of claims for travel and travel subsistence type claims?
A. That's correct.

301 Q. It was in that context you were being asked to check a number of your colleagues' vehicles, isn't that right?
A. That is correct, yes.

302 Q. I think the position is, I don't know if you recollect if anything was said at that point about audits or anything of that nature in terms of procedures for
audits or anything like that?
A. My recollection is it was just to check that the tax and insurance was correct for the payment of the claims. The members, when you make claims, certify that you are properly taxed and insured.

I think am I right when you say you don't recollect whether it was the finance officer or Superintendent McBrien that had indicated or handed you the stuff, would that be fair?
A. It could have been myself, it could have been Superintendent McBrien or the finance officer. In the normal run of things the finance officer's role would involve this type of activity in terms of claims for payments to be made. That would fall within the --
A. The payment of claims wouldn't have been exclusively -- 12:39 the finance officer would deal with the administration of that, yes.
Q. And is it your evidence that you went about that business in checking the various members' vehicles and it was at that point you became aware of one of them was Nicholas Keogh, Garda Keogh?
A. Until this query was put to me many years later, I would are not have known that Nicholas Keogh's car was one of those that I checked.

306 Q. In that sense there was nothing outstanding in that whether it was the finance officer or Superintendent McBrien talking to you and giving you the instruction, but you remember them both being there?
A. Superintendent McBrien would have tasked me with checking the vehicles. As I say, I don't know who, whether one or three of us picked up the claims. Just bear with me one second. Just bear with me one second, sorry. Subsequently then had you indicated to Superintendent McBrien that the check resulted in Garda Keogh's vehicle being taxed in a commercial way, do you recollect that?
A. I did the searches on the vehicles that I had been given. I didn't note any discrepancies or irregularities in any of them. It just didn't interest me, to be honest with you.
Just the final thing then, $I$ know you have dealt with this, just again to try and clarify it: Superintendent McBrien, when asked about this by the Tribunal team, wasn't in a position to clarify the caller to the superintendent's office. That was an entry you made, was it, when you were checking the matter?
A. That turned up in the search box, it wasn't a reason for this search. I have no explanation as to why it was there. If I was to speculate, it may have been related to the previous search I conducted.

309 Q. Just one final question -- bear with me one moment, I just want to clarify something, sorry. Just on the point, because Superintendent McBrien hasn't given have a recollection that you had indicated that there was an issue in terms of the tax being commercial, she has that recollection, possibly later that day.

CHA RMAN Sorry, Mr. Carroll, I am not following.
MR. CARRQL: or the following day.
CHAL RMAN I am not entirely clear, what question are you asking?
MR. CARROL: That there was some feedback to Superintendent McBrien.
CHA RMAN He made the check.
MR. CARROL: After the check.
310 Q. CHA RMAN You made the check.
A. Yes.

311 Q. CHA RMAN And you got feedback?
A. Yes.

312 Q. CHA RMAN And you reported the feedback?
A. I would have printed off --

313 Q. CHAI RMAN Printed off the feedback?
A. To my recollection, I printed off printouts of the registered number. There would be two screens, the name and registration, address of the person checked.
314 Q. CHAN RMAN Yes.
A. The second screen would have been the tax and insurance 12:44 details of that person.

315 Q. CHAN RMAN Yes.
A. I don't recall anything --

CHA RMAN You don't recollect the details of any of the particular enquiries that you made?
317 Q. MR. CARROL: Maybe that's is an explanation, it's just that she, and I just want to put it so there is no issue about it, that she has a recollection that you may not have said there was a difficulty with it but
you may have said that it was taxed in a commercial way, that's the point. But you don't have a recollection of that following your check?
A. I don't, because while it was unusual, it was nothing of interest to me. It was a mundane task, which I just 12:44 completed and moved on.

318 Q. CHA RMAN I follow. what was written was written. It said what it said.
A. Yeah.

319 Q
CHA RMAN And you were passing that on to whoever?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN So that seems to deal with that, Mr. Carroll.

MR. CARROL: Yes, thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thanks you very much. Anybody else?
MR. KELLY: Chairman, there's one thing.
CHAN RMAN Sorry, yes, Mr. Kelly.
MR. KELLY: It's purely a technical thing. I should have asked it, I forgot.
CHA RMAN There's no problem.

## GARDA GERRY WH TE WAS THEN FURTHER CROSS- EXAM NED BY

MR. KELLY, AS FOLLOVB:

320 Q. MR. KELLY: Looking at that screen in front of you?
A. Yes.
A. As I stated, when you carry out a query on Pulse you have to put in a reason for your search. But that was not the reason for this search. The only explanation I can give for that is, that sometimes the reason for your previous search carries through to your next search. Say, if -- when you put in -- when I put in the registration number of, say, Garda Keogh's vehicle, it would ask me for the reason, a box would populate, if there was something in that screen already and I wasn't that interested in the query, I would have just said okay, that's the reason for it, the search, and it 12:46 would have generated a result. If that makes sense.

326 Q. Then I see above that, the next following in time Noreen McBrien, 1st October, seems to have run a check, am I reading that correctly?
A. Yes. That would be correct, yes.
Q. And it says "i nqui ries re Athl one"?
A. Yes.
okay, that is all I wanted to ask.
329 Q. CHA RMAN Can you just help me, I'm not able to read the full form because of the shape it comes up here. The column on the left, if I take the very top one, Nicholas P Keogh, Garda, what's the one on the right, Nicholas P Keogh, Garda? Does that mean that Garda Keogh was checking himself.
A. Yes.

330 Q. CHAN RMAN Is that what was happening?
A. Yes.

331 Q. CHA RMAN I'm sorry to be stupid about this?
A. No.

332 Q. CHA RMAN The person being checked on the left and on the right is the person who is doing the check or requesting the check?
A. In some cases they might be two different persons. If the query came in to the public office of Ath1one Garda 12:47 Station to check a vehicle, the first -- from my recollection, the first name there would be the member on the street who was checking it, the second name would be the person who checked it on Pulse.
A. I'11 be honest with you, I don't recall at this stage, it may be one or the other. So in some cases them two may be different, in some cases they may be the same. CHA RMAN Okay. Thank you very much. You want to ask
something arising out of that, Mr. Kelly.
334 Q. MR. KELLY: No, I don't want to confuse matters, but when you're talking about the two, the entry to the left, take that third one down, "Thomas White, Garda." Then the next one "Thomas White, Garda". what I read there, you're not checking yourself, are you?
A. No, that would be -- we're checking the vehicle, the person requesting the check was Thomas white in this case.
Q. CHA RMAN Yes.
A. And the person performing the check in this case was Thomas white.

MR. KELLY: I see.
336 Q. CHA RMAN The person requesting the check and the person performing the check?
A. Yes, in some cases they may vary.

CHA RMAK Thank you very much. That's great. Thanks very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Nobody wants to ask any more questions?
MR. MARR NAN No more questions.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much for coming, and you're free to go now obviously.
THE WTNESS: Thank you.

THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW
M. MEGRATH Chairman, the next witness is Inspector Michelle Baker and subject to yourself, we can take her up at two o'clock.
CHA RMAN If everybody is happy with that, I think that makes a lot of sense. Thanks very much.

## THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED, AS

 FOLLOVS:ME. MEGRATH Good afternoon, Chairman. The next witness is Inspector Michelle Baker, please. CHA RMAN Thanks very much.
MS. MEGRATH Chairman, her statement is at page 599 of the books at volume 3.

I NSPECTOR M CHELLE BAKER, HAM NG BEEN SVORN, WAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MS. MLGRATH, AS FOLLOVS:

THE WTNESS: Michelle Baker.
337 Q.
MS. MEGRATH Good afternoon, inspector. Inspector, just by way of outline at the beginning, I think you were attested as a member of An Garda Síochána in 1998, is that right?
A. That's correct.

338 Q. And you were promoted to the rank of sergeant in

Athlone at that point. I was away for a year, returned in 2008 as sergeant.
339 Q. So I think June 2008, would that be correct, when you came back to Athlone?
A. Yes.

340 Q. Okay. You were the sergeant in charge for Athlone for a significant period, I think the period is August 2013 to September 2016, is that right?
A. That's correct.

341 Q. Okay. Then you were promoted to the rank of inspector in October 2016, is that right?
A. Yes.

342 Q. But I think when you were working with Garda keogh, it was in your capacity as sergeant in charge in Athlone, isn't that right?
A. Yeah. I didn't work directly with Garda keogh. When I was a unit sergeant I was on unit A.

343 Q. Okay, he was, as we have heard, on unit C?
A. Yeah.

344 Q. You had no unit responsibility for Garda Keogh?
A. That's right.

345 Q. In your statement you say you had limited direct contact with Garda Keogh and I think you attribute that to your different units and your different schedules, is that right?
A. Yes. In the initial few years as unit sergeant we were on a different tour of duty, and then as sergeant in charge the role is different, it's not directly supervising individual members.


Would you have known that he was on sick leave and attributing it to work related stress, for example?
A. I would have been aware of his absence, but maybe not particularly directed to what the exact nature of it was. this limited contact, you talk about really being a conduit for paperwork or signing documentation in respect of him, but it never went further than that, is that right?
A. That would be correct, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, can I tell you that -- sorry, can I ask you then just in relation to the period of 2014, I think you would have been aware that he had made a protected disclosure, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you have been aware or have any knowledge of the impact that was having on him, either personally or professionally, after that period?
A. I wouldn't in the initial stages, no, I wouldn't have been aware.

351 Q. Can I bring you in to 2015, and if I could ask Mr. Kavanagh to open up page 2043.
A. Yeah.

352 Q. Now, I think this is the statement of Chief

## Superintendent Pat Murray?

A. Okay.
Q. So it's 2043. okay. Now, if you just look at the end of that paragraph there, he is stating in his statement:
"After meeting Garda Keogh on the 26th March."

Now this is 2015.
" -- I spoke with Sergeant Martin, Sergeant Mbyl an and Sergeant Har an, the sergeant in charge, Sergeant Baker and Inspector Farrell about the supports I di scussed with Garda Keogh and all agreed with that course of action. "

Can you tell us, you were party to this discussion or meeting, can you assist the Chairman, were you to have a role in those supports?
A. I would have been advised of that in my capacity as sergeant in charge. I suppose if I can explain a little bit in relation to the office and the function. There is a clerical assistant or a clerical officer attached to my office, so all the paperwork from the district offices to and from, all of the uniform
community policing should be channeled through my office, where the clerical office would record that. So as a result of that $I$ would have been included in
the briefing because -- if it had any impact, but as a rule it didn't have an impact on where the correspondence was still going to be channelled through his unit supervisor, which was Sergeant Moylan.
Just so that we all understand it, your function as a sergeant in charge, just generally, what is that function in the station?
A. I suppose it's sort of like I suppose really the senior sergeant of the uniform personnel. You have a dual function, in that you are the conduit, as I have described, for correspondence between all of the uniform sergeants and the district officer, the superintendent and inspectors. You also have sort of a role in relation to, you know, the building and its maintenance and security and all those kind of OPW, I would call it, related matters and issues. And then another main core function of it is in relation to the PAF system, which is in relation to the accountability framework.
Q. Just there with the PAF?
A. Yeah.
Q. Would you have then a sort of general responsibility for work related issues or performance?
A. Do you want me to describe my role in the PAF?

357 Q. Yes, if you would.
A. Yeah, okay. So every morning there is a meeting in the superintendent's office and the main attendees would be the superintendent, inspectors, if they're available, myself as the sergeant in charge, the detective
sergeant, whatever unit sergeants are working or sergeants from, you know, external sub-district stations. They would all attend, including the district clerk and the PAF administrator. There was also maybe sometimes a person available from the victims support office sat in. There was also, in chief Superintendent Murray's time, an extended invitation was open to any garda personnel who were working in the mornings to attend, to understand the process. Also, if a sergeant was absent or the detective sergeant was absent, a guard would sit in instead of those, so a detective might sit in if a detective sergeant was away. So all members kind of contributed to the meeting.

So the role of the sergeant in charge in the morning, certain items -- I had to gather a lot of items to bring in to this meeting. The superintendent chaired the meeting but $I$ was sort of like a presenter. It's not a formal presentation, it's at a conference table.
So for this I would have to gather numerous items. The 003 report, which is something I printed off Pulse.

358 Q. Sorry, we wouldn't know what is in that type of report?
A. Okay. So a 003 report is the incidents that have occurred in the previous 24 hours that have been recorded on Pulse for Athlone district, or on a Monday morning it's incidents that were recorded for the previous 72 hours, so from 7:00am on Friday morning until 7:00am on Monday morning. So I would review all
those incidents and on a Monday, that would be upwards of 120 incidents. I would have to check each one in terms of outcome, what position it was at, because when I present that to the district officer he is going to have questions as to well, where is that at now, or what's the latest on that? So my function would be to gather the most update and relevant information, anticipating more questions. So that could be that I might have to talk to people in the station, check for emails, check for other reports and try and bring it to 14:08 the best possible, I suppose, up-to-date information available.

In addition to that, I would have to check intelligence record, prisoner details, resources on personne1, who was available to work in the next 24 hour period. If it was a Friday morning meeting, I would look at resources for the next 72 hours to check our cover for the whole weekend. And then I would also bring matters to the attention, that go on the agenda for the meeting, if there were any planned events, if there was any kind of, you know, races or anything that would maybe draw on your resources or personnel in the following 24 hours. So that would be what I would do for the morning meeting, gather all that stuff.
Can I just bring you back to something, the first document you talked about, you called it a 003 report, is that right?
A. Yes, 003 report, incident --

360 Q. Is that printed off from the Garda system, would you see that on the heading?
A. No, you'd have to go into reporting mechanisms within Pulse.
Q. okay.
A. You would request the report, you pick the district you want it printed for and the time period. It would automatically default to the previously 24 hour or on a Monday you would amend that you want to review the full 72 hours, as the case may be. You can check for a week 14:10 or whatever.

362 Q. We will come to a specific incident in a moment?
A. Yes.

363 Q. But that 003 report, is it literally just a record of Pulse recordings?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there commentary or a narrative on it?
A. There is narrative. It's basically the date, the time, the occurrence, the investigating member, the location of the occurrence, and then the narrative, what's in the narrative screen on Pulse will --
Q. Is this all pulled automatically from Pulse, there is no human interaction?
A. It interacts it. It prints everything that is recorded in that.
366 Q. okay. As you may be aware, inspector, we're interested in a particular incident, which is issue number 9 that the Tribunal is considering?
A. Yes.

367
Q. It is alleged robbery from a person in Athlone in the early hours of 13th September 2015. Okay?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, do you recall that particular incident that particular weekend?
A. I do, yes.
Q. Can you tell me, were you on duty that weekend or do you remember your roster around that weekend?
A. I was working on Monday morning, I wasn't working on that weekend.
Q. Do you remember who was on duty over the course of that weekend?
A. From recollection, you know, from obviously being somewhat influenced by what $I$ saw in the disclosure, I know that unit $C$ were working on nights at some part of 14:11 the weekend.
Q. Do you know who the sergeant on duty was?
A. Well, it would have been scheduled to be Sergeant Monaghan, but I believe he was on leave.
Q. Okay. Do you know if there was a station orderly or the identity of that person that weekend?
A. Do you know, I wouldn't remember that.
Q. Now, can I ask you, you say you weren't on duty until the Monday, now you were the sergeant in charge?
A. Yes.

I think the chief superintendent mentioned in his evidence that you may have been aware of incidents occurring, they may have fed into e-mails on your phone, would you be aware over the weekend of what was
happening?
A. Absolutely, yes. I would work occasional Sundays, but primarily I would work Monday to Friday. But with that, I would receive e-mails on my phone, I would be cc'd on a lot of correspondence. It would be something 14:12 that -- you know, I would have it, you're obviously keeping up-to-date, because while you're off for the weekend, if you are up to speed on what's happening in the station it makes Monday morning easier. Then it wouldn't be unusual that, say, if there was a missing person and I received information about that, I would ring in and check. Because I might be aware -- you know, from Friday's meeting I would have known what resources were over the weekend, there may be a lapse in supervision or something, so you might just know that and you'd ring in and say, are you okay, do you need a hand? You might call in. But you would definitely be keeping up to speed with your information.

375 Q. Do you remember if you got an e-mail on the Sunday in relation to the incident of the robbery?
A. No, I didn't.

376 Q. okay.
A. No.

377 Q. We have heard evidence from some witnesses who have said that this would have been an unusual incident, that there were not very many robberies like this in that year in Athlone?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. This is where I was talking about the Sunday. It's at page 229. The Chairman has seen this report, it's marked for the attention of the sergeant in charge, which was yourself. We can see that it is stamped on the 13th in the public office. So he made that -would you accept that he made that report that Sunday, before he went off duty?
A. Just bear with me. 229, yes?

381 Q. 229.
A. Yeah.

382 Q. Now, as I say, it has the date stamp there of the 13th, so it was received by the public office on that Sunday? 14:14
A. Yes.

383 Q. Do you accept that?
A. That wouldn't have been received by the public office, that would have been the stamp that Garda Keogh would have put on the paper when he wrote that report. That's his date stamp, it's not received by the public office.

384 Q. Okay.
A. Just for clarity, all the guards in the station use that as their stamp.

385 Q. Okay. Can I also ask you there, it's making reference to the robbery from a person and it has the pin, which is the Pulse number, isn't that right?
A. Is the number I believe, yeah.
Q. Okay. Now, again it's been opened in some detail, I am not going to open it fully, but it makes reference to the robbery, the date, the injured party, details of the injured party?
A. $\mathrm{Hm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

387 Q. He notes in the printed first paragraph:
"The IP was intoxi cated and after pointing out the scene was brought home by the Gardaí."

He goes on to say:
"Garda Keogh was goi ng on rest days and has two days annual leave booked and is not due to work until

19/9/2015. This was a seri ous inci dent and the IP may have i nj uries. Could this be forwarded to D/ Branch for favour of immedi ate i nvestigation."

Now, can I ask you, there was a lot of discussion with the chief superintendent in his evidence about this particular report and whether it was intended to be a report in the formal sense. In this regard, Garda Keogh in his evidence said that -- he talked about, the purpose of it was to let you know that he wasn't going to be there and that it should be escalated for higher attention. Do you accept his evidence in that regard?
A. That is to tell us he wasn't going to be there, yeah, that's obvious.

388 Q. He takes issue with the fact that this was intended to be the report which is outlined in a direction that the superintendent had put into place on the 18th August that year. We are going to switch between documents just for a moment. This direction is at page 2121 and I am sure you are very familiar with it.
A. Yes.

389 Q. Can I just ask you, just in relation to this direction, which has come up on the screen.
A. $\mathrm{Hm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

390 Q. If you look at the first paragraph, and, as I say, I'm sure you're familiar with this, is that the case, inspector?
A. Yeah.

391 Q. It says:

Now, do you accept that Garda Keogh did make a Pulse entry and we saw the Pulse number there on his letter?
A. He did, yeah.

392 Q. Okay. Now, just to talk about the actual report that is envisaged by the directive at page 2121 , the second paragraph, as I say, was the subject of some discussion with the superintendent. But it says:
"To ensure that the reporting system works swiftly, the sergeant on duty..."

Do you see where I am reading from, inspector?
A. Yes.

393 Q. "At the time the incident occurs..."

So it's at the time the incident occurs, so it's envisaging an immediate type of report, is that right?
A. Yeah. It would -- hypothetically had a sergeant been working a Saturday night, the responsibility would have
fallen on the sergeant on the Saturday night, Sunday morning to -So it would have either fall on, as you say, the sergeant on duty. And it goes on to say:
"In the sergeant's absence, the station orderly or the menber in charge."

Do you accept that?
A. It would, it would fall on the sergeant and in practice what would that would mean is the sergeant would ensure it was sent. The sergeant themselves mightn't have all the detail really, I would say the member in charge is the investigating member, and that member is the person with the information to complete the report as per the kind of guidelines that were set out on the remainder of the document.
395 Q. I think it goes on to say, and it's quite affirmative, it says:
"Will prepare a short, concise report and e-mail it to the di strict of fice e-mail, CC it to myself, Inspector Farrell, D/ Sergeant Curl ey and Sergeant Baker."

Yourself.
A. Yes.

396 Q. So would you have expected to see a report of that nature about this incident when you came in on the Monday?
A. I would, I would have expected to see it on the Sunday morning.

397 Q. On the Sunday morning?
A. In my e-mail and which I would have taken action, made a phone call, checked in with Detective Sergeant Curley, made sure it was actioned and that the duty sergeant on Sunday morning was fully aware of it and had set in plan, you know, a course of action, interviewing the witness or identifying the scene, all those sort of preliminary steps.
Q. But that duty sergeant, I think you said earlier in your evidence, you understood was to be Sergeant Monaghan?
A. Sergeant Monaghan should have been on on the night, but he was on leave. So he would have finished at 7:00am if he hadn't been on leave. He wasn't the early morning sergeant, he wasn't the 7:00am sergeant.
399 Q. Do you know who was to be the 7:00am sergeant that morning?
A. I couldn't tell you.
Q. Do you know if there was one?
A. There may not have been. I don't recall that. There mightn't have been one. That can happen.

401 Q. Can I ask you then just generally, move away from the specific incident, but generally, if you have these facts colliding, namely there's nobody there and there's nobody on duty, where does the report come from, from paragraph 2?
A. The report come from? The investigating member.
okay. Do you accept that's not outlined by the directive?
A. No, it would have -- sorry, where do I see it?
"In the sergeant's absence, the station orderly or menber in charge."
which is the member in charge of the investigation. The station orderly -- member in charge of the treatment of persons in custody is a different thing. So in this instance it means the station orderly, which
is the PO, this person doing the desk. And the reason that would be there is because hypothetically, a hypothetical incident $I$ should say, the investigating member could be at the scene, they could be gone to the hospital with someone, that they would often ring back and they might say, well, you're there in the station, will you create this for me and send the e-mail. So I suppose it boils back on team. It's team work. The unit would pull together. No individual would investigate something so seriously, so little tasks would be delegated amongst the team.

404 Q. But do you accept it doesn't say the investigating member, just in black and white, plain English?
A. The word investigating member isn't there, but it says member in charge, which I take to be the same. .


405
Q. Okay. Now, you arrived in on the Monday morning and there was no such report, isn't that right?
A. There was no e-mail, no.
Q. There was no e-mail to you, there was no report?
A. No, there was a report in the tray.
Q. And are you talking about the report at page 229 ?
A. Well, that's the report from Garda Keogh, the investigating member, in relation to the alleged robbery.
Q. And are you rejecting Garda Keogh's evidence that this was a note effectively for you to say that he wouldn't be here to deal with it, that's what that was about. That's his evidence. Are you accepting or rejecting that?
A. I don't know whether -- what -- how do you mean accepting or rejecting. That is a report. It's a Garda report, notifying us (a), that this incident occurred and (b), that he wasn't available to investigate it.
409 Q. Now, he does have the pin number or the Pulse number on 14:22 that report. As you said to us earlier -- and it's a new document we're hearing about, this 003, but you're saying this 003 form is populated from Pulse and therefore it was populated in respect of this incident from that Pulse number 12207121, is that right?
A. Sorry, you kind of threw me there. The 003 report is the incident summary report, that has been mentioned here, I would have thought.

410 Q. Oh, okay. I didn't realise they were one and the same?
A. 003 is the Pulse code, I suppose, sorry, it's what I call it in a day-to-day fashion, but it's the incident summary report.

CHA RMAN Sorry.
A. Summary of all the incidents.

411 Q. CHA RMAN I am lost, and I am lost for two reasons. First of all, I can't hear you properly. Could I ask you to move that a bit closer to you or to move your chair a tiny bit closer. I don't want to make anybody uncomfortable but I can't hear properly.
A. Sorry.

412 Q. CHA RMAN The second thing is, I am not understanding, there's a Pulse entry, that $I$ understand.
A. Yes.

413 Q. CHA RMAN There's a 003 document that you're referring 14:23 to.
A. Yes.

414 Q. CHA RMAN what's that?
A. That is where -- it's a report that's generated from Pulse that shows all of the incidents created in respect of a district for a period of time, it can be 24 hours or 72 hours.

415 Q. CHA RMAN okay.
A. You select.

416 Q. CHA RMAN So that would be something that you would either prepare or supervise the preparation of?
A. No, I would have prepared it. I would extract that from Pulse every morning.

417 Q. CHN RMAN And bring it to the PAF on Monday?
A. Yes. That was one of a number of items $I$ brought to that meeting every morning. We11, can I ask you to look at page 496, which appears to be the incident summary report for this particular incident?
A. Yes. There's also an incident summary report for an individual incident.

423 Q. And that is also printed off by you, is that correct, on the Monday morning?
A. That would be part of it, yes.
Q. That is part of the documentation --

CHAL RMAN Sorry, slow down, Ms. McGrath, slow down, don't ask the next question before the first one has been answered. I work slowly and I like to take a note. Sorry.
Q. ME. MEGRATH 496? CHA RMAN 496.
A. As I said, the first thing I will do is print off the 003 report, which could contain 120 incidents. CHA RMAN It's a summary of a large number of incidents?
A. A period of time.

427 Q. CHAN RMAN okay.
A. From that I would, if you want to call it, drill into a 14:26 particular incident.
Q. CHA RMAN Yes.
A. And produce one of those, which is on the screen there now.

429 Q. CHA RMAN 496.
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN We can call that a summary report, it's not important what we call it, but that's --
A. They both kind of have the same name but they're different.

CHA RMAN Okay, very good. Now, Ms. McGrath, I am sorry for being dim about this.

431 Q. M. MEGRATH You understand my confusion?
A. Yes.
Q. It was the document at page 496. Okay. So again, just going back to the Monday morning, the preparation of your documentation, as you said yourself earlier, you see the directive, the one you opened as a team, and can I ask you, were any queries raised before the meeting with anybody else, anyone who was on duty the previous day, as to was there any report, further reporting or anything for this incident?
A. I don't recollect having conversations but my practice would be I would always go and check with the station orderly or the duty sergeant, especially when there's an incident of significance, $I$ would call it, just to check, did you hear anything about this, do you know if anyone is doing something on this. I would probably have the same conversation with Detective Sergeant Curley, just so that I would have an idea of where it's at before $I$ go to the meeting, if some development had occurred.
433 Q. Did you make those queries that morning in respect of this incident?
A. Oh I would have, yes, definitely.

434 Q. Do you remember who you spoke to?
A. I don't. It could have been just the PO, the guard who was at the counter, that would be very normal. As part of my preparation $I$ check the cells, I check the custody records, I speak to the member in charge, as in the PO, and talk to them about if there is somebody in custody or whatever. So you do a general sort of a walkthrough, $I$ cal1 it, in the mornings, catching up
over the weekend to see was there anything of significance that I need to bring to the meeting.
Q. Can you assist the chairman as to whether this incident arose in those conversations?
A. I can't specifically remember talking to anybody, but I 14:28 imagine I would have. When I had a report like Garda Keogh's handwritten report, you know, it meant I knew something so I would have been curious to try and find more information. Because I would have known going to the meeting that I hadn't enough information.
Q. Would you have asked, is there a report from the sergeant in charge, or was there one?
A. I am the sergeant in charge.
Q. Sorry, not the sergeant in charge, the sergeant on duty?
A. I was aware that Sergeant Monaghan had been on leave.
Q. okay. So you went into the meeting. Can I just ask you, what time was the PAF on Monday mornings?
A. It's now at 10:00am but I believe it was 10:30am at the time.
Q. Okay, and no contact was made with any other team member or Garda Keogh before the meeting by you?
A. No, I wouldn't have -- he was off on leave and...
Q. Okay. So you went to the meeting and I think we have heard evidence that you raised the issue of this document, the report at page 229. I think the evidence we have heard is that you felt it was unsatisfactory?
A. Yeah. Well, I would have raised this not as an individual item, it would have came about in the course
of the list of the hundred and whatever incidents. At each one we have a brief discussion and I would have said, I have additional information, a small bit, in this report that the investigating member isn't available, he's on leave, and that we need to, you know, task someone with -- my view on it is that it was unsatisfactory and that it wasn't e-mailed and we had lost crucial time on the Sunday to interview the injured party, find the scene, look for witnesses, you know, all that timely investigation.
so your dissatisfaction was that it wasn't e-mailed as opposed to what it was telling you or content?
A. Point one for me was disappointment it wasn't e-mailed because we lost time and then point two, now that we are 36 hours later, 24 hours late, we still don't have enough, we have more questions than answers.

442 Q. Did you express anything further? Did you express anything further in relation to Garda Keogh specifically?
A. Oh no. This would have been the way I talk about every incident if there is something missing. It's about the data quality, the information to hand, the decisions that we can make at that time.

443 Q. We11, can I ask you to look at page 2049 of the papers. It's Chief Superintendent Murray's statement. 2049, and it's the third paragraph there?
A. Okay.

444 Q. It's about the fourth line in. It's referring to yourself as bringing it to the attention of the meeting
and I will just quote:
"The report from Garda Keogh, which she felt was far fromsatisfactory in that it lacked any detail to assist inquiries Garda Keogh said he was unable to make. "

Now, that's a little bit different, it doesn't mention not e-mailing it, it's going to the content or substance of the report. Is that your recollection of the objection you raised to the report?
A. It's probably accurate, in that I wouldn't have been satisfied with the information but I know from my own personal opinion when I saw this handwritten report that morning and I saw the 003, I was like, why didn't I get an e-mail on this yesterday morning, to make sure something was done. I suppose really at the meeting we're not -- we can't turn back time, we're dealing with what we have and what's the best -- you know, what is the next best step. So at that meeting I would be
asked questions and if I can ask them, great, we can advance things, and if I can't, well then we need to find the extra information, it becomes a task, which Detective Sergeant Curley took on then. would you accept that in relation to details there was actually a significant amount of detail on the incident before the meeting, particularly if you look at the detail of the Pulse entry, would you accept or reject that position?
A. There was some details, but there wasn't anything extra. If you were to look at, you know the incident report, what's required to be sent by e-mail, the instruction on the 18/8, you know, certain things like exact location, where was the scene, you know, could we 14:32 organise searches. You know, very fundamental policing kind of tasks, where items were missing.

Just in relation to that, seeing as I think you are squarely raising an issue there that needs to be addressed by looking at the Pulse record at page 225 and 226.
A. Okay.
Q. So if we can just open that Pulse record. It's page 225 and 226.
A. okay.
Q. Now, if you look at the Pulse record and again, I don't want to delay the Tribunal, the Chairman has seen this in some detail while the chief superintendent was giving his evidence, but just the last issue you mentioned. If you look at the scene and location, it could not be more precise, and on the right-hand side it has a longitude and latitude location, do you accept that, on the Pulse record?
A. Yeah, the GPS, yeah.

449 Q. okay. So it has GPS, it has a scene address, saint Mel's Terrace, do you see that?
A. Yes.

450 Q. It has a time, a date, a date reported, and then it has a reference to the sum of money of $€ 20$. Then in the
narrative, you will see there's some detail there?
A. Yeah.
Q. And again it's location, scene, details of the injured party, details of the possible suspects and
descriptions. So would you accept that if you want to tie yourself back to the directive of the 18th August, the detail is there. Would you accept that or reject that, inspector?
A. To a certain degree, but Saint Mel's Terrace is a long road, you know, so if you were to say at which end it happened. That's what I mean, you know, to say that it wasn't pointed out, it wasn't preserved.
452 Q. But the GPS there would seem to refer to precise locus?
A. Yeah, I suppose it would, yeah.

453 Q. CHA RMAN where did he get the GPS?
A. It's on the right of the screen.

454 Q. CHA RMAN I know, where did he get it?
A. The guard would collect it on his TETRA radio. CHA RMAN okay, very good. Thank you very much.
455 Q. MS. MEGRATH Chairman, if you see just over the word latitude, you will see DMT TETRA and that box is ticked?

456 Q. CHA RMAN Thank you very much, I am sorry. And if somebody put those in, they would give you pretty precise --
A. More or less, yes.

457 Q. CHA RMAN If you went the other way round, in other words?
A. The general area.

MS. MEGRATH So again, I would put it to you, inspector, that at the meeting on the Monday, the stark reality of it was that this is a very detailed Pulse record and the inquiries could have been commenced on the basis of the pulse record that you were referencing earlier, is that right?
A. To a certain -- they were commenced from it and it start from that, but in terms of -- just usually there's a bit of extra detail required for something so serious as this.
This is what you say, and we have opened the note there of the chief superintendent a moment ago, this is why you said the report was far from satisfactory?
A. Yeah. We11, it was not satisfactory from my point of view, because it wasn't e-mailed on the sunday.
460 Q. Can I ask you, inspector, is it the case that when you're not there as sergeant in charge and matters are put in your tray, does anybody have responsibility for notifying you of the matters in your tray on a Sunday, when you're not there?
A. No, the tray post is what I would call -- generally it's non-urgent correspondence. Members leave applications, you know, rudimentary sort of post and correspondence. That's the sort of channel that's used, it's something that is going back into the

461 Q. But who is there on your days off to ensure --
A. Nobody. Nobody. The clerical officer works Monday to Friday, the same as myself. So she is tasked with
logging every item in and out.
Q. We do know from the evidence that after the meeting Garda A was tasked with going to meet the injured party to take a statement from him, isn't that right, were you aware of that?
A. Yes. Detective Sergeant Curley took over after the meeting.
Q. Is there any reason why a garda couldn't have been tasked the previous day, given that all the details were there to do that?
A. I wasn't there, so I can't say. It wouldn't appear that anybody took it on or wasn't -- I can't speak for what happened, whether Garda Keogh handed the report on to the oncoming unit. There doesn't appear to have been any continuity from when the report was received until when I picked it up on Monday morning. It appears to have laid dormant.
Q. Can I ask you, maybe I'm misinterpreting what you are saying, but was the problem really a process failure or that processes or procedures were not in place for passing on information, as opposed to the content of Garda Keogh had actually written on the 13th?
A. Well, primarily the process, it wasn't e-mailed. That's the first part, because that would have allowed for timely intervention. And then the report wasn't exactly detailed. Usually a Garda report about something like a serious assault or a robbery, would have a lot more detail in relation to efforts made.
Q. Now, can I ask you just to confirm, I think, if I heard
you correctly, you said that D/Sergeant Curley took over after the meeting, is that right?
A. Yes.

466 Q. Okay. Now, we know that there's a letter from Superintendent Murray to yourself on 23rd September 2015 in relation to this at page 505 of the papers, if you just have a look at that.
A. Okay.

467 Q. At page 505, you see that it's addressed to yourself, sergeant in charge, do you see that?
A. Yes.

468 Q. Okay. Do you remember getting this particular letter?
A. Yes. This would have been sent to me to pass on to Sergeant Moylan. while it's addressed to me, that's the channels of correspondence, I would have then forwarded that to Sergeant Moylan.

469 Q. okay. And the content of it, referencing a scant report, do you see that there on the first paragraph?
A. I do, yeah.

470 Q. And the issues high1ighted, does that take you somewhat 14:40 by surprise, given the detail on the Pulse entry?
A. No, not really.

471 Q. Would this be something -- letters of these nature that you might see -- you see a lot of correspondence, you are saying, would you see these types of letters frequently?
A. Yeah, there would be -- any file that is submitted for direction, potentially queries are raised. I suppose the investigating member knows the file very well,
maybe the supervising sergeant knows it well, but sometimes an inspector or superintendent is reading it for the first time and they see a loose end that they want clarification on. So reports coming back with points for clarity would be quite common. We see that it's passed on to Garda Keogh and he replies at page 232. And again he marks it back for your attention?
A. As I said, that's the channe1 of correspondence, everything would go through my office, because the clerical officer, she is based in my office. So she would record all the post coming to and from the unit.
473 Q. Have you got 232 in front of why you, inspector?
A. Yes.

474 Q. This is his response. I just want you to look at the very end, the last line. He says:
"The attached report from Superintendent Murray is nothing short of a form of harassment towards myself. Forwarded for your information, please. "

Did you read this document when you got it?
A. I'm not --

475 Q. 232? Are you on page 232?
A. Yeah.

476 Q. It's there at the very end, the last sentence.
A. Just one moment. I am not sure if I did see that. I don't think $I$ wrote on that, going back in the way. Like, if I was on a day's absence, then one of the duty
sergeants -- usually actually at that time Sergeant Monaghan would have sat in as sergeant in charge if I was on a course or away. So the 2nd October, I'm not sure if I was working on that date. And I am looking at the next page over, Sergeant Monaghan appears to write direct to the superintendent, which makes me think he, you know, did, I suppose, a double job, he was the unit sergeant and the sergeant in charge passing it on.
477 Q. Can I ask you then in relation to your -- as you say, you attended all the PAF meetings, pretty much?
A. When I am working, every day.

478 Q. when you're working?
A. Yes.

479 Q. So this incident moved along. We see there that there 14:43 was even further exchanges. Can I ask you, there was a PAF meeting then on the 23rd September in relation to this. Or this issue came up at a PAF meeting on the 23rd September, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

480 Q. We have heard evidence from the superintendent in respect of the reclassification of this issue at that meeting. Do you remember that?
A. Yeah. I suppose for the PAF process, one of the changes that Superintendent Murray brought to -- we
always had a PAF process that was pretty strong in Athlone. Superintendent Murray brought in an additional, I suppose, measure. He applied dates on incidents. So something wouldn't be left open-ended
for a long period of time. The superintendent put structure on accountability, that, you know, he might set a target date, to complete it by such a date, so that things wouldn't be left open-ended. So for critical and serious incidents like this, he would often put it on for a short return, 1 would call it, so that it could be reviewed again in a short period of time. Something else that might be a more complex investigation, might get it over a period of time, if it was something like a missing period it would be raised again the next day, you know, sort of depending on the priority required. So for this to come up again within a couple of weeks would have been very normal.
481 Q. Okay. Are you aware then that there was a decision to reclassify the incident and that's recorded on the incident summary report we saw?
A. Yes, and that would be based on various sort of tasks, investigation work carried out by the detective branch.
482 Q. Would you have had any role in relation to that?
A. No.

483 Q. Would you have had a view, an opinion or a role?
A. Well, I would have sat there collectively and listened to the new and additional evidence, the position of the injured party and all of that, and, you know, a general consensus of the group kind of, a think, a conversation 14:45 around -- like I said, the PAF process, it's very strong on the control of the data, you know, checking that incidents are of the correct classification. If something could be marked, you know, what we call
attention and complaints but we actually at that meeting might say there is something more serious to that and recategorise it up to a crime or laterally, you know, it can be reviewed. That's what the process is for.

484 Q. Just finally, inspector, on that point, we know from the documentation and the evidence that we have heard that one of the primary reasons for the reclassification of the incident was a view taken of the credibility of the injured party. Did you have anything to say or contribute or to do with that?
A. I didn't meet the injured party or read his statement, so I didn't have any direct opinion on him.
485 Q. okay. Could you answer any questions?

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAN RMAN Very good. Mr. O'brien.

## I NSPECTOR M CHELLE BAKER WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR.

 O BRI EN, AS FOLLOVS:486 Q. MR. O BRI EN Thank you, Sergeant Baker. If we could just go back first of all to the document, it's at page 2121, please, it's Superintendent Murray's directive. That's dated the 18th August.
A. Yes.

487 Q. You will see just at the top, it's addressed to each sergeant --
A. Sorry.
Q. It's 2121?
A. Yeah, okay.

489 Q. It's addressed to each sergeant, each member Ath1one district. Can you recall, was there ever a meeting in relation to this directive between, let's say, the unit sergeant and the individual units or any explanatory sort of a meeting relating to this?
A. I wouldn't -- each unit sergeant would have a briefing with their unit at the change of shift and tour. So, you know, these type of minutes would be raised. But what's in this isn't new, you know, it was always -you always had an expectation that anything serious or critical should be circulated.

490 Q. So was it left then, as it were, I suppose for each member to interpret the directive as to what it meant?
A. Well, it would have been left for each sergeant to bring it to the attention of members under their supervision.
491 Q. Okay. And when the member received the directive then, 14:47 just to digest that information themselves and to understand it?
A. Yeah.

492 Q. okay.
A. It would be standard. There's nothing really unusual in it, it's pretty standard.
Q. Yes. So moving forward then. So the directive is in place a little over three weeks, nearly four weeks when we get to the PAF meeting on 14th September 2015, isn't
that correct?
A. Yes. This particular -- I would call Superintendent Murray's instruction, it was actually a reminder of something that was already in place in the organisation, e-mailing critical incidents, you know, serious incidents. It was always in place. This was just, $I$ suppose, putting it -- setting it out very clearly, the standard, and the information that was required.
494 Q. Well, let's say with the emphasis on the content of the 14:48 second paragraph, about what the sergeants and so on are obliged to do under the directive once an incident occurs, that's in place then, as you say?
A. Yes, it's very clear, yeah.

495 Q. In advance, you have explained to us the 003 report and 14:48 you have advised the Chairman in relation to that. So you were in possession of the 003 report in advance of the PAF meeting?
A. Oh I would have, yes, of course.
Q. I see. Had you yourself read the Pulse entry in advance of the PAF meeting?
A. Yes, I would have to read every Pulse entry. I wouldn't just read the Pulse entry of every incident, I would check the catalogues, check for any update or any additional information. It would mean I would check,
you know, for everything. You'd see was there something in my e-mails relating to these. And then like that then, we found the paper, $I$ can't say we, in that I don't know was it I or was it my clerk
assistant, but between us it was in the office, we had it. So I would go to a lot of different sources before I go to the meeting, to try and gather the most up-to-date information.
In relation to this particular incident, $I$ know it's some time ago, but do you remember precisely what information you had, you were armed with going into the PAF meeting, or in possession of?
A. I don't remember it by heart, but I know from the Pulse screen, the clip that we saw a couple of moments ago, it was on the narrative.

498 Q. Okay. Do you see accept what Chief Superintendent Murray said in his statement at page 2049, that it was you who brought to his attention the report from Garda Keogh?
A. Yes, because it's me who orally -- I orally present all this information.

499 Q. Yes, I understand, and you would have presented it on that occasion?
A. Yes.

500 Q. I see. My understanding is that you are saying it lacked detail, the report of Garda Keogh?
A. Yes, the handwritten report.

501 Q. So you had the report, but you had also had the Pulse entry?
A. Yes.

502 Q. Did you open the Pulse entry or have any discussion surrounding the Pulse entry at the PAF meeting?
A. Yes.

503 Q. As the presenter?
A. Both, yes.

504 Q. okay. So if we could just -- I know we have been through this a couple of times, but if we could just have that Pulse entry again, please. I think it's 225 and 226. So on Garda Keogh's behalf, what I am suggesting to you is that that Pulse entry contains most, if not all, of the details that are required for you to take further steps in relation to this incident. It has the address, it has the GPS coordinates, it has a description of the assailants, as it were. would you accept that?
A. It does. I believe it's missing details about -- in his handwritten report he said, may have injuries, he didn't expand on that. Then, if he did anything else in terms of searching or checked for CCTV or...
505 Q. Well, if we move down to 226. So again, you will accept he visited the scene of the injured party, again there is a description of the assailants and that both assailants fled towards the waste ground behind that address and that there was no CCTV, isn't that right?
A. Yeah. I suppose he doesn't say what steps he has taken to try and source where he looked for the CCTV, if there was any premises. Like, it's one thing to say there is no CCTV, but you have to say that I checked and maybe name the places, so you rule out what has been done.
506 Q. We11, sergeant, you said a minute ago that one of your criticisms was, you know, that there was no check for

CCTV. I mean it is unequivocal there that there is no CCTV, I suggest to you?
A. It says no CCTV, but he doesn't say, like, where he looked or if he looked. It just says no CCTV, it's not exactly expansive enough.

507 Q. If we move back then, please, to page 2121 again. We're just moving on then, this again is Superintendent Murray's directive. Can I just ask you to look at the second paragraph of that, please. And again, Ms. McGrath I know has asked you some questions in relation to this, but just to deal with it on Garda Keogh's behalf. It says as follows:
"To ensure the reporting system works swiftly, the sergeant on duty at the time the inci dent occurs, by ei ther bei ng reported or di scovered, or inthe ser geant's absence the station orderly or the menber in charge will prepare a short, conci se report and e-mail it to the district office."

Do you accept that the member in charge and the sergeant in charge can be used interchangeably?
A. No, member in charge is usually referring to a guard.

508 Q. Do you accept that it doesn't anywhere say that the garda investigating the incident would prepare a short, 14:54 concise report?

CHAI RMAN It doesn't, Mr. O'Brien.
MR. O BRI EN Very good.
CHA RMAN We have been over that a number of times.

It doesn't say it.
509 Q. MR. O BRIEN Very good. Thank you. So I suggest it put no obligation on Garda Keogh to prepare a report, isn't that right?
A. I would interpret that to say the member in charge of the investigation, because that is the person with the information.

510 Q. Isn't it correct that a Garda Linanne was also involved in investigating this incident with Garda Keogh?
A. I don't actually recall who was with him on the night. CHA RMAK Sorry, Mr. O'Brien, I am not trying to cut you off, but $I$ can tell you this, $I$ can practically recite that now myself without looking at it but it does not say that the investigating garda or the first responder puts in a -- it does not say that.

MR. O BRI EN Yes.
CHA RMAN Maybe it should say that, maybe that's the practice, but that memo does not say it.
MR. OBRIEN It's just for completeness sake, Chairman, that I was putting it on Garda Keogh's behalf.

CHA RMAN Absolutely, that's what I am just trying to say, Mr. O'Brien, I understand the point you are making.
MR. O BRI EN Yes.
CHA RMAN And I agree with it.
MR. O BRI EN I will move on so.
511 Q. Insofar as Garda Keogh is concerned, Sergeant Baker, the outcome following the PAF meeting is that he then
receives correspondence, I suppose, criticising the manner in which he dealt with the investigation. Do you accept that, or are you aware of that?
A. That's at 505 , is that what you are referring to?

512 Q. Yes.
CHAN RMAN Yes, the 23rd september.
MR. O BRIEN Apologies, yes, at page 505.
A. Yes, it would appear the superintendent is requesting an explanation.
513 Q. And it puts him back, as it were, on Superintendent Murray's radar, would you accept that?
A. I don't understand what you mean by radar.

514 Q. We11, were you aware that in advance of this PAF meeting that there was any issue between Garda Keogh and Superintendent Murray regarding other investigation 14:56 files?
A. No, I wasn't.

515 Q. You weren't aware.
CHA RMAN It puts him back in trouble, in other words. sorry, it puts him in trouble.
A. Well, the "back" word, I don't know, because I didn't know he was in trouble.

CHA RMAN Leave back out of it, I'm sorry, you're completely right, because you're not concerned with the other ones. But it puts him in trouble.
A. Well, he's to answer questions, yes.

CHA RMAN That's what it really does, it says, look --
516 Q. MR. O BRIEN And you accept that?
A. Well, he has to answer questions and explain.

CHA RMAN Mr. O'Brien, the letter speaks for itself in a way, do you know what $I$ mean, so I mean, it's a matter of interpretation.
MR. OBRIEN Very good. You criticised, I think, in your evidence a few moments ago the failure of Garda Keogh to provide an e-mail of reporting this issue to you.
A. The e-mail would have kick started everything on Sunday morning.
I see. Did you ever take any step to remind Garda Keogh, if you say that this is the case, to remind him of that obligation or to point out his failure in that regard? Is there any correspondence from you?
A. That would have been the responsibility of his duty sergeant, because the instruction in relation to the e-mail was addressed to each sergeant or each member and they would have been briefed by their unit sergeant. I personally didn't brief any member. I passed it on to ensure it is completed by other members.

519 Q. Did you say you did pass it on to -- did you pass it on to the duty sergeant?
A. It would have been passed on to every unit sergeant to bring to the attention of their unit. But following this particular incident and following the PAF meeting and the failure to provide this e-mail, did you report this failure to Garda Keogh's duty sergeant?
A. No, I didn't raise it, because the superintendent
raised it.
521 Q. But I think, isn't it fair to say that in fact what Superintendent Murray was concerned with was more the lack of information as opposed to the failure to provide the e-mail?
A. Yes. No, I didn't initiate any conversation. I didn't write to Garda Keogh about that.

522 Q. I don't have any further questions.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thanks very much, Mr. O'Brien. Anybody else?
MR. DONAL MEGU NESS: I just have some questions, Chairman.

CHA RMAK Yes, Mr. McGuinness.

## I NSPECTOR M CHELLE BAKER WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. DONAL MEGU NNESS, AS FOLOVS:

523 Q. MR. DONAL MEGU NESS: You referred to the incident as A critical and serious incident in your evidence earlier?
A. Yes.

524 Q. And that's because it's a robbery?
A. A robbery, yes.

525 Q. And how often do robberies occur in the likes of Ath1one district?
A. I would estimate one every two months and that's very
rough. There could be a couple together and none for a long period of time.
526 Q. If I might briefly refer you to page 133. This is Garda Keogh's complaint to the Tribunal, at paragraph 12?
A. Yes.

527 Q. Then briefly, Garda Keogh's allegation is, against Superintendent Murray:
"Inflating the matter to serious, he was exaggerating it into a stick to beat me with."

Have you anything to say about that?
A. I couldn't really -- all I can say is it was a serious incident, it would be one of what we would call a headline crime, it would be notable. As regards its -you know, it was reviewed in the PAF process, it was just an incident. It didn't matter who was the member, if it was presented in that fashion we would still always ask the same questions.
528 Q. Was your concern in relation to the absence of the e-mail the fact that it wasn't circulated quickly among members so that the matter could be looked at as promptly as possible?
A. Yeah. Time was lost. So the e-mail was of the utmost 15:00 importance. That's why the superintendent had everybody cc'd on it in terms of the key people, myself and the detective sergeant, that we would be able to action it and make sure things were done in advance of
the Monday meeting, because time was lost in the initial stages on this.
529 Q. If we can just have a look at page 230, please. This is the -- we have seen this document a few times. This is where Superintendent Murray sets out the reason why he feels that the information that was received was incomplete.
A. Yes.
Q. Is there any aspect of that letter that you disagree with?
A. No.
A. No. And like, it doesn't say that the injured party travelled from location X to Y , where the incident occurred, and that, you know, any corroborative CCTV along his route and then the alleged offenders' route.
you know, it's not just the immediate area of the crime, it's what's -- you know, it's the town, it's the different routes of where the alleged offenders may have come from and gone to. So it's not just that spot, you have to do other areas.
532 Q. The Pulse entry also doesn't refer to the level of violence that was used; isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, or the injuries, if there was some.

533 Q. Yes.
A. It said in the report that they may be injuries, which would have put it into the serious category.
534 Q. If I might just briefly refer to page 504, this is the statement that was taken by Garda $A$ on the 14th September.
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. How would you describe that, is that in the serious category, if that was used as a weapon?
A. Yes. And like, if that had been indicated in an e-mail on Sunday morning, it would have definitely push start, you know, a comprehensive and an immediate response.
537 Q. Is there any reference in the Pulse to hospital?
A. No, nothing in the Pulse incident about medical treatment or anything, if I recollect.
Q. Is there any reference to the type of injuries that were incurred?
A. Incurred, no, or I don't believe a weapon is mentioned in Pulse incident.
Q. Is that the type of information that you would expect to receive in a report furnished in accordance with your expectations that you mentioned?
A. Yeah, they would be key factors in serious or critical incidents.
540 Q. Briefly, in relation to this business of member in charge, in common parlance, in Garda terms, I know from
a legal perspective lawyers have a particular view what member in charge means?
A. Yes.

541 Q. But that isn't the context in which you understood in this minute?
A. No, to me this minute is very clear. As you say, in the legal parlance member in charge is treatment of persons in custody, who invariably is a guard but actually also can be a sergeant. That's just one part set aside. In the superintendent's minute it addresses 15:04 to me three people, one is the sergeant or supervisor, the next person is the station orderly, also known as the public officer, and then the third person is the member in charge, which is the member in charge of the investigation. Because station orderly, public officer, you know, you wouldn't say or member in charge because that's the same thing. So his reference to member in charge I would have taken without doubt to be the investigating member in charge of the investigation.
542 Q. You mentioned in your evidence that although this memo was articulated by Superintendent Murray when he arrived on 18th August 2015, there wasn't anything particularly new in looking for a full report of the incident, of a serious incident?
A. No, or e-mail, it was common practice.

543 Q. In relation to the response of Superintendent Murray, you have already looked at the response of Garda Keogh, where he indicated it was nothing short of harassment.
would you agree that the response from Superintendent Murray could not be classified as harassment of any kind?
A. No, it was very common for files and correspondence to have questions and queries and seeking further information, and also seeking explanations. Sergeants would do it all the time to guards, and inspectors, superintendents would also request the same off sergeants.
544 Q. In circumstances where a senior officer, such as a superintendent, would enquire for further information and the response received that this is nothing short of a form of harassment toward myself --
CHA RMAN Just what page is that, Mr. McGuinness, just remind me.
MR. DONAL MEGU NESS: Sorry, my apologies, Chairman. 232.

CHA RMAN 232, thank you very much.
MR. DONAL MEGU NNESS: The report from Garda Keogh is:
"I returned to work on 19/9/15 havi ng already written a report on the incident and put it on Pulse. I rang the i nj ured party, who informed me that he made a statement to a detective to the effect that he did not want the matter pursued by gardaí. The attached report from Superintendent Murray is nothing short of a formof harassment towards myself. Forwarded for your inf ormation pl ease."

Is that the type of response that you would expect to receive from a garda in response to your query from a superintendent?
A. No, I have never seen anything like that before.

545 Q. Then just looking at Superintendent Murray's response to that, which is at page 234, it's evident from -would you agree that it is evident from this letter that Superintendent Murray is unhappy with that response?
A. Yes. And it would appear then he's also seeking an explanation as to why his instructions of the 18th August were not complied with, which I would take to mean why it wasn't e-mailed.

546 Q. Yes. And just in relation to the last sentence of the first paragraph there, Superintendent Murray indicates that if he feels he is some way removed from his statutory obligations then there may be a remedy to open to him under the policy document working Together to Create a Positive Working Environment. And that's a reference to the bullying and harassment policy.
A. That's right.

547 Q. Just in relation to the reclassification, had you no part in the reclassification of this particular crime, isn't that correct?
A. I personally wasn't tasked with it, no.

548 Q. But the reclassification was to attention and complaints.
A. Yes.

549 Q. It's an odd phrase?
A. Yes.

550 Q. Could you explain that to the Chairman?
A. Attention and complaints, I suppose it records what we would call a call to service, where somebody reports something and, you know, I suppose no crime has been established but it's recorded that we were there and we had an interaction with that person. It would be different -- it's not invalidating it, it's not saying it didn't happen, it's just that, you know, it records the transaction between the Guards and that individual. 15:08

551 Q. Yes. Would you agree that that classification means it's not set in stone that this will not be pursued at a later date?
A. No. Like I said, it's not invalidated, it's still there, it's still potentially live and could be, you know, reactivated or changed if something new was to come about.

552 Q. And for that reason would you agree that it's important that the record is straight in relation to each and every fact that can be gleaned is put on the record at that stage?
A. Yes. It is there, it's contained, I suppose it's date stamped, for want of a better word, the decisions are made, they're there, they're recorded in the narrative. The Pulse system has dramatically changed in the last couple of years since this time, in that investigation actions are recorded. But at that time we just had the Pulse narrative. So something being attention and complaints means it was still there for consideration.

553 Q. Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Now, anybody else? Yes, Ms. O'Rourke.
ME. O ROURKE: Chairperson I just have one short question by way of clarification.
CHAN RMAN Sure. Absolutely.

## I NSPECTOR M CHELLE BAKER WAS EXAM NED BY ME. O ROURKE, AS FOLLOVS:

554 Q. MG. O RORKE: I wonder if document 232 could be put on screen, please. I think that's dated 2nd October 2015?
A. Yes.

555 Q. I know Ms. McGrath asked you some questions as to whether you had seen that before and I think you weren't sure that you had?
A. No, I don't believe I did.

556 Q. I just wonder could your witness statement at page 600 be put on the screen. Again, it may be that this might clarify matters, or it may not. Page 600. If you go down, sorry, to the top of the next page, you have you work and your tours of duty. There is an indication that you were on maternity leave? I think if we go to 15:10 the next page, I am sorry.
A. I wasn't on maternity at that time, October was an approximate, but $I$ wasn't at the beginning of the month. I may have been -- at this time I believe there
was a transition period between myself and Sergeant Monaghan. I was going on maternity leave and Sergeant Monaghan was taken in, so that's probably why he addressed that matter at that time. I was still working though.

557 Q. Thank you.
CHA RMAN So sorry.
MS. O ROURKE: I just didn't know, it may be -- I just wanted the dates of whether or not she was on --

CHA RMAN In relation to this letter of October, you think you may not have been there at the time.
A. We11, I may or may not have been there on that particular day. I wasn't on leave, but Sergeant Monaghan, we were having what we call a transition period.

CHA RMAN That's fine.
MS. O ROURKE: Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Anybody else? Very good. Thanks very much.

I NSPECTOR M CHELLE BAKER WAS QUESTI ONED BY THE

## CHA RMAN, AS FOLLO/G:

558 Q. CHA RMAN Can I just clarify a couple of points with you?
A. Sure, no problem.

559 Q. CHA RMAN So a guard is on duty and comes across an
incident or it's reported, in this case we have a robbery?
A. Yes.

560 Q. CHA RMAN okay. So the information we have, I am sure I will be corrected if I get a materially wrong, the officers, Garda Keogh and his colleague, attend to the injured party who has reported the matter and they do certain things, okay.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

561 Q. CHAN RMAK We know ultimately they see him home?
A. Yes.

CHN RMAN And give him into the care of his mother?
A. Yes.

563 Q. CHA RMAN That's what we know eventually at the very end?
A. Yes.

564 Q. CHA RMAN Maybe we should have known it earlier but that's what we know at the end. okay. So he puts the information on Pulse and as I understand, you are somewhat critical of the level of detail of the information that's on Pulse?
A. Yes.

565 Q. CHA RMAN So that's one point where there's an issue. And he maintains that what he put on Pulse was a sufficient description. Now, I take it, what he put on 15:12 Pulse could be added to subsequently if necessary, is that correct?
A. Oh it would be, yes.

566 Q. So it's not a once for all, I am absolutely stuck, I
know about changing pulse and so on, but to add information to it is a different story?
A. Yes. No, additional information to the pulse is to give us a good head start.
567 Q. CHA RMAN I follow.
A. Picking up.

568 Q. CHA RMAN The first point is, I should put enough information to enable the force, i.e. my colleagues, to be able to get as much information as possible, within reason?
A. And the additional -- I suppose at the end of the day the Guards use a lot of files and paper.
569 Q. CHA RMAN Sure.
A. So the additional report that was to be circulated by e-mail would be what's in the report.
570 Q. CHA RMAN No, we will get to the e-mail in a second?
A. Sorry.

571 Q. CHA RMAN We're still on Pulse?
A. Pulse is fine.

572 Q. CHAI RMAN Put a certain amount on Pulse, and he did put a certain amount of pulse and there's a degree of disagreement, that what you say what he put on Pulse was less than satisfactory, less than adequate, it left gaps.
A. Yes.

573 Q. CHA RMAN That's what you say. So you were critical of that part of it. The next thing he had to do was he had to make a report?
A. Mm-hmm.

CHA RMAN Now, the memo from Superintendent Murray, 2121, we don't have to argue that. we can interpret that as to what it says or what it requires. But if I am understanding, in this case, whether he was obliged to do it or wasn't obliged to do it, Garda Keogh put in 15:13 a report, and that report comes up for consideration at the PAF meeting on the Monday?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN And that's considered to be unsatisfactory?
A. Yes. I described it, you still had more questions than 15:14 answers.

576 Q. CHA RMAN It leaves more questions. Now, this was a Sunday morning at 4:00am when this happened?
A. Yes.

577 Q. CHAN RMAN You say he should have also sent in an
A. Yes.

578 Q. CHA RMAN with the same information as was on the Pulse, is that right?
A. The e-mail should be -- I would say the handwritten report should have been e-mailed.
579 Q. CHA RMAK okay. Now there should have been more on the handwritten report?
A. Yes.

580 Q. CHA RMAN So it should be sufficient to enable him -- 15:14 we will just explore that a tiny bit in a moment?
A. okay.

581 Q. CHA RMAN He should have put in an e-mail, and the purpose of putting in the e-mail was that that would
come to you even though you were off duty at the time?
A. Yes, I was one of a number of recipients, yeah.

CHA RMAK okay. Does that mean that the response to this would depend on whether you were available to receive the e-mail at the time? I mean, you might have 15:15 been out, you might have been, you know, asleep or whatever it is?
A. Well reasonably, there was five recipients.

583 Q. CHA RMAN okay.
A. So chances are, one, if not all five, would respond or question --

CHA RMAN Okay. And the five recipients would or might all be off duty?
A. Em, I suppose it's the nature of Garda work, you know, someone is going --
Q. CHA RMAN I'm not being critical. I am exploring.
A. I know, yeah.

586 Q. CHA RMAN I am a little surprised that in the absence of the sergeant in charge there isn't a deputy sergeant in charge, so that there is somebody on duty available to do a specific job. So if you are not there, maybe it's my job to be on duty in the station to receive the e-mail?
A. I suppose if there had been a duty sergeant working that night, you know, things would have progressed.
587 Q. CHAN RMAN okay.
A. So generally there would be a sergeant in the station. It's the nature -- like the superintendent could have been on call either.

588 Q. CHA RMAN Okay. So it's usual that there would be. It would be unusual for all of the recipients, there may be up to five, and so it would be highly likely that one or more of them would respond?
A. Absolutely.

589 Q. CHA RMAN Possibly to each other and decide and consult. Okay. So that's the advantage of an early e-mail?
A. Yeah.

CHA RMAN Okay. And you say that was communicated to the various sergeants in charge of the squads or divisions, is that right?
A. The e-mail from the incident?

591 Q. CHA RMAN The need for an e-mail, that was well understood?
A. Well understood.

592 Q. CHA RMAN Okay. A11 right. Now let's look for a moment at the report that Garda Keogh put in, the actual written report. Whether he had to do it or not we're not concerned. Could we look at 232 for a moment. Just stop in the middle there for a second. Thanks, Peter. Obviously Garda Keogh resents the criticism, express or implied, in Superintendent Murray's letter. He is very unhappy about it, and he responds here. But as well as complaining that he thinks that's harassment, as well as that he actually gives a good deal more detail about the incident.
A. I see that, yeah.

593 Q. CHA RMAN If he had given that detail in the report,
would you have been happy with it? In other words, checking him out, bringing him round, no CCTV, bringing him round to his mother and so on, blah-blah-blah, would that have gone some way to --
A. Yes. And when preparing for this, I was on leave but there's even more information came in later, you know. I suppose the quality of the investigating member writing a detailed report with all the detail that they have gathered at that time.
594 Q.
CHA RMAN I follow. Now can I ask you this: This is on night duty, four o'clock in the morning?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

595 Q. CHA RMAN Presumably he would be going off duty at what, six o'clock in the morning?
A. Seven.

596 Q. CHA RMAK Seven o'clock in the morning. And maybe there's other things happening as well?
A. Possibly.

597 Q. CHA RMAN I mean between the incident here. would you expect a sort of -- I understand your point about full
information and I would expect that for somebody sitting down eventually and writing out a fairly full report. I am a little concerned that it seems a bit hard to expect him to put in a full report, do you understand me, given that he might be busy. I don't know whether he was very busy or not. If he had nothing else to do, well and good. But do you understand my point?
A. I understand.
Q. CHAN RMAN What would you say to that?
A. I understand your point, and if that member was returning to duty the next evening, I would say, well, you know, not too much has lapsed and we can get other information. But he had, to my knowledge, a lot of information that he took away with him for a period of days.
599 Q. CHA RMAN He's not back until the 19th?
A. He's not back.

600 Q. CHA RMAN I am a bit worried about the numbers, he thought he was off for two days and he had two days leave, which only makes four days?
A. No, we rest for four.

601 Q. CHA RMAN He was resting for four, okay, that explains the six days. So you say whether he was off duty or on 15:19 duty he should have made the report, even if he was off duty?
A. I suppose the detail, the rule of thumb is, you know, you put everything in the report to the person who has never -- knows nothing about it, should know as much as 15:20 possible. So he had some information, whether he unintentionally left out or forgot to, and it was important.
CHA RMAN Okay. Thanks very much.

## END OF QUESTI ON NG

CHA RMAN Now, I am sorry for asking so many questions and does anybody want to ask anything arising out of
what I have been exploring.

## I NSPECTOR M CHELLE BAKER WAS RE-EXAM NED BY MG. MEGRATH, AS FOLLOME:

602 Q. MS. MtGRATH Can I just clarify there, inspector, in relation to the direction and the e-mail issue, I think the direction says that the e-mail must go to the district office, is that right?
A. The district office, the superintendent -- where is it now, sorry?
Q.

It's at page 2121. It might come up on the screen there?
A. Sorry.

604 Q. So it's to go to the district office e-mail.
A. Yeah, it goes to the district office and cc'd to Superintendent Murray's personal e-mail, Inspector Farre11, I imagine that's meant to say Inspector Minnock, Detective Sergeant Curley. So five individual people would have got that personally on their phones and then it would have been in the district office box, e-mail box.

605 Q. Okay. This is the short report, which is timely report?
A. Timely as in immediate. Timely in my mind there means as soon as possible that they can write this, before they can finish their tour of duty.

CHA RMAN Okay.
606 Q. ME. MEGRATH One of the matters, just to finish up
with your statement, I didn't give you an opportunity earlier to address paragraph 7 of your statement and you may wish to do so before the Tribunal. It's page 603. So page 603. If you scroll down there, Mr. Kavanagh. I think you address generally your position in relation to Garda Keogh and as your position as sergeant in charge you say you had limited interaction with him and treated all members under your supervision equally; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

607 Q. You say you did not receive any request or direction from any other member to treat Garda Keogh in any way other than the norm, and you say you had no knowledge of any alleged mistreatment of Garda Keogh; isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

608 Q. And I think you do record that you had gone on maternity leave shortly after this incident seems to have occurred, until May 2016 and at that stage I think Garda keogh was on long-term sick leave?
A. Yes, when I was on maternity leave I think he went on sick, yeah.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAN RMAN Thanks very much. Thank you very much, inspector.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MEGUINESS: Chairman, the next witness is Superintendent Minnock, who is being recalled to continue his evidence.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much, superintendent, you are already sworn.

## I NSPECTOR AI DAN M NNOCK, HAV NG BEEN PREV OUSLY SVORN, MAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MR. MEGU NESS, AS FOLLOVG:

609 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: Inspector Minnock, you have already given evidence on Day 122 ?
A. Correct.

610 Q. Which was the 29th November 2019. Your principa1 statement to the Tribunal is to be found in volume 4, starting at page 679. You did make an earlier statement relating to your disclosure of material and the searches that you had made in relation to such material.
A. That's correct.

611 Q. On the occasion that you gave evidence on you were dealing in the first instance with issues 1 to 4. Could I just ask you one or two more questions in relation to issue number 4 , arising out of some evidence yesterday. Were you here yesterday for the evidence of Garda Higgins and Inspector Curley?
A. I was here for most of it.

612 Q. Yes. One fact emerging from Garda Higgins' evidence is that he said that he did not in fact ask Mr. McHugh to
make a statement, nor did the issue of therefore a refusal of Mr. McHugh to make a statement arise or the deferral of it, you understand that?
A. Yeah.

613 Q. He, in fact, in evidence to the Chairman, stated that 15:25 he obtained a phone number for Mr. McHugh and gave that phone number to Sergeant Curley, as he then was. You understand that?
A. Yes.

614 Q. I just want to ask you briefly about your interaction with Sergeant Curley in and around that period of the 8th and 9th July 2014. You understand that?
A. Yes.

615 Q. Now, your account in your statement, if we could look at page 687, at the bottom half of page 687 in volume 4.
A. Yes.

616 Q. In the middle of that paragraph there, where it says:
"Detective Sergeant Curley and I di scussed the report submitted by Garda Ai dan Lyons."

Can you recall when that discussion took place?
A. It was on the day $I$ submitted a report to Superintendent McBrien.
617 Q. Superintendent McBrien?
A. Yes, the same day.

618 Q. We know that's dated the 8th July?
A. That's correct.

619
Q. There has been a suggestion in evidence that you may have been either on leave or off at a course?
A. That's correct.

620 Q. Sometime immediately prior to that?
A. That's correct, I had been absent for, yeah, the prior 15:26 period.

Was that your first day back then and were you being briefed on a number of matters by Detective Sergeant Curley?
A. I had returned, I think, a day or so previous but the murder investigation was very much underway at the time. It was a sickly complex investigation, where the culprits had absconded and actually had to be extradited from the UK eventually. But it was quite complex. So there was daily briefings in respect of that ongoing investigation.
Q.

Yes.
CHA RMAN Can you just recal1 the date of the murder, by any chance.
A. Not off the top of my head.

CHA RMAN It's not important, no, that's all right, it doesn't matter. We will find it elsewhere.
A. Yeah. It was in the --

623 Q. CHA RMAN I thought it was June.
A. It was June.

624 Q. CHA RMAN June of '14?
A. It was June and it was approximately the second or third week.

625 Q. CHA RMAN Okay, thank you very much.
A. During when I abroad actually, as it happens, I was in the States.
Q. MR. MEGU NESS: You say there:
"Detective Sergeant Curley outlined that he was asked to take a statement from Li am McHugh but due to the fact he knew Li am MkHugh, he felt he was not the appropriate person to take the statement."
A. Yes.

627 Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.

628 Q. Was there any mention by him of having deputed Garda Higgins to take that statement?
A. No.

629 Q. On that occasion? $\quad 15: 28$
A. No.

630 Q. Was there any discussion with you about in lieu of taking a statement, simply getting Mr. McHugh's phone number?
A. No.

631 Q. You say you agreed with D/Sergeant Curley.
"I asked that he would return the file to me and I would write on the file to Superintendent MEBrien."

I think you did that on the 8th?
A. I did, indeed.

632 Q. Perhaps we will just look at that very briefly. That's at page 808 of the papers. That's addressed to the
superintendent in Athlone:
"Li am McHugh. With reference to the above, I bel ieve the associ ation of Garda members both with Li am McHugh and the nembers invol ved in the investi gation, Garda Lyons and Garda A and Garda Keogh, make it i nappropri ate for Gardaí fromAthl one to intervi ew Li am MkHugh when colleagues under investi gation.

I understand Det ective Inspect or Coppi nger is the external appoi nted of ficer to investigate this matter and I feel he or his investi gation team are the most appropriate persons to intervi ew Mr. MtHugh.

I will obtain a nobile number from Li am MzHugh, which will assist the investigating teamto arrange a meeting with Mr. MkHugh."

Now, and this isn't a criticism, in the first paragraph you don't refer to your discussion with Detective
Sergeant Curley, in which he expressed his reservations in a sense about being asked to take a statement, isn't that right?
A. That's right.

633 Q. You agreed with those reservations?
A. I did.

634 Q. And you're expressing them here also then?
A. Yes.

635 Q. It would seem, coupled with the absence of any
reference to Garda Higgins, that you didn't depute Garda Higgins to take a statement, did you?
A. I didn't?
Q. Depute Garda Higgins to approach Mr. McHugh to take a statement?
A. No. I had no interaction with Garda Higgins in relation to the matter.
637 Q. Yes. The mention of a mobile phone number --
A. Yes.
-- would appear, therefore, on your understanding of matters, to be perhaps an alternative to the taking of a statement by Sergeant Curley or any other member from Athlone?
A. Well, my position on the matter was that firstly, I didn't feel it appropriate for members from Athlone to take the statement.

639 Q. Yes.
A. And I suppose given that the investigation was underway in relation to certain matters in Galway, of which those matters I was unaware of, this could have been incorporated into that. I was unaware of that. But it did relate to the same guard and I felt they were the most appropriate persons to take this on. And it could have been actually a matter they were already advancing, but I wasn't aware of that.
640 Q. Yes.
A. And given the difficulties I envisaged, for them to contact Mr. Liam McHugh.
641 Q. Yes.
A. Difficulties that I could easily overcome, I felt it was most appropriate to indicate that if they were going to have difficulty in contacting him, $I$ had the solution, in that Detective Sergeant Curley could easily obtain a mobile phone number and I knew that because he had an acquaintance or a knowledge of Mr. Liam McHugh.
642 Q. Yes. You see, I am just looking at the process as it was intended. The superintendent had obviously previously directed that a statement be obtained?
A. That's correct.

643 Q. That process was perhaps put on hold in a sense by Sergeant Curley coming to you with his reservation and you agreeing with it?
A. We11, I didn't fully indicate to the detective sergeant 15:32 other than indicating that I agreed with him.
A. I left D/Sergeant Curley under the impression he was to continue his inquiries, because I wasn't going to undermine the superintendent.

646 Q. Yes.
A. However, I gave my reservations in respect of the matter to the superintendent immediately and by putting it on paper $I$ was documenting my reservations.

647 Q. Yes. I mean, I am not suggesting that there is anything improper in what you have done, in this sense,
you agreed with Sergeant Curley but it would seem inconsistently if you then, either tacitly or otherwise, allowed him to think he should still seek a statement, would you not agree with that?
A. I disagree.

648 Q. You disagree?
A. Because I was an inspector.

649 Q. Yes.
A. He was a D/sergeant.

650 Q. Yes.
A. The superintendent had made a direction.

651 Q. Yes.
A. Who was my boss.

652 Q. Yes.
A. I couldn't undermine that decision.

653 Q. Yes.
A. It was her decision, her direction, and it would be followed. I merely expressed my opinion in relation to her direction for her to consider.
654 Q. Yes.
A. In light of information that $I$ had or knew.

655 Q. Yes.
A. And I gave her that to allow her to reconsider her decision on the matter, which in fact I now know she did.

656 Q. It's just I understood from one of your answers a couple of moments ago that you did mention the issue of a telephone number, you were confident that you would be able to get a telephone number for Mr. McHugh?
A. Yes.

657 Q. Had that been raised by Detective Sergeant Curley with you or did you consider that possibility in conversation with him?
A. No. No, it wasn't a matter that came up in a

15:34 conversation with D/Sergeant Curley. But the Galway investigation team wouldn't have been aware of Liam McHugh and basically who he was, where he frequented, whereas I was. I knew the lifestyle he led. I knew he could be somewhat difficult to contact on occasions, but he was still available and amenable. And certainly I knew I could get a phone number from him and would allow Galway to progress the matter. If they were going looking for Liam McHugh at his home address, I believe they were going to have difficulties.
658 Q. okay.
659 Q. CHA RMAN Could I just stop for one second. My understanding, correct me if I am wrong, was that Detective Sergeant Curley expressed a personal difficulty, because he came from the same townland or parish or whatever it was.
A. That's correct.

660 Q. CHA RMAN He knew him. He a personal difficulty and he mentioned that to you?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN You had a bigger issue, you thought actually, I have a bigger objection, not just the personal individual one, which you respected, but that wasn't the case, you said, no, $I$ have a bigger
objection, and you proposed to write to the superintendent about that. Is that more or less the situation?
A. That's it.

662 Q. CHA RMAN That's what I understood. Sorry 15:35 Mr. McGuinness. I just thought that's where I stand at the moment on my understanding of the attitude of Detective Sergeant Curley, as he was, and of your position.
A. That's exactly it.

CHA RMAN okay. Thank you.
663 Q. MR. MEGU NNESS: As matters stood then as of the 8th, you expressly did not countermand the superintendent's instruction to get a statement?
A. Exactly.

664 Q. And nor did you suggest to Detective Sergeant Curley that he should deputise it to Garda Higgins?
A. No.
Q. Nor did you ask either Garda Higgins or Sergeant Curley just to get a phone number?
A. No.
Q. The issue of the phone number was your own thought process, not discussed with either of those two; is that right?
A. Exactly, that's correct. And just if I can clarify one 15:36 matter on that, that was raised also.

667 Q. Yes.
A. There was mention in relation to the file in relation to that matter.

668 Q. Yes.
A. When the detective sergeant approached me on the matter, I had no paperwork, no e-mail.
669 Q. Yes.
A. No file.

670 Q. Yes.
A. And I requested a copy of the file.

671 Q. Yes.
A. And what I got, and I think I was clear on two occasions in my previous evidence, was the report or e-mail from Sergeant Lyons, and I have expressly said that in my evidence previously.

672 Q. Yes, you did. And in your statement you said you asked for the return of the file?
A. Yes.

673 Q. And then you later said that you were quite happy that the file had been sent to Galway?
A. Yes.

674 Q. Isn't that right? But Detective Sergeant Curley, he also wrote to Superintendent McBrien the following day. 15:37
A. Yes.

675 Q. On the 9th of July. Perhaps we will look at that, page 527. Again, that's addressed to the superintendent. In the first paragraph he says:
"I note recei pt of attached correspondence. Prior to same being forwarded to me, I requested that I may not be the most suitable person to pursue same as I personally known to Li am McHugh. "

Now, could I just stop you there. You had known, or did you, when you came back that the superintendent had given a direction on the 9th June and a reminder had issued on the 23rd June?
A. Well, I wasn't privy to those at the time. That's the period I was away.
Q. okay.
A. I returned, I think from abroad, I think on the 4th July.
Q. Yes.
A. But I was aware of them from conversation with the D/Sergeant that he had been tasked with it from the superintendent.
678 Q. Yes. And if he had been tasked with it, it hadn't, as of the 9th or the 8 th, when you met him, hadn't been possible to give effect to it or it wasn't given effect to, for whatever reason?
A. Yes.

679 Q. Anyway, you agreed with the sentiments in the first
A. Yes.

680 Q. The second paragraph then says:
"I have requested personnel within the detective office
to compl ete this task. I tasked Garda Higgi ns with this role."

Now, there had been no discussion with you the previous
day of such task?
A. No.

681 Q. Okay. Would that be, just from your own point of view, inconsistent with your own judgment as to who should take the statement?
A. Yeah.

682 Q. It says:
"Garda Tom Hi ggi ns met with Li am McHugh and invited him to make a statement in rel ation to this inci dent. Li am 15:39 MkHugh ref used to consent to provi de a witness statement at that time but undertook to thi nk about it and maybe make hi nself available to provi de such a statement in the future."

Now, that was information presumably not known to you as of the time of your either meeting with Detective Sergeant Curley or at the writing of your memo to the superintendent?
A. That's correct.

683 Q. So if that had happened, it would appear to have perhaps happened in the interim, is that your understanding?
A. Yes, certainly.

684 Q. Had Sergeant Curley reported that to you yourself as of 15:39 the 9th?
CHAL RMAN As of the 9th?
MR. MEGU NNESS: Yes.
CHA RMAN Or as of the 8th?

MR. MEGU NESS: We11, no, this is dated 9th. He is now writing, Sergeant Curley is writing to the superintendent.
CHA RMAN Correct.
MR. MEGU NNESS: If we just look at the top there.
CHA RMAN The conversation is in the 8th with Inspector Minnock. I will call you from your rank at the time. okay.
MR. MEGU NNESS: was this reported to you by Sergeant Curley on the 9th that this had occurred?
A. No.
Q. Or on any subsequent date?
A. I have no doubt there was some conversation at some later date to say that Mr. McHugh was unwilling to make a statement and that matter had been reported to the superintendent, but $I$ knew that my report had also gone in at the same time, but $I$ suppose it was -- I felt at that time it was probably a little bit irrelevant, in that he wasn't or hadn't made a statement to local Gardaí.

687 Q. Yes. Obvious7y from the point of view of the Chairman, if Garda Higgins' evidence is correct, Mr. McHugh was never asked to make a statement, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that is Garda Higgins' position.

688 Q. And never contacted by any other member that you know?
A. No.

689 Q. The third paragraph is:
"Garda Hi ggi ns reported the situation to me following
his meeting with Liam McHugh. "

Then it continues on, if we scroll down the page slightly:
"Due to the fact that the matter under inquiry rel ates to menbers within Athl one Garda Station, I feel it may be prudent for a menber other than Athl one crime/drug office staff to pursue Liam Mchugh further for a statement on the matter."

That is then signed at the bottom by D/Sergeant Curley. or sorry:
"Consequently, I recommend that some ot her menbers of ser geant or inspector rank be appoi nted to compl ete thi s task."

Now, there is no mention of obviously the obtaining, the seeking or obtaining of Mr. McHugh's phone number there, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

690 Q. But the phone number was the method by which you had identified as being the way that Mr. McHugh should be contacted by outside independent Gardaí, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

691 Q. Were you ever provided with or told of the fact that according to Garda Higgins he had obtained Mr. McHugh's
phone number?
A. That was never mentioned to me.

692 Q. If we go to page 3977. This is the superintendent's letter. If we go back up to the top, just to look at the date?

CHAI RMAK The 9th July.
693 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: It should be the 9th July, yes. This appears to have been written subsequent to Sergeant Curley's report getting to the superintendent because it refers to the events which are described in the previous report.
A. Yes.

694 Q. But in the third paragraph, the superintendent says:
"I have consi dered and agree with Inspector $M$ nnock and 15:43 Detective Sergeant Curley's vi ew that in the light of your investigation it might be more appropriate and i mpartial if someone fromoutside this district approached Mr. McHugh to ascertain if he is willing to make a statement. In the circumstances, would it be
possi ble for Detective Inspector Coppi nger to arrange to i ntervi ew Li am MEHugh in this regard? I nspector M nnock, At hl one. . ."

And your phone number is given.
"... will assist you in arranging such a meeting."

Scro11 down, please.
"Garda Keogh is aware that I know about this allegation. He informed me last ni ght that he is meeting his confidential reci pient on Mbnday and he will be making himaware of it.

I would be gratef ul for your assi stance in this regard. "

So, it's just from the point of view of the
superintendent's original direction, both you and Sergeant Curley appear to have come back to her on successive days to say that this perhaps shouldn't happen, although Sergeant Curley appears to have directed that it did happen and to have it reported to him that Mr. McHugh had been approached by local Gardaí, is that right?
A. Yes. Well, I suppose, when I wrote to the superintendent, the direction of the superintendent stood.

695 Q. Yes.
A. So the D/Sergeant was still complying with that and I didn't indicate any different, and wouldn't, to undermine that decision.
696 Q. Yes.
A. But provided my report to the superintendent to allow an opportunity to revisit that.

697 Q. Yes.
A. Giving my views on board. However, things seemed to
Q. Yes.
A. With Garda Higgins talking to Mr. McHugh and subsequently the report submitted into the superintendent and her report to Galway on the 9th, the 15:45 subsequent day.
Q. Yes.
A. So I suppose Chief Superintendent Curran made a decision based on that.

701 Q. And Superintendent McBrien recommended a course of action on foot of that as wel1, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

702 Q. Were you consulted about that or not?
A. No.
A. If I can just say as well in relation to that, I suppose.
Q. Yes.
A. I know there's been an allegation in relation to, I suppose, that we were somehow targeting Garda Keogh in respect of this matter. But I think given the fact that we were sending the matter to Galway and the fact that D/Sergeant Curley was anxious to bottom it out, I was anxious to bottom it out, as was the superintendent, forwarded the matter to Galway, which actually gave Garda Keogh protections under the protected disclosure legislation. And if we had any way intended to target Garda Keogh, that certainly wouldn't have been our course of action.
705 Q. In other words, if you were trying to target him, you might have hotfooted it to Mr. McHugh?
A. Absolutely.
Q. To try and get him to put this allegation in writing and stand over it?
A. Exactly. We wouldn't have been passing it on to an independent investigation and given him the protections of the protected disclosure legislation.
707 Q. Al1 right. Can I pass on to the next issue that the Chairman is concerned with, the issue of micromanagement and supervision, excessive supervision. 15:47 I think you deal with the issue of Garda Keogh's relationship with the three sergeants at page 686 of your statement, and also at page 695. You describe Garda Keogh's relationship with Sergeant Yvonne Martin,
but it seems quite clear that he didn't perhaps have any real relationship with Sergeant Martin as things turned out?
A. That's correct. But he had the availability there of somebody independent if he wished, I suppose, to contact them or wish to seek their support or advice at any stage. I suppose that was the formal structure that was put in place.
708 Q. But were you aware of Garda Keogh's view of Sergeant Martin?
A. Certainly not.
Q. From your point of view, was it your responsibility to, as it were, supervise how Sergeant Martin was interacting with Garda Keogh?
A. Not really, but I suppose if sergeant Martin maybe had a particular concern, she may have brought it to me to consult with me on the matter, if she felt the need to, or the superintendent, but that didn't arise.
710 Q. The next paragraph there, if we scroll down, you describe Garda Keogh's relationship with Sergeant
Haran. Certainly in the second line you seem to be expressing the view that Sergeant Haran looked out for Garda Keogh and wouldn't have condoned unfair targeting, bullying or harassment of any member of staff; is that correct?
A. That's correct.

711 Q. You also state that you believe that Sergeant Haran had witnessed or was concerned that he was being unfairly targeted or subjected to any form of bullying,
harassment or targeting. He would have brought it either to your attention, Inspector Farre11, the district officer, directly to the person to whom it concerned. Does that reflect your view of Sergeant Haran's character, that he wouldn't put up with that?
A. Absolutely, he wouldn't.

712 Q. You are confirming, are you, that no complaint was ever made to you by Sergeant Haran about any maltreatment of Garda Keogh or targeting, is that right?
A. That's correct. I suppose I remember an incident specifically, I suppose, that Sergeant Haran brought to my attention, $I$ think it was December '15, I'd have to go back to my diary notes, where Garda Keogh had rang him on a number of occasions on Christmas day.
713 Q. Yes.
A. And I believe he was intoxicated. But Sergeant Haran, for the concern of Garda Keogh, answered the phone each time, because he had concerns and I suppose it really highlighted to me his approach in relation to supporting Garda Keogh. But I also felt that the relationship, myself, had maybe just gone a step too far, in that getting those phone calls on Christmas day was probably somewhat inappropriate really. But subsequently I felt that Sergeant Martin's appointment as a liaison and a support person was certainly a good initiative and it kind of made a little bit of distance between Garda Keogh and Haran, which was a good thing in my view.

714 Q. You're referring obviously to Christmas 2014 now, is
that correct?
A. Yes, and it was actually January ' 15 when it was reported to me.
Q. Did you express any concerns to Sergeant Haran about that?
A. I didn't. I just -- I suppose we discussed it and I suppose I didn't feel that -- there was very little I could advise him to do. You know, not stepping back was not a good idea, in that he was a good support for Garda Keogh. I suppose I did ask Sergeant Haran if I should contact Garda Keogh, but he did consult with Garda Keogh in respect of that matter and Garda Keogh felt he had enough supports and that I shouldn't make further contact.

716 Q. Did this discussion occur in advance of Superintendent Murray's sort of reassigning?
A. Yes.

717 Q. With Sergeant Haran, is it?
A. Yes.

718 Q. And did Superintendent Murray discuss his proposal with 15:52 you in advance?
A. I can't recall that he did.

719 Q. At the bottom of the page we're looking at, you refer to Garda Keogh's relationship with Sergeant Moylan, who was his supervisory sergeant on unit c?
A. Yes.
Q. You state there that you believe they had a good rapport?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes.
A. Which was initially, I suppose, felt to be in an informal, it was Sergeant Haran, and then formally Sergeant Martin was appointed.
Q. okay.
A. They were certainly interlinked.

726 Q. At page 695 you address directly one of Garda Keogh's assertions, that there were three sergeants supervising him. The second last paragraph at page 695.
A. Yes.

Is there anything more you wish to say on that issue of microsupervision or excessive supervision that Garda Keogh has complained about?
A. Well he had the same supervision as every other member in the district. So, I cannot see where the allegation 15:55 is in relation to microsupervision.
Q. CHA RMAK In fairness, I think that Garda Keogh doesn't say that the sergeants actually carried out microsupervision, his complaint was with Superintendent Murray, who he said put this in place with the intention of microsupervision, but it didn't actually eventuate. That's what he says. what do you say about the intention behind it, superintendent?
A. The on7y difference with Garda Keogh's situation was that he appointed a support person, who was Sergeant Martin, who never actually --
Q. CHAN RMAN They never actually interacted.
A. They never interacted. It was really just a support resource available to Garda Keogh should he so wish, one which he never took up on. So I don't see the

731 Q. CHA RMAN So you don't see that arising.
A. No.

CHA RMAN okay, very good.

732 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: Moving on to the issue of the car tax and the delay in dealing with Garda Keogh's expenses claims, can I ask you this: we know from Superintendent McBrien's statement and from Garda White's evidence that the issue of the taxation of the car was an issue in August and September of 2014, were you aware of that?
A. No.
Q. So that wasn't discussed with you either via the late Ms. Quirk or Superintendent McBrien?
A. No.
Q. Just before Superintendent Murray was assigned to Athlone, I think you became aware of a complaint made by an anonymous member of the public, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
A. Yes.
Q. And it was referred on to you. I don't need to go into the details of the particular guard concerned?
A. Yes.

737 Q. But the issue arose from a member of the public who had 15:58 been stopped by a member of the Guards in relation to a check on the normal Driving Licence, tax, insurance etcetera?
A. That's correct.
Q. That person went to a station in the division to produce the relevant documents, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And apparently observed a car belonging to a member of the Garda Síochána which wasn't taxed?
A. That's correct.
Q. That was brought to your attention. You inspected the guard's car and I think it led to you taking action against that member when you found them driving the car?
A. Well, the letter came to my attention one afternoon before I finished work and I did a Pulse check of the vehicle, and the Pulse check corresponded with what was in the anonymous letter. In that the tax on Pulse was not up-to-date. So the following morning, having checked the duty detail of the member who was the registered owner of the vehicle, was due to be working, finishing nights at 7:00am. So I did a checkpoint outside the Garda station at 6:30 unti1 7:15 and stopped the member leaving work, and demand documents, checked that the insurance was in order, as it had been alleged that that was also not in date. I did find that there was no tax on the vehicle and I issued an FCPS, a fixed charge penalty notice, and recommended a disciplinary sanction.
Yes. These documents, Chairman, just for the transcript, are to be found in volume 56, the anonymous letter at page 15738 and the associated documentation of relevance at page 15717 to 719 .

CHA RMAN Thank you.
742 Q. MR. MEGUNESS: But this occurred then between 8th December and the date in January when you stopped the member on the public road?
A. Yes. immediately while Superintendent McBrien was out, although she then returned in the new year, and before Superintendent McBrien was appointed, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Can the Chairman take it you didn't discuss it with either of those superintendents?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever make Superintendent Murray aware that this is the sort of action that you took in relation to this guard?
A. No, I never told anyone other than my correspondence to the chief superintendent. I felt it was a sensitive enough matter. I felt I had dealt with it swiftly, I had sanctioned the member and I didn't need to publicise the matter.

747 Q. In any event, you became aware of Garda Keogh's, a concern on his part that his payments were being delayed, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

748 Q. I think you received a minute from Garda Keogh; is that correct?
A. That's correct.

749 Q. Perhaps we will just look at that, at page 722 of Volume 4.

CHA RMAN You will probably be a while longer, will you, Mr. McGuinness?
MR. MEGU NESS: Yes, I will be quite a while longer.
CHA RMAN Very good, thanks very much, well then we will break. If it was going to be a few minutes we would carry on and finish but if you are going to be looking at a few documents, then we will break there. MR. MEGU NESS: Very good, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Okay, thanks very much. Thanks very much, 16:03 superintendent.

THE WTNESS: Thank you.
CHA RMAN We will resume in the morning. Thank you.
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