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THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVB, ON TUESDAY, 28TH
J ANUARY 2020:

CHA RMAN Now, good morning, chief superintendent.
THE WTNESS: Morning.
10:31
CHA RMAN So, Mr. Kelly, it's your team's, isn't that right, turn?
MR. KELLY: That's right. Ms. Mulligan.
CHAL RMAN Thanks very much. Good morning, Ms. Mulligan.

## CH EF SUPERI NTENDENT LORRA NE WFEATLEY WAS

CROSS- EXAM NED BY MS. MLLI GAN, AS FOLLOVS:

1 Q.
MS. MLLI GAN Good morning chief superintendent, I just have some questions on behalf of Garda Keogh. Can I just ask you, I think you gave evidence to the Chairman yesterday that you have been in the force for the last 35 years, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

2 Q. Can you just confirm to me how long you were a superintendent for?
A. Nine and a half years.

3 Q. When was that?
A. I was promoted in 2005, Ju7y 2005, yeah.

4 Q. Was that 2005 to 2013 , or have you been a chief superintendent since 2005?
A. No. I have been a superintendent, I have been an officer, then I have been a superintendent, I was a superintendent for nine and a half years, from July 2005 to March 2013. So I have been a chief superintendent, actually I have five years service next week, so five years.
5 Q. Five years?
A. Yes.

6 Q. In terms of then your familiarity in relation to Superintendent Murray's role, you would be quite familiar with his obligations in terms of regulations, because you were in fact a superintendent for an extended period of time, is that fair?
A. That's fair, yeah.

7 Q. In terms of your own familiarity with the role -- as a chief superintendent in a role in relation to sick pay and your obligations in that regard, would you say to the Tribunal that you are familiar with your ob1igations?
A. Yes.

8 Q. In terms of work related stress, have you ever had to do a work related stress assessment prior to this issue arising in 2014?
A. People have reported sick with work related stress.

9 Q. Yes.
A. And I suppose there's a number of ways this can happen, and you make a referral to headquarters. It can be something quite -- when people are absent with work related stress you make your referral to the CMO. It can be just quite minor. You establish the facts. In most cases, in my experience, and it's evolving, the
whole process, because I suppose -- I think you can be stressed but it has to be someone's fault.

10 Q. Yes.
A. So while -- let's say, any context, it's a very stressful job and you can be stressed over court cases or if you are, indeed, being disciplined or whatever, but that's not necessarily the job's fault. Indeed. Can I just go back, and I appreciate that you have given that evidence to the Chairman, that stress is not as straightforward as a broken arm, for example. 10:33
A. Yes.

12 Q. That is something obviously you can't use -- if you can't drive, you may not be able to do your regular duties. I think it is accepted by everyone in the room that there is no issue from that point of view?
A. Sorry, really the point I was trying to make here, in terms of -- clearly I can't assess whether a person is stressed or not, people can be stressed because it is a stressful job that we have. In terms of the organisation making a determination that you -- first of al1, you actually have to be clinically, I suppose, diagnosed as having stress, is a part of it, but for the organisation to make the decision that, yes, $I$ suppose, that we are responsible, if you like, for this, for this injury that they have clinically, I suppose, assessed. It has to be linked back to the job. So there have been cases where people have made complaints under the bullying and harassment policy, and the outcome is that basically it's established that
indeed the behaviours have caused this stress. In those instances, it's my understanding, after appeal and the CMO has -- this can happen to you but you actually mightn't be clinically stressed, and the СМО has give answer clinical diagnosis, it is usually done in conjunction with an external person, that the person is indeed stressed and it is indeed totally connected, there is a causal link, is actually the phrase, to the behaviours in the workplace. And then the final arbiter in making that decision is executive director of HRM. And they can also carry out a number of enquiries.
13 Q. I just want to go back to the first thing that you said, you have to establish the facts. In terms of when you were doing a work related stress investigation 10:35 in general, what steps do you take to establish facts?
A. Garda Keogh --

14 Q. I am not asking about Garda Keogh.
A. I know, just bear with me. To establish the facts in any investigation, a person has to make a complaint, they have to identify the nature of the complaint, the source of the complaint and maybe give you witnesses.
15 Q. Okay. I just want to deal with that a little bit. So is there a distinction being made between making a complaint and identifying that you are under work related stress?
A. Garda Keogh's doctor --

16 Q. No, it's a very specific question, I would like a direct answer please?
A. Maybe if I --

17 Q. Is there a distinction to be made between making a complaint and identifying to your superior officer that you are under work related stress? Because the Sickness Absence Management System is not about complaints, you have a bullying and harassment protocol for that, is that right?
A. I was just doing that by way of explaining the protocol. when a person is absent due to work related stress, clearly it's related to the work related stress, what the policy says is you should identify the cause of that stress. And what you do in any case when anyone makes a complaint, or when anyone makes a complaint, clearly if anyone has an issue, they bring it to your attention and clearly in Garda Keogh's case, 10:37 he had been out periodically, I understand, prior to when Superintendent Murray arrived with work related stress. His doctor had deemed him fit to work on numerous occasions also. when a person is absent with work related stress, we are obliged to find out why the 10:37 person is stressed. And I suppose the rationale behind that is that the CMO, I suppose, needs to understand what this is about.

To be honest with you, I reflected on this. In terms of Garda Keogh's case, he was out with work related stress and then he was back and he was fit and notwithstanding that, Superintendent Murray, because that was there, sent it up the line.

18 Q. Okay. I want to go back to the question that I actually asked you.
A. okay.

Which is: Is there a distinction to be made about a complaint versus a notification of an issue arising? I 10:38 think you accept that there is a distinction to be made?
A. No, I didn't. I won't accept that.
Q. You don't?
A. No. It's in order, Chair, that I clarify that.
A. When a person reports sick with work related stress, when they are absent with work related stress and they report sick, you have to refer that to the CMO. The CMO logically needs to understand if this is related to 10:38 work, what issue in the workplace is causing this stress. So you try and establish the source of that stress. Garda Keogh did give us the source of his stress and we did establish the source of his stress and he was very clear that the source of his stress was 10:38 absolutely connected with the protected disclosure.
22 Q. We will get back to that.
A. Yes.

23 Q. Again you gave evidence to the Chairman this morning that you have to establish the facts. And I am asking 10:39 you in a general case what do you do to establish the facts. So, for example, do you expect to have an interview with the person who is alleging work related stress?
A. You talk to the person first.
Q. You wouldn't do that?
A. Absolutely would not do that. It wouldn't be appropriate to do it. You know, if a person makes a complaint that they're -- you know, they're stressed, okay, certainly if they make a complaint, and they give you the names, they give you the reason. You take that information and you move with that. Just in relation to Garda Keogh's case, and it's in in order, I just really think is important because $I$ have reflected on
it at length. Garda Keogh made the position very clear, and that is absolutely understandable, and we sent it up the line. We were anxious that he would be clinically assessed by the СМO. The CMO, even now, you know, that people have reported -- you know, I'm aware of cases where people are sick with work related stress, the investigation can take an awful lot -- can take a long time when the person engages with the process. The CMO can make a clinical determination that the person is actually stressed. The piece about linking it back to the investigation and the piece about the HRM having a view has to take place. So in relation to Garda Keogh's case, and the medical people can give their own determination, the СМО or no one at this stage, I don't believe, has made that clinical determination, that Garda Keogh was in fact stressed. 29 Q. We will get to that.
A. Yes. It's in order and I have reflected on this. We weren't putting a roadblock up here to prevent Garda Keogh from progressing to the next sort of, if you like, junction in relation to this matter. we were conscious that we had a fairly good understanding of what the issue was. And that's as far as we could bring it. And I don't believe the cmo had a view that, well, there's really not sufficient here, like we're not that unkind, to sort of -- he didn't write back and say, no, I'm not doing this determination, I haven't enough here.

So, as I said, when Garda Keogh -- he was back work, fit now, you have to remember, when he was referred back to the CMO, in the first instance the CMO deemed him fit for duty and then he came back fit, back on our team. He wasn't absent due to stress. His doctor said 10:42 he was fit to work. And then when other patterns arose, Superintendent Murray said, no, we need to refer him up the line here again, you know, we need the CMO to see him. He is reporting sick with work related stress, this is the reason why he is stressed. And ultimately a case conference was triggered in respect of this matter. From reading the material and the disclosures, it's not my understanding that there was ever a conversation around that the clinical issue in respect that Garda Keogh was stress.
30 Q. Chief superintendent, we will get there.
A. Yes.

31 Q. My questions are much more basic, which is: what are the steps that happen generally, and then we will talk what happened here, and then we will talk about what happened in the CMO. I need you to answer the question that I am actually asking you. Because otherwise we will be here for a very long time?
A. Well every case is different.

32 Q. I haven't asked you a question yes?
A. Okay.

MR. MRPHY: Chairman, this question has been asked several times and has been answered.
MS. MLLI GAN with respect, I didn't ask any question.

I was about to ask a question.
MR. MRPHY: My friend has put it to the witness that she has asked her the question, she needs to answer it. In my respectful submission, the question has been answered and it has been repeated again and again.
MS. MLLI GAN I will move on.
MR. KELLY: Chairman, with respect, Ms. Mulligan is asking this witness a question and this witness is choosing to answer and other questions that she would prefer to have been asked. In those circumstances, it seems to me that it is perfectly fair for Ms. Mulligan to ask the question yet again.
CHA RMAN I don't want to get into a situation where I am interfering unnecessarily with cross-examination. It seems to me that if questions are put that are not correctly based in evidence or are based on a misunderstanding or are unfair in some shape or form, I have an obligation to intervene, otherwise I don't. In this case, the latter is the situation. Ms. Mulligan is asking her questions and we are proceeding.
33 Q. MS. MLLI GAN So you identify that you establish the facts, you don't go to outside parties, you only try to establish the facts and then the matter goes to the CMO, that's your position, is that right?
A. If it's in order, I would just like to clarify that.

34 Q. No, I just want to ask you --
A. No, sorry, I need to clarify the question.

35 Q. Very well.
A. okay. What they say is, when a member reports with
work related stress, I suppose the organisation recognises that this was something that they needed to be looking at from, I suppose, a HR perspective, the member should be referred to the CMO. You know, you should establish the source of the stress. If a person 10:45 is out -- establish -- you know, we did establish it. And Garda Keogh told us his stress was all connected in relation to his protected disclosure. His position was quite clear, you know, his position had been quite clear in relation to other matters, that he was dealing with people in Galway, he made a protected disclosure. I made the professional, if you like, judgment when it was sent to me that we couldn't push this. It wouldn't be -- at this stage this was -- Garda Keogh had made a protected disclosure --
CHA RMAN Chief superintendent, can I stop you for a second.
A. Yeah.

37 Q. CHA RMAN we may be having a misunderstanding here?
A. Yes.

38 Q. CHAN RMAN My understanding is that Ms. Mulligan is saying, I want to ask you questions in general about the approach in a case, an unspecified case of work related stress, I am not yet asking you about Garda Keogh. So an answer to her question should not deal with Garda Keogh. I think there may be a misunderstanding here. She is, it seems to me, saying, what should happen in general, part one, and I expect that she will then move on to say, let's compare and
contrast that with what happened in Nick Keogh's case. That's what I expect her to do?
A. Yeah, I can --

CHA RMAN No, no, don't worry for a second. Don't worry. That's where we are going. It's an exploration. So the first thing she is saying is: what happens in general?
A. In general --

CHA RMAN Now, she is getting a little frustrated, if I may say that, because when she asks that you talk about Nick Keogh and she hasn't come on to that yet. Are we understanding each other at this point?
A. Yes, I am, Chairman.

41 Q. CHA RMAN Don't think this is a big criticism, there just seems to me to be a misunderstanding.
A. Yeah.

CHA RMAN So at this moment Ms. Mulligan is asking what happens in general?
A. What happens in general, if a person --

43 Q. CHA RMAN Well, let's let her ask the question first of all.
A. Okay.

CHA RMAN Don't you worry, we will let her ask the question and we will answer it as simply as possible and then we will proceed to the next one. Okay. Am I right, Ms. Mulligan, am I understanding your position?
MS. MLLI GAN Yes, Chairman, I'm obliged.
CHA RMAN okay. So there we are.
44 Q. MG. MLLI GAN Chief superintendent, you identify that
the first thing you do is you establish the facts. The second thing you don't do is you don't go looking for third party information or any corroborating evidence. That's what you said. So 1et's go back to the first part. You establish the facts and by establishing the facts you accepted that you would interview the member, isn't that right?
A. I didn't say that. What I am saying is, of course you wouldn't wait to establish the facts, you would send a referral up straightaway to the CMO and say you were exploring the issues. In this case -- in any case a person who reports sick with work related stress, you know, the superintendent would ask the person what was the source of his stress and if they had said, you know, it's to do with this, that and the other, it may not be a case -- sometimes it's a case where a person has made a complaint under the bullying and harassment -- so that kicks off an investigation, you have a complaint. There's times where people don't necessarily have to do that, but they say, you know, this is the source of the stress. We referred this up the line because this is very unusual, I suppose Garda Keogh made a protected disclosure -- in Garda Keogh's case, we just -- I took the position that this was very unusual, Garda Keogh is taking this position, this is the stress he is attributing to the investigation and clearly for us to put another investigation on top of that and to sort of go questioning him about it, could have been perceived in a negative way. We were saying
listen, he is saying this, and we didn't disbelieve him, I understood it, so we were saying, listen, this is the position, this is how far we will bring it, can you review this man. And so -- and he did review him.
45 Q. Chief superintendent, that wasn't my question. Can I take you back to my question. You said we're exploring -- what do you do to establish the facts and you said you discuss the source of stress with the member?
A. Yes.

46 Q. I'm right about that?
A. The superintendent discusses the source of the stress.

47 Q. The superintendent discusses, okay. That's as far as we have gotten?
A. That's as far as we could go as well.

48 Q. I wonder if could you let me ask the question and then answer the questions that I am asking?
A. Okay.

49 Q. So you ask the member what is the source of the stress. Now, when you do that, how long does that take, do you have a formal meeting about it? In ordinary cases, are people notified of the meeting ahead of time so they can prepare themselves. In an ordinary case. I would accept that Garda Keogh is in a slightly more nuanced position, so if we leave Garda Keogh here for a little bit, that would be very helpful. An ordinary work related stress case, what are the steps that would happen to identify. would you in the first instance -I think you confirmed you would have a meeting with the
person who is alleging work related stress, is that right? That you would talk to them.
A. The superintendent would.

50 Q. The superintendent would talk to them?
A. Yes.

51 Q. And when talking to them, would they be given notice of the fact that they are having this meeting about work related stress?
A. Garda Keogh --

52 Q. No.
A. Okay. Okay, sorry. When it comes to, I suppose, the district officer's attention, when the certs comes through that a person is suffering with work related stress, the policy is that, you know, you have to put the CMO on notice. okay, you have to establish the source of this stress as well.

53 Q. Yes. I want to talk about --
A. Yeah.

54 Q. You said that would you meet with the person and I want you to answer this question: would you notify the
person that you are having this meeting about work related stress? Is there a protocol or procedure or anything that identifies that the person knows what the meeting is about? It's a yes or no answer?
A. No, it's not actually.

55 Q. No, it is a yes or no answer?
A. No, it's not, if you don't mind.

56 Q. Is there any process or procedure that identifies that you notify the person the purpose of the meeting?
A. I really need to explain this. It's in order, Chairman.

57 Q. CHA RMAR Yes.
A. I suppose a lot of cases where people -- I suppose, the impact of what happens in the workplace makes them unwe11 in the sense that they're stressed, they go out and the doctor says people are out with work related stress. In many cases it's because maybe they have made a complaint or something has happened to them in the workplace and they have complained it, and they make it under the bullying and harassment -- you know, an investigation kicks off and that's happening there, do you know what I mean. So that's a separate issue.

If a person hasn't made a complaint, which was the case -- if a person hasn't made a complaint, you know, you want to talk to me in general terms, and it comes to our attention that a person has been absent with work related stress, we're -- you know, we have to find the source of that stress. In Garda Keogh's case --
58 Q. CHA RMAK First, you find the source of the stress?
A. Yes.

59 Q. CHA RMAN You see, work related stress is a conclusion?
A. Yes.

60 Q. CHA RMAN Not a diagnosis. Stress is the diagnosis. I am stressed. You say the first thing you do is to say what's stressing you. That's the first thing you do. And you say you refer the person to the CMO, is
that --
A. Yes.

61 Q. CHA RMAN Now this is in general terms?
A. Yes, general.

CHA RMAN Not to do particularly -- you do two things. You say, what's causing your stress? If a person comes in, is certified as saying work related stress. I am understanding you don't give them notice, you don't write out and say, I am proposing to have a conversation with you about the cause of your work related stress, you don't write them out a letter of that kind?
A. No, you don't.

CHAN RMAN There is no provision that says you should write a letter of that kind, is that right?
A. Yeah.

64 Q. CHAL RMAN So the answer to Ms. Mulligan's question is no, there is no protocol for that. In general, the superintendent, the district officer talks to the person and says, what's causing your stress?
A. And I think -- of course we don't wait, stress is very serious and unfortunately I understand the impact of stress. It is a very serious matter, stress. So you don't wait. You refer the person up to the CMO on that basis. You are --

65 Q. CHA RMAK Sorry for interrupting. Are you going to refer the person to the CMO anyway, whether or which?
A. oh, you'd have to.

66 Q. CHA RMAN Whatever I say about the cause of my stress,
am I going to be referred to the CMO?
A. Yes, yes. We're not a roadblock. There was never any roadblocks. We actually opened the road, I would have thought. So in this situation --
67 Q. CHAN RMAN Okay. So in general terms, two things happen, there is a conversation, what's causing your stress? And the answer to that is written down. Irrespective of the answer to that, I am being certified with work related stress, you are going to refer me to the CMO?
A. Oh yeah, absolutely.

68 Q. CHA RMAN Because you're going to get the advice of the CMO, is that right?
A. Absolutely.

69 Q. CHA RMAN Very good. So now two things. Now, Ms. Mulligan, I am sorry for interrupting you?

70 Q. ME. MLLI GAN Not at a11, Chairman. So you don't notify them that they are having a meeting with the stress, that this is what this meeting is about; is that right?
A. Yes.

71 Q. In terms of the steps you take then, you notify the CMO, but you notify the CMO or at least it appears that you're supposed to notify the CMO once you're past the 28 day absence; is that right?
A. They're two separate -- you've to do it for both. You could be out with stress for four days and you'd referring a person, but if you are out ordinarily for 28 days, that's a referral you have to do as we11.

72 Q. In fairness, there is evidence of that happening over the years of that arising. And in terms of then what is causing you stress, you yourself, if you are doing that meeting, would you ask open-ended questions or would you ask closed questions? Do you challenge the individual as to what the nature of the stress is?
A. You'd ask the person about the stress.

73 Q. Yes.
A. I think you'd be alive to the context, you'd be alive to, I suppose, the position that a person had taken, that they weren't in a position to discuss this. And if a person takes the shutters down and the person is telling you they are stressed, you're not going to push it.
74 Q. So you wouldn't push it?
A. And I suppose -- and I will just deal with it in general terms. In the majority of cases, generally, people make a complaint under maybe work, bullying and harassment and that can cause them stress. I have seen cases where people have -- and the CMO is saying stress 10:57 is very serious, it's a mental health issue, don't leave it, send them up to me straightaway, because if there is an issue in the workplace, I have to look at this and see if they are fit to work or not. So, Superintendent Murray straightaway put this on notice. And there are cases where people don't take that position and they take the position well, I'll tell you why I'm stressed, I have four witnesses here and this is happening. You still send the thing up
straightaway, because you want -- you can't have a person in the workplace if they are not fit to work.
Q. Yes, I accept that. So can we just go back to what you just said, again, meeting the superintendent, is not a regular occurrence, that seems to be the evidence from most of the guards, is that meeting the superintendent wouldn't be a regular occurrence, it happens on a weekly basis in a formal sense. So, if he arrives with four witnesses, would you speak to those witnesses?
CHA RMAN Sorry, say that again. If somebody arrives with four witnesses.

76 Q.
MS. MLLI GAN Chief Superintendent wheatley identified in her own evidence there a moment ago that if there was someone there with four people and says I have four witnesses that want to talk about this work related stress, and my question is, if they did, would you talk to them?
A. Can I just, and I think it's in order, Chairman, in this case --
CHAN RMAN Did you ever come across a case where somebody suffering from stress came along with four witnesses?
A. No, I didn't. I suppose what I was saying, and maybe you misunderstood --
CHA RMAN Could you conceive of a situation where somebody suffering from stress comes along with four witnesses?

MS. MLLI GAN It was information given to me by the chief superintendent, I am merely exploring the issue.
A. No, what I am saying here is, refer to the CMO, if the person is suffering with stress, is he fit to be in the workplace or not, that's the priority. In many cases, any work related stress investigation or an investigation that is underpinned by the policy under bullying and harassment, they're slightly different, you can be stressed but you mightn't make a complaint under that policy. It can take a long time, so you don't wait. You send it up. The CMO wants to have -so the end game here is: Is this person going to get occupational injury classification? Is he injured? That obviously has to be part of the equation. Did the job cause it? And HRM make a decision. As I said the other day, you don't wait and do your investigation or I don't say to people, if you're not prepared to discuss this with me I'm not sending you into the CMO, absolutely you don't do that. You send it up the line.

In the ordinary -- where a person makes a complaint, and I am very clear about this, you don't go on a fishing exercise, because that can embarrass people and cause stress to people. You would say, is there anyone you want me to talk to? Have you any witnesses? You know, who is the cause of this stress? So that's what you do. Then you'd appoint someone to explore it.
79 Q. okay.
A. okay.

80 Q. Your evidence is, is there any witnesses, is that right, and you appoint someone to explore that?
A. No, what I am saying to you is --

81 Q. I'm sorry, it's on the transcript that that is what you just said to the Chairman. I am just not clear what it is that you're trying to tell the Chairman?
A. What I am trying to tell you is that --

I understand that you are trying to --
CHA RMAN Wait now, you're each interrupting each other in a way that makes it impossible to know what is happening.

MG. MLLI GAN Apologies, Chairman.
A. What I am saying is --

83 Q. CHA RMAN There is some mitigation for my criticism. Chief superintendent, could we try to keep this simple.
A. okay.

84 Q. CHA RMAN Look, we're ranging off into bullying and harassment, which has nothing to do with this.
A. We11 I --

85 Q. CHAN RMAK Hold on. Hold on. It could be that somebody comes and says, I'm stressed and it's work related stress, and it turns out that it's a bullying and harassment case. It could be, or it could be that I am just being bullied and harassed, but they're separate issues. Somebody coming along and saying I'm stressed is something. We're now talking about looking for witnesses. That is to do with a claim or a complaint or a case that's somebody is making against other people. For the present purposes -- there may be cases where that is relevant, but for the present purposes, Ms. Mulligan is asking in general terms,
somebody comes along and says I am suffering from stress and it's work related stress, and you have said, I ask the person what's causing the stress. That's the first thing you do, and the second thing you do, it is proper and you follow this, you refer the person to the 11:01 CMO.
A. That's right.

86 Q. CHA RMAN Are we understanding? Please don't think I am making a big criticism, I am just trying to follow where we are going.
A. Okay.

87 Q. CHA RMAN In this heading I have Ms. Mulligan asking questions in general. That's where we are at the moment. I expect, before we are very much older, I would be turning to say Garda Keogh, do you follow me? So that's where Ms. Mulligan is going in her cross-examination, if I am following her. Okay. Now, Ms. Mulligan, I'm sorry for interrupting?

ME. MLLI GAN Not at a11.
CHA RMAN Please.
MS. MLLI GAN I appreciate, Chairman, and I wil1 endeavour to be more direct in my questioning.
CHA RMAK No, there is no criticism. No, I am not criticising you at all. I'm trying to explain where there seems to be a bit of a misunderstanding and maybe 11:02 we will proceed.
88 Q. MS. MLLI GAN Chief superintendent, what I am trying to unpack is, when you say what's the cause of the stress, what are the questions that would be asked in
order to ascertain what the cause of the stress is? So if $I$ can be a little bit more direct in my questioning. You would start with the open question and in terms of building, I presume you have to build a rapport for the purpose of asking these questions. Presumably superintendents don't often know the individual garda, is that fair?
A. I suppose not necessarily. I suppose if you are only new to a station you wouldn't know the guard.
89 Q Exactly?
A. Yeah.

90 Q. Would you expect there to be an element of rapport building in terms of what might be considered to be quite a difficult question?
MR. MEGI NESS: Chairman, it's just an issue with the audio and the stenography. It is related to where chief superintendent is sitting vis-à-vis the microphone. She has to turn, but it would help if she could turn the microphone --
CHA RMAN Can you pull the little gadget in front of you, the base. No, don't fiddle -- I'm sorry, forgive my impatience, I am sorry. Just pull the thing towards you.
THE WTNESS: okay.
CHA RMAN Philip, can it go forward towards the chief superintendent. No, it's stuck. Sorry. I'm sorry. okay. So it's difficult when somebody is asking you questions from one position and you are tending to face -- that's difficu7t, so don't worry. Now, you were
asking about rapport building.

91 Q.
M. MLLI GAN Rapport building, would you expect there to be opening rapport because you haven't met this person, it's more likely than not, and I suppose it's a fact in this situation, this was the first meeting between Superintendent Murray and Garda Keogh, would you expect there to be rapport building?
A. Yes, I would yeah.

You would. You would say in terms of how would you deal with questions, would you dismiss the allegation or would you keep everything open, or would you challenge the individual about the validity of their assertion of work related stress?
A. A person -- if you become aware, sometimes the person might tell you, you might have known before the cert comes in, you know, that they were out with work related stress. You have a conversation with the person to find out the source of the stress. And if they're not willing to tell you the source of the stress, you sort of -- they might give you a sort -they mightn't get into the specifics of it, but they might you a context, they might give you some understanding of it.
93 Q. okay. So my question was: would you challenge the version of events at the first meeting or would you mainly use the first meeting to, I suppose, elucidate or elicit information so that you could conduct your investigation? which would you do, given that this is the first meeting and, as you have said, this isn't an
investigation, we're not at the investigation point per se ?
A. I think you have to listen to people and you have to make your -- you know, you have to listen to people. If people are saying to you, I'm not discussing this with you, I can't discuss it with you, but I am working with -- I am suffering from work related stress. It's related maybe to something they were involved in. And if that's their position, you know, and if their position that you are quite clear of from other positions they have taken when inquiries have been made, that they don't want to discuss this, this is all connected with another matter, you know, you would say, well listen, I can't bring this any further. You wouldn't push a person. You wouldn't put a person under pressure about it. I think that would be the wrong thing to do.
94 Q. Okay. You wouldn't put a person under pressure. Okay.
A. A person is saying to you that they are stressed, what's the nature of your stress? what is it connected with? Can you go any further? And I think and I know I'm staying on point here, Superintendent Murray -- we were very alive to this, the challenging context that Garda Keogh was in and could well understand that he was only going to bring this to a certain point. We were saying, yeah, that's understandable. The stress, you know, that mechanism kicked in as well.

So, you know, we referred this up to the CMO to say,
this is how far he is willing to go. Sometimes in my experience, some people will have a deeper conversation with the medical officer.
Okay. If Garda Keogh or any individual member, named superior officers who might have information about this, I presume you would go and talk to them, not necessarily if -- and I understand what you said earlier about you wouldn't necessarily challenge the individual because that is the purpose of the investigation, but if there were superior officers and you were told there were superior officers who had information about what was being complained of, would you contact them, if you asked to by the member?
A. In this case -- no, okay, where there was a protected disclosures issue, you know, or where there was something very sensitive and where it was very connected, yeah, you probably wouldn't. I suppose this was an unusual case. We were looking to sort of say, listen, we were trying to -- at the first point, we were trying to move it on, trying to -- so it had gone up to the CMO. Garda Keogh made his position crystal clear. We weren't going to push it. And in my actual opinion, $I$ think the context of the whole thing, and that's a matter for himself and maybe a conversation with professional people, it was very clear to me that connected. And you know, when you think about it realistically, even, you know, for me to roll out a team, to say, we11, I have to put a team on this, I
have to put a whole new team and they're ask you questions in relation to this but we simply have to have a separate investigation, that wouldn't have been the right thing to do, I don't believe.
96 Q.
Superintendent, I am really sorry but I didn't ask you that question?
A. Okay.

97 Q. What I asked you was: If Garda Keogh named their direct line superior and says this person knows about where the source of my stress is and asked you to speak 11:09 to them, would you do that?
A. Sorry, say that again?

98 Q. If Garda Keogh named his direct supervisor and said, this person knows about my stress, will you talk to them, would you do that?
A. Yes, you would. Yeah. okay. I wonder if we could see page 2187, Mr. Kavanagh. I am sure, chief superintendent, that you have seen this document before. It's the note from Superintendent Murray, his own diary note in written format of what he understands has occurred on this day. I appreciate that we don't have any other notes and this is the best that $I$ have in trying to elucidate when you say what the cause of this stress is and trying to elucidate this information. I presume you didn't see this diary entry at any time prior to the disclosure of this material being provided to the Tribunal, would I be right about that?
A. Yes.

100 Q. Yes. So to be fair to you, you have made it very clear that you believe that Garda Keogh was crystal clear and is it fair to say that your view is formed by Superintendent Murray's view, isn't that fair?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. It also talks about car tax?
A. Yes, it does.

105 Q. Is it fair to say that we know this is also, that this was the first time Garda Keogh met Superintendent Murray, it's clear on the page that this is the very first meeting?
A. Yes.

106 Q. There doesn't appear to be any evidence of rapport building on the part of Pat Murray, is that fair? There may have been some but we don't have any other note?
A. That wouldn't necessarily be captured, yeah.

107 Q. okay. And can we just go to the third paragraph, just further down. He is notified that he'd send it to the CMO.
"...he declined to answering questions re points he was making, i nstead asking me to contact Detective Superintendent Mul cahy and Superintendent MEBrien.

He said he wouldn't do that but would ask him and it was up to him whether he would answer or not. So, would you say that Superintendent Pat Murray was incorrect, given the evidence you've just given to the Tribuna1
A. Garda Keogh, I suppose out of this meeting it was accepted that he would need support and that the support would come from Galway. Basically the team were doing it in Galway and then the welfare piece.
108 Q. Now respectfully, you weren't at this meeting, correct?
A. No, I wasn't.

109 Q. No. And there's no further notes other than the letter of the 2nd April, isn't that right, and this note here?
A. Yeah.

110 Q. So, just to be clear, working off this note, where does it say that?
A. Well I'm aware of that.

111 Q. How are you aware of it?
A. That the team in Galway were -- that Garda Keogh was linking in with the team in Galway from a welfare point
of view.
112 Q. Yes, from a welfare point of view. But from a work related stress point of view?
A. I don't know what you mean.

113 Q. Garda Keogh asks Superintendent Murray to make contact with Superintendent McBrien and Detective Superintendent Mulcahy and he declines to do so. And what you gave in your evidence to the Tribunal there a moment ago was that if the guard citing work related stress asked you to speak to their superior officer, that you would do that. And I am just asking you to comment as to whether or not Superintendent Murray was incorrect in those circumstances?
A. D/Superintendent Mulcahy wouldn't be a superior officer.

114 Q. Very good. In terms of Superintendent McBrien then?
A. That wouldn't be a superior officer, they're the same grade.

115 Q. Is Superintendent McBrien not a person who has given extensive evidence to this Tribunal about all of the welfare supports she provided to --
A. I thought you said a superior officer.

116 Q. My apologies if I am being in some way inarticulate. Superintendent McBrien is who Superintendent Murray is being asked to make contact with, given her extensive knowledge and welfare work that she did with Garda Keogh. He is being asked then, Pat Murray is being asked to make contact with her, he is declining to do so. I ask you again, do you think that Superintendent

Murray was incorrect in that determination?
A. No, I don't think he was. I mean, I know he had a very good relationship with Superintendent McBrien and she was looking after his welfare and there was no welfare officer even required at that stage. They had a good relationship, they knew each other before. So I understand that Garda Keogh was comfortable there. In terms of -- around that time it was just -- because of, I suppose, Garda Keogh's reluctance to discuss anything, because of the confidential nature of what he 11:15 was involved in, my understanding is that Superintendent Mulcahy, he was liaising with him and ringing him. Superintendent Murray took the decision, and I supported that decision, that because of the sort of, I suppose, unusual nature about this, we didn't say, we didn't say he won't tell us, the door is shut, we're not doing any more about it. The position we took is, we said we really need to move this on, we need to explore it, this person is saying they're stressed. We gave, I suppose, as much as we could give. There was an opportunity then -- there was quite an extensive -- I suppose we gave the context, it was a11 connected with this. Sometimes people have deeper conversations with the CMO. And I am conscious -- and I suppose I know you're talking about in general terms. 11:16 In this case, we -- like we sent him up the line for the medical supports and because it was so unusual, we were -- and he was reticent to discuss it with us and I suppose there was probably a reluctance to get into
asking questions that were going to bring it into the nitty gritty of the core investigation in Galway, we were saying -- you know, Pat initiated, listen, we need to send it up the line here, he needs support, that was the primary thing. We are giving you -- we're giving you as much information as we can and please make this assessment. When Garda Keogh -- Garda Keogh was back working then, he was deemed fit. So, you know, he was back working, he was fit. In May he was deemed fit as well.
we looked then to have a case conference. We looked at case conference with HRM, saying, this member, you know, is reporting sick by work related stress, he has been seen by the CMO, we have a fairly deep understanding what the issue is but, you know, we just don't think we can bring it any further. This wasn't --
117 Q. Chief superintendent, I am really reluctant to continually cross over when you're speaking, but my questions are really quite short?
A. okay.

118 Q. You have given the same evidence nine times now?
A. okay.

119 Q. And we had a whole day of it yesterday. It's clear
what your position is. We would all be moving a little bit faster if you just answer my questions for a moment. It's a matter for the Chairman to decide what the reality of the facts are. So in terms of what I
asked you in terms of Superintendent McBrien, and you say, well, maybe there's a conflict about how that would happen. I just want to explore this with you:
"I said l woul dn't contact anyone for anecdotal info but would ask hi mand it was to hi mhether he would answer or not."

That seems to be shutting down seeking to get any information from any other third party, were you aware of that? Because if you weren't aware of it, then that is one thing
A. I was aware that he had contacted the superintendent in Ga7way.
120 Q. Were you aware that Superintendent Pat Murray declined to contact Superintendent McBrien despite the request?
A. No.

121 Q. No. Can I just go back up then to the previous paragraph, and it is confirmed that he asked -- he said:
"No, I would send hi mto assess the stress because the in and out appearance do not in my view support what he i s saying. "

I am going to put it to you then just for completeness, that that seems to be challenging on a preliminary basis, version of events, what Garda Keogh seems to be saying about work related stress and is quite
dismissive of his work related stress
A. We11, I don't think -- Garda Keogh was intermittently out sick with work related stress. As I said, he was -- he wasn't -- he was intermittently -- so he wasn't out for a protracted period of work related stress. So 11:19 we're obliged to report it to the CMO. But just in relation to the other aspect, Superintendent Pat Murray and Superintendent McBrien would have had a hand over meeting and there would have been -- you know, there would have been, I suppose, a conversation.
122 Q. There has been evidence given in relation to that. I don't need to ask you about that part. I may need to ask you whether you know about it, and if you do that's fine, and if you don't that's fine. Can you accept that it appears that he seems to be telling Garda Keogh 11:20 that he is sceptical about his work related stress? Can you accept that that's a reasonable interpretation of what that says?
A. He was sending it to the CMO, as he was supposed to do. Garda keogh went from being fit to being medically
stressed, you know, in patterns. You know, stress is a very serious matter, is a very serious issue. I mean, Superintendent Murray didn't say, you know, I don't believe you and that's the end of it. He was sending him to the CMO to get an assessment done.
123 Q. That was my question. Can you accept on the -CHAR RMAN The meaning of that, Ms. Mulligan, is really for me, isn't it? It is pretty clear --
ME. MLLIGAN very well --

CHA RMAK Hold on, let me speak, Ms. Mulligan, don't cut across me when I am speaking.

MS. MLLI GAN Apologies.
CHA RMAN It's pretty clear what the meaning is. I think you might possibly consider drawing this to a conclusion by saying that the chief superintendent agreed, dealing in general terms with the person complaining of work related stress, dealing in general, the chief superintendent agreed with two propositions that you put. One, that the superintendent would not, perhaps ought not, challenge or disagree with the situation as described. Number one. That is what the chief superintendent said. And number two, that if the person said that there were other people who could assist with information, that it would be reasonable to 11:22 go and ask those people. The chief superintendent, as I noted, agreed with those two propositions. And your point is, Superintendent Murray appears to have disagreed with both of those. He wasn't interested where he said he had anecdotal evidence from somebody else about it, I'm not saying he is right or he is wrong, but this is the situation. There is a difference there between the what the chief superintendent said would be general practice and what Superintendent Murray appears to have adopted. Isn't that really where we are going.
MG. MLLI GAN Yes.
124 Q. CHA RMAN what do you say to that, chief superintendent?
A. I think what I am saying is, in this particular case.
A. It was so, I suppose, sensitive and even the fact that I suppose when there was a substantive investigation ongoing and people were being investigated in the station, that was a cause of concern. In this case -CHA RMAN First of a11, do you agree, he took a different view from the one you have expressed?
A. No, I don't. Sorry, if it's in order, Chairman.

127 Q. CHA RMAN okay.
A. I mean, I know --

128 Q. CHA RMAK Te11 me where I am wrong. I had it down that you agreed with Ms. Mulligan that if the person told you, you would be sympathetic, it wouldn't be challenging, it would be a sympathetic engagement and it wouldn't be challenging?
A. Sorry, yeah.

129 Q. CHA RMAN Number one, that's the first thing I thought. The second thing was that if the person told you there were senior officers who had information about the matters, would you talk to them, and you said yes, you would?
A. Yeah.

130 Q. CHA RMAN That appears to be different from what superintendent -- it doesn't make him wrong, it doesn't 11:24 make him -- or whatever. I mean, am I missing something here? There is a difference between those two propositions?
A. What I am saying, Chairman, if it's in order, sorry.

CHA RMAN Sorry, in the circumstances of this case it may have been perfectly right. I am not saying that you are right or that Superintendent Murray is right, al1 I am saying is, there's a difference between the two positions. Hold on. There is a different between the two positions, chief superintendent?
A. okay. okay.

CHA RMAN Now, he may be right, he may be perfectly right in saying, in this particular case, but we can keep it simple, at least in my simplicity, when $I$ wrote that down, $I$ thought, we11 1et's see what the situation is, and then Ms. Mulligan asked, is that not different from here, in two respects $I$ thought?
A. Well, I think if you look at the next step, Chairman, if it's in order --

134 Q. CHA RMAN we may find out something else, chief superintendent. I'm sorry, and please don't think -- I mean, I am sorry, I am not succeeding in covering my impatience, I'm sorry, forgive me. In this case, let's keep it very simple?
A. okay.

135 Q. CHA RMAN We're not worrying about what happened next or what happened before or anything else. We have a situation. Now, Ms. Mulligan, as far as I'm concerned, may take it that she has established two points of difference between your general approach, as you have said, that's a general approach, and Superintendent

Murray's particular approach. In this case there appears on the face of it to be two differences, that is all I am saying?
A. If it's in order, so that's the point I make, Chairman.
A. In this context, when you're ten months into, I CHA RMAN Yes. suppose, a complicated issue, Garda Keogh had been out intermittently with work related stress, I don't know that there had been a referral in that period. when Superintendent Murray became aware that Garda Keogh had 11:26 been out with work related stress and I suppose he referred him up the line straightaway, for him to be properly assessed. So this is the key issue here.
137 Q. CHA RMAN You say the key point, whatever about those differences, the key point is he did the one crucial thing, which is to refer to the CMO?
A. And I think the second point, Chairman.

## Q. CHAN RMAN Yes.

A. As I said, investigations go on all the time and they go on in stations and most people have no problem with that, but clearly Garda Keogh had a problem with that. I think even in my professional opinion, for us to start an investigation when a person, in that time --
139 Q. CHA RMAN I am understanding.
A. It was just going to be -- it just was going to be so complex and it could even be seen as robust.
140 Q. CHA RMAN Just to reassure you, I am not missing the point?
A. okay.

CHA RMAN Somebody may we11 submit that Superintendent Murray was right not to go asking Detective Superintendent Mulcahy about the state of Garda Keogh's stress. Somebody may well make that point. I'm not missing that. But I'm just saying there is a difference in the two positions. Okay, Ms. Mulligan. Let's move on. I'm sorry, if you are satisfied that I have the point at least, well and good.
MS. MLLI GAN Certain7y, Chairman.
141 Q. In relation to this note, it's fair to say that within that note there's also no notification in terms of the consequences being of work related stress being notified to Garda Keogh, is that fair?
A. Sorry.

142 Q. The consequences of an investigation into work related stress for Garda Keogh, that hasn't been notified, that is not on the note, there's no information about that?
A. No.

143 Q. Isn't that the case? In those terms, the issue of pay, for example, and being notified that if he doesn't cooperate with the investigation that his pay will continue will be cut or will continue to be cut?
A. That is actually not correct. I know Garda Keogh --

144 Q. Sorry, it's only in relation to what is on this note?
A. I know, I just want to explain this. Sorry, Chairman.

145 Q. I'm very sorry, I am sure the Chairman --
CHA RMAN There's no reference to that on the note. There isn't. Don't worry about interpretation of the note, Ms. Mulligan, $I$ can read it.
Q. MS. MLLI GAN I wasn't asking for an interpretation. It's the case, Chief Superintendent, that there is no information on that note about Garda Keogh being told of the consequences of a work related stress investigation, isn't that fair?
A. That's the point I was answering, I agree with you that there is no note in relation to that. what I am saying to you is, when a member is out sick with work related stress, it's not, even if they fully cooperate with the investigation and I am not saying he didn't, you know, I don't even think -- there hasn't even been an investigation, five years later. If he fully cooperated with the investigation and he felt he could, maybe when everything was over, you know, that doesn't of itself automatically entitle Garda Keogh to pay. It's quite a complex issue.
147 Q. I accept that.
A. Okay.
Q. That is accepted. My question was about that information. So your position has been from the
extensive evidence that Garda Keogh was explicitly clear that he wasn't going to engage with anyone. Are you satisfied that Superintendent Murray was sufficiently clear about the process that he was embarking on with Garda Keogh?
A. Yes, I am. As I said, I mean, that's why we brought it to the next step, to say, listen, this is as far as we can go with it here. And I don't even -- I think we went -- in my professional opinion, I think we went far
enough in terms of giving the background. Sometimes when someone is out work related stress, it could be something very simple and a page will tell you the story and it's not necessary a major investigation. And that is sufficient for the CMO to say, yeah, that's 11:30 the issue that happened there, there's a causal link there, happy enough, that's work related stress. And the person is off.
149 Q. So you would disagree with Superintendent Murray when he said that he could have been more clear with Nick about what was happening?
A. In respect of what?
Q.

In terms of the investigation. And I think if you go, it's Day 125, and it's page 54, just for the benefit of your team, they can consider it, because it's a whole page, where it was identified by Superintendent Murray that he could have been a bit clearer about the position in relation to the work related stress assessment. So you disagree, you think Superintendent Murray was sufficiently clear?
A. Where are you referring to?

151 Q. Day 125, page 54, line 14.
A. Yeah, I don't disagree with that.

152 Q. okay. So you don't disagree with it.
A. Yeah.

153 Q. You gave evidence to the Chairman there that you did think he was sufficiently clear, you are now changing that evidence?
A. No, I'm not.


157 Q. Okay. And what's different from that point of view than identifying the cause of stress? So what is the difference between the two? what additional steps, if someone was willing to engage, you said Garda Keogh wasn't, you say you would take a statement. what is the difference there between what you previously identified to the Chairman, identifying what the cause of the stress is and taking the statement?
A. Can I just say, in this case, you know, we were saying, listen -- we were alive to the sensitivities around the
whole issue. I don't believe -- and we had given a very good summary of the issue up to the cmo. I don't believe it would have been -- I didn't believe that it was appropriate to start, you know, asking questions around the place and doing that. I didn't believe it, I think it could have been perceived in a negative way, because, you know, the sensitivities around it. And if the stress that Garda Keogh was suffering was actually to do with how the investigations were doing, if it was something to do with how people were reacting because how he did it. There were so many nuisances. In my professional opinion it wasn't going to be appropriate at that stage to sort of start kicking something off on top of him when he was part of this. So I was -- you know, so we were saying, send it up, you know, let's get an assessment here and that's his position. And it wasn't the CMO's position, well, I'm not looking at this person here because I don't have enough information.
158 Q. We will get to that and perhaps that is a matter for the CMO?
A. Yes.

159 Q. But just so I am clear, you would identify the source of the stress, you send Garda Keogh to the cmo. If he had not been in the specific factual nexus that he found himself in, you would have taken a statement of complaint?
A. If he was willing to make one.

160 Q. If he was willing to make one, yes?
A. Yes.

161 Q. And is there anything else you would have done?
A. Well, I suppose Garda Keogh was under the care of the welfare person at the time but normally you would actually -- if the person wasn't, you would remind them 11:35 of the services of the welfare.

162 Q. So you would remind them of welfare. Anything else?
A. Not really, no.
Q. Okay. So just for the purposes of this statement of complaint, who did the statement of complaint ordinarily go to, in other scenarios?
A. Well you might make an appointment with an inspector to go and ask the person for a statement of complaint. But in this case, this wasn't something that I was going to kick off.
Q. No, sorry, that was a more basic question. If the statement of complaint is taken, does it go to the CMO, does it go to you? who actually has charge of that document and what is its purpose?
A. It's like any statement, I suppose it's to establish what is the causes of the stress.

165 Q. And who does that document go to?
A. Well a person -- it could go to -- it would come through to me. I suppose if you had it at the time and if Garda Keogh was giving additional information at the 11:36 time or he had made a statement, that would have gone up to the CMO as well.

166 Q. That would have gone. Just correct me if I am wrong and I think it's clear from the papers but no one asked

Garda Keogh to provide a statement to the CMO specifically, given just the factual scenario, okay, we can't look at it, I appreciate that that might be too difficult, will you write it all down and give it the CMO. That didn't happen, sure it didn't?
A. No, but he had an opportunity to have a conversation with the CMO.

167 Q. He did. He did have an opportunity to. We will get to that. We will talk about the CMO in due course. But you didn't ask him to make that statement and neither did Superintendent Murray, isn't that right?
A. Yeah.

168 Q. No. And again, that didn't go to the CMO, isn't that the case?
A. It wasn't made. He didn't make one, yeah.

169 Q. Okay. So the process then, we're now at the referral stage, going to the CMO, that's in around the -somewhere between the 19th and the 22nd May. Is there any other steps that need to be taken in order for Garda Keogh to be considered for an injury on duty for work related stress from a HR point of view?
A. They have to consult with HRM. They can -- I know they can ask for further enquiries to take place in relation to it. And they can -- they sometimes send the person to an external person as well. So ultimately the executive director of HRM makes the determination whether the person gets -- falls into that category.

170 Q. okay. In relation to the document at 3253, and then it just goes on to page 3254 it's an e-mail from Clare

Egan and I just want to ask you about it, who I believe works for HR. The executive director is on it and member is on sick leave. And $I$ think to be fair, it is a document that might have been redacted, I am not entirely sure. I just want to go to, I think it's the sixth last line. It says:
"This branch is not in recei pt of nor aware of any appl ication for this si ckness absence to be treated as anyt hi ng ot her than ordi nary ill ness."

I just wanted to ask, is there an application process for documents to be considered as something different from ordinary illness?
A. Yeah. when a person is injured on duty, I issue an 11.37. So people can apply for that.

171 Q. Yes. And that's just what I wanted to clear up. The application, so my understanding of the 11.37 was that that is at the end and it's, I suppose, the final cog in the wheel?
A. Yes.

172 Q. This might be a question for $H R$, so if you can't answer it that's fine. But am I reading it right, that the application has to come from local management?
A. Well, actually, there's a whole process, right.

173 Q. But does it have to come from local management, that is the only question I want to ask?
A. No, just in the context of work related stress, it is actually slightly different. I suppose a person
themselves could apply for this to be considered as work related stress. And I think there would be a lot of cases now up in the air, in the ether in the organisation. I suppose the Code puts the responsibility back on the chief superintendent to issue an 11.37, really to say, yes, this is injury on duty, put him back on the payrol1, get the pay retrospective. In relation to work related stress, because I suppose of the complexities and that around it, we're told -- when the executive director adjudicates on the matter and I suppose the stress piece has been established, the causal link has been established, they can ask other inquiries as well. If they're satisfied, the CMO, in conjunction with HRM, they say, yes, this person is injured, the job is responsible for this injury, then they go back to you and say, issue an 11.37.

174 Q. Yes. No, I am very clear on that. Just so I am absolutely clear, I'm not aware of -:
"This branch is not in recei pt of nor aware of any appl ication for this si ckness absence to be treated as anything ot her than ordi nary ill ness."

Again, just so that we are clear on the date, that's in 11:41 May of 2016.
A. Yeah.

175 Q. So we've already had the letter going up to the CMO, I think it's the letters in May, seeking for there to be
a work related stress investigation. So is it possible that the $H R$ are incorrect that there's been no application for this to be treated as work related stress, or is there some other piece that I am just not following?
A. No. Yeah, yeah. HRM ultimately make the determination, or I suppose the executive director in consultation with the CMO and local -- you know, he ultimately says, yes -- there has been a few of them, there hasn't been too many in the job -- that this person is medically stressed, it's an injury. We're happy enough, we have done all this. I think there might have been a case where a couple of chiefs were issuing an 11.37s for work related stress and the organisation said, no, you can't do that, you're not qualified.
So just in relation to this, this would have come from HRM, the application to the pay section, to say, look, we are happy that this is an 11.37 , so put him into that category.
176 Q. So just I am clear and that's very helpful, I appreciate that, this is the distinction to be made between the HR directorate and the sick Section?
A. Yeah.

177 Q. okay. So the application can come from you, can come from Garda Keogh, and then there is a final recommendation from the HR department, which then is sent to the Sick Section. Did I get that right?
A. Yeah, broken down it's clearer, you know what I mean. okay. So can you just point to me in this document where you identify the issue of work related stress, any investigation, the inability to have an investigation or anything at all just in that general tenor? Because I just can't see it.
A. Well, there wasn't one because we -- there wasn't an investigation, there still hasn't been an investigation 11:44 because the member reported sick with work related stress and we brought it to a certain point and certainly for the first couple of months the CMO didn't deem him stressed and actually, I don't know, I don't know what the medical determination is for Garda Keogh 11:44 at the moment. That's matter for someone else. So really, as I said, we didn't do a work related stress investigation.
181 Q. But I asked you what the purpose of this document was
for and it was all information in relation to Garda Keogh and surely if this was at the forefront of your mind that this was an issue that you couldn't progress, surely it would have made its way into this report?
A. We11, we stepped out what we did, you know what I mean, 11:44 and the lines that we took.

Can I point you to page 2510, into the paragraph of "welfare". Just to go into the third paragraph. Because you do identify Yvonne Martin, Sergeant Yvonne Martin was nominated to make intermittent enquiries with Garda Keogh with effect from the 2nd April 2005 [sic], isn't that right, that's what that says?
A. Yeah.

183 Q. I think that is relied upon by you in your report to Chief superintendent McLoughlin as one of the steps you 11:45 took as a support for Garda Keogh --
A. Yeah.

184 Q. -- to deal with the work related stress. And yet still the work related stress issue doesn't make its way up the chain in this document, isn't that right?
A. At the risk of repeating myself. Garda Keogh reported sick with work related stress, he was referred to the смO, okay, and he was deemed fit. He came back into the workplace and then he was out again, periodically, and we referred him back up again. After that -- and
there was a conference held. He was out of the
workplace from January -- he's still out of the workplace, but from that time, from January to May, when I saw him. So, you know, he had -- that was his
view and that was his doctor's view and he brought it to our attention. He had made the position clear. we had sent him to the CMO.
Q. okay.
A. So really we didn't have any sort of road map after that to move ahead with this.

186 Q. All right. My questions, perhaps $I$ am being unclear. You're asked for a full assessment of all the things that had been done in relation to Garda Keogh and the failure or the inability, as you say, to have an investigation, just to be clear, is not mentioned in this report, and then you eye that Yvonne Martin was nominated to make intermittent enquiries. Did you ever follow up with whether or not Yvonne Martin did that?
A. No.

187 Q. No. Were you here for her evidence that she said she didn't?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. Okay. So again, that made it into a report, which I think again is relied --
A. That was a welfare thing, that wasn't necessarily work related stress.
189 Q. We will come back to that, as I think there might be another dispute between yourself and Superintendent Murray about what that was for. Return to work
interviews were conducted, just two paragraphs down, at the very end of that page. Was the work related stress a feature in the return to work interviews?
A. Sorry, I'm not aware. This report was pulled together
from all reports, you know, coming from various people.
Q. You signed it, it's your report.
A. I know it's my report, yeah, I'm just -- where are you referring to?
"Ret urn to work interviews were conducted with Garda Keogh on his return fromperiods of absence."

So again I just wanted to ask, did work related stress come up there and if it did, why is there no notification to the secretary about the issue of work related stress
A. Well, we were always clear that Garda Keogh continued to -- when he was absent from duty, that his doctor -you know, he was the doctor certified him sick due to work related stress, okay. And that's fine. My
reference point in terms of -- we referred Garda keogh to the CMO, and I know I'm repeating myself but I think it's is important, and the CMO deemed him unfit for duty in December. He didn't go into a lot of detail as to what the actual issue was, nor should he, because it's confidential. So that was that position for that period. So Garda Keogh continues and does continue to this day I think to get certs from his doctor to say that he is out, that he is suffering from work related stress, he has to get -- so I am sort of not clear as to what the issue is you are raising.
192 Q. Okay. Can we just have a look at the document, volume 11, page 3267, please. You will be very familiar with this, it's a report that you completed for chief

Superintendent McLough1in. You're familiar with this document, I presume?
A. Oh yeah.
Q. It has been opened several times to the Chairman. So I think from this document that efforts were made locally to establish the source of the alleged work related stress, yes?
A. Yes.
Q. It identifies frequent absences from work, it identifies that the member was reviewed, it establishes 11:50 the additional workplace supports, to assist the member 1ocally. Now, I just want to ask you about this piece here:
"A request was made and revi ewed to establ ish any additional work supports."

If we just go to the next paragraph, the next page, please, Mr. Kavanagh. Yes.
"...sergeant rank to a menber to di scuss and if possi ble sol ve any work rel ated issues he may have in Athl one in order to allow himto attend work more frequently."

So in this document that you send, I think, just so I am clear, two weeks prior.
A. Yes.
Q. So we have a document on page 2509 which you author on
the 24th May 2016, which makes no reference to work related stress, which references Yvonne Martin.
A. Yes.

Then a second document at page 3266 and then 3267 references the sergeant who I believe is Yvonne Martin, ${ }^{11}: 52$ as being identified as a source of support in identifying work issues. I just can't understand how work related stress didn't come into this document on the 26th may and yet the same person is relied upon in page 3267, two weeks later, as a support and work done in relation to work related stress support?
A. These were two different reports.
Q. The 6th June?
A. The 6 th June, was in response to Chief Superintendent McLoughlin's request.
199 Q. Yes.
A. As I said, we had written up twice, we had referred him twice. On the day, on the 20th -- 16th May I had seen Garda Keogh and at that he sort of took the decision that he was out with stress, that his doctor said he was stressed and that, you know, he wasn't going to
come back until it was all over. So the position I took then was that, yeah, he is saying he is stressed, he is saying it is all connected with this matter. So I took the decision then and I wrote up to HRM to say
when he returns, when this is all over and when he is in a position to return to work, then I will do the investigation into work related stress. And in response to the two e-mails, I know I have given this evidence yesterday, I just said, I will put this whole report together, just to pull it all together and my professional opinion, you know, at that stage it wasn't going to be realistic to sort of put another investigation on top of this investigation. So then I said, you know, to be clear, do you want us to do an investigation, do you want us to do it, do you think it is appropriate? You are the medical people, you are the HR people, do you think we should go ahead with this now? Do you think it's appropriate? I sent a reminder subsequent. My understanding is that an investigation to this date hasn't taken place.
200 Q. I put it to you for the purposes of the transcript that it's clear that there was no legitimate investigation into work related stress and that the report on the 26th may is proof of that. I presume you're not going 11:54 to accept that, but I am just putting it to you for the purposes of --
A. No, maybe you just might repeat what you said. Sorry, my apologies. I am just going to put it to you again that you wrote a document on the 26th May, making 11:55 no reference to any work related stress, despite it being in relation to all issues with Garda Keogh, and then two weeks later, using the same information, you rely on certain information within it to prove that you
have done a work related stress investigation, and I am putting to you that it undermines the credibility as to whether or not there was ever any work related stress investigation done in any way, shape or form?
A. No, I didn't say that. Sorry, that not -- I said when I went to see him, I said I will undertake one when he comes back, when it's is all over.
202 Q. Yes.
A. And then Superintendent McLoughlin may not have had sight of that because it was on the 26th -- I think it was the day I visited him, and I said we had told -- we had told people already and we had told the people in HRM, we really don't believe we can advance this. You know, it was always open to people, maybe they could ask the people in Galway to do an investigation because 11:55 it was so interlinked. I'm not aware that that was ever suggested. So, you know, so when I went to visit him and he was quite -- he said, I'm out with stress, and that was the whole essence of the issue for him, I wrote up then and said, yes, the member is asserting ${ }^{11: 56}$ his absence is to do with being stressed. When he returns from work, when this is all over, I propose to do a work related stress -- pursue it. Chief Superintendent McLoughlin may not have seen it because of the timeframe and then he asked the question. So I 11:56 was putting this whole thing no context. And I said, okay, if people have a helicopter view, having been alive to the substantive issue, been alive to the medical piece, are you saying, yes, plough ahead.
A. No.
Q. No. okay. Just so I am clear, at 3730, it's a third reminder, but it appears to be a proforma request from HR, which identifies a need to get some more information from Garda Keogh on the basis of his absence. Is that a standard enough letter?
A. Yes.

206 Q. Is that something that happens once you go past a certain number of days?
A. Yeah.

207 Q. Am I right about that?
A. Yeah.

208 Q. Then we get to 3729, which I think is the response and it certainly does answer the questions that are in that

1etter, on 20th February 2015. It seems to identify that Garda Keogh performs all his duties consummate with his rank, that any history is available in the district office, there is no coping skills or other measures deemed necessary at this stage. He has a good 11:59 working relationship with his peers, and he does not wish to note any other reasons or concerns. This was opened to the Tribunal prior to this, I just want to know did you see this document when you were doing your assessment of Garda Keogh?
A. No, I don't think so.

209 Q. No, you didn't see it, that's fine. So we have the 26th March, which is the first meeting with Garda Keogh and Superintendent Patrick Murray, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

210 Q. Then, on foot of that, a report is sent to you on the 2nd. You send that up, as you're ob1iged to, to HR, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

211 Q. They come back then and I think it's Ann Mulligan comes 12:00 back to you, saying you should now seek to interview the member, isn't that right?
A. That's right, yeah.

212 Q. I think you gave evidence, and I just want to give you an opportunity to respond to this, you sent a direction 12:00 to Patrick Murray to talk to Nick. And I think you said, in response to questions, you said:
"There's no poi nt in asking himto send up the note
agai n. "

I just want to be absolutely clear about this, you were clear that the report of the 22nd May didn't involve any further questions or anything else being put to Nick after it was requested on the 20th May -- or sorry, on the 4th May by Ann Mulligan, for there to be an investigation.
A. I think so, yeah.

213 Q. So you're clear that at no point did you feel it necessary for Garda Keogh to be informed or re-informed of the consequences of not engaging in a work related stress investigation or not giving you the information, you were satisfied that nothing further needed to be done?
A. I felt that he made his position clear. I am not sure that there was actually direct consequences for him, actually. In the sense that we had -- we were really trying to explore, this is a complicated issue, you know. Do you actually need us to do this this way or is there any other way to look at this? I wasn't clear at that stage. I suppose the whole thing was new, the whole protected disclosures piece. And because of that, this is the real, I suppose, piece of the jigsaw here, because of that we were saying, you know, really do we do this the same way as you would ordinarily do it, because of the complexities of it. He is bringing it so far and, you know, really do we start putting another layer on top of this, would that complicate
matters? Would it compromise even the integrity of the substantive issue? So this was my -- this was our view, our position; really can we do this or do they have other mechanisms to deal with it?

And just on that note of other mechanisms, we saw this when Chief Superintendent Curran gave evidence, where there was uncertainty there was extensive correspondence trying to resolve the issue. Could I just be clear, there is no extensive correspondence from you trying to resolve the issue of Garda Keogh's pay, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

215 Q. Yes. You did give extensive evidence yesterday of your welfare interest in your members, it's fair to say that pay is a substantial aspect of the purpose of
employment and yet no steps were taken by you to try and rectify the issue on pay for Garda Keogh?
A. Pay is governed by regulations and, you know, I haven't been able -- I have never -- there's never been a position other than maybe in a few cases now, in that people have made protected disclosures, there is no -you know, I work within the processes and procedures in the organisation and I could write -- I can't -- no matter what I wrote up to HRM, I can't ask them to operate outside the pay guidelines, or pay regulations. 12:03 So, you know, there was never going to be -- and I think they still haven't managed to sort of come up with regulations that would allow people to be paid, you know, when they're out in certain contexts. So
really, making a submission wouldn't have been any value, because it wasn't going -- and even HRM haven't -- it wasn't within their gift to sort of pay people outside of the regulations. They are quite black and white.

216 Q. And no attempts in particular to find a solution to allow an investigation into the work related stress to progress? There's one letter on the 22nd may --
A. Yes.

217 Q. -- which you send up from Superintendent Murray and that's it. The chain stops until may of 2016 ?
A. Well, like, I suppose --

218 Q. Is that the case? Isn't it the case that the chain stops after that letter until May of 2016?
A. Yes.

219 Q. Yes. Thank you.
A. He was outside the workplace, from December he is outside the workplace.
220 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah.

221 Q. Just a practical question, in relation to the CMO after seeing Garda Keogh on the 22nd May, did you receive a letter from the CMO?
A. No.

222 Q. I don't think you did. I just wanted to be clear? 12:04
A. I don't think we did, yeah.

223 Q. That's probably a matter for the CMO. But you didn't follow up or look for it. I don't see any evidence of that happening either?
A. No. I mean, we didn't. We looked for it, we are sending up -- I mean, I know I am repeating myself here. Is this person fit to work or not? And I suppose -- and he said he was -- clearly he didn't say he wasn't, I suppose, taking it the other way. And I suppose even to follow-through on the issue of, you know, why we didn't pursue matters, I mean, we were looking for HRM to give us a bit of guidance here in relation to this matter, because of the stress piece and because of the confidential piece and no other advice -- advices didn't come back down to say, the member here is acting stressed, he is saying he has work related stress. You know, so when we -- I suppose if you look later on at the minutes of the December 2015 conference --
224 Q. We will, we will look at those.
A. You know, but no advice came back down and we took it then that the -- and the member had been back in work and his doctor had deemed him fit in that period as well. So we took it that the member was fit to work.
225 Q. All right. Just so that we are clear, the only material that went to the CMO in April is the report of Superintendent Murray dated 2nd April 2015 ?
A. Yes.

226 Q. Yes, that's right. And any other questions I have in relation to that I can probably put to the CMO. One other question. I have very little left, you might be glad to know, chief superintendent. If we have a look at page 8874 as we11, please. This is a document that
is written to you by Superintendent Murray to you, isn't that right, on the 4th November?
A. I had transferred from the 8th August.

227 Q. very good. No issue arise in that regard. Just to be clear, you didn't see that document?
A. No.
Q. No. Very good. I just want to put it to you so that it is absolutely clear, it is Garda Keogh's case that there were no legitimate steps taken to properly investigate the nature of his work related stress by you or by Superintendent Pat Murray?
A. Garda Keogh made his position quite clear and, as I said, understandably, it wouldn't have been appropriate and on reflection, even now it wouldn't have been appropriate to sort of have an investigation around him 12:08 and to be asking questions about people, it would not have been appropriate. In light of the very sensitive context I was in at the time, you know, we were looking for guidance in relation to this.
229 Q. I put it to you that no steps were taken to limit or 12:08 ameliorate that risk that I just identified, of Garda Keogh being a whistleblower, by you or by Superintendent Pat Murray?
A. Sorry?

230 Q. No steps were taken by you to limit or ameliorate that concern, that you couldn't do this because of Garda Keogh being a whistleblower, no steps were taken -CHA RMAN Sorry, that's too complicated, Ms. Mulligan. It is at least three questions and it is too
complicated.
MS. MLLI GAN Perhaps it is.
CHA RMAN I am not sure how you mitigate concern.
MS. MLLI GAN Very good, Chairman.
CHA RMAN I understand what you are trying to do.
MS. MLLI GAN Yes.
CHA RMAN But could you make it simple.
ME. MLLI GAN I will do my best, Chairman. Apologies. CHA RMAK That's okay. And possibly in three simple propositions. I have you down as saying that you have put to the chief superintendent that no steps -- well, let's try to put it in the active voice. She took no steps and neither did Superintendent Murray to investigate Garda Keogh's work related stress, is that a specific point that you have been putting?

MS. MLLI GAN Yes.
CHA RMAN Okay, that's the one I have.
Mb. MLLI GAN Yes.
CHA RMAN Now, the next one.
231 Q.
MS. MLLI GAN And in addition to that, the steps that you did take were insufficient to protect Garda Keogh from losing his pay, which in fact occurred.
A. I reject that entirely. The work related stress investigation, if I have had have ploughed ahead with it, wouldn't of itself given Garda Keogh -- it wouldn't 12:10 have automatically entitled to Garda Keogh to his pay. I took the view that this is unusual, this is different, you know, he's not in a position really to sort of go into any great detail. We brought it that
far. I think this is an important point to make here. I don't believe that -- and no one came back to us to say, well actually, do you know what, he is stressed, the doctor has said he is stressed but in order for us to make a decision here, we just need a little bit more. You know, so we were bringing it up to -- and I really wouldn't -- we were saying because of the complexities of this, you know, are we going to put this person through another investigation just because normal policy tells you if a person -- you know, if that piece wasn't over there and a person is stressed, you go straight down the line and you do it a certain way.

## 232 Q. CHA RMAN okay.

A. We were saying, this is slightly different and, you know, it was so linked. And I know he wasn't -- he wasn't -- I wouldn't have expected him to go into any more detail. And we brought it that far. I think -so the three pieces of the -- we couldn't do any more here, I believe. And I don't believe the fact we couldn't advance it into any more detail of the nature of the stress, there was another conversation that was going to be had with the CMO that could have teased that out. And then the conversation with HRM. I don't believe -- you know, there was sufficient there, I believe -- in my opinion, there was -- there wasn't a case, well, you have to prove this, you have to prove that. I think there was sufficient information there for all the relevant parties to have. If there was a
conclusion that indeed Garda Keogh was suffering from stress, you know, I believe that people would have made a decision that, okay, do you know what, maybe we can pay him.
I am just going to put it to you that by depriving Garda Keogh of the opportunity to engage in a work related stress investigation, he was targeted as a result of that action?
A. I absolutely reject that.
M. MLLI GAN You might answer some of the questions that my Friend has.
CHAN RMAN Ms. Mulligan, just let me check the second proposition, it really arises out of the first one, it seems to me. You say that the chief superintendent and the superintendent did nothing to investigate his work related stress.
Mb. MLLI GAN Yes.
CHA RMAN That's the first point you are making. And the second one is, that they failed -- I am trying to clarify your point, that they failed to protect his entitlement to full pay.
Mb. MLLI GAN Yes.
CHA RMAN Is that in essence the whole thing?
Mb. MLLI GAN Yes.
CHAN RMAN okay, thank you very much. You have given your answers to those questions. I just wanted to make sure I had it clear as to what Ms. Mulligan was putting. So thank you very much.
ME. MLLIGAN Chairman, just for the benefit -- I
tried not to referral to material that has already been opened by the Tribunal and they are a matter for submissions.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much.

ME. MLLI GAN I didn't want to double up.
CHA RNAN Those are your calls, Ms. Mulligan, thank you very much. Now, who is next.
MR. O BRI EN In fact, Chairman, I would like to ask Chief superintendent wheatley just some questions.
CHA RMAN I don't think that is allowable,
Mr. O'brien. I think one person cross-examines and you don't have two goes at the cherry.
MS. MLLI GAN Very good, Chairman, it is required for me to continue in those circumstances.
CHA RMAN Sorry, I thought that was pretty standard. M. MLLI GAN No issue, Chairman. We will deal with it in the usual way then.

CHA RMAN I think it is much more satisfactory.
MS. MLLIGAN No issue, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Is there a principled objection? There probably is, because it's one thing for a witness to face questioning and cross-examination by one person, but it's a bit unfair for another person then to say, well I will take up the cudgels now and have a go.
M. MLLI GAN Chairman, there is no issue with that. I wonder would you be in a position to rise for five minutes, just to organise my papers.
CHA RMAN That is perfectly reasonable. okay, we will do that. I am hoping that we will finish by lunchtime.

MS. MLLI GAN Yes.
CHA RMAN Very good. Yes, if you want five minutes, that's not a problem. Well I will actually say ten minutes because I am not going to stand outside in the cold.

MS. MLLI GAN Thank you, Chairman.

## THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED BRI EFLY AND RESUMED, AS FOLLOVS:

CHA RMAN Thank you.
MS. MLLI GAN Thank you, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Thank you, Ms. Mulligan.
M5. MLLI GAN In relation to the aspect of bullying and harassment piece, I have very few questions for you, chief superintendent. Can I just Mr. Kavanagh if we can have page 4507 , please. 4507, my apologies. This is just a letter that you wrote. I think I am right in saying that you were concerned in particular about the delay in relation to --
A. Yes.
Q. -- his assessment and that you were really trying to progress matters with this letter, would I be right about that?
A. Yes.
Q. And just on that, I think you then met for the purposes of this investigation on 23rd January 2018, does that sound correct, with Assistant Commissioner Finn? Page 4113.
Q. It's a note from Assistant Commissioner Finn, which seems to refer to -- it's the fourth paragraph. Do you see that?
A. Yeah, I do, yeah.
Q. Do you remember that meeting?
A. I do, yeah.

239 Q. Can I just ask, who was at that meeting, can you remember?
A. It was just myself and the assistant commissioner, it 12:28 was in the officers club.

240 Q. In the office?
A. Yeah.

241 Q. which office?
A. The Officers Club in Garda Headquarters.

242 Q. What did you discuss? The meeting was in relation to your concerns, I was just wondering if you have any specific or additional information that you might be able to provide to the Chairman in relation to the meeting.
A. Not really, no.
Q. Sorry, 4113. Just that meeting on the 23rd January: The meeting was for the purpose of hearing concerns in relation to the investigation. I am just wondering did you have any additional or specific memory about that meeting?
A. No, it was a short meeting, it was in the evening time. I think I had -- no, I don't, no.

244
Q. Did you discuss Garda Keogh's complaint?
A. It was in that context $I$ met him. I was meeting him in the context of this -- you know, of the bullying and harassment case.
Q. So how long do you think that meeting lasted? when you say short, how short?
A. I remember it was the evening time. I was learning sign language at the time, so $I$ had to run off to the class. So I was very annoyed about this, being honest with you, the actual -- the appointment, altogether. So I suppose that was my position.
Q. You did send him a statement?
A. Yes.

251 Q. The only question is whether or not there was any additional meeting, to the best of your knowledge?
A. No, there wasn't, no.

252 Q. What about Chief Superintendent Myers or Inspector Bryan?
A. I never met any of those. I made it quite clear to Assistant Commissioner Finn that I would only deal with him.

253 Q. And predominantly then in the form of written correspondence, is that right?
A. Yeah.
Q. No further questions. I am obliged.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Very good. Now, Mr. Murphy.
MR. MRPHY: Thank you, Chairman. If there is any other party, Chairman, perhaps they would go ahead of me.
ME. O ROURKE: No, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Nobody else has any questions. Very good. So it's all yours, Mr. Murphy.
Q.

MR. MRPH: Chief superintendent, could I ask you
please to be shown on the screen page 3219 of the material, please. Chief superintendent, this is a document you have seen previously, this is a document HQ Directive 139/2010. I think you're familiar with
that document?
A. Yes.
Q. You are familiar with this document?
A. Yes, sorry, yes.

And in terms of the document itself, I think it is management of sickness absence and the Chairman has seen this document before?
A. Yes.
Q. In terms of the documentation, can I just ask you a number of questions on this document. First of all, 12:33 would you agree with me, this is in document which was in force in 2015?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is the document you sought to apply in terms of your capacity as chief superintendent.
A. Yes.

260 Q. In terms of the document, can I ask you please to look at the first paragraph, there is a reference to the focus of the policy. Were you aware of the fact that the focus of the policy was on, as the words say, early 12:33 intervention and staff welfare?
A. Yes.

261 Q. In terms of the system that's described under the Garda Occupational Health Service, you were familiar with all this power to outreach to members of the force?
A. Yes.

262 Q. Then going down to the third paragraph, there is a reference there to the role of the Chief Medical officer. Is it the case, as it says here, that the

Chief Medical Officer, the CMO, advises the Garda Commissioner of members' fitness for policing duties?
A. That's correct.
A. Yes.
Q. Can we just tease out why that was so important for you in this case. In the same paragraph, it says:
"When the CMD advi sed that the member is fit for duty, the member will resume duty imedi atel y having been notified of same."

Now, does this indicate the role the CMO in relation to 12:34 medical assessments of the member?
A. Yes. I mean, we're asking the CMO to tell us whether a member is fit or otherwise, sometimes they're fit with conditions. So if the CMO tells management this member is fit or he is not fit, he is fit for light duties, restricted duties, that's our reference point, you know.

265 Q. Yes. I think the position is that individual members can submit medical reports or medical certificates and the CMO may choose to speak to those treating doctors before arriving at this conclusion?
A. Because of the confidentiality clearly of medical issues, members are advised when they're going up to CMO that they bring all their own medical records with
them. And it is my experience that the CMO or his team, they will link in with the relevant professionals and the member's doctors, so that is obviously something that is not within our sort of sight, rightly so.

266 Q. Yes. Just to assist the Tribunal, if I could ask you to turn to the next page, please, page 3 in fact. In the third paragraph you will see there is a reference to members resuming duty after a period of sickness, do you see that?
A. Yes.

267 Q. If we just pause for a moment on this issue. Is it the case that when a member is resuming duty after a period of sickness absence and is certified as fit or restricted to light duties by his GP, that requires the 12:35 further approval of the CMO?
A. Yes.

268 Q. So again, is it fair to say that the CMO operates a key position in terms of a clinical medical assessment in relation to a decision as to whether people are fit or unfit for duty?
A. Yeah, and we do have a situation where we have people who want to come back to work and their doctor deems them fit and the CMO will say that they are not fit. And we have situations where, which is more the case, that, you know, their doctors will say they are not fit and the CMO will say they are. So our reference point is whatever the CMO's determination is.

CHA RMAN Mr. Murphy, sorry, the reference that you
just made to the CMO and the GP, where is that?
MR. MRPHY: That, Judge, is at page 3221, and it's on the third paragraph down, under the heading:
"A margi nal note should be made to Code 11.34."

You will see the next sentence starts:
"Members resume duty..."

CHA RMAN I see, thank you very much. No, I just didn't see that.
269 Q. MR. MRPHY: Thank you, Chairman. Let's keep this general, as my Friend indicated.
A. Yes.
Q. This is a rule that applies to everybody in the force?
A. Yes.

271 Q. Moving down in the same paragraph, it is indicated that a report be forwarded to assistant commissioner HRM on resumption of duty and the report will contain relevant 12:37 medical certificates and reports?
A. Yes.

272 Q. It says:
"Menbers will remain on duties until the member's files 12:37 are revi ewed by the CMD. "
A. Yes.

273 Q. So is it the case that once a person enters into the field where the CMO is, that he or she remains in a
sense subject to review as time goes by?
A. Yes, until he discharges them, I suppose, effectively.
Q. Then moving forward, in general terms again, to the question of injury on duty. Can I just ask you to look please at the next paragraph. You will see there, there is reference to where there is any doubt that injury on duty occurred. So in general terms, is it your understanding as a divisional officer you have to refer the matter to the assistant commissioner HRM, who will seek the advice of the CMO?
A. Yes.
Q. So in a sense there is a triangle between the divisional officer, the CMO and the HRM?
A. Yes.

276 Q. Then in terms of the next sentence, it says:
"The CMD will take into account all rel evant information in arriving at his or her advi ces."
A. Yes.

277 Q. So, taking the general question as put forward by my Friend, if a member made a statement of complaint, for example, about work related stress or some other form of injury in the workplace, would that statement, if it was made available by the member, go to the CMO?
A. Yes.

278 Q. Would that be part of all relevant information?
A. Yes.

279 Q. And conversely, if a member refused to make a statement, that information couldn't travel to a CMO?
A. No, but the member would be in a position, I suppose, to give an account of the CMO himself.
Q. I see. We will come back to that in a moment, but the CMO is then obliged to look at all the relevant information. The next paragraph indicates:
"A deci si on regarding injury on duty will be based on the compl ete investi gation file into the incident, management vi ews and recommendations and the assessment and opi ni on of the CMD. "
A. Yes.

281 Q. But the decision regarding injury on duty is a decision of the CMO?
A. Yes.

282 Q. Then turning to the next paragraph --
CHA RMAN Could you go down a bit, Peter?
283 Q. MR. MRPHY: Sorry, Chairman. The 1ast paragraph, please:
"Where there is any doubt as to whet her the member's
si ckness/ absence is due to ordinary ill ness or an i nj ury on duty, the member's absence will be treated as or di nary ill ness pending a deci si on on the cl assification of the injury and in particular the CMO s advi ce."

So is it your understanding that there are two issues. The first is, is there an injury? And the second is, what's its classification?
A. Yes.
Q. The final paragraph indicates:
"If it is determined that the absence does rel ate to an i nj ury on duty, the member's pay will be retrospecti vel y adj usted as soon as practicable."
A. Yes.
A. Yes. out immediately and the outcome reported to the assistant commissioner. Now, in this case, is it your evidence that Garda Keogh declined to cooperate with an
attempt to open up such an investigation?
A. I wouldn't even -- I wouldn't even, I suppose, use that sort of language. His position was that he couldn't really because he was so -- he couldn't, I suppose, discuss it outside of the protected disclosures context, you know, and it was all connected with that. Yes. So is it your evidence that in the course of that interaction with Garda management, he took the view and never changed his view in 2015, that the protected disclosures mechanism meant that he wasn't going to talk to you?

CHA RMAN Well that's a bit of a jump, isn't it, Mr. Murphy? Surely that's matter for me.
MR. MRPHY: Very good, Chairman.
CHA RMAN I mean, we know what he is recorded as saying.

MR. MRPHY: Yes.
CHA RMAN we know what he says about it.
MR. MRPHY: Yes.
CHA RMAN The chief superintendent's interpretation of the concept, I think is irrelevant.

292 Q. MR. MRPHY: Very good. We11, just as a matter of fact then as opposed to interpretation, can you confirm as a matter of fact that Garda Keogh did not make a statement to you in relation to this matter in 2015?
A. Yes.

293 Q. Moving to the particular, you have mentioned earlier that the --
CHA RMAN It's not so much that he has to make a
statement. We can be a little confused about complaint, because if I go to the doctor I may have a complaint. But really, if I say I am suffering from stress, that's really the question, and you say ultimately that matter is for the смо. That's basically what you say.
A. Yes, to get a determination.

CHA RNAN The CMO. And Mr. Murphy said that there is a triangle, divisional officer. So superintendent, HRM, CMO.
A. I suppose, just I made this point probably already, in my experience it can be something very minor but it does impact on a person and it could be -- you know, the CMO, you know, will make his determination when he sees the person.
295 Q. CHA RMAN I understand. In a situation, in a stress case?
A. He doesn't wait for the investigation.
Q. CHA RMAN The cause might be something relatively minor, it might be disproportionate in its impact on me ?
A. what I am saying is --

297 Q. CHA RMAN Is that --
A. Sorry, he will make his determination that a person is stressed without even having an investigation file. I mean, you won't get paid until all the things match up properly.

298 Q. CHA RMAN I understand.
A. Thank you.

CHA RMAN I think he means all stress problems. If it is work related stress, it's work related stress. But 12:43 stress problems don't always relate to work.
A. Yes.

In terms of this case, I think you have given evidence about the fact that you were aware that Garda Keogh went to the CMO in May of 2015?
A. Yes.

304 Q. I wonder could you be shown document 3790, please? appreciate you did not see those notes at the time?
A. No.
Q. Did you see those notes then when disclosure was
provided by this Tribunal?
A. Yes.
Q. We will deal with this in Dr. Oghuvbu's evidence in due course, but in terms of this document, it reflects the conversation that he had with Garda Keogh. In terms of the document itself, would you agree with me, just take a moment to read it, that the references throughout that document are to alcohol addiction?
A. Yes.

Yes. So, for example, if one looks at the top of the 12:45 page:
"Was referred on account of management's concerns about hi gh level of short absences ( 48 days in 12 months period fromJ anuary 2014)."

In the middle of the page you will see reference -- and the reference then in the middle of the page to:
"In the context of well being supports, says has to attend AA regul arly."
A. Yes.

308 Q. And then:
"Says no al cohol since April, though would have drunk excessi vel y over St. Patrick's weekend and bet ween January and February unwell, 12 pints bi nge, saw GP."

Without going through all the details, 1 think you will
agree with me that this document focuses on that discussion --
A. Yes.
Q. -- as being focused entirely on alcohol?
A. Yes.

310 Q. From the point of view of your understanding of Garda Keogh's position, were you aware in 2014 or '15 and '16 that Garda Keogh had an alcohol problem?
A. Yes, I was, yes. I had been linking in with his welfare officer from time to time.

311 Q. Did you have a concern that in fact his alcohol problem was a cause or a foundation cause of the difficulties he was experiencing?
A. It was a significant factor, yeah.

312 Q. At the end of the process in 2016, what treatment were you aware was provided to him in consultation with the CMO and An Garda Síochána?
A. I understand he went into residential treatment to deal with his alcohol problem. That's just a fact.
313 Q. And that was the only treatment that you were aware of provided to him in 2016 ?
A. Yes, yes.

314 Q. So you mentioned in an earlier answer that if a person has a complaint, he is in a position to freely to talk to the CMO and make no foundations of any complaint he wishes to make?
A. Yes.

315 Q. Would you agree with me, also in that note there isn't any reference to the work related stress, in terms of the details of it or the alleged causes of it?
A. Yes.

316 Q. Could I ask you then please to be furnished with one further piece of documentation, which is page 9722, please?
A. Yes, I have it open now.

317 Q. Sorry, Chairman.
CHA RNAN That's all right.
318 Q.
MR. MRPHY: Perhaps $I$ will return to that in a moment.
Can I ask you instead to be shown 6171, please. I wonder if we could scroll up the top of that, please. The very top.
A. Yes.
Q. This is a document that Mr. McGuinness took you through yesterday, you're familiar with this?
A. Yes.

320 Q. I wonder can you scroll down please, registrar, to the second page?
A. Yes.

321 Q. And further down again, please?
A. Yes.
Q. Down to the next page?

CHAI RMAN what is the paragraph.
MR. MRPH: It begins "Garda Keogh has never
i ntimated".
CHA RMAN Yes, it's is the second last paragraph.
MR MRPH: The second last paragraph, thank you very much. I think the position here is that it's intimated in the course of the letter:
"Garda Keogh has never intimated that there were any inci dents which occurred in the workplace in Athl one Garda Station whi ch were causing his alleged work rel ated stress."

## Is that correct?

A. Yes.
Q. It goes on to say:
"Garda Keogh has never made any report whi ch warranted i nvesti gati on in accordance with the Garda Sí ochána gri evance procedures or the Garda pol icy for deal ing with harassment, sexual harassment and bullying in the workpl ace. "

Is that correct?
A. At that time, yes.
Q. It goes on to say:
"I ndeed, all possi ble supports to facilitate a return to work by Garda Keogh have been put in pl ace by the di strict management teamin Athl one and his welfare has been prioritised through ongoing enquiries to ensure the member is aware and availing of the empl oyee assi stance servi ce. "
A. Yes.

326 Q. Is that correct also?
A. Yes.
Q. Just in terms of your approach towards Garda Keogh's welfare, is it your evidence that you did seek to care for his welfare and to ensure that he was given all necessary supports when you became aware of his difficulties?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. In terms of the position that the CMO came to ultimately, is it the case that ultimately the CMO came to the view that the clinical basis was his concerns in relation to Garda Keogh and his absences, was his long standing and established condition, which was alcohol dependency?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it his recommendation in light of that assessment that the treatment for that was alcohol addiction treatment?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAK He can tell us that when he comes. MR. MRPHY: Yes.
Q. So again, just to assist the Chairman to understand the ${ }^{12: 51}$ position, in this triangular process which you have described, was it the case that the search by the CMO and by management was to identify what was the cause of the difficulty and was it an injury caused in the workplace?
A. Yes.
Q. In terms of your overal1 stewardship, it has been suggested that you failed to protect Garda Keogh's entitlement to full pay. Do you reject that
allegation?
A. I reject that completely. I mean, I don't know what more $I$ could have done in this case.
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Q. Just in the same document, if I could ask you to look down to page 6170. There it is said that:
"Despite a number of enquiries with Garda Keogh to establish the source of his alleged work rel ated stress, the member has continually correl ated his i nvol vement as a confidential reporter to his absence 12:52 through alleged work rel ated stress."

Does that marry with what you said to us earlier on?
A. Yes, yes.

333 Q. Again, I wonder can you be shown, please, page 3790. These again are the CMO's notes from May of 2015. These should be read by the Chairman ultimately in conjunction with notes from December 2015, is that correct?
A. Yes.

334 Q. I wonder if you can be shown, please, 3791. Again, did you see those notes in 2015 or did you on7y see them more recently?
A. No. No, I didn't.
Q. And just very briefly, I think in the course of this report itself, there's a reference about five lines down to Xanax and alcohol being taken by Garda Keogh in Ju7y 2015, do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I ask you to go two lines further down. Dr. Oghuvbu recorded:
"Garda Keogh said he was stressed by difficulties with hi s seni or manager."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
[
Q. Does that confirm your view that the member was free to speak to the CMO if he wished to?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is information which on this occasion was before him?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And finally, chief superintendent, can I ask you just to comment on the suggestion that has been put, that you somehow failed to carry out your duties in relation to Garda Keogh. Can I ask you to indicate to the Chairman, did you by any act or omission on your part intend to target, harass or discredit Garda Keogh?
A. I absolutely did not. I completely reject it. I think the evidence shows that any -- at every stage we signposted him to the supports that he needed. Indeed, 12:55 when I went to visit him, you know, I contacted the doctor because I was so concerned about him. He was put in the right direction at every stage, he was provided with welfare support along the way. I
absolutely reject it in the strongest terms. I was concerned about Garda Keogh. We didn't put any barriers, there was no interference in place there. I carried out my duty, like I do for all my members. And I reject absolutely that I targeted and discredited Garda Keogh. I did not.
341 Q. In overall terms, having reviewed the file and dealt with Superintendent Murray, did you find anything in his actions which in your view amounted to targeting or harassing of Garda Keogh?
A. No, I didn't.

MR. MRPH: Thank you.

END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Very good. Now, Mr. McGuinness, have you anything?
MR. MEGU NNESS: Yes.

## CH EF SUPERI NTENDENT LORRA NE WFEATLEY MAS RE-EXAM NED BY MR. MEGUI NESS, AS FOLLOVG:

342 Q. MR. MEGU NNESS: Chief superintendent, yesterday you referred to a document which you read. Could I ask you to look at Volume 41 , page 11797 ? That appears to be headed at the top an instruction sent out by e-mail on 30th May 2018.
A. Yes.

343 Q. It's going to a wide variety of people. If we scroll
down then, it's an instruction to each divisional officer regarding the issuing of an 11.37 certificate, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

344 Q. Then if we go on to the second next page, 11799, that is the instruction. The first sentence reflects what is already in the directive 139/10?
A. Yes.
Q. You are meant to investigate the stress, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

346 Q. The second paragraph requires you to report it upwards in the same manner. Then, the third paragraph provides that the head of the HR can seek the advices of the CMO. In this case, just relating it to what occurred, Superintendent Murray referred it up on the basis of an instruction given by Mr. Mulligan of $H R$, which was conveyed to you to reported it in the way provided by the Code; isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

347 Q. And as part of that, Sergeant Moylan gave evidence on Friday, at page 60 of Day 131, perhaps we will just look at that, that he was instructed to bring it to the attention of Garda Keogh, four different matters as set out in the instruction. I am not sure whether you were 12:58 there for Sergeant Moylan's evidence, but if we go to page 60, starting at the top, it's Sergeant Moylan's report upwards as to what he had done on the 4th May in relation to Garda Keogh. If we see there, if we go
down the text, four lines down from that, it says:
"Garda Keogh was aware that it was referred to the CMD to determine his medical fitness for policing duty.
Garda Keogh has been advi sed to forward all rel evant medi cal reports on or prior to the review date. He i ndi cates that he may have a report with hi mon the day as he is due to see his GP this week. He was al so made aware that these reports will be treated in the strictest confidence. In rel ation to other non-medical issues, Garda Keogh states that he may wi sh to di scuss this with the Chi ef Medical Officer in person."

Now, obviously you were aware from Superintendent Murray's report to you, which complied with your instruction to compile all the necessary documentation, that the referral form was sent to the CMO by Superintendent Murray, filled out by him on the 2nd April, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

348 Q. That contained within it a body of documents to be found at page 6146 to 6160. We don't need to see them but they included the member's own doctor's certificates?
A. Yes, they would have.

349 Q. Relating to work related stress, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, yes.

350 Q. You yourself had been aware from Superintendent Murray's original reports, the other report of the $2 n d$

May, of the member's reference to stress?
A. Yes.
Q. It would appear that Garda Keogh did raise the issue of stress in some way, shape or form with the CMO, isn't that correct, from the report that you have seen there?
A. Yes.

353 Q. Would you expect to see a report issued to you if the member is deemed fit for duty and comes back on duty, from the CMO? would you expect to see such a report?
A. Yes, you would, yeah. Yeah.

354 Q. Now, just in terms of the effect on pay. If Garda Keogh is appearing for duty and as such he performs duty, he gets paid in the normal away?
A. That's right, yeah.
Q. If he is absent from duty through ordinary illness in accordance with the system, if the illnesses clock up to a certain amount, he is then subject to a reduction?
A. That's right, yeah.

356 Q. In terms of the effect of any decision that you made as regard his pay, that would ultimately depend on whether he had been, on the advice of the Chief Medical officer, found to be suffering from work related stress?
A. Well, I wouldn't --

357 Q. You couldn't certify it either under the old system --
A. No.

358 Q. -- or the new system --
A. No.

359 Q. -- unless you had been so advised, is that not correct?
A. Yes.

360 Q. In terms of decision-making, it is clearly a decision assigned to a divisional officer, albeit subject to the outcome of investigations and subject to the proffering of advice, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

361 Q. Mr. Murphy referred you to 139/10. If we just go back to page 3221, there's a reference there to that paragraph:
"A marginal note should be made at Code 11.34(2)."

He put that paragraph to you. But that only deals with where a member is resuming duty after a period of sickness absence and his GP certifies him for restricted or light duties; isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

362 Q. That had no application in this particular case at any stage; isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean, all of Dr. Bartlett's certificates certified absence from work due to work related stress for specified periods and was unconditional then, isn't that direct?
A. Yeah, like I suppose even when Garda Keogh was reviewed
by the CMO the last time, he qualified that at that time he was unfit for duty, but, indeed, if his doctor then deemed him fit for duty, that he wasn't -- that really he would have to reference back to the CMO for his determination.

CHA RMAN But this seems to envisage, as
Mr. McGuinness says, a situation where the GP says he is fit for duty and he returned, it is still subject to the CMO.
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN Isn't that the point, Mr. Murphy? I think Mr. Murphy was just kind of giving a general view of the regulations as a whole, but this particular one does not have any relevance here.
A. No, sorry, what I am saying here is --

CHA RMAN And Dr. Bartlett's certificates are indeed retrospective.

MR. MRPHY: That is agreed, Chairman. As you correctly identified, I made that point generally.
A. Maybe to clarify this, I know what this means because we have this a lot sometimes. A doctor might certify that people can't work nights.
Q. CHAN RMAN Sure.
A. Or they can only work four hours a day.

365 Q. CHAN RMAN of course.
A. I would say, we11, the CMO has to approve that.

366 Q. CHA RMAN of course.
A. You know, if his doctor said he can only do much, the CMO may have a different view.

367 Q
Q. MR. MEGU NESS: In any event, Dr. Bartlett never imposed sort of conditionality or a restriction on duties?
A. No.

MR. MEGI NESS: And insofar as achieving full pay status while suffering from related stress or it being regarded as an injury on duty, that is dependent on a certification by you at the end of the day for pay
purposes?
A. I suppose HRM make the decision that you're in this space, you know what I mean, and --
Q. CHA RMAN U7timately it comes down to an 11.34?
A. Yeah 11.37.

375 Q. CHAN RMAN Absent an 11.34, the pay remains reduced.
A. Correct.

376 Q. CHA RMAK But when the process brings it back, it comes back to the chief superintendent writing an 11. 34 ?
A. 11.37.

377 Q. CHAN RMAN 11.37?
A. 11.37 .

CHA RMAN 11.37, I am sorry, there I go, yes, showing off and I'm wrong.
378 Q. MR. MEGI NNESS: That is your function?
A. I suppose it is relevant, that is the Code, the chief issues an 11.37. There can be times in situations where there is complications with stress and all that, that there might even be -- you know, it has to go through a rigorous process before they finally say, yeah, we're happy enough up here, you know, we need this guy on -- he has been injured on duty, we're satisfied it's connected, issue the 11.37 , it goes into the system and the pay is, you know, sorted.
379 Q. Yes, but obviously from the point of view of timing now, Dr. Bartlett's first certificate relating to work related stress effectively started from the beginning of 2015. I am just anxious for your view on this: If
an investigation had in fact been able to take place either at that period or shortly afterwards and if the CMO had been asked to report on the basis of whether there was work related stress being suffered, is it possible that Garda Keogh's return to full pay might have been achieved at an earlier point in time?
A. I don't think so. The key piece here is the injury, the clinical diagnosis. So the clinical diagnosis is the piece that whole thing turns on.
MR. MLGU NESS: Thank you, chief superintendent.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAN RMAN very good. Thanks very much.
MR. MRPHY: Chairman, can I ask one question? There was a document I mislaid.
CHA RMAN Certainly, sure.

## CH EF SUPERI NTENDENT LORRA NE WFEATLEY WAS

FURTHER- EXAM NED BY MR. MRPHY, AS FOLLOVG:

380 Q. MR. MRPH: I wonder if you can be shown document 9722, please?
CHA RMAN And you did refer to it in fact.
MR. MRPHY: I couldn't identify the reference, I'm sorry.

CHA RMAN Okay, Mr. Murphy, 9722.
381 Q. MR. MRPH: This is a document from Dr. Oghuvbu of the 21st July 2016. I just want to ask you, you have given
evidence about 2016 and your ongoing awareness of what was happening to Garda Keogh. Just looking at paragraph 1, were you made aware by Dr. Oghuvbu at that stage that in his words:
"The nember has now accessed and commenced appropriate inpatient care for a long standing and clini cal position whi ch has been the clinical basis of his current absence."
A. Yes.

382 Q. Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Very good. Thanks very much, chief superintendent, you're finished now. Thank you. A11 right. Very good, 2:10.

## THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED, AS

## FOLLOVG:

383 Q. M. MEGRATH Good afternoon Chairman, the next witness is Inspector Brian Downey, please.
CHAN RMAN Thank you very much.

> I NSPECTOR BRI AN DONXEY, HAV NG BEEN SUDRN, MAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MS. MLGRATH, AS FOLLOVG:

THE WTNESS: Inspector Brian Downey, Balbriggan Garda
station.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much.
MS. MEGRATH Inspector, as you just said, you're currently attached to Balbriggan Garda station, is that right?
A. That's correct, yeah.

385 Q. However, you were previously attached to Garda Human Resource Management, which we have been calling HRM, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. In particular, this is at Garda Headquarters level, you were attached to HRM from June 2014 until January 2017, is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.

387 Q. Now, can I just ask you, we have come across the references to HRM and HRPD, can you te11 the Chairman what the connection is between those two acronyms. Is it the same unit we're talking about?
A. HRPD is the human resource and personal development umbrella section of HRM. It also encapsulates the HR directorate, which is in Navan, and half of the HR functions were transferred over to Navan a couple of years before me taking up duty there and the remaining functions are in HRM in Garda Headquarters. I was attached to HRM in Garda Headquarters.
388 Q. Just to summarise, under HRM you have HRPD and, for example, I think you reference as we11 Garda employee relations office, is that under HRM as well?
A. Yeah, the Garda employee relations office is actually
the office formerly known as C\&A, which I was in charge of, which was conciliation and arbitration.
389 Q. okay. Just one final question, you're really helping us in relation to this, the Garda employee assistance services?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. What's that, inspector?
A. It's the section that deals with pay talks and the representative associations, the Garda Representative Association and the Association of Garda Sergeants and Inspectors, the Garda Superintendents Association and Garda Chief Superintendents Association, and we work with the Department of Justice and Department of Public Expenditure and Reform in relation to conciliation council and, you know, coming out of that, claims going forward and agreed reports, and we manage the agreed reports on behalf of the organisation.
393 Q. Would you say you have got very good experience then with employee relations issues or industrial relations issue really?
A. Yes.
Q. Would that be part of your remit?
A. Yes.

395 Q. Okay. Now, I think that you say also in your statement that while you were attached to C\&A, which you have been calling it, that is the Conciliation and Arbitration office, you would have attended a number of case conferences on behalf of the superintendent in HRM; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
okay. In particular, I would like to ask you about one particular case conference that you attended in respect of Garda Keogh and the minutes of that conference are at page 6165. This is a case conference on 9th December 2015. Do you remember that case conference, inspector?
A. I remember the case conference, yes.

397 Q. The minutes will come up on the screen there, 6165. Now, can I ask you there, in relation to these minutes, looking at them there, do you have any recollection, inspector, as to who might have drawn up these minutes?
A. The sickness absence section were responsible for running the conference, so it was Sickness Absence Section and they're based in, as we call it, the Human Resources directorate section in Navan, under the remit of Ms. Monica Carr.
398 Q. So do you know there, look at the attendees?
A. Yes.

399 Q. Could you identify maybe if any of those attendees might have prepared these minutes? It's just it's not in our disclosure documents?
A. Em, I think it was Fiona O'Brien, who works in the

Смо's office, because the conference was run by the СМо but it's the sickness Absence Section that would request a conference.
400 Q.
okay. Just looking at the attendees, taking that first, we have the CMO, Dr. Oghuvbu, is that right?
A. Yes.

401 Q. He's attached to Occupational Health, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.

402 Q. And then you have Superintendent Patrick Murray, and he would come under the local management branch, is that right?
A. Correct.

403 Q. You have superintendent Declan Mulcahy, and he was there in his capacity of his involvement in the Galway investigation, is that right?
A. Correct.

404 Q. Okay. You have HEO Clare Bryan, is it?
A. That's correct.
Q. She is HRPD, is that right?
A. Yes. She is from the Sickness Absence Section in

Navan.
406 Q. Okay. Yourself and then you have the employee assistance officer, Mick Quinn and then as you say, you have Fiona o'brien, again, she is occupational health effectively?
A. She is occupational health, so I would imagine she would have drafted up the minutes.

407 Q. I just want to ask you a couple of questions about the minute. If we look at the first column there, HRPD:
"Observations and actions.

Wth regard to vol ume of sickness absence, menber is going on to TRR rate of pay in each absence. "

Could you tell the Chairman your recollection of the discussion surrounding that particular issue?
A. My understanding is that this conference was called and the whole thrust of the conference was actually the welfare of the individual member, Garda Nicholas Keogh, and the fact that he was now gone on TRR, which is temporary rehabilitation rate of pay or formerly pension rate of pay, which kicks in after a person has exhausted their sickness absence pay, the ordinary
illness sickness absence, which is 92 days, and followed by further 13 weeks on half pay, and then the TRR kicks in under the revised sickness regulations that were introduced by the Department of Public Expenditure and Reform in 2014. So that's what TRR is. 14:18
408 Q. Okay. Now, it says that the member is going on to TRR rate of pay in each absence. And I think in your statement, just to read out what you said in your statement:
"The issues presented at the case conference rel ated to the number of sick days taken by Garda Ni chol as Keogh and the fact that he was on temporary rehabilitation rate (TRR) of pay each time he goes sick."

Now, there was an issue which arose as to whether therefore the recording of his pay came up as an issue at this case conference or how he was being recorded as being out sick. Is it your recollection that that issue came up there that's recorded in the first column? Are you happy that that was discussed and the issue of ordinary illness --
A. I actually can't recall it coming up in relation to the discussion, as to how his pay was captured. I do know from the introduction of the Sickness Absence regulations in March 2014, the Garda Síochána were impacted more than most other agencies, in that we didn't have a four year look back when the regulations came in, which meant that anybody who had previous sickness absence could immediately have gone on TRR rate of pay if they had already exhausted the sickness pay at full pay and half pay within the four year look back. Most other departments that were subject to the regulations or agencies subject to the regulations
already had a four year look back, so their employees were used to it. It was a whole new venture for An Garda Síochána, we didn't have it before.

409 Q. But I think just in relation to your statement, you say that:

[^0]Again just to confirm clearly to the Chairman what was being discussed here, was it being discussed that he was on a reduced rate of pay and was there a reference to ordinary illness in that regard?
A. well, I knew he was on a reduced rate of pay and it was 14:20 discussed that he was on TRR.

410 Q. Okay.
A. But as to how it was captured locally, I don't know.

411 Q. CHA RMAN or as to what it was called in the SAMS.
A. Yeah, it would be just ordinary illness.

412 Q. CHAD RMAN Does that mean it had to be ordinary illness -- sorry, everything was ordinary illness unless it wasn't?
A. Yeah.

413 Q. CHA RMAN Isn't that right?
A. That's right.

414 Q. CHA RNAN Everything is ordinary?
A. Everything is ordinary illness.

415 Q. CHA RMAN Unless it isn't?
A. Yes.

416 Q. CHAD RMAN so it would have been assumed that it was ordinary illness in SAMS, is that right?
A. Correct, Chairman.

CHA RMAN Right, okay.
417 Q. M. MEGRATH Because otherwise TRR doesn't even come 14:20 into the picture if someone is on injury and duty or occupational injury; is that right?
A. Yes.

418 Q. okay. Now, can I ask you then in relation to the next
column, "occupational health observations and actions"?
A. Yes.

419 Q. As we said there, occupational health, the members attending from Occupational Health was the CMO and Ms. Fiona O'Brien, is that right?
A. That's correct.

420 Q. Can we take it that the observations in this column, if we can stay on that page, sorry, Mr. Kavanagh, that the observations in this column come from those individuals, is that right?
A. Correct.

421 Q. The Chairman has seen this before:
"The member's condition appears to have taken a turn for the worst, whi ch was not apparent at the time of the CMO s last revi ew of hi mon 19th May 2015. It is necessary that the member engage with support services offered to him The member should be booked into a treat ment facility to hel p himrehabilitate. "

Again, only to the best of your recollection, are those comments coming from Dr. Oghuvbu at that meeting, do you know?
A. As far as I can remember, yes.

422 Q. Okay. And again:
"An early appoi nt ment for revi ew at the OHS to arranged and communi cated to HRPD today."

I think that appointment is at the bottom there, 18th December 2015. Again, are you happy from your recollection, did that comment come from the CMO at the meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. Finally, it says:
"Management to inform Garda Keogh of his early appoi nt ment for revi ew at the OHS, i mpress the i mportance to the member of keeping his revi ew appoi nt ment and fully engage with the necessary processes to prioritise his recovery and the ai mof ret ai ni ng his empl oyment."

Again, was that Dr. Oghuvbu there, to your
A. That he was informed by local management?
Q. That management were to inform him.
A. I'm not too sure now, I think it might have been Superintendent Murray who said that we would have inform the member of his appointment. But he would have been written directly by the Occupational Health Service as well. The answer is that I'm not too sure. recollection?
okay. As you say, the theme, you may not have used the word theme but I am taking it from what you said at the 14:23 outset that the theme of this was a support type meeting?
A. Correct.
Q. This is reflected there in that second column, is that
right?
A. Yes.

427 Q. Now, just in the third column there, just the reference to local management observations and actions, when we went through the attendees, the only attendee for local management was Superintendent Patrick Murray, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. If we just look at the first paragraph:
"Management rel aying that there are serious concerns over the member's obvi ous misuse of al cohol which is adversel y affecting his ability to do his job and his physical presentation. Hi gh level of short term si ckness/ absences on record."

Now, is it your recollection and again to the best of your recollection, is that something that was said by Superintendent Murray at the meeting?
A. Yes.

429 Q. Okay. Now, we have an EAS officer observation and again, I would take this is the welfare officer, Garda Quinn, is that right?
A. That's correct.

430 Q. "The member needs to deci de himself that he needs hel p 14:24 and make a concerted effort to access service to address the issue."

Is that right?
A. That's correct.

431 Q. What I want to ask you about is the last paragraph here. It says:
"Management suggesting in the medi umto long-term support would be gi ven for a transfer out of Athl one in vi ew of the events that had transpired there."

Now, can I ask you just -- well, I will continue it actually and then I will ask you some questions about the whole paragraph.
"In view of the events that had transpired there, if it is consi dered to be beneficial for the nember's sustai ned well being and effectiveness after he would have come through an appropriate treatment programme. "

Can I ask you about this, it says "management suggesting" so again, we may take it from the attendees it appears to be Superintendent Patrick Murray, would that be in accordance with your recollection
A. Yes.

432 Q. Okay.
A. My role in that would be one of the sections under HRM and I was representing the chief superintendent, who was absent at the time, at this meeting, was we were responsible for transfer section. So we could facilitate the transfer subject to management requesting it, the doctor recommending it and also that
the member himself agreed to it. We would never transfer somebody without the agreement of the member. But it was discussed, and I remember distinctly that Dr. Oghuvbu had said at this particular juncture, at this particular point in time he wasn't ready to make that determination, that it was in the best interests of the member to transfer and he would do so at a later point because he was going to meet him shortly. And once that happened, I had no further action with regards to transferring him or arranging a transfer or initiating that process.

433 Q. We11, what I really would like you to clarify, inspector, is, it's certainly from the note suggests that it was local management who brought up the issue of a transfer out of out Athlone, and it's not in any other column. Can you confirm your recollection, whether or not the issue of transfer was brought up by Superintendent Murray at that meeting?
A. I can confirm that the issue of transfer was brought up, but I cannot remember whether Superintendent Murray 14:26 brought it up or somebody else.

434 Q. Okay. In that regard, can I just ask you to look at 3097. Now, I will come back -- I just want to stay on this issue and I will come back to your statement, but if you can just look at page 3097, please. This is the 14:26 statement that Superintendent Patrick Murray gave to the Tribunal investigators. If you just go down, please, Mr. Kavanagh, to line 1366 , please. Now this is a discussion about this conference and if you see
there, starting with the word "regarding"?
A. Yeah.
Q. "Regarding a transfer out of Athl one at the 9th December conference, there was a representation from HRM in attendance and afterwards the inspector of HRM.."

That's yourself?
A. Yeah.
Q.
"...e- mailed me and others with his account of what happened at the meeting, whi ch referred to a transfer but have I no influence over transfers in any shape or form"

Now, that could be in plain English a reference to the fact that the issue of transfer came up from you at the meeting. It says:
"There was a representation from HRM in attendance."

Can you just confirm whether or not that's correct?
A. Yeah, I was the representative from HRM, but I didn't bring up the area of transfer. I attended the meeting to see what could be facilitated and in a general discussion the issue of transfer came up. I can't But, as I said earlier on, I distinctly remember Dr. Oghuvbu said I'm not prepared to push that at this time, $I$ am actually due to see the member shortly.

437 Q. Now, when Chief Superintendent Murray was giving his evidence to the Tribunal on Day 125 , at page 94 , he was asked whether the suggestion of a transfer came from local management and he said no. Do you have any view on that or can you assist the chairman whether that is or is not the case?
A. Chair, I can't recall exactly who said it.
A. It came up in the discussion. It could have been from HRPD or the Sickness Absence Section, it could have been from the medical staff. I can't recall who brought it up but I do remember it coming up.
439 Q. M. MEGRATH Okay. Can I take you back then to page 11746 of the brief, which is the second page of your statement to the Tribuna1. Now, you see there in the second line:
"The issues presented at the case conference..."

I have already opened this one.
"...rel ated to the number of sick days taken by Garda Ni chol as Keogh and the fact that he was on temporary rehabilitation (TRR) of pay each time he goes sick."

You have given your evidence to the Chairman on that?
A. Yes.

440 Q. "At the meeting a decision was made for Dr. Oghuvbu to liaise directly with the member's general practitioner


447 Q. How were you aware that transfer was going to be an
issue at this meeting?
A. I was informed, I think I was informed that morning that, you know, there was going to be an issue in relation to transfer, there's a case conference on and can you go to the meeting.
A. Probably from the divisional office, which would be the chief's office in HRM. But I can't recall who it was.
449 Q. okay. So this was flagged as an issue before the conference?
A. Yes.

450 Q. To you, in any event?
A. Yes. That's the reason why I was there.

451 Q. Okay. But as you say, your recollection is unclear as to --
A. Who requested it at the conference, no.

452 Q. okay.
A. Or who mentioned it. But I do recall that it was mentioned. There's no other reason for my section to be there. Sickness Absence Section and occupational health are the lead sections in relation to sickness absence. It wouldn't our remit to get involved in sickness absence per se, unless there is a special reason or whatever, maybe the GRA making representations or AGSI or something like that, that's when we would involved.

453 Q. Then you record at page 11747, which was an exhibit attached to your statement, this is the e-mail I think that you circulated to HRM administration --
A. Yes.

454 Q. -- on the day at 12:11, and you copied it to Chief Superintendent Anthony McLough1in, Superintendent Murray, you have Clare Bryan, you have Detective Superintendent Mulcahy and Sinéad power?
A. Yes.
where is Sinéad Power attached to?
A. There's only two inspectors at that time in HRM, Sinéad power and myself. Sinéad power was in charge of solely on transfers and also competitions, but Sinéad wasn't available to go and I attended on behalf of superintendent. We were both controlled by the superintendent.
456 Q. Can I just ask there, it very much reflects what you have in your statement, but can I ask you about the last sentence there, you say in the e-mail to these individuals:
"It may be the case that a transfer to another di visi on or district may be of assistance to the member but this will be looked at agai $n$ following the next case conf er ence. "

Can you tell me who made that decision at the meeting, do you remember?
A. I remember Dr. Oghuvbu, it came up should a transfer be considered and I remember Dr. Oghuvbu said that he wasn't prepared to actually put his name to that at that time. In other words, something similar to that,
because he was due to meet the member shortly or he would be liaising with the member's GP and, yes, that had to be determined. Sp it was going to be put back for another case conference and I didn't go to the next case conference. present. Can I ask you just to confirm to the Chairman, was there any reference to the word stress or work related stress or any discussion around that at the conference to your recollection?
A. I can't recall that coming up.

461 Q. okay. It's not recorded in your e-mail, isn't that right?
A. No.

462 Q. Now can I ask you, just a final issue I would ask you to deal with, Inspector Downey, is that the case conference was in December 2015?
A. Yeah.

463 Q. Then the next case conference was in July 2016, but in the month before that, June 2016, you are involved in a series of e-mails in relation to this issue with work related stress and I just want to confirm that you are the Brian Downey that's on these e-mails?
A. That's me, yeah.

464 Q. okay. These are been opened to the Chairman before Christmas certainly, but if $I$ can ask if we can start at 9695, and we are going to work up the pages, as it were, just to follow the chronology?
A. Okay.
Q. There is an e-mail there 5th June 2016 at -- sorry, down at the bottom, it's 10:36. So this is 9695, if we 14:34 go to the bottom there, 10:36. And this is an e-mail from Anthony McLough1in and it's to Ms. Carr. Sorry, Mr. Kavanagh, if you just go back up a little bit, you're one of the recipients, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

466 Q. It's on the subject of work related stress. And on the next page we see a discussion, and again the Chairman has seen that, $I$ don't need to read it out, but it is a discussion of the definitions of injury on duty and
occupational injury, and the manner in which I suppose work related stress is categorised and particularly, if you go down, where he says:
"I posed this question, the issue of reduced pay."

And he says:
"These are sensitive cases."

Etcetera. Okay?
A. Yeah.

467 Q. So have you seen that e-mail in the documentation?
A. Yes.

468 Q. As I say, you are one of the recipients of this and you reply. So again, Mr. Kavanagh, if we can work up through the pages, back to 9695, you reply the next day, in the afternoon, do you recall this particular e-mail?
A. Yes.

469 Q. To the chief superintendent. You say:
"The issue of work rel ated stress was di scussed as part of the inj ury on duty working group but it was deci ded not to include it as it was too compl icated. That

sai d, it was recogni sed that work rel ated stress was an i ssue in today's soci ety and that it needed to be deal t with as a separate matter. Frommy recollection of the di scussi ons, the causal ity of the work rel ated stress
was the crux of the issue."

Can you tell the Chairman a little bit about this working group, we haven't really gotten to that part of the evidence in the Tribunal yet.
A. Okay, there was a working group established under the CMO at the time, Dr. Donal Collins. He was chairing that group. So Dr. Oghuvbu was an occupational health physician in the Occupational Health section at that time, he wasn't the CMO. I was asked to go over to see 14:36 what we could do in terms of progressing this, because it was seeking it amend Code 11.37, to introduce an occupational health injury scheme. The background to that was that An Garda Síochána had ordinary illness and injury on duty, we didn't have an occupational injury scheme. And under the regulations in 2014, the regulations came out and reduced the amount of sick leave from 183 days to 92 for ordinary illness and another six months on half pay and then TRR. And that was pre the regulations coming out. when the regulations came out, they actually specified that these regulations do not interfere or prejudice any previous occupational injury scheme. So we didn't have one. And the other reason was that the injury on duty tag had to be protected because when an event happens everybody runs away and policemen and women run towards it. So we have to, we put ourselves in harm's way and that is what the injury on duty scheme is for.

470 Q. okay.
A. But there are other issues. If you slip or trip or fall off your chair in your office or go down the stairs, that's typically occupational injury and it should be categorised as that. So the group met to actually put in place and amend Code 11.37. That's what the group was for. We were there, myself and a sergeant with me, who was working under my direction, to try and bring some experience with regards to the Code, the Garda Code in relation to 11.37 , because one of our remits was to give advice on the Garda Code and how it should be interpreted and if there was any conflict in relation to understanding the Code, we would go back to agreed reports that would have been the foundation for the Garda Code to be written in the first place. So that's why we were there.

471 Q. Okay, so that's why you were there. Just looking at your e-mail there, you say:
"The issue of work rel ated stress was di scussed as part of the i nj ury on duty working group."

Can you assist the Chairman with the rest of the sentence:
"But it was deci ded not to incl ude it as it was too compl i cat ed. "
A. Yeah, we couldn't get agreement with regards to the work related stress. It was all 1inked to causality. what was the cause of the work related stress? And
there was definitely an understanding that pre 2014 we had 183 days to investigate the causality of work related stress. This is now reduced to 92 days, which is only 13 weeks, for the chief to make a determination, to assign an inspector to investigate it, to then go to the CMO and to have it all boxed off in 92 days, was quite a task in itself.

So it was sort of looked at, we're still going to have the problem of causality, whereas under the old system we had 183 days to make that assessment. So with our time reduced, it was just going to be more difficult. But we still couldn't get over the problem of what was the cause of the work related stress. And it was highlighted at that meeting and agreed by everybody that only the office of the CMO could make that determination.
472 Q. You say only the office of the CMO could make that determination. we have been hearing from chief Superintendent wheatley yesterday and today in relation 14:40 to the relationship between a certificate from the chief superintendent and the role of the CMO in that regard?
A. Yes.

473 Q. But was it part of the injury on duty working group, that you clearly saw it as CMO function?
A. No. we're amending the 11.37. At the moment, currently, because that amendment didn't carry even though we had agreement with the GRA and AGSI in
principle, but I did my part and submitted it up and I don't know where it went after that. Just to be clear, the Code has not been changed, the code is as we have seen on documents?
A. It is as we see it, 11.37 hasn't been changed. This is 14:40 a draft document we're talking about, that is not policy, it has never been signed off by executive of the organisation and the working group was to draft the draft and present it up towards executive director HRPD, which was John Barrett at the time, and after that, my role was finished, once that was done.
Q. CHA RMAN Yes.
A. But the idea of introducing the amendment to the code was introducing an occupational injury scheme and an injury on duty scheme. It was to separate the two.
476 Q. CHA RMAN Two separate schemes?
A. Yes.

477 Q. CHA RMAN One for falling off chair if I was at work?
A. Yes.

478 Q. CHA RMAN And the other for getting shot if I was on duty?
A. Yes.

479 Q. MG. MEGRATH Just back at your e-mail there:
"Thi s said, it was recogni sed that work rel ated stress was an issue in today's soci ety and that it needed to be dealt with as a separate matter."
A. Yes.

480 Q. What did you mean by that?
A. Well, I think there was pulls and there was a difference of opinion, like any working group, you know, there was discussions between Sickness Absence Section, who were raising the issue of, you know, they're responsible, the HRPD in Navan were responsible 14:41 for processing pay as well. So there was an issue of, how do we actually recoup pay if we put them on halfway after three months, if we don't do that, what are the knock on effects for the organisation. So this working group wasn't discussing any specific case, it was just looking at the policy. But there was still a recognition that work related stress is a problem, which you probably couldn't find a more stressful environment that front line policing at times, you know. So there had to be a recognition that we had to allow for that. But it was thought that it was best looked at as a different measure at that time, because it was very complicated, complex. Notwithstanding the fact that the 92 days was a problem for us, trying to assess it in 92 days.
481 Q. So when you say a separate matter, do you mean outside the injury on duty working group process?
A. We11, I did propose a solution, that it could be looked at as occupational injury because it was working stress. But that's my proposal. Other people had different ideas and different challenges that they had to face in their section. I think it's in an e-mail I sent up further in relation to that.
482 Q. Okay. We will go through those e-mails in a moment.

But when you say that it needed to be dealt with as a separate matter, as you are saying, in the occupational injury context, I think that hasn't happened to date, is that right?
A. It hasn't happened, no.

# "However, the fact that causality is an issue presents 

 an opportunity to deal with each case on a case-by-case basis based on medi cal assessment."These are your own views, I think, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

484 Q. "If a GP's di agnosis of work rel ated stress is supported by the CMD, then I see no issue with
categorising the ill ness as occupational injury or i nj ury ill ness on duty. This places the matter firmy in the hands of redi cal professional and al so allows AGS to categorise work rel ated stress with the causality medically assessed as work rel ated, as occupational injury or injury on duty."

So again, you're expressing a very clear position there that it is a combination of a GP and the CMO who would categorise an injury as work related stress or occupational?
A. Yes.

485 Q. Is that right?
A. They the competent authorities to actually make that
determination. Garda management as such, we do not have that medical expertise and we shouldn't be involved in actually saying that somebody is suffering from work related stress because we can't determine the causality or create the link medically.

Can I just ask you, inspector, and I fully accept that at the case conference in December you say you were coming in on a very specific issue, but when you were dealing with this issue in June, did you know or have any recollection of, well, this issue is very similar to what is happening in the Garda Keogh scenario, where there are medical certificates from a GP certifying him as out with work related stress? Did you know any of that? Did that trigger any recollection or bell for you?
A. Well, when I was working in the group that was there, I didn't know, you know -- I can't even recall that -- I remember going to the case conference it was Garda Keogh, but, you know, there were a number of people who had other issues, work related stress and stuff like that. That wasn't the reason $I$ was there. The reason I was there was to actually amend policy and to advise on the Garda Code and what would have to happen to actually amend that Code. It was specific to any specific case.
487 Q. Did you know, in the e-mail there that I opened first of a11, the one at 10:36 the previous day, Chief Superintendent McLough1in is referring to Garda $X$ and Garda $Y$ and $I$ think Garda $Y$ is Garda Keogh, did you
know that?
A. No.
Q. Did you relate this e-mail interaction to any specific Garda?
A. I think that was because at that time, I remember that protected disclosure legislation was coming on the track as we11, so we had that, and Chief Superintendent Tony McLoughlin was named as the protected disclosures manager, but that was complicated, it had to be confidential. So we would never know who was making a protected disclosure or whether this case was a protected disclosure. It's only today that I realise you can link the two, but that's with hindsight, the benefit of hindsight. But at that time, no, I didn't know who they were.
489 Q. Your e-mail is there is on the 5th June at 14:05. If we just go back to the previous page there, Mr. Kavanagh. That's your e-mail at 14:05 to Tony McLough1in and then there is the response by the chief superintendent to you and he copies Mr. Barrett?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, it's all work related stress, so everyone is clear on what they are talking about?
A. Yes.

491 Q. He says:
"Thanks, Brian."

And he says:
"In my absence, could you bring thi s forward and get it di scussed with JB, Mbnica, CMD, particul arly in
rel ation to two cases. These cannot wait for my return for a decision. Sergeant Mal one in EAS will provi de the detail requi red."

So at that stage did you know that this was a Garda Keogh issue?
A. I actually can't recall, you know. For me, I was on the sickness absence working group to try and address this policy issue and that was my focus. I wasn't particularly linking it to any particular individual at the time.
492 Q. He goes on to say Kay:
"I don't thi nk there is any di spute over the reasons for absence from work."

Again, that seems to be case specific that he is talking about. We will deal with this e-mail with the chief superintendent, but from yourself, again, it seems to be case specific in the answer he is giving down to you.
A. I just can't recall it.

493 Q. Okay. He says:
"But it is a bi g leap to categorise themas injury on duty."

I think that's in accordance with your previous e-mail; is that right?
A. That's correct.

494 Q. And your evidence.

> "There should be another category such as occupational i nj ury, whi ch is in my vi ew safer."

He says:
"The key poi nt here is that they should not be on reduced pay until it is proven that there wasn't a causal Iink bet ween reason for absence and work rel at ed stress."

Now, are you in agreement with that sentiment there that he has just expressed?
A. I think the challenge for our organisation was the impact that the sickness regulations had on us in reducing that time to actually make that determination. This was a government policy. We had to comply. And it placed an onus, responsibility on us to try and get this fast tracked and this is where the difficulty arose for the organisation.
CHA RMAN Yes.
495 Q. MS. MEGRATH And he says:
"A change of mindset requi red here."

And he is telling you this. Are you in agreement with that?
A. I think he was concerned, you know, and in hindsight again, he was quite correct, in terms of the challenges 14:48 that he foresaw coming down the line. At that particular time, you know, I certainly brought his views back to the group. But, as I said, I wasn't chairing that group, the СМО was, and there were other people around that table that had different views. I certainly expressed the views, but I wasn't going to win everybody over.
496 Q. He tells you that it's urgent and he is concerned that the issue will appear in the media or the Dáil and it would be better if we are ahead of the game. Now, as 19:49 you confirmed to the Chairman, changes were not made and have not been made?
A. No.

497 Q. okay. You then reply the following day, well, you don't necessarily reply directly to him, but you send an e-mail the following day attaching that e-mail?
A. Okay.

498 Q. This is the 6th June 2016 at 11:03. This is your e-mail to Mr. Barrett, Donal Collins, he is the head of the working group there that you mentioned, is that right?
A. That's correct yeah.

499 Q. And Ms. Carr. And you copy a number of people there. At that stage AC Ó Cualáin, Margaret Nugent, Clare

Malone, HRPD executive director and Tony McLoughlin?
A. Correct.
Q. Again, you're attaching the e-mail from Chief Superintendent McLough1in, which we've just looked at,
and you are saying:
"Having di scussed this issue with Chi ef Superintendent MELoughl in, there is an opportunity to category work rel ated stress under the proposed occupational injury scheme. "

And again, this is just repeating effectively the evidence that you have given to the Chairman?
A. Yes.

501 Q. Isn't that right?
A. Yes.

502 Q. You're expressing the view that if you do that, effectively it's a breathing space to carry out the enquiry --
A. Yes.

503 Q. -- as to causality. Okay. You say:
"I am of the view that the definition under the proposed amendment to the Garda Code 11.37(1) allows for the categorisation of work rel ated stress as occupational injury and the proposed definition states as follows."

You outline it there:
"Occupational injury illness is an injury illness; 1. That arises fromany accident or inci dent that occurs in the workplace as a result of which any person carrying out any work suffers an injury or illness."

So, you were satisfied that work related stress could come under that scheme?
A. That was my opinion.

504 Q. Okay. Now, can I ask you, it is said there:
"The attached docurent is the document agreed at the inj ury on duty working group on 24th May 2016."

Now, we have a copy of that document, you have kindly provided it to us, it's at a different place in the brief, just so that the Chairman can see the document you are talking about in your evidence, and it's at 15740. As you see there, it is marked draft?
A. Yes.

505 Q. So, as you said, that's the document that had been circulating?
A. Yes.

506 Q. okay. We mentioned there 1(1), which is the definition that you felt could capture work related stress. If you look at (a) there, it is talking about a full report of the circumstances by the member. I think that's a summary of that provision effectively, is that right?
A. Yes.

507 Q. At (c), if you look at (c):
"Wen consi dering the appropriateness or ot herwi se as to whether to issue a certificate (appendix A) the di visi onal officer shall conduct preliminary enquiries into the matter and at his her discretion may appoint a member not bel ow inspector rank to investi gate the natter."

Can we look at the certificate A, I'm not sure if the Chairman has seen these before, these are the certificates, it's at 15744 there, it's draft one you're talking about, 15744.
A. Yes.

508 Q. The first one is the one we're concerned about, if you just go back there a little bit please, Mr. Kavanagh. That says:
"Occupational injury/ill ness."

This is the certificate that it was envisaged would be filled out by the chief superintendent.
A. Chief superintendent, correct.

509 Q. Okay. So that's what they effectively look like. As you say, that has remained the position, in the sense that it remains in draft form. Is it still under discussion, to your knowledge, or have you moved on?
A. I have moved on from that section so...

510 Q. Maybe Ms. Carr may be help us?
A. She may be able to clarify.

511 Q. Going back to that e-mail of 9694 , that is the e-mail of the 6th June, your e-mail there that you sent at 11:03, there were a number of subsequent e-mails after that to which you were the recipient, but I don't think you -- we don't have an e-mail where you were coming back yourself on the issues. I think you just effectively were recipient to several e-mails on the issue subsequently, is that right?
A. Some other people came back with different views.
A. Again, this is still not -- this is still a draft policy document we're still talking about.
513 Q. okay. And for example, the following day, on the 7th June at 3:00pm, at 9693, which is just the previous page, Mr. Kavanagh, you will see, and again Ms. Carr can deal with this, she is addressing the e-mail to Mr. Barrett, yourself, Fiona Broderick. Am I right that Ms. Broderick is in the Commissioner's office?
A. Yes, she was the Commissioner's clerk.

514 Q. okay. It says:
" Good afternoon, J ohn.

Further to Brian's e-mail..."

And there is a discussion there of the issue effectively of the categorisation issues and the
challenges facing the organisation in that regard, is that right?
A. Yes.

515 Q. Again, Ms. Carr is probably the person who should deal with that and the subsequent e-mails and they can be opened with her tomorrow, but you were marked as a recipient but you don't seem to have got back involved in the fray, as it were. There is no further e-mails with views from yourself, is that right?
A. That's correct.

516 Q. I think what subsequently happened and was discussed in those later e-mails was an issue of placing somebody, particularly in the context of protected disclosures and work related stress, placing them on administrative 1eave. Did you have any knowledge of that?
A. No.

517 Q. Or can you assist in relation to that?
A. No.

518 Q. Okay. Inspector, could you answer any questions please?
A. Okay.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

I NSPECTOR BRI AN DONKEY WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MS.

519 Q. MS. MLLI GAN Good afternoon, inspector, I have one very brief question.

CHA RMAN Yes, Ms. Mulligan.
MS. MLLI GAN Subject to there being no issue with any other party that wants to go ahead.
CHA RMAN No, I think it is probably better if you go. You appear for inspector Downey, is that right, Mr. McGuinness, but if anything arises, then liberty to apply, Ms. Mulligan. So we will carry on this way.
520 Q. MS. MLLI GAN Thank you, Chairman. Just one question in relation to page 3254 , inspector. It's just in relation to the question of the application for sickness. Just because you have obviously done quite a significant amount of work on this. Can you confirm to the Chairman who can make an application for work related stress to be -- an application for work related stress? If you don't know the answer that's fine, I just thought I would ask.
A. If a member reports sick with a work related stress aspect to it, it's my understanding that that's always reported to the CMO by Garda management.
521 Q. Thank you very much. No further questions.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thanks. Hold on, yes, Mr. McGuinness.
MR. DONAL MEGI NNESS: No questions, Chairman.
CHA RMAN No questions. Nobody has any questions. Thanks very much, inspector. Thank you for your assistance.
M. MEGRATH Thank you inspector.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MS. MEGRATH Chairman, the next witness is Garda Michael Quinn, please.

CHAI RMAN Thanks very much.

## GARDA M CHAEL QU NN HAM NG BEEN SVORN, WAS DI RECTLY EXAM NED BY COUNSEL, AS FOLLOVG:

THE WTNESS: Garda Michael Quinn.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much, Garda Quinn.
522 Q. MG. MEGATH Chairman, the statement of Garda Quinn is at page 3642 of the brief. Good afternoon, Garda Quinn.
A. Good afternoon.

523 Q. Now, Garda Quinn, just in relation to the section of the Gardaí that you're attached to, you say that you are a Garda employee assistance officer?
A. That's right.

524 Q. Is that effectively placing you under the umbrella there that we talked about with Inspector Downey, employee relations section of HRM?
A. Yeah, that has changed. The umbrella we have been under has changed on a number of occasions. Up until about, I couldn't say exactly, maybe five or six years ago, believe it or not, we were under Internal Affairs.

525 Q. CHA RMAN okay.
A. So, I could be going to see somebody who could be
suspended or being disciplined and they'd say, who is your chief and I'd say chief so and so, and he would say, well this is the chief who suspended me. So they changed it from one side of the house to the other side.

526 Q
CHA RMAN EAS.
A. Yeah.

527 Q. CHA RMAN Is that the present one?
A. Well, no, we are the EAS, Employee Assistance Service, but we are under HR, as distinct from IA, which is Internal Affairs. They changed a number of years ago. It is confusing.
528 Q. CHA RMAN To follow my pedantry for the initials, is it HRM or HR?
A. We11, I saw it referred to HRPD one of the days recently, it used to be HRM, before that it was B branch. when I worked there it was B branch. I think when somebody else changes the name --
529 Q. CHA RMAN So we can just call it HR, if you like, is that right?
A. Yes.

530 Q. CHA RMAN That's the safer way, HR, and under HR, one of the division sections is EAS?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAK okay.
531 Q. MS. MEGRATH You say there that a number of years ago you were initially under Internal Affairs, it is now HR, we will be talking in a moment specifically about when you came on line with Garda Keogh in 2015. In

2015 do you remember was it under IA?
A. No, it's under current side, the non-IA HR.

532 Q. Now, I think you have been working as a welfare officer since 8th December 2003 in EAS, is that right?
A. Yeah, that's correct. You say it's a confidential service, is that like a doctor patient relationship?
A. Yeah.

535 Q. I want to understand now in a moment what you can talk about to your superiors, what you can report. Can you just explain the confidentiality aspect of it to the Chairman?
A. Well, in order for me to build a bond with the person who may be deciding whether to deal with me or not, it is confidential. Anything, we'11 say -- a number of years ago there was a divisional employee welfare committee meeting and at the meeting a particular chief asked me was I dealing with a particular guard and I told him that $I$ couldn't even tell him that, whether he was or he wasn't. He wasn't happy with my answer, so
he checked with my then supervisor in the middle of the meeting. So it's that confidential. Now there are exceptions, you know, if somebody tells me they have committed a crime, or are about to commit a crime, self harm --

536 Q. CHAL RMAN Urgency, self harm?
A. Or consent.

537 Q. CHA RMAN A threat to life, something like that?
A. Yeah.

538 Q. CHA RMAN The obvious ones?
A. Yeah, they're kind of standard, but they apply to us as well. So if somebody says to me well -- if a guard says to me, well, I stabbed somebody or I hit somebody or whatever, I have to kind of put my Garda hat back on again.
ME. MEGRATH Okay. we will come to that, we will drill down a little bit into that in a moment, about the contact you have with the members and what they tell you and what you can report. Can I just ask you first of all, who is effectively your superior officer? who do you report to?
A. Sergeant Clare Malone and then above that is superintendent Della Murray.
Q. Della Murray, okay. I think you update your senior officer, and we are talking generally at the moment, you update your senior with regard to the progress of a member or the contacts you have with a member, is that right?
A. Em, normally it's only if there's something different
than the norm, you know. If the person is bad or distressed or $I$ can't get them in their normal course of events, that kind of stuff, $I$ might give a ring and say -- we have what we call technically supervision, where my sergeant would look at my client sheet, we have a client sheet, I would take a few notes each day or each time $I$ contact the member, if I get them, and say I got them or I didn't get them. So it should be maybe once every six months, my sergeant will look and have a quick read through it and say, we11, you need to 15:02 contact him because he hasn't been in contact for six months, something like that.
541 Q. Again just generally, we talked about there when would you ever disclose the nature of a contact or something a member has said and you said there, for example, where there was a reference to a crime being committed?
A. Yes.

542 Q. Right.
A. Yes.

543 Q. Would there be again exceptions where somebody
expressed a serious illness or suicidal ideation, can you then escalate that up or disclose it?
A. Well, I would normally discuss it with the sergeant or the superintendent. Not outside that remit.
544 Q. okay. What about work related issues then?
A. Well, in the course of -- to the sergeant and superintendent, I would and could discuss anything really. Outside that, not really. Maybe the CMO at an absolute exception. Apart from that, I wouldn't with
anybody.
545 Q. okay. Now, just then going specifically to dealing with Garda Keogh. I think your involvement with him commenced on the 21st Apri1 2015, is that right?
A. As a long-term -- well, we didn't know it was long-term 15:03 then. I had on occasions spoke with him before. My colleague who covered -- I don't cover Athlone, my colleague who covered Ath1one used to deal with him in some capacity, I am not exactly sure. So when she was off and Nick had a problem, if you ring her number you got my number, so I would talk to him then. So there had been a low leve1, a very low level of contact, but I had spoken to him before.
546 Q. So you knew of him?
A. I knew of him, yeah.

547 Q. But in the sense of being formally put in as his welfare officer?
A. No, no, not until that, that was the day.

548 Q. That was the 21st April?
A. We11, it is actually the 22nd or 23 rd, I just clarified 15:04 with my sergeant because he wasn't technically in my area. But yeah, the first contact.

549 Q. So he wasn't in your area. So was this a little bit unusual, that you were asked to deal with Garda Keogh?
A. Not really, no. Like, people have a choice. If somebody had served with me and they didn't like me or they didn't think they could get on with me, they could ring the sergeant and say, well, I know Mick a long time, we didn't get on, or vice versa.

550
Q. Okay.
A. There is that. You know, sometimes females might want to deal with a female, that's okay too, it's not too unusual, not too unusual.
551 Q. Now, I think you say in your statement that all of this came about because you got a phone call from a colleague of yours, Garda Morgan Landy, I think he works with you in EAS, is that right?
A. That's right.

552 Q. He told you that he had been contacted by Detective Superintendent Declan Mulcahy, is that right?
A. That's right, yeah.

553 Q. As you say, this was something you had to clear with your sergeant because --
A. Just because it wasn't my area.

554 Q. Okay. You were asked to contact Garda Keogh from a welfare perspective. I think those are the words you use in your statement?
A. Yeah.

Were you told anything about Garda Keogh? Were you given any background, any context as to why this was happening at that date in time?
A. No, just to go back just one tiny bit slightly, we were known as the Garda welfare service, you know, but that changed to employee assistance. We11, I knew of Nick from previously, so I would have -- I would have had an idea about, you know, his situation generally, at that stage.
556 Q. And would you have known anything to the effect or been
told about -- you saw the contact came through Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, who was at that time involved in the protected disclosures investigation. Did you know any of this?
A. No.

557 Q. Were you told any context?
A. No.

558 Q. Okay.
A. Getting a call from a detective superintendent would be a bit more unusual than a informed inspector.

559 Q. CHA RMAK Did you know him?
A. I didn't, no.

560 Q. CHA RMAN Did you know Detective Superintendent Mulcahy?
A. No.

561 Q. CHA RMAN He presumably must have known you, or he must have known something about you, because apparently he asked for you, is that right? Maybe not?
A. No, well Morgan was covering Cork and Kerry, so I was closer.

562 Q. CHA RMAN oh, I see what you mean.
A. Something like that maybe.

563 Q. MS. MEGATH would it be the case, and specifically in the case of Garda keogh, would you have a point of contact with senior management in the sense of contacting or keeping anybody updated like Detective Superintendent Mulcahy or going back to, for example, Chief Superintendent wheatley?
A. No, our system is kind of unusual, once we get a
referral I can get them from medical aid, from a union rep, from a wife or a partner or a sergeant, and once they refer the person to me, that's it.
564 Q. Okay.
A. Now, it really becomes confusing, there's a very -there is a policy called the EAP, which is the Employee Assistance Programme, which is -- and supervisor can refer somebody to me if they're not deemed to be performing. And in that case the superior, only if it's under the Employee Assistance Programme, in that case the sergeant can check and see did whoever contact me. Otherwise, there's no me going back to them.

565 Q. And to be clear, Garda Keogh did not come to you via the EAP?
A. No.
Q. okay.

All right. Now, I just want to ask you about two separate areas of your evidence. I suppose you had provided the notes that you kept with Garda Keogh?
A. Yes.

567 Q. Those notes go up until this moment in time, you are still his welfare officer, is that right?
A. That's right. I suppose it's kind of unusual that my statement is 24 lines, but in actual fact there's 23 pages, so it's kind of...
CHA RMAN Yes.
568 Q. M. MEGRATH So you have your notes of those contacts. But what I want to ask you about, because I want to deal with the note separately. You went to two case conferences over your time with Garda Keogh. One on

9/12/2015 and one a couple of months later, on 12th July 2016, isn't that right?
A. That's right.

569 Q. We might talk bout those separately, if you don't mind, Garda Quinn.
A. okay.
Q. There is just a couple of questions about them. If we can look at the first one. You will have heard Inspector Downey looking at these earlier in his evidence. 9/12/2015, at 6165 of the book. Again, I ${ }_{\text {15:09 }}$ want to ask you just similar questions but to get your evidence on just two questions in particular. We have gone through -- first of all, Inspector Downey was of the belief that it was possibly Fiona o'brien who prepared these minutes?
A. It was.

571 Q. It was, okay. Thank you for that. Now, in relation to the first column there, I was asking earlier about the content of that column:
"Wth regard to vol une of sickness absence, menber is going on to TRR rate of pay in each absence. "

Now, and I'm sorry to do this to Mr. Kavanagh, can I ask you, Mr. Kavanagh, to open up your statement, Garda 15:10 Quinn, at 3642. At 3642, you make reference to this case conference and about three quarters of the way down, if you can just flick down please, about six or seven lines from the end, you record in your statement:
"There was some di scussi on as to how the menber's sick absence was bei ng recorded."

Can you just tell the Chairman what you meant by that in your statement?
A. Yes. On the day, the reasoning behind the case conference was, you know, some absences and the fact that there would be TRR. But there was -- I can clearly remember that there was a discussion in relation to work related stress. Because the certs were coming in with work related stress on them but the doctor's record didn't state that. So there was some discussion as to -- and I suppose the key factor is, when people go sick with work related stress, the minute, the very day, whatever day, whether that is the next day or a month later, there is supposed to be an investigation as to -- if somebody puts stress on a cert, the people in Sick Section are supposed to clarify whether it is work related or, we'11 call it, ordinary stress. So that is supposed to happen immediately the certs are submitted.

572 Q. okay. On that day, the 9th December then, the discussion around this, if you can just tell the Chairman about the discussion around this, was there a 15:12 discussion of work related stress and thereby medical certificates or thereby reduced pay? Can you tell the Chairman what you remember was the discussion surrounding specifically Garda Keogh?
A. I would remember it as a discussion as to how it was recorded rather than discussing what work related stress actually is. From my memory they didn't actually go into that. People know what it is. But, of course, nowadays there's a big problem, the difference between people with an injury on duty is that they get their full pay and all their allowances. So if a member otherwise -- before the sick regulations changed it wasn't as big an issue, now it's -- if people get an injury on duty it's perceived as very different. The physical work related injuries are much clearer than psychologically.
Q. CHA RMAN Obvious7y?
A. Yeah. And there aren't as many -- well, I won't say there aren't as many, well, there probably aren't as many happen and there probably aren't as many granted.

574 Q. CHA RMAN And they don't last as long, the injuries, probably. well, maybe not.
A. Yeah, I don't know, I'm not sure.

CHA RMAN Sorry, it doesn't matter.
575 Q. MS. MEGRATH was it clear that day, was there a discussion that Garda Keogh was pay affected?
A. Well, he would be. He would be, yeah.
Q. Was it discussed at the meeting?
A. I wouldn't have a whole lot of discussion really. I 15:13 would have thought the main theme of the meeting -- to me, the thing I remembered most was how the sick was being recorded, to me.
577 Q. Okay. Now, the theme of the meeting, I understand from
the evidence, was with regard to Garda Keogh's difficulties and the supports necessary for him, is that right?
A. Yeah.

578 Q. Now, you're recorded there under the local management
15:14 column, and sorry, we're going back to 6165. Please?
A. Oh yeah.

579 Q. There's a reference to your contribution there. That is your contribution, is that right?
A. Yes.

580 Q. EAS officer observation?
A. Yes.

581 Q. "The menber needs to deci de for himself that he needs hel $p$ and make a concerted effort to access services to address the issue."
A. Yeah.

582 Q. Now, you had been dealing with him since the previous April, isn't that right?
A. I am never of the opinion, ever, ever, ever, in relation to addiction, that somebody going for treatment, unless it's their will, is a waste of time. Was that the view you expressed at that meeting?
A. Yeah and it's still my view today, in relation to anybody. People who are going to -- you know, one person I dealt with, who was thankfully 13 years sober on the 14th January, he said he went in twice, he went in the first time to save his job, he went in the second time to stop. So those, his words, resonate hugely for me. And anybody going in who isn't ready,
you know, because there is a discipline inquiry coming up or because his wife is getting onto him, to me they are the wrong reasons. He must be ready.
584 Q. If the previous paragraph, at the top, you have local management, who we know is Superintendent Murray, referencing obvious misuse of alcohol, and we will see from your notes later, you're aware of that?
A. Yes.

And a high level of short-term sickness absences, I think you were aware of that as well; is that right?
A. Yeah. They were probably more historic, you know, than say the date in April, you know, they are probably going back a good few years. I was away from the time I dealt with him, yeah, certainly.
586 Q. Can I ask you, just at the last paragraph there:
"Management suggesting medi umto long-termsupport be gi ven for a transfer out of Athl one in view of the events that had transpired there."

Now again can I ask you, I asked Inspector Downey, to your recollection who raised the issue of a transfer at this meeting?
A. I wouldn't be as clear on this. I was surprised that there was somebody there from transfers, it wouldn't be the norm at case conferences. Sometimes we are there, sometimes we're not. Sometimes, I haven't been there, but I have heard my colleagues say there are people there from discipline section, you know, at a case
conference. So it would be unusual if someone was there. I am not exactly sure, I couldn't pinpoint who said it, but it was discussed. I don't know who.
587 Q. okay. It says:
"A transfer out of Athl one in view of events that had transpi red there."

Do you know what that might mean? what was being referred to?
A. Em, I don't know if Garda Keogh had become a confidential recipient at that stage. I can't remember what date he became -- I don't know if it's that or...
588 Q. And you think that's what that comment refers to, do you?
A. I'd imagine so. I don't know if the -- I'm not sure if the date he became a confidential recipient was before or after that.
589 Q. CHA RMAN That was in May 2014, this is in December of 2015.
A. Okay. Well, it's possible.
Q. CHA RMAN Just to let you know what the dates are?
A. okay.

591 Q. CHA RMAN The 8th May he became the confidential recipient?
A. I wasn't sure if there was something else in the... yeah, I would imagine it's that.
Q. MG. MEGRATH It's the --
A. It's the confidential recipient aspect of it.
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Q. Okay. And it goes on to say:
"If the transfer is consi dered to be beneficial for the member's sustai ned well being and effectiveness after he woul d have come through an appropriate treat ment progr amme. "

Now, did you contribute to this discussion of a transfer?
A. No.

594 Q. Did you have any view on it?
A. No, I wasn't asked to contribute, I didn't contribute. But I suppose I'm not -- I wouldn't have thought that a transfer was a solution to this problem. But I wasn't asked.
Q. Okay. Now, I think again we will see the before and after of that conference when we look at your notes, but you then attended a second conference and that's 12th July 2016, isn't that right, Garda Quinn?
A. That's right.

597 Q. okay. The minutes for this are at 6167, please. Again, can you assist, do you think these notes were prepared by Fiona O'Brien or do you know?
A. Yeah, Fiona O'Brien.
Q. Okay. Now, we see the attendees there. We see the CMO, we see Chief Superintendent wheatley, Chief Superintendent Tony McLough1in, who at that stage had come on board as the protected disclosures manager, is that right?
A. Yes. Well, he had that title then, but he was still there at the previous one but he just didn't have that. The protected disclosures manager title is just a very small part of his remit, you know. He has been there quite a number of years, you know.
Q. Okay. You have Clare Egan from HRPD and yourself and Fiona O'Brien; isn't that right?
A. Yes.

600 Q. Now just looking at HRPD observations:
"Chi ef superintendent HRM and Mck Qui nn GS met with Garda Keogh on 3rd J une 2016 to all ow the member a sounding board for his concerns and to outline all aspects of welfare available to him"

Is that right?
A. That's right, yeah.

We see that in your notes as well. Chief superintendent HRM, would that be Tony McLough1in?
A. That's right.

602 Q. Says:
"The nember is presenting as articulate. List of
i ssues presented was pay affected."

So that's one of the issues being discussed?
A. Yes, that's right.

603 Q. Is that your recollection?
A. Yeah, it is.

604 Q. "The member did not present as interested in returning to work in his previous station but would like to ret urn to work in another location."

So at that stage is the issue of transfer live, as it were?
A. Well, the way I'd interpret that is that he certainty wasn't -- I'd be surprised that there was talk of him going to another station at that stage. I wouldn't have thought that that was, we'11 call it, an inference from the meeting. He hasn't ever spoken to me about going somewhere else, so...
Q. Okay. It's recorded there as having been raised by Chief Superintendent Tony McLough1in, do you agree or disagree with that? Just your recollection?
A. I'd agree with the first bit, but not the second bit.

606 Q. As in, that you don't recal1 that being said by Chief Superintendent McLough1in?
A. No, I would think that he didn't present as being interested in coming back to work, and he didn't say that he would like to go to another place.

607 Q. To you, is that right?
A. We11, to what I heard, what I took.

608 Q. Okay. I think Chief Superintendent McLough1in can deal with that?
A. Yeah.

609 Q. Okay. Now GEAS is your contribution?
A. That's me.

610 Q. "Member's difficulties with al cohol are known at this time and is he recei ving rel evant supports to hel phim over come same. Member has engaged positively with GEAS. Concerns about the menber being socially quite isol ated. The member's pets are very important to him The nember hi mself admits he needs to return to the job. "

Can you tell the Chairman about that?
A. Myself and Nick have some things in common and some things we don't have in common. And our biggest difference, wel1, is our pet empathy. So his is 100\%, mine is... So his dogs are his life.
611 Q. CHAN RMAN You're not a doggy person?
A. No, it's not --

612 Q. CHA RMAN There's nothing sort of -- it's not a moral judgment, but he is and you aren't?
A. He is, yeah, he is. I like them but I wouldn't be --

613 Q. CHAN RMAN In their place, I know what you mean?
A. Well, and not alone dogs, but birds, animals, all that 15:22 kind of stuff, a huge part of his life.
CHA RMAN I understand.
614 Q. MS. MEGRATH You're recording there that he has difficulties with alcohol. Again, we will see from the
notes that this was an ongoing issue over a number of years, isn't that right?
A. Yeah, yeah. When I would have spoken to him pre April '15, it would have been about alcohol issues as well. You know, before I got to know him on a long-term, it was alcohol related, some of it.

Can you just assist the Chairman there, in your contribution you say:
"The nember himself admits he needs to return to the j ob. "

Is that your understanding, that that's Ath1one Garda Station
A. Yeah. But that's -- I would have that as a long-term, not as a kind of a short-term or an intermediate. In time. Nick Keogh never didn't like policing, in my opinion, like you know.
616 Q. You say there:
"The member has indi cated he would like to enroll in the rehabilitation programme. It appears the member has done a lot of research on thi s subject and has deci ded on same."

I think the evidence we have heard so far, we understand that this was the main raison d'être of this meeting?
A. Yes.

A. Well he wasn't quite up to his five years clear. So he would either have to pay for him it himself and somebody somewhere came up with what I would describe as a very unusual suggestion, that it would be paid for, most of it be paid for by medical aid and a top-up 15:26 from a section that's very unknown in the Guards, called the Garda Reward Fund. local management attendee is Chief Superintendent Lorraine wheatley?
A. Yes.

624 Q. We heard evidence from now Chief Superintendent Patrick Murray before Christmas, he said he attended this meeting and that his name was omitted as an attendee, do you recall that? Can you assist?
A. If I was to pick yes or no, I would say no. Just -- I just remember meeting --
625 Q. CHA RMAN If you don't remember, you don't remember?
A. I don't remember. I hadn't noticed actually that he was missing, you know from, that list there. memorandum to the effect that he did attend?
A. Okay. Yeah.

627 Q. Okay. Can I ask you to look, just there, it's on the screen, in the second column, the second last paragraph, it says:
"Management to suggest possible roles and places to the nember with a view to build back the nember's confi dence. "

Who was suggesting that, do you remember?
A. I would imagine it was Dr. Oghuvbu suggesting to Chief Superintendent wheatley that they look at trying to find something that might be suitable.
628
Q. What did you understand by that?
A. Well, that's what I would understand by it. You know, people who are coming back after long-term absence or what's known as light duties, where, rather than be out to the forefront, you could be checking pulse or reviewing firearms licences, something like that. So rather than doing nothing --
Q. CHA RMAN To get you back sort of gradually?
A. Yeah, we call them light duties.
A. Yeah. And, of course, there's then the non-confrontational light duties, which is -- people are still looking for a description.
631 Q. M. MtGRATH was that the nature of the discussion in respect of Garda Keogh specifically?
A. Yes, yeah.

632 Q. So a discussion regarding change of roles or change of duties for him to facilitate him coming back, is that right?
A. Yeah.

633 Q. Do you know if that was ever activated?
A. I wouldn't know. 634 Q. You wouldn't know?
A. No, I wouldn't know. Sometimes if somebody was trying to do it, the chief or the super or an inspector, they might ring and say, would Nick be prepared to do this or do that, and I might talk to him then. But I doubt if it happened. I am not aware. first column. Sorry, if you go back up there. It's talking about the cost of the programme:
"GEAS to liai se with the Garda medi cal aid and chi ef superintendent HRPD and HRM with regards to the cost."

Were you involved in that?
A. No, actually. I don't know why, but I wasn't. what happened was that John Fahy, who was then the general secretary of Garda medical aid, said he would give what it costs for a person to go to Cluain Mhuire, which is a non-private treatment centre, and that's about $€ 1,400$. He said he would give that towards Garda Keogh's. Then Inspector Della Murray, who is now a superintendent, for some reason, even though she never had any contact with Garda Keogh, as far as I know, she was negotiating with John Fahy, they came up with 4,000 as the agreed figure. The shortfall of, say, 3,400, I just mention it briefly.
636 Q. CHA RMAN Yes.
A. It's the Garda reward fund. And what it is, is guards
who have been disciplined, I would say there's 200 guards in the organisation know about it, it's guards who have been disciplined and fined.
637 Q. CHAN RMAN In the wrong. Sorry, go on?
A. Yeah, and fined monetary values.

638 Q. CHA RMAN Yes.
A. They give out the money in this format to people where there's --

639 Q. CHA RMAN Oh, I see what you mean?
A. -- perceived hardship.

640 Q. CHA RMAN I follow. There is a fund there?
A. There is a fund.

641 Q. CHA RMAN I misunderstood. There is a fund there for people who have been disciplined and fined?
A. Yes.

642 Q. CHA RMAN So my money goes into a thing, it doesn't go into the general kitty, it goes into a fund and it's available the?
A. It's available, it's available, yeah.

643 Q. CHA RMAK For a deserving cause?
A. Yeah.

644 Q. CHA RMAN I didn't know that. Okay.
A. Well, there's view few, very few people --

645 Q. CHA RMAN I would say not many people know that.
A. No.

646 Q. MS. MEGRATH Do you know there under the column, Garda Quinn, local management observations and actions, do you know why that might be blank?
A. No.

647 Q. Okay. Sorry, I should have finished that, when we were dealing with the next page, on the next column. After the discussion of the costs of the programme there is an entry there, it says:
"The nature of the current ill ness certification on record with HRPD is work rel ated stress."

So, can we take it that work related stress was upfront and central at that particular case conference?
A. The only thing is, now it would probably be Chief McLough1in, as far as I know Garda Keogh's -- I don't know how he's currently recorded. I would doubt it's work related stress. I would doubt it. I wouldn't have access to it but I would doubt it. Work related stress, non-physical, are really contentious.

648 Q. okay.
A. So I would --

649 Q. I think we have heard evidence to the effect that he is currently recorded -- wel1, the SAMS record marks ordinary illness, namely mental health. Would you be aware of that?
A. Yeah. We11, SAMS is excellent as a recording device, but as an accurate way of recording the illness, I think it's very poor. But it's very good at recording the fact that people are sick.

650 Q. Now, what I would like to do, Garda Quinn, is look at your notes. I think when you made your statement first you provided your notes but they only went up to the
end of 2018, isn't that right?
A. That's right, yeah.

I think you have kindly provided the notes which bring us up-to-date and up to quite recently, in fact. Your 1ast date of entry is 24th January 2020. And just in ease of the parties, Judge, the notes as initially provided are at 10616 of the brief and the additional ten pages, which were circulated this morning, everyone should have them, are at 15941 of the brief. Now, I just want to ask you about these notes, Garda Quinn. I 15:33 don't want to necessarily delay everybody in going through them in too much detail but I think there are some aspects of them, if we could just deal with them. Do you have them in front of you?
A. I do, yeah.

Okay. So, we see you coming on board there, this is page 10616, if Mr. Kavanagh can bring it up. You come on board, that's your first note of 21/4/2015 and you make reference to the fact of you coming on board and speaking to Garda Morgan Landy and Sergeant Clare Malone, isn't that right?
A. Yeah.

653 Q. You made Sergeant Malone and D/Superintendent Mulcahy aware that you had made contact with Garda Keogh and you describe him as being very drunk, is that right?
A. Yeah.

654 Q. Now, I think in those entries in April, I won't open every single one of them, but we will try and do it, month by month or blocks like that, there was a
reference to drinking and then stopping drinking, I think that would categorise a lot of the entries over the years, is that right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

655 Q. Now, on the 23rd Apri1, just staying on that page, there are some things I might point out to the Chairman and feel free to point out anything else yourself that you would like to do so.
A. Okay.

656 Q. The member rang, you answered.
"He said his pay had been cut and it didn't bother him particularly."

Do you remember that?
A. Absolutely.

657 Q. Is this a reference to the reduced pay on ordinary illness, was that your understanding?
A. Yeah, yeah.

658 Q. okay. Then, as we say, during that month --
A. I suppose, just to clarify, the only reason that I remember is that I don't think I have ever dealt with anyone before who wasn't concerned about their pay being cut, ever.
659 Q. This is why you noted that?
A. Yeah.

660 Q. Can I ask you, actually, when you're making these notes, are these a summary of the conversation, would the conversations be a lot longer? what are you reflecting in the notes? Just a summary?
A. It's only a summary, yeah. I suppose trying to capture what -- if you asked me how long was the conversation, I wouldn't have a clue if it was two minutes or two hours, but I suppose the pertinent point on the day. drinking on the 24th, you say stopped drinking, is that right?
A. Yeah.

662 Q. You move into May, you met him at his home?
"We di scussed all aspects of his case and how he thought he might deal with the concl usi ons of the i nvesti gation. "

So, the investigation came up very early --
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. On the 10th May 2015, you are referencing drinking and the last sentence:
"He went sick and took annual I eave due to his consumption of al cohol."
A. That's what he would have told me, you know.

665 Q. Okay, and that's what you are recording?
A. Yeah.

666 Q. Okay. On the next day, the top, he is after meeting -667 Q. CHA RMAK Sorry, just a moment. The 10th May, when you say rest day, is that your rest day?
A. Yeah, I'm resting.

668 Q. CHA RMAN That's your day off. Yes, okay.
A. Yeah. But I discovered very early on that, you know, we have 365-day relationship.
669 Q. CHAI RMAN I understand. I wasn't certain --
A. It's mine.

670 Q. CHAL RMAN Whether it was Garda Keogh's --
A. It's mine. Sometimes in work they want us to say, we11, how many times were you --
671 Q. CHA RMAN I understand.
A. Now, I never explained to Nick in the beginning that we work Monday to Friday, nine to five. Look, it doesn't make any difference.

672 Q. CHA RMAN As far as you're concerned that wasn't vital, if he needed to talk to you?
A. Yeah, it doesn't matter.

673 Q. MS. MEGRATH Do you effectively consider yourself on
A. Different people, yeah, and to Nick I would.

674 Q. Okay. At the top of the next page, 10617, just going down through them, on the 20th May you contacted him:
"The nember saw Dr. Oghuvbu yesterday and felt that he had taken the party line. He is due to meet Detective Superintendent Mul cahy next week."

Did you understand what he meant by any of that?
A. Yeah. Yeah. Party line, you know, he didn't deviate too far from I suppose what he expected to hear, you know.
Q. Moving in June, you rang him.
"The menber said he was out si ck because the person he made the allegations agai nst was being intervi ewed in the station that they both worked in."
A. Yeah, he was very upset or annoyed or disappointed or, I have another case, even in 2020, in a completely area, but one of the members there recently saw another person who was suspended in the station being interviewed and they were -- they just happened to comment. It's really not good practice. That's the beginning of June. By the end of June you're contacting him.
"The nember is finding it difficult to keep waiting for the result of his investigation into his allegations. He mentioned the ammesty ot her members had got to get their motor documents rectified."
A. Yeah.
Q. Now, if there is further information that you wish to give to the chair?
A. Okay.
Q. Or that you recal1, please fee1 free to do so?
Q. CHA RMAN They largely speak for themselves. I'm assuming that people will assume that the notes speak
for themselves. If there is anything that you want to add or subtract, well and good. But otherwise, I think, Ms. McGrath will use her own judgment to give a flavour of the things. But otherwise, I think we will just treat them as saying what they say.
MG. MtGRATH okay.
CHAN RMN which is probably the best way to do it.
ME. MtGRATH That is June, a couple of months into your appointment with him. In July, we know from our evidence that there was an issue, an AWOL issue in July 15:39 and have you an early entry and then you have a late entry, where he has admitted to drinking, he said he sent letters to the DPP and Minister for Justice, he mentioned that he was due to visit the cmo:
"He spoke of his satisfaction with Detective Superintendent Mul cahy and admits his frustration with the inaction agai nst those he has named."

He does mention that satisfaction with Detective Superintendent Mulcahy a number of times, is that right
A. Consistently.

681 Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.

682 Q. In August, we have again two entries talking about
drinking and by the end of August you say he was sober and determined in his approach. Again, that reflects quite a theme in the notes, would that be fair.
A. Yeah.

 .

683
Q. CHAN RMAN okay.
A. Very unusual.

CHA RMAN Thank you.
ME. MEGRATH Garda Quinn, I think in Garda Keogh's evidence, when he was giving direct-evidence and under cross-examination before christmas, he talked about the very good relationship he had with you in that regard?
A. Yeah. We get on well.

687 Q. Now, in September, we have a reference to the discipline and $I$ think that was the discipline in relation to the AWOL issue in July, is that right?
A. That's right, yeah.

688 Q. It is recorded that it was now finished, is that right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

689 Q. Again, from time to time he is mentioning -- if you look into October 2015, he is mentioning media issues arising in relation to the protected disclosure from
A. Yeah, it was kind of breaking or current for some reason at that stage.
690 Q. Okay. And if you look at the 11th October, he mentions
that he spoke of Detective Superintendent Mulcahy's good work and again there's a reference to a spell of drinking in the next couple of entries, is that right?
A. Yeah. Yeah, he got on very well with Detective Superintendent Mulcahy.
Q. very good. on 27/10 you have an entry there of a discussion with him:
"The member intends dealing with the fact that he has been assi gned to be permanent station orderly (PO) by his superintendent in a positive way."

Now, that was the allocation to indoor duty at that time
A. Yeah.

693 Q. And that's how you have recorded Garda Keogh's response?
A. Yeah. well, being assigned duty as permanent PO is, I suppose, the job that everybody doesn't want in the Guards, in any station really. Some people don't like being indoors, whatever about doing it in rotation, doing it consistently is difficult and naturally the bigger the busier the station, you know. But he did say that he was going to try and deal with it positively, yeah.
694 Q. The next day you contacted -- or he contacted you, referencing a white volvo owned by a local drug dealer
near his home, is that right?
A. Yeah, that's right.
Q. In November, again you have drinking and sobriety during the course of the month, is that right?
A. Yeah, it's consistent. I suppose when I read it myself, my notes, even to myself are difficult to read writing wise, but when I re-read the typed version before I sent it in, it did seem unusual, started drinking, stopped drinking, trying to stop. That's what a lot of it -- but we talk about other things as we11. But I suppose just as a recording mechanism. 696 Q. CHA RMAN I mean, you have experience of addiction, I take it?
A. Yeah.

697 Q. CHAN RMAN was the pattern unusual?
A. I wouldn't describe the -- the pattern I would have as --

698 Q. CHA RMAN It appeared to be very short-term on, very short --
A. Yeah, on/off. There were only two, I think of all the on/offs, on/offs, there were only two I was very concerned about, and this would be by telephone, you know, he lives in one place, $I$ live in another place. There were only two episodes that I felt these are really serious, and one of them is in the new notes that people got this morning.

699 Q. CHAI RMAN Yes.
A. I think it was May '19. Apart from that, it's not that I wasn't concerned but, you know, normally he'd say
today is Tuesday, I hope to be stopped by Thursday. And I would ring on Thursday and he'd be stopped.
700 Q. M. MtGRATH And you can point those out when we come to them, Garda keogh. That would be very helpful. we're in December 2015 and the top of the next page, ${ }_{\text {15:44 }}$ ending in 19, on 9/12, and again there is a reference to you discussing with him stopping drinking or undergoing addiction programmes?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

701 Q. There's a reference there I just want to ask you about 15:44 on $14 / 12$, this is in relation to attending the CMO. Now you have been at the conference at this stage?
A. Yeah.

702 Q. Isn't that right?
A. Yeah.

703 Q. Which we have talked about?
A. Sometimes we're invited to them, sometimes we're not. Sometimes we go, sometimes we don't, depending on various issues.

704 Q. Okay. You had spoken to Garda keogh about being at the 15:44 conference and updated him effectively, is that right?
A. Yeah.

705 Q. Now, on 14/12 you say:
"The member confirmed that he would attend the CMD.
The menber's superintendent rang me and I confirmed that he would attend the CMD appoi nt ment."

Can you confirm if that's Superintendent Murray? Do
you remember?
A. Yes, I'm sure it is, yeah.
Q. And would you have had much contact --
A. No. I had nearly forgotten about that, only that I have written it down. I think apart from there and meeting him at the case conference, certainly the one, I can't even remember if he was at the second, I don't think I have ever had any other contact with him.
Q. okay.
A. But I literally didn't remember that one there until I was --

708 Q. And do you recal1, was the discussion limited to the CMO?
A. Oh, yeah, yeah, definitely.

709 Q. okay. Then on $18 / 12$ you say:
"Dr. Oghuvbu rang me to di scuss, clarify and get my opi ni on about some of the difficulties that the menber was encounter with his superintendent."

Do you remember that?
A. Yes, absolutely.

710 Q. We can ask Dr. Oghuvbu about that himself, but can you assist the Chairman there, was there any more detail given or do you have an awareness of the issues?
A. I suppose Dr. Oghuvbu in his role was trying to tease out what was really happening, what could be done, how he was, could anything be done by management. Kind of general. There were probably questions that could have
been or may have been asked at a case conference, but normally $I$ wouldn't have a very big role in the case conference. I wouldn't often be asked. If I am asked I give an opinion. Whereas there were probably some of those questions like that, I feel, that Dr. Oghuvbu asked me.

711 Q. And you said to get your opinion on some of the difficulties, did you provide an opinion?
A. Yeah, yeah.

712 Q. Can you tell the Chairman what specifically you were talking about?
A. Well, that I always believed that people should be treated fairly, people should be -- I suppose two very important attributes that don't often appear in job descriptions in the Employee Assistance Service, are empathy and non-judgmental. So I think everybody should have them. I think even if you don't know somebody and you hear that he or she is this or that, I think you really should -- everybody in every role should be forming their own opinion.
713 Q. Did you give your opinion?
A. Yeah.

714 Q. And what was that?
A. That assigning people to permanent PO is not necessarily the solution to a problem where there's a difficulty. You know, some stuff like that.

715 Q. You contacted Garda Keogh, you note there, around that time, and you suggested that he document fully any incident where he felt he was being treated unfairly or
inappropriately by his superintendent?
A. Yeah, well I would to anybody. Anybody, you know. And I did to Garda Keogh on this occasion as well.
Now, from the 18th onwards, we know that that was the day he attended the CMO on the 18th, and after that, we 15:48 also know that he went on long-term sick leave?
A. Yeah. There were a lot of calls around the 26th, you know, Christmas. I think I've said nearly every year that Garda keogh has said that he doesn't like Christmas and he doesn't like what it brings. But I suppose I tried hard to encourage him to keep him at work. I thought he was doing reasonably well considering and I just felt that 26/12/2015, him going sick then, I just felt this felt like a different kind of sick, as it transpired.
717 Q. Was it your view as his welfare officer that he was struggling at this period?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did you understand why or did you know?
A. well, I would say frustration at work. I don't know, I 15:49 wouldn't be one to diagnose the -- you know, I am not qualified to diagnose work related stress. But frustration with the system and how he perceived he was being treated and those elements.
719 Q. The references there in December are to the superintendent and is that Superintendent Murray?
A. Yeah. Definitely.

720 Q. He went there, you record on 27/12 that he rang to say that he had now decided to stay on long-term sick leave
until he can deal with and rectify his alcohol addiction. Is that why he told you he was going on long-term sick?
A. Em, I don't think that's why he was going on long-term sick. I know I have it recorded there, but I would -I think he was going sick because of the frustrations at work and I would interlink some of his alcohol problem with his frustrations at work.
721 Q. we note there that during that period and if you go on to the next page, of January 2016, the issue of alcohol continues and his drinking, isn't that right?
A. Yeah.

722 Q. And on the 4/1:
"We spoke for a long time about his drinking. He spoke
about how difficult it was not to have been bel ieved i nitially when he made his allegations and how awkward and difficult and unprofessional it was to be asked to continue to work al ongsi de the person that he had made the allegations agai nst."
A. Yeah, he talked a lot about that. That, you know, it was years before the authorities acted on what he had said and in the intervening time, you know, became difficult. He was still working.
723 Q. And we have been referring in evidence to a Garda called Garda A, and if you can refer to --
A. Okay.
Q. -- that Garda by Garda A. Was Garda A mentioned in this context to you by Garda Keogh?
A. He never talked that much about Garda A. He talked a lot about management not acting on what he said about Garda A.
Q. okay.
A. That would be a fairly constant theme.
Q. Okay. And then moving just three days later:
"He says he was pleased that the detective superintendent had checked on him"

Is that Detective Superintendent Mulcahy?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay.
A. Again, it would be kind of unusual, especially not your own superintendent. I think again they had a good rapport, you know.
Q. Okay. And I think then there's again references to drinking and not drinking. And when we go into February, you're telling him on the 9th February that you would contact St. Paul's Garda Medical Aid, is that 15:52 right?
A. Yeah, that's right.
Q. Moving on to the next page and again if you need to refer to any particular note just let me know.
A. Okay, thank you.
Q. On the next page then we're moving into February and March and April and again, we have the drinking and sobriety issue going on, isn't that right --
A. Yeah.

731
Q. -- during those months?
A. On the 26 th Apri 12016 we see the notes:
"Chi ef Superintendent Wheatley rang and di scussed about the possi bility of her meeting or visiting the menber." 15:52

She rang you, is that right?
A. Yes, that's right. I remember it very distinctly.
Q. Okay. Can you tell the Chairman about that?
A. Yeah. I would know Chief Superintendent wheatley over the years, not very we11, might meet her at a concert, we would have a few words. I remember lots of things, but I just remember where I happened to be that day when she rang me and we just talked about Garda Keogh and addictions and what we knew about it and what could 15:53 be done to help.

733 Q. Okay. In that regard, on the 18th May, we see there at the bottom of the page, you're talking to the member about the visit of Chief Superintendent wheatley --
A. Yeah.

734 Q. -- and the divisional clerk to him?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

736 Q. -- and where he was originally from and if he was in contact with you, is that right?
A. Yeah.

737
Q. Now, in May 2016, this is an issue then again if anybody would like -- my colleagues may open up certain
entries, but again it is reflecting a pattern of alcohol. But in the middle of the page there, there is then this issue arising about the reclassification of his sick leave to mental health, that's on the 23rd May, is that right?
A. Yeah.
Q. This is a discussion you're having with chief Superintendent McLoughlin, is that right?
A. Yeah, Chief Superintendent McLoughlin had asked me to see what he could do.

739 Q. okay.
A. And he asked me to ask Garda Keogh. I asked him and I came back with these one, two, three different suggestions about how these issues could be addressed.
740 Q. okay. And then at the bottom of the page, again on your rest day, the 28th May, Chief Superintendent wheatley rang you and asked if there was anything that could be done to help the member get sober.
"We di scussed what this may take in time."

So you would have had a number of contacts with chief Superintendent wheatley, is that right?
A. Yes, mm-hmm. Yeah.

741 Q. I think she is expressing concern for the member
A. Yeah.

742 Q. -- and the issue of sobriety, is that right?
A. That's right, yeah.

743 Q. Now moving on then throughout 2016, June 2016, this is before the case conference you attended. You have a record there of the 3 rd June of you meeting the member with Chief Superintendent McLoughlin, is that right?
A. That's right. In Tullamore.

744 Q. And then moving into July and on to the next page, you make a reference to the case conference on the 12th Ju7y and the issue of the treatment programme, isn't that right?
A. Yeah. That's right.

745 Q. And I think we see a pattern developing here of contact, you were in contact with the CMO from time to time, you were in contact with Chief Superintendent McLoughlin and you were in contact with Chief Superintendent wheatley from time to time?
A. That's right.
Q. Would that be fair enough for around this period?
A. That's fair enough, yeah, yeah. That Inspector Murray there, that's my -- rather than Superintendent Pat Murray, that's my inspector, Della Murray, who is now a $15: 55$ superintendent. Just in case you're...
747 Q. okay. So then, I think what we see then at this particular point in your notes is Garda Keogh is attending the rehabilitation programme and matters of his pay are being addressed by management. And that is 15:56 reflected in your notes, isn't that right?
A. Yeah, that's right.

748 Q. And at the top of the next page, by August 2016, on the 8th August you met with the member and his counsellor
in the treatment centre and both are very happy with how the programme has gone --
A. Yes.

749 Q. -- is that right?
A. Yes.

750 Q. And you're keeping people updated in this respect?
A. Yeah.

751 Q. And it's at this point then we see a long period of sobriety for a number of months, is that right, after the treatment programme, would that be fair to say?
A. Yeah, yeah.

752 Q. And in particular on, if you go through, after that, August, September, into October, there's a reference in October on the next page, he is talking to you about his back pay. You become aware that he has been put back on full pay and received back pay, is that right?
A. That's right.

753 Q. And I think that theme continues then throughout october and what is happening then, that November 2016, the issue of this bullying and harassment investigation 15:57 arises and I think you are recording some discussions with Garda Keogh in respect of that.
A. That's right.

754 Q. I think that eventually became the Assistant Commissioner Finn investigation, is that right?
A. Eventually, yeah.

755 Q. Okay. And at the top of the page ending 27 , on the 15th November 2015 you say:
"The menber rang. We di scussed the upcoming O Neill report. He told me that he, Mr. Barrett, had written advi sing himthat he needed to make a compl ai nt to the chi ef superintendent in Mullingar if he wanted to pursue his bullying allegations."

And it is around this time that matters commence in relation to that.
A. That's right, yeah.

756 Q. okay. So again, during November/December 2016 the period of sobriety seems to continue into early 2017, would you agree with that?
A. Yeah.

757 Q. And if I can ask Mr. Kavanagh to move forward and you can stop me if things are changing, but I think what we ${ }_{\text {15:58 }}$ see during 2017 is that period of sobriety and discussions in respect of the bullying and harassment investigation?
A. That's right.

758 Q. If you can go to page ending 30, Mr. Kavanagh, and the entries at the top of the page is the 26th April 2017. There we go.
"I rang. We di scussed the devel oping situation. Chi ef Superintendent MELoughlin rang and asked me to clarify 15:58 if the client is interested in returning to work in Athl one or some ot her station. The menber declined the offer to return to work."

So that was his position as of Apri1 2017, is that right?
A. That kind of came out of nowhere. You know, the chief rang me, I asked him, he said he wasn't interested. But I didn't hear any talk, any anything. There was nothing too much going on at that stage. It's like somebody said did anybody ask him will he come back, you know. It didn't link to anything.
759 Q. And Garda Keogh declined?
A. Yeah.

760 Q. Did you discuss that with Garda Keogh, his reason for declining it?
A. Not really. Well nothing had changed, like, you know, so... You know, in Athlone. I would have perceived.
761 Q. Can I ask you to go to, move to 2018 now, and the page ending in 33, and the entry at the top of the page is 15th January 2018. We're not much longer, Garda Quinn. I am sorry this is taking so long.
A. Al1 right. Okay.

762 Q. Can I just ask you, it says there:
"I spoke with Superintendent Murray re the allegation of bullying made by the menber."
A. That is my own superintendent.

763 Q. Okay. I just wanted to clarify that. Okay. That is 15:59 Superintendent Della Murray, is that right?
A. Yeah.

764 Q. And then the period of sobriety appears to have continued, from the notes in any event, and on the 30th

January 2018, you see a reference there:
"I rang. He spoke of Superintendent Pat Murray's pronotion and tal ked about it being a very surprising devel opment."

Is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. And then we see a period of drinking for January, February and possibly into march, is that right?
A. That's right.

766 Q. And at the top of page 34, on the March entry at 2018, was this one of the incidents you were talking about earlier, the two incidents you say that you were concerned about in relation to alcohol?
A. Yeah. It's unusual for anybody to ring me out of hours. I mean, we always say if somebody is ringing you at ten at night or four in the morning there's a big problem. okay, there were only three calls within 11 minutes. Just the fact that I couldn't get him back 16:00 and whatever he would have said in a voicemail I was more concerned than normal.
767 Q. And I think you record there on the 23rd April 2018:
"I rang and spoke of Detective Superintendent Ml cahy
retiring. The menber spoke about how fair and competent he had al ways found himto be."

I think you noted that a number of times.
A. Yeah.
Q. Then he goes on, he is again talking about some media articles, which I think wouldn't have been unusual. He would have talked to you about some media articles?
A. Yeah. Sometimes he would say there's something coming up or is not coming up, or he might say I may have been the feature of the article but I didn't give the information.
Q. I think throughout 2018 again there were spots of drinking and spots of sobriety as well during 2018?
A. Yeah.
Q. Would that be fair to say?
A. Yeah.

771 Q. Now again my colleagues are free to open up any other -- I am trying to open up a very balanced view of the notes Garda Quinn.
A. Okay.

772 Q. So my colleagues may open up some more. If I can ask, Mr. Kavanagh should have a separate key with the most recent notes at 15941 there, that have just been disclosed this morning. These are the notes throughout 2019 and again I think they mark sobriety and alcoholism in equal measure. But can I ask you about an entry which is on page 44 , ending in 44. 15945. It is the dated 8th May 2019. It says:
"The member rang. He was keen to talk to a femal e member when he rang. He spoke with my sergeant. I told himthat a second mentor fromhis intake in

Templ emore had di ed the same week as his cl assmate had di ed. Both menbers were known to have difficulties with al cohol. I rang Dr. Oghuvbu and told himof my concerns regarding the member's current changed and prol onged drinking pattern. He suggested that the menber would engage in a rehabilitation aftercare programme. I di scussed this suggestion with Sergeant Mal one. "

The next entry says:
"While speaki ng with Superintendent Della Murray, I expressed my surprise with Dr. Oghuvbu's reaction when I di scussed the member's current drinking pattern. I recei ved numerous calls fromthe member who continues to drink heavily."

Can you just clarify, when you are talking about there Dr. Oghuvbu's and your surprise, what are you talking about there?
A. Well, I don't bring him too often. I don't. I would ring him from time to time. I just thought that Nick's -- in all my time, that was the time $I$ was most concerned about his drinking pattern. And having spoken to my sergeant and my superintendent it just seemed like he wasn't stopping, it seemed like we couldn't get him to stop. Anybody who was trying. You know, it seemed to be engrained for whatever reason. I just thought as the CMO as --

773 Q. CHA RMAN what was the feature of that one that made you most alarmed, can you recall?
A. I think possibly the fact that he wasn't even talking about stopping. Normally when he is drinking he is talking about stopping. Myself and John Wilson would have been talking a bit recently in 2019 about Nick and his drinking and trying to get him to stop. He lives a bit closer than I do. And he was also concerned. But I suppose what also concerns me is that there are quite a number of people who like Nick, who work with Nick, who still contact him from around Bray where he worked and some of those were also ringing, saying Nick is very bad at the moment.
774 Q. CHAL RMAN They were ringing you?
A. Pardon?

775 Q. CHAN RMAN They were ringing you?
A. Ringing me, yeah. So I think it was the culmination of just -- and this business of ringing Garda stations you know when -- I suppose the only point we differ on is, you know, when, if Nick rings a Garda station, if he happens to be drinking it is just problematic. I don't mind him ringing me, John Wilson doesn't mind me ringing him, but if other guards who don't know him, and there was a bit of that, as you can see, from 10th May, you know he rang Portlaoise. It just complicates the...

776 Q. MS. MEGRATH Okay. I think the remainder of your notes bring us up to, as I said, just recently and I think that, as you outlined at the start of your
evidence, you -- you can take that down actually. You can confirm to the Chairman that the organisation still has you in place as his welfare officer?
A. Yes, that's right.

And I think you are still in regular contact with Garda 16:06 Keogh?
A. Yeah, very.

MS. MEGRATH I am going to ask you to answer any questions Garda Quinn, but $I$ just wonder, Chairman, if you want to get an idea of how long everybody is going to be?

CHA RMAK Is it convenient to proceed so that we can let Garda Quinn go?
MR. O BRI EN I am in a position to proceed. I will be very short, Chairman.
CHA RMAK Is that right? wel1, there is no pressure on you, if you need to be longer --
ME. O BRI EN No, Chairman.
CHA RMAN -- you can be longer. Same with you, Mr. Murphy.
MS. MEGRATH I think Mr. O'Higgins --
MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O HGG NS: I will be short, Chairman.
CHA RMAN okay. Are you happy with that?
THE WTNESS: Fine.
CHA RMAN I think it would be nice to complete your evidence if we can.
THE WTNESS: Yeah.
CHA RMAN You are welcome to back and stay.
THE WTNESS: No, no --- -

CHA RMAK But you don't have to. I am sure you have other things to do. Now, Mr. O'Brien, yeah.

GARDA QU NN WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. O BRI EN AS

FOLLOVG:
A. Yeah.

779 Q. -- the meeting of the 9th December 2015 and your recollection of that meeting and just to clarify with you something that is recorded in your statement here, if I can please. Towards the end of the statement, Garda Quinn, if you can look to the page please?
A. Yeah.

780 Q. You will see, you say as follows:
"I took the main theme to come fromthe meeting to be the possi ble consequences for Garda Keogh's career if he di dn't stop dri nki ng and address hi s addi ction issues by under goi ng a suitable programme. Later that day I contacted Garda Keogh and outlined to hi mthe consensus of the meeting whi ch were the possi ble consequences for his career and possi bl y his physical heal $t h$ and mental well being if he di dn't stop drinking and under go and recei ve the appropriate heal th."

That seems also to be borne out in your notes which are at page 37 and it's Volume 37 , page 10619. But can I just ask you, please, Garda Quinn, this issue of consequences for Garda Keogh, what do you recall about a discussion of consequences from that meeting?
A. Well, people who continually are on long-term sick, people who have an alcohol problem, you know at some stage a disciplinary process will kick in.
And was this a feature of the meeting on the 9th December, do you know?
A. Well, yeah, it wouldn't be specific to the 9th. You know. It did happen on that day very clearly.

782 Q. And in relation to that, do you recall who specifically in attendance at the meeting brought up this issue or raised the issue?
A. No. But I suppose everybody there, myself, the detective superintendent, the superintendent, everybody there -- you know, Nick's pattern wasn't good enough to not be possibly disciplined in time for being missing and being sick or being medically retired or some kind of action like that.
783 Q. I see. But in terms of perhaps the direction of those in attendance that that issue was coming from, was it coming from -- I mean, for example, was it coming from the superintendent?
A. No. I would agree with it myself. I think there may not have been consensus on how we all found Nick, Garda Keogh, but there was definitely consensus on what would happen --
Q. I see.
A. -- if he didn't, if he wasn't able to change his --
Q. Did I understand you a moment ago to say that that was potential disciplinary --
A. Possibly. You know, people who go missing -- not go missing, don't attend work. You know, it's not just specific to Garda Keogh. You know, if there's a pattern like that, people can be medically retired, people can be...
Q. I see. Just to move forward then a little bit and in relation to the conversation that you had with

Dr. Oghuvbu on the 18 th, we see a note of that again at Volume 37, page 10619 and I think Ms. McGrath asked you a few moments ago, you see there that Dr. Oghuvbu rang you to discuss, clarify and get your opinion about some 16:10 of the difficulties that the member was encountering with his superintendent. I mean, just the use of the word "some" there, that suggests that there was more than one, would that be a fair reflection of your note?
A. Okay, if I was to rewrite the notes I would probably $\quad$ 16:10 take out "some", you know.
Q. Okay. But there were difficulties I think?
A. Ah yeah, there were difficulties.
Q. And I think when you answered Ms. McGrath's question a few moments ago I think you said that, for example, the 16:10 permanent public office is not necessarily a solution, that that was your opinion?
A. Yeah. It still would be.
Q. Was that the extent of your opinion to Dr. Oghuvbu or
in fact was there more, if you can recollect?
A. I think there would have been more. You know, whatever I felt was relevant at the time, you know.
If I could just ask you to try your best to recollect on the date and just to try and recall exactly?
A. Okay. I would guess that I spoke about the tax issue, that Nick was dealt with one way and that others are perceived to be dealt with another way. I just like when people are treated fairly.
791 Q.
Again that is another issue, so perhaps as you say you have the tax issue, you have the permanent public office placement. Do you recall was there anything further?
A. I think it's just a bit like when I spoke to the Chairman, that he forms his own opinion of Garda Keogh, 16:11 you know. I don't know I have never --
Q. I certainly accept that, that the Chairman will do that in due course,
A. No, not the Chairman, that Superintendent Murray would form his own opinion. No, no, not the Chairman.
793 Q. I see. But Dr. Oghuvbu in fact was asking you about your opinion --
A. Yeah.

794 Q. -- about the difficulties. So what did you -- you have given us an example of two, is that the extent of it or 16:12 would there be more?
A. You know, that maybe, I don't know if Dr. Oghuvbu was going to be talking to Superintendent Murray, I just thought that he would factor in that -- you know, to me
it just seemed a bit off the way he was being treated. To me.

795 Q. Oh, I see. It was off the way Garda Keogh was being treated by Superintendent Murray?
A. Yeah.
Q. Maybe you could help us a little bit more.

How was that in your view?
A. You know, I just think --

MR. MCFEÁL OHGGN: Chairman, I just wonder, the witness is being asked to offer an opinion, I am not sure it is of assistance to you in terms of identifying the facts as opposed to opinion matter.
CHAI RMAN We11, let's try to see this, Mr. o'Higgins. The Chief medical officer after a conference is ringing Garda Quinn and they are having a conversation about Garda Keogh's difficulties. You have to trust that I know what the issues are from a legal and evidential point, but where we are going from a welfare point of view is Dr. Oghuvbu is saying what do you think of this, what is your view. And obviously as we know from
Garda Quinn he said he met Superintendent Murray very briefly on only two occasions. He was not offering -is that right.
A. Well, one. I can't even remember if there was a second.
797 Q. CHA RMAN One. Okay. He's not offering a judgment on the matter. He is giving an impression as to what he thought. I think a certain looseness -- I think Mr. o'brien's question is legitimate, especially since

Garda Quinn volunteered that he thought Garda Keogh, the treatment was a bit off. That's what he said. Mr. O'Brien is entitled to -- you're entitled to say perfectly well, Garda Quinn is relating at least secondhand if not thirdhand, because he's talking about 16:14 Dr. Oghuvbu's concerns about Garda Keogh. I mean, it's an inquiry, Mr. O'Higgins, you will have to trust me to use my skill and judgment in assessing evidence. But what he, what the welfare officer actually said was, look, I have been dealing with this man for a long time, I made all my notes about him being drunk, and here's my impression, $I$ thought he was being treated a bit off and that was his impression, on the basis of what he understood from (a) Garda Keogh and (b) Dr. Oghuvbu. And Dr. Oghuvbu was saying, what do you think? And he said -- for what it is worth.
MR. ḾCHEÁL O H GG NS: May it please you, Chairman. CHA RMAN At this point I take your point. I know that technically what you are saying is correct, but I don't think that Mr. O'Brien is asking anything improper or unreasonable in an inquiry. Mr. O'Brien, you know the reality and I am sure that Garda Quinn knows the reality. But he is offering an opinion. He is offering an opinion. There it is.

So you said it seemed a bit off. You thought he was getting treated a bit harsh1y.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

798 Q. CHN RMAN That was your impression?

799 Q. CHA RMAN And you weren't making a judgment having heard and given reasonable notice and following fair procedures, gone and interviewing Superintendent Murray and ultimately coming to -- you were just giving an impression that you had from talking to Garda Keogh over the years and talking to Dr. Oghuvbu, is that about the situation?
A. That is exactly it, yes.

800 Q. MR. OBRIEN Just finally, does that remain your view? 16:16 CHA RMAN Say again.

801 Q. MR. O BRIEN Does that remain your view today, Garda Quinn?
A. It does.

MR. OBRIEN Thank you. I have no further questions. 16:16 CHA RMAN Thank you. Now, Mr. O'Higgins, you can explore that if you feel like it but I think Garda Quinn has made it very clear what the basis of his opinion was or at least between himself and myself I think we have made it very clear.

GARDA QU NN YAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS AS FOLLOVS:

802 Q. MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: Good afternoon, Garda Quinn. 16:16
A. Good afternoon.

803 Q. I can be reasonably brief.
A. Yes.

804 Q. Can I bring you first of all towards the end of 2015,

```
    if we can look at the notes which we can deal with very briefly because they have been gone over in some detail. On page 10619 the first entry is the 9th December '15. And if we could go down the page towards, about two-thirds of the way down there is a 16:17 reference to \(27 / 12 / 15\). Do you see that there?
A. Yeah.
805 Q. Thanks, Mr. Kavanagh. So it reads:
"The nember rang to say --"
This is December '15.
"The nember rang to say he had now deci ded to stay on long-termsick leave until he can deal with and rectify hi \(s\) al cohol addi ction. We agai \(n\) spoke about work, money and his life."
The gist of what you were being told at that point, Garda Quinn, is that Garda Keogh had decided to stay on 16:17 long-term sick leave until he can sort out his alcohol problem.
CHA RMAN The 26th was his 1ast day as I recall it.
A. Yes. Yeah. But I suppose might stop drinking and I suppose \(I\) could have put in at the time deal with his work issues.
806 Q. CHA RMAN Get his life together?
A. Yeah.
807 Q. MR. ḾCHEÁL O H GG NS: And then if we look two boxes
```

down for the entry for the 29/12/15 you refer to the member sending you a text. And then you say:
"He said he wasn't sure if he would return to work as a garda. He mentioned that his liver felt sore and admitted to having dr unk two bottles of wi ne si nce 10: 30. "

So, he was relaying to you there he wasn't sure if he would return to work and this again was December '15?
A. Yeah.

808 Q. Then if we can go over the page then to, I want to ask you about Chief Superintendent wheatley, on page 10621, a few pages on, two-thirds of the way done there's an entry for the 26th April '16, you see that there. It says:
"Chi ef Superintendent Weatley rang and di scussed about the possibility of her meeting or visiting the member."

That is something you recall, is it?
A. Very much. Very clearly.

809 Q. And then two entries down, there's another reference to the chief, 18/5/16. You rang different numbers I think for Garda Keogh and he called you back.
"We spoke of the visit of his chi ef --"

So this was after she had visited the house
"-- and the di vi si onal clerk. The chi ef enqui red about his rate of pay, where he was originally fromand if he was in contact with me. He was gi ven a travel voucher."

I think that is a means of getting a member who is -CHA RMAN That's right. In case he didn't have the money.
A. Yeah.

810 Q. MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: Fine. And then if we could again just go over the page, the last entry, there's again 28/5/16, there's a reference to:
"Chi ef Superintendent Wheatley rang and asked if anything could be done to hel p the member get sober. We di scussed what this may take in time."

Do you recal1 that conversation?
A. Yes, very much.

811 Q. All right. And then if we could ask you to go to page 10624, this is a few pages on, there one entry I would ask you to treat of, it's the second entry down, $12 / 7 / 16$, you are referring now to the case conference at headquarters. I simply want to ask you, you have noted there of this case conference in July 2016:
"All in attendance were pleased to hear the member was going for treat ment."
A. Yeah.

812 Q. That's your own note --
A. Yeah.

813 Q. -- of that case conference. A11 right.
A. We11 it speaks to, you know, for anybody to go.

815 Q. CHA RMAN Everybody wanted to see him getting sober?
A. Yeah.

816 Q. MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes. And then if you turn over to page 10626, three pages on, the top entry, this has been mentioned already but $I$ just want to ask you about it, 10626, it's the entry for the 29/9/16, top one there, and this now is at a point in time I think when it has been indicated to Garda Keogh that he is to be restored to full pay, isn't that right?
A. That's right.

817 Q. And there is an offer to return to work and you relayed that to the member, did you?
A. Not on that day, is it? No?

818 Q. Just to assist you, page 10630, the top entry for
A. Oh sorry. Okay. Yes, it was on the wrong page.

819 Q. Do you have it there?
A. Yes, that's the one where I spoke about --

820 Q. "Chi ef Superintendent MELoughl in rang and asked me to cl arify if the client is interested in returning to work in Athl one. The nenber declined the of fer to return to work."
A. It seemed to kind of come out of nowhere. There was no
talk before or after.
821 Q. And you are clear in your mind, are you, you relayed that to Garda Keogh?
A. Oh absolutely, yes.

822 Q. CHAN RMAN I mean, it may have occurred to Chief Superintendent McLough1in --
A. Pardon?

823 Q. CHA RMAN It may have occurred to Chief Superintendent McLough1in, gosh --
A. Nobody has asked him for a while.

824 Q. CHA RMAN -- maybe nobody has asked him would he like to go back?
A. Yeah, maybe somebody had heard that, you know.

825 Q. CHA RMAN whether or which --
A. Yeah.

826 Q. CHA RMAN -- as far as you were concerned it came out of the blue?
A. Yes.

827 Q. CHA RMAN But you were happy to pass it on --
A. Yeah.

828 Q. CHA RMAN -- and say what do you think about it?
A. Yeah.

829 Q. MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: On page 15941, Garda Quinn, the second entry down is the $5 / 1 / 19$, so 15941 . So these are the later ones that were distributed this morning.
A. Yeah.

830 Q. And the second entry down, you spoke earlier of Garda Keogh's honesty that he demonstrated to you in relation to his alcohol condition and stuff?
A. Yeah.

831 Q. There is a reference here again in his honesty of describing an unpleasant streak that he notices he has when he has drink taken?
A. Yeah.

832 Q. What form did that take?
A. Well I don't think $I$ noticed it, but he was telling me that, you know, he would be more likely to be reactive or touchy or he just didn't like how he -- well, he does never like when he is drinking. But he had it categorised as feeling different about himself, being agitated or aggravated or that kind of...
833 Q. A11 right. Just a more general question, you made reference to your earlier acquaintance with, your earlier acquaintance with --
CHA RMAN Take a moment, Mr. O'Higgins, your colleagues are trying to point out something to you, take a second if you want to, so you don't miss it.
MR. ḾCHEÁL O H GG NS: Thank you.
CHA RMAN Otherwise you will be in trouble with them.
834 Q. MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: Thank you, Chairman, I will endeavour to do that. Just while my colleagues are trying to distract me, if $I$ can finish my question. Your previous acquaintance with him, that was in the context of you seeing him again for an earlier, for the 16:24 earlier alcohol addiction, is that right?
A. Yeah. When my colleague used to deal with him, when she was off I would, if he had a difficulty he'd ring me and it was work or alcohol related.
836 Q. CHA RMAN And alcohol was a problem then.
A. Yes.
837 Q. CHA RMAN Well, we know alcohol was a problem --
A. Yeah.
838 Q. CHA RMAN -- for considerable years, we know that going right back to 2012 we know.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

CHA RMAN Sorry, Mr. O'Higgins, take your moment to consult.
MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: Sorry, Chairman, I will just get the page.
CHA RMAN Thanks all right. Mr. O'Higgins, be at ease.
839 Q. MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: Yes, there was one question I 16:25 want to ask you, page 15946, Garda Quinn.
CHA RMAN Yes.
840 Q. MR. MCHEÁL O H GG NS: And about halfway down there is an entry for the 27th August '19. You rang Garda Keogh I think:
"The nember told me that his di sciplinary issues had been dealt with by AC fanning who had si nce retired. He said that he was pleased to have this aspect dealt with and finalised."

So you have noted that. Is that a recollection you have, that Garda Keogh said his disciplinary issues had been dealt with Assistant Commissioner Fanning?
A. Yeah, yeah.

841 Q. And did he elaborate upon that at all?
842 Q. CHA RMAN Do you know what that was, his disciplinary issues?
A. In relation to the three 9 calls that he made. CHA RMAN oh right.
A. The three 9 calls. The 999 calls that he made. 843 Q. MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: 999 calls. I see. And just, if we go back two pages to 15944 , the second entry down from the bottom, there is an entry for 14 th May ' 19 , which says:
"I spoke with the member at 10: 25 . He said that an i nspector and a sergeant had called to hi mto di scuss the report that he had made regarding [blank] and [ bl ank]."

They probably shouldn't be on the screen.
"He hasn't been able to stop drinking to date."

Again you have noted that, was there a particular importance attaching to that, that you have noted it?
A. Well, I suppose I thought considering who he was talking about it. I hadn't heard it before. I hadn't ever heard him mention the people that he mentioned there.

CHA RMAN Yes.
A. So I thought -- normally we just talk about, you know,

Garda stuff.
CHA RMAN I understand.
844 Q. MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: And without --
845 Q. CHA RMAN But this was gardaí telling him about a report that had been completed.
A. Yeah.

846 Q. CHA RMAN Notifying him in other words, is that right?
A. Not --

847 Q. CHA RMAN I don't want to go into too much detai1 obvious7y.
A. Yeah.

848 Q. CHA RMAN But somebody was telling him here is the result.
A. Yeah, somebody told him something and he made a report about it and these two guards came to --
849 Q. CHA RMAK Te11 him the result of the investigation?
A. Or...

CHAN RMAN Okay. Or something.
850 Q. MR. ḾCHEÁL O HGG NS: And were these gentlemen alive? CHA RMAN Were who alive?
MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: The gentlemen the subject of the report. We needn't go back up. It has been redacted since
MR. KELLY: Chairman, I am far from convinced this is actually even on the inquiry never mind within the people at that date were dead and had been dead for sometime.

CHA RMAK okay.

MR. Ḿ CHEÁL O H GG NS: what I am getting at -CHA RMAN So we're not really concerned --
MR. KELLY: It's way off the inquiry.
CHA RMAN -- but whatever they did, somebody came to him and told him the result of an investigation. Is that right? As to which, we are not really concerned. That is my understanding. Now if I am wrong about that, Mr. O'Higgins, tell me.
851 Q.
MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: was it your understanding of matters, Garda Quinn --
A. I never heard him talk about the statement or the report or the incident before.
852 Q. Right. It was a report that he had made, was it?
A. He had made or somebody, as far as I know somebody had told him something about these two people and he had reported --

CHA RMAN But is it of any materiality, Mr. O'Higgins? Mr. Murphy thinks it is of some materiality.
MR. M CHEÁL O H GG NS: I suppose it just goes to the question of -- well, in fairness it is 2019 we're
dealing with. But it perhaps goes to issues of paranoia and so forth, but it is a matter that can be dealt with in submissions.
CHA RMAN If it is there in Garda Quinn's notes then it seems to me that subject to any objection or any submission that is made it may be referred to in the course of a submission or an argument. But I don't think there's much point in pursuing it in questions, Mr. O'Higgins.

MR. M'CHÉL OHGGN: May it please you, Chairman. That concludes my questions.
CHAI RMAN Thank you very much.
ME. O ROURKE: I don't have any questions.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Ms. McGrath, are you happy? I mean, would you like to ask any more questions, Ms. McGrath?
ME. MEGRATH Can I just say one matter arising? CHA RMAN Yes.

## GARDA QU NN WAS THEN RE- EXAM NED BY MS. MtGRATH

853 Q. M. MEGRATH I think at the outset, Garda Quinn, I asked you about the confidentiality of your role and the level of reporting up of specifics or incidents or detail, and you said it was very unusual, is that right?
A. Yeah.

854 Q. But there were exceptions?
A. Yeah. Well, we call it within the service, which is my service and superintendent, we don't call that breaching confidentiality.

855 Q. But I think here on your evidence we see you speaking with Chief Superintendent wheatley --
A. No, I answered her, you know.

856 Q. So there was contact made with you effectively --
A. Oh yes.

857 Q. -- by senior management?
A. Yeah. Yeah, but I mean to say, I would -CHA RMAN You're not discussing with them.
A. No.

CHAN RMAN Your --
A. Because Chief Superintendent wheatley didn't have any contact, she would say I haven't heard from Nick or about Nick, how is he and I would say he's fine or he's whatever. I mean to say, I don't have, I don't have confidentiality and not telling people how somebody is if they ask me. I wouldn't have that as confidential. CHA RMAN There wouldn't be a bar to somebody, if somebody, the chief superintendent rang up and said how 16:31 is he, it would be okay to say he's fine.
A. Like Chief McLough1in asked me on numerous occasions, John Barrett met me in the canteen one day and he said how is my friend or whatever he called him. Most people just say, how is he? Not too many people have asked. But I would always tell, kind of a general thing, okay, or whatever. But I wouldn't be telling them too much apart from how he is.

CHA RMAN okay.
MS. MEGRATH Okay. Thank you, Garda Quinn.
THE WTNESS: okay. CHA RMAK Thanks very much and you are free to go do you now. Thank you very much.
THE WTNESS: Thank you, Chairman.
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| ABSENCE [8] - 10:5, | ACCURATE ${ }_{[1]}$ | [1] - 140:14 ADMINISTRATIVE |  | $158: 18$ |
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| CROSS- | 162:18, 182:6 | [1] - 99: | DESERVING [1] - | 43:28, 45:6, 48:22, |
| EXAMINATION ${ }^{\text {[4] }}$ - | DEAD [2] - 209:27 | DECLAN [2] - | 166:20 | 48:25, 129:2, 153:6, |
| $15: 14,28: 17,73: 22$ | DEAL [30] - 9:23, | $108: 13,148: 11$ | DESMOND [1] - 4:7 | 160:17, 171:16 |
| $174: 14$ | 16:25, 24:16, 30:10 | DECLAN [1] - 3:4 | DESPITE [3]-39:16, | DIFFERENCES [2] - |
| CROSS-EXAMINED | 56:18, 66:4, 73:16, | DECLINED [6] - | 61:26, 93:7 | 44:2, 44:15 |
| [8] - 5:5, 5:13, 5:18, | 77:4, 88:3, 89:18, <br> 101:21, 123:5, 130:9 | 35:6, 39:15, 84:29, 187:27, 188.9, 204.27 | DETAIL [10] - 58:19, | DIFFERENT ${ }_{\text {[28] }}$ |
| 5:19, 6:13, 140:25, | 101:21, 123:5, 130:9, 133.21, 139:18 | 187:27, 188:9, 204:27 | 70:29, 71:18, 71:21, | 14:24, 26:6, 42:8, |
| 194:4, 200:22 | 133:21, 139:18, | DECLINES [1] - 36:7 | 133:6, 168:12, | $42: 24,43: 7,43: 14$ |
| CROSS-EXAMINES | 140:4, 144:23, 147:8, | DECLINING [2] - | 178:24, 201:3, 209:9, | $48: 20,52: 13,52: 29$ |
| [1] - 73:11 | 147:24, 148:3, | 36:28, 188:12 | 211:15 | 60:12, 60:13, 70:28, |
| CRUCIAL [1] - 44:15 | 150:28, 160:1, | DEEM [1] - 55:24 | DETAILS [2] - 88:29, | 71:15, 96:24, 100:29, |
| CRUX [1] - 125:1 | 168:13, 1 | DEEMED [11] - | 90:1 | 129:17, 129:26, |
| CRYSTAL [2] - | 175:26, 181:1, 201:1, | 10:18, 14:3, 38:8, | DETECTIVE [16] - | 135:10, 137:16, |



| 2:18, 2:24, 2:31, 3:28, | 117:10 | EMPHASISED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 57:13, 91:24, 93:7, | 59:15, 93:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:10, 4:15 | E-MAILS [9]-61:4, | 79:4 | 138:6 | ESTABLISHED ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| DUE [14] - 10:9, 14:5, | 123:10, 123:12, | EMPLOYEE [5] - | ENQUIRING [1] - | 1:7 |
| 51:9, 58:14, 83:21, | 129:29, 139:5, 139:9, | 143:9, 150:6, 150:10, | 183:23 | ESTABLISHED ${ }_{[6]}$ - |
| 88:3, 97:8, 99:26, | 140:5, 140:8, 140:12 | 162:8, 179:15 | ENQUIRY [1] - | 8:29, 43:27, 53:12, |
| 117:29, 122:1, | EAMON [1] - 3:9 | EMPLOYEE [10] - | 136:19 | 53:13, 92:11, 125:6 |
| 170:25, 171:27, | EAP [2] - 150:6, | 91:25, 105:27, | ENROLL [1] - 161:21 | ESTABLISHES ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 173:14, 197:18 | 150:14 | 105:29, 106:4, | ENSURE [2] - 91:24, | 59:10 |
| DURING [7] - 169:20, | EARLY [7] - 78:20, | 106:25, 108:22, | 92:3 | ESTABLISHING [1] - |
| 176:4, 181:9, 183:1, | 112:27, 113:8, | 142:19, 142:23, | ENTERS ${ }_{[1]}-81: 28$ | 18:5 |
| 187:10, 187:16, | 170:16, 171:6, | 144:25, 148:25 | ENTIRELY ${ }_{[3]}-52: 5$, | ETCETERA [1] - |
| 190:10 | 173:11, 187:11 | EMPLOYEES [1] - | 70:23, 89:4 | 124:11 |
| DUTIES [14] - 8:14, | EAS [9]-114:21, | 110:21 | ENTIRETY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | ETHER [1] - 53:3 |
| 64:2, 79:2, 79:20, | 133:5, 143:6, 143:9, | EMPLOYMENT [2] - | 34:14 | EVENING [2]-75:27, |
| 79:21, 80:15, 81:25, | 143:23, 144:4, 144:7, | 66:16, 113:13 | ENTITLE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 46:15 | 76:6 |
| 94:19, 99:20, 101:3, | 148:8, 154:11 | ENCAPSULATES ${ }_{[1]}$ | ENTITLED ${ }_{[3]}$ | EVENT [4] - 101:1, |
| 164:12, 164:17, | EASE [3]-164:18, | - 105:20 | 70:26, 199:3 | 120:12, 125:25, |
| 164:20, 164:26 | 168:6, 207:14 | ENCOUNTER ${ }_{[1]}$ - | ENTITLEMENT ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 188:29 |
| DUTY [53] - 14:4, | EFFECT [7]-56:11, | 178:19 | 72:21, 92:29 | EVENTS [8] - 30:25, |
| 51:20, 52:15, 53:7, | $98: 15,98: 23,101: 9$ | ENCOUNTERING ${ }_{[1]}$ | ENTRIES [8] - | 39:28, 87:17, 115:7, |
| 58:13, 58:19, 79:11, | 148:29, 163:24, | - 196:16 | 168:27, 169:2, 170:6, | 115:13, 146:3, |
| 79:12, 80:9, 80:13, | 167:19 | ENCOURAGE [1] - | 173:25, 175:3, 184:1, | 155:19, 156:6 |
| 80:21, 81:20, 82:4, | EFFECTIVELY [15] - | 180:11 | 187:21, 202:23 | EVENTUALLY ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| 82:7, 83:7, 83:12, | 82:2, 102:28, 108:25, | ENCOURAGING ${ }_{[1]}$ | ENTRY [24]-33:26, | 186:24, 186:26 |
| 83:22, 84:9, 84:15, | 119:23, 136:12, | - 144:13 | 167:4, 168:5, 173:11, | EVIDENCE [58] - |
| 95:4, 97:4, 98:12, | 136:18, 137:28, | END [6] - 77:11, | 173:12, 175:8, | 6:17, 8:9, 11:24, |
| 98:16, 98:17, 98:19, | 138:25, 139:9, | 95:14, 103:12, | 188:16, 189:12, | 15:16, 18:3, 24:1, |
| 99:18, 100:2, 100:3, | 139:29, 142:21, | 104:13, 140:23, | 190:24, 191:10, | 25:5, 25:13, 26:28, |
| 100:8, 101:15, | 145:20, 171:20, | 141:22 | 201:3, 202:1, 202:15, | 34:26, 35:13, 36:8, |
| 101:28, 102:23, | 177:21, 211:25 | END [13] - 26:10, | 203:12, 203:22, | 36:20, 38:23, 40:11, |
| 105:23, 111:26, | EFFECTIVENESS | 40:24, 52:19, 57:27, | 203:23, 204:10, | 41:20, 46:21, 47:26, |
| 123:29, 124:24, | [2] - 115:15, 157:4 | 89:15, 101:29, | 204:12, 204:20, | $47: 28,57: 16,61: 5$ |
| 125:15, 125:24, | EFFECTS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 129:9 | 151:29, 165:8, 168:1, | 205:24, 205:27, | $64: 24,66: 6,66: 13,$ |
| 125:28, 126:20, | EFFORT [2] - | 172:16, 173:26, | 207:19, 208:9, 208:10 | $67: 28,79: 4,84: 29$ |
| 127:25, 128:15, | 114:26, 154:14 | 194:15, 200:29 | ENVIRONMENT [1] - | 85:7, 87:21, 88:3, |
| 128:21, 129:22, | EFFORTS ${ }_{[1]}-59: 5$ | ENDEAVOUR [2] - | 129:14 | 92:2, 94:24, 96:21, |
| 130:17, 130:21, | EGAN [1] - 3:25 | 28:22, 206:22 | ENVISAGE [1] - | 96:26, 104:1, 118:2, |
| 133:29, 137:13, | EGAN [2] - 52:1, | ENDED [1] - 24:4 | 100:6 | 118:26, 119:3, 125:5, |
| 153:6, 153:10, | 158:12 | ENDING [5] - 177:6, | ENVISAGED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 134:5, 136:13, |
| 175:15, 175:20 | EITHER [4] - 67:29, | 186:27, 187:20, | 138:22 | 137:18, 150:17, |
| DUTY.. [1]-81:9 | 98:29, 103:2, 163:2 | 188:16, 190:24 | EOIN [1] - 2:28 | 151:10, 151:12, |
| DÁlL [1] - 1:4 <br> DÁlL [1] - 135:14 | ELABORATE ${ }_{[1]}$ | ENGAGE [8] - 46:22, | EPISODES ${ }_{[1]}$ | 154:1, 161:26, |
| DÁIL [1] - 135:14 | 208:2 | 48:13, 48:16, 48:23, | 176:24 | 162:25, 163:14, |
| $E$ | ELEMENT [1] - 29:12 ELEMENTS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 6,112: 17,113: 11 \\ & \text { 191:6 } \end{aligned}$ | EQUAL [1] - 190:23 <br> EQUALITY ${ }_{[1]}-1: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 167:19, 173:10, } \\ & \text { 174:13, 181:25, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 180:24 | ENGAGED ${ }_{\text {[1] - }}$ | EQUATION [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 193:1, 193:26, 199:8, } \\ & 211: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ELICIT [1] - 30:27 <br> ELIZABETH [1] - | 160:8 <br> ENGAGEMENT ${ }^{[2]}$ - | $26: 12$ <br> ESCALATE ${ }_{[1] ~-~}^{\text {- }}$ | EVIDENCE ${ }_{[1]}-1: 8$ |
| $55: 8,95: 26,120: 28$ | 2:29 | $42: 15,119: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESCALATE [1] - } \\ & \text { 146:22 } \end{aligned}$ | EVIDENTIAL[1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:16, 123:1, } \\ & \text { 123:19, 123:21, } \end{aligned}$ | ELUCIDATE [3] - | ENGAGES ${ }_{[1]}-13: 8$ | ESPECIALLY [2] - | 198:17 |
| 124:13, 124:19, | 30:26, 33:23, 33:25 | ENGAGING [1] - | 182:14, 198:29 | EVOLVING [1] - 7:29 |
| 126:17, 128:23, | EM [4] - 107:29, | 65:12 | ESSENCE [2] - | EXACTLY [9]- |
| 129:27, 131:26, | 145:29, 156:11, 181:4 | ENGLISH ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 62:19, 72:23 | 29:10, 117:26, 118:7, |
| 132:3, 132:16, | EMBARKING [1] - | 117:15 | ESTABLISH [23] - | 142:26, 144:11, |
| 132:18, 133:21, | 46:25 | ENGRAINED [1] - | $7: 28,9: 14,9: 16,9: 19$ | 147:9, 156:2, 197:5, 200:9 |
| 134:2, 135:21, | EMBARRASS ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | 191:28 | 11:17, 11:19, 11:25, | EXAMINATION [6] - |
| 135:24, 136:3, 139:3, | 26:21 | $203 \cdot 2$ | 11:26, 12:7, 15:21, | 77:11, 95:14, 103:12, |
| 139:4, 139:7, 139:18 | EMMA [1] - 3:26 | 203:2 | 15:23, 16:5, 16:6, | 104:13, 140:23, |
| E-MAIL.. [1] - 139:26 | EMPATHY [2] - <br> 160:17, 179:16 | ENQUIRIES $[7]-$ $9: 12,51: 23,56: 10$, | 18:1, 18:5, 18:9, 19:7, $20: 15,50: 20,59: 6,$ | 141:22 |


| EXAMINATION ${ }^{\text {[4] }}$ - | 171:14 | 168:19, 170:19, | FEATURE ${ }_{\text {[4] - }}$ | 157:29, 158:1, 158:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:14, 28:17, 73:22, | EXPLAINING [1] - | 175:11, 189:20, | 57:28, 190:7, 192:1, | FIREARMS ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 174:14 | 10:8 | 192:3, 197:1, 197:21 | 195:9 | 164:14 |
| EXAMINED [20] - | EXPLICITLY ${ }_{[1]}$ | FACTOR [3] - 89:14, | FEBRUARY [2] - 1:5, | FIRMLY [1] - 130:17 |
| 5:5, 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, | 46:21 | 152:14, 197:29 | 1:9 | FIRST [52] - 8:20, |
| 5:12, 5:13, 5:17, 5:18, | EXPLORATION ${ }^{11]}$ - | FACTORS ${ }_{[1]}-87: 4$ | FEBRUARY ${ }_{\text {[8] - }}$ | 9:13, 12:1, 12:19, |
| 5:19, 5:20, 6:13, | 17:6 | FACTS [15] - 7:28, | 63:10, 64:1, 88:27, | 14:3, 17:6, 17:20, |
| 77:22, 95:20, 103:20, | EXPLORE [6] - | 9:14, 9:16, 9:19, | 119:8, 182:19, | 18:1, 18:4, 19:28, |
| 104:27, 140:25, | 26:25, 26:29, 37:19, | 11:25, 11:27, 15:22, | 182:26, 189:10 | 21:21, 21:27, 21:28, |
| 142:9, 194:4, 200:22, | 39:3, 65:19, 200:17 | 15:23, 18:1, 18:5, | FELL [1] - 122:14 | 28:4, 30:5, 30:25, |
| 211:11 | EXPLORING [3] - | 18:6, 18:9, 19:7, | FELT [11] - 46:13, | 30:26, 30:29, 32:19, |
| EXAMINES ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 18:11, 19:7, 25:29 | 38:29, 198:12 | 65:16, 137:25, | 34:22, 34:24, 42:7, |
| 73:11 | EXPRESS [1] - 76:17 | FACTUAL [2] - | 171:26, 176:24, | 42:18, 55:23, 64:13, |
| EXAMPLE [13] - | EXPRESSED [6] - | 49:25, 51:2 | 179:29, 180:13, | 72:13, 72:18, 78:10, |
| 8:10, 11:27, 12:15, | 42:8, 134:18, 135:11, | FAHY [2] - 165:17, | 180:14, 197:3, 202:5 | 78:18, 83:28, 96:6, |
| 45:20, 82:22, 88:10, | 146:21, 154:22, | 165:25 | FEMALE [2] - 148:3, | 102:27, 108:5, |
| 105:27, 139:15, | 191:13 | FAILED [4] - 72:19, | 190:27 | 108:29, 110:6, 114:9, |
| 146:15, 149:27, | EXPRESSING [3] - | 72:20, 92:28, 94:19 | FEMALES [1] - 148:2 | 126:15, 131:26, |
| 195:24, 196:25, | 130:23, 136:17, | FAILURE [1] - 57:10 | FERGAL [1] - 2:26 | 138:16, 144:7, |
| 197:25 | 184:25 | FAIR [23]-7:11, | FERRY ${ }_{[1]}-2: 15$ | 145:20, 147:22, |
| EXCELLENT [1] - | EXTENDED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 7:12, 15:11, 29:7, | FEW [12] - 54:9, | 151:8, 151:13, |
| 167:23 | 7:11 | 34:1, 34:3, 34:4, | 66:20, 74:15, 146:6, | 151:18, 154:27, |
| EXCEPTION ${ }_{[1]}$ | EXTENSI | 34:21, 34:27, 45:10, | 155:13, 166:23, | 159:22, 165:9, |
| 146:29 | 36:20, 36:25, 37:22 | 45:13, 46:5, 52:3, | 183:12, 196:14 | 167:28, 168:18, |
| EXCEPTIONS [3] - | 46:21, 66:7, 66:9, | 66:14, 80:18, 173:28, | 196:25, 202:14, | 200:29, 201:3 |
| 145:3, 146:20, 211:18 | 66:13 | 185:17, 185:18, | 203:22 | FISHING [1] - 26:21 |
| EXCESSIVELY [1] - | EXTENSIVELY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 186:10, 189:26, | FIDDLE [1] - 29:21 | FIT [31] - 10:18, |
| 88:26 | 63:3 | 190:12, 196:19, 200:3 | FIELD [1] - 81:29 | 10:27, 14:2, 14:4, |
| EXECUTIVE [8] - | EXTENT [3] - 101:24, | FAIRLY [5] - 13:22, | FIGURE [1] - 165:26 | 14:6, 24:24, 25:2, |
| 9:10, 51:26, 52:2, | 196:29, 197:25 | 38:15, 179:13, 182:5, | FILE [3]-83:8, | 26:2, 38:8, 38:9, |
| 53:10, 54:7, 128:7, | EXTERNAL [2] - 9:6, | 197:9 | 86:25, 95:7 | 40:20, 56:23, 68:3, |
| $128: 9,136: 1$ | $51: 25$ | FAIRNESS [2]-24:1, | FILES [1] - 81:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:19, 68:20, 79:11, } \\ & 79: 18, ~ 79: 20 . ~ 80: 14 . ~ \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXECUTIVE [1] - } \\ & 3: 12 \end{aligned}$ | EYE [1] - 57:12 | $210: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FILLED [2] - 97:18, } \\ & \text { 138:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 18,79: 20,80: 14, \\ & 80: 20,80: 24,80: 26, \end{aligned}$ |
| 3:12 <br> EXERCISE $\qquad$ | $F$ | $\text { FALL [1] - } 126$ | 138:23 | $98: 12,100: 3,100: 8$ |
| 26:21 | $F$ | 128:18 | 52:19, 54:26, 84:6, | FITNESS [2] - 79:2, |
| EXHAUSTED ${ }_{[2]}$ - <br> 109:15, 110:17 |  | $\text { FALLS }_{[1]}-51: 27$ | $101: 18,106: 3,123: 4$ | $97: 4$ <br> FITZWILLIAM ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:15, 110:17 } \\ & \text { EXHIBIT [1] - 120:27 } \end{aligned}$ | $44: 2,73: 22,129: 27,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FAMILIAR [8] - 7:9, } \\ & 7: 16,58: 28,59: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINALISED [1] - } \\ & \text { 207:25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FITZWILLIAM [1] - } \\ & 4: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| EXPECT [9]-11:27, | 157:16 | 77:29, 78:3, 78:24, | FINALLY [5] - 84:19, | FIVE ${ }_{[12]}-7: 3,7: 4$, |
| $16: 28,17: 2,28: 14$ | FACILITATE ${ }_{\text {[4] }}$ - | 90:15 | 94:17, 102:21, 113:6, | 7:5, 46:12, 73:26, |
| 29:12, 30:2, 30:7, | 91:21, 115:28, 162:5, | FAMILIARITY ${ }_{[2]}$ - | $200: 10$ | 74:2, 93:26, 142:26, |
| 98:11, 98:13 | 164:26 | 7:7, 7:13 | NE [10] - 40:14, | 162:15, 162:17, |
| EXPECTED [2] - | FACILITATED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | FANNING [1] | 52:23, 58:15, 64:12, | 163:1, 171:15 |
| 71:17, 172:3 | 117:24 | 207:23 | 141:15, 193:24, | FLAGGED [1] - |
| EXPENDITURE [2] - | FACILITY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | FANNING [1] | 203:11, 212:5, 212:11 | 120:9 |
| 106:20, 109:20 | 112:19 | 207:29 | FINED [3] - 166:3, | FLAVOUR [1] - |
| EXPENSIVE [2] - | FACING [1] - 140:1 | FAR [20] - 13:23 | $166: 5,166: 14$ | 173:4 |
| 162:20, 162:22 | FACT [35] - 7:10, | 19:3, 19:13, 19:15, | FINISH [2] - 73:29, | FLICK [1] - 151:28 |
| EXPERIENCE ${ }_{[7]}$ - | 13:16, 20:7, 30:5, | 32:1, 43:26, 46:27, | 206:23 | FLYNN [1] - 3:19 |
| 7:29, 32:2, 80:1, | 42:3, 70:22, 71:20, | 46:29, 65:28, 71:1, | FINISHED | FOCUS [3]-78:19, |
| 86:12, 106:24, 126:8, | 73:8, 78:19, 80:7, | 71:18, 112:24, | $104: 16,128: 1$ | 78:20, 133:12 |
| 176:12 | 85:22, 85:24, 87:22, | 161:26, 165:24, | $167: 1,174: 21$ | FOCUSED [1] - 89:4 |
| EXPERIENCING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 89:11, 89:19, 103:1, | 167:12, 171:17, | $\operatorname{FINN}_{[2]}-2: 20,3: 5$ | FOCUSES ${ }_{[1]}$ - 89:1 |
| 89:13 | 103:24, 109:12, | 172:3, 205:16, | FINN [4] - 74:28, | FOLLOW [9] - 28:5, |
| EXPERTISE [1] - | 109:28, 110:27, | 209:24, 210:14 | $75: 2,77: 4,186: 25$ | 28:9, 28:15, 57:14, |
| 131:2 | 117:16, 118:23, | FARRELL [1] - 4:6 | FINTAN [1] - 2:20 | $67: 28,68: 6,123: 17$ |
| EXPLAIN ${ }_{\text {[4] - 21:1, }}$ | 129:19, 130:8, | FAST [1] - 134:24 | FIONA [8] - 107:29, | 143:13, 166:11 |
| 28:24, 45:25, 144:20 | 150:23, 152:8, | FASTER [1] - 38:27 | 108:24, 112:5, | FOLLOW- |
| EXPLAINED [1] - | 167:26, 168:4, | FAULT ${ }_{[2]}$ - 8:2, 8:7 | 139:19, 151:14, | THROUGH ${ }_{[1]}$ - 68:6 |


| FOLLOWED [1] - | FOUR [15] - 23:27, | G | $98: 15,99: 29,103: 5$ | 200:12, 200:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOLLOWING [8] - | 25:14, 25:21, 25:26, |  | 105:7, 105:11, | 202:25, 204:14, |
| 1:25, 28:17, 54:5, | 96:24, 97:1, 100:24, | GADGET [1] - 29:20 | 105:24, 105:25, | 205:3, 205:23 |
| 121:21, 135:19, | 110:14, 110:18, | GALWAY [9]-16:11, | 105:27, 105:29, | 205:27, 207:16, |
| 135:21, 139:15, 200:3 | 110:21, 189:18 | 35:17, 35:18, 35:28, | 106:4, 106:10, | 207:19, 207:28, |
| FOLLOWING [1] - | FOURTH [1] - 75:3 | 35:29, 38:2, 39:14, | 106:15, 106:16, | 209:1, 210:10, |
| 1:4 | FRANK [2] - 55:7, | 62:15, 108:14 | 106:17, 106:18, | 210:24, 211:12, |
| FOLLOWS [2] - | 55:9 | GAME [2] - 26:10, | 107:10, 109:11, | 212:20 |
| 136:27, 194:18 | FRAY [1] - 140:8 | 135:15 | 109:27, 110:12, | GARDA [2] - 29:6, |
| FOLLOWS [12]-6:1, | FREE [5] - 94:9, | GARDA [15] - 2:9, | 110:23, 113:8, | 202:5 |
| 6:13, 74:9, 77:23, | 169:7, 172:27 | 2:26, 2:27, 2:27, 3:2, | 114:22, 118:22, | GARDAÍ ${ }_{[1]}$ - 142:18 |
| 95:21, 103:20, | 190:14, 212:22 | 3:10, 3:10, 3:11, 3:21, | 122:19, 125:14, | GARDAÍ [1] - 209:4 |
| 104:20, 104:27, | FREELY [1] - 89:24 | 4:12, 5:15, 142:8, | 126:9, 126:10, | GEAS [3] - 160:4, |
| 140:26, 142:9, 194:5, | FREEMAN [1] - 2:21 | 194:4, 200:22, 211:11 | 126:14, 131:1, | 160:9, 165:12 |
| 200:23 | FREQUENT [1] - | GARDA [293] - 6:16, | 131:11, 131:18, | GENERAL [36] - |
| FOOT [1] - 64:16 | 59:9 | 9:17, 9:18, 9:27, | 131:23, 131:28, | 9:16, 11:26, 16:22, |
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| PREVIOUSLY [4] - | PROGRAMME [13] - | PROVIDED [12] - |  | 29:14, 31:10, 37:21, |
| 48:25, 77:28, 105:7, | 115:16, 157:6, | 33:27, 36:21, 88:1, | Q | 38:21, 39:29, 46:16, |
| 148:26 | 161:22, 162:10, | 89:16, 89:21, 94:29, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 18,67: 4,69: 12, \\ & 77: 3,127: 7,135: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIMARY [2] - 38:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:28, 165:10, } \\ & \text { 167:3, 185:8, 185:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 18,137: 16 \\ & \text { 150:18, 167:29, } \end{aligned}$ | QUALIFIED [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 3,127: 7,135: 5 \\ & 141: 11,158: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRINCIPLE [1] - | 186:2, 186:10, 191:7, | 168:3, 168:7 | 54:16, 100:1, 180:22 | 160:9, 163:1, 168:4, |
| 128:1 | 194:23 | PROVIDES [1] - | QUARTERS [1] - | 173:28, 192:9 |
| PRINCIPLED ${ }_{\text {[1] - }}$ | PROGRAMMES ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 96:13 | 151:27 QUAY [3]-2:23, | R |
| 73:20 | 177:8 | PROVISION [2] - | $2: 30,4: 14$ | R |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRIORITISE [1] - } \\ & 113: 12 \end{aligned}$ | PROGRESS [4] - 56:3, 67:8, 74:23, | 22:14, 137:28 PROVISION | QUESTIONING [4] - |  |
| PRIORITISED ${ }_{[1]}$ | $145: 26$ | 98: | 18:28, 28:22, 29:2, | RAISE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 98:7 |
| 91:24 | PROGRESS | $98: 4$ PSYCH | 73:22 | RAISED [3] - 155:22, |
| PRIORIT | 13:20, 125:11 | LY [1] - 153:12 | QUESTIONS [54] - | 159:19, 195:15 |
| PRIVATE [3] - 55:4, | PROLONGED [1] - | PUBLIC [2]-106:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 16,14: 18,15: 9 \\ & 15: 15,15: 20,16: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAISING [2] - 58:26, } \\ & \text { 129:4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 162:13, 165:20 | 191:5 | 109:19 | 19:17, 24:4, 24:5, | RAISON [1] - 161:2 |
| PROBLEM [20] - | PROMOTED [1] - | PUBLIC [2] - 196:26, | $28: 13,28: 29,29: 5$ | RANG [28] - 169:10, |
| 44:20, 44:21, 74:3, | 6:25 | 197:11 | $29: 28,30: 10,33: 2$ | 172:5, 177:26, |
| 89:8, 89:11, 89:19, | PROMOTION [1] - | PULL [3]-29:20, | 35:6, 38:1, 38:21, | 178:17, 180:28, |
| 127:10, 127:13, | 189:4 | $29: 22,61: 6$ | $38: 27,49: 4,57: 7$ | 183:4, 183:7, 183:14, |
| 129:12, 129:19, | PROOF [1] - 61:20 | PULLED [1] - 57:29 | $63: 29,64: 27,65: 5$ | 184:17, 187:1, |
| 147:10, 153:5, | PROPER [1] - $28: 5$ | PULLS [1] - 129:1 | 68:25, 69:16, 69:29, | 187:24, 187:25, |
| 157:14, 179:25, 181:8, 189:19, 195:7, | 44:13, 69:9 | PULSE [1] - 164:13 | 72:10, 72:26, 73:9, | 188:4, 189:3, 189:25, |
| 201:22, 207:2, 207:4 | 44:13, $69: 9,86: 27$ PROPOSAL [1] - | PURELY [1]-34:16 PURPOSE [9] - | 74:15, 77:9, 77:19, | 190:27, 190:28, |
| PROBLEMATIC ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 129:25 | 20:29, 29 | 78:10, 79:5, 84:22, | 191:3, 192:25, |
| 192:21 | PROPOSE [2] - | 50:19, 55:5, 55:29, | 108:28, 115:10, | 96:14, 201:10, |
| PROBLEMS [2] - | 62:22, 129:23 | 66:15, 75:23, 101:12 | 141:25, 141:26, | 202:24, 203:15, |
| 87:9, 87:11 | PROPOSED [3] - | PURPOSES [7] - | 151:7, 151:11, | 204:25, 207:19, |
| PROCEDURE [2] - | 136:9, 136:24, 136:26 | 27:27, 27:29, 50:9, | 151:12, 178:29, | 212:10 |
| 20:22, 20:28 | PROPOSING [1] - | 61:17, 61:22, 74:26, | 179:5, 193:9, 200:15 | RANGING [1] - 27:15 |
| PROCEDURES [3] - | 22:9 | 102:1 | $210: 28,211: 2,211: 4$ | RANK [3] - 59:21, |


| 64:3, 138:8 | 86:4, 100:4, 106:3, | 114:18, 115:21, | REFERENCE [43] - | 154:1, 174:15, 183:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RAPPORT [8] - 29:4, | 106:26, 116:12, | 116:16, 120:14, | 45:27, 58:16, 60:1, | REGARDED [1] - |
| 29:12, 30:1, 30:2, | 125:4, 146:28, | 122:28, 124:28, | 61:26, 78:18, 78:28, | 101:28 |
| 30:3, 30:7, 34:26, | 147:25, 150:5, | 131:10, 131:14, | 79:21, 80:8, 80:27, | REGARDING [8] - |
| 182:16 | 153:25, 167:16, | 155:22, 159:5, | 80:29, 82:6, 88:17, | 83:7, 83:12, 96:2, |
| RATE [13] - 101:18, | 172:15, 174:2, | 159:21, 194:13, | 88:18, 89:29, 93:26, | 117:1, 117:3, 164:25, |
| 109:5, 109:13, | 175:22, 176:25, | 207:27 | 98:1, 99:12, 100:4, | 191:4, 208:15 |
| 109:14, 109:22, | 178:27, 179:19, | RECOMMENDATIO | 103:25, 105:27, | REGARDS [4] - |
| 109:29, 110:17, | 188:13, 210:2, 210:6 | N [2] - 54:27, 92:14 | 111:3, 114:3, 117:15, | 116:10, 126:8, |
| 110:27, 111:3, 111:5, | REASON ${ }^{115]}$ - | RECOMMENDATIO | 122:26, 146:16, | 126:27, 165:13 |
| 151:22, 183:23, 203:3 | 12:26, 14:10, 119:24, | NS [1] - 83:9 | 151:26, 154:8, | REGISTRAR ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| RATHER [4] - 153:2, | 120:13, 120:19, | RECOMMENDING | 168:19, 169:1, | 90:17 |
| 164:12, 164:15, | 120:24, 125:24, | [1] - 115:29 | 169:17, 170:6, | REGISTRAR ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:5 |
| 185:19 | 131:21, 134:14, | RECORD [9] - | 174:17, 175:2, 177:6, | REGULAR [4] - 8:13, |
| RATIONALE [1] - | 165:23, 169:21, | 114:15, 120:27, | 177:10, 185:7, | 25:5, 25:7, 193:5 |
| 10:21 | 174:28, 188:11, | 151:29, 152:13, | 186:13, 189:1, 201:6, | REGULARLY ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| RE [4]-5:7, 5:20, | 191:28 | 167:7, 167:20, | 202:23, 203:13, | 88:21 |
| 95:20, 211:11 | REASONABLE [4] - | 180:28, 185:3, 189:23 | 206:2, 206:14 | REGULATIONS ${ }_{[19]}$ |
| $\mathbf{R E}[4]-35: 6,65: 11$ | 40:17, 41:15, 73:28, | RECORDED [19] - | REFERENCES ${ }_{[6]}$ - | $-7: 9,66: 18,66: 25$ |
| 176:7, 188:22 | $200: 3$ | $85: 15,94: 2,110: 4$ | $60: 2,60: 5,88: 7$ | $66: 28,67: 4,84: 13$ |
| RE-EXAMINED [4] | REASONABLY ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 110:6, 122:8, 123:1, | 105:16, 180:25, | 100:13, 109:18, |
| 5:7, 5:20, 95:20, | 180:12, 200:27 | 152:3, 153:2, 153:28, | 182:17 | 110:12, 110:14, |
| 211:11 | REASONING [1] - | 154:5, 157:16, | REFERENCING [3] - | 110:20, 125:16, |
| RE-INFORMED [1] - | 152:7 | 157:20, 159:19, | 155:6, 170:22, 175:29 | 125:17, 125:20, |
| 65:11 | REASONS [3] - 64:7, | 167:13, 167:20, | REFERRAL [10]- | 125:21, 125:22, |
| RE-READ [1] - 176:7 | 133:17, 155:3 | 174:21, 175:18, | 7:25, 7:27, 18:10, | 134:20, 153:8 |
| REACTING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | REASSURE [1] - | 181:5, 194:14 | 23:29, 44:9, 51:16, | REHABILITATE ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 49:10 | 44:27 | RECORDING [8] - | 73:1, 97:17, 98:5, | 112:19 |
| REACTION ${ }_{[1]}$ - | RECEIPT [2] - 52:8, | 110:3, 160:28, | 150:1 | REHABILITATION |
| 191:13 | 53:21 | 167:23, 167:24, | REFERRED [19] - | [8] - 109:13, 109:28, |
| REACTIVE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | RECEIVE [2] - 67:22, | 167:25, 170:28, | 14:2, 16:4, 18:21, | 118:24, 161:22, |
| 206:8 | 194:28 | 176:11, 186:21 | 23:1, 31:29, 44:12, | 162:1, 162:28, |
| READ [10] - 45:29, | RECEIVED [3] - | RECORDS [1] - | 56:22, 56:25, 58:16, | 185:24, 191:6 |
| 88:7, 93:17, 95:24, | 55:8, 186:16, 191:15 | 79:29 | 60:21, 88:13, 95:24, | REJECT [7] - 70:23, |
| 109:23, 123:28, | RECEIVING [1] - | RECOUP [1] - 129:7 | 96:16, 97:3, 99:11, | 72:9, 92:29, 93:2, |
| 146:10, 176:5, 176:6, | 160:7 | RECOVERY [1] - | 117:11, 143:15, | 94:23, 95:1, 95:5 |
| 176:7 | RECENT [1] - 190:20 | 113:12 | 156:10, 210:26 | RELATE [3] - 84:8, |
| READING [2] - | RECENTLY [6] - | RECTIFIED [1] - | REFERRING [7] - | 87:11, 132:3 |
| 14:12, 52:23 | 93:23, 143:16, 168:4, | 172:22 | 23:28, 47:21, 58:4, | RELATED [165] - |
| READS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 201:8 | 172:12, 192:6, 192:28 | RECTIFY [3] - 66:17, | 98:5, 131:28, 181:25, | 7:19, 7:20, 7:22, 7:27, |
| READY [3] - 116:5, | RECIPIENT [7] - | 181:1, 201:15 | 203:24 | 9:15, 9:26, 10:4, 10:9, |
| 154:29, 155:3 | 139:6, 139:9, 140:7, | REDACTED [2] - | REFERS [1] - 156:14 | 10:10, 10:17, 10:20, |
| REAL [1] - 65:24 | 156:12, 156:17, | 52:4, 209:23 | REFLECTED [5] - | 10:26, 11:12, 11:13, |
| REALISE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 156:25, 156:29 | REDDY [1] - 4:9 | 10:25, 12:29, 13:18, | 11:15, 11:28, 12:13, |
| 132:12 | RECIPIENTS [2] - | REDUCED [10] - | 113:29, 185:26 | 13:6, 14:9, 16:1, |
| REALISTIC [1] - 61:8 | 123:24, 124:15 | 102:6, 111:3, 111:5, | REFLECTING [2] - | 16:24, 18:12, 19:27, |
| REALISTICALLY ${ }_{[1]}$ | RECLASSIFICATIO | 124:5, 125:17, 127:3, | 170:1, 184:1 | 20:1, 20:8, 20:13, |
| - 32:28 | $\mathbf{N}$ [1] - 184:3 | 127:12, 134:13, | REFLECTION [2] - | 20:22, 21:7, 21:19, |
| REALITY [3] - 38:29, | RECOGNISED [2] - | 152:27, 169:17 | 69:14, 196:19 | $21: 23,22: 7,22: 11$ |
| 199:22, 199:23 | 124:26, 128:25 | REDUCING [1] - | REFLECTS [4] - $88.4,96.6,121.14$ | $23: 9,25: 15,26: 4$ |
| REALLY ${ }_{\text {[49] - 8:16, }}$ | RECOGNISES [1] 16:2 | 134:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 88:4, 96:6, 121:14, } \\ & \text { 173:27 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 20,28: 2,29: 16, \\ & 30: 13,30: 17,31: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12:29, 13:25, 21:1, $33: 5,37: 18,38: 19$, | 16:2 ${ }^{\text {RECOGNITION }}$ [2] - | 98:21 | REFORM [2] - | $31: 8,34: 7,34: 16,$ |
| 38:21, 40:27, 41:26, | 129:12, 129:15 | REFER [17] - 11:14, | 106:20, 109:20 | 36:3, 36:9, 38:14, |
| 50:8, 53:6, 55:27, | RECOLLECT [2] - | 14:7, 21:29, 22:24, | REFUSED [1] - 82:28 | $39: 29,40: 1,40: 3$ |
| 57:5, 62:13, 65:18, | 197:1, 197:4 | 22:27, 23:10, 26:1, | REGARD ${ }_{[13]}-7: 15$, 69:4 98.24 109:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 5,40: 16,41: 8 \\ & 44: 8,44: 11,45: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 65:25, 65:28, 66:3, | RECOLLECTION | 28:5, 44:16, 75:3, | $69: 4,98: 24,109: 4$ | $44: 8,44: 11,45: 12,$ |
| 67:1, 70:28, 71:7, | [20]-107:17, 109:7, | 82:9, 103:24, 150:3, | 111:4, 116:22, | $45: 15,46: 4,46: 8,$ |
| $72: 13,74: 22,75: 21$ | $110: 5,112: 21,113: 3$ | 150:8, 181:26, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:23, 140:1, } \\ & \text { 145:26, 151:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 2,47: 7,47: 18 \\ & 51: 21,52: 28,53: 2 \end{aligned}$ |



| REVIEWING [1] - | 143:22 | 199:5 | SELF [2] - 145:4, | 96:26, 96:27, 168:20, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 164:14 | SAMS [4] - 111:9, | SECRETARY $\left.{ }^{3}\right]$ - | 145:6 | 168:23 |
| REVISED [1] - | 111:22, 167:20, | 55:5, 58:10, 165:18 | SEND [18] - 18:9, | SERGEANTS ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 109:18 | 167:23 | SECTION [14] - | 24:22, 24:29, 26:9, | 106:16 |
| REVISIT [1] - 194:10 | SANDRA [1] - 4:7 | 54:18, 105:20, | 26:17, 35:3, 38:4, | SERGEANTS ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| REWARD [1] - | SATISFACTION ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 106:14, 107:19, | 39:22, 49:15, 49:24, | 12:16 |
| 165:29 | 173:16, 173:20 | 107:22, 115:27, | 51:24, 59:26, 64:17, | SERIES [1] - 123:10 |
| REWARD ${ }_{[1]}$ - 163:7 | SATISFACTORY ${ }_{[1]}$ | 120:19, 125:9, | 64:29, 67:10, 76:24, | SERIOUS [8] - 22:22, |
| REWRITE [1] - | - 73:18 | 129:27, 138:29, | 76:25, 135:20 | 22:23, 24:21, 40:22, |
| 196:20 | SATISFIED [6] - | 142:17, 142:23, | SENDING [5] - | 114:11, 146:21, |
| RIGHTLY [1] - 80:4 | 45:7, 46:23, 53:14, | 155:29, 163:6 | 26:16, 40:19, 40:24, | 176:25 |
| RIGOROUS [1] - | 65:14, 102:24, 137:7 | SECTION [9] - 54:23, | 68:2, 202:2 | SERVED [2] - |
| 102:21 | SAVAGE [1] - 3:16 | 54:28, 107:21, 108:2, | SENIOR [6] - 42:20, | 147:26, 174:1 |
| RING [8] - 146:3, | SAVE [1] - 154:27 | 108:20, 118:10, | 94:5, 145:24, 145:26, | SERVICE [5] - 78:24, |
| 147:10, 147:28, | SAW [9]-56:29, | 120:20, 129:4, 152:19 | 149:25, 211:27 | 113:23, 143:9, 162:9, |
| 165:5, 177:2, 189:16, | 66:5, 88:27, 122:24, | SECTIONS [3] - | SENSE [8]-21:6, | 179:15 |
| 191:22, 206:28 | 127:26, 143:15, | 115:24, 120:21, | 25:8, 65:18, 82:1, | SERVICE [9]-7:3, |
| RINGING [10] - | 149:1, 171:26, 172:12 | 143:23 | 82:12, 138:26, | 91:26, 114:26, 144:6, |
| 37:13, 189:17, | SC [11] - 2:6, 2:7, | SEE [81] - 14:9, | 147:16, 149:25 | 144:9, 144:15, |
| 192:12, 192:14, | 2:9, 2:15, 2:20, 2:27, | 21:23, 24:24, 33:17, | SENSITIVE [4] - | 148:24, 211:19, |
| 192:16, 192:17, | 3:22, 3:23, 3:23, 4:7, | 33:26, 43:13, 55:18, | 32:16, 42:3, 69:17, | 211:20 |
| 192:18, 192:22, | 4:8 | 62:6, 63:15, 64:9, | 124:9 | SERVICES ${ }_{[1]}-1: 24$ |
| 192:23, 198:14 | SCANLAN ${ }_{[1]}-3: 8$ | 64:12, 67:28, 69:5, | SENSITIVITIES ${ }_{[2]}$ - | SERVICES [1] - 1:29 |
| RINGS [1] - 192:20 | SCENARIO [2] - | 75:4, 80:8, 80:10, | 48:29, 49:7 | SERVICES [5] - 50:6, |
| RISE [1] - 73:26 | 51:2, 131:11 | 81:7, 81:11, 81:12, | SENT [15] - 10:29, | 106:5, 112:17, 119:7, |
| RISK [2] - 56:21, | SCENARIOS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 82:5, 83:3, 84:23, | 13:3, 16:13, 37:26, | 154:14 |
| 69:21 | 50:11 | 87:27, 87:29, 88:17, | 54:28, 57:3, 61:14, | SET [1] - 96:24 |
| ROAD [1] - 2:17 | SCEPTICAL [1] - | 93:22, 93:28, 94:7, | 64:16, 64:25, 95:26, | SEVEN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 151:29 |
| ROAD [1] - 122:18 | 40:16 | 96:29, 97:8, 97:22, | 97:17, 129:28, 139:4, | SEVERAL [3] - |
| ROAD [2] - 23:3, | SCHEME [8] - | 98:11, 98:13, 116:29, | 173:13, 176:8 | 14:28, 59:4, 139:9 |
| 57:5 | 125:13, 125:16, | 117:24, 117:29, | SENTENCE ${ }_{[7]}$ - | SEXUAL [1] - 91:14 |
| ROADBLOCK ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 125:23, 125:28, | 118:15, 123:27, | 81:7, 82:15, 96:6, | SHALL [1] - 138:6 |
| 13:19, 23:2 | 128:14, 128:15, | $125: 10,128: 5$, $130: 15,137: 17$ | 119:23, 121:16, | SHANE [1] - 3:22 |
| ROADBLOCKS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 136:10, 137:8 | $130: 15,137: 17$, $137 \cdot 19,139: 17$ | 126:23, 170:23 | SHANKEY [1] - 3:21 |
| 23:3 | SCHEMES ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 137:19, 139:17, | SENTIMENT [1] - | SHANKEY-SMITH |
| ROBUST ${ }_{[1]}-44: 26$ | 128:16 | $142: 29,149: 21$, $150: 11,155: 6$, | 134:17 | [1] - 3:21 |
| ROLE [14]-7:8, | SCREEN [4] - 77:26, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150:11, 155:6, } \\ & \text { 157:22, 158:2, 158:3, } \end{aligned}$ | SEPARATE [12] - <br> 21.13, 23.26, 27.23 | SHANNON ${ }^{\text {[1] }}$ - $2: 12$ |
| 7:13, 7:14, 78:28, | 107:15, 163:27, | 157:22, 158:2, 158:3, 158:24, 160:29, | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 13,23: 26,27: 23 \\ & 33: 3,124: 28,128: 15 \end{aligned}$ | SHAPE [4] - 15:17, |
| $79: 6,79: 15,115: 24$ | 208:18 | $166: 9,168: 16,183: 2$ | 33:3, 124:28, 128:15, 128:16, 128:27, | $62: 4,98: 8,117: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:17, 127:22, } \\ & \text { 128:11, 178:26, } \end{aligned}$ | $90: 17,95: 29$ | 183:17, 184:10, | 129:21, 130:2, | SHEET [2] - 146:5, |
| 179:2, 179:19, 211:13 | SE [2]-31:2, 120:23 | 185:11, 185:22, | 150:17, 190:19 | 146:6 |
| ROLES [2] - 164:1, | SEAN [4]-1:12, 2:2, | 186:8, 187:16, 189:1, 189:9, 192:24, | SEPARATELY [2] - | SHELLEY ${ }_{[1]}-3: 24$ |
| 164:25 | 2:22, 3:17 | $194 \cdot 18 \quad 195 \cdot 22$ | 150:28, 151:4 | SHIP [1] - 3:27 |
| ROLL [1] - 32:28 | SEANAD ${ }_{[1]}-1: 5$ | 196:1. 196:10. | SEPTEMBER [2] - | SHORT [12] - 38:21, |
| ROOM [1] - 8:14 | SEARCH ${ }_{[1]}$ - 92:22 | 196:12, 196:14, | 174:17, 186:13 | 75:27, 76:5, 88:14, |
| ROTATION ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | SECOND [31] - | 197:21, 198:3, | SERGEANT [5] - | 114:14, 155:9, |
| 175:23 | 16:17, 17:4, 18:2, | 198:13, 201:6, | $3: 12,3: 19,4: 6,4: 7$ | 161:16, 176:18, |
| RULE [1] - 81:16 | 28:4, 42:19, 44:17, | 202:15, 204:7, 208:8, | 4:7 <br> SERGEANT [18] - | 176:19, 193:15, |
| RUN [4] - 76:7, | 60:4, 72:12, 72:19, | $211: 22$ | SERGEANT [18] - | 193:22 |
| 108:1, 125:26, 162:12 RUNNING [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 83:28, 90:18, 90:26, } \\ & 90: 27,96: 5,96: 12, \end{aligned}$ | SEEING [2]-67:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:21, 60:5, 126:7, } \\ & \text { 133:5, 145:22, 146:5, } \end{aligned}$ | SHORT-TERM [3] 155:9, 161:16, 176:18 |
| 107:20 | 113:29, 118:14, | 206:25 | 146:9, 146:23, | SHORTFALL [1] - |
| RUNS [1] - 125:26 | 118:16, 154:28, | SEEK [4] - 64:21, $82.10,92.296 .14$ | 146:26, 147:21, | 165:26 |
| RYAN [2] - 1:12, 2:2 | 157:24, 159:22, | 82:10, 92:2, $96: 14$ SEEKING [3] - 39 | 147:28, 148:14, | SHORTLY [4] - |
|  | 163:27, 178:7, | SEEKING [3] - 39 | 150:2, 150:11, | 103:2, 116:8, 117:29, |
| S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 190:29, 198:25, } \\ & 203: 23.205: 24 \end{aligned}$ | SEEM [3] - 119:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 190:28, 191:7, } \\ & \text { 191:25, 208:14 } \end{aligned}$ | 122:1 |
| SAFER [2] - 134:8, | 205:27, 206:18, 208:9 SECONDHAND ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140:7, } 176: 8 \\ & \text { SEES [1] - } 86: 15 \end{aligned}$ | SERGEANT [7] 56:9, 63:11, 96:21, | SHOWING ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 102:14 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87:25, 90:10, 93:15, | 151:11 | 67:6, 129:23, 157:14, | $16: 5,18: 14,18: 21$ | 23, 49:4, 49:13, |
| 93:21, 103:22 | SIMPLE [6] - 27:13, | 179:25, 196:26 | 19:8, 19:12, 19:19, | 65:28, 123:15, 192:29 |
| SHOWS [1] - 94:24 | 43:12, 43:22, 47:3, | SOLVE [1] - 59:22 | 20:16, 21:20, 21:21, | STARTED [2] - |
| SHUT [1] - 37:16 | 70:7, 70:9 | SOMEONE [9] - | 30:18, 30:19, 33:10, | 102:28, 176:8 |
| SHUTTERS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | SIMPLICITY [1] - | 25:14, 26:25, 26:29, | 49:23, 59:6, 60:6, | STARTING [3] - |
| 24:12 | 43:12 | 47:2, 48:23, 55:26, | 93:8 | 96:27, 117:1, 174:8 |
| SHUTTING [1] - 39:9 | SIMPLY [3] - 17:24, | 63:12, 111:26, 156:1 | SP [1] - 122:3 | STARTS ${ }_{[1]}-81: 7$ |
| SIC [1] - 56:12 | 33:2, 203:25 | SOMETIME [1] - | SPACE [2] - 102:3, | STATE [2] - 45:3, |
| SICK [42]-7:14, | SINGLE [1] - 168:28 | 209:28 | 136:18 | 152:13 |
| 7:22, 11:12, 11:14, | SINÉAD [1] - 4:8 | SOMETIMES ${ }_{[19]}$ | SPEAKING [5] - | STATE [1] - 3:26 |
| 13:6, 14:9, 18:12, | SINÉAD [6] - 2:7, | 18:16, 30:14, 32:1, | 38:20, 41:2, 168:20, | STATEMENT [41] - |
| 38:14, 40:3, 46:8, | 121:5, 121:7, 121:8, | 37:23, 47:1, 51:24, | 191:12, 211:22 | 48:17, 48:24, 48:27, |
| 52:3, 55:21, 56:22, | 121:9, 121:10 | 79:18, 100:21, 148:2, | SPEAKS [1] - 204:5 | 49:26, 50:9, 50:10, |
| 58:14, 109:27, | SITTING [1] - 29:17 | 155:26, 155:27, | SPECIAL [1] - | 50:13, 50:17, 50:20, |
| 109:29, 110:5, | SITUATION ${ }_{\text {[15] - }}$ | 165:3, 171:11, | 120:23 | 50:26, 51:1, 51:10, |
| 110:28, 118:22, | 15:13, 15:19, 23:4, | 177:17, 177:18, 190:5 | SPECIFIC [14] - 9:28, | 76:24, 76:25, 82:21, |
| 118:24, 125:17, | 25:25, 30:5, 41:12, | SOMEWHERE [3] - | 49:25, 70:15, 75:18, | 82:23, 82:29, 85:25, |
| 141:17, 152:2, | 41:22, 43:13, 43:26, | 51:18, 159:18, 163:3 | 75:25, 129:10, 131:8, | 86:1, 106:9, 107:1, |
| 152:15, 153:8, | 80:22, 86:16, 100:7, | SOON [1] - 84:10 | 131:24, 131:25, | 109:23, 109:24, |
| 153:27, 157:19, | 148:27, 187:24, 200:8 | SORE [1] - 202:5 | 132:3, 133:20, | 110:24, 116:24, |
| 167:26, 170:25, | SITUATIONS [2] - | SORRY [64] - 8:16, | 133:23, 195:11, 196:7 | 116:26, 118:15, |
| 172:7, 180:6, 180:14, | 80:25, 102:18 | 15:27, 20:11, 22:26, | SPECIFICALLY [8] - | 120:28, 121:15, |
| 180:15, 180:29, | SIX [5] - 125:19, | 23:16, 25:10, 27:2, | 51:2, 143:28, 147:2, | 142:13, 148:5, |
| 181:3, 181:5, 181:6, | 142:26, 146:9, | 28:18, 29:21, 29:22, | 149:23, 152:29, | 148:18, 150:23, |
| 184:4, 195:6, 195:20, | 146:11, 151:28 | 29:26, 33:5, 33:12, | 164:23, 179:10, | 151:25, 151:29, |
| 201:15, 201:21 | SIXTH [1] - 52:6 | 42:9, 42:17, 42:29, | 195:13 | 152:6, 167:28, 194:8, |
| SICK [3] - 54:23, | SKILL [1] - 199:8 | 43:3, 43:19, 43:20, | SPECIFICS [2] - | 194:14, 194:15, |
| 54:28, 152:19 | SKILLS [1] - 64:4 | 43:21, 45:7, 45:14, | 30:21, 211:14 | 210:11 |
| SICKNESS [8] - | SLIGHTLY [6] - | 45:24, 45:25, 45:26, | SPECIFIED [2] - | STATES [2] - 97:11, |
| 10:5, 107:20, 108:2, | 19:24, 26:6, 52:29, | 48:4, 50:16, 57:29, | 99:27, 125:21 | 136:26 |
| 108:20, 110:11, | 63:6, 71:15, 148:23 | 61:24, 62:5, 65:7, | SPELL [1] - 175:2 | STATION [2] - 91:4, |
| 118:10, 120:20, 129:3 | SLIP [1] - 126:1 | 69:24, 69:28, 73:15, | SPOKEN [5] - | 161:14 |
| SICKNESS [20] - | SMALL [1] - 158:10 | 75:1, 75:22, 78:4, | 147:13, 159:17, | STATION[13] - 29:9, |
| 52:9, 53:22, 78:6, | SMITH ${ }_{[1]}-3: 21$ | 80:29, 83:17, 86:24, | 161:3, 177:20, 191:25 | 42:6, 105:1, 105:4, |
| 80:9, 80:14, 99:19, | SMITHFIELD ${ }_{[2]}$ | 87:1, 90:7, 100:15, | SPOTS [2] - 190:9, | 159:8, 159:15, 172:9, |
| 107:19, 109:4, | 2:24, 4:14 | 102:14, 103:26, | 190:10 | 172:13, 175:12, |
| 109:15, 109:16, | SO [1] - 81:28 | 111:12, 112:8, | ST [2]-88:26, | 175:22, 175:25, |
| 109:18, 110:16, | SO.. [3] - 138:29, | 123:19, 123:22, | 182:20 | 187:27, 192:20 |
| 110:17, 120:21, | 159:18, 188:14 | 151:24, 153:20, | STABBED [1] - | STATIONS ${ }^{2]}$ - |
| 120:23, 133:11, | SOBER [5] - 154:25, | 154:6, 162:23, 163:9, | 145:13 | 44:20, 192:18 |
| 134:20, 141:11, | 173:26, 184:18, | 165:9, 166:4, 167:1, | STAFF [2] - 78:21, | STATUS [1] - 101:27 |
| 151:21, 155:9 | 203:16, 204:7 | 171:2, 174:2, 188:18, | 118:11 | STAY [6] - 112:8, |
| SICKNESS/ | SOBRIETY [9] - | 204:22, 207:9, 207:11 | STAGE [23] - 13:15, | 116:23, 180:29, |
| ABSENCE [1] - 83:21 | 176:3, 182:28, | SORT [23] - 13:20, | 16:14, 37:5, 49:13, | 193:28, 201:14, |
| SICKNESS/ | 184:28, 186:9, | 13:26, 18:28, 30:20, | 51:17, 61:7, 64:5, | 201:20 |
| ABSENCES ${ }_{\text {[1] - }}$ | 187:11, 187:16, | $32: 18,37: 14,49: 13$ | $65: 22,94: 24,94: 28$ | STAYING [2] - 31:22, |
| 114:15 | 188:28, 190:10, | $57: 5,58: 25,60: 23,$ | $99: 23,104: 4,133: 8$ | 169:5 |
| SIDE [3] - 143:4, | 190:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 8,66: 27,67: 3 \\ & 69: 15,70: 29,80: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 135:29, 148:28, | $\text { STAYS }_{[1]}-84: 14$ |
| 143:5, 144:2 SIGHT | SOCIALLY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 15,70: 29,80: 4, \\ & 85: 3,101: 2,127: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $156: 12,158: 4$ | STENOGRAPHIC ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| SIGHT [2] - 62:10, | 160:9 | 85:3, 101:2, 127:9, | 159:11, 159:15, | - 1:26 |
| 80:4 | SOCIETY ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 160:21, 164:16, | 174:28, 177:12, | STENOGRAPHY [2] |
| SIGN [1] - 76:7 | 124:27, 128:26 | 201:21 | 188:6, 195:8 | - 1:24, 29:16 |
| SIGNED [2] - 58:2, | SOLE [1] - 2:2 | SORTED [1] - 102:25 | STAIRS [1] - 126:3 | STENOGRAPHY ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 128:7 | SOLELY [1] - 121:9 | SOUGHT [1] - 78:14 | STAMPED ${ }_{[1]}-63: 8$ | - 1:29 |
| SIGNIFICANT ${ }^{2]}$ - | SOLICITOR'S ${ }_{[1]}$ - | SOUND [1] - 74:28 | STAND [1] - 74:4 | STEP [2] - 43:16, |
| 89:14, 141:12 | 3:26 | SOUNDING [1] - | STANDARD ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 46:27 |
| SIGNPOSTED [1] - | SOLICITORS [6] - | 158:19 | 63:21, 73:15, 145:11 | STEPHANIE [1] - |
| 94:25 | $2: 10,2: 17,2: 22,2: 30,$ | SOURCE [23] - 9:22, | STANDING [2] - | 2:27 |
| SIMILAR [3] - | 4:9, 4:13 | 11:17, 11:18, 11:19, | 92:11, 104:7 | STEPHEN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:21 |


| ```STEPPED \({ }_{[1]}-56: 5\) STEPS \({ }_{[17]}-9: 16\), 14:19, 19:27, 23:22, 48:7, 48:8, 48:22, 51:19, 56:15, 66:16, 69:9, 69:20, 69:25, 69:27, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20 STEWARDSHIP \({ }_{[1]}\) - 92:27 STILL [22]-24:29, 55:20, 56:18, 56:27, 66:27, 100:8, 127:9, 127:13, 129:11, 138:27, 139:13, 139:14, 150:21, 154:23, 158:7, 164:21, 181:24, 192:11, 193:2, 193:5, 196:28 STOP [11] - 16:16, 119:22, 154:28, 176:9, 187:15, 191:27, 192:7, 194:22, 194:27, 201:24, 208:20 STOPPED \({ }_{[4]}\) - 170:7, 176:9, 177:1, 177:2 STOPPING [6] -``` 169:1, 174:8, 177:7, 191:26, 192:4, 192:5 STOPS ${ }_{[2]}-67: 11$, 67:14 STORY $_{[1]}-47: 4$ STRAIGHT [2] - 48:9, 71:12 STRAIGHTAWAY ${ }_{[5]}$ - 18:10, 24:22, 24:25, 25:1, 44:12 <br> STRAIGHTFORWA RD [1] - 8:10 <br> STREAK [1]-206:3 <br> STREET[3]-2:11, 3:27, 4:3 <br> STRESS [238] - 7:19, 7:20, 7:22, 7:27, 8:9, 8:22, 9:1, 9:15, 9:26, 10:4, 10:10, 10:11, 10:12, 10:18, 10:20, 10:27, 11:12, 11:13, 11:17, 11:18, 11:19, 11:20, 11:29, 12:4, 12:8, 12:13, 12:18, 13:7, 14:5, 14:10, 14:15, 16:1, 16:5, 16:7, 16:24, 18:12, 18:14, 18:21, 18:26, 19:8, 19:12, 19:19, 19:27, 20:1, 20:8, | 20:14, 20:16, 20:22, 21:8, 21:19, 21:20, <br> 21:21, 21:23, 21:26, <br> 22:6, 22:7, 22:11, <br> 22:20, 22:21, 22:23, <br> 22:29, 23:7, 23:9, <br> 23:19, 23:27, 24:3, <br> 24:6, 24:7, 24:19, <br> 24:20, 25:16, 25:21, <br> 25:26, 26:2, 26:4, <br> 26:22, 26:24, 27:20, <br> 28:2, 28:3, 28:29, <br> 29:1, 30:13, 30:17, <br> 30:18, 30:20, 31:7, <br> 31:20, 31:26, 32:26, <br> 33:10, 33:14, 33:24, <br> 34:7, 34:16, 36:3, <br> 36:10, 38:14, 39:22, <br> 39:29, 40:1, 40:3, <br> 40:5, 40:16, 40:21, <br> 41:8, 44:8, 44:11, <br> 45:4, 45:12, 45:16, <br> 46:4, 46:9, 47:2, 47:7, <br> 47:18, 48:9, 48:21, <br> 48:27, 49:8, 49:24, <br> 50:21, 51:21, 52:28, <br> 53:2, 53:8, 53:11, <br> 54:1, 54:4, 54:14, <br> 55:15, 55:22, 55:27, <br> 56:18, 56:19, 56:22, <br> 57:22, 57:27, 58:8, <br> 58:11, 58:15, 58:25, <br> 59:7, 60:2, 60:8, <br> 60:11, 60:24, 61:3, <br> 61:19, 61:26, 62:1, <br> 62:3, 62:18, 62:23, <br> 65:13, 67:7, 68:9, <br> 68:13, 69:10, 70:14, <br> 70:23, 71:22, 72:2, <br> 72:7, 72:16, 82:22, <br> 86:4, 86:16, 87:3, <br> 87:5, 87:9, 87:10, <br> 87:11, 89:29, 91:5, <br> 93:9, 93:11, 96:9, <br> 97:26, 98:1, 98:8, <br> 98:27, 99:26, 101:27, <br> 102:19, 102:28, <br> 103:4, 122:26, <br> 122:27, 123:11, <br> 123:26, 124:2, <br> 124:23, 124:26, <br> 124:29, 126:19, <br> 126:28, 126:29, <br> 127:3, 127:14, <br> 128:25, 129:12, <br> 129:25, 130:14, <br> 130:19, 130:25, <br> 131:4, 131:13, <br> 131:20, 132:22, <br> 134:15, 136:9, <br> 136:25, 137:7, | 137:25, 140:14, <br> 141:14, 141:15, <br> 141:17, 152:11, <br> 152:12, 152:15, <br> 152:18, 152:21, <br> 152:26, 153:3, <br> 157:17, 157:18, <br> 157:21, 167:7, 167:9, <br> 167:14, 167:16, <br> 180:22 <br> STRESSED [32] - <br> 8:2, 8:5, 8:18, 9:4, <br> 9:7, 10:21, 12:24, <br> 13:10, 13:16, 14:10, <br> 21:6, 21:27, 24:13, <br> 24:28, 26:7, 27:19, <br> 27:24, 31:19, 37:20, <br> 40:21, 54:11, 55:24, <br> 60:25, 60:27, 62:21, <br> 68:12, 71:3, 71:4, <br> 71:11, 86:25, 94:4 <br> STRESSFUL[3] - <br> 8:5, 8:19, 129:13 <br> STRESSING [1] - <br> 21:28 <br> STRICTEST ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 97:10 <br> STRONGEST ${ }_{[1]}$ 95:1 <br> STRUGGLING [1] 180:17 <br> STUCK ${ }_{[1]}-29: 26$ <br> STUFF [6] - 131:20, <br> 146:3, 160:26, <br> 179:26, 205:29, 209:1 <br> SUBJECT [13] - 82:1, <br> 98:21, 99:7, 99:8, <br> 100:8, 110:19, <br> 110:20, 115:28, <br> 123:26, 141:2, <br> 161:23, 209:21, <br> 210:25 <br> SUBMISSION ${ }_{[4]}$ - <br> 15:4, 67:1, 210:26, <br> 210:27 <br> SUBMISSIONS ${ }_{[2]}-$ <br> 73:3, 210:23 <br> SUBMIT [2]-45:1, 79:24 <br> SUBMITTED [2] - <br> 128:1, 152:22 <br> SUBSEQUENT [3] - <br> 61:15, 139:5, 140:5 <br> SUBSEQUENTLY <br> [2] - 139:10, 140:11 <br> SUBSTANTIAL [1] 66:15 <br> SUBSTANTIVE ${ }_{[3]}$ - <br> 42:4, 62:28, 66:2 <br> SUBTRACT ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 173:2 <br> SUCCEEDING ${ }_{[1]}$ 43:20 <br> SUFFERED ${ }_{[1]}$ 103:4 <br> SUFFERING [13] - <br> 20:13, 25:21, 25:26, <br> 26:2, 28:1, 31:7, 49:8, <br> 58:24, 72:1, 86:3, <br> 98:26, 101:27, 131:3 <br> SUFFERS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 137:5 <br> SUFFICIENT $[4]$ - <br> 13:25, 47:5, 71:25, <br> 71:28 <br> SUFFICIENTLY ${ }_{[5]}$ - <br> 46:24, 47:20, 47:27, <br> 48:2, 48:3 <br> SUGGEST [2] - <br> 119:24, 164:1 <br> SUGGESTED [5] - <br> 62:17, 92:28, 122:13, 179:28, 191:5 <br> SUGGESTING[7] - <br> 101:10, 115:5, <br> 115:19, 144:13, <br> 155:17, 164:5, $164: 6$ <br> SUGGESTION [4] - <br> 94:18, 118:3, 163:4, 191:7 <br> SUGGESTIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 184:14 <br> SUGGESTS ${ }_{[2]}$ - <br> 116:13, 196:18 <br> SUICIDAL ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 146:21 <br> SUITABLE ${ }_{[2]}$ - <br> 164:8, 194:23 <br> SUMMARISE ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 105:26 <br> SUMMARY ${ }_{[5]}$ - <br> 49:2, 137:28, 169:28, <br> 170:1, 170:2 <br> SUPER ${ }_{[1]}$ - 165:4 <br> SUPERINTENDENT <br> [96] - 6:4, 6:15, 6:22, <br> 6:27, 6:28, 6:29, 7:1, <br> 7:3, 7:10, 7:14, 14:16, <br> 16:16, 17:29, 18:13, <br> 19:5, 19:12, 19:13, <br> 20:3, 20:4, 22:19, <br> 25:4, 25:6, 25:29, <br> 27:13, 28:27, 29:17, <br> 29:26, 33:5, 33:18, <br> 38:19, 39:13, 41:6, <br> 41:9, 41:10, 41:13, <br> 41:16, 41:24, 41:29, <br> 42:25, 43:8, 43:19, <br> 53:5, 63:5, 68:28, <br> 70:11, 72:14, 72:15, <br> 74:16, 77:25, 77:27, | 78:15, 86:9, 94:17, 95:23, 102:9, 103:10, 104:16, 107:5, 108:13, 115:25, 119:18, 121:12, 121:13, 124:21, 127:22, 132:20, 133:22, 138:23, 138:24, 145:23, 146:24, 146:27, 149:9, 158:17, 158:25, 165:13, 165:23, 175:13, 177:26, 178:19, 180:1, 180:26, 182:9, 182:15, 185:21, 187:4, 188:24, 188:26, 191:12, 191:25, 195:17, 195:25, 196:17, 211:20, 212:10 <br> SUPERINTENDENT <br> [20] - 2:14, 3:2, 3:3, $3: 4,3: 4,3: 5,3: 8,3: 8$, 3:9, 3:13, 3:14, 3:16, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20, 5:3, 6:12, 77:22, 95:20, 103:19 <br> SUPERINTENDENT <br> [137]-7:8, 10:17, 10:28, 14:7, 24:25, 25:12, 30:6, 31:22, 33:20, 34:4, 34:22, 35:8, 35:12, 36:5, 36:6, 36:7, 36:12, 36:16, 36:19, 36:24, 36:29, 37:3, 37:12, 37:13, 39:1, 39:15, 39:16, 40:7, 40:8, 40:23, 41:18, 41:25, 43:5, 43:29, 44:10, 45:1, 45:3, 46:2, 46:23, 47:9, 47:16, 47:19, 51:11, 55:7, 55:9, 56:15, 57:24, 59:1, 60:18, 62:9, 62:24, 64:14, 66:6, 67:10, 68:23, 69:1, 69:11, 69:23, 70:13, 73:9, 77:1, 95:8, 96:16, 97:14, 97:18, 97:28, 108:9, 113:20, 114:6, 114:19, 115:20, 116:18, 116:20, 116:26, 118:1, 121:3, 121:5, 127:20, 131:28, 132:7, 136:4, 136:7, 148:11, 149:2, 149:13, 149:27, <br> 149:28, 155:5, 158:3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| TRANSFERRED [2] - | 199:7 | 126:3 | UNFORTUNATELY | $117: 25,117: 26$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69:3, 105:22 | TRY [13] - 11:17, |  | [1] - 22:22 | 118:8, 118:9, 118:12, |
| TRANSFERRING [1] | 15:22, 27:13, 66:16, | U | UNION [1] - 150:1 | 119:26, 121:26, |
| - 116:10 | 70:12, 126:8, 133:11, |  | UNIQUENESS [1] - | $122: 29,123: 16$ |
| TRANSFERS [4] - | 134:23, 168:28, |  | 43:2 | 123:23, 124:16, |
| 117:12, 119:19, | 175:26, 197:4, 197:5, | ULTIMATELY [11] - | UNIT [1] - 105:18 | 128:1, 128:9, 129:28, |
| 121:10, 155:25 | 198:13 | 14:11, 51:25, 54:6, | UNKIND [1] - 13:26 | 142:25, 146:22, |
| TRANSPIRED [5] - | TRYING [29]-8:16, | 54:9, 86:5, 92:8, $93.17,98 \cdot 24,102.4$ | UNKNOWN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 150:20, 151:25, |
| 115:7, 115:13, | 27:4, 27:5, 27:6, 28:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 17,98: 24,102: 4 \\ & 200: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 163:6 | $155: 2,163: 1,163: 3$ |
| 155:19, 156:7, 180:15 | 28:24, 28:27, 32:19, | 200:5 <br> UMBRELLA [4] - | UNLESS [6] - 84:14, | $163: 5,165: 9,165: 25$ 167:29, 168:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRAVEL [ } \\ & 203: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $65: 19,66: 8,66: 10$ | 105:20, 106:7, | 99:4, 111:13, 11 | $168: 17,170: 16$ |
| TREACY ${ }_{[1]}-2: 27$ | 70:5, 72:19, 74:22, | 142:21, 142:24 | UNNECESSARILY | 183:29, 190:6, |
| TREAT ${ }_{[2]}$ - 173:5, | 129:19, 164:7, 165:3, | UNCERTAINTY [1] - | [1] - 15:14 | 190:14, 190:15, |
| 203:23 | 170:2, 176:9, 178:26, | 66:7 | UNPACK ${ }_{[1]}-28: 28$ | 190:18, 192:28, |
| TREATED [13] - 52:9, | 190:15, 191:27, | UNCLEAR [2] - 57: $120: 14$ | UNPLEASANT ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 195:14, 209:22, |
| 53:22, 54:3, 83:22, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 192:7, 206:17, 206:23 } \\ \text { TUESDAY [2]-1:18, } \end{gathered}$ | UNCONDITIONAL | 206:3 | 211:14, 212:10 <br> UP-TO-DATE ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 97: 9,179: 13,179: 29 \\ & 180: 24,197: 9,198: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TUESDAY [2] - 1:18, } \\ & 6: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $[1]-99: 27$ | UNPROFESSIONA <br> [1] - 181:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UP-TO-DATE [2] - } \\ & 55: 11,168: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 198:4, 199:12, 199:27 | TUESDAY [1] - 177:1 | UNDER [43]-8:28, | UNREASONABLE | UPCOMING [1] - |
| TREATING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | TULLAMORE [1] - | 9:25, 10:4, 18:17, | [1] - 199:21 | 187:1 |
| 79:25 | 185:5 | $21$ | UNSPECIFIED [1] - | UPDATE [2] - |
| TREATMENT ${ }_{[20]}$ - | TURN [6] - 6:7, | 26:8, 31:16, 31:18, | $16: 23$ | 145:24, 145:26 |
| 89:15, 89:18, 89:20, | 29:18, 29:19, 80:7, | 50:3, 76:15, 78:23, <br> 81:3, 98:29, 105:26, | UNTIL [1] - 212:26 | UPDATED [3] - |
| 92:15, 92:16, 112:19, | 112:14, 204:9 | 81:3, 98:29, 105:26 <br> 105:28, 106:7, 106:8 | UNUSUAL [24] - | 149:26, 177:21, 186:6 |
| 115:16, 119:1, 119:7, | TURNER [1] - 2:27 | 107:22, 108:10, | 18:22, 18:25, 32:18, | UPFRONT [1] - |
| 154:21, 157:5, 162:9, | TURNING [3] - | 109:18, 115:24, | 37:15, 37:27, 70:27, | 167:9 |
| 162:14, 162:18, | 28:15, 83:15, 84:20 | 125:6, 125:16, 126:7, | 147:24, 148:4, | UPSET [1] - 172:10 |
| 165:20, 185:8, 186:1, | TURNS [2]-27:20, | 127:10, 136:9, | 149:10, 149:29, | UPWARDS [2] - |
| 186:10, 199:2, 203:29 | 103:9 | $136: 23,137: 8$ | 150:22, 156:1, | 96:12, 96:28 |
| TRIANGLE [2] - | TWICE [3] - 60:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 136: 23, \\ & 138: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 162:11, 163:4, | URGENCY [1] - |
| 82:12, 86:9 | 60:22, 154:26 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 170:21, 174:10, | 145:6 |
| TRIANGULAR [1] - | TWO [53] - 22:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 176:8, 176:15, | URGENT [1] - 135:13 |
| 92:21 | 23:5, 23:15, 23:26, | 143:27, 144:1, 144:2, | 182:14, 189:16, | USES [1] - 87:6 |
| TRIBUNAL [2] - 1:2, | 41:9, 41:13, 41:17, | $150: 10,154: 5,$ | 190:3, 211:15 | USUAL [1] - 73:17 |
| 2:6 ${ }^{\text {TRIBUNAL [14] - }}$ | $42: 28,43: 7,43: 8$, $43: 15,43: 27,44: 2$, | $166: 26,174: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNWELL [2] - 21:6, } \\ & 88: 27 \end{aligned}$ | V |
| 7:16, 33:28, 35:14, | 45:6, 48:22, 57:26, | [2] - 1:2, 1:8 | $\mathbf{U P}[103]-10: 2$ |  |
| 36:8, 36:20, 63:2, | 59:27, 60:10, 60:12 | 194:28 | 13:3, 13:19, 14:8, |  |
| $64: 8,73: 2,80: 6,88: 1 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 13,61: 4,61: 28 \\ & 73: 12,83: 27,94: 1 \end{aligned}$ | UNDERGOING [2] - | 18:10, 18:21, 22:24, | VALUE [1] - 67:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 116: 27,118: 2, \\ & 118: 15,125: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 105:17, 121:8, | 177:8, 194:23 | 24:22, 24:29, 26:9, <br> 26:17, 31:29, 32:21, | VALUES ${ }_{[1]}$ - 166:5 |
| TRIBUNALS ${ }_{[1]}-1: 8$ | 128:15, 128:16, | UNDERMINES [1] - | $35: 1$ | VARIETY [1] - 95:29 |
| TRIED [2] - 73:1, | 132:13, 133:4, | 62 | $39: 18,44: 12,49: 2$ | VARIOUS [2]-58:1, |
| 180:11 | 150:17, 150:28, | UNDERPINNED [1] - | $49: 15,50: 27,52: 17$ | 177:19 |
| TRIGGER [1] - | 151:12, 170:4, | 26:5 | $53: 3,53: 28,55: 11$ | VENTURE [1] - |
| 131:14 | 173:25, 176:20, | UNDERSTANDABL | 56:19, 56:25, 57:14, | 110:22 |
| TRIGGERED [4] - | 176:21, 176:24, | $\mathbf{E}[2]-13: 2,31: 26$ | 58:9, 60:21, 60:29, | VERBATIM [1] - 1:25 |
| 14:11, 55:10, 55:13 | 179:13, 184:13, | UNDERSTANDABL | 62:20, 64:17, 64:29 | VERSA [1] - 147:29 |
| TRIP [1] - 126:1 | 189:14, 197:25, | Y [1] - 69:13 | $66: 24,66: 27,67: 10$ | VERSION [3] - 30:25, |
| TROUBLE ${ }_{[1]}$ | 198:22, 201:5, | UNDERSTOOD [2] - | 67:28, 68:2, 71:6, | 39:28, 176:7 |
| 206:20 | 201:29, 202:6, | 19:2, 199:14 | $73: 5,73: 24,79: 28$ | VERSUS [1] - 11:5 |
| TRR [14] - 109:5, | 202:14, 202:23, | UNDERTAKE [1] - | $85: 1,86: 26,90: 11$ | VIA [1] - 150:13 |
| 109:12, 109:18, | 208:9, 209:15, 210:15 |  | 96:16, 98:20, 102:22, | VICE [1] - 147:29 |
| 109:20, 109:21, | TWO-THIRDS [2] - | UNFAIR [2] - 15:17, | 105:23, 107:15, | VIEW [47] - 8:15, |
| 109:29, 110:16, | 201:5, 202:14 | 73:23 | 107:18, 108:27, | 13:12, 13:24, 34:3, |
| 110:27, 111:6, | TYPE [3]-87:15, | UNFAIRLY ${ }_{\text {[1] - }}$ | 110:3, 110:6, 110:9 | 34:4, 36:1, 36:2, 36:3, |
| 111:25, 118:24, | 113:26, 119:4 | 179:29 | 116:14, 116:17, | 39:23, 42:8, 48:20, |
| 125:19, 151:22, 152:9 | TYPED [1]-176:7 | UNFIT [3] - 58:18, | 116:20, 116:21, | $51: 21,57: 1,62: 27$ |
| TRUST [2] - 198:16, | TYPICALLY [1] - | 80:21, 100:2 | 117:16, 117:23, | 66:3, 70:27, 85:8, |




[^0]:    "It was mentioned the fact that he was on TRR rate of pay every time he goes sick."

