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THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVG, ON VEDNESDAY, 12TH FEBRUARY 2020:

CHA RMAN Good morning, detective superintendent. Thank you very much.

## DETECTI VE SUPERI NTENDENT DECLAN MLCAHY CONTI NUED TO BE DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MR MEGU NNESS, AS FOLLOVG:

1 Q.
MR. MEGU NNESS: Good morning.
A. Good morning.

2 Q. Yesterday, we had just started looking at some of the events in early 2015, and I think, as you say in your statement at page 3906, you interviewed the former chief superintendent from Athlone, Chief Superintendent 10:32 Glacken, and he later provided you with billing records?
A. Yeah, the former superintendent of Ath1one.

3 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah.

And they were the billing records that had been obtained at that time and hadn't been specifically obtained by you in the course of your investigation?
A. No, they had been obtained the superintendent at the time.
5 Q. Yes. And they related to the same phone that you had seized?
A. They did, indeed, yeah.

6 Q. In particular to the use of it in a specified period?
A. Yes.

7 Q. In 2010, I think.
A. Correct, yeah.

8 Q. In any event, could we look at a report then, just moving on a little bit in time. We know obviously that 10:33 Superintendent McBrien had been replaced by Superintendent Murray?
A. Correct.

9 Q. I think you became aware of that obviously at the time?
A. I became aware that a change was about to happen, yes.

10 Q. Yes. Did you have occasion to phone Superintendent Murray on the 4th March? We have an entry in your diary that hasn't yet been circulated, but it appears to be related to a phone call with Superintendent Murray on the 4th March, have you any recollection of that?
A. The 4th March, that would be some time maybe before he came to Athlone, was it?

11 Q. CHA RMAN Just before.
A. Just before.

12 Q. CHAN RMAN He came on the 8th, isn't that right?
A. Maybe if I could just see the entry.

CHA RMAN Yes, you need to see the entry, yes. Maybe you will come back to that.
MR. MEGU NNESS: we will come back to that.
CHA RMAK Yes. It's very difficult without actually seeing it, so maybe we can come back to that, Mr. McGuinness, that's the best way to deal with that. MR. MEGU NNESS: Yes.

CHA RMAN I don't think there is anything particularly significant about it except for the fact that it was a phone call.
A. Yeah, I would have my original notes here, if I can check them.

CHA RNAN Chief superintendent, my own notion is, unless counsel thinks there is a better way to do it, my own notion is to wait until everybody has the document, including you. Isn't that probably the best way to do it, Mr. McGuinness?
MR. MEGU NESS: Yes.
CHA RMAK So we will proceed for the moment and it may even have turned up even as we speak.
MR. MGGI NESS: We will just fast forward to the --
CHA RMAN Yes, carry on, we will come back to that. MR. MGGI NESS: To the 1st April then. We11, perhaps we will go to the 5th March. You have provided a witness statement, your diary entry for the 5th March.
A. The 5th March.

At page 3924, we will look at that perhaps just before we proceed on. That's at page 3924. You have an attempt to ring him twice there, with no answer. And then you rang the superintendent to look for a number and it appears that he was out sick and you got that information from Inspector Farrell, it would seem?
A. Yeah. I think there what I was doing was I was trying to make contact with Garda Keogh but unfortunately I was unable to make contact and out of fear that maybe something was wrong, I rang the superintendent just to see what the story was.

16 Q. Yes.
A. And she came back to me to say that he had been out sick and that the inspector was aware of it.
17 Q. Yes. In any event, Garda Keogh called you back that 10:35 evening?
A. Yes.

18 Q. Just after nine o'clock, or just before ten o'clock, it would appear. Could you just talk us through what he told you there?
A. From my notes what I have here is:
"Ni ck Keogh called back at 21:56. Obvi ousl y the call
I asted until 22:06
"Stated he was out on stress sick for a few weeks. Under pressure there. Going into toilets. Nothing had happened but feels there is a possi bility of something happeni ng. Enqui red how long the investi gation was goi ng to take. I said that would determine how I ong --" sorry.
"He sai d that that would determine on how long he would be out. His main fear is regarding the two that went to MzHugh. 'Pile of shite'. Compl aint - meeting these 10:36 I ads in corridors. They went to McCabe. He, Nick, knew - - "

CHA RMAN McHugh, I think you mean.
A. Yeah, he knew that person.

## A. "... Carina Friel did not tell himshe was working out

 of the same office as -- "A superintendent there, that complaint had been made against. I can't make out what the last line says. But just to focus on a couple of matters there. He was 10:38 relating that his stress wasn't related to your investigation?
A. Yes.

23 Q. Did you enquire or was there any discussion about what
was causing stress?
A. No. That's my notes as what was said.
A. I didn't go into any detail in relation to stress or otherwise.
Q. Okay. The fourth line that you read there:
"Spoke to hi mre new superintendent."

So that would appear that you were telling him something about the new superintendent.
A. Basically I told him about the new superintendent, yeah. That the new superintendent -- out of courtesy, there was going to be a change, that there was a new superintendent. I do recal1, however, that he asked me 10:39 what was he like and I think my exact words were that he was very fair but firm. I think they are the words I recall exactly as I would have said to him. Now, whether that was on that particular occasion or not, I'm not a hundred percent certain.
A. But I did advise him that Pat Murray was a very fair but firm person. that, there's an entry in your diary there:

[^0]Could you just talk us through that entry?
A. Okay. " $1 / 4 / 15 \mathrm{Ni}$ ck Keogh rang hi mregarding progress. Out I i ned. . ."

28 Q. It's something to do with the investigation?
A. Yes. okay. "Outlined persons to be intervi ewed. " Obviously we had to interview and then we had intended interviewing Garda A.
29 Q. okay.
A. "Stated he had no choi ce with the stuff yesterday.

Felt pi nned in and I asked hi mhow. He said the craic with the tax on the car and the surgeon. Referral because he was out sick with stress. Asked if there was a welfare problem He said was out sick on stress, went back on drink, won't do full weeks. Ot her fella, Garda A, in on Sunday, not working, not sayi ng anything, just whi stling and humming."

I think my recollection is -- I can't read the bottom of it but my recollection is that $I$ asked him did he say it to his superintendent and I think he said that he said something but not about the whistling and the humming.
30 Q. The entry seems to continue up the side of the page. Could you help us with that?
A. "Vas happy with investigation."

31 Q. Yes. "Appreci at ed the call."
A. "Appreci ated the call."

32

33
A. Okay. "Was happy with investigation. Appreci at ed the call and thanked me. If you were in my shoes you would 10:42 see the difficulty. It's not with ye, just in gener al."
34 Q. So he seemed to be appreciative of the investigation and being kept up-to-date, is that fair?
A. That's true, yeah.

Yeah. We11, had you received a phone call from the Park, whether it was Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin or Superintendent Murray, about it?
A. My recollection is, now I don't have a note of it, but my recollection is that $I$ received a call from AC Ó Cualáin asking me what was that about. I wasn't hundred percent sure, but I think I rang Pat Murray.

38 Q. Yes.
A. I spoke to Garda Keogh at some stage as we11 and I was
able to get back to the AC to tell him that it would appear to be that it was Garda Keogh that was behind the matter that was risen in the Dáil.
Perhaps we will look, there is a note, 2491, from Superintendent Murray's notes. The first note at the top is a call that he received from the assistant commissioner.
A. Okay.
or calls at different times. We know from the evidence that Superintendent Murray had sent a letter and had a conversation with Garda Keogh about these matters, the car tax in particular. It would appear that you called Superintendent Murray then, if this note is accurate, just to read it:
"Calls from D/Super Mul cahy, 10:00pm and 2:32. He made contact with Garda Keogh, who tol d himhe rai sed issues with M Wallace as he felt job was supporting CS Glacken when his tax CMD mentioned to him Expl ai ned to D/ Superintendent Mul cahy my conversation with Garda
Keogh. He expl ai ned their investigation was nearly fini shed and Garda Keogh was anxi ous it be finished as soon as possible as this was a source of his concern as well. "

Now there's no reference in your diary note to Deputy wallace.
A. No.

41 Q. But the stuff going on, is that what it related to;
what Deputy wallace had said?
A. Yeah, it was now -- just from my recollection, now that I see it, see it again, it was in relation to a matter that was raised.
A. I think the question $I$ was asked was in relation to the outburst in the Dáil by Mr. Wallace.

43 Q. Yes. But was he complaining, was Garda Keogh in the conversation complaining that he had been referred to the CMO for stress?
A. Just going back to my notes that particular day.

44 Q. Yes. Just reading it there again?
A. Yeah.

45 Q. He seemed to be justifying what happened yesterday because of what the superintendent had done to him; is that the reading of it?
A. Basically what he says, yeah.

46 Q. "Stated he no choi ce --"
A. Sorry.

47 Q. Go ahead.
A. "He had no choi ce with that stuff yesterday. He felt he was pi nned in and l asked hi mow. He said the crai c with the tax on the car and the surgeon.

Referral because he was..."

48 Q. "... out sick with stress."
A. Yeah. He was out sick with stress. I asked him the question:
"Asked if there was a welfare problemand he said he was out sick on stress, went back on drink, went on full weeks..."

49 Q. "Wbn't do full weeks."
A. Sorry "won't do full weeks", yeah. That's what he was saying to me basically. I took that as probably maybe doing light duties or confined to light duties.
yes. In any event, I think at a later stage you made arrangements for him to engage with the welfare service, isn't that correct?
A. That was, yeah, sometime afterwards, yeah. It was continuously my agenda, on every occasion which I met him I think we discussed welfare.
51 Q. Yes.
A. And that was because AC Ó Cualáin had instructed me on every meeting with him to ensure that his welfare was looked after from our point of view.
52 Q. Yes. Did you understand that those instructions were coming from the Commissioner's office through the deputy commissioner then or the assistant commissioner?
A. Well I would have had sight of the document that would have said that on it as well, yeah.
53 Q. In any event, the assistant commissioner sent up a report to the Commissioner on the 1st April. Could we look at page 10851? Again, this goes through the private secretary?
A. okay.

54 Q. On the 2nd paragraph it says:
"By way of additional information, I wi sh to informyou Detective Superintendent Mul cahy has been in regul ar contact with the confidential reporter both in person and on the phone. During all of these meetings and conversations Detective Superintendent Mul cahy has enquired about the confidential reporter's welfare and made himaware of all the services available to himif required. The confidential reporter has consistently indicated that he does not want to engage with any of the welfare servi ces offered by An Garda Sí ochána. "

That was the position at that time?
A. It was.

55 Q. Is that right?
A. Yeah.

56 Q. The next paragraph goes on to say:
"The most recent communi cation bet ween Detective Superintendent Mul cahy and the confidential reporter was on today's date, 1st April 2015. Followi ng this communi cation, Detective Superintendent Mul cahy is of the belief that the comments made by Mr. M chael Wallace TD in the Dáil on 31st March 2015 regar di ng the bullying and harassment of whi stlebl owers may have emmated fromthe above mentioned confidential reporter."

That's the reference to the stuff that Garda Keogh had
referred to?
A. Yeah.

57 Q. Is that right?
A. Yes.

58 Q. "In his conversation with D/Superintendent Mul cahy, the 10:49 confidential reporter intimated he had no choi ce gi ven recent interventions by Superintendent Murray in rai sing issues over his car tax and al so the question of himhaving himreferred to the CMD for stress rel ated illness."

Going on to the next page then.
"Following the conversation Detective Superintendent Mul cahy cont acted Superi ntendent Murray and outlined the issues raised by the confidential reporter. At the end of today's communi cation the confidential reporter thanked D/ Superintendent Mul cahy for contacting hi mand expressed his satisfaction with the investigation to date. With the investigation ongoing further
communi cation is expected bet ween D/ Superintendent Mul cahy and the confidential reporter. I have i nstructed D/ Superintendent Mul cahy to ensure that any wel fare issues hi ghl ighted will be communi cated to local management for attention as appropriate.

Devel opments of si gni ficance in this regard will be further reported."

Now, shortly after this I think you accompanied Detective Inspector Coppinger on the 9th April to attempt to speak to Ms. B in the matter, isn't that right?
A. I'm not sure of the dates but we did make an effort to contact her, yes.

You have set out the details of that at page 3906 onwards, on to 3907. I don't intend to go through the detail of that. But at the same time, you were putting in place the arrangements to go to meet with Garda A, isn't that correct?
A. That would be correct, yeah.

60 Q. And you met with Garda A on the 24 th April?
A. Okay.

61 Q. You handed Garda A the prepared document; isn't that right?
A. Yeah, we had a document prepared for him, yes.

62 Q. Why did you prepare that in advance? Did you intend to hand it to him or did you intend to question him about it there and then?
A. There was contact with -- wait now, if I can refer to my original notes.

63 Q. Yes.
A. Okay. We met him I think initially on the 24 th.

64 Q. Yes.
A. He handed over a notebook on that particular day. I'm not sure did we actually give him the prepared document on that day or not. I would have to read my statement.

65 Q. We11 if you want to refresh your memory, look at page
3907. The second paragraph there. In the third line you say:
"I handed Garda A a prepared document contai ni ng 19 al I egat i ons."

And you have made that Exhibit 7 in your statement, at page 3949, it's a three-page document.
A. Okay.

66 Q. This account reads as if you arranged to meet him and intended to question him there and then about these matters, is that right?
A. The arrangement was made through his solicitor, Mr. Dan O'Gorman, to meet him. We had that prepared, outlining the allegations that was made against him.

67 Q. Yes.
A. Now at some stage we asked Garda Keogh if he wished to disclose his statement to him and he refused. So from that, we took the various allegations that were made against him.

68 Q. Yes.
A. And it was our intention to get a response in relation to those.

69 Q. Yes. But I mean, there you are, you have turned up by appointment or he has turned up by appointment?
A. Yes.

70 Q. To Oranmore Garda station. You are the detective superintendent, you've got your detective inspector with you.
A. okay.

71 Q. You must have been intending to question him about the allegations in the document?
A. That would be the -- that would be the thing. But in fairness to him, due process had to prevail, as it did for everybody else in the investigation. And I had to be very conscious of where the information that this investigation was based on had come from.

72 Q. Yes.
A. As Garda Keogh had outlined, the information that he had put on the Pulse system back on the, was it around the 18th May, that it had come from this particular person in Galway. Now, I looked at this particular person. This person was a convicted criminal, a heroin dealer, a heroin addict, he was the partner of Ms. B. CHA RMAN There were circumstances about his situation that you thought were relevant. We don't need to -- I don't want to go into too much detail.
A. Okay.

74 Q. CHA RMAN Because I mean --
A. Very relevant.
Q. CHA RMAN There were circumstances that caused you to consider they might be relevant to the veracity of the information that was coming?
A. Absolutely.

CHA RMAR In other words, his previous history, his relationship and in a sense what one might call the emotional contest perhaps in the circumstances. There were circumstances that were relevant in your view?
A. That's correct.

77 Q. CHA RMAN Perhaps also the fact that he may have given up all that material. That would also be relevant. If you were satisfied of that.
A. Yes.

78 Q. CHA RMAN Okay. So, bearing in mind this was somewhat complicated.
A. Yeah.

79 Q. CHA RMAN That was something you thought was relevant to your approach to Garda A?
A. Absolutely, yes.

80 Q. CHA RMAN Is that a fairway of saying it?
A. Yes.

81 Q. CHAL RMAN I hope everyone doesn't think I am jumping the gun or reaching conclusions but $I$ think $I$ am reading your mind. Am I reading it reasonably accurately?
A. You are reading it reasonably accurate, Chairman, but there was one other aspect to it as well.
A. Garda Keogh had informed me that Ms. B had conspired with Garda A --

CHA RMAN Yes.
A. -- to have this man caught for selling heroin as part of Operation Loki.

CHA RMAN Right, thank you.
MR. MEGI NNESS: In any event, it appears from your statement that he requested time. Now that the solicitor or Garda A?
A. I think -- I think it was by Garda A in relation to time, that he wanted to cooperate with it, he wanted to answer it but he needed time to go over it. Yes. Was it not unusual that you would present a document like this to a suspect and then give them time, you didn't want to confront them there and then?
A. Well at that time we didn't have any concrete evidence as such. We had the word from this man, who I was sceptical about.
Q. You met again on the 16th June, according to your statement, and there was a document that had been prepared in response and you took that then without any questioning on that day?
A. Yeah. There was some contact in between with the solicitor. There were dates that weren't kept. I recal1 Inspector Coppinger was questioned yesterday in relation to the timeframe, but there was three contacts that I had documented on my diary in relation to

Mr. O'Gorman and on one particular day he was supposed to turn up and he told me he wouldn't be available or whatever until the following week.
91 Q. But the substance of what happened on the next meeting was that they did turn up on the 16th. This document was produced from their side and Garda A was cautioned and it was read over and signed and there was no questioning, as $I$ understand it, in relation to that at that time?
A. In reply to the -- we took time out then to examine the 10:58 answers that he had given. We looked at them to see where we could corroborate and where we could get evidence to further question him on. And that was the subject of the further meeting with him.
92 Q.
okay. But do you think it was advantageous then to adjourn it to another meeting so that you could assess what had been presented to you?
A. Matters came up that we wouldn't have had knowledge of and that we needed to corroborate or attempt to corroborate, to I suppose just to look at his story to see that it was correct.

93 Q. In any event, you reassembled then for a third time on the 24th June?
A. The 24th June.

94 Q. Is that right?
A. The 24th June. Yeah, that's the note I have here.

95 Q. There were some questions answered then, isn't that right?
A. Yeah. The allegations were put to him. He requested
time to consider them on the 24th, yes.

96 Q
A. From my recollection, the only thing that he did accept was that Ms. B was a drug dealer.
Q. That's something he accepted, is that right?
A. That was something he had said, yeah.

A11 right. But in terms of progressing the investigation towards evidence that would support a prosecution, did anything emanate that would support a prosecution?
A. No, he denied the allegations that were put to him. I think in the interim, before the interviewing of Garda A had taken place, I think the assistant commissioner sent a further report up to the look at that, at page 10860. I'm sorry, perhaps 10859. If we can go back up a page. That's attaching the report which was being sent to GSOC, which is an update to GSOC at that stage. If we just go back down to page 11:01 10860. That is setting out the lines of inquiry at that stage. The third paragraph refers to the statement in the Dáil by Deputy wallace. If we can go down to the next page. It outlines the satisfaction of the confidential reporter with the investigation and then your contact with Garda Keogh at that point in time. If we just look at the date of that, at the bottom. It appears to be in fact dated the 21st April. So that was the position at that point, is that right,
it's sent by the Commissioner's private secretary.
A. Now, I don't recall having sight of that particular document at the time.
Q. All right.
A. But it appears to relate to the position at the time, 1:02 yeah.
Q.

One issue that appears to have arisen between the time of your first interview of Garda A and your second interview with Garda A is the issue of the status of the inquiry. This appears to have been addressed with the Commissioner on the 13th May. Could we look at page 10822. This is a document that is coming from the assistant commissioner. Just scroll down.

CHA RMAN No, it's sent to him.
102 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: I'm sorry, it's sent to him. That's 11:03 an earlier report from you?
A. Yes.

103 Q. Perhaps I will skip ahead to 10882, I beg your pardon. This is a direction from the Commissioner to the assistant commissioner and it says:
> "The Cormissioner directs that you continue with your investigation into the criminal el enent of this matter and that you make the necessary arrangements for an appoi nt ment under the di sci plinary regul ations through 11:04 the menber's di visional officer."

Now, can I just ask for your view on this? when this investigation started, it started under the
confidential reporting regulations 2007?
A. Right.
Q. But it was an investigation into those allegations made by Garda Keogh and it was therefore, was it not, a criminal investigation from the beginning?
A. It would have been conducted as a criminal investigation, yes, because that's how we would operate.
Q. Yes.
A. We would conduct that and see what the evidence brings and that would determine the course of it down the line.
Q. Yes. The various applications that were made, whether for warrants or data, they were all made because you were investigating suspected crimes, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, yeah.

107 Q. CHA RMAN what is the significance, detective superintendent, what is the significance of the distinction between a criminal investigation and a disciplinary investigation. Obviously I know what it means.
A. Yeah.
Q. CHA RMAN If I am investigated for a disciplinary matter I am liable to be disciplined under regulations and so on and receive some kind of sanction, disciplinary sanction. I'm not in danger of being prosecuted, isn't that right?
A. That's right, yeah. CHA RMAN But I am getting the impression here that there is a sort of rigid distinction, it's either a criminal or a disciplinary, is that right?
A. Yeah, that would be fair to say. with criminal obviously they can end up in the courts. With the discipline, it's internal, it would be dealt with locally or nationally, whatever the case may be. Yeah.
110 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: But whilst it was being reported obviously that the reporter, Garda Keogh, was happy with your investigation, it appears that he had written to the Minister on 26th May 2015 complaining about it in a number of respects. Have you seen that letter?
A. I've seen it, my recollection of what's on it, I'm not sure of.
111 Q. Perhaps we will look at that.
A. No, I'm sorry, I haven't seen it. He told me that he had written to the Minister and he told me that he was going to write attacking the investigation but not attacking me or Inspector Coppinger.
112 Q. Yes.
A. I think that might have been the same reference.

113 Q. When did he tell you that.
A. Again, I don't know. He told me at some stage.

114 Q. okay.
A. I have no doubt it would be disclosed in my notes.

This is six years ago now.
115 Q. I understand that.
A. Unfortunately.

116 Q. If we look at the letter at 11625. I think I have
given the wrong date, that's the 26th July, if we just go back up to the top there, 2015. It's addressed to the Minister but I think it was also cc'd to other parties?
A. There wasn't a copy sent to me.

117 Q. It wasn't sent to you directly?
A. No.

118 Q. Yes.
A. No.

119 Q. In the second paragraph it says:
"A criminal investigation was conducted by Assistant Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái n. However, I feel obl i ged to point out a number of serious and deliberate flaws with regard to this investigation."
we will just look at those in due course now. But did Garda Keogh ever indicate to you that you had made a number of serious or deliberate flaws, and deliberate flaws?
A. He did mention in relation to the commissioner coming back to him, he mentioned that, the timeframe. But my understanding was that on the date the Commissioner wrote to him, I think it was the 15th May, whatever it was, '14.

120 Q. The 15th May, yes.
A. That he had written to him and that he had also sent him a letter. My understanding was it took Garda Keogh sometime to come back to the Commissioner. Obvious7y
between them then they arranged a date, which was the 6th/7th June, you know.
121 Q. Yes.
A. Now, that seems to be -- who was waiting for whom, I'm not sure.
we will hear the assistant commissioner's evidence. That's the first point there made. But my question was related to it in a general way. Over the course of the investigation did Garda Keogh say or make it clear that he regarded the investigation that you were conducting on behalf of assistant commissioner as having been flawed by some deliberate actions on your part to --
A. On my part.

123 Q. Yes.
CHA RMAN To frustrate it, yes.
MR. MEGU NESS: Yes.
A. No, definitely not on my part, no.

124 Q. CHA RMAN Mr. McGuinness is focusing, chief superintendent, on the word deliberate.
A. Yeah.

125 Q. CHAN RMAN That's what is he focusing on, because what Garda Keogh says in his letter is it was deliberately -- whatever it was, serious and deliberate flaws, that's what he is focusing on. And what he is asking you is: Did Garda Keogh ever say to you, leave anybody else out of it, that you're deliberately messing this up or whatever it was?
A. I never recall Garda Keogh using the word deliberate to me.

CHA RMAN I think that is what you are exploring, Mr. McGuinness.

## MR. MEGU NESS: Yes.

126 Q. The first one there:
"The fact that it took assistant commi ssi oner one month to meet with myself being his informant. There is an unofficial storeroomin Athl one Garda Station whi ch bel i eve cont ai ned strong tangi ble evi dence whi ch was del $i$ ber at el y emptied in around the second week in May 2014 and evi dence vital to the case di sappeared."

Now, obviously the Commissioner can answer for himself, but have you anything to say about the second sentence in that suggestion?
A. Well, in relation to the official storeroom in Athlone Garda Station, we picked up on that and I can't say that it was an unofficial store. It was a store that was used, it had a high security door and the key left with the D/Sergeant on1y, to ensure the safe custody of 11:11 the Operation Loki files in particular. That was the operation ongoing and that was the operation that Garda Keogh had complained about in his affidavit.
127 Q. The second issue raised there:
"In or around 10th J une 2014 the suspect garda had his St ate mobile phone seized. This mobile phone had been wi ped of its information whi ch could have been potentially vital and unl ike any ot her criminal
i nvesti gati on where evi dence is sei zed, the suspect garda was gi ven a repl acement State mobile phone. It is worth noting that most sergeants in An Garda Sí ochána do not have State mobile phones."

Would you like to comment on that in any respect?
A. Again, it was nothing to do with me, it seemed to be a local issue. But $I$ know one thing, is that when Superintendent Murray became aware that Garda A had a State phone, he immediately removed it and he rang me to say that he had taken the phone from him and he offered it to me in evidence to support Garda Keogh's allegations.
128 Q. The next paragraph, number 3. I think it's out of sequence here, so if we go down to the second next page. This relates to the issue of suspension.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Just at the time we're talking about, immediately prior to this here, and when Garda A was being interviewed, I think the issue of suspending Garda A was reconsidered in April/May '15, isn't that correct?
A. It was, yeah.

130 Q. Could you just outline the circumstances in which that came about?
A. Again, the suspension would obviously come down to local management, but on that particular time new information had come to light and we -- as a result of that we went for the District Court for information and obtained a warrant in relation to the information which suggested that Garda A was in possession of a private phone which would contain evidence to support Garda Keogh's allegation.
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Q. We have jumped ahead now to October '15?
A. Is that October, sorry.
Q. Or an application being resurrected?
A. I cannot recall at the moment. I am not saying it didn't happen.

137 Q. CHAN RMAN No, if the documents show it you are happy with that, but you don't remember it.
A. I don't remember it.

138 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: Point 4 then is the issue about the
statements. It obviously relates to Garda A being on duty and the assertion in the second last sentence:
"He was able to see every person that was called in to make statements and in a position to eyeball menbers of An Garda Sí ochána. I believe this was deliberatel y facilitated in an effort to suppress honest statements being made by way of informal intimidation."
A. I totally refute that allegation.

139 Q. Totally refute it?
A. Totally.

140 Q. "5. A crucial witness had come forward with vital information. The investigation teammet this man namely in around 3rd July 2014 but did not go back to speak with himfor about six months as he did not trust 11:15 the investigation team and was in fear of the suspect garda who was still armed on duty."
would you like to comment on that?
A. I think what we covered earlier, in relation to my efforts to contact this man, that I went far beyond the ordinary call to try and get him on board.
141 Q. Number 6:
"One of the allegations invol ves the suspect garda
getting an unregi stered informant to plant drugs in a car. On 7th Decenber 2014 the said unregi stered Gar da informant was arrested by his handler, the suspect garda, for possessi on of approxi mately $€ 4,000$ worth of
heroin. This now put the garda under investigation in a position of influence over another suspect/witness in the case."
A. That is totally new to me now. I will just read it again.
142 Q. Do you recall Garda Keogh ever bringing that to your attention?
A. I haven't a clue what that's about. Absolutely not.

143 Q. I think you meet him in December and a statement was taken from him, isn't that correct?
A. There was a lot of people met as a result of this allegation.

144 Q. Yes.
A. And a lot of stuff came forward. But that does not ring any bell with me. It does not.

145 Q. Number 7:
"Around 24th April 2015, the allegations were put to the garda under investigation, where he was gi ven over a month to seek legal advi ce. Cautioned statements were taken from hi mbetween 16th J une 2015 --"

Then if we go back to the preceding page.
" -- the 24 th June 2015. This is unusual as in any other criminal investigation the suspect woul d be arrested and intervi ewed without del ay. "

Have you any other comment you would like to make in relation to that?
A. Again, the evidence dictated our investigation and where we were going with our investigation. As I say, I had no concrete evidence other than what the reporter 11:17 had said. Now, while I believed everything he said, I needed to support it in some way from where it was emanating from.
146 Q. Yes. I suppose in relation to an arrest, he was never in fact arrested?
A. He was never in fact arrested, no.

147 Q. And therefore never detained or questioned in custody?
A. No.
Q. Obviously there are time limits relating to custody detentions?
A. There is, indeed, yeah.

149 Q. They can obviously be of benefit if there is the possibility of taking forensic samples?
A. Exactly.

150 Q. Etcetera, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yeah.

151 Q. But you could also question him and invoke the provisions relating to inferences under sections 16,18 and 19 of the Criminal Justice Act. Did you consider that as something --
A. If it would have applied in the case.

152 Q. Pardon?
A. If would have applied in the case, we would have. But here was a man who was totally cooperating with our
every question, made himself available to every request. And if you just maybe look at the last interview, you may note there that I said to him, you're not under arrest, you're free to leave at any stage if you wish. He those to remain. Now, hypothetically if he got up and walked out, maybe, I don't know, maybe our approach might have been different. But he was cooperating and that's what we worked on at the time.
153 Q. Number 8 then:
"It is my understanding that the investigation team could not retrieve text messages over two years from the seized State mobile phone. Text messages for the period around 2010 are vital and I do not know if every 11:19 effort was made to retrieve them"

Would you like to comment on that any further?
A. Well, the network providers, they're only obliged under law to keep their records for two years, and in 2010 it 11:19 was well outside of that. We still made the applications.
154 Q. Yes. I think even if the applications are made, you cannot retrieve the substance of any text passing from one phone to another subscriber, isn't that correct?
A. Unless that it was retained on the phone.

155 Q. Yes. That requires possession of the phone?
A. It did, yeah.

156 Q. There are two separate issues?

157 Q. The metadata doesn't give you the content of a text in any event, it only gives you the fact that a text has been sent?
A. Sent, yeah.

158 Q. From one person to another, without content, isn't that right?
A. Yeah. The substance of the contact is different.
Q. Number 9:
"In J une 2015 the suspect garda stopped [blank], a witness in the case without havi ng committed an offence and checked her tax and insurance and asked for her drivers licence. I bel ieve this was an attempt to intimidate the witness."

Have you any knowledge of that?
A. Absolutely no knowledge of it.

160 Q. He goes on then to point out about him having to serve in the same station at the same time, etcetera. We don't need to deal with the rest of that at present. Could we go back then to your diary for the 4th March? I think documents, Chairman, relating to that have been circulated. Page 16298.
CHA RMAN Have you got a copy of that now?
A. I haven't. It's not coming up there.

161 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: It should come up on the screen?

## A. What date in March?

162 Q. 16298?

CHA RMAK Thanks.
MR. MEGU NESS: Hard copies have been --
CHA RMAN We will give you a copy of that.
MR. MEGI NESS: A11 the parties should have copy of that.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. MEGU NNESS: The first one relates to the 4th March and there is an entry there:
"Pat Murray briefing on Athl one investi gation 10: 00am "
A. Okay.

164 Q. So that would appear to indicate that you phoned him to give him a briefing, is that correct?
A. I'm not sure. I have a feeling I met him in Oranmore station that morning.
Q. You think you met him?
A. Yeah, I'm nearly certain I met him that morning now.

166 Q. okay.
A. Yeah.

167 Q. Can you recall then what was the purpose and the content of the discussion about the briefing?
A. It was basically just to let him know that we're investigating the complaint of the other confidential recipient in Athlone and the fact that he was going to Athlone, just to put him in the picture. Because maybe, I'm not sure, he wasn't aware of who might have been investigating it. But I am aware that he was aware of what was going on. I didn't go into the details of the investigation or anything like that with

168 Q. Yes. But did you discuss Garda Keogh as being the confidential reporter?
A. I don't think I mentioned Garda Keogh's name, because it was common knowledge who was at the end of it. what 11:23 I said to him, or not my direct words, but I advised him that $I$ was involved in that investigation, that we were visiting Athlone in relation to different aspects of it and not to be surprised if he saw us. Something -- they may not be my exact words but something along those lines.

169 Q. Yes.
A. As I said, we didn't get into the details of the investigation, nor he didn't ask me the details of it.
170 Q. With your statement you've also provided a copy of your 11:23 diary entry for the 19th April, but there's also a long entry relating to the 18th and a short entry relating to the 20th?
A. No, I think in fact it was on the 19 th, if I can refer to my original notes.

171 Q. CHA RMAN Yes, take your time.
A. I think it might have been all captured in the... It might have been captured -- if I show you where, Chairman.

172 Q. CHAI RMAN Yes.
A. The note started on the 19th and there is an arrow drawn over to this page, so there was actually two pages of notes in respect of the 19th.

173 Q. CHA RMAN So it's all on the one day.
A. All on the one.
Q. MR. MEGU NESS: Would you start on the 19th then, if you just help us deal with the substance of the whole of the entry?
A. Okay.
"At 22: 28 text from Ni ck Keogh. Super, can you cal I me? We' re nearly at crunch time. PS I do respect you."
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Q. And two missed called?
A. "Pl us two missed calls."

Then:
"22: 39 call, sorry for annoying you."
where he apologised to me for annoying me.
"But what the fuck is going on? I advi sed hi mot to speak to me like that. Stated he di d not mean it like that. Advised hi mthat I had tol d hi mbef ore not to address me like that. Said, I want to know have you
gone for hi myet?"
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Q. Was that a reference to Garda A?
A. Yes.
"I have no war An Garda Sí ochána or on members. I am ready to do that. There is a need for me to go down that road. All out attack. Not good. Tol d hi mabout i nvestigation around the phone traffic pl us the new records."
Q. Were they the billing records?
A. Yeah, possibly, I would imagine. I brought him up-to-date in relation to the investigation basically.
"Stated GSOC will be tal king to himsoon."

That's him stating that.
180 Q. Yes.
A. "And he will be upfront with them l then advi sed him to be and he said techni cally not."
181 Q. What does that refer to?
A. I didn't question him on it, but it kind of suggested to me that he mightn't give them the full facts or something to that -- I didn't push him on it. But basically when I said to him -- I advised him to be upfront with them, he said "techni cally not."
182 Q. Yes.
A. "Advi sed hi me were meeting with [named person] and the fact that she di dn't show up. Stated he had
not hi ng bad to say about you or Coppi nger."
Q.
A. "He sai d Ó Cual ái $n$, I have to shoot this down. St at ed [particul ar person] will record you dangerous fish. Legal."

184 Q. Be wary, is it?
A. "Be wary. Legals. Stated he was on the brink of going all out with Garda Sí ochána. Gone into politics now. 11:27 You can't touch Garda A basically."
185 Q. Is that government?
A. "Gone into politics"?

186 Q. You can't?
A. No, that is a reference to Garda A.

187 Q. "Sai d he was going to recommend."
A. Sorry.

188 Q. CHA RMAN Hold on.
A. "Said he was going to recommend that Garda A would be suspended. Advi sed himthat the assi stant commi ssioner 11:27 sai d he would look at that aspect and he did. Stated that when we went to the station during our i nvesti gati on Garda A should not have been there. It was not good practice. Not having a go at you. Need to know where this is going. There are things I need to know. I then asked him what do you need to know?" So he said:
"Where are we at? I filled himin as to where we were at. Asked, are you going to arrest hi mplasked him, for what? And he stated, over 30 of fences. Advi sed hi mthe evi dence will di ctate how we move. Agai $n$ stated he had no probl em with me. Stated his career had changed because of taking this on. It's himplus Commi ssi oner. Massi ve problem Stated he did not like $m y$ tone and asked if there was friction. Advi sed him no friction. He asked me if I knew a Garda Sí ochána. "

He named a guard there in Oranmore Garda Station.
" -- who he was with in Bray."

I understand, I think it was him that spoke about this guard.
"That he had got chi ef's permission and he backed a car i nto a wall and he was grounded and bl ocked. Stated that he knew --" if I can mention the name, another guard there.
" -- Harrison going out with same girl."

He mentioned the girls name.
"Harrison ditched by her. Fell out with her. Did ring Harrison regar di ng Garda A drunk dri ving."

And I understand there.

> "GSOC i nt er vi ew hi m"

My understanding was that that was Garda Harrison.
189 Q. If we then go back to the 18th?
A. The 18th is a continuation of the 19th, yes.

190 Q. There is a reference to another officer up there at the top. I don't think we need to refer to that?
A. okay.

191 Q. Unless it's relevant.
A. Right. "Stated case nearly a year old on 8/5/15."

192 Q. Yes.
A. "Wants to leave things on a good note. No gripe with you or the DI. He is razor sharp. Will be going to
GSOC pl us will attack the investigation. Does not want to make a stupi d move. Advi sed hi mabout Operation Loki. Stated he had spoken with Hugh Cullen, GNDU, to tell him what he was going to do. Di d not want to see anything happen to the GNDU Iads. I basi cally told him 11:31 then he could not be sel ective."

Now in relation to Operation Loki, we had uncovered some problematic areas in relation to it.
193 Q. Yes.
A. And there was a possibility sanction would have followed in relation to it. But I basically told him he couldn't be selective in relation to that aspect of it.
Q. Yes.
A. "Stated he presumed you' re going to have to arrest that guy. "

He refers to Garda A.
"Any ot her police force in the world would have arrested him Don't know what you're doing. Advi sed hi mthat the evi dence would di ctate the investigation course. Stated he did not want to fall out with Garda 11:31 Sí ochána but stated about a full attack. If he did, he woul d take Nói rín Ơ Sul li van down. Di d not want to go into that now. Stated Al an Shatter found out about the penalty poi nts fromfamily members. Stated he had poi nted themout."

I think that was he himself had pointed them out.
"You can check that. We' re going to take himdown. It was his i dea."

Garda Keogh's idea.
" -- that he was found out. [Blank] is pro guard in certain ways. There is a breakdown in communi cation WAllace and Dal y and he asked me did I hear about a shooting in a Garda station? He di dn't el aborate on that. Stated I was the onl y link he had with
authority. Does not trust the new super. Trusted the old one. Hi s uncle, Ted Fennelly, was ki dnapped, stripped and a gun to the head. He had a long hi story in his family and his grandfather was number 51."

That refers to his grandfather was number 51 in the Garda Síochána.
"Change has to happen in certai $n$ ways."
195 Q. Detective superintendent, I don't know, are you reading 11:33 from the original diary?
A. Original document, yes.

196 Q. Would you omit any names unless they are directly relevant?
A. okay.

197 Q. CHA RMAK If you just collate it, if you have the thing, you will see that it is blacked out.
A. Oh yeah, sorry.

198 Q. CHA RMAN There's no big deal.
A. Yes.

199 Q. CHA RMAN But if you can just go from one to the other and just check that it's been blacked out.

200 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: Could you resume then after number 51 there?
A. Okay. "Change has to happen certain ways. He wants to 11:33 do change."

CHA RMAN We11, you better leave Nóirín in, having told you not to mention names. But it's not blacked
out and clearly the Commissioner is relevant to the story. Sorry. "He wants to do change..."
A. "Nói rín not fit for purpose. She tal ks change but did not do anything. Stated he had met [a member] pl us Cl are Daly, pl us Wallace. Onl y met Vallace after the st ory..."
201 Q. Spoken to?
A. I think that was in relation to, he only met wallace after we had spoken to him about the article in the newspaper.

CHAN RMAN "After bei ng spoken to."

Is that right "onl y met Wallace after being spoken to"?
A. I think that is we had spoken to him in relation to a newspaper article in November.
Q. MR. MEGU NESS: In November.
A. I think that's what he's is saying there, that he hadn't contacted him before that and he only met him after that. That's my understanding of that.
"Need to finish on a good note. Stated that it is a naj or problem what he had ID d. Maj or problemin Iife. If he is going to do an attack it will be with AC, not you. I respect you and Coppi nger."

Reference to a previous superintendent.
" -- should not be in that position. He should not be
in the position he is in. Inspector is the hi ghest rank he should have got. His father was a chi ef. Circus of madness. Will nake a filmabout him somet i me. "
Q. "About it all", is it?
A. "Heard that there was no prosecution in respect of --" a named person who he had made an initial complaint about. He makes reference to that same person:
" - - bei ng a drug deal er. State he was playing around with his computer, putting pictures of rats on."

Again, I can mention the name, can I? Nóirín o'sullivan?
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. MEGI NNESS: Yes. That's what he said he was doing, is that right?
A. That's what he said he was doing, yeah.
Q. Does that end the note for the conversation?
A. No, no. It goes up to the top bit then.
Q. Yes.
A. "He stated that that man from Gal way that was the provi der of the information was back in Athl one. He said he was going to get tal king to him He had a row with his mother. He stated that Nói rín is tal king about promting [a particular superintendent]. He said he can't allow that to happen. [Blank] rang and told hi m He then stated that his present superintendent, Pat Murray, was on about the surgeon. He was going to
tick back."

And then I have just "not ki ckback".
"Out sick on stress. Had good time for Noreen MEB." 11:37 His previous super.
"Had respect for authority.

And at 23:05, the cal1 started at 22:29. And if I may add to that?
207 Q. Yes.
A. That particular call, he was literally shouting down the phone to me. It was a Sunday evening, I was at home siting with my wife and she actually took exception to it and asked me what was going on. It was just one of those occasions where I bent over backwards to be available to him.
Q. But did you get any impression that he had been drinking that evening perhaps?
A. Most definitely, yeah. Most definitely.

209 Q. Did he tend to be apologetic on later calls for that type of --
A. There was some call later where he apologised for previous behaviour, yes.
Q. There's an entry then that we have also provided relating to the 20th April, where you rang Pat Murray?
A. Yes.

MR. MEGU NESS: we will come back to some other phone calls of a welfare nature that you had with him later, but just to go back to the investigation, it would appear that subsequent to the conclusion of the attempts to interview Garda A, that the investigation was coming towards a conclusion, is that right?
A. Em...
Q. Were there other steps taken?
A. I'm trying to think. As I suggested, we did it on a modular basis. The first module was around obtaining the information from the person that spoke to Garda Keogh.
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Q. Yes.
A. And to try and get that down into evidence. Secondly, in relation to Ms. B, what we could get from her. And there was a vast investigation around Operation Loki, which was the part that formed the basis of his affidavit. There was an awful lot of work then around that.
Q. Yes.
A. And moving on, I personally would have to refer to notes to see exactly what came after Garda A.
219 Q. Yes. Well, if you wish to refer to any particular note of anything that was done thereafter, please do so?
A. Yeah. I would say my statement probably covers it. If I can get a hard copy of my statement.
220 Q. Yes. Have you got a copy of your statement?
A. I may have a copy of the original statement. CHAN RMAN Maybe you could get one.

221 Q. MR. MEGUNESS: In terms of the sequence, you appear to have --
A. Okay, I have it here, yeah.

222 Q. -- you appear to have referred to Garda Keogh on the 29th July, regarding a matter that came to light in the investigation concerning a lift that had been given to a certain person?
CHA RMAN What page is that, Mr. McGuinness?
223 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: That's page 3908, the second paragraph.
A. 39 --

224 Q. 3908 of the statement.
A. Yeah. That was a matter that came to light during the investigation.
Q. Yes.
A. I'm not sure if it was as a result of Garda A's interview. I would have to look back at the file. But 11:42 basically what it did there was, that it made contact with him in respect of this particular person.
Q. I don't think we need to go to the detail, I am just drawing it to your attention from the point of view of the chronology.
A. Okay.

227 Q. It would appear from your own statement that that was the next thing of significance that you referred to?
A. That arose from that, yeah.

228 Q. That appears to have been an attempt to corroborate an 11:42 account given by somebody else about dealings with Garda A?
A. Yes.

229 Q. And perhaps other members. But I think the next significant step was the provision of information in 11:42 October 2015?
A. Okay.

230 Q. Isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

231 Q. Which led to warrants being obtained by yourself and 11:42 Detective Inspector Coppinger?
A. That's correct.

232 Q. We heard about those. Nothing evidential I think actually came of that, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

233 Q. In any event, the assistant commissioner submitted his report to the Director of Public Prosecutions?
A. Correct.

Presumably you were centrally involved in helping prepare the drafts of that and any conferences that took place in relation to it?
A. We11, yeah, a number of us had sat down, including Inspector Coppinger and myself and the incident room manager.
Q. Yes. We have referred to Garda Keogh's letter to the Minister and I think he told you about that in a phone conversation on the 30th July?
A. Yeah, he mentioned that.
Q. A date after he had written the letter. You say in your statement at page 3914 that:
"He stated he was not entire happy with peopl e hi gher above me."

He then went on to outline his concerns. I think you attached your diary note of that at page 3928.
A. 3928. Okay.

237 Q. If we just look at that. It starts there:
"Ni ck Keogh - want to be honest. Wote letter about i nvesti gation. M ni ster and DPP. Not entirel y happy with hi gh up above you. 100\%trust."

Could you just help us with the remaining of the entry then?
A. "Knock investi gation. "
Q. Thorough, is that thorough?
A. Pardon.
Q. Knock investigation?
A. Knock.
"AC over month to meet. Unofficial storeroomcleared out. At hl one cl eared out. Gear bag --" no, not gear bag.
241 Q. Something handwritten in hospital to a named member?
A. Yeah. Unfortunately I can't read my own writing.

242 Q. Yes. Anyway, it goes down to:
"Phone sei zed and wi ped. "

Did you ever tell him that the phone had been wiped?
A. No, I would never have used that word.

243 Q. Can you remember how you would have described --
A. I would have said any reference in respect of the person he had named and his initial statement, giving a short abbreviation of her name, any text message in relation to that person or sorry any contact, there was nothing there in relation to it.
244 Q. There was no contact for that named person?
A. There was no contact on the phone, yeah.

245 Q. Okay. It goes on then:
"Statement taken a few months. Suspect guard did not..."

Can you read that? Can you help us read that? "Did not l eave statement"
A. Talk about replacement phone, 18/6/14.
Q. Yes. "No suspensi on" then?
A. Yeah, no suspension, he mentions.

247 Q. "Statements taken from members. Suspect guard did not I eave station. Frustrate investi gation."
A. Yeah.
Q. "Witness gave team.."
A. "I nvestigation teamissues not met six months."

Now, I'm not sure, I could have been pulled in to the side of the road or something writing down these.
249 Q. It says:
"... 24/4 allegation put to suspect. Text could not be restored. Guns."

And then:
"At tempted to intimidate wi thess."

Then there seems to be advice given by you in the text section, is that correct, at the bottom?
A. Yeah.
"Advi sed re di sci pl ine i nvesti gation. Two ot her
i nvesti gations re."

I presume he had a medical complaint about.
"with GSOC."

CHA RMAN with GSOC.
A. "With GSOC. Super forwar ded --"

Or:
"Forced green to hand over to i nsurance company.
Compl i cated. Best pol i ceman. Dri nk driving. 60?
Wbrk..."

250 Q. MR. MEGUNESS: Perhaps the rest isn't relevant.
A. okay.

251 Q. You seem to be in a position there to advise him about the discipline investigation?
A. That seems to be what the kernel of it, yeah.

252 Q. Had you been informed that an assistant commissioner was being appointed in relation to disciplinary aspects 11:48 of the matter?
A. Yeah, I was aware that AC Jack Nolan had been appointed.
253 Q. Yes. Did you have discussion with Assistant

Commissioner ó Cualáin about whether the disciplinary investigation should start or proceed or whether it should be put in abeyance?
A. I didn't, because often you see investigations running paralle7.
Q. Yes. Do you know whether Assistant Commissioner Nolan had started his investigation in any respect at this point?
A. I understood it was started and that some discipline papers had been served on some members.

255 Q. Yes. Do you know whether it had got any further than that at this point in time?
A. I never got any feedback afterwards.
Q. In any event, the file went in and the file in the end didn't recommend any criminal charges be preferred?
A. Correct.
Q. In those circumstances, is it normal to submit a file where you're not seeking to recommend any charges?
A. No, under the circumstances and because of the background to it, I think it was important to get an independent decision in relation to any charges that may or may not be preferred.

258 Q. Yes. Just going back to page 3914, you refer to a call from Garda Keogh on the 18th November, which you describe there, if we go down the page. You then refer 11:50 to your contacting the reporter on the 7th January and updating him.
A. Yes.

259 Q. He told you of his going out on long-term sick and he
describes what he was doing at that point in time?
A. Yes.
Q. okay.
A. That was the first reference there in relation to taking the tablets that I was aware of, that he said it 11:50 to me in that particular thing. He was apologising to me basically for his previous behaviour and that was the first time that I was aware that he had been taking tablets.
Yes. On the 22nd June he rang you and stated that he had drink taken. If we go down to the bottom of the page there.
"I had no drink for the last six weeks."

CHAI RMAN The 22nd May.
MR. MEGI NESS: The 22nd May, I'm sorry.
A. Yeah, that's what he said to me, yes.
Q. You record there that you advised him that you had been trying to make contact with him?
A. Yeah.
Q. You refer to his reply there. Just to be clear, are you recording here that he was drunk during this conversation?
A. Em, no. what he is saying here was that -- I told him I had been trying to get to him and he told me that he had "been fucking around with phones" and they're his exact words. I think he was changing his number or somewhat.
Q. He made a number of comments which are recorded there, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You have included those as notes. But very shortly afterwards, on about the 7th April, you were aware of the director's decision, as it were, in the matter?
A. Yes.
Q. I think that had issued to the commissioner and the assistant commissioner in March in fact, isn't that correct? Perhaps it hadn't come to you?
A. I'm not sure of the actual dates, to be honest.
Q. In any event, did you ring him on the 7th April?
A. The 7th, contact established with the reporter and advised him that I needed -- yes, it would be a phone cal1.
Q. Did you discuss the directions on that evening with him?
A. Basically, I wanted to meet him to discuss them in person with him. I didn't want to talk to him over the phone about the directions.
Q. Yes. And he rang you back the next day, is that correct?
A. "The following morning the reporter rang me."

Yes.
"And basi cally outlined that he coul dn't meet me." Q. Did you ever meet him in fact to go through -A. No.
Q. Or to inform him face-to-face of the directions?
A. No.

272 Q. Is what he said reported there accurately?
A. Is what he said reported --
"Tol d me that he could not me meet with me as he was goi ng on the tear with another Iad. He accepted he was an al coholic."

That is the first time that he has accepted that to me. 11:53
"I agai $n$ asked himif he would contact his wel fare officer. He told me he was going to the press and just wanted to tell me that he was going to bring down Nói rín O Sullivan. I advi sed hi mthat the DPP had di rected no prosecution. He told me he had 15 years good service and I advi sed hi mthat he could have another 15 years good service but not necessarily in Athlone. He mentioned Birr. He rang me back later and spoke about drinking and his head not being correct and 11:53 that every Irish family had it."

And by that I understood to be that every Irish family had a drink problem. He would have been sincere in relation to his comments at that point.

You refer to the welfare officer there. I think following a text message from him on 21st April 2015, he had indicated his willingness to accept an offer of help --
A. Correct, yes.
Q. -- via the welfare officer.
A. Yeah.
Q. I think at the top of page 3910 you detail what you did in relation to that, in relation to the welfare officers Landy and Malone?
A. Yeah.That's how Garda Quinn came into the picture. reporter. Did he liaise with you then regularly about the reporter?
A. No.
Q. Garda Keogh.
A. No, he didn't basically, because, as you know, it's a $\quad$ 11:54 confidential service.
Q. Yes.
A. And the only time I met Mick Quinn was at a conference in the medical centre -- well, it wasn't the medical centre in Dublin, but it was with the Garda doctor and other persons. That was the only time I ever met him. And the only other contact I had with him was when I retired, that he sent me a retirement card.

280 Q. Now, a supplementary report went in to the director's office, isn't that right, arising out of the results of 11:55 the searches that had occurred?
A. Oh correct, yes.

281 Q. Were you involved with that, the submission of that report?
A. That was a short enough report. Basically, at the end of the first report, we had highlighted to the DPP's office that other matters had come to light and we had taken action and rather than delay the file --
Q. You became aware of the fact that no progress from an evidential or prosecutorial --
A. No, I was fully aware of that at all times, yeah, we would have discussed that in our conferences and such, yes.
Q. We have heard obviously that you retired in 2018?
A. Yes.
Q. And Inspector Coppinger, I don't know if you knew, he sent in a third submission, as it were.
A. No, I wasn't aware of that until yesterday.

287 Q. In any event, Garda Keogh refers to you in a letter to the Minister on 16th May 2016, at page 11632. Perhaps we will look at that. This is the paragraph in the letter where he refers to you and he says:
"I acknow edge Detective Superintendent Mul cahy of fered 11:56 to go through the findings with re. However, I declined as l bel i eve the Garda i nvesti gation was flawed fromthe very start."

Now, is that a reference to your phone calls with Garda Keogh on 7th and 8th April of 2016? Or was that some subsequent occasion that you offered to go through the findings with him?
A. I presume that's what he was saying, that he declined. He's corroborating what I had said basically; that he didn't want to meet me in relation to going through the findings.
Q. Just to be clear, did you offer to go through the findings with him in either of those phone calls or subsequently?
A. Basically I told him that I wanted to meet him, I said a direction had been received and I wanted to meet him to discuss it with him.

289 Q. Yes.
A. And on the second day then, because of his attitude, the fact that he had said he was going drinking on whatever, I then gave him the thing because I thought it was going to be impossible for me to have anything to do with him at that stage. He wasn't very impressed with the fact that the DPP had directed, I would imagine. That was his problem, why he didn't want -probably didn't want to hear the results. But I was adamant that I wanted to tell him. But unfortunately it didn't allow me to under the circumstances.

290 Q. Now there is a distinction between two different matters; informing him of the decision of the DPP and of the basis for it and going through the findings. was it your intention to go through the findings of the
investigation with him?
A. Well, it would be my intention to highlight everything that was highlighted to the DPP.
Q. Yes.
A. And to give the direction. I would basically let him know the exact direction, what the DPP would have said, and probably would have given him a copy if he made himself available.
Q. I mean, it does appear that you were, in fact, updating him in terms of the progress of the investigation and the steps that you were going to take even before you took some of them?
A. Continuously, yes.
Q. Is that right?
A. Continuously, yes.

So would you have had any difficulty, as it were, in going through the findings of the investigation with him and the directions of the DPP?
A. I would have had -- I would have had no difficulty in going through the findings with him. But unfortunately 11:58 the situation didn't lend itself, due to the fact that he said he was going out on whatever he said he was going out on, he was going drinking and stuff like that and the atmosphere around him at the time.
295 Q. Yes.
A. I would like to have, I absolutely would have loved to sit down and discuss it with him.
Q. I don't intend to go through any of the other earlier contacts that you had with him in 2015 or in '16, but
was the last occasion that you spoke to him about the investigation on the 7th and 8th April?
A. I believe it was. I'm not a hundred percent sure but I think it may have been, yeah.
MR. MGGI NESS: Thank you, detective superintendent. ${ }^{11}: 59$ THE WTNESS: Thank you.

## END OF I MESTI GATI ON

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Now, Mr. Kelly?
Mr. O'Brien?
MR. KELLY: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, I have just been provided with that additional diary material.
CHAI RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: I really ought to go through that first.
It shouldn't take me more than 10 or 15 minutes.
CHA RMAN okay. If I said 12:15.
MR. KELLY: It's unfortunate. I have just been handed it.
CHA RMAN Don't worry in the slightest, Mr. Kelly. It 12:00 is important that you should -- even if you conclude that it doesn't have any relevance, it's still important that you should actually look at it to satisfy yourself. We11, I mean, I can say 12:30, is that convenient?

MR. KELLY: That's fine.
CHA RMAN okay, let's say 12:30 and we will resume then and we will get in half an hour before lunch. I mean, it's not long enough that you need from now until
lunchtime to do it?
MR. KELLY: No, no, it's not. I would hope to have it done before 12:30.

CHA RMAN Perfect. Very good. Thanks very much. So we will say 12:30 then. Thanks very much.

## THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED BRI EFLY AND RESUMED AS

 FOLLOV5:CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Now, Mr. Kelly.

## DETECTI VE SUPERI NTENDENT DECLAN MLCAHY MAS

 CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. KELLY, AS FOLLOWE:MR. KELLY: Thank you very much, Chairman.
297 Q. Just before I go through these recent diary entries, there is one matter that I want to ask you. This morning you were asked by Mr. McGuinness, it's the transcript beginning at page 29 of the live feed, I wil1 read you the question. The question begins at 1ine 11, question 5:
"A crucial witness has come forward with vital i nf ormation, the investi gation team met this man, namel y around 3rd July 2014, but did not go back to speak with himfor about six months as he did not trust $t$ he investigation team and was in fear of the suspect Garda who was still on duty."

You were asked would you like to comment on that. Your answer was:
"I think what we covered earlier, in rel ation to my efforts to contact this man, that l went far beyond the ordi nary call to try and get hi mon board. "

You were then asked in respect of Nick Keogh's criticism number 6:
"One of the allegations i nvol ves a suspect Garda getting an unregi stered informant to plant drugs in the car, was arrested by his handl er, the suspect garda, for possessi on of approxi matel $y € 4,000$ worth of heroin. This now put the garda under investigation in a position of influence over the suspect witness in the case. "

Can you remember being asked that question?
A. I do, yeah.

298 Q. To which your answer was:
"That's totally new to me now. l will just read it agai $n$.
Q. Do you recall Garda Keogh ever bringing that to your attention?"

Your answer was:
"I haven't a cl ue what that is about. Absol utel y not."

Do you remember that?
A. I do, yeah.

299 Q. Would you please look at page 328 in the bundle. It will brought up on the screen for you. It's Volume 1. Have you seen this letter before?
A. One moment.
Q. Take a moment to read it.
A. Yes.

301 Q. That's a letter sent to you by Nick Keogh dated 17th December 2014; is that right?
A. I'm not sure of the date, but yes, I'll accept it.

302 Q. We11, you can see the date at the top, it's stamped. If Mr. Kavanagh can move it up so the superintendent can see the date?
A. 17th December, okay, yes.

303 Q. Yeah. If you look down, it says:
"I have kept notice Pul se inci dent."

And gives a number, which he attaches.
"I have kept my distance fromi mortant persons for a fair investigation. Garda A is the investigating garda 12:36 now in a case i nvol ving her oi $n € 4,200$. Suspect
offender who was his informant and is a suspect."
A. I'm just sorry now --

304
Q. "Garda A is now in a position of influence over him

It will be interesting to see if there is a prosecution on this one."

Would you firstly accept that this was brought to your attention?
A. The start of it is very similar to another letter I received about a man coming into the station and stating -- but I cannot recall having seen that letter, that aspect of it previously.
Q. You have never seen this letter before?
A. I cannot recall it. Initially in relation to the first few lines $I$ have an idea who he is speaking about. But in relation to the bottom aspect of it, I have no recollection whatsoever.
306 Q. If we then turn over, if it's moved up, if we move down 12:37 to page 332. This is a Pulse report. There are a number of pages before it, the key one is here. It bears the Pulse number 1448235.
"21: 30 Garda A and Lyons carried out a follomp search of the shed and di scovered what appears to be an amount of heroin conceal ed behi nd a roof raft of drugs to property, 7th December ' 14."

And then a receipt number. You say you haven't seen this before?
A. No, I have absolutely no recollection of that.
Q. Are you sure about that?
A. I have absolutely no recollection of seeing that aspect
of it. I'm aware who he is speaking about and I'm aware of the bit in relation to coming into the station suggesting that the guards had met him and he told them about the stuff and things like that. But in relation to that aspect of it, I have no knowledge.

308 Q. So you say you are right, that you were never told about the planting of the $€ 4,200$ of heroin on a suspect?
A. Planting?

309 Q.
Sorry?
A. Planting of heroin on this suspect?
Q. Yes.
A. No.

311 Q. On any suspect?
A. Oh, yes. Part of the initial allegation was in relation to planting of drugs on a suspect, but that related to $€ 75,000$ worth of heroin.

312 Q. Yes. But this one. So this morning that allegation 6 that I have taken you through in the transcript, do you still stick by your answer?
A. As I said to you, I have absolutely no knowledge of that and I have no recollection of ever seeing that before.

313 Q. Okay. So I will leave it there for the moment?
A. Yeah.

314 Q. You have never seen this letter before?
A. As I said, I have no recollection of ever seeing this before. The letter seems similar to one when I read the first couple of lines but as $I$ went down into it, I
cannot recall absolutely anything in relation to that.
315 Q. You have no recollection of being told about the search and the seizure of 4,200 ?
A. This one, I have no recollection of.

316 Q. None?
A. None.

317 Q. I want to ask you about the diary entry that you have here for Wednesday, 4th March 2015. It's at page 16298.
A. Yeah.

318 Q. This is your diary?
A. Yes, correct.

319 Q. It's for 4th March 2015, is that right?
A. Correct.

320 Q. And if I am reading that correctly, it says:
"Pat Murray briefing on Athl one situation."

CHA RMAN Investigation.
A. Investigation.

321 Q. MR. KELLY: "I nvesti gation. 10:00am"
A. 10:00am, that's correct. Yeah.

322 Q. So that was you ringing Pat Murray to brief him on the situation, is that right?
A. I'm not sure if I rang him. There's something in the back of my mind to suggest that I might have met him that particular morning in Oranmore.

323 Q. But this is your diary entry?
A. It's my diary entry.
Q. Is's accurate?
A. That I briefed Pat Murray --
Q. No, is your diary accurate?
A. Pat Murray briefing on Ath1one investigation 10:00am, yes.
Q. So what is written here is accurate?
A. Is accurate.

So Pat Murray was briefed on 4th March 2015 by you about the Athlone investigation?
A. Well, when I say briefing, what I did was to let him know that $I$ was involved in an investigation in the station that he was now going to and that some of my team might be around and not to be alarmed, etcetera. I didn't go into the details of the actual investigation with him.
328 Q. So in this entry briefing means just saying, we11, I spoke to him by phone and told him that we would be about and that's it.
CHA RMAN He didn't say by phone, Mr. Kelly.
MR. KELLY: That's right.
CHA RMAN He said that his recollection was that he met him in the station in Oranmore.
MR. KELLY: I am grateful, yes. So briefing is just that you meet him and you just say, we're going to be over there.
A. Basically I told him $I$ was involved in the investigation and that my team would be over there, you know. So that -- it was out of courtesy I told him, that he wouldn't be surprised when a crowd of people
appear in an office, something like that, you know. 330 Q. And the investigation being?
A. Yes.

What investigation were you referring to?
A. Well, the confidential reporter investigation.
A. I don't think it had to be necessarily made clear to him, I think he understood quite exactly what I was talking about.

You see, Chief Superintendent Murray told us on an earlier occasion that he had started work on 9th March 2015 in Ath1one and that he had not -- just hold on one moment. It's Day 123, page 11 of the transcript. I just want to draw your attention to that. At line 8, question -- this is the evidence of Superintendent Pat 12:43 Murray:
"Di d you know that Assi stant Commi ssi oner Donal Ó Cual ái $n$ was conducting an investigation in rel ation to matters concerning Athl one Garda Station?
A. Not bef ore going to Athlone, no. "

Have you any comment to make on that?
A. When did he go to Athlone, actually?

334 Q. The 9th March.
A. The 9th March. This is the 4th March. I don't know, I cannot comment in respect of Superintendent Murray. But that is my recollection here and I am happy that they are accurate.

335
Q. I see. I want you now to look, if you would, at your diary entry for 18th Apri1 2015. This is page 16299. This is the documents we got this morning.
A. okay.
Q. Right. Did you make this contemporaneously?
A. I did actually, yes. It was a Sunday evening, I was at home with my wife sitting down when the phone rang, I had my diary with me and made it there and then.

340 Q. It's a pretty long and detailed one.
A. It is indeed.

Did you record the conversation?
A. Record it, no. I recorded absolutely no conversation with Nick Keogh at any time.
342 Q. You say that this long, detailed note was prepared contemporaneous7y?
A. Yes.

343 Q. It's clear during the course of that, that Nick Keogh presumed that Garda A was going to be arrested, isn't it?
A. That was his presumption, yes.

344 Q. In fact, he had asked you I think at least on one occasion, if not more, were you going to arrest Garda A?
A. He did, yes.

345 Q. And your reply?
A. I think it may have been, for what?

346 Q. Again, if I may ask you on that, I see from it that you also phoned on 20th April 2015, Pat Murray, is that right?
A. The 20th, hang on.

347 Q. 16301. The diary entry for 20th April 2015. It's one that I got this morning.
A. okay.

348 Q. Do you see that up there now?
A. I do, yeah.

349 Q. What have you written in?
A. I Have "Rang Pat Murray and advi sed himre Ni ck Keogh wel fare worried. I nj ured party said in 1940 t wo GS shot in station. Garda A has a gun. He could shoot Ni ck pl us then himself."
350 Q. Again, you spoke to Pat Murray, according to the documents we got this morning --
A. Yeah.

351 Q. -- at 16302, again on the 19th November. Did you call him or did he call you?
A. I would imagine that it was I called him on that particular one.
352 Q. What was discussed then?
A. Basically the welfare of Nick Keogh, because of what was outlined to me and my concerns for him.

353 Q. Was there any other contact with Pat Murray?
A. There was numerous contacts with Pat Murray, but are
you saying around this time?
354 Q. Or at any time? Well, why was there even the contact we discussed just now with Pat Murray at all?
A. Pat Murray was Garda Keogh's superintendent, the person who would have responsibility for welfare. I noticed that there was a possible welfare issue and I brought that to the notice of Pat Murray, having been instructed by my AC to ensure that his welfare needs were met at all times.

355 Q.
I wanted to try and get a timeframe for this. We know that Nick Keogh's initial disclosure was on 8th May 2014.
A. Correct.
Q. Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin was appointed to investigate the following day, the 9th May?
A. I think that's correct, yes.

357 Q. And then you and Inspector Coppinger were appointed in turn by Mr. Ó Cualáin on 15th May 2014?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. The first contact you had with Nick Keogh was I think then on 15th May 2014?
A. The 15 th May, em... Do you mean the 15 th May was the meeting with --
360 Q. Sorry, Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin --
A. Yeah.

361 Q. -- met him on the 15th May and then you met him I think on the 7 th June?
A. The 7th June.
A. Sorry?
Q. Were those two issues included, O'Neill and McHugh, at that meeting?
A. Wait until I get my other notes in respect of the same. I have no note in my actual diary in relation to that for the 14th July. No, I have no note for the 14th

July.
370 Q. okay. Did you ever contact, in the course of this investigation, Inspector Minnock?
A. Contact him?

371 Q. Yes.
A. I don't honestly know that I actually contacted him. I know I spoke to him one day while we were in Athlone. I'm not sure that I made actual contact with him, whether by phone or otherwise. No, nothing to my recollection. No.
Q. You didn't see him as someone who might be able to give you relevant help or evidence?
A. I know there's something in my mind to suggest that we did meet him in Athlone at one stage. I don't know or I can't recall exactly what it was about now.
373 Q. Is there anything in your diary about it?
A. I would have to go through it from start to finish. Can you give me an idea of dates?

374 Q. okay.
A. This is six years ago and I have retired from An Garda 12:52 Síochána.
Q. Of course?
A. You know, it's difficult.

376 Q. I understand that.
A. Yeah.

377 Q. But to the best of your recollection, you think you might have met him but you're not sure?
A. I'm not sure, and if I did met him I don't even know what it was about. I do recall meeting him at some
stage in Athlone. Now, I think the same day I might have been looking for Superintendent Murray, he took me to his office or something like that. There's some recollection there in relation to actual contact with him in the station. But phone wise, I don't believe -- 12:53 I cannot recall anything.
A. We had numerous briefings throughout the investigation, yeah.

379 Q. I see, yes. Then the 13th August, you met Nick Keogh at Portumna, is that right?
A. The 13th August, yeah that's correct, yeah.

380 Q. Yes.
A. That was in response to a letter that we had received from him or that I had received from him, I think it was on the 11th maybe.
381 Q. Right. On 28th September 2014, I think you phoned Nick Keogh, this was to get his consent to disclosure of a statement to Human Resources?
A. Correct, yeah.

382 Q. And he didn't give you his consent?
A. No.

383 Q. Did you explain to him that without he giving his consent, Garda A couldn't be suspended?
A. No. I wouldn't have put anything like that matter.

384 Q. Why not?
A. Because I didn't realise there was a requirement for Garda A's statement to be made available before a
decision could be made on his suspension. I'm not aware of any regulation in that regard. We11, presumably you wouldn't have offered it if you didn't think a suspension was justified?
A. Well, you would give what you had and where you stood with it, you know. As I say, there's a number of criteria there, there are a number of points that need
to be addressed if you make an application to have someone suspended.
389 Q. Yes, I understand that. But you wouldn't make an application to have someone suspended unless you thought that suspension was justified, would you?
A. We11, it's always best to get an independent view in relation to some of that. It's like sending a file to the DPP, you have an independent view in relation to it. That would be my view with most of these decisions, you know.
390 Q. I understand. Please try and focus on the question I am asking. In your mind was the suspension of Garda A justified?
A. At the time -- I think it's unfair for me to give an opinion in relation to whether it was justified or not. ${ }^{12: 56}$
Q. But you must have thought that there was some justification, otherwise why bother with even thinking about suspension?
A. Well, I had to think about it because Garda keogh had raised it.

392 Q. Look, you were the lead investigator in this, weren't you?
A. AC Ó Cualáin was appointed as investigator, as the SIO, senior investigating officer. He appointed me to assist him with the investigation. I was the man on the ground, we'11 say, for him.
393 Q. Okay. So at this stage, around about September, in your mind the suspension of Garda A was on the cards?
A. Around about September. But I know that AC Ó Cualáin
and myself met with Chief Superintendent Curran and inspector -- or Superintendent Noreen McBrien in Dublin in respect of that and it was discussed on that date. So it was on the cards, is the simple straightforward answer?
A. You can play with words, but that's what -- we met with him to discuss it.
Q. I'm not playing with words, with respect. What I am saying to you is, at this stage you, senior Gardaí, were considering the question of the suspension of Garda A?
A. We were considering the question of suspension of Garda A since Garda Keogh first arose it.
Q. And it became apparent, didn't it, to you that in order to have Garda A suspended it would be necessary for Nick Keogh to give his consent to his statement being disclosed to Human Resources?
A. That's not correct.

397 Q. That's not correct, is it?
A. No. There was no requirement there that we needed Garda Keogh's statement. It was suggested that it might advance it, to Garda Keogh.
398 Q. Was that why you were asking?
A. Yes. I had asked Garda Keogh for permission to use his statement on a number of times. He consented to using it with DPP. He refused to allow to be used in respect of the superintendent who the complaint was made against and he refused to allow it to be used to HR. And I think that refusal was based on that he may not
have trusted them.
399 Q. But no one, to your knowledge, ever said to Nick Keogh, look, hang on, Garda Keogh, look, if you don't actually let us use this, saying who you are, he's never going to be suspended?
A. No, that was never said to him, like. And we couldn't say that, because that's not the way it works.
Q. There's other evidence to the contrary.
A. Okay.

401 Q. That no doubt will be dealt with again. Chairman, I am ${ }_{12: 59}$ just looking at the time.

CHA RMAN Very good. Very good. Thank you very much. Two o'clock or as close as we can to it.

## THE HEARI NG THEN ADJ OURNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED, AS ${ }^{12: 59}$

 FOLLOVE:CHA RMAN Thank you. Yes, Mr. Kelly. Thank you.
402 Q. MR. KELLY: We have been to the point, I was covering the timeframe, you may recal1. If I just move on to
the end, you conduct, together with Inspector Coppinger, under the supervision of Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin, this investigation. The report is eventually produced, collectively compiled, is that right? A report goes to the DPP?
A. Yes.

403 Q. You collectively compile that?
A. Well, it would -- it was our inputs that formed the basis of the report, yes. And would I have reviewed it
Q.

We11, it's a straightforward question. Was it you, Inspector Coppinger and Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin who compiled it, the report? I understand you each had input?
A. As I say, we compiled the report. I didn't physically --
Q. But those people I named.
A. I didn't physically write the report, if you can understand that. I provided, I suppose, input to it. If I found something that I didn't agree with, we would discuss it and see whether it was to be left in or left out. So it was then sent for typing, having done up the draft in that regard.
406 Q. So I guess the answer to my question is, yes, we did collectively compile it?
A. Yes, we were involved in relation to the collation of the report.

407 Q. Right. Then the DPP is given a supplemental report, we know, later. But anyway, the decision of the DPP is not to prosecute, is that right?
A. That's correct.

408 Q. That was based upon the view expressed in the report, that there was insufficient evidence in the author's view to bring home a conviction on the criminal
A. That was the recommendation, yeah.

409 Q. And that is the recommendation that the DPP accepted?
A. The DDP obviously formed their own opinion and come
back in relation to it.
410 Q. But they would have formed their view, I take it, on the basis of your report?
A. Yes, that's what they had to hand, yes.

411 Q. Fine. I want to ask you about, if I may, Garda A's phone. We note that you seized it, you had it analysed. The records -- Ms. B wasn't on that form, is that right?
A. Correct.

412 Q. And the relevant records in fact, such as the provider would have had, weren't available because they only kept them for two years; is that right?
A. That's right, because the providers are only obliged by law has to keep them for two years.
413 Q. However, you were able from other material which you
obtained, I think from Superintendent Glacken?
A. Yes. As part of the investigations into the allegations against him, we were able to retrieve phone records, the billing details basically in relation to that particular phone and which actually covered the time in question that we were looking at.

414 Q. That was it?
A. Sorry.

How that came about, as I understand it from the evidence, is Superintendent Glacken had on a previous occasion seized the phone and had it analysed and retained the data that he filed?
A. Oh, I am not sure if that would be correct. Billing details is different from phone data. I wasn't aware
Q. It's straightforward enough, superintendent. I'm asking you, you found there was 1,672 contacts between A and B between 27th May 2010 and 3rd October 2010, do you agree with that?
A. Sorry, are we talking about the billing details or are we talking about the contacts?

417 Q. Look, there was contacts found between -- 1,672 contacts between Garda A and Ms. B between 27th May 2010 and 3rd August 2010. Do any of those numbers ring 14:08 a bell with you at all?
A. Yes, there was in around that number of calls in relation to that phone, and that was from my recollection of the billing details.

418 Q. Yes. And you got those from Glacken, didn't you?
A. We got those from Superintendent Glacken, yes.

419 Q. Good, we got there. So getting the contacts, it was important then to contact Superintendent Glacken and get what material he had?
A. Yes.

420 Q. How did he come about that material?
A. I understand that there was a complaint made in or about -- now I may not be correct on the time, but I think around maybe 2010 at some stage. And as a result of that he sought billing details in relation to that particular phone.

421 Q. And he got them?
A. He got them, yes.

422 Q. He sought them, got them?

423 Q. Right. You got them analysed. Look, somebody had to go through them.
A. They were documented when we got them.

424 Q. Oh they were documented?
A. Yeah, that is my understanding.

425 Q. Right.
A. Yeah.

426 Q. Okay. Do you know when that documentation was done, that is somebody counting up --
A. This is billing details, and when you apply for billing details it comes back with the contacts and contact number basically.
427 Q. Please, chief superintendent, look, what I am asking is in respect of the 1,672 , who counted those up?
A. Who what? Counted them. Garda Barry Walsh, who was our telephone liaison officer.

428 Q. Right. And that was done at your direction?
A. Yeah.

429 Q. When was that done?
A. Again, $I$ won't have the exact date, but it was sometime afterwards, having seized the phone, obvious7y.

430 Q. How long after?
A. I don't have the date to hand but I have no doubt that his statement would probably cover that.

431 Q. But having got this, it was something obviously of importance and you would want to find out what it says?
A. Of course, yes.

432 Q. So may we take it that that's what you did?
A. Sorry.

May we take it that that is what you did?
A. Yes, that I would have given it to him with the purpose of getting feedback from him in respect of what was happening.
Q. I know that.
A. No, but just to put things in perspective. what was the significance? It rang a bell.
Q. And what bell did it ring?
A. To say that they contacted -- this amount of contact with somebody.
A. It was for the time period, absolutely, yes.
Q. I see. So far as you know, that analysis that you got Garda Barry white to undertake had not been undertaken previously, is that right?
A. Sorry, I'm finding it slightly difficult to hear you, Mr. Kelly. I have a hearing problem.

440 Q. That data which was produced as a result of the analysis undertaken by Garda Barry white was undertaken, we established, at your direction?
A. Barry Walsh.

441 Q. Sorry, Barry walsh?
A. Yeah, correct.

442 Q. To your knowledge had it been analysed previous7y?
A. Had the phone been analysed previously? searched the locker in Athlone station on 26th June 2014?
A. Again, it wasn't a locker, it was kind of a storeroom.

445 Q. What were you looking for?
A. Basically Garda Keogh had indicated that local files were stored there and that there was also a video library that was made up of DVDs that Garda A would have taken during the courses of searches.

446 Q. You were looking for files in relation to Operation Loki, is that right?
A. We11, I already had spoken with D/Sergeant M Curley and asked him to take sight of any files, original files in 14:13 relation to Loki preserved for me.

447 Q. When you were conducting this search, were you looking for any files in connection with Loki, amongst other things?
A. No. We had -- we had arranged for the possession of the Loki files.

448 Q. You do know that one of the allegations Garda Keogh was making was that a file, a crucial file in relation to that operation had been taken from him?
A. Yeah. That was, I understand, Garda Keogh's working file in respect of that.

449 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

450 Q. Were you looking for that file?
A. we sought that file, yes.

451 Q. And you didn't find it?
A. That didn't form part of that particular room where we were or what Garda Curley had sorted for us.
Q. I think you said yesterday that the file wasn't stolen, rather it was given to Inspector Farrell by Garda A?
A. That's our instructions as to how Inspector Farrell came into possession of it.
453 Q. Yeah.
A. And I think it was in respect of a civil action that was being taken by the person who was mistakenly arrested.

454 Q. Yeah. What I am going to suggest is that whilst that is your understanding, it may not have been correct. Garda Nick Keogh is saying that the file which had been 14:15 removed from the desk had a statement of a person who was innocent in it, it was the working file?
A. I don't know if originals were in it.
Q. But that's what he told you, isn't it?
A. He said it was his working file and that -- he said the 14:15 statements were in it, but I'm not sure if he is talking about the original actual witness statements.

456 Q. Yes. But the file which you got was one which Garda A had given to Inspector Farrell --
A. Correct.

457 Q. -- on the 9th May?
A. Correct.

458 Q. That was held in the storeroom?
A. No, no, that was held in Inspector Farrell's office, under lock and key in his desk.
Q. So you say that wasn't in the storeroom at all?
A. No. I don't know where it was previously or anything like that, but on the day we came Inspector Farrell took it from his desk.

460 Q. We can go back over the evidence on it, but my understanding was that it came from the storeroom, Garda A got it in the storeroom, gave it to Inspector Farrell on the 9th May. But you don't know about that?
A. That would be before we ever visited Athlone.

461 Q. But the implication of that, if that is right, it means that Garda A was in the storeroom either on the night of the 8th May or the early morning of the 9th May, is that right?
CHA RMAN Where is that evidence, Mr. Kelly?
MR. KELLY: That comes I think from Inspector Farrell, is it? From Inspector Farrell.

CHAN RMAN That Garda A gave him the file having removed it from the storeroom?

MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN Because I didn't think that was the evidence. Maybe I'm wrong.

MR. MRPHY: I would be grateful if he could identify where in the evidence is.

CHA RMAN Yes, maybe you would check that, Mr. Kelly. If it's important, $I$ think we should check it. It is certainly not my recollection of the evidence. Because I know that the question -- my recollection is Inspector Farrell said that Garda A gave him this file. 14:17 But the storeroom question is the one that I am certainly not sure of.
MR. KELLY: okay. what I am proposing, someone here will check that.

CHAL RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: So I will come back to that.
A. In any event, this would have happened before we even appeared on the scene in Athlone.
463 Q. Yes, I know that.
A. Yeah.

464 Q. Could you agree this with me, as to what you understand now, that on the 8th and 9th Garda A was about Ath1one station?
A. I have to accept that, if you say it, yeah.

465 Q. Yes, well it is clear from the records?
A. Yeah.

466 Q. I think you've given evidence already that there were attempts made to meet with Ms. B?
A. Yes.

467 Q. Quite a few attempts actually?
A. It was indeed, yeah.

468 Q. And she wasn't actually being cooperative, was she?
A. She agreed that she would meet us and she made promises that she would come to the station and stuff like that,
but she failed to keep all of those promises.
469 Q. Now, you then met with -- we have been through that. Together with Inspector Coppinger you met with Garda A and a solicitor on 24th April '15, is that right?
A. Correct, yes.

470 Q. You had a list of these allegations made?
A. Yes.

471 Q. Which you gave to him. He was given time then to study them and reply?
A. He was.

472 Q. Is that not very unusual when you have someone come into the station, to put allegations to them?
A. I won't say it was unusual, but it was -- it was dealt with as a result of the circumstances we found ourselves in and from the provider of the information. And having looked at that information, that is where we found it. It wasn't a situation where I could justify an arrest based on the information $I$ had got and where it had come from.

473 Q. You see, what I am wondering about is, you're conducting a criminal investigation, aren't you?
A. Yes.

474 Q. Right, you have a suspect come in?
A. Yeah.

475 Q. And you have got all the allegations written out?
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. Why not put just those allegations to him there and then?
A. I have no doubt -- the reason we did it was to give him
an opportunity, because fair procedure needed to be applied in all cases here, not just in respect of our complaint received but also the person that the complaint was made against. Because the information we had got, it was very hard to base a decision to arrest, first of all, Garda A. So it was felt that due process should prevail and that he should be given an opportunity to see the allegations that were made against him.
477 Q.
Oh, I am all for due process, but I am suggesting to you it's a highly unusual course to take. You had this mane attend with a solicitor, you had the allegations, and rather than just get on and put them to him and forget about arrest, you instead gave him time to go off and think about it. I am putting to you that's highly unusual?
A. It may be highly unusual for some people but not for me.
478 Q. Have you done that --
A. Yeah.

479 Q. -- with many suspects you've had occasion to interview?
A. At this stage we're looking at Garda A as a possible suspect.
480 Q. Yes.
A. We investigated Operation Loki, in which the main
allegation was against Garda $A$ and his false identification of a particular person, who the reporter had arrested. Now, having looked at that file in relation to that, there was a mistake made and the
reporter agreed that there was a mistake made. And that Garda A should have been strong enough to put up his hand and say he made a mistake. Garda Keogh also agreed that it wasn't deliberate but that there was a mistake made. Operation Loki, in respect of that -the rest of that reprimand, could have been avoided because Garda Keogh, if I give you this evidence, received a working document, a briefing pack in relation to the arrest of this particular man.

Contained in that document was subscriber details in relation to the phone that was used for that transaction. And if it was read, it would appear the proper name and address appeared on it. But unfortunately somebody -- Garda A identified this person as who he was, but unfortunately when it went to 14:22 Pulse it wasn't associated correctly because there's two persons of the same name in Athlone. And it was associated to the wrong person. So is there intent there straightaway on the part of $A$ to cause a problem? It was Garda A then that realised that there was a problem and said, listen, we have arrested the wrong man here. When he was released, Garda A subsequently down the road arrested the proper person. Now, both persons have the same name. The person that should have been arrested had a second name because he was in care at one stage and had dropped that name. So the identification was correct, but unfortunately whoever went to Pulse and associated the incident with the person, did it incorrectly.

CHAN RMAN Are we getting slightly away?
MR. KELLY: Yes.
481 Q. CHA RMAN I mean, I think I understand that, detective superintendent.
A. Yes.

482 Q. CHA RMAN And certain1y I have that picture. There was a misidentification and, rightly or wrongly, in fairness to Garda A, he did point out --
A. Yes.

483 Q. CHA RMAN And so on. And Garda Keogh was already realising at the time, because he was interviewing the misidentified person. We have had that. How is that material relevant to the due process question of giving Garda A the -- do you understand? Mr. Kelly is exploring, okay, he is suggesting it's very unusual?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

484 Q. CHA RMAN To give somebody the allegations and then to -- that's what he is suggesting. So how is that particularly relevant? Is it because the time involved or whatever?
A. No, it's because of the allegation that was made against Garda A, having done wrong in this respect.
485 Q. CHA RMAN Yes.
A. And that formed part of the questioning that we were putting to him.

487 Q. MR. KELLY: Okay, I understand that. So I'm just going to go back to the question and thank you for that very, very long answer on it.
A. Sorry.
Q. Just a simple, straightforward question. You have suspect in, you have your allegations, you want to know what he has got to say about it. Are you in agreement with me so far?
A. I am, yes.

489 Q. You have him in, he is there with his solicitor. why didn't you just put the allegations to him? You have already decided what it was you were going to ask him about, why not ask him there and then?
A. We put the allegations to him that day and we gave him the copy of it.

490 Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

491 Q. And he said, I want time to go away off and think about this?
A. Yes.

492 Q. You said, oh, of course, you can have that time, six weeks, see you in six weeks?
A. Sorry, it wasn't said in that manner. we accommodated his solicitor. If you look at my diary, you will see that there were, I think, three contacts with the solicitor in between, where $I$ had got back to him to arrange a date in relation to it. On the day that they were supposed to come back, he put it back for another week because he wasn't ready.

We11, I am suggesting to you, I will have to do it bluntly, that you were affording him favourable treatment?
A. I wouldn't say I was affording him favourable treatment, I was trying to see that natural justice would prevail.
494 Q. Can you identify another case from your own experience, say in the preceding 12 months, where you had afforded another suspect the same courtesy?
A. To hand I'm not in a position. But I remember at the same time, $I$ ran in tandem with that, it was an investigation in relation to stuff that appeared in the media following the Prince Charles thing. I was appointed to investigate that. The suspect in that, if you wish to call him, had put pictures on the internet and there was some adverse comments in relation to it. I didn't arrest that suspect.
495 Q. Is that a guard?
A. It was a guard.
Q. Right.
A. Yeah.

497 Q. Somebody who is not a guard afforded the same --
A. Nothing comes to mind at the moment. We were dealing with a guard and I thought you meant a guard and I was giving you something guard to guard, guard and guard. But look it, I'm retired since 2014 -- sorry, 2018, and something just doesn't come to mind at the moment, you know.

498 Q. okay.
A. But if I have time, I might be able to think.

499 Q. Okay. We will just continue with my timeframe.
A. okay.

500 Q. That is the 24th April. He is given time. It's 16th June --
A. It wasn't until 16th June, as I pointed out, there was a previous date earlier fixed to do it. But it eventually ended up on the 16th June, yes.

501 Q. Six weeks later.
A. Yes.

502 Q. He then turns up and gives you his response in writing?
A. Yes.

503 Q. You then agree to meet again on the 24 th June?
A. Yes.

504 Q. Why not finish the business that day, on the 16th June?
A. Because having read through it that day, a number of matters came up that we needed to look at and seek corroboration on.
Q. Then following that, in October you get a warrant to search Garda A's house?
A. Correct, yes.

506 Q. You seize two mobiles?
A. Correct.

507 Q. When were they examined?
A. I'm not sure when exactly they were examined, but they were handed over to the exhibits officer and he did whatever had to be done following that.
508 Q. Was there anything of relevance?
A. No, nothing of evidential value was got from those phones.

509 Q. Yeah.
A. Just in relation to that, where Superintendent Murray
had rang me in relation to the person had gone to him in relation to this information, and he rang me to ask me if it would add credence to Garda Keogh's complaint. So I was in total agreement and I got -- we met the person, I took a statement and subsequently swore information following that.
This particular aspect of -- I am going to take you through -- you have been through it already with Mr. McGuinness and I apologise to do it again, the substance of the criticisms made of your investigation by Garda Keogh. That's to be found, if we call it up, at page 10929. It's volume 38 ?
511 Q. CHA RMAN Would you like to have that in front of you?
A. Absolutely, yes. Thanks very much.

MR. KELLY: It's being gotten.
CHA RMAN 10929, I think, is that right, Mr. Kelly. MR. KELLY: it is, yes.
CHAN RMAN Thank you.
512 Q. MR. KELLY: Two, one on the screen and one on the paper copy. Use whatever one you are most comfortable with. Have you got it? I think you have seen this this morning.
A. Yes, I saw this this morning.

513 Q. Yes?
A. Yes.

514 Q. Firstly, the:

> "The fact that it took a month to meet with Garda Keogh. It goes on to say:
> "There was an unofficial storeroomin Athl one Garda Station which l believe contai ned strong and tangible evi dence..."

And so on. Do you accept that?
A. No.
"In around the 10th June, the suspect garda had his State mobile phone seized. He saidit had been wi ped of the information which could have been potentially be vital and unlike any other criminal investigation where evi dence is seized, the suspect garda was gi ven a repl acement State mobile."

Now, the point he's making there is, it took them until
the 10th June to seize the phone. He says that was a criticism of your investigation, what do you say about that?
A. That phone was seized before we even sat down to make a statement.
Q. That is 10th June, he made disclosures and everyone knew he made disclosures back at the beginning of May, over a month before?
A. We met him on the 7th June, which was a Saturday evening, at six o'clock, in Portumna Garda station. And on the 10th, I made contact with Superintendent McBrien --

517 Q. I am sorry to cut across you, but I will try again. This is a month after the allegations have been made,
what do you say to that?
MR. MRPHY: Sorry, Chairman, I wonder would this witness be allowed to complete the answer he was giving. The witness was answering the previous question and he was interrupted. With your permission, 14:32 I would ask that he be allowed answer the question or complete the answer he was seeking to give, the sequence of events.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: By all means complete whatever it is you want to say, then I will ask this.

CHA RMAN I am slow to intervene in cross-examination, Mr. Murphy, but I did spend most of my life cross-examining.
MR. MRPHY: May it please you, Chairman.
A. On the 7th June we met at six o'clock on the Saturday evening. On the Tuesday I made contact with the superintendent of Garda A and asked for the phone to be seized. I did that because I didn't want to cause alarm bells by going over around Athlone and the word would get out very quick that $I$ was around and things would happen. Unfortunately it wasn't seized on that date. I went to the station, it was actually the 13th when I seized the phone and I took it from Garda Keogh himself. Or sorry, Garda A.
MR. KELLY: It would have been much better, would it not, with the benefit of hindsight, if someone had seized that State phone that Garda A held within a matter of days certainly of the allegations being made?
A. But I wasn't aware of anything of the phone --

519 Q. I know.
A. -- until I met with Garda Keogh on the 7th.

520 Q. As an investigator, would it not have been better if that phone had been seized earlier?
A. It would have been lovely to have that phone seized earlier, but unfortunately Garda Keogh, despite his warning from the Judge, he went to the Dáil, through Ming Flanagan, with this and I think that that put us on the back foot in relation to anything we might have got.

521 Q. But you eventually got around to it a month 1ater, and seized the phone?
A. Now --

522 Q. When I say you, I mean An Garda Síochána?
A. We have to put things in perspective here. In relation to it, Commissioner Ó Cualáin made contact with him on the 5th May by phone and by letter. CHA RMAN No, it must have been on the 15th May.
A. 15th --

523 Q. CHA RMAN The protected disclosure is made on the 8th May?
A. The 8th May, yeah. And we met with Commissioner Ó Cualáin on the 15th May.
524 Q. CHAI RMAN Yes.
A. He briefed us in relation to the affidavit, he gave us a copy of the affidavit and he told me that he was, on that date, ringing Garda Keogh and that he would also write to him on the same date to try and set up a
meeting where we could meet him. And the next contact I had with that was that the meeting was set up for the 7th June. I don't know was it Garda Keogh's request or was it Commissioner ó Cualáin's or whether it was both, but that's where we came into it.

MR. KELLY: what we do know is, as the Chairman has pointed out, the original complaint was made on the 8 th May?
A. I accept that.

526 Q.
I think Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin was appointed the next day?
A. Yeah, in or about that, yeah.
Q. I am putting to you a simple question, would it not have been more sensible if this phone had have been seized earlier than it was?
A. We knew nothing about a phone at the stage.

528 Q. I see. Okay, right. Then it took to the 18th June to complete Garda Keogh's statement?
A. Yeah.

529 Q. He is saying that during that, nobody was suspended at
A. If it is a criticism that it took until the 18th June in relation to Garda Keogh's statement, there was absolutely no way we could sit down with Garda Keogh day it lasted for about four and a half hours and to have regard to Garda Keogh, we took a break. I think the next day could have lasted for six, six and a half
hours. That is a pretty good strain on anybody. And the third day was at his convenience, when he came to oranmore, where we would have hoped that we could have put the combined dates and everything on video and that it would have been available on video. However, he declined that. with respect, I think you are misunderstanding me. CHA RMAN That is because the statement doesn't make sense. It's two entirely separate statements. What he is really saying is, that you didn't suspend Garda A during the investigation.

MR. KELLY: Yes.
531 Q. CHA RMAN It is to do with the statement. It says it took that long, the statement, but that has nothing to do with the next sentence. The reality is, he is saying that he didn't suspend -- nobody was suspended.
A. Yeah.

532 Q. CHAN RMN But that Garda A wasn't suspended, i.e. you didn't suspend Garda $A$, that's the complaint he makes.
A. Well I just covered the first section of it in relation to --

533 Q. CHA RMAN I understand. I'm telling you, because it doesn't make sense, the actual essence is suspension?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN And it's not nobody, it's Garda A. That's what he's saying.

534 Q. MR. KELLY: I think the only relevance of that is what he is saying is, on the 18th June I completed the statement and during the whole of the investigation
that followed, Garda A was never suspended.
CHA RMAK yes, sorry, from the 18th onwards.
MR. KELLY: The 18th.
CHA RMAN Sorry, that's correct.
MR. KELLY: That is what he is saying.
CHAI RMAK So it does make sense. I'm wrong in
thinking that the first thing has nothing to do with the second. It does. He says from the 18 th, look, the case I was making on the 18th June and you didn't suspend. That's his criticism, that you didn't suspend 14:37 Garda A.
A. Yeah.

535 Q. MR. KELLY: what do you say about that?
A. On the 18th June he finished and signed his statement regarding very serious -- nobody was suspended at any point during the investigation. Well, that's incorrect, because Garda A was suspended.
536 Q. When was he suspended?
A. He was suspended in 2015.

537 Q. Yes. And the statement was completed in June ' 14 ?
A. '14, yes.

538 Q. Was that a good investigation? Was that good investigative practice; to fail to have him suspended until the following year?
A. Again, the suspension had nothing to do with me and that would be something for a local matter. But at the time of writing of this letter, 25th -- 26th July '15, I would imagine, maybe I'm wrong, was Garda A suspended at that stage?

539
Q. CHA RMAN No. 14th October 2015.
A. Shortly afterwards, yeah. No, he wasn't suspended at that stage then, it was in October that he was suspended.
540 Q. MR. KELLY: A11 right.
A. And was suspended on the request of local management.

541 Q. Not your request?
A. No.

542 Q. Did you at any point make a request for him to be suspended?
A. No.

543 Q. Why not?
A. Because it wasn't my duty to make a request to have him suspended.
544 Q. So you thought it would be -- it didn't occur to you, 14:39 look, there's this guy who a lot of allegations are made about, here he is in Athlone station, Garda Keogh is there as well, others who may be witnesses are there, you didn't think, it would be a good idea to suspend him, pending your investigation, get him out of 14:39 there in the meantime?
A. I couldn't suspend him.

545 Q. You could have requested that he be suspended?
A. We sat down and we spoke in relation to the criteria that was required and to see had we reached that far and it didn't appear that we had at the time.

546 Q. I see.
"During the investigation statements were taken from
mentbers of An Garda Sí ochána while they were in Athl one Garda Station and, more critically, when the suspect garda was on duty. On these occasions I am aware that the suspect garda did not leave the Garda station while the investigation was being conducted. He was able to see every person that was called in to make statements and in a position to eyeball menbers of An Garda Sí ochána. "

Firstly, I will just stop there. What do you say about 14:40 that?
A. We11, I personally took no statement in the Garda station. Of all the times $I$ was in the Garda station, I met Garda A once in passing. There was no complaint made to me in relation to him eyeballing people there and that he was present there. And there was no complaint from any member to say that they were intimidated by his presence or otherwise in the station. Nobody said anything. And there was no complaint made locally to the local management in that 14:41 regard.
547 Q. Who decided that the statements should be taken in in Ath1one station?
A. Who decided?

548 Q. Yes.
A. Which statement or all statements?

549 Q. Al1 the statements that were taken in Ath1one Garda Station during the course of this investigation, who made that decision, to take the statements in Athlone?
A. I was attending there from time to time. I was there on a few occasions.

551 Q. You could, if you had wanted, said to yourself, we11 look, this concerns events in Athlone, it might be better, $I$ might get more traction in this, if I interviewed guards away from this station. Did that 14:41 ever occur to you?
A. It didn't really because, as I said, I didn't interview anyone there. I made up my mind and we met Garda Keogh, we interviewed him outside and any people we met were outside of the station when we took the statements from them. The investigation team obviously met some of the people in the station. I'm not sure they met them a11. I think Inspector Coppinger gave a list of what statements were taken inside and outside of the station. But nothing came to light to us during the course of that that there was any significant problem or otherwise.

552 Q. Right. I will try again: This investigative team of which you were a member, can you tell me who it was who decided that it would be safe or sensible or good witnesses at Athlone Garda Station?
A. There was no decision.

553 Q. But there must have been a decision because you
actually met people there?
A. Any decision that was made was made by those respective members themselves.

554 Q. Right. Okay. Because we have got --
A. Allowing for time, location, place, whatever.
Q. Right. Volume 55, page 15526, if that can be called up. That's a list that has been supplied to us by the Chief state solicitor's office, which details who was interviewed and where they were interviewed and when they were interviewed?
A. Okay, I can go through --

Do you see that?
A. Sorry. I can go through --

557 Q. You are free to check any of these numbers, anyone is.
I counted it up. I counted that there -- that reflects, that page and subsequent pages, which goes through to 15531, reflects 81 meetings. of those 81 meetings, 38 were with witnesses. There was 38 witnesses, and 25 of those interviews took place at Athlone.
A. Athlone or Athlone Garda Station.
Q. Athlone Garda Station?
A. That's my first time having seen that document. I wasn't aware of it actually.
559 Q. The point is a simple one. I am putting it to you that 14:44 as the chief superintendent it was a terrible mistake to have made the decision to interview people, serving guards there whilst Garda A was on duty at Athlone station?
A. Maybe if I can go through them and see exactly what the story is. Would that be fair enough?
Q. By all means go through them?
A. The first one is Detective Sergeant Tom Judge, that was taken by --

561 Q. No, I don't want you to read out to me all of that. You familiarise yourself.
A. Okay.

CHA RMAN Does the hard copy have the high1ighted ones?
A. It does.

564 Q. CHA RMAK Okay. The highlighted ones are the ones taken in Athlone.
A. Okay. The first one I see there is Garda Turner. Now 14:45 Garda Turner was part of the drugs squad. Obvious7y he may have to refer to material within the station. I don't think if we requested anything he would bring it away with him. I don't know if there is any particular reason in relation to that.

Inspector Aidan Minnock, I'm not aware of who took that statement actually, and I am not aware of what it is about. Statement taken in the station. Again,

## A. Garda A was a member of the drugs squad.

566 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah.

567 Q. I see also there in that first page, Garda Aidan Lyons was interviewed --
A. At the station?

568 Q. -- at the station as well?
A. It's not highlighted.

569 Q. On the first page there?
A. It's there but it's not high1ighted, yes. Garda Aidan Lyons, yes. Now, I wasn't instrumental in the taking of any of those statements.

570 Q. Sorry, Garda Aidan Lyons, 10th August 2014.
CHA RMAN There is one that should be highlighted,
A. Should be high1ighted.

CHA RMAN It should be high1ighted. That is 26 ?
571 Q. MR. KELLY: We11 that's right, yeah.
A. Yeah. I don't know if they had to refer to material within the station at the time when their statements were taken or not. I didn't receive any complaint from any of those to suggest that they were eyeballed or otherwise while their statements were taken. And I didn't get anything from the persons who took the statement to suggest there was any problem with it. Right. So anyway, look, what it comes to is, you as chief superintendent didn't think there was a problem interviewing these people at Athlone station whilst Garda A was still a serving member of the drug squad?
A. Garda A was a serving member, he wasn't suspended. I didn't see any particular problem in relation to interviewing people there if they wished to be interviewed and it was the most convenient place for them.

We know that when this criticism was pointed out to Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin, he said that they should take place elsewhere?
A. Yeah, no, Garda Keogh wrote to me in relation to it and 14:48 as a result of that we met with Garda Keogh and Commissioner ó Cualáin and we moved the focus of the investigation as best we could from the station.
574 Q. But up until that point it never occurred to you, this perception that $I$ am putting to you?
A. No, there was nothing, as I said, said to me that there was a problem. I'm not aware that Garda A was working at the time these statements were taken or anything to that effect.

evidence here, about that. That was on Day 121, page 77. I said:
"This touches on another issue but l will deal with it now because it may be appropriate. The Ó Cual ái n i nvesti gation were conducting interviews in At hl one Garda Station, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you feel about that at the time?
A. Do you mean in rel ation to the investigation at al or its location?
Q. Its I ocation.
A. Well, it was an appalling idea to have it in At hl one Garda Station.
Q. Why do you say that?
A. Well the i dea that you might make an i nvesti gation on behal f of one person but accusing another where both parties were in the station and both still working in the station it seems to me -- to me it seemed unprofessi onal. Seemed to attempt to get the best and to get the best evi dence and answers fromeverybody it would have been done properly to have been taken compl et el y away from At hl one Garda Station, to put peopl e on both sides and I just don't talk about protagonists, I amtal king about the people accused, it 14:52 woul d have put everybody in a better place because it di dn't particularly make a decision that someone was right or wrong but in the interests of best practice all parties were affected by the Ó Cual ái $n$
investigation taking place in Athl one Garda Station. "

What do you say about that?
A. Who is this person talking on behalf --

579 Q. This is Sergeant Haran.
A. Sergeant Haran.

CHA RMAN Sergeant Andrew Haran of Athlone Garda Station.
A. Okay. I didn't meet Sergeant Haran or he never rose that issue.

580 Q. MR. KELLY: He was the sergeant on the ground in Athlone?
A. I know he is. I said I have never met him and he never made any representation to me that there was any problem. And I am not aware that he made any representation to his local management staff that there was any problem. However, maybe he did. Is this the first time that it appears that there was a problem?
581 Q. Well, we will carry on where we were looking at, on that transcript?
"Q. Did witnesses who were called in to make statements express concern to you?
A. Not to me because l was a witness so l had my own concerns. I felt everything that I felt everyone el se 14:53 felt. So if l was feeling all the angst about going froma building to a roomto make a statement about a person and both parties were working in the Garda station, I felt it was very much on the back foot and I
wasn't happy with it at all. I then knew fromother witnesses, it's not that they made a complaint to me, but they felt, specifically some of themsaid to me they felt in the interests of them making statements in that Iocation. "

I put it to you fair and square: This was a really bad decision to have conducted these interviews and take statements in Athlone Garda Station.
A. I don't agree.

582 Q. I will go back to where it was. Number 6. Page 10930.
"One of the al legations invol ves the suspect garda getting an unregistered informant to plant drugs in a car. On 7th Decenber 2014 the said unregi stered garda informant was arrested by his handler, the suspect garda for possession of approxi mately $€ 4,000$ worth of heroin. This now put the garda under investigation in a position of influence over another suspect/witness in the case."

What do you say about that?
A. Knew nothing about it.

583 Q. Right.
"7. Around 24th April 2015, the allegations were put to the garda under investigation, where he was gi ven over a nonth to seek legal advi ce."

I think we have covered that already.
"8. It is my understanding that the investigation team could not retrieve text messages over two years from the sei zed state mobile phone. Text messages for the period around 2010 are vital and I do not know if every effort was made to retrieve them"

I think we covered that as we11. You got them from Superintendent Glacken, is that right?
A. He's talking about text messages.

584 Q. Yes.
A. We didn't get text messages.

585 Q. Sorry, you're right, that's the data. So they weren't got, the text messages?
A. Correct.

586 Q. Because the billing records, I think you said this morning, only showed what number called what number?
A. Yes.

587 Q. Not what was said --
A. No, it showed the contacts, not necessarily what number called.

588 Q. Yeah, they wouldn't give you the text of the message?
A. No, correct.

589 Q. Finally:
"In June 2016, the suspect garda stopped a witness in the case without havi ng committed an of fence and checked for tax and insurance and asked for a drivers

Iicence. I believe this was an attempt to intimidate the witness."
A. Absolutely no knowledge of that.

590 Q. Can I put it to you this way: This whole investigation was under the watch of a very senior guard, yourself a very senior guard, and an inspector, that's right, isn't it?
A. Yes.

591 Q. Yes. And if what he is saying is right, this would all be quite discrediting of him, wouldn't it?
A. Sorry, say that again now.
Q. If he is right in these criticisms?
A. He's entitled to his criticism, yes.

593 Q. But if those were right, it would be very discrediting of him, wouldn't it?
A. I presume so, yeah, yeah.

MR. KELLY: Just bear with me for a moment, Chairman. CHA RMAN No problem.
MR. KELLY: It will speed it up.
594 Q. Yesterday you told us, I think, about a meeting that you had with Nick Keogh in Athlone, is that right?
This is the one where the question of his car tax --
A. Oh yes, yes, yes.

595 Q. Yes. Now, forgive me, I am probably the only person unfamiliar with it, but on the windscreen of a vehicle here in Ireland there's usually three discs shown, aren't there? There's an NCT, there's a tax and an insurance disc, isn't that right?
A. Certificate, yeah. That would be on an older vehicle. CHA RMAN If it's under four years old it wouldn't have the NCT.

596 Q. MR. KELLY: Yes. But that would be the normal course. That is what I normally see. I have looked at these cars in Dublin, that's what $I$ see all the day. That's right, isn't it.
A. Sorry?

597 Q. There's no trick question.
A. As I pointed out earlier, I have a difficulty with hearing at times.

598 Q. On the windscreen of a vehicle, a car?
A. Yes.

599 Q. You would normally see three, if it's more than four years old, three discs shown, won't you?
A. Correct.

600 Q. A tax disc, an NCT and an insurance disc?
A. Yes.

601 Q. Help me with this CVR ticket or badge, where am I going to see that?
A. In place of the NCT.
Q. Right. So that's quite a -- they're all of equal size, aren't they?
A. It's what?

603 Q. They are all of equal size?
A. Yes. Exactly, yeah.

604 Q. So the CVR, is that the right name for it. The commercial vehicle registration?
A. I think it is, yeah.

605
Q. That is not of an inordinate size that would leap out at one, is it?
A. It's what?
Q. It's not of an inordinate size?
A. No.

607 Q. CHA RMAN The disc is the same size, they're all the same size.
A. They're all the same size.

MR. KELLY: Right. Good.
CHA RMAN It's just that they look different.
608 Q. MR. KELLY: Right. And that's what drew your attention to it?
A. The NCT cert and CVR cert, they're different colours, they're the same size, they're both in the same shape as a tax disc but they've a different face.
609 Q. CHAN RMAN The question: what is it about the commercial tax disc that's different from the private one? How do you know it's commercial rather than private?
A. Because I had -- at the very same time --

610 Q. CHA RMAR what does it look like? what does it say? I mean, does it say commercial? Is there some code?
A. I just know the difference between them.

611 Q. CHA RMAN You just know the difference.
A. I just know the difference, yeah.

612 Q. MR. KELLY: It's not some odd colour that leaps out at you?
A. No, no.

613 Q. It just looks like a disk. Anyway you saw it?
A. He was parked beside me.
A. I did, yes.
Q. why?
A. Because I felt that if I was to do that, that I would probably end up being accused of targeting him. I felt that the easiest way to deal with it at the time was to say it to his supervisor, who he said he had good time for and that respected and got on well with.
You told us yesterday that really all you were concerned about was that if he was driving around in this he might not have been insured and if he had an accident that he would be grateful.
A. What I said, I said that he was coming to me using this vehicle.
Q. Yes.
A. Out of more concerned for him should anything happen.
Q. Well, out of concern for him, if that was your concern, why didn't you just contact him, ring him, speak to him and just say, Nick, you better sort that out?
A. I took the decision not to do that because I didn't want to end up being in a situation where he thought I might be investigating him. I was investigating his complaint and I was focused on that.
And what did you think Noreen McBrien would do about
A. Well, he had said to me that he got on well with her and that he trusted her and that he had good time for her. I can't say what they might have done or
otherwise but I was hoping that the matter would be addressed.

628 Q. Did you think it would result in him being disciplined?
A. At the time I didn't. I didn't realise anything happened until he told me that Pat Murray had been on to him about the tax and I asked him what was the problem with his tax and he told me that he had his private vehicle taxed as a commercial and that a number of other people in the station had done the same. So I asked him if he had brought this to the notice of the superintendent and he said he had.

629 Q. Right. So you did speak to Pat Murray about this, did you?
A. I spoke to Pat Murray about it in around, was it around the 1st April. When something came up in the Dáil, Commissioner ó Cualáin rang me to find out what was that about. I rang Pat Murray. Pat Murray presumed that he had been disciplined for the tax, that that was the issue.

630 Q. So you only spoke to Pat Murray after he had been disciplined in respect of this?
A. Yeah. To the best of my recollection, yeah.

631 Q. I see.
A. Was he disciplined or was he in the process of being disciplined? I don't know at the time. But I know --

CHA RMAN I don't want to intervene. But I know there's a different, slightly different chronology.

MR. KELLY: Right.
CHA RMAN We know that Garda Keogh was spoken to, I
think, on the 26th March. He corrected the tax. He went to see Mick Wallace on the 31st. And when he next spoke to Superintendent Murray, the Regulation 10 notice was given. So when he spoke to Mick Wallace he had not been disciplined but he had been told to correct the tax and he regarded that, as he has told us in evidence, as targeting and that's why he complained about it to the TD.
A. Yeah.

632 Q. CHA RMAN That's the sequence of events. So when he went back to Superintendent Murray, according to Garda Keogh, that's what happened. According to Superintendent Murray he had discussed the Regulation 10 on the 26th March and said, I will give you a Regulation 10 and that' 11 be the end of the matter. Garda Keogh does not agree with that conversation about the Regulation 10 took place and he really found himself rather surprised to find that he was subject -even though it is a minor discipline, he found himself rather surprised and rather resentful, I think it is right to say, of the disciplinary procedure even though it was a slight one. But I think that's the sequence. I may be quite wrong and somebody -- well, I don't believe I am wrong but I will be corrected if I am wrong. But I am not.
MR. KELLY: You're absolutely right. You're absolutely right.

CHA RMAN Sorry.
633 Q. MR. KELLY: Yesterday, we will just call it up, that is

Day 136. If we have the page number. You were asked about this. Let me see if $I$ can get the page number. well, look, perhaps we will try and get it up and do it from memory. If I am wrong, people will point it out to me. You said that you had three meetings, this was in response to a question from I think Mr. McGuinness, in Athlone, 26th June '14, 16th July '14 and 6th August '14, meetings with Nick Keogh in Athlone. Yeah, there. It's called up now. Mr. McGuinness asked you, it's on the screen in front of you:
"Q. Okay. Can you hel $p$ us fix the date of that? Because we know obvi ousl y from your statement you were in Athl one on 26 th June, $16 t h \mathrm{~J} u \mathrm{l} y$ and agai n on 6 th August 2014."

Right? Your response:
"It was in rel ation to a day that we met Garda Keogh in a car park up by B\&Q. I think it is B\&Q."
A. Yes.
Q. That's right. So it's around about those dates, you weren't sure?
A. I couldn't swear if it was one of those dates or was it another day.
635 Q. How many times did you meet him in Athlone?
A. How many times did we meet Garda Keogh in Athlone?

636 Q. Yeah.
A. Oh!

637
Q. In 2014?
A. I was meeting Superintendent Noreen McBrien one day by appointment and he presented himself to me in relation to a notebook that was missing. I met him another day in the station, not by appointment. I don't know -- I met him on the 10th in the station and we arranged a date for his interview. The other dates were at hits home, Oranmore, and that particular car park, Ballinasloe and Portumna.
638 Q.
That sounds like about three if I got that right, in Athlone?
A. I think in the station $I$ might have --

639 Q. Three, possibly four?
A. I don't think it was four, to be honest.

640 Q. Three, okay.
A. Definitely two, I would imagine, possibly three, I'm not certain.

641 Q. Two, three times, right?
A. Yeah. And they may have coincided with one of these dates with an appointment $I$ had with Superintendent McBrien.
642 Q. You had an arrangement to meet him in the car park of B\&Q, that's right, isn't it?
A. Yeah, the car park off the motorway.

643 Q. Perhaps page 13281, Volume 47, can be called up. It's Nick Keogh's diary. 13281. If you move it over. The 15th. Let me see where it is. I think it's on the left-hand side further down. Keep going. Yeah, there it is. Do you see the Wednesday?
644 Q. Do you see Wednesday?
A. Yeah.

645 Q. There's something that is blanked out. But this is for 15th November 2014. Do you see there it says:
" 12 midday, met detective superintendent in B\&Q. He said they have over 70 statements taken."

And so on.
"Leave the big ones till last."

That's not what I am interested in. what I suggest is, Nick Keogh's diary records a meeting in the B\&Q carpark 15:10 on 15th November 2014. Have you any reason to doubt that date?
A. I honestly don't, no.

646 Q. If that is so, that would have been the day you saw the disc we're talking about?
A. It possibly would. As I said at the time, I didn't know the date but I thought it was nearer the date.
647 Q. I am corrected by my junior, it's in fact the 5th?
A. The 15 th November was a Saturday.

MR. KELLY: Yes, I am corrected by my junior. It is indeed the 5th, because it one looks further up, it's Tuesday 4th and Monday the 3rd.
CHA RMAN That's right, Thursday 6th.
648 Q. MR. KELLY: Even I recognise the 5th follows on from
the 4th. So that probably was the date of the meeting in the car park, B\&Q.
A. I have interview written in in my book here now, I'm not sure what's that about. Whether I was in Athlone or not on the day.

649 Q. That's what Nick Keogh's diary recorded; that he met you in the B\&Q car park that day?
A. No, I cannot dispute that. As I had said, I would have thought it was a lot nearer to the initial side of the investigation, but the only thing I have written into my own thing for November 14th, it's on a Friday, I have interview written in.
650 Q. Look at the 5th November in your diary?
A. In my diary, the 14th November, interview.

651 Q. The 5th November?
A. The 5th November. Yeah. I have:
"Met with Ni ck Keogh in Athl one."

Yeah.
MR. KELLY: Chairman, that completes the questions I wanted to ask of that witness. It does however leave outstanding that other matter which we have to clarify. I have asked to get it done, but we haven't actually got the chance.

CHA RMAN Oh that's the question of the evidence.
MR. KELLY: That's right.
CHA RMAN Of Inspector Farre11 and whether he said that Garda A had taken the file from the storeroom in
the station and given it to him.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN So that's to be checked up. But it's to be checked up as a fact, if you like, and then we can all agree on what the evidence was.

MR. KELLY: Exactly, Chairman.
CHA RMAN okay.
MR. KELLY: I was going to say there's not much point in my keeping the chief superintendent here just for that. It can be checked. Thank you.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. MEGU NESS: Just on that point, Inspector Farrell's notes are in the papers relating to that, volume 3.
CHA RMAN what are they saying, Mr. McGuinness.
MR. MEGU NESS: Page 362.
CHAN RMAN Take us out of our misery.
MR. MEGI NNESS: "Garda A handed over a box contai ning files rel ating to clean street. I secured these files without checking themin a steel locker."

That's in an entry relating to the 9th May. CHA RMAN Yes.

MR. MEGI NNESS: 2014. And then another entry reads:
"Garda A handed over a further box contai ning files rel ating to clean street, as he bel ieved they may rel ate to all egations of Garda Keogh. "

There's nothing there as to where either box came from. CHA RMAN okay. So as far as you're aware that's the best. It doesn't mean that that is the end of the matter, there may be some other references which Garda Keogh's team may wish to explore. Do you want to say anything about that, chief superintendent?
A. No. Just again, it was between the period that Garda Keogh had made his disclosure and before we had met Garda Keogh.
CHA RMAN Don't worry about that. It's only a question as to what was said previously and we were in doubt about that and Mr. McGuinness has ascertained certain information about it and that may be the only information or there maybe some further information, which we will in due course ascertain?
MR. KELLY: Yes. Chairman. I am totally prepared to accept what Mr. McGuinness has said, if there is any other further fact, I can check it. CHA RMAN On people checking, they may find something else that is illuminating in some way, shape or form.
MR. KELLY: In my view it's a fact. That's fine. Thank you.
MR. MEGU NNESS: Chairman, we have now also now checked Inspector Farrell's evidence to the Tribunal, Day 121. He is asked about these matters on page 97 and he gives 15:15 an account in accordance with his notes, with no additional information concerning where the files are from.
CHA RMAN okay. So as far as we know that is the
complete evidence on the subject. As far as we know. And subject to checking. May I, before -- okay. It's all right, take your time.
MR. KELLY: For my part, I have no more questions.
CHA RMAN No problem. Just let me ask a question that 15:16 may or may not assist. I am looking at items in the complaint list, items 6 and 9, and as I understand, detective superintendent, you and Inspector Coppinger completed taking the statement of Garda Keogh on the 18th June. It took a number of days in circumstances that you've described and was fairly heavy going in taking detailed statements. That was completed on the 18th June.
A. Correct, yes.

653 Q. CHA RMAN Now, item number 6 refers to an incident
alleged to have taken place on 7th December 2014 and item 9 refers to an incident alleged to have taken place, by saying alleged $I$ am just being as neutral as possible, $I$ am not saying that it is not reliable, in June 2015. They would have involved, if they were to come under your remit, they would have involved extra statements being furnished to you, I take it, or a further interview?
A. They would, indeed.

654 Q. CHA RMAN Is that correct?
A. Correct, Judge.

655 Q. CHAD RMAN And did Garda Keogh -- I have only just noticed these dates frankly as we are going through this, and obviously that's why I raise it now, because
counsel may wish to explore it. If those dates are correct, it would mean they would have to have been brought to your attention quite separately and later than the completed statement?
A. Correct.
Q. CHA RMAN was that done?
A. No. That was the first --

657 Q. CHAL RMAN So that you would have had no opportunity of investigating that. You say, look, I know nothing about it?
A. Yeah.

658 Q. CHA RMAN I appreciate that. And I know there is at least one incident that is similar in some respects --
A. Yeah.

659 Q. CHA RMAN -- to a description but not as to date. Is 15:18 that right?
A. Correct.

660 Q. CHA RMAN okay.
A. Chairman, yes.

661 Q. CHA RMAN Now, I raise that, Mr. Kelly and Mr. Murphy, ${ }^{15: 18}$ I raise that because I have only frankly, it's my fault, I only just noticed it at this point. But if anybody wants to ask anything about that, please feel free to do so. Mr. Kelly, do you want to ask anything arising out of that?
MR. KELLY: No. I do not, Chairman.
CHA RMAN I am assuming my dates are correct. I mean, I know about the statement taking a number of days and being finished on the date I gave in June of 2014, but
it would seem to follow that those would have required a quite separate complaint, a quite separate statement with detail and circumstance to go to the chief superintendent, if he is to be criticised.

MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN And if Commissioner ó Cualáin is to be criticised for not investigating it, that would appear to follow.

MR. KELLY: Absolutely, Chairman. Given that you are so remarkably right on dates, I have no desire -CHA RMAN I am only looking at what's written down, it doesn't follow, do you know what I mean. I have no basis for thinking otherwise. Anyway, there it is. MR. KELLY: You're right. Thank you. I don't actually wish to ask any questions arising out of that.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Thanks for your assistance on that. Now, who have we got? who wants to -- well, you don't want to, Mr. McGarry, do you, you're for Commissioner Fanning, isn't that right?
MR. MGGARR: No, thank you, Chairman.
CHAl RMAN okay. I didn't he came into the picture here. Who else is here? Nobody else wants to ask
questions. Mr. Murphy wants to be sure that he has a clear field. So nobody else, the field is yours Mr. Murphy.

MR MRPH: Thank you, Chairman.

662 Q. MR. MRPHY: Detective superintendent, I wonder if you could please be shown document number 3901, please. I wonder would you please scroll down to a paragraph beginning "on Saturday". Further down again, please. CHA RMAN I am not seeing on Saturday, Mr. Murphy. MR. MRPHY: No, sorry, we have the wrong reference. Perhaps I will come back to that. It's just a starting point for the witness. Chairman, with your permission, I might go back to the question.
CHA RMAN You can come back to that one when you identify the right thing, Mr. Murphy. If you want to go on to something else.
663 Q. MR. MRPHY: Thank you. Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, you have given evidence in relation to meeting with Garda Keogh on 7th June of 2014 at Portumna Garda station.
A. The 7th June, correct, yes.

664 Q. Yes. And I think also you have been asked questions about that. I think you have also confirmed that side by side, in tandem with the taking of statement, which took a number of days, you also took steps in relation to the telephone?
A. I did, indeed, yeah.

665 Q. I think on 13th June 2014 you met with Garda A at

Athlone Garda Station and he handed you the official State mobile phone?
A. He did, indeed, yes.

666 Q. I think you've referred to Garda Barry walsh, who was a trained telephone liaison officer?
A. Correct.

667 Q. I think that he carried out an examination of the phone and found it didn't contain any text messages or any contact details for a person of interest?
A. Correct.

668 Q. And that person of interest was a person to whom Garda Keogh had made reference to?
A. That was the person that he had made reference about in his statement.
669 Q. I think it's after that point that you have given evidence that in order to establish the full picture of the contact, you sought the call related data from the service providers?
A. Okay. Just in relation to when Garda walsh had finished it, $I$ also sent it to Dublin, just to be doubly sure that we couldn't obtain anything.

670 Q. Is it the case that you took steps to secure --
A. Absolutely.

Even before the statement of Garda Keogh had been completed?
A. Absolutely, yeah.
Q. In terms of your interactions with Garda Keogh over the period of your investigation, $I$ think you became aware of his personal circumstances and he spoke frankly to
you about them?
A. He did. He had outlined it in the course of his statement and during my conversation with him initially.
673 Q. I wonder if you could be shown page 5978, please. And again, just to illustrate the context in which you had concerns in relation to welfare, $I$ think the position is that in the middle of that page there is a reference to Garda Keogh indicating to you in the statement that he had had a drink difficulty for some time?
A. Yes.

674 Q. And in fact, I think he referred that back to 2009, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

675 Q. And again, I think you will note six lines further down, he indicated that in fact he had associated on a friendly basis with Garda A to begin with?
A. Correct.
Q. He also went on to indicate, in the middle of the page:
"I do most of my drinking at a particul ar location near where I lived. I drank ever day or at least nearly every day, more vol umes, more frequently. When I was drinking at this time l still had a good word return, I di dn't miss work due to si ckness."
A. Correct.

677 Q. "Si nce I j oi ned the Gardaí I was not one for going si ck."
A. Sorry?
A. It was, in fairness, yes.

In terms of the work which was done, you have been asked questions about the leve1 of work conducted by your team. Could I ask you to be shown page 10822 please. This is a letter which you wrote on 25 th November 2014, addressed to the assistant commissioner
in the Western Region?
A. okay.
Q. Is that Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin?
A. It is ó Cualáin, yes.

686 Q. And again, just to give the Tribunal a view of the work 15:25 that you had done by that date?
A. Correct.

687 Q. I think you say:
"I amto report at the time of my writing some 139 jobs 15:25 have been created, with 55 of these $j$ obs being compl et ed. "
A. Correct.
Q. Again, just for the record, can I ask you to briefly outline to the Chairman the position in relation to jobs as part of a Garda system of investigations?
A. Jobs came about as a result of statements that we would take. We would go through them and we would outline what jobs would have to be done in respect of them to move it onto the next stage.

689 Q. CHA RMAN What's the next action arising out of the statement?
A. Correct.
Q. CHA RMAN okay. So it's actions, things to do list? MR. MRPHY: Yes.

CHAN RMAN Jobs to do list.
691 Q. MR. MRPHY: I think also that jobs list is monitored on a close basis through the operation of a jobs book.
A. Yes.
Q. Insofar as you are referring to the completion of jobs, that's something that you would deduce from the jobs book itself?
A. Correct.

693 Q. Then moving on to the next paragraph, you made reference to the fact that:
"Al so 75 statements have been taken from 66
intervi ewees. A further 47 exhi bits have been seized to support the investigation to date."

Does that reflect the position as of November 2014?
A. Absolutely.

694 Q. Then you refer then to the fact that in November there was a case conference and this was attended by all
members of the investigation team. Can I ask you briefly to explain to the Chairman how often there would be case conferences of the investigating team?
A. If I could say that whenever possible we tried to meet, we mightn't have the full team but we would always try to and keep up-to-date in relation to it. I would imagine there was a log kept in relation to the number of actual conferences we had and the people who attended them.
695 Q. Yes.
A. Unfortunately, while I was based in Oranmore I was away from my office an awful lot of the time. So whenever I was around I would try to meet with the lads in relation to it.
Q. And again, just from the point of view of the record, to help us to understand, at such a conference investigative strategies were discussed and were outlined, several new lines of enquiries were established to assist the investigation going forward?
A. Correct.

697 Q. Did you also log the fact that Garda Keogh had been spoken to again as regards further information that he provided concerning medical records?
A. Correct.

698 Q. And did that reflect your ongoing constructive engagement with Garda Keogh throughout 2014 ?
A. Absolutely.

699 Q. I think there is also been a reference to multiple requests, 12 in number, being forwarded to Crime and Security concerning telephone data. Did that connect with the earlier evidence you have given today in relation to the telephone?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. And again, without going into specific detail, what general assistance is provided by Crime and Security branch of a technical nature?
A. It's through Crime and Security we make our applications and they wil1 look at them, sanction them and forward them onto the judge, provided that we have the appropriate information in relation to them. That's the way they come in in relation to the phone applications.
701 Q. I think in the same paragraph you also refer to the
fact that there were a number of applications concerning CHIS matters which had been forwarded to NSMU for assistance in the investigation?
A. Yeah.

702 Q. Would you just explain to the Chairman, what does that involve procedurally?
A. Basically our CHIS office is based in Dublin, our national office, and it was through those that we were able to make thing around people that were propping up in our investigation and that maybe Garda Keogh might have given us evidence of. we checked those in relation to their standing in our society, so to speak.
703 Q. So side by side with the interviews on the ground, the collection of information, the discussions with Garda Keogh, the references to crime and security branch, the 15:29 CHIS inquiries, you're effectively also monitoring all these with a view to progressing the investigation?
A. Absolutely.

704 Q. In the last paragraph you say:
"As this is a very complex investigation, it appears that as each potential witness line of inquiry is pursued they have potential to open further avenues of investigation and the investigation team have no choi ce but to enter and per use that line of inquiry. A number 15:29 of witnesses, including ci vilians and Garda nembers have yet to be intervi ewed. Some of these witnesses are currently seeking legal advice prior to indi cating to investigating members whether or not they will
engage or cooperate with the investigation. Until such time it is known their intentions, it is difficult to establish what, if any, lines of inquiry the i nvesti gation team may recover."

Just pausing there, I think that is your summary view at that time in November 2014?
A. Yes.

Now, just pausing for a moment, you have been asked a number of questions about why people weren't arrested, in particular why Garda A wasn't arrested in 2014. You recall those questions?
A. I do, yeah.
Q. I think in response to those questions you indicated that there were complexities involved in the investigation, that you had to be careful about that issue?
A. Correct.

707 Q. As of November 2014, would you agree with me that it would appear on the papers that we have seen, that there was no direct civilian witness who made a witness statement against Garda A at that time?
A. That's true.
Q. Is it correct that there was no direct evidential, forensic evidence, fingerprints, scientific testing or any material of that kind, that was available to you as part of your investigation?
A. Correct.

709
Q. Mr. McGuinness I think asked you a question as to
whether an arrest would have facilitated the exercise of powers where inferences could be drawn, do you recall those questions?
A. I do, yeah.

710 Q. Would you agree with me, those type of inferences are usually related to items found in the possession of somebody at the time of their arrest?
A. Yeah.

711 Q. or items found in their possession at the time of the Commission of an offence?
A. Yes.

712 Q. Or items found in their possession which are scientifically connected which DNA or otherwise to them?
A. Yes.

713 Q. And they are being asked to comment on those particular items in a custody situation?
A. Yes.

714 Q. And any silence is something from which a court can draw an inference later on?
A. Yes.
Q. Did any of that type of evidence exist in your control in November 2014?
A. As I said today, expressly it didn't apply in this case.

716 Q. So again just to help the Chairman understand the nuance and subtlety of the position you found yourself in, is it the case as we are moving to 2015 that there are at least two potential civilians witnesses who you
are very anxious to interview?
A. Correct.

717 Q. And they're not confirming as at that stage whether they are willing to cooperate or not?
A. Correct.

718 Q. Did you consider those civilian witnesses to be integral to the hopes of any successful investigation and prosecution?
A. Yes.

719 Q. The same document, could I ask you please to be shown page 10823, which is the following page. Having rehearsed the difficulties of confirming whether witnesses will give evidence, 1 think you say:
"The basis of the confidential reci pient's compl ai nts can essentially be summarised into six allegations. However, further allegations may be brought to the attention of the investigation team as inquiries progress. Each allegation is bei ng investigated i ndi vi dually and a prel iminary report will be will
forwarded at thei $r$ concl usi on once the compl ete i nvesti gation file, the findings, recommendations are forwarded, once inquiries of all the allegations have been exhausted. At this stage it would be difficult to i dentify exact timeframes for the concl usi on of the
i nvestigation. However, ever effort will be made to have the matter concl uded in the most sufficient and effective manner."

Just pausing there for a moment, there has been some questions asked which indicate expressions of criticism of delay in relation to the investigation. Is it your case that this memorandum reflects the level of technical difficulty which confronted your investigation team at that time?
A. Indeed, yes.

Can I just pause there for a moment, please, detective superintendent. Looking at all those tasks, were those tasks, such as interviews and jobs, followed up by persons under your command and under your control?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you experience any wrongful interference by Commissioner ó Cualáin in relation to the conduct of this investigation?
A. Absolutely not. He was more than helpful to the investigation whenever possible.
722 Q. If we pause and deal with the question of interviews, for example, I will come to the criticisms document in a moment, can you indicate to the chairman how in the course of your investigation individual interviews were organised? Was there a collective decision to interview people in a particular way or individual interviewers sent out to conduct interviews?
A. Basically what we would do, during one of our
conferences we'd look at our actions, as you say, Chairman, and I would appoint somebody to go and do that. They would make their arrangements in relation to how they do it, where they do it and come back. I
would set a date maybe that I want a statement or I want it returned by, next Tuesday, when I'd be around again or something like that.
723 Q. Yes.
A. That's the way it more or less worked.

724 Q. Just to be clear, we will come back to this in a few moments, but was there any dictat given by Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin to you that all investigations had to be conducted in Athlone Garda Station?
A. No, there was nothing to suggest that, no.
A. Correct.
Q. Can I just draw your attention to the first few lines. It says:
"Detective Superintendent Mulcahy, I amwriting to say
I appreci ate that you have kept your word and have thor oughl y i nvesti gated my compl ai nt."

Is it fair to say that reflected Garda Keogh's views and expressing them to you about the quality of the work he saw you were doing as of October 2014 ?
A. And that's not the only time, Garda Keogh has said that to me on several occasions when he spoke to me.

727 Q. Just taking that particular time, because we know that
most of the interviews were taking place in Athlone, after he raised the point earlier, in the summer --
A. Oh, correct, yeah.

728 Q. -- that was sought to be addressed by your team, albeit not completely, did you receive any subsequent complaints in September or October from Garda Keogh that people were being interviewed in Athlone Garda Station? for example, in this letter, is there any complaint about that?
A. No, not there, no.

729 Q. I think you will agree with me that the letter confirms the view that as far as he was concerned you weren't just investigating his complaint, you were thoroughly investigating his complaint?
A. Absolutely, yes.

730 Q. If I could ask you then, please, to come back to the complaints document for a moment, which is document 10929, please. Both Mr. Kelly and Mr. McGuinness have taken through you each of these in some considerable detai1. The Chairman has seen them, I don't propose to replicate territory. Can I identify a number of factors in this letter? First of all, on page 10929, will you agree with me that the letter is addressed "Dear madan". And if you turn forward, registrar, to page 10932.
CHA RMAK This is the letter to the Minister. MR. MRPHY: It is. There is also another addressee as we11, Chairman. I think at the bottom of page 10932, it appears that the letter is written, it seems, to the

DPP first of all, Claire Loftus, and then cc'd to the Minister.

CHA RMAN I'm sorry, yes. The DPP 1etter.
MR. MRPHY: Yes. Going back please, registrar, to page 10929.
CHA RMAN Yes.
731 Q. MR. MRPHY: Can I just draw your attention to the third paragraph, where Garda Keogh writes this:
"A criminal investi gation was conducted by Assi stant Commissi oner Ó Cual ái $n$, however I feel obl iged to point out a number of serious and del i berate flaws with regard to the investi gation. "

Do you see those words?
A. I do, yeah.

732 Q. Can I ask you then to turn forward please, registrar, to page 10930? If we could take number 4 by way of the complaints, this is the eyeballed complaint. Can I just draw your attention to the last two lines. Garda Keogh said there to the Minister and to the DPP:
"I bel ieve this eyeballing was del i ber ately facilitated in an effort to suppress honest statements being made by way of informal intimidation."

Do you see that?
A. I do, yes.

733 Q. When did you first become aware that this letter had
been written to the DPP and to the Minister?
A. I have never seen sight of this letter. Now, he wrote to me saying that he was complaining about a number of things.
Q. Yes.
A. But I never actually saw the letter that was written.

735 Q. Did he complain to you in 2014 that you or Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin were deliberately suppressing or facilitating efforts to suppress honest statements or were engaging in informal intimidation of potential witnesses?
A. No. No.
Q. I see. Is it your evidence to the Chairman that the first time you saw this particular phraseology was in these papers before this Tribunal?
A. Yes.

737 Q. I think you have answered each of the different points, I don't propose to deal with those. But can I ask you in summary to confirm that you reject any of the criticisms that are made within these particular complaints?
A. we11, the two that I have previously spoken about, I had no knowledge of either of those.
738 Q. In terms of the letter itself, can I ask you just to move forward to page 10931? Just the very last line, please. First of all, on the second last paragraph, Garda Keogh addresses the Minister by saying:
"I have not been allowed to vi ew the investigation file
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into my allegations."

Yes. "However, my understanding is that every effort was made to di scredit me, the whi stlebl ower. On hearing that word Garda management blinded themsel ves to [inaudi ble] I do not want to be di smissi ve of every part of the Garda investigation. I do believe if Det ective Superintendent Mul cahy and Det ective 15: 40 Inspector Coppi nger were i nvesti gating -- "

Please turn forward to page 10932. It's slightly out of sequence. Registrar, 10932, please. Thank you. It continues there:
" -- the case without the interference of seni or management."

CHA RMAN Hold on, we haven't got it.
MR. MRPHY: Sorry, Registrar, just scroll down. CHA RMAN I don't have a hard copy.
MR. MRPHY: Thank you.
"-- the case wi thout the interference of seni or i nvesti gation. "

Do you see that?
A. I do, yes.

740 Q. So would you agree with me, that seems to suggest that Garda Keogh was saying to the Minister at that time that if you had been allowed to carry out the case, investigation without the interference of senior management, this would have been a different investigation?
A. There was absolutely no interference or hindrance in any way whatsoever had from senior management in relation to this investigation. Nothing but help, I 15:41 may add. That's all we got from our senior management, help.

741 Q. Again, just taking the language used in this letter, can I ask you to confirm for the Chairman that there was no deliberate attempt to suppress honest statements 15:41 or to exercise any form of informal intimidation?
A. Absolutely none.

742 Q. There is a strangeness in this letter, which again will be a matter for the Chairman to consider, but there appears to be a difference of views even within Garda Keogh's own expressions from time to time of perception and reality.
A. Yeah.

743 Q. But in reality, is it the case that in 2014/'15 from what he told you, you had a good working relationship?
A. Absolutely, yeah.

744 Q. Is it the reality at that time that you were concerned for his welfare and wellbeing?
A. I had a general concern for his welfare throughout the
investigation.
745 Q. Now, can I ask you please to be shown page 13299? This is a February 2015 extract from Garda Keogh's diary, which I presume you have not seen before. You see
there:
"Thursday, 19th February 2015.

It is very much me versus AGS (An Garda Sí ochána) Donal Ó Cual áin is al ong with Detective Superintendent Mul cahy attempting to cover up. [Blank] was right!"

Can I ask you whether in February 2017 you were trying to do anything to cover up any matter affecting Garda Keogh in conjunction with Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin?
A. Absolutely not.

746 Q. In that period of time, as I say, January and February, into March of 2015, did Garda Keogh ever express such criticisms to your face?
A. No.

747 Q. And insofar as there is a reference to [B7ank], is that [Blank] -- I beg your pardon, sorry, I void that last question. Insofar as there is reference to any other person present, that person is a civilian, a former
A. A former member, yeah.

748 Q. Can I ask you then please to move forward to page 2492, please? I think you have been asked questions in
relation to your own notes concerning the large phone call that's referred to at page 16299, that we discussed this morning?
A. okay.

749 Q. This is the phone call you received at home?

750 Q. From Garda Keogh?
A. Yeah.
Q. This is a note from an extract from chief Superintendent Murray's diaries, recording I think his ${ }^{15: 44}$ conversation with you, on 20/4/15. Do you agree that is the date when had you the consultation, the discussion?
A. Yeah, that was the one today in relation to the double page and the following day, yeah.

752 Q. He records that you told him that Garda Keogh rang you the night before drunk and began to give out about delays to the investigation?
A. Correct.

753 Q. That he said to you that he wanted Garda A to be arrested?
A. Yes.
Q. That he said to you that he will bring down the Commissioner?
A. He did, yes.

755 Q. That he said to you that he would bring down the assistant commissioner and An Garda Síochána through Mick wallace and Clare Daly?
A. Yeah. Maybe not the exact words that he used but the
terminology is much the same.
756 Q. which words were used?
A. I'm saying it's not the exact words, it's not the exact wording that he would have used but it's covering the same points.
A. Yeah.

CHAN RMAN This doesn't mean, Mr. Murphy, that what Superintendent Murray records was what Garda Keogh said.

MR. MRPHY: No.
CHA RMAN It merely means the communication between the chief superintendent and Superintendent Murray, he is ringing him the next day to say this.
MR MRPH: yes.
CHA RMAN What Superintendent Murray wrote down was his impression of what you were saying. So we don't want to get too remote.

MR. MRPHY: No.
CHA RMAN It's just following up that he has this phone call over the weekend, the chief superintendent says, I'm ringing the local superintendent to let him know I had what I thought was a very fairly bizarre conversation and I'm letting him know. But we don't want him to think that that is an accurate reflection
of what Garda Keogh actually said, because we have that evidence; isn't that right?
MR. MRPFH: Yes, absolutely. But I think based on your reportage to the superintendent --

CHA RMAR But, for instance, the description of the psychiatric condition was Superintendent Murray's interpretation. I don't recall that word being used in the Chief Superintendent's note of the conversation, i.e. psychotic. Maybe you did mention it, I don't know.
A. To be honest, I don't remember.

CHA RMAN I didn't remember it from the thing but then, I have been wrong before about these things. I am thinking that's what he's putting down and that's why I am a little careful, if you like, or trying to be a little careful about who said what and whom, you know.
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN Sorry, Mr. Murphy, I am probably just being over cautious or else it's quarter to four and I'm just -- I'm finding it hard to not to interfere. Sorry.
760 Q. MR. MRPHY: Can I ask you just to confirm that the conversation you had with Garda Keogh was an unusual
A. It was very unusual.

761 Q. Did you find it a disturbing conversation?
A. And disturbing. And, as I mentioned earlier, my wife
was present and unfortunately she bore the brunt of it as well.

CHA RMAN what's going on, yeah, what's happening there? We're sitting in front of the television, what's happening? what are you at?
A. Correct, Chairman.

MR. MRPHY: So again is it fair to say that at the end of that conversation both Superintendent Murray and yourself had enhanced concerns for Garda Keogh's welfare?
A. Absolutely.

764 Q. Could I ask you please to be shown document 10866, please? This is an e-mail which I think you sent on 30th April 2015, to the assistant commissioner Western Region.
A. Correct.

765 Q. I think you refer to a conversation on 19th Apri1 2015 with Garda Keogh?
A. Correct.

766 Q. I think you say:
"I was of the opi ni on he was under the influence of al cohol and appeared irrational when communi cating with не. "
A. Yes.

767 Q. You say:

> "On noticing thi s behavi our l offered hi m the servi ces of the garda wel fare office, to whi ch he declined."
A. Correct.

768 Q. I think you then in the next paragraph make reference to the details, which you have spoke about earlier in your evidence. On the 21st Apri1, I think you say that you received an SMS text message from Garda Keogh?
A. Correct.

769 Q. You immediately made contact with him?
A. That's right.
Q. He indicated to that he would be interested in utilising the services of the Garda welfare officer but 15:49 was reluctant to utilise the appointed officer.
A. Yes.
Q. Again, I think you recorded that Garda Keogh was under the influence of alcohol, was your impression, during the course of that conversation?
A. Yes. shown page 12877, please? If we move forward here to 16th May 2016. This is a letter from Garda Keogh to the Minister for Justice. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I ask you just to look at the first paragraph? This is what Garda Keogh was saying to the Minister at that stage?

CHA RMAN what is the date of that, Mr. Murphy?
MR. MRPH: The date is $16 / 5 / 2016$, chairman.
CHAN RMAN Thank you.
Q. MR. MRPHY: Do you see where Garda keogh is saying:
"Dear Mnister for Justice, Francis Fitzgeral d.

Further to my letter of 26 th July 2015 (see al so attached). On $2 / 10 / 2015$ I made a protected di sclosure to $G S O$ regarding a flawed Garda criminal investigation i nto a conspiracy to supply heroin invol vi ng menters of An Garda Sí ochána. "

Do you see that?
A. I do, indeed.
Q. Specifically, do you see in the middle of the paragraph, it says:
"Which I believe was no more than a deliberate and unmitigated cover up by Deputy Commissioner Donal Ó Cual ái n. "

```
Do you see that?
```

A. Yes.

777 Q. Can I ask you in response to that accusation, as somebody who is deeply involved in the investigation that is being carried out under Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin, what do you have to say in relation to that?
A. That is a very hurtful comment to put to people that were doing their utmost to try to bring his complaint to a successful conclusion. And actually, I am disappointed.

778
Q. When did you see that for the first time?
A. When did I see this first?

779 Q. Yes.
A. Unfortunately I didn't avail of a lot of the material that was made available and I'm only reading it now.
780 Q. For the purpose of this Tribunal?
A. Yeah.

781 Q. Could you answer please --
CHA RMAN You didn't read all the materials?
A. No, I have to be honest.
Q. MR. MRPHY: Can I take it from that answer that you never saw this kind of material in 2016 ?

783 Q. Or 2015?
A. No.

784 Q. or 2014.
CHA RMAN okay.
785 Q. MR. MRPHY: Moving forward please to page 12880. And before we just deal with this point, I think you remember this morning that you were shown a document, there is no need to bring it up on the screen, which
was document 11258, where you indicated in a report that on 8th April 2016 you made contact with Garda Keogh and you offered to meet him to talk through the directions for the DPP's office?
A. Correct.
Q. And the response I think that you recorded was that Garda Keogh told you that he couldn't meet because he was going on the tear with another lad?
A. That's correct, yeah.

787 Q. And again this morning questions were asked about this. 15:53 Moving please back to the document on the screen at page 12880, in the last paragraph, Garda Keogh I think here is writing to the Minister. He says:
"I acknow edge Detective Superintendent Decl an Mul cahy
of fered to go through the findings with re. However, I decl ined as l bel i eve the garda investi gation was flawed fromthe very start."

Can I ask you, back in 2016, when you offered to meet him, is that what he said to you or did he say to you that he was going on the tear with another lad?
A. He said he was going on the tear with another lad, yeah.
788 Q. We11 again, just to come back to the questions you were 15:53 asked this morning, can you confirm to the Chairman that you were willing to meet with him and to discuss with him the --
A. Absolutely, I would loved to have been able to sit down
and discuss with him and from my point of view, in relation to our relationship, that we would finish on a high point.
789 Q. Yes. But for the reasons you've described this morning, that didn't happen?
A. Yeah.

790 Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown page 10818? We have gone back slightly in time here, but it relates to an issue $I$ am going to come to in a moment, which is the DPP's decision. This is a report I think from you up to the assistant commissioner Western Region. And it seems to take place after August 2014. Do you see that?
A. I do, yeah. Yeah.

791 Q. The most recent date referred to at the top of the page. Turning over to page 10819 please, do you see there it says:
"Wile these allegations are very serious in nature, they remai $n$ allegations. The investigation is
endeavouring to corroborate or indeed disprove the veracity of these allegations. As a result, l believe at this juncture it would be both unfair and unsafe to consi der suspending Garda A on what are still unsupported allegations of misconduct and possible criminality."

Do you see that?
A. Yeah.

792 Q. So coming back to something you said to the Chairman earlier, and we're back now in 2014, the quality of the information available to you at that time, was that as reflected in a that suggestion?
A. Yes, yes.

793 Q. In other words, you felt that you were at an early stage of the investigation and were still trying to develop further leads?
A. Yes.

794 Q. Could I ask you please to be shown the document at page 15:55 10816? This is a letter written by Commissioner Ó Cualáin, dated 21st August 2014. Do you see this?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

795 Q. Could I ask you please to turn over to page 10817? The last paragraph. I think by this stage Garda Keogh has ${ }_{15: 56}$ raised the question of suspension, isn't that so?
A. Yes.

796 Q. I think Assistant Commissioner Ó Cualáin says:
"The i ssue of suspending Garda A is something whi ch now 15:56 requires consi dering. However, while these all egations are very serious in nature, they remai $n$ allegations. The investi gation is endeavouring to corroborate or, i ndeed, di sprove the veracity of those allegations. I amseeking your vi ews on this matter and the appropriate course of action to be adopted."

CHA RMAN He adopts your view, in other words, he agrees with it and he adopts it. He quotes pretty well
exactly what you were saying.
A. Yes.

797 Q. MR. MRPH: so that's a view you formed independent of him, I would say?
A. Absolutely.
$15: 57$
798 Q. Could I ask you please to be shown page 10870? This is a letter of 5th may 2015, written by you to Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin. Could I draw your attention to the third paragraph, beginning with the words "at the outset"? Do you see those?
A. Yes.

799 Q. I think there you wrote:
"At the outset of this investigation advice was sought fromassistant commissioner at Humm Resource Management, whi ch advi ce was sought as regards whether or not consi deration should be given to the suspensi on of Garda A pending the out come of this investigation. The advices at the time were noted but I am now seeking that the matter be revisited surroundi ng this new evi dence that has been unearthed, whi ch clearly points to inordi nate contacts bet ween the official phone that Garda A had access to and a phone regi stered to [blank] daughter of ME. B, which strongly supports the allegations made by the confidential reporter concerning ME. B. "

So taking that point, did that indicate or reflect a progression in the information available to your
investigation by that date?
A. It did, indeed.

800 Q. Could I ask you then please to be shown page 10887? This is a letter from Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin, 8th may 2015. In the very last paragraph, can I draw your attention to the sentence beginning "advi ce was previ ously sought" three lines in from the end?
A. Yeah.
Q. There I think he said:
"Advi ce was previ ously sought fromassistant commi ssi oner Human Resource Nanagement regar di ng the suspensi on from duty of Garda A and gi ven the recent devel opments in this matter will now be revisited."
A. Yes.

802 Q. Do you recal1 seeing that correspondence at that time?
A. Yes.
Q. So in terms of the overall assessment of matters, is it your case that your evidence had progressed between 2014 and 2015?
A. Absolutely, yes.

804 Q. Is it the case that your view of the strength of your case had changed from 2014, 2015?
A. Yeah, we had picked up a bit of momentum.

805 Q. Then in terms finally, the execution of what needed to be done on this issue, could I ask you to be shown 10889? This is a letter dated 11th May 2015, from you. Can I just draw your attention to the middle of the page, where you wrote:
"There nay be other natters that should be consi dered and may be best addressed by the local di vi si onal of ficer prior to consideration being given of any possi ble suspensi on of Garda A. At this point of the i nvesti gati on Garda A has not been gi ven the opportunity of addressing those allegations made agai nst hi $m$ He has, however, been gi ven a summary of the allegations. Garda A has met with his solicitor and is represented by a solicitor based in Li merick. The i nvesti gation team must al so be conscious of the requi rement of due process being observed and afforded to the member concerned together with any personal issues the member may be affected from or experi encing. Forwarded for your attention, please. "

Did that letter in terms express some of the concerns you articulated earlier today?
A. It does, indeed, yes.

806 Q. In relation to how you were conducting the investigation at that stage?
A. Yes.

807 Q. We have dealt with 2014 and into 2015. Can you just confirm to the Chairman, what if anything took place in relation to suspension decisions concerning Garda A in 16:00 2015?
A. In 2015?

808 Q. Yes.
CHA RMAN Well, we know that in October 2015 Garda A
was suspended.
A. Yeah.

809 Q. CHA RMAN Isn't that right. I think on the 15th October?
A. Yeah. Following the search of Garda A's house in

October of '15. In fairness again, it was the super that had rang me in relation to the new evidence that might support Garda Keogh's complaint. He also rang me in relation to details of Garda A on a particular day, what time he was working, what time he was finished, so 16:01 that we could be available, having got our warrants and visit the premises.
810 Q. CHA RMAN Yes.
A. Following the thing, I rang him, told him that we had got the warrants from the judge, that we had searched the premises and that we had taken possession of things. I think based on that, the fact that we now had a judicial section in our investigation, that we had gone and got warrants, that we had potential new information I think he went from there with a decision in relation to the suspension of Garda A.

CHAN RMAN Thank you.
MR. MRPH: Chairman, I have literally five minutes Chairman?

CHA RMAK It's al1 right, Mr. Murphy, don't be under any pressure. I would hope that we could finish with Detective Superintendent Mulcahy this afternoon. But if that means sitting on for a while, $I$ have no problem with that. If we can complete his evidence. He may
want to come back or not want to come back, a matter entirely for himself, but he won't have to come back for any official purpose or for giving evidence. So if you are happy with that. I mean, I know it has been a long day for you.
THE WTNESS: Absolutely, Chairman.
CHAL RNAN But if you are happy with that, I am happy with that. So be under no pressure, Mr. Murphy. Up to a point, I suppose.
811 Q.
MR. MRPHY: I will be 10 minutes. Thank you. Please, registrar, could I have document number 11225, please. This is a letter which I think is written in September of 2016. I don't propose to go through this letter in every detail, but this letter again is written to Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin; isn't that right.
A. Yes.

812 Q. And the confidential reporter referred to at the top of that page is Garda Keogh?
A. Yes.

813 Q. You say that you refer to correspondence received, 1st September, you say:
"Wile I don't have sight of correspondence forwarded to the DPP and copi ed to the Tánai ste, I will outline the facts available as requested."

Does that help you to remember why you were writing this letter?
A. I wonder is it in reply to the letter we saw earlier
today that Garda Keogh wrote or something, is it? 814 Q. CHAN RMN Yes, it would appear to be.
A. Yeah.

815 Q. CHA RMAN You say you hadn't seen the correspondence.
A. I haven't, yeah.

816 Q. CHA RMAN Ms. Fitzgerald was of course the Tánaiste as well as the Minister for Justice. So this was the letter to the Minister for Justice/Tánaiste plus the DPP, it looks like.
MR. MRPH: Very swiftly scrolling down to the next three pages, under various headings, operation Loki, theft during searches, allegation of inappropriate contacts, you list the complaints made by Garda Keogh, which were investigated.
A. Yes.

818 Q. Then moving down to 9, you make references to allegations of interference with criminal investigations and destruction of evidence. There you show steps taken, the jobs carried out. Just for the assistance of the Tribunal, that probably reflects a very clear statement of the breakdown and structure of your investigation?
A. Yes.

819 Q. Then turning over to page 1129, please, in the second paragraph you say:
"Where the reporter (Garda Keogh) sets out that he consi ders that this allegation was flawed, I am unaware as to the basis of the allegation."

```
Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
8 2 0 ~ Q . ~ " T h e ~ r e p o r t e r ~ ( G a r d a ~ K e o g h ) ~ n e v e r ~ r a i ~ s e d ~ t h i s ~ m a t t e r ~
with the investigati on team"
```

Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. "I amsatisfied that each allegation rai sed by Garda Keogh was investigated in full by the inquiry team"

Is that correct?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. Then you went on report:
"I am unable to comment further as the reporter (Garda Keogh) had al ways outlined to me that he was satisfied with the i nvestigation, had no difficulty with the di rection of same."
A. Correct.
Q. It has been put to you that, for example, Sergeant Haran may have had an opinion as to whether it was suitable to interview people in Athlone Garda Station?
A. Yeah.

824 Q. Just taking that example: Did Sergeant Haran ever express that opinion to you during the course of the investigation?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. In terms of views or opinions that people may have, and
it is entirely a matter for the Chairman to decide at the end of this process what to make of things, but can I just ask you in terms of the criticisms made by Garda Keogh, is there any basis in your experience and understanding, or is there any evidence that you have seen to demonstrate there was a deliberate targeting of Garda Keogh by your team or by you?
A. There was absolutely no deliberate targeting or otherwise of Garda Keogh. As I have said previously, we were nothing but helpful to Garda Keogh in the hope that we would get a conclusion to his complaint.

826 Q. If we move from the allegations of deliberate targeting, which is made in the correspondence, down to the allegations made today, which is that somehow the investigation was flawed.
A. Yeah.

827 Q. Or mistakes were made. Do you believe that any of those mistakes, if they were so found by the Chairman, had any impact on Garda Keogh whatsoever?
A. Absolutely not.

828 Q. In terms of the interviewing of witnesses, were you satisfied from your professional experience that the witnesses in your investigation were interviewed fairly and in accordance with standard procedure?
A. I am.

829 Q. Were any of those witnesses subject to pressure or attempted interference?
A. I have no knowledge that any of them were subject to anything.

830 Q. In particular, can I ask you in terms of the superintendents, of your efforts, did you see anything in the conduct of Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin which represented targeting or discrediting of Garda Keogh?
A. Absolutely not.

831 Q. In your communications with Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin, did he share your concerns in relation to Garda Keogh's welfare?
A. He does.

832 Q. Did he give you indications and directions that you were to keep a watchful eye on Garda Keogh in relation to his welfare issues?
A. Absolutely.

833 Q. And did you do so?
A. I did, indeed. He was adamant of that on every occasion that I met him.
834 Q. And finally, insofar as Garda Keogh has seen fit to write to the Minister for Justice and to the DPP and/or to anybody else, making criticism of these kind against 16:07 you, do you accept or reject those criticisms?
A. I absolutely reject those criticisms. Absolutely.

835 Q. Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Now, Mr. McGuinness, any questions?
MR. MEGU NESS: Yes, just a few short matters. CHA RMAN okay.

836 Q. MR. MEGU NESS: In your statement you describe visiting Athlone Garda Station on the 6th August for the purposes of examining a number of exhibits?
A. Yes.

837 Q. You also say that you met on that date the reporter, that is Garda Keogh, and examined his notebook in respect of entries for a particular date in October.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall whether that was a meeting in the station with him or outside the station?
A. On one particular day, I'm not sure which, but I know I was coming back after having a cup of tea with the superintendent and he came up behind me, we met on the street. That particular day, it wasn't by appointment, we were in the station.

839 Q. Yes.
A. And I think he made contact with me. Now, I'm not one hundred percent sure, but I think that was the sequence of events on that day.
840 Q. Yes. You seem to be slightly more sure in your it was earlier in the summer than November?
A. Yes, I would have thought that.

841 Q. Do you have any memory of what the purpose of your
meeting on the 5th November was with Garda Keogh?
A. I have no notes of it, unfortunately. But in relation to Garda Keogh, he said I updated him in relation to the investigation basically, you know.
842 Q. On a different topic, Mr. Kelly, on behalf of Garda Keogh, was asking you about a letter that Garda Keogh wrote dated 17th December 2014. Now, that was included in Garda Keogh's documents to the Tribunal?
A. Yes.

843 Q
As an appendix to his statement of March of 2017. It's described as a letter to D/Superintendent Mulcahy with attachments. You appeared to be saying to Mr. Kelly that you don't believe you had received that. Now, could I ask you to look at the letter and all of its attachments, starting from page 328 . And it might be easier if you looked at the paper volume, in volume 2. I asked Detective Inspector Coppinger about this letter yesterday and in particular about the last two paragraphs and what had been done in relation to the issues raised in the last two paragraphs. And he appeared to accept that the letter had been received, from his answers. But can I just ask you about the first paragraph? It says:
"As you are aware [bl ank] called to At hl one Garda
Station on 28/11/14 and when si gning the bail book left a message for Garda A that he was two and a half hours with menbers of your investigation team and told them not hi ng. "

Now, can I just stop there? The next page is page 329 of our volume and it appears to be a signing on on that date, from the bail book. Do you recall seeing that at any stage?
A. No.

844 Q. Okay. The next sentence goes on:

> "I now wi sh to bring to your notice Pul se i nci dent 11448852 (attached)."

There follows then a number of Pulse sheets, three in number. Could I ask you to look at those? The first one relates to a different Pulse identification number, relating to a search under the drugs act on 7th December 2014. That was a day in fact on which you had met Garda Keogh and taken a statement, isn't that correct? In any event, the two other Pulse documents have the Pulse number referred to in Garda Keogh's letter and refer to Garda A and Garda Lyons conducting a follow up search and recovering a small quantity of heroin concealed behind a roof rafter. what he then says in the letter is:
"I have kept my di stance fromi mportant persons for a
fai $r$ investigation. Garda A is the investigating garda now in a case i nvol ving her oi n, €4, 200 suspect def ender [bl ank] who was his informant and a suspect for placing her oi $n$ for Garda $A$, who is now in a position of
influence over [blank]. It will be interesting to see if there is a prosecution in this case."

Seeing those documents, does that refresh your memory in any way as to whether you received this letter
A. The only part of it is the first paragraph, in relation to the man calling to the station, if $I$ understand it's the same person, I think it is.
845 Q. Yes.
A. And that his is telling somebody that he was with Guards and he told them nothing. I got that in some shape or form but it wasn't part of this letter.

846 Q. okay.
A. I would imagine it's disclosed in my notes someplace, because $I$ remember reading it.

847 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah.

848 Q. I opened the following two paragraphs to Detective Inspector Coppinger yesterday. The first one related to his lack of knowledge that your team hadn't in fact taken statements from a number of persons who were important witnesses and that they were eight months into the investigation and then what was done. Does that help you refresh your memory, having received this 1etter?
A. No.

849 Q. Or whether you did anything on foot of it, this concern?
A. No.

850 Q. The third one relates to --
A. Now just in relation to the first paragraph, there was a pretty substantial investigation carried out around this person.

851 Q. Yes.
A. But it was something that came up during the course of it, the course of our investigation, that we went a little further in relation to it. And he was met during the course of the investigation.
Q. Yes. The last paragraph again relates to the official State mobile phone and his concern in relation to that. Reading that, does that affect your view as to whether you received this?
A. The mobile phone was the centre of our investigation anyway.

853 Q. I know that.
A. Yeah. No, I have not. I cannot recall ever seeing this.

854 Q. There's two further documents which appear to be part of an attachment, at page 333 and 334 , which appear to be lists of members on traffic or perhaps out on duty in a patrol car. Do you recall seeing that at the time?
A. I think that might have something to do in relation to the first person mentioned there.

855 Q. Okay.
A. From the point of view is that there was an allegation that he had a number of charges before the court and
that he was showing favouritism. We gathered every single file in relation to him and went through them and if any didn't come to conclusion, we got in touch with that person and interviewed them as to why it was outstanding, whatever. There was a suggestion along the lines that there was favouritism shown to this person and that they were assisting the Guards unofficially. So that was fully investigated. But as for the letter, I have no recollection of seeing that letter.
856 Q. The copies of the Pulse records appear to have been printed out in July 2015. So they may not be copies of the original ones sent in?
A. okay.

857 Q. But it doesn't jog your memory in any event?
A. No. No.

858 Q. Okay. Mr. Kelly was asking you why you phoned Superintendent Pat Murray on 18th November 2015, by reference to your diary, which records such a phone call. You in fact describe receiving a call in your statement to the Tribunal, isn't that correct, on 18th November 2015? You say you:
"...recei ved a call fromthe reporter, he was drunk and tol d me he been drinking. Advi ce was again given by me 16:16 regar di ng wel fare."

Now, that appears to be noted in Garda Keogh's diary. Perhaps we could look at just 13338. If we go over the
page there, if we perhaps look on the left-hand side first of all. If we scroll down the page there. wednesday, the 18th:
"Sick drink. "

He has a reference to ringing Superintendent McBrien and then ringing the AC's office. Then, on the top of the next page, for the 19th, he says he is still drinking there. Your note that you were cross-examined 16:17 about shows that you phoned Superintendent Murray. Could I ask you to look at Superintendent Murray's note, at page 16210? The 19th November:
"Call to D/ Superintendent Mul cahy re car checks. He 16:18 tol d me Garda Keogh phoned himlast ni ght drunk, criticising me."

The reference there to car checks, can you recall the context in which that might have been discussed between 18:18 the two of you, at that point in time?
A. I don't think it has anything to do with this investigation. From my memory, I think what it was, it was a matter that Pat Murray was investigating a member in relation to shoplifting and the member had a car and 16:18 he asked me to check the number of the car because I would have had --

859 Q. An entirely separate matter?
A. Totally separate, yeah, absolutely. Nothing to do with
this investigation.
860 Q. In any event, you told him about Garda Keogh?
A. Yes.

861 Q. And how he was?
A. Yeah.

862 Q. That was part of your normal reporting to him in relation to his welfare?
A. As I say, in fairness to Pat Murray, well, I would spend a lot of time in Athlone outside of the investigation because our original meetings would be on 16:19 there and it's a forum where you would meet. In fairness to him, he always brought it up and he would ask me how was Nick Keogh getting on, you know.
863 Q. Yes. Mr. Murphy opened your report to the Commissioner in relation to the substantive investigations on foot of a request made by the Commissioner for an update, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah, it would be Commissioner ó Cualáin's report.
Q. I think you had previously been asked separately for an update into the welfare contact that you had with him and you furnished a report on the 3rd September. Could we look at page 10946, just so you can confirm that. This is in 2015. That sets out on that page and on the following page, the details of your contact with Garda Keogh, concerning your welfare calls with him, isn't that correct?
A. Correct.

MR. MEGU NESS: Thank you, detective superintendent.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Very good. Thank you very much. You're free to go. Obviously you're welcome to come back if you want to but thanks very much for your assistance. Very good.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

CHA RMAN Okay, tomorrow. Thank you very much.
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| 133:18, 149:1, | possible [9]-14:23, | 21:26, 41:4, 48:27 | proposing [1] - 93:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:26, 120:5, } \\ & \text { 123:15, 161:5, 171:21 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 163:12, 167:24 <br> PLACE [1] - $4: 9$ <br> placing [1] - 176:28 <br> plant [3]-34:26, | 33:14, 77:6, 95:22, | 50:7, 50:26, 59:7, | propping [1] - 143:9 | $123: 15,161: 5,171: 21$ |
|  | 133:19, 141:19, | $86: 25,89: 18,100: 4$ | prosecute [1] - 85:21 | putting [8]-19:9, <br> 49:11, 95:15, 97:25, |
|  | 147:17, 163:25, 167:5 possibly [5] - 42:12, | 103:4 <br> previously [11] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prosecuted [1] - } \\ & \text { 27:28 } \end{aligned}$ | 105:13, 111:25, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:12, } 118: 14 \\ & \text { planting }[4]-71: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 113:1, 128:13, $128: 16,129: 21$ | $70: 9,89: 24,90: 4$, $90: 5,92 \cdot 8,132: 11$ | prosecution [7] - | 114:25, 157:16 |
| $\begin{gathered} 71: 9,71: 11,71: 16 \\ \text { play }[1]-83: 6 \\ \text { playing }[2]-49: 10, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 128:16, 129:21 } \\ \text { potential [5] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 5,92: 8,132: 11 \\ & \text { 151:22, 166:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 10,25: 11,49: 6 \\ & 61: 16,70: 1,146: 8 \end{aligned}$ | Q |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:22, 143:23, } \\ & \text { 145:29, 151:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 166:11, 172:9, 181:19 } \\ \text { Prince [1] - } 99: 10 \end{gathered}$ | 177:2 <br> Prosecutions [1] - |  |
| 83:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168:19 } \\ & \text { potentially }[2] \end{aligned}$ | printed [1] - 179:12 <br> private [6] - 16:27, | $54: 3$ | 164:2 |
| 42:9, 44:6, 45:16 | $31: 29,102: 10$ | 26:1, 33:1, 122:17 | $63: 11$ | quantity [1] - 176:21 <br> quarter [1] - 157:22 |
| $48: 5,48: 6,51: 8$, $76: 17$ 170:8 | POWER [1] - 4:10 | $122: 19,125: 8$ | protagonists [1] - | QUAY [3] - 2:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76: 17,170: 8 \\ & \text { point }[39]-16: 18, \end{aligned}$ | powers [1] - 145:2 <br> practice [5] - 43:24 | pro [1] - 46:24 <br> problem [24] - 12:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:25 } \\ & \text { PROTECTED } \end{aligned}$ | $2: 31,4: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25: 26,25: 29,29: 14, \\ & 30: 7,33: 29,38: 19, \end{aligned}$ | 107:23, 110:26, | 16:1, 44:5, 44:7, | 1:2, 1:3 | 23:27, 36:12 |
| $53: 9,58: 8,58: 12$ | $116: 28,152: 4$ | $48: 23,61: 24,64: 22$ 89:26. 96:19. 96:21. | protected [2] - | questioning [4] |
|  | $35: 24,99: 5$ | 110:21, 114:7, 114:9, | 104:21, 160: | 23:16, 23:24, 24:8, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 97: 8,102: 15,105: 21 \\ & 107: 16,108: 9 \end{aligned}$ | preferred [3]-58:15, | 114:13, 114:27, | $8: 17,40: 15,50: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:24 } \\ & \text { questions [16] - } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $58: 22,113: 1$ | 117:15, 117:17, | $66: 13,85: 10,142: 9,$ | 24:27, 130:21, 133:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:25, 114:24, } \\ & \text { 127:4, 131:8, 131:12 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { preliminary } \\ & \text { 146:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:18, 120:19, } \\ & \text { 125:7, 133:5, 139: } \end{aligned}$ | 142:21, 142:25 provider [3] - 49:2 | $135: 15,135: 26$ |
| 134:22, 136:13, | premise | 168:28 | $86: 10,94: 15$ | 136:23, 139:26, |
| $137: 15,142: 1$, $148: 11,149: 2$, | 168:12, 168:16 | problematic [1] - | providers [3] | 144:10, 144:12, <br> 144:14, 145:3, 147:2, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:11, 149:2, } \\ & \text { 150:11, 159:17, } \end{aligned}$ | prepare [2] - 19:18, | $45: 24$ | $37: 19,86: 13,137: 18$ | 154:29, 162:10, |
| 161:27, 163:1, 163:3, <br> 165:28, 167:5, 169:9, | $54: 6$ | procedurally [1] - | provision [1] - 53:20 | 162:25, 173:27 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { prepared [8]-19:15 } \\ \text { 19:17, 19:27, 20:4, } \end{gathered}$ | 143:6 proce | provisions [1]- | quick [1] - 103:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 178:28, 180:21 } \\ & \text { pointed }[6]-46: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $20: 14,23: 23,75: 20$ | $23: 19,95: 1,126: 21$ | 36:2 | Quinn [2]-62:8, |
|  | 132:16 | 172:24 | psychiatric [1] - | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 62: 18 \\ \text { QUINN } \\ \text { [1] }-3: 11 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:17, 100:3, 105:7, } \\ & 114: 17,121: 10 \end{aligned}$ | presence [1] - | proceed [3]-8:12, | $157: 8$ | quite [9] - 74:8, |
| 114:17, 121:10 points [5] - 46:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:18 } \\ & \text { present [6] - 23:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 21,58: 2 \\ & \text { process }[7]-21: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { psychotic [1] - } \\ & 157 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $93: 25,115: 24,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 81: 29,151: 17,156: 5 \\ & 165: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 38:21, 49:28, 109:16, | 95:6, 95:10, 97:13, | Public [1] - 54: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:11, 121:22, } \\ & \text { 126:23, 134:3, } 135 \end{aligned}$ |
| police [1] - 46:7 <br> policeman [1] - | $\begin{gathered} 154: 25,158: 1 \\ \text { presented [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 125:24, 167:12, 172:2 } \\ \text { produced }[3]-24: 6, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pulled [1] - 56:17 } \\ & \text { Pulse [12] - 21:11, } \end{aligned}$ | quotes [1] - 164:29 |
| $57:$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:17, 128:3 } \\ & \text { preserved }[1]-90: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84:24, 89:27 } \\ & \text { professional [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 20,70: 16,70: 18, \\ & 96: 16,96: 28,176: 9 \end{aligned}$ | R |
| 43:13 | 1:13, 2:3 | progress [5] - 12 |  |  |
| Portumna [5] - | press [1]-61:13 | 63:10, 65:10, 146:19, | 179:1 | rafter [1] - 176:22 |
| 80:12, 81:15, 102:25, | pressure [4]-9:17, | 148:12 | purpose [6] - 39:20, | raise [3]-133:29, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:9, 136:20 } \\ & \text { position [18]-17:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 168:26, 169:8, 172:26 } \\ \text { presumably [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { progressed [1] - } \\ & \text { 166:19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 4,89: 3,161: 14 \\ & 169: 3,174: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:20, 134:21 } \\ & \text { raised [10] - 14:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 25:29, 26:5, 34:5, | 54:5, 81:25 | progressing [2] - | purposes [1] - 174:8 | $15: 4,18: 16,31: 24$ |
| 35:2, 48:29, 49:1, | presume [4]-57:6, | 25:8, 143:17 | pursued [1] - 143:23 | 82:20, 149:2, 164:16, |
| 57:21, 68:16, 69:29, | 64:5, 120:17, 154:4 | progression [1] - | push [1] - 42:24 | $171: 4,171: 9,175: 20$ |
| 99:7, 109:7, 118:19, | presumed [3]-46:2, | 165:29 | put [33]-21:11, | raising [1] - 18:8 |




| 99:21, 181:21 | shortly [4]-19:1, | 94:17, 124:22, 145:17 | 103:25, 107:2, 107:4, | starting [2] - 136:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sequence $[7]$ - | 60:4, 108:2, | six [16]-28:26, | 111:13, 113:24 | 175 |
| 32:15, 52:18, 103:8, <br> 126:10, 126:22 | hot [2] - 51:7, 76:16 | 34:15, 56:15, 59:14, 67:26, 79:20, 98:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:14, 120:12, } \\ & \text { 121:8, 126:28, 129:1, } \end{aligned}$ | rts [1] - 54:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 126: 10,126: 22, \\ & 152: 14,174: 23 \end{aligned}$ | show [4]-33:26, | $98: 19,100: 6,102: 25$ | 136:11, 138:29, | 119:5 |
| SERGEANT ${ }_{[5]}$ - | 40:23, 42:29, 170:19 | 103:16, 105:29, | 150:3, 152:21, | STATE ${ }_{[1]}-3: 27$ |
| 3:13, 3:20, 4:6, 4:6, | wed [2] - 119:18, | 115:10, 138:15 | 154:23, 157:21 | State [11]-31:27 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:7 } \\ & \text { sergeant }[1]-117: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:21 } \\ & \text { showing }[1]-179: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:16 } \\ & \text { size }[8]-121: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 157:23 } \\ & \text { sort [2] - 28:2, } \end{aligned}$ | 32:2, 32:4, 32:10, |
| Sergeant [8]-112:4, | hown [18] - 112 | 121:25, 122:1, 122:4, | 124:20 | 3:28, 111:8, 1 |
| 115:29, 117:5, 117:6, | 120:27, 121:15, | 122:6, 122:7, 122:8, | d [1] - 91 | 178 |
| 117:7, 117:9, 171:21, | 136:7, 138:5, 139:27, | 122:1 | sought [9]-87:25 | stated.. [1] - 10, |
| 171:25 | 146:10, 148:13, | 26:1 | 87:29, 91:6, 137:1 | statement [66] |
| geants [1] - 32:3 | 154:2, 158:1 | ght ${ }_{[1]}-126: 2$ | 149:4, 165:14, | 6:14, 8:18, 19:28, |
| serious [8]-29:14, | 159:28, 161:28 | ghtest $\left.{ }^{11}\right]$ - 66:20 | 165:16, 166:7, 166:11 | 20:7, 20:18, 22:28, |
| 29:19, 30:23, 81:4, | 163:7, 164:10, 165:6, | lightly [6] - 89:25 | sounds [1] - 128:10 | :10, 23:11, 23:22 |
| 107:15, 150:12, | 166:3, 166:26, 179:6 | 97:1, 125:27, 152:13, | urce ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:23 | 5:23, 35:10, 40:15, |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 163:19, } 164: 22 \\ \text { serve }[1]-38: 19 \end{array}$ | shows [1]-180:11 <br> sick $[14]$ - 8:24, 9:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 163:8, 174:25 } \\ & \text { slow }[1]-103: 12 \end{aligned}$ | space [1]-41:4 <br> speaking [2] - 70:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 13,52: 14,52: 15, \\ & 52: 16,52: 29,53: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| ved ${ }_{[1]}-58: 10$ | 9:16, 10:8, 12:14 | mall [1] - 176:2 | 71:1 | 5:16, 55:24, 56:3 |
| service [5]-16:11, | 12:15, 15:26, 15:2 | $\mathrm{H}_{[1]}-3: 22$ | specific [2]-142:20, | 56:7, 78:10, 80:20 |
| 61:17, 61:18, 62:16, | 16:2, 50:5, 58:29, | SMITHFIELD ${ }_{[2]}$ | 148: | 80:29, 81:3, 83:1 |
| 137:18 | 138:28, 139:2, 180:5 | 2:25, 4:13 | eecifically [3] - | 8:21, 83:25, 88:25, |
| Services [1]-1:24 | sickness [1] - 138:25 | SMS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 159:5 | 6:22, 118:3, 160:21 | 91:21, 101:5, 102:20, |
| SERVICES ${ }_{[1]}-1: 29$ | side [10] - 12:25, | ciety [1]-143:12 | ecified [1] - 6:29 | 5:18, 105:2 |
| services [4] - 17:8, | 24:6, 56:18, 128:28, | [1]-2:2 | peed [1] - 120:20 | 105:26, 106:8 |
| 17:11, 158:28, 159:10 | 130:9, 136:24, | olicitor [10]-20:13, | spend [2]-103:13, | 106:13, 106:1 |
| serving [3] - 111:27, | 136:25, 143:13, 180:1 | 22:29, 23:26, 94:4, | 181:9 | 106:29, 107:14, |
| 114:11, 114:12 | des [1] - 116:24 | 95 | spoken [10]-45:18, | 07:20, 109:1 |
| set [4]-19:7, 104:29, | sight [5]-16:22, | 98:23, 167:9, 167:10 | 48:8, 48:10, 48:12 | 109:26, 112:28, |
| 105:2, 148:1 | 26:2, 90:20, 151:2, | Solicitor's [1] - 111:8 | 48:14, 48:15, 90:19 | 112:29, 114:7, |
| sets [2]-170:27, | 169 | SOLICITOR'S ${ }_{[1]}$ | 125:29, 142:8, 151:22 | 117:27, 127:13 |
| 181:23 | sighting ${ }_{[1]}$ - 174:26 | 3:27 | spotted [1] - 123:28 | 133:9, 134:4, 134:28, |
| setting [1] - 25:21 | signed [2]-24:7, | LICIT | squad [6]-112:21, | 35:2, 136:25 |
| several [2]-142:4, | 107:14 | 2:12, 2:18, 2:23, 2:31, | 113:5, 113:8, 113:11 | 137:14, 137:24 |
| 148:28 | significance [6] - | 8, 4:11 | 113:12, 114:11 | 138:3, 138:9, 140:22, |
| SHANE [1] - 3:23 | 18:27, 27:18, 27:19, | solicitous [1] - 139:8 | quare [1] - 118:7 | 44:22, 148:1 |
| SHANKEY ${ }_{[1]}-3: 22$ | 53:13, 89:6, 89:12 | omeone [7] - 79:11, | aff ${ }_{[1]}$ - 117:16 | 170:21, 174:6 |
| SHANKEY-SMITH | significant []]-8:2, | 82:2, 82:4, 93:8, | ge [32]-13:29, | 75:10, 176:17, |
|  | 53:20, 110:21 | 94:11, 103:27, 116:27 | 16:9, 20:17, 25:20, 25:22. 28:23. 33:14 | 179:21 <br> statements [33]- |
| SHANNON ${ }_{[1]}-2: 13$ <br> shape [3]-122:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { signing }[2]-175: 26, \\ & 176: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { someplace }{ }_{[1]}- \\ & \text { 177:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 22,28: 23,33: 14 \\ & 37: 5,64: 20,79: 14, \end{aligned}$ | statements [33] - <br> 34:1, 34:5, 34:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { shape [3]-122:14, } \\ & \text { 132:20, 177:13 } \end{aligned}$ | silence [1] - 145:19 | ometime [4] | 80:1, 81:3, 81:1! | $35: 21,56: 11,91: 26,$ |
| share ${ }_{[1]}$ - 173:8 | similar [3] - 70:6, | 16:12, 29:29, 49:4 | 82:27, 83:9, 87: | 91:27, 106:9, 108:29, |
| sharp [1] - 45:15 | 71:28, 134:13 | 88:21 | $87: 24,95: 22,96: 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:6, 109:22, } \\ & \text { 109:26, 109:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| Shatter ${ }_{[1]}-46: 13$ SHEAHAN $[1]-3: 19$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { simple }[4]-83: 4, \\ 98: 2,105: 13,111: 25 \end{gathered}$ | sometimes [1] - 41:2 <br> somewhat [2]-22:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:16, 107:29, } \\ & \text { 108:3, 113:9, 140:20, } \end{aligned}$ | 109:29, 110:15, |
| SHEAHAN ${ }_{[1]}-3: 19$ shed [1] - 70:21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 98:2, 105:13, 111:25 } \\ \text { sincere }[1]-61: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { somewhat }[2]-22: 6, \\ & 59: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:3, 113:9, 140:20, } \\ & \text { 146:3, 146:24, } \end{aligned}$ | 110:19, 110:26, |
| shed [1] - 70:21 <br> sheets [1]-176:12 | single [2] - 159:26, | $\text { soon }[2]-14: 2$ | 148:13, 160:5, 164:7, | 113:23, 114:2, 114:5, |
| SHELLEY [1] - 3:25 | 179:2 | 42:15 | 164:15, 167:21, 176:5 | , 117:23, |
| $\text { SHIP }_{[1]}-3: 28$ | NÉAD ${ }_{[1]}-4: 8$ | sorry [45]-9:21, | stamped $[1]-69: 14$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 118: 4,118: 9,129: 8 \\ 133: 12,133: 22, \end{array}$ |
| shite' [1] - 9:25 | SINéAD ${ }_{[1]}-2: 8$ | $15: 19,16: 6,25: 17,$ | $\text { standard }[3]-85: 26,$ 152:4, 172:24 | 140:17, 141:8, |
| shoes [1]-13:5 | SIO ${ }_{[1]}-82: 23$ sit $[3]-65: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 15,28: 16,33: 5, \\ & 41: 22,43: 17,47: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 152:4, 172:24 standing [1] - | 150:24, 151:9, |
| shoot [3] - 43:5, $51: 8,76: 16$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sit }[3]-65: 27, \\ 105: 25,162: 29 \end{array}$ | 48:2, 55:26, 59:17 | $\text { start }[7]-41: 3,2$ | 153:15, 177:22 |
| 51:8, 76:16 <br> shooting [1] - 46:28 | $\text { siting }[1]-50: 1$ | $69: 28,71: 10,77: 26$ | $58: 2,63: 28,70: 6,$ | stating [2]-42:17, |
| shoplifting [1] - | sitting [3]-75:13, | 78:21, 78:24, 86:23 | 79:17, 162:18 |  |
| 180:25 | 158:4, 168:28 | 87:6, 89:1, 89:25, | started [8]-6:12, | $\begin{gathered} \text { Station [23]-31:8, } \\ \text { 31:17, 44:11, 74:20, } \end{gathered}$ |
| short [4] - 40:17, <br> $55 \cdot 25,63 \cdot 1,173$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { situation }[7]-21: 16, \\ & 65: 21,72: 17,72: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 90: 2,98: 1,98: 20 \\ 99: 23,102: 28,103: 2, \end{array}$ | 26:29, 40:26, 50:11, <br> 58:7, 58:9, 74:11 | 102:3, 109:2, 109:28, |
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