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THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVG, ON VEDNESDAY, 4TH MARCH 2020:

MR. MARRI NAN Good morning, Chairman. The first witness today is Garda Fergal Greene, please.

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Good morning, Garda Greene.

THE WTNESS: Good morning, Chairman.

GARDA FERGAL GREENE, HAV NG BEEN SUDRN, WAS DI RECTLY-EXAM NED BY MR. MARRI NAN, AS FOLLONG:

THE WTNESS: Garda Fergal Greene, Judge, Ath1one Garda Station.
MR. MARN NAN Garda Greene's statement is at page 3819 and there is a supplemental statement at 7606 of the material. Garda Greene, if you wouldn't mind just giving us a brief outline of your career in An Garda Síochána to date, please.
A. Yes, Chairman. I joined An Garda Síochána in 2000 and I went to Castlebar Garda station as part of my training. I went from there to Longford Garda station, it was my first base. I worked in community policing, drugs units, detective units, traffic corps in Longford and, or in Mullingar, and then I transferred to Athlone 10:02 Garda Station and I worked on the regular unit there. I was attached to property management in Athlone station. And I am currently attached to the courts office, dealing with summonses and warrants.

2 Q. Garda Greene, your statement to the Tribunal, initial statement to the Tribunal is very short and you covered the matters that you considered might be relevant. But you have been mentioned in dispatches since then and I want to go over some matters with you, where you have arisen in the course of the evidence. Perhaps if, in the first instance, we could have Day 105 on the screen, of the Tribunal's hearings. This is the evidence of Garda Keogh. Mr. Barnes, if we could go to page 99, please, and if we go down to line 21. This is 10:04 a reference to you. He says:
"Basi cally, Judge, Garda Fergal Greene was the person who, when I broke trust first within the ranks of An Garda Sí ochána, to my memory. And I had to do that because he was in the storeroomwhere the particular DVD that I had to get -- I was goi ng nowhere until । got the, as I said, primary pi ece of evidence. So I had to break trust with Fergal, or confide in him sorry, is the right word. I had to say, look, this is the story, I have to do this, I need to get that and I need it to be done above board. How will we do it? He sai d, because you're the investigating officer, you're entitled to sign out, sign out that, at the time, vi deo, which I did, and then when I was finished with it, I got it copi ed on to DVDs, si gned it back in accordi ngly. So I was goi ng nowhere without Garda Greene, J udge, my compl ai nt or none of this was going anywhere without Garda Greene. "

Do you see that?
A. I do, Chairman, yes.

3 Q. Were you aware that evidence has been given before I just opened it to you?
A. I am aware of that evidence, correct, yeah.

4 Q. And what do you say in relation to it?
A. I don't agree with it fully in its entirety. Basically, Garda Keogh asked me, informed me that he wanted to get a DVD from the property store. I had my suspicions, kind of, from talking to him, I kind of felt there was something going on.
5 Q. Suspicions of what?
A. We11, I felt that he wanted to get that DVD in -- he seemed adamant that he wanted to get that DVD. He mentioned it to me, that there was some wrongdoing or something in it and he needed to look at it.

6 Q. Yes. All right.
A. I didn't know -- that was at a very, very early stage. I didn't know exactly what direction he was going with it or was he just doing it to try and box off something in relation to his work, maybe that he made a mistake or whatever. I wasn't fully sure at that stage what actually was going on. What I did say was that any investigating member is entitled to a DVD in relation to -- if they are the investigating member in relation to the case, they are entitled to get the DVD from the property store. But the same applies for everyone, they have to go through the proper procedures in order
for that to be released. Garda Keogh in relation to this was no different to any other member. Lots of other members, at that time while I was in the property, had got DVDs from the property. They had to apply in writing. It had to be signed out on the PEMS system, and it had to be signed back in when they were finished with it.
7 Q. Yes. If we could just go to page 3822, because we are able to put a date on this. This was a statement which you made on 10th June 2014. I think that that was to the ó Cualáin investigation, is that right?
A. That's correct, Chairman.

8 Q. If we scroll down there to the second line of the statement, we see:
"On 18th February 2014 I recei ved a typed report from Garda Nick Keogh, requesting copy of vi deo number..."

And it gives the number, which was an interview that was held in Athlone Garda Station regarding a particular individual.

And then accompanying that, if we could go to page 3823 of the material, and we scroll down, we see it's stamped 18th February 2014. It's a short statement from Garda Keogh. He says:
"I amthe investigating member in a case invol ving..."

We know the name of the person.
"....an intervi ew. Request copy of [vi deo number]."

And that is signed by Garda Keogh.

Then if we could just go to page 3824, it seems to be a log and it concerns the working tape. It's the same number. And it indicates that -- sorry, if we turn it around. It indicates that it was returned on 30th April 2014. And those were documents that you produced to the ó Cualáin investigation. But what I am really asking you in relation to this, because there's no doubt that you signed it in -- or signed it out and then it came back in and that there was a written request for it from Garda Keogh. But he is going is little bit further than that in the statement that he has made on it to the Tribunal. He said that he confided in you as to why he wanted it, and you were the one who told him, well, you're entitled to it in any event; in other words, you don't have to give me a reason because you're the investigating garda. Do you recall that conversation with him?
A. I do recall us having a conversation and my recollection of it is, and, as I am sure you can appreciate, it is some time back, but my recollection of it is that he informed me that he wanted to get a DVD from the property store. He indicated to me that he felt that something wasn't right in relation to the
interview or in relation to the investigation and that he needed the DVD in order to clarify whether there was mistakes made or whether there wasn't. That was at a very early -- at a very early stage. I mean, this was at the beginning of all this. And that's my recollection of it. He did outline that he felt there was a problem or whatever. But I had nothing to do with the case in relation to why he wanted the DVD, so I didn't know the ins and outs of it. I was attached to the property store and it was my job to sign stuff out and sign stuff in when people wanted it. And he did highlight there was a problem, but the ins and outs of it I wasn't aware of, because I didn't have any involvement in the case.
9 Q. okay. If we just move on then, if we could have page 13260 up on the screen, please. And if we could scroll around, this is Garda Keogh's diary. If we look at the entry there for the 10th June, we see there:
"22: 35 call fromFergal Greene. I nformed himabout
Li am MEHugh compl ai nt. He tol d me Li am MEHugh approached himto say he is under pressure to make a compl ai nt agai nst a garda re theft of €4, 500. Di dn't know about -- "

I am not sure what that word is. But in any event -- I can't make that out. But in any event, do you recall that conversation on the 10th June?
A. I don't, to be honest. And it would be very unfair of
me to either accept it or deny it, because I don't have any full recollection of it. In relation to Liam McHugh approaching me in relation to information, Liam McHugh would be a person that would, you know, approach guards on a regular basis. It's quite possible he did approach me. It's possible, and he could have said that to me. I certainly know I wouldn't have rang Garda Keogh to give him this information. But in the course of a conversation where he rang me, I may have said that. It would be unfair of me to deny it or accept it. I just don't remember. But it is possible that we had that conversation. It is possible. Liam McHugh, you know, in his own evidence he does say he knows me, he would be on the streets of Athlone every day of the week and he would make it his business to come up and talk to you about things.
10 Q. Well, surely you'd remember a conversation that you had with him where he said that he was under pressure to make a complaint against a garda concerning $€ 4,500$ ?
A. Em, you would imagine I would.

11 Q. Yes, you would.
A. You would imagine I would. But with my hand on my heart, I don't, I can't say one hundred percent. Liam McHugh would have had several conversations with me throughout the years. It doesn't stand out, but I wouldn't like to deny it, because I'm not sure.
12 Q. We11, if we go to the 10th June and this conversation. Garda Keogh gave evidence in relation to this at Day 115 , if we could perhaps have it up on the screen.

Mr. Barnes, if we could go to page 39, and if we scroll down to question 173. This is where the entry is put to him:
"Okay. You see the next day you have an entry for the $10: 15$ 10th, could you read that out for us?"

Then he read out the entry that $I$ have just read to you. Then if we scroll over the page and we go to line 7, he says:
"Yeah, because l obvi ously was getting docurents down about my -- what conversation did I have with Liam MkHugh in the last three months. Li ke I knew-- and I was obvi ously, Judge, trying to find out what the hell is going on, what was this about. So, em Garda Green woul d know a fair bit of what was going on. Like, he'd know a lot of stuff. I just would have asked him did you hear anything about it."

Now, do you recall the issue of Liam McHugh --
A. I do.

13 Q. -- becoming an issue, as it were --
A. I do.

14 Q. -- in early June of 2014?
A. I do.

15 Q. And what did you know about it at that time?
A. There was some rumours circulating that there was some issue with money going missing or something like that
in relation to Liam McHugh, or something to that effect.
16 Q. Where did you hear from that?
A. It would have been general conversation in the station. I can't remember who said it first of all.
17 Q. Do you recall Garda Keogh mentioning it to you?
A. Em, I think, yes, he possibly did.

18 Q. All right. So we can identify that there was a conversation --
A. Yeah.

19 Q. -- between the two of you. He raised the issue of Liam McHugh?
A. Yeah.

20 Q. Is that right?
A. It's quite -- as I said, it's quite possible. I'm not disputing that I had this conversation with Garda Keogh.
21 Q. It's not a matter of disputing it.
A. Yes.

22 Q. It's a matter of whether or not you recall the conversation.
A. I can't say I recall the conversation. I know definitely that Garda Keogh would have mentioned Liam McHugh to me at some point. I have no reason to dispute what Garda Keogh is saying in relation to this. 10:17 It's quite possible. But I would be -- I wouldn't be telling you the very truth from my heart if I was to say I remember distinctly the conversation, but I am not disputing it. I have no reason to dispute what

Garda Keogh is saying in relation to this matter.
23 Q. You told me a moment saying that you recall that there was a conversation?
A. He did mention Liam McHugh to me, there's no doubt.

24 Q. You recall that he mentioned Liam McHugh?
A. He did, yes.
Q. All right. He then goes on to say:
"I would just have asked him did he hear anything about it. He heard something on the lines of something 10:18 to do with a theft of $€ 4,500$, but that's all I know, you know. "
A. Yeah.

26 Q. okay? So he is recorded in his notebook --
A. Yeah.

27 Q. -- that you told him that you had met Liam McHugh, all right?
A. Yeah.

28 Q. And that Liam McHugh had raised this with you.
A. Mm.

29 Q. And said that he was under pressure, all right --
A. Yeah.

30 Q. -- to make a complaint concerning the theft of 4,500 and the complaint was to be against a Garda, okay?
A. Yes.

31 Q. Does that assist you in your memory of this?
A. Em, I am trying to be as honest with you here as can I.

32 Q. Yes.
A. Liam McHugh would have often spoken to me and it's
quite possible that he said this. I do remember Garda Keogh mentioning about Liam McHugh to me. I do. There's no doubt. I am not disputing that whatsoever. And it is possible that I did say that. I am not disputing it whatsoever. But if you are asking me with 10:19 my hand on my heart to say, do you remember the full contents of that conversation in relation to this, $I$ can't say $I$ do, but $I$ am not disputing this at a11. I just can't put my hand on my heart, remember the full ins and outs of it. But $I$ do remember that he did mention Liam McHugh to me. I do remember that this scenario or this rumour going around in relation to this 4,5000, and, as I said before, Liam McHugh, you wouldn't be walking in the door of the station and he'd be over and he could be mentioning lots of different things to you. So it's quite possible. I have no reason to dispute what Garda Keogh has said and that's as far as $I$ can go. But $I$ have no reason to dispute it.
33 Q. Yes. So we will move on then into January of 2016. If 10:19 we could have page 2529 up on the screen, please. Yes. This is a note of a conversation that Superintendent Murray has with you. He says:
"Garda Greene called to my office to tell me he had a I ong conversation with Garda Keogh who phoned him"

Were you reporting back to Superintendent Murray your conversations with Garda Keogh?
A. If I can just, Chairman, just explain this situation regarding the conversations and there's been a lot of talk about it and a lot of discussions about it here. And so be it. I was faced with a situation -- to just
give -- I had my own difficulties in the past in, not in relation to anybody that's before this Tribunal. I had my own difficulties within the job, which thankfully resolved themselves with change of management and with time. Garda Keogh was fully aware that I had my difficulties. My difficulties were in relation to someone that he had difficulties with. And we were both at one in relation to that. And that's to be clear.

When Garda Keogh set out on this road that he went on in relation to this, at the beginning I understood his issues and his grievances and all that went with it and I knew he was having a tough time and all of that. And I knew there was -- you know, some of it needed to be investigated and it warranted to be investigated, because, as pointed out, I did make a statement. I have made other statements to the Ó Cualáin inquiry and I had my own views in relation to what was going on and I knew that it needed to be looked at. But as things took off in relation to this and when it started to to take on a life of its own. I was worried for my own -- my own career and everything in An Garda Síochána. I took phone calls from Garda Keogh on a regular basis,
but as time went on there was stuff being said to me that I was worried about. He felt his phone was tapped. Through his own direct-evidence he accepted that trust had broken down between us in relation to a matter that I told him confidentially and it ended up coming into the public arena. So trust had broken down between us.

However, I didn't want to turn my back on him. On several occasions, both Chief Superintendent Murray and 10:23 Superintendent Minnock had always said, you know what I mean, people need to be there for him, to support him, to not turn their back on him. I tried to be there as best I could, so did Sergeant Haran, among others. And I received phone calls from Garda Keogh at any time of the night, sometimes he would have drink on board, more times he'd be sober. And some of the things he was telling me were worrying me and it was constantly in the back of his head, if his phone is tapped and he's saying these things to me, am I being set up? I didn't 10:24 know what was going on.

I often received a call from him late at night. You have to bear in mind, Chairman, that Garda Keogh at this time had several -- there was a few different phone numbers. So you couldn't keep up with it, because some of the time I didn't know who was ringing until I answered the phone, because he had different numbers. So, what naturally I do, I'm sure we all do
it, is when you receive a call from an unknown number you ring it back. And that's what I would have done, and that's how we would have ended up having a conversation.

I don't want to stop you, but we don't need to go into the intricate details of that, because my understanding from Garda Keogh's evidence is that he is not critical of you in any sense?
A. No.

And Superintendent Murray isn't critical of you in any sense in relation to --
A. No, I am just trying to -- if you just allow me to -Certainly.
CHAN RMAN If you want to explain. Sorry, Mr. Marrinan. If you want to explain, explain away.
This is an inquiry.
THE WTNESS: Yeah. I just want to, if you don't mind. CHA RMAN I understand. Set the scene, set the context, whatever it is.
THE WTNESS: Yeah, because this is very important, that I get this clear.
CHA RMAN okay. so different numbers and you wouldn't be sure --
A. So there was different numbers and there was different -- it could be any time of the night, it could be any time, it could be any time. And sometimes they were numbers I had for him and I knew it was him that was ringing. And sometimes I didn't answer. The reason I didn't answer was because I said to myself, oh no, what
am I going to be told this time or where are we going to finish up with all of this? Because it played on me, my mind a lot. But I wouldn't be the type to not ring him back, because I always feared, if anything happens him and I don't answer the phone or whatever, I 10:26 feared for his health, you know, the man was going through a hard time. I feared for -- you know, I was worried in case something happened. If I don't ring him back and something happens, $I$ won't be able to live
with this.

So I did ring him back whenever he would ring me. He was giving me information and he was saying things and the one sad out thing about all of this and these conversations was, that I noticed all along and as time 10:27 moved on further in the situation he was becoming more riled up, he was becoming more angry, more agitated, he didn't -- I felt, through the conversations, that he was being fed misinformation, that people were edging him on for their own agendas and that no one had any account or any understanding of what they were doing. They were driving him out over the edge.

I could see this going on. I didn't know what to do. I didn't know where to turn. Chief Superintendent there at the time, now Superintendent Minnock. I had trust -- I had known Superintendent Minnock for the years prior to Chief Superintendent Murray arriving, I
had trust in him. I had to tell someone what was going on. And it wasn't out of disloyalty or anything like that, because to this day $I$ would take a phone call from him if he was on the end of the phone and he was in difficulty. But I didn't know where to turn and I didn't know what to do with this information, because some of it was serious and I didn't know where it was going to finish up. This thing was snowballing at a hundred mile an hour. And there was stuff coming out in the media, every side, some of it was right, some of it wasn't right.

Through a11 of that time and all of these discussions I done my best to advise him as best I could to concentrate on his allegations that he set out with at the very beginning in relation to the ó Cualáin inquiry and stick with what he started out with. But for whatever reason or for whatever other -- people were saying to him or whatever else, the thing expanded and he felt that other people were after him and people were going to do this, that and the other. The man didn't know where to go or where -- he just was just being steered in so many directions, 1 felt, and he was getting so much misinformation, some of it wasn't always right. And people were using him for their own agendas, which was an absolute disgrace.
37 Q. MR. MARR NAN Yes. I mean, I think that there was a balance in relation to your interactions with senior management in relation to these matters because we're
told by Superintendent McBrien that on 10th June 2014 you drew her attention to the fact that Garda A was asking questions about the investigation team and that she requested Sergeant Eamon Curley to do a report in relation to that. Do you remember that?
A. Vaguely, yes. Yeah.

So if we could just go back to the note, we see here, we will just go through it briefly:
"Garda Greene called to my office to tell me he had a I ong conversation with Garda Keogh who phoned him Garda Keogh tol d himhe was trying to get something on Superintendent Murray and thought he had something he heard about himand cl aims was going to get Daly and Wallace to mention it in the Dáil in effort to danage me and my reputation. However, he found out late it was not true and so coul dn't run with it. Was very di sappoi nted but will keep trying. Tol d Garda Greene to tell Garda Keogh I was not his enemy but was concerned for his welfare and I felt he should take the 10:31 CMO s advice and go for the residential treatment for al cohol and per haps then move fromAthl one so whi stlebl ower matters might not bring him down agai $n$. Garda Greene said he agreed and Garda Keogh goi ng to stay sick and was getting counselling, but Garda Greene 10:31 felt that woul dn't work."

Do you recall that conversation?
A. I do.

39 Q. Is the general thrust of that correct?
A. It is correct. Chief Superintendent Murray on several occasions had said to me that he wasn't Garda Keogh's enemy and that he didn't have -- that he felt, you know that he was being targeted and it was kind of unfair and that, you know, he wasn't his enemy, he was just trying to do whatever he could. Garda Keogh had a different view of it. The interactions was between the two of them, so I was trying to say to Garda Keogh, look, always remember that to sort any problem out you have to go back to the very beginning, and the beginning was your initial complaint. But now, yourself and Chief Superintendent Murray are into it. The show has moved on to Chief Superintendent Murray and you. I felt that he was taking his eye off the ball and the thing was expanding and there was no need. I've always felt that.

Garda Keogh is well aware of my opinion in relation to the way this things accelerated and went off the point, 10:32 that I felt was the big point, which was the very beginning. I know there was an investigation carried out, the best that was done, the file went to the DPP and all that, but this thing moved into an area between Chief Superintendent Murray and Garda Keogh and other people and there certainly was no need for any of it. A lot of it, $I$ felt, could have been avoided.

40 Q. We have heard evidence from Garda Keogh that, indeed, he had heard rumour in relation to Superintendent

Murray, that he had looked into it himself and discovered that it was false and he put that to bed. Do you remember that conversation with Garda Keogh?
A. I do, yeah, I do.

41 Q. Then if we could just go forward to the 19th May, page 781 please. These are the notes of now Superintendent Minnock. If we scroll down there, we will see the notes there.
"Met in corridor. Asked himif he was in contact with Garda Keogh. He sai d, yes, funny you shoul d ask."

He invited you into his office.
"He said Nick was on to hima fewtimes to meet and then rang fromdifferent numbers."

Well, you have told us that today.
"He said he keeps changing his mobile because Wilson
told himthey could be taping his calls. He said that Ni ck said that [blank] was on to himlooking for dirt on the Commissioner. Ni ck said they're goi ng to bring her down (Commissi oner Nói rín O Sullivan) and there is something big coming in the next few days. He said was on and the journalist Mck Clifford and Mck O Tool e.

Garda Greene sai d that Cl are Dal y and Mck hall ace were tal king to Nick on a regul ar basis and they're all l ooking for dirt. He said Nick is now focusing his attention on Nói rín (Commi ssi oner) because she is condoni ng what is going on and they want her gone. Garda Greene sai d that Ni ck was on to himto meet up. I said he shoul d meet Garda Keogh if he wants and see how he is. I had concerns for his welfare. Garda Greene sai d he would meet himand let me know how he' s doing. I said I'd appreci ate that."

That's a note that's already been opened to the Tribuna1. Do you agree with that note, is the general thrust of it correct?
A. I do.

42 Q. Garda Keogh takes issue in relation to some of this, insofar as he says that the actual language that was used might not necessarily be his. Could it be a situation where you're really repeating in your own --
A. Correct, yeah.

43 Q. -- 1ingo the thrust of what Garda Keogh was telling you?
A. More or less.

44 Q. Is that right?
A. More or less.

45 Q. There doesn't appear to be an awful lot between you in relation to that?
A. You see, this is kind of a point of what I made earlier. I mean, we started out with Garda Keogh and
his initial complaint and the next minute we found ourselves on to taking -- the Commissioner is being taken down and all this. That was never something that Garda Keogh set out in his initial -- when he set out initially to make his complaint to the ó Cualáin investigation and all that, there was no mention of any commissioners or anyone at a high level being taken down or any of this craic. This was excelled to taking down people at the top when other people became involved. His name was out there. His name was being mentioned in the Dáil. People started to jump on the bandwagon and start talking about wanting to take commissioners down and using Garda Keogh, as I see it, to do it.
46 Q. Then if we go on, we see there an entry for later on that day, at 15:45, Superintendent Minnock says he received a call from you, he stated he tried to ring Nick Keogh and that John wilson answered the phone and said that Nick wasn't available as he was in a meeting but he would pass on the message. Do you see there?
A. Yes.

47 Q. Do you remember that call?
A. I do. I do, yes.

48 Q. Then if we go to the next day, you will see there 20th May of 2016, it records that:
"Garda Greene rang me and told me that somet hing has arisen. I was off on annual leave and asked if Garda Greene could come and meet me to di scuss same with me.

He called to my house and di scussed that he had spoken to Garda Keogh and that Ni ck said that something bi g was coming and that they were going to bring the Commi ssi oner down. He mentioned that Maurice McCabe had told Ni ck Keogh that it was a named sergeant that was the person who was recorded on tape with another super i nt endent."

It's not a matter for this Tribunal, it was resolved elsewhere. So I am not going to open that. Do you recal1 that conversation as it's set out there?
A. I do. I do.

49 Q. And you don't take issue in relation to any of that?
A. No.

50 Q. If we could have then page 2532 up on the screen. This
is a conversation on the same day that Superintendent Murray has recorded. You viewed all these notes and entries, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

51 Q. Do you take issue with any of these matters that Superintendent Murray has recorded?
A. No, I don't. I think I mentioned in my statement that I don't remember exactly saying about the chief and Paddy Guinan calling but $I$ have no reason to dispute what Chief Superintendent Murray was saying. I
suppose, with respect, there was so many conversations, but it's quite possible $I$ said that. It's just a very small point that $I$ don't remember but I'm not disputing any of it.

52 Q. If we just control down in relation to it. You see there it says:
"Met Transparency Irel and with John Wilson. Story, Sun, Busi ness Post, three journal ists."

They are named there.
"And a gi rl who door stepped former Commi ssi oner
Callinan. Record that he had sent a letter to the M nister the previ ous day re the Athl one file."

Then he refers to:
"The Ó Cual ái $n$ commissi oner can't get a copy of file.
Wants to see report to DPP."

Do you recall having that conversation with Garda Keogh?
A. I do. Vaguely, yeah. I do. I do remember it, yeah. 10:41

53 Q. Then:
"Says Ó Cual ái $n$ watered it down. "

Do you see that?
A. I do.

54 Q. Do you recall what Garda Keogh said to you in that regard?
A. I do, yeah.

55 Q. Just tell us what it was that he said.
A. Well, he just felt that Commissioner ó Cualáin had watered down the findings in relation to whatever, the investigation that was carried out. I suppose at that time, I suppose Garda Keogh was kind of second guessing everyone. And that's understandable, I do get that, I understand that when you're in the middle of something, I was there myself before, you kind of question everything. It kind of goes part and parcel with having difficulties, for anyone, I suppose, in an organisation or in their own life, you start to second guess anything that anybody does. So I did understand that, where he was coming from. I couldn't comment because I hadn't seen it, so I didn't.
56 Q. And then if we could go to 10th October 2016, at page 2560, please. This is a conversation as recorded by Superintendent Murray of a conversation he says he had with you.
"Garda Greene care to my office circa 6: 00pm He di scussed whi stlebl ower cases and mention of his compl ai nt in newspapers."

Then he deals with matters that were of concern to you, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. At this, I suppose, particular time I was in the throws of my own difficulties in relation to a traffic accident, which I ultimately was cleared of in the end. The clear as day picture of it became
apparent in the very end, thankfully. But I had my own difficulties at the time and, you know, I understood where Nick was coming -- where Garda Keogh was coming from with some of this. I suppose where we were, we didn't -- we were never fully in agreement in relation to -- and Garda Keogh is well aware of this, in relation to Chief Superintendent Murray. we were in agreement with other stuff, but we weren't in relation to that. I think Garda Keogh went sick not long -within a few months of chief Superintendent Murray arriving in Athlone. And the misfortunate thing was that he wasn't around long enough. If he had been around a few months longer in Athlone, he would have seen that Chief Superintendent Murray had a certain style of management, whether people disagreed with it or agreed with it. He arrived and he introduced new policies, new guidelines, a whole different way of doing business. He was very firm on discipline. I seen several people being disciplined over different things. Something, I suppose, I hadn't seen to that extent in the past in my years up to that in Athlone. He had a style that if you done wrong there was no doubt you were going to be punished. He had a humane side to him, where you could talk to him if you had a difficulty or whatever and he had understandings of people in their own lives having difficulties and the devil knows what. But the sad thing was Garda keogh wasn't around long enough, working with chief Superintendent Murray, to see that the same -- it was
the same for everyone, regardless your rank or whatever, if you made a mistake and it was deliberate and it was wrong, you were going to be disciplined.

I think whatever difficulties they had when Chief Superintendent Murray arrived, I think Garda Keogh took the view, well, I am the only one that's getting punished or I'm the only one that's getting hammered here -- to speak -- to say -- and no one else is. But, in the months after that, there was people suspended. There was people suspended, there was people disciplined. There was lots of people being disciplined and suspended and the place was changing. whether people wanted it or not, there was a change. And I tried to explain that to him. I suppose he didn't fully understand it, and I can understand that, because he felt that he was being targeted in his own mind. I mean, I don't the full ins and outs of the interactions between them, but he felt that. But I did on several occasions try and say, look it, you're not here at the moment, everyone is getting it, if you make a mistake that's the way it is.

The problem was, you see, we hadn't seen that, I suppose, prior to Chief Superintendent Murray arriving. 10:47 You know, we're all different, we're all different people. we had Superintendent MCBrien before that, a different style of management, a delightful person to work with, very compassionate, very understanding, very
easy to get on with and had just a different style. And then, it just changed. I was trying to explain that as best I could.
57 Q. We know that Garda Keogh often did nights and we know that his preference was to be on the beat, as it were. You said something that's interesting there in relation to the fact that he wasn't around often enough in relation to Superintendent Murray's interactions with other members. I suppose if you get a new superintendent arriving in and all of a sudden there's a stricter regime and, as you said, other people were being disciplined, other people were being queried in relation to their files, is that right?
A. They were being queried in relation to their files, everything was being checked. He was bringing in new procedures, new ways of dealing with things. Some of it was to protect members. There was a whole different style of management. The whole thing just changed.
58 Q. Right.
A. And it was something we weren't used to, because, you know, there was just different styles of management.
59 Q. And I suppose if there's an emphasis on the word 'we', something like that would be, I suppose, the talk of the station, would it?
A. Oh it was, yeah.
A. It was, yeah.

61 Q. But you're saying that that word didn't filter through to Garda Keogh?
A. No. Because, you see, I can't remember, but I think did Garda Keogh go sick within three or four months, was it, after --
62 Q. CHAN RMAN Nine months. December.
A. Yeah.

63 Q. CHA RMAK 26th December.
A. Yeah. So I suppose by the time Chief Superintendent Murray arrived and by the time he got his feet under the table and he found out what is going on, this is where the problems are, and he figured it all in relation to what was going on, it took a little bit of time. And by the time people got suspended, by the time things took off, Garda Keogh had gone sick.
64 Q. MR. MARRINAN Yes.
A. And he hadn't seen, but I seen it, that there was a different show in town and if you made a mistake or you needed to be disciplined, that was what was going to happen and it wasn't going to be any other way.
65 Q. We also know that from early March, when Superintendent Murray arrived, that Garda Keogh was out sick on occasions sometimes?
A. That's correct, there was.

66 Q. On one occasion AWOL, and that he was in and out. Could it be that he had lost touch with the goings on in Athlone Garda Station?
A. Yeah. That was another thing that I suppose played my part in keeping in contact with him as best I could, as did sergeant Haran. Nick didn't keep in contact probably with a lot of people in the station, it was
probably just a few. And I suppose, that was because, you know, I suppose he probably didn't trust everyone or he didn't -- whatever, you know.
MR. KELLY: Chairman, I hesitate to intervene, but I wonder where this is going. We have travelled well down this road of speculation and everything else, which I little or, in fact, no notice of. I wonder how it actually helps the Tribunal in the end to have this pile of speculation from this witness.
CHA RMAN We11, what do you say to that, Mr. Marrinan? MR. MARRI NAN We11, I would have thought it was quite informative in terms of advising the Tribunal of what it was like in Athlone Garda Station during a crucial period of time.
CHA RMAN Yes. I think it could not be said that this $10: 51$ evidence is irrelevant to the subject-matter of the inquiry. I take Mr. Kelly's point, that there is or may be material of which he does not have notice. I take that point. So we will proceed with the evidence. If Mr. Kelly needs some accommodation in order to deal with it, $I$ will be sympathetic to such an application, because it does seem to me that on one view the evidence it is relevant and important, on another view it is extraneous and speculative. I completely accept that that is a matter of debate and discussion and because it fills in a part of the background to Athlone Garda Station following the change over when Superintendent Murray came in. So I think we will deal
with it on that basis, Mr. Marrinan.
MR. MARRI NAN Yes.
CHA RMAN Proceed as you are going on. And, Mr. Kelly, if something arises or if you feel that you would prefer to postpone cross-examination, that's something that I would be sympathetic to.

MR. KELLY: I probably wil1 do that.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
MR. MARRI NAN Yes.
CHA RMAN And that doesn't mean that I am assuming it ${ }_{10} 0: 53$ is relevant evidence.

MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN I am certainly assuming on one view it is
relevant. Having taken your opportunity, it's equally open to you to submit that it is not relevant.
MR. KELLY: Judge, I don't want to prolong the issue. CHA RMAN No, it's all right.
MR. KELLY: But had I known that this guard was going to be called to give a load of evidence about the culture in Athlone and so on, I would at least have expected it to have been in a statement.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: To have been set out. Instead, what we have is the witness go into the witness box, in my view, come out with all of this, what I regard as utter 10:53 speculation. There it is.
CHA RMAN On a view of the matter, the material that Mr. Marrinan has opened, albeit not in a statement by Garda Greene, is implicitly indicating views of Garda

Greene [sic] that do not come as a total shock, it seems to me. But as I say, I am keen to be clear as to what I want to say about it and what I don't want to say about it. I am simply receiving potentially relevant material and I propose to do it. So that is the way. I mean, you may be right, Mr. Kelly -- sorry, you may be well entitled to make a submission at the end to say that the circumstances of not having a detailed statement along these lines, you may say that undermines the validity, indeed, even the credibility of the evidence or its materiality. Anything of that kind. However, we will proceed on the basis I have mentioned.
67 Q. MR. MARR NAN At the time Garda Keogh was expressing concerns to you, that he felt as though he was being targeted because of the criticism he was receiving in relation to his investigations from Superintendent Murray, is that right?
A. That's correct, yeah.

68 Q. Yes. So it was a live issue for him at that time?
A. It was.

69 Q. I think we can just move on then in relation to an entry. If we could have page 785 up on the screen. This is a note of Superintendent Minnock dated the 12th December, if you scrol1 down there. This concerned an issue that arouse in relation to a checkpoint. That's not a matter that we are investigating or looking into, al1 right, but that was a conversation that had you with then Inspector Minnock, is that right?
A. Can I just read it?

70 Q. Yes.
A. That's correct.

71 Q. Yes, that's correct. As I said, it's not a matter that we are exploring. Then if we could finally just have 4647 up on the screen, please. This is the -- sorry, I have the wrong -- I beg your pardon, it's 2647. This is a note of a conversation that Superintendent Murray had with you on the 4th September. Just there's one aspect to it that I want to draw your attention to. It's line six lines down, seven lines down. You see there:
"He sai d Garda Keogh. . ."

That's you.
"-- sai d Garda Keogh now going after Deputy
Commi ssi oner Ó Cual ái $n$ and the Commissi oner because the i nvesti gation of his compl ai nts were not brought to a concl usi on re Garda A and a named superintendent."

That became translated to, that Garda Keogh had told you he was no longer going after Superintendent Murray and Garda Keogh doesn't believe that this could be right, because he believes that he was still, as it were, the subject-matter of a complaint being made by Garda Keogh. Do you recall that conversation?
A. I do.

72 Q. Do you recal1 Garda Keogh saying that he would no longer be going after Superintendent Murray?
A. I do.

73 Q. Yes. Thank you very much.
A. Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Now, Mr. Kelly. Where do we stand?
MR. KELLY: I am going to need time, Chairman, to consider the position and take instructions.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: $I$ am not in a position at present to cross-examine.
CHA RMAN I understand, yes. Should I suspend the affairs at this point rather than go around the circuit? I don't want to put you in a position that the order has been disturbed. Do you need some time? I mean, if I were to rise and say come back in an hour, would that be convenient? Is that reasonable?
MR. KELLY: We11, I am quite happy that you defer mine and if others wish to ask questions now, that's fine. CHA RMAN So you don't mind if I go around. MR. KELLY: No.
CHA RMAN Now, the other parties may wish to wait to produce the record, if we can produce the transcript, Mr. Kelly, and give it to you, is that satisfactory, then maybe we will sit in the afternoon and have your
cross-examination.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN okay. We11, who is going to speak?
MR. DI GNAM Chairman, I would be reluctant to examine the witness in circumstances --
CHA RMAN Yes, I understand that. There is no problem about that. Look, I am not going to disturb the sequence but I see Mr. Kelly's point and I have made my decision about Mr. Kelly.
MR. DI GNAM Yes.
CHA RMAN So, can we produce this morning's
transcript? We11, you have the live feed for a start. We will see about producing it. If we can produce it, Mr. Kelly, we will produce it. we can get that. If I said two o'clock. Is that reasonable? I mean, I want to give you ample time and I don't want you to have to be coming back looking for more time. So for the moment you have the live feed and we will get you a transcript and then we will resume at two o'clock. Okay. A11 right. Thanks very much.
THE WTNESS: Thank you, Chairman. CHA RMAN okay, so that's the way we will do it. Very good. Thank you very much. Lovely.

## THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVG, AFTER LUNCH

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Garda Greene.
MR. KELLY: Thank you, Chairman. I am in something of a difficult position at the moment.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: This witness has been called to give evidence on issue 20, the promotion of Patrick Murray, and that, as I understood it, was some relevance of calling him, hence why he is called on in this module, or on this issue. His evidence has, of course, gone wider.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: Yesterday, Chairman, you made a ruling, with which we are all familiar, and one of my concerns I expressed at the time was that the issue would turn out to be one of credibility. I regret to say, I am of the view that this is where we are at now, an issue of credibility. There are a couple of ways which one could, I suppose, approach this and deal with it. One, 14:01 invite you, which is what $I$ am doing, to treat this evidence in relation to issue 20 as irrelevant, which then would be consistent with what was done yesterday. If, on the other hand, that was not a course which you found attractive, I would then have to invite you to revisit yesterday's ruling. That in a nutshell is what my position is. I have discussed it with my client, my solicitor and junior counsel.
CHA RMAK So your position, let me see if I understand
it, Mr. Kelly.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN In respect of issue 20, you say Garda Greene's evidence, in light of yesterday's ruling, is irrelevant.

MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN That's your point.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAR And in fact, as matters have developed this morning, Garda Greene has given evidence relating to a much wider -- not really related to issue 20, the promotion, but relating to much earlier matters and different matters, ranging over perhaps a number of other issues that we have already discussed. I can reassure Garda Greene, this is a discussion we are having about a thing, don't mind, we're talking as if you weren't there.

Okay, Mr. Marrinan, what do you say to that?
MR. MARRI NAN Chairman, the notice on the website
refers to Garda Greene, issue 20 and other matters. Garda Greene wasn't called earlier on in relation to the other issues and he has been in the case, as it were, since Garda Keogh gave his evidence.
CHAI RMAN Yes.
MR. MARRI NAN Because he was cross-examined
extensively in relation to his interactions with Garda Greene and Superintendent Murray's notes of those conversations. In the first instance he gave evidence
in relation to his interaction at the very beginning, February of 2014, because Garda Keogh had given evidence in relation to the fact that he was the first person, as it were, that he made a disclosure to or indicated that he had an intent to make a disclosure to, when he sought a copy of the DVD, I think on the 17th February.

I wouldn't accept that he is confined to issue 20. The position is that I introduced evidence from Garda Greene at the very commencement of his evidence in relation to that interaction, also his subsequent interaction with Superintendent McBrien concerning the alleged activities of Garda A in asking questions. There was no objection raised in relation to any of these matters in terms of straying outside the strict confines of issue 20. It only seems to have become an issue when Garda Greene volunteered his evidence in relation to his views on matters, insofar as they are relevant. So I don't accept, as a point of principle, that his evidence should be confined merely to issue 20. That's the first point.

The second point is, the implication being that he will have to reopen, that Mr . Kelly will have to reopen the issue of the interaction --
CHA RMAN The Policing Authority.
MR. MARR NAN -- with the Policing Authority. And I don't see how that can actually arise, because you have
ruled that that is irrelevant already. Mr. Kelly is indicating he wants you to revisit that. But I don't see how the issue of credibility as between the account given by Superintendent Murray and his notes of his conversations with Garda Greene can really advance matters. Because, is a case going to be made that Superintendent Murray's account should be preferred over Garda Greene?
CHA RMAN Let me go back. Mr. Kelly, what is the credibility issue?

MR. KELLY: The credibility issue is this: That this witness has given a statement in which he has challenged or queried evidence that Chief Superintendent Murray has given.
CHA RMAN No, he hasn't. Surely not.
MR. KELLY: He has, in his written evidence.
CHA RMAN Leave that aside.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN I mean, I've ruled on that.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN But as matters stand at the moment, where's the credibility issue? where's the dispute?

MR. KELLY: well, the dispute is in relation to his particular view of Nick Keogh's position and his role in it.

CHA RMAN There's nothing to stop you cross-examining about that.

MR. KELLY: We11, to do that $I$ am going to have to cover some of the entries that -- things that are
ascribed to him, because I will be saying in effect that he is not right in what he is saying.

CHA RMAN But sorry, where we are at the moment, Garda Keogh has given his evidence.
MR. KELLY: Yes.
CHA RMAN He has been cross-examined at great length.
MR. KELLY: At great length, yes.
CHA RMAN And cross-examined in relation to the specific matters that were contained in the notes. And in large part there is a large measure of agreement, as it happens, as I recall, in the evidence between Garda Keogh and Garda Greene. So I am not seeing -- where's the dispute with Garda Keogh? I mean, he has given his views as to the situation generally and he has given an opinion about his relations with Garda Keogh and that's
largely in line with what Garda Keogh said.
MR. KELLY: what he's going on to say also --
CHA RMAN Am I not right about that?
MR. KELLY: He's going on to ascribe to Garda Keogh a change, having veered off, having taken matters much, much further.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: That is his view, that's not one which we necessarily accept and I would want to challenge him on that.

CHA RMAN But there's nothing to stop you challenging him on that.

MR. KELLY: But in order to do that $I$ am going to have to say to him that he is not an accurate historian.

CHAL RMAN There is nothing to stop you saying that. MR. KELLY: We11 I can do that then. CHA RMAK A11 right. Off we go. We have to respect the ruling I made yesterday about the Policing Authority, but feel free, Mr. Kelly, to do your job as you see fit.

MR. KELLY: We11, as I said to you yesterday, Chairman, my view is that whatever interaction there may or may not have been with the Police Authority isn't a matter with which I am concerned.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: However, what is recorded as having happened is something which I probably will be covering. Put it this way: It wouldn't make any difference if it was with boy A, it doesn't have to be the police. I am not challenging, nor have I ever at any point questioned the role of the Police Authority. CHA RMAN Yes.

MR. KELLY: So it's against that background. No part of my case involves an attack or challenge to them. Nor does it involve saying that they had got it wrong or anything of that sort. I have never challenged the evidence of Josephine Feehily or any of those, so against that background. Just give me a moment.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. KELLY: okay.
CHA RMAN Okay, the situation, Mr. Kelly, is simple. I made a ruling yesterday. That ruling stands. But there's nothing to stop you cross-examining in respect
of any issue but what you can't do, obviously, and I know you won't do, is to revisit my ruling yesterday. MR. KELLY: Yes. No, I am not going to do that. CHA RMAN No, I understand that. MR. KELLY: But I will be covering some of these diary entries. If you think $I$ am going too far, just stop me.

CHA RMAN A11 right, very good. Thank you very much.

GARDA FERGAL GREENE WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. KELLY, AS $14: 11$ FOLLOVG:

74 Q. MR. KELLY: Garda Greene, as I understand the thrust of what you are saying, your view is that there are entries in Pat Murray's diary that show either he misunderstood what you were saying or got it wrong, is that right? That's the general thrust of it, isn't it?
A. Em, they're Chief Superintendent Murray's notes.

A11 right. If you have your statement in front of you, please. It's 7606. Or 7608, we will start with. It's 14:12 that middle paragraph, the one which begins "However, Chi ef Superintendent Pat Murray."

Have you found it?
A. Yeah.

76 Q. There you say -- read it firstly, rather than me having to read it. Read it.
A. You want me to read it.

77 Q. Yes.
A. "However, Chi ef Superintendent Murray knows that I know of Mr. Johnny Feehily although I was not aware of the si gnificance that he placed on that until I read Chi ef Superintendent Murray's statement. One day when I was speaking to Chi ef Superintendent Murray, I said to him I was sorry to hear about his promotion being del ayed but that I had no doubt that he would get it as he truly deserved it. He replied ' what makes you so sure as Nick Keogh is firing on me every chance he gets and there's not a word about who presided over the entire mess'. I said that the Policing Authority have a difficult job but that l'msure they will do the right thing. I said I knew ME. Feehily's brother-in-Iaw Johnny and is he froma decent, honourable family. Chi ef Superintendent Murray did ask whether I ever tal ked to Johnny Feehily about Athl one Garda Station and I said we would bump into each other fromtime to time and have general conversation. I would bump into himcasually during the year and if Chi ef Superintendent Murray asked me if I had bumped into him I would tell himthat I had. I was not aware until I read Chi ef Superintendent Murray's statement that he interpreted this as meani ng that I di scussed hi mon each occasion. "
78 Q. Would you agree that that's an example where you are misinterpretation by Superintendent Murray of what you were saying?
A. We11, as I said, they are Chief Superintendent Murray's
notes.
79 Q. Look, it's either right or wrong. You were either right or wrong when you said:
"I was not aware until I read Chi ef Superintendent Murray's statement that he interpreted thi s as meaning that I di scussed hi mon each occasi on."

What is the Chairman supposed to make of that? You don't recall? You don't remember? What?
A. I think it's quite clear what $I$ say in that.

80 Q. Yes, which is that Superintendent Murray had misinterpreted what you were saying?
A. That's a question you'11 have to put Superintendent Murray.
Q. And when you say "I was not aware until I read Chi ef Superintendent Murray's statement that he had i nt er preted this as meani ng that I had di scussed himon each occasi on", that's saying he had interpreted it, isn't it?
A. I'm not accusing anyone of anything. I stand over my statement. My statement is as it is.

84 Q. Are you seriously saying that that is not what you are trying to convey in that paragraph?
A. I was asked specific questions from the Tribunal to address and I made my statement on foot of the questions I was asked. And I stand over any questions I was asked. And I answered each of them individually, point for point.
85 Q. If you were to look at page -- if we could call up page 2636, please.

MR. KANE: Judge, I'm sorry to interrupt, but it seems to me that Mr. Kelly is re-opening exactly the issue that you ruled on yesterday and is he pressing Garda Greene on these issues. It seems to me to be an inevitable consequence if Mr. Kelly is to go much further that --
CHAN RMAN Let's see 2636.
MR. MARR NAN Sorry, Chairman, I don't interrupt.
CHAN RMAN No, please do.
MR. MARRI NAN But I tend to agree with Mr. Kane. CHAN RMAN You which?
MR. MARR NAN I tend to agree with Mr. Kane's objection.
CHAI RMAN Yes.
MR. MARRI NAN The problem here is that --
CHA RMAN Yes, this is right. Mr. Kelly, you can't go there.
MR. KELLY: okay.
CHA RMAN We're not into comparing and contrasting this witness' statement with that of Chief Superintendent Murray. Full stop. Not doing so. Because that involves the interactions with the

Policing Authority and I have ruled on that. So you can't go there.
Q.

MR. KELLY: Okay. Initially you were supportive of Nick Keogh, is that right?
A. I understood Nick's complaints. I made statements to the Ó Cualáin investigation in relation to them. Statements I stand over. And, yes, I understood his complaints and I understood where he was coming from with some of them.
Back in 2016 you yourself had, as I understand it, issues with another senior officer, before then?
A. When you say another senior officer, I only had issues with one senior officer.
Q. Yes.

CHA RMAN One other than Superintendent Murray.
A. That's correct. I never had any issues with Chief Superintendent Murray. I had issues with --
89 Q. CHA RMAN No, no, no. When Mr. Kelly said another senior officer.
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN He did not mean that you had trouble with two senior officers.
A. Okay.

91 Q. CHAN RMAN He meant you had trouble with a senior officer.
A. Correct.

92 Q. CHA RMAN Other than superintendent -- I'm sorry to be irritable.
A. You're okay.

93

Q. CHA RMAN I think I am understanding Mr. Kelly. And I don't want to be interrupting.
A. That's correct.

CHA RMAN I am sorry.
94 Q
MR. KELLY: You now understand me?
A. I do understand you now, yeah.
Q. Good. And then the answer is yes, is it?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. Right. Your view at that time was that Garda management in Athlone were out to effectively stitch you up, isn't that right?
A. I had my own difficulties in Ath1one, which I resolved and that matter is finalised and over.
Q. Do you recognise that word 'stitch up', two words?
A. I do.
A. As I said, I had my own difficulties, which were resolved and they're in the past. And that's where I'd like them to be.
Q. Chairman, there have been additional documents served at our request today, I think before we came back into court. The relevant one I think I am looking at, forgive me, is 16670. This is, I think, Facebook exchanges between you and Nick Keogh, is that not right?
A. I am just waiting for it to come up on screen now, sorry. Correct.
Q. And we can see that those exchanges begin back before I think October 2015?
A. Correct.

101 Q. And seem to run through to about July, or perhaps even longer, of '16. So we're speaking late '15, early 2016, right?
A. Correct.

102 Q. And we see there an entry. What page is that? 16671. Do you see an entry down there at the bottom, the second last one?
A. I am just trying to -- it's hard to -- yeah.

103 Q. Where you have said:
"Well, they're certainly stitching me for this acci dent but I amgoing to bury all around me for this."

That's right, isn't it?
A. I sent that message. I stand over it, yeah.

104 Q. So at that time you believed there was a conspiracy against you?
A. I think, as I said earlier in my evidence, you know, when you're having difficulties, anyone is having
difficulties, sometimes we vent, sometimes we say things maybe we shouldn't always say, we might think that there's all kinds of going on. But as I said, my issues resolved and they're in the past.
105 Q. Earlier this morning, you have said this at page 11 -page 12 of the transcript, you said at the top, 1 will read the answer you gave, it's in response to a question from Mr. Marrinan:
"If I can just, Chai rman, just explain thi s situation regarding the conversations and there's been a lot of tal $k$ about it and a lot of di scussions about it here. And so be it. I was faced with a situation -- to just give -- I had my own difficulties in the past in, not in rel ation to anybody that's before this Tri bunal. I had my own difficulties within the job, whi ch thankfully resol ved thensel ves with change of management and with time. Garda Keogh was fully aware that I had my difficulties. My difficulties were in rel ation to someone that he had difficulties with. And we were both at one in rel ation to that. And that's to be clear."

Do you remember that?
A. That's correct.

106 Q. Yes.
A. Mm.

107 Q. "Wen Garda Keogh set out on this road that he went on in rel ation to this, at the begi nning l understood his issues and his grievances and all that went with it and I knew he was having a tough time and all of that. And I knew there was -- you know, some of it needed to be i nvestigated and it warranted to be investigated, because, as pointed out, I did make a statement. I have made other statements to the Ó Cual ái $n$ inquiry and I had my own vi ews in rel ation to what was goi ng on and I knew that it needed to be looked at. But as thi ngs took of $f$ in rel ation to this and when it started to
come out in the medi a and in the Dáil, the thing seemed to take on a life of its own. I was worried for my own -- my own career and everything in An Garda Sí ochána. " Is that right?
A. When things started, once this came out in the public domain and the Dái 1 and the whole thing took off, I was concerned, because I didn't know where it was going to finish.
So you were concerned about your career in the Gardaí at that point, is that right?
A. I was concerned about certain information that I was receiving from Garda Keogh. I was concerned about where the thing was going to lead and what way I would be caught up in it.
109 Q. I'11 ask you again: Were you at that time primarily worried about your own career in the Gardaí?
A. When I say I was worried about my career, I was worried about where this was going to lead. I am trying to answer the question as best as I can. I was concerned about what was going to happen in the future, what way it was going to affect my day-to-day role in the Guards, what way would certain things being interpreted. I didn't know what was going to come out in the media. I didn't know where my difficulties in the past could end up landing into the middle of this. Anyone in Athlone was concerned. Everyone was
concerned. It wasn't just me.
110 Q. How was this going to affect your career?
A. My reputation is very important to me.

111 Q. No, no, not your reputation, your career?
A. Well, my reputation -- my reputation is important to my career, because if my reputation is in any way tarnished, it affects my career and it affects my standing in the Guards.

112 Q. Yes.
A. So anyone's reputation affects their job, regardless what job they're in.

113 Q. You said this morning "I was worried for my own career and everythi ng in An Garda Sí ochána", you've identified -- you've said one, it's my reputation?
A. Correct.

114 Q. Who was going to take steps to damage your career in An Garda Síochána? who had you in mind?
A. I'm not implying that anyone was going to take any steps to damage my career in An Garda Síochána. But the thing about this is, no one expected -- I certain7y didn't expect to be here today. When this thing took off and took on a life of its own and it started being mentioned in the Dáil and the next minute it was on the newspapers and the next minute Athlone station was known from one end of the country to the other, I did not know what way this was going to impact on me in my career, in my personal life, in any of my life. It got 14:27 to the stage where you could not even go out socialising when you weren't working or you were hearing about it from members of the public. So it affected personal lives and it affected private lives,
it affected professional lives, it affected lives all over the place.
Q. So you are saying that this was a widespread feeling in Athlone Garda Station amongst the members?
A. It certainly was. And it was there for the members probably more so, not the new members that arrived, but for the members that had been there when all this started and prior to it starting, it had an affect on everyone. And it still has there, every day.
I can understand if what you are saying is, well, look, because this was going on it was affecting morale, people didn't fee1 comfortable about going out, people were being teased, was it, in public?
A. People thought that there was some kind of massive -that everyone in our -- definitely in our station or a certain portion of them, that there was people doing wrong all over the place.
117 Q. Yes.
A. That there was all kinds of problems. And people were getting this perception due to all this stuff coming out. And the sad reality of it, it was only a very -you know, it was just one kind of issue, I know it's surrounding other issues. But everyone was being painted with the one brush by certain people in the community and certain people in the community be investigated and all this craic. But it definitely carried on every day.
118 Q. I can understand that, and that clearly would affect
morale. Am I right in that?
A. It did, certainly.

119 Q. Yeah. But what I am not clear about is how that affects your own career as you saw it?
A. Well, I mean, if I can explain it to you this way: I had my own issues, okay, and eventually, it took some time, I got them resolved, thanks to nobody only myself, through doing my best to get them resolved. And the last thing -- because when you're having difficulties in your work life it affects your private life and it affects your life in general, and I understand that better than anybody. And when this thing then took off and I knew that my issues from the past had something to do with the same person that Garda Keogh had issues with, I didn't know that the whole thing was going to be revisited again or where we were going to finish or where we were going to find ourselves. It's not -- I suppose maybe another way of answering your question is, you speak about it
affecting my career. I haven't gone for promotion, I haven't looked for promotion, not in that way. But I am one of these people who wants to go into work each day and do my work as best I can and leave there in the evening and move on with my 1ife. I am not looking for anything off anybody and I certainly won't be now or in 14:30 the future.
120 Q. Well, I was merely asking you, before I got that long answer --
A. Well, I am trying to answer your question as best I
can.
121 Q. -- about your phrase "I was worried for my own career". We will move on.
A. Well --

122 Q. Yes. You report a variety of conversations that you had with Nick Keogh to then Superintendent Pat Murray, isn't that right?
A. Correct.

123 Q. Did you ever at any point tell Nick Keogh that you were reporting all of these conversations back to either Inspector Minnock or to Superintendent Pat Murray?
A. No.

124 Q. why not?
A. I just want to make one thing clear: I wasn't looking for any of this information. I'd prefer if I had never 14:31 received it.

125 Q. We11 why didn't you say to Nick Keogh, look, I'm reporting all this back to Inspector Minnock and to Superintendent Murray, you know?
A. He didn't ask me was I.

126 Q. And why didn't you volunteer it?
A. The situation is here, and I want to be clear on one thing, I am quite happy any day of the week to hand over my phone to be investigated. It wasn't me ringing Garda Keogh. It was Garda Keogh ringing me, offering me the information. And my phone is in my pocket and I'11 hand it over this minute and people can check it. And it can go up and get analysed. I was not ringing looking for information. I'd prefer if I hadn't got
it. But I was caught in a situation where I knew the man was having his difficulties, I knew there was only certain people he was ringing, I did not know what to do and I did not know where to turn. And if you have somebody telling you that they felt their phone was tapped and, as I point out, as Garda Keogh said in his own direct-evidence, he had betrayed my trust at one point before, so I couldn't trust him one hundred percent either. So what was I to do?
127 Q. Before you made that dramatic offer to hand over your phone, which I am not going to take you up on.
A. Okay.

128 Q. I will go back to ask you the question. Never at any point did you tell Nick Keogh that you were reporting the conversations you had with him to either Inspector Minnock or to Pat Murray?
A. No.

129 Q. That's right, isn't it?
A. Correct.

130 Q. Good. You were speaking to him, as I had understood what you were saying, to Nick Keogh to try and support him?
A. As best I could.

131 Q. You were concerned for his welfare?
A. Correct.

132 Q. Can you point me to any steps that were taken to support Nick Keogh's welfare as a result of the reports you made?
A. As a result of the report I made?

133
Q. The reports you made?
A. Which reports?

134 Q. That which we've just been speaking about, your reports to Inspector Minnock or Superintendent Murray?
A. How was I supporting him?
Q. No.
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Please listen to what I am asking you.
A. I am a very good listener, but you need to make it a little bit clearer for me to understand. And I will answer any question if I understand it fully. But I am not going to answer a question if $I$ don't understand it fully.
137 Q. Okay, look, I will do my best.
A. Thank you.

138 Q. Can you point to any steps that were taken to support Nick Keogh's welfare as a result of the reports you made to either Inspector Minnock or Superintendent Murray?
A. I can.

139 Q. What are they?
A. When Nick Keogh reported to me that he -- this incident regarding this drink driving thing, I feared for his safety, his welfare, if he was driving and whatever else, and I didn't want him to come to any harm. So I reported that to now Superintendent Minnock, to see what he could do or if this was true, could someone speak to him. when I was speaking to Chief Superintendent Murray, Chief Superintendent Murray had
relayed to me -- I had relayed to him, which is in some different conversations, that I was concerned for Garda Keogh, that I felt that people were egging him on to such a degree, that, you know, I was worried for him at times. I relayed this to Chief Superintendent Murray. I relayed it to Superintendent Minnock. You know --
140 Q. Sorry, finish. Go on, say whatever it is you want to say.
A. If you are trying to portray the thing that there was some kind of disloyalty here, there wasn't. Because when this thing is over I will still take a phone call from Nick Keogh. And I hope these other people who were ringing him with different agendas are willing to take a call from him. Because I was caught in a situation that I didn't want to be in. But I always rang back if I had a missed call from him because I was concerned about how he was. I never asked him for any of this information. I merely wish I hadn't received it. But his own words were, he felt his phone was being tapped. I didn't know if I was being recorded and I didn't want to find myself in a bigger mess than I am already in.
141 Q. Right. We11, it will all be in the transcript and I look at it in due course, but that's your answer to my question: Can you point to any steps stake en to you made, is that right?
A. Yes, and I can and I have outlined some of it.

142 Q. You've outlined to the Tribunal that you listened to
these concerns and you reported it to Inspector Minnock or Superintendent Murray, that's right, isn't it?
A. It is. And --
Q.
what steps were taken?
A. Would it not be fair to say that the fact that I fe7t that people were making matters worse, whoever these people were, $I$ can on7y go on what I was told, were making matters worse and they were making the man more angry and giving him more reason to have concerns. I reported that because I felt it was wrong, and I still feel today it is wrong. And I felt those people need to examine their own conscience in relation to why they done what they did. And I feel very firmly about that. Because nobody -- I mean, I know I had several conversations with Garda Keogh and I know exactly what he felt at the time and a lot of it shouldn't have happened.
Q. Chairman, I think I will just simply move on. CHA RMAN Very good. MR. KELLY: I am not sure if the witness is actually assisting you at all.
Q. The fact is, you were never present, as I understand it, when Pat Murray met Nick Keogh, is that right?
A. No.
Q. Right. When Pat Murray arrived in Athlone, Nick Keogh by then, we know, was what is termed a whistleblower, he made protected disclosures?
A. Correct.
Q. That's right, isn't it?
A. Correct, yeah.

148 Q. He was the only one who made those disclosures at that time?
A. Correct.

149 Q. So in that sense he was singular in Athlone?
A. Correct.

150 Q. You say Pat Murray had a tough management style?
A. Correct.

Would you agree that Nick Keogh perceived Pat Murray was going after him or targeting him after he had arrived?
A. Em, Nick felt that he did.

152 Q. Yes. At that time Nick Keogh, would you agree with me, was very vulnerable?
A. I would agree.

153 Q. How would you describe the -- we may have covered this already, but I will ask you just to be clear, how would you describe the atmosphere in Athlone station when Pat Murray arrived? If I were to suggest an answer to you, that it was dysfunctional, would you disagree?
A. Em, at that time, in the months leading up to Superintendent Murray arriving and all that, lots of things seemed to happen in Ath1one. There was lots of different incidents, different problems and a lot seemed to happen together. When Chief Superintendent Murray arrived there was issues there to be dealt with. In fairness, the people that were there before that were doing their best to deal with them and they were being dealt with. And just, Chief Superintendent

Murray arrived and he went to deal with them. The other previous management were dealing with them and he just continued on and he chose the way he was to deal with them and that was it. But a lot had happened in
the period of time and it was a very, very tough time because everything seemed to go wrong. There was an awful lot happening. It was just a unfortunate time. MR. KELLY: Chairman, I think within the constraints of the various rulings, that's all I seek to ask.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Very good. Now, Mr. Dignam. Yes.
MR. DI GNAM Chairman, I have a few brief questions.
CHA RMAN okay.

## GARDA FERGAL GREENE WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. DI GNAM

 AS FOLLOVB:154 Q. MR. DI GNM Garda Greene, my name is Conor Dignam, I act on behalf of the Commissioner and various other members of An Garda Síochána. I just want to ask you about a couple of conversations that Mr. Marrinan has taken you through, quite a number of the conversations

Superintendent Murray. I just want to ask you to look at page 2644. And this is a note of Superintendent Murray of 14th August 2017, where he records having a
conversation with you at about 2:00pm that day. Just at the second half of that paragraph, it's the final sentence essentially of that paragraph:
"Discussed my pronotion."

And leave out the bit in relation to the Policing Authority.
"...but that Garda Keogh will probably try and fire a 19:42 scud before I get pronoted."

Now, do you remember that conversation in August 2017
A. With?

155 Q. With Superintendent Murray.
A. I do.

156 Q. Yes. Then could I ask you to look at your statement on page 7069?
CHA RMAN Am I making a mistake? Mr. Dignam, is this not the very thing I made a ruling about?
MR. DI GNMM I don't think so, Chairman. I am not asking in relation to the promotion issue, Judge.
CHA RMAN okay.
MR. DI GNAM It's in relation to the conversation Garda Greene had with Garda Keogh in relation to his attitude 19:42 to Superintendent Murray. I am not going to go any further than that.

CHA RMAN okay.
MR. DI GNAM Sorry, I should have started at the very
bottom of the sentence, Chairman, and avoided the reference to the promotion issue. On page 7609, Garda Greene, this is your statement and -- sorry, Chair, I think in hindsight --

CHA RMAN No, Mr. Dignam, thank you very much. N-O! 14:43 MR. DI GNAM I will move on from that.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. MR. DI GNAM If I can then ask you to look at page 7607 of your statement. Again, I don't want you to deal with who you were corresponding with anything or like that. You express certain views towards the bottom of that paragraph in relation to your view of Superintendent Murray. You see the bit beginning:
"I was aware of media articles giving the public the i mpressi on there were problens in At hl one, et cet era. "

I think you have already given evidence --
CHA RMAN Mr. Dignam, what do I have to do to say do not pursue this line. Full stop. You may complain about it, but $I$ have made a clear ruling.

MR. DI GNMM May it please you, Chair.
CHA RMAN Goodness knows, we have gone from page to page to page, were equally the slightest glance makes it clear that it is contrary to the ruling I made. So please move to a different area, Mr. Dignam.
MR. DI GNAM May it please you, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Be it good or bad, that is what I have decided.

159 Q. MR. DI GNAM Yes. Now on a separate topic, Garda Greene, you will have seen Garda Keogh's evidence, I think, from last October/November. I am not sure whether you have had a chance to consider or to review that evidence. But you may have seen Garda Keogh say that he knew that you were reporting matters to Superintendent Murray and that he was, as he put it, feeding you misinformation. You saw that evidence, is that right?
A. That's correct.

160 Q. Were you aware at any stage that Garda Keogh knew that you were reporting matters to Superintendent Murray?
A. No.

161 Q. And were you aware that he was feeding you misinformation?
A. No.

162 Q. And at this stage are you aware of what information he fed you which was incorrect or, as he put it, misinformed?
A. No.

163 Q. Finally, Garda Greene, you refer on page 7608 of your statement to a conversation with Assistant Commissioner Fanning, which I think happened on 28th February 2017. I will just call it up. It's page 7608.
CHA RMAN Don't call it up. Just refer to it, Mr. Dignam. Just refer to it. Ask him what question you want to ask him about it. I am sorry, if I sound irritable, $I$ don't mean it.
MR. DI GNAM Not at all.

CHA RMAN I am trying to suppress it. Just ask him the question, Mr. Dignam.
164 Q. MR. DI GNMM In your statement --
CHA RMAN Even if it's a leading question, we will worry about it.
A. If you just tell me what point in statement, I will get here.

MR. DI GNMM I will just ask you the question. The Chairman suggested I ask the question.
A. Yes.

So, you record in your statement that you -CHAN RMAN I want you to ask him a question. MR. DI GNAM Yes. That you said you met Assistant Commissioner Fanning and he said to you "We might be on the outside at the moment but it won't be long until we're on the inside". The first question is, had you ever met Assistant Commissioner Fanning before?
A. No. I never had any conversation with him before that. I would have maybe met him, you know, but we would never have had a conversation or whatever. I'd say
hello, that kind of thing, and I can't even remember when, but that would have been it.

167 Q. And do you understand what Assistant Commissioner Fanning meant when he said that to you?
A. Yeah. I kind of knew this question was going to come at some stage. For me to -- I'm -- for me to know what was in Assistant Commissioner Fanning's head, no disrespect, would be very hard for me to know. I can take my own thoughts on it, but I don't know whether
that is allowed or not. I can't be sure because he was the one that said it to me.
MR. DI GNMM I can anticipate Mr. McGarry's objection.
I am not going to ask any further questions in relation to that.

CHAI RMAK Thank you very much.
MR. MtGARRY: I was going to ask, Chairman, Mr. Dignam to identify --
CHA RMAN Have you finished, Mr. Dignam?
MR. DI GNMM Yes.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAK Thank you. Now.
MR. MEGARRY: I was only going to ask Mr. Dignam to
identify which of the issues that the Tribunal is tasked with investigating that that particular line of questioning relates to.
CHA RMAN Mr. Dignam, you want -- wait now. You can ask him privately, Mr. McGarry, and he can answer or not answer. We will await relevance in due course. He has asked a question. The witness has said, I don't know what was in the man's mind. Perfectly reasonably. I'd have been slow to write it down if he suggested that he did know what was in the assistant commissioner's mind. I'd have been very slow to write it down. Anyway.

MR. DI GNAM Thank you, Chair.
CHA RMAN A11 right. Any questions? Have you any
questions? Anybody else any questions. Ms. Gleeson, have you any questions?

MS. GLEESON Just very briefly.
CHAN RMAN Certain7y, yes.

## GARDA FERGAL GREENE WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MG. GLEESON,

 AS FOLLOVG:168 Q. MS. GLEESON Garda Greene, if I can just ask you, I think some of the diary entries of Superintendent Minnock have already been put to you and I think you accept their contents, isn't that right? And I know you are interacting during this period with now Superintendent Minnock; isn't that right?
A. That's correct. I mean, I felt from my known dealings with Superintendent Minnock through my own difficulties in the past, $I$ felt he was a very, very fair individual, was a very intelligent man, who would never guide you wrong and would try and do the right thing and had a very humane side to him. That was the reason 14:49 I spoke with him, because I had trust in him, I still have trust in him and I knew that he would always try and do the right thing and he was honourable.
169 Q. Very good. We11, we have heard from Superintendent Minnock, as are you aware, and he has obvious7y made a statement for the benefit of the Tribunal, and that is at page 689. Also we have the benefit of his journal entries, both typed and handwritten. Just in relation to one particular item, which I suppose is clearer in
the -- this was put to Superintendent Minnock and I think we all accept that it's is clearer in the handwritten version of his notes, which is at page 15823?

CHAN RMAN Is there a question coming, Ms. Gleeson?
ME. GLEESON Sorry.
CHA RMAN Could you tell me what the question is and then we can work out how it arises.

MG. GLEESON Apologies, Chairman.
CHAL RMAN No, no, sorry, please don't. Just tell me
what question are you going to ask the witness at the end of the day. Are you going to ask him does he agree with Superintendent Minnock, are you going to ask him does he disagree with it, or are you simply going to tell us what Superintendent minnock said?
M. GLEESON If we could look at the note, if possible, Chairman.
CHA RMAN okay.
M. Gleeson it's page 15823.

CHA RMAN Right.
MG. Gleeson and if you don't mind, if we could scroll down to the bottom. That portion there:
"Nick said he wasn't happy with Lyons and Curley and what they said in di sclosure and he seens to suggest he 14:51 is going after them now. "

That note is a note and Superintendent Minnock gave evidence in relation to that, this note --

CHA RMAN Ms. Gleeson, sorry, this witness, what is he going to say about this?
ME. GLEESON To be fair to the witness, I should tell
him the date that this note was made.
CHA RMAN Sorry, would you tel1 me what question you are going to ask at the very end of all this?
M. GLEESON We11, I simply want to put to the witness --

CHA RMAN No, I am not asking what you want to put to him.

Mb. GLEESON Yes.
CHAN RMAN what do you want to ask him?
MS. GLEESON Do you agree with the contents of
Superintendent Minnock's note.
CHA RMAN How does he know?
MS. GLEESON No, this is a note of a phone call he got from Garda Greene, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Yes, sorry. So he got a -- yes, I'm sorry.
MS. GLEESON I was trying to put it in context.
CHA RMAN So, what do you say to that? Do you agree?
A. Are you asking me is this note correct?

170 Q. MG. GLEESON Yes.
A. Is that the question?

171 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah, I have no reason to dispute it.

MS. GLEESON Thank you very much.

END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Right.
MR. KANE: Chairperson, I have a handful of very briefly questions.
CHA RMAN Yes, Mr. Kane, I keep forgetting you.
MR. KANE: I will be five minutes or less. CHA RMAN Sure.

## GARDA FERGAL GREENE MAS EXAM NED BY MR. KANE, AS FOLLOMS:

A. Well, the chief would have always said to me he wasn't Garda Keogh's enemy and, you know, that he didn't have any grievance with him whatsoever. And that he asked me to relay that message to him, which I did. He said to me that he was just trying to deal with issues that were faced with him when he got to Athlone and that was all he was trying to do and he was trying to deal with everyone the same.
173 Q. Did he tell you anything else in relation to the manner in which you were to interview with Garda Keogh?
A. Well, he just said to me that basically, he said, look it, the man needs support, I mean, don't turn your back on him, you know, keep in contact with him and if he rings you, take the call, don't not take the call. And
that's it.
174 Q. It has come out in the course of the inquiry that Garda Keogh had expressed a view that he wished for management intervention and management communication to him to stop, and I put that to Chief Superintendent Murray and Minnock, was that message ever passed on to you?
A. No. Nobody ever said to me don't communicate with Garda Keogh. He never said that he wanted want to communicate with me and nobody else told me not to communicate with him. There was times I wished someone did, because I mightn't be where I am now. But at the end of the day, you know, I had concerns for him, like everyone else did. It was a difficult situation.
175 Q. Am I right in saying that you were faced with the following scenario: That, one, Garda Keogh was telling you information by phone and had told you that his phone was tapped; that two, Garda management was telling you he needs support; that three, Garda Keogh was telling management to stop intervening but that wasn't being relayed to you; and four, on occasion Garda Keogh was feeding you with misinformation, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

176 Q. Can you tell us what sort of position that put you in?
A. We11, it put me in the worst of worst positions, because I'm kind of the type that tries to do -- I don't always get it right, no more than any of us, but I try and do the right thing as best I can. And it was
an awkward situation to be in, because I didn't want to turn my back on him. I didn't want the information that was coming to me. I was afraid because he felt his phone was tapped. I was afraid in case he was recording me. I never had met this kind of a situation in my career of nearly 20 years before, this kind of a whole scenario. It was foreign to me. It's not something that you meet in your career. And I didn't -- I dealt with it as best I could. I am not saying I got it right, $I$ am not saying I got it wrong. I dealt with it. I had a lot of sleepless nights over all this and I done the best I could.
177 Q. Just for clarity, Garda Greene, did you ever meet Deputy Mick wallace or Clare Daly?
A. Never.

178 Q. And finally, were you ever acting in concert with Garda Keogh in relation to his substantive complaint?
A. Never.

179 Q. Thanks.
A. To make it clear, my issue was completely separate from 14:56 Garda Keogh's and it was long before that. It was completely separate. It had no -- there was no crossover. It was completely separate matter. MR. KANE: Thank you Chairman.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

 FOLLOMS:CHA RMAN So, can I just ask you, Garda Greene, you've just clarified a question that $I$ was going to ask. I don't want to pry and the last thing I want to do is to open up other issues or to embarrass you or anybody else. You did have an issue?
A. Correct.

180 Q. CHA RMAN It was obviously a serious issue?
A. It was.

181 Q. CHA RMAN And you felt, if I understand correctly, you felt threatened that if this went wrong it was big trouble for you?
A. Exactly. Chairman, you've hit the nail on the head.

182 Q. CHA RMAN okay.
A. I had had enough trouble.

183 Q. CHAN RMAN okay.
A. And I didn't want any more.

184 Q. CHAL RMAN Just follow me now just for a second.
A. Yes.

185 Q. CHAN RMAN This is my understanding. So that was in the background. But this was well clear by the time Garda Keogh made his protected disclosure in May 2014 ?
A. It was well clear.

186 Q. CHA RMAN You were well finished with that?
A. No, Chairman, sorry, I thought I had, but the problem rose its head again.
187 Q. CHA RMAN That's what I was --
A. It did. It rose its head again and the reason it rose its head again was, because certain people would have known that I would have spoken to Garda Keogh and I was trying my best to be there for him. And some people maybe would have taken a certain view of that.

188 Q. CHA RMAK Would you like to follow me for one moment?
A. I will.

189 Q. CHAN RMAN Because I am trying to make sure that I don't get into something --
A. Yeah.

190 Q. CHA RMAN -- that the Tribunal is not concerned with. Is it right to say is that as far as you were concerned, as of May 2014, as far as you were concerned, the matter, the troubling and serious matter, as far as you were concerned, was finished?
A. More or less, yeah.

191 Q. CHA RMAN Is that right?
A. Correct, yeah.

192 Q. CHA RMAN If I understand correctly, the events of the protected disclosure made by Garda Keogh and the repercussions in Ath1one Garda Station in some way threatened to resurrect or reignite this problem that you had experienced previously?
A. Exactly.

193 Q. CHA RMAN So this represented not just a genera1 14:59 concern about the impact on morale or whatever in Athlone Garda Station, there was in addition a personal element?
A. There was.
 anyone interfered in any way, had some merit and I understood it and I made statements in relation to it and I assisted the Ó Cualáin investigation as best I could. you were sympathetic to that complaint, regarding it at least as something to be investigated?
A. Correct.

198 Q. CHA RMAN Is that right?
A. And at that time I did.
A. And Garda Keogh is well aware of that.

200 Q. CHA RMAN I know your view, I don't want to revisit it, but your view was that that original complaint
altered as time went on?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN And as people became involved. I don't want to get into that?
A. That's correct.

But that is your view?
A. That's correct. We lost -- what happened was, the whole beginning of it, that started off this whole scenario, got lost and it took off in several different directions and people got sucked into it.

203 Q. CHA RMAN But apart from your individual and specific anxiety or concern about your matter, there was in general in Athlone Garda Station a discomfort, an apprehension, we're under scrutiny, we're being accused, whatever it is. There was a more general one, 15:02 in other words, that all the Gardaí -- is that right?
A. There was. There was times it was just a cloud hung over the place.

204 Q. CHA RMAN okay.
A. People had a perception that everyone was doing things wrong and it was hard on everyone.

205 Q. CHA RMAN Okay.
A. It still hangs -- to a certain degree, it's improving, but it still hangs a bit to this day. It's going to take time to repair itself.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much.

## END OF QUESTI ON NG

CHAL RMAN Mr. Marrinan, have you any questions?
MR. MARRI NAN No questions.
CHA RMAN Okay. Thank you very much. Any questions arising out what I asked? I think I am simply following up on what has gone ahead, so I don't expect that anybody would have. Okay, thank you very much.

THE WTNESS: Thank you, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Garda Greene, thank you, and you're free to go.

THE WTNESS THEN W THDREW

MS. MEGRATH Chairman, thank you. The next witness is Mr. Joe Nugent, please.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much.

MR. JOE NUGENT, HAV NG BEEN SUORN, WAS
DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MS. MEGRATH, AS FOLLOVG:

THE WTNESS: Joseph Nugent.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Good afternoon, Mr. Nugent. Please sit down there and make yourself as comfortable as can you in the circumstances.
206 Q. MG. MEGRATH Thank you, Mr. Nugent. I think, Mr. Nugent, just to start with your history in An Garda 15:03 Síochána, I think at the moment you're the chief administrative officer for An Garda Síochána, is that right?
A. That's correct. .
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Q. And I think you have been in that role since August 2016; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I think prior to that you have a history in the civil service, in a number of departments, is that right?
A. Yeah. I have probably held senior management positions for about 17/18 years.
Q. Okay. So, as you say, you came into An Garda Síochána in August 2016 and I think your office, chief administrative officer, is the most senior civilian office in An Garda Síochána, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now, just to get a very brief picture of where that sits in the institution, $I$ think you're a member of the senior executive management team, is that correct?
A. That's correct. I report directly to the Commissioner at the equivalent of deputy commissioner rank, if you can look at it that way. As we speak today there is one other deputy commissioner, so myself, the deputy commissioner and the Garda Commissioner from what's called the executive or the Gardaí executive.

211 Q. Okay. Well, we have heard references colloquially to the top three?
A. Yeah, I think colloquially that's the phrase that has being used.

212 Q. Okay. Can I ask you, in some of your correspondence, just before we get going, $I$ see that there is a
reference to chief administrative officer for deputy commissioner of Governance and Strategy what, does that mean?
A. So, in September 2017, Commissioner O'Sullivan had resigned and Deputy Commissioner Ó Cualáin, as he was at the time, was appointed Commissioner. At that point some of the responsibilities which would have vested with Commissioner Ó Cualáin moved to myself. So essentially I was carrying two hats. So chief administrative officer and was also taking those responsibilities that sat with the deputy commissioner for Governance and Strategy.
213 Q. Okay. You outline in your statement, which I should say, Chairman, is at 7304 of the book?
CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
MS. MEGRATH You outline in your statement that you had a number of senior both executive directors and assistant commissioners reporting in to you, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. So my responsibility is essentially for those who look after, who are charged with non-policing services will all report to me, led in general terms led by executive directors, equivalent, say, assistant secretary grade in the civil service and by an assistant commissioner at different moments in time.

215 Q. Okay. And one of those persons was the executive director for HRPD, Mr. Barrett, whom we will be hearing from, is that right? He reported directly in to you?
A. He reported directly to me, or he reports directly to me.
A. That's correct.
Q. And later Assistant Commissioner Sheehan; is that
A. That's correct.

220 Q. okay. Now, with regard to your office, you say that you have responsibility for leading the organisation's administrative functions. what does that mean in real
A. So essentially, as I described, all of those who had line responsibility at executive director level for areas like finance, $H R$, ICT, legal, report in to me.

So I take the managerial responsibility for the delivery of those services or ensuring that those services are delivered by those executive directors. And you are immediately reporting in to the Garda Commissioner effectively in relation to those issues, 15:07 is that correct?
A. That's correct.

222 Q. okay. Now, what I want you to start with is, as I say, you were appointed in August 2016, and basically we just want to look at the periods of 2016 and 2017 primarily in your evidence, okay?
A. Okay.

223 Q. Now, one of the things I want to ask you about is your knowledge of Garda Keogh, particularly the bullying and harassment issue arising in 2016. Can I ask you this though, before we go into him specifically, can I ask for page 11373 to come up on the screen, please? And I just want to ask you if you remember this. This is shortly after you have been appointed into your position. This is a text message I think that you sent 15:08 to Assistant Commissioner Donal ó Cualáin on 7th October 2016. Do you see it there?
A. I do.

224 Q. You say:
"I thi nk Tony/ Al an. "

I think that's Chief Superintendent McLough1in and Mr. Mulligan, would that be right?
Q. "...should be thi nking of documenting the chronol ogy of thei $r$ interactions was PDs gi ven the letter in today's papers."

Now that might have nothing to do with Garda Keogh but I just want to ask you what does it have to do with or is it relevant to us?
A. I don't think it's particularly relevant. I think it was just a general press commentary on protected disclosures issues, and I felt it would be important that the sequence of information that would be known by the two individuals, who also held responsibility for managing protected disclosure within the organisation, should be provided to -- should be provided. So that we could see or it could be seen that they were being properly managed. So not getting into the detail and certainly would not have got into the detail of the PDs at a11, but rather to ensure that matters were progressing and they hadn't -- they weren't left lying. If you ask -- if I am correct, did you also ask my knowledge of the relevance or are you going to come back to that?
Q. No, just at this particular time?
A. So I wouldn't have known who the PDs are bar what was in the public domain. I wouldn't have known what the nature of the protected disclosures are. And that would still be the case too today.

227 Q. Would you have had Garda Keogh on your mind or not?
A. No.

228 Q. Okay. Now, we know from the evidence that we have heard, in particular from Chief Superintendent McLough1in, we have heard about various chronologies and timelines for Garda Keogh's bullying complaint. In 15:09 particular, we are aware, particularly, as I say, you came in in August 2016. As it went into November and December 2016 there's a lot of involvement in relation to this complaint and we see some of it coming through your office. I am just going to use a letter that Mr. Mulligan drew up and we have seen in evidence already. It's at 12496. This is Mr. Mulligan's letter. Now it's not addressed to you but, as I say, I just want to use it as an example. He talks about chronology of reports. And if you go into the next page, if you see there, it's really the fourth paragraph, if you can, the little one at the top:
"Correspondence from Mr. Cul I en. "

Do you see that?
A. Yes.

229 Q. "Whas recei ved in this of fice."

Now, his office, we know Mr. Mulligan is HRPD. It's via e-mail the CAO's office, your office.
"On 30th November 2016 the CAO was informed that this was the first correspondence recei ved fromthe
solicitor and that no correspondence had been issued from M. Barrett's office to the solicitor. The CAO was advi sed that I contacted M. Cullen on 25th Novenber 2016, as outlined. I was provided with a copy of correspondence, whi ch was provi ded to the Commi ssi oner on 25th Novenber 2016."

You see there the next paragraph:
"16th Decenber 2016, the Commissioner's office directed 15:11 that arrangements should be made to take a statement from Garda Keogh as a matter of priority."

So just to confirm effectively that your office is aware at this stage of the correspondence coming in on the bullying and harassment issue in respect of Garda Keogh, is that right?
A. Yeah, in the generality. we wouldn't have been getting into the detail of that but we would have made sure that the matters were being passed on.
230 Q. okay. So your own personal knowledge that this is a live issue them at this stage?
A. Just, I'm aware it's a live issue, I'm not aware of the detail of the nature of the bullying and harassment complaint or any of the other matters that Garda Keogh may have raised.
231 Q. Would you have been aware then in December there that the Commissioner's office had directed that a statement be taken?
A. Yes, so as is said there. But again, my role was merely to make sure that Mr. Mulligan progressed the matter.
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232 Q. Can I ask you then, just, as I say, we're in that early period. Can I ask you to look at page 3522, please? This is coming from the Commissioner's office, Inspector Séan O'Riordan to yourself, and it's referencing the bullying complaint. Now this is quite early on, it's 7th February 2017, and the Commissioner has asked that you convene a case conference in this matter in order to ensure that this complaint is
managed and pursued appropriately.

Why was your office being asked to convene a case conference?
A. In essence, that was an instruction to arrange that a case conference take place and it would have been handed over to Mr. Barrett for that to be actioned. So we wouldn't -- my office, neither myself or my office at this point in time would have been involved in organising a case conference.

234 Q. Okay. So are you a conduit then?
A. A conduit, yes, correct.

235 Q. Okay. We see then at 3523. So this is 3523. We see that Mr. Barrett is coming back effectively and he is saying:
"In the light of the fact that this matter is being progressed by the assistant commissi oner Eastern Regi on, I do not believe that it is prudent at this juncture to have a case conference. "

So this makes its way back through you, is that right
A. That's correct.

236 Q. I think the issue falls away or seems to fall away; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.

237 Q. Do you hear anything more about it at that stage?
A. No, I don't.

238 Q. You know, from your office's perspective, just looking
at disclosure, we don't see effectively much coming through your office after this for a number of months, would that be right?
A. That's correct.

You see the issue going quiet?
A. The issue had gone quiet. As far as I was concerned the matter was being handled by HRPD and by assistant commissioner Eastern Region.
okay. Now, you get a letter then, at 3532. This is to yourself again, it's deputy commissioner policing security, chief administrative officer. Again it's coming from Inspector O'Riordan at the Commissioner's office. It says:
"Pl ease provide an update on all maters under your remit insof ar as they rel ate to Garda Keogh, to incl ude but not confined to Garda Keogh's bullying and har assment all egation, his submi ssi on regarding work rel ated stress and pay issue."

So this is coming in to you from the Commissioner's office. what do you understand you are being asked to do at this stage?
A. Well, at this point in time there had been certainly a very large amount of commentary around the issue. From 15:15 memory, I think the Minister's office or the department were asking for an update around a range of issues. So I was being asked to understand exactly what had happened. There had been a lot of commentary. Again,
things had gone very quiet and I was being asked to assess and understand what was happening around the range of matters insofar as they related to Garda Keogh. So not just confined to bullying and harassment.

Okay, you're tasked with this. Now, we know that the following day or two days something else overtakes it, but you are tasked with this, what do you do, what is your response to it?
A. So at this point in time things did -- you know, events 15:16 did change. We were in -- (a) it was August, when people were on leave, so I'm not sure if I was in the office even at that time on that particular day. But matters do progress. Commissioner o'Sullivan moves on very quickly. Commissioner ó Cualáin was appointed very shortly after that, within a month of that, if I am not mistaken. Commissioner ó Cualáin has now -- who has now become Commissioner ó Cualáin at that point. we see from the letter these are live issues, the bullying and harassment allegation, the submission regarding work related stress and pay issues. So these live. These are the top three level we talked about earlier, isn't that right?
A. That's correct. Would it be possible to see the correspondence that's associated with that, with that cover note?

243 Q. What do you mean by --
A. Well, it says:
""I am di rected to forward the attached correspondence. "

Could I just see what the attached correspondence is?
244 Q.
I don't think we were provided with that. We will see if we can look for that in disclosure?
A. Okay. It's for the purpose of just refreshing my mind about it.
Q. It's the next one there. That's right.
A. So could we scroll down. Can we just look at this. So 15:17 this came from the Department of Justice, if I am not mistaken.
Q. That's right, sorry.

247 Q. CHAN RMAK Would you like to have the hard copy?
A. No, it's fine.

248 Q. CHA RMAN You're happy with that.
A. Thank you, Chairman. No, I just wanted to -CHA RMAN No, no, I understand exactly, that's no problem.
Q. MS. MEGRATH You are absolutely right, it's triggered effectively by the Department of Justice.
A. By the Department of Justice, who are asking for an update in relation to -- in relation to the matter. And that's -- so at that point in time clearly it's of concern, you know, across the organisation and was to be progressed with a view to obtaining an update in respect of that, in respect of that piece of correspondence. As well as the generality of the issues. So clearly at that point in time it was of
concern to the department, it was of concern to the Commissioner and I was being asked to establish what was going on. As you have mentioned, matters had gone quiet. And that leads us very quickly into the arrangement of a case conference then later.
250 Q. Well now, this is 16th October 2017 and we know there are no -- sorry, 16th August 2017, and we know that the case conference issue doesn't raise its head until october, isn't that right?
A. There is a case conference organised by Mr. Barrett, as 15:18 I understand it, on the 3rd October.
A. Commissioner o'Sullivan had resigned. So clearly the organisation was in a very different place.
Commissioner ó Cualáin had been appointed. And the management of the organisation was having to address and deal with a lot of matters that were going on. If I was to step back and look, you know, at that period, if you like, a peregrine falcon in the sky looking down at what had going on, in the last few months we had dealt with, you know, industrial action, we'd had issues around the public accounts committee, issues associated with Templemore college, we had these issues associated with breath tests, we had then the issues associated with the various protected disclosures.

It's fair to say that it was a very challenging time. There was an awful lot going on. There was a lot of moving chairs at that moment. So issues associated with this, with no disrespect to Garda Keogh, they were one of a number of issues that were being examined at that moment in time.
we will just move through the sequence.
A. Sure.

And you can correct me as we are going along.
A. Okay.

255 Q. But I don't think in fact that letter is effectively actioned in any real sense at that time; is that right?
A. Em --

256 Q. would you accept that?
A. No, well, I would have expected that it would have been $15: 19$ sent to Mr. Barrett.
257 Q. We move on, because, as I say, what happens then is that on the following day, the 17th october, at 3541. Now we have already seen this with Chief superintendent McLoughlin. This is a letter from Garda keogh and he
is copying the Garda Commissioner, the Policing Authority and the Minister. He is outlining issues there with regard to bullying and harassment. He expresses, in fact, if you see there in the paragraph just near the top there where Mr. Kavanagh stopped, he is talking about the whereabouts of this form of complaint. Next he is talking about misrecording of sick leave. At the last paragraph he is talking about ongoing detriment, etcetera. He mentions at the top of
the next page an issue of -- if you can go to the next page:
"It appears that on the one hand for over 18 mont hs any i nvesti gati on into this grave and systematic bullying has been of ficially camouflaged, secreted and placed on hol $d$, while on the ot her hand the promotion of Superintendent Murray to chi ef superintendent has been covertly preferred, protected fromthe inside and furtivel y advanced. "

So he is mentioning -- so it's raising its head there on 17th August 2017. Now, we know that this correspondence is passed to you, at 3538. Do you remember this letter coming into your office?
A. I don't remember it off hand. But, yes, it would have come to my office. Can we go back to the correspondence. I mean, there are a series of comments being made on behalf of Garda Keogh. So, (a), I would have to say that the selection process at that point in time was being organised by the Policing Authority, it wasn't being organised by An Garda Síochána. So, from an independence point of view, the suggestion that in some way that there was an attempt to influence the promotion process, I would absolutely refute. It was an independent process run by the policing Authority and I think that's where it was.

258 Q. Okay. We will come to that in due course.
A. okay.

259 Q. But at the moment I am just trying to establish, you know, the knowledge and the function of your office vis-à-vis these matters that you are becoming live issues?
A. Okay.

260 Q. And as I say, this letter makes its way to you, 3538:
"I am di rected by the acting commi ssi oner to forward the attached correspondence for your attention.

Previ ous correspondence fromthis office of the 16t h. .."
which we've just seen.
"...in which an update in respect of Garda Keogh is requested. Please ensure that a suitable response i ssues to John Gerard Cullen Sol icitors in due course. A copy of this should be forwarded to your of fice."

Now, I think that you send this on to Chief Superintendent McLough1in. Now, I think this is what you were -- this was effectively your office --
A. A conduit, yeah.

261 Q. -- would channe1 this documentation in to HRPD?
A. Absolutely.

262 Q. Is that right?
A. A conduit, absolutely, and then, you know, to keep an eye on the progression up to when it was channelable.
Q. I think we have seen in the papers that you do look for -- you're using the words "urgent attention". For example, even if we look at a letter of 3544 , because, I mean, we will see another letter coming in from Garda Keogh, again you are channelling them in to HRPD. At this stage you're drawing these things to HRPD's urgent attention, is that right?
A. That's correct.

264 Q. Is that how you saw it, that it was something that needed to be addressed urgently?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. One of those letters again that came to you, we will just open it briefly. There was another one from Garda Keogh on the 21st September. So now we are moving into September, at 3538. Now, you might remember this one. It's coming in from Garda Keogh, he is talking about the human cost to him of what is happening to him. He attaches a handwritten letter. Do you remember that?
A. I don't.
Q. If you just flick down there, Mr. Kavanagh, you should
A. I don't. But, you know -- I don't remember it.
Q. He is raising very detailed issues there in relation to the ó Cualáin investigation. You see paragraph 2 , he is talking about having made a statement in respect of the bullying and harassment matter on the 27th March. And again, this is dated the 21st September and there's a letter at 3545. So again this comes in to you. And has:
"I am di rected to refer to the above. The acting commissioner sought a report on the present position of the bullying and har assment compl ai nt of Garda Keogh. In addition, a response is outstanding in respect of thi s office minutes of the 16th August."

What we have seen.
"The 18th August."
what we have seen.
"And 8th September 2017. Please treat as urgent."

Now, that is 25 th September 2017. Again, you were passing these on to HRPD; isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. In fact, that's at 3555. Again, you are marking it for urgent attention of HRPD, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

269 Q. Now, we started around the 16th August, we're now at the date of that, for example, I think it' the 10th October, if I am right. what is your role in following up the responses? Because you're sending them on, one coming back in to you. what is your role in relation to that?
A. So I would have spoken to Mr. Barrett. As I'm aware,

Mr. Barrett arranged a case conference on the 3rd October, where the issues in this area were arranged. So I am aware that Mr. Barrett had arranged a case conference and was progressing the issues that are referenced.

270 Q. Okay. So would you have been aware -- if you just look at the dates chronologically. We're aware from the material and from Chief Superintendent McLough1in's evidence that there was a telephone call between Chief Superintendent McLough1in and Mr. Barrett with John Gerard Cullen on the 2nd October, did you know about that?
A. So, I wouldn't have known the detail and I am not even certain that I would have been told anything about the conversation other than contacts had been made. And again, if I am not mistaken, was there a reference in the notes of the case conference for the 3rd October.

271 Q. okay. I think, as you've mentioned there a few times, there was a case conference convened on the 3rd October. If we just open the minutes, the Chairman has seen these, 10118. 10118. Do you see those minutes?
A. Yes, I do.

272 Q. Now, I just want to clarify something for the record. It says:
"Present: Mr. Barrett, AC Fanni ng, Mr. Mulligan, Chi ef Superintendent McLoughl in, Sergeant Doolin, Sergeant Broderick, Inspector MECarthy and Kenneth Ruane."

You were not at that meeting, is that right?
A. I was not at the meeting.
Q. okay, because, just for the record, on Day 112 it was put to Garda keogh that you would speak to the notes of this conference. But I think you did not attend the conference?
A. There's seem to be some -- there's a reference, I have seen a reference in the transcripts to two case conferences organised by myself. There was one case conference I organised, which is later in October. If there's a reference -- if this is the second one, I wasn't involved in the meeting, I wasn't even aware that the meeting had taken place and the first time I would have seen the minutes was probably in this process.

274 Q. So any reference in the transcript, Day 112, page 12, that this happened under your watch, that's incorrect?
A. That's incorrect.
Q. Okay. Now, just looking at the minutes there, because this is something that becomes an issue that's on your table subsequently later in the month. You see there, midway down the page that Mr. Kavanagh has opened:
"Assi stant Commi ssi oner Fanning outlines that there needs to be a bi gger investigation carried out as previ ous cases."
A. And he raises this issue --
Q. Again?
A. -- at my meeting, at the case conference that I
organised.
277 Q. okay. Would you have been aware, for example -- if we just open -- they are -- I suppose an actions sheet was drawn up after the 3rd October. 10102. You see there at the very first one:
> "An assistant commissi oner to be assi gned to conduct an i nvesti gation. This needs to commence with a statement from Garda Keogh.

Mr. Barrett to appoi nt an assi stant commi ssi oner."

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.

278 Q. Then there is a letter to Garda Keogh and it is from Inspector James McCarthy, who is in Assistant Commissioner Fanning's office. 10103. And if you go down there, it's to Garda Keogh. It says there at the 1ast paragraph:
"It was al so agreed that an assi stant commi ssi oner woul d be appoi nted to conduct an investigation into these matters."

So, at the 3rd October then, what's your knowledge that this is the plan of action effectively
A. I wasn't aware of that at that point.

279 Q. Okay. Do you know when you became aware of the details of the 3rd October?
A. So it would have been in the build up to my case conference later that month. So at that point in time Commissioner ó Cualáin had spoken to me about his general concerns about press commentary associated matter, not that it was in the press but more that he was concerned was there anything happening in this regard. It was for that reason that I organised a case conference to look at the various issues and the various strands of issues that had been brought forward by Garda Keogh.
280 Q. We11, just before we get to your case conference, which was on the 23rd October, we also know that Mr. Barrett wrote to -- I am opening this letter because you are subsequently asked about this letter. It's a letter of 13th October 2017. 3592. If we can just -- sorry, it 15:30 starts on 3590. Sorry, Mr. Kavanagh. So this is effectively a response to the queries in the letter of the 21st October, or sorry, 21st September 2017, the one we looked at, which had the handwritten letter attached, do you see that?
A. I do.

281 Q. If you go on to the next page. He talks about paragraph 2 -- as I say, I'm concentrating on these because are you subsequently asked about these.
"With respect to the bullying and harassment compl ai nt, I amto advi se that there has been extensi ve correspondence from Garda HRPD seeking cl arification from Garda Keogh as to who specifically he was naming
as the subject of his compl ai nt. We are now aware from both the correspondence and the tel ephone conversation who that indi vidual is and we will proceed accordingly. "

That is Mr. Barrett to Mr. Cullen and this letter then makes its way to you from Chief Superintendent McLoughlin on the 19th October. And that's at 3589. Do you remember it making its way to you?
A. Well I have seen it in evidence, yes.

15:31

282 Q. And you're told here:
"On 3rd October 2017, a case conference was hel d at of ficer of executive di rector HRPD in respect of Garda Keogh. Resulting from conference a number of actions are currently being undertaken. "

So Is that all you're told about this effectively?
A. Yes, that's correct.

283 Q. And, as you say, you then get a letter from the Commissioner's office about this. 10132. Do you see this? This is coming in to you?
A. Yeah.

284 Q. This is coming in from --
A. From the Commissioner's office.

285 Q. -- superintendent Mahon. Yes. He's referring to the letter of the 21st September, that's Garda Keogh's letter. He is referring to Mr. Barrett's letter there in the second last paragraph. He is asking you:
"Clarification is sought as to when this letter was recei ved by Mr. Barrett and by what means."

He goes on to the next page and he says:
"Garda Keogh states that he made a formal internal complaint of bullying and harassment in accordance with the Garda poly document Wbrking to Create a Positive Wbrking Envi ronment.

The acting cormissioner has enquired as to the present position in the investigation of Garda Keogh's allegation of bullying and harassment. Who are the peopl e compl ai ned of and on what date were they
provi ded with a notification of the allegations? Who has been appointed to carry out the investigation? Wen were they appointed? What enquiries have been carried out to date? Wen is it anticipated this investigation will be finalised?

He is al so looking for a chronol ogi cal account of who was in possessi on of the complaint."

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.

286 Q. Now, is this now a cause of concern at Commissioner level?
A. Yes, it is.

287 Q. Can I ask you.
A. And a concern for myself.
Q. Okay. Can you just tell us a little bit about that?
A. Well, clearly, when you look at the range of questions that are being asked, a very serious issue, where it is 15:33 of concern that Garda Keogh's allegations and particularly those relating to bullying and harassment had not been progressed to where it should have been. So it was of obvious concern around that, that issue. So in that regard, I sought a chronology of events and the case conference takes place four days later. Okay. So at this stage, at a very senior level, there's an awareness that there's an issue regarding this and, in fact, the question is asked?
"When will the investigation be finalised."

Were you aware that it hadn't been started at that point?
A. I wasn't aware that it hadn't started at that point.

The chronology then brings out the fact that it hadn't started.

290 Q. Okay. Now what is happening then in the meantime? So that is correspondence on the 19th October. Now at this stage, can you explain to the Commissioner, as you 15:34 say, are you in a managerial capacity, is that right?
A. That's correct.

291 Q. Okay. But at this stage you have actually, as of the 17th October, two days before, you have been asked to
take charge of Garda Keogh's files almost in their entirety, is that right?
A. I have been asked by the Commissioner, who feels that he is conflicted in dealing with this, Commissioner Ó Cualáin, that I would take responsibility for dealing 15:34 with -- for ensuring that Garda Keogh's matters are progressed and, in parallel with that, that any queries in relation to -- from the Policing Authority in relation to the appointment of or the promotion of Patrick Murray to chief superintendent would be managed 15:35 by myself. Cualáin says -- if you want to see it there, 3967. Go down the page please, Mr. Kavanagh. If you stop there. He says:
"In October 2014, gi ven my prior i nvol vement in certain matters pertaining to the CR and on the basis of I egal advi ce fromthe head of legal services..."

Now, in fact, that's October 2017, I think; is that correct
A. That would sound correct.

293 Q. Okay. He says:
"I instructed the chi ef admini strative of ficer Mr. Joe Nugent to oversee all maters pertaining to the CR without recourse to me."
A. That's correct.
Q. Now what did he mean by that? was he completely stepping back?
A. Yes, he did. It meant that he was essentially delegating the responsibility for resolving or dealing with these issues to myself.
Q. And did he explain to you why?
A. Well, he explained that having been involved, as it says here, having been involved in certain aspects related to complaints made and his investigation into those issues, he felt it would be more appropriate for accountability and transparency reasons that he would not be involved in this stage of the process itself. So I took those responsibilities as they related, as I said, to the matters associated with the complaint, the 15:36 complaints, plural, and those associated with the correspondence between the organisation and the Policing Authority related to the appointment of Pat Murray. And those matters were dealt with by myself and would not have been involved with retired Commissioner Ó Cualáin in relation to the issues from that point forward.

296 Q. Well, I think he was asked in his interview by the Tribunal investigators did you keep him updated and he said, in a general sense, yes.
A. Yeah, I think that's fair, a general sense. We did not get into any detai1. He wanted as Commissioner to make sure that as far as I was concerned matters were progressing.
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Q. Did you see it as you now having full responsibility from that day onwards in respect of how Garda Keogh was going to be dealt with by the organisation?
A. I felt it my responsibility to ensure that those complaints made by Garda Keogh were being properly addressed by the organisation, and that those issues and those queries that had come from a policing Authority would be addressed by myself on behalf of the organisation.
Now, I think we have seen Assistant Commissioner ó Cualáin's diaries and he has an entry for the 19th October. So you had the executive meeting on the 17th, where you are put into that position, is that right? Cualáin's diaries and
October. So you had
where you are put in
Do you accept that?
Yeah, I accept that. Cualáin's diaries an
October. So you had
where you are put in
Do you accept that?
A. Yeah, I accept that.
 Then on the 19th he has a diary entry, where he says he asks you to arrange a case conference with all concerned on the Nick Keogh file?
A. If my memory serves me right, around that time, I can't say between those dates, but around that time there was considerable press coverage that Garda Keogh's matters were not being addressed. There were a variety of strands associated with that, so not just those related to bullying and harassment. And the conversation with Commissioner ó Cualáin was that he wanted me to be satisfied that all of the strands were properly being addressed.
300 Q. And so, it was on his instructions that you organised the case conference on the 23 rd, is that right?
A. That's correct.

01 Q. okay. Now, moving then on to the 23 rd and coming to your case conference. 10143, please, Mr. Kavanagh. okay. So this is from yourself on the 19th October. You are asking to convene the conference. You say there:
"You are asked to attend a meeting in my of fice on Mbnday at 12: 15 to prepare a stat us update in respect of the issues associ at ed with the Ni chol as Keogh matters."

You're asking for a status update from all these people; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. "The purpose of the meeting is (1), to confirmthe chronol ogy of actions to date in addressing the issues rai sed. And (2), agree the next steps in addressing the issues outstanding."

Now, you send it to Mr. Barrett HRPD, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Chief Superintendent McLough1in?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is again HRPD. Assistant Commissioner Corcoran, you said earlier he was in Governance and Accountability, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Assistant Commissioner Fanning, who is assistant
commissioner of the Eastern Region at that point; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Gallagher?
A. Mr. Gallagher was working in the office of the then deputy commissioner of Governance and Strategy and Mr. Gallagher's role was to organise the meeting but secondly, to minute the meeting itself. to in a moment. All right. So you are then provided, you get two things effectively. That's on the 19th, you set out the minute. At 10147, Assistant Commissioner Fanning come back to you. 10147. He says:
"I refer to the above and al so to our meeting on the 23rd Oct ober."

And he attached a number of files, do you see that?
A. That's slightly confusing. I mean, it's reference -the date, it was sent on the 20th October, yet he references our meeting on the 23rd. So there is something peculiar about that, but notwithstanding that
Q. I think it's in anticipation of the meeting of the 23rd, is it?
A. Well, I am just curious. It says it's sent on the 20th October and yet it's referencing the meeting of the 23rd. So there's something just a bit odd about that.

I am not sure how that has occurred. Am I reading that correctly?
309 Q. We11, I think we can only go by the e-mail date and time?
A. Sure, I accept that.

310 Q. It would look like it's sent in advance of the meeting, would you accept that?
A. Well, except that he references our meeting of Monday, the $23 r d$.
okay. we11, down at the second last paragraph, he says:
"I amquite concerned and have been for a consi derable period of time regarding the issues emanating from Athl one district, many of which predate my arrival in the Eastern Regi on."

Do you see that?
A. I do.

312 Q. He deals with an issue with divisional officers. So,
it would appear to this Tribunal that this came in to you in advance of the meeting of the 23 rd and he was raising concerns about issues emanating from Ath1one, would that be correct?
A. Well, I won't argue the point, but it just is peculiar. 15:41 I don't understand that.

313 Q. CHA RMAN Could you go back to that for a moment? Peter, just go back up to the reference. On the face of it, it can't be dated the --
A. No, it seems peculiar. But whether he is referencing that he's looking forward to the meeting on the 23rd perhaps, that's possible I suppose. But I guess what he is doing is, attaching a range of documents that he believes are relevant to the issues at hand. whether there's anything of substance in relation to the dates, I don't know. So he may well be referencing in advance the meeting that is to take place.
CHA RMAN Yes, that probably makes sense actually, Ms. McGrath, to the meeting that we're going to have.
ME. MEGRATH Well, it was certainly my understanding.
A. Okay, that's fine.

CHA RMAN That is probably right.
MS. MEGRATH Do you remember receiving this e-mail?
A. I do. There was a series of emails that would have been provided by Assistant Commissioner Fanning around these issues.

316 Q. We know from disclosure, we know that this material is extensive, is that right?
A. It's enormous in fact. I went back looking for material yesterday and it was so voluminous that we just couldn't print it down, it's too voluminous. So in that regard, do $I$ remember seeing the e-mail? Yes. Did I look at the full detail of this? No, I did not. I didn't see it as my duty or, indeed, my responsibility to get into the issues associated with that. I felt that from a privacy point of view that there is material there that really was not for me to see. My role in this was process related, it wasn't
related to the specific issues that had been raised. My only role here was to ensure that the matters were progressing and not to look at the detail of the various complaints that had been made by Garda Keogh.
317 Q. Okay. Here you have an assistant commissioner attaching this volume of information, making that statement of concerns, is this passed on by you?
A. No.

No, okay. Now, I think also on the 23rd October, which is the morning, it seems, of the meeting, you get a chronology of Garda Keogh's files from Chief Superintendent McLough1in, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that's 10166. Now we won't go through the chronology, in fact, it would have been dealt with by Chief Superintendent McLoughlin in his evidence. But I think it's a very detailed chronology, outlining the dealings with Garda Keogh, and particularly the dealings in relation to the receipt of his bullying and harassment claim, isn't that right?
A. That's fair. And it gave me, I must say, some comfort that there had been a level of work that was going on around this. whereas there had been some concerns that all had gone quiet, in fairness, in looking at the chronology, it certainly showed that Chief
Superintendent McLoughlin and HRPD had been engaged on the issues at hand.

320 Q. Did it give you any concerns that there was an enormous issue of delay here?
A. Of course, yeah, absolutely. And like, that comes out at the case conference itself, where it is apparent that the bullying and harassment claim has not progressed where it should and an action out of the meeting is to progress the issue, because that was there. But I was at least -- I was at least comforted that there had been a level of engagement around the issue, albeit the substantive complaint had not been addressed.

321 Q. I think they're -- they seem to me -- I will just put it to you, are they not inconsistent positions? How could you be comforted and concerned on the same issue at the same time?
A. No, I was comforted there had been a level of engagement between HR and Garda Keogh around issues of concerns. Of course. Absolutely. My primary concern was that the matter hadn't been addressed, hadn't been progressed and, indeed, you know, if we look at the minutes of the meeting itself, there was some discussion about the complexities of bringing forward the matters. But what was agreed was a way forward from that point. I would like to think that the result of the case conference did progress the matters and gave them a kick start, if that is an appropriate phrase.
322 Q. okay. Let's go to the case conference itself. We have the minutes of the case conference. These are, I think, the official minutes. They're at 10149. And you are chairing the case conference; isn't that right?
A. I am.

323 Q. You have outlined the attendees there. I think at the top, the last person should be Ken Ruane, is that right?
A. Ken, yeah.
Q. Sure.
A. The version I have here in front of me is formatted slightly differently. So the Ruane piece is not on the 15:46 version that I have here. So just to flag that. Again, $I$ have no issue if we go through these. So just to point that out.

327 Q. CHA RMAN what you might do, Mr. Nugent, is to make it available?
A. I will.

328 Q. CHA RMAN Make it available and we can take a copy of it then.
A. That's fine. Sorry, if any issues surface through this

CHA RMAN I'm not sure anything arises.
MS. MEGRATH Just to clarify, Mr. Nugent, is there a page number on the one you're looking at?
A. It says 186 on the top of this, that's a11. But I am
happy, as I said, to make it available.
CHA RMAN That's all right, yes, thanks very much. Is that okay?
A. So what I have here are minutes and also includes actions. So these are done after the event, and includes some additional views and comments on the above minutes. So that is the version I have here. CHAI RMAN That doesn't sound like yours, Ms. McGrath. ME. MEGRATH No.
A. No, it's completely different, that is why I just want 15:47 to flag it.
CHAN RMAN Thanks very much, that's very helpful.
MR. DONLL MEGU NESS: Chairman, maybe I can assist the Tribunal here.
CHA RMAN Thank you, Mr. McGuinness.
MR. DONLL MEGU NESS: There are two minutes, Chairman.
There's minutes that Ms. McGrath has referred to, 10149.

CHAI RMAN 10149.
MR. DONAL MGGU NESS: which is the one that
Ms. McGrath is about to open.
CHA RMAN And?
MR. DONLL MGGI NESS: And there is another set of minutes, more detailed, which is perhaps what the witness is referring to, it's at 10150.
CHAI RMAN 10150.
ME. MtGRATH That's right, yeah.
CHA RMAN okay.
MR. DONAL MtGI NESS: Just in case, that's the two.

CHA RMAN Peter, would you turn up 10150, please.
A. That's the version that I have.

MS. MEGRATH And that's absolutely correct.
CHA RMAN That's, okay. It is just a question of reconciling the two. Thanks very much, Mr. McGuinness. 15:48 ME. MEGRATH Can we go through three things. Can I just ask you, one -- the first thing to look at is 10149?
A. Okay.

331 Q.
This is just a page which is separate in some ways but it was disclosed together, which seems to just be a summary?
A. Okay.

332 Q. At the front there?
A. Can I just confirm, who supplied this? was that supplied from myself, from my office?
CHA RMAN Peter, would you put up 10149, please, and we can see. Scroll down to the bottom of it. It's a one-page document; is that right?
MS. MEGRATH That's right. And it seems to just be a very briefly summary and we have it, if you go to the next page, if you keep scrolling down, you will see the minutes that you're looking at.
A. Yes.

334 Q. The formal minutes?
A. Yes, I see it.

335 Q. So do you have any knowledge of the first page?
A. As I said, it doesn't ring a bell, and certainly in preparing for here $I$ hadn't come across it. It may be
that it was put, but I would be interested in who provided those.
336 Q. okay. We can clarify where the first page comes from?
A. okay.

If we can look at the first page?
A. Okay.
Q. You can simply, as I say, accept or reject.
A. $\mathrm{Hm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

339 Q. Under the agenda there, it says:
"Commi ssi oner requi res an update for department."

So is this why the meeting was being held effectively?
A. Yeah. Would you mind just scrolling up just to the top of that. I am wondering if that's actually an agenda rather than minutes.

340 Q. It's an agenda.
A. Apologies, no, that's okay.

341 Q. CHA RMAN No, it says minutes?
A. It says minutes, okay, that's fine. Yes, I do accept that there was an update required. The Minister had south that and we discussed that earlier, just a few minutes ago.
342 Q. And it seemed that there were three issues, main issues really. Number one, you're looking at HR strand, welfare strand, etcetera?
A. Yes.

343 Q. And protected disclosure, AC Ó Cualáin?
"2. Criminal discipline B\&H. FOl requests. Current possi ble liqui dator Tri bunal GSOC.
3. Absence files sickness."

So are they the three main issues? You didn't draw up this agenda, did you? You didn't chair the meeting?
A. No, it doesn't ring a bell. It's certainly not a style that I would use and it's not one that I would -- so I am going to speculate, I am wondering if it came from Mr. Ruane, but I don't know.
344 Q. Okay. we11, we can certainly find out who drafted them?
A. Okay.

345 Q. If you just go to your own piece there, CAO, seen down 15:50 at the end?
A. Yes.

346 Q.
"Fact-Finding piece. Do we have grounds to proceed to B\&H. Each segment is being dealt with. Adhere to 15:50 pol icy. "

Now, this was opened by counsel for AGS in cross-examination of Mr. Keogh, and I think -- Garda Keogh, sorry, Garda Keogh queried "fact-findi ng pi ece". 15:51 Does this ring a bell to you? Is this language that you used?
A. And I don't think it appears in the next set of minutes, I think we use the word scoping. I am not
going to fall out over language on this. The purpose of what was being discussed is: Can we be certain that all of the issues of concern to Garda Keogh were being addressed. So, as I said, without getting into worries about what does fact-finding mean versus what does scoping mean or whatever, what was intended in this part was to ensure that all those issues that Garda Keogh was concerned about were being addressed. So the various strands, so whether as criminal, discipline, bullying and harassment or whatever else, was there somebody tasked and dealing with those particular matters.
347 Q. And if you just go up above there, you have Assistant Commissioner Fanning, just the last -- just go up a little bit.
A. Yes.

348 Q. Assistant Commissioner Fanning, in the last two lines there he says:
"A lot of allegations - not just B\&H - multiplicity.
Other than B\&H - are notes being taken of this meeting? CAO - yes."
would you dispute those?
A. No.

349 Q. or accept them?
A. Oh absolutely. I think Mr. Fanning at that point in time was making the point that the specificity of the bullying and harassment element was difficult to
quantify, and that certainly surfaces as part of other matters that go on in parallel with that. He also references this Byrne/McGinn approach, which, as I understand it, Mr. Fanning was suggesting that we essentially start the process all over again, take all of the matters in their entirety and look at a holistic inquiry, but essentially start the matter again. An approach which I rejected.
okay. Now, if we go into the notes of the meeting on the next page. These are the ones that we just mentioned there. 10150. You have the attendees all set out there. In fact, there's a lot more people referenced there. You talk about the purpose of the meeting. JN is yourself; isn't that right?
A. That's correct. Can I just correct as we11, I just see 15:53 Ms. Kathleen Hassett is appearing there as GS, but she's actually referenced in the minute as KH .

351 Q. That's right.
A. So just for clarity.

352 Q. Okay. Now, you see:
"The purpose of the meeting set out to gather a hol istic pi cture of the general stat us of issues, noting a lot of strands in play raised by Garda Keogh and his solicitors. Allow for necessary response on the matter to be provi ded to the Department of Justice and Equal ity. Hi ghl i ghted no di scussi on of sensitive material et cetera. Just need very broad sense of where each area/strand of the issues raised is at to ensure
all matters are bei ng addressed and actioned accordingl y froman organi sational perspective."

Can I just ask you, first of a11, an issue has arisen,
and we have seen it in the papers, about the confidentiality of this meeting and whether or not it was appropriate in the context of a protected disclosure, whether there were so many so attendees and whether it was confidential. Now, it's marked confidential at the top. What's your view on that?
A. Okay. So a few things. Firstly, at the very outset of the meeting I made it very clear that $I$ had no wish or desire to get into any of the detailed matters of the complaints of Garda Keogh, respecting his privacy and respecting the confidentiality of that, it was imperative that we were talking here process related and not getting into the detail of those matters. There were large numbers of people, it was a large meeting. There were individuals there who weren't in the previous one. So, for example, Ms. Hassett is a member of Garda staff, a civilian, who had responsibility for the bullying and harassment policy within -- of managing bullying and harassment complaints in the organisation. That's the reason for her attendance. So just to give one example of that, of those there. I understand that we have referenced there Inspector McCarthy, he was brought along by Mr. Fanning, I think, I assume for the purpose of taking notes. But at the very outset of the meeting I
was adamant that I wanted everyone in the room to recognise and respond to the sensitivity and the privacy of the issues there. That's the first point.

Secondly, it would not be uncommon to have case conferences relating to a variety of HR issues. I know, again referencing the transcript, that there were suggestions that Garda Keogh should be made aware of this at this particular meeting. As I said, this is normal HR practice, where those who are looking at a range of issues associated with a particular case would come together to make sure that they were properly addressed. And in this particular case we had the added complexity that at this point in time there was a civil litigation matter at play, and therefore, it would just be inappropriate to have the individual involved in the room. This was about the organisational response and ensuring the organisational response was put in place to the matters of concern.
353 Q. But with respect, I think, Mr. Nugent, it says at the outset:
"It is to allow for the necessary response to be provi ded to the Department of Justice and Equality."

Is that why the meeting was being held?
A. It was one of the reasons of why it was there. It was there, it says, "and then allow', so it wasn't purely for that purpose. So the purpose of the meeting was to
gather an holistic picture of general status of issues and then allow, so it wasn't a singular issue, it wasn't singularly for the purposes of the Department of Justice, it was equally important for us as an organisation and primarily important for us as an organisation to ensure that the matters were being properly addressed.

354 Q. We11, I suppose I have to ask you was this happening because the Commissioner felt the organisation was coming under pressure?
A. The Commissioner wanted assurances that the concerns that Garda Keogh had raised, that all of them were being addressed. There was press commentary on the issue at the time, but it wasn't there, it was setting off an alarm that something should be done and he wanted some assurances that the organisation was properly addressing and dealing with matters that had been raised.
355 Q. okay. And the reason I ask you at the outset about the confidentiality issue, I think you will be aware, and we will come to it in a moment, that there were media leaks in relation to this meeting; isn't that right?
A. I joined the organisation in August 2016. We had a management meeting I think within a week of that date. The day after the management meeting there was a report 15:57 in the media of the events that went on at that management meeting. Completely unrelated. We worked in a time and through this period of time, an extraordinary period, where people felt it appropriate
to make comment to the media about matters that were going on. It is something in my long public service experience that I have not come across before, and it's certainly something that I don't think is helpful to the organisation at large. The purpose at the outset was to make sure that people were aware and I was flagging in advance these matters were confidential and sensitive, they were private to Garda keogh and I did not want the matters discussed outset of the room at that time.
356 Q. Okay. We will come to the press release in a moment. But just staying with the meeting itself, I think we have heard the meeting described in various ways, there is a disagreement, it was fractured, I think chief Superintendent McLoughlin said there was healthy discourse. How would you describe it?
A. Healthy discourse is what the organisation needs. We have to challenge each other and we should respect and be in a position to challenge it. There were opposing views put forward. As I say, Mr. Fanning put forward an approach. In a respectful way I disagreed with it and we pursued a different line. But for any organisation to proceed there has to be healthy discourse. There has to be the opportunity to challenge it. It would not be a very good place if people weren't to do it. To describe it as fractious, I think would be unfair, but I think there were respectful challenges around the approaches that were being suggested.

357 Q. And when you talk about those approaches, I think at the bottom of page 10150 we see your contribution in there, in about the fourth last line. If you just keep going down, please. It says:
"J N aware of correspondence in August 2017 that now had a name and can marry together with the B\&H cl ai m"

You did on to say:
"J $N$ querying if there is grounds to have a B\&H i nvesti gati on done to whi ch FF responds that he is the one to deci de given that he has been tasked with that."

Can you just tell the Chairman a little bit about that exchange?
358 Q. CHA RMAN He is the one to decide that, given what he has been tasked with?
A. Mr. Fanning was making it very clear, Mr. Nugent, it is not your responsibility to appoint an investigator, that's my responsibility.
359 Q. MG. MEGRATH were you querying whether there were grounds to appoint an investigator for the B\&H?
A. Well, I wanted to make sure why had somebody not been appointed. That was really the pressing point. As I said, from a process point of view it was irrelevant to me who was going to make the appointment. But at that point in time nobody had been appointed. There had been a reference, I think Ms. Hassett had said
previously or may have said at the meeting that that it was only recently that the specifics had come out around the named individual against whom the allegations were made and there was certainly an absence of clarity. That is certainly something that Mr. Fanning would have referenced as well. So we now had confirmation that a name had -- given together, I was asking the point, can we progress the investigation at this time.
well, you have just mentioned it there and let's look at precisely what she said. It's up at the start of paragraph D:
"KH (Kathl een Hassett) noting no details or specifics until got the statement dated 27th March 2017 and to her know edge no investigation carried out into same to date. "

So, with respect, she is not saying only recently. we're now in the 23 rd october. She is saying "no specifics until got the statement of 27th March 2017"
A. And I think at the meeting there were discussions and it was put forward and I said further down, I think it is about four or five lines from the bottom:
"JN aware of correspondence in August 2017 that now have the main and can marry all together with the bullying and harassment clai mnow."

There was discussion around that specific issue and that's where the matter had been addressed. So, to pick what Ms. Hassett was saying, that the details were there, there was some discussion at the meeting about whether we had sufficient -- whether there was sufficient material in place to enable the bullying and harassment claim to progress, but what we can confirm here, what $I$ am saying at the meeting, which wasn't -which wasn't contradicted, we now are in a position to progress the matter.

361 Q. CHA RMAK Is that suggesting, Mr. Nugent, that you hadn't been in a position to progress it before that? That is I think where Ms. McGrath is going.
A. I think what what's being suggested to me was that --

362 Q. CHA RMAN I'm not suggesting either way.
A. No, no. what was being suggested to me was that one of the factors for this not progressing was the absence of that specificity. I am not saying that's right or not, I am just saying that's what was suggested at the meeting.
363 Q. CHA RMAN If I am understanding, where you were at the beginning of this meeting?
A. Yes.

364 Q. CHA RMAN It was known that there was an issue because the Minister wanted to know where you were. That was one of the purposes of the meeting?
A. Yes.

365 Q. CHA RMAN Was to respond to the Minister?
A. Yes.
Q. CHAN RMAN You say only one of the purposes?
A. Yes.

367 Q. CHA RMAN okay. A second one was to say, how are we going to deal with this?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN Because Assistant Commissioner Fanning was of one view as to the nature of the investigation that took place?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN And others clearly were of a different view, 16:02 including yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. CHAN RMAN So that nothing could happen until somebody decided what sort of thing was going to happen, is that right?
A. That's fair.
Q. CHA RMAN So Ms. Hassett is saying, but we did have the statement since the 27th March?
A. That's correct.

CHAI RMAN okay.
MS. MEGRATH So, Ms. Hassett is taking the position that when we got it on the 27th March, we got specifics and details.
CHA RMAN I imagine you will be some time, Ms. McGrath.
MS. MEGRATH I certain7y will and we certain1y won't finish this evening. But if I could finish maybe the next five or ten minutes, just on the case conference perhaps.

CHA RMAN No, absolutely. I am not rushing you. We will start tomorrow at 10:30 I think, it's a little easier all round. So 10:30, it's official, tomorrow. Thank you very much.
MS. MEGRATH okay.
CHA RMAN Now, of course, Ms. McGrath, stop a convenient time to you and Mr. Nugent.
372 Q. MS. MEGRATH Okay. So, Mr. Nugent, just to be very clear, Assistant Commissioner Fanning is staying with the position that he had on the 3rd October, where he is looking for this wider investigation, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

373 Q. Okay. Now, you're at odds with him in relation to that, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So you're at odds with him in the sense that, whether we use the word fact-finding or scoping, you believe there's more work to be done, is that right?
A. My primary concern here was that I had been told before the meeting that we had sufficient specifics to enable us to progress the bullying and harassment complaint. It seemed to me that what was being proposed by Assistant Commissioner Fanning was to start all over again. To take the entirety of all of the matters together --
375 Q. CHA RMAN Throw everything out on the floor or on the table and start again?
A. And start again.

376 Q. CHA RMAK Okay. That was your understanding of the position.
A. That was my understanding.
Q. CHAN RMAN He may agree or disagree?
A. Absolutely, yes. My view was that there were other matters that were progressing, they had already been addressed. And you can see some others who are involved in some of those. My view was, there is a bullying and harassment complaint, can we get on with it.

MS. MEGRATH Okay. Because it's not entirely clear from the minutes. So you are accepting that you're good to go on the bullying and harassment side of this?
A. Yes.

379 Q. okay. So what are your references to fact-finding or scoping then? Because Garda Keogh is quite exercised by the references to scoping, as you will probably have seen from the transcripts.
A. So my concern there was, there were clearly matters that were bullying and harassment, there were other matters that were matters of a criminal nature, there were matters that were related to discipline and so on. What I wanted assurances on, is that for any of those particular elements that were there, was somebody addressing and dealing with all of those concerns. So, 16:05 as I said, we can call it scoping, we can call it fact-finding. My point was: Can we confirm that everything, al1 of the concerns, all of the individual strands of concerns from Garda Keogh were progressing?

It was in that context that I put forward the suggestion that somebody take a look at this coldly.
380 Q. CHA RMAN Somebody take a look at?
A. Coldly. So look at it dispassionately.

381 Q. CHA RMAN The last word?
A. So to look at the entirety of all of what Garda Keogh was saying dispassionately and say, okay, are there strands, what strands are there. we know that bullying and harassment needs to be addressed, but is there anything else that is not proceeding. And that's the piece that was being --
382 Q. ME. MEGRATH Okay, well let's look at the next page, 10151, the very bottom of the next page. You have two entries there at the end. It says:
"J N clarifying earlier suggestion that the assistant commissioner could take and compartmentalise the statement to do fact-finding scoping exercise of the whol e landscape of the complaint. JB di scussed the same. FF highlighting that two statements exist and what are we doing with same."
what is this talk with compartmentalising the statement and what statement are we talking about?
A. So, the statement that had been taken by Superintendent 16:06 Healy, Chief Superintendent Healy, apologies.
383 Q. CHAL RMAN Scanlan?
A. Scanlan, apologies.

CHA RMAN No, that's all right. I know there is a

Chief Superintendent Healy, but Chief Superintendent Scanlan had taken -- the 27th March.
A. Absolutely. And assess whether there were pieces of that that had not been picked up by any individual. So the compartmentalising was to take elements of that and put them into the relevant pots where individuals would be tasked with progressing the relevant investigations. No more than that. I am aware that people are, you know, referencing the scoping and fact-finding. That was what was -- I was very clear on. If others didn't see that that way, that's fine.

384 Q. Okay. And you say:
"JN raises a query as to whether we can def end not initiating the $B \& H$ investigation by way of Byrne/ MEGinn. "

What do you mean by that?
A. Well, as I said earlier, what was being proposed was that essentially we start all over again. That's what was being proposed. I was saying, is it defendable that Garda Keogh, who had concerns about bullying and harassment, would expect that all of the other matters would be brought in and ultimately delay the progression of the bullying and harassment -- his complaint of bullying and harassment.
385 Q. CHAL RMAN Your understanding of Byrne/McGinn approach and starting all over again, meant what, Mr. Nugent?
A. It meant that essentially we would have one person who

CHA RMAN We know about a handwritten or a written version.
A. Yes.

390 Q. CHA RMAN We're not worried about that for the moment. 16:08
A. Yeah.

391 Q. CHA RMAN By the 27th March, this was complete and there were exhibits with it?
A. Yes.

392 Q. CHAN RMAN Isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

393 Q. CHA RMAN So there was a compendium of I think about 150 pages?
A. Yes, that's correct.

394 Q. CHA RMAN So, that was going to be investigated by
A. Yes.

395 Q. CHA RMAN Is that right?
A. That is correct.
A. That's correct.

CHA RMAN And it came in under the bullying and harassment, working together, etcetera, etcetera?
A. That's correct.

398 Q. CHA RMAN The bullying and harassment policy.
A. Yes, that's correct.

399 Q. CHAN RMAN So the debate was: Do we look -- tell me what the debate was?
A. Yeah. So the bullying and harassment policy requires that you name what the exact nature of the bullying is and against whom you are alleging as bullying. In the statement, the statement goes into a variety of different areas, it talks about different people, it talks about a range of different matters. The concern that had been brought to my attention was that there wasn't -- it wasn't sufficiently specific to deal with it purely in the bullying and harassment domain, and that there were other matters related to other people and other issues that weren't bullying that needed to be addressed.

Assistant Commissioner Fanning was suggesting that one person -- that we stop, so that people who would be looking at some of those strands would stop and that the matter would be taken by one person who would look at all of those strands together.
400 Q. CHA RMAN okay.
A. My alternate approach, which I suggested we proceed with, was that there was a recognition that Garda Keogh feels he has been bullied and harassed.
401 Q. CHA RMAN And somebody would go to him and say, who is bullying and harassing you, in what way?
A. Absolutely yes, in what way and --

402 Q. CHAI RMAN So those are the two, as you saw it?
A. As I saw it.

CHA RMAN Okay. Thank you. Sorry, Ms. McGrath.
MS. MEGRATH I think what I am trying to clarify and maybe I'm not understanding your evidence correctly, Mr. Nugent. You said earlier, you said, we have a bullying and harassment complaint list, just get on with it. Okay. Now, just having listened to you there, you're saying, we11, it's very broad, there's this and that in it and we have to separate it out and we have to decide. I mean, which was it?
A. Well, I think what we can say is, Garda Keogh said he had been bullied and harassed and he had made a complaint. I think the nature of the complaint was what was causing the difficulty, because it dealt with a range of issues that went beyond that and went into other domains. So, we were absolutely aware that he had made a complaint. The question was: was the nature of the complaint so specific that would allow it 16:11 be progressed through the organisation's bullying and harassment policy. I was aware that there was a complaint. I was absolute that I wanted the complaint investigated.

404 Q. Can I just ask you, in the middle of the page, and I am sorry now just to stay with this?
A. okay.

405 Q. I just want to clarify this. If you go back up, there is a blackout at the top of the page. Don't move it, Mr. Kavanagh, please. Just there, there was a blackout there.
"J N confirmed by KH. "

It seems:
"As confirmed by KH, that provi de them Garda Keogh's solicitors, with B\&H policy and can we not go back and say to themto provide us with the specific al I egati ons."
A. Yes.

406 Q. So you are on the one hand saying, we have a B\&H complaint, let's gets get on with it. She is telling you that we have the complaint since March, and yet
here we have this prevarication or this inconsistency in the notes, it would seem.
A. Okay. No, I don't agree with you. what I am saying, to go back to my point, it was clear that Garda Keogh felt he had made a complaint. The concern related to the specific nature of the complaint and whether that specific nature could be investigated as it stood.
407 Q. CHA RMAN Did it fit into the B\&H policy?
A. In the policy. What was being suggested was, that we
go back to the individual to get it clarified and specifics so that the specific issues related to bullying and harassment would be addressed.
CHA RMAK As I understand it, where we stand at this moment, as I understand it is, the debate was between the position that you adopted, which is, look, we have a complaint, it is expressly made under the bullying and harassment policy, let's treat it as bullying and harassment and go and check it out and say who is the bully, who is bullying and what is the harassment, or was progressing. The main issue, the elephant in the room, if you like was: why had the bullying and harassment complaint made by Garda Keogh not progressed to the point of a proper investigation?
Q. MS. MEGRATH If we just go to the last page of those notes. If we keep scrolling down please, Mr. Kavanagh. So, it's just noted there in decision:
"Agreed that gi ven the previ ous invol vement in the matter with the acting commi ssi oner, that JNis best pl aced to in charge."

So that's noted in the minutes, is that right?
A. That's correct.

410 Q. Actions, you are to speak further with AC McMahon in respect of the investigation she is carrying out, and that's immediately. You are tasked with that. Now, I just note that because Garda Keogh, it's one of his complaints, that you were to contact him about the AC McMahon investigation and you never did?
A. I was to contact -- I have never spoken to Garda Keogh. No, I would never -- it wouldn't be -- I would never see it as my role to contact Garda Keogh on that issue. 16:15 where is it suggested that I --

411 Q. We11, did you speak to Assistant Commissioner McMahon?
A. Yes, I did. So Assistant Commissioner McMahon confirmed to me that she was progressing her matters. What she was doing or the detail of what was involved, I just wanted certainty that that matter was progressing. No more than that.

412 Q. Okay. And you didn't see it as your --
413 Q. CHA RMAN whatever inquiry she was carrying out, it
was progressing?
A. It was progressing, yes. The detail of what she was doing, what she was looking into was not, I felt was not my responsibility. So, Ms. McGrath, if your question is did $I$ feel it my responsibility to contact Garda Keogh about that, no, I didn't.

M5. MEGRATH Okay. Just there, these minutes were e-mailed out to people and I think Assistant Commissioner Fanning came back with some queries, is that right? They're at 10155. There, at the bottom.
A. Yes.

415 Q. 10155. There at the end. In particular, Kathleen Hassett comes back and she says:
"Confirmed B\&H policy al ready provided. I di dn't agree 16:16 that we go back to themfor specifics of complaints. What I said was that no specifics have been provided. I al so stated that we appear to be going over ground al ready covered. "

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.

416 Q. Do you accept her correction there?
A. I do.

417 Q. Okay. Then we have Assistant Commissioner Fanning on the $6 / 11$ came back, he said that the introduction of JN -- that the Commissioner has requested an update for the Department of Justice on all strands in relation to Garda Keogh is not included, but we did see that in the
minutes there.
A. We did. "That concerns expressed by JN about the del ays is not i ncl uded. "

Okay. So, did you express concern about delays?
A. I expressed this question and, you know, as I said, we had this statement from March and here we were in October and the bullying and harassment, you know, issue hadn't progressed.
Q. And he also had an addition:
"...that proposal of JN to strip the bullying and har assment issues fromthe reports of Garda Keogh is not incl uded."

Did you use that language?
A. I don't remember using that language.

421 Q. Okay. Now, just finally then this evening, just so that we can move on from the actual minutes of the Mr. Gallagher kept written notes, have you seen those in the brief?
A. If you can put them up on the screen, I think so. I
certainly struggled to read the -- if it's what I was looking at, which was a light blue colour, it was difficult to read.

422 Q. Okay. As I say, this is the final thing that we will deal with. It's at 10174. They come in from Elaine Wims, I think she works in that office as well; is that right? Do you know her?
A. Possibly, I don't know.
okay. So we understand that these are Mr. Gallagher's notes, starting at 10175, do you see that?
A. Okay. It surprised me, I mean, I can't recall Mr. Gallagher taking the notes in typed format at the meeting. I would have thought he would have taken them in handwritten form.

CHA RMAN I am sure this is a typed version of what he 16:18 had written down. At least that's what I am guessing, Ms. McGrath.

ME. MEGRATH okay. So if we go down there, you see the various strands?
CHA RMAR I am sure there is a version in handwritten form.
A. I see there is a reference there to Kate McEleney, if I'm not mistaken.

CHA RMAN There is.
A. Sorry, apologies, I didn't it. Apologies, my mistake.

MS. MtGRATH Okay. We see there, it seems to be the agenda, a brief analysis of it or a brief note of it. If you go on to the next page, if you go into -there's the GSOC issue, the welfare issues, the
bullying issues, where Ms. Hassett is saying:
"St at ement recei ved on the 27th March. Onl y recei ved in summer. Kathl een Hassett said AC Fanning was of the vi ew that the investi gation was bi gger."

So here we are getting into the same material that we have been talking about, okay. We have Assistant Commissioner Fanning referring to Chief Superintendent Scanlan took a four page statement supplemented by 20 pages from solicitor. You say there that there is a multiplicity of issues, do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.

425 Q. You are asking has he made a bullying complaint. You are told yes. would that be right? would you just be asking that at that meeting? I think you knew that, is that right?
A. We11, I wanted confirmation.
Q. Okay. On the next page you are saying -- and I will just do your -- because I think you can only speak to your own entries there that are recorded?
A. Okay.

427 Q. "Can we proceed with bullying?"

Do you see that?
A. I do.

428 Q. Assistant Commissioner Fanning says:
"I cannot make a deci si on."

You say:
"Do we not have grounds to proceed with bullying."

And we have Mr. Barrett then asking:
"Can we be satisfied that each of the segments is i dentified. "

Assistant Commissioner Fanning says:
" No. "

Then if you go down to you again:
"Nobody has been tasked to i nvesti gate bullying."

You are asking as a question. Are you unhappy with the situation as it develops?
A. Yes, absolutely.
Q. We have Mr. Barrett breaking it up into areas. You have Assistant Commissioner Fanning talking about harassment, exclusion.
"Management di dn't prosecute people. It's much bi gger than bullying and har assment."

Ms. Hassett is saying:
"At face val ue this is a bullying and harassment case. JN: What does he mean?"

Can I ask you about the next one:
"He is saying publically bullying and harassment. He is al so sayi ng publically we' re not investigating that. Can we say that we have sufficient to investigate the bullying, yes or no."

What is the reference to the public there?
A. So press commentary on this. So again, I am not putting words into Garda Keogh's mouth -- but certainly the perception that I had was that Garda keogh was dissatisfied that his bullying and harassment claim was progressing.
430 Q. Okay. And you say that:
"Have we gone back to himfor clarification and specifics.

Assistant Cormi ssioner Fanni ng: We have al ways written to him he has never anything to us.

J N: He has said it publicly and has compl ai ned to the Cormin ssi oner. Do we need to consider that he has specific allegations." So this is really an issue for you, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. The specificity of the issue, is that right?
A. Yes. So the bullying and harassment policy is clear, it says you must say who bullied you and what was the nature of the bullying. And what was being suggested was that that was broader than that issue.

432 Q. And you say at the end:
"Can we give himthe policy. Your complaints go beyond 16:22 that. Can we go to himand ask himto identify."

I mean, that's clearly your preference, is it, to go back to Garda Keogh's solicitors at that date?
A. Yes, it was. I mean, as I keep saying, it didn't seem to me that since March that we had made any reasonable -- if I was Garda Keogh, it didn't seem to me that we had been reasonable in terms of progressing the complaint that he was making of bullying and harassment.

433 Q. Can I ask you just about the next page, the third -your first -- sorry, you say -- just stop there, Mr. Kavanagh, a couple of lines down:
"We are exposed re bullying."

What do you mean by that?
A. The organisation. Garda Keogh had made a complaint. In my view the organisation had not addressed and I
felt that he were exposed for not progressing it further. Therefore, to continue not to address and deal with the matter was not acceptable from my perspective.
434 Q. okay. In the next page you're talking about:
"We need to progress the bullying."

This is coming from you. Then at the very end of the page you are saying:
"We need to action the bullying."

Then can I just ask you about the last page there.
"J B: We need to clarify.
AM Statement is very broad.
JN: We don't need to. We can go back to themfor specifics. I amsuggesting an AC examines statement to do a fact-finding scoping exercise."

So this again is going back to your evidence earlier?
A. It's going back to -- like, what was being suggested was the statement was very broad, and I was saying, can we go back and just get clarity around what are the nature of the specifics. And furthermore, are there other elements that have not -- of concern to Garda Keogh that have not been progressed by any individual. were all of his complaints being addressed and dealt
with.
435 Q. okay. Now, after the meeting there are various e-mail exchanges between yourself, Mr. Barrett and Assistant Commissioner Fanning, and I think we will start with those in the morning.

436 Q. CHA RMAN Can I just ask, did everybody at the meeting have the statement of the 27th March?
A. I couldn't say that off hand.

437 Q. CHA RMAN No.
A. I suspect not. In fact, I am pretty sure they would not have.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
438 Q. MG. MEGRATH I think was the chronology --
A. The chronology was certainly provided, yes.

439 Q. It was circulated at the meeting, was it?
A. The chronology was circulated, but the actual -- I don't believe the --

440 Q. CHAN RMAN The actual matters that Garda Keogh had complained of?
A. No, I'm pretty sure not.

CHAN RMAN Okay. Thanks very much.
MS. MEGRATH Thank you, Mr. Nugent.
CHA RMAN So we will say then 10:30 tomorrow. Lovely.
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| 50:26, 51:3, 51:27, <br> 52:1, 52:5, 53:16, <br> 55:13, 58:8, 59:19, <br> 59:25, 62:28, 63:1, <br> 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, <br> 67:10, 70:15, 72:21, <br> 74:24, 76:9, 77:18, <br> 78:2, 78:9, 78:23, <br> 79:5, 79:7, 80:29, <br> 81:2, 81:3, 81:13, <br> 81:17, 81:18, 82:20, <br> 83:6, 83:19, 83:22, <br> 84:6, 84:7, 85:1, <br> 85:21, 89:12, 89:23, <br> 89:26, 90:4, 91:24, <br> 94:9, 97:8, 98:18, <br> 98:20, 98:21, 103:19, <br> 105:27, 106:22, <br> 106:23, 107:1, 109:1, <br> 109:15, 109:28, <br> 111:24, 113:13, <br> 115:7, 117:3, 121:15, <br> 129:19, 130:13, <br> 130:16, 134:21, <br> 134:24, 134:29, <br> 135:3, 135:6, 135:8, <br> 138:15, 138:16, <br> 139:11 <br> correction [1] - <br> 140:23 <br> correctly [4] - 76:12, <br> 77:19, 111:2, 136:11 <br> correspondence [20] <br> - 81:28, 86:19, 86:29, <br> 87:1, 87:5, 87:15, <br> 91:25, 92:2, 92:4, <br> 92:28, 95:14, 95:18, <br> 96:9, 96:11, 102:28, <br> 103:2, 105:24, <br> 107:17, 126:6, 127:26 <br> corresponding [1] - <br> 66:10 <br> corridor [1] - 24:10 <br> cost [1] - 97:17 <br> COSTELLO [1] - <br> 2:23 <br> counsel [2] - 40:28, <br> 119:23 <br> counselling [1] - <br> 22:25 <br> country [1] - 55:23 <br> couple [3] - 40:19, <br> 64:24, 146:23 <br> course [12]-7:6, <br> 12:9, 40:11, 40:24, <br> 61:24, 69:21, 74:2, <br> 95:28, 96:18, 114:1, <br> 114:16, 130:6 <br> court [1] - 51:22 <br> COURT [3]-1:13, | ```2:3, 4:3 courts [1] - 6:28 cover [2]-43:29, 91:26 coverage [1] - 108:21 covered [3]-7:2, 63:16, 140:19 covering [2] - 45:14, 46:5 covertly \({ }_{[1]}\) - 95:9 CR [2]-106:18, 106:27 craic [2] - 26:8, 56:27 Create [1] - 104:9 credibility [7] - 36:10, 40:17, 40:19, 43:3, 43:10, 43:11, 43:22 crime \({ }_{[1]}\) - 134:3 criminal [3]-119:1, 120:9, 131:21 critical [2]-19:7, 19:10 criticism [1] - 36:16 cross [9] - 35:5, 38:14, 39:1, 41:26, 43:26, 44:6, 44:8, 45:29, 119:24 CROSS [6] - 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, 46:10, 64:18, 70:6 cross-examination [3] - 35:5, 39:1, 119:24 cross-examine [1] - 38:14 cross-examined [3] -41:26, 44:6, 44:8 CROSS-EXAMINED [6] - 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, 46:10, 64:18, 70:6 cross-examining \({ }_{[2]}\) - 43:26, 45:29 crossover [1] - 75:23 crucial \({ }_{[1]}-34: 13\) CUALÁIN \({ }_{[1]}-3: 7\) Cualáin [26] - 9:11, 10:12, 17:22, 21:16, 26:5, 28:15, 28:23, 29:2, 37:19, 50:6, 53:26, 78:18, 82:5, 82:8, 84:21, 91:15, 91:17, 91:18, 93:19, 97:24, 102:3, 106:5, 106:13, 107:21, 108:25, 118:28 Cualáin's [1] - 108:11 CULLEN \({ }_{[1]}-2: 12\)``` | Cullen [5] - 86:19, $\qquad$ 87:3, 96:18, 99:11, 103:6 <br> culture [1] - 35:20 <br> curious [1] - 110:27 <br> Curley [2]-22:4, <br> 71:24 <br> CURLEY ${ }_{[1]}-3: 10$ <br> CURRAN ${ }_{[1]}-3: 4$ <br> current [1] - 119:1 <br> D <br> Daly [3]-22:14, <br> 25:1, 75:14 <br> damage [3] - 22:15, <br> 55:14, 55:17 <br> date [13]-6:19, 9:9, <br> 72:4, 83:12, 98:23, <br> 104:15, 104:19, <br> 109:17, 110:21, <br> 111:3, 124:24, <br> 127:17, 146:14 <br> dated [4] - 36:24, <br> 97:27, 111:29, 127:15 <br> dates [3]-99:7, <br> 108:20, 112:6 <br> DAVID ${ }_{[2]}-2: 28$, <br> 3:19 <br> DAY [1] - 1:18 <br> day-to-day [1] - <br> 54:22 <br> days [4]-24:25, <br> 91:7, 105:11, 105:29 <br> deal [14]-34:20, <br> 34:29, 40:20, 63:28, <br> 64:1, 64:3, 66:9, <br> 73:20, 73:22, 93:21, <br> 129:4, 135:18, 142:5, <br> 147:3 <br> dealing [12] - 6:29, <br> 32:16, 64:2, 106:4, <br> 106:5, 107:5, 120:11, <br> 124:17, 131:25, <br> 138:19, 138:24, <br> 138:25 <br> dealings [3] - 70:15, <br> 113:18, 113:19 <br> deals [2]-29:24, <br> 111:20 <br> dealt [11] - 63:26, <br> 63:29, 75:9, 75:11, <br> 93:25, 107:19, 108:3, <br> 113:15, 119:20, <br> 136:21, 147:29 <br> debate [4]-34:25, <br> 135:9, 135:10, 138:5 <br> DECEMBER [1] - 1:9 <br> December [6] - 33:4, | ```33:6, 36:25, 86:8, 87:10, 87:27 decent [1] - 47:14 decide [3]-126:13, 126:17, 136:17 decided [2] - 66:29, 129:14 decision [3] - 39:9, 139:4, 143:29 DECLAN [1] - 3:5 defend [1]-133:14 defendable [1] - 133:21 defer [1] - 38:21 definitely [3]-14:23, 56:15, 56:27 degree [2] - 61:4, 79:23 delay [2]-113:29, 133:24 delayed [1] - 47:6 delays [2]-141:3, 141:6 delegating [1] - 107:5 deliberate [1] - 31:2 delightful [1] - 31:28 delivered [1] - 84:3 delivery [1] - 84:2 deny[3]-12:1, 12:10, 12:26 department [4] - 90:26, 93:1, 115:9, 118:11 Department [7] - 92:11, 92:21, 92:22, 121:26, 123:24, 124:3, 140:28 departments [1] - 81:5 Deputy [3]-37:18, 75:14, 82:5 deputy [7]-81:19, 81:21, 82:1, 82:11, 90:10, 110:6 describe [4]-63:16, 63:18, 125:16, 125:26 described [2] - 83:27, 125:13 deserved [1] - 47:8 designated [1] - 88:9 desire []] - 122:13 DESMOND [1] - 4:7 detail [12] - 85:17, 85:18, 87:19, 87:24, 88:18, 99:13, 107:27, 112:24, 113:3, 122:17, 139:25, 140:2 detailed [5] - 36:9, 97:23, 113:17,``` | ```116:24, 122:13 details [6] - 19:6, 88:20, 101:28, 127:14, 128:3, 129:23 detective \({ }_{[1]}-6: 24\) DETECTIVE [5] - 3:4, 3:5, 3:13, 3:14, 3:18 detriment [1] - 94:29 developed [1] - 41:9 DEVELOPMENT \({ }_{[1]}\) - 3:16 develops [1] - 144:20 devil [1] - 30:27 diaries [1] - 108:11 DIARMAID \({ }_{[1]}\) - 2:7 diary [5] - 11:17, 46:5, 46:15, 70:10, 108:16 difference [1] - 45:15 different [37] - 9:2, 16:15, 18:25, 18:28, 19:22, 19:24, 23:8, 24:16, 30:17, 30:19, 31:26, 31:28, 32:1, 32:17, 32:21, 33:16, 41:13, 61:2, 61:13, 63:24, 66:26, 79:9, 82:25, 93:18, 115:11, 115:12, 116:10, 125:22, 129:10, 134:9, 135:15, 135:16, 138:19 differently [1] - 115:15 difficult [5] - 40:5, 47:12, 74:14, 120:29, 142:3 difficulties [23] - 17:5, 17:7, 17:10, 17:11, 29:10, 29:27, 30:2, 30:26, 31:5, 51:12, 51:17, 52:20, 52:21, 53:5, 53:7, 53:10, 53:11, 54:25, 57:10, 59:2, 70:16 difficulty [3]-21:5, 30:25, 136:21 DIGNAM [22] - 3:24, 5:7, 39:4, 39:10, 64:15, 64:18, 64:21, 65:21, 65:24, 65:29, 66:6, 66:8, 66:22, 66:27, 67:1, 67:29, 68:3, 68:8, 68:13, 69:3, 69:10, 69:28 Dignam [11] - 64:14, 64:21, 65:19, 66:5, 66:19, 66:26, 67:26, 68:2, 69:7, 69:15,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 69:19 | 27:1, 29:21, 40:27, | 15:4, 16:3, 30:23, | E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| direct ${ }^{[2]}$ - 18:3, 59:7 <br> direct-evidence [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 23,65: 5,118: 22, \\ & 120: 2,125: 9,132: 19 \end{aligned}$ | down [45] - 7:10, | e-mail [5] - 86:26, | 71:12, 72:6, 74:13, |
| 18:3, 59:7 | discussion [6] - | 13:12, 18:4, 18:6, | 111:3, 112:14, | 140:12, 146:8, 147:9 |
| directed [5] - 87:10, | $34: 25,41: 15,114: 20$, 121:27, 128:1, 128:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:23, 24:7, 24:24, } \\ & \text { 26:3, 26:8, 26:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 112:23, 148:2 } \\ \text { e-mailed }[1]-140: 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ended }[2]-18: 5 \text {, } \\ & 19: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:28, 92:1, 96:8, } \\ & 98: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 121:27, 128:1, 128:4 } \\ \text { discussions [4] - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 3,26: 8,26: 9 \\ & 26: 13,27: 4,28: 1, \end{aligned}$ | e-mailed [1] - 140:8 <br> EAMON ${ }_{[1]}-3: 10$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 19:3 } \\ \text { enemy }[5]-22: 19, \end{array}$ |
| direction [1] - 8:20 directions [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 3,21: 13,53: 3, \\ & 127: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 23,29: 3,34: 6, \\ & 36: 25,37: 11,52: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eamon }[1]-22: 4 \\ & \text { early }[8]-8: 19,11: 4, \end{aligned}$ | 23:4, 23:6, 73:13, |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 21:23, } 79: 10 \\ \text { directly }[5]-81: 18, \end{array}$ | disgrace [1] - 21:26 <br> disloyalty [2]-21:2, | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 24,69: 27,71: 22, \\ & 80: 22,92: 10,93: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:25, 33:19, 52:3, } \\ & 88: 22,88: 27 \end{aligned}$ | engaged [1] - 113:26 engagement [2] - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 82:29, 83:1, 83:4 } \\ \text { DIRECTLY }[4]-5: 5, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:10 } \\ & \text { dispassi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 20,100: 22, \\ & 101: 18,106: 14 \end{aligned}$ | easier [1] - 130:3 <br> Eastern [4]-89:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:7, } 114: 15 \\ & \text { enormous [2] } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5:14, 6:11, $80: 18$ DIRECTLY- | 132:4, 132:7 <br> dispatches [11-7:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:10, 112:22, } \\ & \text { 117:18, 117:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $90: 8,110: 1,111: 16$ <br> easy [1] - $32: 1$ | 112:20, 113:28 |
| DIRECTLY- <br> EXAMINED $[4]-5: 5$, | dispatches [1]-7:4 dispute [10]-14:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:18, 117:22, } \\ & \text { 119:15, 126:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { easy }[1]-32: 1 \\ & \text { edge }[1]-20: 22 \end{aligned}$ | enquired ${ }^{[1]}$ - 104:12 enquiries [1] - |
| 5:14, 6:11, 80:18 | 14:29, 16:17, 16:18, | 127:23, 139:3 | edging $[1]$ - 20:19 | 104:18 |
| director [4]-82:28, | 27:24, 43:22, 43:23 | 142:16, 142:18 | effect [3] - 14:2, | sure [8] - 85:19, |
| $\begin{gathered} 83: 13,83: 28,103: 14 \\ \text { DIRECTOR }_{[1]}-3: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 44:13, } 72: 25,120: 24 \\ \text { disputing }[7]-14: 16, \end{gathered}$ | 144:15, 146:23 DOWNEY [2]-3:15, | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { 44:1, 83:11 } \\ \text { effectively [13] - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 29,96: 17,108: 4 \\ & \text { 113:2, 120:7, 121:29, } \end{aligned}$ |
| DIRECTORATE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 14:18, 14:29, 16:3, | 3:20 | 51:10, 84:5, 87:14, | 124:6 |
| 3:16 directors [3]- 82:17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16:5, 16:8, 27:28 } \\ \text { disrespect }[2]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DPP }[2]-23: 23, \\ & \text { 28:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 89:14, 90:1, 92:21, <br> 94:11, 96:23, 101:26, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ensuring }[3] \text { - 84:2, } \\ & 106: 6,123: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 82:23, 84:3 | 68:28, 94:4 | Dr ${ }_{[1]}$ - 83:12 | 102:17, 103:18, | entire [1] - 47:10 |
| dirt [2]-24:22, 25:3 | dissatisfied [1] | DR ${ }_{[1]}-3: 12$ | 110:11, 118:13 | entirely ${ }_{[1]}$ - 131:11 |
| disagree [4]-63:20, | 145 | afted [1] - 119:12 | ffort [1]-22:15 | entirety [5] - 8:8, |
| $71: 14,88: 8,131: 4$ disagreed [2] - | distinctly ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:28 district ${ }_{11}$ - 111:15 | dramatic [1]-59:10 draw [2]-37:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EGAN }_{[1]}-3: 26 \\ & \text { egging }[1]-61: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:2, 121:6, 130:25, } \\ & 132: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30:15, 125:21 } \\ & \text { disagreement }[1] \end{aligned}$ | disturb [1] - 39:7 <br> disturbed ${ }_{[1]}-38: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:6 } \\ & \text { drawing }{ }_{[1]}-97: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { either }[9]-12: 1, \\ 46: 15,48: 2,58: 10, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { entitled }[5] \text { - 7:24, } \\ 8: 25,8: 27,10: 20, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:14 } \\ & \text { disappointed }[1] \end{aligned}$ | DIVISION ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:12 <br> divisional [1]- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { drawn }[1]-101: 4 \\ & \text { drew }[2]-22: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:9, 59:15, 60:18, } \\ & 128: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 36:7 } \\ & \text { entries }[8]-27: 18 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:18 } \\ & \text { discipline }[5] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:20 } \\ & \text { DOCKERY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:11 } \\ & \text { drink [2] - 18:16, } \end{aligned}$ | Elaine ${ }_{[1]}$ - 142:5 element [2]-77:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:29, 46:6, 46:15, } \\ & 70: 10,70: 28,132: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| 30:18, 119:1, 120:9, | document [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:23 } \\ & \text { driving }[3]-20: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:29 } \\ & \text { elements }[6]-78: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $143: 21$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:22, 134:3 } \\ & \text { disciplined }[6] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 104:9, 117:19 documentation [1] - | $60: 23,60: 24$ | 131:24, 133:5, 134:4, | entry [10]-11:18, 13:2, 13:5, 13:8, |
| 30:19, 31:3, 31:12, | 96:25 | drugs [1] - 6:24 DUBLIN ${ }^{771}-1: 17$, | 138:19, 147:27 <br> elephant ${ }_{[1]}-138: 26$ | $26: 15,36: 23,52: 6$ <br> 52:7, 108:11, 108:16 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 31:13, 32:12, 33:17 } \\ \text { disclosed }[1] \text { - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { documenting }[1] \text { - } \\ & 85: 2 \end{aligned}$ | DUBLIN [7]-1:17, 2:19, 2:25, 2:32, 3:29, | elephant [1] - 138:26 <br> ELIZABETH ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 52:7, 108:11, } 108: 16 \\ \text { Environment }[1] \text { - } \end{array}$ |
| 117:11 <br> disclosu | $\begin{gathered} \text { documents [4] - } \\ \text { 10:11, 13:12, 51:20, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:9, 4:13 } \\ & \text { due }[5]-56: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:30 } \\ & \text { elsewhere }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:10 } \\ & \text { EOIN }_{[1]}-2: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| 42:4, 42:5, 71:25, | 112:4 | 61:24, 69:21, 95:28, | 27:10 | Equality [2]-121:27, |
| 76:24, 77:20, 78:6, | domain [3] - 54:7, | 96:18 | em [8]-12:20, 13:16, | 123:24 |
| 85:14, 90:1, 92:6, | $85: 26,135: 19$ | during [4]-34:13, 47:19, 70:13, 88:9 | 14:7, 15:27, 46:18 63:12, 63:21, 94:13 | EQUALITY $_{[1]}-1: 8$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 112:18, 118:28, 122:8 } \\ \text { disclosures }[5] \text { - } \end{array}$ | domains [1] - 136:23 <br> Donal [1] - 84:21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 47:19, 70:13, 88:9 } \\ \text { duty }[1]-112: 25 \end{gathered}$ | 63:12, 63:21, 94:13 emails [1]-112:15 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { equally [3] - 35:14, } \\ 66: 24,124: 4 \end{array}$ |
| 62:27, 63:2, 85:11, | DONAL [7] - 3:7, | DVD [10] - 7:17, 8:10, | emanating [2] | equivalent [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85:27, 93:29 } \\ & \text { DISCLOSURES }{ }_{[2]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 25,116: 13,116: 16, \\ & \text { 116:20, 116:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:14, 8:15, 8:25, 8:27, } \\ & \text { 10:28, 11:2, 11:8, } \end{aligned}$ | 111:14, 111:23 <br> embarrass [1] - 76:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81:19, 82:23 } \\ & \text { essence }[1]-89: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:2, 1:3 } \\ & \text { discomfort }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:29 } \\ & \text { done }[12]-7: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:6 } \\ & \text { DVDs }[2]-7: 26,9: 4 \end{aligned}$ | EMMA [1] - 3:27 <br> emphasis [1] - 32:22 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { essentially }[9] \text { - } \\ 65: 3,82: 9,82: 20, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 79:13 } \\ & \text { discourse [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 2,21: 14,23: 23, \\ & 30: 22,40: 23,62: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dysfunctional }[1] \text { - } \\ & 63: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { en }[1]-61: 25 \\ & \text { enable }[2]-128: 6 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 27,107: 4,121: 5, \\ & 121: 7,133: 20,133: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| 125:16, 125:17, | 75:12, 116:5, 124:15, | DÁIL [1] - 1:4 | 130 | establish [2] - 93:2, |
| 125:24 | 126:12, 130:19 | Dáil [6] - 17:26, | END [6] - 38:7, | 96:1 |
| discovered ${ }_{[1]}$ - 24:2 <br> discuss [1]-26:29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doolin }[1]-99: 27 \\ & \text { door }[2]-16: 14,28: 9 \end{aligned}$ $\text { doubt }[5] \text { - 10:14. }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:15, 26:11, 54:1, } \\ & 54: 7,55: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:11, 69:12, 72:28, } \\ & 75: 26,79: 28 \\ & \text { end }[15]-21: 4, \end{aligned}$ | ESTABLISHED ${ }_{[1]}$ 1:7 <br> etcetera[6]-66:16, |


| 29, 118 | exclusion [1] - | 145:2 | 1:9 | 133:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $121: 28,135: 5$ $\text { evening }[3]-57 \text { : }$ | 144:24 | Facebook [1] - 51:23 <br> faced [4] - 17:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February [6] }-9: 16, \\ & 9: 25,42: 2,42: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { finish }[7]-20: 2, \\ 21: 8,54: 9,57: 17 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 129:27, 141:24 } \\ \text { event }[4]-10: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3:13 } \\ \text { exe } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:4, 73:21, 74:15 } \\ & \text { fact }[26]-22: 2,32: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:23, 88:27 } \\ & \text { fed }[2]-20: 19,67: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61: 7,129: 27 \\ \text { finished }[5] \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:26, 11:27, 116:5 } \\ \text { events }[4]-77: 19, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81:16, 81:23, 82:17, } \\ & \text { 82:23, 82:27, 83:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $34: 7,41: 9,42: 3,62: 5$ | feed [2]-39:12, | 9:7, 69:9, 76:26, |
| 5:10, 124:2 | 83:28, 84:3 | 94:24, 98:19, 105:14 | feeding | 3:6 |
| eventually ${ }_{[1]}-57: 6$ | 103:14, 108:12 | 105:21, 106:21, | 67:14, 74:2 | NTAN ${ }_{[1]}-2: 2$ |
| evidence [49]-7:6, |  | 112:20, 113:1 | ly [3] - 45:2 | - 65:10 |
| 7:9, 7:18, 8:4, 8:6, | 132:18, 147:20 | 119:25, 120:5 | 47:2, 47: | ng [1] -47:9 |
| 12:13, 12:28, 18 | exercised [1] | 121:12, 130:1 | Feehily's [1] - 47:13 | [1] - 30:18 |
| 19:7, 23:28, 34:16, | 131 | 131:15, 131:2 | [1] -33 | nly [1] -62:1 |
| 34:19, 34:23, 35:11, | exhibits $[1]-134: 18$ | 132:18, 133:9 147:20, 148:10 | felt [41]-8:12, $8: 1$ | first $[19]-6: 4,6: 23$ $7 \cdot 7.714,14.5,41$ |
| 35:19, 36:11, 40:8, | t [1] - 132 | 147:20, 148:10 | 10:29, 11:6, 18 | 7:7, 7:14, 14:5, |
| $\text { 40:11, 40:22, } 4$ | ded [1] - 2 |  | $1: 20,21: 2$ | 42:22, 68:16 |
| 42:3, 42:10, 42:11, | 23:16 | 11 | 15, 23:17, 23:21 | 7, 117:27, 11 |
| 42:18, 42:21, 43:13, <br> 43:16, 44:4, 44:11 | $\text { expect }[3]-55: 19$ | fact-finding <br> 119:25, 120:5, | 23:27, 29:2, 31:17 | $18: 5,122: 4,123$ |
| 45:23, 52:19, 59:7 | expected [3] - 35 | 18, 131:1 | 61:3, 61:19, 62:5 | tly [2] - 46:20, |
| 66:18, 67:2, 67:5, | 55:18, 94:15 | 132:1 | 10, 62:11, 62:1 | 122 |
| 67:8, 71:29, 84:11, | experience [1] | 133:9, 147:20 | 63:12, 70:15, 70:17 | 45:6, 137 |
| 86:2, 86:11, 88:7, | 125 | tors [1] - 128: | 76:12, 76:13, | - 138: |
| 99:9, 103:10, 113:16 | experienced [1] | [9]-13:17, 62:5, | 85:11, 107:11, 108 | FITZWILLIAM |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 136:11, 147:22 } \\ & \text { EVIDENCE }[1]-1: 8 \\ & \text { exact }[1]-135: 12 \\ & \text { exactly }[9]-8: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77:23 } \\ & \text { explain [11] - 17:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 70:17, 72:3, 88:4, } \\ & 94: 1,107: 26,113: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 112:27, 124:9, |  |
|  |  |  | 29, 137:2 | five [3]-73: |
|  | 19:14, 19:15, 31:15, | 129:16 | 40:3, 147:1 | 127:24, 129:2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 2,53: 1,57: 5, \\ & 93: 15,105: 25,107: 7 \end{aligned}$ | airness [2] - 63:27 | Fergal [5] - 6:5, 6:13, | flag [3] - 115: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { exactly }[9]-8: 20, \\ 27: 23,49: 9,62: 15, \end{array}$ |  | 11 | 3, 7:19, 11:20 | 115:16, 116:11 |
| 27:23, 49:9, 62:15, 76:15, 77:24, 90:28, 92:18, 141:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 15,105: 25,107: 7 \\ & \text { explained }[1]-107: 8 \end{aligned}$ | con[1] - 93:2 | FERGAL [8]-2:2 | flagging [1] - 125:7 |
|  | exploring [1]-37:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { all }[2]-89: 24,120: 1 \\ & \text { alls }[1]-89: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 3,6: 10,46: 10, \\ & 64: 18,70: 6,73: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { flick [1] - } 97: 20$ |
| 35:5, 39:1, 119:24EXAMINATION [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:25, 147:1 } \\ & \text { express [2] - 66:11, } \end{aligned}$ | se [1]-24:2 | 76:1 | 3:20 |
|  |  | miliar [1] - 40:15 | RRY ${ }_{[1]-2: 17}$ | using $[1]-25: 3$ |
| EXAMINATION $[5]$ - 38:7, 64:11, 69:12, | 141:6 | mily ${ }_{[1]}$ - 47:14 | few [11]-18:25 | FOI ${ }_{[1]}$ - 119:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 7,64: 11,69: 12, \\ & 72: 28,75: 26 \\ & \text { examine }[3]-38: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { expressed }[4]- \\ 40: 16,74: 3,141: 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fanning }[5] \text { - 121: } \\ & \text { 122:28, 125:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:15, 24:25, } 30: 10 \\ & 30: 13,34: 1,64: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { follow [2] - 76:20 } \\ & \text { 77:6 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 39:4, $62: 12$EXAMINED ${ }_{[12]}$ - | 141:7 <br> expresses [1] - | 126:19, 127:6 | 93:24, 99:18, 118:2 | following [8] - 1:25 |
|  |  | ANNING ${ }_{[1]}-2: 2$ | 122:11 | $34: 28,74: 16,80: 5$ |
| EXAMINED [12] - $5: 5,5: 6,5: 7,5: 8,5: 9,$ |  | nning [25] - 67:23, | [2] - 126:1 | :7, 93:13, 94:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 5,5: 6,5: 7,5: 8,5: 9, \\ & 5: 14,6: 11,46: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 94:24 | 68:14, 68:17, 68:2 | 132:20 | 9:2 |
| 64:18, 70:6, 73:8,80:18 | 36:14 | 99:26, 100:24, | gured $[1]-33: 10$ | FOLLO |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:29, } 110: 13 \\ & 112: 16,120: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { file }[5]-23: 23,28: 11, \\ 28: 15,108: 18,110: 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1:4 |
| $41: 26,44: 6,44: 8$ | extensive [2] - 102:27, 112:19 | 120:17, 120:27, | files [6] - 32:13 | FOLLOWS [9] - 6:1, |
|  | $\text { extensively }{ }_{[1]} \text { - }$41:27 | 129:6, 130:9, 130:24, | 32:14, 106:1, 110:1 | 64:19, 70:7, 73 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 94: 5 \\ \text { examines }[1]- \end{array}$ |  | $135: 24,140: 9,$ | 113:11, 119:4 | 76:2, 80:1 |
| examines [1] 147:19 examining [2] - | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 41: 27 \\ \text { extent }[2]-30: 21, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140:25, 143:4, 143:9, } \\ & \text { 143:27, 144:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { fills [1] }-34: 27$ filter [1] - 32:2 | $\text { foot }[1]-49: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { examining [2] - } \\ & 43: 26,45: 29 \\ & \text { example }[7]-47: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 78:20 | $\text { 144:23, 145:23, } 148: 4$ | nal [2] - 65:2, 142 |  |
|  |  | Fanning's [2] - | alised [3]-51:1 | $3: 2,4: 1,4: 5,4: 1$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { example }[7]-47: 25, \\ 86: 14,97: 3,98: 23, \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:27, 101:17 } \\ & \text { far }[8]-16: 18,46: 6, \end{aligned}$ | 104:20, 105:16 | $\text { foreign }[1]-75 \text { : }$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:14, 97:3, 98:23, } \\ & \text { 101:2, 122:20, 122:25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extraordinary }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & \text { 124:29 } \\ & \text { eye }[2]-23: 15,96: 29 \end{aligned}$ |  | finally [4]-37:5, | forgetting $[1]$ - 73:4 |
| excelled $[1]-26: 8$ |  | 77:12, 77:13, 77:15 | 67:21, 75:16, 141:24 | ive ${ }_{[1]}-51: 23$ |
| exchange ${ }_{[1]}$ - | F |  | dings [1]-29:3 | form [3] - 94:26, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:16 } \\ & \text { exchanges }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $F$ | FARRELL [1] - 4:5 feared [4]-20:4, | ine [6] - $38: 22$, | formal [2] - 104:7 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { exchanges }[3] \text { - } \\ 51: 24,51: 28,148: 3 \end{array}$ | face [2] - 111:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:6, 20:7, } 60: 23 \\ & \text { FEBRUARY }_{[2]}-1: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 15,112: 12, \\ & 115: 24,118: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:25 } \\ & \text { format }[1]-142: 12 \end{aligned}$ |


| formatted [1] - | 14:25, 15:1, 15:24, | 103:27, 104:7, 104:9, | GLEESON [18] - 4:8, | guide ${ }_{[1]}$ - 70:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115:14 | 16:1, 16:17, 16:25, | 104:13, 105:6, 106:1, | 5:8, 70:3, 70:6, 70:9, | guidelines [1] - |
| FORMER [2] - 1:13, | 16:26, 16:29, 17:9, | 106:6, 108:2, 108:5, | 71:6, 71:9, 71:16, | 30:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:3 } \\ & \text { former [2] - 28:9, } \\ & \text { 106:12 } \\ & \text { forward [13]-24:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 17:15, 17:28, 17:29, | 108:21, 113:4 | 71:19, 71:21, 72:3 | guilty [1] - 56:26 |
|  | 18:15, 18:24, 19:7, | 113:11, 113:18 | 72:7, 72:11, 72:13, | Guinan [1] - 27:2 |
|  | 22:2, 22:10, 22:11, | $114: 15,119: 24$ | $72: 16,72: 19,72: 22$ | Gwen [1] - 1:24 |
|  | $22: 12,22: 18,22: 19$ | 119:25, 120:3, 120:7, 121:24, 122:14, | 72:26 | $\text { GWEN }_{[1]}-1: 29$ |
| 92:1, 96:8, 102:9, | $22: 24,22: 25,23: 3$ | 121:24, 122:14 | gleeson [1] - 71:5 |  |
| 107:22, 112:2, | 23:7, 23:9, 23:19, | 122:21, 123:8, | goings [1] - 33:24 | H |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:20, 114:21, } \\ & \text { 125:20, 127:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 25,23: 28,24: 3, \\ & 24: 11,24: 26,25: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124: 12,125: 8 \\ & 131: 16,131: 29 \end{aligned}$ | GOODE [1] - 3:22 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 132:1, 138:23 } \\ & \text { forwarded }[1] \end{aligned}$ | 25:6, 25:7, 25:8 | 132:6, 133:22, | - | half [1] - 65:2 |
|  | 25:16, 25:21, 25:29, | 134:10, 136:2 | 82:2, 82:12, 83:16, | HALIDAY [1] - 2:24 |
| 96:19 | 26:4, 26:13, 26:27, | 136:18, 137:13 | 109:26, 110:6 | hammered [1] - 31:8 |
|  | 26:28, 27:2, 28:18, | $137: 24,138: 28$ | grade [1] - 82:24 | hand [14]-12:22, |
|  | 28:27, 29:5, 29:20, | 139:15, 139:18, | GRALTON [1] - 3:15 | 16:6, 16:9, 40:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 105: 11,127: 24, \\ & 143: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 3,30: 6,30: 9 \\ & 30: 27,31: 6,32: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 139: 20,140: 6 \\ & 140: 29,141: 19 \end{aligned}$ | grave [1] - 95:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 23,58: 27,59: 10, \\ & 95: 4,95: 7,95: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| 126:3 | $32: 29,33: 2,33: 13$ | 145:14, 145:15, | great [2]-44:6, 4 <br> Green [1] - 13:16 | 112:5, 113:27, |
| fractious [1] - 125:26 fractured [1] - | 33:20, 33:25, 34:13, | 146:14, 146:17 | GREENE [8]-2:27, | $\text { 137:18, } 148: 8$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 28,35: 29,36: 14 \\ & 37: 14,37: 18,37: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 28,147: 27 \\ & 148: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $5: 3,6: 10,46: 10$ | handed [1] - 89:7 handful [1] - 73:2 |
| 125:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 14,37: 18,37: 21, \\ & 37: 23,37: 25,37: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:18 } \\ & \text { GARDA }_{[17]}-2: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 18,70: 6,73: 8, \\ & 76: 1 \end{aligned}$ | handful [1] - 73:2 <br> handled [1] - 90:7 |
| FREEMAN ${ }^{[1]}$ - 2:22 front [3]-46:19, | 38:1, 40:3, 41:3, | 2:27, 2:28, 2:28, 3:3, | Greene [46] - 6:5 | handwritten [7] - |
|  | 41:10, 41:15, 41:21, | $3: 11,3: 11,3: 12,3: 22$, | 6:7, 6:13, 6:17, 7:1, | 70:28, 71:3, 97:18, |
| 115:14, 117:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 22,41: 24,41: 27, \\ & 47 \cdot 2 \quad 47 \cdot 1047 \cdot 14, \end{aligned}$ | $4: 10,5: 3,6: 10,46: 10$ | 7:13, 7:28, 7:29, | 102:19, 134:12, |
| full [8]-12:2, 16:6, | 42:2, 42:10, 42:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:18, 70:6, 73:8 } \\ & 76: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 11:20, 16:25, 22:10, | 142:14, 142:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:9, 31:18, 49:28, } \\ & 66: 20,108: 1,112: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 42:18, 43:5, 43:8, | 76:1 | $22: 18,22: 24,22: 25$ | hangs [2]-79:23, |
|  | 44:3, 44:11, 44:12, <br> 44:13, 44:15, 44:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { garda }[3]-10: 22, \\ & 11: 23,12: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $25: 1,25: 6,25: 9,$ | 79:24 |
| fully [8] - 8:8, 8:23, | 44:13, 44:15, 44:16, | 11:23, 12:19 | 26:27, 26:29, 29:20, | happy [5] - 38:21, |
| 17:9, 30:5, 31:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 19,46: 13,47: 16 \\ & 49: 10,51: 9,53: 9 \end{aligned}$ | Gardaí [4] - 54:10, 54:17, 79:16, 81:23 | $35: 29,36: 1,40: 3$ | $58: 23,71: 24,92: 16$ |
| 53:9, 60:11, 60:13 | $53: 19,54: 3,54: 13$ | 54:17, 79:16, 81:23 gather [2] - 121:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41:10, 41:15, 41:21, } \\ & \text { 41:22, 41:28, 42:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:1 } \\ & \text { Haran [2] - 18:14, } \end{aligned}$ |
| function [1] - 96:2 | 55:11, 55:15, 55:17, | 124:1 | $42: 18,43: 5,43: 8$ | 33:28 |
| functions [1] - 83:25 | $56: 4,57: 15,58: 25$, $59: 6,61 \cdot 2,62: 15$, | general[16]-14:4, | 44:12, 46:13, 49:11, | HARAN ${ }_{[1]}-4: 6$ |
| furthermore [1] - | 59:6, 61:2, 62:15, | 23:1, 25:13, 46:17, | 64:21, 65:25, 66:3, | harassed [2] - 136:3, |
|  | 64:21, 64:23, 64:26, | 47:18, 57:11, 77:25, | 67:2, 67:21, 70:9, | 136:19 |
| furtively [1] - 95:10 <br> future [2]-54:21, | 65:10, 65:24, 65:25, | 79:13, 79:15, 82:23 | 72:17, 73:11, 75:13, | harassing [1] - 136:5 |
|  | 66:3, 67:1, 67:2, 67:5 | 85:10, 102:4, 107:25 | 76:4, 80:8 | harassment [52] - |
| 57:26 | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 17,73: 11,73: 13 \\ & 73: 15,73: 17,73: 25 \\ & 74: 2,74: 9,74: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $107: 26,121: 23,124: 1$ | Greene's [2] - 6:15, | $84: 15,87: 16,87: 24$ |
|  |  | 87:18, 92:28 |  | 94: |
|  |  | generally [1] - 44:14 |  | 102:26, 104:8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 2,74: 9,74: 16 \\ & 74: 18,74: 19,74: 22, \end{aligned}$ | GERAGHTY [1] - | 17:17, 53:21 | 104:14, 105:7 |
| Gallagher [4] - | 75:13, 75:16, 75:21, | 2:18 | GRIFFIN [1] - 3:2 | 108:24, 113:20, |
| 110:4, 110:5, 141:27, | $76: 4,76: 24,77: 3$ | Gerard [2]-96:18, | ground [1] - 140:18 | 114:3, 120:10 |
| 142:12 | 77:20, 77:21, 77:27, | $99: 11$ | grounds [4] - | 120:29, 122:22, |
| Gallagher's [1] - | 78:7, 78:14, 78:27, | GERARD ${ }_{[1]}-2: 12$ | $119: 19,126: 1$ | 122:23, 127:28, |
| 142:9 | 79:13, 80:8, 80:25 | GERRY [1] - 3:11 | 126:23, 144: | 128:7, 130:22, 131:9, |
| gallagher's [1] - | 80:27, 81:9, 81:12, | $\text { girl [1] - } 28: 9$ | $\mathbf{G S}_{[1]}-121: 16$ | 131:13, 131:20, |
| 110:7 | 81:22, 84:4, 84:14, $85: 6,85: 29,86: 5$ | given [17]-8:4 | GSOC [2] - 119:2, | 132:9, 133:23, |
| Garda [262] - 6:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | 41:10, 42:2, 43:4, | 142:29 | 133:25, 133:26, |
| 6:6, 6:13, 6:15, 6:17, |  | 43:12, 43:14, 44:4, | guard [1] - 35:18 | 134:4, 135:5, 135:7, |
| 6:18, 6:20, 6:21, 6:22, | $94: 4,94: 20,94: 21$ | 44:13, 44:14, 66:18, | guards [1] - 12:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 135: 11,135: 19, \\ & 136 \cdot 13 \quad 136 \cdot 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6:26, 7:1, 7:9, 7:13, | 95:19, 95:22, 96:16, | 85:3, 88:7, 106:17, | Guards [2] - 54:23, | 136:13, 136:27, $138: 3,138: 8,138: 9,$ |
| 7:15, 7:27, 7:29, 8:9, 9:1, 9:17, 9:20, 9:26 | 97:4, 97:13, 97:16, | 126:13, 126:17 | 55:6 | 138:3, 138:8, 138:9, |
| 10:5, 10:16, 11:17, | $98: 4,100: 4,101: 9$ | glance [1]-66:2 | guess [2] - 29:12, | 138:28, 141:9, |
| 12:8, 12:28, 13:16, | 101:15, 101:18, | Gleeson [2] - 70:1, | 112:3 guessing | 141:19, 144:24, |
| 14:6, 14:16, 14:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:10, 102:28, } \\ & \text { 102:29. 103:14. } \end{aligned}$ | $72: 1$ | $142: 16$ | 144:27, 145:2, 145:7, |


| ```145:16, 146:4, 146:20 hard [5] - 20:7, 52:9, 68:28, 79:21, 92:14 harm [1] - 60:25 Harrison [1] - 24:26 Hassett [11] - 121:16, 122:20, 126:29, 127:14, 128:3, 129:17, 129:21, 140:13, 143:1, 143:4, 144:29 hats [1] - 82:9 HAVING [2] - 6:10, 80:17 HEAD [1] - 3:16 head [9] - 18:19, 68:27, 76:15, 76:28, 77:1, 77:2, 93:8, 95:12, 106:19 health [1] - 20:6 healthy [3] - 125:15, 125:17, 125:23 Healy [3] - 132:26, 133:1 Healy's [1] - 88:19 hear [5]-13:19, 14:3, 15:9, 47:6, 89:27 heard [9] - 15:10, 22:14, 23:28, 23:29, 70:24, 81:24, 86:3, 86:4, 125:13 HEARING [4] - 6:1, 39:25, 40:1, 148:25 hearing [2] - 55:28, 82:28 hearings [1] - 7:8 heart [4]-12:23, 14:27, 16:6, 16:9 HELD [1] - 1:17 held [6]-9:20, 81:7, 85:13, 103:13, 118:13, 123:26 hell [1] - 13:15 hello [1] - 68:21 helpful [2] - 116:12, 125:4 helps [1] - 34:8 hence [2] - 40:10, 83:13 hesitate [1] - 34:4 HIGGINS [1] - 4:10 high [1] - 26:7 highlight [1] - 11:12 highlighted [1] - 121:27 highlighting [1] - 132:20 himself [1] - 24:1 hindsight [1] - 66:4``` |  | ```implicitly [1] - 35:29 implying [1] - 55:16 important [7] - 19:20, 34:23, 55:1, 55:3, 85:11, 124:4, 124:5 impression [1] - 66:16 improving [1] - 79:23 IN [1] - 1:17 inappropriate [1] - 123:16 incident [1] - 60:22 incidents [1]-63:24 include [1] - 90:16 included [3] - 140:29, 141:4, 141:20 includes [2] - 116:4, 116:6 including [1] - 129:11 inconsistency [1] - 137:21 inconsistent [1] - 114:11 incorrect [3] - 67:18, 100:17, 100:18 indeed [4]-23:28, 36:10, 112:25, 114:18 independence [1] - 95:23 independent [1] - 95:26 INDEX [1] - 5:1 indicated [2] - 10:28, 42:5 indicates [2]-10:9, 10:10 indicating [2] - 35:29, 43:2 individual [11] - 9:21, 70:18, 79:11, 103:3, 123:16, 127:3, 131:28, 133:4, 134:4, 138:1, 147:28 individually [1] - 49:4 individuals [4] - 85:13, 122:19, 133:6, 138:19 industrial [1] - 93:25 inevitable [1] - 49:12 influence [1] - 95:24 information [15] - 12:3, 12:8, 20:13, 21:6, 34:26, 54:12, 58:15, 58:26, 58:29, 61:18, 67:17, 74:17, 75:2, 85:12, 113:6``` | ```informative [1] - 34:12 informed [4] - 8:9, 10:27, 11:20, 86:28 initial [5]-7:1, 23:12, 26:1, 26:4, 78:15 initiating [1] - 133:15 innocence [1] - 56:26 inquiry [8] - 17:22, 19:16, 21:16, 34:17, 53:26, 74:2, 121:7, 139:29 INQUIRY [2] - 1:2, 1:8 inside [1] - 95:9 inside" [1] - 68:16 insofar [4]-25:17, 42:19, 90:16, 91:3 INSPECTOR [7] - 3:4, 3:10, 3:15, 3:18, 3:18, 3:22, 4:5 Inspector [13] - 20:26, 36:29, 58:11, 58:18, 59:15, 60:4, 60:18, 62:1, 88:25, 90:12, 99:28, 101:16, 122:27 instance [2]-7:7, 41:29 instead [1] - 35:23 institution [1] - 81:15 INSTRUCTED [8] - 2:12, 2:17, 2:22, 2:30, 3:26, 4:2, 4:8, 4:11 instructed [1] - 106:26 instruction [1] - 89:5 instructions [2] - 38:11, 108:28 INSTRUMENT [1] - 1:7 intelligent [1] - 70:18 intended [1] - 120:6 intent [1] - 42:5 interacting [1] - 70:13 interaction [5] - 42:1, 42:12, 42:13, 42:26, 45:8 interactions [7] - 21:28, 23:8, 31:19, 32:8, 41:27, 49:29, 85:3 interested [1] - 118:1 interesting [1] - 32:6 interfered [1] - 78:16 internal [1] - 104:7``` | ```interpreted [5] - 47:23, 48:6, 48:23, 48:24, 54:24 interrupt [2] - 49:8, 49:15 interrupting [1] - 51:2 intervene [1] - 34:4 intervening [1] - 74:20 intervention [1] - 74:4 interview [5] - 9:19, 10:3, 11:1, 73:25, 107:23 INTO [1] - 1:2 intricate [1] - 19:6 introduced [2] - 30:16, 42:10 introduction [1] - 140:26 investigate [4] - 134:1, 138:14, 144:17, 145:9 investigated [12] - 17:20, 53:24, 56:27, 58:24, 78:9, 78:12, 78:22, 134:25, 136:29, 137:27 investigating [9] - 7:23, 8:25, 8:26, 9:28, 10:22, 36:27, 69:17, 135:2, 145:8 investigation [30] - 9:11, 10:12, 11:1, 22:3, 23:22, 26:6, 29:4, 37:20, 50:6, 78:18, 95:5, 97:24, 100:25, 101:8, 101:22, 104:13, 104:17, 104:20, 105:16, 107:10, 126:12, 127:8, 127:16, 129:7, 130:11, 133:15, 138:29, 139:13, 139:17, 143:5 investigations [2] - 36:17, 133:7 investigator [2] - 126:20, 126:23 investigators [1] - 107:24 invite [2] - 40:21, 40:25 invited [1] - 24:13 involve [1] - 45:21 involved [11] - 26:10, 79:3, 89:9, 100:12, 107:8, 107:9, 107:13,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 107:20, 123:17, | 112:17, 112:26, | July [2]-52:2, 83:3 | 35:4, 36:6, 38:9, | 119:25, 120:3, 120:8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 131:8, 139:25 | 113:1, 113:27, | jump [1] - 26:11 | 38:28, 39:9, 41:1, | 121:24, 122:14, |
| involvement [4] - | 114:15, 115:24, | juncture [1] - 89:20 | 42:25, 43:1, 43:9, | 123:8, 124:12, 125:8, |
| 11:14, 86:8, 106:17, | 118:24, 119:6, 120:3, | June [6] - 9:10, | 45:5, 45:27, 49:9, | 131:16, 131:29, |
| 139:6 | 120:7, 121:23, | 11:18, 11:28, 12:27, | 49:12, 49:23, 50:18, | 132:6, 133:22, |
| involves [2] - 45:20, | 121:29, 123:3, 123:6, | 13:25, 22:1 | 51:1 | 134:10, 136:2, |
| 49:29 | 123:11, 124:1, 134:9, | junior [1] - 40:28 | Kelly's [2] - 34:17, | 136:18, 137:24, |
| involving.. [1] - 9:28 | 135:21, 136:22, | JUSTICE [3] - 1:7, | 39:8 | 138:28, 139:15, |
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| 44:13, 50:21, 57:5, | 64:23 | $71: 15,71: 28,74: 6$ | $93: 14,100: 21,102: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:22, 66:27, 67:1, } \\ & 67: 29,68: 3,68: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 62: 14,67: 28,70: 15, \\ & 73: 27,82: 3,83: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { memory [4] - 7:15, } \\ \text { 15:26, 90:26, 108:19 } \end{gathered}$ | MINNOCK [1] - 3:10 <br> Minnock's [1] - | months [10] - 13:14, | 67:29, 68:3, 68:8, 68:13, 69:3, 69:7, |
| 91:27, 95:18, 97:4, | mention [6]-15:4, | 72:14 | 33:2, 33:4, 63:21, | $69: 10,69: 15,69: 28$ |
| 107:2, 110:20, 120:5, | $16: 11,22: 15,26: 6$ | minute [7]-26:1, | $90: 2,93: 24,95: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 2,73: 5,73: 8, \\ & 73: 11,75: 24,80: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:6, 133:18, } \\ & \text { 136:17, 142:11, } \end{aligned}$ | 29:21, 83:15 | $55: 21,55: 22,58: 27,$ | morale [3]-56:11, | 80:17, 116:13, |
| 145:3, 146:13, | $8: 16,14: 23,15: 5,$ | minutes [29] - 73:5, | morning [8] - 6 : | 116:16, 116:20, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:15, 146:27 } \\ & \text { meaning [3] - 47:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 11,27: 4,27: 22, \\ & 36: 13,55: 21,93: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 6,99: 20,99: 21, \\ & 100: 14,100: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $6: 6,6: 8,41: 10,52: 25,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:23, 116:29 } \\ & \text { MS [56] - 2:8, 2:11, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 48:6, 48:23 | 99:18, 121:11, 127:10 | 110:9, 114:19, | morning's [1] - 39:11 | $2: 30,2: 30,3: 25,3: 26$ |
| means [1] - 104:3 | mentioning [4] - | 114:27, 114:28, | MORONEY [1] - 3:18 | $3: 26,3: 27,4: 1,4: 7$ |
| meant [5] - 50:24, | 14:6, 16:2, 16:15, | 116:4, 116:7, 116:16, | MORRISSEY ${ }_{[1]}$ | 4:8, 5:8, 5:14, 70:3, |
| 68:24, 107:4, 133:28, | 95:12 | 116:17, 116:24, | 3:26 | $70: 6,70: 9,71: 6,71: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:29 } \\ & \text { meantime [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | mentions [1]-94:29 <br> merely [4] - 42:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:23, 117:25, } \\ & \text { 118:16, 118:19, } \end{aligned}$ | most [1] - 81:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 16,71: 19,71: 21, \\ & 72: 3,72: 7,72: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| 105:23 | 57:27, 61:18, 88:2 | 118:20, 118:23, | move [13]-11:15, | $72: 13,72: 16,72: 19$ |
| measure [1] - 44:10 | merit [1] - 78:16 | 119:29, 129:28, | $16: 20,22: 22,36: 22,$ | 72:22, 72:26, 80:13, |
| media [8]-17:26, | mess [1] - 61:21 | 131:12, 138:17, | $57: 24,58: 3,62: 18$ | 80:18, 80:24, 82:16 |
| 21:10, 54:1, 54:25, | mess' [1] - 47:11 | 139:10, 140:7, 141:1, | $66: 6,66: 26,94: 7,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:20, 112:11, } \\ & \text { 112:14, 115:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $66: 15,124: 21$ $124 \cdot 26 \quad 125 \cdot 1$ | message [5] - 26:20, | $141: 25$ | $94: 17,137: 5,141: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:14, 115:27, } \\ & \text { 116:9, 116:27, 117:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:26, 125:1 } \\ & \text { Medical [2] - 83:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 52:16, 73:19, 74:6, } \\ & 84: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { misfortunate [1] - } \\ & 30: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { moved }[4]-20: 16, \\ 23: 14,23: 24,82: 8 \end{gathered}$ | 117:6, 117:20, |
| 83:10 | met [8] - 15:16, | misinformation [5] - | movements [1] | $126: 22,129: 21$ |
| MEDICAL [1] - 3:12 | $24: 10,28: 4,62: 23$ $68: 13,68: 17,68: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 19,21: 24,67: 8 \\ & 67: 15,74: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 88:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 129:26, 130:5, 130:8, } \\ & 131: 11,132: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { meet [7] - 24:15, } \\ 25: 6,25: 7,25: 9, \end{gathered}$ | 68:13, 68:17, 68:19, $75: 5$ | misinformed [1] - | moves [1] - 91:14 | 136:10, 139:2, 140:7, |
| $26: 29,75: 8,75: 13$ | MICHAEL [4] - 3:4, | 67:19 | $97: 14,109: 2$ | 142:18, 142:26, |
| meeting [56] - 26:19, | 3:6, 3:11, 3:20 | misinterpretation [1] | $\mathbf{M R}_{[127]}-1: 12,2: 2,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:13, 148:22 } \\ & \text { MULCAHY }{ }_{[1]}-3: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 100:1, 100:2, 100:12, | Mick [4]-24:27, | - 47:27 | $2: 5,2: 5,2: 7,2: 7,$ | MULCAHY [1] - 3:5 <br> Mulligan [6] - 84:29, |
| 100:13, 100:29, | $25: 1,75: 14$ | misinterpreted [1] - | 2:10, 2:11, 2:16, 2:17, | $86: 11,86: 25,88: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:12, 109:8, } \\ & \text { 109:16, 110:7, 110:8, } \end{aligned}$ | middle [4]-29:7, | 48:13 <br> misrecording [1] - | 2:21, 2:22, 2:22, 2:28, | 88:6, 99:26 |
| 110:16, 110:22, | 46:21, 54:26, 137:1 <br> midway [1] - 100:22 | 94:27 | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 29,2: 29,3: 13,3: 14, \\ & 3: 17,3: 23,3: 24,3: 24, \end{aligned}$ | MULLIGAN [2] - |
| 110:25, 110:28, | $\text { might }[8]-7: 3,$ | missed [1] - 61:16 | $3: 25,4: 1,4: 2,4: 7$ | $2: 11,3: 13$ |
| 111:6, 111:8, 111:22, | 22:23, 25:18, 52:22, | missing [1] - 13:29 | $4: 10,4: 11,5: 5,5: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mulligan's [1] - } \\ & \text { 86:12 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 112:2, 112:8, 112:10, | $68: 14,85: 6,97: 15$ | mistake [6] - 8:22, | $5: 7,5: 9,5: 12,6: 4$ | Mullingar [1] - 6:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:10, 114:5, } \\ & \text { 114:19, 118:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:19 } \\ & \text { mightn't [1] - } 74: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 2,31: 22,33: 16 \\ & 65: 19,142: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $6: 11,6: 15,21: 27$ | multiplicity [2] - |
| 119:7, 120:21, 121:9, | mile [1] - 21:9 | mistaken [4]-91:17, | $35: 2,35: 7,35: 9,$ | $120: 20,143: 12$ |
| 121:14, 121:22, | mind [14] - 6:17, | $92: 12,99: 16,142: 23$ | $35: 12,35: 16,35: 18,$ | MURPHY ${ }_{[2]}-2: 31$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 122: 6,122: 12 \\ & 122: 19,122: 29 \end{aligned}$ | 18:24, 19:17, 20:3, | mistakes [1] - 11:3 | $35: 23,36: 14,38: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3:23 } \\ & \text { Murray [75] - 16:23, } \end{aligned}$ |


| 16:28, 18:10, 19:10, 20:26, 20:29, 22:13, 23:2, 23:13, 23:14, 23:25, 24:1, 27:17, 27:21, 27:25, 29:17, 30:7, 30:10, 30:14, 30:29, 31:6, 31:25, 33:8, 33:20, 34:29, 36:18, 37:8, 37:24, 38:2, 40:8, 43:4, 43:14, 46:22, 47:1, 47:5, 47:15, 47:20, 47:27, 48:12, 48:15, 48:17, 49:28, 50:15, 50:17, 58:6, 58:11, 58:19, 59:16, 60:4, 60:19, 60:29, 61:5, 62:2, 62:23, 62:25, 63:7, 63:9, 63:19, 63:22, 63:26, 64:1, 64:27, 64:29, 65:15, 65:26, 66:13, 67:7, 67:12, 73:12, 73:14, 74:6, 95:8, 106:10, 107:19 <br> MURRAY [2] - 3:3, 3:9 <br> Murray's [10] - 32:8, 41:28, 43:7, 46:15, 46:18, 47:4, 47:22, 47:29, 48:6, 48:22 must [2] - 113:21, 146:5 <br> MÍCHEÁL [1] - 3:24 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:9, 19:1, 41:13, } \\ & \text { 64:25, 81:5, 82:17, } \\ & 90: 2,94: 5,103: 15, \\ & \text { 110:19, 115:28, } \\ & \text { 118:25 } \\ & \text { number.. }[1]-9: 17 \\ & \text { number] }[1]-10: 3 \\ & \text { numbers }[7]-18: 26, \\ & \text { 18:29, 19:22, 19:24, } \\ & \text { 19:27, 24:16, 122:18 } \\ & \text { nutshell }[1]-40: 26 \\ & \text { NYLAND }[1]-3: 19 \\ & \text { Ní }[1]-2: 30 \\ & \text { NÓIRÍN }[1]-3: 8 \\ & \text { Nóirín }[2]-24: 24, \\ & 25: 4 \end{aligned}$  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| 101:27, 102:2, | practice [1] - 123:10 | proceeding [1] - | PROTECTED [2] - | query [1] - 133:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105:19, 105:20, | precisely [1] | 132:10 | 1:2, 1: | querying [2] - |
| 107:22, 110:1, | 127:11 | process [9]-95:20, | provide [3]-90:15, | 126:11, 126:22 |
| 111:25, 112:27, | predate [1] - 111:15 | 95:25, 95:26, 100:15, | 137:13, 137:15 | QUESTIONED [2] - |
| 114:22, 115:18, | prefer [3]-35:5 | 107:13, 112:29 | provided [14] - | 5:10, 76 |
| 120:27, 120:28, | 58:15, 58:29 | 121:5, 122:16, 126:26 | 85:15, 87:4, 87:5, | questioned [1] |
| 123:3, 123:14 | preference [2] | produce [5] - 38:27 | 92:5, 104:16, 110:10, | 45:1 |
| 126:25, 126:26 | 32:5, 146:13 | 39:11, 39:13, 39:14 | 112:16, 118:2 | questioning [1] - |
| 126:28, 127:8, | preferred [2] - 43:7, | produced [1] - 10:11 | 121:26, 123:24, | 69:1 |
| 131:27, 137:24, | 95:9 | producing | 140:15, 140:17 | QUESTIONING |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:29 } \\ & \text { pointed [2]-17:21, } \end{aligned}$ | prepare [1] - 109:9 preparing [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 39:13 } \\ & \text { professional }{ }_{[1]} \end{aligned}$ | $148: 14$ <br> proving [1] - 56:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 79:28 } \\ & \text { questions [17] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:25 } \\ & \text { Police [2] - 45:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:29 } \\ & \text { present [5] - 38:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $56: 1$ <br> progress [9]-91:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prudent [1]-89:19 } \\ & \text { pry [1] - 76:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 3,38: 22,42: 14, \\ & 49: 1,49: 3,64: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:17 } \\ & \text { police }[1]-45: 16 \\ & \text { policies }[1]-30: 17 \\ & \text { Policing }[12]-42: 27, \end{aligned}$ | 62:22, 98:3, 99:26, | 114:5, 114:23, 127:8, | public [9]-18:6, | $69: 4,69: 29,70: 1$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:12 } \\ & \text { presided }[1]-47: 10 \\ & \text { PRESIDENT [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $128: 12,130: 22,147: 7$ | $66: 15,85: 26,93: 26,$ | $80: 3,105: 4$ |
|  |  | progressed [11] - | 125:2, 145:12 | quickly [2]-91:15, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Policing [12]-42:27, } \\ & \text { 42:28, 45:4, 47:11, } \end{aligned}$ | 1:13, 2:3 | 88:2, 89:18, 92:26, 105:8, 106:7, 114:4, | publically [2] - 145:7, 145:8 | 93:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:21, 95:26, 106:8, } \\ & 107: 18,108: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 102:4, 102:5, 108:21, | 114:18, 136:26, | publicly [1] - 145:26 | $91: 1,93: 4,113: 24$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:13, 125:11, } \\ & \text { 145:13 } \\ & \text { pressing [2] - 49:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:28, 141:10, } \\ & 147: 28 \end{aligned}$ | published [1] - 78:7 <br> punished [2] - 30:23, | QUINN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:11 quite [15]-12:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 82:22, 90:10 } \\ & \text { policy [12] - 119:21, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { progressing [16] - } \\ 85: 20,99: 4,107: 29, \end{gathered}$ | $31: 8$ | $14: 15,14: 26,16: 1$ |
| 122:22, 135:7,135:11, 136:27, | $\begin{gathered} \text { pressure }[4]-11: 22, \\ 12: 18,15: 21,124: 10 \end{gathered}$ | 113:3, 128:17, 131:6, | 135:19 | 38:21, 48:11, 58:23, |
|  |  | 131:29, 133:7, | purpose [10] - 88:13, | $64: 25,88: 26,111: 13$ |
| $135: 11,136: 27$, $137: 14,137: 28$, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12:18, 15:21, } 124: 10 \\ \text { pretty }[2]-148: 10, \end{gathered}$ | 138:26, 139:24, | $92: 7,109: 16,120: 1$ | $131: 16$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:29, 138:8, } \\ & \text { 140:15, 146:4, 146:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:20 } \\ & \text { prevarication [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $145: 17,146: 18,147: 1$ | 122:28, 123:29, 125:5 | R |
| portion [2] - 56:16, | $137: 21$ <br> previous [6] - 28:11, | 96:29, 133:2 | $\text { 128:26, } 129:$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 71:22 } \\ & \text { portray }[1]-61: 9 \\ & \text { position }[20]-38: 11 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | 64:2, 96:11, 100:26 | rolong [1] - 35:1 | pursue [1] - 66:20 | raised [10] - 14:11, |
|  | $122: 20,139: 6$ | promotion [11] - | $125: 22$ | $15: 19,42: 15,87: 26$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 13,38: 17,40: 5 \\ & 40: 27,40: 29,42: 10 \\ & 43: 24,74: 25,84: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77:23, 127:1 } \\ & \text { primarily }[3]-54: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $40: 8,41: 12,47: 6$ | $\text { put }[30]-9: 9,13: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:18, 113:1, } \\ & \text { 121:24, 121:29, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $57: 20,57: 21,65: 5,$ | $16: 9,24: 2,38: 17$ | 124:12, 124:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 43: 24,74: 25,84: 20 \\ & 98: 3,104: 13,108: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 11,124: 5 \\ & \text { primary }[3]-7: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 22,66: 2,95: 7, \\ & 95: 25,106: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $45: 14,48: 14,67: 7$ | 124:12, 124:18 raises [2]-100:27, |
| 98:3, 104:13, 108:13, 125:19, 128:9, | 114:16, 130:20 | proper [2]-8:29, | 67:18, 70:11, 71:1, | 133:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:12, 129:21, } \\ & \text { 130:10, 131:2, } 138: 6 \end{aligned}$ | principle $[1]-42: 20$ print [1] - 112:22 | 138:29 | $74: 5,74: 25,74: 26$ | raising [4]-78:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:10, 131:2, 138:6 } \\ & \text { positions [4]-74:26, } \end{aligned}$ | priority [1] - 87:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { properly [6] - 85:17, } \\ & \text { 108:5, 108:26, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:4, 108:13, } \\ & \text { 114:10, 117:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95: 12,97: 23,111: 23 \\ \text { rang }[5]-12: 7,12: 9, \end{gathered}$ |
| 81:7, 114:11, 138:15 | privacy [3] - 112:27, | $123: 12,124: 7,124: 17$ | $118: 1,123: 19$ | $\begin{gathered} 24: 16,26: 27,61: 16 \\ \text { range [8] - } 90: 27, \end{gathered}$ |
| Positive [1] - 104:9 possession [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:14, } 123: 3 \\ & \text { private }[3]-55: 29, \end{aligned}$ | $8: 10,8: 28,9: 4,10: 28$ | 132:1, 133:6, 138:23, | $91: 3,105: 4,112: 4$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:23 } \\ & \text { possible [14] - 12:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:10, } 125: 8 \\ & \text { privately }[1]-69: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $11: 10$ | 141:29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:11, 134:8, } \\ & \text { 135:16, 136:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | proposal [1] - 141:18 <br> propose [1] - 36:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { putting }[3]-48: 16, \\ & 48: 18,145: 14 \end{aligned}$ | ranging [1] - 41:13 |
| $14: 15,14: 26,16: 1$,$16: 4,16: 16,27: 27$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:12, 23:10, 31:24, } \\ & 39: 6,49: 22,76: 27, \end{aligned}$ | proposed [3] |  | rank [3]-31: |
|  |  | 130:23, 133:19, | Q | 81:19, 83:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:2 } \\ & \text { possibly [2] - 14:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77:22, 92:19 } \\ & \text { problems [4] - 33:10, } \end{aligned}$ | prosecute [1] | uantify [1] - 121:1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { rather }[4]-38: 16, \\ 46: 26,85: 19,118: 16 \end{gathered}$ |
| 142:8 | $56: 19,63: 24,66: 16$ | 144:26 | QUAY [3] - 2:24, | re [5] - 11:23, 28:11, |
| Post [1] - 28:5 postpone [1] - 35:5 | procedures [2] - | protected [11] | $2: 31,4: 12$ | $37: 21,49: 9,146: 25$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:29, 32:16 } \\ & \text { proceed }[9]-34: 19, \end{aligned}$ | 62:27, 76:24, 77:20, | queried [4] - 32:12, $32 \cdot 14,43 \cdot 13,119 \cdot 25$ | re-opening [1] - 49:9 |
| potentially [1] - 36:4 | $35: 3,36: 12,103: 3$ | $78: 6,85: 10,85: 14$ <br> $85 \cdot 27$ 93.29, 95.9 | 32:14, 43:13, 119:25 <br> queries [4] - 102:17, | read [15]-13:6, 13:8, 37:1, 46:26, 46:27, |
| POWER [1] - 4:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:19, 125:23, } \\ & 136: 1,143: 23,144: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 27,93: 29,95: 9, \\ & 118: 28,122: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $106: 7,108: 7,140: 9$ | $46: 28,47: 3,47: 22,$ |



| ruled [4]-43:1, | SEANAD ${ }_{[1]}-1: 5$ | 50:11, 50:12, 50:13 | SHANE [1] - 3:23 | snowballing [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43:19, 49:10, 50:1 | second [12]-9:13, | 50:19, 50:22, 50: | SHANKEY [1] - 3:22 | 21:8 |
| ruling [10] - 40:14, | 29:5, 29:11, 42:24 | 81:7, 81:11, 81:16 | SHANKEY-SMIT | sober [1] - 18:1 |
| 40:26, 41:4, 45:4 | 52:8, 65:2, 76:20 | 82:17, 105:1 | [1] - 3:2 | socialising [1] - |
| 45:28, 46:2, 65:20, | 03 | [9] | SHANNON [1] - 2:13 | 55:2 |
| 66:21, 66:25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 111:10, } 115: 10,129: 3 \\ \text { secondly }[1]-110: 8 \\ \text { Secondly }[1]-123: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:11, 63:5, 94:12, } \\ & \text { 107:25, 107:26, } \\ & 112: 9,121: 28,130: 17 \end{aligned}$ | SHEAHAN [1] - 3:19 <br> Sheehan [1] - 83:20 <br> sheet [1] - 101:3 | SOLE [1] - 2:2 <br> solicitor [4] - 40:28, |
| rulings [1] - 64:9 |  |  |  |  |
| rumour [2] - 16:12 |  |  |  |  |
| 23:29 | secretary [1] - 82:24 | sensitive [2] - | SHELLEY [1] - 3:25 | 87:1, 87:2, 143:11 SOLICITOR'S [1] - |
| rumours [1] - 13:28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { secreted }[1]-95: 6 \\ & \text { security }[1]-90: 11 \end{aligned}$ |  | SHIP [1] - 3:28 | 3:27 |
| run [3]-22:17, 52:2, |  | sensitivity [1] | shock [1] - 36:1 <br> short [2]-7:2, 9:25 | solicitors [3] - |
| 95:26 | :2 |  |  | $146: 14$ |
| rushing [1] - 130:1 | 9:24, 11:18, 13:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 52:16, 84:20, 94:16, } \\ \text { 110:21, 110:27, 111:6 } \\ \text { sentence }[2]-65: 3, \end{gathered}$ | shortly [2] - 84:19, |  |
| RYAN ${ }_{[2]}$ - 1:12, 2:2 | 20:24, 22:7, 24:7 |  | 91:16 | Solicitors [1] - 96:18 SOLICITORS [6] - |
| S | 26 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { show [3]-23:14, } \\ & 33: 16.46: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 28 | ```66:1 separate [6] - 67:1,``` | showed [1] - 113:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 12,2: 18,2: 23,2: 31 \\ & 4: 8,4: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 29,31: 24,33: 1 \\ & 37 \cdot 11,38 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ | separate [6] - 67:1, <br> 75:20, 75:22, 75:23, | ```sic [1] - 36:1 sick [6] - 22:25, 30:9,``` | someone [6]-17:11, |
| 30:27, 56:21 | 39:13, 40:29, | $117: 10,136: 1$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 1,53: 11,60: 27 \\ & 74: 11,88: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| -60:24 | $43: 3,45: 6,49: 14$ | September | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 2,33: 13,33: 20, \\ & 94: 28 \end{aligned}$ | sometimes [6] - |
| SANDRA [1] - 4:7 | 51:28, 52:6, 52:7 | 37:9, 82:4, 97:14 | sickness [1] - 119:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 16,19: 26,19: 28, \\ & 33: 21,52: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| sat [1]-82:1 satisfactory | 60:26, 66:13, 81:29, $84: 22,85: 16,86: 9$, | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 15,97: 27,98: 14, \\ & 98: 16,102: 18,103: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { side }[4]-21: 10, \\ 30: 24.70: 20.131: 13 \end{gathered}$ | sorry [34]-7:20, |
| 38:28 | 86:16, 86:21, | sequence [3] - 39:8, | 30:24, 70:20, 131:13 $\operatorname{sign}[4]-7: 24,$ |  |
| satisfi | 88:7, 89:13, 90: | 85:12, 94 | 11:10, 11:11  <br> signed $[6]-7: 26$, $37: 6,44: 3,47: 6,49: 8$, <br> $49: 15,50: 27,51: 4$,  |  |
| 108:26, 144:8 | 90:5, 91:19, 91:24 | SERGEANT [5] |  |  |  |
| SAVAGE [1] - 3:17 | 92:4, 92:5, 94:24 | 3:13, 3:20, 4:6, 4:6 | $9: 5,9: 6,10: 5,10: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51:27, 61:7, 65:29, } \\ & \text { 66:4, 67:27, 71:6, } \end{aligned}$ |
| saw [5] - 57:4, 67:8 | 97:4, 97:21, 97:24 | 4:7 |  |  |
| 97:9, 136:7, 136:8 | 98:26, 99:21, 100:21, | Sergeant [4] - 18:14 | 47: | 71:10, 72:1, 72:5 |
| 2:7, | 101:4, 101:13, | 22:4, 99:27 | simple [1] - 45:27 | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 18,76: 27,92: 13 \\ & 93: 7,102: 15,102: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2:10, 2:16, 2:21, 2:28, | 102:20, 103:21 | sergeant [2] - 27:5, | simply [6] - 36:4, |  |
| 3:23, 3:24, 3:24, 4:7, | 104:25, 106:13 | 33:28 | $62: 18,71: 14,72: 7$ | 102:18, 115:24, |
|  | 108:1, 110:19, | series [2] - 95:18 | 80:4, 118:7 |  |
| Scanlan [5] - 88:11, | 111:18, 112:25, | 112:15 | singular [2] - 63:5, | $\begin{gathered} 142: 25,146: 22 \\ \text { sort }[4]-23: 10, \end{gathered}$ |
| $133: 2,143: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | Ous | 124:2 |  |
| $\operatorname{SCANLAN}_{[1]}-3: 9$ | 121:15, 121:20, | seriously [1] - 48:28 | singularly [1] - 124:3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { sought }[4]-42: 6 \text {, } \\ 98: 3,104: 2,105: 10 \end{gathered}$ |
| scenario [4]-16:12, | 126:2, 131:7, 133:11, | served [1] - 51:2 | SINéAD [1] - 2:8 |  |
| 74:16, 75:7, 79:9 | 138:18, 139:20, | serves [1] - 108:19 | sit [2] - 38:29, 80:22 | $106: 23,116: 8$ |
| scene [1] - 19:18 | 139:28, 140:21 | service [3]-81:5, |  |  |
| scoping [9]-119:29 | 140:29, 142:10 | 82:24, 125:2 | sits [1]-81:15 <br> situation [15] - 17:1 | sounds [1] - 85:1 south [1] - 118:22 |
| 120:6, 130:18, | 142:18, 142:22 | RVICES [1] - 1:29 |  |  |
| 131:16, 131:17 | $142: 26,143: 12$ $143: 25$ | Services [1] - 1:24 | $44: 14,45: 27,53: 1$ | speaking [5] - 47:5, |
| 131:26, 132:18, | $143: 25$ | services [3]-82:22, | 53:4, 58:22, 59:1, | 52:3, 59:20, 60:3,60:28 |
| $\begin{gathered} 133: 9,147: 20 \\ \text { screen [10] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { seeing [2] - 44:12 } \\ & 112: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 84:2, 84:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 15,74: 14,75: 1 \\ & 75: 5,144: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 11:16, 12:29, 16:21, | [1] | S. | $75: 5,144: 20$ specific $12,1,79: 11,113: 1$, <br> six $[1]-37: 11$ $128: 1,135: 18$, | specific [12]-44:9, |
| 27:15, 36:23, 37:6, | seeking [1] - 102:28 |  | sky [1] - 93:23 | $128: 1,135: 18$ |
| 51:26, 84:17, 141:29 | seem [7]-34:22 | 18:20, 19:18, 21:15 | sleepless [1] - 75:11 | 136:25, 137:15, |
| scroll [10]-9:13, | 52:2, 100:7, 114:10 | $26: 4,27: 11,35: 23$ | slightest [1] - 66:24 | 137:26, 137:27, |
| $9: 24,11: 16,13: 1$ | 137:22, 146:15, | $53: 19,78: 14,110: 12$ | slightly [4]-110:20, | specifically ${ }_{[2]}$ |
|  |  |  | 115:10, 115:11, |  |
|  |  |  | $115: 15$ <br> slow [2]-69:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 16,102: 29 \\ & \text { specificity [3] - } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 69:26 | $120: 28,128: 18,146: 3$ |
|  |  |  |  | specifics [11] - |
|  |  |  | $\text { SMITH }_{[1]}-3: 2$ | 127:2, 127:14, |
|  |  |  | SMITHFIELD | 127:21, 129:22, |
|  |  |  | $2: 25,4: 13$ | 130:21, 138:2, |




| 125:20 | 57:16, 75:7, 79:8, | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| volume [2]-88:15, | $41: 11,130: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { year }[1]-47: 19 \\ & \text { years }[5]-12: 25, \\ & 20: 29,30: 21,75: 6, \\ & 81: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 113:6 voluminous [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { widespread }[1] \text { - } \\ & 56: 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 112:21, 112:22 | 56:3 willing $[1]-61: 13$ Wilson [3]-24:20 |  |
| volunteer [1] - 58:21 |  | 81:8 yesterday [8] 40:14, 40:23, 45:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { volunteered }[1] \text { - } \\ & 40 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 14,40: 23,45: 4, \\ & 45: 7,45: 28,46: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| vulnerable | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wims [1] - 142:6 } \\ & \text { wish }[4]-38: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $49: 10,112: 21$ |
| 63:14 | 38:25, 61:18, 122:12 wished [2] - 74:3, 74:11 | yesterday's [2] 40:26, 41:4 yourself $[9]-23: 13$, |
| W |  |  |
| wait [2]-38:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:11 } \\ & \text { WITNESS }{ }_{[0]}-5: 2 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | 50:10, 80:22, 88:25, 90:10, 109:4, 121:14, 129:11, 148:3 |
| 69:19 <br> waiting [1]-51:26 <br> walking [1]-16:14 <br> Wallace [3]-22:15, | 6:8, 6:13, 19:17, <br> 19:20, 39:21, 80:7, <br> 80:11, 80:20 <br> witness [15]-6:5, | $€$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:1, } 75: 14 \\ & \text { wants [4]-25:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 9,35: 24,39: 5, \\ & 40: 7,43: 12,62: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { €4,500[3]-11:23, } \\ & \text { 12:19, 15:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $28: 16,43: 2,57: 22$ <br> warranted [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:7, 43:12, 62:20, } \\ & 69: 22,71: 11,72: 1, \end{aligned}$ 72:3, 72:8, 80:13, | É |
| 17:20, 53:24 warrants [1]-6:29 WAS [7] - 6:10, | 116:25 witness' [1] - 49:27 wonder [2]-34:5, | ÉABHALL [1] - 2:30 |
|  |  | ÉIREANN ${ }_{[2]}$ - 1:4, |
| 73:8, 76:1, 80:17 watch [1] - 100:17 watered [2]-28:23, | $34: 7$ <br> wondering [3] - | 1:5 |
|  | wondering [3] 38:26, 118:15, 119:10 | Ó |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:3 } \\ & \text { ways }[4]-32: 16, \\ & 40: 19,117: 10,125: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { word [9] - 7:20, } \\ \text { 11:26, 32:22, 32:28, } \end{gathered}$ | Ó [28] - 3:7, 9:11, |
| 40:19, 117:10, 125:13 website [1]-41:20 WEDNESDAY [2] | 130:18, 132:5 <br> words [6] - 10:21, | 10:12, 17:22, 21:16, 26:5, 28:15, 28:23, 29:2, 37:19, 50:6, |
| 1:18, 6:1 | 97:2, 145:14 <br> works [1] - 142:6 <br> worried [11]-17:27, | 29:2, 37:19, 50:6, <br> 53:26, 78:18, 82:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:23, 124:24 } \\ & \text { welfare [10]-22:20, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 17,91: 18,93: 19, \\ & 97: 24,102: 3,106: 5, \end{aligned}$ |
| 25:8, 59:24, 59:27, 60:17, 60:24, 61:26, | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 2,2: 8,54: 2 \\ & 54: 17,54: 18,55: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:12, 107:21, } \\ & \text { 108:10, 108:25, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 118:26, 138:21, | 58:2, 61:4, 134:15 worries [1] - 120:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:10, 108:25, } \\ & 118: 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| 142:29 | worry [1] - 68:5 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { WELLINGTON }{ }_{[1]} \text {. } \\ & \text { 2:31 } \end{aligned}$ | worrying ${ }_{[1]}-18: 18$ worse [2]-62:6, |  |
| WESTMEATH ${ }_{[1]}$ - |  |  |
|  | 62:8 |  |
| whatsoever [3]- $16: 3,16: 5,73: 18$ | 69:26 |  |
| WHEATLEY ${ }_{[1]}-3: 5$ whereabouts [1] - | writing ${ }_{[1]}-9: 5$ <br> written [6] - 10:1 |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 94: 26 \\ \text { whereas }[1]-113: 23 \end{array}$ | 43:16, 134:12, |  |
|  | 141:27, 142:16,145:23 |  |
| whistleblower [3] -22:23, $29: 21,62: 26-1$ |  |  |
|  | 145:23 wrongdoing ${ }_{[1]}$ |  |
| 22:23, 29:21, $62: 26$ WHITE $[1]-3: 11$ | 8:16 wrote [1] - 102:13 |  |
| 32:17, 32:18, 54:7, |  |  |

