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THE HEAR NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVS, ON TUESDAY, 24TH MAY 2022:

CHA RMAN Good morning, Mr. Barry. Now, Mr. Murphy.

MR. PAUL BARRY WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. MRPHY, AS FOLLOWG:

1 Q. MR. MRPHY: Good morning, Mr. Barry.
A. Morning, Mr. Murphy.

2 Q. So, Mr. Barry, if we could just back to the period 2010 and 2012 just for a moment, I think you will agree with me that at that time the country and An Garda Síochána was dealing with the effects of the biggest recession since 1929, you do recal1 that, don't you?
A. I do.

3 Q. And I think you will agree with me also that at that time within the force there was a very considerable constriction on money, resources, would you agree?
A. That's correct.

4 Q. I think in addition, you were aware, as an experienced, long serving sergeant, that there were new rules introduced on a variety of issues affecting pay, conditions, rosters and the like?
A. Correct.

5 Q. And just perhaps to assist the Tribunal, it might take just a minute or two to go through a few of those documents and I wonder if it's possible to have page 5425 please. Thank you very much. And I wonder if you
could possibly just scroll that down a little bit please. Mr. Barry, I think you will see, if I can take you to the heading "Public Service Stability Agreement 2013-16 - extra hours and overtime" and do you see the third paragraph, it relates to the Haddington Road agreement and says:
"These cost savings proposal s whi ch are applicable to Gardaí, sergeants and inspectors include three extra days duty in each of the cal endar years 2013, ' 14 and ' 10.

Garda management should utilise the additional hours to maximise the level of service being provided whilst at the same time miniming any associ ated costs, incl uding the Iiability for the payment of unsocial hours allowances."

And I think you are broadly familiar with the implications of that change?
A. Yes.

Could I just draw your attention to the fourth paragraph please for a moment? And there it says:
"These provi si ons apply to all menbers of the garda, ${ }^{11: 03}$ sergeant and inspector rank irrespective of how they are empl oyed. "

So I think you will agree with me that it was notified
to you as a long serving member that these were measures which applied to all gardaí?
A. That's correct.

7 Q. And if I could ask you then to go forward please to another document at number 991. Thank you. This is the management of sickness absence document issued from Garda Headquarters on $1 / 12 / 2010$. We will see in a minute that later on, at page 995, it's signed by Assistant Commissioner Fintan Fanning. But again this is a directive which was brought to the attention of a11 members of An Garda Síochána, do you recal1 that being introduced at that time?
A. I can't say that $I$ do recal1 it, but I accept that it was.

8 Q. Yes. And just if I could ask you to turn forward, please, to page 995 for a moment. And just at the end of the page please. Mr. Barry, I think you will note there it says:
"Pl ease bring to the notice of all sworn members of An Gar da Sí ochána. "

Can we take it from that, that this was something that was notified broadly to all of the members of the force, yourself included?
A. Yes, that would have been the case.

9 Q. Thank you. And just going back please to page 991. I think, Mr. Barry, if I could just draw your attention to the third paragraph under the heading "the role of
the Chi ef Medi cal Officer", and I think there it says, and I quote:
"The Chi ef Medi cal Officer (CMD) advi ses the Garda Commi ssi oner on menber's medical fitness for policing duties. In forming the medi cal opi ni on, the CMD takes into account all medi cal information available at the time. When the CMD advises that a menber is fit for full or light or restricted police duties, the member will resume duty immedi ately on being notified of same by the member's district officer/superintendent."

So again, I think you will agree with me, that's something that was known to you in 2010, '11 and '12?
A. That's correct.

10 Q. And I think this was a change from previous procedures and it involved, did it not, the input from HRM, much more than had been the case in the past?
A. I believe so, yes.

11 Q. Yes. And in terms of the next page, please, at page 992 , if I just draw your attention down to the last paragraph under the heading "Reporting Si ckness Absence to Human Resource Management", I think there it indicates and I quote:
"Conti nuous si ckness absence of 28 cal endar days or more will result in intervention by the Garda Occupational Health Service. Thi s intervention may be by way of advi ce based on reports submitted or
ref errals of the CMD and report will be forwarded and supported by D 5 of the rel evant medical certificates were si ckness absence exceeds 28 conti nuous cal endar days or there is an aggregate of 60 days si ckness absence in any period of 90 cal endar days and reports will be forwar ded --"

Just over the next page please, 993. And it goes on to say:
"Reports will be forwarded to the di visional of ficer, to the assistant commi ssi oner of HRM

All injuries on duty (resulting in si ckness absence or not) will al so be reported via the normal
communi cations channel s to assi stant commi ssi oner HRM at the time of the inci dent or as soon as practical thereafter. This will be reported by the member concerned or the first line supervisor."

So again, I think you will agree with me that that was a measure which involved HRM, involved Garda headquarters, involved medical assessment from outside the local area where the member, any member, might be serving?
A. That's correct.

12 Q. Thank you. Could I ask you then to look down at page 993, to the middle of the page? You will see that there's a heading "i nj ury on duty cl assification" and
that says:
"Were there is any doubt that an injury on duty occurred, the di visional officers should refer to the matter to the assistant commissi oner HRM who will seek 11:08 the advi ces of the CMD. The CMD will take into account all rel evant information in arriving at his/her advi ces.

A deci si on regarding injury on duty will be based on: A compl ete investi gation into the inci dent; management vi ews and recommendations; and the assessment and the opi ni on of the CMD. "

So just pausing there for a moment, Mr. Barry. would you agree with me that that indicated that the assessment of injury on duty required a number of different things to happen. It wasn't just a question of the doctor who was the CMO having a view, it was also required that he would take into account these points which are referred to in the bullet points on page 993, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

13 Q. And then in terms of the next paragraph, it indicates:
"Ordinary illness/injury on duty: Where there is a doubt as to whether the member's si ckness absence is due to ordi nary ill ness or an injury on duty, the member's absence will be treated as ordinary ill ness
pending a deci si on on the cl assification of the injury and in particular the CMD s advice. If it is determined that the absence does rel ate to an injury on duty, the member's pay will be retrospectivel y adj usted as soon as practicable and a margi nal note should be made of Code 11. 37."

So again, I think this was clearly part of the structure for assessment of injuries or injury on duty classifications or ordinary illness and you'11 see there reference to Code 11.37. So again would you agree with me that that was something that you were aware of in 2010, '11 and '12?
A. That's correct.

14 Q. I think in relation to this particular document which 11:10 appears at page 993, I wonder could we go back to 964 please. If we scroll down to the end. Again you will just see there that's a letter from Superintendent Patrick Lordan of 6th December 2012, having met with you. And he indicates in the final paragraph:
"A copy of Sergeant Barry's statement is attached. Sergeant Barry al so provi ded copi es of correspondence and other documents to me."

So that in fact is one of the documents you supplied to Superintendent Lordan. The document we just went through, the management of sickness absence, which appears at page 991. I wonder if we could have page

991, please. I think that's the beginning of the document that we've just gone through, dated $1 / 12 / 2010$. That's a part of a bundle of documents which I think you gave to him at the time, isn't that right?
A. That's correct
Q. We might come back to that bundle later on. Then please, very briefly just on one other point, if one comes forward to page 5339, please. This is a document in relation to critical incident reporting that was referred to in your evidence the other day I think, concerning the roles and rules at that time. Insofar as that's concerned, can I ask you just to -- sorry, can you scroll up to the top of the page. Sorry, is it possible to go to page 5340, please? So again, just pausing for a moment. I am very sorry, can I ask you to scroll back down again just a little bit, please. Further down, please. Just pausing please there, thanks. And just can I draw your attention to the second paragraph? And that indicates that, quote:
"In compliance with the directions of deputy Cormi ssi oner, operation of all critical incidents will be reported to the office of Deputy Cormis ssioner, operations by the regi onal offices within 30 mintes of the incident occurring. Such a report to be made as fol I ows.

During normal hours 7.30amto 6.30pm Mbnday to Fri day, critical incidents will be notified to phone to

Superintendent Fergus Dwyer.

Outside office hours a brief summary of such incidents will be text to Superintendent Dwyer.

Following the initial notification, a full report of the inci dent si gned by the rel evant di strict of ficer, acting district officer or superintendent on call will be forwarded to the rel evant regi onal office to oper ations by e-nail bef ore 8. 30am on the following day. "

So again, I think you will agree with me, Mr. Barry, that this was a document that was of wide application to al1 departments, al1 districts and all divisions of An Garda Síochána at that time?
A. That's correct.

16 Q. Thank you. Just in terms of the implications at that time for the force of all of these changes and updates and provisions and economic focus, in addition, there was a greater degree of supervision, would you agree, began to set in around that time with the development of Pulse, with the new mechanisation of the logging of records, and there was an increased emphasis on all fronts of accountability for money and accountability to higher authority, and that matters needed to be recorded in greater detail than before?
A. That would appear so, yes.

17 Q. I wonder if we could have page 3654 , please. And just
in the middle of the page, I think this is from the Garda Code, and it's contained at volume 12 of the papers before the Tribunal, but the role of the sergeant is given a very clear definition at this point and it says, and I quote:
"The sergeant is the first line supervisor and the operational teamleader. The sergeant shall take charge of assi gned personnel and operational duties and challenges. He/she shall ensure that all front line garda services are delivered and the tasks are carried out in a timely, efficient and effective manner."

And again, I think you would agree with me, that is a fair definition of the significant operational duties and responsibilities of a sergeant, such as yourself, at that time?
A. That's correct.

18 Q. I think there will be no disagreement between us either that it is clear from the code that insofar as the Code 11:16 is concerned it also goes into details in relation to roles of all of the other officers. I think it is not necessary for us to go through those, but you can see if one scrolls down to the next level, number 2 , just looking at the role of the sergeant, if we just scroll up again, thank you, and in those circumstances you will see that under the heading "Supervi sory and Leadership Responsi bility" there's a definition of a lot of duties which are imposed on sergeants, that are
required of them. And just look please, if you would for a moment, at subsection A , that indicates that the sergeant has to take charge of his/her portfolio and provide decisive and effective guidance and direction for the team and the staff, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

19 Q. Then in (b) "The sergeant has to ensure that al I rel evant provisions of Garda policy and procedure are i mpl emented and adhered to", isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

And (c) says that "The sergeant has to support the superintendent in the implementation of local policing pl ans and operations", do you see that?
A. That's correct.

21 Q. And would you agree with me that each of those elements 11:17 are very important in any division and in any area because there's an interlinking series of duties and obligations between superiors, sergeants, superintendents and ordinary gardaí that have to work together?
A. That's correct.
Q. Then in terms of the -- if we could look down at (f) there is a reference there to "apply resources and effort towards the achi evement of local policing priorities, as identified in the local policing plan and the superintendent's directions (e.g. crime prevention, detection, public order, road safety, vandalism victimsupport et cetera)."

So, would you agree with me, that indicates that the local officer has also a duty at superintendent level to develop local policing priorities, to have a local policing plan and the superintendent has to give directions to all the members in the local area? That's envisaged by the Code.
A. That would correct, as long as they are lawful directions.
So in terms of this particular issue, I think on the ground at that time the evidence I think will indicate that there were changes brought about by Superintendent Comyns, some of which you indicated in your statement you disagreed with or you felt were unnecessary, like, for example, the requirement for members from mitchelstown to parade at Fermoy, is it fair to say you 11:18 disagree with that policy?
A. I disagreed with the -- I agreed that members should be paraded but I disagreed that all stations should be emptied of their members for approximately one hour in the morning and at night.
And again with respect to your disagreement, would you accept that in fact the code indicates that that decision, that local policing priority and policy, is a matter for your superior, not for you?
A. But I also have a duty to the community I serve, if I ${ }^{11: 19}$ believe a direction is unlawful then I have a right to question it.
25 Q. okay. Just perhaps just tease that out for a moment, Mr. Barry. Are you say therefore in your view a
direction to attend at Fermoy from Mitchelstown was unlawful, is that your evidence?
A. No, but to leave cork north without any police in my belief is reckless.
Insofar as you're concerned then, was it the case that at that time you believed that you could derogate yourself from an order given by your superior?
A. No, I did not believe that. I believed that I should raise my concern about having no members working in the outside stations while everybody was in Fermoy being paraded, when they could be paraded at Mitchelstown.
27 Q. Whatever about voicing your concerns, did it also, in your mind, give you the freedom to act differently to all of your own colleagues by not actually implementing the requirement to attend Fermoy?
A. I did attend the briefings, initially. It was only when I went sick. It was because of the incident in 2012 that affected my attending these briefings.
Q. We will return to that later on, if we might. And just in terms of the local issues, I think would you agree with me that all of these elements of economic pressure found expression in terms of the units on the ground having to effectively watch their expenses, be more vigilant about cost, and account in relation to costings?
A. That'd be correct.

29 Q. So I wonder if we could have page 580, please. Just by way of example, this is a note from I think Superintendent Comyns's papers in relation to a
sergeants meeting in July 2010. Just if we could scroll down, you see the references to different units, and then there's reference to garda annual leave. Scrolling down again please. One step further, please. And just where you see the word "budget", I think you will agree at that time, one of the items listed there is budgets:
"Cl ai n\$ - each entry initial ed as A 85 TOI L recorded correctly. Overtime only with prior sanction.
Court-certificate of attendance with times."

Just pausing there for a moment, was a change on the situation that existed prior to that time?
A. No, because overtime would have to be applied for and sanctioned prior to that.

30 Q. Sure. But I think you will agree with me that that indicates that at that time you were aware that each entry had to be recorded correctly and that overtime could only be obtained with prior sanction?
A. Sorry, are you saying --
Q. Pardon me. Could I draw your attention to the middle of the page, page 580, just on the screen. Would you agree with me that you were aware at the time that it was a direction that overtime could only be claimed with prior sanction?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And could I ask you please to turn to page 673. If we could scroll down. This is a document from 2011 and
it's addressed to each sergeant in the Fermoy district. It's from Superintendent Comyns. And do you see here what it says in the middle, that it's in relation to finance. Quote:
"As a result of the ongoing financial difficulties bei ng experi enced in An Garda Sí ochána, budgets nati onwi de have been cut. The Fermoy di strict budgets whi ch were al ready min mal have been reduced by $25 \%$ for the remai ning rosters in 2011."

And then in bold type:
"All overtime and travelling and subsistence claims will no longer be paid unl ess prior sanction has been obtai ned in writing fromthe district officer or the acting district officer."

Just pausing there for a moment. Can we take it from that, Mr. Barry, that that was a change on the previous 11:23 practice and procedure within the district?
A. It was. It wasn't so much as that if you were at the scene of an accident and you had to incur overtime, it would be impossible for you to apply in writing to have it sanctioned, that can be done verbally on direction. well, looking again at this change of circumstance, would you agree with me that in the letter it's clear that the superintendent is referring to finance, cut budgets, nationwide issues, restrictions on cost. If
we could just scroll down a paragraph, please, for a moment. And it says:
"Each member must certify at the end of each roster that all travelling and subsi stence clains have been submitted. Any hi storical travelling and subsi stence clai n\$ recei ved will not be paid until there is money in the budget to pay them

This di rection should be brought to the attention of every member in the di strict immedi at y .

This direction in rel ation to prior sanction in writing will be strictly adhered to."

Again, do we understand that this document was sent to you and a11 the sergeants and a11 the sergeants were directed by Superintendent Comyns to bring it to the attention of all of the members under your control?
A. That's correct.

34 Q. And I think you will agree with me, it's a pretty clear direction?
A. It is.

35 Q. And it emphasises certain points, particularly the points in bold type and which are underlined?
A. It is, but it is not practical. As I said to you, if a member was at a scene where he was required to perform extra duty, it would not be possible for him to get prior sanction in writing from the district officer.

36
Q. And insofar as that issue is concerned, again you may disagree with Superintendent Comyns, but you do agree it was his duty to implement the local policy and to supervise the budget?
A. As best as it could be implemented, yes.
A. Everyone was aware of that.

And so, in that situation can $I$ suggest to you that this put everybody under pressure, from Superintendent Comyns downwards, to watch carefully how money was spent? Would you agree that that limitation applied to a11 of you?
A. Yes.

39 Q. So, moving forward, if I can then, into the documentation that related to -- Mr. McGuinness asked you from 2012. Can I ask you please to move forward to page 964 ? This is the letter we looked at a few moments ago, Mr. Barry, which is the letter from Superintendent Patrick Lordan and I think we have gone through this in detail, so I don't propose to read every material out of it. But accordingly he is reporting that he met with you at Mitchelstown Garda Station on 21st November 2012.
A. That's correct.

40 Q. I think we have drawn attention to the fact that in the past paragraph he refers to documents that you had sent him. And just very quickly, if we can, I think that
you made a statement and that's at page 966. That statement sets out the eight matters that you've referred to in your evidence and again we will come back to those later on if needs be. But can I ask you to move forward please to 976. Just by way of example, 11:27 I think this is a letter of 14th December 2010, and that's to do with expenses. That's one of the documents that you'd obviously kept and stored and was in a position to give to Superintendent Lordan in 2012.
A. That's correct.

41 Q. Yes. And just again at page 977. Again, this is a document from 2011 and again that's in relation to 1eave. Again, is that a document that you had retained and kept on file since 2011?
A. That's correct.

42 Q. Then if we turn to page 978, there's a letter of 13/8/2011, and that again relates to some of the issues which you've referred to in the course of your discussions with Superintendent Comyns. And page 979, relates to a 2011 document concerning extra duty. And just pausing there for a moment, I think there you say:
"With reference to the above and attached, I have taken the advi ce of the superintendent Fer noy I have familiarised myself with Code F..."

[^0][^1](4) apply to this claim"

You go on to indicate your views in relation to that. So can we take it from that that you were familiarising yourself in 2010 can the finances code, the general code and all of these revised codes that were being implemented from Garda headquarters at that time?
A. When I had to, yes, I referred to the code.

43 Q. Just over the next page then, at page 980. In fact, I think you attached also for Superintendent Lordan an extract of overtime, night duty, weekend and public allowances chapter F 10. That's the code I think that you referred to in the previous letter, is that right?
A. That's correct.

44 Q. And then if I could ask you to turn forward to page 991, this is the 2010 document that we've seen previously, about management of sickness absence and that's a document you were able to give to Superintendent Lordan as well?
A. That's correct.

45 Q. And just finally by way of example, page 989, please. This is from May of 2012 and it's a letter of complaint from you to Superintendent Comyns in relation to the number of hours that you were being asked to work and you say:
"This is causing me an el ement of hardship due to the excessive number of hours, different shifts, continuous ten day roster. I bel ieve there is a health and safety
issue to answer in rel ation to these working conditions, especially when one consi ders the age of the applicant and I amrequesting that I be granted a rest day before I have to work two more ni ghts.

I spoke with Superintendent Comyns on today's date, he di dn't consi der working ten days to be hardshi $p$."

And goes on to say, at the end you say:
"I woul dn't consi der this to be hardship but my sleep pattern is affected by these ridicul ous hours which are heaped upon re."

So, is it fair to say that at that stage you were raising issues about the length of time you were having to work and you were complaining about the implication of the rosters implementation?
A. No, I had no problem with the new rosters. I actually welcomed them because it meant we were working longer hours and had more time off. what I objected to was the fact that I had already been working nights when this roster came in, so therefore $I$ had worked an inordinate amount of night duty within that short period and it's not continuous night duty, shifts change over and back, and that's where fatigue sets in. I almost crashed my car going home because of it.

46 Q. What age were you at that time, please?
A. Pardon?

47 Q. Can you just tell us how old you were at that time, in 2012?
A. Must have been 49,50 , having worked night shifts all my service.
48 Q. So insofar as it's concerned, and we have this bundle of documents that you gave to Superintendent Lordan, at the time you gave them to him you were out sick?
A. Pardon?

At the time that you gave those documents to him, I think you were out, in November 2012?
A. Oh yes, I was off sick, yes, that's correct.
A. No, all that documentation was in the station. I met Superintendent Lordan in the garda station at Mitchelstown at his request.

51 Q. And did you compile that material at the station in those terms?
A. I believe so. I may have had it -- I would have had a drawer, my own drawer at the station, all my documentation would be there.

52 Q. If we can just go back please to page 86, I think this is the statement in which you've set out the various issues that Mr. McGuinness took you through in the course of your evidence the other day?
A. That's correct.

53 Q. And just moving swiftly through them, I think issue number 1 is about expenses in 2010, is that right? Just the subject?
A. Yes, that's correct.

54 Q. Issue 2 is about annual leave in March 2011?
A. That's correct.
Q. Issue 3 is about three hours overtime, which I think was in fact paid at $€ 21.86$ on $19 / 1 / 2012$, is that number 11:33 $3 ?$
A. That's correct.

Number 5 I think is the rest day request that we looked at a moment ago, is that correct, the one where you refer to your age and the difficulty of working for ten hours. Then number 6, that deals with certification of terminated duty. Number 7 I think deals with a subsistence claim in relation to a 45-minute break and another 15 minute break, coming to a total of €31.99. That also I think related to 2012?
A. That's correct, yes.

57 Q. So, I think you will agree with me therefore that the bulk of the complaints that you are making in that statement relate to financial matters, expenses --
A. And health and safety.

We11, in terms of the annual leave or the lunchtime break of 45 minutes, $I$ think you will agree with me that's hardly a health and safety issue?
A. Well if somebody is entitled to a lunch break, then that should be provided for them.
59 Q. So at that time then was it fair to say that you were very much focused on the minutiae of individual claims, individual expenses?
A. I was focused on what I was entitled to under the Code.

60 Q. And again I think, coming back to the document we started with, it's clear, is it not, that certification was required for all these things as a result of the documents which you had been issued by Superintendent Comyns, that we looked at a few moments ago?
A. As I said, in some circumstances prior sanction in writing cannot be availed of from the district officer, and in those situations overtime is granted by the sergeant in charge or the inspector.
61 Q. Just in overall terms then, just at this time, would you agree with me that all the documents we've looked at just up to this moment have focused on the need for accountability for expense and accountability to one's superior for charges and expenses?
A. Not all of them, no.

Most of them do?
A. Most, yes.

63 Q. Yes. So in terms of the issues themselves, you have given evidence to Mr. McGuinness and to the Chairman in relation to the way in which these issues unfolded and that you have made a statement to Superintendent Lordan that we've just outlined, but can I just move forward then to your first meeting with Chief Superintendent Dillane. I think that insofar as that meeting was concerned, you've heard, or sorry, you've seen in the course of the documents shown to you that it's his evidence that there was an initial meeting on 13th September of 2012, do you recal1 that?
A. That's his recollection, yes. That's what he said in
his statement.
64 Q. And just in terms of recollection, because this may be important to the Chairman, did I understand your evidence on the last occasion to change several times, so that your final position was that you didn't believe 11:36 there had been a meeting face-to-face on the 13th September?
A. There was no meeting on the 13th September.

65 Q. Are you clear about that?
A. I am very clear about that.

66 Q. I wonder could we have page 333 , please. Sorry, I beg your pardon, page 370, sorry. So just you will see that this is a report from Chief Superintendent Dillane. Can I ask you to scroll down please, just to the top. It's date stamped 17th September 2012. And it reads:
"Si ckness absence - sergeant Paul Barry."

It says:
"I refer to the above and to previ ous correspondence fromthis office dated 11th September in connection with the matter. I wish to report that on Thursday, 13th September 2012 I met with Sergeant Paul Barry at 11:38 Wat er grasshill to di scuss his current absence from duty. "

So just pausing there for a moment. Chief

Superintendent Dillane will say he issued this and wrote this on the 17th September and he will say that he is crystal clear that he did meet with you in person and that meeting was at Watergrasshil1.
A. I am crystal clear that he did not meet with me on that 11:38 date. The first meeting I had with him was in October in Grandon's of Glanmire. That was the one and only meeting I had physically with him. That was a phone call, he's referring to. And he also mentioned that in the report afterwards, that the first meeting he had with me was on the 13th October. He didn't mention the 13th November.

67 Q. Do you see in terms of this document, I have to suggest to you this document is generated, and his evidence will be that it was generated on 17th September 2012?
A. That's what he says in it.
A. But he says afterwards that he did not meet me on the 13th, that he only met me in October.
69 Q. You see, Mr. Barry, he will say, as he says here in the 11:39 note, that you left him in no uncertain terms that you wouldn't discuss the matter with him and reiterated that you wished to have an officer from outside Cork north division to deal with the matter, do you remember saying that?
A. That was via phone conversation.

70 Q. In terms of the next part it says:
"I nspector Ó Sul I i van Fer moy was appoi nted to
investigate Sergeant Barry's current absence with work-rel ated stress in accordance with HQ Directive 139/10 but the mentiber al so declined to di scuss the matter with him"

So do you agree that was correct?
A. No, Inspector o'sullivan reported the interaction he had with me, Inspector o'sullivan didn't say that I didn't want to discuss the matter with him. He said that I wanted a person from outside the division to investigate the matter, that's why I wasn't willing to discuss the complaint I was about to make.
71 Q. You see, I have to suggest to you, Mr. Barry, that this is an important meeting and that your recollection is entirely incorrect, that you did in fact meet with Chief Superintendent Dillane?
A. I categorically state I did not meet with him on the 13th September. I met with him in October in Grandon's of Glanmire. That was my one and only meeting with him while $I$ was out sick.
72 Q. I have to suggest to you there is no reason why the chief superintendent would have made a note of this and sent it internally if the event itself had not
happened. This is an important feature, because you're telling the Tribunal you don't remember an event which Chief Superintendent Dillane says actually happened?
A. But I do remember, I remember it was a phone call.

73 Q. We11, do you?
A. Well --

74 Q. Because in the course of your evidence in the last two days, there has been quite a loss of things you didn't remember. I am just giving you a chance to focus on this point. Is it possible that you are incorrect?
A. No it's not.

Do you see, again coming back to your evidence the other day, particularly on the second day of your testimony and on the first day of your testimony, where you appeared to merge the details of those two interactions?
A. That's because I was confused. When Mr. McGuinness put 11:41 the date of the 13th of September, I thought he was referring to the meeting in Grandon's, and I thought I clarified that afterwards.
77 Q. And again, were you on medication at the time?
A. I was.

78 Q. And again, can I just ask what kind of medication?
A. Pardon?

79 Q. Pardon me?
A. Pardon?

80 Q. Would you mind just indicating to the Chairman what 11:41 type of medication were you on?
A. Type of medication?

81 Q. Yes?
A. There was Cipramil and Diazepam.

82 Q. Again, is it possible that that affected your state of mind or your memory of the events of that time?
A. I don't believe so, no.

Can you give any reason as to why you say that Chief Superintendent Dillane would write this note if the event had never happened?
A. Well, it wouldn't be the first time he wrote something that never happened. He also referred to me looking to have the superintendent transferred, which never happened.
84 Q. We will come back to that, but we will say that did happen. But insofar as this document is concerned, he will say that on that occasion at that meeting, that there was no mention of any station transfer at that meeting?
A. In November?
Q. So you do agree with that?
A. Pardon?

You do agree that he didn't mention a transfer to any particular station?
A. No, I don't agree. He could have mentioned it on that date, but $I$ don't recall it.
Q. He will also say that he was trying to encourage you to come back to work and that you had an agreement to meet on 21st September 2012?
A. No, I don't believe he ever encouraged me to come back
to work because he in fact told me, if you refer to my station diaries, on two occasions he told me I wasn't to return to work. He didn't want me to return to work.

Again, I have to suggest to you that that is incorrect, 11:42 that he was anxious to assist you at that time and he will say that ultimately it was agreed, was it not, that you would meet on the 21st September, because he wanted to reflect on matters?
A. That was the -- the initial meeting on the 13th was to arrange a physical meeting on the 21st, which I cancelled.
90 Q. Again, I have to suggest to you that this meeting was a physical meeting and that in terms of the location it was Watergrasshill?
A. Pardon?
A. Which meeting? The 13 th?

92 Q. The first, yes?
A. No, that wasn't. That was a phone call to arrange a meeting on the 21st and on advice $I$ cancelled the meeting on the 21st and I subsequently met him after I had made my complaint in october.
93 Q. Insofar as the october meeting is concerned, that 11:43 meeting took place in a car park in Glanmire?
A. That's correct.

94 Q. Just as the previous meeting had taken place in a car park in Watergrasshill?
A. No, it didn't take place anywhere in watergrasshill.

Just coming now to the second meeting, the one in Glanmire, at that particular point in time, in Grandon's Garage. Now, at that time, can I suggest to you again, that your recollection of that meeting is incorrect?
A. What recollection? That it occurred or that...?

98 Q. Well, first of all, in your evidence on a previous date did you not indicate that at that meeting that there is with an a reference to an offer of a transfer to Carrigtwohill?
A. Yes, he asked me to go to Carrigtwohill or Glanmire.

99 Q. Yes.
A. And I declined both, and gave him the reasons for state your name.
100 Q. And again I have to suggest to you that Chief Superintendent Dillane's evidence will be that he never 11:45 offered you at any stage a transfer to Carrigtwohil1 and that that is completely incorrect?
A. He did offer me a transfer to Carrigtwohill and I pointed out to him there was already a sergeant in

Carrigtwohil1 and he said he would make room for two.
101 Q. He will say that that conversation never took place because Carrigtwohill was a very small district and therefore it was not simply practical to even consider sending a second sergeant to an area that was so small?
A. And that is why it stood out to me, because it was such a ridiculous offer.
Q. Well it was an offer he says he never made?
A. Well that's his evidence.

103 Q. And insofar as that's concerned, can you explain then why, when the reference was made to Glanmire, that at that stage you would have understood that Superintendent Lehane was in Glanmire?
A. No, he was in Cobh.

104 Q. And was that in supervising the district around
A. That's correct.
Q. So that was Superintendent Lehane's territory, isn't that right, at that time?
A. I don't think it was Superintendent Lehane, I think there was a superintendent prior to that, a different superintendent.

106 Q. Perhaps we will come back to that point?
A. Yes.

107 Q. Insofar as that is concerned, again I have to suggest to you that your recollection of that meeting is incorrect and that Mallow, for example, was never mentioned at that meeting?
A. Mallow was mentioned and my recollection of the

Glanmire transfer is correct.
108 Q. You see, again I have to suggest to you that it appears there is a risk here that you may be conflating details from different meetings and putting them together in an incorrect order?
A. No. The medication didn't do that for me.
Q. The possibility is, I am suggesting to you, that you are confused or that your recollection is faultily?
A. No, my recollections are clear and accurate.

110 Q. And then if that's an option that you seek to reject then I have to suggest to you that in fact what you are indicating here is not true?
A. It's the truth.

111 Q. And more importantly, it's misleading because it's tending to suggest that something happened that didn't happen at all?
A. My recollection is the truth and my statement is the truth.
112 Q. Can you indicate why at that time, when you had advice, there appears to be nothing to indicate in
correspondence from Séan Costello or from your AGSI representative any suggestion that you were considering an offer of Carrigtwohill transfer or mallow transfer at that time?
A. I never considered applying for a transfer to any station.

113 Q. In terms of even recording the fact that this has been suggested, can you indicate to the Chairman why none of this is recorded at the time by you?
A. I gave it in evidence that $I$ would not commit a station to paper because they would see it as a reason to transfer me to that station. But you were legally advised at the time?
A. Pardon?

115 Q. You were legally advised at the time?
A. I was.

116 Q. You had access to your representative body at the time, did you not?
A. That's correct.

117 Q. Would it not in those circumstances, if it had happened, be logical for you to discuss that factor with your advisers or to get them to indicate that you weren't prepared to accept these offers?
A. My advisers knew, I had spoken with my advisers and I 11:48 had told them that I did not wish to leave Mitchelstown Garda Station and that I was not going to apply for any garda station offered to me.
118 Q. I have to suggest to you that in overall terms, and this is materially important as well, these points that 11:48 I am putting to you actually do matter because ultimately it's important that the Tribunal can be satisfied that you are telling the truth and that you are a reliable narrator. Can I ask you be shown page 290, please? Perhaps if we can have 286 to begin with, 11:48 I am sorry. So these are Replies to Particulars in your civil proceedings, which are a response to a Notice for Particulars in August 2015, do you see that?
A. Yes.

119 Q. Do you remember giving instructions in relation to that point? Can I ask you to turn forward then please to page 290? And could I just draw your attention to (v) just at the very top of the page:
"The pl ai ntiff" I think that's you "was contacted by the AGSI presi dent, who enqui red about the compl ai nt, particularly as it rel ated to Superintendant Comyns and sought a meeting, whi ch was declined.
(vi) the first contact the plaintiff had with Fermoy Garda Station was a meeting with Inspector O Sullivan on 6th September 2012. There had been a missed call from Superi ntendent Comyns on 3rd September 2012.
(vii) Chi ef Superintendent Dillane requested a meeting on the 13th September 2012 and the plaintiff met himin his car at Grandon's Garage in G anmire. He offered the plaintiff a transfer to either Glanme or Carrigt wohill garda stations. When the pl ai ntiff responded he had done nothing wrong and woul d not accept a transfer, the chi ef superintendent becare irritated and told the plaintiff to get out of his car."

So just pausing for a moment, you will agree with me that that indicates that you told your lawyers, who then wrote down the information, that you had in fact met on the 13th September?
A. No, I clarified the confusion on the first date and I stated that the meeting on the 13th October was in Grandon's Glanmire and that's where the stationed were mentioned.

121 Q. Again I have to suggest to you that this is a further indication of unreliability in your narrative. I wonder could we please have page 334. So this is a statement I think you read as part of the papers and it's from Chief Superintendent Dillane. Can I just draw your attention to the middle of the page, where it indicates a sentence beginning "On 13th October 2012". And you see there he's referring to the meeting in the car park in Glanmire by appointment. He will say that he expressed his concerns about your absence from work and didn't speak about your complaint or any of the details of your complaint, do you agree with that?
A. Sorry, the 13th of...?

122 Q. of October?
A. The 13th October, he did not look to know what complaint I had made.
Q. Yes.
A. That's correct.

124 Q. He said he offered to facilitate you with a station closer to your home with different management while the investigation was pending?
A. Yes, he offered Carrigtwohill and Glanmire.
Q. This, he will say, was done with a view to trying to get you back to work while the complaint that you had made to the assistant commissioner HRM was being investigated?
A. That's his statement. I cannot comment on what he's --

126 Q. And will he say also that you refused that offer, the offer to Glanmire, and said that if anyone was to move it would be the superintendent?
A. That's what he says, yes. That was not said though.

127 Q. And is that what you said?
A. That was not said by me.

128 Q. He will indicate that he told you that he couldn't facilitate that scenario as you knew full well that only the Garda Commissioner could transfer superintendents?
A. Yeah.

129 Q. He indicated to you that G1anmire was the station he 11:54 was thinking of trying to make available to you if that was possible because it was in the Cobh district and would make your working travelling time much shorter?
A. Chief Superintendent Dillane would have been aware that

I could not transfer to Glanmire at that time because of my relatives. I could not obtain a Code exemption, or he couldn't. So Glanmire was not an option.
130 Q. We11 insofar as it's concerned, insofar as you understood the condition --
A. Unless I applied for it.

Sorry, pardon me. As I understand the position, your evidence is that you just didn't want to move from Mitchelstown at all?
A. I didn't want to move from Mitchelstown but I did make it clear that $I$ would accept a move to Mallow at public expense, I would not apply for it.

And again I have to suggest to you, that was never said by you either, because in fact there was never any discussion about moving to Mallow at all?
A. Mallow had been discussed and it had been referenced afterwards as we11, Mallow was an option.
133 Q. Not at this time?
A. Yes, it was at this time, and so was Carrigtwohill. was Carrigtwohil1 but also there was no reference to movement at public expense by you or him ever?
A. It was referenced by me because that was the reason I would not apply for a transfer.
Q. And again, just to come back to this point at a later stage, is it your evidence to the Chairman that actually you were willing to travel to Mallow but you required the State to pay for that trave1?
A. No, the State wouldn't be paying for my travel to

Mallow, I would be travelling to Mallow, they would be paying for my transfer cost, that's what they would be paying for.
And that the only impediment therefore to your transfer to Mallow was the issue of costs and expense?
A. No, the reason I would have accepted going to Mallow was that the superintendent who was in Mallow at the time was the same superintendent who encouraged me to move to Cork north from Dublin and I would have felt safe and supported in his station. That's why I wanted 11:56 to go to Mallow, but I was not going to apply for it.

And again, in the course of the discussion he will say that you asked him to issue to you an injury on duty certificate?
A. No. I asked him to have illness classified as what it should be. I wouldn't have mentioned issuing me a certificate. As I stated earlier, I wouldn't have been 11:56 familiar with the term Code 139/10 at that time.

140 Q. Perhaps you might give that last answer again?
A. Pardon.

141 Q. You would not have been familiar with that?
A. I don't believe I would have able to quote the code, he said I looked for a certificate to issue of 139/10, I think is what he said.
Q. But hadn't you sent --
A. Pardon?
Q. Weren't you in a position to give to Superintendent Lordan, when you had met him in November, documents in relation to the sickness and absence policy?
A. That is a document that has it on it, but I didn't have it retained within my head to refer.
Q. But it is a document, as we saw earlier on this morning, that did refer to certificates?
A. I sent a document, yes. And it could be contained in a document, but that doesn't say I recalled it.
Is it your evidence to the Chairman that as a senior and experienced sergeant at that time you didn't know about the existence of injury on duty certificates?
A. I never had to deal with a 139/10 in relation to my injury on duty.
146 Q. You see, I have to suggest to you that you did raise this issue and that's why he will say in his evidence that he indicated to you that he couldn't issue a certificate because he had no knowledge of the alleged injury that you claimed to be suffering from and that it was only the CMO who could sign off on such aat that meeting?
A. No.

147 Q. And I think he will also say that he told you that this
matter could be discussed with the CMO on 11th October 2012 when you were due to attend him at Garda headquarters?
A. That would have been two days previous.

148 Q. And had you attended him two previously?
A. Pardon?

149 Q. Had you attended him two days previous7y?
A. I presume I would have, yes. So just to be clear then, on this date it would seem that you had met the CMO, you understood what the system was and you understood the CMO had to evaluate you because that was the only way in which you were going to get a certificate in relation to injury on duty?
A. I never referred to a certificate to the CMO or Chief Superintendent Dillane. I asked that my injury be classified as what it should have been, that is an injury on duty. I didn't mention certificate.

151 Q. Pardon me, what did you ask it to be classified as?
A. As work related.

152 Q. An injury on duty?
A. I wanted my illness classified as being an injury on duty, that it was work related, yes.

153 Q. So you did ask him to issue you with --
A. No, I didn't ask him to issue a cert, I asked him to 11:59 classify illness as to what it should have been classified as.
Q. How would he classify it?
A. By investigating. And as we have seen through the regulations, you were file aware, were you not, that there was a series of different things to be considered, it wasn't just the CMO, it was also an investigation, an assessment of the facts?
A. That's correct.
Q. All those things had to take place?
A. That's correct, but you just stated that he said the CMO would have to sign off on my illness. That was incorrect.

159 Q. In terms of this particular issue, he will say that you were very clear that insofar as you were concerned if anyone had to move it would be the superintendent?
A. I never stated that. I did tell him that I made my complaint under the bullying and harassment policy, and 12:00 that because of that neither the superintendent nor I should be transferred unless we applied for same.

160 Q. Could we have document 4079, please. This is a report dated 8th February 2012 to the commissioner south from

Chief Superintendent Dillane. You will see there in the middle of the page:
"On 13th October 2012 at 2pml met with Sergeant Barry at Rushbrooke, G anmire. When I met himl asked him about his health. I expressed my concerns about his absence from work. We di dn't speak about his compl ai nt and without any know edge of the facts l offered to facilitate himwith a station mach closer to his home and with different management. I mmedi at el y he ref used my offer and told me that if anyone was to move, it would be the superintendent. I told himl could not facilitate that scenario and made it very clear to him that my offer was without prejudice to the outcome of
hi s compl ai nt."

So, I have to suggest to you that again it's clear that this is something which you did say at the time, it is something which was noted by Chief Superintendent Dillane and reported up the line to him.
A. That's incorrect. And if you scroll back up on that document please, the first paragraph there, Chief Superintendent Dillane states "I became a little concerned and deci ded that I woul d meet with Sergeant Barry in person to di scuss any issues and on 13th September, as you were stating earlier.

161 Q. No, it doesn't because ultimately what he is talking about here is the question of facilitation of a
transfer G7anmire and that happened on the 13th october?
A. No, he states that when he became aware of my illness, he became concerned and decided that he would meet with me. That was the first meeting he ever had with him and the last while I was out sick.

162 Q. Again I have to suggest to you that that is incorrect and that you know this to be so?
A. He states it there that it was.

163 Q. He doesn't say that?
A. He does.

164 Q. On the contrary, what he indicates is that on the 13th October, that's the time where he mentioned the question of moving you to a station much closer to your home and with different management?
A. No, he says --

165 Q. An offer which you refused. I understood you agreed that happened on the 13th October?
A. It says he became aware at a divisional PAF meeting sometime after I met went sick, that I was sick due to incident where
". . Superintendent Comyns had issued a Regul ation 10 to Sergeant Barry. I became a little concerned and deci ded I that I would meet with Sergeant Barry in person to di scuss any issues. On 13th October 2012, at 2pml met with Sergeant Barry at Rushbrooke, Glanmire."

He did not meet with me in September. This was the
first meeting
Q. Two things there. would you agree with me, he doesn't say this is my first meeting, on the 13th October?
A. No, but he does say that when he realised I was out sick because of an incident, that he became concerned and decided to meet with me. So why didn't he say he met with me in September?
A. Negative. I did not.
Q. And it's also ultimately, from your own point of view, if one looks at that request that he be moved, would that not have suited you, given the objectives you've just outlined to the Tribunal that you wanted to stay in Mitchelstown? Is that not something you would have welcomed on your view of what would happen. If the superintendent was moved is that not something that you would have welcomed?
A. And I welcomed it in 2015 but I did not apply for him to be transferred.
Q. But you did want that to happen, did you not?
A. I wanted it to happen?

171 Q. Yes?
A. I can't want to have a superintendent transferred, it's not within my remit.
Q. Again, $I$ have to suggest to you, that's a repositioning by you, having looked at all the documentation you're now seeking to adopt a position that it was not your position at that time?
A. If I wanted a position, I would have applied to transfer to have him transferred. Anything I had to say, I reiterated in documentation to HRM.
173 Q. Let's come back to that in a moment, but if we move forward to 14th February 2013. I wonder if you can be shown page 336, please. Just to the middle of that page at 336. You will see there that Chief Superintendent Dillane indicates that on the 14th February he received a sick cert report from Ms. Monica 12:06 Carr at the Garda Sick Section in Navan, dated 7th February 2013, stating that you were temporarily unfit to attend regularly and effective service and that he spoke to you at 10am on the 14th February. Do you recall that conversation?
A. Yes, I have a note of it here in my diary.

174 Q. Do you accept that he enquired about your welfare?
A. He may have, I won't dispute it.
Q. Would you accept that he also expressed a concern that
you were now reduced to half pay due to the time that you had been out on sick leave?
A. He may have referenced because it was actually the first day I was on half pay.

Did he say to you that he had met with Superintendent Patrick Lehane of Cobh district on the 13th February and it had been agreed that if you were agreeable that you could be facilitated with either unit $D$ or unit $E$ at Glanmire Garda Station?
A. Sorry, I don't believe Superintendent Pat Lehane was the actual superintendent in Cobh district at that time.Superintendent Lehane?
A. No, I don't recall him saying he spoke Superintendent Patrick Lehane. He did offer me a transfer out of concern that $I$ was going on half pay.
Q. Did he mention units $D$ or $E$ at Glanmire Garda Station?
A. He suggested unit $A$ or $E$ in Glanmire until it goes into 12:08 the city in June. He said the CMO says I can't work in Mitchelstown. He said he would create two positions for a sergeant in Carrigtwohill.
179 Q. He will also say that he indicated that the offer was made for the wellbeing of your family and that this would facilitate you working in a station much closer to your home?
A. No, because he would have known that a transfer to Glanmire at that time could not take place because of
Q. And in terms of this meeting, would you agree that this
Q. And in terms of this meeting, would you agree that this meeting was an amicable, constructive meeting?
A. No, it was not amicable or constructive. He knew my
position in relation to wanting to remain at Mitchelstown and have temporary accommodations put in place.
Q. And ultimately, did you indicate that you wanted to consider the offer and undertook to contact him in a day or two?
A. No, I don't believe I did.
Q. Just to be clear, are you telling the Chairman that you didn't intend to think about that offer or to seek advice about that offer?
A. I probably sought advice, but I wouldn't be considering going to Glanmire, I would never have considered going there, $I$ wouldn't need to reconsider it.
Q. But did you not ask him for time to consider?
A. I may have, I can't recall.
Q. Did you not indicate to him that you would contact him in a day or two?
A. That's possible, I don't have a record of it in my diary.
Q. And that's because there was something to consider; namely his offer of transfer to Glanmire, isn't that right?
A. That may have been to fob him off until I got advice, but I certainly want considering going to Glanmire.
Q. So in very quick order, at five past one on the same
my relations.
day, an e-mail correspondence, at page 382 please, was received from Séan Costello \& Company Solicitors on your behalf?
A. That's correct.

187 Q. I think this is a document which we have seen previously?
A. Sorry?

188 Q. So can we take it from that, that you had received an offer, you had indicated you would consider the offer and you contacted your solicitor and told him to send this letter within a very short time?
A. Yes, and that shows I was not considering going to Glanmire.

189 Q. I beg your pardon?
A. That would indicate I was not considering a move to Glanmire.

190 Q. We11, you were rejecting the offer of going to Glanmire?
A. Yes, I sought the advice from my solicitor.

191 Q. So, could we look at the text of the letter, it says:
"You i ndi cated you woul d transfer to Glanmire. With due respect and having regard to the circunstances the matter, it would not be proper or appropriate to have our client transferred and as a compromise so that our client may return to work he would accept a return on the basis that he woul dn't come under the di rect command of a party whose identity is known to you about whom such compl ai nts had been made by him In this
respect, ther ef ore my client wi shes to return to work under the direct management of Inspector O Sul Iivan. I would be obliged if you would confirmthat this is in order and represents a without prej udice compromise on
his part."
A. No, there's no mention in that.

192 Q. And again I have to suggest to you that the reason there is no mention is because that is something that hadn't been said to you at all, what had been spoken about by Chief Superintendent Dillane was Glanmire?
A. We11, I have my diary entry contemporaneously made at the time, where he suggested either unit A or E in Glanmire until it goes into the city in June. He said he would create two positions for sergeant in Carrigtwohil1. I rang Séan Costello solicitor to send a fax to the chief to accommodate me in Mitchelstown.

193 Q. If that is the case, why did Mr. Costello not refer to Carrigtwohil1, if, as you say, your diary entry is correct?
A. Because Glanmire had been referenced before, and Carrigtwohill, there was already a sergeant in Carrigtwohill, it was not a valid offer.

194 Q. You see, I have to suggest to you that Carrigtwohil1 simply was never there?
A. Oh it was there.


Q. It's never expressed in the writing of Mr. Costello in this particular document, isn't that correct?
A. It's not there in writing, no.

196 Q. No. And this was an important communication because this was the first time that you were sending a solicitor's letter, as I see from the papers, in relation to this issue?
A. It's the first time my solicitor sent a letter, yes. Yes. So from this point of view, you considered this to be an important message, a message that you had to reflect on and it contains absolutely zero reference to Mallow or Carrigtwohill?
A. No. As I stated before, I would not mention Mallow or Carrigtwohill or any other station.
198 Q. And again I have to suggest to you that this is consistent with your real state of mind, which was, so far as you were concerned at that stage you were going nowhere?
A. Well, I was looking to go back to Mitchelstown, so that 12:13 would have been...
199 Q. And again what I have to suggest to you that what this indicates is part of a consistent pattern whereby every offer that is considered or made, as we will see later on, also results in the same response, which is, in your words, I'm going nowhere?
A. No. My response was, I will not apply for a transfer.

200 Q. Is there any reference in this letter to the question of the cost of transferring or the costs of a transfer
being a factor that you were likely to be influenced by, that you might change your mind, or that you might prefer to go to Mallow if they would just pay your expenses?
A. No, I still wished to remain in Mitchelstown. Mallow was the next best option, but it wasn't the first option for me. My first option was to return to my station.
Q. And again I have to suggest to you that your version of events is incorrect in this regard but that if what you 12:14 are saying is correct, one would have expected to find some expression of it in this letter and it's not there?
A. Also, in the previous letter you have it there again mentioned by Chief Superintendent Dillane that the first meeting was in October.
Q. And again, the reason $I$ suggest you didn't make a complaint is because that never happened either?
A. Oh it happened.

205 Q. And I have to suggest to you that in the frame of mind that you were in October and from October onwards, when you were making complaints about how you were being treated as you allege by persons in authority, that's something that if it happened you would have mentioned to your advisers?
A. That's why I recorded it in my diary.
Q. Did you tell Mr. Costello, I was told to get out of the car in October 2012 by my superior?
A. I may have, I don't recall.

207 Q. You see, I have to suggest to you that you didn't and certain7y there's no expression of that in the course of this correspondence at all?
A. We11, I wasn't making a complaint, I had no complaint in relation to it.
So just to be clear, this important letter, this letter that you gave instructions about, relates only to the proposal to transfer to Glanmire and to nothing else?
A. That's what Mr. Costello referenced, yes.

209 Q. If one looks at the letter again, would you agree with me that the core message of the letter is in that third paragraph, where it says -- presumably this was sanctioned with your approval:
"It would not be proper or appropriate to have our client transferred."

Is it fair to say that that is and was your bottom line at that stage?
A. Because of the persons concerned within the complaint that I had made, that's --
Q. I think you agree with me it doesn't say it would be appropriate if his costs were covered?
A. No.

12:16 transferred at all?
A. No, I was looking to go back to the station that I worked in.

213 Q. If you just move forward then to 9th April 2013. Can I ask you be shown page 339, please. Again,
Mr. McGuinness has asked you questions about this, I don't propose to deal with each and every item of it, but you do recall this meeting and you do accept that this was a meeting in person?
A. Yes.

214 Q. And you also do accept that there was another person present apart from Chief Superintendent Dillane in the form of Inspector O'Sullivan?
A. That's correct.

And again, in terms of that discussion, insofar as that's concerned, you've seen the statements made by both Inspector O'Sullivan and Chief Superintendent Dillane?
A. That's correct.

216 Q. By this stage Inspector O'Sullivan was a person who your solicitor had indicated you will be happy to work with?
A. Happy to take direction from, yes.

217 Q. And to be under his superintendents?
A. Under Inspector O'Sullivan, yes.

218 Q. It was explained to you why that wasn't practical or possible, because Chief Superintendent Dillane indicated that simply wouldn't and couldn't work, but he was somebody, it would appear from the letter of the 12:18 14th that we looked at a few moments ago, was somebody who you did trust?
A. Yes, I would have trusted him.

219 Q. And you had nothing against him?
A. Nothing against him, no, I worked with him for seven 12:18 years.
Q. I wonder if we could have page 5317, please. Perhaps if I can ask you to scrol1 down further. Just you see there, line 200, this is in his interview with the Tribunal investigators. He said:
"I have been asked fromthe best of my recollection to describe the interaction between Sergeant Paul Barry and Chi ef Superintendent Dillane on the 9th April at Mtchel stown Garda Station and to comment, if possible, 12:19 on the veracity of what Sergeant Paul Barry has alleged in the above extract."

And just scrolling down a little bit, please. You see
the first point I would like to put to you, he says at line 205:
"I am al most hundred percent concern Chi ef
Superintendent Dillane was not a full uniform I think 12:19 he was wearing a grey bl ue j acket but to the best of my recollection he wasn't in full uniformor had a Sam Browne belt on."

Now, just pausing for a moment, that's Inspector
o'sullivan is saying that your version of events in relation to what you claim Chief Superintendent Dillane was wearing was wrong?
A. No, my version, I can remember one hundred percent, Inspector o'sullivan is not a hundred percent sure, but 12:19 I am a hundred percent sure he was in full uniform with a Sam Browne belt and his cap under his arm.
221 Q. You see, he's almost a hundred percent sure there was no full uniform, but his recollection was that there was no full uniform and no Sam Browne belt?
A. And my recollection is that there was.
Q. Can you explain, therefore, why somebody who you say you trusted and in whose judgment you had confidence would give a version of events that is entirely supportive Chief Superintendent Dillane on this issue?
A. That would be something for Inspector o'sullivan, I can't understand why he would say what he has said. He will have to account for his own version.
223 Q. Yes. Can I suggest to you that the reason he is saying
it is because what he is saying is true and what you are saying is incorrect?
A. What he is saying is not true.

Could we ask you please to scroll down please, registrar. Just pausing there. You will see he said that the interaction between Sergeant Barry and Chief Superintendent Dillane was that he was offering him another station to transfer to and he said that he couldn't work in mitchelstown as he was refusing to work with the superintendent and:
"To my recollection Sergeant Barry said 'I'mgoing nowhere, the super will have to go'."

So again I have to suggest to you that that is what you 12:21 said to him and to Chief Superintendent Dillane and it's not the first time that you said that?
A. That was not said. Chief Superintendent Dillane alleged that this was said in Grandon's.
Q. Yes.
A. In the minutes recorded by Chief Superintendent Dillane of this particular meeting there is no mention of "I'm goi ng nowhere, the super will have to go". Inspector o'sullivan made his statement to the Tribunal recently, I believe, so he has remarkable recollection for almost 12:21 ten years ago. Well, he is very clear about that. "I'mgoi ng nowhere, the superintendent will have to go."
A. Well, he's going to have to account for that himself, I

227 Q.
Q. So again I have to suggest to you that in terms of what he has said, that you have created an embellishment or a colourful description of the meeting, as you did in your references to being told to get out of the car in October 2012, that's inaccurate, it didn't happen?
A. That didn't happen?
Q. And ultimately I have got to suggest to you that in this situation it becomes more serious again, because it's not just Chief Superintendent Dillane's word against yours, it's now also that of Inspector O'Sullivan?
A. That is what he has alleged and that is not correct. But it would facilitate a transfer of me at the time if he were to report that up the line.
Ultimately in this meeting, it'11 be Chief Superintendent Dillane's evidence, that there was a reference to Mallow. Do you recall that?
A. Pardon?
Q. It will be his evidence that there was a reference at this meeting to Mallow?
A. There could have been.

231 Q. For the first time?
A. Yes. For the second time.

232 Q. And it was for the first time because he was saying
transfer possibly to Glanmire and also transfer possibly to Cobh. So there are three references in that meeting: Mallow, Glanmire, Cobh. And your response was, I'm going nowhere. Because, in fact, you had been offered a range, for the first time, a range of different stations to be considered?
A. This is the second time I have been offered and I reiterated that I will not apply for a transfer. would you agree with me that you did not say in the presence of Inspector o'sullivan and chief
Superintendent Dillane that of those choices you were willing to go to Mallow but you wouldn't apply for a transfer to Mallow?
A. No, I wouldn't say -- I didn't mention Mallow at all to Inspector o'Sullivan, I said I will not apply for a transfer.
Q. And did you mention that you would consider transferring if your expenses were covered?
A. No.
Q. You see, I suggest to you -- sorry?
A. And that is why that meeting was so short, was because I had no one with me to give my account of events.
237 Q. I have to suggest to you that the meeting was not as short as you are suggesting?
A. Well Inspector o'Sullivan says it was ten minutes or so.
Q. Around 15 minutes, I think would be Inspector o'sullivan's --
A. Ten minutes, he said. Ten minutes to 15.

239
Q. Ten to 15?
A. Yes.

240 Q. Yes.
A. It was a very brief meeting.

241 Q. Well, 15 minutes is quite a long time for the person who is delivering very straightforward and simple proposals, and then turned down very swiftly?
A. Well, he read out a detailed document from the CMO.

I'm going nowhere. Now, at that time in terms of Glanmire, again it will be the evidence that Superintendent Lehane was the officer responsible for that particular district, do you agree with that or disagree with that?
A. To the best of my knowledge, he may have been, I thought it was a different superintendent was still
there, the previous superintendent was still there at that time. I don't know when Superintendent Lehane was appointed to Cobh district, but it was my belief that there was a different superintendent serving there at that time.

244 Q. But in terms of amalgamation of districts, which is something I think you referred to in your evidence the other day, that amalgamation didn't take place I think until 11th November 2013; is that right?
A. No, but Chief Superintendent Dillane had indicated to me that that amalgamation was going to take place in June, I believe, of 2013.

You see, I have to suggest to you that in fact the references to amalgamation, which I think in some instances go back to your evidence in 2012, relate to something that didn't happen until November 2013. In other words, it was not until November 2013, would you agree, that Carrigtwohill was moved from Cobh to Midleton?
A. That's when it happened, yes. Again, I have to suggest to you that the description of events that would be given by each of those witnesses is true and accurate and that yours is not a reliable account and is not accurate as to what took place?
A. No, my recollection is accurate.
Q. If we just pause for a moment in terms of communications. Around this time you were also engaged in writing and in correspondence with different persons, isn't that right? Just to be clear, at this particular point in time I think the position is that by the end of -- 9th April 2013, you're aware of the fact that there are now options being proposed, you agree?
A. Options in relation to...?

251 Q. Yes. Potential options for transfer have been proposed to you?
A. Yes, I was given a number of stations that $I$ could apply to be transferred to.

252 Q. And you're agreed that you rejected those out of hand?
A. I agree that I would not apply for a transfer, yes.

253 Q. I think you've agreed, from your evidence on the 1ast occasion, that the rationale you're putting forward to the Chairman was that you still had in your heard this willingness to transfer to Mallow, that you never put in writing but which could have been dealt with and effectively assuaged by the payment of expenses of that transfer?
A. The option of Mallow was if I could not be facilitated at Mitchelstown but Mallow was never my preference nor was the financial gain by being transferred at public expense. I pointed out that I would not transfer from my station unless it was at public expense, that $I$ would not apply for a transfer.
 So, just to be clear, is it your evidence to the Chairman that the critical issue for you was the refusal to apply to transfer?
A. Yes, I would not apply for a transfer. Let's just look at that for a moment, please. Can I ask you to be shown document 4107, please? So, this is 12:30 a letter written to your solicitor dated 21st March 2013, and it's written by Assistant Commissioner Fintan Fanning from Garda HRM. It refers back to the letter from Séan Costello \& Company, which we saw, from the 14th February. You will see there that he indicates in 12:30 the first paragraph that he has been forwarded a copy by Chief Superintendent Dillane of the 14th February letter. He then says:
"I amto advi se that Sergeant Barry was offered a transfer to $G$ anmire Garda Station and declined same. As you are aware an investigation is being conducted did I Chi ef Superintendent Catherine Kehoe in rel ation
to complaints made by your client.

The Garda policy on bullying and harassment provi des that Sergeant Barry can apply for a transfer to any garda station to hel p himduring the period of the investigation if he so wi shes. Should Sergeant Barry ${ }_{\text {12:31 }}$ apply for a transfer, l will give the matter very serious consideration and then at the final outcome of the process l can transfer your client back.

I amto confirmal so that your client was revi ewed by the Chi ef Medi cal Officer on the 25th January, who advi sed that the mentber was 'temporarily unfit to attend duty regularly and render effective service'."

So, first point, would you agree with me that Assistant ${ }_{12: 31}$ Commissioner Fanning was telling you that the Garda policy on bullying and harassment effectively allowed you to apply for a transfer?
A. Yes, he is using the part of the policy that suits Garda management. He didn't refer to the policy complaint not being upheld, neither the superintendent -- or neither the person complained of nor the complainant shall be transferred unless either
258 Q. Precisely. And that is a feature that you latched on
you, did you not?
A. That was the advice I got from my AGSI rep and my solicitor at the time.
Q. You told us that at a previous stage. Can I suggest to you that what you are doing here is you are ultimately using this to create a Catch 22. You're being told by HRM what the rules are, you already know what the rules are from your advisers and you considered --
A. -- options.

260 Q. But ultimately though in this situation you consider that you have a veto and you're going to exercise that veto at every stage and that's what happens here?
A. I didn't have a veto, I was on sick leave.

261 Q. In terms of whether you were on sick leave or not, can I suggest to you, you're wel1 capable of giving very clear answers about your views on these things and they were all negative, isn't that correct?
A. No, they were positive. The outlook from Séan Costello 12:33 was that Inspector O'Sullivan could have taken supervision over me.

262 Q. Mr. Barry, we're talking now about the question of transfers and in relation to transfers would you agree with me that every response you made, whether verbally 12:33 or in writing, was negative?
A. I was consistent in my replies, as in, I will not apply for a transfer.

263 Q. And you knew therefore, because you knew the rules,
because you were an experienced officer, because you had advice, representative and legal, and you were even being advised by the assistant commissioner, you knew that all you had to do was decline to apply for a transfer and the transfer couldn't take place during the currency of the bullying and harassment investigation, isn't that right?
A. Pardon? That was the advice $I$ had at the time, yes. And not only was it advice that you got it, I have to suggest to you, it suited you to do that because it meant that you could stay where you wanted, because you were going nowhere?
A. I was at home.
Q. But ultimately it meant that you could not be transferred to a different station because wild horses wouldn't have you transferred to any other station, that was your preferred view?
A. That was the bullying and harassment policy document. I did not compose that document, that document stated that those were the regulations in relation to being transferred while an investigation was going on.

266 Q. Could I ask you please to be shown the next document, page 3832, please. So here we have, as it were, a follow on from the previous letter, this is Assistant Commissioner Fanning writing to Chief Superintendent Dillane. He refers to a minute of the 14th February. не says:
"I don't accept that the garda station in M tchel stown
is an unsafe working envi ronment, nor can I concl ude in the absence of the investigation revi ew that there is any finding of bullying and harassment.

However, the policy does set out that Sergeant Barry can apply for a transfer if he so wi shes. Can you establish if he would wish to be considered for a transfer to any garda station to hel p himduring the period of the investigation? I can give the matter very serious consideration and then at the final out cone of the process, I can transfer the menber back.

Pl ease be advi sed that the Chi ef Medical Of ficer has i ndi cated that the menber is unfit to work, whilst I note that you state that he is fit. Perhaps you can advi se me on what grounds this finding is based on in light of the committee's direction."

So, in that situation, $I$ have to suggest to you that Assistant Commissioner Fanning is clearly writing this letter in relation to the possibility of applying for a transfer as something that would be in ease of you, that effectively could be temporary, that could be reversed at the conclusion of the investigation?
A. Could be, but I don't believe it would be.

267 Q. And you see, I have to suggest to you is that again that is totally inconsistent with any targeting or discrediting of you. Here we have the system we described earlier this morning, from headquarters down,
Q. And in terms of that position, $I$ think that again is a deflection from what you've just put to you, which is that what's taking place here is the offer of constructive solution, that if adopted by you could have put you in a position where you didn't have to see Superintendent Comyns, where you didn't have to have any of the stresses that you spoke of and where you could have been able to work fully, free from the constraints which you claim to exist by virtue of being required to work in the Fermoy district?
A. If the offer of Séan Costello was accepted and implemented, then that would have had the same effect. And again, as has been suggested to you before, I have to put it to you that that is simply an offer that wasn't practical on the ground because of all of the practical consequences of operating in a hierarchical organisation in a particular geographical area, and you were told that?
A. But Chief Superintendent Grogan stated that he would have considered that matter, but he didn't have any input into the decision making at the time.
process what is happening is that you are using the policy as a veto, and then secondly, you're putting forward on the 14th February a proposal you know to be impractical?
A. You make it sound as if I designed this policy. This is a policy document that I had no control over, this is what I was advised to make my complaint under.

271 Q. But you see, you did have control over one thing and you relished that control, can I suggest to you, because the control you had was to refuse to apply for a transfer?
A. I was perfectly within my rights not to apply for a transfer.
Q. At that time, can you explain to the Tribunal, why you didn't get your solicitor to write another letter after 12:38 the 14th February, offering to transfer or to apply for a transfer as long as it was done at public expense?
A. Because my ultimate goal at the time was to return to the station that $I$ worked in for the past 13 years. I did not want to go to Mallow. I did not want to go to 12:38 any other station and I was not going to apply for them. My preference was to return to work in Mitchelstown under temporary workplace accommodations as recommended by the CMO and Dr. Tobin.

273 Q. Can I suggest to you that in fact the truth is that you 12:38 were determined never to transfer but to stay in Mitchelstown?
A. I indicated to Chief Superintendent Dillane that I was willing to be transferred to Mallow at public expense
but I would not apply for it.
274 Q. We will have to disagree with that, Mr. Barry. Can I just put it to you that that is incorrect on my instructions. Can I ask you to be shown document 3981, please? So, this is a letter of 5th May 2013, and it's ${ }_{\text {12:39 }}$ a letter written by you?
A. That's correct.
Q. You're writing to the assistant commissioner in HRM. And you'11 see in the first paragraph you make reference to the meeting on the 9th April with your word "inspected" on the second line. And again, I have to suggest to you that again that description is a colourful description and one that is not reflective of what actually happened. But do you see there's a reference to -- in the middle of the page, you say:
"I don't believe that Chi ef Superintendent Wiliam Dillane is willing to offer any anything other than a transfer and this is not acceptable to me for the following reasons. "

And then you say
"The Garda policy on bullying and harassment provi des that I can apply for a transfer to hel p me during the period of the investigation. That would be fine if we were just tal king about bullying, but I have made an allegation that the district officer attempted to coerce me into perverting the course of justice in
rel ation to the rape and sexual assault of a child, it is because of this behavi our l made this allegation.

It is my duty within the law of the land to serve the whol e community and protect the people who I have proudl y served for the past 13 years fromall unl awf ul and harmful facts from what ever source."

Just turning over the page:
"I made ny compl ai nt as l bel ieve that I have an
obl i gation to be fatef ul to the principles of integrity and honour in the exercise of my duty. This obl igation supersedes any perverted or misplaced loyalty in support or protection of any menber of the force who 1 may bel ieve to be guilty of unethical or criminal
behavi our. I can onl y protect this community I have proudly protected for the past 13 years by remai ni ng in Mtchel stown. It is incumbent upon me to see that the I aw of the land is uphel d and that no member regardless of their rank steps outside the limit of his or her aut hority."

I have to suggest to you, that there it is in black and white:
"I can onl y protect this commity that I have proudly served for 13 years by remai ning in $M$ tchel stown. I am goi ng nowhere."

This letter is entirely consistent with what Inspector o'Sullivan and Chief Superintendent Dillane witnessed on the 9th April and I have to suggest to you that this is your view and was your view at that time?
A. At no stage in that letter did I say I am going nowhere. I said that I believed I am best positioned to remain in Mitchelstown to protect the community $I$ serve from the perversion of the course of justice in relation to the sexual assault of a child which I believed Superintendent Comyns had committed. here is that you have a duty to stay in Mitchelstown and ultimately that's the only thing you can do?
A. No, if you go back further, you can see clearly I refer to the criminal allegation. And my fear was that something like this could happen again if I wasn't there to report it because nobody else was going to.
277 Q. You see, again I have to suggest to you that that again is an embellishment because this is a letter which clearly was written by you to headquarters to indicate the depths of your refusal to contemplate any constructive solution they put forward?
A. That was my position in regards to the community that I had served for 13 years. I was not going to have criminal behaviour inflicted on any member of the community that I was serving. And that was my belief at the time.

278 Q. You told us a few moments ago that actually this was a situation where you were willing to transfer to Mallow
if public selves could be diverted to support those expenses. Would you agree with me that what you said a few minutes ago is completely different to this?
A. No, that is totally incorrect. I said Mallow was my second option. If I was not to be accommodated in Mitchelstown then Mallow would have been the most acceptable station for me to go to.
Q. What about the people of mitchelstown, there's a duty, you have to protect them and all of the colourful material --
A. Because I had reported that a child who was a resident in Mitchelstown had been sexually abused and that that abuse was covered up by Superintendent Comyns. And I did not want that to happen to some other child in my community.
Q. I have to suggest to you that really what you didn't want to happen was, you didn't want a transfer under any circumstances?
A. No. If you read that closely, you will see I did not want any person, no matter what their rank or authority, to commit criminal behaviour as I saw it.
Q. And your suggestion in this Tribunal that you were willing to consider Mallow but you didn't put it in writing, is also, $I$ have to suggest, an embellishment, because in fact you weren't willing to consider a transfer at all?
A. I was not going to apply for a transfer, I keep repeating it unless the cows come home, I was not going to apply for a transfer. That's full stop.

282 Q. And by doing that, as we also previously discussed, that meant nothing was going to happen?
A. You can infer whatever you like.
Q. We11, it's not a question.
A. If HRM had been told by Chief superintendent Dillane, 12:44 send him to Mallow and you won't have any appeals, then they could have done so.
Q. But the repositioning, Mr. Barry, is that here in the Tribunal you have tried to suggest that you were open to another option and I am putting to you that you
weren't. That very clearly at the time in everything you said, in everything that you wrote, in everything that your solicitors wrote, your answer was, no, I'm going nowhere?
A. I was open, and I gave you the reasons why Mallow would 12:44 have suited me.
Q. But those are not reasons that you seem to articulate to anybody at the time?
A. And I would not. As I have told you, I would not commit it to paper, because had I done so then that would have been an excuse to transfer me, to say, oh, he's looking to go to Mallow.
286 Q. Do you see the illogicality of that statement, Mr. Barry?
A. Pardon?

287 Q. Do you see that there is no logic to the statement? Here we have the assistant commissioner HRM offering you the possibility of a transfer in ease of your position and you're refusing to exercise your capacity
to operate with that?
A. And that's the same assistant commissioner who wanted me disciplined without an investigation.
Again, in terms of that issue, we will return to that in due course. Putting that to one side for a moment. In terms of this issue, I have to suggest to you that in fact what you were saying up here was a roadblock, an immovable roadblock to any transfer from Mitchelstown?
A. Like I said to you, I have no control over the bullying ${ }_{12: 45}$ and harassment policy document or any transfer, that's a11 -- Garda management decide how people are transferred, not I. I didn't write the Code. Pausing there for a moment then, by this stage in May of 2013, I have to suggest to you that it's now clear from the series of meetings that have taken place that you have a very definite view and you've communicated that to Chief Superintendent Dillane and to Inspector o'Sullivan and to Assistant Commissioner Fanning and, it would seem, to your solicitor, that you were going nowhere?
A. No. That I was not going to apply for a transfer. They offered me the probability of applying. That's was in Assistant Commissioner Fanning's statement, that I could apply for a transfer. They wanted me to apply ${ }_{\text {12:46 }}$ for a transfer. I would not apply for a transfer.
Q. Just an interesting phrase that you used there, Mr. Barry. Just pausing on the transcript. They offered me the probability of a transfer. To what do
you refer there, please?
A. Pardon?
Q. You said, they offered me the probability of a transfer, can I ask you, to what do you refer there?
A. The assistant commissioner mentioned under the bullying 12:46 policy document that $I$ had a right to apply for a transfer. That is what I was referring to, the probability that I may apply, but I was not going to app1y.
But you knew from the correspondence and from the meetings that a transfer was there for the taking if you applied?
A. It was there if I applied for it.
Q. Yes. Raising certainty?
A. Pardon.
Q. It was certain that a transfer to one of these locations could be --
A. If I applied for it, it was a certainty that I would be sent wherever suited me but not to Mallow.

And in terms of the approach, I have to suggest to you that everything that you said at the time covered all of the options, including Mallow. You shut the door on all of the options?
A. I did not.
Q. I have suggest to you that in those circumstances, by May of 2013 the bullying and harassment investigation is ongoing and effectively a transfer is now impossible, pending the finalisation of that investigation because you won't give any consideration
to an application to transfer, isn't that correct?
A. No, it has nothing to do with me, it has to do with the bullying policy document.
It will be a matter for the Chairman to assess in terms of the correspondence and the narrative of the other witnesses what to make of that issue. But in terms of the overall approach, can $I$ just go back then to deal with an issue which Mr. McGuinness has addressed with you. I will try and move this relatively speedily if I can. That is to say the interaction between yourself and Dr. Oghuvbu, and also Chief Superintendent Dillane in relation to HRM. I think in terms of the medical background to each of these issues, you're aware of the fact that the CMO became engaged in the process. Can you recall when you saw the CMO first?
A. I can't give the specific date but it would have been 2012. I think it was before the meeting in Grandon's.

298 Q. Yes. Let's come back to that in a moment, but could I ask you to be shown page 5622, please? So in this situation we have a reference to a case conference on 22nd January 2013 at Garda headquarters about you. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I see that.

299 Q. And do you see in the middle of the page, this is the response from Chief Superintendent Dillane to the Tribuna1, he says that he spoke with Dr. Oghuvbu about your absence from work and he informed the meeting that he was able to facilitate you in a transfer to Glanmire Garda Station, which was closer to your home, a very
similar size station to Mitchelstown, and that when he mentioned this to Dr. Oghuvbu, that Dr. Oghuvbu felt that that would be reasonable but he would need time to discuss it with you and that he was due to meet you within a few days. Did you meet with Dr. Oghuvbu at any stage after that?
A. I met him in 2013, I don't know what date you're referring to there.
Q. The date I am referring to is before this meeting, the 22nd January?
A. Yes, I believe the last meeting I had with him was in March '13.
Q. You see the last entry there, he will say in his evidence that Dr. Oghuvbu also mentioned that the 11.37 injury on duty certificate that Mr. Barry was looking 12:51 for could not be decided until the outcome of the ongoing investigation was known.
so, can I just ask you, had you by that stage asked Dr. Oghuvbu to effectively give you an 11.37 injury on duty certificate?
A. No, that is what he was told by Chief Superintendent Dillane.

302 Q. Can I ask you then to move forward please to page 5609. These are notes of the case conference, which I think Mr. McGuinness referred to, I won't spend any lengthy time on this document. But can I just ask you to see the central paragraph, it says:
"To see the CMD on 25/ 1/ 2013 - nay need i ndependent medi cal advise are assessment."

Does that help to jog your memory? Were you due to see him on the 25th?
A. I may have been. And you can also see there on the 1eft-hand column " member seeking medi cal retirement as well". Now, where would that have come from? Do you see on the left-hand side, Mr. Barry?
A. Yes.

304 Q. In the midd7e it says "menber" that's you "seeking I OD (injury on duty) cl assification for absence. Menber seeking medi cal retirement as well. Member has clai med he will institute legal proceedings for any financial loss he suffers as a result of ongoing circunstances."
A. That's al1 correct bar for the member is seeking medical retirement as well.
Q. Okay. So just to be clear then, the Chairman can take it that as of that date that was all correct. But this 12:52 again comes back to the part of the evidence that you gave on Day 176, and I'm not sure, Chairman, if it's possible to bring the transcript on the screen? If not I can refer to -- Chairman, perhaps I can proceed and if the witness has a difficulty?
CHA RMAN Yes, absolutely. You say what you recall of what you suggest is the case and we all know because we have access to the hard copies of the transcripts, we actually have the electronic ones but it may take us a
few minutes to get there. You want to refer to an answer that Mr. Barry on the first day, isn't that right?
MR. MRPH: No, Chairman, it would be on the 19th May, Day 176. Mr. Barry, you may recall that when you were giving evidence on that date, at page 123 of the transcript, again I'm not sure if there is a clearer copy available, it may be easier to show it to the witness in that way.
CHAI RMAN We11, tell him what you say he was asked about, and then we can check it. Do you understand, Mr. Barry?
A. Yes, Mr. Chairman.

MR. MRPH: Do you recall Mr. McGuinness asked you a question about options. He asked you a series of questions about what were the options for Garda Commissioner at that time, and you said at line 15, at page 123, quote:
"Well, there was an option supplied by my solicitor in rel ation to Inspector O Sullivan taking charge of my directions. "

CHA RMAN Just give us a second now because we have it up on green, Mr. Murphy.
MR. MRPHY: Thank you. Page 123, please.
CHA RMAR But it will take a time to scroll down to page 123. There we are. which questions.
MR. MRPHY: Yes, please. The question is at 515.

CHA RMAN 515. Do you see that, Mr. Barry.
THE WTNESS: Yes.
CHA RMAN Can you read that in front of you?
THE WTNESS: I can, yes.
MR. MRPHY: The question was asked about the options
"A. Well, there was an option supplied by my sol icitor in rel ation to I nspector O Sullivan taking charge for $\mathrm{m} y$ directions. There was al so the option of classifying my sick leave as what it should be, in whi ch case l would never have been back at work.
Q. Cl assifying your sick leave as...?
A. As what it should have been classified as, work rel ated, in whi ch event I would never have ret urned to nork.
Q. In the sense that if it had been certified as i nj ury on duty at some earlier stage, you si mply just woul $\mathrm{dn}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}$ have gone back to work?
A. No.
Q. You woul d have consi dered yourself based upon such certification as being permanently injured?
A. I would have been able to support my family without having to ret urn to work. I woul dn't have been forced into returning. "

CHA RMAN Wouldn't have been forced.
MR. MRPHY: Wouldn't have been forced into returning. 309 Q. Just pausing there for a moment. When counsel for the

Tribunal asked you what the options were, this was the view you expressed. So is it the case that as far as you were concerned in 2013, that the only options that you were going to leave open for the State was to comply with your request through Mr. Costello's letter to allow you to be supervised by Inspector o'Sullivan or classifying your injury as effectively a form of permanent injury, which would allow you to retire?
A. No, it wasn't my intention to retire. Never was. I wanted my sick leave classified as work related so that 12:56 I wouldn't be docked pay while I was on sick leave. 310 Q. Again just think about that, Mr. Barry, in the light of other evidence you have given on the same day. Think about what you said to the Chairman on the same day. Could we please have on that date, page 155. Just at the top of the page, Mr. McGuinness, said to you:
"Q. I am not going to suggest that you were happy with this, but you were anxi ous to get back to work --
A. Sorry, I di dn't want -- I woul d never have ret urned to work if I could have afforded it, l would never. Q. Yes.
A. I hated going back to work. I am not going to suggest you were happy with this but you were anxious to get back to work."

So again, I suggest to you that in fact reflects your state of behind as of the beginning of 2013 and the end of 2012?
A. That was while Superintendent Comyns was the district officer in Fermoy. It's not stated there, but that was what I meant at the time. When there was no accommodations being offered, no nothing happening, I would not have returned to work.

Mr. Barry, taking these two pieces of evidence, I have to suggest to you what it demonstrates is your objective at that time was to get a certificate that you had an injury on work which would allow to retire because you hated your work and you never wanted to go back?
A. I did not mention my certificate, I mentioned to have my illness classified as what it should be.

312 Q. To be classified there has to be some evidence of classification, would you agree with me, that you are fully aware of the fact that the classification --
A. Yes, but I never mentioned certificate.
Q. We're talking about the same thing?
A. Yes.

314 Q. I want to put to you, that it is clear at that stage that your motive, insofar as that may be a matter for the Chairman to consider later on, your motive is crystal clear from your own evidence?
A. At that time.

315 Q. At that time?
A. At that time.

316 Q. And therefore, at that time you were also aware of the fact that Dr. Oghuvbu and Chief Superintendent Dillane had to follow the process that was set out in the
regulations in relation to SAMS?
A. That's right.

317 Q. Piece-by-piece, step-by-piece, as they would with any other guard?
A. I knew how long the investigation could take and I did not want to return to work until that was completed.

318 Q. But in fact you didn't want to return to work at all?
A. Not until that was completed.

319 Q. And if you look back at the -- sorry?
A. While the conditions that were in situ were still there ${ }_{12: 58}$ I did not want to return to work. I was happy in my work when Superintendent Comyns was transferred.
320 Q. So, when you wrote then that letter in May that we saw, where you said it was your duty to remain in Mitchelstown to uphold the law, that wasn't correct either because in fact you hated going to work in Mitchelstown too?
A. Pardon?

321 Q. That wasn't correct when you wrote on the 5 th May that you had to stay in Mitchelstown, it was all about
working and preserving the duty and the peace of the citizenry, because in fact, as you indicated here in this Tribunal, you hated the idea of going back to work?
A. The first day I went back to work I went to the toilet cubicle and I puked my guts out with stress. I was not fit to return to work at that time. That is what I am referring to. At that time with those situations in place, $I$ was not willing to return to work.
Q. But ultimately in this situation and I will come back to the question of medical classification later on, what I am putting to you is that your objective at that time was to try and secure a certificate that indicated that you were injured on work, clear and simple?
A. Are you trying to -- I was trying to get my illness as work related and not looking for a medical pension. I never looked for a medical pension from anyone, neither Dr. Oghuvbu, my own doctor, HRM or anyone else. I never communicated that to anyone. But I was told by the AGSI, then AGSI president that I should contact my solicitor in relation to looking for medical pension and that was the day before Chief Superintendent Dillane attended that meeting with Superintendent Comyns.
323 Q. You see, I have to suggest to you that it is clear from all your communications at that time, if we go back to page 5609, I will finish, Chairman, before lunch on this point, at page 5609, that as of that date in January 2013, it was clear from your communications with all of the relevant personnel on the State side, that you were seeking injury on duty classification, you were seeking medical retirement and you were threatening that you were going to institute legal proceedings for financial loss. That's in January 2013, isn't that correct?
A. That is incorrect. That's a lie. I never looked for a medical pension.
MR. MRPHY: Chairman, that's an appropriate point.

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Very good. Okay two o'clock. Thank you.

THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED, AS

FOLLOVG: to the dates of your meeting with Dr. Oghuvbu. In fairness to you, can I just confirm for the record and we will come back to them, but Dr. Oghuvbu will say that he met you on three occasions, the first was on 11th October 2012, the second was on 25th January 2013, 14:02 the third was on the 11th March. So I will come back to that when I deal with the statement and I will show you where he says that as we11. But can I just ask you to look at this document, please, for a moment because this is an e-mail sent by you to the commissioner or will see that you refer to the meeting on the 25 th January with the CMO. You will note that there you indicate that Dr. Oghuvbu said that the reason he
wanted to see you, the only reason he wanted to see you was to discuss a medical report submitted by Dr. Kiely and Dr. Dennehy. He records that in your view the CMO was upset because both Dr. Kiely and Dr. Dennehy expressed the opinion that your medical condition was work related, that he didn't believe they could do so without first conducting an investigation. So, I think that was your impression of the meeting with him at that time.
A. That's correct.

325 Q. I will come back to the detail in a second. You then raise certain questions in the middle of the e-mail. You say:
"Dr. Oghuvbu did mention a safe working envi ronment and to this end l want to ask the following questions:

1. Does HRM find it acceptable that I should have to work with a person agai nst whoml have made an allegation of bullying and criminal behavi our?
2. What steps have HRM taken to provi de me with a safe working envi ronment?"

And you indicate that you had spoken to Chief Superintendent John Grogan on the 29th January and outlined your concerns. Then you go on to say this:
"I al so expressed my view that a transfer was not an option for me because of the nat ure of my compl ai nt and the persons mentioned therein. Chi ef Superintendent

Grogan said he would pass my concerns on to Assi stant Cormi ssi oner Jack Nol an. I haven't heard anything since and I Wbuld like an answer to the above and I bel $i$ eve that the actions of HRM are prol onging and
contributing to my illness."

So, if you just pause for a moment on that level. First of all, just in terms of your meeting with Dr. Oghuvbu on the 25th January, I think that that was a meeting that took place in Dublin, was it?
A. That's correct, Garda headquarters.

326 Q. And again just in fairness to you, if I could ask you to be shown page 1482, please. Just if you could scroll please down to the last paragraph. So, Dr. Oghuvbu will say in his evidence that he can't recall the specific details of the consultation apart from what he recorded in his notes of the consultation. Do you see there he says:
"I would have a reservation about the nember's -that's your assertion "that the opi ni on of his doctors about the basis of his absence caused himto be' upset'."

And his notes don't record any reference to being
upset. But then to put this in context, if you turn over the page please, to page 1483 , he does say at paragraph 18 that:
"It would appear that my attempts to explain the position and approach may have been construed by you..." Mr. Barry, "as himbeing upset at not being abl e to express an opi ni on until HRM gave the opi ni on to me." So a small point, but just in terms of impression, your impression of the e-mail was that he was upset, he is saying he doesn't have any recollection of being upset, but what he does say, I would like to point out to you, it may be relevant, is that he did discuss with you, did he not, the fact that there had to be an investigation of matters? It wasn't just a question of his personal view.
A. That's correct. But when I say he was upset, I said he was upset in relation to Dr. Dennehy and Dr. Kiely saying that my medical condition was work related.

327 Q. Yes.
A. Not that he was upset per se.

328 Q. Again, this may be an issue of perspective but can I take you through this briefly and see if we can agree or his agree on this. But remember this morning we dealt with a whole system that's in place within An Garda Síochána?
A. Yes.

329 Q. I think we clarified, and there was no dispute I think, that the system requires a CMO view but that view has to take into account an investigation on the ground. There's several different moving parts that have to come together before a final decision is made, do you agree?
A. Yes.

330 Q. And insofar as this is concerned, Dr. Oghuvbu will indicate that what he was seeking to explain to you was that all had to happen before a medical view would effectively dictate the pace of every other action, do you follow? So he's not seeking to question your doctor's professional capacity or anything else, but what he is seeking to explain is that the process required certain steps to be taken and information that your doctors would not have and he did not have as yet, had yet to be collated. Do you follow?
A. Yes.

331 Q. The reason I want to suggest is that is that he says -if I can just suggest page 1483, please, at the very top of the page. And again, he's not seeking to have any conflict with you on this issue but he's just trying to explain the practice, the system that he is to operate within, he says:
"It is my position and practice that l am unable to obj ectivel y advi se on work-rel ated stress as bei ng present or otherwi se and a cause of defined medi cal condition and ill health without first having the ci rcumstances of an empl oyee's assertions examined or i nvest i gat ed. "

So, can I just suggest to you that at that stage what Dr. Oghuvbu is seeking to explain to you is that the system that he has to operate requires an investigation
and its fruits to be made known to him before he can get to that further stage. Did he seek to explain that to you in the course of the meeting?
A. He did explain that, yes.

332 Q.
So insofar as your e-mail is saying that ultimately
Dr. Oghuvbu said he couldn't give an opinion until this investigation but Dr. Kiely and Dr. Dennehy do, what he is simply seeking to explain is that he has a role and function that's defined by the process and he cannot effectively act unilaterally, he has to wait for these other moving parts to be put in place?
A. He indicated that.

333 Q. Insofar as that's concerned, just looking again at his statement, you will see that at paragraph 19, further down the page, please, again just to reflect how the system is operating, he is indicating in the middle of that paragraph, he says that:
"he gave an opi ni on that the nember continues to present with features of di srupted heal th and a sense of well being for whi ch he is in recei pt of treat ment and follow up by an appropriate specialist."

But then he says the letter records THAT Sergeant Barry expressed his grievance at the slow pace of the investigation and that he, Dr. Oghuvbu, outlined it was a matter for Garda management to progress such processes in a timely and appropriate manner, to diminish the negative impact and wellbeing. And in
those circumstances he again just emphasised the system and it's approach towards that issue. But goes on on the next page to make reference to the next meeting, and that's a meeting of the 11th march.

Do you see at paragraph 21, he will say that he wrote a referral letter to Dr. John Tobin, consultant psychiatrist, dated the 8th March, seeking a specialist assessment? And if you turn over the page, please, to page 1484. Do you see it there, please, at paragraph 23. Would you agree with me that he, Dr. Oghuvbu, then explained to you the basis and the purpose of the referral to Dr. Tobin?
A. Yes.

334 Q. He also says from his own notes, that his notes recorded you expressed no concerns and that you were agreeable to the referral and the assessment which was being sought?
A. That's correct.

Just turning back then to page 4080. Can I just draw your attention to an e-mail towards the bottom of the page from John Grogan, that's Chief Superintendent John Grogan and I think at that time he was connected to the HRM function, is that right?
A. That's correct.

And he said that he spoke to you the previous week, that's prior to 7th February 2013, and offered him a transfer and you declined, do you agree that took place?
A. Yes.

337 Q. And then can I ask you, please, to move forward and be shown page 5593. Again, I think we have seen this letter, so I don't propose to read it into the record but in terms of the sequence it shows a letter from Chief Superintendent Dillane to the assistant commissioner of human resource reporting back about developments on your case since September. And you'11 see, for example, at page 5593, he refers to the meeting of the 13th September, the 13th October, at the 14:12 bottom of the page, the case conference on the 23 rd January and your conversation on the 14th February. Now, just towards the end you see that Chief Superintendent Dillane says:
"I bel ieve that Sergeant Barry is fit to work and carry out his duties. However, I feel it would be i nappropri ate to have hi mstationed in Mtchel stown pending the out come of the investi gation which is currently being carried out by Assi stant Commi ssi oner Jack Nol an. I consi der that the of fer made by Sergeant Barry through Séan Costello \& Company Solicitors is not practicable at this time."

He sent the letter from Messrs. Costello \& Company Solicitors and the sick report in relation to your own condition to Garda headquarters. Again, would you agree with me that that demonstrates that the system is operating, that the communication with the different
moving parts is being made, and Garda Headquarters is being made of developments and your solicitor's letter is being sent to Garda Headquarters? Would you agree that that is all reflected in that correspondence?
A. Yes.

14:13 Superintendent Grogan of 12th March of 2013. I think it's identical to one that you've sent perhaps a month ago to assistant commissioner HRM. Just to confirm for the record and the sequence for the Chairman, will you confirm that in the middle of that paragraph you say again "it's my view that a transfer is not an option for me because of the nature of $m y$ complaint and the persons mentioned therein and the fact that I have rel ations in the $G$ anmire sub-district"? And then there's a complaint, the same complaint that you made before about delay and inaction by HRM in addressing your complaint?
A. That's correct. And in between the date of this letter and previous one, I was told by Chief superintendent instructions of the CMO. I contacted the CMO's office to find out if this was true and they have a record of it. And I also notified Chief Superintendent Catherine

Kehoe that I received this instruction.
339 Q. But in effect at that stage you had not been certified by your own doctor as fit to return to work, isn't that so?
A. That's correct.

340 Q. Yes. We will come back to that when we get to the April situation, but overall can I just ask you to be shown document 4101. By this stage we're on 15th March 2013 and Assistant Commissioner Fanning is in communication with Chief Superintendent John Grogan. You can see the dialogue that's taking place, where Assistant Commissioner Fanning says:
"It is a pity to see this man in the position he is, especially since he has gi ven so much of his life to An Garda Sí ochána. I amglad he has utilised an agreed pol icy. At this remove a few issues arise."

First he says that he doesn't accept that Mitchelstown is an unsafe working environment, nor can he conclude in the absence of the investigation review that there's any findings of bullying or harassment. He says:
"The policy does set out that Sergeant Barry can apply for a transfer if he wi shes to. Can you establish if
he would be willing to be consi dered for any transfer to any garda station to hel p hi m during the process of the investi gation? I can gi ve the matter very serious consi deration and then at the final outcome of the
process l can transfer the nember back. On the i nvestigation of the file, is it close to being concl uded? Please let me know if there is anything el se l can do."

You will see that in terms of the communications trail, he's already been advised $I$ think earlier by Chief Superintendent Grogan, at the bottom of the page:
"Sergeant Barry was offered a transfer to Glanmire by Iocal management, he rejected this offer."

And chief Grogan says:
"I amat a loss to identify a sol ution in the light of the member's ref usal. Forwarded for your inf ormation."

So, can I suggest to you that by that stage there had been repeated efforts by HRM to consider a practical solution by way of transfer and you had refused all of them up to that date?
A. Sorry, could you repeat that?

341 Q. Sure. There had been practical steps addressed by HRM to try and find a temporary practical solution by way of transfer but you had rejected those requests?
A. That is the only step being offered to me by management.

342 Q. And you can see here in the internal communication, Chief Superintendent Grogan is making the case that
he's at a loss to identify a solution in the light of the member's refusal"?
A. Well, even the offer of a transfer to Glanmire could not have happened at the time because of my relations. So that was a ridiculous place to offer to transfer me to. That was in contravention of the Code, the only option put forward there.
343 Q. Again, I have to suggest to you that it wasn't. But what I am putting to you is that the correspondence we are going through demonstrates that there is an attempt 14:18 being made to try and find a temporary solution, to ease your position, but the reason the solution is not being found is because you are rejecting every solution offered?
A. I am not rejecting everything, I am just not applying for a transfer. I was asked to apply on numerous occasions for a transfer and that is what I refused to do, as was my right under the bullying and harassment policy act.
344 Q. Moving to page 4107, a document that we looked at before lunch. So this is the letter written by Assistant Commissioner Fanning to Séan Costello, solicitor. Now, from what we can see and looking into the records, there doesn't seem to be any reply by Mr. Costello to this letter. Did you instruct Mr. Costello not to reply to the letter?
A. No.

345 Q. Did Mr. Costello bring this letter to your attention?
A. I don't recall.

Q. And now there's an opportunity to put forward a further solution on your part but you're not doing that. So, if we take this letter, we know that subsequently, on the 9th April, which we discussed before lunch, that offers were made on the 9th April. Did you not consider asking Mr. Costello after that meeting to write back and say, actually, Mallow would work for me if it's paid for by the State?
A. No. I was still on sick leave at this stage and I wanted a return to Mitchelstown.

349 Q. On the 9th April, you weren't on sick leave at that stage?
A. Sorry, yeah, I thought you were referring to --
A. The solution that was proposed by Mr. Costello was rejected, it wasn't even entertained.

350 Q. No, I appreciate that, but I am saying that on the 9th Apri1, just moving forward.
A. Yes.

351 Q. There was an opportunity, can I suggest to you, to
rep7y to the assistant commissioner through Mr. Costello, but that never happened?
A. No.

352 Q. Can I ask you then to forward please into April. Could you please be shown document 3844 please. And please, could you scroll down to the bottom of the page. So, here we're moving forward to 4th April 2013, you may reca11 Mr. McGuinness took you through the correspondence and I am not going to travel all through that again, but in terms of internal response, you will see that this e-mail which is sent indicates that:
"The medical certificate has been received at 4 pm on the date of 4th April from Dr. Margaret Ki el y, Glanmire, in respect of Sergeant Barry in M tchel stown. The certificate confirm that Sergeant Barry is fit to work but states he shoul dn't work or attend at Fermoy Garda Station and shoul dn't come into contact with Superintendent $M$ chael Comyns."

So again, that's said and fed into the system also. So again, can $I$ just ask you to note that at the top of that you have got reference there to Donal Collins, he's I think the CMO, and commissioner south also.

Then moving forward, please, to page 3842. This is an e-mail from someone we haven't heard from much so far, but this is Monica Carr, who is the civilian head of the HR directorate in Navan. It's Friday, the 9th

Apri1 at 8.59 and Ms. Carr is indicating the background to the case, but she identifies a problem. You see four lines down, she says:
"The probl emis, both the member's doctor and our CMD have advised he is unfit for duty. I spoke to [inaudi ble] this morning and he has confirmed that in his opi ni on the member can't resume duty without being certified fit for duty.

On the phone l advi sed the chi ef that the member shoul d be requested to produce the medical certificate from his GP advising of his fitness for duty WEF (with effect from) 29/3/2013 and the chi ef has requested a l etter from HRM to that effect.

I amproposing to send this mem to the chi ef in Fermoy. The purpose of the minute is that the chi ef will give it to the member for his immediate attention. "

Again, just pausing for a moment to assist the Tribunal in that regard. Would you agree with me that the e-mail indicates that this is advice coming from the $H R$ directorate in Navan?
A. Yes, it's coming from there.

353 Q. Would you agree it's coming from a civilian operative there?
A. Yes.

354
Q. It's indicating a basic requirement, that you have to be certified as fit to work?
A. I was certified at that stage.
Q. And there's a problem, the problem has been identified, that in terms of the documentation that the certificate 14:24 has to indicate that you're fit for duty from a particular date. Do you see the second last paragraph?
A. This document is dated the 9th April.
Q. Yes.
A. My cert was submitted on the 4th April.
Q. But the certificate that was submitted on the 4th April, the first one, was not the ultimate one that was filed, isn't that right?
A. The second one was submitted the following day, the 5th April, following a visit by Inspector O'Sullivan to my doctor's surgery.
Q. But the problem that has been identified is the need to have the certificate expressing on its face the date from which you are effectively in a position to go back on duty?
A. And I understood she indicated that on the 5th April.
Q. And insofar as that is concerned, again this indicates a degree of review and scrutiny by people who are not in your divisional area, who are involved in the HR department?
A. Yes, but they don't seem to be up-to-date.
Q. Just in terms of the documentation, can I ask you to be shown document number 5590. I think you will agree that's the revised document?
A. Yes, and it clearly states there.

361 Q. And it indicates that it's to take effect from the 28/3/2013?
A. That's correct, and that's dated the 4th April. Just in terms of general practice, can I suggest to you that it was necessary in accordance with the standard practice that the certification should indicate the date from which you were fit to return to work?
A. And it did. That was clarified.
A. It was written in handwriting on the original document, the date.
Q. The date was in fact written on the top of the document, wasn't it?
A. Pardon?
Q. The date of the previous document, the 4th Apri1, was crossed out and there was handwriting of the 28th?
A. The handwriting referred to the date I resumed or the date --
Q. The correction is making it clear that the certification was from Thursday, 28/13?
A. Yes, which is the date on the original cert.

367 Q. But not expressed in those terms?
A. Not in those terms, no.

368 Q. Again can I suggest to you that it is very important in 14:27 a big organisation that there is a clarity in certification on all fronts and this helped to clarify and remove any uncertainty in relation to what the first document meant? 369 Q. Yes. So in terms of the next phase, can I ask you to be shown page 1484. Sorry, I beg your pardon, before we go there, can I just double back to one other document? Sorry, registrar. Page 3865, please. Just 14:27 at the bottom. This is a report from Inspector O'Sullivan dated the 5th April to Chief Superintendent Dillane. This addresses the issue you dealt with Mr. McGuinness of his visit to Dr. Kiely. Again, I won't go over all of the evidence that you have given on that so far but can I just ask you to look at the documentation there in the middle paragraph and it says:
"I informed Dr. Ki el y I wasn't there to di scuss any matters of patient confidentiality but just to di scuss the validity of the medical certificate. Dr. Ki ely informed me that it was a valid certificate issued by herself on 4/4/2013, al beit it was dated 28/3/2013."

And he says that he queried the work related conditions attached to the certificate. Dr. Kiely declined to discuss them. So just two points. The first is that insofar as the inspector is concerned, I have to suggest to you that he took great care not to discuss matters affecting patient confidentiality and I think your doctor accepted that?
A. Yes, she agreed, yes.

370 Q. Yes. I think that he also raised a query about the
appearance of the date on the letter, because this had raised a question as to exactly how that had taken place and wasn't normal?
A. I don't know what he said to the doctor, I just know she thought he was asking was the cert forged.
What we do know is that as a result of that documentation the doctor produced the final certificate that we've seen?
A. That's correct.
which was in a different form and was absolutely crystal clear about the dates?
A. Yes.

In terms of the approach, can I just suggest to you that insofar as Inspector o'sullivan is concerned, this is the inspector with whom you have had a good rapport, that insofar as he was calling to the doctor to make that enquiry, I have to suggest to you that that was a reasonable enquiry which resulted in an amendment of the certificate to a satisfactory date stamp?
A. The original instruction that came from Assistant

Commissioner Fanning was to question the non-medical issues contained in the cert. It was not to query the date or the doctor's handwriting, as they alluded to later. They were questioning the conditions that my doctor put on my certificate and that's what I had

374 Q. The concern was a concern expressed, if you go back to page 337 please. So this is Chief Superintendent Dillane, at the end of that page. So just to be clear,
he is pressing the concerns as they were at the time first, seven lines from the end he says:
"That the original date of 4 th April 2013 had been crossed out, the date 28th March was inserted in handwriting. "

As a result of that, an e-mail was sent from his office to HRM and to Dr. Oghuvbu in relation to the conditions, indicating that they were unacceptable and it was unfeasible for you to return to work under those conditions. He also asked Dr. Oghuvbu to contact Dr. Kiely to clarify this matter of urgency doctor to doctor. He also copied this to HRM.

So, the first thing I want to put to you is that Chief Superintendent Dillane will say he had never seen a certificate in that form before, nor had he seen a statement indicating long range that it was unsuitable for somebody to work in a garda station without further investigation of any other kind. So can I suggest to you that it was reasonable for him to enquire and seek clarification about what the letter actually meant?
A. About the conditions that the doctor put --

375 Q. Both the date and the conditions?
A. He was asked by Assistant Commissioner Fanning to question the non-medical issues contained in the certificate, which I understood to be my not attending for my work with Superintendent Comyns.
Q. Can I put it to you this way, can you see that there was a dilemma for management, they were confronted with a medical report which had that statement in it from Dr. Kiely, plus the date --
A. I had made a criminal allegation against Superintendent 14:32 Comyns.
Q. I am very sorry, I missed your answer?
A. I had made a criminal allegation against Superintendent Comyns.
Q. Yes.
A. And that medical cert was to protect me and my mental health following that allegation.
Q. That isn't really the question I am asking you. The question $I$ 'm asking you is: was it not reasonable for Garda management to try to clarify what the doctor meant?
A. It's clear what the doctor meant, there was no misunderstanding, the doctor has said it.
Q. But as to how it could be put forward as a medical view in the absence of an investigation on the ground?
A. We11, if Superintendent Comyns was a stressor, wel1 that is a medical matter.
Q. In terms of the overall situation, on that particular date, we are aware from the facts and the information that on the 8th April there was a case conference in Garda Headquarters. I wonder if you could be shown document 390 please. So, this is Monday, the 8th April. You will see in the left-hand column there is a reference to the recommendations of your GP and the
fact that these were considered unreasonable and impracticable by local management. Do you see that?
A. By local management, yes.

And then also on the left-hand column, there a reference to "no medical issue to precl ude hi mfrom work once mutually agreed safe supporting working envi ronment provi ded as recomended by an independent specialist. Mentber has declined offer of a work location by the di visional chi ef that would precl ude hi mfrom working with the superintendent in question". compelling medical issue to preclude you from going to work once a mutually agreed safe, supportive working environment had been provided as had been recommended by Dr. Tobin. Again perhaps in fairness to you, could I show you document 1485, please? Just drawing your attention to paragraph 28. Dr. Griffin will say, what's contained at paragraph 28 , that the outcome was that there was no compelling medical issue to preclude the member from work once a mutually agreed safe supporting working environment was provided as had been 14:35 recommended by Dr. Tobin. Were you informed of that?
A. I was aware of Dr. Tobin's recommendation in relation to temporary workplace accommodations that were issued on the 8th April.

383 Q. Could we go back then, please, to page 390? Thank you. I am going to ask you please, registrar, to scroll up. And perhaps scroll down again. I beg your pardon, could you scroll down, please?
CHA RMAN Scroll up, Mr. Murphy. that date, would you agree with me that your doctor was indicating that there wasn't a medical reason why you couldn't go back to work as long as in her view you didn't go to a particular location?
A. That's what my doctor recommended, yes.

385 Q. So apart from that issue of management practicability, you were fit to work as she saw it?
A. Yes.

386 Q. And insofar as management actions are concerned, can I draw your attention to the second column. First, you can see there, I have to suggest to you that the management's assessment of that was that your GP's recommendations couldn't be met on the basis of reasonability and practicability. So, can I suggest to you that from what Dr. Kiely had suggested, that from a practical point of view Garda management was saying that there is a reasonable, practicable difficulty with doing this, having a person like you in a district where you're actually not under the control of your supervising officer who has been appointed by the commissioner. Can you see that that was a difficulty for Garda management?
A. It was at the time but on 3 rd April '14 there was no
difficulty with, it. Inspector O'Sullivan was appointed charge of all my dealings.

Do you see, I have to suggest to you that in practical terms there were real, genuine concerns about how this could possibly operate in the field?
A. But it was implemented by local management in 2014.
Q. You see, again I have to suggest to you that it wasn't because even there you were making complaints later on, as we will come to in due course, about even having to carry out a traffic duty or to attend a conference with over a hundred other officers in the Fota episode, all of those practical limitations were real, weren't they?
A. And I believe that's why Inspector O'Sullivan was appointed to take charge of all my dealings in April 2014, so that I wouldn't have to be in attendance with Superintendent Comyns at Fota or at a case conference.

389 Q. Would you agree with me though that there were practical problems if your proposal was to go into effect? For example, was it not the case that at that time prisoners were not usually held overnight in Mitchelstown?
A. I often escorted prisoners to Fermoy Garda Station at night.
Q. So in terms of case conferences for serious offences which were held in Fermoy, would you agree that it was desirable that you as an experienced unit sergeant should be present at those meetings?
A. Not when management were aware that contact with Superintendent Comyns would be injurious to my health.

Can I suggest to you that a reasonable and the best
reasonable solution to that problem was to arrange for your temporary transfer with your cooperation to a different district, that that problem would disappear?
A. If I applied for a transfer, yes.
Q. And again I am putting it to you that that clearly indicates a practical problem which reasonably assessed was an issue, a real problem?
A. There was no problem with Inspector O'Sullivan chairing that case conference.
Q. And again, can I suggest to you that in terms of just the ordinary operation of law enforcement in the area, to have somebody in the district who wasn't actually answerable directly to the superior was a practical problem?
A. I was answerable by communication, as I have often communicated. I communicated in relation to force majeure, Haddington Road, et cetera. I just didn't want to meet the person face-to-face.
Q. Yes.
A. But I had already explained, I was not willing to apply for a transfer.

395 Q. I wonder if the screen could be scrolled up just a little bit, please. Just in the middle column, Mr. Barry, do you see it says "If the menber rejects the officers made - follow organi sational management proceed ours to manage the situation. Check transfer rules - can menber be transferred wi thout appl ying for same? HRM awaiting report from OHP - action
i ncompl et e. "

So, just pausing there for a moment. Can I suggest to you that it's clear that as of that date serious consideration again was being given to the possibility of trying to find a transfer mechanism that would address your concerns in terms of what you indicated was a problem for you vis-à-vis local management but at the same time recognising that there was an issue about the need to get to you apply for it?
A. That was never explained to me.

396 Q. You see, I think it's clearly indicated this morning you understood that pending the outcome of the bullying and harassment investigation, under the policy you couldn't be transferred without your own application?
A. Not that I couldn't be; that I shouldn't be.
Q. Exactly.
A. And I also the option under the bullying document not to be transferred following the investigation.
Q. Leaving all those aside for the moment, the solution, can I suggest to you, was in your own hands at that time, but you chose, as was your right, you chose not
to avail of it?
A. It was in the hands of Garda management, they could have imposed temporary workplace accommodations or they could have transferred me to a station where $I$ would have been happy to go to.

401 Q. Can I ask you to go back, please, to page 1485. Could I just draw your attention to paragraph 29 of Dr. Oghuvbu's statement. Just to confirm, he will say that following conference he wrote to Assistant Commissioner Fanning and provided his opinion that there was no compelling medical impairments to prevent you from returning to policing duties, to recommend that you would be facilitated with appropriate, reasonable and practicable temporary workplace accommodations and that if there should be any clinical consideration undisclosed or new clinical developments, that they should be brought to his attention.

Can I just point to the paragraph below, because he will say this also in evidence, that from his perspective:
"My i nvol vement in the matter froma medi cal assessment perspective ended on 9th April 2013. In my e-mail to Chi ef Superintendent Dillane on 15th April 2013 I
stated 'I amnot in a position to offer further medical advi ce in this case as the medi cal issues have been adequatel y addressed in previ ous correspondence'."

So, his view was that from a medical perspective he had expressed what he had to say, you are now indicating that you are fit to work. So what is now confronting everybody is a practical problem; how to address the management of your position in a way that will
effectively seek to allow matters to progress peacefully, pending the outcome of the bullying and harassment investigation, practical matters?
A. He refers to temporary workplace accommodations.

402 Q. Those would appear to be, I think you'd agree, not medical matters, but practical management matters?
A. That's correct.

403 Q. Yes.
A. But those matters weren't put in place.

404 Q. Sure. So, insofar as that's concerned, we've dealt
with the 9th April, I don't propose to go back over those details in any great measure, but in terms of the overall position as one moves through that period, I wonder if you could be shown page 5591, please. Just for the sake of completeness, that's the letter which Dr. Oghuvbu wrote at the time reflecting what I've just said a few moments ago. Can I draw your attention there to paragraph number 1, scrolling down, please. so, as I think we agreed a moment ago, that's the position that he identified?
"No compel ling medi cal impairments to debar the menber ret urning to work and policing duties at that time."

And look please down at number 4, it says:
"The nember is recommended medically fit for normal policing duties facilitated with temporary workplace accommodations as per poi nt 3 above."

And then in 3 above it says:
"The nember shall be facilitated with appropriate reasonable and practical temporary workplace accommdations in rel ation to his pl ace of work."

So, once again I think you do accept that relates to practical matters?
A. Temporary workplace accommodations, yes.

405 Q. Temporary. Could you please be shown page 3877? So again we have Assistant Commissioner Fanning writing on the 9th April, I think it is, to indicate the recommendation of the CMO and the context of providing an agreeable supportive workplace to foster your wellbeing and effectiveness. That you should be facilitated with appropriate reasonable and practical temporary workplace accommodations in relation to the work. And then it says:
"Pl ease informthe nember accordingly and ensure that they are notified of confidential supports, peer support, empl oyee assi stance available to Garda members and to avail of the same if requi red."

Then at the end of the paragraph it says:
"Pl ease al so bring to the attention of the mentber HQ Directive 139/10 under the heading of the Role of the Chi ef Medical Officer."

And to make sure that is brought to your attention. So was this communicated to you by any other officer below the rank of assistant commissioner at that time?
A. I don't recall that it was.

406 Q. Okay. But you were aware of the outcome of the conference on that date?
A. No.

407 Q. You were aware of Dr. Oghuvbu's views?
A. Yes.

408 Q. You were aware of the practical nature of matters that had to be addressed thereafter?
A. Temporary workplace accommodations, yes.

409 Q. And your position on the question of transfer remained unchanged?
A. Yes, that I would not apply for same.

410 Q. And then can I ask you please to move forward in the documents, if you can be shown page 3901. So here we have an e-mail from Chief Superintendent Dillane on the 19:49 24th May. By this stage, in the light of all that's happened, Chief Superintendent Dillane will say that he sought clarification from the cMO regarding the effect of any direction from him to you to attend at Fermoy

Garda Station and deal with Superintendent Michae1 Comyns would have on his health. He says this:
"The CMD has advi sed me that further rel evant processes available to Garda management should be depl oyed to resol ve the matter in a timely and constructive manner that both preserves the member's well being and garda operational integrity. I wi sh to be advi sed what further processes are available to me to resolve this matter. The present situation cannot be allowed to conti nue. Sergeant Barry is not willing to compromise. Is there an i ndependent arbitration for umavailable to me to try to rectify the situation? I am due to meet with Sergeant Barry and a represent ative from AGSI national executive early next week to try and move the matter on."

So, would you agree with me at that stage Chief Superintendent Dillane was enquiring responsibly about what possible steps he could take or whether there was an independent arbitration forum that could be availed of to assist with this blockage?
A. Appears to be, yes.

411 Q. And ultimately you were aware of the fact from your AGSI representative, I presume, that there was even a consideration of the possibility of mediation? Did that arise? Did that arise?
A. That is dated 24th May 2013, a month prior to that he was telling me $I$ wasn't to return or that -- sorry, on the 10th March. The date on that one is the 24th May. 412 Q. Yes.
A. Sorry, 2014.

413 Q. Take your time. So this is May?
A. This is May, yes.

414 Q. There has been a bit of skipping around, I accept?
A. That's okay.
Q. I'm sorry about that. But in terms of this, this is in May?
A. Yes. indicates that Chief Superintendent Dillane was looking for a practical solution to the deadlock which had arisen in this case, would you agree with that?
A. I would agree that he is looking for some advices from Garda management in relation to it.
417 Q. Do you see the reference there to the AGSI representative?
A. Yes.

418 Q. Was that Inspector Gallagher?
A. Yes.

419 Q. Right. And just again to assist the Chairman, was Inspector Gallagher available to you through the whole period?
A. If he wasn't available, Inspector Golden stepped in on
a couple of occasions.
420 Q. So in terms of a practical resolution, did you discuss, I don't want to go into the details of it, but in general terms, were you aware of your interaction with him this there was some possibility of mediation or the 14:52 LRC could be considered?
A. Yeah, that was put forward at a later stage.

421 Q. So could you please be shown page 5611? So this is another case conference, this time of 17th Apri1 2014, again all part of the system that $I$ have mentioned the involvement of doctors, the involvement of management, the involvement of $H R$, the involvement of local management - but it's a case conference of 17 th Apri1 2014. And just if you would please look at the left-hand column. So there there's a reference to:

[^2]And the views expressed:
"Menber having a detrimental effect on the station and col I eagues. "

Breaking that down into different elements. would you agree that at that time you weren't engaging with Superintendent Comyns?
A. No.

422 Q. Would you agree at that time you weren't reporting to him directly?
A. No.

423 Q. No, sorry, I beg your pardon --
A. No, I was communicating through correspondence with the superintendent at the time.
424 Q. I think you've agreed readily that you had declined transfer officers prior to that date?
A. No, I appealed the transfer to Glanmire, that was under appeal at the time.
Q. Yes. So as matters have moved on, now there's an option to consider a transfer and I think we're agreed that if you are transferred by the authorities, that's a transfer at public expense, isn't that right?
A. Pardon?

426 Q. If you're transferred by the authorities as opposed to applying for a transfer, that is a matter that is dealt with at public expense?
A. Yes.

427 Q. Could I ask you to turn to the second paragraph, under the heading "Management Actions" and there it is recorded that the chief superintendent was to meet with
you to discuss again transfers with the options of Midleton, Mallow, Glanmire. Can you recall did such a discussion ever take place after that date?
A. I don't recall meeting him in person, there may have been a phone call in relation to it, but when those three would be put to me, the only one I would agree to would be Mallow. I would not have -- at the same position, I would not apply for it. And then looking further down the column, it is recorded that the superintendent was willing to work with you but that you had difficulty in working with him and that this is explained through your doctor's report and then it has "options" and the first option is:
"Superintendent can't be moved. There's no basis to transfer. No complaints have been uphel d agai nst him"

And in terms of the transfer, would you agree with me that the capacity to move a superintendent would have been a decision for the commissioner?
A. Sorry?

429 Q. That any decision to move the superintendent would be one for the commissioner?
A. of course.

430 Q. And then in terms of mediation, reference is made there to the LRC providing a service for free but both members must agree?
A. And I agreed.
Q. Then it goes on to say "The member can say yes or no but if the nember deci des to remain in the current role he must comply with organi sational procedures and deal with the superintendent as any sergeant is obliged to deal with thei $r$ superintendent".

Can I ask you just put it to you that from Chief Superintendent Dillane's point of view and the local management, if you were going to stay in the area, from a practical point of view, the rules of the organisation of An Garda Síochána required you to be effectively under the supervision of Superintendent Comyns?
A. And like I said, under normal circumstances, yes, but these were not normal.
Q. And I have to suggest to you that that applies under al1 circumstances, whether normal or otherwise, because --
A. Not if it contravenes a member's health, I wouldn't agree.
A. That I would not apply for one.
Q. And when the first application to transfer you emerged, where you hadn't applied, you appealed it?
A. To Glanmire, to where my relations were.

Again, we might come back to that perhaps later on, but in terms of the ultimate transfer to, proposed transfer to Anglesea Street, I think you appealed that right up to the very end, a month before your retirement?
A. No, I appealed it in, I'd say, January of - and that would have been appealed 2015 I believe and it went to the review board into 2016, a month before I retired.
"As you are aware, the medi ation process whi ch I tried to invoke is not accept able to one of the parties and cannot proceed."

So, in that situation $I$ think you continued to work out of Mitchelstown Garda Station, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

440 Q. And Chief Superintendent Dillane says in the e-mail
that you're under the scroll of Superintendent Comyns and to carry out your duties you have to have interaction with Fermoy Garda Station. Aren't both of those statements correct?
A. No.

441 Q. Are you saying that you were no longer under the authority of Superintendent Comyns?
A. Well, according to Superintendent Comyns himself in his statement, I was under the control of Inspector O'Sullivan in April 2014. So I can't see how I'd be under his control then.

442 Q. But in terms of his position in the district, you do accept that he was the superintendent for that area?
A. Absolutely he was, but I believe my interactions were to be with Inspector o'Sullivan.
443 Q. But at all times from the point of view of organisational structure, Superintendent Comyns was your superior?
A. Yes, he was.

444 Q. And Chief Superintendent Dillane then goes on to say
that -- he said that having contact with Superintendent Comyns will affect your health, is what you had maintained, and that you have a doctor's certificate. So at this point Chief Superintendent Dillane will indicate that he had become aware of the fact from talking to Dr. Oghuvbu that a health and safety issue for the organisation might arise. You will see there in the middle of the paragraph he says "I wasn't aware of this when I made the application to transfer

Ser geant Barry to Fer moy Garda Station. Sergeant Barry has invoked the appeal process with regard to the transfer to Fermoy, which is under consideration by your office at present. I believe in the light of the heal th and saf et y issue hi ghl ighted by Dr. Oghuvbu and Sergeant Barry's doctor, l shall withdraw my appl i cation to transfer Sergeant Barry to Fermoy. Furthermore, I suggest that Sergeant Barry be transferred immedi atel y to Glanmire station, where he will not have to come in cont act with Superintendent Comyns or Fer moy Garda Station. Gl anmire station is much closer to Sergeant Barry's home and as it is now in the Cork City di vi si on, Sergeant Barry would be exempt under the terns of Code 8.3. I have di scussed the matter with Chi ef Superintendent Mchael Finn and with your approval he will be willing to accept Ser geant Barry. Forwar ded for your consi der ation pl ease. "

Just to break it down. Can I put it to you that at that time Chief Superintendent Dillane was canvassing possible accommodations in terms of transfers and had spoken to Chief Superintendent Michae1 Finn?
A. He indicated to Inspector Eddie Golden on 10th March 2014 that he intended to transfer me to Glanmire -sorry, on 14th March 2014, and that he was having a meeting with $B$ branch to arrange same. This is while my transfer to Fermoy was under appeal.

445 Q. But insofar as that is concerned, can I suggest to you
that it would have been reasonable and prudent for him to have made contact with Superintendent Finn to see was there a vacancy. Would you agree?
A. No.

446 Q. Can I suggest to you that what he's proposing here is a 15:02 solution that would address your concerns in relation to Superintendent Comyns, would bring you closer to your home, would be more practical, and would be happily accepted by Superintendent Finn?
A. Instead of contacting Superintendent Finn, he should have contacted Superintendent McCarthy of Mallow to see if there was a vacancy there for me. That would have been agreeable to all. Well, to me.
447 Q. You see, isn't this the difficulty, Sergeant Barry, that when it comes to the call to Mallow option, the Mallow option is invisible.
A. It is not --

448 Q. If you say it ever existed, because you have never expressed it, you have never written it down, you've never suggested to anybody in authority, and I accept that you say you suggested it to Chief Superintendent Dillane, which he denies, but here is an opportunity for you to say, what about Mallow, and you don't take it?
A. There was three options given earlier, Mallow,
A. And the one chosen for me was Glanmire and Fermoy.

450 Q. Again, I have to suggest to you, if you were genuinely
interested in Mallow, you had many opportunities in 2013 and 2014 to suggest that option, but you did not?
A. And I explained the reasons why I never nominated it. And I have suggest to you that you didn't explain anything, because this was not an option that you wanted?
A. It was an option and it was an option provided by HRM, the CMO's office.
Q. And unfortunately an option which you never canvassed in terms of its desirability because your position was that you weren't transferring anywhere?
A. No, I was willing to apply -- the three options put forward by HRM in that conference with the CMO were to be put to me by Chief Superintendent Dillane, but he never offered those, he offered -- he told Inspector Golden that he was going to transfer me to Glanmire. So those three options weren't put to me at that time by him.
453 Q. I have to suggest to you, Sergeant Barry, that if you stand back from this and look ago the period from 2012, 15:04 into 2013 and now into 2014, that there is one consistent thing, which is that every single option is rejected by you?
A. There's one consistent thing is that I would not apply for transfer. Everyone has asked me to apply, but nobody has directed me in a transfer that I wanted, that would be acceptable to me?

454 Q. And again I have to suggest to you, during that time, that what the Tribunal is looking at here in terms of
documents, actions and statements, is reasonable and prudent action by your managers in conjunction with HRM and in conjunction with the medical advisers, to try and find a practical solution to this problem?
A. Chief Superintendent Dillane was instructed following that conference to offer me Glanmire, Mallow and Midleton I believe. He did not make that offer, he told Inspector Golden that he was going to transfer me to Glanmire without making an offer of the other two.
In terms of the practical issues that this presented to management, we've dealt earlier I think with a question of prisoners, but from the point of view practicality, if you remained in the Fermoy district and Superintendent Comyns had to address or attend a crime case conference in relation to serious crime, would that mean that you would never attend such a briefing?
A. Pardon?

456 Q. Would that mean that you would never attend such a briefing, if he was present?
A. If he was present I wouldn't.

457 Q. In those circumstances, if there was a very serious incident involving, let's say, a murder, and a conference was called where your input was needed as the local unit sergeant, does that mean that the superintendent could never attend any meeting that you would attend in relation to that case conference?
A. I would hope to brief the inspector and for him to give my comments to the superintendent at the conference.

458 Q. Would you agree that case conferences for serious crime
are a vital function in any Garda district?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. Yes. Would you agree that it is essential and vital that all of the members who are involved in the process can share information and can work together as a unit?
A. Yes, provided they are all in good health.
Q. And can you see that therefore the solution that are you advocating was something which would completely break that up and create a series of blockages and corridors, parallel lines of communication that would be completely impractical?
A. You are creating a scenario that didn't exist and it's al1 hypothetical.
461 Q. Well, is it hypothetical, Mr. Barry? Because, if meetings had to be arranged at short notice, for example, can I suggest to you that clearly the type of arrangements you advocated could not work?
A. There was a case conference in 2015, which was alleged I had knowledge of and didn't attend. At that stage Superintendent Comyns knew that contact with him for me to be injurious to my health. So, he didn't -- that was a normal case conference, he wasn't worried about my health at that time.
462 Q. Mr. Barry, again, I don't accept that, but can I put it to you, just take that example, isn't there another element here as well? Taking that example, isn't it the case that you were present on duty on that date?
A. I was on duty that day, yes.

463 Q. Isn't it the case that you were aware that the
conference was going to take place?
A. Before or after I started my duty?

464 Q. Before?
A. No, after. I commenced my tour of duty.

465 Q. Isn't it the case that Detective Garda Fitzpatrick told 15:09 you about the conference?
A. No, he did not tell me.
Q. And isn't it the case that even on your own testimony, you were physically present, you saw that the conference was taking place but you went out on patrol? 15:09
A. Yes, I would not go into the same room as Superintendent Comyns.
Q. Now, you are a sergeant with a lot of experience, Mr. Barry, would you agree with me that in a disciplined organisation it's very important that parties of different levels of rank are able to work together?
A. Absolutely. Under normal circumstances.
Q. Would you agree with me that if somebody publicly effectively disregards the instruction of a senior officer, that that undermines that senior officer with the other ranks?
A. I disobeyed Superintendent Comyns' direction in 2012 in relation to covering up the sexual abuse of a child.
469 Q. That is not the question I asked, Mr. Barry?
A. But that was disobeying a direction by him at the time.
Q. We're talking about the conference on that date?
A. I would not have attended the conference because of my doctor's certificate.
Q. You see, I have to suggest to you that you must know from your own experience that taking that as an example, that in terms of that particular issue, that acting as you did would undermine the position of Superintendent Comyns and his authority within the district, in front of your colleagues?
A. It protected my mental health, my welfare was number one to me.
Q. But again, will you agree with me that in terms of policing overall, there are other interests apart from yours?
A. There are.
Q. Yes. So for example, taking the case conference on that date?
A. My interests weren't being entertained up until that. There was no temporary accommodations put in place.
Q. Can I just suggest to you another interest, was it fair to the public interest or to the interests of the victim that the case conference was frustrated by your behaviour in that way?
A. As the previous conference was frustrated by Superintendent Comyns's actions.
Q. You see, Mr. Barry, I have to suggest to you that in the circumstances of this case and that conference what you're seeking to do is to avoid the obvious, which is that your conduct was something which was impractical in a disciplined organisation, in the way in which you acted at that time?
A. I had received illegal directions from Superintendent

Comyns in 2012 and I did not want to attend another conference with him in relation to something similar, where I may be given spurious instructions.
476 Q. No, again in this situation, this is a large case conference, there was a large number of officers?
A. Pardon?

477 Q. This is a case conference with a significant number of officers present, isn't that right?
A. Four or five.

478 Q
Yes. So from the point of view of process, in this particular station can I suggest to you that what you did in those circumstances was clearly undermining of the authority of the your superior?
A. What I did at that stage was to protect my mental health as instructed by a medical professional. And can I suggest to you that the way to have avoided that interference with the public interests would have been to be reasonable and to accept a transfer to a different location on a temporary basis to avoid the conflict which you say was causing you stress.
A. And I would have accepted it, if it was to a station that I felt I would be safe and secure in.

480 Q. You did say something a moment ago, that ultimately your own health was the number one issue for you, and I appreciate that is your concern, but would you not accept that in this situation from Garda management's point of view, it was balancing a variety of issues in terms of the organisation and management of the force. In other words, your perspective was not the on1y
perspective?
A. My perspective was my own mental health, that was my priority. I don't know what management had envisaged for that meeting but it certainly wasn't to aid my mental health.

And you see, $I$ have to suggest you that all of the steps that we have seen in the course of the documents that we have looked at over the last hour or so, don't represent targeting or discrediting of you, what they do represent, I want to suggest to you, is a responsible approach by management to try and solve a complicated problem that had arisen, to do so in a reasonable way, and to do so on notice to you?
A. Management ignored my doctor's certificate, they ignored the fact that this is injurious to my health and did not admit same until 2014. They made no attempt to impose temporary workplace accommodations, entertain the Labour Relations Commission or anything else. There was no facilities offered by management, on7y a transfer. And not just a transfer but apply for a transfer and when they did have the option of transferring me, they decided to transfer me to stations they knew I would not accept without appealing.
482 Q. You see, I have to suggest to you in fact the reverse is true, Mr. Barry, and that the facts of this case demonstrate very extensive efforts being made to try and address this issue, but the one reason that none of them succeeded was because you said no, on every
occasion?
A. No. Don't agree.

483 Q. And I have to suggest to you that in those
circumstances what the papers disclose is very considerable frustration on occasion but determination to try and find a solution, but at each stage of that process, with the exception of the LRC, which you've indicated didn't proceed because there wasn't consent to it from Superintendent Comyns, but in every other respect I suggest to you that ultimately what's
involved here is a loss of perspective by you and in fact people are offering not to target you or to discredit you but to try help you.
A. Well you have the last case conference that you brought up there, Chief Superintendent Dillane was instructed to offer me Glanmire, Mallow and maybe Middleton I think. He was directed by the assistant commissioner Southern Region not to offer me those stations because my appeal was ongoing. So the advices of the CMO were not given to me at the time. Then he decided he was going to transfer me to Glanmire without even speaking to me.

484 Q. You see, in terms of the ultimate transference from one district to another, that is a decision by HRM, isn't it?
A. On the instructions or the recommendations of Chief Superintendent Dillane.

485 Q. Yes. But it isn't actually Chief Superintendent Dillane's decision?
A. No.
A. But he would make the recommendations.

487 Q. And again, this comes back to the point I sought to make to you since this morning, that we're dealing here 15:15 with a big system, a national system with rules and regulations and that all of this is not designed to target you at all, it's designed to facilitate a whole variety of issues that can arise for all of the members in the force and that in this case that responsible act 15:16 of management is what was taking place?
A. I don't think they acted responsibly.

488 Q. Can I just pause for a moment in terms of the different issues. The Tribunal has identified a number of different points, I just want to address some of them in brief order and then $I$ will return and come back to Chief Superintendent Kehoe's investigation as well. Chairman, perhaps I might just take these by way of reference for the record.

So first of a11, at 3 A , the Tribunal is investigating whether --
CHA RMAN Sorry, have you got this in front of you or would it be helpful to have this, a list of issues? Mr. Murphy is going to go through I think some of the issues, I assume he's going to say, can we get some of them out of the way, you know, either do you agree with them or do you think they're not serious or whatever, but I think it would be convenient if you actually had
the piece of paper with the list, isn't that sensible?
MR. MRPHY: Yes, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Or maybe we will get them up on the computer. Thanks very much, Ms. Doolan. We will proceed for the moment and Ms. Doolan will bring back a 15:17 copy.

MR. MRPHY: Chairman, if you wish to rise for five minutes.

CHA RMAR Ah no, we can proceed for the moment. If
you have any difficulty, not to worry, but it is just
that it is convenient -- well, wait now, we can do it more simply, because I should be familiar with them. So I am going to hand over a copy. Let me just check that I haven't made any marks on it that might be compromising. No, it's pristine, Mr. Barry. [SAME HANDED] Do you see that?

THE WTNESS: Yes.
CHA RMAN Mr. Murphy, you want to go to section 3, okay.
MR. MRPHY: Yes, and with your permission, Chairman,
tomorrow I will come back to a number of other points.
CHA RMAN I understand. You have said that to
Mr. Barry, that will you come back to other issues.
But I am assuming that you're going to try to dispose of some that you think you can deal with briefly and

MR. MRPHY: Yes.
CHA RMAN And if not, so be it, that doesn't matter. MR. MRPHY: Very good.

490 Q. So if we can just take number 3, please, Mr. Barry. If we take number 3 A. Do you see there the suggestion there is that by treating your sick leave as ordinary illness and not work related illness resulting in loss of pay to which you were entitled. So I am going to put some points to you if I could and invite your response. But just to indicate to you where I am coming from in relation to these points and where my witnesses will be giving evidence to address them. The first point I want to put to you is that on all the evidence in the case the issue as to whether there was work related illness was in fact examined and closely examined under the superintendence of Dr. Oghuvbu and the HRM mechanism, and that ultimately you had no entitlement to a finding that this was effectively work-related illness, either as a matter of fact or as a matter of law. So I am suggesting to you that in fact in this case what the facts show is that you weren't targeted or discredited or denied something to which you were entitled. There was an evaluation of your position and a view was arrived at with which you disagreed?
A. Yes.

491 Q. But that was based on assessment of the facts and an assessment of the --
A. I would like to know who carried out the investigation into my illness under 139/10.

492 Q. I am going to come back to the question of the investigation but $I$ am that ultimately it is clear from
all of the huge range of folders, documents, materials, witness statements, material that have you seen, that a lot of effort was made to investigate these matters. whether you agree or disagree with the outcome is one thing, but I have to suggest to you that you weren't entitled to a finding of work-related illness, that was something that had to be examined and evaluated and in the end the finding was not in your favour?
A. I disagree.

493 Q. And in terms of $B$, there's a suggestion there was a failure to make proper temporary workplace accommodations to which you were entitled. Again, can I suggest to you that you weren't entitled to any specific temporary workplace accommodation, it was a practical matter that Garda management tried to
facilitate but was unable to do so because of your attitude?
A. Initially they said they couldn't and then in 2014 they did put in a temporary workplace accommodation in relation to Inspector o'sullivan.
494 Q. And again, I have to suggest to you that that is not a temporary workplace accommodation of the kind that was going to solve or fix the problem in issue but that their view was that a transfer was the best way to fix the problem?
A. That's their view.
Q. And again I suggest to you that from the point of view of letter C, where it says "by failing to carry out an investigation into work-rel ated stress" and I will come
back to that tomorrow, but can I put it to you formally, that you are fully aware of the fact that there was an investigation in relation to that issue?
A. No.

496 Q. By Chief Superintendent Kehoe?
A. No. I don't accept that.

497 Q. And in terms of $D$, there's a suggestion that Chief Superintendent Dillane or Superintendent Comyns pressurised you to agree to transfer to another station against your wi11. And again I have to suggest to you, there's no truth supporting that accusation either, because all the indications are that your will remained very firm the entire way through and there was no attempt to overcome that will, there was instead a request to you to consider an application, which you always refused?
A. And they proceeded then to transfer me regardless.

498 Q. And in terms of that process, you were given every right to appeal, which you did?
A. Yes.

499 Q. So I have to suggest to you that in fact there has been no targeting or discrediting by those means either?
A. I disagree.

500 Q. And in terms of the --
501 Q. CHA RMAN Sorry, can we just, what he said, Mr. Barry, 15:22 is, by pressure rising you agree to transfer to another station against your will, do you still say that they pressurised you?
A. I am saying they pressurised me to make an application
to transfer against my will.
502 Q. MR. MRPHY: I suggest to you that the evidence demonstrates that you were invited to consider that option and you declined.
A. The amount of times I was asked to transfer by all different members of management indicates pressure to me.

It certainly doesn't seem to have manifested itself in pressure, in that every single time you consistently refused?

CHA RMAN You say by repeated requests in one shape or another, you are saying that constituted pressure rising, is that it?
A. That's my --

505 Q. CHA RMAN Have I got that?
A. Yes, Chairman.

CHA RMAN I'm sorry, Mr. Murphy. I thought that Mr. Barry want to explain that and he has explained, that's as far as it goes. Rightly or wrongly, that's what he says.
506 Q. MR. MRPHY: And in terms of the correspondence, would you agree with me that in 2013/2014 Mr. Costello didn't write any letter on your instructions complaining that you were being pressurised to transfer to another station against your will?
A. In 2013?

507 Q. Yes.
A. There were some attempts, there were verbal attempts at that stage.

508 Q. You see, Mr. Barry, I have to suggest to you that there isn't --
A. Mr. Costello was not my solicitor throughout the whole process.
509 Q. But in terms of the AGSI representative, is there any correspondence from AGSI saying, please, stop, you're pressure rising our member to agree to a transfer against his well?
A. Yes, that was from the AGSI present at the time, John Jacob.

510 Q. What's his name?
A. John Jacob.

511 Q. But ultimately in this situation I have suggest to you that there is no evidence to indicate any pressure at all, because you were well able to withstand the position and to decline to transfer because that was your will?
A. I took it as pressure.

512 Q. Number E , it is suggested that by causing Inspector O'Sullivan to attend Mitchelstown Garda Station in full uniform at nine o'clock on a date between the 29th March and the 9th April and to request you to provide a return to work certificate, was somehow wrongful on the part of Chief Superintendent Dillane or Superintendent Comyns. And again I have to suggest to you that that ${ }_{15: 25}$ is not and could not be understood to involve targeting, nor is the fact that Inspector o'Sullivan may have been wearing a uniform, whether full or otherwise, could ever represent an act of targeting or
discrediting you?
CHA RMAN Mr. Murphy, sorry to intervene, but I am just wondering is there an error there? Inspector O'Sullivan was present but was it not Chief Superintendent Dillane who is described as having attended in full uniform? Am I wrong about that?

MR. MRPHY: He is accused of attending in full uniform but I think --

THE WTNESS: There's two different dates.
CHA RMAK The date was at 9pm between 9th March, you know when they assembled at 9pm, I thought --
A. That was Chief Superintendent Dillane in uniform.

513 Q. CHA RMAN So we have mistake?
A. No, prior to that Inspector o'Sullivan attended in uniform.

CHA RMAN I'm sorry. I wil1 mind my own business. Thank you very much. I am sorry.
MR. MRPHY: So just in terms of perspective, can I just to stand back from that for a moment and to think about what that is saying, is that you appear to be
saying there that Inspector O'Sullivan -- sends Inspector O'Sullivan out to speak to you at nine o'clock in the evening in full uniform would be somehow a wrongful action and would amount to targeting or discrediting of you. I have to suggest to you that that indicates a loss of perspective on your part. How could that ever represent targeting or discrediting of you.
A. Because I believe it was an inspection of me at the
commencement of my tour of duty.

That's again, Mr. Barry, is speculation on your part. Can I suggest to you two important words there, I believe. Is it the case that this accusation, by way of example, is established to your satisfaction because you believe it?
A. We11, there is a sequence there, you have Inspector O'Sullivan attending at 9pm on the 6th, I believe it was, and Chief Superintendent Dillane and Inspector o'Sullivan attending prior to commencing my shift again on the 9th April.

517 Q. I will come back to the 9th April, but can we look at this one for the moment. Can you see how this would ever be targeting or discrediting?
A. I believe it was targeting me because I was vulnerable at the time. I was only just back to work and I am being inspected at the commencement of my tour of duty.

518 Q. So can I put it to you then that he will say that he was not inspecting you, number one?
A. He can say whatever he likes. I took it that he was
inspecting me.
519 Q. And he will say that ultimately in those circumstances he did nothing that he was not entitled to and required to do as a member of An Garda Síochána at the time?
A. That's his perspective.

CHA RMAN Are you going to come back to these, Mr. Murphy, or are you trying to get these out of the way?
MR. MRPHY: I will come back to some of these, I am trying to move through a number of them today, Chairman.

520 Q. CHA RMAN That's all right. Can I just ask a question, what would an inspection consist of? How would I know whether it was an inspection or not an inspection? I mean, that's the debate; you say you were inspected, Mr. Murphy is suggesting that you weren't. How would I know whether it was one or the other?
A. It'd be my knowledge that if an inspector from Fermoy was coming over to inspect, we'11 say, the property registrar that I was in charge of, he would ring and make an appointment, but when someone shows up at 9 pm at night unannounced, that, to me, is an inspection.
521 Q. CHAN RMAN okay. Showing up unexpectedly equals inspection?
A. Yes.

522 Q. CHA RMAN okay. And this was a case of showing up unexpected7y?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAK Okay, thank you. Sorry, Mr. Murphy. Thank you, Mr. Barry.
MR. MRPHY: Just on that point, Mr. Barry, can I put it to you that in fact this situation what you just said makes no sense. An inspection is something which involves, as you well know, an element of disciplinary supervision or searching lockers or examining offices or requiring the production of materials, that is not what occurred herein the Inspector O'Sullivan visited.
A. Like I said, it was the commencement of my tour of duty so that's a supervision matter. It could be disciplinary as wel1.
524 Q. Would it not make sense to try and see you before you went out on patrol?
A. Before I went out on patrol?

525 Q. Yes. Or as you came back in from patrol?
A. You could meet me -- he could have rang you and said, I want to meet you in relation your cert, out of courtesy, but to show up unannounced, I took it as an inspection.

526 Q. And again, can we just take your words there, Mr. Barry, carefully again. You've said that because they didn't phone you, they didn't show you courtesy and you took it, your words were "l took it to be an i nspection"?
A. Yes, I took it, yes, I did.

527 Q. Again, can I suggest to you that if you just try and apply a perspective of Inspector O'Sullivan to that, that that is far removed from what actually took place?
A. That may be his opinion, yes.
Q. I have to suggest to you that that is one example of a number of examples where you are superimposing your own subjective view on the circumstances but coming to a wrong conclusion. Ultimately, in this case also when it comes to Inspector O'Sullivan on that occasion, you have readily accepted before that he is somebody who you did work well with, is that correct?
A. Yes.

He was part of the solution that was advocated by Mr. Costello. Can you see how unstatable it is to suggest that him turning up to see you, whether in his uniform or not, on duty in an organisation that provides a $24 / 7$ service, 365 days a year, is something that is completely normal and did not involve targeting 15:31 or discrediting?
A. I don't recall in my seven years working with Inspector o'Sullivan that he turned up unannounced to inspect me at the start of my shift at night. So it was unusual from that aspect.
Q. The fact that it was unusual doesn't mean that it is somehow deliberate?
A. It hasn't happened in all those years I knew him. But again, you're asking the Chairman to consider the position based on your subjective view, you took it to be this?
A. I took it to be, yes.

532 Q. And again I suggest to you that you are wrong?
A. That is your prerogative.

533 Q. CHA RMAK And there is nothing wrong except that he did it without phoning you in advance.
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN That's it. okay, thank you.
MR. MRPHY: Can we just move down for a moment please to G. It says: "By confronting Sergeant Barry in the car park at Mtchel stown Garda Station on the 9th April..." So this is the meeting where the chief superintendent and Inspector O'Sullivan are present. And again can I suggest to you that you've used a number of loaded words in the course of your evidence, one of which was ambush. And I have to suggest to you that both Inspector O'Sullivan and Chief Superintendent Dillane will say that there was no ambush whatsoever.
A. Well, I believe there was.

And they will also say that in terms of the meeting itself, that in the case of dress code there was nothing intimidating about how they were dressed or what they wore, and very specifically, just for the record, can I say that Chief Superintendent Dillane will indicate he was not wearing a Sam Browne belt and Inspector O'Sullivan's evidence tends to support that also?
CHA RMAN said he is nearly a hundred percent sure. But there is a disagreement. You say Chief Superintendent Dillane came in full canonicals with his Sam Browne and you say that would be a very unusual thing, to be parade -- sorry, I won't use a loaded word, to be attending in the car park with his sam

Browne on and full uniform, you say that would be a very unusual thing.
A. Yes, I found that intimidating.

CHA RMAK As far as it goes, that certainly suggests it might be a little unusual, $I$ have to say, but we will have to wait and see what the evidence is.

536 Q. MR. MRPHY: And again, insofar as that meeting is concerned, that's a meeting at which constructive proposals in relation to transfers were articulated to you at that time but insofar as you were concerned, your response was, I'm going nowhere.
A. My response was, I will not apply for a transfer.

537 Q. And that your response also indicated you believed the superintendent was the person who should move and not you?
A. Correct.

538 Q. In terms of the overa11 approach towards that meeting, I have suggest to you that it was not a confrontation, as you saw it portray it in the terms of your statement or an ambush, but in fact was an important and necessary meeting, where you were given an option, a series of options, and that at all stages Chief Superintendent Dillane and Inspector O'Sullivan behaved politely towards you and did not seek to either target or discredit you by speaking to you about these issues? 15:34
A. I believe appearing unannounced and in the manner in which Chief Superintendent Dillane was attired was intimidating, was to intimidate me on the night, and one accommodation was offered, temporary workplace
accommodation, and that was transfer.
539 Q. Again just going back to the words you've used, Mr. Barry, your words, again can we take it from what you've said there, that the key words are "I bel ieve" so that apart from your belief, you've no other evidence to demonstrate that this was a sinister approach towards you or in any way intended to target you or to discredit you?
A. It's my opinion that it was. That's what I deduced from it.
540 Q. So you're inviting the Tribunal to consider this particular issue on the basis of your opinion, is that right?
A. I don't know what else $I$ can do.

CHA RMAN That is a little unfair, Mr. Murphy. I mean Mr. Barry says look, I think this, I think that, and he has given his reasons why he thinks.

MR. MRPHY: Yes. Very good.
541 Q. Just in terms of the overall approach then towards the issues in number 4 . If we take number 4 G , again Mr. McGuinness has taken you through that before, where it's said that by writing to you while you were on sick leave requesting a submission of the protected disclosure to be made directly to him. Again, can I put it to you that Chief Superintendent Dillane will
say in evidence that that's not what he was doing, he was asking and urging you to ensure that the document was sent on to HRM, which it was, sent on by you?
A. Yes but my taking from his letter at the time was that
he was looking for me to supply that document to him.
A. Yes, from the format of the letter that's what I believed. And I still believe.

CHA RMAN And the question there is: what does the letter mean? Does it mean it's really what the Tribunal -- you know, really what I think. So, isn't that right. You took that to be a meaning. But, Mr. Murphy, isn't that right, it really depends. I mean, we can all read the letter and you can suggest it 15:36 means one thing and Mr. Costello can suggest it means another?

MR. MRPHY: Yes.
CHA RMAN And we can debate that.
MR. MRPHY: Yes.
544 Q. Looking at subsection E, there's a suggestion that Chief Superintendent Dillane by directing and condoning the announcements in HRM bulletins and on Pulse that you had been transferred and that wasn't the case when it was well known that you had refused transfers. I suggest to you that there is no evidence to support that suggestion at all?

CHA RMAN where are you now, Mr. Murphy?
MR. MRPH: At 4 E , Chairman.
545 Q. CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Do you see that, Mr. Barry, what do you say to that?
A. 4 E?

546 Q. CHA RMAN 4 E . We're going backwards now from G. 4 E .
A. Yes.

547 Q. CHA RMAN This is now Chief Superintendent Dillane. Do you want to take that back or do you stand over that?
A. I stand over that, especially after Inspector Golden had -- Inspector Golden had a conversation with Chief Superintendent Dillane on 10th March 2014 and it was pointed out that I was transferred to Fermoy on Pulse and after this conversation $I$ was transferred back to Mitchelstown on Pulse and the following week I was back 15:38 in Fermoy again.

548 Q. MR. MRPHY: what evidence do you have that this was, if you look at the words, "directed or condoned" by Chief Superintendent Dillane?
A. Because when Inspector Golden golden pointed out to him 15:38 that on Pulse I was in Fermoy, having had the conversation with Chief Superintendent Dillane, I was changed back and a week later $I$ was moved back again.
549 Q. Do you know who actually did the changes?
A. No. But --

550 Q. No?
A. -- it was following the conversation can Chief Superintendent Dillane that I was changed, so I assume it was him.
551 Q. But just to be clear, you don't know who made the changes?
A. I don't. No, I believe it was somebody in HRM.

552 Q. Exactly.
A. In Garda Headquarters, at the behest of Chief

Superintendent Dillane.
553 Q. So you do know. That's two points there, you do know that it had to be somebody in HRM, but you have no evidence that it was done at the behest of Chief Superintendent Dillane?
A. It's like the transfers, Chief Superintendent Dillane recommend them, HRM direct them.

554 Q. You wouldn't mind just please coming back to my question. Would you agree that you have no evidence that Chief Superintendent Dillane directed or condoned somebody in Navan to change those Pulse entries?
A. No, no.

555 Q. I'm sorry?
A. I don't.

556 Q. I beg your pardon?
A. I don't have evidence but they're changed.

557 Q. Thank you. And in terms of the issues in relation to subsection (c), that's scheduling you for duty at the Irish open Golf Championship at Fota together with Superintendent Comyns in June 2014. Again, can I
suggest to you that there is nothing in the evidence you've given there that demonstrates that Chief Superintendent Dillane was targeting or discrediting you by scheduling you for duty at this extremely large event and that in effect the evidence is, I think on your own testimony, that you were involved in traffic duty a long way away from the centre of events, and the only thing which you have drawn exception to is the large conference at which you were present?
A. And Superintendent Comyns said that should something have happened in my area of responsibility, that's the on7y reason he would have had to come in contact with me. So that would have placed me back in that situation.

558 Q. Again, I think you agreed in your own statement that this was a very major event?
A. It was a major event.
Q. It involved huge levels of organisation?
A. I'm not disputing that.

560 Q. And there was a very large number of colleagues involved?
A. Yes.

561 Q. And there was an area of operation that was widely dispersed, traffic management, management of the approach roads, a whole variety of strategic and tactical issues had to be managed at that time?
A. Yes.
Q. So in terms of this particular issue, can I suggest to you that there's nothing in the facts relating to this event which indicates targeting by Chief Superintendent Dillane of you?
A. At the beginning of March 2014 he was made aware that having contact with Superintendent Comyns would be injurious to my health.
563 Q. We11, in terms of --
A. This was the following month, I believe, or two months 1ater.

564 Q. You see, I have to suggest to you --
A. He put me in a position.

565 Q. Sorry. I have to suggest to you that ultimately in this situation, yet again, perspective seems to be affecting your view and assessment of this --
A. No.

15:41
Q. -- and ultimately all of your colleagues who were present would have seen you operating with them on the day, isn't that correct? Did you work with other colleagues on the day?
A. There was people under my supervision, yes.

567 Q. And you were able to carry out your work on the day?
A. Pardon?

568 Q. You were able to carry out your work on the day?
A. With great difficulty, yes.

569 Q. I have to suggest to you that there is nothing in that which demonstrates there was a big signal saying there is Paul Barry, he's being targeted and discredited?
A. Superintendent Comyns has stated in his own statement that should something have happened in my area of responsibility he would have made contact with me.
A. Thanks be to God nothing happened. But that situation was left open to occur.

571 Q. You see, again I have to suggest to you that that is a position which is incredibly artificial on your part but isn't supported by the accusation that you are making?
A. It is, because they also used the excuse that those who replaced me, when I went on that duty to Fota I was
replaced by a sergeant on over time, the sergeant who replaced me on duty at overtime should have been the person at Fota, not me.
572 Q. Again, I think fundamentally in this situation there is no evidence, I suggest to you, to indicate that chief Superintendent Dillane did this deliberately with an intent to target or discredit you?
A. I believe he did.

573 Q. And again, is it fair to say that the key words are, I believe?
A. He was in charge of the operational order.

574 Q. And again, on his behalf I certainly have to put it to you that that's incorrect. Can I just pause there for a moment and just move to a different area and I will come back to some of these points in the course of tomorrow, but one of the areas that is relevant to the assessment of the Tribunal comes down to the investigations that had taken place. I want, if I can, to move briefly, before we finish today, just to touch on some of the items in connection with that, that is to say in relation to Chief Superintendent Kehoe's investigation.

Just in terms of the issues referred to in section 6, they relate to the investigations conducted by chief just ask you to confirm that you were aware from your contact with her that she did not work alone?
A. Yes. .  4
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580 Q. Inspector white?
A. Yes.

581 Q. And Inspector Susan O'Brien?
A. Yes.

582 Q. So just at the outset can we agree that this was not a one-person investigation but that there were other
highly experienced, trained colleagues of Chief Superintendent Kehoe who were involved in the different areas of investigation which she conducted?
A. That's correct.

583 Q. Yes. In terms of the actual position of those officers, I think you would also accept that they were all based in a different division to yours?
A. Yes.

584 Q. And in terms of the approach adopted towards the
complaints you have made here, I think I am correct in saying that from the Tribunal's assessment the only person against whom you are making any complaints in relation to the investigation is chief Superintendent Catherine Kehoe herself?
A. Yes, the member in charge.

585 Q. So we can take it then at the outset that there's no complaints being made by you about, for example, Chief Superintendent Lordan, Superintendent Leahy, Superintendent O'Driscoll, Inspector O'Brien --
A. I did complain about Inspector O'Driscoll's behaviour during it, but that was to Chief Superintendent Kehoe.
Q. In terms of the position concerning him, and we will come back to his situation momentarily, ultimately in this case, would you agree with me, that Chief Superintendent Kehoe made contact with you and confirmed that she had been appointed?
A. Yes.

587 Q. In terms of her approach towards the issue of the sequence of the investigations, you were aware, as I think you indicate in your evidence, that the bullying and harassment investigation was likely to proceed first?
A. Yes.

588 Q. In terms of the approach towards that issue, again
there's no disagreement I think, that investigation was subject to strict time limit?
A. Yes.

589 Q. Subject to extensions of time. In terms of meeting
with any individuals within the investigation, I think you confirmed that you met with Superintendent Lordan on $21 / 11 / 2012$. We have seen his statement earlier on. You provided him with your detailed statement and the accompanying documents?
A. Yes.

590 Q. And in terms of the individuals who are involved then in carrying out the investigation with chief Superintendent Kehoe, in terms of the approach towards that issue, I think you were aware now, and you probably were aware at the time, that the investigation team wasn't designated solely to the investigation, they were drawn from the division in Tipperary but they also carried out other significant duties at the same time?
A. That's correct.

591 Q. Yes. In terms of the approach towards those issues, I think not only did the investigation carry on in a sequential fashion but Chief Superintendent Kehoe will indicate in the course of her evidence that she effectively wrote to interested parties, so for example she wrote to Superintendent Michael Comyns and outlined the allegations that were being made by you and invited his response. Did you see his responses?
A. I don't recall.

592 Q. Okay. In the course of the issues concerning the investigation, did you meet with her on 8/4/2013?
A. I believe I did, yes.

593 Q. And in the course of that meeting did she read all of
the statements that the investigation had gathered to date?
A. I don't think she did. I can't recall that, no.

Can you recall her meeting you at all on that date?
A. No, I can't recall her reading over statements. If you 15:50 say I met her on that date, it may well be. I'd have to check my records. But I don't have it in front of me.

She will say also in the course of her evidence, I think you will have seen this from her statements, that 15:51 she had meetings with her team in February, on 7th February 2013, 26th February 2013, 6th March 2013, have you seen that in the materials which you have been furnished by the Tribunal?
A. Yeah, I think I remember reference to it, yes.

596 Q. In terms of documentation, I will see if I can assist you in relation to that. Can I ask you to be shown please page 949? You will see that this document is a letter of 11th February 2013, signed by Assistant Commissioner Nolan. He indicates that he was appointed on $9 / 10 / 2012$ to investigate your complaints and that he appointed Superintendent Lordan on 12/10/2012. Do you see that?
A. Yes.

597 Q. Have you seen this correspondence before? 15:52
A. Yes.

598 Q. You have. So you're aware then, just to shorten matters, that ultimately you wanted to have this issue formally investigated under the harassment and bullying
working together to create a positive environment programme. And he will also indicate in the course of his evidence that he sought the advices of the assistant commissioner at Human Resources as to how to proceed with the investigation?
A. That's correct.

599 Q. Were you aware of that? And he will say that on the -just turn over to page 950, that on 4th January 2013, he advised both you and Superintendent Comyns of his appointment and looked for an extension of time. I think Mr. McGuinness has dealt with some of this with you to date. In terms of the communication, I think you see at page 950 that you requested that all correspondence would be forwarded to you at an address nominated by you? Do you see that in the middle of page 950?
A. Sorry, I don't see that.

600 Q. I beg your pardon. In the middle of page 950 there's a reference to the fact that:
"You will note fromthe file that Sergeant Barry has requested that all correspondence to hi mbe forwarded to Mr. Paul Barry at an address."

So you were in communication with him at that time?
A. Sorry, yes.

601 Q. So in overall terms then, by the start of that year you're aware of the developments, you're aware of the materials, and ultimately there's this process of
interaction taking place. If we move forward then to the 8th April, ultimately you were given an opportunity in May to make submissions or observations on the submissions made by Superintendent Comyns, do you remember that?
A. Yes.

602 Q. Just to summarise matters, you would agree with me that there was an investigation, you will agree with me that there were applications for extensions of time?
A. Yes.

603 Q. You will agree with me that there was communication with you in relation to each of those elements?
A. Yes.

604 Q. You agree with me also that you had that meeting on 8/4/2013, where the information was relayed to you by Chief Superintendent Kehoe?
A. Could have been, yes.

605 Q. And then in addition to that, just in terms of engagement, you were given a chance to communicate back in response to the submissions made by Superintendent Comyns?
A. Yes.

606 Q. So can I just suggest to you that in terms of overall procedures, that that was a process in which you were communicated with and given an opportunity to make your 15:56 comment and to state your piece to Chief Superintendent Kehoe throughout. Is it correct also that it was your decision in your initial complaint to put in issue number 9 with the other eight issues?
A. In my initial submission to HRM in October, that's how I sent it in.
Q. Yes.
A. But then Superintendent Lordan came to take a fresh statement from me on the 21st November following that and he did the same procedure.

But ultimately I think, would you accept, that your decision to include them all together in one document had implications because the chief superintendents had to examine all nine?
A. No, I thought -- I was told by my solicitor that they would be separated, that the bullying and harassment would be separated because that statement, they would be entitled to have sight of, but not the criminal allegation.
609 Q. But it made it more likely that one person would be asked to investigate all of them because you put them al1 together?
A. No, I didn't think that, no.

MR. MRPHY: Thank you. Chairman, I wonder if this would be an appropriate moment to... CHA RMAN Yes, certainly. Thanks very much. Thanks, Mr. Murphy. Very good. We will adjourn then until tomorrow. Thank you very much.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103:14, 103: |  | environment ${ }^{8]}$ | $86: 14,86: 2$ | ex | $\mathbf{t}_{[1]}-64: 1$ |
| 105:2, 112:19, | 16:19 | - 70:1, 90:15 | $91: 15,106: 10$ | 18:14, 128:1 | extra [4]-6:4 |
| 118:28, 122: | :2 | 90:21, 98:20 | $\text { 115:20, } 139$ | istence [ | 6:9, 20:28, 22:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:25 } \\ & \text { effective }[4] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { encourage [1] - } \\ & 32 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ | 110:7, 110:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 139: 11,142: 2 \\ & 143: 14,145: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 43:17 | extract [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { effective [4] - } \\ & \text { 14:12, } 15: 4 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 32:26 } \\ & \text { encouraged }[2] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:25, 162:1 } \\ & \text { envisaged [2] - } \\ & \text { - } 6: 6.135: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 143: 14,145: 3 \\ & 149: 11,149: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { expected } \\ & 55: 11,66: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 23:11, 58:27 } \\ \text { extremely } 14 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:23, } 67: 18 \\ & \text { effectively }[17] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -32: 29,42: 8 \\ & \text { end }[13]-7: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:6, 135:3 } \\ & \text { episode }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150:6, 151:6, } \\ & \text { 151:26, 152:21, } \\ & \text { 153:12, 154:4, } \end{aligned}$ | 27:13, 41:12, | 15 |
| 17:23, 55:18, | 11:17, 20:4, 24:9, | 112:11 <br> equals [1] - |  | 41:22, 42:5 |  |
| 64:17, 65:22, | 65 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { equals [1] - } \\ \text { 146:24 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 153:12, 154:4, } \\ & \text { 154:9, 154:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 65:27, 65:28, |  |
| 67:22, 70:23 | 90:16, 96:13 |  | 154:21, 154:25, | 72:17, 72:29, | F.. [1]-22:25 |
| 85 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { error [2] - 39:4, } \\ 111 \cdot 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 157:5, 159:21, } \\ & \text { 160:20, 161:9, } \end{aligned}$ |  | face [5] - 28: |
| 104:19, 116 | 140 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { escorted [1] - } \\ & 112: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 160:20, 161:9, } \\ & 162: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 17:23 | 104:18, 113:14 |
| $\text { 124:12, } 132$ | ended |  | exactly [3] - | $25: 28,26: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { face-to-face [2]- } \\ & \text { 28:6. 113:14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 139:15, 160:21 } \\ \text { effectiveness } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 115:2 } \\ \text { enfo } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { especially [4] - } \\ & \text { 24:2, 98:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107: 2,114: 24, \\ & 153: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 28,27: 1 \\ & 55: 4,62: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 28:6, 113:14 <br> facilitate [10] |
| [1] - 117:2 | - 113 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:5, 153:5 } \\ & \text { essential }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |  | 65:22, 76: | $40: 5,40: 21,46: 9$ |
| $\text { effects [1] - } 5 \text { : }$ |  |  | $-145: 4$ | experience [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 13,48: 10, \\ & 50: 26,61: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| efficient [1] - | $121: 2$ | 131:3 | examine [1] - | $132: 13,133:$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 26,61: 14, \\ & 80: 28,137: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| 14:12 <br> effor |  | establish [2] - | examined [4] - | ced | 140:16 |
| 140:3 <br> effort | engagement ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 70:7, 98:25 } \\ & \text { established [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $139: 13,140: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:16, 69:1, } \\ & \text { 112:26, 158:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { facilitated } \\ 50: 8,65: 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| efforts [2] | $-163$ | $145: 14$ | EXAMINED [2] - | 112:26, 158:2 | $115: 13,117:$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { eight [2]-2 } \end{aligned}$ | 122:5 | $113: 13$ | $4: 5,5: 6$ <br> examining [1] - | explain [13] - | 117:9, 117:22 facilitation [1] |
| 163:29 | enqu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { evaluate [1] - } \\ & 44: 11 \end{aligned}$ | examining ${ }_{[1]}$ - 147:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 10,59: 22 \\ & 72: 14,92: 1,93: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $46: 29$ |
| 14:19, |  | ```44:11 evaluated [1] -``` | example [18] - | 93:8, 93:17 | facilities [1] |
| 41:14, 50:8 | 38:7, 49:27 | 140:7 evaluation [1] - | 16:14, 17:28 | 93:28, 94:2, 94:4, | 135:19 |
| $53: 17,55: 28$ | enquiring [1] |  | $35: 27,55: 22$ | $94: 8,129: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fact [47]-11:26, } \\ & 16: 22,21: 27, \end{aligned}$ |
| $57: 7,67: 29$ | $119: 19$ | 139:20 | 96:9, 101:9 | $142: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:22, 21:27, } \\ & \text { 23:9, 24:22, 26:5, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $16,$ |  | evening [1] - | 112:19, 131:16, | $45: 7,58: 7,95: 12$ | $30: 15,31: 6,33: 1$ |
| $141: 22,150: 24$ <br> electronic [1] | ensure [4] | event [9] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:25, 131:26, } \\ & \text { 133:3, 133:13, } \end{aligned}$ | 113:21, 114:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 11,36: 27, \\ & 38: 28,39: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| 82: |  | 30:23, 30:25 | $145: 14,148: 2$ | 42: | $41: 14,57: 9,62: 4$ |
| element | entertain [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 6,67: 26 \\ & 84: 15,154: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $159: 8,160: 21$ | express [3] | $64: 4,65: 8,72: 25$ |
| 23:27, 131:26, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:18 } \\ & \text { entertained }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 155:7, 155:8, | examples [1] - | $48: 18,48: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 25,78: 7 \\ & 80: 14.85: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| 147:6 <br> elements [4] |  | $155: 21$ events [8] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:3 } \\ & \text { exceeds }[1]-9: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $92: 4$ expressed [14] - | 80:14, 85:27, <br> 86:16, 86:28, <br> 87:7. 87:16 |
| 15:15, 17:21, | 133:1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { events [8] - } \\ 32: 2,55: 10, \end{gathered}$ | except [1] - | 39:25, 46:6, | 87:7, 87:16, 87:22, 92:10, |
| $122: 4,163: 12$ |  | $55: 18,59: 11$ | 149:1 <br> exception [2] - | $49: 29,54: 2,85: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:22, 92:10, } \\ & 97: 19,105: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| embelishme | 141:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 24,62: 22, \\ & 64: 27,154: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 136:7, 154:28 | 94:25, 95:16, | $110: 1,119: 24,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[3]-61: 3,75: 19,} \\ & 76: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 30 | evidence [58] - | excessive[1] - | $05: 23,107: 2$ | 126:25, 135:15, |
| emerg | 75 | 12:10, 16:10, | excessive $\left.{ }^{1}\right]-$ $23: 28$ | 116:2, 121:28, | $135: 25,136: 12,$ |
| 124:27 | entitled [9] |  | excuse [2] | $128: 19$ <br> expressing [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:12, 139:16, } \\ & \text { 139:18, 141:2, } \end{aligned}$ |
| emphasis [1] - | 26:24, 26:29, | 27:19, 27:27, <br> 28:4, 29:14, 31:1 | executive[1] - | 104:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:18, 141:2, } \\ & \text { 141:21, 143:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $13: 24$ | $139: 5,139: 20$ | $28: 4,29: 14,31: 1$ | executive [1] - 119:15 |  | 147:4, 148:21, |
| emphasise - 95:1 | 140:6, 140:12 | 31:10, 34:16, $34: 25,35: 9,37: 1$ | 119:15 exempt [1] - | expression [3] - | 150:20, 162:19 <br> factor [2] - |
| emphasises [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 140: 13,146: 3, \\ & 164: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 34:25, 35:9, 37:1, 41:8, 41:26, | $127: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 22, \\ & 56: 12 \end{aligned}$ |  |


|  | 94.20 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| facts [8] - 45:15, | February [18] - | fingernails [1] - | 69:24, 86:29, | 154:19, 156:29, | $110: 14,119: 7$ |
| 46:8, 74:6, | 45:29, 49:16, | 145:5 | 93:6, 93:11, | 157:3 | Garda [63] - |
| 109:24, 135:26, | 49:20, 49:22, | finish [2] - | 94:22, 113:26 | four [2]-103:3, | 5:13, 6:13, 7:7, |
| 139:18, 139:24, | 49:24, 50:6, | 88:18, 157:19 | following [14] - | 134:9 | 7:11, 7:21, 8:4, |
| 155:20 | 66:25, 66:27, | Finn [5] - | 13:6, 13:10, | fourth [1] - 6:22 | 8:27, 9:22, 13:16, |
| fail [1] - 125:18 | 69:26, 72:3, | 127:15, 127:23, | 73:20, 90:16, | frame [1] - 56:1 | 14:2, 15:8, 19:7, |
| failed [1] - | 72:16, 95:27 | 128:2, 128:9, | 104:14, 104:15, | free [2]-71:15, | 21:24, 23:7, |
| 125:17 | 96:12, 101:2, | 128:10 | 109:12, 114:26, | 123:27 | 37:17, 38:12, |
| failing [1] - | 161:11, 161:12, | Fintan [2]-7:9, | 115:9, 130:5, | freedom [1] - | 40:22, 44:2, |
| 140:28 | 161:19 | 66:22 | 153:10, 153:22, | 17:13 | 49:21, 50:9, |
| failure [1] - | fed [1] - 102:21 | FINTAN [1] - 3:1 | 155:27, 164:5 | FREEMAN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 50:19, 58:25, |
| 140:11 | felt [5] - 16:13, | firm [1] - 141:13 | FOLLOWS [3] - | 3:3 | 66:23, 67:2, 67:7, |
| fair [7]-14:15, | 42:9, 81:2, 101:7, | First [1] - 98:19 | 5:1, 5:7, 89:5 | fresh [1] - 164:4 | 67:21, 67:25, |
| 16:15, 24:15, | 134:22 | first [51] - 9:19, | follows [1] - | Friday [2] - | 73:24, 78:12, |
| 26:26, 56:28, | Fergus [1]-13:1 | 14:7, 27:23, 29:6, | 12:26 | 12:28, 102:29 | 80:21, 80:29, |
| 133:17, 157:9 | Fermoy [29] - | 29:10, 31:12, | FOR [3] - 3:1, | front [5] - 14:10, | 83:16, 91:11, |
| fairness [3] - | 16:15, 17:1, | 32:7, 33:20, | 3:7, 89:4 | 84:3, 133:6, | 92:22, 94:27, |
| 89:17, 91:12, | 17:10, 17:15, | 34:16, 38:11, | force [7]-5:18, | 137:23, 161:7 | 96:27, 97:1, 97:3, |
| 110:19 | 19:1, 19:8, 22:24, | 39:9, 39:12, | 7:25, 13:19, | fronts [2] - | 98:16, 102:18, |
| familiar [4] - | 29:29, 38:11, | 46:22, 47:5, 48:1, | 74:14, 113:12, | 13:25, 105:27 | 109:15, 109:26, |
| 6:19, 42:26, | 71:17, 86:2, | 48:3, 50:4, 53:7, | 134:28, 137:10 | fruits [1] - 94:1 | 111:22, 111:28, |
| 42:29, 138:12 | 102:17, 103:18, | 54:6, 54:9, 55:6, | forced [3] - | frustrated [2] - | 112:22, 115:2, |
| familiarised [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:22, 112:25, } \\ & \text { 118:29. } 125: 6 . \end{aligned}$ | 55:7, 55:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 24,84: 27, \\ & 81 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ | $133: 19,133: 21$ | 117:28, 119:1, <br> 119:5, 120:20, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:25 } \\ & \text { familiarising }{ }_{[1]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:29, 125:6, } \\ & \text { 126:3, 127:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 20,59: 1 \\ & 60: 17,61: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84:28 } \\ & \text { forged [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { frustration [1] - } \\ & \text { 136:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:5, 120:20, } \\ & \text { 124:11, 125:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| - 23:4 | 127:3, 127:7, | 61:25, 62:5, | 107:5 | fu | 126:3, 127:1, |
| family [2] - | 127:11, 127:28, | 66:26, 67:20, | form [4] - 57:23, | 13:6, 40:21, 59:5, | 127:11, 131:1, |
| 50:25, 84:23 | 128:28, 130:13, | 73:9, 80:15, 83:2, | 85:7, 107:10, | $59: 7,59: 16$ | 132:5, 134:26, |
| FANNING [1] - | 146:19, 153:8, | 87:25, 89:19, | 108:18 | 59:19, 59:20, | 140:15, 143:20, |
| $3: 1$ | $153: 11,153: 16$ | 90:7, 91:8, 93:23, | formally [2] - | $76: 29,143: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:4, 149:7, } \\ & 153: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| fanning [2] - 7:9, | $\begin{gathered} \text { few }[11]-5: 27, \\ 21 \cdot 6 \quad 21: 19.27: 5 \end{gathered}$ | 104:12, 105:29, | $141: 2,161: 29$ | 143:28, 144:6, | Gardaí [1] - 6:9 |
| $66: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:6, 21:19, 27:5, } \\ & \text { 58:11, 75:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106: 23,108: 2 \\ & \text { 108:16, 111:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { format [1] - } \\ & \text { 152:3 } \end{aligned}$ | 144:7, 144:23, 149:26, 150:1 | Gardai [1]-6:9 gardaí [3]-7:2, |
| 67:21, 69:25 | $76: 3,81: 5,83: 1$ | 123:13, 124:27, | forming [1] - 8:6 | fully [3] - 71:15, | $15: 19,158: 2$ |
| 70:20, 78:19, | $98: 17,116: 22$ | 137:21, 139:10, | forum [2] - | $86: 16,141: 2$ | gathered [1] - <br> $161 \cdot 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:7, 98:9, 98:12, } \\ & \text { 100:22, 107:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { field [1] - 112:5 } \\ & \text { file [5] }-22: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 157:26, 159:23 } \\ \text { fit }[13]-8: 8, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 119:12, 119:21 } \\ \text { forward [30] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { function [3] - } \\ & 94: 9,95: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 161:1 general [3] - |
| 108:26, 115:10, | 25:13, 45:12, | 70:15, 87:27 | 7:4, 7:15, 12:8, | 131:1 | $23: 5,105: 5$ |
| 117:17, 120:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 99:2, 162:21 } \\ & \text { filed [1]-104:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:16, 98:3, } \\ & \text { 102:16, 103:9 } \end{aligned}$ | 21:16, 21:18, $22: 5.23: 15 .$ | fundamentally | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:4 } \\ & \text { generated }[2]- \end{aligned}$ |
| Fanning's [1] - | filed [1] - 104:13 <br> final [7]-11:20, | 102:16, 103:9, 104:2, 104:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:5, 23:15, } \\ & 27 \cdot 2238 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $[1]-157: 4$ | $29: 14,29: 15$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 78:24 } \\ & \boldsymbol{\operatorname { f a r }}_{[7]}-54: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $28: 5,67: 12$ | 105:8, 111:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 22,38: 2, \\ & 49: 16,57: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { furnished }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 161:14 } \end{aligned}$ | genuine [1] - |
| 85:2, 102:27, | 70:10, 92:28, | 116:3, 117:3 | 57:14, 65:18, | furthermore [1] | $112: 4$ |
| 106:11, 142:19, 147:29. 150:4 | $98: 29,107: 7$ <br> finalisation [1] - | fitness [2]-8:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 3,66: 8,72: 3 \\ & 75: 22,81: 24 \end{aligned}$ | - 127:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { genuinely [1] - } \\ & \text { 128:29 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fashion }[1] \text { - } \\ & 160: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 79:28 } \\ & \text { finally [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fitzpatrick [1] - } \\ & \text { 132:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:2, 100:7, } \\ & \text { 101:13, 101:27, } \end{aligned}$ | G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { geographical [1] } \\ & -71: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| fateful [1] - | $23: 21,125: 14$ | five [3]-51:29, | 102:4, 102:7, |  | given [20]-14:4, |
| $74: 11$ <br> fatigue | $\begin{aligned} & \text { finance }[3] \text { - } \\ & \text { 19:4, 19:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:9, 138:7 } \\ & \text { fix [2] - 140:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:26, 109:19, } \\ & \text { 118:23, 121:7, } \end{aligned}$ | gain [1]-65:26 <br> Gallagher [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:7, 27:19, } \\ & 48: 24,64: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| $24: 26$ | 22:27 | 140:24 | 129:13, 163:1 | 120:24, 120:27 | 65:13, 85:13, |
| faultily [1] - 36:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { finances [1] - } \\ & 22.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { fob [1] }-51: 27$ | forwarded [9] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { Garage }[3]- \\ 34: 12,38: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 15,106: 10 \\ & 114: 5,128: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| favour [1] - | 23:5 | focus [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 1,9: 6,9: 11, \\ & 13: 9.66: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 12,38: 18 \\ & 39: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:5, 128:25, } \\ & \text { 134:3, 136:20, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 140: 8 \\ & \operatorname{fax}_{[1]}-53: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { financial [5] } \\ & \text { 19:6, 26:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:20, 31:3 } \\ & \text { focused }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:9, 66:26, } \\ & 99: 16,127: 17, \end{aligned}$ | garda [13] - | 141:18, 150:21, |
| fear [1] - 75:15 | 65:26, 82:14, | 26:27, 26:29, | 162:14, 162:22 | 6:25, 14:11, 18:3, | 151:17, 154:22, |
| feature [2] - | 88:25 | 27:12 | foster [1] - | $25: 15,37: 18$ | 163:2, 163:19, |
| $30: 24,68: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { findings [1] - } \\ & 98: 22 \end{aligned}$ | folders [1] - | 117:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 20,67: 9 \\ & 69: 29,70: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 163:25 } \\ & \text { glad }_{[1]}-98: 16 \end{aligned}$ |


| Glanmire [63] - | greater [2] - | 73:24, 78:11, | helped [1] - | 97:22, 99:19, | - 8:10, 20:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29:7, 30:19, | 13:21, 13:27 | 79:26, 98:22, | 105:27 | 99:23, 103:15, | 46:10, 127:9 |
| 33:26, 34:6, | green [1] - 83:25 | 100:18, 114:14, | helpful [1] - | 108:9, 108:14, | immovable [1] - |
| 34:11, 34:20, | grey [1] - 59:6 | 116:8, 121:17, | 137:24 | 113:29, 121:21, | 78:8 |
| 35:11, 35:13, | grievance [1] - | 159:22, 161:29, | herein [1] - | 129:7, 129:13, | impact [1] - |
| 35:16, 36:1, | 94:25 | 164:12 | 147:9 | 130:2, 136:24, | 94:29 |
| 38:18, 38:19, | Griffin [1] - | hard [1] - 82:28 | herself [2] - | 139:14, 151:28, | impairments [2] |
| 39:4, 39:7, 39:14, | 110:21 | hardly [1] - | 106:19, 159:5 | 152:18, 153:27, | -115:11, 116:27 |
| 39:24, 40:8, | Grogan [11] - | 26:23 | hierarchical [1] - | 154:3, 154:7, | impediment [1] - |
| 40:15, 40:25, | 71:26, 90:24, | hardship [3] - | 71:23 | 164:1 | 42:4 |
| 41:1, 41:3, 46:5, | 91:1, 95:22, | 23:27, 24:7, | higher [1] - | huge [2] - 140:1, | implement [1] - |
| 47:1, 47:27, 50:9, | 95:23, 97:7, | 24:11 | 13:26 | 155:9 | $21: 3$ |
| 50:19, 50:20, | 97:12, 98:10, | harmful [1] - | highlighted [1] - | human [1] - 96:7 | implementatio |
| 50:29, 51:16, | 99:8, 99:13, | 74:6 | 127:5 | Human [2] - | n [2] - 15:12, |
| 51:25, 51:28, | 99:29 | hated [4] - | highly [1] - | $8: 23,162: 4$ | 24:18 |
| $52: 13,52: 16$ | ground [5] - | 85:23, 86:10, | $158: 21$ | hundred [7] - | implemented [5] |
| $52: 18,52: 22$ | 16:10, 17:22, | $87: 16,87: 23$ | himself [2] - | $59: 4,59: 14$ | - 15:9, 21:5, 23:7, |
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|  | $140: 25$ | 48:9, 65:8, 65:11, | 65:20, 66:3, 71:9, | $107$ | 77:15, 77:17 |
| 108:1 <br> pressure [9] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { problems [1] - } \\ & \text { 112:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $101: 11,125: 8$ proposing | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 12,71: 21, \\ & 73: 3,75: 22, \end{aligned}$ | raising $[2$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129: 3,151: 17 \\ \text { recalled }[1] \text { - } \end{array}$ |
| 17:21, 21:11,141:26, 142:6, | procedure [3] - | $\text { 103:17, } 128:$ | $76: 23,86: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:16, 79:14 } \\ & \text { rang [2] - 53:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $43: 14$ |
|  | 164:6 <br> procedures [3] | protect [7] - | 91:26, 94:11, | $147: 17$ | receipt [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 142: 9,142: 12, \\ & 143: 7,143: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  | $74: 4,74: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 7,101: 13 \\ & 107: 25,108: 1 \end{aligned}$ | range [4]-62:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94:21 } \\ & \text { received } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:18 } \\ & \text { pressurised }[4] \end{aligned}$ | $8: 16,124$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 26,75: 7,76: \\ & 109: 11,134: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 108:24, 109:1, | 108:19, 140:1 | 20:7, 49:20, 52:2, |
|  | 163:24 | protected [3] | $109: 19,110: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { rank [5]-6:26, } \\ 74: 20.76: 20 \end{gathered}$ | 52:8, 98:1, |
|  | $82: 24,113: 27,$ | 74:17, 133:7 | 116:14, 121:7, | 118:10, 132:16 | $102: 13,133: 29$ |
| 141:29, 142:24 |  | 151:23 protec | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:6, 124:7, } \\ & \text { 127:20, 129:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 118:10, 132:16 ranks [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recently [1] - } \\ & 60: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 56:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 138: 5,138: 9 \\ & 159: 22,162: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $74: 1$ | 129:14, 129:17 | 132:22 | recession [1] |
|  |  | prou | 131:24, 133:16 | rape [1] - 74:1 | 5:14 |
| $44: 8,119: 25$presumed [1] - | proceeded [1] - | 74:5, 74:17 | 139:6, 139:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rapport [1] - } \\ & \text { 107:15 } \end{aligned}$ | reckless [1] - |
|  | $141: 17$ <br> proceedings [3] | $74: 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140:19, 141:1, } \\ & \text { 145:27, 147:3, } \end{aligned}$ | rationale [1] - | 17:4 <br> recognising |
| $45: 6$ | $-37: 27,82: 14$ | $15: 4,71: 1,90: 20$ | $151: 25,156: 1$ | 65:18 | $-114: 9$ |
| prevent [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 88:25 } \\ & \text { process [18] - } \end{aligned}$ | 143:22 | $157: 12,163: 28$ | read [10]-21:22, | recollection [17] |
| $115: 11$ <br> prevention [1] - |  | provided [10] - | 164:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 5,39: 19,63: 8, \\ & 76: 19,84: 3,96: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $-27: 29,28: 2$ |
|  | $72: 1,80: 14$ | $6: 14,11: 23$ | putting [12] - $25: 12,36: 4,$ | 101:1, 152:10, | $30: 14,34: 13$ |
| 15:27 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 25,110: 7 \\ & 110: 18,110: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 12,36: 4 \\ & 37: 21,55: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 160:29 | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 15,35: 26, \\ & 35: 29,36: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| 8:16, 19:20, | 98:27, 99:1, | $115: 10,129: 7$ | $57: 9,65: 18,72: 2$ | readily [2] - | 36:17, 58:22, |
| 23:13, 28:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:22, 127:2, } \\ & \text { 131:4, 134:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 131:6, } 160$ | $77: 10,78: 5,88: 3$ | $122: 14,148: 7$ | 59:7, 59:19, |
| 33:28, 34:16, |  | provides [3] - | 100:9, 113:1 |  | 59:21, 60:12, |



| responsible [3] - | 98:21, 104:23, | Sam [6]-59:7, | 147:7 | 126:27, 128:2, | September [25] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63:16, 135:11, | 125:13 | 59:17, 59:20 | d [16] | 128:11, 128:14, | $27: 28,28: 7,28: 4$ |
| 137:10 | reviewed [2] - <br> 67:15, 121.21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:21, 149:27, } \\ & \text { 149:29 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 19,31: 1 \\ & 34: 10,35: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131: 7,133: 1 \\ & 133: 23,135: \end{aligned}$ | 28:15, 28:23, |
| 119:19, 137:12 | revised [2] | AME [1] | 61:24, 62:7 | 135:25, 136:23, | 29:15, 30:18 |
| rest [2]-24:4, | 23:6, 104:29 | 138:1 | 73:11, 76:5 | 138:16, 139:2, | 31:16, 32:17 |
| 26:8 | diculous | S [1] - 87:1 | 83:24, 89:20 | 143:1, 145:22 | 32:18, 32:28 |
| restricted [1] - | 24:12, 35 | sanction [7] | 90:11, 104:7 | 147:13, 148:11 | 33:8, 38:13, |
| 8:9 <br> restrictions [1] - | 100:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:10, 18:20, } \\ & \text { 18:26, 19:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:14, 111:16, } \\ & \text { 122:27, 125:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:12, 150:6, } \\ & \text { 152:25, 155:29, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 14,38: 17, \\ & 38: 29,39: 1,39: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:29 | 142:19 | $20: 13,20: 29$ |  | $156: 24,160: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:27, 47:29, } \\ & 48: 7,96: 8,96: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19.6, 27.3, 82:15 | rights [1] - 72:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:6 } \\ & \text { sanctioned }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72:2 } \\ & \text { Section [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161:16, 161:18, } \\ & \text { 161:23, 162:13, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 19:6, 27:3, 82:15, | ring [1] - 146:2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section [1] - } \\ & \text { 49:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 161: 23,162: 13, \\ & 162: 15.162: 17 \end{aligned}$ | sequence [4] - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 107:6, 108:8 } \\ \text { resulted }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | rise [1] - 138:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 16,19: 25, \\ & 56: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:21 } \\ & \text { section [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { seek }[7]-10: 5 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:5, 97:15, } \\ & \text { 145:16, 159:20 } \\ & \text { sequential [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 107:18 resulting [2] - | 143:7 |  | secure [2] - | 94:2, 108:22, |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { satisfaction [1] - } \\ & \text { 145:14 } \\ & \text { satisfactory }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |  | $116: 6,150: 24$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 9: 14,139: 4 \\ \text { results [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | risk [3] - 36:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { satisfactory [1] - } \\ & \text { 107:19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 4,134: 22 \\ & \text { see }[120]-6: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 116:6, 150:24 seeking [16] | $\begin{gathered} \text { sergeant [60] - } \\ 5: 22,6: 26,11: 22, \end{gathered}$ |
| 54:25 | Road [2] - 6:5, | satisfied [1] - | $6: 4,7: 7,9: 28,$ | 82:11, 82:13, | 11:23, 14:4, 14:7, |
|  | $\operatorname{road}_{[1]}-15: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 37:23 } \\ & \text { savings [1] }-6: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:23, 14:27, } \\ & \text { 15:13, 18:2, 18:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 82:17, 88:22, } \\ & \text { 88:23, 93:3, 93:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 25,15: 3,15: 7 \\ & 15: 11,19: 1,27: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:18 } \\ & \text { RESUMED }{ }_{[2]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 78:7, 78 |  | 19:2, 28:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:8, 93:15, } \\ & 93: 28,94: 8,95: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $34: 29,35: 5,$ |
|  | roads [1] | 80:15, 87:13 | 29:13, 29:20 |  |  |
| 5.1, 89.4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:13, 132:9, } \\ & 150: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $36: 2,37: 2,37: 28,$ | 133:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 16,46: 4 \\ & 46: 24,47: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ROBER |  |  | seem [6] - 44:9, |  |
| $22: 13,43: 10$ | 3:8role [5] - 7:29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC [2]-3:1, 3:7 } \\ & \text { scenario [4] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 8,39: 23, \\ & 42: 12,43: 20 \\ & 45: 7,46: 1,48: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 17,78: 20 \\ & \text { 100:24, 104:26, } \\ & 142: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 25,47: 27, \\ & 50: 23,53: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $8!$ |  |  |  |  | 53:26, 60:6, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 3,14: 25,94: 8, \\ & 124: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 21,46: 13 \\ & 110: 12,131: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | selves [1] - 76:1 <br> send [4]-52:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 26,60: 6, \\ & 60: 12,67: 1,67: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Role [1] - 118:5 <br> roles [3]-12:11, | scene [2] - | $54: 7,54: 24$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:10, 70:5, } \\ & 94: 24,96: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 19:23, 20:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 11,58: 18, \\ & 58 \cdot 2959 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 20,77: 6, \\ & 103 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 24,96: 16, \\ & 96: 21,98: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| 82:7, 82:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:22, } 97: 9 \\ & \text { room }[2]-35: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $154: 18,154: 24$ | $60: 5,62: 20,64: 4$ | sending [2] | 99:10, 102:15, |
| $82: 18,88: 23$, $125: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { room } \\ & 132: 11-35: 1, \end{aligned}$ |  | 66:9, 66:25, | $35: 5,54: 6$ |  |
| 125:10 retrospectively | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 132:11 } \\ & \text { roster [3] - 20:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:23, 82:23, } \\ & 113: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 26,71: 12, \\ & 72: 8,73: 9,73: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sends [1] - } \\ & 144: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:11, 119:14, } \\ & \text { 124:4, 127:1, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:23 } \\ & \text { scroll }[20]-6: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 8,73: 9,73: 14, \\ & 74: 18,75: 11, \end{aligned}$ | 144:21 senior [3] - | 127:6, 127:7, |
| 17:19 | 5:24, 19:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:17, 12:13, } \\ & \text { 12:16, 14:25, } \end{aligned}$$18: 2,18: 29,20: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 14,75: 18, \\ & 76: 19,77: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $132: 21$ | 127:13, 127:17, |
| 52:26, 53:1, 55:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:18, } 24: 19 \\ & \text { rules [8] - } 5: 22, \end{aligned}$ |  | 76:19, 77:23, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:13, 127:17, } \\ & \text { 128:14. 129:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 72:18, 72:22, |  | 18:2, 18:29, 20:1, | 77:26, 80:22 | sense [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:14, 129:19, } \\ & \text { 130:24, 132:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 78:4, 84:24, 87:6, | 12:11, 68:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 14,46: 21, \\ & 58: 18,60: 4, \end{aligned}$ | 81:13, 81:27, | $147: 5,147: 13$ | 149:6, 157:1, |
| $87: 7,87: 11$, $87: 27,87: 29$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 29,113: 28, \\ & 124 \cdot 10 \quad 137 \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 18,60: 4, \\ & 83: 27,91: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 82:1, 82:4, 82:6, | sensible [1] | 162:21 |
| $87.27,87: 29$ $97: 26,98: 3$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rushbrooke [2] } \\ & -46: 5,47: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102: 6,111: 2, \\ & 111: 3,111: 4 \\ & 111: 5,126: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 82:9, 84:1, 88:16, | 138:1 |  |
| 101:22, 105:8, |  |  | 89:27, 90:1, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 25,58: 23 \\ & 58: 26,158: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 108:11, 119:29, |  |  | $\text { 91:18, } 92: 19$ | 21:28, 30:23, |  |
| 137:16, 143:23 | S | scrolled [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 14,95: 6, \\ & 95: 10,96: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $43: 4,43: 13,54: 9$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sergeants }[6]- \\ 6: 9,14: 29,15: 18, \end{gathered}$ |
| 84:15, 85:20 |  | scrolling [3] | 6:13, 98:11 | 96:25, 97:3 | 18:1, 20:17 |
| 86:5 |  | 116:23 | $99: 28,100: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:13, 102:11, } \\ & \text { 108:8, 151:28, } \end{aligned}$ | 15:17, 45:12, |
| returning [4] - | 110:6, 110:17, |  | 102:11, 103:2, | 108:8, 151:28, $164: 2$ | 78:16, 83:15, |
| 84:25, 84:28, <br> 115:12, 116:28 | $110: 24,134: 22$ | scrolls [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:7, 109:1, } \\ & \text { 109:28, 110:2, } \\ & \text { 111:17, 111:27, } \\ & \text { 112:3, 112:7, } \\ & \text { 113:25, 114:12, } \\ & \text { 120:21, 126:10, } \end{aligned}$ | 164:2 | 78:16, 83:15, |
| reverse [1] - | 110:24, 134:22 <br> safety [6] - <br> 15:27, 23:29, <br> 26:20, 26:23, <br> 126:26, 127:5 <br> sake [1] - 116:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:24 } \\ & \text { scrutiny }[1]- \\ & 104: 23 \\ & \text { se }[1]-92: 17 \\ & \text { SEAN }[1]-3: 3 \\ & \text { searching }[1]- \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 22 \\ & \text { separate }[2]- \\ & 50: 13,64: 18 \\ & \text { separated }[2]- \\ & 164: 12,164: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { serious [10] - } \\ & 61: 9,67: 12, \\ & 70: 10,98: 28 \\ & \text { 112:24, } 114: 4 \text {, } \\ & 130: 15,130: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| 135:25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| reversed [1] |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70:24 |  |  |  |  |  |


| 130:29, 137:28 | shown [22] - | situ [1] - 87:10 | sometime [1] - | staff [1] - 15:5 | states [5] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| serve [3] - | 27:26, 37:24, | situation [23] - | 47:20 | stage [34] - | 46:23, 47:3, 47:9, |
| 16:25, 74:3, 75:8 | 49:17, 57:15, | 18:14, 21:10, | soon [2] - 9:17, | 24:15, 34:26, | 102:17, 105:1 |
| served [3] - | 66:20, 69:22, | 61:9, 68:12 | 11:5 | 35:12, 41:26, | stating [3] - |
| 74:5, 74:27, | 73:4, 80:19, | 70:19, 75:29, | sorry [40] | 54:18, 56:29, | 46:27, 49:22, |
| 75:24 | 91:13, 96:3, | 80:20, 88:1, 98:7, | 12:12, 12:13, | 57:10, 58:1, 68:6, | 67:26 |
| Service [2] - 6:3, | 97:10, 98:8, | 109:23, 113:27, | 12:15, 18:21, | 68:14, 71:29, | Station [17] - |
| 8:28 | 102:5, 104:28, | 119:10, 119:13, | 27:25, 28:11, | 75:5, 78:14, 81:6, | 21:25, 37:17, |
| service [5] - | 106:3, 109:26, | 125:26, 134:4, | 28:12, 32:18, | 81:19, 84:18, | 38:12, 50:9, |
| 6:14, 25:4, 49:23, | 116:19, 117:16, | 134:26, 143:13, | 37:26, 39:28, | 86:20, 93:27, | 50:19, 58:25, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 123:27, 148:14 } \\ \text { service' [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:24, 121:8, } \\ & \text { 125:14, 161:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 147: 4,155: 5, \\ & 156: 3,156: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 7,50: 10,52: 7, \\ & 62: 20,85: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 2,97: 6,98: 2, \\ & 98: 8,99: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:2, 80:29, } \\ & \text { 102:18, 112:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 67:18 | shows [3] - <br> 52.12 96.5 | $157: 4,159: 14$ | 87:9, 99:22, 101:25, 106: | 101:21, 101:24, 104:3, 118:26, | 119:1, 125:27, |
| 14:11 | 146:22 | 27:8, 87:28 | 106:5, 109:7 | 119:18, 121:7, | 127:11, 143:20, |
| serving [5] - | shut [1] - 79:22 | size [1] - 81:1 | 119:29, 120:3, | 124:21, 131:19, | 149:7 |
| 5:22, 7:1, 9:25, | sick [21]-17:17, | skipping [1] - | 120:8, 122:11, | 134:14, 136:6, | station [43]- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 63: 24,75: 26 \\ & \text { set }[5]-13: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:7, 25:11, } \\ & 30: 20,47: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:6 } \\ & \text { sleep } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:22, 124:24, } \\ & \text { 127:26, 137:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 142:29 } \\ & \text { stages [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 14,25: 15 \\ & 25: 17,25: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25:23, 70:5, | 47:20, 48:5, | slow [1] - 94:25 | 141:25, 142:17, | 120:11, 150:22 | 32:14, 32:23, |
| 86:29, 98:24 | $49: 20,50: 2$ | small [3] - 35:3, | 144:2, 144:16, | stamp [1] - | $33: 2,36: 26,37: 1,$ |
| sets [2]-22:2, | $68: 15,68: 16$, $84: 11,84: 13$, | 35:5, 92:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:17, 147:1, } \\ & \text { 149:28, 154:13, } \end{aligned}$ | 107:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 3,37: 18,40: 5 \\ & 40: 25,42: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 24:26 | 84:11, 84:13, | SMITHFIELD ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:28, 154:13, } \\ & \text { 156:2. 162:17. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stamped }[1] \text { - } \\ & 28: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $40: 25,42: 10$ |
| seven [3]- $58.15,108.2$ |  | - 3:5 | 156:2, 162:17, | 28:15 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58: 15,108: 2, \\ & 148: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 26,101: 21, \\ & \text { 101:23, 139:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { solely [1] - } \\ & 160: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 162:26 <br> sought [7] - | $\begin{array}{r} \text { stand [4] - } \\ 129: 20,144 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:10, 50:26, } \\ & 54: 15,55: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| several [2] - | 151:22 | solicitor [15] - | 38:9, 51:15, | 153:3, 153:5 | 57:12, 60:8, |
| 28:4, 92:27 | Sick [1] - 49:21 | 52:10, 52:19, | 52:19, 95:18, | standard [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:28, 67:9, } \\ & 69: 15.69: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| sexual [3]-74:1, | sickness [10] | $53: 20,54: 9,58: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118: 28,137: 4, \\ & 162: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 105:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:15, 69:16, } \\ & \text { 69:29, 70:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $75: 9,132: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 6,8: 26,9: 3 \\ & 9: 4,9: 14,10: 27, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 21,68: 5, \\ & 72: 15,78: 20, \end{aligned}$ | sound [1] - 72:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { start [2] - } \\ & \text { 148:19, 162:27 } \end{aligned}$ | 72:19, 72:21, |
| 76:12 | 11:28, 23:17, | 83:20, 84:8, | source [1] - 74:6 | started [2] - | 76:7, 81:1, 98:27, |
| shall [5] - 14:8, | $28: 18,43: 8$ | 88:12, 100:23, | south [2] - | $27: 2,132: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 20,115: 4, \\ & 120 \cdot 1 \quad 127 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14:10, 67:29, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sickness [1] - } \\ & 8: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $143: 3,164: 11$ | $45: 29,102: 24$ <br> Southern [1] | State [5] - 41:28, | 127:11, 134:11, |
| 117:9, 127:6 <br> shape [1] - | $\text { side }[3]-78: 5 \text {, }$ | solicitor's [2] - $54: 7,97: 2$ | 136:18 | $88: 21,101: 20$ | 134:21, 141:9, |
| $142: 11$ | $\begin{gathered} 82: 9,88: 21 \\ \text { sight }[1]- \end{gathered}$ | solicitors [2] <br> 39:4, 77:13 | speaking [2] 136:21, 150:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { state }[7]-30: 17, \\ & 32: 1,34: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 141:27, 142:25 } \\ \text { stationed [2] - } \end{array}$ |
| share [1] - 131:5 | $164: 14$ | 39:4, 77:13 <br> SOLICITORS [2] | specialist [3] - | 32:1, 34:22, <br> 54:17, 70:15, | $\text { 39:14, } 96: 18$ |
| $158: 13$ | sign [3] - 43:25, | $-3: 3,3: 9$ | 94:22, 95:8, | $85: 28,163: 26$ | stations [7] - |
| shift [2] - | 45:8, 45:19 | Solicitors [3] - | 110:8 | statement [28] - | 16:18, 17:10, |
| 145:19, 148:19 | signal [1] - | 52:2, 96:22, | specific [3] - | 11:22, 16:12, | 38:20, 62:6, <br> 65:13, 135:23 |
| shifts [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 156: 16 \\ & \text { sianed }[3]-7: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 96:26 | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 16,91: 16, \\ & 140: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 1,22: 2,25: 23 \\ & 26: 19.27: 21 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:13, 135:23, } \\ & 136: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23: 28,24: 25, \\ & 25: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 13:7, 161:19 | 71:1, 71:11, | specifically [1] - | 28:1, 36:17, | stay [6] - 48:25, |
| ```25:3 short [5] - 24:24,``` | significant [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 1,71: 11, \\ & 75: 22,99: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 149:19 | 39:19, 40:13, | 69:11, 72:26, |
| 52:11, 62:21, | 14:15, 134:7, | 99:20, 99:24, | speculation [1] - | 60:24, 77:23, | 75:12, 87:20, |
| $\begin{array}{r} 62: 24,131: 15 \\ \text { shorten [1] - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 160:14 } \\ & \text { similar [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:1, 100:11, } \\ & \text { 100:12, 100:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 145: 11 \\ & \text { speedily }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 26,78: 24, \\ & 89: 22,94: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124: 9 \\ & \text { step [3] - 18:4, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161:27 } \\ & \text { shorter [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81: 1,134: 2 \\ \text { simple [2] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:7, 101:10, } \\ & \text { 101:11, 101:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 9 \\ & \text { spend [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:19, 109:3, } \\ & \text { 115:8, 126:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:3, 99:26 } \\ & \text { step-by-piece } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:28 } \\ & \text { show [6] - 83:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63: 6,88: 5 \\ \text { simply }[7]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:16, 114:27, } \\ & \text { 120:15, 120:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81:26 } \\ & \text { spent }[1]-21: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150: 19,155: 6, \\ & 156: 18,160: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-87: 3} \\ & \text { stepped }[1]- \end{aligned}$ |
| 89:22, 110:20, | 35:4, 53:29, 58:9, | 128:6, 130:4, | spoken [5] - | 160:4, 164:5, | 120:29 |
| 139:18, 147:19, | 71:21, 84:18, $94: 8,138: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:7, 136:6, } \\ & 148: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $37: 15,50: 14$ <br> 53.14, 90:23 | 164:13 <br> statements [7] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { steps [6] - } \\ 74: 20,90: 20, \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 147:23 } \\ & \text { showed [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94: 8,138: 12 \\ \text { single [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | 148:10 solve [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 14,90: 23 \\ & 127: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { statements [7] - } \\ & 57: 26,126: 4, \end{aligned}$ | 93:9, 99:23, |
| $125: 15$ | 129:22, 142:9 | $135: 11,140: 23$ | spurious [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:1, 140:2, } \\ & \text { 161:1, 161:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 119:20, } 135: 7 \\ \text { still [9] - } 55: 5, \end{gathered}$ |
| showing [2] - <br> 146.24, 146.27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sinister [1] - } \\ & \text { 151:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { someone [2] - } \\ \text { 102:27, 146:22 } \end{gathered}$ | 134:3 <br> Stability [1] - 6:3 | $161: 10$ | 63:20, 63:21, |


| 65:19, 87:10, | 82:15 | 148:12, 148:28, | 122:29, 123:10, | 115:25, 118:25, | 134:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101:21, 141:27, | suggest [137] - | 149:10, 149:12, | 123:16, 123:20, | 118:27, 119:1 | superiors [1] - |
| 152:2, 152:4 | 21:10, 29:13, | 150:18, 152:10, | 123:23, 124:4, | 119:19, 120:16, | 15:18 |
| stood [1] - 35:6 | 30:13, 30:21, | 152:11, 152:21, | 126:13, 130:25, | 122:6, 124:8, | supersedes [1] - |
| stop [2]-76:29, | 33:5, 33:13, | 154:21, 155:19, | 130:28, 149:9, | 124:12, 125:19, | 74:13 |
| 143:6 | 33:17, 34:12, | 155:29, 156:2, | 150:14 | 125:29, 126:1, | supervise [1] - |
| stored [1] - 22:8 | 34:24, 35:25, | 156:15, 156:24, | Superintenden | 126:7, 126:8, | 21:4 |
| straightforwar | 36:2, 36:11, | 157:5, 163:23 | t [211] - 11:18, | 126:17, 126:20, | supervised [1] - |
| $\mathbf{d}_{[1]}-63: 6$ | 36:15, 37:19, | suggested [9] - | 11:27, 13:1, 13:4, | 126:21, 126:24, | 85:6 |
| strategic [1] - | 39:16, 41:13, | 36:28, 50:20, | 16:11, 17:29, | 127:10, 127:15, | supervising [2] - |
| 155:16 | 42:12, 43:20, | 53:17, 71:6, | 19:2, 20:18, 21:2, | 127:21, 127:23, | $35: 15,111: 26$ |
| Street [1] - 125:9 | 46:17, 47:7, | 71:20, 111:21, | 21:11, 21:21, | 128:2, 128:7, | supervision [6] - |
| STREET [1] - | 48:16, 49:8, | 128:20, 128:21, | 22:9, 22:19, | 128:9, 128:10, | 13:21, 68:22, |
| 3:10 | 53:12, 53:28, | 143:19 | 23:10, 23:19, | 128:11, 128:21, | 124:12, 147:7, |
| stress [5] - 30:2, | 54:16, 54:22, $55: 9,55: 17$ | suggesting [4] - 36:7, 62:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 23,24: 6,25: 6, \\ & 25: 15,27: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 129:14, 130:5, } \\ & \text { 130:14, 131:20, } \end{aligned}$ | 147:11, 156:10 |
| 87:26, 93:21, $134 \cdot 20,140: 29$ | $55: 27,56: 1,$ | 139:17, 146:16 | 27:21, 27:23, | 132:12, 132:23, | supervisor [2] - $9: 19,14: 7$ |
| 134:20, 140:29 stresses [1] - | 56:11, 59:29, | suggestion [8] - | 28:13, 29:1, | 133:5, 133:22, | 9:19, 14:7 <br> Supervisory [1] |
| 71:14 | 60:15, 61:2, 61:8, | 36:22, 76:22, 125:17 139.2, | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 16,30: 26, \\ & 31 \cdot 8,30 \cdot 5 \quad 34 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ | 133:29, 136:9, | - 14:27 |
| stressor [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:20, 62:23, } \\ & 64: 4,64: 26,66: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 17,139: 2, \\ & 140: 10,141: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 31:8, 32:5, 34:25, $35: 13,35: 18$ | 136:28, 137:17, | supplied [3] - <br> $11 \cdot 26,83 \cdot 20$ |
| stretch [1]-89:9 | 68:6, 68:17, | $152: 16,152: 22$ | $35: 20,38: 14$ | 141:5, 141:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 26,83: 20 \\ & 84: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| strict [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:10, 70:19, } \\ & 70: 26.71: 29 . \end{aligned}$ | suggests [1] | 38:16, 39:20, $40: 29,43: 6 .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:24, 144:5, } \\ & \text { 144:12, 145:18, } \end{aligned}$ | supply [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 159: 27 \\ & \text { strictly } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 26,71: 29 \\ & 72: 9,72: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150: 4 \\ & \text { suited }[4]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 29,43: 6, \\ & 44: 16,46: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:12, 145:18, } \\ & \text { 149:13, 149:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 152:1 } \\ & \text { support [8] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 20:14 | 73:12, 74:23, | 48:24, 69:10, | 46:19, 46:23, | 149:26, 150:23, | 15:11, 15:28, |
| structure [2] - | 75:3, 75:18, <br> 76:16, 76:24 | 77:16, 79:19 | 47:23, 49:19, |  | 74:14, 76:1, |
| 11:9, 126:17 | 77:9, 78:6, 78:15, | suits [1] - 67:24 | $50: 15,50: 16$ | 153:7, 153:14, | 84:23, 117:28, |
| sub [1] - 97:20 <br> sub-district | 79:20, 79:25, | 163:7 | $53: 15,55: 15$ | 153:17, 153:23, | 149:22, 152:21 supported [3] |
| $97: 20$ | 82:27, 85:18, 85:24, 85:27, | summary [1] - | $55: 25,57: 22$ | 154:1, 154:5, | 9:2, 42:10, |
| subject [3] - | 86:7, 88:16, | 13:3 SUNLIGHT | $58: 24,59: 5,$ | 154:20, 154:23, | 156:26 |
| 25:29, 159:27, | 93:13, 93:14, | SUNLIGHT [1] - $3: 9$ | 59:12, 59:25, | 155:1, 155:21, | supporting [3] - <br> 110:6, 110:25, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:29 } \\ & \text { subjective } \end{aligned}$ | 93:27, 99:18, | super [2] | 60:7, 60:16, | 155:24, 156:18, | 110:6, 110:25, $141: 11$ |
| 148:4, 148:25 | $100: 8,101: 6$, $101: 29,105: 5$ | 60:13, 60:23 | 60:18, 60:21, | 157:6, 157:21, | supportive [3] - |
| submission [2] - | 101:29, 105:5, $105: 25,106: 25$ | superimposing | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 10,61: 17, \\ & 62: 11,63: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 157:26, 158:4, 158:6, 158:7, | $59: 25,110: 17$ |
| $151: 23,164: 1$ | 107:13, 107:17, | [1] - 148:3 <br> Superintendan | $63: 22,64: 1 \text {, }$ | 158:9, 158:10, | 117:20 <br> supports [1] - |
| $-163: 3,163: 4$ | 108:21, 111:17, | $\mathbf{t}[1]-38: 8$ | $64: 24,66: 5,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158: 11,158: 22 \\ & 159: 4.159: 9 . \end{aligned}$ | 117:27 |
| 163:20 | 112:7, 113:6, | superintenden | 69:25, 71:13, | 159:10, 159:12, | surgery [1] - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { submitted }[7] \text { - } \\ 8: 29.20: 6.90: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 113:15, 114:3, | ce [1] - 139:13 superintendent | $71: 26,72: 28,$ | 159:16, 160:2, | 104:16 Susan [1] - |
| 104:10, 104:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:28, 120:15, } \\ & \text { 124:16, 127:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $[47]-13: 8,15: 12,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 17,75: 2, \\ & 75: 10,76: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 160:9, 160:19, } \\ & \text { 160:22, 161:22, } \end{aligned}$ | 158:17 |
| 104:14, 121:19 | $127: 29,128: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 2,16: 4,19: 28, \\ & 22: 24,30: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $77: 5,78: 18$ | 162:9, 163:4, | $\begin{gathered} \text { swiftly [2] - } \\ 25: 27,63: 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| $15: 2,22: 29$ | $\begin{aligned} & 128: 29,129: 2 \\ & 129: 4,129: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $32: 9,35: 21,$ | $80: 11,80: 25$ | 163:16, 163:20, 163:26, 164:4 | sworn [1] - 7:20 |
| 152:16, 154:18 | 129:28, 131:16, | $35: 22,38: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 22,86: 1, \\ & 86: 28,87: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 163:26, 164:4 superintendent | system [13] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { subsequent [1] - } \\ & \text { 125:2 } \end{aligned}$ | 133:1, 133:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:16, 42:7, 42:8, } \\ & 42: 15,45: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 88:13, 88:14, | " [1] - 124:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 11,70: 28, \\ & 92 \cdot 21 \quad 92 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| subsequently | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:23, 134:11, } \\ & \text { 134:16, 135:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $45: 26,46: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 24,90: 29, \\ & 95: 22,96: 6, \end{aligned}$ | superintendent 's [1]-15:26 | 93:17, 93:29, |
| [2] - 33:23, 101:15 | 135:10, 135:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:15, 48:17, } \\ & \text { 48:28, 49:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $96: 14,97: 7,97: 8 \text {, }$ | superintendent | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 16,95: 1 \\ & 96: 28,102: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| $-19: 14,20: 5$ | 136:3, 136:10, | 50:11, 60:10, | 97:12, 97:25, | $\mathbf{s}[4]-15: 19$ | 121:10, 137:6 |
| $20: 6,26: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140:5, 140:13, } \\ & \text { 140:21, 140:27, } \end{aligned}$ | $60: 28,63: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 29,98: 10, \\ & 99: 8,99: 29, \end{aligned}$ | $40: 23,58: 5,$ | Séan [8]-36:21, |
| succeeded [1] - | 141:10, 141:21, | $63: 20,63: 21,$ | $\text { 102:19, } 106: 7$ | 164:9 superior $[7]$ | 52:2, 53:20, |
| 135:29 | 142:2, 143:1, | 63:24, 67:28, <br> 110:10, 121:18 | 107:28, 108:17, | $16: 24,17: 7$ | 66:24, 68:20, |
| suffering [1] - | 143:13, 143:25, | 110:10, 121:18, | 108:29, 109:5, | $27: 14,56: 9$ | 71:18, 96:22, |
| $43: 24$ | 144:25, 145:12, | 121:24, 122:13, | 109:8, 109:21, | 113:9, 126:18, | 100:22 |



| 147:13, 147:27 |  | unfolded [1] - | updates [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:22, 48:27, } \\ & \text { 54:10, 69:17, } \\ & 75: 4,78: 17,85: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { welcomed [4] - } \\ & 24: 20,48: 27, \\ & 48: 29,49: 1 \\ & \text { welfare [2] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trying [9]- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150:26 } \\ & \text { uncertain [1] - } \\ & 29: 21 \\ & \text { uncertainty [1] - } \\ & \text { 105:28 } \\ & \text { unchanged [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 27:20 <br> unfortunately | $13: 19$ <br> upheld [4] - |  |  |
| 32:26, 40:9, |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40:26, 88:6, |  | [1] - 129: | 67:27, 74:19 | $90: 3,90: 27$ |  |
| 93:17, 114:6, |  | uniform [14] | 121:18, 123:17 | 92:12, 92:25, | 49:27, 133:7 |
| 146:7, 146:10 TUESDAY |  | 59:5, 59:7, 59:16, | uphold [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:4, 97:17, } \\ & \text { 109:19. } 111 \end{aligned}$ | well" [1] - 82:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 1,164: 26 \\ & \text { turn }[10]-7: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $118: 21$ <br> under [40] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:21, 143:28, } \\ & \text { 144:6, 144:7, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\text { 111:22, } 116:$ |  |
|  |  |  | 91:26, 92:3, 92:7, | 124:8, 124:10, | 94:29, 117:21, |
| 18:28, 22:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 29,8: 22,14: 27, \\ & \text { 20:19, 21:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:12, 144:15, } \\ & \text { 144:23, 148:13, } \end{aligned}$ | 92:8, 92:13 | 126:16, 130:12, | 119:7 |
| 23:15, 38:2,$89: 14,91: 26$, |  |  | 92:14, 92:1 | 134:10, 134:27, | whatsoever [1] - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 29,45: 25 \\ & 52: 27,53: 2,58: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:23, 148:13, } \\ & \text { 150:1 } \\ & \text { unilaterally }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | upset' [1] | 139:21, 140:24, | 149:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:8 } \\ & \text { turned [2] - 63:7, } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 91:23 | 140:26, 140:27, | whereby [1] - |
|  | 58:6, 59:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94:10 } \\ & \text { unit }[7]-50: 8, \end{aligned}$ | urgency [1] - | 148:4, 148:25, | $54: 23$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:12, 72:7, } \\ & 72: 23,76: 17 \end{aligned}$ |  | 108:13 | 156:4 views | whilst [2]-6:14, |
| 148:18 turning [3] - | 79:5, 100:18, | 50:20, 53:17, 112:26, 130:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { urging }{ }_{[1]} \\ & 151: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $10: 12,23: 3,$ | 70:14 white [2] - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:11, 111:25, } \\ & 114: 14,114: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:5 } \\ & \text { units [3]-17:22, } \end{aligned}$ | utilise [1] - 6:13 | 68:18, 118:15, | 74:24, 158:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:12 } \\ & \text { two }[24]-5: 27, \end{aligned}$ |  |  | utilised [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:28 } \\ & \text { vigilant [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { whole }[6]-74: 4, \\ & \text { aว.21 } 120.27 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:5, 122:16, } \\ & \text { 122:27, 124:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:2, } 50: 19 \\ & \text { unlawful }[3]- \\ & 16: 26,17: 2,74: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 17:24 | 137:8, 143:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 2,35: 1,44: 4 \\ & 44: 5,44: 7,48: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 124:14, 124:16, 126:1, 126:6 | $16: 26,17: 2,74: 5$ |  | vii [1] - 38:16 | 155:16 |
| 50:22, 51:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:1, 126:6 } \\ & \text { 126:9, 126:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { unless [6] } \\ \text { 19:15, 41:6, } \end{gathered}$ |  | vis-à-vis [1 | widely [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 51: 21,53: 19, \\ & 55: 20.64: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $127: 3,127: 14$ | 45:27, 65:28, | 61:26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:8 } \\ & \text { visit }[2]-104: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 155:14 wild [1] - 69:15 |
| 86:6, 89:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:28, 132:18, } \\ & \text { 139:13, 139:27, } \end{aligned}$ | unnecessary [1] | vacancy [2] | 106:9 | William [1] - |
| 106:23, 130:9, | 156:10, 161:29 | $-16: 13$ <br> unreasonable | valid [2] - 53:27, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 73:17 } \\ & \text { willing [15] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 144:9, 145:12 | underlined [1] - |  |  | $147: 9$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 154:2, } 155: 27 \\ \text { type [5] - 19:12, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:25 } \\ & \text { undermine }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [1] - 110:1 } \\ & \text { unreliability [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | validity [1] - | $131: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 11, ~ 41: 27, \\ & 62: 12,72: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20:25, 31:26, | 133:4 <br> undermines [1] |  | 106 | ${ }_{17.12}^{\text {voicing [1] - }}$ | 73:18, 75:29, |
| 31:27, 131:16 |  | unsafe [2] - | vandalism [1] - |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & -132: 21 \\ & \text { undermining [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 70:1, 98:20 } \\ & \text { unsocial [1] - } \\ & 6: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:28 } \\ & \text { variety }[4] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |  | 87:29, 98:26, |
|  |  |  | variety [4] 5:23, 134:27 | $14: 2$ <br> vulnerable [1] - | 113:21, 119:11, |
| ultimate [4] - | 121:23, 134:12 understandabl | unstatable [1] - | $137: 9,155: 16$ | 145:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:10, 127:16, } \\ & 129: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{y}[1]-34: 4 \\ & \text { understood [9] - } \end{aligned}$ |  | various [1] - |  | 129:12 <br> willingness [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 72: 18,104: 12 \\ & 125: 8,136: 23 \end{aligned}$ |  | ```148:11 unsuitable [1] -``` | 25:23 | W | $65: 20$ |
|  | $44: 10,44: 11$,$47: 17,104: 21$, | $108: 19$ unusual [5] 148:19, 148:2 | 58:26 | wait [3]-94:10, | 34:4, 37:16, 70:7, |
| 46:28, 48:22, |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { verbal }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 142: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $138: 11,150: 6$ |  |
| 51:8, 61:8, 61:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:26 } \\ & \text { undertook [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $150: 5$ | 142.28 verbally ${ }_{[2]}$ | $\text { WAS }_{[1]}-5: 6$ | 138:7 |
| $63: 9,68: 7,68: 12$, $69: 14,75: 13$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { up [27] - } 12: 13 \\ 14: 26,27: 12 . \end{gathered}$ | 19:25, 68:25 version [5] - | watch [2]- | $29: 23,55: 5,$ |
| 69:14, 75:13, 88:1, 94:5, | 51:9 |  | version [5] - | 17:23, 21:12 | 114:20 <br> wishes [4] - |
| 114:19, 119:24, | undisclosed [1] | 46:20, 46:21 | 55:9, 59:11, | Watergrasshiil |  |
| 134:23, 136:10, | $-115: 16$ | 61:15, 76:13,$78: 7,83: 25$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:28 } \\ & \text { veto }[4]-68: 13, \end{aligned}$ | 31:7, 33:15 | 53:1, 67:10, 70:6, |
| 139:14, 139:29, | $74: 15$ <br> unexpectedly |  |  | 33:18, 33:29, | $98: 25$ |
| 143:13, 146:2, |  | 104:26, 111:2 | 68:14, 68:15, | $34: 1,34: 9,39: 2$ | $127: 6$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 148: 5,156: 2 \\ & \text { 156:6, 159:14, } \end{aligned}$ | [2] - 146:24, | 104:26, 111:2, 111:5, 113:23, | $72: 2$ | wearing [4] - | withstand [1] - |
| 161:28, 162:29, | 146:28 | 125:9, 131:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vi }[1]-38: 11 \\ & \text { via }[2]-9: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $143: 28,149: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:15 } \\ & \text { witness [4] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 163:2, 164:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 151:15 } \\ & \text { unfeasible [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $136: 15,138: 3$ | 29:2 | week [4]-95:26, |  |
| unable [2] - 93:20, 140:16 |  | 146:22, 146:24, | victim [2] - | 119:15, 153:10, | 82:25, 83:9, |
| 93:20, 140:16 unacceptable | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:11 } \\ & \text { unfit [4] - 49:22, } \end{aligned}$ | 146:27. 147:19. | $15: 28,133: 19$ | 153:18 | WITNESS [6] - |
| [1] - 108:10 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 148:12, 148:18 } \\ \text { up-to-date [1] - } \end{array}$ | view [36] - $10 \cdot 19,16.29$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:11 } \\ & \text { WEF }_{[1]}-103: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 4:2, 84:2, 84:4, 89:11, 138:17, 144:9 |
| unannounced | 67:17, 70:14 | 104:26 | 40:9, 48:16, |  |  |




[^0]:    I think that's finance, is that right?

[^1]:    "...10. 1 and I find that Code F 10. 1 subsection (1) and

[^2]:    "Bullying and har assment cl ai m§ agai nst the superintendent i nvesti gated, none uphel d. Menber submitted GP certificate saying he cannot work with the superintendent. Member to be transferred. Appeal agai nst transfer currently being revi ewed by A/C HRM Member has declined transfer offers. Superintendent reports that menber is undermining him Member will not engage with the superintendent at all, will not attend meetings, will not report to him
    Organi sational risk."

