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THE HEARI NG RESUMED, AS FOLLOVB, ON THURSDAY, 26TH MAY 2022:

CHAN RMAN Good morning, Mr. Barry.
THE WTNESS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.
CHA RMAN Now, Mr. Harty, I think you're next, are you?
MR. HARTY: I understand so. Thank you, Chairman.
CHA RMAN In the order, thanks very much.
MR. HARTY: Thank you.
CHAN RMAN And Mr. Harty you're for?
MR. HARTY: I am for superintendent Michae1 Comyns. CHA RMAN Very good, just so everybody knows where they are. Thanks very much.

## MR. PAUL BARRY WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. HARTY, AS

## FOLLOWG:

1 Q. MR. HARTY: Morning, Mr. Barry.
A. Morning.

2 Q. Mr. Barry, can you help me in terms of the role of a unit sergeant, what are your general duties?
A. My general duties would have been as outlined by Mr. Murphy previously.
3 Q. We11, could you answer them for me, please?
A. I'd have to see them back up again.

4 Q. I just want the general impression of what a unit sergeant has to do?
A. The basic duty for a duty sergeant is to supervise the
members under his control and to report upwards to the authorities, if there's anything wrong or needs attention.

5 Q. okay. And how is that done on a practical level on a day-to-day basis?
A. By communication.

6 Q. And how do you arrange that communication?
A. It can be done on the Pulse system or through correspondence or through meetings.
7 Q. And if you were, say, starting a shift in Mitchelstown Garda Station with one unit, does that involve everyone being in one room to begin with, talk through the roster for the day and what's going to be happening?
A. In Mitchelstown, yes.

8 Q. Yes. So you would gather in your unit at the start of the shift?
A. That's correct.

9 Q. And you would give them all the various directions and, I take it, review what might have arisen from the previous shift?
A. Yes, but that would take place half an hour after the commencement of the tour of duty.
10 Q. And in order to be an effective unit sergeant, you'd have to do that, wouldn't that be correct?
A. That's correct.

11 Q. Now, I am also correct in saying then that those who were managing unit sergeants up the line also need that level of interaction with those sergeants, isn't that correct?
A. In normal times, yes.

12 Q. And that it is not possible to effectively manage units as a superintendent if you can't interact and have meetings with the unit sergeants, isn't that correct?
A. Under normal circumstances that would be correct.
A. By the system implementing temporary workplace accommodations, as directed or instructed.
16 Q. What are temporary workplace arrangements?
A. The temporary workplace arrangements offered at the time was for Inspector o'sullivan to take charge of my dealings.

17 Q. So can you tell me now Inspector O'Sullivan can know in respect of a unit in mitchelstown what the superintendent in Fermoy requires? How does that work 11:05 in terms of information being fed up and down the 1adder?
A. The information could be fed the same as if the superintendent was off, the inspector would be in
charge of the district.
18 Q. When the superintendent is off, the inspector is in charge, that's correct, but when the superintendent is on, how does that work, because you are saying temporary workplace arrangements and I am asking you, 11:05 are you honestly saying to this Tribunal that that is an effective way of managing An Garda Síochána?
A. That was the exact management procedure that Superintendent Comyns stated that he implemented on 4th Apri1 2014.
I am asking you, is it a satisfactory way to manage Garda Síochána?
A. Not when there is not normal circumstances, as $I$ have stated, these were not normal circumstances. You had issues with one member on your unit, isn't that 11:06 correct? I don't want to go into the details of it, but there was one member on your unit whereby there was a difficulty working with a female member on your unit and as a result people were reassigned, isn't that correct.
A. That's correct. So in circumstances following your complaint against Superintendent Comyns it would be suggested that you would be reassigned to a different station, that was a
reasonable way of managing the situation, isn't that correct?
A. I disagree.

23 Q.
Is it your view that temporary workplace arrangements should be maintained forever?
A. No, that is why they are called temporary. So how would the situation be solved? what was the long-term solution?
A. I didn't know what the long-term solution was at the time. I was looking for temporary workplace accommodations so that I could return to work. I had written on numerous occasions to HRM, and in one correspondence I said, for the fourth time I am asking, what temporary accommodations will HRM provide for me so that I can return to work.

But you did return to work without any temporary workplace accommodations?
A. Yes, and that is what caused me great stress, because I was going into the unknown, $I$ had no protection, no temporary accommodations and that is why I did not want 11:08 to return to work and why my doctor didn't want me to return.
Q. Really?
A. Yes.

27 Q. Can you show me in any record that your doctor told you 11:08 on the day that you returned to work, that you shouldn't return to work?
A. The fact I went to my doctor looking for a cert to return and she didn't issue me one.
A. It worked out as outlined previously. Inspector o'sullivan called to me on the 6th April, I believe, and he requested that $I$ supply a certificate. I told him my doctor wouldn't issue me a certificate when I called to her on the 28th and he asked me why and I told him why, that she was concerned, that she didn't want me coming in contact with what was the cause of my stress. He said get her put whatever conditions, but you'11 have to submit a cert.
Q. And yet you did return, isn't that correct?
A. Yes. Because I was out of work for eight months, it was due to financial reasons $I$ returned.
Q. Without a cert?
A. Without a cert. Without any protection in place for me, temporary accommodations or otherwise.
Q. And how did that work out?

```
And you then contacted your doctor, isn't that correct?
```

A. That's correct.

And you had a discussion with her, as to what conditions should be on the certificate?
A. Negative.
Q. Negative? You didn't have a discussion with her as to what conditions should be on the certificate?
A. I had a discussion with the doctor, I told her I needed a cert to return to work, and she said, I'm not letting 11:09 you go back unless there are conditions on the cert.
Q. Right. And you didn't discuss those conditions?
A. She knew I was stationed in Mitchelstown, she knew Superintendent Comyns was stationed in Fermoy. She was
aware of the circumstances that were involved.

35 Q. Can we go please, Mr. Murphy, to page 4773 of the book. If you scroll down to the date entry of 4/4/2013. And there you see the note from Dr. Kiely:
"Patient phoned. Had pl anned to return to work for financial reasons. Wile told by inspector today he cannot return without aletter fromme stating he is fit for work. Mr. Barry told inspector that $I$ had said I could not provi de same as in my opi ni on he was not fit to return to the same position. Inspector said to put conditions on the letter. Di scussed with patient and happy with wording."

So do you accept that the wording that she put on the letter was following a discussion with you?
A. The inspector said to put the conditions on the letter, discussed with patient and happy with wording. Ing it's a separate sentence. There is a full stop after letter, "Di scussed with patient and happy with wor di ng"?
A. Dr. Kiely said she would not allow me back to work if I was to have contact with the superintendent or to work in his station. She discussed that when she proposed those conditions and I was happy with that to protect my mental health.

37 Q. A11 right. You see, on the entry on 23/8/2013, she doesn't say you should return to work, she says you don't want to return to work but have to for financial
reasons?
A. I called to the doctor on the 28th looking for a cert, to inform her that I wished to return to work. She doesn't say anywhere in that entry that she advised you you shouldn't return to work?
A. The doctor has stated that she did not think I was fit to return to work.

39 Q. Why was it put on the certificate that you weren't to attend Fermoy?
A. That would be a question best put to Dr. Kiely.

40 Q. Why would Dr. Kiely have even thought you ever attended Fermoy?
A. Pardon?

41 Q. Why would Dr. Kiely have thought you even attended Fermoy?
A. Dr. Kiely knew that Superintendent Comyns was in Fermoy, that was my district headquarters.

42 Q. But why would she think -- I mean, are you telling the Tribunal that Dr. Kiely knows that Mitchelstown gardaí are required to attend Fermoy Garda Station?
A. I would have -- as I said, I brought with me at the time a letter from Assistant Commissioner Fanning and I had explained to the doctor that I was trying to get temporary workplace accommodations put in place so that I wouldn't have to attend at Fermoy to have contact with Superintendent Comyns.

43 Q. But that's you told her that you weren't to attend at Fermoy?
A. No. I told her that I was looking for accommodations
put in place so that I wouldn't have to.
44 Q. You said, so I wouldn't have to attend at Fermoy. So that's how it ended up in her letter, because you said that to her?
A. No, I told her what I was seeking from HRM.
A. The doctor made up her own mind from consultations with me and she was getting reports from my consultant.
Q. And could you not have been in Fermoy?
A. Not at that time.

47 Q. Why not?
A. Because I was under immense stress. I had reported someone for what I believed was the cover up of the sexual abuse of a child and I did not want to work with that person as I considered that person not to be fit to hold office.

48 Q. Right. And was that your principal concern?
A. And my mental health. As I said, I was on a lot of medication, it was affecting me, I was not sleeping, I was in no fit condition to return.

49 Q. In 2004, you described in your evidence to the Tribunal that there was an attempt to transfer you to Fermoy?
A. That's correct.

Can you explain to me why you call it an attempt to transfer you to Fermoy?
A. Because the superintendent at the time, I believe it was Flor Horan, told me that the chief wanted me in Fermoy station. That's why I believed it was an attempt to transfer me. I had to submit a list of my
relations to HRM so that that transfer wouldn't take effect.
51 Q. Why did you object to the idea in 2004 being transferred to Fermoy?
A. Because Inspector O'Sullivan met with me in watergrasshill when this transfer was proposed and he begged me not to go to Fermoy because I was doing all Pulse computer work in Mitchelstown at the time. And he said to me he didn't want me to go and because of that I appealed it.

We11 you submitted --
A. I submitted the list of my relations.

53 Q. Yes.
A. But it was the inspector who did not want me to leave Mitchelstown, Inspector Tony O'Sullivan. We had a private meeting in the Fir Tree in Watergrasshill,, and he told me not to go, I said I would do my best.
54 Q. And would you have been happy to go to Fermoy?
A. No.
Q. No? Why not?
A. Because I had relations living in Fermoy subdistrict, I did not want to be working near my relations or my place of work. I was happy to go beyond Fermoy. I had no problem driving 20 miles extra every day.
56 Q. I think the relations that you identify in Fermoy are in fact the Glanmire area, Watergrasshill, Glanmire, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct. And I had a sister living in Tallow, who would be carrying out a lot of contact in -- which
isn't far from Fermoy, it wouldn't have been her local shopping town.

57 Q. Tallow?
A. Tallow, County waterford.

58 Q. Yes. It's not in the district in fact?
A. A different division.

CHA RMAN How far is Tallow from Fermoy? what do you say, Mr. Barry. How far Tallow is in --
A. County Waterford.

CHA RMAN Is it west Waterford?
MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHA RMAN I should be able to remember from my circuit days where Tallow is, but I wouldn't have associated Tallow with Fermoy. How far are they?
A. It would be approximately 12 miles from Youghal, which 11:17 is east Cork, or west Cork, and Tallow would be more west Waterford then.
MR. HARTY: Slightly north, up towards Lismore.
CHA RMAN How far is Tallow from Fermoy?
THE WTNESS: I don't know exactly, approximately 12
miles.
MR. HARTY: I would have thought further than that but I could be wrong.
59 Q. CHA RMAN So it's that close? Tallow and Fermoy are that close?
A. I stand corrected, that's off the best of my head. It's not much further.

MR. COSTELLOE: 20 kilometres.
MR. HARTY: 20 kilometres.

CHA RMAN Somebody has said --
MR. HARTY: Mr. Costelloe has said 20 kilometres.
MR. COSTELLOE: The age of Google Maps, Chairman, apparently it's 20 kilometres.
CHA RMAN Apparently Google says --
THE WTNESS: About 14 miles.
CHA RMAN Even I can work out what 20 kilometres is, thank you very much.
60 Q.
MR. HARTY: But it wasn't because of any actual
objection on your part on the move to Fermoy, it was because Inspector o'sullivan wanted you to move to Fermoy?
A. Oh I was happy working in Mitchelstown with Sergeant o'sullivan, as he was at the time.
61 Q. Oh he was a sergeant at the time, I see?
A. Yes.

62 Q. Then you say that your superintendent at the time took an issue with that, the fact that you identified relations living in the area?
A. Back in 2004?

63 Q. Yes.
A. Yes, that would be correct.

64 Q. And how long did that superintendent remain your superintendent?
A. I am not sure, I can't say exactly. It was definitely 11:19 years.

65 Q. Years?
A. A few years, yes.

66 Q. So how were things after that?
A. Fine. No problems.

67 Q. No problems. And yet your evidence to the Tribunal is that because my client was friendly or, in fact, somebody told you that because my client was friendly with that superintendent, that he took a dislike to you 11:20 from the start?
A. No, sorry, you're mistaken there. You're talking about the superintendent at the time of the transfer, the person you're referring to was the chief.
68 Q. Oh sorry. Oh so you say the chief took a disiike to you?
A. Exactly.

69 Q. How did that represent itself from 2004 to 2010?
A. I'd no more problems with the chief that I can recall.

70 Q. But he was harbouring a grudge against you, apparently?
A. I believe that was the reason for the transfer attempt.

71 Q. The reason for the transfer attempt in 2004?
A. Yes.

72 Q. Because he a grudge against you?
A. Yes.

73 Q. Can you assist me: When you're managing a division or a district and you're trying to work out where the resources are best placed, you accept that that's normal, ordinary management?
A. Yes.

74 Q. But your view is not the question of objecting to your objection but, in fact, the attempt to move you to Fermoy in 2004 was because the then chief had it in for you, is that correct?
A. That was my perception at the time. I couldn't see why he would go against the code to try and transfer me to Fermoy or otherwise.

75 Q. How would he know he was going against the code?
A. Because I told the superintendent that $I$ had relations living -- when he proposed it to me initially, $I$ told him I had relations living within the sub-district.
Q. And you identified those and you weren't transferred?
A. That's correct.

77 Q. But he had a grudge against you?
A. I am saying that that is what I perceived.

78 Q. Do you accept that that's scant evidence for that perception?
A. Yes.

79 Q. The fact that he suggested you for a transfer, was itself a reason for believing that he had it in for you?
A. When I had outlined to the superintendent that I had relations and that it would be in breach of the code to do so, then I felt that there was something more to it than just reallocating on a management basis.

80 Q. Moving a man 12 miles down the road or, sorry, is it 20 kilometres down the road from Mitchelstown to Fermoy?
A. It's 10 miles, yes.

81 Q. Closer to his own home?
A. Closer to his relations, yes.

82 Q. Closer to your own home?
A. That would be a side issue of it. I did not want to be closer to my home. It was of no advantage to me.

Actually, I would consider it a disadvantage. why?
A. Because I did not want to be dealing with relations in the course of my duty.
was there a serious risk of that?
A. I wouldn't call it a serious risk, but it could occur.
Q. But in any event, your evidence was that the attempt to move you in 2004 is one which you believed to have been as a result of a grudge or taking a dislike to you?
A. Well, I can't see any other reason for it. As I said, it would have been in breach of the code so there had to be something more to it than just a mere management beginning.
Q. Right. But in any event, he forgot about the grudge then, isn't that correct?
A. Well, he didn't show to me that he had a continuous grudge. He didn't continually target me, so...
87 Q. Did anything happen between 2004 and 2010 which would indicate that he was targeting you?
A. I never said he was targeting me.

88 Q. We11, you said the suggestion that you be moved to Fermoy was evidence of him targeting you?
A. No, I never said that man targeted me. I said he may have had a grudge against me.
89 Q. We11, you said the evidence of the grudge against you was that he tried to move you to Fermoy?
A. That was what I perceived, yes.
Q. And I am asking you, was there any other evidence between 2004 to 2010 of him targeting you because of
his grudge?
A. And I have said no.

97 Q. Where was he the chief?
A. I don't know.

98 Q. But he was the chief in Fermoy from 2004?
A. In 2004, he was.

99 Q. For how many years after that?
A. As I said, I don't know.

100 Q. A number of years?

A. It could be. It might be less.
Q. Well, surely you knew, if there was a man who was out to get you --
A. No, I didn't say he was out to get me.

102 Q. He wanted to transfer you to Fermoy out of spite, apparent7y?
A. Who said that?
Q. You said that.
A. I didn't say spite.

104 Q. Okay. Can you explain to me why exactly you're saying, because you came to the conclusion that the suggestion that you transfer to Fermoy was out of badness, isn't that correct? would that be a fairway to put it?
A. Well, it wasn't normal, it wasn't in compliance with the code at the time. So that's why I believed there could have been something else behind it.
105 Q. We11, what was the something else behind it?
A. Well, I can't say what that was. I perceived that it was the contact between...
106 Q. You obviously spent a lot of time thinking about it, what conclusion did you come to?
A. The conclusion I came to was that he wanted me in Fermoy for some nefarious reason that I was not aware of at the time.
A. Well, I didn't know the reason. I wasn't told why I was wanted in Fermoy.
108 Q. And you scuppered that p1an?
A. I didn't scupper it, I updated the list of relations I had living in the sub-district. And they hadn't been an issue prior to that. And are you satisfied then that he forgot his nefarious plan?
A. I don't know what the person did, I can't say he forgot it. He may have. He may have not.

110 Q. But certainly he was still your chief for a number of years after that?
A. I don't believe that, it may have been a number -- I don't know how long he was there.

111 Q. Ah but sure, if somebody is trying to use you for some nefarious plan, you'd certainly be alert to the fact as to whether or not they're still your chief?
A. That was a one-off transfer attempt.

112 Q. But it was a nefarious plan?
A. No, nefarious motive behind it, not a plan.

113 Q. So somebody has got some nefarious thoughts in their mind about you and they're your chief. Do you remember the day you went out to dinner to celebrate with your wife because the chief had changed?
A. How could I?

114 Q. This man was up to something nefarious with you?
A. I've said the transfer attempt may have been nefarious, not that he was targeting me or anything else. It was 11:29 a one-off attempt.

115 Q. And you don't remember how many more years you worked under him?
A. No, because he did not cause me any more bother. He
did not do any other transfer attempt. He had one attempt and that was it.
116 Q. And in relation to that, when you say he did not cause me any other bother, there was one transfer attempt and that was that?
A. That's correct.

117 Q. Is that the principal bother, the question of being transferred?
A. In 2004?

118 Q. Yes.
A. That was the principal interaction I had with him.

119 Q. Did you get requests every once in a while wondering why reports weren't done up?
A. From?

120 Q. In the period from 2004 to 2010?
A. I don't recal1.

121 Q. It would be normal, would it not, for the district office to be checking in in relation to various things as to whether or not they were done?
A. That would be normal, yes.

122 Q. And some of the things wouldn't be done, for whatever reason?
A. By me?

123 Q. By anybody.
A. Possibly, yes.

124 Q. I appear to be causing a glitch in the sound machine there. We will see if this works. I hadn't intend to break the entire system. The situation, Mr. Barry, is that all of those other requests, queries, directives,
were all entirely normal, isn't that correct?
A. Which directives?
A. There may have been. I don't recall.

129 Q. The one memorable incident that you have with the then chief was that he had tried to transfer you to Fermoy?
A. That's -- well, there was another incident I remember when we successfully got a conviction for a rape, that 11:33 he sent the investigation team out for a meal.
Q. Okay.
A. That I recall.
okay. But negative incident, I was saying?
A. Sorry, no, I don't recall any. I'm not saying that one 11:33 didn't occur, $I$ 'm saying one didn't recall.

132 Q. No, no, and I think that is fair because on a day-to-day basis there are up and down the line issues that arise.
A. Of course.

133 Q. They're not necessarily pleasant, but they're in the ordinary course?
A. It's norma1, yes.

134 Q. But then in 2010 my client arrived and did things go badly from the start?
A. From the initial bullying complaint, yes.

135 Q. No, no, I'm talking about 2010?
A. No.

136 Q. When my client arrived, did things go bad?
A. No, if they had I would have reported it in my bullying complaint, but I don't recall any adverse interaction in between that and then.

137 Q. If we go to page 5161, which is your original bullying complaint. You set out you're a member of An Garda Síochána in the first full paragraph. And at the bottom of the paragraph: "His behavi our generally is not pleasant towards me, but for the sake of the jobl would be willing to overlook it, were it not for the fact that it's now reached this point."

CHA RMAN Just wait until Mr. Murphy gets it up on the screen, so we can see what you are --

MR. HARTY: 5161, apologies, Mr. Murphy.
CHAN RMAN Now, where do you want to go?
MR. HARTY: The first paragraph that you can read there. The last sentence of that first paragraph:

[^0]for but for the sake of the jobl would be willing to overlook it were it not for the fact that it has now reached this point."

So his behaviour generally is not pleasant towards me, $11: 35$ was that the case from the start?
A. Yes, I would have considered him unpleasant towards me.

138 Q. Right. And directed personally towards you, is that right?
A. Yes.

139 Q. Can you explain that to me?
A. That's just the attitude and the perception I got from the way, the manner in which he dealt with me, that I perceived from the outset that he didn't like me for some reason.

140 Q. And when did you uncover the reason for that?
A. Pardon?

141 Q. When did you uncover the reason for that?
A. When I was told that he was a close friend of the previous chief.
142 Q. who told you that?
A. I don't recall.

143 Q. When did they tell you that?
A. I don't recall.

144 Q. We11, did you start enquiring as to why this man is 11:36 unpleasant towards me, did you start enquiring about that in 2010 or in 2011?
A. No.

145 Q. No. Because the first incident that you relate in that
complaint is from 10th December 2010, a refusal to pay expenses in Cork City Circuit Court?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you say that that was personal towards you?
A. I believe so, because I was instructed by the inspector $11: 37$ to attend that court. The case had nothing to do with me, I had no reason to be at that court other than I was instructed to go there. It wasn't a case I was involved in. I believe at the time it was a case that a garda in mitchelstown was in charge of, but $I$ was not 11:37 on his unit and I was not supervising the case. It didn't have anything -- I didn't have any reason to be at the case, other than that $I$ was instructed to go there, at short notice.
147 Q. 2010 was the time, isn't that correct, when every 11:38 single cent was being counted out of the public coffers?
A. Exactly.
Q. The country was at the very bottom at that stage, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And your evidence to this Tribunal is that when a superintendent is querying public expenditure in respect of his district, that that was personal to you?
A. I would, yes, because the person who had instructed me ${ }^{11: 38}$ to attend was an inspector, who would also be aware of the constraints, budgetary and otherwise, and he directed me to attend at that case and I believed he had authority to do so.

150 Q. And are you saying that you were the only person who was targeted like this?
A. I never said that.
A. I may be the only one that reported it, but I am not saying that anyone else was.
Q. In any event, you set out your first eight complaints, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. They relate effectively to financial considerations, 11:39 isn't that correct?
A. I'd have to go through the eight again now. On the whole, probably, yes. Annual leave wouldn't be a financial matter.
154 Q. We11, it is a resources issue, shall we say? 11:39
A. Sorry, but it wouldn't be financial for me.

155 Q. Okay. And in relation to the annual leave question, are you saying that you were the only person who was refused leave days?
A. No, I didn't say that. I made a complaint about the 11:40 reason why I was refused the leave on the date. I am fully aware that other people have been refused.

156 Q. Right. So by 10th December 2010, you're certain you're being targeted?
A. No, I didn't say that. I am saying this is the first $\quad 11: 40$ incident. To be targeted I would believe you would have to be constantly -- or there would have to be more than one incident, as in bullying.
157 Q. Okay. We11, then the second incident was 23 rd and 24 th

March, when you were refused leave for those dates?
A. That's correct.
A. That was the stage where I knew that there had been a number of incidents, unfavourable incidents that I had had with the superintendent, but I didn't say I was targeted or report any of them otherwise, they were just matters that happened in the course of my duty. I didn't decide to make a bullying complaint or -- I dealt with them as best I could at the time by correspondence and communication.
161 Q. And can you explain how in your belief, why it is that no issue arose between 23rd March 2011, and a claim in respect of 19th January 2012?
A. Sorry, could you repeat that?
well, this is a man who is apparently, you're asking this Tribunal to believe, was out to get you and yet nothing has happened at all between 23rd March 2011 and 19th January 2012?
A. Nothing that I've reported.

163 Q. Well, what was it, was there anything that you'd like
to report?
A. There may have been incidents but I didn't have paperwork to back up any complaint I was making, I wasn't going to submit it.

164 Q. And in relation to the paperwork, surely your diary would relate these incidents?
A. I didn't record these matters in my diary at the time.
Q. The diary that you have been referring to here?
A. That was after I went sick, when I was on medication, I needed an aide memoire.
Q. I see. So there's no -- you didn't keep that sort of diary before 2013?
A. Not to the best of my recollection, no, I would not have included matters like that in my diary, that I would have had in my correspondence.
167 Q. But in relation to the period from 2011 to 2012, there were also moves afoot, isn't that correct, to reallocate resources within the district?
A. I believe so, yes.

168 Q. And what did you understand were to be part of those moves?
A. I don't recall.

169 Q. We11, anything that might have been played on your mind?
A. Not that $I$ can recall at this stage.

170 Q. Nothing that might have concerned you?
A. Something may have concerned me, but I don't recall it.

171 Q. You don't recall, for example, movement, suggestion that people would be moved back to divisional
headquarters rather than in mitchelstown?
A. I don't recall specifically, no.
Q. When you went to your GP in relation to your work-related stress, can you tel1 the Tribunal now what your first and principal concern was as you laid out the situation to her?
A. To give me something to help me sleep.

173 Q. As you narrated what was causing your problems?
A. I had to told the doctor what had happened in relation to the sexual abuse case.

174 Q. And you say that that was the first thing you mentioned to her?
A. That was the trigger.
Q. Can you go to page 4771, please, Mr. Murphy. And if you see the entry for 10th August 2012, and can you read, or I'11 read, I won't do that trick." Has new superintendent at station in Mtchel stown", in fact that's not entirely accurate, the superintendent had been there for well over a year at that stage, almost two years, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. "He wants one of the sergeants to move to Fermoy and Paul feels he often picks on little things to try to encourage the nove." That's the first thing you reported to Dr. Kiely.
A. Yes, if that's in the doctor's notes, I don't dispute it.

177 Q. Can you tell me why that's the first thing that you reported to Dr. Kiely?
A. Because I wanted her to know who the superintendent was and the previous dealings I've had with him. previous chief?
A. That he had the same motive as his friend who had been previous chief in the division.

183 Q. Same motive?
A. Motive was to move me.

184 Q. Ah! So he was bullying you to get you closer to him?
A. That would be my belief, yeah.

185 Q. They're just referred to as little things here, they're not referred to as bullying?
A. Because I had dealt with them as lest best I could through correspondence, as I previously said. I didn't make a bullying complaint. I never made a complaint. I dealt with them through the normal course of my duty.
186 Q. But do you think he had a motive in all of that?
A. Yes.

187 Q. And that motive was to make you move to Fermoy?
A. That's what I relayed to my doctor, yes.

188 Q. Is that what you believed?
A. Yes, I believed.

189 Q. Do you have any shred of paper or evidence to connect the queries being sent to you from the district office with a motive to move you to Fermoy?
A. Well, if my claims, et cetera, are being continuously questioned, then it could be motive to have or an excuse to have me moved for closer supervision. That was my belief of what was behind all the incidents that I had to deal with.
Q. Was it Fermoy or closer supervision that you objected to?
A. Fermoy and the closer supervision, because I did not require, in my belief, closer supervision. And I did not want to be in Fermoy, as I have stated, because of my relations, et cetera. And the other sergeant who -there was two sergeants, I was the sergeant there from 11:51 2000, the other sergeant came after I was in Mitchelstown. So he wasn't even considered for a move to Fermoy.
191 Q. The other sergeant wasn't being considered?

A. That's my belief, yes.

192 Q. And is that evidence of bullying?
A. I didn't make a complaint in relation to this.
Q. No official attempt? So where did you get this from?
A. It was my perception of why he had a nasty attitude towards me, that is why I believed that going back to the previous chief's attempt in 2004, and from what I had been told about the connection between the two persons.
197 Q. So, from December of 2010, my client had in place a plan to somehow force you to Fermoy, is that correct?
A. I cannot say what he -- I cannot say he had a plan to move me to Fermoy.
Q. You are very good at forming beliefs as to what people are doing. Can you tell me, is it your belief that from 2010, December we can date it at, because that's the first of the things which was deliberately picked on, to try to encourage the move to Fermoy?
A. Possibly to establish a reason to move me, yes.

199
Q. So your evidence is that my client set in place a plan from at the latest December 2010 to pick on little things as a way to get you to move to Fermoy?
A. That would have been my belief, yes.

200 Q. And that was done because you had previously successfully prevented an attempt to get you to move to Fermoy?
A. Yes.
Q. So the plan in effect to move you to Fermoy was hatched in 2004, 1eft dormant from 2004 unti 1 2010, and then resurrected in 2010, leading to, shall we say, the high point, a Reg 10 notice in August 2012, that's your evidence to the Tribunal?
A. That the Reg 10 notice was served on me because he couldn't transfer me?

202 Q. We11, it was part of the things to try to transfer you to Fermoy?
A. No, sorry, the Reg 10 notice I said was served on me because I wouldn't cover up the sexual abuse of a child.

203 Q. But see all of this was -- the principal concerned, the first thing you tell your GP is that the sergeant wants one of the sergeants to move to Fermoy and Paul feels he often picks on little things to encourage the move. Now, the Reg 10 notice, if we come to that, was set out 11:56 in circumstances where the superintendent arrives and none of the unit were there when he arrived, at the time that the unit were supposed to start, and you arrived 20 minutes late, isn't that correct?
A. I believe it was 15 minutes $I$ was late at the time.
Q. Fifteen or 20 minutes?
A. Yeah.

205 Q. How does An Garda Síochána work if entire units aren't there -
A. Well --
Q. - when their shift starts?
A. Well, if I was coming in and I had found the same situation as the superintendent, I would have asked each member to account for the reason that they were 1ate. I gave my reason, as did Garda wall. But I don't believe any of the other persons were asked why they were late.
207 Q. And?
A. Or disciplined for same.
Q. You were the latest, weren't you?
A. And I was singled out.
Q. You were the latest, weren't you?
A. I was, because of car problem. It was a legitimate reason why $I$ was 1ate.

210 Q. We11, it wasn't a car problem, what you said at the time was that the car was being dropped in for a service --
A. No, no, sorry, you're wrong. It wasn't a service. It wasn't a routine service. Garda wall had trouble with 11:57 his car, he could not drive to Mitchelstown, so he took it into the garage on the morning that we were to travel to work. It wasn't a routine service or a planned service or scheduled.
A. No, I was in Fermoy. At the Mitchelstown side of Fermoy.
Q. So you had your car?
A. I had my car, parked up and I was waiting for Garda Wall to pick me up. We used to take turns in commuting to Mitchelstown.

217 Q. Did you continue to do that for the time you were there?
A. Until I retired, I shared a lift with Garda Clifford, who was on my unit, that was normal practice.

218 Q. So you'd drive to Fermoy, you'd park up in Fermoy, where, at the garda station?
A. No, petrol station.

219 Q. Petrol station. And then you'd share the drive from Fermoy to Mitchelstown. And you live? I don't need to know the exact address, but where do you live?
A. Ten miles at the other side of Fermoy.

At the --
A. Opposite side, towards Glanmire.

221 Q. Towards Glanmire. I will give you a little bit of background knowledge, I grew up in Glanmire, so you can be as specific as you like. So you would get onto the motorway on the Glanmire slipway, is that where you'd enter onto the motor way?
A. I'd normally use the back road.

222 Q. Use the back road. You'd drive to Fermoy and then the garage in Fermoy, that's I take it, on the other side of the motorway as well, is it?
A. No, it's on the Fermoy side of the motorway.
Q. Fermoy side of the motorway. So in Fermoy town as such itself?
A. Yeah, on the outskirts of Fermoy town.

224 Q. And then you'd go from there to Mitchelstown, yet again I take it not using the motorway?
A. Yeah, when you leave Fermoy, you come onto the motorway then. From Fermoy to Mitchelstown would be motorway.
225 Q. Yes, and that's a 14-minute drive, a 15 minute drive?
A. Ten minute.

226 Q. I am pretending I am more law abiding than I perhaps am ?
A. It's 10 minutes.

227 Q. And --
CHA RMAN why wouldn't you drive straight to
Mitchelstown?
A. Because we share a lift there.

228 Q. CHA RMAN I know but why bother sharing a lift? why park the car, wait around? why don't you just drive straight from home to Mitchelstown? I mean was there any advantage?
A. There was mileage advantage, fuel saving and you could save the planet.
229 Q. CHA RMAN Can you just help me on something, Mr. Barry, that I am not sure about. The Garda wall car problem, what was the problem he had with his car?
A. He said an engine management light came on.

230 Q. CHA RMAN All right.
A. That's what he relayed to me.

231 Q. CHA RMAN An engine management light came on and he wanted to get that checked out?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN Early in the morning.
A. For a 12 o'clock shift.
Q. CHAL RMAN which?
A. We were to be on duty at 12 pm .

237 Q. CHA RMAN You were going to be on duty at 12 noon?
A. Yes.

238 Q. CHA RMAR okay. So he phones you and says, I have a problem. I'm sorry, Mr. Harty, I just want to get this. He said, I have a problem with the management light and I want to get it into the garage to get it checked out; is that right?
A. He had left it in at that stage.

239 Q. CHA RMAN He had left it in and he had gone home, is that right?
A. No, no, he hadn't gone home.
Q. CHAN RMAN where was he at this point?
A. He was, I believe, in the Mitchelstown patrol car, which had been in Fermoy.
Q. CHA RMAN So he had left his car into the -- where was the garage he left it into? was it in Fermoy?
A. In Fermoy, yes.

242 Q. CHA RMAN In Fermoy. So he had left his car into the 12:02 garage in Fermoy to get the light checked out. And then did he get the patrol car to collect him and bring him to Fermoy station?
A. No, it'd be my understanding that he -- - the patrol car was already in Fermoy to pick up another Garda

243 Q. CHA RMAN How did Garda wall -- Garda wall's car is now in the garage, okay?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN I am in the Mitchelstown patrol car, is that right?
A. That's -- from what I recollect, he -going to be driving you from Fermoy to Mitchelstown?
A. Correct.
Q. CHAL RMAN Is that the first thing he told you?
A. He had a problem.
Q. CHA RMAN Because my car is going in to have the light checked out?
A. That's correct.

251 Q. CHA RMAN Let's keep it very simple.
A. Yes.

252 Q. CHA RMAN okay. So that left you with the option,
that lift was not there, of driving straight to Mitchelstown?
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN why didn't do you that?
A. Because he said he was on route to Mitchelstown, he was 12:04 coming out of Fermoy in the patrol car.
Q. CHA RMAN He was actually between Fermoy and Mitchelstown in the patrol car?
A. No, sorry, he was between Fermoy and the service station. He had left the --

CHA RMAN Okay. Okay, very good. He's between the service station and Fermoy town?
A. Yes. And he said, I'll pick you up on the way.
Q. CHA RMAN okay. So why was there a problem with the delay then?
A. I --
Q. CHA RMAN Why was there a problem?
A. Because the time, I believe I rang --
Q. CHA RMAN Sorry, you get to -- I hope you can understand I didn't am having difficulty with this. You get to Fermoy?
A. Yes.

259 Q. CHA RMAN To the appointed location, the filling station where you would normally park your car?
A. Correct.

260 Q. CHA RMAN Only there's no Garda wall?
A. Yes.

261 Q. CHA RMAN And there's no patrol car?
A. Well, I wouldn't be expecting a patrol car.

262

263 Q.
CHA RMAN Ah okay. You got to the point. I'm sorry to be tedious about this. I'm not understanding this, you got to the place, you parked your car and you're saying, no Garda wall and no patrol car, so you phone him?
A. Yes
Q.

CHA RMAN He didn't tell you, I'm going to leave it in?
A. No. And I'm waiting for him and because it's running --

267 Q. CHA RMAN You're waiting for him, and you ring him, where are you, he says, I am on my way?
A. Yes.

268 Q. CHA RMAN Is that correct?
A. That is correct.

269 Q. CHA RMAN And how long after that did it take him to
arrive in the patrol car?
A. He didn't arrive until 12 midday, I believe. He was ten minutes --
Q.

CHA RMAN How long after your phone cal1, approximately how long were you delayed there, waiting for the patrol car to arrive?
A. Approximately ten minutes.

271 Q. CHA RMAN And it would have taken ten minutes to drive from Fermoy to Mitchelstown?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN So you could have told him, don't bother, I'11 drive myself?
A. I could have, but he said he was on his way.
Q. CHA RMAN I'm on the way, which should have meant that he'd be there in a minute or two?
A. That's what I understood.
Q. CHA RMAN So what happened to him?
A. Well, Chairman, I don't know why he was held up or whatever, whether they were waiting for the member who was on duty in Fermoy to come out to get in the car or whatever.
Q.

CHAL RMAN So they had two things to do, okay. So anyway, there it is. You're waiting and he comes up and he comes along. And that's why you're late?
A. Yes, that's why I was delayed, because of his putting the car in the garage.

277 Q
A. Under the circumstances, Mr. Chairman, there was nothing I could do about it.
CHA RMAN Well there was a lot of things you could have done about it. You could have driven yourself.
A. I could have, but he said he was on his way so I -CHA RMAN I understand. Sorry, simple question: Do you think you were in the right or do you think you were in the wrong?
A. Under the circumstances I thought I was in the right.
A. I know I was late.

CHA RMAN That is fact?
A. But I believe I had a genuine excuse for being late.

CHA RMAN You were late but you thought had you a good 12:07 excuse?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAK I'm sorry, Mr. Harty, I should have made it clear at an earlier point that $I$ was confused about the trip and what happened and I should have admitted it earlier. Thank you very much.
MR. HARTY: Certainly, it's cleared up.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much Mr. Barry for clearing that up.
THE WTNESS: Thank you.
MR. HARTY: So, Mr. Barry, you're the unit sergeant,
isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

284 Q. And one of your duties is ensuring that the members of
the unit are where they're supposed to be when they're supposed to be?
A. That's correct.

Right. As a result -- and there was another member, was that member who was in the car coming from Fermoy, was that a member who was part of your unit?
A. No, it would have been the earlier unit.
Q. The earlier unit. And just so you can help me, Garda wall knew that you were driving --
A. No, sorry, it was Garda Wall was due to drive to Mitchelstown.
Q. Garda wall knew that you would drive from your home to Fermoy, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

290 Q. Garda Wall, on the morning, notices a problem with his car, goes to have it serviced, or checked out, but he doesn't contact you?
A. No, he didn't.
Q. Why did you not tell him, I would have collected you from the service garage?
A. Because he hadn't informed me.
Q. And it appears that he contacts a member of the other unit, is that correct?
A. I don't know who he contacted but he relayed the information to me that he was getting a lift from the Mitchelstown patrol car that was in Fermoy to pick someone up. How he knew that, I don't know.
Q. Did you not say, why didn't you just call me, I would have collected you from the service garage?
A. I did ask him why he didn't tell me in advance but --

## Q. Why didn't you ask him why he didn't inform you?

A. I probably did at the time.
Q. You were both running -- was it ten to 12 when he contacted you?
A. It was approximately ten to 12 when I rang him to know where was he.
Q. Al1 right. So he had ten minutes to get to work?
A. That's correct.
Q. You were sitting in a car ten minutes from work?
A. My car was parked up and I was waiting on the side of the road for Garda wall to pick me up when I made the phone call.
Q. I am guessing the garage that you pick to park up in is 12:11 one where it's easy to park and I am guessing it's not a long walk from where you park the car to the side of the road?
A. No. ten to 12 , where you know that your arrangements to get there on time have now collapsed, and you have the ability to get there at one minute past 12 ?
A. No, that's not correct, because Garda wall said to me in the phone conversation that he was on the way, I'11 collect you there, we'11 pick you up. He took longer than I anticipated. If I'd known he would be ten minutes later I would have driven to Mitchelstown. But I believed he would have been there within a minute.
Q. It appears that there was a very lax attitude to the need to be in work for 12 o'clock?
A. Not on my behalf, because I can assure you, I was at the service station at approximately 20 to 12 , in ample time to make it to Mitchelstown in time for work, as I always did when we were arranging lifts together.
301 Q. There appears to have been a very lax attitude on the part of Garda Wall?
A. No, I wouldn't agree to that. That was the one and only day that Garda wall had a problem with his car.
302 Q. But no one seemed to be concerned about getting to Mitchelstown Garda Station for 12 o'clock?
A. I was concerned. That's why I rang him. And you didn't say, 1isten, I'm in my car, I'11 see you there?
A. No. Garda wall said, wait there, I'm on my way, I'11 pick you up on the way.
304 Q. Right. Just to help me because it's something that does -- it's just a question that unfortunately I can't
help myself asking, how did you get back to Fermoy that evening?
A. I don't recall. I may have got a lift to the service station to pick up my car.
Q. From the patrol car?
A. Possibly, yes.
Q. You could understand how a superintendent would be very concerned on arriving at a garda station to find that at least three members of the unit are not there 15 minutes later?
A. I don't think it was 15 minutes later for all members. 307 Q. I think his time was that one was 15 minutes late and that you were later again. But in any event, if you're managing a unit you'd expect the unit to be there for what time, if the unit shift starts at 12 ?
A. That's correct, and I believe the sergeant in charge would have been on duty in the station from 9am to 5 pm or 8 pm to 4 pm that day, Sergeant Aidan Dunne.
308 Q. And?
A. That he would have been there to inspect these members coming on duty, as would I, had I not been delayed.
309 Q. But they weren't and they were your unit?
A. That's what I am led to believe. I was not there when they had turned up for duty.
310 Q. Because you weren't there?
A. Not of my own volition.

311 Q. But it was of your own volition, because you didn't just hop in your car at ten to 12 and drive to Mitchelstown?
A. And I would have had Garda wall told me the exact time. He had told me he was on route, I wouldn't have waited ten minutes for him, I would have --

312 Q. CHA RMAN Were you planning to arrive bang on the dot of midday?
A. No, Mr. Chairman. I would arrive at the service station at approximately --

313 Q. CHA RMAN Go on?
A. I would arrive at the service station at approximately 20 to 12.

314 Q. CHA RMAN And when were you expecting Garda wall in the normal course of events, assuming no problem had arisen?
A. Quarter to.

315 Q. CHAI RMAN okay?
A. Twenty to.

316 Q. CHA RMAN That could have given you time to get there?
A. Yes.

317 Q. CHA RMAN You weren't planning to be there bang on the dot?
A. No, no.

318 Q. CHA RMAN You were intending to be there a bit early?
A. Yes.

CHA RMAN okay.
319 Q. MR. HARTY: Garda wal1 accepted his Reg 10, isn't that 12:16 correct?
A. Yes.

320 Q. There was no issue?
A. Pardon? . .

321

I did.
Q. It doesn't appear on your personnel file, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. It's not discipline as such, isn't that right?
A. It's under the discipline regulations.

332 Q. It's contained, so is suspension, which is not
discipline, there's quite a number of things in the discipline regulations which aren't discipline and Reg 10 isn't discipline, isn't that correct, it's an informal warning?
A. Under the discipline regulations, yes.
Q. It doesn't go on your personnel file?
A. That's correct.
Q.

It's filed entirely separately, out of the way. But you received a Reg 10 and did you think that that was related to the attempt to move you to Fermoy?
A. No. I thought it was in relation to the sexual abuse case that was under investigation.
Q. Oh, I see. So between 2004 and 2012, these things were all being done, these picking on little things, were all being done to encourage you to move to Fermoy but this was for a different reason?
A. This was combined with the investigation file that I had submitted in relation to the sexual abuse, they were both served on me together.
Q. Well they weren't served on you?
A. well effectively. I was handed the Reg 10 and the discipline file at the same time and I was told to make 12:19 four copies of the investigation file.
338 Q. There was no discipline file. There was a Reg 10 notice?
A. or the Reg 10.

339 Q. You were handed the investigation file. It would be normal enough for you to make copies of it, wouldn't it?
A. Not without a response to the report I had submitted.

340 Q. And you determined that following the service of that Reg 10 notice, that you were suffering from so much work, stress that you couldn't stay?
A. That I couldn't --
Q. That you couldn't return to work?
A. In August of 1993 I had two guns put to my head during the course of a robbery, and that incident had the exact same effect on me as this had. I couldn't sleep for a week and I had to go on medication because of it.
342 Q. Did you do counselling after that?
A. Yes, I did.

343 Q. Did you do counselling after this?
A. Pardon?

344 Q. Did you do counselling from 2012 onwards?
A. Yes, I did.

345 Q. Why is there no reference to it in your medical
A. Sorry?

346 Q. Why is there no reference to any counselling being attended from 2012?
A. From 2012?

347 Q. In your medical records.
A. I believe Dr. Dennehy submitted a report.

348 Q. Dr. Dennehy is a psychiatrist, he's not a counsellor?
A. And my own doctor.

349 Q. Yes. And in fact your own doctor said that she recommended that you go to a Dr. Walsh shall, who I am assuming is a psychologist?
A. No, sorry that was a different matter. This was Dr. Dennehy I attended in relation to this.
Q. Dr. Walsh is a psychologist, is that correct?
A. He was not my treating psychologist for this matter. There was a previous incident.
Q. Dr. Dennehy is not a psychologist, he's a psychiatrist?
A. Well, it was Dr. Dennehy I was attending and others attached to the health clinic.
Q. And you received counselling?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And you have no report from any counsellor, isn't that correct?
A. I don't know whether I have or not, I don't -- I believe it was Dr. Dennehy submitted a report and Dr. Kiely.
Q. Dr. Dennehy is the man who prescribed you with medication, which means he's a psychiatrist. A counsellor is somebody who uses therapeutic counselling to deal with psychological trauma. You do know the difference?
A. I do.

355 Q. And you received trauma counsel1ing in 2003, isn't that 12:22 correct?
A. That's correct.

356 Q. And that would have been -- and I am terrible at knowing the difference between all of these things, but
there are various different techniques used which invariably involve dealing with the cause of the trauma and trying to neutralise the impact on you?
A. That's correct.

Are you telling this Tribunal that you attended with a counsellor to talk through the causes and impacts of the trauma which caused to you be unable to attend work from 2012?
A. I was referred to, I don't recall their name, by Dr. Dennehy who was attached to Sarsfield Court, you wil1 be aware of it.

358 Q. Yes.
A. I attended with that person and went through what had happened with her.
359 Q. And we have no report from them. Because that strikes me as very unusual whereby following a phone conversation with --

MR. COSTELLOE: Excuse me for interrupting.
CHA RMAN Sorry, yes, Mr. Costelloe.
MR. COSTELLOE: I wonder is this line of enquiry fair
to the witness in circumstances where he has handed over all material that he's been requested to hand over and there's an implicit criticism here by Mr. Harty on behalf of his client that somehow because the Tribunal doesn't have counselling notes, that my client has somehow acted improperly. It is hard to see how there could be any other construction in relation to the questions that have just been put by Mr. Harty to the witness. And I'd submit, Chairman, that that's unfair
and improper.
CHAN RMN What do you say to that, Mr. Harty?
MR. HARTY: I intend to make it entirely fair with my next question.

CHA RMAN okay. with her, were you seeing a counsellor at that time?
A. I don't believe I was. It was too early in the process.
362 Q. Do you recall when up commenced seeing a counsellor?
A. It would be in Dr. Kiely's notes, where I was referred for assessment and I believe Dr. Dennehy made reference to having me sent to the person in Sarsfield Court.

363 Q. Because I've looked through Dr. Kiely's notes and I can see a reference to you not wishing to attend Dr. Walsh, because of financial reasons, to be fair to you, and I can see references to attendances with Dr. Dennehy but I can't see references for attendances with a counsellor. And the reason why I ask you this, and to be fair in light of the question that has been asked by Mr. Costelloe, when did you ask your counsellor as to work in Fermoy Garda Station or with my client?

MR. COSTELLOE: Excuse me, Chairman, before my client answers that question, I am repeating my objection.

CHA RMAN Yes, I understand that.
MR. COSTELLOE: And I am also referring the Tribunal to page 4772. 4772.
CHA RMAN Thanks very much.

MR. COSTELLOE: And I only do so because I believe
Mr. Harty may not have seen that or it may be glossed over.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
MR. COSTELLOE: Sorry, excuse me, Chairman, I just want to make it clear for the record, I am not suggesting for a moment that Mr. Harty has --

CHA RMAN I understand, you're not suggesting any improper motive.
MR. COSTELLOE: Exactly.
CHA RMAN Let's check 4772 to see.
MR. COSTELLOE: If you go to the entry at $12 / 12 / 12$.
CHA RMAN Let's go down to $12 / 12 / 12$, thanks very much, Mr. Murphy.
MR. HARTY: Sorry, thank you.
CHA RMAN Let's just find it for a moment, so $I$ can see it. "...saw a lady last week for stress management..."
MR. HARTY: That's actually very helpful. Thank you, Mr. Costelloe.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Sorry, the other line of questioning is unfair. Can you help me on that.
MR. COSTELLOE: We11, I think to be fair, Mr. Harty is
about to deal with that entry and in those circumstances $I$ have no difficulty with that question. CHA RMAN Thank you very much. That is all resolved. Thank you.
MR. HARTY: So you saw a lady, in fact, some time in early December 2012?
A. That's correct.
Q.

And that would have been to discuss the stressors, the psychological stressors, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That meant that you were suffering from the psychiatric effects?
A. That's probably correct.

367 Q. And that was three months before Dr. Kiely moved outside the medical and into the question of the stressors?
A. In relation to those appointments, I would have with Dr. Dennehy or the lady in Sarsfield Court, I believe they communicated with Dr. Kiely and that's what helped her issue her certificate.

368 Q. We11, Dr. Dennehy is a psychiatrist based in the Mercy Hospital in the centre of Cork City. Just to assist the Tribunal, you will agree with me that Sarsfield Court was a hospital probably less than 5 miles from your own home -
A. Correct.

369 Q. - between G1anmire and Watergrasshill?
A. Correct.

370 Q. How many times did you visit that lady?
A. Two or three I think would be the max. I didn't visit her very often.
Q. Can you tell me how many times did you receive counselling with her from December 2012 to March 2013?
A. I don't reca11. I know I did meet with her, I cannot say how many times. I can't remember but I know it wasn't very often.
372 Q. Do you know what type of therapy she used?
A. It wasn't ongoing. I don't know.
Q. Do you understand what she was attempting to do?
A. Yes.

374 Q. What?
A. She was attempting to talk me through what was causing my stress.
Q. And what was the intension of that therapy?
A. What was the...?
Q. What was the purpose of that therapy?
A. I don't know, it was Dr. Dennehy sent me for it.

377 Q. And the purpose of that therapy? well what did you understand you were there for?
A. To help me.
Q. Exactly. To reduce your stress, wouldn't that be fair?
A. That would be fair.
Q. To reduce your response to the stressors, wouldn't that be fair?
A. Yes.

380 Q. So the medication would deal with the physiological response to the stressors and the counselling would deal with the psychological response to the stressors,
and they go hand in hand, wouldn't that be correct?
A. Correct.

So when did you ask this lady about when you would be in a position to engage with my client or to attend Fermoy Garda Station?
A. I don't believe -- I don't recall the conversation I had with her from so long ago, I don't have a record of it.
Q. I'm talking about any time in the last -- until the last of your retirement?
A. Pardon?
Q. I'm talking about any time until the date of your retirement. when did you ask Dr. Kiely, Dr. Dennehy and probably more importantly, this lady as to when you would be psychologically able to attend Fermoy Garda Station?
A. I never asked them when I would be able to attend. They were treating me for my problem. I believed they would instruct me as to when I would be fit to attend.

384 Q. Surely it came up in conversation?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. When did you seek an updated cert from Dr. Kiely, from Dr. Dennehy or from this lady?
A. I did not seek an updated cert from this lady.
Q. Or from Dr. Kiely?
A. There was an updated cert from Dr. Kiely and Dr. Dennehy supplied.

387 Q. No, when did you seek one?
A. I don't recall the date $I$ sought it. I believe I
sought a couple of reports from Dr. Dennehy over the course of the time and the same with Dr. Kiely, I had to supply them to the CMO, who then organised that I be independently assessed by Dr. Tobin and I was assessed by somebody from the CMH, I think it was a Dr. O'Conne11.

388 Q. Where did you see the end game?
A. Pardon?

389 Q. Where did you get the end game?
A. There is no end game.

390 Q. No, no, where did you see this all ending up, because this was only a temporary workplace accommodation?
A. Sorry, I thought we were talking about my medical condition.

391 Q. We are?
A. That wasn't a temporary workplace accommodation. 392 Q. No, no, but it's all got to do with the temporary workplace accommodation. You've complained that you were targeted by this failure to provide you with what you believed to be appropriate temporary workplace accommodations. So I am asking you, when did you see the temporary workplace accommodations coming to an end?
A. There were no temporary workplace accommodations put in place, but I did refer to the appeal board, prior to my 12:33 retirement, and I told them that as far as I was concerned my certificate became null and void when Superintendent Comyns left the district.
393 Q. Your certificate became null and void when
Superintendent Comyns left the district?
A. That was my belief at the time, yes.
Q. Did you check that with Dr. Kiely?
A. I did not.

Did you check that with Dr. Dennehy?
A. No.
Q. Did you check that with the lady in Sarsfield Court?
A. No.
Q. But you, shall we say, decided that it became null and void. And could all of it really have become null and void? Fermoy Garda Station was still there, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct. That was the place of work of the then superintendent.
398 Q. Yes. But it doesn't say that you're not to be in work with Superintendent Comyns, it says you're not to be in Fermoy?
A. That is for the doctor to account for. That was the doctor's wording, not mine.
Q. Did you ever get back to her and say -- we11, she's happy -- you're happy with the wording, she discussed that with you?
A. Yes, I was happy with the wording -
Q. Yes.
A. - because it gave me comfort to know that I did not have to come in contact with this person. But you could have arranged it, all you had to say on the certificate was that he should not come in contact with Superintendent Comyns. why is Fermoy even
mentioned?
A. Because the doctor was aware that Superintendent Comyns was in Fermoy Garda Station. She asked me was he stationed in Mitchelstown. I said no, he was in Fermoy.

402 Q. And if he had been stationed in mitchelstown, what would she have said?
A. I don't know what she would have said.

403 Q. She would have said, he should not come in contact with Superintendent Comyns?
A. That would have been impossible.

404 Q. But she put Fermoy in following discussion with you, so she believed that Fermoy Garda Station was part of your stressors?
A. No, from my first conversation with the doctor, she believed that the superintendent was based in Mitchelstown and I pointed out to her that he's not in mitchelstown, he's in Fermoy. She misunderstood where he was stationed. And I clarified that with her. I said, he's not stationed in Mitchelstown, he's stationed in Fermoy.

405 Q. So, she didn't have any idea as to how the district worked, only what you told her?
A. No, she asked me where the superintendent was stationed. She believed he was stationed in in Fermoy.

406 Q. But she found it necessary, in her medical report to say that you should not be required to attend Fermoy

Garda Station, isn't that correct?
A. That's what Dr. Kiely put in her report.

407 Q. And you thought that you would second guess that, when it came to the appeal board, because when Superintendent Comyns had moved you had no difficulty being in the Fermoy district?
A. No.
Q. You told me that that certificate became null and void, redundant, but there's two parts to the certificate; there geography and personality. The geography never changed but it is expressly set out in the certificate.
A. I am not responsible for that.
Q. Did you think it would be a good idea to discuss it with Dr. Dennehy, Dr. Kiely or the lady in Sarsfield Court?
A. Garda management were aware that I was attending the PAF meetings in Fermoy following the departure of Superintendent Comyns.
410 Q. You told us that certificate became redundant and I am asking you how you reached that decision?
A. Because I started attending at Fermoy Garda Station when Superintendent Maguire took over and he told me he had no problem with my dealings with him, he had no problem with how I was working.
411 Q. He told you he had no problems with you, so that was fine. And how were you working?
A. I was working on the instructions of Superintendent Maguire at the time.

412 Q. And over those years how were you working, from 2013 to

2015, before Superintendent Maguire commenced?
A. I was working in compliance with my doctor's certificate.
413 Q. And yet you decided not to comply with your doctor's certificate the minute Superintendent Comyns was gone $12: 39$ from Fermoy?
A. The stressor in my life was gone when he departed, yes.

414 Q. And wouldn't it be fair to say that the question of moving you to Fermoy from Mitchelstown also evaporated?
A. That's what I believed, yes.

415 Q. And by the time you came to 2015 and Superintendent Comyns had moved, you had no difficulty because there was no real prospect of anyone moving to you Fermoy, isn't that correct?
A. No, the transfer was ongoing. There was a transfer to Anglesea Street was still active, yes.
Q. which you appealed?
A. That transfer didn't stop me attending at Fermoy.

417 Q. You appealed that transfer to Anglesea Street; isn't that right?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. To stop yourself being transferred out of Mitchelstown?
A. To stop me being put in contact with Superintendent Comyns again.
419 Q. Are you seriously going to tell me that the risk of being in contact with Superintendent Comyns is greater when he is in Mayfield and you are in Anglesea Street than when you were in Mitchelstown and he was in Fermoy?
A. When Superintendent Comyns, as he is now, he is in charge of crime for the Cork City division, so any crime I would have to deal with in Cork City, I would have had to have contact with Superintendent Comyns. That happened in 2019. How many years were you retired 12:40 by 2019, Mr. Barry?
A. Three years.
Q. So, let's deal with what the risk was in 2015. You're telling me that you had a greater risk of being in contact with Superintendent Comyns when he was in Mayfield and you were in Anglesea Street than you did when he was your actual divisional officer -- district officer, I should say, in Fermoy?
A. Sorry, I didn't say that it was a greater risk. I never said that. You have said --
422 Q. Will you please agree with me, that the superintendent in Mayfield has no involvement in the day-to-day operations of Anglesea Street?
A. The superintendent has to attend meetings in Anglesea Street, as I would have had to attend Fermoy under normal circumstances. He has to report to his headquarters as we11 for meetings, briefings, et cetera, and that could put me in contact with him.
423 Q. I see. And on a day-to-day basis, when you were supposed to be under his supervision in Mitchelstown, 12:42 you were able to manage that, weren't you?
A. I was able to work.
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, I was able to perform my duties.
Q. How was that? If the possibility of him showing up in your station from another district is somehow too great to allow a move, how was it you were able to work in the same district as him?
A. Sorry, I don't know what you're -- I don't know what you want me to say.

426 Q. Please don't tell me what you think I want to hear. Just --
A. I don't understand the question, sorry, if you could -427 Q. okay. On a day-to-day basis you were operating under the direction of Superintendent Comyns, right until he 1eft the Fermoy --
A. That's not what Superintendent Comyns said. He said, I was not complying with his directions.
428 Q. Right. You're working under the directions of Superintendent Comyns from 2013 to 2015, now whether there was an intermediary or not, by way of Inspector o'sullivan --
A. There was not.

429 Q. He was not an intermediately?
A. No, he never approached me to say he was an intermediary and he did not deal with all my matters after 2014. They were dealt with by Superintendent Comyns.
430 Q. You were working away fine?
A. Through correspondence, yes.

431 Q. And that is when he was actually your divisional officer?
A. That's correct.
Q. entirely different division from him, because there was a risk you'd come into contact with him?
A. Garda management wanted me transferred out of the same division that Superintendent Comyns was in to preclude 12:44 obligatory interactions. when that failed, they then wanted know go to Anglesea Street, to be in the same division again, which couldn't preclude obligatory interactions.

433 Q. Couldn't preclude obligatory... you've just worked for 12:44 three years under the man's direction with barely any interactions, in the same division?
A. In the same division?

434 Q. Same district, excuse me, sorry. And how much counselling did you attend in those three years?
A. My counselling was ongoing and still is.
Q. Counselling is ongoing and still is. I thought you only saw that counsellor three times?
A. Pardon?.

436 Q. I thought you only saw that counsellor three times?
A. Oh sorry, I thought you meant medical treatment.
Q. Counselling?
A. Yeah, the counselling was brief.

438 Q. So you were quite resilient?
A. Pardon?

439 Q. You were quite resilient. Your medication was being reduced, isn't that correct?
A. No, my medication was increased after I saw Dr. Paul O'Conne11 on behalf of the State. He increased my
medication or recommended it be increased. And I am still on that increased dosage.
Q. But you're not at work now, so it's not really relevant as to what that's -- I am dealing with how it is you had three sessions of counselling over a relatively short period of time and you went to work, and you were able to do it on the basis of three short sessions of counse11ing?
A. I was not in a fit position to return to work, I can assure you. I did not want to go back until all this was sorted.

This is the man that you couldn't be in the same room as without getting physically i11?
A. That's correct. metres from him -- or 12 feet from him?
A. And I don't want to be. It caused me upset to come from over there to here, to have him on my right.
446 Q. Right. But no physical reaction?
A. Well, I am upset.

447 Q. No, I am not asking if you are upset.
A. I'm not getting physically sick.

448 Q. No, that's what I am asking?
A. No.

449 Q. You're not?
A. But I am stil1 upset. It is causing me stress.

450 Q. When did he first have that effect on you?
A. When he disciplined -- well he served me with the Regulation 10 and the file.

451 Q. That was the high point of his campaign to encourage your move to Fermoy?
A. That was the highlight of his campaign to instruct me to pervert the of justice, which I would not do.
452 Q. Tell me something, if he had tried to move you to
A. 2012?

453 Q. Yes.
A. Before or after I made my complaint?

454 Q. Well, before?
A. In either case I would have appealed it, because I have relations living in the district.
455 Q. And if he had tried to move you to another station, what would you have done?
A. I would have appealed it.

456 Q. If he had suggested Mallow, what would you have done?
A. I would have told him, I will go at public expense.

457 Q. In 2012?
A. But I won't apply for it. . .

458
Q. But sure why you would need lodging?
A. Because I moved, I could be moved -- I might decide to move to Mallow area. I have the option. It's like when I transferred from Dublin, I could have stayed in Dublin and wait out my time and look to go back to Dublin but I decided to move.

463 Q. But that was before you had two children in, I presume, at this stage, secondary school and one in university, I think.
A. Three children.

464 Q. Three children, excuse me, apologies. And you were settled?
A. Pardon?

465 Q. You were settled in the area and you were saying if you had been offered the move or directed to move to Mallow --
A. Not before the complaint now.

466 Q. Well see, I was asking about before the complaint?
A. No, I would have appealed any transfer before the
467 Q. You wouldn't have appealed?
A. I would have appealed.
468 Q. Any transfer?
A. I would have appealed, yes. Because there was no reason to move me, justified reason.

469 Q. I see. I think you told the Tribunal in your statement, would you have considered it an offence or an offensive thing to do for somebody to try to transfer you before 2012?
A. If there was no just cause for it, yes, or if it was in contravention of the code.
Q. Can we go, please, Mr. Murphy, to 5161. Sorry, excuse me, I am going to the wrong document. I want to go to page 33.
"Ever si nce Superintendent Comyns came to Fermy di strict in around 2010, we have had differences of opi ni on on various matters. It di dn't affect our working rel ationshi p al though I woul dn't take it I ying down if l felt l was wronged."

When my client was engaging in a plan to move you to Fermoy, was he wronging you?
A. Sorry, what transfer, when was that transfer you are referring to?

471 Q. The plan that you told your GP about --
A. I didn't say -- I never referenced a plan to my GP.

472 Q. If we can go back to Dr. Kiely's notes. Sorry, just
for the record, that is your statement to the Tribunal, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, but I didn't mention a plan in it, that's why I am saying.
473 Q. We will go back to Dr. Kiely's records, 4771. "Has a 12:52 new superintendent in Mtchel stown. He wants one of the sergeants to move to Fermoy and Paul feels he often picks on little thi ngs to try to encourage the move." That's the plan.
A. Move one of the sergeants.

474 Q. You said it was you?
A. But then this said --

475 Q. Oh no, but you told us already this morning, Mr. Barry, that it was you that they intended to move and not the plan who had come later than you?
A. That's correct.

476 Q. So can we stick with what you are saying?
A. Yes.

477 Q. And the answer is, you told Dr. Kiely that my client had a plan to move you?
A. I did not say that he had a plan to move me. I said he picks on me for little things to encourage the move.
478 Q. To encourage the move?
A. Yes.

479 Q. To where?
A. To Fermoy.

480 Q. And that was because he had it in for you because his pal in 2004 had tried to move to you Fermoy?
A. Yes. That was my perception.

481 Q. And that man had tried to move you to Fermoy for a nefarious purpose?
A. Well, it was contrary to the code.

482 Q. For a nefarious purpose. They were the words you used.
A. Yes. I didn't -- there was no reason given for it.

And we can talk about language, but nefarious is wrong, evil, bad intentioned, that's nefarious, isn't it?
A. Well, I was mainly reflecting that it was contrary to the code that he should have been abiding by.

But you said the reason why you knew it was nefarious was because it was contrary to the code?
A. Yes.
Q. Now tell me, when my client picking on little things to make sure -- to get you to move to Fermoy, because he, I think you told us earlier, knew, wanted to generate a 12:54 situation -- I will start the question again, I lost the train. When my client picked on little things to create the impression that you required greater supervision so as to move you to Fermoy, that's what you told us, were you going to take that lying down?
A. No, had he made it official, I wouldn't have taken it lying down, $I$ would have appealed it.

486 Q. You see, you didn't say that I wouldn't have taken it lying down if somebody had mentioned something official to me?
A. We11, that's what I meant when I spoke to the doctor.

487 Q. Well, let's look at it again, page 33 please, Mr. Murphy. And if you scroll down slightly. "I woul dn't take it lying down if I felt l was wronged".

It's line 489 and 490.
A. And you can see that from the various correspondence that was put forward by me in relation to the bullying complaints that I fought.

488 Q. The first man who tried to move you to Fermoy was engaged in a nefarious plan. My client was picking on little things as part of a plan to isolate you to be moved to Fermoy. I take it that is also a nefarious plan?
A. I didn't say it was a plan. I said to try and move me under those circumstances, there had to be a motive behind it.

489 Q. There was a motive, okay. And you didn't take it lying down in 2004, isn't that right?
A. I appealed, yes. We11, I submitted a list of relatives, that was it. I didn't have to appeal or formally appeal.

490 Q. And you didn't take it lying down in 2012?
A. Before or after I made the complaint?

491 Q. oh at any stage?
A. The bullying incidents prior to the complaint I didn't take lying down, I fought my corner via correspondence, as is attached to each complaint.

492 Q. I have to put it to you that when you had determined by 8th August 2012 that my client was intending to move you to Fermoy, you weren't going to take it lying down, do you agree with that?
A. I do not agree. I am on about the differences of opinion over various matters.

A If we go back to Dr. Kiely's notes, 4771. Thank you, Mr. Murphy "Has new sergeant stati oned in Fermoy, he wants one of the sergeants to move to Fermoy and Paul feel s he often picks on little things to try to encourage the move". You told us today that as far as you were concerned that picking on the little things was a way of justifying the argument that you required greater supervision, and you took offence at that because you have never required supervision?
A. I do require supervision, and I did require supervision. That's supervised.
496 Q. And what you are telling me is that when you told your GP that, that wasn't a part of a plan to make you move to Fermoy?
A. No, that was not part of the plan, as you put it.

497 Q. But you're the one who told us today that there was a plan?
A. No, I didn't ever say there was a plan. I never said it, and I have corrected you on it. I did not say there was a plan.

498 Q. There was a plan in 2004, isn't that correct?
A. No.

499 Q. So there was no plan? And there was no plan in 2012?
A. There's no plan that $I$ refer to.

500 Q. Did you believe in 2012 that my client or, indeed, Chief Superintendent Dillane intended to move one of the Mitchelstown gardaí to Fermoy?
A. Prior to my making the complaint?
Q. Yes.
A. I believe that the bullying incidents I referred to
Q. So there was a plan?
A. I didn't say there was a plan. I said those events could facilitate a move.
503 Q. Are you saying that the purpose of the bullying was to make you move?
A. That is what I took from it, having been told the relationship between Superintendent Comyns and the other chief.
504 Q. Can you help us at one o'clock, so I take it from that then, that you're not saying this is organic, but that this was intentional?
A. We11, I believe that I was singled out for special treatment, yes.
505 Q. And it was a number of separate, distinct events?
A. Yes.

506 Q. And on each case it was motivated by the desire to make you move to Fermoy?
A. I believe it was motivated to make me look like I
should, I would require moving to Fermoy for closer supervision.
But you tell us that that is not a plan?
A. Well, you can call it a plan. I am saying it was a motive.

MR. HARTY: It's one o'clock?
CHA RMAK Thanks very much. Very good. We will say two o'clock. Thank you.

THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED, AS

## FOLLOVS:

CHAN RMAN Thanks, Mr. Harty, yes.
508 Q. MR. HARTY: Thank you, Mr. Barry. I think in fairness to you, on the question of a potential transfer in 2012, my instructions are that there was a new roster for the district in Apri1 of 2012, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And as a result of that roster, there was one unit in Mitchelstown that didn't have a sergeant?
A. There were only two sergeants in Mitchelstown so there would have been three units in Mitchelstown without a sergeant?
510 Q. Yes. And that's why there was an operational need going forward to have a sergeant in Fermoy, isn't that correct? An extra sergeant in Fermoy?
A. That could be the situation, yes.

511 Q. I just wanted to -- so that the Tribunal is aware of what has going on at the time. And just so we're
clear, it'11 be my client's evidence that he hadn't decided he was going to transfer you to Fermoy, do you accept that?
A. I perceived that he was, but I don't have evidence to that, no.

512 Q. And is it through that perception that you viewed all of the matters that you complained of in 2010 and 2011?
A. No, when the new rosters came in, 2012, I had been given an instruction to report on that sexual assault case in a certain manner which I did not agree with, and I believe I was being brought into Fermoy on those grounds as well.

513 Q. A11 right. So you were to be brought into Fermoy, you believed at that time? It was all coming to that climax, as such?
A. Yes. And the new roster was an excuse to do so, even though there was a previous transfer attempt where it was proven that I should not be moved, it would be in breach of the code.

514 Q. Just in relation to that, do your relations never move?
A. Do my relations ever move?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, one of them did move.

But in any event, it never came to a situation where you had to identify what relations you might have had in the Fermoy area, because no one ever actually tried to transfer you to Fermoy, isn't that correct?
A. No, I updated it in 2004 when that attempt to transfer me came in?

517 Q. That's fine. If we come to the matters then after, and do you have the schedule of issues there?
A. Sorry, I left it at my desk, if I need to get it.

518 Q. It mightn't hurt if there was a copy that was available. Ms. McGrath I think has a copy here she can 14:06 hand you. Thank you very much, Ms. McGrath. [SAME HANDED] If we go I think and just to try to follow the order, if we go 3 A . So $I$ will read that in full:
"Superintendent $M$ chael Comyns and/ or Chi ef
Superintendent Gerard Gillane did target or di scredit Sergeant Barry as he alleges in any one or more of the following circumstances because he made a protected di scl osure by treating his sick leave in ordinary illness and not work rel ated ill ness, resulting in a loss of pay to whi ch Sergeant Barry was entitled."

Do you accept that neither my client, nor Chief Superintendent Dillane, was in a position to actually treat your illness as ordinary illness or work related, that wasn't a matter for them, isn't that correct?
A. It would have been a matter for chief Superintendent Dillane to investigate it had I not looked for somebody from outside the division to do so.
519 Q. But my client had no role in that, isn't that correct?
A. In relation to a report he sent to the occupational health -- after Inspector o'Sullivan was sent to enquire as to the cause of my sickness, Inspector O'Sullivan reported back that $I$ had an issue with

Superintendent Comyns but I wasn't going to discuss it with him. And when Garda occupational health then requested a form to be completed in relation to this, which was after the report from Inspector O'Sullivan, Superintendent Comyns ticked a box no, in a question to, does the member have an issue with his supervisor. Therefore, I don't believe they were trying to assist me in the report to occupational health. which document is that? which document is that?
A. I don't have the document.

521 Q. We'11 come back to it, we'11 come back to it. But the reality is, is that your claim in any event was that your work related health was because of any wrongdoing on the part of Superintendent Comyns, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

522 Q. And he played no role in determining that issue, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

523 Q. B, you say, or the question is:
"Failed to make proper temporary workpl ace accommodations for Sergeant Barry to whi ch he was entitled?"

What do you say were the temporary workplace accommodations which my client failed to put in place?
A. The same temporary workplace accommodations he implemented on 4th Apri1 2014.


524 2014?
A. He didn't allow Inspector o'sullivan to deal with all matters until the investigations were complete. alleged7y implemented. But what did he do that was different between 2013 and
maters und
Q. Well, the bullying complaint for the most part, with the exception of the ninth issue, was completed in May 2013, isn't that correct?
A. I think there was an element of bullying contained in the ninth complaint as well that was subsequently dealt 14:10 with under the discipline regulations.
528 Q. But what did my client do to target you in 2013?
A. By not allowing Inspector o'sullivan to deal with my issues, my -- to take control over my supervision.
529 Q. But he was supervising you as well, wasn't he? 14:10
A. But not solely.

530 Q. And what contact were you forced to have with my client in 2013?
A. By correspondence.

531 Q. I see. Correspondence alone was enough? 14:11
A. That's correct. And he made several attempts to contact me on the phone.
532 Q. And you didn't take those calls?
A. No.

533
Q. You accept that my client had no role in investigating your work-related stress?
A. Yes, he had no -- well, he had -- the inspector reported to him that I had an issue with him and he in turn then -- I have the page here, it's page 3732 , volume 12. Column number 3 there:
"Is there any reported difficulty rel evant to his or her rel ationshi $p$ with peers, supervisors and those under hi s/her command?"

534 Q. That was in September 2012?
A. Pardon?

535 Q. 11th September 2012?
A. Yes, following the visit by Inspector O'Sullivan to enquire as to my sickness, the reason for my sickness.

536 Q. And you told Inspector O'Sullivan that you wouldn't tell him any of the details, isn't that right?
A. I told Inspector O'Sullivan that I had an issue with Superintendent Comyns but I was not revealing that issue to him.
537 Q. Right. And you're relying now on the answer "Is there any reported difficulty rel evant to his/her
rel ationshi ps with peers, supervisors and those under hi s/her command?" And you accept that my client had no 14:13 knowledge of what your reported difficulty was other than you said you had an issue with him?
A. He was aware that I had a difficulty with him from Inspector O'Sullivan's report. .

538
A. It was brought up by Mr. Murphy yesterday, I don't have 14:14 it to hand now, but I know that that was mentioned. I thought that Superintendent Dillane had initiated this exemption but it was Superintendent Comyns.
543 Q. That was in what year, that that exemption was signed?
A. I believe it would have been 2013. 2013?
A. I may be wrong, but that's from my recollection of yesterday's.

My client still hadn't given up on the plan to make you move from Mitchelstown?
A. No, and it was actually page 3730 where he reported to Chief Superintendent Dillane that it was alleged that I had an issue with him, and that was prior to him submitting this report to the occupational health.

547 Q. Right. And that would have travelled up the line too, isn't that right?
A. That's...

548 Q. Does that mean that your reason for being absent with work related -- with an illness, because your medical certificate at the time from Dr. Kiely, isn't that correct, didn't mention stress, isn't that right?
A. Some of Dr. Kiely's certificates stated work-related stress and others she put --

549 Q. We're talking about the one that you just referred me to?
A. Sorry, this is a report of the occupational health report.

550 Q. Now, if we go to 3734 , and that was your first medical cert and was the only one that you had put in at the
time, isn't that right?
A. That's the one I submitted from the $7 / 8$, yes.

551 Q. And it says "medi cal ill ness"?
A. Yes.

552 Q. So there's no mention of work-related stress?
A. It's a medical illness, which would cover any illness, I presume.

553 Q. Any illness, right. But the point about it is, is that what you had put in at that stage as your reason for not being in work was a medical illness.
A. Sorry, if you look at the SAMS report, completed by Garda Clifford when I reported sick, it clearly states work-related stress.
554 Q. okay. And where is the one that says work-related stress caused by bullying and harassment by Superintendent Comyns?
A. From the doctor? A doctor's cert?
Q. But that cert didn't come until much later?
A. I don't believe my doctor ever said bullying and harassment on a cert.

556 Q. No, but where is it written down on anything that went to my client, saying that the reason why you were out was because of him?
A. On the SAMS report as recorded by Garda Clifford.

557 Q. It says work-related stress?
A. Yes.

558 Q. That is at page 3733. Where is there something to say that your absence is linked to my client?
A. There's no column on that for who has caused your
illness. That is in Inspector O'Sullivan's report to Superintendent Comyns and Superintendent Comyns reported then to Chief Superintendent Dillane, on page 3730.

559 Q. You told Inspector O'Sullivan that you had issue with him and you weren't going to discuss it any further, is that right?
A. Inspector o'sullivan enquired as to the reason why I was on sick leave. I told him that $I$ had an issue with Superintendent Comyns, where I was not going to go into

14:19 the detail with him of what my complaint was.

560 Q. The report done by your GP in relation to your claim, which is at 4781, and that was on 5th September 2012, and that says:
"Stressful life event - alleged bullying at work."

No more detail, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah, that's a report, not a doctor's cert, sorry.

561 Q. It's signed by your doctor, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

562 Q. The question of pressurising you to agree to a transfer to another station against your will, you say my client was still involved in that after 2013?
A. I believe so, yes.

563 Q. Can you te11 me why your doctor, your psychiatrist and your psychologist didn't discuss with you the benefits of moving to another station?
A. If you look at Dr. Dennehy's report, he says -- it
indicates where I expressed my belief to Dr. Dennehy that I would get a transfer because I knew the transfer to Fermoy would not -- or to Glanmire at the time would not happen. So $I$ believed the next transfer attempt would be to Mallow and I expressed that to Dr. Dennehy and in his report he states that I was looking forward to my transfer, or I anticipated a transfer.
564 Q. Yes, you do say that. If we go to Dr. Dennehy's report, this is a report which was dated 31st July 2014. And at the end of that report he deals with the fact that you were seeking a transfer, isn't that correct?
A. I'd have to see it on the screen.

565 Q. Yes, I just need to find the actual full reference to it here, if you give me one moment. It's on page 5126: 14:23
"He is hoping to obtain a transfer and hopefully worki ng --"
A. Sorry?

566 Q. That's 5126, it's at the bottom of that page, the second last paragraph -- sorry, Mr. Murphy?
CHA RMAN Give us a moment.
REG STRAR: 5126?
567 Q.
MR. HARTY: 5126, yes. And if you scroll up slightly
so we can see the full -- that's the paragraph there. The paragraph beginning:
"He has a previ ous history of PTSD and associated
depression arising froma traffic acci dent in 2004 but he was treated for that as symptons resol ved fully and conti nued to work. In the current epi sode his symptons have i mproved onl y very sol el y and have been mai nt ai ned at a consi derable extent by continuing difficult rel ationshi ps at work, especially the continuing periods of stress he has had to endure around his rel ationshi p with his superior of ficer. He is hoping to obtain a transfer and hopef ully working with different personnel will enable matter to improve, his stress level s to recede, whi ch would allow further i mprovement in his depressi ve symptons."

So, when you spoke to Dr. Dennehy you gave the impression that you were seeking a transfer?
A. Sorry, no, he says "He hopes to obtain transfer".
Q. okay.
A. Not that I would apply or seek a transfer; that I would obtain one.

569 Q. Okay. We're getting into fine language here now, Mr. Barry?
A. I know, but what you just changed the what the doctor said.
Q. I said "He is hoping to obtain transfer"?
A. No, seeking, you said.

571 Q. I was paraphrasing. I will go to the precise sentence. Can you tell me how hoping to obtain transfer is not the same as seeking a transfer?
A. To obtain a transfer you could obtain it by seeking it
or having it at public expense.
572 Q. Not from Dr. Dennehy's point of view?
A. No, Dr. Dennehy didn't go into specifics and he wouldn't be aware of specifics.
573 Q. No.
A. I told him I was hoping to obtain a transfer.

574 Q. I see.
A. I never said to him I was going to apply for a transfer.

575
Q. You told him, your precise words were, you were "hoping to obtain a transfer"?
A. Yes, and the reasons for same. Now, you have seen I have never applied for a transfer and I appealed every transfer.
576 Q. You appealed every transfer?
A. Well, I was hoping to obtain this one that I referred to Dr. Dennehy with, and that was the transfer I believed I would get to Mallow.
577 Q. And tell me, if there had been a transfer suggested to South Tipperary, could that have happened?
A. That would have been putting further mileage on me from my residence.
Q. But you were intending to move?
A. Pardon?

579 Q. Your whole purpose of wanting to be directed to Mallow 14:26 is that you would have been able to get a moving allowance?
A. Yes, and the fact that the superintendent in mallow at the time was the same superintendent who had encouraged
me to move from Dublin to Cork, because of my work record in Dublin. So I would have been happy to work in his station, supervised by him because I know he would have no malice towards me.
580 Q. Did you contact him?
A. No.

581 Q. Why not?
A. I had no reason to.

582 Q. Yes, you did?
A. No, I didn't.

583 Q. You had every reason to?
A. I beg to differ.

584 Q. You were hoping that somebody in An Garda Síochána was going to guess that the problem would be solved if only somebody would move you at public expense to Mallow?
A. Chief Superintendent Dillane did not have to guess, he was informed of that by me and I relayed that then to my doctor.
Q. And in relation to your mental health and wellbeing, did you not consider that that might be more important than 18 months of moving allowances?
A. At the time I was hoping for temporary workplace accommodations so that I could stay in my station. The preferable station for me would have been Mitchelstown, I didn't have to have contact with the superintendent.

586 Q. Except you went to Dr. Dennehy, who was trying to talk through the whole thing with you, trying to get you your best response, and you told him that you were hoping to obtain a transfer as a means of resolving all
of this?
A. That's correct, because $I$ had relayed same to Chief Superintendent Dillane.
587 Q.
And you said that you were hoping to obtain that transfer, but did you explain to Dr. Dennehy, when he's taking down your words, when he is writing a clear note of what he believes is the full picture of your wellbeing, did you tell him that you were in a stand off over allowances?
A. There was no stand off over allowances because there was no transfer at the time. Did you tell Dr. Dennehy that the reason why you hadn't applied for a transfer to Mallow was because it would have cost you the allowances?
A. No, I told him that hopefully working with different personne1 would enable matters to improve.

591 Q. Did you he11 Dr. Dennehy when he was trying to deal with a patient's mental wellbeing, that you were not moving station until such time as you were paid allowances?
A. No.

592 Q. Do you understand how when Dr. Dennehy is to be assessing you and reporting on you, that it is very relevant for him to know that the reason why you're still in Mitchelstown, and the reason why you weren't transferred out of the district was because you wanted a11owances?
A. No, I told Dr. Dennehy that they were trying to transfer me into Fermoy at the time to have contact with Superintendent Comyns, which would be detrimental to my health.
Q. And you say that you were giving Dr. Dennehy the full picture?
A. Dr. Dennehy was aware of what was happening, yes.

597 Q. Dr. Dennehy, I can assure you, because I am stil1 unclear as to how it is, even though you live the same distance from Mitchelstown as you do from Mallow, that
somehow you would be entitled to allowances for living in or being moved to Mallow that you wouldn't otherwise get. Dr. Dennehy did not know that, did he?
A. I did not mention anything about travel expenses, finance, allowances or any other matter to Dr. Dennehy. 14:31 I told Dr. Dennehy that I was being transferred to Fermoy at the time and that I hoped to obtain a transfer to a station that would be agreeable to me. And yet you every told him why you wanted Mallow?
A. No. allowances, he would have said, but it's your mental wellbeing we're talking about here, it's your health, surely allowances shouldn't be part of your consideration, apply for mallow?
A. I did not reference that to Dr. Dennehy. I merely outlined the fact that $I$ believed that a transfer would be coming for me to a station that would be agreeable, with different personnel that I would be happy to work with.

602 Q. And that was to be done by way of a direction, therefore you would be paid allowances?
A. Any transfer that I would agree to would be me being transferred at the behest of HRM.
Did you tell Dr. Dennehy that the reason why you haven't been transferred is because you're insisting upon it being at the direction of HRM?
A. No, because the only transfer in the offing at the time I spoke to Dr. Dennehy, I was being transferred to Fermoy and that was under appea1. So any other option or applying for another station was not an option at the time. My transfer to Fermoy was under appeal from January 2014 to January 2015. And it was during this period that I relayed that to Dr. Dennehy.
604 Q. But you said you were hoping during that period to obtain a transfer?
A. Yes, because I didn't know that the transfer would take a year, the transfer appeal. So that could have come at any time. So I would be hoping that the next transfer would be to Mallow and not Anglesea Street.
605 Q. But surely if Dr. Dennehy is assessing the veracity of the information that you're giving him so as to do a full report, surely you should have told Dr. Dennehy that, while I am coming to you with serious mental
health concerns, the reality is I haven't applied for a transfer because $I$ want to make sure it's paid for out of the public purse?
A. I would never entertain that conversation with Dr. Dennehy.
A. Because I wasn't seek ago report from Dr. Dennehy; I was merely relaying the fact that $I$ believed the transfer was coming and to a station that I would be happy to be in, having already --
607 Q. So you kept that information from Dr. Dennehy?
A. No, I didn't keep it from him, the fact I didn't relay it to him doesn't mean I kept it from him.
608 Q. You told me that you would never have told him?
A. No, I wouldn't, because it's not something that was relevant at the time. I had no reason to say it to him. I was under transfer to Fermoy, which was under appeal.
609 Q. Can we read that paragraph again, start at the second line:
whi ch would allow further improvement in his depressi ve sympt ons. "

Dr. Dennehy is very clearly saying that if you move station away from the supervision of Superintendent Comyns, that that will lead to an improvement in your depressive symptoms?
A. Dr. Dennehy is saying what I said to him, that I expect a transfer to come and that this transfer would improve my mental --
610 Q. oh you told him that?
A. That's what Dr. Dennehy has said I said.

611 Q. No. "He is hoping to obtain a transfer", that is what he says you said and then "he says hopef ully working with different personnel will enable matters to improve and his stress level s to proceed".
A. Yes.

612 Q. "Which would allow further improvement in his depressi ve symptons"?
A. "He is hoping to obtain transfer and hopef ully working with different personnel will able matters to improve and his stress levels to recede".
613 Q. So you're saying that's not actually his opinion?
A. Pardon?

614 Q. You're saying that's not actually his opinion?
A. Sorry?

615 Q. Are you saying to me that that is not Dr. Dennehy's opinion?
A. I am saying that is what I told Dr. Dennehy.
Q. I see.
A. And for you to get Dr. Dennehy's opinion, he should be here to answer. I can't answer for his opinion.
617 Q. We can continue on to the last line of that paragraph:
"As mentioned in my opi ni on, a change of circunstance in his work life would be likely to benefit himin terns of his mental health."
A. That's Dr. Dennehy's opinion.

I see. And the change in circumstances of work life that was being discussed was your transfer?
A. And temporary accommodations.
Q. He is dealing just with your transfer. And you're saying that it's not relevant to his consideration in relation to the veracity of what you've said to him, and that's very important, because it's in relation to the truth of what you've said to Dr. Dennehy. You haven't given him the full picture. So when he forms an opinion it's not based on the full picture. You understand that, Mr. Barry?
A. Look, Dr. Dennehy and I had a conversation and that's what he relayed. It wasn't an interrogation with Dr. Dennehy.
620 Q. Well, you do know he's having more than a conversation. He's putting together a report for court?
A. Sorry, no, no, he composed this report from his medical notes that he made at the time.
621 Q. Yes.
A. When I went to meet him, he wasn't preparing a report
for court.
622 Q. Yes.
A. Had he been preparing a report for court, then would I have told him the full facts of everything that had happened previously and up to then.

623 Q. You would have, would you? Who is Anne McGarry of KOD Lyons solicitors?
A. They were my solicitors at the time.

624 Q. For your court case?
A. Yes.

625 Q. Can we go to page 5121. Who is that report addressed to?
A. KOD Lyons solicitors, of which Séan Costello was then a member.

626 Q. No, he wasn't?
A. No?

627 Q. No. KOD Lyons is an entirely different firm from Séan Costello Solicitors?
A. Okay. Sorry, not Costello, Michael Kelleher, sorry.

628 Q. Yes, that is correct.
A. Yes.

629 Q. That's dated the 31st July, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

630 Q. He reviewed you on the 23rd June of that year, isn't that correct? If we go to page 5125, please, Mr. Murphy. The letter is dated July 2014 and it says in the start of the third paragraph:
"I I ast revi ewed hi mon the 23rd June."
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Do you accept that?
A. I accept that.

631 Q. So it was for the purpose of a solicitor's report for court. So when you say you would have given
Dr. Dennehy the full facts in relation to the transfer if it was for the purpose of a court report, do you accept that that is not correct?
A. No, I am saying this report was made from Dr. Dennehy's notes of the meeting he had with me. It wasn't that we 14:41 composed a report on the 23 rd June when he met me. This was done subsequently.
632 Q. It was done subsequently. But when you saw him, you knew that your solicitor would be looking for a report from him?
A. No, I did not.

633 Q. Are you seriously saying to me that your personal injury action, 1 will get the dates on that now, which was issued on 23rd February 2015, and your solicitor sought this report on 31st July 2014, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

634 Q. And are you saying to me that on the 23rd June, that you had no knowledge of the fact that you were going to be looking for a report from Dr. Dennehy?
A. I had no knowledge that my solicitor at the time was going to look for this report at that time. I didn't know when she was going to apply for a report?
635 Q. When did she tell you she was going to do that?
A. I can't recal1. I don't recall when she said it.

636 Q. You can't recall?
A. Pardon?

637 Q. You can't recall?
A. It's back in 2014.

638 Q. If we go to page 276. That is your personal injury summons. And if you scroll down the page please, Mr. Murphy, just there:
"These proceedi ngs have been duly authorised by the Personal Injuries Board pursuant by section 32 of the Personal I njuries Assessment Board Act by authorisation dated 8th August 2014."
A. That's correct.
Q. Oh, I see. So is there a report where you tell Dr. Dennehy the true reason why you didn't transfer?
A. Yes, it's in his report.

641 Q. In?
A. In Dr. Dennehy's report, his notes of the meeting.

642 Q. Where is the report from Dr. Dennehy saying that the reason why you didn't transfer is because you wouldn't apply for it?
A. That is not part of Dr. Dennehy's remit. At the time I
would have told him that I was under transfer appeal to Fermoy at the time.
643 Q. You're still attending Dr. Dennehy?
A. Pardon.

644 Q. You're still attending Dr. Dennehy?
A. Yes.

645 Q. Can you show me in his notes, his records, anywhere, the bit where you made clear to him that the reason why you never got transferred is because you refused to apply for it?
A. I would not have relayed that information to Dr. Dennehy.
Q. You told me you would. If Dr. Dennehy was doing a report for court, you told me Dr. Dennehy would have been told that?
A. If I was involved in the compellation of Dr. Dennehy's report, if I was in his surgery when he was compiling that report, if he asked me what is the reason you did not apply for a transfer, I would have indicated it to him.
647 Q. All right?
A. That is what I mean.

648 Q. But rather like it was for An Garda Síochána to guess where to stick the pin in the map to transfer you to, it was for Dr. Dennehy to guess why it is you never were transferred?
A. That's incorrect.

649 Q. We11, how was Dr. Dennehy to know the real reason why you were never transferred?
A. As I said, I was under appeal, I had to transfer to Fermoy under appeal when I had my consultation with Dr. Dennehy. Chief Superintendent Dillane was fully aware that I would have accepted a transfer to Mallow. And I indicated this to Dr. Dennehy, and he referenced it in his report.

650 Q. In his report, when he deals with the question of your appeal, and if we go back to page 5125, please, Mr. Murphy. 5125. Thank you. You see he says:
"I I ast revi ewed hi m on the 23 rd June, he said he was upset over the last few weeks as his proposed transfer was under appeal."
A. That's correct.

651 Q. So you're giving him the impression that it was the fact that it was under appeal that you were upset about, not the proposed transfer?
A. No. I would be happy that it was under appeal because that my intention. So that would not have upset me.
652 Q. Well that's what it says though, isn't it?
A. No, you can twist Dr. Dennehy's words, no, that is not what I believe he said.

MR. COSTELLOE: Again, Chairman, I have been loathe to interrupt and I understand fully that Mr. Harty has his instructions but my client has repeatedly said a question such as that should be put to Dr. Dennehy and respectfully, Chairman, that is a fair observation. Mr. Harty has his impression of what that means, he has put to that to my client and my client has given
answer, anything other than that, in any all fairness, should go to the person who wrote the report and not my client.

CHA RMAN What do you say to, Mr. Harty?
MR. HARTY: I am not proposing to deal with the matter any further in any event. What I will say in relation to it is that in relation to stressors and illnesses, the requirement on the part of a psychiatrist, where there is nothing, shall we say, to empirically measure, very much deals with the accuracy of the relation or narration.

CHA RMAK I have that point -- sorry, may I make a suggestion. As you were enquiring -- I have your point about, what was the one about looking forward to an appeal.

MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHA RMAN Sorry, I don't want to misquote it. That he had been -- where is the part?
MR. HARTY: He had been upset - sorry, the second.
CHA RMAN Sorry, my thought about that, is that it is in the circumstances as we know them, that is as we them otherwise than in this report.

MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHA RMAN It does seem to me to be a possible interpretation, a possible interpretation, subject to
what anybody says, that he said he had been upset over the past few weeks about a proposed transfer but it was under appeal.
MR. HARTY: Yes.

CHA RMAN Now, that I think is not a bizarre interpretation in the circumstances as we know them. MR. HARTY: No.

CHA RMAN However, I do have your point, Mr. Hearty about whatever it was, looking forward to an appeal, looking forward to a transfer.

MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHA RMAN I'm sorry, hoping to transfer the transfer. I just can't see it in front of me. I understand your point about that, I am not commenting on it one way or the other, but just to indicate $I$ understand the point you're making, whereas on this one I think it is -- do you understand, Mr. Barry, I think if I am looking at the first line of that, I think I could easily see how that could appear and the doctor would say that when in 14:49 fact it was that you were upset over the transfer in the last few weeks or the transfer but it was under appeal.
A. That would be my interpretation.

CHA RMAN Something like that strikes me as being -and I think we're all agreed at least that that is a possible or legitimate one, but it may not be the only one, so I don't want to leave that. That's the way it appears.
MR. HARTY: I am happy.
CHAL RMAN You're leaving that topic now, Mr. Harty. MR. HARTY: I am moving on.

CHA RMAN Okay, so I think that meets your concern, Mr. Costelloe.

MR. COSTELLOE: Absolutely, Chairman, thank you. CHA RMAN Thank you.
MR. HARTY: We're moving on, Mr. Barry. In relation to 3E, going back to that list, you accept, or do you, that my client had no role in causing Inspector O'Sullivan or Chief Superintendent Dillane to attend at Mitchelstown Garda Station in full uniform on the 29th March and the 9th April?
A. I don't accept that, because from what I understand, Inspector O'Sullivan rang Superintendent Comyns immediately following this meeting.

654 Q. That doesn't mean that he made him go there?
A. But it would appear he's reporting the meeting to him. He was aware that he was going to meet me at 9 pm .
655 Q. And what is wrong with seeking a sick certificate from you?
A. I didn't say there was anything wrong in seeking a certificate, $I$ said there was something wrong in turning up at 9pm at night in full uniform for the purpose of seeking that certificate. CHA RMAK So, we don't get lost, Mr. Barry, Mr. Harty's question is not who spoke to whom after the meeting, he says, can you exclude -- because he's looking at the -- the question is, did Superintendent Comyns and/or Chief Superintendent Dillane, so he's saying from Superintendent Comyns's point of view, can he tick off that box and say you agree that Superintendent Comyns didn't have anything to do with Inspector o'sullivan and Chief Superintendent Dillane
attending at the meeting? Do you understand me?
A. I understand, yes.

657 Q. CHA RMAN That's what he wants. That's what Mr. Harty wants to know?
A. Sorry.

658 Q. MR. HARTY: Do you accept that my client had no role in directing Inspector o'sullivan there on those dates?
A. I accept that now. CHA RMAN okay.
A. Of Inspector O'Sullivan, no.
Q. And we would accept that it is not unreasonable for an inspector to report to a superintendent after a meeting with a sergeant?
A. No, no, I am just saying that he would have had knowledge of the meeting.
661 Q. And then I think the evidence is, and it's clear evidence, that my client had no role in directing Inspector O'Sullivan to make enquiries with Dr. Kiely on the 5th April, do you accept that?
A. I accept that Superintendent Comyns didn't have.

662 Q. Yes. In relation to $G$, yet again I think my client didn't meet you in the car pack of Mitchelstown Garda Station on 9th April 2013 ?
A. That's correct.

663 Q. And in relation to $H$, "By making implicit criticismof Ser geant Barry, including requi ring hi mto make a report in respect of a fatal fire that occurred on 9th

April 2013", I take it you maintain that?
A. I maintain that, yes.
Q. And in relation to that, you do accept that this started with a query from the divisional office to the district office in relation to why a report hadn't been 14:54 furnished?
A. That's correct.
Q. So the initial criticism is of my client for not furnishing the report, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. But you do accept that the ordinary response to this query is not to think that this is some part of a plan from Chief Superintendent Dillane to somehow have my client move but rather simply respond to the reasonable query, isn't that correct? It was a reasonable query from Chief Superintendent Dillane?
A. I don't think it was reasonable because I had submitted a report or the report had been submitted.
669 Q. We11, we'11 come to that. On the face of the document,
leaving aside all the other things that you knew, it was a reasonable request, isn't that correct?
A. It was a reasonable request but the motive behind it is what I would question.
670 Q. And when my client relayed that on to you, that similarly, on the face of it, is a reasonable request, isn't that right?
A. On the face of it, yes.
Q. you say that -- and in fact it was relayed through the sergeant in charge, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

672 Q. So where is the criticism, the person turning around and criticising you?
A. where it says a sergeant and five gardaí attended a scene and there was no report submitted.
673 Q. Right. So would that be accurate if there was no report submitted?
A. If there was no report submitted, it would be accurate, but I believe there was a report submitted.
674 Q. You dealt with critical incident reports before and I think you said you had never submitted one that wasn't a C71, is that right?
A. In relation to fatal sudden death, yes.
Q. In relation to a sudden death, yes?
A. As in, where a report is required for the coroner and the district officer, that is how $I$ submit, would submit a report on that form.

676 Q. That isn't quite what you said in your evidence the other day and I might have to find it on the
transcript. But you said -- my understanding was that you had never done a critical incident report. Have you done critical incident reports?
A. I said that I've attended a number of critical incidents where I did not furnish a report.
Q. Because critical incident reports aren't limited to matters that can come before the coroner, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

679 Q. There can be a risk to life, which thankfully in many situations does not in fact come before the coroner, and there are various other incidents set out and I don't propose to go through them?
A. One includes criminality by a member of the Garda síochána.
Q. Yes. And in relation to that, Mr. Barry, where can you 14:58 show me a document saying that a C 71 is the necessary report?
A. From the top of the report itself, it says "report to the district officer / coroner".
681 Q. I think it's page 5697. This is the actual C71 in this $14: 58$ case, isn't that correct?
A. This is the actual C71 completed by the investigating guard, who was supposed to submit the report.

682 Q. In fact who was supposed to submit the report, a C71?
A. Pardon?

683 Q. Who is supposed to submit a C71?
A. The investigating garda.

684 Q. Okay. Can we go to the bottom? It's not coming up on the screen, it was only added to the documents yesterday and I am not sure that it is there. But the copy that I have before me, and Mr. McGuinness is very helpfully handing it in to you now?
A. [SAME HANDED] Thank you.

685 Q. If we go to the very end of that document, you will see 15:00 that it is to be signed by the garda, isn't that correct?
A. Completed by the investigating garda.

686 Q. Yes.
A. And signed by him.

687 Q. No. And signed by him, yes. And then who is it to be submitted by?
CHAN RMAN We're having difficulty, Mr. Harty.
MR. HARTY: Yes.
CHA RMAN We only produced these very recently. Just 15:00 let me check. Ms. McGrath, you seem to be actively involved in sorting out the problem, can you tell us where we stand on it?
ME. MEGRATH It should be with the documents, it was only disclosed yesterday to the parties, so it's a new 15:01 addition to the brief.
REG STRAR: we have it, I just need it to load up.
MS. MEGRATH It's just loading.
CHA RMAN It's just take a moment, is that right,

Mr. Murphy.
REG STRAR: Yes.
CHA RMAN If you bear with us for a moment, Mr. Harty. I'm sorry to interrupt you, but if you just bear with us for a moment, we should be able to put it up on the screen.

MR. HARTY: Sorry, I won't move until --
CHA RMAN Just bear with us for a moment, Mr. Harty, please. I know it's a nuisance, and if we can't do it reasonably quick7y, we will simply get copies. Two minutes. No, no, no, don't be under pressure. I am sorry, I have to curb my natural impatience, I am sorry.
MR. COSTELLOE: I wonder, Chairman, if it might be a useful point to interject as well and just ask if we can confirm, is that the original that was actually sent to the coroner or is it a copy, because this may be material to the questions that Mr. Harty is about to put to my client, given that it seems to be a copy. But I don't know.

CHA RMAN You have the advantage of me there, Mr. Costelloe, because I can't see it. Hold on, we have it here now. Before we go anywhere, yes, Mr. Costelloe.

MR. COSTELLOE: If you look down at the very bottom of the second page, Chairman, you will see that there is a name typed in, but directly above it there is not a signature, even though there is a space left for a signature and I anticipate --

CHA RMAN Sorry, Mr. Costelloe, a second.
MR. COSTELLOE: Sorry.
CHA RMAN We need to go to the bottom of the document, is that right?
MR. COSTELLOE: So go to the second page of that document, which is page 5698 of the brief.

CHA RMAN We're going down now.
MR. COSTELLOE: There. Yes, keep going, I beg your pardon, Mr. Murphy.

CHA RMAN Keep going, previous health and so on. Keep 15:02 going on to the end. Now.

MR. COSTELLOE: And you will see there that -- keep going, keep going, please. Yes, there. You will see that the guard referred to has his name typed in.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. COSTELLOE: But there's no signature in the line above it.

CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. COSTELLOE: And I anticipate Mr. Harty is about to ask a couple of questions about that document and I am just wondering if this is in fact the original or if it is in fact, what's the old fashioned expression, a facsimile, if you wil1, of what is actually sent to the coroner?
CHA RMAN I understand.
MR. HARTY: Obviously, I'm not at --
MR. COSTELLOE: I wouldn't expect Mr. Harty to know the answer to that question, Chairman. In fairness to him, it's something that perhaps we should clarify.

CHA RMAN I think the way I think we should proceed, Mr. Costelloe and Mr. Harty, I think is Mr. Harty should proceed with his examination and we will put a slight asterisk over the matter, pending further clarification and if necessary we can return to it or it may, so to speak, validate or reinforce or uninforce. It may turn out that there is nothing significant, but it may turn out that there is, in which case we will have to revise any provisional notions that we have about it. But in the meantime, $I$ think we $i 11$ proceed, Mr. Harty, you proceed in the way you see fit and we will sort out any other issues in due course. I think that probably is the best way, Mr. Costelloe.
MR. COSTELLOE: Absolutely, Mr. Chairman, thank you. MR. HARTY: And just so Mr. Costelloe can be reassured, I was not going to operate under the assumption that this was the copy that actually went to the coroner or, indeed, the copy that actually went to the district office, on the basis of the fact that it is unsigned by 15:04 the two people who are intended to sign it.

688 Q. If we look at the bottom of that page you will see, and if I can ask Mr. Murphy to scroll down very slightly, there, it is to be signed by the investigating member and then submitted by the sergeant, isn't that correct? 15:05
A. That's what it says, yes.

689 Q. So that is what is to happen with C71, isn't that right?
A. Yes, the investigating garda completes the c71.

690
Q. And it is submitted by the sergeant?
A. Yes.

691 Q. But in fact you didn't submit this, isn't that correct?
A. I believe I did. I instructed Garda ward to complete the form, so without the signature --
Q. You didn't tell me that you -- you never told us before now that you actually submitted it. My understanding was that you instructed Garda Ward to complete it and left it at that.
CHA RMAN Make the report.
693 Q. MR. HARTY: That was your evidence.
A. That's my evidence, yes. Garda ward placed it in the sergeant's post box for delivery to Fermoy.
694 Q. So you never submitted it?
A. I --

695 Q. Isn't that right?
A. It was Garda ward was submitting it. I had signed it for submission and he placed it in the post box for onward transmission to Fermoy to the superintendent. He should have it left it in an envelope for delivery
by the oncoming unit. But it would have been delivered when the sergeant came on duty in the morning anyway.
696 Q. What time does the sergeant come on duty in the morning?
A. Sergeant Dunne would be on duty at 8:00am.
A. Half eight.

698 Q. Divisional office by half eight?
A. Pardon?
Q. It was supposed to be in the divisional office by half eight?
A. Yes.

700 Q. And you say you did sign it and you did submit it?
A. To the best of my recollection, I can remember instructing Garda ward to complete the C71 when we returned and I presume I would have signed it, I can't remember, and I can't say from this form whether I did or not.
Q. And you say, somehow, that this is what meets the requirement to report in respect of critical incidents?
A. Yes, I do.

702 Q. Why do you say that?
A. Because all the information necessary to brief the regional office and in turn the Garda Press office would be contained within this document.
Q. okay. All of it?
A. A11 the necessary information for a press release.

704 Q. For example, does it say suspicious circumstances?
A. That would not be -- it says, everything -- most of the 15:07 matters here are redacted.

705 Q. I presume that's just the details of the deceased person and the person whose home it was?
A. But there are other matters --

MR. MEGU NESS: And names of relatives and other civilians.
A. I understand that, yes. But Garda ward was in touch with Inspector O'Sullivan on two occasions when I was with him and informed him of that, and Inspector

O'Sullivan was in touch with Superintendent Comyns that night and the following morning.
Q. MR. HARTY: Are you saying that that report makes it clear that there were no suspicious circumstances?
A. If there was suspicious circumstances they would have been reported separately.
Q. That's the point, isn't it?
A. There were no suspicious circumstances.
Q. But the point is that when reporting to the district office and the divisional office in relation to these matters, the report has to make it clear whether or not there are suspicious circumstances?
A. And Garda Ward's subsequent report to the district office did indicate that there was no suspicious circumstances, that the deceased died from smoke inhalation.

709 Q. But that's what they needed to know, and it's not contained in this form?
A. They could not know that until the postmortem was carried out.

710 Q. But they could know, for example, the circumstances of how the fire started?
A. And that was explained to Inspector O'Sullivan on the night. He in turn, I believe, relayed that to Superintendent Comyns.

711 Q. There is a reason why you are required to report up the line as much information as you can, to allow the divisional office to deal with the matter?
A. And Garda ward did do this.

```
712 Q. In a report?
    A. In a report to Inspector O'Sullivan.
    713 Q. And you say that that met the requirements of a
        critical incident report?
    A. That is my belief, for this incident, yes.
714 Q. And you say that the question of saying that this report did not meet the critical incident report was done to make criticism of you?
A. Yes.
715 Q. So when Chief Superintendent Dillane made the request of my client, \(I\) take it you are saying that that was implicit criticism of my client?
A. No. But I believe they both conspired together to submit this report, forward this report through the sergeant in charge for me.
716 Q. They conspired?
A. Yes.
717 Q. Can you help me with that word? They got together to criticise you by criticising my client, is that what you're saying?
A. I don't believe Chief Superintendent Dillane criticised your client.
718 Q. But my client was effectively asked the same request that you were asked, which is: where is the report?
A. But your client is aware that the report was submitted. 15:11
719 Q. And Chief Superintendent Dillane knew that?
A. Yeah, because your client reported to him that he compiled the report to the regional office from the Pulse incident in the C71 that was submitted by Garda
```

ward.
A.

Oh I see. But in order to do a proper report it had to be done by marrying the Pulse incident report with the C71, you accept that?
A. I don't accept that, I believe there was sufficient evidence contained within this form to brief the regional office, and together with the information forwarded by Inspector O'Sullivan to Superintendent Collins on the night before and the morning after, Inspector 0'Sullivan was fully briefed of what was --
722 Q. CHA RMAN May I stop you a second, Mr. Barry. I think we're getting lost here, because you're using the term report to mean a speaking report, reporting to Inspector O'Sullivan, and I am understanding counsel to be referring to a written report. My understanding at the moment is, that there is an obligation to produce a written report. And you say the C71 addressed to the coroner and to the district officer, you say the C71 is sufficient for that purpose and that was submitted you say by Garda ward and as far as you know you signed it.
A. I believe so, yes.

723 Q. CHA RMAN And in due course it was submitted. And was suspicious or otherwise. And if I am understanding, he says that's the sort of thing that you'd have in the separate report submitted by the
officer in charge. Am I correct in my understanding of what you know to be the situation?
A. Yes.

724 Q. CHA RMAN Is that correct?
A. Yes, Mr. Chairman.

15:13

Dillane to Superintendent Comyns is therefore nothing sinister, it's a standard request, why is there no report, i.e. additional over and above C71 report. Wait now. That's where we are. Mr. Harty, am I understanding where we are at this moment?

728 Q. CHA RMAK Okay. So, that's counsel is saying, that's the question to the superintendent and his point is, and here's where I -- I am sorry to keep going, but here's where I am confused: Mr. Harty says, therefore, 15:15 when the superintendent repeats that, sends it on to you, that is evidence - I'm not agreeing with this or not - he says that is an indication of a routine process that began with Chief Superintendent Dillane.
okay?
A. Yes.
Q. CHAN RMAN Now, what do you say to that?
A. I say that Superintendent Comyns stated that he had to rely on the C71, this form, and the Pulse incident referring to this matter to complete his report to the regional office. And I am saying that is not all the information. That is not just information that he had. Because Garda Ward was in touch with Inspector o'Sullivan on the night, twice on the night of the incident, Inspector O'Sullivan relayed this information to Superintendent Comyns on the night and the following morning.

730 Q. CHAI RMAN Superintendent Comyns, you say, had another source of information via Inspector o'Sullivan?
A. That's my understanding, yes.

731 Q. CHA RMAN So that actually he was in a position to furnish more information than was in the C71 and the Pulse?
A. Yes, Mr. Chairman.

732 Q. CHA RMAN Because he had the information from that?
A. Yes.

733 Q. CHA RMAN Okay, so that's your answer. But how does that come in, that he gets this, rightly or wrongly he gets this from Chief Superintendent Dillane, rightly or wrongly, maybe the chief superintendent is deliberately misunderstanding or whatever, but he sends this to the superintendent, the superintendent passes it on, how does what you are saying about the information that the
superintendent had answer the question, he's on7y passing on the form?
A. Because the superintendent states that there was a sergeant and five gardaí attended the scene and no report was submitted.

CHA RMAN And in that respect do you say he was correct or incorrect?
A. I say he was incorrect because there was a report made to Inspector O'Sullivan and this report was then submitted as well.

CHA RMAN He had (a) the C71 and (b) he had verbal reports made to him. okay.
A. Yes.
Q. CHA RMAN So that's why you say the superintendent was incorrect in saying what he said?
A. Yes, Mr. Chairman.

CHAN RMAN Thank you very much for clarifying. Now, I'm sorry, Mr. Harty.
MR. HARTY: No.
CHA RMAN And I hope I haven't been disturbing your train of examination, but $I$ was hoping to get that clear in my mind.

MR. HARTY: It provided clarity that I am quite certain I might not have achieved. belief on your part that there was a motive, in the ordinary course this was not an unreasonable enquiry, the request?
A. I believe that under the circumstances that it was
targeted for me. Targeting of me, because I had instructed Garda ward to submit this report, Garda ward in my presence phoned Inspector o'sullivan on two occasions to update him from the scene. And when I returned to the station, I then phoned Inspector O'Sullivan to give him my version of events, which would corroborate what Garda ward had said to him, and he did not answer for me. And the following morning -that night Inspector o'sullivan was in touch with Superintendent Comyns and the following morning he was in touch with him as well. A scenes of crime unit attended the scene after 7 am and a sergeant from Fermoy was dealing with the matter that morning from 7am.
738 Q. Page 412, please. If we go to the bottom of that page. So, "Following the initial notification, a full report of the inci dent, si gned by the rel evant di strict officer, acting district officer or superintendent, will be forwarded to the regi onal office via e-mail to commi ssi oner_south@arda. ie bef ore 8.15 on the following day."

So they need to have a full report in relation to it, isn't that correct, and it's a written report that is required?
A. The report to the regional office is an e-mail format composed from this report and the Pulse incident.
739 Q. I see.
A. This report or a typed report, if submitted, would not be sent in a -- to the regional office, but a report to the regional office would be composed via an e-mail.
740 Q. And if it is not a fatal incident, what happens?
A. Pardon?

741 Q. If it's not a fatal incident, what happens?
A. If it's not a critical incident? Yes, what happens then? what report is the one that gets married to the Pulse?
A. Then I would have instructed Garda ward to submit a written report.
744 Q. Right. And that would be the ordinary course if somebody hasn't --
A. That would be an ordinary written report.
okay. And would that written report include detail in relation to the suspicious circumstances, for example, forensics at the scene or otherwise?
A. Forensics were the following morning, the scenes of crime, we terminated duty when there was a forensic examination.

746 Q. So the report would ordinarily say, scene of crime preserved?
A. The scene was preserved, yes.

747 Q. Now, but a report would ordinarily say that, isn't that correct?
A. A written report. But this was supplemented by the verbal report to the inspector. Any information not contained in this one was reported verbally to the inspector on the night.

748 Q. In an ordinary non-fatal critical incident a written report would be put together setting out of a these things and forwarded by e-mail to the district?
A. No, by post.

749 Q. By post. Okay. So that's what ordinarily would happen?
A. Ordinarily.
Q. But the written report has to include a variety of different things that would never be in a c71?
A. I don't believe so.

752 Q. You would agree with me that there is no place in the C71 for saying the scene has been preserved awaiting forensics, would there?
A. That is on the Pulse incident and it's common knowledge that a scene as such would be preserved pending technical examination.
753 Q. Yes. There'd be nothing on a coroner's report identifying, for example, a suspect that had been detained?
A. There was no suspect.
Q. I am suggesting --
A. If there was a suspect --
 3

755

Within the timeframe described.
Q. And I am saying to you that that could not be accurate, an accurate way of dealing with the critical incident reporting requirements?
A. I believe it was, and combined with the verbal report to Inspector O'Sullivan.

760 Q. But you accept if somebody hasn't died, a proper report would be written up?
A. If it was just an ordinary house fire?

761 Q. Or a road traffic accident where somebody had to be
A. Where it's non-fatal?

762 Q. Yes.
A. That matter would suffice to be on the Pulse system and
a report afterwards.

763 Q
No, it doesn't. If we look at the what the critical incident report matters are. They are -- are you saying that there's no requirement to put in a written critical incident report in relation to a kidnapping?
A. There is no form like the C71 in relation to a kidnapping, of course you'd write a report. the commi ssi oner oper ations by regi onal office within 30 minutes of the inci dent occurring. Such reports to be made as follows: During normal office hours
critical incidents should be noted by phone to Garda Sharon Daly; or outsi de office hours a bri ef summary of each inci dent a text to Sergeant Terry. And then, following the initial notification, a full report of the inci dent si gned by the rel evant district officer will be forwarded to the regi onal of fice."

Do you accept that in order for the district officer to do that he must have a full report from the sergeant?
A. Not from the sergeant.

768 Q. From the members at the scene?
A. From the members at the scene, from the guard who completed the report and from the inspector who was briefed on all the happenings on the night in regard to the incident.

769 Q. And that's all he looked for, isn't that correct?
A. And he had it.
Q. Right. I think we will move on. If we go -- in relation to the issues on Chief Superintendent Dillane, just the one thing I do want to deal with very quickly, do you think my client had any role in having you at Fota?
A. Pardon?

771 Q. Do you believe my client had any role in having you on duty at the golf?
A. I do.

772 Q. You do. What basis do you have for that?
A. Because he is the district officer attached to Fermoy, he is in charge of allocating personne1 within Fermoy,
he decided I would be sent to Fota and a sergeant replace me on overtime, when that sergeant could have been sent to Fota instead of me.

773 Q. And can you explain, you were at the roundabout at Carrigtwohill?
A. Yes.

774 Q. On the busiest day ever, I presume, for that particular roundabout, the road that comes off it forms part of the one-way system around Fota Gold Club, is that right?
A. Yes, there are two different roundabouts, yes.
Q. A loop was set up. Actually, just so is I know, were you on the loop that was going in, part of the loop that was going in?
A. I was in both, I was in the village loop, the village roundabout and the one the other side of the motorway.
Q. What distance was that roundabout from Fota?
A. Pardon?

777 Q. What distance was that roundabout from Fota?
A. I wouldn't be able to say. It's a considerable distance.

778 Q. A considerable distance, it's at least 5 kilometres?
A. Approximately, yes.

779 Q. Approximately. And please, if Mr. Costelloe wishes to engage Google Maps again, I am open to being corrected? 15:30 MR. COSTELLOE: No, that's fine.
MR. HARTY: And I take it at this time you were still doing the shared lifts with Garda wall in Fermoy.
A. Mitchelstown.

780 Q. To Mitche1stown?
A. Yes.

781 Q. What's the distance from the service station in Fermoy to the divisional headquarters in Fermoy?
CHA RMAN From what, Mr. Harty?
MR. HARTY: From the service station where they parked in Fermoy to the divisional headquarters.

CHAN RMAN Thank you very much.
A. Probably a mile or more.

782 Q. A mile. And every morning, rather than driving past Fermoy, you pulled in to the service station in Fermoy, waited for Garda wall to arrive, and travelled on?
A. Every second tour.

783 Q. Every second tour. What did you do the other tours?
A. I said every second tour, we alternate the lifts.

784 Q. So you do the driving on the other?
A. Yes. Like I said, it was 2012 and we were short of money too, and this was a means of cutting back on transport costs.
I am just slightly concerned that you were being targeted for being put within 5 miles of my client, or 5 kilometres of my client at the roundabout in Carrigtwohil1, but every day out of choice you waited on the side of the road within one mile of the divisional headquarters in Fermoy, is that correct?
A. They are totally different matters. At the golf event, Superintendent Comyns clearly stated that should something have happened in my area of responsibility he would have had to meet with me.
Q. And should something have happened in your area of responsibility in Mitchelstown, what would have happened then?
A. He would not have met with me.
A. Because my doctor cert stated that he should not come in contact with me.
Q. And when you got those certs from those doctors, did you tell them the reason you were in Mitchelstown is because you wouldn't apply for a transfer?
A. When I originally looked for a certificate, the doctor thought the superintendent was stationed in Mitchelstown, that's why she wouldn't give me a cert to return to work.
Q. Did you tell the doctor when you got that certificate that would you not apply for transfer?
A. No.
Q. Did you tell the doctor when she reviewed you over the following years, that the only reason you hadn't been transferred is because you refused to apply for one?
A. No, I would have told the doctor of the attempts to transfer me to Glanmire or Fermoy.
Q. And did you explain to --
A. I wasn't applying for those.
Q. Did you explain to her that you wouldn't apply to be
A. No, I don't recall speaking, but I may have mentioned it to her, so I can't say.
Q. So when a doctor in all good concern for her patient
and, indeed, his patient, in the case of Dr. Dennehy, is recommending that that patient not have contact with one individual, do you think it's relevant to their considerations that the only reason why there's potential contact is because you refuse to apply for a transfer?
A. That's not correct. I made several, numerous applications to have temporary workplace accommodations put in place. It wasn't solely --
Did you tell them that you would not apply for a transfer all over allowances?
A. I did not remember the conversation I had with Dr. Dennehy until I saw it in discovery in relation to the transfer. Similar goes with Dr. Kiely; I cannot whether I discussed these matters with her. I believe I would have.

795 Q. But when you were going though them about the incidents and describing how you're getting on and the stressors that are happening and laying blame on my client, did you think it would be relevant to point out to them that would probably have a transfer if only you applied for it?
A. The situation was, they were well aware that I would not look for a transfer into Glanmire or Fermoy. They were the only two options at that time.
I thought you said Mallow was always a live option and Carrigtwohill?
A. No, I am saying for management.

797 Q. And did you not explain to the doctor how you wanted to
go to Mallow or Carrigtwohill but you couldn't apply for it?
A. I may have discussed that with the doctor, I cannot recall.

798 Q. Are you saying they left that out?
A. I am not saying they left it out, I am saying I may have discussed it, I cannot recall.
Q. Do you understand, Mr. Barry, that where somebody is going to a doctor who is taking their word, listening to them carefully, writing them down, mindful of their obligations to mind the wellbeing of that person, Dr. Dennehy is a sensible man, isn't he, apparently?
A. I believe so.
Q. Dr. Kiely is a sensible lady, lots of experience?
A. That's correct.

801 Q. Do you think neither of them would have thought it was relevant, the fact that while you were complaining to them about your workplace situation, you had within your power the ability to change it?
A. Sorry, I cannot say that that is correct, because I was 15:36 being transferred by Garda management against my wishes to stations that were not suitable for me and my doctor knew that.

802 Q. And you said you would transfer but only if Garda management did it?
A. To Mallow.

803 Q. Yes. And only at the direction of Garda management?
A. That's correct.
Q. And do you think that Dr. Kiely, when she is assessing
the accuracy of what you are telling her, should have known the fact that the reason why you weren't being transferred to Mallow was because you wouldn't apply for it?
A. No, she knew the reason I wasn't being transferred to Mallow was because local Garda management wanted me in Glanmire, Fermoy or Anglesea Street, they did not want me in Mallow.
Q. They did not want you in Mallow, why not?
A. Because that would have suited me.

806 Q. Oh I see?
A. And I wouldn't have been targeted there.
Q. Oh I see, so there was one perfect repose in Mallow and you are saying that management knew that?
A. Chief Superintendent Dillane was well aware of it on a $15: 38$ number of occasions.
Q. That you had said -- you said, I'll go to Mallow but I'm not doing it at public expense, is it?
A. No, sorry, I said I will not go to Mallow, I will not apply to go to Mallow.
809 Q. Right?
A. I will not go --

810 Q. Did you tell doctor -- because it would have been perfectly easy, wouldn't it, to get a report from Dr. Dennehy or Dr. Kiely, saying that if a transfer out ${ }_{\text {15:38 }}$ of the division was granted, he will improve?
A. We11, at that stage I believed HRM had been informed by Chief Superintendent Dillane of that possibility, but the only information they had was that I was looking
for the superintendent to be transferred and that I was looking for a medical pension.
811 Q. Well you were looking for a medical pension?
A. I was not.

812 Q. oh really? So when you told us two days ago that had you been certified as injury on duty, you would not have returned to work, was that true or false?
A. It was true in relation to while Superintendent Comyns was in the district, I would not have returned to work.
813 Q. You were going to suggest that you were going to stay out for four years unless they moved Superintendent Comyns?
A. No, that's not what I am suggesting.

814 Q. You just told me --
A. I am saying it would have been preferable for me not to $15: 39$ return to work while he was still there, without a medical certificate. I didn't have a medical certificate when I returned to work.
815 Q. You didn't have a medical certificate --
A. And I had no temporary accommodations.

816 Q. You told us the other day that had you been certified as injury on duty, you would never have returned to work?
A. while the investigation was ongoing, that's what I meant, while this situation, created by Garda management, was ongoing.
817 Q. Two months later most of those bullying and harassment complaints were dealt, isn't that correct?
A. They were dealt with, yes, by May.

818 Q. You were very clear two days ago when you said, I would never have returned to work?
A. My intention when I said that was, while the investigations were ongoing, while I would have had to have contact with Superintendent Comyns.
Well, when the investigation didn't go your way, are you saying you would have returned to work once the investigation made it clear that your bullying and harassment complaints were not upheld?
A. The investigations were not completed until Superintendent Comyns had left the district.

820 Q. Are you saying that if your bullying and harassment complaints were not upheld, you would have returned to Fermoy -- to Mitchelstown?
A. I am saying that my wish, had I been in a position to do so, I would not have returned to Mitchelstown while Superintendent Comyns was my district officer.
821 Q. But you tell us that at no stage did you suggest to anybody that it was Superintendent Comyns had to go?
A. Never said by me.

822 Q. Right. Even though that's what you actually thought?
A. No, where are you getting that from?

823 Q. Because you just told me that you would never have returned -
A. while he was serving.

824 Q. - while he was serving?
A. While he was my district officer.

825 Q. Yes.
A. That didn't mean that he was being transferred, he
could have retired, anything could have happened. He could have stayed there until he retired, I didn't know.

And you are seriously asking us to believe that you
didn't want my client to be moved out of the district, didn't ask for my client to be moved out of the district, didn't present as somebody who said, it's him or me, when you've just told us that you would have remained out until my client left the district, the division?
A. That was before I returned to work, that was my position.
Q. That was your position. So it was him or you?
A. No, it wasn't. Because I was requesting temporary accommodations throughout my sickness. I wanted to return to work in Mitchelstown, I never requested that the superintendent be transferred by HRM. I never mentioned it to them.

828 Q. And when you requested those temporary accommodations, when you relied on the good offices of Dr. Kiely, you didn't make it clear to Dr. Kiely that more permanent workplace solutions were available to you if you transferred?
A. I did not know that the investigations would be so prolonged and take so long at the time.
829 Q. I have to put it to you that the answer is that you were not going to be transferred out of Mitchelstown under any circumstance?
A. That's incorrect.

830 Q. I have to put it to you that as far as you were concerned you were very happy with a situation whereby the superintendent in charge of your district was hamstrung in managing your unit and you?
A. I was never happy when I was working under Superintendent Comyns' control.
A. I believe so, yes. Even though there were no sergeants in a position to guarantee and satisfy the district office that there was cover?
A. I was told that Sergeant O'Flynn, at the time I believe, was it, that he was -- I'm not sure, this is in relation to my holiday, which was June or July, not May.

833 Q. Right.
A. Is it the holiday you're referring to?
A. Yes, sorry. By refusing my leave application after submitting everything that $I$ was asked to submit, I submitted a detailed report, which I supplied, Sergeant Quinn and Sergeant Dunne were willing to swap shifts to accommodate any shortcomings in my absence.
835 Q. Who did actually accommodate it?
A. Pardon?

836 Q. Who did cover it?
A. That would be a matter for Superintendent Comyns.

837
Q. But that was the point; it was a matter for Superintendent Comyns to ensure that if he was granting you the leave that there was cover?
A. And the chief superintendent granted my leave provided there was cover provided. I cannot provide cover.
Q. Did you succeed?
A. No, and as Sergeants Quinn and Dunne said, they tried to explain this to Superintendent Comyns but to no avail.

840 Q. In which case you shouldn't have taken the leave because the condition that was granted to you was that you would ensure that there was cover?
A. Cover is provided by the district officer. I have no authorisation to provide cover. He provided cover when I had to go to Fota by applying a sergeant on overtime.
841 Q. Anyway, that's targeting you, was it?
A. I believe it was, yes.

842 Q. In relation to the force majeure leave, you applied for those dates, the 15th April to the 17th April, isn't that correct?
A. Initially I applied for leave, yes, on those dates. why? what was that leave for?
A. Because my wife had been suffering back pain intermittently over the previous months and I also did not want to have contact with the superintendent on day shifts, should it happen.

844 Q. I see.
CHAN RMAN On the which?
MR. HARTY: on day shifts.
A. On my day shifts.

CHAN RMAN On your day shifts, sorry.
MR. HARTY: So this was to get out of the day shifts, is it?
A. And if my presence in the family home was necessary, then I would be available.

846 Q. But your presence in the family home could have been on 15:46 night shifts?
A. Yes, that wouldn't be a problem.

847 Q. Your presence in the family home could have been available on the 14th April, I think you were on -- I think that was Easter weekend, wasn't it? You had been 15:47 on holidays, is that correct, just before this force majeure leave.
A. I was on annual leave, you mean?

848 Q. Yes.
A. I could have been, I don't have --

849 Q. I think Dr. Dennehy refers to it as being you having returned from hols. I will see if $I$ can get the reference. Give me one moment.
MR. MtGU NESS: I think, Chairman, it is 4820.
CHA RMAN 4820, thank you very much. We will show 15:48 that up.
MR. MEGINESS: Report of the 15th April.
MR. HARTY: That's not the report I am looking for actually, it's the main report where reference is made
to the disciplining in respect of the -- and that's at 5121.

CHA RMAN 5121, thank you very much.
850 Q.
MR. HARTY: If we go to page 5124, please. I appear to have the slightly wrong reference. I will come back to 15:49 that, apologies, Mr. Barry. The situation is that you applied for these dates when?
A. I believe I resumed on the 29th, so it would have been 29th/30th of March.
851 Q.
of March. And how did you know your wife was going to have a back pain?
A. I didn't know.

852 Q. No. You didn't?
A. No.

853 Q. Did you tell anyone the reason why?
A. I told Inspector o'sullivan.

854 Q. That the reason why you wanted it --
A. I told Inspector o'sullivan I was taking leave on my day shifts in the event that my wife would be incapacitated.
855 Q. Did you tell them that the other reason is I am trying to make sure I am never here when Superintendent Comyns is around?
A. Well, that was the initial -- I was after getting my doctor's cert then on the 4th April, so that wasn't an 15:50 issue for me in relation to that.
Q. It wasn't an issue?
A. No.

857 Q. So when you took the force majeure leave --
A. No, sorry, when I applied for leave -

858 Q. I see?
A. - initially I had no cert.

859 Q. Right?
A. So I would be coming in contact with the superintendent, but subsequently, $I$ had a cert, it stated I didn't have to.
860 Q. When did that occur to you?
A. which?

861 Q. That you could have come in contact with the superintendent?
A. When I returned to work.

862 Q. So when you applied for those days leave, it was refused, was that unreasonable?
A. No, when I applied I got no notification of the leave 15:51 being granted or otherwise. So on the 4th and 5th April, I believe it was, I was absent without leave effectively because nobody had got back to me in relation to the leave, and on those dates I was looking after my wife but I didn't take force majeure because I 15:51 didn't know what the situation with the granting of my leave was. Then, on the 6th April -- or sorry, on the 9th April, when I was inspected by Chief Superintendent Dillane and Inspector O'Sullivan, I asked Inspector O'Sullivan about my leave, what was happening with my leave and he replied to me on the 10th April in a written document. And then he rang me and told me that he was refusing my leave on the 15th and 16th but that I could reapply for the 17 th because there was no issue
with the 17th. And I said to him, if my leave is refused and my wife is sick, I'll have to take force majeure. I said, she may be fine, if she's fine I'11 be in work.
863 Q. And how was your wife in that week, when you were in work?
A. Up until that?

864 Q. The week that you were in work?
A. My wife was fine.

865 Q. Yes. And you'd already told Inspector o'sullivan that even though your wife was fine while you were in work, that you intended to possibly take force majeure leave on the 15th April?
A. On the 15th April, if it was required.

866 Q. If it was required. Why didn't you say the 14th April?
A. Because I didn't apply for leave on the 14th. I don't know -- I could have been --
867 Q. So the requirement for force majeure leave depended on whether or not you had applied?
A. No, if I was on nights it would be a different matter.

I believe I would have been on nights, when I was on nights, my children would have been there to look after my wife.
868 Q. But no, I am just saying, what would have happened on the 14th or the 13th?
A. I'd have to see what duty I was on.

869 Q. Did you warn Inspector o'sullivan of that?
A. I could have been on rest days or I could have been on nights, in which case it wouldn't be relevant.

870 Q. Did you tell him about the 18th?
A. Same situation; I could have been on rest days or on nights, I'm not sure what duty I was on.
A. I was applying for leave on the dates that I believed there could have been an issue should something happen. would you if a member of your unit and you're tightly resourced and you're short staffed and a member of your unit comes in and says I need leave on Friday and Saturday for annual leave?
Sorry, that wasn't the situation here.that right, do you sanction their leave?
A. The garda leave?

875
Q. Yes.
A. It wasn't sanctioned by me.
Q. Do you sanction their leave?
A. No, I don't sanction their leave.

877 Q. But are you contacted whether or not it works within the unit to grant annual leave for certain days?
A. Yes.

878 Q. And if a member of your unit said to you, will you sanction me for Friday and Saturday for annual leave, and you say, I can't because I don't have cover, how
would you react if that member then doesn't show up and claims force majeure?
A. It wouldn't have anything to do with me, because the force majeure is nothing that I have control over.
879 Q. Yes. That's what force majeure is about. The point is, how do you know you're going to be exposed to force majeure a week before you have force majeure? That's what force majeure means. It means something sudden and out of your control, you understand that?
A. I understand. And as I stated, in between those dates there was no issue and if there was nothing happening on those dates $I$ would have been in work.
880 Q. Mr. Barry, we're very clear at this stage that you are a man who is entirely suspicious of the nefarious purposes to have you moved, transferred from to Fermoy Garda Station in 2004, you're a man capable of suspicion, we know that, and are you telling me that you would have no suspicion if a member of your unit managed to call in for force majeure on the same days that that member had been refused leave?
A. If that guard had told me that his wife was having back problems and was being treated for same and she was having intermittent occasions where she would not be able to look after herself, then I would accept his excuse.
881 Q. You would accept it?
A. I would.

882 Q. And if Chief Superintendent Dillane said that the only reason he was looking for a report in relation to a
fatal fire was because the c71 wasn't enough, would you accept his explanation?
A. Not under the circumstances, no. Different circumstances.
And if my client said he couldn't authorise you for some days of your annual leave in a may application, would you accept his explanation?
A. I did accept his explanation and I relayed to him the problem I had and the reason I was taking these leave dates, whether I needed them or not. I would have come 15:57 to work and I would not have availed of my leave had it been necessary.
884 Q. You would have just shown up, would you, on the 15th and the 16th April?
A. I wouldn't have just shown up, I would have notified him that I was coming back, that I didn't need the leave.
Q. If you didn't need the leave, you were planning to come in?
A. If I didn't need force majeure, I had told him that I would work if I didn't need it. That the leave was -I was aware the leave wasn't granted for that date, plus I knew I was going --
Q. If you knew that a member of your unit had applied, let's take a hypothetical situation, to go for the weekend, but was refused leave for that weekend, would you be suspicious if that member called in a force majeure?
A. If the member was going away, as you say, for a
weekend, then I would be suspicious, but I was not going away for a weekend. I was attending my doctor and I could have had the 17th off, so you're talking about a day and a half effectively that $I$ was absent.
887 Q. So that's why you were applying, you kept the leave days, was so that you could attend your doctor?
A. No, I am not entitled to see my doctor if I am working.

888 Q. I know?
A. I didn't have to look for leave for that.

889 Q. No. We11, certainly that appears to be the way things operate within An Garda Síochána, that people are accommodated?
A. Absolutely. It's in the interest of your health.
Q. Yes. And what you are telling me is that it was unreasonable to be suspicious about your force majeure 1eave?
A. I had explained the circumstances and what it may be needed for.
Q. Do you accept that you should apply the same standard to everything that you have set out before this
Tribunal, which is that it is unreasonable to be suspicious about perfectly ordinary things?
A. I don't agree with you.

892 Q. Right. Should the Tribunal not apply exactly the same standard of open minded and accept the things that happen in the ordinary course are just things that happen in the ordinary course?
A. It is not for me to say how the Tribunal should interpret anything.

893 Q. Except you have suggested that all of this must be interpreted made with malice, nefarious purpose, motive.
A. That is not correct.

894 Q. That is correct.
CHA RMAN Mr. Harty, can I just ask you, if you would like to continue to the end, that's perfectly satisfactory. If you would prefer to leave it there and leave it over, that really depends on how far, whatever your preference is, there's no problem.
MR. HARTY: I always prefer not to leave witnesses under cross-examination, my own cross-examination overnight if at all possible. Mr. Barry might be surprised but I feel it is a little courtesy I can achieve. I have I think 15 minutes.

895 Q. CHAN RMAN Perfect. That is no problem. Are you happy, Mr. Barry, can you struggle on for a little, and then we will finish with Mr. Harty and you will be on to whoever is next, whether it be Mr. Carroll or Mr. McGarry.
THE WTNESS: That is fine, Chairman. CHA RMAN Further de lights await you! Mr. Harty, I think that makes perfect sense.
MR. HARTY: Thank you.
896 Q. In any event, you were disciplined for the veracity or otherwise of the force majeure claim, isn't that right?
A. I wasn't disciplined, no.

897 Q. You were disciplined for not notifying the fact that you had to take force majeure leave?
A. Which is not a reason to discipline someone.

898 Q. Well, that was the decision of the deciding officer, isn't that correct?
A. It's for not reporting for duty is what the -

899 Q. Yes.
A. - regulations stat, not for taking force majeure.

900 Q. No, but not reporting for duty is a breach of discipline?
A. Yes, that is.

901 Q. And the deciding officer found, didn't in fact consider 16:01 that but considered whether or not you had failed to report the force majeure and decided that there was no express provision in relation to that, isn't that correct?
A. There was no provision in the Act for reporting.

902 Q. In the Act, that's correct?
A. Yes. As it applied to An Garda Síochána.

903 Q. Although neglect of duty under the Garda regulations would be neglect of anything that you are required to do, isn't that correct?
A. But there was no requirement.

904 Q. CHAN RMAN But in your case that's what did.
A. I did it anyway, even though it wasn't required.

905 Q. CHA RMAN Surely it is obvious that somebody has to report in, unless they're on a desert island?
MR. HARTY: That in fact was the decision of the district officer, was effectively that the regulations don't expressly say that you have to do this, therefore --

CHA RMAN That's what he said, Mr. Harty. But in fact Mr. Barry's case is, I did report in and here's my telephone, and despite the fact that nobody could remember it six months later of the four people that were there and he can't remember who he spoke to of the 16:03 four people who were there, nevertheless there is a record of a phone a11, end of, so to speak.
MR. HARTY: That's what it all amounted to.
CHA RMAN For my part, I found it a little baffling that somebody would say, ah well, it doesn't matter, there's no requirement on him. Assuming that he an electric telephone and a mobile phone and a way of making it -- but what do I know. Anyway.
906 Q. MR. HARTY: The answer, Mr. Barry and Chairman, is that it was found, he found as a fact that you hadn't called in but then found that there was no requirement to do so.
A. Sorry, he didn't find a fact that I didn't ring in, because I gave him the phone record.
907 Q. No, no, but he made a finding?
A. He made a finding that he believed I didn't.

908 Q. Yes, that was his finding.
A. I understand that but I did make a call.

909 Q. I appreciate your version. what was done to you as a result of all of this?
A. I was put through more stress and --

910 Q. CHAI RMAN But you were acquitted, weren't you?
A. I was, yes.

911 Q. CHA RMAN The thing closed down. Clearly you didn't
need this hanging over you and that was a worry and an unpleasant thing, no doubt about that?
A. Yes.

912 Q. CHA RMAN But the answer to Mr. Harty's question is, nothing happened to me because I was acquitted?
A. That's correct.

CHA RMAK I'm sorry, forgive me, my natural
irritability and impatience comes out about this time. Sorry, Mr. Harty.
MR. HARTY: Not at a11, Chairman. I think I may be marginally longer.
CHA RMAN No, no, I take it back. I made what is obviously a very pathetic attempt at you --
MR. HARTY: No, I think if the Tribunal wouldn't mind, I might ask Mr. Barry to wait over until tomorrow morning, $I$ am losing my focus and it might be better if we left it.

CHA RMAN I hope it is not because of what I said, Mr. Harty.
MR. HARTY: Not at a11, Chairman. It is my own, as I
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say, I worry that I too --


CHA RMAN That was the option available to you, so I'm not going to take it back. Okay. So we will leave it until the morning. Thank you very much.
MR. HARTY: Thank you.
CHA RMAN Very good. I should say before we finish, I am hoping and maybe Mr. McGarry and Mr. Carroll can help me. Mr. Harty, sorry, I'm assuming you don't have much further to go.

MR. HARTY: No.
CHA RMAN So then we have Mr. McGarry.
MR. CARROLL: I will go next, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Sorry, Mr. Carroll.
MR. CARRQL: I will be relatively short. At the outer 16:05 reaches of half an hour, but it may be significantly 1 ess.

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. I am not trying to pressure anybody into saying how long. Mr. McGarry, you probably won't be very long.
MR. MtGARRY: I'm in the same position, probably half an hour at most.

CHA RMAN Okay. Mr. Costelloe, it's a matter for you if there's sufficient time, but have you any idea how long you might be?
MR. COSTELLOE: Based on those estimates, Chairman, I believe I will finish comfortably tomorrow.

CHA RMAN Because it would be nice if we could say to Mr. Barry, listen here, you're manfully taken, you know, answered all the questions and dealt with all the 16:06 things, I would be very keen if we could say to him that he was finished tomorrow.

MR. COSTELLOE: We11, of course Mr. McGuinness may fee1
that he has re-examination.
CHA RMAN And subject to Mr. McGuinness, whom I have forgotten to ask Mr. McGuinness. But allowing for that, we might go on a tiny bit longer just to try to finish tomorrow but if at all possible I think everybody would -- you would like to be finished
tomorrow?
THE WTNESS: Yes, Chairman.
MR. COSTELLOE: Chairman, given the detailed examination and cross-examinations so far, which I'm sure will be supplemented tomorrow by my friends, I don't anticipate being more than an hour.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much, Mr. Costelloe. Don't fee1 under pressure.
MR. COSTELLOE: I don't, thank you.
CHA RMAN If it goes longer, it goes longer and therefore what with can we do. It's an important matter and it's particularly important for Mr. Barry and I am sure that he would prefer not to have anything truncated. But we still have it in mind that we would love to finish tomorrow. Okay. Thanks very much.
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|  | 21:6, 21:13, | 130:7, 130:11, | Flor [1]-13:27 | formed [1] - 76:1 | G |
|  | 21:24, 21:28, | 130:25, 131:22, | focus [1] - | forming [1] - |  |
| 56:20, 56:24, | 24:18, 31:22, | 132:24, 134:7, | 151:16 | 34:24 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57: 29,59: 22, \\ & 59 \cdot 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 3,32: 16,33: 7, \\ & 33: 13,33: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 136:14, 145:15 } \\ \text { few [4]-16:28 } \end{array}$ | focusing [1] - | forms [2] - | $61: 9,61: 10$ |
| $65: 8,103: 27$ | 33:21, 33:23, | 103:12, 104:27, | follow [1] - 80:7 | 98:18, 129:8 forward [7] - | garage [12] - |
| fairness [3] - | 33:28, 34:7, 34:8, | 105:17 | Following [1] - | $75: 3,78: 25,88: 6,$ | 36:27, 37:3, |
| 78:14, 104:1, | $34: 21,34: 23$, $34 \cdot 28,35 \cdot 3,35 \cdot 7$ | fifteen [1] - 36:2 | $123: 15$ | $104: 14,105: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 15,40: 8 \\ & 40: 18,40: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:28 } \\ & \text { fairway [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 28,35: 3,35: 7, \\ & 35: 9,35: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { file }[8]-51: 24, \\ & 52: 9,52: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { following }[19] \text { - } \\ 8: 27,11: 16,53: 5, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:6, 118:14 } \\ & \text { forwarded }[4]- \end{aligned}$ | $40: 28,43: 13$ |
| 21:14 | 35:23, 37:4, | 52:25, 52:26 | 55:16, 63:12, | 119:11, 123:18, | $44: 29,47: 8$ |
| false [1] - 135:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 12,37: 16, \\ & 27 \cdot 17 \text {, } 27 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | $52: 27,53: 1$ | 64:17, 80:13, | $125: 3,128: 6$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 47:11, 47:25 } \\ \text { garda [8] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { family }[3] \text { - } \\ \text { 140:8, 140:10. } \end{gathered}$ | $37: 28,38: 3,38: 5$ | 70:10 <br> filed [2]-24: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 83:15, 106:11, } \\ & 117 \cdot 2 \quad 120 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | Fota [7] - | $27: 10,37: 29$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140:8, 140:10, } \\ & 140: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $38: 14,38: 15$ | $52: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:2, 120:14, } \\ & \text { 121:12, 123:8, } \end{aligned}$ | 128:22, 129:1, | 49:8, 111:3, |
| Fanning [1] - | 38:17, 38:18, | filling [1] - 42:23 | 123:10, 123:20, | 129:17, 129:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:11, 111:13, } \\ & \text { 114:29, 144:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12:22 | $38: 20,38: 23$, $38: 24,40: 16$, | finance [1] - | 124:18, 126:3, | 139:18 | 114:29, 144:19 <br> Garda [84] - |
| FANNING [1] - | 38:24, 40:16, | 94:5 | 128:4, 131:19 | fought [2] - | Garda [84] 6:11, 8:7, 8:12, |
| 3:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 18,40: 19 \\ & 40: 20,40: 21, \end{aligned}$ | financial [7] - | FOLLOWS [3] - | $75: 4,75: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 11,8: 7,8: 12, \\ & \text { 12:20, 25:15, } \end{aligned}$ |
| far [11]-15:1, $15 \cdot 7,15: 8,15 \cdot 14$ | $40: 23,40: 25$ | 10:3, 11:7, 11:29, 28:10, 28:14, | 5:1, 5:17, 78:11 follows [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { four [5] - 52:26, } \\ \text { 69•25 } 135 \cdot 11 \end{gathered}$ | $36: 4,36: 11$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:7, 15:8, 15:14, } \\ & \text { 15:19, 61:26, } \end{aligned}$ | 41:4, 41:5, 41:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 10,28: 14 \\ & 28: 16,56: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { follows [1] - } \\ & \text { 127:29 } \end{aligned}$ | 69:25, 135:11, | $36: 25,37: 1,37: 2$ |
| 76:14, 119:23, | $42: 6,42: 7,42: 9$ | fine [11] - 17:1, | FOR [3] - 3:1, | fourth [1] - 9:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 21,37: 26 \\ & 39: 12,40: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 138:1, 148:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 12,42: 21, \\ & 44: 9,44: 20, \end{aligned}$ | 64:26, 67:25, | 3:7, 78:10 | FREEMAN [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 12,40: 25, \\ & 40: 27,42: 26, \end{aligned}$ |
| 153:4 |  | 80:1, 89:20, | force [22] - | 3:3 | $43: 8,43: 16,46: 4$ |
| fashioned [1] - | 46:16, 46:24, | 129:26, 143:3, | 34:21, 139:21, | Friday [2] - | 46:19, 46:21, |
| 113:22 | 47:5, 49:1, 52:13, $52: 18,56: 27$ | 143:9, 143:11, 148:21 | 140:16, 141:29, | 144:9, 144:28 | $46: 23,46: 26$ |
| fatal [10] - | $60: 5,60: 15$ | 148:21 | 142:20, 143:2, | FRIDAY [1] - | $47: 23,48: 5$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:29, 109:23, } \\ & \text { 124:2, 124:4, } \end{aligned}$ | 62:11, 62:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { finish [5] - } \\ & \text { 148:18, 151:26, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:12, 143:18, } \\ & 145: 2,145: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 153:17 } \\ \text { friend } \end{gathered}$ | $48: 18,48: 20$ |
| 124:6, 125:1, | 62:29, 63:3, 63:5, | 152:17, 152:28, | $145: 5,145: 6$ | $20: 10,26: 19$ | $48: 22,48: 26$ <br> 50:1, 50:11 |
| 126:11, 126:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 63: 12,63: 13 \\ & 63: 18,63: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 153:15 | $145: 7,145: 8$ | 32:22 | $50: 25,51: 1,$ |
| 126:27, 146:1 <br> February [1] - | 63:27, 63:29, | $\begin{gathered} \text { finished [2] - } \\ \text { 152:22, } 152: 29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 145:19, 146:20, } \\ & \text { 146:27, 147:15, } \end{aligned}$ | friendly [2] - | $56: 27,60: 5,$ |
| 100:19 | $64: 6,64: 17$ | FINTAN ${ }_{[1]}-3: 1$ | 148:26, 148:29, | friends [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 15,62: 11 \\ & \text { 63:3, 63:13, 64:1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| fed [2]-7:26, | $65: 13,65: 18$ | Fir [1] - 14:16 fire 5 - 107.29 | 149:6, 149:12 | 20:7, 153:5 | 64:16, 64:21, |
| 7:28 | 65:29, 66:13, | fire [5] - 107:29, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { forced [1] - } \\ & \text { 82:22 } \end{aligned}$ | front [1] - 105:9 | $68: 4,81: 2,85: 26,$ |
| felt [6] - 18:20, | $66: 20,67: 12$ | $126: 24,146: 1$ | forensic [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fuel }[1]-39: 9 \\ & \text { full }[20]-11: 19, \end{aligned}$ | 86:12, 86:24, |
| $46: 13,72: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 19,70: 12, \\ & 70: 16,72: 17 \end{aligned}$ | firearm [1] - | 124:19 | $25: 16,80: 8,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 13,102: 23, \\ & \text { 106:7, 107:24, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 74:29, 108:18, | $72: 24,73: 7$ | 127:10 | forensics [3]- | 88:14, 88:26, | 110:18, 115:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:19 } \\ & \text { female [1]-8:18 } \end{aligned}$ | 73:26, 73:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { firm [1] - 99:17 } \\ & \text { first [22] - 20:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:17, 124:18, } \\ & 125: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $92: 7,93: 24$ $94: 14.95: 28$ | 115:8, 115:12, |
| Fermoy [182] - | $74: 1,74: 14$, $74 \cdot 19,75: 5,75.8$ | $25: 16,25: 26$ | forever [1] - 9:5 | 94:14, 95:28, | 115:17, 116:6, |
| 7:25, 10:29, 12:9, | $74: 19,75: 5,75: 8$ | 25:27, 26:29, | forgive [1] - | 99:4, 100:6, | 116:15, 116:27, |
| 12:12, 12:15, | 75:26, 76:2, 76:8, 76:9, 76:11, | 28:7, 28:25, 31:5, | 151:7 | 106:7, 106:19, | 118:29, 119:23, |
| 12:17, 12:20, | 76:12, 76:23, | 31:11, 31:24, | forgot [3] - | 107:11, 123:15, |  |


| 123:7, 124:10, | granted [6] - | - 128:14 | 114:2, 114:11, | history [1] - | hundred [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125:12, 126:3, | 84:22, 134:26, | happy [18] - | 119:26, 120:6, | 88:29 | 76:3 |
| 127:15, 128:1, | 139:4, 139:14, | 11:13, 11:18, | 120:19, 120:25, | hold [2] - 13:16, | hurt [1] - 80:4 |
| 129:28, 130:12, | 142:16, 146:22 | 11:20, 11:25, | 122:18, 130:5, | 112:22 | hypothetical [1] |
| 133:21, 133:24, | granting [2] - | 14:18, 14:23, | 148:6, 148:18, | holiday [3] - | - 146:25 |
| 133:27, 134:6, | 139:2, 142:21 | 16:13, 62:21, | 148:22, 150:1, | 138:16, 138:19, |  |
| 135:25, 145:16, | great [2]-9:18, | 62:23, 91:2, 95:4, | 151:9, 151:19, | 138:20 | I |
| $147: 11,149: 17$, $149: 18$ | 67:2 | 96:10, 103:18, | 151:28 | holidays [1] - |  |
| 149:18 gardaí [5] | greater [5] - $65: 26,66: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105: 25,138: 2, \\ & 138: 5,148: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Harty's [2] - } \\ \text { 106:22, 151: } \end{array}$ | $140: 16$ | i.e [1] - 120:18 |
| 12:19, 77:7 | $66: 14,74: 18$ | harassment [5] - | hatched | hols [1] - 140:22 | idea [4] - 14:3, |
| 109:14, 122:4, | 76:17 | 86:15, 86:20, | 35:9 | 18:25, 18:27, | 63:22, 64:13, |
| 144:13 | grew [1] - 38:9 | 135:27, 136:9, | head [2]-15:26, | 18:29, 37:6, | $152: 14$ |
| gather [1]-6:15 general [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ground [1] - } \\ & \text { 108:13 } \end{aligned}$ | 136:12 harbouring | 53:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 15,39: 7 \\ & 40: 11,40: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { identified [3] - } \\ & \text { 16:18, 18:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { general [3] - } \\ 5: 22,5: 23,5: 27 \end{gathered}$ | 108:13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { harb } \\ \text { 17:15 } \end{gathered}$ | [8] | 40:11, 40:13, | 92:13 |
| generally [3] - | 79:12 | hard [1] - 55:26 | 20:15, 31:1, | 71:1, 116:23, | identify [2] - |
| 25:17, 25:29, | grudge [9] - | harm [2] - | 66:22, 130:4, | 140:8, 140:10, | 14:25, 79:25 <br> identifying |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:5 } \\ & \text { generate }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 15,17: 19 \\ & 18: 10,19: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:20 } \\ & \text { HARTY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 130:7, 130:25 } \\ \text { health [17] - } \end{gathered}$ | $140: 13$ <br> honestly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { identifying } \\ & \text { 125:25 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 74: 15 \\ & \text { genuine [1] - } \\ & 45: 14 \\ & \text { geography }[2] \text { - } \\ & 64: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 19:14, 19:17, | 4:5, 5:8, 5:10, | 11:26, 13:18, | 8:6 | ill [2]-69:16, |
|  | 19:24, 19:25 | 5:12, 5:16, 5:19, | 54:11, 80:27, | hop [1] - 49:28 | 114:11 |
|  | 20:1 | 15:11, 15:18, | 81:2, 81:8, 81:13, | hope [3]-42:19, | illness [13] - <br> $80 \cdot 15,80 \cdot 20$ |
|  | guarantee [1] - | 15:22, 15:29, | 85:13, 85:25, | 122:20, 151:18 | 80:15, 80:20, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:10 } \\ & \text { Gerard [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $138: 12$ | 16:2, 16:9, 24:10, $25: 24.25: 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 91:19, 93:11, } \\ & \text { 91.12 } 101: 27 \end{aligned}$ | hoped [1] - 94:7 | 86:3, 86:6, 86:8, |
|  | guard [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 24,25: 26, \\ & 45: 22,45: 26 \end{aligned}$ | 94:12, 94:27, <br> 96:1, 98:8, | hopefully [6] - | $86: 10,87: 1$ |
| $80: 11$ <br> Gillane [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 110: 28,113: 14, \\ & 128: 12,145: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $50: 25,56: 3,56: 6,$ | 113:10, 147:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 17,89: 9 \\ & 92: 24,96: 28 \end{aligned}$ | illnesses [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:11 } \\ & \text { given [10] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guess [5] - 64:3, } \\ & 91: 14,91: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:19, 57:23, } \\ & 58: 5,78: 6,78: 14, \end{aligned}$ | hear [1] - 67:7 <br> HEARING [3] | $\begin{gathered} \text { 97:14, 97:20 } \\ \text { hopes [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:7 } \\ & \text { immediately [1] } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50: 17,74: 5,79: 9 \\ & 85: 8,98: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 102:23, 102:25 | 88:25, 104:5, | 5:1, 78:10, | 89:16 | - 106:11 |
|  | guessing [2] | 104:16, 104:19, | 153:17 | hoping [20] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { immense [1] - } \\ & 13: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100: 5,103: 29 \\ & 110: 8,112: 19 \\ & 153: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $47: 25,47: 26$ | 104:23, 104:29, <br> 105.3, 105.7 | heart [1] - | 88:17, 89:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:12 } \\ & \text { impact [2] - } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | guns [1] - 53:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:3, 105:7, } \\ & 105: 25,105: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 108:11 | 89:24, 89:27, | $52: 6,55: 3$ |
| Glanmire [11] - | H | 106:3, 107:6, | 105:4 | 90:16, 91:13 | impacts [1] - |
| 14:26, 38:7, 38:8,38:9, 38:11, |  | 107:10, 111:19, | ld [1] - 44:18 | 91:22, 91:29, | 55:6 |
|  |  | 112:7, 113:26, | hell [1] - 92:26 | 92:4, 93:12, | impatience [2] - |
| 58:27, 88:3, | $\begin{gathered} \text { half }[7]-6: 21, \\ 115 \cdot 27115 \cdot 28 \end{gathered}$ | 114:16, 115:11, | help [13]-5:21, | 95:20, 95:24, | 112:12, 151:8 |
| 131:22, 132:24, 134:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 115: 27,115: 28, \\ & 116: 1.147: 4 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:3, 120:21, } \\ & \text { 122:19. 122:23. } \end{aligned}$ | $24: 11,31: 7,34: 6$ | $96: 27,97: 13$ | implement [1] - 82:4 |
| ```134:7 glitch [1] - 23:26 glossed [1] -``` | $152: 6,152: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:19, 122:23 } \\ & \text { 129:27, 130:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 11,46: 19 \\ & 48: 28,49: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 20,105: 8, \\ & 122: 21,151: 2 \end{aligned}$ | implemented [3] |
|  | HALIDAY [1] - | 140:3, 140:6, | 57:27, 59:21, | Horan [1] - | - 8:9, 81:29, 82:6 |
| 57:6 |  | 140:28, 141:4, | 77:20, 118:18, | 13:27 | implementing |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gold [1] - 129:9 } \\ & \text { golf [2] - 128:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hamstrung [1] - } \\ & \text { 138:4 } \end{aligned}$ | 148:11, 148:24, 149:26. 150:8. | 151:28 | Hospital [1] - | [1] - 7:17 |
|  | 138:4 <br> HANAHOE [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:26, 150:8, } \\ & \text { 150:14, 151:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { helped [1] - } \\ & 58: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:22 } \\ & \text { hospital } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { implicit [3] - } \\ 55: 23,107: 27, \end{gathered}$ |
| Google [3] - | $3: 9$ | 151:14, 151:20, | helpful [1] - | $58: 24$ | 118:12 |
| 16:3, 16:5, | hand [5]-55:22, | 151:25, 152:1 | 57:23 | hour [4]-6:21, | important [4] - |
| 129:25 | 60:1, 80:6, 84:26 | Harty [39]-5:6, | helpfully [1] - | 152:6, 152:12, | 91:20, 98:16, |
| GORDON [1] - | HANDED [2] - <br> $80 \cdot 7111 \cdot 9$ | $5: 11,24: 9,40: 6,$ | 111:8 | 153:6 | $153: 11,153: 12$ |
| 3:7 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 18,55: 23 \\ & 55: 28,56: 2,57: 6 \end{aligned}$ | herself [1] - |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { importantly [1] - } \\ 60 \cdot 14 \end{gathered}$ |
| GP [8]-31:3, | handed [3] - $52: 24,53: 1,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 28,56: 2,57: 6, \\ & 57: 11,57: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $145: 24$ | $127: 29,128: 2$ | $60: 14$ |
| 35:22, 56:6, 56:9, | 55:21 | 78:13, 103:24, | $70: 11$ | house [1] - | $63: 11$ |
| 76:22, 87:12 | handing [1] - | 103:28, 104:4, | highlight [1] - | 126:24 | impression [5] - |
| $69: 19,69: 21$ | 111:8 | 105:26, 107:3, | 70:13 | HRM [8] - 9:12, | 5:27, 74:18, |
|  | hanging [1] 151:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:18, 112:3, } \\ & \text { 112:8, 112:18, } \end{aligned}$ | his/her [3] - | $9: 14,13: 5,14: 1$ | 89:15, 103:15, |
| grant [1] - | happenings [1] | 113:19, 113:27, | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 10,83: 23, \\ & 83: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:9, 95:12, } \\ & \text { 134:27, 137:17 } \end{aligned}$ | 103:28 improper [2] - |



| 74:6, 89:20 | leaving [3] - | linked [1] - | love [1] - 153:15 | 19:23, 20:8, | 72:19, 75:29, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| last [11] - 25:27, | 43:13, 105:26, | 86:28 | LUNCH [1] - | 20:14, 21:3, | 79:7, 80:1, 82:10, |
| 57:21, 60:9, | 109:1 | Lismore [1] - | 78:10 | 22:23, 26:25, | 92:25, 96:29, |
| 60:10, 69:25, | led [1]-49:23 | 15:18 | lying [9] - 72:20, | 29:24, 54:19, | 97:15, 97:21, |
| 88:22, 98:4, | left [22]-8:25, | list [5] - 13:29, | 74:20, 74:22, | 69:15, 74:1, 75:5, | 110:11, 116:21, |
| 99:29, 103:11, | 35:10, 40:10, | 14:12, 22:1, | 74:24, 74:29, | 133:12, 145:14, | 116:24, 117:11, |
| 103:12, 105:17 | 40:11, 40:17, | 75:15, 106:4 | 75:13, 75:18, | 145:16 | 125:9, 127:3, |
| late [14]-35:29, | 40:18, 40:20, | listen [2] - | 75:22, 75:26 | man's [1] - 68:11 | 130:26, 132:15 |
| 36:1, 36:11, | 41:29, 42:10, | 48:24, 152:19 | Lyons [3] - 99:7, | manage [3] - | max [1] - 59:1 |
| 36:13, 36:20, | 61:28, 62:1, | listening [1] - | 99:13, 99:17 | $7: 2,8: 11,66: 26$ | $\operatorname{MAY}_{[2]}-5: 1$ |
| 44:27, 45:11, | 67:12, 69:19, | 133:9 |  | managed [1] - | 153:17 |
| 45:12, 45:14, | 80:3, 112:28, | live [4]-38:3, | M | $145: 19$ | Mayfield [3] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45: 15,46: 7,46: 8 \\ & 46: 9,49: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:9, 115:20, } \\ & 133: 5,133: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 4,93: 28, \\ & 132: 26 \end{aligned}$ |  | management [18] - 7:6, 8:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 27,66: 11, \\ & 66: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| latest [3]-35:2, | 136:11, 137:9, | living [8] - | machine [1] - | 17:24, 18:21, | McCarthy [1] - |
| 36:16, 36:18 | $151: 17$ | $14: 21,14: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:26 } \\ & \text { Maquire [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | 19:12, 39:14, | 94:17 |
| law [1]-38:27 | legitimate [3] - | 16:19, 18:6, 18:7, | Maguire [3] - | $39: 17,40: 7,$ | MCGARRY ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| lax [2]-48:11, | 36:19, 46:13, | $22: 2,70: 22,94: 1$ | 64:22, 64:28, | $46: 12,64: 16$ | 3:1 |
| 48:17 | 105:22 | load [1] - 111:27 | $\begin{gathered} 65: 1 \\ \text { mai } \end{gathered}$ | 68:4, 132:28, | McGarry [6] - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { laying [1] - } \\ \text { 132:19 } \end{gathered}$ | less [4]-21:2, $32: 13,58: 24$, | ${ }_{111.28}^{\text {loading [1] - }}$ | 123:25, 124:1, | 133:21, 133:25, <br> 133:27, 134:6, | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 6,148: 20, \\ & \text { 151:27, 152:2, } \end{aligned}$ |
| lead [1] - 97:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 152:7 } \\ & \text { lest }[1]-33: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { loathe [1] - } \\ & \text { 103:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:3 } \\ & \text { main }_{[1]}- \end{aligned}$ | 134:14, 135:26 management. | $152: 9,152: 11$ |
| 35:11 | letter [8]-11:8, | local [2] - 15:1, | 140:29 | [1] - 57:22 | 80:5, 80:6, |
| least [3]-49:9, | 11:12, 11:16, | 134:6 | maintain [2] - | managing [6] - | 111:21, 111:24, |
| $105: 21,129: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:17, 11:20, } \\ & \text { 12:22, 13:3, } \end{aligned}$ | location [1] - | 108:1, 108:2 <br> maintained [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:27, 8:7, 9:1, } \\ & \text { 17:21, 49:14 } \end{aligned}$ | 111:28 <br> McGuinness [7] |
| $14: 14,28: 13$ | 99:26 | 42:23 | 9:5, 89:4, 96:23 | 138:4 | - 111:7, 116:25, |
| 28:17, 28:19, | letting [1] - | 71:10, 71:11 | majeure [21] - | manfully [1] - | 140:24, 140:27, |
| 28:21, 29:1, | 10:25 | long-term [2] - | 139:21, 140:17, | 152:19 | 152:23, 152:25, |
| 38:23, 43:20, | level [2]-6:4, | 9:8, 9:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 141: 29,12: 20 \\ & 143: 3,143: 12 \end{aligned}$ | manner [2] - | 152:26 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80: 14,84: 2,84: 6, \\ & 87: 9,105: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6:28 } \\ \text { leve } \end{gathered}$ | look [14] - 71:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 143: 3,143: 12, \\ & 143: 18,145: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26: 13,79: 10 \\ \operatorname{map}[1]-102: \end{gathered}$ | ME [1] - 3:9 meal [1]-24 |
| 138:8, 138:21, | 89:11, 96:29, | 86:11, 87:29, | $145: 4,145: 5$ | Maps [2]-16:3, | mean [10] - |
| 139:3, 139:4, | 97:16, 97:22 | 98:21, 100:27, | 145:7, 145:8, <br> 145:19, 146:20 | 129:25 | 12:18, 39:7, |
| 139:13, 139:21, | life [5] - 65:7, | 112:25, 114:22, | 146:28, 147:15, | March [7]-29:1, | 45:11, 85:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:24, 139:25, } \\ & \text { 140:17, 140:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 16,98: 7 \\ & 98: 10,110: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 127:2, 132:24, | 148:26, 148:29, | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 21,29: 26, \\ & 59: 4.106: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:13, 102:22, } \\ & \text { 106:12. 119:16. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 141:18, 141:29, 142:1, 142:13, | lift [7]-37:26, $39: 4,39: 5,41 \cdot 3$ | $147: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:6, 149:12 } \\ & \text { malice }[2]-91: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $141: 9,141: 10$ | $136: 29,140: 18$ |
| 142:15, 142:17, | $42: 1,47: 4,49: 3$ | looked [4] - 56:18, 80:23, | 148:2 | $151: 11$ | 54:20, 91:29, |
| 142:19, 142:22, | lifts [3] - 48:16, | 128:16, 131:11 | Mallow [36] - | married [1] - | 103:28, 130:18, |
| 142:25, 142:26, | $129: 28,130: 15$ | looking [19] - | 70:26, 71:2, 71:13, 71:26, | $124: 9$ | 145:8 |
| $142: 28,143: 1$, $143: 12,143: 16$, | light [7]-39:14, | 9:10, 9:28, 12:2, | 88:5, 90:18, | marrying [1] - | meant [5] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:12, 143:16, } \\ & \text { 143:18, 144:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 17,40: 8, \\ & 40: 21,41: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 12:29, 88:6, | $90: 25,90: 28,$ | 119:6 | $44: 14,58: 11$ <br> 68.21, 74:26 |
| 144:9, 144:10, | 46:12, 56:24 | 100:25, 104:14, | $91: 15,92: 13$ | $55: 22,112: 18$ | 135:25 |
| 144:14, 144:15, | lights [1] - | 105:5, 105:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:22, 93:16, } \\ & 93: 17,93: 22, \end{aligned}$ | matter [20] - 7:6, | meantime [1] - |
| 144:18, 144:19, | 148:22 | 105:13, 106:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:17, 93:22, } \\ & 93: 29,94: 2,94: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $28: 14,54: 4,54: 7$ | $114: 10$ |
| 144:22, 144:23, <br> 144:25, 144:28 | likely [1] - 98:7 | 134:29, 135:2, | 94:15, 94:21, | 80:21, 80:22, | measure [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 144: 25,144: 28 \\ & 145: 20,146: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Limerick [2]- } \\ & \text { 29:7. } 29: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:3, 140:28, } \\ & \text { 142:19. 145:29 } \end{aligned}$ | 94:22, 94:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 89: 10,94: 5 \\ & 104: 5,114: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 104:9 |
| 146:9, 146:11, | limited [1] - | loop [4] - | 94:25, 94:29, | 117:28, 121:6, | 53:20, 53:26, |
| 146:17, 146:18, | 110:10 | 129:12, 129:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:25, 103:4, } \\ & \text { 132:26, 133:1, } \end{aligned}$ | 123:13, 126:29, | $56: 7,56: 9,58: 15$ |
| 146:21, 146:22, | line [11]-6:27, | 129:15 | 133:26, 134:3, | 138:29, 139:1, | 61:13, 63:28, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:26, 147:5, } \\ & \text { 147:9. 147:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 24:28, 55:20, | losing [1] - | 134:6, 134:8, | 143:20, 150:10, | 68:21, 85:18, |
| 148:8, 148:9, | 57:27, 75:1, | 151:16 | 134:9, 134:13 | 152:13, 153:12 | 85:28, 86:3, 86:6, |
| 148:11, 148:29, | 85:14, 96:20, | ss [1] - 80:16 | 134:17, 134:19, | mat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:10, 98:26, } \\ & \text { 101:17, 135:2, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 151:23 | 113:16, 117:27 | 106:21, 119:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:20 } \\ & \operatorname{man}_{[15]}-18: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $30: 14,67: 22$ | 135:3, 135:17, |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| needed [6] - | nights [5] - | 148:28 | objection [3] - | 123:29, 124:1, | 129:16, 130:24, |
| 10:24, 30:10, | 143:20, 143:21, | notions [1] - | 16:10, 17:27, | 127:17, 127:26, | 131:20, 132:3, |
| 101:16, 117:17, | 143:22, 143:29, | 114:10 | 56:29 | 127:27, 127:29, | 134:13, 140:23 |
| 146:10, 147:18 | 144:3 | nuisance [1] - | obligation [1] - | 128:2, 128:6, | one-off [2] - |
| needs [1] - 6:2 <br> nefarious [18] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ninth [2]-82:12, } \\ & 82: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:9 } \\ & \text { null }[5]-61: 27, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:19 } \\ & \text { obligations [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:12 } \\ & \text { officer [21] - } \end{aligned}$ | 22:15, 22:26 |
| 21:24, 21:26, | nobody [3] - | 61:29, 62:9, | 133:11 | 66:12, 66:13, | 129:9 |
| 22:4, 22:13, | 93:20, 142:18, | 62:10, 64:8 | obligatory [2] - | 67:28, 89:8, | ongoing [7] - |
| 22:16, 22:17, | 150:3 | number [9] - | 68:6, 68:8 | 96:27, 109:26, | 59:9, 65:15, |
| 22:18, 22:23, | non [2]-125:1, | 21:1, 22:8, 22:10, | obligatory.. [1] - | 110:24, 119:21, | 68:16, 68:17, |
| 22:24, 74:2, 74:4, | 126:27 | 29:13, 52:1, | 68:10 | 120:1, 123:17, | 135:24, 135:26, |
| 74:6, 74:7, 74:10, | non-fatal [2] - | 77:25, 83:6, | observation [1] | 127:25, 128:5, | 136:4 |
| 75:6, 75:8, | 125:1, 126:27 | 110:4, 134:16 | - 103:27 | 128:8, 128:28, | onward [1] - |
| $145: 14,148: 2$ | none [2]-35:27, | numerous [2]- | obtain [19] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 136:17, 136:27, } \\ & \text { 139:16. 149:2. } \end{aligned}$ | $115: 19$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { negative [4] - } \\ \text { 10:21, 10:22 } \end{gathered}$ | 82:2 |  | 88:17, 89:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 139: 16,149: 2 \\ & 149: 10,149: 27 \end{aligned}$ | onwards [1] - |
| 24:24, 46:10 | normal [19] - | 0 | 89:24, 89:27 | offices [1] - | open [2] - |
| neglect [2]- | 7:1, 7:5, 7:9, |  | 89:29, 90:6, | 137:20 | 129:25, 147:25 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 149:18, 149:19 } \\ \text { neutralise }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 13,8: 14,17: 24 \\ & 21: 15,23: 17 \end{aligned}$ | O'BRIEN [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 11,90: 16, \\ & 91: 29,92: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { official [4] - } \\ 34: 13,34: 14 \end{array}$ | operate [2] - |
| $55: 3$ | 23:20, 24:1, 24:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3:2 } \\ & \text { o'clock [6] - } \end{aligned}$ | $93: 13,94: 7,$ | $74: 21,74: 24$ | operating [1] - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { never [39] - } \\ \text { 19:20, 19:23 } \end{gathered}$ | 25:4, 33:4, 37:27, <br> 43:17, 50:12, | 39:29, 48:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 21,96: 27, \\ & 97: 13,97: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $92: 20,95: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:10 } \\ & \text { oper\& } \end{aligned}$ |
| 28:3, 29:4, 33:3, | 53:2, 66:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 22,77: 20, \\ & 78: 6,78: 8 \end{aligned}$ | obvious [1] - | often [7]-31:23, | 78:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 51: 18,60: 17, \\ & 64: 10,66: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 127:29 | 78:6, 78:8 <br> O'Connell [2] - | 149:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 4,35: 24,59: 2, \\ & 59: 7,73: 7,76: 13 \end{aligned}$ | operations [2] $66 \cdot 18,127 \cdot 27$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 64: 10,66: 15, \\ & 67: 21,72: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { normally [2] } \\ 38: 13,42: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $61: 6,68: 29$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { obviously [3] } \\ \text { 21:21, 113:26, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59: 7,73: 7,76: 13 \\ \text { old [1] - } 113: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 66:18, 127:27 } \\ \text { opinion [13] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| 76:18, 76:27, | north [1] - 15:18 | O'Flynn [1] - | 151:13 | ON [1] - 5:1 | 11:10, 72:19, |
| 79:20, 79:24, | notable [1] - | 138:14 | occasions [5] - | once [2]-23:12, | 75:29, 93:20, |
| 90:8, 90:13, | 24:15 | O'Sullivan [46] - <br> 7.21, 7.23, 10.9 | 9:12, 116:28, | $136: 7$ | $97: 23,97: 25$ |
| 92:12, 93:14, | note [2] - 11:4, | 7:21, 7:23, 10:9, <br> 14:5, 14:15, | 123:4, 134:16, | oncoming [1] - | $97: 28,98: 2,98: 3$ |
| 96:4, 96:14, 102.9, 102:25, | 92:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:5, 14:15, } \\ & \text { 16:11, 16:14 } \end{aligned}$ | $145: 23$ | $115: 21$ | 98:6, 98:9, 98:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:9, 102:25, } \\ & \text { 102:29, 109:21, } \end{aligned}$ | noted [1] - 128:1 | $67: 18,80: 27$ | occupational [5] | one [64]-6:11, | 144:17 |
| 110:2, 115:6, | notes [10]- $31: 26,55: 25$, | 80:29, 81:4, 82:9, | $\begin{aligned} & -80: 26,81: 2, \\ & \text { 81:8, 85:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $9: 12,9: 29,19: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { opposite [1] - } \\ & \text { 38:7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 115:14, 125:16, | 56:15, 56:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 18,83: 15, \\ & 83 \cdot 1783 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $85: 25$ | 22:15, 22:26, | option [6] - |
| 126:9, 135:22, $136.2136: 20$, | 72:29, 76:10, | $84: 9,87: 5,87: 8$ | $\operatorname{occur}[3]-19: 6,$ | 23:1, 23:4, 24:17, | 41:29, 71:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 136:2, 136:20, } \\ & \text { 136:23, 137:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 98:27, 100:10, 101:25, 102:7 | 106:6, 106:10, | 24:26, 142:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:25, 24:26, } \\ & \text { 28:5, 28:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 15,95: 16, \\ & 132 \cdot 26 \quad 151: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 137:17, 138:5, | 101:25, 102:7 nothing [14] - | 106:29, 107:7, | $46: 5,107: 29$ | $31: 22,32: 3,$ | 132:26, 151:22 options [1] - |
| 141:22 <br> nevertheless [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 7,24: 12,27: 6, \\ & 29: 26,29: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:12, 107:20, } \\ & \text { 116:28, 117:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { occurring [2] } \\ \text { 77:11, 127:28 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 23,45: 29, \\ & 47: 26,48: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 132: 25 \\ & \text { order [6] - } 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| - 150:6 | $30: 26,45: 3,$ | 117:23, 118:2, | offence [2] | $48: 19,48: 21$ | $6: 23,80: 8$ |
| new [8]-31:16, | 104:9, 114:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:11, 119:13, } \\ & \text { 119:17, 121:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $72: 8,76: 17$ | 49:12, 51:19, | 101:17, 119:5, |
| 73:6, 76:11, | 120:16, 125:24, | 121:11, 121:15, | offensive [1] - | $60: 28,71: 1$ | 128:8 |
| $78: 16,79: 8$, $79: 16,94: 16$, | 145:4, 145:11, | 122:9, 123:3, | 72:9 | 71:18, 73:6, | ordinarily [4] - |
| 79:16, 94:16, $111: 25$ | 151:5 | 123:6, 123:9, | offered [2] - | 73:10, 76:12, | 124:21, 124:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:25 } \\ & \text { next }[9]-5: 6, \end{aligned}$ | notice [7] - 27:14, $35 \cdot 12$ | 126:21, 141:16, | 7:20, 71:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 25,77: 6, \\ & 77 \cdot 2078 \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$ | $125: 5,125: 7$ |
| $41: 1,56: 4,88: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 14,35: 12, \\ & 35: 14,35: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 141:18, 142:24, | $\begin{gathered} \text { Office [1] - } \\ 116: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 20,78: 6, \\ & 78: 19,79: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ordinary [16] - } \\ & \text { 17:24, 25:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 93:15, 93:17, | 35:25, 52:28, | 142:25, 143:10, | office | 79:26, 80:12, | 80:14, 80:20, |
| 95:24, 148:19, | 53:6 | 143:27 <br> O'SULLIVAN ${ }^{11]}$ | 13:16, 23:18, | $85: 23,85: 29$ | 84:3, 84:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 152:3 } \\ & \text { nice [1] - 152:18 } \end{aligned}$ | notices [1] - | $-3: 1$ | $33: 12,108: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 2,86: 14 \\ & 88: 15,89: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 108:21, 122:27, |
| night [11] - | ```46:26 notification [3] -``` | O'Sullivan's [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:5, 114:20, } \\ & \text { 115:26, 115:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $90: 16,104: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:12, 124:14, } \\ & \text { 125:1, 126:24, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 106:19, 117:2, | 123:15, 128:4, | - 83:29, 87:1 | 116:1, 116:15, | $105: 10,105: 12$ | 127:19, 147:22, |
| 117:24, 119:12, | 142:15 | [1] - 1 | 117:10, 117:14, | 105:22, 105:23, | 147:26, 147:27 |
| 121:10, 121:12, | notified [2] - | objected [2] - 20:4, 33:19 | 117:28, 118:28, | 109:21, 110:18 | organic [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 123: 9,124: 29 \\ & 128: 14,140: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 37:9, 146:15 notifying [1] - | objecting [1] 17:26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:10, 121:7, } \\ & \text { 123:18, 123:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:8, 124:28, } \\ & \text { 128:20, 129:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77:21 } \\ & \text { organised [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |




| refused [10] - | 98:15, 98:16, | remained [1] - | 110:23, 110:28, | 134:13 | 103:27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28:19, 28:21, | 100:6, 104:6, | 137:9 | 110:29, 115:10, | represent [1] - | respond [1] - |
| 28:22, 29:1, | 104:7, 104:10, | remember [10] - | 116:11, 117:3, | 17:13 | 108:24 |
| 102:9, 131:20, | 106:3, 107:23, | 15:12, 22:19, | 117:11, 117:13, | request [9] - | response [6] - |
| 142:14, 143:2, | 107:27, 108:3, | 22:27, 24:19, | 117:26, 118:1, | 109:2, 109:3, | 53:4, 59:24, |
| 145:20, 146:26 | 108:5, 109:23, | 59:6, 116:5, | 118:2, 118:4, | 109:6, 118:10, | 59:28, 59:29, |
| refuses [2] - | 109:24, 110:20, | 116:8, 132:12 | 118:7, 118:14 | 118:23, 120:17, | 91:28, 108:21 |
| $7: 11,7: 15$ refusing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:10, 123:22, } \\ & \text { 124:16, 127:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $150: 4,150: 5$ remit [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:24, 118:25, } \\ & \text { 118:28, 119:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:28, 127:19, } \\ & 127: 21 \end{aligned}$ | responsibility |
| 138:21, 142:28 | 127:6, 128:19, | 101:29 | 119:6, 119:16, | requested [5] - | responsible [1] - |
| Reg [13]-35:12, | 132:13, 135:8, | removed [1] - | 119:18, 119:20, | 10:10, 55:22, | 64:12 |
| 35:14, 35:18, | 138:16, 139:21, | 8:26 | 119:29, 120:7, | 81:3, 137:16, | rest [2]-143:28, |
| 35:25, 50:25, | 141:26, 142:19, | repair [1] - 43:14 | 120:18, 121:6, | 137:19 | 144:2 |
| 51:1, 51:14, 52:2, | 144:13, 145:29, | repeat [2] - | 122:5, 122:8, | requesting [1] - | result [7]-8:19, |
| 52:12, 52:24, | 149:13 | 29:23, 84:4 | 122:9, 123:2, | 137:14 | 19:9, 46:15, |
| 52:27, 52:29, | relations [18] - | repeatedly [1] - | 123:15, 123:22, | requests [3] - | 69:18, 78:19, |
| 53:6 | 14:1, 14:12, 14:21. 14:22. | $103: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:23, 123:25, } \\ & \text { 123:26. 123:28. } \end{aligned}$ | 23:12, 23:29, | $92: 18,150: 25$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { regard [1] - } \\ & \text { 128:14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:21, 14:22, } \\ & \text { 14:25, 16:19, } \end{aligned}$ | repeating [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:26, 123:28, } \\ & \text { 123:29, 124:8, } \end{aligned}$ | 24:3 | resulting [1] - |
| Region [1] - | 18:5, 18:7, 18:19, | repeats [1] - | 124:11, 124:14, | 33:22, 76:19, | RESUMED [2] - |
| 127:25 | $18: 26,19: 3,22: 1$ | $120: 26$ | 124:15, 124:21, | $78: 1$ | 5:1, 78:10 |
| regional [11] - | 33:24, 70:22, | replace [1] - | 124:24, 124:26, | required [12] | resumed [1] - |
| 116:15, 118:28, | 79:20, 79:21, | 129:2 | 124:27, 125:2, | 12:20, 63:29, | 141:8 |
| 119:10, 121:7, | 79:25, 84:23 <br> relationship | replied [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 11,125: 13 \\ & 125: 15,125: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 74:18, 76:16, | resurrected [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 123: 18,123: 25 \\ & 123: 29,124: 1, \end{aligned}$ | - 72:20, 77:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 142:26 } \\ & \text { report [157] } \end{aligned}$ | $126: 6,126: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 18,109: 25, \\ & \text { 117:26, 123:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 35:11 } \\ & \text { retired [4] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 127:17, 127:27, | 83:9, 89:8, 96:26 | 6:1, 29:15, 30:1, | 126:20, 126:22, | 143:14, 143:15, | $37: 26,66: 5,$ |
| 128:6 | relationships [3] $-83: 24,89: 6$, | $53: 4,53: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:1, 127:3, } \\ & \text { 127:5, 127:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $149: 19,149: 23$ | 137:1, 137:2 |
| REGISTRAR ${ }_{\text {[3] }}$ | - 83:24, 89:6, | 54:14, 54:17, |  | requirement [8] | retirement [3] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & -88: 24,111: 27, \\ & 112: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:24 } \\ & \text { relatively [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $55: 15,63: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:9, 127:11, } \\ & \text { 127:14, 127:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $-104: 8,116: 11$ | $60: 10,60: 13$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regulation [1] - } \\ & 70: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $69: 5,152: 5$ <br> relatives [2] | $80: 26,81: 4,81: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127: 23,128: 4 \\ & 128: 9,128: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 143:18, 149:21, | return [24] - |
| 70:10 regulations [8] - | $75: 16,116: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 29,85: 13 \\ & 85: 25,85: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 134:24, 138:23, | 150:11, 150:16 requirements | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 11,9: 15,9: 16, \\ & 9: 21,9: 22,9: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| 34:10, 51:28, | relay [1]-96:12 | 86:11, 86:24, | 140:27, 140:28, | [2] - 118:3, 126:19 | 9:29, 10:1, 10:25, |
| $52: 2,52: 5,82: 16$ | relayed [13] - <br> 33.8, 39:16, 47.3 | 87:1, 87:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140:29, 145:29, } \\ & \text { 149:12, 149:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requires [2] - } \\ & 7.77 .25 \end{aligned}$ | 11:6, 11:8, 11:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:6, 149:18, } \\ & 149: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 8,39: 16,47: 3, \\ & 91: 17,92: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 19,87: 29, \\ & 88: 6,88: 9,88 \end{aligned}$ | $150: 2$ | $7: 7,7: 25$ <br> requiring | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:28, 11:29, } \\ & 12: 3,12: 5,12: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| reinforce [1] - | 95:19, 98:22, | 95:28, 96:7, | reported [20] - | 107:28 | $13: 20,53: 9,69: 9$ |
| 114:6 | 102:11, 109:5, | $98: 25,98: 26$ | 13:12, 25:11, 28:5. 29:4. 29:28. | residence [1] - | 114:5, 131:14, |
| relate [3]- | 109:9, 117:24, | 98:29, 99:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 5,29: 4,29: 28, \\ & 31: 25,31: 29, \end{aligned}$ | 90:22 | $135: 16,137: 16$ |
| 26:29, 28:10, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 121:11, } 146: 8 \\ \text { relaying [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | 99:11, 100:4, | 31:25, 31:29, 80:29, 83:4, 83:8, | resilient [2] - | returned [17]- |
| 30:6 <br> related [12] | 96:8 | 100:11, 100:14, | 83:23, 83:26, | 68:24, 68:26 resolution [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 26,10: 3,116: 7 \\ & \text { 123:5, 125:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 31:4, 52:13, | release [1] - | $100: 20,100: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 9,85: 10, \\ & 86: 12,87: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $51: 17,51: 18$ | 135:7, 135:9, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80: 15,80: 20, \\ & 81: 13,83: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 116:18 } \\ \text { releva } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:27, 100:28, } \\ & \text { 101:17, 101:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:12, 87:3, } \\ & \text { 117:6, 118:27, } \end{aligned}$ | resolved [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 135: 18,135: 22, \\ & 136: 2,136: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 85:18, 85:21, | 69:3, 83:8, 83:23, | 101:23, 101:25, | $124: 28,127: 26$ | resolving ${ }_{[1]}$ | 136:13, 136:16, |
| 86:5, 86:13, | 93:4, 96:16, | 101:26, 102:14, | reporting [10] - | 91:29 | 136:24, 137:11, |
| $86: 14,86: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 14,123: 16 \\ & 128: 5.132: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 102:17, 102:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84:7, 93:3, 94:11, } \\ & \text { 106:13, 117:9, } \end{aligned}$ | resourced [1] - | $140: 22,142: 12$ |
| relation [50] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:5, 132:3, } \\ & 120.120 .17 \end{aligned}$ | 103:6, 103:7, | 119:16, 126:19, | 144:8 | revealing [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23: 3,23: 18, \\ & 28: 17,29: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 143:29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:2, 104:22, } \\ & \text { 107:14, 107:29, } \end{aligned}$ | 149:4, 149:7 | resources [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 83:20 } \\ & \text { review [1] - 6:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $30: 16,31: 3,31: 9$ | relied [1] - | 108:5, 108:9, | 149:15 | $30: 18$ | reviewed [4] - |
| 34:3, 52:14, | 137:20 | 108:28, 109:15, | reports [10] - | respect [8] - | 99:24, 99:29, |
| 52:21, 54:5, | rely [1] - 121:5 | 109:17, 109:18, | 13:8, 23:13, 24:3, | 7:24, 27:24, | 103:11, 131:18 |
| 55:27, 58:17, | relying [1] - | 109:19, 109:25, | 53:21, 61:1, | 29:22, 107:29, | revise [1] - |
| $75: 3,76: 4,79: 20$ |  | 109:27, 110:2, | 110:10, 122:12, | 116:11, 122:6, | $114: 9$ |
| 80:26, 81:3, | remain [1] - | 110:5, 110:7, $110 \cdot 8 \quad 110 \cdot 22$ | 127:28 | $127: 9,141: 1$ | revived [1] - |
| 87:12, 91:19, | 16:23 | 110:8, 110:22, | repose [1] - | respectfully [1] - | 20:9 |




| 64:27, 65:1, 65:5, | 84:8 | T | text [1]-128:3 | 127:13 | 88:2, 88:4, 88:7, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65:11, 65:23, | supplemented |  | thankfully [1] - | tomorrow [7] - | $88: 11,88: 17$ |
| 65:26, 66:1, 66:4, | [2] - 124:26, 153:5 |  | 110:14 | 151:15, 152:17, | 89:9, 89:15, |
| 66:10, 67:11, | supplied [2] - | Tallow [10]- | that's.. [1] - | 152:22, 152:28, | 89:18, 89:24, |
| 67:13, 67:16, | 60:27, 138:23 | 14:28, 15:3, 15:4, | 85:16 | 153:1, 153:5, | 89:27, 89:28, |
| 67:23, 68:5, | supply [2] - | 15:7, 15:8, 15:13, | THE [9]-5:1, | 153:15 | 89:29, 90:6, 90:9, |
| 69:19, 72:17, | 10:10, 61:3 | 15:14, 15:16, | 5:5, 15:20, 16:6, | Tony [1] - 14:15 | 90:11, 90:13, |
| 77:6, 77:18, | supposed [9] - | 15:19, 15:24 | 45:25, 78:10, | took [9]-16:17, | 90:14, 90:15, |
| 80:11, 80:19, | 35:28, 46:1, 46:2, | target [3] - | 148:21, 153:2, | 17:5, 17:10, | $90: 17,90: 19$ |
| 80:22, 81:1, 81:5, | 66:25, 110:28, | 19:17, 80:11, | 153:17 | 36:26, 48:7, | 91:29, 92:5, |
| 81:14, 83:20, | 110:29, 111:2, | 82:17 | the.. [1]-59:16 | 64:22, 76:17, | 92:11, 92:12, |
| 84:27, 84:28, | 115:26, 116:1 | targeted [12] | THEN [1] - 78:10 | 77:17, 141:29 | 92:20, 92:22, |
| 85:11, 86:16, | surely [7]-21:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:23, 28:2, } \\ & \text { 28:24, 28:26, } \end{aligned}$ | therapeutic [1] - | top [2] - 110:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 9,93: 13, \\ & 93 \cdot 14 \quad 94 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 87:2, 87:3, 87:10, 91:16, 92:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 5,60: 20 \\ & 94: 28,95: 26 \end{aligned}$ | 29:3, 29:4, 29:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 54:21 } \\ & \text { therapy }[4]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:22 } \\ & \text { topic [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 14,94: 8, \\ & 94: 13,94: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| 92:17, 92:19, | 95:28, 149:24 | 29:15, 61:19, $123 \cdot 1,130 \cdot 11$ | $59: 8,59: 15$ | 105:26 | $95: 2,95: 8,95: 13,$ |
| $93: 10,93: 21$, $94: 17,97: 5$ | surgery [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 123: 1,130: 21, \\ & 134: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $59: 17,59: 19$ | totally [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 17,95: 21, \\ & 95: 22,95: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 94: 17,97: 5 \\ & \text { 103:3, 106:6, } \end{aligned}$ | 102:17 | 134:12 <br> targeting | there'd [2] - | 130:26 | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 22,95: 23, \\ & 95: 25,96: 2,96: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| 106:10, 106:24, | 148:14 | 19:19, 19:20, | therefore [9] - | 116:27, 117:1, | 96:17, 96:27, |
| 106:25, 106:26, 106:28. 106:29. | suspect [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:22, 19:29, } \\ & \text { 22:25, 123:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 81:7, 93:15, 95:7, | 121:9, 123:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 9,97: 13, \\ & 97: 20,98: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:28, 106:29, } \\ & \text { 107:22, 108:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $125: 25,125: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 25,123: 1 \\ & 138: 9,139: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 107:10, 119:2, | $123: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 20,98: 11, \\ & 98: 13.100: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 108:18, 108:19, | suspension [1] - | team [1]-24:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:16, 120:25, } \\ & 149: 29,153: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 130:13, 130:14 | 101:22, 101:27, |
| 108:23, 108:26, | 51:29 | technical [1] - | thinking [1] - | 130:15 | 102:1, 102:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:1, 117:25, } \\ & \text { 118:10. 118:21. } \end{aligned}$ | suspicion [2] - | $125: 23$ <br> techniques [1] - | 21:21 | tours [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:24, 103:1, } \\ & \text { 103:4, 103:12, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:26, 119:11, } \\ & \text { 120:15, 120:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 145:17, 145:18 suspicious [15] | $55: 1$ | third [1] - 99:27 thoughts [1] - | $130: 14$ <br> towards [12] - | 103:17, 104:27, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:15, 120:16, } \\ & \text { 120:29, 121:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $-116: 19,117: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tedious [1] - } \\ & \text { 43:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $22: 18$ | $15: 18,25: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:6, 105:8, } \\ & \text { 105:16, 105:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 121:12, 121:14, | 117:12, 117:14, | telephone [2] - | $58: 14,59: 1,66: 7$ | $26: 8,26: 26,27: 4$ | 131:10, 131:16, |
| 121:25, 123:10, | 119:27, 120:8, | 150:3, 150:12 | $68: 11,68: 15$ | $34: 16,38: 7,38: 8$ | 131:22, 132:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 128: 19,130: 27, \\ & 131 \cdot 15 \\ & 131 \cdot 28 \end{aligned}$ | 120:10, 124:16, | temporary [27] - | 68:18, 68:20, | 91:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 132: 11,132: 14, \\ & 132 \cdot 21,130 \cdot 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| 135:8, 135:11, | 145:14, 146:27, | 7:17, 7:19, 7:20, $8: 5,9: 4,9: 6$ | 69:5, 69:7, 71:20, | town [4]-15:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 132:21, 132:24, } \\ & 133: 24,134: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $136: 5,136: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 147:1, 147:15, } \\ & \text { 147:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $9: 10,9: 14,9: 16$ | 71:21, 78:22 <br> throughout [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 18,38: 20, \\ & 42: 12 \end{aligned}$ | transfer" [1] - |
| 136:17, 136:19, | swap [1] | $9: 20,10: 6,12: 24$ | 137:15 | traffic [2]-89:1, | 89:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 138: 6,138: 29, \\ & 139: 2.139: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 138:24 | 61:12, 61:16, | THURSDAY [1] - | 126:25 | transferred [28] |
| 141:22, 142:23, | symptoms [7] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:17, 61:20, } \\ & \text { 61:22, 61:24, } \end{aligned}$ |  | train [2] - 74:17, | $\begin{aligned} & -14: 4,18: 8,20: 5, \\ & 23: 8,65: 22,68: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| $145: 28$ | 89:2, 89:3, 89:12, | 81:22, 81:26, | tick [1] - 106:27 | 122:21 | 71:9, 71:14, |
| superior [2] - | 97:19 | 81:28, 91:22, | ked [1]-81 | $110: 1$ | 84:19, 93:6, 94:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:8, 96:26 } \\ & \text { supervise }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { system }[8]-6: 8, \\ 7: 10,7: 11,7: 15, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 98:12, 132:8, } \\ & \text { 135:20, 137:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $144: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { transfer [117] - } \\ & 13: 22.13: 25 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 9,95: 11 \\ & 95: 14,102: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $5: 29$ | 7:17, 23:28, | $137: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { timeframe [1] - } \\ & \text { 126:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:22, 13:25, } \\ & \text { 13:29, 14:1, 14:6, } \end{aligned}$ | 102:26, 102:29, |
| supervised [2] - | 126:29, 129:9 | ten [13]-38:5, | 126:16 timeframes | 17:8, 17:16, | 131:20, 131:26, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 76:20, } 91: 3 \\ & \text { supervising } 2] \end{aligned}$ | systems [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 26,44: 3,44: 7, \\ & 44: 8,47: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 110:8 | 17:17, 18:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:21, 134:3, } \\ & \text { 134:5, 135:1, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $27: 11,82: 20$ | 7:16 | 47:17, 47:19 | tiny [1] - 152:27 | 18:15, 20:14 | 136:29, 137:17, |
| supervision [13] | 99:17 | $47: 21,48: 2,48: 8,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tipperary [1] - } \\ & 90: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 22:15, 22:24, | 137:23, 137:27, |
| $\begin{aligned} & -33: 16,33: 19 \\ & 33: 21,33: 22 \end{aligned}$ | Síochána [10] - | $49: 28,50: 3$ | TO [1] - 153:17 | $23: 1,23: 4,24: 18$ | $145: 15$ |
| 66:25, 74:19, | $8: 7,8: 12,25: 16$, $36.4,85 \cdot 26$, | $9: 9,119: 15$ | Tobin [1]-61:4 | $35: 15,35: 16$ | $-115: 19$ |
| 76:17, 76:18, | 91: | terminated [1] - | today [3] - 11:7, | $65: 19$ | transport [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76: 19,76: 20 \\ & 78: 2,82: 19,97: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 110:19, 147:11, | 124:19 | 76:14, 76:25 <br> together [11] - | 71:29, 72:4, | $130: 19$ |
| supervisor [2] - | 149:17 | terms $[4]-5: 21$, $7: 26,46: 6,98: 8$ | $32: 12,48: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 10,72: 25, \\ & 78: 15,79: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 54:22, 54:25, |
| 81:6, 84:8 |  | terrible [1] - | 52:22, 98:25 | 79:17, 79:27, | 55:2, 55:7 |
| supervisors [3] |  | $54: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:13, 118:18, } \\ & 119: 10.125: 2 . \end{aligned}$ | 79:28, 84:13, | travel [2] - |
| - 83:9, 83:24, |  | Terry [1] - 128:3 | 126:2, 126:3, |  | 36:28, 94:4 |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { witnesses [1] - } \\ & \text { 148:11 } \\ & \text { wonder [2] - } \\ & 55: 20,112: 14 \\ & \text { wondering [2] - } \\ & \text { 23:12, 113:21 } \end{aligned}$ | ```74:29 wronging [1] - 72:24 wrongly [2] - 121:24, 121:26 wrote [1] - 104:2``` |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Y |
|  | ```year [4] - 31:19, 84:29, 95:23, 99:24 years [18] - 16:26, 16:27, 16:28, 20:9, 20:11, 20:28, 21:1, 22:9, 22:27, 24:14, 31:20, 64:29, 66:5, 66:7, 68:11, 68:15, 131:19, 135:11 yesterday[3] - 84:25, 111:6, 111:25 yesterday's [1] - 85:4 Youghal [1] - 15:15 yourself [3] - 8:24, 45:5, 65:22``` |


[^0]:    "Hi s behavi our generally is not pleasant towards me but

