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THE HEARI NG COMMENCED, AS FOLLOVG, ON VEDNESDAY, 1ST JUNE 2022:

CHAN RMAN Now, Mr. Costelloe, whenever you are ready. MR. COSTELLOE: Good morning, Chairman. Chairman, before I begin can I just thank the Tribunal legal team for sourcing a document for me late yesterday afternoon. I am obliged for the assistance that they provided and I just wanted to say that on the record. CHAI RMAN Thanks very much.

SUPERI NTENDENT M CHAEL COMYNS WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. COSTELLOE, AS FOLLOVS:
Q. MR. COSTELLOE: Superintendent Comyns, I had to defer questioning you yesterday because you referenced a document in your direct evidence that I wasn't familiar with and I hadn't been able to find it, and having read it now I am in a position to proceed, but sorry for the delay, if you wi11. I know it is not easy having these 11:03 things hanging over you, so I apologise that I wasn't able to begin yesterday.
A. That's not a problem, Mr. Costelloe.

1 Q. You understand that I am instructed on behalf of Mr. Barry and to perhaps make as benign statement as possible, he disagrees with you about many of parts of your evidence that you have set out to the Tribunal yesterday. I don't think that could possibly come as a surprise to you, it's fair to say?
A. That's correct.

2 Q. okay. And perhaps we can agree that there doesn't appear to be any controversy or it doesn't seem to be something that you object to, to say that you were aware that Mr. Barry had made disclosures of alleged wrongdoing prior to April of 2013; that appears to be common case, am I right?
A. That's correct.

3 Q. okay.
A. I knew from January 2013.

4 Q. Indeed, because you got a phone call from Assistant Commissioner Nolan I think, you said on the 4th January 2013, and that was followed up by a letter which seems to have arrived possibly even on the same day, and that included the various complaints 1-8 referred to earlier, we all know what we're talking about there?
A. That's correct.

5 Q. That's the complaints set out by Mr. Barry, correct?
A. That's correct.

6 Q. Just for the record, that's at page 647 of the documents disclosed and it's set out in your statement at page 549. But we agree on that in any event?
A. Yes.

7 Q. And then thereafter you had contact with Chief Superintendent Kehoe. She informed you that she had been assigned a duty to investigate those complaints, isn't that correct?
A. Correct.

8 Q. And it seems to be the case, again going from your own
statement as well as the documents that we have, that on two occasions in February 2013, being the 18th February and the 26th February, you were contacted by Chief Superintendent Kehoe to do with that investigation, in relation to that investigation, do we 11:05 agree on that?
A. I was contacted, I wouldn't know the dates off the top of my head, but I was contacted, yes.
Again, if I am wrong on this, I am sure Mr. Harty or the Tribunal will correct me, but again, it's set out at page 550 of your statement, so we have that information. And then, letters were sent by Chief Superintendent Kehoe, and these are set out at pages 654 and 658 , I don't propose to open them. One of them was opened yesterday, the one that was at page -sorry, one of those letters was opened yesterday and the form which accompanied it, at page 656, setting out that Chief Superintendent Kehoe was effectively investigating the harassment allegations but also she was investigating the disciplinary aspect of the complaints, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

10 Q. Now, in the letter, which is set out at page 658, and this was put to you yesterday and it's at page 28 of the transcript yesterday, you were asked about that particular letter, because it does refer to the fact that there was a potential criminal component to the investigation being conducted by Chief Superintendent Kehoe, do you remember that?
A. I do.

11 Q. And I am obliged to the Tribunal registrar there, you're ahead of me, but it's that letter, and in that, and you've already acknowledged it there, it does refer to a criminal component. Now, I went back and I looked 11:06 at the transcript but you tell me if I misunderstood this: You seemed to be suggesting to the Tribunal yesterday that despite the fact that that makes a reference to a potential criminal component, you at that time did not refer yourself or take cognisance of or whatever way you want to describe it, become aware of, if you will, that there was a potential criminal aspect to her inquiry?
A. Yeah, that's my memory. The eight complaints were on this letter and in my head there was nothing criminal in any of those eight complaints.

12 Q. Yes. To be fair to you -- sorry?
A. Whether I was right or wrong.

13 Q. I beg your pardon, superintendent, I didn't mean to cut across you.
A. It's okay.

14 Q. Finish your answer, if you wil1?
A. No, I finished it there. whether I was right or wrong in my head, there was nothing criminal in any of the eight complaints on this letter.
15 Q. Understood. And to be fair to you, what appears to have happened is that you were given complaint 1-8 by A/C Nolan, number 9 is the potential criminal complaint, we need to be careful not to go into the
substance of that allegation, but that's the ninth in the litany of allegations made by Mr. Barry and you weren't immediately, as in back in January of 2013, told about that particular complaint, isn't that right?
A. No, that's not strictly correct.
A. Because Mr. Barry's original letter with the nine complaints on it was sent to me at that time by $A / C$ Nolan. So it was on that letter that the assistant commissioner sent to me in January.
17 Q. Okay. So thank you for clarifying that, I wasn't clear. But it does appear to be the case, so, that you're certain that although the references are 1-8, there is in fact a ninth complaint included in that document?
A. Yes.

18 Q. And had you sight of that particular complaint as far as back as the 4th -- in or about the 4th January 2013?
A. Correct. Correct.

19 Q. And thereafter we know about Chief Superintendent Kehoe being assigned the duty of investigating the discipline and the harassment complaints, and we know that in her letter that I've just referred to she makes reference to the fact that there's also a potential criminal investigation?
A. Correct.

20 Q. And to be fair to you, I don't want to put words in your mouth, what you are telling the Tribunal is that despite the fact that that's in that letter, you hadn't
really referred yourself to the potential of a criminal investigation, as far as you were concerned you were focusing on the discipline/harassment investigations?
A. At that time.

21 Q. At that time. Would you agree with me that the ninth allegation, the one that deals with the alleged criminal act, is by far the most serious of the nine, as you look at them?
A. Yes.

22 Q. Again, I think this was in your evidence yesterday, page 30 of the transcript, if it's in doubt, but you said that as far as you were concerned you were going to go back -- sorry, excuse me, let me restart to give you context. You, on receipt of the letter from Chief Superintendent Kehoe, took the view that you had the documentation to show that those allegations were, for want of a better expression, nonsense, they were untrue, incorrect, however one wishes to describe them, you could prove by the documentation in your possession that they weren't correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's something that you said in your evidence yesterday, it's page 30 of yesterday's transcript. But again, to be fair to you, this is something that you very clearly set out in your own statement from the get 11:10 go; that as far as you were concerned you had the documentation to disprove those allegations, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

24
Q. documentation in those circumstances? Do you know what I mean? That may be a poorly phrased question. what I am asking you is: where you are making that statement in your own statement to the Tribunal and where you are 11:10 repeating it in your evidence, I am asking you to describe, if you wi11, how comprehensive that documentation was?
A. As I said yesterday, when the original letter came to me from Assistant Commissioner Nolan, I obviously had to go looking for documentation for each of the allegations.
Q. Yes.
A. And I found documentation which in my opinion was favourable to me.
lawyers in the room, I don't propose to bring you through each and every piece of those documents, okay, that's not going to happen. But what I was really trying to get was, if you will, a pen picture or a précis of your understanding of the extent to which you 11:11 held that documentation to establish your point of view, your position, and that's your answer to that question?
A. Yes.

28

Okay. Again, $I$ wish to be very careful in how I deal with this because we're not going into the substance of the allegation in any shape or form, but the criminal allegation, that's number 9, pertained in the most general way, if I can put it this way, to the movement of faxes or a fax which was said to encompass a statement that had been taken, isn't that -- in general terms, isn't that what we are talking about?
A. It was one of the issues, yes.
Q. Fair enough, that's fine. We'11 accept that. I am very cautious not to try and --
A. Yeah.
-- transgress into that. So we have, that being the part, at least, of the criminal allegation. Isn't it correct that you told Chief Superintendent Comyns that that particular document -- sorry, Chief Superintendent Kehoe, I beg your pardon, I said Comyns. Chief
Superintendent Kehoe, that you told her that in relation to that particular document, this being the fax, that you couldn't locate it?
A. I couldn't locate -- yes.

32 Q. Do you remember, during the course of the investigation 11:12 by Chief Superintendent Kehoe, she asked you about that document?
A. Yes.

33 Q. And your answer was that no doubt it will turn up in a
folder at some stage when all of this is over and behind you, but as things currently stood you couldn't find it?
A. Correct.

34 Q. And again, if there's any doubt about that or if my friends wish to put it to you or deal with it, that's at page 593 of the documents. You're asked the question straight out by Chief Superintendent kehoe and your answer is:
"I never gave it to anybody. It's in a fol der somewhere with my notes on the conference and other documents I picked up during this investigation. I've searched for it but l'Il find it some day probably when this is over in a folder."

That was the answer you gave, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Could I suggest to you and invite you to comment on the suggestion that it's extraordinary that what appears to 11:13 be a primary document in relation to the most serious of the allegations is one of the documents that you aren't able to locate?
MR. HARTY: Sorry, Chairman, I am going to have to enquire as to where we are going with all of this. Mr. Barry has suggested that he has not -- has now withdrawn any complaints in relation to that investigation, save for the question of delay. And in relation to the matters which are set out in

Mr. Barry's letter, they are not matters for investigation by this Tribunal; they are allegedly the protected disclosure, and this Tribunal is investigating alleged penalisation following the making of that disclosure. And we have carefully not dealt with those issues and, indeed, Sergeant Barry himself didn't deal with those issues and quite properly so. The assertion, or the attempts by Mr. Costelloe now to dig into the question of whether or not a piece of evidence was available to either (a) the investigation or (b) in substance in relation to those complaints, which are not the subject of this Tribunal.

CHA RMAN Mr. Costelloe?
MR. COSTELLOE: I mean, I think it's quite obvious that that's not what $I$ am doing. I must have repeated it a number of times, that I didn't wish to get into the substance of the allegation and this has got nothing whatsoever to do with Chief Superintendent Kehoe's investigation. This is to do with the extent to which the superintendent retained various documents that he says he's now relying upon or was relying upon when he was making his statement to the Tribunal and before. CHA RMAN I have to confess, Mr. Costelloe, that I have been waiting for an objection as I listened to your questions. It seems to me that you -- I am not at 11:15 all, $I$ am not at all criticising you, but it did seem to me that you were trespassing into an area which is excluded from the Tribunal's concerns. So, if Mr. Harty hadn't intervened, I would have intervened
very soon. So I think this is an area that you should not be exploring.
MR. COSTELLOE: If it pleases you, Chairman.
By the 11th March you had responded to the allegations set out 1-8 in that letter that you received in January 11:16 of 2013, isn't that right?
A. Again, $I$ wouldn't know the date off the top of my head, but I responded, yeah, as soon as I could.

37 Q. So it's my fault, not yours, it's my fault, I am deaf as a post, if you don't speak into that microphone I won't be able to hear you, I'm sorry superintendent?
A. Sorry.
Q. You're telling us basically that you agree with the assertion but you can't remember the date?
A. The exact date off the top of my head, but I did as soon as I could.
Q. And that included providing any information that you had at your disposal to support your position, which was that there was no substance to any of those allegations, 1-8?
A. Yes, and I think I should add, when you said I retained documents, I didn't actually have documents retained, I had to source the documents in the district office, $I$ didn't have them in my personal possession.
A. They would have been kept on files in the district office.

41 Q. Can I move on to, I suppose on one way of looking at it, the beginning, the Regulation 10 form, the service
of the Regulation 10 notice --
A. Yes.

42 Q. -- on my client. There was an occasion on the 1st August when he was late for work, he was in the company of a guard by the name of JJ wall and they turned up, I 11:17 think, on your record, on your memory, at 20 past 12 and they should have been there 20 minutes earlier, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
okay. There were other gardaí who were late, there was 11:18 one guard who wasn't correctly attired, but in general, you having gotten there to the station in Mitchelstown saw that my client and this other guard got there 20 minutes late, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

44 Q. You were asked about this yesterday and you were asked whether or not you spoke with Mr. Barry and/or Mr. Wall and your memory was that you had spoken with him and you had been told that Garda wall had dropped his car in for a service, have I got that right?
A. Yes.
Q. The following day was the day upon which you served or gave them -- I don't want this to elevate this to a status that maybe you wouldn't agree with, but in any event day, they went back and they got the form 10, the 11:18 Regulation 10 notice?
A. Yes.

46 Q. Now, in response to a question that was put to you yesterday, you indicated that as far as you were
concerned the servicing of the car was something that had to be booked in advance, by which I take you mean that they should have, as in Mr. Wall should have booked it at a time when he wasn't on duty or it wouldn't have impacted on his ability to be on time for 11:19 work, do I understand that correctly?
A. Yes, in my opinion the servicing, the word service was used to me, the servicing of a car should not impact on two people being late for work.
Yes. But in that vein, I mean I know you have been sitting there because I have been able to see you for the last two weeks, you heard the evidence of Mr. Barry and his assertion was that this was an unexpected thing, that when Mr. Wall was on his way to collect Mr. Barry at the petrol station in order to bring him to work, the check engine light came on and they had no choice but to stop at the garage and drop the car in, you heard all of that?
A. I heard that, yes. Was that not something that you made enquiries about on the 1st August, the day they were late?
A. It wasn't said to me, the word service was said. And you're quite sure, despite the fact that there has been evidence from Mr. Barry explaining this wasn't a preplanned thing, that nothing was said to you at the time?
A. I am absolutely certain, Mr. Costelloe, I wrote it into my journal.

50 Q. When you say you wrote it into your journal, what do
you say you wrote into your journal about that?
A. The word service is there, again, without bringing up my journal, I can't say the exact -- the word service is there.
51 Q. Okay. Well, again, you understand Mr. Barry's assertion --
A. I do, I've heard it.

52 Q. -- which is that this was entirely outside his control, that he found himself in a situation not of his own making. You heard him say that in evidence?
A. I did hear him say that, yes.

By the 9th August, this is the 9th August 2012, you were aware of the fact that Mr. Barry was absent from work because he was claiming that it was due to work -due to what he was claiming was work-related stress, correct?
A. No. My understanding was, when Inspector Tony o'sullivan met him, so whatever date Inspector o'sullivan met Sergeant Barry, Sergeant Barry told him that he had an issue with me.

54 Q. Just going back to the transcript from yesterday, because again I am just giving you the opportunity to correct me where $I$ have gone wrong in this, page 21, line 19, you're asked the question:
"Q. And on the 9th August you were further informed that Sergeant Barry was certified as suffering from work-rel ated stress by his GP, is that right?"

You initially answered:
"A. Yes, that's correct."

Mr. Marrinan, was then proceeding to another question 11:21 to do with Inspector o'Sullivan but then you interrupted to clarify and you said:
"A. Sorry, Mr. Marrinan, I don't thi nk that is correct. I think it wasn't the GP. It was fromthe 11:21 formfilled out by the guard that Ser geant Barry reported sick.
Q. Yes.
A. In M tchel stown.
Q. You're right in that regard, yes.
A. I don't thi nk the GP had said work-rel ated stress.
Q. At that point in time the certificate referred to ill ness."

So this is what we are talking about there?
A. Yes.

55 Q. And it was to do with that illness that you say you then sent Inspector o'Sullivan to make enquiries about what the illness was?
A. Yes.

56 Q. Okay. And again there is, I suspect, absolutely no benefit to delving into this because $I$ am assuming what your answer is going to be, but you know that Mr. Barry is asserting that Inspector O'Sullivan was sent by you
to find out about the nature of your complaint about him, you don't accept that obviously?
A. No.

57 Q. You're saying that the entirety of what Inspector o'sullivan was there to do was to comply with the regulations, which was to find out the source of the illness --
A. Yes.
A. Yes.

59 Q. So when you sent Inspector O'Sullivan, this is in compliance with what you call Directive 139/10, when you sent Inspector O'Sullivan to speak to Mr. Barry, what information did you give him in advance of that?
A. I don't believe Inspector o'Sullivan would have seen the same documentation as me, so I appointed Inspector O'Sullivan under the policy to investigate the work-related stress.
60 Q. Yes, we know that, superintendent, but what I am asking you is -- well, let's take it back a step. You've decided that you're going to send Inspector o'Sullivan to comply with Directive 139/10, yes, am I right?
A. I decided to appoint him.

61 Q. okay.
A. To investigate the work-related stress.

62 Q. Yes.
A. That was up to Inspector O'Sullivan how he did that.

63 Q. Sure. So there's a directive that requires you to get information, $I$ don't want to elevate this again to a
level that it doesn't deserve, but there is a directive that mandates that garda must give information when a guard is out sick, correct? That is Directive 139/10?
A. Yes.

64 Q. And the person you've decided that is going to get that 11:24 information, we won't call it investigation, we will just say information, is Inspector O'Sullivan, correct?
A. okay.
Q.

Did you meet with him physically, that is did you meet with Inspector O'Sullivan physically in order to tell him he now had this job?
A. I can't say.

66 Q. okay.
A. I could have, but I could also have rang him on the phone and I appointed him on paper.
67 Q. Yes.
A. I sent a report to him.

68 Q. Sure. So again, I think, you know, we're talking about nearly ten years ago, you don't know if you met him in person or if you just spoke to him on the phone, but you do communicate to him that Paul Barry is out sick, we have a job to get information, you're the man who is going to go and get the information, 1 am paraphrasing obvious7y --
A. Yes.

69 Q. -- because we don't know what conversation entailed, but that's the effect of it, correct?
A. I am appointing you under 139/10 to investigate the work-related stress.

70
A. I don't think so.
Q. Okay. So you didn't tell him, as far as you can recall, that you had served the Regulation 10 on Paul Barry just over a week prior?
A. I would say he would have known that in the week. I would have told him within the week that I did that.

There's a situation where Sergeant Barry isn't coming into work because of sickness, you have a job to do to get information about that and you're saying that it wouldn't have been -- it wasn't unusual that when you
were talking to the person you assigned to get that information you didn't discuss the earlier disciplinary incident?
A. We would have discussed it already, as I said. It would have been discussed in that week. when Sergeant Barry went sick, I have no doubt I said to Inspector O'Sullivan, well, I served him with Regulation 10 discipline forms. I have no doubt.
As best as you can say now, given that you're saying you have no doubt, one way or the other Inspector O'Sullivan would have gone to speak to Mr. Barry in the knowledge that that Regulation 10 form had been served on Mr. Barry a week previous?
A. Certainly.

78 Q. Okay. Wouldn't it make sense then that Inspector O'Sullivan would bring up that issue, the service of the Regulation 10 with him, when asking him why he was out sick?
A. That's a matter for Inspector o'sullivan.

I am only putting it to you because again Mr. Barry says that on that occasion when Inspector o'Sullivan spoke to him, what he wanted to know, that is what Inspector O'Sullivan wanted to know, was what was his complaint about you, not why was he out sick?
A. Again, that's for Inspector o'Sullivan.

80 Q. In relation to the issue about temporary workplace accommodations, and we're using that phraseology because it comes up in a few of the documents?
A. Yeah.

81 Q. And it seems to have been accepted as the correct way of describing what we're talking about. Much of what I was going to ask you about this I don't need to, because it seems to me that you were very open to Mr. Marrinan in response to his questions yesterday, which was that as far as you were concerned there was nothing that could work other than Mr. Barry being transferred away from your district, is that fair to say?
A. The only other thing that could work was Mr. Barry to have some face-to-face contact with me. That was the only other thing that could work.
82 Q. And again, I am not getting into the rights or wrongs of it, but you're aware of the fact that that was something that was precluded by his medical
certificate, he wasn't to have any contact with you as far as the medical certificate was stating it, isn't that right?
A. That's right, that's what the medical certificate said. So therefore, that not being an option, we fall back on the answer that you gave Mr. Marrinan yesterday, which was that as far as you were concerned the only way this could work would be to get him out of your district. Sorry, let me rephrase that, because that might sound like $I$ am speaking pejoratively. I don't mean to be. But your answer is, as I understand it at least, the on7y thing that could work here, because of the situation you were both in, was for him to be transferred out of a district where you were in charge?
A. That was my opinion.

84 Q. And you had, of course, seen the medical certificate, I am talking about the one from April, you had seen it on the 4th April 2013, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.

And it's in that context that your previous answers pertain; you're saying this is unworkable, how can $I$ be in charge of a district where one of my sergeants can't come to my station for PAF meetings or whatever or meet me in person, yes?
A. Correct. would work.

88 Q. Did it make you annoyed?
A. No.

89 Q. When you are discussing, in a letter, that medical
certificate, this is page 780-781, it was opened yesterday, so we don't need to open it now, when you're discussing that certificate, amongst other things, with Chief Superintendent Dillane, you put the words 'medical certificate' in quotation marks, do you remember doing that?
A. Yes.
Q. why?
A. Because that was the elephant in the room; the medical certificate.

91 Q. Sorry, I don't understand that answer?
A. This was the cause of the issues.

Perhaps we should actually go to that document, please, page 781, it's the second page of the letter. Right there we have it. This again is a letter from you to Chief Superintendent Dillane. The second, the penultimate paragraph there, the second on the page ends with the sentence:
"As di strict officer has been undermined by this ' medi cal certificate' and in my opi ni on by the Garda organi sation by failing to test the validity of ' medi cal certificate'."
A. Yeah. medical certificate in quotations there?
MR. HARTY: I am sorry to interrupt again, but I think it when the witness is being asked about a letter, I think it is important that the date of the letter at
the very least -- so that the context can be clear for the witness.

MR. COSTELLOE: It's April 2014, but let's go up a page, please.
MR. HARTY: It's March 2014.
MR. COSTELLOE: Just to make sure that I am not being unfair in any way. Thank you, Mr. Harty. Just go up one page, please. Could you scroll up a tiny bit more, I'm sorry.
CHA RMAN Keep going up and you will find the date.
MR. COSTELLOE: Yes.
CHA RMAN 19th March.
94 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: I thought yesterday we had agreed it was actually dated the 19th March but it was April
2014. But I apologise if I have that wrong. In any event, this is the 19th March 2014, is the date of the letter. To be absolutely clear, and I am not trying to trick you.
A. No, no.

95 Q. I want to be absolutely upfront about this, this is
something you are sending to Chief Superintendent Dillane. You dictated that letter?
A. Yes.

96 Q. And in that letter -- did you type it yourself or did you get your staff --
A. No, my staff typed it.

97 Q. So in that letter you dictated, so as to put the words 'medical certificate' into quotation marks?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.

102 Q. Okay. And that meant that you're stationed in Fermoy,
it being the district headquarters, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And my question is: why?
A. I think my previous answer, Mr. Costelloe, explains that. I just -- I couldn't see how a medical certificate would stop someone coming into Fermoy Garda Station in the middle of the night when there was no chance that I'd be there. I just -- it just made no sense to me.
Could I suggest to you that you didn't regard the document as a medical certificate at a11, you just regarded it as an encumbrance, something that was in your way of the effective management of your district?
A. No. It was issued by a medical doctor.
Q. And I suggest to you that by putting it in quotation marks like that, you're effectively showing, let's not mince about, you're showing contempt for the document. You don't regard it as a medical certificate, you just think it's something that has been generated by a doctor at the behest of Mr. Barry, which is now unreasonably encumbering your ability to run your district?
A. No, I don't agree with that, Mr. Costelloe.
Q. We know that you started as superintendent in Fermoy on the 1st Ju7y 2010, have I got that date right?
Q. Okay. You were obviously, therefore, still there in 2014, before you moved back into the city?
A. Yes.
Q. Yeah?
A. Yeah.

105 Q. Okay. Again, forgive me, I'm really just stating by way of a question, $I$ am putting a question almost insulting obviousness to you, but I am doing it for the purpose of the record if nothing else. Had Mr. Barry been moved to Fermoy in 2014, he would have been under your direct supervision because you were actually working at that station, isn't that right?
A. Mr. Barry was always under my direct supervision.
Q. Forgive me, you would have been working in the same building?
A. Correct.

107 Q. And obviously this is at a time when that document, which I am calling the medical certificate, which you have placed in parenthesis, was still in being?
A. Yes.

108 Q. The document that said he should not come into contact with you or work in Fermoy Garda station, correct?
A. Correct.

109 Q. You, in some detail yesterday, I'd suggest to you, explained that by November of 2013 you were in need of another sergeant at Fermoy Garda Station, have I got that right?
A. Yes.

110 Q. okay. And there was something that you said that I hadn't understood, it may very well be that it is the implication of what you are saying in your statement,
it's my failing, but just to go back over it again, you pointed out that the position that needed to be filled was the sergeant from, was it unit D, D for delta?
A. Yes.

111 Q. So we know that there's a unit $D$ out of Fermoy, and we 11:36 know that there's a unit $D$ out of Mitchelstown Garda Station, back in November of 2013, right?
A. Yes.

112 Q. Were there any other unit Ds at that time in your district?
A. Well, unit $D$ is a national unit, so there would -yeah.
113 Q. Forgive me?
A. Unit $D$, when unit $D$ was working at that time, it was working throughout Ireland.
114 Q. Yes. And I think that's very clear from your evidence yesterday to the Chairman. But what I am asking you is: There's unit D working in Fermoy at any given time --
A. Yes.

115 Q. -- and there should be unit $D$ working in Mitchelstown at any given time --
A. Yes.

116 Q. -- would there have been unit $D$ working in any of the other stations within your district at that time?
A. Yes.

117 Q. okay. So of the unit D, you have how many sergeants in Fermoy in November of 2013?
A. That was the issue, there was no sergeant.

118 Q. Exactly. And then in relation to Mitchelstown you have a unit $D$ sergeant, a man by the name of Gerry Quinn?
A. Yes.

119 Q. Were there other unit D sergeants within your district who would have been working out of other stations?
A. No.

120 Q. So that we're absolutely clear before I move on then, you need a unit $D$ sergeant and you don't have one in Fermoy, there is a unit $D$ sergeant in Mitchelstown, a man by the name of Gerry Quinn, and as I understand your evidence, when you go looking for a sergeant to be transferred to Fermoy, your preference was that it would be the unit D sergeant in Mitchelstown, Mr. Gerry Quinn?
A. Yes.

121 Q. A11 of that is correct so far?
A. Yes.

122 Q. Okay. And, indeed, you very fairly said yesterday, if I can put it to you, if I may suggest, you very fairly said in your evidence yesterday, page 74 of the
transcript, if there's any question about this, that you did address your mind to the fact that Sergeant Barry was one of the sergeants in Mitchelstown but it was clear your preference was for Gerry Quinn, isn't that correct?
A. Yes. And really, what $I$ was saying to the chief superintendent: I need a sergeant in Fermoy. The only option is one of the two sergeants in Mitchelstown, unless the chief superintendent wants to go out into
the division, if there's someone else who wants to move.
Absolutely. But there's two parts to this really, could I suggest to you. The first is that Gerry Quinn is a unit $D$ sergeant, so it appears to make sense that he would be the sergeant who would move, correct?
A. Yes.

124 Q. And then, there's the simple reality to all of this, I mean I am sure you are not going to object, you're not going to disagree with me, you don't want Paul Barry, 11:39 for whatever -- not to put spin on it, but you don't want Paul Barry because of what's been going on, it makes much more sense to have Gerry Quinn?
A. I wouldn't agree with you, Mr. Costelloe.

125 Q. okay. You don't agree that even though there is a medical certificate in being at the time precluding him from going to Fermoy --
A. What you said is, I don't want Sergeant Barry. I wouldn't say that. If Sergeant Barry was transferred to Fermoy, I would have been worked with Sergeant Barry.
126 Q. okay, if we accept that absolutely, right, if you are told to do something you are going to make it work as best you can. I am not challenging you on that, superintendent.
A. Okay.

127 Q. And in a way this has really got nothing to do with sergeant of An Garda Síochána, in a way I'd suggest to you this is just simple ordinary human interaction.

There's a man out there who's making allegations about you, he has a medical certificate which says that he shouldn't be in contact with you and he shouldn't work at the same building as you, isn't it absolutely common sense, that that's not the man that you would want to be transferred to your station, on your evidence?
A. Again I'll say, if he was transferred I would have worked with him. You know, I wouldn't say the way you put it, I didn't want Paul Barry.
128 okay. I won't labour the point. I will move on. Can we agree at least that your preference is for Sergeant Gerry Quinn?
A. That's what was in my head, yeah, when I wrote to the chief superintendent.
129 Q. Because in fact, as you said yesterday, you actually
discussed with Chief Superintendent Dillane and you discussed the fact that it was Gerry Quinn you wanted. That's page 76, line 9 of your transcript, of the transcript of your evidence yesterday, if you are in doubt?
A. I would have said my preference was for Gerry Quinn, yes.
130 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah.

131 Q. And again, I'm not going to go back over the ground we've just traversed --
A. No.

132 Q. -- I am just leaving it out there, but saying to you, we can establish, because you've agreed with me, that
you're looking for a sergeant and you've told the chief super that the sergeant that you would have a preference for is Gerry Quinn, correct?
A. Correct.

133 Q. At what stage did you become aware of the fact that it was to be Paul Barry who was to be transferred to your district?
A. I am not sure. I can't say. Chief Superintendent Dillane said it to me at some stage.
134 Q.
Right. I don't see anywhere in your journal or in any of the documentation a note acknowledging your awareness of this fact. So, it might be there, I haven't seen it. In the absence of it, you can't assist us. Was it stil1 in 2013? was it into 2014 ?
A. What I would say is, whenever Chief Superintendent Dillane asked HRM, it would have been that time.

135 Q. okay, that was the 10th December 2013.
A. okay.
Q. Chief Superintendent Dillane asked for Mr. Barry to be transferred to Fermoy, this is page 430 of the documents, and that was on the 10th December 2013, okay?
A. I do accept that.

137 Q. It's okay, we needn't go to it. If there is a doubt about it, I am sure I will be told I have it wrong.
But it's already come out in evidence that chief Superintendent Dillane was making that request on the 10th December 2013. You're saying that it was in or about that time that you were informed that Mr. Barry
was to be the sergeant?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you get on to Chief Superintendent Dillane and ask him what he was at?
A. No.
A. Sergeant Barry was working at the time in the district. If he was in Fermoy Garda Station our contact would possibly have been a bit more than if he was stationed in Mitchelstown. But on a day-to-day basis, I didn't meet the sergeants in Fermoy station. They worked out of the station, downstairs, $I$ was in my office upstairs.

142 Q. I am just going to have to go back to the question I asked you, superintendent: Given everything that had been going on up to this point, given the fact that there was a junior officer, if you will, an NCO, a suggest making such incredibly serious allegations against you, with an ongoing investigation, who had come back to work with a medical certificate to say he
shouldn't be in Fermoy Garda Station and he shouldn't be in contact with you, you're telling us that you didn't get onto the phone or e-mail or even have a conversation with Chief Superintendent Dillane saying, why in the world are you sending me him, I asked you for somebody else, this is ridiculous, I don't want that fellow, I want Gerry Quinn?
A. No.
Q. Isn't that extraordinary?
A. I don't think so.

144 Q. Do you not think that it flies directly in the face of the medical certificate which you have acknowledged you were aware of?
A. Yes, it does.

145 Q. And in that circumstance, where you're a senior manager 11:45 of any number of people within your district, one of whom is Paul Barry, who has come to work with a medical certificate, you're now not even raising so much as a ah come here, type of moment or conversation with your chief super about the fact that that man in contravention of the certificate is to be sent to work with you at your station?
A. That was the chief superintendent's decision. But you're the superintendent in charge of Fermoy, you're the man referred to on the face of the medical certificate?
A. Correct.

147 Q. I mean, what's going on here? why is it that you're prepared to not even raise the slightest of demure with

Chief Superintendent Dillane?
A. Sergeant Barry was working in the district anyway. with all due respect, superintendent, we know that is not the issue; the issue is whether or not he has to come into contact with you and whether or not he has to 11:46 come into Fermoy Garda Station. That's what the medical certificate precludes. You just agreed with me a moment ago about that?
A. Yes.

Al1 right. I will move on. It's not even a case, isn't it correct to say, that you were trying to change Chief Superintendent Dillane's mind, you've told us that you weren't, you've told us you didn't do anything about it, but in fact you were actively trying to ensure that the move happened, isn't that correct?
That the transfer happened?
A. I don't believe so, no.

150 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 4151, please. Thank you. That's a document that's stamped the 6th March 2014. It's a document which is addressed to the assistant commissioner, Human Resources Management. They hadn't changed their name yet, I don't think. And it's from you, and it arises out of a preceding document sent by the assistant commissioner, mentioning the fact or bringing to your attention the fact that Paul Barry has 11:47 raised a Code 8.3 objection to being moved to Fermoy, do you understand?
A. I do.

151 Q. Again, this has all been addressed before, but what

Mr. Barry said was that he couldn't move to Fermoy, aside entirely from the content of the medical certificate, he couldn't because he had relatives living in the area and he felt that would be a breach of Code 8.3, isn't that correct? You knew about that?
A. Yes.

152 Q. okay. Then the second paragraph, your response to the assistant commissioner:
"I have consi dered Sergeant Barry's grounds of appeal 11:47 as set out in his report. Sergeant Barry's current place of work at Mtchel stown Garda Station is approxi matel y 36 kilometres from where his rel ative resi des. I do not bel ieve that having a rel ative residing in a rural area approxi matel y 20 kilometres from Fermoy would in any way impede Ser geant Barry from carrying out his duties at that station in a fair and impartial manner."

Fine. So that paragraph, that's you giving your view as to Sergeant Barry's objection under Code 8.3, this is your view about what he says is the reason why he shouldn't go to Fermoy, under Code 8.3?
A. No, Mr. Costelloe.

153 Q. oh. okay.
A. That's not my view.

154 Q. Right.
A. That's Chief Superintendent Dillane's view.

155 Q. I'm sorry, can we go down to the signature. I thought
we had agreed earlier that this was your signature and this was a letter you sent?
A. This is my signature and this is a letter I sent, but if you look at it, it's on behalf of the chief superintendent.

156 Q. So what you are saying is that this is a letter that you signed on behalf of chief Superintendent Dillane?
A. Correct.
Q. were you aware of the content of the letter before you signed it?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So that I am absolutely clear, because I seem to have gotten this completely wrong now, and I want to be a hundred percent certain before chief Superintendent Dillane, Mr. Dillane gives his evidence, you did not dictate this letter?
A. No.

159 Q. You're certain about that?
A. One hundred percent.

160 Q. Having seen the letter, it's sent to you for your 11:49 signature but not Chief Superintendent Dillane?
A. It's not sent to me for my signature. Chief Superintendent Dillane, from my recollection, and I am pretty sure was not working in Fermoy. And any time Chief Superintendent Dillane is off, I act as the chief 11:49 superintendent, and the correspondence from the
divisional office is signed by me on his behalf to keep things moving while he's off.
161 Q. okay. But he's not off here, because you've told us he

dictated this letter?
A. I've no idea when the letter was dictated.

CHAL RMAN He didn't say that. He didn't say that.
A. -- every evening the correspondence from the divisional 11:50 office would be brought to me from the divisional office by Chief Superintendent Dillane's staff --
167 Q. Right.
A. -- and I would sign off to keep things moving.

168 Q. To be fair to you, you couldn't possibly know therefore 11:50 who wrote that letter?
A. Yes.

169 Q. We can assume for the moment that it's Chief Superintendent Dillane asked, but we don't know that. But it comes to you from his office?
A. Correct.

170 Q. And it comes to you at a time where you can recall, because you've signed the letter, you were deputising, if you will, you were filling in for chief

Superintendent Dillane, he must have been unavailable?
A. That would happen regularly enough.

171 Q. Fine.
A. Similar to Inspector O'Sullivan when I would be off.

172 Q. Again, I think we can all understand that. Things have to keep moving, so you go to the next most senior officer, which in this case is you.
A. Yes.

A letter is produced in response to a request from the assistant commissioner, Human Resources Management, asking for input about an objection being raised about the transfer of Paul Barry based on the fact that it's in breach of Code 8.3 and this is a letter which is generated in response to that request, correct?
A. If you say so, Mr. Costelloe. I'm not sure what this letter was in response to.

174 Q. All right. Well, we will go down a page then, we will go down to page 4152. This is a letter addressed to chief superintendent, Fermoy in:
"Re: Transfer appeal -- Sergeant Paul Barry Mtchel stown Garda St ation.

I refer to correspondence in the above matter.

The transfer of Sergeant Barry from Mtchel stown Garda St at i on to Fermoy Garda Station is her eby deferred.

Please address the issue raised by Sergeant Barry in
rel ation to having a first cousin resi di ng at (redacted) as he states if it is in breach of Code 8. 3.

Pl ease have Sergeant Barry outline the grounds of his appeal and forward same to this branch wi thout del ay.

Forwarded for your attention.

Fint an Fanni ng, assi stant commi ssi oner, dated 6th March 2014."

Do you see that letter?
A. I wouldn't have seen that correspondence at the time.

175 Q. You see it now?
A. I see it now.
Q. Would you agree with me then that the letter I previously put to you, the one that you signed but wasn't written by you, appears to be in response to that?
A. Yes.

177 Q. So it deals with the Code 8.3 objection made by Mr. Barry and the paragraph specifically -- would you mind scrolling back up a page, please. Whoever wrote the letter, we will find out in due course who wrote it, but whoever wrote the letter says "According, I wi sh to apply for an exemption fromthe provisions of Garda Code 8. 3 for Sergeant Barry to allow himto serve at Fermoy Garda station and to allow transfer to proceed from Mtchel stown to Fer moy to proceed as per personnel bulletin 0314". Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. You didn't write that letter, but you did sign it?
A. Correct.

179 Q. You have agreed with me that you would have been aware of the content of that letter prior to signing it?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that not an extraordinary thing for you to sign off on?
A. No.

181 Q. Despite the fact that it is actively trying to circumvent or overrule or get around, however you want to describe it, the appeal against the transfer Mr. Barry has lodged, which would seem to work in your favour because it would leave you with Gerry Quinn as a 11:53 sergeant, instead of Paul Barry, you're happy to sign off on that assertion?
A. I am signing this for the chief superintendent.
Q. So it's all back to the chief superintendent, it's his doing, have you no interaction, engagement whatsoever in respect of this?
A. We11, I obviously signed the letter, but this is a letter that was produced to me that the chief superintendent wanted sent to A/C HRM, I signed it to go to A/C HRM.
183 Q. Again, we don't need to tiptoe around this superintendent. I mean I think probably everybody in the room has I hope the point that I am trying to make. At a point at which you are looking for Sergeant Gerry

Quinn, Chief Superintendent Dillane decides instead that he's going to send you Paul Barry. You don't raise any demure about that. Subsequently Paul Barry appeals that transfer, you have a letter sent to you to sign on behalf of the chief super and in that letter you see that in fact Paul Barry has appealed and the appeal is pursuant to Code 8.3 and you make no effort to go back to the chief superintendent and say, isn't that a good way to not transfer him, let's go back to the original plan and give me Gerry Quinn?
A. That's correct.

184 Q. And I am putting it to you, given everything that had been going on, given the existence of the medical certificate, isn't that an extraordinary thing for you to have not done?
A. I don't think so, Mr. Costelloe.

185 Q. If we move on, please, from that, we go to Inspector o'sullivan. Clearly Inspector o'sullivan will have to give his own answers, but can I just deal with one or two things that you might be able to assist me on. You 11:55 were informed on the 3 rd April by Chief Superintendent Dillane that Mr. Barry was saying that it would be injurious to his health to work with you at Fermoy Garda Station, isn't that right?
A. That came from a meeting that chief superintendent dillane had with the CMO on that date.

186 Q. Yes.
A. And I think he rang me straight afterwards.

187 Q. Yes.
A. Because up to now, the advice coming from the CMO was that this was a management issue not a medical issue.
188 Q. Yes.
A. But what was --

189 Q. I am not going to get into a discussion about the fact that there was a medical certificate in being and what was management and what was medica1. The Tribunal will make up its own mind about that, it has the documents. But I didn't think there was any controversy at all about this: On the 3rd April 2014 you're told by Chief 11:56 Superintendent Dillane that it would be injurious to Paul Barry's health for him to work with you at Fermoy Garda Station?
A. Yes.

190 Q. okay. And at that stage Inspector O'Sullivan is asked to deal with all matters relating to Sergeant Barry?
A. Yes.

191 Q. Okay. Because it's the words all matters that I really want to ask you about. Yesterday in response to a number of questions put by Mr. Marrinan, you said that 11:56 it was only in relation to correspondence to or from Paul Barry that Inspector O'Sullivan was to intervene, to act as a blocker, if you will, to deal with that?
A. Yeah, I would still have been dealing with correspondence from Sergeant Barry up to that date, so 11:57 what I said to Inspector O'Sullivan, look, you deal with everything, if anything comes to me, I'11 put it in your post box and you deal with it.
192 Q. Yes, again, I will come back to it in a moment, I am
not trying to preclude you from explaining that, but I just want to be clear: I am going to suggest to you that there is a difference between the answer yesterday, which is that Inspector o'Sullivan was there to deal with documentation to or from Paul Barry, whereas you had previously said Inspector o'sullivan was inserted between - I am using the word inserted, that's not a word you used, but - put before you and Mr. Barry in relation to all matters, do you understand the distinction between the two?
A. I do.

193 Q. And again to be fair to you, it's at page 552, 552 of your statement. I will quote it to you:
"When I was informed by Chi ef Superintendent Dillane on 11:58 the 3rd April 2014 that it would be injurious to Sergeant Barry's health to work with me or in Fermoy Garda Station, I asked Inspector O Sullivan --"

And this is the pertinent part
" -- if he would deal with all matters relating to Sergeant Barry until the investigations were finished. Inspector O Sul Iivan agreed. And we al so agreed that if Inspector O Sullivan had any issues he would contact 11:58 me and there would be consultation on the issue."

Do you see that there in your statement?
A. Yes. on, which is the 3rd April 2014, Inspector O'Sullivan is placed between you and Mr. Barry and he's to deal with everything to do with Mr. Barry, personal interactions, correspondence, telephone calls, e-mails, everything, in other words all matters, not just documentation.
A. No.

199 Q. Okay. And my question, the only other question I have about that, is that insofar as this information coming to you after the CMO meeting on that date in th Apri1 --
A. Yes.

12:00 as you put it, just to deal with documentation, or, as you said at the time, to deal with all matters, put in place a year earlier, almost exactly a year earlier when the medical certificate was produced? why did you 12:00 wait a clear to put this step in place?
A. Because of what $I$ was told on that date.
Q. Being April 2014?
A. Yes.

202 Q. Could I suggest to you that what you're told in April of 2014 is in no material respect any different to what you knew from the medical certificate a year earlier?
A. I don't agree. okay. And could I suggest to you that in fact a year earlier you could have taken this exact same step 12 months previous, which would have at least to some extent alleviated the issue about interactions between yourself and Mr. Barry?
A. No, I don't agree.

204 Q. Can I suggest to you that again this is demonstrative is your answer to Mr. Marrinan yesterday, the only solution here was to have him transferred out, not to try and find other work arounds, other ways of solving the problem?
A. I do agree with that.
Q. I am just going to put a couple of dates to you, if I have any of them wrong, tell me how $I$ have gotten them wrong, please, before I move tonne the next thing. If you don't know, you don't know, sorry, I'm not trying to put you on the spot. This isn't a memory quiz. But it seems to me that you moved from Fermoy district to Mayfield district on the 9th March 2015, is that roughly correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Mayfield at the time was in the Cork City division?
A. Yeah.

207 Q. Have I got that right?
A. Yes.

208 Q. Anglesea Street would have been the divisional headquarters, have I got that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do we take it, therefore, that if Mr. Barry had been moved to Anglesea Street - I am from Cork, you would think I would be able to say the name - Anglesea Street station in that timeframe, in around march 2015, he would again have been working in the same district as you; isn't that correct?
A. No, different district. Same division, different district.
Q. I beg your pardon, thank you for correcting me?
A. Yes.

211 Q. Then on the 25th February 2019, you move from Mayfield
to become the -- I think you became in fact the divisional crime superintendent at Anglesea Street Garda station, have I got that right?
A. Yeah. Detective superintendent.

D/Superintendent. We call use abbreviations sometimes, 12:02 sometimes it comes across as I am speaking pejoratively, that is not what I meant. You moved anyway, 25th February 2019?
A. Yes.

213 Q.
I am going to try and deal as briefly as I can with the 12:03 $^{\text {a }}$ criticism. First of all, the complaint, if I describe it that way, that Mr. Barry makes about how you dealt with felt his reporting or the non-reporting of the fatal fire incident and what I am going to suggest to you is criticism by you of him in not adequately or appropriately reporting that, okay. So I am moving on to the fatal fire incident now?
A. Okay.

214 Q. This was an occurrence that started I think on the night of the 9th April 2013, and seems to have gone on for a couple of hours at least, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I don't think it's necessary to open any or all or most of these documents again. Let's see what we can agree upon, they've been opened quite a bit so far. But could I suggest to you that the minute from Assistant Commissioner Quilter, dated 3rd August 2012, so that's, give or take, approximately six months prior, makes no reference to the sergeant or the guard making the
report, just that a report has to be sent so as to inform more senior management, divisional management of a potential serious incident, could I suggest that that's correct?
A. Yes, regional management.
Q. Regional management.
A. Yes.

217 Q. It seems to be apparent from the face of the document, there's no reference to it being a sergeant, in fact it seems to refer to the superintendent of the district moving the information up the ladder, if you will?
A. That would be normal, Mr. Costelloe.
Q. Yes. And you caused that e-mail, that minute by e-mail to be sent out to every one of your sergeants in the district. And we know this, because it's at page 5711, 12:04 5711 of the documents. You disseminated that particular document?
A. I actually did it myself, yes.

219 Q. You actually physically did the e-mail yourself?
A. I did.

220 Q. Okay. Again, it seems to be that -- I mean, perhaps this is an occasion where we should refer to the document. 5711. We have it there in front of us, thank you.
"Pl ease ensure that all critical incidents occurring within Fermoy district are notified to Superintendent Comyns or Inspector O Sul I i van i medi ately after they occur. A list of incidents... is set out bel ow. For
i mmedi at e compl i ance. "

This is you making it absolutely clear that you or Inspector o'sullivan are to be informed immediately if a serious incident occurs?
A. Yes.

221 Q. There is no doubt whatsoever but that Inspector o'sullivan was contacted effectively immediately by the gardaí who went to the fatal fire, to tell him that the fatal fire -- that a fire with potential fatal consequences was in being, isn't that correct?
A. Correct.

222 Q. In fact, it appears to be common case, nobody seems to be arguing otherwise or suggesting otherwise, that there are a number of phone calls to Inspector o'sullivan during the course of the night giving him information about what is happening at the fire. He seems to accept that himself?
A. Yeah, I'm not sure how many calls he got.

223 Q. Okay. He will give his own evidence?
A. We spoke once.
Q. Are you sure about that?
A. Yeah, I'm fairly sure.

226 Q. All right, we will come to your journal in a moment. okay, before we do that, Inspector o'Sullivan talks in his own statement, refers in his own statement to the fact that he came off duty at ten o'clock that night,
but regardless of that fact he continued to get phone calls updating him on what was going on?
A. Yeah.

227 Q. Okay. Your evidence now is that you only had one phone call from Inspector o'sullivan?
A. That night.
Q. Yes. When you say that night, what do you mean, beginning? when did the week recommence?
A. He rang me that night to inform me about the fire and that there was a fatality in the fire. And the next morning, $I$ 'm not sure if he was working or not, if he was working we would have spoken about it, but then during the day at some stage he stage he rang me to update me on the postmortem, if my memory is correct.
229 Q. Okay. It may be that we can agree, I just simply don't 12:07 know. So let's go to your journal entries. If we could look to the first one, which is at page 731. These are handwritten, superintendent. So rather than me reading them, may $I$ ask you please to refer to them --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and tell us what they say. You have to scroll down a tiny bit, please. There. Do you see the redacted portion?
A. Yes.

231 Q. So just before that, 10.42pm it seems to me to be saying Inspector Tony O'Sullivan, do you see that?
A. Yes.

232
A. "Fatal fire at.." the address is obviously blacked out "preserve scene for scenes of crime examination in the morning and result of $\mathrm{PM}^{\prime}$.
Q. Then if we go on to the next page, this is Wednesday, the 10th?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. What does that say?
A. "I nspector Tony O Sullivan, scenes of crime examiner, Garda Fergal Whel ton at scene of fire, Garda Henry Ward attending PM in Li merick at llam"
Q. Then if we go on to the next page, 12.44 pm , do you see that there?
A. Yes.
Q. what does that say?
A. "I nspector Tony O Sullivan. Fire started in downstairs maybe were stove is. PM result, di ed fromsmoke i nhal at i on. "
Q. These are handwritten entries into your work journal?
A. Yes.

239 Q. At least in respect of the very first one, was that written down contemporaneously, so you're getting a phone call and you're just jotting down a --
A. Roughly.

240 Q. Pardon?
A. Roughly contemporaneous.

241 Q. An aide memoire, so to speak.
A. Yes.

242 Q. You have it to note it?
A. Yes.

243 Q. And then there are two follow up phone calls the next day, one early in the morning and one just in the early 12:09 afternoon from Inspector O'Sullivan?
A. Yes.

244 Q. So all that information is available to you about the fatal fire, what you've summarised in that?
A. Yes.

245 Q. Then obviously you had access to Pulse, so you the Pulse data entry in respect of the fire?
A. Yes.

246 Q. I actually don't recall whether or not the Pulse entry was ever opened, but 1et's go to page 409 of the documents please. I don't think it ever has been opened to the Chairman. So if we just go to page 409, and then go down there to the bottom in respect of the narrative, we will see:
"Report of a house fire at... occupant of the house fatally injured in the fire. Doctor pronounced death at 11. 50pm Deceased taken to Md Western Regi onal Hospital for postnortem Scene preserved and SOC (scene of crime) requested. No of fence detected."

This again is information that you had available to you, yeah?
A. Correct.

247
Q And this was an entry made into the database by j Wall, the guard who is communicating with Inspector o'Sullivan, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

248 Q. I won't ask the Chairman to go back to the C71 yet again, it's been opened, but that furthermore set out various pieces of information about the fatal fire?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was being reported to the coroner, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me then that we have a situation where we have a minute from $A / C$ Quilter which makes no reference to the sergeant being responsible for reporting the incident, we have you in receipt of information from Inspector O'Sullivan, apparently in compliance with a memo or a minute that you sent out whereby either he or you or both presumably are to be informed of serious incidents, that information is relayed to you by Inspector o'Sullivan, you have the C71 and you have the Pulse data entry, isn't all that correct?
A. Yes.

But you're still critical of the fact that Paul Barry didn't prepare a report either summarising or otherwise 12:10 restating all of that information?
A. As I said yesterday, it didn't matter who prepared the report, it was the sergeant's responsibility to make sure a report was submitted. 3
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Q. We11, in that regard, yesterday, and this was in fact the document that I couldn't find and I asked for sight of, you referred to a pre-existing or historical understanding of the obligation of the sergeant which is under Code 33.10, do you remember saying that yesterday?
A. No, I said there was a long standing practice that any critical or significant issue would have to be reported.
okay. The distinction being -- you're correcting me on the fact that $I$ put to you that it was the sergeant you said had to do it, you're just saying that it is a long standing practice that it gets done?
A. Correct.
okay. So perhaps then we don't even need to go through that document in any great detail, we have it available to us, but it's a new page number. We only got it this morning, so I am not sure if it is added to the bundle of documents. If anyone could assist me with a page number. 5746. Thank you, Mr. Perry. Then we are going to have to scroll down through it to get to 33.10, which is on page -- actually it doesn't have page numbers in my version. 5753, thank you very much. So this is the Code.
A. Yes.
Q.
"Crimes and incidents that are to be immedi ately reported to A/C Crime and Security:

1. All incidents of a security nature and all crimes or incidents of any si gnificance that give rise to or

$\qquad$
likely to give rise to public interest or concern or that are likely to attract significant media publicity, shall be brought to the attention of A/C Crime and Security once the basic facts are known and before they
come to the notice of the media. "

Then perhaps the only other pertinent -- we11, 2 and 3 deal with the nature of the reporting. You seem to agree with me in any event that again there's no reference here to it being the sergeant's responsibility to submit that report?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And this older Code is advanced upon by the minute of $A / C$ Quilter, whereby he directs that the information gets filtered to the regional management rather than to $A / C$ in charge of Crime and Security, isn't that right?
A. At the time of the original Code there wouldn't have been regional assistant commissioners.
Q. Exactly.
A. So they took over the responsibility that the assistant commissioner Crime and Security had when they were appointed.

258 Q. There's no controversy about that?
A. Yes.
in your evidence yesterday referred to the older pre-existing, if you wi11, method which was encapsulated by code 33.10 and again you agree with me now this afternoon that there's no reference it to it being the sergeant's responsibility?
A. Correct.

260 Q. So, do I take it then that what you are saying is that this is something that is generated over a period of time, this is just the practice as it developed, that the sergeant on duty was responsible for submitting that report?
A. As long as I can remember, Mr. Costelloe.
Q. Because Mr. Barry, who was the sergeant in charge, was very clear in his evidence, which was to say that as far as he was concerned the report, as he was obliged to ensure it was submitted, was submitted, because it was in the form of a C71, coupled with the information in the Pulse entry?
A. I don't agree with Sergeant Barry there.

262 Q. Could I suggest to you that when Chief Superintendent 12:14 Dillane wrote to you on the 11th April 2013 -- page 733 of the bundle of documents. This is from the chief superintendent, it's addressed to the district officer Fermoy, which is you, it's in reference to the fatal fire and it says:
"Comminication fromthis office dated 3rd August 2012--"

That's A/C Quilter's minute
" -- clearly states that a report on all critical inci dents should be reported to the regi onal office within 30 minutes of the inci dent occurring, with the follow up report submitted to the regi onal office by 8. 15amthe following morning. This was not adhered to in this case and l require a full expl anation as to why this direction was not complied with in respect of this i nci dent. "

Could I suggest to you, superintendent, that that in fact is a criticism of you and not of your subordinates, because you didn't comply with the minute of $A / C$ Quilter by communicating to the regional office the information that was to hand at that time?
A. I would agree with you, Mr. Costelloe, yes.
Q. And in that vein, all you're really doing thereafter is transferring that criticism to your subordinates, in this instance Sergeant Barry, as he then was, even though there doesn't appear to be any obligation on him set out in any piece of paper that I have seen requiring him to be the person who puts in writing the report referred to in the minute by $A / C$ Quilter?
A. Again, $I$ will say, it was long standing practice.

And, of course, you understand that what Mr. Barry says is that you had the information, Inspector o'sullivan had been on to you, you had access to the C71, you had access to the Pulse and that should have been enough
for you to comply with the minute by sending the report to the regional office?
A. I didn't have the full information that would be required.
265 Q. If we move on then please to -- well, let's as briefly as we can, hopefully, deal with issue 4D, the complaint that Mr. Barry raises about your engagement with his non-attendance at the case conference on the 2nd February 2015. You had this put to you yesterday, it's the letter, it's at page 835 of the documents, but it's 12:17 the letter where you draw to the attention of chief Superintendent Dillane the fact that Mr. Barry hasn't attended that case conference. You remember this, don't you?
A. Yes.

266 Q. Yeah. And you go on to say that you just can't do your job, despite the fact that you had been bringing this to the attention of Garda management for over two years, you don't get the backing of the hierarchy and you can't run your district where you have a sergeant who won't turn up to meetings. I am summarising and paraphrasing, but that's effectively what you're saying, correct?
A. This was more than a meeting; it was a conference on a rape allegation.
267 Q. Absolutely. Sorry, I didn't mean to belittle it, but he didn't show up as far as you were concerned to an important event and this is you expressing your unhappiness about the fact that this situation is
continuing to persist, yes?
A. Correct.
Q. I mean, insofar as that criticism is stated by you of Mr. Barry in that letter, would you not agree with me that it is somewhat unfair given that you're aware at the time that you write that letter that he has a medical certificate precluding him from being in your presence?
A. In the letter what I was saying was, what I have said from the start is happening, this is not workable.
269 Q. "I cannot performmy duties as district officer because of Sergeant Barry's behavi our. It is challenging --"

Sorry, I am again paraphrasing. -- "...challenging staff who do not perform When I have challenged Sergeant Barry l have been left in a situation where he has ignored me for over two years and I have got no backi ng by the hi erarchy in An Garda Sí ochána. " I mean that is an express criticism of Mr. Barry, there's no two ways about it. This is you expressing your
frustration about the behaviour of a subordinate is that you cannot manage as far as you are concerned?
A. I would agree with that, Mr. Costelloe, yes.
Q. And my point to you is: would you not accept that that is unfair in circumstances where Mr. Barry had been told, and you were aware of this, by his doctor that he was not to be in contact with you directly?
A. I don't believe so, Mr. Costelloe.

271 Q. You said that in relation to that particular case
conference, you had relied on a D/guard, I think his name was Fitzgerald or Fitzpatrick, do you remember?
A. Jim Fitzpatrick.

Fitzpatrick, thank you. You had relied on him to communicate to Mr. Barry the fact that this case conference was happening and that he had to be at it, do you remember?
A. That would be the normal course for a case conference, one of the members would notify everyone on my behalf. Just dealing with the specifics of this, okay, I am not 12:20 asking about the generality, I am asking about the specifics. In this particular instance your evidence was and your assertion was that you were relying on Detective Garda Fitzpatrick to tell Paul Barry to be at the case conference?
A. Correct. the communication that Detective Garda Fitzpatrick had with Paul Barry whereby he says that he did tell him that the case conference was happening?
A. No.
Q. Why didn't you call -- I think you may have just answered this, but to come back on it, why didn't you phone or text or e-mail Paul Barry yourself to tell him to be at the case conference?
A. Because I told Jim Fitzpatrick to do it.
Q. Had it anything to do with the fact that you were not having direct contact with Mr. Barry at that stage?
A. No. It would be normal, if I was holding a rape
conference, that I would talk to either the detective sergeant or one of the detective gardaí and say, we will have a conference at such a time, will you notify everyone.
Well, if that's the case, why is it that you did have direct contact with Paul Barry on the instance of the case conference on the 10th February 2014, that's page 561 of your evidence, and in relation to the PAF meeting on the 3rd March 2014, that's page 562 of your statement? I mean, if it was normal, why did you do it 12:21 then but not do it here? Do you understand?
A. No, I have missed -- the PAF meeting I understand.
Q. Yes.
A. The other one...?

279 Q. The other one was a case conference that was held on the 10th February 2014, a year previous. And you contacted Paul Barry directly, telling him to be at it.
A. Could you show me details of that, Mr. Costelloe?
Q. It's possible that that meeting wasn't a case conference but was also a PAF meeting, another PAF meeting. For the record, you're nodding in agreement that that may have been a PAF meeting, not a case conference?
A. Okay.

MR. HARTY: It might be helpful if Mr. Costelloe was to 12:22 open the part of the statement that he is referring to.

281 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: I am obliged to my friend for his intervention, but $I$ will do that in a moment. First of a11 I am just dealing with this. It seems to be the
case that there were instances in the past when you had contacted Mr. Barry directly telling him to be at a meeting?
A. My recollection was that if Sergeant Barry's unit were due to be at a PAF meeting, Sergeant Barry was still on 12:22 the group text, so he was getting texts all along.
Q. okay. So again, it may very well be that that's the answer and there's no controversy to this. If necessary we will go back over it, but are you saying that you were sending out a group text about PAF meetings?
A. Every week.

284 Q. Okay.
A. The PAF meeting happened once a week, every week, and it was a different group that would attend the meeting because the units wouldn't have been working on a certain week. And also I might change the time of the PAF meeting. So a group text went out usually on a Sunday evening, telling everyone what time the PAF

285 Q. Could I go to page 561 of your statement, please. The last two paragraphs. There we go. We have it there:
"On Mbnday norni ng, 10th February 2014, I became aware that two of the three sergeants based in Mtchel stown were on sick leave. Thi s left Sergeant Paul Barry as the onl y sergeant on duty. When Sergeant Barry did not attend at the weekly PAF meeting at 2 pm I rang his phone at 2.03pm The phone was not answered and I left a message. At 2.05pml rang Mtchel stown Garda Station and spoke with Garda JJ Wall. I asked Garda Wall to get Sergeant Barry to ring me. He tol d me Sergeant Barry was out in the detective branch car. Garda Wall called Sergeant Barry over the radio and asked himto contact me. Sergeant Barry did not contact me."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So that's not a text message; that's a phone call, right?
A. Correct.

287 Q. Yes. So again I go back to my question, which is that in that instance you didn't have a difficulty picking up the phone to Sergeant Barry looking for an explanation here as to why he wasn't at the PAF meeting?
A. If you go up to the paragraph above, Mr. Costelloe -288 Q. Yes.
A. -- the content of the group text that went out every week is at the very last sentence, at the top of the page here.

289 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah.
Q. Again, we can all agree, 1 'm not challenging you for a moment about the fact that group texts were being sent out by PAF meetings or whatever, there's no challenge to you on that. What I am just asking you is: Do you agree that as you set out in your own statement, on that particular instance you were in a position to pick up the phone and contact Sergeant Barry directly looking for an explanation as to why he hadn't been at the meeting?
A. The point I'm making here, Mr. Costelloe, is the last sentence of the group text is: "Each working sergeant must attend. If on annual leave, non- effective course, et cetera, must be represented by unit nember." So, in this circumstance, Sergeant Barry's unit was due to report to the PAF and no one turned up, the sergeant in charge in Mitchelstown should have been reporting to the PAF but he went sick, so Sergeant Barry was acting sergeant in charge in Mitchelstown, so he had a double representation at the PAF meeting. And no one turned up for either of the two. So I decided, I made a decision, I'd ring Sergeant Barry and I'd just ask him.

291 Q. I fully appreciate that you felt that you needed to explain why you did it. But that doesn't change the fact that we agreed, did we not, that on that occasion you did phone?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. Then just for completeness, because Mr. Harty asked me to do this, if we move onto the next page, on

Monday 3rd March there's another PAF meeting, again Paul Barry doesn't turn up and it seems, based on your statement, that again you rang Sergeant Barry's mobile phone, got no answer and left a message?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. But in relation to the case conference, which was to do with a sexual assault allegation, which presumably is considered to be much more serious than a weekly PAF meeting, you didn't contact him directly, you relied on Detective Garda Fitzpatrick to do so?
A. That's correct.

MR. HARTY: Sorry, Chairman, I have to object again. This is an entirely unfair proposition. The questioning was along the lines of, why didn't you contact Sergeant Barry to come to the meeting in
relation to the case conference, and then he was questioned as if the contact in relation to the PAF meetings was to direct him to come to those meetings. In fact, both of those contacts were seeking an explanation for his failure to come to those meetings. And the question is entirely unfair, because it is suggesting that in the ordinary course he would have phoned Sergeant Barry to come to those meetings, when in fact on each case that question deals with his
failure to attend the meetings. And the contact with Detective Fitzpatrick was in relation to request that Sergeant Barry come to the meeting. So it's not a correct juxtaposition to the witness. I just think I need to have that on the transcript now. Thank you. CHA RMAN We11, Mr. Costelloe, what do you say to that?

MR. COSTELLOE: we11, I am not sure I need to reply to it. I think Mr. Harty was just putting a point on the record, Chairman. I don't believe I was being unfair. I feel like I opened the relevant passages to the witness and asked him to comment upon it. Even if I was inadvertently or accidentally unfair, for which I apologise, if that's the conclusion you draw, my answer would be that there can be no doubt but that I have put 12:29 the relevant passages of the statement.
CHAL RMAN No, I don't think there is any question of Mr. Costelloe being unfair. I think things did what's the word? - segue from one. where this began there was a proposition by Mr. Costelloe that the superintendent had behaved inconsistently, that his conduct on the occasion in regard to the case conference was inconsistent with previous occasions. So I think that's where it began. Then it became clear that the other meetings were PAF meetings.
Mr. Costelloe was about to mention a specific meeting that contradicted the superintendent when I think his junior drew his attention to the fact that it was a PAF meeting and Mr. Costelloe pursued the question of the

PAF meetings then. I'm not sure that anybody was in a terrible state of confusion. I understand Mr. Harty's point, but I don't think there was any unfairness, I don't think there was any intention to be unfair and I don't think anybody was actually confused. I think the 12:30 superintendent knew what was going on, I knew what was going on. But I think it may have happened because Mr . Costelloe began on one line of the tracks and moved to another line of the tracks, it seems to me. Maybe I have misunderstood it, but that's my understanding.

So I don't think there's any question of unfairness. There's nothing for Mr . Costelloe to apologise for and I think we will proceed. I hope I have understood the situation and I don't think that Superintendent Comyns was in any way discommoded or misled by the questioning. So I don't think there's any call for any ruling or rebuke to Mr. Costelloe.
MR. COSTELLOE: Thank you, Chairman.
296 Q.
Superintendent, we're going to move onto the annual leave now, okay?
A. Yes.

297 Q. So that this is issue 5A, and it relates to Mr. Barry's assertion that he was targeted in the manner in which his leave application was dealt with -- the leave application for May 2013 was dealt with, okay, that's what we are on to now?
A. Yes.

298 Q. Right. Before we go any further, and it's clearly the
case but let's just set it out in black and white, there are different leave applications, there's one in April and there's one in May, correct?
A. Yes.

299 Q. I wasn't trying to trick you, I was just making sure that we all know where we are starting from. So again, there's different leave applications. But it seems to be the case that Inspector o'Sullivan had been delegated to handle the leave application in 2013, in April of 2013 he was the acting district officer for the purposes of the leave application, is that your recollection too?
A. That must have been correct.

300 Q. okay. It's at page 555 of the statement, but that seems to be what everyone is --
A. Yes.
Q. -- agreeing upon.
A. Yes.
Q. And you say in your correspondence, this is at page 746, that the reason for that, the reason Inspector O'Sullivan is dealing with the leave application of April 2013, is because of the ongoing investigation by Chief Superintendent Kehoe, okay?
A. Okay.

303 Q. A11 right. Now, why then did you come back into the leave application of May 2013, even though the investigation is ongoing?
A. I would say probably Inspector O'Sullivan was probably
not working or more than likely.
304 Q. Okay. Again, we can ask Inspector O'Sullivan that directly --
A. Yeah.

305 Q. -- but you have no other explanation for it other than 12:33 the possibility that he just wasn't available?
A. Yeah...
Q. Again, superintendent, I'm not trying to trick you?
A. I know that, I just...

307 Q. I will give you another piece of information by way of a question and it may or may not assist you but I am going to ask it and then you will know where I am coming from --
A. Yes.

308 Q. -- and then this may inform the answer you're giving to ${ }^{12: 33}$ this question I asked a moment ago. Inspector
O'Sullivan -- Mr. Barry has said that he discussed the reason why he was looking for leave with Inspector O'Sullivan in or about that time, do you recall that?
A. This is the May application now we're talking about?

309 Q. Yes, the May?
A. May.

310 Q. The subsequent one, the one that you deal with?
A. No.

311 Q. okay.
A. He discussed it with Inspector O'Sullivan.

312 Q. Fair enough, I won't ask you any more about that, if you don't know, you don't know. So, we can agree in any event though that you do appear to be the person
who deals with the leave application, the annual leave application for May of 2013, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. I did.

12:34
314 Q. And again, just so that we are all clear on the timeframe, the decision in respect of the bullying and harassment complaints wasn't made until June 2013, so your rationale for previously delegating the annual leave to Inspector o'sullivan appears to continue to persist at the time at which the annual leave is sought in May of 2013. It's an unbelievably cumbersome question and I'm sorry for it, but understand the import of it?
A. I do, I do.

315 Q. April 2014 you get Inspector O'Sullivan to deal with it because the investigation is ongoing; May 2013 you decide to leave the annual leave application but the investigation remains ongoing, that's what $I$ am putting to you, do you understand?
A. Yes.
Q. Would it not have been better then at that stage to do what you had previously done, to get a different officer to deal with the application for leave?
A. I cannot say, Mr. Costelloe. I don't know why I dealt 12:35 with it or if myself and Inspector O'Sullivan spoke about it.

317 Q. Leaving aside entirely about why you dealt with it, what $I$ am really asking at this point is: Could you
not agree with it me that it would been preferable or better, if somebody who - and I'm not suggesting that you weren't, so don't read anything into the way I ask this question - but someone who had the appearance of impartiality was in fact determining the leave application?
A. It probably would now, Mr. Costelloe, looking back from now, but that's not the way we thought at the time. Debt.

The issue then revolved or descended to whether or not there was cover for Mr. Barry to take leave on the various dates which he sought, isn't that right?
A. Correct.

319 Q. And again, I have no intention of asking the Chairman to traverse all the ground that's been done already, it's page 751, 753 to 754 about the various arrangements that Mr. Barry said he was putting in place, but Sergeant Dunne, another sergeant from Mitchelstown, did contact you and speak with you to say that there would be cover for Sergeant Barry to take leave at that time?
A. That's not exactly what he said.
Q. okay, tell me where I am wrong?
A. It will be all right, if anything happens, we'11 cover it.

321 Q. okay.
A. Some words to that effect. Now that's not exactly what was said, but words to that effect.

322 Q. Because Sergeant Dunne did submit a report to you, page

1880 -- sorry, this isn't his report to you, I beg your pardon, this is his statement. Just to correct myself there.
A. Yes.

323 Q. If you go down to the third paragraph from the end, the ${ }_{12: 36}$ line beginning "I do recall difficulties with retired Sergeant Barry's application for annual leave in mid 2013 referred to in pages 39, 40 and 41 of his statement. I did submit a report to Superintendent Comyns at Fermoy Garda Station and lid speak to the superintendent about the issue, explaining that । believed there was enough cover to facilitate the application for leave, save one day, July 13th, 2013."

That seems to be what Mr. Dunne is saying. He gave you 12:37 a report and he told you that there was cover, except for one specific day.
A. No.

324 Q. You disagree?
A. I disagree.

325 Q. Okay. Yesterday you told us about the fact that it was necessary to have cover from -- sorry, this is very much me putting words in your mouth now, so let's be very careful here, because I could have gotten this wrong again. I understood you to be telling the
Chairman that part of the issue about annual leave, the annual leave request for May, was that Sergeant Geary, who was -- no not Sergeant Geary, the unit B sergeant -- yeah, Sergeant Gerry?
A. Sergeant Geary.
A. When someone applies for annual leave, I would check leave or not.

332 Q. And that seems to make sense based on your answer yesterday and what you confirmed to me this afternoon,
which is that part of your problem with the request by Sergeant Barry for leave was the fact that Sergeant Geary was already going to be out on leave?
A. Correct.

So Sergeant Barry makes a request, you go off and check 12:39 to see whether or not the other unit B sergeant is available and lo and behold you find he's not, he's already gotten annual leave for some of those dates?
A. I think for all of those dates.

Fine. Some or all. And perhaps that's the best way of ${ }_{12: 39}$ moving on to the next question. I can see no document anywhere in the nearly 8,000 pages that we've received, which shows that Sergeant Geary informed you that he had spoken to Sergeant Barry and that Sergeant Barry would cover him over that period of time. Do you understand?
A. I do.

335 Q. Is that not unusual?
A. Is it unusual...?

Wouldn't there have been a requirement for sergeant Geary to ensure that the other unit B sergeant, in this case my client, was going to work at the time in which he was seeking annual leave?
A. Yeah, they should discuss it between the two of them.

337 Q. Yes. Because we have a situation here where it seems
to be the case Sergeant Barry, my client, is looking for leave apparently at a time when Sergeant Geary has already been granted leave, which would surely imply that he had never agreed to cover Sergeant Geary over that period of time?
A. Yes.

And there isn't a single document in all of the material that we have been given to show that Sergeant Geary has written to you or Inspector O'Sullivan to say, I cleared it with my other unit B sergeant, Mr. Barry, there's cover here, don't worry about it, I can take my leave at that time, not a single piece of paper to that effect?
A. That's not the way it works, Mr. Costelloe.
A. Sergeant Geary would have applied on his annual leave application form.
Q. Yes.
A. And we would have checked to see, either or either of us, whoever granted leave, would have checked if Sergeant Barry was working or not. Sergeant Barry was going to work, so Sergeant Geary's leave was granted.

341 Q. So in this instance therefore either you or Inspector o'Sullivan would have gone to the information, however it's stored, and seen that Mr. Barry was due to work that shift, those shifts, those days, so therefore you could grant, either you or Inspector O'Sullivan could grant Sergeant Geary's leave?
A. Yes.

342 Q. But shouldn't Sergeant Geary have enquired of Mr. Barry if he was prepared to cover him at that time? I mean, is there to be an engagement between the two unit sergeants as to who would stay on and who would take
leave?
A. There should be, yeah.

343 Q. Because we don't seem to have any evidence that that happened here?
A. There wouldn't be evidence. I have answered that already.

344 Q. Okay. Excuse me one second. I am a little bit chopping and changing here, I had intended to come back to this at a different point but I think Mr. Perry is right, in fairness to you, I should mention it now rather than moving off topic. We have been provided with quite a bit of material by the Tribunal that concerns Inspector o'sullivan, his engagement with my client and also his engagement with some civilian staff members in or about the time that Mr. Barry was makes this application for leave in May of 2013.
A. Okay.

345 Q. Did you know that we had that material?
A. I saw the material.
okay. So you're aware therefore that Inspector
o'sullivan says that he got the leave application, and I am going to describe it as a moment of pique, delivers it back, I am using the most neutral way I can to describe it, to the civilian employee and says that those applications are to go to you and that he's not to be bothered by them?
A. My reading of it would be they were to go to the district office, which is where all leave applications go.

347 Q. Yes. But with all due respect, superintendent, we can all agree, can't we, that it's either you or Inspector O'Sullivan who is going to deal with the leave application?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And Inspector O'Sullivan, having gotten the leave application, has told the Tribunal that he threw it back into his cubbyhole and told the civilian employee don't bother him with stuff like that ever again because you're the man to be told that those leave applications are being made, not him - you know that, don't you?
A. That's, in my opinion, not what's there. Inspector O'Sullivan -- 1et's try and make this as neutral as possible, to see if we can agree. Inspector 12:44 O'Sullivan appears to be telling the Tribunal that he was not dealing with the leave application of Mr. Barry, the one from May of 2013, that it was your responsibility, not his responsibility to deal with it. Can we agree on that?
A. No. Inspector o'Sullivan was saying, in my opinion, and Inspector 0'Sullivan can answer this himself, but in my opinion Inspector o'Sullivan was saying leave applications are submitted to the district office, not into my post box.
350 Q. okay. I will move on.
A. And then whoever is working the next day deals with the leave application.
351 Q. I was going to move on, but forgive me, I mean, it's
quite clear that Inspector O'Sullivan isn't dealing with that leave application, isn't it? I mean, superintendent, surely we can agree on this: Inspector o'Sullivan has made it quite clear to the Tribunal that when he saw that application for leave he was washing his hands of it?
A. No, when he saw the way it was submitted, in my opinion. It should not have been put into his post box, because he says that he doesn't go to his post box for days at a time. It should have gone to the district office, where it would be dealt with immediately. That, in my opinion, is what's there. And again, Inspector o'Sullivan can answer that himself.
352 Q. okay, fair enough. We'11 put it to Inspector
O'Sullivan. In any event, you didn't grant him the entirety of the leave that he sought, isn't that right? This was in July. He was looking for leave in July of 2013. You didn't give it all to him, you gave him some of it?
A. Correct.

353 Q. And we've already had Sergeant Dunne's letter, which appears to come from a request by you for an explanation as to the way in which the station was covered, because Mr. Barry went ahead and took that leave anyway, isn't that right?
A. No, this is much bigger than the station being covered. This is a whole unit in the district.

354 Q. You write a letter --

CHA RMAK Sorry, Mr. Costelloe, did we have Sergeant Dunne's letter?

MR. COSTELLOE: I am now going to open it, Chairman, because $I$ don't want there to be any suggestion that I am confusing the witness, directly or indirectly. CHA RMAN To date, you've said we have his letter, but we don't, we have his statement.
MR. COSTELLOE: No, no, this is previously. CHA RMAN I'm sorry.
MR. COSTELLOE: Superintendent, so that there is no misunderstanding, I will go through it, okay. would you mind, please, going to page 159 of the documents, please. Page 159 of the documents. I have got that page wrong, I think. It's page 161, isn't it? Sorry, would you mind going down to page 161, please. This is 12:48 the letter from Sergeant Dunne. It's dated the 11th July 2013 and it addressed to you. Do you see that?
A. Yes.

356 Q. okay.
"Appl i cation for annual I eave - Ser geant Paul Barry.

Wth reference to the above, Ser geant Barry has al ready attached a report with regard to cover during the period of his annual leave from 3 rd July 2013 to 18th 12:48 Jul y 2013.

Ser geant Gerry Qui nn worked ni ghts on Sunday, 7th July 2013 and I covered the earlier shift on that date.

Sergeant Li am Kelleher will work 10amto 6pm on Sunday 14th Jul y 2013 to provi de cover.

In his report, Sergeant Barry has indi cated that Sergeant Hallinan and I would be working on the 13th July 2013, this is not correct. Both Sergeant Hallinan and I are on rest days.

The rest of Sergeant Barry's report appears accurate."

That was the letter sent to you by Sergeant Dunne, yes?
A. Correct.

357 Q. Is that the letter then that led you to understand that there was one day that there was no cover?
A. No.

358 Q. Okay. Because again referencing Mr. Dunne's statement that I have already put to you a moment ago, he says that in fact there was cover except for that one day, but that's not this letter?

CHA RMAN I am sorry, I am confused, Mr. Costelloe.
A. Yeah.

CHAN RMAN What's your question?
359 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: On receipt of that letter,
superintendent, what was your understanding of the situation regarding cover for Mr. Barry during his
leave application, during the dates of his leave application?
A. I knew that on a lot of the dates there was no cover and this report from Sergeant Dunne didn't provide any
comfort to me.
360 Q. why is that?
A. Because there was no cover on a lot of the dates.

361 Q. Despite what's set out on the face of that letter?
A. That letter only speaks of Sunday, 7th July, Sunday, 14th July. That's the only two dates that are covered there.

It may be then after lunch I will have to come back to a different document. I think I am actually conflating two different letters. So I am just going to check myself over lunchtime and make sure that I am not doing that, superintendent. I'11 move on for the time being and I will come back to that, if I have made a mistake. In any event, your evidence is that the material, either by way of direct communication or by way of reports or letters sent by Mr. Dunne and Mr. Quinn, was not sufficient to satisfy you that there would be enough cover to allow Mr. Barry to take the leave that he was looking for?
A. Mr. Quinn didn't send me any documentation and I knew myself who was working and who wasn't working, and there was no cover provided.
CHA RMAN Mr. Costelloe, if you want to leave that. MR. COSTELLOE: We11, I don't want to --
CHA RMAN If you want to leave it and return to it, 12:51 that's not a problem.

MR. COSTELLOE: Yes.
CHA RMAN Once you have sort of got your notes or your information together.

MR. COSTELLOE: Yes. It seems that my page number is different from the page number I have noted, Chairman. So would you just bear with me one second, please. CHA RMAN Yes, certainly, no problem. MR. COSTELLOE: Sorry, it was a mistake on the page numbering. Would you go to page 162 for me, please. CHA RMAN 162.
MR. COSTELLOE: 162, please.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
MR. COSTELLOE: It's a handwritten note and I read it as being from the person I just referred you to, which is Mr. Quinn. Do you see there, where the stamp is for the 18th July.
CHAI RMAN Sorry, Peter, can we go down?
MR. COSTELLOE: I'm sorry, you don't have it in front
of you, 162, two pages down or three pages down from there. 162. Actually go back up, I think you just had it there. That's it. Could you scroll down a tiny bit for me, please. There. So you see the stamp, superintendent, that says 18th July 2013?
A. Yes.

364 Q. And it's stamped your office, Garda Síochána, superintendent office, Fermoy district. That stamp goes through the signature of the person who writes the memo directly above it, do you see that name?
A. I can short-circuit this, Mr. Costelloe. That is Gerry Quinn's signature.
365 Q. Isn't that the person I was just asking you about?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that not seem to reflect the fact that he's giving you information?
A. Yeah, I didn't recollect this note, Mr. Costelloe.

367 Q. Fair enough. Fair enough. Again, I said to you at the outset, superintendent, it's not a memory quiz, I am just happy that for once it's not my mistake. This appears to be a note written by Mr. Quinn, addressed to you and it seems to say "On the 15th July 2013, I spoke with Sergeant Hallinan, who will cover unit $B$ on 15t h/ 16th Jul y --"
CHA RMAN "\& Sergeant Qui nn will endeavour."
MR. COSTELLOE: The Chairman thinks that is an ampersand. So "\& Sergeant Qui nn will endeavour to cover unit $B$ on his working as he overlaps on Mbnday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday, 13th-19th July. For your inf or mation, please. Badge number. Si gned, Gerry Qui nn." Do you recollect receiving that.
A. I don't recollect receiving it, no, but I did receive it obviously.
Q. We11, yeah, I mean, fine.
A. Yeah.
Q. Sorry, fair enough, you're conceding that you did, because it's stamped by your office?
A. Yeah.
Q. But does that not appear to cover some of those dates that you had a problem with?
A. It covers the 15 th to the 19 th and you will see that Sergeant Quinn will endeavour to cover. He's not -he's working different hours. .

371
A.
A. That was -- there were 12 days, $I$ was getting piecemeal, I'11 try to or endeavour to cover this, you know. A11 I asked for was the name of the sergeant who would cover Sergeant Barry's leave on 12 separate dates.
CHA RMAN Just scrol1 down that letter for a moment, please, because there is obviously a comment that you make.

374 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: That's your handwriting, superintendent?
A. It is, yes.

375 Q. It refers to just two days, the 3rd July and the 19th July?
A. Between, Mr. Costelloe.
to the answer you gave a moment ago, that this is all coming to you piecemeal and you're still not clear on who will provide cover?
A. This is afterwards, Mr. Costelloe. This is -- I am asking the question, who actually provided cover, and I 12:56 never found out.

377 Q. Yes. I think we all know it's afterwards, but just a moment ago you were saying that your difficulty was that as this information is coming to you -- sorry, I don't wish to say only, but part of your problem was that it was coming to piecemeal and that that was part of the problem you had with it?
A. Yes.

378 Q. No clear report setting out precise names, precise dates?
A. Correct.

379 Q. And I suppose, I know I am repeating the question, forgive me, but I am suggesting to you that a way of solving that would have been to contact Sergeant Quinn and/or Sergeant Dunne and say, do you actually have cover for Paul Barry if I give him leave on the days he wants?
A. I had a number of reports setting out days here and days there. All I needed was one consolidated report saying, this sergeant will do it on this day, this sergeant will do it on this day. That's all I was asking. The unit had to be supervised.

380 Q. Moving to the point that you made a moment ago, which was that you said that after the fact, after leave had
been taken, you were looking for an explanation as to how the unit had been supervised, the unit had been covered, isn't that right?
A. Yes, yes.

381 Q. And again the Chairman has already had this opened to him, that is the letter you sent to Chief Superintendent Dillane, August of 2013, setting out what you say had happened and the fact that the unit had been left without cover because of the fact that Mr. Barry had taken leave, regardless of the fact that ${ }_{\text {12:57 }}$ he had not been granted leave, isn't that right? You wrote to Chief Superintendent Dillane, you said Paul Barry took leave, there wasn't cover in the district and I am still trying to understand what was going on?
A. Correct.
Q. Yes.
A. Correct.

383 Q. But Sergeant Dunne had written to you, and it's the extract that has previously been opened to you, that appears to say that with the exception of one day there 12:58 was cover in the district?
A. That was Sergeant Dunne's opinion. That was not a fact.

384 Q. But you disagreed with it?
A. The facts are the unit wasn't covered.

385 Q. Okay. Did you go back to Sergeant Dunne and ask him to explain how he was making that assertion, despite the fact that as far as you were concerned he was wrong?
A. No. given -- sorry, that's the documents' problem, it's the fact that $I$ can't follow them. Was there a change in Mr. Costelloe, that is more convenient, you're right. MR. COSTELLOE: what I am wondering is, because it's not clear to me from the documents that $I$ have been policy in around 2014 that meant that a unit sergeant didn't have to specify cover from another sergeant just to -- so basically that the policy changed, that all they had to ensure was that there were enough members
working, not that there was a specific sergeant to take over their shifts. Was that change in policy to your memory in 2014?
A. Can you put that again, Mr. Costelloe?

So what I am asking you is: Did the policy in relation to annual leave change in or around the middle of 2014, do you remember?
A. Not that I am aware of, no.

It may be that that is something I have got wrong, so I won't go any further on that. If necessary I will come ${ }_{13: 00}$ back to it after lunch, Chairman, but as far as I will take it?

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. Very good. We will adjourn until after lunch. Thank you.

## THE HEARI NG THEN AD ORNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED, AS

## FOLLOVS:

393 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: Good afternoon, Superintendent Comyns.
A. Afternoon Mr. Costelloe.

394 Q. We're nearly done. I want to deal briefly with one thing and then one longer thing. Could we deal with the issue pertaining to the force majeure leave absence, et cetera?
A. Yes.

395 Q. I don't wish to spend a great deal of time on this, but could I suggest to you, to see if you would agree with me or not, that in your letter or report, however you wish to describe it, to Chief Superintendent Dillane
when you deal with the annual leave issue but also the force majeure issue, you're critical of the fact that, as you see it, Mr. Barry has taken force majeure and hasn't explained himself and hasn't complied with the way in which one is to take force majeure, do you understand my point?
A. Yes.
Q. I beg your pardon, sorry, I didn't have my microphone on. What I was asking you and you have jumped ahead of me, so I am going to put your proposition back to you now so that it can be recorded on the transcript. You were critical in that report to Chief Superintendent Dillane of the fact that, as you saw it, neither yourself nor Inspector o'Sullivan had been informed of the fact that Mr. Barry was out on force majeure?
A. Correct.

398 Q. okay. Did you make any enquiries with Inspector o'sullivan to see whether or not Mr. Barry had given an explanation for his absence?
A. Yes.

399 Q. And what were you told?
A. Again, exactly I don't know.

400 Q. In general terms?
A. In general terms, Inspector o'sullivan did not know why mr. Barry had not been on duty for the three days. 3

401

Q. Mr. Barry has said in evidence that he had told Inspector o'sullivan that if he wasn't granted leave for particular days, he would likely end up taking, or possibly, I think was the word he used, end up taking force majeure because his wife might be unable to perform certain functions at home and he would be responsible for doing them, and he itemised them as things like doing the driving, taking the kids to and fro school, doing the shopping, things like that. He said that in his evidence, you were here?
A. I heard that, yes.

402 Q. Yes. Did you know that prior to Mr. Barry giving that evidence in the Tribunal?
A. No.

403 Q. okay. And in your discussion with Inspector O'Sullivan pertaining to the force majeure absence, did he tell you that Mr. Barry had said that to him; that, by the way, he told me a week or two weeks or four weeks ago, that if he didn't get his leave he could end up taking force majeure because his wife could be out sick?
A. No.

404 Q. It's obviously the case that one cannot apply for force majeure in advance, isn't that right, by its very definition it's not possible?
A. Yes.

405 Q. It seems to be the case, because Mr. Barry took force majeure leave in 2010, that he on a previous occasion, after the fact, wrote into the superintendent and that was approved. That document has already been opened,
you're aware of it?
A. I have seen the document in the documents, yeah, in the Tribunal documents, yeah.
So that I am clear, are you saying that it should have been done differently with regard to the application the subject-matter of this complaint?
A. What I am saying, Mr. Costelloe, is that at some stage during the three days and as early as possible, Sergeant Barry should have contacted or had someone contact either myself or Inspector o'sullivan, just to say, $I$ am on force majeure leave, $I$ won't be at work.

407 Q. And is that based on your understanding of the Garda Code or rather, the legislation or is that just your understanding of the practice?
A. I suppose that's based on, there was a member of sergeant rank not on duty and we didn't know where he was.

408 Q. And again, I am going to move off now, this is really the end of it, but just to be absolutely clear, Mr. Barry is emphatic, if you will, certain, that he told Inspector O'Sullivan to Inspector O'Sullivan must have known that if he wasn't in at that time it was because his wife would have had back problem and he would be required at home?
A. Again, as I said, Mr. Costelloe, I a hundred percent did not know that.

409 Q. I appreciate that's your answer, we'11 hear what Inspector o'Sullivan has to say. Thank you. In relation to the unit changes, very briefly, $I$ had
prepared for, based on the material available to me, the situation whereby you were unclear now as to why those unit changes were necessary back then. You know what I am referring to here? The movement of personne1 either to or from unit $C$ and unit $B$, do you --
A. Yes.

410 Q. And this again, it's a complaint, it's 5D in the issues?
A. Yes.

411 Q. And we've already heard a certain amount of evidence?
A. Yes.

412 Q. You know what I am dealing with now?
A. Yes.

413 Q. To try and deal with it as succinctly and briefly as possible, based on the papers that the Tribunal had furnished us, including your statement, it seemed that you were saying when you were asked by the Tribunal about this, that you couldn't really elaborate or provide reasons as to why it was necessary to make unit changes back then?
A. No, I couldn't elaborate any more than I had in my statement.

414 Q. When you say you -- sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you, did you have something else to add?
A. That was the answer to the question when I was asked.

415 Q. Okay. And I wonder then is that -- just so I understand this is what we are talking about, if we go to page 567 and I think it's down into page 568. I hope I have got the right page. Yeah. Down at the
very bottom, the last paragraph:
"On Tuesday, 19th August 2014, I consulted with the inspector and sergeants in charge on the proposed unit changes in Fermoy di strict. On the same date I
circulated details of numerous other changes within Fermoy di strict which were taken into effect from 15th September 2014. Numerous menbers were transferred from one unit to another as well as the two menbers who were transferred to different stations. I transferred Garda Séan Twomey to unit B in Mtchel stown so he could work cl osely with Garda Deni se Fitzgeral d, who worked with detective branch unit B and had an interest in drug detections. I note Sergeant Barry takes issue with the noving of Garda Wall and Garda Ward fromhis unit. I can confirmthat none of these changes had anything to do with Sergeant Barry."

Is that the extent to which you are saying these changes were necessary within the units?
A. Yes.

416 Q. What was the bit then yesterday about somebody retiring or two people retiring?
A. That was -- on my e-mail that I sent out to everyone in the district --

417 Q. Yes.
A. -- there was, I'm trying to remember now, Garda Martin Healy transferred from Mitchelstown to Rathcormac and Garda Buckley transferred from Fermoy to

Watergrasshill, I believe. They were on that e-mail. 418 Q. Yes.
A. And it was just as the e-mail was being shown to me yesterday, I remembered that they were being sent there to replace two members who had retired.

419 Q. Okay. Perhaps we can all understand that. You have the e-mail in front of you. I don't wish to presume what other people will accept. But if we can take it as read that you see that e-mail, you see the reference to people retiring, that refreshes your memory. The only thing I want to put to you here is, and I want to give you an opportunity to comment upon it, because it's an express instruction which $I$ have, is that my client was approached by a colleague and he was told by the colleague that if he didn't agree to move to unit C, the staff in unit C would all be moved to unit B do you wish to say anything on that?
A. The discussion I had with Sergeant Dunne was --
Q. Okay, I wasn't going to name him, fine. That's fine, Sergeant Dunne, that's fine.
A. -- was that because of the issues between your client and the other member, that one or the other of them would have to move. And if it was the other member that moved, that Garda Twomey, who I'd sent to Mitchelstown to work with the other member, would also have to move, to keep working with that member. I mightn't be explaining that great now.
421 Q. We11, I think I understand what you are saying, but could I suggest to you that leaving aside motives, it
appears to be that both yourself and Mr. Barry are saying roughly the same thing there; that Sergeant Dunne was told either Sergeant Barry moves to unit $C$ or unit $C$ effectively moves to unit $B$ ?
A. No. Like, there was either three or four members on the unit, so all the unit would not be moved, but if the other member who Sergeant Barry was not getting on with moved, I would have had to move a different member who I had sent to Mitchelstown specifically to work with her.

422 Q. Okay. I have put my instructions to you, superintendent, $I$ am not going to labour the point, we have heard your answer on that. Now, the last, I think, I hope the last thing that I wish to cover with you, and this may slightly longer is to do with the Haddington Road agreement hours, the Haddington Road hours. You understand, of course, that my client complains about the way in which his request for hours to be granted to him or acknowledged to him under the Haddington Road agreement system was targeting because he was treated differently by you than other people, other members of An Garda Síochána who were stationed in your district at the time. You understand that as being his complaint?
A. I do, yes.

423 Q. Could I clarify something straight off the bat? You gave, may I say, a somewhat technical answer yesterday to Mr. Marrinan and I understand it to it be this: Under the agreement a guard had to work a certain
number of hours in which to generate TOIL, time off in lieu?
A. Yes.

424 Q. Have I got that correct?
A. Yes.

425 Q. And it seems there was a standard block of time, which I think corresponds to a normal shift, if there is such a thing as a normal shift, of a ten-hour block, one would work a ten-hour shift and then would generate time off in lieu?
A. That's correct.

426 Q. And the time off in lieu that was generated, did that equate to the same number hours worked you had worked or was that a smaller amount of hours?
A. It was actually a larger amount of hours. If my memory 14:12 is correct now, Mr. Costelloe, I think it was at 1.25 hours.

427 Q. Was it?
A. I think you got an extra 0.25 with the TOIL.

428 Q. I have to confess, I didn't quite follow your answer on 14:13 that yesterday, I assumed it was the same or greater, because money wasn't being paid, it was time off in lieu, but I thought you said something yesterday about a two-hour block accruing, have I got that wrong?
A. No, what I said was, that was in relation to the ten one-hour shifts. You had to work ten single -- sorry, not shifts, that's the wrong word, ten single hours.
429 Q. CHAN RMN Duties?
A. Duties, sorry, Chairman.

CHA RMAN Don't apologise, I'm just trying to follow it.

MR. COSTELLOE: The point being, superintendent, that I may not be rostered for, if $I$ am a member to An Garda Síochána --
A. Yes.
-- I apologise to the force in advance, but I am a member of An Garda Síochána and I may not be rostered for work but $I$ end up working a certain number of hours, when over a period of time that amounts to ten hours, I am now entitled under the TOIL principle to a certain number of hours off in lieu, assuming I have met all of the technicalities in which to get my Haddington Road hours, is that correct?
A. Em, no.

432 Q. Do you have to work the --
CHA RMAN Hold on, Mr. Costelloe, let him explain.
Don't jump in, he said no.
MR. COSTELLOE: okay.
CHA RMAN And he's about to explain why it's no. MR. COSTELLOE: okay.
A. I think you're mixing up two different sets of hours, Mr. Costelloe, and I will try to explain it. The ten hours for time off in lieu was to be worked as one shift.

433 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: Right.
A. And you would get 12 and a half hours time off in lieu for that one ten-hour shift.
434 Q. okay.
A. The ten one-hours were to be worked free gratis but you could work it in ten one-hours but we also allowed people to work it in five two-hours or three three-hours and one-hour, and normally we would have added them onto a shift or something like that.
Q. Yes. And in that situation, once you had agreed ten hours, what were you entitled to?
A. The ten hours for TOIL, you were entitled to 12 hours off in time off in lieu.
Q. Yes.
A. Had you to do all three within the 12 months. You had to do the ten one-hour shifts, I keep saying shifts, sorry, ten one-hour duties.

440 Q. Yes.
A. You had to do one ten-hour shift free gratis and you had to do a ten-hour shift, for which you would get 12 hours time off in lieu.

441 Q. okay. I am worried that I might have made all of that as clear as mud in the way $I$ was asking the question.

So let's see if we can simplify things. The complaint that Mr. Barry has is in relation to a ten-hour period that he says he wanted the hours, the Haddington Road hours and we know what flows from that, which is you querying it, and we will come to that in a moment. which of the three categories is that, is that request?
A. I'm not sure, at this stage I'm not sure which Mr. Barry worked that category. But if it was a ten-hour shift, it was either the ten hour free gratis or the ten hours for which he should get 12 hours time off.

442 Q. It certainly appears to be the latter, doesn't it? Because he's writing in his letter Haddington Road hours, I want this recognised as ten hours worked, he wants acknowledgment for working those ten hours?
A. Well that's either number 2 or number 3 , it can be either.

443 Q. Whichever it is, and maybe we will be able to clarify that in a moment, but whichever it is, it is certainly the case that you felt that he hadn't gone about it in the right way in getting approval to work those hours?
A. Yes.

444 Q. okay. And as I understand your answers yesterday, and the material that's already been given to us, what you're saying is that he should have applied to yourself or Inspector O'Sullivan in advance of working the hours so as to get approval from yourself or Inspector O'Sullivan, and having then gotten that approval, he'd go off, he'd work the hours, and then
he'd get whatever was coming to him, either recognition that he had complied with the Haddington Road agreement by doing the gratis, or the 12.5 hours off in lieu, the time off in lieu, correct?
A. That's correct.
so the essential difference being, and this is a word that you used in your own letters and we'11 come them in a moment, is that he should have sought prior, that's the word you used, approval and that had to be sanctioned by you or Inspector o'Sullivan?
A. Correct.
A. Can I say, Mr. Costelloe, just, that approval did not have to be in writing. We should have known that he was going -- before he worked the hours, that he was going to work the hours.
447 Q. okay.
A. And then the paperwork could follow on.
Q. Well, that may become relevant in a moment in respect of the some of the material I want to put to you. But we can at least agree though that what you are saying is that it is you or Inspector o'Sullivan --
A. Yes.

449 Q. -- who have to approve prior to the hours being done?
A. Yes.

450 Q. And so that I am clear, you're not saying, are you, that this is just pertinent, just related to Mr. Barry; you're saying it relates across the board --
A. Across the board.
A. Yes.

It's a letter from Mr. Barry, my client, and it's addressed to the sergeant in charge at Mitchelstown. And it comes under the heading:
"Re: Appl i cation for Haddi ngt on Road, ten hours tour on 25th April 2014 - Sergeant Paul Barry and Garda Henry Vard."

Before we go any further, or even taking into account the rest of that, does anything on that letter assist us in determining what type of Haddington Road hours he's seeking there?
A. No.

454 Q. okay.
A. It's one of the two ten-hour shifts.

455 Q. It's either the one that he's obliged to work or it's
A. Correct.

456 Q. CHA RMAN Sorry, I thought he was obliged to work both, but he got reward for one. So it's not the
one -- it was the one he was obliged to work free, is that right?
A. He was obliged to work, as the Chair says, he was obliged to work both.
457 Q.
MR. COSTELLOE: Yeah, he had to do it.
14:20
A. Yeah.

458 Q. Because it's part of the agreement that An Garda Síochána --

CHA RMAN I know, but Mr. Costelloe, sorry, you said, is that one of the ones he was obliged to work, he was obliged to work both. One he did free.

MR. COSTELLOE: Sorry.
CHA RMAN He had to do both. At least this is what the witness is saying, I'm not sure if it's right, he had to work both, he had to work 20 hours, but ten of those hours he had to do free and for the other ten he got a bonus of $25 \%$. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.

MR. COSTELLOE: I misled the Tribunal because of the ineloquent way I asked that question. But just so we are absolutely clear: Under the agreement he had to work a ten-hour shift, from now on we are going to call it the free ten hours, okay --
A. Yes.

460 Q. -- but he also had to work a ten-hour shift but for that one he got 1.25 hours for that 10 -hour shift.
A. Correct.

461 Q. Okay. We're not clear from this document which of the two we're referring to but in any event it's part of
his obligation under the Haddington Road agreement that An Garda had signed up to, isn't that right?
A. Correct.

462 Q. So that's the request, this is, if we want, the foothill of the mountain, this is the preamble, this is 14:22 him writing to the sergeant in charge, saying:
"Wth reference to the above, I wi sh to report that this duty was sanctioned prior to the date by sergeant in charge, Mtchel stown Garda Station. Upon my return from Li merick I assisted in the catal oguing of i ntervi ew tapes with D/ Garda Fitzgeral d and again Sergeant Ai dan Dunne had sanctioned that duty prior to me performing it.

For war ded for your inf ormation pl ease and for Gar da Ward to expl ai $n$ his duty upon his ret urn fromsick I eave. "

That's him saying what he did in order to merit the ten 14:22 hours, yes?
A. That's in reply to my question --

463 Q. Yes.
A. -- what did he do for the ten hours.

464 Q. And we're coming to that now in a moment, if we scrol1 down, page 247, dated the 1st May. This is a letter you caused to be sent, you've signed it, I assume you dictated it?
A. I dictated it, yes.

465
Q. It's addressed to the sergeant in charge. We know that to somebody Sergeant Dunne?
A. Yes.
Q. At Mitchelstown Garda Station. It's:
"Read appl ication for Haddi ngt on Road hours ten hours tour of duty on 25th April 2014, Sergeant Paul Barry and Garda Henry Vard.

I refer to the above and attach applications.

In order to sanction this extra duty, I require a report clarifying who sanctioned this duty -- "

And there's the word "prior"
" - to the date.
2. Both members shoul $d$ expl ai $n$ exactly what they did for ten hours. Cautioned statement of Mr. X or ME. Y or what ever."

You see that there in front of you?
A. Yes.

467 Q. "Repl y requi red by Fri day, 9th May 2014."

And you see your signature, this is you looking for information about that, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. If we scroll down a tiny bit further, we see written in pen by Sergeant Dunne:
"Sergeant Barry for report please."

And it's signed by Sergeant Dunne, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
$\square$
A. Yes.
Q. Standard chain of command type of thing: Report requested by the superintendent to the sergeant in charge, the sergeant in charge forwards it to the person who is to give the answer looked for; isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. We have that letter that I previously referred the Tribunal to, which is page 245, which is him ostensibly 14:24 responding to that request for further information?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, if we go to the document sandwiched in between both of those, this is the -- I don't know if there is actually a page number on it, but it's number 2 as exhibit $x$, you have it there in front of you, superintendent?
A. Yes.

MR. COSTELLOE: Chairman, I don't know that it has -CHA RMAN It's Sergeant Dunne's explanation.
MR. COSTELLOE: Yes, this seems to be sergeant, Fermoy, have I got that right so far, superintendent? CHAL RMAN He's confirming.
A. Superintendent, Fermoy. .

473
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Then, underneath that, is that your handwriting?
A. It is.

477 Q. And this seems to be stamped the 6th June 2014, is that
the date that you received the note from -- it's the same as the date from the document before?
A. Yes.

478 Q. To which the paragraph that I just read out is appended, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
A. "Sergeant IC M tchel stown, please instruct Sergeant Barry that Superintendent Comyns and Inspector
O Sullivan are the people who can sanction extra duty of any type in Fermoy di strict. Did you sanction this extra duty?"

480 Q. Okay. I am just -- the sequencing of that is a little bit unclear to me, there's probably a very simple answer, but, does he not seem to be saying that he did sanction the duty or have I got it head to toe? I don't really follow the sequencing of those two paragraphs. Do you understand my question?
A. I don't really.
Q. If you go back up to what Sergeant Dunne says, as far as you are concerned this is no way Sergeant Dunne saying that he sanctioned it, it's just an -- okay, they're not the same thing as far as you are concerned? 14:26
A. No, no.

482 Q. Fine. And then you make the point that it's only you or Inspector O'Sullivan and then you ask the question if Sergeant Dunne did in fact sanction the extra hours,
have I got that right?
A. Correct.

483 Q. Grand. okay. And what I understand your evidence to be, but now is the time to tell me if I have made a mistake, it's that this isn't just for Sergeant Barry,
this is for everyone; once the Haddington Road agreement came into effect, the obligation was on the guard seeking to have their hours recognised as part of the agreement or their obligations under the agreement, had to get prior approval from either yourself or Inspector 0'Sullivan?
A. Correct.
Q. Could we turn to page 5520, please. I don't know would you be able to scroll down a tiny bit so we can see if there is a date written on that, please. The other way. I am looking to see if there is a date on that. okay. So there we have, the date is given as 25 th August 2013. Sorry is that 26th? Thank you. 26th August 2013. It's stamped as received on the same day, An Garda Síochána, sergeant in charge, 26th August 2013, traffic corps, Fermoy County Cork, Cork North division. And it's addressed to you, do you see that?
A. Yes.

485 Q. Superintendent Fermoy, re application for TOIL, time off in lieu, by a certain sergeant in the traffic
> "I wi sh to apply for 15 hours time off in lieu of duty performed --"

Past tense
" - - by me on the 26th August 2013 in accordance with the Haddi ngt on Road agreement. I worked a ten-hour extra tour of duty on the 26/8/13 from 9amto 7pm during the course of whi ch l performed three two-hour checkpoi nts with RSA inspectors in Fermo di strict."

There is a typographical error, it says I work wish, but it means:
"I wi sh to apply for TOL as follows: Friday, 30th August 2013, from 7amto 12 midday, five hours." with a tick in ink next to it. "Saturday, 31st August 2013, from 7amto 5pm 10 hours." With a tick in ink next to it.

Then If you scroll down, please. It seems that it is received from your office. There is a stamp from your office, dated 26th August 2013. And then there's something written in underneath that in ink, can you read it?
A. I can.

486 Q. okay?
A. "Sanctioned. Records updated. Attached to A85."

CHA RMAN Inspector O'sullivan.
MR. COSTELLOE: Just to finish it, this is Inspector
o'Sullivan, one of the two people.
A. Yes.

487 Q. Okay.
A. Yes.

488 Q. I am just asking you, because obviously Inspector o'Sullivan has yet to give his evidence, we will come to other matters where I think you've signed them, but does that seem to be Inspector o'Sullivan sanctioning hours after they have occurred?
A. Yes, but I don't know whether Inspector o'sullivan or myself were told about these hours before they were occurred -- incurred, sorry.
489 Q. Obviously Inspector o'Sullivan will give his own answer, I am not going to push you on that, but are you allowing then for the possibility that somebody might just mention to you, by the way, I am going to perform X number of hours, is that okay, and you just say, go on away off and do it?
A. Yes.

490 Q. And would you make a note of that?
A. The paperwork would follow.
Q. When you say the paperwork, what paperwork are you referring to?
A. The extra duty application.

492 Q. Is that the A85?
A. No.

493 Q. okay.
A. It's attached to the A85.

494 Q. So the A85 is what?
A. The A85 is the form on which you claim your allowances for duty worked in the previous four weeks. Your payment, your monetary payment comes from the A85.
495 Q. Okay. At any given time there would have been a very significant number of gardaí of both sergeant and, no offence meant, I'm sure none taken, ordinary garda rank working underneath your supervision in or about this time, isn't that right?
A. Yes.

496 Q. They all were under an obligation to comply with the requirements of the Haddington Road agreement, correct?
A. Everyone.

497 Q. Would you have been keeping track of who was complying and who wasn't complying?
A. When you say keeping track...?

498 Q. Noting, making a record of who was doing what they needed do?
A. No.

499 Q. okay. Is that not something of concern?
A. No.

500 Q. Okay. What about then the idea that somebody could just casually almost ask you verbally for prior sanction to do $x$ number of hours, would you not have made a note of that?
A. No.

501 Q. Despite the fact that you have a large number of gardaí working for you, would it not have been a problem if certain gardaí came back later on and said, you did sanction that, sure I said it to you and you said,
that's grand, go on off about it, or words to that effect?
A. The paperwork for the sanction would come to us at the end of every week, as in, the A85 --
Q. Yes.
A. -- and the attached application to work extra duty, extra duty of whatever type. It could be --
503 Q. Let's be absolutely clear about this, superintendent. The documents that would come to you every week, are you saying that those documents would reference hours in the future yet to happen --
A. No.

504 Q. -- or hours that had happened?
A. Hours that had happened during the previous week.

505 Q. Yeah. So my question is: How could you be confident, if you weren't making a note of who had just verbally asked you for permission prior to doing the hours, that any particular guards had in fact gotten the permission that you say they needed in advance?
A. I would be confident.

506 Q. Could I suggest to you that in fact what was happening is an entirely understandable situation, where effectively the skippers, if you will, the sergeants in charge, were being delegated that function, people who were working in various units were going to the sergeants in charge saying, I need to x number of hours, can you okay it, and the sergeants would say, that's grand. And then they would, after the fact, come to you with an explanation or whatever, you would
sign off on it as approving it?
A. No, I couldn't have gardaí and sergeants in the district coming in for ten hours, working tours of duty without myself or Inspector o'Sullivan knowing it.
507 Q. Yes. I suppose, though, that does lead back to the question that I just put to you though, the question before I just put to you, that if you are not keeping a note of who you are approving in advance how could you have been satisfied every week or every month, or whatever, that you had in fact approved all of these gardaí who were doing these hours?
A. We11, at the end of every week you would know who had come to you during the week and you would sign off on it at the end of the week, when the A85 and the attached application would come.
508 Q. Let's see if we can deal with that then. Could I ask, please, to go to page 5432. This is an A85, correct?
A. Yes.

509 Q. And it seems to relate to a period between the 24th June 2013 down to the 21st July 2013, yes?
A. Yes.

510 Q. Okay. There are various different columns, I am not going to you go through them all. But this seems to be the logging of extra hours or hours that are performed, as you have been referring us to, have I got that right?
A. Yes.

511 Q. Okay. In the middle of the page there, beginning 1st July 2013, we have what seems to me, but you tell me
now if I have this wrong, under the column for sergeant in the middle of the page, some sergeant, and then in the same font or the same ink or whatever, same person has written in initials "MC"?
A. Yes.

512 Q. Do you recognise that?
A. Yes.

513 Q. Who is writing in MC there?
A. Me.

514 Q. Okay. who is writing in the sergeant then just before it?
A. I think, Mr. Costelloe, and I am not a hundred percent on this, I think that was the sergeant in the divisional office, maybe. I'm not sure.
All right. Well, let's just say a sergeant then if we're not sure?
A. Yes.

516 Q. So that is for the period the 1st July 2013 through to the period the 7th July 2013, do we accept that?
A. Yes.

517 Q. That particular tranche, if you will?
A. Yes, yeah.

518 Q. Because then we move on to what seems to be the next block, which is the 8th July 2013 to the 14th July 2013 and again we see the initials "MC" but now there's a distinction, or it looks like it is written in a different pen or something, do you agree with me?
A. Yes.

519 Q. So who is writing in the name of the sergeant there and
who is signing MC?
A. Again the sergeant, $I$ don't know, but MC is certainly me.

520 Q. Okay. But do you think you are the one writing in the name of the sergeant?
A. No.

521 Q. A11 right. And then the column before that, "Nat ure of duty, annual leave, annual leave, annual leave, rest day, rest day, rest day", is that your handwriting?
A. No.

522 Q. So somebody else has written that in. Then the last block on this page, this particular A85 document, goes from 15th July 2013 to 21st July 2013, again if we go back to the middle of the page, there are the initials MC, is this you again?
A. That's me, yes.

523 Q. Okay. And again, it looks like somebody else has written in initials for sergeant, it may be that it's the same sergeant as the previous block, and an explanation is given under the nature of duty, have I got all that right?
A. Yes.

524 Q. This is called the A85, it's specific to unit A at Fermoy Garda Station and it's for the roster that ends on the 21st July 2013, correct?
A. Correct.

525 Q. So that appears to be a four-week roster, because it begins on the 24th June and it looks like it's broken up into four sevens, yeah?
A. Every A85 is for four weeks.

528 Q. Not just Haddington Road?
A. Every extra duty performed.

529 Q. Okay. what's the distinction then between TOIL and JPC?
A. The column with TOIL is the sergeant telling myself or the inspector that he wouldn't be claiming payment for this overtime, he'd be claiming time off in lieu. JPC is the 2.5 hours overtime for attendance at the joint policing committee.
530 Q. The first entries in this particular document, which is for the same roster period, so it seems to be the document that relates to the A85 that we've just been dealing with, the first number of entries are in blue ink, I am talking now about the last column on the page?

531 Q. "Si gnature of di strict of ficer sanctioning the extra duty i nvol ved" and it seems to be for the first four of those that it's Inspector o'Sullivan, you agree with me?
A. Correct.

532 Q. And then for the remaining five on that page, there's a signature there, whose signature is that?
A. That's mine.

533 Q. okay. And the date?
A. 16th July.

534 Q. Okay. Now, it seems to me from that page that the various time off in lieu that are being dealt with relate to the 1st July 2013, 2nd July 2013, 3rd July 2013, 4th Ju7y 2013 and the 5th Ju7y 2013, am I right?
A. Yes.

535 Q. Is that you then signing off on those on the 16th July?
A. Yes, I would say so.

536 Q. Is that not postdating you signing off on those hours?
A. No.

537 Q. Why not?
A. That's when I would have received the application.

538 Q. I am sorry, what?
A. I received the form on the 16th July and I signed off on it on the 16th July.
539 Q. Okay. But you are telling the Chairman that you had previously approved all of this?
A. I would have known about it, yes.

540 Q. You would have given prior approval --
541 Q. -- to each of those?
A. Yes.

542 Q. You have no note of that but you are confident now that you would have done that in advance?
A. I am.

543 Q. Okay. Can I ask you to move back one column there. It's not clear to me what's written. So you have the inclusive bracket, your signature?
A. Yes.

544 Q. I'm talking about the column directly on the left? what does that say? Could I suggest it says sergeant in charge, but $I$ am not sure, $I$ am genuinely not sure what that says?
A. The heading, is it?

545 Q. No, no, the entry in ink between the third and final column?
A. Oh yes.

546 Q. Yes, where the cursor is right now?
A. Yeah, it looks like sergeant in charge.

547 Q. And the heading for that particular column is "Si gnat ure of sergeant in charge approving extra duty i nvol ved and date" isn't that right?
A. Yes.

548 Q. There's a column in this page which specifically refers 14:41 to the sergeant in charge approving extra duty involved and the date; isn't that right?
A. Yes.

549 Q. And it seems to be signed by the sergeant in charge,
isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Doesn't that clearly show that it's the sergeant in charge who initially approves this time off in lieu and that you on7y approve it after the fact?
A. No.

551 Q. I mean -- okay. Why not?
A. The instruction from 2010 was that approval could only be given by myself or Inspector o'sullivan and the form long predated that. We didn't change the form but the form long predated 2010.

552 Q. Sorry, superintendent, with al1 due respect, if you're putting this down to a fact that this is a prepopulated or a pre-titled, rather, form that predates the Haddington Road agreement 2010, why was the sergeant in 14:42 charge signing, initialling each of those pages, each of those columns?
A. Can I say, Mr. Costelloe, I don't think any of this extra duty is Haddington Road, is my first comment. This is overtime worked for time off or overtime worked for payment. I don't see Haddington Road anywhere in this form.

553 Q. We11, it doesn't appear to be set out in any form that we can make a --
A. What is?

554 Q. Sorry, I meant in this document there doesn't appear to be a reference to Haddington Road, sorry.
A. Yeah.

555 Q. So the A85 that we have there and this particular
document, which goes with the A85 for this period, the 21st July 2013, makes no reference to it, but it does make reference to the fact that this is request for time off in lieu. You agree with me about that?
A. Time off in law for overtime worked.

556 Q. Okay. So, do I understand your answer then to be that there is a distinction between time off in lieu for work, extra work, versus time off in lieu to comply with the obligations under the Haddington Road agreement?
A. Yes.

557 Q. Pardon?
A. Yes.

558 Q. okay.
A. Yes.

559 Q. So you are telling us that there is a distinction and what is the distinction between the two?
A. They are two separate types of extra duty.

560 Q. No, grand, we all understand that. But in relation to the approval, is it the same approval process?
A. The same approval.

561 Q. Sorry?
A. Same approval, yes.

562 Q. We11 then the question applies, whether you are right or you are wrong, the question is the same: why is there a sergeant ostensibly approving these hours, putting a signature in the box for it and you signing off on that ten days later, approximately?
A. That was the system we had in operation.

563 Q
A. When a member would work extra duty, chair, this form What do you mean that was the system? would be attached to his A85. This form was in use in Fermoy district since the year 2000. So the member would submit the form and that second last column to the right would be signed by the sergeant. It should be certifying really. The word approving is in there, it should be certifying. And then it would be sanctioned by myself or Inspector o'sullivan. CHA RMAN And what does that mean? what was the role of the sergeant as you understand it, whatever it says on the --
A. The member worked the duty.

565 Q. CHA RMAN I am sorry?
A. The member worked the duty. The sergeant is certifying that the member actually worked the duty.
566 Q. CHA RMAN And do you say that this form or this process was the same or different from the Haddington Road process?
A. The same.

567 Q. CHAN RMAN The same?
A. The same. We continued with this form.

CHA RMAN I see.
A. Actually, Haddington Road had just been introduced at this stage, Ju7y '13, and the A85 changed with the introduction of Haddington Road. So the following roster after this, there was a new A85.

569 Q. CHA RMAN And what did it say?
A. It had columns on the reverse side of the A85 to write in the A85 that you had -- or write in the Haddington Road that you had worked.
570 Q. CHA RMAN I see. Sorry, Mr. Costelloe. MR. COSTELLOE: No, not at all.

571 Q. As I understand your answer, both to myself and to the Chair, that whether it is Haddington Road or ordinary, if I use that word, overtime, the system is the same; yourself or Inspector O'Sullivan have to sign off on it, prior to it being sanctioned?
A. No, no.
A. We sanction it.

CHA RMAN He didn't have to sign it, he had to sanction it.

573 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: Okay, sorry. You had to sanction it?
A. Normally it was afterwards when we wrote.
Q. But you had to sanction it in advance?
A. Yes.

575 Q. And you draw the distinction between what's set out in that page, which you say is just ordinary overtime, where you are saying that for Haddington Road it was different?
A. Yes.

576 Q. A11 right.
A. No, but Haddington Road would be written in the four column over, "full description of duty and reasons for incurring extra duty" --

577 Q. Exactly.
A. -- Haddington Road would be written in there if it was Haddington Road duty.
Q. Exactly. If we turn to page 5546, maybe we have a good example of what are you talking about. 5546, please.
This now relates to a roster that ended on the 13th October 2013. And if we scroll down a tiny bit, we see three entries, in the middle column it references Haddington Road in each instance, isn't that correct?
A. Correct.

579 Q. "Haddi ngt on Road road, ser geant in charge duties. Haddi ngt on Road, sergeant in charge duties. Haddi ngt on Road, sergeant in charge duties." Isn't that correct?
A. Correct.

580 Q. For all three of those we have the collective bracket, again by yourself, and what seems to be your signature, 14:48 isn't that correct?
A. Yes.

581 Q. Superintendent, 15th October 2013, correct?
A. Yes.

582 Q. In each instance in the column in between, correct me now if you think $I$ am wrong in this, again it seems to be the sergeant in charge is initialling, that the sergeant in charge has approved the extra hours?
A. Yes.

583 Q. Isn't that very clearly contrary to what you have told 14:48 the Chairman yesterday and today?
A. I don't believe so, no.

584 Q. Isn't that a sergeant approving the hours and then you signing off on the sergeant's approval two days after
the roster is submitted?
A. As I said to the Chairman a few minutes ago, the sergeant is certifying that the member worked those hours. We didn't change the form. We should have
changed the form, but we didn't.
A. Yes.
Q. The dates here appear to be one month apart?
A. Yes.

587 Q. So over a three-month period, does the situation persist, that are you not taking any note of who has come to you in advance looking for prior sanctioning?
A. Yes.
Q. But nonetheless you're saying that over a three-month period you would be confident that you would remember that so and so mentioned to you that he wanted to do these hours in advance?
A. No, this is not a three-month period.

589 Q. I see.
A. The A85 has to be submitted once --

590 Q. Sorry, I am on7y going from the dates in the first September 2013, 1st October 2013 and the 3rd October 2013?
A. I think the first date, Mr. Costelloe, is the 25th

September '13. It's all within the rostered period for the A85. It's within a four-week period. okay. We11, I won't quibble with you. It's not clear to me. But taking your answer as being correct, it's still over a period from the 25 th, as you say, of September 2013 through to the 3rd October 2013?
A. Yes.

Okay. I am just putting it to you, I hope as clearly as possible, that the situation that existed throughout this time, prior to and then after Haddington Road came into effect, was that people who were working overtime hours or Haddington Road hours would say it to the sergeant in charge, the sergeant in charge would sanction it and then after the fact you would approve it or Inspector O'Sullivan would approve it?
A. If I didn't know about the hours, Mr. Costelloe, I wouldn't, I wouldn't approve it and the person wouldn't get paid, and everyone knew that.
593 Q. Excuse me, superintendent. There are many other of these forms --
A. Yes.

594 Q. -- referring to Haddington Road. I don't wish nor presume to open all of them to you, just to say that that's not the on7y example of it and in each instance that we can see, it looks like it's the sergeant in charge is initialling the second column from the end.
A. Mr. Costelloe, I would not have signed the form sanctioning the extra hours if that third column wasn't signed by a sergeant.

595 Q. Yes. I don't dispute that fact, superintendent. I am just putting it to you that you wouldn't have signed it because it was signed by a sergeant, so you knew the sergeant had sanctioned the hours in advance, not, as you are telling the Tribunal, that you're signing it, because you had sanctioned the hours in advance?
A. That's not correct.

MR. MARRI NAN Sorry, Chairman, we're just checking, we have lost our live feed.
CHA RMAN That is what appears, but not everybody appears to have lost it. Have you lost your live feed, Mr. Costelloe?
MR. COSTELLOE: I do appear to have lost it, yes, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
MR. COSTELLOE: I swear I didn't touch anything.
CHAN RMAN We'11 get it sorted out.
MR. COSTELLOE: I am nearly done, will I wait?
CHA RMAN If you're happy to continue, we can take notes, Mr. Costelloe.
MR. COSTELLOE: I will wait a moment and see what happens, Chairman. I am nearly finished.
CHA RMAN Carry on, Mr. Costelloe. If everybody is happy we will carry on.
596 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: I think the very last thing I want to 14:54 put to you on the Haddington Road issue, I truly can't remember if this has been opened, so if it hasn't, just for clarity sake, at page 1881, Mr. Dunne, as he is now, Sergeant Dunne says that at one stage:
Q. I thought you came to Fermoy before the Haddington Road agreement?
A. Long before it.
A. I was in Haddington Road -- sorry, I was in Fermoy three hours when Haddington Road came into being.

601 Q. That's roughly what I had as well from my Google
researches but it seems to be then that yourself and Mr. Dunne are diametrically opposed at least in respect of that particular point?
A. Correct.

602 Q. Because he's -- sorry, that is giving more weight to it, and that's not a matter for me, but he appears to be saying the contrary, which is that the system did change?
A. That is what he says.

603 Q. I understand that a statement was furnished to us at lunchtime today and I understand that the import of it is that Mr. Quinn, that's retired Sergeant Jeremiah a Quinn says that he has no recollection of ever having to make a request to you or Inspector o'Sullivan for Haddington Road hours, but he has no recollection other than that. I am going to read you the statement, it seems to be very short.
A. Yes.

604 Q. I haven't seen it myself, it came in over lunch. It's with the standard caution, in fact:
"I hereby decl are this statement is it true to the best of my know edge and bel ief and I make it knowing that if it is tendered in evi dence l will be liable to prosecution if l state in it anything which l know to be false or do not bel i eve to be true.

In rel ation to the query referenced DT 290 P, I wi sh to state that l cannot recall having to make an
application to Superintendent Comyns or Inspector O Sullivan for permission to incur Haddi ngt on Road duty. This happened ei ght years ago and unfortunately I cannot recall any of the circumstances in rel ation to Haddi ngton Road applications. I did not take any notes 14:57 or keep any correspondence in rel ation to this matter. Thi s statement is true."

And it is signed by Sergeant Quinn. It appears he's still Sergeant Quinn in fact and it's dated 29th May 2022. I'm not sure that advances anything anyway. CHAN RMAN You are absolutely right about that, Mr. Costelloe.
MR. COSTELLOE: He says he has no recollection one way or the other.
CHAN RMAK You needn't be unsure about it, it doesn't. Thank you very much.
MR. COSTELLOE: That's it, thank you very much, superintendent.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAI RMAN Thank you very much Mr. Costelloe. Now, are you okay there, superintendent?
THE WTNESS: I'm fine.
CHA RMAN You are still going strong. Okay. So Mr. O'Higgins for the Gardaí.

SUPERI NTENDENT M CHAEL COMYNS WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. O H GG NS AS FOLLOVS:

605 Q. MR. OHGGN: Thank you, Chairman. Superintendent Comyns, Micheá 1 O'Higgins for the Garda Commissioner and certain members of senior management in An Garda Síochána.
A. Yes.

Just bringing matters back a small bit, I want to deal with the two, it's been done to an extent, so I want to 14:58 do this reasonably briefly, but the different roles and responsibilities within the ranks. Just ahead of that, you first came to Fermoy in July 2010, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

607 Q. Prior to that you were in Kilrush in County Clare as a superintendent?
A. That's correct.
Q. I want to ask you then about the difference in the roles. Could I ask you first of all to look at a portion of the Garda Code, which starts on page 3644 , but Mr. Kavanagh might put up page 3648. Towards the bottom of this we have the Garda Code description of the roles and functions of a superintendent, towards the bottom of that page. So you will see there it the devel opment, del i very and eval uation of comprehensi ve policing services within his/her assi gned Garda di strict."

Broadly speaking, is that your understanding of the remit of your role?
A. It is, Mr. o'Higgins, yes.

609 Q. And it goes on under $A$ and $B$, it says:
> "Thereby ensuring that account able quality assured conti nuousl y i mproving professional services are provi ded for customers and citizens."

Could I ask you briefly just to deal with, over the next page, and we're not going to go through this document extensively, but under the heading of "Management and Ieadership responsi bilities" you will see there, there is a list of different individual responsibilities that a superintendent has?
A. I am well aware of it, Mr. O'Higgins, yes.

610 Q. And in 2 G it says:
"To build competent and effective district/portfolio operational teans, thus ensuring functions and priority work processes are cohesi vel y managed and led.
H. To devel op written pl ans and operational strategi es for the information, gui dance and direction of all staff and stakehol ders."

Scrolling down to K :
"To conti nuousl y revi ew progress towards the effective i mpl ementation of district pl ans and the achi evement of oper ational goals."

And L, at the bottom:
"To manage the allocated budget."

And over the page, to page 3650, in R, halfway down:
"To pl an for serious inci dents occurring within hi s/her di strict and to take personal charge of the policing investi gation of such inci dents."

So can I just ask you, first of al1, in terms of a serious incident happening in a district, superintendent, ultimately upon whose shoulders did responsibility lie to ensure that effective action was taken?
A. My shoulders.

611 Q. And in terms of the superintendent, just lifting it out of your own individual situation, in terms of a superintendent doing his or her job effectively, what is the input that is required from sergeants carrying out a supervisory function?
A. You would expect the sergeant -- you would require the sergeant to carry out your directions in relation to the matter, and to bring your directions to the gardaí. The sergeant would be a vital cog in any investigation.

612 Q. In terms of any requirement to bring information to the attention of the district officer, what is the responsibility of each sergeant?
A. To ensure that any incident, any information really, is provided to the district officer.
613 Q. Could I ask you to look at the next page, 3654, which details -- it's a few pages on, sorry. 3654, it details in the Garda Code the role and function of a sergeant. Again, we won't go through this extensively, but you see there in paragraph 3.8, under the heading of sergeant, the following is stated under the heading "pur pose" :
"The sergeant is the first line supervi sor and operational teamleader. The sergeant shall take charge of assi gned personnel and operational duties and challenges. He or she shall ensure that all frontline garda servi ces are del ivered and that tasks are carried out in a timely, efficient and effective manner."

Does that accord with your understanding of the role of each sergeant?
A. It does.

614 Q. And it's broken down then and again we needn't go through it extensively, but in " 2 A , to take charge of
the portfolio; B, ensure rel evant provisions of the Code are implemented; C, support the superintendent in the i mplementation of local policing plan and operations; and $H$, ensure and prompt attendance for
duty, proper turn out and good di sci pline of staff."

Would it be fair to say, superintendent, that in order for a superintendent to discharge his or her role as a district manager, it requires a platform of each sergeant carrying out their functions as outlined there in subparagraph 2?
A. The superintendent relies on the sergeant to carry out those functions.
615 Q. Could I ask you now briefly to look at some of the changes that came in subsequent to your arrival in Fermoy. The first of these then is, we might look briefly at page 625 in Volume 2. This is the guidelines for the implementation of the new roster. I think you have told the chairman already, these came in 15:04 in April of 2012, isn't that so?
A. Correct.

616 Q. We have looked at this document before, so we needn't dwell on it, but in the third line down, the following is stated:
"The following are gui del ines for the benefit of everyone and will be implemented by each sergeant in the di strict."

Do you see that there?
A. Yes.

617 Q. Why is that directed at the rank of sergeant?
A. Again, as I said, I relied on the sergeant to ensure
that my directions were carried out within the district.
618 Q. Towards the bottom of that, of those guidelines, which run for some pages, we might look at page 632. There's the heading of "other issues" and Mr. Marrinan I think 15:05 brought you over this, so again I will do it very briefly. But on page 632, under the heading of "other issues" halfway down that page, we have there, there's a number of wrap-up points made.
"All annual leave applications must be submitted 16 days in advance and must be forwarded through the supervising sergeant with a recommendation to the district office. Leave is not granted until signed off by the district officer or acting district officer."

Did I understand you to indicate to the Chairman previously that as far as you're concerned this was not the introduction of a new rule, but the implementation of an existing rule, is that right?
A. Yes. Yeah, that was just a reiteration of what was there already.
619 Q. All right. If we could go on to the final page, the next page, page 663, please, Mr. Kavanagh. And the top two I want to ask you about:

[^0]And secondly:
"A sergeant must certify each menber's tour of duty when the nenber reports off duty." Why were you putting that in to this guideline document that's issued in April 2012 -- in May 2012?
A. I wanted to ensure that, number one, any member arriving for duty was, as it says, briefed, detailed and inspected by the sergeant, and that -- the form D27 was a new form that came in with the rosters.
620 Q. Yes.
A. And that was just a direction in relation to the signing of that form.
621 Q. And I think, if we might go very briefly to page 616, you also introduced an aide memoire for sergeants to assist the implementation of the new arrangements, isn't that so?
A. Yes. Something shorter than my big long direction.
Q. Yes, that's what I meant. So is this the document? It's an aide memoire. It's headed "ai de memire for ser geants"?
A. Yes.

623 Q. "New roster period, 30th April 2012". And I think this is sort of more user friendly version of the document we were looking at a moment ago?
A. Correct.

624 Q. On the change over to the new roster, superintendent, what directions did you give concerning whether sergeants should work the full core hours with their
units?
A. There was -- under the old roster system, some of the sergeants did work across two units and didn't work directly with a unit. They worked maybe not full tours of night duty or that. So, I wanted to ensure that each sergeant worked with their unit, they would work the core hours the same as their unit.

27 Q. All right. They were initially brought in on a pilot basis, isn't that so?
A. The rosters were brought in on a pilot basis at that time, yeah.

628 Q. After the bedding down period, can I ask this question, did Sergeant Barry enthusiastically embrace --
MR. COSTELLOE: Excuse me, Chairman, I don't wish to make a big deal about this, but none of this was put to Mr. Barry and in fairness, the witness is saying he's acting on his interpretation or impression of what was going on at the time. I mean, if there's a specific document that's different and obvious7y Mr. O'Higgins may be coming to that, but where Mr. Barry was never even asked about what his attitude to any of this was --

CHA RMAN where are you going with that, Mr. O'Higgins? Suppose Superintendent Comyns says, no, he didn't or, yes, he did, what difference does it make? Suppose Sergeant Barry was, just for the sake of argument, I don't what the answer might be --

MR. O H GG NS: Yes.
CHA RMAK -- but suppose he said, no, Sergeant Barry hated it, what difference would that make? I mean, would that mean that Sergeant Barry was wrong or right? How does it make any difference whether he welcomed it or not? I mean, frankly I can guess what the reaction of most people is to new rosters or new changes. Frankly, I can guess.
MR. OHGG NS: Two things in response, Chairman -CHA RMAN Sorry, I can guess in a general way, I'm not 15:10 guessing about Mr. Barry. But Mr. Costelloe says, where are we going, so...
MR. OHGGN: well, it's firstly relevant to the possibility that it's part of Sergeant Barry's complaint against Garda management that there was unfairness in the way he was dealt with.

CHAI RMAN Absolutely.
MR. OHGGN: There's a specific -- I am just looking at the issue, the list of issues.
CHAN RMAN But not about the new rosters.
MR. OHGGN: For instance at 3 H , one of the Tribunal issues is to explore whether by making implicit criticism of Sergeant Barry, including requiring him to make a report in respect of a fatal fire that occurred
on the 9th April 2013. So that's the standalone issue of the fire.

CHA RMAN we know that, and we know there's evidence about that.

MR. O H GG NS: Yes.
CHA RMAN And we know that what Mr. Barry says about it and he says it was all on the C71 and the Pulse and, moreover, anything else was told to Inspector O'Sullivan in a series of phone calls. Now that's what he says. It doesn't mean he's right. And the alternative position is, that's all very well, but it's not what's required, what was required was the report, in addition to that.

MR. OHGGNS: Yes. There's a standalone issue on that. But I suppose to answer your question,

Chairman --
CHA RMAN So either the requirement is that there was a requirement of a report in addition to that or there wasn't, or whether Sergeant Barry effected sufficient compliance to make it unreasonable and overly punctilious to chase after him, because he didn't. That's what I am assuming I am going to have to write. In a word, Mr. O'Higgins, in a word, Mr. Costelloe says, what on earth has it got to do with anything the Tribunal has to decide, to know how he responded to the 15:12 new roster?
MR. OHGGN: This is its relevance: One of the complaints in a general sense that the sergeant has is that unfairly I was picked on and there are a number of
headings, including not attending PAF meetings.
CHA RMAN Correct.
MR. OHGG NS: Not going to a case conference.
CHA RMAN Yes.
MR. O H GG NS: A fatal fire. A range of issues -CHA RMAN Yes.

MR. O HGG NS: -- where it was said there was unpersonal, unnecessary --
CHA RMAN I am well aware of al1 that, yes.
MR. OHGGN: But if it be the case that in fact - 15:13
and it's an if - if it be the case that a legitimate querying of his position in relation to these various headings was carried out and that in fact the difficulty was that he was not overly enthusiastic about complying with those new procedures, that would be a relevant matter fro you.

CHA RMAK I don't agree, Mr. O'Higgins, I think he's entitled to be enthusiastic or not enthusiastic, in favour or not in favour, he has to do his job as specified. And if it wasn't specified, the
superintendent may say, we11, it was understood as the obvious thing and everybody knew it was the obvious thing. okay, that can be an argument. But anyway, how he responded, I mean this is assuming the superintendent is in a position to know how he responded. But he's entitled to be unhappy, he is entitled to say, $I$ don't like this system. But has to apply it.
MR. OHGGN: May it please you, Chairman.

CHA RMAN So, no, I am with Mr. Costelloe on that one, I am not interested in what his attitude was. As I say, one can guess in general terms a lot of the times, myself included, people have objected to new systems, new rules, new regimes and so on. Anyway, enough, enough. Maybe I am making too much of it.

Mr. Costelloe made a modest query and I am sorry, I seem to have droned on about it. But anyway, there's the position Mr. O'Higgins. I don't care -- I'm sorry. MR. OHGG NS: I will move on from that issue. CHA RMAN Thanks.

629 Q. MR. O H GG NS: In relation to PAF meetings?
A. Yes.

630 Q. What was in a practical way was the problem if a member who was required to attend those meetings did not attend those meetings, what specific problem did that give rise to?
A. The message coming from the meeting, whatever the message was for the coming week or whatever people were expected to do, would not get to the unit of the sergeant who wouldn't turn up, or in relation to investigations, ongoing investigations, my wishes in relation to those investigations, as in, well, I think we need to get this done or we need to get that done, again would not be communicated to the unit if the sergeant wasn't at the PAF meetings.
631 Q. Can I ask you about a separate issue, superintendent. April 2013, one of the issues the Chairman is looking at. You wrote to Chief Superintendent Dillane, the
document is at page 397, regarding the, as you saw it, difficulties stemming from the medical certificate that had been provided by the general practitioner, isn't that so?
A. Yes.

632 Q. And you see there it says on this document from April 2013:
"In my opi ni on Sergeant Barry cannot performthe duties which I as district officer require himto perform"
A. Yes.
Q. "Wile these conditions are accepted..."

Perhaps it is blindingly obvious, but can you just elaborate upon that? In what way was the sergeant unable to perform his duty if that medical certificate stipulation was complied with?
A. The most obvious things were the detailing of the unit at half seven in the morning and half nine at night in Fermoy, and the attendance at the PAF meeting. And then, any other issues that would occur where I would have to interact with Sergeant Barry.
634 Q. So if there was a major road traffic accident outside Mitchelstown Garda Station and you had an overseer role in relation to that, what practical difficulties would arise in that situation?
A. If it was a fatal accident --

635 Q. Yes.
A. -- I have more than an overseer role in that I am
obliged to attend the scene and if Sergeant Barry's unit are working, the direction in Fermoy district was that the sergeant investigates fatal accidents. So, there you would have at the scene the sergeant investigating the fatal accident and the superintendent, who cannot come in contact with each other.

```
636 Q. Yes. The letter continues in saying:
```

"Neither can l performmy duty as di strict officer by having a medi cal doctor certify that l cannot come in contact with a sergeant over whoml have supervisory and managerial responsibility."

Just in practical terms, why do you say that is the case?
A. Again, if $I$ needed anything to be done while unit $B$ were working in Mitchelstown, it would be through Sergeant Barry. If I needed to question anything that happened in Mitchelstown while unit $B$ were working, it would be through Sergeant Barry. Everything from Sergeant Barry's unit, the people he supervised, flowed through Sergeant Barry to me and back. So I couldn't -- you know, if you can't come in contact with the person, how can you carry out your duties?
637 Q. From the point of view of the recipient of this letter, superintendent, who was Chief Superintendent Dillane, would you agree that the ongoing issues, and without getting into the rights or wrongs of the ongoing issues
between yourself and the sergeant, would you agree that the ongoing issues presented something of a managerial dilemma for the chief superintendent?
A. Yes. Yes, I couldn't fix the issues and I was pushing it up the line at all times to the chief superintendent, saying, what are you going to do about this, or, can you do something about this or... Finally, superintendent, the issue, the overall, overarching issue the Chairman is looking into, is the question of whether senior Garda management targeted or 15:20 discredited the sergeant on foot of him making a protected disclosure. You had a number of dealings over this period with Chief Superintendent Dillane?
A. Yes.

639 Q. Are you aware and did you at any stage become aware of any intention or stated determination on the part of Chief Superintendent Dillane to discredit or target the sergeant?
A. No, I did not.

640 Q. Did you at any stage become aware of any intention of anybody in Garda management to target Mr. Barry?
A. No.

MR. OHGGN: Thanks very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thanks, Mr. O'Higgins. Now, who is next in the order?

MR O BRI EN Chairman, sorry, Patrick O'brien for Inspector o'sullivan and former commissioner -CHA RMAN Mr. o'brien, it's nice to see you again, Mr. o'brien.
MR. OBRIEN Thank you, Chairman. I have no questions 15:21 for this witness.

CHA RMAN who are you for, Mr. O'brien?
MR. O BRI EN Inspector Anthony O'sullivan and former Assistant Commissioner Fintan Fanning.
CHA RMAN very good. You have no questions for the superintendent?

## MR. O BRI EN No

CHA RMAN Yes, Mr. Carroll.
MR. CARRQL: Just briefly, I am for Mr. John Quilter, retired Superintendent John Quilter.
CHAI RMAN Yes.
MR. CARROL: I have just really one question, just very short for this witness.

## SUPERI NTENDENT M CHAEL COMYNS WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. CARROLL, AS FOLLOVS:

641 Q. MR. CARROL: Superintendent Comyns, I just want to ask you one thing, you told Mr. Marrinan and the Tribunal yesterday about taking over the golf open at Fota from Superintendent Quilter who was on leave, annual leave. Just in general terms, to take over functions or jobs of an adjoining superintendent or a superintendent to an adjoining district, would that be something that is
Q. I presume that that could apply in other areas as well. One could think of when is person is detained pursuant to the criminal justice legislation, that if extensions of detention are sought or if search warrants are being sought, that sort of...
A. Correct, the normal course of business can be done by the inspector acting for the superintendent. But the likes of extensions and warrants, the superintendent in the neighbouring district, we'11 call it, would cover for the superintendent on leave.
So there's nothing unusual in itself, as I say, in a superintendent from an adjoining district taking over the role of a superintendent who is not available effectively?
A. It happens all the time.

644 Q. And finally then, I think there was one mention of it, there's mention of it in the papers as well at 4590, we don't need to go to it, but I think you referenced it to Mr. Marrinan as well, that there was another big event going on at the time in Mallow, I think. It's referred to in the papers as a garden fair or festival, is that --
A. Yes, that was on the same weekend as the golf.

645 Q. One of the other superintendents nearby was

Superintendent McCarthy, but he was involved in that, is my understanding, would that be correct?
A. Yes, that would be correct.

MR CARROL: Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Now we're around to you, Mr. Harty, I think we are.

## SUPERI NTENDENT M CHAEL COMNNS WAS THEN QUESTI ONED BY MR. HARTY, AS FOLLOVE:

646 Q. MR. HARTY: Thank you, Chairman. Superintendent Comyns, there were some matters which were dealt with and some very brief matters which were dealt with by Mr. Barry in his evidence, that weren't put to you by Mr. Costelloe and I think in fairness, they should be at least addressed by you and that's principally what I intend to deal with.

Mr. Barry, in his evidence, suggested is that in effect his copybook had been blotted with you long before you ever came to the division. And can you just assist me again, and I appear to have a -- who was the chief
A. Tim Callahan.

647 Q. And Mr. Callahan was somebody who you would say you were a friend of?
A. Yes.

648 Q. Mr. Barry's evidence was that he had succeeded in an attempt to -- defeating an attempt to transfer him in 2004 and that had somehow rankled with Mr. Callahan. Do you recall what discussion you had, if any, with Mr. Callahan when you came into the Cork North division in relation to that?
A. We never had a discussion in relation to it. came in to the division and the suggestion that he somehow was targeted was even prior to any protected disclosure, what do you say to that?
A. I did not target Mr. Barry or deal with him any differently than anyone else in any way.
650 Q. After Mr. Barry, and I think it's easiest to describe it as the Reg 10 notice, did you take any steps to target Sergeant Barry after that?
A. No.

651 Q. In general terms in relation to the question from Mr. Costelloe this morning, it was suggested to you or at least put to you that you had some form of discretion in signing Chief Superintendent Dillane's letters when you came into the office to sign them on his behalf. In An Garda Síochána, and I think this is one venue where perhaps least needs to be said, but An Garda Síochána is a hierarchical organisation, I take it that while you discuss things, for example, with Chief Superintendent Dillane, in the end of the day when it is his remit, he's the one who makes those
decisions?
A. Correct.
Q. Once that decision is made, what role do you have?
A. I don't have a role. It's the chief superintendent's decision.

653 Q. And can you imagine a circumstance whereby you would somehow not sign a letter as dictated on behalf of a chief superintendent?
A. No.

654 Q. I take it you'd agree with me also that in terms of gardaí in a unit, it is their obligation to follow the direction of the sergeants in that unit, would that be -- their sergeant?
A. Yes.

655 Q. You would agree with me that it is the obligation of sergeants to follow the directions of superintendents?
A. Yes.

656 Q. And inspectors when they are in the divisional or district office?
A. Yes.

657 Q. And it is the obligation of superintendents to follow the directions of chief superintendents?
A. That's correct.

658 Q. And so on and so forth?
A. That's how it works.

659 Q. In relation to the situation created by Mr. Barry in refusing to have engagement with you, what was the affect on your ability to follow your directions from a chief superintendent and to ensure the proper provision
of policing services within your district?
A. It cut off a whole line of communications really and a whole line of supervision of the group of members that Sergeant Barry was in charge of.
MR. HARTY: Thank you, Superintendent Comyns, I don't have anything else.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHAL RMAN Now, Mr. Marrinan.
MR. MARRI NAN I have no further questions.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. Everybody has asked a11 the questions they want. Thank you very much.
Thank you, Superintendent Comyns.
THE WTNESS: Thank you very much, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Are you free to stay to go as you please, or you may come or go as you please.

THE WTNESS: Thank you, Chair.
CHA RMAN Thank you.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

MR. MARRI NAN The next witness is retired Chief Superintendent Dillane.
CHAI RMAN Yes.
MR. MARRI NAN I don't know whether you want to commence that now.

CHA RMAN I am happy, whatever you want to do, whatever the parties want to do. would you like to get
started --.
CH EF SUPERI NTENDENT DI LLANE: I am easy, Chairman. CHA RMAN Very good. Why don't we get you started and introduced at least. Thanks very much.

MR. GERARD DI LLANE, HAM NG BEEN SVDRN, WAS DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MR MARRI NAN, AS FOLLOWB:

CHA RMAN Thanks very much. We will call you chief superintendent, if that's all right.
THE WTNESS: Anything.
CHA RMAK Unless you'd prefer to be called anything else.

THE WTNESS: No, whatever suits.
CHA RMAN If anybody wants to call you Mr. Dillane, they can call you Mr. Dillane, and if they wish to call you chief superintendent, they can call you that, is that all right.
THE WTNESS: whatever suits.
MR. MARRI NAN And it is Gerard Dillane.
A. That's correct. My official name is William, but Gerard I am known as.
660 Q. Now, I think that you retired from An Garda Síochána in November of 2018?
A. That's correct.

661 Q. You retired at the rank of chief superintendent, is that right?
A. That's correct.

662 Q. I think that in your statement to the Tribunal you
indicat that your last six and a half years were spent as the divisional officer at the Cork North division and that you were based in Fermoy Garda Station, is that right?
A. That's correct.

663 Q. I think that you, in fact, commenced your duty in Fermoy in February of 2012, isn't that right?
A. That's right.
A. Not that I can remember, but I had been stationed in mallow for two and a half years and I could have had acquaintances with him or bumped into him, but nothing that I could actually distinctly remember.
666 Q. Yes. Now, I think that you became aware that on the 6th August 2012 that Sergeant Barry reported non-effective for duty, claiming that he was suffering from work-related stress, is that right?
A. That's correct.

667 Q. And on the 9th August of 2012, Superintendent Michae1 Comyns appointed Inspector Anthony o'Sullivan to investigate Sergeant Barry's work-related stress, and that's done in accordance with HQ Directive 139/10, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

668 Q. Now, you received a letter on the 10th August 2012 from

Superintendent Comyns, informing you that Inspector O'Sullivan had met with Sergeant Barry by appointment on the 4th September 2012, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

669 Q. And if we could just have page 367 up on the screen, please. Sorry, page 366, I beg your pardon, the page before that, Mr. Kavanagh. Yes, we see there that it's dated the 10th, a letter from Superintendent Comyns to you on the 10th September 2012. And if we look at the third paragraph down, it says:
"I nspector O' Sul Ii van met Sergeant Barry by appoi nt ment on the 4th September. Sergeant Barry alleged that he had an issue with me and that an officer fromoutside Fermoy di strict should investigate the matter."

Then he goes on to say:
"On the 2nd August 2012, I dealt with a minor breach of di sci pl ine by Sergeant Barry under Regul ation 10. A copy of the Regul ation 10 notice served on Ser geant Barry is attached."

And then it refers to the fact that Sergeant Barry's medical certificate certifies he is unable to attend work from the 7th August to the 27th August 2012 and from the 27th August 2012 to the 10th August 2012, suffering from medical illness. And then copies of that were attached. That's over on page 367 and a copy
of the sick absence report is there. And if we look in the second box down, we see "work-rel ated stress" is written in there, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

670 Q. Now, when you read that, did you link the Regulation 10 15:34 notice that had been served on Sergeant Barry by Superintendent Comyns with the issue that sergeant Barry apparently had with Superintendent Comyns?
A. Well, it's the only thing I could think of at the time.

671 Q. Indeed, Superintendent Comyns seems to have linked the two there in his letter to you?
A. That's correct.

672 Q. That would seem to be a fairly minor issue in the first instance, would it not?
A. That's correct.

673 Q. I mean, was that something that was immediately going to lead to an investigation by a chief superintendent from outside the district?
A. Well, do you see, Mr. Marrinan --

674 Q. Or the division?
A. -- the rules are that if the issue is with a chief superintendent it has to be an officer at least one rank higher than investigates it.
675 Q. Right.
A. So my obligation then, I had no choice, when I was
applied for a chief superintendent from outside the division to investigate the issues that Sergeant Barry had. That's just the way the system worked. If we then just look at page 368 of the materials. This is a letter that you sent to the assistant commissioner in the Southern Region. And if we look down there, that would be Assistant Commissioner Quilter, is that right?
A. That's correct.

677 Q. You outline the circumstances, there's no need to go through it but we will see in the third paragraph Sergeant Barry had been disciplined for a minor breach under Regulation 10. So you are highlighting that fact again. Then you note:
> "As Sergeant Barry's issue is with an officer of superintendent rank, I would request that a chi ef superintendent fromanother di vision be appoi nted to i nvestigate this matter."

Then if we look at page 369 of the material, this is a letter that was sent by you to Assistant Commissioner Human Resource Management. Again, we don't see the entire letter there but we know the contents of it. Again, it's reporting on the sick report of sergeant Barry. And again we see in the third paragraph there's a reference to the member being disciplined for a minor breach, dealt with under Regulation 10. And again a request there that the matter be investigated by a
chief superintendent from outside the division.

So that's as far as matters stood and that's all you knew about the matter, isn't that right?
A. There's no application in this sick report. That's just sending on the sick report. I don't think there's any application in that. I looked for an appointment in the Garda occupational health to have him brought up and examined by the garda occupational health to see what was the work-related stress issue. That was my application in the second paragraph there.

678 Q. Yes, indeed.
A. "I respectfully look for an appointment for the mentber with the Garda Occupational Health Service."
679 Q. Yes. And then you point out in the next paragraph that 15:38 you have requested that an officer from outside the district be appointed to deal with it?
A. Yes.
Q. And then if we could just come to what you say is a meeting on the 13th September with Sergeant Barry. In the first instance, where did this take place?
A. Well, I am basing my recollection on what I wrote four days later. I wrote to the assistant commissioner four days later that I met him in Watergrasshill, and that's what I am basing my recollection on, it happened somewhere around Watergrasshill. But it doesn't seem to have been a very significant meeting.
681 Q. So, will you just tell us about your recollection of the meeting?
A. Well, I am basing my recollection on the letter $I$ wrote there, that it was, $I$ just met him and just tried to tease out or, I suppose the two things I had at the time were, to get -- when I had appointed -- first of al1 to inform him that $I$ had appointed or applied for somebody from outside the division to investigate his complaint, and secondly then, just to see how he was and I knew he'd a wife and kids and he was on basic pay. Just welfare as well as everything else.
682 Q. And how did he respond?
A. Grand. I gathered from what I read myself in the documentation supplied that we'd agreed another meeting on the 21st September.
683 Q. Yes. Sorry, I am about to be electrocuted here apparently! Mr. Barry, when he was giving evidence, hotly contested the fact that there was a meeting or that this discussion took place on the 13th September, as you're suggesting. what do you say in relation to that?
A. Well, you know, what $I$ heard him saying is, I thought it was a phone cal1. But I am saying I based it on a letter $I$ wrote four days later.
684 Q. Yes, all right.
685 Q. CHA RMAN Sorry, you heard him say he thought it was a phone call, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. CHA RMAN And what do you say to that, chief superintendent?
A. I am just saying I am basing it on what $I$ wrote four
days later.
687 Q. CHA RMAN A11 right.
A. I wouldn't have written the letter unless I attended.

I wrote to the assistant commissioner saying I did attend, so...

688 Q. MR. MARRI NAN So you have no recollection yourself?
A. No.

689 Q. And it's purely on the basis of the letter and the documents that the Tribunal has in that regard, is that right?
A. That's correct.

690 Q. Now, if we could just have page 371 up on the screen, please. This is effectively almost a standard form letter that was sent to you.
A. That's correct.

691 Q. From Assistant Commissioner Fanning, indicating that an appointment had been made for Sergeant Barry for the 11th October, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

692 Q. And it's effectively a standard form letter and the Tribunal is very familiar with these types of letter. And then, if we have page 372 up on the screen, please. This is a letter that you sent to --
A. That's correct.

693 Q. -- Sergeant Barry, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

694 Q. You refer to the period of absence and you say that you're investigating it in accordance with Garda Code regulations, and then you say:
"As you are aware, I have sought a written statement from you outlining your complaint in rel ation to this matter. On Friday, 21st September 2012, you gave me an undertaking to submit a written statement to my of fice the following week, outlining your compl ai nt, but this was not recei ved.

On this date, the 1st October 2012, I amaware that you inf ormed my of fice that following legal advice you would be submitting the said statement directly to Human Resource Management, Garda Headquarters. I have no objection to this course of action but l do require that you submit your statement outlining your full compl ai nt within seven days in order that l can concl ude my i nvesti gation. "

You're aware of the fact that Mr. Barry makes the case that this letter, which is what it is, but did you intend to convey to him that you required a copy of the statement to be sent to you?
A. No, but what I am reading into it is that, the 21st September was the date he cancelled a meeting with me, and it seems from that that he gave an undertaking -he didn't speak to me that day, he speak to the sergeant in my office, that he gave an undertaking to provide the statement, but if he did provide the statement, I presume it would be in a sealed envelope and we would forward it to HRM. But I have since been
Q. Now, I think that on the same day, the 1st October, you contacted Chief Superintendent John Grogan at HRM and informed him that Sergeant Barry would be submitting investigate it and I could, as I say, close my file in my office, it was transferred to somebody else. his complaint --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- directly to HRM. I think you made a note of that --
A. I, yeah.

697 Q. -- in your diary, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

698 Q. That note is at 374 , $I$ don't require it up on the screen, Mr. Kavanagh.
A. Mr. Marrinan, that was to me the investigation was being transferred off my shoulders. I had an stress, so it was now transferred to another person of chief superintendent or higher.
699 Q. Now, we just come to the meeting now on the 13th October of 2012, at 2 pm , and your meeting with Sergeant 15:45 Barry in a car park in Glanmire. This was by appointment, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

700 Q. Will you just outline the conversation that you had
with him at that time, as best you can recall?
A. Well, initially when $I$ met him I would ask -- I asked him about his health, how he was and I had informed him that his -- I am trying to get this in the right correlation now. But his statement had been received in headquarters and there had been an outside person, whoever it was at the time, to investigate it. And then I just said to him, Paul, you have a wife and kids, if you have an issue with Superintendent Comyns I'11 arrange for you to be -- you can go somewhere else 15:46 that will suit you while this issue is being resolved. And immediately he told me that if anyone -- that he had made the statement, and if anyone was to move, it would be the superintendent. Then I pointed out to him that's not practical because $I$ can't transfer a superintendent or move a superintendent, but I may have some say in where sergeants are allocated and I said, if you have an issue with a person, I don't expect you to be working with them, so I can arrange for somewhere and he just point blankly said, if anyone has to move, it'11 have to be the superintendent. And he claimed because he made the statement, it was the superintendent who should move.
701 Q. Did you have any particular station in mind?
A. I had Glanmire in mind, because Glanmire was close to him, Glanmire was the same type of station, worked the same hours, there was one sergeant and two -- one IC and two unit sergeants and they were short one at the time. There was the same number of gardaí and the same
operating hours as Mitchelstown. So, it would be very similar and it wouldn't be a big change for him and it would have shortened his journey much more.
702 Q. Now obviously at this point in time you're offering a transfer to Sergeant Barry, all you knew at that time was that there was some class of a complaint that was being made by Sergeant Barry?
A. That's correct.

703 Q.
Did you believe it was going to be a bullying and harassment complaint?
A. No, I didn't know anything about bullying and harassment until sometime after the new year anyway.
Q. And did you know that -- you had no idea what it had --
A. No.

705 Q. What it related to?
A. No.
Q. But that it was being linked in some way to -- it involved, you believed, Superintendent Comyns obvious7y?
A. He had told Inspector o'sullivan that he had an issue with Superintendent Comyns. So I didn't -- what I was trying to say to him, look, if you have an issue with him, we're all human beings, I'11 facilitate you somewhere while the issue was being resolved.
707 Q. Right.
A. That's all I was offering. It wasn't anything major. It was saying, look, I will facilitate you somewhere if you have an issue. It was he who had the issue.
708 Q. All right. So again, you've heard Mr. Barry's account
of that meeting?
A. Yeah.
Q. And I think he suggests that it ended fairly acrimoniously?
A. Yes. He also said that he mentioned Mallow, which never came into the conversation. The first time I ever heard of him looking to go to Mallow was when I came into this Tribunal and heard his evidence. And second7y, I never threw him out of any car. It ended -- the meeting ended grand. He told me he'd go away and think about my offer. I wasn't pushing anything at the time. It was just nice, you know, we ended well.

710 Q. okay. when you left the meeting, what impression were you 1eft with?
A. I got the impression that, yes, he would come back to work. But I am not saying he will go somewhere, but that he will come back to work.

711 Q. I think on the 11th October 2012, Sergeant Barry was reviewed by the Chief Medical Officer, isn't that right?
A. I believe so.

712 Q. I think on the 22nd October you were sent a minute by HRM, informing you that Sergeant Barry was temporarily unfit to attend regularly and render effective service undertaking policing duties?
A. That's correct.
Q. I think that letter is at page 375 of the material. Again, we don't need to have it up on screen, but it
concludes by saying:

714 Q. Now, if I can just refer you to your statement then at page 335 of the material. This is a statement that you made:
"When Ser geant Barry submitted his compl ai nt di rectly to assi stant commi ssi oner, Human Resources Management, Assi stant Commi ssi oner Nol an was appoi nted to i nvesti gate all matters compl ai ned. Assi stant commissi oner Nol an procured the assistance of Chi ef Superintendent Kehoe, who assisted hi mthroughout. I bel i eve that Sergeant Barry made a full and comprehensi ve statement of compl ai nt to Chi ef Superintendent Kehoe some time at the end of 2012."

Do you recall when you became aware of the complaint?
A. I became aware of that sometime in January or February the following year, because I have a note in my journal and I think it was at one of the first case conferences was the first time $I$ was told by Assistant Commissioner

Nolan and it was subsequent to that then I was told about Chief Superintendent Kehoe.
Q. Did you have discussions with Superintendent Comyns about the matter?
A. No, we didn't, we didn't really discuss the matter.
Q. Well, you didn't really discuss it or you didn't discuss it?
A. No, we didn't discuss it. Because I found subsequently that Superintendent Lordan had come down to take a statement, I didn't know about that until months later. 15:52

717 Q. But just leaving that aside for the moment, but going in to a stage when Superintendent Comyns is aware of the fact that there's issues 1-8 in relation to a bullying and harassment claim that's been made against him, he then later became aware of the fact that there would be a criminal investigation and a disciplinary investigation and he's a superintendent under your direction, surely he informed you that these matters were pending and were being investigated?
A. The first time $I$ saw the issues $1-8$ and number 9 is when I got documentation from this Tribunal.

718 Q. Right. So are you saying to the Chairman that --
A. I am saying to the Chairman I became aware that there were bullying and harassment and discipline/complaint but what they were or what was about them, I did not know and I was never informed by anyone.

719 Q. Did you become aware of the fact there was a disciplinary investigation?
A. Yes, I became aware of that at one of the case
conferences, we were told that, yes, HRM have -- the people from HRM have told us that, yes, there are a number of issues. First of all, 1 think it was bullying and harassment is what $I$ was told.
Were you also aware of the fact that there was a
A. Subsequent I was told, yeah.

721 Q. Now, I think on the 22nd January of 2013, you attended a case conference meeting at Garda Headquarters, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

722 Q. If we have page 379 up on the screen. We see there a note of the meeting. Are you happy with that?
A. Ah yeah, yeah, I'm happy enough.

723 Q. And the accuracy?
A. Because the other thing I left out, Mr. Marrinan, was the part there where I met him in October, Mr. Barry also looked for his injury on duty certificate.
724 Q. Yes.
A. I left that out and it's here, I can just see it now, because I remember the CMO discussing it, and I asked the CMO what's the story with it and the answer was on the far side " nothing to be done in rel ation to the injury on duty classification until official i nvesti gati on compl ete".
725 Q. I think that you had told Sergeant Barry when he brought up the issue, I think he requested a certificate under 11.37 , isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

726 Q. And you wouldn't be able to give that to him until such time as a full investigation had been carried out?
A. Yes, that is correct.

727 Q. Yes. And that would be done in consultation with the Chief Medical Officer and on the Chief Medical officer's advice, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in this instance you weren't in a position to carry out an investigation because the complaint that was made was against the superintendent and, therefore, you 15:55 had indicated that the matter should be dealt with --
A. That's correct.

729 Q. -- by somebody outside your division, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

730 Q. Then you had also advised Sergeant Barry that an 11.37 was matter for the CMO and he could raise the issue of the 11.37 certification with the Chief Medical officer?
A. That's correct.

731 Q. We see here, this is on the 22nd January:
"Menber was sanctioned due to being late for start of shift."

Again, this seems to be feeding in again this matter of the Regulation 10.
"Menber has compl ai nt agai nst superintendent that initiated the sanction. Menber was offered transfer to Glanmire - refused. Member seeking injury on duty
cl assification for absence. Member seeking medi cal retirement as well."

Is this your input into this?
A. No, I had nothing to do with -- I didn't know about the 15:56 medical retirement until I saw this document from the Tribunal.
Q. Yes. Again, could you tell us who could have brought that to the meeting or do you recall this being mentioned?
A. Well you see, these are the CMO's secretary's notes. The CMO had his own secretary at the meeting and these are her notes.

733 Q. Right. And then if we look across to the third column, we see there "management actions" and it's noted:
"Nothing can be done in rel ation to injury on duty cl assification until official investigation comple. Assistant commissi oner wrote to menber extending i nvesti gation until March 2013."

So that's how matters stood arising out of that case conference, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

734 Q. A11 right. I think that you noted in your statement that there had been a discussion about how to get Sergeant Barry back to work, is that right?
A. That was the purpose of the case conference.

735 Q. Yes. And that you told the meeting that you were able
to facilitate Sergeant Barry with a transfer to Glanmire Garda Station, pending the outcome of the investigation?
A. That's correct.

736 Q. I think you pointed out to the meeting that Glanmire was closer to his home and was a very similar sized station to the one he would be leaving, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

737 Q. I think you also noted that Dr. Oghuvbu indicated that he felt that this was would be a reasonable move but that he needed to discuss it with Sergeant Barry when they were next to meet within the next few days, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

738 Q. Now, the issue of the 11.37 was raised by Dr. Oghuvbu, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

739 Q. Do you recall what he said in relation to it?
A. I don't, I don't, but it's just on the notes here that it could not be decided until the investigation had been complete.

740 Q. Now, I think on the 7th February of 2013 you received an e-mail from Assistant Commissioner Quilter, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

741 Q. And this was an e-mail that was sent looking for your observations contained in an e-mail that had been sent from Mr. Barry to the chief, Chief Superintendent

Grogan in HRM, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

742 Q. If we just have page 377 up on the screen. If we scroll down there, we see this is the e-mail that had been sent by Sergeant Barry to Chief Superintendent Grogan. You note there in the first paragraph:
"On Friday, 21st January I had to attend the Chi ef Medical Officer in Dublin. He stated that the sole reason he wanted to see me was to di scuss a medical report submitted by Dr. Ki el y and Dr. Dennehy. The CMD was upset because Dr. Ki el y and Dr. Dennehy expressed the opi ni on that my medi cal condition was work rel at ed. "

Now, he then goes on to say:
"Does HRM find it acceptable that I should have to work with a person agai nst whoml have made an allegation of bullying and criminal behavi our? What steps have HRM taken to provi de me with a safe working envi ronment?"

```
He says:
```

"I spoke with Chi ef Superintendent John Grogan on the 29th J anuary and I outlined the above concerns. I al so expressed my vi ew that a transfer was not an option for me because of the nat ure of my compl ai nt and the persons mentioned therein. Chi ef Superintendent Grogan
said he would pass my concerns on to Assistant Cormis ssi oner Jack Nol an and I have not heard anything since. I would like an answer to the above and I bel $i$ eve that the actions of HRM are prol onging and contributing to my illness."

So, we note there that he is highlighting the fact that he has made a criminal allegation as well against Superintendent Comyns, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

743 Q. And you also noted from that, that in fact an offer of a transfer had also been made by Chief Superintendent Grogan in HRM?
A. That's correct.

744 Q. If we just come back to the issue of the offer of a 16:01 transfer. Mr. Barry, in his evidence, drew a distinction between an application for a transfer and then being transferred, and that it had an impact in relation to financial matters. Is that correct?
A. It is correct. But at this stage there was no permanent transfer for anyone. We were looking to put him somewhere temporary that would suit Mr. Barry while this issue was being resolved. That's all we were looking at. There was no issue of transfer or transfer expenses or anything like that. That never came into my mind, I don't think, or anyone else's mind.
745 Q. Do you think there was a misunderstanding in relation to this between you and he, because apparently if he makes an application to transfer it's at his own
expense?
A. Yes, Mr. Marrinan, but I had offered to move him.
Q. Right.
A. I had offered to move him to Glanmire. I was going to do it.

747 Q. Did you make it clear that it wouldn't be at his own expense?
A. But sure that wouldn't come into it. That wouldn't come into it, at his own expense, because he was moving closer to his home, do you see, what he would be entitled to is the difference between travelling from his home to Mitchelstown and travelling to home to the new station. And his new station was closer to home, so it wouldn't -- it's not a matter, it wouldn't come into it.
748 Q. We'11 come to deal with it tomorrow but when we're dealing with the various conversations that you subsequently had with him in relation to this and an offer of a transfer, I mean was the issue of any costs involved discussed between you and he?
A. Never. Never raised once, Mr. Marrinan.

MR. MARR NAN I don't know whether that is an appropriate time to leave it.
CHA RMAN Very good. Thank you very much. we will leave it there until tomorrow, thank you.

THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED UNTI L THURSDAY, 2ND J UNE 2022 AT 11 A. M


| 731 [1] - 53:17 | $119: 26,122: 29$, $112: 4,155: 26$, $149: 17$ $67: 25,77: 29$, $86: 13$ <br> $123: 1,124: 4$, $161: 28$ adjourn $[1]-$ $101: 6,160: 12$ AND $_{[1]}-91: 16$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:17 } \\ & \text { adjourn [1] - } \\ & 91: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 13 \\ & \text { AND }[1]-91: 16 \\ & \text { ANDREW }[1]- \\ & 3: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 733 [1] - 59:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 123: 1,124: 4, \\ & \text { 124:26, 124:28, } \\ & 125: 1,125: 2, \\ & 127: 24,128: 2 \\ & \text { A85s [1] }-119: 4 \\ & \text { abbreviations } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161:28 } \\ & \quad \text { According }[1] \text { - } \\ & 42: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adjourn [1] - } \\ & 91: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 101:6, 160:12 agreeing [1] - |  |
| 74 [1]-31:20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 746[1]-71:20 |  |  | ADJOURNED[2] - 91:16, 175:27 | agreement [17] - |  |
| 751 [1]-74:16 |  | account [2] |  |  | Anglesea [4] - |
| 753 [1] - 74:16 |  | 104:18, 165:29 | advance [14] | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 21,98: 16, \\ & 98: 20,98: 29, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 49:16, 49:20, } \\ \text { 49:21, 50:2 } \\ \text { annoyed [1] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| 754 [1] - 74:16 |  | accountable [1] | 17:2, 20:14 |  |  |
| 76[1]-33:18 | [1] - 50:5 | - 134:7 | 93:23, 100:7 | 103:2, 105:7 |  |
| 780-781 [1] - | ability [3]-17 | accruing [2] | 102:26, 115:19 | $105: 21,106: 1$, $25: 27$ <br> $111: 7,111: 9$, annual $[25]$ - |  |
| 26:1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 28:19, } 152: 28 \\ \text { able }[12]-5: 18, \\ 5: 22,13: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99: 24,101: 16 \\ \text { accuracy }[1] \text { - } \end{array}$ | 125:18, 127:16, | 112:5, 114:11, | 67:13, 70:20, |
| 781 [1] - 26:14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7am [2] - 112:14, } \\ & \text { 112:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 15:11, 17:11, | $169: 15$ | 129:6, 138:12 | 123:10, 130:24 | 73:18, 75:7, |
| 7pm [1] - 112:6 | 44:20, 47:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { accurate [1] - } \\ & 83: 9 \end{aligned}$ | advanced [1] - | ahead [4]-8:3, | 75:26, 75:27, |
| 7th [5]-82:28, | 49:21, 102:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 83:9 } \\ & \text { achievement [1] } \end{aligned}$ | 58:13 | 81:25, 92:13, | 76:3, 76:11, |
| 84:5, 117:19, | 111:14, 170:1, | $-135: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { advances [1] - } \\ & \text { 132:11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:12 } \\ & \text { Aidan [1] - } \\ & \text { 106:13 } \end{aligned}$ | 76:25, 76:26, <br> 77:8, 77:23, <br> 78:12, 82:21, |
| 156:26, 172:23 | absence $[7]$ - $34: 13,91 \cdot 24$ | [3] - 8:4, 36:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 132:11 } \\ & \text { advice }[3]-45: \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 13,91: 24, \\ & 92: 23,93: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 98:19 | $162: 10,170: 6$ | 139:15, 139:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 82:25, 91:6, 92:1, } \\ & \text { 118:8, 138:11, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:23, 93:16, } \\ & \text { 157:1, 161:27, } \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 34:11 <br> acknowledgme | 170:15 | allegation [10] - | 148:26 |
| $8[1]-109: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 171:1 } \\ & \text { absent }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |  | affect [1] - | $9: 1,10: 6,12: 6$ | answer [37] - |
| 8,000 [1] - 77:12 |  | nt [1] - 102:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 152:28 } \\ & \text { afternoon [6] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:7, 12:17, } \\ & \text { 14:17, 61:25 } \end{aligned}$ | $8: 22,11: 27$,$12: 29,13: 9$, |
| 8.15am [1] - 60:7 | 18:13 | acquaintances |  |  |  |
| 8.3 [9]-37:26, | absolutely [16] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [1] }-155: 16 \\ & \text { acrimoniously } \end{aligned}$ | $5: 8,55: 6,59: 4$ | $68: 12,173: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 29,13: 9, \\ & 13: 17,19: 28, \end{aligned}$ |
| 38:5, 38:21, | 17:27, 19:26, <br> 27:17, 27:20, <br> 31:7, 32:3, 32:22, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 29,91: 19, \\ & 91: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $174: 8$ | 22:11, 24:21, |
| 38:23, 41:13, |  | acrimoniously [1] - 166:4 |  | allegations [11] | 24:26, 26:11, |
| 42:2, 42:21, |  | act [3]-10:7, | afterwards [4] - | -7:19, 9:2, 10:16, | 28:2, 46:3, 47:2,48:27, 65:8, 68:4, |
| 42:27, 44:7 | 33:4, 39:12, 52:3, | 39:25, 45:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 28,88: 4,88: 7, \\ & 125: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 10:27, 11:12,13:22, 15:4, |  |
| 835 [1]-61:10 | 61:26, 94:19, 105:21, 115:8, 132:12, 141:22 | acting [5] |  |  | 69:14, 72:15 |
| 8th [1]-117:24 |  |  | ago [12] - 21:19, | 15:20, 33:1, | 76:28, 80:22, |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { accept }[8] \\ 12: 13,20: 2 \end{array}$ | $138: 15,140: 24$,$149: 12$ | 83:17, 88:1, 88:8, 88:28, 93:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 13,35: 27 \\ & \text { alleged }[4]-6: 5, \end{aligned}$ | 81:13, 88:1,94:27, 95:25, |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | action [2] - |  | 10:6, 14:4 | $98: 13, ~ 98: 27$, $99.20,108: 11$, |
| $9 \text { [4] - 8:28, 12:7, }$ | 32:22, 34:23, <br> 52:18, 62:24, <br> 97:8, 117:19 <br> acceptable [2] - | $135: 18,162: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 28,93: 18, \\ & 127 \cdot 2,107 \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$ | allegedly [1] - | 110:17, 113:14 |
| $33: 18,168: 20$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 127: 2,127: 6, \\ & 132: 3,139: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 171:15, 174:4 | agree [43]-6:2, | $14: 2$ <br> alleviated [1] - | 123:6, 125:6, <br> 128:4, 141:5, <br> 142:15, 169:22 |
| 9am [1] - 112:6 |  | actively [2] | 6:22, 7:6, 10:5, |  |  |
| 9th [8]-18:12, | accepted [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 14,43: 11 \\ & \text { add }[2]-15: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 15:13, 16:24, | $48: 22$ |  |
| 18:26, 49:9, |  |  |  |  | 174:3 |
| 50:20, 107:25, | $24: 1$ <br> accepted.. [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:24 } \\ & \text { added [2] - } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 135: 7,164: 17 \\ \text { allow }[3]-42: 27, \\ 42: 28,84: 18 \end{gathered}$ | answered [4] - |
| 142:1, 155:23 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 16,48: 18 \\ & 48: 24,49: 2 \\ & 50: 24,53: 15 \\ & 56: 12,58: 9,59: 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 1,63: 23,66: 6, \\ & 79: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| A | $145: 12$ | 57:18, 101:5 addition [2] 142.13, 142:18 | 50:24, 53:15, <br> 56:12, 58:9, 59:3, | allowances [1] -114:1 | 79:5 answers [3] - |
|  | 55:11, $60 \cdot 28$ |  |  |  | 25:10, 44:19,102:23 |
| A.M [1] - 175:28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:29 } \\ & \text { accident [3] } \end{aligned}$ | $142: 13,142: 18$ | 56:12, 58:9, 59:3, 59:19, 60:17, | allowed [1] - |  |
| A/C [13] - 8:28, |  | address [3] $31: 22,41: 29$, | 62:4, 62:23, 67:2 | 101:2 | ANTHONY [1] - |
| 9:8, 43:24, 43:25, | 145:23, 145:27, | 54:1 addressed [10] - | 67:6, 72:28, 74:1, | allowing [1] - | Anthony [3] - |
| 56:13, 57:27, | 146:5 <br> accidentally [1] |  | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 2,80: 15, \\ & 80: 20,81: 3, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 58:3, 58:14, |  | addressed [10] - |  | almost [4] - | 130:11, 148:8, |
| 58:16, 58:26, | - 69:13accidents [1] - | 41:18, 59:23 | 91:27, 92:8 | 29:5, 48:9, |  |
| 60:1, 60:15, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 82:17, 86:7, } \\ & \text { 104:11, 107:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $117: 27,120: 4$ | alternative [1] - | anyway [8] - |
| 60:24 | 146:3 accommodatio |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 2,50: 8,81: 26 \\ & 132: 11,143: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| A85 [22] - |  | 111:22, 150:19 adequately [1] - | 123:4, 143:17, | $142: 11$ |  |
| 112:26, 113:25, | ns [1] - 23:27 |  | 146:28, 147:1, |  | 144:5, 144:8, |
| 113:28, 113:29, | accompanied | 50:15 | 152:10, 152:15 | 95:10, 99:14, | 165:12 |
| 114:1, 114:3, | [1]-7:17 | adhered | agreed [12] | 99:15 | apart [1] - |
| 115:4, 116:14, | accord [1] - | 60:7, 167:4 | 27:13, 33:29, | amounts [1] - | 127:12 |
| 116:17, 118:12, $118: 23,119: 1$ | $136: 21$ | adjoining [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 7,39: 1,43: 5 \\ & 46: 24,58: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $100: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { apologise }[6] \\ & 5: 21,27: 15 \end{aligned}$ |


| 69:14, 70:13, | appoint [1] - | 149:6 | 167:16, 171:19 | attract [1] - 58:2 | 32:10, 32:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100:1, 100:7 | 20:23 | arguing [1] - | Assistant [12] - | August [26] - | 32:18, 32:19, |
| apparent [1] - | appointed [9] - | 52:1 | 6:11, 11:1 | 16:4, 17:2 | 32:21, 33:9, 34:6, |
| 51:8 | 20:16, 21:15 | argument [2] - | 50:26, 148:9 | 18:12, 18:26 | 34:19, 34:29, |
| appeal [5] | 58:23, 155:24 | 141:5, 143:23 | 158:7, 158:22 | 50:27, 58:26, | 35:16, 36:17, |
| 38:10, 41:21, | 158:18, 159:17, | arise [1] | 161:16, 167:17, | 59:27, 89:7, 96:3, | 37:2, 37:25, 38:1, |
| 42:5, 43:13, 44:7 | 160:4, 160:5, | 145:26 | 167:18, 167:29, | 111:18, 111:19, | 38:16, 41:12, |
| appealed [1] - | 167:17 | arises [1] | 172:24, 174:1 | 111:20, 112:4, | 41:21, 41:26, |
| $44: 6$ <br> appeals [1] - | appointing [1] - | 37:23 | assisted [3] | 112:14, 112:15, | 41:29, 42:4, |
|  | 21:28 | arising [1] | 106:11, 109:2, | 112:21, 127:26, | 42:22, 42:27, |
| $44: 4$ <br> appear [10] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appointment [6] } \\ & -156: 2,156: 12, \end{aligned}$ | 171:22 arounds [1] - | $167: 20$ <br> assume [2] - | 155:19, 155:23, <br> 155:29, 156:19 | 43:14, 43:16, $44: 2,44: 3,44$ |
|  | 159:7, 159:13, |  |  | 156:26, 156:27 | 44:22, 45:16, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 3,9: 12,60: 21, \\ & 72: 29,86: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:7, 159:13, } \\ & \text { 161:17, 163:27 } \end{aligned}$ <br> appreciate [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:29 } \\ & \text { ARRAN }[1]-3: 4 \\ & \text { arrange }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 40:23, 106:27 } \\ \text { assumed [1] - } \end{array}$ | available [8] - | $45: 22,45: 25$ |
| 122:23, 122:26, |  |  | 99:21 | $14: 10,55: 8$ | $46: 5,46: 9,46: 23$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:12, 129:13, } \\ & 150: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:23, 94:27 } \\ & \text { approached [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 8,164: 10, \\ & 164: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { assuming }[4] \\ \text { 19:27. 100:12. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 27,57: 16, \\ & 72: 6,77: 7,95: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:7, 47:9, 47:24, } \\ & 47: 25,48: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| 150:25 appearance [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { approached [1] } \\ & -97: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 164:19 arrange | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:27, 100:12 } \\ & \text { 142:22, 143:24 } \end{aligned}$ | 72:6, 77:7, 95:1, 149:18 | 49:19, 50:12, |
| $74: 4$ | appropriate [1] - | [5] - 74:17, 90:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { assured [1] - } \\ & 134: 7 \end{aligned}$ | aware [34]-6:5, 8:11, 18:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 24,59: 13, \\ & 59: 19,60: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { appeared [1] - } \\ & \Delta 7 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | 175:23 | $90: 15,139: 16$ | 134:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 11,18: 13, \\ & 24: 14.34: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 19,60: 20, \\ & 60: 26,61: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 47:13 appended [1] - | appropriately $[1]-50: 16$ | 140:10 arrival [1] | AT [1] - 175:28 <br> at. [1] - 54:1 | $36: 13,39: 9,43: 5,$ | 61:12, 62:4, |
| 110:5 application [42] | $\begin{array}{r} \text { approval[14] - } \\ \text { 102:21, 102:27, } \end{array}$ | 137:11 arrived [1] - 6:14 | attach [1] - | $62: 5,62: 26,66: 1$ | 62:16, 62:19, |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 19,76: 24, \\ & 79: 20,91: 8.94: 1 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 25,63: 5 \\ & 63: 14,63: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & -70: 25,70: 26, \\ & 71: 9,71: 11, \end{aligned}$ | 102:29, 103:9, | arriving [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:10 } \\ & \text { attached [9] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 79:20, 91:8, 94:1, } \\ & \text { 130:20, 134:17, } \end{aligned}$ | 63:24, 63:28, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 71: 9,71: 11, \\ & 71: 21,71: 27, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:13, 111:10, } \\ & \text { 120:29, 122:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $139: 8,155: 12$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { attached [9] - } \\ \text { 82:24, 112:26, } \end{gathered}$ | 143:9, 147:15, | 64:6, 64:17, 65:2, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72:20, 73:1, 73:2, } \\ & 73: 18,73: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:20, 123:21, } \\ & \text { 123:23, 126:29, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AS }[7]-5: 1, \\ 5 \cdot 1391 \cdot 16 \end{gathered}$ | 113:28, 115:6, | 147:20, 155:18, | 65:5, 66:3, 66:4, |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 2,148: 21, \\ & 150: 12,154: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 130:6, 156:22, | 162:2, 162:9, | 66:9, 66:10, |
|  | 127:9 <br> approve [6] - | $150: 12,154: 7$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:18, 167:25, } \\ & \text { 167:26, 168:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:11, 66:12, } \\ & \text { 66:21, 67:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76: 11,76: 14, \\ & 78: 13,79: 16, \\ & 79: 21,80: 4,80: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { approve [6] - } \\ 103: 24,122: 5, \end{array}$ | $\text { aside }[4]-38: 2,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 156:29 } \\ & \text { attempt [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | 168:15, 168:23, | 67:18, 67:22 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:14, 128:15, } \\ & 128: 17,130: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 168:11 aspect [2] | 151:3 <br> attempts [1] 14:8 | 168:27, 168:29, | 68:2, 68:20, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 79:21, 80:4, 80:7, } \\ & 80: 17,80: 28, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 169:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:28, 69:3, } \\ & \text { 72:17. 74:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 81: 2,81: 5,82: 21, \\ & 83: 26,83: 27, \end{aligned}$ | 93:29, 116:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:20, } 8: 13 \\ & \text { assault [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 8 \\ & \text { attend }[12]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { awareness [1] - } \\ & 34: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 74:17, 74:20, |
|  |  |  |  | 34.12 | $76: 5,76: 13,77$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:6, 111:24, } \\ & \text { 113:24, 115:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120: 27,126: 23 \\ \text { approves [1] - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:12 } \\ & \text { asserting }{ }_{[1]}- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:7, 65:21, 66:5, } \\ & \text { 67:13, 69:1, } \end{aligned}$ | B | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 5,77: 14, \\ & 77: 26,78: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $116: 15,120: 22$, $132: 1,159: 5$, | 122:4 <br> approving [7] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:29 } \\ & \text { assertion [8] - } \end{aligned}$ | 146:1, 156:25, | backing [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 17,78: 21, \\ & 78: 26,79: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| 132:1, 159:5, 159:7, 159:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:1, 116:8, } \\ & \text { 121:22, 121:2 } \end{aligned}$ | 14:8, 15:14, | 161:5, 166:25, | 61:19, 62:18 | 80:18, 81:25, |
| 174:17, 174:29 <br> applications | 126:28 <br> April [26] - 6:6, | 43:17, 63:13, | attendance [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { badge [1] - } \\ & 86: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 21,82: 23, \\ & 83: 4,83: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| applications [11] - 71:2, $71: 7$, |  | $70: 24,89: 27$ | 61:8, 119:22, | barry [1] - | 84:18, 87:5, |
| [11]-71:2, 71:7, $79: 25,79: 28$, | $\begin{gathered} \text { April [26] - 6:6, } \\ \text { 25:3, 25:4, 27:3, } \end{gathered}$ | assigned [5] - | 136:29, 145:20 | $141: 16$ | 88:21, 89:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80: 11,80: 24, \\ & \text { 107:10, 130:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 27:14, 44:21, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:13, 161:3, } \\ & \text { 169:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Barry [220] - } \\ 5: 25,6: 5,6: 18, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:13, 92:3, } \\ & 92: 19, ~ 92: 22 . \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 45:10, 46:16, | assist [7] - | 169:8 | $9: 2,13: 26,14: 6,$ | 92:29, 93:1, |
| 138:11 applied [5] - | 47:23, 48:4, | $34: 14,44: 20$ | attending | 16:17, 17:12, | 93:12, 93:17, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 13,48: 15 \\ & 50: 20,59: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:19, 72:11, } \\ & \text { 104:19, 139:16, } \end{aligned}$ | attention [7] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:15, 17:24, } \\ & \text { 18:13, 18:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 26,94: 9 \\ & 94: 20.96: 14 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 78:12, 102:25, | 71:3, 71:10, | $150: 24$ | 37:25, 42:7, 58:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:13, 18:19, } \\ & \text { 18:27, 19:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 20,96: 14, \\ & 96: 17,98: 1,98: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| 127:7, 158:1, $160: 5$ | 71:22, 73:16, | assistance [2] - | 61:11, 61:18 | 19:28, 20:13, | 98:7, 102:2, |
| 160:5 applies [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 104: 15,107: 7 \\ & 137: 16,139: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 8,167: 19 \\ & \text { assistant }[14]- \end{aligned}$ | $69: 28,136: 2$ | $21: 21,22: 8,$ | 102:8, 103:27, |
| $\begin{gathered} 76: 25,123: 24 \\ \text { apply [6] - } \end{gathered}$ | $139: 23,142: 1$ | $9: 9,37: 20,37: 24,$ | $16: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:26, 23:6 } \\ & 23: 11.23: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 104:10, 104:15, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:28, 145:6 } \\ & \text { area [4] - 14:27. } \end{aligned}$ | $38: 8,41: 10,42: 9$ | attitude [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:11, 23:13, } \\ & \text { 23:20, 24:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:7, 108:4, } \\ & \text { 109:2, 110:10 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 58:19, 58:21, | 25:17, 25:18 | 24:10, 25:23, | 111:5, 130:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:28, 112:13, } \\ & 143: 28,149: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { area }[4]-14: 27 \\ 15: 1,38: 4,38: 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 157:26, 158:5, } \\ & \text { 159:23, 161:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $140: 8,140: 28$ | $28: 18,29: 7,$ | 130:5, 140:9, |
|  | areas [2] - 90:6, |  | 144:2 | 29:11, 31:23, | 140:20, 140:23, |



| certified [1] - | 161:2, 175:24 | 67:19, 96:4, | 31:26, 31:29, | [3]-169:24, | coincides [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:27 | Chairman [41] - | 104:11, 106:6, | $33: 14,34: 1$ | $171: 1,171: 18$ | $130: 15$ |
| certifies [1] - | 5:5, 13:24, 15:3, | 106:10, 107:1, | $36: 20,36: 23,$ | clear [29]-9:12, | colleague [2] - |
| $156: 25$ | 30:17, 55:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:10, 111:20, } \\ & \text { 115:24. 115:26. } \end{aligned}$ | $39: 4,39: 25$ | $27: 1,27: 17$ | $97: 14,97: 15$ |
| ce | 69:10, 70:19, | 121:13, 121:20, | $43: 19,43: 2$ | 31:24, 39:12, | collect [1] - |
| certifying [4]- | $74: 14,75: 26$ | 121:22, 121:26, | $44: 5,44: 8,59: 22$ | $46: 2,48: 11,52: 3$ | collective [1] - |
| 124:8, 124:9, | 82:3, 85:2, 86:12, | 121:29, 122:4, | 147:3, 147:5, | 59:14, 69:24, | 126:14 |
| 124:16, 127:3 | 89:5, 90:19, | 122:16, 126:10, | 150:25, 152:4, | 73:6, 76:9, 81:1, | column [17] - |
| cetera [2] - | 91:11, 99:29, | 126:11, 126:12, | 152:8, 152:22, | 81:4, 88:2, 88:14, | 117:1, 118:7, |
| $67: 14,91: 24$ | 108:24, 109:13, <br> $120 \cdot 26,126 \cdot 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:22, 126:23, } \\ & \text { 128:13, 128:26 } \end{aligned}$ | 152:29, 154:9, 154:17. 154:26. | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 23,94: 4, \\ & 94: 19.101: 29 \end{aligned}$ | 119:19, 119:28, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { chain }[1]-108: 8 \\ & \text { chair }[3]-124: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 127:2, 129:8, | 135:12, 136:16, | 157:17, 157:21, | 103:26, 105:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:7, 121:11, } \\ & \text { 121:17, 121:21, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 125:7, 153:18 | 129:14, 129:22, | 136:25, 153:4 | 157:27, 158:1, | 105:28, 115:8, | $121: 25,124: 6$ |
| Chair [1] - 105:3 | 133:4, 137:15, | chase [1] - | 158:17, 159:1, | 121:8, 128:3, | 125:27, 126:7, |
| CHAIRMAN [94] | 138:17, 140:21, | 142:21 | 160:27, 163:23, | 175:6 | 126:20, 127:26, |
| - 5:4, 5:10, 14:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 141:14, 142:16, } \\ & \text { 143:29, 144:28, } \end{aligned}$ | check [4] - <br> 17:16, 76:25 | 172:29 <br> CHIEF ${ }_{\text {11] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cleared [1] - } \\ & \text { 78:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:26, 128:28, } \\ & 171 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14:23, 27:10, $27: 12,40: 3,69: 6$ | 147:9, 148:5, | $77: 5,84: 10$ | 154:2 | clearly [7] - | columns [3] - |
| 69:17, 82:1, 82:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150:14, 153:15, } \\ & \text { 154:2, 168:22, } \end{aligned}$ | checked ${ }^{22]}$ - <br> 78:15, 78:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { choice }[2]- \\ 17: 17.157: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:25, 44:18, } \\ & 60: 3,70: 29, \end{aligned}$ | 116:22, 122:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 82: 9,83: 20 \\ & 83: 22,84: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 168:23 | 78:15, 78:16 checking [1] - | 17:17, 157:25 <br> chopping [1] - | $122: 3,126: 25$ | 125:1 comfort [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 84: 25,84: 28, \\ & 85: 4,85: 7,85: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chairman [2] - } \\ & 5: 5,148: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 129:8 } \\ & \text { checkpoints } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 8 \\ & \text { circuit [1] - } \\ & 85: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 128: 8 \\ & \text { client }[10]-16: 3, \\ & 16: 13,76: 5, \end{aligned}$ | 84:1 coming [14] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 85: 14,86: 11, \\ & 87: 20,90: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $67: 4$ | Chief [72] - 6:24, | circulated [1] - | $77: 22,77: 26$ | 48:2, 72:13, 88:2, |
| 90:20, 91:13, | challenged [1] - | $7: 4,7: 12,7: 18$ | 96:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 14,97: 14, \\ & 97: 21,98: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 88:9, 88:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 99: 28,100: 1, \\ & \text { 100:17, 100:20, } \end{aligned}$ | 62:15 challenges [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 28,9: 20,10: 14 \\ & \text { 12:18, 12:19, } \end{aligned}$ | circumstance <br> [3] - 36:15, 67:15, | $104: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:1, 106:25, } \\ & \text { 116:3, 140:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 104:28, 105:9, | $136: 17$ | 12:20, 12:26, | 152:6 | close [2] - 163:6, | 144:18, 144:19 |
| $108: 28,109: 8$ | $32: 24,62: 12$ | 26:16, 27:21, | $[4]-11: 2,62: 25$ | closely [1] - | 108:8 |
| 109:12, 109:17, | $62: 14,67: 2$ | $33: 16,34: 8$ | $132: 4,158: 10$ | $96: 12$ | commence [1] - |
| 109:20, 112:28, |  | 34:15, 34:19, | circumvent [1] - | closer [3] - | 153:27 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:1, 124:11, } \\ & \text { 124:15, 124:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3: 9 \\ & \text { chance }[2] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 26,35: 3 \\ & 35: 11,36: 4,37: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:12 } \\ & \text { citizens [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172: 6,175: 10 \\ & 175: 13 \end{aligned}$ | COMMENCED |
| 124:22, 124:24, | $25: 22,28: 6$ | $37: 12,38: 28$ 39:7, 39:14, | 134:9 | CMO [8] - 44:26, | commenced [1] |
| 124:29, 125:4, $125: 14,129: 10$ | change [1 $37: 11,65: 2$ | 39:7, 39:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { city [2]-28:2 } \\ & 49: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 45:1, | $-155: 6$ |
| 129:15, 129:17, | $67: 24,90: 25$ | $39: 25,40: 6$ | civilian [3] | 170:16, 171:12, | 13:19, 69:12, |
| 129:19, 129:23, | 91:2, 91:6, | 40:12, 40:17 | 79:14, 79:24, | 173:11 | 87:21, 97:12, |
| 132:12, 132:16, | 122:10, 127:4, | 40:23, 40:29, | 80:8 | CMO's [1] - | 122:19 |
| 132:23, 132:26, | 130:19, 131:8, | 44:1, 44:21, | claim [2]-114:1, | 171:11 | Commissioner |
| 141:1, 141:7, | 139:27, 165:2 | 44:25, 45:10, | 168:14 | code [21] - | $[12]-6: 12,11: 10$ |
| 141:15, 141:22, | changed [7] - | $46: 15,59: 20$ | claimed [1] - | 37:26, 38:5, | 50:27, 133:5, |
| 141:25, 142:3, | 37:22, 90:28, | 61:11, 71:23, 89:6, 89:12, 90: | 164:21 | 38:21, 38:23, | 148:9, 158:7, |
| 143:2, 143:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:26, 127:5, } \\ & \text { 130:8, 130:17, } \end{aligned}$ | 91:29, 92:16, | $\begin{gathered} \text { claiming [5] - } \\ \text { 18:14, 18:15, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41:13, 42:2, } \\ & 42: 21,42: 27, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 158:22, 161:16, } \\ & \text { 167:17, 167:29, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 143:6, 143:9, | 130:20 | 144:29, 146:27, | 119:20, 119:21, | $44: 7,57: 5,57: 24$ | $172: 24,174: 2$ |
| 143:17, 144:1, | changes [9] - | 147:13, 147:17, | 155:20 | 58:13, 58:18, | commissioner |
| 144:11, 147:27 | $94: 29,95: 3,$ | 151:22, 151:28, 153:23. 155:9. | Clare [1] - | 59:3, 94:13, | [15] - 9:10, 37:21, |
| 148:10, 148:13, | 96:16, 96:20, | 163:9, 166:20, | 133:16 <br> clarify [3]-19:7 | 136:8, 136:27, | 37:24, 38:8, $41: 10,42: 9$ |
| 148:16, 150:8, | 137:11, 141:12 | 167:19, 167:22, | $98: 26,102: 18$ | 161:28, 167:3 | 58:22, 148:2, |
| 153:10, 153:12, | changing [1] - | 168:2, 170:5, | clarifying [2] - | Cody [1] - 127:6 | 157:26, 158:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 153:16, 153:19, } \\ & 153: 25,153: 28 \end{aligned}$ | 79:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 170:17, 172:29, } \\ & \text { 173:5, 173:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $9: 11,107: 13$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o g }}[1]-135: 29$ <br> cognisance [1]- | 159:23, 161:4, |
| 154:3, 154:9, | $24: 29,25: 12$ | 173:25, 173:29, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { clarity [1] - } \\ & \text { 129:28 } \end{aligned}$ | $8: 10$ | 167:16, 167:19, 171:19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:12, 154:15, } \\ & \text { 160:24, 160:27, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 24,58: 16 \\ & 59: 13,67: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 174:12 } \\ & \text { chief }[34]- \end{aligned}$ | class [1] - 165:6 <br> classification | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cohesively [1] - } \\ & \text { 134:22 } \end{aligned}$ | commissioner $\mathbf{s}[1]-58: 19$ |


| committee [1] - | comply [7] - | 69:22 | 66:12, 67:8, | 22:23, 36:4, | 100:14, 101:15, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 5,20: 22, \\ & 22: 17,60: 14 \end{aligned}$ | conducted [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 14,68: 20, \\ & 68: 22,69: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 19,163: 29, \\ & 166: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103: 4,103: 5, \\ & 103: 11,104: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6:7, 33:4, 52:13 | 61:1, 114:10, | conference [23] | 74:19, 88:19, | conversations | 105:17, 105:18, |
| communicate | 123:8 | - 13:12, 61:8 | 94:10, 146:6, | [1] - 175:1 | 105:27, 106:3, |
| [2] - 21:21, 63:5 | complying [3] - | 61:13, 61:24, | 146:12, 146:24, | convey [1] - | 107:28, 107:29, |
| communicated | 114:13, 114:14, | 63:1, 63:6, 63:8, | 167:8 | 162:20 | 108:13, 108:17, |
| [1] - 144:25 | 143:15 | 63:15, 63:20, | contacted [8] - | copies [1] - | 109:19, 111:2, |
| communicatin | component [3] - | 63:25, 64:1, 64:3, | 7:3, 7:7, 7:8, | 156:28 | 111:12, 114:11, |
| g[2]-56:2, 60:15 | 7:27, 8:5, 8:9 | 64:7, 64:15, | 52:8, 64:17, 65:2, | copy [3] - | 116:17, 118:25, |
| communicatio | comprehensiv | 64:20, 64:23 | 94:9, 163:9 | 156:21, 156:29, | 118:26, 120:6, |
| n [3]-59:27, | e [4]-11:1, 11:7, | 68:11, 68:21 | contacting [1] - | 162:20 | 126:8, 126:9, |
| 63:18, 84:15 | 133:28, 167:22 | 69:23, 143:3, | 68:8 | copybook [1] - | 126:12, 126:13, |
| communicatio | COMYNS [5] - | 169:9, 171:23 | contacts [1] - | 150:23 | 126:16, 126:18, |
| ns [1] - 153:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 3,5: 12,133: 1 \\ & 148: 20,150: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 171:28 <br> conferences [3] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:24 } \\ & \text { contained }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { core }[2] \text { - } \\ & 139 \cdot 29 \quad 140 \cdot 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:20, 128:4, } \\ & \text { 129:7, 131:4, } \end{aligned}$ |
| COMPANY [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 148:20, } 150: 11 \\ \text { Comvns } 1201 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { conferences [3] } \\ & -65: 16,167: 28, \end{aligned}$ | contained [1] - 172:28 | 139:29, 140:7 | 133:15, 133:18, |
| 3:3 <br> company [1] - | $5: 15,12: 18$ | 169:1 | contemporane | 49:20, 111:21, | 137:17, 139:26, 143:2, 149:11, |
| 16:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:20, 51:28, } \\ & 70: 15,75: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { confess [2] } \\ 14: 23,99: 20 \end{array}$ | ous [1] - 54:28 | $\begin{aligned} & 150: 26,151: 6, \\ & 155: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:2, 149:11, } \\ & \text { 150:2, 150:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 134:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 19,110: 10 \\ & 130: 8,132: 1, \end{aligned}$ | confident [4] 115:15, 115:20 | ously [1] - 54:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { coroner [1] - } \\ & 56 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 152:2, 152:23, } \\ & \text { 154:21, 154:25, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { complai } \\ & \text { 167:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $133: 5,141: 2$ | $121: 4,127: 19$ | 28:15 | 56 | 154:28, 155:5, |
| complains [1] - | $148: 23,150: 15$ | confine [1] | content [4] - | 111:21, 111:26 | 155:11, 155:22, |
| 98:18 | $153: 5,153: 14$ | 47:3 | $38: 2,39: 9,43: 6$ | correct [167] | $\begin{aligned} & 155: 28,156: 4, \\ & 157: 4.157: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| complaint [27] - | $155: 24,156: 1$ | confirm [2] | 66:26 | $6: 1,6: 8,6: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 157:4, 157:12, } \\ & \text { 157:15, 158:9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 8:27, 8:29, 9:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 156: 8,15 \\ & 157: 8,15 \end{aligned}$ | 96:16, 130:4 confirmed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { contents [1] - } \\ & \text { 158:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 18,6: 19,6: 27, \\ & 6: 28.7: 10.7: 22, \end{aligned}$ | 160:26, 161:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9: 14,9: 17,20: 1 \\ & 23: 24,50: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 157:29, 164:9 | 76:29 | cont | $9: 5,9: 19,9: 26$ | 161:15, 161:19, |
| 61:6, 94:6, 95:7, | 165:18, 165:21 | confirming [1] - | 160:16 | 10:20, 10:28, | 161:24, 161:26, |
| 98:24, 102:1, | 168:3, 168:12 | 108:28 | context [3] | 12:18, 13:4, 16:8, | 163:28, 165:8, |
| 141:20, 160:7, | conceding | $\begin{aligned} & \text { conflating [1] } \\ & 84: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 14,25: 10, \\ & 27 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 9,18: 16 \\ & 18: 23,19: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 166:27, 169:10, |
| $162: 15,163: 1$ | 86:22 | confused | $2$ | $19: 10,21: 3,21: 7$ | 169:11, 169:29, |
| 165:6, 165:10, | concern [2] | 70:5, 83:2 | 53:29, 73:10, | 21:27, 24:1, | 170:3, 170:7, |
| 167:15, 167:22, | $58: 1,114: 19$ | confusing [1] - | $129: 19$ | $25: 15,28: 26$ 29:14.29:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 170:12, 170:14, } \\ & \text { 170:18, 171:24, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $167: 25,170: 9$, $170: 27,173.28$ | concerned [15] - | 82:5 | continued [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:14, 29:20, } \\ & \text { 29:21. 31:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 172:4, 172:9, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 170:27, 173:28 } \\ \text { complaints } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:2, 10:12, } \\ & \text { 10:26, 17:1, 24:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { confusion [1] - } \\ & 70: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53: 1,124: 23 \\ \text { continues } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 21,31: 16, \\ & 31: 25,32: 6,34: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 172:15, 172:18, |
| -6:15, 6:18, 6:26, | 24:22, 48:26, | consequences | 146:8 | 34:4, 36:27, | 172:26, 173:2 |
| 7:21, 8:14, 8:16, | 59:15, 61:27 | [1] - 52:11 | continuing [1] - | 37:11, 37:15 | 174:10, 174:14, 174:19. 174:20 |
| 8:25, 9:8, 9:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 22,89: 28, \\ & 90: 7,110: 23, \end{aligned}$ | consider [1] - | 62:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 5,39: 8,40: 26, \\ & 41 \cdot 14: 43 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | correcting [2] - |
| 13:27, 14:11, $73.8,142.28$ | $\text { 110:25, } 138$ | $47: 16$ | continuously [2] |  | $49: 27,57: 10$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 73:8, 142:28 } \\ \text { complete [3] } \end{gathered}$ | concerning [1] - | considered [3] - 11:1, 38:10, | $\begin{aligned} & -134: 8,135: 1 \\ & \text { contradicted }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $49: 24,50: 21$ | correctly [2] - |
| 109:11, 171:18, | 139:28 | 68:13 | $-69: 27$ | 51:4, 52:11, | $16: 11,17: 6$ <br> correlation [1] - |
| 172:22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { concerns [4] } \\ \text { 14:28, } 79: 13 \end{gathered}$ | consolidated [1] | contrary [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 12,53: 14, \\ & 5: 29,56: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 164:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { complete" [1] - } \\ & \text { 169:25 } \end{aligned}$ | $173: 26,174: 1$ | $\text { - } 8$ | 126:25, 131:7 | $56: 10,56: 22,$ | correspondenc |
| completely [2] - | conclude [1] | $-46: 26,170: 4$ | [1] - 36:2 | $57: 14,58: 12$ | $\mathbf{e}[9]-39: 26,$ |
| $39: 13,76: 9$ | $162: 16$ | consulted [1] | contributing [1] | $59: 6,61: 23,62: 2,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 15,41: 24, \\ & 42: 13,45: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| completeness | concludes [1] - | 96:3 | $-174: 5$ | 63:16, 66:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 13,45: 21, \\ & 45: 25,47: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\text { [1] }-67: 28$ | 167:1 | contact [29] | control [1] - 18:8 | $71: 13,74: 13$ | $71: 19,132: 6$ |
| compliance [6] - |  | $6: 24,24$ | controversy [4] | $75: 2,76: 8,77: 4,$ | corresponds [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:12, 52:1, } \\ & 56: 17,119: 11 \end{aligned}$ | condition | 24:16, 25:8, | - 6:3, 45:9, 58:24, | 81:21, 83:6, | - 99:7 |
| 56:17, 119:11, 119:12, 142:20 | 173:13 | $35: 14,35: 17$ |  | 83:12, 88:16, | COSTELLO [1] - |
| complied [4] - | conditions [1] - | 36:2, 37:5, 46:25, | $90: 21$ | 89:15, 89:17, | $3: 3$ |
| 60:9, 92:4, 103:2 | $145: 12$ | $47: 8,62: 27$ | conversation [7] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90:3, 92:20, 99:4, } \\ & 99: 11,99: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Costelloe [67] - } \\ 5: 4,5: 23,14: 8, \end{array}$ |


| 14:13, 14:23, | 175:19 | 174:8 | 107:17, 110:1, | deals [6] - 10:6, | [2] - 47:15, 48:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:27, 22:13, | County [2] - | critical [7] - | 110:2, 111:15, | 42:21, 68:29, | demure [2] - |
| 25:18, 28:2, | 111:21, 133:16 | 51:26, 56:24, | 111:16, 111:17, | 73:1, 80:27, | 36:29, 44:3 |
| 28:21, 32:14, | couple [2] - | 57:8, 60:3, 92:2, | $120: 10,121: 23$ | 119:11 | Denise [1] - |
| 38:24, 41:15, | 49:3, 50:21 | 92:10, 92:16 | 121:27, 127:29, | dealt [14]-14:5, | 96:12 |
| 44:16, 47:19, | coupled [1] - | criticising [1] - | 162:9, 162:23 | 50:12, 70:25, | Dennehy [2] - |
| 51:12, 59:12, | 59:17 | 14:26 | dated [9] - | 70:26, 73:25, | 173:11, 173:12 |
| 60:17, 62:23, | course [13] - | criticism [7] - | 27:14, 42:9, | 73:28, 81:11, | depended [1] - |
| 62:28, 64:18, | 12:25, 25:2, | 50:11, 50:15, | 50:27, 59:27, | 120:13, 141:21, | 90:10 |
| 64:25, 66:24, | 42:24, 52:16, | 60:13, 60:19, | 82:16, 106:26, | 150:15, 150:16, | deputising [1] - |
| 67:11, 69:6, | 60:26, 63:8, | 62:3, 62:19, | 112:21, 132:10, | 156:19, 158:28, | 40:28 |
| 69:18, 69:20, | 67:13, 68:27, | 141:28 | 156:8 | 170:11 | descended [1] - |
| 69:26, 69:29, | 98:17, 112:7, | CROSS [6] - 4:5, | dates [15]-7:7, | death [1] - 55:22 | $74: 10$ |
| 70:8, 70:13, | 149:2, 149:11, | $4: 6,4: 7,5: 12$ | 49:3, 74:12, | debt [1] - 74:9 | describe [9] - |
| 70:18, 73:25, | 162:13 | $133: 1,148: 20$ | 76:12, 77:8, 77:9, | deceased [1] - | 8:11, 10:18, 11:7, |
| 74:7, 82:1, 83:20, | cousin [1] - 42:1 | CROSS- | 83:26, 83:28, | 55:23 | 43:13, 50:11, |
| 84:23, 85:26, | cover [36] - | EXAMINED [6] - | 84:3, 84:6, 86:25, | December [3] - | 79:22, 79:24, |
| 86:3, 87:11, | 74:11, 74:20, | 4:5, 4:6, 4:7, | 87:19, 88:15, | 34:17, 34:21, | 91:29, 151:15 |
| 87:28, 88:4, | 74:24, 75:12, | 5:12, 133:1, | 127:12, 127:25 | 34:28 | describing [1] - |
| 90:17, 90:21, | $75: 16,75: 22$ | $148: 20$ | day-to-day [1] - | decide [2] - | 24:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 91:4, 91:20, 94:7, } \\ & 94: 25,99: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $77: 15,77: 29$ | cubbyhole [1] - | $35: 19$ | $73: 18,142: 25$ | description [2] - |
| 100:17, 100:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 7,78: 27, \\ & 82: 24.83: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 80:8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { days }[21] \text { - } \\ 78: 22,81: 10, \end{gathered}$ | decided [5] - | 125:27, 133:23 |
| 103:13, 105:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 24,83: 2 \\ & \text { 83:14, 83:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cumbersome }{ }_{[1]} \\ & -73: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 78:22, 81:10, } \\ & \text { 83:7, 87:15, } \end{aligned}$ | 20:21, 20:23, | deserve [1] - |
| 117:12, 122:18, | 83:25, 83:28, | current [1] | 87:26, 88:21, | 172:21 | despite [9]-8:8, |
| 125:4, 127:29, | 84:3, 84:18, | 38:11 | 88:23, 88:24, | decides [1] - | 9:29, 17:23, |
| 128:16, 128:27, | 84:22, 86:9, | cursor [1] - | $92: 29,93: 3,94: 8$ | $44: 1$ | $43: 11,47: 11$ |
| 132:13, 132:23, | 86:14, 86:25, | 121:19 | 123:28, 126:29, | decision [5] - | 61:17, 84:4, |
| 141:16, 142:23, 144:1, 144:7 | 86:28, 87:5, | customers [1] - | 138:12, 159:23, | 36:23, 67:22, | 89:27, 114:26 |
| $150: 18,151: 20$ | 87:16, 87:18, | 134:9 | 159:24, 160:22, | 73:7, 152:3, | detail [3] - |
| COSTELLOE | 89:9, 89:13, | $153: 2$ | 163:3, 172:13 | deci | $138: 27$ |
| [54] - 4:5, 5:5, | 89:21, 90:9, |  | deaf [1]-15:9 | 152:1 | detailed [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 13,5: 15,14: 14 \\ & 15: 3,27: 3,27: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 27,98: 14, \\ & 149: 14 \end{aligned}$ | D | $\text { deal }[36]-12: 4,$ | declare [1] - | 139:8 |
| 27:11, 27:13 | covered [6] |  | $45: 16,45: 23$ | defeating [1] - | $145: 18$ |
| $70: 19,82: 3,82: 8$ | 81:25, 81:27, 82:29, 84:6, | 106:12, 109:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 26,45: 28, \\ & 46: 5,46: 22,47: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 151:3 | details [4] - |
| 82:10, 83:23, | 89:25 | 109:17, 109:20, | $47: 24,48: 7,48: 8$ | defer [1]-5:15 <br> deferred [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:18, 96:6, } \\ & \text { 136:7, 136:8 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 84:24, 84:27, | covering [1] - | 109:22 | 50:10, 58:8, 61:6, | 41:27 | detained [1] - |
| 85:1, 85:5, 85:8, | 87:13 | D/guard [1] - | 71:26, 72:23, | definitely [1] - | 149:7 |
| 85:10, 85:15, | covers [1] - | 63:1 | 73:16, 73:24, | 47:10 | detected [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 86: 12,87: 23, \\ & 90: 18,90: 22, \end{aligned}$ | 86:27 | D/ | 80:3, 80:19, | definition [1] - | 55:25 |
| 91:19, 100:3, | created [1] - 152.26 | [1] - 50:5 | 91:21, 91:22 | 93:24 | detections [1] - |
| 100:19, 100:21, | rime | D27 [1] - 139:9 | $95: 14,116: 16$ | delay [3] - 5:20, | $96: 14$ |
| $100: 26,105: 5$ | $57: 27,58: 3$ | daily [1] - 40:12 | 133:9, 134:11, | delegated [2] - | $50: 4,63: 18,64: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:12, 105:19, } \\ & \text { 108:24. 108:26 } \end{aligned}$ | $58: 16,58: 22$ | $\text { data }[2]-55: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140:22, 150:20, } \\ & \text { 151:13, 159:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $71: 9,115: 24$ | 64:2, 66:10, |
| 109:1, 109:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { crime }[4]-50: 2, \\ & 54: 2,54: 11, \end{aligned}$ | database [1] - | $175: 16$ | delegating [1] - | $68: 15,69: 2$ |
| 109:13, 109:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 2,54: 11 \\ & 55: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $56: 1$ | dealing [11] - | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 9 \\ & \text { delivered }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:13 } \\ & \text { Detective }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| $125: 16,129: 13$ | crimes [2] - | date [29] - 15:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 24,47: 4 \\ & 63: 10,64: 29, \end{aligned}$ | 136:18 | $63: 14$ |
| 129:16, 129:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 26,57: 28 \\ & \text { criminal }[17]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 14,15: 15, \\ & 18: 18,26: 29, \end{aligned}$ | 71:21, 80:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { delivers [1] - } \\ & 70.73 \end{aligned}$ | detention [1] - |
| 129:21, 129:25, | 7:27, 8:5, 8:9, | $27: 10,27: 16$ | 81:1, 95:12, | 79:23 <br> delivery[1] - | determination |
| $132: 14,132: 18$, $140: 21$ | 8:12, $8: 15,8: 24$, | 28:23, 44:26, | 119:6, 119:27, | 133:27 | $\text { [1] }-147: 16$ |
| 140:21 <br> costelloe [4] - | $8: 28,9: 24,10: 1$ $10: 7,12: 6,12: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 25,48: 3, \\ & 48: 12,82: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 175:17 } \\ & \text { dealings }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | delta [1] - 30:3 | determine [1] - |
| 78:10, 129:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:7, 12:6, } 12: \\ & \text { 149:8, 168:16. } \end{aligned}$ | 82:29, 87:12, | 147:12, 151:9, | delving [1] - | 104:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 129:20, 129:23 } \\ & \text { costs [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:8, 168:16, } \\ & 169: 6,173: 20, \end{aligned}$ | 96:5, 106:9, | $155: 12$ | 19:27 <br> demonstrative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { determining }{ }_{[2]} \\ & -74: 5,104: 20 \end{aligned}$ |


| develop [1] - | 46:15, 59:21, | 7:20, 22:18, 23:2, | 117:26, 119:17, | doctor [5] - | 133:10, 144:24, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134:24 | 61:12, 89:7, | 168:16, 168:28 | 123:7, 123:16, | 28:12, 28:18, | 146:17, 149:11, |
| developed [1] - | 89:12, 90:2, | discipline [4] - | 123:17, 125:20, | 55:22, 62:26, | 155:26, 169:23, |
| $59: 9$ | 91:29, 92:17, | $9: 21,23: 8,137: 1$ <br> $156 \cdot 20$ | $174: 17$ | $146: 11$ | $170: 4,171: 17$ |
| - 133:27 | 147:13, 147:17, | discipline/ | 155:17 | 5:7, 5:17, 9:15, | 67:19 |
| diametrically [1] | 151:28, 153:24, | complaint [1] - | district [71] - | 12:19, 12:22, | doubt [12] - |
| - 131:2 | 154:15, 154:16, | 168:24 | 15:23, 15:26, | 12:27, 13:21, | 10:11, 12:29, |
| diary [1] - | 154:20 | discipline/ | 24:8, 24:23, | 25:16, 26:13, | 13:5, 22:13, |
| 163:15 | DILLANE [3] - | harassment [1] - | 24:29, 25:12, | 28:9, 28:15, | 22:14, 23:6, 23:8, |
| dictate [3] - | 4:10, 154:2, | 10:3 | 26:20, 28:11, | 29:15, 29:19, | 23:10, 33:20, |
| 39:16, 40:4, 40:5 | 154:6 | disciplined [2] - | 28:20, 28:26, | 37:19, 37:20, | 34:24, 52:7, |
| dictated [7] - | Dillane's [4] - | 158:12, 158:27 | 30:10, 30:25, | 37:23, 51:8, | 69:15 |
| 27:22, 27:27, | 37:12, 38:28, | disclosed [1] - | 31:4, 34:7, 35:16, | 51:17, 51:23, | down [37] - |
| 40:1, 40:2, | $40: 17,151: 22$ | 6:21 | $36: 16,37: 2$ | $57: 2,57: 16,$ | 38:29, 41:17, |
| 106:28, 106:29, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { direct [7]-5:17, } \\ & \text { 29:9. 29:11. } \end{aligned}$ | disclosure [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:18, 49:8, 49:9, } \\ & \text { 49:23, 49:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 11,78: 3,84: 9, \\ & 93: 29,94: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 41:18, 53:22, 54:7, 54:24, |
| died [1] - 54:19 | 63:28, 64:6, | 147:12, 151:12 | 49:26, 51:10, | 105:28, 108:18, | $54: 25,55: 18$ |
| difference [9]- | 68:23, 84:15 | disclosures [1] - | 51:15, 51:27, | 110:2, 118:12, | 57:21, 75:5, |
| 46:3, 101:16, | directed [2] - | $6: 5$ | 59:23, 61:20, | 119:7, 119:8, | 82:15, 85:14, |
| 101:18, 103:6, | $130: 9,137: 28$ | discommoded | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:11, 71:10, } \\ & 79: 28,80: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 10,119: 11, \\ & 119 \cdot 24 \text { 119.26 } \end{aligned}$ | 85:16, 85:18, |
| 133:19, 141:3, | 60:9, 134:25, | [1] - 70:16 | 81:11, 81:28, | 122:26, 123:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:20, 95:28, } \\ & 95: 29,106: 26, \end{aligned}$ |
| 175:11 | 139:12, 139:18, | 147:17 | 85:23, 89:13, | 134:13, 137:18, | 108:1, 111:14, |
| different [25] - | 146:2, 152:12, | discredited [1] - | 89:21, 96:5, 96:7, | 139:5, 139:19, | 112:19, 116:20, |
| 47:2, 48:16, | $168: 18$ | 147:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 25,98: 23, \\ & 110: 12.112: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:24, 140:26, } \\ & 145: 1.145: 6 . \end{aligned}$ | 122:13, 126:6, |
| 49:25, 65:21, | directions $[7]-$ 135:27, 135:28, | discretion [1] - | 116:3, 120:2, | 145:1, 145:6, 171:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 134: 28,135: 9 \\ & 136: 24,137: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 71: 2,71: 7,73: 23, \\ & 79: 9,84: 9,84: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:27, 135:28, } \\ & \text { 138:1, 139:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 151:22 } \\ & \text { discus } \end{aligned}$ | $124: 5,130: 10$ | documentation | 138:8, 140:12, |
| 85:2, 86:29, | 152:16, 152:22, | 23:2, 77:24, | 133:29, 135:2, | [18]-10:16, | 140:19, 156:10, |
| 96:10, 98:8, | 152:28 | 151:27, 168:5, | 135:12, 135:16, | 10:19, 10:27, | 157:2, 158:7, |
| 100:22, 101:10, | $\begin{gathered} \text { directive [7] - } \\ 20 \cdot 12 \quad 20 \cdot 22 \end{gathered}$ | 168:6, 168:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 136: 2,136: 5, \\ & 137: 5,137: 24, \end{aligned}$ | 11:2, 11:8, 11:11, 11:14, 11:17, | 168:9, 173:4 downstairs |
| 101:17, 116:22, <br> 117:27, 124:19 | $20: 28,21: 1,21: 3$ | $168: 8,172: 12$, $173: 10$ | 138:2, 138:14, | 11:26, 20:16, | $35: 21,54: 18$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:27, 124:19, } \\ & \text { 125:23, 133:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $22: 17,155: 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 173:10 } \\ & \text { discussed [9] - } \end{aligned}$ | 138:15, 145:10, | $34: 11,46: 5,47: 4,$ | dozens [1] - |
| 134:15, 140:26 | directly [15] - | 22:24, 22:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:2, 146:10, } \\ & \text { 148:29, 149:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 28,48: 7, \\ & 84: 20,160: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 119:4 |
| differently [3] 94:5, 98:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 11,62: 27, \\ & 64: 17,65: 2,67: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 23:4, 23:5, 33:16, | 149:14, 149:17, | 168:21 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dr [6]-172:10, } \\ \text { 172:16, 173:11, } \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94:5, 98:21, } \\ & 151: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $68: 9,68: 14,72: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 17,72: 17, \\ & 72: 26,175: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $152: 19,153: 1$ | documents [28] | $173: 12$ |
| difficulties [3] - | 82:5, 85:25, | discussing [3] - | 156:15, 157:18, | -6:21, 7:1, 11:22, | draw [3] - 61:11, |
| 75:6, 145:2, | 121:11, 140:4, | $25: 29,26: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:17 } \\ & \text { district/ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 2,13: 7,13: 13, \\ & 13: 22,14: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $69: 14,125: 20$ |
| 145:25 <br> difficulty [3] - | 162:11, 163:13, 167:15 | 169:21 | portfolio [1] - | 15:22, 15:23, | drew [2]-69:28, 174:16 |
| 66:20, 88:8, | DIRECTLY [2] - | 45:5, 93:15, | 134:20 | 23:28, 34:21, | driving [1] - 93:8 |
| 143:14 | 4:12, 154:7 | 97:18, 151:5, | division [17] - | 45:8, 50:24, | droned [1] - |
| $\operatorname{dig}[1]-14: 9$ | DIRECTLY- <br> EMAMMNED 21 | 151:8, 160:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 1,40: 13 \\ & 49: 12,49: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 16,55: 16, \\ & 57: 19,59: 22, \end{aligned}$ | 144:8 |
| dilemma [1] - | EXAMINED [2] - | 171:26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:12, 49:25, } \\ & \text { 111:22, 150:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:19, 59:22, } \\ & 61: 10,82: 12 \end{aligned}$ | drop [1] - 17:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 147:3 } \\ & \text { Dillane [43] - } \end{aligned}$ | 4:12, 154:7 directs [1] - | discussions [1] | 150:26, 151:6, | 82:13, 90:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dropped [1] - } \\ & \text { 16:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 26:4, 26:16, | 58:14 | disposal [1] - | 151:10, 155:2, | 94:2, 94:3, 115:9, | drug [1] - 96:13 |
| 27:22, 33:16, | disagree [3] - | 15:18 | 157:20, 157:29, | 115:10, 161:9 | Ds [1] - 30:9 |
| 34:9, 34:16, | 32:10, 75:19, | disprove [1] - | 158:2, 158:18, | documents' [1] - | DT [1] - 131:28 |
| $34: 19,34: 27$, $35: 3,35: 11,36: 4$ | 75:20 | 10:27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:1, 160:6, } \\ & 170 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | 90:24 <br> done [22] - | Dublin [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 3,35: 11,36: 4, \\ & 37: 1,39: 7,39: 15 \end{aligned}$ | disagreed [1] - 89:24 | dispute [1] - | divisional [9] - | $44: 15,57: 13$ | 173:9 <br> DUBLIN ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 39:21, 39:23, | disagrees [1] - | disseminate | 39:27, 40:15, | $58: 27,73: 23,$ | $3: 5,3: 10$ |
| 39:25, 40:7, | 5:26 | [1] - 51:16 | 40:16, 49:16, | 74:15, 76:19, | due [10] - 18:14, |
| 40:12, 40:24, | discharge [1] - | distinction [11] - | 50:2, 51:2, | 90:1, 91:21, 94:5, | 18:15, 37:3, |
| 41:1, 44:1, 44:22, | 137:4 | 46:10, 57:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:14, 152:18, } \\ & 155: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:24, 119:12, } \\ & \text { 121:5, 129:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $42: 24,65: 5$ |


| 80:1, 122:12, | 155:6, 155:20, | elaborate [3] - | 37:15, 51:26, | 149:25 | 128:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170:21 | 169:18, 169:24, | 95:18, 95:21, | 59:16, 77:21, | events [1] - | existence [1] - |
| Dunne [25] - | 170:29, 171:17 | 145:15 | 90:29, 135:18, | 149:2 | 44:13 |
| 74:18, 74:29, | dwell [1] - | electrocuted [1] | 136:4, 136:17, | evidence [39] - | existing [3] - |
| 75:15, 82:16, | 137:19 | - 160:14 | 136:26, 136:29, | 5:17, 5:27, 10:10, | 57:3, 59:2, |
| 83:11, 83:29, |  | elephant [1] - | 137:29, 139:7, | 10:22, 11:6, | 138:20 |
| 84:16, 88:20, | $E$ | 26:9 | $140: 5,152: 29$ | 14:10, 17:12, | $\operatorname{expect}[4]-$ |
| 89:18, 89:26, <br> 97:18, 97:20 |  | elevate [2] - | $167: 3$ | 17:24, 18:10, | $47: 6,47: 8$ |
| 97:18, $97: 20$, $98.3,106: 13$, | e-mail | 16:23, 20:29 | ensuring [2] - | $30: 16,31: 11$ | $135: 26,164: 18$ |
| 98:3, 106:13, 107:2, 108:2, | $36: 3,51: 13$ | em [1] - 100:15 <br> embrace [1] - | 134:7, 134:21 entailed [1] - | 31:20, 33:6, <br> 33:19, 34:26, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { expected [1] - } \\ & \text { 144:20 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 108:6, 109:23, | 51:19, 63:24, $96 \cdot 24$ 97.1 $97 \cdot 3$ | $140: 20$ | $21: 26$ | $39: 15,52: 20$ | expense [3] - |
| 110:22, 110:23, | 96:24, 97:1, 97:3, 97:7, 97:9, | emphatic [1] - | enthusiastic [3] | 53:4, 59:1, 59:14 | 175:1, 175:7, |
| 110:29, 129:28, | 172:24, 172:27 | 94:20 | - 143:14, 143:18 | 63:12, 64:8, 79:3, | 175:9 |
| 129:29, 130:18, | $172: 28,173: 4$ | employee [2] - | enthusiasticall | 79:5, 84:14, 93:1, | expenses [1] - |
| 131:2 <br> Dunne's [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e-mails [1] - } \\ & 47: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 24,80: 9 \\ & \text { encapsulated } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{y}[1]-140: 20 \\ \text { entire }[1]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 10,93: 13, \\ & 95: 10,111: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $174: 25$ <br> explain [8]- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 81: 22,82: 2, \\ & 83: 16,89: 22, \end{aligned}$ | early [3] - 55:5, | $\text { [1] }-59: 3$ | $158: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113: 6,131: 24 \\ & \text { 142:3, 150:17 } \end{aligned}$ | 67:24, 89:27, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:25 } \\ & \text { during [11] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94:8 } \\ & \text { earth [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12:9 } \\ \text { enc } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 8,38: 2,68: 18 \\ & 68: 26,73: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150: 22,151: 2, \\ & 160: 15,166: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:23, 106:17, } \\ & 107: 19,124: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:25, 13:13, } \\ & 52: 16,53: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 142:24 } \\ & \text { easiest [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 76:12 | 115:22 | 174:16 | explained [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 52: 16,53: 13, \\ & 82: 24,83: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $151: 15$ | encumbering <br> [1] - 28:19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { entirety [2] - } \\ \text { 20:4, 81:17 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exact [3]-15:15, } \\ & 18: 3,48: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 29:23, 92:4 <br> explaining [4] - |
| 83:26, 94:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { easy }[2]-5: 20, \\ & 154 \cdot \cdot \end{aligned}$ | encumbrance | entitled [8] - | exactly [9]- | 17:24, 46:1, |
| 112:7, 115:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:2 } \\ & \text { effect }[9] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { [2] }-28: 10,47: 17$ | $100: 11,101: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 1,48: 9,58: 20 \\ & 74: 22.74: 27 . \end{aligned}$ | $75: 11,97: 27$ |
| 116:13 duties [12] - | 21:27, 74:27, | END [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:8, 101:13 } \\ & 143: 18,143: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 22,74: 27, \\ & 92: 26,107: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { explains [1] - } \\ & \text { 28:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 38:17, 62:11, | 74:28, 78:9, 96:7, 111:7, 115:2, | $150: 6,153: 8$ | $143: 27,175: 11$ | $125: 29,126: 3$ | explanation [11] |
| 99:28, 99:29, 101:23, 126:10, | 128:11, 150:22 | end [12]-75:5, | entries [5] - | examination [1] | - 60:8, 66:22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:23, 126:10, } \\ & \text { 126:11, 126:12, } \end{aligned}$ | effected [1] - | 93:3, 93:4, 93:19, $94: 19 \quad 100 \cdot 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 16,54: 21 \\ & 119: 24,119: 2 \end{aligned}$ | - 54:2 <br> EXAMINATION | 67:9, 68:25, 72:5 |
| 136:16, 145:9, | 142:19 | 115:4, 116:12, | 126:7 | [4] - 132:21, | $92: 23,108: 25,$ |
| $146: 25,166: 26$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { effective }[8] \\ 28: 11,67: 13 \end{gathered}$ | 116:14, 128:26, | $\text { entry }[7]-54: 8$ | $147: 25,150: 6$ | 115:29, 118:20 |
| 9:21, 17:4, 40:13, | 134:20, 135:1, | 151:28, 167:23 endeavour [5] | $56: 1,56: 21$ | EXAMINED [8] - | 141:27 |
| 52:29, 59:10, | 135:18, 136:19, | 86:11, 86:13, | 59:18, 121:16 | 4:5, 4:6, 4:7, | exploring [1] - |
| 66:4, 92:29, 94:16, 106.9, | 155:20, 166:25 effectively [10] - | 86:28, 87:1, | envelope [1] - | $4: 12,5: 12,133: 1$ | $15: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 94: 16,106: 9 \\ & 106: 13,106: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $7: 18,28: 14,52: 8$ | 87:16 | 162:28 | 148:20, 154:7 | express [2]- |
| 107:7, 107:12, | 61:22, 98:4, | endeavouring | environment [1] | examined [1] - | $62: 19,97: 13$ |
| 107:13, 110:11, | 115:23, 135:23, |  | equate [1] | examiner [1] - | 173:12, 173:27 |
| 110:13, 110:18, | 149:19, 161:13, | 126:5, 166:3, | $99: 13$ | 54:11 | expressing [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 111: 28,112: 6, \\ & 113: 24,114: 2, \end{aligned}$ | efficient [1] - | $166: 10,166: 13$ | error [1] - | example [3] - | $61: 28,62: 20$ |
| 115:6, 115:7, | 136:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ends [2] - 26:18 } \\ & 118: 24 \end{aligned}$ | essential [1] | $151: 27$ | expression [1] - 10:17 |
| 116:3, 118:8, <br> 118:20, 119:10 | effort [1] - 44:7 <br> eight $[4]-8: 14$ | engagement [6] | 103:6 | except [2] - | extending [1] - |
| 119:14, 119:16, | $8: 16,8: 25,132: 3$ | - 43:20, 61:7, | establish [2] - | 75:16, 83:18 | 171:19 |
| 120:3, 121:22, | either [24] - | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 28,79: 13 \\ & 79: 14,152: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 26,33: 29 \\ & \text { et }[2]-67: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 89:20 | extensions [2] - 149:8, 149:13 |
| 121:26, 122:19, | 14:10, 48:6, | engine [1] | 91:24 | excluded [1] - | extensively [3] - |
| 123:18, 124:3, 124:14, 124:16, | 64:1, 67:21, | 17:16 | evaluation [1] - | 14:28 | 134:13, 136:9, |
| 124:17, 125:27, | $78: 15,78: 19$ | enquire [1] - | 133:27 | excuse [4] - | $136: 25$ |
| 125:28, 126:2, | $78: 23,80: 2$ | 13:25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { evening [2] - } \\ 40: 15,65: 25 \end{gathered}$ | $128: 19,140: 21$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { extent }[5] \text { - } \\ \text { 11:25, 14:19. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 130:3, 132:3, | 84:15, 94:10, | $78: 26$ | event [10] - 6:22, | exemption [1] - | 48:22, 96:19, |
| 137:1, 138:28, | 95:5, 98:3, 98:5, | enquiries [4] - | $16: 25,27: 16$ | 42:26 | $133: 10$ |
| 139:3, 139:4, | 102:9, 102:16, | 17:20, 19:23, | 58:9, 61:28, | exhibit [1] - | extra [24] - |
| 139:8, 140:5, | 102:17, 103:1, | 63:17, 92:21 | 72:29, 81:16, | 108:21 | 99:19, 101:11, |
| 145:16, 146:10, | 104:25, 104:26, <br> 111:10, 142:17 | ensure [15] - |  | existed [1] - | 107:12, 110:11, |


| 110:13, 110:29 | 116:10, 122:5 | 53:10 | festival ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 109:20, 109:22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112:6, 113:24, | 122:13, 123:3, | fatally [1] - | 149:26 | tzpatrick [11] - | 114:1, 120:24, |
| 115:6, 115:7, | 128:14, 129:1, | 55:22 | few [4]-23:28, | 63:2, 63:3, 63:4, | 122:9, 122:10, |
| 116:24, 119:10, | 131:20, 132:10, | fault [2]-15:9 | 127:2, 136:7, | 63:14, 63:18, | 122:11, 122:14, |
| 119:14, 119:16, | 143:10, 143:13, | favour [3] - | 172:13 | 63:26, 68:15, | 122:22, 122:23, |
| 120:2, 121:22, | 155:6, 156:24, | 43:15, 143:19 | ile [1] - 163:6 | 69:2, 109:17, | 124:3, 124:4, |
| 121:26, 122:19, | 158:13, 160:16, | favourable [2] - | files [1] - 15:26 | 109:22 | 124:6, 124:18, |
| 123:8, 123:18, | 162:18, 168:13, | 11:15, 11:18 | filled [2]-19:11, | five [3] - 101:3, | 124:23, 127:4 |
| 124:3, 125:28, | 168:15, 168:27, | fax [2]-12:9 | 30:2 | 112:14, 120:7 | 127:5, 128:27 |
| 126:23, 128:28 | 169:5, 174:7, | 12:23 | filling [1] - 40:29 | fix [1] - 147:4 | 139:9, 139:10, |
| extract [1] - | 174:11 | faxes [1]-12:9 | filtered [1] - | flies [1] - 36:11 | 139:13, 151:21, |
| 89:19 | facts [2]-58:4, | February [12] - | 58:15 | flowed [1] - | 161:13, 161:20 |
| extraordinary | 89:25 | 7:2, 7:3, 49:29, | final [2] | 146:22 | former [2] - |
| [5] - 13:20, 22:22, | failing [2] | 50:8, 61:9, 64:7, | 121:16, 138:23 | flows [1] - 102:4 | $148: 2,148: 8$ |
| 36:9, 43:8, 44:14 | 26:22, 30:1 | 64:16, 66:1, | finally [2] - | focusing [1] - | forms [2]-23:8, |
|  | failure [2] - | 155:7, 167:26, | 147:8, 149:21 | 10:3 | 128:20 |
| F | $68: 25,69: 1$ | 172:23 | financial [1] - | folder [3]-13:1, | forth [1] - 152:24 forward [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { face }[6]-24: 11, \\ & 36: 11,36: 25 \\ & 51: 8,84: 4 \\ & \text { face-to-face }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fair [17]-5:29, } \\ 8: 17,8: 26,9: 27, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { feed [2] - 129:9, } \\ & \text { 129:11 } \end{aligned}$ | $174: 19$ | 13:11, 13:15 | forward [2] - $42: 5,162: 29$ |
|  | 10:24, 12:13, | feeding [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { fine [10]-12 } \\ 38: 20,41: 3, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { follow [13] - } \\ & 55: 4,60: 6,90: 16, \end{aligned}$ | forwarded [4] - |
|  | 24:8, 38:17, | 170:24 | 77:10, 86:20, | 90:25, 99:20, | 42:7, 106:16, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 20,46: 12 \\ & 72: 27.81: 15 \end{aligned}$ | fellow [2]-35:8, | 97:19, 97:20, | 100:1, 103:18, | $130: 10,138: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:11 } \\ & \text { facilitate }[4]- \end{aligned}$ | 86:4, 86:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 7 \\ & \text { felt [5] - 38:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:27, 132:25 } \\ & \text { finish [3] - 8:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:19, 113:21, } \\ & \text { 152:11, 152:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 108:10 |
| facilitate [4] - 75:12, 165:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:3, 149:26 } \\ & \text { fairly }[5]-31: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 13,67: 23 \\ & 102: 20,172: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 87:14, 112:29 finished [4] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 152:21, 152:28 } \\ \text { followed [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fota [1] - 148:25 } \\ & \text { four }[13]-93: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| $165: 27,172: 1$ | 31:19, 52:25, | Fergal [1] - | 8:23, 46:23, | $6: 13$ | 98:5, 114:2, |
| fact [91] - 7:26, | $\begin{array}{r} 157: 13,166: 3 \\ \text { fairness }[3] \text { - } \end{array}$ | 54:12 | 90:18, 129:22 | following [10] - 14:4, 16.22, $60 \cdot 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:27, 118:29, } \\ & \text { 119:1, 120:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 8:8, 9:14, 9:24, | $\begin{gathered} \text { fairness [3] - } \\ 79: 10,140: 23, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fermoy [65] - } \\ \text { 25:7, 25:21, 28:4, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fintan [2] - 42:9, } \\ & \text { 148:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:4, 16:22, 60:7, } \\ & \text { 124:27, 136:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:1, 120:3, } \\ & \text { 125:26, 128:2, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9:29, 17:23, $18: 13,24: 14$, | $150: 18$ | 28:22, 28:25, | FINTAN ${ }_{[1]}-3: 1$ | 137:19, 137:22, | 159:22, 159:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:13, 24:14, } \\ & 31: 22,33: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\text { fall [1] }-24: 20$ | 29:8, 29:20, | fire [20] - 50:14, | 162:6, 162:10, | 160:22, 160:29 |
| $33 \cdot 17 \quad 34 \cdot 5$ | false [1] - 131:26 | 29:24, 30:5, | 50:17, 52:9, | 167:27 | four-week [2] - |
| $34: 12,35: 12$ | familiar [2] - | 30:18, 30:28, | 52:10, 52:17, | FOLLOWS ${ }_{\text {[7] }}$ - | 118:27, 128:2 |
| $35: 25,36: 20$ | 5:17, 161:21 | 31:9, 31:12, | $53: 9,53: 10,54: 1$ | 5:1, 5:13, 91:17, | frame [1]-71:26 |
| $37: 14,37: 24,$ | Fanning [3] - | 31:27, 32:17, | 54:12, 54:18, | 133:2, 148:21, | frankly [2] - |
| 37:25, 41:12, | 42:9, 148:9, | 32:20, 34:20, | 55:9, 55:12, | 150:12, 154:7 | 141:11, 141:13 |
| $43: 11,44: 6,45: 5,$ | 161:16 | 35:17, 35:20, | 55:21, 55:22, | follows [1] - | free [8]-101:1, |
| 47:11, 47:15, | ${ }_{3: 1}$ FANNING [1] | $36: 1,36: 24,37: 6$ | $56: 7,59: 25,$ | 112:13 | 101:25, 102:9, |
| 47:22, 48:19, | far [25] - 9:17, | 38:16, 38:23, | 141:29, 142:2, 143:5 | $\text { foot }[1]-147: 11$ | 105:16, 105:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48: 26,50: 1,51: 9 \\ & 52: 13.52: 29 . \end{aligned}$ | $10: 2,10: 7,10: 12$ | 39:24, 41:19, | first [26] - 32:4, | foothill [1] - | $153: 16$ |
| $53: 1,56$ | 10:26, 16:29, | 41:27, 42:28, | 42:1, 50:11 | 106:5 | FREEMAN ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 57:11, 60:13, | 22:6, 24:6, 24:17, | 42:29, 44:23, | 53:17, 54:23, | FOR [3] - 3:1, | 3:3 |
| 61:12, 61:17, | 24:22, 31:16, | 45:12, 46:17, | 64:28, 119:24, | 3:7, 91:16 | Friday [3] - |
| 61:29, 63:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 26,50: 25, \\ & 59: 15,61: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 8,51: 27 \\ & \text { 59:24, } 75: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 119:27, 120:3, | for.. [1] - 47:7 | 107:25, 162:4, |
| 63:27, 67:3, | 62:22, 89:28, | 76:2, 76:7, 76:10, | 127:29, 133:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { force [12] - } \\ & 91: 23,92: 2,92: 3, \end{aligned}$ | friday [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 67: 25,68: 24, \\ & 68: 29,69: 28 \end{aligned}$ | 90:7, 91:11, | 85:23, 96:5, 96:7, | 133:20, 135:15, | 92:5, 92:19, 93:5, | $112: 13$ |
| $74: 5,75: 21,$ | $108: 27,110: 22$ | $96: 29,108: 26$ | 136:14, 137:12, | 93:16, 93:20, | friend [2] - |
| $76: 19,77: 2$ | 110:25, 138:18, | 108:29, 109:1, | 157:13, 159:21, | 93:22, 93:26, | 64:27, 150:29 |
| 83:18, 86:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:3, 169:23 } \\ & \text { fatal [14]-50:14, } \end{aligned}$ | 110:12, 111:21, 111:24, 112:8, | 160:4, 166:6, | $94: 11,100: 7$ | friendly [1] - |
| 88:29, 89:8, 89:9, | $50: 17,52: 9$ | 118:24, 124:5, | 167:28, 167:29, $168: 20,169: 3,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { forgive [6] - } \\ \text { 29:4, 29:12 } \end{gathered}$ | friends [1]-13:6 |
| 89:10, 89:23, | $52: 10,54: 1,55: 9$ | 130:21, 130:23, | 168:20, 169:3, 173:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:4, 29:12, } \\ & 30: 13,76: 21, \end{aligned}$ | friends [1] - 13:6 fro [2] - 93:9, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:28, 90:25, } \\ & \text { 92:2. 92:10. } \end{aligned}$ | $56: 7,59: 24$ | 130:27, 133:13, | firstly [1] | 80:29, 88:18 | 143:16 |
| 92:17, 92:19, | 141:29, 143:5, | 137:12, 145:20, | $141: 18$ | form [32]-7:17, | front [5]-51:23, |
| 93:28, 110:29, | 145:27, 146:3, $146 \cdot 5$ | 146:2, 155:3, $155.7155: 12$ | Fitzgerald [6] - | 12:6, 15:29, | 85:15, 97:7, |
| 114:26, 115:18, | 146:5 | 155:7, 155:12, | 63:2, 96:12, | 16:25, 19:11, | 107:23, 108:21 |
| 115:21, 115:28, | fatality [1] - | 156:15 | 106:12, 109:14, | 22:19, 23:12, | frontline [1] - |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101:23, 101:25, | 163:13, 166:24, | important [2] - | individual [2] - | 135:24, 171:4 | 124:10, 125:9, |
| 101:26, 102:2, | 169:1, 169:2, | 26:29, 61:28 | 134:15, 135:22 | inquiry [1] - 8:13 | 128:15, 130:11, |
| 102:9, 104:24, | 173:1, 173:18, | impression [3] - | ineloquent [1] - | inserted [2] - | 131:14, 132:1, |
| 105:22, 105:25, | 173:20, 174:4, | 140:24, 166:14, | 105:20 | 46:7 | 142:8, 148:2, |
| 112:5, 112:7 | 174:13 | 166:16 | inform [4]-51:2, | insofar [2] - | 148:8, 149:12, |
| hours [95] - | Human [5] - | improving [1] - | 53:9, 72:15, | 48:2, 62:3 | 155:24, 156:1, |
| 50:21, 86:29, | 37:21, 41:10, | 134:8 | 160:5 | inspect [1] - | 156:12, 165:20 |
| 98:16, 98:17, | 158:23, 162:12, | inadvertently [1] | information [38] | 138:27 | inspectors [2] - |
| 98:18, 99:1, | 167:16 | - 69:13 | - 7:12, 15:17, | inspected [1] - | 112:8, 152:18 |
| 99:13, 99:14, | human [2] - | incident [13] - | 20:14, 20:29, | 139:9 | instance [13] - |
| 99:15, 99:17, | 32:29, 165:23 | 22:18, 23:3, 47:5, | 21:2, 21:6, 21:7, | inspector [118] - | 60:20, 63:12, |
| 99:27, 100:10, | hundred [4] - | 47:20, 50:14, | 21:22, 21:23, | 18:17, 18:18, | 64:6, 66:20, 67:7, |
| 100:11, 100:12, | 39:14, 39:19, | 50:17, 51:3, 52:5, | 22:3, 22:28, 23:2, | 19:6, 19:23, | 78:19, 126:8, |
| 100:14, 100:22, | 94:25, 117:12 | 56:15, 60:5, | 48:2, 51:11, | 19:29, 20:4, | 126:20, 128:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:24, 100:27, } \\ & \text { 101:1, 101:2. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $60: 10,135: 16$ | $52: 17,55: 8,$ | 20:11, 20:13, | 141:26, 157:14, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:1, 101:2, } \\ & \text { 101:3, 101:4, } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 136:4 } \\ & \text { incidents } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 27,56: 7, \\ & 56: 16,56: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 15,20: 16 \\ & 20: 21.20: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 159:21, 170:8 } \\ \text { instances [2] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| 101:7, 101:8, |  | 51:26, 56:19, | 56:26, 58:15, | 21:7, 21:10, | 65:1, 68:8 |
| 101:11, 101:13, |  | 57:26, 57:28, | 59:17, 60:16, | 22:17, 23:6, | instead [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:14, 101:17, } \\ & \text { 101:27, 102:3, } \end{aligned}$ | idea [3] - 40:2, | 57:29, 60:4, | 60:27, 61:3, | 23:10, 23:15, | $43: 16,44: 1$ |
| 102:4, 102:10, | 114:21, 165:13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 135:11, } 135: 13 \\ \text { incidents.. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 10,78: 20, \\ & \text { 84:29, 86:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:19, 23:21, } \\ & 23: 23, ~ 23: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { instruct [1] - } \\ & 110: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 102:14, 102:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ignored [1] - } \\ & 62: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 51:29 | 86:16, 88:9, | $41: 4,44: 17$ | INSTRUCTED |
| 102:21, 102:27, | 62:17 | included [4] - | 106:16, 107:28, | 44:18, 45:15, | [2] - 3:3, 3:8 |
| 102:29, 103:3, |  | 6:15, 9:14, 15:17, | 108:16, 134:25, | 45:22, 45:26, | instructed [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:15, 103:16, } \\ & \text { 103:24, 104:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 19:24, 20:7, | 144:4 | 136:1, 136:4 | $46: 4,46: 6,46: 18$ | $5: 24$ |
| 104:14, 104:20, | 156:28, 174:5 | including [5] - 95:16, 109:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { informed [15] - } \\ & 6: 25,18: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:24, 46:25, } \\ & 47: 3,47: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { instruction }[2] \text { - } \\ & 97: 13,122: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 105:15, 105:16, | imagine [1] - | 140:9, 141:28, | 34:29, 44:21, | $51: 28,52: 4,52: 7,$ | instructions [1] |
| 105:23, 105:26, | 152:6 | 143:1 | 46:15, 52:4, | $52: 15,52: 27$ | - 98:11 |
| 106:21, 106:24, |  | inclusive [1] - | 56:19, 77:13, | 53:5, 53:27, | insulting [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:6, 107:20, } \\ & \text { 110:29, 111:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $52: 1$ <br> immediately | 121:9 | 92:18, 162:10, | 54:11, 54:18, | 29:6 |
| 111:28, 112:14, | $-9: 3,51: 28,52: 4$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { inconsistent }[1] \\ -69: 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 163:1, 163:10, } \\ & \text { 164:3, 168:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 6,56: 2,56: 16 \\ & 56: 20,60: 27, \end{aligned}$ | intend [2] - |
| 112:16, 113:9, | 52:8, 57:26, | inconsistently | 168:26 | 71:8, 71:20, | intended [1] - |
| 113:11, 113:17, | 81:12, 157:16, | [1] - 69:21 | informing [2] - | $71: 29,72: 2$ | 79:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:23, 115:10, } \\ & 115: 13,115: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 164:12, 167:10 } \\ \text { impact [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | incorrect [1] - | $156: 1,166: 24$ | $72: 16,72: 18$ | intension [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:13, 115:14, } \\ & \text { 115:17, 115:27, } \end{aligned}$ |  | 10:18 | inhalation [1] - | 72:26, 73:10, | 119:5 |
| 116:3, 116:11, | impacted [1] - | incredibly [1] - | 54:20 | 73:16, 73:26, | intention [5] - |
| 116:24, 119:12, | 17:5 | \|35:27 | 122:16, 126:22, | $78: 19,78: 23$ | 70:4, 74:14, |
| 119:13, 119:22, | impartial [1] - | incurred [1] - | 128:26 | 79:13, 79:20, | 163:3 |
| 120:19, 123:26, | 38:18 | 113:12 | initials [4] - | $80: 2,80: 6,80: 14$ | interact [1] - |
| 126:23, 126:28, 127:4, 127:21, | impartiality ${ }^{[1]}$ - 74:5 | incurring [1] - | 117:4, 117:25, | 80:15, 80:21, | 145:22 |
| 128:12, 128:16, | impede [1] - | 125:28 indeed | 118:14, 118:18 initiated [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 22,80: 23, \\ & \text { 81:1, 81:3, 81:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { interaction [2]- } \\ & 32: 29,43: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:28, 129:4, } \\ & \text { 129:6, 130:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $38: 16$ | $6: 11,14: 6,31: 18$ | 170:28 | 81:15, 92:10, | interactions [2] |
| 131:15, 139:29, | n [5] - 135:2, | 157:10, 159:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { injured [1] - } \\ & 55: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:18, 92:21, } \\ & \text { 92:28, 93:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $-47: 26,48: 22$ |
| 140:7, 164:27, | 136:28, 137:14, | INDEX [1] - 4:1 indicat [1] - | 55:22 <br> injurious [3] - | 93:15, 94:10, | $\begin{gathered} \text { interest [2] - } \\ 58: 1,96: 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 165:1 } \\ & \text { house [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:19, 139:16 } \\ & \text { implemented [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $155: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 23,45: 11 \\ & 46: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 21,94: 28, \\ & 96: 4,102: 26, \end{aligned}$ | interested [1] - |
| $55: 21$ | $-136: 27,137: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { indicate [1] - } \\ & 138: 17 \end{aligned}$ | injury [4] - | 102:28, 103:10, | 144:2 <br> interpretation |
| HOUSE [1] - 3:4 | implication [1] - | indicated [4] | 169:18, 169:24, | 103:22, 110:10, | [1] - 140:24 |
| how.. [1]-25:19 | 29:29 | $16: 29,83: 4$ | $170: 29,171: 17$ | 110:28, 111:11, | interrupt [2] - |
| HQ [1] - 155:26 | implicit [1] - | 170:11, 172:10 | ink [7] - 109:24, | 112:28, 112:29, | 26:27, 95:23 |
| HRM [15] - | 141:27 | indicating [1] - | 112:15, 112:16, | 113:5, 113:8, | interrupted [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 34: 16,43: 24 \\ & 43: 25,162: 29 \end{aligned}$ | imply [1] - 77:28 import [2] - | 161:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:22, 117:3, } \\ & \text { 119:28, 121:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:10, 113:13, } \\ & \text { 116:4, 119:20, } \end{aligned}$ | 19:7 |


| - 87:14 | 23:26, 30:29, | 25:23, 47:19, | kavanagh [1] - | 16:4, 16:10, | 118:8, 138:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| intervene [1] - | 37:4, 41:29, 45:2, | 61:17, 135:23, | 138:24 | 16:14, 17:9, | 138:14, 148:26, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:22 } \\ & \text { intervened }[2] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 26,48: 22, \\ & 57: 8,61: 6,70: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:19 } \\ & \text { jobs [1] }-148: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { keep }[7]-27: 10 \text {, } \\ & 39: 27,40: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 17:21, 170:21 } \\ \text { latter [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:3, 149:5, } \\ & \text { 149:15, 175:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 14:29 | 74:10, 75:11, | JOHN [1] - 3:7 | 41:6, 97:26, | 102:12 | $175: 25$ |
| intervention [1] | 75:26, 91:23, | John [4] - | 101:22, 132:6 | law [1] - 123:5 | leaving [5] - |
| - 64:28 | 92:1, 92:2, 96:14, | 148:14, 148:15, | keeping [3] - | lawyers [1] - | 33:28, 73:28, |
| interview [1] - | 129:26, 130:2, | 163:9, 173:25 | 114:13, 114:15, | 11:21 | 97:29, 168:11, |
| 106:12 | 141:24, 142:1, | joint [1] - 119:22 | 116:7 | lead [3] - 116:5, | 172:7 |
| introduced [3] - | 142:14, 144:10, | jotting [1] - | Kehoe [15] - | 133:26, 157:17 | led [2]-83:13, |
| $124: 25,139: 15$ | 144:27, 147:8, 147:9, 156:14 | $54: 25$ | $6: 25,7: 4,7: 13$ | leader [1] - | $134: 22$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:4 } \\ & \text { introduction [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 147:9, 156:14, } \\ & \text { 157:7, 157:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { journal [9] - } \\ \text { 17:28, 17:29, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 18,7: 29,9: 20 \\ & 10: 15,12: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 136:15 } \\ & \text { leadership [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { left [11] - 62:16, } \\ \text { 66:3, 66:6, 68:4, } \end{array}$ |
| - 124:27, 138:19 | 157:21, 158:16, | 18:1, 18:3, 34:10, | 12:21, 12:26, | 134:14 | 89:9, 90:6, |
| investigate [12] | 159:10, 164:9, | 52:26, 53:16, | 13:8, 71:23, | least [16] - | 121:11, 166:14, |
| -6:26, 20:17, | 164:11, 164:18, | 54:21, 167:27 | 167:20, 167:23, | 12:17, 24:26, | 166:15, 169:16, |
| $20: 25,21: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 165:20, 165:22, } \\ & \text { 165:24, 165:28, } \end{aligned}$ | journey [1] - | 168:2 | 27:1, 33:11, | 169:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 155:25, 156:15, } \\ & \text { 158:2, 158:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 165: 24,165: 28, \\ & 169: 27,170: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165: 3 \\ \text { JPC } \end{gathered}$ | Kehoe's [1] - 14:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 21,50: 21, \\ & 54: 23,68: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { legal [2] - 5:6, } \\ & \text { 162:10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 160:6, 163:6, | 172:16, 174:15, | 119:21 | Kelleher [1] - | 103:21, 105:13, | legislation [2] - |
| $164: 7,167: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 174:23, 174:24, } \\ & 175: 19 \end{aligned}$ | July [40] - 28:23, | $83: 1$ | 131:2, 150:19, | $94: 13,149: 8$ |
| investigated [3] <br> - 158:29, 163:21, | issued [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 13,81: 18 \\ & 82: 17, ~ 82: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kept }[1]-15: 26 \\ & \text { kids }[3]-93: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 151:21, 151:25, } \\ & 154: 4,157: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { legitimate [1] - } \\ & \text { 143:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 168:19 | 28:12, 139:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 17,82: 25, \\ & 82: 26,82: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $160: 8,164: 9$ | leave [93] | less [1] - 90:18 |
| investigates [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { issues [23] - } \\ & \text { 12:12. 14:6. 14:7 } \end{aligned}$ | $83: 2,83: 6,84: 5,$ | Kiely [2] - $173: 11,173: 12$ | $43: 15,66: 3$ | letter [94]-6:13, |
| $-146: 3,157: 23$ <br> investigating | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:12, 14:6, 14:7, } \\ & \text { 26:12, 46:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 6,85: 13 \\ & 85: 20,86: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $173: 11,173: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:13, 70:21, } \\ & \text { 70:25, 71:2, 71:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 23,7: 26,8: 3, \\ & 8: 15,8: 25,9: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| - 7:19, 7:20, 9:21, | 95:8, 97:21, | 86:10, 86:15, | 155:9 | 71:9, 71:11, | 9:9, 9:23, 9:29, |
| 14:4, 146:5, | 109:3, 138:5, | 87:26, 87:27, | kilometres [2] - | 71:21, 71:27, | 10:14, 11:9, 14:1, |
| 161:28 | 138:8, 141:24, | 116:20, 116:29, | 38:13, 38:15 | 72:18, 73:1, | 15:5, 25:29, |
| investigation | 141:27, 143:5, | 117:18, 117:19, | Kilrush [1] - | 73:10, 73:11, | 26:14, 26:15, |
| [35] - 7:5, 7:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:28, 145:21, } \\ & \text { 146:28, 146:29, } \end{aligned}$ | 117:24, 118:13, | 133:16 | 73:18, 73:24, | 26:28, 26:29, |
| 9:25, 10:2, 12:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:28, 146:29, } \\ & \text { 147:2, 147:4, } \end{aligned}$ | 118:25, 120:11, | knowing [2] - | $74: 5,74: 11$ | 27:17, 27:22, |
| 13:13, 13:28, | 158:2, 168:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 120: 14,120: 15, \\ & 120: 17,120: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 116:4, 131:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 21,75: 7 \\ & 75: 13,75: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $39: 2,39: 3,39: 6,$ |
| 14:19, 21:6, | 168:20, 169:3 | $120: 25,123: 2,$ | 22:12, 22:15, | $75: 27,76: 3$ | 39:9, 39:16, |
| $35: 28,71: 22$ | it'll [1] - 164:21 <br> itemised [1] - | $124: 26,133: 13$ | $23: 12,131: 23$ | $76: 12,76: 13$ | $39: 20,40: 1,40: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 71: 28,73: 17, \\ & 73: 19.135: 13 . \end{aligned}$ | itemised [1] - 93:7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { jump [1] } \\ \text { 100•18 } \end{gathered}$ | known [7] - | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 25,76: 27, \\ & 77: 2,77: 3,77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 4,40: 5,40: 21 \\ & 40: 28,41: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 73: 19,135: 13 \\ & \text { 135:29, 157:17, } \end{aligned}$ | itself [1] - | 100:18 jumped [1] | 22:9, 22:21, 58:4, | 77:23, 77:27, | 41:13, 41:16, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:16, 163:4, } \\ & 163: 5,163: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 149:16 | 92:13 | 120:28, 154:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 28,78: 8, \\ & 78: 12,78: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 18,42: 12, \\ & 42: 16,42: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| 168:16, 168:17, | J | 104:7, 109:29 | L | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 18,78: 24, \\ & 79: 1,79: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 25,43: 3,43: 6, \\ & 43: 22,43: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| $169: 25,170: 2$ | Jack [1] - 174:2 | JUNE [2] - 5:2, |  | 79:21, 79:28, | $44: 4,44: 5,61: 10$ |
| 171:20, 172:3, | January [11] - |  | $33: 10,98: 12$ | 80:17, 80:23, | 62:9, 81:22, |
| 172:21 | $6: 10,6: 12,9: 3,$ | $35: 26,69: 28$ | ladder [1] - | 80:28, 81:2, 81:5, | 81:29, 82:2, 82:6, |
| investigations | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 10,9: 18,15: 5 \\ & \text { 167:26, 169:8, } \end{aligned}$ | just.. [1]-72:9 | 51:11 | 81:17, 81:18, | 82:16, 83:11, |
| [5] - 10:3, 46:23, | 170:19, 173:8, | justice [1] - | large [1] - | 81:26, 82:21, | 83:13, 83:19, |
| $144: 22,144: 23$ | 173:26 | 149:8 | 114:26 | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 25,83: 26, \\ & 84: 18,84: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $83: 23,84: 4,84: 5 \text {, }$ 87:20, 89:6. 90:2. |
| invite [1]-13:19 involved [6] - | Jeremiah [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { juxtaposition }[1] \\ & -69: 4 \end{aligned}$ | last [14] - 17:12, | 84:25, 87:18, | 91:28, 102:13, |
| 120:3, 121:23, | 131:12 |  | 65:28, 66:27, | 88:21, 88:29, | 104:6, 104:10, |
| $121: 26,150: 1$ | $\operatorname{Jim}[2]-63: 3,$ | K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:11, 90:4, 96:1, } \\ & 98: 13,98: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:10, 89:11, } \\ & \text { 89:13, 90:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:19, 106:26, } \\ & \text { 108:14, 146:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ireland [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:26 } \\ & \mathbf{J J}[3]-16: 5, \end{aligned}$ | Kavanagh | 118:11, 119:28, 124:6, 129:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 14,91: 6, \\ & 91: 23,92: 1,93: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:26, 152:7, } \\ & \text { 155:29, 156:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| issue [51] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 56:1, 66:8 } \\ & \text { job [8] - 21:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 22,156: 7, \\ & 163: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $155: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 19,93: 27, \\ & 94: 11,106: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157: 11,158: 5 \\ & \text { 158:22, 158:24, } \end{aligned}$ |


| 160:1, 160:22, | look [19]-10:8, | major [2] - | material [13] - | 141:9, 141:11, | meetings [17] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161:3, 161:8, | 39:4, 45:26, | 145:23, 165:26 | 48:16, 78:4 | 142:10, 143:24, | 25:13, 61:21, |
| 161:14, 161:20, | 53:17, 133:20, | Mallow [4] - | 79:12, 79:18, | 157:16, 175:19 | 65:11, 67:4, |
| 161:21, 161:23, | 136:6, 137:10, | 149:25, 155:15, | 79:19, 84:14, | means [1] - | 68:23, 68:25, |
| 162:19, 166:28 | 137:12, 138:4, | $166: 5,166: 7$ | 95:1, 102:24, | 112:11 | 68:28, 69:1, |
| letters [6] - 7:12, | 156:9, 157:1, | man [8]-21:22, | 103:20, 119:4, | meant [6] - | 69:25, 70:1, |
| $7: 16,84: 10$, $84: 16,103 \cdot 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 158:4, 158:6, } \\ & \text { 158:21. 159:13. } \end{aligned}$ | $31: 2,31: 10,33: 1$, $33: 5,36: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 158:21, 166:28, } \\ & 167 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 25,50: 7, \\ & \text { anch } \end{aligned}$ | 143:1, 144:12, 144:15, 144:16 |
| $84: 16,103: 7$, $151: 23$ | 158:21, 159:13, | $33: 5,36: 20$, $36 \cdot 25,80: 10$ | 167:12 | 90:26, 114:6, | 144:15, 144:16, |
| level [1]-21:1 <br> liable [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 165:22, 165:27, } \\ & 167: 8,171: 14 \end{aligned}$ looked [5] - 8: | 36:25, 80:10 <br> manage [3] - <br> 62.22, 133.26 | materially [1] - $47: 1$ | 122:26, 139:19 <br> media [2]-58:2, <br> 58:5 | 144:26 member [27] - |
| 131:24 | 108:11, 137:18, | 135:7 | 119:5, 158:4 | Medical [5] - | 97:22, 97:23, |
| Liam [1] - 83:1 | 159:7, 169:18 | managed [1] - | matter [19] | 166:20, 170:5, | 97:25, 97:26, |
| lie [1] - 135:18 | looking [27] - | 134:22 | 23:19, 41:24, | 170:17, 173:9 | 98:7, 98:8, 100:4, |
| lieu [19]-99:2, | 11:11, 15:28, | Management [6] | 56:27, 94:6, | medical [40] - | 100:8, 124:3, |
| 99:10, 99:12, | 31:11, 34:1, | - 37:21, 41:10, | 131:6, 132:6, | 24:15, 24:17, | 124:5, 124:14, |
| 99:23, 100:12, | 43:29, 66:21, | 134:14, 158:23, | 135:28, 143:16, | 24:19, 25:2, | 124:16, 124:17, |
| 100:24, 100:27, | 67:9, 72:18, 74:7, | 162:12, 167:16 | 156:15, 158:19, | 25:16, 25:29, | $127: 3,139: 4$ |
| 101:9, 101:27, $103: 3,103: 4$ | 77:26, 81:18, <br> 84:19, 89:1, $90: 8$ | management | 158:29, 159:4, | 26:9, 26:26, 28:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:7, 144:14 } \\ & \text { 158:27, 159:13 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:3, 103:4, } \\ & \text { 111:25, 111:28, } \end{aligned}$ | 104:5, 107:27, | $\begin{aligned} & {[15]-28: 11,45: 2} \\ & 45: 7,47: 17,51: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:4, 168:4, } \\ & \text { 168:5, 170:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $28: 16,29: 16$ | 170:21, 170:27, |
| 119:21, 120:13, | 111:16, 127:9, | 51:5, 51:6, 58:15, | 170:16, 170:24, | 32:16, 33:2, | 170:28, 170:29, |
| 122:4, 123:4, | 127:16, 139:25, | 61:18, 133:6, | 175:14 | 35:14, 35:29, | 171:1, 171:19 |
| 123:7, 123:8 | 141:23, 144:28, | 141:20, 147:10, | matters [17] - | 36:12, 36:17, | member's [1] - |
| lifting [1] - | 147:9, 166:7, | 147:21, 171:15 | 13:29, 14:1, | 36:25, 37:7, 38:2, | 139:3 |
| 135:21 | 172:27, 174:21, | manager [2] - | 45:16, 45:18, | 44:13, 45:2, 45:6, | members [12] - |
| light [1] - 17:16 | 174:24 | 36:15, 137:5 | 46:9, 46:22, | 45:7, 47:11, | 63:9, 79:15, |
| likely [4] - 58:1, | looks [5] - | managerial [2] - | 47:27, 48:8, | 47:16, 48:10, | 90:29, 96:8, 96:9, |
| 58:2, 72:1, 93:3 | 117:26, 118:17, | 146:13, 147:2 | 113:7, 133:9, | 48:17, 62:7, | 97:5, 98:5, 98:22, |
| Limerick [2] - | 118:28, 121:20, | mandates [1] - | 150:15, 150:16, | 145:2, 145:16, | 107:19, 133:6, |
| $54: 13,106: 11$ | 128:25 | 21:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:3, 167:18, } \\ & \text { 168:18. 171:22 } \end{aligned}$ | 146:11, 156:25 | $138: 27,153: 3$ |
| line [10]-18:24, | 168:9 | manner [3] - | $174: 19$ |  | $56: 17.58: 26$ |
| 33:18, 70:8, 70:9, $75: 6,136: 14$ | lost [4] - 129:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 18,70: 24, \\ & 136: 19 \end{aligned}$ | Mayfield [3] | 173:13 | $85: 25$ |
| 137:19, 147:5, | 129:11, 129:13 | March [12] - | 49:9, 49:12, | meet[5]-21:9, | memoire [4] - |
| 153:2, 153:3 | LUNCH [1] - | 15:4, 27:5, 27:12, | 49:29 | 25:13, 35:20, | 54:29, 139:15, |
| lines [1] - 68:19 | 91:16 | 27:14, 27:16, | MC [6] - 117:4, | 172:13 | 139:20 |
| link [1] - 157:5 | lunch [6]-84:8, $90: 6,90: 17,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 19,42: 9,49: 9 \\ & 49: 22,64: 9,68: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:8, } 117: 25, \\ & 118 \cdot 1 \text { 118.5 } \end{aligned}$ | $44: 25,48: 3$ | $8: 14,16: 6,16: 18$ |
| 157:10, 165:17list [3]-51:29, | 91:11, 91:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:22, 64:9, 68:1, } \\ & \text { 171:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $118: 15$ | 61:24, 64:9, | $49: 7,53: 14,86: 5$ |
|  | 131:19 | marks [3] - 26:5, | McCarthy [1] - | 64:12, 64:19, | 91:3, 97:10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:15, 141:24 } \\ & \text { listen [1] - 87:3 } \\ & \text { listened [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | lunchtime [2] - | 27:28, 28:14 | 150:1 | 64:20, 64:21, | 99:15 |
|  | 84:11, 131:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { marrinan [1] - } \\ & \text { 19:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { McGann [1] - } \\ & \text { 155:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 22,65: 3,65: 5, \\ & 65: 15,65: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mention [5] - } \\ \text { 69:26, } 79: 10 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | M | 19:5 | MCGARRY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 65:21, 65:24, | $113: 16,149: 21$ |
| litany [1] - 9:2 |  | $4: 12$ | 3:1 | 65:26, 66:5, | 149:22 |
| literally [1] - | mail [14]-36:3, | 153:11, 153:23, 153:26, 154:7, | ME [1] - 3:9 <br> mean [33]-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:23, 67:10, } \\ & \text { 67:20, 68:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mentioned [5] - } \\ & 127 \cdot 8 \quad 127 \cdot 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| live [2] - 129:9, | 51:13, 51:19, | 154:20, 161:6, | $11: 3,14: 14,17: 2$ | 68:14, 68:20, | 166:5, 171:10, |
| 129:11 | 63:24, 96:24, <br> 97:1, 97:3, 97:7, | 175:22 | 17:10, 24:25, | $69: 3,69: 26,$ | $173: 29$ |
| living [1] - 38:4 lo [1] - 77:7 | 97:9, 172:24, | Marrinan [15] - 19.9, | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 24,32: 9 \\ & 36: 28, ~ 43: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:29, 144:18, } \\ & \text { 145:20, 159:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mentioning }[1] \text { - } \\ & 37 \cdot 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| local [1] - 136:28 | 172:27, 172:28, | $45: 20,48: 27,$ | 51:21, 53:7, | 159:27, 159:29, | merit [1] - |
|  | 173:4 | 98:28, 138:5, | 61:26, 62:3, | 160:12, 160:16, | 106:20 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { locate }[3] \text { - } \\ \text { 12:23, 12:24, } \end{gathered}$ | majeure [11] - | 148:24, 149:24, | $62: 18,64: 10$ | 162:23, 163:24, | message [5] - |
| 13:23 | 91:23, 92:2, 92:3, | 153:10, 157:19, | 78:27, 80:29, | 163:25, 166:1, 166:10, 166:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:7, 66:16, 68:4 } \\ & \text { 144:18, 144:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| lodged [1] - | $92: 5,92: 19,93: 5$ | 163:19, 169:16, $175: 2,175: 21$ | 95:23, 122:7, | 169:9, 169:13, | met [10] - 18:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { logging [1] - } \\ & \text { - } 16: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 16,93: 20, \\ & 93: 23,93: 27, \end{aligned}$ | Martin [1] - | 124:2, 124:11, | 171:9, 171:12, | 18:19, 21:19, |
|  | $94: 11$ | 96:27 | 140:25, 141:8, | 171:29, 172:5 | 100:13, 156:2, |



| 116:8, 121:4, | 3:2, 148:1, 148:5, | 78:23, 79:13, | 113:5, 113:13, | 117:14, 138:14, | 91:21, 91:22, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127:15, 158:14, | 148:8, 148:12 | 79:21, 80:3, 80:6, | 140:26, 165:4, | 151:23, 152:19, | 92:5, 93:22, 96:9, |
| 163:13, 163:17, | O'Brien [3] - | 80:14, 80:16, | 165:19 | 162:5, 162:10, | $97: 22,99: 8$ |
| 167:27, 169:13, | 148:1, 148:3, | 80:21, 80:22, | obviousness [1] | 162:26, 163:7 | 99:26, 100:24, |
| 173:6, 174:7 | 148:4 | 80:23, 81:1, 81:4, | - 29:6 | Officer [4] - | 100:28, 101:1, |
| noted [5] - 85:2, | o'Brien [1] - | 81:13, 81:16, | occasion [6] - | 166:20, 170:5, | 101:2, 101:4, |
| 171:15, 171:25, | 148:7 | 92:11, 92:18, | 16:3, 23:21, | 170:17, 173:9 | 101:22, 101:23, |
| 172:10, 174:11 | o'clock [1] - | 92:22, 92:28, | 51:22, 67:25, | officer [20] - | 101:25, 104:24, |
| notes [7] - | 52:29 | 93:2, 93:15, | 69:22, 93:27 | 26:20, 35:26, | 104:25, 104:26, |
| 13:12, 84:28, | O'HIGGINS [19] | 94:10, 94:21, | occasions [3] - | 41:7, 59:23, | 104:29, 105:1, |
| 129:20, 132:5, | -4:6, 133:2, | 94:28, 102:26, | 7:2, 65:13, 69:23 | 62:11, 71:10, | 105:10, 105:11, |
| 171:11, 171:13, | 133:4, 141:6, | 102:28, 103:10, | occupant [1] - | 73:24, 120:2, | 105:26, 113:1, |
| 172:20 | 141:14, 141:18, | 103:22, 110:11, | 55:21 | 136:2, 136:5, | 118:4, 119:6, |
| nothing [13] - | 141:23, 141:26, | 110:28, 111:11, | occupational [2] | 138:15, 145:10, | 121:7, 127:12, |
| 8:15, 8:24, 14:17, | 142:5, 142:14, | 112:28, 113:1, | - 159:8, 159:9 | 146:10, 155:2, | 129:29, 130:4, |
| 17:25, 24:7, 29:7, | 142:27, 143:3, | 113:6, 113:8, | Occupational | 156:14, 157:22, | 132:14, 139:7, |
| 32:27, 70:13, | 143:5, 143:7, | 113:10, 113:13, | [1] - 159:14 | 157:27, 158:16, | 141:26, 142:27, |
| 149:16, 155:16, | 143:10, 143:29, | 116:4, 120:4, | occur [3]-47:6, | 159:16 | 144:1, 144:3, |
| 169:23, 171:5, | 144:10, 144:12, | 122:9, 124:10, | 51:29, 145:21 | Officer's [1] - | 144:28, 148:17, |
| 171:17 | 147:23 | 125:9, 128:15, | occurred [3] - | 170:6 | 148:24, 149:7, |
| notice [6]-16:1, | o'Higgins [1] - | 130:11, 131:14, | 113:9, 113:12, | official [3] - | 149:21, 149:29, |
| 16:26, 58:5, | 143:17 | 132:2, 142:9, | 141:29 | 154:21, 169:24, | 151:25, 151:29, |
| 151:16, 156:21, | O'Higgins [9] - | 148:2, 148:8, | occurrence [1] - | 171:18 | 157:22, 157:27, |
| 157:6 | 132:27, 133:5, | $155: 24,156: 2,$ <br> 156:12, 165:20 | $50: 19$ | Oghuvbu [2] - | 164:27, 164:28, 167:28. 168:29. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { notified [2] - } \\ 51: 27.92: 11 \end{gathered}$ | 134:4, 134:17 | 156:12, 165:20 object [3]-6:4 | occurring [3] - | 172:10, 172:16 | 167:28, 168:29, 172:7 |
| 51:27, 92:11 notify [2] - 63:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140:26, 141:2, } \\ & \text { 142:23, 144:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $32: 9,68: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 26,60: 5, \\ & 135: 11 \end{aligned}$ | old [1] - 140:2 <br> older [2]-58:13, | one-hour [4] - |
| 64:3 | 147:27 | objected [1] - | occurs [1] - 52:5 | $59: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 26,101: 4, \\ & \text { 101:22. 101:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| noting [1] - | O'SULLIVAN ${ }^{[1]}$ | 144:4 | October [12] - | $\mathbf{O N}_{[1]}-5: 1$ | 101:22, 101:23 |
| 114:16 | $-3: 1$ | objection [6] <br> 14.24 37.26 | 126:6, 126:18, | once [14] - | one-hours [2] - 101:1, 101:2 |
| November [5] - $29 \cdot 23,30 \cdot 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O'Sullivan [115] } \\ & -18 \cdot 18 \quad 18 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:24, 37:26, } \\ & 38: 21,41: 11, \end{aligned}$ | 127:27, 128:6, | $35: 11,52: 21$ $52: 23,58: 4 .$ | 101:1, 101:2 one.. [1]-64: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29: 23,30: 7 \\ & 30: 28,154: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -18: 18,18: 19, \\ & 19: 6.19: 23 . \end{aligned}$ | $42: 21,162: 13$ | 161:18, 162:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 23,58: 4 \\ & 65: 20,84: 28 \end{aligned}$ | ones [1] - |
| 155:10 | 19:29, 20:5, | obligation [10] - | 166:19, 166:23, | 86:6, 101:6, | 105:10 |
| number [34] - | 20:11, 20:13, | 57:4, 60:21, | 169:17 | 111:6, 127:24, | ongoing [9]- |
| 8:28, 12:7, 14:16, | 20:15, 20:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:1, 111:7, } \\ & \text { 114:10. 152:11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{O F}_{[4]}-132: 21,$ | 152:3, 163:2, | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 28,71: 22, \\ & 71: 0 \text { 72:17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $36: 16,45: 20$, $52.15,57: 17$ | 20:21, 20:27, | $\begin{aligned} & 114: 10,152: 11, \\ & 152: 15,152: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $147: 25,150: 6$ | 175:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 28,73: 17, \\ & 73: 19,144: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 52: 15,57: 17, \\ & 57: 20,85: 1,85: \end{aligned}$ | $22: 17,23: 7$ | $157: 25,163: 21$ | 153:8 | one [105] - 7:14, 7:15, 7:16, 10:6, | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 19,144: 22, \\ & 146: 28,146: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| 86:16, 88:23, | 23:11, 23:16, | obligations [2] - | offence [2] - | 10:18, 12:12, | 147:2 |
| 99:1, 99:13, | 23:19, 23:21, $23 \cdot 23 \quad 23 \cdot 25$ | $\text { 111:9, } 123: 9$ | $55: 25,114: 6$ | 13:22, 15:28, | open [9]-7:14, <br> $24: 4,26 \cdot 2,50 \cdot 23$ |
| 102:16, 108:20, | $41: 4,44: 18$ | $5: 8,8: 2,59: 15$ | offer [4] - | 16:11, 23:10 | $64: 26,82: 3$ |
| 109:2, 113:17, | $45: 15,45: 22,$ | 64:27, 104:25, | $174: 15,175: 19$ | 31:8, 31:23, | 128:23, 148:25, |
| 114:5, 114:23, | 45:26, 46:4, 46:6, | 104:28, 105:1, | offered [3] - | 31:28, 36:16, | $163: 4$ |
| 114:26, 115:26, | 46:18, 46:24, | 105:3, 105:4, | 170:28, 175:2, | 39:19, 42:17, | opened [12] - <br> $7 \cdot 15,7 \cdot 16,26 \cdot 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:27, 138:9, } \\ & 139: 7.142: 29 \end{aligned}$ | 46:25, 47:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:10, 105:11, } \\ & 146: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 175:4 | $44: 19,51: 14$ $53: 4,53: 17 .$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 15,7: 16,26: 1 \\ & 50: 25,55: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 147:12, 164:29, | $52: 4,52: 8,52: 16$ | observations [1] | offering [2] 165:4, 165:26 | $54: 23,55: 5,63: 9$ | $55: 17,56: 6$ |
| 168:20, 169:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 27,53: 5 \\ & 53: 27,54: 11 \end{aligned}$ | - 172:28 | office [29] - | 64:2, 64:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 11,89: 5 \\ & 89: 19,93: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { numbering [1] - } \\ & \text { 85:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $54: 18,55: 6,56: 3$ | 14:14, 143:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 23,15: 27, \\ & 35 \cdot 21 \\ & \hline 20 \cdot 27, \end{aligned}$ | 69:19, 70:8, 71:2, | 129:27 |
| numbers [1] - | $56: 16,56: 20$ | $145: 14,145: 18$ | $40: 10,40: 16$ | $71: 3,72: 23$ | operating [1] - |
| 57:23 | 60:27, 71:8, | obviously [17] - | $40: 17,40: 25$ | 75:13, 75:17, | 165:1 |
| numerous [2] - | 71:21, 71:29, | 11:10, 20:2, | $59: 27,60: 4,60: 6$ | $76: 15,76: 17$ | operation [1] - |
| 96:6, 96:8 | 72:2, 72:17, | 21:24, 28:28, | $60: 15,61: 2$ | 79:7, 80:18, | 123:29 |
|  | 72:19, 72:26, | 29:15, 43:22, | $79: 28,80: 24,$ | 83:14, 83:18, | operational [5] - |
| 0 | $73: 10,73: 16$, $73: 26,76: 15$, | $54: 1,55: 11$ 86:19. 87:21 | 81:11, 85:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 3,88: 24, \\ & 89: 20,90: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:21, 134:24, } \\ & \text { 135:3, 136:15, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $78: 5,78: 20$ | 90:14, 93:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 23,86: 23, \\ & \text { 112:20, 112:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $90: 10,90: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:3, 136:15, } \\ & 136: 16 \end{aligned}$ |



| $\begin{aligned} & 87: 16,88: 2, \\ & 88: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { possibility [3] - } \\ & 72: 6,113: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $74: 1$ <br> preference [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:15 } \\ & \text { proceed [4] - } \end{aligned}$ | public [1] - 58:1 <br> publicity [1] - | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```pieces [1] - 56:7 pilot [2] -``` | 141:19 possible [7] - | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 12,31: 24, \\ & 33: 11,33: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 19,42: 29, \\ & 70: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $58: 2$ Pulse [7] - | quality [1] - |
| $\begin{gathered} 140: 15,140: 17 \\ \text { pique }[1]-79: 22 \\ \text { place }[6]-38: 12, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 26,64: 19 \\ & 80: 15,93: 24 \\ & 94: 8,95: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 3 \\ & \text { prepare }[1] \text { - } \\ & 56: 25 \end{aligned}$ | ```proceeding [1] - 19:5 process [3] -``` | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 11,55: 12, \\ & 55: 14,56: 21, \\ & 59: 18,60: 29, \end{aligned}$ | 134:7 <br> QUAY [1] - 3:4 query [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48: 9,48: 11, \\ & 74: 18,159: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 128:9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { prepared [4] - } \\ 36: 29,56: 27, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:20, 124:19, } \\ & 124: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 142:7 <br> punctilious | $131: 28,144: 7$ <br> querying [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 160:17 } \\ & \text { placed [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 28,6: 14,35: 18 \\ & 40: 20,93: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 78:27, 95:1 } \\ & \text { preplanned }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { processes [1] - } \\ & \text { 134:22 } \end{aligned}$ | 142:21 <br> PURCELL [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:5, 143:12 } \\ & \text { questioned }[1]- \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:17, 47:24 } \\ & \text { plan }[3]-44: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { post }[5]-15: 10, \\ & 45: 28,80: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $17: 25$ <br> prepopulated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { procured [1] - } \\ & \text { 167:19 } \end{aligned}$ | 3:8 purely [1] - | 68:22 <br> QUESTIONED |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:11, } 136: 28 \\ & \text { plans [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81:8, 81:9 } \\ & \text { postdating [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-122: 13} \\ & \text { presence }{ }_{[1]}- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { produced [4] - } \\ & 41: 9,43: 23 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | 161:8 <br> Purpose [1] - | $[2]-4: 8,150: 11$ <br> questioning [3] |
| $\begin{gathered} 134: 24,135: 2 \\ \text { platform [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:19 } \\ & \text { postmortem }[2] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:8 } \\ & \text { presented }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:12, 48:10 } \\ & \text { professional } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:26 } \\ & \text { purpose }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5: 16,68: 19, \\ & 70: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:5 } \\ & \text { pleases [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -53: 14,55: 24 \\ \text { potential }[8]- \end{array}$ | 147:2 <br> preserve [1] - | $-134: 8$ <br> progress [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 7,136: 12, \\ & 171: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { questions [7] - } \\ & \text { 14:25, 24:5, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $15: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 27,8: 9,8: 12 \\ & 8: 28,9: 24,10: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $54: 2$ | $135: 1$ | purposes [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 20,148: 5 \\ & \text { 148:10, 153:11, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 54:19 | 51:3, 52:10 | $55: 24$ | 174:4 | pursuant ${ }^{[2]}$ - | $153: 13$ <br> quibble [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM" [1] - 54:3 } \\ & \text { point [23] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { practical [4] - } \\ 144: 14,145: 25, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { presumably [2] - } \\ & 56: 18,68: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prompt [1] - } \\ & 136: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44:7, 149:7 } \\ & \text { pursued [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quibble [1] - } \\ & 128: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11:26, 19:17, | 146:15, 164:15 | presume [4] - | pronounced [1] | 69:29 | quibbling [1] 87:1 |
| $33: 10,35: 25 \text {, }$ | practice [10] 57:7 57:13 59 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:7, 128:23, } \\ & \text { 149:6. 162:28 } \end{aligned}$ | $-55: 22$ | push [1] - | 87:1 <br> Quilter [5] - |
| 47:22, 62:24, | 60:25, 94:14 | pretty [1] - 39:24 | $137: 1,152: 29$ | pushing [3] - | 50:27, 148:14, |
| 67:11, 69:9, 70:3, | 130:8, 130:16, $130: 17,130: 19$ | previous[11] - | properly [1] - | 76:22, 147:4, | 148:15, 158:8, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 73: 29,79: 9 \\ & 88: 28,92: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:17, 130:19, } \\ & 130: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 13,25: 10, \\ & 28: 2,48: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $14: 7$ | $166: 11$ | 172:24 <br> QUILTER [1] - |
| 98:12, 100:3, | practitioner [1] - | 64:16, 68:6, | 7:14, 11:21 | 9:27, 12:8, 13:6, |  |
| 110:27, 131:3, <br> 146.26, 159:15 | 145:3 | 69:23, 93:27, | proposed [1] - | $16: 28,26: 4,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { quilter [6] - } \\ 56: 13,58: 14, \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:26, 159:15, } \\ & \text { 164:20, 165:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pre [3]-57:3, } \\ 59: 2,122: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 114: 2,115: 14, \\ & 118: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $96: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:25, 27:27, } \\ & 31: 19,32: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 13,58: 14, \\ & 58: 26,60: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| pointed [3] - | pre-existing ${ }_{[2]}$ | previously [9] - | 68:18, 69:20, | 33:9, 42:17, | 60:24, 148:26 |
| 30:2, 164:14, | - 57:3, 59:2 | 42:17, 46:6, 73:9, | 92:8, 92:14 | 45:20, 45:27, | quilter's [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 172:5 } \\ & \text { points [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pre-titled [1] - } \\ & \text { 122:14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 23,82: 8 \\ & 89: 19,108: 1 \end{aligned}$ | prosecution [1] $-131: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 8,48: 7,48: 8 \\ & \text { 48:11, 49:3, 49:7, } \end{aligned}$ | 60:1 |
| 138:9 | preamble [1] - | $120: 27,138: 18$ | protected [3] - | 57:11, 61:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 2,31: 10 \\ & 31: 14,31: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| policing [6] 119:23, 133:28 | 106:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { primary [1] - } \\ & 13: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 14:3, 147:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:15, 81:8, } \\ & \text { 81:15, 83:17 } \end{aligned}$ | 31:14, 31:24, 32:4, 32:13, |
| 135:12, 136:28, | preceding [1] 37:22 | principally [1] - | 151:11 prove [1] - 10:19 | 91:4, 92:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 12,33: 17, \\ & 33: 21.34: 3.36: 7 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 153:1, 166:26 policy [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { precise [2] - } \\ & 88: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150:19 } \\ & \text { principle [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { provide }[7] \text { - } \\ 83: 2,83: 29,88: 3, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:11, 98:11, } \\ & \text { 103:20, 116:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 21,34: 3,36: 7, \\ & 43: 15,44: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| 20:17, 90:26, <br> 90:28, 91.2, 91.5 | preclude [2] - | $100: 11$ | $95: 19,162: 27,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:7, 129:26, } \\ & \text { 133:22, 140:12 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 10,82: 28, \\ & 84: 16.84: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 90: 28,91: 2,91: 5 \\ \text { poorly [1] }-11: 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:1, 47:13 } \\ & \text { precluded [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prints [2] - } \\ & \text { 109:24 } \end{aligned}$ | 173:21 provided [7] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:22, 140:12, } \\ & \text { 140:22, 150:17, } \end{aligned}$ <br> 151:21, 174:21 | 85:12, 86:7, <br> 86:11, 86:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { portfolio [1] - } \\ & \text { 136:26 } \end{aligned}$ | $24: 15$ <br> precludes [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { priority [1] - } \\ & \text { 134:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $5: 9,79: 11,84: 22$ $88: 5.134: 9 .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 151:21, 174:21 } \\ & \text { puts [1] }-60: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 11,86: 13, \\ & 86: 17,86: 28, \end{aligned}$ |
| portion [2] - | $37: 7$ | problem [16] - | $136: 5,145: 3$ | putting [13]- | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 2,87: 3,88: 19, \\ & 130: 7,131: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 53:24, 133:21 } \\ \text { position [10] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { precluding [2] - } \\ & 32: 16,62: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 23,49: 1,77: 1 \\ & 84: 26,85: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { providing }[1] \text { - } \\ & 15: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:20, 28:13, } \\ & 29: 5,44: 12,69: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:7, 131:12, } \\ & \text { 131:13, 132:9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5:19, 11:27, | predated [2] - | 86:26, 87:10, | provision [1] - | 73:19, 74:17, | 132:10 <br> Quinn's [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:18, 30:2, 67:7, } \\ & \text { 142:11, 143:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $122: 10,122: 11$ <br> predates [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 11,88: 10 \\ & 88: 12,90: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $152: 29$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 23,122: 13, \\ & \text { 123:27, 128:8, } \end{aligned}$ | Quinn's [1] - 85:27 |
| 142:11, 143:25, 144:9, | $122: 14$ | 94:23, 114:27, | $42: 26,136: 26$ | 129:2, 139:5 | quite [9]-14:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 170:8 } \\ & \text { possession }[2] \text { - } \\ & \text { 10:19, 15:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prefer [1] - } \\ & 154: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:14, 144:16, } \\ & 163: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $167: 3$ <br> précis [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { puzzled [1] - } \\ & \text { 109:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 14,17: 23, \\ & 50: 25,76: 21, \\ & 79: 12,81: 1,81: 4 \end{aligned}$ |


| 99:20 | 53:19, 53:29, | recommend [1] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quiz [2] - 49:7, | 79:27, 162:22 | - 157:27 | 69:22, 82:24, |  |  |
| 86:5 | ready [1] $-5: 4$ | recommendati | 94:5, 161:9 | 132:4, 132:6, | $155: 9$ |
| quotation [3] - | reality [1] - 32:8 | on [1] - 138:13 | regarded [1] - | 135:27, 139:12, | reply [3] - 69:8, |
| 26:5, 27:28, | really [19]-10:1, | record [7] - 5:9, | 28:10 | 143:12, 144:12, | 106:22, 107:25 |
| 28:13 | 11:23, 29:4, | 6:20, 16:6, 29:7, | regarding [3] - | 144:21, 144:23, | report [42] - |
| quotations [1] - | 31:26, 32:3, | 64:21, 69:10, | 83:25, 130:3, | 145:25, 151:7, | 21:17, 38:11, |
| 26:26 | 32:27, 45:18, | 114:16 | 145:1 | 151:8, 151:19, | 51:1, 55:21, |
| quote [1] - 46:13 | 60:18, 73:29, | recorded [2] - | regardless [2] - | 152:26, 160:18, | 56:25, 56:28, |
|  | 94:18, 95:18, | 76:20, 92:15 | 53:1, 89:10 | 162:3, 168:13, | 56:29, 58:11, |
| R | 110:19, 110:21, | records [1] - | regimes [1] - | 169:23, 171:17, | 58:29, 59:11, |
|  | 124:8, 136:4, | 112:26 | 144:5 | 172:19, 174:19, | 59:15, 60:3, 60:6, |
| radio [1]-66:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:17, 153:2, } \\ & 168: 5,168: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { redacted [2] } \\ & 42: 2,53: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region [1] - } \\ & \text { 158:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 174:27, } 175: 18 \\ \text { relative [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 24,61: 1 \\ & 67: 16,74: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| raise [4]-36:29, | reason [5] - | refer [10]-7:26, | Regional [1] - | 38:13, 38:14 | $75: 1,75: 9,75: 16$ |
| $44: 3,87: 6$, $170: 16$ | 38:22, 71:20, | 8:4, 8:10, 41:24, | 55:23 | relatives [1] - | 82:24, 83:4, 83:9, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 170:16 } \\ & \text { raised }[7]- \end{aligned}$ | $72: 18,173: 10$ | 51:10, 51:22, | regional [8] - | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 3 \\ & \text { relayed [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 83:29, 88:14, |
| 35:11, 37:26, | reasonable [3] 87:9, 87:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:19, 107:10, } \\ & \text { 161:27, 167:11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 5,51: 6,58: 15, \\ & 58: 19,60: 4,60: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { relayed [1] - } \\ & 56: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $92: 16,106: 8$ |
| 41:11, 41:29, | 172:11 | reference [21] - | $60: 15,61: 2$ | relevance [1] - | 107:13, 108:4, |
| 130:2, 172:16, | reasonably [1] - | 8:9, 9:23, 22:18, | registrar ${ }_{[1]}$ | 142:27 | 108:8, 141:29, |
| 175:21 | 133:11 | 50:29, 51:9, | 8:2 | relevant [6] - | 142:12, 142:18, |
| raising [1] - | reasons [2] - | 56:14, 58:10, | regularly [2] - | 69:11, 69:16, | 157:1, 158:25, |
| 36:18 | rebuke [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 28,59: 4, \\ & 59: 24,65: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 41:2, 166:25 | 141:18, 143:16 | $167: 9,173: 11$ |
| rang [8]-21:14, | 70:18 | 82:23, 87:29, | $15: 29,16: 1$ | relied [4]-63:1, | reported [6] - |
| 44:28, 52:23, | receipt [3] - | 97:9, 106:8, | 16:26, 22:7, | 63:4, 68:14, | 19:12, 56:9, 57:9, |
| 53:9, 53:13, 66:5, | 10:14, 56:15, | $115: 10,122: 27$ | $22: 19,23: 7$ | 137:29 | 57:27, 60:4, |
| 66:7, 68:3 | 83:23 | 123:2, 123:3, | $23: 12,23: 17$ | relief [2]-11:19, | 155:19 |
| range [1] - 143:5 <br> rank [6] - 94:16, | receive [1] - | $158: 27,167: 6$ | 156:20, 156:21, | 11:20 | reporting [8] - <br> 50:13, 50:16 |
| 114:6, 137:28, | 86:18 | referenced [3] - | 157:5, 158:13, | relies [1] - 137:8 | 50:13, 50:16, |
| 154:26, 157:23, | received [12] - | 5:16, 131:28, | 158:28, 170:25 | relying [3] - | $6: 15,58: 8 \text {, }$ |
| 158:17 | 104:7, 110:1 | references [2] - | 20:6, 161:29 | remaining [1] - | 158:25 |
| rankled [1] - | 111:19, 112:20, | 9:13, 126:7 | reiteration [1] - | 120:7 | reports [3] - |
| 151:4 | 120:22, 120:24, | referencing [1] - | 138:21 | remains [1] - | 84:16, 88:23, |
| ranks [1] - | 155:29, 162:7, | 83:16 | relate [2] - | 73:19 | 139:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:12 } \\ & \text { rape }[2]-61: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $164: 5,172: 23$ receiving [2] | referred [12] - <br> $6 \cdot 15,9.23,10$. | 116:19, 120:14 <br> related [14] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { remember [16] - } \\ & 7: 29.12: 25 . \end{aligned}$ | representation [1] - 67:20 |
| 63:29 | 86:17, 86:18 | 19:17, 36:25, | 18:15, 18:28, | $15: 14,26: 6,57: 5,$ | represented ${ }^{[1]}$ |
| Rathcormac [1] | recipient [1] - | 57:3, 59:1, 60:24, | 19:16, 20:18, | 59:12, 61:13, | - 67:14 |
| - 96:28 | 146:26 | 75:8, 85:11, | 20:25, 21:29, | 63:2, 63:7, 91:7, | request [16] - |
| rather [5] - | recognise [1] - | 108:14, 149:26 | 103:27, 155:21, | 96:27, 127:19, | 34:27, 41:9, |
| 53:18, 58:16, | 117:6 | referring [6] - | $155: 25,157: 2$ | 129:27, 155:14, | 41:14, 69:2, |
| 79:11, 94:13, | recognised [2] - | $64: 26,95: 4$ | 159:10, 163:21, | 155:17, 169:21 | 75:27, 77:1, 77:5, |
| 122:14 | 102:14, 111:8 | 105:29, 113:23, | $165: 15,173: 14$ | remembered ${ }_{[1]}$ | 81:23, 98:18, |
| rationale [1] - | recognition [1] - | 116:25, 128:22 | relates [4] - | - 97:4 | 102:6, 106:4, |
| 73:9 | 103:1 | refers [4] - | 70:23, 103:28, | reminds [1] - | 108:16, 123:3, |
| rd [1] - 82:25 | recollect [3] | 52:28, 87:26, | 119:26, 126:5 | 127:6 | 131:14, 158:17, |
| re [3]-41:21, | $86: 3,86: 17,$ | $121: 25,156: 24$ | relating [2] - | remit [2] - 134:3, | 158:29 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 104:14, 111:24 } \\ \text { reaction [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:18 } \\ & \text { recollection [11] } \end{aligned}$ | ```reflect [1] - 86:1 refreshes [1] -``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { 45:16, 46:22 } \\ \text { relation [46] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 151:29 } \\ & \text { render [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requested }[4] \text { - } \\ & 55: 25,108: 9 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 141:11 } \\ & \operatorname{read}_{[11]}-5: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -39: 23,65: 4 \\ & 71: 12,131: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 97:10 | $7: 5,12: 22,13: 21$ | 166:25 | 159:16, 169:27 require [6] - |
| 74:3, 85:10, 97:9, | 131:15, 132:14, | 170:29 | 14:11, 23:26, | 14:15 | 60:8, 107:12, |
| 107:6, 110:4, | 159:22, 159:25, | refusing [1] | 31:1, 42:1, 45:21, | repeating [2] - | 135:26, 145:10, |
| 110:7, 112:23, | $159: 28,160: 1$ | $152: 27$ | $46: 9,62: 29,64: 8$ | $11: 6,88: 17$ | $162: 13,163: 17$ |
| 131:16, 157:5, | 161:6 | $\operatorname{reg}[1]-151: 16$ | 68:11, 68:21, | rephrase [1] - | required [8] - |
| $160: 11$ <br> reading [4] - | recommence [1] | regard [8] - | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 22,69: 2,91: 5, \\ & 94: 29.99: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $24: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 4,94: 24, \\ & 107: 25,135: 24 \end{aligned}$ |


| 142:12, 144:15, | 118:8, 118:9 | 125:26, 126:1, | 125:13, 125:15, | 85:3, 87:5, 124:6, | segue [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| requirement ${ }_{[4]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { restart }{ }_{[1]} \text {. } \\ & 0: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 126:2, 126:8, | 125:16, 125:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:26, 157:2, } \\ & 159: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 69:19 |
| 77:20, 136:1, | restating [1] | $126: 12,128: 10$ | 170:28 | secondly $[3$ | $44: 2,84: 20$ |
| 142:17, 142:18 | 56:26 | 128:12, 128:22 | sanctioned [11] | 139:1, 160:7, | 163:1 |
| requirement | esult [2] - 54:3, | 129:26, 130:3 | - 103:10, 106:9 | 166 | sending |
| -114:11 | 54:1 | 130:23, 130:27 | 106:13, 107:13 | secretary $[1$ | 27:21, 35 |
| uires [2] | SUME | 130:28, 131:15 | 110:24, 112:26 | 171:12 | 35:12, 36:5, 61:1, |
| 20:28, 137:5 | 91:16 | 132:2, 132:5 | 124:10, 125:10 | secretary's | 65:10, 157:26 |
| quiring ${ }_{[2]}$ | retained [3] | ROBERT [1] | 129:4, 129:6, | 171 | 159:6 |
| 60:23, 141:28 | 14:20, 15:21 | 3:8 | 170: | Security | sends [1] - |
| researches [1] | 15:2 | [11]-47:3 | sanctioni | 57:27, 58:4 | 58:26 |
| 131:1 | retired [10] - | 124:11, 134:3 | -113:8, 120:2, | 58:16, 58:22 | nior [5] |
| resides | 75:6, 97:5, 130:2, | 136:8, 136:2 | 127:16, 128:2 | security [1] | 36:15, 41:6, 51:2, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 14 \\ & \text { residing }[2] \text { - } \\ & 38: 15,42: 1 \\ & \text { resolved }[3]- \\ & \text { 164:11, 165:24, } \\ & \text { 174:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:5, 131:12, } \\ & \text { 148:15, 153:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:4, 145:24, } \\ & \text { 145:29, 149:18, } \\ & 152: 3,152: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mid \text { sandwiched }_{[1]} \\ -108: 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:28 } \\ & \text { see }[71]-17: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 133:6, } 147: 10 \\ \text { sense }[7]- \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 148: 15,153: 23, \\ & 154: 23,154: 26, \\ & 155: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | satisfied [1] | 25:25, 28:3, | 23:15, 28:7, 32:5, |
|  |  | 145:29, 149:18, 152:3, 152:4 roles [3] - | 116: | 34:10, 42:1 | 32:13, 33:5, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 155:10 } \\ & \text { retirement [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | 133:11, 133:20, | satisfy [1] | $42: 14,42: 15,$ | $76: 28,142: 28$ |
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| 130:27, 131:5, | 168:12, 174:20 | station [20]- | strategies [1] - | sufficient [2] - | 84:12, 85:20, |
| 136:7, 141:15, | stakeholders [1] | 16:12, 17:15, | 134:24 | 84:17, 142:19 | 85:23, 86:5, |
| 144:7, 144:9, | - 134:26 | 25:13, 29:10, | Street [4] - | suggest [21] - | 87:24, 93:28, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:1, 156:6, } \\ & \text { 160:14, 160:24 } \end{aligned}$ | stamp [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 20,33: 6, \\ & 35: 20,35: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 49:16, 49:20, | 13:19, 28:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 12,100: 3 \\ & 108: 9,108: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 160:14, 160:24 } \\ \text { sort }[3]-84: 28, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 12,85: 19 \\ & 85: 23,112: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 20,35: 21, \\ & 36: 22,38: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $49: 21,50: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:13, 29:22, } \\ & 31: 19,32: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:9, 108:22, } \\ & \text { 108:27, 108:29, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 139:24, 149:10 } \\ \text { sorted [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | stamped [7] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:28, 49:22, } \\ & 50: 3,81: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $3: 10$ | $32: 28,35: 27,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:24, 115:8, } \\ & \text { 119:2, 122:12, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 129:17 | 86:23, 104:6, | 81:27, 164:24, | $18: 15,18: 28$ | $48: 19,48: 25$ | 124:1, 126:18 |
| sought [7] - | 104:7, 109:29, | 164:26, 172:7, | 19:16, 20:18, | $50: 14,50: 26$ | 128:19, 129:1, |
| 73:11, 74:12, 81:17, 103:8, | 111:19 | $175: 13$ | 20:25, 21:29, | 51:3, 59:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 132:19, 132:24, } \\ & \text { 133:17, 133:24, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81:17, 103:8, } \\ & \text { 149:9, 149:10, } \end{aligned}$ | standalone [2] - | Station [25] - 25:7, 25:21, 28:5, | $155: 21,155: 25$ | 60:12, 91:27, $97 \cdot 29.115 \cdot 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:17, 133:24, } \\ & \text { 133:26, 134:16, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 162:2 | $142: 1,142: 14$ | $29: 24,30: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 157:2, 159:10, } \\ & 163: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 29,115: 21, \\ & 121: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:26, 134:16, } \\ & \text { 135:17, 135:21, } \end{aligned}$ |
| sound [1] - | 99:6, 108:8, | 35:17, 36:1, 37:6, | strictly [2] - 9:5, | suggested [3] - | 135:23, 136:27, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:24 } \\ & \text { source [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:20, 161:13, } \\ & \text { 161:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 12,41: 22, \\ & 41: 27,44: 24, \end{aligned}$ | 167:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 26,150: 22, \\ & 151: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137: 3,137: 4 \\ & \text { 137:8, 139:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $15: 23,20: 6$ <br> sourcing | standing [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 13,46: 18, \\ & 66: 7,75: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { strong } \\ \text { 132:26 } \end{gathered}$ | suggesting [8] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:21, 143:25, } \\ & \text { 144:27, 146:6, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5:7 | $60: 25$ | 76:10, 106:10, | uff [1] - 80 | 8:7, 47:1, 47:22, | 146:27, 147:3, |
| Southern [1] - | start [3]-62:10, | 107:4, 118:24 | $14: 12,94: 6$ | $74: 2,88: 18$ | 147:6, 147:8, |
| 158:6 <br> speaking [3] - | $130: 22,170: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:7, 145:24, } \\ & 155: 3,172: 2 \end{aligned}$ | subject-matter | 160:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:11, 148:23, } \\ & \text { 148:28, 149:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 24:25, 50:6, | $28: 22,50: 19$ | stationed [4] - |  | $13: 20,82: 4$ | 149:4, 149:12, |
| $134: 2$ | 54:18, 154:1, | $28: 25,35: 18$ <br> 98.22, 155:14 | 58:11, 74:29, | 151:10 | 149:13, 149:15, |
| speaks [1]-84:5 specific [7] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:3 } \\ & \text { starting } \end{aligned}$ | stations | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 9,124: 6, \\ & 162: 5,162: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { suggests }[1]- \\ & 166: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 150:26, 152:8, |
| 69:26, 75:17, | 71:6 | $30: 25,31: 5,$ | submits [1] | suit [2]-164:11, | 152:29, 154:10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 91:1, 118:23, } \\ & \text { 140:25, 141:23, } \end{aligned}$ | starts [1] - | 96:10 | 58:29 | 174:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:17, 154:26, } \\ & \text { 157:17, 157:22, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 144: 16 \\ & \text { specifically }[3]- \\ & 42: 22,98: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { state }[3]-70: 2, \\ 131: 25,131: 29 \\ \text { statement }[50]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:24 } \\ & \text { stay }[2]-78: 29, \\ & 153: 16 \end{aligned}$ | submitted [11] - $\begin{aligned} & 56: 29,59: 16, \\ & 60: 6,80: 24,81: 7, \\ & 127: 1,127: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:14, 154:19 } \\ & \text { summarised }[1] \\ & -55: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 157:28, 158:1, } \\ & \text { 158:17, 158:18, } \\ & \text { 159:1, 160:28, } \end{aligned}$ |



| 142:25, 148:24, | 157:11, 160:3, | undertaking [4] | unnecessary [1] | V | 159:26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:29, 161:9, } \\ & \text { 161:21, 166:8, } \end{aligned}$ | 164:27, 164:28 | - 162:5, 162:24, | - 143:8 |  | ways [2]-48:29, |
| 168:21, 171:7 | 99:24, 112:7 | unexpected [1] - | 143:8 | validity [1] - | Wednesday [2] - |
| Tribunal's [1] - 14.28 | two-hours [1] - | 17:13 | unreasonable | 26:22 various [11] - | 54:4, 86:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:28 } \\ & \text { trick }[3]-27: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 101:3 <br> Twomey [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unfair [9]-27:7, } \\ & 62: 5,62: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-142: 20} \\ & \text { unreasonably } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { various }[11]- \\ 6: 15,14: 20,56: 7, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WEDNESDAY } \\ & {[1]-5: 1} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 71: 5,72: 8 \\ & \text { tried }[1]-160: 2 \\ & \text { true }[3]-131: 22, \end{aligned}$ | 96:11, 97:24 | 68:18, 68:26, | [1]-28:19 | 74:12, 74:16, | week [26]-22:8, |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { type }[7]-27: 24, \\ 36: 19,104: 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 10,69: 13, \\ & 69: 18,70: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unsure [1] - } \\ & \text { 132:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:25, 116:22, } \\ & \text { 120:13, 127:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $22: 9,22: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:26, 132:7 } \\ & \text { truly }[1]-129: 26 \\ & \text { try }[7]-12: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 19,104: 20 \\ & 108: 8,110: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 69:18, 70 <br> unfairly | $132$ | 143:12, 175:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 21,23: 5, \\ & 23: 13,53: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:7, 164:26 } \\ & \text { typed [1] - 27:26 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 142:29 } \\ & \text { unfairness }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | 175:27 <br> untrue [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vein [2] - 17:10, } \\ & 60: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 12,65: 20 \\ & 65: 23,66: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| 48:29, 50:10, | types [3]- | 70:3, 70:12, | 10:18 | venue [1] - | 93:18, 115:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80: 14,87: 16 \\ & 95: 14,100: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 104:4, 123:18, | 141:21 | unusual [4] - | 151:25 | 115:9, 115:14, |
| $\begin{gathered} 95: 14,100: 23 \\ \text { trying }[15]- \end{gathered}$ | 161:21 | unfit [1] - 166:25 | 22:29, 77:18, | verbally [2] - | 116:9, 116:12, |
|  | typographical | unfortunately | 149:1, 149:16 | 114:22, 115:16 version [2] - | 116:13, 116:14, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11: 24,27: 17 \\ & 37: 11,37: 14 \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 112:10 | $[1]-132: 3$ <br> unhappiness | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unusual.. [1] - } \\ & 77: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { version [2] - } \\ 57: 23,139: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:27, 127:8, } \\ & \text { 128:2, 144:19, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 43: 11,43: 28, \\ & 46: 1,49: 6,71: 5, \\ & 72: 8,89: 14 \end{aligned}$ | U | - 61:29 | unworkable [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { versus [1] - } \\ & \text { 123:8 } \end{aligned}$ | 162:6 weekend |
|  |  | 143:26 | up [53] - 6:13, | via [1] - 40:6 <br> view [8]-10:15, | 149:28 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 96: 27,100: 1 \\ & 164: 4,165: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 135:17 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { unit [75] - 30:3, } \\ 30: 5,30: 6,30: 9, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:29, 13:13, } \\ & \text { 16:5, 18:2, 20:27, } \end{aligned}$ | 11:27, 38:20, | $66: 5,68: 13$ |
| Tuesday [2] - | unable [3] - | 30:11, 30:14, | 22:23, 23:16, | 38:22, 38:26, | weeks [5] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:15, 96:3 } \\ & \text { turn }[7]-12: 29, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 5,145: 16, \\ & 156: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 30:18, 30:21, | 23:28, 27:3, 27:7, | $38: 28,146: 26$, $173 \cdot 27$ | 17:12, 93:18, |
|  | unavailable [1] - | 30:24, 30:27, | $27: 8,27: 10$ | 173:27 <br> vital [1] - 135:29 | 114:2, 119:1 weight [1] - |
| 61:21, 68:2, | $41: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 2,31: 4,31: 8 \\ & 31: 9,31: 13,32: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 25,42: 23 \\ & 45: 1,45: 8,45: \end{aligned}$ | vital [1] - 135:29 <br> Volume [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { weight [1] - } \\ & \text { 131:5 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 111: 13,126: 3 \\ \text { 137:1, 144:21 } \\ \text { turned }[3]-16: 5, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unbelievably [1] } \\ & -73: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 4,67: 14, \\ & 67: 15,75: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51:11, 55:4, 60:6, } \\ & \text { 61:21, 61:27. } \end{aligned}$ | 137:13 | welcomed [2] 140:10, 141:10 |
| 67:16, 67:20 | unclear [2] | 76:2, 76:6, 76:11, | 66:21, 66:24, | W | welfare [3] - |
| 127:26 | under [30] - | 78:28, 81:28, | 67:21, 68:2, |  | Western [1] - |
| twenty | 20:17, 21:28 | 86:9, 86:14, | 85:17, 90:16, | $\begin{gathered} \text { wait }[3]-48: 11, \\ 129 \cdot 18 \quad 129.21, \end{gathered}$ | 55:23 |
| something [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 8,29: 11 \\ & 38: 21,38: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 87:13, 88:27, | $93: 3,93: 4,93: 19$ | waiting [1] - | whatsoever [3] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:26 } \\ & \text { two [49]-7:2, } \end{aligned}$ | 57:5, 98:19, | 89:2, 89:8, 89:25, 90:7, 90:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 9,100: 22, \\ & 106: 2,110: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $14: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 18,43: 20, \\ & 52: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17:9, 17:12, | $98: 29,100: 11$ $104: 12.105: 21$ | $90: 13,90: 26$ | 118:29, 133:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wall [2] - 16:17, } \\ & 17: 3 \end{aligned}$ | Whelton [1] - |
| 31:28, 32:3, | 104:12, 105:21, <br> 106:1, 111:9, | 94:29, 95:3, 95:5, | 138:9, 144:21, | Wall [8] - 16:5, | 54:12 |
| 44:20, 46:10, |  | 95:19, 96:4, 96:9, | 147:5, 156:5, | 16:19, 17:14, | whereas [1] - |
| 55:4, 61:18, | 118:20, 123:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 11,96: 13, \\ & 96 \cdot 15 \quad 97 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | 159:8, 161:12, | $56: 2,66: 8,66: 10,$ | $46: 6$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:17, 62:20, } \\ & \text { 65:28, 66:2, } \end{aligned}$ | 130:8, 134:5, | 96:15, 97:15, $97: 16,98: 3,98: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161:22, 163:17, } \\ & \text { 166:29, 167:9, } \end{aligned}$ | 96:15 | whereby [5] - <br> 56:18, 58:14 |
| $67: 21,68: 8 \text {, }$ | 134:13, 136:10, | 98:6, 118:23, | 169:12, 169:27, | wants [5] - | $63: 19,95: 2$ |
| $77: 24,78: 28$ | 136:11, 138:7, | 140:4, 140:6, | 173:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 29,32: 1, \\ & 88: 22,102: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 152:6 |
| 84:6, 84:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 140: 2,156: 20 \\ & 158: 13,158: 28 \end{aligned}$ | 140:7, 140:8, | update [1] - | 88:22, 102:15, $154: 15$ | whichever [2] - |
| 85:16, 87:26, | $\begin{aligned} & 158: 13,158: 28, \\ & 168: 17,169: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:20, 144:25, } \\ & \text { 145:18, 146:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $53: 14$ | Ward [6] - 54:12, | $102: 18,102: 19$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 90: 5,90: 10, \\ & 93: 18,96: 9 \end{aligned}$ | undermined [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 145:18, 146:2, } \\ & \text { 146:17, 146:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { updated [1] - } \\ & \text { 112:26 } \end{aligned}$ | 96:15, 104:16, | white [1]-71:1 |
| 96:23, 97:5, | $-26: 20$ | 146:22, 152:11, | updating [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 106: 17,107: 8 \\ & 109: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 81:28, 153:2, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 99: 24,100: 22, \\ & 101: 3,104: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { underneath [4] - } \\ \text { 109:24, 109:27, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 152:12, 164:28 } \\ & \text { units }[5]-65: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:2 } \\ & \text { upfront }[1] \end{aligned}$ | warrants [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 153:3 } \\ & \text { wife [5] - 93:5, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 104:24, 105:29, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:22, 114:7 } \\ & \text { understandab\| } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 20,115: 25, \\ & 140: 1,140: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 27:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:9, } 149: 13 \\ & \text { WAS [5] - } 5: 12, \end{aligned}$ | 93:20, 94:23, |
| 113:1, 119:7, | $\mathbf{e}[1]-115: 22$ | universally [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { upset [1] - } \\ & 173: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 133:1, 148:20, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 160:8, 164:8 } \\ \text { William [1] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| 123:17, 123:18, | understood [5] - | $140: 10$ | upstairs [1] - | 150:11, 154:6 washing [1] - | 154:21 |
| 126:29, 133:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 26,29: 28, \\ & 70: 14,75: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { unless [3] - } \\ 31 \cdot 29 \quad 154 \cdot 19 \end{gathered}$ | 35:22 | 81:5 | wish [18] - 12:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:25, 140:3, } \\ & \text { 141:14, 155:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $143: 21$ | 31:29, 154:12, 161:3 | user [1] - 139:24 | Watergrasshill [3] - 97:1, 159:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:6, 14:16 } \\ & 42: 26,88: 10 \end{aligned}$ |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { worry [1] - 78:7 } \\ \text { wrap [1] - 138:9 } \\ \text { wrap-up [1]- } \\ \text { 138:9 } \\ \text { write [6] - 43:3, } \\ 62: 6,81: 29, \\ 125: 1,125: 2, \\ \text { 142:22 } \\ \text { writes [1] - } \\ \text { 85:24 } \\ \text { writing [8] - } \\ 60: 23,102: 13, \\ 103: 14,106: 6, \\ 11: 8,117: 10, \\ 117: 29,118: 4 \\ \text { written [20] - } \\ 42: 18,54: 24, \\ 78: 5,86: 7,89: 18, \\ 108: 1,111: 15, \\ 112: 22,117: 4, \\ 117: 26,118: 11, \\ 118: 18,121: 8, \\ 12: 26,126: 1, \\ 134: 24,157: 3, \\ 161: 3,162: 2, \\ 162: 5 \\ \text { wrongdoing }[1] \\ -6: 6 \\ \text { wrongs }[2]- \\ 24: 13,146: 29 \\ \text { wrote }[21]- \\ 17: 27,17: 29, \\ 18: 1,33: 13, \\ 40: 21,42: 23, \\ 42: 24,42: 25, \\ 59: 21,89: 12, \\ 90: 1,93: 28, \\ 125: 17,144: 29, \\ 159: 22,159: 23, \\ 160: 1,160: 22, \\ 160: 29,161: 4, \\ 171: 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:29, 18:21, } \\ & \text { 24:5, 24:21, } \\ & \text { 25:18, 26:2, } \\ & \text { 27:13, 29:22, } \\ & \text { 30:17, 31:18, } \\ & 31: 20,33: 15, \\ & 33: 19,45: 19, \\ & \text { 46:4, 47:2, 48:27, } \\ & \text { 56:27, 57:1, 57:6, } \\ & \text { 59:1, 61:9, 75:21, } \\ & 76: 29,96: 22, \\ & 97: 4,98: 27, \\ & 99: 21,99: 23, \\ & 102: 23,126: 26, \\ & 148: 25 \\ & \text { yesterday's }[1]- \\ & \text { 10:23 } \\ & \text { yourself }[16]- \\ & 8: 10,10: 1,27: 24, \\ & 48: 23,51: 19, \\ & 63: 24,92: 18, \\ & 98: 1,102: 26, \\ & 102: 27,111: 10, \\ & 125: 9,126: 15, \\ & 131: 1,147: 1, \\ & 161: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


[^0]:    "A sergeant must brief, detail and inspect all menbers reporting for duty."

