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2022:

CHA RMAN Thanks, Mr. Costelloe, good morning, and good morning, chief superintendent.

## MR. GERARD DI LLANE CONTI NUED TO BE CROSS- EXAM NED BY

 MR. COSTELLOE, AS FOLLOVS:1 Q.
MR. COSTELLOE: Good morning, Chairman. Good morning, chief superintendent?
A. Morning, Mr. Costelloe.

2 Q. Yesterday, when we were finishing a little after four, we were dealing with the fact that Inspector o'Sullivan went to speak to Dr. Kiely pertaining to the medical certificate that Mr. Barry had submitted, you recall that that's where we finished the day yesterday?
A. That's correct.

3 Q. okay. And in your statement you had already acknowledged the fact that there was an inherent inconsistency in the statement about dates, and that's fine, we don't have to go back over that again, and then I asked you about the fact that your statement at page 361 seemed to refer to Inspector o'Sullivan going to Dr. Kiely anyway, if you will, or that he or
somebody else other than you, had directed him to go there, but you recognise that is not in fact what you meant or at least that that would be an incorrect reading of the situation; in fact, he was going there
at your direction?
A. That's correct.

4 Q. All right. So, if I have the timeline correct then, you have receipt of the medical certificate, you have a discussion with Inspector O'Sullivan, you tell Inspector 0 'Sullivan to do what you tell him to do you've discussed that yesterday and I won't ask you to go back over it again unnecessarily - and we have established, I think, that Inspector O'Sullivan went to Dr. Kiely the following day, being the 5th April 2013 ?
A. That's correct.

5 Q. okay. In the meantime, at 4.55 pm , you wrote an e-mail, it was opened yesterday, and in that e-mail you asked the chief medical officer to clarify what was going on by way of making a phone call to Dr. Kiely, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

6 Q. Okay. And you specifically requested the CMO to make contact with Dr. Kiely, that being done at 4.55 on the 4th April 2013, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

7 Q. Okay. Why is it that you didn't wait for the CMO to come back to you before asking Inspector O'Sullivan to go to see Dr. Kiely?
A. Well, I sent the e-mail at five to four or five to five 11:03 or five o'clock, I wasn't sure, number one, when I'd get a reply from that and, number two, I wasn't sure when Inspector O'Sullivan was going to get in to see the doctor the following morning. So I was kind of --

I was just making sure -- this was the urgency I put on it, and they were the actions I took.

We11, we know they were the actions you took, but what I am wondering is: If it was escalated to the point where you wanted the chief medical officer to contact Mr. Barry's doctor and you did that almost immediately, that was done at five to five, why didn't you wait to see what was the outcome of that call before deciding to send an inspector to Dr. Kiely's surgery?
A. Because I didn't. That was my reaction at the time. I 11:04 wanted -- I needed to get this sorted ASAP.

9 Q. Okay. Could I bring you to page 361 of your statement please. Mr. Kavanagh, it's on, sorry, I beg your pardon, Mr. Kavanagh. Do you have it there in front of you, chief superintendent? You see it there in front of you?
A. I have something in front of me but I'm not sure -361, I hope that's the right page. Yeah, it is. Now if we look for the -- yes, the last two sentences on the screen as you currently see it are the sentences that we dealt with yesterday, that you concede, in fact, would give an incorrect impression if read in the abstract. Inspector O'Sullivan was going to Dr. Kiely's surgery to get the date of issue clarified. We've already dealt with that, yeah?
A. That's correct.

11 Q. Okay. Mr. Kavanagh, would you scroll down about six lines for me, please. Okay, stop there. The sentence beginning "When Dr. Ki el y would not talk to I nspector

O Sullivan, I then requested the CMD to cont act Dr. Ki el y". Now, 1et's deal with that for a moment. That is a sequencing of events, correct?
A. That's correct.

12 Q. That's time ordered, correct?
A. Correct.

13 Q. That is you setting out in your statement that it was communicated to you by Inspector o'sullivan that Dr. Kiely would not speak to him and you then contact the CMO to contact Dr. Kiely?
A. That's correct.

14 Q. Is that all correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is that wrong?
A. It is wrong and this was in 2021, I had a number of
documents in front of me, probably about a thousand, at home, trying to put the timeline together in my head and I got the timeline of this mixed up and that's my explanation for it.
16 Q. Yes. You make an assertion, whatever about the timeline being wrong, you make an assertion in that sentence that Dr. Kiely would not talk to Inspector O'Sullivan, isn't that correct?
A. Well, my recollection was that Dr. Kiely would not speak to him, that's correct, yeah, that she would not 11:06 speak to him, yeah.
17 Q. That's what that sentence imports, correct?
A. Yes, correct.

18 Q. Because Inspector O'Sullivan will give his own evidence
and he can deal with that, and Dr. Kiely has written a letter to the tribunal and she explains her engagement with Inspector o'Sullivan, including the fact that she spoke with him privately in her surgery and including the fact that other specific medical issues, she did have a conversation with him and answered questions put by him?
A. Well, as I explained already, nine years after this happened, I was retired, at home, on my own, trying to put the timeline together and that's what, at the time, 11:07 I thought.
19 Q. Well, when you were doing that, did you phone Inspector o'Sullivan?
A. No.

20 Q. And say --
A. I had no assistance from anyone and I spoke to no one like that, when I was putting my statement together.
21 Q. Does that then demonstrate a fact that you had it set in your mind that all of this was just people being uncooperative and your recollection nine years after the fact had cemented itself into a picture whereby Paul Barry and his doctor were just being inconvenient and obstructive and wouldn't help you when you were trying to resolve the issue presented to you back then?
A. No, I wouldn't say that at all.

22 Q. Okay. Will you agree with me at least before we move on this that statement is wrong?
A. The sequence of that statement is wrong, yes. And I thought I said to Mr. Marrinan yesterday that my
sequence of that event was wrong in my statement.
I don't believe you did, actually, I think you mentioned the other one. And this is now the third of three mistakes on that one page of your statement, do you agree with me?
A. Yes, but I thought what I said yesterday was, the sequence of events regarding the visit to the doctor was wrong in my statement.
Right. We have the transcript, I won't say any more about it. In respect of whether or not alternative accommodations were to be put in place for my client after he presented with that medical certificate, okay, I'm moving on now to this as a topic, you have been very forthright, if I can suggest that to you, if that is not a tautology, you have been absolutely clear in saying to the Chairman that you gave some consideration to the idea of interjecting or interposing Inspector o'Sullivan in the hierarchy between Superintendent Comyns and Paul Barry, but that as far as you were concerned, based on your experience as a superintendent, and based on your impression of the situation, it simply wasn't practical, that's all correct, isn't it?
A. That's correct.
okay. Just to give you an opportunity to comment upon it, because it may be something that somebody will make a submission about at some point, yesterday, when you were asked by Mr. Marrinan, you said you gave some consideration of the suggestion put by Séan Costello \&

Company on behalf of Mr. Barry. Just to be completely upfront, when you were asked that question by the tribunal investigator, page 5624 , you said you gave very little consideration. Is there a distinction in your mind between very little and some?
A. No, it's the same, to me it's a different expression of the same thing, $I$ gave it very little consideration but I did give it some consideration.
okay. Were you surprised to know then that a year later approximately Superintendent Comyns had
effectively taken a decision whereby Inspector O'Sullivan would deal with all matters pertaining to Paul Barry? Excuse me, so just we are call clear, I'm using the words all matters because those were the words used by Superintendent Comyns when he described what he was doing. He has, of course, since revised that in his evidence. But at the time when asked he said, Inspector O'Sullivan was to deal with all matters to do with Paul Barry.
A. That was a matter for Superintendent Comyns, I think it's, you know, what Superintendent Comyns does and I believe this was immediately afterwards, that I informed the day I had door stepped the CMO and what he had told me and that is was Superintendent Comyns did.
27 Q. Your Superintendent Comyns's manager, aren't you?
A. That's correct.

28 Q. You have made a management decision that it is impractical, unreasonable, to try and interpose a member of your staff, that's Inspector o'Sullivan, in
dealing with Superintendent Comyns and Paul Barry. You made that decision in or about April of 2013, we've established that, haven't we?
A. Would you repeat that again, please?

You made a decision in April of 2013 that it wouldn't be practical for Paul Barry to just deal with Inspector O'Sullivan rather than having to deal with Superintendent Comyns?
A. No, I think you're mixing up this, do you see. The decision I made was -- what I was asked was that Inspector O'Sullivan, he would be answerable to Inspector O'Sullivan, but before he could be answerable to Inspector o'Sullivan he had to obey the directions of Superintendent Comyns. And that was the part we needed to get right first. If he would obey the directions of Superintendent Comyns, well then we could look at could he be answerable to somebody else, but it never came to that.

Did you know that, and again, I'm just giving you the opportunity to comment upon it, if you want to, before I move on, did you know that when chief Superintendent Grogan was asked by the tribunal investigator what his attitude was to this proposed work around by Séan Costello \& Company, he said that he would have gathered the parties together, set out the parameters as to how the accommodation would work, it was a unique situation and he would have allowed it, if it was up to him?
A. Oh yeah, I saw that.

31 Q. You disagree?
A. Well, John Grogan is his own man. He might have powers that I haven't got. But, you know, I was working within the limitations that $I$ was working within and it wasn't reasonable or practical what we were trying to do.

I understand that that's your approach, but can we agree then that you disagree with Chief Superintendent Grogan?
A. Totally.
okay. For the record, Chairman, that's 5148 of the material. Could I, as briefly as possible, please, chief superintendent, I suspect we can get through this very quickly, deal with the meeting, what's alternately been referred to as a confrontation but $I$ am going to use the word meeting with Mr. Barry in the car park on the 9th Apri1 2013. I'm moving on to that now, okay?
A. Right.
Q. Yes.
A. He said he was confronted, there was no confrontation there. okay. You know that Mr. Barry has made an allegation about that, that he felt that he was confronted by you in the car park, isn't that right?
A. Oh, the 9th April, oh yes, that's the Tuesday night, yes.

Yes. And again, you have anticipated my next question. You don't agree that there was a confrontation there?
A. No.
Q. Okay. On that occasion had you given Mr. Barry prior
notice of the fact that you would be attending at the station?
A. No, I had not.
Q. Do you know what a prover is?
A. I do, I think.

41 Q. What's your idea, what's your understanding of that?
A. A witness or somebody that's there to show that what you did was correct and there can be no allegations made against you or something like that.
Q. would you agree with me that that's what Inspector o'Sullivan was there for?
A. No, I wouldn't say that at all.

43 Q. Okay. You had previously met my client without somebody being present?
A. That's correct.

44 Q. On this occasion you don't tell him in advance that you're going to meet him but you bring somebody along to witness the conversation?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. You didn't give my client an opportunity to have his AGSI rep or to have anybody else present when he's talking with you at that stage?
A. The minute he asked me for it, we stopped the meeting and we adjourned and we agreed to meet on a later date when co make contact with the AGSI rep.
46 Q. How long do you think you waited in the station yard for my client to...?
A. I would say they were there three minutes. You know, we weren't there long, because we knew he was due around nine o'clock, you know, and I was anxious to get home as well. I was finished since five o'clock and it didn't suit me to be there, but $I$ was directed to do it 11:14 and I had a message to deliver and I was making sure it was done.

47 Q. You say directed by the CMO, is that...?
A. Yeah, and the management meeting, meet the member and, you know, give it to him in writing, and that's what I 11:15 did.
Q. This last question in this topic may very well fall under the same category as to whether meetings had in person or by phone. But I have instructions, I am putting it to you, my instructions are that Mr. Barry is certain that you attended at the station on that occasion in full uniform, including what's been referred to as the Sam Browne belt?
A. I've already said numerous times, I had not my Sam Browne belt on that night. It did not come within -- 11:15 this meeting didn't come within the ambit where I had to wear the Sam Browne belt or where I could wear a Sam Browne belt.

49 Q. Yes. I am going to move on now to the issue of the
fatal fire. It's a rather appalling way of describing a tragedy like that, but we all know what we're talking about, don't we?
A. That's correct.

50 Q. In the hours and days after that incident, you sent a letter to Superintendent Comyns, it's at page 147 of the papers, it's been opened many times at this stage. I am going to suggest to you that that was implicitly a criticism or perhaps even expressly, I don't know if you'd agree that it's an express criticism of
Superintendent Comyns that he hasn't complied with the A/C minutes of reporting serious or critical incidents, would you accept that?
A. That would be, yeah, correct.

51 Q. okay. what flows from it -- now, indeed, that is, if you will, the beginning of to-ing and fro-ing in correspondence between yourself and Superintendent Comyns, but if we go to page 143, would you scroll down a small bit for me, please, Mr. Kavanagh. This is a letter dated 8th May 2013, it's addressed to you, isn't 11:16 it, chief superintendent?
A. That's correct.

52 Q. And it's from Mr. Comyns. And it specifically names my client as being the person who was working and attending at the fire, his report in relation to your query of the 11th April 2013 is attached due to the ongoing investigation, which obviously is the complaint being made by my client, he doesn't say anything further about that, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

53 Q. Okay. So, in this instance Superintendent Comyns is saying Sergeant Barry was the man who should have been doing the reporting, fair enough?
A. No, I would say Sergeant Barry is the man -- he was the 11:17 sergeant working, so he was responsible for ensuring the report was done, not doing it himself. That was the practice as long as I'm -- I was in the Guards for 40 years and when $I$ was a sergeant or an inspector or a guard, the sergeant would take responsibility, he mightn't do it, but it was his job to ensure that the job was done.

54 Q. I suspect, in fact, Mr. Barry may actually agree with you on that, because he said himself that he didn't think it was his job to actually put in the report, just to make sure it was done. But if we scroll up one page, to page 142, Mr. Kavanagh, please. Now, this is a letter addressed to Superintendent Comyns and if we scroll down a tiny bit we will see that it is signed by you, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You have now read the appended report to the previous 1etter we just opened and you say:
"In future, for any critical incident that occurs in
your district I expect a comprehensive report to be submitted by the working sergeant in line with Assi stant Commi ssi oner Quilter's min nute dated 3rd August 2012."

Now, two things there. First of all, is that not you directly contradicting what you just said to us a moment ago about who was to put in the report?
A. No, it's not, because what I meant there, and what the practice over the year was, the sergeant ensures the report is sent in. Like the sergeant could be tied up with many things and, you know, you can't expect the sergeant to do it all the time, but it's his responsibility to do it, to ensure it's done.

56 Q. So when you use the words there "submitted by the working ser geant in line", you don't mean that the working sergeant in line submits the report, you mean that the working sergeant in line makes sure that it is submitted?
A. That's correct.

57 Q. okay.
A. And what it would also imply was, say, if the sergeant in Mitchelstown was off and there was a working sergeant in Fermoy, I would expect it would be that sergeant in the district, this is a district, each district works on their own and that the sergeant in the district would ensure that a report is submitted. 58 Q. Would you just scroll down a tiny bit for me, please, Mr. Kavanagh. There is something written there. Can you read that handwriting, chief superintendent?

CHAL RMAN "For your inf or mati on and that of Ser geant Barry who should note and return this file. Superintendent Comyns.
A. Yes.

59 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: So again this is addressed to the sergeant in charge at Mitchelstown. We understand that to be Sergeant Dunne and it's for the information of the Sergeant Dunne and Sergeant Barry.
A. That's correct.

Superintendent Comyns is bringing this letter to the attention of the sergeant in charge of the station and my client, Paul Barry, isn't that right?
A. Who was the sergeant working on the night in question.

61 Q. Yes. Forgive me, Mr. Kavanagh, I'm sorry, but would you mind going back up again to the body of the letter, just before we move on. Just go up a few sentences, please. okay, right there. "In line with Assi stant Commi ssi oner Quilter's minute". what you are clearly saying here is that Assistant Commissioner Quilter has circulated a minute dated 3rd August 2012, which sets out the way in which these incidents are to be reported, and you link that to the fact that the working sergeant in line is to submit the report, correct?
A. That's correct.

62 Q. okay. If we can go to that minute then, I think we will find it at page 734. If you scroll down a tiny bit, please, Mr. Kavanagh, so we can see it. This is the minute. Now, I have already put this to Superintendent Comyns, that it makes no reference whatsoever to the sergeant being the person to do the reporting, would you agree with me that there's no...?
A. That's correct.

63 Q. okay. So doesn't that appear to be contradictory to what you were implying is in fact the import of the minute that you've referenced in the letter we just had a moment ago?
A. No, I wouldn't, because, like, the practical side of this is that the commissioner said he wants things reported in a certain timeline and certain things report. Now, it comes from the superintendent, but the superintendent then has his structure in place, that he 11:21 has working on the district, that he has his -- you had on the night in question a sergeant and five guards, but his structure then is, that sergeant ensures that the report gets to the superintendent and the superintendent forwards it on to me and the
commissioner. That's the way the system works. You know, the assistant commissioner is not worried about what systems the superintendent has in place but he wants the facts sent in at a certain time. The superintendent then has his own system in place. So, 11:21 it's not criticising, because the assistant commissioner is saying this is what I need, and then the superintendent has his own -- and it's a long standing working that a unit sergeant, whoever the unit sergeant is, that he will just ensure that the report is submitted.

64 Q. We have that answer and we have the content of the letter at page 142, I am not going to go back over it again. Had you, before you sent the letter that's at
page 142, spoken to Superintendent Comyns to ascertain what information he actually did have?
A. I had -- Superintendent Comyns, if my recollection is correct, he rang me around eight o'clock in the morning and filled me in with what I knew and I passed it on the assistant commissioner's office.
A.
Q. Have you been present or have you seen in the transcripts or have you otherwise been made aware of the fact that it appears that he had the C71, the coroner's form, he had the Pulse database entry, and he had at least two phone calls with Inspector O'Sullivan, who, during the course of the night, even though he was off duty, was in constant contact with gardaí at the scene - did you know any of that?
A. I would expect he would have the C71 because the C71 and the report should come in together but the C71 doesn't go beyond his office. It only goes to the district office and it wouldn't come to my office.
67 Q. What about the other material?
A. The Pulse incident was available to us all. I had the Pulse incident, that's where I saw it, when I went in I saw it, there was, you know, who was at the fire and what was happening. But the details that were required
by the assistant commissioner to forward on to the deputy commissioner in Dublin would not or were not on the C71 and were in the report forwarded by Garda wall eventually.
68 Q.
what about the fact that he had been in contact with Inspector o'sullivan, who in turn had been in contact with people at the scene?
A. That's normal procedure and we make a phone call and I pass it on to the assistant commissioner, who would pass it up the line. But there is a report required from the scene by whoever is investigating it and that report should be there and should be forwarded.
69 Q. I am going to move on, chief superintendent?
A. Thank you.

70 Q.
But before I do, I am just going to put to you, that that letter that you wrote referencing Sergeant Barry is an implicit or, indeed, even an explicit criticism of him which is unfair in the circumstances, where he, I would suggest to you, has done everything he's supposed to do and that it was Superintendent Comyns
who hadn't complied with the minute from A/C Quilter and it was to him you should have been maintaining your criticism?
CHA RMAN Mr. Costelloe, I think it is only polite people's name right. It is not Superintendent Comyn, 11:24 it's Superintendent Comyns. It has an S at the end, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$. You have been constantly referring to him as if it was like our former colleagues, like Mr. Edward Comyn or Sir. James Comyn, it's not, it's a
different name.
MR. COSTELLOE: Thank you, Chairman.
71 Q. In every instance there where I misspoke, chief superintendent, you understood that $I$ am referring to Superintendent Comyns. I was calling him superintendent Comyn?

CHA RMAN There's no doubt who you are referring to. MR. COSTELLOE: I just want to --

CHA RMAN Mr. Costelloe, it's simply a matter of politeness to get the name right.

MR. COSTELLOE: Yes.
CHA RMAN And I am sure it's not deliberate, let me say.
72 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: Do you wish to comment on that last question or last submission, proposition that I put to you before I move on?
A. You might put it again, sorry...

73 Q. I am submitting to you, I am proposing to you that your implicit or, if you wish, explicit criticism of Sergeant Barry in the letter which is set out at page 142 of the materials is unfair and unwarranted and that, in fact, your criticism which initially was directed at Superintendent Comyns, should have continued to be directed at him and him alone because my client, in fact, had done everything that he was obliged to do in accordance with the minute issued by A/C Quilter?
A. I wouldn't agree with that, because that minute, like I said, any critical incident in your district, you know,

I wasn't referring specifically to this. This was to go forward. we were moving forward. I had drawn a line under it. I had decided to take no action. So this was -- in my impression I was saying, this is going forward, this is what I want to do.

74 Q. Moving on now to the Certificate of Service, okay, that's the next topic that I want to ask you questions about. The Certificate of Service is, could I suggest to you, perhaps a somewhat grandiose nomination for what effectively is a recommendation issued by the chief superintendent of a district when any guard retires, would you agree with me?
A. It's my opinion on what the commissioner -- I am only giving my opinion on what the commissioner should give. The commissioner doesn't always agree or doesn't have to always agree, but it is just that $I$ have to give my opinion.
I think to be fair to you, the question that $I$ posed to you was somewhat vague, so let me try to be a little bit clearer. At the time at which a member of An Garda 11:27 Síochána retires, an amount of documentation has to be submitted through to HRM and PD, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. It's essentially a culmination or a combination of an assessment of all of that documentation which results in the Certificate of Service which is ultimately issued by the commissioner?
A. That's correct.

77 Q. So, you don't just have, for example, a letter from the
chief superintendent of any given district, you also have history of service records, you have other materials such as that, all of which has to be sent forward before the Certificate of Service is ultimately signed off by the commissioner?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now, the classification system under 12.12 of the Code allows for four categories, correct?
A. That's correct.

79 Q. It allows for exemplary, very good, good or fair, isn't 11:28 that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in this particular instance you told us yesterday you deliberated upon it long and hard, I think was how you described it, but maybe I am paraphrasing, but you gave it a lot of thought and you decided that the correct designation, as far as you were concerned, was very food, the second in the running order or the hierarchy of categorisations, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

81 Q. When anybody who is a serving member of An Garda Síochána retires, it's the chief superintendent of the district from which that person was working that has to first off make a recommendation to the commissioner as to which of the four categories, which of the four classifications apply, isn't that correct?
A. There's no -- there's only a superintendent in a district --

82 Q. Division?
A. Superintendent in a division.

83 Q. Sorry. Thank you for the correction?
A. That's grand.

84 Q. Division?
A. That's correct, yeah.

85 Q. It's not the superintendent in the district?
A. No, it's not.
Q. It's the chief superintendent in the division?
A. That's correct.

87 Q. So I mean, I am going to make an assumption but now is the time to tell me if this is totally wrong, you must have done hundreds of these over the course of your service a chief superintendent?
A. I wouldn't say hundreds, but I have done a lot. We11, I mean, in the period of time that you were an active chief superintendent, how many gardaí do you think retired from your division?
A. Well, I was only chief superintendent for six years and I only served in one division.
89 Q. okay. And during that period of time, would you say 20, 40,80 gardaí retired?
A. Closer to 20 to 30 , I'd say.

90 Q. okay. So we'11 place it at the lower end of the figure, 20, is that right?
A. That's correct.

91 Q. Is that fair? Okay. You were asked yesterday by Mr. Marrinan about the fact that when you gave a classification or a suggested classification of anything other than exemplary, you had to provide
reasons. Do you remember being asked about that?
A. I did, yes.

92 Q. And your answer was that you weren't aware of that at the time?
A. Yes, I said it wasn't in the Garda Code.
A. I wasn't.

95 Q. Okay. Can we infer from that then that of those 20-odd people who retired in the period of time you were a chief superintendent, Paul Barry was the only person to whom you gave a less than exemplary classification?
A. That is correct.
Q. okay. And having thought about it in a -- in fact, if I just interrupt myself, it was to the point where it was so deliberate that a junior or a -- sorry, that a terrible way of describing it, a sergeant on your direct staff who had in fact initially completed the form had put down exemplary and you directed him to change that back to very good. We have a statement from Mr. Hughes, who says that he wrote out the form, he put down the word exemplary because he saw no reason not to, and you personally directed him to change it to 11:31 very good?
A. My reckoning of that is that he had a template on his computer and the last person he had given it to, it was set on exemplary and he just inserted Paul Barry's name
on that form. So that's the way -- that was my belief on it or my memory of that, the way it happened.
97 Q. Could we go to the statement at 1861, please, Mr. Kavanagh. Sorry, 1864, please. This is a statement by David Hughes, a sergeant at Fermoy Garda Station. You know who this man is, do you?
A. He was in my office, attached to my office.

98 Q. If we skip down five lines and we see the sentence "In March 2006" do you see that sentence?
A. Yes.

99 Q. "... when Sergeant Paul Barry's application to retire from An Garda Sí ochána was recei ved at di vi si onal of fice Fer moy, I i medi at el y prepared covering letter for si gnat ure by the then chi ef superintendent William Dillane for onward transmission to A/C Human Resources Management. In this correspondence I incl uded the necessary information, such as the address the member would resi de at on his retirement, confirmation that the menber was compl i ant with the terns of the Garda Code 11. 29."

If you skip forward a little bit:
"I stated in the commi cation that the member's service would be categorised as exempl ary during his career in An Garda Sí ochána. An exempl ary category of servi ce was the gener al category l incl uded in correspondence when forwar ding applications to retire to Garda Headquarters and I saw no reason to amend the
category in the case of Sergeant Barry."

That is clearly somebody saying that they thought about it and they decided that there was no reason to change the general categorisation of exemplary, isn't it?
A. But it wasn't his job to do it.

100 Q. Sorry, just bear with me one second?
A. Yeah.

Isn't that somebody adverting to this, looking at it and saying, as far as he's concerned, we all acknowledge it's not his job ultimately, but as far as he's concerned he looks at it and sees no reason to change it from exemplary to anything else, correct?
A. That's correct.

102 Q. Because you seem to be suggesting that it was just a prepopulated form or a template that he just ran off the printer and handed to you for signature. It seems from his statement that he actually did think about it and he saw no reason to change it?
A. That's what it seems from his statement.

103 Q. Okay. And then I don't think we need to go through the rest of it, except to say that, "When the correspondence regarding Ser geant Barry's retirement was noted by Chi ef Superintendent Dillane, he instructed me to amend the category of service from exempl ary to very good."

You obviously don't disagree with that, we know that's what happened, correct?
A. That's correct.

104 Q. You were informed by the district office at Fermoy that Mr. Barry had sought to retire, applied to retire, you were informed of that on the 18th March 2016, correct?
A. That's correct.

105 Q. We have that in your statement, and we have the letter in your statement, it's at page 352 and the letter is at page 517. On the 23rd March 2016, you sent a written notification to HRM in Navan expressing your opinion that Mr. Barry's category of service under the Code should be defined as very good, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Thereafter -- now those two dates again were the 18th March 2016 and the 23rd March 2016. Thereafter, HRM made a number of requests of you for paperwork in order 11:35 to complete the process of issuing the Certificate of Service, do you agree with me?
A. Well, I believe so, yeah. They contacted my office to get the paperwork.
107 Q. If there's going to be any doubt about this we can go through it --
A. No.

108 Q. -- but it seems from your own statement, chief superintendent, page 353 and 354 , that notifications were received on the 31st March 2017, almost exactly one year lettered, 3rd October 2017, 2nd November 2017. And those letters are all in the documents, 529, 530 and 531, correct?
A. That's correct.

109 Q. So a year after you had sent your letter, HRM were onto you saying, can you give us the rest of what we need in order to complete this process?
A. That's correct.

110 Q. In those letters, HRM noted that if a recommendation of 11:36 anything other than exemplary, so the other categories being good, very good or fair, if any of those three were given, reasons had to be supplied, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

111 Q. On the 11th December 2017, my client wrote to you directly, were you aware of that fact?
A. Subsequently I saw it, yes.

112 Q. Yeah. And he asked you why you were refusing to forward the necessary paperwork to HRM, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah, I believe so, yeah.

113 Q. He didn't receive a response to that letter, so he sent another letter again to you, this is at page 259 of the book1et of documents, on the 2nd February 2018. We're
now into the second year -- thank you very much, the 2nd January, I beg your pardon, chief superintendent, 2018, and again he asks you why you hadn't forwarded the documents that were required and again no response was issued from your office by you to that correspondence. Do we agree?
A. That's correct.

114 Q. Okay. On the 5th January you made a phone call. So on the 2nd January 2018, Mr. Barry writes to you and three
days later you make a phone call to Garda HRM, in which you say you wanted to discuss the classification you had previously given, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

115 Q. Now, you also said --
A. Sorry, could I see the record of that phone call? who did I make the phone call to? Because it's one I can't recollect.

116 Q. It's the one in which during the course of the phone call you say, I'11 send a letter. Do you remember it 11:37 now?
A. No, I don't.

117 Q. Okay. I think it's at page 4744 of the documentation, Mr. Kavanagh, if you could, please. 4744. Does that help in any way, chief superintendent?
A. No, it doesn't really.

118 Q. Okay. We11, it's from somebody called Rosaleen Finnegan?
A. Yeah.

119 Q. She's at Garda pensions section and she is writing to 11:38 somebody in HRM by the name of Eoghan Quinn and it references you as having rang regarding the former member who retired from AGS on 19th June 2016.
"You have submitted a letter to the pension dated 23 rd March 2016 stating the above member's cl assification. He asked if you can ring hi mback, please."

And he gives your mobile number. Do you remember
reading that?
A. I actually don't. But if it's there, I won't deny it.

120 Q. Okay. I'm not sure anything in particular turns on it. It seems after Mr. Barry writes to you, you are in contact with HRM by phone and you follow up by way of a 11:38 1etter?
A. Correct, yeah.

121 Q. And again, maybe this will help, if we go to 5675, which in fact seems to be the letter that you say you're going to send. 5675, maybe this will help you. 11:39 Would you mind scrolling down a tiny bit please, Mr. Kavanagh.
A. Yeah, I can remember sending that.

122 Q. okay. "Wth regards to the member's category of service under the Code, I wi sh to state as per minute fromthis office dated 23rd March 2016, that I categorised Sergeant Barry's servi ce in An Garda Sí ochána as very good. Copy attached.

My reason for not awarding Ser geant Barry an exempl ary cl assification is that in my limited dealings with the menber, I found himto be very difficult and di scourteous. "

That's your stated reason at that point?
A. That's correct yeah.

123 Q. So you're actually giving a reason here now, you're setting out in black and white why you feel it's very good rather than exemplary?

Q. I think you are write about that, in fact. I didn't mean to propose it was dictating to you?
A. Oh yeah, yeah, yeah.

127 Q. We don't need to open it then, it's at page 532 of the booklet of documents. Then on the 11th May 2018, you say, having considered the matter further and consulted some people, including two of Paul Barry's previous officers, you're amending the categorisation to exemplary?
A. That's correct.
Q.

Were either of those officers that you spoke to Superintendent Mick Maguire?
A. No.

129 Q. He was then the acting superintendent at -- sorry, not then, but he had been the acting superintendent at Barry retired?
A. No, he was the superintendent --

130 Q. Fermoy?
A. -- in Fermoy.

I am confusing myself now?
A. Yeah. These were previous officers he had worked with before I came.
Q. I understand. Basically what I am trying to ascertain is: It was predating the point at which you came?
A. Yeah.

133 Q. You went back to speak to people and you didn't ask to people to anybody after Superintendent Comyns had left but before my client retired?
A. That's correct, yeah, that's correct.
Q. So any information you were getting was to do with his service --
A. Yeah, yeah.

135 Q. -- prior to him coming into contact with Superintendent 11:41 Comyns, not after that fact?
A. That's correct.

136 Q. okay. Why is it that you didn't contact any of those people before you wrote your initial categorisation?
A. It's a question I ask myself, you know. But as I explained yesterday, you know, I looked at the period I had dealt with him and I felt, you know, that if I was to give exemplary to everyone and yet this person hadn't done his job for a number of years, was I being fair to the people who I was giving -- the other people. So, that was the way I worked it. It was a decision I made and I had to stand over it. But, you know, I suppose any man can reassess something and I was man enough to stand up and say, look, I think
he's -- you know, exemplary would be the right one, when I found out that other people had no problem with them. The people I spoke to said they had no problem before this, so...
137 Q. I mean, wouldn't that have avoided two years' worth of hassle both for my client and yourself, if you had spoken to those people and you'd come to that conclusion back --
A. No, the two year delay had nothing to do with this, the two year delay we were dealing with a civil claim that Mr. Barry had instigated. Is it three times I was up at the Chief State Solicitor's Office at different meetings and we needed information to move the civil claim forward. And when we were finished with that, then I was able to send all the paperwork to HRM. So that was the reason for the two year delay. It has nothing to do with this.
138 Q. Whatever the reason for the two year delay was, during that period of time you were receiving quite a bit of correspondence from HRM and at least two letters from my client to deal specifically with the issue of his certification of service. And in that period of time you don't change your mind and you don't revisit your decision. And having eventually received a letter from Monica Carr, you state that you went back and spoke to people who had worked with him and been his supervisor before Superintendent Comyns and that caused you to revisit your decision and in the interest of fairness you decided that exemplary was the correct category?

139 Q. okay. And what I am putting to you is: All of that could have been avoided if you just picked up the phone two years earlier and spoke to those same people then, you would have arrived presumably at the same decision you did further on in 2018 and you would have given the exemplary classification?
A. I wonder, because, you know, my thinking at the time was, as I said yesterday, here I had two sergeants retiring, one had done everything he was asked of him for 30 years, another person for the last four years hadn't done everything, are they both deserving of exemplary? Are they both deserving of the same? That was the way I was thinking at the time.
140 Q. Can I suggest to you, chief superintendent, that you can't have it both ways. You make a decision to revisit your classification two years later, you say that that's because you spoke to people who he had worked with prior to Superintendent Comyns and you thereby decide to change your decision and make it exemplary rather than very good. And I am putting it to you that if you had only done that two years earlier, you would have avoided all of what flowed thereafter in relation to this issue?
A. I probably would have. But I am trying to explain my 11:44 logic for making the decision at the earlier time and that was my logic and I can't change it and, you know, they're the facts.
141 Q. Is it possible, chief superintendent, that at the point
at which my client informed An Garda Síochána that he was retiring, you were just so annoyed with him, so irritated with him, that you weren't prepared to look any further than your own personal dealings with him?
A. No.
okay. I am going to deal with a couple of things as quickly as I possibly can, before I move to the last topic, which is the last substantive topic. The next thing I want to move on to is Mr. Barry's non-attendance at the case conference on the 2 nd February 2015. okay?
A. That's correct.

144 Q. All right. I am moving on to that now. You said in your statement that you had spoken with -- this is page 350 of your statement, we don't need it up on the screen, Mr. Kavanagh. Page 350 of your statement, you said that you had spoken to Superintendent Comyns on the 2nd February 2015 at 2pm and Superintendent Comyns had said that paul Barry was in the station but he had failed to attend the conference, isn't that correct?
A. That is correct.

145 Q. And you say in your statement that you had received a report about this non-attendance from Superintendent Comyns on the 3rd February 2015, you remember receiving that report?
A. I do, I have a vague recollection of it at this stage, yeah.
Q. Fair enough, fair enough. It's at page 474 , if there were any issues about it we can go back to it, if necessary. But in that report Superintendent Comyns says that he believed that Detective Garda Jim Fitzpatrick had informed Sergeant Barry about the inference?
A. That's correct.
okay. You reported Mr. Barry's non-attendance to Chief Superintendent Tony McLough1in of the Human Resources and professional development -- is it personal -- it's personal, personal development department at 2.46 pm on the 3rd February 2015, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

148 Q. So you receive the report from Superintendent Comyns and within a very short period of time, a mere matter of minutes, it seems, you forward that report on to HRM, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

149 Q. And you did so because you wished to, and I am quoting now, "emphasi se the urgency to have Sergeant Barry transferred out of the Fermo di strict", as you felt that his presence was having a negative impact on the policing of the area. And that's the e-mail that's at 11:47 page 476. You remember all of that, isn't that correct?
A. I do, yeah.

150 Q. You didn't speak to Sergeant Barry in advance of this?
A. No.

151 Q. You didn't ask him for an explanation as to why he wasn't at the conference?
A. No.

152 Q. You didn't pick up the phone to Detective Garda Jim Fitzpatrick and say, in what way did he explain that the case conference was happening?
A. No.
Q. You didn't have any other information other than what Superintendent Comyns has given you, isn't that correct?
A. I had no reason to doubt what I was being told by Superintendent Comyns.

154 Q. You were aware of the fact that there was ongoing issues between my client and Superintendent Comyns. I 11:47 am trying to keep that as neutral as I can. I don't want to add any sort of pejorative inflexion to that question. There were issues going on between the two of them at this point, you must have known that?
A. I knew there were issues because I was listening to them on a regular basis from Superintendent Comyns, but from my perspective, I had no reason to doubt Superintendent Comyns, the veracity or the legitimacy of what he was trying to tell me.
Did you know when you contacted Human Resources Management that Superintendent Comyns had not actually taken any steps to determine how Detective Garda Fitzpatrick had notified my client about the case conference?
A. No, I hadn't, I just took his word for it, because from what I knew of Superintendent Comyns, he wouldn't make a statement unless he could back it up and I took his word for it and to this day, if he made a statement like that and gave it to me in writing, I would believe 11:48 it.

156 Q. And it's furnished with your comment that you believe that it's, in order to emphasise the urgency of getting Sergeant Barry out of the Fermoy district, I am giving this on to you right now?
A. That's correct. But remember, this is in the context now of my other dealings with Chief Superintendent McLoughlin. We're on to January 2015. This thing was going on since March 2013 or October -- August 2012 actually.
157 Q. I am suggesting to you, chief superintendent, that that was a very unfair way for you to go about this and that at the very least you should have picked up the phone, either to my client or, indeed, even to Detective Garda Fitzpatrick, to try and get some information about what 11:49 information or what extent of knowledge my client had about that case conference?
A. No, I suppose, it was a rape conference and I think the seriousness of it is what really struck home to me. That look, how can we deliver a service to the public, this is a very serious case, when the sergeant in charge will not turn up to the conference? You know, that was the seriousness of the whole thing. Like we were here to provide a service to the public and here
we were squabbling ourselves. You know, I was taking it very, very, very serious, that this was affecting the policing that I was able to deliver to the people of Cork north at the time.
we will move on but before we do, the last question on this topic: Are you aware that Mr. Barry denies knowing anything about --
A. Yes, I am aware.
okay.
A. I saw it in his statement and I also saw it in, I think 11:50 Jim Fitzpatrick's statement, saying he did know notify him, so, you know...
160 Q. okay. Moving onto the issue of the announcements in Pulse. I don't know the answer to this question, which is, I hope being as upfront and as honest as I can be, and hopefully you can elucidate me and explain how this works. How were HRM bulletins referring to transfers -- sorry, not HRM, how were entries on the Pulse database caused to be changed regarding transfers? Do you understand what I am asking?
A. I do, and I think my answer to you is, I hadn't hand, act or part, but I remember when your client and Inspector Golden came to me about one incident, I rang somebody in HR transfers, I couldn't tell you who I rang, and I explained the situation and I said, can you 11:51 pass this message on to the person who deals with it, and the thing was changed reasonably quick.

161 Q. okay.
A. But as regards how it's done, I haven't a clue, and I
haven't -- I never had hand, act or part in it.


162 Q.

We11, a11 I remember is that $I$ was approached about it, I picked up the phone, I made a phone call to somebody and I said, look, this is not right, and it was changed. So I thought I was trying to help Sergeant Barry. He a problem with it and I did what I could and 11:52 it was changed. So that's all I know.

Bear with me one second, please, chief superintendent. The next thing that I want to ask you about is the issue of the sanctioning of disciplinary proceedings around the force majeure leave, okay. So we're moving the fact that my client had taken force majeure in April of 2013. I think you got that on the 19th Apri1 2013, does that sound correct to you?
A. Yeah, I won't disagree with the date. report about Mr. Barry taking the leave, was 19th April 2013. It seems from the material that we have been provided that you didn't appoint Superintendent Lehane until 27th May 2013, over a month and a bit after you got that report?
A. That is correct.

171 Q. I mean, if this was such a big deal, why did you wait that long in order to get somebody to look into it?
A. Well, if it was such a big deal, I would have went with a disciplinary hearing or something, this was an enquiry I was making. There would have been a number of reasons. First of a11, I wanted to see what was the best process to do it and I wasn't trying to elevate this to a major incident, right. I wanted to enquire into it. This person hadn't turned up for work, he hadn't notified his superiors. I felt after looking into it that this was the best process for me to adhere to and I am a great believer, if I get the process right, I can always stand over the outcome. And the delay be, these forms have to be printed out and there's forms to be put together and it's not a matter of just pointing him, you see the forms that had to be put together. I suppose I gave it a bit of thought first, you know. Get the process right and that would be the -- and like to me, it would have been the lowest form of -- Regulation 14 would have been the lowest form of an inquiry I could have done to him. It wasn't that there was going to be any major sanctions out of this or anything. But I just felt that this was the correct process to do and if I had the correct process I was going to get the correct outcome and I could stand over it.
172 Q. Okay. Well let's deal with the process then. There's nothing in the code of conduct that requires somebody to state in advance that they're taking force majeure,
obviously. You can hardly decide that you're taking force majeure in advance, it wouldn't be force majeure, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

173 Q. Okay. And there's nothing in any of the statutes or anything in law that requires you to do so either, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct. And in fact, insofar as the Garda Code refers to this at all, it appears in section 11.25, that the obligation is to provide a form F M1, force majeure 1 on return from taking leave, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

175 Q. You knew from the report that Mr. Barry had provided such a form, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So it seems that he had complied with the Garda Code, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

177 Q. And in fact, just looking historically, on the prior occasion that he had taken force majeure back in 2010, he had done exactly the same thing he did here and no issue was raised and no disciplinary proceedings were instigated by anyone?
A. That's correct.

178 Q. A Regulation 14 inquiry can lead to a reduction in pay to not exceed two weeks, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, maximum.

179 Q. It can lead to a reprimand that actually goes on the
file, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. It can also lead to a warning, a caution or an advice, all of which go on the permanent file, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

Moving on to the last of these shorter issues, chief superintendent. You know that Mr. Barry has made a complaint, it's 4I in the tribunal's grounds, but to summarise it, he says that it was wrong of you and it amounts to targeting of him by stating that he was having a negative effect on the policing in Fermoy. you understand that that's one of his complaints?
A. I do, yes.

182 Q. I don't expect you to agree, sorry, if that's why you 11:57 were pausing there, just to agree with the content. I am just asking, first of all, if you knew that that was one of the grounds of his complaints and one of the things being looked into by the Chairman at this tribunal?
A. That's correct.

183 Q. And that particular comment, although it's in various words and in various formats in a number of locations, it is can be found in a letter that you wrote to Mr. John Barrett, who at the time was the executive director of HRM. It's a letter dated 7th August 2015. Correct?
A. That's correct.

184 Q. And it starts, the letter is somewhat lengthy, it's not
a criticism, it's just an observation, it begins at page 118, but if we could go to page 124. And if you look to the second and the third paragraphs there, particularly the last sentence of the third paragraph:
"I felt that his presence was having a negative impact on the policing of the area."

That's the subject-matter there?
A. That's correct.

185 Q. Now, this is a complaint, this is a letter encapsulating a complaint by you about Mr. Barry, where, at the time, he has a medical certificate precluding him from going to Fermoy Garda Station and from having contact with Superintendent Comyns, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

186 Q. Do you not think it's unfair to make an observation like that in the knowledge that that medical certificate is still valid and still in being?
A. But I also was in the knowledge that on the 9th April I visited him and I told him in writing, gave it to him in writing that we could not accede to the conditions of it, and this wasn't my decision, this was a decision from HRM, from the people in HRM, that we couldn't accede to the conditions of the medical certificate.

187 Q. I don't wish to spend any great deal of time on this, chief superintendent. I am just suggesting to you that that observation by you there is grossly unfair to

Mr. Barry in circumstances where he had that medical certificate, which couldn't have been clearer?
A. We11, I based it on the correspondence I had been receiving from Superintendent Comyns and from my own knowledge. Like, there were situations there were if an incident happened, Sergeant Barry could not make an informed decision of what to do because he hadn't been at a briefing or hadn't detailed the members going out on the streets. And if something critical were to happen, he was not informed with everything that was happening at the time. So he couldn't make an informed decision if something critical happened. And I had this in the back of my mind and I had said it in earlier correspondence, $I$ felt I would be culpable if something did happen because I was leaving this to continue and it was going on all the time. Because as far as you were concerned that medical certificate was, using your words, unreasonable, impracticable and could not be put into operation, you couldn't run your division and Superintendent Comyns couldn't run his district with that medical certificate being adhered to?
A. That's correct.

189 Q. And there was no work around, there was no insertion or interposing of staff or personne1 between
Superintendent Comyns and my client that would make it operable. The only solution was to transfer him out of the district, as far as you were concerned?
A. Well, yes, because he would not adhere to the
directions of the superintendent. And that responsibility for the running of the district could not be taken from the superintendent. Like, Sergeant Barry had a choice: Stay and stick with the rules or we'11 facilitate you. Like, you know, we're all human beings, if you have a problem with someone, I have no problem if somebody else has a problem, I will try and facilitate them, look after them, but you can't say I have problem and the whole district -- the service of the public has to be diminished because paul Barry decides he wants to make a complaint against Superintendent Comyns. He's entitled to make the complaint and we'11 give him every bit of backing he wants. But because he does, does it mean that the service to the public has to be diminished to such an extent?

190 Q. Okay, we'11 move on to the last topic that I want to ask you questions about, chief superintendent, and this is to do with transfers, attempted transfers, the idea and the allegation that Mr. Barry was being targeted because efforts were being made to transfer him out of his station, okay?
A. Yes.

191 Q. This is what we're moving on to now, you understand?
A. Yes.

192 Q. Okay. By the 15th October 2013, you told us yesterday, Sergeant Barry was in the frame, that's just the words that were used, nothing sinister is to be attached to that, but he was in the frame for a possible transfer
to Fermoy from Mitchelstown, that's what you told us yesterday, correct?
A. I said that was my impression.
Q.

Yes. Okay. So your impression was that he was in the frame to a possible transfer from Fermoy to Mitchelstown?
A. He told me that he would consider, you know, consider the proposal $I$ had put to him, to be facilitated while this was going on. This was a facilitation we were putting in place for him.
194 Q. As I understand your evidence yesterday, but now is the time to tell me if I have this wrong, there was an issue to do with resources in the division at the time, specifically in relation to the number of sergeants you had available to you in the division?
A. That's correct. I was there from -- for the six years I was in Fermoy.
Q. You told us that you had gotten on to HRM and effectively been told by HRM, you have what you have, you have to make it work?
A. That's correct.
Q. And as a result, not just in Superintendent Comyns's district, but in all of the districts in your divisional control, you had to make sure that there was the best possible allocation of sergeants to each particular station?
A. No, each district headquarters at the time, is what I was trying to improve, because the new roster that had brought in the fifth unit, we were short a sergeant in
the district headquarters and I needed to ramp that up.
Q. You're not disagreeing with me that the issue here was the fact that you weren't getting any other sergeants from --
A. That's what I was told, that there was no other sergeants available.
Q. Yes. So one of the issues, therefore, as a manager that you had to face was the fact that on the 7th November 2013, Superintendent Comyns is on to you, saying, listen, I need another sergeant in Fermoy, you've got to get me a sergeant?
A. That's correct.
Q. That's the 7th November 2013, correct?
A. I don't know why you're picking that specific date, because the 10th November is when the district amalgamation came in.
Q. Yeah.
A. And that would have increased his area substantially. so this discussion was going on long before the 7th November 2013. Like we had known since 2011 and '12
that the district amalgamation was taking place and then it was fixed for the fourth quarter of 2013. So we had an idea it was coming, but...
201 Q. Could we go to page 429 of the documents, please, Mr. Kavanagh. 429. This is a letter dated 7th November 2013. You see it, chief superintendent?
A. That's correct.
Q. It appears to be addressed to you?
A. Yeah. .

203
A. That s correct.
Q. Okay. And this is all in the context of the changing of the areas?
A. That's correct. sergeants as far as HRM were concerned, so you had to make do with what you had available to you?
A. That's correct.

209 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 4132, please. Now, before we do anything in respect of the content of this letter, can we first note that the date of it is the 27th November 2013?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. It's addressed to A/C Human Resources Management, and if we scroll down to the bottom of the letter, it's only one page long, it appears to have been written by you?
A. That's correct.

211 Q. okay. If we go back up to the body of the letter then, the second paragraph, the first substantive paragraph, beginning the words "Sergeant Barry, Mtchel stown" do you see that there?
A. That's right.

212 Q. At the end of that paragraph:
"This situation is totally unsatisfactory and for oper ational reasons I now wi sh to transfer Ser geant Barry from M tchel stown to Fermoy."

Correct?
A. That's correct.

213 Q. Okay. So, this is you on the 27th November, which is 20 days after Superintendent Comyns writes to you, your desire to transfer Sergeant Barry to Mitchelstown?
A. That's correct.

214 Q. Okay. Obviously it's self-evident, this is contrary to
the preference expressed to you by Superintendent Comyns?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But as far as you're concerned, this is the way to deal with things: Move Sergeant Barry from Mitchelstown to Fermoy?
A. That's correct.
Q. okay. Can we go up one page, please. 4131. This is a letter dated the 6th November, so 21 days before the letter that we've just been dealing with, correct?
A. Yes.

217 Q. This is also addressed to the assistant commissioner HRM, correct?
A. Yes.

218 Q. The same person, the same addressee. Then if we go down to the bottom of the page, please. will you agree with me that this is a letter that you sent? Can you see your --
A. Yeah, it's not signed. So I'm not saying that letter was sent, because it could be a letter typed in my office, but it wouldn't have been sent.
Q. oh!
A. You know, I am not saying that --

220 Q. Are you saying you don't have any memory of sending this letter?
A. I will read the letter first. But I'm saying if it's not signed, you know, I'm not willing to stand over.
221 Q. Sure. The last letter that I just asked you about, whether you sent it or not, also wasn't signed and you
had no difficulty accepting that you sent that one?
A. I thought my signature was on that.
Q. No, it wasn't, the 21st November letter. But anyway, take an opportunity to read that letter, please.
Mr. Kavanagh, would you mind scrolling up a tiny bit so 12:09 that the witness can see the start of the letter and then I want to ask a few questions about it.
A. Yeah, you might keep scrolling there, please.
Q. Just let me know whenever you have had a chance to read it, please, chief superintendent.
A. Sorry, can I go back up again? Yeah, I have a vague recollection of that, that, at the time, no, that was never -- that letter was never sent. That was in my train of thought at the time and then, you know -because I was hoping at the time to try and do a swap, that if I sent Paul Barry in to Cork City I would get someone instead of him, but that was never a runner afterwards.

224 Q. There's no reference in that letter to a swap?
A. No, no. But do you see --

225 Q. Sorry, just bear with me one second, chief superintendent before you continue?
A. Yeah.
Q. There's no reference in that letter to a swap, correct?
A. Yes, correct.

227 Q. That's a letter that is dated one day prior to the receipt of the letter from Superintendent Comyns asking for a sergeant from Mitchelstown to be sent to him in Fermoy, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in that letter you were very clearly setting out your reasons and your explanation for why sergeant Barry should be transferred out of your division into another division, which would leave your division one sergeant down, isn't that correct?
A. well, yes, that's what it would look like, but the way HRM would work with me, was that if I was giving someone to Cork City, somebody that was due to go to Cork City would come to me.
Q. Is there anywhere in any of the material, these nearly 8,000 documents that we have been provided, which shows that HRM were contemplating a swap in respect of sergeants from divisions?
A. There's not, no, but I'm saying to you that's the way the system worked, if I was giving one away, I would expect one in return. You weren't going to put your division down one for the sake of putting someone down. That if somebody in, say, Dublin was looking for transfer to Cork City, now if I was giving someone into 12:12 Cork City, then I would get the replacement.
Q. Can I suggest to you, chief superintendent, that in fact that is demonstrative of the fact that all you wanted was shot of Sergeant Barry, even if it left you a sergeant down at a time when you weren't going to get 12:12 any other sergeants from HRM, you just wanted him out of your division?
A. No, I didn't, but I wanted to get this matter resolved. I wanted to get the service to the public, you know,
back to where it should be.
231 Q. Which, as far as you were concerned, meant getting him out of your division?
A. Not really, no. No. If I could get him working, because at all times Superintendent Comyns said to me, I have no problem working with him once he does his job.
232 Q. Excuse me, chief superintendent, this has got nothing to do with Superintendent Comyns. This, in fact, is working to the disadvantage of Superintendent Comyns because it means that he's not going to get a sergeant from Mitchelstown because the only remaining sergeant in Mitchelstown would have to stay there because you would have had the station one sergeant down by transferring a sergeant out of your division?
A. But I couldn't have stood over transferring a sergeant out of my division without getting a replacement.

233 Q. I understand that that's what you're telling us now. You're certain, and again we can only go on the material that we have been given, but you are certain now, what is it, nine years later, approximately, you're certain that this letter was never sent?
A. Yes.

234 Q. When did you make a decision not to send it?
A. When I would have found out that I wouldn't be getting a replacement, because I needed a sergeant for Fermoy, is what I needed, and there was no point in me sending a sergeant to Cork City if I wasn't going to get one.
Q. When did you find out you weren't going to get a
replacement?
A. From my talking to -- I think it was Sergeant Ronan Murphy I was dealing with at the time and, you know, I was hoping to try and get a sergeant to fill Fermoy and, you know, moving somebody from Mitchelstown would have been my last resort and I just -- when I wasn't going to get one. But this was one of the things I did contemplate at one stage and $I$ have no problem saying that I did contemplate trying to have Paul Barry put into Cork City and to get somebody to replace him in Fermoy to carry out the duties.
Q. understand that, moving somebody from Mitchelstown would have been my last resort. Less than three weeks later you're writing to the $A / C$ human resource manager asking exactly that be done?
A. But I had to do, because the vacancy was in the district headquarters, which, if you look at the Code, I am obliged to fill. You see, the district headquarters and divisional headquarters, the sergeants 12:15 by night there are running the whole division sometimes, and if there is somebody off in Mallow, there's a sergeant there who could have to make a critical decision. So it's important and imperative of me to have these vacancies filled.
237 Q. On the 10th December 2013, you applied for Mr. Barry to be moved from Mitchelstown to Fermoy, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
A. That's correct.

241 Q. And the reasons you have explained to the Chairman yesterday, was that you didn't want to, to adopt your word, discommode Sergeant Quinn because he was living in the area, he had ties in the area and you didn't think it would be fair to him to move him?
A. That's correct.

242 Q. You not once spoke to Sergeant Quinn saying, would you

mind going to Fermoy so I can resolve this issue?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. we know all that.
A. Yeah, yeah. But that was the logic.

246 Q. We know what you say is your logic. You've said it yesterday, you've agreed again with me today about it. That's not the question?

CHA RMAN what is the question?
247 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: For al1 you know, Sergeant Quinn, in order to keep harmony, in order to maintain effective policing or maybe even because he liked my client, who
knows, Sergeant Quinn would have said, yeah, I have no problem, chief superintendent, I'11 go to Fermoy, no difficulty, you leave Paul Barry in Mitchelstown. You don't know what the answer to that is because you never bothered even asking?
A. But do, you see, Mr. Costelloe, Sergeant Quinn, where he was a sergeant in Mitchelstown, he was involved in things there, he was, as I would say, a community sergeant that was operating when he was off duty and known locally as a local sergeant that people went to with problems and things, and I know people who had approached him various times there. And I would be taking that whole service away from the town of Mitchelstown, because Paul Barry had made a complaint against his superintendent. I didn't think that was right. I think the people of Mitchelstown deserve better. Because what Gerry Quinn was giving the down when he was off duty, he was known as the local sergeant, he was dealing with small problems out there when he was off duty. And I just think that is a service that's to the core of An Garda Síochána and I just think he was living in the community as a sergeant and $I$ just felt, $I$ am just, $I$ suppose, old style, that that's the type of policing I was brought up with. And why should he and the people of Mitchelstown suffer because Sergeant Barry had made a complaint against his superintendent.

248 Q. So it's nothing to do with discommoding Sergeant Quinn, it's to do with the fact that Sergeant Quinn has ties
in the community?
A. Yes, but I would have been discommoding him by making him travel further to work. There was a number of elements in it.
249 Q. Okay. I'11 move on. Can we go to -- you're aware of the fact obviously that Mr. Barry appealed that transfer?
A. That's correct.
Q. If we could go to the letter which is at page 4151 of the documents. I put this to Superintendent Comyns, I don't know if you were in the room or not at the time, but I incorrectly asserted in my question that it was Superintendent Comyns had created this letter and he quite rightly corrected me, he said that in fact he had signed it but he had not created it. Did you create this letter?
A. It was created in my office anyway, I will acknowledge that.
Q. You dictated it?
A. Yes, I'd say. We11, I would say I would have looked for, said, we need a Code 8.3 for Sergeant Barry.
Q. So this is your response to the appeal being made by my client to the attempted transfer to Fermoy?
A. Just one second now. Yeah, I was asked the question, I think, by the A/C HRM.

253 Q. Yes, I am sure you were. I mean, obviously you would have been asked for your views. There's an appeal gone in, the appeal is on the basis of the Code 8.3 and you now set out, you actively try and provide a reason why
the appeal should not be allowed?
A. No, what I am doing here is that if Sergeant Barry's grounds were under the Code regulations, well it's my prerogative then as chief superintendent to apply to the commissioner and it's the commissioner decides, when he looks at both sides, whether he will give me an exemption under -- an exemption for Sergeant Barry under code 8.3. That is the commissioner's prerogative, but I am the only person that can apply for that code.
254 Q. I believe that the e-mail and the response from the chief medical officer were put to you, but just in case they weren't and I have gotten it wrong, Mr. Kavanagh would you go to page 415, please. And if you go to the bottom part of the page first, there's an e-mail which seems to be from you, chief superintendent, to the CMO. Do you see that document? I grant you, it's a little bit feint, but do you see -- you had it there, Mr. Kavanagh, go back up a tiny bit please. So it seems to be sent by you, addressed to the CMO, dated 24th April -- 24th May 2014?
A. That's correct.

255 Q. And this is you looking for further information about whether or not, in fact, Mr. Barry can be asked to attend at Fermoy Garda Station?
A. That's correct.

256 Q. Correct me, this was already put to you, wasn't it? CHA RMAN Yes.
257 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: Thank you, Chairman. So I won't dwel1
on it. we know the answer is the answer that the CMO gave you, you had that information at the time. It's all been put to you already, I think.
A. Yeah.
Q.

Okay, if we go then to the other transfer, the transfer which comes in or about January of 2015. This was an attempt to transfer my client to Anglesea Street Garda Station, correct?
A. That's correct.

Just bear with me one second, please, chief
superintendent. I just want to make sure that I am on the right... Okay. Superintendent Comyns told the tribunal that he actually transferred to initially Mayfield Garda station, that was on the 9th March 2015, he told us. You know that, obviously?
A. Yeah, yeah.
Q. He went to Mayfield, there was a bulletin that had been issued prior to that, sometime in February, late February, and he said he would have found out roughly about that time that he was moving in to the city again?
A. That's correct.
Q. And he explained, Superintendent Comyns explained to the Chairman that you get a phone call from HRM shortly before the bulletin is issued telling you that you are 12:24 being transferred, the bulletin is then issued and then the transfer goes through?
A. That's correct, yeah.
Q. It's all set out in the transcript. There doesn't
appear to be any controversy about any of that. And he clarified for us that he had in fact sought the transfer, he explained that he was a city man and he wanted to get back into the city, where he had performed a lot of his service over the years. Yeah, 12:24 you remember all of that?
A. That's correct, yeah.

263 Q. On the 20th January 2015, you met with my client, isn't that right?
A. You might refresh me on that now.

264 Q. I think it might be --
A. Oh it would be, yeah, sorry, yes, that was a Sunday morning, is that correct?
265 Q. Yes.
A. Yeah, yeah.

266 Q. Page 469, if it's in doubt.
A. Yeah, no --

267 Q. Sorry, I thought somebody was saying something to me. So page 469, on Friday the -- where is it? There it is, yeah. It's actually the first, it's the very first 12:25 sentence, sorry, I had missed it. 20th January 2015, you arranged to meet with him. You did meet with him and you told him that a resolution had to be brought to bear and as far as you were concerned he to nominate a station to be transferred to by the following Friday or 12:25 you would suggest one to HRM?
A. No, this was -- my recollection of this was that HRM had informed me, had told me that they intended transferring Paul Barry, but if Paul Barry wished to
nominate a station, that they would consider what he was wishing to nominate. Then I met him and I put this to him. This was as a result of the issuing of the chief medical officer's advices that we had to preclude interaction between them. saying you have to try and avoid them interacting with each other and the solution is to transfer Sergeant Barry?
A. He just said that we had to preclude and HRM put it to me that, right, is there somewhere that Sergeant Barry would prefer to go, we're going to transfer him. So this was a HRM thing. This was -- once I had applied to have the two of them removed, it was kind of gone out of my hands. But I was asked to ask him to nominate a station.

269 Q. Okay, chief superintendent, we'11 move on. I don't want to get bogged down in that. But the middle paragraph there:
"I tol d Sergeant Barry that if he wi shed to nomi nate a station whi ch he wi shed to go to, that HRM would consi der it. I gave hima deadline 11am Friday, 23rd J anuary 2015, to communi cate to either my office or HRM the name of the station he wi shed to nominate. I told hi mthat HRM would sel ect one ot herwise."
A. Yeah.

270 Q. I mean, that appears to be common case, nobody is arguing around that, correct? okay. So that was the

20th January the deadline was given. On the 3rd February, you e-mailed Chief Superintendent Anthony McLough1in and stated that you wished to have Sergeant Barry transferred to a district outside of Fermoy district immediately?
A. That's correct.
okay. And again, it goes over page 476 into page 477 of the materials if people need it. On the 5th February, so two days later, CSI Anthony McLough1in, Chief Superintendent Anthony McLoughlin responded to that e-mai 1 from you, to say that Sergeant Barry would transfer to Anglesea Street Garda Station, isn't that correct?
A. Yeah.

272 Q. And that that transfer was to occur on the 24 th
A. That's correct.

273 Q. Right. It's clear, could I suggest to you, what you are saying is that you didn't have any role in this particular transfer, you didn't select Anglesea Street Garda Station?
A. No.

274 Q. It was just that HRM told you that that's where he was to go to?
A. That's correct.

275 Q. But as far as you're concerned, he just had to go because there was no other way of effectively managing the situation in light of what the CMO had said?
A. That's correct.

276

So that we have the dates correct, he was to be transferred on the 24th February, Superintendent Comyns 12:29 moved to Mayfield about two weeks later, on the 9th March, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And we would then have had a situation where had that transfer to Anglesea Street gone through, he, Mr. Barry, would have been serving at a station which would have been in the same division as Mayfield?
A. That's correct. The same division, that's correct, yeah.
Yes. Mr. Barry had to appeal the transfer, his appeal was rejected and he appealed that result, in coming to Dublin he explained, he had to trave1 up to Dublin, and on the 2nd June 2016, essentially as he's about to retire, he has his appeal allowed, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.

280 Q. Shortly before HRM informed you that they were going to move, transfer Mr. Barry to Anglesea Street, you had been saying that you wanted him moved to Glanmire in the city, isn't that correct?
A. No, being honest now, when he wouldn't nominate a suit him. And I was trying to look after him and I felt, look, if they were moving him there, would he not be better to be somewhere closer to home, it would be
convenient for him and again, it would be the same type of station that he was in. It was one sergeant in charge, two unit sergeants, same opening hours, same everything. So I had enquired from chief
Superintendent Finn, I had made an enquiry to know would he take him there, because I felt it would be more convenient for him.

Bear with me please, chief superintendent. I have minimised the wrong window on my screen, so just give me a second, please. Yes, it's the letter that you say 12:31 you never actually sent, it's the one that's at page 4131, if we can just go back to it for a moment, please. 4131. And go down to the last paragraph of that, that's the paragraph where you set out your reasoning why Glanmire was the right station to send him to, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

282 Q. okay. In fact, if we scroll down a little bit further, so skip the next letter, which we have already dealt with, and move onto the next page. We have in fact now 12:32 -- keep going, Mr. Kavanagh, please. Yes. This is an e-mail which is sent from you to Ronan Murphy. will you te11 the Chairman who Ronan Murphy is, please?
A. He's a sergeant in HRM.

283 Q. Okay. And in this again you refer to Glanmire, isn't 12:32 that right? It's just that it's a little bit curious because in this particular e-mail you ask for, and I am asking for you to comment on it, you ask for Sergeant Barry to be transferred from Mitchelstown to Fermoy,
and you deal with the fact that he has relatives in Glanmire but that because Cork City Division is exempt from the provisions of code 8.3, that won't be a problem?
A. That's correct.

284 Q. So again, I don't know why, you may have an explanation for the Chairman, but it seems to be that while you are simultaneously asking for him to move to Fermoy, you're explaining why he can move to Glanmire?
A. No, no. What I am saying is that he has -- he has -he had relatives in the Glanmire area but, do you see, up to this, when Glanmire was in Cork North, he would have had to get an exemption from it. But now Glanmire was gone into Cork City and everything in Cork City is exempt code 8.3. That was the picture I was trying to get across.
285 Q. But at that stage, if they had followed through on your request, he wouldn't have been going into Cork City anyway, he would have been going to Fermoy, which was Cork North?
A. Yeah, no, this is because Fermoy was within the 50 kilometres of Glanmire.
Q. okay. Again, I don't know if -- sorry?
A. That was my logic, that.

287 Q. okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. I understand your answer. That particular e-mail, would you mind going down one page, please, Mr. Kavanagh. Just confirm for me, please, chief
superintendent, that that e-mail was forwarded by you. After you'd sent it to Mr. Murphy, you forwarded it on to Superintendent Comyns, "FYI"?
CHA RMAN Sorry go back up, Mr. Kavanagh.
A. It doesn't say it's coming from me.

289 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: If I have it wrong -- did you forward it on to Superintendent Comyns?
A. I have no recollection. I can't say I did or I didn't. I won't, you know -- but that doesn't say, it shows to michael Comyns but it doesn't say who it is coming from 12:34 or details of original message.
290 Q. CHA RMAN Somebody forwarded it.
A. Yeah, yeah, but...

MR. COSTELLOE: It's just if you go back up, please, to
the previous --
A. But you see, the time --
Q. Bear with me, chief superintendent --

CHA RMAN One second, let him finish.
MR. COSTELLOE: okay.
A. It's 28th -- sorry, it's 2020, I thought it was 28th, 9.45 , the times are totally out.
Q. Chief superintendent, just for your edification, a lot of the e-mails come to us with dates in 2020?
A. I understand that.

294 Q. So, when you see "do not reply at garda.ie", that is database and get e-mails which had been sent on to the tribunal. For example, this was sent on on 22nd August 2020. Maybe a different way of coming at it is, would
you mind going up one page, please, Mr. Kavanagh, and you will see at the top of the e-mail, so we have already dealt with the e-mail, keep scrolling up there, please. Do you see there that it's from you and it's to Michae1 Comyns, sent 28th November 2013, received 28th November 2013?
A. Yeah. I do, yeah.
Q. Yes.
A. So I am asking Ronan Murphy, like, is there a problem here or, you know, they know the picture better.

299 Q. Chief superintendent, that's undoubted7y the case. I mean, that's set out very clearly. In that e-mail you were saying that you wished to transfer Sergeant Barry from Mitchelstown to Fermoy and it seems that you cc'd or you also sent that e-mail to Superintendent Comyns on the 28th November 2013?
A. Yes.

300 Q. Okay. I mean, this is just what is apparent from the paper in front of me, if there is a different explanation, tell us now?
A. No, no, I can't -- I can't say anything on it.

301 Q. Just in relation to the Anglesea Street move, you did seek information about the appeal and whether or not it was progressing, didn't you? Mr. Barry appealed the transfer and over the course of that appeal you wrote to HRM asking what the status of the appeal was?
A. You might want to refresh me on that now.
Q. That's fine.
A. Because I rang HRM so many times asking about appeals. We were dealing with a number of appeals.
303 Q. Page 485. Go down to the very bottom, please, Mr. Kavanagh, you should see an e-mail at the very bottom of the page.
A. So we're gone back to '15 here, is it?

304 Q. Yes. Sorry, am I wrong? This pertains to the attempt 12:38 to move Mr. -- you see it right there in front of you?
A. Yeah, yeah.

305 Q. It's attempt to move him from Mitchelstown to Anglesea Street?
A. Yeah, yeah.

306 Q. It seems to be an e-mail from you to HRM asking for, four months later, an update on the status of his appeal, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. If we scroll up, we just see that it's been moved up the line, it's been moved from whoever took the initial enquiry by you and it's been moved up again and then there's a third e-mail, where we have an e-mail from Sinéad Power to the woman who had sent the previous e-mail, and it says:
"Super i nt endent,
Mr. John Barrett has been appoi nted by the commi ssi oner to carry out this review. I will check with his office 12:39 to establish the current stat us and update you."

A11 I am trying to estab1ish there, chief superintendent, and I am going to suggest to you that it seems to be obvious from that e-mail, is that you were actively engaged in trying to get information about the appeal?
A. Yes. And what would I say about that is, that I was actively trying to get a sergeant to replace him to go to Fermoy. Because that was my ultimate goal, was to 12:39 get a sergeant to go out to Fermoy to carry out the duties that we needed carried out.

308 Q. I am nearly done, chief superintendent, I just want to go back to something that you did, in fairness, mention
today and I had intended asking you about it and Mr. Perry reminds me about it. I am just going to cover this and I think then we will be done. You were obliged to attend at quite a few meetings, it seems,
where the fact of Mr. Barry having instigated litigation against An Garda Síochána was to be discussed, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, I think I was at three different meetings.
Q. It's at least three actually?
A. Yeah, yeah.

310 Q. Anyway, I'm not going to quibble with you on it. In fact, you said earlier this morning to the Chairman that you remembered having to go and talk about the personal injury summons. He had issued a personal injury summons and that was something --
A. Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah.

311 Q. Okay, I don't want to move on if you feel like I am tricking you?
CHA RMAN I am not sure he mentioned personal injury
summons. I may be wrong, I do not remember the words. He talked about litigation, but I don't remember that he said personal injury summons.
312 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: Thank you, Chairman. Let's get this absolutely straight. Do you remember the fact of
A. Yeah.

313 Q. -- a personal injury summons being discussed at any or all of those three meetings?
A. To be honest with you, not the words personal injury. But what I remember is that there was a civil claim lodged, would be the expression I would have heard or understood.
314 Q. Yes, because in fact, and this was opened to you I think by Mr. Marrinan, and it is in your statement, you said that you received correspondence from Ken Ruane, the then Head of Legal Affairs in An Garda Síochána, to notify you that a personal injury claim which Sergeant Paul Barry had lodged for alleged harassment, bullying and intimidation while working in Mitchelstown Garda Station was there, isn't that right?
A. That's correct, yeah.

315 Q. Were you furnished with a copy of it for your comments, the personal injury summons?
A. I don't -- I can't remember and I won't -- I can't remember.

316 Q. Well, wouldn't it seem to make sense that where you're attending meetings to discuss the civil action, and where Ken Ruane is writing you to say that it has been instituted, wouldn't it appear to make sense that you'd be given a copy of the personal injury summons so you can comment upon it?
A. My recollection of that is that we had a number of meetings and we were asked to get certain information. It was about dates he was out sick and, you know. We were to gather information and to bring them to a sergeant in legal section and then down to the Four Courts and give over this information, you know. We
were just gathering information, so...
317 Q. You must have been given some information about what was going on because otherwise how would you even have begun a process of looking for dates and information?
A. I was definitely given some information but $I$ have no recollection, $I$ have no recollection of what information I was given.
318 Q. Fine. But we can agree that you definitely were given some information about the personal injury summons?
A. Yes.

319 Q. And again, when more recently the tribunal investigator went back and asked you about this, you said that in August of 2015 you were aware that Paul Barry had lodged a personal injury claim which alleged harassment bullying and intimidation and now had you another series of over a hundred complaints from him, 111 I think you specify. Have I got that right?
A. No. I don't know what you're talking about, 111.
Q. To be fair to you --
A. Yes.

321 Q. -- this is a note that I jotted down and I am going to go to the actual page rather than --

CHA RMAN I think it is much more satisfactory.
322 Q. MR. COSTELLOE: 5260. The business about the number of complaints is completely wrong. That's my error in my 12:43 notes, sorry.
A. You gave me a fright there, you gave me a fright.

323 Q. Sorry, chief superintendent, but let me find the actual reference and then I'11 ask you if you agree with it.

So you're asked the question, it's down towards the bottom --

CHA RMAN The line number on the left, Mr. Costelloe.
MR. COSTELLOE: Sorry?
CHA RMAN There's a line number on the left, if you want to be specific about it.

MR. COSTELLOE: Sorry, I hadn't seen it on my version, thank you, Chairman.
CHA RMAN okay.
MR. COSTELLOE: It's line 108. And you should see:
"I found that Sergeant Barry had made a series of compl ai nts whi ch were being dealt with by A/C Nol an, he' s lodged a personal injury cl ai mwi ch all eged har assment, bullying and intimidation and now I had another series of compl ai nts fromhim As I was not aware of the details of any of the allegations he had made previ ously, in any case any of the allegations were repeated, I deci ded on the 7th August 2015 to forward the report of Inspector Heal y al ong with all the other rel evant reports to Mr. John Barrett, executive di rector HRM "

Do you see that there?
A. I do, yeah.

324 Q. Okay. What material is it that you are forwarding on to HRM?
A. Would you explain that again now. You're asking me...?

325
Q. I'm only asking you --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- because this is what you told the tribunal investigator. You see it there:
"As I was not aware of the details of any of the 12:45 allegations that he made --"
A. That was the report that Inspector Healy had done for me in relation to allegations there was about transfers of guards from one unit to the other. I asked Eoghan Healy just to get an independent view of it because I felt at the time there was so much going on, look, let's get it clear. He made certain recommendations and, as I was saying, I hadn't seen, which I said under oath here, the details of the bullying and harassment or the complaints that he had made, and I felt, let's get the whole lot investigated together. So I just sent them to John Barrett, who at the time had taken charge of that section.
327 Q. Yes. The report of Inspector Healy really pertains to things that have got nothing to do with the tribunal?
A. Yes, that's correct.

328 Q. It's not something that we are concerned with. It's the next part of your sentence there "al ong with all the ot her rel evant reports", what are you referring to
A. Oh, they were the reports that were attached. There was a report from Superintendent Comyns in it, there was a report from -- we had -- Inspector Healy had done
a report and there was a number of reports attached to it and I gave the whole lot, the whole file went to John Barrett.

So, in that assertion, in that statement there, you're on7y talking about information that pertains to Inspector Healy?
A. That's correct, yeah.

330 Q. Nothing to do with --
A. No, no, no, no.

But you became aware, because it's right there in black 12:46 and white, that Mr. Barry had instituted a personal injury claim where he was alleging harassment, bullying and intimidation, correct?
A. That's correct, yeah.

So you must have had some information to the effect that it was much more than just what Inspector Healy had been dealing with, it was other things as well?
A. No. I think what I am saying here is that he had made the eight plus one earlier on, I didn't know what was involved with them and some of the things pertaining in 12:47 Inspector Healy's, because that was quite wide, could have been overlapped or linking into some of it, so I said, rather than having two investigations maybe into the same thing, let's get to John Barrett and he can see whether the whole lot is totally separate or whether it's linking somewhere. Could I ask you to go to page 353 of your statement, please. There aren't any line numbers in this one, unfortunately, but you if you go down towards the last
third of the statement, please, Mr. Kavanagh. We're looking for a sentence that since "On 15th J une 2017". CHA RMAN Yes, there we have it. MR. COSTELLOE: "On 15th J une 2017, accompani ed by Superintendent Comyns, I attended a case conference with members of the I egal section, Garda Headquarters, the State Cl ai $\mathrm{n}_{\infty}$ Agency and counsel for the State at the Four Courts in Dubl in in rel ation to Paul Barry's personal injury clai magai nst the State."

Now, this is after you'd had at least two meetings to deal with the personal injury, you also had meetings on the 12th January 2017 and the 20th January 2017 at least, and now you're at this meeting accompanied by Superintendent Comyns. Was the content of the personal 12:48 injury summons discussed at that meeting?
A. I honestly can't recollect, but my memory of that was that it was more of, you know, loss of wages or that type of thing that we were dealing with, what dates they were out. I have no -- I have no recollection of exactly what we discussed at those meetings. Could I suggest to you, chief superintendent, that where you're going to a meeting with the State's claims agency, the barristers who are instructed on behalf of the State to defend the claim, the legal section of Garda Headquarters, Superintendent Comyns and you've been brought along, at the very least one would expect and, in fact, it did happen, that you discussed the content of the allegations set out in the personal
injury summons?
A. We probably did, you know, but I have no recollection of it.
Q. It's just that you were very clear in saying that you had no idea about what the content of the grounds 1-8 and subsequently 1-9 of the bullying claim and then the criminal/discipline claim were, when we know that the body of those complaints is set out in the personal injury summons?
A. But how could I know that the same things that were in the 1-8 were in the personal injury. Nobody ever told me that.

337 Q. Okay.
A. Are you telling me they were? Because to this day I don't know whether they were the same or not.
Q. So the distinction, if there is a distinction, is that at least we can say you knew what was in the personal injury summons as far back as 2017? Can we say that much?
A. I have no recollection, but, you know, we discussed that he had put in a claim and it was for bullying and harassment, but as to the exact details of it, I can't say I did know the details of it, as for date and time and things like that. I have no recollection of that. 339 Q. Okay. You had an understanding that there was a bullying/harassment allegation encompassed within grounds 1-8 and you told the Chairman that until you got the papers from the tribunal you didn't know what was maintained or consisted -- what those allegations
consisted of, yeah?
A. The details of them.

340 Q. The details, thank you. That is a much better way of expressing it, the details. And you've also told the Chairman that at some point in or about the time that Chief Superintendent Kehoe was nominated to take an investigation, you became aware or had recently become aware, it's not entirely clear, but you knew that there was a ninth allegation which fell under a different classification, either disciplinary/criminal, isn't that correct?
A. That's correct, yeah.

341 Q. Okay. Finally, if I could just, please, ask you to go to page 5619, the very top of the page. This is you being asked questions by the investigator for the Tribunal.
> "On 17th April 2015 (made to M nister for Justice \& Equal ity)"

And then:
"A. On 27th April 2015, I recei ved a report for my information fromthe office of assistant commi ssioner Southern Regi on, informing me that Paul Barry had written to the Mnister for Justice alleging improper interference by Superintendent Comyns in the investigation of a sexual assault and clai ming he was subject to bullying and harassment after raising his
concerns. I did not recei ve a copy of his letter to the minister."

That was the answer that you gave, is that correct?
A. That is correct.

342 Q. So, at the very least in respect of the ninth ground, the discipline/criminal, you knew that it pertained to that allegation there, interference with the investigation of a sexual assault?
A. Yes. I knew -- this was in 2021 now, when I was writing this.

343 Q. Yes, but you referred to the report in 2015?
A. Yeah, that he -- I knew that he had written to the minister.
344 Q. Sorry.
A. Somebody sent me a copy, was it the assistant commissioner, Southern Region, sent me a copy, yeah.
345 Q. Chief superintendent, the very first part of your answer there.
A. Yes, correct.

346 Q. Why are you telling us about 2021, the very first part of your answer, "On 27th April 2015, I received a report"?
A. That's correct.

347 Q. Therefore, we know that on 27th April 2015 you knew in 12:52 respect of the ninth allegation that it pertained to an allegation of improper interference by Superintendent Comyns in the investigation of a sexual assault?
A. That's correct.

348 Q. okay. In relation to the evidence of Superintendent Comyns, he said, this is the transcript of the 31st May, and it's at line 138 of page 32 , Superintendent Comyns is asked if he advised you of the criminal matter and his answer was yes. Do you remember that conversation?
A. No, I don't.
okay. Excuse me, chief superintendent, I am just making sure I haven't forgotten anything, bear with me one moment please. Excuse me, Chairman. I alluded to this earlier and just to complete the line of questions. After Superintendent Comyns moved back into Mayfield, Mr. Maguire was nominated to act as the acting superintendent for Fermoy district, isn't that correct?
A. No.
Q. No?
A. Inspector Joe O'Connor was the person who was acting until Superintendent Maguire came.
351 Q. There was somebody in between, was there, I beg your pardon. Do you know when Superintendent Maguire began working there?
A. I don't, no. But he was the person who replaced Superintendent Comyns.
352 Q. Who was?
A. Superintendent Maguire replaced Superintendent Comyns.
Q. okay. So my mistake was the fact that there was an acting superintendent in between?
A. There was, Inspector Joe O'Connor I think was there for
a short period.
354 Q. okay. But the person who replaced Superintendent Comyns was a gentleman by the name of Michael Maguire?
A. That's correct.

And specifically to something you said yesterday, my instructions are that Mr. Barry was told that it wasn't necessary for him to attend the daily briefing at Fermoy Garda Station because there was a unit sergeant already at that meeting and it wasn't necessary for him to come over from Mitchelstown every day?
A. I had no knowledge of that.
Q. It just seems that that was a very significant part of your consideration?
A. It was, yeah.
Q. Would it not suggest that maybe you should have been enquiries about that?
A. And I would have been surprised when I asked the question that Superintendent Maguire didn't say that to me, that, no, he's not, but $I$ don't require him.

360 Q. I am sorry, excuse me, chief superintendent. You know that Superintendent Maguire acknowledged that Mr. Barry did attend the weekly --
A. Oh yeah, yeah, I read it out yesterday. It was read
out.
A. Thank you very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Thank you very much. We will take a break there. who is next, I suppose Mr. O'Higgins you are next I suppose.

MR. O H GG NS: I think so, Chairman, I am totally happy to hold it over until two. I understand another witness is going to be dealt with.
CHA RMAN Absolutely.
MR. MARRI NAN I am just wondering whether perhaps the parties could indicate how long they will be with Mr. Dillane. We have another witness, a short witness at two o'clock, Superintendent Quilter. But if the

Mr. Dillane, we might finish him before Mr. Quilter gives evidence.
CHA RMAN What do you think, Mr. O'Higgins?

MR. O H GG NS: I will be short, I will be about 15 minutes maybe.
CHA RMAN That's grand. Anybody else want to say anything?
MR. HARTY: I have no questions for this witness.
MR. CARROLL: I possibly have one question. Possibly one question.

CHAI RMAN Thanks very much.
MR. OBRIEN I have two very short questions.
CHA RMAN Very good. We11, then what we will do is, 12:57 we will continue and we will complete the evidence of Chief Superintendent Dillane and then we will have the evidence of Superintendent Quilter. Okay. Thank you very much.

THE HEARI NG THEN AD OURNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED, AS FOLLOVG:

CHA RMAN Now Mr. O'Higgins.

MR. GERARD DI LLANE WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. O H GG NS, AS FOLLOMS:

363 Q. MR. OHGGN: Thank you, Chairman. Chief
Superintendent Dillane, may I ask you to deal firstly with two issues that exist between you and Sergeant Barry, amongst a number of issues. Those are, firstly, whether the sergeant did or didn't resist all offers of a transfer, so I am going to ask you about that; and
secondly, whether Sergeant Barry did or didn't indicate in your dealings with him, from time to time, I'm going nowhere, it's the superintendent who must go, all right. So I just want to focus on those for the moment.

In relation to the first of those, whether he did or didn't resist all offers for a transfer, as $I$ understand it, Sergeant Barry says he indicated his willingness to transfer provided it was paid at public expense. Could I just ask you to look at what he said on the transcript on that, on transcript 178, Day 178, at page 65 , I think might be a good place to start. And the way it was put on page 65 , and this is in the questioning by Mr. McGuinness, I think, for the tribunal:
"Q. So potential options for transfers have been proposed to you?
A. Yes, I was given a number --"

CHA RMAN Hold on Mr. O'Higgins. Has everybody got it? Mr. Costelloe, have you got it?
MR. COSTELLOE: I do, thank you.
CHAN RMAN We now have it.

MR. OHGGN: We now have it, thank you. It's page 65 , if we go down to line 11. And it says:
"Q. Yes, potential options for transfer have been
proposed to you?
A. Yes, $I$ was gi ven a number of stations that l could apply to be transferred to.
Q. And you' re agreed that you rejected those out of hand?
A. I agree that I would not appl y for a transfer, yes."

A11 right. And then in the same transcript, it's covered elsewhere. You're aware, are you, chief superintendent, that's an issue that's between you?
A. That's correct, yeah. That's correct.

364 Q. A11 right. Could I ask you now, this might be an appropriate time, to look at the document at page 5757. And this is a document that the tribunal provided the parties with the day before yesterday, I think the tribunal only having received it very recently. And it is the application, the PIAB application completed by Sergeant Barry in relation to his claim against An Garda Síochána and the State, isn't that so?
A. That's correct.

365 Q. And I think this document, if we just look at it, I will just get the date of it first of all. We might scroll down. We see that it's dated 23rd August 2014. It might carry two dates, there may be a July and an August date, but certain1y it's 2014. Perhaps if we go down to the bottom of it, we might see the -- 23rd July. So do you see the signature page there, 23rd Ju7y 2014?
A. That's correct.

366 Q. Under the signature of Paul Barry. Mr. Kavanagh might just scroll up, in the curial part of it, just two boxes up, there's a box and there's reference to Dr. Dennehy. Now, if we go up a little bit. Yes, just 14:06 there. So this is Paul Barry's statement or application form and he says the following:
"Dr. Dennehy incorrectly records that I am hoping for a transfer. However, in fact, l amseeking to resist a transfer but l amhoping that Superintendent Comyns avails of a transfer or change whi ch will remove the necessity to work directly with him"

From your point of view, chief superintendent, is that of relevance in relation to the issue between you and he as to whether he did or didn't?
A. That's exactly what $I$ have been saying in my evidence. On the 13th October, when I met him, he told me that it was he made the complaint and because he made the complaint, it's the superintendent should be transferred. On the 9th April, when I met him with Tony O'Sullivan, he said, I'm going nowhere, you know, he told me he was going nowhere when we put it to him. So I think that this just shows the frame of mind, and this was back -- this was on in July '14, which was the appeal of the Fermoy, would I be correct, the Fermoy transfer. So you know, it's the same thing. It's continued on. I see. In relation to the second issue that I mentioned, the question as to whether Paul Barry did or didn't indicate it was for the superintendent to move, can I ask you to deal with one or two transcript references from Paul Barry, just to get your response to those, if I could. The first is Mr. McGuinness's evidence, questions to him on the same transcript, if Mr. Kavanagh stil1 has it open, transcript 176, Day 176?
CHA RMAN Sorry, it was Day 178 a moment an ago. MR. OHGGNS: I beg your pardon, was it 178 I had open, it was. If we can move to 176.
CHA RMAN Now you want us to go to 176, Mr. O'Higgins, is that right?
MR. O H GG NS: 176, please, Chairman, yes.
CHA RMAN Thank you.
369 Q. MR. O H GG NS: So this is Mr. Barry being examined by Mr. McGuinness. And it's page 78. And you see there on line 6 Mr . McGuinness is reading out your part of the statement "'l told himthat Superintendent M chael Comyns was the appointed district officer for Fermoy di strict by the Garda Commi ssi oner. As such, Superintendent Comyns was in charge of Fer moy Garda di strict according to the Garda Sí ochána Code, I told
hi mthat a district officer's authority and responsi bility cannot be taken fromhimby me,
Dr. Ki el y or any other person.'

Do you recall a di scussion -
A. No
Q. - about the position that Superintendent Comyns had?
A. No
Q. You don't di spute it though?
A. I do di spute it, I don't -- I woul dn't have -- I di dn't get into a conversation with in rel ation to Q. Well, this is what he is saying he said to you?
A. Oh I know, and that's why I bel i eve he brought I nspector O Sullivan with him
Q. Pardon?
A. And that's why I bel i eve he brought Inspector O Sullivan with him He can say what ever he wants. Hes had a witness. I had nobody."

Then, in the same transcript, if we go to page 27 , the matter is dealt with again on page 87 , if we have that. And on line 10 , the following is being put to him, I probably should start with the sentence line 5 :
"And if we go on to the next page then, he thinks it took ten to 15 mintes, he di dn't take any entries. "

This is the April disputed discussion:
"But he sort of summarised it as Chi ef Superintendent Dillane offering you another station and said that you coul dn't work in Mtchel stown as you were ref using to work with the superintendent, and to his recollection you sai d, l'mgoing nowhere, the super will have to go. You don't recall that or do you thi nk you did say that? A. I do recall that that was not said."

All right? So that's Sergeant Barry's position, that it just simply wasn't said?
A. To be fair to Sergeant Barry, I don't think it was said on the first date I met him, or sorry, on the 13th October when I met him in the car park, but I don't believe he said anything about the super the second night, he just said, I'm going nowhere, on the 9th April, when we put to him about it couldn't take and we couldn't take the responsibility off the superintendent, and I went through the Morris Tribunal and all that. But that night he just said, I'm going nowhere.
370 Q. Right. Because later on in my colleague Mr. Murphy's cross-examination of him, he brought back to the meeting of the April and put it to him squarely the suggestion that he had said, I'm going nowhere, the super will have to go. And he indicates that was not said. Specifically the April discussion, the Sam Browne belt meeting, on his case?
A. Yeah, my recollection is that night he just said, I'm
going nowhere. I don't believe there was a reference to the super moving that night. That was on7y said to me on the October meeting in the car park.
371 Q. Yes. And why were you bringing up the business about you being unable to transfer a superintendent under the 14:12 Code?
A. Because I can't, I can't transfer anyone but I have an input into transferring sergeants and the superintendent is solely -- that is solely vested in the commissioner. And a vacancy for a superintendent would be much rarer than vacancies for sergeants. There's vacancies for sergeants very regularly around the place but not for superintendents.
Yes. Can I move to a separate issue then, chief superintendent, and that is, the issue concerning the fatal fire, if I could use that shorthand, and the question that you had criticised him for not producing the report to the superintendent. Sorry, just excuse me, sorry, ahead of that, can I bring you to a different, wholly separate issue. The issue concerning the Certificate of Service, very good as distinct from exemplary?
CHA RMAN So we will forget the fatal fire for a moment, Mr. O'Higgins, you're going to come back to that. okay.
373 Q. MR. OHGG NS: Could I ask you to look at a document page 4749 and just while that's being put up on screen by Mr. Kavanagh, can I ask you this: You're aware, aren't you, that it's part of Sergeant Barry's
complaint that individually in his case there was an unfairness organised by you in that there was a long delay as distinct from the delay that was involved with other retirees?
A. That's correct.

374 Q. There was a long delay organised by you in relation to producing his certificate, isn't that so?
A. That's correct.
Q.

That's one of his complaints. And this document then on page 4749, as I understand it, in the materials, is the date on which other retired gardai got their Certificate of Service, do you see that there?
A. That's correct.
Q. Just looking at that document, can you assist the Chairman, was it the case that from time to time there was quite intervals of time or, we'11 call them, delays, involved in other retirees getting their certificate?
A. There was, yeah. But I explained my delay was because I had to -- the files were held in my office because of 14:15 the personal injury claim.

377 Q. Yes. And just looking at that document there, you might just see there, for instance, in the right-hand column, which I think is the date of retirement, column, underneath Paul Barry 's certificate there's a 14:15 list of blacked out names for other retirees, is that your understanding?
A. That's correct, yeah.
Q. And I think if we look at the second one down, the date
of retirement is 6th January '16.
A. That's correct.

379 Q. And then halfway down, there's also a 31st January '16?
A. Correct.

380 Q. And we needn't read out all the dates there. But there does seem to be quite a period of delay involved with other retirees --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- according to this official document?
A. That's correct.

382 Q. Could I ask you then, and I'11 finish up shortly, could I ask you to deal with a more high level matter and that is the overall allegation of targeting against you, all right. I just want to mention a couple of dates to you. The PIAB application form that we've looked at is July '14, the personal injury summons of Sergeant Barry is February 2015, and his Replies to Particulars were some date after August '15, the date of the notice, all right, those dates. Can you assist the Chairman, when did you become aware that you, Gerard Dillane, were the subject of an accusation that you had participated in targeting and discrediting of Mr. Barry?
A. When I got notification from the tribunal. The date, I would have the documentation at home. But it was sometime -- it was many years after that anyway, Mr. O'Higgins.
383 Q. We know that there is criticism in the Replies to Particulars and we needn't trouble that now, that's a
matter for another occasion, but in terms of targeting, that's your evidence --
A. That's correct, yeah.

384 Q. -- when you got the papers?
A. That's correct.

385 Q. You've told the Chairman what you say in relation to that, but just in terms of the impact of those allegations, how has this affected you?
A. Affected me greatly, loss of sleep, affected my health, it has taken -- since I got the notification, I got the 14:17 details from An Garda Síochána in November 2000, and I spent three months probably trying to put a statement together, during which time my son got married, then I was going through it and both my parents died, and it affected me greatly trying to deal with family matters, having this hanging over me, because I felt I served An Garda Síochána well for 40 years and six months and I always thought I had tried to help everyone and I will honestly say that I never ever targeted anybody in my whole service.

386 Q. Thank you very much.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Now, who is next? Mr. Carroll.
MR CARROLL: Yes.
CHA RMAN Mr. o'brien, you have no questions?
MR. O BRIEN I just have two very short questions.
CHA RMAN We11, who should I go to next, Mr. Carroll
or Mr. O'Brien?
MR. CARROLL: I'11 go first, Chairman.

## MR. GERARD DI LLANE UAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. CARROLL, AS FOLLOWB:

parts in that I just wanted to highlight and ask you to confirm the position. One, it's about six or seven lines down, and it just relates to, and I can give the transcript references as well, but we don't need to go to them, Mr. Barry in his evidence has indicated, on Day 178 at page 34, and earlier on Day 175, in direct evidence from Mr. McGuinness, that in relation to the meeting you had with him in the car park, that there was an offer in relation to -- at that point there was an offer in relation to Carrigtwohill Garda station. You've already given evidence that at that point your thinking related I think to Glanmire, is that correct?
A. That's correct.

388 Q. And it's just you have in your statement there that there was no reference whatsoever to Carrigtwohill Garda station, I just want you to confirm is that the position?
A. That's correct.

389 Q. And second7y, just on the same page, a bit further
down, about six or seven sentences from the end, you reference also that during your dealings with Mr. Barry, that the names of Tony and John Quilter were never mentioned?
A. That's correct.

END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Very good. Now, Mr. O'Brien.

MR. GERARD DI LLANE WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. O BRI EN AS FOLLOVG:

391 Q. MR. O BRI EN Good afternoon, chief superintendent. Patrick O'Brien is my name and I am one of the lawyers representing former Assistant Commissioner Fintan Fanning and Inspector Anthony o'Sullivan. Just a couple of short questions on behalf of former Assistant Commissioner Fanning. Last week, when Mr. McGarry was cross-examining Mr. Barry, Mr. Barry told the tribunal that his complaints against former Assistant Commissioner Fanning were broken into a number of categories. And one of those complaints, he said to the tribunal, relates to the conversation that Assistant Commissioner Fanning and yourself had on the 19th March 2014, regarding discipline. And we know that that's mentioned in your statement, which is on page 345. And I think that you dealt with this
yesterday when you were being examined by Mr. Marrinan. And I think you'11 accept that you told the tribunal yesterday that Assistant Commissioner Fanning did not direct you to discipline Mr. Barry, isn't that correct?
A. That is correct. that?
A. That's correct.

394 Q. Thank you, chief superintendent.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN That's it, Mr. O'Brien? Very good. Now, Mr. Marrinan.

MR. MARRI NAN I have no further questions.
CHA RMAN Very good. Thank you very much, chief superintendent.
THE WTNESS: Thank you, Chairman.
CHA RMAN You're free to go now. Thank you very much. You can come back, you can watch or anything you like,
but you don't have to do so. Thank you very much indeed.

ME. MEGRATH Thank you, Chairman. The next witness is retired Superintendent John Quilter.
CHAI RMAN Thank you very much.

## MR. JOHN QU LTER, HAV NG BEEN SUORN, MAS <br> DI RECTLY- EXAM NED BY MG. MEGRATH AS FQLONS:

CHAL RMAN Thanks very much. Sit down, superintendent. 14:22 Thank you very much. Now, Ms. McGrath.

MS. MEGRATH Thank you, superintendent. Chairman, just in your ease, his statement is at page 927 of the papers.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much.
MS. MEGRATH Now, good afternoon, superintendent. Just at the outset, just outlining your background within An Garda Síochána, I think you were the district officer in the midleton district, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

396 Q. And you say in your statement that you joined the force in 1983 and you became a superintendent in 2010, is that right?
A. That's correct, yeah.

397 Q. And your first posting as superintendent, was that in Midleton?
A. No. I spent 18 months in Bantry, from 2010 until late 2011.

398 Q. okay. So you took up your role then in Midleton at the
end of 2011, is that right?
A. Yeah, just, I think it was December 2011, heading into 2012.

Can you tell the Chairman then, did you remain in Midleton then as superintendent for the remainder of your career before you retired?
A. No, I spent five years in midleton and then I transferred to Anglesea Street, as a superintendent in Anglesea Street station, that was 2017 unti1 '19, and I retired in February 2019.

400 Q. Okay. So we can say then effectively between 2012 and 2016 you were the superintendent in Midleton. So for the period this tribunal is looking at?
A. That's correct.

401 Q. okay. Now, we know from everybody's evidence that was in the Cork North division and you were the neighbouring district of Fermoy, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

402 Q. Now, are you similar sized districts?
A. Probably bigger, because, you know, the district, once the amalgamation took place, took in Cobh right down as far as Youghal bridge, so it was an extensive -- we were really going from the borders of Glanmire all the way down as far as Youghal, so pretty extensive. So I would say it was probably bigger than the Fermoy district.

403 Q. okay. I take it, being neighbouring districts, there would be a lot of cooperation between the districts, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, I think we have heard from Chief Superintendent Dillane that he became at divisional officer in I think early 2012. So for your period there he was your divisional officer, is that right?
A. That's correct. Okay. A11 right. Now, can I just ask you then, you talk in your statement about Superintendent Comyns and again, we've heard from Superintendent Comyns and he became the district officer in July 2010, so again, for 14:25 the periods where you were neighbouring districts, you were both the superintendents, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

406 Q. Okay. Now, I think you say you have known him since 1984 and you say you knew or know him now, possib7y, in 14:25 a professional and private capacity, is that right?
A. That's correct.

407 Q. And I think we know from the papers and we don't have to open it, I think you had a close enough friendship, is that right, you were very friendly throughout the years?
A. We11, both professionally and privately as well, yeah.

408 Q. okay.
A. That was a common interest in sport, we'11 say, from the private side and then obviously we worked together, 14:26 we'11 say, at sergeant and inspector level in Cork City as well, in the division.

409 Q. okay. I think, and we don't need to open it but I think you would have told in one of your interviews

Chief Superintendent Kehoe that you had a strong relationship, is that right? That's at page 2943 of the papers, we don't have to open it but would that be a fair reflection of...?
A. Yeah, absolutely.

410 Q. Now, you said you served -- sorry, then moving on to Sergeant Barry. You say, on the other hand, in relation to Sergeant Barry, you never had cause to serve with him or supervise him and, in fact, you say you only met him once, is that right?
A. That's to the best of my recollection, I would have had no interaction with Sergeant Barry. Again, he would have been in the adjoining district but $I$ wouldn't have had any interaction. The only time I met him was as I outlined in my statement.

411 Q. Okay. And that's at the briefing we'11 talk about in a moment?
A. Yeah.

412 Q. In June 2014, is that right?
A. That's correct.

413 Q. Now, notwithstanding that, you do outline in your statement that you were aware that Superintendent Comyns had difficulties with Sergeant Barry, is that right?
A. Yeah, I was just generally aware, that's correct.

414 Q. Now, if we can just look at your statement, at page 927 there, you say just in the fourth paragraph down:

[^0]Do you see where I am looking at, superintendent?
A. I do.

415 Q. You say "I nsof ar as I can recall these difficulties
rel ated to the supervisi on of Sergeant Paul Barry, it
is my recollection that Ser geant Barry was ref using to comply with di rections gi ven by Superintendent Comyns. My recollection rel ates to issues surrounding his ref usal to attend Fermoy Garda Station for duty to parade the district unit."

Is that right?
A. That's correct.

416 Q. Okay. Can you just tell the Chairman, in relation to your knowledge of those difficulties, how did you come to know that or can you explain?
A. It would have probably arisen during the divisional PAF meetings that I would have attended, and they would be held on a weekly basis in Fermoy under Chief Superintendent Dillane.
417 Q. And was that knowledge that would have been with you from about the time you started. As you say, you came in 1ate 2011. would that have gone from the beginning of 2012, because I know the golf event, which we will talk about in a moment, was 2014, but what I am asking
is: Is this something you would have been aware of from the outset really?
A. I couldn't say it was from the outset, but I was aware and I can't say at what stage I was aware. And that's
being honest about it. So, I was aware, but at what point in time $I$ just can't -- I'm not in a position to say.
418 Q. okay. Now, in relation to your awareness of those difficulties, can I ask you, you do go on in your statement to say that you were unaware that Mr. Barry had made a protected disclosure prior to receiving material from the tribunal, is that right?
A. That's correct.

419 Q.
But can I ask you, in relation to -- I'm not sure if you have been here or been watching in on his evidence, were you aware, notwithstanding that, that a bullying and harassment complaint had been made in October 2012?
A. I wouldn't have been aware in October 2012 that it was made, I was aware at some point in time that there was a bullying and harassment claim.

420 Q. Can you place that point in time, are we talking about 2012, 2013, do you know?
A. I would say it was sometime late '13. I honestly can't say.

421 Q. Okay. But in any event, would it have been before you met him in 2014?
A. Yes.

422 Q. That one time you say you met him?
A. It would.

423 Q. Again can I ask you this: In relation to Mr. Barry, would you have been aware that there was a criminal aspect to that bullying and harassment complaint?
A. No. Okay. So this is post meeting Mr. Barry, is that right?
A. Well post it, yeah. It was Chief Superintendent Kehoe wrote to me. I think it was in October '14. But at that stage, even when she wrote to me, I wasn't aware that that was a criminal investigation at that particular point, because the heading on the letter or the correspondence was the term bullying and harassment or something to that effect. There was no mention of criminal at that stage.
okay. And just a final issue on this, with regard to your awareness and Mr. Barry, we've been dealing for a number of days now quite extensively about a medical certificate that was issued by his doctor. It's at page 198 of the papers. Can I just ask you about this, because we know Superintendent Comyns said in his statement that you were aware of his medical certification. So can $I$ just start at the beginning and ask you to look at it, 198, that's the medical certificate that's been at issue here. Can I ask you what was, around that time, your awareness of this certificate, did you know it had issued, did you know
A. The only thing I would have been aware of was that there was a difficulty in Sergeant Barry attending at Fermoy Garda Station and that was my knowledge at the
time, at the particular time.
427 Q. okay.
A. Obviously I on receiving the papers that I received from the tribunal, I have obviously seen what the issues are now. But at the time, that was my understanding.
428 Q. Okay. Because as you see there in the second line it says:
"He shoul d not come into contact with Superintendent M chael Comyns."
A. I wouldn't have seen that.

429 Q. And in that regard, what do you think then -- can I just ask you, if you wouldn't mind, to look at page -if Mr. Kavanagh can open page 568 of Mr. Comyns' statement. Actually, sorry, I think I might have the wrong page. 565. And if you can just scroll down there, Mr. Kavanagh, please, to the third last paragraph. Can you see there, the last line, do you see the paragraph starting with "Superintendent Quilter 14:32 had annual leave booked", do you see where I am, superintendent?
A. Yeah.

430 Q. He says in the last line there:
"They both were aware of Sergeant Barry's medical certification. "

Can I ask you about that?
A. All $I$ recall was, it was just connected with him not being able to attend Fermoy Garda Station. It wasn't in my district. I wasn't really concerned. I had enough problems, I had 120 members or 110 members under my control in the Midleton district and that was my priority. So I would have been aware but I wouldn't have been aware of the specifics that were contained within the medical certificate.
okay. So is it your position then that you didn't realise that there was a certificate out there saying he should not have direct contact with Superintendent Comyns, is that your position?
A. The contact issue, my understanding was that he wasn't to attend Fermoy Garda Station. That was as much as I knew. I didn't go into the details of it. As I say, it wasn't affecting the policing in my district at the time.

432 Q. okay. If we can just move on then and get to the golfing event itself, you know that's issue 8 in our papers. The event itself, we know, we've heard over the last couple of days, it was an enormous sporting event. And I think you say in your statement it attracted over 100,000 visitors over a period of four days, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

433 Q. So it was an extensive policing operation, we know and Mr. Barry accepted that police were drawn from the surrounding districts and no one was selected individually, as it were, is that right?
A. That's correct. It was done on a unit basis with, I suppose -- at the back of, you know, the overarching was the financial aspect of it as well and the decisions were taken from a financial perspective around the units and the particular units that would work there.

Okay. We know as well, we have seen the papers that there were operational orders and rostering put in place, and I think you seem to be the main driver of that with Inspector Eoghan Healy, who was in Midleton Garda station, in your district, is that right?
A. That's correct.

435 Q. okay. So, is it fair to say that you were the main driver with Inspector Healy with regard to putting all of the operational side of it together?
A. Absolutely, yeah.
Q. Okay. Now, you then were unable to work at the event, is that right?
A. That's correct.

437 Q. And you said that you had a family holiday booked. Can 14:35 I just ask you, it's just not clear, when was that annual leave, within your knowledge? For example, when did you know that you couldn't work at the event?
A. I knew well before the event was even advised to us. I knew in December of '13.
438 Q. okay. And notwithstanding that, you continued with the operational side of it, isn't that right, because it was essentially in your district?
A. That's correct.

441 Q. okay.
A. That was a decision for Chief Superintendent Dillane. 442 Q. okay. So there was no agreement between the two of you, you cover my annual leave?
A. No, no. In relation to the golf?
A. No. There was in relation to the annual leave. That would be normal practice, if a superintendent was taking leave, same as a sergeant, or same as an inspector, they'd look to see was there someone Superintendent Comyns and queried whether he'd be around in June as I was taking annual leave, and he said he would, and the purpose of that would be to cover me for the purposes of extension of prisoners and 14:36 maybe warrants or whatever. So, I knew back in December that that was the situation; that Mick Comyns would cover me for that situation. The golf, we weren't notified until towards the end of January of
2014. That was the notification, that's when that came.

444 Q. Okay. So the conversation you had with Superintendent Comyns was quite early on, almost immediately, do you think, when you knew you were taking annual leave, he was going to cover?
A. I wouldn't have booked my annual leave unless I knew there was cover.
Q. okay?
A. So I would have had that conversation with Mick Comyns first and then subsequent to that I would have booked my leave, or booked my holiday and then the golf, we'11 say, didn't come on the scale until January of '14, when we were notified towards the latter end of the month.

446 Q. Okay. Because when the golf came then up on the radar, as you say, as early as January 2014, when did Superintendent Comyns become involved in the organisation or being involved in the run up to the event?
A. I'd say Mick Comyns would have been aware, I would have kept him up-to-date as to the steps and the progress that we were taking. Over a few months in advance of the golf, and it was probably towards May and June that he attended some meetings and tabletop exercises as
we11. I don't have the specific dates, but I would have kept him abreast of the plans that were going on. And obviously his district, which was the adjoining district, would be supplying personnel for the golf as
wel1.
447 Q. Okay. But is it fair to say then, at least by May you knew, Superintendent Comyns knew and Chief
Superintendent Dillane knew that you were stepping out and Superintendent Comyns was stepping in to take over the operational policing, is that right?
A. Chief Superintendent Dillane would have known in January of '14 because I would have flagged to it to him when it was announced about the golf, that I was actually on leave for that particular period of time. So I had flagged it with Chief Superintendent Dillane back in January of 2014.
448 Q. okay. Now, you say in your statement that you worked right up to the eve of the event, is that right?
A. That's correct.

449 Q. So when did your annual leave actually start, what date, do you remember?
A. On the Thursday of the golf, I think.

450 Q. Okay. So that would have been the 19th, I think?
A. Yeah.

451 Q. Okay. So the first day effectively?
A. Well, the first day was the Pro-Am, I think.

452 Q. The 18th?
A. But the actual first day of the meeting --

453 Q. okay.
A. To the best of my knowledge it was the Thursday that my holiday started.

454 Q. Okay. Now, can I just take you back then to the meeting that you with Sergeant Barry. Now, again we've
heard about it in direct and cross-examination of Sergeant Barry and I don't want to retrace that ground. But you say you met him in June 2014, you don't have that date, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

455 Q. It's your recollection that you met him at a briefing in Midleton Garda station, you were accompanied by Inspector Healy, is that right?
A. That's correct.

456 Q. And to your recollect, you met him for a standard briefing and he was with Sergeant Geary, is that right?
A. That's correct.

457 Q. okay. Now, you say in your statement, and again this is page 928 , if we can just bring it up there, and it's near the end of the first large paragraph. Now, if you 14:40 just stop there, near the end, about two-thirds into it. Okay. You say:
"Following the briefing --"

This is near the end of the paragraph:
" -- Sergeant Barry hi ghl ighted his concern about potential contact with Superintendent Comyns at the event. I took this on board and placed Sergeant Barry in charge of the supervision of a key traffic location at Barryscourt, Carrigtwohill, under the direct supervision of Inspector Heal y, who was in charge of the traffic management plan for the event. Sergeant

Barry was detailed for this location for the two days he worked at the event."

We will come back to the line you mentioned in a moment. Okay, so you're saying there you took it on board, he spoke to you and he had concern about potential contact, is that right?
A. Yeah. He mentioned that he had difficulties with his contact with Superintendent Comyns and I said to him, we'11 take that on board, and then obviously in the duty details it's reflected that he was placed at Barryscourt roundabout, which is on the periphery of Carrigtwohil1, which is a strategic junction on the eastern side of the golf event.
458 Q. It was your decision then to locate him there, feeling that was a solution to the problem, is that right?
A. In consultation with Inspector Healy, who was responsible for the traffic implementation plan.
459 Q. Now, he said when he gave his evidence to the tribunal, back at Day 177, I will just paraphrase it, he said, I would have no contact with him and I was happy with that. Is that what you told him, no contact with him? Do you remember if you told him that?
A. I didn't. I did not say that to him.
Q. Can you remember -- can you help us as to the conversation itself?
A. Well, like I've said in my statement, I told him I'd take it on board and that's what I did. I couldn't have given him an undertaking that he would have no
contact with Superintendent Comyns, because this was a major event, Sergeant Barry would have been aware we were policing major event, anything can go wrong, there could be anything from a fatal accident to a serious incident, which then would be under the control of Superintendent Comyns. So I couldn't have given him that commitment. I certainly took it on board and that's why, if you look at the duty detail, he under the supervision of Inspector Healy.

461 Q. And I mean, you knew the background to this in any event. So did this take you by surprise, the conversation?
A. I couldn't say. I can't recall whether it took me by surprise or not.
okay. Did you speak to Superintendent Comyns after this exchange about Sergeant Barry?
A. In relation to Sergeant Barry?

463 Q. In relation to Sergeant Barry, what he had --
A. I don't recall that taking place, no.

464 Q. Okay. Did you consider talking to him?
A. This was back in 2014, I can't say I considered or not. I don't know. I didn't -- I know I didn't speak to Superintendent Comyns on that issue. I would have discussed it with Inspector Healy, that's as much as I would have done.

465 Q. Okay. But he says there, in your statement you say concern about potential contact with Superintendent Comyns, but you never spoke to Superintendent Comyns afterwards?
A. No.
Q. Is that right?
A. Not on this issue, no. I would have briefed him on other issues pertaining to the golf.

Did you consider talking to the chief superintendent in the light of what had been said to you?
A. I can't say what I considered at the time, but I don't recall bringing it to the attention of either of them. This was about having personnel at various locations, to ensure the implementation of the traffic plan and the operational order. That was my concern and I knew that Inspector Healy would be -- Sergeant Barry could report directly to Inspector Healy. So I felt I had addressed the matter in that particular fashion.

470 Q. Now, you knew -- as you say, you were very involved in the organisational remit of the whole thing, would you have been aware that he would have had to attend at briefings, as he talks about in his material to us and in his evidence?
A. Every member from garda right through to chief superintendent level would be attending, or to superintendent level would have been attending the briefing.
471 Q. So, even though you say in your statement he would be under the direct supervision of Inspector Healy, at the same time did you accept -- did you know he would be coming into contact with Superintendent Comyns in those ways at briefings and if anything happened that required him to deal with it?
A. Well, this was a major event, every member had to be briefed. The briefing was taking place on a morning, every morning. And every member that was required to go on duty would have been part of the briefing and the parading of that.
472 Q. I think you say in the statement there, that line, you refer to Sergeant Barry and say:
"I di dn't have any di rect conversations or deal ings with Superintendent Comyns at the event. In these ci rcunstances I do not understand how any allegation inference or i mputation arises."

And that remains your position, is that right?
A. That's correct.

473 Q. Sergeant Barry, when he was giving his evidence, just to put to you what he said, he said, I shouldn't have been put there in the first place, in the light of the conversation that he had with you, what's your response
to that?
A. Sergeant Barry high1ighted his concern, I took it on board, I placed him at the location knowing that Superintendent Comyns would have been primarily engaged with the golf on site and that Sergeant Barry would have been under the control, I suppose, of Inspector Healy at the time.
Q. It's also one of his statements that he was placed there contrary to his doctor's advice?
A. I can't comment on that.

475 Q. Okay. Now, finally, he said it is his understanding of the matter that you deliberately took leave in these circumstances to facilitate him working under Superintendent Comyns at the event?
A. I applied for my leave and I booked my holidays back in 14:46 December of 2012. I had no -- or 2013. I had no knowledge that we were even having the golf competition at that stage, so $I$ can't see how that is the case.
476 Q. Thank you, superintendent. Can you answer any questions, please?

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAK Now, Mr. Costelloe, have you any questions?
MR. PERRY: I am sorry, Chairman, I am taking this witness, David Perry is my name.
CHA RMAN I am sorry, of course.

MR. JOHN QU LTER WAS CROSS- EXAM NED BY MR. PERRY, AS FOLLOVB:

477 Q. MR. PERRY: Superintendent Quilter, David Perry is my name. I don't expect to take up much of your time, but 14:47 there's just a few issues that you covered there that I just want to revisit and go into a little bit more detail with, if that's okay. Can I ask you first of a11, just in terms of the first issues that you're covering in terms of what you knew and when you knew it. I think your evidence was that you knew that Paul Barry had made an allegation that he had been bullied and harassed by Superintendent Comyns, is that correct?
A. I was aware at some point in time of that situation, the specifics, as to when, I'm not too sure. I think it was late 2012 into 2013 I think.
A11 right, and that's a fair answer. That's the time period that you're saying you think that you might have become aware of it. Can I ask, do you think that you're aware of that before the run up to the representation for the Irish Golf Open?
A. I would have been aware, yeah, absolutely.

479 Q. Yes.
A. But at that stage my understanding was the bullying and harassment case had been dealt with at that stage.
480 Q. How did you become aware of the allegations of bullying and harassment?
A. I don't know. I don't know was it mentioned at a divisional PAF or was it in conversation with

Superintendent Comyns or... I'm not sure, I can't say, I can't answer that.
A. I don't know, I don't know, I can't say, I'm not sure, and my answer is, I don't know when I became aware of it. again, but he's someone you have known since 1984, you knew him in a professional context when you were in service, you've known him personally as well, I think you have been involved in sports, you have been involved throughout your careers together, a very close relationship with him, isn't that right?
A. Yeah, we'd be good friends both professionally and personally, yeah.
483 Q. And it's fair to say, in that context there probably wouldn't be anything unusual in Superintendent Comyns discussing with you that there had been a bullying and harassment complaint made against him?
A. Probably wouldn't, but all I'm saying is, I don't recall how I found out, whether it was mentioned at a divisional PAF meeting or whether Superintendent Comyns informed me. I don't know, and that's my answer.
484 Q. A11 right. Superintendent Comyns might have mentioned it to you, you're not sure if that is the case or not?
A. He might have, but it might have been mentioned at a divisional PAF meeting as wel1. That's all. I don't

485 Q.
Q. Yes. You became aware eventually that there was a specific allegation by Sergeant Barry that Superintendent Comyns had interfered with the You became aware at some point of that allegation, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And what's your evidence in terms of when you became aware of that specific allegation?
A. When I was contacted by Chief Superintendent Kehoe in -- I think it was in 2014.
Q. Yes.
A. It was October '14, I think.

492 Q. Yes. I think that letter is at page 2720 of the materials. We don't need to open it. But there's a letter sent to you by Chief Superintendent Kehoe on the 2nd October 2014, outlining something of the allegation against Superintendent Comyns. Is it your evidence that that's the first time you had ever heard that that allegation had been made?
A. Which allegation now are you referring to?

493 Q. The allegation by Sergeant Barry that Superintendent Comyns had interfered with the course of an investigation in 2012. Are you saying, is your evidence that the first time you had heard about that allegation was in October 2014?
A. To the best of my recollection, yeah.

494 Q. Superintendent Comyns never mentioned it to you before that?
A. I don't recall discussions on that issue, no.
Q. It never came up in one of the PAF meetings?
A. I'm trying to recal1. I mean, this is 2022. I'm just 14:52 trying to give you my recollection. The first I was aware that there was a criminal investigation into any aspect that Chief Superintendent Kehoe was dealing with, was subsequent to our correspondence in October 2014.

496 Q. Is it possible, is it possible that you could have heard about the allegation before you received that 1etter from Chief Superintendent Kehoe in 2014?
A. It's possible. But I can't say and I'm not prepared to
speculate. I'm not prepared to say when it was because I don't know exactly when it was.
497 Q. All right. Can I ask you then in terms of what you're aware about in terms of the medical certificate. I wonder if we might bring this up on screen, it's at page 4002. If you wouldn't mind scrolling down, please. That was the medical certificate that was issued in respect of Sergeant Barry. Is it your evidence that you never saw that?
A. My evidence is that I didn't see it until such time as I was served with the documentation relative to the tribunal.
Q. I'm sorry, a fair way to put it is: Your evidence is that while you were still in service in An Garda Síochána, you didn't see that medical certificate?
A. That's correct.

499 Q. And is your evidence that in terms of -- sorry, you were aware that a medical certificate was in existence when you were still in service?
A. I was aware that there was something, some medical cert ${ }_{14: 53}$ in existence, yeah.
500 Q. And in the run up to the Irish Golf Open in 2014, were you aware that that medical certificate was in existence?
A. Not that medical certificate, I didn't know what the content of the medical certificate was, all I knew was that there was an issue regarding Sergeant Barry attending Fermoy Garda Station.
501 Q. All right. Well, did you know that a medical
certificate was in existence at that stage?
A. A medical -- yeah.

502 Q. And you're saying that you didn't know the exact contents?
A. I didn't know the contents of it, that's correct.
Q. You thought that the contents were simply that he was not to attend at Fermoy Garda Station?
A. That was my understanding, yeah.
Q. And is your evidence that you didn't think that there was anything in the medical certificate -- or you weren't aware that there was anything in the medical certificate about Mr. Barry not coming into contact with Superintendent Comyns?
A. I didn't have the details of the medical certificate, is what I am saying. I didn't know the details of the actual medical certificate.

505 Q. Is it your evidence that that didn't come up in any of the PAF meetings that you had attended?
A. I don't recall the specifics of the medical certificate coming up, no.

506 Q. That's not something that was ever mentioned to you by Superintendent Comyns?
A. I don't recall him mentioning it specifically, no. I can't recall it.

507 Q. Can I just pause to say, it seems strange that someone that you have that close professional and personal relationship with wouldn't have made any mention to you about that specific issue?
A. What $I$ am saying to you is, I don't recall it, that's
what I'm saying to you.
508 Q. Well you're saying you don't recall it, is it something that could have happened?
A. I'm not prepared to speculate. All I'm saying is, I don't recall it happening. That's what I am saying. MR. CARROLL: Sorry, Chairman, I am loathe to interrupt.
CHA RMAN Sorry, Mr. Carrol1.
MR. CARROL: Mr. Carroll here. I am loathe to
interrupt and I haven't up until now, but a lot of matters being put as to whether Superintendent Comyns informed or told my client of matters were never actually put to Superintendent Comyns in the first place. Superintendent Comyns wasn't asked by Mr. Costelloe, did you te11 Chief Superintendent Quilter X , did you tell him Y , and now we have this cross-examination and I just put that up on record in relation to matters, that $I$ think it is somewhat unusual that this line of question is going ahead, when none of these matters were put to Superintendent
Comyns. Maybe it's a matter for submission at the end. But I just make that point, Chairman.

CHA RMAN Mr. Carrol1, I understand your point, the matter may not become of relevance but I think Mr. Perry is entitled to explore in cross-examination the witness's knowledge or not knowledge. The superintendent is well aware of the issues, it seems to me. I don't see any unfairness, I have to say. The question of materiality is another day's work entirely
and it doesn't arise. But I don't think Mr. Perry is -- I mean, the question -- sorry, the question $I$ have to ask myself: Is there anything, I don't want to use the word improper, because that is not the suggestion that Mr. Carroll makes, is there anything invalid or inappropriate, impermissible about Mr. Perry's cross-examination, and I think the answer to that is no. So, proceed.
MR. PERRY: I think for what it is worth in any event, Chairman, I am going to move off that line of questioning.
CHA RMAN I suspected you were. But since we're on the subject and since Mr. Carrol1 has made his point, I think it is only right to acknowledge that you weren't doing anything impermissible.

MR. PERRY: Yes
CHA RMAN So now you have some other questions, Mr. Perry.
MR. PERRY: Yes. Superintendent Quilter, I had just said to you, one reason I thought it might be unusual that you wouldn't know anything about that condition in the medical certificate, but there's certain other aspects of that that I wanted to explore with you. I am going to come on to the briefing that you had with Sergeant Barry in June 2014 in a bit more detail in a course of that briefing Sergeant Barry made it clear to you that he had concerns about coming into contact with Superintendent Comyns, isn't that right?
A. Sergeant Barry high1ighted to me, yes, that he had concerns. when he said that, I told him, I said, I'11 take them on board. It was a very brief conversation that we had.

510 Q. Yes. And you understand obviously Sergeant Barry says something different happened, we will come back to that, but I think what you're both agreed on is that during the course of that briefing he highlighted that he had concerns, isn't that right?
A. Yeah, it was actually after the briefing that that took place. He actually came into my office, that's when it actually took place.
511 Q. Yes. And your evidence, as I understand it, is, it's not that you said, why would you possibly have any issue with Superintendent Comyns, how could there be any issues there, instead you're saying you said, you'd take that on board, that's something that you took into account, is that right?
A. I considered it, yeah. Absolutely, yeah.

512 Q. which would all suggest that you knew about something in the background in terms of some reason why Sergeant Barry would have concerns or would not want to have contact with Superintendent Comyns. Do you see what I mean? Is that fair?
A. That's fair enough, yeah.

513 Q. So did you have that knowledge?
A. The knowledge I had was in relation to Sergeant Barry having an issue coming to Fermoy Garda Station. That was my understanding, the supervision there, and that
was my knowledge. And I suppose by analogy, that was having a difficulty with Superintendent Comyns. So, you know, when he said it, I didn't go into the details with him, I said, that's fine, we'11 take that on board, and that's why the conversation was pretty short, to be honest about it.
All right. Just in terms of that answer you gave there, where you said that -- I just want to make sure I have it right, you thought the medical certificate said something about attendance at Fermoy Garda Station, you took from that, that there was some issue in terms --
CHA RMAN Sorry, he didn't mention --.
MR. PERRY: I'm sorry
CHA RMAN Correction. Hold on a moment. Mr. Perry, 15:00 if are you going to quote back, you have to quote accurately.
MR. PERRY: yes
CHA RMAN I'm trying to be appearing to be what I think is old fashioned or even pernickety. He didn't mention knowing about a medical certificate and you've just quoted him as saying he knew about a medical certificate.
MR. PERRY: No, absolutely, and it's in fact why I asked the question, to make sure I had the answer right.
CHAN RMAN I know. But you made it on the assumption, which is not correct. So I can tell you that you had the answer wrong, because your question was wrong. But

I mean, ask your question. I have no problem about that, but he didn't say he knew about a medical certificate.
MR PERRY: Yes.
515 Q. Well, superintendent Quilter, you knew that there was something in the medical certificate about attendance at Fermoy Garda Station, is that right?
A. That's correct, yeah.

516 Q.
Did you deduce from that there must be some issue in terms of Sergeant Barry having contact with Superintendent Comyns?
A. That'd be fair, yeah.
Q. All right.

CHA RMAN Mr. Perry, I am sorry, I made a mistake, I misunderstood and I misremembered the evidence. So
take back any rebuke. I am sorry about that, you were quite correct and I was wrong.
MR. PERRY: No issue at all, Chairman.
518 Q. Can I just ask you, just on that topic, just about one other issue. was there ever any discussion with you about transferring Sergeant Barry to midleton Garda station?
A. No.

519 Q. I wonder if we might just bring up page 3910. 3910. So those are notes from the case conference between management and Garda Occupational Health Service, 17th April 2014. I just want you to look at the middle column, headed "Management Actions". And it says there:
"Chi ef superintendent to meet with menber to di scuss agai $n$ transfers (options $M$ dl et on, Mal low, G anmire)."

Do you agree with me that that seems to be suggesting that the chief superintendent was going to discuss the option of a transfer to Midleton with Sergeant Barry?
A. I genuinely don't recall that.
Q. That was never brought up, discussed with you as a possibility?
A. What I'm saying is, I don't recall it. I honestly don't recall it.

521 Q. A11 right. Can I ask you then just in terms of the running of the Irish Open event itself, or the policing for it, I think you were involved in the planning and organising the policing event all the way through, is that fair?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you were originally meant to be in command of the policing operation at the event itself, is that correct?
A. No, that's not correct. I would have flagged it with Chief Superintendent Dillane in advance of the announcement even of the Irish Open Golf taking place that I wasn't going to be there. So that wasn't the case.

523 Q. A11 right. You were never supposed to be in charge of that, is what you are saying?
A. Well, I was involved in, let's say, the organisation
and the putting together of the operational order and the policing plan for it, but I knew from the get-go that I wasn't going to be there for the event. And I had discussed this in advance with Chief Superintendent Dillane and he was comfortable with that.

524 Q. It was never going to be the case that you were going to attend the event and run or manage the policing for the event itself?
A. That's correct.

A11 right. I just want you to look at one thing then, in fairness. I wonder if you can go to page 1347, please. So this is a statement provided by Inspector Eoghan Healy to the tribunal. I just want you to look at one passage of this. Could we go to -- it's the fourth paragraph, it's close to the bottom of the page, 15:04 just starting "In advance". what Inspector Healy says is that:
"In advance of the event, Superintendent Quilter notified me that he may be unable to attend the event.

I believe his wife had booked a hol iday at short notice for the same week of the event. I bel i eve in May, possibly at briefing with A/C, I was informed that Superintendent Comyns was to have overall charge of the event in the absence of Superintendent Quilter."

So that's what Inspector Healy says about matters.
A. Yeah.

526 Q. Do you agree with me that it seems that from his
perspective, he seems to be under the impression that you were going to be able to attend the event and at some point in advance you informed him that you were not going to be able to attend the event, that's what he seems to be saying?
A. I see what he's saying, yeah, I see that, yeah.

527 Q. He says, and he really says it's his belief, but he says his belief was that your wife had booked a holiday at short notice for the same week of the event, and then he says that he thinks it was in may that he was told that Superintendent Comyns was to have overall charge of the event. So, can I just ask, are you saying that that's all incorrect?
A. That's not correct, yeah.

528 Q. You're saying instead that from the very start, actually really before preparation for the event really got underway, you knew that you weren't going to be able to attend at the event itself, that's your evidence?
A. That is my evidence, that's correct.

529 Q. And can you find any explanation in terms of why Inspector Healy has such a different recollection of matters?
A. I can't, but I just look at the paragraph above, Inspector Healy doesn't recall the meeting with
Sergeant Barry and myself either and I have a clear recollection of that. So, you know, people may not recall accurately. I am just giving you my evidence. I have seen what Inspector Healy has said. That's a
matter for Inspector Healy to answer. I have given my evidence on oath here and that is my evidence.
530 Q. All right. Now, my understanding in terms of what happened is that on certain days of the event itself members from unit B of mitchelstown were brought in to work, is that correct?
A. Well, members from unit B across a number of divisions and districts were brought in, it wasn't just mitchelstown. It was a number of -- it was the Fermoy district. The only district that wasn't brought in was 15:07 the Mallow district because the Mallow Flower and Garden Festival was on and that attracts a large number of people. The only personnel that we got from Mallow at the time was some traffic corps members. But unit B members from Midleton, Fermoy, Gurranabraher, Mayfield, Anglesea Street, that were available to us were brought in.

531 Q. Yes.
A. And that was a decision made in conjunction with the representative bodies and obviously based on financial considerations as well.

532 Q. Yes. Sergeant Barry was one of the unit B members that was assigned to work on certain days of the event, is that right?
A. Yeah. At the time I wouldn't have been aware it was Sergeant Barry. What we were getting originally when we started off was unit $B$ and then that was subsequently populated with the names of members as we got them from the various districts.




533 Yes. During the event itself then, you've a situation where on certain days, unit $B$ members who would have been stationed and on duty in Mitchelstown, were on duty at the Irish Open Golf instead, is that right?
A. As were members from Fermoy, as were members from Youghal, from Midleton, from Gurranabraher, from Mitchelstown.

537 Q. No, I understand that. The question I wanted to ask: It wasn't the case then that Mitchelstown was left
unmanned when those members moved off to go somewhere else. Is it the situation that members who would have been on duty at Mitchelstown were replaced by other members on overtime, is that right?
A. The requirement -- the district was supplemented with I 15:09 think a sergeant and five gardaí to man the district for the tour of duty.
Yes. And just in terms of sergeant Barry's specific case, he was replaced -- while he was at the Irish Golf open he was replaced by another sergeant who was on overtime?
A. No. It wasn't specifically Sergeant Barry, Sergeant Gerry was also unit b from the Fermoy district, so that was two sergeants taken out of the district and replaced by one sergeant operating at the time on overtime. So it wasn't specifically Sergeant Barry was the only one replaced. There was two sergeants taken out of the district and replaced with one sergeant. That was the requirement, to man the district for the tour of duty.
539 Q. Can I just ask you to look at page 1439, please. Now, if we just start with the date of it. It's a documented dated 21st May 2014. It's addressed to you, to superintendent, Mid7eton. The signature, the rest appears at the bottom. It's a letter by Inspector Healy and it is setting out -- I'm sorry, Mr. Kavanagh would you mind just scrolling up, just to see the title of the letter, please. It's entitled "Re Irish Open provi si onal report re draw of resources fromoutside

M dl et on district". And it's a letter that goes on to set out the proposed allocation of who is going to work on which days at the Irish Golf Open. Do you recall seeing this?
A. I do, yeah.

540 Q. You probab7y recal1, but look at the document to check, but Sergeant Barry is assigned to work on two particular days?
A. That's correct.

541 Q. Now, when you looked at the document and you saw it, did you have any concern that Sergeant Barry was one of the members who had been put forward to work?
A. No.

542 Q. In a context where we've discussed what you knew about --
A. At the time, you know, we were trying to put in a policing plan together, which involved over a hundred personne1, so I wasn't looking at the specifics of who the individuals were. That only became apparent -- the plan was that we would brief all of the sergeants in advance and that's when Sergeant Barry came to Midleton, as part of that briefing.

543 Q. Yes.
A. So that's the first, even though that would have gone out and I would have signed it, but I wouldn't have looked at the specifics, nor would I have looked at the specifics guards other than this was the notification that was going to go to the Fermoy district or the Mallow district. That's all. I wouldn't have
considered the individuals that were on the list.
544 Q. You didn't consider which individual gardaí were listed?
A. Sorry?

545 Q. You didn't consider which individual gardaí were
A. I'm sorry?
Q. Your evidence is that you did not consider the individual gardaí who were listed?
A. No, this was, and I've said it already, this was a unit 15:13 issue, unit $B$ were the designated unit to police the Irish Open and that was decided in conjunction with the representative bodies and also from a cost perspective as well.

547 Q. okay. We11, when you got this document from Inspector Healy, did you notice on getting the document that Sergeant Barry was listed to work on two days?
A. I didn't, no. As I said, I wasn't looking at the personne1. It was the unit and this was going out to the superintendents in Fermoy and Mallow, to notify them via the divisional office I think.
Q. You did have a briefing then with Sergeant Barry in June 2014, is that right?
A. Yeah. which we have spoke about already, yes, that's correct.

549 Q. You were aware at that stage, obvious7y by virtue of the fact that you're briefing for the event, that he was in fact working at the event?
A. That's correct.

550
Q. And you and Mr. Barry differ in terms of what exactly was said but I think you're on common ground in that both of you say that after the briefing Sergeant Barry informed you that he had concerns about working at the event and coming into contact with Superintendent Comyns, is that correct?
A. That's correct, and I informed him that I would take that into consideration.

551 Q. Yes. I just want to ask what you knew about how the event was going to take place and how you might have evaluated that in light of the information that you had been given. You had drawn up an operational order for the event with Inspector Healy, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

552 Q. Now, that's at page 4543, but I want to look at a specific page from that, page 4550. If you wouldn't mind just scrolling down, Mr. Kavanagh. Just starting "All members parade for duty". I don't think we need to dwell on it too long because I don't think there will be anything between us on this. It was part of the operational order for the event that everyone who is on duty would have to parade for duty at a specific time, in effect attend at morning briefing, isn't that right?
A. That's correct and it also says there, supervisors will would have taken place as part of the briefing in Midleton station.
553 Q. Yes.
A. Which we have referred to.

554 Q. So you knew from the operational order, though, that

Sergeant Barry was going to have to be in attendance at the same briefing as Superintendent Comyns?
A. Yes. Along with Inspector Healy, I knew would have been there as well.

You also presumably knew that given Superintendent Comyns had charge of policing at the event, that if some serious incident had happened in Sergeant Barry's area of responsibility, there was a good chance that the two of them would come into contact?
A. If there was a serious event, but there was -- Sergeant Barry was reporting to Inspector Healy, so if there was an issue, Superintendent Comyns would be dealing mainly with Inspector Healy.
556 Q. Yes. So you have a situation where you know about those points of contact or potential contact, Sergeant Barry is flagging to you that he has concerns about coming into contact with Superintendent Comyns, you were able to deduce from the medical certificate that there is something in the medical certificate that posed some sort of issue in terms of Superintendent Comyns and Sergeant Barry coming into contact. Do I have that all right as a summary?
A. Sorry, can you repeat?

557 Q. I'm sorry, it's a very long question. So, just to go through that. We discussed that Sergeant Barry and Superintendent Comyns would be in contact at the daily briefing, you knew that?
A. I did know that, yeah.

558 Q. You knew that they could potentially come into contact if there was some serious incident at the event, is that correct?
A. That's correct, but I was also aware that Inspector Healy -- that Sergeant Barry would be reporting to Inspector Healy and that should there be a serious event that Superintendent Comyns would be dealing with Inspector Healy as the inspector who would be over the traffic there and who Sergeant Barry would be reporting 15:17 to.

559 Q. Sergeant Barry was flagging to you after the briefing that he was concerned about coming into contact with Superintendent Comyns?
A. He flagged that, that's correct.

560 Q. And we've had our discussion in terms of the medical certificate and how you could deduce from the fact that you knew there was something in it about Fermoy Garda Station, that there was some sort of issue about Superintendent Comyns and Sergeant Barry coming into contact, is that right?
A. Yes.

561 Q. Did you consider, in light of all those circumstances, simply taking Sergeant Barry off duty for the event?
A. No, I didn't, no.

562 Q. You're saying that all that you did in the circumstances was that you told Sergeant Barry that you would keep in mind what he said and take it into account?
A. Yeah, and having Inspector Healy as the person he was reporting to, I felt that that would have been adequate in the situation.
563 Q. Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Now, who else is there? Anybody else? Mr. O'brien, have you any questions?
MR. O BRI EN No, Chairman.
CHA RMAN Mr. Carrol1, you have no questions?

## MR. JON QU LTER MAS QUESTI ONED BY MR. CARROLL, AS

## FOLLOMS:

564 Q. MR. CARROLL: Just one, just to put the issue I suppose to Superintendent Quilter. The issue that the tribunal is looking at, is that you targeted and discredited Sergeant Barry by facilitating -- because he made a protected disclosure, by facilitating the presence of Superintendent Comyns at the Open. Just in relation to that, what do you say to that allegation?
A. That's totally incorrect. I had my holidays booked well before I ever knew that the Irish Open Golf was going to take place at Fota Island.
565 Q. Just on that, just briefly, the meeting, Sergeant Barry at the point of the meeting you had, you're not sure of the date, in advance of the open, he indicated to you a difficulty, you said you'd take it on board, but he
knew at that point that Superintendent Comyns was going to be in overall command and he knew that at that point, isn't that right?
A. That's correct.

566 Q. And he knew the role of Inspector Healy as well at that 15:19 point?
A. That's correct.

567 Q. And a11 of these documents that my friend has opened would have been documents that were sent to him in advance of the Open?
A. That's correct.

568 Q. Thank you.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON

CHA RMAN Now, Ms. McGrath, any questions?
ME. MEGRATH Nothing arising, Chairman. Thank you, superintendent.
CHA RMAN Thank you very much, superintendent. You're free to go, thank you very much. Just before we break, Mr. Perry, I was even more wrong, because I have discovered my own notes recalled the matter that I said you hadn't mentioned. So I apologise again.

MR. PERRY: No issue at all
CHA RMAN Thank you very much. A11 right, we11 now, 15:20 we're not working next week. So do we have the date, Mr. McGuinness?

MR. MEGI NESS: Chairman, the intention is that we would resume evidence on the 14th June.

CHAN RMAN Thank you very much.
MR. MEGI NNESS: I think we won't be sitting on the 15th June.

CHA RMAN Correct, that's because I have a need.
MR. MEGU NESS: Then we will have evidence on the 16th 15:20 and on the morning of the 17 th June. And then we will sit two days the following week.
CHA RMAN A11 right.
MR. MEGI NNESS: we hope to notify the parties, if possible today, as to the indicative witness list. We 15:21 just have to try and finally confirm availability for a couple of the witnesses later this afternoon.
CHA RMAN Very good. Everyone clear on that? And if anyone has any difficulties, keep in touch with counsel or solicitors. Okay. Al1 right. Thank you very much. 15:21 Thank you for your assistance. Very good.
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| 108:4, 108:10, | 138:9, 138:10, | required [6] - | results [1] - | 117:12 | 72:18, 93:6, |
| 108:26, 113:8, | 138:15, 138:17, | 21:29, 22:10, | 24:25 | Ruane [2] - 77:7, | 95:15, 97:29, |
| 113:15, 113:17, | 138:18 | 31:24, 60:8, | resume [1] - | 77:20 | 110:7 |
| 118:17, 118:18, | replacement [4] | 120:10, 120:13 | 145:29 | rules [1] - 50:4 | secondly [2] - |
| 128:18, 130:27, | $-57: 21,58: 17$ | requirement [2] | RESUMED ${ }_{[2]}$ | run [6] - 49:20, | $90: 1,100: 29$ |
| relationship [3] | $\begin{gathered} 58: 26,59: 1 \\ \text { Replies [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -138: 5,138: 19 \\ \text { requirements } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:1, 89:16 } \\ & \text { retire }[5]-28: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:21, 114:19, } \\ & \text { 122:20, 126:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { section [6] - } \\ 32: 20,46: 10, \end{gathered}$ |
| - 106:2, 123:15, | 98:17, 98:28 | $[1]-137: 14$ | $28: 28,30: 3$ | 134:7 | 77:28, 80:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:27 } \\ & \text { relative [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { reply [3] - 6:27, } \\ 72: 25,88: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requires [2] - } \\ & 45: 28,46: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:19 } \\ & \text { retired }[11]-9: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { runner [1] - } \\ & 56: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 6,82: 25 \\ & \text { see }[56]-6: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:11 } \\ & \text { relatives } \end{aligned}$ | report [40] 16.25, 17.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reside [1] - } \\ & \text { 28:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:17, 26:21, } \\ & \text { 27:12, } 32: 23, \end{aligned}$ | running [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 28,7: 8,7: 15, \\ & 7: 20,12: 9,17: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| $71: 1,71: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:25, 17:7, } \\ & \text { 17:15, 17:22 } \end{aligned}$ | 28:18 | 27:12, 32:23, 34:27, 35:10, | 25:18, 50:2, | $19: 25,28: 8,28: 9,$ |
| relevance [2] - | 17:26, 18:4, 18:7, | 89:28, 90:8 | 97:11, 103:4, |  | 32:6, 44:10, 45:6, |
| $\begin{gathered} 92: 16,128: 24 \\ \text { relevant [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:13, 18:23, } \\ & \text { 19:20, 20:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:10 } \\ & \text { resisted }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 104:6, 104:10 } \\ \text { retirees }[4] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | S | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 16,52: 26, \\ & 54: 14,55: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 79:21, 80:25 } \\ \text { remain [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $20: 14,20: 25$ | 93:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 4,97: 17, \\ & 97: 26,98: 7 \end{aligned}$ | sake [1] - 57:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 6,56: 20, \\ & 59: 19,62: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| 104:4 | 22:10, 22:12, | 66:23 | retirement [4] - | Sam [5]-15:23, | 64:17, 64:18, |
| remainder [1] - | 38:27, 38:29, | resolve [2] | 28:18, 29:23, | 15:24, 15:27, | 71:11, 72:16, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:5 } \\ & \text { remaining }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 5,39: 16 \\ & 39: 18,43: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 24,61: 1 \\ & \text { resolved }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97: 24,98: 1 \\ \text { retires [3] - } \end{array}$ | $95: 27$ <br> sanctioning [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 25,73: 2,73: 4, \\ & 74: 22,74: 26, \end{aligned}$ |
| $58: 12$ | $44: 5,44: 13$ | 57:28 | 24:12, 24:21, | $-43: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 6,79: 10, \\ & 79: 24,80: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { remains [1] - } \\ & \text { 120:24 } \end{aligned}$ | 46:14, 79:20, | resort [2]-59:6, | $25: 22$ | $45: 22$ | 81:25, 91:24, |
| remember [22] - | 80:8, 80:20, | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 59: 14 \\ \text { resource }[1] \text { - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { retiring [2] - } \\ 37: 10,38: 2 \end{gathered}$ | satisfactory [1] - | 91:27, 91:28, |
| 27:1, 32:10, | 80:28, 80:29, | 59:15 | retrace [1] - | 78:23 | 93:6, 93:23, |
| 32:29, 33:13, | 81:1, 84:23, | resources [2] - | 116:2 | saw [11] - 12:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:12, } 97: 23 \\ & \text { 107:2. 110:7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $34: 5,34: 6,38: 28$ | 85:12, 85:23, 96:18. 119:23. | $51: 13,138: 29$ | return [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:27, 21:28, } \\ & \text { 27:24. 28:29. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:2, 110:7, } \\ & \text { 110:19, 110:20, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 39: 26, ~ 41: 11, \\ & 42: 22.43: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 18,119: 23, \\ & 138: 29 \end{aligned}$ | Resources [5] - | 18:28, 46:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 24,28: 29, \\ & 29: 19,31: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:19, 110:20, } \\ & \text { 110:21, 113:20, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 42: 22,43: 16, \\ & 66: 6,76: 21, \end{aligned}$ | reported [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:15, 39:11, } \\ & \text { 40:25, 54:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:17 } \\ & \text { review [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 42:10, 126:9, | 121:18, 126:10, |
| 76:22, 76:25, | 19:19, 20:8, | 54:26 | $75: 15$ | 139:10 | 126:15, 128:28, |
| 77:2, 77:16, | 39:10 | respect [8] - | revised [1] - | SC [2] - 3:1, 3:7 | 130:23, 135:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 77: 17,86: 5 \\ & 115: 17,117: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reporting [8] - } \\ & \text { 16:12, 17:4, } \end{aligned}$ | 10:10, 44:11, | $11: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { scale [1] - } \\ & 114: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:27 } \\ & \text { seeing [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |


| 139:4 | 60:20, 60:25, | 118:17, 118:18, | 97:12, 99:20, | simply [8] - | 108:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seek [1] - 74:13 | 60:29, 61:12, | 119:22, 120:17, | 123:12, 126:14, | 10:22, 23:9, | sometimes [1] - |
| seeking [1] - | 61:16, 61:27 | 120:26, 121:2 | 126:1 | 59:12, 61:11, | 59:22 |
| 92:10 | 62:1, 62:6, 62:28, | 121:5, 124:19 | Service [1] - | 95:11, 127:6, | somewhat [4] - |
| seem [4]-29:15, | 62:29, 77:9, | 125:10, 126:8 | 132:26 | 143:24 | 24:9, 24:19, |
| 77:18, 98:6, | 107:5, 116:11, | 126:27, 129:25, | serving [3] - | simultaneously | 47:29, 128:18 |
| 112:9 | 138:12, 143:20 | 129:27, 130:1, | 25:21, 43:12, | [1]-71:8 | somewhere [4] - |
| sees [1] - 29:12 | sergeant [165] - | $130: 5,130: 21$ | 69:11 | sinister [1] - | 67:11, 69:29, |
| select [2] - | 17:3, 17:5, 17:6, | 130:27, 132:10, | set [12]-9:18, | 50:28 | $81: 26,138: 1$ |
| 67:26, 68:20 | 17:9, 17:10, | 132:21, 133:7, | 12:25, 23:20, | Sinéad [1] - | son [1] - 99:13 |
| selected [1] - | 17:27, 18:6, 18:7, | 135:26, 136:22, | 27:29, 44:13, | 75:10 | sorry [53]-7:13, |
| 111:28 | 18:9, 18:12, $18 \cdot 13 \quad 18 \cdot 14$ | 136:26, 138:6, | 63:29, 65:29, | sit [2] - 103:10, | 19:11, 26:2, |
| self [1] - 54:29 <br> self-evident [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:13, 18:14, } \\ & 18: 18,18: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:8, 138:10, } \\ & \text { 138:12, 138:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 14,74: 2, \\ & 82: 29,83: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:7 } \\ & \text { site [1] - 121:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 18,28: 4,29: 7, \\ & 32: 6,34: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| 54:29 | 18:21, 18:22, | 138:16, 138:18, | 139:2 | sitting [1] - | 42:18, 47:15, |
| send | 18:27, 19:3, 19:8, | 139:7, 139:11, | sets [1] - 19:17 | 146:2 | 56:11, 56:21, |
| 32:10, 33:10, | 19:10, 19:20, | 139:21, 140:17, | setting [4]-8:7, | situation [15] - | 61:9, 66:12, |
| 36:15, 58:24 | 19:28, 20:12, | 140:22, 141:3, | 33:28, 57:2, | 5:29, 10:22, | 66:18, 66:21, |
| $70: 15,73: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 13,20: 24, \\ & 20: 25.22: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 142: 3,142: 9 \\ & 142: 12,142: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 138:26 | 12:26, 42:25, | 71:23, 72:4, |
| sending [3] - |  | $142: 23,142: 2$ | seven [2] - | $54: 18,60: 13$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 13,55: 24, \\ & 58: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 28:5, 29:1, 29:23, | 143:6, 143:10, | 100:12, 101: <br> sexual [3] - | 68:28, 69:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 23,74: 25, \\ & 78: 26,78: 28, \end{aligned}$ |
| sense [2] - | 33:17, 33:20, | 143:12, 143:24, | 84:28, 85:9, | 122:14, 137:20, | 79:4, 79:7, 85:15, |
| 77:18, 77:21 | 39:7, 39:22, | 143:27, 144:19, | 85:28 | 138:2, 142:16, | 88:1, 93:15, |
| sent [38]-6:25, | $39: 29,41: 9$, $41: 26,43: 19$ | 144:26 | short [11] - | 144:3 | 95:13, 96:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16: 5,18: 7,20: 19 \\ & 20: 29,25: 3,30: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $49: 6,50: 3,50: 27,$ | $37: 9,51: 14$ | $87: 1,88: 24,$ | situations [1] - 49:5 | 106:6, 110:16, |
| 31:1, 31:18, 53:1, | 51:29, 52:10, | 51:25, 52:3, 52:6, | 89:9, 99:28 | six [6] - 7:27 | 121:25, 121:27, |
| 53:19, 55:17, | 52:11, 53:6, | 53:7, 53:27, | 101:19, 131:6, | 26:18, 51:16, | 126:13, 126:17, |
| 55:20, 55:21, | 53:20, 54:13, | 57:14, 57:26, | 134:21, 135:9 | 99:17, 100:12, | 128:6, 128:8, |
| 55:29, 56:1, | 54:19, 54:27, | 59:20, 70:3, 96:8, | shorter [2] - | 101:1 | 129:2, 131:13, |
| 56:13, 56:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 5,56: 28,57: 3 \\ & 57: 6,57: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 11,96: 12, \\ & 138: 14.138: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $47: 7,61: 20$ | sized [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 131: 14,132: 14, \\ & 13: 16 \text { 137.7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 56:28, 58:22, | 57:25, 58:11, | 139:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shorthand }[1] \text { - } \\ & 06 \cdot 16 \end{aligned}$ | 104:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 132: 16,137: 7, \\ & \text { 138:26, 140:4, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 60: 2,60: 3,60: 20, \\ & 61: 15,64: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $58: 12,58: 14$ | series [3] | 96:16 shortly | $\begin{gathered} \text { skip [3]-28:8, } \\ \text { 28:22, 70:19 } \end{gathered}$ | 140:7, 142:25, |
| 70:11, 70:22, | 58:15, 58:16, | 78:16, 79:12 | 65:24, 69:21 | sleep [1] - 99:9 | 142:26 |
| 72:2, 72:27, | 58:26, 58:28, | 79:16 | 98:11 | small [2] - 16:19, | sorry.. [1] - |
| 72:28, 73:5, 74:5, | 59:4, 59:23, | serious [8] - | shot [1] - 57:24 | 62:19 | 23:17 |
| 75:10, 80:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:15, 61:19, } \\ & \text { 62:7, 62:9, 62:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:12, 41:26, } \\ & 42 \cdot 2 \quad 118 \cdot 4, \end{aligned}$ | show [1]-14:14 | SMITHFIELD [1] | sort [4] - 40:17, |
| 85:16, 85:17, | 62:19, 62:22, | 42:2, 118:4, 142:9, 142:12, | shows [3] - | $-3: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 2,142: 22, \\ & 143: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:4, 145:9 } \\ & \text { sentence }[10]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 19,62: 22, \\ & 62: 26,63: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 142: 9,142: 12 \\ & 143: 3,143: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 12,72: 9, \\ & 92: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { so.. [2] - 36:4, } \\ & 78: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:19 } \\ & \text { sorted }[1]-7: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7:28, 8:22, 8:27, | $64: 2,64: 7,67: 8 \text {, }$ | seriousness [2] | sick [1] - 77:26 | solely [2] - $96: 9$ | sought [3] - |
| 28:8, 28:9, 48:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 11,67: 21, \\ & 68: 3,68: 11,7 \end{aligned}$ | $-41: 24,41: 28$ | side [5] - 20:6, | Solicitor's [1] - | 30:3, 66:2, 73:18 |
| 66:21, 80:24, | $70: 24,70: 28$ | serve [1] - 106:9 served [4] - | 105:25, 112:15, | $36: 12$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sound }[2]- \\ 43: 29.44: 17 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 82: 2,94: 24 \\ & \text { sentences }[4]- \end{aligned}$ | $74: 3,75: 24,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { served [4] - } \\ \text { 26:19, } 99: 16, \end{gathered}$ | 112:27, 117:14 <br> sides [1] - 64:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { solicitors [1] - } \\ & \text { 146:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:29, 44:17 } \\ & \text { Southern [2] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7:19, 7:20, 19:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 26,77: 28, \\ & 79: 12,87: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $106: 6,126: 11$ | signature [6] - | SOLICITORS ${ }_{[2]}$ | $84: 25,85: 17$ |
| 101:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 12,87: 18 \\ & 89: 26,89: 28 \end{aligned}$ | service [30] - $24: 6,24: 8,24: 2$ | 28:14, 29:17 | $-3: 3,3: 9$ | specific [11] - |
| separate [3] - | $90$ | 24:6, 24:8, 24:26, $25: 2,25: 4,26: 13$ | 56:2, 91:28, 92:2, | solution [5] - | 9:5, 52:14, 79:6, |
| 81:25, 96:14, | 95:10, 95:12, | 25:2, 25:4, 26:13, 28:25, 28:27, | 138:24 | 49:27, 61:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:26, 124:19, } \\ & \text { 124:26. 127:28, } \end{aligned}$ |
| \| 96:20 seque | 96:29, 98:17, | 29:25, 30:10, | $\begin{gathered} \text { signed }[7] \text { - } \\ \text { 17:19. } 25: 5 . \end{gathered}$ | 61:13, 67:8, | 124:26, 127:28, 137:8, 138:8, |
| $9: 28,10: 1,10: 7$ | 105:26, 106:7, | $30: 17,33: 15$ | $55: 19,55: 2$ | 117:1 | 141:16, 141:22 |
| sequencing [2] - | 106:8, 106:12, | $33: 17,35: 13$ | $55: 29,63: 15$ | $50: 6,56: 17,57: 9$ | specifically [10] |
| 8:3, 53:16 | 106:23, 107:6, | 36:22, 41:25 | 139:25 | 57:18, 57:20, | -6:18, 16:23, |
| Sergeant [23] - | $113 \cdot 19 \quad 115 \cdot 29$ | 41:29, 50:9, | significant [1] - | 113:20, 123:10, | $24: 1,36: 21,$ |
| 19:4, 19:5, 28:11, | $11$ | $50: 15,57: 29$ | 87:22 | 127:25 | 51:14, 87:15, |
| 53:11, 53:14, | 116:25, 116:29, | 62:13, 62:21, 66:5, 87:6, 96:21 | similar [1] - | sometime [3] - | 95:27, 127:23, |
| 59:2, 60:16, | 118:2, 118:16, | 66:5, 87:6, 96:21, | 104:19 | 65:18, 98:26, | 138:12, 138:16 |


| specifics [6] - | state [3] - $33: 15$, | stations [1] - | submitted [8] - | [114]-5:5, 5:11, | 13:7, 16:6, 16:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111:7, 122:15, | 36:25, 45:29 | 91:2 | 5:16, 17:27, | 7:15, 10:21, | 16:17, 17:2, |
| 127:19, 139:18, | State's [1] | status [3] | 18:11, 18:15 | 13:12, 16:21 | 17:18, 18:29, |
| 139:26, 139:27 | 82:23 | $74: 16,75: 3$, 75.16 | 18:23, 20:26, | 18:26, 20:9, | 19:7, 19:27, 21:1, $21: 3,21: 8,22: 20$ |
| specify [1] - $78 \cdot 17$ | statement [55] | 75:16 | 24:22, 32:25 | 20:10, 20:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:3, 21:8, 22:20, } \\ & 22 \cdot 25 ~ 22 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 78:17 } \\ & \text { speculate }[2]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 19,5: 21,5: 23, \\ & 7: 12,8: 7,9: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { statutes [1] - } \\ & 46: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { submitting [1] - } \\ & 23: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 15,20: 18 \\ & 20: 20,20: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:25, 22:26, } \\ & \text { 23:5, 23:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $126: 1,128: 4$ <br> spend [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 27,9: 28,10: 1 \\ & 10: 4,10: 8,27: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stay }[2]-50: 4, \\ & 58: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { subordinate } \\ & 60 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 13,23: 4,23: 6, \\ & 24: 11,25: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 24,34: 22, \\ & 35: 9,35: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| 48:27 | 28:3, 28:5, 29:18, | stepped [1] | subsequent [5] - | 25:22, 25:27, | 36:27, 37:19, |
| spent [3] - | 29:20, 30:6, 30:7, | 11:23 | 21:7, 109:2, | 26:1, 26:6, 26:8, | 38:21, 38:22, |
| 99:12, 103:27, | 30:23, 38:18, | stepping [2] - | 114:11, 125:2 | 26:13, 26:16, | 38:27, 39:5, |
| 104:7 | 38:19, 38:20, | 115:4, 115:5 | subsequently | 26:18, 27:13, | 39:11, 39:16, |
| spoken [5] - | 38:26, 41:3, 41:4, | steps [2] | [5]-31:13, 73:19, | $28: 14,30: 24$ | 40:10, 40:13, |
| 21:1, 36:7, 38:18, | 42:10, 42:11, | 40:27, 114:22 | 83:6, 136:28, | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 22,32: 15, \\ & 34 \cdot 24,34 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ | $40: 15,40: 21$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 38: 21,113: 21 \\ \text { sport [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44:5, 77:6, 81:4, } \\ & \text { 81:27, 82:1, 92:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stick [2] - 50:4, } \\ & 53 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | 137:16 substa | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 24,34: 25, \\ & 34: 28,37: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:23, 40:26, } \\ & 41: 2,41: 12,44: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $105: 24$ | 93:25, 99:12, |  | $-52: 18$ | $37: 29,41: 16$ | 44:19, 44:26, |
| sporting [1] - | 100:10, 100:24, | 93:13, 126:14 | substantive [2] - | 43:4, 43:22, | 48:15, 49:4, |
| 111:21 | 101:28, 103:13, | 126:19 | 38:12, 54:12 | $44: 10,47: 8$ | 49:20, 49:26, |
| sports | 103:21, 105:8, | stood [1] - 58:16 | suffer [1]-62:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 28,50: 1,50: 3 \\ & 50: 18,52: 26 \end{aligned}$ | 50:12, 51:22, <br> 52:9, 53:1, 53:20, |
| $123: 13$ squab | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:15, 106:22, } \\ & \text { 106:26, 108:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stop [2] - 7:28, } \\ & 116: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { suggest [14] - } \\ & 10: 14,16: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 18,52: 26, \\ & 56: 10,56: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 9,53: 1,53: 20, \\ & 54: 25,55: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { squabk } \\ & 42: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 109:20, 110:16, | 11 | $22: 1$ | $57: 22,58: 8$ | $56: 27,58: 5,58: 9$ |
| squarely [1] - | 111:22, 115:13, | 15:1 | 37:15, 57:22 | 59:12, 61:9, 62:2, | 58:10, 60:2, |
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